WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:28:27.6910000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.260$ Good evening everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:00:01.260 --> 00:00:03.760 My name is Mara, Gulshan Anama,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}00{:}03.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.609$ breast cancer surgeon, here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.487$ The clinical director of the breast program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.776$ Ann, really excited to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:00:12.776 --> 00:00:15.475 this evening for the Smilow shares

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:15.475 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.667$ breast Cancer Awareness Month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.424$ Where we have a three preeminent

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}00{:}20.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.260$ authorities and breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.610$ this evening doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}00{:}23.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.760$ Uncle Garo we're going to have Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:00:26.847 --> 00:00:29.409 Bob Legare and Doctor Mina Moran

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:29.409 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.900$ talk about advances in oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.704$ radiation therapy,

 $00:00:32.704 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.418$ and really just a state of the art and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}00{:}36.418 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}00{:}38.920$ treatment in breast cancer were going

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.999$ to have three separate presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.924$ And then at the end there will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:45.924 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.837$ question and answer period where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:00:48.840 --> 00:00:51.115 And even in advance of the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:00:51.120 --> 00:00:52.206 if you want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:52.206 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.740$ please put in any questions into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:00:54.817 --> 00:00:57.397 chat box or on the Q and a box that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}00{:}57.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.916$ sat at the bottom of your screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:00:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.756$ We've held two of these forums

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:01:01.756 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.089$ for Yale for the New Haven and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:01:04.089 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.469$ also for the Fairfield,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:01:05.470 --> 00:01:05.800 Bridgeport,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:01:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.110$ but it's been a really well received

 $00:01:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.140$ and this is going to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}01{:}10.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.909$ highlight of the month for breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}01{:}11.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.939$ cancer awareness and Smilow shares.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:01:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.570$ So with no further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:01:15.570 --> 00:01:17.658 we're going to start with Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}01{:}17.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.620$ Bob Legare who's going to talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:01:19.620 --> 00:01:21.520 About some advances in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:01:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.280$ medical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}01{:}22.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.385$ Then Doctor Mina Maranan radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:01:24.385 --> 00:01:26.916 and certainly not last and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:01:26.916 --> 00:01:29.028 least but will have Doctor Bogart

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

00:01:29.028 --> 00:01:31.564 talk a little bit more about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:01:31.564 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.676$ of the advances in oncology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:01:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.810$ then look forward to some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00:01:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.860$ great questions from the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525454

 $00{:}01{:}37.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.760$ Thank you Doctor Laghari at

 $00:01:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.280$ the floor is yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90315026

 $00:01:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.990$ Thank you, I appreciate that and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.90315026

00:01:44.990 --> 00:01:48.109 very happy to be with everyone tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90315026

 $00:01:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.504$ And I'm going to try to share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90315026

 $00:01:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.913$ Just let me know if you can see things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953797

00:01:54.980 --> 00:01:56.606 Properly, can you see my screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:01:58.850 --> 00:02:02.014 OK, so good evening and very excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}02{:}02.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.088$ to share some thoughts with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:02:05.090 --> 00:02:06.986 Um, you know regarding breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.880$ maybe where we're heading where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.395$ hope to head, and some of the advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:11.395 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.620$ that we've seen come forward this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}02{:}13.620 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.158$ We know that breast cancer remains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.470$ Significant, it's the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:02:18.470 --> 00:02:20.780 malignancy that's not a non

00:02:20.864 --> 00:02:23.139 Melanoma skin cancer in women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.465$ estimated to be about 276

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:25.465 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.790$ thousand new cases this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.469$ So we know that this is a very significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.390$ Issue for our women across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.132$ world and certainly United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:37.132 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.748$ and something where research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:02:38.750 --> 00:02:41.018 really, I think is moving forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}02{:}41.018 --> 00{:}02{:}43.849$ in a very hopeful way you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:43.849 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.145$ on that top sort of purple pink

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}02{:}46.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.609$ part of this graph that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.280$ breast cancer probably had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.109$ little bit of a dip in the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:53.109 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.287$ 2000s in terms of incidence rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.186$ and that was perhaps based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:02:57.186 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.317$ folks moving a little bit away

00:02:59.317 --> 00:03:00.925 from home replacement therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.970$ And then after that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.280$ see maybe a slow rise maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.136$.3% per year increase in risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:08.136 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.330$ breast cancer that we've seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.845$ Subsequent to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:11.845 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.370$ perhaps over the last decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}03{:}14.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.472$ And I would say it, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:16.472 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.996$ as a combination of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.345$ Early detection with mammogram having

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:20.345 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.690$ shown to decrease mortality from

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:22.763 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.907$ breast cancer through screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}03{:}24.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.170$ And also with advances in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.526$ treatment we've seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:03:28.530 --> 00:03:28.981 Thankfully,

 $00:03:28.981 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.236$ especially over the last decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}03{:}31.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.044$ you know significant decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:03:33.044 --> 00:03:34.848 in breast cancer mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}03{:}34.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.021$ so we've seen perhaps a 40% decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:03:38.021 --> 00:03:40.727 in breast cancer mortality since 1989,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.086$ after seeing essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:42.086 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.346$ fairly flat lines before then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.472$ you can see that again in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}03{:}47.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.668$ purple pink curve there on the graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.930$ So we are making progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}03{:}52.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.520$ We can never be complacent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.536$ Now we have a long way to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:03:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.690$ but there's this room for hope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.154$ When we look at 5 year survival rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.656$ this can also be reflected going

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:06.656 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.973$ from the 70s to the 80s to you

00:04:09.973 --> 00:04:12.313 know the last decade and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}04{:}12.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.791$ seeing five year survival rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:14.791 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.620$ increased from 75 to 84 to 91%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:04:19.620 --> 00:04:22.113 I was going to focus a bit on ER

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}04{:}22.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.089$ positive her two negative breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:24.089 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.525$ cancer and later to night you'll hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:04:26.596 --> 00:04:29.032 some advances and her two positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}04{:}29.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.091$ breast cancer from Doctor Ogarro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:31.091 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.384$ We know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:32.384 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.539$ Early breast cancer accounts for

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:34.539 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.333$ perhaps 90% of breast cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}04{:}36.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.860$ diagnosed in women and 70% of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}04{:}38.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.660$ are hormone hormone receptor positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:04:40.660 --> 00:04:41.952 In her two negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:41.952 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.890$ we know that thankfully most women

00:04:43.955 --> 00:04:45.719 won't experience a recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.911$ but some women will be at higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:47.911 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.250$ risk and those would be folks who

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.248$ have had high risk features and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:52.315 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.379$ they can experience recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:04:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.468$ Sometimes within the first few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:04:56.468 --> 00:04:59.429 and one of the questions that we ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:04:59.430 --> 00:05:01.586 is you know why is this happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}05{:}01.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.410$ on our current the rapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:05:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.245$ and one question that we look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:05:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.760$ Is is the question of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}05{:}08.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.861$ Endocrine refractory nessuno.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:05:09.861 --> 00:05:12.068 When one is getting treated, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00{:}05{:}12.068 \to 00{:}05{:}14.276$ with endocrine the rapy of some type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

 $00:05:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.476$ what's permissive for that cell if

NOTE Confidence: 0.89177865

00:05:16.476 --> 00:05:18.993 it exists within the body to then

 $00:05:18.993 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.275$ grow back and manifest as stage four

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:21.349 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.749$ cancer after one is presented with

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:23.749 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.422$ early stage cancer, and there is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:26.422 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.262$ interesting trials that that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:28.262 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.792$ looking at this question a bit at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:05:30.792 --> 00:05:32.679 American Society of clinical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:05:32.679 --> 00:05:35.350 meetings earlier this year, so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.670$ hoping to highlight just a few of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}05{:}38.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.660$ Trials and then to just take a look at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:41.732 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.192$ of the clinical research that's happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:05:44.192 --> 00:05:47.159 at Yale right now to share with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.444$ This was a cartoon of sort of cell cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}05{:}51.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.530$ kinetics if you will and how a protein calls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.256$ You know cyclin D works and how it affects

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:05:59.256 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.188$ cell cycle kinetics and just looking

00:06:02.188 --> 00:06:05.760 at that that green circle RBRB,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}06{:}05.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.090$ which is the retinoblastoma gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.410$ is very important in controlling

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.266$ whether a cell replicates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:06:12.270 --> 00:06:15.525 you know, makes a copy of itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.598$ Cell Grove cell replication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:17.598 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.249$ We know that dysregulated cell growth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:21.249 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.069$ replication is the hallmark of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.171$ Now we know that mutations within cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:27.171 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.916$ cells are what ultimately causes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:06:29.916 --> 00:06:33.653 this data in the stage 4 setting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}06{:}33.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.941$ adding a cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:06:36.941 --> 00:06:39.860 to endocrine therapy hormone therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.035$ like anastrozole or an aromat

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:43.035 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.258$ ACE inhibitor or a surd like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.983$ A full best friend can almost double

 $00:06:48.983 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.386$ the progression free survival in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:06:51.386 --> 00:06:53.729 stage for setting, and like many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:06:53.729 --> 00:06:56.480 many aspects of how we study cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:06:56.480 --> 00:06:58.445 If something looks very hopeful

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:06:58.445 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.410$ in the stage for setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.900$ we try to move it back to the early brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:07:04.900 --> 00:07:06.680 Cancer setting and say hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}07{:}06.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.476$ can we do better so documenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:09.476 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.110$ benefit in the advanced setting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.980$ In trying to block that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.450$ Movement from the G1 phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.660$ of the cell cycle to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:07:22.660 --> 00:07:26.458 DNA replication phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}07{:}26.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.001$ We've tried to look at these agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:07:29.001 --> 00:07:31.909 in the early stage of breast cancer,

 $00:07:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.838$ so this is a trial called Monarch that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}07{:}34.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.933$ looked at a women who had early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:37.933 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.749$ breast cancer that had some high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:07:40.749 --> 00:07:43.577 features such as four or more involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:07:43.580 --> 00:07:46.282 lymph nodes or one to three involve

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}07{:}46.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.629$ lymph nodes with other high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:07:48.629 --> 00:07:51.750 features like grade or size of the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.524$ large tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:52.524 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.630$ and it was a big trial with over 5000 women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.290$ And essentially randomize those

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:07:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.950$ women to endocrine therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}07{:}59.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.632$ On the left you can see ET with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00{:}08{:}02.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.793$ particular cyclin dependent kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:04.793 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.587$ inhibitor called abemaciclib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.174$ or endocrine therapy alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:08.174 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.160$ So half the group got integrant therapy,

 $00:08:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.230$ half the group got ended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:08:13.230 --> 00:08:14.890 Contrary with this cyclin

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:08:14.890 --> 00:08:16.135 dependent kinase inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.185$ and this was a phase three randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:08:19.185 --> 00:08:22.113 trial in what you can see where

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:22.113 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.886$ I put those numbers of 88.7 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:24.886 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.814$ 92.2% is we're seeing with a median

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:27.814 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.190$ follow up about 15.5 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.900$ But the curves there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.926$ starting to separate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:08:32.930 --> 00:08:35.282 and we're seeing that the folks who received

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:08:35.282 --> 00:08:37.369 the cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.396$ some of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:38.396 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.106$ seem to be doing better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.838$ The absolute benefit was perhaps 3.3 or 3.4%,

 $00:08:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.898$ but it's early in this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:44.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.447$ and this is a signal to us that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:47.447 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.748$ might be seeing an important benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

00:08:49.748 --> 00:08:52.759 for some women by adding this agent in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808948000000001

 $00:08:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.790$ So I think that right now this

NOTE Confidence: 0.80894800000000100:08:54.790 --> 00:08:55.660 could be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}08{:}55.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.688$ option for some women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:08:57.688 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.252$ very high risk features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:08:59.260 --> 00:09:01.045 I'd like to see confirmatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:01.045 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.116$ trial saying that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}09{:}02.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03.452$ This another cyclin dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:03.452 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.118$ kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:09:04.120 --> 00:09:06.458 There are three that are FDA approved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:09:06.460 --> 00:09:08.798 but the other two shows similar benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.144$ but I was really happy to see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:11.144 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.268$ presented and this was actually a

00:09:13.268 --> 00:09:15.103 different meeting called an ECMO

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}09{:}15.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.818$ meeting in earlier this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.865$ which is a sort of a parallel

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:19.865 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.040$ to our American meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.880$ I want to focus just a minute on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.386$ another targeted therapy that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:26.386 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.920$ had FDA approval for a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}09{:}28.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31.237$ in the setting of stage four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:09:31.240 --> 00:09:33.075 Again, ER positive her two

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:09:33.075 --> 00:09:34.176 negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}09{:}34.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.852$ When this is an agent called El Pela

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:37.852 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.378$ Sib and this we can see here is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:09:41.380 --> 00:09:43.630 Did this agent specifically blocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.590$ the Alpha isoform of a protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:09:46.590 --> 00:09:50.328 There you can see in pink called

00:09:50.328 --> 00:09:51.930 three kinase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.818$ And if you look at this cartoon on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:09:53.818 --> 00:09:55.947 the out in the outside world where

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:09:55.947 --> 00:09:58.000 that orange Circle says growth factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:09:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.107$ these are the proteins that are still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}10{:}00.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.234$ Emulating cells to grow to divide

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:02.234 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.520$ to make more of themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.728$ And can we get at that particular cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}10{:}07.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.380$ Cell mechanics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.501$ Can we get that in block it to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}10{:}11.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.171$ to prevent these cells from either

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:10:14.171 --> 00:10:16.150 moving through endocrine therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:10:16.150 --> 00:10:18.556 Or can we make integrant therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:10:18.560 --> 00:10:19.318 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:10:19.318 --> 00:10:21.592 work better and so that's kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:21.592 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.168$ of what we're looking at here.

