WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:17:55.9210000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.726 I would not let you juice our next Speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.053$ Doctor Daniel Weinberger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00{:}00{:}05{.}053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07{.}699$ Doctor Weinberger joined the faculty at

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:07.699 \dashrightarrow 00:00:10.577$ the Yale School of public health in 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00{:}00{:}10.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.844$ He earned his PhD in Biological Sciences from

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:13.844 \dashrightarrow 00:00:17.029$ the Harvard School of public health in 2009.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00{:}00{:}17.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.190$ H is research is at the intersection

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

00:00:19.190 --> 00:00:20.630 of Microbiology and Epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00{:}00{:}20.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.651$ and focuses on understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00{:}00{:}22.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.827$ biological and epidemiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

00:00:23.827 --> 00:00:25.890 drivers of respiratory infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

00:00:25.890 --> 00:00:27.099 including pneumococcus RSVP

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00{:}00{:}27.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.308$ influenza and Legionella.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:28.310 \rightarrow 00:00:31.124$ Doctor Weinberger thank you for being here.

00:00:38.180 --> 00:00:40.492 Thank you very much. I can share my

NOTE Confidence: 0.943543136119843

00:00:40.492 --> 00:00:42.790 screen if it's OK. If you're able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.794$ OK, thank you very much for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:07.794 \rightarrow 00:01:10.440$ invitation to share this work with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:10.440 \rightarrow 00:01:13.128$ So this is a collaborative project I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}01{:}13.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.277$ been working on since late March with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:16.277 \rightarrow 00:01:18.985$ large group that includes plugs from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:18.985 --> 00:01:21.833 NIH in New York City Department of Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:21.840 --> 00:01:24.120 UMass Amherst, alidade health, and Russ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:24.120 \rightarrow 00:01:25.626$ Killed the University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:25.626 \rightarrow 00:01:28.638$ So when we started this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:28.640 \rightarrow 00:01:31.688$ We were interested in trying to extend some

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:31.688 \rightarrow 00:01:34.535$ work that we've been doing as a group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}01{:}34{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37{.}025$ I'm trying to estimate the burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}01{:}37.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.518$ influenza during previous pandemics

 $00:01:38.518 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.045$ and thought that it would be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}01{:}41.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.290$ fairly straightforward project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:42.290 --> 00:01:44.366 Didn't anticipate that it would get

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:44.366 - 00:01:46.719 a lot of attention in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:46.720 \dashrightarrow 00:01:50.914$ but it turns out that this has become a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.970$ Sort of radioactively hot topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:52.970 \rightarrow 00:01:56.186$ Since we started doing this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}01{:}56{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58{.}160$ There's been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}01{:}58.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{.}100$ A lot of controversy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:02.100 \dashrightarrow 00:02:06.044$ Sort of about the official kovit death tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}06.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.486$ And a lot of questions about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:08.486 \rightarrow 00:02:10.680$ reliability of sort of the official.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:02:10.680 --> 00:02:13.039 That's that are being reported by ACDC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.840$ which I think makes this work especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:15.840 \rightarrow 00:02:18.193$ important because we try to sidestep

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:18.193 \rightarrow 00:02:20.359$ some of the issues around testing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:20.359 \rightarrow 00:02:22.815$ which had sort of played some of the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:22.820 \rightarrow 00:02:24.880$ The official numbers said basically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00{:}02{:}24.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.940$ the controversy is been around
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:27.010 \rightarrow 00:02:29.224$ sort of weather deaths are being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:29.224 \rightarrow 00:02:31.449$ accurately recorded as due to Cove it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.860$ or whether sort of of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- 00:02:33.860 --> 00:02:36.090 People are sort of inappropriately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.320$ coding deaths as Judah covered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.898$ when people are dying.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- 00:02:39.900 --> 00:02:40.797 Of other causes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:40.797 \dashrightarrow 00:02:42.890$ and maybe you're infected with so good,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.034$ but not necessarily dying due to Cove in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00:02:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.797$ So we have been working on this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- 00:02:48.797 $-\!\!>$ 00:02:50.646 group that I mentioned at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687
- $00{:}02{:}50{.}646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}361$ beginning and have been partnering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:52.361 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.437$ with the Washington Post on trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}54{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56{.}429$ to try to estimate excess death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}710$ So this the idea here is instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.429$ looking at the number of deaths that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:03:01.429 \rightarrow 00:03:03.629$ actually recorded as being due to Kobe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:03:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.376$ just looking at sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}03{:}05{.}376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07{.}275$ changes in total deaths and deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:03:07.275 --> 00:03:08.950 due to pneumonia or influenza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:03:08.950 - > 00:03:10.889 which are less likely to be biased

