WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:06:19.1570000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.83166105

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.552 Topic of today's is about speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.83166105

 $00:00:03.552 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.328$ about data visualization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83166105

 $00:00:05.330 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.795$ And so it will be very in general on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83166105

 $00{:}00{:}08.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.838$ ramps how to design some strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83166105

 $00{:}00{:}11.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.165$ some issues, some principles to guide in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83166105

 $00:00:15.165 \dashrightarrow 00:00:17.917$ the visualization of the of our data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}00{:}20{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22{.}414$ So data visualization is important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}00{:}22.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.369$ explore the data and this is particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}00{:}25.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.027$ crucial since nowadays data are becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}00{:}28.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.818$ much more complex and much more bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}00{:}30.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.680$ and so in general there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:00:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.360$ is a rise of data science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:00:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.430$ So not only in research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:00:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.100$ not only in biological research.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227
- $00:00:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.680$ The second function to data

 $00:00:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.744$ visualization for data visualization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}00{:}44.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.195$ is to communicate the data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:00:47.195 - > 00:00:50.050 that may be the most traditional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}00{:}50{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53{.}620$ I'm so that's what that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:00:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.270$ Creating publication figures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:00:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.370$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}00{:}56.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.025$ is about to communicate data to others

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}01{:}01{.}025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04{.}358$ because communicating data visually is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:04.358 \rightarrow 00:01:08.656$ more efficient than than words in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:08.660 \rightarrow 00:01:13.300$ So in order to represent complex data here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:01:13.300 --> 00:01:16.219 I collected 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.132$ General challenges and aims.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}01{:}18.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.570$ So whenever you plot the data is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.486$ important that the plots are and

 $00:01:24.486 \rightarrow 00:01:27.019$ the representations are are precise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.457$ so they're truthful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}01{:}28{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30{.}852$ That means that distortion has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}01{:}30{.}852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34{.}370$ to be avoided as much as possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.350$ is not always achievable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:36.350 \dashrightarrow 00:01:38.810$ so distortion sometimes is unavoidable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:01:38.810 --> 00:01:40.762 Think about for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:40.762 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.690$ when you plot the 2D Maps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:01:43.789 --> 00:01:46.229 for representing 3D data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.850$ But the point is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}01{:}47.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.277$ distortion doesn't have to convey

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:49.277 \rightarrow 00:01:50.707$ the message of the figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}01{:}50{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53{.}626$ so it has to be something that is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:01:53.626 \dashrightarrow 00:01:56.547$ related to the main message of the feature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}01{:}56{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58{.}466$ Otherwise it's a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:01:58.466 - 00:02:01.340 Then the second point is clarity.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227
- $00:02:01.340 \rightarrow 00:02:05.570$ So data the figure has not to be ambiguous,

 $00:02:05.570 \rightarrow 00:02:08.860$ and the third one is the efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.970$ So every.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:10.970 \rightarrow 00:02:14.029$ Inca every in every pixel is precious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:14.030 \rightarrow 00:02:17.089$ so each decision in doing your plotter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}02{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.338$ each decision on the color on the size

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:20.338 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.947$ on the number of layers said that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:23.947 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.009$ that you plotter is important and it has an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:28.010 \rightarrow 00:02:31.943$ Everything has to be has to have a purpose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.130$ so you should reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.874$ so called chartjunk here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:02:35.880 --> 00:02:38.065 Below the slide you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:38.065 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.376$ quotation from Edward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}02{:}39{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42{.}537$ After that I discovered by the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:02:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.055$ Only yesterday is that he

00:02:45.055 --> 00:02:48.190 never knew I'm I'm here from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}02{:}48.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.225$ Since three years and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:02:50.225 --> 00:02:52.260 never known that Edward Tufte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}02{:}52{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56{.}194$ it is the most one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}880$ most celebrated visualization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}02{:}57{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}544$ Antisa is is there in new heaven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}03{:}02{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04{.}545$ And the condition is that with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}03{:}04.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.945$ image you have to give to the viewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:03:06.945 --> 00:03:09.136 the greatest number of ideas in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:09.136 \dashrightarrow 00:03:11.397$ shortest time and with the least possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}03{:}11{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13{.}150$ Inc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.105$ This is another general representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}03{:}15{.}105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17{.}536$ of his that you should consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:17.536 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.566$ to make a good visualization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:19.570 \dashrightarrow 00:03:22.671$ Also, this is very general, so it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:22.671 \rightarrow 00:03:24.506$ It's not only about science

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227
- $00{:}03{:}24.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.618$ and basically they criteria are

 $00{:}03{:}26.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.788$ organized in four different sets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:28.790 \rightarrow 00:03:31.597$ so you need to represent the information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:31.600 \rightarrow 00:03:34.113$ but the fever also need to display

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}03{:}34{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37{.}006$ to convey to communicate a story and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:37.006 \dashrightarrow 00:03:39.616$ that's the concept of the figure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:39.620 \rightarrow 00:03:43.328$ This is connected also with the goal of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:43.330 \dashrightarrow 00:03:46.690$ All of the figures, so the function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

00:03:46.690 - 00:03:49.735 So what is the message that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:49.735 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.257$ want to display and also the visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:53.257 \rightarrow 00:03:54.844$ format is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}03{:}54.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.556$ Obviously the weight of these four

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59{.}960$ different layers is different in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:03:59.960 \dashrightarrow 00:04:02.048$ different applications for images,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}04{:}02{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}930$ so visual form probably is more

00:04:04.930 --> 00:04:06.850 important for artistic display,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00{:}04{:}06.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.270$ while for scientific displays that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231227

 $00:04:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.690$ probably in formation goal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589544

 $00:04:11.767 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.627$ story are more important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589544

 $00{:}04{:}13.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.787$ This doesn't mean that you should not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589544

 $00{:}04{:}16.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.789$ consider also the visual visual part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589544

 $00:04:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.110$ I ideally the perfect visualization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589544

 $00:04:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.949$ is at the center of these four steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336

 $00{:}04{:}28.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.575$ So this is for the introduction now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336

 $00{:}04{:}30{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32{.}855$ The rest of the presentation will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336

 $00{:}04{:}32.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.209$ structured with some very concrete examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}990$ and it's also organized in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336

 $00:04:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.770$ a way that is interactive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336

 $00:04:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.550$ so I will show something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336

 $00{:}04{:}40.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.871$ Some example of figures and I will try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336

 $00:04:43.871 \rightarrow 00:04:47.685$ ask you what could be wrong with this figure.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336
- $00:04:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.794$ Starting with this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336
- $00{:}04{:}48.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52.064$ but this is a figure that is very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336
- $00:04:52.064 \rightarrow 00:04:54.520$ frequent in scientific publication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336
- $00:04:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.698$ It's a barplot and it's the most.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336
- $00:04:57.700 \rightarrow 00:05:00.619$ It's actually the most frequent disk image
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89499336
- $00:05:00.619 \dashrightarrow 00:05:04.067$ that you can find in biomedical journals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92155665
- $00:05:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.792$ Do you have any ideas of what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92155665
- $00:05:10.792 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.360$ could be wrong with this?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92155665
- $00{:}05{:}13.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.150$ Not pretty, yes. Lots of it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.43369204
- $00:05:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.133$ It. It's lacking data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.43369204
- 00:05:21.133 --> 00:05:22.738 It's it's not showing you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.43369204
- 00:05:22.738 --> 00:05:24.230 the data distribution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.43369204
- $00{:}05{:}24{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26{.}072$ Yeah, yes, exactly there are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.43369204
- $00:05:26.072 \dashrightarrow 00:05:28.660$ It's putting the treatment on the left,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.43369204
- $00:05:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.500$ which I always don't like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.43369204

00:05:30.500 - 00:05:33.090 I always want the control on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}05{:}33.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.562$ left. Oh yes, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:05:34.562 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.436$ Yes, that's true, yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:05:36.436 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.150$ so it has a lot of like. Capital A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:05:42.150 \dashrightarrow 00:05:44.268$ Visual problems, but the main yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}05{:}44{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46{.}748$ The main thing is that it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:05:46.748 \dashrightarrow 00:05:49.182$ show the data, so that's the main.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

00:05:49.182 --> 00:05:51.710 That's the main drawback of this image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}05{:}51{.}710$ --> $00{:}05{:}54{.}188$ and so, particularly in the last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}05{:}54.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.097$ So that's the trend that a lot of also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:05:57.097 \rightarrow 00:05:59.138$ publishers are requesting in images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:05:59.140 \dashrightarrow 00:06:02.119$ So the principle is that you need ideally to NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:02.119 \rightarrow 00:06:05.154$ show always the data points in every figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07{.}652$ because you should show the data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}07{.}652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}614$ make up your fingers and these for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:10.614 \rightarrow 00:06:13.079$ barplot means that you have to show.

 $00{:}06{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.240$ They did data points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:16.240 \dashrightarrow 00:06:19.291$ So here you see an example of how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:19.291 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.184$ bar plot can be represented with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}22.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.172$ data points and you see here on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}25{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27{.}720$ on the right you see the the single

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}27.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.320$ data points and also you see a summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:30.392 \rightarrow 00:06:32.846$ statistics that could be for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}32.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.100$ they mean plus minus standard deviation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}900$ for the treatment and the end the control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:37.900 \rightarrow 00:06:39.730$ In general this showing barplots

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.294$ with only the mean with the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}42.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.625$ deviation is a problem and there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

00:06:44.625 --> 00:06:46.988 a publication of five years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}46{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49{.}348$ The teacher, wife and one issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:49.350 \rightarrow 00:06:50.180$ for example,

 $00:06:50.180 \rightarrow 00:06:52.255$ that the different data distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}52.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.867$ can lead to the same bar block.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.840$ You see an example here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:06:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.198$ So in a you should use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01{.}105$ You see a barplot representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}01{.}105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03{.}864$ of distribution of data and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:03.864 \dashrightarrow 00:07:06.228$ distribution that you see from B2ER

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:06.228 \rightarrow 00:07:07.868$ representing could be represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}050$ by that padlock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}09{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.444$ So the ideal situation would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:11.444 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.780$ what you see here in plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.008$ Be where you have data that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

00:07:18.010 --> 00:07:18.770 Symmetrically distributed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}18.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.430$ so this is the if the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}21.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.947$ of your real data is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:22.950 \rightarrow 00:07:25.774$ These are the bar plot is less problematic,

 $00:07:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.420$ but for example in C use your situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.838$ where you have an outlier and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}30.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.347$ for example this would mean that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}33{.}347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35{.}232$ supposed difference that you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:35.232 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.670$ showing in the padlock is not real,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.295$ but it's present only because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

00:07:39.295 - 00:07:41.307 you have these outlier pointer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}41.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.795$ But most of the other data are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:43.795 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.239$ overlapping in the two distributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

00:07:46.240 --> 00:07:48.718 Sometimes as you see in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51.618$ this plot could hide some patterns in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}07{:}51.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.928$ the data, so that's what you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:07:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.759$ In the do you see my cursor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

00:07:58.760 --> 00:08:00.006 Yes, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}08{:}00{.}006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}990$ so this for example shows that there are.

