WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:55:00" NOTE recognizability:0.620

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:03.720 --> 00:00:05.220 My name is Jeanette Tetro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:05.220 --> 00:00:07.486 I currently serve as the Vice Chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}00{:}07.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.604$  for Education for the section of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:09.604 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.428$  General Internal Medicine and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:11.428 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.192$  section Delighted to come back together

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:13.192 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.520$  with our friends from the Center for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:15.520 --> 00:00:18.172 Medical Education for our first GIM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}00{:}18.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.637$  Center for Medical Education Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:20.637 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.074$  hosted Medical Education Discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:23.074 --> 00:00:26.159 Group for the academic year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:26.160 --> 00:00:29.260 We're thrilled to welcome GIMS

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}00{:}29.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.560$ own Doctor Benjamin Imba who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.239$  will be speaking with us today.

00:00:34.240 --> 00:00:36.744 Doctor Hoffler's going to give him a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:36.744 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.879$  more extensive interview or introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:38.880 --> 00:00:39.368 Excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:39.368 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.076$  we could probably talk the whole time

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:41.076 --> 00:00:42.616 and all he's accomplished but he

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:42.616 --> 00:00:44.519 serves as the Vice Chair of Diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:44.520 --> 00:00:46.800 Equity and Inclusion for the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:46.800 --> 00:00:49.305 of Internal Medicine and the Graduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}00{:}49.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.600$  Medical Education Director for Diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:00:51.600 --> 00:00:54.940 Equity and Inclusion as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.920$  as Associate DIO for our GME.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:00:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.768$  So before I turn the podium over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:01:01.768 --> 00:01:04.178 Doctor Haffler just wanted for planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:04.178 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.400$  purposes make sure you mark your calendars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:01:07.400 --> 00:01:09.578 There will be another Med Ed

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:09.578 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.432$  discussion group which will take

 $00:01:11.432 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.418$  place on December 14th which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}01{:}13.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.006$  going to focus on ChatGPT and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}01{:}16.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.916$  it belongs in medical education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:01:17.920 --> 00:01:21.399 And then our next Co hosted session

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.710$  will be January 25th of 2024 led by

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.360$  Doctor Elizabeth Gaufberg from Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.160$  And our last Co hosted session will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}01{:}31.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.472$  be led by GIMS own Doctor Nathan

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:01:34.472 --> 00:01:37.100 Wood and that'll focus on culinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}01{:}37.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.752$  medicine and the future of nutrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:39.752 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.035$  education that will occur on March 28th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.144$  One last announcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00{:}01{:}44.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.352$  please don't forget to fill out

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

00:01:46.352 --> 00:01:47.720 your climate survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.764$  I want to make sure we have

00:01:49.764 --> 00:01:51.298 great participation in that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:51.298 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.798$  that your voices are heard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.424$  So I'm going to turn it over

NOTE Confidence: 0.84047157

 $00:01:54.424 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.120$  to Doctor Hoffler.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

00:01:56.840 --> 00:01:58.280 Hi, welcome everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:01:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.160$  I'm thrilled to be able to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.600$  the joint session together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.566$  Sorry if there's a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:03.566 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.640$  of noise in the background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.120$  I'm. I'm at a meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.500$  So Doctor Amba graduated from the College

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

00:02:08.500 --> 00:02:11.038 of Medicine of the University of Lagos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.500$  Nigeria and completed his internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.960$  medicine residency in the UK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00{:}02{:}15.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.851$  But then he completed a second I

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00{:}02{:}18.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.488$  am residency and served as chief

 $00:02:21.488 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.200$  resident at Cook County in Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00{:}02{:}24.200 --> 00{:}02{:}26.800$  And before joining Yale, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

00:02:26.800 --> 00:02:28.702 Amba was the associate chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

00:02:28.702 --> 00:02:29.970 medicine for faculty development

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

00:02:30.022 --> 00:02:31.357 in medicine at Cook County,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.677$  and he was a professor of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

00:02:33.677 --> 00:02:35.880 also at Rush Medical College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.022$  And when you look at what your

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:38.022 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.760$  career has contributed to the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.100$  it's just been amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.476$  And I'm so thrilled that you're here

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:43.476 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.555$  at Yale with us because you really

