WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:50:35.068" NOTE Confidence: 0.99547184

 $00:00:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:00.980$ Good afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690341

00:00:02.000 --> 00:00:03.520 Thank you everyone for being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690341

00:00:03.520 --> 00:00:05.359 here. I'm Rachel Greenup. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690341

00:00:05.359 --> 00:00:06.899 the chief of breast surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690341

 $00:00:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.400$ in the department of surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690341

 $00:00:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.360$ here at Yale School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690341

 $00:00:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.960$ Medicine and co director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690341

 $00:00:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.400$ the breast program here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690341

 $00:00:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.900$ Smilo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92319274

00:00:13.615 --> 00:00:14.894 And it's my honor to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92319274

 $00:00:14.894 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.415$ introduce my friend and colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92319274

 $00{:}00{:}16.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.335$ Doctor. Subha Haykal, who's section

NOTE Confidence: 0.92319274

 $00:00:18.335 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.314$ chief of reconstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389451

 $00:00:20.335 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.095$ oncology in the division of

 $00:00:22.095 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.154$ plastic and reconstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.99521375

 $00:00:23.454 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.954$ surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417145

 $00:00:24.654 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.755$ Doctor. Haykal is a specialist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417145

 $00:00:26.895 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.355$ in microsurgical reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.93377846

 $00:00:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.530$ with research interests in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.93377846

00:00:30.530 --> 00:00:32.470 reconstructive surgery and vascularized

NOTE Confidence: 0.94917095

 $00{:}00{:}32.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.990$ composite all otransplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964655

00:00:35.409 --> 00:00:37.170 She received her medical degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964655

 $00{:}00{:}37.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.710$ from the University of Ottawa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974518

 $00:00:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.715$ completed residency training in plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974518

 $00{:}00{:}41.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.395$ and reconstructive surgery at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974518

00:00:43.395 --> 00:00:44.615 University of Toronto,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986253

 $00:00:45.955 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.415$ did a microsurgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.97240686

 $00:00:47.795 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.555$ fellowship at the Albany Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.97240686

 $00:00:49.555 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.835$ Center in New York, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97240686

 $00{:}00{:}50.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.835$ during her residency, completed a

00:00:52.835 --> 00:00:53.335 PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.99730307

 $00{:}00{:}54.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.309$ in tissue engineering and regenerative

NOTE Confidence: 0.99730307

 $00:00:56.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.950$ medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538347

 $00{:}00{:}57.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.489$ She worked at Toronto General

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538347

 $00:00:59.489 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.410$ Hospital University Health Network and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538347

 $00:01:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.010$ Sinai Health in Toronto for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538347

 $00:01:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.630$ several years prior to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998103

 $00:01:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.450$ being recruited to Yale as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998103

 $00:01:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.909$ an associate professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96579564

 $00:01:08.915 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.915$ She has received many awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96579564

 $00:01:11.155 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.915$ for her leadership, including the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96579564

 $00:01:12.915 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.775$ American Society for reconstructing

NOTE Confidence: 0.97878087

 $00{:}01{:}15.475 --> 00{:}01{:}15.975 \ reconstructive$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898125

00:01:16.435 --> 00:01:16.935 microsurgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9153566

 $00:01:17.955 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.015$ women in microsurgery

00:01:19.395 --> 00:01:21.075 travel scholarship, and the Hugh

NOTE Confidence: 0.89781713

 $00:01:21.075 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.735$ g Thompson

NOTE Confidence: 0.6743369

 $00:01:22.035 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.535$ humanitarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.99850243

 $00:01:22.995 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.360$ award. She's the author of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99850243

 $00:01:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.220$ over fifty peer reviewed publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375785

 $00:01:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.760$ focused on her research niche

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375785

 $00:01:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.819$ of breast reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99957293

 $00:01:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.580$ cancer reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99550706

00:01:32.680 --> 00:01:33.180 microsurgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936803

 $00:01:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.900$ tissue engineering, and tissue repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98074704

 $00:01:36.465 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.744$ And I can say on

NOTE Confidence: 0.98074704

00:01:37.744 --> 00:01:39.584 a personal note, having both

NOTE Confidence: 0.98074704

 $00{:}01{:}39.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.025$ sat on the search committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.98074704

 $00:01:41.025 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.325$ as part of her recruitment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9085037

00:01:42.865 --> 00:01:43.845 and now having,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99166304

 $00:01:44.384 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.744$ gotten to know her both

 $00{:}01{:}45.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.025$ as a clinician and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99166304

 $00:01:47.025 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.525$ colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99424076

00:01:48.065 --> 00:01:50.325 she, is excellent in everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.99424076

 $00:01:50.384 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.424$ she does, and we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.99424076

 $00:01:51.424 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.650$ so lucky to have her

NOTE Confidence: 0.99424076

 $00:01:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.790$ here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832948

00:01:54.490 --> 00:01:54.990 Welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775828

 $00:02:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.570$ Thank you so much, Rachel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775828

 $00:02:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.690$ and thank you for having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775828

 $00:02:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.130$ me today. It's an honor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775828

 $00:02:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.410$ to be giving grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775828

 $00:02:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.885$ today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876573

00:02:07.445 --> 00:02:08.885 So we'll be discussing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876573

 $00:02:08.885 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.805$ novel surgical management of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876573

00:02:10.805 --> 00:02:11.865 related lymphedema,

 $00:02:12.485 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.165$ and, specifically, I'll be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.98008454

00:02:14.165 --> 00:02:15.385 about a few projects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869638

00:02:16.005 --> 00:02:17.685 that we're working on currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869638

 $00:02:17.685 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.285$ and we've worked on at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869638

 $00:02:19.285 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.505$ my previous institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974467

 $00:02:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.080$ So the objectives are to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974467

 $00:02:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.860$ determine which patients are candidates

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974467

00:02:27.919 --> 00:02:29.620 for surgical management of lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974467

 $00:02:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.200$ to look at options for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974467

00:02:31.200 --> 00:02:33.060 surgical and microsurgical management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98984617

 $00{:}02{:}33.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.040$ to look at preoperative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98984617

 $00:02:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.540$ postoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.99979526

00:02:35.919 --> 00:02:36.419 rehabilitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98964465

 $00:02:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.300$ protocol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863599

 $00:02:37.915 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.755$ to discuss future techniques such

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863599

 $00:02:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.435$ as prevention and in vivo

 $00{:}02{:}41.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.875$ models, to look at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863599

 $00:02:42.875 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.695$ of the qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.98707247

 $00:02:43.995 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.755$ studies, and to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.98707247

00:02:45.755 --> 00:02:46.895 prediction models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904863

 $00{:}02{:}47.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.195$ specifically looking at some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904863

 $00:02:49.195 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.315$ the data here from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904863

00:02:50.315 --> 00:02:52.400 Smilow Cancer Center. My disclosure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904863

 $00:02:52.459 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.180$ is that I am a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904863

 $00:02:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.480$ consultant for Stryker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98836356

00:02:56.060 --> 00:02:57.359 So acute lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.99420685

 $00:02:57.739 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.459$ really presents with generalized protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.99420685

 $00:03:00.459 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.439$ and fluid accumulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99896187

 $00{:}03{:}01.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.040$ which leads to inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9667664

 $00:03:03.835 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.915$ and dilation and fibrosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9667664

 $00:03:05.915 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.575$ the lymphatics.

 $00:03:07.355 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.655$ And chronic lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952114

 $00:03:08.955 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.735$ is a sustained inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885987

 $00:03:11.195 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.695$ response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8954954

 $00:03:12.315 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.575$ increase in excessive adipose tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997304

 $00:03:15.115 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.615$ fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888285

 $00:03:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.569$ dilated vessels, and lymphangiogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984977

 $00:03:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.030$ And there is a theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984977

 $00:03:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.790$ that we can potentially prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984977

 $00{:}03{:}22.790 --> 00{:}03{:}23.849 \ \mathrm{chronic\ lymphedema}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948682

 $00:03:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.590$ if we can halt acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948682

 $00{:}03{:}25.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.090$ lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99760973

 $00:03:27.669 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.450$ Obviously, the management of lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.99760973

 $00:03:29.669 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.569$ is a multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.960031

 $00:03:31.349 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.925$ approach. So So it starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.960031

 $00:03:32.925 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.225$ at the time of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.960031

00:03:34.285 --> 00:03:34.785 diagnosis,

 $00{:}03{:}35.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.445$ and it runs through all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995982

 $00:03:36.445 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.585$ the years of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97538

 $00:03:38.205 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.125$ It really should focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97538

 $00:03:40.125 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.585$ prevention and surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971649

 $00:03:41.965 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.345$ So early assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90655553

 $00:03:44.045 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.065$ referral for exercise and rehabilitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.91655195

 $00:03:46.765 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.265$ therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99897724

 $00:03:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.470$ early physiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9438949

 $00:03:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.610$ is key, and, really, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9438949

 $00{:}03{:}50.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.310$ treatment centers around that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987662

 $00:03:52.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.370$ Why is this necessary? We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987662

 $00{:}03{:}54.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.130$ know that it reduces economic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987662

 $00{:}03{:}56.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.630$ burden associated with intensive rehabilitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985623

 $00:03:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.910$ and hospitalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852743

 $00:04:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.110$ for infection.

00:04:01.885 --> 00:04:03.425 And second secondary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792166

 $00:04:04.045 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.545$ education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695555

 $00:04:04.925 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.945$ which is promotion of positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695555

00:04:07.005 --> 00:04:08.465 self efficacy strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651044

 $00:04:09.245 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.745$ really reinforces the survivor's ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651044

 $00:04:11.805 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.945$ to control lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989424

 $00:04:13.245 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.505$ through early detection and early

NOTE Confidence: 0.99968946

 $00:04:15.965 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.465$ referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91507405

 $00{:}04{:}18.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.710$ So, really, the mainst ay treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.91507405

 $00:04:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.610$ is called combined or t

NOTE Confidence: 0.91507405

 $00:04:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.029$ con or complex decongestive therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91507405

 $00:04:25.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.150$ So it involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.9660887

 $00:04:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.930$ two of multiple modalities. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.9660887

 $00{:}04{:}28.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.630$ first one being manual lymphatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9660887

 $00:04:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.295$ drainage. So there are several

NOTE Confidence: 0.9660887

00:04:32.295 --> 00:04:33.275 different techniques.

00:04:33.895 --> 00:04:35.815 The VADER technique, the FOLDI

NOTE Confidence: 0.8832001

 $00:04:35.815 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.315$ method,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97269255

 $00:04:36.695 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.995$ the Leduc method.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954573

 $00:04:38.295 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.895$ There's a plethora of articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954573

00:04:39.895 --> 00:04:41.095 that have been published on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954573

 $00:04:41.095 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.955$ the effectiveness of these techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98164296

 $00:04:43.495 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.615$ but there's really not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98164296

 $00:04:44.615 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.070$ lot of data that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.98164296

 $00{:}04{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.830$ compares these these methods. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.98164296

 $00:04:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.029$ we know it really depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.98164296

 $00:04:49.029 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.070$ on the training of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98164296

 $00:04:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.570$ therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97990733

00:04:51.589 --> 00:04:54.010 And, also, compression. So compression

NOTE Confidence: 0.97990733

 $00:04:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.910$ allows to reduce that venous

NOTE Confidence: 0.97990733

00:04:55.910 --> 00:04:57.865 pressure and flow, which reduces

 $00:04:58.005 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.385$ the lymphatic load

NOTE Confidence: 0.99149

 $00:04:59.765 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.225$ and encourages the lymphatics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986511

 $00:05:01.605 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.665$ to take on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98962367

 $00:05:03.205 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.965$ that tissue pressure. So that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98962367

 $00:05:04.965 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.125$ basically looks like bandages and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98962367

 $00{:}05{:}07.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.825$ then garments in later stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797265

 $00:05:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.050$ So there are two phases

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797265

 $00:05:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.130$ to combine decongestive therapy. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797265

 $00:05:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.510$ first one is to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797265

 $00:05:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.690$ the size of the limb

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797265

 $00{:}05{:}15.690 \to 00{:}05{:}17.150$ and to improve the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950593

 $00:05:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.790$ The second phase is ongoing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950593

00:05:20.010 --> 00:05:20.830 It's individualized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979027

 $00:05:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.830$ It's about self management

NOTE Confidence: 0.99847066

 $00:05:23.485 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.305$ to maintain all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9570628

 $00:05:24.765 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.705$ gaze gains from phase one,

 $00:05:26.925 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.285$ and it's using those low

NOTE Confidence: 0.9570628

 $00:05:28.285 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.605$ stretch elastic stockings and sleeve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9570628

 $00:05:30.605 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.105$ compression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417032

 $00:05:31.805 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.545$ and multilayer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94966793

 $00:05:33.005 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.925$ stockings. So the goals are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94966793

 $00:05:34.925 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.630$ to reduce the swelling, increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.84108484

 $00:05:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.610$ drainage, reduce the skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8277896

 $00:05:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.930$ fibrosis, enhance the patient's functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635366

 $00:05:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.029$ status, relieve discomfort, and improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635366

 $00:05:45.029 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.870$ quality of life, and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635366

 $00:05:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.470$ also reduce the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635366

 $00:05:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.970$ cellulitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910156

 $00{:}05{:}50.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.225$ So staging in lymphedema is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910156

 $00:05:52.225 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.545$ actually key, and this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910156

 $00:05:54.545 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.825$ centers on whether or not

 $00:05:55.825 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.505$ these patients are candidates for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910156

 $00:05:57.505 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.365$ surgery, which I'll further discuss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750527

00:05:59.825 --> 00:06:01.345 But there are several different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955404

00:06:01.759 --> 00:06:03.759 staging, systems. The one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955404

 $00:06:03.759 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.279$ we typically use is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955404

 $00:06:05.279 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.479$ one that was created in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955404

 $00:06:06.479 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.300$ twenty thirteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.98649144

 $00:06:07.759 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.520$ by the International Society of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98649144

00:06:09.520 --> 00:06:10.020 Lymphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99226934

 $00:06:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.800$ which divides into stage zero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99226934

 $00{:}06{:}12.800 --> 00{:}06{:}14.495$ one, two, and three. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.99226934

 $00:06:14.495 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.875$ stage zero is subclinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965437

00:06:16.335 --> 00:06:17.875 It's the absence of edema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965437

 $00:06:17.935 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.474$ So these are patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.97575444

 $00:06:19.854 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.854$ will not actually see any

NOTE Confidence: 0.97575444

 $00:06:21.854 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.754$ volume changes,

 $00:06:23.455 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.955$ and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8781585

 $00{:}06{:}24.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.935$ whoever is examining them, whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8781585

 $00:06:25.935 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.010$ it's their physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99101084