 $00:08:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.840$ The distributions that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.320$ here are by model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.540$ This could be linked, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.020$ to replicate for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.130$ technical replicates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.870$ biological replicates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}08{:}13.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.090$ or it could be an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.570$ property of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}08{:}17.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.012$ Nevertheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:18.012 \dashrightarrow 00:08:20.222$ it's something that you cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22{.}704$ see if you represent with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}08{:}22.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.944$ a bar plot and the also Bartlett

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}08{:}24{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27{.}331$ hide the number of data that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:27.331 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.007$ used to visualize the plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30{.}692$ The barplot themselves and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:30.692 \rightarrow 00:08:32.740$ for example in EU situation,

 $00:08:32.740 \rightarrow 00:08:35.386$ where you have an equal number of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}870$ Points for the black and the white are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.840$ Bartlett on the left and the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.280$ At this is a problem also when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.217$ want to show paired data in barplots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:49.220 \rightarrow 00:08:52.832$ So again here you see a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7957011

 $00:08:52.832 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.380$ where a barplot

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:08:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.230$ displays some is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

00:08:57.230 --> 00:08:59.010 For situations that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

00:08:59.010 --> 00:09:01.148 displayed in BC&D, so be Cmdr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:01.148 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.572$ Very different situations here

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

00:09:02.572 --> 00:09:04.342 you could imagine, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:04.342 \dashrightarrow 00:09:06.448$ that this data obtained from single

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}09{:}06{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}618$ patients at treated with the dragon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:08.620 \rightarrow 00:09:11.468$ and you measure a parameter of the patients,

00:09:11.470 --> 00:09:14.088 and so the information related to each

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:14.088 \dashrightarrow 00:09:16.903$ patient has to be connected so that the NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:16.903 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.660$ meaning of the of the pair that plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:19.660 \dashrightarrow 00:09:22.396$ So the situation in B shows that the NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:22.396 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.012$ Dragon has a consistent effect on all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}09{:}25.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.600$ the patients and you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}09{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.524$ Calculating for each patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}09{:}29{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32{.}410$ the difference between the dots on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}09{:}32{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35{.}071$ the left and on the right give rise

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

00:09:35.071 --> 00:09:37.600 to this to this plot here below,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}09{:}37{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39{.}665$ where all the differences are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

00:09:39.665 --> 00:09:42.000 positive and are also consistent in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

00:09:42.000 --> 00:09:44.382 See you see a situation where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:44.382 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.400$ the drug has very big,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}09{:}46{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48{.}416$ very different effects depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:48.416 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.928$ on the patient.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741
- 00:09:49.930 --> 00:09:51.735 So that the distribution of

 $00:09:51.735 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.179$ the differences is skewed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.832$ And by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:54.832 \rightarrow 00:09:56.897$ this line represents the median

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:56.897 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.938$ difference that you see for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:09:58.938 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.878$ each patients for the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.088$ And the third plot indeed that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}03.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.469$ has a composition of effects that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}752$ So here you see that the again

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:07.752 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.409$ the difference is by model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}09{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}408$ That means that there are patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}11{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13{.}670$ that do not respond to the dragon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}13.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.452$ and you see here with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17{.}072$ horizontal lines and some patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}17{.}072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}917$ that responded to the dragon.

 $00{:}10{:}18{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}020$ So the resulting distribution of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:21.020 \rightarrow 00:10:23.507$ difference as you see here is by model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.145$ The problem with her plots

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26{.}453$ are and the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

00:10:26.460 --> 00:10:26.802 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:26.802 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.854$ if you use barplots with paired

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:28.854 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.188$ data is that you don't see any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.700$ Any of this structure so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}32.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.210$ you you are losing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:34.210 \rightarrow 00:10:36.298$ So the best way is always to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}36.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.437$ the dots are of your distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

00:10:38.440 --> 00:10:40.150 may be together with the bar plots

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.247$ and if the data are paid also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00:10:42.247 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.732$ to show the single connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462741

 $00{:}10{:}43.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.379$ with in between the dots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:10:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.400$ There is also an issue about about the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634
- $00:10:52.400 \rightarrow 00:10:56.167$ choice of displaying the meme of your data,

 $00{:}10{:}56{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58{.}380$ for example versus the media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}10{:}58{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01{.}212$ or to show the standard deviation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:11:01.212 \rightarrow 00:11:04.140$ versus the standard error of the mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:11:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.404$ so mean versus median are ways to represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:11:07.404 \rightarrow 00:11:10.786$ summary of the centrality of a distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}11{:}10.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13.919$ An the mean is preferable if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}11{:}13{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16{.}788$ suppose your data are for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:16.790 --> 00:11:17.628 Symmetrically distributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:11:17.628 \rightarrow 00:11:20.980$ For example, if you assume that the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:21.050 --> 00:11:23.426 has a normal or Gaussian distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:23.430 --> 00:11:25.405 while the median represents the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}11{:}25{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27{.}894$ mid is the point that represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:27.894 --> 00:11:30.069 the middle of your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:30.070 -> 00:11:32.150 The middle of your distribution,

 $00:11:32.150 \rightarrow 00:11:34.265$ and it's more generally applied

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:34.265 --> 00:11:35.957 independently from the shaper

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:11:35.957 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.366$ of the distribution of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:38.370 --> 00:11:41.402 So here you see an example where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:41.402 --> 00:11:43.713 have four different samples population

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:43.713 --> 00:11:47.950 and you plot the mean plus the standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}11{:}47.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.620$ And that's the most conventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:11:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.130$ way that you see in publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}11{:}52{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}970$ They mean plus standard deviation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}11{:}54{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}686$ And the median of the population will

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:11:57.686 --> 00:12:00.249 receive the single point and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:12:00.249 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.459$ horizontal bar represents the median.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}12{:}02{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05{.}750$ So an important point about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07{.}886$ Mean versus median is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:12:07.886 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.289$ mean and can be used only with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

 $00:12:11.289 \rightarrow 00:12:12.557$ symmetrical distributions.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634
- 00:12:12.560 --> 00:12:14.830 Otherwise it can be misleading.

 $00:12:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.100$ While the median is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7590634

00:12:17.100 --> 00:12:18.008 generally appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80607957

00:12:19.220 --> 00:12:21.138 When you have an outlier like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80607957

 $00:12:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.786$ you would always recommend the meat being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80607957

 $00:12:23.790 \rightarrow 00:12:26.792$ Honey. When you have an outlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.80607957

 $00:12:26.792 \rightarrow 00:12:28.938$ like in the third group there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80607957

 $00:12:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.439$ yeah, then it makes more sense to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572

 $00{:}12{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}514$ use the median.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572

00:12:32.514 --> 00:12:34.304 Yeah, nobody showed them young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572

 $00{:}12{:}34{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36{.}627$ Is that the median is more robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572

00:12:36.627 -> 00:12:38.468 data with outliers is totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572

 $00:12:38.468 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.036$ more robust with outliers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572

 $00{:}12{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.830$ and the median is not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572

 $00{:}12{:}41.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.399$ so the presence of over outlier as

- $00{:}12{:}44{.}399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47{.}706$ you see here in C can shift a lot the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572
- $00{:}12{:}47.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.418$ mean while the median is is affected,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572
- $00{:}12{:}50{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}260$ but not so much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572
- $00{:}12{:}53.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.816$ Especially from the magnitude
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572
- $00{:}12{:}54.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.980$ of the outlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7963572
- 00:12:55.980 --> 00:12:58.240 I would say. So
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:12:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.338$ tomorrow question right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- 00:12:59.338 --> 00:13:01.568 So so also, being you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- 00:13:01.568 --> 00:13:03.072 knowing there's a difference
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:03.072 \rightarrow 00:13:04.840$ between me and a medium,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.409$ but one of the things I heard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- 00:13:07.410 --> 00:13:08.878 of course, haven't looked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:08.878 \dashrightarrow 00:13:10.713$ myself into this deeply enough.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:10.720 \rightarrow 00:13:13.275$ Is that for the meeting the distribution,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:13.280 \rightarrow 00:13:15.115$ unlike mean not necessarily follows
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- 00:13:15.115 --> 00:13:16.950 a Gaussian or normal distribution,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.894$ so that from a statistical point of view
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00{:}13{:}19{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23{.}187$ is going to be a little hard to calculate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:23.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.291$ certain significance etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:24.291 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.759$ Based on medium data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00{:}13{:}25.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.861$ Is that true?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80480194
- $00:13:26.861 \rightarrow 00:13:28.696$ Or it's simply a misnomer?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- $00:13:29.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.330$ How to calculate the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- $00:13:32.330 \rightarrow 00:13:34.310$ significance of differences?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- $00:13:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.609$ That's a different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- $00:13:35.609 \rightarrow 00:13:38.640$ So that's the difference of the approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- 00:13:38.640 --> 00:13:40.810 If you choose parametric test,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- $00{:}13{:}40{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43{.}652$ such as the tester or the ANOVA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- $00{:}13{:}43.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.544$ and those tests assume that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- $00{:}13{:}46{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49{.}189$ distribution is Goshen is normal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536
- $00{:}13{:}49{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52{.}030$ Yeah, so you need to be careful so he is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

 $00:13:52.102 \rightarrow 00:13:54.727$ usually if it is a repeated measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

00:13:54.730 --> 00:13:56.475 So if you're testing repeated

NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

 $00{:}13{:}56{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58{.}865$ measure yes soon the error is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

00:13:58.865 - 00:14:00.593 is distributed in a Goshen way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

 $00:14:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.875$ but that is not always the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

 $00:14:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.806$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

00:14:03.806 --> 00:14:06.584 if you're comparing two population of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

 $00{:}14{:}06{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}337$ jeans with a signal for each gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89396536

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10{.}170$ Just have to check it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00{:}14{:}11{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13{.}216$ Well, so so this is something that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

00:14:13.216 --> 00:14:14.997 think will be particularly important

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

00:14:14.997 --> 00:14:16.572 for experimental scientist, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

00:14:16.572 --> 00:14:18.324 Because you know, as an experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:18.324 \rightarrow 00:14:20.855$ is when we are trained, we know OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

00:14:20.855 --> 00:14:22.380 when we did design experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.452$ we do service replica so we can

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946
- $00:14:24.452 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.023$ join error bar without thinking

 $00{:}14{:}26{.}023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28{.}172$ Y and how to deal with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.357$ And if you go to a statistician

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:30.357 \rightarrow 00:14:32.450$ that will tell you say oh look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

00:14:32.450 --> 00:14:34.578 if you're going to use the test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37{.}118$ you have to show me first that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:37.118 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.928$ actually largely a normal distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:38.928 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.609$ before you can actually use the T test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

00:14:41.610 - 00:14:43.010 Whereas the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:43.010 \rightarrow 00:14:44.760$ of people in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00{:}14{:}44.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46.435$ that's not how they will

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00{:}14{:}46{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48{.}610$ think about in the 1st place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:48.610 \rightarrow 00:14:50.644$ and they also not trendy enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:50.644 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.134$ to think you know how to prove

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00{:}14{:}53{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}904$ or disprove that's the case.

 $00:14:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.660$ So what would you suggest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00{:}14{:}56.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.972$ especially when we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:14:57.972 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.612$ experiment that you cannot do

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00{:}14{:}59{.}612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}558$ 200 replicas for each experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00{:}15{:}01{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}660$ So what would be a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.81003946

 $00:15:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.060$ approach in that regard?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

 $00{:}15{:}06{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}909$ Yeah, so there is a tradeoff between

NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

 $00:15:08.909 \rightarrow 00:15:11.363$ the ideal situation where the ideal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

00:15:11.363 --> 00:15:13.745 situation would be always to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

00:15:13.745 --> 00:15:16.380 enough data points so that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

 $00{:}15{:}16{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18{.}654$ understand the shape of the distribution NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

 $00:15:18.654 \rightarrow 00:15:21.538$ and the real case scenario with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

00:15:21.538 --> 00:15:24.458 can do as many replicates as you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

 $00:15:24.460 \rightarrow 00:15:27.190$ and so usually you have to assume

NOTE Confidence: 0.83941036

 $00:15:27.190 \rightarrow 00:15:29.530$ that the distribution is normal, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

00:15:32.700 --> 00:15:35.886 Ideally, you should always check her.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00:15:35.890 \rightarrow 00:15:38.515$ And again, if we are repeating measures

00:15:38.515 --> 00:15:40.869 and you are collecting a measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00:15:40.869 \rightarrow 00:15:43.550$ of the same data in a repeated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00:15:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.530$ that way you can assume that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00:15:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.760$ if the error is stochastic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

00:15:47.760 --> 00:15:49.680 it should be normally distributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}221$ So you assume that the distribution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00:15:52.221 \rightarrow 00:15:55.278$ the error is Goshen, and it makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

00:15:55.278 --> 00:15:57.360 But for example in other situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}949$ where you have a lot of measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

00:15:59.949 --> 00:16:02.319 and measurements of different entities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00{:}16{:}02{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04{.}410$ for example, the expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00{:}16{:}04{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06{.}500$ different genes we're doing like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

00:16:06.500 --> 00:16:08.236 A compilation of Jesus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00{:}16{:}08.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.840$ Then these assumption is less probable,

- $00:16:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.088$ is less likely,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00:16:12.088 \rightarrow 00:16:15.000$ and you should have enough data points
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- 00:16:15.086 --> 00:16:17.837 so that you can switch from parametric
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00{:}16{:}17.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.809$ tests one on parametric, so we're not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00{:}16{:}22.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.540$ That doesn't make assumption of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00{:}16{:}24{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25{.}924$ on the underlying distribution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00:16:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.234$ It is, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00:16:27.234 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.644$ they will cook some test or the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00{:}16{:}29{.}644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30{.}787$ Mann Whitney test.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00{:}16{:}30{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33{.}734$ And the problem is that you need them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00{:}16{:}33{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36{.}093$ or replicates because if the end is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00:16:36.093 \rightarrow 00:16:39.384$ the size is less than five, you don't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00{:}16{:}39{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42{.}644$ You cannot reach the statistical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00:16:42.644 \rightarrow 00:16:47.130$ significance as it is accepted below 0.05,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00:16:47.130 \rightarrow 00:16:52.576$ but it's usually the more correct way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00:16:52.580 \rightarrow 00:16:55.109$ Then they the standard is not to use that,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627
- $00{:}16{:}55{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}238$ and so I remember there was a case

 $00:16:57.238 \rightarrow 00:16:59.039$ where the paper was in review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00{:}16{:}59{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01{.}839$ It was from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00:17:01.840 \rightarrow 00:17:04.668$ Young bean and I remember we performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00{:}17{:}04.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.906$ the Wilcoxon test and the reviewers

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

00:17:06.906 --> 00:17:09.596 as to why we didn't do the parameter

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00:17:09.596 \rightarrow 00:17:12.740$ test so so they asked for the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00:17:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.372$ They asked us to go against the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88484627

 $00:17:15.372 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.124$ ideal situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84749943

 $00:17:18.000 \rightarrow 00:17:19.698$ I think this is very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84749943

00:17:19.700 --> 00:17:20.832 I think it's really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84749943

00:17:20.832 --> 00:17:22.247 you know telling about me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84749943

 $00:17:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.066$ especially for people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84749943

 $00{:}17{:}24.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.336$ are not familiar with with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84749943

 $00{:}17{:}26.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.820$ Test and also the the World Cup test.

