WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:01:43.696" NOTE Confidence: 0.94610417

 $00:00:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.019$ Hello, everybody. Hi. Good afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801456

00:00:05.359 --> 00:00:07.200 Hi, everybody. I'm I'm I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801456

00:00:07.200 --> 00:00:08.260 I'm Wendy Silverman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144355

00:00:08.639 --> 00:00:10.820 I'm, I directed Ellie Leibovitz,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963465

 $00:00:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.740$ the anxiety program

NOTE Confidence: 0.84595275

 $00:00:13.119 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.320$ here at the Charles Studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.84595275

 $00:00:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.460$ Center, and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.99810386

 $00:00:16.055 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.175$ so thrilled that a world

NOTE Confidence: 0.99810386

 $00:00:19.175 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.654$ famous child anxiety researcher from

NOTE Confidence: 0.99810386

00:00:21.654 --> 00:00:22.154 Australia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905989

 $00:00:23.015 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.755$ is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9507741

 $00:00:24.055 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.494$ And, her name is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9507741

 $00{:}00{:}25.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.175$ professor Jenny Hudson. I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9507741

 $00:00:27.175 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.454$ Jenny for a long time

00:00:28.454 --> 00:00:29.940 because of her excellent work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867345

 $00:00:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.900$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952435

 $00:00:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.280$ she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97181237

 $00:00:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.260$ known all over the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97181237

 $00:00:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.800$ and she's especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9527845

 $00:00:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.460$ a a rock star all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9527845

 $00:00:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.580$ over, but a super rock

NOTE Confidence: 0.9527845

 $00:00:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.640$ star in Australia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97812176

 $00:00:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.460$ where she is now I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97812176

 $00:00:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.600$ have to take my glasses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99048966

 $00:00:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.500$ Where she is a fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.99048966

 $00:00:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.159$ of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99556446

 $00:00:45.495 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.515$ Australian Academy of Social Sciences

NOTE Confidence: 0.96859425

 $00:00:48.055 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.095$ and the what would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.96859425

 $00:00:49.095 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.775$ the equivalent of NIMH, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96859425

 $00{:}00{:}50.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.534$ National Health and Medical Research

 $00:00:52.534 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.034$ Council.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99820536

 $00:00:53.655 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.655$ And she is also very

NOTE Confidence: 0.99820536

00:00:55.655 --> 00:00:57.915 heavily involved in Australian policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9174694

 $00:00:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.690$ with with regard to child

NOTE Confidence: 0.9174694

 $00:01:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.210$ mental health. She chairs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9174694

00:01:02.210 --> 00:01:04.069 Australia the government policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427859

 $00:01:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.409$ committee of child mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427859

 $00:01:07.409 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.390$ research plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961794

 $00:01:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.470$ And she's also now participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166666

00:01:10.930 --> 00:01:12.869 in the Young Minds Matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166666

 $00{:}01{:}13.165 --> 00{:}01{:}14.604$ our future, which is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166666

 $00:01:14.604 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.924$ a Australian government department of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166666

 $00{:}01{:}16.924 --> 00{:}01{:}17.424 \text{ health},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99818134

 $00:01:17.965 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.465$ initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99008733

 $00:01:19.244 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.704$ So besides her incredible

 $00:01:21.005 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.465$ work in the public

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941225

 $00:01:22.765 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.005$ policy and sphere, she as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941225

 $00:01:25.005 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.825 \text{ I said, she's}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98631567

00:01:26.290 --> 00:01:27.890 incredibly renowned in her work

NOTE Confidence: 0.98631567

 $00:01:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.870$ in child anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.74521214

 $00:01:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.310$ and developing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372364

 $00:01:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.650$ innovative interventions that involve parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372364

 $00:01:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.869$ and children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668483

 $00:01:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.770$ is now moving in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668483

00:01:36.770 --> 00:01:37.810 direction of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827942

00:01:38.815 --> 00:01:40.975 digital mental health. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827942

00:01:40.975 --> 00:01:41.935 could go on and on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827942

00:01:41.935 --> 00:01:43.135 but and I I also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827942

 $00:01:43.135 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.035$ just say she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99423736

 $00:01:44.415 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.095$ fabulous. And if I may

NOTE Confidence: 0.99423736

 $00:01:46.095 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.455$ reveal a secret, she had

 $00{:}01{:}47.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.055$ her first pastrami sandwich in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99423736

 $00:01:49.055 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.875$ New York City

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262062

00:01:50.255 --> 00:01:51.075 last night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401965

00:01:51.900 --> 00:01:53.200 at Katz's Delicatessen

NOTE Confidence: 0.93393743

 $00:01:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.880$ in the Lower East Side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898587 00:01:55.180 --> 00:01:55.500 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99219924

 $00:01:56.939 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.820$ for those of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.99219924

 $00:01:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.939$ may not know that, I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.99219924

00:01:58.939 --> 00:02:00.700 take you also to, Katz's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99219924

 $00:02:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.200$ Delicatessen

NOTE Confidence: 0.96939766

 $00:02:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.460$ in the Lower East Side

NOTE Confidence: 0.96939766

00:02:02.460 --> 00:02:04.540 of Manhattan. Okay. So and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96939766

 $00{:}02{:}04.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.159$ and she's here to still

NOTE Confidence: 0.97357064

00:02:06.619 --> 00:02:08.294 you know, eating a sandwich

NOTE Confidence: 0.97357064

 $00:02:08.294 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.334$ like this is no easy

 $00:02:09.334 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.694$ task because she's still able

NOTE Confidence: 0.97357064

 $00:02:10.694 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.915$ to be with us now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99821895

 $00:02:12.375 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.915$ after this unbelievable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977585

00:02:14.215 --> 00:02:15.275 pastrami sandwich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8526176

00:02:15.735 --> 00:02:16.775 I'm not gonna talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8526176

 $00:02:16.775 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.895$ the and the other stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8526176

00:02:17.895 --> 00:02:18.694 She could tell you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8526176

 $00:02:18.694 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.194$ that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732261

 $00{:}02{:}25.660 --> 00{:}02{:}27.419$ I can highly recommend the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732261

00:02:27.419 --> 00:02:29.100 Wendy Silverman tour of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732261

 $00:02:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.940$ Lower East Side. It is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732261

 $00:02:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.440$ excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857451

 $00:02:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.180$ We had a fun time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857451

 $00{:}02{:}33.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.860$ over the weekend, so thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857451

 $00{:}02{:}34.860 \to 00{:}02{:}35.360$ you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292266

 $00:02:35.675 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.635$ And a big thank you,

00:02:36.635 --> 00:02:38.235 Wendy, for having me here

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292266

 $00{:}02{:}38.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.514$ today. It's a pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292266

 $00:02:39.514 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.835$ be able to present to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991298

00:02:42.315 --> 00:02:43.995 this incredible group of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991298

 $00:02:43.995 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.895$ here at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.90451986

00:02:45.195 --> 00:02:47.535 Child Study Centre. I've, admired

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708088

 $00:02:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.980$ Wendy's work for a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708088

 $00:02:48.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.360$ long time as well as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92384535

 $00:02:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.400$ Ellie's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97270095

 $00:02:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.540$ and, Alan Kasdan as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97270095

 $00:02:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.060$ So it's very, it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97270095

 $00:02:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.019$ privilege for me to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97270095

00:02:56.019 --> 00:02:57.459 here and share with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97270095

 $00:02:57.459 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.660$ the work that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97270095

00:02:58.660 --> 00:02:59.400 been doing.

 $00:03:00.555 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.755$ So I'm kind of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9290066

00:03:01.755 --> 00:03:03.055 about what I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9290066

 $00:03:03.275 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.575$ present today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489165

 $00:03:05.514 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.475$ and thinking about kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489165

 $00:03:06.475 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.275$ the work that I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489165

00:03:07.275 --> 00:03:08.555 doing. This one question that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489165

 $00:03:08.555 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.835$ been on my mind that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489165

00:03:09.835 --> 00:03:10.975 I thought I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.956904

00:03:11.355 --> 00:03:12.395 spend the time kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.956904

 $00:03:12.395 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.775$ going through the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638222

 $00{:}03{:}14.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.055$ and also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97562397

 $00:03:15.755 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.549$ I suppose, exploring this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.97562397

 $00:03:17.549 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.150$ whether or not there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97562397

 $00:03:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.909$ a future for precision mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.97562397

 $00:03:20.909 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.409$ health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766747

 $00{:}03{:}21.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23.889$ in anxiety disorders in children.

 $00:03:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.750$ We've had there's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99543667

00:03:26.750 --> 00:03:28.769 lot of talk around personalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859003

 $00:03:29.069 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.775$ care and also precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153583

 $00{:}03{:}31.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.595$ mental health. So I use

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153583

 $00:03:32.595 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.955$ this kind of slightly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153583

 $00:03:33.955 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.015$ term. So personalisation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99710613

 $00:03:35.394 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.455$ I think, can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090999

 $00:03:36.915 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.995$ any adaptation really that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090999

 $00:03:38.995 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.775$ person might make to therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96677434

 $00{:}03{:}42.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.735$ You know, maybe you're tailoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.96677434

 $00:03:43.795 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.415$ something to a a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.95775497

 $00:03:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.630$ child because of their presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95775497

00:03:47.690 --> 00:03:49.070 or maybe their interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99729186 00:03:50.250 --> 00:03:50.750 But NOTE Confidence: 0.8513968

 $00:03:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.110$ that's kind of personalising

 $00:03:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.590$ it's not really driven by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963732

 $00{:}03{:}54.650 --> 00{:}03{:}55.150 \ \mathrm{data}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97770137

00:03:55.530 --> 00:03:56.990 So when I say precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.97770137

 $00:03:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.050$ mental health or precision mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.97770137

00:03:59.050 --> 00:04:01.275 health care, precision care, it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97770137

 $00:04:01.275 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.775$ about

NOTE Confidence: 0.95674706

 $00:04:02.155 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.335$ personalization that's driven by data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395016

 $00:04:04.715 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.215$ which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98237664

 $00:04:05.595 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.875$ they're they're both quite different

NOTE Confidence: 0.98237664

 $00:04:06.875 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.375$ things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985237300:04:08.395 --> 00:04:08.895 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971076

 $00:04:09.755 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.355$ I do think that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971076

 $00:04:11.355 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.255$ kind of personalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9822286

 $00:04:12.555 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.595$ there's always gonna be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9822286

 $00:04:13.595 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.700$ future for that because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9822286

 $00:04:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.060$ how clinicians work. As a

00:04:16.060 --> 00:04:17.279 clinician, you always

NOTE Confidence: 0.99968624

 $00:04:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.960$ tailor your

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165055

00:04:19.419 --> 00:04:20.779 approach and, you know, you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165055

00:04:20.779 --> 00:04:22.779 not gonna approach a seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165055

 $00:04:22.779 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.820$ year old in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165055

 $00:04:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.880$ way that you would approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165055

00:04:24.940 --> 00:04:26.400 a sixteen year old boy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165055

 $00:04:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.580$ seven year old girl, for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165055

 $00:04:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.080$ instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755811

 $00:04:28.475 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.675$ And you'll you'll have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755811

 $00{:}04{:}29.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.795$ different style in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755811

 $00:04:30.795 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.995$ that you interact with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755811

 $00{:}04{:}31.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.295$ child and with the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755811

 $00:04:33.514 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.875$ But that's based on kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755811

 $00:04:34.875 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.955$ of your clinical judgment. It's

 $00:04:36.955 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.794$ not necessarily based on getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755811

 $00:04:38.794 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.695$ better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96920747

 $00:04:40.075 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.435$ So for me, the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.96920747

 $00:04:41.435 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.955$ of precision mental health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.96920747

 $00:04:42.955 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.490$ is is more about personalising

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897223

 $00{:}04{:}46.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.350$ that's going to improve treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897223

 $00:04:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.850$ outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99765116

 $00:04:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.110$ So what is it that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99765116

00:04:50.110 --> 00:04:51.010 you will do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808047

 $00:04:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.390$ that will be better for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808047

 $00:04:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.270$ the seven year old as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808047

 $00:04:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.310$ opposed to the sixteen year

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808047

00:04:55.310 --> 00:04:56.930 old that's based on data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808047

 $00:04:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.690$ that is gonna improve outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94679666

 $00:05:00.085 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.284$ So the the slightly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.94679666

 $00:05:01.284 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.085$ things, but I just wanna

 $00{:}05{:}02.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.284$ make it clear they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.94679666

 $00:05:03.284 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.805$ the different definitions that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94679666

 $00:05:04.805 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.425$ use. And it's the precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.94679666

 $00:05:06.645 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.145$ medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851714

 $00:05:07.525 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.964$ the precision mental health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851714

 $00:05:08.964 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.245$ that I'm not a hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851714

 $00:05:10.245 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.525$ percent sure about. I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851714

 $00:05:11.525 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.724$ sure whether there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851714

 $00:05:12.724 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.960$ future for it. So I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851714

 $00:05:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.000$ gonna take you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.93253136

 $00:05:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.639$ as I would never have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93253136

 $00:05:17.639 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.080$ said that maybe five or

NOTE Confidence: 0.93253136

00:05:19.080 --> 00:05:21.000 ten years ago, as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93253136

 $00:05:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.360$ thought, for sure, this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93253136

 $00:05:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.560$ where where we were

 $00:05:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.160$ headed. But I've become a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93253136

00:05:25.160 --> 00:05:26.540 little bit more skeptical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98601073

 $00:05:27.745 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.044$ and I'm not yet convinced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98601073

00:05:29.264 --> 00:05:30.625 I'm still hopeful, though. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.98601073

 $00:05:30.625 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.824$ still very hopeful that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.98601073

 $00:05:31.824 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.865$ there is a future, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.98601073

00:05:32.865 --> 00:05:33.745 I wanna take you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.98601073

 $00:05:33.745 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.485$ the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950811

 $00{:}05{:}35.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.384$ that I've been working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950811

 $00:05:36.384 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.425$ and others around the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950811

00:05:37.425 --> 00:05:38.305 have been working on as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950811

00:05:38.305 --> 00:05:39.264 well. I've even slipped in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950811

 $00:05:39.264 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.724$ a slide of Wendy's work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90915966

 $00:05:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.300$ Yeah. That's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99318457

 $00:05:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.900$ Paying you back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97525465

 $00:05:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.800$ But, yeah, just to see

 $00:05:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.240$ where where we're at. What

NOTE Confidence: 0.97525465

 $00:05:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.520$ do we know in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.97525465

 $00:05:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.060$ of data driven decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.97586673

 $00:05:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.960$ about what is gonna improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.97586673

 $00:05:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.880$ outcomes for children and young

NOTE Confidence: 0.97586673

00:05:56.880 --> 00:05:57.380 people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044573

 $00:05:58.645 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.085$ Alright. And then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044573

 $00{:}06{:}00.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.205$ make your own decisions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044573

 $00:06:01.205 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.925$ it as well. I'd be

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044573

00:06:01.925 --> 00:06:03.604 keen to hear from everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044573

00:06:03.604 --> 00:06:04.645 here what you what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044573

 $00:06:04.645 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.685$ think about it, whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044573

 $00:06:05.685 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.044$ not there is a is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044573

 $00:06:07.044 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.705$ a future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430122

 $00:06:08.005 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.785$ Am I too jaded already,

 $00:06:10.085 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.404$ or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802316

 $00{:}06{:}11.525 --> 00{:}06{:}12.645$ am I not looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91745687

 $00:06:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.910$ yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98104703

 $00:06:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.110$ the possibilities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99373615

 $00:06:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.330$ Alright. So before I get

NOTE Confidence: 0.99373615

 $00:06:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.770$ started, though, just wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99373615

 $00:06:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.130$ make sure that, you're aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.99373615

 $00:06:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.170$ that I have no financial

NOTE Confidence: 0.99373615

 $00:06:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.450$ interest to disclose that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.99373615

00:06:22.450 --> 00:06:24.050 relevant to the what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.99373615

 $00:06:24.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.270$ talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541096

 $00:06:28.985 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.145$ You already understand about anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541096

 $00:06:31.145 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.085$ disorders and how common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93061113

 $00{:}06{:}33.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.225$ impairing they are because I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.93061113

00:06:35.225 --> 00:06:36.345 sure you hear Wendy talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.93061113

 $00:06:36.345 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.225$ about it all the time

 $00{:}06{:}37.225 \to 00{:}06{:}37.805$ and, Ellie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587037

 $00:06:38.985 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.639$ but I just wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587037

00:06:40.639 --> 00:06:41.760 take you before I started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587037

 $00:06:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.200$ talking about the personalization a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587037

 $00:06:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.419$ little bit more about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996336

 $00:06:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.860$ the fact that anxiety disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552547

 $00:06:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.760$ are still overlooked. And people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552547

 $00:06:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.740$ might not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556225

 $00:06:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.020$ think that anymore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979809

 $00:06:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.980$ but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845829

 $00{:}06{:}53.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.725$ when you see what's happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845829

 $00:06:54.725 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.425$ in the community and particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845829

 $00:06:56.565 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.625$ within children

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780799

 $00:06:58.165 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.765$ and in Australia, they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780799

00:06:59.765 --> 00:07:01.225 still very much overlooked,

 $00:07:02.005 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.865$ in terms of being considered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946186

 $00{:}07{:}04.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.765$ a mental disorder or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946186

 $00:07:05.765 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.205$ that's impairing and something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946186

 $00:07:07.205 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.505$ we need to take seriously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672923

 $00:07:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.040$ And I think in Australia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99939483

 $00:07:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.580$ this comes about because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99939483

 $00:07:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.720$ a common belief

NOTE Confidence: 0.98467225

00:07:15.180 --> 00:07:17.260 that mental disorders don't start

NOTE Confidence: 0.98467225

00:07:17.260 --> 00:07:18.860 until adolescence. I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.98467225

 $00:07:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.160$ if that's something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88470495

 $00:07:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.375$ is is a common. You're

NOTE Confidence: 0.88470495

 $00:07:22.375 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.415$ here in the child study

NOTE Confidence: 0.88470495

00:07:23.415 --> 00:07:24.695 center, so you're kinda focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88470495

 $00:07:24.695 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.435$ on kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98105806

 $00:07:25.895 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.335$ But in Australia, there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98105806

 $00:07:27.335 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.775$ a lot of focus on

 $00:07:28.775 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.855$ adolescents, and that is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.98105806

 $00:07:30.855 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.295$ a lot of interventions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98105806

 $00:07:32.295 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.495$ a lot of government money