 $00:06:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.150$ or even their surgeons, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.99101084

 $00:06:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.070$ won't actually see any changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.99101084

 $00:06:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.810$ in volumes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99958766

 $00:06:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.930$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963226

 $00{:}06{:}33.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.830$ we know that those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963226

 $00{:}06{:}34.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.750$ potentially have risk factors for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963226

00:06:36.750 --> 00:06:37.730 developing lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94953096

 $00:06:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.050$ And, ideally, as a surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941501

00:06:40.745 --> 00:06:41.865 who works with patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941501

 $00{:}06{:}41.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.545$ have lymphedema, I would love

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941501

 $00:06:43.545 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.505$ to see them when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941501

 $00:06:44.505 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.425$ at a stage zero. So

 $00:06:46.425 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.385$ we have to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941501

 $00:06:47.385 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.305$ to identify these patients early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941501 00:06:49.305 --> 00:06:49.805 on. NOTE Confidence: 0.99947685

 $00:06:50.265 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.625$ In terms of stage one

NOTE Confidence: 0.99947685

 $00:06:51.625 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.765$ and stage two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750404

 $00:06:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.360$ there's different modalities for looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750404

 $00:06:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.040$ at how we determine whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750404

 $00:06:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.920$ or not there are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750404

00:06:57.920 --> 00:06:59.700 stages. So one is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750404

00:06:59.760 --> 00:07:00.660 physical examination

NOTE Confidence: 0.90820444

 $00{:}07{:}01.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.420$ looking for pitting edema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981837

 $00:07:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.760$ So if you speak to

NOTE Confidence: 0.981837

 $00:07:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.100$ therapist, pitting edema is good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981837

 $00:07:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.680$ It's something that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.981837

 $00:07:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.325$ work with. It allows us

NOTE Confidence: 0.981837

 $00:07:09.325 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.685$ to put compression on. It

 $00:07:10.685 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.065$ allows us to use elastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.981837

 $00{:}07{:}12.125 \to 00{:}07{:}13.725$ bandages. It allows us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.981837

 $00:07:13.725 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.265$ actually allow for the flow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802024

 $00:07:15.885 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.405$ And, however, when there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802024

 $00:07:17.405 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.925$ longer pitting edema, we start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802024

 $00:07:18.925 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.625$ thinking that there's now progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802024

 $00:07:20.765 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.585$ of the lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677923

 $00:07:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.720$ and therefore, we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677923

 $00:07:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.020$ think about other modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92793083

00:07:25.640 --> 00:07:27.320 So typically for patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92793083

 $00:07:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.020$ stages one and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.995615

 $00:07:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.780$ we reserve physiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757581

 $00:07:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.600$ techniques. So those are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757581

 $00:07:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.100$ microsurgical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88934934

 $00:07:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.220$ supermicrosurgery

 $00:07:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.620$ techniques that I'll talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984123

00:07:36.914 --> 00:07:38.375 And at a later stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984123

 $00:07:38.675 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.534$ the possible surgical techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919854

 $00:07:40.835 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.055$ are things like liposuction

NOTE Confidence: 0.99910563

 $00:07:42.514 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.735$ and ablative techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99515027

 $00:07:45.074 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.595$ So these are examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99515027

 $00:07:46.595 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.194$ what the what a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.99515027

 $00:07:48.194 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.235$ could look like at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99515027

 $00:07:49.235 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.134$ different stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99744236

 $00:07:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.520$ And we also start seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99744236

 $00{:}07{:}52.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.960$ skin changes that are gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.99744236

 $00:07:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.900$ range from mild skin changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.99744236

 $00:07:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.099$ that could be reversible

NOTE Confidence: 0.967817

 $00:07:58.039 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.039$ to moderate skin changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.967817

 $00:08:00.039 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.460$ are potentially start becoming irreversible

NOTE Confidence: 0.96336746

 $00{:}08{:}03.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.185$ with some hardening of tissue,

 $00{:}08{:}05.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.425$ some dry skin, some discoloration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99734247

 $00:08:08.445 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.345$ where elevation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99396175

 $00:08:09.885 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.345$ is no longer helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729182

 $00:08:11.805 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.685$ And when we get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729182

 $00:08:12.685 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.545$ the severe stage of elephantiasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966214

00:08:15.485 --> 00:08:17.080 it's extensive hardening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9676477

 $00:08:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.940$ and very, very few things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9676477

 $00:08:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.680$ are actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993501

 $00:08:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.919$ helpful at that stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917655

 $00:08:23.699 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.900$ Now what happens when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917655

 $00:08:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.340$ look at the lymphatic vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917655

 $00{:}08{:}26.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.020$ under the microscope at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917655

 $00{:}08{:}28.020 --> 00{:}08{:}28.520 \ \mathrm{stages?}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9300533

 $00{:}08{:}28.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.905$ So typically a normal lymphatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9300533

 $00:08:31.045 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.585$ vessel is thin,

 $00:08:32.965 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.785$ is translucent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904885

 $00:08:34.565 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.005$ and we can see flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904885

 $00:08:36.005 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.045$ when we cut into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904885

 $00:08:37.045 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.645$ There's actually flow that comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904885

 $00:08:38.645 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.265$ out. The flow is slow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99944675

 $00:08:40.725 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.785$ but it is there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9551033

 $00:08:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.940$ Eventually with later stages, for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9551033

 $00:08:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.620$ example, as a stage one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9551033

 $00:08:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.000$ we see what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9551033

 $00:08:48.059 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.559$ ectasia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131572

 $00{:}08{:}49.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.660$ followed by contraction and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131572

 $00:08:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.160$ sclerosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969435

 $00:08:52.779 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.140$ So at that point, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969435

00:08:54.140 --> 00:08:55.820 vessels actually look very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969435

 $00:08:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.800$ under the microscope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9783689

 $00:08:57.395 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.675$ When you cut into them,

00:08:58.675 --> 00:09:00.295 there's very little flow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97640705

 $00:09:00.835 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.535$ and, they're no longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96755505

 $00:09:03.075 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.575$ potentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99559766

 $00:09:04.515 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.355$ available for things such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.99559766

 $00:09:06.355 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.015$ a bypass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

 $00:09:08.434 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.635$ Now how do we determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

00:09:09.635 --> 00:09:11.495 what the most appropriate surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

00:09:11.554 --> 00:09:13.170 technique is? It really depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

 $00:09:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.129$ on the things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

00:09:14.129 --> 00:09:15.730 just talked about. So staging

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

 $00:09:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.250$ is key and imaging is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

 $00:09:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.929$ key. The first thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

 $00:09:18.929 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.309$ we want to know is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

 $00:09:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.449$ does the lymphatic system still

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270375

 $00:09:22.449 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.970$ work? Are there still any

00:09:23.970 --> 00:09:25.589 lymphatic vessels available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852348

 $00:09:26.205 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.805$ Most likely in the distal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852348

 $00:09:27.805 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.005$ portion of the limb that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852348

 $00:09:29.005 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.065$ are still flowing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99162304

 $00:09:30.525 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.485$ So we look at we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99162304

 $00:09:31.485 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.705$ do clinical examination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813227

 $00:09:33.965 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.325$ We look at the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813227

 $00:09:35.325 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.684$ stages that we talked about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813227

 $00:09:36.684 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.125$ whether or not there's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813227

 $00:09:38.125 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.945$ any edema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9658631

 $00:09:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.360$ And another modality is lymphosyntography

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245856

 $00:09:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.960$ where a radioisotope

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998164

 $00:09:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.399$ is injected

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701145

 $00:09:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.620$ in the web spaces of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701145

 $00:09:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.140$ the hand or the or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701145

 $00:09:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.880$ the feet,

 $00:09:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.179$ and we look at whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836846

00:09:51.179 --> 00:09:52.540 or not there's actually any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836846

 $00:09:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.820$ flow. And as you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836846

 $00:09:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.105$ see here I'm not sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836846

 $00:09:55.105 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.145$ if you can see my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836846

 $00:09:56.145 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.645$ cursor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99783254

00:09:58.065 --> 00:09:59.745 At stage zero, you'll still

NOTE Confidence: 0.99783254

00:09:59.745 --> 00:10:01.765 see some flow going into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99783254

00:10:02.065 --> 00:10:03.365 the inguinal area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98323184

 $00:10:03.825 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.345$ Here, there's some flow that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98323184

 $00:10:05.345 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.085$ is starting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.996323

00:10:06.785 --> 00:10:08.145 Eventually, there's what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.996323

 $00{:}10{:}08.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.840$ dermal back flow, which I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.996323

 $00:10:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.120$ describe a little bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.996323

 $00:10:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.320$ but we can still see

 $00:10:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.360$ that there's some flow in

NOTE Confidence: 0.996323

 $00{:}10{:}13.360 --> 00{:}10{:}14.580$ the inguinal area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989484

 $00:10:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.800$ Stage two, which is further

NOTE Confidence: 0.989484

00:10:16.800 --> 00:10:17.300 progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8881257

 $00:10:17.679 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.900$ there's very very

NOTE Confidence: 0.95799

 $00:10:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.800$ little, that is lining up

NOTE Confidence: 0.95799

 $00:10:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.179$ in the inguinal area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97223073

 $00:10:22.595 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.635$ And in this case, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97223073

 $00:10:23.635 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.315$ is the other limb at

NOTE Confidence: 0.97223073

00:10:25.315 --> 00:10:26.515 stage three where there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97223073

 $00{:}10{:}26.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.215$ no flow and complete obstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854402

 $00:10:30.195 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.715$ So another modality that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854402

 $00:10:31.715 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.755$ use that is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854402

 $00:10:32.755 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.815$ helpful modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879474

 $00:10:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.510$ that I feel is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879474

 $00:10:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.790$ of the things that most

 $00{:}10{:}37.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.390$ commonly we use and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879474

 $00:10:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.309$ very helpful at figuring out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879474

 $00:10:41.309 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.510$ whether or not the lymphatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879474

 $00:10:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.050$ system works is indocyanin

NOTE Confidence: 0.97827435

00:10:44.430 --> 00:10:44.930 green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98575777

 $00:10:45.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.990$ So that's a fluorescent dye

NOTE Confidence: 0.98575777

 $00:10:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.350$ that is injected in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98575777

 $00{:}10{:}48.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.170$ same area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97106326

 $00:10:49.635 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.675$ This can also be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.97106326

 $00:10:50.675 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.495$ in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99879867

 $00:10:51.795 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.075$ And we've also been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.99879867

00:10:53.075 --> 00:10:54.615 to map out the progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.99879867

00:10:54.675 --> 00:10:55.415 of lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702084

00:10:55.795 --> 00:10:57.395 depending on what the imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702084

 $00:10:57.395 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.515$ looks like. So what we

 $00:10:58.515 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.315$ do is we use a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702084

00:10:59.315 --> 00:11:00.054 near infrared

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306797

 $00:11:00.355 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.855$ spectroscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731149

 $00:11:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.650$ It's called the spy machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731149

 $00:11:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.770$ to take a look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731149

 $00:11:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.130$ the limb and to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731149

 $00:11:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.410$ at whether or not there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731149

 $00:11:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.410$ linear flow, which is normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731149

 $00:11:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.910$ flow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987256

 $00:11:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.130$ Then we start seeing different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987256

 $00{:}11{:}12.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13.665$ patterns. We start seeing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987256

00:11:13.824 --> 00:11:14.644 splash pattern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99623555

00:11:15.105 --> 00:11:16.865 a stardust pattern, and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99623555

 $00{:}11{:}16.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.785$ diffuse pattern as there's progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.99623555

 $00:11:18.785 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.445$ of lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995505

 $00:11:20.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.824$ So the interesting thing about

 $00:11:21.824 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.024$ doing this is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995505

 $00:11:23.024 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.144$ can actually see it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995505

 $00:11:24.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.884$ real time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99705184

 $00:11:25.184 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.625$ You can start seeing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99705184

 $00:11:26.625 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.144$ flow, the movement of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99705184

 $00:11:28.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.644$ lymphatics

NOTE Confidence: 0.92723495

 $00:11:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.500$ within the channels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191226

 $00:11:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.240$ And and you can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191226

 $00:11:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.840$ map that out, but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191226

 $00:11:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.440$ record it. So this an

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191226

 $00:11:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.720$ this is an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191226

 $00:11:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.080$ one of my patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191226

 $00:11:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.620$ I injected in the operating

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191226

00:11:39.680 --> 00:11:41.600 room where you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191226

 $00:11:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.100$ there's,

 $00:11:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.920$ there's flow up to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99684405

 $00:11:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.060$ level of the elbow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99815106

00:11:45.704 --> 00:11:47.704 However, the flow changes. Initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99815106

 $00:11:47.704 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.324$ there's some linear flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437909

 $00:11:49.785 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.384$ followed by a splash pattern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437909

00:11:51.384 --> 00:11:53.404 a stardust pattern, and eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437909

 $00:11:53.464 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.304$ a diffuse pattern with no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437909

 $00:11:55.304 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.704$ further progression above the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437909

 $00:11:57.704 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.204$ elbow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866733

 $00:11:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.240$ So that allows us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866733

 $00:12:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.839$ figure out if, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866733

 $00:12:02.839 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.580$ this patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826174

 $00:12:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.200$ we did lymphove nous bypasses on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826174

 $00:12:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.640$ I'll talk about I'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826174

 $00:12:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.600$ about it a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826174

 $00:12:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.500$ more in detail.

