WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:11:48.2450000"
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.555 I like to do is first thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- $00{:}00{:}02{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04{.}000$  Dean Browning organizers for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- $00:00:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.392$  inviting me to participate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- 00:00:05.392 --> 00:00:07.480 I'm going to do a quick
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- $00{:}00{:}07{.}556$  -->  $00{:}00{:}09{.}060$  overview of this area.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- 00:00:09.060 --> 00:00:10.938 Some of the work that we've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- $00{:}00{:}10{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12{.}867$  been doing here at Yale and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- $00:00:12.867 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.667$  also give an overview at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- 00:00:14.667 --> 00:00:16.769 end of current approaches to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84344220161438
- $00:00:16.769 \rightarrow 00:00:19.154$  enhancing the vaccine responses in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868290853500366
- 00:00:19.160 --> 00:00:21.230 older individuals. OK, so this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868290853500366
- $00:00:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.300$  is kind of our demographic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863
- $00:00:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.124$  imperative. The aging of the Post War Two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863
- $00:00:26.130 \rightarrow 00:00:28.362$  baby boom generation in which the

 $00:00:28.362 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.317$  first members of this generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

00:00:30.317 -> 00:00:33.096 began turning 65 about 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:00:33.100 \rightarrow 00:00:35.668$  There showing the red in this US Census

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:00:35.668 \rightarrow 00:00:37.880$  data plot with increasing age and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00{:}00{:}37.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.338$  Y axis and you see how the shape of

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:00:41.338 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.222$  this population distribution changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

00:00:43.222 --> 00:00:45.286 substantially from 1980 to 2040,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:00:45.286 \rightarrow 00:00:47.146$  and it's estimated that everyday

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:00:47.146 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.340$  10,000 more members of the baby

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:00:49.340 \rightarrow 00:00:50.784$  boom generation are turning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

00:00:50.784 --> 00:00:53.759 65 is going to continue until around 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:00:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.974$  By 2035, it's estimated that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:00:55.974 \rightarrow 00:00:58.560$  number of adults over age 65 will

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00{:}00{:}58{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}038$  exceed that of children for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.874503016471863

 $00:01:01.038 \rightarrow 00:01:03.900$  first time in US history. Is

 $00:01:03.900 \rightarrow 00:01:06.917$  obviously has a lot of implications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}01{:}06{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09{.}075$  healthcare. Older adults are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:01:09.075 --> 00:01:11.230 increased risk for morbidity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:11.230 \rightarrow 00:01:12.950$  mortality from infectious diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.536$  and have decreased responses to vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:15.536 \rightarrow 00:01:18.120$  in a typical influenza vaccine season,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:01:18.120 --> 00:01:20.706 about 90% of the mortality occurs

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:20.706 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.308$  in older adults over age 65.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:23.310 \dashrightarrow 00:01:26.166$  The effectiveness of the seasonal vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}01{:}26.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.061$  This is CDC data extending back about

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}01{:}29{.}061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33{.}037$ 15 years and showing all comers can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:33.037 \rightarrow 00:01:35.682$  substantially reduced in older adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:35.690 \rightarrow 00:01:37.590$  sometimes with vaccine efficacies

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.965$  with a lot of variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:39.970 \rightarrow 00:01:42.826$  but sometimes extending into the teens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:42.830 \rightarrow 00:01:45.680$  or even worse in older individuals.

 $00{:}01{:}45.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.304$  And we've also seen a similar effect in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:49.304 \rightarrow 00:01:53.338$  terms of mortality during the SARS Co V2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.410$  COVID-19 pandemic with about 80%

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.026$  mortality occurring in older adults and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:01:58.026 \rightarrow 00:02:00.599$  it's encouraging as on Yemen mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}02{:}00{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03{.}631$  that the initial news seems to be good

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:03.709 \rightarrow 00:02:06.169$  from some of the vaccine platforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.490$  So how does age affect the immune response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12{.}423$  This seems to affect all phases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}02{:}12.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.990$  the immune response and the adaptive

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:02:14.990 --> 00:02:18.180 immune system is on the Emma alluded to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}02{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.250$  there is decreased antibody titers

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.078$  following vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}02{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.752$  correlating with decreased levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:22.752 \rightarrow 00:02:24.424$  of antibody secreting cells.

