## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:58:28.7360000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.994$  Thank you, thank you and welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:02.994 --> 00:00:04.990 everyone to Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.525$  grand rounds and really pleased with how

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:08.525 --> 00:00:11.903 we continue to innovate on formats in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:11.903 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.839$  this new Zoom World of of the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.046$  And I think today's session is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}00{:}19.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.913$  to be interesting given the nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:21.913 --> 00:00:24.715 of of four speakers and various

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:24.715 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.144$  levels of their training and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:28.144 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.444$  professional development and are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}00{:}30.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.970$  Cancer Center in our University and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:32.970 --> 00:00:35.380 health care system and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.676$  really focused on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}00{:}36.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.700$  The great work that's going on in

 $00:00:39.791 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.051$  radiology and biomedical imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}00{:}42.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.441$  and the science associated with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.410$  most notably in Interventional Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:00:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.804$  Please introduce let us say the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}00{:}51.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.070$  the leader for today's session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:54.070 --> 00:00:55.738 Doctor David Madoff is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:00:55.738 --> 00:00:58.740 as you may recall from last week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}00{:}58.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.104$  doctor Madoff is the Co director

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:01.104 --> 00:01:03.170 of Interventional Oncology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.870$  Interventional and college research

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:04.870 --> 00:01:07.640 lab vice chair for clinical research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.760$  and the section chief for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}01{:}09.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.608$  Interventional radiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:10.610 --> 00:01:12.306 An Department of radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:12.306 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.578$  and biomedical imaging,

 $00:01:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.625$  and David really has throughout his career

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}01{:}16.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.918$  have been an innovator in this space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:19.920 --> 00:01:22.170 And with his joining the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

00:01:22.170 --> 00:01:25.389 at Yale now a couple of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.630$  I guess has really built out not only the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.870$  capabilities in our clinical operations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}01{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.434$  but expanding research which

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:32.434 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.607$  is really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00{:}01{:}33.610 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.680$  And so David I turn it over to you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:36.764 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.452$  sort of introduce the other speakers

NOTE Confidence: 0.87117267

 $00:01:39.452 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.990$  and share with us all this great work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:01:43.890 --> 00:01:44.853 OK, thanks Charlie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:01:44.853 --> 00:01:47.720 So I'd like to again, thank you for giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}01{:}47.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.990$  me the opportunity to speak in Yelp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:01:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.086$  Cancer Center grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:01:51.086 --> 00:01:53.741 As you saw it last week I was part

 $00:01:53.741 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.643$  of a session on the multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}01{:}55.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.689$  management of colorectal liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:01:57.690 --> 00:01:59.510 Today's session, as you stated it will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:01:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.549$  be focused on Interventional oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.262$  What it is in some of the exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:04.262 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.678$  research being done in our lab at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.654$  Therefore, this program will focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:08.654 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.955$  work being done by our trainees and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:10.955 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.097$  not really on my own personal work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:13.100 \dashrightarrow 00:02:15.459$  I will just be introducing the topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.316$  And our vision and goals for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:18.316 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.119$  Interventional Oncology Program at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.829$  So this session, as you may recall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.014$  was originally planned for March 10th

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:25.014 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.258$  and it was just after the kovid pandemic.

00:02:28.260 --> 00:02:29.445 So interesting, Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:29.445 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.815$  It's actually given us more time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}02{:}31.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.466$  to make our data even more mature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.798$  So for the audience for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.896$  So I'm really pleased. I think about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.455$  So I'm going to start and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:02:42.455 --> 00:02:44.949 will be followed by Julia Shapiro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.505$  who happens to be the Co director

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}02{:}47.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.220$  of the intervention college.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.444$  Live with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}02{:}50.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.484$  He's actually an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:02:52.484 --> 00:02:54.860 of radiology and biomedical imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.666$  while actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:55.666 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.069$  still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:56.069 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.376$  and I are resident so that shows you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:02:59.376 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.836$  the level of achievement that some

 $00:03:01.836 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.374$  of our colleagues really have and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:03:04.374 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.834$  then will be followed by Jessica

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}03{:}06.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.398$  Santana and tells Evie who are both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

00:03:09.398 --> 00:03:11.559 graduate students in our lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00{:}03{:}11.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.869$  the work will all be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:03:13.869 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.129$  liver cancer and Interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:03:16.129 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.986$  oncology related activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278826

 $00:03:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.874$  So let me just share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.610$  OK, so. Really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.250$  what is Interventional oncology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.395$  Well intermixed oncology is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:34.395 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.682$  subspecialty of Interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:35.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.419$  radiology that utilizes minimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:37.419 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.063$  invasive image guided procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:39.063 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.491$  to both diagnose and treat patients

 $00:03:41.491 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.286$  with various forms of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.195$  The benefits of primary intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:03:45.195 --> 00:03:46.719 oncology treatments or its

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:46.719 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.199$  immediate tumoricidal effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.648$  As you I'm sure are aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.877$  there minimally invasive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:51.877 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.513$  resulting in cost reductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:53.513 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.740$  and time Efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.547$  as well as having minimal systemic side

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:03:57.547 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.208$  effects leading to an overall improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.350$  Quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.508$  The goal has been to make the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:04.508 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.399$  for us becoming the 4th pillar of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:07.399 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.798$  cancer care and over the years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:09.798 --> 00:04:12.424 I believe we have and this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:12.424 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.788$  been shown actually in many ways.

00:04:14.790 --> 00:04:17.030 These include having IO therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}17.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.822$  incorporated into multiple NCCN

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:18.822 --> 00:04:20.778 guidelines which include you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:20.778 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.858$  colorectal metastases include

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:21.858 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.240$  HP be an even in renal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.026$  having trials to assess the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:27.026 --> 00:04:28.970 Percat Aneus management of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}28.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.945$  and what I believe is most important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.938$  Is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}32.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.396$  I believe now become a valued participator

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:36.396 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.915$  in most if not all of tumor boards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.732$  So what does it take to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:41.732 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.660$  become a pillar of oncology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}04{:}43.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.430$  Clearly each clinical discipline needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.739$  to have a strong foundation in basic,

 $00:04:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.184$  translational and clinical research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:49.184 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.499$  We do have some work to do in this regard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.845$  but I must say I was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:54.845 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.603$  thrilled to see when I was in 2016

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:04:57.603 --> 00:04:59.979 as an invited faculty of Asco GI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:04:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.220$  My discipline next to my name was listed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}02.220 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}05{:}04.400$  actually as an Interventional Oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.772$  not an interventional radiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}05.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.479$  so I was really happy at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.756$  and I thought we actually made it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:05:11.760 --> 00:05:12.048 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:12.048 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.640$  I really do believe that in terms of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:14.699 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.729$  and education of referring physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.385$  I think we're nearly there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:18.385 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.040$  and on the right track,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.369$  but I don't know if we've come there totally.

 $00:05:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.249$  So here you can see a long list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}28.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.090$  procedures that we do, and I owe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:05:31.090 --> 00:05:34.030 These can range from image guided biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:05:34.030 --> 00:05:35.290 primary tumor therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.130$  palliative procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.705$  central venous access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:05:37.705 --> 00:05:40.330 an managing of complications that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.732$  are either a result of cancer itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:43.732 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.002$  or related to cancer treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.502$  So I just wanted to highlight some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}48.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.908$  challenging biopsies as this is one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:50.908 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.070$  the most important procedures that we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}05{:}53.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.457$  Clearly it is difficult for Oncologist to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:55.457 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.106$  treat patients in the absence of a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:05:58.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.658$  That said,

 $00:05:58.658 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.576$  cases such as this one should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:00.576 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.621$  easily doable for any experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:02.621 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.479$  interventional list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.160$  and as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.744$  this patient has confirmed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:07.744 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.036$  metastatic adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.846$  So here is the second case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.866$  which I would say is much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:12.866 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.692$  challenging due to lack of any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:06:14.692 --> 00:06:16.492 imaging finding on CT or ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}16.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.681$  and you can see here it's closed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:18.681 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.901$  proximity to the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.160$  Fortunately we were able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:06:22.160 --> 00:06:24.148 adequate tissue sampling and help

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

00:06:24.148 --> 00:06:26.243 this patient get the appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:26.243 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.548$  chemotherapy that they needed to be on.

 $00:06:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.622$  So I just wanted to discuss a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:30.622 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.209$  of primary intra interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:32.209 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.730$  oncology tumor therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.893$  both of which will be discussed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00{:}06{:}35.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.833$  research by our trainees later in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124253

 $00:06:37.833 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.038$  the session here we have two more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:06:40.108 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.748$  guided ablation or tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

00:06:41.748 --> 00:06:43.388 image guided tumor ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}43.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.734$  so the goals of Ablation is to eradicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}45.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.992$  all valuable malignant cells and stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}47.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.600$  spare normal surrounding tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}49.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.475$  treat tissues with unfavorable location

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}51.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53.809$  or pattern of distribution for resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:06:53.809 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.819$  and or have multiple comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:06:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.773$  These are the most often used in

 $00:06:57.773 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.676$  patients with low volume disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}06{:}59.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.351$  required to bulking are typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:01.351 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.717$  done in an outpatient setting setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:03.717 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.762$  and these procedures are repeatable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.266$  So if we can see here we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:08.266 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.706$  radiofrequency ablation and microwave

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:09.706 \dashrightarrow 00:07:11.596$  which are heat based the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

00:07:11.600 --> 00:07:13.832 We have Cryo Ablation which is a coal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}07{:}13.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.937$  based the rapy and we actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:15.937 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.053$  irreversible electroporation which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}07{:}17.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.490$  is really to electrocute the tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.306$  Believe it or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:21.306 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.576$  by changing the ionic potentials

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}07{:}23.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.120$  between the between the membranes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.170$  So ablation of liver tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.434$  which is in this was its initial indication,

 $00:07:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.684$  as shown here in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:32.684 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.514$  This is 1 case of a patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}07{:}35.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.136$  HTC that needed ablation as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:38.136 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.030$  bridge to transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.294$  As we can see, 2 1/2 years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.919$  no tumor recurrence or any residual disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.251$  Next was the case of an isolated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:49.251 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.250$  colorectal liver metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.707$  Who here you see a pet positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:52.707 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.471$  or hypermetabolic lesion in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00{:}07{:}54.471 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.711$  right lobe of the liver who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:56.711 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.040$  successfully treated with Ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:07:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.573$  and his team are free at one year?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7713822

