WEBVTT NOTE duration:"00:16:14.1650000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:01.690$  So thanks for having me. NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235 00:00:01.690 --> 00:00:04.993 I want to spend my time really just NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:04.993 \rightarrow 00:00:07.037$  showing my personal experience NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235 00:00:07.037 --> 00:00:09.749 with the COVID-19 vaccine trial, NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00{:}00{:}09{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.582$  and I think it's just a great segue NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235 00:00:12.582 --> 00:00:14.753 from my doctor NGS presentation NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00{:}00{:}14.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.853$  and I really I, I, you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:17.853 \rightarrow 00:00:19.958$  I definitely understand the constraints NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:19.958 \rightarrow 00:00:21.809$  and challenges that she faced. NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:21.810 \dashrightarrow 00:00:24.498$  I also give a global view and tell NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:24.498 \rightarrow 00:00:27.439$  you what are some answered questions, NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.294$  unanswered questions that NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:29.294 \rightarrow 00:00:31.766$  we proceeded with files. NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235  $00:00:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.445$  So I'm bound by multiple

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- 00:00:33.445 > 00:00:34.115 confidentiality agreement.

 $00:00:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.059$  I must say with at least three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}00{:}36.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.820$  of the vaccine manufacturers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:00:37.820 \rightarrow 00:00:40.180$  but then of course having been does the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}00{:}40.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.696$  PIL for the Pfizer vaccine trial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:00:42.696 \rightarrow 00:00:44.870$  selected four through the code VPN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:00:44.870 --> 00:00:45.468 NIH network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:00:45.468 \rightarrow 00:00:47.262$  or the upcoming summer free trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}00{:}47.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.064$  and also work studies through

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:00:49.064 --> 00:00:50.588 our relationships with them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:00:50.590 \rightarrow 00:00:52.816$  I will include some data from press

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}00{:}52.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.280$  releases and preprint servers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:00:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.624$  unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}00{:}54.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.376$  But if you know that that's the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}00{:}57.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.193$  way to be able to give this audience

- $00{:}01{:}00{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02{.}479$  the latest information around the vaccine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00{:}01{:}02{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04{.}130$  So this is a busy slide,

00:01:04.130 --> 00:01:06.605 but I just want to make a few points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}01{:}06{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}842$  I think that we all appreciate the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:08.842 \rightarrow 00:01:11.006$  the phase three trials have really been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:01:11.010 --> 00:01:11.542 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:11.542 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.404$  that last leg of the relay race

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:13.404 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.127$  that really allow us to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:01:15.130 --> 00:01:16.780 you know we had safety data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.880$  from early phase trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}01{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.792$  But when you do phase three trials you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:01:19.792 --> 00:01:22.007 doing in 10s of thousands of participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.612$  So you start to detect things

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:23.612 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.005$  that are probably less common

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}01{:}25{.}005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26{.}637$  in smaller free studies and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:01:26.637 --> 00:01:28.330 allows you to assess you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00:01:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.735$  some of the great immunogenicity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00:01:29.735 \dashrightarrow 00:01:31.140$  results which really look great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00:01:31.186 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.456$  for many of these candidates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00:01:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.386$  How well they would actually do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00:01:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.682$  With preventing covid disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00:01:35.682 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.974$  and for most people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00:01:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.276$  the primary endpoint was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- 00:01:38.276 --> 00:01:39.248 was symptomatic disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- 00:01:39.250 --> 00:01:41.890 so you know you know you know being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00:01:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.789$  appearing in the trial it was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- 00:01:43.790 --> 00:01:46.086 You know you had no idea what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- 00:01:46.086 --> 00:01:47.350 the efficacy would be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- 00:01:47.350 --> 00:01:49.342 so it's kind of really heartwarming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- 00:01:49.342 --> 00:01:51.712 that both eyes and would turn on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00{:}01{:}51.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53.668$  both M RNA platforms have reported
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:53.668 \rightarrow 00:01:55.561$  very robust efficacy and I like