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00{:}02{:}45.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.440$  we talked about clinical education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00{:}02{:}47.440 --> 00{:}02{:}48.424 \ {\rm diversity, \ equity,}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:48.424 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.392$  inclusion advocacy and it's

 $00:02:50.392 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.200$  mainly been in the GME space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00{:}02{:}53.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.260$  And I really appreciate your

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

00:02:55.260 --> 00:02:57.320 work and residency program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:57.392 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.526$  faculty development Qi work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:02:59.526 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.944$  And now really what you're addressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:03:01.944 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.328$  today as we work together on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00{:}03{:}05.328 \to 00{:}03{:}06.825$  developmental longitudinal approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:03:06.825 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.608$  for our UME faculty and health

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00{:}03{:}09.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.592$  professionals who teach medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

00:03:11.592 --> 00:03:14.052 students and PAS and are nursing

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:03:14.052 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.996$  all the way through the GME.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:03:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.680$  So welcome and thank you so much and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:03:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.840$  I'm thrilled to pass it over to you now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5487171

 $00:03:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.200$  Ben.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6362328

00:03:22.720 --> 00:03:24.224 Yes, thank you, Janet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6362328

 $00:03:24.224 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.104$  for the kind introduction and

00:03:26.104 --> 00:03:27.959 the opportunity to speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6362328

00:03:27.960 --> 00:03:29.598 I'm really glad to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6362328

 $00:03:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.760$  And I'll just set about sharing my slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53101367

00:04:09.800 --> 00:04:12.600 OK Can you see my slides? Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53101367

00:04:12.600 --> 00:04:14.050 Doctor Amba, but we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.53101367

00:04:14.050 --> 00:04:15.320 your presenter view. OK

NOTE Confidence: 0.53101367

00:04:18.760 --> 00:04:22.440 Yes, go up to display settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53101367

 $00:04:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.720$  seeing the top row there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53101367

00:04:26.640 --> 00:04:29.080 Yeah, it's not showing here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53101367

 $00:04:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.320$  Not that. Again, One second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62994957

 $00:04:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.530$  No, it's not one second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62994957

 $00:04:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.089$  Let me see. Some reason it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.62994957

 $00{:}05{:}08.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.168$  OK. How's that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.62994957

 $00{:}05{:}11.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.458$  Can you share? You need to share your

NOTE Confidence: 0.62994957

 $00:05:13.458 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.608$  screen and then we'll see what you see.

00:05:35.250 --> 00:05:38.406 I'm not seeing your screen yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8242484

 $00{:}05{:}38.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.530$  It should come up in a second. OK

NOTE Confidence: 0.8242484

 $00:05:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.970$  And then the slideshow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8242484

 $00:05:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.130$  Can you see the screen now? Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8242484

 $00:05:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.030$  And is it the presenter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8242484

 $00:05:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.970$  view or the full view?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

00:05:56.720 --> 00:05:58.400 It's still the presenter view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:05:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.012$  OK. So let let me just

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

00:06:02.012 --> 00:06:04.032 simply hide the presenter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:04.032 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.480$  How's that? There you are. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00{:}06{:}06{:}06{:}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{:}080$  perfect. Perfect. Thank you. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.736$  sure, no worries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

00:06:09.736 --> 00:06:12.735 So again, thank you, Janet, for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:12.735 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.237$  kind introduction and let's get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:15.237 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.495$  So text the number on the screen to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:18.495 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.191$  number on the screen for your CME credit.

 $00:06:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.280$  And please don't forget to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.360$  fill out your climate survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.678$  So for today, I'll be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.619$  the scope of the I'll be covering

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:30.619 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.478$  the scope of the URM problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.440$  challenges faced by URM faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.200$  bottlenecks that URM medical students face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

00:06:38.200 --> 00:06:39.960 the importance of diversifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.280$  the healthcare workforce,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.705$  visa visits, impact on healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:43.705 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.160$  outcomes and disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00{:}06{:}45.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.830$  and strategies to increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00{:}06{:}46.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.500$  diversity of the healthcare workforce

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:48.555 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.079$  at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621338

 $00:06:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.652$  We should have time for questions

