WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:01:27.7650000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.161$ Posed for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:01.161 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.162$ We have two talks, one from Doctor Boffa

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:04.162 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.830$ and then the second from doctor Chang.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.708$ Doctor Boffa will go first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:08.708 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.710$ Let me make a brief introduction of Dan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.882$ Doctor Boffa is a professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00{:}00{:}13.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.330$ tho racic surgery and division

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

00:00:15.391 --> 00:00:16.959 chief of thoracic surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00{:}00{:}16.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.830$ Newly appointed in recent months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.302$ Congratulations who received his

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:20.302 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.142$ medical degree from the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

00:00:22.142 --> 00:00:23.990 of Chicago's Pritzker School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.418$ Medicine and completed residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00{:}00{:}25.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.357$ at New York Presbyterian Hospital

 $00{:}00{:}27.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.302$ Weill Cornell Medical Center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:29.302 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.475$ his fellowship at Cleveland Clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

00:00:31.475 --> 00:00:33.335 Dan specializes in oesophageal

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:33.335 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.730$ and lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00{:}00{:}34.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.502$ Achalasia gastroesophageal reflux disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

00:00:36.502 --> 00:00:37.388 Adel hernia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.276$ oesophageal diverticula

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:38.276 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.048$ am and hyper hydrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.402$ All things you don't want to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:42.402 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.012$ as a highly skilled looking doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

00:00:45.012 --> 00:00:48.060 Barber performs the majority of his

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.260$ surgeries with minimally invasive procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00{:}00{:}51.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.468$ Committed to increasing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:52.468 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.978$ survival rate of cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.948$ doctor Boffa has focused his clinical

 $00:00:55.948 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.912$ research on the prevention of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:57.912 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.694$ metastases and the early detection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:00:59.694 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.529$ lung cancer and on a personal note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:01:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.609$ I've just been thrilled to be working

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00{:}01{:}03.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.760$ with Dan for almost a decade now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:01:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.061$ Here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00{:}01{:}06.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.867$ as we've taken an already great

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

00:01:07.867 --> 00:01:09.682 top and made it even better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00{:}01{:}09.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.098$ so Dan, so happy to have you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81258315

 $00:01:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.700$ The floor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:01:12.700 --> 00:01:15.710 is yours alright. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.640$ So I have one disclosure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.950$ I have a couple of disclaimers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.370$ For the interest of time I'm going to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.874$ some data without much in the way of methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.532$ I'm happy to go over anything

 $00:01:29.532 \dashrightarrow 00:01:32.955$ afterwards and I'll even make a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00{:}01{:}32.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.565$ egregious statement without any data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.418$ And I also have a disclaimer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.228$ This is a very emotional topic, and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:40.228 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.510$ one that is fraught in quite a bit of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:01:44.510 --> 00:01:45.980 It makes people quite uncomfortable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.030$ and so I just want to give you that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:50.142 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.101$ a as a heads up. So surgical safety?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:01:53.101 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.186$ Why is this even important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:01:55.190 --> 00:01:58.328 Why is this worth talking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:01:58.330 --> 00:02:01.669 Um? So I'm going to give you what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:01.669 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.859$ think is a mind blowing perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00{:}02{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.985$ So surgical deaths occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:06.985 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.026$ patients who are likely to be cured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:10.026 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.510$ because that's who we operate on.

00:02:12.510 --> 00:02:14.258 Had they not died,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:14.258 \dashrightarrow 00:02:17.440$ they would have lived a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.739$ Therefore, when you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:18.739 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.904$ when a surgical patient dies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.302$ they for feit a considerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:23.302 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.096$ amount of survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.636$ So if you look at survivorship that's lossed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:02:28.636 --> 00:02:32.227 each year from cancer surgery mortality's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.158$ it's a big number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:34.158 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.050$ even though there's only thousand patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:02:37.130 --> 00:02:40.370 that have died from surgical mortality's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.402$ they would have lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:42.402 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.926$ many years collectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.672$ in fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:02:44.672 --> 00:02:45.414 It is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:45.414 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.170$ it is very similar to what you

 $00{:}02{:}48.170 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.201$ would see if you took all stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:02:51.201 --> 00:02:53.636 four patients and stop giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:53.636 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.582$ chemotherapy to three out of four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:02:56.590 --> 00:02:58.670 An I can go into detail about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:02:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.650$ we came up with these numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:03:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.390$ but it's a huge amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:03:02.390 --> 00:03:03.260 survivorship that's lawston,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00{:}03{:}03.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.347$ so this is just giving some perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:03:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.894$ So cancer surgery outcomes are quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00{:}03{:}08.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.607$ variable and they vary based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00{:}03{:}11.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.967$ factors related to patients surgeons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:03:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.538$ But they also very relating to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00{:}03{:}16.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.340$ variables that relate to the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00{:}03{:}19.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.392$ So this is a classic study birkmaier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:03:22.392 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.470$ put out almost 20 years ago where

 $00:03:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.563$ he showed that as you increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

00:03:28.563 --> 00:03:30.988 surgical volume at a hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302357

 $00:03:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.328$ the mortality decreases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.250$ The numbers at the extremes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.354$ are quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.946$ The going from a 20% chance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:39.946 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.154$ dying from your surgery to 8%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.368$ and this is 30 day mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.220$ 90 day mortality is generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.330$ twice these numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00{:}03{:}47.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.906$ so it's a huge amount of variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.787$ So the question is how does a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:52.787 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.359$ patient pick the best hospital?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

00:03:55.360 --> 00:03:58.720 Well, one way is to use mainstream media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:03:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.776$ an US news and World Report is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

00:04:01.776 --> 00:04:05.018 the most common that people talk about,

 $00:04:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.120$ and that patients engaged when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:04:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.800$ they're making these decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00{:}04{:}08.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.775$ And there's some data that actually it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

00:04:11.775 --> 00:04:15.520 is a pretty reliable way to find a safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775645

 $00:04:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.780$ high quality hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00{:}04{:}18.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.074$ The IT does create a unique situation though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.664$ The hospital name is associated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:26.664 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.760$ hospital's reputation for quality and safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.840$ That becomes their brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.927$ Top ranked hospitals have a strong brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00{:}04{:}35.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.636$ These top ranked hospitals have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:38.636 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.440$ increasingly forming affiliations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00{:}04{:}40.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.630$ hospitals and communities and during

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.190$ those affiliations they share that brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.954$ So here's an example.

 $00{:}04{:}46.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.718$ Here's Hellman's Pella Clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.480$ I made this up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

00:04:50.480 --> 00:04:52.502 It's famous, it's trusted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:52.502 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.928$ it's respected in its top ranked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

00:04:55.930 --> 00:04:57.114 It, there's Middlebury Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:57.114 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.450$ which is a hospital in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:04:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.840$ I made this up as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.100$ And they form an affiliation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.800$ and then Asterix is the affiliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.344$ Could be part ownership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

00:05:09.344 --> 00:05:13.210 It can be just a monetary based relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.465$ but there's a whole range

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:15.465 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.818$ of affiliation means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00{:}05{:}16.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.556$ but during that affiliation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:18.556 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.780$ the Middlebury adopts the brand of Hellman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00{:}05{:}21.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.486$ Pella Clinic, and the question is,

 $00:05:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.426$ what does that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00{:}05{:}26.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.330$ So the first question is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:29.436 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.538$ would be patients think of that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.600$ Well, we conducted a survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.660$ This is a public survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.320$ so it's not patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.190$ It's the general population we use GfK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00:05:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.250$ which allows you to conduct

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104266

 $00{:}05{:}43.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.486$ nationally representative surveys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:05:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.864$ And we had a study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:05:48.864 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.400$ looked at 1000 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:05:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.704$ We had a response rate of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:05:52.704 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.874$ just under 60% and we asked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00{:}05{:}54.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.240$ We asked people what do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:05:57.316 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.734$ the likelihood of dying from surgery

00:05:59.734 --> 00:06:02.212 when you consider a top ranked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00{:}06{:}02.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.588$ hospital or a hospital in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00{:}06{:}04.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{:}06.872$ community that is affiliated with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00{:}06{:}06.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.110$ top ranked hospital and we describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:06:09.184 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.518$ this as a complex cancer operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:06:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.100$ So of the thousand patients. Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

00:06:16.100 --> 00:06:18.669 Just over 1/4 felt that you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:06:18.669 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.630$ more likely to die at an affiliate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00{:}06{:}21.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.845$ versus the top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

00:06:23.850 --> 00:06:25.806 4\% felt you actually more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00{:}06{:}25.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.669$ to die at the top dranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:06:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.230$ But 69% felt that it was the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:06:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.785$ that the safety was the same at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:06:33.785 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.157$ a top ranked hospital and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868449

 $00:06:36.157 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.447$ affiliate that shares its brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:06:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.797$ The so once this affiliation has formed,

 $00:06:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.823$ once you add the name of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}06{:}45.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.010$ hospital to the hospital community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:06:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.169$ 69% of people think the safety is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:06:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.825$ That's very different to when

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:06:52.825 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.920$ they don't have the brand to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:06:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.780$ hospitals that are not affiliated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:06:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.588$ 85% of people preferred and be cared for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:06:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.178$ The top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}07{:}01.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.163$ When you actually talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:03.163 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.168$ the effectiveness of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:05.170 \dashrightarrow 00:07:08.034$ how often patients would be cured of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.896$ half the respondents thought that the safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}07{:}10.896 \to 00{:}07{:}13.426$ and the effectiveness of care is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.825$ At top ranked hospitals and the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:16.825 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.601$ hospitals or hospitals in the community

 $00:07:19.601 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.079$ that share the top ranked brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.704$ And we wanted to know is this true?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.858$ So we started with a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:26.858 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.932$ in Medicare patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.577$ so these are people over the age of 65

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:30.577 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.083$ and we looked in the Medicare database

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}07{:}33.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.820$ and we looked at top ranked hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.704$ and those were hospitals that had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:07:38.710 --> 00:07:41.574 ranked at least once between 2012 and 16,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:07:41.580 --> 00:07:44.035 and because some hospitals come

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:07:44.035 --> 00:07:47.700 in and out of the top ranked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:07:47.700 --> 00:07:50.486 Cohort you end up with 59 hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.890$ so we started with 59 hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:07:52.890 --> 00:07:54.925 We used the American Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:54.925 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.397$ Association survey to look to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:07:57.397 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.551$ if they had an affiliation recorded

 $00:07:59.551 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.670$ and that was 640 hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:08:01.670 --> 00:08:03.987 But then we did an Internet search

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:03.987 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.479$ and looked for hospitals that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:08:06.479 --> 00:08:08.849 actually hospitals in the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.760$ the affiliates that were advertising

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.240$ that affiliation in their brand presence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:08:13.240 --> 00:08:15.418 something that the public and patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}08{:}15.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.019$ would see just for our nomenclature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.925$ we call the top ranked hospitals parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.402$ And the affiliates children?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:08:22.402 --> 00:08:23.506 It's it's just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}08{:}23.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.100$ It makes it easier to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.314$ We don't imply maturity or seniority

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:28.314 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.790$ or anything like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.630$ It's just helps us conceptualize.