00:12:10.120 --> 00:12:10.695 But this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890169

 $00:12:11.255 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.755$ intraoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758255

00:12:12.135 --> 00:12:14.375 ICG lymph angiography is key

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758255

 $00:12:14.375 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.815$ because I know in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758255

 $00:12:15.815 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.175$ area where there's a linear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758255

 $00:12:17.175 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.675$ pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640517

 $00:12:18.295 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.995$ and there's some flow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9820579

 $00:12:20.455 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.495$ this is where I'm gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.9820579

00:12:21.495 --> 00:12:22.395 do my bypasses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99286145

00:12:22.820 --> 00:12:24.420 Because if I travel more

NOTE Confidence: 0.99286145

 $00{:}12{:}24.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.880$ proximally up the limb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713161

 $00:12:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.940$ then there won't be any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713161

 $00{:}12{:}28.260 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.700$ lymphatics that are flowing, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713161

 $00:12:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.660$ I won't be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713161

 $00:12:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.420$ bypass them. This is an

 $00:12:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.179$ example under the microscope of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713161

 $00:12:34.179 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.700$ a lymphatic that is stained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713161

 $00:12:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.360$ in blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780623

 $00:12:36.735 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.335$ that is being an astomosed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780623

 $00:12:38.335 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.934$ a small venules that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780623

 $00:12:39.934 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.995$ of equal size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791496

 $00:12:41.375 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.975$ These vessels are typically less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791496

 $00:12:42.975 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.575$ than one millimeter in size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791496

 $00:12:44.575 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.855$ In this case, this vessel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791496

 $00:12:45.855 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.214$ is about zero point seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791496

 $00:12:47.214 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.714$ millimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674231

 $00:12:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.899$ So, for a lymphovenous bypass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674231

 $00:12:50.959 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.059$ we would typically perform multiple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995694

 $00:12:53.679 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.579$ different bypasses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890337

 $00:12:54.959 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.879$ along the, the arm in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890337

 $00:12:56.879 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.420$ the area where we'd expect,

 $00:12:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.600$ lymphatic flow or lymphatic vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993482

 $00:13:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.819$ to still be present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640671

 $00:13:03.704 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.925$ So what is a lymphatico

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640671

 $00:13:05.065 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.065$ venous bypass, also referred to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640671

00:13:07.065 --> 00:13:08.425 as an LVA or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640671

00:13:08.425 --> 00:13:08.925 LVB?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992095

 $00:13:09.304 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.165$ So it's a microsurgical anastomosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.98457825

 $00:13:11.785 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.385$ of a lymphatic vessel to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98457825

 $00:13:13.385 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.605$ a small superficial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998196

00:13:14.985 --> 00:13:15.485 vein

NOTE Confidence: 0.997541

00:13:16.000 --> 00:13:17.680 to shunt that lymph fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.997541

 $00:13:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.980$ into the venous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959325

 $00:13:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.360$ So the theory is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959325

00:13:21.360 --> 00:13:21.840 this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556202

 $00:13:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.100$ this lymphatico venous anastomosis

00:13:24.559 --> 00:13:26.480 will remain patent and open

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.400$ if the lymphatic pressure is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.015$ higher than the venous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:30.255 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.535$ So you can imagine if

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:31.535 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.415$ you open one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:32.415 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.695$ venules and there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:33.695 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.655$ of flow coming out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:34.655 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.934$ it, that is not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00{:}13{:}35.934 \longrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38.195$ good recipient vein. Right? So

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:38.255 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.535$ you have to really find

NOTE Confidence: 0.98675174

 $00:13:39.535 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.054$ the appropriate venule to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867517400:13:41.054 --> 00:13:41.554 that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9277074

 $00:13:41.855 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.795$ So that is best ensured

NOTE Confidence: 0.9277074

 $00:13:43.934 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.054$ by do looking for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9277074

 $00:13:45.054 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.820$ low pressure subdermal venule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98527706

00:13:47.200 --> 00:13:48.559 And we think from looking

 $00:13:48.559 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.399$ at the, the studies is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98527706

 $00{:}13{:}50.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.600$ that patients could have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98527706

 $00:13:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.339$ mean reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925001

 $00:13:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.240$ of about thirty five percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925001

 $00:13:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.440$ of their volume at one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925001

 $00:13:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.940$ year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297494

 $00:13:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.079$ And we also know from

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297494

00:13:58.079 --> 00:13:59.495 looking at studies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297494

00:13:59.495 --> 00:14:00.615 been going on for quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297494

 $00:14:00.615 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.975$ some time is that after

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297494

 $00:14:01.975 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.255$ about two to three years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297494

 $00:14:03.255 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.475$ these patients potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746393

 $00{:}14{:}04.855 --> 00{:}14{:}05.835 \ \mathrm{need \ more},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99219465

00:14:06.455 --> 00:14:07.755 lymphovenous bypasses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99642503

 $00:14:09.175 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.635$ What's a lymph node transfer?

 $00:14:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.860$ So it's LNT or vascularized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816992

00:14:13.160 --> 00:14:14.139 lymph node transfer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979779

00:14:14.519 --> 00:14:16.120 So this is typically reserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979779

 $00:14:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.220$ for stages two or more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98344964

00:14:18.760 --> 00:14:21.019 So, basically, the recipient bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731777

 $00{:}14{:}21.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.459$ where there's a lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931812

 $00:14:22.839 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.060$ is first prepared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:24.395 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.435$ So one of the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00{:}14{:}25.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.875$ important things in that area

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:26.875 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.235$ is to excise all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:28.235 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.995$ scar because we think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:29.995 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.435$ removing the scar will actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:31.435 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.035$ help with the flow, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:33.035 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.335$ then you take a flap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:34.395 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.315$ basically, of tissue that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:36.315 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.855$ some lymph nodes in it.

 $00:14:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.140$ So the lymph nodes can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.820$ be obtained from different sites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.920$ the omentum, the inguinal area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.420$ the thoracic area, or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00{:}14{:}44.420 --> 00{:}14{:}46.180$ cervical area. And, ideally, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.540$ wanna take them from areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.899$ where patients will not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843194

 $00:14:48.899 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.880$ developing lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996623

 $00:14:50.545 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.545$ So reverse mapping is key

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996623

 $00:14:52.545 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.065$ to determine which areas to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996623

 $00:14:54.065 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.045$ take them from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949904

 $00:14:55.345 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.865$ So the theory behind this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949904

 $00:14:56.865 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.625$ is that you're transferring healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949904

00:14:58.625 --> 00:14:59.445 lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922127

 $00:14:59.825 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.745$ They're gonna actually produce vascular

 $00:15:01.745 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.825$ endothelial growth factors that's gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922127

 $00{:}15{:}03.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.805$ promote lymphangiogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.97739106

 $00{:}15{:}05.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.000$ and new connections between proximal

NOTE Confidence: 0.97739106

 $00:15:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.980$ distal lymphatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644654

 $00:15:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.680$ The removal of the scar

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644654

00:15:11.680 --> 00:15:13.440 itself is also gonna enhance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644654

 $00:15:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.020$ the immunological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998184

 $00:15:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.900$ function

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866267

 $00:15:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.280$ and reduce the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866267

 $00:15:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.780$ infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952274

 $00:15:18.535 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.895$ but there's no data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952274

 $00:15:19.895 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.155$ actually suggests that lymphatic vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952274

 $00:15:22.215 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.475$ actually regenerate from those nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952274

 $00:15:24.535 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.055$ So all those nodes, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952274

 $00:15:26.055 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.815$ only thing they're doing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952274

 $00:15:27.815 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.595$ producing the growth factors

 $00:15:30.055 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.915$ required to allow the lymphatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955218

 $00:15:32.055 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.700$ vessels that are there to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955218

 $00:15:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.760$ actually reform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.998987

 $00:15:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.420$ So preventative techniques are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.998987

 $00{:}15{:}38.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.160$ important. So one preventative technique

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871713

 $00:15:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.660$ used to be called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871713

00:15:42.660 --> 00:15:43.800 lymphatic microsurgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93501854

 $00:15:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.279$ prevent preventing healing approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81121475

 $00:15:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.160$ lympha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99874306

 $00:15:47.774 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.214$ We now call it immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.99874306

 $00{:}15{:}49.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.274$ lymphatic reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99825233

 $00:15:51.214 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.575$ So this is used for

NOTE Confidence: 0.99825233

00:15:52.575 --> 00:15:53.555 primary prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.98609936

 $00:15:53.935 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.855$ of arm lymphedema, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98609936

 $00:15:55.855 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.975$ in breast cancer, but it

 $00:15:56.975 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.255$ can also be used from

NOTE Confidence: 0.98609936

00:15:58.255 --> 00:15:59.714 melanoma in the trunk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9849152

 $00:16:00.209 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.949$ It's a lymphovenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600172

 $00:16:01.329 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.850$ bypass similar to what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600172

 $00:16:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.670$ just described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993654

 $00:16:04.209 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.089$ but they're done in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993654

 $00:16:05.089 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.449$ patient who does not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993654

 $00:16:06.449 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.949$ lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969136

 $00{:}16{:}07.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.529$ So they're done as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969136

00:16:08.529 --> 00:16:10.389 possibly preventative method

NOTE Confidence: 0.91400427

 $00{:}16{:}11.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.850$ to hopefully decrease their risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.91400427

 $00:16:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.589$ of lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98445374

 $00:16:14.195 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.475$ and they don't require any

NOTE Confidence: 0.98445374

00:16:15.475 --> 00:16:16.995 lymph nodes. They don't require

NOTE Confidence: 0.98445374

 $00:16:16.995 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.695$ any, lymph vessel harvesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

 $00:16:19.955 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.875$ So our algorithm algorithm for

 $00:16:21.875 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.235$ treatment is in the phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

 $00:16:23.235 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.115$ one, when I see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

 $00:16:24.115 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.555$ patient that has lymphedema, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

 $00:16:25.555 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.915$ wanna make sure they're well

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

 $00:16:26.915 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.560$ optimized for their CPT or

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

 $00:16:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.920$ their CDT. So they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

 $00:16:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.760$ therapists on board. They've done

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

 $00:16:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.160$ their combined econjective therapy. They've

NOTE Confidence: 0.97399896

00:16:34.160 --> 00:16:35.220 been very rigorous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99575293

 $00:16:36.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.620$ for six to twelve months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99575293

 $00:16:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.800$ You'll see that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971943

 $00:16:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.320$ most of the patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971943

 $00:16:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.360$ we do end up seeing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971943

 $00:16:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.640$ and we'll talk about barriers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971943

 $00:16:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.075$ of care in this area

 $00:16:44.075 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.815$ as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99606997

 $00{:}16{:}45.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.975$ are patients who are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.99606997

 $00:16:47.035 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.415$ very good at their lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960699700:16:48.635 --> 00:16:49.135 care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982401

 $00:16:49.755 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.115$ And then the second phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.982401

00:16:51.115 --> 00:16:52.095 would be microsurgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866511

 $00:16:52.715 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.395$ and then the postoperative phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.866511

 $00:16:54.395 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.845$ is just as important, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.866511

 $00:16:55.845 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.905$ is involves a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866511

00:16:55.905 --> 00:16:56.495 rehabilitation and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.57079846

 $00:16:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.380$ rehabilitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578992

 $00:16:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.300$ and a lot of follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578992

 $00:17:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.880$ So in terms of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578992

 $00:17:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.480$ do we do, so for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578992

00:17:03.480 --> 00:17:03.980 prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437038

00:17:04.359 --> 00:17:05.980 we try to avoid lymphatic

 $00:17:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.420$ injury as best as possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437038

 $00:17:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.260$ by performing good dissections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974827

 $00:17:09.984 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.024$ and we try to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974827

 $00:17:11.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.404$ immediate lymphatic reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708581

 $00:17:13.105 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.085$ And for treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9606972

 $00:17:14.544 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.825$ early on at an early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9606972

 $00:17:15.825 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.345$ stage, we would do multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9606972

00:17:17.345 --> 00:17:18.644 lymphovenous bypasses

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992736

 $00:17:19.264 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.125$ and later on maybe liposuction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877377

00:17:21.664 --> 00:17:22.945 once we're at a stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877377

 $00:17:22.945 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.065$ where there's more of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877377

00:17:24.065 --> 00:17:24.965 fatty component

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949199

 $00{:}17{:}25.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.440$ to the lymphedema, which happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949199

 $00{:}17{:}27.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.440$ in the chronic lymphedema phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89879405

 $00:17:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.800$ The postoperative

 $00:17:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.880$ protocols are very key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986054

00:17:33.180 --> 00:17:34.640 At my previous institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963762

 $00:17:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.980$ we had a very big

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963762

00:17:35.980 --> 00:17:36.480 group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933212

 $00:17:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.880$ involving physiotherapists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97949666

 $00:17:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.800$ manual lymphatic therapists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93558925

 $00:17:41.315 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.695$ and we came up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93558925

 $00:17:42.835 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.734$ different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977062 00:17:44.115 --> 00:17:44.615 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.984787

 $00:17:44.915 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.975$ follow them postoperatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695808

 $00:17:46.355 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.475$ It really depends on whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695808

 $00:17:47.475 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.434$ or not they're having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695808

00:17:48.434 --> 00:17:50.355 lymphovenous bypass or a lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695808

 $00:17:50.355 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.015$ node transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905216

00:17:51.635 --> 00:17:53.075 It involves six weeks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905216

00:17:53.075 --> 00:17:53.575 compression.

00:17:54.140 --> 00:17:55.500 It involves a change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714906

 $00{:}17{:}55.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.420$ the manual lymphatic regimen. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714906

 $00:17:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.620$ we talked about a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714906

 $00:17:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.240$ flow to low flow system

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714906

 $00{:}18{:}00.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.460$ where typically patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714906

 $00:18:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.960$ lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.93454564

 $00:18:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.200$ massage up towards the axilla,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93454564

 $00:18:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.920$ for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858895

 $00:18:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.660$ In this case, you wanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858895

 $00:18:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.560$ keep the anastomosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653782

 $00:18:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.994$ open so you actually start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653782

 $00{:}18{:}10.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.455$ mass aging towards the anastomosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.98087144

 $00:18:13.075 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.655$ as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959811

 $00:18:13.955 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.234$ And the goal is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959811

 $00:18:15.555 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.755$ for them to decrease the

 $00:18:16.755 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.515$ amount of compression and time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959811

00:18:18.515 --> 00:18:19.795 they actually spend on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959811

00:18:19.795 --> 00:18:20.295 lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998713

 $00{:}18{:}20.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.095$ care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633135

 $00:18:22.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.810$ We also, we started a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633135

 $00{:}18{:}23.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.650$ prospective study. So we wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633135

 $00:18:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.010$ to see, do these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633135

00:18:27.010 --> 00:18:27.910 actually work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756689

00:18:28.369 --> 00:18:30.070 Does surgery actually work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96243334

 $00:18:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.290$ So, we teamed up with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96243334

 $00:18:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.890$ doctor Fifi Liu and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.96243334

 $00:18:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.475$ lab at Princess Margaret Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.96243334

00:18:35.475 --> 00:18:37.155 at my previous institution where

NOTE Confidence: 0.96243334

 $00{:}18{:}37.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.515$ we operated on patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.96243334

00:18:38.515 --> 00:18:40.035 had lymphedema, and we followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.96243334

 $00:18:40.035 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.934$ them with time.

