## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:59:29.3760000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.952$  Alright, I think we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:00:20.952 --> 00:00:22.576 get started. Welcome everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:22.576 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.432$  My name is Lauren Tobias and I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:00:25.432 --> 00:00:28.370 like to welcome you to our Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.002$  Sleep Seminar this afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:00:30.010 --> 00:00:32.194 I have a few quick announcements

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}00{:}32.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.210$  before I introduce today's speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:00:34.210 --> 00:00:36.352 First off, I can't believe how

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}00{:}36.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.789$  quickly time has flown this semester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.700$  but today is actually our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}00{:}40.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.610$  last conference for the fall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.696$  We're going to resume on January 6th

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:44.696 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.274$  with a talk by Kathy Goldstein from

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}00{:}47.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.294$  the University of Michigan Sleep

 $00{:}00{:}49.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.409$  Disorder Center and her talk is entitled

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}00{:}52.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.173$  Consumer Sleep Technologies Potentials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:54.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.080$  Set pitfalls in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:00:56.080 --> 00:00:57.600 of ambulatory sleep tracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.880$  so please join us for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:00:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.400$  on January 6th next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.132$  Some housekeeping announcements first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:03.132 --> 00:01:05.730 Please take a moment to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:05.801 --> 00:01:07.289 sure that you're muted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:07.290 --> 00:01:08.890 In order to receive CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.850$  credit for attendance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:09.850 \dashrightarrow 00:01:12.090$  please see the chat room for instructions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}01{:}12.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.666$  You can text the unique ID for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:14.666 --> 00:01:16.248 conference until 3:15 PM today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.850$  and if you're not already

00:01:17.850 --> 00:01:19.450 registered with Chelsea and me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.690$  you will need to do that first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.970$  If you have questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:22.970 --> 00:01:23.930 during the presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.178$  I encourage you to make use of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:26.178 --> 00:01:28.088 chat room throughout the hour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.127$  and we may invite people to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:30.127 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.303$  questions at the end of the hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:32.303 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.157$  and then recorded versions of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:34.227 --> 00:01:35.957 lectures will be available online

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:35.957 --> 00:01:38.410 within two weeks at the link provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.490$  In the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:39.490 --> 00:01:39.878 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:39.878 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.206$  please feel free to share the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:42.206 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.788$  announcements for this weekly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:43.788 --> 00:01:45.888 lecture series to anyone else you

 $00{:}01{:}45.888 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}01{:}47.679$  think might be interested and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}01{:}47.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.038$  we're going to be sending out our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:01:50.038 --> 00:01:51.832 full Winter Spring 2021 schedule

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:51.832 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.010$  in the next week or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:54.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.164$  So now I'm delighted to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:56.164 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.369$  Doctor Christopher Klein as our speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:01:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.112$  This afternoon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}01{:}59.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.338$  Doctor Klein completed his PhD in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}01.338 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.154$  exercise science at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:02:03.154 --> 00:02:04.165 of South Carolina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.055$  with the dissertation focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}06.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.395$  the effect of exercise training on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}08.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.365$  the severity and health consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}10.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.941$  of obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}11.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.030$  He then completed a postdoctoral

 $00:02:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.110$  research fellowship and sleep and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:02:16.178 --> 00:02:18.110 chronobiology at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.076$  of Pittsburgh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.100$  Currently he's an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.591$  in the Department of Health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}23.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.611$  Physical Activity and Health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}25.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.698$  Human Development within the School

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:27.698 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.526$  of Education at the University of Pittsburgh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.390$  His research interests include the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.759$  role of exercise as a behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}34.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.511$  treatment for sleep disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:36.511 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.701$  such as insomnia and OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.342$  and the bidirectional relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}40.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.382$  between physical activity and sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.465$  His numerous publications related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:02:44.465 --> 00:02:46.540 these topics lectures regularly on

 $00:02:46.599 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.982$  sleep and exercise, and his mentoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:48.982 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.678$  Numerous students and trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:02:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.822$  His current NIH funding includes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:02:52.822 --> 00:02:55.033 project examining the role of physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}55.033 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}02{:}56.843$  activity on cardiovascular risk in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}02{:}56.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.382$  pregnancy and a project looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:02:59.382 --> 00:03:01.230 how increasing physical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:03:01.230 --> 00:03:03.018 among sedentary individuals may

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}03{:}03.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.008$  lead to blood pressure reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}03{:}05.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.488$  I think we all know that physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:03:07.488 --> 00:03:09.520 activity impacts sleep quality and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:03:09.520 --> 00:03:11.780 daytime functioning in important ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}03{:}11.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}14.084$  and I'm really looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00{:}03{:}14.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.501$ hearing Doctor Klein educate us all

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:03:16.501 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.536$  about this relationship this afternoon,

 $00:03:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.781$  so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

 $00:03:18.781 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.950$  With that I will turn it over to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86102873

00:03:20.950 --> 00:03:21.160 Chris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669459

 $00:03:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.890$  OK, thank you for this invitation. Let me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669459

 $00:03:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.970$  I'll go ahead and share my screen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

 $00:03:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.480$  OK, can everyone see that OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

00:03:36.480 --> 00:03:39.427 OK, yeah, thank you again Lauren for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

00:03:39.427 --> 00:03:41.769 the opportunity to present here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

00:03:41.770 --> 00:03:44.678 As Lauren mentioned my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

 $00:03:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.468$  My research focus generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

 $00{:}03{:}46.468 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}03{:}47.809$  encompasses the bidirectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

00:03:47.809 --> 00:03:49.530 relationship between sleep and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

00:03:49.530 --> 00:03:51.125 physical activity and with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

 $00{:}03{:}51.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.890$  background PhD in exercise science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

00:03:52.890 --> 00:03:54.850 postdoctoral training and Sleep Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83299416

 $00:03:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.998$  I sort of have one foot

 $00:03:56.998 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.150$  in both of those fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

00:04:02.100 --> 00:04:03.773 Today though, I will not be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:03.773 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.480$  about sleep and exercise in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.185$  I'll be talking a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:07.185 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.549$  bit more specifically about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.410$  The importance of sleep for

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.526$  optimizing athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.956$  So this is an area that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

00:04:14.960 --> 00:04:17.306 I don't have too much current

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

00:04:17.306 --> 00:04:19.820 research going on on this topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00{:}04{:}19.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.124$  but again, with my background with

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

00:04:22.124 --> 00:04:24.679 the disciplines that I interact with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.704$  this is a topic that is constantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00{:}04{:}27.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.995$  discussed and I've always stayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:29.995 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.265$  relatively on top of the.

 $00:04:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.826$  The current literature so and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:34.826 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.896$  also find it just a topic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:37.896 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.016$  is to me very fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899884

 $00:04:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.506$  So we just to move forward here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7827078

 $00:04:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.396$  I this is what doctor Tobias mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7827078

00:04:51.400 --> 00:04:53.794 And I do not have any conflicts of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:04:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.780$  So. As everyone knows here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:04:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.510$  the topic of sleep in athletic

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00{:}05{:}02.601 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}05{:}06.080$  performance has really gained a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.102$  popularity in recent years, so athletics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:09.102 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.898$  Practitioners have long noted the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00{:}05{:}11.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.599$  of optimizing training programs for

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:14.599 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.859$  subsequent optimization of performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.080$  but only recently have practitioners

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.856$  really begun to appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:20.856 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.890$  the importance of recovery,

 $00:05:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.817$  or basically the 22 or so hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.996$  Of the day that you spend not training

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:29.996 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.401$  and really sleep and nutrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:32.401 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.936$  are the most critical factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.744$  Facilitating recovery and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00{:}05{:}36.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.450$  appreciation of the importance of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.594$  The research has tended to follow that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.718$  But as I'll show you here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.698$  that we still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

00:05:46.698 --> 00:05:48.980 there's still quite a bit to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00{:}05{:}49.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.544$  quite a bit to learn about the impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00{:}05{:}51.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.869$  of sleep on a thletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00{:}05{:}53.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.942$  So here's just a brief outline

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:56.942 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.450$  of what I will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:05:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.482$  Covering over the next 40 or so minutes

 $00:06:02.482 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.045$  and at the end I will obviously welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.89962876

 $00:06:06.045 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.018$  any questions that you may may have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00{:}06{:}11.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.004$  So I usually top talk on this topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00:06:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.888$  Sorry to interrupt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00:06:16.888 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.270$  You probably want to share screen in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

00:06:21.270 --> 00:06:23.496 For I don't know is everybody else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00{:}06{:}23.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.615$  is anyone else seeing I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00:06:25.615 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.730$  seeing you as larger than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00:06:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.588$  The slides themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00:06:28.588 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.018$  Oh, maybe that's just me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

00:06:30.020 --> 00:06:31.730 I was able to fix it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00:06:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.160$  Never mind keep continue here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292179

 $00{:}06{:}33.160 --> 00{:}06{:}34.590$  I was like Oh no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:06:34.590 --> 00:06:39.290 'cause I don't know how to fix that one, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:06:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.446$  So I usually talk on this topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:06:41.446 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.668$  to audiences who don't really have

00:06:43.668 --> 00:06:45.713 much expertise in Sleep Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:06:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.512$  so I usually begin with a few slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:06:48.512 --> 00:06:51.067 just priming the audience on on sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:06:51.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.660$  and specifically how sleep is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:06:53.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.718$  Is really an active state that prepares

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:06:55.718 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.749$  our bodies for optimal functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:06:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.295$  Now. I obviously don't need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:06:59.295 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.500$  to do that for this audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.768$  but I did want to emphasize how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}07{:}03.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.517$  sleep is really critical for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:05.517 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.635$  both the brain and the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.788$  So for the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:08.788 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.223$  sleep plays an essential role

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}07{:}10.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.068$  in both memory consolidation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:12.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.752$  More recently,

00:07:12.752 --> 00:07:14.798 we've learned the importance of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:14.798 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.531$  for clearing out metabolic by products

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:16.531 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.553$  in the brain, but also, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:07:18.553 --> 00:07:20.599 It's critically important for the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.987$  It's when multiple body systems are restored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.002$  including the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}07{:}24.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.026$  Nervous system, muscular system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:07:26.030 --> 00:07:29.494 Immune endocrine skeletal systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:29.494 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.360$  Anne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}07{:}30.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.271$  But it also serves as a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}07{:}32.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.860$  period for energy conservation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}07{:}33.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.775$  It's when energy stores are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:35.775 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.040$  built up for the subsequent day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.342$  So even though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:07:39.342 --> 00:07:40.210 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.965$  many people still consider sleep

 $00:07:41.965 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.489$  to be this passive state where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:07:44.489 --> 00:07:46.249 go offline and nothing happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.380$  We as a Sleep Medicine field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.790$  know that this couldn't be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.718$  further from the truth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:07:52.720 --> 00:07:53.530 Michael Grandner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.960$  researcher who most of you probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:07:55.960 --> 00:07:58.310 know and who I will be mentioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:07:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.240$  it a couple of other stops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.314$  In this presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:01.314 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.388$  He's really led.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}08{:}02.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.099$  The charge is getting the NCAA to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:08:05.099 --> 00:08:06.931 recognize the importance of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:06.931 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.031$  for their athletes and he just has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:09.031 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.338$  a quote that I absolutely love.

