WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:55:43.3200000"
- NOTE recognizability:0.789
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.13627322
- 00:00:10.720 --> 00:00:11.120 Yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.430675045
- $00:00:22.840 \dashrightarrow 00:00:24.120$ I'm just trying to give it back too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.430675045
- $00:00:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.354$ So at the end, I have questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.430675045
- $00{:}00{:}27.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.460$ and there's just about online that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.430675045
- 00:00:29.460 --> 00:00:31.320 I'll get the questions too. But,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.51757326
- $00{:}00{:}35{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38{.}640$ but I'm going to pop down and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.51757326
- 00:00:38.640 --> 00:00:40.960 I'm going to go through. OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9460089666666667
- $00:00:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.598$ good afternoon, everybody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775
- $00:00:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.856$ We'll get started.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775
- $00{:}00{:}55.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.616$ Welcome to Grand Rounds in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775
- $00{:}00{:}57.616$ --> $00{:}00{:}59.199$ the Department of Pediatrics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775
- $00{:}00{:}59{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00{.}280$ My name is Cliff Bogue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775
- $00{:}01{:}00{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02{.}156$ I'm the chair of the department and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00{:}01{:}02{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04{.}660$ pleased to have all of you here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00:01:04.660 \rightarrow 00:01:06.360$ especially our special guest speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00{:}01{:}06{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}138$ So I just have a few announcements NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:08.138 --> 00:01:09.330 beforehand and then I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:09.330 --> 00:01:12.196 to turn it over to Young *****

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00:01:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.044$ to introduce today's speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:14.044 --> 00:01:17.260 So our upcoming next two grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00{:}01{:}17.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.212$ next week we have what we call cared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00{:}01{:}20{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22{.}712$ care rounds and this is talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00{:}01{:}22.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.702$ about collateral damage or phaned by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:24.702 --> 00:01:27.506 trauma and we have Matthew Hornick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:27.506 --> 00:01:30.570 in peed surgery as long as Jessica

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00{:}01{:}30{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33{.}410$ and Heather who are going to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00{:}01{:}33{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36{.}088$ to talk about a case presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:36.088 --> 00:01:38.488 And then the next week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:38.488 --> 00:01:39.320 April 17th,

 $00{:}01{:}39{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41{.}180$ we have Kristen Schroeder who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:41.180 --> 00:01:43.544 coming from Duke University to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00:01:43.544 \rightarrow 00:01:45.320$ about global oncology development

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00:01:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.096$ of a comprehensive pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

00:01:47.096 --> 00:01:48.800 cancer program in Tanzania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00:01:48.800 \rightarrow 00:01:52.520$ So that should be really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00{:}01{:}52{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54{.}336$ Also for those of you who are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572224775

 $00:01:54.336 \rightarrow 00:01:56.358$ to the pediatric academic societies

NOTE Confidence: 0.916496578

 $00:01:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.600$ meeting in a few weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377652222222

 $00:01:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.584$ please come out on Saturday night

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377652222222

 $00:02:00.584 \rightarrow 00:02:02.588$ to our dessert reception will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377652222222

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}280$ at the Fairmont Royal York at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377652222222

00:02:04.280 --> 00:02:06.760 You're welcome. Bring your friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377652222222

 $00:02:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.240$ people that you know alumni.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377652222222

 $00{:}02{:}08{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10{.}224$ We'd love to see folks and have a

00:02:10.224 --> 00:02:12.100 chance to connect and enjoy one

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377652222222

 $00{:}02{:}12.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.074$ another at that meeting in Toronto.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

00:02:16.240 --> 00:02:19.381 Also we have, as you know we're getting near

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:19.381 \rightarrow 00:02:22.337$ the end of our strategic planning process

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:22.337 \rightarrow 00:02:25.478$ that's been going on for several months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00{:}02{:}25{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28{.}343$ We're going to have a several one

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00{:}02{:}28.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.550$ hour virtual strategic plan feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:30.550 \rightarrow 00:02:33.402$ sessions as an opportunity to give some

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:33.402 \rightarrow 00:02:34.907$ final feedback and most importantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:34.907 \rightarrow 00:02:36.798$ think about how do we move forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:36.800 \rightarrow 00:02:39.502$ how do we get a broad buy in for some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:39.502 \rightarrow 00:02:41.880$ exciting things we have in the in the plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.924$ So you'll be these

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:43.924 \rightarrow 00:02:46.479$ invitations will be going out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.729$ but you can see we have them focused in

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:02:49.729 \rightarrow 00:02:52.800$ various pillars of of our department and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:02:52.800 \rightarrow 00:02:56.160$ and so please encourage you if you're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:02:56.160 \dashrightarrow 00:02:59.480$ available come to those and participate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:02:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.916$ They'll be really one of the last
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:03:01.916 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.075$ chances for that kind of impact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00{:}03{:}04{.}075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06{.}444$ input before we sort of finalize the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:03:06.444 \dashrightarrow 00:03:08.680$ plan and then begin to implement it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00{:}03{:}08{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}348$ All of our grand rounds are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:03:11.348 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.752$ available for CME.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:03:12.752 \dashrightarrow 00:03:15.560$ We don't have any commercial support.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:03:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.713$ The number to text is here in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- 00:03:17.713 -> 00:03:19.478 good old whiteboard and also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00{:}03{:}19{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21{.}720$ we'll be in the Zoom chat,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- 00:03:21.720 --> 00:03:25.026 but you can get CME credit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:03:25.026 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.679$ for participation today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913
- $00:03:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.364$ And with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

00:03:27.364 --> 00:03:29.331 I'm going to turn it over to Yang

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

00:03:29.331 --> 00:03:31.197 Hui from the Department of Genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:03:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.434$ but also a close colleague in Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.919692112173913

 $00:03:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.800$ to introduce today's speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7279423

00:03:39.280 --> 00:03:41.640 Thank you, Cliff. Indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}03{:}41{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44{.}209$ I'm the professor for genetics and Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:03:44.209 \rightarrow 00:03:48.080$ and Chief for the clinical genetics service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:03:48.080 \dashrightarrow 00:03:50.068$ Since last year we started in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}440$ called the pediatric genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:03:51.440 \rightarrow 00:03:54.032$ joined Ron and last year was a great success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:03:54.040 \rightarrow 00:03:55.818$ And then we're going to keep doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}03{:}55{.}818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}558$ that every year and hope maybe we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}678$ do more and then one time per year

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:04:01.680 \rightarrow 00:04:04.236$ today is the best special speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:04:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.808$ It's my prefer to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

00:04:06.808 --> 00:04:09.680 introduce Doctor William GAO.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.640$ We should call Beer GAO.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.599$ It's much easier.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.678$ So for the people like Beer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.560$ clearly take a lecture to tell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00{:}04{:}18.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.146$ his career great career for sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:21.146 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.375$ I can spend our to talking to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:25.375 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.880$ his career or he can tell the story.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- 00:04:27.880 --> 00:04:28.760 Bill is good storyteller.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:28.760 \dashrightarrow 00:04:31.936$ He said OK I can tell story all the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:31.936 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.292$ So very quickly some is on the fly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:34.292 \rightarrow 00:04:36.626$ this introduction for that and which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:36.626 \dashrightarrow 00:04:38.480$ very quickly highlight something.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- 00:04:38.480 --> 00:04:40.256 I will not touch UDMUDP because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:04:40.256 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.329$ he's going to tell the story just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00{:}04{:}42.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.063$ put more not in the description
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:04:44.063 \rightarrow 00:04:46.038$ in the fly a few highlights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}04{:}46{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50{.}142$ So Bill Current is a senior

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:04:50.142 \rightarrow 00:04:52.674$ investigator and the director for UDP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:04:52.680 \rightarrow 00:04:55.278$ the head of a biochemical genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:04:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.352$ He usually said he given a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}04{:}58{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}100$ title last maybe 10 years and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

00:05:01.100 --> 00:05:04.150 he was the clinical director for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}05{:}04.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.078$ NHGI for almost longer than 15 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}243$ A long very very long than many

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:05:09.243 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.600$ other title section chief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}05{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.918$ Also he declined a lot of exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}05{:}13.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.574$ offer to he could be the and I and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

00:05:17.574 --> 00:05:19.362 I see actually director at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}05{:}19{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21{.}905$ point he said I don't want that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00{:}05{:}21{.}905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23{.}967$ or department chair some school and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692

 $00:05:23.967 \rightarrow 00:05:26.690$ all together but he clearly want to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:05:26.690 \rightarrow 00:05:29.820$ dedicate his time to working on rare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:05:29.820 \rightarrow 00:05:31.900$ disease undiagnosed disease that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:05:31.900 \dashrightarrow 00:05:35.559$ what he's the signature program he created.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00:05:35.560 \rightarrow 00:05:38.608$ He's a funding director 2008 and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- $00{:}05{:}38{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42{.}396$ that led to the UDN Undiagnosed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819277502307692
- 00:05:42.396 --> 00:05:44.560 Disease Network 2017 right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:05:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.380$ 2017. Now he remained
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00{:}05{:}48{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49{.}800$ active for that program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- 00:05:49.800 --> 00:05:52.120 He gave up many titles but he keep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:05:52.120 \rightarrow 00:05:55.950$ this one for long term so quickly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00{:}05{:}55{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00{.}243$ be a graduate from MIT and then it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:06:00.243 \dashrightarrow 00:06:01.855$ was probably missing opportunity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- 00:06:01.855 --> 00:06:04.915 for Harvard did not take him to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- 00:06:04.915 --> 00:06:06.853 Harvard Medical School and he went
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- 00:06:06.853 --> 00:06:09.428 to the Wisconsin finished MDPHD and NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:06:09.428 \rightarrow 00:06:12.480$ did a pediatric residency and he was NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00{:}06{:}12.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.060$ a chief resident and also come to NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:16.060 \rightarrow 00:06:18.866$ the NIH finishing clinical genetics NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:18.866 \rightarrow 00:06:21.878$ and clinical biochemical genetics. NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 00:06:21.880 --> 00:06:25.192 His was funding ACMG American College NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:25.192 \rightarrow 00:06:28.020$ of medical genetics fellow he was NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:28.020 \rightarrow 00:06:29.880$ just what dinner tonight last night NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.184$ he was telling a story at that NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00{:}06{:}32.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.176$ time doing board exam for medical NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 00:06:34.176 --> 00:06:35.906 genetics like half people write NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00{:}06{:}35{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}916$ an exam for other half trainees. NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:37.920 \rightarrow 00:06:40.519$ So that's what how how the history goes. NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:40.519 \rightarrow 00:06:42.997$ So maybe it's easy then today, NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:43.000 \rightarrow 00:06:44.953$ so you don't have to go to NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 $00:06:44.953 \rightarrow 00:06:46.440$ database to pick that one. NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955 00:06:46.440 --> 00:06:48.740 He's researched clearly he's