NOTE Confidence: 0.98105806

 $00:07:33.495 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.955$ has been spent on improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.98105806

 $00:07:35.015 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.315$ interventions for adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994482

 $00:07:36.889 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.909$ With the assumption that children

NOTE Confidence: 0.99970794

 $00:07:39.289 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.189$ don't experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.94832087

 $00{:}07{:}40.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.490$ disorders like anxiety or depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94832087

 $00:07:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.930$ that it doesn't start until,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7251487

 $00:07:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.069$ till adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959988

 $00:07:45.689 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.129$ And this really comes about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959988

 $00:07:47.129 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.629$ from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99252254

00:07:48.810 --> 00:07:49.629 Ron Kessler's

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454424

 $00:07:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.750$ original,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96672416

 $00:07:51.794 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.014$ age of onset paper,

 $00:07:54.354 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.035$ which says the median age

NOTE Confidence: 0.97501504

 $00:07:56.035 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.995$ of onset. So this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97501504

 $00{:}07{:}56.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.595$ kind of national prevalence data

NOTE Confidence: 0.97501504

 $00:07:58.595 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.035$ from, the US and around

NOTE Confidence: 0.97501504

 $00:08:00.035 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.615$ the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673756

 $00{:}08{:}01.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.794$ looking at you know, asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673756

 $00:08:03.794 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.095$ people asking adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.91975623

 $00:08:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.190$ when at what age did

NOTE Confidence: 0.91975623

 $00{:}08{:}07.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{:}390$ they first start to experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.91975623

 $00{:}08{:}08{:}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{:}670$ anxiety symptoms, or what age

NOTE Confidence: 0.91975623

00:08:09.670 --> 00:08:10.890 did they first start experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9033967

 $00:08:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.330$ depressive symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891945

 $00:08:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.830$ And when you take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891945

 $00:08:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.430$ median age of onset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891945

 $00:08:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.470$ all of the disorders, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891945

 $00:08:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.350$ it's kind of all the

00:08:17.350 --> 00:08:18.570 high prevalence disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938111

 $00{:}08{:}19.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.185$ you get this median age

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938111

 $00:08:20.185 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.565$ of onset of fourteen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99823064

00:08:22.265 --> 00:08:23.325 But people misinterpret

NOTE Confidence: 0.99847275

 $00{:}08{:}24.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.305$ what a median age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99847275

 $00:08:25.305 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.285$ onset is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93263656

 $00:08:26.905 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.945$ Like, for me as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93263656

 $00:08:27.945 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.305$ parent, if I was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93263656

 $00:08:29.305 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.525$ hear that in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.93263656

 $00:08:30.665 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.845$ that in the community that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703645

00:08:33.640 --> 00:08:35.240 mental disorders start at fourteen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703645

00:08:35.240 --> 00:08:36.279 That's when I'd start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703645

 $00:08:36.279 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.320$ focus on, okay, I need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703645

 $00:08:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.120$ to I've got a fourteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703645

 $00:08:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.920$ year old, this is when

 $00:08:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.360$ I need to be concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703645

 $00:08:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.320$ about, when I need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703645

 $00:08:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.620$ look out for mental disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703645

00:08:42.839 --> 00:08:44.059 or mental health symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872627

 $00:08:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.360$ But that's it's a median

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872627

 $00:08:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.559$ age of onset. Right? So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872627

 $00:08:47.559 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.380$ by the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991609

 $00:08:48.855 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.315$ a child reaches fourteen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9547186

 $00:08:50.774 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.654$ if you were going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9547186

 $00:08:51.654 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.955$ develop a a disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889076

 $00:08:53.495 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.195$ fifty percent of those people

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889076

 $00:08:55.495 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.714$ will have already experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.98032445

 $00{:}08{:}57.175 --> 00{:}08{:}57.675 \text{ clinical}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908462

 $00:08:58.054 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.554$ symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872913

 $00{:}08{:}59.415 --> 00{:}08{:}59.915 \ \mathrm{But}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994443

 $00{:}09{:}00.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.135$ what's even more important about

 $00:09:02.135 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.274$ this age of onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.999561

 $00:09:03.779 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.279$ data

NOTE Confidence: 0.911318

 $00:09:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.959$ is that it it's pulled

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996221

 $00:09:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.760$ together

NOTE Confidence: 0.99242896

 $00:09:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.200$ of all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99859214

 $00:09:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.500$ mental disorders. So when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99859214

 $00:09:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.399$ pull it out

NOTE Confidence: 0.99510854

 $00:09:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.500$ a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:16.625 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.425$ There we go. I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:17.425 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.145$ need to press a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:18.145 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.585$ harder. So the yellow line

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:19.585 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.105$ is anxiety disorders, and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:21.184 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.545$ the median age of onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:22.545 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.545$ is actually eleven years. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

00:09:24.545 --> 00:09:26.385 depression, mood disorders all lumped

 $00:09:26.385 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.465$ together, is thirty years. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

00:09:28.465 --> 00:09:30.890 four teen is only there because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00{:}09{:}30.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.570$ you're pooling data from eleven

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.029$ and thirty. So it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:34.089 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.210$ not very helpful as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

00:09:35.210 --> 00:09:36.650 parent to think, okay. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.450$ this is when I need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688075

 $00:09:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.589$ to start paying attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987105

 $00:09:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.570$ And if you dive even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987105

 $00{:}09{:}40.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.429$ deeper to the anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960907

 $00:09:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.950$ age of onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984289

 $00:09:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.230$ starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.94047296

00:09:45.985 --> 00:09:48.064 for specific phobia is five

NOTE Confidence: 0.94047296

 $00{:}09{:}48.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.824$ years, separation is seven years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94047296 00:09:49.824 --> 00:09:50.324 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9712099

 $00:09:51.504 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.365$ interventions that start in adolescence

 $00:09:53.504 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.285$ are completely missing the boat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712099

00:09:55.345 --> 00:09:56.625 You know, these problems have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712099

 $00:09:56.625 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.745$ already been around for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712099

 $00:09:57.745 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.804$ really long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978664

00:09:59.559 --> 00:10:01.240 But in Australia, this age

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978664

 $00:10:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.600$ of onset of fourteen has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978664

 $00:10:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.040$ been used to argue for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978664

00:10:04.040 --> 00:10:05.500 interventions being delivered

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692695

 $00:10:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.559$ in adolescence. So that's where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692695

00:10:07.559 --> 00:10:08.779 we have our services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995804

 $00:10:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.279$ And if you're a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995804

00:10:10.279 --> 00:10:12.380 presenting with anxiety and depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779151

00:10:12.834 --> 00:10:14.375 you don't get help until

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779151

 $00{:}10{:}14.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.255$ you're a teenager.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9716711

 $00{:}10{:}16.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.035$ Unless maybe there's suicidal risk

 $00:10:18.035 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.154$ or self harm, then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9716711

00:10:19.154 --> 00:10:20.615 might get to see community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00{:}10{:}20.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.475$ care. Otherwise, you pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:23.475 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.915$ wait until you're an adolescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:24.915 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.195$ because that's when the services

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:26.195 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.360$ are. And a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.640$ kids age out of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.400$ child services while they're waiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.280$ because there is such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.780$ huge demand because mental disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.120$ do not start in adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

00:10:35.120 --> 00:10:36.880 They're starting in childhood, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255

 $00:10:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.559$ then we're missing the boat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97085255 00:10:38.559 --> 00:10:39.059 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9712727

 $00:10:41.175 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.615$ the the other thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712727

00:10:42.615 --> 00:10:44.855 this data is that it's

 $00:10:44.855 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.755$ all from

NOTE Confidence: 0.98773646

 $00:10:46.375 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.215$ adults. There's no children or

NOTE Confidence: 0.98773646

00:10:48.215 --> 00:10:49.654 adolescents included in this age

NOTE Confidence: 0.98773646

 $00:10:49.654 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.955$ of onset data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746096

 $00{:}10{:}51.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.415$ There is prevalence data around

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746096

 $00:10:53.415 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.295$ that asks about age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746096

 $00:10:54.295 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.410$ onset for kids, so we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746096

 $00:10:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.530$ trying we're doing a meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746096

 $00:10:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.650$ analysis at the moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957428

 $00:10:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.030$ systematic review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9783135

 $00:10:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.030$ pooling all of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9783135 00:11:01.090 --> 00:11:01.590 for NOTE Confidence: 0.98505735

 $00:11:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.330$ children and adolescent prevalence studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.98505735

 $00:11:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.130$ to see if there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98505735

 $00:11:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.425$ difference in age of onset.

 $00:11:07.505 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.545$ Obviously, there will be because

NOTE Confidence: 0.98505735

 $00:11:08.545 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.665$ we won't have those kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98505735

 $00:11:10.065 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.964$ who are developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9343894

00:11:11.425 --> 00:11:12.644 problems in adulthood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96472514

 $00:11:13.024 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.245$ But if we get closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.96472514

 $00:11:14.304 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.904$ to the time and asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.96472514

 $00:11:15.904 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.265$ parents as well, when did

NOTE Confidence: 0.96472514

00:11:17.265 --> 00:11:18.945 these problems first begin? I

NOTE Confidence: 0.96472514

00:11:18.945 --> 00:11:20.385 think we're gonna get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96472514

00:11:20.385 --> 00:11:21.445 very different picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99451286

 $00:11:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.120$ Alright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948776

 $00:11:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.100$ So the work that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.948776

 $00:11:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.559$ real really been doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878433

 $00:11:29.019 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.699$ is trying to improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878433

00:11:30.699 --> 00:11:33.279 lives of children and teenagers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878433

00:11:33.500 --> 00:11:35.420 with anxiety disorders, not just

 $00:11:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.019$ teenagers, but both kids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878433

 $00:11:37.019 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.519$ teens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98908716

 $00:11:37.925 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.804$ And we've been doing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98908716

 $00:11:38.804 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.004$ lot of work on clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.98908716

 $00:11:40.004 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.564$ trials, trying to develop treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.98908716

00:11:42.564 --> 00:11:43.225 that work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95751977

00:11:44.725 --> 00:11:47.204 psychological treatments and medication treat-

ments

NOTE Confidence: 0.95751977

 $00:11:47.204 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.125$ as well, trying to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.95751977

 $00:11:49.125 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.404$ the symptoms and the long

NOTE Confidence: 0.95751977

 $00{:}11{:}50.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.360$ term impact that anxiety symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.95751977

 $00:11:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.800$ and disorders might have on

NOTE Confidence: 0.95751977

 $00:11:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.100$ a on a young person

NOTE Confidence: 0.95751977

00:11:55.399 --> 00:11:56.779 and missing out on education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99379283

 $00:11:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.860$ missing out on social interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807844 00:12:00.920 --> 00:12:01.420 But

 $00:12:02.679 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.520$ the big question that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981782

 $00:12:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.220$ been really searching for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9711066

00:12:07.135 --> 00:12:08.575 not just in anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9711066

00:12:08.575 --> 00:12:10.015 but across mental health research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9711066

 $00:12:10.015 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.535$ is being able to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9711066

 $00:12:11.535 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.355$ this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94262177

 $00:12:12.975 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.255$ that was posed by Gordon

NOTE Confidence: 0.94262177

 $00:12:14.255 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.774$ Paul quite a long time

NOTE Confidence: 0.94262177

 $00:12:15.774 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.615$ ago, which is what treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.94262177

00:12:17.615 --> 00:12:18.115 works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99368954

 $00{:}12{:}18.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.440$ by whom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330165

 $00:12:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.600$ for this individual with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330165

00:12:21.600 --> 00:12:22.580 specific problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95996064

 $00:12:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.420$ under which set of circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94026387

 $00:12:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.880$ So this is really what

 $00:12:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.160$ we've been striving for and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94026387

 $00:12:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.920$ the holy grail, really, in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94026387

 $00:12:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.140$ in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062146

 $00:12:32.264 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.425$ personalised care or improving treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062146

 $00{:}12{:}34.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.045$ outcomes. We want a treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062146

 $00:12:36.184 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.144$ and we want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062146

 $00:12:37.144 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.264$ able to help children in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062146

 $00:12:38.264 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.565$ a way that really matches

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062146

00:12:39.865 --> 00:12:40.684 their needs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98984814

 $00:12:41.304 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.004$ and choosing the right treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99302185

00:12:44.184 --> 00:12:46.200 But the kind of underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.99302185

 $00:12:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.800$ assumption of this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.98503196

 $00:12:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.040$ question or series of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.98503196

 $00:12:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.260$ is that personalization or precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.98503196

 $00:12:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.940$ is possible, that it will

NOTE Confidence: 0.98503196

 $00:12:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.399$ deliver different outcomes.

 $00:12:56.339 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.380$ So that's what we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489337

 $00:12:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.834$ on this search for is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489337

 $00:12:58.834 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.035$ trying to find out is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489337

 $00:13:00.035 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.154$ there a treatment that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489337

 $00{:}13{:}01.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.915$ work differently for different children

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489337

 $00:13:02.915 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.355$ or does one work better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489337

 $00:13:04.355 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.095$ than another?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9468298

 $00:13:05.635 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.774$ Under what circumstances?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98815376

 $00:13:07.075 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.035$ So this is what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.98815376

00:13:08.035 --> 00:13:09.635 really been striving for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98815376

 $00:13:09.635 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.695$ quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95815647

 $00:13:12.819 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.899$ But personalization itself, like with

NOTE Confidence: 0.95815647

 $00:13:14.899 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.339$ this question, is not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.95815647

 $00:13:16.339 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.040$ new. It is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896513

 $00:13:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.199$ use my arrow instead. Yeah.

 $00:13:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.420$ The mouse does not like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9175647

 $00:13:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.160$ my fingers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809801

 $00:13:25.625 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.304$ Alright. So personalization, as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809801

 $00:13:27.304 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.425$ said before, is not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809801

 $00:13:28.425 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.265$ new. It's something that clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809801

00:13:30.265 --> 00:13:31.404 have been doing forever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802084

 $00:13:32.105 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.304$ but the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802084

 $00:13:33.304 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.605$ science driven personalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.92837286

 $00:13:35.065 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.045$ is quite new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9084052

00:13:36.425 --> 00:13:37.165 In that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302378

 $00:13:37.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.070$ at the moment even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302378

 $00:13:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.150$ clinicians make these choices about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302378

 $00:13:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.270$ okay I'm gonna try this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302378

 $00:13:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.230$ instead of that or I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302378

 $00:13:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.470$ gonna, add this particular treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302378

 $00:13:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.929$ component at the moment

 $00:13:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.070$ we don't have the science

NOTE Confidence: 0.9533077

 $00:13:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.370$ to drive those decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751878

 $00:13:51.335 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.695$ We have made some inroads

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751878

 $00:13:52.695 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.455$ into this and I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751878

00:13:53.455 --> 00:13:54.295 to take you through some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751878

 $00:13:54.295 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.255$ of the work that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751878

 $00:13:55.255 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.875$ have been doing around this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87133646

 $00:13:57.255 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.054$ space but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802064

00:13:59.415 --> 00:14:00.455 yeah, I don't think we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802064

 $00:14:00.455 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.195$ there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:02.375 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.895$ So really I'll just backtrack

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:03.895 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.040$ a little bit because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:05.199 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.160$ most of the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.759$ we have been doing within

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:07.759 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.959$ the child mental health space

 $00:14:08.959 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.720$ and within anxiety disorders is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.920$ a one size fits all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.920$ approach. It's very different to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.279$ the what treatment works for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773071

 $00:14:15.279 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.059$ whom, under what circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9351911

00:14:17.679 --> 00:14:19.199 It's if you present with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9351911

00:14:19.199 --> 00:14:19.360 the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93872124

00:14:20.235 --> 00:14:22.075 with an anxiety disorder, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93872124

 $00:14:22.075 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.955$ can be any one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93872124

00:14:22.955 --> 00:14:25.535 them, generalized anxiety, separation anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999431

00:14:26.075 --> 00:14:27.055 specific phobia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989558

00:14:28.315 --> 00:14:29.295 social anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89034534

 $00:14:30.714 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.635$ even OCD, that the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.89034534

 $00:14:32.635 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.775$ would be very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99684185

 $00:14:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.470$ We have this one size

NOTE Confidence: 0.99684185

 $00:14:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.210$ fits all.

