WEBVTT - https://subtitletools.com

 $00:00:00.175 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.008$  (ambient chatter)

00:00:08.225 --> 00:00:09.058 - [Sten] Good evening.

00:00:09.058 --> 00:00:10.207 My name is Sten Vermund.

 $00:00:10.207 \rightarrow 00:00:11.743$  I'm the dean of the School of Public Health

 $00:00:11.743 \rightarrow 00:00:13.380$  here at Yale university.

 $00:00:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.570$  I wanna welcome all of you

 $00:00:14.570 \rightarrow 00:00:16.820$  in the Winslow Auditorium this evening,

 $00:00:16.820 \rightarrow 00:00:21.130$  as well as those of you joining via live streaming.

 $00:00:21.130 \rightarrow 00:00:24.010$  Thanking in advance our speakers who

 $00:00:24.010 \rightarrow 00:00:27.030$  have joined us this evening on short notice.

 $00:00:27.030 \dashrightarrow 00:00:28.970$  My only duty this evening other than

 $00:00:28.970 \dashrightarrow 00:00:32.535$  to quiet the crowd is to welcome

 $00:00:32.535 \dashrightarrow 00:00:35.520$  this evening's moderator and introduce him.

00:00:35.520 --> 00:00:37.122 Dr. Saad Omer is director of

 $00{:}00{:}37{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40{.}480$  the Yale Institute for Global Health.

00:00:40.480 --> 00:00:43.920 He is an infectious disease epidemiologist,

00:00:43.920 --> 00:00:46.830 a vaccinologist, and a physician.

 $00{:}00{:}46.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.599$  He's also a professor of medicine

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}599$  -->  $00{:}00{:}52{.}830$  in infectious diseases at the Yale School of Medicine.

00:00:52.830 --> 00:00:54.180 He holds a Susan Dwight Bliss

00:00:54.180 --> 00:00:57.090 Professor of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases

 $00:00:57.090 \dashrightarrow 00:00:58.077$  at the Yale School of Public Health

 $00:00:58.077 \rightarrow 00:01:00.500$  and also has a secondary appointment

00:01:00.500 --> 00:01:02.000 in the Yale School of Nursing.

 $00:01:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.770$  We are lucky to have Dr. Omer here.

 $00:01:05.770 \dashrightarrow 00:01:07.430$  He is the inaugural director of our

 $00{:}01{:}07{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}720$  Yale Institute for Global Health.

00:01:08.720 --> 00:01:10.103 And without further ado...

 $00:01:10.951 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.118$  (audience applauding)

00:01:19.278 --> 00:01:22.890 - [Saad] Thanks Sten, and besides being 00:01:22.890 --> 00:01:25.040 the dean of the School of Public Health,  $00:01:25.040 \rightarrow 00:01:27.610$  we at the Institute for Global Health  $00:01:27.610 \rightarrow 00:01:30.068$  are privileged to have a true pioneer  $00:01:30.068 \rightarrow 00:01:34.690$  in global health, Sten, as one of the deans here  $00:01:34.690 \rightarrow 00:01:36.993$  as the dean of the School of Public Health.  $00:01:38.707 \rightarrow 00:01:40.060$  And he's one of the founding fathers  $00:01:40.060 \rightarrow 00:01:41.240$  of the Institute for Global Health. 00:01:41.240 --> 00:01:44.330 So it's a privilege to be here  $00:01:44.330 \rightarrow 00:01:49.300$  and talk about this important emerging public health issue.  $00:01:49.300 \rightarrow 00:01:51.830$  And, just to outline what we'll be discussing,  $00:01:51.830 \rightarrow 00:01:55.830$  we'll be discussing the academic response  $00:01:55.830 \rightarrow 00:01:59.210$  of the various parts of the university, not university,  $00:01:59.210 \rightarrow 00:02:01.730$  the University and the hospital as an institution 00:02:01.730 --> 00:02:05.720 which is located in New Haven, et cetera,  $00:02:05.720 \rightarrow 00:02:08.226$  and interacts with its communities. 00:02:08.226 --> 00:02:10.387 It is a part of it, but our focus here is  $00:02:10.387 \rightarrow 00:02:14.253$  as an expert panel covering various issues 00:02:14.253 --> 00:02:16.970 from an academic and research perspective.  $00:02:16.970 \rightarrow 00:02:21.290$  And there have been other panels in the past few days  $00:02:23.110 \rightarrow 00:02:25.660$  and in the last week or so in the university,  $00:02:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.650$  but this one has a focus.  $00:02:27.650 \rightarrow 00:02:29.180$  We want to do a comprehensive focus  $00:02:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.130$  on a few issues ranging from epidemiology,  $00:02:32.130 \rightarrow 00:02:35.184$  to communications, to virology, to some aspects  $00:02:35.184 \rightarrow 00:02:38.180$  of preventive measures  $00:02:38.180 \rightarrow 00:02:41.000$  and the public health response, et cetera. 00:02:41.000 --> 00:02:44.658 I wanna, before I start, I will start with  $00:02:44.658 \rightarrow 00:02:47.750$  a few overview slides.

00:02:47.750 --> 00:02:51.860 And then, I'll welcome our distinguished panelists.

 $00:02:51.860 \dashrightarrow 00:02:54.580$  And most of the session will be based

 $00:02:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.540$  on questions and answers.

 $00:02:56.540 \rightarrow 00:02:59.250$  I'll go through a couple of rounds of questions

 $00:02:59.250 \dashrightarrow 00:03:01.152$  from the panelists then we'll open

 $00:03:01.152 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.230$  this forum for discussion.

 $00{:}03{:}04{.}230$  -->  $00{:}03{:}08{.}450$  We have a really good and solid, rich base of faculty

 $00:03:08.450 \dashrightarrow 00:03:12.150$  and students who have a lot to contribute.

 $00:03:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.310$  So please, feel free to contribute.

 $00{:}03{:}14.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.590$  I wanna thank, in terms of organizing this, specifically,

 $00{:}03{:}18{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22{.}160$  YSPH's Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases,

00:03:22.160 --> 00:03:24.910 especially, Albert Ko, who was very instrumental,

00:03:24.910 --> 00:03:27.070 I don't know where he is, I don't see him right now,

00:03:27.070 --> 00:03:28.654 but I'm sure he'll come.

00:03:28.654 --> 00:03:33.040 And he was instrumental in choosing the panelists,

 $00:03:33.040 \rightarrow 00:03:37.070$  et cetera, and was very helpful in organizing this.

 $00{:}03{:}37{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}870$  I also want to thank Global Health Justice Partnership.

 $00:03:40.870 \rightarrow 00:03:43.340$  Gregg Gonsalves, who's one of the panelists,

00:03:43.340 --> 00:03:48.260 and Amy Kapczynski, and a few others

 $00:03:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.040$  who have been really helpful.

 $00{:}03{:}53{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}700$  Yale is very privileged to have long-standing collaborations

 $00:03:56.700 \rightarrow 00:03:58.830$  with our colleagues in China.

 $00:03:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.762$  And there are a lot of efforts going on,

 $00:04:01.762 \rightarrow 00:04:05.640$  especially, for example, there's a coronavirus

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}640$  -->  $00{:}04{:}08{.}740$  working group focusing on a few research questions,

 $00:04:08.740 \rightarrow 00:04:12.670$  which is very driven by our Starlight Fellows.

 $00{:}04{:}12.670$  -->  $00{:}04{:}17.570$  And I would encourage colleagues with connections to China

 $00:04:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.350$  and of Chinese heritage,

 $00:04:19.350 \rightarrow 00:04:22.900$  to contribute in today's discussion.

 $00:04:22.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.300$  So without further ado,

 $00:04:24.300 \rightarrow 00:04:29.083$  I will start with my introductory slides.

 $00:04:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.940$  So we know that the initial cases were identified

00:04:37.940 --> 00:04:41.453 and reported from Wuhan City in China.

 $00:04:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.130$  And it is an unfortunate aspect

 $00:04:44.130 \rightarrow 00:04:47.470$  that some people call it a Wuhan coronavirus.

00:04:47.470 --> 00:04:48.590 I'm very uncomfortable,

 $00:04:48.590 \rightarrow 00:04:50.280$  and a lot of us are very uncomfortable,

 $00:04:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.310$  labeling this virus with a place

 $00:04:54.310 \rightarrow 00:04:57.570$  and adding to a little bit of culture of stigma

 $00:04:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.340$  that sometimes evolves.

00:04:59.340 --> 00:05:01.360 But it was identified, it's appropriate to say,

 $00:05:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.410$  it was identified, initially, in that place.

 $00{:}05{:}04{.}410$  -->  $00{:}05{:}09{.}410$  It has now, as of this morning, it's spread to 28 countries.

 $00:05:12.670 \rightarrow 00:05:15.501$  And this is a map, but there's also,

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16{.}970$  there's some sobering reflection

 $00:05:16.970 \dashrightarrow 00:05:19.033$  on the status of the outbreak in the sense

 $00:05:19.033 \rightarrow 00:05:21.733$  that the major chunk remains in China,

 $00:05:22.700 \rightarrow 00:05:27.083$  and the major chunk remains in mainland China.

 $00:05:27.083 \rightarrow 00:05:32.083$  There have been over 28,000 cases reported.

 $00{:}05{:}33{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35{.}970$  There are model-based estimates that go

 $00:05:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.060$  much higher than that.

00:05:37.060 --> 00:05:41.573 But, in terms of reported cases are 28,353,

00:05:42.570 --> 00:05:47.451 including, unfortunately, 565 deaths.

 $00:05:47.451 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.890$  And that's a very sobering reflection

 $00{:}05{:}51.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.120$  on the status of the outbreak.

 $00:05:54.120 \rightarrow 00:05:56.170$  But when it comes to emerging diseases,

 $00:05:56.170 \rightarrow 00:05:58.140$  it's not just that we are concerned

 $00:05:58.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.380$  about what has happened so far.

 $00:06:00.380 \rightarrow 00:06:03.480$  And can you imagine that, during the holidays,

00:06:03.480 --> 00:06:05.820 most of us had, in fact, yes, I was looking

 $00:06:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.653$  at the reports,

 $00:06:06.653 \rightarrow 00:06:10.630$  there were emails circulating in early January,

 $00{:}06{:}10.630$  -->  $00{:}06{:}12.840$  starting in late December there was something percolating.

 $00:06:12.840 \rightarrow 00:06:15.930$  But we didn't know about this major outbreak.

 $00:06:15.930 \dashrightarrow 00:06:18.750$  Certainly, it wasn't common knowledge.

 $00{:}06{:}18.750$  -->  $00{:}06{:}22.940$  It wasn't a major concern and how quickly this disease

 $00:06:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.823$  has become a major concern.

 $00:06:26.090 \rightarrow 00:06:30.580$  We think the family of viruses it comes from,

 $00:06:30.580 \rightarrow 00:06:33.020$  it sort of tells us that it is likely

 $00:06:33.020 \rightarrow 00:06:38.020$  to have the more prominent host as a bat virus.

00:06:38.210 --> 00:06:41.310 You know, when you use icons sometimes,

 $00:06:41.310 \rightarrow 00:06:43.651$  they look closer to Batman symbol.

 $00:06:43.651 \rightarrow 00:06:44.484$  (audience laughing)

00:06:44.484 --> 00:06:46.245 But, you know, take my word, it's a bat.

 $00:06:46.245 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.245$  But there is a possibility of an intermediate host.

 $00:06:52.450 \rightarrow 00:06:54.470$  Having said that, there was a lot of rumors~

 $00:06:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.928$  and sort of preprints that were shared.

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}928$  -->  $00{:}07{:}01{.}928$  Someone looked at the receptors and had some speculation

 $00:07:02.510 \dashrightarrow 00:07:06.270$  that we have a snake intermediate host.

00:07:06.270 --> 00:07:07.667 And, no matter what you guys do,

00:07:07.667 --> 00:07:10.281 don't call it a snake virus.

 $00:07:10.281 \rightarrow 00:07:14.560$  There was a headline, not in the Baltimore Sun,

 $00{:}07{:}14.560$  -->  $00{:}07{:}18.063$  in the Scottish Sun-- Baltimore Sun is a much better paper

00:07:18.063 --> 00:07:23.063 that the Scottish Sun --that had this snake flu headline.

 $00:07:24.020 \rightarrow 00:07:25.790$  But, obviously, it got transmitted to humans.

 $00:07:25.790 \rightarrow 00:07:28.120$  And what really concerned us,

 $00{:}07{:}28.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.263$  was the well-established human to human transmission.

 $00:07:32.260 \rightarrow 00:07:35.680$  Because when things come from zoonosis,

 $00:07:35.680 \rightarrow 00:07:38.850$  when there's a jumping of a virus or a pathogen

 $00{:}07{:}38.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.210$  from an animal host to a human,

 $00{:}07{:}43.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.180$  that happens with some frequency.

 $00{:}07{:}45.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.150$  But what really concerns us is when

 $00:07:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.573$  there's human to human transmission established.

 $00{:}07{:}50{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54{.}330$  Just to give you a little bit of a big picture estimate,

 $00:07:54.330 \rightarrow 00:07:56.790$  so one measure of transmit-ability

 $00:07:56.790 \rightarrow 00:07:59.680$  is the so-called basic reproduction number.

00:07:59.680 --> 00:08:01.560 Some people call it basic reproductive number.

 $00:08:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.170$  That's not a preferable term.

00:08:03.170 --> 00:08:06.660 Basic reproduction number, meaning,

 $00{:}08{:}06{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11{.}620$  one way of conceptualizing it is that in a naive population,

 $00:08:11.620 \rightarrow 00:08:16.620$  where everyone is susceptible to this infection,

 $00:08:16.730 \rightarrow 00:08:20.818$  if there is one case introduced of this disease,

 $00:08:20.818 \rightarrow 00:08:24.730$  on average, how many cases they would infect?

 $00:08:24.730 \rightarrow 00:08:26.630$  So that's one simple way of understanding this.

 $00:08:26.630 \rightarrow 00:08:29.240$  And this is a measure of transmit-ability.

 $00:08:29.240 \rightarrow 00:08:32.370$  This is not the sole predictor of how big,

 $00:08:32.370 \dashrightarrow 00:08:34.400$  how dangerous the outbreak will be.

00:08:34.400 --> 00:08:35.580 But it is an important measure.

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40{.}580$  There's some uncertainty about the magnitude of it.

 $00:08:41.024 \rightarrow 00:08:44.230$  But we do know that it is not as transmittable as,

 $00:08:44.230 \rightarrow 00:08:45.870$  let's say, measles, which is one

 $00{:}08{:}45.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.690$  of the most transmittable common diseases

 $00:08:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.780$  which has this R0 Number of 12 to 15.

 $00:08:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.870$  In certain outbreaks, it has gone up to 17.

00:08:55.870 - > 00:08:58.350 Ebola had this number of two.

 $00{:}08{:}58{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02{.}820$  And this is, the novel coronavirus is comparable to SARS.

 $00:09:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.690$  It's more than the flu.

 $00:09:04.690 \rightarrow 00:09:07.860$  And so, this is some perspective to keep in mind,

 $00:09:07.860 \rightarrow 00:09:11.320$  with a caveat that our information is evolving.

 $00:09:11.320 \rightarrow 00:09:13.790$  We certainly know a lot more about this virus

 $00:09:13.790 \dashrightarrow 00:09:15.950$  than we knew a couple of weeks ago.

 $00{:}09{:}15{.}950$  -->  $00{:}09{:}20{.}080$  But our understanding is evolving and so keep that in mind.

00:09:20.080 --> 00:09:24.880 Now, this is a natural phenomenon in all outbreaks

 $00:09:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.780$  that are emerging.

 $00:09:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.150$  So what can we do?

 $00:09:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.310$  So I have thought about it a little bit

 $00:09:30.310 \rightarrow 00:09:33.080$  in terms of the big picture policy response,

 $00:09:33.080 \rightarrow 00:09:35.987$  and we will be, so the implicit focus will be,

 $00{:}09{:}35{.}987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}420$  the response, from an academic and research perspective

 $00:09:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.650$  for the rest of the panel.

 $00{:}09{:}41.650$  -->  $00{:}09{:}44.439$  But one of the things, those of you who don't know,

 $00{:}09{:}44{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45{.}272$  that the writers have

 $00:09:45.272 \rightarrow 00:09:49.810$  very little control over the headlines.

 $00:09:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.060$  So there's separate editors who do the headlines.

 $00:09:52.060 \rightarrow 00:09:55.430$  So my op-ed was a little bit more nuanced

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56{.}970$  than the headline would suggest.

00:09:56.970 --> 00:10:01.570 But we certainly have, I certainly didn't go,

 $00:10:01.570 \rightarrow 00:10:04.467$  for a call and response kind of a framework.

 $00:10:04.467 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.300$  "Are we ready?"

00:10:05.300 --> 00:10:06.404 "No."

 $00:10:06.404 \rightarrow 00:10:07.460$  (audience laughing)

 $00:10:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.393$  But we did talk about certain gaps.

 $00:10:13.340 \rightarrow 00:10:17.680$  First of all, what I postulated was, and this was,

 $00:10:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.120$  I wrote this a few hours

 $00:10:19.120 \dashrightarrow 00:10:20.877$  after the first case were identified.

00:10:20.877 --> 00:10:24.960 The government hadn't formulated its response.

 $00:10:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.960$  So some of us were concerned about the response

 $00:10:29.980 \rightarrow 00:10:33.530$  being handled by the political leadership.

 $00:10:33.530 \rightarrow 00:10:35.440$  Look, it's not an unreasonable thing

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}420$  to say that our elected leaders who we elect in a democracy

 $00:10:39.420 \rightarrow 00:10:42.750$  could be at the helm of a major emergency.

 $00:10:42.750 \rightarrow 00:10:44.220$  But this is slightly different

 $00:10:44.220 \rightarrow 00:10:47.300$  and it should vary from pathogen to pathogen

 $00{:}10{:}47{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50{.}750$  and emergency to emergency, and here's the reason why.

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55{.}310$  When you have an outbreak with substantial uncertainty,

 $00:10:55.310 \rightarrow 00:10:57.300$  we should acknowledge that uncertainty,

 $00{:}10{:}57{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}040$  but the decision-making process should be structured

 $00:11:00.040 \rightarrow 00:11:03.300$  in a way that the assimilation of ever-changing

 $00{:}11{:}03{.}300$  -->  $00{:}11{:}06{.}830$  and ever-evolving information, and the decision making

00:11:06.830 --> 00:11:08.600 should be very proximal

 $00:11:08.600 \rightarrow 00:11:11.570$  and ideally led by the same set of people,

 $00:11:11.570 \rightarrow 00:11:14.977$  who have the detailed, nuanced knowledge,

00:11:14.977 --> 00:11:18.000 intuitively of these things.

 $00:11:18.000 \rightarrow 00:11:19.390$  They should be calling the shots.

 $00:11:19.390 \rightarrow 00:11:20.260$  And who are those people?

 $00:11:20.260 \rightarrow 00:11:24.100$  Fortunately, those in this country

00:11:24.100 --> 00:11:26.996 who are leading our major public health agencies,

00:11:26.996 --> 00:11:31.690 the NIH, the CDC, FDA, even the HHS,

00:11:31.690 --> 00:11:33.880 various entities within the HHS,

00:11:33.880 --> 00:11:36.800 are mainstream, well-respected scientists

 $00:11:36.800 \rightarrow 00:11:38.440$  or public health professionals.

 $00:11:38.440 \rightarrow 00:11:42.460$  And so, rather than sort of having this outbreak,

 $00:11:42.460 \rightarrow 00:11:44.230$  irrespective of the political perspective,

 $00:11:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.230$  in this kind of a situation,

 $00{:}11{:}46{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49{.}020$  being handled at the White House level, for example,

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}853$  it would be best for the agency heads to tackle this.

 $00:11:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.210$  So similarly, let the scientists

 $00:11:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.440$  and public health professionals lead.

00:11:58.440 --> 00:12:03.210 But, look, it is hard, it's highly unsatisfying.

00:12:03.210 --> 00:12:08.210 I was on an AMA Reddit half an hour before I came here,

 $00:12:09.881 \rightarrow 00:12:13.348$  where a lot of questions, it's easy to speculate.