00:02:24.430 --> 00:02:26.872 Post vaccine work from defining Bloomberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}02{:}26.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.290$  and Daniel Frasca is also shown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:29.290 \rightarrow 00:02:30.910$  Decreased expression of activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.125$  to citing deaminase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.115$  an essential protein for heavy

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}02{:}34.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.100$  chain class switching and somatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:36.169 \rightarrow 00:02:38.061$  hypermutation affecting the quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:02:38.061 - 00:02:39.953 of the antibody response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}02{:}39{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41{.}874$  The T cell compartment is dominated

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:41.874 \rightarrow 00:02:44.299$  by the effects of thymic involution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.834$  which starts to occur in young adulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:46.840 \rightarrow 00:02:48.624$  resulting in markedly decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:48.624 \rightarrow 00:02:50.854$  cellularity in the thymus compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:50.854 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.787$  to a thymus from a child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.660$  And really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:53.660 \rightarrow 00:02:56.270$  in humans there's very little thymic

00:02:56.270 --> 00:02:59.026 activity to speak of in older adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:02:59.030 \rightarrow 00:03:01.750$  and the vast majority of the T slim

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:01.750 \rightarrow 00:03:03.529$  partners maintain exclusively by

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:03.529 \rightarrow 00:03:06.099$  homeostatic perforation in the periphery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.774$  This is obvious effects on the diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}326$  of the potential diversity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:11.326 \rightarrow 00:03:14.002$  vaccine response or response to infections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.002$  In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}15{.}002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17{.}482$  there have been multiple signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}17.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.358$  transduction defects identified in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}19{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21{.}278$  the T cell lineages affecting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:21.278 \rightarrow 00:03:23.869$  quality of T cell receptor signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:03:23.870 --> 00:03:24.664 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}24.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.252$  alterations in other pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:26.252 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.840$  such as a denosine sibling,

 $00:03:27.840 \rightarrow 00:03:29.830$  that influence survival of memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}931$  T cell populations and also the function

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}32{.}931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}085$  of follicular helper T cells which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:36.085 \rightarrow 00:03:38.265$  implicated in the antibody response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}38{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41{.}062$  In the innate immune system there's a similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:03:41.062 --> 00:03:42.840 paradoxical chronic inflammatory state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:42.840 \dashrightarrow 00:03:44.750$  termed inflammation by Claudio Franceschi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}44.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.916$  You'll see that in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:03:46.916 --> 00:03:49.233 it's not as vigorous as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}49{.}233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50{.}837$  acute reaction from infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}735$  but is characterized by elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:52.735 \rightarrow 00:03:55.066$  levels of cytokines and acute phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}03{:}55{.}066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}316$  reactants such as C reactive peptide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:03:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.749$  We've seen evidence of this in our own work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:04:00.750 \rightarrow 00:04:03.417$  This was work done several years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}04{:}03.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.826$  and we joined forces with Ruth

 $00:04:05.826 \rightarrow 00:04:08.438$  Montgomery's lab and this is data from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:04:08.440 --> 00:04:10.768 A primary human dendritic cells showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:04:10.768 --> 00:04:13.327 markedly elevated levels of pro inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:04:13.327 \rightarrow 00:04:15.219$  cytokine production at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}04{:}15{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17{.}320$  and these are levels that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}04{:}17{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19{.}350$  never saw in primary dendritic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:04:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.578$  cells from young individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}04{:}21.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.854$  And we think this chronic activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}04{:}23.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.394$  state contributed to an inability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:04:26.394 --> 00:04:28.784 further up regulate cytokine production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:04:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.910$  Appan encounter of a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:04:30.910 --> 00:04:32.606 pathogen or vac steam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00{:}04{:}32{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35{.}570$  so we model this by doing ex vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

00:04:35.570 --> 00:04:38.209 toll like receptor stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:04:38.210 \dashrightarrow 00:04:40.610$  And pretty much across the board,

00:04:40.610 --> 00:04:42.150 you'll see decreased cytokine

NOTE Confidence: 0.847443401813507

 $00:04:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.460$  production is cohort of about 100