00:18:41.715 --> 00:18:42.215 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99432886

00:18:42.515 --> 00:18:43.875 they were really interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99432886

 $00:18:43.875 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.815$ looking at potentially any biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609506

 $00:18:46.275 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.494$ or any transcriptome

NOTE Confidence: 0.99923784

 $00{:}18{:}47.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.895$ profiling for patients with lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878527

 $00:18:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.570$ We looked at PPARs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8781147

 $00:18:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.250$ which are our paroxysone

NOTE Confidence: 0.981884

 $00{:}18{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.730$ proliferator activated receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353124

 $00:18:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.590$ We found interestingly that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353124

 $00:18:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.690$ dermal fibrosis staining in lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.93575585

 $00:19:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.730$ is increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96922565

 $00:19:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.915$ We also looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434775

 $00{:}19{:}04.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.155$ RNA sequencing, and we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434775

 $00:19:06.155 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.355$ at heat maps to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434775

 $00:19:07.355 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.494$ at what is downregulated,

 $00:19:08.875 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.994$ and we noticed that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.99030375

00:19:09.994 --> 00:19:10.494 PPARs

NOTE Confidence: 0.99193746

 $00{:}19{:}10.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.855$ were actually downregulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.99590516

 $00:19:12.234 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.915$ in patients with lymphedema. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.99590516

 $00:19:13.915 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.355$ these are from biopsies from

NOTE Confidence: 0.99590516

 $00:19:15.355 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.960$ their skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796486

00:19:16.679 --> 00:19:17.659 And more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899794

00:19:17.960 --> 00:19:19.740 we looked at IL seventeen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899794

00:19:19.960 --> 00:19:21.340 signaling using immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905013

 $00:19:22.039 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.159$ and we found that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905013

00:19:23.159 --> 00:19:23.980 was an upregulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979253

 $00:19:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.960$ of IL seventeen. So what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979253

 $00:19:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.859$ does this mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98920697

 $00:19:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.380$ So these PPARs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9229614

 $00:19:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.600$ are fatty acid sensors. They're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9229614

 $00{:}19{:}30.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31.080$ involved in transcription. They're involved

 $00:19:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.855$ in transcription. They're involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9229614

 $00:19:32.855 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.355$ adipogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889576

 $00:19:34.054 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.955$ lipid metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968614

 $00:19:35.734 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.794$ insulin sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99975014

 $00:19:37.575 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.514$ and maintenance of metabolic homeostasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99248993

 $00:19:40.695 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.855$ Their reduced expression really suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.99248993

 $00:19:42.855 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.455$ that there's a metabolic shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.99248993

 $00:19:44.455 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.975$ that could contribute to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99248993

 $00:19:45.975 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.475$ inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755861

 $00:19:46.934 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.170$ stage, and the RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.961472

 $00:19:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.490$ of these tissues really demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.961472

 $00:19:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.850$ that there's a down regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.961472

 $00:19:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.730$ of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709623

 $00{:}19{:}54.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.050$ and including different targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569591

 $00:19:56.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.369$ So really this highlights,

 $00:19:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.250$ the role of particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795758

 $00:20:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.610$ genes in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771854

00:20:02.975 --> 00:20:04.435 most specifically PPAR

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723854

00:20:05.055 --> 00:20:07.475 gamma and other related FAO

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723854

 $00:20:07.535 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.035$ genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97810906

 $00{:}20{:}08.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.175$ They were really interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97810906

 $00:20:10.175 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.455$ looking at, can we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97810906

00:20:11.455 --> 00:20:13.375 target therapeutics for patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.97810906

 $00:20:13.375 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.515$ currently have lymphedema?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763129

 $00:20:15.179 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.619$ So we know from these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763129

 $00{:}20{:}16.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.019$ observations that lymphedema is linked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763129

 $00:20:19.019 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.759$ to obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98406124

 $00{:}20{:}20.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.919$ but also metabolic syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985858

 $00:20:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.220$ And the goal from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985858

 $00:20:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.980$ was to really investigate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985858

 $00:20:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.059$ metabolic alterations at the time

 $00:20:28.059 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.279$ of cancer surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95584273

 $00:20:29.785 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.325$ Our goal is to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.95584273

 $00:20:31.545 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.325$ the early markers of lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.95584273

00:20:33.545 --> 00:20:35.005 risk, to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.99426293

00:20:35.305 --> 00:20:36.365 baseline inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.96709514

00:20:36.665 --> 00:20:38.665 markers and cytokines in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.96709514

 $00:20:38.665 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.484$ who are undergoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.91922575

 $00:20:39.865 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.585$ lymph node,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949097

 $00:20:40.905 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.405$ dissection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99008626

 $00:20:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.680$ to understand the roles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99008626

 $00:20:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.020$ PPAR gamma and FAO pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99787265

 $00:20:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.480$ It could really, help in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99787265

 $00:20:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.980$ predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.99121815

 $00:20:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.140$ a framework for assessing lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.99121815

 $00:20:51.359 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.200$ risk and to really bridge

 $00:20:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.580$ the gap between inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655568

 $00{:}20{:}55.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.415$ states and later metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.99162555

 $00:20:57.035 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.494$ dysfunction in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94689316

 $00:20:58.875 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.315$ And, ultimately, the goal is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94689316

 $00:21:00.315 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.335$ to identify a buy biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.94689316

 $00{:}21{:}02.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.075$ that predicts who will develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.94689316

00:21:04.075 --> 00:21:04.575 lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984077

 $00:21:05.915 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.195$ So with these patients as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984077

 $00{:}21{:}07.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.655$ well, we did a prospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984077

 $00:21:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.430$ study looking at the impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984077

 $00:21:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.410$ of lymphovenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829519

 $00:21:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.210$ bypass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99873513

 $00:21:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.050$ and lymphovenous anastomosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891033

00:21:14.430 --> 00:21:15.710 as a treatment for upper

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891033

 $00:21:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.930$ and lower limb lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9212465

00:21:17.550 --> 00:21:19.390 And Catherine Bowman, who's currently

 $00:21:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.250$ doing her PhD at Stanford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98637205

00:21:21.775 --> 00:21:22.755 worked on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964623

00:21:23.295 --> 00:21:24.975 with our cohort, our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964623

 $00:21:24.975 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.715$ from Toronto.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97986317

 $00:21:26.415 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.934$ She is going back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97986317

 $00:21:27.934 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.934$ do her, medical school in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97986317

00:21:29.934 --> 00:21:31.455 Calgary after she's done her

NOTE Confidence: 0.97986317

 $00:21:31.455 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.734$ PhD, but this was one

NOTE Confidence: 0.97986317

 $00:21:32.734 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.175$ of hers, the studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97986317

 $00:21:34.175 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.830$ she worked on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950212

00:21:35.549 --> 00:21:36.990 So I wanna first thank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991234

 $00:21:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.289$ all the members

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611806

 $00{:}21{:}38.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.950$ involved in this study. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611806

 $00:21:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.909$ had a lot of patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611806

 $00:21:40.909 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.510$ advisers for the study who

 $00:21:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.409$ had invaluable

NOTE Confidence: 0.99906063

 $00:21:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.210$ contributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97127706

 $00:21:44.909 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.950$ We had a we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.97127706

 $00:21:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.390$ a lot of interviews with

NOTE Confidence: 0.97127706

 $00:21:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.750$ these patients, and we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.97127706

 $00:21:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.570$ a lot of study

NOTE Confidence: 0.987796

 $00{:}21{:}50.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.525$ participants. So we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.987796

 $00:21:52.525 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.984$ look at whether or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98141706

 $00{:}21{:}55.405 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}21{:}57.164$ prospectively, we can are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98141706

00:21:57.164 --> 00:21:59.025 decreasing volume? But more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98141706

00:21:59.085 --> 00:22:00.365 can we look at quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.98141706

 $00:22:00.365 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.825$ of life for these patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98337936

 $00:22:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.040$ So what we used is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98337936

 $00:22:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.880$ we had initial consult with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98337936

 $00:22:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.320$ the with our patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98337936

 $00{:}22{:}07.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08.920$ lymphedema. They had a clinical

 $00:22:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.420$ appointment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97009134

 $00:22:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.480$ We used ICG Green to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97009134

 $00:22:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.920$ map them in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97009134

 $00:22:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.740$ We identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976683

 $00{:}22{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.880$ them as possible candidate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976683

 $00:22:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.380$ lymphovenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996992

 $00:22:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.580$ bypass surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988044

00:22:18.175 --> 00:22:19.855 They under they had already

NOTE Confidence: 0.988044

 $00:22:19.855 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.775$ been doing CDT, but they

NOTE Confidence: 0.988044

 $00:22:21.775 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.275$ underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966208

 $00{:}22{:}22.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.415$ a two week bandaging regimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966208

 $00:22:24.415 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.695$ which is a three layer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966208

00:22:25.695 --> 00:22:26.195 compression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99625224

 $00:22:26.975 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.755$ They then underwent a preoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.99625224

 $00{:}22{:}28.975 --> 00{:}22{:}29.475 \ assessment$

00:22:30.369 --> 00:22:32.149 of limb measures, questionnaires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99398166

 $00:22:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.350$ interviews.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90413916

 $00:22:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.070$ They underwent their lymphovenous anastomosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.988877

 $00:22:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.950$ intraoperatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.90971255

 $00:22:37.809 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.330$ and then underwent six weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.90971255

 $00:22:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.250$ of bandaging regimen after, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90971255

 $00:22:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.915$ we followed them post postoperatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538246

 $00:22:43.375 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.075$ where we performed limb measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538246

 $00:22:45.135 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.635$ again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986963

 $00:22:46.095 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.595$ questionnaires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553084

 $00:22:47.135 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.635$ interviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989831

 $00:22:48.175 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.295$ and I wanna show some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989831

 $00:22:49.295 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.675$ of the results from this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97404903

 $00:22:51.375 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.295$ So the primary outcome that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97404903

 $00:22:53.295 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.575$ we looked at was limb

NOTE Confidence: 0.97404903

 $00:22:54.575 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.210$ volume changed based upon circumferential

 $00{:}22{:}57.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58.790$ measurements, and we did find

NOTE Confidence: 0.97404903

 $00{:}22{:}58.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.010$ that there was a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.97404903

 $00:23:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.750$ decrease in their in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.97404903

 $00{:}23{:}01.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.190$ volume measurements. But what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.97404903

 $00:23:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.310$ important for us was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97404903

 $00:23:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.290$ look at the secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.7396904

00:23:05.670 --> 00:23:08.410 quantity quali quant qualitative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657351

00:23:09.034 --> 00:23:10.715 So we perform semi structured

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657351

 $00:23:10.715 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.475$ interviews that really focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657351

00:23:12.475 --> 00:23:13.615 quality of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99753714

00:23:14.154 --> 00:23:14.654 psychosocial

NOTE Confidence: 0.99961615

 $00:23:14.955 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.455$ well-being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843922

00:23:16.075 --> 00:23:16.575 symptomology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894048

00:23:17.434 --> 00:23:17.934 function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99588627

 $00:23:18.394 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.695$ and surgical experience.

 $00:23:20.315 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.510$ So these are our results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9663404

 $00:23:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.830$ So our findings in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9663404

 $00:23:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.490$ of demographics

NOTE Confidence: 0.98427695

 $00:23:24.869 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.230$ are most reflective of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98427695

 $00:23:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.230$ published literature. So the majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.98427695

 $00:23:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.609$ of patients were female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942943

 $00:23:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.450$ They had stage two lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.98328626

 $00:23:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.290$ and a history of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965579

 $00:23:34.934 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.455$ And when we looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919397

 $00:23:37.015 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.015$ at some scores, some quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919397

00:23:39.015 --> 00:23:40.455 of life scores that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919397

 $00:23:40.455 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.855$ not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91562176

 $00:23:41.255 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.335$ for lymphedema in particular, there

NOTE Confidence: 0.91562176

 $00{:}23{:}43.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.095$ was no significant in decrease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91562176

 $00:23:45.414 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.635$ increase or decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97877425

 $00{:}23{:}47.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.395$ There was just no significance.

00:23:48.535 --> 00:23:50.190 But when we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.97877425

 $00:23:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.710$ quality of life score, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.97877425

00:23:51.710 --> 00:23:53.149 lymph quality of life score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97877425

 $00:23:53.149 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.450$ we noticed that preoperatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867354

 $00:23:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.070$ compared to postoperatively, there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867354

 $00:23:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.510$ an increase in their quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867354

 $00:23:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.570$ of life score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.992916

 $00:24:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.309$ So let's look at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.992916

 $00:24:01.309 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.649$ of the preoperative qualitative findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99953544 00:24:04.109 --> 00:24:04.609 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9947958

 $00:24:05.225 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.905$ in terms of functional impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947958

 $00:24:06.905 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.765$ of lymphedema on their activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947958

 $00{:}24{:}08.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.765$ of daily living, their mobility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866621

00:24:11.145 --> 00:24:13.385 and their activity limits, ninety

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866621

 $00:24:13.385 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.825$ three percent of these patients

 $00:24:14.825 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.265$ had an it had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866621

00:24:16.265 --> 00:24:17.705 impact on their activities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866621

 $00:24:17.705 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.480$ daily living.

NOTE Confidence: 0.977533

 $00:24:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.480$ Eighty seven percent of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.977533

 $00:24:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.780$ had limited activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778314

00:24:22.559 --> 00:24:24.500 Seventy nine percent, it affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778314

 $00:24:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.460$ their mobility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96589965

 $00:24:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.520$ and seventy three percent, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.96589965

 $00:24:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.020$ impacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982908

 $00:24:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.299$ on exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9382894

 $00{:}24{:}29.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.585$ So a patient said I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9382894

 $00:24:31.585 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.725$ cannot lift things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973681

 $00:24:33.184 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.144$ It would have been easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973681

 $00:24:34.144 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.744$ to lift them before. There

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973681

 $00:24:35.744 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.264$ are times where I need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973681

 $00:24:37.264 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.965$ actual help to get dressed.