 $00:08:00.573 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.239$  Follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.080$  This is a patient who,

 $00:08:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.066$  instead of having a liver lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}07.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.206$  has a lung nodule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:08.206 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.378$  Here we can see that based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

00:08:10.378 --> 00:08:12.378 having heat based thermal ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.036$  the patient did very well at seven months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.343$  As you can see, there's no residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:17.343 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.812$  tumor on the image Ng, and there was no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:20.812 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.500$  local recurrence seen at three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.708$  We can also treat bone metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.756$  This is a patient with metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}27.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.904$  breast carcinoma with a focal right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

00:08:29.904 --> 00:08:32.058 femoral and right hip pain limiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:32.058 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.799$  mobility for whatever reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}33.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.312$  she refused radiation the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

00:08:35.312 --> 00:08:37.580 and was treated with heat based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00{:}08{:}37.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.081$  thermal ablation and Samantha

 $00{:}08{:}39.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.658$  plasty is shown here and she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:41.658 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.423$  an immediate improvement in right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8226105

 $00:08:43.423 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.680$  femoral pain from 8 to 10 out of 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:08:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.514$  This is a very interesting use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:08:51.514 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.310$  thermal ablation in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:08:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.154$  with cryoablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:08:54.154 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.264$  This patient had metastatic Rectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:08:56.264 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.138$  Squamous Cell Carcinoma who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:08:58.138 --> 00:09:00.056 severe intractable 10 out of 10 pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.835$  secondary to inoperable tumor recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:01.835 --> 00:09:03.960 infringing on the sacral nerve roots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.875$  She was successfully treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}05.875 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.139$  partial cryo ablation of the bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:08.139 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.363$  wall and you can see right here the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:10.363 --> 00:09:12.659 low density ice she had immediate

00:09:12.659 --> 00:09:14.609 or should say complete resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.095$  of her pain within about 3 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.150$  So she had actually no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.120$  Team up until a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}21.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.424$  at which time she actually died

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:23.424 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.825$  and then Lastly we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:25.825 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.183$  to treat this liver tumor here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.548$  That was a budding the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:30.548 --> 00:09:31.727 hepatic bile duct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.690$  So we used Ayari again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:33.690 --> 00:09:34.869 that's basically electrocution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:34.869 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.620$  which is technically a non thermal ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:37.620 --> 00:09:41.001 So about nine months later the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:41.001 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.509$  has no residual disease or recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:44.510 --> 00:09:46.550 We also have more regional therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.910$  such as transarterial embolization.

 $00:09:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.896$  In these cases are typically reserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}49.896 \to 00{:}09{:}51.970$  for patients with higher tumor burdens

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:51.970 --> 00:09:53.690 or are in neoadjuvant settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.406$  or in cases where resection or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}09{:}55.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.667$  ablation is in a location considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:09:57.667 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.775$  terribly difficult or dangerous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:09:59.780 --> 00:10:03.460 He We see a case of a patient with HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}03.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.650$  cirrhosis and a 4.8 centimeters segment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}05.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.360$ 8 HTC Embolization was performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:10:07.360 --> 00:10:09.540 to maintain the patient on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}09.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.758$  transplant waiting list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:10:10.760 --> 00:10:12.580 We see completing the Krosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}12.580 \to 00{:}10{:}15.466$  and she alternately underwent a transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:10:15.470 --> 00:10:18.095 This is a patient with compensated HTP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.524$  sarot sis, Anna Sala,

 $00{:}10{:}19.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.507$  Terry HTC and segment 8 and a platelet

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00{:}10{:}22.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.216$  count of 57 who also needs treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.100$  There's a bridge to transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.473$  This tumor is shown here is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:29.473 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.220$  a very difficult location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:10:31.220 --> 00:10:32.720 and she successfully underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.595$  embolization with no residual tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

 $00:10:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.470$  and this patient also underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.80972403

00:10:36.470 --> 00:10:37.218 liver transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:10:39.640 --> 00:10:42.529 Here we're able to see a patient that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:42.529 \dashrightarrow 00:10:45.083$  treated in their 90s who has a large HTC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.908$  In this case, the ACC actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:10:46.908 --> 00:10:48.120 ruptured through the capsule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}10{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.248$  and as you probably are all aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:10:50.250 --> 00:10:52.588 this is associated with poor, if not dismal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:52.588 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.968$  prognosis were able to successfully

00:10:53.968 --> 00:10:55.699 treat it with radio embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:10:55.700 --> 00:10:57.518 which was done as an outpatient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.338$  and this can be seen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:10:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.086$  She had complete response and lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:01.086 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.270$  up to five after four years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.128$  and then Lastly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:04.128 --> 00:11:07.448 This is a case of a patient that has BI lo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:07.448 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.780$  or HTC with extensive portal vein tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:09.780 --> 00:11:11.976 Rambus, so typically this patient would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:11.976 --> 00:11:14.459 have less than six months to live,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}14.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.945$  but as expected, this is a relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:16.945 --> 00:11:18.779 young patient with the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}18.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.136$  small young children, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:20.136 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.370$  So we try to offer procedure to help this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.260$  patient an we did Chemoembolization,

 $00:11:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.194$  and Fortunately we were successful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}28.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.520$  prolonging his life for about 16 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.416$  So to wrap up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:32.416 --> 00:11:35.079 I just want to give you my five year of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:35.079 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.957$  vision for a modern academic evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}37.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.267$  based intervention on college program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:39.270 --> 00:11:41.258 First we want to increase the IO

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:41.258 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.495$  clinical research programs throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}42.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.155$  the smilow cancer hospital and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:44.155 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.780$  kiss centers throughout the area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:11:45.780 --> 00:11:46.083 Further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:46.083 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.204$  it will be important to improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:48.204 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.078$  education for the community provider of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:50.078 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.266$  the procedures that we offer and which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:52.266 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.144$  patients are more likely to benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:11:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.047$  We also need better integration of care

 $00:11:56.047 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.178$  into current and future oncology workflows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}11{:}58.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.812$  We will be working on initiating some new

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:00.812 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.029$  clinical trials related to liver oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.322$  As discussed last week

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:04.322 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.614$  on liver generation was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.240$  which was dragging one study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.382$  but we also are going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:09.382 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.448$  involved in the leap 012 study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.704$  which is going to be an immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}13.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.354$  chemo embolization plus or minus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}15.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.226$  immunotherapy that we're going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:17.226 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.910$  initiating or starting very soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.143$  And then it's also going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:21.143 --> 00:12:23.257 critical to have faculty who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:23.257 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.417$  sub specialized to be able to

00:12:25.417 --> 00:12:27.687 provide this level of advanced air.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}27.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.063$  We also plan to make image guided

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:30.063 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.902$  biopsies more readily available and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:31.902 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.170$  hoping to improve turn around time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.085$  There have been ongoing discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:36.085 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.715$  with Doctor Chen Lu as you all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:38.715 --> 00:12:40.647 know is the chair of pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.778$  To work on a by an image guided

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:43.778 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.770$  by over Pozza Tori.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:45.770 --> 00:12:48.434 As will be seen from the next speakers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}48.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.582$  we are currently working on advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:12:50.582 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.653$  preclinical and Translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}51.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.555$  research with a focus on molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}53.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.449$  imaging immuno oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:12:54.450 --> 00:12:56.892 We're also very active in machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}12{:}56.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.865$  learning and artificial intelligence as

 $00{:}12{:}58.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.818$  it relates to liver cancer and based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:13:00.818 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.649$  on these interesting topics we hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:13:02.649 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.415$  to be able to achieve and expand our funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:13:05.415 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.305$  And Lastly we have a newly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}13{:}07.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.818$  established IR residency program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}13{:}08.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.772$  In this we would like to develop an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:13:11.772 --> 00:13:13.357 Interventional Oncology Fellowship and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:13:13.357 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.073$  we are looking into the possibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:13:16.073 --> 00:13:18.160 applying for a T32 training grants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:13:18.160 --> 00:13:19.198 So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:13:19.198 --> 00:13:21.620 these are visions and goals I'd like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}13{:}21.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.341$  to then introduce my Co director

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00{:}13{:}24.341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.109$  for the Interventional Oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

00:13:26.187 --> 00:13:27.639 Research Lab at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185584

 $00:13:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.220$  who will be discussing

00:13:29.220 --> 00:13:30.010 quantitative biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8402101

 $00:13:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.975$  molecular imaging in artificial intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8402101

 $00:13:31.975 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.749$  to guide the therapy of liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8402101

 $00:13:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.120$  So thank you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:13:46.380 --> 00:13:48.168 Alright, thank you very much everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:13:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.347$  I'm really excited to be presenting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:13:50.347 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.631$  topic on behalf of our lab and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:13:52.631 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.976$  more of a vision presentation of what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}13{:}54.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.106$  accomplished and a couple of thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:13:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.486$  that we put together over the last years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:13:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.450$  None of this would have been possible without

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.370$  really vast infrastructure of collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.855$  which I'll be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:04.855 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.043$  a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.795$  I want to say that I very excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:08.800 --> 00:14:11.232 David joining the group here and really being

00:14:11.232 --> 00:14:13.847 our new leader and Interventional Ecology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.896$  and we all completely subscribed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:15.896 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.190$  the vision that she just provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.510$  So as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:19.510 --> 00:14:22.878 I'm going to be focusing on the liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.812$  and primarily I'm going to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:24.812 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.568$  off with a BC else staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.458$  The most recent one was released in 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:30.460 --> 00:14:32.636 and even in that more recent addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}14{:}32.636 \to 00{:}14{:}35.799$  it we have seen a clear separation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:35.799 --> 00:14:38.040 intermediate station advanced stage disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.153$  so they exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:39.153 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.008$  Apparently in two separate planets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:41.010 --> 00:14:41.362 however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:41.362 --> 00:14:43.826 really in clinical practice and in signs,

 $00:14:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.860$  this is very transitional area where both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.398$  seemed to be interacting quite extensively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.703$  We saw a lot of clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:50.703 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.978$  focusing an overlap of those therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.311$  and this is exactly the realm where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:14:55.311 --> 00:14:57.026 most patients are being diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:57.026 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.308$  and also the realm where you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:14:59.308 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.004$  we think there is most room of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}02.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03.536$  improvement for patient outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.628$  so systemic therapies for HTC then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}05.628 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}08.130$  and now I borrowed the slides for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:08.130 --> 00:15:09.896 from Agusan Abou Alfa, who's?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}09.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.776$  Medical Oncologist at Memorial Sloan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:11.776 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.690$  and this is how the market look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:14.690 --> 00:15:17.082 Five years ago we just had one drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:17.082 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.790$  and this it's not even a complete view.