 $00:14:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.589$ It's different a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9634361

00:14:38.589 --> 00:14:40.110 from a dult treatment if an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9634361

00:14:40.110 --> 00:14:41.310 adult might be more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9634361

 $00:14:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.770$ to present with a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492562

00:14:43.390 --> 00:14:45.310 anxiety disorder, like social anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492562

00:14:45.310 --> 00:14:46.270 disorder, and you might get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492562

 $00:14:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.385$ more disorder specific treatment there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492562

00:14:48.685 --> 00:14:50.445 But really, it's, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492562

00:14:50.445 --> 00:14:52.045 child space, it's more a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492562

 $00:14:52.045 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.165$ one size fits all. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492562

 $00:14:53.165 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.285$ this makes sense because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492562

 $00:14:54.285 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.825$ high comorbidity between,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98822767

 $00{:}14{:}56.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.385$ different symptoms and different disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99581885

 $00:14:59.085 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.525$ and it seems economical to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99581885

 $00:15:00.525 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.565$ have this one size fits

 $00:15:01.565 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.305$ all approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9465245

 $00{:}15{:}03.569 --> 00{:}15{:}05.009$ And it's true really for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9465245

00:15:05.009 --> 00:15:06.709 both psychological and pharmacological,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99974513

 $00:15:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.990$ interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97299236

 $00:15:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.250$ So this one size fits

NOTE Confidence: 0.97299236

 $00:15:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.550$ all is kind of CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.97299236

 $00:15:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.370$ in this lumped package, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97299236

 $00:15:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.350$ we know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600907

 $00{:}15{:}15.730 --> 00{:}15{:}16.185 \ it's,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715702

 $00:15:16.585 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.265$ it's been established as a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94270086

 $00:15:18.825 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.825$ efficacious treatment for anxiety disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94270086

00:15:20.825 --> 00:15:22.685 There's been many, many trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99635655

 $00:15:23.625 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.585$ And when you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99635655

 $00:15:24.585 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.825$ what those treatment components are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99635655

 $00:15:26.825 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.904$ there's lots of different components

NOTE Confidence: 0.99635655

 $00:15:28.904 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.805$ that make up

 $00:15:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.950$ cognitive behavior therapy. And if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9151814600:15:31.950 --> 00:15:32.430 you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95513403

 $00:15:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.370$ hear about a a CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.95513403

 $00:15:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.710$ therapy, it can have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.95513403

 $00:15:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.850$ one of these components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97405875

 $00:15:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.990$ more emphasis on one over

NOTE Confidence: 0.97405875

 $00:15:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.030$ another, but they tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97405875

 $00:15:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.850$ have a psychoeducation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99939144

00:15:41.950 --> 00:15:43.010 cognitive restructuring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962319

 $00:15:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.850$ gradual exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989323

00:15:45.905 --> 00:15:47.525 where the child faces gradually

NOTE Confidence: 0.989323

 $00:15:47.585 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.645$ faces their fears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789808

 $00{:}15{:}49.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.105$ parent training as well, where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789808

 $00:15:51.105 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.205$ parents are encouraged to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789808

 $00:15:53.345 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.845$ accommodation

 $00:15:54.785 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.265$ or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931682

 $00{:}15{:}56.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.605$ reduce their overprotection

NOTE Confidence: 0.9344034

 $00:15:58.145 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.185$ or kind of rushing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9344034

 $00:15:59.185 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.730$ to help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327423

 $00{:}16{:}00.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.050$ and also a relaxation component

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327423

 $00:16:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.170$ that goes onto that. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327423

 $00:16:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.389$ that's kind of the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327423

 $00:16:04.449 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.949$ treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93090045

 $00:16:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.070$ package.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97094184

 $00:16:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.730$ That'd be about right for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97094184

 $00{:}16{:}07.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.490$ your program at the moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97094184

 $00:16:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.110$ Wendy, or your standard CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483535

 $00:16:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.310$ Sounds familiar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9682754

 $00:16:13.365 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.645$ And we know that CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.9682754

 $00:16:14.645 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.765$ works. Like, when you compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.9682754

 $00:16:15.765 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.985$ it to a wait list,

 $00:16:17.205 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.485$ yep. We get really strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.9682754

00:16:18.485 --> 00:16:20.345 effects. Compared to doing nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9682754

00:16:20.565 --> 00:16:22.165 we know CBT works. When

NOTE Confidence: 0.9682754

 $00:16:22.165 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.125$ we compare it to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9682754

 $00:16:23.125 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.105$ attention control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99646163

 $00:16:24.405 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.085$ it works better, but the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99646163

 $00:16:26.085 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.125$ effects are a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.99646163

 $00:16:27.125 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.625$ weaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821085

 $00:16:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.240$ When we compare it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821085

 $00:16:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.000$ treatment as usual, so another

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821085

 $00:16:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.640$ treatment, another psychological treatment, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821085

 $00:16:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.160$ effects are a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821085

 $00:16:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.840$ weaker again. They're there, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821085

 $00:16:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.740$ not as consistent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9914763

 $00:16:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.700$ and,

 $00:16:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.520$ there's a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.95867103

 $00{:}16{:}40.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.960$ limitation in those findings and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95867103

 $00:16:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.780$ not as strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99831295

 $00:16:44.165 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.545$ So keep this in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955984

 $00:16:46.005 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.204$ as I go through these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955984

 $00:16:47.445 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.805$ the next sets of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99473906

 $00:16:50.324 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.385$ data as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980323

 $00:16:52.084 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.845$ But importantly, I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.980323

00:16:53.845 --> 00:16:54.964 draw attention to the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.980323

 $00:16:54.964 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.639$ that these effects are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.980323

 $00:16:56.639 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.920$ on average. So we've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.980323

 $00{:}16{:}57.920 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}59.540$ an average treatment package,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912203

 $00:16:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.360$ and we look at means

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912203

00:17:01.360 --> 00:17:03.459 and standard deviations. On average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99660474

 $00:17:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.660$ how does everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.997836

 $00:17:05.359 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.059$ how does the typical child

 $00:17:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.260$ within the sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.683362

 $00:17:09.655 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.155$ fare?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359865

 $00:17:11.415 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.375$ And so we have we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359865

 $00:17:12.375 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.275$ kinda lumping

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936197

 $00:17:13.575 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.935$ all of the children that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936197

 $00:17:14.935 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.435$ present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985752

 $00:17:16.295 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.595$ and all of the variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.985752

 $00:17:17.734 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.575$ that we do experience and

NOTE Confidence: 0.985752

 $00:17:19.575 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.855$ we do see in treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.985752

 $00:17:20.855 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.455$ outcome studies, they're all lumped

NOTE Confidence: 0.985752

 $00:17:22.455 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.955$ together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962164

 $00:17:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.340$ But when we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962164

 $00:17:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.700$ that average, we know that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962164

00:17:27.019 --> 00:17:28.619 fifty percent fifty to sixty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962164

 $00:17:28.619 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.740$ percent of kids are likely

 $00:17:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.399$ to improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969551

00:17:31.659 --> 00:17:33.179 But we don't really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969551 00:17:33.179 --> 00:17:33.679 any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549354

00:17:34.139 --> 00:17:36.559 methods for predicting or, previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549354

00:17:36.619 --> 00:17:37.934 hadn't had any methods for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549354

 $00{:}17{:}37.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.154$ predicting that variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965709

 $00:17:39.855 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.975$ How do we know who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965709

 $00:17:40.975 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.794$ gonna respond?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974033 00:17:43.215 --> 00:17:43.715 So NOTE Confidence: 0.905416

00:17:44.414 --> 00:17:45.294 and our our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8921284

00:17:45.695 --> 00:17:47.475 our treatment approaches really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9089111 00:17:47.855 --> 00:17:48.014 will NOTE Confidence: 0.93903226

 $00:17:48.894 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.174$ and in terms of guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93903226

 $00:17:50.174 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.299$ I know we've got treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.93903226

 $00:17:51.299 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.580$ guidelines in Australia, but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93903226

 $00:17:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.539$ know you've also got them

 $00:17:53.539 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.039$ here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975569

 $00:17:54.419 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.919$ that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937929

 $00:17:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.919$ recommend CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.99856436

 $00:17:57.299 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.500$ for a child presenting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.99856436

 $00:17:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.639$ an anxiety disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867675

00:17:59.940 --> 00:18:01.220 But let's just say we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867675

 $00:18:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.440$ got two different children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935689

 $00:18:03.195 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.034$ We've got a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.957053

 $00:18:04.475 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.095$ Keira, a nine year old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957053

00:18:06.154 --> 00:18:06.654 She's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882956

 $00:18:08.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.914$ they're both, both of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882956

 $00:18:09.914 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.815$ children are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911023

 $00{:}18{:}11.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.054$ medication naive. They've never had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911023

 $00:18:13.115 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.434$ any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6111844

 $00:18:13.994 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.494$ medications

 $00:18:16.635 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.135$ before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954597

00:18:19.490 --> 00:18:20.690 Keira is a nine year

NOTE Confidence: 0.954597

 $00:18:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.210$ old. She comes from her

NOTE Confidence: 0.954597

 $00:18:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.490$ dad found her dad's family

NOTE Confidence: 0.954597

 $00:18:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.090$ comes from a Polynesian island.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954597

 $00:18:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.390$ Mum was born in India.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954597

00:18:26.610 --> 00:18:27.890 She's very anxious about her

NOTE Confidence: 0.954597

00:18:27.890 --> 00:18:29.910 schoolwork and getting things right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954597

 $00:18:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.110$ She's a bit perfectionistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338841

 $00:18:33.025 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.885$ She meets criteria for generalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338841

 $00{:}18{:}35.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37.125$ anxiety disorder and social anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338841

 $00:18:37.185 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.685$ disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99733627

00:18:38.225 --> 00:18:39.045 Her parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417686

 $00:18:39.425 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.865$ particularly her dad, is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417686

 $00:18:40.865 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.365$ anxious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99544907

 $00:18:41.665 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.785$ and she's also started to

 $00:18:42.785 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.725$ experience some mild depressive symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935159

 $00:18:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.710$ Jay, on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935159

 $00:18:46.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.670$ is a sixteen year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935159

00:18:47.670 --> 00:18:49.590 boy. He hasn't previously had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935159

 $00:18:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.030$ huge amounts of anxiety. He's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935159

00:18:51.030 --> 00:18:52.630 been coping okay. He's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935159

00:18:52.630 --> 00:18:54.650 started driving and started experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997985

 $00{:}18{:}55.109 --> 00{:}18{:}55.609 \ \mathrm{regular}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98622346

 $00:18:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.430$ panic attacks that are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.98622346

 $00:18:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.650$ coming out of the blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98622346

 $00:18:58.695 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.654$ He comes from a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98622346

00:18:59.654 --> 00:19:01.034 single parent household,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807386

 $00{:}19{:}02.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.734$ and he's been having these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807386

 $00:19:03.734 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.494$ panic attacks regularly and seeking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807386

 $00:19:05.494 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.994$ treatment.

 $00:19:07.174 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.455$ At the moment, our treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.95888364

 $00{:}19{:}08.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.554$ guidelines would say that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95888364 00:19:10.695 --> 00:19:11.195 CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971006

 $00:19:11.494 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.875$ treatment is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709614

 $00:19:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.940$ All all we know from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709614

 $00:19:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.900$ science is that you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709614

 $00:19:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.420$ apply the same approaches. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709614

00:19:17.420 --> 00:19:18.460 as a clinician, you'd probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709614

 $00{:}19{:}18.460 --> 00{:}19{:}19.500$ think, well, I do something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709614

 $00:19:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.960$ differently with each of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8821926

 $00:19:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.619$ But yet at the moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8821926

 $00:19:22.619 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.920$ the science says

NOTE Confidence: 0.87442416

 $00:19:24.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.500$ CBT or is that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87442416

 $00:19:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.160$ the recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982822

00:19:28.994 --> 00:19:30.115 Alright. So we've been trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982822

 $00:19:30.115 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.234$ to move away from this

 $00{:}19{:}31.234 --> 00{:}19{:}32.934$ one size fits all approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.9405225

 $00:19:33.234 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.554$ and trying to understand whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9405225

 $00:19:35.554 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.234$ a personalized evidence based or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9405225

 $00:19:37.234 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.215$ a precision medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779004

 $00:19:39.154 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.654$ approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.971167

 $00:19:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.060$ might work a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.971167

 $00:19:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.740$ better. So the goals of

NOTE Confidence: 0.971167

00:19:42.740 --> 00:19:43.240 personalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227245

00:19:43.619 --> 00:19:44.740 really, you've got ta be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227245

 $00{:}19{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.180$ to make an accurate diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227245

 $00:19:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.619$ or an accurate picture or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227245

 $00{:}19{:}47.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.300$ profile. Doesn't necessarily have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227245

00:19:49.300 --> 00:19:50.500 be diagnosis if you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227245

 $00:19:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.619$ come from a a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227245

 $00{:}19{:}51.619 --> 00{:}19{:}52.119 \bmod el.$

00:19:53.404 --> 00:19:54.684 Being able to predict what

NOTE Confidence: 0.97483027

 $00:19:54.684 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.605$ that individual risk is, being

NOTE Confidence: 0.97483027

 $00:19:56.605 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.965$ able to predict how likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.97483027

 $00:19:57.965 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.424$ the child might be to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97483027

 $00:19:59.644 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.144$ respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486676

 $00{:}20{:}00.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.065$ suboptimally to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9147947

 $00:20:03.005 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.085$ But the ultimate goal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9147947

 $00:20:05.085 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.585$ personalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.99842304

 $00{:}20{:}06.044 \to 00{:}20{:}07.404$ is really to achieve a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99842304

 $00:20:07.404 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.225$ more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976238

 $00:20:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.460$ response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609536

 $00:20:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.600$ There are a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609536

 $00:20:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.760$ ways to approach personalization or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609536

 $00{:}20{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.460$ precision medicine in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96199876

 $00:20:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.520$ You can adapt treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96199876

 $00:20:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.720$ subgroups. So if you've got

 $00:20:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.160$ a child presenting with social

NOTE Confidence: 0.96199876

00:20:21.160 --> 00:20:23.080 anxiety like Kira, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96199876

 $00:20:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.060$ example before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977423

 $00:20:24.565 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.305$ you may

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907093

 $00{:}20{:}26.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.005$ deliver a different treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907093

 $00:20:28.005 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.305$ adapt it for children

NOTE Confidence: 0.82953215

 $00:20:29.765 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.265$ with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99716026

 $00:20:31.125 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.905$ particular in particular subgroups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957181

 $00:20:34.565 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.405$ Modular therapies are another kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.957181

 $00:20:36.405 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.700$ of precision approach where depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.957181

00:20:38.700 --> 00:20:40.300 on the presentation, you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.957181

00:20:40.300 --> 00:20:42.720 add, or subtract different modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965475

 $00{:}20{:}43.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.240 \text{ of treatment,}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858161

 $00:20:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.200$ components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9516245

 $00:20:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.240$ And there's also more individualized

 $00:20:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.940$ metrics and predictive analytics that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9408736

00:20:50.940 --> 00:20:52.559 are using kind of more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967161

 $00:20:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.375$ recent techniques to try and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759594

00:20:56.155 --> 00:20:57.915 predict ahead of time based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759594

 $00:20:57.915 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.775$ on previous sets of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759594

 $00:20:59.915 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.115$ how likely is it that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759594

 $00:21:01.115 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.275$ this child is to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759594

 $00:21:03.275 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.934$ to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99473065

 $00:21:04.795 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.455$ So using

NOTE Confidence: 0.99940073

 $00{:}21{:}07.200 --{>} 00{:}21{:}07.859 \text{ predictive analytics}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9453192

 $00:21:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.399$ or previous datasets to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9453192

 $00:21:10.399 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.220$ able to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.98966223

 $00:21:11.679 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.880$ with the goal of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.98966223

 $00:21:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.840$ of being able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.98966223

 $00:21:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.220$ a better treatment response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93969005

 $00:21:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.559$ And sorry. I should have

 $00:21:16.559 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.679$ said this is, the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.93969005

 $00:21:17.679 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.200$ of a PhD student who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9396900500:21:19.200 --> 00:21:19.545 now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539783

 $00:21:20.265 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.385$ in the process of becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539783

00:21:21.385 --> 00:21:23.065 a postdoc, Liz Alberti, who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539783

 $00{:}21{:}23.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.585$ also had a pastrami sandwich

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539783

 $00:21:24.585 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.965$ yesterday and loved it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99461323

 $00:21:27.545 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.785$ She's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903845

 $00:21:28.825 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.285$ unfortunately, the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95838374

 $00:21:30.585 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.545$ the pool of Yale was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95838374

 $00:21:31.545 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.505$ not quite as strong as

NOTE Confidence: 0.95838374

00:21:32.505 --> 00:21:33.885 New York City, so she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95838374

 $00{:}21{:}33.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.160$ staying in New York City.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95838374

 $00:21:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.619$ Sorry to say, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191477 00:21:37.160 --> 00:21:37.400 But,

00:21:38.119 --> 00:21:40.060 she's having fun driving around

NOTE Confidence: 0.9636513

 $00{:}21{:}40.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.880$ Central Park today instead. It's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9636513

 $00:21:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.859$ her first trip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707905

00:21:43.800 --> 00:21:45.000 Anyway, she's been doing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707905

 $00:21:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.800$ work as part of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707905

00:21:45.800 --> 00:21:47.160 PhD in trying to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707905 00:21:47.160 --> 00:21:47.475 at, NOTE Confidence: 0.99935514

 $00:21:48.035 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.635$ how we can shift the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99935514 00:21:49.635 --> 00:21:50.135 field NOTE Confidence: 0.95154303

 $00:21:50.515 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.335$ more towards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717434

 $00:21:51.875 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.655$ using science to drive personalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709885

 $00:21:54.515 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.875$ So the different methods that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709885

 $00:21:55.875 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.914$ you might want to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709885

 $00{:}21{:}56.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.815$ to get towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.96007264

 $00:21:58.674 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.835$ personalization or precision medicine, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.96007264

 $00:22:00.835 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.955$ want to understand what the

 $00{:}22{:}01.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.000$ predictors are, so which children

NOTE Confidence: 0.96007264

 $00:22:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.119$ are likely to respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96007264

 $00:22:05.119 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.619$ treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9474945

00:22:06.080 --> 00:22:07.619 And then also, really importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9474945

 $00:22:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.740$ what are the moderators?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99314505

00:22:09.119 --> 00:22:10.880 Just knowing who's gonna have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99314505

 $00:22:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.380$ suboptimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.99867034

 $00:22:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.180$ responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.99745476

 $00:22:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.840$ is not helpful if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99745476

 $00:22:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.640$ don't know anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.95371896

 $00:22:15.119 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.920$ if we don't know what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95371896

 $00:22:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.300$ gonna work better for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9565155

 $00:22:18.164 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.764$ And then mediators are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9565155

 $00:22:19.764 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.264$ important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924183

 $00:22:20.884 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.345$ less so for the baseline,

 $00:22:23.044 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.544$ predictors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372522

 $00{:}22{:}24.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.565$ and predictive metrics, but it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372522

 $00:22:26.565 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.845$ important in being able if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372522

 $00:22:27.845 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.585$ we're targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.99904096

 $00:22:28.965 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.024$ treatments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99666154

 $00{:}22{:}30.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.945$ actually work on the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.95589906

 $00:22:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.800$ that that's perhaps what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.95589906

 $00:22:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.540$ can target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539559

 $00:22:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.300$ to personalize care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975699

 $00:22:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.540$ Alright. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.99685544

 $00:22:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.280$ I wanna focus just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99685544

 $00:22:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.580$ little bit on the predictors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646079

 $00:22:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.920$ aspects. That's some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646079

 $00:22:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.035$ work that we've been doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646079

 $00:22:46.355 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.035$ looking at what predicts treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646079

 $00:22:48.035 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.015$ outcomes. So

 $00:22:49.315 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.455$ can we identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.99431074

 $00:22:51.075 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.275$ ahead of time so when

NOTE Confidence: 0.99431074

 $00:22:52.275 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.055$ a child presents to treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94728005

 $00:22:54.595 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.755$ can we identify who's which

NOTE Confidence: 0.94728005

 $00:22:56.755 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.795$ one of them which which

NOTE Confidence: 0.94728005

 $00:22:57.795 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.835$ of the children is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94728005

 $00:22:58.835 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.215$ gonna respond favorably?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99643415

 $00:23:00.679 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.980$ So rather than this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95027727

00:23:03.559 --> 00:23:04.520 you know, kind of going

NOTE Confidence: 0.95027727

 $00:23:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.140$ and treating everybody the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95027727

