WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:01:43.2960000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.390$ Offered by the smile or Liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.290$ cancer program and we want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.470$ Go in the next three months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00{:}00{:}10.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.180$ go through some of the aspects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.948$ are more novel in the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:17.948 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.600$ able to sell a carcinoma liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053

 $00:00:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.310$ and we asked some of our faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797424852848053 00:00:25.310 --> 00:00:26.370 like Doctor NOTE Confidence: 0.723835051059723

00:00:26.370 --> 00:00:27.960 Billingslea, another Madoff

NOTE Confidence: 0.723835051059723

 $00:00:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.080$ and Doctor Stein too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.482$ Teach us the novelty in their respective

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}00{:}33.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.759$ field as you know about the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:00:36.759 --> 00:00:39.916 carcinoma is on the rise is becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:39.916 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.255$ one of the most frequent and and

 $00:00:43.255 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.096$ little two models on our latitudes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:46.096 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.250$ And what is important to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.930$ is that treatment of hepatocellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:52.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.209$ carcinoma is complex is a moving target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.886$ And then it takes a village.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:00:57.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.394$ Here you see some of the our colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:01.394 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.805$ working with us to go through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}01{:}04.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.250$ different possible approaches to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}01{:}07.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.504$ patient an I have to tell you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:10.504 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.108$ this picture are in defect with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:13.108 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.916$ There are many other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.620$ Colleagues said they work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:17.620 --> 00:01:19.780 us with this patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.750$ but today today we have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.373$ pleasure of having Doctor Kevin

 $00:01:25.373 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.083$ Billingslea carrying as a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}01{:}28.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.660$ pedigree in terms of these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:31.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.846$ they went to Stanford and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:34.846 --> 00:01:38.337 John Hopkins enjoy in the surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:38.337 --> 00:01:41.477 residency at the Oregon Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:41.480 --> 00:01:45.665 And after a fellowship at NCI an MSA KCC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.322$ he joined the faculty at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:48.322 --> 00:01:50.669 University of Washington Ann and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}01{:}50.669 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}01{:}53.129$ became Chief of Surgical Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:01:53.130 --> 00:01:56.385 Focusing of effort to be Jerry surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.645$ an anchoring Carissa for almost 16 year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:01:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.790$ and then we were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.693$ Fortunate enough to be able to recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

00:02:05.693 --> 00:02:10.325 him as a Chief medical officer of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:10.325 \dashrightarrow 00:02:14.478$ Smiler Hospital Ann You Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.674$ And he came at the beginning of the year,

 $00{:}02{:}18.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.464$ and was instrumental in all our

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}02{:}21.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.320$ transformation that we went

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:23.406 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.686$ through because of the covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.630$ And but in a way that made

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.290$ as reminding of our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.559$ so that nobody will be left behind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.799$ That. In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:35.799 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.170$ if I have to have had a personal note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}02{:}40.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.448$ is a really pleasant colleague. It's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}02{:}43.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.766$ It's just a joy working with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}02{:}46.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.480$ And you always participate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.892$ our two more boards with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00{:}02{:}52.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.968$ extremely helpful insight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008 00:02:54.968 --> 00:02:56.980 And so. NOTE Confidence: 0.791841506958008

 $00:02:56.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.850$ Without further

 $00:02:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.030$ ado, I'll I'll, I'll leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.809668362140656

 $00{:}03{:}00.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.200$ the stage to Doctor Billingslea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706543207168579 00:03:06.300 --> 00:03:06.880 Coming. NOTE Confidence: 0.819882392883301

 $00:03:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.729$ Oreo, thank you very much for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.819882392883301

00:03:15.729 --> 00:03:18.030 really gracious introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819882392883301

 $00:03:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.526$ Let me just make sure that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819226145744324

00:03:22.550 --> 00:03:25.118 Everyone seeing my slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:03:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.840$ Has that look under folks? Yep, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:03:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.404$ Well, you know, thank you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:03:38.404 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.110$ It's been an absolute absolute pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}03{:}41.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.235$ being here at yell for the past year and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:03:44.315 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.582$ am delighted to be here in a leadership role,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:03:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.270$ but I will say one of the highlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:03:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.140$ of my clinical life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:03:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.408$ which is very important to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}03{:}54.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.576$ has been the opportunity to participate

 $00{:}03{:}56.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.580$ in this liver tumor program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

00:03:58.580 --> 00:04:01.226 You know, under Mario's leadership and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:01.226 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.071$ the contribution of many other people, this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:04.071 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.437$ Program really represents that what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:07.437 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.891$ are aiming to develop in all of our of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:11.891 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.319$ our disease sites and disease centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.360$ which is a collaborative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.910$ multidisciplinary team that meets regularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:19.910 \dashrightarrow 00:04:22.326 \text{ plans treatment prospectively collects}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:22.326 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.950$ data and continuously examines what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:26.032 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.888$ doing to improve and optimize treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:28.888 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.229$ for patients and moving the field forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.631$ So it's it's it really is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:34.631 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.460$ a pleasure and an honor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

00:04:36.460 --> 00:04:39.575 So I'm a surgical oncologist by background,

00:04:39.580 --> 00:04:41.089 in clinical practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}41.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.107$ and is Martin shared I've spent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.429$ The majority of my time in the past over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.943$ Close to 20 years focusing on both

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:51.943 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.360$ liver cancer and pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.237$ but primarily liver cancer in liver surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:04:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.907$ and I think the management of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00{:}04{:}59.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.273$ group of patients with a paddle

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

00:05:02.273 --> 00:05:04.559 cellular carcinoma in some ways is

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:04.559 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.295$ the most complicated set of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:07.295 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.392$ I'm involved with because not only is

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:10.392 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.036$ the surgery technically complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.910$ will touch on that a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

00:05:14.910 --> 00:05:17.196 but the decision-making about who to

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:17.196 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.510$ operate window operate who transplants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.348$ And who to treat with alternative?

00:05:22.350 --> 00:05:23.296 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

00:05:23.296 --> 00:05:25.188 oblativa or arterial therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:25.188 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.080$ is also extremely complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.856$ and we'll talk more as we go along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.171$ But it it very much involves complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.746532142162323

 $00:05:34.171 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.590$ multidisciplinary decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:05:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.600$ You know, so was Mario

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}05{:}41.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.544$ indicated in the introduction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:05:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.955$ Apparel Center carcinoma remains one

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:05:45.955 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.926$ of our most daunting global public

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:05:48.926 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.824$ health challenges in the cancer arena.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}05{:}51.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.876$ And if you look at this graphic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}05{:}55.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.480$ The incidence of the disease is going up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.544$ Only in North American,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:03.544 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.124$ but basically around the world.

 $00:06:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.742$ And like many diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}06{:}07.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.891$ there is a greater burden of disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:06:10.891 --> 00:06:13.487 in under represented minorities

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:13.487 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.434$ and vulnerable populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.800$ Although you know the overall incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.497$ is creeping up in almost across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.240$ You know this is to a large degree due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:27.333 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.435$ the the underlying burden of viral hepatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}06{:}31.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.838$ principally hepatitis C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:32.838 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.634$ Here in North America and Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:06:35.640 --> 00:06:37.980 hepatitis B more in Asia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504 00:06:37.980 --> 00:06:38.804 But really, NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:38.804 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.864$ the looming crisis on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:40.864 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.787$ horizon is the explosion of non

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:06:43.787 --> 00:06:45.919 alcoholic fatty liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.255$ or so-called knaflich from a

 $00:06:48.255 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.590$ variety of sources and etiologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.859$ But Nah fled is on track to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}06{:}53.860 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}06{:}56.255$ Be the largest and most

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:06:56.255 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.171$ significant indication for liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:06:58.171 --> 00:07:00.160 transplant in the coming years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.652$ and it is probably going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:02.652 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.390$ the most prominent underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:04.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.360$ contributor to a parasailer carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.140$ particularly as our antiviral

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.530$ therapies improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.858$ So my focus today is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:14.858 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.769$ on surgical therapy and you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}17.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.440$ Where do we stand in surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.009$ treatment for for HTC in 2021?

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504 00:07:23.010 --> 00:07:23.455 Well,

 $00:07:23.455 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.570$ I think there are many things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}26.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.233$ we can be proud of and be excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:30.233 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.349$ about and are causes for optimism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.948$ Surgical treatment for HCC as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:35.948 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.635$ as other liver tumors have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:38.635 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.245$ developed in the past 2020 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.466$ In a in a number of ways we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}43.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.372$ seen a renaissance related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}46.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.648$ introduction of minimally invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:48.648 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.910$ techniques for liver surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}07{:}50.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.123$ I'm going to talk about both laparoscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:54.123 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.648$ and robotic approaches in some detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.122$ The way we action surgically come

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:07:59.122 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.370$ through the liver and divide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:01.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.082$ deliver surgically has changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504 00:08:03.082 --> 00:08:03.938 You know,

 $00:08:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.792$ we used to use a lot of crush plant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.272$ Now we almost do everything with

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:10.272 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.883$ energy sources and and more refined

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:12.883 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.523$ techniques such as acusa or herby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.060$ Our understanding of the anesthesia

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.084$ anesthesiology anesthetic component of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:08:20.084 --> 00:08:22.348 this patient management is improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}08{:}22.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.463$ and we've minimized look blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:24.463 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.678$ loss through the use of low CVP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}08{:}26.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.162$ Anaesthesia taken together

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:28.162 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.632$ these developments as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:08:30.632 --> 00:08:32.290 improved surgical training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}08{:}32.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.650$ expertise of lowered overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.600$ surgical mortality into the range

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.924$ of 1 to 3% after liver resection.