 $00:06:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.240$ a physician scientist and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:06:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.560$ a role model for me for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}06{:}53{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56{.}014$ And he covered dedicated time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}860$ discover the gene for rare disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:06:58.860 \rightarrow 00:07:01.675$ primarily by chemical genetic disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}07{:}01.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.875$ Now he went ahead and did a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:07:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.852$ basic science study understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}07{:}06.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.810$ what's the mechanism for that disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:07:09.882 \rightarrow 00:07:12.160$ then continue for developer treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:07:12.160 --> 00:07:13.160 engage FDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:07:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.035$ He developed a multiple treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:07:15.035 --> 00:07:17.028 for rare disease and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:07:17.028 --> 00:07:17.679 the signature program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:07:17.680 --> 00:07:19.903 If I look at the CV that's a list

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}07{:}19{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22{.}155$ of engagement with FDA and make

00:07:22.155 --> 00:07:24.865 sure the patient in the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:07:24.865 \dashrightarrow 00:07:27.955$ gather that hope to after diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:07:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.980$ And this clearly is a proliferix kind of Pi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:07:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.781$ He published 650 paper and a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}07{:}36{.}781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39{.}350$ of clinical protocol and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}07{:}39{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42{.}457$ product treatment FDA approval there. NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:07:42.457 \rightarrow 00:07:45.199$ Then he also discovered the signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}07{:}45.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.310$ program is he discovered the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:07:48.310 --> 00:07:51.090 basis for the cystinosis or sciatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:07:51.090 \dashrightarrow 00:07:53.085$ acid disorder which is pretty rare for NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:07:53.085 --> 00:07:55.080 many of you probably have not heard NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:07:55.080 --> 00:07:56.978 about and he probably will tell the

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}07{:}56{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}714$ story too and the many other rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}07{:}58.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.720$ disease most in the metabolic related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:01.720 \dashrightarrow 00:08:05.860$ Then his group after study UDP

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:05.860 \dashrightarrow 00:08:10.115$ discovered almost 300 disease gene and

 $00:08:10.115 \rightarrow 00:08:14.588$ the disorder and the more then as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}08{:}14.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.884$ mentor I should mention Bill Trader

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:18.884 \rightarrow 00:08:22.832$ train 42 clinical biochemical genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:22.832 \dashrightarrow 00:08:26.934$ fellow almost ten 110th of anti people

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:08:26.934 --> 00:08:30.280 board certified in my specialty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.660$ He was the first one push for

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:32.660 \rightarrow 00:08:35.056$ the new specialty called medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

00:08:35.056 --> 00:08:36.358 biochemical genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}08{:}36{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}960$ which I was the beneficiary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.800$ I was the first founding fellow 2009 five

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:43.800 \rightarrow 00:08:47.720$ for because he's a vision for that program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.736$ So you can see many of people he

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00:08:50.736 \rightarrow 00:08:52.699$ trained including here and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}08{:}52.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.961$ other institution is a leader in

NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955

 $00{:}08{:}54{.}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57{.}000$ the biochemical genetics.

- 00:08:57.000 --> 00:08:57.720 As I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:08:57.720 \dashrightarrow 00:09:00.440$ I would leave the UDPUT not touch that part.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:09:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.240$ He would tell the story.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00{:}09{:}02{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05{.}782$ Because of that you can clearly see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00{:}09{:}05{.}782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}160$ how much accomplishment and reward
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:09:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.308$ that he has got. It's a long list.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00{:}09{:}10{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11{.}793$ I just pick a few.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- 00:09:11.800 --> 00:09:14.320 I I I actually copy from his CV
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- 00:09:14.320 --> 00:09:16.796 make sure I cover some major one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:09:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.015$ He received multiple time for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- 00:09:19.015 --> 00:09:21.230 NIH director award many years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:09:21.307 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.960$ throughout his career.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00{:}09{:}22{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23{.}451$ He,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782711955
- $00:09:23.451 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.870$ as NICHD Hall of the honor only 15 scientists
- NOTE Confidence: 0.682643064166667
- $00{:}09{:}27{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30{.}280$ was recognized, would recognize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:09:32.320 \rightarrow 00:09:35.757$ 2013, including three or four Nobel Laureate.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:09:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.848$ In that list he was the president of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- 00:09:38.848 --> 00:09:41.718 a Society of Embroiler metabolism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- 00:09:41.720 --> 00:09:44.240 He received the Nathan Davis Award,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:09:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.352$ outstanding government service
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00{:}09{:}46.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.800$ from AMA Euris Lifetime Chiefman
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:09:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.135$ Award and 2019 he was elected for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:09:54.135 \dashrightarrow 00:09:56.515$ National Academy for Medicine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00{:}09{:}56{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58{.}614$ With that I guess I should
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:09:58.614 \rightarrow 00:10:00.560$ say a few personal notes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00{:}10{:}00{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02{.}751$ As you know I I'm clinical genetics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:10:02.751 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.402$ also clinical I think I call them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00{:}10{:}05{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06{.}638$ medical biochemical genetics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:10:06.640 \rightarrow 00:10:08.064$ not clinical biochemical genetics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00{:}10{:}08{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}200$ that's more lab to direct it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:10:10.200 \rightarrow 00:10:13.152$ So I definitely know Bill's all works before
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:13.152 \rightarrow 00:10:16.360$ I know him in person so we can know him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00{:}10{:}16{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20{.}308$ It's when the UDN when I was at Duke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00{:}10{:}20{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23{.}736$ We are part of a clinical side of the UDN

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:23.736 \rightarrow 00:10:26.380$ funding like the first seven side name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.040$ So we met her before the COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00{:}10{:}29{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31{.}385$ We met her regularly quarterly

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00{:}10{:}31.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.960$ at DC or some other House Hotel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:34.960 \rightarrow 00:10:38.320$ Now we're starting to engage with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.820$ Bill and learn he's a he's a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.880$ visionary leader for this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00{:}10{:}43.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.343$ One thing I like very much is

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

00:10:45.343 --> 00:10:46.879 every time you notice Consortium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00{:}10{:}46.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.784$ so many people in a meeting and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00{:}10{:}48.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.746$ often time opened up the talk at

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:50.746 \rightarrow 00:10:52.176$ the beginning for the Consortium

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:52.229 \rightarrow 00:10:54.182$ as you know to try to get all these

- NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714
- $00:10:54.182 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.496$ smart people in the same room to

 $00:10:56.496 \rightarrow 00:10:57.840$ talking some challenging topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.640$ a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:10:58.640 \rightarrow 00:11:00.240$ very sometimes very challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731188824285714

 $00:11:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.560$ So Pierre usually opened up

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:03.600 \rightarrow 00:11:06.198$ sometimes kind of light moment joke

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:06.200 \rightarrow 00:11:08.404$ 1st and make everyone laugh first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:08.404 \rightarrow 00:11:11.238$ So as you can see it when you laugh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:11.240 \rightarrow 00:11:13.480$ everything can synchronize very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.400$ After that initial sort of first

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

00:11:15.400 --> 00:11:17.297 few minutes you always can find

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

00:11:17.297 --> 00:11:18.953 a way to make everyone laugh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00{:}11{:}18{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20{.}580$ And before we get in serious

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00{:}11{:}20{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23{.}270$ about our serious topic so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00{:}11{:}23{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24{.}920$ I was fair bit impressed,

 $00:11:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.677$ I'm missing out a lot of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00{:}11{:}26.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.320$ are really good to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

00:11:28.320 --> 00:11:30.864 I hope we can join you back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:30.864 \rightarrow 00:11:33.163$ enjoy that part of learn how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:33.163 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.440$ are leading this consortium and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.080$ visionary leader for this very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.176$ very challenging topic for

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:40.176 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.796$ often time in the medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.048$ And also I think 2015 was a Project

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00{:}11{:}47.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.442$ B and I have made a trip to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00{:}11{:}50{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53{.}940$ Shanghai to kind of disseminate all

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

00:11:53.940 --> 00:11:57.537 Nash international outreach for UDA P

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:11:57.537 \rightarrow 00:12:00.766$ program that was great fun to when together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00{:}12{:}00.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.190$ I really still remembers a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:12:03.254 \rightarrow 00:12:05.518$ also almost try to show one picture here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333

 $00:12:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.880$ We will definitely show

- NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333
- $00:12:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.434$ next time with that Bill,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333
- 00:12:08.434 --> 00:12:09.598 thank you so much for coming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333
- 00:12:09.598 --> 00:12:10.931 from your busy schedule and we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.670606933333333
- $00:12:10.931 \rightarrow 00:12:12.116$ looking forward to your talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}12{:}16.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.640$ Thanks very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00:12:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.880$ I'll try to get people to laugh
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}12{:}19{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22{.}034$ with me rather than laugh at me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00:12:22.040 \rightarrow 00:12:25.080$ And also some of the jokes that I tell here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- 00:12:25.080 --> 00:12:27.558 I can't actually tell in Shanghai,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00:12:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.276$ but where's this all? Here we go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- 00:12:30.280 --> 00:12:32.520 So and I want to tell stories today,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}12{:}32{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}928$ stories about unusual diseases and mechanisms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00:12:35.928 \rightarrow 00:12:40.040$ of disease that you're not so likely to see,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}12{:}40{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}984$ but that still interest us and may have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}12{:}42{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45{.}136$ applications to common disease because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:12:45.136 \rightarrow 00:12:48.272$ that's what happens with some rare diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}12{:}48.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.140$ And I want to mention that Cindy Tift is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}12{:}51{.}213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}719$ Director of the pediatric portion of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}12{:}53{.}719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56{.}036$ UDP and David Adams does the bioinformatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}12{:}56.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.750$ And we have two great neurologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}12{:}57{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}611$ I mentioned here and two great

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:12:59.611 \rightarrow 00:13:01.276$ internists and a psych coordinator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.997$ And this program is supported by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}13{:}05{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}373$ volunteer efforts of a huge number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:07.373 \rightarrow 00:13:09.467$ experts within the intro program of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}13{:}09{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11{.}840$ the NIH experts in rare diseases there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.600$ This program was established in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:14.600 \rightarrow 00:13:17.652$ announced in May of 2008 with two goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

00:13:17.652 --> 00:13:20.012 One to help people reach a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:20.012 \rightarrow 00:13:21.932$ when they've sought A diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:21.932 \rightarrow 00:13:24.200$ and haven't been able to get one,

 $00:13:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.216$ and the other is to discover new

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:26.216 \rightarrow 00:13:27.750$ things about biochemistry and cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:27.750 \rightarrow 00:13:29.280$ biology and mechanisms of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.160$ So to contribute to medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.528$ And the way it works is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:33.528 \rightarrow 00:13:35.130$ applicants submit their medical records

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}13{:}35{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}009$ and I for the adults and doctor TIFF for

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40{.}165$ the children will look them over and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:40.165 \rightarrow 00:13:43.438$ triage them to different experts to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.558$ offer an opinion about whether this