00:17:28.820 --> 00:17:30.370 I think it's really suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.84749943

 $00{:}17{:}30{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31{.}920$ you to look into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00:17:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.470$ Things can be very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00{:}17{:}33{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35{.}010$ Yeah, and and obviously you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

00:17:35.010 - 00:17:36.880 so it's important when you plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00{:}17{:}36{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39{.}814$ If you if you can to have enough data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00:17:39.814 \rightarrow 00:17:42.587$ points to perform a nonparametric test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

00:17:42.590 --> 00:17:43.526 In high throughput

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00{:}17{:}43.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.086$ experiments that they see now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00:17:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.055$ for example single cell that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00{:}17{:}47.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.020$ not there anymore problem because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00{:}17{:}49.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.975$ you have usually a lot of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00{:}17{:}50.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.158$ points and so that's less of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00{:}17{:}53.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.793$ problem that sometimes we work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00:17:54.800 \rightarrow 00:17:57.509$ Is it after him because they thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753

 $00:17:57.509 \rightarrow 00:18:00.299$ the number of data are increasing?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8276753
- $00:18:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.310$ And not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8346292
- 00:18:01.310 --> 00:18:04.360 that generic comment comma. These people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8346292
- $00:18:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.930$ are a lot of these lot of our group is blood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8346292
- 00:18:09.930 --> 00:18:11.448 hematology researchers. Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8346292
- $00:18:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.739$ and neither blood nor blood advances require.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8346292
- $00{:}18{:}15{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17{.}430$ The investigator in their papers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9140715
- $00:18:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.770$ to show all the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890373675
- 00:18:18.770 --> 00:18:20.958 data points. And now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86667585
- $00{:}18{:}20{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22{.}420$ I'm on the publication committee.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86667585
- $00:18:22.420 \rightarrow 00:18:23.874$ We've actually talked about this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86667585
- $00{:}18{:}23{.}874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}510$ but we go by the Journal of Cell Bio.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86667585
- $00{:}18{:}27{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30{.}048$ Instructions to authors and prep for figures,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8307862
- $00{:}18{:}30.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.870$ and there are Rockefeller Press
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225568466666667
- $00{:}18{:}31{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}538$ publication. And they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81844217
- $00{:}18{:}33{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}790$ haven't. So they have genome research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81844217

00:18:35.790 --> 00:18:38.416 and germ cell bio Med and stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81844217

 $00:18:38.416 \rightarrow 00:18:41.042$ so they haven't come around to making

NOTE Confidence: 0.81844217

 $00:18:41.042 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.295$ people show all their dots etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81844217

00:18:43.295 --> 00:18:46.293 But a number of journals, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81844217

00:18:46.293 --> 00:18:49.698 half like JC I you know JC AI

NOTE Confidence: 0.81844217

 $00{:}18{:}49{.}698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51{.}370$ advances etc. They might not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8858033

 $00:18:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.670$ even review your paper if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8858033

 $00:18:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.710$ you show, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8858033

 $00{:}18{:}53{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55{.}930$ your plots on the left here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8858033

 $00:18:55.930 \rightarrow 00:18:57.472$ Well, they might, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8858033

 $00:18:57.472 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.008$ might not even go out

NOTE Confidence: 0.87476665

00:18:59.010 --> 00:19:01.170 for review. The pre reviewer's will say

NOTE Confidence: 0.87476665

 $00{:}19{:}01{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03{.}012$ you know your figures are inadequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87476665

 $00:19:03.012 \rightarrow 00:19:04.861$ for our instructions, authors etc etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87476665

00:19:04.861 --> 00:19:06.710 So I think some journals are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87476665

 $00:19:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.864$ coming around to this is the way

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87476665
- $00:19:08.864 \rightarrow 00:19:11.020$ we really want to see the data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:19:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.584$ Yeah, I think there is a shift
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:19:14.584 \rightarrow 00:19:16.492$ in the paradigm, let's say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}16{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18{.}397$ and it will take years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}18{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21{.}224$ But for example, I have a slide here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}21{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24{.}126$ where so this is from my experience,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:19:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.478$ so that for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}25{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28{.}000$ all the family of the network journals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31.010$ have already this policies for the figure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}31.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.041$ So this is something I received after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- 00:19:34.041 --> 00:19:37.593 the review of a paper as an editorial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}37{.}593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}779$ guidelines and the food for these like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}40.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.028$ Policies that I had to change a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}44.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.240$ lot of figures and you see that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}19{:}47{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48{.}983$ And so that the one of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

- $00:19:48.983 \rightarrow 00:19:50.360$ policy as you see here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:19:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.495$ the last one is that for sample
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:19:52.495 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.368$ size that are less than 10.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:19:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.714$ And they want you to get to plot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:19:56.714 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.361$ the individual data points and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:19:58.361 \rightarrow 00:20:00.353$ so they don't accept bar graphs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- 00:20:00.360 --> 00:20:03.240 Got bargraphs anymore.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:20:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.980$ And then, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}20{:}04{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08{.}094$ if you have some statistics such as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:20:08.094 \rightarrow 00:20:11.069$ error bars with the lesson 3 replicates,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:20:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.618$ you have to remove,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:20:12.618 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.483$ remove them and you have to show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:20:15.483 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.249$ to show the data without the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00{:}20{:}18{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}210$ statistics without the error.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:20:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.947$ Then this also is a point that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- $00:20:22.947 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.430$ you usually is not satisfied.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126
- 00:20:25.430 --> 00:20:28.040 So when you plot some statistical

 $00:20:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.910$ significance values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:20:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.062$ they don't accept anymore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:20:31.062 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.214$ they start the stars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}20{:}33{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35{.}266$ But you have to provide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:20:35.266 \rightarrow 00:20:37.529$ precise P value in the figure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}20{:}37.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.036$ It means that you have some stars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}20{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.455$ You have to change the stars that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}20{:}42.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.699$ converting start to the precise P

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:20:44.699 \rightarrow 00:20:46.589$ value before before publishing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}20{:}46.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.111$ then also you have to provide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51{.}890$ precise number size for each of your bars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:20:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.610$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

00:20:52.610 --> 00:20:53.447 I mean I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:20:53.447 \rightarrow 00:20:55.859$ I think in the past it was enough

 $00:20:55.859 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.097$ to provide a range like from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}20{:}58.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.150$ three to six replicates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:21:00.150 \rightarrow 00:21:03.750$ but now they really want the number for each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

00:21:03.750 --> 00:21:05.460 For each app and population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:21:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.388$ for each sample that you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:21:08.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.734$ So these are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:21:09.734 \rightarrow 00:21:11.974$ in my experience were something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00:21:11.974 \rightarrow 00:21:14.688$ that I had to provide that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}21{:}14.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.290$ but after the radio so it was not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}21{:}18{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21{.}640$ It was the editorial like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}21{:}21{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24{.}426$ At stage of acceptance of the paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}21{:}24{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27{.}985$ and I think this is true now for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

 $00{:}21{:}27{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31{.}539$ the families of the of the natural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233126

00:21:31.540 --> 00:21:32.210 Jordans

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.680$ it can I add something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.580$ Although this is only for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.902883
- $00:21:37.580 \rightarrow 00:21:39.480$ publication that goal of publication,

00:21:39.480 --> 00:21:42.066 but it's important that we start

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:42.066 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.230$ practicing all these rules in

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.420$ our daily life because it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.904$ painful that you have to do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:48.904 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.872$ when you you're trying to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.780$ the figures into the Journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.056$ It's a lot easier to do it while you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.902883

 $00:21:56.056 \rightarrow 00:21:58.476$ making the figures in real life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8273201

 $00:21:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.510$ Yeah, so obviously it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8273201

 $00:22:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.930$ says worker before there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8273201

 $00{:}22{:}01{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}630$ Yeah it says work because otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8273201

 $00{:}22{:}04.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.700$ you have to repeat all day. Fevers so

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

 $00{:}22{:}09{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11{.}004$ yeah also echo that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

 $00{:}22{:}11.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.903$ and also just want to say that you know
00:22:13.903 --> 00:22:16.650 I used to just use Excel to placings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

00:22:16.650 --> 00:22:19.074 But since my many of my lab members

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

00:22:19.074 --> 00:22:21.616 start to use Graphpad prism to plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

 $00:22:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.888$ that makes a huge difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

 $00{:}22{:}23.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.400$ converting between different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

 $00:22:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.580$ of parts such as this kind of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

00:22:27.580 --> 00:22:29.890 If you had a bar bar graph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

00:22:29.890 --> 00:22:31.190 Indiana in that software,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

00:22:31.190 --> 00:22:34.197 then you can very easily change that to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

 $00{:}22{:}34.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.177$ bar graph with different dots distributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79503936

 $00:22:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.497$ So it's very easy to work with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}512$ Yeah, that's also I have something

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}22{:}41{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43{.}670$ at the end of the presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}22{:}43.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.253$ So basically there are a lot of tools now

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}22{:}46.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.916$ more or less commercial, but tequila.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:22:48.916 \rightarrow 00:22:51.148$ They aren't really available.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576
- $00{:}22{:}51{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54{.}718$ U as which are too many different formats

 $00{:}22{:}54{.}718$ --> $00{:}22{:}57{.}928$ and starting with the same initial data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:22:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.010$ basically formatted as a table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}01{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03{.}404$ So that from the same table you can switch

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:23:03.404 \rightarrow 00:23:06.108$ to there too many different visualizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:23:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.834$ So that's that's true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:23:07.834 \rightarrow 00:23:11.210$ and it's probably easier also to plot these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:23:11.210 \rightarrow 00:23:14.820$ dots with single dots as it was in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:23:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.140$ Without respect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}18{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21{.}880$ OK, so that was the main point of this part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

00:23:21.880 --> 00:23:24.498 I had a part on the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

00:23:24.498 --> 00:23:25.620 deviation standard error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}500$ That's another issue because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:23:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.380$ standard error is basically the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}517$ standard deviation divided by the square

 $00:23:31.517 \rightarrow 00:23:33.850$ root of the number of experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

00:23:33.850 --> 00:23:35.715 and so usually the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

00:23:35.715 --> 00:23:36.834 error is displayed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}680$ But you have just be careful that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}39{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42{.}741$ a measure that tends to go to zero

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}42{.}741$ --> $00{:}23{:}45{.}133$ just because they increase the number NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}45{.}133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47{.}954$ of replicates or the number of points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}47.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.186$ So you see an example here where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}50{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}383$ it seems by plotting the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55{.}113$ error that the black bar and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

00:23:55.188 --> 00:23:57.666 white bar have the same like measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:23:57.666 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.484$ of spread of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:23:59.484 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.123$ But if you look at the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:24:02.123 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.244$ deviation you see that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00{:}24{:}04{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}909$ an effect of the factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:24:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.416$ Today the Black bar has higher spread,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576
- $00:24:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.856$ but also more points,

 $00:24:09.856 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.651$ and that's why the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.7834576

 $00:24:11.651 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.450$ error seems the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00:24:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.010$ So that's another another issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00{:}24{:}18.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}20.332$ So obviously for publication at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00{:}24{:}20{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23{.}169$ standard error of the mean is preferred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00:24:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.308$ because it usually gives an impression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00:24:26.308 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.620$ of the data being less sparse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00{:}24{:}29{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31{.}180$ But especially with different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00:24:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.130$ number of samples in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00:24:33.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.379$ in different bars that it could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8393723

 $00{:}24{:}35{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}920$ This could be misleading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85438687

 $00{:}24{:}39{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41{.}822$ And all these issues were presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.85438687

 $00:24:41.822 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.861$ in these in this paper published

NOTE Confidence: 0.85438687

 $00{:}24{:}43.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.269$ five years ago in in plus biology.

