WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:26:56.4800000"

NOTE recognizability:0.436

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.130 All right. So we're happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

00:00:01.130 --> 00:00:02.224 Yes, we're happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:02.224 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.520$ Fantastic. Well, welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.998$ everybody. Thanks so much for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.248$ I'll speak just for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:07.248 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.080$ minute and then introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.200$ our our guest for tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.140$ My name is Mark Mercury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

00:00:11.140 --> 00:00:12.352 I'm director of the Program

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

00:00:12.352 --> 00:00:13.506 for Biomedical Ethics here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

00:00:13.506 --> 00:00:14.918 And I'll start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.880$ a very brief story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.600$ very brief. So in

00:00:20.600 --> 00:00:23.900 March of 2020, when the pandemic was very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00{:}00{:}23.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.835$ it seemed very suddenly upon us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:25.835 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.800$ we saw what had happened in Italy. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.32690978

 $00:00:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.116$ saw what was happening in New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00{:}00{:}30.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.358$ I reached out to the chief medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.358$ officer of the hospital and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.608$ do we have a plan if we run

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

00:00:34.608 --> 00:00:36.120 out of stuff like ventilators?

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00{:}00{:}36.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.615$ And he said, well, no, we don't, But

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:38.615 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.960$ there's some people who are working on it

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.996$ and I'd like you to be part of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.040$ And I said sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.586$ So he assembled and the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

00:00:45.586 --> 00:00:46.372 Ethics Committee leadership

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:46.372 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.840$ were here with us tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00{:}00{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.280$ assembled a small group of

 $00:00:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.720$ folks who were then reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.238$ to a large group of folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

00:00:52.240 --> 00:00:53.240 And we very quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.384$ it felt very quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

 $00:00:55.384 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.112$ we tried to work up a price of

NOTE Confidence: 0.39691356

00:00:57.112 --> 00:00:58.678 standard of care, a triage plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:00:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.176$ What are we going to do when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:00.176 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.223$ two people who need a ventilator and

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:01.223 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.320$ we don't have only one ventilator?

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.000$ What exactly is the plan?

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00{:}01{:}04.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.836$ And of course it wasn't just Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00{:}01{:}05.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.612$ New Haven Hospital didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:06.612 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.932$ have a specific plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:07.932 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.072$ People all over the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:09.072 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.638$ were caught off guard,

 $00:01:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.380$ some more than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.600$ We had no guidance specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.040$ from the state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.870$ The health system need to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.480$ something together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

00:01:16.480 --> 00:01:18.280 And it was a very remarkable time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.516$ a lot of reasons. We had

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.245$ terrific leadership here in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:22.245 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.354$ by Ben Tolch and who really organized

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:24.354 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.930$ our efforts here to come up with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00{:}01{:}25.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.975$ crisis standards of care and many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00:01:27.975 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.680$ the people who worked on those are here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00{:}01{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.122$ But there was a lot of cooperation

NOTE Confidence: 0.36581042

 $00{:}01{:}31.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.598$ between people who were working on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:01:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.021$ things. And I'll tell you I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}01{:}34.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.399$ leading a double life at the time.

00:01:35.760 --> 00:01:38.520 I was chief of neonatology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}01{:}39.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.200$ and running this ethics program

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:01:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.760$ And so I was doing both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:01:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.608$ And one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:01:44.608 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.205$ fascinated me is thankfully there

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:01:46.205 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.017$ was a clinical director for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

00:01:48.017 --> 00:01:50.438 newborn ICU and and an acting chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}01{:}50.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.078$ during that time as well because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:01:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.884$ But it seemed like the CDC every

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}01{:}53.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.712$ 5 minutes was coming out with new

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}01{:}56.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.902$ recommendations for what we're supposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:01:57.902 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.973$ to do which babies we isolate how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}01{:}59.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.224$ And thankfully the NICU was

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:01.224 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.830$ largely spared trouble from COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.524$ Every time you turn around CDC had new

 $00:02:05.524 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.880$ recommendations making everybody crazy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:06.880 \dashrightarrow 00:02:08.600$ But the flip side of that when it came to

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.840$ the allocation of the scarce resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.000$ when it came to crisis standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:13.066 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.516$ of care or triage plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.720$ The federal government was quiet as

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.876$ a mouse and we were an occupancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.580$ Where's the CDC on this one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}02{:}20.580 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.120$ And so we were doing our best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

00:02:22.120 --> 00:02:24.506 But what happened was there were others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}02{:}24.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.277$ some very smart people from all over

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00{:}02{:}26.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.084$ the country and all over the world who

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:28.084 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.560$ were working on these same questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.638$ And so we found each other online on Zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.438$ and we got help from each other a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:34.438 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.940$ And so it was during that time that

00:02:36.940 --> 00:02:39.520 I had reconnected with Will Parker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.172$ who I've known since he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.674992

 $00:02:41.172 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.758$ a young medical student

NOTE Confidence: 0.8004039

 $00:02:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.910$ back in the day. Chicago and Will was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8004039

 $00:02:45.910 \dashrightarrow 00:02:48.234$ helpful to me and we've kept in touch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8004039

 $00:02:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.900$ And so I'm delighted that he's agreed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8004039

00:02:49.900 --> 00:02:51.280 come here today because as you'll hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:02:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.064$ when I read his his CV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:02:53.064 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.812$ he's got some serious expertise that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:02:54.812 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.880$ going to help us because importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:02:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.640$ we got caught. We worked very hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

00:02:59.640 --> 00:03:00.795 very fast to come up with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00{:}03{:}00.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.304$ crisis standard of cares.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:03:02.304 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.320$ And we built a plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:03:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.285$ But I mean, the Ben who who leads

 $00:03:06.285 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.198$ the show would be the first to admit

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:03:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.000$ that our plan ain't perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:03:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.720$ We need this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:03:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.173$ This plan still needs work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:03:12.173 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.168$ So there's one approach which

NOTE Confidence: 0.41457623

 $00:03:14.168 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.680$ could be let's just wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

 $00:03:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.234$ until and the next pandemic is

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

00:03:17.234 --> 00:03:19.198 upon us and we're drowning to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

 $00{:}03{:}19.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.124$ well, we should really try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

 $00:03:20.124 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.560$ figure out what we're going to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

00:03:21.560 --> 00:03:24.000 Or maybe now between crises

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

 $00:03:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.596$ we can try and figure out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

 $00:03:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.097$ exactly the plan should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

 $00:03:27.097 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.765$ So I would like for us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.665871156153846

 $00:03:28.765 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.515$ that's why those of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.600$ have worked so hard on this,

 $00{:}03{:}30.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.950$ I would like for us to keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.560$ the conversation going and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.000$ and Mike, I appreciate you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

00:03:35.000 --> 00:03:36.208 being here. You were so supportive

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

00:03:36.208 --> 00:03:39.160 during so much of this stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.840$ I know. So a lot of important people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.200$ in that effort were here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.248$ and a lot of people who had nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:43.248 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.790$ to do with that effort but may in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.280$ fact be leaders for the next one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00{:}03{:}47.280 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.724$ So pay attention and when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:48.724 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.184$ have a good idea, share it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00{:}03{:}50.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.984$ So with that, we're going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

00:03:51.984 --> 00:03:53.320 about crisis standards of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:03:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.653$ preparing for the next pandemic. Dr.

 $00{:}03{:}55.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.808$ Will Parker is an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00{:}03{:}57.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.800$ of medicine and public Health Sciences

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00{:}03{:}59.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.420$ and assistant director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:04:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.040$ McLean Center for Clinical Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:04:03.096 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.720$ Ethics at the University of Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:04:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.288$ And by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:04:06.288 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.395$ I just have to say because

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:04:07.395 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.520$ I'm sweating in this thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:04:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.520$ the mask is because I've been exposed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

00:04:11.520 --> 00:04:13.876 just found out, not because I'm sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00{:}04{:}13.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.476$ And so this with the CDC site

NOTE Confidence: 0.6868124

 $00:04:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.280$ assures us is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.720$ is the adequate precaution indoors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.924$ So I'll try not to get close

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

00:04:19.924 --> 00:04:21.034 to you, but that's what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.290$ going on. And I look around here, there's

 $00:04:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.770$ very few of us wearing the mask today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00{:}04{:}23.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.720$ I think I look good in it. But, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.519$ so, so Will really brings all the skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

00:04:29.960 --> 00:04:31.520 to the place. He's a, he's a pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.840$ critical care physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.040$ He's a clinical medical ethicist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.370$ He's a health service researcher

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.434$ who studies the allocation

NOTE Confidence: 0.73452806

 $00:04:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.960$ of scarce medical resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:04:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.104$ He's specifically interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:04:41.104 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.760$ absolute scarcity problems where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:04:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.040$ demand greatly exceeds supplies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:04:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.600$ algorithms triage patients for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00{:}04{:}47.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.550$ He runs an NIH and Greenwald Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:04:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.960$ funded quantitative bioethics lab.

 $00:04:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.388$ That's not nothing that applies advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00{:}04{:}55.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.423$ empirical methods to evaluate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:04:57.423 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.040$ design allocation systems according

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.240$ to the underlying ethical principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.160$ This is his academic work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

00:05:04.160 --> 00:05:06.410 That sentence again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00{:}05{:}06.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.160$ OK advanced empirical methods to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.240$ and design allocation systems according

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00{:}05{:}12.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.200$ to the underlying ethical Princess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

00:05:14.200 --> 00:05:16.180 Current lab projects include

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00{:}05{:}16.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.160$ deceased do nor organ allocations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.920$ policy life support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.440$ triage under crisis standards of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.320$ and the allocation of novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.400$ scarce the rapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

00:05:25.400 --> 00:05:27.640 Will is a graduate from Williams College,

 $00:05:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.984$ and from then he's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

00:05:28.984 --> 00:05:29.940 at University of Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.336$ where he got his MD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

00:05:31.336 --> 00:05:32.968 where he did his medicine residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

 $00:05:32.968 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.640$ and critical care fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7229964

00:05:34.640 --> 00:05:36.880 where he got a master's degree in public

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:05:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.872$ health, where he got a PhD in

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:05:38.872 --> 00:05:41.280 public health and completed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:05:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.280$ fellowship in medical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:05:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.680$ So Will is the perfect guy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}05{:}45.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.640$ help guide this conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:05:46.640 --> 00:05:48.010 I'm so grateful you came

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:05:48.010 --> 00:05:49.360 all the way from Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:05:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.840$ And with that, I introduced Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:05:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.400$ Will Parker.

00:05:57.640 --> 00:05:59.878 All right, you guys hear me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:05:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.364$ Thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}06{:}01.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.080$ Mark and program for bioethics for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}06{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.600$ invitation to give the seminars series

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.316$ and that really kind of reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.944$ I hope you guys can help me

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:06:09.944 --> 00:06:11.120 think about this problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:06:11.120 --> 00:06:13.568 which I think is incredibly challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}06{:}13.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.082$ and I've been fortunate not to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:16.082 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.439$ some support to to try and take it on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:06:18.440 --> 00:06:21.394 So hold on, just look in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.840$ let's see if this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:06:23.840 --> 00:06:24.728 You know, my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:24.728 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.800$ it's difficult to start these talks with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.557$ This is where I've gone and been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.072$ but I've basically been at UFC

 $00:06:30.072 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.440$ the whole time this month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.000$ OK, so it'll be very boring

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.064$ with this one new C slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:35.064 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.994$ but there was a transformational

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}06{:}36.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.317$ experience that I had in medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.091$ I participated in the fellowship at Outreach

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:41.091 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.918$ in the study of professional ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.280$ This is the memorial for the murder of

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:06:45.280 --> 00:06:47.639 Jersey used in front of Europe in Berlin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.215$ where we're learning where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:49.215 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.475$ the current processes are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.005$ Learning about the role that

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}06{:}52.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.920$ the medical professionals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.392$ the medical profession at

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:54.392 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.232$ large played in the Holocaust,

 $00:06:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.015$ learning that they weren't just

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:06:58.015 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.230$ by standers but in fact active perpetrators

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}07{:}00.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.474$ of key elements of the genocide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:07:02.480 --> 00:07:03.644 And this experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:03.644 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.196$ as you might imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.720$ is not something that leaves you lightly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.514$ especially when you get to spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:09.514 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.558$ the week hanging out with this guy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}07{:}11.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.876$ This is what you look like then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:07:12.880 --> 00:07:14.040 So I think this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}07{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.312$ this is how I remember you in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:16.312 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.692$ mind with a full beard and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:07:18.692 --> 00:07:21.954 of of full week of seminars and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:21.954 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.440$ dedicated tutorial style ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:07:24.440 --> 00:07:27.328 teaching which was really shaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}07{:}27.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.296$ the way I think about clinical

 $00{:}07{:}29.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.400$ medical ethics and bioethics overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:07:31.400 --> 00:07:32.288 And so naturally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:32.288 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.360$ when I was asked to help draft

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:34.422 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.838$ a crisis standard here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}07{:}35.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.670$ a triad protocol just like Mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.520$ was saying for my hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.120$ I I emailed him and I was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:07:42.120 --> 00:07:44.318 hey, I'm, I'm sure you Remember Me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:07:44.320 --> 00:07:46.770 but I've been looking at your the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.240$ Your Yell protocol that you put online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}07{:}49.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.117$ and it's been a very helpful guide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.720$ And so I think this story just tells

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}07{:}55.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.408$ a little bit about where I where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:57.408 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.516$ come from and my perspective on all of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:07:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.942$ And another amazing thing is that now

 $00:08:01.942 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.782$ one of my medical students went on

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:08:04.782 --> 00:08:07.220 Vasby this year, Mark Kevin Lazenby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.120$ who's worked in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.080$ let's all come full circle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:08:12.080 --> 00:08:15.839 So with that hopefully Mike's anecdote aside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}08{:}15.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.806$ I just want to talk about my support

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:17.806 \dashrightarrow 00:08:20.800$ and funding for this talk I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}08{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.714$ I'm unfortunate that I have a KOA

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:22.714 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.256$ from NHLBI that is focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:24.256 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.919$ the heart allocation problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}08{:}25.920 \to 00{:}08{:}27.760$ I'm not going to talk about directly today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.200$ And then also from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:08:29.200 --> 00:08:29.920 Library of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.560$ the Green Wall Foundation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:08:31.560 --> 00:08:32.872 directly supports this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.198$ but no other conflicts of interest.

00:08:36.200 --> 00:08:38.616 So what I hope to get through today

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00{:}08{:}38.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.430$ and and open the questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:08:40.430 --> 00:08:42.090 interruptions at any time is defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.440$ prices as the standards of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.480$ What are we talking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:08:44.480 --> 00:08:44.877 Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

00:08:44.877 --> 00:08:46.465 Then go through didactically

NOTE Confidence: 0.3890103

 $00:08:46.465 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.450$ the ethical values for life

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}08{:}48.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.598$ support allocation in the crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}08{:}50.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.624$ Just make sure we're all on the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:08:52.624 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.264$ page from a normative perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:08:54.264 --> 00:08:55.994 And then finally there's four

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}08{:}55.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.212$ active bioethical controversies

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:08:57.212 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.237$ and crisis standards of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:08:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.103$ I hope that we can pause sort of after

00:09:01.103 --> 00:09:02.956 each one and have a little discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}09{:}02.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.112$ because they especially need

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:04.112 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.840$ help with like the third one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.720$ And so I'm looking to get as much out

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.514$ of this for as seminars as I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:09:11.520 --> 00:09:13.690 All right, So what do we What

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.200$ is crisis standards of care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.866$ Bernie Lowe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}09{:}15.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.197$ who's one of the leaders in bioethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.520$ is probably known the most in this room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.240$ I presented it this way at a talk to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.039$ very apartment and right to the point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.400$ This is Memorial Hospital in New Orleans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.155$ Several days after the levees

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:32.155 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.559$ break and Hurricane Katrina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.744$ You can see that the hospital

 $00:09:35.744 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.568$ is completely flooded and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:09:37.568 --> 00:09:39.040 were losing power completely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.084$ running out of most of the resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:09:41.084 --> 00:09:43.198 to provide life support and hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:09:43.200 --> 00:09:45.234 And what happened in Memorial Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:45.234 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.080$ is still contentious and debated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.439$ It's been made into a Netflix series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:09:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.736$ but it clearly is not in accordance

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}09{:}51.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.645$ with the principles of bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}09{:}53.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.720$ released at several different levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:09:55.720 --> 00:09:59.910 And this event and the 1st H1A1 influenza

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}09{:}59.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.760$ pandemic spurred the Institute of

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}10{:}01.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.050$ Medicine that is now called the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:04.050 --> 00:10:05.880 Academy of Medicine at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.127$ to form a ad hoc committee and

00:10:08.127 --> 00:10:09.639 define crisis standard of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.852$ which is a recognition that a disaster

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:11.852 --> 00:10:14.238 is making it so we can't give

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:14.238 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.958$ everyone the treatment they need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:15.960 --> 00:10:16.840 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.480$ we can't give them life support

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:19.480 --> 00:10:22.176 even if they're in acute respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:22.176 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.256$ cardiac failure and needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:24.256 --> 00:10:25.920 prevent them from dying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.595$ So truly a tragic and horrible circumstance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.175$ So how does one approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:32.175 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.235$ such a terrible problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.312$ Either when you have an acute crisis

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:36.312 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.243$ names of care like Hurricane Katrina

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:38.243 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.982$ or a perhaps subacute one with a COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:40.982 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.957$ pandemic surge where the patients,

 $00:10:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.444$ as those of us who worked in

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:44.444 --> 00:10:45.400 the ICU that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.808$ seemed to keep coming faster and faster

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:47.808 --> 00:10:50.305 each day and the panic that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:10:50.305 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.960$ going to run out of life support rose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:52.960 --> 00:10:53.554 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:53.554 --> 00:10:55.633 how do we approach the stereo problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:55.640 --> 00:10:57.705 I think it's actually one of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}10{:}57.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.678$ set of problems as Mark moved

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:10:59.678 --> 00:11:01.034 to in his introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}11{:}01.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.320$ a set of problems where we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:11:03.320 --> 00:11:04.840 we've recognized the scarcity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}11{:}04.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.042$ we've recognized that the treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}11{:}07.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.882$ are incredibly important and valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:08.882 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.296$ and life saving and a central authority.