 $00:08:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.196$  Rather than being a passive process of rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:08:14.200 --> 00:08:16.706 Sleep is an active state of rebuilding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.478$  repair, reorganization and regeneration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:18.478 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.130$  and I think that just really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:21.194 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.050$  emphasizes the relevance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.906$  sleep to athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.054$  So in general, how well do athletes sleep?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.030$  So as Doctor Tobias mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}08{:}30.030 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}32.190$  my primary area of interest is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:32.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.435$  really in the impact of physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}08{:}34.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.004$  activity on sleep and in general we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:37.004 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.487$  know that exercise improves sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.298$  So you would think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.670$  Athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:42.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.260$  they get more exercise than mostly everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.590$  so they should have the best sleep of all.

 $00:08:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.810$  But it's actually somewhat of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}08{:}50.810 \to 00{:}08{:}51.920$  paradoxical relationship there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00{:}08{:}51.920 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.461$  as you'll see athletes do not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

00:08:54.461 --> 00:08:56.730 better sleep than non athletes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8368709

 $00:08:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.320$  in many cases it is quite disturbed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.830$  So anecdotal reports have always

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.780$  claimed a high prevalence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:05.849 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.769$  sleep disturbance in athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.454$  but there's been surprisingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:09:09.454 --> 00:09:10.296 little characterization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.280$  Obviously, patterns of this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.020$  And of those available studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00{:}09{:}16.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.764$  most involve small sample sizes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:19.764 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.930$  relatively limited measures of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.437$  The best evidence really comes from a

 $00:09:26.437 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.734$  recent systematic review led by Luke Gupta

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:29.734 \dashrightarrow 00:09:32.630$  and Kevin Morgan in at Loughborough an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.130$  They summarize the findings across

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.630$  37 different studies that focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:09:37.710 --> 00:09:40.140 on characterizing sleep in elite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:40.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.883$  Athletes. In general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:41.883 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.369$  they found that athletes sleep a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:45.369 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.770$  similar duration to non athletes but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.940$  Even though they sleep a similar duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.100$  the sleep that they do obtain seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.826$  be more fragmented, as evidenced by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:55.826 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.286$  Lower sleep efficiency than expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:09:58.286 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.890$  and higher sleep onset latency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.046$  And that's I shown just Table 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:03.046 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.339$  at the bottom left of this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.210$  That sort of depicts that.

 $00:10:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.872$  In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:10:08.872 --> 00:10:11.189 they found that nearly half of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:11.189 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.473$  athletes across these 37 studies self

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:10:13.473 --> 00:10:15.363 reported having poor sleep quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.330$  According to the Pittsburgh

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:10:17.330 --> 00:10:18.800 Sleep Quality index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.356$  Again, that's on the bottom right

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:21.356 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.060$  portion of the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.185$  An complaints related to Assump

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00{:}10{:}25.185 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.885$  in somnia were especially common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:10:26.890 --> 00:10:29.020 including as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.298$  long sleep latency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00{:}10{:}30.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.576$  in efficient fragmented sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.700$  and then waking up feeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.948$  relatively unrefreshed.

00:10:35.950 --> 00:10:37.820 This review also pointed out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.058$  though that of the studies reviewed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.310$  they generally were of poor quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:10:42.310 --> 00:10:44.180 Majority of studies were graded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:10:44.180 --> 00:10:46.045 Actually is poor quality evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00{:}10{:}46.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.666$  and only 5% were deemed high quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:10:48.666 --> 00:10:49.786 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:49.786 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.278$  as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00{:}10{:}51.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.765$  the biggest flaws in most of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:10:53.765 --> 00:10:55.769 studies were small sample sizes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.780$  but also the lack of the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:10:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.529$  condition control or comparison group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:11:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.184$  So overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:11:02.184 --> 00:11:03.819 in addition to pointing out

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:11:03.819 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.824$  the need for higher quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00{:}11{:}05.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.209$  characterization of sleep in athletes,

 $00:11:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.262$  this review really highlighted that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00{:}11{:}10.262 \to 00{:}11{:}12.610$  problem may not be insufficient sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:11:12.610 --> 00:11:14.890 due to voluntary sleep curtailment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:11:14.890 --> 00:11:16.805 but rather disturbed or fragmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

00:11:16.805 --> 00:11:19.190 sleep that is the primary issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.85449713

 $00:11:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.387$  with most athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:11:23.910 --> 00:11:26.563 So good is review generally focused just

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:11:26.563 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.101$  on elite athletes without regard to age

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:11:29.101 --> 00:11:31.672 Group an not too many studies actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:11:31.672 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.688$  focus on collegiate student athletes, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00{:}11{:}34.688 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}11{:}36.720$  Among specifically collegiate student

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:11:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.600$  athletes, this might be where the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00{:}11{:}39.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.819$  prevalence of poor sleep is seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:11:41.820 --> 00:11:43.740 If you think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:11:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.882$  collegiate student athletes need to juggle

00:11:45.882 --> 00:11:47.950 the demands of collegiate coursework,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00{:}11{:}47.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.766$  high demands on training, especially at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:11:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.196$  The more the higher levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:11:55.196 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.080$  such as Division One, power five conferences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:11:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.020$  And then there's still college students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:12:01.020 --> 00:12:03.650 so relatively poor sleep hygiene

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.754$  habits are relatively common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:12:05.760 --> 00:12:08.766 So shown here on the left are the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:08.766 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.697$  sleep durations that were self reported by

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:12:11.697 --> 00:12:14.730 NCAA athletes from the 2016 goals survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00{:}12{:}14.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.040$  and these results are separated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.345$  athletic division of the NCAA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00{:}12{:}19.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.421$  you can see that the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:21.421 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.483$  of different sleep durations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:12:23.483 --> 00:12:25.648 pretty similar across Division 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.468$  two and three.

 $00{:}12{:}27.468 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}12{:}30.498$  But approximately half of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:30.498 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.710$  athletes report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:12:31.710 --> 00:12:34.966 Six or less hours of sleep at night

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:34.966 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.865$  on average and with the mean being

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:37.865 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.368$  around 6 1/4 hours of sleep per night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.410$  So this amount of sleep is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.200$  Really similar to what college students

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.204$  generally report sleeping on average, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:49.204 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.824$  Within within those who studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:12:51.824 --> 00:12:53.920 sleep enough like performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

 $00:12:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.190$  there is the assumption that athletes

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:12:57.190 --> 00:13:00.690 need more sleep than non athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:13:00.690 --> 00:13:01.472 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825106

00:13:01.472 --> 00:13:03.036 the Gold survey did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.354$  They did show that in addition

 $00:13:07.354 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.560$  to sub optimal sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.168$  college athletes just oftentimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

00:13:11.168 --> 00:13:12.776 have poor quality sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00{:}13{:}12.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.318$  Over 50% of athletes reported that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

00:13:15.318 --> 00:13:17.850 they felt rested upon awakening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

00:13:17.850 --> 00:13:21.792 In the morning 3:00 or less days per week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.845$  So overall, just a broadview

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:23.845 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.450$  of the literature is that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.386$  the elite professional level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.214$  the issue seems to be much more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

00:13:31.214 --> 00:13:34.088 to be insomnia related symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

00:13:34.090 --> 00:13:36.730 whereas in contrast among non elite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.920$  collegiate and high school athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.320$  short sleep seems to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627473

 $00:13:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.730$  On average, the bigger issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

00:13:46.670 --> 00:13:48.680 It's important to note, though,

 $00:13:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.074$  that the prior couple of slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00{:}13{:}51.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.537$  in which the prevalence of poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:13:53.537 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.507$  sleep there was was presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:13:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.520$  Those represent disruption in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00{:}13{:}57.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}13{:}59.530$  athlete's normal or typical sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

00:13:59.530 --> 00:14:01.540 It's even more common, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.264$  for athletes to experience sleep disturbance

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00{:}14{:}04.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.970$  immediately prior to a competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.030$  So this was I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00{:}14{:}09.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.515$  really well demonstrated in in a recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:11.515 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.969$  study of elite Australian athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00{:}14{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.371$  And in that sample 64% of the athletes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00{:}14{:}17.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19.159$  surveyed reported experiencing worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:19.159 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.644$  sleep immediately prior to an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:21.644 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.444$  competition within the past year.