- 00:24:48.760 --> 00:24:50.044 I would skip this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00:24:50.044 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.450$ just that we will touch this later,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}24{:}52{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}125$ but an alternative solution if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- 00:24:54.125 --> 00:24:55.800 you have enough data points.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}24{:}55{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}789$ So I would say more than 10.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}24{:}58{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00{.}514$ An alternative solution instead NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- 00:25:00.514 --> 00:25:03.100 of showing like but lots
a ${\rm Ann}$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}25{:}03.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.126$ is to show the distribution of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}25{:}05{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}420$ the data is box whisker plot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}25{:}07{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10{.}764$ As you see here they have some light
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}25{:}10.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.559$ model with more details on this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00:25:13.560 \rightarrow 00:25:17.088$ OK, so this is the next example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}25{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.484$ I think it's a biplot with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00:25:19.484 \rightarrow 00:25:22.110$ usage of the different browsers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00:25:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.876$ so this is extra science image so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00:25:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.664$ So this is a classic example

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8659432
- $00{:}25{:}28.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.520$ in like visualization lessons.

 $00:25:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.768$ So what could we run with this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00:25:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.000$ There's no end. Yeah, so that's a yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00:25:44.000 \rightarrow 00:25:47.456$ so there is no endless so that you cannot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

00:25:47.460 --> 00:25:49.758 You don't know of how many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00{:}25{:}49{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}376$ how many data points you use that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00:25:52.376 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.140$ order to build the other frequencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00:25:55.140 \rightarrow 00:25:59.256$ Obviously pie charts are used to display

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00:25:59.256 \rightarrow 00:26:01.800$ frequencies and proportions of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00{:}26{:}01{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05{.}259$ classes that sum up to 100 or or to one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00:26:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.156$ The main problem is that so

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00:26:07.156 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.500$ the idea is that you shouldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00:26:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.370$ You should avoid by chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

 $00{:}26{:}12.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.806$ So the idea for displaying an

NOTE Confidence: 0.86750424

00:26:14.806 --> 00:26:17.069 information of our proportion or of

 $00:26:17.069 \rightarrow 00:26:19.325$ a percentage as a pie chart are is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.318$ Not the best choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}26{:}23.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.677$ Because that it was shown that humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:26.677 \rightarrow 00:26:29.629$ are very bad at reading angles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.870$ so we're not very precise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}26{:}31.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.870$ precise in understanding differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:34.870 \rightarrow 00:26:39.250$ angles and so between the designs of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:39.250 \rightarrow 00:26:43.543$ Slices of the pie and so usually if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:43.543 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.175$ convert the pie chart into a bar plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.690$ Information is much more clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.805$ It's true that the pie

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}26{:}50{.}805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}497$ chart is more aesthetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.540$ Appeared, but the bar plotter

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:54.540 \rightarrow 00:26:56.580$ is in in any circumstances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:26:56.580 \rightarrow 00:26:59.028$ usually more affecting in displaying girl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

00:26:59.030 - 00:27:01.555 For example, differences in the

00:27:01.555 - 00:27:04.080 usage of this genome browsers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.910$ So this has been a long issue and if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}06{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}866$ in many presentation so there is always

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:09.866 \rightarrow 00:27:13.017$ this suggestion to avoid at all a pie charts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:13.020 \rightarrow 00:27:15.428$ There are also some example of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.780$ So these are three pie charts and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:18.857 \rightarrow 00:27:22.209$ that it's they are different from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.995$ But it's very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:23.995 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.423$ understand that the difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}25{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28{.}118$ so the difference is is in the size

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:28.118 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.796$ of the slice of the three pies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}232$ but it's very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}32{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34{.}810$ For example, to understand in each

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.350$ pie which one is the largest slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}38.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.975$ And to draw comparison it much more

 $00{:}27{:}40{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43{.}978$ more easier to understand these issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}43.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.508$ So which pie is larger if the information is

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:47.508 \rightarrow 00:27:51.340$ not displaced is not displayed as pie charts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}51{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}379$ but as market.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00{:}27{:}54{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56{.}010$ So that's on the web.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

00:27:56.010 - 00:27:58.520 I also found these provocative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816895016470588

 $00:27:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.608$ Label of pie charts as lighters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8758027

 $00:28:02.790 \rightarrow 00:28:05.814$ So in general it would be better to avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8758027

 $00{:}28{:}05{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07{.}827$ displaying information as pie chart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8758027

 $00:28:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.804$ And prefer a bar chart instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.8758027

 $00:28:09.804 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.030$ to show the same information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89527786

 $00:28:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.010$ OK, so that was faster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89527786

 $00:28:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.506$ This is another example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89527786

 $00:28:17.506 \rightarrow 00:28:20.170$ What could be wrong with this plot?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89527786

 $00:28:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.420$ Again, we have a treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89527786

 $00:28:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.360$ We have a control.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89527786
- $00:28:22.360 \rightarrow 00:28:24.150$ This time we see the data point.

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}692$ Scale is so wrong, so it covers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30{.}800$ the distribution of the lower end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:28:31.390 \rightarrow 00:28:34.214$ Yes, exactly so this is a case where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:28:34.214 \rightarrow 00:28:36.927$ most of the data are compressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:28:36.930 \rightarrow 00:28:39.306$ since they have very different magnitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}932$ Most of the data are compressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:28:41.932 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.850$ air in a very small part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}28{:}45.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.440$ plot and we cannot understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:28:48.440 \rightarrow 00:28:50.684$ Very much how they are distributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:28:50.684 \rightarrow 00:28:53.392$ because most of the plotter is related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}660$ to these kind of two outliers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58{.}140$ So this is an issue with the measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}28{:}58{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}220$ that have different magnitudes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:29:00.220 \rightarrow 00:29:03.260$ so it could in my experience it happens.

00:29:03.260 --> 00:29:07.100 For example in gene expression measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}29{:}07{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08{.}328$ Because they can vary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:29:08.328 \rightarrow 00:29:09.556$ especially with the sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:29:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.955$ They can value of four to five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:29:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.136$ Magnitude and the main way to solve this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}29{:}16.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.129$ issue is to log transform the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:29:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.254$ So instead of plotting in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

00:29:21.254 --> 00:29:23.650 linear scale to log normalizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}29{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.205$ the scale of the data and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}29{:}26{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28{.}327$ allows to restrict the distance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

00:29:28.327 --> 00:29:30.215 between these two points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:29:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.064$ the outliers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:29:31.064 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.018$ and allow you to see also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00:29:34.018 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.568$ distribution of the points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554094

 $00{:}29{:}35{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37{.}620$ That here seems all compressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:29:39.770 \rightarrow 00:29:42.885$ So usually log transformation allow you to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866823
- $00:29:42.885 \rightarrow 00:29:45.344$ capture some information on the difference

 $00{:}29{:}45{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47{.}808$ of your points that are more clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:29:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.225$ Not in all cases, but in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:29:50.225 \rightarrow 00:29:52.279$ cases rather than displaying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:29:52.279 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.639$ information in a linear scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}29{:}54.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57.832$ especially when you have a lot of range

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}29{:}57{.}832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00{.}249$ between your minimal and maximal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

00:30:00.250 --> 00:30:03.913 Measurements. An alternative way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}03{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07{.}279$ Is not also a panel breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}07{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10{.}454$ so personally I prefer log log

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}10.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.259$ transformation over panel breaker because

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}13.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.289$ there is mathematically more likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

00:30:16.290 --> 00:30:17.505 Linear or elegant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}17.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.340$ but there are situations where you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}20{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23{.}580$ You can choose so this is an example.

 $00:30:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.610$ You have a bar chart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}25.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.208$ You have a huge difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}28.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30.868$ the measurements of a 2D and E&F.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}30{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34{.}965$ So this is how you solve the problem by

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

00:30:34.965 --> 00:30:37.649 introducing a breaker in your panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}37{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41{.}293$ So from 25 to 200 to 210 and this is the NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

00:30:41.293 --> 00:30:44.418 equivalent solution by log transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:30:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.824$ As you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}45.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.632$ the solution that the two solutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:30:48.632 \rightarrow 00:30:51.440$ give a fight a similar result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}51{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54{.}344$ But here you insert the manual break of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}54{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}57{.}348$ the data and this could be misleading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}30{:}57{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59{.}716$ Here you saw the issue by log

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:30:59.716 \dashrightarrow 00:31:01.680$ transforming all the measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:31:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.448$ So this is for example is an advantage

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00:31:04.448 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.198$ because it affects all the measurement.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866823
- $00:31:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.170$ And while this panel breaker

 $00{:}31{:}09{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10{.}746$ affects only for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866823

 $00{:}31{:}10.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13.894$ these two bars and could distort the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00{:}31{:}18.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.238$ Another another scenario where you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00{:}31{:}22{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25{.}690$ consider log transformation is these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00{:}31{:}25{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30{.}163$ This could be a plotter that shows for gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00:31:30.163 \rightarrow 00:31:33.339$ expression levels from Aaron Isike for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00:31:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.546$ Population of jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00{:}31{:}34{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37{.}360$ So each gene could be a doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00:31:37.441 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.937$ and he received it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00:31:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.106$ There is a different year age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00{:}31{:}41{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43{.}702$ but you see that there are outliers like

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00:31:43.702 \dashrightarrow 00:31:46.158$ for example genes of ribosomal proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00:31:46.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.440$ Histores usually are in these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00{:}31{:}49{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51{.}638$ Are in this part of the plot,

 $00:31:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.126$ but most of the gene are 90% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00{:}31{:}54{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56{.}414$ your jeans are in this part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.798156

 $00:31:56.414 \dashrightarrow 00:31:58.550$ plot and you cannot really see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

00:32:01.170 --> 00:32:02.960 You cannot really inspect them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00{:}32{:}02{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05{.}214$ because most of the plot is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00{:}32{:}05{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06{.}778$ dedicated to some outliers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00:32:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.778$ So again, here is a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00:32:08.778 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.890$ where you can log transform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00{:}32{:}10.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12.374$ Both are the coordinates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00:32:12.374 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.050$ So let's say that here is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00{:}32{:}15{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17{.}276$ control and this is the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

00:32:17.276 --> 00:32:20.287 and this will allow you to see more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00{:}32{:}20{.}287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22{.}485$ in detail the differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560322

 $00:32:22.485 \dashrightarrow 00:32:25.110$ expression of the bug of your jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

00:32:28.250 --> 00:32:30.420 In a situation like Visa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:32:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.148$ you should also consider

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- 00:32:32.148 --> 00:32:33.876 issue if you're interested,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00{:}32{:}33{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36{.}550$ for example in showing differences in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00:32:36.550 \rightarrow 00:32:39.079$ expression between 3 between a control.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00:32:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.240$ For example, are one and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00{:}32{:}41{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42{.}968$ the treatment are two.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00:32:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.989$ You have also the possibility to show.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00:32:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.622$ As the Y axis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00{:}32{:}49.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.070$ the differences in the log values.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00{:}32{:}52{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53{.}291$ So this representation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00:32:53.291 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.733$ here is the same as this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00{:}32{:}55{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57{.}685$ but it maximizes the visualization
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00{:}32{:}57.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.630$ of the differences in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00:32:59.698 \rightarrow 00:33:01.450$ expression levels of genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00{:}33{:}01{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04{.}006$ So this is something that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- 00:33:04.006 --> 00:33:07.169 find a cold as as an MA plot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.205$ It was introduced with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

00:33:09.205 --> 00:33:10.833 analysis of microarray data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

00:33:10.840 --> 00:33:13.282 but you can find it also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:13.282 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.503$ with sequencing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}33{:}14{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16{.}190$ Sometimes these two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}33{:}16.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.450$ visualization are used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

00:33:17.450 - 00:33:19.585 Depending on the aim of the figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

00:33:19.590 - 00:33:21.420 so sometimes you will find these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}33{:}21{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23{.}046$ especially when the message of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:23.046 \rightarrow 00:33:25.208$ figure is that you don't see big

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}33{:}25{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26{.}903$ differences between the two conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.448$ while if the message is that you find big NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:29.448 \rightarrow 00:33:31.180$ differences between the two condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}33{:}31{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34{.}840$ you will find mostly these visualization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}33{:}34{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}416$ So here I would just point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:37.416 \rightarrow 00:33:40.439$ in any at any sequencing experiments,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312
- $00:33:40.440 \rightarrow 00:33:43.772$ you will probably never find any gene

 $00:33:43.772 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.590$ that is in this area because they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.520$ But most of the genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.440$ the main difference they make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.976$ the main like determinant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:52.980 \rightarrow 00:33:54.910$ is the basil expression levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.220$ So usually your perturbations do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:33:57.220 \rightarrow 00:34:00.298$ affect so much the expression of a gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}34{:}00{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}771$ so that the gene is in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}34{:}02{.}771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05{.}687$ area of the plot of the oranges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}34{:}05{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07{.}610$ And that's why this visualization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00:34:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.201$ is much more efficient in capturing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}34{:}10.201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.007$ the expression differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}34{:}12.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14.596$ Because they scale on on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636312

 $00{:}34{:}14.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.889$ expression at baseline.