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:03:10.889 \rightarrow 00:03:13.020$ by some of these coding issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}15{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17{.}844$ So in the United States you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}17.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.484$ cause of death is decided by individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:20.484 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.899$ by individuals all around the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:22.899 \rightarrow 00:03:25.405$ who have their own sort of criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.860$ and it's typically done by by physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.700$ when the death is due to natural causes

 $00:03:30.700 \rightarrow 00:03:33.200$ or by metal medical examiner or coroner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:33.200 \dashrightarrow 00:03:37.589$ when it's when it's an unattended death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}37{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}470$ And the CDC and state helps parents are

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}40{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.676$ recording typically both an underlying cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

00:03:42.676 --> 00:03:45.419 of death and a contributing cause of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}45{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47{.}744$ So, for instance, you could have current

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:47.744 \rightarrow 00:03:50.048$ virus listed as the underlying cause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}090$ an ammonia listed as a contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}52{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53{.}970$ cause or or heart attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:53.970 \rightarrow 00:03:55.642$ Let's just contributing cause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}55{.}642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}896$ Or vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:56.900 \rightarrow 00:03:59.906$ Or you might have one of these things listed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:59.910 \dashrightarrow 00:04:01.908$ so you might have pneumonia listed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:04:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.580$ but current viruses left off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:04:03.580 \dashrightarrow 00:04:06.508$ or any combination of those possibilities.

 $00:04:06.510 \rightarrow 00:04:08.316$ So the official death registration in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}04{:}08{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10{.}680$ the US is done at the state level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

00:04:10.680 --> 00:04:12.660 Minutes reported up to the CDC

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:04:12.660 \rightarrow 00:04:14.680$ National Center for health statistics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}04{:}14.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.025$ And there is some like that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:19.300 \dashrightarrow 00:04:22.054$ So we have good reason to think that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:22.054 \rightarrow 00:04:24.766$ number of reported deaths is an undercount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:24.770 \rightarrow 00:04:27.272$ This is sort of a typical feature of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:27.272 \rightarrow 00:04:29.900$ of pathogen specific deaths in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.610$ so this is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:31.610 \rightarrow 00:04:33.656$ been staying in previous influenza pandemics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

00:04:33.660 - 00:04:35.380 where it's it's typically assume

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.487$ that just some fraction of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00{:}04{:}37{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}443$ deaths that are due to influenza

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:39.443 \rightarrow 00:04:41.516$ during an influenza pandemic or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:41.516 \rightarrow 00:04:43.574$ during sort of typical seasonal flu,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:04:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.290$ or actually recorded as such,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00{:}04{:}45.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.170$ so it's much more common to look at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:04:48.170 \rightarrow 00:04:50.360$ pneumonia and influenza together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:04:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.448$ And looking at sort of increases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:04:52.448 \rightarrow 00:04:54.537$ above a typical seasonal baseline to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:04:54.537 \rightarrow 00:04:56.763$ try to estimate the full burden of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:04:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.325$ Of death and of course this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- 00:04:59.325 --> 00:05:01.370 particularly important with current virus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00{:}05{:}01{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}884$ especially early in the epidemic where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:05:03.884 \rightarrow 00:05:06.727$ the testing was really slow to ramp up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:05:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.034$ and in many states testing was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:05:09.034 \rightarrow 00:05:11.355$ really inadequate at a time when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:05:11.355 \rightarrow 00:05:13.840$ we think that the virus might have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724
- $00:05:13.840 \dashrightarrow 00:05:16.300$ been circulating at a high level.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:05:18.690 \rightarrow 00:05:20.088$ Complicating things further.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}20{.}088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23{.}350$ The way that CDC records the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}23.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.213$ and it gets reported from the states

