WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"01:13:33.3330000"
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.592 Um? So I would like to welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:03.592 \rightarrow 00:00:05.699$ everybody to this Milo shares events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:05.700 --> 00:00:07.320 My name is Claire Healy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}07{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}08{.}964$ I'm one of the lead genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}08{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10{.}532$ counselors in the smilow cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:10.532 \rightarrow 00:00:12.180$ genetics and Prevention program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}12.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.764$ An I'll be helping to moderate this event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.354$ We're very happy to have you joining us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:17.360 --> 00:00:19.781 This is the third web and R Anna series NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:19.781 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.845$ geared towards individuals with hereditary NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:21.845 \rightarrow 00:00:24.443$ breast and or ovarian cancer syndromes

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:24.506 \rightarrow 00:00:26.378$ as well as their family members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:26.380 \rightarrow 00:00:28.265$ Tonight we're pleased to welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:28.265 \dashrightarrow 00:00:30.150$ doctor Abraham of plastic surgeon

 $00:00:30.212 \rightarrow 00:00:32.648$ who will speak to us about options

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:32.648 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.692$ for breast reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:33.700 --> 00:00:34.280 Doctor Ferrell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:34.280 --> 00:00:36.310 who will speak to us about risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}36{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37{.}903$ for pancreatic cancer associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}37{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39{.}978$ with some corrected Terry breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:39.978 \rightarrow 00:00:42.119$ and ovarian cancer gene mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:42.120 \dashrightarrow 00:00:44.118$ as well as options for pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:44.118 --> 00:00:45.450 cancer surveillance and Amy

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:45.512 --> 00:00:46.880 Killea genetic counselor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}46.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.435$ who will discuss risk for cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51{.}487$ men with mutations in hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:00:51.487 \dashrightarrow 00:00:53.707$ breast and ovarian cancer genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:53.710 --> 00:00:55.498 Each of our speakers will present

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:00:55.498 --> 00:00:56.690 for approximately 20 minutes,

 $00:00:56.690 \rightarrow 00:00:59.363$ leaving 30 minutes at the end of the session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}00{:}59{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00{.}630$ For questions and answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:01:00.630 --> 00:01:01.890 Please submit your questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:01:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.140$ through the Q&A feature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:01:03.140 --> 00:01:04.586 which can be found at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:01:04.586 \dashrightarrow 00:01:06.339$ bottom of the web and R window,

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:01:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.484$ and questions will be held to the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:01:08.484 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.879$ of all of the talks and we hope to

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00{:}01{:}10.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.415$ get through as many as possible at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

 $00:01:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.016$ So with that I'll turn it

NOTE Confidence: 0.069090664

00:01:15.016 --> 00:01:16.080 over to Doctor Abraham.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9051004

00:01:17.560 --> 00:01:19.597 Good evening, let me just share my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9051004

 $00:01:19.597 \dashrightarrow 00:01:21.538$ screen and get my PowerPoint up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:01:26.800 --> 00:01:29.670 Alright, can you guys see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:01:29.670 --> 00:01:31.234 Somebody nod. Alright, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:01:31.234 --> 00:01:34.133 so today I'm going to talk about

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523
- $00:01:34.133 \rightarrow 00:01:36.049$ Mastectomy Reconstruction and women

 $00{:}01{:}36{.}049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39{.}040$ at high risk for breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:01:39.040 \rightarrow 00:01:42.235$ I am the one person speaking today who cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:01:42.235 \rightarrow 00:01:45.159$ talk too specifically about cancer risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:01:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.200$ but an adjunct to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:01:47.200 --> 00:01:48.828 Then just fair warning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:01:48.828 \rightarrow 00:01:51.278$ this is plastic surgery talk, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:01:51.278 \rightarrow 00:01:54.542$ there will be photos with before and after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}01{:}54{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57{.}406$ So if any body has a problem with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:01:57.406 --> 00:02:01.009 photos of breasts, just fair warning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}01{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{.}042$ Just a brief outline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}02{.}042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04.436$ We're going to talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:02:04.436 --> 00:02:06.020 background of breast reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:06.020 \dashrightarrow 00:02:08.764$ how this relates to high risk patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:08.770 \dashrightarrow 00:02:09.970$ What the reconstructive konsult

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12{.}411$ is all about and how to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:02:12.411 --> 00:02:14.169 a little bit about your options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}14.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.563$ as well as some examples of women that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:17.563 \rightarrow 00:02:19.897$ reconstructive implants in their own tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}19{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21{.}448$ So my second reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}21{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22{.}996$ is very common operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:02:23.000 --> 00:02:25.429 In 2017 the Americans sided plastic surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:25.429 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.420$ approximates that more than 100,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.208$ women underwent breast reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.145$ The vast majority of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}31{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}693$ nationwide were implant based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.864$ Reconstruction with less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:33.864 \rightarrow 00:02:35.416$ than 5000 being autologous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.242$ that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}36{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}297$ using their own tissues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:38.297 \rightarrow 00:02:40.849$ that's driven by a lot of things,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523
- $00:02:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.695$ including the fact that breast

 $00{:}02{:}42.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.540$ cancers are common disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:44.609 \rightarrow 00:02:46.669$ also some medical economic issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}46.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.775$ and something called the Women's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:02:48.775 --> 00:02:51.310 Health cancer Rights Act of 1998.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}51{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53{.}752$ So the Women's Health counselor Rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}02{:}53.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.875$ Act in 1998 specifies that insurance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:56.875 \rightarrow 00:02:59.250$ must cover mist ectomy reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:02:59.250 \rightarrow 00:03:01.455$ Any surgery that's necessary for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}01{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03{.}660$ symmetrization by an unaffected breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:03.660 \dashrightarrow 00:03:05.860$ Any revision surgery that's necessary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}05{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08{.}947$ as well as prosthesis and special bras.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.086$ So therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:03:10.086 --> 00:03:12.926 that creates an environment where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:03:12.926 --> 00:03:15.136 breast reconstruction can be

 $00:03:15.136 \rightarrow 00:03:16.816$ a very common operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}16.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.932$ And then you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}17.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.427$ I I obviously we have a limited amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:20.427 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.750$ of time, so I can't be comprehensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}22.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.370$ But there are some issues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}24.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.077$ are specific to the patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:03:26.077 --> 00:03:27.793 are high risk patients when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}27.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.610$ comes to breast reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}610$ --> $00{:}03{:}31{.}170$ For example, in this population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:31.170 \rightarrow 00:03:32.418$ surgery may be preventative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}32{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}354$ rather than the rapeutic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.982$ That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:33.982 \rightarrow 00:03:36.159$ these women may not have breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:36.160 \rightarrow 00:03:38.038$ therefore there may be less urgency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:38.040 \dashrightarrow 00:03:40.528$ There may be more options on the table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:03:40.530 - 00:03:42.450 and there are really a different

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523
- $00:03:42.450 \rightarrow 00:03:44.189$ set of psychological and emotional

 $00:03:44.189 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.159$ impacts that can be encountered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:46.160 \dashrightarrow 00:03:48.536$ The patient population that we deal NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}48{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}522$ with that's high risk may be younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53{.}737$ than the typical mast ectomy patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}53{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55{.}845$ and again that has lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:55.845 \rightarrow 00:03:57.108$ and psychological implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:03:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.630$ There can be different body shapes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}03{:}59{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}740$ body types, and breast issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}845$ and Additionally these patients may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}03.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.950$ have risks for additional cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08{.}050$ And as I'll discuss later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.165$ that can lead to some synergies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

00:04:11.165 --> 00:04:13.143 some quote coordination between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}13.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.379$ surgical and oncologic teams.

 $00:04:15.380 \rightarrow 00:04:17.180$ Some other considerations that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:04:17.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.620$ that nipple sparing mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:04:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.420$ There's something that you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:04:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.860$ may have heard about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:04:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.218$ and it's actually a very individualized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}24{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25{.}790$ decision whether to preserve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:04:25.857 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.897$ the nipple or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:04:26.900 \dashrightarrow 00:04:29.645$ and it really needs to be made in concert

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}29.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.937$ with the breast on cologic surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}31{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33{.}907$ That's going to be doing the mistake

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}33{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}425$ to me and takes into account their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:04:36.425 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.037$ technical comfort the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00{:}04{:}38{.}037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}695$ desires and an atomic realities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644523

 $00:04:39.695 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.660$ of a womans breast breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82467175

00:04:41.660 --> 00:04:43.100 Risk reducing surgery can

NOTE Confidence: 0.82467175

 $00:04:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.900$ also be combined with you.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82467175
- 00:04:44.900 --> 00:04:48.146 Answered risk reducing surgery as we.

00:04:48.150 --> 00:04:51.195 As I sort of alluded to earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82467175

 $00{:}04{:}51{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53{.}756$ because a lot of the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82467175

 $00:04:53.756 \rightarrow 00:04:55.981$ syndromes that are associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.82467175

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}627$ an increased risk of breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82467175

 $00{:}04{:}58.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.867$ also associated with an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.82467175

 $00:05:00.867 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.967$ risk of that logic answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07{.}724$ And we found that there are rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}189$ preventative mast ectomies are increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:05:09.190 \dashrightarrow 00:05:11.846$ This has been going on for sometime and NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:05:11.846 --> 00:05:14.866 while we can't say for sure where that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:05:14.870 --> 00:05:17.282 we can suspect that it has to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:05:17.282 --> 00:05:19.139 increased knowledge regarding jeans were NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:05:19.139 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.431$ finding jeans that are putting women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}21{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23{.}546$ at increased risk for breast cancer.

 $00:05:23.550 \rightarrow 00:05:25.014$ We're finding new ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26{.}844$ There's greater patient awareness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}26.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.809$ there's events like this that allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}28.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.715$ patients to feel empowered and educate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}30.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.899$ themselves on us to breast cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}32{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35{.}469$ And there's also an increased access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:05:35.469 \rightarrow 00:05:37.279$ breast reconstruction across the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:05:37.280 --> 00:05:40.136 Now, when it comes to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}40{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42{.}660$ I think patient awareness of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.172$ reconstruction after Mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:05:44.172 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.277$ as a before and after May 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:05:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.520$ because in May 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:05:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.640$ This woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}058$ Angelina Jolie publicized that she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}05{:}53.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.620$ undergone a bilateral mastectomy for risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:05:55.620 \rightarrow 00:05:57.400$ reduction with implant reconstruction,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902
- $00:05:57.400 \rightarrow 00:05:59.505$ and this really obviously generated

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}189$ a lot of buzz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:01.190 \dashrightarrow 00:06:04.086$ And also I think when you think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:04.086 \dashrightarrow 00:06:06.792$ a woman whose appearance and who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:06.792 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.546$ breast play a larger role in her life

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:10.546 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.423$ than they do for most other women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.956$ can go ahead and do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:06:15.960 --> 00:06:19.481 I think many women in the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:19.481 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.120$ at large felt empowered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.806$ So there's a lot of decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:23.806 \rightarrow 00:06:25.310$ that need to be made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}25{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27{.}050$ and some of these are difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}27.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.210$ and evolved complex choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}28{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30{.}240$ There's a lot of data that relates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.110$ to preventative mastectomy,

 $00:06:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.042$ and it can be really be difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}33{.}042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35{.}617$ to parse and process one of the good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:06:35.617 --> 00:06:37.297 things about breast cancers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:06:37.365 -> 00:06:39.229 we have a lot of data about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}39{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41{.}198$ but it can really make decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:06:41.198 --> 00:06:42.810 making very complicated and even NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.738$ for us it's hard to keep track of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.450$ So these decisions really should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:06:46.450 --> 00:06:47.930 made in consultation with experts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}47{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49{.}090$ and breast cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}49{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50{.}374$ There are specifically expert

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:50.374 \rightarrow 00:06:52.640$ that that and that could be your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:06:52.640 --> 00:06:53.904 Breast uncle, logic surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

00:06:53.904 --> 00:06:55.800 It could be your breast medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}358$ on cologist or Jeanette assist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902

 $00:06:57.358 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.228$ that has specific training and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228902
- $00:06:59.228 \rightarrow 00:07:01.220$ experience with this particular risk

00:07:01.220 --> 00:07:02.776 genetic sister genetic counselor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.714$ So finally, we're getting to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:07:06.714 --> 00:07:08.170 plastic surgery aspect of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}08.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.058$ the reconstructive console.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:09.058 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.130$ There's going to be a lot that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:11.182 \rightarrow 00:07:12.904$ thrown at the patient at this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:12.910 \dashrightarrow 00:07:14.536$ The console is appropriate even if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:14.536 \dashrightarrow 00:07:16.538$ the patient is not ready to proceed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.332$ I do these alot, and while they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:18.332 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.889$ not always the highest yield,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:07:19.890 --> 00:07:21.661 and I think of them a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}21.661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.960$ bit as information sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}22.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.184$ I think it's a lot to think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.864$ and you can store to start

 $00:07:26.864 \rightarrow 00:07:27.980$ getting the process percolating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}27.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.648$ There's really a ton of options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.330$ and it can be really overwhelming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.255$ I encourage patients to write down the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}33.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.378$ questions and to bring some body with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.808$ That could help him remember things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}36{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38{.}189$ and sort of advocate for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.588$ And it's OK to remember this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:07:39.588 --> 00:07:40.287 is really complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.602$ Took me 10 years to learn what all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:07:42.602 --> 00:07:44.662 the options are and I don't expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:44.662 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.694$ the patient to be able to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}46.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.902$ that out in 45 minutes to an hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:07:48.910 --> 00:07:50.525 And it's important to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}50.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51.817$ the breast reconstruction is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:07:51.817 - 00:07:53.338 about empowering the patient.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:07:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.386$ You know alot of women have

 $00{:}07{:}55{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56{.}750$ guilt associated with us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}07{:}56.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.165$ but I think it's important to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:07:59.165 \rightarrow 00:08:01.870$ that wanting to have breasts is not vain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.397$ Women are generally used to seeing themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:04.397 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.299$ with breast starting from age 1213.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.374$ Something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:07.374 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.164$ And by the time they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:09.164 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.728$ reached their 30s and 40s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:10.730 \dashrightarrow 00:08:13.458$ this sort of imprinted in their self image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:08:13.460 --> 00:08:15.206 And I think it's very traumatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}08{:}15.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.660$ to lose that the console should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:17.660 \dashrightarrow 00:08:19.705$ centered around the patient's goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}08{:}19{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21{.}702$ And the patient should feel confident

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:21.702 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.430$ to openly share their goals,

- $00:08:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.104$ their concerns,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00{:}08{:}24{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25{.}452$ and their preconceptions with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:08:25.452 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.463$ their plastic surgeon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00{:}08{:}26.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.857$ And I think it's also important to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00{:}08{:}28{.}857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30{.}860$ encourage the patient to be educated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00{:}08{:}30{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}227$ And we all know that this is a double
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00{:}08{:}33{.}227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}388$ edged sword because the information that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:08:35.388 \rightarrow 00:08:37.960$ you find can be sometimes misleading.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- 00:08:37.960 --> 00:08:40.326 But I always find that an educated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:08:40.326 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.930$ patient isn't happy, patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00{:}08{:}42{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43{.}776$ And then I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:08:43.776 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.904$ as the plastic surgeon,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:08:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.891$ need to learn a lot about you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:08:46.891 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.740$ at this console.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:08:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.004$ They need to know all sorts of things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- 00:08:50.010 -> 00:08:51.420 Value ranging from the mundane,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814
- $00:08:51.420 \rightarrow 00:08:53.420$ such as your age and other medical problems

 $00:08:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.522$ to things that are specific to breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:55.522 \rightarrow 00:08:57.077$ reconstruction suits your breast size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.988$ your shape,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:08:57.988 --> 00:08:59.350 your body type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:08:59.350 \rightarrow 00:09:02.810$ Oh epic wants me to upgrade what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:09:02.810 --> 00:09:04.110 previous surgeries you've had?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:09:04.110 --> 00:09:06.060 What do you do for living?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}09{:}06{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07{.}986$ Are you able to miss significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:07.986 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.640$ amount of time from work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.260$ What lifestyle issues are there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}09{:}11.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.521$ and what are the activities that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:13.521 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.544$ important to you that we don't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:16.544 \rightarrow 00:09:18.529$ harm when performing breast reconstruction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}09{:}18.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.378$ And then I think the next important

 $00:09:20.378 \rightarrow 00:09:21.833$ part is setting expectations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}09{:}21.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.663$ what the goals of the reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:23.663 \dashrightarrow 00:09:25.728$ is so you know some of these things NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:09:25.728 --> 00:09:27.660 that I say come straight out of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:27.660 \dashrightarrow 00:09:29.910$ of my spiel that I give to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.615$ And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}09{:}30.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.260$ I talk to patient about three goals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}09{:}32{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33{.}818$ of Reconstruction and the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

00:09:33.818 --> 00:09:35.328 2 should be readily achievable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:35.330 \rightarrow 00:09:36.685$ barring a complication and 3rd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00{:}09{:}36.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.040$ takes time and takes time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.309$ time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333814

 $00:09:38.309 \dashrightarrow 00:09:40.730$ and work both on my part and the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:09:40.791 \rightarrow 00:09:42.646$ bar, because some of it is psychological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:09:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.666$ And the first goal is that in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:09:44.666 \rightarrow 00:09:46.169$ clothing nobody should know women.