 $00{:}11{:}11.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13.880$ Maybe it's a health system like Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}11{:}13.880 \to 00{:}11{:}16.652$ Maybe it's the entire United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:16.652 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.591$ government in deceased or organs has

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:11:19.591 --> 00:11:22.897 taken control of the resource and

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:22.897 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.810$ is algorithmically allocating it

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.835$ according to an explicit protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.252$ So there's something written down on

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:29.252 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.484$ paper which takes patients and puts

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}11{:}31.484 \to 00{:}11{:}33.776$ them in a list and triages the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:33.776 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.932$ So that's the central focus of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}11{:}35.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.541$ lab and I hope the parallel between

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:38.541 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.033$ the different clinical domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00{:}11{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.337$ what I think is the same bioethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:11:43.337 --> 00:11:46.120 challenge fundamentally is clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

00:11:46.120 --> 00:11:48.640 So how do we, how do we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34980908

 $00:11:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.824$ starting from the ethics,

00:11:49.824 --> 00:11:51.600 how do we approach this problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:11:51.600 --> 00:11:54.520 How do we construct A protocol

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:11:54.520 --> 00:11:56.320 based on what ethical principles?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:11:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.760$ Where, Where to begin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:11:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.546$ I think about this this way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:01.546 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.791$ several several of my mentors

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:03.791 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.719$ had written and described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:05.720 --> 00:12:07.967 Govind Prasad is sort of chief probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:07.967 --> 00:12:10.812 among them and I think laying out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:10.812 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.627$ space of reasonable ethical principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:12.692 --> 00:12:15.440 that should be considered when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.272$ allocating scarce healthcare resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}12{:}17.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.368$ I think this framework has also been

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:20.368 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.180$ adapted substantially by my mentor at

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:22.232 --> 00:12:24.112 the University of Chicago, Monica Peek.

 $00{:}12{:}24.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.184$ And of course Zeke Emanuel has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}12{:}26.184 \to 00{:}12{:}28.038$ involved with this from the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:28.040 --> 00:12:30.750 So what I'm going to do now is just go

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:30.824 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.186$ through these four sets of of values and

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:34.186 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.198$ and describe them in greater detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:37.200 --> 00:12:39.414 So the first is that we should treat people

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:39.414 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.360$ equally coming from respects with persons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:41.360 --> 00:12:41.728 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}12{:}41.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.672$ We don't have enough treatment to go around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:44.680 --> 00:12:45.440 Everybody's a human being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.760$ They all need it, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:46.760 --> 00:12:49.124 They're all in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:49.124 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.679$ case of crisis and care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:50.680 --> 00:12:52.984 they need life support and they'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:52.984 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.520$ die of respiratory failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.678$ So we should treat them equally.

 $00:12:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.240$ So a lottery would do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:12:57.240 --> 00:12:57.745 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:12:57.745 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.280$ You would just randomly assign the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.077$ and that sort of respects this principle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.726$ So that in here lotteries and

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:07.726 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.920$ actually in a protocol too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.310$ That's in contrast with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:11.310 --> 00:13:13.080 idea of first come first serve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.982$ which is that patients queue up

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:14.982 --> 00:13:16.830 for treatment and then they sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}13{:}16.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.894$ of survive for as long as they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:18.959 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.975$ on the wait list before they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}13{:}20.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.160$ they get treated and in practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.920$ first come first served.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:24.920 --> 00:13:25.266 You know,

 $00:13:25.266 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.650$ while it might be a good way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}13{:}26.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.919$ allocate dinner reservations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.720$ so we can talk about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.869$ I think it's a pretty bad way

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:31.869 --> 00:13:33.752 to allocate scarce health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:33.752 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.130$ resources specifically because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:35.130 --> 00:13:37.682 people who end up at the front of

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:37.682 --> 00:13:39.608 the line usually use their socio

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}13{:}39.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.199$ economic advantage to get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.072$ And one of the I think greatest reversal

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:44.072 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.469$ of the structurally racist healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:46.469 --> 00:13:49.673 policy in recent history was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.720$ 2014 change the kidney allocation system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:51.720 --> 00:13:52.842 which which Romenka,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:13:52.842 --> 00:13:55.460 who's here at Yale was very involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:55.529 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.380$ with this where pre dialysis waiting

 $00:13:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.672$ time started to be counted as points

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:13:59.672 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.038$ for patients in the king transplant list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.726$ So let's say you'd been listed

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:14:03.726 --> 00:14:05.467 at a transplant center and you'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:05.467 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.595$ waited for five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.540$ then you would when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.480$ finally got on the list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.998$ you'd get five years of credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.196$ Before that you'd start with 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:14:12.200 --> 00:14:13.852 So it's a cue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:14:13.852 --> 00:14:15.826 but inherently unfair and skewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:14:15.826 --> 00:14:17.736 towards people who can list

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:14:17.736 --> 00:14:19.678 preemptively before their kidneys fail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:14:19.680 --> 00:14:22.124 who are predominantly privately

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:22.124 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.957$ insured and white.

00:14:23.960 --> 00:14:25.880 And so once they fix this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.976$ this huge racial disparity in kidney

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:28.976 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.880$ transplantation rates went away overnight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}14{:}31.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.580$ So this is an example of where and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.051$ idea of treating people equally but with

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:37.051 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.200$ a with a first come first served cue

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:14:40.200 --> 00:14:42.714 doesn't actually work out in practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.745$ So that's the first set

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

00:14:44.745 --> 00:14:45.960 treating people equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.840$ The next set of principles is

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00{:}14{:}48.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.548$ maximizing total benefits, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:50.548 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.352$ We have a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.35795084

 $00:14:51.352 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.960$ we have a security healthcare resource.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40948012

 $00:14:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.111$ We want to use it not just sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.40948012

00:14:55.111 --> 00:14:56.640 randomly across the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40948012

00:14:56.640 --> 00:14:59.439 We want to use it to maximize the benefit,

 $00:14:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.036$ which can be formalized in a bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.40948012

 $00{:}15{:}01.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.642$ of different ways and just listed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.40948012

 $00:15:02.642 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.520$ save lives and save life years. Here

NOTE Confidence: 0.40948012

00:15:06.760 --> 00:15:09.560 what you can imagine what interaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:15:11.880 --> 00:15:14.358 excuse me, quality just these years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:15:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.738$ So in this example you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:15:19.738 --> 00:15:21.759 if you wanted to save lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}15{:}21.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.752$ you clearly would allocate to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:15:23.752 --> 00:15:26.285 gentleman on the on the left here who

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}15{:}26.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.560$ has an 80% survivor of the discharge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:15:28.560 --> 00:15:30.560 But if you wanted to save life years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:15:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.440$ you also have to know how old the patient is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:15:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.260$ So here we have an 80 year old with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:15:38.337 --> 00:15:40.600 80% survival discharge and a 40 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:15:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.000$ old with a 40% survival discharge.

00:15:43.000 --> 00:15:44.560 In this situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:15:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.680$ if your goal had to save life years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:15:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.556$ the total number of lives gained from

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:15:48.556 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.687$ the resource you would allocate to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:15:50.687 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.277$ second patient because their expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}15{:}52.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.040$ life years gained from treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}15{:}54.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.925$ in this case with mechanical

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:15:55.925 --> 00:15:57.433 ventilator for COVID-19 pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}15{:}57.440 \to 00{:}16{:}00.833$ is 20 compared to 8 to the other patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.630$ So already the utilitarian derived idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.346$ of maximizing total benefits has some

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:06.346 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.076$ problems here because we have to specify

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:09.076 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.319$ exactly what benefits we're after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:16:11.320 --> 00:16:13.078 Next is this concept that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:13.078 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.623$ certain people who enter the

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:16:14.623 --> 00:16:16.220 allocation being worse off, right?

 $00:16:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.600$ They've been sort of screwed over by

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}16{:}18.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.917$ society or by their disease process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.545$ and we should account for that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:23.545 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.599$ the allocation protocol we developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.904$ Now, one idea is the rule of rescue, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}16{:}28.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.208$ You're going to treat the person

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:31.208 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.636$ who's the sickest 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:32.636 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.844$ And of course I think we can all

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}16{:}34.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.575$ imagine in a crisis standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:36.575 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.306$ and care scenario where basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:38.306 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.036$ everyone will die without treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.448$ If you treated the sickest people with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:42.448 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.158$ highest predicted probability of death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.918$ that would lead to enormously low benefits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:16:46.920 --> 00:16:47.246 right?

 $00:16:47.246 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.528$ So while sickest first is actually used

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}16{:}49.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.076$ in liver allocation like the melt score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.132$ that's only because those patients actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:54.132 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.120$ have high benefits from transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:16:56.120 --> 00:16:58.794 In a crisis standards and care scenario,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:16:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.552$ sickest first would lead to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}17{:}00.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.431$ least optimal solution in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:02.431 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.076$ with respect to maximizing benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.558$ So that's in general is out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.048$ So what other classes of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:08.048 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.792$ are worse off?

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:17:08.800 --> 00:17:09.742 Well, the Youngs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:09.742 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.626$ if you develop end stage organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}17{:}11.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.184$ failure or achieve respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:13.184 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.756$ failure when you're young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:17:14.760 --> 00:17:16.004 a life threatening medical

00:17:16.004 --> 00:17:17.559 condition and you die young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.980$ then you haven't got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.400$ live your whole life right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:17:20.400 --> 00:17:22.128 You haven't got to play your

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:22.128 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.280$ 9 innings of baseball.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.422$ This is the concept of Fair innings

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:25.422 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.405$ that every person is deserve some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:27.405 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.470$ the full life and we should allocate

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:29.527 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.079$ resources in order to ensure that it happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}17{:}32.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.992$ So this is a more General Health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}17{:}34.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.735$ allocation argument than just

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:36.735 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.840$ the absolute scarcity problem we

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.708$ might articulated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:17:39.708 --> 00:17:41.878 probably perhaps passed by Norm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:17:41.880 --> 00:17:42.968 Norm Daniels,

00:17:42.968 --> 00:17:44.600 but applied here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.270$ this would end up with ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}17{:}47.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.049$ like pediatric candidates for

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:49.049 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.821$ organ transplantation should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:50.821 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.560$ categorically prioritized over adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.918$ which is actually the way we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.240$ We do things right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.540$ But there's another group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:17:57.540 --> 00:17:58.840 patients who are worse off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:17:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.877$ and those are people who have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:18:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.552$ structurally disadvantaged by society

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:18:03.552 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.560$ and in structural laws and rules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00{:}18{:}07.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.036$ I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:18:08.036 --> 00:18:09.940 I don't know if many people are having

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:18:09.995 --> 00:18:11.717 any familiar area in Chicago here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:18:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.400$ but the map's pretty clear.

 $00:18:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.840$ All of the areas that are dark here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:18:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.728$ the highest are the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:18:22.728 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.270$ percentage of African Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:18:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.640$ or people identify who are black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:18:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.128$ And there are also areas that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:18:30.128 --> 00:18:33.019 structurally disadvantaged by du jour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

00:18:33.019 --> 00:18:35.358 structural racist policies like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46465632

 $00:18:35.358 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.220$ and I'm going to go into this more later

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:18:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.295$ in the talk, like detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:18:41.295 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.080$ well in the color of the law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00{:}18{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.878$ color of law or redlining specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:18:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.560$ and we'll talk about this more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00{:}18{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.544$ But you can imagine if you're if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:18:50.544 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.295$ living in one of these neighbourhoods

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:18:52.295 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.435$ and the pandemic is hitting you unequally

00:18:54.435 --> 00:18:56.169 because the city has been designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

00:18:56.169 --> 00:18:58.180 to make your neighbourhood worse off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:18:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.080$ should we account for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.048$ So this is the concept of favouring

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:03.048 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.195$ the disadvantage somehow in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00{:}19{:}05.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.795$ in your allocation protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.000$ And finally, the last category

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.760$ is rewarding social usefulness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00{:}19{:}10.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.867$ which already kind of seems a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:12.867 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.919$ icky when you just say it right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.171$ But we actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:16.171 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.839$ in order an allocation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.177$ use this principle pretty in

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:21.177 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.679$ a very concrete and big way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.512$ So if you are a living Kitty donor

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

00:19:25.512 --> 00:19:27.197 and your Kitty goes on to fail,

 $00{:}19{:}27.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.240$ you get 4 years of waiting time points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.005$ And the idea there is that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

00:19:33.005 --> 00:19:35.514 getting paid back for being good

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:35.514 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.579$ in the past right Reciprocity

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00{:}19{:}37.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.492$ for your previous good deeds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67711496

 $00:19:39.492 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.357$ But the the other idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:19:44.080 --> 00:19:46.176 here is that there's some people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:19:46.176 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.380$ like very valuable to society, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:19:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.280$ They have a multiplier effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:19:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.376$ like for example a famous CEO who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:19:53.376 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.591$ a job creator or something, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:19:55.591 --> 00:19:57.768 And if we should give them the

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:19:57.768 --> 00:19:59.436 resource because then they'll keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:19:59.436 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.398$ them alive and help other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:20:01.400 --> 00:20:04.730 That seems, I think, why I picked a CEO.

00:20:04.730 --> 00:20:06.200 That may not be the most popular

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}20{:}06.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.576$ on on purpose, but that reasoning

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:20:10.576 --> 00:20:12.800 actually overwhelms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.840$ The COVID-19 vaccine allocation

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:20:14.840 --> 00:20:17.900 aside from elderly patients and long

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:20:17.979 --> 00:20:21.004 term care facilities who went first?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}20{:}21.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.106$ Us. I remember getting a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:20:24.106 --> 00:20:26.238 dose in mid January,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.823$ well before weeks before any of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:28.823 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.222$ vulnerable patients and I realized that

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:31.222 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.664$ the weight on instrumental value and

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:33.664 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.190$ reciprocity was severely miscalibrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}20{:}35.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.480$ But that's a different talk I see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.982$ So hopefully what's become obvious is

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:40.982 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.197$ I've laid these values and criticisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}20{:}43.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.111$ out is that they're inherently in

00:20:45.111 --> 00:20:46.588 conflict with each other, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:46.588 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.820$ There's there's certain times

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.680$ where they go hand in hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.380$ but if you're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:20:51.380 --> 00:20:52.400 maximize total benefits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.320$ you're by definition not

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.760$ treating people equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.360$ There's no way around that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:20:57.360 --> 00:20:59.880 So how do you, how do you move forward?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:20:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.040$ This is terrible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}02.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03.870$ Well for tunately Gobin has thought about

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.598$ this a lot and he's a lawyer bioethicist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.760$ not at the University of Denver but has

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}08.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.040$ spent a lot of time at on the East Coast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:21:11.040 --> 00:21:13.704 And so some of you may have come across

 $00:21:13.704 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.478$ in different times is amazing thinker

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}16.480 \to 00{:}21{:}18.780$ and you know his point is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}18.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.674$ have to you can't some some may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:21.674 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.818$ better than others and there could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:23.818 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.563$ arguments based on more fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}25.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.423$ principles that make may help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:27.423 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.073$ rank order the four big categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.556$ but you can't ignore them all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}30.560 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}21{:}32.765$ You can't ignore ones and you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:21:32.765 --> 00:21:34.640 to do the hard bioethical work

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}34.640 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}21{:}36.785$ to combine them with the multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:21:36.785 --> 00:21:38.360 principle allocation systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:21:38.360 --> 00:21:39.998 And I think that's very much true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.792$ And we'll see as we look at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:21:41.792 --> 00:21:43.148 examples of crisis standards of

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:21:43.148 --> 00:21:45.480 care and attempts to do just this,

 $00:21:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.120$ invoke multiple ethically relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}21{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.760$ principles into a protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:21:50.760 --> 00:21:53.280 OK, I got through that quicker than I hoped,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.610$ which is good because now now we

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.679$ get to the hard part, which is OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:21:57.679 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.157$ what are the key bioethical controversies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:22:00.160 --> 00:22:01.066 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:01.066 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.878$ where this is the bioethic seminar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.096$ So we're gonna focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:22:06.096 --> 00:22:08.240 life support triage protocols,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.840$ a lot of hypothetical situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.040$ and engage these deep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.171$ deep bioethical issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:22:13.171 --> 00:22:15.433 I want to say there's entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:22:15.433 --> 00:22:17.397 another set of equally important,

 $00:22:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.020$ maybe even more important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.640$ practical considerations during crisis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}22{:}20.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.376$ tangent care and procedural

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:22.376 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.546$ considerations about load sharing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:22:24.546 --> 00:22:26.998 how how would the triage team work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.452$ for example?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}22{:}27.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.260$ I'm going to set those all aside so

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:29.308 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.268$ we can just kind of do more thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}22{:}31.268 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.275$ experiment stuff because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:32.275 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.155$ where we're doing the bio or do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:22:34.160 --> 00:22:37.880 We're bioethics tonight, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.861$ So these are the four big problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

00:22:39.861 --> 00:22:41.789 and I'm hoping maybe we can just

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00{:}22{:}41.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.752$ pause after each one for a brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.57877976

 $00:22:43.752 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.880$ round of discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:22:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.476$ We never end up getting whatever.

00:22:46.480 --> 00:22:47.992 I'm worrying when I get to the last one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}22{:}48.000 \to 00{:}22{:}49.380$ and that's perhaps the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:22:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.429$ important that I think we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:22:51.429 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.954$ resolve before the next pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}22{:}52.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.913$ We need to improve crisis standards of

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}22{:}54.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}57.197$ care and deal with these four questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:22:57.200 --> 00:23:01.320 So first let's take down sofa together, huh?

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.294$ I think we have a lot of friendly

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}23{:}04.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.669$ people in this room for this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:23:06.669 --> 00:23:08.880 point so early in the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.356$ Gina Pistacello is now in rush.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.246$ She's emerging leader in the serious

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}23{:}13.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.676$ illness conversation space or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:23:15.680 --> 00:23:17.360 She's now at Pittsburgh, excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:23:17.360 --> 00:23:18.431 since Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh.