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:26.213 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.687$ there is optimal lag in the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:28.690 \rightarrow 00:05:31.090$ so the data that we're seeing from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}31.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.720$ or two or three or even four or five

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.938$ weeks ago is going to be incomplete NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:35.938 \rightarrow 00:05:38.520$ in this varies quite a bit by state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:38.520 \rightarrow 00:05:40.690$ so this plot is just showing our

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}40.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.373$ estimates for the proportion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}42{.}373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44{.}341$ deaths that are reported based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}44{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46{.}186$ how far we are from the death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}46.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.502$ so we can see sort of study on

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}48{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49{.}900$ the left hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:49.900 \dashrightarrow 00:05:52.556$ Is starting at 2 weeks after the death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:52.560 \dashrightarrow 00:05:54.558$ There's a huge amount of variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:54.560 \rightarrow 00:05:56.552$ Some states are only capturing maybe

- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:05:56.552 \rightarrow 00:05:58.888 30\%$ of the deaths or reporting 30%
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:05:58.890 \rightarrow 00:06:01.188$ of the deaths that will eventually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:06:01.188 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.070$ be reported two weeks out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- 00:06:03.070 --> 00:06:03.636 Other states,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:06:03.636 \rightarrow 00:06:05.900$ like New York or quite good and we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:06:05.963 \rightarrow 00:06:08.212$ getting something like 95% of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:06:08.212 \rightarrow 00:06:10.618$ deaths even after just two weeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- 00:06:10.620 --> 00:06:12.671 It tends to ramp up fairly rapidly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:06:12.671 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.550$ in most states,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:06:13.550 \rightarrow 00:06:15.886$ so you know after three or four weeks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:06:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.266$ we're getting more than 90% of the deaths.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00{:}06{:}18.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.776$ It will eventually be reported
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00{:}06{:}19.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.580$ getting reported on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00{:}06{:}20.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.338$ but there are some states like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187
- $00:06:22.338 \rightarrow 00:06:23.510$ this is Kentucky here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:23.510 \rightarrow 00:06:25.490$ which seems to have a particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}25{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27{.}364$ slow reporting where even out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:27.364 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.079$ sort of 10 weeks after the death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:29.080 \rightarrow 00:06:31.426$ we're sort of in the 80% range

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}31.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.356$ of death that are reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:34.360 \rightarrow 00:06:37.288$ So our analysis is goals were quite simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.480$ It was to quantify the excess

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}39{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40{.}575$ burden of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:06:40.580 --> 00:06:41.284 Student ammonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:06:41.284 --> 00:06:41.988 or influenza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:41.988 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.903$ or do the code 19 to quantify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:44.903 \rightarrow 00:06:47.465$ excess burden of deaths due to any

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}47{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50{.}096$ cause and then to try to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:50.096 \dashrightarrow 00:06:52.020$ the excess Destin reported deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:52.020 \rightarrow 00:06:55.240$ And we're also hoping to adjust for

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:55.240 \rightarrow 00:06:57.652$ reporting delays and variations in

 $00{:}06{:}57.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.466$ deaths that are related to influenza.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}00{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02{.}870$ We're using data that are publicly

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.364$ available that are reported by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:05.364 \rightarrow 00:07:07.434$ National Center for health statistics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.757$ Were there sort of updating these data

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:09.757 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.224$ on a daily basis in reporting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:12.224 \dashrightarrow 00:07:14.720$ number of deaths in each week that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:14.720 \rightarrow 00:07:17.573$ were due to any cause or due to pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:07:17.580 --> 00:07:18.080 influenza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:18.080 \rightarrow 00:07:22.080$ or current virus as a sa grouping?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}22.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.770$ We also have some information on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:25.770 \dashrightarrow 00:07:27.870$ Testing another source of data on deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}27.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.529$ which tends to be a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}29.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.204$ more up to date than the NCHS data

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:07:32.204 --> 00:07:32.964 from kobetracking.com,

 $00:07:32.970 \rightarrow 00:07:35.028$ which is the data source that Ginny

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37{.}170$ pits are mentioned in the previous stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