00:23:06.359 --> 00:23:08.780 it's before that treatment starts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96313447

 $00:23:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.559$ what is it who's gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.96313447

00:23:11.559 --> 00:23:12.780 respond to that treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99905324

 $00{:}23{:}14.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.185$ And we're actually in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99905324

 $00:23:15.185 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.325$ pretty good place

 $00:23:17.025 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.245$ in the last five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600743

 $00{:}23{:}18.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.505$ in terms of answering that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600743

 $00{:}23{:}19.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.105$ question. The moderators not so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600743

00:23:21.105 --> 00:23:23.025 much but predictors we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600743

00:23:23.025 --> 00:23:24.405 have a much better understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600743 00:23:24.625 --> 00:23:25.125 of. NOTE Confidence: 0.9904048

 $00:23:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.549$ One of the things that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904048

 $00:23:27.549 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.470$ complicated this piece of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904048

 $00:23:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.929$ is around the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9360019

 $00:23:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.090$ the issues around methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986324

 $00:23:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.190$ that there are a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986324

 $00:23:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.789$ of different methods to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986324

 $00:23:35.789 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.850$ at treatment effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664889

 $00:23:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.029$ So we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91125834

 $00:23:39.345 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.945$ we also use in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91125834

 $00:23:40.945 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.984$ child work, we use the

 $00:23:41.984 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.905$ clinicians report, we use parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.91125834

00:23:43.905 --> 00:23:45.184 report, and we use child

NOTE Confidence: 0.91125834

 $00:23:45.184 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.684$ report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925255

 $00:23:46.544 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.145$ and you can get three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925255

00:23:48.145 --> 00:23:49.365 different treatment effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997842

 $00{:}23{:}50.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.684$ based on those three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731983

 $00:23:52.770 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.270$ reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88575655

 $00:23:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.369$ So it's then how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.88575655

 $00:23:54.369 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.570$ you work out what treatment's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88575655

 $00:23:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.230$ gonna work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9514376

00:23:56.770 --> 00:23:58.390 if you're using different methods?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774273

 $00:23:59.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.369$ You know, which one are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774273

 $00{:}24{:}00.369 --> 00{:}24{:}00.950$ you gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419697

 $00:24:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.869$ give preference to a priority

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419697

 $00:24:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.290$ to? We also have kind

00:24:04.290 --> 00:24:05.730 of different methods of looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419697 00:24:05.730 --> 00:24:06.230 at NOTE Confidence: 0.96657306

 $00:24:07.144 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.505$ at the effect. We can

NOTE Confidence: 0.96657306

 $00:24:08.505 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.125$ use the end point. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.96657306

 $00:24:10.264 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.945$ remission has the disorder that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96657306

 $00{:}24{:}11.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.304$ they started treatment with. Is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96657306

 $00:24:13.304 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.524$ that no longer there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513376

 $00:24:14.984 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.365$ And that's an end point

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513376

 $00:24:16.424 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.625$ where they they get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513376

 $00:24:17.625 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.764$ at the end of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513376

 $00:24:18.825 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.784$ Or you can also look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513376

 $00:24:19.784 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.825$ at in terms of change

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513376

 $00:24:20.825 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.565$ in severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9429892

 $00:24:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.030$ And that change in severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.968433

 $00:24:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.490$ really is determined by how

NOTE Confidence: 0.968433

 $00:24:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.609$ high or how severe they

00:24:27.609 --> 00:24:28.570 were in the first place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968433

 $00:24:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.310$ So sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.978637

 $00:24:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.150$ when you look at predictors

NOTE Confidence: 0.978637

 $00:24:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.130$ of outcome or even treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.978637

 $00:24:33.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.730$ effects, those two different those

NOTE Confidence: 0.978637

 $00:24:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.090$ things will be different in

NOTE Confidence: 0.978637

 $00:24:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.910$ terms of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933355

 $00:24:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.935$ in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98649454

 $00{:}24{:}39.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.075$ effects. Alright. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.97890437

 $00:24:41.855 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.295$ now ten years ago, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97890437

00:24:43.295 --> 00:24:44.335 set out on this piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.97890437

 $00:24:44.335 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.295$ of work looking at, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97890437

 $00{:}24{:}45.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.335$ what does the literature say

NOTE Confidence: 0.97890437

 $00:24:46.335 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.215$ so far? What do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97890437

00:24:47.215 --> 00:24:48.835 know about predictors of outcome?

00:24:49.695 --> 00:24:51.330 And we did a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633845

 $00:24:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.210$ review at the same time

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633845

00:24:52.210 --> 00:24:53.490 as Michael Fassum did a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633845

 $00:24:53.809 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.250$ systematic review, and we pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633845

 $00:24:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.290$ much came to the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.97633845

 $00:24:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.790$ conclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832048

 $00:24:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.530$ It's usually devastating when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832048

 $00:24:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.410$ see that somebody else has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832048

 $00{:}24{:}59.410 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}00.530$ done a systematic review at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832048

00:25:00.530 --> 00:25:01.650 exactly the same time as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832048

 $00{:}25{:}01.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.730$ you, but always reassuring that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832048

 $00:25:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.530$ you come up with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832048

 $00:25:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.990$ same as the same answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9554209

 $00:25:06.365 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.325$ That's the whole purpose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9554209

 $00:25:07.325 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.005$ a systematic review. But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871565

 $00:25:09.405 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.085$ that there were very few

00:25:11.085 --> 00:25:12.145 consistent predictors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97924405

 $00:25:12.605 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.565$ Not that they were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.97924405

 $00:25:13.565 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.525$ there, it's just that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.97924405

 $00:25:14.525 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.345$ weren't consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827861

 $00:25:16.045 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.405$ There was a few hints

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827861

 $00:25:17.405 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.225$ in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520661

 $00:25:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.580$ Social anxiety disorder predicted poorer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520661

 $00:25:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.119$ outcomes and, in fact, generalised

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520661

 $00:25:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.359$ anxiety predicted better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91430634

 $00:25:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.420$ Greater symptom severity predicted greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.91430634

 $00:25:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.040$ change but poorer endpoints.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795754

00:25:32.125 --> 00:25:33.265 Comorbid disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8753698

00:25:33.645 --> 00:25:34.705 like, having

NOTE Confidence: 0.99054015

00:25:35.005 --> 00:25:36.145 comorbid depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83760667

 $00:25:37.484 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.025$ in particular, so it wasn't

 $00:25:39.164 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.664$ comorbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009088

 $00:25:40.205 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.705$ generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99873775

 $00:25:41.484 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.984$ predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.95201963

 $00:25:42.684 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.445$ poorer treatment response. Although there

NOTE Confidence: 0.95201963

 $00:25:44.445 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.044$ were some studies in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.95201963

 $00:25:46.044 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.640$ review that was not just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95201963

 $00:25:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.860$ depression but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766949

 $00:25:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.840$ tended to be associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766949

 $00{:}25{:}50.840 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}51.900$ poor treatment response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92324114

 $00:25:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.920$ and parent symptoms as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92324114

 $00{:}25{:}54.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.200$ If a parent also presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.92324114

 $00:25:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.960$ with anxiety or depression that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92324114

 $00:25:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.640$ the the child had poorer

NOTE Confidence: 0.92324114

 $00:25:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.140$ endpoints.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909057

 $00:26:00.994 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.595$ But whenever we found a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909057

 $00:26:02.595 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.655$ study that showed

00:26:04.515 --> 00:26:06.595 social phobia, social anxiety predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940519

 $00{:}26{:}06.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07.875$ outcome, we found another study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940519

 $00:26:07.875 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.755$ that didn't show it. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940519

 $00:26:08.755 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.435$ it was so inconsistent that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940519

 $00:26:10.435 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.355$ we really we concluded that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940519

 $00:26:12.355 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.135$ there was no consistent predictors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00:26:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.470$ But there were so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00:26:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.230$ limitations with the the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00:26:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.510$ There was you know, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00:26:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.550$ we only ever power our

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00:26:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.070$ clinical trials to show a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00:26:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.390$ treatment effect of, does treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00{:}26{:}25.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.670$ a work better than treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00:26:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.869$ b? We never power it

NOTE Confidence: 0.97117734

 $00:26:27.869 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.830$ enough to be able to

 $00:26:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.475$ look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93475175

 $00{:}26{:}29.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.875$ predictors or moderators. So all

NOTE Confidence: 0.93475175

 $00:26:31.875 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.155$ of the the studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347517500:26:33.155 --> 00:26:33.655 this NOTE Confidence: 0.9986147

 $00:26:34.035 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.635$ review tended to be small

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986147

 $00:26:35.635 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.455$ sample sizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320824

00:26:36.994 --> 00:26:38.994 There was limited power, hence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320824

 $00:26:38.994 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.434$ the reason why some studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320824

 $00{:}26{:}40.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42.674$ showed that depression predicted outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320824

 $00:26:42.674 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.355$ and other studies didn't. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320824

 $00{:}26{:}44.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.095$ a one

NOTE Confidence: 0.99017406

 $00:26:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.859$ sample of a hundred only

NOTE Confidence: 0.99017406

00:26:46.859 --> 00:26:49.520 had five children with, comorbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.99017406

 $00{:}26{:}49.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.080 \ depression,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99757934

 $00:26:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.280$ then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996141

 $00:26:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.560$ the likelihood

 $00:26:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.700$ of, that study being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948385

 $00:26:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.680$ to show an effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454731

 $00:26:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.580$ is very different from, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454731

00:26:57.580 --> 00:26:58.934 know, study that had much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454731

 $00:26:58.934 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.855$ greater numbers. So we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454731

00:27:00.855 --> 00:27:01.835 limited power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97035784

 $00:27:03.174 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.174$ different assessment methods, as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97035784

00:27:05.174 --> 00:27:06.855 talked about, different definitions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97035784

 $00:27:06.855 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.355$ outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965207

00:27:08.054 --> 00:27:08.794 And also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98236716

00:27:09.095 --> 00:27:09.815 you know, if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.98236716

00:27:09.815 --> 00:27:11.494 at one variable relating to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98236716

 $00{:}27{:}11.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.640$ another and it's only related

NOTE Confidence: 0.98236716

 $00{:}27{:}13.640 {\: -->\:} 00{:}27{:}15.000$ to it's only related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98236716

 $00:27:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.919$ outcome because of its relationship

 $00:27:16.919 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.980$ with another variable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

 $00{:}27{:}18.359 --> 00{:}27{:}19.240$ you know, you're gonna get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

 $00:27:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.840$ inconsistency depending on whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

 $00:27:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.880$ not that variable has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

 $00:27:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.240$ controlled for. So it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

 $00:27:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.039$ a lot of mess in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

 $00:27:24.039 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.160$ the literature. And so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

00:27:25.160 --> 00:27:26.774 thought, well, what about if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

 $00:27:26.774 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.134$ we try to address this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592941

 $00:27:28.134 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.955$ issue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916407

 $00:27:29.575 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.315$ of limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.9296947

00:27:30.615 --> 00:27:32.294 power and increased our sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.9296947

 $00:27:32.294 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.274$ sizes? So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00:27:34.615 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.894$ Wendy was involved in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00:27:35.894 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.014$ work as well where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00:27:37.014 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.615$ were actually interested more in,

00:27:39.014 --> 00:27:41.070 genetic predictors of treatment outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00:27:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.510$ which I will mention briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00:27:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.430$ But we needed for genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00:27:44.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.950$ studies, we needed much larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00{:}27{:}45.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.050$ samples. So it was fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00:27:48.109 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.470$ because we got much bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390425

 $00:27:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.970$ samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9449836

 $00:27:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.470$ and then we're able to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9449836

00:27:51.869 --> 00:27:53.234 to have potentially be

NOTE Confidence: 0.99726254

 $00:27:53.695 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.815$ more power to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.99726254

 $00:27:54.815 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.475$ to detect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997285

 $00:27:56.095 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.595$ predictors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93148744

00:27:56.975 --> 00:27:58.255 We also pulled by that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93148744

00:27:58.255 --> 00:27:59.135 stage. We'd had a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.93148744

 $00:27:59.135 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.335$ of clinical trials, so we

 $00:28:00.335 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.215$ were able to pull the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93148744

00:28:01.215 --> 00:28:02.654 data together. So it's like

NOTE Confidence: 0.93148744

00:28:02.654 --> 00:28:04.015 when you pull data, can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93148744

 $00:28:04.015 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.154$ we get more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958132

 $00:28:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.250$ understanding of predictors through through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958132

 $00:28:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.750$ combining

NOTE Confidence: 0.87495136

 $00:28:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.550 \text{ RCTs}$?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99723244

 $00:28:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.230$ So this is a study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9117526

 $00:28:12.609 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.890$ I did two thousand and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9117526

 $00:28:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.970$ fifteen just with our samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.9117526

 $00:28:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.250$ that we'd collected within our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9117526

 $00:28:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.910$ own lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972687

 $00:28:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.950$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.989649

00:28:19.725 --> 00:28:21.005 we were able to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.989649

 $00:28:21.005 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.605$ consistently show with this much

NOTE Confidence: 0.989649

 $00{:}28{:}22.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.525$ larger sample that children with

 $00:28:24.525 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.125$ social anxiety disorder on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.989649 00:28:26.125 --> 00:28:26.625 left NOTE Confidence: 0.98721397

00:28:27.244 --> 00:28:29.165 had much poorer outcomes. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.98721397

 $00:28:29.165 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.145$ it's not that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.96032226

 $00{:}28{:}30.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.445$ were not responding. They were

NOTE Confidence: 0.96032226

 $00:28:32.445 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.645$ responding to treatment, but they

NOTE Confidence: 0.96032226

00:28:33.645 --> 00:28:35.529 just weren't responding as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988054

 $00{:}28{:}35.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.770$ as children with other presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9073944

 $00:28:38.309 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.049$ And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454144

 $00{:}28{:}39.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.270$ OCD here often people separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454144

 $00{:}28{:}41.270 --> 00{:}28{:}42.870$ that as a separate you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454144

 $00:28:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.830$ know, have a different type

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454144

 $00{:}28{:}43.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.350$ of treatment, but we consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454144 00:28:45.350 --> 00:28:45.850 it NOTE Confidence: 0.9314667

 $00:28:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.830$ part of the anxiety disorders

 $00:28:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.110$ and showed that actually our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314667

00:28:49.110 --> 00:28:51.795 CBT works, very well, much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314667

 $00:28:51.795 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.075$ better than it does for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996855

00:28:53.395 --> 00:28:54.295 social anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99734354

00:28:56.195 --> 00:28:57.495 We also looked at comorbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846454

 $00:28:57.795 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.075$ as well and showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846454

 $00:28:59.075 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.315$ children with comorbid mood disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846454

 $00:29:01.315 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.115$ had poorer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8221534

 $00{:}29{:}02.515 \to 00{:}29{:}04.295$ lower remission rates, poorer endpoints.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371817

00:29:05.560 --> 00:29:07.000 So pooling again this data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371817

 $00{:}29{:}07.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.760$ together was able to consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371817

 $00:29:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.960$ like, kind of have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371817

00:29:09.960 --> 00:29:11.480 more robust finding and something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371817

 $00:29:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.360$ that we can be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371817

 $00:29:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.340$ confident in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664793

 $00:29:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.260$ We also,

 $00:29:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.160$ looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956927

 $00:29:18.034 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.235$ oh, this is the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956927

 $00:29:19.235 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.195$ study. So this is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956927

 $00:29:20.195 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.475$ even bigger sample size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956927

 $00:29:21.475 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.715$ fifteen hundred. We looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956927

 $00:29:23.875 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.615$ this is including,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320294

 $00:29:25.955 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.455$ data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994399

 $00:29:27.715 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.215$ from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9510729

 $00:29:28.755 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.135$ Yale and Florida,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993437

 $00:29:31.635 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.135$ combining

NOTE Confidence: 0.93503135

 $00:29:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.690$ the data sets from around

NOTE Confidence: 0.93503135

 $00:29:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.270$ the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991714

 $00:29:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.789$ We had

NOTE Confidence: 0.99661475

00:29:37.090 --> 00:29:39.350 samples from Oxford, from Groningen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99661475

 $00:29:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.470$ from Amsterdam,

00:29:40.850 --> 00:29:42.950 Denmark, Norway, and Sydney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87412244

00:29:43.650 --> 00:29:44.630 pulling them together

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897848

 $00:29:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.470$ and showed again

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354626

 $00:29:46.955 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.575$ with social anxiety disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99649537

 $00:29:50.395 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.135$ This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8597795

 $00:29:51.515 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.955$ a change or a change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8597795

 $00:29:52.955 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.695$ in severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.95252323

 $00:29:54.155 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.355$ that the slope of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95252323

 $00:29:55.355 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.035$ line is it's it it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95252323

 $00:29:57.035 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.855$ still improving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9686511

 $00:29:58.395 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.995$ but not as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9686511

 $00:29:59.995 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.055$ children with other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962458

00:30:02.110 --> 00:30:03.650 primary anxiety disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973463

 $00:30:06.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.110$ We also looked more closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973463

00:30:08.110 --> 00:30:09.470 at parental mental health as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973463 00:30:09.470 --> 00:30:09.970 well

 $00:30:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.130$ and pulling the data together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9566484

 $00:30:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.330$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700888

 $00:30:13.675 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.435$ parental psychopathology was also something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700888

 $00:30:15.435 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.035$ that was quite inconsistent in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700888

 $00:30:17.035 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.635$ the literature. Sometimes it showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700888

 $00:30:18.635 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.915$ an effect and sometimes it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700888

 $00:30:19.915 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.755$ didn't. And when we pulled

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700888

00:30:21.755 --> 00:30:23.515 this data together, it helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700888

 $00:30:23.515 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.335$ really understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.95704156

 $00:30:24.795 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.995$ why that might be is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95704156

 $00:30:25.995 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.720$ that pre to post, you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.95704156

 $00:30:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.480$ getting similar effects regardless of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95704156

00:30:29.480 --> 00:30:30.700 whether or not the parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.98528564

 $00:30:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.240$ also experiences anxiety, both mothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.98528564

 $00:30:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.900$ and fathers.