00:23:18.431 --> 00:23:21.370 So watch out for what she's going to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}23{:}21.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.720$ next in terms of clinical medical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.984$ She read every single state crisis

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:26.984 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.056$ standard of care protocol in like a week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:23:31.056 --> 00:23:32.478 accurately categorized them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.080$ convinced like three other people

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.680$ to check everything she did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:23:35.680 --> 00:23:39.348 and and published the My Eyes Cited

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}23{:}39.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43.240$ paper ever the landmark survey of

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.080$ US ventilator allocation guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:23:46.080 --> 00:23:48.117 And what we found is that everybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.360$ for the most part, was using SOFA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.397$ And I'm a pulmonary critical care doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.038$ so I knew what SOFA was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.240$ And this is what, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:23:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.360$ we started to write into our algorithm too.

 $00:23:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.240$ And here's an example of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.880$ way SOFA was going to be used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.336$ This is from Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:05.336 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.156$ It's still on their website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.636$ A lot of these are still on the website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.792$ even though they've been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:09.792 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.200$ We, as we as all show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.132$ we've moved on in a big way

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:13.132 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.879$ for some of these ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.640$ But in order to save the most lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.880$ remember that's the ethical principle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.547$ We're going to divide people up into

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}24{:}22.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.920$ categories based on their sofa score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.320$ And I'll explain what the Sofa score is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.264$ The next slide where if the sofa scores

00:24:29.264 --> 00:24:31.160 higher, then we're likely to die,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:24:31.160 --> 00:24:31.373 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:24:31.373 --> 00:24:33.077 So they get more points and it's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:24:33.080 --> 00:24:34.760 oh, you want less points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.916$ lower score is better and people will

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:36.916 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.840$ be rank ordered by their scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.814$ And one interesting thing that Mark and

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:24:40.814 --> 00:24:42.892 I were talking about on the way over

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}24{:}42.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.959$ here is by bidding sof a scores together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:24:44.960 --> 00:24:46.322 what you're doing is allowing tie

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}24{:}46.322 \rightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.120$ Breakers to kind of kick in more, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:24:48.120 --> 00:24:50.640 So all right, if you have the same points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:24:50.640 --> 00:24:54.280 two points, and and this primary calculation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:24:54.280 --> 00:24:56.716 then we start to do other considerations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.640$ life cycle considerations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:24:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.080$ fair endings considerations.

 $00:25:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.994$ But hopefully you guys can all

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00{:}25{:}01.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.012$ appreciate how this is an attempt

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:25:04.012 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.034$ to take those ethical values and

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

00:25:06.034 --> 00:25:07.902 principles I discussed and force

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:25:07.902 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.648$ it into an actual protocol that

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115

 $00:25:09.648 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.520$ could be used in in real life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32344115 00:25:11.520 --> 00:25:11.600 So NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00:25:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.160$ what I'm going to focus on is the sofa score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00:25:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.920$ And the problem with the sofa score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00{:}25{:}20.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.500$ the sequential organ failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00:25:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.080$ assessment score is old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00:25:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.928$ It's almost 30 years old now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00{:}25{:}25.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.639$ it's based on expert opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00:25:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.568$ So this table, which I see a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00:25:29.568 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.070$ of people squinting their eyes

00:25:31.070 --> 00:25:33.317 glazing over and I don't blame you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00{:}25{:}33.320 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}35.084$ was made-up in the 90s at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.49643952

 $00:25:35.084 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.840$ critical care conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:25:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.320$ It's not based on a regression model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:25:41.320 --> 00:25:44.236 this is to predict like the Apache 2 score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:25:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.198$ LEPS 2 score or LPS score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:25:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.775$ Both of those are predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:25:48.775 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.350$ models designed to predict the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}25{:}50.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52.278$ outcome Survival ties to discharge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:25:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.680$ not so far, they just made it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}25{:}53.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.330$ So it's actually kind of remarkable

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:25:55.330 --> 00:25:56.386 it predicts anything, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}25{:}56.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.968$ because that means that means we must

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:25:57.968 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.479$ know what we're doing in stockers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:25:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.664$ So the the this first column is

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:01.664 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.999$ the degree of respiratory failure

 $00:26:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.918$ and the more the lower your PA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.852$ O2, FI, O2 ratio is the the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:26:09.852 --> 00:26:11.076 of hypoxic respiratory failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.520$ So that's the first column In the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}26{:}13.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.120$ in the third column here or the 4th

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:15.174 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.724$ column you'll see this cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:16.724 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.274$ column which is supposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}26{:}18.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.757$ measure the severity of shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.629$ And for those again in the critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:26:21.629 --> 00:26:23.172 care space or anybody who's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:23.172 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.320$ worked in a in a hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.598$ well, we don't use that much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:26:26.600 --> 00:26:28.546 don't need anymore for very good reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}26{:}28.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.908$ and we have a lot of other vaso active

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:30.908 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.079$ medicines that are not listed on that row.

 $00:26:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.240$ Speaking to that in practice people

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}26{:}35.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.490$ do not calculate this according to

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.716$ their original formula in any way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.312$ And but that being said about

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:42.312 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.040$ all those potential problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:26:44.040 --> 00:26:45.400 it actually works pretty well

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}26{:}45.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.000$ for patients already in the ICU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:26:47.000 --> 00:26:49.136 If you make a couple corrections

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}26{:}49.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.560$ in that cardiovascular component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.502$ you calculate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:51.502 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.386$ And if someone's been in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:26:53.386 --> 00:26:55.600 ICU for 48 hours and you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.240$ time to calculate all those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.720$ get all those laboratory measurements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:26:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.922$ calculate the score and take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:27:00.922 --> 00:27:03.437 maximum and worst value in all of them,

 $00:27:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.524$ it works pretty well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:04.524 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.997$ So this is the SOFA scores on the X axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.988$ This is a large population of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:08.988 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.208$ patients with susceptive infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}27{:}10.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.798$ in Australia and New Zealand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.332$ ICU and the locality should have

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:14.332 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.440$ like logistic function right then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.576$ The higher sofa score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:17.576 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.996$ the more likelier to die,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.056$ each one of these points turning into

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:22.056 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.960$ like a 5% or so increase in mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.278$ However, that's not the triage situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}27{:}29.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.050$ That's the triage situation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.319$ that the patients in front of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.838$ you have much you don't have

 $00:27:34.838 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.533$ 48 hours of information of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

00:27:36.533 --> 00:27:37.797 already receiving life support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00{:}27{:}37.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.645$ You have to decide whether or not to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556

 $00:27:39.645 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.394$ them on life support in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43064556 00:27:41.400 --> 00:27:41.840 So NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:27:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.456$ when you actually evaluate it as a triage

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:27:48.456 --> 00:27:50.068 score, SOFA performs quite poorly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:27:50.068 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.800$ So this is the area of the receiver

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00{:}27{:}52.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.775$ under the receiver operating curve

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:27:54.775 --> 00:27:56.355 or measure of discrimination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:27:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.160$ A coin flip is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:27:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.603$ this has this dotted line here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:28:00.603 --> 00:28:02.731 as you can see sofa's not doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00{:}28{:}02.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.273$ much better than flipping a coin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.675$ It's a near sort of lottery situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.524$ And so this was a landmark paper that I

 $00:28:10.524 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.317$ think casts a lot of doubts about using

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:12.317 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.000$ SOFA in the crisis Standards of Care

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:28:14.000 --> 00:28:15.920 is that it doesn't work well in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.196$ in the situation that people are applying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:28:19.200 --> 00:28:22.240 And on top of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.940$ the SOFA score would exacerbate

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.920$ health inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.108$ It doesn't incorporate age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:26.108 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.593$ which we'll talk about next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00{:}28{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.260$ but it also uses the patient's absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00{:}28{:}30.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.078$ value of creatinine to compute a renal score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.455$ right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00{:}28{:}33.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.080$ So this is problematic for two reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:28:36.080 --> 00:28:38.159 One, some patients end up or show up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:38.159 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.438$ the hospital with chronic kidney disease,

 $00:28:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.239$ so they have higher creatinines at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:28:42.240 --> 00:28:44.886 but it's not an acute problem and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:44.886 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.395$ they might walk in the door with

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:28:47.395 --> 00:28:49.202 like two or three cell phone points

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

00:28:49.202 --> 00:28:50.800 just 'cause they have chronic kidney

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.760$ disease that's in no way correlated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213

 $00:28:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.517$ their probability of actually dying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52479213 00:28:54.520 --> 00:28:54.720 And

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

 $00{:}28{:}57.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.794$ the second problem is that certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

00:28:59.794 --> 00:29:01.760 populations with higher muscle mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

 $00{:}29{:}01.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.280$ particularly those people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

 $00:29:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.800$ are self identified black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

 $00:29:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.192$ have higher creatinine bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

00:29:06.192 --> 00:29:09.000 This is this whole estimated GFR controversy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

 $00:29:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.352$ why race was used in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

00:29:11.352 --> 00:29:12.920 equation to begin with.

 $00:29:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.216$ And so the same patient with the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

 $00:29:15.216 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.604$ amount of renal function might get who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

00:29:17.604 --> 00:29:19.768 black might get two points compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.27280143

00:29:19.768 --> 00:29:21.916 to one for somebody who's white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.116$ So a lot of people have gone on

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:29.116 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.624$ to examine the potential bias of

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:31.624 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.359$ surface core against black patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.120$ Most notable here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.150$ where I was very inspired by both

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:29:40.150 --> 00:29:42.091 of these papers to replicate your

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:29:42.091 --> 00:29:43.837 findings in the EICU data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.240$ I don't know when they were polished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}29{:}45.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.011$ but you know I was very they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:47.011 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.400$ all about the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.000$ We all were thinking alike,

 $00:29:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.436$ and we all show that black patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:29:52.436 --> 00:29:55.151 would have higher SOPA scores than white

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:55.151 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.474$ patients with the same survival, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:29:57.474 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.358$ So instead of giving because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:29:59.358 --> 00:30:00.970 that chronic kidney disease point

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.800$ or the OR the creatinine point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.250$ a white person will get a sofa of or be

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:05.314 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.636$ more likely to allocate a ventilator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.872$ Black person will get a SOFA score of five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.456$ So that's a a form of actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:30:12.456 --> 00:30:13.749 statistical bias, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:13.749 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.396$ It's it's miscalibrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:15.396 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.141$ So it was miscalibrated against

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:30:18.141 --> 00:30:20.597 patients who identified as black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:30:20.600 --> 00:30:21.600 And this is a big,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.078$ this is a really nice figure from

00:30:24.080 --> 00:30:27.604 Deepishana's version of this paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}30{:}27.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30{:}30{:}310$ which was using pen and cosmic

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:30.396 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.554$ fermente data and they show that 10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:33.554 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.158$ of black patients would be assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:36.158 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.137$ to inappropriate SOFA level, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:38.137 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.804$ So it would effect on 10% of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:39.804 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.616$ and most of the city's patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:41.616 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.920$ would be shunted into these higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.652$ higher groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:44.652 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.580$ And we found the same thing that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:47.655 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.600$ conditional upon their assigned priority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}30{:}50.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.075$ black patients are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:30:52.075 --> 00:30:52.960 likely to survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.560$ So it's a little confusing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:30:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.436$ but basically the score is assigning

 $00:30:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.652$ a higher level of mortality risk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:00.652 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.758$ black patients than they actually have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.200$ which is a form of bias that leads to both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:31:05.200 --> 00:31:06.433 Obviously it's discriminatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:06.433 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.077$ and it's black people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.080$ but it's also inefficient because

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.080$ it's worse at identifying survivors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.240$ So the really we took a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}31{:}19.240 --> 00{:}31{:}19.975 \ \mathrm{population} \ \mathrm{with} \ \mathrm{COVID}\text{-}19,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:19.975 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.959$ a lot of the pre prior studies were

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

00:31:21.959 --> 00:31:23.520 pre you know like the pandemic was

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}31{:}23.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.768$ still going on so there wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}31{:}24.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.713$ a lot of COVID data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}31{:}25.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29.280$ So this is the same sort of analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}31{:}29.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.812$ but in patients who had COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}31{:}31.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.078$ required mechanical ventilator.

 $00{:}31{:}33.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.117$ We also added a little bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00{:}31{:}35.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.908$ met the logic breaker here with

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:36.908 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.480$ a very simple simulation where

NOTE Confidence: 0.37383443

 $00:31:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.760$ we applied triage rules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:31:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.648$ And when we did that, unsurprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:31:43.648 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.296$ we found that using a silicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:31:47.296 --> 00:31:49.816 tier system would systematically

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}31{:}49.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.408$ disadvantage individuals who identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:31:52.408 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.880$ as black without improving efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:31:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.200$ In fact, it performed substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:31:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.780$ worse than young is first or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:02.780 \dashrightarrow 00:32:05.656$ combination model and not as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}32{:}05.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08.080$ can see in the lottery system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.428$ Black and Hispanic people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:09.428 --> 00:32:11.113 although it's not significant actually

 $00:32:11.113 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.239$ have higher survival than white patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:13.240 \dashrightarrow 00:32:14.810$ And that's because white patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:14.810 \dashrightarrow 00:32:16.769$ who end up in respiratory failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:16.769 --> 00:32:18.109 with COVID-19 throughout the

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:18.109 --> 00:32:20.160 pandemic tended to be much older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.560$ which will be the next topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.760$ of the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:23.760 --> 00:32:26.436 And unfortunately because of some actions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:26.440 --> 00:32:27.384 misguided actions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:27.384 --> 00:32:29.194 I believe by, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:29.194 --> 00:32:30.376 office civil rights from the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:30.376 --> 00:32:31.438 of Health and Human Services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}32{:}31.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33.288$ SOFA is now even more dominant in

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:33.288 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.644$ crisis standards care protocols across

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:34.644 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.436$ the country than it used to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.908$ This is a paper from May 2022

 $00:32:39.908 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.476$ and most states now have one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:42.480 --> 00:32:42.930 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:32:42.930 --> 00:32:44.730 remember our first map had a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.600$ holes because everyone was scrambling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.435$ Now it's still in some states had no plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.610 \text{ I don't know}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.947$ And the plan is SOFA for the vast

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:53.947 \dashrightarrow 00:32:56.900$ majority of these sofa in various forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:32:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.680$ with little other elements of the protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}32{:}59.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02.053$ So I think this is really deeply

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}33{:}02.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.494$ problematic and one of the things is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:33:04.494 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.596$ main gap our grant is trying to fail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}33{:}07.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.452$ So in conclusion you know so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:33:11.452 --> 00:33:13.210 think I've said all this sofa's

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:33:13.285 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.439$ outdated it's not a triage score.

 $00:33:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.372$ It's less accurate than the Young's

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}33{:}17.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.006$ first and statistically diet but

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00:33:19.006 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.441$ advised means black patients which

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:33:20.441 --> 00:33:22.200 makes it even more inaccurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:33:22.200 --> 00:33:24.400 So I I think SOFA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:33:24.400 --> 00:33:26.074 you know should be eliminated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}33{:}26.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.784$ crisis and secure protocols across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

00:33:27.784 --> 00:33:29.404 country and replaced with a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.34667003

 $00{:}33{:}29.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.435$ triage score than one that we're working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

 $00:33:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.880$ So that's the first problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

 $00:33:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.844$ I obviously have awe some

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

 $00{:}33{:}38.844 \to 00{:}33{:}40.317$ strong opinionated conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

 $00:33:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.755$ I'm not asking for someone to defend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

00:33:41.760 --> 00:33:43.504 So Mark, I don't know if you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

 $00:33:43.504 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.375$ me to move on to age or if if

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

00:33:45.375 --> 00:33:47.289 you want to have any questions or

 $00:33:47.289 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.194$ feedback just about that this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

00:33:49.194 --> 00:33:51.078 So let me, because I didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45257384

00:33:51.080 --> 00:33:54.436 I I didn't do my job beautifully

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00:33:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.025$ at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00:33:55.025 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.390$ which was to remind you guys and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

00:33:56.429 --> 00:33:58.120 let you know that we're going to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00:33:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.165$ we're going to go until 6:30 and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00:34:01.165 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.320$ there's going to be a hard stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00:34:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.600$ So I apologize if there's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00{:}34{:}03.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04.720$ you really wanted to ask or say

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00{:}34{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.440$ and you didn't get the chance. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00:34:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.800$ typically the speaker goes in total 5:50 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00{:}34{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.210$ 5:00 to 6:00 and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00:34:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.079$ have questions, but the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.6678989

 $00:34:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.528$ Will's outlined this week and kind

 $00:34:14.528 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.159$ of stop at each of these important

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00{:}34{:}16.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18.080$ points and have a conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00{:}34{:}18.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.039$ So I would say if someone wants

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00:34:19.039 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.460$ to speak specifically to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00:34:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.358$ sofa issue now we can do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00:34:22.360 \dashrightarrow 00:34:24.079$ But I want to tell you one other thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00:34:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.700$ since I'm up here and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00:34:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.160$ the podium, Karen Cold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00{:}34{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.132$ who organizes these things so nicely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00:34:29.132 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.386$ is herself out sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00:34:30.386 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.916$ So we wish Karen a speedy recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

 $00:34:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.384$ She reminds me to remind the people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.479315828

00:34:35.384 --> 00:34:37.280 Zoom land this number which Karen, please

NOTE Confidence: 0.43217006

 $00:34:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.320$ add it to the chat. Also

NOTE Confidence: 0.43217006

 $00:34:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.613$ 203-442-9435, that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43217006

00:34:42.613 --> 00:34:44.479 number to get your CME credit.