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}04{:}44{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46{.}483$  young and older individuals following

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:04:46.483 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.918$  X people simulation and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:04:48.918 --> 00:04:51.378 similar data for the TLR induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:04:51.378 \rightarrow 00:04:53.010$  upregulation of costimulatory proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}04{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.010$  Now the mechanisms for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:04:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.210$  we're still investigating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:04:56.210 --> 00:04:57.930 I think it's multifactorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}310$  One area that we think may play a

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}05{:}01{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}802$  role is the UP regulation in self.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:05:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.210$  Some older adults of anti inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:05:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.220$  cytokines and you see this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:05:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.804$  Shown in this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}05{:}09{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11{.}784$  This is work from Sebastian

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:05:11.784 \rightarrow 00:05:13.959$  Mohanty in the lab showing that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:13.960 \rightarrow 00:05:15.672$  In monocytes evaluated following
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:15.672 \rightarrow 00:05:17.384$  influenza vaccination that day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.340$  two 728 there's a substantial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:19.340 \rightarrow 00:05:21.844$  elevation and out ten production and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:21.844 \rightarrow 00:05:24.259$  anti-inflammatory cytokine in the mileage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00{:}05{:}24.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.405$  The boss is ultimately focused
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- 00:05:26.405 --> 00:05:28.550 on a negative regulator valve.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:28.550 \dashrightarrow 00:05:30.690$  Ten production, called Dust blonde.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00{:}05{:}30.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.264$  This is a map kinase phosphatase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:33.264 \rightarrow 00:05:34.980$  and showed that dust.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:34.980 \rightarrow 00:05:36.812$  One phosphorylation was downregulated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:36.812 \dashrightarrow 00:05:39.102$  in monocytes and older adults
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:39.102 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.158$  and is provided with potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00{:}05{:}41{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43{.}444$  mechanism to explain or at least
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:05:43.512 \dashrightarrow 00:05:45.707$  a contributing factor to impaired.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:05:45.710 --> 00:05:48.188 Innate immune responses in older individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:05:48.190 --> 00:05:50.250 Now we've been very fortunate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}995$  Go to be one center in the niht IDs

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:05:52.995 --> 00:05:55.618 Human Immunology Project Consortium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}924$  where one center of nine on a country

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}05{:}58{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}950$  where in our second cycle of funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}01{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05{.}307$  were led by a David and Ruth as

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}394$  the peas and the purpose of the Hip

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}698$  See consortium is to evaluate or

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}10.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.560$  understand human immune responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.212$  particularly under conditions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:06:14.212 \rightarrow 00:06:16.277$  perturbation by infections or vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:06:16.280 --> 00:06:18.004 And as an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:06:18.004 \rightarrow 00:06:20.159$  we recently published an assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:06:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.799$  I think the largest assessment of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:06:22.799 --> 00:06:26.190 effects of age on influenza vaccine response,

 $00{:}06{:}26.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.345$  evaluating signatures over a 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:06:28.345 \rightarrow 00:06:30.500 / 5$  consecutive vaccine seasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:06:30.500 \rightarrow 00:06:33.080$  and these were about 135 young

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}33.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.585$  and older adults stratified into

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}529$  individuals with very strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}37{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40{.}110$  Or weak or absent antibody responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:06:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.970$  to vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:06:40.970 \rightarrow 00:06:43.040$  One of the signatures that emerge

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}43.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.737$  from this work is a mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:06:45.737 --> 00:06:46.987 Biogenesis signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:06:46.990 \rightarrow 00:06:49.140$  Kind of linking metabolic function

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}49{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50{.}430$  to immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}752$  We validated this at the protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}52.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.786$  level evaluating two nuclear encoded

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}54.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.264$  mitochondrial protein GHB 60 and a