00:07:39.430 --> 00:07:42.406 And essentially, we're doing a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:42.406 \rightarrow 00:07:44.859$ simple regression model where we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:44.859 \rightarrow 00:07:47.603$ trying to model the number of deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00{:}07{:}47.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.687$ that occur in a given state in each week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:50.690 \rightarrow 00:07:52.746$ We're adjusting for seasonality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:52.746 \rightarrow 00:07:54.802$ We're adjusting forward influenza

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00{:}07{:}54.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.587$ activity during the previous week to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:57.587 \rightarrow 00:07:59.850$ into account through the lag between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.726$ Who activity in deaths?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:08:01.726 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.071$ We're allowing the baseline to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}819$ vary year to year to account

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

00:08:06.819 --> 00:08:09.009 for changes in population size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:08:09.010 \rightarrow 00:08:12.125$ and we're adjusting for this reporting delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:08:12.130 \rightarrow 00:08:14.812$ which is estimated separately using a

00:08:14.812 --> 00:08:17.030 Bayesian Nowcasting algorithm called knobs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

00:08:17.030 -> 00:08:18.814 which was recently described

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

00:08:18.814 --> 00:08:20.598 by Nick Menzies Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.270$ So we're basically fitting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}25{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26{.}638$ regression through data today

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:26.638 \rightarrow 00:08:28.319$ through the beginning of February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}28{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30{.}957$ So this is a period when we don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:30.957 \rightarrow 00:08:33.079$ there was much coronavirus there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}272$ so we're sort of fitting to the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:35.272 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.803$ to try to get sort of a sense for

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:37.803 \rightarrow 00:08:39.608$ with the typical seasonal pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41{.}903$ looks like and then extrapolating

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}41{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43{.}960$ that baseline forward for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}43{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45{.}660$ period from February to April.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:45.660 \dashrightarrow 00:08:47.008$ Then we're generating uncertainty

 $00:08:47.008 \rightarrow 00:08:49.030$ intervals by resampling scheme that Nick

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50{.}760$ breaks group is previously developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

00:08:50.760 --> 00:08:53.040 I try to get an estimate for sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.190$ of the uncertainty in those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

00:08:55.190 --> 00:08:56.180 Baseline estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}972$ So this is just sort of a simple top

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:01.972 \dashrightarrow 00:09:05.306$ line picture of the excess or the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:05.306 \rightarrow 00:09:08.200$ deaths occurring in each state overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:08.200 \rightarrow 00:09:12.150$ So on the upper left corner this is New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:12.150 --> 00:09:14.950 including New York City, Which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:14.950 --> 00:09:16.765 His, as everyone knows, experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:16.765 - 00:09:19.050 the most severe epidemic in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.745$ So the typical seasonal baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:20.745 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.820$ is shown with this red line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.932$ Here the variation in deaths in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:24.932 --> 00:09:26.919 previous years is shown in Gray,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00:09:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.966$ which you can't even really see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00:09:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.048$ Do the baseline for New York there and then.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00:09:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.800$ The observed number of deaths.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00:09:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.176$ Of or 2020 is shown with this black line.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00{:}09{:}37{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38{.}878$ So really what we're looking at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00{:}09{:}38.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.294$ is the difference between the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00:09:40.294 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.656$ black line in the red light.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00:09:41.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.712$ Here for each state,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00{:}09{:}42.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.290$ and so you can see pretty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- 00:09:44.355 --> 00:09:45.959 sizable increases in deaths.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00:09:45.960 \rightarrow 00:09:48.095$ Above the seasonal baseline for New York,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00{:}09{:}48{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49{.}015$ New Jersey, Massachusetts,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- 00:09:49.015 --> 00:09:49.930 District of Columbia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00{:}09{:}49{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52.666$ the data or a bit more noise of it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422
- $00:09:52.670 \dashrightarrow 00:09:55.654$ You can see this clear increase about it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:55.660 --> 00:09:57.139 Above historical patterns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:57.139 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:10:00.097 Maryland sort of across the board

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:10:00.097 -> 00:10:02.857 we see pretty strong increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.104$ There are a number of states

