WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:15:26.0590000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}02{.}607$ For the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:02.607 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.690$ And hopefully this screen is sharing now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}08{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}378$ And thanks for the opportunity to talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:00:11.380 --> 00:00:12.325 As Melissa said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:12.325 \rightarrow 00:00:15.041$ I'm going to be talking about how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:15.041 \rightarrow 00:00:17.723$ can use mathematical models to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}17.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.623$ better understand transmission of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:19.623 \rightarrow 00:00:21.587$ infection in vaccinated populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}21.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.960$ And really, what I'm focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}23.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.058$ here is the distinction between

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}26.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.278$ the direct and indirect protection

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:28.278 \rightarrow 00:00:30.980$ that is confirmed by vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}30{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33{.}656$ We've heard a lot so far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:33.660 \rightarrow 00:00:35.675$ mostly about the direct protection

 $00:00:35.675 \rightarrow 00:00:38.580$ that can be confirmed by vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.730$ Two individuals receiving the vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}40.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.880$ and preventing them from having

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.235$ kind of severe consequences of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:45.235 \rightarrow 00:00:47.063$ infections such as hospitalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}00{:}47.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.746$ and this is generally estimated from

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:49.746 \dashrightarrow 00:00:52.500$ randomized control trials or estimates of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:52.500 \rightarrow 00:00:55.860$ Effectiveness from case control studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:00:55.860 --> 00:00:59.460 But vaccines can also confer

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:00:59.460 \rightarrow 00:01:02.340$ indirect protections by preventing

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.090$ individuals who may be UN vaccinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:06.090 \dashrightarrow 00:01:09.020$ from becoming infected and shedding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:09.020 \dashrightarrow 00:01:11.735$ This pathogens and infecting other

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}01{:}11.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.450$ individuals in the population who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:14.450 \rightarrow 00:01:15.540$ for example,

 $00:01:15.540 \rightarrow 00:01:18.810$ maybe too young to receive vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:18.810 \rightarrow 00:01:21.010$ And thereby preventing those individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}01{:}21.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.780$ who are exposed from developing severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:23.780 \rightarrow 00:01:26.280$ disease and being hospitalised themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:01:26.280 --> 00:01:28.620 And so this indirect protection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}01{:}28.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.422$ which is also been also referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:31.422 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.290$ to us herd immunity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:33.290 \rightarrow 00:01:36.167$ is something that really can only be

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:01:36.167 --> 00:01:38.429 estimated from very specific cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:01:38.429 --> 00:01:40.814 randomized trial design or something NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:40.814 \rightarrow 00:01:44.145$ that can be estimated and predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:44.145 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.577$ using dynamic mathematical models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:01:46.580 --> 00:01:49.204 And the way that these models work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:49.204 \rightarrow 00:01:51.355$ to follow generally what's considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:01:51.355 - 00:01:54.193 the basic sirf type model design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:01:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.205$ where we assume that when

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- 00:01:56.205 --> 00:01:57.408 individuals are born,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:01:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.415$ they might be susceptible to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:01:59.415 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.420$ infection with a particular pathogen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:02:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.826$ an ask they are exposed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:02:03.826 \rightarrow 00:02:05.029$ that pathogen overtime,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- 00:02:05.030 > 00:02:06.815 they may become infected and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:02:06.815 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.600$ in turn these individuals are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- 00:02:08.667 > 00:02:10.639 infectious to other individuals,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00{:}02{:}10.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.812$ and So what we're most concerned
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:02:12.812 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.240$ about with these models is tracking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:02:15.240 \rightarrow 00:02:17.505$ infectious individuals as opposed to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:02:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.905$ The cases of disease within the population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00{:}02{:}20{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23{.}094$ And when once that infection resolves and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00{:}02{:}23.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.029$ individuals and no longer infectious,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155
- $00:02:25.030 \rightarrow 00:02:27.095$ we can assume that they may have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:02:27.095 --> 00:02:29.231 any bodies and be recovered and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:29.231 \rightarrow 00:02:31.196$ be immune from further infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.266$ at least for some period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.046$ And there also is death that can occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}02{:}37.046$ --> $00{:}02{:}39.416$ from all of these compartments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:39.416 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.455$ then all of this really gets described NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:42.455 \rightarrow 00:02:45.290$ by series of differential equations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:45.290 \rightarrow 00:02:47.302$ which are mathematical expressions