00:34:47.360 --> 00:34:51.040 2O3442, 9435 out of town, please call

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00:34:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.840$ collect. No, that's not right. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00:34:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.438$ the code is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00:34:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.691$ 409-624-0962. So that's enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

00:34:57.691 --> 00:34:58.879 housekeeping. I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00:34:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.680$ fine. Mark has something

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00:34:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.048$ he wants to say about sofa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00{:}35{:}01.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.840$ So why don't we spend like because

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

00:35:02.840 --> 00:35:03.785 I know, I know will you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00:35:03.785 \dashrightarrow 00:35:04.919$ want to get to the other problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00:35:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.740$ So let's do, let's spend 5 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00:35:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.033$ talking about sofa and then move

NOTE Confidence: 0.60076916

 $00{:}35{:}08.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.398$ on to the next. Go ahead, Mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:16.720 \dashrightarrow 00:35:18.464$ Yeah, I I I should also say thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

00:35:18.464 --> 00:35:20.120 so much Amir that especially for

 $00:35:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.280$ the folks who are on the Zoom call,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.520$ Please wait till you get the microphone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.396$ I should have thought of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.040$ Thank you Amir. So, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.792$ yeah, I I think we did a good job so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:30.792 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.848$ So it can be replaced with

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:31.848 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.208$ something and I'm excited to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:34.208 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.140$ about why you're working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00{:}35{:}36.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.512$ But pending that you know I, I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

00:35:38.512 --> 00:35:41.022 I in parts of some sessions where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00{:}35{:}41.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.736$ talked about other severity illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

00:35:42.736 --> 00:35:44.950 sports and I I thought practically

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.080$ software was chosen but very simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00{:}35{:}47.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.600$ But even if you look at Apache

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.680$ two and talk to the developers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.560$ they said these were population

 $00:35:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.738$ statistics to sort of adjust in

NOTE Confidence: 0.2821584

 $00:35:55.738 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.959$ large clinical trials and things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.31171604

00:35:57.960 --> 00:36:00.160 that. They weren't really intended

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}36{:}00.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.232$ to be a bed side test. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}36{:}03.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.104$ The question about how an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:05.104 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.932$ person was going to do So do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:06.932 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.168$ anticipate that there are any trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:36:08.168 --> 00:36:09.719 that would actually work well in an or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.620$ you know, measure that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.600$ use for individual patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.880$ That would be, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.718$ I know the next, the next topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:36:17.718 --> 00:36:18.834 when we start talking about age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:36:18.840 --> 00:36:20.838 I think a score, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.576$ kind of giving away when we're talking

00:36:22.576 --> 00:36:24.805 about a score that includes age and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:24.805 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.237$ several important clinical predictors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.440$ Like is the patient in shock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.440$ the degree of their hypoxia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.404$ respiratory failure in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:30.404 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.835$ with perhaps having a four hour trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}36{:}33.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.265$ period on life support to collect

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:36.265 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.838$ more data that if we fit a score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.304$ a multigradable prediction model

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:40.304 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.778$ of that Haitian population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:36:41.778 --> 00:36:44.361 I think we can get something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:44.361 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.389$ parsimonious that doesn't require a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:46.389 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.321$ of heavy duty calculation trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:36:48.321 --> 00:36:50.230 avoid sort of deep learning AI approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.880$ which I'm always very excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:36:51.880 --> 00:36:54.553 But it I think in practice like you said,

 $00:36:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.756$ SOFA was chosen because it's practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.594$ We can sort of see how someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:58.594 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.880$ can calculate the bedside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:36:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.882$ Although if you ever look at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:01.882 \dashrightarrow 00:37:03.904$ SOFA scores that are epic and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:03.904 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.554$ you look at the actual numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.919$ they're very discordant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}37{:}06.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.637$ So I think SOFA is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.760$ fairly complicated to calculate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:37:12.760 --> 00:37:14.800 So you know personality is

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.432$ not a partners for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.344$ I mean the related thing is one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}37{:}20.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.038$ we working on this system is trajectory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:37:24.040 --> 00:37:24.504 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:37:24.504 --> 00:37:25.664 you you see somebody getting

 $00:37:25.664 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.960$ better and somebody getting worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.400$ And that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.659$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254 00:37:28.659 --> 00:37:28.918 no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:28.918 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.472$ that's why we really should try

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}37{:}30.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.255$ to get to the platform because

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:32.255 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.132$ then that's that's exactly right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:34.132 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.480$ I think one of a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}37{:}36.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.520$ thought experiments around this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.870$ imagine a bunch of patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}37{:}38.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40.559$ a room with the one ventilator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.758$ and that's not the situation at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.000$ You have is population of ICU patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.758$ And once they're in the ICU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:37:46.760 --> 00:37:48.944 you can actually run much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:48.944 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.036$ complicated prediction models.

 $00:37:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.636$ You have a lot more information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00{:}37{:}51.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53.537$ You might be able to know very

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:53.537 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.678$ specifically what their survival's

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

00:37:54.678 --> 00:37:56.610 gonna be with a lot more certainty

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.519$ than that person who just showed up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3152254

 $00:37:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.680$ Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44416642

 $00:38:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.158$ Good. Can't we have someone here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.44416642

00:38:02.160 --> 00:38:04.878 Fight for the sofa. Come on. Anybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44416642

 $00:38:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.560$ Nobody wants to do that. No expense, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44416642

 $00{:}38{:}07.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08.519$ The Ben's not going to have time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00{:}38{:}09.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.400$ Yeah, it's gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.240$ Move it on. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.076$ OK How about how old is somebody?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.520$ Can we can we use, can we use that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.440$ Obviously a fair innings.

00:38:18.440 --> 00:38:19.960 A ****** fair innings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

00:38:19.960 --> 00:38:21.100 Prudential Lifespan Equity

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.520$ person would say yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

00:38:22.520 --> 00:38:25.648 But we live in America,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:25.648 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.960$ so it's a little bit more complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.660$ This was Utah's triage

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.360$ score before the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.816$ They actually were one of the rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:33.816 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.962$ states that had like something

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00{:}38{:}34.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36.396$ written down like New York did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

00:38:36.400 --> 00:38:39.040 New York was just all based on sofa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

00:38:39.040 --> 00:38:40.720 I don't know if everyone knows that story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595

 $00:38:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.196$ but most studies never activating it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27907595 00:38:42.200 --> 00:38:42.320 But NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:38:45.560 --> 00:38:47.432 Utah's career school score

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:38:47.432 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.836$ has estimated survival,

 $00:38:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.560$ so saving lives is protocolized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:38:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.872$ explicitly right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:38:52.872 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.840$ 3 bins and sort of equally as

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:38:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.120$ important as how old someone is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:38:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.757$ So are they. They're less than 30 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.880$ They get only one point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.398$ If they're over the over 60,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.668$ they get three points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:04.668 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.535$ So being over 60 is the same as having

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:39:07.535 --> 00:39:09.959 less than a 10% chance of survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:09.959 \dashrightarrow 00:39:14.096$ So this is a very large, I would argue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:14.096 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.320$ fair innings weight in this protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.488$ Not that this was none of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:21.488 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.256$ explicitly argued from bio in perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:39:23.256 --> 00:39:24.969 Like it just sort of somebody writes

 $00:39:24.969 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.529$ it down and then you can kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00{:}39{:}26.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.611$ see which is what I think is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:28.611 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.738$ interesting about quantitative biotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:29.738 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.766$ But then this mid category is

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:39:31.766 --> 00:39:33.506 kind of problematic too, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:39:33.506 --> 00:39:35.200 It's, it's an ASA score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.150$ so it's capturing the patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.320$ chronic disease state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.220$ but it's a different access

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.671$ than estimated survival, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:41.671 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.159$ So the idea is that people who are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.915$ the problem potentially with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:39:45.915 --> 00:39:48.579 is that people who have disease are

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:48.579 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.094$ somehow less deserving of the resource,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:39:51.094 --> 00:39:51.512 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:39:51.512 --> 00:39:54.840 That's what this is kind of implying,

 $00{:}39{:}54.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57.320$ because if these factors matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.720$ for their Bible to discharge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:39:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.045$ they would be incorporated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:01.045 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.351$ this bottom column, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:02.351 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.906$ And if these factors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:04.906 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.636$ about life expectancy, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:06.636 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.204$ And then you can sort of see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:08.204 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.719$ these would be combined together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:40:09.720 --> 00:40:11.118 It's still a fair innings argument,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.448$ potentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:40:11.448 --> 00:40:12.432 Not really, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:12.432 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.400$ because what if you're a child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.719$ This is yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.144$ This is a little muddled both

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:19.144 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.356$ bioethically and practically.

 $00:40:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.170$ And so protocols like this cause a

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00{:}40{:}25.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.464$ lot of action over the summer after

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:28.464 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.936$ our initial waves by the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:30.936 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.952$ of Health and Human Services Office

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:40:32.952 --> 00:40:34.794 of Civil Rights where they sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:34.794 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.614$ of went through all the CSCS and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:40:36.670 --> 00:40:38.716 stripped out mention of age or

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:38.716 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.810$ disability in a primary score and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:40.879 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.477$ even sometimes in the secondary score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.218$ a tiebreaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:44.218 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.801$ So This is why that map is

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:46.801 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.520$ all sofa only sofa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.136$ because all considerations of age or

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:51.136 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.880$ disability were essentially removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:40:52.880 --> 00:40:54.744 I think Doug White was able to keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:40:54.744 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.760$ like his tiebreaker in there somehow.

00:40:56.760 --> 00:40:58.880 But you know, in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00{:}40{:}58.880 \rightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.844$ age was dramatically deprioritized

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:00.844 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.325$ from the OR removed from these

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:41:03.325 --> 00:41:05.000 protocols where using age to

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00{:}41{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06.997$ decide how you're going to triage

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.765$ was essentially from a regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:09.765 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.864$ standpoint made impossible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:10.864 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.768$ So they did this in like 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:12.768 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.360$ different States and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.880$ type of language they would use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.520$ move on to life expectancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.680$ categorical exclusion based on age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00{:}41{:}19.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20.876$ disability and functional impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:20.876 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.024$ There's a lot of concern in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:23.024 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.549$ disability community that there would

 $00:41:24.549 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.312$ be explicit discrimination against

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:26.312 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.720$ patients with chronic physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.760$ or neurological disabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00{:}41{:}30.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.368$ Impairment and like would take

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:41:32.368 --> 00:41:33.296 ventilators away from people

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:41:33.296 --> 00:41:34.759 who are chronically ventilated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:41:34.760 --> 00:41:36.720 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.598$ and make sure that people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:38.598 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.850$ disabilities are valued based

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:41:39.903 --> 00:41:41.518 on their actual mortality risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:41:41.520 --> 00:41:44.600 not the value of their life or their,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:41:44.600 --> 00:41:46.316 you know, sort of qualities remaining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.320$ Right. And so apparently they changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

 $00:41:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.080$ Utah, changed their plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27438554

00:41:52.080 --> 00:41:53.074 But when I clicked on the link,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00{:}41{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}54.452$ it's broken. I did a lot of

00:41:54.452 --> 00:41:55.296 searching last night. I'm like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00:41:55.296 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.192$ oh, what did they change it to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

00:41:56.200 --> 00:41:58.636 But it's probably just this bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00:42:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.258$ the bottom one. Now this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00:42:02.258 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.600$ kind of like well payment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00:42:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.512$ so we're going to have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00:42:04.512 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.120$ really worry about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00:42:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.766$ But I assume state of Utah is

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00{:}42{:}07.766 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09.515$ just about estimated survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00:42:09.515 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.165$ and throwing all these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.42489943

 $00:42:12.165 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.840$ other considerations out. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.360$ want to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}42{:}16.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.110$ the two potential ethical justifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.460$ for using age, and this is a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.760$ time to have some discussion.

 $00:42:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.224$ The first idea is that the value

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:24.224 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.080$ of younger lives is higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.608$ This of course has been sort of explicitly

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:28.608 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.530$ rejected by the previous administration's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.680$ Health and Human Services department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.678$ But, you know, this is justified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.969$ And this fits into the idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:42:36.969 --> 00:42:39.296 younger lives in general, not always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:42:39.296 --> 00:42:42.095 but have more like years to gain, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:42:42.095 --> 00:42:43.600 If you're like like a previous example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.080$ if you're 40 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.096$ even if you have a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:42:47.096 --> 00:42:48.886 probability of short term mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}42{:}48.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.430$ you're much more likely to gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.980$ more life years with treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.840$ than some others in their 80s.

 $00:42:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.760$ And then the second idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.028$ as we discussed 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:42:57.028 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.930$ is that younger lives really are

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}42{:}58.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01.082$ higher in terms of that they haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:01.082 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.599$ got to play in their 90s at baseball.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.640$ So we owe them because they're worse off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.840$ But there's another reason to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.728$ use age in a triage war.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:43:11.728 --> 00:43:13.770 And that age is a strong independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.080$ predictor of short term survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.970$ Who was most likely to die

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.452$ from COVID the elderly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:43:19.452 --> 00:43:22.434 Who did we allocate COVID vaccines to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.144$ 1st the elderly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:25.144 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.168$ We used age because it was a

 $00:43:28.168 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.760$ tremendous predictor of benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.920$ from COVID-19 vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.008$ The converse is true here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:43:35.008 --> 00:43:37.672 younger patients are much more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:37.672 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.593$ to benefit to survive from life

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:43:40.593 --> 00:43:43.038 support if they develop respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:43:43.038 --> 00:43:45.250 failure or chronic respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.434$ failure or chronic failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}43{:}47.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.216$ So you need to use age if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:49.216 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.920$ want to save the most lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.236$ We don't have an alternative number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.196$ That's the practical thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:54.196 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.080$ that we can do on the bedside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.384$ And this is some data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:58.384 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.920$ we have under review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:43:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.560$ We we presented ATS,

00:44:02.560 --> 00:44:03.952 the American Thrust Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:44:03.952 --> 00:44:04.996 conference last spring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.836$ so I'll walk you through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:44:06.840 --> 00:44:10.092 The X axis is how old the person went and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:10.092 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.297$ this is the population of like 90% COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}44{:}12.297 --> 00{:}44{:}12.714\ 10\%.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:12.714 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.462$ Others supposed to simulate a pandemic surge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:15.462 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.779$ And then the black bars are what

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:17.779 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.398$ percentage of them actually died.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.960$ So as you can see yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:44:20.960 --> 00:44:22.079 people get older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:22.079 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.729$ The probability of death goes up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}44{:}24.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.183$ the red bars are their predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:44:27.183 --> 00:44:29.478 mortality by sofa score of all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}44{:}29.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31.046$ And remember we've defined this as

 $00:44:31.046 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.640$ a crisis standard care population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}44{:}32.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.332$ So they're all quite they're pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:34.332 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.296$ sick and they have higher sofa scores

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:36.296 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.796$ and it just all is pretty much the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.960$ So the red bars are all the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:44:40.960 --> 00:44:43.408 But if you make a new model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:43.408 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.879$ incorporates both sofa and age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:44:44.880 --> 00:44:45.924 you're much more accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}44{:}45.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.707$ You're actually predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:46.707 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.840$ who's going to survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.920$ And any critical care physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.000$ in the room would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.729$ I'd much rather have a patient who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:51.729 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.631$ 40 with a Silva of eight than an

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:53.631 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.599$ 80 year old with a Silva of three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.680$ Right.

 $00{:}44{:}56.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59.745$ That age tells you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:44:59.745 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.333$ clinically about someone's ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:45:01.333 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.559$ to survive critical fullness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:45:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.252$ This is nothing new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00{:}45{:}04.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.559$ It's why I'm trying to get this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

00:45:05.559 --> 00:45:06.684 published cause the critical care

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:45:06.684 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.920$ journal's like this is obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:45:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.740$ This is why age is in Apache

NOTE Confidence: 0.6721504

 $00:45:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.520$ and all those

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:10.582 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.902$ other scores and then you know like what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:12.902 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.519$ all this ethics stuff in the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.840$ But we'll we'll get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.280$ We'll get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.600$ Why are you talking about law?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.240$ Like what's what is happening

 $00:45:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.552$ in this paper Bud?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:45:22.560 --> 00:45:24.837 I think you know it sort of jumps off

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.400$ the the page to me that you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:45:27.400 --> 00:45:29.600 your if your goal is to save the most lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.600$ you have to use age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.360$ just like we use age to distribute vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.120$ So I think there's a robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.040$ ethical justification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00{:}45{:}38.040 --> 00{:}45{:}39.500$ And even Dan Salmaisy who

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.960$ used to be in Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.664$ who's really against fair

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:42.664 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.794$ innings and saving life years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.920$ concedes his first point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.320$ that using age as one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.196$ as one variable among many to save

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:45:49.196 --> 00:45:51.320 lives as a robust justification.

 $00:45:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.445$ If you remove age from

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:45:52.445 --> 00:45:53.120 life support allocation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:45:53.120 --> 00:45:56.080 I would say that's like anti young ages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:45:56.080 --> 00:45:57.720 I'm almost like you're penalizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.729$ you're you're saying the lives of younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:45:59.729 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.000$ people are less valuable than older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.548$ I would argue that's what our

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:03.548 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.760$ current trans protocols would do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:46:04.760 --> 00:46:08.112 And then finally, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:08.112 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.736$ all these ideas, fair things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:09.736 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.472$ parental lifespan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:10.472 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.680$ equity saving lives have broad appeal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00{:}46{:}12.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.493$ And I would argue that CSCS ignoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00{:}46{:}14.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.920$ these ideas are problematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.894$ And the nice thing is if you

 $00:46:17.894 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.799$ just use it to save lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:46:19.800 --> 00:46:20.592 you get, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:46:20.592 --> 00:46:21.780 kind of knock on benefits across

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:46:21.825 --> 00:46:23.213 these other principles, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:23.213 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.678$ They tend to go together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

00:46:25.680 --> 00:46:27.871 So even though your objective with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:27.871 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.157$ protocol could be to save the most lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.576$ there will be sort of secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.27974278

 $00:46:31.576 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.920$ benefits for the other balance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39421406

 $00:46:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.600$ So that's age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39421406

 $00{:}46{:}36.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.000$ I'd like to hear people's thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.39421406

 $00:46:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.599$ and comments about using agency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39421406

00:46:41.600 --> 00:46:44.525 S ES Ben. Oh yeah. Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39421406

 $00:46:44.525 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.400$ Wait probably wait for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

 $00:46:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.640$ So, so I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

 $00:46:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.400$ strongly agree with the

 $00:46:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.720$ argument for using a based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

 $00:46:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.080$ predictive value when when we turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

00:46:59.080 --> 00:47:02.960 away from sofa aid was was definitely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

 $00:47:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.610$ you know the the comparator

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

 $00:47:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.640$ we were looking at was more

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

00:47:07.640 --> 00:47:10.560 accurate in in our community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

 $00:47:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.640$ The white patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.39396146

 $00{:}47{:}13.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.840$ just fortunately older than

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

00:47:15.760 --> 00:47:18.240 David Doss. Yeah same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

 $00:47:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.360$ So it would have been you

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

 $00:47:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.960$ know the perspective of

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

00:47:22.160 --> 00:47:23.140 racial equity would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

 $00:47:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.120$ been better than sofa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

 $00:47:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.066$ Yeah and so it's and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

 $00:47:29.066 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.280$ also it was much easier.