 $00:06:57.264 \rightarrow 00:06:59.460$  component of succinate dehydrogenase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02{.}040$  If one looks at responders versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:07:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.760$  nonresponders that day seven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:07:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.775$  there were significant differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:07:05.775 \rightarrow 00:07:08.560$  the protein expression in young individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}07{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.452$  And more extensive differences in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:07:11.452 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.037$  looked at older vaccine responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}07{:}14.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.922$  compared to nonresponders at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:07:16.922 \dashrightarrow 00:07:19.230$  time points post vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:07:19.230 --> 00:07:19.601 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:07:19.601 - 00:07:21.456 there's a lot of heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:07:21.456 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.178$  in that we saw in this response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}07{:}24.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.840$  so this is work done in collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:07:26.840 --> 00:07:28.370 with Steve Kleinstein Slab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:07:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.930$  where I think it's complex and the reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:07:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.820$  Euro excellent or poor responder

- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:07:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.127$  seem to largely differ or diverge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- 00:07:36.127 -> 00:07:37.852 dependent on whether you were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:07:37.852 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.089$  older or in the young group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:07:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.945$  There was one area where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00{:}07{:}41{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43{.}429$  there was some commonality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:07:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.656$  Is is this gene cluster C,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:07:45.660 \rightarrow 00:07:48.332$  which seemed to be shared between young and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- 00:07:48.332 --> 00:07:50.848 older adults and being downregulated today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:07:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.750$  28 post vaccine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:07:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.398$  It was enriched for a lot of immunological
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00:07:54.398 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.788$  pathways and had three jeans.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- 00:07:56.790 -> 00:07:57.125 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00{:}07{:}57{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59{.}470$  McConnell again and the same dust one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- $00{:}07{:}59{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02{.}049$  protein I mentioned or Gina mentioned.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226
- 00:08:02.050 --> 00:08:02.370 Earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00:08:02.370 \rightarrow 00:08:04.610$  along with another member of the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}353$  this seemed to be shared in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

00:08:06.353 --> 00:08:08.450 kind of a regulatory capacity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827477276325226

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.660$  and we think the source of this is at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:11.735 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.210$  in part T cells, be cause we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.810$  a similar downregulation at 8:20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:15.810 \rightarrow 00:08:18.170$  Eighteen purified T cell populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.630$  So we finish by briefly discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}08{:}20.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.270$  the current approaches for

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}08{:}22.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.701$  augmenting and responses in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:24.701 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.585$  adults for influenza vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:08:26.590 - 00:08:28.800 The high dose vaccines available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:28.800 \rightarrow 00:08:31.030$  This is essentially preparation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.860$  four times the dose of hemagglutinin

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:33.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.950$  is in the standard dose vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:08:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.175$  There is now a quadrivalent

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:08:39.175 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.400$  preparation that is in use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:08:41.400 \rightarrow 00:08:43.812$  This was the original phase three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:08:43.812 \dashrightarrow 00:08:47.190$  trial led by Kip Talbot at Vanderbilt,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:08:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.926$  then rolled over 30,000 individuals and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}08{:}49{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52{.}622$  found a modest relative reduction of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:08:52.622 \dashrightarrow 00:08:55.056$  about 24% in laboratory confirmed influenza,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:08:55.056 \rightarrow 00:08:58.310$  and this is all evaluating older individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:08:58.310 --> 00:09:00.535 Another approach has been to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}09{:}00{.}535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02{.}760$  use a vaccine edge events.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:09:02.760 00:09:04.544 The other approved influenza
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:09:04.544 \rightarrow 00:09:07.220$  vaccine preparation in the US for.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}09{:}07{.}220$  -->  $00{:}09{:}09{.}896$  Older adults is standard dose vaccine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:09:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.130$  It's aggravated with Emma 59.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}09{:}12{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.818$  This is an agile meant as a squealing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:09:14.818 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.408$  emotion that perhaps enhances antigen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:17.408 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.256$  transport engine presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:09:19.260 --> 00:09:21.500 There's pretty good data for

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.740$  enhanced antibody responses in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:23.812 \rightarrow 00:09:25.947$  adults compared to standard oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:09:25.950 --> 00:09:26.347 Son,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}09{:}26.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28.729$  Edgerton vaccine and the hint in

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:28.729 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.560$  some studies that there may even be

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:31.560 \rightarrow 00:09:33.900$  a more broadly based immune response