 $00:30:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.500$ But

NOTE Confidence: 0.9820534

 $00{:}30{:}35.960 \to 00{:}30{:}37.100$ the further you go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334253

 $00:30:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.700$ away from the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409558

 $00:30:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.140$ that the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972944

 $00:30:41.044 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.565$ the more that the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972944

00:30:42.565 --> 00:30:43.065 psychopathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996983

00:30:43.525 --> 00:30:44.585 impacts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.996786

00:30:44.885 --> 00:30:46.404 the clinical severity rating of

NOTE Confidence: 0.996786

 $00{:}30{:}46.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.325$ the child's anxiety. So when

NOTE Confidence: 0.996786

00:30:48.325 --> 00:30:49.705 there's no parental psychopathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755304

 $00:30:50.885 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.845$ kids continue to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9642968

 $00:30:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.740$ have a lower level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9642968

 $00:30:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.760$ anxiety post treatment on average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622176

 $00{:}30{:}57.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.520$ But on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987091

 $00:30:59.059 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.740$ kids who had parents that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987091

 $00:31:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.860$ were more likely to have

00:31:01.860 --> 00:31:02.919 anxiety and depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9356564

 $00:31:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.059$ their their symptom levels went

NOTE Confidence: 0.9356564

 $00:31:05.059 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.275$ back up again. So this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9356564

 $00:31:06.275 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.635$ pooling of data was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9356564

 $00:31:07.635 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.075$ exciting for us. We it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9356564

 $00:31:09.075 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.575$ came

NOTE Confidence: 0.970007

 $00:31:10.515 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.415$ with having a stronger understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.970007

 $00{:}31{:}13.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.995$ of what predicts poorer outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970007

 $00:31:14.995 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.875$ So being at the point

NOTE Confidence: 0.970007

 $00:31:15.875 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.755$ where we can say, okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970007

 $00:31:16.755 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.390$ When a child presents for

NOTE Confidence: 0.970007

 $00:31:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.890$ treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946086

 $00{:}31{:}19.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.730$ we have a good understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946086

00:31:20.870 --> 00:31:21.850 of who's gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.995095

 $00:31:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.530$ do less well.

 $00:31:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.950$ So if you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9900075

 $00:31:24.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.270$ social anxiety disorder, comorbid mood

NOTE Confidence: 0.9900075

 $00:31:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.190$ disorder, and if the parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.9900075

 $00:31:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.250$ present with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050358

 $00:31:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.570$ anxiety or, depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903334

 $00{:}31{:}35.405 --> 00{:}31{:}37.345$ That's really helpful, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00:31:37.805 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.605$ we wanna know what to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

00:31:38.605 --> 00:31:39.725 do with it. Right? Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00:31:39.725 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.685$ how do we we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00:31:40.685 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.125$ wanna not offer them treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00:31:42.125 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.005$ just because we know they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00:31:43.005 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.285$ not gonna do as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00{:}31{:}44.285 \to 00{:}31{:}45.565$ We wanna know what to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00:31:45.565 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.525$ do, what else we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00:31:46.525 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.645$ do. So can we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907438

 $00:31:47.645 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.045$ use this,

 $00:31:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.370$ knowledge to inform treatment decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.98803544

 $00:31:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.870$ making?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872687

 $00:31:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.650$ And that's really, I suppose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872687

 $00:31:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.370$ when we need to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872687

 $00{:}31{:}55.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.810$ at moderators and what what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872687 00:31:56.810 --> 00:31:57.310 can NOTE Confidence: 0.95490056

 $00:31:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.070$ what can improve outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780774

 $00:31:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.950$ We we started on exploring

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780774

 $00:32:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.130$ just whether or not we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780774

 $00:32:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.395$ can use this as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780774

 $00:32:04.635 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.135$ precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.99573517 00:32:05.435 --> 00:32:05.935 tool NOTE Confidence: 0.9852835

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100312

 $00:32:06.635 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.135$ to,

 $00:32:08.315 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.275$ you use perhaps in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100312

 $00:32:09.275 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.555$ clinic, not necessarily that will

 $00:32:10.555 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.895$ shape your treatment decisions, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100312

 $00:32:13.115 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.335$ in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99958515

 $00:32:14.955 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.130$ shaping your understanding of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.99958515

 $00:32:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.630$ outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344796

 $00:32:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.050$ of kind of pulling all

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344796

 $00:32:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.650$ of these predictors together. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344796

 $00:32:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.330$ we with this data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344796

 $00:32:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.930$ the genetic data set I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344796

 $00{:}32{:}23.930 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.550$ was talking about before, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344796

 $00:32:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.290$ looked at the idea or

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344796

00:32:27.290 --> 00:32:28.490 kind of explored the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344796 00:32:28.490 --> 00:32:28.990 of NOTE Confidence: 0.9996284

 $00:32:29.535 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.035$ developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.73507184

 $00:32:30.495 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.995$ a a a risk index

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996654

 $00:32:33.295 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.515$ that might help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800499

 $00:32:34.815 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.935$ be able to make those,

 $00:32:36.415 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.615$ decisions and be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894141

00:32:37.615 --> 00:32:39.075 know who's not gonna respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919078

 $00:32:39.935 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.915$ well to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96308947

 $00:32:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.190$ So I'm not quite at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96308947

 $00:32:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.650$ the moderators yet. So we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86526155

 $00:32:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.470$ changing treatment still back at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86526155

 $00:32:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.970$ predictors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97501576

 $00:32:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.110$ just to clarify. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97501576

 $00:32:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.850$ we created,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8943349

00:32:49.390 --> 00:32:51.170 we we're just using regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9151475

 $00:32:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.210$ methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95397586

 $00:32:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.670$ and we looked at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.95397586

 $00{:}32{:}54.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.950$ the predictors in our data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539758600:32:55.950 --> 00:32:56.450 set NOTE Confidence: 0.83836293

 $00:32:56.785 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.285$ and,

 $00:32:58.785 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.945$ chose those variables that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00{:}33{:}00.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.025$ strong predictors, and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00:33:03.025 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.225$ developed a score. So if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

00:33:04.225 --> 00:33:05.585 a child presented with social

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00:33:05.585 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.625$ anxiety, they got a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00:33:06.625 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.505$ score of one. It was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00:33:07.505 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.330$ kinda rounded beta weights. They

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00:33:09.409 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.169$ got parental anxiety. If the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00{:}33{:}11.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.169$ the either parent had anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00:33:13.169 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.049$ we'd give them a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577449

 $00:33:14.049 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.950$ score of one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

00:33:15.730 --> 00:33:16.929 And low mood was actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

 $00:33:16.929 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.289$ in this particular model, had

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

 $00:33:18.289 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.669$ a a high beta weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

 $00:33:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.570$ We're also looking at, genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

 $00{:}33{:}21.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.769$ predictors as well. I'm not

 $00:33:22.769 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.730$ gonna go into that too

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

00:33:23.730 --> 00:33:25.075 much today because it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

 $00:33:25.075 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.975$ a really exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247859

 $00:33:26.355 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.035$ initial finding. But as with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247859

 $00:33:28.035 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.255$ all genetic studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90868556

 $00:33:29.555 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.695$ or not all of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837527

 $00:33:31.315 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.355$ almost all of them, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837527

 $00:33:32.355 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.095$ never replicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936897

 $00:33:33.555 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.915$ So we we did show

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936897

 $00:33:34.915 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.315$ that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87999326

 $00:33:35.875 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.555$ the SS allele looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.87999326

 $00:33:37.555 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.055$ differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.99497175

 $00{:}33{:}38.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.520$ susceptibility predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8810118

 $00:33:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.840$ better outcomes that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99145883

 $00:33:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.659$ were more responsive to your

 $00:33:43.659 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.159$ environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96698016

 $00:33:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.820$ then you responded better to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96698016

 $00:33:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.940$ CVT, but we haven't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.96698016

 $00:33:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.480$ able to replicate that. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948018

 $00:33:49.179 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.620$ waiting till the data can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948018

 $00:33:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.840$ catch up to that one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665111

00:33:52.380 --> 00:33:53.865 But, anyway, this was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665111

 $00:33:53.865 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.465$ an example of how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665111

00:33:55.465 --> 00:33:56.685 added the predictors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334264

00:33:57.065 --> 00:33:58.585 the potential risk together to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334264

 $00:33:58.585 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.185$ create a score. And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334264

 $00:34:00.185 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.385$ we looked at using that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334264

00:34:01.385 --> 00:34:03.405 score in another dataset how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873384

 $00:34:03.865 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.400$ how likely it is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873384

 $00:34:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.760$ the child will respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873384

 $00:34:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.440$ treatment. So on the left

 $00:34:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.739$ hand side of the graph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873384

 $00:34:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.080$ children with a score of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873384

 $00:34:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.060$ zero to two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99787116

 $00:34:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.660$ so that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

 $00:34:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.160$ not very many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

 $00:34:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.520$ predictors. So they had either

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

 $00:34:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.400$ none of them or maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

 $00:34:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.745$ just one or two. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

 $00:34:18.745 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.265$ you can see response rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

00:34:20.265 --> 00:34:21.545 are much higher. They're closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

 $00{:}34{:}21.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.985$ to eighty percent. So if

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

 $00:34:22.985 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.105$ you only had this small

NOTE Confidence: 0.97113764

00:34:24.105 --> 00:34:25.565 number of risks risks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745301

 $00:34:26.025 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.925$ then your,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827691

 $00:34:27.545 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.045$ your

 $00:34:29.225 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.925$ chance of responding to CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552474

 $00:34:30.985 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.745$ was much higher. Whereas, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552474

 $00{:}34{:}32.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33.969$ was kind of this cumulative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552474

 $00:34:33.969 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.330$ risk. The more of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552474

00:34:35.330 --> 00:34:36.870 risk factors that you had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9602311

 $00:34:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.090$ the less likely. So if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9602311

 $00:34:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.930$ you had a a score

NOTE Confidence: 0.9602311

 $00:34:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.070$ of more than five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9267048

 $00{:}34{:}42.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.610$ your chances of remission were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9267048

 $00:34:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.810$ really low, kinda dropped to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9267048

 $00:34:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.750$ under, you know, forty percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991165

 $00:34:48.385 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.505$ So we're getting quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991165

 $00:34:49.505 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.205$ lot of variability in treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991165

 $00:34:51.345 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.845$ outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943309

 $00:34:52.305 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.085$ based on baseline predictors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972989

 $00{:}34{:}57.265 --> 00{:}34{:}57.765 \ \mathrm{But}$

 $00:35:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.910$ the really important thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9881971

 $00:35:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.950$ what works better for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9881971

 $00:35:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.450$ Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969344

 $00:35:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.790$ If we know they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969344

 $00:35:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.770$ gonna do well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99982834

 $00:35:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.050$ we need to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974996

 $00:35:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.270$ what else to do. Do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974996

00:35:10.270 --> 00:35:12.030 we do CBT plus something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974996

 $00:35:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.770$ Do we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264635

00:35:13.114 --> 00:35:14.714 you know, modular therapy? Do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264635

 $00:35:14.714 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.414$ we adapt it for for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99926406

 $00:35:17.194 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.255$ these different presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.99546903

 $00:35:18.555 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.694$ for social anxiety?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94801575

 $00{:}35{:}22.875 \dots > 00{:}35{:}24.155$ But I'm gonna kinda spoil

NOTE Confidence: 0.9480157500:35:24.155 --> 00:35:24.655 the

00:35:25.530 --> 00:35:26.570 surprise. I kind of already

NOTE Confidence: 0.9586078

00:35:26.570 --> 00:35:28.489 have, really, that our efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9586078

 $00:35:28.489 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.750$ to identify better treatment alternatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.9660586

 $00:35:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.530$ for likely non responders have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9660586

 $00:35:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.590$ been really limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98743033

 $00:35:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.170$ We haven't really got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98743033

 $00:35:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.290$ the point where we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.98743033

 $00:35:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.210$ say one treatment works better

NOTE Confidence: 0.98743033

 $00:35:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.765$ than another. We've I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.98743033

 $00:35:40.765 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.905$ spending the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962979

 $00{:}35{:}42.765 --> 00{:}35{:}43.265 \ \mathrm{fifteen}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967359

 $00:35:43.885 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.344$ years trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96996456

 $00:35:45.805 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.325$ develop a treatment that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.96996456

 $00:35:47.325 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.985$ be better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96926224

 $00:35:49.405 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.364$ and it has not led

NOTE Confidence: 0.96926224

 $00:35:50.364 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.745$ to anything very fruitful,

 $00:35:52.299 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.900$ which is really disappointing. A

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633487

 $00:35:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.019$ lot of grant money that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633487

 $00:35:55.019 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.380$ gone into looking at does

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633487

 $00{:}35{:}56.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.660$ this treatment work better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633487

 $00:35:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.940$ that, but we haven't got

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633487

 $00:35:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.839$ to that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9572074

 $00:36:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.819$ There's a couple of hints

NOTE Confidence: 0.9572074

00:36:01.819 --> 00:36:03.019 though, so I haven't lost

NOTE Confidence: 0.9572074

 $00:36:03.019 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.920$ sight entirely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93050116

00:36:04.875 --> 00:36:05.755 I'm gonna take you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.93050116

 $00:36:05.755 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.815$ the journey anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677088

 $00:36:07.195 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.015$ So, just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952922

00:36:09.114 --> 00:36:10.255 I'll take you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978803

 $00:36:11.435 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.495$ the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00:36:13.035 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.795$ clinical trials that have had

 $00:36:14.795 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.475$ a negative outcome or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00{:}36{:}16.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.594$ the outcome we were expecting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

00:36:17.594 --> 00:36:18.750 not negative, I should say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00:36:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.989$ Alright. So we started on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00:36:19.989 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.670$ social anxiety disorder. So if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00:36:21.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.190$ we know that children with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00{:}36{:}23.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.710$ social anxiety disorder are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00:36:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.309$ likely to not as likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00{:}36{:}26.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.910$ to improve, maybe we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

00:36:27.910 --> 00:36:29.589 a disorder specific approach for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00:36:29.589 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.190$ those kids. Maybe this one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00:36:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.549$ size fits all is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823359

 $00:36:32.549 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.210$ the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.98035234

 $00:36:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.125$ best approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98639137

 $00:36:34.685 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.565$ So we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98639137

 $00:36:35.565 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.685$ adult literature, and there was

 $00:36:36.685 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.565$ some hint of this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98639137

 $00:36:37.565 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.005$ the adult literature as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.98639137

 $00:36:39.005 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.025$ for social anxiety disorder that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89044255

 $00:36:42.844 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.344$ approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.99532175

 $00:36:43.805 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.585$ that focuses more on behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.99532175

 $00:36:45.645 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.145$ experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99936

 $00:36:47.005 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.385$ giving video feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965506

 $00:36:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.060$ more safety behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9457789

 $00:36:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.280$ attention training, and exposure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9457789

 $00:36:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.780$ costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69668347

 $00:36:53.239 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.980$ But that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320654

 $00:36:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.520$ so kind of, what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320654

 $00{:}36{:}56.520 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}36{:}57.800$ mean by exposure to costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320654 00:36:57.800 --> 00:36:58.300 is, NOTE Confidence: 0.99172676

 $00:36:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.935$ facing, like, an exposure where

 $00:37:01.935 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.315$ a child has to deliberately

NOTE Confidence: 0.99172676

 $00:37:03.375 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.335$ get laughed at in front

NOTE Confidence: 0.99172676

 $00:37:04.335 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.215$ of others or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555649

 $00:37:06.095 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.975$ you know, kind of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555649

 $00:37:06.975 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.475$ exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.97228706

 $00:37:07.935 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.695$ to the dreaded the dreaded

NOTE Confidence: 0.97228706

 $00:37:09.695 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.155$ situation. But that idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.99898016

 $00:37:11.614 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.995$ that we're trying to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.99813545

 $00{:}37{:}13.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.370$ targeting the mechanisms of social

NOTE Confidence: 0.99813545

 $00:37:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.830$ anxiety more specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832096700:37:19.530 --> 00:37:20.030 And

NOTE Confidence: 0.98530936

 $00:37:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.130$ Sue Spence did a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.98530936

 $00{:}37{:}23.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.430$ on this also from Australia

NOTE Confidence: 0.98530936

 $00:37:24.489 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.969$ showing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755023

 $00:37:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.170$ kind of, it was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755023

 $00{:}37{:}26.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.469$ online version transdiagnostic

 $00:37:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.775$ versus disorder specific. So kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.97887355

 $00:37:29.775 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.855$ of a one size fits

NOTE Confidence: 0.97887355

 $00:37:30.855 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.614$ all to the disorder specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.97887355

 $00:37:32.614 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.215$ approach and found very little

NOTE Confidence: 0.97887355

 $00:37:34.215 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.594$ difference between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212813

 $00:37:36.614 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.694$ No difference, but there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212813

00:37:37.694 --> 00:37:39.175 a highlight of dropout because

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212813

 $00:37:39.175 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.875$ of the was the online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966789

 $00:37:41.335 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.475$ attrition in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.96059656

 $00:37:42.775 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.215$ particular study, and she didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.96059656

 $00{:}37{:}44.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.049$ have the video feedback aspect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9461249

 $00:37:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.230$ So we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99777174

00:37:48.029 --> 00:37:48.529 misguidedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.92677754

 $00:37:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.430$ embarked on a new clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.92677754

 $00:37:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.950$ trial where we, which we

 $00{:}37{:}51.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53.309$ targeted those a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.92677754

 $00:37:53.309 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.130$ more specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873538 00:37:54.750 --> 00:37:55.250 but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227603

 $00:37:55.789 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.150$ there was some effects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227603

 $00:37:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.650$ follow-up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974262

 $00:37:59.195 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.255$ kids were slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784869

 $00:38:00.875 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.375$ better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864775

00:38:02.075 --> 00:38:03.675 with the disorder specific treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864775

 $00:38:03.675 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.775$ but it wasn't robust across

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718369

 $00:38:06.315 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.375$ across measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99281657

 $00:38:08.475 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.755$ and it was a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.99281657

 $00:38:09.755 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.195$ weak effect. And if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.99281657

00:38:11.195 --> 00:38:12.875 making decisions at a policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99281657

 $00:38:12.875 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.059$ level, what treatment to deliver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351616

 $00:38:16.239 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.599$ yeah, there wouldn't be much

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351616

 $00{:}38{:}17.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.099 \; justification$

 $00:38:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.339$ for this disorder specific treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9128539

 $00:38:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.980$ That's the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399796

 $00:38:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.799$ So that was a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399796

 $00:38:24.799 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.480$ bit disappointing that the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399796

00:38:26.480 --> 00:38:28.480 of disorder specific treatment might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399796

 $00:38:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.020$ not be the solution for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399796

 $00:38:30.265 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.565$ social anxiety disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484122

00:38:32.105 --> 00:38:33.385 I wanted to also present

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484122

 $00:38:33.385 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.665$ some work that has not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484122

 $00:38:34.665 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.265$ come out of, my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484122

00:38:36.265 --> 00:38:37.705 but, John Weiss and Bruce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484122

00:38:37.705 --> 00:38:38.984 Chorpedo have been using this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484122

 $00{:}38{:}38.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39.965 \ \mathrm{modular \ approach}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297511

00:38:40.665 --> 00:38:42.105 to depending on how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297511

 $00:38:42.105 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.065$ child presents, if I had

 $00:38:43.065 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.265$ to present with anxiety, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297511

00:38:44.265 --> 00:38:45.785 give them an anxiety module.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297511

00:38:45.785 --> 00:38:46.960 If they present with depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297511

 $00:38:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.080$ they get a depression module.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297511

 $00:38:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.520$ It's that match treatment if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297511

 $00:38:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.739$ you're familiar with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98969114

 $00:38:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.020$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.75092566

 $00:38:52.719 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.219$ here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98616666

00:38:54.239 --> 00:38:55.360 you can see the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.98616666

 $00:38:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.380$ for the modular treatment on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99210995

 $00:38:58.494 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.515$ internalizing symptoms are much better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604591

 $00:39:00.974 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.094$ But, again, it's not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604591

 $00:39:02.094 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.015$ consistent finding. It's not across.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604591

 $00:39:04.015 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.775$ It's only in this symptom

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604591

00:39:05.775 --> 00:39:07.234 measure. It's not in remission

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604591

 $00:39:07.295 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.795$ rates.