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}996$ where we do not see increases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:07.996 \rightarrow 00:10:11.034$ these tend to be sort of smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.195$ more rural states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:12.195 \rightarrow 00:10:15.560$ Vermont a number of states in the Midwest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16{.}950$ New Hampshire we got Minnesota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:16.950 \rightarrow 00:10:18.528$ Here are the Minnesota is starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:18.528 \rightarrow 00:10:20.269$ to increase in more recent weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}10{:}20{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}124$ so I think if we were to extend this

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}10{:}22.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.260$ at another couple of weeks we probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:24.260 \rightarrow 00:10:26.360$ would see something for Minnesota here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}10{:}30{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32{.}603$ We are also interested in looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}10{:}32{.}603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34{.}660$ the estimates for excess deaths in

 $00:10:34.660 \rightarrow 00:10:36.742$ relation to the reported cova death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.871$ So here we're just looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}10{:}38.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.790$ excess pneumonia and influenza deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.470$ which are shown in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:42.470 \rightarrow 00:10:45.278$ So the trajectory for so this is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:45.278 \rightarrow 00:10:47.039$ basically subtracting off the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:47.039 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.370$ from the previous plot and the reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}10{:}49{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51{.}863$ number of covad deaths for the same week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}10{:}51{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}358$ So you can see in New Jersey those

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:54.358 \rightarrow 00:10:56.469$ two curves basically line up very

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:56.469 \rightarrow 00:10:58.587$ well in the great dash line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:58.590 \rightarrow 00:11:01.278$ Here is showing us the increase in testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.684$ So this is basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:02.684 --> 00:11:04.439 Saying he was increasing testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:04.439 \rightarrow 00:11:06.589$ at about the same time we were,

- $00:11:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.690$ they were increasing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- 00:11:08.690 --> 00:11:11.756 In cases and very strong agreement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- 00:11:11.756 --> 00:11:13.800 between those two curves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- 00:11:13.800 --> 00:11:16.038 You can contrast that with Florida,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00{:}11{:}16{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18{.}314$ where we see this earlier increased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:18.314 \rightarrow 00:11:21.362$ sort of in early March of pneumonia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:21.362 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.820$ and influenza deaths.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:22.820 \rightarrow 00:11:24.650$ And then the reported code.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:24.650 \rightarrow 00:11:27.536$ The deaths don't actually increased until.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:27.540 \rightarrow 00:11:29.706$ Several weeks later and one possible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00{:}11{:}29.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.746$ explanation for that is if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- 00:11:31.746 --> 00:11:33.615 look at the Great Dash Line here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:33.620 \rightarrow 00:11:35.295$ they're testing levels were quite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:35.295 \rightarrow 00:11:37.329$ low and they really didn't even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:37.329 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.135$ start until a couple weeks after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:39.135 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.659$ the epidemic had taken off.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:40.660 \rightarrow 00:11:43.540$ So there are quite a few deaths we think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.100$ Sort of during early to merge that were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00{:}11{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.909$ missed in Florida in the official tallies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- 00:11:48.910 --> 00:11:51.070 Louisiana pretty good concordance between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:51.070 \rightarrow 00:11:53.230$ the observed and reported deaths,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.862$ and likewise for Washington.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:54.862 \rightarrow 00:11:57.897$ There just seems to be a relationship
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00:11:57.897 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.903$ between sort of wind testing started
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00{:}12{:}00{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.567$ relative to the epidemic and the amount of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499
- $00{:}12{:}04{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06{.}607$ Sort of unexplained cases that we see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:12:08.650 \rightarrow 00:12:10.435$ We're also interested in looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00{:}12{:}10.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.670$ at the increase in deaths in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00{:}12{:}12{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.615$ relation to influence like illness,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00{:}12{:}14.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.228$ so we look if we lineups for the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- 00:12:18.230 --> 00:12:19.750 Unexplained increase in pneumonia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:19.750 --> 00:12:21.650 ones adepts again and explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}21.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.478$ increases and influence like illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.895$ Basically what we see is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:25.895 \rightarrow 00:12:27.610$ influence like illness increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}27.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.170$ Its the blue line here and then about

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:30.170 --> 00:12:33.289 a week later we see an increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:33.289 \rightarrow 00:12:35.480$ the pneumonia and influenza deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:35.480 \rightarrow 00:12:37.808$ suggesting that what we're seeing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:37.808 \rightarrow 00:12:40.455$ terms of excess jets is related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}40{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}495$ the virus and not necessarily due

