WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:09:56.4800000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.954$ Get started, it is my real pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:00:02.954 --> 00:00:05.305 to welcome doctor Elliot Stein

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:05.305 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.810$ to the psychiatry grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00{:}00{:}07.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10.918$ Today, Doctor Stein is chief of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:10.918 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.291$ neuroimaging research branch and chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:13.291 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.716$ of cognitive and affective neuroscience

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:15.716 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.538$ of addiction section at the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:18.538 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.118$ Institute of drug abuse is intramural

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:21.118 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.290$ research program in Baltimore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.030$ He interesting Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:00:25.030 --> 00:00:28.894 This is a little nugget I didn't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.600$ but Doctor Stein actually started his

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:00:31.600 --> 00:00:34.489 his post high school education here

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:34.489 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.513$ in Connecticut and got his bachelors

00:00:37.513 --> 00:00:40.049 at Quinnipiac University in Biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:40.049 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.935$ an completed a PhD in neurophysiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:00:42.935 --> 00:00:45.284 at the University of Maryland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:45.284 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.675$ School of Medicine and then did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:48.675 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.240$ postdoc in behavioral neurobiology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:00:51.240 --> 00:00:54.089 Caltech with doctor James Olds who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:00:54.090 --> 00:00:57.586 Many of you may recall was famous for

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:57.586 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.851$ discovering what would got labeled

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:00:59.851 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.910$ as the pleasure center in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00{:}01{:}02.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.034$ Doctor Olds is a psychologist who

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:05.034 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.579$ firmly believed that the answer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:07.579 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.169$ understanding various psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00{:}01{:}09.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.289$ processes like human motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:01:11.290 --> 00:01:14.377 which he was very interested in studying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:01:14.380 --> 00:01:16.580 and made big contributions on,

 $00:01:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.024$ needed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:01:17.024 --> 00:01:19.688 needed study of the central nervous

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:19.688 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.869$ system and and the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.006$ And this is all before image Ng.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00{:}01{:}25.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.831$ I say this because I think I

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:27.831 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.642$ think that this time has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:30.642 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.660$ epitomized that sort of spirit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.916$ goal in the work that he has done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.075$ After getting if completing his

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:01:39.075 --> 00:01:41.736 post doc with Doctor Olds that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00{:}01{:}41.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.876$ this time moved to Wisconsin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.778$ he was on the faculty at Marquette

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:01:46.778 --> 00:01:49.455 University and then on the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00{:}01{:}49.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.710$ of Medical College of Wisconsin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

00:01:51.710 --> 00:01:53.885 and during the birth of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847765982151031

 $00:01:53.885 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.755$ functional neuroimaging,

 $00:01:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.669$ an he was promoted all the way to full

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:01:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.850$ professor. He was there for

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:00.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.585$ a long time and then in 2002 he joined

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:04.585 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.255$ the naida IRP as chief of the newly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}02{:}08.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.956$ Created neuro imaging research branch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:10.956 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.633$ This is really important because I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:02:15.633 --> 00:02:18.837 one of the real major contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:02:18.837 --> 00:02:22.329 of doctor Stein is that he used

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:02:22.329 --> 00:02:25.023 a number of different MRI tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:02:25.030 --> 00:02:27.830 both functional MRI NMR spectroscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:02:27.830 --> 00:02:28.960 functional connectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.785$ specially looking also at white

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:02:31.785 --> 00:02:34.691 matter tracks using diffusion tensor

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}02{:}34.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.516$ imaging and of course positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:37.516 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.590$ positive emission tomography pet.

 $00:02:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.928$ Studies as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:02:40.928 --> 00:02:42.266 and most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:02:42.270 --> 00:02:45.830 doing this both in humans and in animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.322$ which you can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:47.322 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.163$ I think at using the naida IRP

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:50.163 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.265$ strengths of having both animal basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:53.265 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.088$ scientists there as well as human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.320$ your scientists and this allowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:02:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.550$ him to map an image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.226$ The brain in rodents in animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.568$ in nonhuman primates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:04.568 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.798$ but also then translate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}03{:}06.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.978$ and consider how to move that into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.320$ The human brain imaging space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.390$ and I think that we benefited a lot from that

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:16.481 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.275$ kind of Translational back and forth work.

 $00:03:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.549$ The other thing that that he has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.806$ I think pioneered has been what

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}03{:}25.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.061$ he identifies as event related

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:03:28.061 --> 00:03:30.098 cognitive neuroscience designs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.440$ which allowed us to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.844$ at functional neuroimaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.254$ meaning essentially understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:03:35.254 --> 00:03:36.658 different brain functions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.552$ an understanding the circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:38.552 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.444$ from a systems science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.580$ And understanding different networks that

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.710$ contribute to those different functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}03{:}44.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.200$ One of the key ones that that he started to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:48.289 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.950$ talk about was the executive control network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.506$ based on findings that he had,

 $00:03:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.964$ which showed the chronic drug use

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:56.964 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.190$ alters this executive control network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:03:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.746$ In addition to that he has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.870$ I would credit him with a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.664$ really critical work identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:06.664 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.984$ the role of the insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.180$ which is an int receptive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.698$ Hub in the brain for feelings in the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:15.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.430$ Signals that coming from inside of

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.655$ the body and his work has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}04{:}21.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.714$ sort of move the field forward in

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:04:24.809 --> 00:04:26.951 addiction around understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:04:26.951 --> 00:04:29.807 insulin networks as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.778$ I think the since 2002 if we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:32.778 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.600$ back the last two decades there has

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}04{:}35.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.651$ been a lot of signs showing sort

 $00:04:38.651 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.567$ of addiction as a brain disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80322605371475200:04:41.570 --> 00:04:42.704 so to speak. NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:04:42.704 --> 00:04:44.972 And doctor sign has really brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:44.972 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.322$ that home with translating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:47.322 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.687$ work from animal experiments to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:49.687 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.202$ showing the long term changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:04:52.202 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.016$ occur from chronic drug use in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}04{:}55.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.554$ brain and connecting that then too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:04:57.560 --> 00:04:59.989 Unical outcomes one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}04{:}59.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.763$ I think has been really critical is

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:02.763 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.259$ he's also pushed the envelope trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:05.332 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.760$ to understand individual differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}05{:}07.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.188$ looking at genetic polymorphisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.410$ but also affect if personality

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.742$ environmental interactions that

00:05:13.742 --> 00:05:16.497 are so important in affecting this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.494$ These alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:17.494 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.979$ long-term alterations as a function

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:19.979 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.042$ of chronic drug use as a function

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:23.042 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.167$ of drug withdrawal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}05{:}24.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.636$ and more recently now into what

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:26.636 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.190$ are the effects of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.620$ And so he is plunged forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}05{:}31.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.412$ An has been looking at how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:34.412 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.604$ develop efficacious strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}05{:}35.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.714$ for treating and reversing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:37.714 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.130$ long term effects of addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

00:05:40.130 --> 00:05:42.554 He has authored more than 250

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00{:}05{:}42.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.766$ original research papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.362$ reviews and book chapters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803226053714752

 $00:05:45.362 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.750$ He's been cited more than 17,000

 $00:05:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.440$ times in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

 $00:05:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.085$ About half of that in the last five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

 $00:05:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.508$ So really continuing to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

00:05:55.508 --> 00:05:57.950 major current impact on the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

 $00:05:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.440$ And so it's my real pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

00:06:00.440 --> 00:06:02.897 To give you doctor Elliot Stein and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

00:06:02.897 --> 00:06:05.748 he's going to be speaking about state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

 $00{:}06{:}05.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.162$ trait and subtype consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

 $00:06:07.162 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.927$ and substance use disorders of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872125327587128

 $00{:}06{:}08.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.668$ you from nicotine dependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.730$ Well, thanks for Gina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.705$ thanks for that very kind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00{:}06{:}16.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.919$ overly kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:17.919 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.934$ Thanks for everyone for attending

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:19.934 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.137$ today in this rather awkward talking

 $00:06:22.137 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.499$ to screen that we that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00{:}06{:}24.499 \to 00{:}06{:}26.627$ doing for the last couple of months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.366$ So what I'm going to try to do today

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

00:06:29.366 --> 00:06:32.039 is give you a bit of an overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:32.039 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.739$ not going to be able to touch on

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:34.739 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.704$ all of the things that Regina

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

00:06:36.704 --> 00:06:38.000 mentioned in her introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.328$ but a bit of an overview of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:40.328 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.740$ thinking the last few years on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.495$ we are approaching a substance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.708$ use disorder and maybe some insights

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:46.708 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.810$ and maybe some controversies as to why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00{:}06{:}48.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.620$ Our treatment outcomes are still not

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.525$ particularly particularly favorable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:06:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.140$ So let's see if this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:07:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.700$ Ah. OK, there we go.

 $00:07:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.290$ OK well smoking is bad so if

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:07:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.633$ smoking is bad then abstinence

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00{:}07{:}08.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.925$ abstinence must be good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850576758384705

 $00:07:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.636$ Well, most of the move my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882061958312988

 $00:07:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.810$ Pictures of you guys to another

NOTE Confidence: 0.882061958312988

 $00:07:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.210$ part of the screen. There we go OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:07:23.010 --> 00:07:26.142 That's better OK, so most of the folks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:26.142 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.356$ the in America have gotten that message.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.936$ Went down to about 17 or 18% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:32.936 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.613$ country still smoking, unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:07:34.613 --> 00:07:37.994 We're still doing very poorly in treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:37.994 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.358$ outcomes with at least 50% of the of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}07{:}41.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.153$ who want to quit returning to active

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}07{:}44.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.233$ smoking within about a month or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.524$ So what's going on?

 $00:07:48.524 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.420$ What are the bad things that are happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.126$ during abstinence and the basic message?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.050$ Here is, it's the nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:56.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.818$ withdrawal syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.106$ And as mariela dibiase has said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:07:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.150$ we get we precipitate both somatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.444$ and affect if symptoms of withdrawal

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:03.444 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.619$ during acute during acute abstinence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}08{:}05.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.156$ including things like craving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:07.156 --> 00:08:08.344 irritability, anxiety, loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}08{:}08.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.158$ we've all been around folks who just

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:11.158 --> 00:08:13.328 quit smoking and then particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:13.328 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.016$ fun to be around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:15.020 --> 00:08:17.974 But it's those negative affect of symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:17.974 --> 00:08:20.959 that account for can you see my pointer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.148$ Is that possible?