 $00:39:08.255 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.855$ And, it hasn't been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.92791814

 $00:39:09.855 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.560$ to be replicated either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99154025

00:39:11.860 --> 00:39:13.700 So I'm not necessarily convinced

NOTE Confidence: 0.99154025

00:39:13.700 --> 00:39:15.080 that this modular approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98910415

00:39:16.580 --> 00:39:17.960 is working. You could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99892694

 $00:39:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.920$ consider adding a depression module

NOTE Confidence: 0.7631746500:39:21.219 --> 00:39:21.620 for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95931166

 $00:39:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.140$ you know, with a standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.95931166

00:39:23.140 --> 00:39:24.980 anxiety protocol, it may lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.95931166

 $00:39:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.235$ to better outcomes. But at

NOTE Confidence: 0.95931166

 $00{:}39{:}26.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.175$ this stage, I'm not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.95931166

 $00:39:28.235 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.355$ convinced by the data. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.95931166

00:39:29.355 --> 00:39:30.395 I can see Wendy shaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.95931166

 $00:39:30.395 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.695$ her head. She's not either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9374746

 $00:39:35.035 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.475$ So we also tried Okay.

 $00:39:36.475 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.075$ Well, if parents who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9374746

 $00:39:38.075 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.575$ anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9617235

 $00:39:39.219 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.420$ and those children are less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9617235

 $00:39:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.300$ likely to do well, what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9617235

 $00:39:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.820$ if we add treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9617235

 $00:39:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.180$ parents at the same time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9617235

 $00:39:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.800$ and give parents more skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781342

 $00:39:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.060$ to be able to manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781342

00:39:47.060 --> 00:39:48.420 their own anxiety? Would that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781342

00:39:48.420 --> 00:39:50.420 have better longer term outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781342

 $00:39:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.540$ for kids? Do you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781342

 $00:39:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.000$ what the answer's gonna be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8922119

 $00:39:53.625 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.844$ No. It does not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933665

00:39:56.185 --> 00:39:57.965 Alright. So additional treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9510387

 $00:39:58.425 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.105$ There was maybe a weak

NOTE Confidence: 0.9510387

 $00:40:00.105 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.545$ effect at post, but it

 $00:40:01.545 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.145$ was washed out by three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9510387

 $00:40:03.145 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.965$ months later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907354

00:40:04.985 --> 00:40:07.130 And it yeah. It Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907354

 $00:40:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.549$ with quite a good sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.907354

 $00:40:08.549 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.849$ of two zero nine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91570896

 $00:40:10.309 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.349$ a hundred and something in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91570896

 $00:40:11.349 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.170$ each condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995153

 $00:40:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.609$ there was no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985503

 $00:40:14.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.750$ strong evidence that we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985503

 $00:40:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.829$ be giving one treatment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985503

 $00:40:17.829 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.049$ a child over another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522459

00:40:23.325 --> 00:40:24.605 Cathy Cresswell did a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522459

 $00:40:24.605 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.445$ similar trial to the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522459

 $00:40:26.445 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.565$ that we did looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522459

 $00:40:27.565 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.425$ treatments for anxious parents, tested

 $00:40:29.645 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.864$ all sorts of different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95720786

00:40:31.725 --> 00:40:33.245 methods either focusing on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95720786

00:40:33.245 --> 00:40:35.485 parenting or focusing on parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.95720786

 $00:40:35.485 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.305$ child interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.92847776

00:40:36.969 --> 00:40:38.489 versus a standard CBT, and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92847776

 $00:40:38.489 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.870$ again, no difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9629965

 $00:40:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.850$ So this kind of search

NOTE Confidence: 0.9629965 00:40:41.850 --> 00:40:42.350 for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939166

 $00:40:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.530$ an enhanced treatment or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939166

 $00:40:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.350$ better treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919739

 $00{:}40{:}46.730 --> 00{:}40{:}48.350$ we're just not finding it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486553

 $00:40:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.850$ So and particularly with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486553

00:40:49.850 --> 00:40:51.050 answer to prayer and anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486553

 $00:40:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.090$ we haven't really solved that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486553

00:40:52.090 --> 00:40:53.025 There's, you know, perhaps perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486553

00:40:53.025 --> 00:40:54.385 some hint around the modular

00:40:54.385 --> 00:40:56.224 treatment, but we haven't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486553

 $00:40:56.224 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.664$ solved the problem of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486553

 $00:40:57.664 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.545$ to do with it if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486553

 $00:40:58.545 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.085$ a family presents with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939769

 $00:41:01.025 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.785$ the parent experiencing anxiety as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939769

 $00:41:02.785 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.844$ well as the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968464

 $00:41:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.950$ I swore I wasn't gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.968464

 $00:41:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.070$ come back to this genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.968464

 $00:41:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.969$ stuff, but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9900577

 $00:41:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.310$ forgot I had this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9900577

 $00:41:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.210$ in here. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9423218

 $00:41:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.430$ I'll just briefly touch on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9423218

 $00:41:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.469$ it because we did this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9423218

00:41:15.469 --> 00:41:16.450 hasn't been replicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9770391 00:41:16.750 --> 00:41:17.230 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.82469815 $00:41:18.405 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.905$ yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424287

 $00:41:19.525 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.525$ hold your judgment of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424287

 $00:41:21.525 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.385$ But, we actually found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933937

00:41:23.765 --> 00:41:25.705 a moderation based on polygenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933937

00:41:25.925 --> 00:41:26.745 risk scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938893

 $00:41:27.605 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.045$ In one sample, we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938893 00:41:29.045 --> 00:41:29.545 at, NOTE Confidence: 0.9441293

00:41:30.165 --> 00:41:31.225 differential susceptibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99949455

 $00:41:31.685 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.905$ So we looked at genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.99949455

 $00:41:32.965 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.465$ markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954403

 $00{:}41{:}34.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.900$ or a polygenic risk score

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604784

 $00:41:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.020$ for susceptibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728968

 $00:41:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.520$ So it's those children who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728968

 $00:41:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.800$ were more likely to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728968

 $00:41:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.080$ to their environment. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728968

00:41:41.080 --> 00:41:41.719 don't know if you know

00:41:41.719 --> 00:41:43.340 about the orchids and dandelion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.440$ child, that that idea where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.280$ differential susceptibility is about, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.905$ there's kids that are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:49.905 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.425$ responsive to their environment. They

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:51.425 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.864$ can thrive in the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:52.864 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.305$ conditions, but in the wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:54.305 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.985$ conditions, they will not thrive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:55.985 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.185$ like most of the orchids

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00:41:57.185 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.625$ in my that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539736

 $00{:}41{:}58.625 --> 00{:}41{:}59.425 \ \mathrm{attempted} \ \mathrm{to},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99364775

 $00:42:00.225 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.125$ to raise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614524

 $00:42:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.130$ They tend to just never

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614524

 $00:42:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.730$ survive. Whereas the dandelion is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614524

 $00:42:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.610$ the idea. It doesn't matter

 $00:42:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.730$ what kind of environment. They

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614524

 $00{:}42{:}06.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.250$ can grow inside the road,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830888

 $00:42:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.190$ anywhere. They'll be they'll thrive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830888

 $00:42:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.370$ So that's the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830888

 $00:42:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.050$ differential susceptibility. So we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830888

00:42:13.050 --> 00:42:14.489 interested in, first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830888

 $00:42:14.489 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.370$ whether or not that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830888

00:42:15.370 --> 00:42:16.670 predict treatment outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93882984

 $00:42:17.744 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.105$ as a predictor. But then

NOTE Confidence: 0.93882984

 $00:42:19.105 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.305$ we also started to play

NOTE Confidence: 0.93882984

00:42:20.305 --> 00:42:21.744 around with, you know, was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93882984

 $00:42:21.744 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.285$ there any moderators. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9635973

 $00:42:23.585 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.545$ as I said, we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9635973

 $00:42:24.545 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.484$ been able to replicate the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999788

 $00:42:26.944 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.444$ prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687309

 $00:42:27.984 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.265$ and also haven't been able

00:42:29.265 --> 00:42:31.210 to replicate this finding, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687309

00:42:31.210 --> 00:42:33.070 it's still very interesting from

NOTE Confidence: 0.6510051

 $00:42:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.750$ a a personalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577132500:42:35.050 --> 00:42:35.370 or, NOTE Confidence: 0.9921689

 $00:42:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.750$ trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9127644

 $00:42:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.210$ can we experience can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9127644

 $00:42:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.710$ detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8857634

 $00:42:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.390$ differential effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9626709

 $00:42:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.205$ So this was the large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569098

 $00:42:45.245 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.665$ genetic samples, fifteen hundred children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569098

00:42:47.805 --> 00:42:49.905 They either received individual CBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569098

 $00:42:50.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.325$ they might have received group

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569098

 $00{:}42{:}51.325 --> 00{:}42{:}51.825 \ \mathrm{CBT},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97871125

00:42:52.205 --> 00:42:53.665 or parent led CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9536846

 $00:42:54.125 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.645$ So they kind of vary

 $00:42:55.645 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.925$ in intensity in a way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9536846

 $00:42:56.925 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.280$ Individual is one therapist with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9536846

 $00:42:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.300$ one family or one child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134027

 $00:43:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.520$ Group is kind of less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134027

 $00:43:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.980$ intense in that you've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134027

 $00:43:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.960$ one therapist to five or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134027

 $00:43:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.239$ six kids. And then parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134027

 $00:43:08.239 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.280$ led is that there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134027

 $00:43:09.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.120$ the rapist. That's really the parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134027

 $00:43:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.860$ as a therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95439655

 $00{:}43{:}13.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.185$ And we actually found what

NOTE Confidence: 0.95439655

 $00:43:15.185 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.245$ we would have expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.96410656

 $00:43:16.625 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.364$ in that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97886246

 $00:43:18.545 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.825$ those kids who are high

NOTE Confidence: 0.97886246

00:43:19.825 --> 00:43:21.125 on differential susceptibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8935891

 $00:43:21.505 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.045$ so the orchid children,

00:43:23.505 --> 00:43:24.465 that's I know that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94626

00:43:24.465 --> 00:43:25.985 terrible label. I suppose it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94626

00:43:25.985 --> 00:43:27.344 better than a diagnostic label,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94626

00:43:27.344 --> 00:43:28.645 isn't it? Orchid children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948519

 $00:43:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.489$ were more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9515971

 $00:43:30.969 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.569$ had better remission rates if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9515971

00:43:32.569 --> 00:43:34.349 they received individual therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907778

 $00:43:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.309$ compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756618

 $00:43:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.989$ children who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.93253666

 $00:43:40.489 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.469$ high in differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.98194396

 $00{:}43{:}41.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.205$ susceptibility, but if they received

NOTE Confidence: 0.98194396

 $00:43:43.205 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.565$ parent led CBT. So if

NOTE Confidence: 0.98194396

00:43:44.565 --> 00:43:45.525 they didn't have the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98194396

 $00:43:45.525 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.225$ treatment that wasn't as intensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.89216274

 $00:43:47.605 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.565$ and was led by the

 $00:43:48.565 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.065$ parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986382

 $00:43:49.525 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.825$ then they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278129

 $00:43:51.125 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.805$ they had lower likelihood of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278129

 $00:43:52.805 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.305$ remission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85799867

00:43:55.840 --> 00:43:56.340 Potentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992572

 $00:43:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.540$ a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009729

00:43:58.080 --> 00:43:58.580 moderation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97108704

 $00:44:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.420$ but it hasn't been replicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.97108704

00:44:02.560 --> 00:44:04.320 yet. And, actually, I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.97108704

 $00:44:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.060$ know whether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445221

00:44:05.520 --> 00:44:06.820 at least in my lifetime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445221

 $00:44:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.400$ it would be replicated because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445221

 $00:44:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.855$ it's it's a very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445221

00:44:09.855 --> 00:44:10.594 study to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92249525

 $00:44:10.895 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.795$ run and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96529835

 $00:44:13.135 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.175$ yeah. It's a it's a

 $00:44:14.175 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.135$ hint that there may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.96529835

 $00:44:15.135 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.035$ something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98803943

00:44:18.495 --> 00:44:19.955 Alright. In terms of improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.98803943

 $00:44:20.015 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.515$ outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871122 00:44:21.775 --> 00:44:22.015 we NOTE Confidence: 0.9602391

 $00:44:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.540$ there's a study not me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9602391

00:44:25.540 --> 00:44:26.040 Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794691

 $00:44:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.040$ Walkup and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.98255736

 $00:44:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.540$ did in two thousand and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98255736

 $00:44:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.579$ seven looking at, well, what

NOTE Confidence: 0.98255736

 $00:44:30.579 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.180$ about if we added medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.98255736

 $00:44:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.380$ to CBT? Could we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.98255736

 $00:44:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.200$ better outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.979321

00:44:34.739 --> 00:44:36.099 And it was very exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.979321

 $00:44:36.099 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.239$ in the in the literature.

00:44:37.300 --> 00:44:38.920 It was pretty robust finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.979321

 $00:44:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.480$ that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93343645

 $00{:}44{:}40.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42.285$ across all measures, pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.93343645

 $00:44:42.285 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.905$ that if you got CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.93343645

00:44:43.965 --> 00:44:44.785 plus sertraline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858904

 $00:44:45.725 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.085$ that those kids had much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858904

 $00{:}44{:}47.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49.085$ better outcomes compared to CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858904

 $00:44:49.085 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.765$ alone or sertraline alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479296

 $00:44:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.790$ and a lot better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479296

00:44:53.790 --> 00:44:55.330 placebo. So that's pretty convincing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479296

 $00:44:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.110$ And I think in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479296

 $00:44:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.150$ in the US, at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479296

 $00:44:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.270$ there was a big shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479296

 $00:44:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.950$ in treatment that kids were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479296

 $00:44:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.710$ getting this combination of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479296

 $00:45:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.210$ treatment.

 $00:45:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.950$ But when I saw these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98596025

 $00:45:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.950$ findings, I wasn't particularly convinced

NOTE Confidence: 0.98596025

 $00:45:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.850$ about it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.99464536

 $00:45:07.194 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.855$ if you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966329

 $00:45:08.395 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.515$ as a as a parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966329

 $00:45:09.515 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.255$ as a child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805465

 $00:45:10.714 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.674$ going in to see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805465

 $00{:}45{:}11.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}13.594$ psychiatrist and a psychologist, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805465

00:45:13.594 --> 00:45:14.635 know you're getting the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805465

 $00:45:14.635 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.934$ treatment. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577274

 $00{:}45{:}16.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}18.015$ compared to kids just receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577274

 $00:45:18.075 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.375$ a treatment from a psychologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577274

00:45:19.515 --> 00:45:20.734 or receiving treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81477326

 $00:45:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.989$ a medication treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857232

 $00:45:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.290$ So those families that were

00:45:24.290 --> 00:45:26.870 receiving double care were actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660515

 $00:45:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.690$ that they they weren't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8371609

 $00:45:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.710$ they were aware of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.958699

00:45:31.170 --> 00:45:32.770 superiority of their treatment. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.958699

 $00:45:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.989$ maybe they had an impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958699

 $00:45:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.410$ So I went about doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.958699 00:45:35.410 --> 00:45:35.910 a, NOTE Confidence: 0.9303795

00:45:36.744 --> 00:45:38.285 oh, yeah, not adequately controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668093

 $00:45:38.905 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.344$ So I did a it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668093

 $00:45:40.344 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.545$ a smaller study, but it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668093

00:45:41.545 --> 00:45:42.685 was just two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91822714

 $00{:}45{:}43.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.145$ CBT and sertraline and CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.91822714

 $00:45:45.145 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.425$ in a sugar pill, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91822714

 $00:45:46.425 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.244$ no difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880899

00:45:48.105 --> 00:45:49.385 This study doesn't get cited

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880899

 $00:45:49.385 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.844$ because it's nowhere near as

 $00:45:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.500$ palatable to the drug companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430541

 $00:45:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.560$ that we and also, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430541

00:45:55.560 --> 00:45:56.920 know, not particularly useful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430541

 $00:45:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.900$ terms of implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.97423077

 $00:45:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.480$ that we just need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97423077

 $00:45:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.760$ give kids sugar pills. That's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97423077

 $00:46:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.119$ not the answer. But the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97423077

 $00:46:02.119 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.180$ idea that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975796

 $00:46:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.140$ the expectations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993808

 $00:46:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.100$ of medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.99651843

 $00:46:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.155$ might work to enhance our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954004

 $00{:}46{:}08.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.875$ outcomes. Alright. So there's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954004

00:46:09.875 --> 00:46:10.915 some other hints in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954004

 $00:46:10.915 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.614$ literature about what might improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954004

00:46:12.755 --> 00:46:14.355 treatment outcomes for kids. We

 $00:46:14.355 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.975$ know that the more exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954004

00:46:16.035 --> 00:46:17.235 that happen in a treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954004