 $00:10:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.599$ What we've seen is that in the Stage 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}10{:}27.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.877$ setting for a particular subtype of women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.309$ These agents can be very effective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:33.309 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.060$ that was a trial called Solar One,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}10{:}36.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.503$ and in that trial again almost a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:38.503 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.237$ doubling of disease free survival for women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:10:41.240 --> 00:10:44.752 you know with the you know with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:44.752 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.030$ agent and what we've seen is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.606$ You know about 40% of women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:10:50.606 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.721$ PR positive her two negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:10:52.721 --> 00:10:54.589 advanced breast cancer Harbor

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:10:54.589 --> 00:10:57.000 this mutation so L pelis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:10:57.000 --> 00:11:01.312 It really is in a way a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:01.312 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.939$ targeted therapy getting at a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.545$ A regulation problem where the

 $00:11:05.545 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.870$ cells are sort of hyper stimulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:07.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.369$ They have a mutation in this gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:10.370 --> 00:11:12.330 This mutation is permissive for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:12.330 --> 00:11:14.290 cell growth and cell division

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:14.359 --> 00:11:16.200 and so adding it you know and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:16.200 --> 00:11:18.220 it's not an uncommon mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:18.220 --> 00:11:20.523 it's in 40% of folks with PR

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:20.523 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.500$ positive her negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}11{:}22.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.596$ So hope rugo at UCSF had said well

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:24.596 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.654$ and we look specifically at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}11{:}26.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.844$ subgroup that has you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}11{:}28.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.487$ mutation in already has seen that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:31.487 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.598$ cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:33.598 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.314$ we talked about a while ago because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:36.320 --> 00:11:38.777 As our newer therapies coming to play,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.480$ we're trying to understand the

 $00:11:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.180$ influence of a prior treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}11{:}42.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.687$ on a subsequent treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.445$ So the question the Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:45.445 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.200$ Rugo is asking was well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}11{:}47.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.816$ since cyclin dependent kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:48.816 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.240$ inhibitors that we looked at earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:51.307 --> 00:11:53.293 since that standard of care for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:53.293 --> 00:11:55.086 women with advanced ER positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:55.086 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.126$ her two negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

00:11:57.130 --> 00:11:58.850 What about women who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:11:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.226$ been exposed to that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:12:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.974$ Will they still respond to this new agent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:12:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.578$ Help Ellis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:12:03.578 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.372$ if in the context of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00:12:05.372 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.110$ three kinase mutation,

 $00:12:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.234$ if they had been exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87199104

 $00{:}12{:}09.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.650$ the cyclin dependent kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:12:10.719 --> 00:12:13.383 inhibitor and I was very happy to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:13.383 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.387$ the grass here look very similar between her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.442$ the original solar one trial that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:18.442 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.519$ was published a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.590$ and this trial called by Leave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:12:22.590 --> 00:12:25.596 where if you can look at the graph with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}12{:}25.596 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.660$ the sort of vertical lines going down?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:12:28.660 --> 00:12:30.850 That would suggest a response in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}12{:}30.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.361$ terms of decreasing size of tumor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:33.361 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.323$ the grass almost mimic each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.744$ so they're sort of saying that, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:37.744 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.176$ we do believe that this agent is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:40.176 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.955$ to be effective in women who have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}12{:}42.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.159$ a cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor,

 $00:12:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.266$ and so you know someone coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}12{:}47.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.670$ through with standard therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:12:48.670 --> 00:12:49.720 ER positive disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:12:49.720 --> 00:12:50.770 stage four disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:12:50.770 --> 00:12:51.823 getting endocrine therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:51.823 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.929$ and a cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:12:53.930 --> 00:12:56.738 If they have this three kinase mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.720$ this is a very viable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:12:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.079$ Option for them to go forward and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:13:01.079 --> 00:13:03.170 again a doubling of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:13:03.170 --> 00:13:04.928 Free survival rate so you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:04.928 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.016$ moving forward trying to get beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}13{:}07.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.297$ endocrine refractory disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.534$ So to me that was very hopeful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.852$ So I wanted to transition for a minute

00:13:13.852 --> 00:13:16.159 and just spend a few minutes looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}13{:}16.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.419$ at some clinical trials we have up

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:18.419 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.539$ and running at Yale and some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.640$ focus that were that we're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:13:22.640 --> 00:13:24.104 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:24.104 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.900$ part of what we understand with cancer is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}13{:}27.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.336$ You know lifestyle exposures and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:30.336 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.744$ of the questions that were trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}13{:}32.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.243$ to look at is exemplified in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.273$ Be well trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}13{:}36.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38.660$ The PR here is Doctor Sands and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}13{:}38.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.078$ this is a trial looking at saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:41.078 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.628$ you know is weight loss after

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:43.628 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.083$ being diagnosed with breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:13:46.090 --> 00:13:48.406 You know can that affect outcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:13:48.410 --> 00:13:51.380 So this is a very large trial across the

00:13:51.380 --> 00:13:53.540 country in women are being randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}13{:}53.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}13{:}56.382$ to either you know no intervention or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:56.382 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.430$ an intervention with counseling about diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:13:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.710$ And weight loss and seeing if that translates

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:14:01.710 --> 00:14:04.226 into an outcome of benefit for these women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}14{:}04.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.330$ So this is an important important study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.830$ We know that women coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.030$ in with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.230$ if they are considered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.430$ you know clinically overweight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:14:11.430 --> 00:14:12.146 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:12.146 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.936$ sometimes their outcome that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:13.936 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.842$ data supporting the fact of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:15.842 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.378$ outcome might not be as good

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:17.378 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.229$ as women who are a bit leaner,

 $00:14:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.995$ so we're trying to understand that better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.080$ This is a trial called the ABC trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.985$ and looking at aspirin in early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:14:27.985 --> 00:14:30.552 breast cancer and the question being

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:30.552 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.457$ asked here in Doctor Fishback as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:33.535 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.316$ Pi of this trial we we really have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:36.316 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.170$ deep resource of multiple oncologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.095$ We're focusing on breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.265$ Yale and I think that really delivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.077$ Innovation and quality care to our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.252$ Know aspirin and other agents like

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:49.252 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.143$ aspirin had been looked at in multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:14:52.143 --> 00:14:54.322 malignancies for potentially, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:14:54.322 --> 00:14:55.926 preventing or decreasing risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:55.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.138$ and this is a trial asking the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:14:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.515$ Well,

 $00:14:59.515 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.515$ there's a lot of basis to consider this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00{:}15{:}02.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.767$ question as very relevant question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:15:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.584$ Could the addition of aspirin affect outcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

00:15:07.590 --> 00:15:09.600 Would women potentially do better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:15:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.890$ So this is a very real relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.86085296

 $00:15:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.300$ trial for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:14.414 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.979$ as well. I just left a few other trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:15:17.980 --> 00:15:21.076 Alot of these happen to be national trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.168$ but just to look at the top three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.372$ one looking at different molecular changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:26.372 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.509$ in a womans breast tumor and then randomize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:29.509 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.514$ a different therapy before surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}15{:}31.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.455$ Based on what those with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:33.455 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.616$ the molecular profile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:15:34.620 --> 00:15:37.329 the molecular landscape might look at again,

00:15:37.330 --> 00:15:40.676 doctors, Santas, the Pi for that trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:15:40.680 --> 00:15:42.200 Another trial you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:15:42.200 --> 00:15:44.100 perhaps like that Monarch trial?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:44.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.875$ Looking at cyclin dependent kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:45.875 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.096$ inhibitors in early breast cancer trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:15:48.096 --> 00:15:50.442 called Natalie using an alternative cyclin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:50.442 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.460$ dependent kinase inhibitor riverstick Lib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.360$ and asking the similar question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}15{:}54.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.260$ folks with high risk disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.829$ would they do better with the addition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:15:58.829 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.200$ of this agent in another trial?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:16:01.200 --> 00:16:03.860 Looking at more advanced disease and Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.140$ Mongolian is the P for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.660$ trial and that trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:16:07.660 --> 00:16:08.749 Asking the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:08.749 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.290$ you know we follow folks with advanced

 $00:16:11.351 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.116$ disease stage four disease with serial scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.744$ How are we doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:15.744 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.774$ Is the patient responding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:16:17.774 --> 00:16:19.920 treatment tumor markers guide us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.040$ Can they influence when we order CAT scans?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.965$ Can we do less image Ng exposed women to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:25.965 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.887$ less radiation and get similar outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.306$ So a lot of a lot of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:31.306 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.569$ trials from different perspectives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.845$ And just to mention that more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}16{:}35.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.639$ more in the advanced cancer setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:16:38.640 --> 00:16:40.590 we're looking to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}16{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.850$ molecular landscape, so we want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:42.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.559$ Study the the genetics of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:45.559 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.935$ by a biopsy and then sometimes

 $00:16:47.935 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.978$ by what we call a liquid biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}16{:}50.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.188$ Getting a blood sample and looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:16:53.188 --> 00:16:54.660 for circulating tumor DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.026$ And we're doing that more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:57.026 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.645$ to try to tailor our treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:16:59.645 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.190$ to the most effective therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:17:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.850$ And this is becoming commonplace for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:17:04.850 --> 00:17:07.562 And this is a trial that one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}17{:}07.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.576$ our lead researchers Doctor Push

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:17:09.576 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.830$ Die is going to be the Pi of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}17{:}12.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.542$ And this is a trial in early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:17:15.542 --> 00:17:17.768 disease and asking the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:17:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.381$ Well if we follow women on endocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:17:20.381 --> 00:17:22.258 therapy after they've had their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

00:17:22.258 --> 00:17:23.993 surgery and we've had other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}17{:}23.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.129$ treatment in the agement setting.

 $00:17:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.291$ So after surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00{:}17{:}27.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.310$ as you know when when someone say on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475373

 $00:17:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.590$ you know in Aromat ACE inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:17:35.230 --> 00:17:38.254 If we check their blood intermittently

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}17{:}38.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.650$ looking for circulating tumor DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:17:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.890$ if we see a certain signal as defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:17:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.081$ by the investigators and we acted

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}17{:}47.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.507$ on that signal to change treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:17:50.510 --> 00:17:52.482 say from typical adjeman

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:17:52.482 --> 00:17:54.953 endocrine therapy to adding, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}17{:}54.953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.418$ an agent like fulvestrant into

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}17{:}57.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.390$ cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:17:59.390 --> 00:18:03.226 For instance, do these folks do better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.786$ So again, trying to get it back question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:05.786 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.737$ if we come in early with different treatment,

 $00:18:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.305$ adding a second agent you know will our folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}18{:}11.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.919$ do better in terms of disease recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.090$ I'm very eager to see this trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.643$ begin as well and I wanted to briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:18:18.643 --> 00:18:21.613 touch on you know what might be new in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:18:21.613 --> 00:18:24.009 genetics and how this could affect you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}18{:}24.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.592$ Know some of our patients in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}18{:}26.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29.482$ clinic and some folks who have certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}18{:}29.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.020$ hereditary risk so we know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:18:32.020 --> 00:18:35.953 Our folks have inherited ABRC A1 or BRC A2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.216$ Mutation has some special issues with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:38.216 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.380$ tumor DNA repair and we call that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.970$ homologous recombination deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.354$ We know that some of these cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:46.354 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.940$ more challenging in terms of double

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.430$ stranded DNA repair because we know

00:18:51.512 --> 00:18:54.356 that cells are always having trouble,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.900$ and if they can't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:18:55.900 --> 00:18:59.180 you know if they can't repair themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:18:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.898$ they would have a signal too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:19:01.900 --> 00:19:02.221 Parrish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:02.221 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.789$ and so we want to try to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:04.789 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.606$ advantage of maybe an inherent

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:06.606 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.736$ weakness within the BRC A1 cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.450$ In this class of drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.476$ called PARP inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.502$ I put two in here elaborate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:13.502 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.240$ and tell is Zopa rib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}19{:}15.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.544$ which are FDA approved for advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:17.544 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.451$ cancer that have been looked at in trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:20.451 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.766$ in the stage for setting for women

 $00:19:22.766 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.190$ with BRC A1 and B RCA two mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:25.190 \dashrightarrow 00:19:27.210$ and their effective and their helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.814$ And they're part of our standard treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:29.814 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.909$ regiment for folks who have burst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.926$ My 2 mutations and one of the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:19:34.926 --> 00:19:38.194 that Nadine Tung asked at ASCO this year was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164 00:19:38.200 --> 00:19:38.568 well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:19:38.568 --> 00:19:39.304 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:39.304 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.512$ what about folks who might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:19:41.512 --> 00:19:43.048 other hereditary mutations beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:19:43.048 --> 00:19:45.226 BRC A1 and B RCA 2?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.290$ Because we're finding there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.784$ other high penetrance genes that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:49.784 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.986$ increase the risk for breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:51.986 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.989$ with these people benefit from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.606$ The addition of a PARP inhibitor.

00:19:56.610 --> 00:19:59.316 And what about folks who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:19:59.316 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.218$ acquired mutate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:00.220 --> 00:20:01.940 Susan BRC A1 and BRC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:20:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.243$ two so these are folks who don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}20{:}04.243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.710$ have hereditary breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:05.710 --> 00:20:08.090 but there are tumor cells have acquired

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:20:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.820$ mutations in those same genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}20{:}09.820 --> 00{:}20{:}10.137 \ \mathrm{OK},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:20:10.137 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.039$ and so the question is almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:12.039 --> 00:20:13.600 like Achilles heel question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}20{:}13.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.299$ Well, if the cell has a problem with repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:17.300 --> 00:20:18.302 With one mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}20{:}18.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20.640$ because we know our cells are smart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:20:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.972$ so they've created multiple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:21.972 --> 00:20:22.638 You know,

 $00:20:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.610$ evolution is created multiple ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:20:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.580$ for cells to repair themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}20{:}26.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.220$ If we take out the single strand DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:29.220 --> 00:20:31.258 repair mechanism with PARP inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:31.260 --> 00:20:33.780 could that lead to cancer cells perishing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:20:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.590$ We call that concept synthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:20:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.124$ lethality and so this was a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}20{:}38.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.769$ where folks with other mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00{:}20{:}39.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.329$ and I just want to highlight what

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:42.329 --> 00:20:44.579 we thought were the seminal aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

 $00:20:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.660$ of this trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81577164

00:20:45.660 --> 00:20:47.820 There's a gene called Pal B2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:20:47.820 --> 00:20:50.340 partner and localized, or V RCA two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}20{:}50.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53.220$ and it's a gene that also, when inherited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:20:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.740$ can increase the risk for breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:20:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.308$ and so in the hereditary setting.

 $00:20:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.220$ It was significant response with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.130$ PARP inhibitors to the folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:02.202 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.960$ who had the PAL V2 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.030$ So to me that that's getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.840$ very close to saying well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.220$ folks who have breast cancer in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:21:10.220 --> 00:21:12.779 context of this mutation like BRC A1B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.404$ RCA two are candidates for a PARP inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:15.404 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.079$ was also very interesting in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}21{:}18.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.616$ Here is it folks would acquire what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:21:20.616 --> 00:21:23.729 call a semantic BRC A1-AB or C2 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.082$ They too seem to have an excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}21{:}26.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.987$ response rate to these agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:27.987 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.057$ in the advanced cancer setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.335$ So this was interesting and I think

 $00:21:32.335 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.970$ it's going to be relevant for us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}21{:}34.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.972$ just to mention that's in contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:21:36.972 --> 00:21:39.676 to folks with an ATM or check two

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:39.676 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.952$ mutation to other genes that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:41.952 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.670$ associated with hereditary breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:21:43.670 --> 00:21:46.013 Those folks didn't seem to benefit, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:21:46.013 --> 00:21:48.131 So I found that very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:48.131 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.629$ and one of our investigators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.906$ Doctor Larosa at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:51.906 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.651$ has a trial at trying to mention this

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:21:54.651 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.311$ trucks and it's very relevant for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:21:57.311 --> 00:22:00.139 folks with germline mutations in V RC1BR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}22{:}00.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.590$ C two and you'll hear more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}22{:}02.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.529$ checkpoint inhibitors from doctoral Garo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.670$ But this is a trial saying well if we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.118$ folks who have benefit from a PARP inhibitor.