 $00:06:51.652 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.960$  and answers at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}06{:}55.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.912$  So the problem is the paucity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:06:57.912 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.040$  underrepresented in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}06{:}59.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.600$  medical students, GME trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

00:07:01.600 --> 00:07:04.114 medical faculty, practising physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}07{:}04.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07.199$  and providers across the nation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.155$  20 years ago the AMC coined this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:11.155 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.295$  definition to refer to those racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}07{:}13.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.870$  and ethnic populations that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.526$  underrepresented in the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}07{:}16.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.122$  profession relative to their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}07{:}18.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.278$  numbers in the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.555$  And so this refers to African American,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

00:07:22.560 --> 00:07:23.820 Latino or Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

00:07:23.820 --> 00:07:25.920 Alaska Native or Native Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.648$  and Native Hawaiian populations.

00:07:27.648 --> 00:07:30.600 So though they find 20 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.656$  it is important for us to remember that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:32.656 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.489$  there there are other populations under

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:34.489 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.800$  represented in medicine such as low income,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.760$  first generation to attend college,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}07{:}38.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.236$  disabled and LGBTQ populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:41.236 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.400$  If we just look a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.560$  more into the income situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

00:07:47.560 --> 00:07:51.237 you find that the top 5% of US household

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:51.237 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.153$  household income quintiles account

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:07:53.153 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.320$  for 1/4 of all medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

00:07:56.320 --> 00:07:56.721 Basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}07{:}56.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.127$  the top 20% of household income

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

00:07:59.127 --> 00:08:01.104 families account for more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:01.104 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.874$  half of all medical students,

 $00:08:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.310$  whereas the bottom 40% account

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}08{:}05.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.200$  for 15% of all medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

00:08:10.200 --> 00:08:12.080 Now when we look at the US population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.416$  we find that 34% of the US population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:15.416 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.238$  comprises the underrepresented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

00:08:17.238 --> 00:08:19.038 population base,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.236$  while just 13% of medical students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.696$  10% of medical faculty and 13% of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00{:}08{:}27.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.336$  active physicians are actually from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:30.336 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.376$  the underrepresented population base.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:32.376 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.816$  Half of the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:34.816 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.280$  population is female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8073971

 $00:08:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.836$  37% of active physicians are female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00{:}08{:}41.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.710$  This is not just a medical doctor issued,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:08:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.720$  this is a healthcare workforce issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:08:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.514$  If you look at the breakdown

00:08:48.514 --> 00:08:50.025 of registered nurses you find

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

00:08:50.025 --> 00:08:52.956 that 80% are white and the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:08:52.956 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.740$  significant under representation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:08:54.821 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.196$  the groups exists amongst nurses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:08:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.276$  If you look at physician assistants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:08:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.048$  you find that 72% are white and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:09:03.048 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.332$  same significant under representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:09:05.332 \dashrightarrow 00:09:09.560$  across the groups occurs there as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:09:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.165$  So let's look closely at now the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

 $00:09:13.165 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.146$  physician group and distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

00:09:15.146 --> 00:09:17.038 Across the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80228686

00:09:17.040 --> 00:09:18.680 Black or African American

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:09:21.000 --> 00:09:22.888 physicians make up just

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:22.888 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.620$  6% of total physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}09{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.140$  4% of medical school faculty and

 $00:09:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.224$  7% of medical school graduates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:09:29.224 --> 00:09:30.888 Latino or Hispanic doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:30.888 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.150$  make up 7% of all physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.200$  6% of medical school faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}09{:}35.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.678$  and 6% of medical school graduates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.200$  The other underrepresented

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.280$  populations less than 0.5 across

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.440$  all all the domains and metrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}09{:}47.440 --> 00{:}09{:}48.760$  This is not a new problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.360$  This is a decades long

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}09{:}50.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.960$  problem in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.675$  just looking at the last 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.310$  Unfortunately the four colours at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.530$  the bottom of the graph represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.117$  the underrepresented group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:09:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.920$  This slide is for female faculty.