 $00:08:40.924 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.260$ Experience centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:08:42.260 --> 00:08:42.736 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:42.736 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.068$ due to the nature of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.450$ relatively few patients who present

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:08:48.450 --> 00:08:50.830 with HTC are surgical candidates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.078$ and this is due to the severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

00:08:54.078 --> 00:08:56.043 underlying liver disease of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:56.043 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.967$ any variety of etiologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}08{:}57.970 --> 00{:}08{:}58.920 \ {\rm viral\ hepatitis},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:08:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.245$ as well as the extent of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}09{:}02.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.150$ Sometimes patients have smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.575$ early stage disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.225$ but it is not uncommon for us to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:09.225 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.369$ extensive and infiltrating disease with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.695$ Portal vein invasion and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00{:}09{:}14.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.662$ sites of disease in the liberal

 $00{:}09{:}17.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.866$ or extra padick disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.874$ So this combination of things often limits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.520$ The surgical candidacy of a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.540$ of of many of the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.808$ So in my talk this evening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.048$ I'm going to really highlight a

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:34.048 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.736$ couple of a number of key areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.802272856235504

 $00:09:36.736 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.228$ of our current status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:09:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.792$ We're going to spend some time talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:09:40.792 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.115$ about the fact that this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:09:43.115 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.419$ is a dual challenge for surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:09:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.700$ but also for every specialty who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}09{:}47.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50.672$ involved in the care of this group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}09{:}50.672 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.213$ patients because we have to treat the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:09:53.213 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.577$ underlying liver disease and the cancer

 $00:09:55.577 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.420$ were not just on cologist, we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}09{:}58.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.665$ Hepatology on cologist dealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:09:59.665 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.520$ with both disease entities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:10:01.520 --> 00:10:04.922 You know, very few of our patients are nuts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.830$ Erotics in the western world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.720$ only about 5% of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.736$ In Asia, about 40% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:10:10.736 --> 00:10:12.890 with HCC or non cirrhotic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.585$ so it's a different kind of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:10:15.585 --> 00:10:18.198 picture in other parts of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.906$ But for what we deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:20.906 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.710$ many patients or cirrhotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.464$ We will spend a brief bit of time talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}25.464 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}10{:}27.660$ about reception versus transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.708$ I'm not a transplant surgeon and I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:10:30.710 --> 00:10:34.139 I won't claim a mantle of expertise in this,

00:10:34.140 --> 00:10:36.318 but I think any discussion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:36.318 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.639$ surgery in this disease has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:38.639 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.235$ at least mention transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:10:40.240 --> 00:10:42.838 Given its great importance in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:42.838 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.549$ management of this group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:10:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.169$ I'm going to spend a fair bit of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:10:48.169 --> 00:10:50.571 talking about the process of patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}50.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.611$ selection for reception because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}52.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.039$ is truly a complex art and science

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}55.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.995$ that requires the input of a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}10{:}57.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.450$ people and will discuss that some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.440$ Talk about these technical developments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}02.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.420$ primarily the role evolving role

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}04.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.004$ of minimally invasive techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:06.010 --> 00:11:07.995 Talk some about techniques for

00:11:07.995 --> 00:11:08.789 patient optimization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}08.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.499$ and then I'm going to spend a bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:11.499 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.740$ time talking about a few key special

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:14.740 --> 00:11:16.648 clinical populations who actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.112$ are good surgical candidates and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:19.112 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.428$ need to be keeping an eye out for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:22.428 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.020$ As we look at patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:25.120 --> 00:11:27.228 liver disease in HCC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:27.230 --> 00:11:30.415 it is important to understand how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:30.415 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.451$ as clinicians think about the severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}33.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.091$ of their underlying liver disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}36.091 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}11{:}39.511$ because that is going to be one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:39.511 --> 00:11:41.288 key drivers of our decision-making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:11:41.288 --> 00:11:42.086 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:42.086 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.480$ the classic clinical system is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}11{:}44.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.556$ so-called Child Pugh classification

 $00:11:46.556 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.560$ of cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.794$ This was this is a very clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:50.794 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.140$ driven system that relies on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:53.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.028$ 3 lab tests, bilirubin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022 00:11:55.028 --> 00:11:55.500 albumin, NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.850$ prothrombin time as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.260$ two clinical assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:11:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.726$ The presence of in severity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}12{:}01.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.901$ ascites and Vatican several opathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:03.901 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.625$ the child Pugh system was originally

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:06.625 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.656$ developed to predict mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:12:08.656 --> 00:12:11.040 for portosystemic shunt surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}12{:}11.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.320$ but it has evolved over years to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.690$ mortality after other interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:12:16.690 --> 00:12:19.090 including liver resection, patients are

 $00:12:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.810$ assigned one up to one to three points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.340$ For each of these criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.945$ Adding up to a scale in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:28.945 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.109$ classified Childs AB or C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.130$ In more recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:12:33.130 --> 00:12:35.655 particularly relating the transplant arena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.582$ we've adopted the MELD classification so

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}12{:}38.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.238$ called model for in stage liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:12:42.240 --> 00:12:45.276 The MELD score is less subjective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.680$ it is there's no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.480$ Wrote clinical assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.678$ It relies on 3 lab values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022 00:12:52.680 --> 00:12:53.198 creatinine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:12:53.198 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.752$ bilirubin and INR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

00:12:54.752 --> 00:12:58.406 Anna calculation and is used and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00{:}12{:}58.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.166$ used predominantly as a risk predictor

00:13:01.166 --> 00:13:04.857 in a mortality predictor for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:13:04.857 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.077$ awaiting liver transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:13:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.244$ Both of these indices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:13:11.244 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.490$ Provide somewhat different but very accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:13:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.810$ Guides for predicting complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.861259996891022

 $00:13:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.290$ and mortality for liver surgery in

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:13:23.377 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.447$ the setting of sarot SIS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:13:25.450 --> 00:13:27.916 So if you compare them again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:13:27.920 --> 00:13:31.959 as I indicated this, this is actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}13{:}31.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.630$ Prediction outcomes based on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:13:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.300$ classifications after replacement of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:13:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.090$ tips device that transjugular prophetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}13{:}40.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.800$ portal systemic shunt for a scites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}13{:}42.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.972$ both for patients stratified for child Pugh

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:13:46.972 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.006$ score as well as meld above or less than 18.

00:13:52.010 --> 00:13:55.914 And you can see that you know there

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:13:55.914 --> 00:13:59.060 these are different cut offs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:13:59.060 --> 00:14:01.892 but they're both quite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:01.892 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.016$ Predictive of outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.944$ You actually compare them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:14:05.944 --> 00:14:08.349 At least this group did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.717$ and there you know they're very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}14{:}11.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.606$ The area under the receiver operator

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:14:14.606 --> 00:14:16.530 Curve is essentially equivalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.410$ so although one is more clinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.296$ one is more strictly lab based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.764$ They're both very useful and I find them

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:26.764 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.696$ somewhat overlapping ways to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:29.696 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.556$ risk and underlying liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.716$ So let's turn for a minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:35.716 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.294$ to liver transplant,

 $00:14:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.680$ because this discussion would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.060$ be complete without mentioning the

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:14:42.135 --> 00:14:44.439 profound importance of evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:44.439 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.319$ patients for liver transplant candidacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.694$ And having that in the background in

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:50.694 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.946$ and preparing them in moving them

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:14:53.946 --> 00:14:56.796 towards transplant and effective way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.348$ if they are candidates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:14:59.348 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.870$ So the the I think the key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}15{:}03.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.740$ Data from the literature is the so

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}15{:}06.740 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}09.538$ called Milan study that established the

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:09.538 \dashrightarrow 00:15:13.003$ Milan criteria which was published in 1996.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}15{:}13.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.305$ This really established transplant as

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}15{:}15.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.173$ the gold standard for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:15:18.173 --> 00:15:20.197 significant underlying liver disease

 $00:15:20.197 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.727$ related to cirrhosis and limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}15{:}22.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.450$ HCC, Milan criteria include patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.540$ who have one tumor that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.636$ Equal or lesser than 5 centimeters

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022 00:15:31.636 --> 00:15:32.668 in diameter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.250$ or three or fewer tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.825$ all equal to or lesser

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:37.825 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.885$ less than 3 centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00:15:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.866$ Patients who fit within that criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

00:15:42.866 --> 00:15:45.570 enjoy excellent post transplant survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770419716835022

 $00{:}15{:}45.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.150$ ranging around 70 to 75%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:15:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.221$ So even though the focus of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:15:53.221 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.880$ topic is is reception specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:15:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.094$ it is important to understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:15:58.094 --> 00:16:00.043 transplant in many ways remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:00.043 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.048$ the optimal treatment for patients