00:14:23.450 --> 00:14:27.158 And as you can see from these two graphs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

00:14:27.160 --> 00:14:29.866 most of the time the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:29.866 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.899$  was an inability to fall asleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.462$  And most often this difficulty sleeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:35.462 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.257$  was attributed to thoughts and nervousness

NOTE Confidence: 0.86611205

 $00:14:38.257 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.285$  about the upcoming competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:14:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.775$  So there's a variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:14:44.775 --> 00:14:46.845 factors that at play that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}14{:}46.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48.985$  predispose athletes to poor sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:14:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.132$  Some of these factors are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}14{:}51.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.990$  to be directly relevant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}14{:}52.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.775$  the sport they participate in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}14{:}54.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.836$  while others seem to be seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:14:57.836 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.718$  more related to the individual itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.192$  So this schematic is from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:15:03.192 --> 00:15:04.428 recently published consensus

00:15:04.428 --> 00:15:06.530 statement on Sleeping Lead athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}15{:}06.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.055$  and I think it characterizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:09.055 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.580$  these risk factors really well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.036$  So first we have non sport or individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}15{:}16.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.669$  factors on the periphery in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.610$  And there's just numerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.550$  external non sport influences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}15{:}25.550 \rightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.518$  That impact in a thlete Ann may

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:15:27.518 --> 00:15:29.610 predispose them to sleep disturbance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}15{:}29.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.450$  so most athletes aren't well

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:15:31.450 --> 00:15:32.186 paid professionals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:32.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.920$  so they have to juggle other family

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.486$  social commitments that often

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:36.486 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.466$  come at the expense of sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.417$  such as school part time or full

00:15:41.417 --> 00:15:43.330 time job caretaking duties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.200$  In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.505$  individual characteristics may

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:45.505 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.732$  play a significant role, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:47.732 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.696$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:48.696 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.106$  the very attributes that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:51.106 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.708$  predispose one to XLS an athlete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:15:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.910$  such as perfectionism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}15{:}54.910 \to 00{:}15{:}57.721$  attention to detail, strong work ethic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:15:57.721 --> 00:16:00.406 They also may predispose an

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}00.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.430$  athlete to insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.572$  And these could also include factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}04.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.485$  like chronotype and sleep need

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:06.485 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.189$  that are highly individualistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:16:08.190 --> 00:16:08.633 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}08.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.848$  the athletes lifestyle choices and

00:16:10.848 --> 00:16:13.008 attitudes about the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}13.008 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}16{:}15.269$  sleep likely play a role in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:16:15.269 --> 00:16:16.639 predisposition to poor sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.336$  even though most athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}18.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.390$  Now know about the importance of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.158$  For many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:16:22.158 --> 00:16:24.846 it's still not a high priority until

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}24.846 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}16{:}27.148$  right before a big competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.400$  Now, on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}28.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.155$  sport related factors which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}30.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.058$  shown here on the inner circle in orange.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.274$  Those are easier to identify and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}35.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.370$  they largely revolve around training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.728$  travel and competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:16:39.730 --> 00:16:40.176 Specifically,

00:16:40.176 --> 00:16:42.852 high training loads in poorly poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}42.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.800$  timed training training sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.644$  so either excessively early

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:46.644 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.949$  or late in the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.794$  Those may predispose predispose

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:50.794 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.099$  athlete to poor sleep well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.450$  while the experience of competition

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:16:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.351$  and with it coming potentially late

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}16{:}58.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.701$  competition times travel the unfamiliar

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:00.701 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.158$  settings in which one is required to sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:17:04.160 --> 00:17:06.926 Those may just add onto this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:06.926 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.309$  poor sleep issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}17{:}08.310 --> 00{:}17{:}10.700$  Now the relevance of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.871$  Various factors are going to differ according

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:13.871 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.098$  to the individual and the individual sport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:17:17.100 --> 00:17:18.405 So for instance,

 $00:17:18.405 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.580$  swimming is notorious for early

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}17{:}20.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.130$  morning training sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:22.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.280$  whereas professional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.195$  Basketball players may be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:17:26.195 --> 00:17:28.622 likely to engage in evening or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:17:28.622 --> 00:17:30.366 even later night competitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.205$  and these factors can act in isolation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.830$  or they can interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.045$  So for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.510$  an athlete who may normally be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}17{:}38.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.017$  predisposed to insomnia may be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:41.017 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.393$  to sleep fine during the offseason

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00{:}17{:}43.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.389$  when training loads are lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:45.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.580$  travel is minimal, but then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.675$  The insomnia may manifest itself

 $00:17:50.675 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.770$  during the competitive season when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

00:17:52.840 --> 00:17:54.685 training loads are much higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:54.685 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.530$  in competition and travel is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.788$  much more frequent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.590$  So overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:17:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.590$  this schematic really just emphasizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:18:00.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.549$  the numerous factors that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007977

 $00:18:02.549 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.649$  contribute to poor sleep and athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00{:}18{:}07.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.475$  So we see that poor sleep is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

00:18:10.475 --> 00:18:11.600 prevalent among athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.784$  and the reason that why that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:13.784 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.220$  such a big deal is that poor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.120$  insufficient sleep could impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

00:18:19.120 --> 00:18:22.784 Athletic performance. So in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:22.784 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.010$  there is the expectation that poor sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.930$  which is manifested in a variety

 $00:18:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.458$  of ways as shown here on the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00{:}18{:}29.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.450$  could impair multiple domains that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.440$  are relevant to athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.416$  However, the existing experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:35.416 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.886$  literature really is primarily focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

00:18:37.886 --> 00:18:40.685 on sleep restriction or even outright

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:40.685 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.925$  total sleep deprivation an there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00{:}18{:}42.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.585$  really an across the board need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00{:}18{:}45.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.656$  quality research that examines at hletic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:47.656 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.568$  performance outcomes under conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00:18:49.568 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.916$  of more realistic conditions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512923

 $00{:}18{:}51.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.766$  poor sleep that athletes experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:18:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.528$  So what is the actual evidence that links

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:18:58.528 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.320$  poor sleep to impaired athletic performance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:19:01.320 --> 00:19:04.794 Well, as I alluded to on the last slide,

 $00:19:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.676$  there's there's actually surprisingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:06.676 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.083$  minimal literature that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}19{:}08.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.160$  focused on realistic sleep loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:19:10.160 --> 00:19:13.254 In fact, many of the early studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:13.254 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.533$  focused on complete sleep deprivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:15.533 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.585$  of 24 to 60 hours of duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.740$  And then they examined how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}19{:}21.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.315$  impacted different exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}19{:}23.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.640$  parameters or performance markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.594$  So I didn't even really include those

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:28.594 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.126$  in this talk, just because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:31.126 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.236$  not realistic for most athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.802$  Now, among studies that have examined

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:35.802 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.299$  more realistic scenarios of sleep loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.284$  they've shown relatively minimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}19{:}40.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.764$  changes in performance with a

00:19:42.764 --> 00:19:44.987 single night of reduced sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:19:44.990 --> 00:19:46.385 So somewhat consistently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:46.385 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.710$  studies have shown that simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:19:48.710 --> 00:19:50.536 performance metrics involving large

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}19{:}50.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.014$  muscle groups so gross motor function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:19:53.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.800$  such as muscular strength,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:19:54.800 --> 00:19:57.490 power output, and view to Max.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}19{:}57.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.190$  Aren't affected that much by a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:20:00.190 --> 00:20:01.990 Single night of sleep loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:20:01.990 --> 00:20:02.523 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}20{:}02.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.254$  the evidence is is very equivocal there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.347$  So what does seem to be impacted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.520$  Well, for one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.250$  in contrast to large muscle group activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.704$  performance of the more complex or

00:20:15.704 --> 00:20:18.130 fine motor skills may be impacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.266$  by one night of sleep loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.526$  So small studies have shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:20:22.526 --> 00:20:24.560 sport specific skills of tennis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.502$  darts, handball,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}20{:}25.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.857$  they all are significantly affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:27.857 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.410$  by even a single night of sleep loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.804$  Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}20{:}31.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.774$  activities involving a high cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:33.774 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.259$  load or the need for precise decision-making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}20{:}37.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.960$  They may also be impacted as

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}20{:}39.960 --> 00{:}20{:}41.760 \ {\rm reaction \ time \ is \ affected},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:20:41.760 --> 00:20:44.910 regulation of mood and emotion is impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.039$  but the biggest impact of a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:48.039 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.656$  night of sleep loss may be on repeated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.518$  submaximal efforts.

 $00{:}20{:}52.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.092$  So studies have shown that one's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:20:55.092 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.059$  perception of effort for given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:20:57.060 --> 00:20:59.976 Submaximal task is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:20:59.976 --> 00:21:01.920 higher with restricted sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.545$  And also accompanied by reduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:04.545 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.595$  pain tolerance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.320$  But overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.480$  when you consider the existing evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.520$  regarding the effect of one night

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.095$  of reduced sleep on performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.277$  and you combine that with the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:21:14.277 --> 00:21:16.400 that most athletes do sleep poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00{:}21{:}16.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.908$  the night before competition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:21:17.910 --> 00:21:20.268 one thing I always tell the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:20.268 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.600$  efforts I work with is that.

 $00:21:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.110$  If you sleep poorly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

00:21:24.110 --> 00:21:25.016 night before competition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83787227

 $00:21:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.540$  do not worry about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

00:21:29.110 --> 00:21:31.900 So as I talked about in the last slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:21:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.694$  you know contrast in gross motor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:21:33.694 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.620$  function versus more fine motor skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:21:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.790$  There does seem to be a difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

00:21:37.790 --> 00:21:40.448 so I wanted to just highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00{:}21{:}40.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.290$  this study here that focused on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:21:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.972$  A simple sample of collegiate tennis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00{:}21{:}45.972 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.500$  players and tennis serve accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:21:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.060$  So they actually conducted two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:21:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.620$  different studies in this publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00{:}21{:}51.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.895$  In the first, they looked the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:21:53.895 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.730$  of a single night of sleep restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

00:21:56.730 --> 00:21:59.397 By restricting the athletes sleep by 33%,

 $00:21:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.592$  so down from about 7 1/2 hours of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:02.592 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.860$  sleep to five hours for one night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.188$  In the second study they keep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

00:22:08.190 --> 00:22:10.518 They kept that same sleep manipulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.460$  but they added in the possibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.736$  receiving 80 milligrams of caffeine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:15.736 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.086$  in that sleep restricted condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

00:22:18.090 --> 00:22:19.482 And for both studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00{:}22{:}19.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.222$  the tennis players were tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.148$  On their ability to place the serve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:23.148 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.802$  in a very specifically marked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:24.802 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.717$  area in the service box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.576$  so that's actually taken straight from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00{:}22{:}29.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.970$  their publication that graphic there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.650$  In the first study,

 $00:22:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.170$  they found that tennis serve accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:36.247 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.191$  was impaired by approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

00:22:38.191 --> 00:22:41.050 30% under sleep restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.075$  So a significant reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

00:22:43.075 --> 00:22:45.100 performance from only a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:45.168 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.988$  night of sleep restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.550$  In the second study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