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}42.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.817$ to lock down measures which would

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}44.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.106$ have sort of more diffuse effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:47.106 --> 00:12:48.610 Enough necessarily have such

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:48.610 \rightarrow 00:12:50.114$ a sort of temporarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}50{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56{.}128$ Related Increase similar to Iowa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.410$ So just looking through the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:12:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.234$ top line estimates here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:13:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.820$ this is data through April 25th
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00{:}13{:}02{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06{.}053$ where we have an estimate for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- 00:13:06.053 --> 00:13:09.371 entire US of about 51,000 kuva dots.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:13:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.613$ During this period,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- 00:13:10.613 --> 00:13:13.079 if we look at the excess
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- 00:13:13.079 --> 00:13:14.870 pneumonia influenza deaths,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:13:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.150$ we have about 57,000 deaths,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- 00:13:17.150 -> 00:13:20.349 so just a little bit more pneumonia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- 00:13:20.350 --> 00:13:21.739 influenza deaths nationally.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:13:21.739 \rightarrow 00:13:24.517$ Then we have reported cova deaths
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00{:}13{:}24{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26{.}807$ and then about 8083 thousand.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00{:}13{:}26{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28{.}763$ All cause deaths,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00{:}13{:}28.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.324$ so overall about sort of 40 to 50% higher.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:13:34.324 \rightarrow 00:13:37.502$ Death toll rather than we get from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:37.502 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.967$ what's reported in the data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}13{:}39{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}175$ the sort of official coded data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.010$ This does vary quite a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:44.010 \rightarrow 00:13:45.230$ by state and overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}13{:}45{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47{.}326$ so if you were to look at your

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:47.326 --> 00:13:49.200 New York City in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.480$ I'm early in the epidemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.085$ The reported number of Kobe

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:53.085 --> 00:13:55.104 deaths is about 3 times higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:55.104 \rightarrow 00:13:57.204$ than sorry that the access code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}13{:}57{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}058$ It's about 3 times higher than

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:59.058 \rightarrow 00:14:00.983$ reported number of coded deaths as

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:14:00.983 \rightarrow 00:14:02.867$ they have sort of increased testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}960$ and change the reporting guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:14:04.960 \dashrightarrow 00:14:08.713$ That gap is narrowed to about so now the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:14:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.085$ Exodus that's about 50% higher

- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:14:10.085 \rightarrow 00:14:11.177$ than the Coca dots,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00{:}14{:}11{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12{.}560$ and in other states there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615
- $00:14:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.730$ is not much of a gap at all.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00:14:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.283$ So we were also interested in just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00{:}14{:}20{.}283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23{.}054$ trying to do something very simple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00{:}14{:}23.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.505$ without any sort of model behind it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- 00:14:26.510 --> 00:14:27.954 Just because you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- 00:14:27.954 --> 00:14:29.759 every every analysis approach has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00{:}14{:}29.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.665$ assumptions that we wanted to see if we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00:14:31.665 \rightarrow 00:14:33.638$ sort of took an independent approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00:14:33.640 \rightarrow 00:14:36.740$ If we get a similar answer and what we see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00{:}14{:}36{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}422$ So basically what we did was we took the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00{:}14{:}39{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}352$ provisional data that are reported that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00{:}14{:}41{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}559$ were reported this year and week 19th.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986
- $00{:}14{:}43.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.960$ This is reported last week.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.880$ And we know that those data

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}14{:}47.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48.840$ are highly incomplete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}14{:}48{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50{.}760$ Over the last month or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.000$ and there's this lag in the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}14{:}53{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55{.}198$ and we can see that here you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:55.198 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.750$ can see sort of the yellow line

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.048$ sort of would be trailing down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}258$ And So what we did was we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04{.}310$ at the data from this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}04{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06{.}918$ That were reported in Week 19 and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}06{.}918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09{.}368$ looked at the at the data reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:09.368 \rightarrow 00:15:11.510$ Week 19 from the previous year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.318$ And what we see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.074$ Is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:15.074 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.713$ The data in this year were sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:17.713 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.119$ of well aligned up until.