00:08:22.148 --> 00:08:25.412 Yeah, OK, so it's the negative affect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:25.412 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.088$ symptoms that account for most of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.790$ This failure in treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.490$ so negative reinforcement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:31.490 --> 00:08:33.629 accidents really are not good friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:33.630 --> 00:08:35.178 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:35.178 --> 00:08:38.790 we've known that for quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.672$ So given this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:39.672 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.730$ why are we still so bad at

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:41.803 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.167$ treatment and treatment outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:44.170 --> 00:08:46.697 And so the position that I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:46.697 --> 00:08:49.455 to layout for you today is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}08{:}49.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.849$ that really a brain based approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}08{:}51.850 \to 00{:}08{:}54.209$ A systems based approach may be what

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:54.209 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.768$ it's been missing traditionally

 $00:08:55.768 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.220$ and without this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:08:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.140$ without these validated and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:08:59.140 --> 00:09:01.060 see and I emphasize validated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:09:01.060 --> 00:09:02.215 clinically validated biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:02.215 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.370$ we really can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.058$ That this is sort of my coals

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:06.058 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.210$ to Newcastle slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.140$ We can't really objectively assess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:09:09.140 --> 00:09:11.513 The severity of the level of dependence

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:09:11.513 --> 00:09:13.409 we can fractionate the phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}09{:}13.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.100$ We can't do individual differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:09:15.100 --> 00:09:16.790 or personalized medicine were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:16.846 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.054$ able to assess drug development in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:19.054 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.526$ treatment interventions of objectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.770$ we can't really look at the efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}09{:}22.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.366$ of a treatment until it's too late

 $00{:}09{:}25.366 \to 00{:}09{:}26.934$ until the individual relapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:09:26.940 --> 00:09:29.076 So I would posit to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.608$ if you can't measure it, how we really fix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:09:32.608 --> 00:09:35.676 And so Matt said, Wanna a former postdoc?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}09{:}35.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.220$ And I wrote an opinion piece on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.375$ And if you're interested was

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:40.375 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.530$ less came out last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.407$ So This is why where I may,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}09{:}45.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}47.870$ I may upset a few people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.126$ What is it that we currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:09:50.126 --> 00:09:53.467 doing an I took this this quote I

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:53.467 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.083$ can't remember which paper it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:09:56.090 --> 00:09:58.680 It doesn't matter because we all really

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:09:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.260$ say this in the first paragraph about papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:10:02.260 --> 00:10:04.315 prominent theories of addiction posit

00:10:04.315 --> 00:10:06.370 that deficits in prefrontal mode,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}10{:}06.370 --> 00{:}10{:}07.190 \ {\rm cortical \ function},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:10:07.190 --> 00:10:08.420 impaired cognitive control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:10:08.420 --> 00:10:09.653 inhibitory prepotent behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:10:09.653 --> 00:10:11.297 biasing towards into receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:11.297 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.530$ signals and craving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.226$ It's complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90112501382827800:10:13.226 --> 00:10:13.574 Right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:10:13.574 --> 00:10:16.740 we all say this in our first paragraph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.684$ but when we tried to develop new treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}10{:}19.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.500$ are basic working hypothesis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.310$ something like this better pharmaco

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.395$ the rapies require more specific and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:25.395 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.015$ selective receptor based agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:10:27.015 --> 00:10:28.888 abetter silver bullet if you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00{:}10{:}28.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.855$ to engage with these specific

 $00:10:30.855 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.820$ receptor based system which will

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:32.885 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.657$ further prevent drug taking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278 00:10:34.660 --> 00:10:35.172 Indeed, NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

00:10:35.172 --> 00:10:37.220 perhaps universe eviction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.780$ this treatment based hypothesis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:39.854 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.914$ coming from the really tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.901125013828278

 $00:10:41.914 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.974$ progress that we made in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:10:44.052 --> 00:10:46.566 a cellular and molecular basis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:10:46.566 \dashrightarrow 00:10:50.058$ preclinical work over the last decade or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:10:50.058 --> 00:10:53.229 But as you know, of course this

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:10:53.229 --> 00:10:54.588 monotherapy approaches fail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:10:54.590 --> 00:10:55.949 Recidivism remains just

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00{:}10{:}55.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.214$ unacceptably high for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00{:}10{:}58.220 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}11{:}00.028$ Neither agonist or antagonist

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:00.028 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.836$ therapy really is fixed.

 $00:11:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.660$ The disease. Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00{:}11{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.935$ some agonists and partial agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:05.940 --> 00:11:07.980 Can maintain some individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:07.980 --> 00:11:10.530 Offer some periods of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:10.530 --> 00:11:13.590 some for extended periods of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:13.590 --> 00:11:16.140 for example methodone across people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152 00:11:16.140 --> 00:11:16.659 morphine. NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:16.659 --> 00:11:19.254 Our team for anything purpose

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:19.254 --> 00:11:22.440 smoking but but really in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:22.440 --> 00:11:24.995 these this agonist approach doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00{:}11{:}24.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.869$ really lead to sustain accent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:27.870 --> 00:11:29.946 And then, even if it did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.372$ is is reducing drug intake and reversing

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:32.372 --> 00:11:34.099 addiction really the same thing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.088$ Can we treat them with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:37.088 --> 00:11:38.582 same single intervention?

00:11:38.590 --> 00:11:39.508 So of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:39.508 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.650$ this hypothesis assumes that the absence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.125$ behavior reflects the absence of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152 00:11:44.130 --> 00:11:44.848 and again, NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:44.848 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.361$ this group knows that that's not not

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:47.361 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.859$ even close to the imagery sentence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:49.860 --> 00:11:52.709 So what might an alternative hypothesis be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00{:}11{:}52.710 --> 00{:}11{:}53.124 \text{ Well},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:53.124 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.608$ since indeed substance use disorder is

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:11:55.608 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.599$ a complex in a psychiatric disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:11:58.600 --> 00:12:00.007 high psychiatric comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:00.007 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.352$ dysregulated multiple systems or cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:02.352 \dashrightarrow 00:12:04.488$ affective personality systems reward systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:12:04.490 --> 00:12:06.750 As I'll show you later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.459$ Maybe rather than or at least in

 $00:12:09.459 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.640$ addition to a better molecular level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:12:12.640 --> 00:12:13.402 Medicinal chemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:13.402 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.450$ we really need to think about a systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:16.516 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.472$ level neuro biological treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:18.472 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.917$ strategy that takes into consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:20.917 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.569$ these multiple other prediction phases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:12:23.570 --> 00:12:24.224 Not George,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:24.224 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.186$ proven Orville cast have come up

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:26.186 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.453$ with a cartoon reminding us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:28.453 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.763$ addiction is not a static disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00{:}12{:}30.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.230$ but rather a cyclotron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:12:32.230 --> 00:12:34.055 And while there's some potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:34.055 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.810$ some issues with this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:12:35.810 --> 00:12:38.690 I think it's a good one for touristically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:12:38.690 --> 00:12:42.036 Think about what we're what we're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:42.036 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.336$ doing when a patient presents in front of us.

00:12:46.340 --> 00:12:47.872 And this binge intoxication phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:47.872 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.930$ the phase that we think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.897$ certainly with our animal models of increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:12:51.897 --> 00:12:53.818 in dopamine in the nucleus accumbens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152 00:12:53.820 --> 00:12:54.416 is really, NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

00:12:54.416 --> 00:12:55.906 if you think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847423493862152

 $00:12:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.098$ is not a treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.670$ If you will has mode,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.414$ it doesn't live in the new basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:12.414 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.710$ comments anymore due to plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.230$ It's now in a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:13:17.230 --> 00:13:18.490 other distributed systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}13{:}18.490 \to 00{:}13{:}20.910$ Many synapses removed and differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}13{:}20.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.330$ manifest across these affected systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:13:23.330 --> 00:13:26.338 So you know, I would ask you how?

 $00{:}13{:}26.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.992$ How could pharmacologic blockade

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}13{:}27.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.470$ or or agonist replacement of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:30.541 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.801$ sneeze or critical limbic dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:32.801 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.061$ system really reverse the disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.850$ So you know the theme today is going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.235$ Maybe we don't really need

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:39.235 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.343$ a better silver bullet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.630$ Maybe what we need is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:13:42.630 --> 00:13:43.770 silver buckshot approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.276$ And maybe we need to take into

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}13{:}46.276 \longrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.955$ account both the acute state of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:48.955 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.804$ individual as well as the trait of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:51.804 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.219$ addiction that they presented with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.767$ So the rest of the talk is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:13:56.767 --> 00:13:59.222 be organized around that theme of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:13:59.222 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.359$ looking at both the trait of addiction.

00:14:02.360 --> 00:14:03.386 If you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:03.386 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.438$ the severity of addiction as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:05.438 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.417$ as the acute transition traits

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:07.417 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.763$ that we see during acute and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:09.834 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.928$ long-term long-term accidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:14:11.930 --> 00:14:14.254 And so I would posit that more

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:14:14.254 --> 00:14:16.189 treatment outcomes may be related to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.410$ at least in part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:14:17.410 --> 00:14:19.240 to focus on the results alleviating

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:14:19.297 --> 00:14:20.677 withdrawal rather than focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:20.677 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.747$ on the cause of the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.350$ This drug induced neuroplasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.126$ And so we went into this a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}14{:}27.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.891$ of years ago with the hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:28.891 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.476$ that addiction severity is linked

 $00:14:30.476 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.433$ to activity within and between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:32.433 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.940$ anterior singular and one of its players.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:14:34.940 --> 00:14:37.298 This trail. Well, why the singular?

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:37.298 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.928$ Where did that come from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.247$ And there's a number of pieces of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:14:41.247 --> 00:14:43.315 literature that I'm putting up here

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:43.315 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.674$ that justified for us time that single

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:45.741 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.133$ it might in fact be a common target

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:14:48.133 --> 00:14:49.908 for nicotine and other abuse drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:14:49.908 --> 00:14:51.660 and maybe a convergent region that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}14{:}51.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.646$ pivotal for nicotine's diverse effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:53.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.939$ And when we get going in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}14{:}55.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.775$ this was before any knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:14:57.775 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.029$ of large scale networks and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:00.029 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.769$ salience network that I'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:01.769 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.809$ talking to you about later on.

 $00:15:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.210$ So let me put this into a bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}15{:}06.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.097$ perspective and a figure that I adopted

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:15:09.097 --> 00:15:11.440 from adapted from Suzanne Habren,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:15:11.440 --> 00:15:13.528 Brian Knutson a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.552$ just to emphasize that these cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}15{:}15.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.307$ striatal loops that Suzanne is

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:17.307 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.077$ beautifully elucidated over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.824$ let me put some function on top of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:15:21.824 --> 00:15:24.626 that when we think about the VM Pfc,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.370$ we think about value, reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:15:26.370 --> 00:15:28.446 and decision making in the OSC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.410$ the Dorsal ACC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}15{:}29.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.116$ Then I'm going to be talking about now

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:32.116 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.528$ and ever monitoring and every detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:15:34.530 --> 00:15:36.300 Executive control in the DL,

 $00:15:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.406$ Pfc and just to be complete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.587$ I think we have to only talk about addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.652$ Think about attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:42.652 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.360$ Intentional processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.265$ Basically in the posterior parietal

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:15:45.265 --> 00:15:48.203 cortex and one of the things that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:15:48.203 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.120$ now had a over the last number of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:15:51.120 --> 00:15:53.196 Kind of a Super Ordinal organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}15{:}53.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.769$ on top of these regions in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:15:55.769 --> 00:15:57.634 large scale brain networks that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00{:}15{:}57.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.402$ seem to be able to explain a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:16:00.402 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.062$ of the nurse psychiatric symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:16:02.062 --> 00:16:04.174 that that will be talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:16:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.478$ at least from the perspective of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

 $00:16:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.530$ Of addiction and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.840475976467133

00:16:08.530 --> 00:16:10.580 thing I wanted to point

 $00:16:10.662 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.448$ out is that many of these players,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}16{:}13.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.526$ most of these players that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

00:16:15.526 --> 00:16:17.453 just highlighted are just ripe

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:17.453 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.345$ with nicotinic receptor receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}16{:}19.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.237$ and various receptors subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.568$ And it's not surprising therefore that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:23.568 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.896$ a lot of what we're seeing with to bacco

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

00:16:26.896 --> 00:16:30.047 use disorder is being biased by many

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}16{:}30.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.657$ many systems throughout the Neuraxis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.873$ OK, so with that introduction we began

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:35.873 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.770$ this sort of adventure 10 ish years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.392$ Now with this hypothesis that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}16{:}42.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.980$ singular was involved in addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.298$ and So what we did very simply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

00:16:48.300 --> 00:16:50.248 this is, I believe,

 $00:16:50.248 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.520$ the first wrestling state study done in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}16{:}53.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.734$ and certainly nicotine a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:55.734 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.124$ years ago was we divided the singular

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:16:59.124 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.568$ into its cytoarchitectonic components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.638$ 7 seven areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

00:17:02.638 --> 00:17:05.130 Answer if you Post Area 1 middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:05.215 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.189$ singular area bilaterally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.818$ so 14 areas each was a seed and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:09.818 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.690$ did a whole brain regression against

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.190$ the level of nicotine dependence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}17{:}15.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.944$ against the practice from index and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:17.944 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.948$ what we identified was a single area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:20.948 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.978$ Within the the ventral stratum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.972$ what was interesting when we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:24.972 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.038$ at this data is that the that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:28.038 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.123$ strength of this circuit negatively

 $00{:}17{:}30.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32.344$ correlated with with the nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:32.344 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.514$ addiction severity of the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

00:17:34.520 --> 00:17:37.306 But most importantly in this first study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.235$ when we scan these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

00:17:39.235 --> 00:17:41.690 on an off a nicotine Patch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.263$ there was no change to the to the circuit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

00:17:45.270 --> 00:17:47.395 These dime diamonds and triangles

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}17{:}47.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.369$ indicate a single subject scan on two

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00:17:50.369 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.715$ different occasions on and off nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}17{:}52.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.900$ off nicotine on and off nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}17{:}54.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.329$ And so this circuit appeared to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}17{:}57.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.746$ reflective of that rate of addiction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111076593399

 $00{:}17{:}59.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.500$ not the current state of the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.130$ About this time, Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.760$ Big the opera 5 polymorphism was

 $00:18:10.878 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.988$ becoming recognized as an important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}18{:}14.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.614$ Determinant in nicotine addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:16.614 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.216$ And so we wanted to see if you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:20.216 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.669$ see what its role was in this circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:22.669 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.266$ and so the next year together with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:18:25.266 --> 00:18:28.125 David Goldman at the NI AAA it hung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.260$ We did essentially the same experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:18:30.260 --> 00:18:32.703 Now starting only the dorsal ACC because

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:32.703 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.484$ we knew that was sort of the answer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:35.484 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.954$ now did a whole brain regression not

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:18:37.954 --> 00:18:40.546 against the phenotype of Fagot Strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.590$ but against the genotype of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.950$ A5 polymorphism and basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:44.012 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.548$ identified the same circuit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.854$ With the same relationship once one now with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:48.854 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.819$ the phenotype and one against the genotype.