00:09:46.170 --> 00:09:47.934 You know, pretty much pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:09:47.934 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.040$ every woman does not want this to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}09{:}50{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51{.}415$ A conversation starter with strangers

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:09:51.415 \rightarrow 00:09:53.349$ asking what's going on with her breasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

00:09:53.350 --> 00:09:55.348 An second goal is that I want my patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:09:55.348 \dashrightarrow 00:09:57.487$ to be comfortable looking at themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:09:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.109$ The we can't have a situation where woman is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01{.}919$ Your clothes off to go take a shower and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}623$ is avoiding the mirror because she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}10{:}03.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.745$ horrified to look at herself and then third

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:10:05.745 \rightarrow 00:10:07.426$ goal and this takes time as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}10{:}07{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}370$ is being comfortable with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:10:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.550$ somebody else seeing their brows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}10{:}09{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}730$ Some body else touching the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.076$ Since things like sex and intimacy.

- 00:10:13.080 --> 00:10:13.734 And again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:13.734 \rightarrow 00:10:15.696$ in terms of setting expectations important,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}10{:}15{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17{.}692$ remember the reconstructed breasts will never
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:17.692 \rightarrow 00:10:19.970$ look exactly the same as native breasts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.610$ Whether they will look better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}10{:}21.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.245$ Worst in different is sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- 00:10:23.245 --> 00:10:24.553 multifactorial and also subjective,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.180$ so I think that I try not to get into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}10{:}27.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.806$ that because that's a judgment call,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:29.810 \rightarrow 00:10:31.778$ but ultimately it's important to remember.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}10{:}31.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.036$ The rest will not look exactly the same.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.870$ And the last thing that's important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.837$ to remember is that for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- 00:10:38.837 --> 00:10:39.869 optimal static outcome,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:39.870 \rightarrow 00:10:42.187$ and this is variable from women to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:42.187 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.446$ women's two from woman to woman has

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:10:44.446 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.630$ to how important this is to them.

00:10:46.630 --> 00:10:48.562 The optimal aesthetic outcome will almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:10:48.562 \rightarrow 00:10:49.850$ certainly require additional revision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:10:49.850 \rightarrow 00:10:50.858$ so additional small surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}10{:}50.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.254$ So while a lot of these reconstructions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

00:10:53.254 --> 00:10:55.324 presented as single stage reconstructions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:10:55.330 \rightarrow 00:10:58.998$ they almost all require small touch ups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

00:10:59.000 --> 00:10:59.267 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}10{:}59{.}267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01{.}403$ so when I talk to women about options

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:11:01.403 \rightarrow 00:11:03.119$ for mastectomy reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:11:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.160$ I tell them right off the bat that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

00:11:05.160 --> 00:11:06.882 I'm going to oversimplify things

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

00:11:06.882 --> 00:11:08.414 because otherwise we're just

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}08{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10{.}320$ going to drown information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}10{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}344$ So let's go ahead and supply things and

 $00{:}11{:}12{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14{.}941$ find X and the oversimplification is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

00:11:14.941 --> 00:11:16.976 there are implants based reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

00:11:17.033 --> 00:11:18.818 and tissue based reconstruction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:11:18.818 \rightarrow 00:11:20.925$ choosing between the two were required NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:11:20.925 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.815$ taking into account patients goals as

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}22.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.278$ well as the reality of their specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}25{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27{.}462$ situation in terms of medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:11:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.590$ body types, and lifestyle issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}30{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31{.}625$ So implant reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}31.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.660$ there it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}32.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.676$ There's the the breast implant usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}34.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.149$ done either in one or two operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:11:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.185$ Sometimes the temporary

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}38{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39{.}220$ implants plays followed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40{.}600$ replaced by replacing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:11:40.600 \rightarrow 00:11:41.980$ with a permanent implant.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:11:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.050$ Implants are either Saline or silicone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}11{:}44.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.120$ Again, without getting into too much,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:11:46.120 \rightarrow 00:11:47.840$ a strongly favorite silicone implants,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}11{:}47{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50{.}185$ I'm happy to discuss that with any body
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:11:50.185 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.329$ that has specific questions to that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}11{:}52{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}268$ and then the important thing to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:11:54.268 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.638$ remember is that we do not use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:11:56.638 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.318$ textured implants or the solid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}11{:}58{.}318$ --> $00{:}12{:}00{.}769$ implants so called gummy bear implants.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}12{:}00{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}759$ Those have been associated with a rare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}12{:}03.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.406$ type of lymphoma and actually yell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- 00:12:06.406 --> 00:12:09.395 was at the forefront of banning these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}12{:}09{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12{.}276$ implants even before the FDA active.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00{:}12{:}12{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13{.}984$ So what are the advantages of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955
- $00:12:13.984 \rightarrow 00:12:14.836$ using implants reconstruction?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:12:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.255$ It's a shorter operation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}12{:}16.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.387$ a shorter recovery time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}12{:}17{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19{.}520$ It does not add a ton of surgery to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:12:19.575 \rightarrow 00:12:21.650$ mastectomy that you're already having,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:12:21.650 \rightarrow 00:12:23.360$ and basically it's volume on demand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00{:}12{:}23.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.118$ So the implants come off the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86149955

 $00:12:25.118 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.290$ shelves in a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.83780384

 $00:12:26.349 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.039$ of sizes and we can pick sizes based on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}12{:}29{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30{.}842$ There are disadvantages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

00:12:30.842 --> 00:12:31.410 Biggest disadvantage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:12:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.498$ I think, is that it's not always the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:12:33.498 \rightarrow 00:12:35.387$ natural looking or feeling reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:12:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.577$ It's not the same as putting in a breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

00:12:37.577 --> 00:12:39.650 implant for cosmetic surgery with implants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}12{:}39.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.750$ It's under the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:12:40.750 \rightarrow 00:12:42.125$ Your implant is essentially sitting

- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00:12:42.125 \rightarrow 00:12:43.907$ under the skin and particularly renewed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00:12:43.910 \rightarrow 00:12:45.919$ It's fairly obvious that it's breast mound
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00{:}12{:}45{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48{.}166$ in most cases rather than a true breast.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00{:}12{:}48{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49{.}994$ Implants are likely to have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00:12:49.994 \rightarrow 00:12:51.771$ problem that requires them to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- 00:12:51.771 > 00:12:53.277 replaced at some point in time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00:12:53.280 \rightarrow 00:12:55.030$ That's going to come into the into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00:12:55.030 \rightarrow 00:12:56.690$ play during the woman's lifetime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00:12:56.690 \rightarrow 00:12:59.530$ I tell women an average of 15 years or so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00:12:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.010$ and then there's an entity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- 00:13:01.010 -> 00:13:02.194 called breast implant illness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00{:}13{:}02{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}096$ Or buy this is there are women there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00:13:04.096 \rightarrow 00:13:05.723$ report that they feel like they've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00{:}13{:}05{.}723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}379$ been harmed by their implants and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00{:}13{:}07{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}969$ they reported a variety of symptoms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:08.969 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.238$ that are sort of nonspecific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}13{:}10.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.380$ And while I don't know for sure that implants

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}13{:}12{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13{.}897$ are actually causing these symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

00:13:13.900 - 00:13:15.720 I don't think these women are crazy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.286$ They're feeling what it is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}13{:}17.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.888$ they're feeling and we don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:18.888 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.652$ for sure that it's not the implants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:20.660 \rightarrow 00:13:23.208$ so it's something to keep in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}13{:}23{.}210$ --> $00{:}13{:}25{.}765$ So just some examples and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

00:13:25.770 --> 00:13:27.118 as I warned you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}13{:}27{.}118$ --> $00{:}13{:}29{.}800$ we're going to be seeing some breasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.416$ This is a woman in her 20s who

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:32.416 \rightarrow 00:13:33.820$ underwent preventative mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:33.820 \rightarrow 00:13:36.028$ and then a reconstruction with temporary

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:36.028 \rightarrow 00:13:37.908$ implants followed by permanent implants

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:37.908 \rightarrow 00:13:39.678$ and a nipple sparing mastectomy.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.841585
- $00{:}13{:}39{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}368$ And this is her outcome about a month

00:13:42.368 --> 00:13:45.121 after her implant exchange fairly good

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}13{:}45{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48{.}127$ outcome with reasonable volume and shape.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.512$ This is a woman and you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:50.512 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.100$ see the blue squares.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

00:13:52.100 --> 00:13:54.085 When I blocked out potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:54.085 \rightarrow 00:13:54.879$ identifying tattoos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

00:13:54.880 --> 00:13:56.788 This is another woman very slight

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:13:56.788 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.472$ in her 20s with very good breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}712$ aesthetics that wanted a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:14:01.712 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.927$ stage reconstruction so she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00{:}14{:}03{.}927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}595$ her preventative mastectomy again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:14:05.600 \rightarrow 00:14:08.018$ Nipple sparing followed wide implant that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841585

 $00:14:08.018 \rightarrow 00:14:11.548$ was placed at the same time of them stacking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.846$ And then just our last example.

 $00:14:15.850 \dashrightarrow 00:14:18.426$ This is one more woman that was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}14{:}18{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}546$ This was more recent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

00:14:19.546 --> 00:14:21.886 I wonder if we can see her kovid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

00:14:21.886 --> 00:14:23.972 mask in one of these pictures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}14{:}23{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26{.}590$ she also had a single stage single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.515$ stage reconstruction with an implant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

00:14:28.515 --> 00:14:30.640 And as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.146$ these are all very good results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}14{:}32{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33{.}167$ Very as thetic results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:33.167 \rightarrow 00:14:35.201$ but they do not look exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:35.201 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.206$ the same as their native rust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.825$ And then there's tissue reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:38.825 \rightarrow 00:14:40.117$ and for Full disclosure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}14{:}40{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}730$ this is my preferred method

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42{.}374$ of reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.202$ For most women,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}14{:}43.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.572$ it's a preferred operations to

 $00{:}14{:}44{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46{.}250$ much more interesting operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}14{:}46{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48{.}188$ I think it's a better and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:48.188 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.157$ more lasting result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.444$ There are several options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:50.444 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.748$ but the majority of the time we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}14{:}52.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.650$ take tissue from the lower abdomen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:54.650 \rightarrow 00:14:56.904$ It's a much bigger operation and recovery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.148$ and the way I've presented to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:14:59.148 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.282$ women is to think of it as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:02.282 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.838$ larger up front investment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}03.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.607$ what may be a longer term result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

00:15:06.610 --> 00:15:07.459 So again, advantages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}308$ there's no implant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.474$ there's no foreign body,

 $00:15:09.474 \rightarrow 00:15:11.420$ and some women are really disturbed by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

00:15:11.420 --> 00:15:12.760 That idea doesn't require the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}12.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.530$ upkeep or replacement of an implant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.945$ An study after study shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

00:15:15.945 --> 00:15:17.360 that in the long run,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}17{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20{.}152$ women tend to be more satisfied with tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:20.152 \rightarrow 00:15:22.070$ reconstruction then finally construction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

00:15:22.070 --> 00:15:22.538 Their disadvantages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}22{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23{.}942$ it's much bigger surgery in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:23.942 \rightarrow 00:15:25.270$ much more significant recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26{.}878$ And as I say to women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}26.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.944$ you're having surgery somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}27{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}274$ where you don't need to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

00:15:29.280 --> 00:15:30.876 There's nothing wrong with your belly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:30.880 \rightarrow 00:15:33.274$ You do need to have surgery on your breasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.434$ That doesn't necessarily mean we have

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00:15:35.434 \rightarrow 00:15:37.838$ to make a decision on your belly.

00:15:37.840 --> 00:15:39.140 And I like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}39{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}682$ the most common form of tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:40.682 \rightarrow 00:15:41.980$ reconstruction is the deep flap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:41.980 \rightarrow 00:15:43.800$ which is tissue taken from the belly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.348$ similar incision to a tummy tuck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46{.}755$ Blood vessels are taken with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}46.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.160$ the tissue dissected through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}48{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49{.}817$ muscle and then hooked up the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51{.}580$ vessels in the chest to allow that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}860$ tissue to replace the filling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.388$ In some of the skin of the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.954$ Some examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58{.}928$ This is a woman again just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866

 $00:15:58.928 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.309$ to the fact that this is a

- $00{:}16{:}01{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}987$ preventative mastectomy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:01.990 --> 00:16:03.234 It gives us time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:03.234 --> 00:16:04.167 There's no rush,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00:16:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.340$ so she wanted preservation of her nipples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00:16:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.000$ She obviously has large breasts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}08{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09{.}986$ that have some sag related to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}09{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11{.}331$ breastfeeding and what we did
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}11{.}331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13{.}425$ here is we did a breast reduction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:13.425 --> 00:16:15.345 followed by a mastectomy and adi
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}15{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17{.}788$ flap and this is her shortly after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}17.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.791$ her reconstruction you can see she NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:19.791 --> 00:16:21.903 has a small wound on the left that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}21{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24{.}088$ ended up healing and you can see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}24.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.740$ the scar across her belly, but this is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}26{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30{.}286$ A reconstruction with their own tissues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00:16:30.290 \rightarrow 00:16:33.104$ This is another woman is similar results.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00:16:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.750$ She's in her 40s.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}34.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.390$ She's breastfed multiple children
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00:16:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.157$ and she has sagging of the breasts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00:16:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.014$ She is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:40.014 --> 00:16:40.441 however,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}40{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44{.}880$ quite thin and what we did here is we did a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00:16:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.429$ breast lift at the time of the breast lift.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:48.430 --> 00:16:49.633 Doctor Elena Ratner,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:49.633 --> 00:16:50.836 whose are GY,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:50.840 --> 00:16:52.373 an oncologist extraordinaire,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:52.373 --> 00:16:55.439 did Jiwan Guanica logic risk reducing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- $00{:}16{:}55{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}307$ surgery and then we came back and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671866
- 00:16:58.307 --> 00:16:59.831 did reconstruction with tissue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77997845
- 00:16:59.907 --> 00:17:02.250 from her belly. Nipple preservation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00{:}17{:}04.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.168$ Women come in all different shapes and sizes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:17:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.738$ This is a woman in her late 20s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}09{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11{.}980$ She is. She carries some extra weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}11.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.906$ She did not wish to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

00:17:13.906 - 00:17:14.869 her nipples preserved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}14.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.826$ She was concerned about any breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:17:16.826 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.192$ tissue that may be left behind in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:17:19.192 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.184$ her nipple and therefore she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:17:21.184 \rightarrow 00:17:23.060$ nipple sacrificed with her mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}23.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.274$ and she wanted to be upsized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:17:25.280 \rightarrow 00:17:27.955$ And this is her early result, obviously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}27{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30{.}265$ Will want to tweak her belly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:17:30.265 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.160$ a little bit over all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}32.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.785$ Her aesthetic result for breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}34.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.885$ reconstruction is very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}36{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39{.}686$ And then again more recent example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:17:39.690 \rightarrow 00:17:43.551$ This is a woman that had a mastectomy and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- 00:17:43.551 --> 00:17:46.060 immediate reconstruction with flat with