 $00:24:39.184 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.384$ There are just things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973681

 $00:24:40.384 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.524$ I can't do anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996959

00:24:42.384 --> 00:24:44.005 In terms of physical symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835496

00:24:44.970 --> 00:24:47.070 ninety one percent reported swelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835496

 $00:24:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.369$ and sixty percent reported pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835496

 $00:24:49.369 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.970$ We often don't consider pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835496

 $00:24:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.830$ as something associated with lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998815

 $00:24:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.270$ but it can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112693

 $00:24:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.869$ The pain is debilitating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99661845

 $00:24:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.850$ and the pain causes me

NOTE Confidence: 0.99661845

 $00:24:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.595$ stress, which is very hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.99661845

 $00:24:59.595 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.815$ on my mental health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645775

00:25:01.595 --> 00:25:03.755 In terms of psychosocial impact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645775

 $00:25:03.755 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.895$ so on anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923703

 $00:25:05.435 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.355$ low mood, and chronic disease

 $00:25:07.355 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.855$ coping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98208946

 $00{:}25{:}08.155 {\: -->\:} 00{:}25{:}10.015$ seven ty seven percent of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.98208946

 $00:25:10.075 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.835$ had an impact on low

NOTE Confidence: 0.98208946

 $00{:}25{:}11.835 --> 00{:}25{:}12.270 \bmod.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98510617

 $00:25:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.750$ Fifty five percent talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.98510617

 $00:25:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.250$ anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99394214

 $00:25:15.869 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.630$ Eighteen percent had anxiety with

NOTE Confidence: 0.99394214

 $00:25:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.850$ their upcoming surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991873

00:25:19.230 --> 00:25:21.090 Thirty six percent had anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991873

 $00:25:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.090$ related to their disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819627

 $00:25:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.470$ I'm strong minded, so when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819627

 $00:25:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.830$ I talk to somebody one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819627

 $00:25:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.845$ on one like this, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819627

 $00{:}25{:}27.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.505$ get emotional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829889

 $00:25:29.125 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.085$ But in front of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829889

 $00:25:30.085 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.285$ family, I put on a

00:25:31.285 --> 00:25:32.805 very, very brave face, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829889

00:25:32.805 --> 00:25:33.765 I try to push it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829889

 $00:25:33.765 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.445$ through, or I can pretend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829889

 $00:25:35.445 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.645$ that I'm okay so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829889

 $00:25:36.645 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.305$ don't worry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996946

 $00:25:38.005 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.225$ In terms of cellulitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92740864

 $00:25:39.845 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.965$ forty one percent of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.92740864

 $00:25:40.965 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.425$ patients experienced cellulitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992614

 $00:25:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.369$ With more than one episode,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99202514

 $00:25:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.770$ they reported fear and distress

NOTE Confidence: 0.99202514

 $00:25:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.750$ related to cellulitis, and twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99202514

00:25:48.750 --> 00:25:50.109 four percent of them were

NOTE Confidence: 0.99202514

 $00{:}25{:}50.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.609$ hospitalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935019

 $00{:}25{:}51.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52.910$ I'm always concerned that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935019

00:25:52.910 --> 00:25:54.690 antibiotics will stop working,

 $00:25:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.290$ that I'll have a raging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9593589

 $00:25:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.570$ uncontrolled infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745869

 $00:25:58.109 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.785$ and there's also a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745869

 $00:25:59.924 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.664$ for death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99946755

00:26:01.525 --> 00:26:03.145 In terms of treatment modalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.992065

 $00:26:03.845 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.205$ these patients have pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.992065

 $00:26:05.205 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.225$ tried it all. Obviously, compression

NOTE Confidence: 0.992065

00:26:07.365 --> 00:26:09.065 and manual lymphatic drainage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995574

 $00:26:09.605 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.105$ exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9176529

00:26:10.565 --> 00:26:12.779 nomadic pump, skin care. There's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9176529

 $00:26:12.779 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.220$ always some sort of new

NOTE Confidence: 0.9176529

 $00:26:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.720$ device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967805

 $00:26:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.059$ And there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967805

00:26:16.059 --> 00:26:16.960 treatment challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.99235946

 $00:26:17.899 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.119$ related to discomfort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529108

00:26:19.740 --> 00:26:20.880 improper fitting,

00:26:21.260 --> 00:26:21.760 financially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99516153

 $00:26:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.080$ as well as mobility restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99300146

00:26:24.664 --> 00:26:26.585 I hate compression garments. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.99300146

00:26:26.585 --> 00:26:28.265 don't think anyone likes wearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99300146

 $00:26:28.265 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.784$ them. It's like wearing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99300146

 $00:26:29.784 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.544$ scuba suit. It's difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99300146

 $00:26:31.544 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.044$ walk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901075

 $00:26:32.345 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.865$ I get shortness of breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901075

 $00:26:33.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.385$ walking up a hill because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901075

 $00:26:35.385 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.804$ there's, like, this added resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868606

 $00:26:38.899 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.019$ Now let's look at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868606

 $00:26:40.019 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.519$ postoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953588

 $00:26:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.039$ qualitative findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98114175

 $00:26:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.519$ In terms of limb volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98114175

 $00:26:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.240$ discomfort,

00:26:45.779 --> 00:26:48.200 pain, heaviness, tightness, and tingling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99821365

 $00{:}26{:}48.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.880$ eighty eight percent of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.99821365

 $00{:}26{:}49.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.559$ reported reduction in heaviness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994989

 $00:26:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.619$ So heaviness is one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994989

00:26:53.619 --> 00:26:55.035 these things that I feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994989

 $00:26:55.035 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.895$ we don't ask about enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678846

00:26:57.675 --> 00:26:59.355 It's the patients that come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678846

 $00:26:59.355 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.055$ with stage zero disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96623737

 $00:27:01.675 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.795$ and, you know, we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.96623737

 $00:27:02.795 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.575$ this we do different measurements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96623737

 $00:27:04.715 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.595$ and we don't see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96623737

00:27:05.595 --> 00:27:07.275 difference at all, but, really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96623737

 $00:27:07.275 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.790$ they're feeling heavy. So those

NOTE Confidence: 0.96623737

 $00:27:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.830$ are the patients we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.96623737

 $00:27:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.650$ to see early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9716538

 $00:27:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.310$ Eighty three percent of them

00:27:12.310 --> 00:27:13.610 reported pain reduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882233

 $00:27:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.270$ seventy eight percent of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882233

 $00:27:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.570$ reported volume reduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99854386

00:27:16.950 --> 00:27:19.190 and sixty seven percent reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.99854386

 $00:27:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.490$ reduction in discomfort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99294645

 $00:27:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.630$ It's been almost two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.99294645

 $00:27:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.325$ since I've had this, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99294645

 $00:27:24.325 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.825$ surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99845195

 $00:27:25.685 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.885$ and I was dealing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.99845195

 $00:27:26.885 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.885$ constant pain and swelling. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.99845195

 $00:27:28.885 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.405$ after the surgery, I've noticed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99845195

 $00:27:30.405 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.385$ a huge improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99866277

00:27:32.405 --> 00:27:34.105 In terms of functional impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.99866277

00:27:34.165 --> 00:27:34.905 of LVA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848943

 $00:27:35.445 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.445$ I'm moving heavier things. I'm

 $00:27:37.445 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.230$ lifting heavier loads, so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848943

 $00:27:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.910$ could be anything from groceries

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848943

 $00:27:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.570$ to furniture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959792

 $00:27:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.850$ I'm participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409478

 $00:27:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.590$ more in moving those types

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409478

 $00:27:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.109$ of things before I and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409478

00:27:46.109 --> 00:27:47.090 I wouldn't before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92068046

 $00:27:47.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.930$ More rigorous cleaning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973444

 $00:27:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.310$ some more sustained activity where

NOTE Confidence: 0.973444

 $00:27:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.910$ I have where usually I

NOTE Confidence: 0.973444

 $00:27:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.030$ would take more time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.973444

 $00:27:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.530$ rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987945

 $00:27:55.285 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.585$ In terms of appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96503

00:27:56.885 --> 00:27:59.225 anxiety, depression, fears, and worries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708879

 $00:27:59.605 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.045$ seventy five percent had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708879

 $00:28:01.045 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.505$ positive change in appearance.

 $00:28:03.045 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.645$ Fifty percent of them had

NOTE Confidence: 0.96333504

 $00:28:04.645 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.244$ a fear that lymphedema would

NOTE Confidence: 0.96333504

00:28:06.244 --> 00:28:08.005 return, and fifty percent had

NOTE Confidence: 0.96333504

 $00:28:08.005 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.225$ move mood improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888451

 $00:28:09.809 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.850$ I can see my wrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888451

 $00:28:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.970$ bone, and I could never

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888451

 $00:28:11.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.869$ see it before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966643

 $00:28:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.210$ I might be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966643

 $00:28:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.890$ get my wedding ring on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966643

 $00:28:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.590$ soon, so that's pretty impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9133513

00:28:18.769 --> 00:28:20.450 So the postoperative bandage reg

NOTE Confidence: 0.9133513

 $00:28:20.609 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.109$ regimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997647

 $00:28:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.965$ it's not a mystery that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997647

 $00:28:22.965 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.005$ they were not happy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997647 00:28:24.005 --> 00:28:24.505 it. NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459 $00:28:24.965 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.164$ So the only time I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

00:28:26.164 --> 00:28:27.445 remove the bandaging is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

 $00:28:27.445 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.484$ the middle of the night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

 $00:28:28.484 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.445$ I try to change it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

 $00:28:29.445 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.804$ at two o'clock. It starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

00:28:30.804 --> 00:28:32.484 bugging my feet. My feet

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

00:28:32.484 --> 00:28:34.424 start feeling weird like pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

 $00:28:34.645 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.005$ and I feel needles, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

 $00{:}28{:}36.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.480$ then I feel numb, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

 $00:28:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.519$ then I have to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

 $00{:}28{:}38.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40.380$ the bandages. So there continues

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772459

 $00:28:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.259$ to be challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96380764

 $00:28:42.039 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.240$ What were their reasons for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96380764

 $00:28:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.380$ seeking out surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98308754

00:28:44.759 --> 00:28:46.360 Eighty percent of them were

NOTE Confidence: 0.98308754

 $00:28:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.899$ looking for symptom control.

00:28:48.600 --> 00:28:50.679 Forty percent had exhausted all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965851

 $00{:}28{:}50.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.755$ other therapies, and thirty percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965851

 $00:28:52.755 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.455$ had a physiotherapy referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995907

 $00:28:54.915 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.615$ It felt out of control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99447393

 $00:28:57.155 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.275$ I went to lots and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99447393

 $00:28:58.275 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.875$ lots of appointments with therapists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99447393

 $00:28:59.875 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.995$ and we weren't able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99447393

 $00:29:00.995 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.815$ contain it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

 $00:29:02.435 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.555$ I was so worried that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

00:29:03.555 --> 00:29:04.770 I would swell and swell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

 $00:29:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.810$ and one day I'd have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

 $00:29:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.010$ an infection and I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

00:29:07.010 --> 00:29:08.370 lose my arm. I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

 $00:29:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.730$ so worried about it. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

00:29:09.730 --> 00:29:11.570 already lost body parts. I

 $00:29:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.010$ just need a break. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

 $00:29:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.210$ just want to focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.98487175

 $00:29:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.990$ normal things like normal people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823327

 $00:29:17.255 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.695$ The meaning of surgery. They

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823327

00:29:18.695 --> 00:29:21.174 expressed things like gratitude, hope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823327

 $00{:}29{:}21.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.335$ and symptom control. Seventy percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823327

 $00:29:23.335 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.515$ had symptom and situational improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99523944

00:29:26.215 --> 00:29:28.375 Sixty percent felt hope. Forty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99523944

00:29:28.375 --> 00:29:29.515 percent felt gratitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891454

 $00:29:30.169 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.450$ Tears are coming to my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891454

 $00{:}29{:}31.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.570$ eyes. It's hard to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891454

 $00:29:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.169$ into words. My best attempt

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891454

 $00:29:34.169 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.309$ is a fresh start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732197

 $00:29:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.770$ hopefulness, and care. I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732197

 $00:29:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.610$ feel cared for and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732197

 $00:29:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.110$ grateful

 $00:29:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.610$ for the help with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99100715

00:29:41.610 --> 00:29:43.335 Yes. It's surprising me too

NOTE Confidence: 0.99100715

 $00:29:43.335 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.775$ how different I feel now

NOTE Confidence: 0.99100715

 $00:29:44.775 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.915$ after having surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862088

00:29:46.535 --> 00:29:47.895 I always felt like there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862088

 $00:29:47.895 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.735$ a point I'm devoting so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862088

 $00:29:49.735 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.015$ much time to this, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862088

 $00:29:51.015 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.295$ I can actually now see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862088

00:29:52.295 --> 00:29:53.835 the results of my management

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862088

 $00:29:53.895 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.395$ clearly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999724

 $00:29:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.810$ and I'm more motivated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984734

 $00:29:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.630$ in doing this. I do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984734

00:29:57.630 --> 00:29:58.750 feel like it's made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984734

 $00:29:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.250$ difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.99862814

 $00:29:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.210$ in terms

 $00:30:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.830$ of stopping the progression at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99938613

 $00:30:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.870$ the speed at which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99938613

00:30:03.870 --> 00:30:04.850 disease progresses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

 $00:30:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.670$ I feel like I've gained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

 $00:30:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.950$ some time. I'm not as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

 $00:30:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.965$ worried about things going badly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

 $00:30:09.965 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.725$ in five years. I'm now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

 $00:30:11.725 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.245$ thinking, okay. Maybe this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

00:30:13.245 --> 00:30:14.925 a relatively good quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

 $00:30:14.925 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.045$ life for the next ten

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

 $00:30:16.045 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.245$ to twenty years in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954104

 $00:30:17.245 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.305$ of my leg alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993556

00:30:18.685 --> 00:30:20.045 I'm not as worried about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993556

 $00:30:20.045 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.345$ it. I feel relief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977234

 $00:30:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.560$ So in terms of synthesizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977234

 $00:30:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.060$ this data, we've come up

 $00:30:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.520$ with models of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977356

 $00:30:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.400$ The fundamentals of surgical lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977356

 $00:30:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.380$ care will center on patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977356

 $00:30:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.520$ screening and eligibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99749

 $00:30:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.920$ comprehensive perioperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996766

 $00:30:35.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.800$ education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89546704

00:30:36.685 --> 00:30:38.385 postoperative functional rehabilitation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989951

 $00:30:39.485 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.985$ psychosocial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990924

00:30:40.285 --> 00:30:41.505 support for anxieties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930711

 $00:30:41.805 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.165$ fears, and worries that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930711

 $00:30:43.165 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.905$ all express,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96127254

 $00:30:44.285 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.365$ and outcomes assessment, really looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.96127254

 $00{:}30{:}46.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.445$ at the timelines, frequency, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96127254

 $00:30:48.445 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.825$ tools for these outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981513

 $00:30:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.390$ So a second project that

 $00:30:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.910$ I wanna talk about is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981513

 $00:30:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.710$ one that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559513

 $00:30:55.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.630$ Alex Matia has taken the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559513

 $00:30:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.830$ lead on. She's a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559513

 $00:30:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.270$ student in Florida working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559513

 $00:30:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.230$ us for a year as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559513

 $00:31:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.210$ a research fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756121

00:31:01.670 --> 00:31:03.590 Looking at disparities in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756121

 $00{:}31{:}03.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.510$ cancer related lymphedema, we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756121

 $00:31:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.855$ a systematic review of inequities

NOTE Confidence: 0.97811043

 $00:31:08.235 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.615$ and barriers in care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889576

 $00:31:10.794 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.914$ So we know that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889576

 $00:31:11.914 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.135$ is a chronic condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897463

 $00:31:13.995 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.195$ We know that patients of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897463

 $00:31:15.195 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.375$ diverse and disadvantaged patient populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897463

 $00:31:17.674 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.975$ continue to be understudied

 $00:31:19.355 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.620$ in the area of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917275

 $00:31:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.600$ cancer survivorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292994

 $00:31:22.460 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.760$ So we wanted to summarize

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292994

 $00:31:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.500$ the evidence for disparities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292994

 $00:31:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.580$ barriers surrounding breast cancer related

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292994

 $00:31:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.559$ lymphedema care, particularly in diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.78461874

 $00:31:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.760$ and education

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062575

 $00:31:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.960$ and accessibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.92614424

 $00:31:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.000$ to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95446146

 $00:31:34.745 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.265$ So we did, we followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.95446146

00:31:36.265 --> 00:31:37.325 the PRISMA guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286999

 $00:31:37.705 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.225$ We did a a search

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286999

00:31:39.225 --> 00:31:40.285 of, multiple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8279584

 $00:31:40.985 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.445$ different web web bases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710768

00:31:43.385 --> 00:31:44.985 We followed the Joanna Briggs

 $00{:}31{:}44.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.625$ Institute critical appraisal tool. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710768

 $00:31:47.625 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.125$ included

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997542

00:31:48.425 --> 00:31:49.805 different types of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.99155265

 $00:31:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.540$ and multiple articles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756921

 $00:31:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.320$ We yielded ten fifty nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756921

 $00:31:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.920$ articles. Thirty nine of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756921

 $00:31:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.300$ met the inclusion criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982696

 $00:31:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.740$ We looked particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.99580973

 $00{:}31{:}59.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00.740$ at racial and ethnic disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77672386

 $00{:}32{:}02.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04.105$ increased risks with certain socio

NOTE Confidence: 0.77672386

 $00{:}32{:}04.325 --> 00{:}32{:}04.825 \ demographic$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833871

 $00:32:05.845 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.345$ factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.997627

 $00:32:07.445 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.205$ We looked at inadequate provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.997627

 $00{:}32{:}09.205 --> 00{:}32{:}10.265$ and patient knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902999

 $00:32:10.645 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.684$ We looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974948

00:32:12.245 --> 00:32:14.424 low patient education and burden.