00:22:48.550 --> 00:22:50.500 they found relatively similar results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.308$  but what was most notable here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:53.308 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.315$  caffeine did not rescue performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:55.315 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.199$  in the sleeper statistic in the sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:22:58.277 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.237$  restricted condition did not improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00{:}23{:}00.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.158$  it back up to normal baseline levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00{:}23{:}03.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.032$  So this study provided some pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:23:06.032 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.250$  compelling evidence that a single night

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00{:}23{:}08.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.512$  of sleep loss may have a significant

00:23:10.512 --> 00:23:13.389 impact on fine motor skills that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509262

 $00:23:13.389 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.980$  relevant for athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}23{:}17.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.116$  Now where we see more consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:23:20.116 --> 00:23:21.740 pronounced decrements in performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.547$  this seems to be with more sustained

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:23:24.547 --> 00:23:27.068 or chronic sleep loss, but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:23:27.068 --> 00:23:29.642 even here there's very little high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:29.642 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.479$  quality research in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.742$  and these studies of quote unquote

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:23:33.742 --> 00:23:35.780 chronic sleep loss are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.733$  relatively short term, which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:37.733 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.674$  Doesn't mimic what may be occurring among

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:41.674 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.757$  athletes who are chronically sleep deprived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.806$  So I wanted to over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:23:47.806 --> 00:23:49.170 next couple of slides,

 $00:23:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.466$  just point out a couple of classic studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}23{:}51.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.599$  that have demonstrated these effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.328$  So in this first study on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:23:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.640$  this is a study by led by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:23:58.640 --> 00:24:00.080 David Dangerous at Penn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.174$  and they had participants complete a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:02.174 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.170$  10 minute sustained reaction time task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.193$  So the Pvt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:05.193 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.898$  At baseline after week of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:24:06.898 --> 00:24:08.608 approximately 8 hours of sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.656$  and then they restricted these participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:10.656 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.784$  sleep by 33% to so approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:12.784 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.940 4$  to 5 hours of sleep per night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.054$  And they had to maintain that sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:24:18.054 --> 00:24:19.830 schedule for seven nights, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}24{:}19.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.930$  measuring reaction time in each

 $00:24:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.479$  day over that seven day period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.644$  So what they found was a gradual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:24:27.644 --> 00:24:30.290 increase in reaction time overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.725$  Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}24{:}30.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.900$  a relatively minor increase after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}24{:}32.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.610$  one night of sleep restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:24:35.610 --> 00:24:39.312 but a but more accumulated effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:24:39.312 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.780$  over those seven nights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:24:41.780 --> 00:24:44.335 In altogether seven nights of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}24{:}44.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.240$  restricted sleep resulted in a 33%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}24{:}47.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.640$  slowing of sustained reaction time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:24:50.640 --> 00:24:50.997 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}24{:}50.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.139$  what's interesting is that after those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:24:53.139 --> 00:24:55.309 seven nights of restricted sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033 00:24:55.310 --> 00:24:55.856 the.

 $00:24:55.856 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.586$  The protocol involved two nights

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:24:58.586 --> 00:25:00.224 of recovery sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.975$  so sleep of eight to 10 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.492$  And it took two full nights of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:25:06.492 --> 00:25:08.594 recovery sleep to recover from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:08.594 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.354$  seven nights of restricted sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}25{:}10.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.969$  and subsequent studies have shown a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}25{:}12.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.169$  similar cumulative effect on different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.618$  aspects of cognitive performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:17.618 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.066$  And this obviously has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.936$  Direct relevance to performance and training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.879$  so even this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:25:22.879 --> 00:25:24.757 even though this study was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:24.757 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.589$  conducted specifically in athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:25:26.590 --> 00:25:28.214 Reaction time decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:28.214 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.244$  Those are all highly relevant,

 $00:25:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.398$  especially at the elite level when.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00{:}25{:}32.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.820$  Really, the physical physical ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

00:25:34.820 --> 00:25:37.706 It's a relatively level playing field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.638$  What differentiates are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707033

 $00:25:39.638 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.084$  more cognitive factors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:25:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.636$  So shown here is another classic study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:25:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.064$  this time with the focus of looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00{:}25{:}48.064 \to 00{:}25{:}50.249$  chronic sleep loss in muscular strength.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:25:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.386$  So in this study, participants experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:25:52.386 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.760$  three nights of sleep that was reduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:25:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.825$  from eight to three hours per night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00{:}25{:}56.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59.530$  and this is contrasted against a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00{:}25{:}59.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.189$  control condition that was allowed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00{:}26{:}02.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04.919$  sleep 8 hours each of those nights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

00:26:04.920 --> 00:26:06.728 So in this study,

00:26:06.728 --> 00:26:08.988 participants performed variety of lifts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

00:26:08.990 --> 00:26:10.382 muscular strength lifts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:10.382 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.126$  but I've only shown the leg press here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:14.126 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.390$  so you can see that relative to baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

00:26:17.390 --> 00:26:20.286 after one night of restricted sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

00:26:20.290 --> 00:26:23.447 there wasn't too much of a difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.665$  but the effects became increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

00:26:25.665 --> 00:26:28.879 apparent on the second and third nights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00{:}26{:}28.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.200$  eventually resulting in 19% lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.070$  strength output in the leg press.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00{:}26{:}34.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.620$  In the Sleep Restriction Group after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

00:26:36.620 --> 00:26:38.744 after three nights now, surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:38.744 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.712$  there's still very few of these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:41.712 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.463$  examining athletic performance markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:43.463 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.396$  over these subchronic periods of sleep loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.088$  So we're currently in the planning

 $00:26:49.088 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.919$  stages for one of the zip it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.282$  There was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

00:26:53.282 --> 00:26:56.687 unfortunately interrupted by by Covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.706$  So what about training in recovery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:26:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.395$  So obviously optimizing training and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

00:27:00.395 --> 00:27:02.080 recovery is essential for performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:27:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.432$  and if you're injured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:27:03.432 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.770$  sick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:27:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.786$  or just simply unable to recover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:27:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.764$  you're not going to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:27:07.764 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.875$  put in the training that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760177

 $00:27:09.875 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.855$  you to perform your best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}27{:}14.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.827$  So I wanted to start off this section by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:16.827 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.845$  really pointing out and emphasizing how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:18.845 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.995$  sleep is critical for the acquisition

 $00:27:20.995 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.025$  and retention of motor skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}27{:}23.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.695$  And remember it's during sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:24.695 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.027$  that we consolidate memories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.016$  We prune synapses that aren't critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.690$  strengthen those that are important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

00:27:29.690 --> 00:27:32.082 and I always go back to this classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:32.082 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.188$  study by Matt Walker and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:34.188 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.680$  when he was in Bob Stickels lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}27{:}36.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.677$  So this is going back nearly 20 years now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.864$  But in this study they showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:41.864 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.840$  that learning a new skill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.488$  Is improved with sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:45.488 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.193$  so on the far left that graph shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}27{:}49.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.043$  that participants first learned a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:52.043 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.900$  finger tapping task at 10:00 AM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.700$  An when they tested them 12 hours later,

 $00:27:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.288$  no improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:27:58.288 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.758$  Then they allowed them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

00:27:59.758 --> 00:28:01.166 sleep and suddenly performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:01.166 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.597$  was significantly improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.240$  But this could be due to a delayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}28{:}05.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.850$  effect or just the passage of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

00:28:07.850 --> 00:28:11.746 So in a separate group of participants they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

00:28:11.750 --> 00:28:14.198 Initially taught that same skill at 10:00 PM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.070$  then test it again 12 hours later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}28{:}17.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.436$  After a night of sleep and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}28{:}19.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.239$  just confirmed that sleep seemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:21.239 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.049$  to be the critical factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.507$  Now the role of sleep in motor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}28{:}25.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.668$  skill acquisition is been shown to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:27.668 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.732$  be much more complex than this,

 $00:28:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.155$  but it really to me highlights the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}28{:}32.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.580$  potentially important role for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}28{:}33.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.578$  sleep in learning skills that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:35.578 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.489$  rehearsed in athletic team practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.087$  And while a number of studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

00:28:40.087 --> 00:28:42.985 now linked sleep to both fine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00{:}28{:}42.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44.693$  gross motor skill acquisition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.918$  To my knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

00:28:45.918 --> 00:28:48.354 no studies really looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

00:28:48.354 --> 00:28:50.379 acquisition of specific sports skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388168

 $00:28:50.379 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.580$  and how sleep may influence its acquisition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:28:55.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.527$  Now, while athletes may debate which recovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

00:28:58.527 --> 00:29:00.609 strategies are most most beneficial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

00:29:00.610 --> 00:29:03.658 sleep is often considered to be the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:29:03.658 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.067$  recovery strategy available to an athlete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:29:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.000$  and the schematic on the

 $00:29:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.360$  left really to meet a pix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:29:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.747$  depicts well how training is designed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

00:29:12.747 --> 00:29:15.817 lead to a transient dip in performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:29:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.595$  but with proper recovery will

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:29:17.595 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.697$  lead to a new and greater baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:29:20.697 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.560$  of performance overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

00:29:22.560 --> 00:29:24.472 But with insufficient recovery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

00:29:24.472 --> 00:29:27.340 that new baseline is not reached,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:29:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.729$  and in contrast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00:29:28.729 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.581$  performance continues to deteriorate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83999413

 $00{:}29{:}30.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.600$  with with subsequent training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

00:29:34.650 --> 00:29:37.140 Sergio two fixed group in Brazil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:29:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.220$  published nearly ten years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:29:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.275$  Now a theoretical review that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:29:41.275 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.330$  described how restricted sleep could

00:29:43.401 --> 00:29:45.867 impair or could impact the muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

00:29:45.867 --> 00:29:47.511 repair and regeneration process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:29:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.588$  basically converting sleep from

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:29:49.588 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.656$  a relatively anabolic state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:29:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.060$  Into more of a catabolic state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

00:29:54.060 --> 00:29:56.220 But just like for athletic performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

00:29:56.220 --> 00:29:58.434 we we still have relatively little

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:29:58.434 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.250$  research that's directly examine them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:00.250 \dashrightarrow 00:30:04.866$  Words of sleep for the recovery of athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.534$  Now we do know that acute bouts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:07.534 \dashrightarrow 00:30:09.900$  training not even considering sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:09.900 \dashrightarrow 00:30:12.066$  Acute bouts of training lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:12.066 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.160$  transient dips in immune function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

00:30:14.160 --> 00:30:16.824 And we also know from the non athlete

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:16.824 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.190$  literature that there's increased illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00{:}30{:}19.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.120$  Rick risk with restricted sleep.