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:45.558 \rightarrow 00:13:47.472$ is reasonable or not as something

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}13{:}47{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48{.}720$ that we should study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.176$ We only accept about 1/3 or so of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54{.}630$ people who apply and we offer some advice

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}13{:}54{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}758$ to the others and the ones that we see,

 $00:13:56.760 \rightarrow 00:13:59.560$ we see for a week at the NIH free of charge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:13:59.560 \rightarrow 00:14:02.600$ So we don't charge any third parties either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:14:02.600 \rightarrow 00:14:04.840$ Over the course of the last 15 or 16 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:14:04.840 \rightarrow 00:14:07.318$ we've seen over 6000 medical records

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}14{:}07{.}318$ --> $00{:}14{:}09{.}580$ and seen over 1600 at the NIH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12{.}314$ A lot of kids and more than half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}14{:}12{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14{.}199$ our cases are neurological cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:14:14.200 \rightarrow 00:14:17.440$ a lot of exomes and especially family exomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:14:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.876$ We get a skin biopsy for fibroblast

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:14:19.876 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.235$ culture to do some gene function

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}14{:}22.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.704$ studies on about 70% of the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}14{:}24.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.570$ We see a lot of diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:14:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.920$ publications as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:14:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.840$ And for the genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00{:}14{:}31{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34{.}760$ we can do customized

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

00:14:34.760 --> 00:14:35.924 personalized phenotyping,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00:14:35.924 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.217$ but also some of the genetics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- 00:14:39.217 --> 00:14:40.348 that's available commercially.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}14{:}40{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}572$ We also do snip arrays on many of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00:14:43.572 \rightarrow 00:14:45.077$ patients and excellence and genomes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}14{:}45{.}077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}549$ as I mentioned and for some of them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}14{:}47{.}549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}234$ when we have multiple different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00:14:49.234 \rightarrow 00:14:52.078$ candidate genes and variants and genes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}14{:}52.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.050$ we'll do functional studies in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}14{:}54{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}422$ fibroblasts and there's a model organisms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00:14:56.422 \rightarrow 00:14:58.760$ core as well that we can employ.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- 00:14:58.760 --> 00:15:01.070 I wanted to give you some examples
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}15{:}01{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}427$ of discovery and this is an early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}15{:}03{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04{.}399$ discovery of ours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}15{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}748$ We saw five a dults from the Kentucky,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647
- $00{:}15{:}07{.}748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}145$ Ohio region who are all siblings and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:15:11.145 \rightarrow 00:15:13.215$ they had claudication in their lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

00:15:13.215 --> 00:15:15.080 extremities because of ischemic pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:15:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.719$ So vascular insufficiency is what they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896220784117647

 $00:15:17.720 \rightarrow 00:15:20.600$ Their coronaries were largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.679609168

 $00{:}15{:}20.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.670$ spirit, but these are their arteries

NOTE Confidence: 0.679609168

 $00{:}15{:}24.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.680$ and this is there's no contrast here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.679609168

 $00{:}15{:}27.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.878$ So this is all calcification of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.679609168

00:15:29.878 --> 00:15:31.360 femoral and popliteal arteries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.679609168

 $00:15:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.236$ And here it is on PA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:15:34.280 \rightarrow 00:15:37.652$ And see the Der Salus petis calcified here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}15{:}37.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.800$ No wonder they had pain and they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:15:41.800 \rightarrow 00:15:43.920$ surviving off their collaterals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}15{:}43{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46{.}188$ And they also had in the metacarpal

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:15:46.188 \rightarrow 00:15:48.000$ phalangeal joints some calcification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

00:15:48.000 --> 00:15:50.800 There you can see that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:15:50.800 \rightarrow 00:15:53.080$ Well, it turns out that their

- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:15:53.080 \rightarrow 00:15:54.600$ parents were third cousins,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}15{:}54{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}382$ and we know that the first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:15:56.382 \rightarrow 00:15:58.400$ cousins share 1/8 of their genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:15:58.400 \rightarrow 00:16:00.759$ Second cousins share 132nd of their genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.640$ Third cousins share one 128th of their genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}16{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.264$ So if we're going to consider this a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:07.264 \rightarrow 00:16:09.630$ recessive disorder that may be caused
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.240$ by the consanguinity that they have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.840$ we can look in one 128th of their genes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.040$ that is to say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}16{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.518$ the regions in which they are homozygous.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}16{:}19{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21{.}445$ And the reason that that's important is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:21.445 \rightarrow 00:16:23.479$ because in that region of homozygosity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}16{:}23{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24{.}920$ if you have one variant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.480$ you're going to have two variants,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

- $00:16:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.845$ and this could have caused
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}16{:}27.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.878$ a recessive disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:28.878 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.152$ Well, it turns out that there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:31.152 \rightarrow 00:16:33.477$ were such regions on a SNP array,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:33.480 \rightarrow 00:16:35.016$ single nucleotide polymorphisms.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:35.016 \rightarrow 00:16:38.600$ That disarray contains a million of those,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:38.600 \rightarrow 00:16:41.554$ meaning that since they're 3.2 billion bases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:41.560 \rightarrow 00:16:46.636$ these SNPs are about 3000 bases apart.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.536$ And every one of these little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:48.536 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.160$ blue dots is a SNP.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.558$ And so the those blue dots,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}16{:}54{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56{.}000$ the separation between them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:56.000 \rightarrow 00:16:57.560$ represents 3000 bases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:16:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.440$ So this is a region of chromosome 6,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}00{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}560$ and what's shown those dots
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:17:02.560 \rightarrow 00:17:04.680$ are only the heterozygous SNPs.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}04.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.600$ So we've eliminated the top ones
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}06{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08{.}872$ which are the AAS and the bottom
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}08.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.016$ ones which are the BBS and this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:17:11.016 \rightarrow 00:17:12.752$ these are the ABS that you see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:17:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.360$ And you can see that for the siblings here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:17:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.342$ that's children 12345.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:17:18.342 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.634$ They all have a region with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:17:20.634 \rightarrow 00:17:21.398$ no heterozygosity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}21{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23{.}356$ So they're homozygous in this region,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:17:23.360 \rightarrow 00:17:25.640$ meaning that this if there's a variant here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:17:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.440$ they're going to have it on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}27{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28{.}640$ both of their alleles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}28.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.705$ And that was a region of 22
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}30.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32.280$ mega bases with 92 genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}17{:}32{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33{.}477$ And our heart,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:17:33.477 \rightarrow 00:17:35.871$ lung and blood associates picked out

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

00:17:35.871 --> 00:17:38.493 anti 5E as a candidate for causing

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42{.}776$ this disease and that encodes CD 73,

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}17{:}42.776$ --> $00{:}17{:}45.824$ an enzyme in the vascular endothelium

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}17{:}45.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.722$ that converts AMP to a denosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}17{:}48.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.516$ and inorganic phosphate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}17{:}50{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}544$ And in fact these five individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

00:17:53.544 - > 00:17:58.077 you see here had all homozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}17{:}58{.}077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}939$ nonsense mutation shown here we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:18:00.939 \rightarrow 00:18:03.503$ another family with three affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}18{:}03{.}503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06{.}158$ individuals with a different mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}18{:}06{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09{.}004$ also homozygous and then a compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:18:09.004 \rightarrow 00:18:10.872$ heterozygous family as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:18:10.872 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.192$ So those individuals in three

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:18:13.192 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.120$ different families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:18:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.776$ And it turns out that the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}18{:}15.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.880$ fibroblasts expressed this gene.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- 00:18:16.880 --> 00:18:19.680 So here's NT 5E expression in normals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}18{:}19.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.520$ And then in two of the affected individuals,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:18:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.385$ the enzyme activity was also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}18{:}24{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25{.}877$ decreased in the fibroblast,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:18:25.880 \rightarrow 00:18:28.075$ and this enzyme activity could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- 00:18:28.075 --> 00:18:30.270 be rescued by transduction with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- 00:18:30.345 --> 00:18:32.397 a vector that contains CD 73,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:18:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.408$ the missing enzyme.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- 00:18:33.408 --> 00:18:35.760 So we're trying to prove fusality here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:18:35.760 \rightarrow 00:18:37.920$ and that's pretty much how we get it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- 00:18:37.920 --> 00:18:38.417 Furthermore,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}18{:}38{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41{.}399$ the fibroblasts express not only the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00:18:41.399 \rightarrow 00:18:44.831$ genotype but a phenotype and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333
- $00{:}18{:}44{.}831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}315$ phenotype was increased alkaline
- NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

00:18:47.315 --> 00:18:48.557 phosphatase activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:18:48.560 \rightarrow 00:18:50.000$ You'll see why this is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54{.}090$ but this is the affected patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00:18:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.210$ cultures of fibroblast stained

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}18{:}56{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57{.}800$ for alkaline phosphatase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

 $00{:}18{:}57{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59{.}936$ This is the control and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.396687728333333

00:18:59.936 --> 00:19:01.360 you treat with adenosine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:19:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.040$ which is the missing product of the CD 73,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:19:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.240$ you mitigate the alkaline phosphatase

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:19:09.240 \rightarrow 00:19:11.925$ excess and in other words, it rescues it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:19:11.925 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.600$ It not only rescues that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

00:19:13.600 --> 00:19:16.960 but it rescues calcification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:19:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.353$ Alizarin red staining is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00{:}19{:}20{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22{.}637$ reflection of calcium accumulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00{:}19{:}22.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.960$ So here's the affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:19:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.280$ compared to the normal.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00{:}19{:}25{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30{.}260$ And here is the cell culture of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- 00:19:30.260 --> 00:19:31.775 affected individuals transduced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00{:}19{:}31.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.312$ with a lentivirus containing CD73.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00{:}19{:}34{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}360$ You see it corrects the calcification.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00:19:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.563$ So does a denosine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00:19:38.563 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.167$ again the product that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00:19:40.167 \rightarrow 00:19:42.480$ missing and so does levamisol,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00:19:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.168$ which is an inhibitor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00:19:44.168 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.434$ of alkaline phosphatase.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- 00:19:45.440 --> 00:19:48.440 So alkaline phosphatase is very important,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00:19:48.440 \rightarrow 00:19:50.638$ has a very important role in this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00{:}19{:}50{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52{.}957$ And that that role is shown here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00{:}19{:}52{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55{.}845$ Ordinarily on the vascular endothelium
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- 00:19:55.845 --> 00:19:59.630 you have CD 73 converting AMP to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00{:}19{:}59{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}184$ a denosine and then a denosine interacts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:20:02.184 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.714$ with the vascular cell receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

00:20:04.720 --> 00:20:07.845 To trophically inhibit tissue non

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:20:07.845 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.720$ specific alkaline phosphatase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:20:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.044$ When that doesn't happen and and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00{:}20{:}12.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.198$ see that in the patient's fibroblasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:20:14.198 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.832$ that didn't happen because the patients NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