 $00:22:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.423$ If we add a checkpoint inhibitor might

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:22:13.423 --> 00:22:15.798 they do better 'cause there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:22:15.798 --> 00:22:18.008 very interesting science behind that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:22:18.010 --> 00:22:19.995 Suggesting that those medicines could

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:19.995 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.849$ work very well together in our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.664$ So for me it was a Goodyear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:22:25.670 --> 00:22:28.088 a hopeful year looking at ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:28.088 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.700$ to overcome enterkin resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}22{:}29.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.136$ Some improvements as relates to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}22{:}32.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.760$ we understand hereditary cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:33.824 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.340$ in the treatment thereof.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.372$ And this is just a slide from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:22:38.372 --> 00:22:41.338 summer of some women that I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:41.338 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.323$ in Westerly who are doing yoga at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:44.323 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.039$ sunrise on the beach and for me

 $00:22:47.039 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.754$ it's sort of symbolized hope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

00:22:48.754 --> 00:22:51.150 I do have great hope for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00{:}22{:}51.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.110$ I wanted to share that with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81578463

 $00:22:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.680$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00:22:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.352$ That was fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00:22:56.352 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.372$ Loved love that last slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00:22:58.372 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.632$ and obviously all the data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00:23:00.632 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.352$ the trials that are underway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00{:}23{:}02.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.640$ especially here at Yale and Smilow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00:23:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.644$ Next, we're going to move to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00{:}23{:}06.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.815$ Doctor Mina Moran and discuss the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00{:}23{:}08.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.339$ advances in radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

00:23:10.339 --> 00:23:12.770 and some of the really exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243819

 $00:23:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.278$ techniques that are out there now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8673003

 $00:23:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.758$ You're on mute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.800$ Is still on on mute.

 $00:23:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.026$ But while those slides are coming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.250$ there was a question about 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.476$ It was from Pam regarding 100%

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.360$ breast tissue density and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.930$ confident you could be an image Ng.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00{:}23{:}49.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.156$ I think that's a fantastic question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.218$ We're going to absolutely get that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:54.218 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.610$ in our question and answer session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.722$ And also, what are the signs

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:23:58.722 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.690$ and symptoms of breast cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00{:}24{:}00.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03.287$ And I think really super relevant questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00:24:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.140$ So with no further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

00:24:05.140 --> 00:24:07.000 Doctor Moran, professor and director,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80373096

 $00{:}24{:}07.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.480$ radiation Oncology at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.470$ Thank you, I apologize for that.

00:24:11.470 --> 00:24:13.480 I'm having some technical difficulties today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.657$ so I'm going to be talking to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

00:24:16.657 --> 00:24:19.174 radiation and just to give you an idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.105$ you know one of the things I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:22.105 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.536$ talk about is just the general principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

00:24:24.536 --> 00:24:26.098 of practices for breast radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:26.098 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.940$ such as the role of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:27.997 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.557$ in breast conservation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00{:}24{:}29.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.570$ the role of radiation after mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.245$ and the use of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00{:}24{:}33.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.585$ in the palliative setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

00:24:34.590 --> 00:24:36.600 I want to talk about radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.201$ what it is makes a lot of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:39.201 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.056$ come for consultation don't really have an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.170$ Idea of what it is or what it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

00:24:45.170 --> 00:24:45.732 And Lastly,

 $00:24:45.732 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.980$ I want to talk about some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

 $00:24:48.052 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.392$ technological advances that we now are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712355

00:24:50.392 --> 00:24:52.790 using routinely in our practices to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86841786

 $00:24:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.819$ decrease normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:24:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.202$ So when a patient is newly diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:24:59.202 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.317$ with early stage breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.632$ one of the major decisions that they face is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:04.632 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.078$ the choice between Ms Ectomy or lumpectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.464$ If they are a candidate for a lumpectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:25:11.470 --> 00:25:13.586 And this shows long-term survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:13.586 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.278$ following a lumpectomy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:15.278 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.970$ radiation versus the mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.506$ And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:19.506 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.040$ that the outcomes in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.732$ long-term survival are equivalent.

 $00:25:23.732 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.774$ And this is nevertheless a persistent myth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:25:26.774 --> 00:25:29.346 But Patience feels that once the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:29.346 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.830$ breast is a fully removed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:25:31.830 --> 00:25:33.890 their outcomes may be better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.959$ but unfortunately that isn't it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:25:35.959 --> 00:25:37.198 Fortunately, or unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.024$ they're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:38.024 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.084$ It is not the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.390$ and what we see is that more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.145$ more women over the last decade or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00{:}25{:}46.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.070$ so have been choosing mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:48.142 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.998$ over a breast conservation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.884$ Ultimately that the outcomes are the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.314$ and So what are the indications

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:25:55.314 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.980$ for when a patient may require?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:25:57.980 --> 00:25:58.405 Radiation,

 $00:25:58.405 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.372$ the most common indication is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:26:01.372 --> 00:26:03.487 use of breast conservation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.206$ which is defined as limited surgery to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:26:06.206 --> 00:26:09.000 remove the tumor with negative margins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00{:}26{:}09.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.526$ and that's followed by whole breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:11.526 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.119$ radiation with an assessment of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:14.119 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.633$ lymph nodes and breast conservation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.909$ As I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.452$ is a standard alternative to mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00{:}26{:}20.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.994$ for early stage breast cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:26:22.994 --> 00:26:24.688 provides equivalent long-term outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:24.688 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.656$ up to 25 years for eligible patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00{:}26{:}27.660 --> 00{:}26{:}28.575$ So after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:28.575 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.060$ Lumpectomy the alternatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.772$ to whole breast radiation,

 $00:26:31.772 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.340$ can also be a partial breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:26:34.419 --> 00:26:36.040 radiation therapy plan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.800$ where the lumpectomy cavity is outlined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.100$ And we're treating just that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.400$ small portion of the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:26:43.400 --> 00:26:47.490 This approach is actually quite promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.384$ Appears to have good cosmesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:49.384 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.026$ but the studies are newer than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:52.026 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.538$ traditional whole breast radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:53.538 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.426$ and they have significantly shorter

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:55.426 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.692$ follow-up and so currently it's only

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:26:57.692 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.379$ being offered for selected low risk patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:27:00.379 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.636$ And then Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:27:01.636 --> 00:27:04.150 there's one group of patients where

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:27:04.227 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.527$ we routinely offer them radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:27:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.915$ omission,

00:27:06.915 --> 00:27:09.200 or just having the lumpectomy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00{:}27{:}09.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.109$ a no additional aggregate radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:27:11.110 --> 00:27:14.022 And this is for patients over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

00:27:14.022 --> 00:27:16.526 age of approximately 65 to 70 who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:27:16.526 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.341$ haviar positive tumors that measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:27:18.341 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.627$ less than two to three centimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:27:20.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.540$ and who have negative nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00{:}27{:}22.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.748$ and who are willing to commit

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:27:24.748 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.730$ to tamoxifen for five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85281974

 $00:27:26.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.870$ And what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83263856

 $00:27:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.156$ tell these patients is that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85561679

00:27:30.160 --> 00:27:32.152 their risk of. The cancer coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.85561679

00:27:32.152 --> 00:27:34.149 back within the breast is higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85561679

 $00:27:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.302$ but if it does come back they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85561679

 $00:27:36.302 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.264$ ultimately have a mastectomy and so

 $00:27:38.264 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.310$ therefore there is no survival difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

 $00{:}27{:}43.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46.058$ What are the indications for a after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

00:27:46.058 --> 00:27:48.950 a mastectomy to do radiation well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

 $00:27:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.138$ radiation is typically offered in the post

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

00:27:52.138 --> 00:27:54.874 mastectomy setting for high risk patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

 $00:27:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.604$ and it's used to sterilize microscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

 $00:27:57.604 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.890$ disease on the chest wall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

 $00:27:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.350$ In regional lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

 $00{:}28{:}03.350 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.353$ Typically the radiation is a delivered entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

00:28:06.353 --> 00:28:09.428 chest wall and any lymph nodes at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

 $00{:}28{:}09.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11.460$ The patients that we consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

00:28:11.460 --> 00:28:13.490 for most mastectomy radiation are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

00:28:13.563 --> 00:28:15.498 those who have positive nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

00:28:15.500 --> 00:28:17.846 Those who have positive margins if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

00:28:17.846 --> 00:28:20.769 they have tumor that involves the skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434824

 $00:28:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.185$ or if they have a tumor that

 $00:28:23.185 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.220$ measures of five centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:28:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.920$ So whether it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:28:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.566$ Text me or post mastectomy radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:28:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.785$ It's important to recognize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:28:35.785 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.497$ radiation is very safe and effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

00:28:38.497 --> 00:28:41.504 and is much better tolerated than it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:28:41.504 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.056$ was years ago due to the advances that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

00:28:45.056 --> 00:28:47.240 we've made and radiation generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:28:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.445$ reduces local and regional recurrences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:28:49.445 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.285$ by approximately 60 to 70%, which is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:28:53.285 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.555$ relative risk reduction of about 2/3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

00:28:56.560 --> 00:28:58.190 In certain subsets of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00{:}28{:}58.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.465$ radiation is also associated with an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:29:00.465 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.390$ in survival and could be as high as 10%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:29:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.012$ and this is particularly true

00:29:05.012 --> 00:29:06.960 of the younger patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:29:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.750$ a very long life expectancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:29:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.152$ The treatment is almost always given

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:29:12.152 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.507$ after chemotherapy and the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

00:29:14.507 --> 00:29:16.843 duration of radiation is specific to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:29:16.843 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.883$ the patient and it can be as good as six

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328143

 $00:29:19.883 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.158$ weeks out from from beginning to end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.402$ So the last major an indication for radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}29{:}27.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.132$ is for patients in the metastatic setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:30.132 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.598$ or in the recurrent disease setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.525$ Or a patient has disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:34.525 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.680$ that's causing symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.382$ In these situations the radiation is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

00:29:38.382 --> 00:29:41.474 delivered is given in a pallet of way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:41.474 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.682$ meaning that it's used to alleviate

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:43.682 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.472$ the symptoms that the patient

00:29:45.472 --> 00:29:46.880 might be experiencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.425$ and it's highly effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:48.425 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.896$ It can be up to 70 to 90% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:51.896 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.826$ patients do resport report that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}29{:}53.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.740$ they have a very good pain control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.288$ But the fractionation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:58.288 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.076$ differs significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:29:59.076 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.258$ Can be anyway?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.542$ From 10 to 15 treatments or as

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:02.542 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.928$ little as one to two treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.934$ depending on the site and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:06.934 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.880$ performance status of the patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}30{:}08.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.028$ and typically it's used for things

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:11.028 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.106$ like bone lesions, brain metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

00:30:13.106 --> 00:30:16.094 soft tissue masses or even chest

 $00:30:16.094 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.090$ wall recurrences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.760$ So just an important point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

00:30:18.760 --> 00:30:19.094 Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}30{:}19.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.098$ for all treatment of breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}30{:}21.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.098$ I think it's important to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.105$ that every breast cancer is different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:25.105 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.712$ and so the biology is different in every

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:27.712 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.030$ case as well as patient related factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.445$ that that a physician has to consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.118$ In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}30{:}33.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.789$ we have to look at the efficacy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.016$ the treatment as well as the toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}30{:}38.016 \to 00{:}30{:}40.595$ and then look at the risks versus the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:40.595 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.949$ benefits to make sure that it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:42.949 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.145$ worth the treatments for the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:45.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.220$ So in addition to the doctors.

 $00:30:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.876$ Recommendation for their whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

00:30:48.876 --> 00:30:51.359 or not to deliver radiation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.448$ other important components that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:53.448 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.058$ sometimes gets neglected but should

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:56.058 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.008$ be discussed is the patients of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:30:59.008 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.358$ personal preferences and what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:01.434 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.266$ want to do and how they would receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.357$ So now what is radiation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

00:31:06.357 --> 00:31:09.693 Radiation is a high energy X Ray beam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}31{:}09.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.210$ not very different than a chest

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.304 X$ Ray or cat scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:14.304 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.389$ They all use ionizing radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}31{:}16.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.892$ but the magnitude of the energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:18.892 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.143$ is significantly greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.410$ up for the apeutic radiation and

00:31:22.410 --> 00:31:25.436 ultimately what it does is it causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}31{:}25.436 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}31{:}28.188$ damage to any of the cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:28.274 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.022$ in the radiations pathway and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:31.022 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.530$ within our field of radiation oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.100$ Our goal is to try to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.820$ The radiation to minimize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.015$ chance of the cancer coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:41.015 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.239$ locally or regionally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.008$ And we do this by trying to individualize

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

00:31:45.008 --> 00:31:47.186 the beams to the patients anatomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}31{:}47.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49.763$ and target the areas at risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:49.763 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.085$ recurrence and minimize the dose to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:52.085 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.840$ the normal tissue wherever possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.832$ So how does radiation work while the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:31:58.832 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.424$ individual X Ray beams target the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:01.424 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.427$ DNA and normal cells as well through

00:32:04.427 --> 00:32:06.490 a direct and indirect mechanism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.040$ That's a little bit too difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.603$ to explain in this short session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

00:32:11.603 --> 00:32:14.160 but ultimately what happens is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.285$ the the radiation causes damage

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:16.285 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.842$ to the DNA of any cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:18.842 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.659$ and by doing this it ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}32{:}21.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24.224$ prevents the cells from replicating

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:24.224 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.039$ unless they can repair themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.847$ And So what are the what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:29.847 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.150$ the exact types of damages?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}32{:}32.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.132$ All different kinds but but the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00{:}32{:}35.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.706$ damages are typically such that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:37.706 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.520$ cancer cells are not able to recover from it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.656$ whereas normal cells such as

00:32:43.656 --> 00:32:45.360 our skin lung tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

00:32:45.360 --> 00:32:47.420 our breast tissue is able

NOTE Confidence: 0.844464

 $00:32:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.480$ to recover and for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8680765

 $00:32:49.569 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.167$ reason it leads to cancer cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8680765

 $00:32:52.167 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.732$ death when the cells try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8680765

 $00{:}32{:}54.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56.533$ reproduce or replicate, whereas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8680765

 $00:32:56.533 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.671$ The normal tissue has the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8680765

 $00:32:59.671 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.946$ to repair and seal off and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8680765

 $00{:}33{:}02.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.860$ continue with its normal life cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.766$ So when a patient comes in for radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}33{:}09.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.014$ sometimes they think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:33:11.014 --> 00:33:12.569 they're going to start radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:33:12.570 --> 00:33:14.754 The date if they have the consultation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.523$ and this is just to show you that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:17.523 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.436$ actually a process that we follow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.064$ The patient first needs to undergo a see

 $00:33:22.064 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.451$ T simulation an it's a multi step process

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}33{:}24.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.930$ where we put the patient on the table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.734$ We immobilize them to make sure that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:29.734 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.918$ reproduce their positioning on a daily basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.056$ For the treatments we add some

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:34.056 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.149$ markers to their skin on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:36.149 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.228$ skin and then we get the scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.408$ This is what one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}33{:}40.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.497$ immobilization looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:41.500 \dashrightarrow 00:33:44.758$ It is a vac lock with a breast board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:33:44.760 --> 00:33:46.590 Patients are required to put their

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}33{:}46.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.418$ arms up and so for this reason another

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}33{:}49.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.348$ important important point is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:33:51.348 --> 00:33:53.478 when patients come to radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.273$ they should be able to raise their