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:55.561 \dashrightarrow 00:01:57.356$  to say that each study validates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:57.356 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.072$  the other because they use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:01:59.072 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.115$  same platform and you really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:01.115 \rightarrow 00:02:02.249$  almost superimposable results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:02:02.250 -> 00:02:04.518 which which is great and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}04{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05{.}768$  based on this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:05.768 \rightarrow 00:02:08.160$  You know we all celebrated the emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:08.160 \dashrightarrow 00:02:10.890$  authorization that was for these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:02:10.890 --> 00:02:11.204 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}11{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13{.}088$  a lot of our participants in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:13.088 \rightarrow 00:02:14.576$  trials interpret the emergencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:14.576 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.508$  authorization as full approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}16{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18{.}390$  and that's kind of impacted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:02:18.390 --> 00:02:19.362 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}19.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.792$  God created some difficulties around

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235
- $00{:}02{:}21.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.648$  transitioning place bo patients to

 $00:02:23.648 \rightarrow 00:02:25.944$  do to real vaccine and just really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:25.944 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.857$  letting people know that they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:27.857 \rightarrow 00:02:30.068$  to remain on study and that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

00:02:30.068 --> 00:02:31.780 still collecting very critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}31.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.920$  information around much more longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.115$  term safety data and also long term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.346$  Have to see for the Pfizer study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:38.350 \rightarrow 00:02:40.590$  We've since expanded it both age wise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00:02:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.780$  down to we initially had done age 18 that 16.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}43.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.928$  We just concluded last week and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47{.}681$ rolling even younger individuals aged

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}47.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.785$ 12 to 15 and we had also included

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}49.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.400$  patients with well controlled HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929235

 $00{:}02{:}51{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53{.}344$  who wants to buy interval the rapy

 $00:02:53.350 \rightarrow 00:02:55.576$  and people who had other viral hepatitis. NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00{:}02{:}55{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}568$  I will say that there are upcoming NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:02:57.568 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.845$  studies looking at pregnant NOTE Confidence: 0.826 00:02:58.845 --> 00:03:00.675 women and even younger children, NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:00.680 \rightarrow 00:03:04.016$  and I know I will be you in Group will be NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:04.016 \rightarrow 00:03:06.984$  selected to or has been selected to work. NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:06.990 \rightarrow 00:03:08.915$  With the Pfizer study on pregnant women NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:08.915 \rightarrow 00:03:11.024$  that we are going to be generating NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00{:}03{:}11{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12{.}866$  data on some populations that were NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:12.925 \rightarrow 00:03:14.755$  left out of initial phase studies, NOTE Confidence: 0.826 00:03:14.760 --> 00:03:16.482 I also know modernize also going NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:16.482 \rightarrow 00:03:18.947$  to go down to 12 with their study. NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:18.950 \rightarrow 00:03:21.254$  Now we know that the Johnson and Johnson NOTE Confidence: 0.826 00:03:21.254 --> 00:03:23.138 Vaccine is a single dose vaccine, NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.928$  and given that the other candidates NOTE Confidence: 0.826  $00:03:24.928 \rightarrow 00:03:27.018$  are to those vaccines and we're still,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.826
- 00:03:27.020 --> 00:03:28.510 you know, they released Phase

00:03:28.510 --> 00:03:29.702 1 two immunogenicity data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:03:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.110$  which I think many of us have seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:03:32.110 - > 00:03:34.014 But I have heard that they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:03:34.014 \rightarrow 00:03:36.289$  planning a two dose phase three trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}03{:}36{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37{.}718$  so that makes me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:03:37.718 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.239$  Wonder if there's any preliminary data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:03:40.239 \rightarrow 00:03:42.993$  you know how well the single dose vaccine is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:03:43.000 - 00:03:45.672 Again, you know the bar has been set

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:03:45.672 \rightarrow 00:03:48.087$  really high with the M RNA vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}03{:}48.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.898$  and so it will be interesting to see you