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}02{:}47{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50{.}320$ just showing how the rate of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.056$ Or the number of individuals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}02{:}53.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.413$ each state changes overtime in

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:55.413 \dashrightarrow 00:02:57.668$ relation to these various rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:02:57.670 \rightarrow 00:03:00.100$ And when it comes to modeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:03:00.100 --> 00:03:01.315 vaccination kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.679$ the simplest way to do it within

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

00:03:03.679 - 00:03:06.419 the sort of basic sirf type model

 $00{:}03{:}06{.}419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08{.}897$ framework is to assume that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}240$ fraction of individuals which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.864$ considered be here who are vaccinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:13.864 \rightarrow 00:03:15.684$ and affectively protected by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:15.684 \rightarrow 00:03:18.000$ vaccine might be moved from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:18.000 \rightarrow 00:03:19.608$ suseptable compartment into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}03{:}19.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.752$ recovered and immune compartment while

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:21.752 \rightarrow 00:03:23.648$ bypassing the infectious compartment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}03{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.527$ and so this reduces the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:26.527 \rightarrow 00:03:27.760$ currently infectious individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.284$ Within the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:29.284 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.332$ And if you implement this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:32.332 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.616$ a very simple sirf type model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00{:}03{:}35{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38{.}188$ assuming and are not a 5 or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:38.188 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.511$ an average number of secondary

 $00:03:40.511 \rightarrow 00:03:43.186$ infections produced by an infectious

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:43.186 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.641$ individual on a fully susceptible

NOTE Confidence: 0.892701679468155

 $00:03:45.641 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.385$ population and a 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:03:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.134$ vaccine coverage with 100%

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

00:03:49.134 --> 00:03:51.318 effective vaccine, or vice versa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:03:51.318 \rightarrow 00:03:54.358 100\%$ coverage with a 50% effective vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:03:54.358 \rightarrow 00:03:57.790$ then on left in blue here is plotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:03:57.868 \rightarrow 00:04:00.520$ what the epidemic would look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:00.520 \dashrightarrow 00:04:02.860$ Kind of each week through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}04{:}02{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}810$ If there was no vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.370$ while on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}04{:}06{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08{.}866$ the blue line represents the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

00:04:08.866 --> 00:04:10.530 number of cases cumulatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:10.599 \rightarrow 00:04:12.779$ through time with no vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.030$ And the dashed redline presents what

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:15.030 \rightarrow 00:04:17.870$ you would expect if the vaccine were

00:04:17.870 --> 00:04:19.980 really just providing the direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.920$ protection to vaccinated individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:21.920 \rightarrow 00:04:24.986$ and preventing them from getting sick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:24.990 \rightarrow 00:04:28.035$ which would just be a same epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:28.035 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.290$ but 50% smaller through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}04{:}30.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.050$ The solid red line here represents what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:34.050 \rightarrow 00:04:36.828$ actually see within the model framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:36.830 \rightarrow 00:04:40.326$ If you vaccinate 50% of the population before

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}04{:}40{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43{.}279$ the vaccine or the epidemic takes off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:43.280 \rightarrow 00:04:45.000$ which is an epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:04:45.000 \rightarrow 00:04:47.150$ which is considerably delayed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}04{:}47.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.763$ blunted compared to the epidemic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

00:04:49.763 - 00:04:51.878 you see without any vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

00:04:51.880 --> 00:04:54.645 And if you look at the cumulative

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}837$ number of cases occurring overtime

 $00:04:56.837 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.615$ by the end of the epidemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}04{:}59{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}112$ With vaccination you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}05{:}01{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}977$ Lower cumulative number of cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:05:02.977 \rightarrow 00:05:05.078$ that occur within the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:05:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.288$ then would be expected just based

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}191$ on this direct protection from

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:05:09.191 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.268$ the vaccine alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}05{:}10.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.025$ and this difference between what