 $00:08:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.264$ And I will use that terminology

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:34.264 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.020$ a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.020$ So we looked at complex cancer surgery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.826$ these were the procedures we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.540$ There were 17,000 patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.797$ had surgery at top ranked hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:45.797 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.240$ and 12,000 affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.562$ Other than a little bit difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:49.562 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.723$ in the age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.660$ most of the associated demographics

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}08{:}52.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.204$ were actually pretty similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.919$ When you looked at the case mix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.326$ meaning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:08:57.326 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.356$ what types of procedures the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:08:59.356 --> 00:09:00.980 affiliates were doing compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:01.046 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.716$ to the top ranked hospitals,

 $00:09:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.415$ you see that most of the surgeries

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:05.415 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.858$ were colectomies at affiliates so 60.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.914$ 3% of all the complex surgeries

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:10.914 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.230$ they were doing were colon based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}09{:}14.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.180$ Where is the top ranked hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.573$ that was just a third and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:09:18.573 --> 00:09:19.937 you look at Whipples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:09:19.940 --> 00:09:22.005 Whipples made up a very small percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:09:22.005 --> 00:09:24.310 of what was happening at affiliates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:24.310 \dashrightarrow 00:09:26.680$ but a reasonable percentage of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.010$ happened at top ranked hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.200$ And there is a sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.660$ regionalization within these networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.310$ so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:09:33.310 --> 00:09:34.935 the previous slides were looking

 $00:09:34.935 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.600$ at it from the affiliate or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}09{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.224$ top ranked hospitals stand point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.790$ But if you look at the type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.133$ surgery and say where are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

00:09:44.133 --> 00:09:46.163 of the colectomies being done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.360$ what's the split for all colectomies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.550$ More than half of all colectomies

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.010$ are happening at affiliates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00{:}09{:}52.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.195$ whereas for Whipples only 18% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.877886

 $00:09:54.195 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.020$ Whipples are happening in affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}09{:}56.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.603$ So it does seem that the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}09{:}58.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.130$ dangerous operations in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.923$ Mix are happening at the top ranked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}10{:}02.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.269$ hospital as appeared the affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.926$ So the the code words are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:10:07.930 --> 00:10:09.278 Affiliate hospitals are smaller,

 $00:10:09.278 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.300$ so if you look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:11.368 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.928$ beds it's 200 versus 700.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:10:12.930 --> 00:10:16.080 If you look at other things that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.617$ associated other attributes that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:18.617 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.530$ associated with quality that you affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.402$ There's a big difference there for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:23.402 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.650$ Commission on cancer accreditation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.210$ The affiliates are less likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:26.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.630$ They are far less likely to be a teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.748$ hospital in the annual volume is much lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.598$ If you look at the use of minimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:36.598 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.658$ invasive techniques and leapfrog standards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}10{:}39.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.540$ It's far and away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.890$ Favors the top ranked hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.305$ so we looked at 90 day mortality

 $00:10:46.305 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.650$ and we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}10{:}47.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.128$ We first use an aggregate approach which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:50.128 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.552$ meaning we took all the patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:52.552 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.888$ had surgery at the top ranked hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:10:54.888 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.568$ and we compared him to all the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:10:57.568 --> 00:11:00.700 who had surgery at the affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.388$ And the dark blue bars are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:03.388 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.495$ top ranked hospital and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}11{:}05.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.690$ lighter ones are the affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:11:07.690 --> 00:11:10.978 The different procedures are on the X axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.446$ and a taller bar means a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:11:13.446 --> 00:11:15.090 higher 90 day mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.202$ And for everything the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:17.202 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.842$ affiliate has a taller bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:11:19.850 --> 00:11:23.414 When you look at it in an adjusted way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.410$ this is a logistic regression.

00:11:25.410 --> 00:11:28.070 Looking at 90 day mortality and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.967$ listed here for each of the procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.138$ But when you look at all the procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:11:34.140 --> 00:11:36.135 its mortality was 1.4 times

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}11{:}36.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.731$ higher and affiliate hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:37.731 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.697$ versus the top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:11:39.700 --> 00:11:42.248 We did not include in our adjustment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:42.248 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.824$ hospital factors because patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:43.824 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.516$ don't consider those typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:11:45.516 --> 00:11:47.631 when they are making decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.419$ they look at a top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.680$ They look at the brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}11{:}52.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.752$ They're not looking at teaching status

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:55.752 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.990$ or ciocie accreditation or annual volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:11:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.300$ We now looked at a family approach

 $00:12:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.457$ where we took each parent and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:03.457 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.653$ looked at all of their children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.198$ So we took one top ranked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:08.198 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.988$ hospital and compared it to all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:10.988 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.016$ of their affiliates combined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.295$ And we use the standardized mortality ratio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.023$ which is similar to what CMS uses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:18.023 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.848$ to create its star rating system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}12{:}20.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.706$ Here the Orange of the top ranked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:23.706 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.502$ hospitals and the blue are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}12{:}26.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.990$ affiliates collectively and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.620$ anything to the right screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}12{:}30.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.945$ Right means it's less safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:32.945 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.270$ than anything to its left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.534$ So here you can see the orange dots

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:38.534 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.720$ seem to be to the left and the

 $00:12:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.100$ blue dots seem to be right saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:12:45.100 --> 00:12:48.040 showing there's a higher adjusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.238$ mortality at the affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:50.238 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.380$ And when you look at all of them combined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.924 83\%$ of the time the blue bars were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:12:58.924 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.630$ to the right of the orange bars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:13:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.913$ so 83% of the time the affiliates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:13:05.913 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.280$ were less safe than the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:13:09.280 --> 00:13:11.137 top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

00:13:11.140 --> 00:13:12.295 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:13:12.295 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.990$ the chance of dying from complex surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}13{:}15.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.187$ an affiliate is about 40% higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00{:}13{:}17.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20.203$ than it is at the top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:13:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.100$ An 83% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423933

 $00:13:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.350$ So it's not just a couple

 $00:13:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.850$ of top ranked hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:13:25.933 --> 00:13:27.389 that are the issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:13:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.280$ and we've done sensitivity analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:13:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.248$ Looking at does it matter where

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:13:31.248 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.149$ in the top 50 you fall we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:13:34.149 --> 00:13:36.044 adjusted for things like volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}13{:}36.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.085$ and hospital attributes and it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}13{:}39.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.157$ not eliminate this differential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:13:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.242$ So we wanted to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:13:43.242 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.040$ this in a different way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:13:45.040 --> 00:13:47.392 We looked in the National Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:13:47.392 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.483$ database because this allowed us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}13{:}49.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.845$ look at all ages an with a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}13{:}51.845 \to 00{:}13{:}54.215$ patients and better staging information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:13:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.481$ For those of you that aren't familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:13:56.481 --> 00:13:58.460 with the National Cancer database,

 $00:13:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.554$ it's it contributing to the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:14:00.554 --> 00:14:01.950 Cancer database is compulsory

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:02.004 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.749$ for all COC accredited hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.870$ It ends up capturing about 70% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}14{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.870$ cancer care in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.007$ So we looked between 2012 and 16.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.990$ We expanded the number of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}14{:}17.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.503$ Cancers that we were looking at and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:20.503 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.348$ we ended up with 120,000 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.405$ 80,000 at top ranked hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:25.405 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.638$ and 40,000 affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:14:26.640 --> 00:14:28.700 This is again unadjusted mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.996$ so the blue bars are the affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.502$ The orange bars at the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:34.502 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.348$ ranked in for every one of them.

 $00:14:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.410$ The Blue Bar is taller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.885$ meaning there's a higher unadjusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}14{:}41.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.865$ mortality at the affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.518$ When you look at a 90 day mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:46.518 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.479$ in an adjusted model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:14:48.480 --> 00:14:51.007 the odds ratio of 90 day mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:14:51.007 --> 00:14:53.090 was actually 1.7 times higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:14:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.105$ So your 70 * 70% more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}14{:}56.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.295$ die from your cancer surgery at

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:14:58.295 --> 00:15:00.275 an affiliate hospital compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}15{:}00.275 --> 00{:}15{:}02.835$ to the top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}15{:}02.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.516$ We wanted to look at long-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:15:05.516 --> 00:15:06.854 survival as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:15:06.860 --> 00:15:10.300 So if you look at Unadjusted Stage 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.094$ colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:11.094 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.873$ the red line is the top ranked

 $00:15:13.873 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.030$ hospital survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.040$ The blue line is the affiliate survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.340$ and so this is just for Stage 3 colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:15:22.340 --> 00:15:24.490 It's significant for Stage 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.640$ two and three colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.220$ We also looked at lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.916$ and we really only did those two cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}15{:}32.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.667$ types because in this in this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.930$ because the numbers were low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.558$ The for the other cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}15{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.640$ so we just looked at stage stratified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.307$ colon and lung and it was significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00{:}15{:}46.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.502$ higher at the top ranked hospital versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:49.502 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.977$ the affiliate after cancer surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:15:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.170$ And we landmark these outside

 $00:15:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.922$ the 90 day mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:15:55.930 --> 00:15:58.863 So it wasn't just that you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:15:58.863 --> 00:16:00.760 having fewer surgical deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:16:00.760 --> 00:16:03.928 even of if you just looked at people

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:03.928 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.470$ that survived their cancer surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:06.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.730$ The survival was higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.699$ We also looked at this in an adjusted way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.787$ We use gamma models and time ratios,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.198$ so a time ratio just means relative to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:20.198 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.396$ the survival at the top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.369$ So this is the plot of the adjusted survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.960$ So anything to the left of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.038$ yellow line means that they had less

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:16:33.038 --> 00:16:35.393 survival that affiliates had less

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:35.393 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.950$ survival than top ranked hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.818$ So overall, all of the procedures.