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:03:50.898 --> 00:03:53.844 know how much lower the efficacy would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}03{:}53.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.111$  Well, AstraZeneca we all know the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}03{:}56{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58{.}260$  confusing data that was released around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:03:58.260 \rightarrow 00:04:00.682$  You know people who got two for

 $00:04:00.682 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.328$  those vaccines having 62% protection

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:04:02.328 --> 00:04:04.364 against covid as against 90% for

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}116$  those who got to happen four and at

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}04{:}07{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09{.}829$  least one of the missteps there was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.828$  You know later found to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}04{:}11{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14{.}486$  You know some dozing errors that were done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}04{:}14.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.444$  the clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:15.444 \rightarrow 00:04:18.411$  And so I hear that Astra Zeneca is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:04:18.411 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:04:20.433 to be collaborating with the Russian

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:04:20.433 --> 00:04:22.821 Group to look for boosting effect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}04{:}22.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.140$  that vaccine to the Astra Zeneca vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.120$  So to be interesting to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.479$  how that plays out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.478$  And then they're also the protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:30.478 \dashrightarrow 00:04:32.190$  based vaccines novaks just started

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:32.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.805$  to enroll in December 31st.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.826
- $00{:}04{:}33{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}000$  Frankly and Sanofi had some issues

00:04:36.000 --> 00:04:37.833 with their early phase results

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:37.833 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.225$  that were going to lead to a fist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:40.230 \rightarrow 00:04:42.659$  Those that we're supposed to participate in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.021$  however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:43.021 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.826$  it looks like for individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:44.826 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.820$  who were each greater than 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:46.820 \rightarrow 00:04:49.684$  that there may have been some as suboptimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:49.684 \rightarrow 00:04:51.328$  immunogenicity results in that setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.538$  So you know they've been a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:53.538 \rightarrow 00:04:55.435$  missteps with the vaccine clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}575$  trials they've been manufacturing issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:04:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.968$  They've been dozing issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:04:58.968 --> 00:05:01.050 but you know you have emerged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:05:01.050 - 00:05:03.522 and in some ways it's kind of set

 $00{:}05{:}03.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.680$  back timelines for week four vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:05:05.680 \rightarrow 00:05:08.319$  availability and just the amount of vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}05{:}08.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.860$  available to roll out to the public.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:05:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.430$  As we get the anticipate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:05:12.430 --> 00:05:13.020 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}05{:}13.020$  -->  $00{:}05{:}15.380$  no one of the vaccines that have received NOTE Confidence: 0.826

00:05:15.446 --> 00:05:17.390 FDA emergency use authorization will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:05:17.390 \dashrightarrow 00:05:19.968$  able to meet the US need anytime soon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}05{:}19{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22{.}346$  and so we're hopeful that some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}05{:}22{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24{.}678$  other vaccine candidates will be fine again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:05:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.250$  there's lots of data caps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:05:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.836$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}05{:}26.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.594$  and hopefully some of these data

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:05:28.594 \rightarrow 00:05:30.569$  caps will start to be addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:05:30.569 \dashrightarrow 00:05:31.893$  there in the independent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00:05:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.176$  In the investigator initiated

- NOTE Confidence: 0.826
- $00{:}05{:}33{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35{.}090$  studies that are trying to pick

 $00:05:35.145 \rightarrow 00:05:37.119$  off some of the specialty immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.826

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38{.}435$  compromised groups that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}05{:}38{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}904$  are discussing and and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:05:39.904 \dashrightarrow 00:05:42.056$  will start to get way more robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:05:42.056 \rightarrow 00:05:44.138$  Data on the vaccines, but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}05{:}44.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.962$  it's hear twarming to see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48{.}853$  FDA in their unit did not did mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}05{:}48.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.944$  that immune suppressed patients could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:05:50.944 \dashrightarrow 00:05:52.600$  have diminished responsiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:05:52.600 \rightarrow 00:05:55.246$  but there was no specific ideas around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57{.}738$  excluding individuals who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:05:57.738 --> 00:05:59.604 severely immune compromised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}610$  -->  $00{:}06{:}02{.}234$  So again, I think that we still continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:02.234 \rightarrow 00:06:04.594$  to generate data our six month follow