 $00:46:17.235 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.275$ so the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95933694

 $00:46:19.155 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.375$ therapist led exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115813

 $00:46:20.675 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.035$ and also more exposures at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115813

 $00:46:22.035 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.535$ home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758404

 $00{:}46{:}22.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.310$ and also the more challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758404

 $00:46:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.150$ exposures. So rather than just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97347564

 $00:46:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.450$ small tasks where a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.97347564

 $00:46:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.650$ has to raise their quest

NOTE Confidence: 0.97347564

 $00:46:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.170$ raise their hand in class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97347564

 $00:46:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.690$ but actually doing something really

NOTE Confidence: 0.97347564

 $00:46:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.610$ challenging, like deliberately making a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97347564

 $00:46:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.890$ mistake in front of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97347564

 $00:46:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.710$ school assembly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880251

 $00{:}46{:}37.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38.895$ that the more challenging the

 $00:46:38.895 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.355$ exposures are, the better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880251

 $00{:}46{:}40.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.114$ So this was really helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469962

 $00:46:42.735 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.695$ for me. I kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469962

 $00:46:43.695 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.555$ shifted after seeing this literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.91620505

00:46:46.015 --> 00:46:47.614 thinking, okay. Well, we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.91620505

 $00:46:47.614 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.155$ need to focus more on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.977426

 $00:46:50.495 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.475$ exposures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99322575

 $00:46:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.900$ improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.99937683 00:46:55.760 --> 00:46:56.260 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940383

 $00:46:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.160$ component in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940383

 $00:46:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.660$ treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854463

 $00:47:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.640$ But when you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854463

 $00{:}47{:}02.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.480$ what actually clinicians do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854463

 $00:47:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.660$ the community, very few receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.4316634 00:47:07.120 --> 00:47:07.360 or, NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154 $00:47:08.815 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.575$ they're less likely to favor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

 $00{:}47{:}10.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.775$ exposure techniques. This is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

 $00:47:11.775 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.575$ bit of a hard graph

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

 $00:47:12.575 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.935$ to read, but this we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

 $00:47:13.935 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.614$ asked the clinicians in Australia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

 $00:47:15.614 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.735$ what techniques they use to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

 $00:47:16.735 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.335$ treat children with anxiety disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

 $00:47:18.335 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.375$ We had a whole list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

 $00{:}47{:}19.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.575$ and then we, had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673154

00:47:20.575 --> 00:47:22.114 look at it. And, actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.995083

 $00:47:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.489$ I've cut it off at

NOTE Confidence: 0.995083

 $00:47:23.489 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.370$ the top of the graph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.995083

 $00:47:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.810$ but exposure was up there

NOTE Confidence: 0.995083

 $00:47:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.469$ with psychodynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.89754975

00:47:27.010 --> 00:47:28.610 techniques that people are likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.89754975

 $00:47:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.670$ to use in terms of,

 $00:47:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.110$ the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95862395

00:47:31.890 --> 00:47:33.650 one to five scale frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.95862395

 $00:47:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.930$ of use. But people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.95862395

 $00:47:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.130$ much more likely to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.95862395

 $00:47:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.630$ psychoeducation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96998197

 $00:47:37.434 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.795$ much less likely to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.96998197

 $00:47:38.795 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.295$ exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708186

 $00{:}47{:}39.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.355$ We did another study asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708186

 $00:47:41.355 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.795$ kids in the community who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708186

 $00:47:42.795 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.535$ had anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266295

 $00:47:43.994 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.114$ what type of treatment did

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266295

00:47:45.114 --> 00:47:46.795 you receive? And actually, very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266295

00:47:46.795 --> 00:47:48.094 few children reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.99923396

 $00:47:48.875 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.234$ using this technique that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99923396

 $00:47:50.234 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.614$ think is really critical.

 $00:47:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.790$ So there's limited research on

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00:47:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.390$ the technique specifically in young

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00:47:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.430$ people. So that's the some

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00:47:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.070$ of the work that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00:47:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.270$ been doing, which I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00:47:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.630$ gonna go too much into

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00{:}47{:}59.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00.830$ today because we're running out

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00:48:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.550$ of time. I wanna make

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

00:48:01.550 --> 00:48:02.510 sure we've got time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00:48:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.870$ questions, but I'm on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00{:}48{:}03.870 --> 00{:}48{:}04.815$ mission to try and make

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

 $00{:}48{:}04.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.815$ expo
 exposure cool again because

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614184

00:48:06.815 --> 00:48:08.035 nobody is using it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582617

 $00:48:08.575 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.055$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979917

 $00:48:09.614 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.594$ trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702116

 $00:48:10.895 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.175$ use it more effectively. And

 $00:48:12.175 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.214$ so we're doing some work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702116

 $00:48:13.214 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.734$ We've done a developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702116

 $00:48:14.734 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.655$ parent led digital intervention called

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702116

00:48:16.655 --> 00:48:17.555 Courage Quest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98355013

00:48:18.175 --> 00:48:20.094 where parents can take their

NOTE Confidence: 0.98355013

 $00:48:20.094 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.750$ their kids through this quest

NOTE Confidence: 0.98355013

00:48:21.750 --> 00:48:22.890 of trying to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982572

 $00:48:25.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.630$ face their fears and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.982572

00:48:26.630 --> 00:48:28.230 exposure. We're actually doing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.982572

 $00{:}48{:}28.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}29.510$ factorial design. I'm not gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.982572

 $00:48:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.230$ spend too much time on

NOTE Confidence: 0.982572

 $00:48:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.870$ it because it's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.982572

 $00:48:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.690$ bit of a sidetrack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95069027

00:48:32.070 --> 00:48:33.204 but we're looking at five

NOTE Confidence: 0.95069027

 $00:48:33.204 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.385$ different features trying to test,

00:48:36.325 --> 00:48:38.085 what might make exposure work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836319

00:48:38.085 --> 00:48:39.285 more effectively and to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836319

 $00:48:39.285 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.724$ able to give clinicians a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836319

 $00:48:40.724 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.665$ little bit more information about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984352

 $00:48:43.285 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.905$ how to use exposure effectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984352

 $00:48:44.964 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.005$ and what might make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984352

 $00:48:46.005 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.744$ work better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331233

 $00:48:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.170$ Alright. That was a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331233

 $00:48:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.969$ of a bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331233

 $00:48:49.969 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.250$ tangent. I wanna come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331233 00:48:51.250 --> 00:48:51.750 to, NOTE Confidence: 0.97135717

 $00:48:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.869$ differential treatment effects and moderators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96879685

 $00:48:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.010$ We did another systematic review

NOTE Confidence: 0.96879685

 $00{:}48{:}57.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.469$ that Lizelle's just submitted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93519443

00:48:59.410 --> 00:49:00.930 for publication trying to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.93519443 00:49:00.930 --> 00:49:01.430 at

00:49:01.785 --> 00:49:03.224 moderators. Do we know what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.979876

 $00:49:03.224 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.344$ gonna work better for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.979876

 $00:49:04.344 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.844$ kids?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740185

 $00:49:05.944 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.864$ There was fifty five studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740185

 $00:49:07.864 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.005$ that looked at moderators

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979881

 $00:49:09.305 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.605$ on thirty eight trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789287

 $00:49:11.305 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.805$ and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9337125

 $00:49:12.344 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.364$ lots of different control conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866364

 $00:49:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.859$ and you can predict the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866364

 $00{:}49{:}16.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.020$ outcome. No definitive effects were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866364

 $00:49:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.520$ identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787877

 $00{:}49{:}21.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23.900$ No clear moderation or differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787877

 $00:49:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.060$ treatment effects. So we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787877

 $00:49:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.339$ let's say say that social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787877

 $00:49:27.339 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.839$ anxiety

00:49:28.665 --> 00:49:30.265 children children with social anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.99550533

 $00{:}49{:}30.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.864$ don't do as well. There's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99550533

 $00:49:31.864 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.485$ no effects that show

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997922

 $00:49:33.785 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.065$ what might work better for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997922

 $00:49:35.065 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.885$ those children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933156

 $00:49:36.505 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.545$ There were a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933156

00:49:37.545 --> 00:49:38.985 hints in the literature again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933156

 $00:49:38.985 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.685$ We had four moderator variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933156

 $00:49:40.825 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.680$ of interest that were consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819145

 $00:49:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.839$ across those fifty five studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318261

 $00{:}49{:}45.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.719$ Interestingly, Hispanic ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694571

00:49:48.260 --> 00:49:49.780 I'm not necessarily convinced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694571

00:49:49.780 --> 00:49:50.820 that, though, because that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694571

 $00:49:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.040$ came from one trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529264

 $00:49:54.025 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.985$ so I'm not so sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529264

 $00:49:54.985 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.105$ how robust it is, but

 $00:49:56.105 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.685$ at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989822

 $00:49:57.305 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.965$ it came

NOTE Confidence: 0.92575556

 $00:49:58.744 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.185$ it emerged in more than,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92575556

 $00:50:00.505 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.325$ one study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96740896

 $00:50:01.785 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.165$ Age was also a predictor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897395

 $00:50:03.705 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.445$ a moderator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571748

00:50:05.545 --> 00:50:07.805 social anxiety and parental psychopathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99937797 00:50:08.580 --> 00:50:09.080 But

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

 $00:50:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.320$ importantly, even though those variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

00:50:13.380 --> 00:50:14.920 came up as important moderators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

 $00:50:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.340$ in one study, there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

 $00:50:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.780$ a moderation effect one way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

 $00:50:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.900$ and in another study, there

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

 $00:50:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.180$ was a moderation effect in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

 $00:50:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.620$ another way. So for social

00:50:21.620 --> 00:50:23.300 anxiety disorder, one study showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

 $00:50:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.035$ group worked better, and another

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772324

 $00:50:25.035 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.515$ study showed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855808

00:50:27.114 --> 00:50:28.635 group CBT didn't work as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855808

 $00:50:28.635 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.395$ well as individual therapy. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855808

00:50:30.395 --> 00:50:32.155 we had moderation, but completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855808

00:50:32.155 --> 00:50:33.135 opposing effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963444

 $00:50:33.994 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.755$ So each result really points

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963444

 $00:50:35.755 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.594$ to something different about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963444

 $00:50:37.594 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.335$ the direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9914187

00:50:39.270 --> 00:50:40.469 A study that didn't go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9914187

 $00:50:40.469 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.370$ into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696511

00:50:41.910 --> 00:50:43.030 systematic review that I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696511

 $00:50:43.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.910$ wanted to point to because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696511

 $00:50:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.950$ this is still leaving me

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696511

 $00:50:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.910$ with a little bit of

 $00:50:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.110$ hope. And this is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696511

 $00:50:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.770$ of Wendy's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939863

00:50:48.150 --> 00:50:49.690 papers that she's just published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993206

 $00:50:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.570$ showing a moderation effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.99938107

 $00:50:53.155 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.695$ for two parent variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.95440364 00:50:55.395 --> 00:50:55.715 that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949663

00:50:56.355 --> 00:50:57.975 compared CBT versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9576254

 $00:50:58.595 \dashrightarrow 00:51:00.695$ CBT plus relationship training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99414074

 $00:51:01.795 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.735$ was moderated by parental acceptance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99414074

 $00{:}51{:}03.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.030$ So child outcomes were better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931022

 $00:51:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.510$ for families where there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931022

00:51:08.510 --> 00:51:09.810 high parental acceptance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929064

 $00{:}51{:}11.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13.070$ when CBT included more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929064

00:51:13.070 --> 00:51:15.410 a focus on, increased acceptance

NOTE Confidence: 0.98774654

00:51:15.790 --> 00:51:17.550 and decreasing control compared to

 $00:51:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.150$ CBT. So that gives us

NOTE Confidence: 0.98774654

 $00:51:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.150$ an idea of what could

NOTE Confidence: 0.98774654

 $00:51:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.735$ be done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91523075

 $00:51:22.614 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.215$ what how we might wanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.91523075

 $00:51:24.215 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.955$ shape treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853452

 $00:51:26.055 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.335$ that if a child presents

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853452

00:51:27.335 --> 00:51:28.775 with high with parents with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853452

 $00:51:28.775 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.215$ high acceptance, then this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853452

00:51:30.215 --> 00:51:31.175 the type of treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853452

 $00:51:31.175 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.375$ we might that might produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853452

 $00:51:32.375 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.114$ better outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99736005

 $00:51:33.975 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.795$ and a similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.81760645

 $00:51:35.095 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.215$ effect for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97318244

 $00:51:37.175 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.070$ parental or negative reinforcement as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97318244

 $00:51:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.510$ well. So there's a hint

NOTE Confidence: 0.97318244

 $00{:}51{:}40.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41.469$ there, but it still needs

 $00:51:41.469 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.290$ to be replicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90523

00:51:43.070 --> 00:51:43.570 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845958

00:51:47.230 --> 00:51:48.750 it's also kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956918

 $00:51:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.349$ I think the study wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.956918

00:51:50.349 --> 00:51:52.515 designed right to to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.956918

 $00:51:52.515 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.875$ these moderation effects, but they

NOTE Confidence: 0.956918

 $00:51:53.875 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.714$ were there. So in designing

NOTE Confidence: 0.956918

 $00:51:55.714 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.214$ studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372487

 $00:51:57.155 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.515$ for moderation is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372487

 $00{:}51{:}58.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00.035$ different approach to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372487

 $00:52:00.035 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.614$ the normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994199

00:52:01.315 --> 00:52:02.295 treatment design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968039

 $00{:}52{:}04.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.815$ Alright. So can we enhance

NOTE Confidence: 0.98664755

 $00:52:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.890$ outcomes in answer to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98664755

 $00:52:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.930$ question? At the moment, I'm

 $00:52:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.969$ not really convinced by it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98664755

 $00:52:09.969 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.170$ I'd be curious to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.98664755

00:52:11.170 --> 00:52:12.849 after being being presented with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98664755

 $00:52:12.849 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.750$ all that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950032

00:52:15.089 --> 00:52:16.469 To me, there's pretty limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950032

 $00:52:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.630$ differential treatment effects so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868348

 $00:52:19.155 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.275$ In being able to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868348

 $00:52:20.275 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.114$ this question, what works by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868348

00:52:22.114 --> 00:52:23.475 whom for this individual with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868348

00:52:23.475 --> 00:52:25.075 that specific problem, apart from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868348

 $00{:}52{:}25.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.955$ the fact that we're honing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868348

 $00:52:25.955 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.335$ in on anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.998056

 $00:52:28.035 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.815$ we've got very limited information

NOTE Confidence: 0.998056

 $00:52:29.955 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.455$ about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987269

 $00:52:30.915 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.620$ what works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9457178

 $00:52:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.220$ So this could be either

 $00:52:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.660$ there are no differential treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9457178

 $00:52:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.580$ effects, doesn't matter, evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9457178

 $00:52:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.080$ personalisation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952055

 $00:52:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.840$ doesn't lead to better outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604136

 $00:52:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.840$ there is no holy grail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604136

 $00:52:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.520$ or it could be that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990685

 $00:52:43.795 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.535$ these effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.99187946

00:52:45.075 --> 00:52:46.594 are there they're there, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.99187946

00:52:46.594 --> 00:52:47.475 we just haven't been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.99187946

 $00:52:47.475 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.675$ to detect them because our

NOTE Confidence: 0.99187946

 $00{:}52{:}48.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50.195$ methods haven't been rigorous enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99187946

 $00{:}52{:}50.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.635$ We still haven't designed our

NOTE Confidence: 0.99187946

 $00:52:51.635 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.495$ studies in a way that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9749038

 $00:52:54.355 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.175$ can consistently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962814

 $00:52:56.369 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.910$ show these robust findings.

 $00:52:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.329$ I'm not quite ready to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839365

 $00:52:59.329 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.849$ swallow this bitter pill of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839365

 $00:53:00.849 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.289$ every every treatment works for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839365

 $00:53:02.289 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.750$ everybody the same amount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97009903

00:53:05.010 --> 00:53:06.369 I'm still hoping and holding

NOTE Confidence: 0.97009903

 $00:53:06.369 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.250$ on to the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97009903

 $00:53:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.789$ we may actually have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98967224

 $00:53:09.435 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.715$ there may be differential treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.98967224

 $00:53:10.715 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.675$ effects there, but we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.98967224

 $00:53:11.675 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.175$ haven't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654759

 $00:53:12.795 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.915$ we haven't got there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654759

00:53:13.915 --> 00:53:14.955 So we've been doing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654759

 $00:53:14.955 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.815$ individual patient data meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654759

00:53:16.875 --> 00:53:18.075 I'm just gonna finish up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654759

 $00:53:18.075 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.575 \text{ Yep.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97841054

00:53:19.114 --> 00:53:20.094 Where we're combining

 $00:53:20.555 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.515$ data from a whole lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766429

00:53:21.515 --> 00:53:23.135 of clinical trials together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813665

 $00:53:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.550$ so kind of advancing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813665

 $00:53:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.590$ previous work that we've done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813665

 $00:53:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.390$ but we're doing it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813665

 $00:53:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.670$ a systematic way now. We're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813665

00:53:28.670 --> 00:53:30.530 developing this topic based living,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96751434

00:53:31.390 --> 00:53:33.550 repository of data. We're storing

NOTE Confidence: 0.96751434

 $00:53:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.930$ it on a secure server.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96751434

 $00:53:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.585$ At the moment, I'm very

NOTE Confidence: 0.96751434

 $00:53:36.585 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.825$ excited. The first time last

NOTE Confidence: 0.96751434

00:53:38.825 --> 00:53:39.945 week, I got my first

NOTE Confidence: 0.96751434

 $00{:}53{:}39.945 --> 00{:}53{:}41.625$ data set of seven thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.96751434

00:53:41.625 --> 00:53:42.585 one hundred and twenty three

NOTE Confidence: 0.96751434

 $00:53:42.585 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.965$ kids from around the world.