 $00:15:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.016$  This is where we are right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.940$  So with him just five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.204$  we have so many drugs being approved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:26.204 --> 00:15:28.717 most of 'em actually are very dedicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.680$  specific targeted molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.640$  and checkpoint inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.638$  So this flood of novel agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}15{:}32.638 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}34.329$  actually probably means the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:34.329 --> 00:15:36.373 ship of systemic therapy in the CL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.277$  See staging system and what we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:38.277 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.849$  witness is a greater overlap between local,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.062$  regional and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:42.062 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.486$  Systemic therapies of immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.940$  And this is where we come from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.033$  We focused now on the biomolecular mechanisms

 $00:15:49.033 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.205$  in the tumor micro environment behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:51.205 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.235$  those therapies and their combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:15:53.240 --> 00:15:55.724 So we focus on two of the well known

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:55.724 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.980$  hallmarks of cancer that are particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:15:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.338$  relevant for HTC specifically on its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:00.338 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.228$  ability to avoid immune destruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:16:02.228 --> 00:16:04.090 and the deregulation of seller

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.490$  energetic into metabolic phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.640$  So let's have a look at the HTC cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.440$  so we now it has a very pronounced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:16:11.440 --> 00:16:12.140 Warburg effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.312$  and this is specifically a cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:14.312 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.223$  that is extremely dependent on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:16.223 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.420$  the glucose metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.496$  And so called the Arabic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}19.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.866$  anaerobic glycolysis, and well,

 $00:16:20.866 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.990$  the glucose is being taken up with that sell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.010$  It produces lactate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.710$  It is that essentially is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:16:26.710 --> 00:16:28.840 D Cup from the Krebs cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.779$  and this lacked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

00:16:29.779 --> 00:16:31.657 It is then being flooded directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:31.657 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.029$  into the extra seller micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.824$  And because of that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00:16:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.508$  dramatic acid ification of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774969

 $00{:}16{:}37.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.220$  surrounding tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}16{:}39.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.988$  And what does that really mean for us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.060$  The extracellular pH and liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}16{:}44.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.444$  especially aggressive age species

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:45.444 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.382$  known to be very, very low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:47.382 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.122$  And now finding say that this is a very

 $00:16:50.122 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.427$  important exclusion mechanism for four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}16{:}52.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.400$  Immune cells and the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.976$  of immune cell exhaustion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.834$  So this has been previously reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:16:57.834 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.748$  and we now know more than ever that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:00.748 \dashrightarrow 00:17:02.768$  actually tumor infiltrating T lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:02.768 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.546$  depend extremely on extra seller acidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:05.546 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.394$  and competitive glucose deprivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.983$  and that the reversal of this hostile

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:09.983 --> 00:17:11.989 tumor micro environment can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:11.989 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.485$  improve their infiltration into the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.825$  An immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:15.825 --> 00:17:18.050 So to sum it up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.674$  loads for seller pH is a marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:20.674 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.498$  tumor aggressiveness and the presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:22.498 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.712$  of Protons and lactate really protects

 $00:17:24.712 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.757$  cancer against an immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.320$  It promotes new angiogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.660$  The lactic acidosis plays in multifaceted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:30.722 --> 00:17:32.913 role that is not fully understood for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:32.913 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.740$  all variable immune cell subgroups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.762$  and the high lactic levels are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:36.762 --> 00:17:38.110 actually strong predictors of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}17{:}38.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.187$  metastatic disease and poor outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.457$  So where does all this fit in with taste?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.015$  So theoretically this is an embolus therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:46.015 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.638$  that changes the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.593$  And what happens is that in theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:50.593 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.885$  tastes me potentially exacerbate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:17:51.885 --> 00:17:53.880 a hostile tumor micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.476$  that attenuates treatment efficacy.

 $00:17:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.844$  Let's look at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:56.844 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.526$  I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:17:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.043$  it induces a hypoxic injury that potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:00.043 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.320$  may exacerbate the low pH even further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.752$  It potentially stimulates brand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}03.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.542$  eugenic signaling and the embolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}05.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.897$  even might prevent delected

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:06.897 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.477$  transport away from the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}08.480 \to 00{:}18{:}10.055$  So postdates tumor marker environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:10.055 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.240$  was thought to be immune inhibitory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.570$  but is that really true?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.264 \text{ I mean}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:15.264 --> 00:18:17.346 let's look at HTC in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.695$  where it stands in terms of Genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:19.695 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.123$  somatic mutations as opposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:21.123 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.918$  to Melanoma and lung cancer.

00:18:22.920 --> 00:18:25.356 Really, HTC is somewhere in the middle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.872$  so the idea of the Immuno and inoculation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:27.872 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.329$  with locoregional therapies with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:29.329 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.264$  initially discarded because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382 00:18:31.270 --> 00:18:31.604 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:31.604 --> 00:18:31.938 however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:31.938 --> 00:18:34.276 let's have a look at the pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:34.276 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.488$  of a tumor treated with taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.578$  This is a patient with HTC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.694$  Histology was taken 11 days post locoregional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}40.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42.410$  the rapies that was transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.622$  What we see we see in necrotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:44.622 --> 00:18:46.446 tumor packed with in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:46.446 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.466$  particular case drug eluting beads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.507$  And surrounding that we see a very

00:18:50.507 --> 00:18:51.830 dense immune infiltrate and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}51.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.230$  we zoom in We really almost see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}18{:}53.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.971$  a secondary immune follicles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:54.971 --> 00:18:56.826 macrophages and T cells really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:56.826 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.160$  accumulating the transitional zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:18:58.160 --> 00:18:59.760 But they can't really penetrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:18:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.716$  into the necrotic areas of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:01.716 \dashrightarrow 00:19:03.599$  where all the good end to Jenison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.485$  Why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:19:04.485 --> 00:19:06.550 So our mission was at this point

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}06.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.741$  to really look at the underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:08.741 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.470$  mechanisms and how do systemic therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.661$  How does in the immune system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:13.661 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.140$  local regional therapies interact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.186$  So we wanted to develop imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:17.186 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.550$  instruments for noninvasive functional

 $00:19:18.602 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.026$  characterization monitoring of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:20.026 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.162$  tumor micro environment in the setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}22.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.819$  of the immune response and taste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.668$  and that was the mission of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

00:19:25.668 --> 00:19:27.406 lab that we essentially star

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:27.406 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.166$  started several years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.410$  So the one of the first projects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00{:}19{:}31.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.037$  I'm going to talk about is recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167382

 $00:19:34.037 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.037$  published paper clinical Cancer Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}36.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.175$  That focused on the development of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:19:38.175 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.170$  extracellular pH probe that demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:19:40.170 --> 00:19:41.712 extracellular pH noninvasively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}41.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.254$  using spectroscopic methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:19:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.660$  and I'm actually really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:19:45.660 --> 00:19:47.928 'cause that partnered in design and

00:19:47.928 --> 00:19:50.698 work on the on this novel mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}19{:}50.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.449$  with Daniel Komen and if I meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:19:53.529 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.025$  higher from the Mr Research Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:19:56.025 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.198$  who have been really instrumental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:19:58.198 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.738$  and great partners in developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.110$  And we used to model of a rabbit liver tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.837$  which is so far the only moderate size animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:06.837 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.166$  model that has a faithful reproduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}09.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.665$  Reproduction of an HTC tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:10.665 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.570$  environment and the has a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}12.570 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.315$  clinical relevance because we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:14.315 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.477$  do the embolization also image those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:16.477 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.595$  animals in real size clinical scanners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.201$  We do have a 3T MRI system for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:21.201 --> 00:20:23.620 and also cutting edge IR suite which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.887$  we use in the white Rick with the help

 $00:20:26.887 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.402$  and support of Alston is this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:29.402 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.824$  So this is an immuno competent host.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:31.830 --> 00:20:33.630 Anna hyper glycolytic metabolic phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.420$  An essentially those tumors have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:35.420 --> 00:20:36.494 been characterized previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.690$  is very reminiscent of the actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:38.690 --> 00:20:40.450 tumor marker environment of HTC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.820$  So what is a good way to image pH so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}42.889 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.654$  their sensor jeans that you can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}45.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.293$  their luminescent probes that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:20:48.293 --> 00:20:50.137 translate yourselves with reporters?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.316$  But what we in radiology aim for is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}52.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53.676$  really the noninvasive measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}20{:}53.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.322$  affects your seller pH and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:20:56.322 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.445$  where our msit based spectroscopic

 $00:20:58.445 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.545$  birds methodology comes into place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.435$  This has been established previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:02.435 --> 00:21:04.320 in brain tumors by Daniel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.955$  And we have translated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:05.955 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.936$  essentially to deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:06.940 --> 00:21:08.296 This is NMR Spectra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:08.296 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.991$  scopic method that measures the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:09.991 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.609$  redundant deviation of shifts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}11.609 \to 00{:}21{:}13.830$  temperature in the region of the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.706$  and we can generate the 3D extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}15.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.975$  pH map that provides us with essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:17.975 --> 00:21:19.379 a very accurate characterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}19.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.378$  of the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.702$  So if we look at the tumor in by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:23.702 --> 00:21:25.981 itself and measure it as compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:25.981 --> 00:21:27.931 to the surrounding liver tissue,