 $00:16:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.648$ The survival was less at the affiliates

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:43.648 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.209$ versus the top ranked hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.630$ so overall the after surgery the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

00:16:49.630 --> 00:16:52.444 patients at affiliate hospitals only

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:52.444 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.503$ lived about 3/4 as long as patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:55.503 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.316$ that had surgery at top ranked hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:16:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.978$ So in that that data was

NOTE Confidence: 0.88831955

 $00:17:01.978 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.750$ adjusted for volume as

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:03.849 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.146$ well and it did not change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}17{:}07.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.510$ significance of the findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:17:09.510 --> 00:17:12.205 So the summary of that this research

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}17{:}12.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.719$ is that the public believes that brand

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}17{:}15.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.564$ sharing equals quality sharing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:18.564 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.627$ surgical mortality is 1.7 times higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:17:21.630 --> 00:17:25.025 If you have surgery at a affiliative,

 $00:17:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.982$ a top ranked hospital compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}17{:}27.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.850$ the actual top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.124$ And that the survival is shorter

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:33.124 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.077$ at the affiliate compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:17:35.077 --> 00:17:36.927 the actual top ranked hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.234$ So affiliation does not in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:39.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.530$ of itself equal care equality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.946$ despite the fact that that a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:44.946 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.758$ proportion of the public believes it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.162$ So is this the problem or is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:52.162 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.620$ this the solution?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:17:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.309$ So we actually believe that the network

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}17{:}57.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.505$ infrastructure can be leveraged to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}18{:}00.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.607$ solution to a lot of the gaps in cancer care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.215$ And it really provides three key things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:18:08.220 --> 00:18:08.813 Connectivity,

 $00{:}18{:}08.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.592$ accountability and ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}18{:}10.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.557$ So from the connectivity standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:18:13.560 --> 00:18:17.320 if you look at the current cancer surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:18:17.320 --> 00:18:20.917 market share a lot of hospitals have a piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:20.917 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.979$ of the pie and they're totally disconnected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.884$ and it's very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:26.884 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.788$ to share best practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:18:28.790 --> 00:18:30.694 The there's privacy issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:30.694 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.074$ There's competition among the hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.455$ There's the lack of compatibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:35.455 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.880$ between their systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.568$ so as a result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}18{:}38.568 \to 00{:}18{:}40.256$ it's very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:18:40.256 --> 00:18:42.230 do quality improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.445$ Across these hospitals.

00:18:43.445 --> 00:18:46.280 But you gotta keep in mind that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}18{:}46.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.143$ there's a connection between the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}18{:}49.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.890$ ranked hospitals and their affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.706$ That eliminates these barriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:53.706 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.038$ It turns out that the these networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}18{:}57.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.668$ around the top ranked hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:18:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.126$ they have a huge piece of the pie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}19{:}03.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.218$ It's not a one out of three complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}19{:}06.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.973$ surgeries actually happens within

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:07.973 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.228$ these networks and every year

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}19{:}10.228 \to 00{:}19{:}12.659$ their market share is increasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.904$ so it's eliminating the barriers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:14.904 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.489$ prevent a lot of quality improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:19:17.489 --> 00:19:20.014 within These Top Rank networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.696$ And they are major players in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:19:22.696 --> 00:19:24.480 the complex cancer surgery.

00:19:24.480 --> 00:19:28.470 Domain. Accountability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.322$ So for instance Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:30.322 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.637$ I has multiple sites within

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:32.637 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.767$ the state and we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}19{:}34.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.892$ These are multiple affiliates and all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:37.892 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.645$ the networks around top ranked hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:40.645 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.767$ have a similar map of different states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.014$ They are comprised of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:46.014 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.697$ very different hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.326$ And the temptation is to identify with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}19{:}51.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.053$ one of the hospitals that people at each

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:19:56.053 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.180$ of their hospitals feel that they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.644$ Dentify with their hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:02.644 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.108$ but the reality is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:20:05.110 --> 00:20:08.302 The network is our identity and we have

 $00:20:08.302 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.623$ to embrace that and the we should have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}20{:}11.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.448$ one set of expectations an for safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.923$ effectiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:14.923 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.761$ timeliness and the patient experience should

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:20:17.761 --> 00:20:20.980 be the same across the entire network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.260$ And there are bodies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}20{:}23.260 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.945$ starting to look at networks as

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:25.945 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.660$ individual entities to be accredited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}20{:}28.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.378$ So while I think there's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:20:31.380 --> 00:20:33.762 there's a moral obligation to match

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}20{:}33.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.800$ outcomes and care with public expectations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.022$ There's likely going to become some

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}20{:}40.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.965$ over sight that will look at how

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}20{:}42.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.757$ well in the way in which care is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}20{:}45.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.720$ delivered across these networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

00:20:48.720 --> 00:20:51.246 The last is the ability the

 $00{:}20{:}51.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52.930$ giving hospitals the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00{:}20{:}53.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.957$ to provide excellent care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.744$ so excellent care is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:56.744 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.528$ comprised of three domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:20:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.646$ First is infrastructure which

NOTE Confidence: 0.84117377

 $00:21:00.646 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.820$ are the resources in the support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:21:03.820 --> 00:21:05.520 And for this quite simply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.210$ the scenario has to match

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.224$ the hospital environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:08.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.478$ If the hospital is not equipped to care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}21{:}10.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.837$ for big surgery and the complications of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:12.837 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.350$ that surgery or stem cell transplants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.384$ that's not where it should take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:17.384 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.740$ place within the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.430$ But there are other opportunities,

 $00:21:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.150$ so regionalization within a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}21{:}22.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.300$ network I think is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}21{:}24.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.904$ Process needs to be lead to consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:26.904 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.998$ outcomes, but it also needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:29.998 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.620$ adaptable to the individual nuances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}21{:}32.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.068$ And I think the best way to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:35.068 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.418$ of process is to think of the user.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:21:37.420 --> 00:21:38.920 So from the patient's perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}21{:}38.920 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}21{:}41.062$ and there's no better user perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:41.062 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.808$ in my opinion than the users of Amazon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.851$ It's single access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:21:44.851 --> 00:21:47.280 It feels like it's one big store,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.116$ although it's a whole bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:21:49.116 --> 00:21:50.340 different stores in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:21:50.401 --> 00:21:52.137 structures that are participating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.569$ it feels like it's close to home,

 $00:21:54.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.300$ but it's almost never close

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.684$ to where you live,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.776$ and it does allow for the public

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:21:59.776 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.849$ to make an informed choice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}22{:}01.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.349$ and I think that's important is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:04.349 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.807$ allow people to have a choice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:06.807 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.140$ where they want to be cared for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.298$ and be informed as to the implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:22:13.300 --> 00:22:14.180 Great network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.260$ feels like a great team and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.498$ includes not just surgeons but medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:22:20.498 --> 00:22:22.666 oncology and radiation oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}22{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.331$ but also the nurses and the technicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:26.331 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.080$ and the therapists you have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.026$ You have to expand by programs.

 $00:22:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.988$ It's not just a Ala carte

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}22{:}34.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.467$ expansion through affiliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:22:36.470 --> 00:22:39.375 You really have to program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:39.375 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.699$ build throughout a network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:22:41.700 --> 00:22:43.292 And finally, Clinical Excellence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:22:43.292 --> 00:22:44.486 In my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:22:44.490 --> 00:22:46.078 Clinical Excellence in staff

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:46.078 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.063$ is comprised of three things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}22{:}48.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.010$ The knowledge, skill, and judgment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.206$ And you need to have experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}22{:}53.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.779$ So here is an example of experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.976$ This is the division of thoracic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:22:57.976 --> 00:22:59.074 surgery at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:22:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.632$ But you have to keep in mind that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:01.632 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.098$ there are experts out of outside of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:04.098 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.756$ New Haven and we have to recognize

 $00:23:06.756 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.836$ and partner with these experts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}23{:}08.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.210$ and and give them what they need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.330$ to be clinically successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.466$ And we can't just have physician experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:23:17.470 --> 00:23:19.948 It's gotta be experts at every

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:23:19.948 --> 00:23:22.390 every touch point with patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.666$ there has to be content expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:25.666 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.304$ across the domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}23{:}27.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29.920$ Process may be our signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:23:29.920 --> 00:23:31.900 But excellent people are our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.834$ margin and we have to give people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:34.834 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.450$ what they need to be successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.516$ So when you think of a network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}23{:}40.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.648$ We have to take great care patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.499$ There's no doubt,

 $00:23:43.499 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.899$ but we also have to be a great place to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:23:46.900 --> 00:23:48.036 Every decision we make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:48.036 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.100$ we have to think about what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:23:50.100 --> 00:23:51.605 the implication on our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:51.605 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.589$ and our ability to provide care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.606$ But we also have to think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

00:23:55.606 --> 00:23:57.176 the implications on the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:57.176 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.456$ who are working here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.980$ because if it were not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:23:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.948$ these two things simultaneously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00{:}24{:}01.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.408$ it's not a sustainable model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:24:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.690$ I thank you and I'd be happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826257

 $00:24:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.320$ take questions for 2.5 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836853

00:24:09.790 --> 00:24:11.665 Thanks Dan, that certainly was

NOTE Confidence: 0.836853

 $00:24:11.665 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.508$ stimulating and brings up a lot of issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836853

 $00:24:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.946$ Let me ask the first question

 $00:24:16.946 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.262$ as questions are coming in so

NOTE Confidence: 0.836853

 $00:24:19.262 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.404$ it's sort of a two parter one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836853

00:24:21.410 --> 00:24:23.220 When you operate at Bridgeport

NOTE Confidence: 0.836853

 $00:24:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.668$ or at New London,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836853

 $00{:}24{:}24.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.302$ is that an affiliation or is that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.836853

00:24:27.302 --> 00:24:30.120 if you're operating at the same center?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:24:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.080$ So the we have the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00{:}24{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.625$ expectations for outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:24:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.200$ but the people that are involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:24:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.122$ the care are we have any Mace who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

00:24:43.122 --> 00:24:46.470 is spends time at both campuses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:24:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.302$ spends time at tumor board at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00{:}24{:}49.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.290$ this campus and uses a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:24:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.790$ shared infrastructure so that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

00:24:55.790 --> 00:24:58.849 intake process is driven through.