 $00:16:02.048 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.360$ with limited HCC and cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.976$ For all these reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:05.976 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.996$ patients have an excellent survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:16:08.000 --> 00:16:10.045 Of course, there's nothing like

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:10.045 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.090$ a transplant to treat their

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.168$ underlying liver failure and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.058$ One of the shining lights here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:18.058 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.781$ living donation does hold future promise

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}16{:}21.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.437$ for increasing organ availability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.051$ Challenges of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:16:26.051 --> 00:16:28.736 Our organ availability is limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.735$ Transplant post transplant care with

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}16{:}31.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.730$ person intensive fault and support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.578$ And we do have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:36.578 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.350$ We have learned that extended criteria

00:16:39.436 --> 00:16:42.718 are possible and may allow selective

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:42.718 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.587$ increase in eligibility for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:16:45.587 --> 00:16:49.127 who downstage During the course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:49.127 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.280$ aggressive pre transplant therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.849$ Before we switch to the kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:55.849 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.970$ evaluation of patients for reception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:16:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.343$ I think one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:00.343 --> 00:17:02.802 is unique about HTC that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}02.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.614$ really important to understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.212$ and I spend a lot of time talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:07.212 --> 00:17:09.700 to my residence about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.402$ is that these tumors love to invade

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:12.402 --> 00:17:14.809 the portal venous system and that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:14.810 --> 00:17:17.120 You can see that radiographically

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.044$ as expensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.284$ bulky thrombus is probably in acute thrombus.

 $00:17:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.538$ This is a more chronic thrombus and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:24.540 --> 00:17:27.010 The thrombus maybe tumor itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:27.010 --> 00:17:31.426 or a mixture to Marie plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:31.430 --> 00:17:34.494 It is in some ways the cynic Winona,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}34.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.516$ this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:35.516 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.564$ Acute thrombosis may cause abrupt liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:38.564 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.583$ decompensation more chronic thrombosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}40.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.379$ like this patient often results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:43.379 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.268$ liver atrophy in the affected lobar

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:46.268 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.623$ segment with compens atory hypertrophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:48.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.402$ and you're seeing that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:17:51.402 --> 00:17:54.437 left liver in this patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}17{:}54.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.689$ has a right portal vein thrombus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:17:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.330$ This is clearly a marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:18:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.650$ high risk disease.

 $00:18:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.850$ Often these patients you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}18{:}03.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.050$ to look for lung lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:18:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.570$ That's going to be the next sighted disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00:18:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.330$ but in some ways we as surgeons can

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

00:18:12.330 --> 00:18:14.745 exploit this atrophy and hypertrophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.855967879295349

 $00{:}18{:}14.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.490$ for reception in selected candidates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.200$ So as we start to think about how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:25.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.730$ choose patience for potential reception?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.593$ We need to talk about the Barcelona

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:18:32.593 --> 00:18:35.020 Clinic liver Cancer staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.116$ The BCLC, as we often call it, there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:39.116 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.637$ a variety of of other staging systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00{:}18{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.984$ But I think most of us who do

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:45.984 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.858$ this work on a weekly basis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:18:48.860 --> 00:18:51.080 relying on the Barcelona system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.999$ kind of as our principle go to

 $00:18:53.999 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.960$ in the decision-making process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:18:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.292$ It's not perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:18:57.292 --> 00:19:00.400 but I think it's clinically very useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:19:00.400 --> 00:19:03.508 so stage A patients or patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:03.508 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.951$ early HCC, relatively small lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:05.951 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.536$ or a few single lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.180$ And these A1 patients are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.114$ This is really the group we want to operate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:19:13.120 --> 00:19:14.098 No portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:14.098 \dashrightarrow 00:19:16.614$ Normal billirubin, essentially no sarot sis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:16.614 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.410$ As we go up and stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.224$ the patients tend to get some

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:22.224 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.100$ degree of liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00{:}19{:}24.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.435$ They may have portal hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:26.435 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.270$ or may they maybe have mild.

 $00:19:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.414$ Disease according to Child

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:19:31.414 --> 00:19:32.486 Pugh classifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.249$ as we get into stage B2 mercies

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:36.249 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.860$ or larger tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00{:}19{:}37.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.635$ but this stage B classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

00:19:40.635 --> 00:19:43.410 includes a variety of severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:43.502 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.917$ in the liver disease stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00{:}19{:}45.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.136$ sees advanced cancer and state Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888328313827515

 $00:19:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.899$ This is, of course in stage disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:19:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.620$ So. Is HPB surgeons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}19{:}59.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.840$ We are trying to extract as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:02.934 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.366$ information as we can to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:06.366 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.654$ safe decisions about reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:20:08.660 --> 00:20:12.164 And there is a real kind of diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:20:12.164 --> 00:20:14.674 of approaches between the Western

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}14.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.764$ we stern surgeons in in the Asians.

00:20:17.770 --> 00:20:20.120 Surgeons in China and Japan

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.470$ often rely on in design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.054$ and green retention is a kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:26.054 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.620$ biologic measure of liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.364$ Signing in green clearance seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:20:31.364 --> 00:20:34.170 less useful in our Western patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.310$ although she centers use it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.342$ it seems to be more effective in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}39.342 \to 00{:}20{:}43.159$ group of patients with hep B related disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}43.160 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.310$ So there's a disparity in the usage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.488$ We in the western world tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:48.488 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.239$ to use a cluster of of indices

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}51.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53.259$ to help us make decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}20{:}53.260 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.940$ including serum bilirubin and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.620$ presence or absence of clinically

00:20:56.674 --> 00:20:58.279 significant portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:20:58.280 --> 00:20:59.820 Well, what's clinically significant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:20:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.590$ Portal hypertension?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:21:00.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.678$ Well, we look at a number of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:21:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.382$ You look at the presence or absence

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:21:06.382 --> 00:21:07.540 of splenomegaly thrombocytopenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00:21:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.871$ and we can get a an actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:21:09.871 --> 00:21:11.416 quantitative measure using wedge

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:21:11.416 --> 00:21:13.328 product vein pressure gradients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:21:13.330 --> 00:21:17.128 and I'll talk about that more in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

 $00{:}21{:}17.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.666$ And in some cases we turned to actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.923724949359894

00:21:20.666 --> 00:21:22.978 histopathologic analysis using liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:21:25.430 --> 00:21:27.438 Um, you know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:21:27.438 --> 00:21:29.948 In designing green clearance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:21:29.948 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.238$ one of the reasons we don't this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}21{:}33.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.470$ This graphic illustrates the challenge

 $00:21:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.610$ with it in a western population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:21:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.078$ there is a broad distribution of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:21:42.080 --> 00:21:44.168 Severity scores with overlapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:21:44.168 --> 00:21:46.256 in designing green clearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:21:46.260 --> 00:21:50.544 So it it's it's less useful clinically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:21:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.339$ One of the things that we do use a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:21:54.339 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.305$ lot is simple CT or MRI assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:21:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.172$ Patients like this with bulky big

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}01.172 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.130$ clear cut verisys in a big spleen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:04.130 --> 00:22:06.555 We know we're unlikely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:06.555 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.010$ be surgical candidates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.385$ Another example of significant upper

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}11.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.410$ abdominal variceal development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.416$ So what are my favorite measures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:16.420 --> 00:22:19.927 In another reason that we really rely

 $00:22:19.927 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.496$ on a multidisciplinary assessment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}22.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.933$ the use of Patrick Kane wedge pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.545$ This is a procedure that the interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:29.545 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.949$ radiologists are quite expert at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.954$ It involves catheter placed

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:33.954 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.958$ through the vena cava,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:35.960 --> 00:22:38.040 usually through transjugular approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}22{:}38.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.160$ and the catheter is directed out

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:41.238 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.508$ through the paddock vein wedge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:43.510 --> 00:22:46.598 And a reading is taken and it's expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:46.598 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.230$ as a gradient in reference to the SVC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:50.230 --> 00:22:52.786 Anything greater than 10 millimeters of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:52.786 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.804$ Mercury represents what we would consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:22:55.804 --> 00:22:58.300 clinically significant portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:22:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.892$ The data for that is really from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:01.892 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.229$ classic paper from the Barcelona group,

 $00:23:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.740$ Jordi Bruin colleagues that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}07.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.680$ published in many years ago now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.893$ but they looked at the outcome of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:13.893 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.620$ of patients after liver surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.960$ And compared their preoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:23:18.960 --> 00:23:21.300 paddock vein pressure gradient

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:23:21.300 --> 00:23:23.330 patients who underwent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:23:23.330 --> 00:23:27.600 went to surgery with a paddock vein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.738$ pressure less than 10 generally did

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:30.738 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.310$ very well without any decompensation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.982$ There were a couple who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:37.982 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.430$ higher but most did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}23{:}40.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43.923$ Did quite well with his lower group

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:43.923 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.390$ patients who decompensated almost all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.185$ Virtually all have these higher

 $00:23:49.185 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.980$ preoperative FedEx thing wage pressures

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:52.067 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.881$ so that measurement is a is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:54.881 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.349$ a critical piece of information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.778$ For for us surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:23:58.778 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.920$ as we think about taking these

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:00.996 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.166$ patients in the operating room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.930$ The other thing that can be done at

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.133$ the same time as phatic pain wedge

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:09.133 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.200$ pressures is this transjugular liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:24:12.200 --> 00:24:13.469 It's minimally invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00{:}24{:}13.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.007$ A biopsy instrument can be guided

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:24:16.007 --> 00:24:18.650 into the liver parenchyma through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.320$ A transjugular approach in a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.397$ tissue sample taken that can help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:24:24.397 --> 00:24:27.246 grade the degree of fibrosis or cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.830$ which will also help with decisions.