 $00:33:56.273 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.458$ arms comfortably and keep them up

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:33:58.458 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.037$ for at least 20 to 30 minutes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:34:01.037 --> 00:34:03.627 order for the CAT scan and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:03.627 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.968$ entire simulation process to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.413$ And so this is what the radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:09.413 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.460$ feels look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.548$ And this is just a schematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.878$ But basically what happens is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:14.878 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.704$ head of the machine moves to the to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:17.704 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.267$ one side of the breast or the chest

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}34{:}20.267 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}34{:}22.675$ wall tissue and it treats it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:22.675 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.102$ then moves to the other side and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}34{:}25.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.560$ treats the other side of the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.666$ And by doing this we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:29.666 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.773$ skimming the chest wall and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}34{:}31.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33.681$ penetrating from the front to the

00:34:33.681 --> 00:34:36.572 back an in this way we're really just

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}34{:}36.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.630$ treating the superficial a tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.630$ Which includes the breast tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.050$ Here are the wires that we put on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}34{:}45.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47.294$ clinically delineate what we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:34:47.294 --> 00:34:49.964 to make sure that we're covering

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:50.046 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.762$ an right underneath you see the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}34{:}52.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.980$ CT scans and in the pink you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.210$ the breast volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:34:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.304$ The yellow that you see there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:00.304 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.677$ the lumpectomy volume and on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}35{:}02.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.451$ scan to the left side of the screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}35{:}05.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.608$ you see the three little areas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:08.608 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.994$ are outlined are your lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:10.994 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.650$ In the axilla and the red line that I drew,

 $00:35:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.110$ is that tangential beam?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:35:16.110 --> 00:35:19.018 So just to show you that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:19.018 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.578$ able to cover a good portion of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:21.659 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.955$ breast and the level one Level 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:23.955 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.872$ and Level 3 lymph nodes with just

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:35:26.872 --> 00:35:28.804 a tangential beam alone?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.880$ So ultimately this 3 dimensional

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.770$ technology with the use of CAT scans

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:35:33.770 --> 00:35:36.746 and looking at it at every different level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}35{:}36.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.137$ it allows us to contour the beam

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}35{:}39.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41.015$ to an individual patients anatomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:41.015 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.745$ and it allows us to deliver precise

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}35{:}43.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.878$ and focused radiation beams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.820$ So what does radiation target

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.650$ and what do we try to target?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.750$ Most patients who undergo breast

 $00:35:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.430$ conservation have their whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00{:}35{:}54.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.199$ breast treated at this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.098$ We may or may not include nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:35:59.098 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.340$ if the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:36:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.416$ If it's indicated for the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

00:36:02.420 --> 00:36:04.835 for example, if they have positive nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:36:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.255$ we often will include the regional nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:36:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.286$ After the mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:36:08.286 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.338$ we treat the chest wall and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88084704

 $00:36:10.338 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.100$ a more often than not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.176$ because these are higher risk patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.316$ they will have their regional nodes treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.342$ And this is what the fields

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:19.342 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.150$ look like on the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.610$ So this is just a schematic to show you what

 $00:36:24.692 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.919$ the delivery of the tangential beams are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.140$ First, there's the medial beam or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.689$ the medial part of the treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.670$ so you have the beam and sub beams within it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.655$ which are tailored to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}36{:}38.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.243$ individual patient and delete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.842$ Deliver the radiation medially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:41.842 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.832$ Then the beam moves into

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

00:36:43.832 --> 00:36:45.056 the lateral position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:45.056 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.534$ so the height of the machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:47.534 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.503$ moves to the lateral side of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}36{:}50.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.568$ patient and again similar beam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}36{:}52.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.532$ Delivers the tangential field and sub

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:54.532 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.089$ beams as well and ultimately together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.994$ What that gives you is a dose distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:36:59.994 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.323$ that looks like what that purple

 $00:37:02.323 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.621$ and the yellow there are covering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}37{:}04.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07.790$ So you're covering a good part of almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.291$ all of that breast tissue with a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:11.291 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.979$ little amount of lung or heart in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.600$ And so the goal is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.210$ as we're doing this treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

00:37:18.210 --> 00:37:21.280 planning is to design the beams in such a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:21.348 \dashrightarrow 00:37:24.345$ that we treat the breast and the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.288$ with or without the lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}37{:}26.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.900$ and we minimize the radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.188$ to the normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.662$ And so we have a lot of tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:31.662 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.707$ that we are able to use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.356$ such as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:34.356 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.294$ Once we get the CAT scan,

 $00:37:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.955$ we can three dimensionally recreate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

00:37:37.955 --> 00:37:40.288 the skin surface as well as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:40.288 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.524$ three dimensional internal surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:41.524 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.142$ so that we are able to see exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:44.142 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.466$ where these beams intersect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.030$ And also then be able to change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.532$ beam a little bit in order to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:51.532 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.480$ that we're covering what we need to cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.478$ The things that we worry about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.096$ the most critical things that we worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:37:59.096 \dashrightarrow 00:38:01.826$ are the the heart in the lung obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.174$ and sometimes the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:03.174 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.593$ And so we have two important tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:05.593 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.573$ that we use regularly to minimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:07.573 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.840$ that dose to the heart and lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.178$ The first one is the deep inspiration,

 $00:38:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.770$ breath hold technique and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}38{:}13.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.121$ other one is the prone breast board

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

00:38:16.121 --> 00:38:18.209 technique and I'm just going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:18.209 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.200$ briefly talk about both of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

00:38:20.200 --> 00:38:21.672 The deep inspiration breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}38{:}21.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.512$ hold technique allows a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:23.512 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.319$ when they take a deep breath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.522$ Their chest wall moves away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:27.522 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.569$ the heart and and in doing so and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:30.569 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.444$ with the diaphragm going down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}38{:}32.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35.506$ what you have is more room for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}38{:}35.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37.518$ tangential beam to get in there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}38{:}37.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39.785$ and to be able to treat without

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:39.785 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.395$ exposing the hearts and also it

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:42.395 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.208$ reduces the amount of a lung volume.

 $00:38:45.208 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.914$ So this is just an example to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:47.914 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.699$ show you in a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.700$ On the left is the free breathing scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.850$ On the right is the breath hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.794$ In in red you see the heart contour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:38:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.649$ how much the heart shape changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:39:01.649 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.648$ this particular patient when she

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:39:03.648 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.760$ holds her breath and that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}39{:}05.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}08.395$ allows us to get into the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:39:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.240$ the breast tissue and nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:39:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.168$ and miss the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00{:}39{:}13.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.550$ This is just a cross section of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86867094

 $00:39:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.570$ that showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:16.642 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.169$ again the decreased heart dose on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:19.169 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.131$ left you have the free breathing on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:39:22.131 --> 00:39:25.014 right you have the breath hold and how

 $00:39:25.014 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.162$ significant that change in anatomy is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}39{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.970$ Using this breath whole technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.441$ So then the question is well, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:31.441 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.009$ fine, you do that on the CAT scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:34.009 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.508$ at the time of CT simulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:39:36.510 --> 00:39:38.774 But what do you do day today for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:38.774 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.247$ the six weeks of treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:39:41.247 --> 00:39:43.083 you're bringing the patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.894$ In every day, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}39{:}44.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.149$ we have very sophisticated lasers

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:47.149 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.419$ within the treatment room that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:49.419 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.035$ project onto the patients skin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}39{:}52.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54.365$ determine the exact position that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:54.365 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.622$ the body contour should be in when

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:39:57.622 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.628$ the patient takes that deep breath.

 $00:40:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.700$ And these reference points are locked

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}40{:}02.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.600$ into our record and verify treatment system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.352$ and so the machine only turns on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:08.352 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.750$ delivers the radiation when the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:40:10.750 --> 00:40:13.620 is in that exact precise breath hold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.906$ and this is within 3 millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:15.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.196$ so anything more than 3 millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:40:18.200 --> 00:40:21.674 the machine will not turn on and the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:21.674 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.694$ holds their breath as long as they can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.873$ the machine delivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}40{:}25.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.502$ It does what it's supposed to do as soon

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:29.502 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.944$ as the patient releases their breath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}40{:}31.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.134$ The machine turns off and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:34.134 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.023$ patient catches up on their breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:36.023 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.793$ and you repeat the cycle until

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:40:37.793 --> 00:40:39.917 the entire treatment is delivered.

 $00:40:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.752$ So this is just a data showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}40{:}42.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.312$ just one study looking at the IBH in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:40:46.312 --> 00:40:49.398 patients who have who do free breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.770$ These are just some cardiac parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.288$ You see that only about 50 to 60% with

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:55.288 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.436$ free breathing can avoid the cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:40:57.436 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.070$ structures to what is considered acceptable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}41{:}00.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02.085$ With deep inspiration breath hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:02.085 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.410$ technique that increases to about 97%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.106$ So that's it's remarkable that it works

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:08.106 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.936$ very well in the vast majority of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.754$ But what about that last 3% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:14.754 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.218$ where the DB H doesn't work well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.628$ We have another technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:41:18.628 --> 00:41:20.036 It's not as precise,

00:41:20.040 --> 00:41:22.146 but it does work very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.908$ It's the prone board and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:23.908 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.664$ we do is we instead of having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:26.664 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.932$ patient patient on the left there

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:41:29.013 --> 00:41:31.299 with their laying on their back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.310$ you can see that their heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.170$ is clearly in the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.987$ will put them in the prone position and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:41:37.987 --> 00:41:41.155 use gravity to have that breast fall forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.516$ and it allows us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:42.516 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.004$ To treat the vast majority of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:45.004 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.296$ breast tissue in the chest wall

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:41:47.296 --> 00:41:49.668 without having to treat the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.872$ and so this is our alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:41:51.872 --> 00:41:53.720 method for decreasing heart dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.296$ and it works quite well as well.

00:41:56.300 --> 00:41:59.236 This is what the breast board looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:41:59.240 --> 00:41:59.684 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:41:59.684 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.348$ the ipsilateral breast or the side

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:42:02.348 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.849$ that we're treating hangs down the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:42:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.350$ Your side is pushed up and out of the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:42:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.974$ We do similarly outline the volume of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:42:10.974 --> 00:42:13.248 breast tissue and the lumpectomy cavity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00{:}42{:}13.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.882$ And an important point is that if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

00:42:15.882 --> 00:42:18.395 patient has a tumor that was originally

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:42:18.395 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.600$ very close to the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:42:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.350$ that would be a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:42:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.022$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88418823

 $00:42:23.022 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.200$ that we would not want to do breath holds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:42:26.200 --> 00:42:28.816 I mean not do prone breast board on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:42:28.816 --> 00:42:30.997 because you are skimping a little

 $00:42:30.997 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.169$ bit on the very very posterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:42:33.247 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.407$ aspect of the chest wall there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:42:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.792$ But in the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:42:37.792 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.228$ patients that we do it in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:42:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.456$ as long as their appropriately selected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00{:}42{:}42.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45.057$ it's a very effective technique as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:42:45.060 --> 00:42:47.028 So in summary, radiation is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:42:47.028 --> 00:42:48.830 essential component to the multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:42:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.985$ disciplinary approach of breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:42:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.433$ and as I mentioned to you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:42:53.433 --> 00:42:55.070 early stage breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:42:55.070 --> 00:42:56.183 breast conservation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:42:56.183 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.667$ is equal to mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00{:}42{:}57.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.872$ meaning that there is no difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00{:}42{:}59.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.535$ in survival rates and for this reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:02.535 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.779$ I like to stress that mastectomy

 $00:43:04.779 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.640$ shouldn't be chosen by patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.440$ Under the false pretense that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.701$ they're going to have better outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:10.701 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.666$ by removing the whole breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.560$ because this isn't the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:43:14.560 --> 00:43:16.645 Also, don't choose mastectomy just

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:43:16.645 --> 00:43:19.109 to avoid radiation because you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:19.109 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.265$ want to go through five weeks or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:43:21.265 --> 00:43:23.518 six weeks of radiation because even

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:23.518 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.478$ after mastectomy there are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:25.478 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.126$ to be patients who are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:28.126 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.254$ require postmastectomy radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00{:}43{:}29.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}31.642$ And Lastly that with the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:31.642 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.160$ technology and the advances that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:43:34.160 --> 00:43:36.045 radiation has really become quite

 $00:43:36.045 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.928$ safe and effective, it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:43:37.928 --> 00:43:39.424 Decrease local and regional

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:39.424 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.920$ recurrences by about 2/3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.700$ There's also a survival benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.768$ in some patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.550$ and it's much better tolerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.330$ than it was years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.454$ so I often will have patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:49.454 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.599$ who come to me and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:43:51.600 --> 00:43:54.448 Oh my mother had radiation 20 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.802$ but it was awful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:43:55.802 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.070$ It's not the same as it was years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00{:}43{:}59.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00.770$ Our technology has advanced so

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:44:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.907$ much that the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

00:44:02.907 --> 00:44:04.407 patients tolerated very well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:44:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.190$ and if you need it,

 $00:44:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.318$ it is not necessarily something to fear so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00{:}44{:}09.320 \to 00{:}44{:}11.712$ Thank you for your time and thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:44:11.712 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.075$ for calling in this late in the evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772

 $00:44:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.350$ Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00{:}44{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16.000$ you doctor Mario that was fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00{:}44{:}16.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.771$ Loved it. I actually learned a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00:44:17.771 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.474$ and was almost holding my breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00:44:19.474 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.720$ while you were talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00:44:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.570$ And now last but certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

00:44:24.570 --> 00:44:26.420 not least Doctor on couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00:44:26.494 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.589$ Garo talking about again more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00{:}44{:}28.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30.774$ You know the amazing changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00:44:30.774 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.890$ are ongoing in medical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

00:44:32.890 --> 00:44:35.620 We've gotten a whole slew of questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

 $00:44:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.036$ so once you're done,

 $00:44:37.036 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.160$ I'll be asking our panelists for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497173

00:44:39.229 --> 00:44:41.097 their thoughts and opinions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83460325

00:44:45.060 --> 00:44:46.644 Good evening, it's a privilege for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83460325

 $00:44:46.644 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.918$ me to be part of the smilow shares,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83460325

 $00:44:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.943$ and Moreover to be part of the same 323.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83460325

 $00:44:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.626$ Teammates smile, water for the doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83460325

00:44:55.630 --> 00:44:57.174 Legare and Doctor Moran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83460325

 $00{:}44{:}57.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00.412$ I would like to share the screen so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83460325

 $00:45:00.412 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.352$ Doctor Moran would you mind to Unshare?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178703325

 $00:45:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.278$ Yeah. I have unshared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178703325

 $00{:}45{:}09.280 --> 00{:}45{:}11.360$ Stop sharing. Yup, there you go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73218155 00:45:14.580 --> 00:45:15.440 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814005

 $00:45:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.410$ OK, sorry. I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00{:}45{:}41.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.920$ So in my talk I'm going to focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:45:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.037$ on some novel treatment options

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

00:45:46.037 --> 00:45:48.881 in her to positive and triple

 $00:45:48.881 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.189$ negative advanced breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:45:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.590$ We do know that not every case of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:45:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.516$ breast cancer is the same as doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:45:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.354$ Legare mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