 $00:47:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.345$ We didn't we couldn't put

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

 $00{:}47{:}33.345 --> 00{:}47{:}34.997 \ together \ triage \ things \ just$

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

 $00:47:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.490$ from a feasibility perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25442088

 $00:47:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.520$ Age would have been needed to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:47:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.336$ right and age is of course not chronological

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:47:41.336 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.678$ age is surrogate for biological age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

00:47:43.680 --> 00:47:45.600 There's like they're you know it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

00:47:45.600 --> 00:47:47.146 imperfect right. But it's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:47:47.146 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.654$ that's verifiable and easy. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:47:48.654 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.712$ I was hoping that age plus sofa

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00{:}47{:}50.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.570$ score would debias it. It doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:47:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.400$ You have to do something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:47:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.240$ I'll show you later on we get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:47:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.960$ But so there's still a sofa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

00:47:59.960 --> 00:48:02.096 Score's bias is so severe even if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00{:}48{:}02.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.928$ account for the fact that black and

 $00:48:03.928 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.512$ Hispanic patients are younger and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00{:}48{:}05.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.336$ in the in the predictive score you

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:48:07.336 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.319$ still have to over with the disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:48:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.216$ So that's but I think as we said

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

00:48:11.216 --> 00:48:13.017 the most logical thing to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:48:13.017 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.592$ is throw soap out completely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:48:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.160$ You can build a new score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:48:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.975$ We're trying out SEPA severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:48:17.975 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.173$ illness plus age 'cause we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:48:20.173 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.399$ want to start with age that like

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00{:}48{:}22.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.176$ trigger the anti ageist people like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441581434285714

 $00:48:24.176 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.596$ So that's what we're starting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00:48:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.615$ One other point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00{:}48{:}29.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}32.285$ even with one national triage that I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00:48:32.285 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.275$ I'm aware of that we've done

00:48:33.280 --> 00:48:35.746 recently with vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00{:}48{:}35.746 \to 00{:}48{:}39.373$ age was universally accepted, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00:48:39.373 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.548$ It's bizarre to me that it was so

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00:48:42.548 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.060$ widely accepted and uncontroversial

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00:48:44.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.965$ in the allocation of vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00:48:45.965 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.080$ that which has been so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31783116

 $00:48:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.560$ so controversial in ICU allocation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:48:51.760 --> 00:48:52.936 Yeah, I think part of it is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:48:52.936 --> 00:48:53.963 if you don't allocate someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:48:53.963 --> 00:48:55.118 life support and needs it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:48:55.120 --> 00:48:57.240 they just will die immediately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}48{:}57.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.400$ Whereas young people, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:48:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.720$ most of them just were able to wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}00.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02.155$ You guys can wait and get their

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:02.155 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.505$ vaccine later on and they survive

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}03.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.331$ except for the ones who didn't, right.

 $00:49:05.331 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.378$ And and I think there were you

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:08.378 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.406$ know there was there was trade-offs

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:10.406 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.720$ there with that decision of 65 plus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:49:12.720 --> 00:49:14.876 right for for vaccines obviously I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:14.876 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.660$ they were justified because we saved

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:16.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.529$ a lot more lives by vaccinating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:18.587 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.435$ elderly people than people under 65.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}20.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.162$ But make no mistake that was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:22.162 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.981$ choice and there were a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:23.981 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.517$ people who were sixty with diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}25.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28.375$ would Incarnate settings who died of

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}28.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.720$ COVID and waited for their vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}31.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35.128$ So any other comments on age just

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:35.128 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.570$ just I I haven't not in the past I

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.565$ might not see you next could you just

00:49:39.565 --> 00:49:41.983 clarify for us now so so you you make

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}41.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43.959$ a good argument for using age and

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}43.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.470$ I I I agree with that too but can

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:46.549 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.034$ you just clarify for us where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.038$ federal government stands on this now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:49:51.040 --> 00:49:53.360 Well, it's a new administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.652$ presumably there's been some shake up

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:49:55.652 --> 00:49:57.822 this hasn't this actually never went

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}49{:}57.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59.124$ to court And then Scoben's explained

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:49:59.124 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.733$ this to me like 5 times with the law

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}50{:}00.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.000$ of stuff and then it was screwed up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.560$ But it's never been litigated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.320$ So it's not like it's gone to court,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.324$ federal court and they've said the

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:07.324 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.916$ using age in the CSC violates the age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.020$ just anti Age Discrimination Act

 $00:50:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.859$ of 1976 or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:13.859 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.697$ And then also like from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:50:16.697 --> 00:50:18.240 constitutional perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.248$ age is not a protected class in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:20.248 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.236$ same way as race and ethnicity is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.251$ So a, a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:23.251 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.273$ a state could presumably pass a

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:50:25.273 --> 00:50:27.991 law that says we care about saving

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:27.991 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.680$ life years and that would hold up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}50{:}30.680 \to 00{:}50{:}32.031$ although none of this he has like

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:32.031 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.590$ a huge law review article on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.055$ can't make sense on this. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}50{:}35.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37.120$ So yeah, that's where it is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.280$ I I don't think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.160$ I think the first step from a

 $00:50:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.780$ research perspective and bioethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.520$ perspective is just to kind of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:50:44.520 --> 00:50:46.278 hammer this home in the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:50:46.280 --> 00:50:48.222 right, And just show like, hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:48.222 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.776$ this is if you're making a triage

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:49.776 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.000$ for how old someone is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.392$ is critically important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:50:52.392 --> 00:50:55.640 And hopefully the weight of that evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:50:55.718 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.960$ will effect policy down the line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:50:56.960 --> 00:50:59.109 But we're really far away from having

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}50{:}59.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00.679$ sensible CSC policy these days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}51{:}00.680 --> 00{:}51{:}02.160$ And just a quick note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:51:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.916$ because I think a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:51:02.916 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.400$ in the room know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:51:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.660$ certainly other people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:51:04.660 --> 00:51:05.920 working on our policy here

00:51:05.920 --> 00:51:07.280 because in the pediatric world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}51{:}07.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.835$ the sofa isn't really for the kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:51:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.380$ So we used a different store called

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}51{:}11.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.840$ the PLA Two and for newborns

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

00:51:12.840 --> 00:51:13.800 there was nothing available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:51:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.840$ So we actually sort of jury rigged

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:51:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.080$ something for the purposes of our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00{:}51{:}17.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.960$ Yeah, our here. Yeah, You know, they don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39907873

 $00:51:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.760$ So there we go first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.920$ Yeah, favouring the young person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.570$ the old becomes hugely important

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00{:}51{:}26.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.849$ when for example here in Yale it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00{:}51{:}28.849 \to 00{:}51{:}30.367$ essentially the same ventilators that

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

00:51:30.367 --> 00:51:32.194 we use for the 80 year olds and two

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.440$ year olds and the 23 week preterm baby.

 $00:51:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.480$ Now that may be changed by the time the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.360$ Sure. Yeah it's a little,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.352$ I guess it's a little U-shaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:39.352 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.752$ in the sense that a 23 week old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

00:51:41.752 --> 00:51:43.715 you know may may have a you

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

00:51:43.715 --> 00:51:45.375 know 50% mortality or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:45.375 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.930$ So that that that those types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.325$ considerations would happen and obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00{:}51{:}50.325 \to 00{:}51{:}53.136$ with with COVID since infecting you

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:53.136 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.455$ know 99% adults then in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00{:}51{:}56.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.355$ causing critical illness anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:51:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.701$ we kind of got a free pass on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

00:51:59.701 --> 00:52:00.850 but that's another issue with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00{:}52{:}00.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.479$ age that we have to deal with right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29941788

 $00:52:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.400$ Thanks. Here's another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29667825

 $00:52:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.616$ So I'm a first year my student never

 $00:52:07.616 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.598$ heard of sofa before this but I would just

NOTE Confidence: 0.29667825

 $00:52:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.000$ hopefully you'll never hear again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29667825

 $00:52:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.876$ No, it's going to be around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29667825

 $00:52:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.356$ It's been around for 30 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29667825

 $00:52:13.360 \dashrightarrow 00:52:15.439$ People like people would go stick or sofa for

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00.52:16.240 \longrightarrow 00.52:16.879$ This is why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.838$ Well this is that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.080$ This is a cohort defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.872$ as critically ill people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

00:52:29.880 --> 00:52:32.060 So everyone here needed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.804$ ventilator or needed basolactin

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00{:}52{:}33.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35.639$ medications to treat their shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.220$ So the by construction

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.195$ this is a sick population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.825$ the population that you would

 $00:52:40.825 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.450$ be running crisis standard care

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

00:52:42.507 --> 00:52:44.157 protocol like you have to have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

00:52:44.160 --> 00:52:45.770 you have to have at least like

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.419$ a SOFA by construction of three

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:47.419 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.512$ or four if you think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:49.574 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.359$ score in order to get in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.586$ But yes, there isn't as much correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:53.586 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.797$ between age and sofa as you'd expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

00:52:55.800 --> 00:52:58.248 But remember this is part of the problem of

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:52:58.248 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.920$ it just it's before the life support starts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.840$ So you're just using like how how bad was

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.636$ there pulse oximetry to its own problems too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.635$ right before they started on the ventilator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00{:}53{:}11.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.080$ And so everyone's was bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.880$ The old people and young people

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00{:}53{:}15.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.976$ are about the same right there.

00:53:17.976 --> 00:53:20.120 If you recalculated everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00{:}53{:}20.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.080$ couple days into the ICU stay age and

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.320$ silk would start to be more better

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

00:53:25.320 --> 00:53:27.278 correlating and like you would see this red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.445$ these red lines kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:28.445 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.456$ **** a little like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:29.456 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.780$ Does that make sense? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.520$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

00:53:31.520 --> 00:53:32.000 All right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062

 $00:53:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.480$ great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25546062 00:53:32.480 --> 00:53:32.720 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:53:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.809$ so with age, the issue is so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

00:53:42.809 --> 00:53:44.599 work in the emergency department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:53:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.488$ they tell me about 30 patients of theirs

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:53:46.488 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.280$ and stuff like this is an 80 year old,

 $00:53:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.276$ blah blah. And I said stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:53:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.503$ Is this an 80 year old who was playing

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00{:}53{:}51.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.622$ golf earlier today or is this an 80 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

00:53:53.622 --> 00:53:55.277 old who scrolled up in a ball in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:53:55.277 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.080$ nursing home with three times of cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:53:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.520$ Because it's very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00{:}53{:}58.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00.320$ But then immediately we're interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

00:54:00.320 --> 00:54:03.712 in ableism, you know, And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00{:}54{:}03.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.740$ in medicine we rarely think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:54:05.812 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.637$ age in any other situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:54:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.160$ We're always talking about functional status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:54:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.845$ For 20 or 30 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:54:10.845 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.720$ it's all about functional status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

00:54:12.720 --> 00:54:14.746 But then I just get, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

 $00:54:14.746 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.000$ twirled up and and stuck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3049378

00:54:17.000 --> 00:54:19.116 Yeah, age doesn't even want his own.

 $00:54:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.200$ But it it should matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.075$ Yeah, I think it's obviously there's for

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

00:54:24.075 --> 00:54:26.840 any given numerical age someone is 80,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.095$ let's say that that has there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:30.095 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.440$ distribution of what that means

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.196$ for surviving critical illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

00:54:34.196 --> 00:54:36.830 Some 80 year olds probably are

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.770$ actually like the average 70

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.640$ year old or 65 year old, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.532$ But that being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00{:}54{:}43.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.920$ I think you using the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.440$ value for the average 80 year old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.786$ so including your two extreme examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:50.786 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.795$ in the middle is part of the triage

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:54:53.795 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.630$ score is ethically justified because

00:54:55.701 --> 00:54:57.917 our goal is to save the most lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00{:}54{:}57.920 \longrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.027$ And you know if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.3101607

 $00:55:00.027 \dashrightarrow 00:55:01.280$ the relationship between COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

 $00:55:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.055$ anti pneumonia or critical illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

00:55:08.055 --> 00:55:10.918 in general and survival or mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

00:55:10.918 --> 00:55:12.688 it just stopped like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

 $00:55:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.865$ So particularly after 80 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

 $00:55:15.865 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.405$ when things really skyrocket.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

 $00{:}55{:}18.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.727$ But no, your point is well taken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

00:55:20.730 --> 00:55:22.595 Chronological age is an imperfect

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

00:55:22.595 --> 00:55:24.460 variable here but I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

00:55:24.532 --> 00:55:26.367 argue it's one complicated or

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

00:55:28.570 --> 00:55:29.614 question I have is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

 $00:55:29.614 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.760$ guys so much more did that

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

 $00:55:30.808 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.173$ was the type of the cycle plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.27277675

 $00:55:32.173 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.530$ as well as the subdivsines

 $00:55:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.749$ that's there. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00{:}55{:}35.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38.552$ The sofa score does not have any history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00:55:38.552 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.960$ The the sofa score is just based

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00:55:41.029 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.577$ on lab values and mild signs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00:55:43.577 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.120$ medications that the patient's receiving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00:55:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.008$ So the sofa score does not you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00:55:49.008 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.800$ which is nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00:55:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.432$ This is why he's appealing, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00{:}55{:}51.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54.024$ It's like this kind of objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00:55:54.024 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.768$ descrip description the patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00{:}55{:}55.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57.560$ physiological state without any

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00:55:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.285$ stigmatizing points for their past medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.3211300125

 $00{:}56{:}00.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}02.395$ history or other medical conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00:56:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.320$ And So what

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00:56:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.584$ if you are fit with other than that

 $00:56:07.584 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.351$ is is the fact that we do have other

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00{:}56{:}10.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.760$ than that the which the substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00:56:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.930$ as well as many on the site that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00:56:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.200$ dealing with after that the COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00:56:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.695$ So I always call people to play into

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00:56:21.695 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.350$ evaluating persons coming into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00:56:23.411 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.463$ hospital where they can save them

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

00:56:25.463 --> 00:56:27.840 because they're younger and they got it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26052567

 $00{:}56{:}27.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.160$ it might go out and do something with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

00:56:31.160 --> 00:56:31.750 guidance, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:56:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.225$ This gets into what benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

00:56:33.225 --> 00:56:34.760 are you trying to maximize?

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:56:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.998$ Is there should you think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:56:36.998 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.861$ other things than just who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:56:38.861 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.919$ alive at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

00:56:40.920 --> 00:56:42.544 I think it's really tricky when you

 $00:56:42.544 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.842$ start to do quality adjusted life years

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00{:}56{:}44.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.394$ calculation and cost effectiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

00:56:46.400 --> 00:56:47.640 People love to do right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

00:56:47.640 --> 00:56:51.080 Discounting certain types of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00{:}56{:}51.080 --> 00{:}56{:}53.348$ you know, how do you even assign that value

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:56:53.348 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.637$ if someone with substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:56:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.570$ should they have like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:56:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.114$ priority lower about 20%?

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

00:56:59.120 --> 00:57:01.232 I don't think that that's hard to build

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:57:01.232 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.391$ that and justification to that nice thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:57:03.391 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.680$ about lives just sort of objectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00{:}57{:}05.680 --> 00{:}57{:}07.430$ I mean treats back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00:57:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.480$ treating people equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

 $00{:}57{:}08.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09.776$ Everyone's a person even if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.25709173

00:57:09.776 --> 00:57:10.640 have chronic medical conditions.

 $00:57:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.840$ One thing you mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:57:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.582$ right at the beginning of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:57:16.582 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.710$ talk and wondering if this might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:57:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.320$ accurate is is user regression model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:57:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.133$ Instead of having a triage store where

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}57{:}27.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.304$ you with a triage store you're making

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:57:30.304 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.800$ arbitrary decisions about what categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:57:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.656$ can predict mortality, and with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}57{:}37.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}40.680$ regression model you find out what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:57:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.837$ You find out what factors predict mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}57{:}43.840 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}57{:}47.662$ And it may be that in certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:57:47.662 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.306$ cases age is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:57:50.306 --> 00:57:53.731 But you know, I guess is if

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:57:53.731 --> 00:57:57.397 somebody comes in short of breath,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:57:57.400 --> 00:57:59.402 it's going to be way more important

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:57:59.402 --> 00:58:01.488 that they have in your renal failure

 $00:58:01.488 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.240$ than if they're 70 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}58{:}03.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07.060$ I mean the the age is going to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:58:07.060 --> 00:58:07.600 well, maybe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.520$ but that's what the regression will test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.212$ So that's exactly right and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}58{:}11.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.720$ exactly the approach we're taking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.065$ We're developing a development data

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:14.065 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.731$ set where we're fitting a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}58{:}15.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.076$ variable prediction model which will

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}58{:}17.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.000$ probably just be a simple regression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.365$ From that regression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:58:20.365 --> 00:58:22.640 we'll make a triage score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.464$ All it does is all you do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:58:24.464 --> 00:58:26.054 convert the predictions from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:26.054 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.839$ model to numbers that's what.