00:20:16.832 --> 00:20:19.000 had increased alkaline phosphatase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:20:19.000 \rightarrow 00:20:20.480$ then the alkaline phosphatase

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:20:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.201$ which is supposed to go to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00{:}20{:}23{.}201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25{.}781$ surface of the cells and convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

00:20:25.781 --> 00:20:27.800 pyrophosphate into inorganic phosphate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00{:}20{:}27{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}542$ that doesn't happen and instead you

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00{:}20{:}30{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33{.}800$ have too much alkaline phosphatase and

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

00:20:33.800 --> 00:20:37.272 the inorganic phosphate is formed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00{:}20{:}37{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}197$ it enhances mineralization whereas the

NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571

 $00:20:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.832$ pyrophosphate normally inhibits and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00{:}20{:}41.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.872$ that accounts for the calcification
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966290021428571
- $00{:}20{:}43.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.159$ in the vessels of these individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}20{:}48{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50{.}799$ Here's another case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:20:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.176$ an 18 month old little girl who had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}20{:}53.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.597$ failure to thrive and some intestinal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}20{:}55{.}597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58{.}231$ problems with TTP and dependent etcetera.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}20{:}58{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}720$ But her sort of claimed the fame for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:21:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.818$ this particular disorder was she had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}21{:}04.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.588$ hypopigmentation and the poor visual
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:21:06.588 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.273$ acuity that associates with it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- 00:21:08.280 --> 00:21:10.488 Also had organomegaly, liver,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- 00:21:10.488 --> 00:21:13.344 spleen, kidney and storage there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:21:13.344 \rightarrow 00:21:16.800$ and also had developmental delay with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}21{:}16.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.296$ poor myelination and some infections too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}21{:}22{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25{.}182$ But I'll mention this before telling you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:25.182 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.232$ why she had no osteopatrosis and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}21{:}29{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}438$ keep that in mind when we see what she had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.624$ So here she is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:35.624 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.280$ She has cutaneous albinism

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}21{:}38{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41{.}400$ and also white hair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:41.400 \rightarrow 00:21:42.960$ She actually has some pigment in her iris,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.810$ which is very unusual and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.920$ delayed myelination here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.839$ And here's her storage in the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}21{:}48.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.745$ these big storage cells and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}21{:}51.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.960$ duodenum and in the PMMS etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.120$ And even in the fibroblast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:21:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.908$ she has bacols here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}21{:}56{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}120$ Bacols are better seen here as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}612$ And then we found another patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:22:02.612 \rightarrow 00:22:04.679$ had essentially the same phenotype.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- 00:22:04.680 --> 00:22:07.176 So again, hypopigmentation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- 00:22:07.176 --> 00:22:09.480 large liver, spleen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- 00:22:09.480 --> 00:22:11.400 kidney and storage,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:22:11.400 \rightarrow 00:22:13.960$ developmental delay for myelination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}22{:}13.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.520$ and no osteoporosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:22:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.478$ Here's the fella and his dad,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:22:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.280$ and here's the poor myelination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}22{:}22{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.688$ And here's the storage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:22:23.688 \rightarrow 00:22:27.640$ And the storage and the storage fibroblast.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- 00:22:27.640 --> 00:22:27.995 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:22:27.995 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.835$ it turns out we found a de Novo
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}22{:}30{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35{.}040$ mutation in a gene called CLCN 7,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:22:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.130$ and CLCN 7 has a particular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:22:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.675$ function in lysosomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00{:}22{:}39{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}848$ When the proton pump hydrogen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

00:22:42.848 --> 00:22:45.393 ions into a lysosome it does so

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}22{:}45{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}586$ for a long time and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:22:47.586 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.421$ hydrogen ions accumulate on the NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

00:22:49.421 --> 00:22:51.392 inner membrane of the lysosome

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}22{:}51{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}552$ and create an electric chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}22{:}53.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.810$ gradient against which the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:22:55.810 \rightarrow 00:22:59.520$ proton has a hard time getting in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:22:59.520 \rightarrow 00:23:04.078$ So in order to dissipate that gradient

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.880$ God created CLCN 7 to put a counter

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:23:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.718$ ion chloride into the lysosome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}10.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.290$ So you if you don't have CLCN 7 you can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:23:14.378 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.080$ acidify the lysosome very well at at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

00:23:18.080 --> 00:23:18.942 So chloride,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

00:23:18.942 --> 00:23:21.097 This CLCN 7 provides the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

00:23:21.097 --> 00:23:22.880 counter ion for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:23:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.124$ And in fact there's a disease
$00{:}23{:}25{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}097$ associated with loss of function

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:23:27.097 \rightarrow 00:23:29.515$ by allelic mutations in CLCN 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}280$ That is a disease called osteopatrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:23:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.432$ So in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35{.}160$ the bone doesn't get broken down

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38{.}890$ because the osteoclasts can't create

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}38{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42{.}726$ the lacunae of acidic lysosomes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:23:42.726 \rightarrow 00:23:45.264$ use the hydrolases to breakdown the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}45{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47{.}999$ bone so they have osteopetrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}48.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.240$ Again, loss of function bileelic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:23:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.425$ This was instead a mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55{.}124$ in a different spot which was

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}55{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}840$ monolelic and de Novo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}200$ So we posited that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}23{:}58{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59{.}560$ is a gain of function.

 $00{:}23{:}59{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}798$ And our collaborator Joe Mendel and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}24{:}01{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04{.}608$ NANDS did patch clamp studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

00:24:04.608 $-\!>$ 00:24:07.047 x
enoposol sites to demonstrate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}24{:}07.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.497$ chloride channel and the chloride

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}24{:}09{.}497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}457$ movement across the membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:24:11.536 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.799$ of these oocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:24:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.599$ When he put in the wild type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:24:14.600 \rightarrow 00:24:15.680$ he got this much current.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:24:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.556$ When he put in the mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:24:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.000$ he got this much current.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}24{:}18.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.252$ And that increase in current was

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}24{:}21{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25{.}592$ associated down here with more acid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}24{:}25{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27{.}440$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:24:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.568$ hyperacidosis of the lysosome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00{:}24{:}29{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}760$ Used fluorescent markers to demonstrate that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333

 $00:24:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.290$ But you can see the difference

- NOTE Confidence: 0.950705813333333
- $00:24:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.310$ in fluorescence in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- 00:24:35.366 --> 00:24:38.130 pro band, the other pro band and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- 00:24:38.130 > 00:24:41.068 the consequent decrease in pH
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- 00:24:41.068 --> 00:24:45.278 really only a .2 or .3 units of pH,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:24:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.878$ but that's it's a log scale.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:24:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.128$ So that's a lot of more acid in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:24:51.128 \rightarrow 00:24:53.838$ these lysosomes of these individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:24:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.376$ So we're saying that this is a new
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00{:}24{:}56{.}376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}005$ disease associated with hyper acidity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:24:58.005 \rightarrow 00:25:00.520$ of the lysosomes and you can see why
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:25:00.520 \rightarrow 00:25:02.960$ that would cause storage because the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:25:02.960 \rightarrow 00:25:06.440$ lysosomal hydrolases not only need acid,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:25:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.320$ they needed the the right pH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00{:}25{:}09{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13{.}757$ Isn't a an optimal pH occur for these things,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:25:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.798$ So it can't be too acid.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:25:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.920$ It can't be not enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}25{:}15{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17{.}198$ Not only that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}25{:}17.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.235$ but this was a dominant disorder and we NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:25:21.235 \rightarrow 00:25:24.816$ proved that by transfecting the mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}25{:}24.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.632$ CLC on 7 gene into normal fibroblasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}25{:}29{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}130$ So remember these normal fibroblasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:25:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.152$ is a normal contingent of CLC on 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}25{:}33.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.958$ Now they've also got the the mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}25{:}34{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}034$ and the mutant causes the accumulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:25:37.034 \rightarrow 00:25:39.119$ of vesicles that you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}25{:}39{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43{.}035$ So dominant disorder and then our

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:25:43.035 \rightarrow 00:25:46.653$ people Rallu and May created a mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:25:46.653 \rightarrow 00:25:50.865$ knock in of the mouse paralogue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}25{:}50.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.972$ it's called CLCN 7 and those mice are

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:25:54.972 \rightarrow 00:25:58.346$ a little bit hypo pigmented and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:25:58.346 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.117$ have the back rules and they have

 $00:26:00.117 \rightarrow 00:26:02.199$ the storage in their liver etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

00:26:02.200 --> 00:26:02.595 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:02.595 \rightarrow 00:26:04.965$ one interesting issue is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:04.965 \rightarrow 00:26:06.840$ can actually alkalinize lysosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:06.840 \rightarrow 00:26:08.716$ We knew this from the early studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}26{:}08.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.718$ of new fell etcetera and the way you

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

00:26:10.718 --> 00:26:13.000 can do it is by giving chloroquine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:13.000 \rightarrow 00:26:18.084$ So we fed these folks fed chloroquine

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:18.084 \rightarrow 00:26:21.472$ and lysotrac or red is an indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:21.472 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.160$ of lysosomal acidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.720$ So all this red here and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}26{:}25{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}425$ add more higher concentrations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

00:26:27.425 - 00:26:29.555 chloroquine and the red goes away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:29.560 \rightarrow 00:26:31.610$ meaning that you're now offering

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}26{:}31.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.660$ some alkalinization to the lysosomes

 $00:26:33.721 \rightarrow 00:26:35.809$ and you can see that the pH actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}26{:}35{.}809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38{.}000$ goes up with increased chloroquine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

00:26:38.000 --> 00:26:38.424 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:38.424 \rightarrow 00:26:41.392$ one the physician for the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

00:26:41.392 --> 00:26:43.856 from Ghana was Doctor Deborah de

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

00:26:43.856 --> 00:26:45.876 Salvatore in New Brunswick and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

00:26:45.876 --> 00:26:48.992 she wrote a protocol to treat her

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:48.992 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.312$ patient with chloroquine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.840$ And when she did that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.480$ his kidney size decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:26:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.120$ He had more energy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}26{:}55{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56{.}560$ He rolled over for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}26{:}56{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}000$ first time in his life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00{:}26{:}58{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}038$ Both of our patients died their disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

 $00:27:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.230$ but a number of other patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333

00:27:03.230 - > 00:27:07.400 have appeared and we're trying to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00{:}27{:}07{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08{.}966$ establish a protocol to treat them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00{:}27{:}08.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.296$ with the legal specific Aligos
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:27:10.296 \rightarrow 00:27:11.934$ because this is a gain of function
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846789955833333
- $00:27:11.934 \rightarrow 00:27:13.397$ that maybe you could knock down.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00{:}27{:}16.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.482$ Another case of a couple of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00:27:18.482 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.530$ brothers who we saw early and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00:27:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.400$ they had lost some milestones,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00:27:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.644$ became a toxic and myoclonic, had seizures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00:27:25.644 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.060$ One of them died and they had an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00{:}27{:}28{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30{.}218$ MRI that showed a small cerebellum
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00:27:30.218 \rightarrow 00:27:32.598$ and we didn't know what they had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00{:}27{:}32.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.880$ So here's the small cerebellum
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00:27:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.894$ which you can see in the pro band
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00{:}27{:}35{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}476$ compared to the mom and the dad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412
- $00{:}27{:}37{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}727$ So we did an exome sequencing on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:27:39.727 \rightarrow 00:27:42.106$ the family and it turns out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}27{:}42.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.116$ are six members of the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:27:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.088$ So we had the parents and we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}27{:}46.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.680$ two affected and two unaffected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}27{:}47.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.158$ And when you compare 1 exome