00:30:21.120 --> 00:30:24.136 So this infographic is from a study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00{:}30{:}24.136 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}30{:}26.363$  was conducted at Carnegie Mellon about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

00:30:26.363 --> 00:30:29.372 five years ago now and in this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:29.372 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.886$  they inoculated a sample of participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:31.886 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.210$  with the rhinovirus or the common cold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.562$  And then they looked at how participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:37.562 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.097$  slept in the two weeks leading up

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:40.097 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.927$  to exposure to that rhinovirus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.302$  And they found a relatively dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00{:}30{:}45.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.103$  dependent likelihood of catching a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00{:}30{:}48.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.845$  cold based upon your sleep history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533 00:30:50.850 --> 00:30:53.160 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.993$  This really provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:30:54.993 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.659$  Pretty strong evidence that sleep will

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

00:30:58.659 --> 00:31:01.325 adequately protect you against catching

 $00:31:01.325 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.960$  the cold in a dose dependent manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00{:}31{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.985$  An obviously this wasn't conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:31:06.985 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.252$  in athletes, but this to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:31:09.252 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.067$  Also emphasizes the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:31:11.067 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.489$  sleep at protecting immune function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

 $00:31:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.520$  Athletes cannot train in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.81555533

00:31:15.520 --> 00:31:17.550 optimal manner if they're sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00{:}31{:}19.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.156$  We also know that inadequate sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00{:}31{:}22.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.768$  leads to an increased risk for injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.346$  so this study, shown here on this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:27.346 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.500$  is the first of several studies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

00:31:30.500 --> 00:31:33.318 been published on sleep and injury risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.805$  and ironically, for some reason they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:35.805 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.790$  seemingly all focused on adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:37.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.250$  But in this survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.075$  high school athletes in California

00:31:41.075 --> 00:31:42.991 completed a survey about training

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

00:31:42.991 --> 00:31:44.475 habits and health behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.600$  and then these factors were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

00:31:46.600 --> 00:31:48.296 evaluated against objective injury

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:48.296 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.116$  records that were kept by the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.030$  Various high school athletic department's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:31:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.430$  And you can see here that the injury

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00{:}31{:}57.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00.808$  rate differs across different amounts of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:32:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.610$  Typical sleep duration that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:32:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.410$  reported by these athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:32:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.210$  but altogether getting less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:32:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.460$  than 8 hours of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:32:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.530$  Those athletes had 70% greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00{:}32{:}10.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12.186$  odds of experiencing injury

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519095

 $00:32:12.186 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.309$  in that competitive season.

00:32:17.190 --> 00:32:18.480 Finally, minimal evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:18.480 \dashrightarrow 00:32:21.927$  but what evidence is there does seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:21.927 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.461$  does seem to suggest that athletes just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

00:32:24.461 --> 00:32:27.272 don't seem to bounce back as quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:27.272 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.287$  when they aren't sleeping enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

00:32:29.290 --> 00:32:32.671 So the study involved a within subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:32.671 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.525$  design in which they assessed peak

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

00:32:35.525 --> 00:32:38.598 power on a bike or grammar test

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00{:}32{:}38.687 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}32{:}41.232$  prior to high intensity training

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:41.232 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.426$  session and then 24 hours after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00{:}32{:}44.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.656$  That high intensity training session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

00:32:47.660 --> 00:32:50.320 So assessed twice 24 hours apart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.690$  in between those two assessments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.230$  participants were either allowed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.214$  maintain their normal sleep patterns or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:32:58.214 \dashrightarrow 00:33:00.804$  they were told to restrict their sleep.

00:33:00.810 --> 00:33:04.203 By 50% and you can see here on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

00:33:04.203 --> 00:33:07.579 left under normal sleep conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.328$  the athletes were actually able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:10.328 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.671$  bounce back almost completely from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:12.671 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.851$  that high intensity training session

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

00:33:14.851 --> 00:33:17.934 and have nearly the same peak power

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:17.934 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.034$  generation 24 hours after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.392$  After that training session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:22.392 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.744$  whereas under restricted sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

00:33:24.750 --> 00:33:30.720 They only were able to recover by 9595% so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.880$  This may seem trivial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.660$  only a 4% difference in the magnitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

00:33:36.660 --> 00:33:37.740 of recovery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.660$  but many practitioners emphasize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.580$  it's exactly these small incremental

00:33:43.667 --> 00:33:46.392 differences on an individual daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:46.392 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.673$  basis that can accumulate overtime and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:49.673 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.517$  lead to significant reductions in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150859

 $00:33:52.517 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.560$  ability to recover and repair bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:33:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.363$  So just a few slides here on what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

00:34:01.363 --> 00:34:04.483 know about sleep interventions and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:04.483 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.218$  they could impact athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.320$  So around 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.496$  I mean only a few professional teams really

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:13.496 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.029$  consulted with sleep experts and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:16.029 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.531$  was they were considered cutting edge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.544$  Now among collegiate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:20.544 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.047$  professional athletic teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

00:34:22.050 --> 00:34:24.660 They're considered lagging if they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.170$  consulting with with sleep experts in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.678$  Several. Commercial companies now

 $00:34:28.678 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.940$  exist solely for the purpose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88030875

 $00:34:30.999 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.029$  sleep consulting to athletic teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}34{:}35.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.874$  So the study that really seemed to jump start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:34:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.850$  Our appreciation on the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}34{:}40.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.820$  of sleep to optimize performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

00:34:42.887 --> 00:34:44.855 came from this study from Sherry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:34:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.710$  MA and colleagues at Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}34{:}47.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.638$  The study involved a sample of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:34:50.638 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.232$  Stanford basketball players and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:34:53.232 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.468$  intervention was basically encouraging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}34{:}55.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.690$  the athletes to extend their sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:34:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.458$  with the goal of achieving up to 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:01.458 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.630$  or more hours of sleep per night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.510$  Optimally focused on obtaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.920$  sufficient nighttime sleep,

00:35:07.920 --> 00:35:10.270 but when that wasn't possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.620$  daytime napping was was encouraged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.182$  So overall, over the five to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:15.182 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.860$  seven weeks of sleep extension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

00:35:17.860 --> 00:35:21.163 They didn't quite get to the 10 hour goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.565$  but these athletes on average did increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:24.565 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.976$  their sick duration by 1.8 hours on average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

00:35:27.980 --> 00:35:30.224 And with what Mon colleagues found

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}35{:}30.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.721$  was pretty much across the board

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:32.721 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.585$  improvements in performance compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}35{:}34.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36.945$  to after sleep extension compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:36.945 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.080$  to prior compared to baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:39.080 \dashrightarrow 00:35:42.650$  So a 4% improvement in Sprint times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.410 \text{ A } 12\%$  improvement in mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.618$  reaction time and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.512$  Really significant

 $00{:}35{:}48.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.850$  improvements in basketball.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}35{:}49.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51.834$  Specific parameters of related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:51.834 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.810$  to performance and then also just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:54.894 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.609$  general mood in daytime sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:35:57.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.182$  So this study really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

00:35:59.182 --> 00:36:01.147 Remains the strongest evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:36:01.147 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.480$  the potential impact that increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

00:36:03.480 --> 00:36:05.815 sleep duration or improving sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}36{:}05.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.349$  can have on improving performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:36:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.878$  and is rightly considered a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

00:36:11.878 --> 00:36:14.980 impactful paper on the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}36{:}14.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.102$  But the rigor for this study was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}36{:}18.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.407$  relatively low in terms of sample size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:36:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.310$  More importantly, no control condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:36:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.388$  In terms of the more specific

 $00:36:28.388 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.927$  athletic performance parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00{:}36{:}29.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.610$  Shooting Sprint times free

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

00:36:31.610 --> 00:36:32.870 through actual accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

00:36:32.870 --> 00:36:35.858 Those would be expected somewhat to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:36:35.858 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.948$  improve over the course of a season.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:36:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.024$  Now the mood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:36:40.024 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.456$  Daytime sleepiness would definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769063

 $00:36:41.456 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.199$  not be expected to improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85827154

 $00{:}36{:}45.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47.435$  The intervention was relatively loose

NOTE Confidence: 0.85827154

00:36:47.435 --> 00:36:50.170 without a lot of structured guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85827154

 $00{:}36{:}50.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.320$  so from a practical standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85827154

 $00:36:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.290$  this study really demonstrated the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85827154

 $00:36:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.050$  potential for sleep to improve performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85827154

 $00{:}36{:}57.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59.200$  but from a scientific standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85827154

 $00:36:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.640$  it still left a lot of questions unanswered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.865$  Another study that really strongly

 $00:37:07.865 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.516$  demonstrated the impact of sleep extension

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:10.516 \dashrightarrow 00:37:12.931$  on performance involved 12 collegiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

00:37:12.931 --> 00:37:15.279 varsity tennis tennis players and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:15.279 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.349$  they monitored these athletes for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:17.349 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.286$  week in which they just maintain their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:20.286 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.130$  habitual normal sleep habits and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:23.212 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.396$  was followed by a week in which these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:26.396 \dashrightarrow 00:37:29.144$  tennis players were asked to obtain at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00{:}37{:}29.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.250$  least nine hours of sleep per night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.616$  Now the main outcome here was tennis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:35.616 \dashrightarrow 00:37:37.668$  serve accuracy similar to that previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:37.668 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.618$  study that I already talked about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.294$  in which participants needed to place the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

00:37:42.294 --> 00:37:44.873 server into a specific location in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:44.873 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.380$  service box for it to be considered accurate.