 $00:24:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.878$ Here the Park Ave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:25:00.878 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.920$ Cure model is the same care

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:25:04.033 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.120$ model as it is in New Haven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

00:25:07.120 --> 00:25:08.605 so different people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:25:08.605 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.575$ but people that are tightly integrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:25:11.575 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.789$ into the New Haven infrastructure

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:25:13.789 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.736$ so that we believe we we deliver

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:25:16.736 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.190$ a very similar level of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00{:}25{:}19.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.766$ We just don't do the same things there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:25:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.554$ There are complex cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85960984

 $00:25:24.554 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.784$ we just don't do there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8020786

00:25:27.880 --> 00:25:28.936 Thanks, I'm hurting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8020786

 $00:25:28.936 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.696$ Chow asks from the VA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8020786

 $00:25:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.482$ Is it possible that patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8020786

 $00:25:32.482 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.605$ ended up going to the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8020786

 $00:25:34.605 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.349$ hospitals had fewer resources,

 $00:25:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.678$ an worse socioeconomic status?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8020786

 $00:25:37.678 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.589$ And that was the reason for the difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:25:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.350$ The so when you adjust for so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

00:25:47.350 --> 00:25:53.266 NCD has income by zipcode, but the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

00:25:53.266 --> 00:25:59.080 When you look at when you just for race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:25:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.880$ adjust for income.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.680$ Adjust for education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.008$ These factors still exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:05.008 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.423$ so I think that those are certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:09.423 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.438$ things that influence choice and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.440$ We have a lot of research in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.013$ separate vein as to why patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00{:}26{:}19.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.617$ choose the hospital that they do

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:21.711 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.987$ an in a separate survey looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:24.987 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.543$ barriers to traveling for safer care

00:26:27.543 --> 00:26:29.829 because it's pretty well known that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:29.829 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.020$ people prefer safer environments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.516$ but they have barriers that cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:34.516 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.770$ that prevent them from coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.498$ We found that about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:38.498 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.535$ I think it was about 75% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:41.535 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.760$ people that wanted to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

00:26:43.760 --> 00:26:46.854 Two, the flagship in a hypothetical model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.072$ had a barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:26:48.072 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.092$ The interesting thing is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00{:}26{:}50.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.179$ we looked at facilitators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

00:26:52.180 --> 00:26:55.716 it was almost always a low cost facilitator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

00:26:55.720 --> 00:26:58.583 meaning it was a ride or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00{:}26{:}58.583 \to 00{:}27{:}01.919$ was a night to stay or parking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

00:27:01.920 --> 00:27:06.104 or but it wasn't a huge thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:27:06.104 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.999$ was keeping them from being able to.

00:27:10.000 --> 00:27:13.094 Jetta come we are our new line

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:27:13.094 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.542$ of investigation is looking on

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

00:27:15.542 --> 00:27:18.107 the impact of Medicaid expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:27:18.107 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.360$ on cancer care and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:27:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.355$ I think that's also ties into that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79570556

 $00:27:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.648$ so we should have more for you on

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:27:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.198$ that front. In the future we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:27:26.198 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.079$ have time for one more question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}27{:}28.080 \to 00{:}27{:}29.928$ The questioner asks this time of affiliation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}27{:}29.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.510$ over time lead to improved outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}27{:}31.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.386$ Also for the Children's Hospital and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}27{:}33.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.611$ just add you showed a slide where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:27:35.611 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.654$ compared expertise at the main center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:27:37.654 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.520$ then you showed care center physicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:27:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.704$ But you were showing surgeons in one picture

 $00:27:41.704 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.527$ and medical oncologists in the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}27{:}43.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.392$ So is this hold for all disciplines

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:27:45.392 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.530$ or is this just for surgery? Little

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}27{:}47.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.240$ confusing. I think it I think that. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

00:27:52.240 --> 00:27:55.256 I will say TuneIn on Friday to surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:27:55.256 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.870$ grand rounds where I have an hour and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:27:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.537$ I'm going to go into a lot of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.108$ But I one trick is to answer the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}28{:}03.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.187$ question you have the answer to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

00:28:05.190 --> 00:28:07.838 So I'm going to show you very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.760$ We had 144 affiliations that took

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

00:28:09.760 --> 00:28:11.500 place during our study period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.957$ We look the year before and after just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:13.957 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.479$ see does affiliation make things better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.459$ but top bar the dark one is pre affiliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.698$ The lighter one is after affiliation.

 $00:28:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.836$ So and then these are just the affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.332$ And then we also look at non

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

00:28:27.332 --> 00:28:29.539 affiliates and see what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.468$ So if you look at the hospital beds

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:32.468 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.027$ they got a little bit smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.354$ If you look at the OC accreditation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

00:28:37.354 --> 00:28:40.704 they got they picked up more of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:40.704 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.075$ affiliates gained accreditation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}28{:}42.080 --> 00{:}28{:}44.481$ but you also saw an effect like

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:44.481 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.789$ that in the non affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.726$ When you look at the number of complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:49.726 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.509$ surgeries the affiliates got busier after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

00:28:52.510 --> 00:28:52.946 Affiliation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:52.946 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.434$ and that didn't happen in the non affiliates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.625$ so the the affiliation increased

 $00:28:58.625 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.936$ their market share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:28:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.900$ But if you look at 90 day mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.179$ before and after affiliation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.724$ there's a big drop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:06.724 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.654$ so the affiliates got safer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:08.654 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.990$ after affiliation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.606$ so that was really encouraging unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.048$ however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:13.048 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.238$ And the non affiliates also

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:15.238 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.570$ dropped during that time period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.181$ And when you look in a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:20.181 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.300$ in difference model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.792$ there actually really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}29{:}22.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.657$ change overtime is very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.898$ We could find no effect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:26.898 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.390$ affiliation made hospitals better.

 $00:29:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.819$ It seems that the top ranked hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}29{:}30.819 \to 00{:}29{:}33.240$ choose to affiliate with better hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.844$ so affiliates are better than non affiliates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.293$ But the active affiliation in these 144

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00{:}29{:}38.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.698$ hospitals did not make anything better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413 00:29:40.700 --> 00:29:41.072 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413 00:29:41.072 --> 00:29:41.444 well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82296413

 $00:29:41.444 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.560$ that will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:29:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.520$ to be the last word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:29:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.238$ Certainly we need to come to some more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}29{:}47.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.845$ your lectures and talk about this more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:29:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.223$ but you know, certainly this is vitally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}29{:}52.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.906$ important for patients and physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:29:53.906 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.506$ like to understand these data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:29:55.506 --> 00:29:57.176 Thank you, Dan. Thank you.

 $00:29:57.176 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.318$ OK, well we have a second talk today and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.660$ I'm also a colleague and friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:30:02.660 --> 00:30:03.390 Veronica Chang,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.215$ Professor of neurosurgery who's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:30:05.215 --> 00:30:08.022 to talk to us about challenges in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:08.022 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.674$ brain cancer metastases management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:30:09.680 --> 00:30:12.382 I have a little blurb here also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:12.382 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.970$ from Renee for her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}30{:}13.970 --> 00{:}30{:}16.700$ If I can get it up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.968$ Doctor Chang is a professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}30{:}18.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.480$ neurosurgery and radiation oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:20.543 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.123$ and director of stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:22.123 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.493$ radio surgery and the Gamma Knife Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.780$ She received her medical degree from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.599$ the University of WA and completed her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:29.599 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.047$ residency at Yale School of Medicine.

 $00:30:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.890$ Her fellowship at Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}30{:}34.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37.690$ Veronica leads in a row surgical arm of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.389$ the brain metastasis program at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:30:40.390 --> 00:30:43.148 This is a program that's comprised of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:43.148 \dashrightarrow 00:30:44.774$ Multidisciplinary Physicians in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:30:44.774 --> 00:30:46.679 specialty areas of medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:30:46.680 --> 00:30:47.862 radiation oncology, neurosurgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:30:47.862 --> 00:30:49.099 radiology, pathology, neurooncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:30:49.099 --> 00:30:51.793 This is the nationally ranked unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}30{:}51.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.589$ program specifically dedicated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}30{:}53.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.165$ coordinating clinical management of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:55.165 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.135$ patients with brain metastases as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}30{:}57.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.089$ well as the performance of brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:30:59.089 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.826$ science of science, basic science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:31:00.826 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.398$ translation and clinical trials.

 $00:31:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.640$ She's an active member of our long sport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}31{:}05.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.456$ Saronic it's a pleasure to have you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00{:}31{:}07.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.091$ Today we started a few minutes late

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:31:09.091 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.109$ so I won't cut you short at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

 $00:31:11.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.706$ We'll make sure we have time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485389

00:31:12.706 --> 00:31:14.298 questions as well. The floor is yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702726092

 $00:31:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.328$ OK thanks Ray. How? Sorry, hang on a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8352323

00:31:33.010 --> 00:31:34.360 OK, does that look alright?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85832

 $00{:}31{:}36.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}40.246$ OK, so thank you Roy for that introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:31:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.124$ That was very kind so. I'm going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:31:48.124 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.896$ My talk is going to be a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:31:49.896 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.546$ bit different than dance today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:31:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.600$ That's not at all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:31:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.830$ would be uncomfortable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

00:31:54.830 --> 00:31:57.730 And before I start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:31:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.630$ these are my disclosures.