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

00:27:50.158 --> 00:27:51.397 with another exome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:27:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.640$ there'll usually be about 20,000 variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:27:54.640 \rightarrow 00:27:56.439$ So among all these family of six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}27{:}56{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}106$ there are 120,000 variants that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}00{.}106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}980$ different and so we had to filter

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}01{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03{.}805$ that down and we finally filtered it

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}03{.}805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05{.}665$ down to considering that this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}05{.}665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07{.}665$ going to be a a recessive disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:28:07.665 \rightarrow 00:28:09.360$ because the parents were first cousins

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:28:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.160$ and shared 1/8 of their genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:28:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.870$ So we're looking for a recessive

 $00:28:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.916$ disorder with the homozygous variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}14.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.550$ that we would call a mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:28:17.628 \rightarrow 00:28:20.404$ eventually found one in AFG 3L2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:28:20.404 \rightarrow 00:28:24.720$ So homozygous for that and AFGL 3L2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

00:28:24.720 --> 00:28:28.110 AFG 3L2 is a very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30{.}836$ mitochondrial protease that is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34{.}560$ for the formation of axons in nerves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00:28:34.560 \dashrightarrow 00:28:38.880$ and this protein does two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}38{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}772$ It forms a heterodimer with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}41.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44.221$ protein called paraplegian and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}44{.}221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46{.}837$ it forms a homodimer with itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}46{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}440$ And there were already diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

 $00{:}28{:}49{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}320$ associated with AFG 3O2 and paraplegia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

00:28:53.320 --> 00:28:56.513 The paraplegian gene was a recessive

NOTE Confidence: 0.843054013529412

00:28:56.513 --> 00:28:59.012 hereditary ****** paraplegia

- $00{:}28{:}59{.}012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}160$ and the AFG 3L2
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- 00:29:03.240 --> 00:29:06.672 disorder was SCA 28 was a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:06.672 \rightarrow 00:29:08.960$ dominant spinal cerebellar ataxia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00{:}29{:}08{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11{.}544$ but this was the first occasion in which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00{:}29{:}11.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13.840$ there were bioelic mutations in AFG 3L2.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:13.840 \rightarrow 00:29:16.368$ In other words, complete loss of function.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:16.368 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.928$ So this protein could no
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:18.928 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.781$ longer react with itself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00{:}29{:}20.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.560$ There were no good copies to form the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:24.560 \rightarrow 00:29:27.675$ homodimer and it can no longer react with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:27.675 \rightarrow 00:29:29.448$ paraplegion and form the heterodimer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:29.448 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.640$ So these patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.683$ these boys had both diseases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:32.683 \rightarrow 00:29:36.091$ they had both SBG 7 and SCA 28
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:36.091 \rightarrow 00:29:38.661$ along with myoclinic epilepsy only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711
- $00:29:38.661 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.680$ patients in the world with that.

00:29:41.680 --> 00:29:46.360 So over the course of our work in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00{:}29{:}46{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48{.}600$ undiagnosed these program which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00:29:48.694 \rightarrow 00:29:50.906$ within the NIH intramural program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00:29:50.906 \rightarrow 00:29:53.984$ we have discovered 30 new disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00{:}29{:}53{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56{.}114$ gene associations and some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00:29:56.114 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.478$ them are listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00{:}29{:}57{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59{.}680$ So the phenotype on the left and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00:29:59.680 \rightarrow 00:30:02.319$ the gene associated with it on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

00:30:02.320 --> 00:30:04.432 And obviously in order to prove

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00:30:04.432 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.760$ that you have this association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

00:30:06.760 --> 00:30:08.040 you need to publish it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00{:}30{:}08{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09{.}468$ which means you need at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.600$ two cases to demonstrate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774782711

 $00{:}30{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.118$ And here are 15 other ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}30{:}16.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.456$ Now I want to show you a phenotypic

 $00:30:18.456 \rightarrow 00:30:20.460$ expansion because sometimes we discover

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}30{:}20{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23{.}365$ new diseases and sometimes we discover a

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:30:23.365 \rightarrow 00:30:25.315$ different expression of a known disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:30:25.320 \rightarrow 00:30:27.273$ and that's what we're going to show you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:30:27.280 --> 00:30:31.198 This is a tube B4B tubulopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}30{:}31{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}248$ So you know, the microtubules transport

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:30:35.248 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.510$ things like small vesicles from near the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:30:38.510 \rightarrow 00:30:40.514$ nucleus to the plasma membrane, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:30:40.514 \rightarrow 00:30:43.346$ So here's a little girl who's got some

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:30:43.346 \rightarrow 00:30:45.862$ eye findings and some dysmorphisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}30{:}45.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.950$ and she's got hypophosphatemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:30:47.950 \rightarrow 00:30:51.078$ rickets along with nephrocalcinosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:30:51.080 --> 00:30:53.576 In fact, we documented renal tubular

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}30{:}53.576$ --> $00{:}30{:}56.118$ Fanconi syndrome in here along with

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}30{:}56{.}118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58{.}532$ hearing loss and her hypotonia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:30:58.532 \rightarrow 00:31:01.004$ we found a de Novo heterozygous

 $00:31:01.004 \rightarrow 00:31:04.500$ mutation in tube B4B and we eliminated

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08{.}560$ all other causes of that we knew of

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:31:08.560 \rightarrow 00:31:10.360$ renal tubular Franconi syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:31:10.360 \rightarrow 00:31:12.040$ Incidentally, a lot of this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:31:12.040 --> 00:31:14.000 was done by Jason McFadden here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.600$ who is matriculating into Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:31:15.600 --> 00:31:16.880 Medical School next year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:31:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.600$ So we're all proud of him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:31:19.600 - 00:31:22.508 And so the known diseases of tube B4B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:31:22.508 \rightarrow 00:31:24.836$ the at least two phenotypes associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:31:24.840 \rightarrow 00:31:27.199$ one was up here with this mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}27{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30{.}714$ the C1171 and this the 1172 etcetera

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}30{.}714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33{.}329$ and they all had the auditory

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}33{.}329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35{.}879$ dys function and some eye findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:31:35.879 \rightarrow 00:31:39.038$ etcetera along with various other stuff.

 $00:31:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.784$ But none of the patients associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}41.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.716$ these mutations had renal tubular Franconi

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}44.716$ --> $00{:}31{:}46.960$ syndrome or hypophosphatemic rickets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:31:46.960 --> 00:31:51.360 Ours was a different mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}51{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53{.}115$ In order to understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:31:53.115 - 00:31:54.519 this might be occurring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}31{:}54{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57{.}640$ we got help and collaboration from

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:31:57.640 \rightarrow 00:32:02.280$ an expert in this in child health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:32:02.280 \rightarrow 00:32:05.598$ and he taught us about microtubules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}32{:}05{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07{.}672$ They start out as dimers of alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}32{:}07{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08{.}560$ and beta tubulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:32:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.840$ and then they form lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:32:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.930$ and then the lines line up and form

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:32:13.930 \rightarrow 00:32:15.220$ essentially circles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:32:15.220 \rightarrow 00:32:17.800$ which are really cylinders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:32:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.839$ And there's an edge that is growing.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:32:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.342$ I'll show you that here the edge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:32:24.342 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.997$ grows and then it recedes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00{:}32{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.480$ And this growth and recession
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:32:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.600$ is critical for movement of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:32:33.600 \dashrightarrow 00:32:36.000$ vesicles along these microtubules.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- 00:32:36.000 --> 00:32:37.608 If you don't have this ability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- 00:32:37.608 --> 00:32:39.160 to grow and to recede,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:32:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.376$ you can't move things and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- 00:32:42.376 --> 00:32:44.520 microtubules don't form properly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00{:}32{:}44{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49{.}079$ So it turns out that there's a site on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:32:49.079 \rightarrow 00:32:53.551$ the tube B4B that is responsible for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:32:53.551 \rightarrow 00:32:56.758$ microtubule assembly and disassembly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:32:56.760 \dashrightarrow 00:33:01.168$ And that site is a site that binds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00{:}33{:}01{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07{.}640$ GTP and has a GTPA that hydrolyzes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455
- $00:33:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.360$ the GTP to GDP.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.648$ And that site is here and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:14.648 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.968$ mutations in previous cases are

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}33{:}16{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20{.}203$ here and here having nothing to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:20.203 \rightarrow 00:33:22.574$ with that Gtpa's activity site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}33{:}22{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25{.}562$ But our patients mutation is right

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}33{:}25{.}562$ --> $00{:}33{:}28{.}684$ nearby and likely affected that Gtpa's NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:28.684 \rightarrow 00:33:32.548$ activity and site and therefore impaired

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:32.548 \rightarrow 00:33:36.440$ the disassembly of these microtubules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.840$ And there's evidence for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:37.840 \rightarrow 00:33:40.308$ biochemical evidence because when

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}33{:}40{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42{.}159$ microtubules don't disassemble,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.890$ they stay around longer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:33:44.890 --> 00:33:47.400 therefore they are modified and

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:33:47.400 - 00:33:49.360 they're modified by acetylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.620$ So when you measure total

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:51.620 \rightarrow 00:33:53.880$ turbulent by a western blot,

 $00:33:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.768$ you see that the control and the probe

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:55.768 \rightarrow 00:33:57.480$ band have the same amount roughly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:33:57.480 \rightarrow 00:34:00.078$ but when you measure accelerated tubulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:34:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.988$ the probe band has much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:34:01.988 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.824$ because the stuff is sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:34:03.824 \rightarrow 00:34:05.800$ around not being disassembled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00:34:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.896$ This is a little bit to me like

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

00:34:07.896 --> 00:34:09.279 collagen being over modified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737925404545455

 $00{:}34{:}09{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}359$ When there are variants and it stays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:11.360 \dashrightarrow 00:34:15.648$ it has more time to be modified anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:15.648 \rightarrow 00:34:17.668$ This indicates that the disassembly

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00{:}34{:}17.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.914$ did not occur properly and leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:19.914 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.858$ us to the hypothesis for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

00:34:21.858 --> 00:34:23.838 renal tubular Franconi syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00{:}34{:}23{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28{.}528$ namely that these tubules in renal

00:34:28.528 --> 00:34:30.788 tubular cells in proximal tubular

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00{:}34{:}30.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.824$ cells move vesicles which contain

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:35.824 \rightarrow 00:34:40.642$ phosphate transporters like SLC 34A3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:40.642 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.447$ And those transporters in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:42.447 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.856$ vesicles need to be moved to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00{:}34{:}44{.}856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46{.}431$ plasma membrane of the tubules

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:46.431 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.064$ in order for them to function to

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:49.064 \rightarrow 00:34:51.340$ reabsorb phosphate back into the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00{:}34{:}51{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54{.}070$ And when that doesn't occur because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00:34:54.070 \dashrightarrow 00:34:56.759$ no disassembly of the microtubules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00{:}34{:}56{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58{.}878$ the stuff the vesicles don't move,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

 $00{:}34{:}58{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}931$ the transporter is not moved to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.28811988

00:35:00.931 --> 00:35:03.199 plasma membrane and these individuals cannot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.440$ cannot reabsorb their phosphate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.880$ So we told the family about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:10.880 \rightarrow 00:35:12.400$ It had been 10 years.