 $00:17:46.060 \rightarrow 00:17:49.969$ tissue from her belly at that same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}49{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}498$ Doctor Radner did Lapre Scopic surgery to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}53.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.528$ reduce some of organic logic cancer risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}57{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}678$ And this is her early result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}17{:}59.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.752$ Those are not not heard net native

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:18:01.752 \rightarrow 00:18:03.620$ nipples or nipple reconstructions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

00:18:03.620 --> 00:18:06.119 She likes to use paste on nipples

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}06{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07{.}910$ and she's comfortable with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}07{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11{.}870$ Did not wish to have any further surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

00:18:11.870 --> 00:18:14.078 So I'll I'll give a yield my time

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}14.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.928$ since we're running out of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}15{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17{.}146$ Some take home messages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

00:18:17.146 --> 00:18:19.517 I know I just ran through a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}19{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}461$ and this is a brief period of time

 $00:18:21.524 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.468$ to take in all this information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}23{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24{.}582$ So take home messages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:18:24.582 \rightarrow 00:18:26.649$ It's never too early to get your

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

00:18:26.649 --> 00:18:28.319 information and start your due

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

00:18:28.319 --> 00:18:30.220 diligence and to educate yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}30{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}013$ Breast reconstruction is a right for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}33.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.603$ insured women in this includes Medicare

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:18:35.603 \rightarrow 00:18:38.690$ and Medicaid as codified by federal law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}38.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.178$ This is not a one size

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:18:40.178 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.870$ fits all type of operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00{:}18{:}41.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43.480$ Therefore it's important to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:18:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.989$ with the team that can offer all

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:18:45.989 \rightarrow 00:18:47.665$ possible types of Reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

00:18:47.670 --> 00:18:49.320 Breast reconstruction is pretty significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:18:49.320 \rightarrow 00:18:50.692$ Physical and emotional investment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

 $00:18:50.692 \rightarrow 00:18:52.950$ This is not something that's going to.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:18:52.950 \rightarrow 00:18:54.930$ We're going to wave a wand,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00{:}18{:}54{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}580$ and everything is going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:18:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.900$ be perfect right away,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:18:57.900 \rightarrow 00:18:59.220$ and the optimal reconstruction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:18:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.540$ may require several stages,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00{:}19{:}00{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04{.}311$ and then you know I was trying to figure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- 00:19:04.311 > 00:19:08.069 out what the best way to put this was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00{:}19{:}08{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10{.}455$ And I guess the take home is that it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:19:10.455 \rightarrow 00:19:12.417$ doesn't matter what anybody thinks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:19:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.244$ it's the woman and the patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:19:14.244 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.837$ that dictates the role that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00{:}19{:}15{.}837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17{.}709$ their breast play in their lives.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- 00:19:17.710 --> 00:19:19.733 And it's my job is the reconstructive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00{:}19{:}19{.}733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21{.}439$ surgeon to facilitate these decisions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:19:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.684$ So this is This,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886

- $00:19:22.684 \rightarrow 00:19:23.306$ Is It?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- 00:19:23.310 --> 00:19:25.678 I'll give my give it over to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:19:25.678 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.924$ next speaker and I'll be happy to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82723886
- $00:19:27.924 \rightarrow 00:19:29.840$ answer any questions at the end.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84373957
- $00{:}19{:}43.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.490$ I think you'll need to. There we go. More.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85744919
- $00{:}19{:}58.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}710$ OK. Where are those? OK. Is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85744919
- $00:20:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.160$ your slides are my slides. Those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- 00:20:05.160 --> 00:20:06.660 are your slides. Doctor Ferrell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00{:}20{:}06.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.774$ you should be able to nag navigate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00{:}20{:}08.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.860$ through them unless you'd prefer to pull
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00:20:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.760$ them up yourself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00{:}20{:}11.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.860$ No, no, that's that's quite far ahead.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00{:}20{:}13.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.760$ Thanks very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00{:}20{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.960$ Again. Thanks very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00{:}20{:}15{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18{.}215$ The organizers for putting these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00:20:18.215 \rightarrow 00:20:21.730$ sessions together is kind of in this new era.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00:20:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.166$ Nice to talk about pancreatic cancer.

 $00:20:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.790$ The wrist and surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

00:20:25.790 --> 00:20:27.815 My name is James Farmer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

00:20:27.820 --> 00:20:28.226 gastroenterologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

 $00{:}20{:}28{.}226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}256$ predominately in code with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

00:20:30.256 --> 00:20:31.880 interest in pancreatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

 $00:20:31.880 \rightarrow 00:20:34.184$ and obviously the overlap with hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

 $00:20:34.184 \rightarrow 00:20:36.350$ breast and ovarian cancer syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

 $00{:}20{:}36{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38{.}716$ Is is the reasons like it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

 $00:20:38.716 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.219$ work in this very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

00:20:41.220 --> 00:20:45.216 exciting world of genetic risks so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

 $00{:}20{:}45{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46{.}486$ Count advance, that's the only thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

00:20:46.490 --> 00:20:48.170 So if I go to my own slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

 $00{:}20{:}48.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.069$ let me just take pull up my own slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791307

00:20:50.070 --> 00:20:50.642 right?

- 00:20:50.642 --> 00:20:52.358 'cause I can't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791307
- $00{:}20{:}52{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53{.}080$ Stick to store
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71971315
- 00:20:53.080 --> 00:20:53.803 China Doctor Ferrell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71971315
- $00{:}20{:}53.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.490$ I think I just gave you control.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91333413
- 00:21:00.420 --> 00:21:06.015 No. You know? Let me it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91333413
- 00:21:06.015 --> 00:21:07.790 just me or is this? You
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83708197
- $00{:}21{:}07{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}850$ know that that 's me, but if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83708197
- $00{:}21{:}09{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13{.}030$ you do start start from beginning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8341306
- $00{:}21{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.330$ Let me just try and pull up my own slides,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8341306
- $00{:}21{:}15{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16{.}940$ otherwise I won't be able to advance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8684189
- 00:21:19.100 --> 00:21:21.926 Let me just try. If not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8684189
- 00:21:21.930 --> 00:21:25.325 it will go back try that will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8684189
- $00:21:25.325 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.517$ do share and we will go to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775
- $00:21:30.950 \rightarrow 00:21:34.296$ How's that OK? So you know pancreatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775
- $00{:}21{:}34{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}359$ cancer compared to a lot of other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775
- $00:21:37.359 \rightarrow 00:21:40.609$ accounts is not as common when you look

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775
- $00:21:40.609 \rightarrow 00:21:44.025$ at numbers in terms of kind of estimated.

 $00:21:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.722$ Rates for all cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:21:45.722 \rightarrow 00:21:48.697$ It's actually low down on the list

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:21:48.697 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.847$ like #9 and #10 for for men and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:21:51.850 \rightarrow 00:21:54.978$ but when you look at cancer related deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:21:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.930$ it jumps up to #4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

00:21:56.930 --> 00:21:59.444 And for 2020 those rising number

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:21:59.444 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.271$ of about 56,000 cases or so at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}413$ an equally large number of deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:22:04.413 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.099$ due to the nature of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:22:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.446$ and it's estimated that by 2025,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}09{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.790$ which is rapidly approaching but least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}11.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.541$ 2030 pancreatic cancer will be the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}14.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.520$ most common cause for cancer related deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.689$ Maybe the 1st and this is due to a

 $00{:}22{:}19.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.962$ variety of issues for short progress with

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}21{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24{.}310$ other diseases in other types of cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}24{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26{.}151$ but also kind of the slow incremental

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:22:26.151 \rightarrow 00:22:28.103$ progress that's been made or the slower NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}28.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.488$ incremental progress that's been made

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}29{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31{.}088$ with pancreatic cancer treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}31{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34{.}498$ as well as the early detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:22:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.747$ So this is a patient with a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

00:22:36.750 --> 00:22:39.470 This is a cat scan and this area I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}630$ just tell you here in the center is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}42.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.129$ pancreatic cancer at a very early stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

00:22:45.130 --> 00:22:48.040 At the liver is over here and can tell it

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}48.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.237$ was no evidence of any disease in the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}22{:}51{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}494$ And also these are these white structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:22:53.500 \rightarrow 00:22:55.396$ Here are major large blood vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:22:55.396 \rightarrow 00:22:57.678$ in the tumor is away from that,

 $00:22:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.677$ and so this is a patient who has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

00:23:00.677 --> 00:23:03.238 surgically resectable pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}03{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05{.}768$ This is a patient also with a Mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}05{.}768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08{.}700$ in the head of the pancreas and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:08.700 \rightarrow 00:23:11.394$ very close to this blood vessel as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}11{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14{.}040$ a major blood vessel in the area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:14.040 \rightarrow 00:23:16.146$ So a surgeon typically would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:16.146 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.345$ be able to surgically resect this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:18.345 \rightarrow 00:23:20.872$ without having to take a blood vessel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.372$ So this is what's called locally

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:24.372 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.536$ advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}180$ And this is pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.142$ where the disease has spread outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29{.}796$ the pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:29.800 \rightarrow 00:23:32.173$ and now we're dealing with disease lining

 $00:23:32.173 \rightarrow 00:23:35.047$ the lining of the value of the Pirton name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

00:23:35.050 --> 00:23:37.018 So this is meta static disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:37.020 \rightarrow 00:23:39.006$ and when you look at pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:39.006 \rightarrow 00:23:41.590$ cancer in terms of site presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}41{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}971$ Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:41.971 \rightarrow 00:23:45.019$ the the number of patients is presented to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}45.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.256$ patients who presented at early surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:47.260 \rightarrow 00:23:49.899$ Resectable stage is kind of an optimistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}170$ 15%, and those patients do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}984$ They do well with a median survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}54{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57{.}839$ in the region of 20 to 25 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:23:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.775$ but unfortunately the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}23{:}59{.}775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}710$ of patients are presenting either

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:24:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.510$ at that locally advanced stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}24{:}03.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.331$ whereas surgeon counters sect or at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:24:06.331 \rightarrow 00:24:09.107$ meta static stage where the disease has

 $00:24:09.107 \rightarrow 00:24:11.939$ spread to the liver or beyond and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

00:24:11.940 --> 00:24:14.912 Removing the primary pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:24:14.912 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.627$ does not make biological sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

00:24:18.630 --> 00:24:21.294 So despite you know some of these late

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}24{:}21{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23{.}148$ presentations and realizing that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}24{:}23.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.398$ vast majority of patients do currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}24{:}25{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28{.}365$ still presented a late stage and require

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:24:28.365 \rightarrow 00:24:30.053$ medical treatment with chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

00:24:30.060 - 00:24:31.584 or sometimes radiation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00:24:31.584 \rightarrow 00:24:33.108$ there have been advances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82870775

 $00{:}24{:}33.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.539$ and so one of the studies that has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}24{:}38{.}730$ --> $00{:}24{:}41{.}138$ That has pushed this field along Kurt about NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

00:24:41.138 --> 00:24:44.038 10 years ago where a new regiment of drugs NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

00:24:44.038 --> 00:24:46.373 called Folfirinox was going to be vastly

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:24:46.373 \rightarrow 00:24:48.968$ superior to the existing drugs outside of in,

 $00:24:48.968 \rightarrow 00:24:51.096$ and this is really kind of sparked

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}24{:}51.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.818$ a lot of new interest in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:24:53.820 \rightarrow 00:24:57.030$ but there's still a long way to go and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:24:57.030 \rightarrow 00:24:59.067$ the vast majority of patients still succumb

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:24:59.067 \rightarrow 00:25:01.200$ to the disease even after chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:01.200 \rightarrow 00:25:04.710$ And even after surgery, unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:04.710 \rightarrow 00:25:07.718$ So there's many reasons for why the prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:07.718 \rightarrow 00:25:09.959$ with pancreatic cancer is poor and why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}640$ Alot of the patients will

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:11.640 \rightarrow 00:25:13.810$ present at late at late stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.078$ and somebody has to do with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:16.078 \rightarrow 00:25:17.513$ very nonspecific symptoms associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:17.513 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.707$ with the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:18.710 \rightarrow 00:25:21.860$ Here's just a list of the more common one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:21.860 \rightarrow 00:25:25.010$ and if you look at them like abdominal pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:25.010 \rightarrow 00:25:26.410$ weight loss, anorexia, nausea,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- 00:25:26.410 --> 00:25:27.810 vomiting, depression, back pain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.210$ these are very common,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.590$ nonspecific abdominal pain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:30.590 \rightarrow 00:25:33.810$ They don't have to be pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.350$ Jaundice is also an attorney.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- 00:25:35.350 --> 00:25:36.582 Turning yellow is also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:36.582 \rightarrow 00:25:37.506$ very common presentation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:37.510 \rightarrow 00:25:39.346$ but there's many explanations for that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:39.350 \rightarrow 00:25:42.675$ as well as the darkening of urine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:42.680 \rightarrow 00:25:44.390$ Two other presenting symptoms that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:44.390 \rightarrow 00:25:46.528$ just kind of worth noticing will come.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:25:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.300$ Will come back to the diabetes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00{:}25{:}48{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49{.}784$ but include acute pancreatitis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00{:}25{:}49{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}010$ This is a patient who presented
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- 00:25:52.075 00:25:53.488 with acute pancreatitis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}400$ and so pancreatitis is inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:55.400 \rightarrow 00:25:57.310$ in the pancreas typically associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:57.365 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.010$ with gallstones or alcohol use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:25:59.010 \rightarrow 00:26:00.045$ In this patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:00.045 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.115$ presented in December of 2015 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:02.115 \rightarrow 00:26:03.587$ everything basically settled down

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}26{:}03.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.723$ when they came for reevaluation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}838$ their pancreas several months later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:07.840 \rightarrow 00:26:10.048$ But their pancreas was perfectly normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:10.050 \rightarrow 00:26:12.994$ even by the best image in we had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:13.000 \rightarrow 00:26:15.936$ There was no hint of anything going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.736$ That was February 2016.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}26{:}17.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.903$ And then the patient presented back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}26{:}20{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.015$ November 2018 so well over two years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:24.020 \rightarrow 00:26:26.540$ with the pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.812$ So what we surmised from this that would

 $00:26:28.812 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.034$ it that there was something going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:31.034 \rightarrow 00:26:33.637$ back in these early stage in 2015 to 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:33.640 \rightarrow 00:26:35.880$ but just beyond the limitations of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:35.880 \rightarrow 00:26:38.117$ imaging to kind of pick up what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:38.117 \rightarrow 00:26:39.799$ going on and so acute pancreatitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:39.799 \rightarrow 00:26:42.235$ as a presentation can't be ignored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.782$ And when it's when patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:43.782 \rightarrow 00:26:45.219$ followed to have acute pancreatitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}26{:}45{.}219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46{.}984$ and matched with the individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:46.984 \rightarrow 00:26:48.396$ who don't have pancreatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.232$ there is an increased risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

00:26:51.232 --> 00:26:53.120 an underlying pancreatic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

00:26:53.205 -> 00:26:55.529 which we have to keep in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:26:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.615$ So specifically related to pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}26{:}57.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.866$ pancreatic cancer screening,

 $00:26:58.870 \rightarrow 00:27:01.378$ and most people are familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}27{:}01{.}378$ --> $00{:}27{:}03{.}808$ the terminology of screening as it

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}27{:}03.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.872$ relates to do even breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

00:27:05.872 --> 00:27:08.584 screening or even colon cancer screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}27{:}08{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}540$ for previous cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}27{:}10.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.625$ The issues are quite difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:27:12.625 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.876$ and quite challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}27{:}13.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.115$ People have defined a successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

00:27:16.115 --> 00:27:18.350 screening program as the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:27:18.418 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.548$ to detect and treat very early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