 $00:24:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.550$ So overall, as we think about our approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.330$ Surgically are the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.555$ really is driven by stage?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

00:24:37.560 --> 00:24:40.026 Know this early stage group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:40.026 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.250$ patients we consider both for

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.250$ liver transplant in reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.730$ Portal pressures are key here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.210$ Patients have normal porting pressures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.690$ Early stage disease can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.178$ treated with reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.156$ Increased pressures need to be evaluated

NOTE Confidence: 0.820014655590057

 $00:24:56.156 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.140$ for transplantation or ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}02.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.600$ So to pull it all together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.256$ the people that I'm looking for his potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:07.256 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.480$ candidates are patients without cirrhosis.

 $00:25:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.140$ Inhuma major hepat Ectomy can be performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.744$ without morbidity and I will even operate

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:14.744 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.844$ on patients with significant with large

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:16.911 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.227$ tumors in this group without cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.838$ These are folks who will tolerate a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}21.838 \to 00{:}25{:}23.835$ headache ectomy patients with compensated

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

00:25:23.835 --> 00:25:25.980 cirrhosis are much more difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.850$ the decision-making is more difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}27.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.562$ These are this is a group you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}30.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.898$ to collect all this information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.833$ In the operative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:33.833 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.077$ planning is more difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.635$ They generally will not tolerate

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:36.635 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.190$ a major help detect me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.742$ You have to be looking at a segmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

00:25:40.742 --> 00:25:42.847 happy talk to me or an ablation.

 $00:25:42.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.379$ I'm not going to spend a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00{:}25{:}45.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.519$ talking about a blation in this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

00:25:47.520 --> 00:25:48.764 but it's another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.831802666187286

 $00:25:48.764 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.008$ tool in our armamentarium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

00:25:52.280 --> 00:25:54.870 So. And our multidisciplinary formats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

00:25:54.870 --> 00:25:57.257 We're looking at all of these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

00:25:57.260 --> 00:25:59.654 severity of liver disease through child Pugh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:25:59.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.980$ Mail portal, hypertension, Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.420$ Then we assess the extent of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.466$ size of lesions number, vaster invasions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.606$ as well as all these other factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:07.606 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.918$ we think about surgically comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00{:}26{:}09.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.630$ Do they have heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

00:26:11.630 --> 00:26:13.340 lung disease, or kidney disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855990779399872

 $00:26:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.409$ as well as the size of the liver remnant?

 $00:26:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.324$ So. As we pull these this information

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:22.324 --> 00:26:24.265 together, we wind up really getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}26{:}24.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.720$ what I would describe as this multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:26.720 --> 00:26:28.660 parameter assessment for respectability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:28.660 --> 00:26:31.208 and I won't walk through this all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:31.210 --> 00:26:34.360 but you can see that really it's a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.030$ small group who are really low risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:37.030 --> 00:26:40.250 These are patients who have no portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.196$ hypertension in minor have to talk to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:43.200 --> 00:26:46.042 It's important to understand that in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:46.042 --> 00:26:49.180 low risk of group group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.310$ liver resection is very safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.878$ Liver related mortality less than 5%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:53.880 --> 00:26:57.714 As soon as you get up into intermediate risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:26:57.720 --> 00:26:59.850 we're looking at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:26:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.412$ pushing a 30% risk of decompensation

 $00{:}27{:}02.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.126$ close to 10% mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}27{:}04.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.682$ High risk group, greater than a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:27:06.682 --> 00:27:08.818 30% risk of decompensation Anna.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:27:08.818 --> 00:27:10.953 Significant risk of surgical mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.949$ So all of this kind of accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.274$ Indecision making is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:17.274 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.429$ critical for safe patient outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.698$ So how do we do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.045$ Well, I probably should just use Mario

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:27:26.045 --> 00:27:28.229 slide with this surgical decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.254$ making it is more than just the surgeons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.460$ It takes a team or in his words

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}27{:}34.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.360$ it takes a village.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651 $00:27:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.172$ You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:37.172 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.420$ and I cannot tell you over the years,

 $00{:}27{:}40.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.045$ how many times I say HPB surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}27{:}43.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.842$ have been rained in in my surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:45.842 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.230$ enthusiasm by one of my colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:27:48.310 --> 00:27:50.884 who points out some other pieces

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:50.884 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.410$ of the patients history or biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.435$ that would make surgery unsafe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:27:55.440 --> 00:27:56.532 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:27:56.532 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.716$ that's where we all get together

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:27:58.716 --> 00:28:01.128 in our liver tumor conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:28:01.130 --> 00:28:03.160 Hepatology IR pathology, medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}28{:}03.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.792$ thinking about alternative treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:04.792 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.240$ are body image Ng colleagues or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:28:07.240 --> 00:28:10.390 Very helpful in pointing out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.370$ The extent of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:28:12.370 --> 00:28:14.350 potentially non or extra paddock

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.538$ sites of disease.

 $00:28:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.264$ Other things that will be deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:18.264 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.626$ Breakers and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.894$ All of the surgical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:21.894 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.724$ Teens need to be involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.618$ Transplant surgeons and surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:28:26.618 --> 00:28:28.978 oncologist both bring kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

00:28:28.978 --> 00:28:29.881 interdependent overlapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00{:}28{:}29.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.089$ expertise to the table and caring

NOTE Confidence: 0.859463758766651

 $00:28:32.089 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.990$ for this group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}28{:}36.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38.916$ So I'm going to turn for minute shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:28:38.916 --> 00:28:41.989 gears and talk about surgical technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:28:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.005$ So these are the classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}28{:}44.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.617$ major hepat ectomy operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:28:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.080$ You know, these are the classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:28:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.720$ operations that originally described

 $00:28:49.783 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.658$ his liver surgery was developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}28{:}51.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.952$ You know this is right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:28:53.952 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.500$ protect me left at protect me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:28:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.822$ Extended right hip protect me or

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:28:58.822 --> 00:29:00.845 left lateral segment Or's left

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:00.845 --> 00:29:03.287 lateral section ectomy and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:03.287 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.376$ these operations are really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:05.376 --> 00:29:07.776 for patients who are non cirrhotic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.959$ have larger tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:08.959 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.220$ but as you can tell many of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}12.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.560$ Involve sacrifices of a major of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.840$ volume of functioning liver and anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.115$ any patient who has any degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:19.115 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.624$ liver disease will not tolerate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:21.624 --> 00:29:23.739 things with the exception perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.980$ of the left lateral section ectomy.

 $00:29:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.661$ So a key for liver surgeons is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:29.661 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.370$ understanding hepatic segmental anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.365$ This was originally described by

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:33.365 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.360$ the French anatomist Cloud Kanade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.146$ There are eight segments in the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124 00:29:38.150 --> 00:29:38.886 The caudate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:38.886 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.830$ you know two and three on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}41.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.948$ lateral segment for left medial

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:43.948 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.930$ 567 and eight on the right side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}46.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.126$ and it's critical to understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:49.126 --> 00:29:52.389 we can mix and match and tailor our

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:29:52.389 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.113$ operations very precisely based

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:54.113 --> 00:29:56.339 on this segmental anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}29{:}56.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.524$ So as we think about segmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:29:58.524 --> 00:30:00.439 liver resection for small HCC

 $00:30:00.439 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.999$ understanding those segments gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}01.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04.799$ us a great ability to to do again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.948$ Taylor or Target the extent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:06.948 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.200$ the operation to the size

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.055$ and location of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.601$ This is a perfectly in the posterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:13.601 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.160$ sector which would be for section in

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.790$ segment six and seven poster sector ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:18.790 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.940$ These are segmental resection from

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.437$ the right side and the left side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}24.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26.557$ liver segment through segment 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:30:26.560 --> 00:30:27.248 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:27.248 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.688$ the other reason that understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:30.688 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.219$ segmental anatomy is important is for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.118$ The technical element of Disease Control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}37.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40.240$ These portal venous branches are a

 $00:30:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.285$ key pathway for dissemination of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:43.285 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.797$ cells and can be contaminated by tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.220$ So when we're doing parenchymal

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.188$ sparing receptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.172$ how we conduct that reception is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:53.172 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.967$ relation to the portal venous branch serving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:30:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.722$ That segment is critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}30{:}58.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.350$ This approach is ideal with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:01.438 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.228$ complete resection of the involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}31{:}04.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.345$ A portal vein this beta be reception

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:07.345 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.240$ would encompass the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.040$ but not as much portal vein and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}31{:}12.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.379$ would put the patient at a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:15.379 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.423$ risk for local recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:31:17.430 --> 00:31:19.700 So patient selection is important,

 $00:31:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.858$ but technicalities are important as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.975$ Now let's talk for a minute about

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:26.975 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.120$ the future liver remnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.962$ What we know from liver surgery is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:31.962 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.970$ that the size of the remnant is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:31:35.970 --> 00:31:38.675 critical predictor of postoperative outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:31:38.680 --> 00:31:40.180 Patients who have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.180$ in healthy patients who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.358$ functional liver remnant of less than 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

00:31:46.360 --> 00:31:49.524 We have a very high incidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00{:}31{:}49.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.880$ postoperative complications and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:50.952 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.860$ FL are of greater than 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.290$ Generally patients do very well now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.320$ This is in healthy livers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:31:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.385$ I would say that FLR is 40 to 50% in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869251012802124

 $00:32:01.385 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.815$ Anyone with any underlying liver disease.