 $00:18:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.524$ And we thought these early data supported a

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:54.524 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.619$ role for the dorsal ACC and Strydom in trade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:18:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.424$ but not state dependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:18:59.424 --> 00:19:02.515 So the next logical question was, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:02.515 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.825$ are there pre dispositional differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:19:04.825 --> 00:19:07.169 these circuits that potentiates smoking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:19:07.170 --> 00:19:10.159 Or does the smoking behavior over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:10.159 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.667$ over period of time change these service?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.534$ Well, it's difficult to do in humans to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}19{:}15.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.559$ do these long-term longitudinal studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.954$ and it may be that Abcd in a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:19.954 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.040$ years will give us this answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}19{:}22.040 \longrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.304$ but an approach that we took at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:24.304 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.489$ time was at a conference back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:26.489 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.976$ remember when we used to be able to

 $00:19:28.976 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.006$ go to conferences and I was having

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}19{:}31.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.550$ a conversation with Rachel Tynedale

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.700$ and she was telling me about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:19:34.700 --> 00:19:35.800 sith to ASICS enzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.886$ which is a cytochrome p-450 liver enzyme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:19:37.890 --> 00:19:39.744 And it's the main enzyme that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:39.744 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.180$ metabolizes nicotine for coating it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.670$ I didn't think that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:19:42.670 --> 00:19:43.266 particularly interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:19:43.270 --> 00:19:45.076 'cause I'm not a little guy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}19{:}45.080 \to 00{:}19{:}48.280$ But until I started to take a look that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:48.367 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.415$ the same time is genetically regulated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.395$ is 26 different isozymes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:53.395 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.975$ so and and Rachel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.373$ it's lab has been able to provide people or

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:19:58.373 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.919$ categorize people into those with normal,

 $00{:}20{:}00.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.732$ intermediate and slow metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:02.732 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.450$ systems or nicotine and what became

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:20:05.518 --> 00:20:07.597 interesting to me as a brain guy

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:07.597 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.285$ is it looks like these that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}20{:}10.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.009$ liver enzyme changes behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.590$ Individuals that were better

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.565$ slow metabolizers have lower fat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}20{:}15.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.206$ Astronomy smoke, fewer cigarettes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}20{:}17.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.950$ And it can predict treatment matching out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.540$ so this became rather interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.302$ and so we want to know if this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:20:24.302 --> 00:20:26.142 situation it's Gina type actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00{:}20{:}26.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.855$ shapes brain circuits differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:27.855 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.130$ in smokers and non smokers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:20:30.130 --> 00:20:32.596 And would it offer alter brain

 $00:20:32.596 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.652$ connectivity and so we did that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:20:35.652 --> 00:20:38.304 study together with Rachel and Supinely

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:38.304 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.623$ who is a postdoc in the lab at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.372$ And perhaps the most important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:44.372 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.580$ interesting contrasts that we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.280$ looking at this data was a gene by was

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:20:49.356 --> 00:20:51.856 it gene by environment interaction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.900$ So three genotyped by smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.124$ versus non smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.050$ And in this analysis we didn't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:20:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.097$ have a hypothesis of looking under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:21:01.097 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.960$ same lamppost and so we used a data driven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:21:04.960 --> 00:21:07.300 Metric graph theory metrical

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:21:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.640$ functional connectivity strength and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:21:09.640 --> 00:21:12.073 basically this analysis allows you

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

 $00:21:12.073 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.838$ to identify the hubs in the brain.

 $00:21:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.310$ The areas of highest ugliness

NOTE Confidence: 0.885979473590851

00:21:17.310 --> 00:21:19.286 that interconnect rain errors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}21{:}19.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.727$ and when we did that whole brain data driven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.845$ we identified two areas defense

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:26.845 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.960$ Australian in the dorsal ACC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.994$ We extracted those data and plotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:31.994 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.543$ them to see what was driving this

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}21{:}34.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.418$ relationship and what it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}21{:}36.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.844$ What was driving the relationship was

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:38.844 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.117$ the slow metabolizers in smokers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:41.117 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.915$ we saw a less hub enis, if you will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:43.915 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.330$ In both of these areas in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}21{:}46.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.897$ genotype group in smokers only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.825$ And interesting enough that SES

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:51.825 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.750$ level that happiness level also

00:21:54.846 --> 00:21:57.866 predicted or correlated very nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:21:57.866 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.685$ with the individuals level of trade

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:01.685 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.509$ dependence severity very consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:04.509 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.866$ with something from the literature of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:08.866 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.518$ Smoking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:22:10.520 --> 00:22:14.144 So, OK, we've identified a couple of hubs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.860$ The next question was, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.912$ what are the tracks into these

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:17.912 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.596$ train stations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.365$ What are the circus that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}22{:}21.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.254$ potentially biasing these hearts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:23.254 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.774$ one way to approach that was then to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}22{:}25.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.250$ take each of these two areas and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.798$ each one is a seed into a separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:22:30.798 --> 00:22:32.620 standard resting state connectivity analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.330$ And when we did that,

00:22:34.330 --> 00:22:37.058 we identify from the dorsal ACC the insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.508$ as well as the ACC and from the bench

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}22{:}39.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.966$ and stratum the insular and as as

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:41.966 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.310$ Regina mentioned in the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.417$ This is become one of the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:46.417 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.750$ foci in the labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:22:47.750 --> 00:22:50.306 and I emphasize insulin here because

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:50.306 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.554$ I'm going to come back to this in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:53.554 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.280$ few more times in a few more stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:22:56.280 --> 00:22:56.602 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:56.602 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.856$ so this is pretty cool that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:22:58.856 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.277$ salience network components look

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}23{:}00.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.425$ like they may reflect your bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:02.425 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.239$ that traded diction severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.774$ Well, that was nice, but who cares?

 $00:23:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.006$ Are there any functional consequences to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}23{:}09.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.478$ having changed in Hoppiness in these smokers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.936$ So the way one way we approach that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:13.936 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.744$ was we needed to probe both eventual

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:16.744 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.450$ stratum and the door sellers to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.676$ and perhaps the best way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}23{:}21.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.430$ approach the ventral stratum Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.534$ User reward task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:24.534 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.742$ In this case the The Famous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.370$ Monetary incentive delay cast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}23{:}28.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.395$ Where we analyzed this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.818$ just simply a simple contrast gains

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:32.818 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.144$ greater than neutral and we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:35.144 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.076$ have enough subjects to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:37.076 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.340$ it and intermediate phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:39.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.580$ So only the normal and the slow metabolizers.

 $00:23:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.016$ And when we plotted these data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}23{:}45.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.420$ we notice that when individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.380$ were accident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.320$ This slow metabolizers just

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:23:50.320 --> 00:23:52.745 couldn't couldn't create enough of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:23:52.745 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.659$ a signal in the ventral striatum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:23:55.660 --> 00:23:59.540 However, when we gave them a nicotine Patch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}23{:}59.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.648$ both the slow metabolizers as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}24{:}02.648 \to 00{:}24{:}05.620$ frankly the slow metabolizers and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}24{:}05.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.246$ smokers were able to increase their there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:24:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.810$ Interest rate or signal while

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:24:10.810 --> 00:24:12.370 they're performing a reward chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}24{:}12.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.542$ not a particular surprise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:24:13.542 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.688$ We know that we know that nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:24:15.688 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.668$ is in fact a cognitive enhancer,

 $00:24:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.078$ and I'll show you some data in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:24:20.078 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.975$ in non smokers as well as we go along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:24:22.980 --> 00:24:25.157 Or what about probing the dorsal ACC?

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:24:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.280$ A great way to do that is with a go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:24:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.830$ No go type of the task and when we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

00:24:30.897 --> 00:24:33.102 that we saw exactly the same answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00{:}24{:}33.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.459$ both in non smokers and smokers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:24:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.644$ And again when we gave them an

NOTE Confidence: 0.797232389450073

 $00:24:37.644 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.580$ acute nicotine Patch

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:24:38.641 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.619$ we reverse this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:24:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.984$ Deficit if you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:24:41.984 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.348$ in nicotine absolute state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:24:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.306$ So these circuits and hugs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:24:46.306 --> 00:24:48.011 modified only in smokers suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:24:48.011 --> 00:24:50.377 a change in in putatively a change

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:24:50.377 --> 00:24:52.361 in nicotine concentrations in the

 $00:24:52.361 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.436$ brain so slow metabolizers presumably

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:24:54.436 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.682$ would have more nicotine off for

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}24{:}56.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.270$ longer periods of time in their brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:24:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.460$ and this induced these circuit changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.734$ That's the hypothesis coming in from

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:03.734 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.341$ this study and the same circuit seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:06.341 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.707$ to change both at rest and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:08.779 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.915$ the individual is doing a task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.380$ suggesting that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.110$ functionally significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.276$ and it looks like slower smokers

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:25:15.276 --> 00:25:17.180 with these slower Gina types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}25{:}17.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.378$ Are less responsive than to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:20.378 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.889$ anticipation of veins less responsive to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.342$ To to to errors,

 $00:25:25.342 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.520$ and this certainly seems to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:27.603 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.183$ sense when we think about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}25{:}30.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.903$ from a treatment perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014 00:25:31.910 --> 00:25:32.348 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:32.348 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.414$ another way we can see if these

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:35.414 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.198$ circuits are pre dispositional is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:25:38.198 --> 00:25:41.460 induce them to try to change them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:25:41.460 --> 00:25:43.310 And so, with animal models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:25:43.310 --> 00:25:45.900 preclinical models are very good at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:25:45.900 --> 00:25:48.860 and this was a challenge that Robin Healey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.710$ a postdoc in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.982$ took on just recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}25{:}51.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.595$ and what she did was she made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:25:54.595 --> 00:25:56.503 group of ratchet or three groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:25:56.503 --> 00:25:58.588 of rats dependent two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:25:58.588 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.348$ doses and doses Saline and implanted

00:26:01.348 --> 00:26:03.294 osmotic Minipump's for two weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:03.294 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.880$ and then let the pumps run out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.470$ And they were in another two weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.580$ of Force Absolutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:26:09.580 --> 00:26:11.524 We determined how dependent they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:11.524 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.409$ by giving them an injection of Mecamylamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.115$ IP and then measuring a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:16.115 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.479$ number of somatic signs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.185$ And this dependent score that

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:26:19.185 --> 00:26:20.549 I'm showing you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.638$ We're going to use as as as our

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}26{:}23.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.478$ surrogate for the Fagots Room in use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014 00:26:26.480 --> 00:26:27.214 So OK, NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:27.214 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.783$ so now we have to go back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.910$ So now we have a model to look

 $00:26:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.464$ at this if we could recapitulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:34.464 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.416$ this singular striatal circuit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.622$ But what's the homologous region in

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:26:38.622 --> 00:26:42.298 the rat in the rent of the human dorsal ACC?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.495$ So we didn't know and we don't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:45.495 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.078$ just look at the anatomic descriptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:26:48.078 --> 00:26:51.299 in the Atlas and So what we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014 00:26:51.300 --> 00:26:52.032 It was, NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:52.032 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.228$ it was another analytic trick or

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:26:54.228 --> 00:26:56.149 the modularity analysis where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}26{:}56.149 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}26{:}58.014$ simply took the entire frontal

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:26:58.014 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.657$ lobe of the rat and submitted it

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:27:00.657 --> 00:27:02.487 to this modularity approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.734$ allow the computer to tell us

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:27:04.734 --> 00:27:06.969 how many divisions do you have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:27:06.970 --> 00:27:08.830 How many modules you have?