 $00:12:13.348 \rightarrow 00:12:17.830$  It's very tempting to say, provide certainty.

 $00{:}12{:}17.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.590$  We certainly know a lot about this outbreak than before.

 $00:12:21.590 \rightarrow 00:12:23.580$  But we owe it to the general public

 $00:12:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.690$  to convey what we don't know.

 $00{:}12{:}25{.}690$  -->  $00{:}12{:}30{.}690$  But also, what is knowable and what will never be known.

 $00:12:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.460$  And so, therefore, yes, saying that what

 $00{:}12{:}34{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}691$  is happening right now, you shouldn't be walking around

 $00{:}12{:}38.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.010$  in a space suit on College Street,

 $00:12:42.010 \rightarrow 00:12:43.840$  it is reasonable to say that.

 $00:12:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.850$  But on the other hand,

 $00:12:44.850 \rightarrow 00:12:48.530$  we don't know the future risk of this outbreak.

 $00:12:48.530 \rightarrow 00:12:49.870$  We have some things to go by,

 $00:12:49.870 \rightarrow 00:12:53.470$  and we'll flesh that out a little bit in more nuance.

 $00{:}12{:}53{.}470$  -->  $00{:}12{:}56{.}370$  So don't provide false as surances, don't alarm, certainly.

 $00:12:57.420 \rightarrow 00:12:58.580$  And the other thing that has happened,

 $00{:}12{:}58{.}580$  -->  $00{:}13{:}03{.}580$  as look universities have a unique space in our society.

 $00:13:03.960 \rightarrow 00:13:08.380$  Which is we are the guardians of evidence.

00:13:08.380 --> 00:13:10.433 Lux et Veritas is not an accident.

 $00:13:11.364 \rightarrow 00:13:15.930$  And when we are guardians of evidence,

 $00:13:15.930 \rightarrow 00:13:18.900$  we should think about not just what knowledge

 $00{:}13{:}18{.}900$  -->  $00{:}13{:}21{.}760$  is being generated and how it's being implemented,

 $00:13:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.597$  but the quality of that evidence.

 $00{:}13{:}23.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.640$  And so, the preprint server movement,

 $00:13:25.640 \rightarrow 00:13:27.610$  where open science requires

 $00{:}13{:}27.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.610$  and nudges us to share our data and our academic output

 $00{:}13{:}33{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36{.}810$  very quickly on these preprint servers without peer review.

00:13:36.810 --> 00:13:39.360 Overall, is a really positive development

 $00{:}13{:}39{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}030$  when it comes to speed of sharing knowledge

 $00:13:42.030 \rightarrow 00:13:44.300$  and can serve us really well.

 $00{:}13{:}44{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47{.}710$  The genomes were posted very quickly and very robustly,

 $00:13:47.710 \rightarrow 00:13:49.780$  in the sense that, in terms of the number,

 $00:13:49.780 \rightarrow 00:13:52.341$  obviously there was a proportion there was a lag.

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55{.}934$  But we should also be careful about

 $00:13:55.934 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.880$  how valid that information is.

 $00:13:59.880 \rightarrow 00:14:01.240$  So one way to thread that needle,

 $00:14:01.240 \rightarrow 00:14:02.563$  and there have been incidents that things

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}563$  -->  $00{:}14{:}05{.}100$  have been retracted, even in the New England Journal.

 $00:14:05.100 \rightarrow 00:14:07.930$  So it's not just the new preprint servers

 $00:14:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.763$  that have been vulnerable.

00:14:08.763 --> 00:14:10.460 There have been other things on preprint servers

 $00:14:10.460 \rightarrow 00:14:12.290$  that have been revised, et cetera,

 $00:14:12.290 \rightarrow 00:14:13.940$  and people have changed their perceptions

 $00:14:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.490$  around the outbreak based on that.

 $00:14:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.040$  So one of the proposals I discussed there

 $00:14:20.040 \rightarrow 00:14:23.398$  is to have a preplanned rapid peer review system

 $00:14:23.398 \rightarrow 00:14:27.830$  that is already set up to evaluate information

 $00:14:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.490$  on a quick turnaround basis.

 $00:14:30.490 \rightarrow 00:14:32.680$  I'm not going to go into the details right now.

 $00{:}14{:}32{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35{.}330$  But just to remind you of the response,

 $00{:}14{:}35{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}550$  this is a public health emergency of international concern

 $00:14:38.550 \rightarrow 00:14:40.416$  declared by the WHO.

 $00:14:40.416 \rightarrow 00:14:43.070$  There have been travel restrictions, et cetera,

 $00:14:43.070 \rightarrow 00:14:45.760$  and there have been quarantine,

 $00:14:45.760 \rightarrow 00:14:47.410$  various measures akin to quarantine

 $00:14:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.530$  that have been implemented.

 $00:14:48.530 \rightarrow 00:14:50.690$  We will discuss the matter to the value

 $00{:}14{:}50.690$  -->  $00{:}14{:}54.910$  and sort of nuances of these responses in a little while.

 $00:14:54.910 \rightarrow 00:14:56.930$  These are a couple of things WHO recommends

 $00:14:56.930 \rightarrow 00:15:00.110$  in terms of preventive measures:

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}110$  -->  $00{:}15{:}02{.}490$  covering mouth and nose when you're coughing and sneezing,

 $00:15:02.490 \rightarrow 00:15:04.369$  if you're using tissues

 $00:15:04.369 \rightarrow 00:15:05.550$  into closed bin immediately after use,

00:15:05.550 --> 00:15:09.355 cleans hands, hand-washing is a very important

00:15:09.355 --> 00:15:11.960 preventive measure, it's not a panacea,

 $00:15:11.960 \rightarrow 00:15:14.480$  that's gonna take care of all our wolves

 $00:15:14.480 \rightarrow 00:15:16.420$  when it comes to respiratory disease,

 $00:15:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.870$  but it is something that you can do now.

00:15:18.870 --> 00:15:23.090 It is evidence-based and this is something we can do now

 $00:15:23.090 \rightarrow 00:15:25.460$  without any further technological development.

 $00:15:25.460 \rightarrow 00:15:27.230$  And then, there are certain recommendations,

 $00:15:27.230 \rightarrow 00:15:28.379$  without going into details, on the WHO side

 $00:15:28.379 \rightarrow 00:15:31.430$  in terms of staying healthy while traveling.

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}560$  So I'll pause here and I will then introduce

 $00:15:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.810$  our panelists one by one.

00:15:36.810 --> 00:15:39.780 But before I do that, I wanna thank one of my postdocs

 $00:15:39.780 \rightarrow 00:15:41.710$  who helped with some of those slides,

00:15:41.710 --> 00:15:45.340 Amyn Malik, and I already thanked Albert and others

 $00:15:45.340 \rightarrow 00:15:49.253$  for helping organize this session.

 $00:15:49.253 \rightarrow 00:15:53.270$  I'm gonna call the panelists in alphabetical order.

00:15:53.270 - 00:15:55.053 The first one is Ellen Foxman.

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}290$  -->  $00{:}15{:}59{.}170$  She's an assistant professor of lab medicine and immunology

 $00:15:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.120$  at the Yale School of Medicine.

 $00{:}16{:}01{.}120$  -->  $00{:}16{:}05{.}354$  Her research focuses on understanding the natural mechanisms

 $00:16:05.354 \rightarrow 00:16:08.150$  that protect the airway from respiratory viruses.

 $00:16:08.150 \rightarrow 00:16:09.920$  And you can see how that is relevant

 $00:16:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.120$  to what we are talking about right now.

00:16:12.120 --> 00:16:14.530 And one of the interesting things that she's working on

 $00{:}16{:}14{.}530$  -->  $00{:}16{:}18{.}640$  is rapid diagnostics for these kinds of emerging diseases

 $00:16:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.960$  for mass screening.

 $00{:}16{:}19{.}960$  -->  $00{:}16{:}23{.}040$  So that straddles individual and public health response.

 $00{:}16{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.723$  And that's one of my favorite kinds of responses.

 $00:16:26.700 \rightarrow 00:16:29.763$  The second panelist is Gregg Gonzalez.

 $00:16:33.030 \rightarrow 00:16:35.620$  He's an assistant professor of epidemiology

 $00:16:35.620 \rightarrow 00:16:38.040$  and associate adjunct professor of law

 $00:16:38.040 \rightarrow 00:16:40.710$  of Yale Law School, and he's the co-director

00:16:40.710 --> 00:16:43.180 of the Yale Global Health Justice Partnership.

00:16:43.180 --> 00:16:45.620 So he has two homes, Yale School of Public Health

 $00:16:45.620 \rightarrow 00:16:46.903$  and the Law School.

00:16:50.469 --> 00:16:55.469 And he's a perfect example of an activist scientist.

00:16:56.670 --> 00:16:59.590 He's a solid activist, a very passionate activist,

 $00:16:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.850$  he has the fire in the belly that we all felt

 $00:17:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.287$  on our first day of grad school.

00:17:03.287 --> 00:17:04.544 (audience laughing)

00:17:04.544 --> 00:17:07.050 Some of us get jaded,

 $00{:}17{:}07{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}513$  others stay enthusiastic and passionate.

00:17:10.390 --> 00:17:12.970 And he's also a top-notch scientist

 $00:17:12.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.544$  and models impact of decisions and operation

 $00:17:17.544 \rightarrow 00:17:21.840$  instead of using quantitative techniques,

 $00:17:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.760$  which are really fascinating.

 $00:17:23.760 \rightarrow 00:17:27.930$  The third panelist is Nathan Grubaugh.

00:17:27.930 --> 00:17:30.430 He's also an assistant professor of epidemiology

00:17:30.430 --> 00:17:33.170 of microbial diseases at the Yale School of Public Health,

 $00:17:33.170 \rightarrow 00:17:35.610$  and he has done some very interesting work

 $00:17:35.610 \rightarrow 00:17:39.160$  on genetic epidemiology and has be co-curating

 $00:17:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.540$  with his colleagues these viral genomes

 $00:17:41.540 \rightarrow 00:17:46.150$  that have been posted or have been shared,

00:17:46.150 --> 00:17:49.610 and sort of creating this, if you will,

 $00:17:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.420$  the map of this genome as it evolves.

 $00{:}17{:}52{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55{.}020$  And this is real time public health

 $00:17:55.020 \rightarrow 00:17:59.752$  that takes advantage of important immediate

 $00{:}17{:}59{.}752$  -->  $00{:}18{:}04{.}660$  information sharing and brings it together for, hopefully,

 $00{:}18{:}05{.}650$  -->  $00{:}18{:}08{.}940$  decision-making and response to an emerging threat.

 $00:18:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.390$  Then, we have Lisa Sanders.

 $00:18:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.560$  Dr. Sanders is a clinical educator

 $00{:}18{:}12{.}560$  -->  $00{:}18{:}16{.}380$  in Internal Medicine and she's a primary care provider

 $00{:}18{:}16{.}380$  -->  $00{:}18{:}20{.}833$  and an Emmy Award-winning producer of CBS News,

 $00:18:20.833 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.490$  as well as an author.

 $00:18:22.490 \rightarrow 00:18:26.910$  And the other thing that I like, as a House fan,

 $00:18:26.910 \rightarrow 00:18:29.660$  she was one of the inspirations for House.

 $00:18:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.780$  Is that correct?

00:18:30.780 --> 00:18:33.820 - [Lisa] My column, nothing personal, I'm way nicer.

 $00:18:33.820 \rightarrow 00:18:34.653$  (audience laughing)

 $00{:}18{:}34{.}653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36{.}230$  - [Saad] Because I was trying to look for the resemblance

 $00:18:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.321$  with Hugh Laurie.

00:18:37.321 --> 00:18:38.994 - [Lisa] Sometimes, I win.

 $00:18:38.994 \rightarrow 00:18:41.920$  (audience laughing)

00:18:41.920 --> 00:18:46.670 - [Saad] And then, our last panelist is David Vlahov.

 $00:18:46.670 \rightarrow 00:18:48.967$  He's the PhD program director and professor

00:18:48.967 --> 00:18:50.360 at the Yale School of Nursing,

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}680$  and then he also has a joint appointment

 $00:18:53.680 \rightarrow 00:18:58.000$  with Epi here in the School of Public Health.

 $00{:}18{:}58{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00{.}960$  He was involved and he did some very fascinating work

 $00{:}19{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05{.}120$  in early 2000 when SARS broke and anthrax happened

 $00:19:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.880$  on the response of healthcare providers

 $00:19:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.400$  or the public health workforce,

00:19:09.400 --> 00:19:11.410 including school nurses, et cetera,

 $00:19:11.410 \rightarrow 00:19:14.756$  who can be the tip of the spear of a mass response,

 $00:19:14.756 \rightarrow 00:19:17.700$  and was involved from that perspective.

00:19:17.700 --> 00:19:20.010 But also, as a professor of nursing,

00:19:20.010 --> 00:19:23.320 has thought about and would provide his expertise

 $00:19:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.240$  on some of the healthcare

00:19:25.240 --> 00:19:27.700 and workforce decisions, et cetera.

 $00{:}19{:}27{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29{.}960$  There are a couple of people who are in the audience

 $00:19:29.960 \rightarrow 00:19:33.400$  who are not official panelists,

 $00:19:33.400 \dashrightarrow 00:19:35.793$  but I may sort of put them on the spot.

 $00{:}19{:}36{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39{.}470$  One is Dr. Paul Genecin.

00:19:39.470 --> 00:19:41.020 He's the director of Yale Health.

 $00:19:41.020 \rightarrow 00:19:42.810$  So if there are any questions that come

 $00:19:42.810 \rightarrow 00:19:45.800$  from that perspective, I will point to you.

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50{.}070$  And Albert Ko, who's an overall smart person,

 $00:19:50.070 \rightarrow 00:19:50.903$  (audience laughing)

 $00:19:50.903 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.160$  but also, in all of it,

00:19:53.160 --> 00:19:56.090 has long-standing links with Chinese colleagues.

00:19:56.090 --> 00:19:58.990 But, equally importantly, he's involved

00:19:58.990 --> 00:20:03.990 with a WHO working group developing interventions

00:20:04.130 --> 00:20:07.021 and evaluating interventions, more importantly,

 $00:20:07.021 \rightarrow 00:20:09.240$  developing a common protocol so that we are not

 $00{:}20{:}10.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.746$  have a different playbook for developing countermeasures

 $00:20:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.163$  against this outbreak.

 $00{:}20{:}19{.}435{\,--}{>}\,00{:}20{:}23{.}200$  So with that, I'll switch to the question and answer phase

 $00{:}20{:}23{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24{.}033$  of this forum.

00:20:29.890 --> 00:20:34.720 So my first question will be from Nate,

00:20:36.617 --> 00:20:38.530 "So where did this virus come from?"

 $00:20:38.530 \rightarrow 00:20:41.790$  And I think our best bet to figure this out

 $00:20:41.790 \rightarrow 00:20:44.423$  is not to send Hugh Laurie and investigate,

 $00{:}20{:}46{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49{.}800$  but to look at the genetic data and look at other viruses,

 $00:20:49.800 \rightarrow 00:20:52.930$  et cetera, that could tell something about that.

 $00:20:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.460$  Could you elaborate a little bit on that.

00:20:54.460 --> 00:20:55.839 - [Nate] Yeah, sure, thank you.

00:20:55.839 --> 00:20:57.480 First I would just like to say that it's really great

 $00:20:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.570$  to see so many students in the audience,

 $00:20:59.570 \rightarrow 00:21:00.900$  so many people that are interested

 $00:21:00.900 \rightarrow 00:21:03.440$  from a lot of different backgrounds.

 $00:21:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.460$  So the question really gets at something

 $00:21:06.460 \rightarrow 00:21:08.210$  that I'm very interested in with outbreaks

 $00:21:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.640$  and that is misinformation.

 $00:21:10.640 \rightarrow 00:21:13.660$  So, if any of you are on Twitter

00:21:13.660 --> 00:21:15.300 or are reading some columns,

 $00{:}21{:}15{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17{.}970$  maybe you see a lot of misinformation about the origins

 $00:21:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.430$  of this outbreak.

 $00{:}21{:}19{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22{.}560$  For a second telling you this is not a deliberate release

 $00:21:22.560 \rightarrow 00:21:24.090$  from a laboratory.

 $00:21:24.090 \rightarrow 00:21:27.832$  Some of the evidence that people present for that

 $00{:}21{:}27.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.610$  is a paper that did some, I'm gonna say, "shoddy" analysis,

 $00{:}21{:}31{.}610$  -->  $00{:}21{:}35{.}580$  to say that there is elements within the coronavirus genome

00:21:35.580 --> 00:21:38.680 that had an, "uncanny resemblance to HIV."

 $00{:}21{:}38.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.410$  And therefore it was man-made and released from a lab

 $00{:}21{:}42{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46{.}710$  that they say there's a high-containment virology lab

 $00:21:46.710 \rightarrow 00:21:48.570$  in Wuhan which is actually perfect

 $00:21:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.780$  for being able to respond to these events

 $00{:}21{:}50{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54{.}130$  but then people are suggesting that the virus was man made

 $00:21:54.130 \rightarrow 00:21:55.150$  and came from this lab.

 $00:21:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.200$  That is absolutely not true,

 $00:21:56.200 \rightarrow 00:21:58.304$  there is no evidence to actually say that,

 $00:21:58.304 \rightarrow 00:22:00.040$  and the analysis was faulty.

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}540$  Where this actually came from is like with Saad's slide,

 $00:22:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.770$  is the group of viruses

 $00:22:04.770 \rightarrow 00:22:07.490$  that this virus belongs to are beta-corona viruses.

 $00:22:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.463$  And they're ancient origins are in bats,

 $00{:}22{:}09{.}463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12{.}500$  there's some 200 different known species

00:22:12.500 --> 00:22:14.410 of beta-corona viruses in bats,

 $00{:}22{:}14{.}410$  -->  $00{:}22{:}19{.}410$  and from what we know there are seven of these viruses

 $00:22:19.530 \rightarrow 00:22:21.510$  that have spilled over into human populations

 $00{:}22{:}21{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}000$  that caused outbreaks.

 $00:22:23.000 \rightarrow 00:22:25.230$  Four of 'em cause common cold,

 $00:22:25.230 \rightarrow 00:22:26.950$  they're right here in New Haven.

00:22:26.950 --> 00:22:29.060 One of 'em is SARS, one of 'em is MERS,

 $00:22:29.060 \rightarrow 00:22:31.600$  and one of 'em is now this novel coronavirus.

 $00:22:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.860$  So the question really is then,

 $00:22:33.860 \rightarrow 00:22:36.220$  looking at these genomes and looking at this data

 $00:22:36.220 \rightarrow 00:22:39.840$  of when and where did this happen?

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}470$  So the when part of it, if we look at all the genetic data

 $00:22:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.340$  that we have, we can estimate that the origins

 $00{:}22{:}45{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48{.}530$  of the outbreak was about early December,

00:22:48.530 --> 00:22:50.405 maybe late November.

 $00:22:50.405 \rightarrow 00:22:52.870$  And there are some questions about whether

 $00{:}22{:}52{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56{.}760$  this came directly from a live market that was in Wuhan,

 $00:22:56.760 \rightarrow 00:23:00.160$  it's sort of uncertain if that is actually the case,

 $00{:}23{:}00{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03{.}130$  the most epidemiological evidence would suggest that.

 $00:23:03.130 \rightarrow 00:23:05.880$  But it certainly came from a mammal of sort,

 $00:23:05.880 \rightarrow 00:23:08.559$  so beta-corona viruses infect mammals

 $00{:}23{:}08{.}559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10{.}950$  and this gets into another point of misinformation out there

00:23:10.950 - 00:23:12.710 that maybe this was a snake virus,

 $00:23:12.710 \rightarrow 00:23:15.020$  or maybe this actually spilled over from fish.

00:23:15.020 --> 00:23:16.970 We don't know of any of these viruses that have ever

 $00:23:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.810$  infected anything other than mammals.

 $00:23:19.810 \rightarrow 00:23:22.500$  So what exactly that intermediate host was,

 $00:23:22.500 \rightarrow 00:23:24.180$  if there was an intermediate host,

 $00{:}23{:}24{.}180$  -->  $00{:}23{:}27{.}540$  before we had a human outbreak is sort of unknown.