 $00{:}10{:}00.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.360$  Over the last 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.406$  it's clear to see that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:10:04.406 --> 00:10:05.770 been no significant progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}10{:}05.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.400$  in terms of representation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.345$  The same, unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:10:08.345 --> 00:10:09.920 is true for male faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.419$  where the four colours at the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:12.419 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.600$  represent the four underrepresented

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.632$  in medicine populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:15.632 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.040$  So this is the last 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:18.040 \dashrightarrow 00:10:21.640$  and it goes on many more decades before that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.896$  So is change happening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:22.896 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.780$  Let's now look to our medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:24.844 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.713$  schools in the last five years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:10:26.713 --> 00:10:28.758 see if any change is happening.

 $00:10:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.290$  If you look at medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}10{:}30.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.880$  matriculants in the last five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.346$  unfortunately it's clear to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:34.346 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.651$  there's been absolutely no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:10:36.651 --> 00:10:39.300 change in the absolute numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:39.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.440$  representation from this population base.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.640$  Now if we look at medical schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.992$  which is we are in the medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}10{:}49.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.080$  system here and we look at rank

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.194$  and dice it by race and ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:10:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.468$  this is recent data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:10:56.468 --> 00:10:59.334 What you find is that of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:10:59.334 --> 00:11:01.118 professors in medical schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}11{:}01.120 \to 00{:}11{:}04.280$  clinical and basics sciences departments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.328$  only 2% are black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:11:06.328 --> 00:11:09.365 4% are Hispanic or Latino or

00:11:09.365 --> 00:11:10.880 the associate professors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:10.880 \dashrightarrow 00:11:13.520$  just about 4% each for black or Latinos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:11:13.520 --> 00:11:14.410 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.190$  the other underrepresented groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.676$  are less than 0.5 across all ranks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:11:19.680 --> 00:11:21.888 Most of the professors and most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:11:21.888 --> 00:11:23.200 associate professors as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.330$  are white and then followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.826$  by Asian populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}11{:}26.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.557$  So we have to ask ourselves then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.386$  even why is there even worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:33.386 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.799$  representation amongst faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}11{:}34.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.478$  from this underrepresented groups?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}11{:}37.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.891$  And so at this point let's take a slight

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:11:40.891 --> 00:11:43.820 detail and look at the URM faculty

 $00:11:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.599$  experience from the URM faculty Lens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}11{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.270$  These authors looked at a longitudinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}11{:}49.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.822$  multi institutional study to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:51.822 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.286$  race and ethnicity and how it correlates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:11:54.286 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.484$  with success in academic medicine as is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:11:57.484 --> 00:12:00.199 traditionally defined 17 year follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.606$  And what they found was significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:02.606 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.748$  URM faculty had lower rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}12{:}04.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.600$  of peer review publications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:12:06.600 --> 00:12:09.000 lower rates of promotion to professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.940$  and lower retention rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.880$  compared to white faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:12:12.880 --> 00:12:13.275 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:12:13.275 --> 00:12:16.040 there was no difference in federal grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.657$  senior leadership roles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:17.657 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.813$  career satisfaction and compensation

 $00:12:19.813 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.320$  between the URM and the and white faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}12{:}23.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.998$  So this this right here informs

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.216$  the need for deliberative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:29.216 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.497$  programming to support productivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:12:31.497 --> 00:12:32.731 academic productivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:32.731 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.199$  and advancement for underrepresented

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:35.199 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.907$  in medicine faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:36.907 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.242$  Other authors from different institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:39.242 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.720$  have looked at this problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}12{:}41.720 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.591$  and I think these authors kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:45.591 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.909$  captured the dissatisfaction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}12{:}47.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.651$  the disparities in their article

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00{:}12{:}50.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.262$  titled Addressing Disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

00:12:52.262 --> 00:12:53.873 in Academic Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7782447

 $00:12:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.440$  What of the Minority Tax?