00:32:15.059 --> 00:32:16.419 We looked at barrier and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9535949

 $00{:}32{:}16.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18.200$ receipt of health care provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9535949

 $00:32:18.259 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.759$ diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98839617

 $00:32:19.139 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.500$ and the subthemes that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98839617

 $00:32:20.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.039$ looked at are cost burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98959

 $00:32:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.500$ psychosocial barriers, and the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.98959

 $00:32:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.340$ of patient self efficacy. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.98959

 $00:32:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.639$ this is what we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895926

00:32:28.174 --> 00:32:30.195 So younger non caucasian

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989661

 $00:32:30.735 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.235$ patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.98277503

 $00:32:31.615 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.934$ located in rural geographic regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.98277503

 $00:32:33.934 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.115$ with low income and education

NOTE Confidence: 0.98277503

 $00:32:36.255 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.855$ levels appear to be at

NOTE Confidence: 0.98277503

 $00:32:37.855 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.794$ greatest risk of for self

NOTE Confidence: 0.98277503

 $00:32:40.030 --> 00:32:40.530 \ {\rm reported}$

 $00:32:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.530$ breast cancer related lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.9536482

 $00{:}32{:}42.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.850$ rather than physician diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832267

 $00:32:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.550$ The patients of diverse racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832267

00:32:47.550 --> 00:32:49.309 and ethnic backgrounds and low

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832267

 $00:32:49.309 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.809$ socioeconomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.993885

 $00:32:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.950$ status were at increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.993885

 $00:32:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.850$ for inadequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90796345

 $00:32:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.610$ self care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99657965

00:32:55.515 --> 00:32:56.415 practice education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9661532

00:32:57.355 --> 00:32:59.215 insufficient breast cancer survivorship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944461

 $00{:}32{:}59.835 \to 00{:}33{:}01.995$ support, and low accessibility to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944461

 $00:33:01.995 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.975$ treatment resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.996772

 $00{:}33{:}03.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.215$ And even though the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.996772

 $00:33:05.435 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.355$ driving the increased risk amongst

NOTE Confidence: 0.996772

00:33:07.355 --> 00:33:09.855 minority patient populations is unknown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97211957

00:33:11.170 --> 00:33:13.590 Active prevention and multisperity interventions

 $00:33:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.330$ are really imperative to lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.97211957

 $00:33:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.070$ breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849375

 $00:33:16.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.050$ related lymphedema rates in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849375

 $00:33:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.590$ group, and we wanna empower

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849375

 $00:33:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.330$ our breast cancer survivors, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849375

 $00:33:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.850$ we wanna strengthen their self

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849375

 $00:33:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.350$ efficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987532

 $00:33:24.725 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.845$ So one of the final

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987532

 $00:33:25.845 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.705$ projects I wanna discuss today

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987532

 $00:33:27.765 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.265$ is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99857926

 $00{:}33{:}28.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.325$ is a project from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99633944

 $00:33:30.725 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.485$ the cohort here at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99633944

 $00{:}33{:}32.485 --> 00{:}33{:}33.705$ Yale Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93637323

 $00:33:34.245 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.325$ So Stav Brown is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971731

 $00:33:37.039 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.580$ is our research associate,

 $00:33:39.039 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.720$ this year, and Alina Chen

NOTE Confidence: 0.968909

 $00:33:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.679$ is a medical student at

NOTE Confidence: 0.968909

00:33:41.679 --> 00:33:43.220 Yale that worked on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968909

 $00:33:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.399$ We wanted to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.968909

 $00:33:44.399 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.360$ whether or not we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.968909

 $00:33:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.140$ predict cancer related lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9151907

 $00:33:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.419$ And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90880406

 $00:33:49.279 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.775$ we have a huge cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.98629683

 $00{:}33{:}51.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.335$ lymphedema patients here. We have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98629683

 $00:33:53.335 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.335$ about fifteen thousand six hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.98629683

 $00{:}33{:}55.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.175$ and sixty six cases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98629683

 $00:33:57.175 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.095$ axillary lymph node dissection here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98629683

 $00:33:59.095 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.135$ So we wanted to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.98629683

 $00:34:00.135 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.795$ at outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882996

 $00:34:01.975 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.195$ So we know that lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882996

 $00:34:03.255 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.795$ is irreversible and incurable.

 $00:34:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.159$ There are over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858582

 $00:34:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.780$ thirty eight prediction models available

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858582

 $00:34:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.540$ out there. So that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858582

 $00:34:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.440$ lot of models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744053

 $00:34:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.820$ So what is wrong with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744053

 $00:34:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.700$ them and why don't they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744053

 $00:34:15.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.739$ work? How can we not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744053

00:34:16.739 --> 00:34:18.440 predict who's gonna have lymphedema?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99934375

 $00:34:19.265 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.925$ The limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657237

00:34:20.465 --> 00:34:22.565 of the current, prediction models

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657237

 $00:34:22.625 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.985$ as are that they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657237

 $00{:}34{:}23.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.765$ predict the time of diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96437454

 $00{:}34{:}26.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.485$ They're based on small cohorts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90078896

 $00:34:28.785 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.305$ and there's therefore lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90078896

 $00:34:30.305 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.085$ sufficient statistical power.

 $00:34:33.239 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.520$ Patients are followed for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95416516

00:34:34.520 --> 00:34:35.900 very short period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977415

 $00:34:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.640$ They don't account for race

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977415

 $00:34:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.300$ and ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992883

 $00:34:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.360$ They don't even address important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992883

 $00:34:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.860$ comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999798

 $00:34:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.940$ They don't include

NOTE Confidence: 0.99586326

00:34:43.400 --> 00:34:45.735 laboratory based predictive markers, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99586326

 $00:34:45.735 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.395$ they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.96716446

 $00:34:46.695 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.175$ really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698837

 $00{:}34{:}47.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.935$ give you the information that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698837

 $00:34:48.935 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.615$ you need. That information is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698837

00:34:50.615 --> 00:34:51.755 not readily available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951663

 $00:34:52.695 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.135$ So our aims were to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951663

 $00:34:54.135 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.655$ look at the risk of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99317753

 $00:34:56.375 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.335$ were to look at the

 $00:34:57.335 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.155$ risk of lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530737

 $00{:}34{:}59.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01.410$ Most specifically, can we predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530737

 $00:35:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.910$ lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99167335

 $00:35:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.330$ but can we predict the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99167335

 $00:35:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.110$ time of lymphedema as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99167335

 $00:35:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.450$ So we wanted to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.99167335

 $00:35:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.989$ at the impact of demographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.99167335

 $00:35:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.330$ and clinic variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.95736027

 $00:35:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.790$ clinical variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9180662

00:35:11.650 --> 00:35:13.670 following axillary lymph node dissection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959772

00:35:14.205 --> 00:35:15.405 And we wanted to explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959772

 $00{:}35{:}15.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.085$ the effects of laboratory based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959772

00:35:17.085 --> 00:35:18.525 markers on the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959772

 $00:35:18.525 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.385$ developing cancer related lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963291

 $00:35:20.685 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.545$ following axillary lymph node dissection.

 $00:35:23.325 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.925$ And similarly for the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9204131

00:35:24.925 --> 00:35:25.585 of lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99742967

 $00:35:26.045 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.465$ explore demographic and clinical variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968612

 $00:35:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.690$ and see whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968612

 $00:35:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.150$ laboratory based markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.990596

 $00:35:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.610$ can have an effect on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98969036

00:35:35.170 --> 00:35:36.630 on lymphedema diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99955684

 $00{:}35{:}37.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.550$ So this was a retrospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970274

 $00:35:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.370$ cohort. So we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970274

 $00:35:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.489$ all the patients who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970274

 $00:35:41.489 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.989$ undergone

NOTE Confidence: 0.98485833

 $00:35:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.969$ axillary lymph node dissection at

NOTE Confidence: 0.98485833

 $00:35:43.969 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.925$ Yale Cancer Center between twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.98485833

 $00:35:45.925 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.625$ thirteen and twenty twenty four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996089

 $00:35:48.085 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.744$ We collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996376

 $00:35:49.125 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.344$ age, BMI,

 $00:35:50.885 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.864$ gender, race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99835193

 $00:35:52.244 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.744$ chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996692

 $00:35:53.125 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.344$ radiation, diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972255

 $00:35:54.645 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.265$ hemoglobin a one c,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95066416

 $00:35:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.970$ lymph and then looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.95066416

 $00:35:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.050$ outcomes such as lymphedema development

NOTE Confidence: 0.95066416

 $00:36:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.970$ and also time from axial

NOTE Confidence: 0.95066416

 $00:36:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.790$ lymph node

NOTE Confidence: 0.99775743

 $00:36:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.030$ to lymphedema development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9681883

 $00:36:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.750$ We did a two multivariate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9681883

 $00:36:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.390$ regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.78478575

 $00:36:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.190$ models

NOTE Confidence: 0.8537456

 $00:36:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.295$ were developed to evaluate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8537456

 $00:36:11.454 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.515$ these risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.89001304

00:36:13.135 --> 00:36:14.895 specifically and at the time

 $00:36:14.895 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.895$ of first diagnosis following accident

NOTE Confidence: 0.89001304

 $00{:}36{:}16.895 --> 00{:}36{:}17.795$ lymph node dissection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948016

 $00{:}36{:}18.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.174$ We did a multivariate logistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948016

 $00:36:20.174 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.375$ regression for the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948016

 $00:36:21.375 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.835$ lymphedema and a multilinear

NOTE Confidence: 0.83561707

 $00{:}36{:}23.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.339$ and Cox proportional hazard regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947031

 $00:36:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.339$ for time to first diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94518113

 $00:36:28.359 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.039$ So as mentioned, these were

NOTE Confidence: 0.94518113

00:36:30.039 --> 00:36:31.960 fifteen thousand six hundred and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94518113

 $00:36:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.719$ sixty six cases. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.94518113

00:36:33.719 --> 00:36:35.160 we look at other tertiary

NOTE Confidence: 0.94518113

 $00:36:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.140$ cancer centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932804

 $00:36:36.519 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.559$ This is about two to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932804

 $00:36:37.559 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.500$ three times the volume in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932804

 $00:36:39.765 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.984$ only about a decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95246106

 $00:36:42.085 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.844$ So there's about twenty three,

 $00:36:43.844 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.364$ forty five patients, so about

NOTE Confidence: 0.95246106

 $00{:}36{:}45.364 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}36{:}46.805$ fifteen percent of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95246106

 $00:36:46.805 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.885$ develop cancer related lymphedema post

NOTE Confidence: 0.95246106

00:36:48.885 --> 00:36:50.404 axial lymph node dissection, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95246106

 $00:36:50.404 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.444$ that fits well with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95246106

 $00:36:51.444 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.944$ literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845145

 $00:36:52.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.530$ Patients develop lymphedema with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845145

 $00:36:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.290$ average onset of twenty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845145

00:36:56.290 --> 00:36:58.290 a half months post axillary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845145

00:36:58.290 --> 00:36:59.270 lymph node dissection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88621503

 $00:37:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.890$ When we look to add

NOTE Confidence: 0.88621503

 $00:37:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.430$ to the risk of lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.90907353

 $00:37:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.469$ and particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.873875

 $00:37:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.830$ risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991076

 $00:37:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.590$ so the risk factors

 $00:37:07.935 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.915$ that are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993841

 $00{:}37{:}10.094 --> 00{:}37{:}12.195$ are BMI of over thirty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853875

 $00:37:12.895 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.395$ chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671966

 $00:37:13.855 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.135$ which is not seen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671966

 $00:37:15.135 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.535$ other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990382

 $00:37:16.094 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.915$ other literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99704266

 $00:37:17.375 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.875$ diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940962

 $00:37:19.614 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.114$ race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97166115

00:37:20.575 --> 00:37:22.930 black African American, as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.97166115

 $00:37:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.010$ as radiation. So those have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97166115

 $00{:}37{:}25.010 --> 00{:}37{:}25.750 \text{ all presented}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.94400483

 $00:37:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.989$ as, risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.99948364

 $00:37:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.590$ in our clinical model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99596655

 $00:37:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.570$ When we look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889147

 $00:37:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.190$ time to lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98709667 00:37:34.290 --> 00:37:34.790 so,

 $00:37:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.350$ race such as, black African

NOTE Confidence: 0.91470975

 $00:37:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.910$ American,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874692

 $00:37:38.575 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.495$ Asians, and radiation are early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874692

 $00:37:40.495 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.995$ predictors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714934

 $00:37:41.775 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.695$ in terms of timing, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714934

00:37:43.695 --> 00:37:44.435 more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9558255

 $00:37:44.735 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.195$ early for them to present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99955595

 $00:37:46.655 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.555$ And diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995153

 $00:37:48.495 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.255$ is a late predictor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995153