 $00:58:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.829$ And then propose that and the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}58{:}30.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33.812$ weight of age to an urog renal

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:33.812 \dashrightarrow 00:58:36.560$ failure will be something I make up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.723$ It'll be based on the you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}58{:}38.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}39.650$ Cisco relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:58:39.705 --> 00:58:41.440 those variables and the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.036$ So yeah thanks for that comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00.58:43.040 \longrightarrow 00.58:44.120$ That's that's perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.200$ That's the plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.856$ And that makes this prevents us

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00{:}58{:}46.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}48.386$ from being anti ageist, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:58:48.386 --> 00:58:50.516 Because that's just what the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.902$ you know the the fiscal relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:58:52.902 --> 00:58:55.200 between age and ICU survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.150$ controlling a pot for all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:58:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.450$ other important medical variables

 $00{:}58{:}58.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00.159$ that we can measure at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.240$ It's not age alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.680$ So I I I want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.792$ I'm worried that in in my mind that

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:05.792 \dashrightarrow 00:59:08.102$ maybe and some others it's easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:08.102 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.600$ complete 22 important but separate issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.692$ One is that the age is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:12.692 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.744$ to predict survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:13.744 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.440$ But there's the separate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.840$ the fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:59:15.840 --> 00:59:17.616 The fair eatings argument is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

00:59:17.616 --> 00:59:19.036 a separate discussion isn't it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.36577955

 $00:59:19.040 \dashrightarrow 00:59:20.475$ It's not just about how age predictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.480$ even if two individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.702$ with the exact same likelihood of

00:59:24.702 --> 00:59:26.440 surviving COVID or whatever it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.250$ one is 80 and one is 30, Those of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.840$ and I'm with those who advocate

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.360$ for the fair eatings argument,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.033$ we still say that then we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00.59:34.033 \longrightarrow 00.59:35.884$ favour the 30 year old over the 80

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:35.884 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.768$ year old regardless of the predicted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:38.768 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.440$ the predicted mortality is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

00:59:42.440 --> 00:59:44.501 Yeah, I mean that's what I was trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:44.501 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.618$ do with this slide is sort of separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:46.618 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.960$ those two out and prevent that conflation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

00:59:48.960 --> 00:59:50.640 You know, I think that for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.800$ those of us who are closet fairings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.765$ people like Mossad, we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:55.765 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.340$ I think we just make this argument right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $00:59:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.240$ The second one,

 $00:59:59.240 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.402$ the one that is much harder to

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

01:00:01.402 --> 01:00:03.166 push back against because we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:03.166 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.439$ forget we can fit regression models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:05.440 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.456$ We can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:06.456 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.488$ Isolate the independent prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:08.488 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.930$ of a effective age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

01:00:09.930 --> 01:00:12.494 and I should have said controlling for

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:12.494 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.029$ all other measurable clinical variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:15.029 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.916$ that we can gather and just say all

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:17.916 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.760$ we're trying to do is save lives here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:19.760 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.360$ We love old people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:21.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.160$ Their value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:22.160 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.630$ the life of an old person and

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:23.630 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.880$ a young person is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

01:00:24.880 --> 01:00:27.080 We're not going to do fair ending stuff,

01:00:27.080 --> 01:00:28.046 and in practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:28.046 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.717$ though you will have life years and fairness

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

01:00:30.717 --> 01:00:33.160 benefits when you put that in place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:33.160 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.824$ if that makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:34.824 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.106$ Even though you're not building that explicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01{:}00{:}38.106 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}40.735$ tiebreaker mechanism like you described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

01:00:40.735 --> 01:00:42.355 Mark into your score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:42.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.038$ At the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:43.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.276$ at the end of the simulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

01:00:44.280 --> 01:00:46.872 you're going to save a ton more life

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:46.872 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.320$ years if you use age in this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28912687

 $01:00:49.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.120$ Does that make sense?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01{:}00{:}53.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55.460$ I was wondering I guess like on

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:00:55.460 --> 01:00:56.930 a slightly different note in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:00:56.986 --> 01:00:58.516 context of the COVID vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:00:58.520 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.696$ when you said that the elderly got confers

 $01:01:01.696 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.462$ because there was a stronger benefit, Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01{:}01{:}04.462 \to 01{:}01{:}07.216$ To what extent is, I'm not familiar as

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:07.216 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.560$ familiar with a lot of these models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:08.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.510$ To what extent is the rapeutic benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01{:}01{:}10.510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}13.131$ included in these models or is that like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01{:}01{:}13.131 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}14.920$ case specific thing or disease specific?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:14.920 --> 01:01:17.512 Well, yeah, I mean the for for the vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:17.520 --> 01:01:20.012 it's you basically say who's most likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:20.012 --> 01:01:22.655 to die from COVID, who's on vaccinate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:22.655 --> 01:01:24.625 It's the oldest people, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:24.625 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.160$ So by protecting them with the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:28.160 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.368$ it's like the the exact opposite

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:30.368 --> 01:01:31.472 of this situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:31.480 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.125$ Then you dramatically lower their

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:33.125 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.380$ risk of death from COVID and you

 $01:01:35.380 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.476$ save more lives here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:36.480 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.500$ Everyone who doesn't get treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:38.500 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.874$ with life support dies by definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:40.874 --> 01:01:42.759 because they're in respiratory failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:42.760 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.439$ cardiac failure, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:45.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.988$ And so then you need to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:47.988 --> 01:01:50.331 the people most likely to survive

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:50.331 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.276$ to save the most lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:52.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.896$ I should move on to the slack ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:53.896 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.936$ or if it gets harder, harder for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:55.936 --> 01:01:58.440 But Mark, do you want to say something or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:01:58.440 --> 01:01:59.360 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:01:59.360 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.773$ so one thing that seems like there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:02:00.773 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.038$ like certain effort to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:02:03.040 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.960$ judgment a lot of these metrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01:02:06.960 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.836$ So, so for example,

01:02:08.836 --> 01:02:10.712 we're taking something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

 $01{:}02{:}10.712 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}13.038$ in controvertible like how old somebody is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:02:13.040 --> 01:02:13.295 But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:02:13.295 --> 01:02:15.080 but as you sort of applied before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:02:15.080 --> 01:02:15.568 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5167727

01:02:15.568 --> 01:02:17.276 you get some divisions in the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:18.400 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.240$ and they can probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:19.480 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.400$ predict a pretty algorithm too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:21.400 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.798$ like who's calling? And so I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:23.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.038$ wondering, have you thought about using

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}02{:}25.040 \to 01{:}02{:}28.024$ and they probably use the person's H or

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:02:28.024 --> 01:02:30.120 they're pure H but a lot of things too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}02{:}30.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}31.776$ like like Karen is standing out

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:02:31.776 --> 01:02:33.320 like frailty or *****. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:33.320 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.892$ So have you thought at all about

 $01:02:35.892 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.757$ it taking a Bayesian statistical

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}02{:}37.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}39.200$ approach where somebody says, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:39.200 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.748$ I've got a pretest probability of XYZ

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:02:41.748 --> 01:02:43.720 and now, you know, like some data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:43.720 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.765$ So actually having the for your absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:02:46.765 --> 01:02:49.079 position state their prior belief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:02:49.080 --> 01:02:50.800 I mean just to exhibit doesn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:02:50.800 --> 01:02:52.582 because I didn't mean that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}02{:}52.582 \rightarrow 01{:}02{:}53.760$ statistic doesn't really stand

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:53.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.320$ alone in the absence of other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:55.320 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.757$ That's cool. That's a really cool idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:02:58.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.828$ I try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:02:59.828 --> 01:03:02.320 I'm trying now to keep things simpler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:02.320 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.360$ but I really like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:03.360 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.686$ So you would need of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:04.686 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.280$ a data set of predictions,

 $01:03:06.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.516$ which would be hard to obtain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}03{:}07.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}08.687$ of subjective predictions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:08.687 --> 01:03:11.410 You would need a data set perspectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:11.475 --> 01:03:13.599 collected of prediction from the ER,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:13.600 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.134$ for example, before they debated some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:16.134 --> 01:03:18.759 like what's the probability of survival?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:18.760 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.440$ That's cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:19.440 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.120$ All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:20.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.000$ So now this one is a really big problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:23.000 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.285$ very perhaps the most contentious thing I

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:27.285 --> 01:03:29.560 think in in the current biological debate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:29.560 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.670$ and that's how to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:30.670 --> 01:03:31.114 structural inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:31.120 --> 01:03:31.960 I showed you this earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:31.960 --> 01:03:32.338 right?

 $01:03:32.338 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.228$ Where people died in Chicago

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}03{:}34.228 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36.320$ was based on structural factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:36.320 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.720$ based on a history of redlining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:38.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.352$ People with disadvantaged communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:40.352 --> 01:03:43.297 were much more likely to acquire COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:43.297 --> 01:03:46.279 because of where they were living,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:46.280 --> 01:03:48.520 because of where they had to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:48.520 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.568$ They had to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}03{:}49.568 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}50.354$ They're essential workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:50.360 --> 01:03:52.244 They're out acquiring COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}03{:}52.244 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}54.599$ living in congregate living settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:54.600 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.334$ They didn't have the luxury of

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:03:56.334 --> 01:03:57.490 locking themselves in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}03{:}57.543 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}59.037$ room and zooming all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:03:59.040 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.880$ They had to be out of that in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:00.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.973$ And all of this is because the

 $01:04:02.973 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.639$ city is designed on purpose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:04.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.160$ or was designed on purpose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:06.160 --> 01:04:06.943 I should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:06.943 --> 01:04:09.080 by the federal government to look like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:09.080 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.496$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:09.496 --> 01:04:11.160 That's what redlining is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:11.160 --> 01:04:13.504 a systematic investment disinvestment

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}04{:}13.504 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}16.434$ campaign that was explicitly racist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:16.440 --> 01:04:20.332 If you haven't read this Mapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:20.332 --> 01:04:20.996 Inequality website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:20.996 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.320$ I strongly encourage you to see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:23.320 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.560$ I The words are repugnant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}04{:}25.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}27.912$ but it makes it quite clear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:27.912 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.317$ our cities were designed by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:30.317 --> 01:04:32.522 federal government to be racially

01:04:32.522 --> 01:04:34.410 segregated on purpose, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:34.410 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.000$ And we have to deal with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:37.000 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.364$ in sort of everything we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:39.364 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.140$ addressing from clinical medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:41.140 --> 01:04:42.916 ethics and bioethics perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:42.920 --> 01:04:47.320 But the question is how to handle this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:47.320 --> 01:04:49.520 this history of structural racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:49.520 --> 01:04:52.797 this history of disadvantaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}04{:}52.797 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}54.982$ certain populations on purpose when

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:04:54.982 --> 01:04:57.399 we're making a triage score for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982 01:04:57.400 --> 01:04:57.748 like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:57.748 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.444$ crisis care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:04:58.444 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.532$ And what I'm gonna go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:00.532 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.896$ is 4 different ideas I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:02.896 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.224$ Kind of taken from the machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:05.224 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.680$ learning literature actually,

 $01:05:06.680 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.445$ about different goals you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01{:}05{:}08.445 \to 01{:}05{:}10.840$ have when you're making a protocol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:10.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.680$ and I'll go through these one by one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:05:12.680 --> 01:05:15.520 The 1st is demographic parity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:15.520 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.872$ which is each member of any

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:18.872 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.020$ racial ethnic group has the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:05:21.099 --> 01:05:23.558 probability of receiving truth, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

01:05:23.558 --> 01:05:25.782 Probably the only way you can do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:25.782 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.316$ in practice mathematically is a lottery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:28.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.244$ a random assignment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26735982

 $01:05:29.244 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.400$ It turns out that works pretty well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:05:31.400 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.217$ right? Almost as well as using sofa in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}05{:}34.217 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}37.000$ of saving lives because of sofa's bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:05:37.000 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.493$ But if you it, it's far from the optimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:05:39.493 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.639$ solution in terms of maximizing benefits.

 $01:05:41.640 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.030$ So a lottery while we achieve

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:05:45.030 --> 01:05:47.600 equal allocation does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:05:47.600 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.760$ respect maximizing benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:05:49.760 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.640$ So then the next idea is non discrimination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:05:52.640 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.504$ But make sure your SOFA is not biased

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:05:54.504 --> 01:05:56.158 against the racial and ethnic group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:05:56.160 --> 01:05:57.798 Be very sensitive that certain groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:05:57.800 --> 01:06:00.236 particularly for black patients for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}06{:}00.240 \mathrel{--}{>} 01{:}06{:}01.840$ have been structurally disadvantaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:01.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.238$ by our society and we have to be extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}06{:}05.238 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}07.415$ careful to not make things worse when

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:07.481 \longrightarrow 01:06:09.637$ we're allocating scarce resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:09.640 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.030$ Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:06:10.030 --> 01:06:12.760 And I hopefully have made the argument

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:06:12.760 --> 01:06:15.723 and convinced you that SOFA would violate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:15.723 \longrightarrow 01:06:17.838$ this principle of non discrimination

 $01:06:17.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.594$ and it would exacerbate the disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:20.594 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.879$ that we've already seen in the COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:23.879 \longrightarrow 01:06:26.159$ pandemic if implemented to triage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:06:26.160 --> 01:06:28.158 So that's the second principle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:28.160 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.036$ which is these are kind of in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:06:30.040 --> 01:06:30.810 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:06:30.810 --> 01:06:33.120 oriented in terms of more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:33.120 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.880$ more equity potentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:34.880 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.190$ So how do you debias the score that's biased

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:38.190 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.320$ against a particular racial ethnic group?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}06{:}40.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}43.902$ Well it turns out that using race

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}06{:}43.902 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}47.012$ ethnicity directly to fix SOFA

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}06{:}47.012 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}50.040$ like -1 if the person's black for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:50.040 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.145$ example to sort of correct the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:06:52.145 --> 01:06:54.480 bias I described earlier is very

 $01:06:54.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:56.716$ challenging for multiple dimensions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:56.720 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.120$ The state of Minnesota tried to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:06:59.120 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.472$ They they ran a regression model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:01.472 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.947$ they put all the clinical variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:03.947 --> 01:07:06.692 including H for probability of death

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:06.692 --> 01:07:08.988 from COVID-19 and and they also

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:08.988 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.600$ included a term for that was Bipoc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:11.600 --> 01:07:12.816 So basically non white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}07{:}12.816 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}14.640$ Anybody who identified as non white

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:14.640 \longrightarrow 01:07:17.440$ and that term statistically and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}07{:}17.440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}19.152$ independently predicted COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:19.152 --> 01:07:21.520 mortality because it's capturing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:21.520 --> 01:07:23.320 even though it's a social construct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:23.320 \longrightarrow 01:07:25.532$ correlated with other unmeasured

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:25.532 \longrightarrow 01:07:26.638$ clinical variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:26.640 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.796$ So they put that into their score.

01:07:28.800 --> 01:07:31.232 If you were, you're the same person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:31.232 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.230$ the same age, same medical comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:33.230 --> 01:07:35.080 If you identified as Bipoc,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:35.080 --> 01:07:37.362 you'd be more likely to get monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:37.362 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.160$ antibody treatment if you got COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:39.160 \longrightarrow 01:07:41.305$ This of course was grossly

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:41.305 --> 01:07:43.021 misinterpreted by certain people

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:43.021 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.637$ and manipulated for political gain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:45.640 \longrightarrow 01:07:48.520$ That's a completely erroneous statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:48.520 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.906$ But this is the political challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:49.906 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.917$ that we have to deal with these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:51.920 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.985$ There are people like that in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:53.985 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.838$ country that we have to handle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:07:55.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.398$ And also from a constitutional perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:07:59.400 --> 01:08:01.620 with the recent affirmative action

01:08:01.620 --> 01:08:03.840 decision explicitly using someone's race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:03.840 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.224$ it's like one of, you know the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:08:06.224 --> 01:08:08.320 in general to allocate anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:08.320 \longrightarrow 01:08:10.276$ maybe run, it's a legal challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:08:10.280 --> 01:08:11.170 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:11.170 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.395$ there's the practical one where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:08:13.400 --> 01:08:15.176 you know, if it's like you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:08:15.176 --> 01:08:16.360 trying to give ventilators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}08{:}16.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}18.418$ and if some body who looks to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:08:18.418 --> 01:08:20.718 eye that you would racialize them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:08:20.720 --> 01:08:21.839 as White says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.653687501:08:21.839 --> 01:08:22.212 oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01{:}08{:}22.212 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}24.135$ I'm black and I know your score

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:08:24.135 --> 01:08:25.075 gives me higher priority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:25.080 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.620$ How do you handle that 'cause this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:26.620 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.278$ is a life or death situation.

01:08:28.280 --> 01:08:31.200 And I think that practical issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:31.200 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.120$ of are you actually counting on

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

01:08:33.120 --> 01:08:35.036 triage teams to racialize people

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:35.036 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.276$ and to socially constructed groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:37.280 \longrightarrow 01:08:39.880$ that seems very problematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536875

 $01:08:39.880 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.280$ So how do we get it on 'cause we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:08:43.376 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.622$ to one the what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:08:44.622 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.366$ What people have done is

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:08:46.366 \longrightarrow 01:08:47.996$ just modify the sofa score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:08:48.000 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.560$ That's what state of Colorado's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:08:49.560 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.716$ So get rid of the renal component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

01:08:51.720 --> 01:08:53.771 I think it's best to just throw

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01{:}08{:}53.771 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}56.144$ it out all together and come up

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

01:08:56.144 --> 01:08:58.160 with a new score that perhaps much

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:08:58.225 \longrightarrow 01:09:00.480$ better captures acute renal failure.

 $01:09:00.480 \longrightarrow 01:09:02.440$ It's the extent that we can measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

01:09:02.440 --> 01:09:03.962 them in triage scenario compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01{:}09{:}03.962 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}06.153$ to this score which rolls in a cute

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:09:06.153 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.036$ and chronic renal failure together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

01:09:08.036 --> 01:09:11.359 But in the pulmonary data for the the grant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

01:09:11.360 --> 01:09:12.236 which I think I took out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

01:09:12.240 --> 01:09:14.160 'cause I have way too many slides already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:09:14.160 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.240$ we used area deprivation index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

01:09:16.240 --> 01:09:17.360 which I'll talk about in a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:09:17.360 \longrightarrow 01:09:19.761$ where someone lives as a way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01{:}09{:}19.761 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}22.274$ achieve the outcome that Minnesota was

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

01:09:22.274 --> 01:09:24.629 going for without explicitly using

NOTE Confidence: 0.52647996

 $01:09:24.629 \longrightarrow 01:09:26.919$ someone's racial or ethnic identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:29.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:31.620$ So the next idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:31.620 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.800$ aside from non discrimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:33.800 \longrightarrow 01:09:37.376$ is to actually look at that map and say like,

 $01:09:37.376 \longrightarrow 01:09:39.560$ can we even the playing field here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:39.560 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.044$ right? Can we spread?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:41.044 \longrightarrow 01:09:45.190$ Can we mitigate the severe inequity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:09:45.190 --> 01:09:48.350 pandemic by how we're allocating scarce

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:48.350 \longrightarrow 01:09:51.920$ life support treatments, and should we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:51.920 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.719$ There's tools, objective tools to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:53.720 \longrightarrow 01:09:56.564$ This is the area of deformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:09:56.564 \longrightarrow 01:09:59.456$ index as you see this map of Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:09:59.456 --> 01:10:02.140 I don't know, I didn't explain that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:02.140 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.840$ This is where Druryville,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:03.840 \longrightarrow 01:10:05.215$ it's like the wealthiest area

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:05.215 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.920$ city is right by Navy Pier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01{:}10{:}06.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}10{:}079$ This is like a park like way very wealthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:10.080 \dashrightarrow 01:10:13.112$ Here's Hyde Park sort of an island that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:13.112 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.919$ where Chicago is wealth and privilege.