 $00:35:12.400 \rightarrow 00:35:13.912$ We'd seen the family, but kept working

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:13.912 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.637$ on it and they were very pleased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}15.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.475$ And that's Jason. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:18.475 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.675$ So I would say that first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.030$ collaboration is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:22.030 \rightarrow 00:35:24.730$ This is possibly a mechanism for

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.130$ hypophosphatemic rickets and it was a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:27.130 \rightarrow 00:35:29.040$ learning experience for for everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

00:35:29.040 --> 00:35:32.848 I'm going to quickly go through some

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34{.}925$ diagnosis to demonstrate the unusual

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:34.925 \rightarrow 00:35:37.557$ nature of the diagnosis that we make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

00:35:37.560 --> 00:35:40.638 Here are some that are we would call rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.920$ and I have like several slides of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}42.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44.480$ So I'm going through these fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}44{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46{.}840$ You don't have to actually look at any

 $00:35:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.892$ of these unless you find it of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}48.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.360$ But you see five in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.440$ six families in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.480$ 20 families in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}52{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52{.}793$ etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}52.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.984$ Really unusual stuff because we get our

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00{:}35{:}54{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}917$ patients from major medical centers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:56.917 \rightarrow 00:35:58.795$ have already worked up the patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:35:58.800 \rightarrow 00:36:03.571$ you know really a lot and more diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

00:36:03.571 -> 00:36:06.691 I generally say that if you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:36:06.691 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.953$ all or almost all these diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792757147272727

 $00:36:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.200$ you should get a life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

00:36:13.760 --> 00:36:15.716 Even geneticists will not know most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:36:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.168$ most of these in general and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}36{:}18.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.800$ some the recent diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

00:36:19.800 --> 00:36:21.700 Yeah, I don't want to waste a lot of time

 $00{:}36{:}21.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.580$ on this but a lot of and then a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:36:24.580 \dashrightarrow 00:36:26.955$ of cases of personalized treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:36:26.960 \rightarrow 00:36:29.273$ This is a 12 year old girl who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:36:29.273 \rightarrow 00:36:31.568$ these exostoses and you can see it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:36:31.568 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.052$ not pleasant and you can see it with

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}36{:}34.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.906$ the arrow here and turns out she

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

00:36:37.906 --> 00:36:39.594 had familial tumoral calcinosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

00:36:39.600 --> 00:36:41.673 which I know you know about time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

00:36:41.673 -> 00:36:44.628 but this is an FGF deficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}36{:}44.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.744$ because FGF is a hormone that causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:36:48.744 \rightarrow 00:36:52.477$ phosphate to be excreted in the urine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55{.}612$ And if you don't have FGF you you

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}36{:}55{.}612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57{.}688$ instead reabsorb the phosphate and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}36{:}57{.}688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}280$ have a lot of phosphate inside you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}616$ So an FGF deficiency will cause a high

 $00:37:03.616 \rightarrow 00:37:05.439$ tubular reabsorption of phosphate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}37{:}05{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07{.}080$ But you see here in the fourth line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

00:37:07.080 --> 00:37:08.776 fifth line or something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:37:08.776 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.769$ it's 96% or so and it it should

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:37:11.769 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.654$ be probably less than 80% or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}37{:}14.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.203$ So we know that mutations in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

00:37:18.203 --> 00:37:21.560 Henacetyl galactosamineal transferase

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:37:21.560 \rightarrow 00:37:25.660$ which puts an anaceto group on to FTF 23,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00{:}37{:}25.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.520$ see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857775338333333

 $00:37:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.240$ These are the anaceto

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}37{:}30{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31{.}720$ galactosamineal residues

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:37:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.136$ along with sugars on FTF 23.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:37:35.136 --> 00:37:37.704 These protect FTF 23 from being

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:37:37.704 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.340$ broken down and if you don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:37:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.590$ that enzyme to put on then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:37:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.000$ don't have the protection and then

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- 00:37:44.000 00:37:47.390 the FTF 23 gets broken down and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:37:47.390 \rightarrow 00:37:49.264$ becomes inactive and essentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:37:49.264 \rightarrow 00:37:51.952$ that's this is the mutation just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:37:51.952 \dashrightarrow 00:37:54.360$ showing that and showing that there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- 00:37:54.360 00:37:58.088 was a lot of C terminal meaning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}37{:}58.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01.120$ broken down FTF 23 that caused this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:38:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.577$ So we're able to treat with debulking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}38{:}03{.}577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06{.}011$ and a low phosphate diet etcetera
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:38:06.011 \dashrightarrow 00:38:07.925$ and also an anti-inflammatory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}38{:}07{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12{.}160$ So there was treatment associated with this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}38{:}12.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.880$ A second example of taking a rare disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}38{:}14.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.632$ making a diagnosis and being able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:38:16.632 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.052$ to treat is this 14 year old from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- 00:38:19.052 --> 00:38:20.840 Nigeria whom we didn't see because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:38:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.920$ we couldn't get her over here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:38:21.920 \rightarrow 00:38:24.616$ But also we we got her DNA etcetera

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:38:24.616 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.330$ and she had a lot of fractures

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}38{:}27{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29{.}774$ and rickets and was treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:38:29.774 --> 00:38:32.893 surgery and vitamin D here her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}38{:}32{.}893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36{.}705$ her X-rays and here she is spending

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}38{:}36{.}705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39{.}520$ most of her life in casts etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}38{:}39{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43{.}420$ And so we knew that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:38:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.800$ a differential for the rickets

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:38:44.861 --> 00:38:46.477 including vitamin D deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:38:46.480 \rightarrow 00:38:48.144$ hypophosphatemia and metabolic acidosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}38{:}48{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51{.}639$ We got our labs showed the low phosphorus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:38:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.034$ the high up phos, it's a bone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:38:54.040 -> 00:38:54.856 bone breakdown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:38:54.856 \rightarrow 00:38:57.712$ but you also had low serum bicarb

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:38:57.712 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.377$ and low serum potassium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:38:59.377 --> 00:39:02.962 So we got our DNA and we found

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}39{:}02{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}08{.}052$ the mutation in SLC 4A1 which is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}39{:}08.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.573$ a transporter for chloride and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}39{:}10{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13{.}159$ bicarbonate in the distal renal tubule
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}39{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.813$ and it means that the treatment for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- 00:39:15.813 --> 00:39:17.921 her instead is alkali replacement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}39{:}17{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20{.}603$ and potassium and not more vitamin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- 00:39:20.603 > 00:39:23.320 D and surgeries all the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:39:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.200$ I think this is the final case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:39:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.472$ I'm going to show you a 22 year
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:39:28.472 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.080$ old woman with dystonia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}39{:}30{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32{.}516$ And when she was in grade school,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- 00:39:32.520 --> 00:39:34.800 her teacher, she would grip her hand like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- 00:39:34.800 --> 00:39:35.832 like a pen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}39{:}35{.}832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37{.}552$ like like this because she
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00{:}39{:}37{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39{.}319$ had dystonia in her fingers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:39:39.320 --> 00:39:40.958 Teachers, you know, holler at her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}39{:}40{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}42{.}432$ that's not the way to hold a pen, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:39:42.432 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.680$ She couldn't help it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:39:43.680 --> 00:39:45.528 And later she had trouble with her

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:39:45.528 --> 00:39:47.612 gait because of dysonia, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:39:47.612 \rightarrow 00:39:49.236$ muscles clenching like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:39:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.440$ But mainly she had problem with her tongue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:39:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.548$ She couldn't eat properly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:39:52.548 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.480$ She lost weight down to 80 lbs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:39:54.480 \dashrightarrow 00:39:56.720$ She couldn't speak properly, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:39:56.720 \rightarrow 00:40:00.394$ And we found a monolithic mutation in KMT 2B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:40:00.394 \rightarrow 00:40:03.376$ which is a histone lysine methyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}40{:}03{.}376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04{.}996$ transferase and we didn't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}40{:}04{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06{.}670$ that there was an association with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:40:06.724 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.608$ this disease at the time, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:40:08.608 --> 00:40:10.880 Because we were sharing,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965
- $00:40:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.029$ we put this on a website that

 $00:40:13.029 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.280$ other people could see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}40{:}14.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.560$ One of those people was Doctor Manju Korean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:40:17.560 \rightarrow 00:40:20.360$ who ran a dystonia clinic in London.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:40:20.360 --> 00:40:24.200 And she called me up one day and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:40:24.200 --> 00:40:25.056 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:40:25.056 --> 00:40:28.313 I have 20 patients with KMT 2B mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}40{:}28.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.539$ and five of them we've treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}40{:}30{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32{.}598$ with deep brain stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:40:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.320$ So we work together somewhat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:40:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.280$ And then found another patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

00:40:37.280 --> 00:40:38.780 Doctor Soldadas is a neurologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00:40:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.560$ that saw this 20 year old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}40{:}40{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41{.}820$ very similar history to the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.853328965

 $00{:}40{:}41.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.880$ that I just showed you.