00:06:04.594 --> 00:06:06.899 up visits for our patients in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:06.899 \rightarrow 00:06:09.006$  study would be about March to April,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}09{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}362$  and so I think this would be critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}11.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.794$  because one of the pieces of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}13.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.810$  like gathering from this group is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:15.810 \rightarrow 00:06:17.748$  you know, just the durability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:06:17.748 --> 00:06:19.737 antibody responses and I have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:06:19.737 --> 00:06:21.317 slider to about that afterwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}21.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.024$  and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:22.024 \rightarrow 00:06:23.432$  some participants were enrolled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}23.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.449$  late in the initial data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:25.449 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.824$  we submitted to the FDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.524$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:27.524 \rightarrow 00:06:29.259$  HIV infected patients were recruited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}29{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}192$  Was the tail end the median duration

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}31.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.212$  of follow up for this subgroup is

 $00:06:33.212 \dashrightarrow 00:06:35.550$  really short and did not meet the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:35.550 \rightarrow 00:06:37.308$  month safety or longer term efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:37.308 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.770$  will be interesting as we have longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.406$  data we make will generate some more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}42.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.182$  data about some groups that were left out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47{.}494$  So we've talked about some of the missteps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:47.494 \dashrightarrow 00:06:49.689$  with some of the other vaccine trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:49.690 \rightarrow 00:06:51.155$  Pay attention to the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}51{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}957$  in endpoints to the Johnson and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:06:52.957 \dashrightarrow 00:06:54.685$  Johnson vaccine is not looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}54.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.990$  the symptomatic covid disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}676$  but the subset of people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}57.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.290$  moderate to severe covid disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}916$  So at some point making comparisons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:00.916 \rightarrow 00:07:02.590$  between endpoints may be challenging,

 $00:07:02.590 \rightarrow 00:07:04.438$  although you know many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:04.438 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.670$  also have secondary endpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:05.723 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.385$  that may allow a good comparison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.046$  but you really want to pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:07:09.046 --> 00:07:10.690 attention to what's being measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:10.690 \rightarrow 00:07:12.190$  and as you're aware of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:07:12.190 --> 00:07:13.918 is also interested in knowing if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:13.918 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.550$  it is vaccine protect against.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:07:15.550 --> 00:07:17.602 Is symptomatic disease and I'll mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:17.602 \dashrightarrow 00:07:20.278$  how we're doing it to Pfizer file again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:07:20.280 --> 00:07:21.644 Like into rightly mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}07{:}21.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.125$  the window is really closing on place bo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:07:24.125 --> 00:07:25.975 controlled trial as vaccines have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}07{:}25{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28{.}385$  become available and so you know for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:07:28.385 --> 00:07:30.415 some of the those under dating earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:30.420 \rightarrow 00:07:32.724$  phase who don't necessarily have a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228
- $00:07:32.724 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.595$  competitive advantage with the lead

00:07:34.595 --> 00:07:36.842 candidates like the M RNA is 95% efficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:36.842 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.838$  Great safety profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}07{:}37.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.222$  It's going to be stifling upcoming passing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:40.222 \rightarrow 00:07:42.250$  candidates and that's just the reality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}07{:}42.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.735$  And there's also issues with the vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:44.735 \dashrightarrow 00:07:47.049$  rollout as informed by the vaccine data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.000$  So when we get more vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:07:49.000 --> 00:07:49.650 candidates available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.925$  let's say you have one at 70%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.158$  effective versus 95% effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}07{:}53.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.829$  How do we decide what to offer some one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:07:55.830 \rightarrow 00:07:58.430$  Or do we take away choice from individuals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:07:58.430 --> 00:08:00.055 At some point you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:08:00.055 --> 00:08:01.030 commented single issue,