 $00:37:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.446$  So what they found was a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

00:37:51.446 --> 00:37:53.979 improvement in tennis serve accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.955$  After just one week of sleep extension

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:37:56.955 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.058$  and alongside the improvements in tennis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

00:38:00.060 --> 00:38:02.368 serve accuracy were significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:02.368 \dashrightarrow 00:38:05.253$  reductions in self reported sleepiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:05.253 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.756$  so as depicted by the Epworth Sleepiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:08.756 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.080$  Scale and the Stanford Sleepiness Scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.296$  So as I noted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

00:38:13.296 --> 00:38:15.120 you know those two studies over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00{:}38{:}15.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17.564$  the last couple slides really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:17.564 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.750$  provided the most notable support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.150$  For the value of improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00{:}38{:}22.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.070$  sleep to improve performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

00:38:24.070 --> 00:38:25.165 Now more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00{:}38{:}25.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.720$  the concept of banking sleep prior to

 $00:38:27.787 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.055$  anticipated sleep loss has started to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:30.055 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.069$  begun to be examined for its effectiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.470$  Most of these studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.590$  focused on cognitive outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.786$  so shown here is a study with the closest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553157

 $00:38:37.786 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.230$  relevance to athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:38:41.700 --> 00:38:44.052 This was a randomized study in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}38{:}44.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.858$  randomized crossover study in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}38{:}45.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.698$  participants went through two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:38:47.698 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.720$  conditions that were six nights long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:38:49.720 --> 00:38:52.576 Prior to a night of total sleep deprivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:38:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.224$  so in one condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}38{:}54.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56.279$  participants maintain their normal sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:38:56.279 --> 00:38:58.999 habits around 8 hours in bed for six nights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:38:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.608$  and in the other they increase their time

00:39:01.608 --> 00:39:04.707 in bed by approximately 2 hours per night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.970$  Over those six nights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.000$  And after both of those six night conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.170$  they were tested before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.340$  after staying up all night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.924$  With the primary outcome being how long these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:16.924 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.606$  individuals could sustain a submaximal knee

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:19.606 \dashrightarrow 00:39:22.666$  extension at 10% of their maximal effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}39{:}22.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.330$  So, again, not directly relevant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.528$  athletic performance, but does have some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}39{:}29.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.694$  Some applications here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:30.694 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.634$  Now during the habitual sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:32.634 \dashrightarrow 00:39:34.487$  condition shown here on the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.260$  Right now, time to exhaustion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.885$  So the amount of time they could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:38.885 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.990$  sustain that that contraction.

 $00:39:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.056$  Worsened by 7% after sleep deprivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:44.060 \dashrightarrow 00:39:48.156$  so that so D0 is pre sleep deprivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.500$  D1 is after sleep deprivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.780$  In the sleep extension condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.520$  time to exhaustion also worsen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.164$  after sleep deprivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:39:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.320$  But it was only three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.156$  Point 7% here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:02.156 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.828$  So the amount of reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}40{:}05.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.190$  performance was attenuated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.162$  But even more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:10.162 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.627$  in the sleep extension condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.270$  baseline performance was 3.9% better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:40:15.270 --> 00:40:18.268 than the habitual condition and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}40{:}18.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.512$  8% better after sleep deprivation.

 $00:40:20.512 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.484$  So in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.352$  banking sleep lead to better performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:25.352 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.706$  prior to sleep loss and also better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}40{:}28.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.424$  performance after sleep loss or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:31.424 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.194$  smaller attenuation or blunting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:34.194 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.536$  performance impairment after sleep loss so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.228$  Subsequent research really needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:40:40.228 --> 00:40:42.860 performed on this concept of banking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}40{:}42.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.740$  sleep and more relevant outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.044$  related to athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:48.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.409$  But if you're not able to extend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:40:50.409 --> 00:40:52.190 sleep at at at night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:40:52.190 --> 00:40:54.540 can napping improve performance so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.585$  This study involved a within subject design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:40:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.390$  both under both conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:40:59.390 --> 00:41:02.390 Athletes slept from 2300 to 3:00 AM,

 $00:41:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.570$  so four hours of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}41{:}04.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07.377$  In one condition they were allowed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:07.377 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.431$  30 minute nap around an hour before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:10.431 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.616$  performance was tested and in the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:13.616 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.773$  condition they were not allowed to nap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:41:16.780 --> 00:41:20.260 So this is a wide variety of performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.568$  Markers were assessed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:41:21.568 --> 00:41:24.184 but I'm only showing 2 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.104$  they found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:25.104 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.932$  State spring performance was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}41{:}26.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.760$  significantly improved under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:41:28.831 --> 00:41:30.741 napping condition and then using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00{:}41{:}30.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.134$  the digits pint at digit span task

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:33.134 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.790$  test that assesses short-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.860$  memory they found a significant

00:41:36.860 --> 00:41:39.630 improvement after napping as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.198$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:40.198 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.618$  showing that the potential for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:41:41.618 --> 00:41:42.907 napping to improve performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:42.907 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.447$  under sleep loss conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:41:44.450 --> 00:41:45.092 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:41:45.092 --> 00:41:47.660 I did want to point out here too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

00:41:47.660 --> 00:41:50.220 that this was only a 30 minute nap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.805$  so it doesn't necessarily have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8590667

 $00:41:51.805 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.750$  to be an extensive nap also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:41:56.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.218$  So the results from these few

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

00:41:58.218 --> 00:42:00.271 last few slides really suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:00.271 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.307$  that simply extending sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.903$  whether through increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:03.903 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.558$  nocturnal sleep or adding naps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.624$  Maybe that's the key to improve

 $00{:}42{:}08.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.367$  performance and some reviews on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00{:}42{:}10.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.185$  this topics even suggests as much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.490$  with the emphasis being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.440$  the athlete should simply get as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:15.505 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.708$  much sleep as possible, but I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:17.708 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.816$  I want to express some caution against

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:20.816 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.370$  this being across the board suggestion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

00:42:24.370 --> 00:42:26.392 First off, not all studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:26.392 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.740$  found notable improvements in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

00:42:27.796 --> 00:42:29.620 performance with sleep extension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.154$  so I don't have time to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:32.154 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.750$  go into them here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00{:}42{:}33.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.676$  But multiple studies have found minimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

00:42:35.676 --> 00:42:38.349 to no impact of sleep extension or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:38.349 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.117$  napping on subsequent performance.

 $00:42:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.656$  But to me the bigger concern is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:42.656 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.369$  among adults who have difficulties sleeping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

00:42:45.370 --> 00:42:46.870 sleep extension may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.995$  exacerbate these problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.088$  So instructing athletes who already have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

00:42:50.088 --> 00:42:52.500 issues falling asleep or maintaining sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.846$  asking them to go to bed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:42:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.111$  Earlier or stay up or wake up

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00{:}42{:}57.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.481$  later that simply may lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

00:42:59.481 --> 00:43:01.245 maladaptive sleep behaviors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00{:}43{:}01.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.738$  worsen sleep instead of improving it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:43:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.620$  So obviously for those people

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:43:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.124$  with severe sleep debt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987993

 $00:43:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.258$  sleep extension should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205972

 $00:43:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.192$  So of course work and definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205972

 $00:43:13.192 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.984$  be encouraged, and in general

 $00:43:14.984 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.780$  we should be, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}43{:}18.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.480$  Asking a thletes to optimize or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:43:20.480 --> 00:43:22.730 prioritize sleep as much as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:43:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.178$  but. In most situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:43:24.178 --> 00:43:27.531 it's just not a one size fits all solution

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:43:27.531 --> 00:43:30.929 and it shouldn't be the end goal in itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:43:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.565$  and again with differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:43:32.565 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.546$  sports between individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}43{:}33.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.483$  it likely is going to require an

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:43:36.483 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.949$  individualized approach to improving sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}43{:}38.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.338$  Now I don't have time to present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:43:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.026$  Other studies that have looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}43{:}44.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45.780$  intervening on sleep and performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:43:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.040$  but suffice to say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:43:48.040 --> 00:43:49.748 Simple short-term sleep hygiene

00:43:49.748 --> 00:43:51.456 interventions haven't really shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}43{:}51.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.144$  that much in terms of being effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:43:54.144 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.914$  at improving sleep or significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:43:55.976 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.336$  improving performance in athletes samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:43:58.340 --> 00:43:59.327 In my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:43:59.327 --> 00:44:01.630 the Gold Standard approach is to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:01.693 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.208$  a multi component sleep intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:04.208 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.220$  that incorporates comprehensive sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}44{:}06.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08.639$  education and screening for all athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:44:08.640 --> 00:44:10.131 providing personalized feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}44{:}10.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.616$  and then also providing tailored

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:44:12.616 --> 00:44:14.849 approaches to improving sleep in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}44{:}14.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16.794$  those individuals who are identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:16.794 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.170$  to be in need of intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.570$  So a good example of this type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}44{:}21.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.510$  approach comes from some Finnish

 $00:44:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.210$  authors who studied professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.696$  hockey players in implemented a program

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:27.696 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.988$  similar to what I just described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:44:29.990 --> 00:44:32.330 They screened all the athletes provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.460$  sleep education to all of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.650$  and then they followed up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.110$  individualized treatment programs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:44:38.166 --> 00:44:40.802 the approximately 20% or so who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}44{:}40.802 \longrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.040$  suspected to have a sleep disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.528$  and they found significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:44.528 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.644$  improvements in sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.231$  Based on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00{:}44{:}47.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49.339$  more comprehensive sleep intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.014$  In a couple other studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:44:51.014 --> 00:44:52.570 utilized a similar approach to this,

 $00:44:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.035$  but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:53.035 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.360$  The problem not really problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.418$  but it's that they haven't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

00:44:57.418 --> 00:44:59.132 included any objective measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:44:59.132 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.842$  performance to see if improving sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430717

 $00:45:00.842 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.809$  also leads to improve performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.380$  So one more section to go here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}45{:}07.380 --> 00{:}45{:}09.683$  I did want to review some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}45{:}09.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.670$  the consensus recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:10.736 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.611$  that have been developed for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}45{:}12.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.486$  practitioners who work with a thletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.905$  So I'll start with the first statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.286$  First consensus statement that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:18.286 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.006$  focused on sleep and athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.735$  and this was specifically focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:21.735 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.770$  on collegiate athletes.