 $00{:}32{:}00.630 --> 00{:}32{:}02.480$ So as you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.440$ brain tester sees code about 20 to 40%

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.740$ of patients with metastatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.140$ And so you can see on the left back when

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

00:32:11.200 --> 00:32:13.360 I started treating brain metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:13.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.720$ we only thought that a few types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.198$ cancer really went to the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.608$ This is obviously changed over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.211$ and so you can see on the right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:24.211 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.303$ that pretty much almost any cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:26.303 \dashrightarrow 00:32:29.371$ type can go to the brain because while

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

00:32:29.371 --> 00:32:31.860 about 10% of brain metastases can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}32{:}31.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33.990$ found at initial diagnosis of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.710$ by far the vast majority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.254$ so 90% developed later in the course of

00:32:38.254 --> 00:32:40.869 cancer and as patients are living longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.470$ I think the brain metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.070$ problem is becoming more prevalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.967$ And so over the last two decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.225$ then significant changes have occurred

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:49.225 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.950$ in the management of brain metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.064$ And while there have been an increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:53.064 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.838$ number of successes in treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}32{:}54.838 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}32{:}56.464$ want to concentrate today on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:32:56.464 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.402$ of the challenges that have arisen

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}32{:}58.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00.012$ from these changes in paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}33{:}00.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02.631$ And so the biggest change in brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:02.631 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.513$ metastasis management has been the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:04.513 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.253$ move from whole brain radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

00:33:06.253 --> 00:33:08.360 therapy with or without surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.726$ which was supported by the petrol studies

 $00:33:10.726 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.471$ in the 1980s to the incorporation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:13.471 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.556$ brain radiosurgery first as salvage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.416$ then for a few lesions as first line

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:18.416 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.445$ treatment and then for radiosurgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}33{:}20.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.343$ to pretty much everything and now to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

00:33:23.417 --> 00:33:26.501 a combination of CNS penetrating drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:26.501 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.557$ in combination with radiosurgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}33{:}28.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.248$ And I know that many of you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:30.248 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.330$ familiar with radiosurgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.346$ But for those of you who are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}33{:}33.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.814$ gamma knife is the machine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:34.814 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.942$ we use here at our institution for

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}33{:}36.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.767$ the delivery of brain radio surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

00:33:38.770 --> 00:33:40.816 For the majority of our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.800$ gamma knife still requires the

00:33:42.800 --> 00:33:44.384 application of an immobilizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}33{:}44.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.367$ headframe that then it allows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:46.367 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.260$ placement of each metastasis in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.353$ into the middle of the radiation beams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.514$ which enables the delivery of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

00:33:52.514 --> 00:33:54.321 very accurately targeted high dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:54.321 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.463$ of radiation in a single day to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00:33:56.463 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.177$ single fraction to target lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.77872515

 $00{:}33{:}58.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.907$ almost anywhere in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

00:34:02.610 --> 00:34:06.744 And also sorry. And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:06.744 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.766$ with all our radiosurgery capable machines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.392$ how about we now also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

00:34:11.392 --> 00:34:12.703 mask based capability?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.134$ And so, while accuracy of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:15.134 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.750$ and long treatment tolerability

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:16.811 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.437$ is still best in the frame,

 $00:34:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.588$ the mask is further extent expanded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

00:34:20.590 --> 00:34:22.334 Our capability to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:22.334 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.078$ patients like this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.237$ And so this is a 64 year old lady who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}34{:}26.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.109$ just recently diagnosed with lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

00:34:28.110 --> 00:34:30.138 Some of you may remember her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

00:34:30.140 --> 00:34:32.222 And this patient would previously have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:32.222 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.277$ had whole brain radiation therapy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:34.277 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.709$ of the large number and size of lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.046$ Today what we can do is break the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:39.046 \dashrightarrow 00:34:41.120$ radio surgery up into three to five days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.590$ So on the first day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.606$ the frame is applied and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}34{:}44.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.566$ smaller lesions are treated so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:46.566 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.510$ see that there's quite a few lesions

 $00:34:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.118$ that are less than 3 centimeters in diameter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}34{:}51.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53.360$ and all of these are treated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.390$ single fraction as they would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.394$ for most of their other patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.584$ Then a plan is made for the larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:34:59.584 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.226$ lesions in the first of three or five

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:02.226 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.440$ fractions can be administered in the frame,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.883$ and the patient comes back for 204

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}35{:}06.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.687$ more treatments than performed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

00:35:08.687 --> 00:35:11.102 the mask to only the larger lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}35{:}11.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.000$ And so it's gotten very complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

00:35:13.000 --> 00:35:14.260 from a planning standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.619$ but this often allows us to avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:16.619 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.350$ whole radiation therapy altogether.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}35{:}18.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.409$ And it means that radiation can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}35{:}21.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.319$ completed usually within a week.

 $00:35:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.462$ And so this may seem a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:25.462 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.820$ crazy on our part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.728$ but parallel to our institutional practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.420$ the national use of radiosurgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.110$ has grown exponentially as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:32.172 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.020$ as you can see from these grants

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.719$ from the National Cancer database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.940$ So on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.539$ obviously is what we used to do before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:39.540 \dashrightarrow 00:35:41.524$ and then on the right you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}35{:}41.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.455$ that not only is ready yesterday

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:43.455 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.513$ being used as first line treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00{:}35{:}45.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47.169$ for patients living longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.755$ and often undergoing second and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:48.755 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.023$ third treatments with radiosurgery,

 $00:35:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.938$ and so its use is escalated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7196441

 $00:35:51.938 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.210$ or around the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:35:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.823$ And so not only then do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:35:58.823 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.168$ increasingly see treatment plans

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:00.168 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.488$ that look like this one on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.994$ where the blue dots are the first treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

00:36:05.000 --> 00:36:06.570 yellow dots, the second treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00{:}36{:}06.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.322$ and so each time the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:08.322 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.020$ comes is more lesions treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.216$ but to the right you can see in every

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:12.216 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.136$ increasing number of radiosurgery capable

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:14.136 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.302$ machines being developed, and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:16.302 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.186$ The top is the cyber knife,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.912$ which was the first iteration outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:19.912 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.463$ the gamma knife in the middle of picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:22.463 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.143$ is Linux based radio surgery machines.

 $00:36:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.846$ So they look very much like our standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.434$ Radiation machines then on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:28.434 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.414$ the bottom is the ZAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.646$ Which is the newest self-shielded

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

00:36:32.646 --> 00:36:34.579 machine that you might start

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

00:36:34.579 --> 00:36:36.459 seeing coming on the market?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.058$ And so the question arises then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.310$ as radiosurgery becomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

00:36:41.310 --> 00:36:42.810 increasingly available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.395$ Many lesions is too many

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

00:36:45.395 --> 00:36:47.463 to treat with radio surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

00:36:47.470 --> 00:36:48.368 And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:48.368 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.613$ based on survival literature which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

00:36:50.613 --> 00:36:53.489 we're realizing now is not great for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:36:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.134$ large popularity population data

 $00:36:55.134 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.064$ suggests that there is no upper limit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00{:}36{:}58.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.024$ to when to consider radio surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:37:00.024 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.432$ since there are groups that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:37:02.432 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.362$ show that median overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:37:04.362 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.170$ durations can be in the order of 18

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

00:37:07.170 --> 00:37:09.840 to 20 months in patients with greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.83142716

 $00:37:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.123$ than 30 metastases treated at one sitting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:37:15.230 --> 00:37:17.030 From the neurocognition outcome standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.252$ which is where we'd like to be without data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.050$ there is no guidance here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:37:22.050 --> 00:37:23.478 since the largest randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:37:23.478 --> 00:37:25.263 study involved only patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:37:25.263 --> 00:37:27.078 one to three brain metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.152$ and this study only showed that whole brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:31.152 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.097$ radiation therapy was bad for cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.516$ And so the only data that we have

 $00:37:36.516 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.433$ to go on is this small study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:39.433 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.821$ was done which tried to correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:37:41.821 --> 00:37:44.691 the number of lesions with how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:37:44.691 --> 00:37:46.855 dose the whole brain might achieve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00{:}37{:}46.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.509$ received in a single day of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.446$ And so we believe that for greyhole rain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:52.446 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.368$ dose, which is marked on the left axis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:37:55.368 --> 00:37:57.219 correlates probably about 25 lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:37:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.286$ which is the current our current

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:00.286 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.330$ upper limit of safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:38:02.330 --> 00:38:02.662 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:02.662 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.318$ there's very little other data to guide us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00{:}38{:}05.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.644$ and so it's important not only to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:07.644 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.623$ remember that number of lesions treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:09.623 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.330$ needs to be taken in context of patient

 $00:38:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.790$ expected survival and cognitive reserve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.480$ But also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:38:15.480 --> 00:38:16.860 patient ability to tolerate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.585$ delete the treatment and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:18.585 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.965$ treat in 25 lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.910$ translates into three hours of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.850$ physics planning while the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:23.919 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.641$ sits and waits with the headframe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:25.641 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.544$ on and then an additional three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:38:27.544 --> 00:38:29.626 more hours of having one's head

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00{:}38{:}29.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.508$ locked in the machine for treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:38:31.508 --> 00:38:33.810 making it a 7 to 8 hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

00:38:33.810 --> 00:38:35.148 minimum treatment day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.432$ And obviously the time is spent is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:37.432 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.630$ worth it if the results are good, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473731

 $00:38:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.798$ It's not for everybody.

 $00:38:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.862$ So for many patients who still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:38:46.862 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.091$ live less than a year after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:38:49.091 --> 00:38:50.907 diagnosis of brain metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:38:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.455$ though radiosurgery still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}38{:}52.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.030$ remains the first line treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}38{:}55.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56.854$ And so on the bottom you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:38:56.854 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.777$ here a volume change overtime graph

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:38:58.777 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.180$ that we published quite a while ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.188$ now showing that if you live only nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:03.188 \dashrightarrow 00:39:05.079$ months there is that initial shrinkage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:05.079 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.749$ of the radiosurgery treated lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.440$ As you can see all the way to the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:09.513 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.457$ of the graph and then the volume remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:12.457 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.247$ stable over the course of your lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:39:15.250 --> 00:39:17.826 If however, you live longer than that,

 $00:39:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.448$ then there is an increasing chance that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}39{:}20.448 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}39{:}22.705$ you could run into this phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:39:22.705 --> 00:39:25.972 that you see around the 12 to 18 month

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:25.972 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.499$ mark where the lesions start to grow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.702$ and so as radio surgery has become

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:30.702 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.807$ more popular. Nationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:39:31.807 --> 00:39:33.642 The rate of this phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:33.642 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.743$ has significantly increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}39{:}34.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.906$ and so this is a phenomenon that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:36.906 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.800$ is unique to radiosurgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.064$ does not occur after a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:42.064 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.696$ brain radiation alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.620$ And and it's becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.080$ increasingly problematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.560$ And so when we first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}39{:}49.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.448$ encountered this phenomenon,

 $00:39:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.105$ it was assumed that regrowth was due to tour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:39:53.110 --> 00:39:54.951 'cause that's what it was when things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:39:54.951 --> 00:39:56.660 regrew after horn radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:39:56.660 --> 00:39:57.548 But in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:57.548 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.414$ we know now that 50% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:39:59.414 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.566$ radio graphic regrowth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:40:00.566 --> 00:40:04.109 Can be due to post high dose radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}40{:}04.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.000$ inflammatory phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.780$ known as radiation necrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.874$ which you can see on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.442$ and so is images show perivascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}40{:}12.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.767$ and intra parenchymal T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}40{:}14.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.919$ in filtration associated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}40{:}16.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.609$ standard necrosis and Astra Cytosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:40:19.683 --> 00:40:21.779 and Vascular Highlanders issue