00:27:20.550 --> 00:27:21.005 small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00{:}27{:}21.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.825$ resectable pancreatic cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:27:22.825 \rightarrow 00:27:25.670$ asymptomatic stage or the ability to find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:27:25.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.182$ Precancerous lesions they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

00:27:27.182 --> 00:27:29.450 names called patterns or IP Mens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999

 $00:27:29.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.424$ which I'll come back to and this

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:27:31.424 \rightarrow 00:27:33.919$ is a very high challenge for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00{:}27{:}33{.}919$ --> $00{:}27{:}35{.}497$ pancreatic cancer screening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:27:35.500 \rightarrow 00:27:39.163$ Now a lot is being found out about pancreatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858558649999999
- $00:27:39.163 \rightarrow 00:27:41.918$ cancer over the last 10 or 15 years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:27:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.675$ It is incredibly studied disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:27:43.675 -> 00:27:46.080 and we know about the sequence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}27{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.481$ so we understand a lot about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:27:48.481 \rightarrow 00:27:50.472$ sequence from the normal pancreas
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:27:50.472 --> 00:27:53.148 through a variety of stages leading
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:27:53.148 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.620$ to pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}27{:}55{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57{.}444$ Sometimes people will often ask when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:27:57.444 \rightarrow 00:27:59.030$ people present with pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:27:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.890$ Well, how long was it there?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:28:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.440$ Like when did this actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:28:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.680$ show up and should?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

00:28:03.680 --> 00:28:06.032 I could have found it earlier if I NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:06.032 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.329$ had this scan and the reality is, NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.912$ is that when it goes from an NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:09.912 \rightarrow 00:28:11.965$ early two of metastatic or locally NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 00:28:11.965 --> 00:28:13.285 advanced metastatic stage, NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:13.290 \rightarrow 00:28:15.152$ it's probably of the order of 1 NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:15.152 \rightarrow 00:28:17.534$ to $1 \ 1/2$ years is a relatively NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:17.534 \rightarrow 00:28:19.429$ short timeframe when it presents NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:19.429 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.139$ as an invasive cancer. NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:21.140 \rightarrow 00:28:23.121$ The more interesting aspect of it is NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00{:}28{:}23{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}035$ that there is some information that NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:25.035 \rightarrow 00:28:27.373$ tells us that for a pancreatic cancer NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:27.436 \rightarrow 00:28:29.884$ to develop from a perfectly normal NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00{:}28{:}29{.}884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31{.}516$ pancreas through precance rous stages, NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:31.520 \rightarrow 00:28:33.175$ and then to pancreatic cancer NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939 $00:28:33.175 \rightarrow 00:28:35.330$ can take up to 11 years,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}28{:}35{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}904$ and what that means to me is that it

 $00:28:37.904 \rightarrow 00:28:40.134$ provides a very large opportunity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00:28:40.134 \rightarrow 00:28:42.447$ intervening and trying to find these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00:28:42.447 \rightarrow 00:28:45.009$ cancers or precancers at an early stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

00:28:45.010 --> 00:28:45.726 Now, unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}467$ a lot of the pre counts is that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}28{:}49{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51{.}885$ with pancreatic duct that no carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}972$ Are not clearly visible with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

00:28:53.972 --> 00:28:56.230 standard CT scan or an MRI scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00:28:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.234$ but there is a subgroup of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

00:28:58.234 --> 00:28:59.570 precancerous lesions which are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}580$ and these are what are called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

00:29:01.580 --> 00:29:03.250 Pancreatic cysts are fluid collections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}29{:}03{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05{.}931$ and it's guess timated that they contribute to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00:29:05.931 \rightarrow 00:29:09.060$ about to about 1/4 of all pancreatic cancers.

- $00:29:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.395$ Now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:29:09.395 --> 00:29:11.070 pancreatic cysts are very common,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}29{:}11.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13.646$ and Arg estimated to occur in about 6
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:13.646 \rightarrow 00:29:16.096$ million people in the entire US population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.972$ They typically are found on CT
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:17.972 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.780$ scans done for other reasons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:29:19.780 --> 00:29:22.125 so individuals might have a kidney stone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:22.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.778$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}29{:}22{.}778$ --> $00{:}29{:}25{.}370$ and then at the end up having a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:25.446 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.665$ CT scan to look for the kidney
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:29:27.665 00:29:29.499 stone and Lo and behold,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:29.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.838$ we find this in this particular situation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:29:31.840 --> 00:29:33.850 A 2 centimeters cyst of fluid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.190$ collection in the pancreas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.157$ The image down below is a more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:29:37.157 \rightarrow 00:29:38.911$ extreme version where there's multiple

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}29{:}38{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40{.}607$ cysts throughout the pancreas.

00:29:40.610 --> 00:29:41.591 But sister very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}29{:}41.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.880$ very common and clearly the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00:29:43.942 \rightarrow 00:29:46.366$ of sister found do not develop into cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}29{:}46{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47{.}970$ but some of them do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}29{:}47{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50{.}380$ and a lot of work has been done in to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}29{:}50{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52{.}517$ try and figuring out which patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00:29:52.517 \rightarrow 00:29:55.009$ we should be more aggressive with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}29{:}55{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58{.}850$ in which patients we can follow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00:29:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.250$ The other issues relating to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}30{:}00{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03{.}375$ To pancreatic cancer are issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}30{:}03{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05{.}875$ that are are are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}30{:}05{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07{.}804$ Modifiable risk factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}30{:}07{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09{.}728$ necessarily that include exogenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12{.}411$ factors that are related to the

- $00:30:12.411 \rightarrow 00:30:14.059$ development of pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:30:14.060 --> 00:30:16.405 Most of them You're probably familiar with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:30:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.754$ including things like to bacco.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:30:17.754 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.434$ There is a small cigarette.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:30:19.440 --> 00:30:21.115 Smoking is a small risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:30:21.115 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.120$ associated with alcohol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}30{:}22.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.185$ Use H Pie.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}30{:}23.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.960$ Laurie infection of the stomach
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}30{:}24{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27{.}104$ and some of our opportunities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}30{:}27.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.369$ have been associated with that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00{:}30{:}29{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31{.}120$ Through a mechanism of inflammation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:30:31.120 --> 00:30:32.860 obesity is also risk factors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- 00:30:32.860 --> 00:30:33.904 for pancreatic cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:30:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.205$ as it is for a variety of other cancers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:30:36.205 \dashrightarrow 00:30:37.858$ we've already already mentioned
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8495939
- $00:30:37.858 \rightarrow 00:30:40.540$ pancreatitis or inflammation of the pancreas.

 $00{:}30{:}40{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43{.}672$ And then we'll come back to this issue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}30{:}43.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.464$ diabetes in the risk of how diabetes plays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:30:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.934$ let me just finish up that how

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:30:48.934 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.510$ diabetes plays a role in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}30{:}51{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53{.}434$ development of pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}30{:}53{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55{.}300$ There are some things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:30:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.875$ have very little influence on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

00:30:56.875 --> 00:30:58.260 in terms of inherited risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}30{:}58{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00{.}360$ These are the non modifiable risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:00.360 \rightarrow 00:31:02.390$ including genetics syndromes and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:02.390 \rightarrow 00:31:05.290$ the history which will also come back to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

00:31:05.290 --> 00:31:07.230 So diabetes and pancreatic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.170$ is a very complex subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

00:31:09.170 --> 00:31:10.722 Diabetes is quite common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:10.722 \rightarrow 00:31:11.886$ and for sure,

 $00{:}31{:}11{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14{.}290$ diabetes can increase the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

00:31:14.290 --> 00:31:16.321 developing Packer account credit cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:16.321 \dashrightarrow 00:31:18.869$ so that factor has been well established.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}18.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.252$ But it's also now appreciated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

00:31:21.252 --> 00:31:23.640 pancreatic cancer in itself can result

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.848$ in diabetes and affect blood sugar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}25{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28{.}530$ and so diabetes can in fact be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.510$ presentation for pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}30{.}510$ --> $00{:}31{:}32{.}838$ It is a very complex area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35{.}180$ and there's a lot of combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}35{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37{.}190$ and shared risk factors like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}37{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}330$ Obesity and insulin resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.440$ and age and so on so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}40{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44{.}202$ And we're still teasing apart a lot of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:44.210 \rightarrow 00:31:46.958$ A fairly large study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:46.958 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.490$ done several years back of 512.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155
- $00:31:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.338$ Newly diagnosed pancreatic ductal

 $00:31:51.338 \rightarrow 00:31:53.186$ adenocarcinoma patients showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:53.186 \rightarrow 00:31:56.194$ somewhere about 85% of all patients had

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:31:56.194 \rightarrow 00:31:59.760$ some form of elevated fasting blood glucose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03{.}040$ Some of them met.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

00:32:03.040 --> 00:32:04.594 American Diabetic Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}04{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07{.}702$ criteria for new onset diabetes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:32:07.702 \rightarrow 00:32:10.713$ others also had long lasting as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}10.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.133$ established ivs and others had kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}13.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.702$ of prove it what we call prediabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:32:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.508$ But overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}16{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19{.}301$ about 85% of all patients with presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

00:32:19.301 --> 00:32:21.855 with pancreatic adenocarcinoma had some

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}21.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24.460$ fasting blood glucose abnormality to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}24{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27{.}078$ tease this out a little bit further,

 $00:32:27.080 \rightarrow 00:32:29.858$ people have actually gone back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}29.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32.968$ notice that blood sugars begin to arise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}32{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35{.}598$ About 36 months before the visibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}35{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}350$ of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}37{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38{.}370$ of the pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:32:38.370 \dashrightarrow 00:32:40.405$ so there's something going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:32:40.405 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.930$ in the pancreas at a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:32:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.414$ very early stage that results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00{:}32{:}45{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47{.}961$ the blood glucose rising and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:32:47.961 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.986$ body's inability to control it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

00:32:49.990 --> 00:32:50.818 Presenting ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166155

 $00:32:50.818 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.888$ in some form of diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:32:55.330 \rightarrow 00:32:57.634$ People often ask about why don't we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:32:57.634 \dashrightarrow 00:33:00.010$ a blood marker for pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:00.010 \rightarrow 00:33:02.674$ and it's not for lack of trying effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:33:02.680 --> 00:33:04.882 There's a variety of ongoing studies

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:33:04.882 \dashrightarrow 00:33:06.350$ and potentially available blood

 $00:33:06.412 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.572$ markers that are out there for a simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:08.572 \rightarrow 00:33:10.358$ blood test for pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:33:10.360 --> 00:33:12.872 This is one such market that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}12.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.309$ actually currently a valid one set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}15{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16{.}705$ markers we're currently evaluating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.708$ but the real challenge is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}18.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.707$ these markers are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.042$ These blood tests behave

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:21.042 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.374$ in the general population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.200$ and this is one of the reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}24.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.444$ why we don't do currently general

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:26.444 \rightarrow 00:33:27.839$ population screening for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:33:27.840 --> 00:33:30.168 I gotta cancel like we do for colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}30{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32{.}055$ cancer or breast cancer and it's

00:33:32.055 --> 00:33:34.746 just that our the way our tests are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}34.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.032$ designed and due to the relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:37.032 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.796$ low prevalence of the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:38.796 \rightarrow 00:33:40.456$ compared to these other diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}40{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42{.}248$ it would result in water called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}42{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44{.}605$ a lot of false positives so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:33:44.605 --> 00:33:46.430 the test would be positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:33:46.430 - 00:33:48.350 But the vast majority of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}48{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50{.}489$ with a positive blood test would in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:33:50.489 --> 00:33:52.358 fact not turn out to have cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:33:52.422 --> 00:33:54.398 or pancreatic cancer specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}33{:}54{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57{.}288$ and so we have to get better at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:57.288 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.010$ managing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:33:58.010 \dashrightarrow 00:34:00.226$ So there's a lot of knowledge about walk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}00{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01{.}886$ Go into a good blood test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.338$ but the problem is how do we deal with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:34:04.338 \rightarrow 00:34:06.258$ that positive blood test and avoid

 $00:34:06.258 \dashrightarrow 00:34:08.860$ a lot of these false positive tests?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.564$ And the real approach to this in 2020

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}11{.}564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14{.}459$ has been to focus on high risk groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}14.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.210$ We've talked about pancreatic system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}16{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18{.}334$ We talked about diabetes and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:18.334 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.409$ for the remaining of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:34:20.410 --> 00:34:22.510 I want to talk about familial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}22.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.560$ pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.010$ which makes up about 10% of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}26.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.060$ presentations for pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}27.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.760$ ductal carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}27.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.080$ If you could go asking if you ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:34:30.080 --> 00:34:32.655 about family history if you go looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}32.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.580$ for hereditary syndromes or looking

 $00:34:34.648 \rightarrow 00:34:36.856$ for germline mutation in the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:34:36.860 --> 00:34:38.880 you'll find some hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:38.880 \dashrightarrow 00:34:40.900$ susceptibility to pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:40.900 \dashrightarrow 00:34:43.876$ Now a lot relates to how many first, NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:43.880 \dashrightarrow 00:34:45.860$ how many first degree relatives you NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}45{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48{.}291$ have and the greater the number of NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:48.291 \rightarrow 00:34:50.379$ 1st degree relatives you have with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}34{:}50{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52{.}089$ pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:52.090 \dashrightarrow 00:34:54.430$ The increased relative risk going from NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:34:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.012$ about 4.6 if you've got one first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:34:57.012 --> 00:34:59.224 degree relative all the way up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:34:59.298 --> 00:35:01.788 about a 3232 increased relative risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}35{:}01.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.394$ If you have 3 first degree relatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}35{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07{.}040$ normally I would ask people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:35:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.800$ identify those individuals and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:35:08.875 \rightarrow 00:35:11.038$ I could tell you that this is.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00{:}35{:}11.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.945$ President Carter and his brother
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- 00:35:12.945 --> 00:35:15.599 Billy I believe was his name and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- 00:35:15.599 00:35:16.959 The Carter Family is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.472$ That is kind of one of the more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00{:}35{:}19{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21{.}868$ well known families that had at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:21.868 \rightarrow 00:35:23.983$ least eight or nine relatives,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.470$ all with pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:25.470 \dashrightarrow 00:35:27.320$ No identifiable gene was found.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- 00:35:27.320 --> 00:35:28.060 President Carter,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.280$ to the best of our knowledge,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.692$ never had pancreatic counts,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:31.692 \rightarrow 00:35:34.350$ for which he attributes to not smoking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.200$ but interesting when we look
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00{:}35{:}36{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37{.}680$ at these large families,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996
- $00:35:37.680 \dashrightarrow 00:35:39.750$ the most common abnormality or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:35:39.750 \rightarrow 00:35:41.406$ germline mutation that's found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00{:}35{:}41{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42{.}186$ But it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

00:35:42.186 --> 00:35:45.290 They only found up the order of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:35:45.377 \rightarrow 00:35:47.766 20\%$ depending which group you're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:35:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.550$ Is the bracket two mutation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:35:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.150$ So still the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792996

 $00:35:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.750$ patients that have a familial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:35:52.815 --> 00:35:54.180 pancreatic cancer risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}35{:}54{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}892$ We do not have a clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}35{:}56.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59.182$ identifiable germline or blood test

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}35{:}59{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01{.}919$ or mutation that we can look for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}01{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04{.}542$ So these are the familial syndromes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}04{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06{.}760$ that are associated with pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:36:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.118$ cancer with respect to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}09{.}118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11{.}470$ the focus of these sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:36:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.942$ Bracco one, but especially bracket two

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:36:13.942 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.008$ pal between ATM are really the most

 $00:36:17.008 \rightarrow 00:36:19.702$ common German abnormalities that we find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:36:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.314$ There are a variety of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:36:22.314 --> 00:36:24.492 abnormalities such as Peutz, Jegher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:36:24.492 \rightarrow 00:36:26.702$ hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:36:26.702 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.260$ abnormalities in the mismatch repair genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:36:29.260 \rightarrow 00:36:32.468$ There's a series of jeans that are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:36:32.468 \rightarrow 00:36:34.590$ with hereditary pancreatitis which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}34{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}050$ Results in recurrent attacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:36:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.145$ of acute pancreatitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:36:37.150 --> 00:36:40.444 There's a very high risk gene called AP 16.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:36:40.450 --> 00:36:41.180 Mutate mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}41.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.370$ as well as the APC Mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}43{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45{.}939$ But as I said to you before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}45{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48{.}824$ for a lot of patients with familial