 $00:23:28.790 \dashrightarrow 00:23:31.680$  - [Saad] So that brings me to the question about  $00:23:31.680 \dashrightarrow 00:23:36.680$  the viral pathogenesis, so Ellen, do you mind elaborating

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}768$  a little bit on that part of how the virus effects our cells

 $00:23:41.906 \rightarrow 00:23:44.060$  and us as humans?

00:23:44.060 --> 00:23:45.600 - [Ellen] Yeah, sure.

00:23:45.600 --> 00:23:47.239 Hello everyone, it's great to be here.

00:23:47.239 --> 00:23:51.710 So my life study's respiratory viruses

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}710$  -->  $00{:}23{:}55{.}862$  and there's a lot of those as we were all familiar with

 $00{:}23{:}55{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}700$  the common cold, the flu, and these virus es that we get,

 $00:23:58.700 \rightarrow 00:23:59.990$  year after year.

 $00{:}23{:}59{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}090$  And so I thought I'd talk about this virus

 $00:24:02.090 \rightarrow 00:24:04.680$  in the context of that, what are similarities

 $00:24:04.680 \rightarrow 00:24:06.270$  and what are some differences?

00:24:06.270 --> 00:24:08.530 So as many of you probably know,

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13{.}230$  the way a virus causes illness is it is able to enter a cell

00:24:13.230 --> 00:24:16.130 or several cells of your body and hijack those cells

 $00:24:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.920$  and basically turn those cells into factories

 $00{:}24{:}17{.}920$  -->  $00{:}24{:}21{.}693$  for making more virus, which can be damaging to the cells.

 $00{:}24{:}21.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.950$  But then your immune system realizes that's happening

 $00:24:25.950 \rightarrow 00:24:28.870$  and comes to that area of the body to fight it,

 $00:24:28.870 \rightarrow 00:24:30.610$  fight the virus and get rid of it.

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}330$  And wherever that battle is going on

 $00:24:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.410$  is where you get the symptoms.

 $00:24:33.410 \rightarrow 00:24:35.680$  So if you get the common cold virus in your nose,

 $00:24:35.680 \rightarrow 00:24:37.490$  the immune system's fighting it in your nose,

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}760$  you get the symptoms of the runny nose and so forth.

00:24:40.760 - 00:24:42.420 If that battle's going on in the lungs,

 $00:24:42.420 \rightarrow 00:24:44.074$  then you're going to get lung symptoms,

 $00:24:44.074 \rightarrow 00:24:46.240$  breathing problems and whatnot,

 $00{:}24{:}46{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48{.}690$  the things we associate with pneumonia.

 $00:24:48.690 \rightarrow 00:24:51.550$  So this virus can do both of those things.

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}713$  It can effect the nose or it can effect the lungs or both.

 $00{:}24{:}56{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}337$  So you might ask, "Well, why are we more concerned

 $00:24:59.337 \rightarrow 00:25:02.346$  "about this, we get these viruses every year,

 $00:25:02.346 \rightarrow 00:25:04.616$  "they're going on in New Haven right now.

 $00{:}25{:}04.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.197$  "We've got lots of other respiratory viruses."

 $00:25:07.197 \rightarrow 00:25:10.900$  And the main thing is, is the fact that it's new

 $00:25:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.770$  to the human population.

00:25:12.770 --> 00:25:15.880 So as I'm sure many of you are also familiar with,

 $00:25:15.880 \rightarrow 00:25:18.689$  is the idea that when our body fights a virus

00:25:18.689 --> 00:25:21.270 there's a memory immune response that's formed,

 $00:25:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.240$  that makes it so if we see a virus,

 $00:25:23.240 \rightarrow 00:25:25.580$  that virus or a similar virus again,

 $00:25:25.580 \rightarrow 00:25:27.560$  our body is much better at blocking it

 $00:25:27.560 \rightarrow 00:25:29.460$  before it even gets into cells.

 $00:25:29.460 \rightarrow 00:25:33.130$  So that's always the concern about a new virus

 $00:25:33.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.270$  is that none of us have

00:25:35.270 --> 00:25:38.590 that pre-existing immune defense up and going.

 $00{:}25{:}38{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41{.}440$  And that makes it potentially easier for the virus to spread

 $00{:}25{:}41{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44{.}680$  from person-to-person and also if it gets into your body,

 $00:25:44.680 \rightarrow 00:25:46.680$  you don't have that first line of defense

00:25:46.680 - 00:25:48.590 that could maybe prevent disease

 $00:25:48.590 \rightarrow 00:25:50.840$  as well as if you had seen the virus before.

00:25:50.840 --> 00:25:52.690 And that's why, like a new virus,

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}690$  is always a cause to be a lert, it's a cause to be vigilant.

00:25:57.776 --> 00:25:59.090 Just because it's new,

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}090$  -->  $00{:}26{:}02{.}760$  doesn't mean it's worse than other viruses that we know,

 $00{:}26{:}02{.}760$  -->  $00{:}26{:}06{.}170$  that we're familiar with but it means there's a potential

 $00{:}26{:}06{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08{.}170$  and that's why there's a reason

 $00:26:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.070$  for the heightened vigilance about it.

00:26:11.276 --> 00:26:13.680 - [Saad] That's a very important point to remember,

00:26:13.680 --> 00:26:18.110 that just because it's new, doesn't necessarily mean

 $00{:}26{:}19.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.785$  it's worse, unless it's a disaster movie.

 $00:26:21.785 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.753$  (audience laughs)

00:26:23.753 --> 00:26:24.586 You get that, it's not-

00:26:24.586 --> 00:26:25.419 - [Ellen] Yeah, we just don't know

 $00:26:25.419 \rightarrow 00:26:26.657$  a lot of those things yet.

00:26:27.514 --> 00:26:28.540 - [Saad] Yeah, exactly.

00:26:28.540 --> 00:26:31.960 And so Lisa, you're practically a doc

 $00{:}26{:}31{.}960$  -->  $00{:}26{:}35{.}790$  and tell us a little bit about what preventive measures

 $00{:}26{:}35{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40{.}790$  we can take now and perhaps if the outbreak expands

 $00:26:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.770$  in the community.

00:26:43.770 --> 00:26:47.268 - [Lisa] Well, it seems now, we all know what to do,

00:26:47.268 --> 00:26:52.020 hand-washing and coughing into your elbow

 $00:26:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.930$  and things like that.

 $00:26:53.930 \rightarrow 00:26:58.930$  Not probably getting too close to people

00:26:59.050 --> 00:27:03.130 who have obvious infections, giving them their space,

 $00:27:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.350$  there's probably pass-through fomites

 $00:27:06.350 \rightarrow 00:27:10.597$  or other kinds of respiratory-borne particles.

00:27:11.820 --> 00:27:14.930 So I don't think there's anything particularly wild

 $00:27:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.697$  that we can do, I'm not sure that,

 $00:27:16.697 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.020$  certainly if you had a cold

 $00:27:19.020 \rightarrow 00:27:21.100$  perhaps it might help if you wore a mask.

00:27:21.100 --> 00:27:23.670 But certainly there's no evidence that we aring a mask

 $00{:}27{:}23.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.180$  is going to keep you from getting it.

 $00{:}27{:}26.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.970$  Nor does there seem like there're very many people who

 $00:27:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.370$  have it now to get it from.

 $00{:}27{:}31{.}370$  -->  $00{:}27{:}36{.}190$  So I think having ordinary levels of precaution makes sense.

00:27:36.190 --> 00:27:38.080 I mean, I assure you,

 $00:27:38.080 \rightarrow 00:27:41.090$  most people don't wash their hands nearly enough.

 $00{:}27{:}41.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.940$  So if people just was hed their hands just a little bit more,

 $00:27:43.940 \rightarrow 00:27:45.883$  it would probably go a long way.

00:27:46.760 --> 00:27:48.420 - [Saad] Yeah, that's certainly aligned with

00:27:48.420 --> 00:27:51.536 CDC recommendations and specifically,

 $00:27:51.536 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.369$  at least at this point,

 $00:27:52.369 \rightarrow 00:27:55.680$  CDC doesn't recommend wearing face masks.

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}680$  -->  $00{:}27{:}59{.}660$  It's probably perhaps one of the reasons people wear them

 $00{:}27{:}59.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.130$  is for self-efficacy, they want to feel in charge.

 $00{:}28{:}04{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06{.}500$  It's a situation of helplessness,

 $00:28:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.070$  when there is a lot of uncertainty.

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}070$  -->  $00{:}28{:}12{.}150$  So perhaps those of us who, there's a few who work

 $00:28:12.150 \rightarrow 00:28:14.180$  on health behavior and communications,

 $00:28:14.180 \rightarrow 00:28:16.380$  perhaps we should have a message of self-efficacy

00:28:16.380 --> 00:28:17.490 in the form of saying, "You can wash hands."

 $00:28:17.490 \rightarrow 00:28:21.130$  Which is not going to take care of everything,

 $00:28:21.130 \rightarrow 00:28:24.570$  you can practice some level of social distancing

 $00:28:24.570 \rightarrow 00:28:27.810$  without being paranoid about this,

 $00:28:27.810 \rightarrow 00:28:29.620$  especially when you have someone infected,

 $00{:}28{:}29{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32{.}400$  social distancing doesn't mean that start discriminating

 $00{:}28{:}32{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36{.}841$  against people willy-nilly, it means if you have someone,

 $00:28:36.841 \rightarrow 00:28:38.950$  if you are specifically in that kind of a situation,

 $00{:}28{:}38{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}530$  you take some of these precautions.

 $00{:}28{:}41{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45{.}780$  And also, the original prevention to public health response

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}625$  David, do you have any thoughts in terms of the response

 $00:28:49.625 \rightarrow 00:28:52.610$  at the mass level and some of the things

 $00{:}28{:}52.610$  -->  $00{:}28{:}55.773$  that you were involved with earlier on,

 $00:28:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.230$  in previous similar outbreaks?

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}680$  And the second part of that question

 $00:29:00.680 \rightarrow 00:29:03.268$  of some of the things that have been employed

 $00{:}29{:}03.268$  -->  $00{:}29{:}06.060$  by various countries, including China, including the US,

 $00{:}29{:}06.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07.210$  and some of the others.

00:29:08.362 --> 00:29:09.195 - [Assistant] Here you go, sir.

00:29:09.195 --> 00:29:10.497 - [David] Oh, okay (laughs).

 $00:29:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.193$  Thank you for the question.

 $00:29:16.160 \rightarrow 00:29:19.850$  In terms of what's going on in China,

00:29:19.850 --> 00:29:24.850 there's quite a bit of discussion about whether quarantine

 $00:29:26.560 \rightarrow 00:29:30.040$  makes things better or makes things worse.

 $00:29:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.240$  And the idea of having people

 $00:29:33.240 \rightarrow 00:29:35.610$  that are separated and protected,

00:29:35.610 --> 00:29:38.830 seems like it would be a good idea,

00:29:38.830 --> 00:29:41.730 but it also has a stigmatizing effect

 $00{:}29{:}42.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.624$  where people can under report, go underground, if you will.

 $00:29:48.840 \rightarrow 00:29:51.830$  And if we take the example of Ebola,

 $00:29:51.830 \rightarrow 00:29:53.630$  which again's a very different,

 $00:29:53.630 \rightarrow 00:29:57.750$  it's an analogy that doesn't work at a lot of levels,

 $00:29:57.750 \rightarrow 00:30:02.320$  but again just that stigma of being confined

 $00:30:04.000 \rightarrow 00:30:09.000$  and not trusting in a particular environment,

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}270$  -->  $00{:}30{:}14{.}270$  there's a lot of discomfort and anger and acting out

 $00:30:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.343$  that can happen with that.

 $00:30:20.852 \rightarrow 00:30:24.743$  So what's the process that can be a middle ground.

 $00:30:26.639 \dashrightarrow 00:30:29.737$  And the approach that I think seems better,

 $00{:}30{:}31{.}800$  -->  $00{:}30{:}35{.}140$  although you have to look at what is the local situation,

 $00:30:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.140$  what are cultural considerations that go with that,

 $00:30:40.740 \rightarrow 00:30:44.700$  are to be able to have a conversation with people,

 $00{:}30{:}44{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49{.}700$  in terms of what is your likelihood of having been exposed

 $00:30:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.030$  given what we know

 $00{:}30{:}52{.}030$  -->  $00{:}30{:}57{.}030$  and taking that person to have the individual responsibility

 $00:30:57.340 \rightarrow 00:31:01.350$  for staying at home, for example, right?

 $00:31:01.350 \rightarrow 00:31:04.702$  Secluding oneself for a period of time,

 $00{:}31{:}04.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.510$  that's a social contract that happens,

 $00{:}31{:}08{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12{.}943$  and for many people that seems very reasonable

 $00:31:12.943 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.920$  and there're going to be others

 $00{:}31{:}14{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19{.}920$  that may need a little bit more assistance in that area.

 $00{:}31{:}21{.}260$  -->  $00{:}31{:}25{.}473$  So I think that's one of the larger issues that comes up

00:31:25.473 - 00:31:28.010 and has certainly been in the news,

 $00:31:28.010 \rightarrow 00:31:33.010$  is quarantine or cordon sanitaire, right?

 $00{:}31{:}33{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37{.}660$  What are the different levels of protection one can have?

 $00:31:37.660 \rightarrow 00:31:41.620$  Now another part of the question is

 $00:31:41.620 \rightarrow 00:31:44.073$  what is a public health response?

 $00{:}31{:}45{.}544$ --> $00{:}31{:}50{.}544$  And Robin Gershon and Chris Korechi were doing a study

00:31:50.870 --> 00:31:54.710 of nurse preparedness in New York City

 $00:31:56.349 \rightarrow 00:32:00.170$  and found that if there was some sort of disaster

00:32:00.170 --> 00:32:03.490 that was about to happen, what barrier,

 $00:32:03.490 \rightarrow 00:32:06.270$  how many of you would have at least one barrier,

 $00{:}32{:}06{.}270$  -->  $00{:}32{:}09{.}450$  that would stop you from showing up to work, whatever?

00:32:09.450 --> 00:32:14.360 So turns out it was 90%, like a childcare,

 $00{:}32{:}14{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18{.}763$  all those different issues and then, it was not by design,

 $00{:}32{:}19{.}807 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23{.}350$  but the Anthrax, hit New York City and they followed up

 $00:32:23.350 \rightarrow 00:32:25.740$  and they found out that every single person,

 $00{:}32{:}25{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28{.}180$  every single one of the nurses, showed up to work,

00:32:28.180 --> 00:32:32.210 and did what their job was, right?

 $00{:}32{:}32{.}210$  -->  $00{:}32{:}37{.}210$  So part of it is recognizing that people will rise up

 $00:32:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.810$  to what that challenge is,

 $00:32:39.810 \rightarrow 00:32:43.360$  what their professional responsibilities are,

 $00{:}32{:}43{.}360$  -->  $00{:}32{:}48{.}360$  and part of that also is having the education and support

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51{.}130$  to be able to do that.

00:32:51.130 --> 00:32:55.119 So I'll pause, 'cause I could keep going, I'll pause.

 $00:32:55.119 \rightarrow 00:32:55.952$  (audience laughs)

00:32:55.952 --> 00:32:58.240 - [Saad] Yeah. No, so very insightful.

 $00{:}32{:}58{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00{.}310$  So you mentioned quarantine

 $00:33:00.310 \dashrightarrow 00:33:02.207$  and Gregg I want to sort of switch to you,

 $00:33:02.207 \rightarrow 00:33:04.250$  there was some really interesting work,

 $00{:}33{:}04{.}250$  -->  $00{:}33{:}08{.}020$  I wasn't here in New Haven in the area at that time,

 $00{:}33{:}08{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11{.}540$  but there was Ebola-related quarantine, as I understand,

 $00{:}33{:}11{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15{.}162$  in the area and even as an outsider,

 $00:33:15.162 \rightarrow 00:33:17.870$  as someone who looks at these issues,

 $00{:}33{:}17.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.607$  I found Yale Law School's and some of the people who were

00:33:23.134 --> 00:33:24.240 involved in the Global Health Justice Partnership,

 $00:33:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.730$  collaborated with the ACLU,

 $00:33:25.730 \rightarrow 00:33:28.760$  on a report that came out of that experience.

00:33:28.760 --> 00:33:32.529 Which is a very, very helpful, very pragmatic tool,

 $00{:}33{:}32{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36{.}410$  that a lot of public health practitioners

 $00{:}33{:}36{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}243$  should pay attention to.

 $00:33:37.243 \rightarrow 00:33:40.100$  Could you elaborate, in terms of, if we quarantine

 $00{:}33{:}40{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43{.}450$  or whatever the parameters of quarantine should be?

 $00:33:43.450 \rightarrow 00:33:46.230$  And if we do that, how should that look like?

00:33:46.230 --> 00:33:50.353 - [Gregg] So if you were here in 2014, 2015,

 $00:33:52.080 \rightarrow 00:33:55.270$  in wake of the Ebola epidemic in West Africa,

 $00:33:55.270 \rightarrow 00:33:57.840$  several governors across the country,

00:33:57.840 --> 00:34:01.079 decided to quarantine individuals returning from,

00:34:01.079 --> 00:34:06.079 West Africa healthcare workers, in absence of symptoms,

 $00:34:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.400$  confine them under quarantine.

00:34:08.400 --> 00:34:09.620 Including two Yale students,

 $00:34:09.620 \rightarrow 00:34:12.130$  who were not infected with Ebola,

 $00{:}34{:}12{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15{.}489$  and including a West African family from Westhaven,

00:34:15.489 - 00:34:17.430 who were not infected with Ebola,

 $00:34:17.430 \rightarrow 00:34:19.810$  this was done by former Governor Dan Malloy.

 $00:34:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.113$  We're still in a lawsuit,

 $00{:}34{:}22.796$  -->  $00{:}34{:}26.580$  the law school's immigration clinic is partnering with us

 $00{:}34{:}26{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27{.}990$  in a suit against the State of Connecticut

 $00:34:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.370$  against these quarantines,

00:34:29.370 -> 00:34:33.110 but we wrote a paper with the ACLU

 $00:34:33.110 \rightarrow 00:34:34.680$  and Doctors Without Borders that talked about

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}550$  what would happen, the epidemiological and the legal

 $00:34:38.550 \dashrightarrow 00:34:42.180$  implications of the Ebola quarantine on healthcare workers

 $00:34:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.360$  in the wake of the Ebola epidemic.

 $00{:}34{:}44{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46{.}910$  And Dan Bausch who was one of our evening speakers

 $00{:}34{:}47{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49{.}524$  two weeks ago was one of the scientific advisors on that

 $00:34:49.524 \rightarrow 00:34:50.859$  (murmurs) illness and helped out.

 $00:34:50.859 \rightarrow 00:34:54.040$  You can see the report on the GHJP website

 $00:34:54.040 \rightarrow 00:34:56.530$  at the Yale Law School, but the back of the report

 $00{:}34{:}56{.}530$  -->  $00{:}34{:}59{.}890$  has recommendations about what happens next time?

 $00:34:59.890 \rightarrow 00:35:01.240$  Guess what, it's next time.

 $00:35:02.150 \rightarrow 00:35:03.820$  A couple of things to remember,

00:35:03.820 --> 00:35:06.990 one is to use the least restrictive measures possible,

 $00:35:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.470$  so not to overreact.

 $00{:}35{:}08{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11{.}350$  So in the case of the Ebola epidemic the quarantines

 $00:35:11.350 \rightarrow 00:35:13.680$  were absolutely unnecessary, unjustified.

 $00{:}35{:}13.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.210$  As David is saying, there may be self-isolation

 $00:35:17.210 \rightarrow 00:35:19.010$  and staying at home if you feel sick

 $00:35:20.850 \rightarrow 00:35:23.780$  or quarantined if necessary, but really to use

 $00{:}35{:}23.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.790$  the least restrictive measures for a start,

 $00{:}35{:}27{.}790$  -->  $00{:}35{:}31{.}000$  rather than sort of going full-steam ahead for quarantines.