00:40:21.779 --> 00:40:24.399 that you see following radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}40{:}24.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.374$ While we do not really understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:26.374 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.151$ the pathophysiology still behind the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:40:28.151 --> 00:40:30.186 development of radiation necrosis clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.020$ we have relied on experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.850$ that suggests that if disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:33.915 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.620$ is progressing in the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.900$ then regrowth in the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.180$ is likely to be tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.568$ On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:40:41.568 --> 00:40:43.650 what we've learned is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:43.722 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.986$ who are doing well in the body and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}40{:}45.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.611$ have been successfully treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}40{:}47.611 \to 00{:}40{:}50.086$ with immunother apy or have received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:50.086 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.450$ repeat radiation for presumed tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}40{:}52.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.060$ regrowing in the brain and more

 $00:40:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.079$ likely to develop radiation necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:40:58.080 --> 00:40:58.395 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:58.395 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.970$ even with these clinical predictors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:40:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.230$ were not always right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.841$ and so we turned to image Ng

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:03.841 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.850$ to try to help us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.306$ And over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:41:07.306 --> 00:41:09.490 many imaging sequences have been proposed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.230$ including those listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:41:11.230 --> 00:41:13.405 The latest favorite is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}41{:}13.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.496$ profusion and so to the right is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:15.496 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.650$ an example of how wrong we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}41{:}17.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.714$ still be with these images though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.690$ So this is a patient who had his right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}41{:}22.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.878$ temporal and then right cerebellar

 $00:41:24.878 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.103$ lesion treated nine months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:41:27.110 --> 00:41:28.670 The lesion started to regrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:41:28.670 --> 00:41:29.918 an on Mr Perfusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:41:29.920 --> 00:41:31.774 Blue areas are considered low blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:31.774 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.962$ flow whereas green to red areas are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:33.962 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.452$ considered higher blood flow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

00:41:35.452 --> 00:41:37.407 so where there's less blood flow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.209$ we think it's less likely to be to Moran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.298$ More blood flow more likely to be tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.256$ So the right temporal lesion was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}41{:}45.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47.484$ red's tumor and the right cerebellar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:47.484 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.070$ lesion was read as radiation necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.570$ Both lesions ultimately needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00{:}41{:}51.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52.695$ resection for symptomatology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.092$ and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827373

 $00:41:54.092 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.876$ the pathology was the exact opposite.

 $00:41:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.575$ And so unfortunately today the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:41:59.575 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.731$ gold standard for differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:01.731 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.792$ differentiating tumor from radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:03.792 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.720$ across this remains surgical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00{:}42{:}05.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.340$ One imaging modality that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.335$ been reported to be more helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:09.335 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.189$ in Europe is amono acid pet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.800$ The traditional amino acid compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:42:12.800 --> 00:42:14.930 that has been most studied and used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.494$ his radio labeled methionine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:16.494 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.449$ which unfortunately has a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:18.449 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.537$ short half life and his therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00{:}42{:}20.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.520$ being too expensive to make and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.248$ use here in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.620$ A much more stable compound,

 $00:42:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.198$ however, has recently come on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:42:27.198 --> 00:42:28.630 market called flu sick living,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.534$ and so I just wanted to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:30.534 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.842$ you to a new image Ng trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:32.842 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.266$ that we're starting here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:42:34.270 --> 00:42:36.870 So pursue is a phase 2B trial which

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.378$ is currently open for any brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:42:39.378 --> 00:42:41.166 metastasis patient with lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00{:}42{:}41.166 \to 00{:}42{:}43.010$ regrowing after radio surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:42:43.010 --> 00:42:45.560 Its purpose is to gather preliminary

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00{:}42{:}45.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.529$ data to help define the image in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:42:48.529 --> 00:42:50.899 cutoff values for classic luvene pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:50.899 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.459$ by correlating preoperative imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00{:}42{:}53.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}56.239$ with post craniotomy pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.022$ Once these image in cut offs

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:42:58.022 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.210$ have been defined though,

 $00:42:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.700$ then we'll be opening revelate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:43:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.392$ which will be a phase three

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:43:02.392 --> 00:43:03.895 study to determine the efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:43:03.895 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.450$ of flu sick Lavigne Pat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:43:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.593$ In different shading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:43:06.593 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.117$ tumor from radiation necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:43:08.120 --> 00:43:09.098 For this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:43:09.098 --> 00:43:10.402 both patients undergoing craniotomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:43:10.402 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.000$ and laser thermal coagulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:43:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.824$ which will talk about a little bit later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:43:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.781$ will be eligible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:43:15.781 --> 00:43:18.000 and so hopefully you'll be seeing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00:43:18.058 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.074$ study coming around and will be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

 $00{:}43{:}20.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.326$ to move closer towards obtaining a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80241376

00:43:22.326 --> 00:43:24.090 noninvasive method of differentiating

00:43:24.090 --> 00:43:25.770 tumor from radiation process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}43{:}28.250 \to 00{:}43{:}30.634$ So the next challenge is what to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:30.634 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.500$ once we work out, whether the lesion is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.550$ regrowing tumor or radiation necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.099$ What's interesting over the years is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}43{:}39.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.830$ management options for radiation necrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.214$ have become more available than tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.607$ And so these are the options available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}43{:}47.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.058$ Obviously for radiation necros is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:49.058 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.230$ it's possible just to observe the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:51.287 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.225$ lesions because some of these lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:53.225 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.800$ will resolve on their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:43:54.800 --> 00:43:57.187 We've learned though, as I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.188$ that radiation necrosis tends to occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:43:59.188 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.859$ in patients tends to occur more often

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:01.859 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.567$ in patients receiving immunotherapy.

 $00:44:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.140$ And so stopping immunotherapy as an option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}44{:}07.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.508$ and certainly avoiding reradiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:09.508 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.060$ is probably one of the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:44:13.150 --> 00:44:16.288 ways of avoiding making this worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.680$ There are many medical therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.792$ that have been tried.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.334$ The only one that has been demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:21.334 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.083$ to be efficacious's purpose is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:23.083 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.877$ a map in a randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}44{:}24.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.608$ But what we've also learned is that surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:44:27.608 --> 00:44:29.510 management has been very effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.022$ and so back in the day we only

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}44{:}32.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.420$ had craniotomy available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:44:33.420 --> 00:44:35.556 But if you completely remove a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:35.556 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.980$ radiation across this lesion,

 $00:44:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.680$ then resolution is is rapid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.026$ Not everybody wants a craniotomy though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}44{:}42.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.374$ and so over the last five or six

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:44.374 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.603$ years we've developed a technique

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:46.603 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.699$ called laser thermal coagulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:44:48.700 --> 00:44:50.062 Shorten does lit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:50.062 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.310$ which is helped us with with this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:44:54.310 --> 00:44:55.129 And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:55.129 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.510$ for those who are not familiar letters is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:44:57.510 --> 00:44:59.570 a minimally invasive stereotactic procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:44:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.586$ So through the same smaller 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:01.586 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.519$ millimeter stab incision in the skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}45{:}03.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.464$ we can introduce a biopsy needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}45{:}05.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.469$ through the skull into the lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.358$ take a bite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:08.358 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.854$ and then take out the needle and through

 $00:45:10.854 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.718$ the same hole we can introduce the laser,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}45{:}13.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.482$ which is what you can see on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.190$ Patient then gets introduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.890$ into the MRI machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.572$ Um and we check to make sure that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:23.572 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.377$ laser is inside the middle of the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.855$ We then turn the laser on and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:28.855 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.546$ see the yellow lines around the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.286$ Those are the lines are the heat lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:34.286 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.717$ that allow us to know when to stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.508$ Turn off the laser.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.958$ And so this is an example of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:45:41.958 --> 00:45:43.300 radiation necrosis works best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.960$ and so to the left you can see a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:46.035 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.597$ who had in fact 23 lesions treated

00:45:48.597 --> 00:45:50.568 with radiosurgery of all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}45{:}50.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.906$ Though this was the only lesion in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:52.906 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.309$ right basal ganglia that became a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.890$ So it started to regrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.725$ was associated with a lot of adima around it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:45:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.510$ We went ahead and treated this lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.673$ And you can see that the incision

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:05.673 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.750$ is only a couple staples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:46:07.750 --> 00:46:09.706 Long patient was able to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:09.706 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.630$ home first day after surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.059$ They were able to come off steroids

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00{:}46{:}14.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.933$ in a week and you can see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:16.933 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.337$ two weeks how quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.100$ Even though the lesion size

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.508$ itself is not decreased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.275$ that the edema has gotten

 $00:46:23.275 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.687$ better by six weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.700$ Obviously good resolution and so so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:26.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.568$ the nice thing is we haven't had to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

00:46:29.568 --> 00:46:31.393 do craniotomies for these lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.850$ which are obviously significantly morbid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.956$ And have been able to offer

NOTE Confidence: 0.83972985

 $00:46:35.956 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.009$ one additional option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:46:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.724$ Home and so how we decide which option

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:46:42.724 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.144$ to treat with with for radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:46:46.144 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.259$ necrosis still remains highly variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:46:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.140$ So we went back and looked at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

00:46:52.140 --> 00:46:53.421 institutional experience to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00{:}46{:}53.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.405$ and work out if we could start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

00:46:55.472 --> 00:46:57.768 standardize how we choose what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:46:57.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.969$ So the first study we did looked

 $00:47:00.969 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.780$ at craniotomy versus lit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.531$ So what we learned was that both

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

00:47:05.531 --> 00:47:08.242 tools are pretty good at taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:08.242 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.170$ care of radiation necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.842$ What it appears though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:11.842 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.932$ is that symptom resolution and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

00:47:13.932 --> 00:47:15.953 ability to wean off steroids

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:15.953 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.863$ may be better with craniotomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.282$ But what we realized also was

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:20.282 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.956$ that the lesion volume was larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00{:}47{:}22.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.916$ in our craniotomy patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.349$ And so when we took out all

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00{:}47{:}27.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.459$ the lesions that were greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00{:}47{:}29.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31.934$ than 3 centimeters in diameter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.504$ and what you can see all the way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:35.504 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.957$ the left is that is that in fact,

 $00:47:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.556$ the two surgical tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00{:}47{:}40.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.753$ litton craniotomy basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

00:47:41.753 --> 00:47:43.510 become comperable in Efficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:43.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.670$ And really what becomes a?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

00:47:46.670 --> 00:47:49.211 Decider for how well things work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:49.211 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.120$ whether or not the lesion is radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.504$ necrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:52.504 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.272$ or tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:53.272 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.960$ and so from this we started first

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:47:56.034 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.617$ of all to try and detect lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

00:47:58.617 --> 00:48:01.395 when they're small so that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:48:01.395 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.385$ take advantage of the minimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00{:}48{:}03.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.155$ invasive technique of lit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:48:05.155 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.430$ rather than having to condemn

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:48:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.500$ the patient to craniotomy.