 $00:21:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.076$  we see that the tumor in by itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}30.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.649$  has much lower pH and baseline in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:32.649 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.149$  untreated fashion and the surrounding liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.092$  and that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:36.092 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.290$  And we know that this is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:38.351 --> 00:21:40.710 due to the overt Warburg effect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.081$  overexpression of glued one and lamb two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}43.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.031$  as indicators for the micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:45.040 --> 00:21:47.616 Now, what does taste actually do with it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.600$  And this is where the surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.480$  result from our study came in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.430$  We looked at the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}21{:}53.430 \rightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.030$  embolization with little one day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.650$  one week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:21:55.650 --> 00:21:57.510 and two weeks after we actually

 $00:21:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.335$  treat those tumors and measured the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:21:59.335 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.833$  pH and as opposed to most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:01.833 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.975$  assumptions that taste is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}22{:}03.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.106$  actually exacerbate the The Anti.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:22:06.106 --> 00:22:09.262 Yeah, I mean the logic, the immune,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:22:09.262 --> 00:22:10.846 evasive tumor micro environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.830$  it actually did the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.028$  So what we see is a normalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00{:}22{:}15.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.608$  of the tumor pH towards almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:22:17.608 --> 00:22:19.177 delivered background levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.281$  Overtime after taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

00:22:20.281 --> 00:22:22.483 And that is an important finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:22.483 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.628$  because that gives us an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:24.628 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.877$  to use local regional therapy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:26.877 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.749$  preparation for successful anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:28.749 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.153$  checkpoint checkpoint inhibition

 $00:22:30.153 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.161$  therapy and we actually demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162481

 $00:22:32.161 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.660$  this also with a more direct and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.704$  exacerbated model. Where we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:36.704 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.814$  Eustace and actually injected bicarbonate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:38.814 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.089$  directly with taste and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:41.089 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.979$  demonstrated here is that on baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:42.979 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.258$  you have an acidic tumor with taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.556$  You have a minor improvement of pH towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:22:47.556 --> 00:22:49.359 normal over overnight essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}49.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.579$  but if you add bicarb you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}51.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.290$  immediately improve the pH almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.372$  essentially to normal and yellow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}22{:}55.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}57.568$  green means higher levels than blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:22:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.964$  If we now look at, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:22:59.964 --> 00:23:02.016 H Lady are expression at baseline,

 $00:23:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.660$  we see immune cell exclusion were really see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:04.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.677$  all the immune cells in the tumor periphery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.300$  And with with taste alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:09.300 --> 00:23:11.554 immediately at least one day after therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.170$  you don't really see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.458$  lot of immune invasion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:14.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.080$  but with taste and bicarb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:16.080 --> 00:23:18.018 essentially improving and elevating a pH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}18.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.308$ you see massive intratumoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:19.308 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.596$  infiltration of immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.352$  and that's an important finding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:22.352 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.626$  we made here in actually an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:24.626 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.062$  other thought that we should be thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}27.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29.317$  about as a group generally in oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.574$  and what kind of agents we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.487$  So when we use a key mobilization with oil,

 $00:23:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.100$  which is Lupito, we achieved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:36.100 --> 00:23:37.775 achieves seemed to be achieving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:37.775 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.780$  very different effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.390$  Compared to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.000$  for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:40.000 --> 00:23:42.220 using an cuisine beads or Lumi beads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.014$  which is another flavor of beads

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:44.014 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.305$  and that is important for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:23:46.305 --> 00:23:47.681 understand because through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}47.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.324$  uncle logic community taste was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:49.324 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.986$  taste for long period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.862$  but in reality it is not because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}23{:}52.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.437$  know that different embolic particles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:54.437 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.880$  will induce very different in a logical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:23:56.937 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.657$  effects in very different changes

00:23:58.657 --> 00:24:00.377 to the tumor marker environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.258$  And that is something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:02.258 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.510$  really need to study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}03.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05.624$  especially if we want to combine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:05.624 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.414$  local regional therapies with systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}07.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.066$  the rapies and checkpoint inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}09.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.995$  Now another level of investigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:10.995 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.547$  that we took to token published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:13.547 --> 00:24:15.200 recently in radiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.128$  again close collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}17.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.538$  Daniel Komen Fahmideh Hyder but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:19.538 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.962$  also other groups like rip Ocala's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:21.962 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.839$  group and also want to mention Ruth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.277$  Montgomery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:25.277 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.151$  and Joshi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:26.151 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.773$  who helped us generate this research.

 $00{:}24{:}28.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.530$  Here we wanted to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.859$  that we can actually use molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:32.859 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.907$  dedicated in mar probes nanoprobes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:34.907 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.955$  labeled antibodies to visualize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:36.955 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.407$  the immune system surrounding those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:39.407 --> 00:24:41.747 tumors in vivo and noninvasively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.117$  So what we did is we use both iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:24:44.117 --> 00:24:46.286 oxide particles and the direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}46.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.126$  injection of gadolinium labeled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:48.126 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.396$  antibodies to visualize those tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}50.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.958$  and put those animals with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:52.958 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.005$  implanted tumors into them are what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}24{:}55.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.113$  we demonstrated was that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:57.113 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.273$  able to clearly delineate microfusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:24:59.273 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.465$  immune cell infiltration and macrophage

 $00:25:01.465 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.445$  and immune cell accumulation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}03.445 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}25{:}05.418$  the periphery of those tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:25:05.418 --> 00:25:06.970 On T2 MRI sequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}06.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.298$  and that was proven in histologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:25:09.298 --> 00:25:10.850 with Prussian blue staining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.438$  which stands for iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:12.438 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.820$  And we saw that the spines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.055$  the iron oxide particles really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}17.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.290$  deposited and CD11B positive macrophages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.262$  and we confirmed that later with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}21.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.581$  CD 68 staining and the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:23.581 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.555$  is true for our induction injection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}25.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.982$  of gadolinium labeled antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00{:}25{:}26.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.166$  You probably heard about this from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:25:29.166 --> 00:25:31.059 the molecular imaging using pet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.349$  but here we have a much Marsh

00:25:33.349 --> 00:25:35.166 higher focal resolution where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

 $00:25:35.166 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.698$  label antibodies with gadolinium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8515036

00:25:36.698 --> 00:25:38.230 and then inject them

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:25:38.297 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.613$  directly into those tumors and through

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:25:40.613 --> 00:25:43.896 the artery, and we can see that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:25:43.896 --> 00:25:45.890 very specific accumulation and staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:25:45.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.920$  Of immune cells and perforate the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:25:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.607$  that we can now really locali characterize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:25:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.857$  and that's that's a big step forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:25:52.857 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.780$  because not only can we now image the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}55.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.019$  marker environment from a pH perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}25{:}58.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.694$  we can also images from a presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:00.694 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.820$  and functionality of immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.148$  So for the first part of the conclusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:05.148 --> 00:26:07.280 are their appearance of, for example,

 $00{:}26{:}07.280 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.290$  more than five targeted agents to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:09.290 --> 00:26:11.520 treat HTC will cause a left shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:11.520 --> 00:26:13.559 of systemic therapy in the BC else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.088$  and we really must do some heavy lifting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.658$  It's up to art actually to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:17.658 --> 00:26:19.194 to put the collaboration between

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:19.194 --> 00:26:21.214 the Interventional in college and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:21.214 --> 00:26:23.358 Immuno Oncology on the right track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}23.360 \to 00{:}26{:}25.112$  From a science perspective we must

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:25.112 --> 00:26:26.948 also work more on non invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}26.948 {\: -->\:} 00{:}26{:}29.069$  imaging modalities and we did so with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:29.069 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.852$  the extracellular pH that revealed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:30.852 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.976$  that ace actually is an inducer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:32.980 --> 00:26:35.075 Profound changes to tumor marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:35.075 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.170$  environment that may help immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:37.228 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.448$  to be more effective,

00:26:38.450 --> 00:26:41.578 and pH is key for us as marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}26{:}41.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.450$  but the changes in pH depends on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.670$  the embolic materials that we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:46.670 --> 00:26:49.246 We also now know that C test would

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:49.246 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.626$  lipiodol may achieve a partial reversal

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:51.626 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.128$  of the immune evasive tumor marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:26:54.206 --> 00:26:57.216 environment on itself two weeks after taste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.579$  and that we can use them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:26:59.579 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.604$  instruments to actually detect immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}01.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.964$  cells directly surrounding the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}03.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.636$  So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:04.636 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.627$  the for the first part of my conclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}06.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.517$  is that local regional therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:08.517 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.029$  and intervention alone college.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.848$  You must be established in combination

 $00:27:11.848 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.757$  with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:12.760 --> 00:27:14.664 We have to have a synergy between

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:14.664 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.547$  the two iOS interventional and Immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:16.547 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.728$  Oncology and it's up to us to work on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.185$  And that generates another bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}21.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.240$  problem that we really work up on very

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.878$  closely with Jim Duncan and collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:24.878 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.000$  with that group and biomedical engineering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.746$  And this is the overwhelming growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:28.746 --> 00:27:30.900 of data and will be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.144$  this little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.566$  Tall is going to be presenting on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.066$  so we know that the data that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:37.066 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.700$  have specifically in imaging and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.584$  Cancer in general explode so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:40.584 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.828$  have more than 20 times more data

 $00:27:42.828 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.664$  today than we have in 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.428$  and so that is a huge challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.240$  Anne, it's David already presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.684$  We have different flavors

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:50.684 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.767$  of chemo embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.218$  embolization with drug alluding

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:53.218 --> 00:27:54.304 Beatson Radio mobilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:54.310 --> 00:27:56.356 We have a variety of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}27{:}56.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.178$  methodologies in ways how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:27:58.178 --> 00:27:59.738 can introduce tumor necrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:27:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.370$  cold heat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.575$  and electrocution in a way we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}02.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.583$  five different and more coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:28:04.583 --> 00:28:06.613 up with systemic therapy agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:28:06.620 --> 00:28:08.540 all of which pose different changes

 $00:28:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.600$  to the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.740$  So we went overwhelming burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

00:28:12.740 --> 00:28:14.465 complex data that actually may

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:14.465 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.030$  impede effective clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.040$  We need to address that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.682$  And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:18.682 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.608$  how do we transform the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:20.608 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.930$  from burden to value?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.837$  We know that we have an increase in data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.108$  We have very complex medical health records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.730$  We have genomics sequencing information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00:28:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.614$  We have the availability of complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.81775826