 $00:08:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.650$  single dose versus double doors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:08:02.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.250$  and the logistics of those would be critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:08:05.250 --> 00:08:06.875 Again, wait against efficacy but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

00:08:06.875 --> 00:08:07.850 importantly unwanted thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}08{:}07{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10{.}306$  I want to leave is we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:08:10.306 \rightarrow 00:08:12.398$  herd immunity being the ultimate goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:08:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.030$  If we have a vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:08:14.030 \rightarrow 00:08:15.655$  does 50% effective even vaccinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}08{:}15.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.990$ 100% of population may not get us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:08:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.620$  To the herd immunity targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}08{:}19.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.664$  So I think you know efficacy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00:08:21.664 \rightarrow 00:08:23.878$  important with also as a key variable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8139228

 $00{:}08{:}23.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.798$  with achieving herd immunity and it'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:08:25.863 \rightarrow 00:08:27.643$  be interesting to see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}08{:}27.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.067$  upcoming vaccine studies form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:08:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.700$  And we've talked about less now.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626
- 00:08:32.700 --> 00:08:34.772 I'm not going to say too much

 $00:08:34.772 \rightarrow 00:08:36.117$  about this, but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:08:36.117 \dashrightarrow 00:08:38.279$  the ad 26 is the platform that Johnson

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:08:38.279 --> 00:08:40.415 and Johnson Vaccine uses an amarone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:08:40.420 \rightarrow 00:08:43.093$  one of the common questions that we get is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:08:43.100 --> 00:08:45.165 you know, if I get a vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:08:45.170 --> 00:08:47.546 should I wear a mask and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:08:47.550 \rightarrow 00:08:49.662$  you know, why should I continue to wear

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:08:49.662 \dashrightarrow 00:08:51.800$  a mask and one of the key questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:08:51.800 --> 00:08:53.964 is that you know we haven't quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:08:53.964 \rightarrow 00:08:55.744$  demonstrated robustly in the trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:08:55.744 --> 00:08:57.970 because I didn't look for them or

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:08:57.970 --> 00:08:59.480 haven't really studied it robustly

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}208$  in the phase three human trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.834$  But there's, you know.

 $00:09:02.834 \dashrightarrow 00:09:05.270$  Robust and elegant animal data from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:05.270 \rightarrow 00:09:08.686$  you know the the ad 26 vectored vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:08.690 \dashrightarrow 00:09:11.679$  as well as the M RNA vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:11.680 \rightarrow 00:09:14.404$  About, you know when you challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:14.404 \dashrightarrow 00:09:16.799$  nonhuman primates with virus put in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}09{:}16.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.362$  particularly exposed to lower Airways and NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:09:19.362 --> 00:09:21.070 intranasally after vaccinating individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:09:21.070 --> 00:09:23.210 So a post vaccine challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}09{:}23.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.894$  locate the antibody responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:09:24.894 --> 00:09:26.578 TC responses have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:09:26.578 --> 00:09:28.329 characterized in these animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.038$  but also evaluating if

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:09:30.038 --> 00:09:31.746 there's after Mel reputation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.990$  both in upper and lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.142$  Always in this setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}09{:}35{.}142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37{.}506$  and I think the data from both a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626
- $00:09:37.506 \dashrightarrow 00:09:39.522$  viral vector and the M RNA vaccine

 $00:09:39.522 \rightarrow 00:09:41.603$  suggest that the vaccines definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:09:41.603 --> 00:09:43.335 do decrease viral replication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:43.340 \rightarrow 00:09:45.350$  but in upper and lower Airways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.840$  little bit of a discordance

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.832$  between these two studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:49.840 \dashrightarrow 00:09:52.282$  At 26 nonhuman primate studies suggested