 $00:34:19.000 \dashrightarrow 00:34:21.385$ OK, so now I have a section I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.91227716

 $00{:}34{:}21{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23{.}555$ know that I'm I have a section

NOTE Confidence: 0.91227716

 $00:34:23.555 \rightarrow 00:34:25.780$ about how to display distributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8261615

 $00:34:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.428$ So let's say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8261615

 $00{:}34{:}29{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31{.}626$ we have a display. One time you had 15

NOTE Confidence: 0.8261615

 $00:34:31.626 \dashrightarrow 00:34:33.600$ minutes and if we go a little over, that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}34{:}33{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36{.}673$ OK, OK? So when you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:34:36.673 \dashrightarrow 00:34:38.729$ represent the distribution of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:34:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.134$ you have many choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:34:40.134 \rightarrow 00:34:43.509$ The histogram is one of the most used choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}34{:}43{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48{.}030$ It has the advantage that it can present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:34:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.156$ With detail, the shape of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:34:51.156 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.240$ distribution of your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}34{:}53{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56{.}112$ And so basically you have a variable of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:34:56.112 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.395$ interest that usually is a continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

00:34:58.395 - > 00:35:00.633 variable and you wanted to show

 $00:35:00.702 \dashrightarrow 00:35:02.937$ how this variable is distributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}35{:}02{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06{.}081$ So you divide the range of the values in

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:06.081 \rightarrow 00:35:09.218$ some beans and then you count the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:09.218 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.250$ of points that fall inside each being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:12.250 \rightarrow 00:35:14.236$ The issue with the histograms is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}35{:}14.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.818$ that you should be careful when when

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:16.818 \rightarrow 00:35:19.194$ building the histograms and when looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}35{:}19{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21{.}805$ at the histograms that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:21.805 \dashrightarrow 00:35:23.950$ some are being arbitrary parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

00:35:23.950 --> 00:35:25.870 In building up his histogram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

00:35:25.870 -> 00:35:29.566 mainly the choice of the bin size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}35{:}29{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}794$ So this is an example where the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}35{:}32.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.270$ distribution of data that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:35.270 \rightarrow 00:35:37.646$ distribution of the price of abedy

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

00:35:37.724 --> 00:35:40.190 apartments in French City has been

 $00:35:40.190 \rightarrow 00:35:42.642$ being there in two different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:42.642 \dashrightarrow 00:35:46.750$ So here is the price and hear the bin sizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:46.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.080$ So the size of each of the bin is 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}35{:}52.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.516$ Dollars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}35{:}52{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}440$ While it in here on the writer it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:35:56.538 \rightarrow 00:36:00.462$ of \$2 so you can see that using more NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:00.462 \rightarrow 00:36:03.725$ granular bins allow you to see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}36{:}03.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.982$ the presence of some accumulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}36{:}05{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09{.}006$ in your data that you cannot really

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:09.006 \rightarrow 00:36:11.437$ see with the larger bin size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}36{:}11{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14{.}398$ and this could be important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:14.398 \rightarrow 00:36:16.370$ these accumulation this probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}36{:}16{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18{.}629$ are accumulation of price that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:18.629 \dashrightarrow 00:36:21.751$ due to the fact that they are prices

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:21.751 \rightarrow 00:36:23.347$ that are commonly used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:23.350 \rightarrow 00:36:25.738$ By many different Airbnbs, for example,

 $00:36:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.916$ because they are multipliers of 50 or 100,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.726$ for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32{.}547$ But the fact is that depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:32.547 \rightarrow 00:36:34.489$ the choice of the bin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.920$ you see a different story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.502$ And then you should be always

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:41.502 \rightarrow 00:36:44.600$ careful to select bin size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

00:36:44.600 --> 00:36:48.878 That doesn't affect too much data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}36{:}48.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.220$ There are also software tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00:36:51.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.092$ that calculates depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

00:36:53.092 --> 00:36:55.569 your data depending on squared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}36{:}55{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58{.}264$ your points are placed the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}147$ and size of the bins so that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.855771083333333

 $00{:}37{:}02.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.129$ reduce the distortion of your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}08{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10{.}290$ An alternative way to represent

 $00:37:10.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.669$ distribution is to use a density plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}12.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.214$ So a density plot is basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:15.214 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.910$ a smoothing of a histogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}17{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20{.}528$ Here you collect being said and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}20.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.379$ use motor the shape of the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}23.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.600$ so that you have a continuous function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:26.600 \rightarrow 00:37:29.668$ This is graphically nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:29.670 \rightarrow 00:37:31.450$ And it allows to compare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

00:37:31.450 --> 00:37:33.010 for example distribution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

00:37:33.010 -> 00:37:35.350 two variables as you see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:35.424 \rightarrow 00:37:37.475$ in green and in and in Violet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}37{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40{.}152$ and the advantages that you can see also

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}40.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.099$ complex shapes of the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

00:37:42.100 -> 00:37:43.860 For example here the bimodality

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:43.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.388$ or hear the presence of this show

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:46.388 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.128$ is that of the distribution.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037
- $00:37:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.615$ The pitfall is similar to the histogram,

 $00{:}37{:}50{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52{.}744$ so you should always be careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:52.744 \rightarrow 00:37:53.806$ in selecting the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.940$ How much is Martha the distribution?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:37:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.070$ And here you see an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}58.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.342$ So these are the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}37{:}59{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}675$ Points that were used at the single

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:38:01.675 \rightarrow 00:38:04.146$ points that were the that were used

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}38{:}04{.}146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06{.}717$ in order to build the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:38:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.919$ They were randomly chosen from a normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00{:}38{:}09{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12{.}359$ distribution and you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

00:38:12.360 --> 00:38:14.397 Problem is similar to the bin size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79675037

 $00:38:14.400 \rightarrow 00:38:16.444$ so here you have to select basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

00:38:18.510 --> 00:38:20.958 A wavelength in order to approximate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:38:20.958 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.483$ that the function to a curve

 $00:38:23.483 \rightarrow 00:38:25.528$ and depending on the wavelength,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}38{:}25{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27{.}182$ the resolution of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:38:27.182 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.834$ wavelength that you choose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:38:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.624$ The result is different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}38{:}30{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34{.}092$ so you could have this kind of plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:38:34.092 \rightarrow 00:38:37.510$ that seems to show a lot of local pixel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

00:38:37.510 --> 00:38:40.888 but by smoothing more you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}38{:}40{.}888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43{.}140$ instead the normal distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}38{:}43.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.145$ from which you draw the data so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}38{:}46.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.328$ There is a balance which appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}38{:}48{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50{.}667$ in choosing beings that are two

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:38:50.667 \rightarrow 00:38:52.697$ larger or hear excessive smoothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:38:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.236$ Because these over simplifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:38:54.236 \rightarrow 00:38:55.388$ the original distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}38{:}55{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57{.}320$ but on the other side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:38:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.776$ if you take a resolution that is too small,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- 00:39:00.780 --> 00:39:01.550 too granular,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}39{:}01{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03{.}860$ you can obtain that strange effects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- 00:39:03.860 --> 00:39:06.170 So you could see for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:39:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.876$ pics that are depending on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}39{:}08{.}876$ --> $00{:}39{:}10{.}680$ extraction of random numbers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- 00:39:10.680 --> 00:39:11.179 Again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}39{:}11{.}179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14.672$ also in this case there are softwares
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:39:14.672 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.759$ that given the the original data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- 00:39:17.760 --> 00:39:22.464 your original vote data can calculate the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}39{:}22.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.620$ optimal smoothing wavelength in order
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:39:25.620 \dashrightarrow 00:39:29.274$ to avoid distortions based on your data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}39{:}29{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31{.}386$ A compact way to represent the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:39:31.386 \rightarrow 00:39:33.709$ distribution is the box whisker plot,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}39{:}33{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36{.}270$ and here you can see how a box
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}39{:}36{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38{.}630$ whisker plot they can be obtained
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:38.630 \rightarrow 00:39:41.090$ by this distribution of 20 points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:41.090 \dashrightarrow 00:39:43.352$ So basically the box whisker plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}39{:}43{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46{.}254$ represents as a box 50% of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:46.254 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.726$ of the distribution to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.944$ Usually you have a central line

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:49.944 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.420$ that is the media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

00:39:51.420 --> 00:39:52.130 It's important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.840$ not laminar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.218$ but in the box whisker is always the medium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.120$ This is the first quartile

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.640$ and the third quartile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:39:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.629$ 420 Percent 25th percentile of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}40{:}02{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04{.}510$ 75th percentile of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:40:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.128$ So in the box you have 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:40:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.380$ of your day to the central.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:40:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.260$ Here 50% of your data.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.730$ Then you have the whiskers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.610$ They are standard definition of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.004$ Whisker Lanka is that they are as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:20.004 \rightarrow 00:40:22.319$ long as the interquartile range.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}40{:}22.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27.068$ That's the distance between Q1 and Q 3 * 1.5.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}40{:}27.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.274$ And you see these as the whisker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:30.274 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.810$ of your plot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}40{:}31.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.096$ So these collect most of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}40{:}34.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.620$ distribution of your data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.210$ The data that are outside the whiskers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:38.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.189$ are considered to be outliers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.952$ For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00:40:40.952 \rightarrow 00:40:43.619$ here you see there these three points.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}40{:}43.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.906$ They are outside the whisker size,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765
- $00{:}40{:}45{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48{.}730$ and so these usually are individually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00:40:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.891$ displayed in the whisker plot and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}40{:}51.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.297$ considered to be an outlier according

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}40{:}54{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57{.}208$ to this definition of the whiskers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

00:40:57.210 --> 00:40:57.532 Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83262765

 $00{:}40{:}57{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58{.}498$ if you wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877263

 $00:40:58.500 \rightarrow 00:41:00.110$ to make these plots, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877263

 $00:41:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.686$ is there an easy way to do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877263

00:41:02.686 --> 00:41:04.618 or do you like you personally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877263

 $00{:}41{:}04.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07.188$ just do it by in R or something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8273683

00:41:08.360 --> 00:41:10.448 Well, box plot. I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8273683

 $00{:}41{:}10{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12{.}779$ you can do them with Excel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8273683

00:41:12.780 --> 00:41:14.615 but for example with Prisma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8273683

 $00{:}41{:}14.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.460$ or Origin you can totally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84994245

00:41:19.850 --> 00:41:22.104 I think the only limitation is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84994245

00:41:22.104 --> 00:41:24.356 Excel, but I be honest, I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.84994245

 $00:41:24.356 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.310$ check the last version of Excel.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8775666
- 00:41:27.070 --> 00:41:28.486 Right for us to think about,

 $00:41:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.540$ you know we can we have our data and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775666

 $00:41:30.593 \rightarrow 00:41:32.497$ are many different ways of plotting it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775666

 $00:41:32.500 \rightarrow 00:41:34.620$ but it sounds like prison might be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775666

 $00:41:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.976$ way to go in to try to do it in less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775666

00:41:36.980 --> 00:41:37.920 You're somebody like you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775666

00:41:37.920 --> 00:41:40.930 Who knows how to put it into our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

00:41:40.930 --> 00:41:44.878 Yes, probably, so please MA is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:41:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.826$ Give you an option that is much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:41:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.438$ Use that if usually use them

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00{:}41{:}48{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49{.}900$ originally with respect to Prisma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00{:}41{:}49{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52{.}440$ I think it has more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:41:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.586$ I'm more power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00{:}41{:}53{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56{.}260$ so there are more things that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:41:56.339 \dashrightarrow 00:41:58.985$ can do with origin then please MA.