 $00:27:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.877$ Do you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}27{:}09.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.971$ and the computer came back with

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:11.971 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.634$ five and we use each of these five

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:14.634 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.974$ modules that we gave names simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:16.974 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.144$ because they overlaid onto some

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:19.144 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.648$ major Atlas regions and we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

00:27:21.648 --> 00:27:24.534 Each of these five modules, it seeds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:24.534 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.066$ and only one of those modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:27.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.326$ the what we call the ACC middle region

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:30.326 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.259$ and only a circuit from there into into the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.151$ In this case in the dorsal stratum

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:37.151 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.885$ negatively correlated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}27{:}38.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.025$ dependent scores of these animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}27{:}41.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.264$ Very, very similar to the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:44.264 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.689$ studies that I just showed you.

 $00:27:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.616$ This is a very complicated slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00{:}27{:}49.620 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}27{:}51.755$ You only want to give you 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:51.755 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.150$ message from this paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.762$ Just came out recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820130944252014

 $00:27:54.762 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.777$ And the question then was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:27:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.993$ are there in fact since I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:27:59.993 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.189$ induce these circuits in rats?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00{:}28{:}02.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.910$ Are their baseline circuits pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.086$ dispositional circuits that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

00:28:07.086 --> 00:28:09.713 modify or moderate the ability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00{:}28{:}09.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.630$ nicotine to cause to cause dependence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

00:28:12.630 --> 00:28:15.115 And in a very complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

00:28:15.115 --> 00:28:16.109 moderation analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

00:28:16.110 --> 00:28:19.694 I just want to highlight that two circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:19.694 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.349$ an insula frontal circuit shown up here

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:23.349 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.540$ and insula striatal circuit down here,

 $00:28:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.978$ fully moderated the relationship between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00{:}28{:}29.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.039$ ACC ventral stratum and nicotine dependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.808$ More details on how we got to these

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:36.808 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.846$ these intrinsic circuits are on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:38.846 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.608$ paper that came out just a few months

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

00:28:41.608 --> 00:28:43.948 ago in the Journal of neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.056$ but it looks like again the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:46.056 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.870$ conclusion would be that this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.425$ ACC striatal circuit seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00{:}28{:}50.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.980$ track it became dependent severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

00:28:52.980 --> 00:28:55.540 It's moderated by individual differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.070$ even individual differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

00:28:57.070 --> 00:28:59.620 in rats in from insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

 $00:28:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.664$ frontal and executive insula.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839934170246124

00:29:01.664 --> 00:29:03.197 Striatal circuits trap.

00:29:05.660 --> 00:29:09.321 OK, so let's move on from nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00{:}29{:}09.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.785$ trade trade circuits to looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

00:29:12.785 --> 00:29:15.780 the consequences of acute nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.360$ withdrawal and state related circuitry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.592$ And as I said at the Abbey on

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:22.592 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.999$ said nicotine withdrawal is A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

00:29:25.000 --> 00:29:27.170 is it random, nasty syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

00:29:27.170 --> 00:29:29.154 high anxiety, irritability, craving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:29.154 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.130$ lots of negative negative affect as

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:32.201 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.816$ well as executive control impairments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00{:}29{:}34.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.730$ When we give nicotine replacement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.390$ at least to it, to some extent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.295$ it were those incorrectly we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00{:}29{:}41.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.200$ can reduce at least partially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.596$ Many of these acute withdrawal symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.127$ And so our hypothesis going into this

 $00:29:49.127 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.198$ series of experiments was that state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.910$ like withdrawal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.040$ is centered on the insulin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

00:29:54.040 --> 00:29:55.843 its associated circuitry and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

00:29:55.843 --> 00:29:57.563 ultimately serve as as Rajeev

NOTE Confidence: 0.861091077327728

 $00:29:57.563 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.340$ Dimension as a frequent target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:30:01.710 --> 00:30:04.370 Well, OK, just like I said with

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.550$ the singular why the insulin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.560$ Where did this come from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.152$ And really it has to go back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:30:11.152 --> 00:30:13.617 this seminal paper by Nafion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:13.617 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.792$ Antoine Beshara and science within

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}30{:}15.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.471$ they noted that individuals who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:30:18.471 --> 00:30:21.053 strokes that were limited to or

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:21.053 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.068$ incorporated regions in the insula,

 $00:30:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.482$ if they were smokers before before

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}30{:}25.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.499$ the stroke, they spontaneously stopped

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:30:27.499 --> 00:30:30.320 smoking after the smoke at the stroke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.592$ At least many did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:31.592 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.240$ And probably the best sentence in this paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:30:34.240 --> 00:30:36.408 If you haven't read it when they ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:36.408 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.158$ them individual why they stop smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:30:38.160 --> 00:30:39.840 The person said, well, it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.108$ If my body forgot that I was a smoker

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:30:42.108 --> 00:30:43.894 and that really says everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:43.894 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.102$ about what the insular is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79534631967544600:30:46.110 --> 00:30:47.163 In our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:47.163 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.269$ we've also seen differences in Gray

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:30:49.269 --> 00:30:51.219 matter greater Gray matter density

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:51.219 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.962$ in the insula in smokers versus non

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:30:53.962 \dashrightarrow 00:30:56.573$ smokers that very nicely relates to Alexa.

 $00:30:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.254$ Find me up in these individuals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}30{:}59.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.780$ these non Alexa find individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}31{:}01.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.498$ I also want to point out and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:31:04.498 --> 00:31:07.068 scheme of the George Group is proposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:07.068 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.407$ in his three cycles that two of those cycles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.790$ Two of those aspects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:31:11.790 --> 00:31:13.170 both withdrawal and negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}31{:}13.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.876$ affect as well as the anticipation

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}31{:}15.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.180$ preoccupation phase do include do

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}31{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.736$ include the installer in these circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}31{:}20.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.124$ Our data that I showed you a moment ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.754$ a few moments ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}31{:}25.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.784$ also implicated in staller as biasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:27.784 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.327$ these trait related in solicitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.760$ Let me summarize to other studies

 $00:31:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.791$ in the lab that have gotten us

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:31:35.791 --> 00:31:38.437 into this into this insular mode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:31:38.440 --> 00:31:41.856 Max subtle in a number of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:31:41.860 --> 00:31:43.875 identified the amygdala using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:43.875 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.412$ Hariri basis task is being sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:31:46.412 --> 00:31:49.118 to state related changes in nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.042$ He then used the amygdala that

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:52.042 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.503$ he identified functionally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:31:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.340$ As you seen and identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:31:58.340 --> 00:32:00.080 Insula circuit continuing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}32{:}00.080 --> 00{:}32{:}01.385$ walk this circuit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.891$ He now used the insular as a seed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:04.891 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.477$ identify the default mode network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.655$ The full network that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:09.655 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.960$ now know classically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446 00:32:10.960 --> 00:32:11.394 PCC,

00:32:11.394 --> 00:32:13.130 eventual medial Pfc power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.870$ hippocampal gyrus and interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.175$ Lee and importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.772$ this circuit does not change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:18.772 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.625$ non smokers but is enhanced when

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:21.625 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.215$ the individual is in absent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.400$ Matt also identified that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.182$ insula VM Pfc circuit fully mediated

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:29.182 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.286$ the relationship between trait

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:32:31.286 --> 00:32:33.916 Alexa Pinya and stayed crated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.264$ so we had enough evidence now going in

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:37.264 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.802$ that the incident was likely involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}32{:}40.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.062$ in this nicotine with drawal syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.740$ So the installation we all

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.410$ took neuroanatomy buried in the

 $00:32:47.469 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.139$ middle of this temporal lobe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.499$ yet to kind of crank open the

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:51.499 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.528$ brain and see it in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:32:53.530 --> 00:32:55.798 Probably the best review paper and

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:32:55.798 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.659$ the breast best theoretical paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}32{:}57.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.626$ that that I came across and

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}32{:}59.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.275$ I would really encourage those

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:33:01.275 --> 00:33:03.669 of you who might be interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:33:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.684$ the insular regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:33:04.684 --> 00:33:06.912 Bud Craig's paper, about 10 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:33:06.912 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.730$ where he emphasized that the insula

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:33:08.793 --> 00:33:10.647 really has a gradient of processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00:33:10.647 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.382$ from post theory and anterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:33:12.382 --> 00:33:14.146 insula from from interoceptive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:33:14.150 --> 00:33:15.718 processing homeostatic processing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}33{:}15.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.286$ Amygdala and hypothalamic inputs.

 $00:33:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.730$ And as one goes more rostral, more anterija.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

 $00{:}33{:}20.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.450$ This information is integrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.795346319675446

00:33:22.450 --> 00:33:24.170 and kick more forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:33:24.170 --> 00:33:26.972 integrated, and kick more anteriorly where

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:33:26.972 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.457$ the most anterior regions of the of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:33:30.457 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.767$ insula are involved in hedonic processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:33:32.770 --> 00:33:34.408 Motivational cognitive processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}33{:}34.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.684$ with inputs from areas that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}33{:}37.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.190$ think are players in the bank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:33:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.926$ And this review article by Craig

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}33{:}42.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.186$ LED us to hypothesize a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:33:46.186 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.886$ years ago that the salience network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:33:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.054$ the ACC and anterior insular served as

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}33{:}52.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.760$ sort of the pivot of a Teeter Totter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:33:55.760 --> 00:33:58.966 And when an individual was in accidents,

 $00:33:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.390$ this salience network bias the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}34{:}01.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.810$ individual to pay more attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.380$ to internal states to pay attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.040$ to that craving to that hunger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.390$ When the individual is sated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.198$ This bias system encourage the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:34:14.198 --> 00:34:17.448 to spend more time in executive mode

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:17.448 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.046$ to be able to concentrate focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.154$ It also allowed us to start to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:23.154 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.583$ at the fact that the insula has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}34{:}26.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.940$ divided into a number of different areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.245$ whether it's by using functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:32.245 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.628$ connectivity or Cytoarchitectonic's

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:33.628 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.529$ or behavior for that matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.210$ on this list, divide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.260$ then divide into three major three major,

 $00:34:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.924$ with subdivisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:40.924 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.580$ And so the question we next had was

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:34:43.649 --> 00:34:45.889 how did these circuits from each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:45.889 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.124$ these regions of the insulin different

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:48.124 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.542$ as a function of nicotine withdrawal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.485$ And do these circuits have

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:34:53.485 --> 00:34:54.659 behavioral consequences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.260$ So this is the work of John Kadota

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.470$ and his research assistant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:34:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.251$ and what he did was he took of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:02.251 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.033$ three divisions and hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:04.033 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.817$ driven fashion use each of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}35{:}06.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.496$ three regions of the insular seeds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}35{:}09.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.223$ and had each of these three large

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:12.223 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.310$ scale networks as as targets,

 $00:35:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.752$ and what he identified with three

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:16.752 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.210$ circuits that were biased as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.726$ function of acute accidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:35:20.730 --> 00:35:22.730 This was 48 hour accents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.166$ one from the ventral insula too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:25.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.858$ Piece of the DL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:35:26.858 --> 00:35:30.139 Pfc from the posterior insula into the ACC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.084$ and from the dorsal insula into the DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.820$ And what was interesting is that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.950$ also had behavioral consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.596$ At least two of them did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.064$ and that with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:43.064 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.260$ this first circuit negatively is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:35:45.333 --> 00:35:48.228 strongly negatively correlating with craving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.410$ and this salience network

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}35{:}50.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.045$ circuit correlating with.