 $00:53:44.105 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.605$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400491

 $00:53:45.785 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.825$ I haven't even put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400491

 $00:53:46.825 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.525$ arrow in the right spot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400491

00:53:48.710 --> 00:53:49.910 for Yale. But, anyway, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400491

 $00:53:50.069 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.509$ there is Yale data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400491

 $00{:}53{:}51.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52.009$ there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99457175

 $00:53:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.250$ But yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89074934

00:53:54.950 --> 00:53:56.489 I don't know geography, clearly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97006357

 $00:53:57.989 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.869$ I don't know where that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97006357

 $00:53:58.869 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.829$ going to. But yeah. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.97006357

 $00:53:59.829 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.869$ that's what we're working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97006357

 $00{:}54{:}00.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.369$ now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96103364

 $00{:}54{:}02.285 --> 00{:}54{:}03.565$ that seven thousand. And it'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.96103364

 $00:54:03.565 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.445$ hopefully give us a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.96103364

 $00:54:04.445 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.225$ more variability in the studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96103364

 $00:54:06.285 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.025$ We've got

 $00:54:07.325 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.785$ a lot of different variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99358314

 $00:54:09.085 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.905$ in treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883585

00:54:10.605 --> 00:54:11.485 that, you know, we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883585

 $00:54:11.485 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.285$ not have been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883585

00:54:12.285 --> 00:54:13.565 predict before, but now we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883585

 $00:54:13.565 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.565$ have much more, information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883585

 $00:54:15.565 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.445$ be at a be at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883585

 $00:54:16.445 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.800$ a better point where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883585

 $00:54:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.099$ can recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799326

 $00:54:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.299$ treatment and be able to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719402

00:54:21.719 --> 00:54:23.160 match treatments hopefully in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719402

 $00:54:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.599$ future, but we're not there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719402 00:54:24.599 --> 00:54:25.099 yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97163886

00:54:25.719 --> 00:54:27.000 So thank you very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.97163886

 $00:54:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.960$ for letting me take you

 $00{:}54{:}27.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.500$ through that. I'm much appreciated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839357

 $00:54:35.375 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.875$ What

NOTE Confidence: 0.57326436

 $00:54:36.255 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.695$ a well, I'll clear your

NOTE Confidence: 0.57326436

 $00:54:37.695 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.195$ presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9497556

00:54:38.495 --> 00:54:39.695 Jenny. It was fantastic. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9497556

 $00:54:39.695 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.495$ we we do have, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9497556

 $00{:}54{:}40.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41.775$ five minutes for some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9497556

 $00:54:41.775 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.815$ that people would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9497556

 $00:54:42.815 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.555$ ask questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996536

00:54:51.469 --> 00:54:52.670 Hi. Thank you for coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996536

 $00{:}54{:}52.670 --> 00{:}54{:}53.809$ to speak to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9037764

 $00:54:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.609$ My question is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99250275

 $00:54:57.565 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.945$ in addition to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99985445

 $00{:}54{:}59.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.225$ looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986251

 $00:55:00.605 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.945$ differential responses to treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98385537

 $00:55:03.405 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.145$ and prognostic

 $00:55:04.445 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.945$ factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9607328

 $00:55:06.125 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.885$ are others interested? And or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9607328

 $00:55:07.885 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.105$ do you think there's utility

NOTE Confidence: 0.75068223 00:55:09.405 --> 00:55:09.905 in, NOTE Confidence: 0.94149613

00:55:11.580 --> 00:55:13.520 using these or related predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.94149613

 $00:55:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.160$ measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9126013500:55:14.460 --> 00:55:14.960 to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999738

 $00:55:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.120$ for early detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.96195734

 $00:55:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.219$ or for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9244149

 $00:55:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.840$ like, stratifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.6759095 00:55:20.620 --> 00:55:20.860 of, NOTE Confidence: 0.8328409

 $00:55:21.739 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.960$ Of anxiety disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98920137

 $00:55:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.700$ Yeah. So we haven't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.98920137

 $00:55:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.400$ been looking at risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833438

 $00:55:27.385 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.505$ disorder in the first place

 $00:55:28.505 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.785$ because all of the samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833438

 $00{:}55{:}29.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.985$ already have a disorder. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833438

 $00:55:30.985 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.505$ we're looking at risk, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833438

 $00:55:32.505 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.705$ risk gets used in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833438

00:55:33.705 --> 00:55:35.065 ways. So risk we're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833438

 $00:55:35.065 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.265$ at risk in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833438

 $00:55:36.265 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.765$ predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7747522

 $00:55:37.145 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.045$ poorer outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99923754 00:55:38.665 --> 00:55:39.165 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9774031

 $00.55:39.545 \longrightarrow 00.55:40.665$ I do think there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774031

00:55:40.665 --> 00:55:41.165 utility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9461233

 $00:55:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.460$ in well, also in detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897865

 $00:55:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.360$ But at the moment, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897865

 $00:55:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.880$ idea of what else to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897865

 $00:55:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.840$ do with them, that's where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897865

 $00:55:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.500$ we're stuck.

00:55:50.360 --> 00:55:52.040 But clinicians have been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889666 00:55:52.040 --> 00:55:52.540 this NOTE Confidence: 0.76296806

 $00{:}55{:}52.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53.820$ for as

NOTE Confidence: 0.91926956

 $00:55:54.474 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.675$ for ever long that there's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.91926956

 $00{:}55{:}55.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57.375$ clinical practice. So we adapt

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446512

 $00:55:57.835 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.114$ treatments based on what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446512

 $00:55:59.114 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.315$ think should work based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446512

00:56:00.315 --> 00:56:01.454 our treatment formulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981427

 $00:56:01.994 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.594$ and we expect that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981427

 $00:56:03.594 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.255$ we adapt

NOTE Confidence: 0.98196584

 $00:56:04.795 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.855$ based on risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99713826 00:56:07.435 --> 00:56:07.860 that NOTE Confidence: 0.98912567

 $00:56:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.620$ there might be better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98912567

 $00{:}56{:}09.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10.420$ But at the moment, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98912567

 $00:56:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.700$ just haven't got that bit

 $00:56:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.660$ to say that they're gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.98912567

 $00:56:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.320$ do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833637

 $00:56:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.300$ But I I do think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833637

 $00:56:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.820$ there's value in the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833637

 $00:56:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.620$ index and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596741

 $00:56:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.700$ that we that's our next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596741

 $00:56:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.060$ step is to actually take

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596741

 $00:56:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.145$ it at least that far

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596741

 $00{:}56{:}22.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}24.005$ as developing a platform for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596741

 $00:56:24.224 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.145$ clinicians to enter in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596741

 $00{:}56{:}26.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}27.585$ risk data to see, okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596741

 $00:56:27.585 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.025$ Well, based on this massive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596741

 $00:56:29.025 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.525$ dataset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951202

 $00:56:29.984 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.185$ how likely is it that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951202

00:56:31.185 --> 00:56:32.224 the child that I'm about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951202

 $00:56:32.224 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.685$ to see with these particular

 $00:56:33.744 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.244$ profiles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538902

 $00{:}56{:}35.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37.190$ these particular variables, how likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538902

 $00:56:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.550$ is it that this standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538902

 $00:56:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.930$ CBT is gonna work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99216896

00:56:40.550 --> 00:56:41.750 I wouldn't want to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.99216896

 $00:56:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.290$ it being used for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996997 00:56:44.150 --> 00:56:44.650 if NOTE Confidence: 0.9585719

 $00:56:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.469$ okay. It comes out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9585719

 $00:56:46.469 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.070$ the likelihood of response is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9585719

 $00:56:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.890$ very low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977244

00:56:49.415 --> 00:56:50.295 then I wouldn't want them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977244

 $00:56:50.295 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.135$ to start some completely different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977244

 $00{:}56{:}52.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.435$ treatment. Right? It's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97293496

00:56:54.295 --> 00:56:55.515 yeah. So it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97054297

 $00:56:55.815 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.855$ I I do think there's

00:56:56.855 --> 00:56:58.135 utility, but I think there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97054297

00:56:58.135 --> 00:56:59.515 a bit of concern around

NOTE Confidence: 0.91812634

00:56:59.895 --> 00:57:01.335 then saying, okay. Well, CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.91812634

 $00:57:01.335 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.695$ doesn't work, then let's do

NOTE Confidence: 0.91812634

00:57:02.855 --> 00:57:03.994 let's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9406992

 $00:57:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.549$ do some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9465106

 $00:57:06.809 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.170$ something entirely different that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9465106

 $00:57:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.549$ no evidence base for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953071

00:57:10.650 --> 00:57:11.690 Yeah. It seems like it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953071

 $00:57:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.130$ at least low hanging fruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953071

 $00:57:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.489$ to say Yeah. I'll start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953071

 $00:57:14.489 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.029$ CBT or start the SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953071

00:57:16.089 --> 00:57:18.215 earlier. Yes. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953071

 $00:57:18.215 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.715$ Definitely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99731517

 $00:57:19.255 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.755$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286373

 $00:57:20.615 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.675$ Yeah. And if

00:57:22.535 --> 00:57:23.815 yeah. Given that there has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717495

 $00:57:23.815 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.855$ been some hint in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717495

 $00:57:24.855 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.615$ literature around that we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717495

00:57:26.615 --> 00:57:28.455 better effects for CBT and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717495

 $00:57:28.455 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.215$ sertraline that if there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717495

 $00:57:30.215 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.115$ a poor risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236288

 $00:57:31.569 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.309$ for treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99546456

00:57:33.170 --> 00:57:34.549 outcome being favorable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92468244

00:57:35.010 --> 00:57:37.109 then, yes, starting the CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.92468244

 $00:57:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.670$ earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540879

00:57:38.609 --> 00:57:40.630 As, yeah, the combined treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540879

 $00:57:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.829$ earlier. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861215

00:57:42.609 --> 00:57:43.730 Thank you. That was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861215

 $00:57:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.174$ interesting. I was just wondering

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861215

 $00:57:45.174 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.375$ what you, could tell us

 $00:57:46.375 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.234$ about the differences between Australia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861215

 $00:57:48.375 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.835$ and here in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861215

 $00:57:49.895 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.635$ in anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8885986

 $00:57:52.454 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.895$ in kids. What what makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8885986

 $00:57:53.895 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.915$ kids anxious and how differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8885986

 $00:57:56.135 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.194$ parents respond?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987054

 $00:57:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.180$ Yeah. It's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980758

 $00:58:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.420$ I'm gonna be talking anecdotally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258892

00:58:04.560 --> 00:58:05.920 Very similar. I have worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258892

 $00:58:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.960$ in the clinic in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258892

 $00{:}58{:}06.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08.560$ US, in Philadelphia, as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258892

 $00{:}58{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10.580$ as in Australia. But Philadelphia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258892

 $00:58:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.780$ was a long time ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819401

 $00:58:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.505$ and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709293

 $00:58:13.885 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.785$ before cell phones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95071125

 $00:58:16.444 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.904$ Very similar things, though,

 $00:58:18.285 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.244$ that they worry about. A

NOTE Confidence: 0.97028524

00:58:19.244 --> 00:58:20.684 lot of you know, depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.97028524

 $00:58:20.684 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.984$ on the type of presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840155

 $00:58:22.525 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.484$ but there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98313713

 $00:58:24.125 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.224$ I've seen this increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98313713

00:58:26.410 --> 00:58:28.030 concern around academic pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95705545

00:58:28.970 --> 00:58:29.470 particularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679989

 $00:58:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.130$ yeah, even in primary school

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679989

00:58:33.130 --> 00:58:34.970 ages, kids worrying about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679989

 $00:58:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.170$ they're gonna perform in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679989

 $00{:}58{:}36.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37.950$ future and more parental pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679989

00:58:38.010 --> 00:58:38.890 than I think we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679989

 $00{:}58{:}38.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40.910$ in previous year previous generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949873

 $00:58:44.654 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.934$ And in terms of types

NOTE Confidence: 0.949873

 $00:58:45.934 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.674$ of anxiety,

 $00:58:49.855 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.815$ we have a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773216

00:58:50.815 --> 00:58:52.815 spiders. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah. Put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773216 00:58:52.815 --> 00:58:53.315 eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89656276

00:58:53.615 --> 00:58:55.454 No. There's a koala. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89656276

 $00:58:55.454 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.755$ No. I don't I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98847234

 $00:58:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.630$ we don't we don't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.98847234

00:58:58.790 --> 00:58:59.910 I've never seen a phobia

NOTE Confidence: 0.98847234

 $00:58:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.050$ of a koala before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707151

 $00.59:02.710 \longrightarrow 00.59:03.830$ But we do have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97394776

 $00:59:04.390 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.110$ you know, a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97394776

 $00{:}59{:}05.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.710$ spider phobias, but not more

NOTE Confidence: 0.97394776

 $00:59:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.990$ than in other countries, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.97394776

 $00:59:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.870$ yet we've got a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.97394776

 $00:59:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.690$ more risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79801047

00:59:10.295 --> 00:59:11.335 I found a couple of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937237

00:59:12.535 --> 00:59:14.295 deadly spiders in my one

00:59:14.295 --> 00:59:15.495 in my bedroom and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937237

00:59:15.495 --> 00:59:16.715 in my swimming pool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8958637

 $00:59:17.415 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.115$ a while ago. But yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.88119394

 $00:59:19.495 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.615$ even though the risk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88119394

 $00:59:20.615 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.115$ higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.976609

 $00:59:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.450$ more yeah. Exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83796424

00:59:24.710 --> 00:59:26.250 Yeah. Yeah. But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99930006

 $00:59:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.570$ not in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.98326576

 $00:59:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.710$ of presentations being different in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98326576

 $00{:}59{:}30.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}32.250$ terms of prevalence of disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98862326

 $00:59:39.194 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.795$ Oh, thank you. Thanks for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98862326

00:59:40.795 --> 00:59:42.154 such a beautiful talk, Jenny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

00:59:42.555 --> 00:59:44.075 I was fascinated by this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

 $00:59:44.075 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.434$ finding, the JCAP one, where

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

00:59:45.434 --> 00:59:46.635 you show that, you know,

00:59:46.635 --> 00:59:47.914 kids with parents with severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

 $00:59:47.914 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.154$ psychopathology or psychopathology are similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

 $00:59:50.154 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.194$ at post, but then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

00:59:51.194 --> 00:59:52.474 see these longer term effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

 $00:59:52.474 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.730$ And I'm wondering if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

 $00:59:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.690$ can speak to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

 $00:59:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.410$ what do you think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97741187

00:59:55.410 --> 00:59:56.950 driving that? What's the mechanism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796099

00:59:58.610 --> 00:59:59.910 Yeah. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.99707264

 $01:00:00.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.530$ my gut feeling about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.99707264

 $01:00:02.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.670$ is that parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775787601:00:04.770 --> 01:00:05.270 who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9548795

 $01:00:05.915 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.955$ are more likely to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9548795

 $01:00:06.955 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.455$ anxious

NOTE Confidence: 0.92984843 01:00:08.075 --> 01:00:08.575 and NOTE Confidence: 0.9283616

 $01:00:08.875 \dashrightarrow 01:00:10.395$ who have maybe learnt some

 $01:00:10.395 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.515$ of the skills than when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9283616

 $01:00:11.515 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.395$ they get back in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9283616

01:00:12.395 --> 01:00:14.255 environment with the young person

NOTE Confidence: 0.8344589

01:00:14.795 --> 01:00:15.295 that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880352

 $01:00:16.714 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.915$ because they've had a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880352

 $01:00:17.915 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.515$ long time of being anxious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880352

 $01:00:19.515 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.335 \text{ most likely}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8718226

 $01:00:20.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.150$ that that in interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.89230484

 $01:00:23.010 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.790$ kinda goes back to normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89230484

 $01:00:24.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.370$ And that particularly for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89230484

01:00:26.370 --> 01:00:26.870 child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90630096

 $01:00:27.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.950$ that they're exposed to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.90630096

 $01:00:29.090 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.930$ more modeling. Like, in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.90630096

 $01:00:31.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.150$ in that graph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94172746

 $01:00:33.335 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.934$ that wasn't the parents didn't

 $01:00:34.934 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.694$ also receive treatment. So they

NOTE Confidence: 0.94172746

 $01:00:36.694 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.895$ they received it in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.94172746

 $01:00:37.895 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.575$ of through their child, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94172746

 $01:00:39.575 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.035$ they learned about the strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702644

 $01:00:41.335 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.454$ And so we do get

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702644

01:00:42.615 --> 01:00:43.734 even when we don't target

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702644

 $01:00:43.734 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.015$ it specifically, we do get

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702644

01:00:45.015 --> 01:00:47.035 a reduction in parental anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921242

 $01{:}00{:}47.970 --> 01{:}00{:}49.270$ even when it's not targeted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93906415

 $01:00:49.730 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.609$ So there would have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.93906415

01:00:50.609 --> 01:00:52.310 a reduction in parental anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.93906415

 $01:00:52.369 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.270$ at post treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99582714

 $01:00:54.050 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.510$ but that wasn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9404536

01:00:55.970 --> 01:00:57.490 you know, enough really to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9404536

 $01:00:57.490 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.950$ stop the child being exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9404536

01:00:59.090 --> 01:01:01.270 to increased anxiety and modeling

01:01:01.655 --> 01:01:03.175 and avoidance behavior. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.99237835

 $01:01:03.175 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.135$ think they just go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.99237835

 $01:01:04.135 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.815$ into an environment where there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99237835

01:01:05.815 --> 01:01:07.355 continued avoidance and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99097556

 $01{:}01{:}08.375 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}10.395$ modeling of anxiety and accommodation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93486905

 $01:01:12.055 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.135$ Well, thank you again, Jenny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93486905

01:01:14.135 --> 01:01:15.630 I we've that's we

NOTE Confidence: 0.96951896

 $01:01:16.109 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.630$ we're ending now. But thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.96951896

 $01:01:17.630 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.750$ you all very much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96951896

 $01:01:18.750 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.670$ attending. However, the trainees have

NOTE Confidence: 0.96951896

01:01:20.670 --> 01:01:22.349 an opportunity now to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.96951896

 $01:01:22.349 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.950$ with Jenny. Right now, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96951896

01:01:23.950 --> 01:01:25.069 room is over there in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96951896

 $01:01:25.069 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.670$ the Giselle. I believe that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.96951896

 $01:01:26.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.950$ what we have scheduled if

01:01:27.950 --> 01:01:28.269 that's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:28.995 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.955$ so that's what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:29.955 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.835$ And there might be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:30.915 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.955$ I will see you next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:31.955 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.155$ door. You stay here for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

01:01:33.155 --> 01:01:34.275 now. But if you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:34.275 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.315$ kicked out, go there. Is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:35.315 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.275$ that okay? Oh, okay. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:36.275 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.555$ Let's do that. Okay. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:37.555 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.675$ thank you all so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

01:01:38.675 --> 01:01:39.795 for having me. Jenny with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:39.795 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.315$ a wonderful talk. It's thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.9071862

 $01:01:41.315 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.775$ you so much. Thank you.