 $00:45:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.018$  Now, I was fortunate to be a part

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:25.018 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.701$  of this as a member of the Inner

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:45:27.701 --> 00:45:29.939 Association Task Force on Sleep and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:45:29.939 --> 00:45:32.321 Wellness that the incident NCAA put

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:32.321 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.850$  put together a couple of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.265$  and it began with an in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:37.265 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.300$  stomach in 2017,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.885$  and they continued in smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:39.885 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.470$  group meetings and eventually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.710$  writing group that focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}45{:}43.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.018$  developing consensus recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}45{:}45.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.220$  That were aimed to improve the sleep in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}45{:}48.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.905$  collegiate athletes but were aimed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:50.905 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.175$  be implemented by collegiate athletic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:53.175 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.597$  departments so you can see here already.

00:45:55.600 --> 00:45:57.640 The focus was somewhat unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.858$  The audience wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:45:58.858 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.076$  specifically the athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.470$  but rather the larger ecosystem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.082$  They could facilitate better

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:05.082 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.694$  sleep for those athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.088$  And we utilized something called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:09.088 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.228$  Delphi process to identify recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}46{:}11.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.108$  for those athletic department's and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:14.108 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.630$  we were considering recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}46{:}16.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.370$  We consider two primary factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:46:18.370 --> 00:46:20.110 that the recommendation had utility

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:46:20.168 --> 00:46:22.368 that it was going to be useful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:22.368 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.290$  improving athletes sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.636$  but also that was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:25.636 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.200$  be feasible to implement.

 $00:46:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.752$  So in the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:46:28.752 --> 00:46:31.080 our committee had lots of recommendations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.020$  but really only a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.784$  recommendations made it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.009$  They basically made it to the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:38.009 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.099$  in terms of deeming being deemed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:46:41.099 --> 00:46:43.674 have sufficient utility an sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:43.674 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.818$  feasibility from the expert panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.328$  So shown here in this box

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}46{:}48.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49.582$  are those recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:46:49.590 --> 00:46:50.727 So First off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}46{:}50.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.622$  completing an athlete Time Demand

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}46{:}52.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.198$  survey on an annual basis really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:46:55.198 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.950$  chronicling how these athletes

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:46:56.950 --> 00:46:59.229 are spending their time studying,

00:46:59.230 --> 00:47:00.116 training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:47:00.116 --> 00:47:01.888 and sleeping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.980$  Recommendation two was really to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.557$  dampen the enthusiasm that at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:06.557 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.617$  time we were perceiving athletic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}08.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.599$  departments to be moving toward a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:47:11.599 --> 00:47:13.709 of commercial devices for monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:47:13.710 --> 00:47:16.185 sleep and just really pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:16.185 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.660$  out the potential privacy laws

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:18.749 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.347$  that could be in question there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}21.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.778$  Recommendation three involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}22.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.682$  incorporating sleep screening as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}24.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27.148$  a standard part of the athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}27.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.863$  Preparticipation screening exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:28.863 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.576$  And then recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}30.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.905$  Four and five really providing

 $00:47:32.905 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.765$  comprehensive sleep education to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:34.765 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.529$  both the athletes but also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:47:37.529 --> 00:47:39.309 coaching staff and surrounding

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:39.309 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.849$  athletic training staff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.432$  So overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:41.432 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.144$  I'm not sure how much of an F how

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:47:44.144 --> 00:47:46.314 much of an impact this is made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}46.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.754$  the consensus recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}47.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.666$  The recommendations were published

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:47:49.666 --> 00:47:52.580 just middle of 2019, and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.800$  I've worked with a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}54.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.699$  institutions because of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}47{:}56.699 --> 00{:}47{:}57.669 \ consensus \ statement.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:47:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.051$  Pitt and a couple of smaller schools

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:48:01.051 --> 00:48:03.352 in Western PA. But it's been.

 $00:48:03.352 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.056$  It's been difficult to convince them

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:05.056 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.500$  to implement some of these recommendations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.570$  and that that was really our

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.546$  fear all along regarding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:11.546 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.662$  feasibility of implementations by

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:13.662 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.249$  these athletic departments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}48{:}15.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.600$  Now recently it's still impress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:48:17.600 --> 00:48:18.123 Actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:18.123 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.692$  consensus recommendations were

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

00:48:19.692 --> 00:48:22.307 provided for managing sleep in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:22.307 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.182$  elite athletes and as part of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:25.182 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.265$  this document they provided asleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00:48:27.265 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.710$  toolbox that they recommended for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82864404

 $00{:}48{:}29.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.666$  sleep practitioners to utilized

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.478$  when working with athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:33.478 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.286$  and this toolbox consisted

00:48:35.286 --> 00:48:37.290 of four primary tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.114$  One educate the athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:39.114 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.412$  including on their sleep need the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:42.412 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.797$  of daytime napping to supplement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.309$  but not replace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00{:}48{:}46.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.824$  Nighttime sleep proper sleep hygiene

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:48.824 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.820$  awareness of their chronotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00{:}48{:}50.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.562$  and also just a cautionary tale

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00{:}48{:}53.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.080$  about using commercial monitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.792$  And they also recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:57.792 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.504$  screening for all athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:48:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.618$  specifically the use of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00{:}49{:}01.618 --> 00{:}49{:}03.199$  Athlete specific sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00{:}49{:}03.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.105$  Screening tools that I'll mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:49:05.105 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.914$  on the on the next slide and

 $00:49:07.914 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.969$  then third strong emphasis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:49:09.969 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.380$  they placed on utilizing naps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:49:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.596$  including how to properly diploid them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8161071500:49:15.600 --> 00:49:17.260 Uh. NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

00:49:17.260 --> 00:49:17.976 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:49:17.976 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.766$  viewing that naps as supplementing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

00:49:19.766 --> 00:49:21.270 nighttime sleep instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

00:49:21.270 --> 00:49:22.398 replacing nighttime sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:49:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.665$  and then finally this concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:49:24.665 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.930$  of banking or extending sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00{:}49{:}26.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.800$  But the emphasis here was really on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

00:49:29.800 --> 00:49:32.030 obtaining sufficient sleep in the months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:49:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.388$  weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

00:49:32.388 --> 00:49:34.178 days prior to an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00:49:34.178 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.610$  competition to safeguard the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00{:}49{:}35.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.375$  athlete against the occasional

 $00:49:37.375 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.079$  night of insufficient sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81610715

 $00{:}49{:}39.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40.648$  especially right before competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8461048

 $00:49:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.642$  So there have been two screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8461048

 $00:49:44.642 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.404$  tools that have been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8461048

00:49:46.404 --> 00:49:47.814 specifically for identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8461048

 $00:49:47.814 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.164$  sleep problems in athlete samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8461048

 $00:49:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.110$  The first was the athlete

NOTE Confidence: 0.8461048

00:49:52.110 --> 00:49:53.274 Sleep Screening Questionnaire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8461048

 $00:49:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.596$  much more of a diagnostic measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:49:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.750$  And then the athlete Sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:49:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.418$  Behavior questionnaire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.996$  Really has a greater emphasis on sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00{:}50{:}03.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.840$  Hygiene consists of 18 items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.332$  Not sure if you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:07.332 --> 00:50:09.619 individual items on the slide here.

00:50:09.620 --> 00:50:11.874 It doesn't really do much to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00{:}50{:}11.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.768$  sleep disorders like the athlete

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:13.768 --> 00:50:15.508 Sleep Screening Questionnaire does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.806$  but it could be useful for identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:17.806 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.290$  potential areas of improvement for athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.015$  so these were developed because

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:22.015 --> 00:50:24.208 of the perceived need for more

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:24.208 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.808$  specific tools for athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:25.810 --> 00:50:28.491 But I still think you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:28.491 --> 00:50:30.719 more standard tools of the piski.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.746$  The ISI, those still should identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:34.746 --> 00:50:37.430 most athletes sufficiently well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.570$  So overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.980$  There's really been an explosion

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.426$  of research of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.980$  Explosion of interest and research and

00:50:45.980 --> 00:50:48.000 sleep enough like performance but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.200$  Much of the available evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:50.200 --> 00:50:52.335 even though it certainly suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:52.335 --> 00:50:53.616 a significant relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:53.616 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.459$  between sleep and performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:55.460 --> 00:50:58.100 It's generally low quality and generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.369$  though in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:50:59.369 --> 00:50:59.792 though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:50:59.792 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.330$  we see that sleep disturbances prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:02.393 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.117$  among athletes and whether it's voluntary

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00{:}51{:}05.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.760$  curtailment or insomnia driven by insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.388$  there's also evidence that sleep loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00{:}51{:}10.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.222$  especially over multiple nights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:12.222 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.512$  impacts multiple parameters that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:14.512 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.966$  relevant to both performance and recovery.

 $00:51:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.542$  And while existing research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00{:}51{:}18.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.114$  Doesn't point to interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00{:}51{:}20.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.887$  that are especially effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:51:21.887 --> 00:51:23.735 aside from sleep extension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:51:23.740 --> 00:51:26.386 there's many different ways that natly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00{:}51{:}26.386 \rightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.369$  can improve their sleep and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:29.369 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.649$  optimize performance and recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.345$  So with that I'm sorry and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00{:}51{:}34.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.890$  consensus recommendations as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.297$  Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:36.297 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.146$  pointing out the need for greater research

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:39.146 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.155$  to better inform our recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:41.155 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.545$  that we can make and how to how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:44.545 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.669$  work and manage sleep in athletes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

00:51:46.670 --> 00:51:47.822 OK with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84661293

 $00:51:47.822 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.126$  I'm happy to take any questions.

00:51:51.330 --> 00:51:53.988 Great, thank you so much. Doctor Klein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00{:}51{:}53.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57.020$  That was a wonderful overview of a topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:51:57.092 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.689$  we really don't talk about that much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00{:}51{:}59.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01.970$  An I think warrant more attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00{:}52{:}01.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.202$  I'll start off with the question and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

00:52:05.202 --> 00:52:08.426 others would like to post them in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.710$  please feel free to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

00:52:10.710 --> 00:52:12.964 So most of the research that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:12.964 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.477$  reviewed related to competitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

00:52:14.477 --> 00:52:16.409 athletes at various levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.310$  And I was just curious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.210$  The outcomes were often relating

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.395$  to their sort of performance, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00{:}52{:}22.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24.960$  Many of us who kind of exercise in non

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:25.032 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.342$  competitive arenas were not as much

00:52:27.342 --> 00:52:30.079 interested in how many miles we can run,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.376$  but what's the benefit to our health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.348$  How many calories can we burn?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00.52:34.350 \longrightarrow 00.52:35.514$  And and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:35.514 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.732$  should we try to go to the gym at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:38.732 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.559$  an ANCOVA times after six hours of sleep?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.863$  Or are we going to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

00:52:43.863 --> 00:52:45.499 better workout after 8 hours?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00{:}52{:}45.500 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}52{:}47.402$  I know you mentioned that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:47.402 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.110$  general after just one night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00{:}52{:}49.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50.976$  at least in competitive athletes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:50.976 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.720$  they are not tremendously impacted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

00:52:52.720 --> 00:52:55.065 But it's just much harder to actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00.52.55.070 \longrightarrow 00.52.55.994$  Do that workout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

00:52:55.994 --> 00:52:58.150 But what do we know about kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.85124034

 $00:52:58.215 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.047$  of non competitive athletes?