 $00{:}28{:}30.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.870$  computer based algorithms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:31.924 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.590$  now the availability, availability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.540$  computational power at a lower cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.544$  And that is the space where

 $00:28:37.544 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.100$  artificial intelligence now comes in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.038$  And this is essentially data driven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.912$  Learning that deep down can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:42.912 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.532$  explained as machine learning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:44.532 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.434$  recognizes trends and objects in pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:28:46.434 --> 00:28:48.169 labeled patterns or deep learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.403$  and tall is going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:50.403 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.840$  more about that where?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:28:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.838$  We use those networks to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}53.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.928$  learn from data without pre labeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}55.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.028$  the outcome and that may hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:28:58.028 --> 00:28:59.960 increase the workflow efficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}28{:}59.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.695$  improve our diagnostic accuracy really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:01.695 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.736$  enabled predictive recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:02.736 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.248$  for us Taylor Personalized

 $00:29:04.248 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.792$  Therapeutic recommendations and help

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:05.792 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.336$  us introduce Precision Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.993$  but caution is still very important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:09.993 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.133$  AI is being hyped and I think we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:13.133 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.830$  to approach it in a gradual fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.374$  Really see what is doable and what's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.624$  So how can this advanced data

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:21.624 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.746$  analysis help us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}22.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.193$  It can improve the diagnosis from automation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:25.193 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.229$  and introduction of novel biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.708$  It can also help us make the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:29.708 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.618$  decisions and probably introduce a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}31.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.588$  level of better personalized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:29:33.590 --> 00:29:36.082 Hopefully we will be able to ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:29:36.082 --> 00:29:37.710 improve Inter procedural guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.580$  especially with now with the

00:29:39.580 --> 00:29:41.450 introduction of robotics into IR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}41.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.320$  and specifically follow up imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.576$  We hope that in the realm of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:45.576 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.644$  response and patient patient outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:47.644 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.044$  prediction directly after the therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.738$  those technologies will help

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:51.738 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.270$  us put all this data together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:29:54.270 --> 00:29:54.633 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:29:54.633 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.448$  prior to introducing the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}56.448 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}29{:}58.550$  speakers and talking and giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}29{:}58.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.317$  specific abstract presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.546$  The two larger topics that I presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}02.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04.934$  I want to really thank the sources of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:04.934 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.645$  funding inspiration mentoring in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:06.645 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.038$  community. Already mentioned Drake.

 $00:30:08.038 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.460$  I wanted to thank Jim sincerely and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:10.524 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.716$  Todd and David who joined the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.622$  Also great partners of mine are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:14.622 --> 00:30:16.539 Ruth and Nick and I really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.808$  really appreciate those collaborations

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:17.808 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.076$  that are increasingly interdisciplinary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.976$  but at the same time I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:20.976 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.825$  also point out that mean radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}22.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.475$  have a very large biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:24.475 --> 00:30:26.079 imaging collaborative network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:26.080 --> 00:30:28.618 and I want to thank from Eden, Daniel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:28.618 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.844$  and and Larry and everyone mentioned here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.750$  I'll say I'll.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.650$  From White Rick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.288$  who have been instrumental over the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}34.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.797$  five years in my personal development

00:30:35.797 --> 00:30:37.780 and also in the development of the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.488$  and I think that is the environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:39.488 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.548$  that we need and we need to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:41.548 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.093$  that to the Cancer Center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:43.093 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.605$  introduce it to to all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:44.610 --> 00:30:46.554 And I'm very happy to do so here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.769$  And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:46.769 --> 00:30:47.187 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:47.187 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.539$  at this point I would like to thank on behalf

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}49.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51.219$  of the Interventional College lab which

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:51.219 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.175$  I'm privileged to Co direct with David and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:53.175 --> 00:30:55.100 all of our sponsors and really say that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}30{:}55.100 --> 00{:}30{:}55.586 \ \mathrm{you} \ \mathrm{know},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:55.586 --> 00:30:57.044 there's one thing across all this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:30:57.050 --> 00:30:58.758 There is a single light of science,

 $00:30:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.980$  then to brighten it anyways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:30:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.020$  to brighten it everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:31:01.020 --> 00:31:03.270 This is our group model by Isaac Asimov.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:31:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.563$  And with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:31:04.563 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.149$  I'd like to introduce two or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:31:07.149 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.159$  students that work with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:31:09.160 --> 00:31:09.598 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

00:31:09.598 --> 00:31:10.474 Jessica Santana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00{:}31{:}10.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13.102$  She's going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:31:13.102 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.743$  taking the molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.86596924

 $00:31:14.743 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.368$  really to next level in our new

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

00:31:17.439 --> 00:31:20.314 animal models, and tall is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:20.314 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.635$  talking about his role as Biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00{:}31{:}22.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.627$  Engineering graduate student within

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:24.627 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.563$  the bio medical imaging Sciences on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:27.563 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.743$  focusing on predicting outcome and

 $00:31:29.743 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.940$  recurrence of HTC after intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.700$  And I'd like to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.386$  And now the stop sharing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340251

 $00:31:35.386 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.230$  screen and give it to Jessica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}31{:}45.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.226$  Hi all, I'm Jessica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

00:31:47.226 --> 00:31:49.500 I am a master level demonologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00:31:49.574 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.864$  Anna graduate student at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00:31:51.864 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.696$  Yale Interventional College Lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00:31:53.696 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.130$  and I'm very excited today to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00:31:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.092$  be presenting my work, titled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}31{:}58.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.388$  Noninvasive molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}31{:}59.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.116$  allows characterization of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00{:}32{:}01.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02.792$ immune response following hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80341524

 $00:32:02.792 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.066$  radiofrequency Ablation in a mouse model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.416$  Nothing to disclose,

 $00:32:08.416 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.504$  so giving you a brief overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}10.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11.830$  on hepatocellular carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.750$  So as we know, this is a classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.191$  inflammation associated Carcinoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

00:32:16.191 --> 00:32:18.438 now is the third most common cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}18.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.778$  of cancer related death worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.078$  With the majority of patients being

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:23.078 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.022$  treated with locoregional therapy as

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:25.022 \dashrightarrow 00:32:27.116$  they may alternative option over surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.365$  However, the problem with locoregional

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:29.365 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.043$  therapy is that a significant fraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:32.043 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.899$  of patients they tend to recur and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:34.899 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.305$  causes for recurrence can vary a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

00:32:37.310 --> 00:32:40.268 And it has been suggested, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:40.268 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.902$  that the immune response to radiofrequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:42.902 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.208$  ablation can play both both roles.

 $00:32:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.073$  An Protogenic Side effects as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}48.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.034$  abscopal effects that in turn can positively

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}32{:}51.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.530$  impact the immune response to cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.002$  However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:54.002 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.834$  we have currently no instruments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:56.834 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.871$  would allows us to non invasively

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:32:59.871 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.919$  monitor such immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:33:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.280$  So the purpose of this work is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}33{:}04.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.556$  develop a noninvasive molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00{:}33{:}06.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.236$  instruments to visualize such immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.84324324

 $00:33:09.236 \dashrightarrow 00:33:12.380$  response to thermal injury following RFA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.880$  So our group has built a translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.881$  of mouse model of radiofrequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:18.881 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.954$  ablation as a platform to develop and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:21.954 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.360$  validate mirbase dimona probes for in

 $00:33:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.790$  vivo imaging of the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:26.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.490$  And based on our findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.018$  we have observed that there is a after

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:33.018 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.188$  radiofrequency ablation and normal liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.263$  There is a strong time dependent local

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:38.263 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.561$  infiltration of immune cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:40.561 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.826$  orchestrates the tissue healing process

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}42.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.753$  and there is an overtime transitional

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:45.753 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.729$  zone of those immune cell infiltrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.160$  So having a specific cell population

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}51.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.291$  locally present at the transitional

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}53.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.925$  zone between the necrotic area and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}33{:}55.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58.367$  the normal liver parenchyma at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:33:58.367 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.467$  specific time point serves us as

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:00.467 --> 00:34:03.485 an illegal platform to build and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:03.485 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.150$  validate our dedicated immune probes.

00:34:06.150 --> 00:34:08.175 So guiding you through our

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:08.175 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.985$  experimental design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.040$  We have a bladed and normal liver of a mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.000$  and after characterizing a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.960$  infiltration of a specific cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:17.025 --> 00:34:19.110 population is specific time point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.425$  We have established a dedicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}34{:}21.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.740$  gadolinium labeled antibody that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}34{:}23.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.617$  delivered systemically to target

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:25.617 --> 00:34:28.317 a specific cell population at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:28.387 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.567$  chosen time Point Post Ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.202$  And a second imuna probe also used

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}34{:}33.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.330$  in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.586$  is the small iron oxide particles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.956$  and we know that RN particles they

 $00:34:38.956 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.474$  have been largely used in the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:41.474 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.135$  setting as the dark contrast agent and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:44.135 --> 00:34:46.360 once they are delivered systemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.300$  they are able to be phagocytosed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:49.300 --> 00:34:51.352 circulating phagocytes that once they

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:51.352 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.512$  migrate to the site of inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:53.512 --> 00:34:55.635 they can cause a local deposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:34:55.635 --> 00:34:58.023 of Iran and this study we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:58.023 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.555$  demonstrated that both gadolinium

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:34:59.555 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.087$  labeled antibodies inspire probes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}35{:}01.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.330$  They were able to be imaged using a

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.298$  higher resolution Mr animal scanner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.051$  So in our first setting of experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:11.051 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.003$  we have demonstrated that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:13.003 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.121$  ablation zone itself can be easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:15.121 --> 00:35:17.158 imaged on a 9.4 Tesla Burger.