00:45:58.354 --> 00:46:00.439 Approximately 70% of the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:00.439 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.160$ cancers are hormonally driven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.860$ However, there are other cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.968$ That may have a different driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00{:}46{:}08.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.814$ pathway that our is called her two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:12.814 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.042$ and they represent approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:15.042 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.892$ 20 to 25% of all breast cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00{:}46{:}18.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.122$ while 1015% of breast cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00{:}46{:}22.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.550$ I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.430$ Are considered the triple negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.055$ They do not have expression for estrogen,

00:46:28.060 --> 00:46:29.668 progesterone, or hard to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00{:}46{:}29.668 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}46{:}32.080$ and it is important to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

00:46:32.155 --> 00:46:34.640 which subtype of breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.628$ you're dealing with because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.920$ Survival and prognosis is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.662$ The best prognosis is for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

00:46:41.662 --> 00:46:43.033 hormonally driven cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.330$ but the her two positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.126$ either with your positive ITI or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

00:46:48.126 --> 00:46:49.990 concurrently are negativity as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00{:}46{:}50.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.655$ well as the triple negative breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

 $00:46:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.402$ Cancer may not have the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8173576

00:46:55.402 --> 00:46:56.316 excellent prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:46:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.854$ In the last several years we've had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:02.854 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.975$ tremendous advancements in the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

00:47:04.975 --> 00:47:07.417 of a triple negative breast cancer,

 $00:47:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.910$ and her two positive breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00{:}47{:}09.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.550$ and I'm going to mention two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.090$ such advances for each subtype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.890$ What is her two positive iti we do know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.960$ Breast normal breast cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.464$ most of the breast cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:23.464 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.618$ do have a certain number of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.033$ Her two receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00{:}47{:}27.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.388$ her stands for human epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:29.388 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.003$ receptor and in that class we have four

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:33.003 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.340$ different categories for breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00{:}47{:}35.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.309$ The her two are the most important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.570$ So this had two receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.920$ are transmembrane proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.720$ and when are lagging,

 $00:47:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.877$ the growth factor attaches to the receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.668$ It induces the dimerization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:49.668 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.456$ The pairing of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:51.456 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.290$ receptor with another one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.258$ and that in turn will activate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00{:}47{:}56.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58.700$ internal part of the receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:47:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.626$ This code of tyrosine kinase and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:01.626 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.502$ will trigger a sequence of events

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:04.502 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.052$ that would lead to activations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:07.052 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.097$ of pathways and genes inside the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00{:}48{:}10.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.512$ nucleus and ultimately lead to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.945$ Pro growth to proliferation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:14.945 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.872$ approximately 25% of the breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:17.872 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.405$ We have an over expression of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:21.405 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.447$ two receptors and or over expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:24.447 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.827$ of the genes the her two genes

 $00:48:27.827 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.952$ in the nuclei and that leads to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

00:48:30.952 --> 00:48:32.398 an exuberant activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847944

 $00:48:32.398 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.800$ If you may say so of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

00:48:37.810 --> 00:48:39.550 Proliferative pathways inside the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00{:}48{:}39.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43.052$ cancer cells that could lead to a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:48:43.052 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.107$ aggressive growth and cancer spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

00:48:45.110 --> 00:48:48.380 And the important of this pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:48:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.260$ was recognized in the 1980s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:48:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.608$ And while this pathway confers the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

00:48:54.608 --> 00:48:56.282 cancer monographic aggressiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:48:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.574$ it also gives us the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:00.574 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.430$ to interact with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00{:}49{:}03.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.838$ Two to treat and a big component of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:07.838 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.722$ that improvement in the breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:11.722 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.730$ mortality that Doctor Legare mentioned is.

00:49:15.730 --> 00:49:18.565 Basicaly due to the advancement in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00{:}49{:}18.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21.630$ her two positive breast cancer treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.070$ So in the late 1990s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.058$ the first drug that was approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

00:49:27.058 --> 00:49:29.420 by FDA was transducer MA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.605$ This is a monoclonal antibody that attaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:32.605 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.422$ to the her two receptor and basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:36.422 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.962$ blocks the proliferative pathway there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

00:49:39.970 --> 00:49:41.898 Several mechanisms it could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:41.898 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.308$ also induce the degradation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

00:49:44.308 --> 00:49:46.890 the receptor prevents sharing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.030$ but nevertheless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.991$ The plastic so mad made a tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

00:49:51.991 --> 00:49:54.872 difference in the overall survival of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:49:54.872 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.417$ patients with metastatic disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00{:}49{:}57.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.637$ later it was brought to the earlier.

 $00:50:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.316$ Stages of the breast cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00{:}50{:}03.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05.100$ significantly improved the chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:50:05.175 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.563$ for cure and decrease risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:50:07.563 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.155$ recurrence for early stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:50:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.592$ Breast cancer in 2012 we had the advent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00{:}50{:}12.592 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}50{:}15.639$ of pertuzumab that blocks the pairing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:50:15.639 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.960$ the her two receptor with the her three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608486

 $00:50:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.660$ This is the strongest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00:50:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.826$ Excuse me still way of stimulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00{:}50{:}27.826 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.790$ the her two pathway and together

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00{:}50{:}30.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.001$ with transducer mom again broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00{:}50{:}34.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36.497$ additional benefit for metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00{:}50{:}36.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.897$ breast cancer cases patients and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00:50:39.897 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.282$ it's also approved for treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00:50:43.282 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.742$ patients with early stages breast

 $00:50:46.742 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.510$ cancer before their surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00:50:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.646$ A newer concept is the antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

00:50:51.646 --> 00:50:52.358 drug conjugate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78094727

 $00:50:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.208$ and I'm going to talk in more detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:50:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.410$ In the next few minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.422$ So, this monoclonal antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:02.422 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.937$ are large molecules that act

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

00:51:04.937 --> 00:51:06.868 outside the cell membrane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00{:}51{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.630$ but we also have a class of drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.262$ that are called targeted tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00{:}51{:}12.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15.347$ kinase inhibitors that are smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

00:51:15.347 --> 00:51:18.717 molecules taken by mouth that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:18.717 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.277$ basically block the thyrogen kinase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

00:51:21.280 --> 00:51:24.759 The internal part of the heart receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.239$ and by doing that it basically stopped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.450$ They stopped this activation of the.

 $00:51:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.110$ Metabolic pathways and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00{:}51{:}35.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36.790$ They do have slight differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.244$ but there are some slight differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:39.244 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.190$ between the three compounds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.142$ the newest one is to cut in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

00:51:43.150 --> 00:51:45.136 and again, I'm going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071445

 $00:51:45.136 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.169$ a little bit later about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:51:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.018$ So the. Antibody drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00{:}51{:}53.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.574$ conjugate's represent them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:51:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.656$ Significance advancement in the treatment of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:52:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.390$ Breast cancer and basically the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:52:04.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.120$ antibody molecule that is transducer

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00{:}52{:}08.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.784$ map is loaded with several small

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:52:11.784 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.003$ molecules of a potent cytostatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

00:52:15.003 --> 00:52:18.678 or potent chemotherapy that is

 $00:52:18.678 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.960$ delivered directly to the cancer cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00{:}52{:}22.960 \to 00{:}52{:}25.640$ over expressing her two receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:52:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.430$ So it's a targeted chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:52:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.946$ delivery system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:52:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.446$ So when it after it attaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00.52:33.446 \longrightarrow 00.52:34.694$ to the receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:52:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.884$ it gets internalized in what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00{:}52{:}36.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}39.999$ call an endo zone the and after an

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00{:}52{:}39.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.969$ activation of certain enzymes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

00:52:41.969 --> 00:52:45.300 the what it becomes now the lysosome

NOTE Confidence: 0.803734588571429

 $00:52:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.204$ the chemotherapy medication is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:52:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.604$ Released inside the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:52:51.604 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.388$ and kills the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:52:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.322$ So different antibodies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:52:55.322 --> 00:52:57.254 different abilities to release,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:52:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.648$ less or more of this chemotherapy molecules,

 $00:53:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.978$ and we do know that the sum of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}53{:}03.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.104$ the chemotherapy can diffuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:06.104 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.910$ into the neighborhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:53:07.910 --> 00:53:11.305 It can diffuse outside the cell and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:11.305 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.719$ actually killed some other cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:13.719 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.317$ in the neighborhood that may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:16.317 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.527$ be so strongly her two positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.548$ So this is what we call a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}53{:}23.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25.270$ by stander killing effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:53:25.270 --> 00:53:28.365 So the first antibody drug, conjugate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:28.365 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.970$ that was approved by FDA in 2012,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:53:31.970 --> 00:53:34.540 was trastuzumab, khamsin, or PDM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}53{:}34.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.354$ one and basically it's a molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:37.354 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.926$ of transducer mob with 3.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:53:39.926 --> 00:53:41.747 molecules of maintenance,

 $00:53:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.356$ and that is a very strong cytostatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:46.356 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.568$ that would be too toxic to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}53{:}50.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.720$ I mean to be used as a treatment on its own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.870$ So this medication made significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:53:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.679$ improvement in the outcome of metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}54{:}00.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03.997$ breast cancer when used in second

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:54:03.997 --> 00:54:07.600 line after a patient's progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}54{:}07.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.885$ Usually transducer marban pertuzumab plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}54{:}10.885 \to 00{:}54{:}14.170$ attacks in bone backbone chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00.54:14.170 \longrightarrow 00.54:16.538$ But as of 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:54:16.538 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.570$ it got FDA approval also for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:54:21.570 --> 00:54:24.786 Agile and setting up if patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}54{:}24.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26.930$ are treated with trastuzumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}54{:}27.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.837$ and pertuzum ab and chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:54:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.084$ and they have residual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:54:32.084 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.470$ Disease at the time of the surgery,

 $00:54:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.206$ those die hard cells could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}54{:}39.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41.807$ better killed by this targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:54:41.807 --> 00:54:44.527 delivery of the chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:54:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.081$ In December 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}54{:}46.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49.183$ FDA gave accelerated approval for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:54:49.183 --> 00:54:52.293 new antibody drug conjugate called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:54:52.293 --> 00:54:55.418 transducer Map Direct City Cam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:54:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.716$ The commercial name is in her too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}54{:}57.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59.360$ and while we're not supposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:54:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.672$ to use commercial names,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.430$ it's much easier to pronounce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.300$ So compared to TDM one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}55{:}06.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.170$ this antibody delivers a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:55:09.170 --> 00:55:11.466 higher payload of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.054$ It has eight such molecules attached to it,

 $00:55:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.035$ and what happens the linker

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:20.035 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.420$ that attaches the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.390$ The chemotherapy that is a typo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:55:25.390 --> 00:55:28.214 I summarize one inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:28.214 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.038$ to the transducer map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.264$ It's cleavable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}55{:}32.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35.936$ Meaning like it then gets cleaved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:35.936 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.784$ easier inside the cancer cells and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:39.784 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.060$ accounts for a much higher bystander effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:44.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.046$ In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00{:}55{:}45.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48.004$ this molecule has a much higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:48.004 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.159$ affinity for the her two receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:51.159 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.235$ compared to the Herceptin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.930$ and also to the TDM one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423 00:55:56.930 --> 00:55:58.790 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:55:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.892$ They approve all his based on

 $00:56:01.892 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.660$ this Destiny Breast 01 trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:04.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.906$ which basically was a single arm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:07.906 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.834$ phase two trial that enrolled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:10.834 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.104$ 112 twelve patients who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:14.104 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.403$ heavily pretreated so that means

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:17.403 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.298$ they had received number of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.544$ My therapies before the median number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:22.544 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.072$ was six where there were patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:56:25.072 --> 00:56:27.736 received as few as two and some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:27.736 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.144$ patients who received as many as 29.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.605$ And in such,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:56:31.605 --> 00:56:33.545 a heavily pretreated population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.945$ usually the response rate is very low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.310$ but in this is the waterfall plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:56:40.310 --> 00:56:42.867 analysis and basically each line

 $00:56:42.867 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.951$ represents an individual patient and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:45.951 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.300$ length of this bar or line basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.016$ reflects the depth of the response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:52.016 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.418$ and a picture is worth 1000 words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:56:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.156$ You can see that the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

00:56:59.156 --> 00:57:02.707 patients had a shrinkage in their tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:57:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.998$ so by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:57:03.998 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.506$ We call it stable disease if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:57:08.506 \dashrightarrow 00:57:14.060$ shrinkage less than 30% and significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:57:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.240$ Or a partial response if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:57:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.890$ more than 30% and progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327423

 $00:57:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.070$ of when it's more than 15%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:57:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.720$ so 60.9% of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:57:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.310$ treated with trastuzumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:57:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.470$ Dirac stickan achieved response rate for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:57:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.766$ And 76% of the patients had the control

 $00:57:35.766 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.568$ of their disease at six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}57{:}38.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41.377$ This response lasted for at least 14

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:57:41.377 --> 00:57:44.232 months and not only that, it worked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:57:44.232 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.360$ but it worked fast with a median time

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:57:47.442 --> 00:57:50.598 to response of 1.6 months, which means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}57{:}50.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54.750$ like a little bit more than six weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:57:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.610$ The overall survival in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:57:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.470$ study has not been reached.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:57:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.660$ The medication is well tolerated mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.929$ with the neutropenia and some fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.264$ but there is a particular side

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:05.264 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.820$ effect that requires attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}58{:}06.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09.087$ and it's called interstitial lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}58{:}09.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}11.287$ disease that usually presents with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.886$ Shortness of breath and cough and.

 $00:58:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.876$ On the imaging studies it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}58{:}17.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.880$ show some ground glass opacity's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.792$ so the doctors do know to monitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:22.792 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.078$ for such side effect very carefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:58:26.080 --> 00:58:26.918 Of note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:58:26.918 --> 00:58:29.013 patients with her two positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:29.013 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.452$ disease have a higher propensity

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:31.452 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.656$ for developing brain metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}58{:}33.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36.482$ and such patients are usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}58{:}36.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}39.197$ excluded from the clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.406$ In this best in in 01 trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.845$ 24 patients with stable brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}58{:}44.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47.280$ metastases were included and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:47.363 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.237$ progression free survival was 18 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:50.237 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.090$ and that is absolutely remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:58:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.018$ But I am glad to say that there

00:58:56.018 --> 00:58:58.792 is another drug that also got

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}58{:}58.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}01.232$ recently approved and that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:59:01.232 --> 00:59:04.862 give us even a better hope for such

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:04.862 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.584$ patients with brain metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:06.584 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.068$ And this is the selective tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:09.068 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.970$ kinase inhibitor called Tucatinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00.59:10.970 \longrightarrow 00.59:13.150$ And as I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.775$ it works at the intracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:59:15.775 --> 00:59:17.875 portion of the pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.820$ So the her two climb patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00{:}59{:}20.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}23.837$ so you had to climb trial randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:59:23.837 --> 00:59:26.209 612 patients to chemotherapy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:59:26.209 --> 00:59:28.694 trastuzumab and keep site had

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:28.694 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.599$ been with or without Academy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.540$ So some patients receive the catnip.