00:48:09.500 --> 00:48:10.142 But obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:48:10.142 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.068$ if the lesion is larger than

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:48:12.068 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.556$ 3 centimeters then craniotomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.858445

 $00:48:13.556 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.738$ is still effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

00:48:17.100 --> 00:48:21.591 Um? The what we did next was then can

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:21.591 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.118$ try and compare use of lit to Aston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.067$ And what you can see here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:29.067 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.115$ that we actually have two very

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

00:48:32.115 --> 00:48:34.363 different populations being chosen

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00{:}48{:}34.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.109$ for the two different treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.305$ So lit patients tending to be a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00{:}48{:}41.305 \to 00{:}48{:}44.340$ better functionally, and not only that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.830$ but the time from radiosurgery tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.326$ It tends to be significantly longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:49.326 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.219$ than for those getting bear versus man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.800$ So for whatever reason,

 $00:48:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.170$ patients who have lesions that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:56.245 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.657$ regrowing early after radio surgery a are

NOTE Confidence: 0.685159811764706

 $00:48:58.657 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.769$ tend to be getting drug more frequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.064$ In addition to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:05.064 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.170$ when we look at local lesional control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00{:}49{:}07.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}09.282$ what we also see is 2 very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:49:09.282 --> 00:49:11.458 patterns of response, again making the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:49:11.458 --> 00:49:13.654 two treatments very hard to compare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00{:}49{:}13.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.828$ If we start with the graph on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.385$ the graph shows 3D volume change overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00{:}49{:}19.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.209$ again and you can see that the black

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:22.209 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.920$ line which is the business, is a Medline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00{:}49{:}24.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.020$ There's a relatively rapid decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:49:27.020 --> 00:49:29.150 lesion size in response to Adbaston,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.890$ but this response ultimately

 $00:49:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.630$ does not last forever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.751$ In addition, on the left you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:34.751 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.149$ see based on the runner criterion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.438$ that while a 15% a subset of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:49:39.438 --> 00:49:42.030 had an excellent response to Avastin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:49:42.030 --> 00:49:45.153 showing a CR both at three and six months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.967$ the majority of patients only have disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:47.967 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.980$ stabilization and then progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:49:49.980 --> 00:49:51.372 In comparison, after lit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:51.372 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.932$ there is the expected increase in lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00{:}49{:}53.932 \to 00{:}49{:}56.410$ volume from the surgical procedure itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:49:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.182$ but then a good long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:49:59.182 --> 00:50:00.106 volumetric response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.504$ You Irano this is less easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:02.504 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.259$ interpret because much of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:04.259 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.233$ volume change were large enough to

 $00:50:06.233 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.580$ result in a progression of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00{:}50{:}08.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.016$ Reading early on that then resolved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:11.016 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.519$ to stable disease by six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.657$ And so ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:14.657 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.173$ local control was significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:50:16.173 --> 00:50:18.439 better at six months and beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:50:18.439 --> 00:50:20.214 for laser compared to Avastin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.884$ But obviously if you have a large lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

00:50:22.884 --> 00:50:25.420 with Mass Effect relatively early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.908$ that can't be surgically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:26.908 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.024$ respected than Avastin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684395

 $00:50:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.890$ Now clearly plays a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

00:50:32.170 --> 00:50:33.650 Lastly, from a multi institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.368$ study of lit, we learned that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

00:50:35.368 --> 00:50:36.748 complete ablation of a radiation

 $00:50:36.748 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.598$ across this lesion results in better

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:38.598 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.158$ local control than partial ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.127$ They can see in the first 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:42.127 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.308$ lines of the table to the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.347$ and so the smaller the lesion at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:46.347 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.805$ the time of lit, the more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:48.805 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.880$ it will resolve post operatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.728$ And so this last point is you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00{:}50{:}52.728 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}50{:}54.157$ see also applies to regrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

00:50:54.157 --> 00:50:55.975 tumor which is the bottom two

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00{:}50{:}55.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57.707$ rows of the table to the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.999$ And for this reason we have started

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:50:59.999 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.731$ advocating for lit much earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:01.731 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.777$ in the course of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.460$ Whether we think it's radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.178$ necrosis, or tumor.

 $00:51:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.527$ To the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:09.527 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.772$ the study also underscores one

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

00:51:11.772 --> 00:51:14.242 more problem in brain metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00{:}51{:}14.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.722$ management and that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00{:}51{:}16.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19.414$ regrowing tumor both in the local

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:19.414 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.429$ control as well as survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

00:51:21.430 --> 00:51:24.790 Data is a much bigger problem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00{:}51{:}24.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.140$ manage the radiation necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.240$ And so this brings us to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.040$ kind of how we offer radiation dosing here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.464$ And so while we would prefer that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:34.464 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.589$ patients not get either complication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00{:}51{:}36.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39.040$ if we had to pick one complication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

 $00:51:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.675$ radiation necrosis would be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84736407

00:51:40.675 --> 00:51:43.124 preferable one because we seem to have

 $00:51:43.124 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.636$ better treatment options available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:51:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.609$ Alright, and so for the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:51:49.609 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.074$ minutes I wanted to move away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:51:52.074 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.595$ surgery and radiation and talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:51:54.595 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.248$ bit about work that we've been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:51:57.250 --> 00:51:58.694 Looking at recurrent tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:51:58.694 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.860$ So recurrent tumor being the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:00.927 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.314$ difficult of the problems that we manage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}52{:}03.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.045$ Unfortunately more radiation and surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:52:05.045 --> 00:52:07.240 is usually morbid for the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.039$ and so is there a way that we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}52{:}10.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.459$ at changing systemic therapy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:12.459 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.489$ be more effective in the brain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.631$ And so I want to thank doctor Hertz and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:18.631 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.509$ the support group for the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}52{:}21.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24.520$ to participate in the lung score.

 $00:52:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.333$ And credit for the work that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:26.333 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.413$ about to present goes mostly to my

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:28.413 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.973$ collaborators Don Wayne and pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:52:29.973 --> 00:52:31.899 and Abby Patel and radiation oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:31.899 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.758$ and they labs for hosting us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:52:33.758 --> 00:52:35.248 but also to Stephanie Chokers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.716$ One of our star neurosurgery residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:37.716 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.170$ who is really the force behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.739$ getting a lot of this work done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.388$ And so as background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}52{:}44.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47.510$ the two proposed mechanisms for CNS failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:52:47.510 --> 00:52:47.996 particularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:52:47.996 --> 00:52:51.398 we've been looking at lung cancers with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:51.398 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.938$ targetable mutations or either that drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:53.938 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.182$ penetration into the CNS remains low,

 $00:52:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.360$ and so compared with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:58.360 \mathrel{--}{>} 00:52:59.228 \ \mathrm{systemic} \ \mathrm{concentrations},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:52:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.735$ tolerance can developed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:01.735 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.739$ central nervous system overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.014$ Or the second mechanism is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:06.014 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.110$ as shown by Priscilla breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.510$ you know through the whole exome

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:53:10.510 --> 00:53:12.692 sequencing data that she's presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}53{:}12.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.728$ before that clinically actionable

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:53:14.728 --> 00:53:17.273 gene alterations can be present

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:17.342 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.698$ in brain metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.512$ That would that may not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:20.512 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.170$ found in the primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.259$ Brain metastasis tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:23.259 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.622$ however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:23.622 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.800$ is often difficult to obtain and

 $00:53:25.858 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.508$ so we propose that perhaps by

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}53{:}27.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29.488$ looking at cell free DNA in the CSF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.311$ we may be able to better study

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:53:32.311 --> 00:53:33.520 CNS tumor mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.974$ So we started a CSF biorepository

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:35.974 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.770$ in 2017 and have been collecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.004$ time matched CSF blood and brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}53{:}42.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.399$ metastasis tissue where possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.680$ Things slow down a little bit with kovid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:53:46.680 --> 00:53:48.675 but we have over 100 samples down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.669$ This is a breakdown of their pathologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.062$ And this is the gene panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:53:53.062 --> 00:53:53.990 that we've been using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:53:53.990 --> 00:53:55.150 which we recognize it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.310$ be a little bit limited,

 $00:53:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.956$ but we had to start it somewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:53:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.080$ And so this is a little bit of a busy slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.152$ but what you can see is that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:04.152 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.407$ been successful at finding tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:54:05.407 --> 00:54:07.417 DNA in the CSF in about 2/3 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}54{:}07.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.227$ our patients with purely intra

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:09.227 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.313$ parenchymal brain metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:54:10.320 --> 00:54:12.018 So not left a meningeal disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}54{:}12.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.210$ although the amount of DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.962$ has been highly variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.614$ In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:16.614 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.190$ in the table on the left to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:54:19.266 --> 00:54:21.569 top you can see that while tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:21.569 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.805$ DNA was also detectable in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:54:23.805 --> 00:54:26.145 blood of many of our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.098$ with interpretable brain metastases,

00:54:28.098 --> 00:54:30.046 neither patient with cytology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}54{:}30.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.384$ proven leptomeningeal disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:54:31.384 --> 00:54:33.184 had tumor DNA in their plasma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.360$ And so when we broke down our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}54{:}35.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37.513$ population into patients with no stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:37.513 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.057$ or progressing systemic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:54:39.060 --> 00:54:41.160 you can see that plasma DNA tends

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.063$ actually to be more reflective

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:43.063 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.927$ of extracranial disease than

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:54:44.927 --> 00:54:46.325 intra cranial disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

00:54:46.330 --> 00:54:48.280 And Lastly to the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00:54:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.185$ when matching mutations found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86773807

 $00{:}54{:}50.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.090$ CSF plasma and brain metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00:54:52.153 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.083$ tissue, it appears in fact that tumor DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00:54:55.083 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.497$ in the CSF matches the brain metastasis

 $00:54:58.497 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.875$ much better than plasma circulating DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00{:}55{:}01.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.160$ And so it seems that tumor DNA found

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00:55:04.160 \dashrightarrow 00:55:07.118$ in the CSF may be a better way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00:55:07.118 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.469$ study brain metastases. Mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00:55:08.469 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.563$ We need to collect obviously more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