00:35:31.000 --> 00:35:34.020 The other thing is to ensure robust procedural protections.

 $00:35:34.020 \rightarrow 00:35:36.899$  You have rights, under the US constitution,

 $00:35:36.899 \rightarrow 00:35:39.550$  to bodily autonomy and due process.

 $00:35:39.550 \rightarrow 00:35:42.897$  So when our students were put into quarantine,

 $00{:}35{:}42.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47.897$  we could appeal their cases immediately to the courts,

 $00{:}35{:}48{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51{.}802$  but it was a 14 day quarantine and we ended up saying,

 $00{:}35{:}51{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55{.}720$  afterwards because the time-period was too short.

 $00{:}35{:}55{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57{.}980$  But you do have robust- you do have rights

 $00{:}35{:}57{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}540$  under the constitution to due process.

00:36:00.540 --> 00:36:04.757 Kaci Hickox was a nurse, with MSF, who came back to the US

 $00:36:04.757 \rightarrow 00:36:06.640$  and was quarantined by Governor Christie,

00:36:06.640 --> 00:36:09.610 a republican in New Jersey,

00:36:09.610 --> 00:36:12.010 her quarantine was overturned by a judge in Maine,

00:36:12.010 --> 00:36:14.100 who said it was epidemiologically unjustified.

 $00{:}36{:}14.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.780$  So in one case the law worked out.

 $00{:}36{:}16{.}780$  -->  $00{:}36{:}21{.}550$  The other thing is ensure humane conditions of confinement.

 $00{:}36{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.120$  Now I saw on the news today that China is thinking about

 $00:36:25.120 \rightarrow 00:36:28.920$  quarantining or taking all the infected people,

 $00:36:28.920 \rightarrow 00:36:30.060$  in Wuhan and other places,

 $00:36:30.060 \rightarrow 00:36:32.813$  and putting them into quarantine camps.

 $00:36:34.850 \rightarrow 00:36:36.610$  What are the conditions going to be like for them?

 $00:36:36.610 \rightarrow 00:36:38.400$  Are they gonna get adequate health care?

 $00:36:38.400 \rightarrow 00:36:40.703$  Is there gonna be adequate infection control?

 $00{:}36{:}41.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.210$  We're thinking about the risks

 $00:36:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.330$  to us here in the United States,

 $00:36:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.150$  but think of the thousands of Chinese patients

 $00:36:47.150 \rightarrow 00:36:51.553$  with coronavirus now whose health status

 $00:36:51.553 \rightarrow 00:36:54.690$  is going to be put into precarious position

 $00:36:54.690 \rightarrow 00:36:56.480$  if they are isolated in these facilities

 $00{:}36{:}56{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}490$  that we have no idea of who's overseeing their quality

 $00:37:00.490 \dashrightarrow 00:37:04.600$  and their ability to prevent onward transmission

 $00:37:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.593$  from these sites.

 $00:37:06.443 \rightarrow 00:37:07.276$  So there's lots of things we can do,

 $00{:}37{:}07{.}276$  -->  $00{:}37{:}08{.}300$  I'm not gonna go through all the recommendations,

 $00:37:08.300 \rightarrow 00:37:12.220$  but follow the science, as Saad said.

 $00:37:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.363$  Follow the evidence.

 $00{:}37{:}14.580$  -->  $00{:}37{:}17.280$  If you hear the words, "abundance of caution," beware,

00:37:19.191 --> 00:37:22.749 because it means, "Dam<br/>n the evidence and we're gonna do

 $00:37:22.749 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.582$  "what we want to do."

 $00:37:23.582 \rightarrow 00:37:25.270$  And that's what Governor Daniel Malloy,

 $00{:}37{:}25{.}270$  -->  $00{:}37{:}27{.}520$  Governor Chris<br/> Christie, and Governor Andrew Cuomo did

00:37:27.520 --> 00:37:31.491 in 2014, 2015, which was bi-partisan stupidity.

 $00:37:31.491 \rightarrow 00:37:33.420$  (audience laughs)

00:37:33.420 --> 00:37:38.111 - [Saad] On that note of bi-partisan Kumbaya, I guess

00:37:38.111 -> 00:37:40.167 (audience laughs)

 $00:37{:}40.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.000$  So I want to switch to a lot of those decisions were made

 $00{:}37{:}43.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.670$  in a communications environment, in a public,

 $00:37:44.670 \rightarrow 00:37:49.670$  in the view of an interesting, to say the least,

00:37:50.009 - 00:37:51.830 public discourse.

 $00{:}37{:}51{.}830$  -->  $00{:}37{:}55{.}200$  So, Lisa, as someone who has been involved, as an author,

 $00:37:55.200 \rightarrow 00:37:58.500$  as a producer, obviously as a physician,

00:37:58.500 --> 00:38:01.507 on top of all of this, what do you think,

 $00:38{:}01.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.193$  what is your initial impression of what is happening now?

00:38:06.500 -> 00:38:07.770 What are some of the nuances,

 $00:38:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.455$  what are some of the adequacies,

 $00:38:09.455 \rightarrow 00:38:12.460$  what are the things that we should have learned

 $00:38:12.460 \dashrightarrow 00:38:14.460$  from previously that we could do better?

00:38:16.050 --> 00:38:18.488 - [Lisa] Well, if you, I don't know how accurate

 $00:38:18.488 \rightarrow 00:38:21.720$  a representation of the country Twitter is,

 $00:38:21.720 \rightarrow 00:38:23.870$  but you don't have to look very deep in Twitter

 $00{:}38{:}23.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.870$  to start seeing real crazy about this proliferate.

 $00{:}38{:}31{.}180$  -->  $00{:}38{:}36{.}180$  And to some degree I think that's completely natural

 $00{:}38{:}37{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41{.}087$  because of the disconnect between the messaging that we have

 $00{:}38{:}41.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.317$  "You're much more at risk of the flu, just wash your hands,

00:38:45.317 --> 00:38:47.950 "don't worry about it, it's going to be okay."

 $00:38:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.282$  Versus closing the country off

 $00{:}38{:}50{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}717$  to people from different countries, who've been to China,

 $00{:}38{:}54{.}717$  -->  $00{:}38{:}59{.}717$  imposing quarantine, sending people to concentration camps

 $00:39:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.770$  when they're diseased.

 $00:39:03.770 \rightarrow 00:39:08.320$  I mean, that suggests a level of concern,

 $00:39{:}08.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.130$  that doesn't really match what we're told to do, right?

 $00:39:12.130 \rightarrow 00:39:15.660$  So we're told to calm down and yet everybody

 $00:39:15.660 \rightarrow 00:39:19.320$  in the government seems to be extremely excited.

 $00{:}39{:}19{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22{.}360$  And nobody's really trying to make that connection

 $00:39:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.770$  and when you have big gaps like that,

 $00:39:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.000$  it's inevitable that crazy creeps in

 $00:39:29.120 \dashrightarrow 00:39:33.120$  because people are worried and that's how people express it.

00:39:33.120 --> 00:39:36.884 I think that we need to acknowledge that we have to

 $00:39:36.884 \rightarrow 00:39:41.410$  try to make sure that nothing bad happens,

 $00:39:41.410 \rightarrow 00:39:45.750$  while saying the risk right now seems limited,

 $00{:}39{:}45{.}750$  -->  $00{:}39{:}47{.}940$  and acknowledge that we don't know what the future holds.

 $00:39:47.940 \rightarrow 00:39:50.650$  I mean, I think that those are the reasonable steps.

 $00:39{:}50.650$  -->  $00{:}39{:}55.430$  But this kind of "pooh-poohing" concern, of course,

00:39:55.430 --> 00:39:59.002 makes everybody crazy and really worried

00:39:59.002 --> 00:40:01.143 and I think it's completely natural.

 $00{:}40{:}02{.}612$  -->  $00{:}40{:}07{.}612$  As journalists, of course, we need the snappy headline,

 $00{:}40{:}08{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11{.}270$  it's essential, I mean, maybe the New York Times

00:40:11.270 --> 00:40:13.212 doesn't need a snappy headline,

 $00{:}40{:}13.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.300$  although I think they have been tempted

 $00{:}40{:}15{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19{.}160$  by that once or twice, but certainly other publications

 $00:40:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.780$  need that, television needs that.

 $00{:}40{:}21.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.700$  I mean, people are, this is a competitive environment.

 $00:40:24.700 \rightarrow 00:40:27.200$  So some of that is understandable,

 $00:40:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.530$  I don't know that it's forgivable.

 $00:40:29.530 \rightarrow 00:40:33.320$  But as public health people, we have to step in

 $00{:}40{:}33{.}320$  -->  $00{:}40{:}38{.}320$  and try to make it make sense to the people around us.

 $00{:}40{:}38.720$  -->  $00{:}40{:}41.610$  We can't depend on the media necessarily to do it.

 $00{:}40{:}41.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.610$  - [Saad] So the frontline of this response, in this country,

 $00{:}40{:}47{.}040$  -->  $00{:}40{:}50{.}790$  because of the way certain powers are given to the state

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}790$  -->  $00{:}40{:}54{.}610$  and local health departments, a lot of people don't realize

 $00:40:54.610 \rightarrow 00:40:58.380$  that yes, CDC provides technical guidance,

 $00:40:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.520$  but actual action, in terms of outbreak prevention

 $00:41:01.520 \rightarrow 00:41:03.760$  and control, on the ground happens

 $00:41:03.760 \rightarrow 00:41:06.443$  at the state and local health departments.

 $00{:}41{:}06{.}443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10{.}240$  Over the past 20 years, there has been a lot of investment.

00:41:10.240 --> 00:41:14.373 The investment in terms of resources have stalled.

 $00{:}41{:}16.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.180$  Should we be reassured, in one way, by the head-start

 $00{:}41{:}22{.}480$  -->  $00{:}41{:}27{.}069$  we have had, since SARS and Anthrax and the 2009 epidemic

00:41:27.069 --> 00:41:32.069 and/or should we be concerned because of the cuts

 $00{:}41{:}32.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.870$  that the public health system has seen over the last,

 $00:41:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.690$  at least, six, seven years?

 $00:41:38.690 \rightarrow 00:41:40.240$  So any thoughts on that, David?

 $00{:}41{:}42{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46{.}473$  - [David] I'm not sure except, how best to start on that.

 $00{:}41{:}49{.}586$  -->  $00{:}41{:}52{.}960$  You know it's a crisis like this that can be a stimulus

 $00:41:54.672 \rightarrow 00:41:57.192$  to get the public health funding.

 $00:41:57.192 \rightarrow 00:42:00.060$  I mean, we certainly saw that in earlier crises,

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05{.}060$  it may be delayed, but I think there's a opportunity here

 $00{:}42{:}06{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09{.}702$  to say we've gotta take the public health preparedness

 $00:42:09.702 \rightarrow 00:42:14.702$  very seriously and to generate the resources

 $00:42:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.790$  to be able to respond to this.

00:42:16.790 --> 00:42:19.458 - [Saad] But isn't that, usually vanished after,

 $00{:}42{:}19{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23{.}200$  sort of we get this bolus, this sugar rush of investment

 $00:42:23.200 \rightarrow 00:42:25.520$  in global health and then we have

 $00{:}42{:}25{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27{.}500$  this seven years of crankiness

 $00:42:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.570$  after that sugar rush dies down.

 $00:42:29.570 \rightarrow 00:42:31.831$  In terms of where we, the public health system,

 $00:42:31.831 \rightarrow 00:42:36.831$  after building up, having this surge,

 $00:42:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.943$  then suffers from these consequences.

 $00{:}42{:}41.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.550$  Any thoughts on a sustainable way

 $00:42:45.275 \rightarrow 00:42:47.090$  of investing in public health this way?

00:42:47.090 --> 00:42:51.000 I know Sten, Development had a very good op-ed,

 $00:42:51.000 \rightarrow 00:42:52.940$  in terms of the global health investment

 $00{:}42{:}52{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}54{.}770$  and not having these boom, bust cycles

 $00{:}42{:}54{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}56{.}580$  and sustaining the infrastructure.

 $00:42:56.580 \rightarrow 00:43:00.756$  But domestically speaking, sort of any thoughts

 $00{:}43{:}00{.}756$  -->  $00{:}43{:}02{.}456$  on how to maintain that infrastructure that doesn't

 $00:43:02.456 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.373$  go through these cycles?

00:43:07.460 --> 00:43:08.692 Sten, do you want to contribute?

 $00:43:08.692 \rightarrow 00:43:09.859 - [Sten]$  Sure.

 $00:43:13.406 \rightarrow 00:43:18.406$  The reality is that public health is faced

 $00:43:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.573$  with an inherent challenge.

 $00:43:22.190 \rightarrow 00:43:25.700$  It's hard to convince policy-makers to pay you

 $00{:}43{:}25{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28{.}450$  to do something to prevent something from happening.

 $00:43:28.450 \rightarrow 00:43:33.450$  It's much more intuitive to invest in hospitals,

 $00:43:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.160$  to care for the ill,

 $00:43:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.110$  than it is in public health infrastructure

 $00:43:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.240$  to prevent the illness to begin with.

 $00:43:39.240 \rightarrow 00:43:41.900$  So that is part of the theme I think this evening

 $00:43:41.900 \rightarrow 00:43:43.490$  of all the panelists,

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.053$  that we're up against tremendous communications challenges.

 $00:43:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.790$  How do we advocate for infrastructures

 $00:43:51.790 \rightarrow 00:43:55.343$  for disease prevention, for rapid response?

 $00:43:56.773 \rightarrow 00:44:00.440$  To be prepared for something that might or might not happen?

 $00:44:00.440 \rightarrow 00:44:04.140$  And there's so many compelling demands,

00:44:04.140 --> 00:44:08.210 in a developing country you advocate for public health

 $00:44:08.210 \rightarrow 00:44:11.280$  and you're up against the minister of defense,

00:44:11.280 --> 00:44:12.890 you're up against the minister of tourism,

 $00{:}44{:}12.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.770$  you're up against the minister of education.

 $00{:}44{:}15{.}770$  -->  $00{:}44{:}18{.}763$  Where we have more resources and high-income settings,

00:44:19.938 --> 00:44:23.270 it's almost equally challenging.

 $00:44:23.270 \rightarrow 00:44:25.730$  The NIH budget is in the neighborhood of

00:44:28.556 --> 00:44:30.641 \$33 billion dollars a year

 $00:44:30.641 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.474$  and the CDC budget is a fifth of that.

00:44:32.799 --> 00:44:34.920 So people understand disease, research to treat disease,

 $00:44:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.670$  tremendous investments in clinical trials,

 $00:44:39.670 \rightarrow 00:44:43.760$  the prevention budget is far more modest.

00:44:43.760 --> 00:44:45.870 So I think it's part of our duty,

00:44:45.870 --> 00:44:47.090 here in the school of public health,

 $00:44:47.090 \rightarrow 00:44:51.010$  to work more diligently on how to communicate

 $00{:}44{:}51{.}010$  -->  $00{:}44{:}55{.}230$  with lay audiences about public health and prevention.

 $00:44:55.230 \rightarrow 00:44:57.830$  How to communicate with policy makers

 $00:44:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.910$  so that they appreciate that an ounce of prevention

 $00:45:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.610$  is worth a pound of cure,

 $00:45:03.610 \rightarrow 00:45:06.790$  which I suspect our grandmothers told us.

 $00{:}45{:}06{.}790$  -->  $00{:}45{:}11{.}790$  And at the end of the day, integrating acute care settings,

00:45:13.160 - 00:45:17.860 with chronic care maintenance,

 $00:45:17.860 \rightarrow 00:45:21.030$  as with the HIV investments in Africa,

00:45:21.030 -> 00:45:23.330 where a tunnel vision approach,

 $00:45:23.330 \rightarrow 00:45:26.510$  that these are for HIV, HIV and nothing but HIV,

 $00{:}45{:}26{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29{.}920$  when people may be dying of untreated hypertension,

 $00{:}45{:}29{.}920$  -->  $00{:}45{:}33{.}450$  where there may be an Ebola virus epidemic around the corner

 $00:45:33.450 \rightarrow 00:45:35.640$  in which those infrastructures could be helpful,

 $00:45:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.860$  a coronavirus epidemic.

00:45:37.860 --> 00:45:41.220 I think we need to be broader in our thinking,

 $00{:}45{:}41.220$  -->  $00{:}45{:}46.220$  less siloed and more attentive to how infrastructures

 $00{:}45{:}46{.}250$  -->  $00{:}45{:}51{.}170$  can be very potent, they can serve a function today,

 $00:45:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.331$  for an investment today,

 $00:45:52.331 \rightarrow 00:45:54.770$  but keeping in mind that there may be

 $00:45:54.770 \rightarrow 00:45:56.770$  an investment in near future,

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00{.}683$  for which these infrastructures can be highly valued.

 $00:46:02.510 \rightarrow 00:46:05.510$  Ultimately, that's a challenge we're facing.

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}510$  -->  $00{:}46{:}07{.}940$  I know that the Bloomberg philanthropies are investing

 $00{:}46{:}07{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12{.}940$  in precisely that with Tom Friedman's initiative

 $00{:}46{:}14.370$  -->  $00{:}46{:}16.862$  in New York City and the whole philosophy of that initiative

 $00{:}46{:}16.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21.058$  is chronic disease care, upgrading that care globally,

00:46:21.058 --> 00:46:25.810 but having each chronic disease investment, be prepared

 $00:46:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.090$  for acute responses to outbreaks

 $00:46:28.090 \rightarrow 00:46:30.223$  and I think that's a very wise philosophy.

00:46:31.160 --> 00:46:33.230 - [Saad] That's a really good point.

 $00{:}46{:}33{.}230$  -->  $00{:}46{:}37{.}210$  In terms of, coming back to a little bit more science,

 $00:46:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.820$  and one of the misconceptions

 $00:46:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.890$  and one of the more frequent questions

 $00:46:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.867$  some of us get asked by the press is,

00:46:41.867 --> 00:46:43.810 "Is this virus mutating?"

 $00:46:43.810 \rightarrow 00:46:45.380$  And that's such a general question,

00:46:45.380 --> 00:46:47.006 I'm not gonna go into the details of

 $00{:}46{:}47.006$  -->  $00{:}46{:}51.640$  why is that a non-specific question because we have someone

00:46:51.640 --> 00:46:54.070 who knows a lot more about it than I do, so Nate,

 $00:46:54.070 \rightarrow 00:46:57.800$  would you like to elaborate on the various layers

 $00:46:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.100$  of that question?

 $00:46:59.100 \rightarrow 00:47:01.210$  - [Nate] So this is one of my favorite topics

 $00:47:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.610$  of misinformation during outbreaks

00:47:03.610 --> 00:47:06.400 and the answer is, "Of course, it's mutating."

 $00:47:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.130$  But go back a second.

00:47:08.130 --> 00:47:13.130 So mutations sort of conjure up these inherent fears

 $00:47:13.800 \rightarrow 00:47:17.840$  of something unexpected and some major change,

00:47:17.840 --> 00:47:21.800 think of American pop culture - X-Men, right?

 $00{:}47{:}21.800$  -->  $00{:}47{:}25.200$  These mutant humans have these extraordinary abilities.

 $00{:}47{:}25{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28{.}090$  You think about, have your ever read "Andromeda Strain"

00:47:28.090 --> 00:47:29.510 or watched the movie "Outbreak"?

 $00{:}47{:}29{.}510$  -->  $00{:}47{:}32{.}850$  As soon as a mutation is introduced into the picture,

 $00:47:32.850 \rightarrow 00:47:34.350$  something new is happening.

 $00:47:34.350 \rightarrow 00:47:38.520$  So of course, the people that grew up on this,

00:47:38.520 --> 00:47:40.700 when you hear the word mutation, right,

 $00:47:40.700 \rightarrow 00:47:41.810$  this is what you're thinking about.

 $00{:}47{:}41.810$  -->  $00{:}47{:}44.570$  You're thinking about these crazy changes that can happen,

 $00:47:44.570 \rightarrow 00:47:49.321$  not about the fundamental evolutionary processes.

 $00{:}47{:}49{.}321$  -->  $00{:}47{:}54{.}321$  So every time a virus replicates, when it copies its genome

 $00:47:54.580 \rightarrow 00:47:57.350$  on average about one mutation is introduced.