 $00:41:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.964$ I think because it was designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:42:00.964 \rightarrow 00:42:03.610$ for the for the physics community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:42:03.610 \rightarrow 00:42:05.920$ but the tradeoff is always complexity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:42:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.608$ so please May is has less power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

00:42:08.610 --> 00:42:10.450 less choices, but it's easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:42:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.850$ to use rather than than origin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:42:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.022$ but both share the same philosophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00{:}42{:}15{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18{.}031$ so that you need to provide the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00{:}42{:}18.031$ --> $00{:}42{:}20.738$ is a spreadsheet format and they are NOTE Confidence: 0.72675556

 $00:42:20.738 \rightarrow 00:42:23.234$ available in the software library at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7910341

00:42:24.340 --> 00:42:26.852 OK, thank you to my can you say

NOTE Confidence: 0.7910341

 $00{:}42{:}26.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}29.286$ the name of the other not prism

NOTE Confidence: 0.7910341

 $00:42:29.290 \rightarrow 00:42:30.566$ but the other programming?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7910341

 $00{:}42{:}30{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33{.}580$ Or I have a slide after whether you show

NOTE Confidence: 0.7910341

 $00:42:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.230$ its origin? OK, thanks yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936

 $00:42:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.878$ Ava question so,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00:42:37.878 \rightarrow 00:42:40.134$ so my initial understanding is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00:42:40.134 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.305$ the whisker lenses representing the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00:42:42.305 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.885$ 95 percentile of the data range.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00:42:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.308$ But here it says the whisker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00{:}42{:}47{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50{.}180$ length is 1.5 times this IQR lens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00:42:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.220$ But if that's the case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00:42:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.476$ why would the left side of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00:42:54.476 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.951$ the screen right side of risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76106936
- $00:42:56.951 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.727$ are having different lens?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137
- $00:43:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.384$ Um? So that could be for example
- NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137
- $00:43:06.384 \rightarrow 00:43:09.106$ because here you have the, so that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137
- $00:43:09.106 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.822$ the the maximal length of the whisker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137
- $00:43:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.152$ But if the minimum of your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137
- $00:43:14.152 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.499$ data that is here is here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137
- $00:43:16.500 \rightarrow 00:43:19.416$ the whisker stops. So that's why.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:19.420 \rightarrow 00:43:22.100$ So I see here you have outliers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00{:}43{:}22.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.847$ so that we can extend to the maximum

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:24.847 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.374$ point that is 1.5 at this measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.940$ But if you before the maximal distance

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.217$ here you meet the minimal pointer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00{:}43{:}32{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}34{.}255$ the whisker and there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:34.255 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.883$ there so that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.754$ OK, I see it's also true that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

00:43:38.754 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:43:40.738 whisker definition can be customized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.978$ so this is the default interpretation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00{:}43{:}42{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45{.}584$ I don't know who who decided this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:45.590 \rightarrow 00:43:47.828$ I don't have the original publication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.062$ but you can choose whiskers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:50.062 \rightarrow 00:43:52.046$ be differently, so that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00{:}43{:}52.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.106$ Also in the Network Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:43:54.106 \rightarrow 00:43:56.410$ paper when you do a box plot,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137
- $00{:}43{:}56{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59{.}474$ you have always to specify in the statistical

 $00{:}43{:}59{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01{.}996$ methods how you design your box plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

00:44:02.000 --> 00:44:04.238 So you have to provide how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:44:04.240 \rightarrow 00:44:06.616$ for example, the skirts were defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:44:06.620 \rightarrow 00:44:08.450$ Because sometimes it's true that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:44:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.180$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:44:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.005$ the whisker can represent like

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

00:44:11.005 --> 00:44:12.597 95% of the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

00:44:12.597 --> 00:44:15.460 Right, so this is just the default,

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:44:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.090$ but it can be customized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.08699137

 $00:44:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.730$ so there are different choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523544

 $00:44:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.530$ I have a question regarding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523544

 $00:44:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.320$ distribution again, maybe it's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523544

 $00:44:26.320 \rightarrow 00:44:30.040$ continuation to what you just said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523544

 $00{:}44{:}30{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32{.}422$ Some softwares allow a default value

 $00:44:32.422 \rightarrow 00:44:35.650$ for the bin size and for the smoothening

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523544

 $00:44:35.650 \rightarrow 00:44:38.430$ and all that say like Matlab that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523544

 $00:44:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.985$ I've been trying to put this into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523544

 $00:44:40.990 \rightarrow 00:44:43.727$ How reliable do you think that is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523544

 $00:44:43.730 \rightarrow 00:44:46.850$ The default values and how would you suggest?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996838

 $00:44:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.408$ Most of the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996838

 $00:44:49.408 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.529 \text{ most of the time, so I don't.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996838

 $00:44:51.529 \rightarrow 00:44:53.347$ I don't have experience with matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996838

00:44:53.350 --> 00:44:55.702 but probably it will be that it's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996838

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58{.}057$ same in our so so most of the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996838

 $00{:}44{:}58.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00.620$ there is a sort of optimization there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996838

 $00{:}45{:}00{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}660$ so most of the time is fine. Uh, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}06{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08{.}916$ Sometimes, especially if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00:45:08.916 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.336$ have a distribution of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00:45:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.244$ but you also have a pointer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}14{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16{.}180$ with cumulation of data.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:45:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.230$ You could have problems in the.

 $00{:}45{:}20{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22{.}010$ In the blocker so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00:45:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.843$ But I don't have an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

00:45:24.843 - > 00:45:29.530 OK, so like in 95% of the time I'm OK

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}29{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33{.}361$ with the with the solution that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}33{.}361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38{.}218$ provided by the MATLAB or RA building tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00:45:38.220 \rightarrow 00:45:40.206$ For example, sometimes when you compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}40.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.238$ to distribution with a different size

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}42.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.200$ with a different number of points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}44{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}052$ that could be that that can be a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00:45:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.548$ Because sometimes there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}49{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52{.}028$ If you're comparing for example

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}52.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.222$ distribution with 10 points with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}54{.}222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56{.}107$ a distribution of 1000 points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57{.}630$ Adopting the same wavelength
- $00:45:57.630 \rightarrow 00:45:59.150$ could be a problem,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:45:59.150 \rightarrow 00:46:03.174$ and so you need to manually change it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:46:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.832$ So that's the yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:46:04.832 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.550$ but that's that probably could be a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:46:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.550$ A practical example on when it's not ideal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- 00:46:11.550 00:46:12.825 Because the software,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:46:12.825 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.800$ if you are trying to compare a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- 00:46:15.887 --> 00:46:18.257 10 points versus 1000 points,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00{:}46{:}18.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.936$ tries to define a common wavelength.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:46:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.724$ But sometimes this leads
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:46:22.724 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.508$ to like distorted images.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87064826
- $00:46:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.639$ I don't have an example to show.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882226
- $00:46:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.040$ That's good enough, thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:46:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.590$ And well, I can leave the note.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:46:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.912$ Sometimes you can see also the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- 00:46:36.912 --> 00:46:39.100 nutshack inside your box whisker,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:46:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.770$ so they're not sure is diesel

 $00{:}46{:}41.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}44.492$ feature that it represents a measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:46:44.492 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.647$ of certainty for the medium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

00:46:46.650 -> 00:46:48.736 So sometimes it is useful to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

00:46:48.740 --> 00:46:50.805 These are 'cause if you are comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}46{:}50.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53.731$ a lot of box whisker plots a you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}46{:}53.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.392$ look at the uncertainty as if it was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}46{:}56{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}566$ sort of standard error of the media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:46:58.566 \rightarrow 00:47:01.667$ And so if two box whisker overlapping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.584$ they're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:02.584 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.326$ She's probably it means that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}47{:}05{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07{.}769$ medians are not statistically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.946$ Inefficiently different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}47{:}08{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12{.}474$ This could be a way to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.696$ The use of the notch or there is

 $00:47:14.696 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.287$ the interpretation of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}47{:}16.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17.917$ and the comparison of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

00:47:17.917 --> 00:47:19.498 distribution and that's why the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:19.498 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.238$ box whisker plots are so popular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}47{:}21{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23{.}514$ because they allow you to represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

00:47:23.514 --> 00:47:25.406 that distribution of data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:25.406 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.650$ a very compact format.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.990$ This is another display of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

00:47:28.990 --> 00:47:31.270 anatomy of Big box whisker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.370$ but it doesn't add anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}47{:}33{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35{.}470$ that I had also before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}47{:}35{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38{.}366$ So here is an example where box whisker

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:38.366 \rightarrow 00:47:41.544$ plots are used in order to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}47{:}41{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43{.}448$ the four different distributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.439$ So the advantage is that they allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:47:46.439 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.020$ easy comparison so it's easy to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:47:49.020 \rightarrow 00:47:51.848$ compare the distribution of ABC and D.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00{:}47{:}51.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.066$ The problem they can have is that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:47:55.066 \rightarrow 00:47:58.180$ hide the shape of the distribution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:47:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.742$ And also usually they hide the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00{:}48{:}00{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03{.}362$ number of points that were used
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:48:03.362 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.417$ to build the box whisker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:48:05.420 \rightarrow 00:48:07.790$ Sometimes you can code the number
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:48:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.335$ of points so the cardinality the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00{:}48{:}10.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.987$ size of the distribution as the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:48:12.987 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.218$ width of the box whisker,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00{:}48{:}15{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18{.}202$ but it's rarely used because it's not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- 00:48:18.202 --> 00:48:20.620 very visually beautiful, I would say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:48:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.280$ So one solution it could be to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:48:23.374 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.939$ overlay over the box whisker,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695
- $00:48:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.436$ plot the jitter plot,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:48:27.436 \rightarrow 00:48:29.680$ so jitter plot represents the single

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00{:}48{:}29{.}746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31{.}720$ points that were used to build

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

00:48:31.720 --> 00:48:33.938 the box whisker plot and they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:48:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.292$ So while on the Y axis that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

00:48:36.292 --> 00:48:38.985 is the precise values on the X

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991695

 $00:48:38.985 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.200$ axis there randomly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:48:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.600$ Place that let's say there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:48:44.600 \rightarrow 00:48:46.685$ also methods that do not display

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}48{:}46.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.640$ these points randomly butting up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}48{:}48{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}428$ Sell the random way that captures

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}48{:}50{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52{.}460$ the shape of the distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}48{:}52{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55{.}020$ and I think that that kind of plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:48:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.676$ is also present in in graph for

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}48{:}57.676$ --> $00{:}49{:}00.305$ the Prisma so the advantage of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:49:00.305 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.764$ is that you can see, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:49:02.764 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.033$ that would be the distribution is bimodal.

 $00:49:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.378$ So because you see that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}49{:}08{.}378$ --> $00{:}49{:}10{.}447$ these high densities of points and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

00:49:10.447 --> 00:49:12.451 the box whisker plot cannot capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:49:12.451 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.458$ that you cannot see from a box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

00:49:14.460 --> 00:49:16.640 whisker plot data distribution is

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

00:49:16.640 --> 00:49:19.242 bimodal and for example here you

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}49{:}19{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21{.}312$ can see that these box whisker

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:49:21.312 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.771$ plot there has been is based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}49{:}23.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.799$ much less data than the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}49{:}25{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28{.}768$ So, uh, and a solution for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:49:28.768 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.303$ are is to enclose the box whisker

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}49{:}32{.}303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34{.}998$ plot into a violin plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}49{:}35{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36{.}952$ So viol in plot representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00{:}49{:}36{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40{.}430$ like these allow you to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810526

 $00:49:40.430 \rightarrow 00:49:43.214$ same information of a box whisker,

- $00:49:43.220 \rightarrow 00:49:46.136$ but also information on this shape
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810526
- $00:49:46.136 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.635$ of the distribution is basically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810526
- $00{:}49{:}48.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.479$ in a violin plot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810526
- $00{:}49{:}50{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}323$ You add a density plot that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810526
- $00{:}49{:}54{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57{.}759$ parallel to the vertical axis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810526
- $00:49:57.760 \rightarrow 00:50:00.390$ And here, by using a violin plot you can see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810526
- $00:50:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.016$ That this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810526
- $00{:}50{:}01{.}016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}894$ that this distribution is one pick
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810526
- $00{:}50{:}02{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05{.}460$ has one pick. This one is by model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83657587
- $00:50:08.230 \dashrightarrow 00:50:10.806$ And you can add also the number here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83657587
- $00:50:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.672$ He said of coding the number as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83657587
- $00{:}50{:}12.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.695$ the size of the distribution as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83657587
- $00:50:14.695 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.630$ the width of the distribution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901
- $00:50:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.265$ So this is an example of compare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901
- $00{:}50{:}21.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.907$ of comparisons between different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901
- $00:50:22.907 \rightarrow 00:50:24.819$ ways to show distribution.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901
- $00:50:24.820 \rightarrow 00:50:28.068$ Here you see the histogram with the density,

00:50:28.070 --> 00:50:29.306 corresponding density plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30{.}542$ the same distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:50:30.542 \rightarrow 00:50:32.550$ visualized as a box plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}32{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35{.}770$ and visualized as a violin plot that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39{.}362$ captures both the features of a box

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:50:39.362 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.012$ plot cluster the density distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}42.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.456$ And this is for a normal distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}44{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}47{.}132$ This is for a bimodal distribution where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}47.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}50.049$ can see that the box plot doesn't capture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}50{.}050$ --> $00{:}50{:}52{.}610$ so the box plot can capture the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}52{.}610$ --> $00{:}50{:}55{.}176$ that the data are not symmetrical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58{.}124$ you see the for example the distance from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}50{:}58{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01{.}218$ the from the from the point of the box

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}01{.}218$ --> $00{:}51{:}04{.}010$ and the medium is much more than these.