01:10:15.920 --> 01:10:18.040 And then here's the South and West side

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:10:18.040 --> 01:10:19.200 structured disadvantaged neighborhoods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217 01:10:19.200 --> 01:10:19.489 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:10:19.489 --> 01:10:20.356 So the homeowner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:20.356 \longrightarrow 01:10:22.918$ you can sort of see in that homeowner

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:10:22.918 --> 01:10:24.823 or the mapping inequality website

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:10:24.823 --> 01:10:26.960 how Hyde Park was constructed

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:26.960 \longrightarrow 01:10:29.245$ literally by the federal government

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:29.245 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.876$ to be to be blue on this map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:10:31.880 --> 01:10:33.032 And so you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01{:}10{:}33.032 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}34.760$ you can take someone's home address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:34.760 \longrightarrow 01:10:37.680$ map it to this area of information index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:10:37.680 --> 01:10:39.396 And what people like Doug White

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01{:}10{:}39.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}41.986$ have suggested is that you literally

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:41.986 \longrightarrow 01:10:43.824$ would subtract points because

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:43.824 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.503$ they're coming from a structurally

01:10:46.503 --> 01:10:47.509 disadvantaged neighbourhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01{:}10{:}47.509 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}51.030$ And the idea is that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:10:51.106 --> 01:10:52.820 to correct the structural inequity

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:52.820 \longrightarrow 01:10:54.795$ in the present day crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:54.800 \longrightarrow 01:10:56.970$ We recognize that things are way worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:10:56.970 \longrightarrow 01:10:58.560$ for certain communities than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:10:58.560 --> 01:11:01.360 And we're taking one point off for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:01.360 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.768$ And it turns out that there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:03.768 --> 01:11:05.160 implicit ethical happening here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:05.160 --> 01:11:06.064 which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01{:}11{:}06.064 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}07.760$ not really argued for in the paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:07.760 --> 01:11:09.338 But correcting this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:09.338 \longrightarrow 01:11:10.916$ correcting that map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:10.920 \longrightarrow 01:11:12.804$ making it the spreading the burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:12.804 \longrightarrow 01:11:15.058$ of COVID around is about 1/4 of

01:11:15.058 --> 01:11:16.918 as important as saving most lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01{:}11{:}16.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}17.840$ which I think is interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:17.840 \longrightarrow 01:11:20.176$ This is an example where one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:20.176 \longrightarrow 01:11:22.479$ protocols can reveal the underlying ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:22.480 \longrightarrow 01:11:24.330$ Here's the narrative description they

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:24.330 \longrightarrow 01:11:27.413$ use in the paper about how sofa based

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:27.413 \longrightarrow 01:11:29.753$ only system would prioritize this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:29.760 --> 01:11:33.346 The second patient will be prioritized

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:33.346 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.600$ in their novel system and they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:35.600 --> 01:11:36.320 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:36.320 --> 01:11:39.679 hand kudos to Doug White and and Pittsburgh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:39.680 --> 01:11:41.878 They actually did this when they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:41.878 \longrightarrow 01:11:43.400$ allocating their monoclonal antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:43.400 --> 01:11:45.554 They got around that problem with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:45.554 --> 01:11:48.313 you can't use race and ethnicity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:48.313 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.440$ they actually used where someone was,

 $01:11:52.440 \longrightarrow 01:11:53.400$ where someone lived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:53.400 \longrightarrow 01:11:54.900$ Calculate their ADI and give them

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:54.900 --> 01:11:56.481 twice the chance if they came

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:11:56.481 --> 01:11:57.796 from a high ADI neighborhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:11:57.800 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.096$ And that led to higher rates of allocation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:00.096 --> 01:12:02.000 than people who identified as black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:02.000 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.520$ which was their goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:04.520 --> 01:12:06.751 So why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:06.751 \longrightarrow 01:12:07.995$ What are the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:07.995 \longrightarrow 01:12:09.239$ criticisms of this approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:09.240 --> 01:12:10.506 Well, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:10.506 \longrightarrow 01:12:12.194$ there's you're using these

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:12.194 --> 01:12:13.038 narrative descriptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:13.040 \longrightarrow 01:12:14.400$ They didn't like the thesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:14.400 --> 01:12:14.682 Hickett,

01:12:14.682 --> 01:12:16.092 Hickett handling 2 guys who

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:16.092 --> 01:12:17.576 were involved with the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:17.576 --> 01:12:19.314 Academy of Medicine and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:19.314 --> 01:12:21.113 defining what crisis standards of care were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:21.120 \longrightarrow 01:12:22.280$ they really didn't like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:22.280 \longrightarrow 01:12:23.440$ narrative description of the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:23.440 --> 01:12:23.780 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:23.780 --> 01:12:25.480 You're making one patient really

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

01:12:25.480 --> 01:12:27.169 appealing based on like being

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:27.169 \longrightarrow 01:12:29.059$ a bus driver or whatever it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01{:}12{:}29.059 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}30.609$ an essential worker and another

NOTE Confidence: 0.80365217

 $01:12:30.609 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.517$ person you're really painting as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:32.520 \longrightarrow 01:12:33.927$ 7 year old who's had been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:33.927 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.079$ to live their whole life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:35.080 \longrightarrow 01:12:37.432$ They're kind of like bleeding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:37.432 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.864$ fair innings there too. And of course,

01:12:39.864 --> 01:12:41.880 the triage team is not supposed to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:41.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:43.410$ you know, think about those

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:12:43.410 --> 01:12:44.976 social factors in triage, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:44.976 \longrightarrow 01:12:46.656$ And that's what's the thrust

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:46.656 \longrightarrow 01:12:48.000$ of their main argument.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:12:48.000 --> 01:12:49.856 They also talk about ADI not being granular

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:49.856 \longrightarrow 01:12:51.598$ enough to identify with disadvantaged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:51.600 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.152$ So one story about this is we very

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01{:}12{:}54.152 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}55.516$ explicitly allocated our vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:55.516 \longrightarrow 01:12:57.514$ to our primary service area first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:57.520 \longrightarrow 01:12:59.440$ like around the University of Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:12:59.440 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.848$ And so that meant our wealthier patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01{:}13{:}01.848 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}04.520$ live in the suburbs had to wait their turn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:13:04.520 --> 01:13:05.720 And that's not something

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:05.720 \longrightarrow 01:13:06.920$ they're used to doing.

01:13:06.920 --> 01:13:09.280 So once they found out the allocation system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:09.280 \longrightarrow 01:13:10.060$ they say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:13:10.060 --> 01:13:11.696 if I buy an apartment in Inglewood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:11.696 \longrightarrow 01:13:12.980$ which is one of the nearby

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:13:13.026 --> 01:13:14.200 disadvantaged neighbourhoods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:14.200 \longrightarrow 01:13:16.000$ can I get them by vaccine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:16.000 \longrightarrow 01:13:19.272$ So not a lot of them are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:13:19.272 --> 01:13:21.828 nice people who care about such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:21.828 \longrightarrow 01:13:23.368$ I don't describe all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:13:23.368 --> 01:13:24.472 our our patients that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:24.472 \longrightarrow 01:13:25.660$ but you know of course the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:25.706 \longrightarrow 01:13:26.840$ the bad apples and the ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:26.840 \longrightarrow 01:13:29.040$ the emails that you remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:29.040 \longrightarrow 01:13:31.240$ and so we said no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:31.240 \longrightarrow 01:13:32.955$ you have to just stay in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:32.960 \longrightarrow 01:13:34.773$ stay in your house for one more

 $01:13:34.773 \longrightarrow 01:13:35.999$ week and you'll get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:36.000 \longrightarrow 01:13:38.322$ So you know but I think in in practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:38.322 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.036$ aside from those extreme examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:13:40.036 --> 01:13:42.118 it would be it's very granular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:42.120 \longrightarrow 01:13:43.751$ This is a census block like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:43.751 \longrightarrow 01:13:45.201$ could look around you should play

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:45.201 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.832$ go on the website and look around

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:46.883 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.365$ and you can you know neighborhoods

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:48.365 \longrightarrow 01:13:49.635$ that you know are systematically

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:49.635 \longrightarrow 01:13:51.560$ worse off will be red on there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:13:51.560 --> 01:13:53.318 It's pretty good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:53.320 \longrightarrow 01:13:55.792$ And there's always this possibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01{:}13{:}55.792 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}57.935$ introducing social factors in triage

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:57.935 \longrightarrow 01:13:59.655$ of unintended consequences downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:13:59.655 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.600$ the facts that you haven't anticipated.

 $01:14:02.600 \longrightarrow 01:14:05.400$ So these guys are OK with allocating

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:05.400 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.042$ vaccine and preventative medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:07.042 \longrightarrow 01:14:09.526$ based on error deprivation index or

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:09.526 \longrightarrow 01:14:12.361$ where someone lives as a way to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:12.361 \longrightarrow 01:14:14.439$ structural inequity but not life support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:14.440 \longrightarrow 01:14:16.040$ So here's what people think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:16.040 --> 01:14:17.186 And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:17.186 --> 01:14:19.478 I think the last idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:19.480 \longrightarrow 01:14:21.544$ which is perhaps the most controversial

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:21.544 \longrightarrow 01:14:24.603$ and often is the criticism of efforts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:24.603 --> 01:14:27.033 correct the present day structural equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:27.040 --> 01:14:28.958 is that you're really trying to correct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:28.960 --> 01:14:29.956 like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:29.956 \longrightarrow 01:14:31.948$ hundreds of years of wrongs on

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:31.948 --> 01:14:33.879 a particular population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:33.880 \longrightarrow 01:14:36.280$ And is that really the best place to do that?

 $01:14:36.280 \longrightarrow 01:14:38.445$ And so that's the criticism

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:38.445 \longrightarrow 01:14:40.335$ of a reparations argument.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:40.335 --> 01:14:43.730 But it's distinct from trying to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:43.815 --> 01:14:46.916 things more fair in the current crisis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:46.920 \longrightarrow 01:14:49.480$ if that makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:49.480 --> 01:14:50.960 All right, So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:50.960 \longrightarrow 01:14:52.960$ I want to make sure we have some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:52.960 \longrightarrow 01:14:54.715$ some at least 10 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:14:54.715 \longrightarrow 01:14:56.119$ for discussion on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:14:56.120 --> 01:14:59.170 Or maybe I can, I can just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01{:}14{:}59.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}01.784$ why don't I just keep talking and we'll and

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:15:01.784 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.073$ we'll talk about the last two together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:15:04.080 --> 01:15:05.400 Because I always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:15:05.400 \longrightarrow 01:15:06.780$ never, never this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:15:06.780 --> 01:15:09.040 And I think this is the perhaps

 $01:15:09.040 \longrightarrow 01:15:10.560$ the approximate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:15:10.560 --> 01:15:11.588 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:15:11.588 --> 01:15:14.410 the Bob Trude wrote this article

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:15:14.410 --> 01:15:15.910 in the Hastings report very early

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

 $01:15:15.910 \longrightarrow 01:15:17.591$ on the pandemic and pointed out

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:15:17.591 --> 01:15:19.061 that essentially all the thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.43432292

01:15:19.061 --> 01:15:19.649 experiments people

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:19.697 --> 01:15:21.155 were using were incorrect, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:15:21.155 \longrightarrow 01:15:23.045$ The way a pandemic would work

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:23.045 --> 01:15:25.238 is that the ICU would fill up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}15{:}25.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}27.354$ then a new patient would show up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:27.360 --> 01:15:28.608 be in respiratory failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:15:28.608 \longrightarrow 01:15:30.902$ and your decision would be to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:15:30.902 \longrightarrow 01:15:33.044$ that person and withdraw life support

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:15:33.044 \longrightarrow 01:15:35.024$ from someone already receiving it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}15{:}35.024 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}36.744$ You very rarely would you

 $01:15:36.744 \longrightarrow 01:15:38.120$ have this three patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}15{:}38.120 \longrightarrow 01{:}15{:}41.359$ one validator and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:15:41.359 \longrightarrow 01:15:43.618$ this is sort of an example, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:43.618 --> 01:15:45.682 The one thing I don't think I wrote

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}15{:}45.682 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}47.650$ here is that this person who's in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:47.650 --> 01:15:49.676 ICU to sit his patient in the ICU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:49.680 --> 01:15:51.664 you would know with a great much higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:51.664 --> 01:15:53.523 degree of certainty that they're what

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:53.523 --> 01:15:55.163 their probability of survival is

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:15:55.163 \longrightarrow 01:15:57.117$ than this person who just showed up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:15:57.120 \longrightarrow 01:15:59.720$ You know, you don't know much about them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:15:59.720 --> 01:16:02.120 that's whether they're 5050, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}16{:}02.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}05.000$ Whereas where you can have a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:05.000 \longrightarrow 01:16:07.170$ confidence but I think that confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:07.170 \longrightarrow 01:16:08.980$ around their survival function is

 $01:16:09.046 \longrightarrow 01:16:11.760$ much smaller and this is way so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}16{:}11.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}14.598$ Despite these crisis standards of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:14.598 \longrightarrow 01:16:16.803$ being enormously long documents full

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:16.803 \longrightarrow 01:16:18.558$ of they're very hard to parse through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:18.560 \longrightarrow 01:16:20.144$ Almost none of them like really

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:16:20.144 --> 01:16:21.984 get into the weeds on this except

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}16{:}21.984 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}24.082$ for the New York plan which has an

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:16:24.082 --> 01:16:25.797 incredibly strict sofa based system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:16:25.800 --> 01:16:28.719 Like if your sofa doesn't go down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:16:28.720 --> 01:16:29.564 ventilator's out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:29.564 \longrightarrow 01:16:32.518$ so that's not been tested or validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:32.520 \longrightarrow 01:16:34.782$ Whereas Maryland would have a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:34.782 \longrightarrow 01:16:37.090$ high barrier to withdraw off the

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}16{:}37.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}38.915$ the patient surrogates like said

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:16:38.920 --> 01:16:40.320 they don't withdraw life support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:40.320 \longrightarrow 01:16:42.560$ then they would have this chance to appeal,

 $01:16:42.560 \longrightarrow 01:16:45.230$ which of course would probably undermine

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:16:45.230 --> 01:16:47.680 any active reallocation in practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:47.680 \longrightarrow 01:16:49.759$ So what we're doing in the grant

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:49.759 \longrightarrow 01:16:51.758$ is actually building a simulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}16{:}51.758 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}54.190$ model of sufficient complexity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:54.190 \longrightarrow 01:16:57.280$ depth to simulate what would happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:16:57.280 --> 01:16:59.702 And one of my main hypotheses is

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:16:59.702 \longrightarrow 01:17:01.920$ that without some with drawal rule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:17:01.920 \longrightarrow 01:17:03.255$ without some mechanism to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:17:03.255 \longrightarrow 01:17:04.590$ life support and reallocate it

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:17:04.632 \longrightarrow 01:17:05.480$ to the waiting list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:17:05.480 \longrightarrow 01:17:08.160$ it's going to be first and first serve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:17:08.160 --> 01:17:11.576 So you can make this fancy triage

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:17:11.576 \longrightarrow 01:17:14.080$ store and it's not going to matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

01:17:14.080 --> 01:17:16.238 because it's just going to be who

01:17:16.238 --> 01:17:17.954 showed up first and then there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01{:}17{:}17.954 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}20.345$ going to be very and with sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:17:20.345 \longrightarrow 01:17:21.920$ randomness as people die if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.47515076

 $01:17:21.920 \longrightarrow 01:17:23.120$ an available event when you arrive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:17:26.720 --> 01:17:30.880 All right. So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:30.880 \longrightarrow 01:17:32.692$ let's we can spend the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:32.692 \longrightarrow 01:17:34.306$ of the time on discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:34.306 \longrightarrow 01:17:36.161$ These are my big conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:17:36.161 --> 01:17:38.807 I think life support triage protocols

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:17:38.807 --> 01:17:41.718 across the US remain poorly defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:41.720 \longrightarrow 01:17:44.080$ Well, the practical ethical perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:44.080 \longrightarrow 01:17:46.036$ get rid of sofa triage scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:46.040 \longrightarrow 01:17:47.880$ to use age, but only with the intention

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:47.880 \longrightarrow 01:17:49.756$ of saving more lives in the short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:49.760 \longrightarrow 01:17:51.596$ just like we did for vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:51.600 \longrightarrow 01:17:53.917$ Not not necessarily for any fair innings

01:17:53.917 --> 01:17:55.324 purpose and structural inequities

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:17:55.324 --> 01:17:57.119 need to be directly addressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:17:57.120 --> 01:17:59.286 but exactly what the correction link

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:17:59.286 \longrightarrow 01:18:01.591$ should be needs to be determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:18:01.591 \longrightarrow 01:18:03.955$ and then with draw of life support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01{:}18{:}03.960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}05.780$ Maybe the critical triage process

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:18:05.780 \longrightarrow 01:18:07.236$ should not be ignored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:18:07.240 \longrightarrow 01:18:08.476$ And before we go to questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:18:08.480 --> 01:18:11.048 I just want to thank you to all

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01{:}18{:}11.048 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}12.559$ my collaborators and mentors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:18:12.560 --> 01:18:12.966 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:18:12.966 --> 01:18:13.981 Govind's like this guy whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01{:}18{:}13.981 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}15.061$ papers who've been reading for ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:18:15.061 \longrightarrow 01:18:15.917$ and then he finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:18:15.920 --> 01:18:17.513 he's a real person and will talk to you,

 $01:18:17.520 \longrightarrow 01:18:19.800$ which was like an incredible experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:18:19.800 --> 01:18:22.072 And then Monica Pete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:18:22.072 \longrightarrow 01:18:25.205$ who's a HealthEquity scholar and my

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:18:25.205 \longrightarrow 01:18:27.280$ main mentor for all of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01:18:27.280 \longrightarrow 01:18:29.555$ And Robert Gibbons is my PhD advisor

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

 $01{:}18{:}29.555 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}31.647$ and Elvis Long and a simulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:18:31.647 --> 01:18:33.136 model expert at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886

01:18:33.136 --> 01:18:34.840 of Chicago who's my KO8 mentor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91769886 01:18:34.840 --> 01:18:35.120 So NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:18:39.650 --> 01:18:42.236 yeah, QR code is my, it's my Google

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:18:42.236 \longrightarrow 01:18:43.568$ stock page if it's not broken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:18:43.570 \longrightarrow 01:18:44.946$ So you can see some of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:18:44.946 \longrightarrow 01:18:46.140$ things they've written and thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:18:46.140 \longrightarrow 01:18:47.165$ Let's let's talk for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:18:50.770 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.650$ That was that was fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:18:52.650 \longrightarrow 01:18:55.170$ I'm actually having my friend task

 $01:18:55.170 \longrightarrow 01:18:58.089$ trying to stay away a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:18:58.090 --> 01:18:59.848 This was, this was really wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:18:59.850 --> 01:19:01.650 you know, in terms of trying to deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:19:01.650 --> 01:19:03.608 with the issue of structural inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:19:03.610 \longrightarrow 01:19:05.122$ how to address them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:19:05.122 --> 01:19:06.840 I mean Mike, who's here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:19:06.840 --> 01:19:08.760 Mike and and you know Williams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:19:08.760 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.158$ they led the group that consisted

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:19:10.158 --> 01:19:12.118 of some of our folks who built our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:19:12.120 \longrightarrow 01:19:14.017$ our protocol as well as some members

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:19:14.017 \longrightarrow 01:19:15.959$ of the community all working together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:19:15.960 --> 01:19:17.200 Is it a fair, Stephen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:19:17.200 --> 01:19:19.285 Doctor Ivy that we never

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

 $01:19:19.285 \longrightarrow 01:19:20.953$ really cracked that nut?