 $00{:}47{:}57{.}350$  -->  $00{:}48{:}00{.}930$  And most of these mutations don't do anything to the virus,

 $00{:}48{:}00{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03{.}130$  some of 'em make the virus worse than their loss,

 $00{:}48{:}03{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}300$  and some of them provide a benefit.

 $00:48:05.300 \rightarrow 00:48:07.240$  But what we're actually thinking about, I think,

 $00:48:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.330$  when people ask about mutations

 $00:48:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.290$  are actually natural selection.

 $00{:}48{:}11.290$  -->  $00{:}48{:}15.550$  So are these viruses becoming better adapted at something.

 $00{:}48{:}15{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19{.}147$  So I think it's a perfectly reasonable question to ask,

 $00:48:19.147 \rightarrow 00:48:22.340$  "Is this novel coronavirus, adapting to humans?"

 $00{:}48{:}22{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26{.}103$  So during the Ebola epidemic, in West Africa, we found

 $00:48:26.103 \rightarrow 00:48:29.719$  that early on in the outbreak,

 $00:48:29.719 \rightarrow 00:48:31.000$  there was a mutation that appeared

 $00:48:31.000 \rightarrow 00:48:33.690$  and through a lot of experiments and everything,

 $00{:}48{:}33.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.890$  we found that it eventually dominated the outbreak.

 $00:48:35.890 \rightarrow 00:48:37.900$  And it looked to be a human adaptation.

 $00:48:37.900 \rightarrow 00:48:40.160$  But when we look at the epidemiological evidence,

 $00:48:40.160 \rightarrow 00:48:42.740$  so that people who are infected with this mutation,

 $00{:}48{:}42.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45.509$  or with not, there wasn't a difference in the death rates,

 $00{:}48{:}45{.}509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}49{.}070$  there wasn't a difference in how much virus you had.

 $00{:}48{:}49{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}611$  It was a human adaptation that didn't really have a major

 $00:48:50.611 \rightarrow 00:48:55.200$  epidemiological impact, the same with SARS.

 $00{:}48{:}55{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56{.}940$  SARS, after it was introduced,

 $00:48:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.330$  we found these changes that happened

 $00:48:58.330 \rightarrow 00:49:00.990$  that looked like they were human adaptations,

 $00:49:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.070$  but when you look back at the data

 $00{:}49{:}02{.}070$  -->  $00{:}49{:}06{.}380$  you can't actually determine if this had any major impact

 $00:49:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.743$  on the overall epidemic.

00:49:08.758 --> 00:49:10.370 So could this novel coronavirus

 $00:49:12.432 \rightarrow 00:49:13.265$  adapt better to infect humans?

 $00:49:13.265 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.500$  Sure, possibly could.

 $00:49:14.500 \rightarrow 00:49:16.780$  Will it have a major impact on the epidemic?

 $00:49:16.780 \rightarrow 00:49:19.110$  Will it cause more deaths?

 $00:49:19.110 \rightarrow 00:49:22.090$  There's not really any evidence to suggest that.

00:49:22.090 --> 00:49:24.480 - [Saad] So my last question of this phase,

00:49:24.480 --> 00:49:27.140 before I open up, is from Ellen,

 $00{:}49{:}27.140$  -->  $00{:}49{:}31.540$  and I want you to talk about a little bit about diagnostics.

 $00:49:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.300$  So our ability to detect this,

 $00{:}49{:}33{.}300$  -->  $00{:}49{:}36{.}250$  especially from the perspective of being Global Health,

 $00{:}49{:}36{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}38{.}593$  you always think about inequities.

 $00:49:39.998 \rightarrow 00:49:42.610$  For example, in Africa, one of the questions is,

 $00:49:42.610 \rightarrow 00:49:46.600$  the fact that we haven't detected a lot of cases,

 $00{:}49{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51.400$  is because is it the absence of the virus

 $00:49:53.230 \rightarrow 00:49:54.063$  or the absence of detection, et cetera?

 $00:49:54.063 \rightarrow 00:49:55.800$  So could you talk a little bit about our ability

 $00:49:55.800 \rightarrow 00:49:58.239$  to have these diagnostics and it's implications

 $00{:}49{:}58{.}239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}730$  for an equitable response through knowing the burden

 $00:50:02.730 \rightarrow 00:50:06.460$  and the ability from a scientific perspective,

 $00:50:06.460 \rightarrow 00:50:08.410$  to detect these viruses in populations.

00:50:09.410 --> 00:50:10.964 - [Ellen] Okay, sure.

 $00:50:10.964 \rightarrow 00:50:11.898$  (alarm rings)

 $00:50:11.898 \rightarrow 00:50:13.880$  So one thing that was really quite amazing

 $00:50:13.880 \rightarrow 00:50:16.680$  about this outbreak compared to other ones

 $00:50:16.680 \rightarrow 00:50:20.100$  is how quickly the actual genome sequence

 $00{:}50{:}20{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22{.}360$  of the virus was online.

00:50:22.360 --> 00:50:25.220 It took about a week, I mean, it was amazing

 $00:50:25.220 \rightarrow 00:50:28.726$  and so advance in technology,

 $00:50:28.726 \rightarrow 00:50:33.726$  we all know that it's much easier to sequence genes

 $00:50:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.800$  than it used to be,

 $00:50:35.800 \rightarrow 00:50:37.740$  but this is really a great example of that,

 $00:50:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.235$  where as soon as that outbreak was recognized,

 $00:50:42.235 \rightarrow 00:50:46.330$  that scientists were able to actually,

 $00:50:46.330 \rightarrow 00:50:47.550$  right from the patient sample,

 $00{:}50{:}47{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49{.}500$  get the whole sequence of the virus.

 $00:50:49.500 \rightarrow 00:50:51.690$  In the past you had to try to grow it

 $00{:}50{:}51{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}53{.}170$  and there was a many steps.

 $00{:}50{:}53{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55{.}170$  And that was really an example of the application

00:50:55.170 --> 00:50:57.780 of a pretty expensive technology actually,

 $00:50:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.510$  but in a way that's gonna benefit

 $00:50:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.898$  a lot of people very quickly.

 $00:51:00.898 \rightarrow 00:51:05.898$  As far as diagnostic tests, that's discovery,

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}130$  -->  $00{:}51{:}09{.}275$  that's virus discovery and this has really been quite

00:51:09.275 --> 00:51:12.970 like a poster-child for amazing infrastructure

00:51:12.970 --> 00:51:14.343 for virus discovery.

 $00{:}51{:}16{.}455{\,--}{>}00{:}51{:}19{.}670$  As far as diagnostics, having that genome sequence  $00{:}51{:}19{.}670{\,}-{-}{>}00{:}51{:}24{.}330$  online immediately, the way that we detect viruses,

 $00:51:24.330 \rightarrow 00:51:26.290$  here in our hospital right here in Yale,

 $00{:}51{:}26{.}290$  -->  $00{:}51{:}29{.}460$  is often by doing a detection of the snippet of the genome.

 $00{:}51{:}29{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31{.}700$  And having that genome sequenced that quickly means

 $00:51:31.700 \rightarrow 00:51:33.550$  you can quickly make a diagnostic test.

 $00:51:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.883$  Which the CDC has done.

 $00:51:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.106$  But then the other issue comes of

 $00:51:41.106 \rightarrow 00:51:44.390$  if you do a diagnostic test enough times,

 $00:51:44.390 \rightarrow 00:51:47.050$  on a population that doesn't have the disease,

 $00:51:47.050 \rightarrow 00:51:48.740$  you're gonna get some false positives.

 $00:51:48.740 \rightarrow 00:51:50.390$  Any positive would be a false positive.

 $00{:}51{:}50{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54{.}820$  So right now what's happening is, there's a lot of criteria,

 $00:51:54.820 \rightarrow 00:51:59.160$  before people will be tested by the CDC,

 $00{:}51{:}59{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02{.}020$  that they actually have a chance of having the virus,

 $00:52:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.890$  before they will be tested in the US.

 $00{:}52{:}04{.}890$  -->  $00{:}52{:}09{.}660$  As far as around the world, I mean, these kinds of tests

 $00:52:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.400$  are not super cheap.

 $00{:}52{:}11{.}400$  -->  $00{:}52{:}14{.}454$  These PCR based tests, it's a little bit complicated,

 $00{:}52{:}14{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17{.}391$  you need a special machine,

 $00{:}52{:}17{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22{.}391$  you need people who are trained to perform the test.

 $00{:}52{:}23{.}570$  -->  $00{:}52{:}26{.}940$  So for all those reasons, it's not something that you can

 $00:52:26.940 \rightarrow 00:52:29.640$  quickly and cheaply get out to tons of people.

 $00:52:29.640 \rightarrow 00:52:31.710$  So there are a lot of efforts now to say,

 $00{:}52{:}31{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35{.}080$  how can we use our new technologies that we have now,

 $00:52:35.080 \rightarrow 00:52:37.730$  that we're developing on a research scale,

 $00{:}52{:}37{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}730$  to make cheap, quick tests that could be distributed

 $00{:}52{:}41.730$  -->  $00{:}52{:}46.260$  and could allow people to be diagnosed more widely.

00:52:46.260 --> 00:52:48.813 - [Saad] Before I open up for questions,

00:52:48.813 --> 00:52:51.170 I just want to remind everyone that outbreaks,

 $00:52:51.170 \rightarrow 00:52:56.170$  as the plague in Europe or the 1918 flu pandemic

00:52:56.190 --> 00:52:58.520 or more recent Ebola outbreak, et cetera,

 $00:52:58.520 \rightarrow 00:53:00.930$  can bring the best and the worst out of people.

00:53:00.930 --> 00:53:03.620 It's extremely important for us to treat each other

 $00:53:03.620 \rightarrow 00:53:06.570$  with dignity and respect and compassion.

 $00{:}53{:}06{.}570$  -->  $00{:}53{:}11{.}570$  Dignity and respect and tolerance is somewhat passive

 $00:53:11.704 \rightarrow 00:53:16.240$  ways of looking at the world

 $00{:}53{:}16{.}240$  -->  $00{:}53{:}21{.}240$  and in my short time at Yale, I think I can fairly say

 $00{:}53{:}21{.}863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24{.}970$  with some confidence, this is not a passive community.

 $00{:}53{:}24{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29{.}223$  So it also demands that we are active in our compassion,

 $00{:}53{:}30{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34{.}210$  for our peers, for our students, not just when the outbreak

00:53:34.210 -> 00:53:38.070 is in China, but when we have a scenario,

 $00{:}53{:}38{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41{.}901$  that your mom or uncle or cousin from Colorado calls

00:53:41.901 --> 00:53:46.083 and says, "I've heard this thing on Twitter"

 $00:53:46.960 \dashrightarrow 00:53:51.287$  and there is that tone of concern and fear,

 $00:53:51.287 \rightarrow 00:53:54.726$  that is part of that conversation.

 $00:53:54.726 \rightarrow 00:53:57.280$  So as part of the Yale community,

00:53:57.280 --> 00:54:01.860 it our responsibility to in these kinds of situations,

00:54:01.860 --> 00:54:04.190 I'm not saying this is gonna happen,

 $00:54:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.203$  with this and uncertainty doesn't mean

 $00:54:06.203 \rightarrow 00:54:07.960$  that it's gonna explode,

 $00:54:07.960 \rightarrow 00:54:12.960$  it means that it could go on the other side as well.

 $00:54:13.560 \rightarrow 00:54:17.870$  But if it does happen, my hope is that all of us,

 $00:54:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.020$  would look back on this year,

 $00{:}54{:}20{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23{.}780$  as part of the Yale community, most of us who are here,

 $00{:}54{:}23.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27.250$  and we'll be proud of our response as a group of people.

 $00:54:27.250 \rightarrow 00:54:30.340$  So let's just remember that before I open this

 $00:54:30.340 \rightarrow 00:54:32.900$  and have no doubt that it's not gonna happen,

 $00:54:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.470$  absolutely gonna happen.

 $00:54:34.470 \rightarrow 00:54:37.350$  But my hope is that we go one step beyond that,

 $00:54:37.350 \rightarrow 00:54:42.010$  we bring the same passion and compassion

 $00{:}54{:}42.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.620$  and lack of passivity to this as we bring to the other

 $00:54:45.620 \rightarrow 00:54:48.400$  parts of our endeavors at this campus.

 $00:54:48.400 \rightarrow 00:54:50.930$  So the way, there is a microphone somewhere,

 $00{:}54{:}50{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54{.}710$  yes, there're a couple of microphones on either side.

 $00{:}54{:}54{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59{.}550$ So please ask your questions, state your name, et cetera

 $00:54:59.550 \rightarrow 00:55:04.518$  and if you have an affiliation one way or another,

 $00:55:04.518 \rightarrow 00:55:07.123$  if you're comfortable please state that as well.

 $00:55:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.130$  Please raise your hands.

 $00{:}55{:}12{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}13{.}633$  Yes, this one here.

00:55:18.590 --> 00:55:21.736 - [Mark] Hi, Mark Russi, Yale School of Medicine and also

 $00:55:21.736 \rightarrow 00:55:23.514$  Yale health system.

 $00{:}55{:}23{.}514$  -->  $00{:}55{:}28{.}514$  In 2003, there was a lot of discussion about the phenomenon

00:55:28.690 --> 00:55:33.220 of a super-spreader (mumbles) of Hong Kong,

 $00:55:33.220 \rightarrow 00:55:35.493$  the index patient at the Metropole Hotel.

 $00:55:36.540 \rightarrow 00:55:38.570$  Are you seeing, perhaps this is question

 $00{:}55{:}38{.}570$  -->  $00{:}55{:}42{.}980$  for Nathan and Ellen, are you seeing anything, either

 $00:55:42.980 \rightarrow 00:55:46.450$  potentially ascribable to host factors or to some  $00:55:46.450 \rightarrow 00:55:51.280$  combination of low levels of humidity, directional airflow,

 $00{:}55{:}51{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55{.}470$  et cetera, that leads you to believe that there are cases

 $00{:}55{:}55{.}470$  -->  $00{:}55{:}59{.}360$  where there is a substantial excursion from the R0 that

 $00{:}55{:}59{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}02{.}780$  we're seeing of about two and a half for this disease?

00:56:08.430 --> 00:56:11.080 - [Ellen] I will start by saying I don't really know

 $00:56:11.080 \rightarrow 00:56:12.710$  the answer to your question.

 $00:56:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.973$  The only thing that comes to mind is this.

 $00{:}56{:}16.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.240$  There was a report in several Chinese media outlets,

 $00{:}56{:}21.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.890$  that they tested environmental samples,

 $00{:}56{:}23.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.110$  at that Wuhan market,

 $00:56:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.760$  and there was a good number of them

 $00:56:28.760 \rightarrow 00:56:32.052$  tested positive for the virus.

 $00:56:32.052 \rightarrow 00:56:35.740$  So that suggests that at least at that market,

 $00{:}56{:}35{.}740$  -->  $00{:}56{:}40{.}080$  there was a spot where there was a lot of this virus.

 $00:56:40.080 \rightarrow 00:56:42.847$  Why that was is not clear, but there was a spot  $00:56:42.847 \rightarrow 00:56:45.270$  where there was a lot of this virus.

00:56:45.270 --> 00:56:47.620 Was it from an individual who was shedding it?

00:56:47.620 --> 00:56:49.785 Was it from an animal?

 $00{:}56{:}49{.}785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54{.}210$  I don't know the answer to that, but that's the only thing

 $00{:}56{:}54{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56{.}260$  that I can think of that I've read about

 $00{:}56{:}56{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00{.}420$  or heard about that would suggest what you're talking about.

 $00{:}57{:}00{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03{.}630$  I don't really know the answer to that with regards to any,

 $00{:}57{:}03{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05{.}950$  I have not heard of any reports of super-spreaders

 $00{:}57{:}05{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07{.}480$  or anything like that at this point.

 $00{:}57{:}07{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09{.}850$  I don't know if anyone else might know.

00:57:12.850 --> 00:57:14.750 - [Saad] Do you want to say something?

 $00{:}57{:}16.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.250$  So there's a question at the back, right hand side.

00:57:20.250 --> 00:57:22.183 - [Wu] Hello, Wu from School Of (murmurs).

 $00:57:22.183 \rightarrow 00:57:23.810$  Okay so I have some questions, first one is,

 $00{:}57{:}23.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27.020$  is there any scientific way to learn the quality

 $00:57:27.020 \rightarrow 00:57:29.760$  of the data published by Chinese officials?

 $00{:}57{:}29{.}760$  -->  $00{:}57{:}32{.}499$  And the second question is emphatically, if the initial

00:57:32.499 --> 00:57:34.670 outbreak is happening in New York,

 $00{:}57{:}34.670$  -->  $00{:}57{:}38.304$  which has the closest resemblance to high-insurance filled

 $00{:}57{:}38{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41{.}460$  population and mobility and if the public health official

 $00{:}57{:}41.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}44.280$  was notified two weeks after the initial outbreak

 $00:57:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.197$  how can things handle different?

00:57:47.390 --> 00:57:50.489 - [Saad] So I will, so Nate, you have thought

 $00:57:50.489 \rightarrow 00:57:53.190$  a little bit about sort of information quality

 $00{:}57{:}53{.}190$  -->  $00{:}57{:}56{.}840$  around this Rpeg, do you have nay thoughts to contribute

 $00:57:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.173$  to on this?

00:57:59.684 --> 00:58:00.536 - [Nate] You can start it, I'll join in.

00:58:00.536 --> 00:58:01.611 - [Saad] I'm sorry?

00:58:01.611 --> 00:58:02.444 - [Nate] I said you can go ahead and start me.

00:58:02.444 --> 00:58:03.430 - [Saad] So I can start.

 $00{:}58{:}03{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07{.}708$  So that's a really good question, so we don't have a direct

 $00{:}58{:}07{.}708$  -->  $00{:}58{:}11{.}750$  sophisticated way of saying that what a given paper

 $00:58:13.850 \rightarrow 00:58:16.880$  is saying is valid, other than we do have tools,

 $00{:}58{:}16.880$  -->  $00{:}58{:}19.888$  they are tools that have been with us and have served us

 $00:58:19.888 \rightarrow 00:58:23.440$  overall well, but not perfectly, for decades,

 $00:58:23.440 \rightarrow 00:58:25.810$  if not centuries and that, the most effective tool,

 $00:58:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.456$  is called peer review.

 $00{:}58{:}27{.}456{\:-}{>}00{:}58{:}31{.}280$  And that's where some one else, who's not involved

 $00{:}58{:}31{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33{.}617$  with this whole process, says,

 $00{:}58{:}33{.}617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36{.}600$  "But there's something odd about this."

 $00{:}58{:}36{.}600$  -->  $00{:}58{:}39{.}640$  And then they sort of, they question, they push back,

 $00:58:39.640 \rightarrow 00:58:44.460$  and if the responses are not satisfactory,

 $00{:}58{:}44{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47{.}950$  then sometimes the paper doesn't get published, et cetera.

 $00:58:47.950 \rightarrow 00:58:50.730$  So that has changed, we are in a very different

 $00:58:50.730 \rightarrow 00:58:52.680$  communications environment now.

00:58:52.680 --> 00:58:55.003 Scientific communications environment,

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00{.}003$  in this kind of situation, the results of these products,

00:59:04.771 --> 00:59:08.060 intellectual products, are being shared on Twitter

 $00:59:08.060 \rightarrow 00:59:09.260$  before they are even submitted

 $00:59:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.350$  they are on preprint servers, et cetera.

 $00:59:12.350 \rightarrow 00:59:14.066$  Which is okay, which is overall sharing

 $00:59:14.066 \rightarrow 00:59:17.460$  the viral genome quickly and publishing that

 $00:59:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.380$  has a lot of value.

 $00:59:19.380 \rightarrow 00:59:24.190$  I think we'll have to compliment that with a rapid,

 $00:59:24.190 \rightarrow 00:59:27.000$  standing peer review system.

 $00:59:27.000 \rightarrow 00:59:29.612$  That looks at that and says,

 $00:59:29.612 \rightarrow 00:59:31.727$  "We are gonna perform peer review.