 $00{:}51{:}04{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06{.}714$ So the box whisker is good in capturing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

00:51:06.714 --> 00:51:08.567 a symmetrical distributions but not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}08{.}567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11{.}157$ the presence of more than one piece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:51:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.720$ So not the complex shape of the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}14.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.247$ And there is a website here where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

00:51:17.247 --> 00:51:20.663 you can where you can see a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}20.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23.255$ examples where the different choice of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}23.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25.775$ visualization can lead to different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

00:51:25.780 --> 00:51:29.209 Conclusion as here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}29{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}381$ It's true also that the violin Plata

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:51:32.381 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.999$ is not efficient because you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}34{.}999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37{.}335$ sure you're showing twice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

00:51:37.340 --> 00:51:38.906 The same information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:51:38.906 \rightarrow 00:51:41.516$ so this is aesthetically pleasant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

00:51:41.520 --> 00:51:44.245 but is not efficient because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:51:44.245 \rightarrow 00:51:46.425$ you're repeating basically this

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901
- $00:51:46.425 \rightarrow 00:51:48.819$ density twice above and below,

00:51:48.820 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:51:52.040 and so that's why there are two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:51:52.040 \rightarrow 00:51:54.570$ saver for efficiency sufficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}54{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56{.}918$ There are recent visualization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}51{:}56{.}918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00{.}440$ strategies as the rain cloud plotter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}52{:}00{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03{.}216$ So the Raincloud plot that shows a box

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:52:03.216 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.644$ whisker plot in the middle half violin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:52:05.644 \rightarrow 00:52:08.538$ plot here and then also the single point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}52{:}08{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11{.}634$ So that's probably the one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:52:11.634 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.934$ most complete exhaustive ways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

00:52:13.934 --> 00:52:16.164 represent a distribution of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

00:52:16.170 --> 00:52:18.235 And they're called the rain cloud because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}52{:}18.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.387$ of this effect is should be the cloud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}52{:}20{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22{.}266$ And this is the rain that falls

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:52:22.266 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.480$ on the proposed below.

 $00:52:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.376$ So you can find information on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}52{:}25{.}376$ --> $00{:}52{:}27{.}543$ how to block these are following

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00:52:27.543 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.219$ the following these link.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613901

 $00{:}52{:}29{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30{.}530$ Another yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83115506

 $00:52:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.240$ Quick question, is there a?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83115506

 $00{:}52{:}35{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38{.}411$ How to say the restriction or limitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83115506

 $00{:}52{:}38{.}411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42{.}864$ as to how many data points are required

NOTE Confidence: 0.83115506

 $00:52:42.864 \rightarrow 00:52:45.859$ for generating reliable violin plot?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727

00:52:49.810 --> 00:52:54.620 Generally not so. Probably more than 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727

 $00{:}52{:}54{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}722$ I would say because otherwise so you can NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727

 $00:52:57.722 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.144$ see that you can see it empirically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727

 $00{:}53{:}00{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03{.}237$ because if the data are too few you can NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727

 $00:53:03.237 \rightarrow 00:53:06.348$ see that the violin basically have sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727

 $00:53:06.348 \rightarrow 00:53:09.738$ of waves around each point of your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727

 $00{:}53{:}09{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.622$ So as a general. Is a general threshold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727

 $00:53:14.622 \rightarrow 00:53:18.552$ I would say 10 points would be the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8652727
- $00:53:18.552 \rightarrow 00:53:21.190$ like the minimum number. And asking

 $00{:}53{:}21{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23{.}188$ that question is of course if

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00:53:23.188 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.739$ you have a lot to data points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00:53:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.140$ these would be informative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00:53:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.240$ But if you have, let's say

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00:53:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.340$ less than 10 or small number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00:53:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.090$ this could be really distorting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

00:53:33.090 --> 00:53:35.190 or faking the. Yeah, yeah, that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00:53:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.965$ true. That's why I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00:53:36.965 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.040$ say 10 because it below 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00:53:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.427$ Probably the best strategy is to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00{:}53{:}41{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43{.}631$ the single points and then a summary NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

00:53:43.631 - > 00:53:45.854 such as the mean or median plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00{:}53{:}45{.}854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47{.}790$ some validation standard dialogue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805

 $00{:}53{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50.004$ but not the not the distribution

- $00:53:50.004 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.480$ as a violin plot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83410805
- $00{:}53{:}51{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}540$ So that's for a like less than 10 data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- $00:53:56.890 \rightarrow 00:53:58.666$ Alright, when data are too much,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- 00:53:58.670 --> 00:54:00.330 for example, it doesn't make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- $00:54:00.330 \dashrightarrow 00:54:02.500$ sense to show the single points.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- $00:54:02.500 \rightarrow 00:54:04.090$ Because that they are overlap,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- $00{:}54{:}04.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.253$ they overlap each other and so you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- 00:54:06.253 --> 00:54:07.882 don't see anything that happens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- $00{:}54{:}07{.}882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10{.}108$ when you have more than 1000 points,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- $00{:}54{:}10{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12{.}422$ and so the best solution in that case
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8752581
- $00{:}54{:}12{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15{.}179$ is for example to show only the viol in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754
- $00{:}54{:}18{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21{.}620$ So there is a Ranger for which.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754
- $00{:}54{:}21.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.329$ The best solution is to show the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754
- $00{:}54{:}24{.}329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27{.}019$ single data points with the cross bar,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754
- $00{:}54{:}27.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.037$ so an element with captures mean or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754
- $00:54:30.037 \rightarrow 00:54:32.650$ median plus standard deviation order.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754
- $00{:}54{:}32{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34{.}545$ Confidence interval there is a

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}683$ Ranger that is in the middle from 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754

 $00{:}54{:}37{.}683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40{.}005$ to some hundreds where the violin

NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754

 $00:54:40.005 \rightarrow 00:54:42.525$ plot and the box whisker plot are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754

 $00:54:42.525 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.353$ the best option to visualize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754

 $00{:}54{:}44{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46{.}618$ And when you have many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754

 $00:54:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.474$ many data more than 1000, probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754

 $00{:}54{:}48{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50{.}742$ If you want to capture the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754

 $00{:}54{:}50{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}935$ then only there the violin plot rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.86036754

 $00{:}54{:}52{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}189$ than the single points is the best way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85021085

00:55:01.390 --> 00:55:05.640 Did did he? Did it answer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85021085

 $00{:}55{:}05{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06{.}620$ Yeah, that was a we some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8187417

 $00{:}55{:}08.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.080$ That is a great explanation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

00:55:10.990 --> 00:55:12.826 OK, another another alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}12.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}15.580$ way to maximize efficiency of the

 $00:55:15.659 \rightarrow 00:55:18.147$ violence that I saw a lot in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}18.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.328$ with single cell data, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}20{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23{.}440$ is the the user split violin plots are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}23.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.329$ so you use the violin plot to show a NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}26{.}329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28{.}498$ comparison between two distributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}28{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}929$ So you see here are this plot shows the NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}31{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34{.}335$ representation of Asia or female and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00:55:34.335 \rightarrow 00:55:36.668$ males are using different social, social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

00:55:36.668 --> 00:55:38.220 media, Instagram, Facebook, Twitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00:55:38.220 \rightarrow 00:55:41.020$ So it's a way to show using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}41.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.981$ Half of a violin plot are differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}43{.}981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46{.}314$ in the distributions and this can

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}46{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48{.}610$ be used when you have a contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}48.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.707$ of two conditions or you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00{:}55{:}51.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53.000$ compare two distributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82946837

 $00:55:53.000 \rightarrow 00:55:55.538$ I'm also in the single cell.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8256293
- $00:55:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.268$ About the violin. Plots,

 $00:55:58.268 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.237$ like in the such cases, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8256293

 $00:56:00.237 \rightarrow 00:56:02.853$ So what determines the height of the peaks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8256293

 $00:56:02.860 \rightarrow 00:56:04.852$ Or is that everything is normalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8256293

 $00:56:04.852 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.130$ so that the total area the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8256293

 $00:56:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.419$ or the maximum height is the same?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:56:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.320$ So most of the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}12.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}16.136$ so you have choices usually so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:56:16.136 \dashrightarrow 00:56:19.879$ choose to have the same maximum hate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:56:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.930$ And that's usually the then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

00:56:21.930 --> 00:56:23.970 That's usually what you find,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:56:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.922$ so you you plot there in a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}26{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29{.}934$ that the Ranger is the same from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:56:29.934 \rightarrow 00:56:32.970$ here to here from here to here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:56:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.412$ the alternative is to use the

 $00:56:35.412 \rightarrow 00:56:38.290$ real criteria for a for a density,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}38{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}350$ and that should be that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:56:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.730$ area under visa is equal to 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}44{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}47{.}979$ And so that the two have the same area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}47{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50{.}182$ An alternative is to have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}50{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52{.}134$ area that is proportional to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:56:52.134 \rightarrow 00:56:53.766$ the number of observations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}53.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56{.}554$ but I think that visually most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}56{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59{.}529$ of the time you find that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}56{:}59{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01{.}938$ The criteria is that you have in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}57{:}01{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04{.}707$ to have balanced plots are the criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}57{:}04{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}06{.}780$ is to have the same Ranger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}57{:}06.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.628$ Meaning from here to the maximum

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:57:08.628 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.666$ for all their pull the plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00{:}57{:}10.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12.511$ independently from the area and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786113

 $00:57:12.511 \rightarrow 00:57:14.710$ dependently from the number of points.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87719923
- $00:57:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.637$ It's not probably the best solution from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87719923
- $00:57:19.637 \rightarrow 00:57:21.489$ the point of view of communication,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87719923
- $00:57:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.180$ but it's most used. OK, thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00{:}57{:}26{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29{.}636$ I variation of this is also the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00:57:29.636 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.409$ use of ridgeline plots are that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00:57:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.158$ They allow you to compare a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00:57:35.158 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.990$ lot of different densities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00:57:36.990 \rightarrow 00:57:39.713$ For example, here you see a comparison
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00{:}57{:}39{.}713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}42{.}562$ of the density of temperatures in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00{:}57{:}42.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45.227$ different month in allocation metadata.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00{:}57{:}45{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48{.}040$ Remember Lincoln NE and this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00{:}57{:}48.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51.560$ is used in a single cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00:57:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.762$ Is Alotta now in these years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00{:}57{:}53.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}55.230$ with single cell data?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00:57:55.230 \rightarrow 00:57:55.858$ For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:57:55.858 \rightarrow 00:57:58.370$ here you see that it is used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}57{:}58{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00{.}517$ compare the distribution of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}00{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}03{.}022$ expression of 1 gene leads A

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:03.022 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.807$ or CL5 in different population

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

00:58:04.807 --> 00:58:06.836 of cells that are probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}06{.}836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09{.}816$ can from some blood sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

00:58:09.820 --> 00:58:10.906 Different population and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:10.906 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.716$ these allow you to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}12.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15.720$ Sorry to see an marker genes or to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}15{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19{.}410$ see how the expression of a gene is NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.199$ specific for a population of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}22{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24{.}909$ So that's why I included because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}24{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}28{.}047$ see that the frequency of this plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.050$ specially in the single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}31{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34{.}050$ visualization field is quite increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.276$ I have visa section of the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- $00:58:36.276 \rightarrow 00:58:38.180$ presentation that we could skip.