00:36:48.824 --> 00:36:50.736 pancreatic cancer where we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}50{.}736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52{.}836$ that it runs in the family's but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}836$ --> $00{:}36{:}55{.}803$ we just don't have a germline or an NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:36:55.803 - > 00:36:57.546 identifiable gene in that family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}36{:}57{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}050$ So in 2020 these are the list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}723$ individuals that we would offer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:37:02.723 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.799$ pancreatic cancer surveillance too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:37:04.800 \rightarrow 00:37:07.166$ We typically are doing it as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}37{:}07{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08{.}900$ of a research protocol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}37{:}08{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11.676$ but you could actually get this as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}37{:}11.676$ --> $00{:}37{:}14.479$ of standard of care for clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}37{:}14.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.706$ That is implications for insurance coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:37:16.710 \dashrightarrow 00:37:19.038$ but we would prefer and strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}37{:}19{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20{.}989$ recommend individuals do it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:37:20.989 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.659$ part of a research protocol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:37:22.660 - 00:37:25.859 and we have a variety of research

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00{:}37{:}25.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.469$ protocols that are open at Yale.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- 00:37:28.470 -> 00:37:30.732 The groups that we are primarily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:37:30.732 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.677$ interested in are really just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:37:32.677 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.497$ coming from the prior table.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- 00:37:34.500 --> 00:37:36.756 Include patients with Peutz Jegher syndrome,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:37:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.272$ familial pancreatic cancer individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- 00:37:38.272 --> 00:37:39.028 So again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:37:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.776$ anybody over the age of 55
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:37:40.776 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.028$ with at least two first degree
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:37:43.028 \dashrightarrow 00:37:45.060$ relatives with pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00{:}37{:}45{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46{.}945$ Any individual one of those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- 00:37:46.945 --> 00:37:47.699 germline mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:37:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.241$ and we do think about high risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- 00:37:50.241 --> 00:37:52.220 German mutations like bracket two,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675
- $00:37:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.908$ copy to an ATM,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:37:53.908 \dashrightarrow 00:37:56.440$ but also the other germline mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}37{:}56{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59{.}320$ such as Braca one and H and peaked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}37{:}59{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}030$ And then that final group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.056$ of hereditary pancreatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.447$ which is a less common entity bonus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}04{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06{.}340$ So this associated with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}06{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07{.}852$ inherited predisposition to getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:07.852 \dashrightarrow 00:38:09.548$ recurrent attacks of pancreatitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:38:09.550 --> 00:38:11.566 As we're about a month ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.580$ we are following about 127 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.604$ I think we're now up to about 140 again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.584$ a large number of these do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:38:18.584 --> 00:38:19.900 have any demonstrable germline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:19.900 \dashrightarrow 00:38:21.975$ abnormality that they've been hurted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:21.980 \dashrightarrow 00:38:25.004$ and as you can see the breakdown there is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:25.010 \rightarrow 00:38:28.510$ as we've alluded to already.

 $00{:}38{:}28{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30{.}990$ This is one of the interesting things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:30.990 \rightarrow 00:38:33.659$ goes on when we study these individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}33{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35{.}580$ All these individuals get a combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.652$ of mris of their pancreas as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}37.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39.487$ well as an endoscopic ultrasound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.236$ which is a very high resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:41.236 \rightarrow 00:38:43.629$ imaging study of the pancreas and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:43.629 \rightarrow 00:38:45.193$ obviously biopsying anything that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}45{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47{.}380$ concerning or or suspicious to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.970$ Research studies in the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}48{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50{.}242$ have collected pancreatic juice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}50{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51{.}489$ from these individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}51{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53{.}205$ and when some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:38:53.205 \rightarrow 00:38:54.920$ individuals have gone to develop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}54{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56{.}864$ pancreatic cancer were able to go

 $00:38:56.864 \rightarrow 00:38:59.330$ back and look at pancreatic juice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00{:}38{:}59{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01{.}245$ From several years anywhere from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

 $00:39:01.245 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.059$ 4 to 61 months prior to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556675

00:39:04.059 - > 00:39:05.699 presentation of the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}05{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07{.}980$ when the patients imaging was perfectly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}07{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10{.}387$ normal and find some abnormal DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:39:10.387 \rightarrow 00:39:12.853$ mutations suggestive of an early tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}12.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.650$ And so the hope is that that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}15.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.092$ one strategy for further surveying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}18.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.176$ and selecting out individuals at risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:39:21.254 \dashrightarrow 00:39:23.750$ for developing pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:39:23.750 \dashrightarrow 00:39:27.120$ One of the issues that comes up all the time NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:39:27.198 \rightarrow 00:39:30.334$ is what effect does this have an outcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}30{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32{.}888$ Does this actually improve our ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:39:32.888 --> 00:39:35.119 detect pancreatic cancer early and improve NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:39:35.119 \rightarrow 00:39:37.285$ the overall outcome of these individuals?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357
- $00:39:37.290 \rightarrow 00:39:40.434$ And this data is really just beginning to

 $00:39:40.434 \rightarrow 00:39:43.018$ accrue because this work has really only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:39:43.018 \dashrightarrow 00:39:46.078$ been going on for about the last 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:39:46.080 - 00:39:48.270 and now we're beginning to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:39:48.270 --> 00:39:49.365 long-term survival studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:39:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.645$ This is one large study that looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}51{.}645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}53{.}308$ at pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:39:53.308 \rightarrow 00:39:54.548$ diagnosed during.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}54{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56{.}500$ Active surveillance program and stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}39{:}56{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58{.}988$ for stage was associated with improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:39:58.988 --> 00:40:00.896 survival compared to individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:40:00.900 --> 00:40:03.630 Presenting from a symptomatic perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:40:03.630 \rightarrow 00:40:06.410$ so some promising information that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}40{:}06{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09{.}190$ perhaps surveillance is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}40{:}09{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12{.}954$ improve overall survival for pancreatic

 $00:40:12.954 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.424$ ductal adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:40:14.430 --> 00:40:15.708 So to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:40:15.708 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.264$ then we don't do general population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}40{:}18.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.046$ screen like we would do for colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:40:21.046 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.690$ or even for breast cancer per say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:40:23.690 \rightarrow 00:40:27.164$ We tend to focus on a high risk individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:40:27.170 --> 00:40:29.330 particularly looking at high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:40:29.330 \rightarrow 00:40:31.490$ individuals with germline mutations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}40{:}31{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33{.}410$ familial risks and those individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}40{:}33{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36{.}014$ get a combination of mr is of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:40:36.014 --> 00:40:38.066 pancreas as well as an endoscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}40{:}38.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.190$ ultrasound and then it's done on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}40{:}40{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42{.}350$ an annual or biannual basis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:40:42.426 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.656$ we're beginning to accrue data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}40{:}44{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47{.}660$ About the benefits of this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:40:47.660 --> 00:40:49.920 Pancreatic cysts I mentioned only

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357
- $00:40:49.920 \rightarrow 00:40:52.180$ because they are incredibly common,

 $00{:}40{:}52.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.582$ but do give us an opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:40:55.582 \rightarrow 00:40:57.619$ visualize these precancerous legions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:40:57.619 \rightarrow 00:41:00.079$ in a subgroup of individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:41:00.080 --> 00:41:02.672 And diabetes is an area of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:41:02.672 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.400$ incredible interest right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:41:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.693$ as another subgroup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:41:05.693 --> 00:41:07.417 particularly new onset diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:41:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.740$ particularly onset diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}08{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12{.}609$ in individuals over the age of 50 and above,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}12.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.445$ that may represent an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}15{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18{.}280$ to find pancreatic cancer early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}18{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20{.}698$ Folly dust a brief plug for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}20.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.212$ with the help of Claire and Amy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}24{.}212$ --> $00{:}41{:}26{.}849$ her colleagues and her colleagues.

 $00:41:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.122$ In the counselor prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}28.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.394$ group here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}29{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31{.}422$ we set up a dedicated pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}31{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}114$ cancer early detection clinic where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}33{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34{.}842$ we see patients with these sorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}34{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}042$ of concerns to see whether they are NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

00:41:37.042 --> 00:41:38.642 eligible for high risk surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}38.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40.565$ or to counseling about their risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00{:}41{:}40{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42{.}160$ with relation to pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518357

 $00:41:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.400$ So on that note, I'll thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411202

 $00:41:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.820$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275833

 $00:41:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.736$ So I'm going to get out of here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275833

 $00:42:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.000$ Screen stop sharing with me OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78903234

 $00{:}42{:}44.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.617$ Clear I'm pulling up doctor Ferrell slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78903234

 $00:42:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.536$ click them in there OK. Get out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78903234

 $00:42:53.536 \rightarrow 00:42:55.939$ Do you mind trying again? Fine no.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85312194
- $00:43:08.030 \rightarrow 00:43:09.616$ Amy might need to bring them up

00:43:09.616 --> 00:43:12.340 on your screen if you can. Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00:43:33.390 \rightarrow 00:43:35.518$ OK, is everyone able to see that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00:43:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.732$ If you're on you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

00:43:36.732 --> 00:43:38.732 you can just nod OK, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00:43:38.732 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.660$ So good evening everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

00:43:40.660 --> 00:43:41.872 My name's Amy Kelly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00{:}43{:}41.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.690$ I am a licensed and certified

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45{.}941$ genetic counselor from the smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00{:}43{:}45{.}941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47{.}689$ cancer genetics and Prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00{:}43{:}47.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.553$ program and I will be presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

00:43:49.553 --> 00:43:51.558 talk that I call yes men two

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00:43:51.558 \rightarrow 00:43:53.153$ screening for men with hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00{:}43{:}53{.}153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55{.}020$ breast and ovarian cancer syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00:43:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.031$ Just the caveat,

 $00:43:56.031 \rightarrow 00:43:57.716$ this is only discussing men

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

00:43:57.716 --> 00:44:00.339 who are cis
gender and I men who

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00{:}44{:}00{.}339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01{.}843$ are transgender cisgender would

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00:44:01.843 \rightarrow 00:44:03.908$ be men who are sign Mail up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00:44:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.620$ earth, transgender or men who

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00{:}44{:}05{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06{.}988$ are assigned female efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

00:44:06.990 --> 00:44:09.040 So just because it's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

 $00:44:09.040 \rightarrow 00:44:11.090$ where all the information is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81544554

00:44:11.090 --> 00:44:12.730 So let's dive in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:44:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.740$ When we talk about hereditary breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}44{:}17.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19.622$ and ovarian cancer, sometimes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:44:19.622 \rightarrow 00:44:22.254$ first thing we think about is women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:44:22.260 \rightarrow 00:44:26.556$ This is something that can only impact women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:44:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.186$ But what's actually the case is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}44{:}30{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32{.}850$ here ditary breast and ovarian cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:44:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.392$ have cancer risks for women and men.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- 00:44:36.400 --> 00:44:37.892 So just to review,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00{:}44{:}37.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40.130$ when we talk about hereditary cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00:44:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.454$ anyone who attended the talk 2 weeks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00:44:42.454 \rightarrow 00:44:44.355$ ago about overview of hereditary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00{:}44{:}44{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46{.}465$ breast and ovarian cancer syndrome,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00:44:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.708$ this might look familiar to you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00:44:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.570$ but most cancer this diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00:44:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.686$ is not hereditary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00{:}44{:}51{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54{.}294$ Most of it is we call sporadic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00:44:54.300 \rightarrow 00:44:56.918$ So due to random chance aging environment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00{:}44{:}56{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59{.}930$ But in some families we can see bed flags for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- 00:45:00.000 --> 00:45:02.880 hereditary cancer such as cancer diagnosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00:45:02.880 \rightarrow 00:45:05.253$ add much early ages such as breast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00{:}45{:}05{.}253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07{.}490$ cancer or colon cancer diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497
- $00:45:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.040$ Under the age of 50,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:45:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.091$ we can see multiple relatives in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

00:45:11.091 --> 00:45:13.313 family with this same type of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:45:13.313 \rightarrow 00:45:15.239$ like relatives all with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:45:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.162$ for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}45{:}16.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}18.928$ Rare cancers such as ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}45{:}18{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22{.}278$ pancreatic cancer, or male breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:45:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.380$ Unusually aggressive cancers such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}45{:}24.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.914$ prostate cancer that has spread or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}45{:}26{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29{.}455$ metastasized in different parts of the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:45:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.388$ One person having multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:45:31.388 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.316$ different types of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}45{:}33{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35{.}840$ And we know that Ashkenazi or Eastern

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}694$ European Jewish individuals have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00:45:37.694 \rightarrow 00:45:39.469$ higher likelihood of having hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605497

 $00{:}45{:}39{.}469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41{.}480$ breast and ovarian cancer syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:45:43.840 \rightarrow 00:45:46.520$ So you may ask what causes hereditary cancer?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673
- $00{:}45{:}46{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}195$ What causes fried trade breast

 $00:45:48.195 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.535$ over in cancer syndrome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:45:49.540 \rightarrow 00:45:53.203$ So if you see this and that's a gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}45{:}53.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.639$ We have what we call cancer prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:45:55.639 \rightarrow 00:45:58.247$ jeans in all the cells of our body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:45:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.930$ We all have these jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:45:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.964$ So when we talk about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:46:01.964 \rightarrow 00:46:04.032$ breast and ovarian cancer would really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}04{.}032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05{.}964$ talking about someone that is born

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08{.}006$ with an inherited mutation in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}08.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.428$ gene which is a harmful change that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

00:46:10.428 --> 00:46:12.936 makes that gene not work correctly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

00:46:12.940 --> 00:46:15.468 In someone who is born or is inherited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}15{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18{.}186$ this mutation has an increased risk of NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:46:18.186 \rightarrow 00:46:20.090$ developing certain types of cancers.

 $00:46:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.072$ So really it is from this single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}23.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.924$ genetic predisposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}23{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26{.}354$ This single gene mutation that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

00:46:26.354 --> 00:46:29.155 can see multiple cancer risks from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}29.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.375$ one inherited cancer predisposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

00:46:31.380 --> 00:46:33.564 So when I think about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:46:33.564 \rightarrow 00:46:35.020$ breast and ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

00:46:35.020 --> 00:46:37.404 I really just think of it as almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}37{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40{.}076$ in an umbrella term is not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}40.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.660$ related to breast and ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00{:}46{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.215$ Risk is related to multiple other cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:46:45.215 \rightarrow 00:46:47.028$ risks, including female breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

00:46:47.028 --> 00:46:48.114 male breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

00:46:48.120 --> 00:46:49.212 pancreatic cancer, Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:46:49.212 \rightarrow 00:46:51.026$ ovarian cancer, and prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673

 $00:46:51.026 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.198$ and you can see these are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8174673
- $00:46:53.198 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.039$ cancers that can impact them.

 $00{:}46{:}57{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59{.}288$ One also thing is important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01{.}343$ know is sometimes that there is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:01.343 \rightarrow 00:47:03.245$ understanding that only women can inherit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:47:03.245 --> 00:47:04.959 hereditary breast ovarian cancer risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.864$ or only women can pass on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:06.864 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.280$ risk with their children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}08.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10.602$ But we know that men and women can pass

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}10.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.948$ on as well as in here it hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:47:12.948 --> 00:47:15.230 cancer risk or single gene mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}15{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17{.}820$ because when someone has a child we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}17.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.870$ pass on half of our genes to both of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.729$ our sons as well as to her daughters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:47:23.730 --> 00:47:25.375 So, knowing someone's family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:25.375 \rightarrow 00:47:27.340$ could be very important to know.