 $00:35:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.701$  So here on your left you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:19.701 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.617$  the pictures taken seconds after

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:21.617 --> 00:35:24.585 ablation to show you or give you an

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:24.666 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.158$  idea of how the ablation site looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:27.158 --> 00:35:29.541 like an one week post Ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:29.541 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.163$  and we have delivered pure gadolinium

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:32.163 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.041$  systemically and we ran a T1

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:34.041 --> 00:35:35.890 weighted MRI sequence and we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.538$  see precisely the Ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}35{:}37.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.853$  Side and on your right we have an ex

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:39.853 --> 00:35:42.439 vivo confirmation of what we see on them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001 00:35:42.440 --> 00:35:44.240 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:44.240 --> 00:35:46.160 But you might have been wondering

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}35{:}46.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48.369$  why exactly 7 days post ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.778$  So to the best of our knowledge,

 $00:35:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.188$  we know that our our thing doost

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:35:53.188 --> 00:35:54.220 thermal tissue injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.746$  largely contributes to a strong time

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:56.746 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.806$  dependent innate immune response at

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:35:58.806 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.684$  the margins of the necrotic zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}00.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.770$  And according to our findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}02.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.685$  we have observed the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:36:04.685 --> 00:36:06.600 accumulation of city 68 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}06.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.990$  macrophages in the transitional zone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.070$  precisely seven days post ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}11.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.062$  So this is the time point we decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:15.062 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.329$  to base our experiments on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.445$  So we have decided to deliver systemically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.306$  Those aren't oxide particles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:23.306 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.090$  Seven days post Ablation and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.152$  have confirmed that there is a local

 $00{:}36{:}29.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.558$  deposition of those iron oxide

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}31.558 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}36{:}34.178$  particles exactly 24 hours after

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00{:}36{:}34.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.502$  systemic delivery. At the transitional zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

00:36:36.502 --> 00:36:39.431 So here we have an ex Vivo Prussian

NOTE Confidence: 0.815851800000001

 $00:36:39.431 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.379$  blue staining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:36:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.220$  although one week post ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:36:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.060$  mouse liver 24 hours after

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00{:}36{:}44.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.900$  systemic delivery of Spions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:36:45.963 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.738$  when we image those animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00{:}36{:}47.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.954$  we could demonstrate any Viva local

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00{:}36{:}49.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.430$  deposition of those phagocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:36:51.491 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.887$  at the transitional zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00{:}36{:}52.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.229$  So here on your left you have a teacher

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:36:56.229 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.148$  weighted MRI of a one week post Ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:36:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.760$  24 hours after systemic delivery

 $00:37:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.857$  of Spions and as a Redpath

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00{:}37{:}02.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.395$  correlation we confirm the ex vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:37:05.395 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.360$  specifically position of the spine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:37:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.900$  At the transitional zone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:37:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.440$  3 min inflorescence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77417207

 $00:37:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.830$  Prussian blue staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}14.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.600$  And As for our gadolinium based

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.460$  immuno probes, we have used a anti CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}19.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.740$ 68 antibody tagged with gadolinium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.351$  So after XP will observing a massive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}24.351 --> 00{:}37{:}26.361 \ infiltration \ of \ city \ 60 \ positive$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}26.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.421$  macrophages in the prohibition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:28.421 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.499$  zone we delivered gadolinium tagged

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}37{:}30.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.815$  with city 68 systemically and was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:32.815 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.665$  able to see a specific position of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:35.665 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.330$  those cells in the Prohibition of rim.

 $00:37:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.046$  So here on your left you have the Ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.726$  Cite the picture taking seconds after

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:45.726 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.910$  ablation showing the ablation site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.114$  And we also have 81 way to MRI when

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:51.114 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.052$  we post Ablation After 24 hours with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:37:55.052 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.690$  gadolinium city 68 delivered systemically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:37:58.690 --> 00:38:02.092 And on your left you have the picture taken,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:38:02.100 --> 00:38:04.932 ex Vivo confirming of what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:04.932 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.820$  seeing on the MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.610$  And to confirm that this parable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:09.610 \dashrightarrow 00:38:12.124$ itional darkroom is seen precisely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:12.124 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.979$  in animals receiving those city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.040$  68 tagged to 68 antibodies tagged

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}18.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19.060$  with gadolinium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}19.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.155$  We also ran T1 weighted MRI with

00:38:23.155 --> 00:38:24.325 pure gadolinium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.434$  So on your lap to have the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:27.434 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.320$  and it comparison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.648$  we have a T1 weighted MRI with pure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:32.648 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.454$  gallium injected and we have confirmed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:38:35.454 --> 00:38:38.286 that only with animals receiving city

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:38:38.373 --> 00:38:41.565 68 tagged with get alignment we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}41.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43.888$  this precise parable itional rim

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}43.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.732$  showing a local infiltration of CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:38:46.732 --> 00:38:49.490 16 positive macrophages and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.928$  in vivo visualization of those cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.478$  We have also confirmed the ex vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:55.478 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.808$  a specific labeling of immune cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}38{:}57.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.748$  using imaging mass at ama tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:38:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.030$  So he ran on your left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:02.030 \dashrightarrow 00:39:04.094$  We have the T1 weighted MRI of one

 $00:39:04.094 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.382$  week post ablation after gadolinium

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:06.382 --> 00:39:08.086 labeled antibody administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.962$  where you can see the rim of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:10.962 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.939$  local deposition of the infiltrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:12.939 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.909$  cells an on your right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.493$  We have the X visual confirmation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:17.493 --> 00:39:20.062 the image Ng Masama tree of local

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:20.062 \dashrightarrow 00:39:23.090$  deposition of the sea to 68 macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.890$  In the transitional zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.555$  So as the main conclusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:27.555 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.220$  and findings of this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.285$  it tells us that both spines and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:31.285 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.598$  Catalina based molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}32.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34.074$  allows for specific labeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:34.074 --> 00:39:35.550 of local immune infiltrate,

 $00:39:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.734$  and this is also a translation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:37.734 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.834$  study with the proof of principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:39.834 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.382$  for the visibility of the MRI imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:42.455 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.534$  for of macrophages on a 9 point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.236$  For Tesla MRI scanners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:46.236 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.780$  And also tells us that noninvasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:39:48.858 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.228$  in vivo detection of the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:51.228 --> 00:39:53.433 system can be achieved using

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}53.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.080$  dedicated immune probes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:39:55.080 --> 00:39:58.620 An ask for our future perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}39{:}58.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00.390$  and clinical application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.117$  We can have this as a useful tool to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:03.117 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.512$  study and characterize the interplay

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:40:05.512 --> 00:40:08.037 between the tumor micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:08.037 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.559$  and the cell opinion selectivity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:10.559 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.310$  vivo and also gives us the possibility

 $00:40:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.910$  to integrate complimentary molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:14.910 \dashrightarrow 00:40:17.360$  MRI imaging of the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:40:17.360 --> 00:40:19.496 And let's say the extrasolar pH

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:19.496 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.536$  liver cancer model for simultaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:21.536 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.712$  characterization of this immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:23.712 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.344$  metabolic cross dock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.158$  This also serves us as a platform to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:28.158 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.248$  study strategies for local modulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:30.248 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.000$  of the immune microenvironment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00{:}40{:}32.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.084$  towards a moon are permissive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:34.084 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.541$  phenotype and can also serves us as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:36.541 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.500$  if they were gnostic immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.804$  So I'd like to thank you for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

00:40:41.804 --> 00:40:43.359 opportunity to present this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773221

 $00:40:43.359 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.501$  as well as the yield bio medical

00:40:45.566 --> 00:40:48.482 imaging Department. And now I'll give

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746653

 $00:40:48.482 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.550$  you the word to my friend, tall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

00:40:58.420 --> 00:41:00.760 Hello everyone, my name is Charles

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00:41:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.246$  early and I'm graduate student in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00:41:03.246 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.946$  the Biomedical Engineering program

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00:41:04.946 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.699$  here at Yale and I'm a member of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00{:}41{:}08.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.684$  Interventional Oncology lab since 2018

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

00:41:10.690 --> 00:41:13.280 and today I'm going to be presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00{:}41{:}13.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.238$  our project on deep learning use to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

00:41:16.238 --> 00:41:18.453 predict disease recurrence of HTC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81832206

 $00{:}41{:}18.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20.100$  based on NMR imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:41:22.860 --> 00:41:24.966 So a little bit about ATC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.770$  so HTC is the primary tumor of delivered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}41{:}27.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.525$  It usually develops in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:41:29.525 --> 00:41:31.280 setting of chronic liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.194$  and while the diagnosis of ATC

00:41:33.194 --> 00:41:35.499 could be made by imaging alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}41{:}35.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38.110$  sometimes a biopsy may be required

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.850$  to support this diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:41:39.850 --> 00:41:44.135 A little bit statistics every 40 seconds at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:41:44.135 --> 00:41:47.890 patient diagnosed with ATC in 2020 alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}41{:}47.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50.565$  the death rate is approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:50.565 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.705$  the 800,000 deaths worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.448$  ATC may recur and there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:41:56.448 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.796$  significant imaging biomarker or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}41{:}58.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.056$  clinical biomarkers to reliably predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:02.056 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.660$  recurrence before location to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.560$  One of the treatment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:42:07.560 --> 00:42:08.320 liver transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}42{:}08.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.220$  It helps to decrease the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.740$  chance of disease recurrence.

00:42:11.740 --> 00:42:12.120 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}42{:}12.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.540$  we are all aware to the shortness of organs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.060$  Therefore, 2 criterias of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.340$  allocations of levers are being used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.000$  One of them is the Milan criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.938$  which was presented in 1996 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:23.938 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.228$  the other one was presented by

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:42:26.228 --> 00:42:28.076 the University of California,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.980$  San Francisco on 2001 basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.500$  extended this Milan criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}42{:}31.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.384$  Both of them are based on low

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:34.384 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.432$  level handcrafted features such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:36.432 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.640$  tumor size and number of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:42:38.640 --> 00:42:40.080 Ann even dog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.520$  Using these criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.614$  we are seeing 15 to 20% of transplanted

 $00:42:44.614 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.470$  patient to occur within the 1st five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.970$  So as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.845$  these two criterias location criterias

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:42:51.845 --> 00:42:54.308 has suffered from false positives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}42{:}54.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57.198$  and our hypothesis is that there is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:57.198 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.310$  information in radiological images,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:42:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.978$  specifically MRI that correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:00.978 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.229$  with HTC recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.498$  Then the naked eye could detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:04.498 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.571$  and the way we're going to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:07.571 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.791$  test this hypothesis is by using

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}09.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12.305$  deep learning algorithm to extract

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}12.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.804$  features from MRI images and try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:15.804 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.060$  use them to correlate to ATC re occurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.083$  And a little bit before I start

00:43:22.083 --> 00:43:23.720 talking about our methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:23.720 --> 00:43:25.814 I will talk about about data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:25.814 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.210$  driven predictive modeling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:27.273 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.049$  a little bit of deep learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.048$  So when we talk about data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:31.048 --> 00:43:32.047 driven predictive model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.374$  we usually refer to two different variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}34.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.100$  the Explanatory variable and explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}36.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.866$  part of our target and we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:38.866 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.174$  take these explanatory variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}40.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.160$  to feed them into predicted model

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:42.160 --> 00:43:44.365 which will give up the outcome of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:44.370 --> 00:43:46.035 our target building which could

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:46.035 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.367$  be recurrent or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:47.370 --> 00:43:48.842 And this predictive models

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:48.842 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.946$  has different shapes.