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

00:05:12.025 --> 00:05:13.780 you'd expect from the direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}05{:}13.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.715$ protection alone versus what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18{.}026$ actually get from this reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:05:18.026 \rightarrow 00:05:19.896$ transmission that occurs is generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}05{:}19.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.820$ measured as the indirect effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:05:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.340$ But you'll note that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:05:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.190$ measured the indirect effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:05:26.190 \rightarrow 00:05:28.446$ say back on week seven here,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:28.450 \rightarrow 00:05:30.335$ you would estimate a considerably
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:30.335 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.466$ stronger indirect effect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}05{:}31{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33{.}948$ and so that's one aspect of this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:33.948 \rightarrow 00:05:36.595$ indirect effect is that it's inherently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}05{:}36{.}595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38{.}615$ dynamic and changing overtime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}05{:}38{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40{.}370$ And Furthermore it changes with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.770$ coverage within the population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.820$ So for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:42.820 \rightarrow 00:05:45.620$ if you had 80% coverage in this scenario,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:45.620 \rightarrow 00:05:47.370$ according to the direct protection,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:47.370 \rightarrow 00:05:49.820$ you just expect to see an epidemic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:49.820 \dashrightarrow 00:05:52.270$ that's 20% the size of the epidemic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- 00:05:52.270 --> 00:05:53.670 But in this case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}05{:}53.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.172$ if you have an 80% effective vaccine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:05:56.172 \rightarrow 00:05:58.804$ you would be able to eliminate the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:05:58.804 \rightarrow 00:06:00.771$ pathogen altogether and prevent the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:00.771 \rightarrow 00:06:03.490$ epidemic from occurring in the first place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.286$ More generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:04.286 \dashrightarrow 00:06:06.674$ these models can be adapted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}06{:}06{.}674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}849$ account for the waning vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:08.850 \rightarrow 00:06:10.910$ induced immunity and leaky protection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:10.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.734$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:11.734 \rightarrow 00:06:13.794$ by including a separate compartment

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:13.794 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.030$ for vaccinated individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}06{:}15{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17{.}837$ which can then kind of Wayne back

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}06{:}17.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.477$ into this acceptable state or have

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}06{:}20.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.742$ a differential rate of infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:22.742 \dashrightarrow 00:06:24.990$ occurring from this compartment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}06{:}24.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.270$ Or can be modified to allow for infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.875$ individuals to be somehow different

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:06:30.875 \rightarrow 00:06:33.720$ from UN vaccinated infected individuals.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- 00:06:33.720 --> 00:06:35.175 So, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}06{:}35{.}175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}115$ less infectious than unvaccinated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:06:37.115 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.085$ infected individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}06{:}38{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39{.}524$ And in reality,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:06:39.524 \dashrightarrow 00:06:42.392$ these vaccines models get much more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:06:42.392 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.778$ complicated when you take into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}06{:}44.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.058$ account the specifics of natural
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- 00:06:47.058 --> 00:06:50.120 immunity and the Natural History of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:06:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.144$ infection of different pathogens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:06:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.580$ These are just two different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00:06:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.038$ models for rotavirus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}06{:}56{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}665$ One in which we don't account for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}06{:}58.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.477$ the different strains of rotavirus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}07{:}00{.}477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02{.}319$ and one in which we do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792
- $00{:}07{:}02.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.455$ which get considerably kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:07:04.455 \rightarrow 00:07:06.163$ more increasingly complicated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

00:07:06.163 --> 00:07:08.341 in reality what I spend my time

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

00:07:08.341 --> 00:07:10.834 looking at is a whole bunch of code

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00:07:10.834 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.784$ that is used to implement these

NOTE Confidence: 0.831913828849792

 $00{:}07{:}12.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.140$ models in a computer program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}07{:}15{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18{.}626$ And so the ways in which these

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:07:18.626 --> 00:07:20.999 different models can be used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:07:21.000 \rightarrow 00:07:22.458$ including explaining observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}07{:}22.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.162$ patterns in data. So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}07{:}25.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.734$ models have helped us to understand how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:07:28.734 --> 00:07:31.694 seasonality of rotavirus epidemics changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:07:31.694 \rightarrow 00:07:34.660$ following vaccine introduction in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:07:34.660 \rightarrow 00:07:37.588$ Anan why this change of curd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:07:37.590 \dashrightarrow 00:07:40.025$ Furthermore, we've also used models

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:07:40.025 \dashrightarrow 00:07:41.973$ to evaluate cost effectiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:07:41.980 \rightarrow 00:07:44.452$ Specifically, my group has looked at

- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}07{:}44.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.780$ the cost effectiveness of different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:07:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.825$ vaccination strategies against typhoid fever.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:07:49.830 \dashrightarrow 00:07:53.274$ Asking is it cost effective to introduce
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:07:53.274 \rightarrow 00:07:56.381$ typhoid vaccines in various low income
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:07:56.381 \rightarrow 00:07:59.993$ countries and kind of under what conditions?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- 00:08:00.000 --> 00:08:01.053 And then finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}08{:}01{.}053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03{.}510$ these models can be used to address
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:08:03.576 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.428$ issues around future trends,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:08:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.768$ like for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- 00:08:06.768 --> 00:08:08.998 can we possibly eliminate COVID-19
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- 00:08:08.998 --> 00:08:09.890 through vaccination?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}08{:}09{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12{.}906$ And so I just want to briefly into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}08{:}12.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.029$ a couple examples from my own work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- 00:08:16.030 --> 00:08:18.775 So back in 2009 I was involved in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:08:18.775 \rightarrow 00:08:21.438$ study looking at trying to understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:21.438 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.713$ the early impact of rotavirus

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:08:23.788 --> 00:08:26.248 vaccine introduction in the West,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:26.250 \rightarrow 00:08:28.806$ where what was observed following the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:08:28.806 --> 00:08:30.510 introduction of rotavirus vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:08:30.577 --> 00:08:33.272 in 2006 isn't at the first season

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:33.272 \rightarrow 00:08:34.427$ following vaccine introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:08:34.430 --> 00:08:36.884 which occurs kind of only among

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:36.884 \rightarrow 00:08:38.520$ infants in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:38.520 \dashrightarrow 00:08:41.285$ The rotavirus epidemic in the US was

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:41.285 \rightarrow 00:08:44.720$ really kind of similar in size to previous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:08:44.720 --> 00:08:46.148 Pre vaccination epidemics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:46.148 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.153$ so in blue is the 2006 2007 rotavirus

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}645$ season and number of rotavirus positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:53.645 \rightarrow 00:08:57.509$ specimens in the US surveillance system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:08:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.974$ whereas the grey in the black is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}09{:}00{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.740$ mean pre vaccination rotavirus season.

 $00:09:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.238$ Whereas in the second season following

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:09:08.238 --> 00:09:11.410 vaccine introduction plotted in red here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:11.410 \rightarrow 00:09:14.758$ the epidemic was considerably smaller than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:09:14.760 --> 00:09:16.230 Free vaccinate vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:16.230 \rightarrow 00:09:19.660$ epidemics and pizza around 10 weeks after

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:19.737 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.509$ the usual peak in the rotavirus season.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.548$ So current kind of quite a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:25.548 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.440$ later in kind of late winter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.700$ Early spring time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:09:29.700 --> 00:09:30.120 Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.271$ And initially this was not really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}09{:}33.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.011$ understood because it wasn't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:36.011 \rightarrow 00:09:38.756$ known that introducing rotavirus vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}09{:}38.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.588$ would prevent a lot of transmission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}09{:}41.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.686$ since only infants were getting vaccinated.

 $00{:}09{:}44.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}47.746$ But based on models that we have fitted

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}09{:}47.746$ --> $00{:}09{:}50.836$ to pre vaccination data in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.960$ in particularly the spatio temporal

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.350$ pattern of epidemics in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}205$ We were able to retrospectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:09:57.205 \dashrightarrow 00:09:59.622$ predict this delay in the timing

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:09:59.622 --> 00:10:01.089 of rotavirus epidemics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:10:01.090 \rightarrow 00:10:03.430$ particularly in the second season

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:10:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.770$ following the introduction of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}432$ vaccine based solely on the idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}10{:}08{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}878$ infants seem to be the ones who are most

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:10:11.878 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.830$ infectious when infected with rotavirus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00:10:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.030$ And this provided an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}10{:}16{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17{.}790$ form of that model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}10{:}17{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19{.}836$ Validation for kind of future prediction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

 $00{:}10{:}19{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}705$ although of course models aren't

- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- 00:10:21.705 --> 00:10:24.325 perfect and we didn't do a great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:10:24.325 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.371$ job of reproducing kind of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}10{:}26.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.004$ relative size of the epidemics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:10:28.004 \rightarrow 00:10:30.069$ that a curd in in 2008 2009,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:10:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.770$ compared to 2000 seven 2008.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:10:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.816$ Although we did again get the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- 00:10:33.816 --> 00:10:34.839 timing quite similar,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:10:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.898$ but one of the things that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}10{:}36{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38{.}794$ predicted with this model was that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:10:38.794 \rightarrow 00:10:41.020$ ask the coverage within the under five
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:10:41.080 \rightarrow 00:10:43.360$ children population kind of increased.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}10{:}43.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.080$ You would start to see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}10{:}45.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.747$ Lower our sort of later and later,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00{:}10{:}47.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.010$ at epidemics of rotavirus occurring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074
- $00:10:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.000$ each year until you reach this region
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847669661045074

00:10:53.000 --> 00:10:55.608 in which you will see kind of 80

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}790$ to 90% coverage among the eligible

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}10{:}57{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}280$ in fant population and what was happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:11:00.347 \rightarrow 00:11:03.035$ here was that you actually the model is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:11:03.035 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.286$ predicting that you actually start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:11:05.286 \rightarrow 00:11:07.560$ get epidemics occurring every two years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:11:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.084$ or these biennial epidemics

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

00:11:09.084 --> 00:11:10.227 of rotavirus happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:11:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.135$ And this was something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:11:12.135 \rightarrow 00:11:14.040$ we predicted back in 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}11{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.120$ just after the vaccines have been rolled out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

00:11:17.120 --> 00:11:18.401 And sure enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

00:11:18.401 --> 00:11:21.390 if you look at more recent data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}11{:}21{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24{.}379$ this for example from New York City,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:11:24.380 \rightarrow 00:11:27.014$ in which we have rotavirus hospitalizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:11:27.014 \rightarrow 00:11:30.059$ encoded in blue here and lab confirmed

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:11:30.059 \rightarrow 00:11:32.483$ rotavirus cases within NYC in gold.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:11:32.490 \rightarrow 00:11:35.479$ Here you can see that sure enough,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:11:35.480 --> 00:11:37.136 beginning around 2011, 2013,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:11:37.136 \rightarrow 00:11:40.610$ you really are starting to see this pattern,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}11{:}40.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.730$ in which you're getting rotavirus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:11:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.426$ epidemics happening primarily in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:11:44.426 \rightarrow 00:11:47.032$ the odd numbered years and much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:11:47.032 \rightarrow 00:11:48.728$ lower rotavirus activity happening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}11{:}48.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.640$ In even numbered winter seasons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:11:50.640 \rightarrow 00:11:53.478$ and this was very consistent with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:11:53.478 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.090$ the predictions that we had for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}11{:}56{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}610$ our model in a shift in the in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}11{:}58{.}701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}231$ the disease to potentially kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}12{:}01{.}231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}761$ of slightly older age groups.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}12{:}03.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.470$ So another policy question that we've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:12:06.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.270$ addressed using these mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}08{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10{.}742$ models is the question around in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:12:10.742 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.342$ which Gabby eligible countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:12:12.350 \rightarrow 00:12:14.460$ Would it be potentially cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}14.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.570$ effective to introduce these novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}16.640$ --> $00{:}12{:}18.985$ typhoid conjugate vaccines which have NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}18.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.330$ just been developed and licensed

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}21.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.309$ and approved recommended by WHL

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}23.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.648$ within the past couple of years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:12:25.648 \rightarrow 00:12:27.788$ and in particular when introducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}27.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.644$ these vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}28.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.380$ which would be the best strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:12:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.200$ just to routinely vaccinate

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:12:33.282 \rightarrow 00:12:35.556$ infants at nine months of age?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:12:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.894$ Or to potentially also include a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}12{:}37{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39{.}827$ catch up campaign among children

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:12:39.827 \rightarrow 00:12:42.235$ up to five or 15 years of age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:12:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.688$ And so we evaluated these three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:12:44.688 \rightarrow 00:12:46.831$ different strategies using a dynamic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:12:46.831 \rightarrow 00:12:49.041$ model of typhoid transmission in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:12:49.041 --> 00:12:51.206 order to predict vaccine impact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:12:51.206 --> 00:12:52.846 over a 10 year period,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}12{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.820$ and generally found reductions that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:12:54.820 --> 00:12:57.340 vary slightly from country to country,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:12:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.782$ based primarily on the age structure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:12:59.782 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.003$ of the country,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:13:01.010 \rightarrow 00:13:03.030$ but that overall routine vaccination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:13:03.030 --> 00:13:06.732 plus a catch up campaign to 15 years of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:13:06.732 --> 00:13:08.752 age was predicted potentially decrease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:13:08.752 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.208$ typhoid fever incidents by around 58%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}13{:}11{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12{.}886$ Overall Gabby eligible countries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:13:12.886 \rightarrow 00:13:15.400$ And when we consider the costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}13{:}15{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17{.}627$ associated with vaccine introduction as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:13:17.627 \rightarrow 00:13:20.510$ well as the illness itself generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:13:20.510 \rightarrow 00:13:23.380$ what we found was that routine vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}13{:}23{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25{.}648$ Plus this catch up campaign to 15