 $00:59:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.430$ Some patients receive the possible and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:59:39.430 --> 00:59:43.441 48 percent of the patients of almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:59:43.441 --> 00:59:47.739 half of them had brain metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

00:59:47.740 --> 00:59:50.172 Somewhere stable and somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:50.172 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.212$ newly diagnosed but not requiring

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:53.212 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.254$ immediate treatment and some had

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:56.254 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.598$ progression after prior treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.800$ So the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $00:59:59.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.200$ In the overall population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $01:00:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.360$ there was a significant improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $01:00:04.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.520$ in the pro Disease Control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $01:00:06.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.675$ What we call progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

01:00:08.675 --> 01:00:11.026 survival with 46% reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $01:00:11.026 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.138$ the risk of progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

01:00:13.140 --> 01:00:15.564 And that translated into an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.81430334

 $01:00:15.564 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.759$ in the overall survival with 34%

 $01{:}00{:}17.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}20.070$ reduction in the risk of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88297325

 $01:00:23.570 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.594$ When we look at the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.88297325

 $01:00:26.594 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.106$ with brain metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88297325

 $01:00:28.110 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.128$ the overall response rate was 47%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88297325

 $01:00:31.130 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.150$ For patients who had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

01:00:35.470 --> 01:00:37.682 The Tucatinib treatment versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:00:37.682 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.447$ placebo and that translated into

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:00:40.447 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.472$ an unprecedented improvement in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01{:}00{:}43.472 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}47.042$ progression free survival at one year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

01:00:47.050 --> 01:00:50.991 Like 75% of the patients were alive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:00:50.991 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.604$ and with control of their disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01{:}00{:}54.604 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58.216$ and also in the overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:00:58.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.530$ So these two medications transferred

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

01:01:00.530 --> 01:01:03.250 him up the Rack City can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:01:03.250 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.600$ and the Ducati need represent

 $01:01:05.600 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.480$ major improvements in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:01:07.480 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.189$ treatment of the advanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:01:09.190 \dashrightarrow 01:01:11.470$ Her two positive breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

01:01:11.470 --> 01:01:14.494 They are now being studied in more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:01:14.494 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.310$ and earlier lines of therapy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85006946

 $01:01:17.310 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.700$ opposing a jibon therapy for the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}01{:}21.900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.106$ The transducer map the taxi can to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

01:01:25.106 --> 01:01:28.561 replace the TDM one or second line

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}01{:}28.561 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}31.531$ and also looking at their effecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:01:31.628 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.288$ the patulo positive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}01{:}34.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}36.605$ So shifting gears to triple

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

01:01:36.605 --> 01:01:37.994 negative breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:01:38.000 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.303$ we define it by what is what doesn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:01:41.303 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.517$ by the lack of estrogen progesterone

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:01:44.517 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.272$ receptors and her two receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:01:47.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.938$ and it represents 10 to 15% of all

01:01:50.938 --> 01:01:53.108 breast cancer cases more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}01{:}53.108 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}54.991$ in African American patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:01:54.991 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.486$ and those of Hispanic heritage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:01:57.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:58.418$ Younger women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}01{:}58.418 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}01.666$ Those who are BRC A1 mutation carriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:01.670 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.475$ We do note that 85% of bracamonte associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:05.475 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.950$ breast cancers are triple negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

01:02:07.950 --> 01:02:08.866 And Conversely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:08.866 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.036$ 10 to 15% of the triple negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:12.036 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.281$ breast cancer patients have BRC

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:14.281 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.092$ one mutation and they do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:17.092 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.916$ a more aggressive behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:18.920 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.075$ but even triple negative breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:21.075 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.950$ cancer is not the same disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:23.950 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.410$ There are different subtypes.

 $01:02:27.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.490$ Researchers from Vanderbilt University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:31.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.282$ It's remarkable research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

01:02:33.282 --> 01:02:34.626 identified several subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:34.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.418$ There were seven initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:36.418 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.570$ and they were narrowed down to four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:39.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.738$ and I'm not going to go into details

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:42.738 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.860$ about the specifics of each subtype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:45.860 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.080$ But just to mention that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}02{:}49.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51.123$ different genetics and molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:51.123 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.993$ differences and there is extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

01:02:53.993 --> 01:02:56.289 research trying to target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:02:56.290 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.178$ Them and find particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:00.180 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.668$ Solutions and treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}03{:}02.668 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}05.156$ each breast cancer subtype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:05.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.260$ So we do have breakthrough

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}03{:}07.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}09.360$ development in the treatment of

 $01{:}03{:}09.436 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}11.608$ triple negative breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}03{:}11.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}15.354$ and this is a drug called Sacituzumab movie

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:15.354 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.989$ taken that was approved in April of 2024.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:18.990 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.217$ Three front of triple negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:22.220 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.800$ So this is a targeted antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:24.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.102$ drug conjugate similar to transfer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:27.102 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.126$ some of their practican.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}03{:}29.130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}32.674$ But in this case it targets a drop

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:32.674 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.455$ two antigen that was found to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:36.455 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.250$ expressed on many epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:39.250 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.102$ Such as bladder cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:41.102 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.880$ breast cancer initially thought to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}03{:}43.956 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}47.220$ specific for triple negative breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:47.220 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.850$ but recent research showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:49.850 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.011$ actually it's equally expressed on the

01:03:53.011 --> 01:03:55.675 ER positive breast cancers as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:55.680 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.837$ so the antibody targets this antigen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:03:58.837 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.659$ antibody has a high payload of chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:02.660 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.216$ called SN 38.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:05.216 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.920$ This is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:06.920 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.026$ Metabolic product over

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:09.026 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.238$ chemotherapy that we know we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:13.240 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.226$ That is basically used to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

01:04:18.230 --> 01:04:19.966 GI malignancy is mainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

01:04:19.966 --> 01:04:22.570 and it's not necessarily part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:22.651 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.435$ the armamentarium that we have for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:25.435 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.910$ the triple negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:27.910 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.983$ So it delivers a novel chemotherapy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01{:}04{:}30.983 \to 01{:}04{:}33.899$ the triple negative breast cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:33.900 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.080$ and what is also particular about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:37.080 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.292$ this compound is that the linker

 $01:04:40.292 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.256$ is very pH sensitive and that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120492

 $01:04:43.260 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.568$ A has a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:04:48.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.344$ It is released very easily into

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:04:52.344 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.161$ the cancer cells and also has

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:04:56.161 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.009$ an important by stander effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:04:59.010 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.420$ So this medication was approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:01.420 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.333$ based on the results of a phase two

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:05.333 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.688$ trial where women were treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:07.690 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.490$ In third line or above and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

01:05:10.490 --> 01:05:14.180 was a response rate of 35% with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01{:}05{:}14.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}17.060$ Progression free survival or 5.6 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:17.060 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.820$ and overall survival of 13 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

01:05:19.820 --> 01:05:22.655 So European Society of Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01{:}05{:}22.655 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}26.087$ Oncology in September 2024 S mom

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:26.087 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.580$ we the results of the central were

01:05:29.580 --> 01:05:32.786 presented and basically this trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01{:}05{:}32.786 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}36.291$ compared Gov Itacon versus single

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:36.291 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.916$ agent chemotherapy in metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

01:05:38.916 --> 01:05:41.956 triple negative breast cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:41.956 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.155$ women who already had at least two

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

01:05:46.155 --> 01:05:48.435 prior chemotherapy regiments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:48.435 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.950$ this novel medication was compared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:51.950 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.830$ To what ever the physician thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01{:}05{:}54.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}58.200$ would be the best possible choice

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:05:58.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.908$ for that particular patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:00.910 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.072$ And there was a significant improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:04.072 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.732$ in the progression free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:06.732 \longrightarrow 01:06:09.528$ from 1.7 months to 5.6 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:09.530 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.806$ The and there was also an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:12.806 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.110$ in the overall survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:15.110 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.218$ so this is the first study that

 $01{:}06{:}18.218 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}20.766$ showed an improved survival over

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:20.766 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.126$ standard of care in triple negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:24.126 \longrightarrow 01:06:26.833$ breast cancer and the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:26.833 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.323$ again are unprecedented and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:29.323 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.452$ drug is going to move to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:33.452 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.584$ Earlier stages of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:36.590 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.152$ It is well tolerated with main

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:39.152 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.860$ side effects being anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

01:06:40.860 --> 01:06:42.303 neutropenia, and diarrhea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:42.303 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.708$ That is usually very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:44.708 \longrightarrow 01:06:46.310$ controlled with Imodium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416 01:06:46.310 --> 01:06:48.580 So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:48.580 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.020$ Using the same concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67893416

 $01:06:52.020 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.168$ That's targeting different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:06:56.320 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.020$ An antigen called leave 1A.

 $01:06:59.020 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.046$ There is a drug called the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:03.050 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.570$ Look, that is a map that is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:05.570 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.338$ clinical trials at and we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:07.338 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.399$ happy to say that is open at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:09.399 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.339$ Yale through Phase one program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:11.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.348$ And the. We do know that some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:14.348 \longrightarrow 01:07:17.198$ the triple negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:17.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.902$ Do have a low expression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

01:07:19.902 --> 01:07:22.131 her two receptors and transducer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

01:07:22.131 --> 01:07:24.611 Moderat stickan is being studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:24.611 \longrightarrow 01:07:26.810$ in this setting as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:26.810 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.615$ And the sacituzumab govit econ

NOTE Confidence: 0.7459677

 $01:07:28.615 \longrightarrow 01:07:31.120$ is also studied in ER positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

01:07:33.880 --> 01:07:35.720 Metastatic breast cancer and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:07:35.720 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.842$ I'm happy to say that we have a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01{:}07{:}39.842 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}43.498$ trial called tropics to open at Yale.

 $01:07:43.500 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.970$ So over the last decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01{:}07{:}45.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}49.288$ the advent of immunotherapy has changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

01:07:49.288 --> 01:07:52.300 Natural History of many cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:07:52.300 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.216$ And obviously there was a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:07:55.220 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.070$ would that make a difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:07:58.070 \longrightarrow 01:08:00.560$ metastatic triple negative breast cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:08:00.560 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.888$ We do know that body has an innate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01{:}08{:}03.888 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06.851$ immune system that is supposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01{:}08{:}06.851 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09.406$ protect us against the invaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:08:09.406 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.738$ against breast cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:08:11.740 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.135$ and when the normal antigens are recognized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:08:15.140 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.514$ the P cells would come and destroy the cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83742774

 $01:08:19.520 \longrightarrow 01:08:20.969$ however the cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

01:08:23.410 --> 01:08:27.073 Dude, learn to fight back so we do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:08:27.073 \longrightarrow 01:08:29.728$ this checkpoint mechanism that basicaly

 $01:08:29.728 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.589$ also has a good role to prevent the P

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:08:34.589 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.673$ cells of attacking the normal cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01{:}08{:}37.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}40.424$ But the. Two more cells take advantage

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

01:08:40.424 --> 01:08:43.291 of that by overexpressing body called

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:08:43.291 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.507$ the program death Ligand and binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:08:46.507 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.719$ the OR pedial one receptor and binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:08:49.719 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.812$ the PD one receptor on the tumour

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:08:52.812 \longrightarrow 01:08:55.536$ cells and turning off the surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:08:55.536 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.605$ of the immune system and by doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:08:58.605 \longrightarrow 01:09:01.699$ that they managed to grow undetected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01{:}09{:}01.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}03.779$ So Fortunately we do have a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:03.779 \longrightarrow 01:09:06.491$ class of drugs that are called immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:06.491 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.686$ checkpoint inhibitors that could block

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:08.686 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.050$ either the PDL one receptor or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:11.050 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.625$ PD one receptors and by doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:13.625 \dashrightarrow 01:09:17.421$ The T cells are now allowed to detect

 $01:09:17.421 \longrightarrow 01:09:20.895$ the cancer cells and destroy them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:20.900 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.852$ So several such drugs are were

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01{:}09{:}23.852 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}25.822$ investigated in, particularly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

01:09:25.822 --> 01:09:27.786 triple negative breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:27.790 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.724$ and this single therapy and first

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:30.724 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.246$ line setting the response rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:33.246 \longrightarrow 01:09:35.170$ was not that impressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:35.170 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.116$ maybe only in the low 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:38.120 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.210$ But what is intriguing is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:41.210 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.957$ there are patients that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:43.957 \longrightarrow 01:09:46.897$ responders who have very durable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:46.900 \longrightarrow 01:09:51.718$ A response and potentially be cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01{:}09{:}51.720 --> 01{:}09{:}53.830$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01{:}09{:}53.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}57.850$ Impassion 130 was the first trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:09:57.850 \longrightarrow 01:10:00.530$ that basically combined pedia.

 $01:10:00.530 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.480$ One inhibitor at ezolizumab with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:10:03.480 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.430$ chemotherapy backbone of NAB paclitaxel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:10:06.430 \longrightarrow 01:10:08.430 4.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.813300000000001

 $01:10:08.430 \longrightarrow 01:10:11.825$ Women with the triple negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:13.990 \longrightarrow 01:10:17.590$ And or locally advanced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:17.590 \longrightarrow 01:10:20.290$ unresectable breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:20.290 \longrightarrow 01:10:25.118$ And. They were treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:10:25.120 --> 01:10:28.444 And the statistics have

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:28.444 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.937$ showed the significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:30.940 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.784$ Improvement in the duration of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:33.784 \longrightarrow 01:10:37.070$ Disease Control of more than two months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:37.070 \longrightarrow 01:10:40.082$ But actually the benefit was seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:10:40.082 --> 01:10:44.080 only in the patients who had the PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:44.080 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.924$ L1 expression positive ITI using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:47.020 \longrightarrow 01:10:50.458$ specific essay called Ventana SP 142.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:50.460 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.555$ In the clinic,

01:10:51.555 --> 01:10:53.380 in this particular clinical trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:10:53.380 --> 01:10:55.205 40\% of the patients had

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:10:55.205 --> 01:10:57.030 the PD L1 positive ITI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:10:57.030 \longrightarrow 01:11:00.750$ but we do know that in real life only 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:00.851 \longrightarrow 01:11:04.100$ to 30% of such patients are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:04.100 \longrightarrow 01:11:07.454$ So the Disease Control translated into

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:07.454 \longrightarrow 01:11:10.736$ an improvement in the overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:10.736 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.536$ of 10 months from 15 to 25 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:14.540 \longrightarrow 01:11:19.650$ For this pedial one positive population and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:19.650 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.725$ FDA approved the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:21.725 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.385$ alcoholism app and nap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:11:23.390 --> 01:11:23.807 Paclitaxel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:23.807 \longrightarrow 01:11:26.309$ irrespective of the line of the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:26.310 \longrightarrow 01:11:28.800$ But we do not have really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:28.800 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.380$ We really don't have data to

 $01:11:31.380 \longrightarrow 01:11:34.343$ know what would be the benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01{:}11{:}34.343 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}37.218$ beyond the first line treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:37.220 \longrightarrow 01:11:40.010$ So another study that was presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:11:40.010 --> 01:11:43.493 at ASCO 2020 used this time at

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:43.493 \longrightarrow 01:11:46.038$ PD one inhibitor called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:46.038 \longrightarrow 01:11:48.957$ pembrolizumab and combine it with