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:52.282 \rightarrow 00:09:55.291$  there may be greater protection of lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:09:55.291 \dashrightarrow 00:09:57.913$  story track and upper estimate tracked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:09:57.920 --> 00:10:00.160 and Marni study showed little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:10:00.160 --> 00:10:01.500 Greater protection against virus

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}175$  replication in the operator truck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:10:03.180 --> 00:10:03.517 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}03{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05{.}539$  storage to effect but put together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}556$  these inform that the vaccines should

00:10:07.556 --> 00:10:08.900 protect against asymptomatic infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}08{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12{.}090$  The question for me is not if they do but

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}12{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15{.}273$  by but to what degree and for the phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15{.}984$  Three trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}096$  For example in the Pfizer trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:18.096 \rightarrow 00:10:20.034$  we've added on checking antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}20.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.979$  to non vaccine induced antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:21.979 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.343$  and so in follow up and so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:10:24.343 --> 00:10:26.704 would be one way we can assess for

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}26.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.720$  those who did not have symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

00:10:28.720 --> 00:10:29.672 Did they generate antibodies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}29.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.862$  The virus is the marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:30.862 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.130$  of asymptomatic infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.570$  so we're going to learn so

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}33{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34{.}530$  much more about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.450$  And of course it will have public health.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626
- $00{:}10{:}38.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.106$  One of the important thing to mention is

 $00{:}10{:}41.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.458$  a differential response among the elderly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:43.460 \rightarrow 00:10:45.608$  This comparison of three different vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:45.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.038$  This is the DN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}47.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.823$  T162V2 is the Pfizer vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.694$  This is there no vaccine and add 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:10:51.700 \rightarrow 00:10:54.484$  I'm just as an example of a viral

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}54.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.620$  vector vaccine I think across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}56.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.109$  board as you move across the spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}10{:}59{.}109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02{.}069$  of age and like has been shown with

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:11:02.069 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.656$  almost every other vaccine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}11{:}03.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.480$  older individuals tend to have at

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:11:05.540 \rightarrow 00:11:07.308$  least numerically lower antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}11{:}07{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08{.}634$  titers and neutralization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00:11:08.640 \rightarrow 00:11:09.027$  Latest,

 $00:11:09.027 \rightarrow 00:11:11.349$  you know that's pretty clear now

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}11{:}11{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}521$  there's differences in the neutralization

NOTE Confidence: 0.78744626

 $00{:}11{:}13.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.405$  as says and not necessarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:11:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.216$  Compare one to another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:11:19.216 --> 00:11:21.637 but I think the concern still remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:11:21.637 \rightarrow 00:11:24.066$  that older individuals may have a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:11:24.066 \rightarrow 00:11:26.782$  bit of diminished response. Good enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}11{:}26.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.204$  Both modern and Pfizer studies have shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}11{:}29{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31{.}308$  robust protection independent of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:11:31.310 \rightarrow 00:11:32.426$  which is reassuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:11:32.426 --> 00:11:34.286 But then, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:11:34.286 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.774$  we follow people overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:11:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.838$  It interesting to see if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:11:37.838 --> 00:11:39.210 know trajectory's of antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40{.}629$  levels change overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}11{:}40.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.339$  However, we still know that you know

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:11:43.339 \rightarrow 00:11:45.345$  the quantitative level of antibody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}11{:}45{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47{.}270$  does not always translate to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- 00:11:47.270 --> 00:11:48.674 They're correlated degree of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:11:48.674 \rightarrow 00:11:50.078$  immunity against the disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}11{:}50{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}288$  So there's interesting data point to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}11{:}52.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.745$  study for the clinical relevance is not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}11{:}54.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.450$  always clear and we can see that forward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:11:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.205$  The GSK Sanofi study that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}11{:}59{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00{.}960$  we were to participate in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:12:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.684$  The study has been delayed because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:12:03.684 \rightarrow 00:12:06.322$  of the underperformance of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:12:06.322 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.926$  individuals who are older than age 50.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:12:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.506$  Above age 60 did not have levels that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}12{:}11{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13{.}920$  approached what every one is using us to bar,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:12:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.480$  which is the neutralization antibody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:15.480 \rightarrow 00:12:16.824$  Titers in convalescent individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:12:16.824 --> 00:12:19.630 I think I have a slider to left and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.762$  many of us have heard about the new NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:12:21.762 --> 00:12:23.910 strains and concerns about the vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}12{:}23{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26{.}086$  so we know that the strain that's done