 $00:37:50.255 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.755$ lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97157836

 $00:37:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.640$ When we looked at laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.97157836

 $00:37:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.280$ based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392002

 $00:37:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.800$ features and the our model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982423

 $00:37:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.160$ so BMI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.992416

 $00:37:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.040$ chemotherapy,

 $00:38:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.340$ and hemoglobin a one c

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849655

 $00:38:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.620$ at the time of axillary

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849655

00:38:03.620 --> 00:38:04.935 lymph node dissection. So this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849655

 $00:38:04.935 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.055$ is also in patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849655

 $00:38:06.055 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.215$ don't necessarily have diabetes, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.97849655

 $00{:}38{:}08.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.875$ it's rather that laboratory value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978357

 $00:38:11.735 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.094$ They were shown to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978357

 $00:38:13.094 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.795$ risk factors for lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97118276

 $00:38:15.655 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.935$ And when we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.97118276

00:38:16.935 --> 00:38:17.435 timing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980002

 $00:38:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.260$ BMI of over thirty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.980002

 $00:38:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.760$ hemoglobin

NOTE Confidence: 0.99357426

 $00:38:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.760$ a one c were predictors

NOTE Confidence: 0.99947846

 $00:38:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.880$ of developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99862766

 $00:38:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.079$ late lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93396306

 $00:38:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.099$ So the conclusions from this

 $00:38:28.099 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.219$ part of the study, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93396306

 $00{:}38{:}29.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.579$ is a larger single center

NOTE Confidence: 0.93396306

 $00:38:30.579 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.619$ study. So there's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98566246

 $00:38:33.275 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.395$ there's no one else who

NOTE Confidence: 0.98566246

 $00:38:34.395 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.675$ had this has this much

NOTE Confidence: 0.98566246 00:38:35.675 --> 00:38:36.175 data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868929

 $00:38:36.475 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.835$ We're actually surprised at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868929

 $00:38:37.835 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.275$ amount of data that we've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843069

 $00:38:39.675 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.975$ you know, we have here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843069

00:38:41.195 --> 00:38:42.395 which is great because this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843069

 $00:38:42.395 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.895$ allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824586

 $00:38:43.275 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.135$ us to develop this tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387398

 $00{:}38{:}46.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.700$ So it can we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387398

 $00:38:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.200$ basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549826

 $00:38:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.180$ potentially predict, and we can

 $00:38:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.160$ develop algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990422

 $00:38:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.359$ for individualized

NOTE Confidence: 0.99866885

 $00:38:52.739 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.440$ risk and time to diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736454

 $00:38:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.420$ This is the first study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736454

 $00:38:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.100$ to highlight hemoglobin a one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736454

 $00:38:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.800$ c as a novel independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736454

 $00:38:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.440$ predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990461

 $00:39:00.739 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.239$ marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981274

 $00{:}39{:}02.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03.625$ These findings really offer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6656161

 $00:39:04.965 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.705$ a foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959325

 $00:39:06.165 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.405$ to create these individualized screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959325

 $00:39:08.405 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.585$ tools and early preventive measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959325

00:39:10.645 --> 00:39:11.785 in high risk patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999053

 $00:39:12.885 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.005$ And if you look here

NOTE Confidence: 0.999053

 $00:39:14.005 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.950$ in terms of future studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999053

 $00:39:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.369$ our goal is to really

 $00:39:17.369 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.869$ improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.85227424

 $00:39:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.670$ multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.986716

00:39:19.130 --> 00:39:20.190 approach to treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954792

 $00:39:21.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.670$ to enhance early diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99712807

 $00:39:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.070$ to prevent barriers and disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.99712807

 $00:39:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.530$ in care, to identify novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.99712807

 $00:39:27.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.030$ biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96237016

 $00:39:28.625 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.545$ to establish predictive techniques as

NOTE Confidence: 0.96237016

 $00:39:30.545 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.605$ standard of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99600184

 $00:39:31.905 \dashrightarrow 00:39:33.825$ and to create individualized predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.99600184

 $00:39:33.825 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.444$ models. And each of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9887238

 $00:39:35.744 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.184$ can be its own massive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9887238

 $00{:}39{:}37.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39.025$ projects and have multiple sub,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9887238

 $00:39:39.424 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.924$ subthemes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96761173

 $00:39:40.969 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.089$ And I also wanna put

 $00:39:42.089 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.210$ a pitch in there as

NOTE Confidence: 0.96761173

00:39:43.210 --> 00:39:44.489 Kate asked me to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.96761173

 $00:39:44.489 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.849$ that we do currently have

NOTE Confidence: 0.96761173

 $00:39:45.849 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.529$ a pathway as well for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96761173

 $00:39:47.529 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.230$ a breast cancer related lymphedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.96761173

 $00:39:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.569$ in terms of how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.96761173 00:39:50.569 --> 00:39:51.069 we, NOTE Confidence: 0.98938006

 $00:39:51.529 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.730$ how do we assess these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98938006

 $00{:}39{:}52.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54.155$ patients. So I wanna thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.98938006

 $00:39:54.155 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.895$ you all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970631

 $00:39:55.355 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.875$ for listening, and I'm open

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970631

 $00:39:56.875 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.855$ to any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792489

 $00:40:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.640$ I'm looking at the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792489

 $00:40:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.780$ Okay. Here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91867644

 $00:40:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.100$ Yes. Sorry. Go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71898365

00:40:20.614 --> 00:40:21.755 It's it's it's

00:40:24.135 --> 00:40:24.164 it's it's it's it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6756609

00:40:24.164 --> 00:40:24.193 it's it's it's it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6756609

00:40:24.193 --> 00:40:24.223 it's it's it's it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6756609

00:40:24.223 --> 00:40:24.252 it's it's it's it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6756609

00:40:24.252 --> 00:40:24.281 it's it's it's it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6756609

00:40:24.281 --> 00:40:24.310 it's it's it's it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6756609

00:40:24.310 --> 00:40:24.339 it's it's it's it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6756609

 $00:40:24.339 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.369$ it's it's it's it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6756609

 $00:40:24.369 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.875$ it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.370930800:40:32.214 --> 00:40:32.714 it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808222

 $00:40:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.060$ we don't we don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808222

00:40:36.060 --> 00:40:37.360 it's related to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830613

 $00:40:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.840$ to gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00:40:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.500$ And when we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00:40:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.860$ our clinical model, we did

00:40:42.860 --> 00:40:45.100 not identify that. However, having

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00{:}40{:}45.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.700$ said that, if we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

00:40:46.700 --> 00:40:48.385 at our cohort, it's mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00:40:48.385 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.145$ breast cancer related lymphedema, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00:40:50.145 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.265$ you could understand that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00:40:51.265 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.785$ a bias towards female. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00:40:52.785 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.585$ when we looked when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00{:}40{:}53.585 \rightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.345$ did our prospective studies, about

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00{:}40{:}55.345 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}40{:}56.545$ eighty percent of our women

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00:40:56.705 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.825$ are of our patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.97935444

 $00:40:57.825 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.885$ female as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90704316

 $00{:}40{:}59.185 \to 00{:}41{:}00.865$ But currently, there's no predilection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997611

 $00:41:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.550$ or anything that would imply

NOTE Confidence: 0.99885386

 $00:41:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.290$ that women are more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.99885386

 $00:41:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.430$ to develop lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.770$ Again, with age, we didn't

 $00:41:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.570$ find that there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

00:41:12.570 --> 00:41:14.445 particular age, but we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

00:41:14.445 --> 00:41:16.225 that the earlier you're diagnosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:16.285 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.405$ the more likely you're gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:17.405 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.085$ have a worse progression. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

00:41:19.085 --> 00:41:20.765 there's obviously some patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:20.765 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.305$ are born with primary lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:22.525 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.885$ So whether they develop it

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:23.885 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.245$ when they're born versus as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:25.245 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.005$ an adolescent or later on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:27.005 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.329$ in life. And we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

 $00:41:28.329 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.369$ the longer that they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518367

00:41:29.369 --> 00:41:29.869 lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.990777

 $00{:}41{:}30.250 \to 00{:}41{:}32.270$ the more their lymphedema progresses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961744500:41:32.890 --> 00:41:33.290 But,

 $00:41:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.230$ for secondary lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99835074

 $00:41:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.730$ it's not known to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.99835074

 $00:41:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.670$ associated with a particular age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8425512 00:41:39.770 --> 00:41:40.270 Yes. NOTE Confidence: 0.8457142

 $00:41:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.510$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8329409

 $00{:}41{:}43.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45.505$ Yesterday, I asked this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8329409 00:41:45.565 --> 00:41:46.065 She NOTE Confidence: 0.87989914

 $00:41:49.965 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.765$ had about a year and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87989914

00:41:50.765 --> 00:41:51.965 a half out, doing really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87989914

 $00:41:51.965 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.565$ well, has no signs of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87989914

 $00{:}41{:}53.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}54.065$ edema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9130808

00:41:54.445 --> 00:41:55.665 She said, am I unclear?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96476907

 $00:41:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.600$ And I said no, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.96476907

00:41:58.600 --> 00:41:59.719 I didn't have a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.96476907

 $00:41:59.719 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.700$ response to her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576628

 $00{:}42{:}01.080 --> 00{:}42{:}01.800$ as well. Yeah. Kind of

 $00:42:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.739$ what Yeah. I think her

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848456

 $00:42:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.640$ her next step. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848456

 $00:42:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.920$ think her next step is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848456

 $00:42:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.040$ for her to continue to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848456

 $00:42:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.719$ follow. So, interestingly, I feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848456

 $00:42:09.719 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.600$ that right now, we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848456

 $00:42:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.935$ immediate lymphatic reconstruction, but we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848456

 $00:42:12.935 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.614$ don't necessarily follow them long

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848456

 $00:42:14.614 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.114$ term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98122644

 $00:42:15.494 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.375$ And we know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98122644

 $00:42:16.375 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.655$ look at when do patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.98122644

 $00:42:17.655 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.815$ develop lymphedema, the average is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98122644

 $00{:}42{:}19.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.935$ about twenty and a half

NOTE Confidence: 0.98122644

 $00:42:20.935 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.535$ months after the axillary lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.98122644

 $00:42:22.535 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.295$ node dissection. So she's really

 $00:42:24.295 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.075$ not necessarily in the clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692599

 $00{:}42{:}26.810 --> 00{:}42{:}28.090$ But regardless of that, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692599

 $00:42:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.369$ have to continue to follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692599

 $00:42:29.369 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.730$ them because we talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692599

 $00:42:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.630$ things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8340329

 $00:42:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.150$ not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.96616817

 $00:42:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.469$ signs, but rather, like, symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96616817

00:42:35.530 --> 00:42:36.650 Right? They're they're feel if

NOTE Confidence: 0.96616817

 $00:42:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.930$ she's starting to feel any

NOTE Confidence: 0.96616817

 $00:42:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.430$ progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910009

00:42:39.290 --> 00:42:40.350 or any heaviness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823135

 $00:42:40.844 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.045$ she's someone that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823135

 $00:42:42.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.565$ consider really maybe even putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823135

 $00:42:43.565 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.305$ in a garment

NOTE Confidence: 0.98395807

 $00:42:44.844 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.525$ and having her use other

NOTE Confidence: 0.98395807

 $00:42:46.525 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.025$ tools,

 $00:42:47.405 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.484$ to further decrease her risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703682

 $00:42:49.484 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.685$ but she's definitely not in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703682

 $00:42:50.685 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.344$ the clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46874133 00:42:55.700 --> 00:42:56.200 With

NOTE Confidence: 0.8152134

 $00:42:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.700$ alerts even if they've had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8152134

 $00:42:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.320$ essential Yes. Disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105245

 $00:42:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.360$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9343679

 $00{:}43{:}00.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.100$ Meaning no IVs, no blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.9343679

00:43:02.100 --> 00:43:03.300 pressure cocks. You know, these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9343679

 $00:43:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.500$ poor women get stuck over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9343679

 $00:43:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.300$ and over again in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9343679

 $00:43:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.260$ arm that has not had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9343679

 $00{:}43{:}06.260 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}43{:}07.860$ any accessory surgery. What are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9343679

 $00:43:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.920$ your thoughts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.48887447

 $00:43:09.344 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.965$ stethal lymphoblastibrosis and

 $00:43:11.585 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.864$ the ability to use that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9096343

 $00:43:12.864 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.765$ arm? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878511

 $00:43:14.545 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.665$ So I think even for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878511

00:43:15.665 --> 00:43:16.165 both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

 $00:43:16.785 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.905$ we we know that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

 $00:43:17.905 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.105$ blood pressure cuff we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

00:43:19.105 --> 00:43:20.625 have studies. A blood pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

00:43:20.625 --> 00:43:22.230 cuff and IV, it shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

 $00{:}43{:}22.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.610$ increase the risk of lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

 $00:43:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.869$ However, if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

00:43:24.869 --> 00:43:26.969 the lymphedema modality and care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

 $00:43:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.630$ a big one centers on

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351127

 $00:43:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.369$ skin care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97830933

 $00:43:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.190$ So if, for example, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97830933 00:43:31.190 --> 00:43:31.690 IV NOTE Confidence: 0.9973439

 $00:43:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.910$ is likely to cause you

 $00:43:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.590$ an infection or likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973439

 $00:43:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.810$ cause you skin irritation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:37.785 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.984$ that makes you more at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:38.984 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.505$ higher risk of either an

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:40.585 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.344$ cellulitis or infection or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00{:}43{:}42.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.105$ progression of your lymphedema, similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:44.105 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.405$ with a blood pressure cuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

00:43:45.545 --> 00:43:46.184 I don't know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:46.184 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.464$ noticed, but sometimes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:47.464 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.344$ operating room, we have blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00{:}43{:}48.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.464$ pressure cuffs on patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

00:43:49.464 --> 00:43:50.984 their arm kinda changes colors

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:50.984 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.704$ a little bit, and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

00:43:51.704 --> 00:43:53.210 like, what's going on? So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.250$ actually, there's been a lot

 $00:43:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.710$ of studies that show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00{:}43{:}55.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.530$ that blood pressure cuff doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

00:43:57.530 --> 00:43:59.069 increase your risk of lymphedema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

 $00:43:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.170$ but it's the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96301585

00:44:00.170 --> 00:44:01.790 it could cause some irritation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909837

 $00:44:02.489 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.710$ some skin changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94007504

 $00:44:04.089 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.910$ and therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8982746

00:44:05.849 --> 00:44:08.015 potentially cause them, cellulitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918623

 $00:44:08.954 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.755$ Yeah. It could be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918623

 $00:44:09.755 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.255$ trigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48958635

 $00:44:21.835 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.654$ Three d?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99921983

 $00:44:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.230$ That would be amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445517

00:44:25.730 --> 00:44:26.870 So lymphosendicography

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098674

 $00:44:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.130$ I use to use quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098674

00:44:28.130 --> 00:44:29.090 a lot. I actually don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098674

 $00:44:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.989$ use it here.