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

00:13:25.648 --> 00:13:28.775 years of age was always the preferred

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

00:13:28.775 --> 00:13:30.859 strategy whenever introducing typhoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:13:30.859 \rightarrow 00:13:33.841$ vaccines in the 1st place was cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

00:13:33.841 --> 00:13:35.706 effective and the strategy was

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:13:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.038$ likely to be cost effective based

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40{.}094$ on willingness to pay thresholds

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}13{:}40.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.674$ or willingness to kind of adopt

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:13:42.674 \rightarrow 00:13:43.964$ A health strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00{:}13{:}43{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46{.}094$ That's somewhat reasonable in in 38

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:13:46.094 \rightarrow 00:13:48.910$ out of 54 Gabby eligible countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594

 $00:13:48.910 \rightarrow 00:13:49.734$ For example,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:13:49.734 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.034$ when the threshold set to 25% of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}13{:}53{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58{.}174$ GDP per capita, which is relatively low.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:13:58.174 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.150$ Threshold.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:13:59.150 --> 00:13:59.469 Um?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:13:59.469 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.702$ And one of the things that we've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}14{:}01.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.375$ done is to then take some of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}14{:}04{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}213$ results and to put it on a website
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:14:07.213 --> 00:14:09.028 that take on Typhoid website,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:14:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.151$ which is one of the main advocacy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- 00:14:11.151 --> 00:14:12.434 websites around typhoid vaccine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00:14:12.434 \rightarrow 00:14:14.667$ information that can be then used to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}14{:}14.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.268$ allow potential decision makers to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}14{:}16.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.780$ explore some of these results on their own.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}14{:}18.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19.950$ And then finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82375967502594
- $00{:}14{:}19{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22{.}290$ when it comes to this question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:22.373 \rightarrow 00:14:25.264$ of what's going to happen with COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:25.264 \rightarrow 00:14:28.976$ and the question of herd immunity around

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

00:14:28.976 --> 00:14:30.776 introducing COVID-19 vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.980$ And will we eventually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

00:14:32.980 --> 00:14:34.740 able to eliminate COVID-19?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.008$ My take on this is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:37.008 \rightarrow 00:14:39.190$ answer is maybe that is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.242$ but that's really going to require

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:41.242 \rightarrow 00:14:42.994$ massive undertaking in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00{:}14{:}42{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45{.}269$ models help us is to consider this

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

00:14:45.269 --> 00:14:46.710 critical proportion to vaccinate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

00:14:46.710 --> 00:14:50.095 which is going to be equal to 1 -- 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

00:14:50.095 --> 00:14:52.840 over are not an for for COVID-19 if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:52.918 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.599$ consider and are not of around 3:00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:55.600 \rightarrow 00:14:58.176$ this is where you get some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085

 $00:14:58.176 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.391$ these estimates of 60 to 70% of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- $00:15:00.391 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.096$ people needing to be vaccinated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- 00:15:02.100 --> 00:15:04.494 But this is not just the coverage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- $00:15:04.494 \rightarrow 00:15:05.968$ that's needed, it's really.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- $00{:}15{:}05{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}938$ The coverage plus the efficacy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- 00:15:07.938 --> 00:15:08.726 against transmission,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- $00{:}15{:}08{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}650$ which we don't really know and so For
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- 00:15:11.650 00:15:13.798 these reasons I think it's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- $00{:}15{:}13.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.579$ going to be be difficult to eliminate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- $00{:}15{:}16{.}579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19{.}327$ infection altogether with vaccination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- $00:15:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.850$ And so with that I'm going to end and thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844244605965085
- $00:15:22.941 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.056$ you again for the invitation to speak.