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}12{:}26.086$  -->  $00{:}12{:}29.037$  a lot of press is the UK strain because NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:29.037 \rightarrow 00:12:31.057$  it does predominated infections in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:31.057 \rightarrow 00:12:33.581$  part of the world and it's being identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}12{:}33{.}581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36{.}069$  But here in the US and other areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:12:36.070 --> 00:12:36.726 not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}12{:}36.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.694$  but even the more scary South

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:38.694 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.930$  African variant which has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.482$  You know single viruses

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}12{:}41.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.646$  simulating multiple mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.820$  including multiple tations

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.380$  in the spike protein,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:12:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.096$  and what that means for for vaccines.

00:12:48.100 --> 00:12:48.874 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}12{:}48.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.809$  studies have shown that certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:50.809 \rightarrow 00:12:52.769$  mutations matter more than others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:52.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.710$  especially those that occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:12:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.262$  the receptor binding domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}760$  And what that does to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:12:59.760 --> 00:13:00.813 Susceptibility to antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:13:00.813 --> 00:13:01.866 So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}01{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}598$  the E484K has been identified as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}03{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05{.}304$  a mutation that can significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}05{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06{.}798$  have negative impacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:13:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.374$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}07{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09{.}383$  looking at the figure at the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:13:09.383 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.305$  on a neutralization for using

 $00{:}13{:}11{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12{.}881$  convales cent sera from individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:13:12.881 \rightarrow 00:13:15.249$  who have recovered from the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:13:15.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.010$  the good news for now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:13:17.010 --> 00:13:18.418 based on Pfizer data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:13:18.418 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.530$  this is from a preprint server.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}20{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23{.}015$  It appears that even the mutant seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}23.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.173$  to be neutralized equally and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}25{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}567$  the non mutant version of the virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}27.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.778$  which is good, so suggesting that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:13:29.780 --> 00:13:31.455 At least the Pfizer vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}31{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}795$  should work about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:13:32.800 --> 00:13:34.460 There's a flurry of activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:13:34.460 \rightarrow 00:13:36.480$  going on trying to identify this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:13:36.480 --> 00:13:38.573 I do know that discuss South African

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39{.}879$  group are currently studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

00:13:39.879 - 00:13:41.287 convalescent sera from people

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}13{:}41.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.451$  who recovered from Covid as well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}13{:}43{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45{.}161$  as their server from vaccinated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:13:45.161 \rightarrow 00:13:46.865$  individuals against the new variant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:13:46.865 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.875$  that they have in their study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:13:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.728$  And they promised that will have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}13{:}50.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.229$  the some data in the upcoming weeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:13:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.514$  So for now, fine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:13:54.514 \rightarrow 00:13:57.590$  But we may have to worry in the future.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00:13:57.590 \rightarrow 00:14:00.406$  The good news though, is that you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- 00:14:00.410 --> 00:14:00.707 Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- 00:14:00.707 --> 00:14:02.489 vaccines can be adapted to new
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}14{:}02{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}790$  strains as they occur.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}14{:}03.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05.656$  I'm not aware of what regulatory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}14{:}05.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.778$  process will need to be done there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527
- $00{:}14{:}07{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}453$  but I do know following this part
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:14:09.453 \rightarrow 00:14:11.333$  is you know someone else who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:14:11.333 \rightarrow 00:14:13.168$  addressing some of the regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.82055527