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:11:48.957 --> 01:11:51.365 different types of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:11:51.370 --> 01:11:53.990 There were three different regiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:11:53.990 \longrightarrow 01:11:57.110$ not backlit axle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:11:57.110 --> 01:12:00.582 Paclitaxel and Jim Carbo and the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01{:}12{:}00.582 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}03.699$ were treated and field progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:12:03.700 --> 01:12:06.180 toxicity or completion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:06.180 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.660$ 35 cycles of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:08.660 \longrightarrow 01:12:11.712$ And we did see Dan improvement in

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:11.712 \longrightarrow 01:12:13.830$ the progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:13.830 \longrightarrow 01:12:16.782$ That again was limited to those

 $01:12:16.782 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.469$ patients who overexpressed the PDL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:19.470 \longrightarrow 01:12:21.338$ They used a different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:12:21.338 --> 01:12:23.673 Way of describing their positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:12:23.673 --> 01:12:24.989 iti's CPS score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:24.990 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.072$ which looks at the total percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:12:27.072 --> 01:12:29.160 of cells that are positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:12:29.160 --> 01:12:31.032 including the tumour cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:31.032 \longrightarrow 01:12:33.840$ lymphocytes and macrophages in the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:12:33.840 --> 01:12:38.048 So this regimen is not FDA approved yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

01:12:38.050 --> 01:12:41.200 but it is up for approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:41.200 \longrightarrow 01:12:44.672$ and I think the message to take home

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:44.672 \longrightarrow 01:12:49.342$ from this study is that you can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01{:}12{:}49.342 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}51.196$ different chemotherapy backbones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:51.200 \longrightarrow 01:12:52.775$ different chemotherapy regiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:52.775 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.875$ in combination with immunotherapy,

 $01:12:54.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:57.520$ and you can tailor the chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:12:57.520 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.046$ used based on the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657377

 $01:13:00.046 \longrightarrow 01:13:02.770$ toxicities and prior treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:04.910 \longrightarrow 01:13:08.406$ So I'd like to say that there's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:08.406 \longrightarrow 01:13:11.980$ question why do certain patients stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:13:11.980 --> 01:13:14.580 responding to the immunotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:14.580 \longrightarrow 01:13:17.928$ And there are mechanisms of resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:13:17.928 --> 01:13:22.090 with activation of the Mac or AKT pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}13{:}22.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}26.150$ and Fortunately we do have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:26.150 \longrightarrow 01:13:28.886$ Hitters tyrosine kinases that could turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}13{:}28.886 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}32.157$ those pathways off and there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:32.157 \longrightarrow 01:13:34.905$ early studies that basically combine them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}13{:}34.910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}37.150$ Make inhibitor called cobimetinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:37.150 \longrightarrow 01:13:39.950$ There is actually approved for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:39.950 \longrightarrow 01:13:42.678$ treatment time of Melanoma with taxol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:42.680 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.216$ And that other look at is Alyssa Map.

 $01:13:46.220 \longrightarrow 01:13:49.286$ So basically the same regimen from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:49.286 \longrightarrow 01:13:52.366$ Impassion 130 trials and early results show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:52.366 \longrightarrow 01:13:55.500$ an excellent control in the tumour burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:55.500 \longrightarrow 01:13:58.620$ and very promising response rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:13:58.620 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.075$ So the chemoimmunotherapy was brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:02.075 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.216$ to earlier phases of treatment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:14:06.216 --> 01:14:09.690 new agement setting for women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}14{:}09.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}12.960$ have triple negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:14:12.960 --> 01:14:15.060 And are treated with corrective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:15.060 \longrightarrow 01:14:17.665$ intent before the surgery and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:17.665 \longrightarrow 01:14:19.835$ was a significant improvement in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:19.835 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.143$ the rate of pathological complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}14{:}22.143 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}24.653$ response and event free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:24.653 \longrightarrow 01:14:28.550$ without significant increase in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:28.550 \longrightarrow 01:14:32.500$ Adverse events this regimen

 $01:14:32.500 \longrightarrow 01:14:35.320$ is not in the approved yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:14:35.320 --> 01:14:37.042 Waiting additional data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:37.042 \longrightarrow 01:14:39.338$ in the overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:39.340 \longrightarrow 01:14:43.404$ So I tried to make the point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:43.404 \longrightarrow 01:14:45.700$ important breakthrough developments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:14:45.700 --> 01:14:48.202 And that we do have important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:14:48.202 --> 01:14:49.870 breakthrough developments in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:14:49.946 --> 01:14:52.532 treatment of her two positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}14{:}52.532 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}54.256$ triple negative breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:54.260 \longrightarrow 01:14:56.871$ the treatment has to be personalized based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}14{:}56.871 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}59.501$ on the cancer subtypes and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:14:59.501 \longrightarrow 01:15:02.387$ molecular characteristics of the two more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:15:02.390 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.530$ but also looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:15:04.530 --> 01:15:05.386 patients comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:15:05.390 --> 01:15:08.888 prior treatments and patients preference and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:15:08.890 \longrightarrow 01:15:11.452$ Participation in clinical trials is very

01:15:11.452 --> 01:15:14.047 important to continue to improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}15{:}14.047 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}16.910$ outcome of different types of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:15:16.910 \longrightarrow 01:15:19.934$ I am very happy to say that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:15:19.934 --> 01:15:23.018 an expanding large list of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:15:23.018 --> 01:15:26.218 trials for all subtypes and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:15:26.218 \longrightarrow 01:15:28.948$ stages of breast cancer at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

01:15:28.950 --> 01:15:33.570 And we are all a team fighting for hope and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01{:}15{:}33.684 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}37.878$ also fighting for curing breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:15:37.880 \longrightarrow 01:15:41.588$ And these are some contact information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:15:41.588 \longrightarrow 01:15:45.861$ if you choose to call us after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:15:45.861 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.109$ this meeting is over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8573514

 $01:15:48.110 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.680$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01{:}15{:}50.150 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 01{:}15{:}52.590$ Thank you so much Doctor Bulgar that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01{:}15{:}52.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}55.629$ quite a Tour de force in the la test in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:15:55.629 \longrightarrow 01:15:57.788$ uncut medical oncology and drug therapy.

 $01:15:57.790 \longrightarrow 01:16:00.222$ We actually don't have a ton of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01{:}16{:}00.222 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}02.429$ but there were so many questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

01:16:02.430 --> 01:16:05.027 I just love this panel and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:05.027 \longrightarrow 01:16:06.842$ importantly the attendees who really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:06.842 \longrightarrow 01:16:09.386$ took so much time out of their evening

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

01:16:09.461 --> 01:16:11.797 to stay with us and listen to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:11.797 \longrightarrow 01:16:14.050$ smilow and our Cancer Center is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:14.050 \longrightarrow 01:16:16.374$ So this is almost like speed dating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01{:}16{:}16.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}19.700$ I'm going to fire off some questions if.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

01:16:19.700 --> 01:16:21.807 To our panelists and hopefully just keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01{:}16{:}21.807 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}23.719$ those answers as quick as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:23.720 \longrightarrow 01:16:27.234$ But this is really all of our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:27.240 \longrightarrow 01:16:29.124$ Attendees really deserve answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:29.124 \longrightarrow 01:16:30.537$ to their questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:30.540 \longrightarrow 01:16:33.613$ which I tried to do in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:33.613 \longrightarrow 01:16:36.209$ background couple for Doctor Moran.

01:16:36.210 --> 01:16:38.700 One is a question on angiosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:38.700 \longrightarrow 01:16:40.991$ after treatment for a radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:40.991 \longrightarrow 01:16:43.756$ therapy 10 years after mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:43.760 \longrightarrow 01:16:47.270$ This comes from an Peterson and from her mom

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:47.270 \longrightarrow 01:16:50.838$ who required a pretty extensive resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:50.840 \longrightarrow 01:16:52.724$ What percentage of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:52.724 \longrightarrow 01:16:53.666$ develop osteosarcomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:53.670 \longrightarrow 01:16:57.114$ and is there a gene that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

01:16:57.114 --> 01:16:58.590 differentiate with that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:16:58.590 \longrightarrow 01:17:01.290$ And kind of moving along those

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:17:01.290 \longrightarrow 01:17:03.735$ lines is from those radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:17:03.735 \longrightarrow 01:17:06.510$ damage the skin and tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82724625

 $01:17:06.510 \longrightarrow 01:17:08.490$ thus making reconstruction different

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:08.490 \longrightarrow 01:17:09.975$ difficult after mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:17:09.975 --> 01:17:13.440 OK, so to answer the first question,

 $01:17:13.440 \longrightarrow 01:17:15.915$ yes, second malignancy in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:15.915 \longrightarrow 01:17:17.895$ radiation field can occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:17.900 \longrightarrow 01:17:21.860$ It is pretty rare we quote the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:21.860 \longrightarrow 01:17:25.820$ of .01% at 10 years and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:25.820 \longrightarrow 01:17:28.175$ you know that's probably accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:28.175 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.800$ At best I've seen maybe 2 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:30.800 \longrightarrow 01:17:34.106$ my 20 year career of a sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:34.106 \longrightarrow 01:17:36.078$ that was radiation induced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:36.080 \longrightarrow 01:17:37.870$ so it's unfortunate there isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:37.870 \longrightarrow 01:17:41.050$ any way for us to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01{:}17{:}41.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}43.240$ identify those patients other than

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:17:43.240 --> 01:17:45.439 maybe possibly the ATM mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:45.440 \longrightarrow 01:17:47.064$ which isn't necessarily linked

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:47.064 \longrightarrow 01:17:48.688$ to sarcomas per se,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:48.690 \longrightarrow 01:17:50.725$ but they do have significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:17:50.725 --> 01:17:52.760 more toxicity from the radiation,

 $01:17:52.760 \longrightarrow 01:17:55.736$ and it could be that they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:55.736 \longrightarrow 01:17:58.032$ higher incidence of 2nd malignancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:58.032 \longrightarrow 01:17:59.988$ related to the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:17:59.990 \longrightarrow 01:18:02.425$ Other repair mechanisms are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:02.425 \longrightarrow 01:18:04.860$ as established as someone who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:04.941 \longrightarrow 01:18:07.000$ doesn't carry that mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:18:07.000 --> 01:18:08.503 The second question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:08.503 \longrightarrow 01:18:10.507$ as far as reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:10.510 \longrightarrow 01:18:12.554$ that is a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:12.554 \longrightarrow 01:18:15.109$ very excellent question and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:15.109 \longrightarrow 01:18:18.029$ deal with this all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:18:18.030 --> 01:18:21.030 Yes, radiation does cause the image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:21.030 \longrightarrow 01:18:22.854$ and for this reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:22.854 \longrightarrow 01:18:25.590$ when you undergo a mastectomy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:25.679 \longrightarrow 01:18:28.823$ then get radiation and then have

 $01:18:28.823 \longrightarrow 01:18:31.680$ reconstruction in the delayed setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:31.680 \longrightarrow 01:18:33.708$ Or what needs to happen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:33.708 \longrightarrow 01:18:35.060$ a couple of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:35.060 \longrightarrow 01:18:37.524$ One is that you want to wait at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:37.524 \longrightarrow 01:18:40.423$ least six months or so to allow the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:40.423 \longrightarrow 01:18:42.939$ skin to completely heal 2 is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:42.939 \longrightarrow 01:18:44.877$ you want to have an experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:18:44.877 --> 01:18:48.200 a plastic surgeon who knows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:48.200 \longrightarrow 01:18:50.430$ Has experienced with the radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:18:50.430 \longrightarrow 01:18:53.577$ and how that tissue looks like Inter

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01{:}18{:}53.577 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}56.079$ operatively and 3rd is that unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:18:56.079 --> 01:18:58.596 you've had an expander place you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:18:58.596 --> 01:19:01.008 really can't do an implant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:19:01.010 \longrightarrow 01:19:03.180$ reconstruction and so for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:19:03.180 \longrightarrow 01:19:05.902$ reason most of those patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01{:}19{:}05.902 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}07.810$ have delayed reconstruction and

 $01:19:07.810 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.628$ haven't had an expander placed will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

01:19:10.628 --> 01:19:13.106 have to have a autologous flap and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:19:13.106 \longrightarrow 01:19:15.524$ what that means is just putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:19:15.524 \longrightarrow 01:19:17.654$ in tissue from somewhere else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:19:17.660 \longrightarrow 01:19:21.188$ whether it be their abdomen or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:19:21.188 \longrightarrow 01:19:24.599$ their back or their butt so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84449834

 $01:19:24.600 \longrightarrow 01:19:24.970$ Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01{:}19{:}24.970 \longrightarrow 01{:}19{:}27.778$ you, I'm kind of going back to an earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01:19:27.778 \longrightarrow 01:19:30.516$ and just more generalized question on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01:19:30.520 \longrightarrow 01:19:32.739$ You know how confident can we be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

01:19:32.739 --> 01:19:34.985 when you haven't really dense breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01:19:34.985 \longrightarrow 01:19:37.025$ tissue on mammogram and ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01:19:37.025 \longrightarrow 01:19:39.397$ in terms of detection of cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01:19:39.400 \longrightarrow 01:19:42.178$ And how can women self check

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

01:19:42.178 --> 01:19:45.010 outside of their annual mammogram?

 $01:19:45.010 \longrightarrow 01:19:46.852$ Especially when the some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01{:}19{:}46.852 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}48.080$ recommendations for self breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01:19:48.136 \longrightarrow 01:19:49.646$ exam are no longer recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01:19:49.650 \longrightarrow 01:19:51.498$ This is just open to any and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8548819

 $01:19:51.498 \longrightarrow 01:19:53.040$ all of our panelists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44722733

01:19:57.940 --> 01:19:58.810 Bob, no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:00.170 --> 01:20:04.416 Sure. So in terms of the dense breast tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:04.420 \longrightarrow 01:20:07.534$ you know we know that you know that's common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:07.540 \longrightarrow 01:20:11.446$ Maybe half of women have breast density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:11.450 --> 01:20:13.634 It's categorized and defined, but we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:13.634 \longrightarrow 01:20:16.008$ that can decrease sensitivity for mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:16.010 --> 01:20:18.863 We know younger women are more likely to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:18.863 \longrightarrow 01:20:21.632$ you know denser breast tissue, but older

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:21.632 --> 01:20:24.089 women have denser breast tissue as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:24.090 \longrightarrow 01:20:26.540$ so we know there's some limited sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:26.540 \longrightarrow 01:20:28.801$ We still know that mammography is the

 $01:20:28.801 \longrightarrow 01:20:31.696$ one tool that's been shown to define and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01{:}20{:}31.696 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}33.556$ reduce mortality from breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01{:}20{:}33.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}35.666$ so we still do use mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:35.670 --> 01:20:38.222 I would say that these days and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:38.222 --> 01:20:40.092 fortunate United States where pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:40.092 --> 01:20:42.047 much all using digital mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:42.050 --> 01:20:44.894 And most of us are also using Tomo synthesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:44.900 \longrightarrow 01:20:47.168$ which gives us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:47.168 \longrightarrow 01:20:49.014$ sensitivity still in terms of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:49.014 \longrightarrow 01:20:51.667$ more of a 3D picture of the breast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:51.667 \longrightarrow 01:20:53.779$ then just to comment on ultrasound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:20:53.780 \longrightarrow 01:20:56.168$ I usually tell my patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01{:}20{:}56.168 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 01{:}20{:}57.760$ ultrasound might pick up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:20:57.760 --> 01:21:00.410 Maybe 3 or 4, maybe 4% per thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:21:00.410 --> 01:21:01.730 more more breast cancer,

 $01:21:01.730 \longrightarrow 01:21:03.716$ so it's something that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:21:03.720 \longrightarrow 01:21:05.700$ Some women choose is all this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:21:05.700 \longrightarrow 01:21:07.360$ No, that's in part political.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:21:07.360 \longrightarrow 01:21:09.677$ But when you look at the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:21:09.677 --> 01:21:10.670 aspect of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:21:10.670 --> 01:21:12.987 it's an option for women with dense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:21:12.990 --> 01:21:13.788 dense breast tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:21:13.788 --> 01:21:16.037 And we know that MRI is out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01{:}21{:}16.037 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}17.999$ and is used selectively in high

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:21:17.999 --> 01:21:19.484 risk populations like germline

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01{:}21{:}19.484 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}21.260$ mutations or other populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:21:21.260 --> 01:21:24.324 And we know that that could be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:21:24.324 \longrightarrow 01:21:27.706$ the gold standard in terms of sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:21:27.710 \longrightarrow 01:21:30.110$ But we use it less for multiple reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

01:21:30.110 --> 01:21:32.510 That was the second part of that question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85145134

 $01:21:32.510 \longrightarrow 01:21:33.110$ I'm sorry.