00:12:56.440 --> 00:12:58.234 The minority tax has been defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}12{:}58.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.310$  as the tax of extra responsibilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.752$  placed on minority faculty in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:02.752 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.478$  name of efforts to achieve diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.810$  It's described as an under

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:07.810 --> 00:13:10.440 represented minority in medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:10.440 --> 00:13:12.600 faculty responsibility disparity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.558$  which is evident in many areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}13{:}15.560 --> 00{:}13{:}17.384 \ {\rm diversity \ efforts, \ racism,}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:17.384 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.600$  isolation, mentorship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}13{:}18.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.068$  clinical responsibility and promotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:21.068 --> 00:13:24.906 I'll just go very briefly over

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:24.906 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.636$  each diversity at disparity realm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.440$  In terms of diversity efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.985$  more underrepresented faculty spend more

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:32.985 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.199$  time on DEI efforts and on community health,

 $00:13:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.700$  less time on research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}13{:}38.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.200$  And most times institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.505$  do not acknowledge this for

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:42.505 --> 00:13:43.922 promotion racism disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:43.922 --> 00:13:46.227 URM faculty are exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:46.227 --> 00:13:48.160 systemic and individual racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:48.160 --> 00:13:50.275 implicit and explicit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:50.275 --> 00:13:53.800 and racial difference in promotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.980$  isolation, disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:13:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.520$  URM faculty often feel isolated culturally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:13:58.520 --> 00:14:01.320 This leads to reduce opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}14{:}01.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.560$  for collaboration or research

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:14:03.560 --> 00:14:05.918 outside of DI related matters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}14{:}05.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.632$  racial and gender concordant

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:14:07.632 --> 00:14:10.200 mentorship is lacking for URM faculty,

 $00:14:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.972$  who are then called upon to mentor

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}14{:}12.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.514$  URM mentees without having had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:15.514 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.784$  benefit of mentoring themselves or

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:18.784 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.760$  effective mentoring themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.624$  Clinical disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:14:22.624 --> 00:14:23.488 I've mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:14:23.488 --> 00:14:26.080 URM faculty tend to spend more

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:14:26.149 --> 00:14:27.893 time doing community clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:14:27.893 --> 00:14:30.073 work and engaging DEI efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.720$  and clearly there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}14{:}31.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.360$  disparity in promotion rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.890$  Less promotion equals to less

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.914$  salary in some institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.910$  and so we can start to understand why

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.120$  the specific challenges faced by URM

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:14:45.120 --> 00:14:48.480 faculty within the larger faculty body.

 $00:14:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.035$  So let's return to the scope of the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.906$  We left up here at academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:53.906 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.920$  rank and race and ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.924$  But if we actually look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:57.924 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.680$  this through a gender lens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:14:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.844$  what we find is that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:02.844 --> 00:15:04.200 significant male predominance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}15{:}04.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.156$  especially in the rank of professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.756$  but also in the associate professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.735$  with the exception of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}15{:}09.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.315$  black African American faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.604$  So on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:12.604 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.744$  with the highest discrepancy actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:14.744 --> 00:15:17.277 being among white and Asian faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:17.280 --> 00:15:20.720 on average you have a 7 seven to

 $00:15:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.430$  three ratio of male professors

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:23.430 --> 00:15:26.040 to female professors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:26.040 --> 00:15:28.416 So with this understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:28.416 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.198$  this male discrepancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.960$  what does actually medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.840$  leadership look like across the country?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}15{:}36.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.000$  Not surprisingly, it looks the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.939$  If you look at all medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:40.939 --> 00:15:42.679 Deans in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.278$  73% are men, 23% are women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}15{:}45.280 \to 00{:}15{:}48.478$  and only 13% are URM Deans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.608$  If you look at all medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:50.608 --> 00:15:52.598 department chairs in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:15:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.605$  77% are men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:53.605 --> 00:15:55.280 If you look at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}15{:}55.280 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}15{:}57.920$  I'm an internist internal medicine

 $00:15:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.395$  and this is a collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:15:59.395 --> 00:16:00.280 with internal medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.296$  So if you look at all medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:02.296 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.521$  school internal medicine chairs

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:16:03.521 --> 00:16:04.717 in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.786$  80% are men and 20% are women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:07.786 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.358$  The situation's actually worsen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.632$  Surgery, the surgery chairs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:11.632 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.036$  93% are men and 7% are are women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00{:}16{:}16.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.386$  So what does leadership that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:16:18.386 --> 00:16:20.719 Yale School of Medicine look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.436$  Of all the department chairs of

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:16:22.436 --> 00:16:24.160 the Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.100$  84% are men and 16% are women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:16:27.100 --> 00:16:29.080 The majority are are white,