 $00:32:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.240$ Um? Portal vein Embolization is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:09.240 \dashrightarrow 00:32:12.412$ key technique that we use David

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:12.412 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.980$ made off is a world expert in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.648$ He is really built,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:17.648 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.748$ his career among other things and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:20.748 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.308$ honing and refining this technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.198$ So I'm not going to pretend to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:27.198 \dashrightarrow 00:32:30.678$ expert on this other than share that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.889$ As liver surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:31.889 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.710$ this is our very best friend because

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}34.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36.688$ this technique involves interruption

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:36.688 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.067$ of the portal venous flow to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:40.067 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.196$ affected side of the liver and allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:43.196 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.653$ hypertrophic growth which allows us

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:45.653 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.258$ to respect the contralateral side.

 $00:32:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.549$ You know this is a classic example

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}32{:}50.549 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}32{:}52.930$ of a pre operative left lateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.017$ segment which would have been a 14%

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:56.020 \dashrightarrow 00:32:58.738$ small remnant froze up to 21% after

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:32:58.738 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.290$ preoperative Puerto venous embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}00.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.368$ This is data in a from an MD Anderson

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:03.368 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.108$ paper that David was involved with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:33:06.110 --> 00:33:09.338 I suspect he'll show Shell share

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}09.338 \to 00{:}33{:}12.362$ more details when he gives his

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:12.362 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.043$ see me in a month or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546 00:33:15.050 --> 00:33:16.084 So, um, NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:16.084 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.186$ the French are actually have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}19.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.057$ nice work with this in cirrhotics or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.036$ PVD for patients with HCC and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:26.036 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.315$ a relatively small series from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:28.315 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.519$ of the groups in Paris that show that

 $00:33:31.519 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.859$ although between these two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:33.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.260$ the volume after Pve was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.460$ that much greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.196$ but the incidence of liver failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:40.196 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.768$ was significantly less and the stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:33:42.768 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.456$ in the ICU and hospital was less so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:33:45.460 --> 00:33:48.628 If you were going to do a major help

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:33:48.628 --> 00:33:51.512 protect me in a patient with any

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:33:51.512 --> 00:33:53.870 degree of underlying liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}33{:}53.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.790$ A PV is a critical. Potential adjunct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.748$ The other thing that has come

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:03.748 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.906$ forward in recent years is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}06.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.078$ idea of radiation lobectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546 00:34:09.080 --> 00:34:09.510 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.520$ this is a technique that our IR

 $00:34:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.810$ team is extraordinarily adept at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}15.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18.290$ It involves treating the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.939$ as well as the involved side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:21.939 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.629$ the liver with Y-90 microspheres.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.678$ This is a pre operative set of images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:34:28.680 --> 00:34:31.160 Actually I'm sorry preoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:34:31.160 --> 00:34:34.260 up pretreatments up here post

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}34.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.244$ treatment down here it shrinks the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:37.244 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.514$ liver and treats the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.291$ So that as the the affected side of liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}43.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.018$ atrophy's and the disease is treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.180$ the contralateral side of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:34:48.180 --> 00:34:49.476 liver hyper hypertrophy's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.850$ which eventually allows a reception

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.648$ of the affected side of the liver with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}34{:}55.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.330$ this nice large left liver remnant.

00:34:58.330 --> 00:34:58.694 No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:34:58.694 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.606$ the the one of the things that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:01.606 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.223$ exciting about radiation lobectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:03.223 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.454$ is that it allows the tumor to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:06.454 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.499$ treated with the radiation well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.230$ the well the atrophy is occurring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.511$ and if necessary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:35:12.511 --> 00:35:14.219 portal vein embolization can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:14.219 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.320$ be added on top of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.396$ I really like this technique in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

00:35:18.396 --> 00:35:20.662 bulky tumors and in a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:20.662 \dashrightarrow 00:35:23.104$ where you may be concerned about

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}35{:}23.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.530$ occult metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00{:}35{:}24.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.218$ It gives us this window to watch them

NOTE Confidence: 0.79510692730546

 $00:35:27.218 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.998$ for awhile before committing to surgery.

 $00:35:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.948$ So I will share that I think one

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}35{:}34.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37.182$ of the greatest steps forward in

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:37.182 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.120$ the past 15 years or so is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.428$ Application of minimally invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

00:35:42.428 --> 00:35:44.736 surgical techniques deliver surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}35{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48.232$ and this has been a continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:48.232 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.110$ evolution in the early 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.530$ Surgeons first Gabaldon small wedge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}35{:}53.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.590$ Resections of the first major hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:35:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.818$ Ectomy was reported in the mid 90s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}35{:}59.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.054$ and this was a pretty crude operation

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:03.054 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.035$ that relied a lot on surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:06.035 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.530$ staplers and over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}36{:}08.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.950$ the our approach to laughter

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.370$ scopic attacked me is improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

00:36:13.370 --> 00:36:16.758 We've adapted many of the open techniques,

00:36:16.760 --> 00:36:18.696 including Pringle maneuver techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

00:36:18.696 --> 00:36:21.116 of dividing liver pouring command.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185 00:36:21.120 --> 00:36:22.190 So that. NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.330$ We've developed standards standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.470$ of practice and increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:26.547 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.001$ sophisticated anterolateral receptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

00:36:29.001 --> 00:36:31.455 and subsegmental receptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00:36:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.310$ and even in some centres,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917013466358185

 $00{:}36{:}34.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.590$ laparoscopic live donor operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:36:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.667$ So this actually gives a pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:36:42.667 --> 00:36:45.216 picture of what's happened over

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:36:45.216 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.534$ the years since the turn of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}36{:}48.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.263$ Millennium with a kind of a slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:36:51.263 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.781$ and this is this is data from some

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:36:54.781 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.034$ European centres but I think this is

 $00:36:58.034 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.740$ quite reflective of what's gone on

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:00.740 \dashrightarrow 00:37:03.394$ in large centers around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:03.394 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.574$ Kind of as a decrease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.968$ steady decrease in open liver surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:07.968 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.610$ and slow but progressive increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.578$ and minimally invasive procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:12.580 --> 00:37:15.370 Now I don't think any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:15.370 --> 00:37:17.206 I I'm certainly not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}37{:}17.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.960$ and I don't think any experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:20.056 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.918$ HPB surgeon would say that open

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}37{:}22.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.350$ liver surgery should go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.740$ There are too many complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.645$ Situations that I think patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:29.645 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.150$ are best served with open surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.880$ but clearly this has been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.240$ and embraced around the world.

 $00:37:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.940$ And over this time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.065$ many of the early operations

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:41.065 --> 00:37:42.620 were small wedges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.296$ Those of kind of drop down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.100$ and it's a steady increase in major

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:48.100 --> 00:37:50.803 protect knees and an resections in

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:50.803 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.178$ the posterior superior segments which

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:53.178 \dashrightarrow 00:37:55.897$ are much more technically complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:37:55.897 --> 00:37:59.269 because of their location and deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:37:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.060$ So laparoscopic appendectomy 20 and 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.896$ I think we can say is safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:38:05.900 --> 00:38:06.842 Um, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:06.842 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.470$ like everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.018$ it has to be patient enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:10.018 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.110$ be selected carefully.

00:38:11.110 --> 00:38:14.134 I have not gone through all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:38:14.134 --> 00:38:17.588 data in this for the sake of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.341$ but it's Uncle logically appears to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:20.341 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.770$ equivalent to open operations again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.810$ Selected cases there are better fits

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:38:24.810 --> 00:38:27.237 in hospital stay and recovery cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:27.237 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.241$ benefit analysis suggests significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:29.241 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.850$ value for appropriately selected patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.574$ There are limitations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:33.574 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.867$ non anatomical sections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.166$ parenchymal, scarring sections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:36.166 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.030$ bleeding control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.428$ and biliary reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:38.428 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.224$ is can be difficult with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:41.224 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.860$ laparoscopic instrumentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.081$ So what next?