 $00:15:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.128$ So in mid to late March and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:22.128 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.653$ you see this sort of very sharp

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:24.653 \rightarrow 00:15:26.217$ divergences between those lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.732$ So the provisional data for 2020 are much

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:28.732 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.027$ higher than the original data for 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:31.030 \rightarrow 00:15:33.280$ and this is sort of a very crude way

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.846$ of adjusting for the reporting delays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.097$ If we just look at the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:15:38.097 - 00:15:39.060 between these curves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.986$ we have about 79,000 excess deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.350$ You can compare that to the 83,000 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:43.350 \rightarrow 00:15:45.478$ we estimated with the regression model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47{.}734$ We have some other approaches we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}47.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.316$ using as well where we don't adjust for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}50{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}856$ Blue where we get a slightly smaller effect,

 $00:15:52.860 \rightarrow 00:15:56.600$ but you know we're sort of in the range to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:15:56.600 --> 00:15:58.798 You know 70 to 80,000 excess deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:58.800 \rightarrow 00:16:02.447$ regardless of the method that we're using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:16:02.450 --> 00:16:04.294 So just to conclude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}04.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.599$ the estimated death tool related

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:06.599 \rightarrow 00:16:09.307$ the pandemic is about 50% higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:09.307 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.049$ than the reported number of deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}12.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.732$ This that sort of estimate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}14.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.619$ how much higher the excess deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}17.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.625$ is has been changing overtime and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}20.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.006$ has been narrowing so so the gap

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:16:24.006 --> 00:16:25.834 has been narrowing as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:25.840 \rightarrow 00:16:26.562$ Sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}26.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.089$ the standards for reporting deaths for Cove.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}29{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31{.}304$ It have changed and the recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:31.304 \rightarrow 00:16:34.249$ have changed so you know with the current

 $00:16:34.249 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.670$ data were probably closer to about 40%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.316$ but in any case I think this

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:39.316 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.337$ puts to bed the idea that were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.450$ Start over accounting the cova

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}44{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45{.}716$ deaths when we're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:16:45.720 --> 00:16:47.380 Certainly can't be official data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}47{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50{.}397$ There's no evidence that we see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:50.400 \rightarrow 00:16:53.008$ The official data are in any way inflated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:16:53.010 - 00:16:56.167 and if anything we think that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:56.167 \rightarrow 00:16:58.300$ are substantially under reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:16:58.300 --> 00:17:01.390 And if you just seem to be a lot of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}01{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}956$ a pretty sizable gap between states

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}02.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.252$ in how much of a difference there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}05{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07{.}040$ between the reported number of Copa

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}07{.}097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}001$ deaths in the total number of death

 $00:17:09.001 \rightarrow 00:17:11.060$ suspect this has something to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:17:11.060 --> 00:17:13.305 testing practices as well as sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}13.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.225$ culture around how deaths are coded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:17:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.450$ And we still don't know what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:17:17.450 --> 00:17:18.930 driving that unexplained increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00{:}17{:}18{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20{.}894$ so we know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

00:17:20.894 --> 00:17:22.398 You know, pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:22.398 \rightarrow 00:17:26.024$ influenza are sort of accounting for some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

00:17:26.030 --> 00:17:27.438 Get code is pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

00:17:27.438 --> 00:17:28.494 influenza or accounting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:28.500 \rightarrow 00:17:31.324$ For some of that increase that we're seeing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.115$ but there's still a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00{:}17{:}33{.}115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}543$ unattributed increase and future

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:34.543 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.268$ work will try to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

00:17:36.270 --> 00:17:38.748 Sort of what's driving them with

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:38.748 \rightarrow 00:17:40.720$ somebody 'cause specific factors out.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481
- $00:17:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.330$ So thank you very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481
- $00{:}17{:}42.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.112$ We have a previous version of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481
- $00:17:44.112 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.860$ this work is unmet archive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481
- 00:17:45.860 --> 00:17:48.434 If you'd like to learn more or I'd be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481
- $00:17:48.434 \rightarrow 00:17:50.987$ happy to answer any questions by email.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913428366184235
- $00{:}17{:}54{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55{.}921$ Thank you very much doctor Weinberger.