 $00:47:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.296$ Whether or not someone should have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}29{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31{.}076$ genetic testing and whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:31.076 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.756$ they could be at risk of having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:32.814 \rightarrow 00:47:34.918$ hereditary predisposition weather there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.034$ Whether you're a man or a woman looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}38.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.180$ the whole family history cannot impacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.316$ So let's talk about the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:43.316 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.568$ cancers that we can see in men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}45{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48{.}950$ So one that we can see is male breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.964$ As an overview,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}49{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51{.}992$ male breast cancer is quite rare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.362$ makes up only about 1% of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}54{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55{.}706$ breast cancer that's diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}55{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58{.}414$ It impacts one out of 830 three men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}47{:}58{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59{.}824$ just as a comparison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:47:59.824 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.579$ breast cancer in women impacts

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547
- $00:48:01.579 \rightarrow 00:48:03.150$ about one in eight women,

 $00{:}48{:}03{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}684$ so we can see breast cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:05.684 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.890$ men is much less common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.642$ We do see a slightly higher prevalence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}48{:}10.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.407$ male breast cancer in African American men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}48{:}13.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.618$ We can we compare to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:48:15.618 --> 00:48:17.090 ethnicities such as Caucasians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.660$ Hispanics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}48{:}17.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.650$ and as well as specific Pacific Islanders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:48:21.650 --> 00:48:23.390 We see risk avail breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.550$ as men get older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.000$ The average age of diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:26.000 \rightarrow 00:48:27.450$ is about 68 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}48{:}27{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28{.}860$ a little bit older than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:28.860 \rightarrow 00:48:30.640$ average age of diagnosis in women.

 $00:48:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.608$ The average age for women to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:33.608 \rightarrow 00:48:36.487$ diagnosed with breast cancer is about 62.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:48:36.490 --> 00:48:36.783 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:48:36.783 --> 00:48:38.834 we also notice about male breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}48{:}38{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41{.}334$ is that it is more often diagnosed at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:41.334 \rightarrow 00:48:43.740$ later stage than with breast cancer in women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.480$ And when I say later stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:48:45.480 --> 00:48:47.398 I mean that it may be diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}48{:}47{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48{.}960$ with a larger tumor size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:48.960 \rightarrow 00:48:50.836$ The cancer may have involved the lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:50.836 \rightarrow 00:48:52.440$ nodes and possibly have metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.890$ meaning that has spread to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.340$ other parts of the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:48:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.020$ such as the bones or the brain or the logs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}48{:}59{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01{.}456$ Reason for this is not quite clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:49:01.456 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.529$ is possible that given its rarity

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547
- $00:49:03.529 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.769$ there may be less of a general

 $00{:}49{:}05{.}846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}184$ awareness among men and also of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:49:08.184 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.300$ for men in the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:49:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.150$ There's no annual screening that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00{:}49{:}12.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.301$ is recommended as is for women in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

 $00:49:14.301 \rightarrow 00:49:15.656$ the general population with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628547

00:49:15.711 --> 00:49:16.649 annual mammograms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

00:49:18.740 --> 00:49:20.996 An male breast cancer female breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00:49:20.996 \rightarrow 00:49:23.199$ cancer appear biologically to be similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00:49:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.432$ but there may be some pathological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00{:}49{:}25{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}26{.}773$ differences. As an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00{:}49{:}26.773$ --> $00{:}49{:}29.020$ one study suggests that male breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

00:49:29.079 --> 00:49:31.389 is exclusively hormone receptor positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00{:}49{:}31{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34{.}366$ So what that means is that hormones in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00{:}49{:}34{.}366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36{.}810$ the body, like estrogen and progesterone,

 $00:49:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.360$ that men and women have that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00:49:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.928$ breast cancer in men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00:49:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.986$ These hormones almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00:49:41.986 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.394$ exclusively are the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00{:}49{:}43.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.088$ Depends on these hormones to grow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00{:}49{:}46.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.142$ One receptor positive breast cancer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00:49:48.142 \rightarrow 00:49:50.469$ the more common breast cancer in women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00{:}49{:}50{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53{.}454$ but we can sometimes see in women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

00:49:53.454 --> 00:49:55.840 hormone receptor negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

00:49:55.840 --> 00:49:57.440 Clearly more research is needed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471418

 $00:49:57.440 \rightarrow 00:50:00.320$ given that it is a more rare cancer type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}50{:}02{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05{.}238$ We know also about male breast cancer is NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:05.238 \rightarrow 00:50:07.810$ a family history of either female or male.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}50{:}07{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09{.}826$ Breast cancer may impact the man's risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}50{:}09{.}826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.460$ of developing breast cancer himself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.683$ so the risk could be up to 2 1/2

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973
- $00:50:13.683 \rightarrow 00:50:16.016$ times that of the general population.

00:50:16.020 --> 00:50:18.645 If a man has a family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:18.645 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.770$ of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.497$ In this risk may increase if a man has

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:22.497 \rightarrow 00:50:24.740$ multiple relatives with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.805$ particularly breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:25.805 \rightarrow 00:50:26.870$ That's early onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:26.870 \rightarrow 00:50:29.000$ meaning under the age of 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31{.}744$ and that could possibly increase a man's

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:31.744 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.270$ risk five times that again 5 * 1 out of 833.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}50{:}37{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39{.}656$ It interested meet about 20% of men

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}50{:}39{.}656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41{.}624$ with breast cancer have a first

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:41.624 \rightarrow 00:50:43.500$ degree relative with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:43.500 \rightarrow 00:50:45.570$ so whether that's a man's mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.834$ his sister, his father,

 $00:50:46.834 \rightarrow 00:50:49.380$ so there is clearly a familial component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.086$ So unsurprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

00:50:50.086 - 00:50:51.851 when we talk about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

00:50:51.851 - 00:50:53.190 breast cancer in men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.674$ which again is caused by that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:50:55.674 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.916$ single gene mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}50{:}56{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57{.}976$ We not we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}50{:}57{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00{.}088$ There is some studies that suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

00:51:00.088 --> 00:51:01.683 that inherited gene mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:51:01.683 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.399$ can be explained for up to 40%

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}51{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06{.}990$ of all male breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

00:51:06.990 --> 00:51:09.744 Of course you can see this is quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:51:09.744 \rightarrow 00:51:12.770$ range and as research can be contradictory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:51:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.516$ But as clearly seeing male breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00{:}51{:}14{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16{.}525$ cancer alone in a family is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973

 $00:51:16.525 \rightarrow 00:51:18.097$ indicates genetic testing 'cause

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973
- $00:51:18.097 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.146$ there doesn't increase the risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86812973
- $00{:}51{:}20{.}146$ --> $00{:}51{:}21{.}790$ of a hereditary predisposition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00{:}51{:}24.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26.876$ So the two genes and we're going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00{:}51{:}26.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.182$ hear a lot about to night are the ones
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00{:}51{:}29{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31{.}499$ that are the most well studied and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00{:}51{:}31{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}701$ are the most well associated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00:51:33.701 \rightarrow 00:51:35.298$ hereditary breast and ovarian cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00{:}51{:}35{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37{.}140$ as well as risk for men.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- 00:51:37.140 --> 00:51:40.210 They are the BRC A1 in the RCA two genes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00:51:40.210 \rightarrow 00:51:42.046$ more colloquially called the bracket jeans,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00{:}51{:}42.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44.746$ men with a BRC one mutation have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- 00:51:44.746 --> 00:51:47.102 between a 1 to 5% lifetime risk to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- $00{:}51{:}47.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.226$ develop male breast cancer and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- 00:51:49.226 --> 00:51:51.131 with the RSA two mutation have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645
- 00:51:51.131 --> 00:51:53.152 5 to 10% lifetime risk to develop
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00:51:53.152 \rightarrow 00:51:55.060$ male breast cancer be RCA 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}51{:}55{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57{.}625$ I would say is the big one for men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59{.}894$ The risk for men and their types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00:51:59.894 \rightarrow 00:52:01.635$ different types of cancer does is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}01{.}635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03{.}786$ higher than that, with the RCA one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}03.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07.070$ So even though most men with a beer same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00:52:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.548$ mutation will not develop breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00:52:09.550 \rightarrow 00:52:11.150$ there is a significantly increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}11{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12{.}750$ risk when compared to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

00:52:12.809 - 00:52:14.069 general population risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}14.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.445$ Again, that one out of 833 approximately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}18{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20{.}375$ There are other breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00:52:20.375 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.300$ genes that can possibly cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00:52:22.373 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.396$ a risk of breast cancer in men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}24{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26{.}150$ Those risks aren't well defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00:52:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.900$ We still need more studies.

 $00:52:27.900 \rightarrow 00:52:30.161$ There is one another high risk gene's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

00:52:30.161 --> 00:52:32.566 called Pal B2 that's related to high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}32{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35{.}066$ risk for breast cancer in women and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00:52:35.066 \rightarrow 00:52:37.346$ two moderate risk breast cancer genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}37{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}094$ called check to an ATM that possibly could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234645

 $00{:}52{:}40.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42.950$ have a role for breast cancer in men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:52:45.860 \rightarrow 00:52:48.396$ So when we talk about the screening again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

00:52:48.400 --> 00:52:50.380 I'm going to be mainly talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}52{:}50{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52{.}057$ about screening for men with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}52{:}52{.}057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53{.}785$ BRC one and B RC2 mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:52:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.704$ 'cause that's where most of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}270$ data and our guidelines are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}52{:}57{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59{.}232$ But based on the national comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}52{:}59{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01{.}821$ cancer network or we call NCCN is where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:01.821 \rightarrow 00:53:04.249$ get the majority of our screening guidelines.

 $00{:}53{:}04{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}506$ Men are recommended at each 35 to begin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:06.506 \rightarrow 00:53:08.677$ breast self exam training and education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.160$ so that could be just being aware of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:11.160 \rightarrow 00:53:13.121$ their chest wall, noticing any lumps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

00:53:13.121 -> 00:53:14.706 bumps changed in the nipple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:14.710 \rightarrow 00:53:15.906$ redness of the nipple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:15.906 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.210$ and a scaly skin on the nipple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.527$ or nipple discharge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

00:53:19.530 --> 00:53:20.355 In the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}53{:}20{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22{.}005$ after their doctor and then having

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}53{:}22.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24.037$ an annual clinical breast exam with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:24.037 \rightarrow 00:53:26.170$ their primary care provider at age 35,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.900$ again to look for any changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}53{:}28{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31{.}248$ the breast tissue or chest wall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.990$ At this point in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}53{:}32{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34{.}976$ the NCCN guidelines is not recommend

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234
- $00:53:34.976 \rightarrow 00:53:36.300$ mammography screening for men

 $00:53:36.354 \rightarrow 00:53:37.858$ unless they have gynecomastia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:37.860 \rightarrow 00:53:40.296$ which is men have enlarged breast tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}53{:}40{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42{.}016$ in that it would be recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:42.016 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.454$ at age 50 or 10 years younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}53{:}44{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46{.}499$ than the earliest male breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

00:53:46.499 - 00:53:48.298 cancer diagnosis in the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}53{:}48{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49{.}428$ whichever comes first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:49.428 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.060$ There have been a couple more recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:52.132 \rightarrow 00:53:54.267$ studies that have been screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:53:54.267 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.402$ men without gynecomastia that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

00:53:56.474 --> 00:53:58.382 a B or C1 or B or C2 Mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}53{:}58{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01{.}414$ and some of that data does suggest them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}54{:}01{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}275$ Matt managers may be helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}54{:}03{.}275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04{.}759$ in that population so,

 $00:54:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.979$ but at this point in time only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

00:54:06.979 --> 00:54:08.462 annual mammograms are recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:54:08.462 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.318$ for men with gynecomastia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00:54:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.546$ But stay tuned and this is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}54{:}12{.}546$ --> $00{:}54{:}15{.}802$ image of a man with a B RC2 Mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452234

 $00{:}54{:}15.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.120$ who underwent an annual mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:54:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.314$ The next big cancer we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:54:23.314 \rightarrow 00:54:24.670$ about is prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}54{:}24.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26.794$ So prostate cancer worldwide is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}54{:}26.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28.739$ second most common cancer and man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:54:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.204$ It is very common and it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:54:31.204 \rightarrow 00:54:33.316$ approximately the 5th leading cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}54{:}33{.}316$ --> $00{:}54{:}35{.}776$ of cancer related death worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}54{:}35{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}694$ One in nine men will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}54{:}37{.}694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}38{.}970$ diagnosed with prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:54:38.970 \rightarrow 00:54:41.514$ so it's a very common cancer in men.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:54:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.552$ It is more seen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}54{:}42{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44{.}854$ more often seen in men who are African
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}54{:}44{.}854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46{.}912$ American and men who are African
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}54{:}46{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48{.}688$ American may also be diagnosed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}54{:}48.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50.746$ with a more aggressive type of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}54{:}50{.}746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}550$ prostate cancer when compared to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}54{:}52{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}470$ other men who are white or Hispanic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}54{:}55{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57{.}534$ And we also see increasing age can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}54{:}57{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59{.}698$ a risk factor for prostate cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- 00:54:59.700 00:55:02.116 The average age diagnosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:55:02.116 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.136$ prostate cancers around age 66.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}55{:}05{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07{.}282$ Most of prostate cancer is what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:55:07.282 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.353$ we call indolent,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:55:08.360 \rightarrow 00:55:10.406$ meaning that it may be asymptomatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:55:10.406 \rightarrow 00:55:13.399$ if it is diagnosed and may only be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:13.399 \rightarrow 00:55:14.963$ need routine screening surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:14.963 \rightarrow 00:55:17.455$ closely in the beginning and may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

00:55:17.455 --> 00:55:19.982 require a lot of treatment or surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

00:55:19.982 --> 00:55:20.423 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}55{:}20{.}423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23{.}069$ prostate cancer rarely can be aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}55{:}23.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.350$ What's used to grade the aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:25.350 \rightarrow 00:55:28.059$ prostate cancer is called the Gleason score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}55{:}28.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.132$ And when we see a Gleason score

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:30.132 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.498$ of more at least seven or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.085$ it indicates a more aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:34.085 \rightarrow 00:55:34.719$ prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}55{:}34{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37{.}890$ So when we see a man with a Gleason score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

00:55:37.890 --> 00:55:38.979 7 prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:38.979 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.794$ especially if he has other

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}55{:}40.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42.610$ family history of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:42.610 \rightarrow 00:55:44.006$ Prostate cancer, ovarian cancer.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:55:44.006 \rightarrow 00:55:46.627$ We can think maybe there is some

 $00{:}55{:}46.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48.022$ hereditary predisposition in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:48.022 \rightarrow 00:55:49.417$ particularly metastatic prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:49.417 \rightarrow 00:55:51.808$ cancer in and of itself alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}55{:}51{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53{.}810$ Is does increase suspicion if

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.810$ there is a hereditary risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.610$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:55:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.384$ one study found that 11.8% of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}55{:}59{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00{.}932$ metastatic prostate cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}56{:}00{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03{.}396$ that were tested had a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}56{:}03.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.516$ genetic or inherited mutation which

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}56{:}05{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07{.}410$ was significantly higher than men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}56{:}07{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10{.}210$ Who had we call more localized prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:56:10.210 \dashrightarrow 00:56:12.840$ cancer, meaning it had not spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:56:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.840$ To other parts of the body.