 $00:35:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.568$ The WS WS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:53.568 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.086$ sadness and anger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}35{:}55.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.625$ There was no relationship with

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:35:57.625 --> 00:35:58.639 cognitive performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:35:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.368$ During looking at these insular circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.288$ And so we've we've sort of think

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:05.288 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.660$ about these three circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.746$ Is 1 related to affect want interception?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:36:08.750 --> 00:36:10.103 Want to cognition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}36{:}10.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.260$ And when we looked at the state

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:13.354 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.429$ of a little bit closer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.862$ we kind of looked at this this terminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}36{:}18.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.199$ region if you will and the DL Pfc and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:22.199 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.070$ it's Mac on where this crosshairs on

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:36:25.070 --> 00:36:28.109 to where the F three 1020 placement

 $00:36:28.109 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.790$ is where we give TMS at the DL Pfc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.250$ So perhaps what we've identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.218$ serendipitously would be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:36.218 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.081$ location that we might be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:39.081 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.980$ target and justify targeting our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}36{:}40.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.432$ TMS treatments to modify these circuits and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:45.432 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.150$ involved in the negative consequences of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.598$ The nicotine withdrawal syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:36:56.598 --> 00:36:58.210 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:36:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.000$ Tell me how we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}37{:}00.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02.247$ I've got a couple of more studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00{:}37{:}02.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.640$ I'd like to quickly go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

00:37:04.640 --> 00:37:07.138 Trish, Trish, are you have some time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:37:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.520$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81446480751037600:37:07.520 --> 00:37:07.900 great. NOTE Confidence: 0.814464807510376

 $00:37:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.940$ Just just I can't see you so wave

 $00:37:11.033 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.845$ at me or shut me off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.946$ OK so we want to start to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:14.946 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.133$ now at these state trade aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:17.133 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.985$ in decision making and then an in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:19.985 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.573$ reward learning very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:37:21.573 --> 00:37:24.600 process as you know in in substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.680$ use development and maintenance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:37:26.680 --> 00:37:29.024 And we began to look about at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:29.024 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.233$ test that hasn't been used very

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}37{:}31.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.549$ much in addiction are called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:33.629 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.277$ probabilistic reversal learning task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.280$ and we like this task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}37{:}38.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40.240$ We suppressive captures two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}37{:}40.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41.808$ constructs that are important

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:37:41.808 --> 00:37:43.880 in reward based decision making,

00:37:43.880 --> 00:37:45.880 reward sensitivity and cognitive flexibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.064$ How an individual changes one's behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:48.064 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.628$ in the face of negative outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:50.628 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.228$ versus maintaining a previous choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.925$ And this task has been used because

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}37{:}55.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.007$ we used it because it relies on

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:37:59.007 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.767$ NCL circuitry and we've shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:38:01.858 --> 00:38:04.908 this circuitry is changed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:04.908 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.128$ nicotine dependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.566$ But how it's been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}38{:}07.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.252$ how it is involved in smoking in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:10.252 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.395$ the state or trade at ameliorated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:13.395 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.500$ Pharmacotherapies is really unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}38{:}15.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17.636$ So this is work of at least Lesage

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:17.636 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.887$ post dot dot in the lab and it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}38{:}19.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.399$ task that we modify based on one that

 $00:38:22.399 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.028$ Roshan cools published a number of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}38{:}25.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.438$ and again I would encourage people to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:27.438 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.895$ about this task is not used an awful lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.098$ and certainly not in addiction and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:38:32.098 --> 00:38:34.800 really like it a lot and I'll show you why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.096$ It's a very simple task for the subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.588$ They see two fractals and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:38.588 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.270$ have to pick one arbitrarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.705$ The computer has decided what

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:41.705 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.853$ the right answer is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.558$ That's over here are the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:44.558 \dashrightarrow 00:38:46.390$ doesn't know and they get feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}38{:}46.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.406$ It's a probabilistic pass, so they get true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.373$ A true answer about 75% of the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:52.373 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.900$ and they can make a decision to

 $00:38:54.988 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.697$ either stay when they make a win.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:38:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.828$ All or if they lose their inside to

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:00.828 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.336$ stay or they can ship when they make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.360$ When they lose so Wednesday, Lucia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.120$ A type of behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39{:}11.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.656$ We did this task in both smokers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:13.656 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.676$ non smokers in a very complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:15.676 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.120$ fashion that you know we can do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.324$ The at the IRP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:19.324 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.829$ We stand individual six times

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}39{:}20.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22.890$ on and off and nicotine Patch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.394$ Two of those times was with a chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}39{:}25.394 \to 00{:}39{:}27.557$ of Renick Ling Pill and two of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:39:27.557 --> 00:39:30.093 those times was with uh with it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:39:30.093 --> 00:39:32.091 placebo pill because we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:32.091 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.020$ look at this drug drug interaction.

 $00:39:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.934$ As you know varenna clean is

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:35.934 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.577$ generally given clinically while the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:37.577 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.419$ individual is still is still smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.876$ So how would you analyze it past like

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:39:41.876 --> 00:39:44.190 this behavior you can't look at accuracy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:39:44.190 --> 00:39:45.910 you can trigger reaction time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.830$ And was at least did she use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.433$ computational model something called

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

00:39:50.433 --> 00:39:53.233 The Hidden Markov model and she did

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:53.233 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.814$ this as a function of group smokers

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:55.814 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.569$ and non smokers and treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:39:57.569 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.361$ And as you can see there were no

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00{:}40{:}00.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.140$ effects of pharmacotherapy choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:40:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.852$ me in nonsmokers but since we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:40:04.852 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.267$ getting a little short on time I'm

 $00:40:07.267 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.676$ not going to detail with this bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:40:09.676 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.586$ this day or inverse temperature

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:40:11.586 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.842$ means but basically it tells us

NOTE Confidence: 0.827893912792206

 $00:40:13.842 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.972$ that in the absolute state and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:16.041 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.123$ that's here. In in orange,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:18.123 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.649$ in the absolute state and smokers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.750$ these individuals were more impulsive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.368$ In this task they made more rash

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:25.368 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.810$ decisions when facing negative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:40:27.810 --> 00:40:31.247 And Moreover this deficit if you will

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}40{:}31.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.907$ was reversed both when they put on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:40:34.907 --> 00:40:38.350 nicotine Patch and when they had on parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.606$ So it's a really nice computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:40.606 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.506$ way to look at real world kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:43.506 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.266$ of decision making basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.867$ How about when we bring this this

 $00:40:47.867 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.560$ task into the brain very quickly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.360$ When we look at reward greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:40:53.360 --> 00:40:55.439 punishment is whole brain Maps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.510$ We see the very nice DMM map map as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:40:58.592 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.864$ see when we look at what punishment does

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:01.864 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.207$ very nicely activates the salience network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:41:05.210 --> 00:41:08.857 The dorsal ACC and eventual straight up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.956$ However, when we look at cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:41:11.956 --> 00:41:14.020 flexibility that we operationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:14.105 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.066$ define as loose shift minus loose stay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:41:17.070 --> 00:41:20.446 it's all about the salience network, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:20.446 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.826$ There's negative outcomes activates activate

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}41{:}22.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.280$ beautifully on the salience network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.178$ Well, how about as a function

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:41:28.178 --> 00:41:30.110 of state and trade?

 $00:41:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.505$ Well when we look at rewards sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}41{:}33.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.830$ Smokers present with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.570$ hypoactive bilateral striatum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:41:37.570 --> 00:41:40.910 I hypoactive dorsal ACC similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}41{:}40.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44.250$ to performance feedback that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:41:44.356 --> 00:41:48.004 going to show you in just a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.408$ and these impairments are not not

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:52.408 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.340$ modulated by nicotinic agonists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935 00:41:55.340 --> 00:41:56.092 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:41:56.092 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.356$ they are yet again another paradigm another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.580$ Way of looking at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.880$ they deficits are also proportional

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.812$ to the level of nicotine dependence

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:08.812 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.477$ of the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.598$ However, in contrast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}42{:}12.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.422$ the cognitive flexibility contrast

 $00:42:15.422 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.674$ showed us a state related different

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:19.674 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.724$ such that in in smokers during

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:23.724 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.998$ abstinence they perform much worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.904$ And this activation is reversed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:29.904 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.709$ the presence of acute of acute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:42:32.710 --> 00:42:36.643 So what did I just show you very quickly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81805551052093500:42:36.650 --> 00:42:37.424 I apologize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.133$ The study is published that acute absence

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}42{:}40.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.217$ that state smokers were excessively flexible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.258$ They will bias to shift their choices

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}42{:}46.258 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}42{:}48.941$ with neural activity in the dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:48.941 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.539$ systems and in the salience network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935 00:42:51.540 --> 00:42:52.103 Areas. NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:52.103 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.918$ Reduced before this behavioral shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.540$ Acute administration of nicotinic

 $00:42:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.755$ receptor agonist restored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.940$ These both behavioral and neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:42:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.120$ processes comparable to that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:02.188 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.228$ non smokers and what was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:04.228 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.989$ cool in this study and I love

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:06.989 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.315$ doubled Association studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.686$ What we saw in this case though

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:10.686 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.424$ is that in trade of smoking we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:13.424 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.884$ saw a lowest sensitivity in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}43{:}15.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.468$ dorsal striatum and dorsal ACC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.305$ The same players that we've been seeing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.812$ which was not alleviated by nicotinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}43{:}23.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.850$ stimulation but was associated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.760$ And consistent with the message

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:43:27.760 --> 00:43:30.509 I'm trying to leave you with today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.618$ that different constructs different

 $00{:}43{:}32.618 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}43{:}35.253$ computations exist in the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:43:35.253 --> 00:43:37.287 depending upon how you probe it

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:37.287 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.550$ and the state of the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.178$ So further evidence that maybe we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:43:42.178 --> 00:43:44.956 need a multimodal type of approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:43:44.956 --> 00:43:47.306 rather than a monotherapy approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:47.306 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.048$ when we think about as you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:43:50.050 --> 00:43:52.468 OK, one more very quick study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

00:43:52.470 --> 00:43:55.390 It's A kind of cool study that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00{:}43{:}55.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.594$ came out a few months ago and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:43:58.594 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.566$ about the Habenula and the reason we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:44:01.566 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.583$ wanted to go into the habenula stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:44:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.564$ This is an area that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.818055510520935

 $00:44:06.564 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.880$ thinks about really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:07.949 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.649$ involved in negative aversive processing.

 $00:44:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.705$ It's hypothesize be hyperactive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:12.705 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.100$ nicotine withdrawal and anhedonia and pull.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:44:15.100 --> 00:44:17.120 Kenny is shown very nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:44:17.120 --> 00:44:18.980 A5 nicotinic receptor modulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.305$ within the within the Habenula.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:44:21.310 --> 00:44:23.900 So this is a study that that started in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:23.961 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.306$ lab when when that southerns was there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.219$ like many things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:27.219 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.771$ life gets in the way we were finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:29.771 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.305$ able to publish this few months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}44{:}32.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36.046$ But the venue is a really small areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.846$ maybe 5 boxes big in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.369$ You know how do we activate it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.400$ How do we know we're really there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.254$ And this is another very novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:44.254 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.659$ task that I do not understand why

 $00:44:46.659 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.855$ it's never been used to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.920$ Well, I do understand it's a very long test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.167$ Takes about 30 minutes in the Mac

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:54.167 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.949$ and this is a task that is burger

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:44:56.949 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.060$ published a number of years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79525721073150600:44:59.060 --> 00:44:59.676 Simple text,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:44:59.676 --> 00:45:02.140 2 balls appear on a screen of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}45{:}02.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.532$ positions and they start to move at

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:04.532 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.701$ different speeds and the participant

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:06.701 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.915$ only sees them for 100 milliseconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.712$ They go off and then they have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:45:11.712 --> 00:45:14.040 guess which ball would have hit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.870$ Would have hit the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.700$ if there was enough time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:45:17.700 --> 00:45:20.256 And the individual is given feedback,

00:45:20.260 --> 00:45:21.544 either informative feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}45{:}21.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.104$ a :) that they were correct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:45:24.104 --> 00:45:26.668 frowny face, if they were incorrect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:45:26.668 --> 00:45:28.376 to get enough jitter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.530$ we give them occasional non.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}45{:}30.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.800$ Informative information and then often

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.416$ a noninformative feedback whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:45:36.416 --> 00:45:39.368 they were correct or incorrect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.813$ So because of time these were the

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:41.813 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.769$ same individuals that we scanned

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}45{:}43.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.754$ on multiple occasions. Task worked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:45.754 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.926$ It's biased like most of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:47.926 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.658$ kind of reward tasks or people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:50.658 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.561$ correct 65% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:52.561 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.823$ There faster when they are correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:45:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.600$ So the task did what it was supposed to do.