00:16:29.080 --> 00:16:30.600 with 10% Hispanic, Latino,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.820$  and 10% black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.120$  So as the nation goes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:34.120 \dashrightarrow 00:16:38.000$  unfortunately so does Yale go at this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

 $00:16:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.304$  If we look at the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.3356735

00:16:40.304 --> 00:16:41.840 of Medicine vice chairs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:16:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.716$  70% are men, 30% are women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

00:16:45.720 --> 00:16:48.270 majority white, 10% Hispanic or Latino

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00{:}16{:}48.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.440$  and 10% black or African American.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:16:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.884$  If you look at the Department of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:16:52.884 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.265$  Medicine section chiefs, 82% are men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:16:55.265 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.172$  18% are women and 100% are are white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00{:}16{:}59.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.560$  So what does the Yale Medical School

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

00:17:03.560 --> 00:17:06.466 medical student body look like actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:06.466 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.690$  So this is matriculation

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.600$  data for the last five years.

00:17:09.600 --> 00:17:11.833 And actually what you find is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:11.833 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.873$  the medical school has 25 to 35%

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

00:17:14.873 --> 00:17:17.317 underrepresented in medicine minorities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.519$  10 to 15% first generation and 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:20.519 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.640$  to 30% international students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.360$  What does Connecticut and

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

00:17:27.360 --> 00:17:29.080 New Haven look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.520$  So connect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.400$  the underrepresented in medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

00:17:33.400 --> 00:17:36.088 population base comprises 31%

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

00:17:36.088 --> 00:17:38.200 of the Connecticut population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

 $00:17:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.530$  but it comprises 65% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71470565

00:17:41.530 --> 00:17:43.560 New Haven population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:17:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.788$  Now, we've talked about, we've defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:17:47.788 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.160$  the scope of the problem with with,

00:17:50.160 --> 00:17:51.804 we've defined the problem, we've defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:17:51.804 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.479$  the national scope of the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}17{:}53.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.853$  We've brought the looked at the numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:17:55.853 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.210$  locally at Yale and the demographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:17:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.760$  distribution of New Haven and Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.920$  So what are the bottlenecks

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.080$  that URM medical students face?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:18:05.080 --> 00:18:07.810 Well, bottlenecks are faced all along the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}18{:}07.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.839$  spectrum of the medical education journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:18:10.840 --> 00:18:12.736 I probably mistakenly left

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:12.736 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.158$  out kindergarten there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.080$  But it's fair to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.664$  even before medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}18{:}17.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.040$  there's so many bottlenecks faced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.400$  underrepresented potential medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.760$  And there they are.

 $00:18:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.280$  There is the achievement gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}18{:}26.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29.958$  The achievement gap is the significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.304$  and sustained difference in reading and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:34.304 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.052$  mathematics as tested from the 4th grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:38.052 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.247$  all the way to K12 and has remained for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:41.247 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.519$  decades without significant change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.080$  Resource gap is self-explanatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.200$  We have modern school segregation due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.360$  as a function of socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}18{:}52.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.948$  There's this stereotype threat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:18:53.948 --> 00:18:55.536 There's the implicit bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:18:55.536 --> 00:18:57.239 of educators and evaluators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.790$  There's the implicit bias of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:18:58.790 --> 00:18:59.720 reference letter writers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:18:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.877$  implicit bias on the admission system itself.

 $00:19:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.204$  And this is all before we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:05.204 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.200$  to medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.678$  So what happens when we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:08.678 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.917$  to medical school?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.464$  Now the AAMC started to look at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:12.464 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.397$  in the late 80s and early to mid 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:19:15.400 --> 00:19:17.734 This analysis in brief looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:19:17.734 --> 00:19:20.339 the attrition rate due to academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}19{:}20.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.267$  reasons across racial groups in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:23.267 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.933$  matriculating classes from 8792

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:24.933 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.598$  and 95 for 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}19{:}26.600 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}19{:}29.624$  And you can see they found a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:29.624 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.990$  increased rate of attrition for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}19{:}31.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33.765$  the minority groups compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:33.765 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.999$  white and Asian medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.712$  In fact,

 $00:19:36.712 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.848$  the attrition rates due to academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}19{:}38.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.258$  reasons for all the URM students were

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:19:41.258 --> 00:19:43.599 more than four times that of white