00:59:31.727 --> 00:59:33.652 "You have posted it on a preprint server."

 $00:59:33.652 \rightarrow 00:59:35.470$  The preprint server flags it,

 $00{:}59{:}35{.}470$  -->  $00{:}59{:}38{.}410$  sends it out to this group that has signed contracts,

 $00:59:38.410 \rightarrow 00:59:41.190$  maybe pay them to have this commitment.

 $00:59:41.190 \rightarrow 00:59:44.380$  Say, I'm gonna turn around because there's a finite

 $00:59:44.380 \rightarrow 00:59:46.759$  types of people that you would need

 $00:59:46.759 \rightarrow 00:59:51.759$  in an emerging pathogen kind of a situation.

 $00{:}59{:}51{.}850$ --> $00{:}59{:}54{.}130$ You would need epidemiologist, you would need virologists,

 $00{:}59{:}54{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}58{.}210$  you would need a few clinicians who would pay attention

 $00:59:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.150$  to these kinds of things.

 $00{:}59{:}59{.}150$  -->  $01{:}00{:}02{.}330$  So you can have them on a retainer in future situations

 $01:00:02.330 \rightarrow 01:00:06.170$  where you say that this paper is submitted

 $01:00:06.170 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.410$  to this preprint server.

 $01{:}00{:}07{.}410$  -->  $01{:}00{:}11{.}535$  We evaluate quickly and we say does that make sense or not

 $01{:}00{:}11.535 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}14.880$  before you know while the information is still out there

 $01:00:14.880 \rightarrow 01:00:16.850$  we give it a stamp of approval or otherwise.

 $01:00:16.850 \rightarrow 01:00:18.925$  So that would be a way to do that?

 $01:00:18.925 \rightarrow 01:00:20.870$  Any other thoughts, Nate?

01:00:20.870 --> 01:00:22.243 - [Nate] Yeah, I'll just say something

 $01:00:22.243 \rightarrow 01:00:24.840$  on the quality of the data that's coming out.

 $01{:}00{:}24.840$  -->  $01{:}00{:}27.973$  So one thing that's really important to keep in mind here,

 $01{:}00{:}29{.}298$  -->  $01{:}00{:}32{.}320$  is the sheer number of cases that are being reported a day

 $01:00:32.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.820$  now into like the 3 thousands.

 $01:00:34.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.054$  Those are at least then, 3000 tests

 $01{:}00{:}37.054 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}38.540$  that are being performed a day

 $01:00:38.540 \rightarrow 01:00:40.650$  and probably not all of them are positive.

 $01:00:40.650 \rightarrow 01:00:44.050$  So you gotta think about some of it may,

 $01{:}00{:}44.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}47.010$  the quality it may not really reflect what is happening,

01:00:47.010 --> 01:00:49.010 I don't think it has anything to do with the quality

 $01{:}00{:}49.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}50.930$  of the reporting per se.

 $01{:}00{:}50{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}53{.}050$  It's just like, how many tests can you actually do

 $01{:}00{:}53.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.890$  in some of these places everyday,

 $01:00:54.890 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.400$  to get that information out.

 $01{:}00{:}56{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}59{.}930$  So there's going to be under-reporting that's happening,

 $01:00:59.930 \rightarrow 01:01:03.057$  that isn't necessarily deliberate by any means,

 $01{:}01{:}03.057 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06.583$  but it's just sort of a function of overloading systems.

01:01:09.422 --> 01:01:11.000 - [Sten] I mean, the only thing we can say is

 $01:01:11.912 \rightarrow 01:01:12.850$  that data-sharing is important at this moment.

 $01{:}01{:}12.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}15.336$  It's like, whoever has data needs to share it

01:01:15.336 --> 01:01:18.240 at a global scale among the scientific communities.

01:01:18.240 --> 01:01:19.950 'Cause it's not just what you see in the publication

01:01:19.950 --> 01:01:21.730 that's important, it's the raw data

 $01:01:21.730 \rightarrow 01:01:23.620$  that people can run re-analysis on

 $01{:}01{:}23.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}26.210$  and there's some question about whether all the data's

 $01:01:26.210 \rightarrow 01:01:29.030$  being shared in sort of a transparent way

 $01:01:29.030 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.520$  at the current moment.

01:01:30.520 --> 01:01:33.410 - [Saad] That's a very important point.

01:01:33.410 --> 01:01:34.243 - [Ellen] One more comment about

01:01:34.243 --> 01:01:36.560 the preprint servers though, it is quite amazing

01:01:36.560 --> 01:01:40.581 that Nate talked about that sort of wrong analysis

 $01:01:40.581 \rightarrow 01:01:44.290$  misconcluding about the HIV present

 $01:01:44.290 \rightarrow 01:01:45.845$  in the coronavirus genome, but I have to say

 $01{:}01{:}45.845 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}50.462$  that went up on a preprint server, many scientists read it,

01:01:50.462 --> 01:01:53.087 many scientists commented about it, and said,

01:01:53.087 --> 01:01:54.050 "This is a problem."

 $01:01:54.050 \rightarrow 01:01:56.610$  And the authors took it down and apologized.

 $01:01:56.610 \rightarrow 01:01:59.000$  And that all happened like within a few days.

 $01{:}01{:}59{.}000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}02{.}030$  So actually in a way, the system is, there is sort of this

 $01:02:02.030 \rightarrow 01:02:04.371$  informal peer review going on.

 $01{:}02{:}04{.}371 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07{.}590$  Likewise, with the New England Journal article

 $01:02:07.590 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.615$  that was retracted.

 $01{:}02{:}08.615 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}12.940$  So there is sort of an informal process that's kind of

 $01:02:12.940 \rightarrow 01:02:15.300$  coming out of our global connectivity,

 $01:02:15.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.270$  which is sort of encouraging.

01:02:17.270 --> 01:02:19.890 - [Saad] So I'm generally a glass 10% full kind of person,

 $01:02:19.890 \rightarrow 01:02:22.550$  It's always something to be hopeful about.

 $01{:}02{:}22.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}26.140$  With this exception, after having worked in vaccines,

 $01:02:26.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.425$  I've interacted with a few swamps of 4chan,

 $01{:}02{:}29{.}425 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}34{.}425$  where these conspiracies live and thrive and multiply

 $01:02:35.050 \rightarrow 01:02:39.130$  and my concern is, even after all the retractions,

 $01{:}02{:}39{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}43{.}207$  some of that stuff will find a life of its own.

 $01{:}02{:}43.207 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}45.970$  But it is, there is always these kinds of things

 $01:02:45.970 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.180$  have trade-offs.

 $01:02:47.180 \rightarrow 01:02:50.510$  I think having access to especially raw data,

 $01:02:50.510 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.943$  but also some of the analysis quickly,

 $01:02:53.930 \rightarrow 01:02:57.750$  my tendered objective is, it's a net positive.

 $01:02:57.750 \rightarrow 01:03:02.220$  A net positive not by sort of close margin,

 $01:03:02.220 \rightarrow 01:03:07.220$  but substantially, but it has had, to quote Batman,

01:03:09.360 --> 01:03:10.193 or actually, Spider-man,

01:03:10.193 --> 01:03:12.840 "With great power, comes great responsibility."

 $01:03:12.840 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.070$  Voltaire said it,

 $01:03:14.070 \rightarrow 01:03:16.179$  but he probably didn't say it wearing tights.

 $01:03:16.179 \rightarrow 01:03:18.550$  (audience laughs)

 $01:03:18.550 \rightarrow 01:03:23.550$  But so with the power of sharing that information,

 $01:03:23.830 \rightarrow 01:03:27.890$  it is our responsibility to guard the veracity

 $01{:}03{:}27.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}29.370$  and the quality of that information,

 $01:03:29.370 \rightarrow 01:03:31.213$  through the full scientific process.

01:03:33.780 --> 01:03:35.904 - [Ley] I can talk without the mic, I'm Ley Chen-

 $01:03:35.904 \rightarrow 01:03:38.450 \rightarrow [Saad]$  So we have broadcasting, so

01:03:38.450 --> 01:03:39.600 - [Ley] So I will wait.

 $01{:}03{:}40.656 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}42.123$  - [David] Who was the person in the back

 $01:03:42.123 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.753$  that was there first.

01:03:45.880 --> 01:03:47.950 - [Lay] Should I wait, Lay Chen, School of Medicine,

 $01:03:47.950 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.470$  Department of Pediatrics.

 $01:03:50.470 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.870$  I have a question about the,

 $01:03:52.870 \rightarrow 01:03:54.960$  seemingly the difference in mortality

 $01:03:54.960 \rightarrow 01:03:57.580$  between Wuhan patients and those outside.

 $01{:}03{:}57{.}580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00{.}440$  Do you think that's simply a question of not knowing

 $01{:}04{:}00{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}02{.}650$  the denominator of how many people are really sick

01:04:02.650 --> 01:04:05.660 outside of Wuhan or it's something specific

 $01:04:08.029 \rightarrow 01:04:09.862$  about the environment?

01:04:11.744 --> 01:04:12.858 - [Saad] So, Albert, do you have any thoughts on that?

01:04:12.858 --> 01:04:13.691 - No, go ahead, I don't know.

01:04:13.691 --> 01:04:15.757 - The mic right there.

01:04:15.757 --> 01:04:17.870 - [Albert] So I think that of course the numbers

01:04:17.870 --> 01:04:19.970 coming out of Wuhan are very concerning,

 $01:04:19.970 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.880$  especially because of the number of deaths

 $01:04:21.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.450$  and the proportion of deaths.

 $01{:}04{:}23.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}28.350$  But this is kind of very much like many epidemics that occur

 $01{:}04{:}28{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}33{.}070$  at the epicenter, the cases that were identified were

 $01:04:33.070 \rightarrow 01:04:34.670$  primarily severe cases.

 $01{:}04{:}34{.}670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}37{.}770$  You can tell by the age, the average age is around 60,

 $01:04:37.770 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.400$  in cases that were reported.

 $01{:}04{:}40{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}44{.}810$  If you compare that to what we're seeing among travelers

 $01:04:44.810 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.060$  or evacuees that are being identified,

 $01:04:47.060 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.307$  we're seeing that all ages

 $01:04:48.307 \rightarrow 01:04:51.710$  and many of them are having mild symptoms.

01:04:51.710 --> 01:04:55.900 So this is probably as you're suspecting, we call it

 $01:04:55.900 \rightarrow 01:04:57.744$  case ascertainment bias, in that many of the cases

01:04:57.744 --> 01:05:02.744 in the initial part of the epidemic were more severe.

01:05:02.770 --> 01:05:03.857 - [Saad] So I'm gonna come back to the question

 $01:05:03.857 \rightarrow 01:05:05.560$  that was asked, it was a two part question.

 $01{:}05{:}05{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}08{.}089$  And one of them was what would happen if something like this

01:05:08.089 --> 01:05:10.364 was reported in New York City?

01:05:10.364 --> 01:05:12.130 And I think that's an important question,

 $01{:}05{:}12{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}14.850$  and we should keep it in mind before we criticize other

 $01{:}05{:}14.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}18.570$  entities, countries, in Africa or in Asia or in wherever,

 $01:05:18.570 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.690$  in terms of what would happen.

01:05:19.690 --> 01:05:21.710 Both in terms of, it's a good counter-factual,

 $01{:}05{:}21{.}710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}23{.}590$  both positive and negative as well.

 $01:05:23.590 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.833$  So any thoughts on that?

01:05:26.819 --> 01:05:27.652 - [David] Well, in New York City,

01:05:27.652 --> 01:05:30.920 you have quite a bit of history,

 $01{:}05{:}30{.}920$  -->  $01{:}05{:}35{.}920$  and it's also a major, in New York City I think there's

 $01:05:36.028 \rightarrow 01:05:41.028$  greater preparedness based on a history

 $01{:}05{:}41{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}44{.}650$  and certainly a recent history of events that have happened.

01:05:44.650 --> 01:05:48.067 So there's memory, if you will, and preparedness

 $01:05:48.067 \rightarrow 01:05:50.587$  that goes along.

 $01{:}05{:}50.587$  -->  $01{:}05{:}55.587$  The second part is that the information and decision-making,

 $01{:}05{:}56{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}59{.}100$  is much more de-centralized

 $01:05:59.100 \rightarrow 01:06:03.210$  and so that decisions can be made much faster

 $01{:}06{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}08.010$  than what's being reported overseas.

 $01:06:08.010 \rightarrow 01:06:11.530$  So again, how much preparedness is there?

 $01{:}06{:}11.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}15.260$  The experience with it, what's the level of decision making,

 $01:06:15.260 \rightarrow 01:06:17.830$  I think those would be three of the bigger buckets

 $01:06:17.830 \rightarrow 01:06:20.840$  and we could probably flesh that out more.

 $01:06:20.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.340$  - [Saad] So we were fortunate to have folks from

 $01{:}06{:}22{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}24{.}970$  the health department or experience with health department

01:06:24.970 --> 01:06:27.590 so as the mic goes there,

 $01:06:27.590 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.863$  I want to talk to Paul a little bit.

 $01{:}06{:}29.863 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}34.863$  Dr. Jensen, any thoughts about hospital preparedness

 $01:06:34.907 \rightarrow 01:06:36.654$  in this kind of a situation?

01:06:36.654 --> 01:06:37.487 - [Paul] For Yale?

01:06:37.487 --> 01:06:38.541 - [Saad] For Yale.

01:06:38.541 --> 01:06:41.479 - [Paul] Yeah. Well, first just to say

 $01:06:41.479 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.312$  that the Wuhan hospital is full,

 $01:06:43.710 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.113$  the capacity for surge is a real question.

 $01:06:50.100 \rightarrow 01:06:54.680$  We have a fairly elaborate preparedness plan,

 $01:06:54.680 \rightarrow 01:06:58.770$  including the capacity to setup a field hospital

 $01{:}06{:}58{.}770$  -->  $01{:}07{:}03{.}170$  at the Lanman Center at the gym in a case of need,

 $01{:}07{:}03.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{.}931$  but the concern about how we would be able to respond

 $01:07:07.931 \rightarrow 01:07:10.210$  to a large number of pupils with serious illnesses,  $01:07:10.210 \rightarrow 01:07:15.053$  is a real one.

01:07:15.053 --> 01:07:18.500 I just can't say, but there's a balance on one hand between

 $01:07:19.670 \rightarrow 01:07:21.910$  trying to balance anxiety and concern,

01:07:21.910 --> 01:07:24.990 which is predominately what we're dealing with now

 $01{:}07{:}24.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}27.339$  over against the issues of what would really happen

 $01:07:27.339 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.363$  in the event of an outbreak.

01:07:31.640 --> 01:07:33.090 And then just speaking to one point that she made,

 $01:07:33.090 \rightarrow 01:07:36.041$  a little bit tangential about self-efficacy

 $01:07:36.041 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.679$  and the need that people have

01:07:38.679 --> 01:07:40.840 to feel like they're doing something,

01:07:40.840 --> 01:07:43.722 anyone hasn't had their flu shots, please get one.

 $01:07:43.722 \rightarrow 01:07:46.555$  (audience laughs)

01:07:47.500 --> 01:07:51.270 - [Drew] Sir, I'm Drew Hadler, I was a former Connecticut

 $01:07:51.270 \longrightarrow 01:07:52.630$  state epidemiologist.

 $01:07:52.630 \rightarrow 01:07:55.404$  For the last 11 years I've been working in emerging

01:07:55.404 --> 01:07:56.321 infections program here, but also as a consultant

 $01{:}07{:}56{.}321 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}58{.}710$  to New York City Health Department.

01:07:58.710 --> 01:08:02.331 So I think I came from a control perspective, I can't say

 $01:08:02.331 \rightarrow 01:08:05.420$  what the reaction would have been, but I think

01:08:05.420 --> 01:08:07.400 from the information-gathering perspective,

 $01{:}08{:}07{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}10{.}197$  it would have been much, much more focused

 $01{:}08{:}10.197 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}12.345$  and the information will be out there

 $01{:}08{:}12.345 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}14.320$  a lot of the information that we need.

01:08:14.320 --> 01:08:19.320 So for example, I was there when pandemic flu hit in 2009

 $01{:}08{:}19.887 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}21.785$  and New York City had a huge high school outbreak

01:08:21.785 --> 01:08:23.960 it was one you could see through the city,

 $01{:}08{:}23{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}27{.}565$  where four or five kids came back from vacation from Cancun,

 $01{:}08{:}27.565 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}30.800$  turned out they had H1N1 they went to the same high school

 $01:08:30.800 \rightarrow 01:08:33.570$  and within two weeks, there were 900 cases

 $01{:}08{:}33{.}570$  -->  $01{:}08{:}37{.}143$  in that high school and at least that many family members.

 $01{:}08{:}37{.}143$  -->  $01{:}08{:}42{.}081$  That was a fair amount of resources went into that,

 $01{:}08{:}42.081$  -->  $01{:}08{:}44.790$  it was fully described, transmission issues were described,

 $01{:}08{:}44{.}790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}48{.}670$  speculant disease within that context was described,

 $01{:}08{:}48.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}50.220$  the city also setup surveillance

 $01:08:50.220 \rightarrow 01:08:53.430$  for hospitalized cases of H1N1 right away,

 $01:08:53.430 \rightarrow 01:08:55.300$  'cause they didn't have it going on quaran,

 $01{:}08{:}55{.}300$  -->  $01{:}08{:}58{.}854$  and quickly had counts of am I in trouble, is this going on.

 $01{:}08{:}58{.}854{\:}-{:}{>}01{:}09{:}03{.}854$  They also had mortality surveillance and so within a month

 $01:09:04.130 \rightarrow 01:09:08.390$  we had a full spectrum of really good information

 $01{:}09{:}08{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}13{.}330$  to say that H1N1 was no more (mumbles) can sense,

 $01:09:13.330 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.240$  then any seasonal influenza.

 $01{:}09{:}16.240$  -->  $01{:}09{:}19.880$  We do know it was effecting children more than older adults,

 $01:09:19.880 \rightarrow 01:09:21.431$  which the (mumbles) seem to believe

 $01:09:21.431 \rightarrow 01:09:23.554$  there's good explanations for that,

 $01:09:23.554 \rightarrow 01:09:25.187$  because older adults, people in their 50s,

 $01:09:25.187 \longrightarrow 01:09:27.800$  40s, 50s, and older, actually it turned out

01:09:27.800 --> 01:09:30.290 did have some immunity to H1N1.

 $01{:}09{:}30{.}290$  -->  $01{:}09{:}35{.}290$  And we ren't quite as severely as effected as younger people.

 $01:09:36.721 \rightarrow 01:09:38.150$  So basically we could put it in perspective

 $01{:}09{:}38.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}40.420$  and then base control measures on that.

01:09:40.420 --> 01:09:43.240 Again, I don't know what the immediate control measures

 $01:09:43.240 \rightarrow 01:09:45.500$  were dealing with this but there would have been

 $01{:}09{:}45{.}500$  -->  $01{:}09{:}48{.}910$  surveillance setup that would have attempted to find,

 $01{:}09{:}48{.}910$  -->  $01{:}09{:}53{.}083$  full measure of the disease, how severe it was, and CDC

 $01:09:53.946 \rightarrow 01:09:56.113$  would be invited in as it was then.

 $01:09:57.191 \dashrightarrow 01:09:59.369$  Which actually helped the CDC (mumbles) station because

 $01:09:59.369 \rightarrow 01:10:00.900$  we can see the life department anyway

 $01{:}10{:}00{.}900$  -->  $01{:}10{:}04{.}103$  and so there would be a lot of communication with CDC,

 $01{:}10{:}04{.}103$  -->  $01{:}10{:}08{.}120$  daily conference calls with jurisdictions around the country

01:10:08.120 --> 01:10:11.043 to explain what would be happening if New York City

 $01{:}10{:}11{.}043 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}15{.}750$  is the one that was affected and we'd have the information

 $01{:}10{:}16{.}730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}19{.}350$  we need to try and have a rational response to it.

 $01{:}10{:}19{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}22{.}087$  Not one that's sort of all desperate.

01:10:29.120 --> 01:10:31.227 - [Saad] There's someone in the back, there.