00:45:58.600 --> 00:46:00.355 But interesting Lee in smokers

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:00.355 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.740$ there were more errors of omission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.393$ number of no responses and these errors

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:46:05.393 --> 00:46:07.719 of omission were improved when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}46{:}07.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.323$ scan them up with either parent eccle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79525721073150600:46:10.330 --> 00:46:11.126 Or nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:46:11.126 --> 00:46:13.912 They also got better than non smokers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}46{:}13.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.320$ got better with nicotine as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:46:16.320 --> 00:46:17.912 Again not a surprise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:17.912 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.902$ So did the task work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.574$ The answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}46{:}21.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.070$ I'm outlining the habenula for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}46{:}24.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.826$ over here and these white circles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.350$ When the individual made an error,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.450$ the habenula starts to scream

 $00:46:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.290$ increased activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:46:32.290 --> 00:46:33.595 Insulet increased activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:46:33.595 --> 00:46:35.770 The Strydom shows an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:46:35.770 --> 00:46:38.290 activity when the individual gets is

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.265$ correct and gets positive feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

00:46:40.270 --> 00:46:43.357 So exactly what you would expect a

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}46{:}43.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.158$ task like this to do? Interesting Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:46.158 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.854$ The relationship between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}46{:}47.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50.010$ insula screaming and the habenula

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.606$ screaming is almost one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}46{:}51.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.148$ and so these two structures are

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}46{:}54.148 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}46{:}56.230$ integrating their their processing very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506 00:46:56.230 --> 00:46:57.248 very closely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:46:57.248 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.811$ So very quickly then when we re

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:00.811 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.420$ analyze this data with contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.925$ as a function of group,

 $00:47:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.227$ what we found was that when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:09.227 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.738$ look at errors minus correct that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.734$ On that non smokers very nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:15.734 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.790$ were able to process their error

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00{:}47{:}18.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.150$ response but in the in the stratum

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.359$ but smokers were not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506 00:47:24.360 --> 00:47:24.747 Conversely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:24.747 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.069$ on the initial response in smokers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:27.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.010$ or was much greater when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.795257210731506

 $00:47:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.970$ made errors than it

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00:47:32.077 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.730$ was in non smokers. Does this

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00:47:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.355$ change is a function of acute state?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00{:}47{:}37.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.978$ The answer is yes, that in smokers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00:47:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.465$ but none but not non smokers when

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

00:47:42.465 --> 00:47:44.393 the individuals are absent the

00:47:44.393 --> 00:47:46.358 Habenula was screaming the VM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00{:}47{:}46.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.670$ Pfc is screaming much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00:47:48.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.660$ than in the state and state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

00:47:51.660 --> 00:47:53.994 We did a very quick anatomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00:47:53.994 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.550$ Lorelei to demonstrate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00:47:55.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.266$ in fact, this really is true insula.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827910244464874

 $00:47:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.810$ I'm trying to have Angela and not. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.567303992807865

 $00:48:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.630$ another. He and she.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930347204208374 00:48:07.290 --> 00:48:08.070 I'm sorry. NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:48:10.790 --> 00:48:12.990 Keep going someones my commuted

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:48:12.990 --> 00:48:14.310 your OK. OK

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:48:14.310 --> 00:48:17.523 So what did I just show you too quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:48:17.523 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.151$ that we see a differential pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:48:20.151 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.977$ of brain activity in the Habenula

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:48:22.977 --> 00:48:25.747 the insula ACC eventual stratum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:48:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.950$ following positive and negative feedback,

 $00:48:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.134$ and we see again again at the Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}48{:}31.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.780$ between trait life addiction and state

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}48{:}33.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}36.972$ like with drawal in that smokers show a

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:48:36.972 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.756$ reduced right or responsibility to positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:48:39.756 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.806$ feedback which was not ameliorated by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}48{:}42.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45.014$ Nicotinic agonists was correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:48:45.014 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.540$ with severity of addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:48:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.025$ and Conversely habenula activity following

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:48:51.025 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.159$ positive feedback was reversed by an RT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:48:55.160 --> 00:48:56.720 Which was correlated with with craving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:48:56.720 --> 00:49:00.428 which I didn't have a chance to show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.314$ So this novel evidence again seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}49{:}03.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.721$ suggest that why these monotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:49:05.721 --> 00:49:08.446 might not be overly effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:49:08.450 --> 00:49:11.516 Alright, I'm going to skip the with

00:49:11.516 --> 00:49:14.462 the summary and one tickle only

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}49{:}14.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.007$ Becausw Regina said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.970$ We're moving into treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.306$ This is a study that just came out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.806$ MD, PhD screen in the lab of wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:24.806 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.922$ to see theoretically if PVCS at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:27.922 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.800$ acutely could modify these service and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.502$ with Sarah did was taking the hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}49{:}33.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.380$ of this three network key to tar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:49:37.380 --> 00:49:39.204 And using this hypothesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:39.204 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.949$ put Anodal T DCS let me show you where it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:49:43.950 --> 00:49:46.140 Put TCS over the VM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:49:46.140 --> 00:49:49.234 Pfc and the DL Pfc and switch

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:49.234 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.400$ polarities with an oral DL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:49:51.400 --> 00:49:54.240 Pfc or cat photo DL Pfc to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:49:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.104$ to modify this three large scale

 $00:49:57.104 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.194$ networks again using the same power

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:00.287 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.220$ line we've been using in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.878$ We scan smokers on and off

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:05.878 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.650$ and nicotine Patch with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}50{:}07.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09.555$ See the sham stimulation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:09.555 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.079$ an Ola Catholic stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.530$ We did the same thing and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:13.530 \dashrightarrow 00:50:16.030$ smokers but only scanned them once.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.935$ No drugs for these folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:17.935 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.459$ and importantly we scanned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:50:19.460 --> 00:50:22.808 We presented T DCS in the magnet so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:50:22.808 --> 00:50:25.704 were doing this online in real time

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}50{:}25.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28.950$ to watch how the brain is changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}50{:}28.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.004$ as a function of this intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:50:32.010 --> 00:50:33.666 Because Sarah wanted to be a

 $00:50:33.666 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.260$ measure and not a mapper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.906$ We use tasks that we well knew what they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.270$ what they did. So she had a priority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.090$ Regions of interest to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.910$ at the effects of PVCS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.556$ She used the parametric flanker task

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:46.556 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.266$ that we developed in the lab that very

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:50.266 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.591$ nicely distinguishes smokers from non

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:50:52.591 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.084$ from non smokers and I don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:50:55.084 --> 00:50:58.265 time to to go into that and perhaps I

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}50{:}58.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.087$ won't tell you simply that the tasks

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:01.087 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.697$ were parametric flanker task work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:03.697 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.368$ people did worse smokers be worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.874$ They did worse when they were in abstinence

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:51:09.874 --> 00:51:12.313 but importantly for this piece of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}51{:}12.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15.140$ the presentation and a single 30 minute.

 $00:51:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.434$ 2 million add auto TVCS increase the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.276$ The rush or ACC activity when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:26.276 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.672$ individual is doing a conflict ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:51:29.672 --> 00:51:32.960 the effect was bigger and smokers

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}51{:}32.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36.665$ than nonsmokers for the N back

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:36.665 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.715$ task again behaviourally the task

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.188$ worked and again acute T DCS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:51:43.190 --> 00:51:45.872 To the DLP FC enhanced the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:45.872 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.730$ deactivation on a 3 backpacks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.994$ allowing that performance to be to be better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

00:51:53.000 --> 00:51:54.248 And it did so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00:51:54.248 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.120$ And this was the main point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815314650535584

 $00{:}51{:}56.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.297$ I want to leave you with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:51:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.514$ Did so better in the savant

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:00.514 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.463$ nicotine Satan state than in

 $00:52:02.463 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.438$ the nicotine withdraw in state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.640$ So that suggests to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

00:52:07.640 --> 00:52:10.748 Let me skip the E field mapping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

00:52:10.750 --> 00:52:13.258 This suggested to us that smokers

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:13.258 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.830$ would since they were more sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00.52:15.830 \longrightarrow 00.52:18.700$ when they were sated than that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.398$ when they were cognitively engage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.320$ It may be that that this Admiral TV

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

00:52:24.320 --> 00:52:28.067 CS might be a useful ad on therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:28.067 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.534$ alongside nicotine replacement

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00{:}52{:}29.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32.350$ to perhaps increase the gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.590$ of modifying these circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00{:}52{:}34.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36.198$ So I apologize for these last

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:36.198 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.044$ last few minutes of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:38.044 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.468$ rushing rushing through things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

00:52:39.470 --> 00:52:42.233 I just want to end with thanking the folks

00:52:42.233 --> 00:52:45.264 in the lab who really did all of the work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00{:}52{:}45.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47.166$ and I think I've mentioned those

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:47.166 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.930$ that were involved along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.856$ Some of my outside collaborators that

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:50.856 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.590$ I've also highlighted along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

00:52:52.590 --> 00:52:55.326 I want to thank my to for ITS support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.498$ and I want to thank you for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478

 $00:52:57.498 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.989$ indulgence in your attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798081696033478 00:52:58.990 --> 00:52:59.300 thanks. NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.560$ Thank you so much, Elliott.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.560$ That was just beautiful Ann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

00:53:05.560 --> 00:53:08.344 Really, such a such a Tour de force

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00{:}53{:}08.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.753$ with with all of these details

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:10.753 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.207$ I mean functional mapping of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:13.293 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.296$ brain in the context of smoking in

 $00:53:16.296 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.360$ different state and trait effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00{:}53{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.360$ We're just we're just gorgeous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.424$ Let me just open this up now for

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:23.424 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.357$ questions and comments from all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

00:53:26.360 --> 00:53:29.041 I also want to just point out

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:29.041 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.568$ that in fact the CME links.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.120$ Are in the chat box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

00:53:33.120 --> 00:53:35.600 If you need to get for CME credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00{:}53{:}35.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.770$ so please make sure and get that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830803871154785

 $00:53:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.940$ but I'm opening it up to questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:53:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.445$ You can use the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:53:44.445 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.710$ function or raise your hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

00:53:46.710 --> 00:53:48.772 Let me start actually Elliot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}53{:}48.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51.720$ That was really gorgeous an it's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:53:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.108$ beautiful how you use different tasks

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:53:54.108 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.946$ that focus in on different aspects of

 $00:53:56.946 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.706$ function to sort of dissect the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}53{:}59.706 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}54{:}02.539$ under under a different drug related states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.389$ The question I have is actually twofold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.903$ One is there's a lot of blunting

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:07.903 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.850$ under these acute withdrawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.880$ Sort of chronic drug use

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.504$ states that you showed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.958$ It made me start to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:15.958 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.590$ of whether that's tolerance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

00:54:17.590 --> 00:54:22.054 Or just a lower level of functioning and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.745$ Anan therefore you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:23.745 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.430$ flip side would be there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}54{:}25.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27.758$ Is there sort of you know you're in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:27.758 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.268$ the trap where if you try to reverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:30.268 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.510$ that you might have sensitization.