00:44:30.370 --> 00:44:31.270 One, because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737972

00:44:31.810 --> 00:44:33.410 I actually really haven't found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737972

 $00:44:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.090$ anyone who could really do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737972

 $00:44:35.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.890$ it for me. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737972

00:44:35.890 --> 00:44:37.094 do our our own ICG

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737972

00:44:37.094 --> 00:44:37.915 ICG lymphangiography

NOTE Confidence: 0.94343317

 $00:44:38.215 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.175$ in the clinic and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94343317

 $00:44:39.175 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.234$ the operating room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96019024

 $00:44:40.695 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.655$ But to take a look

NOTE Confidence: 0.96019024

 $00:44:41.655 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.695$ at it three d would

NOTE Confidence: 0.96019024

00:44:42.695 --> 00:44:44.215 be super interesting because what

NOTE Confidence: 0.96019024

 $00:44:44.215 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.675$ we currently do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674466

 $00{:}44{:}46.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.295$ it's actually quite extensive, is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674466

 $00:44:48.295 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.494$ we try to map patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674466

 $00:44:49.494 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.614$ Let's say we're doing an

 $00:44:50.614 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.974$ upper extremity. We try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674466

 $00:44:51.974 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.469 \text{ map},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358823

 $00:44:53.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.989$ we try to map this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358823

 $00:44:53.989 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.270$ way and then this way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358823

 $00:44:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.710$ and then rotate and take

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358823

00:44:56.710 --> 00:44:58.890 different pictures and record them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358823

 $00:44:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.710$ when ideally, what you wanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358823

 $00:45:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.750$ see is what do all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358823

 $00:45:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.950$ the channels look like. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358823

 $00:45:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.930$ if we can translate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976267

 $00:45:04.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.685$ all those images that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976267

 $00{:}45{:}05.765 \to 00{:}45{:}07.525$ taking into something three d,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976267

 $00:45:07.525 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.585$ that would be amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97988695

00:45:09.125 --> 00:45:10.405 And I if you're interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.97988695

 $00:45:10.405 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.205$ in that, I would love

NOTE Confidence: 0.97988695

00:45:11.205 --> 00:45:12.725 to work on that with

 $00:45:12.725 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.225$ you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9194903

 $00:45:14.005 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.505$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9219816

 $00{:}45{:}15.285 \to 00{:}45{:}15.785$ Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98790216

00:45:16.565 --> 00:45:18.745 Wonderful. Thank you. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6996739

 $00:45:20.099 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.799$ Your thoughts around chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.808939

 $00:45:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.000$ as an independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.4675626

 $00:45:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.160$ effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651846

 $00{:}45{:}26.739 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}45{:}28.739$ Considering either in the acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651846

 $00:45:28.739 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.239$ phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90254986

 $00:45:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.619$ it being a proxy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.90254986

 $00:45:31.619 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.920$ steroid use Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106176

 $00:45:33.795 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.415$ Symptoms. And if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99947566

 $00{:}45{:}35.795 --> 00{:}45{:}36.295 \ survivorship$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9011731

 $00:45:36.594 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.295$ phase, it being a reflection

NOTE Confidence: 0.9011731

 $00:45:38.434 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.734$ of potential sarcopenia.

 $00:45:40.835 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.315$ Mhmm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855745

 $00:45:41.875 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.515$ What's your

NOTE Confidence: 0.99881685

 $00:45:43.795 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.295$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709024

 $00:45:44.594 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.795$ I so one, we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709024

 $00:45:45.795 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.510$ surprised to see that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709024

 $00:45:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.710$ that's not known in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709024

 $00:45:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.550$ literature either. So, typically, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709024

 $00:45:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.450$ know that radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.973177

00:45:52.070 --> 00:45:53.349 therapy is a risk factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.973177

 $00:45:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.869$ is an independent risk factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973177

 $00{:}45{:}54.869 \to 00{:}45{:}56.170$ but we found that chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.973177

 $00:45:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.810$ was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968354

 $00:45:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.890$ which, was interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982092

 $00:45:59.505 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.384$ I agree that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.982092

 $00:46:00.384 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.505$ to separate it from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.982092

 $00:46:01.505 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.105$ steroid use, but also the

 $00{:}46{:}03.105 --> 00{:}46{:}04.384$ steroid use could also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.982092

00:46:04.384 --> 00:46:06.144 an effect on hemoglobin a

NOTE Confidence: 0.982092

 $00:46:06.144 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.005$ one c, the sugar levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982092

 $00:46:08.065 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.565$ etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99896026

00:46:09.025 --> 00:46:10.384 So we're still gonna delve

NOTE Confidence: 0.99896026

 $00:46:10.384 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.664$ into that data a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.99896026

00:46:11.664 --> 00:46:12.384 bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97077286

 $00:46:12.864 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.305$ to see to see as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97077286

 $00:46:14.305 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.045$ to why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8679046

00:46:16.160 --> 00:46:16.660 But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9518401

 $00{:}46{:}17.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.640$ it's really interesting what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9518401

 $00:46:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.760$ are saying about the late

NOTE Confidence: 0.9518401

 $00:46:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.520$ effect of the sarcopenia. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9518401

 $00:46:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.980$ haven't really looked at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972013 00:46:25.360 --> 00:46:25.860 Hi. NOTE Confidence: 0.9990183 $00:46:32.075 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.575 \text{ Yes.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993354

 $00{:}46{:}34.235 --> 00{:}46{:}34.735 \ \mathrm{Yes}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983196

 $00:46:40.555 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.055$ Mhmm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929628

00:46:42.250 --> 00:46:43.070 Right. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99625576

 $00:46:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.450$ breast lymphedema is actually very

NOTE Confidence: 0.99625576

 $00:46:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.670$ difficult to diagnose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678027

 $00:46:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.690$ One of my so when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678027

 $00:46:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.710$ they present with a red

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678027

 $00:46:52.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.450$ breast or a breast that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678027

 $00:46:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.130$ kinda swells or a breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678027

 $00{:}46{:}55.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.155$ that continues and progresses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90715903

 $00:46:57.775 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.295$ I really try to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.90715903

 $00:46:59.295 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.675$ of get to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929795

 $00:47:01.055 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.015$ to be honest, it it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929795

00:47:02.015 --> 00:47:02.975 takes me I get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929795

00:47:02.975 --> 00:47:04.175 lot of the information from

 $00:47:04.175 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.835$ the history

NOTE Confidence: 0.98006254

00:47:05.535 --> 00:47:07.075 and with how they're presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.98006254

00:47:07.135 --> 00:47:08.335 because I do think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98006254

 $00:47:08.335 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.395$ a rare diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95234233

 $00:47:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.070$ So first things that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95234233

 $00:47:12.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.110$ I try to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.95234233

 $00:47:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.410$ that it's not as recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942469

 $00{:}47{:}15.030 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}47{:}16.790$ and sometimes we often forget

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942469

 $00:47:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.489$ that that is a reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942469

 $00:47:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.910$ for swelling and for them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942469

 $00:47:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.810$ to look different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937328

00:47:21.510 --> 00:47:22.390 Also, you know, we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937328

 $00:47:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.210$ about inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.98301715

 $00:47:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.210$ breast cancer, which can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.99880075

 $00:47:26.205 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.585$ present that way.

00:47:28.765 --> 00:47:29.905 However, having,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99804056

00:47:31.485 --> 00:47:32.605 having sort of seen a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99804056

 $00:47:32.605 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.425$ few patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650485

 $00:47:34.045 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.645$ I've realized that most the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650485

 $00:47:35.645 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.605$ the reason why it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650485

 $00:47:36.605 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.965$ difficult to diagnose is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650485

 $00:47:37.965 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.325$ it's hard to image as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650485

 $00:47:39.325 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.825$ well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944139

00:47:40.400 --> 00:47:42.180 So we've currently developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99622196

 $00:47:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.640$ a few ways to image

NOTE Confidence: 0.99622196

 $00:47:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.620$ it in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994048

 $00:47:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.060$ by injecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846891

00:47:47.440 --> 00:47:48.800 very similar to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846891

 $00:47:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.239$ you can use ICG by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846891

 $00:47:50.239 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.080$ injecting around the nipple areolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846891

 $00:47:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.580$ complex

 $00:47:52.965 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.845$ to take a look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706004

 $00:47:53.845 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.445$ what drains the breast into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706004

 $00:47:55.445 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.805$ the XLL. Right? That's one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706004

 $00:47:56.805 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.005$ of the ways to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706004

00:47:58.005 --> 00:47:59.364 your lymph node, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706004

 $00:47:59.364 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.045$ your central lymph node. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706004

 $00:48:01.045 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.485$ I started using that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706004

 $00:48:02.485 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.545$ as well as injecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889762

00:48:04.005 --> 00:48:05.785 some of the, the quadrants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525802

 $00:48:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.650$ I noticed that there it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525802

 $00:48:08.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.870$ also hard to differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942946

 $00:48:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.390$ from radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99839544

 $00:48:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.310$ induced edema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986143

00:48:13.770 --> 00:48:14.270 Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977376

 $00:48:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.630$ So I think

 $00:48:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.130$ those are that's why it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.997715

 $00:48:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.670$ such a difficult diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97045326

 $00:48:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.510$ If they haven't had radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767293

00:48:21.105 --> 00:48:22.545 but they're still presenting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767293

 $00:48:22.545 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.984$ a heavy breast, with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767293

 $00:48:23.984 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.885$ lot of edema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97358954

 $00:48:25.265 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.864$ edema that doesn't get better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97358954

 $00:48:26.864 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.744$ when they do a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.97358954

 $00:48:27.744 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.525$ of massaging, it gets better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98241633

 $00:48:29.984 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.605$ I think that's breast related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79691994

 $00:48:32.049 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.510$ lymphedema, breast cancer lymph breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.79691994

 $00:48:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.043$ cancer lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945268

 $00:48:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.989$ but if they're if they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945268

 $00:48:35.989 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.810$ had radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98657686

 $00:48:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.350$ and they're very early following

NOTE Confidence: 0.98657686

 $00:48:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.010$ their course,

00:48:41.110 --> 00:48:42.070 I don't think we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.908879

 $00{:}48{:}42.070 --> 00{:}48{:}43.910$ diagnosis that diagnose it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.908879

 $00:48:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.730$ breast lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96990967

 $00:48:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.070$ So it's a I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.96990967

00:48:46.070 --> 00:48:47.610 it's a very rare diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96990967

 $00:48:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.950$ and there's only a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.96990967

 $00:48:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.005$ of other places where we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.96990967

 $00{:}48{:}51.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52.205$ kinda looking at how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.96990967

 $00:48:52.205 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.405$ we map it. Is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.96990967

 $00:48:53.405 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.865$ an actual diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99078524

 $00{:}48{:}56.285 \to 00{:}48{:}57.165$ So, yeah, it's a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.99078524

00:48:57.325 --> 00:48:58.305 it's very tricky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99860287

00:48:58.605 --> 00:48:59.405 Yeah. I think it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99860287

 $00{:}48{:}59.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01.325$ diagnosis of exclusion. That's sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.99860287

 $00:49:01.325 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.445$ of how I would define

 $00:49:02.445 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.345$ it right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980147

 $00:49:10.219 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.119$ Any other questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98889893

 $00:49:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.660$ Let me see if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98889893

 $00:49:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.559$ something here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00:49:17.055 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.255$ There's a question here. Is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00{:}49{:}18.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.935$ it feasible to collect lymphatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00:49:19.935 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.974$ fluid at the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

00:49:20.974 --> 00:49:22.494 surgery? If it is feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00:49:22.494 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.375$ what is the range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00:49:23.375 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.895$ volumes that can be collected?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00:49:24.895 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.175$ That's a thank you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00:49:26.175 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.614$ that question. So when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00{:}49{:}27.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.295$ did that prospective trial where

NOTE Confidence: 0.97429574

 $00:49:29.295 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.070$ we looked at skin biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393729

00:49:31.370 --> 00:49:32.910 looking at RNA sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98848677

 $00:49:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.270$ and immunohistochemistry,

 $00:49:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.989$ we try we collected skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843487

 $00:49:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.530$ we collected fat, and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843487

00:49:39.530 --> 00:49:40.410 tried to collect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960987

 $00:49:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.590$ lymphatic fluid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991236

 $00{:}49{:}42.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.070$ Collecting lymphatic fluid is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991236

 $00:49:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.710$ excessively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991848

 $00:49:46.224 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.724$ difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913166

 $00{:}49{:}48.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.505$ We tried to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913166

 $00:49:49.505 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.724$ use different pipettes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98230654

 $00:49:51.185 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.385$ and it was actually very

NOTE Confidence: 0.98230654

 $00:49:52.385 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.744$ difficult. The range of volumes

NOTE Confidence: 0.98230654

 $00:49:53.744 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.265$ that are collected are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.98230654

 $00{:}49{:}55.265 --> 00{:}49{:}55.765 \ \mathrm{minimal}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811571

 $00:49:56.385 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.885$ Maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.98679614

 $00:49:57.505 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.704$ one ml would be the

 $00:49:58.704 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.820$ max, and I'm not sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.98679614

 $00:49:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.180$ that that's enough to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.98679614

00:50:01.180 --> 00:50:01.980 any sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984126

 $00:50:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.400$ sort of studies with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936456

 $00:50:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.720$ The other way to potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936456

 $00:50:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.540$ collect it, and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936456

 $00:50:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.840$ in patients who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99635977

 $00:50:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.560$ are undergoing liposuction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95778495

 $00:50:13.805 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.005$ is to collect it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95778495

 $00:50:15.005 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.745$ the lipoaspirate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836095

 $00:50:16.365 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.485$ but then you'd have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836095

 $00:50:17.485 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.525$ be able to find a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836095

 $00:50:18.525 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.725$ way to sort of divide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836095

00:50:19.725 --> 00:50:22.205 it divide the, light lipid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836095

 $00:50:22.205 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.645$ portion of it to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836095

00:50:23.645 --> 00:50:24.145 lymphedema

 $00{:}50{:}24.445 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.125$ to the lymphatic fluid portion

NOTE Confidence: 0.9847545

 $00{:}50{:}26.125 --> 00{:}50{:}27.013$ of it, and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9847545

 $00:50:27.013 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.373$ that can also be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9847545

 $00{:}50{:}28.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.213$ difficult. But that's potentially another

NOTE Confidence: 0.9847545

 $00{:}50{:}30.213 --> 00{:}50{:}31.193$ way to do it.