 $00:43:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.236$  And algorithms which you may hurt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}52.240 \to 00{:}43{:}54.520$  For example your networks this season.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.663$  Trees as VM's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:43:55.663 --> 00:43:56.806 All in all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}43{:}56.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59.323$  these algorithms that try to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:43:59.323 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.489$  same thing they try to create or to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:02.489 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.499$  estimate a mathematical function that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}04.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.897$  correlated this input into doubt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.114$  And there are two main elements

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:09.114 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.590$  of this estimation process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:10.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.270$  One of them were trying to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}12.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.034$  what is the mathematical element

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}14.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16.002$  interaction between our features

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}16.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.970$  and the mathematical operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.810$  That's going to be incorporated

 $00:44:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.282$  within these mathematical function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.912$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:21.912 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.778$  the multiplication of X1 and X2

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:23.778 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.831$  and the second thing we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:44:25.831 --> 00:44:27.877 to estimate the weight of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:27.943 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.518$  mathematical elements within this function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.464$  which could be seen here as better 0,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.690$  better one, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00{:}44{:}35.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37.867$  And I'll give a short and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:37.867 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.271$  simplistic example of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

00:44:39.271 --> 00:44:40.899 data driven predicted model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.200$  So here we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82661027

 $00:44:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.150$  having having only one variable Explanatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:44:44.213 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.789$  Variable, which is the age and we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:44:46.789 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.920$  trying to predict the disease patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:44:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.650$  is positive or negative to the disease.

 $00:44:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.426$  So for example in the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}44{:}53.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.940$  side of the screen you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:44:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.197$  this mathematical function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:44:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.640$  Why would be considered positive if the age

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:44:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.060$  is greater than better otherwise negative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.214$  And as we get more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:04.214 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.650$  more examples we could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.030$  Estimate this better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.950$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.614$  here we are estimating better to be 60,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.430$  but as more data comes we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.335$  can update modifier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}17.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.538$  Wait and basically change our function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.542$  Ann everything is OK till we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:22.542 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.852$  a data example that prevent us from

 $00:45:24.852 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.928$  creating one to use this mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}26.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.845$  function to a separate between

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:28.845 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.695$  between these two groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:45:30.700 --> 00:45:33.526 So here we need to incorporate a new feature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.050$  for example wait when we are doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.730$  We can create a more complex function to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.726$  separate these two groups and basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:40.726 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.300$  this is what we're going to try to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:45:43.300 --> 00:45:45.924 Today we're going to try to find the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:45.924 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.790$  features that will allow us to separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}48.790 \to 00{:}45{:}50.925$  between the recurrence in Nonrecurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.050$  When we're talking about deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.746$  learning where basically usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:54.746 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.420$  refer to neural networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:45:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.940$  and you run networks is again an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}45{:}58.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.514$  algorithm that allows us to approximate

00:46:01.514 --> 00:46:03.410 almost any mathematical function

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}03.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.699$  that correlate input into output,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.486$  and it does that by finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:09.486 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.379$  interaction between features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}46{:}11.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.080$  Convolutional neural network allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.630$  us to search for petitive patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:15.699 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.655$  within an image and then correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:17.655 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.011$  them to the output variable so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:46:20.011 --> 00:46:22.300 tries to find high level features such

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.204$  as edges and the more deeper we go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:46:25.210 --> 00:46:27.664 the more complex the feature become

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:27.664 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.855$  and allow us to separate between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:30.855 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.795$  groups that were trying to suffer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.146$  A little bit of our data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:46:36.150 --> 00:46:40.070 so we had 120 patients 18 years old or older,

 $00:46:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.030$  88 minutes and 32 females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.772$  All of them were diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:44.772 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.839$  HTC between there is 2005 to 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:46:47.840 --> 00:46:51.564 So the patient went into MRI imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.486$  then were diagnosed with HTC and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:46:54.486 --> 00:46:57.430 then got treatment 29 oblations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:46:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.250$  32 receptions and 5:59 presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:47:01.250 --> 00:47:03.778 An time went by, some of them recur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}03.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.812$  and some of them stop their follow which

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}06.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.188$  we considered to be non recurrences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}09.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.094$  To this time that I can call time

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:11.094 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.816$  to recurrence and this would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:47:12.816 --> 00:47:13.707 our explained variable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.900$  the variable that we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.652$  to try to predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:47:17.660 --> 00:47:19.850 With respect to our input data,

 $00:47:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.216$  we're going to use conference enhanced multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}22.216 \to 00{:}47{:}24.229$  phase liver magnetic resonance imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:47:24.230 --> 00:47:24.579 MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00:47:24.579 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.673$  and we're going to use three

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

 $00{:}47{:}26.673 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.609$  different phases that the arterial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84268486

00:47:28.610 --> 00:47:30.800 the portal venous and the delay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.724$  So the question that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:34.724 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.598$  to answer here is can we predict ATC

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:37.598 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.588$  recurrence using pretreatment MRI images?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

00:47:39.590 --> 00:47:41.552 In other words, are they visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

00:47:41.552 --> 00:47:43.674 features in free treatment MRI that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:43.674 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.564$  correlate with HTC disease recurrence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00{:}47{:}45.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.532$  So to visualize that we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:47.532 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.854$  to use the input MRI input data

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:49.854 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.052$  as an input data to freedom into

 $00{:}47{:}52.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.526$  a predictive model which will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00{:}47{:}54.526 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}47{:}56.822$  convolution on your network and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:47:56.822 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.552$  predict whether the patient will

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

00:47:58.552 --> 00:48:00.712 reoccur within one year two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.81049764

 $00:48:00.712 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.467$  after six years after treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:04.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.510$  So here are the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.730$  Here we can see the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.950$  for these 6 \* 6 timeframe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

00:48:10.950 --> 00:48:12.800 So one year for occurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

00:48:12.800 --> 00:48:15.760 two years of free France up to 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

00:48:15.760 --> 00:48:18.334 zero for occurrence and this figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:18.334 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.050$  present the relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:20.123 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.091$  the true positive rate to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00{:}48{:}22.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.124$  false positive rate on our test

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:24.124 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.120$  cohort and seeing these 45% curve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.230$  which represents basically

00:48:27.230 --> 00:48:29.080 a random chance to predict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.572$  we can see that all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:31.572 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.150$  curves is above that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.000$  Which means that our model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456857

 $00:48:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.110$  has prediction power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}48{:}39.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.232$  Another analysis that we did we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:48:41.232 --> 00:48:44.174 did was to try to use Kaplan Meier

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:48:44.174 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.174$  curves and our predictions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}48{:}46.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.577$  separate our cohort into risk groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}48{:}48.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.525$  Soloist group to recurrence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}48{:}50.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52.946$  high risk to recurrence and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:48:52.946 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.991$  got significant results for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:48:54.991 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.985$  current free survival for four

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:48:56.985 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.305$  out of the six times you can here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:48:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.614$  you can see the six different figures

 $00:49:02.614 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.488$  each for one time for each time frame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.582$  So to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:07.582 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.130$  the current state of the art criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:10.201 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.426$  based on low level handcrafted

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:12.426 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.060$  radiological features such as tumor size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:49:15.060 --> 00:49:17.136 number of tumors and this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:17.136 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.586$  showed that there are still unknown

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:49:19.586 --> 00:49:21.586 visual features in pretreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.439$  MRI does correlate with recurrence of ATC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.833$  Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}24.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.584$  the current state of the art selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:27.584 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.675$  criteria suffers from false positives

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:49:29.675 --> 00:49:31.735 and we showed by incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:31.735 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.432$  machine learning based algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.462$  we could potentially improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}35.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.261$  prediction of AGC recurrence.

 $00:49:37.261 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.585$  Little bit of limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:39.585 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.328$  and future research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.126$  We used a single site cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:44.126 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.524$  from Yale Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.860$  which was small 120 patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.734$  which has the limitation of generalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:50.734 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.646$  the results for other sites and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:49:53.646 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.921$  therefore will need to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}49{:}55.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}58.571$  our sample size or incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

00:49:58.571 --> 00:50:00.967 patient from different side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:50:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.360$  And maybe single data modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:50:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.608$  maybe not enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}50{:}04.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.104$  so in future research we recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:50:07.104 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.252$  to test interaction between

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00:50:09.252 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.456$  imaging and clinical data,

 $00:50:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.040$  even incorporating more imaging modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7972218

 $00{:}50{:}15.040 --> 00{:}50{:}15.988$  Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.376$  So, so that was really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.810$  Hope you all were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.940$  see what exciting work word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

00:50:29.940 --> 00:50:32.340 You know, doing in this lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563696

 $00:50:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.340$  Does anybody have any questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.022$  And individuals can certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00{:}50{:}37.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.037$  submit their questions on chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.176$  but we did receive one which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00{:}50{:}41.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.618$  David you took the Liberty of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:43.618 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.490$  at least answering online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

00:50:45.490 --> 00:50:48.706 But let me for the benefit of all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.531$  Let me just toss this out so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

00:50:51.531 --> 00:50:53.730 Joseph Cam asked, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.205$  essentially, how do you decide?