01:10:35.340 --> 01:10:38.383 - [Thatcher] Thank you, Thatcher, School of Public Health,

01:10:39.776 --> 01:10:40.609 New (mumbles) Health.

 $01{:}10{:}40.609 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}42.100$  So my question is about the large number of patients

 $01:10:42.100 \rightarrow 01:10:46.173$  so since the outbreak a large number of patients,

 $01:10:49.421 \rightarrow 01:10:50.890$  with mild or severe, no matter mild or severe,

 $01:10:50.890 \rightarrow 01:10:54.620$  they rush to the hospitals so I believe the number

 $01:10:54.620 \longrightarrow 01:10:58.143$  is quite more than 10 times,

 $01:10:58.143 \rightarrow 01:11:01.730$  10 times more than the hospital can feed.

 $01{:}11{:}01{.}730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}06{.}730$  So my question is, so would you recommend people with mild

 $01{:}11{:}06{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}11{.}940$  symptoms not to go to hospital and just to stay at home?

01:11:12.795 --> 01:11:17.417 - [Saad] So I can start the response,

01:11:17.417 --> 01:11:19.140 and if anyone has anything to add

 $01:11:19.140 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.050$  or you have any thoughts on that.

 $01:11:21.050 \rightarrow 01:11:22.210$  So this is very important.

 $01:11:22.210 \rightarrow 01:11:24.850$  So at the big public health response level,

 $01:11:24.850 \longrightarrow 01:11:26.110$  in an emerging situation,

01:11:26.110 --> 01:11:28.869 having clear evidence-based communication,

 $01:11:28.869 \rightarrow 01:11:30.960$  is extremely important.

01:11:30.960 --> 01:11:35.337 So talking to people that at certain stage of the outbreak

 $01{:}11{:}35{.}337$  -->  $01{:}11{:}40{.}337$  and response, certain kinds of symptoms, need to stay home

 $01{:}11{:}41.640$  -->  $01{:}11{:}44.360$  for the "abundance of caution" in terms of the individual

 $01:11:44.360 \rightarrow 01:11:47.027$  response may require, if there is a judicious use,

 $01{:}11{:}47.027$  -->  $01{:}11{:}52.027$  to self-isolate without disrupting the more old-fashioned

 $01:11:54.110 \longrightarrow 01:11:56.610$  society in that sense.

 $01{:}11{:}56{.}610$  -->  $01{:}12{:}00{.}220$  But also, so Dr. Jensen mentioned, Paul mentioned,

01:12:00.220 --> 01:12:03.606 something very important, getting your flu shot.

 $01{:}12{:}03.606 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}08.220$  And the reason why you say it's not biological, is that

01:12:08.220 --> 01:12:10.900 flu shot doesn't protect against the coronavirus.

 $01{:}12{:}10{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}14{.}840$  But it does protect against a major respiratory illness.

 $01:12:14.840 \rightarrow 01:12:15.810$  So it helps in two ways.

 $01:12:15.810 \rightarrow 01:12:20.810$  First of all, it has it's own benefits in terms of

 $01{:}12{:}20{.}945 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}25{.}110$  reducing morbidity and mortality in several age groups.

01:12:25.110 --> 01:12:28.680 But also it reduces, if you are reducing symptoms,

01:12:28.680 --> 01:12:31.690 of respiratory illness in a population,

 $01:12:31.690 \longrightarrow 01:12:34.260$  then unnecessary visits

 $01:12:34.260 \rightarrow 01:12:37.173$  that were not caused by Coronavirus go down.

01:12:38.060 --> 01:12:43.060 So again, we're not helpless, passive, spectators

 $01:12:43.130 \longrightarrow 01:12:45.800$  to something that is unfolding.

 $01:12:45.800 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.090$  We have inherent self-efficacy in the form of

 $01{:}12{:}51{.}060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}53{.}560$  for example, hand-washing, which is evidence-based

01:12:57.190 --> 01:12:58.550 measure for all respiratory illness, flu shot,

 $01:12:58.550 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.390$  and some of the other measures.

01:13:00.390 --> 01:13:02.770 Do you want to say something more, Paul or Albert,

 $01:13:02.770 \longrightarrow 01:13:03.820$  any thoughts on this?

01:13:05.068 --> 01:13:07.097 - [Paul] Yeah, I think that's very important.

 $01{:}13{:}08.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}13.600$  Also just to, a less likely influenza is in the community,

 $01:13:15.700 \rightarrow 01:13:16.841$  the more likely it is to be able to

01:13:16.841 --> 01:13:19.540 assess people with respiratory infection quickly

 $01:13:19.540 \longrightarrow 01:13:21.762$  and efficiently in the event

 $01:13:21.762 \rightarrow 01:13:24.313$  that we do have an outbreak of coronavirus.

01:13:27.640 --> 01:13:29.840 - [Sten] That's an interesting point, I did it in a study

 $01{:}13{:}29{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}33{.}610$  a while back, looking at if you have syndromic surveillance

 $01{:}13{:}33{.}610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}36{.}290$  in New York City, looking for outbreaks,

01:13:36.290 --> 01:13:38.050 can you immunize people enough

 $01{:}13{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}41.660$  so that you have greater specificity.

 $01{:}13{:}41.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}45.610$  And the challenge of getting enough people immunized

 $01{:}13{:}45{.}610$  -->  $01{:}13{:}49{.}480$  is there, so from a population perspective as a concept,

01:13:49.480 --> 01:13:54.480 I think it's great, but that could also add to the case

 $01:13:54.880 \rightarrow 01:13:57.850$  that we want to make, is that's another reason

 $01:13:57.850 \rightarrow 01:14:00.693$  why we should be encouraging immunization.

 $01:14:01.730 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.712$  - [Saad] Yes, there's a question there.

01:14:02.712 --> 01:14:07.712 - [Hadjur] Hadjur from the (mumbles) So as a Chinese,

01:14:08.330 --> 01:14:09.767 all my family is still in China

 $01{:}14{:}09{.}767 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}13{.}565$  and my friends share me all these information all day.

 $01{:}14{:}13.565 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}18.565$  So my question or wondering is when will this end?

 $01:14:18.616 \rightarrow 01:14:22.720$  I think the correct question is when do you expect

 $01:14:22.720 \rightarrow 01:14:24.840$  the turning point will be?

 $01{:}14{:}24{.}840$  -->  $01{:}14{:}29{.}840$  Some experts say we have incubation period of two weeks,

 $01:14:30.660 \rightarrow 01:14:34.770$  and since the quarantine of the whole, has seen

 $01:14:34.770 \longrightarrow 01:14:38.052$  a lot of quarantined have been taken,

 $01{:}14{:}38.052$  -->  $01{:}14{:}41.233$  there is roughly 10 days or two weeks already passed,

01:14:44.247 --> 01:14:47.197 So if you're doing some modeling or forecasting when do you

 $01:14:48.105 \rightarrow 01:14:50.503$  expect this (mumbles) will show?

01:14:53.910 --> 01:14:55.740 - [Nate] I'll just start with something basic on this.

 $01{:}14{:}55{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}58{.}190$  So just based on one model that I've seen,

 $01:14:58.190 \rightarrow 01:15:00.470$  and I don't know necessarily if this is going to be

01:15:00.470 --> 01:15:03.030 the most accurate prediction, but it was looking like

 $01{:}15{:}03{.}030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}05{.}870$  mid to late February would be the peak.

 $01:15:05.870 \rightarrow 01:15:07.980$  But there's a lot of things that can happen,

 $01{:}15{:}07{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}11{.}030$  between now and then, that would even change those estimates

 $01:15:11.030 \rightarrow 01:15:12.573$  and then you have to wonder, the data

 $01:15:12.573 \longrightarrow 01:15:14.490$  that this is all based on.

 $01:15:14.490 \rightarrow 01:15:18.360$  So I don't know if we have a really great handle

 $01:15:18.360 \rightarrow 01:15:21.280$  on when this is going to be peaking

 $01:15:21.280 \rightarrow 01:15:23.542$  and when it's going to start coming down.

01:15:23.542 --> 01:15:26.320 - [Saad] An enough providing false assurances,

 $01{:}15{:}26{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}28{.}420$  I think it's reasonable to share experience

 $01:15:28.420 \rightarrow 01:15:30.623$  with other corona viruses, especially SARS,

 $01:15:31.654 \rightarrow 01:15:34.210$  but there does seem to be a seasonality associated

01:15:34.210 --> 01:15:36.400 with those viruses.

01:15:36.400 --> 01:15:41.400 And they seem to be more transmissible using the term

 $01:15:41.430 \rightarrow 01:15:43.710$  loosely in this kind of a situation,

 $01:15:43.710 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.230$  the peaks are higher in winter.

 $01{:}15{:}48{.}230$  -->  $01{:}15{:}53{.}230$  So there is, again, tentative hope that some of those months

 $01:15:54.705 \rightarrow 01:15:56.930$  will have a positive impact.

01:15:56.930 --> 01:16:00.660 But again, it's tentative, we are dealing with,

01:16:00.660 --> 01:16:04.201 I would be providing false assurances by providing

 $01:16:04.201 \rightarrow 01:16:06.090$  some certainty around that.

01:16:06.090 --> 01:16:06.923 - [Sten] I think the fairest thing

 $01:16:06.923 \rightarrow 01:16:09.020$  is that we don't know, during the Ebola outbreak

 $01{:}16{:}10{.}111$  -->  $01{:}16{:}11{.}790$  there were multiple mathematical models that predicted

 $01:16:11.790 \longrightarrow 01:16:14.154$  wide sort of trajectories of the epidemic.

 $01:16:14.154 \rightarrow 01:16:18.200$  So I think that information is trickling out,

 $01{:}16{:}18{.}200$  -->  $01{:}16{:}21{.}770$  to parametrize these models, so until we have more data,

 $01:16:21.770 \longrightarrow 01:16:24.010$  until we have more sort of examination

 $01:16:24.010 \rightarrow 01:16:26.250$  of how these parameters were put together,

 $01:16:26.250 \rightarrow 01:16:28.750$  I think the safest thing is to say, we don't know.

01:16:33.663 --> 01:16:37.450 - [David] I taught with Alex Langmuir, who was the founder

 $01:16:37.450 \rightarrow 01:16:40.590$  of the immunologic intelligence service.

 $01{:}16{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}44.863$  And the one thing that we used in class was Farr, right?

 $01{:}16{:}45{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}50{.}657$  Farr's law, and it's the first law of epidemics which is,

01:16:50.657 --> 01:16:54.010 "Whatever goes up, must come down."

 $01:16:54.010 \rightarrow 01:16:58.518$  So we don't know where that point is-

01:16:58.518 --> 01:17:00.590 - [Saad] Sorry, did you say that you sort of

01:17:00.590 --> 01:17:02.894 talked to Langmuir himself?

01:17:02.894 --> 01:17:05.050 - [David] We taught together.

01:17:05.050 --> 01:17:06.360 - [Saad] Oh, you taught together, okay.

 $01:17:06.360 \rightarrow 01:17:08.309$  So you were professor at the age of 12, I guess.

 $01:17:08.309 \rightarrow 01:17:09.844$  (audience laughs)

 $01:17:09.844 \rightarrow 01:17:12.235$  (mumbling)

01:17:12.235 --> 01:17:15.400 - [Jerry] Hi I'm Jerry Friedlander, School of Medicine,

01:17:17.318 --> 01:17:19.602 School of Public Health.

 $01{:}17{:}19.602 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}23.287$  So one of the real unusual characteristics of this

01:17:23.287 --> 01:17:26.777 is how rapidly it's spread globally

 $01:17:26.777 \rightarrow 01:17:30.129$  and in a month's period of time this is (mumbles)

 $01{:}17{:}30{.}129 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}34{.}569$  so many countries. It's very different (mumbles) precipice.

 $01{:}17{:}34{.}569 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}38{.}179$  Unfortunate time in which this occurred

 $01:17:38.179 \rightarrow 01:17:39.929$  and people traveling.

 $01{:}17{:}41{.}464 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}44{.}790$  So I wonder what we know about the response in other places?

 $01:17:44.790 \rightarrow 01:17:48.299$  We're most concerned about what happens here

 $01:17:48.299 \rightarrow 01:17:50.540$  in the US, but this is a global epidemic now,

 $01:17:50.540 \rightarrow 01:17:53.297$  of some magnitude that we don't really know.

01:17:53.297 --> 01:17:56.876 The response will be different in different places

 $01:17:56.876 \rightarrow 01:17:58.443$  and that's gonna have consequences actually

 $01:17:58.443 \rightarrow 01:18:02.857$  for the global nature of this and (mumbles)

 $01:18:02.857 \rightarrow 01:18:03.690$  and the future.

 $01{:}18{:}03.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}08.360$  So is there any coordination, on an international level

 $01:18:08.360 \longrightarrow 01:18:09.797$  at this point?

01:18:09.797 --> 01:18:14.400 Can we, somehow or other, advocate for this

 $01{:}18{:}14{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}18{.}206$  if it's not going on in a way that's actually functional

 $01{:}18{:}18{.}206 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}22{.}030$  and important and the information coming from other

01:18:22.030 --> 01:18:24.970 places will be very, very important in terms of what

 $01:18:24.970 \longrightarrow 01:18:27.320$  we understand and how we can respond.

01:18:27.320 --> 01:18:28.153 - Albert might-

01:18:28.153 --> 01:18:28.986 - [Saad] Albert, you wanna?

01:18:28.986 --> 01:18:29.819 (mumbling)

01:18:29.819 --> 01:18:32.744 - [Albert] I think this is being videotaped.

 $01:18:32.744 \rightarrow 01:18:35.740$  (audience laughs)

01:18:35.740 --> 01:18:38.840 So the politically correct answer is that, of course,

 $01:18:38.840 \longrightarrow 01:18:39.710$  there is coordination,

01:18:39.710 --> 01:18:42.107 and that coordination is being done by WHO,

 $01{:}18{:}43{.}520$  -->  $01{:}18{:}46{.}270$  on many different levels in terms of operating response,

01:18:46.270 --> 01:18:49.670 in terms of training, capacity and so forth.

 $01{:}18{:}49{.}670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}51{.}700$  But we all know the situation with WHO

 $01:18:52.710 \rightarrow 01:18:54.340$  has been essentially neutered

01:18:54.340 --> 01:18:58.090 because of the lack of multi-level funding.

01:18:58.090 --> 01:19:01.727 Much of the funding is bilateral and which is really

 $01{:}19{:}01{.}727$  -->  $01{:}19{:}06{.}727$  incapacitated some effective responses and coordination.

01:19:07.090 --> 01:19:10.990 So I'm being a little harsh on that, but I think that is

01:19:10.990 --> 01:19:14.810 a gap and that's why we have this myriad of bilateral

 $01{:}19{:}14.810$  -->  $01{:}19{:}19.040$  responses which are potentially not well-coordinated.

01:19:19.040 --> 01:19:21.970 And I think the concern and I'm just gonna jump on to,

 $01:19:21.970 \longrightarrow 01:19:24.710$  I think what Kai said, and others, is that

01:19:24.710 --> 01:19:29.710 I mean, this is I think we're still in the exponential phase

01:19:30.010 - 01:19:32.900 of the epidemic in many of the cities

 $01:19:32.900 \rightarrow 01:19:35.567$  of the 5 million people who left Wuhan before.

 $01{:}19{:}36{.}540$  -->  $01{:}19{:}39{.}460$  We don't know the exact proportion of who's effected but

01:19:39.460 --> 01:19:42.470 I think it's fair to say, with regard to provinces,

 $01{:}19{:}42.470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}45.880$  Shanghai and Guangdong are in the exponential phase.

 $01:19:45.880 \longrightarrow 01:19:47.960$  And that delay of models,

 $01:19:47.960 \longrightarrow 01:19:49.180$  which has been modeled three days,

 $01:19:49.180 \longrightarrow 01:19:50.760$  and maybe much longer,

 $01:19:50.760 \rightarrow 01:19:52.832$  so I think we're in for the long-term.

 $01:19:52.832 \longrightarrow 01:19:53.665$  I think the big question is, is that

01:19:53.665 --> 01:19:57.370 in places that have weaker surveillance systems,

01:19:57.370 --> 01:20:00.450 I'm thinking about Southeast Asia, South Asia, maybe

 $01{:}20{:}00{.}450$  -->  $01{:}20{:}03{.}427$  there's only three cases, but how many kits are available?

 $01:20:04.495 \rightarrow 01:20:07.786$  And so the concern is we can go all the way

01:20:07.786 --> 01:20:10.523 back to the beginning of what Nate said,

 $01:20:10.523 \rightarrow 01:20:13.800$  this is probably one of seven pandemics or so,

 $01{:}20{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}18.140$  of the coronavirus, it would be good to be optimistic

 $01{:}20{:}18.140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}20.650$  it would be good to think that we can push this

 $01:20:20.650 \longrightarrow 01:20:23.210$  into a season that has low-transmission.

 $01{:}20{:}23{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}27{.}547$  But I think we have to tie it on this being, spreading

 $01:20:30.020 \rightarrow 01:20:32.550$  and not necessarily peaking early.

 $01{:}20{:}32{.}550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}35{.}740$  And I think we also have to plan on what's gonna happen

 $01{:}20{:}35{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}39{.}130$  in the most vulnerable populations around the world.

 $01:20:39.130 \longrightarrow 01:20:41.481$  And what happens when it gets there,

01:20:41.481 --> 01:20:43.645 and this is a case fatality rate, that may not be as high

01:20:43.645 --> 01:20:46.050 as MERS or SARS,

 $01:20:46.050 \rightarrow 01:20:48.200$  but it's not going to be negligible either.

01:20:49.436 --> 01:20:51.069 - [Participant] It's going to be heterogenous

 $01:20:51.069 \rightarrow 01:20:53.307$  in different parts of the world, seasonally.

01:20:54.574 --> 01:20:56.330 - [Lisa] So, I want to go back to a question that was asked

 $01{:}20{:}56{.}330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}00{.}320$  earlier about the people going to, with mild infection,

 $01:21:00.320 \longrightarrow 01:21:01.920$  going to the hospital.

 $01:21:01.920 \rightarrow 01:21:04.780$  And I think that a lot of that could be prevented

 $01{:}21{:}04.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}08.490$  if we had a very good sense of what the natural history

 $01{:}21{:}08{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}10{.}465$  of this disease was and what it looked like

 $01:21:10.465 \longrightarrow 01:21:12.330$  when it was bad.

 $01:21:12.330 \rightarrow 01:21:16.200$  Like, does it start off mild and become bad?

 $01{:}21{:}16{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}17{.}620$  That's one disease pattern.

01:21:17.620 --> 01:21:20.300 Or does it start off bad and stay bad?

 $01{:}21{:}20{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}22{.}557$  If it starts off bad and stays bad,

 $01:21:22.557 \rightarrow 01:21:24.980$  then if you got a mild case, then you shouldn't go

 $01:21:24.980 \longrightarrow 01:21:26.290$  to the hospital.

01:21:26.290 --> 01:21:29.990 But until we know what that is, until we can describe it

01:21:29.990 --> 01:21:33.110 and make that public, people, of course,

 $01:21:33.110 \longrightarrow 01:21:34.710$  are going to go to the hospital

 $01:21:34.710 \rightarrow 01:21:37.160$  with even the mildest symptoms 'cause of course

 $01:21:37.160 \longrightarrow 01:21:38.680$  they're worried.

01:21:38.680 --> 01:21:41.250 And rightfully so perhaps,

 $01:21:41.250 \rightarrow 01:21:44.010$  but I think that's one of the pieces of information,

 $01:21:44.010 \rightarrow 01:21:45.990$  that we really need to get out to people.

 $01:21:45.990 \rightarrow 01:21:49.850$  Is what does it look like when it happens.

01:21:49.850 --> 01:21:52.300 Like, is it bad all the time?

01:21:52.300 --> 01:21:54.980 Or does it start off mild and get bad?

01:21:54.980 --> 01:21:57.060 That's an important distinction.

01:21:57.060 --> 01:21:58.360 - [Saad] So, in the interest of time,

01:21:58.360 --> 01:22:00.315 I want to finish on time, I'll take only a couple of more

 $01{:}22{:}00{.}315 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}04{.}880$  questions and there were a few questions on this side.