- $00:56:15.840 \rightarrow 00:56:17.450$ And again with bearsley wannabe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.741$ receipt to your, say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- 00:56:18.741 --> 00:56:21.309 one of the risk is less well described,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.925$ but possibly between 17 to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}56{:}22.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}24.446$ 23% risk over the lifetime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:24.446 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.008$ And VR say to the risk is higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}56{:}27.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29.606$ as I mentioned before, say 2 is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:29.606 \rightarrow 00:56:33.010$ Between bare say one in Beerse Tubular State,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}56{:}33.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.500$ Two is the one that has higher risks for men.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.240$ The lifetime hours could be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}56{:}38{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}550$ between 23 is 67% lifetime risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:41.550 \rightarrow 00:56:44.690$ Well, we may also see with men with the beer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.574$ say one or two mutation is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}56{:}46{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}47{.}830$ that particularly dark too?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:47.830 \rightarrow 00:56:49.654$ They may be diagnosed under the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00:56:49.654 \rightarrow 00:56:52.228$ age of 65 and as we talked about,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576
- $00{:}56{:}52{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53{.}795$ the average age for prostate

 $00:56:53.795 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.047$ cancer diagnosis is 66,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:56:55.050 \rightarrow 00:56:59.280$ so we may see a younger than expected ages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00:56:59.280 \rightarrow 00:57:01.065$ There are other hereditary cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}01.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03.206$ genes related to breast cancer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}03.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05.257$ may have the risk of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

00:57:05.260 --> 00:57:05.597 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}05{.}597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08{.}293$ that risk is not either not clear or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}08{.}293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11{.}230$ if there is a risk is not well defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}11{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13{.}684$ These are two genes I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}13.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}15.803$ earlier to moderate risk breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}15.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18.617$ cancer genes called ATM in check too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}18.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.384$ And there are other jeans that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}20{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21{.}983$ are related to hereditary prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86094576

 $00{:}57{:}21{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23{.}798$ cancer that actually aren't related

 $00:57:23.798 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.250$ to hereditary breast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515072

 $00{:}57{:}25{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26{.}619$ will bring cancer at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515072

 $00:57:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.168$ So that's why it is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515072

 $00:57:28.168 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.676$ for people to be discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515072

 $00:57:29.676 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.736$ their family histories of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}57{:}34{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}35{.}908$ Now, prosecutors screening for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}57{:}35{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38{.}480$ men with BR C1N V RC2 mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}57{:}38{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}40{.}355$ Ansi seeing guideline suggests that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}57{:}40{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43{.}523$ when men are 40 years old that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

00:57:43.523 --> 00:57:45.563 begin having an annual prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00:57:45.563 \rightarrow 00:57:47.698$ specific antigen or we call PSA blood NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}57{:}47.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50.036$ test as well as a digital rectal exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}57{:}50{.}036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52{.}570$ The Rectal Exam is to mainly exam

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}57{:}52.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}55.266$ the prostate to see if there's any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}57{:}55{.}266$ --> $00{:}57{:}57{.}647$ abnormalities and the PSA blood test is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00:57:57.647 \rightarrow 00:58:00.116$ used to see if there's any increasing levels.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- 00:58:00.116 --> 00:58:01.508 PSA is in Janessa,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00:58:01.510 \dashrightarrow 00:58:03.445$ created by normal prostate tissue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}03.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.380$ as well as prostate cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00:58:05.380 \rightarrow 00:58:07.936$ Thinking is that if PSA is rising or is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}07{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10.475$ high in May indicate a prostate cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}10.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.720$ but neither of these tests are diagnostic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00:58:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.100$ They are screening tests.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}14.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15.825$ There could be other explanations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}15{.}825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17{.}820$ for why there is an elevated PSA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00:58:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.291$ The only way to diagnose the prostate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}20{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22{.}449$ cancers through a prostate biopsy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}22{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24{.}473$ And this just an image of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00:58:24.473 \dashrightarrow 00:58:26.550$ man getting a PSA blood tests.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}26{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}28{.}874$ So really this screening or is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686
- $00{:}58{:}28{.}874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31{.}058$ screen tests beginning at a younger age
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00{:}58{:}31{.}058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33{.}121$ or used to help detect prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250686

 $00:58:33.121 \rightarrow 00:58:35.368$ at a much earlier in treatable stage?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:58:37.780 --> 00:58:38.400 Pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}58{:}38{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40{.}880$ I'm not going to go into much detail

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:58:40.940 --> 00:58:42.800 with this because I following doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:58:42.800 -> 00:58:44.890 Ferrell who did an excellent job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}58{:}44{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47{.}466$ but it should put in my 2 cents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:58:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.085$ So doctor girl talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:58:49.085 --> 00:58:50.377 the RSA two mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}52{.}090$ which compared which again beer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}58{:}52{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}54{.}588$ say to the risk life members for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:58:54.588 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.094$ pancreatic cancer is between 4 to 8%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:58:57.100 --> 00:58:58.126 You're saying one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:58:58.126 \rightarrow 00:59:00.520$ Mutations of risk appears to be elevated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}00{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}03{.}256$ but that exact risk is not well defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:59:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.970$ It is significantly impacted by

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904
- 00:59:04.970 --> 00:59:06.680 family history of pancreatic cancer,

 $00:59:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.510$ so most individuals of USA one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:59:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.196$ and verse 18 mutations will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:59:10.196 - 00:59:11.808 not develop pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:59:11.810 \rightarrow 00:59:14.986$ However, if there is a family history as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}14.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}17.181$ doctor Farrell discussed those with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:59:17.181 \dashrightarrow 00:59:20.330$ with a beer stay one or B RC2 Mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}20{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}22{.}070$ Would be recommended to consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}22.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}23.810$ high risk pancreas screening as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:59:23.874 \rightarrow 00:59:25.399$ part of a research protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:59:25.400 --> 00:59:26.076 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:59:26.076 --> 00:59:27.766 these other two genes doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}27.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}28.780$ Farrell mentioned to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}28.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}31.378$ These are two genes related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:59:31.378 --> 00:59:33.998 hereditary breast cancer ATM and palb 2.

 $00{:}59{:}34.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}36.400$ So when we when we have an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}36{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}38{.}574$ with the bear say one or two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}38{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}40{.}914$ mutation probably 2 or ATM in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:59:40.914 --> 00:59:42.829 family history of pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:59:42.830 \dashrightarrow 00:59:43.978$ screening can be considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00{:}59{:}43{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}46{.}110$ Beginning at age 50 or 10 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

 $00:59:46.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.865$ younger than the earliest pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051904

00:59:47.865 - 00:59:49.700 cancer diagnosis in the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $00{:}59{:}52{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}55{.}220$ And finally, Melanoma, so Melanoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $00{:}59{:}55{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}57{.}778$ the least common type of skin cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $00{:}59{:}57{.}780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00{.}489$ But it does have a higher mortale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

01:00:00.490 --> 01:00:03.311 The rate and it has been increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01{:}00{:}03{.}311 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}05{.}180$ incidents from 2001 to 2010.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:05.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.515$ It has increased by 1.6% worldwide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01{:}00{:}07{.}515 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}09{.}390$ and it hadn't even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:09.390 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.440$ it's the most least common.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- $01:00:11.440 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.568$ The least common skin cancer we would see.

 $01:00:14.570 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.730$ It's makes up about 73% of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:17.730 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.150$ skin cancer related deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:20.150 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.046$ In one in 60, three individuals in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

01:00:23.046 --> 01:00:25.379 United States will develop Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01{:}00{:}25{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}28{.}305$ In the majority of melanomas are on the skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:28.310 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.940$ though Melanoma can develop in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:29.940 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.880$ rare places such as the eye,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

01:00:31.880 --> 01:00:33.806 over 91% of melanomas are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

01:00:33.806 --> 01:00:35.460 called cutaneous on the skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:35.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.399$ but the second most common would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

01:00:37.399 --> 01:00:39.679 of the eye called ocular Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:39.680 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.670$ though that is also rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01{:}00{:}42.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.680$ The biggest risk factors for Melanoma in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304

 $01:00:45.680 \rightarrow 01:00:48.000$ the general population are fair skin,

- 01:00:48.000 --> 01:00:49.640 sun exposure, blistering sunburns,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- $01:00:49.640 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.280$ and tanning bed use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- $01:00:51.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.531$ particularly fair skin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- $01{:}00{:}52{.}531 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54{.}616$ The incidence of Melanoma and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- $01:00:54.616 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.199$ Caucasians is about 2.3%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- 01:00:56.200 --> 01:00:58.726 The risk for African American men
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- 01:00:58.726 --> 01:01:02.659 is .1 in the men and women and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- $01:01:02.659 \rightarrow 01:01:05.350$ risk for Hispanic men and women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- 01:01:05.350 --> 01:01:08.174 is about point .5% So fair skin is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570304
- 01:01:08.174 --> 01:01:09.770 a big risk factor for Melanoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125
- $01{:}01{:}13{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}15{.}657$ Well, we know about the essay one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125
- 01:01:15.657 --> 01:01:17.633 beer C2 is that there appears to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125
- $01:01:17.633 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.379$ be a higher risk of Melanoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125
- $01{:}01{:}19{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}22{.}164$ But really, that risk is not well defined.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125
- $01{:}01{:}22{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}24{.}389$ Will we has been a small associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125
- 01:01:24.389 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:01:26.227 risk of ocular Melanoma and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125
- $01:01:26.227 \rightarrow 01:01:28.699$ individuals with the RCA two mutations?

 $01{:}01{:}28{.}700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}31{.}612$ This is not been really seen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01{:}01{:}31{.}612 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}34{.}109$ individuals of PRC one mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01:01:34.110 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.678$ At this point in time with the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

01:01:36.678 --> 01:01:38.870 hereditary breast and ovarian cancer genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01:01:38.870 \rightarrow 01:01:40.230$ there's not a strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01{:}01{:}40.230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41.250$ Association with Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01{:}01{:}41{.}250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}43{.}308$ Check two is a moderate risk breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01{:}01{:}43.308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}45.339$ cancer gene that I mentioned that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01{:}01{:}45{.}339 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}47{.}149$ some studies have suggested Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01:01:47.149 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.341$ but really is not very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

01:01:49.341 --> 01:01:50.745 Association with other hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.85854125

 $01:01:50.745 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.470$ breast or ovarian cancer genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01{:}01{:}55{.}060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}57{.}088$ So what does N seeds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:01:57.088 \rightarrow 01:01:58.820$ CC and recommend for men,

 $01:01:58.820 \rightarrow 01:02:01.538$ men and women screening for Melanoma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:01.540 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.885$ So there's no specific guidelines that exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01{:}02{:}03.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}06.340$ partially because the risk is appears to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:06.340 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.908$ be relatively low and is not well defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

01:02:08.910 --> 01:02:10.250 But general Melanoma risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

01:02:10.250 --> 01:02:11.255 management is appropriate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:11.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.423$ so that would be an annual total

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:13.423 \rightarrow 01:02:15.081$ body skin exam by dermatologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01{:}02{:}15{.}081 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}17{.}384$ so it look over the whole body

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:17.384 \rightarrow 01:02:19.630$ to look for any abnormal moles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:19.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.572$ And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:20.572 \rightarrow 01:02:23.186$ a person being aware of any changes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:23.186 \rightarrow 01:02:25.657$ any moles animals that are getting bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

01:02:25.660 --> 01:02:27.670 getting darker, having any uneven borders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:27.670 \dashrightarrow 01:02:30.346$ and bring back to their dermatologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

01:02:30.350 --> 01:02:31.817 And of course,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208
- $01:02:31.817 \rightarrow 01:02:33.773$ avoiding excessive UV exposures

01:02:33.773 - 01:02:37.290 wearing a hat using over S50 sublock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:37.290 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.018$ And avoiding tanning beds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:40.020 \rightarrow 01:02:42.127$ And from then went with a beer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

01:02:42.130 --> 01:02:42.943 see two mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01{:}02{:}42.943 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}45.208$ They may consider an eye exam by an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01{:}02{:}45{.}208 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}47{.}062$ ophthalmologist as the goal is again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01{:}02{:}47.062 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}49.080$ the small version of ocular Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

01:02:49.080 --> 01:02:51.591 But this type of exam will be used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:51.591 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.012$ look in the back of the eye to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01:02:54.012 \dashrightarrow 01:02:56.329$ if ocular Melanoma ever did develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8451208

 $01{:}02{:}56{.}330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}58{.}136$ That it was caught much earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

01:03:00.680 --> 01:03:02.440 So just as a summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01{:}03{:}02{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}03{.}932$ hereditary breast and ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

01:03:03.932 --> 01:03:05.797 cancer syndrome has distinct cancer

01:03:05.797 --> 01:03:07.565 risks for men, not just women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01{:}03{:}07{.}565 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}09{.}700$ There are cancer risks for men and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01{:}03{:}09{.}763 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}11{.}323$ or manager recommendations for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

01:03:11.323 - 01:03:13.663 men who have a hereditary breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

01:03:13.670 - 01:03:17.380 In Newberry and cancer syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01:03:17.380 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.418$ And the screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01{:}03{:}18{.}418 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}20{.}494$ The whole point of screening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01:03:20.494 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.336$ manage recommendations is to either

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

01:03:22.336 --> 01:03:24.484 prevent cancer or detected at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01{:}03{:}24.548 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}26.280$ much earlier treatable stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01{:}03{:}26.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}28.320$ And I would recommend to learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

01:03:28.320 --> 01:03:29.680 about your family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01:03:29.680 \rightarrow 01:03:31.325$ Family history can really impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01:03:31.325 \rightarrow 01:03:32.312$ screening recommendations like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01:03:32.312 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.760$ a pancreatic cancer screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01:03:33.760 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.650$ and also can really learn more

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492
- $01:03:35.650 \rightarrow 01:03:37.318$ about if other genetic testing

 $01{:}03{:}37{.}318 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}39{.}043$ is indicated and also inform

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453492

 $01{:}03{:}39{.}043 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}40{.}900$ other members of your family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90580887

 $01:03:43.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.884$ So thank you everyone for attending

NOTE Confidence: 0.90580887

 $01:03:44.884 \rightarrow 01:03:46.739$ to give any questions or comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90580887

 $01{:}03{:}46{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}49{.}161$ Feel free to hang out at the end and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90580887

 $01{:}03{:}49{.}161 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}51{.}653$ send me a question or if any questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.90580887

01:03:51.653 --> 01:03:54.539 come up later you can contact me at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.90580887

 $01{:}03{:}54{.}539 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57{.}360$ programs email and ask away. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710211

01:04:03.420 --> 01:04:04.982 Alright, thank you everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710211

 $01{:}04{:}04{.}982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}08{.}400$ So we have had a couple questions come in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710211

01:04:08.400 --> 01:04:11.011 but if the attendees want to send

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710211

 $01:04:11.011 \rightarrow 01:04:13.380$ some more that would be great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710211

01:04:13.380 --> 01:04:15.474 So Doctor Abraham we had one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710211

 $01:04:15.474 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.371$ question come in the individual

- 01:04:17.371 --> 01:04:19.506 asked if someone is slim.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8710211
- $01:04:19.510 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.790$ Is there always enough tissue in

 $01:04:21.790 \rightarrow 01:04:24.489$ the stomach area to perform a deep?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

01:04:25.850 --> 01:04:27.735 So there's there's a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:27.735 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.620$ answer in the long answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:29.620 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.259$ and the short answer is that no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:32.260 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.175$ obviously even some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:34.175 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.090$ examples that I showed these

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

01:04:36.166 --> 01:04:38.290 were women that were very slim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:38.290 \rightarrow 01:04:40.409$ They have never been pregnant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:40.409 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.674$ they don't have any excess

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:42.674 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.090$ tissue in the lower abdomen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:45.090 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.952$ The good news is that if a woman is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:47.952 \rightarrow 01:04:50.899$ committed to reconstruction using her own

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:50.899 \rightarrow 01:04:53.449$ tissues adamant against having implants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:53.450 \rightarrow 01:04:55.535$ there is usually there are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383
- $01{:}04{:}55{.}535 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}56{.}786$ usually other options.

01:04:56.790 --> 01:04:59.166 My primary go to for secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:04:59.166 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.390$ option is the inner thighs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:01.390 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.208$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:02.208 \longrightarrow 01:05:04.253$ because just the way women

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:04.253 \rightarrow 01:05:07.242$ tend to be shaped alot of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:07.242 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.750$ carry even that are very thin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:09.750 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.422$ carries some extra weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:11.422 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.094$ on their inner thighs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:13.100 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.812$ and that's an opportunity to leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:15.812 \dashrightarrow 01:05:17.620$ that for breast reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01{:}05{:}17.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}19.210$ There's tissue in the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:19.210 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.800$ There's tissue in the budget,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01{:}05{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}23.662$ so the so the answer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383

 $01:05:23.662 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.093$ that it's complicated.