00:50:56.210 --> 00:50:59.006 On the specific procedure be RFA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:50:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.942$  thermal abrasion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

00:50:59.942 --> 00:51:00.874 ablation, cryoablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:51:00.874 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.670$  and also relative to contraindications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83548236

 $00:51:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.460$  How do you make those choices?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.360$  Yeah, so so. I guess I gave a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:11.360 --> 00:51:13.659 pretty long email for a chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.320$  but are more common for chat, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:17.320 --> 00:51:20.864 Each ablation modality has its pros and cons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.537$  and clearly my goal for today was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:23.537 --> 00:51:26.858 not to go into my own personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}26.858 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}51{:}30.084$  research and discuss a lot of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}30.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.406$  stuff in depth because I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:32.406 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.080$  wanted to highlight our trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:51:35.080 --> 00:51:36.836 But basically you know,

00:51:36.836 --> 00:51:39.983 for liver it's pretty much understood that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}39.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.063$  heat ablation is actually what is preferred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.178$  and that's because over the years well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.928$  first of all it's very effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.650$  But over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.764$  there's been concerns with Cryo Ablation on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:53.764 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.524$  what's called something called Cryo shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:51:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.206$  There's questions about fracturing the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}51{:}59.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.078$  There's no way of really cauterizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:02.078 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.686$  any bleed that you can do with microwave

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}05.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.049$  or RFA and a whole bunch of others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.785$  So it's really the complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:52:11.785 --> 00:52:15.596 in this in this setting that makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:15.596 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.036$  it less ideal than four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.964$  For the Heat base,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:19.964 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.330$  now the one positive thing is we

 $00:52:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.669$  shown in some of the in one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:26.773 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.221$  cases is that you do get the ice

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:30.221 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.335$  ball which has low density ice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:33.335 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.580$  that actually allows the operator

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:35.661 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.115$  to really sculpt the margins where

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}38.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.099$  you want to treat in other organs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:52:41.100 --> 00:52:43.848 Systems like the lung and kidney

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}43.848 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}52{:}47.124$  is really a dealers choice so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:47.124 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.824$  there have been papers on both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}49.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.296$  In terms of using cryoablation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:52.296 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.006$  heat ablation for those that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:52:55.006 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.323$  just involved in a study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}52{:}57.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59.878$  recently published in the Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:52:59.878 --> 00:53:01.363 of thoracic oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:01.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.080$  which was a prospective clinical

 $00:53:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.248$  trial called solstice that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.420$  Did show benefits of cold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.290$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:53:09.290 --> 00:53:12.335 for for lung nodules and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:53:12.335 --> 00:53:14.910 already as I kind of explained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00{:}53{:}14.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.508$  it's kind of a niche application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:53:17.510 --> 00:53:19.562 Mostly used in pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:19.562 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.362$  but it's in an area where it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:23.362 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.210$  difficult to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.415$  Areas which have vital structures

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

00:53:27.415 --> 00:53:28.738 in your body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.386$  so that's the I guess summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.83871114

 $00:53:31.386 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.150$  of that so thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

00:53:35.320 --> 00:53:38.371 So let me ask a follow up question 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

00:53:38.371 --> 00:53:41.942 you great deal of the work is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:53:41.942 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.390$  advancing understanding the nature of

 $00:53:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.915$  the immune infiltrate post procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}53{:}46.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.734$  And Moreover, how to address how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:53:49.734 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.160$  make the environment more hospitable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

00:53:52.160 --> 00:53:54.460 Two immune cells, Sony aspid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:53:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.156$  be both with regard to the imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:53:58.156 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.405$  techniques monitoring techniques and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:54:00.405 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.295$  perhaps the introduction of bicarbonate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:54:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.513$  How much you leverage all of this to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00{:}54{:}06.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.302$  about combining these approaches with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:54:09.302 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.654$  ongoing immunotherapy immuno therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708769

 $00:54:11.654 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.768$  that are under development in HTC?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.598$  Right, I mean that's a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}54{:}17.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.810$  important question that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:18.868 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.718$  actually trying to answer ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:20.720 --> 00:54:21.704 As David mentioned,

 $00:54:21.704 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.353$  I mean, we're right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:23.353 --> 00:54:25.008 Actually submitting one or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:25.008 --> 00:54:26.351 application after the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:26.351 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.926$  because we want to investigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:27.926 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.600$  exactly those points we generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:29.600 --> 00:54:30.431 This preliminary data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:30.431 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.803$  Now we want to take it to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:32.803 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.678$  clinical perspective and do also

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:34.678 --> 00:54:36.178 trials and immediate future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.732$  So I think there is a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:38.732 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.109$  limitations that we first need to address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:41.110 --> 00:54:43.090 and for the pH Image Ng,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.058$  this is certainly the contrast agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.950$  which is not done yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:46.950 --> 00:54:48.816 Applied and in the human scenario,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.052$  so we need to make sure that we

 $00:54:51.052 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.218$  can do that safely, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:53.218 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.542$  Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:53.542 --> 00:54:56.161 I think you know the imaging of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:54:56.161 --> 00:54:58.401 immune system is a very hot topic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:54:58.401 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.336$  is being hotly discussed and worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:00.336 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.552$  up on both by the nuclear medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:55:02.552 --> 00:55:04.729 community as well as you know us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}04.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06.428$  and I think that both approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:06.428 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.479$  have their merits in their drawbacks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}08.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10.286$  and I think that this will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:10.286 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.490$  definitely bring a breakthrough

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:11.548 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.158$  in terms of imaging biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}15.962$  As we know in HC see only 20% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:15.962 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.541$  the patients at Prof. Roundabout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:55:17.541 --> 00:55:18.534 Respond to immunotherapy.

 $00:55:18.534 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.309$  But we treat a lot of them with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}21.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23.659$  and so we want to make sure we choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:23.659 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.227$  their right patients for the right therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.770$  And that's the whole goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:27.770 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.517$  As for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:28.517 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.260$  As for the As for the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:55:30.334 --> 00:55:31.780 application of bicarb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.887$  that is an approach that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}33.887 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}55{:}35.778$  translated into clinical trial very easily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.396$  I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}36.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38.244$  bicarbonate is not a harmful substance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.224$  It could be used in just used

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:40.224 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.248$  in a clinical trial with taste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.280$  and I think we need to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.230$  think about using using that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.925$  an addition and an additional.

 $00:55:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.227$  Arm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:48.227 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.009$  possibly in one of the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:50.009 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.828$  trials that David is bringing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:55:51.828 --> 00:55:53.574 board to use taste in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}55{:}53.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55.080$  with the immuno the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.808$  And I think that that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:56.808 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.819$  be a very simple initial fix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:55:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.753$  To do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

00:55:59.753 --> 00:56:01.930 I mean there is very little Harmon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}56{:}01.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03.475$  Probably you know some major

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:56:03.475 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.660$  benefit that we could get from that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}56{:}05.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.452$  So we need clinical trials in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}56{:}07.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09.393$  respect and we need more translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:56:09.393 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.253$  contrast agent and more research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:56:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.661$  And I think we do have an

 $00:56:13.661 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.085$  amazing infrastructure with RMR

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00{:}56{:}15.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.029$  Research Center here and the Pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:56:17.029 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.910$  Center on the other hand 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85417795

 $00:56:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.408$  To actually do that kind of research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00:56:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.226$  And so let me as a follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}23.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.211$  So let me ask, given what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00:56:25.211 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.308$  describing in the nature of the immune.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

00:56:27.310 --> 00:56:29.470 Response, immune environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}29.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33.790$  fear after procedures such as these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00:56:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.355$  Desert potentially suggests that any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00{:}56{:}36.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.048$  efforts right now to combine a checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00:56:40.048 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.174$  inhibitor with tastes or other ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00:56:43.174 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.960$  procedure may not be yet optimized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

 $00:56:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.140$  Turn to really achieve what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8901477

00:56:48.140 --> 00:56:50.170 hope they would achieve because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:56:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.276$  the nature of the environment absolutely,

 $00:56:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.737$  and this is exactly what I showed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}56{:}54.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57.099$  If you remember one of the slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:56:57.099 --> 00:56:58.600 had different embolic agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:56:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.050$  and so we're using various symbolic agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:01.050 --> 00:57:01.752 various agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:01.752 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.858$  If we inject into the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.317$  that may be pro or anti inflammatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}06.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.474$  We then also combine taste and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:08.474 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.303$  checkpoint inhibition in tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:10.303 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.289$  may be hot or immunologically cold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:12.290 --> 00:57:14.481 So essentially you know a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:14.481 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.320$  these trials will probably have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.976$  very non significant results

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}17.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.200$  and probably will never achieve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:20.200 --> 00:57:22.461 Yeah, it is certain level of clinical

 $00:57:22.461 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.000$  translation that we hope for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}24.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.536$  Just because we don't know what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.358$  Essentially so when we combine Interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:28.358 --> 00:57:30.030 in college and Immuno Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.649$  we just go about it and say one size

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:32.649 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.244$  fits all and that is something that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:35.244 --> 00:57:37.905 feel like is is the major key point

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:37.905 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.485$  that we need to address and one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:40.485 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.375$  these issues is that in Interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:42.375 --> 00:57:44.347 Ecology Community would just did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}57{:}44.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}46.313$  have the academic culture and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:46.313 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.137$  know research environment so far and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:48.137 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.760$  this is across multiple institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.180$  across our entire community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:51.180 --> 00:57:52.830 To actually tackle those topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.822$  and I think now we as we more

 $00:57:54.822 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.544$  understand that our therapies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:56.544 --> 00:57:58.096 necessary in our increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

00:57:58.096 --> 00:57:59.760 combined with systemic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:57:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.410$  we need to investigate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00:58:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.818$  and I think that we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83878195

 $00{:}58{:}03.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.370$  have major breakthroughs in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00:58:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.662$  next three to five years. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00:58:07.662 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.980$  Well, I know where at the top of the hour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00:58:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.220$  and I want to thank Jessica and tile

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00:58:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.223$  and Julius and David for really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}15.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16.603$  a remarkably stimulating body

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00:58:16.603 \dashrightarrow 00:58:19.116$  of work and Bradley bring to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00{:}58{:}19.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}21.255$  attention what can be accomplished in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00:58:21.255 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.130$  Interventional Onkologie So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

 $00:58:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.972$  thank you for this continued education

00:58:24.972 --> 00:58:26.867 for the great work you're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84226775

00:58:26.867 --> 00:58:28.736 and everyone on line. Thank you.