 $00:54:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.556$ So what was sort of worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:34.556 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.210$ about that piece of it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

00:54:36.210 --> 00:54:38.569 So how do you think about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

00:54:38.570 --> 00:54:40.316 But the second thing you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

00:54:40.316 --> 00:54:42.253 I'm going back to your silver

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}54{:}42.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44.371$ buckshot and the notion that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

00:54:44.371 --> 00:54:46.910 in studying this it because so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

00.54.46.910 --> 00.54.48.675 regions an networks are affected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.036$ different networks are affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:50.036 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.461$ If we wanted to reverse this sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}54{:}52.461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.126$ of like your brain stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}54{:}54.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.937$ Approach even in studying it do need

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:54:56.937 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.038$ tasks that are not so focused in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}55{:}00.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02.404$ that I'm not just focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:55:02.404 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.980$ a uni dimensional aspect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}55{:}03.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06.735$ but rather because drugs of abuse are

 $00:55:06.735 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.500$ affecting so many regions that we need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00{:}55{:}09.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12.251$ Some things that are more wide spread in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896728515625

 $00:55:12.251 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.619$ their effects of activating the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.844$ Yeah yeah. So let me take that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:55:17.850 --> 00:55:19.182 That second question first

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:19.182 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.847$ 'cause I can remember it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.754$ So you know when we think about so

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:55:23.754 --> 00:55:26.386 why would DL Pfc TMS work right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.700$ Why? Why does it seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.440$ reasonably efficacious in depression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.968$ Maybe in another nurse?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}55{:}31.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33.878$ Psychiatric disorders OC D is

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}55{:}33.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35.930$ going to prove this, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}55{:}35.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.730$ What is it about the DL Pfc it happens to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.330$ Of course be something accessible

00:55:41.330 --> 00:55:43.550 by TMS which doesn't go very deep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.320$ but when you think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.476$ circuitry in one of the one pieces

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:55:47.476 --> 00:55:49.590 that I showed you in bed circuitry

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:55:49.653 --> 00:55:51.807 between there and the insula right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00.55.51.810 -> 00.55.53.954 maybe we can think of this as being

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:53.954 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.384$ sort of top of funnel that what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:55:56.384 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.794$ what we're doing is we're accessing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}55{:}58.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}01.038$ lodging network from this from this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:56:01.040 --> 00:56:03.768 Or maybe it's an inverse funnel than that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}56{:}03.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.434$ I'm accessing it from this point that I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.729$ the DL Pfc is a sketch pad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.210$ This is where we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.023$ Lots of things are going in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:12.023 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.749$ out of brain for processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528 00:56:13.750 --> 00:56:14.396 You know,

00:56:14.396 --> 00:56:15.688 executive function talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}56{:}15.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.640$ a lot of downstream components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.344$ And so it may be that these multifaceted

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:21.344 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.868$ aspects of Sud that we all acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:24.868 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.959$ with come together in these large

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:27.959 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.959$ scale logical networks that may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:30.959 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.780$ amenable to to an intervention like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528 00:56:35.780 --> 00:56:37.440 It's uh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:56:37.440 --> 00:56:40.020 You know the you're right there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.090$ there's some of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.750$ that are that we wonder about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.330$ From the one hand going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}56{:}47.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49.030$ uh, thinking about specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.580$ event of anatomy and specificity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.794$ the receptor target, versus perhaps,

00:56:53.794 --> 00:56:54.668 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:56:54.668 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.290$ the most efficacious treatment we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:56:57.361 --> 00:57:00.224 in psychiatry today is the least specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528 00:57:00.230 --> 00:57:00.660 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.550$ So ECT works on, you know, 70% ish of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.428$ Medication resistant depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:06.428 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.306$ And there's no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}57{:}08.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}10.346$ there's no localization there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:57:10.346 --> 00:57:14.650 So you know the other thing I would point

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.997$ out is if we look carefully at Suzanne

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:57:17.997 --> 00:57:21.309 Hager's work and the loop structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.878$ and again if you get beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:57:23.878 --> 00:57:25.590 the cortical striedl piece,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:57:25.590 --> 00:57:29.014 but the pieces that are talking into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.570$ that what we're doing is we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.730$ really bringing into into play.

 $00:57:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.745$ You know many many downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:57:35.745 --> 00:57:38.430 structures in both in the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}57{:}38.430 \to 00{:}57{:}40.570$ and perhaps in this intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.470$ Now to your first question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:57:43.470 --> 00:57:46.926 What's going on during this acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:57:46.926 --> 00:57:50.274 accidents versus versus satiety, right so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87258166074752800:57:50.274 --> 00:57:51.318 Is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:57:51.318 --> 00:57:55.220 Is it simply a matter of well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.605$ so you talked about tolerance

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:57.605 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.513$ and sensitization right when?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:57:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.960$ When an acutely abstinent smoker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:58:02.960 --> 00:58:06.300 Smokes or gets a Patch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}58{:}06.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08.575$ They're not gonna smoke then

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:08.575 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.739$ not going on a on a bench.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528 00:58:11.740 --> 00:58:12.646 They're not,

 $00:58:12.646 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.364$ they're not trying to to overcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00{:}58{:}15.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.230$ Some deficit state beyond an

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:58:17.230 --> 00:58:18.355 equilibrium point, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00.58:18.355 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.480$ They seem to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.205$ and in fact there is almost no

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:22.205 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.551$ tolerance to smoking people that smoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:58:24.551 --> 00:58:27.821 a pack a day smoker pack a day and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:27.821 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.040$ for 20 years they smoke a pack a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.200$ That's very different than the profile

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.509$ to see with opioids with alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:58:35.510 --> 00:58:37.380 So it's a very different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.487$ very different drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:38.487 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.701$ And So what we're thinking is

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

 $00:58:40.701 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.496$ happening is there really isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.872581660747528

00:58:42.496 --> 00:58:44.530 a cute an acute deprivation state

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867356777191

 $00:58:44.592 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.380$ that's that's simply reverse.

 $00:58:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.385$ Ameliorated by the replacement of

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867356777191

 $00:58:48.385 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.398$ nicotine, and that's an that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867356777191

 $00:58:50.398 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.408$ shown cognitively as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.820339739322662

 $00:58:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.018$ in these circuits, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820339739322662

00:58:54.018 --> 00:58:56.024 Right? Thank you. That's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820339739322662

 $00:58:56.024 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.239$ We have a question in the chat box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820339739322662

00:58:59.240 --> 00:59:01.658 How well do would behavioral interventions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820339739322662

00:59:01.660 --> 00:59:03.268 Hypnotherapy versus more Pfc

NOTE Confidence: 0.820339739322662

00:59:03.268 --> 00:59:05.680 based ones like CBT effect these

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00{:}59{:}05.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.608$ networks? I think all interventions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:08.608 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.419$ going to change if you change the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.975$ You're going to change the networks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59{:}14.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}17.619$ whether we change them pharmacologically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.096$ Using noninvasive brain stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:19.096 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.310$ Whether we use CBT or other

 $00:59:21.368 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.810$ behavioral interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.850$ Of course, these circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.202$ will change absolutely. My my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:27.202 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.079$ My long-term hope is that it's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:30.079 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.203$ to be a combination therapy and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00{:}59{:}33.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}36.337$ and I would talk about this over

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:36.337 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.340$ the years that it's going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

00:59:39.340 --> 00:59:41.064 You know, supportive pharmacotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:41.064 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.357$ interventional behavioral interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

00:59:42.360 --> 00:59:44.520 and with potentially neural modulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

00:59:44.520 --> 00:59:46.680 then that might enhance these

NOTE Confidence: 0.828417216028486

 $00:59:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.976$ these these approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919082959493001

00:59:48.720 --> 00:59:55.020 Yeah. Any other thoughts and comments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $00:59:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.765$ Hi if it's OK, I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $00:59:58.765 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.500$ really have a question just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:00.500 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.274$ like a feel good moment for a second.

01:00:03.274 --> 01:00:05.008 My name is Justin Morales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

01:00:05.008 --> 01:00:06.744 I'm actually a fourth year

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:06.744 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.132$ medical student at Howard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:08.132 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.518$ Fortunate to join this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:09.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.558$ call to Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

01:00:10.560 --> 01:00:12.636 Schottenfeld who passed it along and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:12.640 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.374$ really I joined the call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:14.374 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.068$ Doctor Stein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:15.070 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.810$ You might not recall this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:16.810 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.237$ I worked in your lab 10 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:19.237 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.315$ ago as a high school student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:21.315 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.745$ Now in my 4th year medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01{:}00{:}23.745 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}26.518$ So very proud and happy to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01\text{:}00\text{:}26.518 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}00\text{:}28.594$ to join a listening to everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:28.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.370$ that you've done over these past 10 years.

01:00:31.370 --> 01:00:32.702 Continuing to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:32.702 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.950$ pursue science and medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

 $01:00:33.950 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.523$ and I think it's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84974867105484

01:00:35.523 --> 01:00:38.339 So I just wanted to join it and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

 $01:00:38.340 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.836$ kind of say hi blast from the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

 $01:00:40.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.466$ Justin thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

01:00:41.466 --> 01:00:42.718 Thanks for introducing yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

 $01:00:42.720 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.160$ I will say one of the best parts of this job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

 $01:00:46.160 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.344$ The absolute best parts of this job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

01:00:48.350 --> 01:00:50.228 Other students working in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

 $01\text{:}00\text{:}50.230 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}00\text{:}51.482$ whether they're they're coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

01:00:51.482 --> 01:00:53.360 through in high school or college,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

 $01:00:53.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.670$ we have a number of programs at

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

 $01:00:55.670 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.292$ the intramural program and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

01:00:57.292 --> 01:00:58.990 get students in at all levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846236169338226

 $01:00:58.990 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.566$ and it's it's absolutely the best part of.

 $01:01:01.570 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.728$ Doing science, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.777802288532257

 $01:01:02.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.500$ think wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777802288532257

01:01:03.500 --> 01:01:05.040 Well, thank you Justin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777802288532257

01:01:05.040 --> 01:01:08.028 I hope we can interact you over to Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.777802288532257

 $01:01:08.028 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.827$ for your post medical school years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777802288532257

01:01:10.830 --> 01:01:12.374 We have two questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777802288532257

01:01:12.374 --> 01:01:14.518 one from Shelly Ament, Shelly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777802288532257

01:01:14.518 --> 01:01:17.940 Go ahead and then Suchitra. Hey

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

01:01:17.940 --> 01:01:20.550 Elliot, wonderful to see you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

 $01:01:20.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.720$ Also, one of your previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

01:01:22.720 --> 01:01:25.325 MD PhD students in your lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

 $01:01:25.325 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.675$ I have a question since more

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

01:01:27.675 --> 01:01:29.590 more lately I'm focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

 $01:01:29.662 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.830$ ambiguity and decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

 $01:01:31.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.002$ I'm wondering in your habenula

01:01:34.002 --> 01:01:37.039 study when you had the error made

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

01:01:37.040 --> 01:01:39.854 and you gave the feedback of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

01:01:39.854 --> 01:01:42.076 negative frowny phase versus the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

 $01:01:42.076 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.416$ error made an ambiguous feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

 $01:01:44.420 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.556$ Did you look at that to see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.864145636558533

01:01:47.556 --> 01:01:49.630 the ambiguous feedback would

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01:01:49.630 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.134$ activate? We we, we did and and I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

01:01:54.140 --> 01:01:55.316 Yet again, apologize for

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01:01:55.316 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.080$ the speed that I that I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

01:01:57.080 --> 01:01:58.838 I thought the study was cool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01{:}01{:}58.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}00.976$ so I just wanted to just came out

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01:02:00.976 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.550$ so I wanted to tease you with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01:02:03.550 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.020$ Yeah there were two bars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01:02:05.020 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.036$ If you can think of the slide there

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01:02:07.036 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.077$ are two bars to the right that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01:02:09.077 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.929$ we're both yellow and those were

 $01:02:10.929 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.867$ the those were the non informative

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

01:02:12.867 --> 01:02:15.016 feedback and it was sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468342125416

 $01{:}02{:}15.016 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}15.898$ neutral activity activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76599109172821

01:02:18.140 --> 01:02:20.720 They don't wanna separate Unity Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76599109172821

 $01:02:20.720 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.300$ You didn't pull out a separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.76599109172821