 $00:53:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.070$  Well, that could be another presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.748$  on itself, but. In general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00{:}53{:}08.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.260$  I get that question asked a lot about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:53:11.260 --> 00:53:14.086 You know there's only 24 hours in a day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00{:}53{:}14.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.706$  an often times non athletes have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:16.706 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.847$  choose between getting that seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:18.847 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.108$  8 hours or getting up a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:21.108 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.307$  bit early and going to the gym.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:53:23.310 --> 00:53:26.325 And I I always weasel out of that answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:53:26.330 --> 00:53:28.000 because I don't want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:53:28.000 --> 00:53:30.345 I don't want to anger either side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.355$  but in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:53:31.355 --> 00:53:33.700 if an athlete is if non athlete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.420$  If a general individual is able to obtain

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.610$  relatively sufficient amount of sleep.

00:53:39.610 --> 00:53:43.948 It to me allocating 45 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:53:43.948 --> 00:53:46.840 to exercise rather than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.136$  Moving from 6 1/2 to a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:49.136 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.737$  over 7 hours of sleep may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:51.737 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.035$  beneficial on a short term basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.875$  There are some studies coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:53:55.875 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.173$  out now that suggests that under

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:53:58.173 --> 00:54:00.138 conditions of sleep loss exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00{:}54{:}00.138 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} 00{:}54{:}02.213$  helps sort of rescue metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:54:02.213 --> 00:54:04.799 function into being more normal and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00{:}54{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07.390$  And not demonstrating the impaired

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:54:07.390 --> 00:54:09.980 metabolic function that you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:54:10.064 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.200$  see with with sleep loss so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00{:}54{:}12.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16.897$  No firm answer there, but I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:54:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.476$  It does seem to be an emerging area

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:54:19.476 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.244$  of research of research that sort of

00:54:22.244 --> 00:54:24.299 looks at comparing and contrasting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00{:}54{:}24.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.780$  allocating time to exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

 $00:54:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.850$  versus versus sleep, so it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83849573

00:54:28.850 --> 00:54:31.727 I think I sufficiently weaseled my way

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00.54:31.730 \longrightarrow 00.54:33.790$  of that out of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00:54:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.268$  In fact, I ask a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

00:54:36.270 --> 00:54:37.915 Yeah, please go ahead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00:54:37.915 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.800$  we thank you for the nice review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

00:54:40.800 --> 00:54:42.030 Having experience poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00:54:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.090$  sleep during high altitude mountaineering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00{:}54{:}44.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46.569$  I was kind of wondering what

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00:54:46.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.036$  is the mechanism or what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00{:}54{:}49.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.100$  the mechanisms by which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00{:}54{:}51.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.748$  organs start to malfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00:54:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.216$  If they do like such as

00:54:55.216 --> 00:54:58.618 cardiac and muscular level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00{:}54{:}58.620 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}55{:}00.695$  And you're talking about at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00{:}55{:}00.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02.355$  high altitude, high altitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84066767

 $00:55:02.355 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.600$  I understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

 $00:55:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.090$  hypoxia is going to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

 $00:55:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.165$  major effect on both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

 $00:55:08.165 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.412$  neurocognitive dysfunction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

00:55:09.412 --> 00:55:11.490 obviously oxygen transport, but having

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

 $00{:}55{:}11.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}14.178$  experienced poor sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

00:55:14.180 --> 00:55:18.070 Without hypoxia, potentially can affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

 $00{:}55{:}18.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.840$  the peripheral system functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

 $00:55:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.099$  Any any feelings about the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

00:55:25.100 --> 00:55:28.180 mechanisms that can poor sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

00:55:28.180 --> 00:55:31.780 affect their peripheral function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030392

 $00:55:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.369$  There is some sort of negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7622087

00:55:34.370 --> 00:55:36.094 component, right? Yeah, yeah.

 $00:55:36.094 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.110$  So more at the muscular level and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7622087

 $00{:}55{:}39.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.520$  the cardiac, yeah. And their client.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7622087

 $00:55:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.144$  So there seems to be a greater under

NOTE Confidence: 0.7622087

 $00:55:47.144 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.168$  sleep loss conditions a greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00:55:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.604$  There does seem to be increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

00:55:54.604 --> 00:55:57.201 sympathetic tone to the muscles to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00:55:57.201 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.566$  the cardiac musculature leading to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00:55:59.566 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.920$  increased heart rate under sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00:56:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.680$  Loss conditions for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00:56:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.000$  given exercise intensity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00{:}56{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}08.175$  Obviously it at maximal effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

00:56:08.175 --> 00:56:10.715 you're already at maximal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00:56:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.700$  Maximum heart rate also at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00:56:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.016$  No maximal sympathetic outflow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

00:56:17.016 --> 00:56:20.490 so that isn't necessarily the issue,

 $00:56:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.692$  but it sort of gets to the submaximal effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00{:}56{:}25.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.166$  It's harder, both physiologically, but also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

00:56:31.170 --> 00:56:33.710 In terms of perceived effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

00:56:33.710 --> 00:56:36.240 so sympathetic activity is greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8300327

 $00:56:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.780$  there does seem to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

00:56:40.930 --> 00:56:43.826 You know, I didn't allude to it too

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:56:43.826 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.464$  much here, but there does seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:56:46.464 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.031$  impaired feedback from the brain to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:56:49.031 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.066$  musculature under sleep loss conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:56:51.070 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.790$  So the commands coming down

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00{:}56{:}52.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.050$  from the brain aren't as strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:56:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.584$  It takes us stronger studies have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:56:57.584 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.670$  transcranial magnetic stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00{:}56{:}58.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01.118$  and it takes a stronger impulse

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:57:01.118 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.193$  under sleep loss conditions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:57:03.193 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.038$  get the same muscular output.

 $00:57:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.369$  Um? But overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00{:}57{:}06.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.584$ I mean most studies haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

00:57:08.584 --> 00:57:11.078 really looked at those mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:57:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.850$  so those to me are the main ones that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

00:57:13.920 --> 00:57:16.428 been relatively decently interrogated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:57:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.154$  and both of those have been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:57:20.154 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.750$  to be actual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:57:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.238$  Prominent mechanisms for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00{:}57{:}23.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}25.222$  experiencing the decrements that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83541733

 $00:57:25.222 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.368$  you can see at submaximal efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00{:}57{:}29.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}32.380$  Great thank you and I think one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:57:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.838$  more question from the chat from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00{:}57{:}34.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.304$  some one in sports Medicine who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

00:57:37.304 --> 00:57:39.699 asked the following some power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:57:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.384$  five collegiate conferences and

00:57:41.384 --> 00:57:43.068 professional sports teams are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00{:}57{:}43.068 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}57{:}44.372$  utilizing sensory deprivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:57:44.372 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.992$  deprivation tanks to supplement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

00:57:45.992 --> 00:57:48.017 for individuals with sleep debt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:57:48.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.456$  Are you familiar with any research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:57:50.456 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.996$  to validate their use as it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

00:57:52.996 --> 00:57:55.100 relates to performance? So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:57:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.772$  have heard about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00{:}57{:}56.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59.870$  I've seen a couple of those tanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:57:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.260$  And I I don't know of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00{:}58{:}03.260 {\: \hbox{\scriptsize -->}}\> 00{:}58{:}04.955$  any empirical literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00.58:04.960 \longrightarrow 00.58:06.790$  especially in athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

00:58:06.790 --> 00:58:09.840 but even more generally among

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:58:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.712$  non athletes samples that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

 $00:58:12.712 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.302$  does relate to better sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8419057

00:58:15.302 --> 00:58:17.540 and better performance.

 $00:58:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.530$  But I should say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

00:58:20.650 --> 00:58:22.864 You know, like like a lot of other fields,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.940$  but especially in athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.990$  What's being deployed in athletic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.521$  performance circles oftentimes is is well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:31.521 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.657$  ahead of what the research can be can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:34.657 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.187$  be validating basically so oftentimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.002$  You know the the researchers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00{:}58{:}40.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}41.948$  playing catch up and talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:41.948 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.633$  practitioners and saying what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00{:}58{:}43.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}45.898$  you guys doing to optimize recovery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.904$  Or what are you guys doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:47.904 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.906$  with these athletes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00{:}58{:}48.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50.842$  And that's sometimes what Spurs the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:50.842 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.533$  subsequent research that then either

 $00:58:52.533 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.268$  refutes or validates that approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00{:}58{:}54.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56.718$  So I wouldn't necessarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.893$  Say it's unfounded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:57.893 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.457$  But the research doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8867025

 $00:58:59.457 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.950$  support it right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881855399999999

00:59:01.960 --> 00:59:04.152 Great, thank you so much and I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.881855399999999

 $00:59:04.152 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.430$  want to thank everybody for attending

NOTE Confidence: 0.881855399999999

 $00{:}59{:}06.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.505$  the conference this semester and

 $00{:}59{:}08.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}10.995$  we will see you all on January 6th

NOTE Confidence: 0.881855399999999

 $00{:}59{:}10.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}12.850$  to resume for the next semester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881855399999999

 $00{:}59{:}12.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}14.170$  Thanks again, Doctor Klein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881855399999999

 $00:59:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.820$  Take care. Happy Holidays everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881855399999999

 $00:59:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.810$  Yeah. And if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818554

00:59:16.810 --> 00:59:18.790 anyone has any questions feel free

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818554

00:59:18.790 --> 00:59:21.430 to email me. OK, thank you so much.