 $01:21:34.890 \longrightarrow 01:21:37.476$ In terms of self breast exams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82461095

 $01{:}21{:}37.480 --> 01{:}21{:}39.640$ thoughts on that? Yeah, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

 $01:21:39.640 \longrightarrow 01:21:42.405$ know the data. Hasn't shown us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

01:21:42.405 --> 01:21:45.347 that breast self exam you know improve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

 $01:21:45.350 \longrightarrow 01:21:47.354$ you know survival or as relates

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

01:21:47.354 --> 01:21:49.423 to breast cancer and and certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

01:21:49.423 --> 01:21:51.761 some women still choose to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

 $01:21:51.761 \longrightarrow 01:21:53.955$ and it's their right to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

 $01:21:53.960 \longrightarrow 01:21:56.048$ And I would say that if one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

01:21:56.048 --> 01:21:58.012 doing that maybe just being trained

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

01:21:58.012 --> 01:22:00.542 to do it most effectively but we

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

 $01:22:00.542 \longrightarrow 01:22:02.557$ don't have necessarily other tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

 $01{:}22{:}02.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}05.908$ But that's not something that we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

 $01{:}22{:}05.910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}06.888$ Generally recommend those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830492657857143

01:22:06.888 --> 01:22:07.866 Certainly someone certainly

 $01:22:07.866 \longrightarrow 01:22:09.170$ choose to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:10.540 \longrightarrow 01:22:13.892$ That that we cannot really rely on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:13.892 \longrightarrow 01:22:17.170$ breast exam to detect the breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:17.170 \longrightarrow 01:22:20.082$ and that's the value of the screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:20.082 \longrightarrow 01:22:22.801$ mammogram to detect them way before

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:22.801 \longrightarrow 01:22:25.126$ the breast cancers become palpable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:25.130 \longrightarrow 01:22:27.320$ American Cancer Society discourages self

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

01:22:27.320 --> 01:22:29.990 breast exam as routine detection method,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01{:}22{:}29.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}32.954$ but enciende guidelines do include a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:32.954 \longrightarrow 01:22:35.956$ recommendation for what we call breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01{:}22{:}35.956 \to 01{:}22{:}39.253$ awareness because it is very important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

01:22:39.253 --> 01:22:42.546 women to know how their breast feel like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:42.550 \longrightarrow 01:22:44.944$ It also for premenopausal women the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

01:22:44.944 --> 01:22:47.464 time to check would be under 10 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

01:22:47.464 --> 01:22:50.100 after the onset of the menstrual periods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:50.100 \longrightarrow 01:22:52.458$ when there are less hormonal changes

 $01:22:52.458 \longrightarrow 01:22:55.668$ in the breast and we do know that 10 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:55.668 \longrightarrow 01:22:58.150 15\%$ of the breast cancers are diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:22:58.150 \longrightarrow 01:23:01.069$ because women do find a lump in the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:23:01.070 \longrightarrow 01:23:03.798$ So I think that while never say I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

01:23:03.798 --> 01:23:06.555 just going to rely on the breast exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:23:06.560 \longrightarrow 01:23:08.280$ go for the screening mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

01:23:08.280 --> 01:23:10.905 I do encourage my patients to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:23:10.905 \longrightarrow 01:23:13.238$ a breast awareness and be aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82779634

 $01:23:13.240 \longrightarrow 01:23:14.830$ How their breast tissue feels like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

01:23:15.400 --> 01:23:17.857 Yeah, I agree with that as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01{:}23{:}17.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}20.184$ and I also tell patients for any one

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:20.184 \longrightarrow 01:23:21.996$ that's had radiation as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:21.996 \longrightarrow 01:23:23.500$ are finishing their radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:23.500 \longrightarrow 01:23:25.719$ I tell them that during the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:25.719 \longrightarrow 01:23:27.886$ six months post radiation that they

01:23:27.886 --> 01:23:30.172 should actually do a breast exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:30.180 \longrightarrow 01:23:31.372$ not to be alarmed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:31.372 \longrightarrow 01:23:33.160$ but that all the changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:33.226 \longrightarrow 01:23:35.281$ they're feeling is the scar

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:35.281 \longrightarrow 01:23:36.514$ tissue that's developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:36.520 \longrightarrow 01:23:38.300$ Because with radiation you develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

01:23:38.300 --> 01:23:41.099 scar tissue just like you do with surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:41.100 \longrightarrow 01:23:43.452$ and it's just an opportunity for them

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:43.452 \longrightarrow 01:23:46.377$ to learn what their new breast feels like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:46.380 \longrightarrow 01:23:48.190$ so that down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:48.190 \longrightarrow 01:23:50.020$ They're not alarmed if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:50.020 \longrightarrow 01:23:51.850$ suddenly check their breasts at

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:51.912 \longrightarrow 01:23:53.922$ one year and and suddenly feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.87026006

 $01:23:53.922 \longrightarrow 01:23:55.820$ lumps and bumps because the vast

NOTE Confidence: 0.83816534

 $01:23:55.820 \longrightarrow 01:23:58.740$ majority of them are normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01:23:58.740 \longrightarrow 01:24:02.244$ And this is for two things from Caitlin.

 $01:24:02.250 \longrightarrow 01:24:05.674$ One is, she said, please do self checks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01{:}24{:}05.674 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}09.280$ and that's how she found her cancer again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

01:24:09.280 --> 01:24:11.974 Absolutely, if a woman feels comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

01:24:11.974 --> 01:24:14.678 with their own breast exams and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

01:24:14.678 --> 01:24:17.174 seeing any changes of noticing them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

01:24:17.180 --> 01:24:20.684 I 100% supportive of doing self breast exams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01:24:20.690 \longrightarrow 01:24:23.775$ She also had a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01:24:23.775 \longrightarrow 01:24:25.626$ thoughtful question about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

01:24:25.630 --> 01:24:27.405 Stage 2A triple positive breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01:24:27.405 \longrightarrow 01:24:29.180$ cancer with no lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01:24:29.180 \longrightarrow 01:24:31.406$ What are the thoughts on neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01:24:31.406 \longrightarrow 01:24:33.439$ links and also thoughts on who?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01{:}24{:}33.440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}36.185$ For ectomy on a 36 year old female on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8531833

 $01{:}24{:}36.185 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}38.770$ tamoxifen for the above mentioned diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82676107

01:24:41.790 --> 01:24:44.610 Want me to comment on that?

01:24:44.610 --> 01:24:47.210 The you know neural links that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

01:24:47.210 --> 01:24:49.202 I believe you referring to Noor

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:24:49.202 \longrightarrow 01:24:51.758$ Atnip which is an oral sort of pan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:24:51.760 \longrightarrow 01:24:54.300$ Her two tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:24:54.300 \longrightarrow 01:24:59.106$ And that was studied, and it seemed to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

01:24:59.110 --> 01:24:59.872 More effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

01:24:59.872 --> 01:25:02.158 perhaps in the ER positive group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01{:}25{:}02.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}06.248$ I believe that was the EXANET trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01{:}25{:}06.250 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 01{:}25{:}09.930$ It's oral, I think it was taken for a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:09.930 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.568$ Has some pretty significant side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01{:}25{:}11.568 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}13.100$ in terms of especially diarrhea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:13.100 \longrightarrow 01:25:15.860$ but there's ways to sort of try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:15.860 \longrightarrow 01:25:18.318$ preempt that prophylaxis against it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:18.320 \longrightarrow 01:25:23.444$ I believe that trial also did include.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:23.450 \longrightarrow 01:25:26.106$ Women who had new regiment therapy and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:26.106 \longrightarrow 01:25:29.096$ was a similar trend in terms of benefit.

01:25:29.100 --> 01:25:31.172 Interesting thing these to my not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01{:}25{:}31.172 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}34.439$ my as I recall is that in that trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:34.439 \longrightarrow 01:25:36.776$ women hadn't seen Pertuzumab which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:36.776 \longrightarrow 01:25:38.960$ now sort of a standard complemented

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:38.960 \longrightarrow 01:25:40.710$ as Doctor Boger was showing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:40.710 \longrightarrow 01:25:42.410$ As earlier with Herceptin which

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:42.410 \longrightarrow 01:25:43.920$ is also called trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:43.920 \longrightarrow 01:25:46.400$ Choose a map in these sort of neoadjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01{:}25{:}46.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}48.630$ and then sometimes following into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:48.630 \longrightarrow 01:25:51.690$ advanced setting so there is some data there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:51.690 \longrightarrow 01:25:53.460$ but there's not really data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:53.460 \longrightarrow 01:25:54.856$ Looking at that agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:54.856 \longrightarrow 01:25:56.601$ In the setting of prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

01:25:56.601 --> 01:25:58.348 exposure to produce in abduls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:25:58.350 \longrightarrow 01:25:59.811$ To my knowledge,

 $01:25:59.811 \longrightarrow 01:26:02.733$ in addition to trust him then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

 $01:26:02.740 \longrightarrow 01:26:03.920$ And then the second part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

01:26:03.920 --> 01:26:04.394 Doctor Bulgaro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6920089

01:26:04.394 --> 01:26:06.520 did you want to comment on the second bar?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7632609

01:26:07.160 --> 01:26:10.023 Sure, so the IT is well established

NOTE Confidence: 0.7632609

 $01:26:10.023 \longrightarrow 01:26:13.343$ based on the large softex trial that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7632609

 $01:26:13.343 \longrightarrow 01:26:16.355$ young women do benefit from ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.7632609

 $01:26:16.448 \longrightarrow 01:26:19.438$ suppression. That is done with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01{:}26{:}21.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}24.791$ With drugs like Zola decks or can

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:26:24.791 \longrightarrow 01:26:28.596$ settle in and in addition to oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01{:}26{:}28.596 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}33.040$ anti hormonal treatment, now the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

01:26:33.040 --> 01:26:35.462 Removal of, I mean that basically puts

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01{:}26{:}35.462 \to 01{:}26{:}37.858$ the patients into a chemical menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01{:}26{:}37.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}40.828$ There is the option of a surgical menopause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:26:40.830 \longrightarrow 01:26:43.056$ particularly if there is a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

01:26:43.056 --> 01:26:44.540 predisposition for ovarian cancer,

 $01:26:44.540 \longrightarrow 01:26:47.310$ but that is an irreversible option, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:26:47.310 \longrightarrow 01:26:50.106$ So I think that it has to be discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

01:26:50.106 --> 01:26:52.330 with your treating physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:26:52.330 \longrightarrow 01:26:54.556$ the pros and cons of prophylactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:26:54.556 \longrightarrow 01:26:55.298$ bulfer ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:26:55.300 \longrightarrow 01:26:57.526$ If there is a cancer predisposition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:26:57.530 \longrightarrow 01:26:59.942$ genes that would increase the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:26:59.942 \longrightarrow 01:27:02.400$ for ovarian cancer and someone is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01{:}27{:}02.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}07.080$ Delete sure that is done conceiving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

01:27:07.080 --> 01:27:09.336 Then, prophylactically for Ectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:27:09.336 \longrightarrow 01:27:12.156$ is an option but otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:27:12.156 \longrightarrow 01:27:14.639$ ovarian suppression with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

01:27:14.640 --> 01:27:16.590 Every three months injections is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

01:27:16.590 --> 01:27:18.939 standard of care in addition to oral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:27:18.940 \longrightarrow 01:27:20.420$ anti estrogen therapy and then

 $01:27:20.420 \longrightarrow 01:27:22.345$ you have the tamoxifen or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.6811129

 $01:27:22.345 \longrightarrow 01:27:23.909$ better than automatic inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:27:26.550 \longrightarrow 01:27:29.484$ So much first of all to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:27:29.484 \longrightarrow 01:27:31.160$ panelists around of applause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:27:31.160 \longrightarrow 01:27:33.652$ Although we won't be able to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

01:27:33.652 --> 01:27:35.793 it from her doctor Bulgarella

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

01:27:35.793 --> 01:27:38.698 Garian Moran for you know, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:27:38.698 \longrightarrow 01:27:41.624$ 3 state of the art fantastic talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:27:41.630 \longrightarrow 01:27:44.486$ And here at Yale and the Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

01:27:44.486 --> 01:27:47.078 Cancer Center at Water for Dell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:27:47.080 \longrightarrow 01:27:50.006$ NM westerly, it was a great evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01{:}27{:}50.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}52.105$ And really more importantly to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

01:27:52.105 --> 01:27:54.200 our attendees or cancer survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01{:}27{:}54.200 \longrightarrow 01{:}27{:}57.938$ those are going through this right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:27:57.940 \longrightarrow 01:27:59.740$ Your questions were super thoughtful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:27:59.740 \longrightarrow 01:28:02.022$ I tried to answer some of them

01:28:02.022 --> 01:28:03.871 while our panelists were giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:28:03.871 \longrightarrow 01:28:05.479$ their giving their talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:28:05.480 \longrightarrow 01:28:07.290$ This has been recorded and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:28:07.290 \longrightarrow 01:28:09.430$ you can go back to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

01:28:09.430 --> 01:28:10.432 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:28:10.432 \longrightarrow 01:28:12.436$ we're always here for you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846092

 $01:28:12.436 \longrightarrow 01:28:14.448$ happy to answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88886136

 $01:28:16.700 \longrightarrow 01:28:18.996$ Thank you so much for a wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.88886136

 $01:28:18.996 \longrightarrow 01:28:20.570$ evening and stay healthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88886136

 $01:28:20.570 \longrightarrow 01:28:22.330$ Take care guys, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88886136

01:28:22.330 --> 01:28:23.740 Thank you, thank you.