01:02:23.300 --> 01:02:25.450 like locus of ambiguity. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76599109172821

01:02:25.450 --> 01:02:27.600 thank you, it's really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.323649793863297 01:02:29.470 --> 01:02:30.410 Suchitra NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:02:33.640 --> 01:02:35.710 Sorry I'm trying to unmute myself

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:02:35.710 --> 01:02:37.780 later. That was a wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:02:37.780 --> 01:02:39.808 Thank you so much. It's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:02:39.808 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.076$ It's great to see such a nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01{:}02{:}42.076 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}44.199$ series of studies that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:02:44.199 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.410$ are all connect and makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:02:46.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.470$ I wish we were also lucky to have results

 $01:02:49.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.270$ which kind of all fit together so well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:02:52.270 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.000$ So thank you for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:02:54.000 --> 01:02:57.450 I guess my question is more of a general one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:02:57.450 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.501$ which is a lot of the studies that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:00.501 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.620$ presented were in the adult brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:03:02.620 --> 01:03:04.004 If I understand correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:04.004 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.451$ You know now we are in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:06.451 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.209$ in the US and World Wide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:08.210 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.618$ We are facing this huge problem with

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:03:10.618 --> 01:03:12.290 nicotine use through E cigarettes

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01{:}03{:}12.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}14.602$ in youth and have you know I would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:03:14.610 --> 01:03:16.584 I would think knowing little bit that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:16.584 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.026$ I do about the youth brain that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:19.026 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.335$ would anticipate that a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:03:21.335 --> 01:03:22.771 flexibility or inflexibility that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:22.771 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.185$ you're seeing in the adult brain would

 $01:03:25.185 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.135$ reflect very differently in the youth

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:27.135 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.007$ brain is what my understanding is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

01:03:29.010 --> 01:03:30.774 Could you maybe speak a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:30.774 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.850$ bit to what you would expect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859870076179504

 $01:03:32.850 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.410$ How some of these processes would work there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:03:36.710 --> 01:03:38.135 Yeah, that's right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:03:38.135 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.035$ that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:03:40.040 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.328$ So we have studied only adults as you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:03:42.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.895$ as you alluded to. 18 to 60 year olds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:03:44.900 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.847$ I think our our in our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:03:47.850 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.106$ Um? And we know certainly the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:03:52.106 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.851$ of smoking that nobody thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:03:53.851 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.285$ smoking as an adult, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01{:}03{:}55.285 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57.700$ If you can get your kids through

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:03:57.700 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.807$ high school and not be a smoker,

01:03:59.810 --> 01:04:02.085 the likelihood of them smoking is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:02.090 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.065$ very small, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:04:03.065 --> 01:04:04.690 Almost everybody starts at 13,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:04:04.690 --> 01:04:05.946 fourteen, 15 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:05.946 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.238$ and we know that you as you just alluded to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:04:09.240 --> 01:04:11.190 that that's the time of greatest

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:04:11.190 --> 01:04:12.490 flexibility in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:12.490 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.624$ greatest developmental plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:04:13.624 --> 01:04:16.270 And so we have two things going

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:16.331 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.119$ on right with beating the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01{:}04{:}18.119 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}19.942$ up with this for eign substance at

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:19.942 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.944$ the same time that the brain is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:21.950 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.800$ Is maturing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:22.800 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.350$ And so you would expect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:25.350 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.417$ one of the reasons perhaps why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:28.420 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.617$ as an adult,

 $01:04:29.617 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.011$ this is such an insidious disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:04:32.011 --> 01:04:34.568 and failure rates are so high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:34.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.620$ is that that plasticity is

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:36.620 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.670$ well locked in right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:38.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.556$ Which of those circuits potentially were

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:41.556 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.988$ affected the most at that at that time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:04:44.990 --> 01:04:48.280 I do have data in my pocket

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:48.280 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.229$ that is not ready for primetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:52.230 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.954$ The the animal studies that I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:55.954 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.469$ you that that Robin Keeley did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:04:59.470 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.806$ We repeated in in neonatal rats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:02.810 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.130$ So we we started this at P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01{:}05{:}08.130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}11.786$ He 20 I think and we gave rats

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:11.786 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.650$ different amounts of nicotine at

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:05:14.650 --> 01:05:17.122 different starting points along

 $01:05:17.122 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.079$ development and we allowed them

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:20.079 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.073$ to grow up with the nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:05:23.080 --> 01:05:25.528 Again, it I think chronic nicotine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:25.530 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.393$ and then we scan them along the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:05:28.393 --> 01:05:29.620 developmental trajectory right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:05:29.620 --> 01:05:30.751 And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:30.751 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.967$ one of the nice things we have in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:33.967 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.464$ animal magnet. We can do scanning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:36.464 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.362$ I think we scan these these rats

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:39.362 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.997$ four times across the development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:05:42.000 --> 01:05:47.928 It's a humongous data set and we're just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:05:47.930 --> 01:05:49.002 Thinking We're wrapping our

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:49.002 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.806$ heads around itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:49.810 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.033$ give me a call in a couple of months

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

01:05:52.033 --> 01:05:54.115 an I'll have some data for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01{:}05{:}54.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}55.992$ but it's a critical question that

 $01{:}05{:}55{.}992 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}57{.}910$ we think that this really is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:05:57.910 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.410$ Something that that's very relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.90646356344223

 $01:06:00.410 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.910$ clinically to smoking use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

01:06:03.770 --> 01:06:06.115 Thank you yeah my I was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

01:06:06.115 --> 01:06:08.591 curious to know whether this process

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

01:06:08.591 --> 01:06:10.921 that is changing that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

01:06:10.921 --> 01:06:13.309 describing you know with nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

 $01:06:13.310 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.977$ The timeliness of that and how it

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

 $01{:}06{:}15.977 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}18.598$ changes in younger populations will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

 $01:06:18.598 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.938$ very interesting to examine because

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

01:06:20.938 --> 01:06:24.007 you know you don't get the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.890278100967407

 $01:06:24.007 \longrightarrow 01:06:26.057$ profile of nicotine with drawal in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861741483211518

 $01{:}06{:}26.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}27.716$ younger populations too, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861741483211518

 $01:06:27.716 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.200$ Really, I'm really glad you mentioned that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861741483211518

 $01:06:30.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.258$ So kids when they stop smoking do

 $01:06:32.258 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.937$ not have a very serious effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.861741483211518

 $01{:}06{:}34.937 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}40.221$ with drawal syndrome. I. That that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861741483211518

 $01:06:40.221 \longrightarrow 01:06:43.750$ Fascinating. Any other there

NOTE Confidence: 0.868219435214996

 $01:06:43.750 \longrightarrow 01:06:46.490$ is another question in chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868219435214996

 $01:06:46.490 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.330$ Regina is there data on relation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.868219435214996

01:06:50.330 --> 01:06:53.070 forms of therapy, neuro modulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868219435214996

 $01:06:53.070 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.262$ behavioral intervention or pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.868219435214996

 $01:06:55.262 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.000$ age and or individual differences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.241660013794899

 $01:06:59.470 \longrightarrow 01:07:08.178$ Wow, Ahah. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

01:07:08.180 --> 01:07:12.041 I don't know. We don't and I sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01{:}07{:}12.041 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}15.295$ alluded to and I had had a slide at

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:15.295 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.591$ the end that I didn't punish you with

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

01:07:18.591 --> 01:07:21.476 to look at at fractionating as we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

01:07:21.476 --> 01:07:23.486 beginning to fractionate the phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

01:07:23.490 --> 01:07:25.940 so we're not doing a particularly good

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:25.940 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.418$ job at looking at individual differences

01:07:28.418 --> 01:07:31.142 with with interventions of any sort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01{:}07{:}31.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}32.990$ there is the nicotine metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:32.990 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.333$ ratio work that Karen Lerman has

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:35.333 \longrightarrow 01:07:37.073$ shown for individual differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01{:}07{:}37.073 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}39.248$ with slow versus fast metabolizers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:39.250 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.118$ And we know that slow metabolizers

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:42.118 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.075$ seem to do better with, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01{:}07{:}45.075 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}47.015$ buitron fast metabolizers seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:47.015 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.440$ to do better with NRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:49.440 \dashrightarrow 01:07:52.737$ That's one of the best examples I

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:52.737 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.440$ know of fractionating the phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:07:55.440 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.800$ I would hope that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01{:}07{:}56.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}59.772$ If we all get to do this wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01{:}07{:}59.772 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}03.587$ job for a few more years that we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:03.587 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.809$ can get down the road to begin to do.

 $01:08:06.810 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.485$ I think some pretreatment phenotyping

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:08.485 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.134$ to be able to say you are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:11.134 \longrightarrow 01:08:12.654$ fact the well builtron person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

01:08:12.660 --> 01:08:14.935 your NRT Europe or rent a clean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:14.940 \longrightarrow 01:08:18.230$ I mean if you think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:18.230 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.275$ NRT works in 1015% of the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:21.280 \longrightarrow 01:08:23.450$ Well buitron, 20 ish percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:23.450 \longrightarrow 01:08:25.270$ Varenna clean thirtyish percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:25.270 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.670$ if you keep them on for a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:28.670 \longrightarrow 01:08:32.382$ So if we can fix 60% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:32.382 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.137$ people 100% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000166177749601:08:35.140 --> 01:08:35.662 We've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:35.662 \longrightarrow 01:08:36.967$ I mean, this is great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

01:08:36.970 --> 01:08:39.319 but we just don't know who they are right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

01:08:39.320 --> 01:08:41.091 And we also don't know if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:41.091 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.959$ the same people or if these are

01:08:42.959 --> 01:08:44.279 in fact independent Co boards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:44.280 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.580$ So it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:45.580 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.624$ We're not there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

01:08:46.624 --> 01:08:48.190 It's sort of the Holy Grail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:48.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.202$ at least in my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:49.202 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.720$ In my thinking that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.820001661777496

 $01:08:50.783 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.108$ do that at some point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059877872467

 $01:08:56.650 \longrightarrow 01:08:59.650$ Wonderful, we really engage the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059877872467

 $01{:}08{:}59.650 --> 01{:}09{:}02.150$ So beautiful. You've got some

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059877872467

 $01\text{:}09\text{:}02.150 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}09\text{:}04.150$ wonderful questions and answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059877872467

 $01:09:04.150 \longrightarrow 01:09:06.650$ I think we are almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059877872467

 $01:09:06.650 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.150$ more question Ridata.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059877872467

 $01{:}09{:}08.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}11.273$ OK, Pittenger has his hand raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059877872467

 $01:09:11.273 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.890$ Go ahead, Chris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059877872467

 $01:09:12.890 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.728$ That wasn't my hand raised.

 $01:09:14.728 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.826$ That was me

NOTE Confidence: 0.628543972969055

 $01{:}09{:}15.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}18.026$ clapping. Oh, it was the clap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628543972969055

01:09:18.030 --> 01:09:19.930 Christmas planning. Do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.666228652000427

 $01:09:19.930 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.789$ see any good options? Trisha. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:23.790 \longrightarrow 01:09:25.810$ think we are all set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:25.810 \longrightarrow 01:09:28.244 \text{ I don't see any other hands}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:28.244 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.668$ raised and nothing else in chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

01:09:30.670 --> 01:09:33.505 Yeah, well, Elliot that was just beautiful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

01:09:33.510 --> 01:09:35.940 Thank you for such a wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:35.940 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.752$ stimulating talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01{:}09{:}36.752 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}39.594$ It's got everybody's juices going and we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:39.600 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.822$ Now we will be following up on

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:41.822 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.730$ your papers and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:43.730 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.588$ Thanks so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:44.588 \longrightarrow 01:09:46.590$ I know it's really early where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:46.654 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.537$ are and you've taken the you woken

01:09:48.537 --> 01:09:50.982 up early and we couldn't tell any

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01{:}09{:}50.982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}52.952$ difference about sleep sleep deprivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867055237293243

 $01:09:52.960 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.908$ You would just

NOTE Confidence: 0.882969558238983

 $01{:}09{:}53.910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}55.182$ fantastic thanks so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882969558238983

01:09:55.182 --> 01:09:56.480 Thank you everyone, thanks.