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:43.599 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.555$  or Asian students over 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.716$  So they're moving forward into the 2000s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:19:47.720 --> 00:19:51.104 Another AMC analysis in brief this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:51.104 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.408$  time they looked at socio economic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:53.408 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.281$  status and the rate of attrition in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:19:56.281 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.753$  US medical matriculants in the 2000s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:19:58.760 --> 00:20:01.035 Just looking at the first two years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.140$  because 60% of attrition rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.400$  in medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}20{:}04.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.276$  at least 60% happened in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}20{:}06.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.999$  two years of medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.415$  What they found was a low SES

00:20:10.415 --> 00:20:13.608 as defined by no parent having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}20{:}13.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.159$  college completed college.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.734$  Those students had 1.4 times higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:17.734 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.800$  attrition rates than middle SES.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:20:19.800 --> 00:20:22.200 For students in the low SES,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.198$  they had more than two times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.555$  Higher attrition rates than those

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:25.555 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.639$  in the high SES,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}20{:}26.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.265$  which is defined by at least one

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:20:29.265 --> 00:20:32.039 parent having a postgraduate degree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.344$  It is often stated that academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:34.344 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.542$  Rigo accounts for the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:20:36.542 --> 00:20:38.898 in attrition rates between

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:38.898 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.296$  underrepresented and majority students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:41.296 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.512$  These are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:42.512 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.160$  This analysis looked at the different

00:20:46.160 --> 00:20:49.238 MCAT cohorts and found that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00{:}20{:}49.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.280$  the disparity was still the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.640$  for socio economic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.860$  So we've looked at how race

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:20:54.860 --> 00:20:55.600 impacts attrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.856$  Now we've looked at how socio

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:57.856 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.360$  economic status impacts attrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:20:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.887$  So now moving forward into the 2000

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:21:01.887 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.640$  and 10s and this study out of Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

00:21:04.640 --> 00:21:07.800 by our illustrious MDPHD student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:21:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.000$  Matin looked at actually USMD

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300904

 $00:21:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.680$  matriculants for

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00:21:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.780$  2014, 2015, looked at them for

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00:21:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.402$  six to seven years on adjusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00:21:18.402 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.118$  significant attrition rates.

 $00:21:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.744$  Now they adjusted for MCAT scores and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00{:}21{:}23.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.672$  sex and still found significant increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00{:}21{:}27.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.840$  the attrition rate for all the URM groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

00:21:30.840 --> 00:21:33.590 Same for low economics families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00:21:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.400$  low income families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00:21:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.464$  and on the people who grew up

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00:21:36.464 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.637$  in underserved neighbourhoods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

00:21:37.640 --> 00:21:40.000 defined as neighbourhoods with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00{:}21{:}40.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.360$  that are underserved medically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

00:21:42.360 --> 00:21:43.644 In fact, on analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00{:}21{:}43.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.177$  they found that the rate of attrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

00:21:46.177 --> 00:21:48.837 was greatest among students with

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

00:21:48.837 --> 00:21:50.433 three marginalized identities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00:21:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.594$  which was almost four times higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

00:21:52.594 --> 00:21:54.720 than other students who had known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73425704

 $00:21:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.320$  even when adjusted for the

 $00:21:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.000$  same MCAT scorings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:22:01.760 --> 00:22:03.758 Now the same. Our same student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.532$  MI 10 looked at the association of

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:06.532 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.362$  racial and ethnic identity with attrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:22:09.362 --> 00:22:11.960 rates from MDPHD training programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.000$  physician scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.648$  They looked at close to 5000 students over

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:15.648 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.217$  8 years and they found that 2% graduated

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:18.217 \dashrightarrow 00:22:21.584$  with an MD only and 4% left medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}22{:}21.584 \to 00{:}22{:}24.605$  Graduating with an MD only was highest for

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}22{:}24.605 \to 00{:}22{:}27.279$  black students compared to all racial groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}22{:}27.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.238$  More black than white MD matriculans

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}22{:}30.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.758$  left medical school. And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:22:32.758 --> 00:22:34.834 after again adjusting for the MCAT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.850$  the odds of graduating with only

 $00:22:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.954$  an MD and leaving medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:22:38.954 --> 00:22:41.088 were 50 and 83% higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:22:41.088 --> 00:22:43.264 Percent higher for black