00:38:44.081 --> 00:38:47.479 Many of us are quite excited in doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}38{:}47.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50.643$ robotic surgery of I think this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:50.643 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.639$ a rapidly developing technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.090$ The Davinci System was introduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.070$ around 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:38:57.070 --> 00:38:59.145 Appear to Julia Naughty first

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:38:59.145 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.739$ did the first protect me with

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:01.739 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.919$ the robotic platform in 2003.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.700$ This has expanded internationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.370$ Now the major focus of robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:39:08.447 --> 00:39:10.572 surgery really has been urology

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:10.572 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.697$ gynecology and a liver surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:12.768 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.618$ is relatively late in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:39:14.620 --> 00:39:17.490 but many of us are embracing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.127$ and are excited about it and

 $00:39:20.127 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.749$ feels that it brings real value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:22.750 \dashrightarrow 00:39:25.529$ The the unique elements of the robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:25.529 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.950$ platform are the 3D stereoscopic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.130$ Vision and the end of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.250$ functionality of the instrumentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00{:}39{:}33.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.052$ provides a degree of of dexterity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:37.052 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.203$ flexibility with surgical maneuvers

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:39.203 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.017$ inside the body that is just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.224$ At least for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:43.224 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.030$ most of us very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:39:45.101 --> 00:39:47.377 duplicate using straight stick

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:47.377 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.653$ laparoscopic instruments do precise

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:49.653 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.530$ suturing difficult locations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

00:39:51.530 --> 00:39:53.960 The dual console that you're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.215$ here is great for instruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.860897839069366

 $00:39:56.215 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.877$ and the economic.

 $00:39:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.044$ The ergonomics tend to be more favorable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}40{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.027$ Let's see, these are pictures I took in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}40{:}06.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.870$ own operating room a couple of weeks ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:40:08.870 --> 00:40:11.187 This is actually a patient who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:11.187 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.310$ robotic left lateral segment resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.314$ This is kind of the setup

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:15.314 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.749$ we've got the for robotic arms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.970$ We still have one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.080$ instruments in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.906$ This is an assist port that allows us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:23.906 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.991$ pass additional instruments and sutures

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}40{:}25.991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.688$ in the abdomen and this is kind of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}40{:}29.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.180$ as we're closing all of the incisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.763$ These are for small incisions across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:34.763 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.716$ abdomen and this is about a 3 centimeter

 $00:40:37.716 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.210$ extraction port right below the umbilicus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.108$ Through which we removed the specimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.638$ Patient went home in two days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.202$ So lots of discussion around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:40:49.202 --> 00:40:50.483 country robotic laparoscopic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:40:50.490 --> 00:40:53.058 you know, like everything in surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.556$ this is a point of surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:40:55.556 --> 00:40:58.200 love to debate back and forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:40:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.340$ There are relatively few comparisons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.680$ This comparison study was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}41{:}02.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}41{:}05.020$ at the University of Pittsburgh

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:05.098 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.758$ a number of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}41{:}06.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.208$ and I think what it shows is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.686$ The robotic cases in this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:14.686 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.924$ were took longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00{:}41{:}16.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18.514$ Application rates bloodloss

 $00:41:18.514 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.154$ outcomes were essentially the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.340$ but more cases were completed completely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:41:24.340 --> 00:41:25.924 Minimally invasive, Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:25.924 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.036$ using the robotic platform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.688$ Many of these laparoscopic cases required

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:31.688 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.478$ a utility incision or hand port so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.248$ I think there are merits to both approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:41:39.250 --> 00:41:42.770 Um, I have found in my own practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:41:42.770 --> 00:41:44.970 As this author points out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.170$ the robotic approach may facilitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:41:47.170 --> 00:41:48.490 parenchymal sparing receptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.250$ particularly in these upper

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

00:41:50.250 --> 00:41:51.130 posterior segments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:51.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.680$ posters appear segments that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.727$ get to it to operate on with the robot,

 $00:41:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.050$ which is hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:41:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.690$ Lapre Scopic Lee in this series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:42:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.357$ Over half the cases the robotic series

NOTE Confidence: 0.888548016548157

 $00:42:04.357 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.969$ work in those difficult segments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00{:}42{:}09.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.266$ The other area where I find the robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

00:42:12.266 --> 00:42:14.168 helpful is anatomically complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:14.168 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.067$ procedures that require a lot of careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:18.067 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.668$ enteropathic dissection and control the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00{:}42{:}20.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.128$ paddock veins and precise stapling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

00:42:23.130 --> 00:42:26.091 This paper was a nice report of

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00{:}42{:}26.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.864$ outcomes in complex cases of left

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:28.864 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.229$ lateral section ectomy where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:31.229 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.282$ disease is approaching him from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:34.282 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.318$ veins and they compared robotic left

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.010$ lateral segment with left lateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00{:}42{:}40.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.162$ laparoscopic left lateral segment.

00:42:42.170 --> 00:42:44.230 Um, you know these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.775$ This group does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.355$ Note that the robotic cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

00:42:48.355 --> 00:42:49.900 were more expensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.990$ but when the procedures got complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.230$ the robot really seemed to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00:42:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.390$ benefit with reduced blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.806422650814056

 $00{:}42{:}58.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01.225$ loss and better length of stay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.274$ So as you think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:05.274 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.620$ cost of the value proposition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}07.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10.404$ the way the cost of these procedures is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:10.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.147$ allocated and understood is really critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}13.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.438$ And if you know this is one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:15.438 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.429$ those situations where the robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:43:17.429 --> 00:43:19.237 instrumentation is more expensive,

 $00:43:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.040$ but if you can save anything on

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}22.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.639$ length of stay like this series

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:24.639 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.684$ did it more than pays for itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:43:27.690 --> 00:43:30.203 So I'm going to switch gears and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:30.203 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.276$ talk about a few key kind of subsets

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:33.276 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.147$ of patients that I think we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}36.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.775$ need to understand as we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:38.775 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.863$ about surgical candidates for HTC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}40.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.269$ One critical group is this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:43.269 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.479$ of patients with so called fibro

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}46.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48.120$ lamellar parasailer carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.680$ This is a disease that has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:43:50.680 --> 00:43:53.329 bimodal age distribution distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}43{:}53.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.500$ but it's predominantly young adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:43:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.665$ although there's a smaller peak

NOTE Confidence: 0.91218388080596900:43:57.665 --> 00:43:58.964 later in life.

 $00:43:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.008$ In the 60s has a male predominant's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:02.010 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.175$ Most of these patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:04.175 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.474$ non cirrhotic livers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:44:05.480 --> 00:44:08.808 They often proves in his large bulky tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00{:}44{:}08.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.617$ and surgery is the primary treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.720$ It's easy to mistake these

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:44:13.720 --> 00:44:15.820 tumors for benign liver lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:44:15.820 --> 00:44:18.340 such as bulky FNH or adenoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

00:44:18.340 --> 00:44:19.600 They are malignant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.440$ they're aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.540$ They need to be respected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.137$ and they can have an excellent outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:25.137 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.000$ with five year survival with reception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.520$ but they need to be appropriately

NOTE Confidence: 0.912183880805969

 $00:44:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.780$ diagnose and manage.

 $00:44:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.170$ So this actually is great story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}44{:}37.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40.302$ This was like a young man who fell off

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:40.302 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.745$ a ladder who came to see me after he

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:43.745 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.830$ was seen in the emergency Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.640$ with some bruises and sprains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:44:49.640 --> 00:44:52.598 And they had an abdominal CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:44:52.598 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.050$ that showed this lesion and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:44:55.050 --> 00:44:59.348 You know, had no underlying liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:44:59.350 --> 00:45:02.104 And this lesion, which is best

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:02.104 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.554$ seen in the venous phase, he went,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:05.554 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.715$ not only was it seen in that phase, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:09.715 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.785$ The other thing that was ominous

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:11.785 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.478$ about it is that the lower part

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:14.478 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.848$ lesion had this extra pet extension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:16.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.940$ So he went to the operating room and had a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.919$ Right have attacked me with a kind of a

 $00:45:23.919 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.155$ liberal reception of his retroperitoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:26.155 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.680$ tissues to clear that extra pack extension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.925$ Big bulky specimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:30.925 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.585$ He's a healthy guy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.670$ He did great post operatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.519$ I followed him for about five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:37.519 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.315$ when I was in Portland and he did well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.302$ Never had a recurrence and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}45{:}44.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.147$ kind of glossed him to follow up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.948$ but I think this is a perfect example of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.050$ Many of these patients can do well

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.290$ or even be cured with surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.900$ If you look for the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:45:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.784$ The other group of patients is agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:46:00.784 --> 00:46:04.218 of what I call our our elders,

 $00:46:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.856$ HTC in the elderly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}05.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.049$ I think it needs to be reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:09.049 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.219$ is almost a different disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.920$ This lady was sent to me about a month ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.980$ Sweet Lady retired nurse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:46:18.980 --> 00:46:23.339 Spent most of her career at Saint Raves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.056$ She has a history of breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:26.056 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.285$ and she was undergoing evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:28.285 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.708$ and was found to have a left

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:46:31.708 --> 00:46:34.060 lateral segment liver lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}46{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.622$ Had an MRI which showed the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:36.622 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.775$ that you see and there was concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:39.775 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.733$ that this is metastatic breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.680$ It was biopsied and proved to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.338$ well differentiated HCC and she has

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:48.338 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.984$ undergone a robotic left lateral segment.