 $00:14:13.168 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.902$  processes around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}14{:}13.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.094$  that. Monoclonal antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:14:15.094 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.390$  may be threatened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:14:16.390 \rightarrow 00:14:18.896$  Now the next question we get is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:14:18.900 \rightarrow 00:14:21.060$  was the durability of vaccine responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:14:21.060 --> 00:14:23.202 We don't have our six six

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}14{:}23.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.010$  month data from Pfizer yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:14:25.010 \rightarrow 00:14:27.516$  I tried to get that for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:14:27.520 --> 00:14:28.952 but I can't disclose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:14:28.952 --> 00:14:30.742 everything but I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:14:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.660$  one that's published is from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:14:32.660 \dashrightarrow 00:14:34.967$  the Moderna study and this shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37{.}249$  that at least at four months you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:14:37.249 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.746$  know the 120 days that both you

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00:14:39.746 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.868$  know antibody levels as well as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- 00:14:41.868 --> 00:14:43.260 new tab neutralization geometric
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00:14:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.470$  mean titers appear to be robust.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00{:}14{:}45{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46{.}918$  Even so far out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- 00:14:46.918 --> 00:14:48.004 From you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00:14:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.686$  from vaccination,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00{:}14{:}48.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.052$  and this was compared to individuals who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- 00:14:51.052 --> 00:14:53.638 had convalesced from you know about a month,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- 00:14:53.640 --> 00:14:55.500 median time from COVID-19 and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00:14:55.500 \rightarrow 00:14:57.280$  can see the trajectories overtime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- 00:14:57.280 --> 00:14:58.258 Still seeing results.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00{:}14{:}58{.}258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}250$  In some cases a little bit of a decline,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00{:}15{:}01{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}910$  but they seem robust overtime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- 00:15:02.910 --> 00:15:04.565 Again, the correlation of protection
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- 00:15:04.565 --> 00:15:05.889 are not clearly known,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:05.890 \rightarrow 00:15:07.520$  but these trajectories are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09{.}546$  reassuring and I think you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}09{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}914$  conservative estimates are that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:10.914 \rightarrow 00:15:13.169$  immunity may last a couple of years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}13{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16{.}008$  probably up to two to three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}16.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.340$  T three years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:15:18.340 --> 00:15:20.296 So I'm summary is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:15:20.300 --> 00:15:20.640 again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}20.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.340$  the multiple phase three clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:22.340 \rightarrow 00:15:24.070$  trials which were very happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.610$  to continue to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:15:25.610 --> 00:15:27.170 battle by early phase data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.964$  But we're still doing a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:28.964 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.945$  of work to determine what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}30{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}730$  durability of me responses are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:32.730 \rightarrow 00:15:34.465$  The new variants are concerning

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917
- $00{:}15{:}34{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}538$  and will will continue to follow

 $00:15:36.538 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.456$  to see if you know either this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:38.456 \rightarrow 00:15:40.108$  ones or future emerging variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:40.108 \rightarrow 00:15:42.190$  would end up being a problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.614$  for our vaccine approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:15:43.614 --> 00:15:43.972 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:15:43.972 --> 00:15:45.762 just certain groups like older

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:45.762 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.325$  individuals that appear to at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:47.325 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.477$  least have numerically lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

00:15:48.480 --> 00:15:48.996 Antibody responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}48.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.802$  but for now it doesn't appear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}50{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}290$  they are clinically significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}036$  at least with the lead candidates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:15:54.036 \rightarrow 00:15:55.510$  that have reported your data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}928$  and it's reassuring to see that

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00{.}344$  some of the population that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:16:00.344 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.892$  left out of the phase three trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}16{:}02{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04{.}295$  Hopefully will start to generate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}16{:}04.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.330$  data for some of our patients whom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}16{:}06{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}360$  we wonder how you know how protected

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00{:}16{:}08{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10{.}130$  they would be with the vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136917

 $00:16:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.162$  So I'll stop here and thanks for having me.