- $01:05:25.100 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.368$ And that it requires
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383
- $01:05:26.368 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.319$ more thorough discussion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383
- $01:05:27.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.690$ And we're almost always able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383
- $01{:}05{:}28.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}30.478$ to find tissue for a tissue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383
- 01:05:30.478 --> 01:05:31.890 based reconstruction for a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88445383
- $01{:}05{:}31{.}890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}33{.}655$ woman that's committed to it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86684895
- $01:05:35.300 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.840$ OK, thank you and me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86684895
- $01:05:36.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.350$ We had a question come
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86684895
- $01:05:38.350 \longrightarrow 01:05:40.230$ in that says if you are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86684895
- $01:05:40.230 \rightarrow 01:05:42.078$ if you already have prostate cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86684895
- $01:05:42.080 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.928$ is it ever too late to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86684895
- $01:05:43.928 \rightarrow 01:05:45.160$ get the genetic testing?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626
- 01:05:48.230 --> 01:05:50.666 No, if you already have prostate cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626
- $01:05:50.670 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.764$ it is not too late to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626
- $01:05:52.764 \rightarrow 01:05:54.160$ get the genetic testing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626
- $01:05:54.160 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.414$ There are a couple of benefits to

 $01{:}05{:}56{.}414 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}58{.}558$ individuals who have who have cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}05{:}58{.}558$ --> $01{:}06{:}00{.}784$ prostate cancer to have genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

01:06:00.790 --> 01:06:03.086 One is that men with more advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01:06:03.086 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.463$ prostate cancer or metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

01:06:04.463 --> 01:06:06.759 prostate cancer with a beer say one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01:06:06.759 \rightarrow 01:06:08.989$ or two mutation may be eligible

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01:06:08.989 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.874$ for specific the rapies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01:06:10.874 \rightarrow 01:06:13.010$ more targeted to their cancer type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}06{:}13.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}15.786$ So it can be benefit for their treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}06{:}15.786 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}17.866$ and Additionally this is also added

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}06{:}17.866 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}19.511$ giving information to the family

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}06{:}19{.}511 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}21{.}857$ so someone with a cancer diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

01:06:21.860 --> 01:06:23.918 Who does have genetic testing can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}06{:}23.918 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}25.631$ then provide information to their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}06{:}25.631 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}27.598$ relatives to know if they need to

 $01:06:27.598 \rightarrow 01:06:29.321$ have their own genetic testing so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}06{:}29{.}321 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31{.}586$ they can be aware of their own cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559626

 $01{:}06{:}31{.}586 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}32{.}798$ screening management if necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85351026

 $01{:}06{:}35{.}580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}38{.}505$ Great and then another question for you Amy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85351026

 $01{:}06{:}38{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}40{.}886$ Is there a certain age that you recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.85351026

 $01{:}06{:}40.886$ --> $01{:}06{:}43.690$ men or boys from families with unknown NOTE Confidence: 0.85351026

01:06:43.690 --> 01:06:45.830 BRCA Mutation pursue genetic testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01:06:46.900 \longrightarrow 01:06:49.070$ Great question. So we do not test

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01{:}06{:}49{.}070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}51{.}733$ men who are under the age of 18

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

01:06:51.733 --> 01:06:53.878 weaken the youngest we would ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01{:}06{:}53.878 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}56.362$ test to some one who's 18 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01:06:56.362 \rightarrow 01:06:57.970$ because they're illegal adult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01:06:57.970 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.130$ That being said, men with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01{:}07{:}00.130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}02.610$ beater say one or B RC2 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01:07:02.610 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.686$ We would not even begin their

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01:07:04.686 \rightarrow 01:07:06.859$ cancer screening until they were 35

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01{:}07{:}06.859 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}08.679$ with annual clinical breast exams.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01:07:08.680 \rightarrow 01:07:10.475$ So it's completely reasonable for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01:07:10.475 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.058$ men to wait until closer to that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- 01:07:13.058 --> 01:07:14.853 age when cancer screening might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01{:}07{:}14.853 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}16.682$ might impact might change their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01:07:16.682 \rightarrow 01:07:18.728$ management if they were be RCA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01:07:18.730 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.054$ Positive at that time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01:07:20.054 \rightarrow 01:07:20.716$ Of course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- 01:07:20.720 --> 01:07:22.436 Some men who are younger want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- 01:07:22.436 --> 01:07:24.408 to know for any family planning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01:07:24.408 \longrightarrow 01:07:26.694$ and things and things like that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01:07:26.700 \rightarrow 01:07:29.017$ But of course some specially younger men.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- 01:07:29.020 --> 01:07:30.340 There is some considerations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01:07:30.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:31.990$ for getting life insurance in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244
- $01{:}07{:}31{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}33{.}670$ place prior to genetic testing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01:07:33.670 \rightarrow 01:07:35.656$ If people have concerns about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

01:07:35.660 --> 01:07:38.980 So I would say it was a little long winded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01{:}07{:}38{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}40{.}912$ but at least 18 completely reasonable

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01:07:40.912 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.244$ to wait until 35 and also definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01{:}07{:}43.244 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}45.540$ reasonable if a man younger than 35

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

01:07:45.600 --> 01:07:47.938 one to have testing that was motivated

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502244

 $01{:}07{:}47.938 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}49.610$ specially for any family planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711236

01:07:52.050 --> 01:07:55.206 Mary Ann Dr Abraham. What's the recovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711236

01:07:55.206 --> 01:07:57.010 period like after reconstruction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01{:}07{:}59.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}01.502$ Talk about a loaded question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:01.502 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.392$ so it's it's really variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

01:08:03.400 --> 01:08:06.424 I tell women and it's hard to couch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:06.430 \longrightarrow 01:08:09.078$ so I try to catch it in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:09.078 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.360$ of time missed from work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01{:}08{:}11{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13{.}628$ which is also not perfect because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:13.628 \rightarrow 01:08:15.958$ some women don't work in women

 $01{:}08{:}15{.}958 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}18{.}178$ also have different types of jobs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01{:}08{:}18{.}180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}20{.}832$ Obviously some body that works as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01{:}08{:}20.832 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}23.609$ construction would have longer time out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:23.609 \rightarrow 01:08:26.140$ work then somebody that has a desk job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:26.140 \longrightarrow 01:08:29.540$ but I tell women that have a mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01{:}08{:}29{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}30{.}880$ Without reconstruction to expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

01:08:30.880 --> 01:08:33.240 three to four weeks out of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:33.240 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.830$ women that have mastectomy with an implant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:35.830 \rightarrow 01:08:37.940$ reconstruction a similar time out of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01:08:37.940 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.382$ and women that have mastectomy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01{:}08{:}40.382 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}42.010$ tissue based reconstruction more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85061425

 $01{:}08{:}42.073 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}43.985$ like 4 to six weeks out of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

01:08:47.010 --> 01:08:48.382 Thank you and me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

01:08:48.382 --> 01:08:50.440 maybe you can answer this question

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

 $01{:}08{:}50{.}515 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}52{.}825$ if you had genetic testing earlier,

 $01{:}08{:}52{.}830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}54{.}750$ say maybe around 2002 and had

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

01:08:54.750 -> 01:08:56.840 to be RCA mutation identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

01:08:56.840 --> 01:08:58.988 Is it worth doing genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

 $01:08:58.988 \rightarrow 01:09:01.115$ again just to sort of look

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

 $01:09:01.115 \longrightarrow 01:09:02.975$ at maybe some of those other

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

 $01{:}09{:}02{.}975 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}05{.}318$ genes to see if there's another

NOTE Confidence: 0.86966884

 $01:09:05.318 \rightarrow 01:09:07.383$ mutation in the different gene?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

01:09:09.170 --> 01:09:11.627 Great question, it would, I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

01:09:11.627 --> 01:09:14.099 depend on your your family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01{:}09{:}14.100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}16.697$ So if the beer say two mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:16.697 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.270$ was identified in 2002.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:18.270 \rightarrow 01:09:20.916$ If that is tracking in the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01{:}09{:}20{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}23{.}552$ meaning that we're finding it in every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:23.552 \rightarrow 01:09:26.227$ in your family who had breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

01:09:26.230 --> 01:09:27.950 ovarian cancer, pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:27.950 \rightarrow 01:09:30.100$ additional genetic testing is likely

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119
- 01:09:30.100 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:09:32.359 to have low yield because that's

 $01{:}09{:}32{.}359 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}34{.}516$ the most likely explanation for why

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:34.516 \rightarrow 01:09:36.454$ we're seeing cancer in your family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:36.460 \rightarrow 01:09:39.106$ However, in the past 18 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01{:}09{:}39{.}110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}39{.}770$ there's been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:39.770 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.420$ A different diagnosis of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:41.420 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.061$ can assume yet another cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01{:}09{:}43.061 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}45.119$ that's not related to be RCA two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01{:}09{:}45{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}47{.}066$ Or maybe this cancer history on both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:47.066 \rightarrow 01:09:49.272$ sides of the family, so it's unclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:49.272 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.792$ Maybe the RCA two Mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

01:09:50.792 --> 01:09:52.200 was inherited from your dad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:09:52.200 \rightarrow 01:09:54.560$ but there's family history on your mom side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01{:}09{:}54{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}56{.}548$ It might be reasonable to make an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01{:}09{:}56{.}548 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}58{.}737$ appointment to at least do an assessment

 $01:09:58.737 \rightarrow 01:10:00.645$ with a genetic counselor to Detur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:10:00.650 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.650$ And if if additional genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669119

 $01:10:02.650 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.250$ testing would be indicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82953644

01:10:05.990 --> 01:10:08.258 Great thank you and Doctor Ferrell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82953644

 $01{:}10{:}08.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}10{.}050$ Should all patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82953644

 $01:10:10.050 \longrightarrow 01:10:11.840$ a family history of pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82953644

 $01:10:11.908 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.548$ cancer get genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:10:19.710 --> 01:10:22.398 No, not not all family members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:10:22.400 --> 01:10:24.380 So because you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:10:24.380 \rightarrow 01:10:27.350$ sporadic pancreatic cancer is still the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:10:27.439 --> 01:10:30.386 more common type when you go looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:10:30.386 --> 01:10:33.799 for number one probably makes about 90%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}10{:}33{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}36{.}649$ And even for individuals where we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}10{:}36.649 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}39.160$ there's some sort of familiar link,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}10{:}39{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}41{.}686$ you know we still don't understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:10:41.686 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.512$ all the genetics of it. In fact,

 $01:10:44.512 \rightarrow 01:10:46.156$ there's probably shared environmental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:10:46.160 --> 01:10:48.220 Either microbiome issues at play

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:10:48.220 \longrightarrow 01:10:50.380$ that in effect, someones risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:10:50.380 \longrightarrow 01:10:53.600$ So it involves kind of having the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}10{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}56.007$ discussion looking at risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:10:56.010 --> 01:10:58.628 but the typical scenario is at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}10{:}58.628 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}00.699$ two first degree relatives related

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}00.699 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}03.321$ to each other who have pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:11:03.321 --> 01:11:05.827 cancer and then your relation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}05.827 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}08.155$ them as a first degree relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}08{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}10{.}590$ would make you at increased risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:11:10.590 --> 01:11:12.210 for familial pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}12{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}14{.}898$ and so those would be the individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}14.898 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}17.478$ that we would typically ask for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:11:17.480 \longrightarrow 01:11:18.304$ Look for.

 $01{:}11{:}18{.}304 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}21{.}600$ But we also kind of are interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:11:21.600 --> 01:11:23.742 You know if there is a history

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:11:23.742 --> 01:11:25.160 of young pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:11:25.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:27.422$ that's always kind of alarming when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:11:27.422 \rightarrow 01:11:30.017$ someone presents in the age of 30 or 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}30{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}32{.}438$ because it's typically a disease that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}32{.}438 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}35{.}299$ starts at the age of 60 and above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:11:35.300 --> 01:11:36.592 Although strictly by guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:11:36.592 \longrightarrow 01:11:37.884$ we don't follow it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}37{.}890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}40{.}158$ but it certainly kind of concerns me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:11:40.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:41.780$ Want to hear about young

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:11:41.780 --> 01:11:43.076 pancreatic cancers and families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}11{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}45.362$ and then for small families where there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:11:45.362 \rightarrow 01:11:47.939$ might not might not be easy to understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:11:47.940 \longrightarrow 01:11:49.984$ or the history might not always be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:11:49.984 \rightarrow 01:11:51.649$ complete to understand in certain

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01{:}11{:}51{.}649 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}53{.}117$ relatives had pancreatic cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:11:53.120 \longrightarrow 01:11:55.264$ or in olden times when it was just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:11:55.264 \rightarrow 01:11:57.330$ some sort of intraabdominal tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:11:57.330 \rightarrow 01:12:00.246$ You know, we keep that in mind as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:12:00.250 \longrightarrow 01:12:03.127$ and then thinking about either other cancers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:12:03.127 \rightarrow 01:12:06.137$ in the family that would push towards.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:12:06.140 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.045$ Getting someone to have cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:12:08.045 \rightarrow 01:12:10.460$ genetic testing such as breast cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- 01:12:10.460 --> 01:12:12.016 prostate cancer, ovarian cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:12:12.016 \longrightarrow 01:12:13.183$ So it's multifactorial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:12:13.183 \longrightarrow 01:12:14.790$ in the decision making,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:12:14.790 \longrightarrow 01:12:16.353$ but strictly speaking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- 01:12:16.353 --> 01:12:19.479 it should be at least two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01:12:19.479 \longrightarrow 01:12:21.609$ family member for that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915
- $01{:}12{:}21.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}24.778$ Although we just we just add it kind of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:12:24.780 --> 01:12:27.588 just as I also add that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:12:27.590 --> 01:12:28.529 per recent guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:12:28.529 --> 01:12:30.407 as people familiar people with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:12:30.407 --> 01:12:32.168 newly diagnosed pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}12{:}32{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}34{.}264$ So these are patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}12{:}34{.}264 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}36{.}423$ it are being recommended to have NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:12:36.423 --> 01:12:38.148 some form of germline testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:12:38.150 --> 01:12:40.328 not just because it is treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

01:12:40.328 --> 01:12:41.780 implications but also because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:12:41.847 \rightarrow 01:12:43.079$ around the flip side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01{:}12{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}44.840$ It is implications for familial

NOTE Confidence: 0.86278915

 $01:12:44.840 \longrightarrow 01:12:46.248$ risk of pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

01:12:49.190 --> 01:12:52.862 Alright, great. To add on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

 $01:12:52.862 \rightarrow 01:12:54.980$ I will say that NCCN guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

 $01{:}12{:}55{.}056 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}57{.}156$ that I quoted a lot they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

 $01:12:57.160 \rightarrow 01:12:58.660$ They do recommend that individuals

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855
- $01:12:58.660 \rightarrow 01:13:00.628$ who have a first degree relative

01:13:00.628 --> 01:13:01.870 with pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

 $01:13:01.870 \rightarrow 01:13:04.215$ even if there's no other family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

01:13:04.220 --> 01:13:05.900 do consider test genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

 $01{:}13{:}05{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}07{.}862$ So even though having one person

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

01:13:07.862 --> 01:13:09.170 be relative's doctor Farrell

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

01:13:09.233 --> 01:13:11.261 mentioned may not qualify you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

01:13:11.261 --> 01:13:12.613 high risk pancreas screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79650855

01:13:12.620 --> 01:13:14.965 It may qualify you for genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78555554

01:13:17.810 --> 01:13:20.480 Great thanks Amy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8993222

 $01{:}13{:}20{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}23{.}160$ I think that's the last of the questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8993222

 $01{:}13{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}25.840$ so thank you to all of our speakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8993222

01:13:25.840 --> 01:13:28.128 This was a great evening and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8993222

 $01{:}13{:}28{.}128 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}30{.}199$ great to have every body attend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8993222

 $01{:}13{:}30{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}33{.}329$ Have a good rest of your night.