00:40:42.880 --> 00:40:45.316 This 20 year old had clumsiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778978008181818

00:40:45.320 --> 00:40:47.405 poor Gait, couldn't speak properly

NOTE Confidence: 0.778978008181818

 $00{:}40{:}47.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50.040$ because of dystonia on her tongue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778978008181818

 $00:40:50.040 \rightarrow 00:40:51.960$ She couldn't write properly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778978008181818

00:40:51.960 --> 00:40:54.520 treated with Baclofen, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778978008181818

 $00:40:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.320$ etcetera. And here she is. Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5538232

 $00:41:01.920 \rightarrow 00:41:06.120$ the normal cognition, normal adultion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5538232

 $00:41:06.120 \rightarrow 00:41:08.520$ can't move properly. Lying in bed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951989613333333

 $00:41:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.118$ able to signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770644154

 $00:41:12.560 \rightarrow 00:41:14.600$ able to learn by signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.507671825

 $00{:}41{:}35{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35{.}920$ Doing that with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.76939710875

00:41:51.220 --> 00:41:52.940 I don't know who this Taylor Swift is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76939710875

 $00{:}41{:}52{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56{.}660$ but she apparently likes a song of hers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76939710875

 $00{:}41{:}56.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58.788$ In any event, we found that this young

NOTE Confidence: 0.76939710875

00:41:58.788 --> 00:42:01.828 lady also had a de Novo KMT 2B mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76939710875

 $00{:}42{:}01.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03.556$ therefore recommended treatment

 $00:42:03.556 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.860$ with deep brain stimulator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76939710875

 $00{:}42{:}05{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10{.}040$ And here she is after the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76939710875

 $00:42:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.060$ deep brain stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

00:42:13.560 --> 00:42:15.648 And I I I guess maybe I don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00:42:15.648 \rightarrow 00:42:18.104$ to sort of emphasize how important

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00:42:18.104 \rightarrow 00:42:20.484$ small increments and this maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00:42:20.484 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.533$ not as even a small increment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00{:}42{:}22.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.805$ But when you have someone who's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00{:}42{:}24.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.410$ devastated that the activities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00:42:26.467 \rightarrow 00:42:28.745$ daily living are so difficult for not

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00:42:28.745 \rightarrow 00:42:30.880$ only the patient but for the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00:42:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.240$ this was transformational for this family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

00:42:36.240 --> 00:42:38.240 And why did it occur?

NOTE Confidence: 0.704823704545455

 $00{:}42{:}38{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40{.}280$ I mean, she can walk steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68121346875

 $00:42:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.440$ You know, I'm going to go on here.

 $00{:}42{:}45{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49{.}605$ But it occurred because we shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.68121346875

 $00:42:49.605 \rightarrow 00:42:51.958$ something and someone else shared with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:42:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.120$ OK, so a couple other things we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:42:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.448$ We have rounds on Thursday mornings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:42:58.448 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.880$ and that for basically people between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00{:}43{:}00{.}880$ --> $00{:}43{:}03{.}395$ college and medical school or Graduate NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

00:43:03.395 --> 00:43:05.837 School and present cases in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:43:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.556$ journal club and stuff like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

00:43:07.560 --> 00:43:11.928 In 2014, as Yahoo we mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:43:11.928 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.008$ we expanded to the Undiagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:43:14.008 \rightarrow 00:43:17.640$ Diseases Network, which is a national

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:43:17.640 \rightarrow 00:43:20.360$ consortium with 7 clinical sites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:43:20.360 \rightarrow 00:43:23.240$ a coordinating center, sequencing cores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

00:43:23.240 --> 00:43:25.556 a metabolomics core model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

 $00:43:25.556 \rightarrow 00:43:29.030$ orchism screening center that does largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

00:43:29.115 --> 00:43:32.637 Drosophila and Zebrafish and a repository.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:43:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.512$ I'm Pi of the protocol because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:43:34.512 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.760$ it's the research protocol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:43:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.714$ Every patient enrolled as a research patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:43:38.720 \rightarrow 00:43:41.996$ So we do the genetics especially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:43:42.000 \dashrightarrow 00:43:44.520$ and expanded to 11 sites,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- 00:43:44.520 --> 00:43:45.290 extramural sites,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}43{:}45{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47{.}600$ the UDP and the interim program
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:43:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.519$ is part of that as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- 00:43:49.520 --> 00:43:55.464 And that group saw almost 7000 applications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:43:55.464 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.144$ and almost 2800 evaluated almost 2400
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:00.144 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.784$ individuals made over 700 diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}02.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.160$ etcetera published a lot of papers in 2014.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}06{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11{.}408$ We also expanded to UDP sites around
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}11{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14{.}400$ the world where the NIHUDP would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}14{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17{.}724$ served as a model and established the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

- 00:44:17.724 --> 00:44:19.916 Undiagnosed Disease Network International,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}19{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21{.}720$ which has a website,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}21.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.520$ a charter committees etcetera.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.188$ We've had 12 meetings,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- 00:44:25.188 --> 00:44:27.273 Last one was in Tbilisi,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}27.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.766$ Georgia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:27.766 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.196$ next one is in Seoul,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:30.200 \rightarrow 00:44:34.024$ Korea and have new initiatives
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}34{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36{.}048$ including a diagnostics working
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:36.048 \rightarrow 00:44:38.300$ group and a low and middle income
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:38.300 \rightarrow 00:44:39.869$ countries working group that has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:39.869 \rightarrow 00:44:41.639$ representatives all around the world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:41.640 \rightarrow 00:44:44.976$ And that working group is collaborating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:44.976 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.960$ with the Wilhelm Foundation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- 00:44:46.960 --> 00:44:49.488 Wilhelm Foundation is dedicated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:44:49.488 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.830$ to the promotion of undiagnosed

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}53.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.950$ diseases programs throughout the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- 00:44:55.950 --> 00:44:57.995 world because Elaine Cedaroth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}44{:}57{.}995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00{.}520$ who founded this with her
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.639$ husband along with the UDP.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- 00:45:02.640 --> 00:45:03.316 In 2014,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}03{.}316$ --> $00{:}45{:}05{.}682$ she came to my laboratory and wanted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}05{.}682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08{.}131$ to have this Wilhelm Foundation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}08{.}131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.680$ founded because she had three children
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.700$ who all died of an undiagnosed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:13.700 \rightarrow 00:45:17.160$ neurological disease in childhood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.799$ So the Wilhelm Foundation and the UD
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}19.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.708$ and I working groups got together
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}22.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.393$ to establish the Champions program
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}25{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28{.}400$ which I have so far identified
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:28.400 \rightarrow 00:45:31.680$ individual physicians in the Congo,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725

- 00:45:31.680 --> 00:45:32.236 Ghana,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}32.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.016$ Pakistan and Mali to establish
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}35.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.240$ undiagnosed disease programs there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}37{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40{.}219$ And so the UD and I and the Willem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- 00:45:40.219 --> 00:45:41.960 Foundation can provide resources
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.040$ in terms of collaborations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:44.040 \rightarrow 00:45:47.200$ access to sequencing, some teaching.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:47.200 \rightarrow 00:45:49.195$ In other words, people coming over to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}49{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50{.}164$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}50{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53{.}538$ United States and Olaf Beaudemar's lab at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:53.538 \rightarrow 00:45:57.072$ Harvard and some financial support that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:45:57.072 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.640$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}45{:}58.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.088$ came to the Wilhelm Foundation from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00{:}46{:}01.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.115$ the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- $00:46:03.115 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.113$ is being funneled them to these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750436725
- 00:46:05.113 --> 00:46:06.800 champions in other countries.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00{:}46{:}09{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10{.}624$ Yeah, this describes it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00{:}46{:}10.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.690$ And this is one of the beautiful young
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00:46:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.920$ patients in one of those countries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00:46:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.971$ So I saw this leave you with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00:46:21.971 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.477$ fact that there are many ways
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00:46:23.477 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.040$ that we could work together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- 00:46:25.040 --> 00:46:28.346 And I think you know there are referral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- 00:46:28.346 --> 00:46:29.876 of patients if you're interested,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00:46:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.679$ but you know we do have plenty of patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00:46:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.226$ But for example we have 1600 patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00:46:36.226 \rightarrow 00:46:37.984$ that we've seen and probably about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00{:}46{:}37{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39{.}957$ 800 of them have not been solved
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00:46:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.144$ and we have genetics on them and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00{:}46{:}43{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45{.}040$ really good phenotyping on them and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871
- $00{:}46{:}45.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47.076$ we have fiber glass on most of them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:46:47.080 \rightarrow 00:46:49.753$ So if you had a favorite gene and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

00:46:49.753 --> 00:46:52.330 there was a variance in that favorite

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}46{:}52{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55{.}000$ gene that was associated with a phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:46:55.000 \rightarrow 00:46:57.415$ but it was only one patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}46{:}57{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59{.}812$ you didn't know if this variant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02{.}514$ this gene was causal to the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

00:47:02.520 --> 00:47:04.293 You can tell me what the gene is and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

00:47:04.293 --> 00:47:06.053 I'll tell you if we have a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}47{:}06.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.619$ in our database that has a variance NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}47{:}07{.}619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09{.}488$ in that gene and then you can decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}762$ what you want to do with that Also. NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:47:11.762 \rightarrow 00:47:13.454$ I think maybe I've already provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

00:47:13.454 --> 00:47:15.320 A protocol and consent and manual

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}47{:}15{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16{.}280$ of operations here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}47{:}16.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.096$ but that's certainly available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:47:18.096 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.186$ I think our goal and maybe the
NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:47:21.186 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.496$ goal of all of the physicians is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}47{:}24.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.624$ lend a helping hand and these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

00:47:28.624 --> 00:47:32.840 particularly needy group because

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:47:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.358$ not only isn't there a treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:47:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.811$ but there isn't a diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:47:36.811 \rightarrow 00:47:38.866$ maybe especially there isn't even

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:47:38.866 \rightarrow 00:47:41.355$ a community for them because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00:47:41.355 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.280$ can't say what they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933359871

 $00{:}47{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.318$ So thank you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2886124

 $00:47:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.840$ It's

NOTE Confidence: 0.622168525

 $00{:}48{:}02{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04{.}680$ beautiful talk, beautiful stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622168525

 $00{:}48{:}04{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07{.}760$ The story that is the most amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.622168525

 $00:48:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.386$ is the story of the centre.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622168525

00:48:09.386 --> 00:48:13.448 In your story I had a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.622168525

 $00:48:13.448 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.320$ related to the tubulo pathy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.746073094285714

 $00{:}48{:}16.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.560$ caused the Rick. It's not the Nigerian

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853329625

 $00{:}48{:}18{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20{.}080$ one, but the tubular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853329625

00:48:20.080 --> 00:48:23.560 mutation, and you describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.5686492366666667

00:48:23.560 - 00:48:26.959 the Fanconi syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5686492366666667

00:48:26.960 --> 00:48:28.198 You couldn't tell whether it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.5686492366666667

00:48:28.198 --> 00:48:30.120 isolated to phosphorus or other solutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.5686492366666667

 $00:48:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.960$ were part of that phenotype as being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.18224706

 $00{:}48{:}36{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40{.}130$ And I wondered if this might tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.18224706

 $00:48:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.200$ us if that protein has a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.6484655666666667

00:48:42.920 --> 00:48:44.759 role in intracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.830780935

 $00{:}48{:}44{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47{.}320$ trafficking of phosphate transporters

NOTE Confidence: 0.830780935

 $00{:}48{:}47{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}080$ versus other solute transporters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692209108333333

00:48:51.160 --> 00:48:52.678 No, you you, you're you're right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7140661325

 $00{:}48{:}52.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55.680$ And I failed to mention that the pancoli

NOTE Confidence: 0.7140661325

 $00{:}48{:}55{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57{.}960$ syndrome was, I would say isolated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7140661325