 $00{:}58{:}38{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40{.}472$ In general the message about Visa

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:40.472 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.789$ is that Venn diagrams are good

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:42.789 \rightarrow 00:58:44.925$ when you have two Venn diagrams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.114$ but if they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}46.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}48.952$ if they're more there a bad way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:48.952 \rightarrow 00:58:50.928$ represent intersections between sets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53{.}569$ And this actually is a plot that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}58{:}53{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56{.}357$ was published in Nature and it it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

00:58:56.357 --> 00:58:58.715 about a comparison of the genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:58:58.794 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.029$ of banana with other species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}01{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}03{.}662$ So the problem in general is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}03.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.381$ when you have more than two, 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}06{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08{.}667$ four but also two Venn diagrams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:59:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.281$ it's it's not the best way to

 $00:59:11.281 \rightarrow 00:59:13.240$ visualize intersection with the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}13.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.160$ of the traditional Venn diagrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

00:59:15.160 --> 00:59:18.079 So a table is probably more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}18.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}20.629$ than this because the areas are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}20.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}22.664$ not proportional to the size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25.724$ And it's quite confusing to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}25{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}27{.}760$ the specific intersection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:59:27.844 \rightarrow 00:59:29.680$ so on alternative way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

00:59:29.680 --> 00:59:32.137 That was developed in the recent year

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}32{.}137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}34{.}693$ was the user the concept of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}34{.}693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37{.}345$ upset plots are so to represent the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}37{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39{.}510$ intersections in a matrix format.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}39{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}41{.}960$ So represent these are as a member

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:59:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.670$ as a sum object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

00:59:43.670 --> 00:59:43.989 Example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:59:43.989 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.222$ a gene that is present on only

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449
- 00:59:46.222 --> 00:59:49.228 List A only list D only list C

 $00{:}59{:}49{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50{.}756$ intersection between AMD origin

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00:59:50.827 \rightarrow 00:59:53.117$ present in all the intersections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}53{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}55{.}304$ So you can use these matrix format

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}55{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}57{.}714$ to show the intersections and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $00{:}59{:}57{.}714 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00{.}049$ you can display the cardinality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:00.050 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.714$ Of each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:00.714 \rightarrow 01:00:02.706$ Intersection so the number of genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:02.710 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.742$ for example that are only in the PDF

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:05.742 \rightarrow 01:00:07.947$ error pathway that you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01{:}00{:}07{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10{.}982$ The number of genes that are in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01{:}00{:}10.982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13.556$ common between the EGFR and P-10 path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01{:}00{:}13.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}15.430$ With that you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01{:}00{:}15{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}18{.}580$ So this is a way to show the cardinality

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:18.580 \rightarrow 01:00:21.790$ of the global list that you see here.

 $01{:}00{:}21.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}24.352$ And also you can rank the intersections

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:24.352 \rightarrow 01:00:26.266$ between the different sets according

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:26.266 \rightarrow 01:00:28.516$ to their size to their personality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:28.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.480$ So it's much more clearer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01{:}00{:}30{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}33{.}588$ To show the structure of the intersection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:33.590 \dashrightarrow 01:00:38.385$ Rather than using the AVenn diagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:38.390 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.898$ I skip this because they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01{:}00{:}40.900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.936$ There were some examples of bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:43.936 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.960$ usage of graphic in politics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:46.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.627$ And a lot are looking at online

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

 $01:00:49.627 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.600$ and related to Fox News.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779449

01:00:51.600 --> 01:00:53.520 Of bad usage of klasa display.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7097202

 $01{:}00{:}53{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}56{.}080$ So the final part could be how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7097202

 $01{:}00{:}56.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58.030$ draw this pad. There is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7097202

 $01:00:58.030 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.980$ relative they were trying to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7097202

 $01:00:59.980 \rightarrow 01:01:01.660$ Not make the point. Yeah,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82830507
- $01{:}01{:}01{.}660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}03.676$ well they were trying to make him
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82830507
- $01{:}01{:}03.676 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06.348$ to give a message by distorting the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}01{:}08.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}10.762$ Yeah, this for example is an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:01:10.762 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.701$ issue if you always need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:01:12.701 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.555$ include the zero in your plot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:01:14.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.712$ Sir, this is controversial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:01:16.712 \rightarrow 01:01:19.034$ Let's say that. In general,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:01:19.034 --> 01:01:21.146 in Barplots it's a bad idea,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:01:21.150 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.100$ but for example is a good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:01:23.100 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.040$ idea in in time series,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}01{:}25{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}27{.}236$ and that's because there in barplots
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:01:27.236 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.102$ the height of the bar plot is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:01:30.102 \rightarrow 01:01:32.094$ your main message of the figure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:01:32.100 --> 01:01:34.172 while for example here in in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}01{:}34{.}172 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}36{.}169$ time series that the main message
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

- $01:01:36.169 \rightarrow 01:01:37.904$ is how the two trajectories
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}01{:}37{.}904 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}39{.}859$ evolve and are interconnected.

 $01{:}01{:}39.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}42.982$ So the main issue is the horizontal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

01:01:42.982 --> 01:01:46.510 axis and so you can skip the zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:01:46.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.288$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01{:}01{:}47.288 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}50.011$ it depends on how much these inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01{:}01{:}50.011 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52.585$ or exclusion of the zero distort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

01:01:52.585 --> 01:01:55.530 your your main message of the fever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01{:}01{:}55{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}57{.}580$ So how to draw plots?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01{:}01{:}57{.}580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}00{.}408$ So here are there is an outline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:02:00.408 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.909$ of the software that you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

01:02:02.910 --> 01:02:04.905 so this is some commercial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01{:}02{:}04{.}905 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07{.}420$ software from the most from Excel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:02:07.420 \dashrightarrow 01:02:10.290$ It's probably the most used or available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01{:}02{:}10.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}13.498$ but it doesn't allow to plot all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:02:13.498 \rightarrow 01:02:16.423$ solutions that they did show before, but.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:02:16.423 \rightarrow 01:02:17.209$ For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:02:17.209 --> 01:02:17.602 Grandpa,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:02:17.602 --> 01:02:18.388 Graphpad prism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:02:18.390 --> 01:02:20.790 or Origin Pro are through software
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}02{:}20.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}23.195$ that are available and with those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:02:23.195 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.750$ that you should be able in an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}02{:}25{.}750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}27{.}838$ environment that is similar to Excel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:02:27.838 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.486$ to produce most of the plots that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}02{:}30{.}486 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}33{.}474$ you saw in the presentation today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:02:33.480 \rightarrow 01:02:35.340$ So this is commercial software,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:02:35.340 --> 01:02:36.450 doesn't require programming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}02{:}36{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}37{.}930$ skill on these sides.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:02:37.930 --> 01:02:39.886 Are you see the main solutions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:02:39.886 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.380$ that are used by data scientists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:02:42.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.764$ but that require programming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

- 01:02:43.764 --> 01:02:45.840 skills so that the two most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:02:45.908 --> 01:02:47.948 common languages in data science,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:02:47.950 \rightarrow 01:02:52.630$ RR and Python so far are you have is GG plot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:02:52.630 --> 01:02:54.490 Library for Python.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}02{:}54{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}57{.}590$ You have matplotlib or Seaborn.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}02{:}57{.}590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}59{.}270$ At these require programming so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}02{:}59{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}02{.}245$ but I would say that the advantage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}03{:}02{.}245 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}05{.}283$ now adays of using visa is that you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}03{:}05{.}283 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}08{.}519$ find a lot of really a lot of examples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:03:08.520 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:03:11.593 Because there are a lot of website
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:03:11.593 --> 01:03:14.596 that where you can choose that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:03:14.596 --> 01:03:16.712 you're like data visualization
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:03:16.712 \rightarrow 01:03:20.279$ type and you see already the code.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:03:20.280 --> 01:03:22.182 That you can use in order
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01:03:22.182 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.450$ to produce the blocked.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- 01:03:23.450 --> 01:03:25.778 So I would say that you just need

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316
- $01{:}03{:}25{.}778 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}28{.}469$ to know how to insert that or how to

 $01{:}03{:}28.469 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}30.841$ load that in the this programming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:03:30.841 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.745$ environment table of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:03:32.750 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.988$ And then most of the difficulties

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

01:03:34.988 --> 01:03:37.080 are probably in fixing details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:03:37.080 \rightarrow 01:03:40.240$ so it's very easy to realize the plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:03:40.240 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.266$ different plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01{:}03{:}41{.}266 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}44{.}344$ It's more complicated to adapt the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:03:44.344 \rightarrow 01:03:47.578$ small things that we are to your taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

01:03:47.580 --> 01:03:48.492 But so so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01{:}03{:}48{.}492 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}50{.}316$ this suggestion is that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

01:03:50.316 --> 01:03:52.278 do a lot of visualization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8635316

 $01:03:52.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.960$ it's worth investing in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01{:}03{:}57{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00{.}614$ Here you see a maybe a future perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01{:}04{:}00.614 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03.746$ that could be their own online solution.

 $01:04:03.750 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.870$ They're already available so summer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

01:04:05.870 --> 01:04:08.838 for example. You can produce upset plots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

01:04:08.840 --> 01:04:10.352 Aurora rain plot, Sir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01:04:10.352 \rightarrow 01:04:12.620$ or some other like exotic type

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01:04:12.698 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.770$ of data visualization online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01{:}04{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}16.562$ So there are websites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01:04:16.562 \rightarrow 01:04:19.776$ web web servers where you can insert

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01:04:19.776 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.224$ your data as tables and they produce at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01{:}04{:}23{.}224 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}26{.}506$ the data that you want and you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01:04:26.510 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.390$ Some sort of interactivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01{:}04{:}28{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}31{.}544$ so that could be the future. Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

01:04:31.544 --> 01:04:33.882 Where are web servers provide you with

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01:04:33.882 \rightarrow 01:04:36.130$ the main programming environment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01:04:36.130 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.260$ You need just to interfere data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958233

 $01:04:38.260 \rightarrow 01:04:41.049$ and you can see by interactively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78084993

 $01{:}04{:}43.580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}46.387$ By the interaction with the web server.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78084993
- $01:04:46.390 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.390$ How to customize the data?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78084993
- $01{:}04{:}48.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}52.856$ Most of the solutions right now are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78084993
- 01:04:52.860 --> 01:04:55.604 Commercial, and so you need to pay,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78084993
- $01:04:55.610 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.968$ and that's the drawback of this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78084993
- $01:04:57.970 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.040$ But it could be probably the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78084993
- $01:05:00.040 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.964$ future of matching the programming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78084993
- $01:05:01.964 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.860$ with easiness of usage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263
- $01:05:06.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.426$ This is a useful resource that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263
- 01:05:08.426 --> 01:05:10.882 you can use also to decide which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263
- $01:05:10.882 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.994$ kind of blocked are you want.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263
- $01{:}05{:}13.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}15.960$ So there are a lot of these trees are that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263
- $01:05:16.036 \rightarrow 01:05:18.857$ depending on what you want to represent,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263
- $01{:}05{:}18.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}20.585$ one numeric variable to numeric
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263
- $01:05:20.585 \rightarrow 01:05:21.965$ variables or categorical variables,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263
- $01{:}05{:}21{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24{.}007$ you can follow the tree and arrive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

 $01:05:24.007 \rightarrow 01:05:26.158$ to your to the best graphical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

 $01:05:26.158 \rightarrow 01:05:28.178$ solutions to display your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

01:05:28.180 --> 01:05:30.126 So I suggest you to visit it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

 $01:05:30.126 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.721$ also to look at what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

01:05:32.721 --> 01:05:34.826 kind of possibilities for data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

 $01:05:34.826 \rightarrow 01:05:36.750$ representations that you have online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

 $01{:}05{:}36{.}750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}39{.}130$ There are many of these sites and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

 $01:05:39.130 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.671$ now and that's why it's easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

01:05:41.671 --> 01:05:43.837 look at the documentation and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

 $01:05:43.917 \dashrightarrow 01:05:46.395$ to retrieve and reproduce the code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579263

01:05:46.400 --> 01:05:49.616 This is another example I closed with Visa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365897

 $01:05:51.720 \dashrightarrow 01:05:54.420$ Patricia, that I find particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365897

 $01{:}05{:}54{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}57{.}120$ related to data visualization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365897

 $01{:}05{:}57{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}59{.}864$ science is not natural itself about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365897

 $01{:}05{:}59{.}864 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}02{.}680$ its nature under our observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365897

 $01{:}06{:}02.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}05.459$ And so the science of data visualization

 $01{:}06{:}05{.}459 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}10.072$ is a way to allow more adherence between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365897

01:06:10.072 --> 01:06:12.076 observation science visualization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84495366

01:06:16.840 --> 01:06:19.156 Thank you come on.