 $00:46:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.662$ Resection has done great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:52.662 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.711$ So this was a group of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:46:55.711 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.840$ that I noticed in my prior work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:46:58.840 --> 00:47:00.620 And you know you're after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}00.620 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.510$ Euro would keep seeing these older

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.558$ folks from all over the Pacific

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:47:04.558 --> 00:47:06.368 Northwest showing up with his

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:06.368 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.100$ liver tumors without cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.585$ Most of them were actually quite healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}10.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.286$ so I had one of our residents write

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:47:13.286 --> 00:47:15.930 this up with one of my partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00{:}47{:}15.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17.790$ Doctor Mahlon are transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:47:17.790 --> 00:47:19.185 group in Portland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:47:19.190 --> 00:47:20.982 And of our series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:20.982 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.774$ about 50 patients median

 $00:47:22.774 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.909$ age was 75 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.435$ Only 13% of them had

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:27.435 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.950$ underlying liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:47:28.950 --> 00:47:30.406 And of that group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:30.406 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.226$ most of them had some

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:47:32.226 --> 00:47:33.689 alcoholic liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.060$ Most of them were not viral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.165$ Hepatitis over 50% were treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:38.165 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.799$ with the major HEPA type to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.775$ and they all enjoyed excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:42.775 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.960$ five years survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.330$ I think the interesting thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.330$ you know this is a group that's already

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.379$ selected themselves as being older

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:51.379 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.839$ without having a life limiting comorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.240$ They get a liver tumor in.

00:47:56.240 --> 00:47:57.170 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:47:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.495$ I would contend they merit

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:47:59.495 --> 00:48:00.425 aggressive treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:48:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.714$ So just to summarize him wrap up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

00:48:04.720 --> 00:48:07.534 You know surgery is the predominant topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:48:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.796$ but I'm really need to underscore

NOTE Confidence: 0.844550490379334

 $00:48:09.796 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.300$ the fact that transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}11.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.177$ remains a central treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:13.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.526$ It is the ideal treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}15.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.090$ cirrhotic patients with disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:17.161 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.829$ within the Milan criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.830$ Reception is an excellent treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}20.830 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}48{:}22.830$ for patients with limited disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}22.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.870$ and well preserved liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.570$ We talked a lot about multidisciplinary

 $00:48:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.852$ assessment and the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:29.852 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.917$ the absence of portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

00:48:31.920 --> 00:48:33.906 We talked about Portal vein embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.890$ and radio ablation to augment

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.078$ the plan remnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

00:48:37.080 --> 00:48:39.545 A discussion of minimally invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

00:48:39.545 --> 00:48:42.010 surgical techniques and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

00:48:42.010 --> 00:48:44.943 I'll share that my bias has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:44.943 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.046$ moved to move my own practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:48.046 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.386$ towards the robotic platform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}50.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52.390$ Although laparoscopic approaches or

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:48:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.390$ equally valid we talked about fibro

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

00:48:55.464 --> 00:48:57.789 lamellar disease and elderly HCC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00{:}48{:}57.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.926$ so I'm going to wrap up there

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:49:00.926 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.513$ and say thank you to my partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:49:04.513 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.178$ in the liver tumor program.

00:49:07.180 --> 00:49:07.914 And Mario,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:49:07.914 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.749$ for his leadership and Renee

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:49:09.749 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.223$ for helping us put this on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845439612865448

 $00:49:12.223 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.848$ ask if there any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.090$ You thank you, Kevin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.990$ This was a fantastic talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.470$ Just if if there are questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.950$ please write them in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.206$ I read them and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:30.206 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.665$ And coming will respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

00:49:33.665 --> 00:49:36.688 I just, I mean, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:36.688 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.700$ I want to thank you Kevin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00{:}49{:}39.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42.332$ not only for this is cool shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:42.332 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.285$ in the master sophisticated

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:44.285 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.229$ and newer surgical technology,

00:49:47.230 --> 00:49:48.878 but most of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00{:}49{:}48.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51.968$ for your accent on how match that

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:51.968 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.578$ she's Young's how many decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:54.578 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.770$ are into a surgical indication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:49:57.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.786$ how many eyes look at the same slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

00:50:01.790 --> 00:50:05.318 the same data, and how much discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:50:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.904$ I would dare to say that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

 $00:50:08.904 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.056$ is as important as the scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.800886929035187

00:50:12.056 --> 00:50:14.546 of the of the surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:50:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.770$ Well, what I will say is surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00{:}50{:}21.770 --> 00{:}50{:}24.926$ technique and precision is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:50:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.098$ These are operations that really need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:50:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.268$ You need to be extremely meticulous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:50:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.847$ but the patient selection of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:50:34.847 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.080$ decision-making is absolutely central,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:50:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.296$ and that's where I could not agree more.

 $00:50:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.398$ You need a thoughtful team and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00{:}50{:}45.400 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}50{:}48.616$ You need all these valuation techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

00:50:48.620 --> 00:50:51.668 particularly really good image Ng assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:50:51.668 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.346$ of liver function clinical assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00{:}50{:}54.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57.206$ by hepatologist wedge vein pressures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:50:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.004$ All all of that makes a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

00:51:01.010 --> 00:51:03.074 And that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

00:51:03.074 --> 00:51:07.202 Am centers such as ours are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882825970649719

 $00:51:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.330$ We get good outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88408887386322

 $00:51:10.940 \dashrightarrow 00:51:14.876$ A few questions, I'm gonna read it so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:17.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.140$ Doctor Chi is nice talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.934$ Why not fold the results in ATC?

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.580$ How to treat it and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.124$ This is if I can answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:30.124 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.820$ that question for you.

 $00:51:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.652$ We wish to know that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:34.652 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.350$ are heavily investigating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

00:51:36.350 --> 00:51:40.544 And if you want to add something on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.763$ but then is that there's another question

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:43.763 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.088$ here for satisfied can rate told Kevin

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

00:51:47.088 --> 00:51:49.423 in addition to radiation lobectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.928$ Any thoughts on using ethereal embolization?

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.602$ On bridge to protect me for ATC in large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667909979820251

 $00:51:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.430$ bulky tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807775259017944

 $00:51:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.918$ No such thanks for the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}05.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.080$ You know I, I will say, I think it. It is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.308$ It's probably a very reasonable approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.430$ In these large bulky tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

00:52:17.430 --> 00:52:21.438 I guess I will share that my experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:21.438 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.352$ been more towards a Y-90 approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:25.352 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.160$ to radiation lobectomy approach.

 $00:52:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.230$ And maybe that was the bias of the IR group

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}32.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36.028$ that I worked with closely in Portland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.734$ I do think there's some merit to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.974$ because with the search or why 90,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.748$ you're not going to get an acute necrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:46.748 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.920$ or ischemic effect in a large tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.698$ It's a. It's a more gradual

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}52{:}52.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.550$ cytotoxic tumor killing effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.880$ I think the patients tolerate

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:52:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.210$ it pretty well and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

00:52:59.210 --> 00:53:01.592 Then you get this benefit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:01.592 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.680$ of liver atrophy as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00{:}53{:}03.680 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}53{:}06.249$ probably in a way that you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:06.249 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.181$ get in the Saint to the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:09.181 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.791$ degree with with tastes you know.

 $00:53:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.830$ And I'll I will share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

00:53:13.830 --> 00:53:15.855 I've operated on at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:15.855 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.475$ two or three patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.296$ six or eight months after radio ablation and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

00:53:21.300 --> 00:53:23.580 At least in one patient I can remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.360$ there's no viable tumor left, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.110$ I'm not sure they all

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

00:53:27.110 --> 00:53:28.160 eventually need surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.700$ but it's it's kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910751283168793

 $00:53:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.177$ Hard to know till you've done it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586

 $00{:}53{:}33.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35.823$ Well, a couple more of a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586

 $00:53:35.823 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.020$ sophisticated questions here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586

 $00:53:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.732$ One is from Stacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586

 $00:53:38.732 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.300$ What do you think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586

 $00:53:41.402 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.638$ use of systemic therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586

 $00:53:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.710$ They specifically immune therapy as

00:53:45.710 --> 00:53:47.780 a neoadjuvant therapy or argument

NOTE Confidence: 0.850983679294586

 $00:53:47.847 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.647$ therapy to prevent recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:53:51.010 --> 00:53:55.400 Well, you know, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:53:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.830$ If you look at the history of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:53:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.270$ Multidisciplinary therapy in GI cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:01.270 --> 00:54:04.198 We're all moving in every disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:04.200 --> 00:54:05.499 more twords neoadjuvant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:05.499 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.190$ and I think as we see the efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:09.190 --> 00:54:12.010 of treatment in HTC improving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:12.010 --> 00:54:14.926 we probably will get to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.686$ I don't think we're there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:18.690 --> 00:54:21.458 Um? You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00{:}54{:}21.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.850$ so wrapping it just was not active enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:24.850 --> 00:54:27.520 A tease. Oh Bev, maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:27.520 --> 00:54:28.828 And then I think,