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}09{:}33{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37{.}188$  in terms of antibody production to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:37.188 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.328$  heterologous influenza vaccine strains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.134$  When using the agitated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:09:41.134 --> 00:09:43.840 Compared to an agent in vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}09{:}43.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.731$  I should also comment on the management

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:46.731 \rightarrow 00:09:49.648$  using the varicella zoster subunit vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}09{:}49{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52{.}332$  The SONB edge event used a

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:09:52.332 --> 00:09:53.673 combination of lipid,

00:09:53.680 --> 00:09:55.910 a tiller four agonist combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:55.910 \dashrightarrow 00:09:57.694$  with US opponent glycoside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:57.700 \rightarrow 00:09:59.925$  and there's really quite impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:09:59.925 \rightarrow 00:10:02.657$  vaccine efficacy that does not seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}02.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.847$  to be substantially age dependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.922$  with almost essentially equivalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:06.922 \rightarrow 00:10:09.512$  efficacy and individuals over age

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:09.512 \rightarrow 00:10:12.517$  80 as compared to those in your 50s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}12{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14{.}662$  And there's a number of vents

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:14.662 \rightarrow 00:10:15.733$  are relatively small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:10:15.740 --> 00:10:16.098 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:16.098 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.530$  efficacy in the prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.604$  of postherpetic neural gia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}18.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.746$  So I think there's a promise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}20.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.899$  Therefore the use of agents to try

 $00:10:22.899 \rightarrow 00:10:25.080$  to overcome the limitations of age

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27{.}474$  in vaccines and a close out mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:27.474 \rightarrow 00:10:29.349$  something that's in the pipeline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:29.350 \rightarrow 00:10:30.064$  somewhat surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:30.064 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.206$  and we can talk about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}32{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34{.}716$  The use of M Tor inhibitors for

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:10:34.716 --> 00:10:35.790 augmenting immune responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:35.790 \rightarrow 00:10:37.937$  as many of you know, mtor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40{.}793$  in addition in terms of low dose rapamycin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.640$  has been used in animal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:10:42.640 --> 00:10:44.970 Model systems mooring systems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

00:10:44.970 --> 00:10:47.843 increase lifespan it probably does is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:47.843 \rightarrow 00:10:50.645$  by mimicking effects of caloric restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}50.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.639$  but this is work from restore Bio

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00{:}10{:}53.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.553$  and Joan Mannix Group that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

 $00:10:56.553 \rightarrow 00:10:59.637$  used two inhibitors of 1 downstream

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:10:59.637 --> 00:11:02.509 pathway event or torque one to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}11{:}02{.}509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05{.}190$  show in all older individuals that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:11:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.650$  use of both of these inhibitors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:11:07.650 \rightarrow 00:11:09.483$  resulted in increased antibody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}11{:}09{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}103$  responses following in Saint BENS
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}11{:}12{.}103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14{.}199$  influenza vaccination and also.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:11:14.200 --> 00:11:14.527 Surprisingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}11{:}14.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.489$  six week course of these inhibitors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:11:16.489 --> 00:11:18.302 resulted in a significant decrease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:11:18.302 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.842$  in restaurant tract infections
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:11:19.842 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.800$  observed over one year period.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:11:21.800 --> 00:11:23.635 So someone paradox too unsurprising
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}11{:}23.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.877$  to think of the biology of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}11{:}25.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.587$  what m tor inhibition is doing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00:11:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.660$  but it's something I think to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699

- $00{:}11{:}29.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.939$  keep in mind for the future.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:11:31.940 --> 00:11:33.077 And with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:11:33.077 --> 00:11:35.351 I'll thank all of our great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}11{:}35{.}351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36{.}340$  collaborators at Yale.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:11:36.340 --> 00:11:37.910 Many of which I mentioned.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- 00:11:37.910 --> 00:11:39.848 Also like to mention our long-term
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832725465297699
- $00{:}11{:}39{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}140$  collaboration with the Claude
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859437823295593
- 00:11:41.197 --> 00:11:43.249 Pepper Center at Yale, and wanted to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859437823295593
- $00:11:43.249 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.814$  thank everyone for your attention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859437823295593
- $00:11:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.240$  And thank you for inviting me.