00:55:10.563 --> 00:55:12.870 samples and so will be coming to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00:55:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.980$ all to try and get these samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00:55:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.572$ but we're hoping that if the data is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00{:}55{:}17.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.289$ fact validated that will be able to UCSF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

00:55:20.290 --> 00:55:22.537 perhaps as a way to inform changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00{:}55{:}22.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}24.488$ in their systemic therapy options

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00:55:24.488 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.340$ for current brain metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

 $00{:}55{:}26.340 \to 00{:}55{:}27.912$ Thank you very much and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.82737577

00:55:27.912 --> 00:55:28.960 happy to take questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846523

 $00:55:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.870$ Thanks Veronica, that was wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846523

 $00{:}55{:}31.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33.910$ We do have time for questions

 $00:55:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.540$ as the questions come in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846523

 $00{:}55{:}35.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}55{:}37.424$ Just wanna remind everyone that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.846523

 $00{:}55{:}37.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40.217$ have our ask a review on October 23rd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846523

 $00:55:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.884$ This year we're doing it virtually from 821.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:55:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.650$ So tell us a little bit more about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:55:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.079$ you get the CSF from the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:55:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.006$ These are lumbar punctures that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00{:}55{:}52.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53.550$ done on patients identified from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:55:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.966$ the clinics. So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

00:55:54.966 --> 00:55:57.976 so we actually have a variety so I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00{:}55{:}57.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.553$ just go back here for a second so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:00.553 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.993$ have a variety of points where we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

00:56:03.000 --> 00:56:05.232 We can get CSF so the biggest one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:05.232 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.151$ is mostly been from craniotomy so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

00:56:07.151 --> 00:56:09.497 we try and identify a site where

 $00:56:09.497 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.495$ we can get CSF that's distant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.390$ Then the lesion that we're about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.634$ to respect and we get the CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:15.634 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.169$ before we reset the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.762$ So hopefully there's no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

00:56:18.762 --> 00:56:19.160 Contamination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.080$ but Yes the other places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00{:}56{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.580$ so one is on the wards and so I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00{:}56{:}23.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.295$ if there's any concern in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:26.295 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.612$ for leptomeningeal disease and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

00:56:28.612 --> 00:56:31.037 getting a diagnostic lumbar puncture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.548$ then it would be nice to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:33.548 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.850$ get CSF at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.216$ And then the last mechanism is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:38.216 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.526$ that's a little bit unique and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00{:}56{:}40.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43.439$ provided a little bit of a challenge also.

 $00:56:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.366$ So patients who are actually getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00{:}56{:}45.366 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}56{:}48.044$ re biopsy as part of kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

00:56:48.044 --> 00:56:49.709 lung protocols for progression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:49.709 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.942$ disease if they also have progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:51.942 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.782$ in their central nervous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.870$ So untreated brain metastases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.549$ we've been asking those patients at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:56:58.549 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.685$ time of their broad to have a lumbar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

00:57:00.749 --> 00:57:03.108 puncture performed to get CSF as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00{:}57{:}03.110 --> 00{:}57{:}06.239$ and so those are kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:57:06.239 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.350$ three opportunities that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:57:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.121$ And then yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:57:09.121 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.663$ and then obviously in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:57:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.086$ if we're seeing patients that need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832

 $00:57:12.086 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.769$ number of functions for clinical reasons,

00:57:13.770 --> 00:57:14.025 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060832 00:57:14.025 --> 00:57:14.280 We NOTE Confidence: 0.80774677

 $00:57:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.828$ do have a question someone asks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774677

 $00:57:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.538$ they say thank you for your wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774677

00:57:17.538 --> 00:57:19.126 program and all your help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774677

 $00{:}57{:}19.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.476$ brain metastases over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774677

 $00:57:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.802$ Is there a limit to the number of metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774677

00:57:22.802 --> 00:57:24.597 that you can use gamma knife for?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00{:}57{:}26.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.086$ Yeah, so I think it goes back to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

00:57:29.090 --> 00:57:31.358 you know, kind of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:31.358 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.870$ think patients can tolerate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.004$ So gamma knife.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:34.004 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.894$ Obviously there is no limit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00{:}57{:}35.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38.539$ The planning system allows us to treat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.174$ I think over. Over 100 lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:41.174 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.580$ now within the planning system,

 $00:57:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.580$ so logistically it's it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.180$ impossible to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

00:57:47.180 --> 00:57:49.180 As I had said though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00{:}57{:}49.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52.404$ I think to treat 25 lesions is hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:52.404 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.575$ enough for a patient in a single day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.585$ and certainly those are 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.88614994

 $00:57:57.585 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.380$ lesions that are easy to plan and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00{:}58{:}02.440 \to 00{:}58{:}04.080$ And relatively easy to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00:58:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.910$ I think for those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

00:58:05.910 --> 00:58:07.740 who have larger lesions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00{:}58{:}07.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09.849$ lesions in more complex areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00:58:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.870$ Such as up against the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00{:}58{:}12.870 --> 00{:}58{:}14.440$ The brain stem or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

00:58:14.440 --> 00:58:15.696 optic nerves or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

00:58:15.700 --> 00:58:17.428 Then you know the the planning

 $00:58:17.428 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.991$ and the treatment for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

00:58:18.991 --> 00:58:20.407 lesions takes even longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00:58:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.438$ so we are our radiation oncologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00:58:22.438 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.937$ are trying to keep the cap at 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00:58:24.937 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.943$ because we had shown that the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

00:58:26.943 --> 00:58:28.875 brain dose is about four Gray.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00:58:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.752$ But in addition to that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

00:58:30.752 --> 00:58:33.012 about as long as a patient can

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

00:58:33.012 --> 00:58:35.480 tolerate 7 or 8 hours with with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00:58:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.297$ It's not so fun with us down the basement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88358146

 $00:58:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.930$ That's great

NOTE Confidence: 0.83458436

 $00:58:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.132$ in the final question from someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.83458436

00:58:41.132 --> 00:58:42.600 who's obviously been watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.83458436

 $00:58:42.659 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.439$ the entire day. They they ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83458436

00:58:46.440 --> 00:58:48.470 Are you doing the gamma knife at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83458436

 $00:58:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.427$ sites outside of Cedar Street or is

 $00:58:50.427 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.730$ it all being done at the main center?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83458436

 $00{:}58{:}52.730 \to 00{:}58{:}54.446$ And are there plans to expand

NOTE Confidence: 0.83458436

00:58:54.446 --> 00:58:55.304 this around Connecticut?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

00:58:56.460 --> 00:59:01.346 So, so the Gamma Knife Machine Percy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.615$ There's only one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:03.615 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.974$ here in Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00.59:04.980 \longrightarrow 00.59:06.860$ The Certificate of need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00{:}59{:}06.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}09.210$ It's difficult to get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.787$ than one in our little state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:11.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.086$ but brain radiosurgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00{:}59{:}13.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}16.110$ which can be done either with gamma

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00{:}59{:}16.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}18.599$ knife or mlynek based techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:18.600 \dashrightarrow 00:59:22.352$ There's actually 11 centers around the around

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:22.352 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.467$ the state that are that are capable of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.340$ With linac based radiosurgery, though,

 $00:59:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.161$ this software is not capable really of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

00:59:31.161 --> 00:59:33.929 treating more than 10 lesions at a time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

00:59:33.930 --> 00:59:36.168 and once you've exceeded 10 total,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.431$ whether it be all at one time

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:38.431 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.270$ or over several treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.110$ then it gets really difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

00:59:42.110 --> 00:59:44.368 to take into account what's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00{:}59{:}44.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}46.113$ treated before as versus what

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00{:}59{:}46.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}48.479$ needs to be treated going forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.189$ and it's the reason why the multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00{:}59{:}51.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}52.950$ metastases always end up here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.670$ and so I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.250$ as Doctor Boffa was saying before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.315$ You know it is the reason why

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $00:59:59.315 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.020$ we are the referrals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $01:00:01.020 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.666$ I'm not sure that there's enough volume

 $01{:}00{:}03.666 \to 01{:}00{:}05.958$ necessarily to grow around the state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $01{:}00{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10.314$ and it's very expensive and time consuming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $01:00:10.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.868$ You know, treatment so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

 $01:00:11.868 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.803$ It's hard to cultivate elsewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71179

01:00:13.810 --> 01:00:14.350 I know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7919675

01:00:14.350 --> 01:00:15.970 I know. I said last question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7919675

01:00:15.970 --> 01:00:19.586 but I can't not ask Doctor Sklar's question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7919675

 $01:00:19.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.260$ Jeff, thank you. He has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7919675

01:00:21.260 --> 01:00:23.564 How do you propose to UCSF DNA in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7919675

 $01:00:23.564 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.250$ patients with multiple lesions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7919675

 $01{:}00{:}25.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}27.518$ For example, your patient who had both

NOTE Confidence: 0.7919675

 $01:00:27.518 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.580$ tumor necrosis and regrowth of tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92323035 01:00:31.300 --> 01:00:32.210 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

01:00:34.540 --> 01:00:36.780 So it's interesting, I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:00:36.780 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.360$ I think that finding so we

01:00:39.360 --> 01:00:41.965 don't have a marker necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01{:}00{:}41.965 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.109$ for radiation Necrosis Persay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:00:45.110 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.670$ What I think that we care about is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:00:47.670 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.819$ is there regrowing tumor and so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:00:49.819 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.786$ think that if we find mutational

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:00:51.786 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.742$ DNA first of all we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:00:53.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.688$ We don't 100% know that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

01:00:55.688 --> 01:00:57.270 correlates with active disease yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01{:}00{:}57.270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}59.314$ but if we're able to demonstrate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:00:59.314 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.922$ then we need to be concerned that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:01:01.922 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.975$ not just treating radiation to process

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545657

 $01:01:03.975 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.229$ and I think that's really the issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

 $01:01:06.870 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.702$ Great, well, I think that we are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

01:01:08.702 --> 01:01:10.667 time and actually a few minutes over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

 $01:01:10.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.694$ but no one needs to walk back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

01:01:12.694 --> 01:01:14.425 their office. So I figured I could

01:01:14.425 --> 01:01:16.229 get a few more minutes in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

 $01{:}01{:}16.230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}17.748$ Thank you, Veronica, that was wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

01:01:17.750 --> 01:01:18.545 Thank you, Dan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

 $01{:}01{:}18.545 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}20.689$ Thank you to the organizers Renee and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

 $01:01:20.689 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.553$ team and we'll see you back next week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

 $01{:}01{:}22.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}24.580$ It's been a pleasure moderating today. Have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395945

 $01:01:24.580 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.765$ good day. Everyone. Thank you.