NOTE Confidence: 0.29916894

01:19:20.960 --> 01:19:21.605 If you did,

 $01:19:21.605 \longrightarrow 01:19:23.598$ I would love to know what you decide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:19:30.360 \longrightarrow 01:19:32.184$ Thanks Martin. So so the health

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:19:32.184 \longrightarrow 01:19:34.560$ system was very concerned about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:19:34.560 \longrightarrow 01:19:38.110$ perception of the draft or public

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

01:19:38.110 --> 01:19:40.985 development community so it bans

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:19:40.985 \longrightarrow 01:19:42.820$ suggestion system and and members

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

01:19:42.820 --> 01:19:44.973 of the committee put together the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

01:19:44.973 --> 01:19:48.468 transmitting we by members of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:19:48.468 \longrightarrow 01:19:51.403$ community like we intentionally reached

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:19:51.403 \longrightarrow 01:19:54.900$ out to people with local media the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01{:}19{:}54.900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}57.244$ disabled community staff

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:19:57.244 \longrightarrow 01:19:59.638$ took the New Haven but in British

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:19:59.638 \dashrightarrow 01:20:01.519$ Portland you landed in Greenwich a

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:20:01.520 \longrightarrow 01:20:03.716$ number of ministers and and rabbis

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

 $01:20:03.716 \longrightarrow 01:20:07.128$ and you know so we really tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.30202827

01:20:07.128 --> 01:20:09.340 intentionally reach a large number of

 $01:20:09.340 \longrightarrow 01:20:11.760$ people to break it wasn't that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:14.480 \longrightarrow 01:20:17.999$ but to explain what and it's not easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:20:17.999 --> 01:20:20.918 explain necessarily what you're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:20:20.920 --> 01:20:22.392 To reunite people but

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:22.392 \longrightarrow 01:20:23.960$ it it seemed to go well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:23.960 \longrightarrow 01:20:26.825$ I I don't think we cracked the code

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:20:26.825 --> 01:20:29.440 of how to address Yeah I mean we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:29.440 \longrightarrow 01:20:32.390$ I had we had a similar experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01{:}20{:}32.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}35.110$ presenting our trash for to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:35.110 \longrightarrow 01:20:37.385$ community Advisory Council for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01{:}20{:}37.385 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}39.515$ hospital and what they were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:39.515 \longrightarrow 01:20:41.880$ forceful about is removing all the major.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:20:41.880 --> 01:20:43.116 I didn't really go into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:43.120 \longrightarrow 01:20:44.660$ but there were a lot of original

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:44.660 \longrightarrow 01:20:46.500$ plans that if you had major chronic

01:20:46.500 --> 01:20:48.192 conditions like you were on dialysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:20:48.200 --> 01:20:50.600 that huge deprioritization and they're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:50.600 \longrightarrow 01:20:52.480$ no, that's good, that's out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:52.480 \longrightarrow 01:20:55.433$ And so that was a very useful ex expe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:20:55.433 --> 01:20:56.598 Every time I presented them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:56.600 \longrightarrow 01:20:57.320$ I learned so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:20:57.320 \longrightarrow 01:20:58.760$ I mean, I really do think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:20:58.760 --> 01:21:00.940 that should be part of what health

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:00.940 \longrightarrow 01:21:02.240$ system I think they should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:02.240 --> 01:21:04.168 But I do worry about you have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:04.168 \longrightarrow 01:21:05.907$ councils and groups and people leaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:05.907 \longrightarrow 01:21:07.713$ in the community that you collect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:07.720 --> 01:21:08.804 But it's somewhat arbitrary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:08.804 --> 01:21:11.200 like these are just people, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:11.200 \longrightarrow 01:21:13.800$ they're also usually people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:13.800 --> 01:21:15.436 are in social, socio,

01:21:15.436 --> 01:21:16.663 economic status positions

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:16.663 --> 01:21:18.542 that are pretty high, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:18.542 --> 01:21:20.638 Like we have the guy who runs Howard

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:20.638 \longrightarrow 01:21:22.719$ Brown Clinic on the South side on ours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:22.720 --> 01:21:23.620 And yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:23.620 --> 01:21:26.320 they may have the right race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:26.320 --> 01:21:26.886 ethnicity, diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:26.886 \longrightarrow 01:21:28.584$ make up that you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:28.584 --> 01:21:29.680 represent the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01{:}21{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}32.382$ but do they really represent the socio

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:32.382 --> 01:21:34.440 economic spread or the community overall?

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:34.440 --> 01:21:35.080 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01{:}21{:}35.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}36.680$ it's just like these groups you put together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:36.680 \longrightarrow 01:21:37.590$ So that's the that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:37.590 \longrightarrow 01:21:38.318$ one problem with that.

 $01:21:38.320 \longrightarrow 01:21:40.648$ But I agree you for for thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:40.648 \longrightarrow 01:21:42.600$ ideas that you hadn't thought of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

 $01:21:42.600 \longrightarrow 01:21:43.290$ It's so helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:43.290 --> 01:21:44.440 I present all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24887191

01:21:44.440 --> 01:21:44.600 although,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:21:48.160 --> 01:21:50.440 yeah, so just a big comment if you would and

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:21:50.440 \longrightarrow 01:21:52.278$ then Ben will be the last common question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:21:52.280 --> 01:21:54.200 So it's up real quick and I'll move to Ben.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01{:}21{:}54.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}56.552$ Sure. It says run 4 minutes. I was 6 thirds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:21:56.552 --> 01:21:58.880 I think that's fast, but yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:21:58.880 --> 01:22:01.200 Yeah, please. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:01.200 --> 01:22:03.760 I I was just curious if you can describe the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:03.760 \longrightarrow 01:22:06.744$ the process that goes into choosing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:06.744 \longrightarrow 01:22:09.960$ data set used to build a regression model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:09.960 \longrightarrow 01:22:12.864$ Yeah, yeah. So I took all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:12.864 \longrightarrow 01:22:14.323$ clinical informatics slides out of

 $01:22:14.323 \longrightarrow 01:22:15.919$ here because it's an ethics talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01{:}22{:}15.920 \to 01{:}22{:}19.114$ But we are constructing A collaborative

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:19.114 \longrightarrow 01:22:20.998$ networks from based on where my

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:20.998 --> 01:22:22.956 people are trained by one of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:22.956 --> 01:22:24.156 old mentors across the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:24.160 --> 01:22:26.608 ICU doctors who like are data

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:26.608 \longrightarrow 01:22:27.832$ scientists too generally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:27.840 --> 01:22:29.376 And we're all clearing our data

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:29.376 \longrightarrow 01:22:30.400$ in the same format.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:30.400 --> 01:22:32.920 So what will happen is we'll collect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:32.920 \longrightarrow 01:22:35.908$ we'll collect all all the observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:35.908 \longrightarrow 01:22:37.900$ electronic healthcare record that

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:37.972 --> 01:22:40.390 would be relevant for a critically

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01{:}22{:}40.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}42.472$ I'll person and build a regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:42.472 \longrightarrow 01:22:44.760$ model based on the data from their

01:22:44.760 --> 01:22:47.160 like 42 hours before they start

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:47.160 \longrightarrow 01:22:49.540$ life support OR and then the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01{:}22{:}49.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}51.519$ six hours afterwards with the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:51.519 --> 01:22:53.857 that like the ER would have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:53.857 \longrightarrow 01:22:55.958$ temporary supply to stabilize patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:22:55.960 --> 01:22:57.900 Because my hypothesis is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:57.900 \longrightarrow 01:22:59.452$ that would dramatically improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:22:59.452 \longrightarrow 01:23:01.516$ the accuracy of the triage car.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01{:}23{:}01.520 --> 01{:}23{:}02.479$ But the nice thing is we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:23:02.479 \longrightarrow 01:23:03.120$ track both of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:23:03.120 --> 01:23:06.128 And So what we're setting up with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:23:06.128 \longrightarrow 01:23:07.310$ collaborative network is like develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:23:07.310 \longrightarrow 01:23:08.640$ the data in the University of Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:23:08.640 \longrightarrow 01:23:09.873$ Northwestern tested it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:23:09.873 \longrightarrow 01:23:11.517$ John Hopkins for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:23:11.520 \longrightarrow 01:23:12.800$ We're about other collaborators

 $01:23:12.800 \longrightarrow 01:23:14.720$ and that adds a lot more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01{:}23{:}14.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}15.840$ Whenever you make a model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:23:15.840 --> 01:23:19.720 you gotta keep your test data set separately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

 $01:23:19.720 \longrightarrow 01:23:21.112$ So that's the plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30047843

01:23:21.112 --> 01:23:23.320 Final question is Doctor Solch but you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.32308722

 $01{:}23{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}25.638$ just you know one with respect

NOTE Confidence: 0.32308722

 $01:23:25.638 \longrightarrow 01:23:27.920$ to the the community for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.32308722

 $01:23:27.920 \longrightarrow 01:23:29.560$ the night measures we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:23:31.720 \longrightarrow 01:23:33.600$ sort of presented to them the

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

01:23:33.600 --> 01:23:35.400 possibility of using the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01{:}23{:}35.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}37.080$ deprivation index as a modifier

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:23:37.480 \longrightarrow 01:23:39.073$ of. So that's where we were at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:23:39.560 \longrightarrow 01:23:42.812$ and they we're we're not enthusiastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:23:42.812 \longrightarrow 01:23:45.250$ about that and and the more I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:23:45.250 \longrightarrow 01:23:47.151$ about it the less enthusiastic I've

 $01:23:47.151 \longrightarrow 01:23:49.552$ I've become overtime you know I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

01:23:49.560 --> 01:23:54.328 I do I am concerned that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:23:54.328 \longrightarrow 01:23:56.752$ bringing in you know non clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

01:23:56.752 --> 01:23:58.292 factors really opens the triad

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:23:58.292 \longrightarrow 01:24:01.870$ vertical up to legitimate criticism

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:24:01.870 \longrightarrow 01:24:04.245$ and also illegitimate criticism

NOTE Confidence: 0.27695724

 $01:24:04.245 \longrightarrow 01:24:07.120$ and and undermines the entire project

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

01:24:07.560 --> 01:24:09.640 baby out with the bathwater I guess right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:09.640 \longrightarrow 01:24:12.195$ You know, is the idea like sofa?

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

01:24:12.200 --> 01:24:13.784 Getting rid of sofa is sort of step

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:13.784 \longrightarrow 01:24:15.359$ one that will do most of the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

01:24:15.360 --> 01:24:18.200 But if we try to do both at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

01:24:18.200 --> 01:24:24.600 then you know, I I agree and I worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

01:24:24.600 --> 01:24:27.200 about just very arbitrary weights

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

01:24:27.200 --> 01:24:30.120 to like this mapping, right? Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:30.120 \longrightarrow 01:24:32.160$ Where does that 4th come from?

01:24:32.160 --> 01:24:34.315 Why twice as many chances

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:34.315 \longrightarrow 01:24:36.039$ to get monoclonal antibody?

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:36.040 \longrightarrow 01:24:37.692$ Like I think that has to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:37.692 \longrightarrow 01:24:38.400$ really well justified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:38.400 \longrightarrow 01:24:40.675$ Harold Schmidt from Penn is thinking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

01:24:40.680 --> 01:24:42.512 you know, you look at the map and

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:42.512 \longrightarrow 01:24:44.235$ see how the pandemic's hidden that

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:44.235 \longrightarrow 01:24:46.305$ the communities and then you design

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:46.305 \longrightarrow 01:24:48.318$ the weights proportional to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:48.320 \longrightarrow 01:24:49.116$ So that's an idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:49.116 \longrightarrow 01:24:50.584$ But I think the nice thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

 $01:24:50.584 \longrightarrow 01:24:51.714$ having a simulation model is

NOTE Confidence: 0.53457963

01:24:51.714 --> 01:24:52.840 you can just try like

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

01:24:55.560 --> 01:24:57.372 see what's ethical after you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01:24:57.372 \longrightarrow 01:24:58.652$ at your results. That's not the

 $01:24:58.652 \longrightarrow 01:24:59.396$ way you're supposed to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01:25:00.720 \longrightarrow 01:25:02.968$ No, no. We said that sort of where

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01:25:02.968 \longrightarrow 01:25:05.240$ where we ended up in Omicron when when

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01:25:05.240 \longrightarrow 01:25:08.560$ we actually had our our most severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01:25:08.560 \longrightarrow 01:25:13.200$ shortages were allowing 2 positions. They

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01:25:15.920 \longrightarrow 01:25:21.813$ have a a lower threshold to to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01:25:21.813 \longrightarrow 01:25:25.552$ with Cold War with drawal and was

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01:25:25.552 \longrightarrow 01:25:27.657$ usually withdrawal interventions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01{:}25{:}27.657 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}30.651$ and so that sort of incorporated

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

01:25:30.651 --> 01:25:33.093 something that that Mark mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.2549888

 $01{:}25{:}33.093 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}34.957$ you know allowing clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.29083

 $01:25:35.640 \longrightarrow 01:25:37.630$ to to use their clinical judgement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.29083

 $01:25:37.630 \longrightarrow 01:25:40.000$ And and also you know your your point

NOTE Confidence: 0.29083

 $01:25:40.000 \longrightarrow 01:25:42.630$ that it's actually less about

NOTE Confidence: 0.29083

01:25:42.630 --> 01:25:44.280 allocating 11 ventilator among

NOTE Confidence: 0.29083

01:25:44.280 --> 01:25:46.480 three patients than having some

 $01:25:46.480 \longrightarrow 01:25:49.560$ kind of mechanism to to discontinue

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

 $01:25:50.000 \longrightarrow 01:25:52.400$ intervention where where seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

 $01:25:52.400 \longrightarrow 01:25:53.560$ that they're not beneficial. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

01:25:53.560 --> 01:25:56.116 I I think if you don't have this then just

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

01:25:56.116 --> 01:25:57.922 for comfort that's but we'll have that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

 $01:25:57.922 \longrightarrow 01:25:59.931$ the nice thing about having this a mod

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

01:25:59.931 --> 01:26:01.718 you can actually test that hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

 $01:26:01.720 \longrightarrow 01:26:04.040$ So I completely agree. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

 $01:26:04.040 \longrightarrow 01:26:06.040$ Well, thank you so much, Will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3810770725

 $01:26:06.040 \longrightarrow 01:26:08.000$ And please

NOTE Confidence: 0.41115943

01:26:09.120 --> 01:26:10.085 please join me in thanking

NOTE Confidence: 0.41115943

 $01:26:10.085 \longrightarrow 01:26:10.840$ Will, first of all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41115943

 $01{:}26{:}15.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}17.616$ But, but so let's you know to realize that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41115943

 $01:26:17.616 \longrightarrow 01:26:19.680$ I mean this is the program for biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.529909318

 $01:26:19.680 \longrightarrow 01:26:21.728$ ethics and we need to approach this with

 $01:26:21.728 \longrightarrow 01:26:23.080$ some ethical principles in mind and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.529909318

 $01{:}26{:}23.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}24.920$ have to agree on those first. But to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:24.920 \longrightarrow 01:26:26.768$ somebody here who's got really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:26.768 \longrightarrow 01:26:29.140$ ethical expertise as well as the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:29.140 \longrightarrow 01:26:30.840$ expertise as well as the quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:31.200 \longrightarrow 01:26:33.774$ public health expertise in an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:33.774 \longrightarrow 01:26:37.319$ and also give some marvelous presentations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:35.400 \longrightarrow 01:26:36.480$ this was a real treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:36.480 \longrightarrow 01:26:37.320$ But thank you so much. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:37.320 \longrightarrow 01:26:38.520$ think this is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

01:26:38.520 --> 01:26:39.760 helpful. And I do hope to

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:39.760 \longrightarrow 01:26:40.796$ the ones who are leading the charge

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:40.796 \longrightarrow 01:26:41.946$ here and the ones who are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

01:26:41.946 --> 01:26:45.240 lead the charge someday soon, I do hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:45.240 \longrightarrow 01:26:46.880$ this would keep this going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54310375

 $01:26:46.880 \longrightarrow 01:26:47.800$ We'll keep this going.

 $01{:}26{:}47.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}49.480$ Thank you all very much. Good night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68558043

01:26:56.000 --> 01:26:56.480 OK, good.