 $00:54:28.828 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.274$ you know we'll probably get there with

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:31.274 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.359$ the postoperative adamant as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:33.360 --> 00:54:34.848 I, I'll be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

00:54:34.848 --> 00:54:36.336 ACI's inspector trials underway

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:36.336 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.191$ that you are probably more

NOTE Confidence: 0.896741032600403

 $00:54:38.191 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.926$ acquainted with than I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:54:41.050 --> 00:54:44.977 I just just. So remember that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:54:44.977 --> 00:54:48.469 do have atrial here that Stacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:54:48.469 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.835$ is the PII in to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:54:51.835 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.390$ the answer to this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00{:}54{:}55.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.414$ So patient after surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:54:57.414 --> 00:54:59.438 Weather immunotherapy can can

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:54:59.438 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.589$ help preventing the recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:55:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.838$ Because this is the problem with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:55:04.838 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.648$ cancer that recurs so Thomas Daddy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:55:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.380$ Is ask is congratulating for your talk,

 $00:55:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.720$ but interesting how many people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:55:12.720 --> 00:55:15.060 hepatitis C have been treated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:55:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.400$ there she knows is well compensated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:55:17.400 --> 00:55:19.248 They remain at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

00:55:19.248 --> 00:55:21.558 Do you favor surgery over

NOTE Confidence: 0.752213828265667

 $00:55:21.558 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.450$ ablation for smoke humor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:55:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.386$ You know, I think there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:55:28.386 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.319$ a couple of pieces in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:55:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.980$ Question and my own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:55:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.355$ I think in this group of patients who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:55:39.355 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.109$ treated for hepatitis C at their risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:55:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.071$ For developing, HTC does go down and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}55{:}45.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.874$ I suspect that the hepatologist know

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:55:47.874 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.241$ those numbers much better than I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:55:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.820$ What I can tell you is.

 $00:55:54.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.466$ Having operated on many patients over

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}55{:}57.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.710$ the years have had in a sustained

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.494$ virologic response to hep C treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.225$ They are much better surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:06.225 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.008$ candidates or livers, or healthier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}56{:}09.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11.878$ They tolerate surgery much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}56{:}11.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.611$ readily than either non treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:14.611 --> 00:56:17.365 patients or or patients who did

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:17.365 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.408$ not have some adequate response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.140$ I do think they remain at

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.505$ risk regarding ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:24.510 --> 00:56:27.324 You know I didn't spend a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:27.324 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.969$ of time talking about ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:29.970 --> 00:56:34.056 but I think it is a very useful modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.210$ either by the interventional radiologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:36.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.070$ or in some cases by surgeons,

 $00:56:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.696$ in difficult locations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:40.696 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.259$ And I think for small tumors it's a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:45.260 --> 00:56:47.530 It's a very reasonable approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:47.530 --> 00:56:50.290 and I think there's really abundant

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.520$ data that indicates that for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:56:52.520 --> 00:56:55.698 you know, for a 2 centimeter tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.424$ the outcome is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:58.424 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.786$ a essentially equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:56:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.360$ So I I think it's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}57{:}03.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.380$ reasonable alternative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235 00:57:04.380 --> 00:57:04.770 Yeah, NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:57:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.114$ there's no patience is safe Surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}57{:}07.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09.460$ candidate and they have minimal disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00{:}57{:}09.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11.420$ Name would like a reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:57:11.420 --> 00:57:13.370 Sometimes there is satisfaction to

00:57:13.370 --> 00:57:16.109 having the disease out of their body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:57:16.110 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.070$ and I think it's reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

 $00:57:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.410$ But then there's the fact of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899248242378235

00:57:20.410 --> 00:57:22.754 comorbidities too, so locks goes good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89924824237823500:57:22.754 --> 00:57:23.927 goes into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00.57:23.930 \longrightarrow 00.57:27.058$ decision. We have three more questions to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

00:57:27.060 --> 00:57:29.740 one from David Madoff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00:57:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.629$ Only the only issue with additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

00:57:31.629 --> 00:57:34.410 back to me is that we do not know

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00:57:34.487 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.019$ that kinetic growth rate of deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

00:57:37.020 --> 00:57:40.826 There is literature on PBE, an sequential

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

00:57:40.826 --> 00:57:43.467 days combined with PVA, hopefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00:57:43.467 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.203$ We have this figure out the sample and

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}47.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51.186$ that's more common than thank you by e.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

00:57:51.186 --> 00:57:52.798 And Sue Chan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}52.798 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}57{:}54.434$ From our pathology Department

 $00:57:54.434 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.360$ has to do this action matching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}57.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59.436$ What is the minimum distance in

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00{:}57{:}59.436 \to 00{:}58{:}01.247$ millimeters of tumor to resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00:58:01.247 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.042$ margin you prefer to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.806935369968414

 $00:58:03.042 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.840$ negative any data for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.030$ Yeah. Yeah. There, I guess the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00.58:14.030 \longrightarrow 00.58:17.128$ I would answer that question is

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

00:58:17.128 --> 00:58:20.377 that what I try to aim for is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.292$ Is a centimeter margin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00{:}58{:}23.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.522$ Often you know, depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00{:}58{:}26.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29.127$ the location of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

00:58:29.130 --> 00:58:32.256 in the proximity to other structures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.204$ that is not achievable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

00:58:34.204 --> 00:58:37.795 I think for most HCC and narrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:37.795 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.197$ margin of even a couple of

00:58:41.197 --> 00:58:43.920 minutes millimeters is adequate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

00:58:43.920 --> 00:58:48.141 Certainly a positive margin is a marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:48.141 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.510$ is associated with poor outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

00:58:51.510 --> 00:58:53.676 But it is. I will say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.485$ it's difficult to know in

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:55.485 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.929$ some of these situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00:58:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.810$ Is is it the margin that's the driver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588032841682434

 $00.58.59.810 \longrightarrow 00.59.02.698$ the outcome or the biology of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.806$ So I I don't think that answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:07.806 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.820$ the question completely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00{:}59{:}08.820 \to 00{:}59{:}11.516$ but what I would say is like I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.548$ I tried to get a centimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.800$ of margin and finally we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

00:59:15.800 --> 00:59:18.060 a question from John Kuntzman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.340$ Another of our surgical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00{:}59{:}20.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}23.469$ I generally consider a section to be

00:59:23.469 --> 00:59:25.360 contraindicated in multifocal agency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.180$ even in non cirrhotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816351175308228

 $00:59:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.455$ Would you agree with that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.0910807773470879

00:59:33.270 --> 00:59:34.130 Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

00:59:38.350 --> 00:59:45.433 In general. Yes. Um? Although.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

 $00:59:45.433 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.777$ John, you know as well as I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

 $00:59:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.944$ There are no absolutes in surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

 $00:59:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.282$ You know, I think some of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

 $00:59:56.282 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.332$ depends on the size, the location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

00:59:59.332 --> 01:00:02.772 the health of the patient, their age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

01:00:02.772 --> 01:00:05.227 the technicalities of the operation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

 $01:00:05.230 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.423$ kind of all those other intangibles that

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

 $01{:}00{:}09.423 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13.539$ would that would go into the decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705260784365237

 $01:00:13.540 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.150$ Domino's rephrased

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:00:17.150 --> 01:00:21.020 It doesn't give you quarter here, So what are

01:00:21.020 --> 01:00:21.820 your exceptions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:00:21.820 --> 01:00:24.620 Oh, you know I would say in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:24.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.664$ in someone who has two regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:27.664 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.219$ that are resectable safely with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:30.220 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.810$ Even a right hepat ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:00:33.810 --> 01:00:35.952 You know, I would probably send the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:35.952 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.495$ patient to Stacy and maybe have them have

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:38.495 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.590$ her treat them with systemic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:00:40.590 --> 01:00:43.820 Kind of in an ad hoc way for you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:43.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.758$ the question came up about neoadjuvant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:45.760 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.014$ Well, this is the case where yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:48.020 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.250$ I'd figure out a way to do some new agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:51.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.865$ So you were watching the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:52.865 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.480$ patient for a few months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:00:54.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.594$ Make sure that they don't have lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:00:56.594 --> 01:00:59.006 lesions or bone lesions, and if there

 $01{:}00{:}59.006 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}00.938$ was no new disease or progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:01:00.940 --> 01:01:02.473 Yeah, it operate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

 $01:01:02.473 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.028$ I bet you would too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79789012670517

01:01:05.030 --> 01:01:06.060 Alright, well

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:06.060 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.102$ thank you very much Kevin for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:09.102 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.787$ taking time out of your very busy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:12.787 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.883$ day to give and deliver this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:15.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.475$ This lessons about novelty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

01:01:18.475 --> 01:01:21.060 what is establishing in surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:21.060 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.056$ Ann and thank you to the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:24.056 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.896$ and thank you to Renee for another

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:27.896 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.356$ outstanding organization of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01{:}01{:}30.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}35.040$ We will meet again in a month to here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

 $01:01:35.040 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.440$ David Mouth, yes I thank you again everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81672477722168

01:01:39.440 --> 01:01:43.296 Thank you alright have a good one.