01:22:04.880 --> 01:22:07.543 We spent some time on this side for awhile,

 $01:22:08.397 \rightarrow 01:22:10.541$  so you had a question for awhile

 $01:22:10.541 \rightarrow 01:22:11.950$  and then there was one more in there.

 $01:22:11.950 \longrightarrow 01:22:14.880$  So unfortunately we will have to stop here

 $01:22:14.880 \rightarrow 01:22:18.677$  and I'll be happy to stay back and maybe others

 $01:22:18.677 \longrightarrow 01:22:20.529$  will also stick around.

 $01{:}22{:}20.529 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}23.906$  - Hi I'm (murmurs) from the department of internal medicine

 $01{:}22{:}23.906 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}24.739$  and herbology.

01:22:24.739 --> 01:22:28.270 I was wondering, you mentioned seven of these corona viruses

 $01:22:28.270 \rightarrow 01:22:30.523$  some causing cold and yet some like SARS

 $01:22:30.523 \rightarrow 01:22:34.380$  with a lot of fatality do we know, biologically,

 $01{:}22{:}34{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}37{.}740$  what is different about the SARS

 $01{:}22{:}37.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}39.780$  versus the ones that cause colds

 $01{:}22{:}41{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}43{.}460$  and causes this without fatality

 $01{:}22{:}45{.}597 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}46{.}430$  and can use that information

 $01{:}22{:}46{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}49{.}160$  when we're studying mutations in this current coronavirus

 $01{:}22{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}54{.}160$  to predict potentially what might be more of a problem?

01:22:56.444 --> 01:22:57.314 - [Saad] Start?

01:22:57.314 --> 01:23:01.010 - [Ellen] I can start, I can start on that one.

 $01:23:01.010 \rightarrow 01:23:05.570$  Well, one interesting thing is there's a coronavirus,

01:23:05.570 --> 01:23:08.660 the corona viruses that circulate every year in New Haven

 $01:23:08.660 \rightarrow 01:23:11.230$  and throughout the US, sometimes cause colds

 $01:23:11.230 \rightarrow 01:23:12.760$  and they can cause serious illness,

 $01{:}23{:}12{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}16{.}360$  particularly in people who have other health conditions

 $01:23:16.360 \longrightarrow 01:23:17.960$  kind of like what we've seen a little bit

 $01:23:17.960 \longrightarrow 01:23:19.113$  with this virus too.

 $01:23:19.960 \rightarrow 01:23:23.209$  As far as the receptor the virus uses to enter cells,

 $01:23:23.209 \rightarrow 01:23:26.290$  this virus uses the same receptor as SARS

 $01:23:26.290 \rightarrow 01:23:28.500$  and the same receptor as a different Coronavirus

 $01:23:28.500 \rightarrow 01:23:32.039$  that causes colds, so that's not the key thing.

 $01{:}23{:}32{.}039 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}36{.}539$  With SARS there was some information about it suppressing

 $01:23:36.539 \rightarrow 01:23:40.010$  the anti-viral response pretty well,

 $01:23:40.010 \rightarrow 01:23:42.080$  which you can imagine would allow the virus

 $01:23:42.080 \rightarrow 01:23:46.060$  to get to a higher level in the body.

 $01{:}23{:}46.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}49.260$  But as far as this virus, I really don't know.

01:23:49.260 --> 01:23:52.140 So it's interesting that people have studied already

 $01{:}23{:}53{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}57{.}040$  where those receptors are found, the receptor the virus

 $01:23:57.040 \rightarrow 01:24:00.524$  uses to get into cells, they're in the upper airway,  $01:24:00.524 \rightarrow 01:24:03.030$  they're in the lower airway where the gas exchange occurs

 $01{:}24{:}03{.}030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}05{.}910$  in the lung and also in other tissues of the body,

 $01{:}24{:}05{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}07{.}920$  like in the liver and the blood vessels

 $01:24:07.920 \longrightarrow 01:24:09.708$  and things like that.

 $01:24:09.708 \rightarrow 01:24:12.050$  But I think there still needs to be more work

 $01{:}24{:}12.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}16.703$  on the pathogenesis of this one to figure out exactly.

 $01{:}24{:}17.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}21.431$  It's not totally clear, kind of getting back to something

 $01:24:21.431 \longrightarrow 01:24:24.230$  that was said earlier is

 $01{:}24{:}24{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}26{.}586$  at the beginning when a lot of people

 $01{:}24{:}26{.}586$  -->  $01{:}24{:}30{.}510$  who are presenting to a hospital are very, very sick,

 $01:24:30.510 \rightarrow 01:24:33.900$  a lot of those initial people were also people

 $01{:}24{:}33{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}35{.}380$  with other medical conditions,

 $01:24:35.380 \rightarrow 01:24:38.410$  who you might expect to get ill.

 $01{:}24{:}38{.}410$  -->  $01{:}24{:}41{.}870$  More ill than some body who's perfectly healthy and young.

 $01{:}24{:}41{.}870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}45{.}020$  So it's still not totally clear,

 $01:24:45.020 \rightarrow 01:24:47.300$  how that factors into the pathogenesis we're seeing

 $01:24:47.300 \longrightarrow 01:24:48.903$  and the mortality rates too.

 $01{:}24{:}51{.}590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}54{.}290$  - [Nate] Really quick, so we can go to the next question.

 $01{:}24{:}54{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}56{.}303$  So it does seem to be,

01:24:56.303 - 01:24:57.610 if the virus can use the ACE2 receptor,

 $01{:}24{:}57.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}59.213$  it can infect humans, if it cannot use it,

 $01:24:59.213 \longrightarrow 01:25:01.550$  then it can't infect humans.

 $01:25:01.550 \longrightarrow 01:25:02.740$  That's one of the parts of it,

 $01{:}25{:}02{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}06{.}930$  but whether this is gonna be SARS or a common cold?

 $01{:}25{:}06{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}10.040$  We can't just look at the genome and sort of gaze at it yet.

 $01:25:10.040 \rightarrow 01:25:12.220$  We don't have the tools or enough data to say

 $01:25:12.220 \rightarrow 01:25:15.593$  how bad this is gonna be, that's not quite possible.

 $01:25:17.410 \rightarrow 01:25:19.474$  - [Saad] So there was another question from there

 $01:25:19.474 \rightarrow 01:25:20.859$  or that has been answered by?

 $01:25:20.859 \rightarrow 01:25:24.400$  So I'll come to Evelyn and then I think we have,

01:25:24.400 --> 01:25:26.730 I said two or one more, sort of

01:25:26.730 --> 01:25:29.920 time for one more question, et cetera.

 $01:25:29.920 \longrightarrow 01:25:31.403$  So Evelyn, do you want to?

01:25:31.403 --> 01:25:34.580 - [Assistant] Michael can we do this question and then I-

01:25:34.580 --> 01:25:35.550 - [Saad] Okay.

01:25:35.550 --> 01:25:38.830 - [Evelyn] So I know China just finished building

 $01:25:38.830 \rightarrow 01:25:42.500$  a thousand person hospital isolation ward.

01:25:42.500 --> 01:25:43.670 What do y'all think?

 $01:25:43.670 \longrightarrow 01:25:46.860$  Is this an efficient way to contain the outbreak

 $01:25:46.860 \longrightarrow 01:25:47.930$  or are we gonna end up

 $01:25:47.930 \rightarrow 01:25:49.830$  with more issues than we started with?

01:25:51.290 --> 01:25:56.290 - [David] I'm curious about the construction and the quality

 $01{:}25{:}56{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}59{.}780$  and the resources that go into that,

 $01:25:59.780 \rightarrow 01:26:03.920$  supplies that are available, what's the access?

 $01{:}26{:}03{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}08{.}107$  Really don't have enough information about the specifics.

01:26:08.107 --> 01:26:10.917 But I am gonna turn it over to Gregg, who does.

 $01:26:12.135 \longrightarrow 01:26:13.153$  (audience laughs)

01:26:13.153 --> 01:26:15.300 - [Gregg] No, but that's not the point, the point is that

01:26:15.300 --> 01:26:19.210 we can't abandon our Chinese brothers and sisters

01:26:19.210 --> 01:26:21.960 and say like, "Let 'em do what..."

 $01:26:21.960 \rightarrow 01:26:24.260$  The point is that the conditions of confinement

 $01:26:24.260 \longrightarrow 01:26:26.840$  have to be clinically suitable

 $01:26:26.840 \rightarrow 01:26:28.330$  and meet human rights norms.

 $01{:}26{:}28{.}330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}29{.}540$  And if they're being dumped in a hospital

 $01:26:29.540 \longrightarrow 01:26:31.420$  with poor infection control

 $01:26:31.420 \rightarrow 01:26:33.840$  and without sufficient clinical capacity

 $01{:}26{:}33{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}36{.}151$  to take care of people, it's not the right thing to do.

01:26:36.151 --> 01:26:38.690 We all have friends in China

 $01:26:38.690 \rightarrow 01:26:40.850$  and we need information to get out

 $01{:}26{:}40.850$  -->  $01{:}26{:}43.577$  so that people are taken care of both in their communities

 $01:26:43.577 \rightarrow 01:26:45.777$  and in any facilities they might be sent to.

01:26:48.300 --> 01:26:50.067 - [Evelyn] Hi, Evelyn Shay from the School of Medicine

 $01{:}26{:}50.067 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}51.630$  and Public Health.

01:26:51.630 --> 01:26:55.290 I actually wanted to follow up on Jerry's question

 $01{:}26{:}55{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}58{.}070$  and hear from Albert, when you said there are myriad

 $01:26:58.070 \rightarrow 01:27:02.500$  bi-lateral initiatives, is that countries with China?

 $01{:}27{:}02.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}05.513$  I'm curious to know sort of the degree to which

 $01:27:05.513 \rightarrow 01:27:09.200$  there's a partnership with China,

 $01{:}27{:}09{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}10{.}980$  whether it's CDC or government

 $01:27:10.980 \longrightarrow 01:27:13.913$  and how effective that has been?

 $01{:}27{:}14.880$  -->  $01{:}27{:}19.880$  How much are they doing this on their own domestically?

01:27:19.980 --> 01:27:24.422 How much is their engagement, it's a little bit hard to tell

 $01:27:24.422 \longrightarrow 01:27:26.068$  from the outside.

 $01:27:26.068 \rightarrow 01:27:27.180$  (presenter chuckles)

01:27:27.180 --> 01:27:29.930 And I think this goes along with what Gregg was saying,

 $01:27:31.003 \rightarrow 01:27:34.003$  to what degree is there-

01:27:38.590 --> 01:27:43.299 - [Albert] So I'd very much like Sten or David to answer

 $01{:}27{:}43.299 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}44.510$  this question.

 $01:27:44.510 \rightarrow 01:27:49.510$  (presenter laughs) (audience laughs)

01:27:51.110 --> 01:27:55.336 - [Sten] My authority is my friends in China on WeChat.

 $01:27:55.336 \rightarrow 01:27:57.693$  Who've been lighting up my phone all week.

 $01:27:58.690 \longrightarrow 01:28:00.640$  And it does seem like the Chinese

 $01:28:00.640 \longrightarrow 01:28:02.680$  are pretty much on their own on this one.

 $01:28:02.680 \rightarrow 01:28:05.580$  There isn't any substantial international help

01:28:05.580 --> 01:28:09.140 infrastructure in Hubei province in Wuhan city.

01:28:11.061 --> 01:28:16.061 The US CDC has a presence in Beijing but I'm going to guess

 $01{:}28{:}17.750$  -->  $01{:}28{:}20.820$  that there isn't a coronavirus control expert in the group.

01:28:20.820 --> 01:28:22.920 But they do have good, solid (murmurs),

 $01{:}28{:}22{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}26{.}920$  just that it's not improbable that there's communication

 $01:28:26.920 \longrightarrow 01:28:28.910$  with the China CDC.

01:28:28.910 --> 01:28:31.490 China's CDC's a pretty sophisticated operation.

01:28:31.490 --> 01:28:36.270 They have a sort of a command center

 $01{:}28{:}36{.}270$  -->  $01{:}28{:}39{.}760$  for outbreak investigations some I visited that reminded me

 $01{:}28{:}39{.}760$  -->  $01{:}28{:}43{.}210$  of the CDC command center and was modeled after it.

01:28:43.210 --> 01:28:47.063 And I am thinking that the Chinese

 $01:28:47.063 \rightarrow 01:28:48.800$  are largely tackling this on their own.

01:28:48.800 --> 01:28:50.860 I have no evidence to the contrary

 $01:28:50.860 \rightarrow 01:28:54.410$  and my friends at WHO are not deeply engaged.

 $01:28:54.410 \rightarrow 01:28:57.540$  I know people high up in the state department

 $01:28:57.540 \rightarrow 01:29:00.400$  that I talk to and they're helping the Chinese,

 $01{:}29{:}00{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}03{.}810$  but they're helping them from Geneva and from Atlanta.

 $01{:}29{:}03.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}06.810$  So I think there's a lot of communication,

 $01:29:06.810 \rightarrow 01:29:10.200$  a lot of consultation, but on the ground,

 $01:29:10.200 \rightarrow 01:29:12.060$  the Chinese are handling this on their own.

 $01:29:12.060 \longrightarrow 01:29:13.910$  I think that's fair to say.

01:29:13.910 --> 01:29:14.880 - [Evelyn] Can I just...

01:29:14.880 --> 01:29:16.261 - [Sten] Yeah.

01:29:16.261 --> 01:29:18.493 - [Evelyn] Sorry just to follow-up,

01:29:21.519 --> 01:29:22.920 if this is helpful at all, but I was speaking,

01:29:22.920 --> 01:29:23.997 I was in Beijing recently

01:29:23.997 --> 01:29:26.880 and I was speaking to a documentary filmmaker

01:29:28.854 --> 01:29:31.843 about a film she made about emerging epidemics

 $01:29:31.843 \rightarrow 01:29:35.000$  and she was focusing on the Ebola virus

 $01:29:35.000 \rightarrow 01:29:40.000$  but when she was in Africa she said that the best

 $01{:}29{:}40{.}348 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}45{.}348$  makes hift hospital that she had encountered were the ones

01:29:45.590 --> 01:29:49.740 built from China so I think that if that's reassuring

 $01:29:50.790 \rightarrow 01:29:52.010$  that's great infrastructure-wise

 $01:29:52.010 \longrightarrow 01:29:52.933$  but I understand-

01:29:54.540 --> 01:29:56.980 - [Sten] Just put things in perspective

 $01:29:56.980 \rightarrow 01:30:00.780$  and I think there's a lot of issues coming around

 $01{:}30{:}00.780$  -->  $01{:}30{:}04.880$  freedom of information and dissemination of information.

01:30:04.880 --> 01:30:05.890 As I said,

 $01{:}30{:}05{.}890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}09{.}650$  the Chinese CDC is a very sophisticated organization

01:30:09.650 --> 01:30:13.450 and once, and I think this is up to debate

 $01:30:13.450 \longrightarrow 01:30:14.850$  and this is all speculation,

 $01:30:14.850 \longrightarrow 01:30:17.927$  but once the outbreak was shown,

 $01:30:17.927 \longrightarrow 01:30:20.090$  I mean it was identified.

01:30:20.090 --> 01:30:25.090 And there are probably policy reasons why it wasn't

01:30:26.784 --> 01:30:29.900 the early warning system didn't work

 $01:30:29.900 \longrightarrow 01:30:31.763$  as it had worked with H7N9.

01:30:33.168 --> 01:30:36.220 And they detected other emerging pathogens,

 $01{:}30{:}36{.}220$  -->  $01{:}30{:}38{.}725$  in the interim time between SARS and are very efficient,

01:30:38.725 --> 01:30:41.490 why it didn't work now is unclear.

 $01:30:41.490 \longrightarrow 01:30:42.323$  And that's something that

01:30:42.323 --> 01:30:44.530 I think we really don't have a good answer,

 $01{:}30{:}44{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}46{.}550$  but once they had detected it, and once it went into

01:30:46.550 --> 01:30:49.360 the early warning, through IHR.

 $01{:}30{:}49{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}52{.}900$  They followed all IHR regulations very sophisticated

 $01:30:52.900 \rightarrow 01:30:53.808$  responses, they sequenced the genome,

 $01:30:53.808 \rightarrow 01:30:56.879$  they are now doing,

01:30:56.879 --> 01:31:01.560 many randomized controlled trials for treatments,

 $01{:}31{:}01{.}560$  -->  $01{:}31{:}03{.}740$  many of those are probably gonna come out with information

 $01:31:03.740 \longrightarrow 01:31:06.150$  in the next one or two weeks about how to cure.

 $01:31:06.150 \rightarrow 01:31:10.593$  So very sophisticated responses on many fronts.

 $01:31:11.940 \longrightarrow 01:31:13.540$  I think we have to just put this

 $01:31:14.465 \rightarrow 01:31:15.553$  all kind of into perspective.

01:31:16.580 --> 01:31:19.800 - [Saad] Yeah, so I'll then wrap up, I wanna wrap up

01:31:19.800 --> 01:31:21.010 exactly at seven.

 $01:31:21.010 \dashrightarrow 01:31:22.330$  I know there are other questions

 $01{:}31{:}22{.}330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}25{.}390$  and that's an indication of the importance of the issue

 $01:31:25.390 \dashrightarrow 01:31:27.360$  and the engagement so I'd be happy to stay back.

01:31:27.360 --> 01:31:32.360 I can't speak for other people, but I'd stay back

 $01:31:32.390 \rightarrow 01:31:34.881$  if you have other questions, et cetera.

01:31:34.881 --> 01:31:39.020 But I don't want to wrap up as we wrap for seven on time,

 $01{:}31{:}39{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}42{.}353$  colleagues who put it together and helped to organize

 $01:31:42.353 \rightarrow 01:31:43.186$  on a quick notice.

 $01{:}31{:}43.186 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}46.040$  I'm not going to be able to go through the full list,

01:31:46.040 --> 01:31:51.040 but specifically Ros and Alyssa and Mike Skonieczny and Jen

 $01{:}31{:}51{.}946$  -->  $01{:}31{:}56{.}946$  and many others from different parts, and Colin and others

01:31:58.950 --> 01:32:01.690 from YSPH and YGH et cetera.

 $01:32:01.690 \rightarrow 01:32:04.270$  Who made this possible at a very short notice.

01:32:04.270 --> 01:32:08.050 But I will wrap up, as I wrap up, I want you to remember

 $01{:}32{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}13.050$  the intensity of response, mounted by health workers,

 $01:32:13.169 \dashrightarrow 01:32:17.320$  both clinical workers, but also public health workers

 $01:32:17.320 \longrightarrow 01:32:19.790$  in China as we speak.

 $01:32:19.790 \longrightarrow 01:32:22.250$  They keep all of us safe,

 $01:32:22.250 \rightarrow 01:32:25.920$  they have risen up to the challenge.

 $01:32:25.920 \rightarrow 01:32:29.910$  Set aside all the politics, individual health workers

 $01:32:29.910 \rightarrow 01:32:33.230$  and the health system, folks on the ground,

 $01{:}32{:}33{.}230$  -->  $01{:}32{:}37{.}145$  have responded, not just on behalf of their own community

 $01:32:37.145 \longrightarrow 01:32:39.780$  but on behalf of us.

 $01:32:39.780 \rightarrow 01:32:42.610$  And if there was any doubt of the sacrifice,

 $01:32:42.610 \rightarrow 01:32:45.170$  we should remember one of the physicians

 $01{:}32{:}45{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}49{.}210$  who was initial canary in the coal mine, passed away.

 $01:32:49.210 \rightarrow 01:32:50.460$  There are reports that he passed away.

 $01{:}32{:}50{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}52{.}910$  There were mixed reports, but I think it's now confirmed,

 $01:32:52.910 \longrightarrow 01:32:54.570$  that he passed away today.

 $01:32:54.570 \rightarrow 01:32:57.950$  On that somber note, we should also remember

 $01{:}32{:}57{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}33{:}02{.}950$  that we are not help less observers, we have self-efficacy,

 $01:33:03.030 \rightarrow 01:33:07.680$  both as humans and as compassionate beings

01:33:07.680 --> 01:33:10.030 and as scientists, public health professionals-