00:48:57.960 - 00:49:00.600 In other words, she did not have, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491280625

00:49:00.640 --> 00:49:02.960 Asturia or small molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.651908989230769

 $00:49:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.150$ reporter. Yeah, she said that's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.651908989230769

 $00:49:05.150 \rightarrow 00:49:07.479$ the hypothesis was that it was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.651908989230769

00:49:07.480 - 00:49:10.400 the SLC or yeah, whatever it was. But I

NOTE Confidence: 0.868227968333333

00:49:10.400 --> 00:49:14.360 I think you're you're right that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868227968333333

 $00{:}49{:}14.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.999$ the microtubules made the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.869517426

 $00{:}49{:}17.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.380$ in other cells of the tubules of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869517426

 $00:49:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.160$ kidney for transport of transporters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869517426

 $00:49:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.380$ for movement of transporters to the membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869517426

 $00{:}49{:}28{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32{.}920$ And that has not been investigated in in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.651142104285714

 $00:49:32.920 \rightarrow 00:49:34.800$ the scene that I showed you was hypothetical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651142104285714

 $00{:}49{:}34{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37{.}360$ So we haven't actually demonstrated that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.651142104285714

 $00{:}49{:}37{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39{.}398$ transporter didn't get there and that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.566783206

 $00{:}49{:}39{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42{.}320$ was the cause that's this bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.6676786

 $00{:}49{:}45{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47{.}848$ doctor. Thank you for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6676786

00:49:47.848 --> 00:49:50.360 inspirational background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6676786

 $00{:}49{:}50{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}52{.}360$ The question I had was about your

NOTE Confidence: 0.6676786

 $00{:}49{:}52{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}360$ story about phenotype expansion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61756817

 $00{:}49{:}55{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59{.}240$ And as clinicians and diagnosticians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

 $00{:}49{:}59{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}542$ we often come across symbol gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

 $00{:}50{:}02{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04{.}740$ disorder and it is actually able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

 $00:50:04.740 \rightarrow 00:50:07.680$ talk about phenotype attention whenever

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

 $00{:}50{:}07{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09{.}972$ there are any news from stations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

 $00{:}50{:}09{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12{.}680$ So in this group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

 $00:50:12.680 \rightarrow 00:50:15.360$ are they two genetic diseases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

 $00{:}50{:}15{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17{.}946$ some work by Jennifer Posey have

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

 $00:50:17.946 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.171$ shown that up to 5% may be higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719514035714286

00:50:21.171 --> 00:50:24.597 Individuals have two diseases coming together

NOTE Confidence: 0.3595053625

 $00:50:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.480$ at bending or penotrive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3595053625

 $00:50:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.936$ So my question to you is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3595053625

00:50:29.936 --> 00:50:32.336 undiagnosed disease program, are you

- NOTE Confidence: 0.3595053625
- $00:50:32.336 \rightarrow 00:50:34.560$ recognizing that history? The answer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75406752
- $00{:}50{:}34{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36{.}808$ is yes. We look for it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75406752
- $00{:}50{:}36{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38{.}534$ I I think that the expansion of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75406752
- $00{:}50{:}38{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40{.}024$ phenotype was a little different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75406752
- $00:50:40.024 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.320$ because we could explain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7341931
- $00{:}50{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.200$ you know the other phenotypes findings,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00:50:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.439$ but we have a number of cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00{:}50{:}47{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49{.}400$ that we think that there's another
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00{:}50{:}49{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51{.}800$ gene involved and it's not just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00:50:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.640$ that it's a modifying gene,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00:50:53.640 \rightarrow 00:50:57.360$ it's another monogenic disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00:50:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.080$ that we haven't figured out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00:50:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.816$ But when you have two of them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00{:}51{:}00{.}816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02{.}288$ it's really and and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429
- $00:51:02.288 \rightarrow 00:51:03.290$ when they're both new,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429

 $00:51:03.290 \rightarrow 00:51:06.144$ it's really difficult to distinguish the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429

 $00:51:06.144 \rightarrow 00:51:07.968$ which of the phenotypes is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429

 $00{:}51{:}07{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09{.}776$ with one variant and which might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429

 $00:51:09.776 \dashrightarrow 00:51:11.878$ associated with another variant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429

00:51:11.880 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:51:13.460 And I I guess I was talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912321268571429

 $00:51:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.000$ some of the people to hear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.935563434285714

 $00:51:17.040 \rightarrow 00:51:19.746$ the pursuit of those really difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.935563434285714

 $00{:}51{:}19{.}746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22{.}340$ cases takes this much effort and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935563434285714

 $00{:}51{:}22{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24{.}520$ the pursuit of a new case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.935563434285714

 $00{:}51{:}24{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26{.}600$ we see takes this much effort to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92969729375

 $00:51:26.600 \rightarrow 00:51:30.040$ get solution. Where should we spend our money

NOTE Confidence: 0.937945505

 $00:51:30.160 \rightarrow 00:51:32.398$ and our resources and our time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937945505

 $00:51:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.300$ So in a way we have to go for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.937945505

 $00:51:35.300 \rightarrow 00:51:36.879$ really unusual cases that do match

NOTE Confidence: 0.7159652375

 $00:51:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.580$ it that could be digenic and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7159652375

 $00:51:42.580 \rightarrow 00:51:45.240$ will give us a good reputation and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7159652375
- $00:51:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.024$ a big paper and stuff like that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7159652375
- $00{:}51{:}48.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50.640$ But in that, in the time that we spend
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00:51:50.640 \rightarrow 00:51:54.120$ for that, we could see three new cases and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00:51:54.120 \rightarrow 00:51:56.880$ diagnose two of them or whatever, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00{:}51{:}56{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59{.}100$ So really it's a prioritization issue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00:51:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.864$ but the answer is yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00:52:00.864 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.120$ We think that we see number
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00:52:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.080$ of diagenic disorders.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00{:}52{:}06.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.160$ I remember when I was working on cystinosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00:52:09.160 \rightarrow 00:52:12.080$ we had a young man with cystinosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00{:}52{:}12.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.316$ and when he ran the first base playing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00{:}52{:}14{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17{.}560$ ball as an adolescent, his fever.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00:52:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.479$ Turns out he also had the braces,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- $00:52:20.480 \dashrightarrow 00:52:24.279$ and we actually published that as an example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125
- 00:52:24.280 --> 00:52:26.398 But you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125

 $00:52:26.400 \rightarrow 00:52:28.194$ just because you have water energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.89826650125

 $00{:}52{:}28{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29{.}998$ doesn't need not to get it on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638641

00:52:49.840 --> 00:52:50.760 OK, let's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.4881446966666667

 $00:52:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.840$ first she says thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33304226

 $00:52:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.252$ My question is of hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.33304226

 $00{:}52{:}59{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02{.}400$ of candidates Snips and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.3264378366666667

00:53:02.400 --> 00:53:04.080 Glenbar and Polygon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3264378366666667

 $00{:}53{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.136$ DAD later than that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.3264378366666667

 $00:53:06.136 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.440$ invariant significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3264378366666667

 $00:53:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.478$ How do you think of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.3264378366666667

00:53:09.480 --> 00:53:11.960 topical pathogenic variants?

NOTE Confidence: 0.42417485

00:53:14.840 --> 00:53:18.120 Well, you can. You can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7779566

 $00{:}53{:}20{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20{.}880$ see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333

 $00:53:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.280$ one thing is the punitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333

00:53:26.280 --> 00:53:27.880 inheritance pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333

00:53:27.880 - 00:53:32.160 So you pretty much can't single

- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00:53:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.400$ out those paths with the periods.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- 00:53:33.400 00:53:35.040 If you just do a single Excel,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00:53:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.678$ you don't have the first thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00:53:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.360$ So if you have the parents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00{:}53{:}38{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42{.}600$ you can tell if it's inherited and if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00:53:42.600 \dashrightarrow 00:53:45.438$ the IT segregates with the disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00:53:45.440 \rightarrow 00:53:49.157$ So extremely important to have the parents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- 00:53:49.160 -> 00:53:51.575 the truth, the trios are critical and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00{:}53{:}51{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}590$ then a quartet is helpful as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00:53:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.728$ So another sibling that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889967443333333
- $00:53:55.728 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.560$ either affected or not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.64667496
- $00{:}53{:}56{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58{.}876$ affected and all that that's also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.64667496
- $00:53:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.760$ beneficial, not as beneficial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.805149734
- $00{:}54{:}00{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04{.}192$ as having the parents alone. And then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.805149734
- $00{:}54{:}04{.}192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05{.}760$ to have a fifth member of the family
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9072351075

 $00:54:05.760 \rightarrow 00:54:07.000$ isn't quite so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48911887625

00:54:07.960 --> 00:54:10.540 It's the quartet and the trio

NOTE Confidence: 0.48911887625

 $00:54:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.050$ says for, But the point is

NOTE Confidence: 0.48911887625

 $00:54:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.638$ that the inheritance pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.90304823

 $00{:}54{:}14{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17{.}517$ and knowing who is affected by doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.90304823

 $00{:}54{:}17{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19{.}840$ extensive phenotyping will help

NOTE Confidence: 0.949716771428571

 $00:54:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.318$ you to eliminate a huge number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869809204

 $00{:}54{:}22{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24{.}920$ the variants that have not

NOTE Confidence: 0.869809204

 $00:54:24.920 \rightarrow 00:54:27.266$ already been eliminated by being

NOTE Confidence: 0.869809204

 $00:54:27.266 \rightarrow 00:54:29.200$ associated with a benign phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875502551111111

 $00{:}54{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33{.}712$ But a lot of times we'll end up

NOTE Confidence: 0.875502551111111

 $00:54:33.712 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.310$ with 510 or 20 variants that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.875502551111111

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}920$ candidates for causing the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.875502551111111

 $00{:}54{:}37{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41{.}320$ and then depending upon how specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.779405462307692

 $00{:}54{:}41{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44{.}358$ the disease is determines how much we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.779405462307692

 $00:54:44.358 \dashrightarrow 00:54:47.519$ invest in the gene function studies.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.779405462307692 00:54:47.520 --> 00:54:49.200 You know, because if there's now NOTE Confidence: 0.779405462307692 $00:54:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.959$ specificity in the phenotype, NOTE Confidence: 0.86239652 $00:54:51.320 \rightarrow 00:54:52.390$ we're not going to spend NOTE Confidence: 0.86239652 $00{:}54{:}52{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53{.}600$ a lot of time looking at NOTE Confidence: 0.64192848 $00:54:53.760 \rightarrow 00:54:58.120$ such a very causing dysfunction. NOTE Confidence: 0.48854129 00:55:03.440 --> 00:55:03.840 Anything else NOTE Confidence: 0.183620285 $00:55:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.760$ and diagnose? NOTE Confidence: 0.44527761 00:55:28.760 --> 00:55:30.440 Thanks for popping NOTE Confidence: 0.5429746
- 00:55:43.240 --> 00:55:43.320 up.