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:43.264 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.440$  than for white students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.840$  So, significant bottlenecks faced

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.840$  by students before medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:22:50.840 --> 00:22:52.460 In medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:22:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.853$  even adjusting for MCAT

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}22{:}54.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58.880$  scores and a cademic rigour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:22:58.880 --> 00:23:00.998 Now you could ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}23{:}01.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.275$  well, why diversify the health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:03.275 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.640$  workforce at all?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:04.640 --> 00:23:06.680 The answer comes in two words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:06.680 --> 00:23:07.353 HealthEquity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:07.353 --> 00:23:08.026 HealthEquity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:08.026 --> 00:23:10.718 according to Cameron Jones,

 $00:23:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.652$  is the assurance of conditions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:12.652 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.400$  optimal health for all people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:14.400 --> 00:23:16.672 Achieving HealthEquity requires valuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:16.672 --> 00:23:19.512 all individuals and populations equally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.445$  recognizing and rectifying historic injustice

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:22.445 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.800$  and providing resources according to need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.876$  Health is a fundamental human right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:27.880 --> 00:23:29.620 HealthEquity is achieved when

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}23{:}29.620 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.795$  everyone can attain their full

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:31.795 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.917$  potential for health and well-being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.840$  Sadly, in this great country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.120$  perhaps the greatest country on the planet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:41.120 --> 00:23:44.680 HealthEquity has never been attained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.240$  We have never been free of health inequities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:23:49.240 --> 00:23:50.224 Now,

 $00:23:50.224 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.468$  the Institute of Medicine in about 2002

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:55.468 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.084$  commissioned an expert panel to review

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:23:58.084 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.397$  900 studies that controlled for poverty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.118$  education and social determinants of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.989$  They tried to focus specifically on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:05.989 --> 00:24:08.279 impact of race on the quality of healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.573$  It was published in 2003 and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:11.573 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.438$  they found was that minorities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}24{:}13.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.216$  especially black populations had

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:15.216 --> 00:24:17.880 worse outcomes for almost all cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:17.880 --> 00:24:19.491 HIV, pain control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}24{:}19.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.639$  maternal and infant mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:21.640 --> 00:24:23.208 cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:23.208 --> 00:24:25.560 diabetic amputations, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.935$  And this is even adjusting

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:26.935 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.760$  for health insurance,

 $00:24:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.004$  education and socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}24{:}30.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33.370$  So this was really jarring and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:33.459 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.872$  shocking and obviously this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:35.872 --> 00:24:38.420 complex problem and but part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:38.420 --> 00:24:40.365 hypothesis that was that perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:40.365 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.194$  implicit bias in the system and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:43.194 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.199$  in providers contributed to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.384$  And so there was a large opera

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}24{:}47.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.908$  to actually push to diversify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:49.908 --> 00:24:52.104 healthcare workforce, medical schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:24:52.104 --> 00:24:53.880 GME training etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.833$  And obviously as we have seen sadly

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}24{:}55.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.619$  there has been no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:57.619 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.957$  shift in diversification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:24:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.720$  So it's not surprising that 20 years later,

 $00:25:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.918$  we are exactly at the same spot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}25{:}03.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.768$  Minorities, especially black populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:25:05.768 --> 00:25:08.078 have the highest date for

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:08.078 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.999$  death rate for most cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.900$  lowest overall survival rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:25:11.900 --> 00:25:13.800 Prostate cancer is horrible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.560$  has horrible outcomes in males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.877$  black males complete to any other group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00{:}25{:}17.880 \to 00{:}25{:}19.760$  Black women are 40 times more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:25:19.760 --> 00:25:21.880 die of breast cancer than white women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.960$  even though white women are

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.040$  likelier to get breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:25:26.040 --> 00:25:28.128 Black people are twice as likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:25:28.128 --> 00:25:30.120 to die from multiple myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.113$  amputation, diabetic care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:31.113 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.437$  So we are nowhere,

 $00:25:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.680$  we haven't shifted the needle at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.680$  so therefore the outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.080$  health inequities have persisted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.517$  Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

 $00:25:40.517 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.139$  how do we know that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.3296979

00:25:43.139 --> 00:25:44.450 diversifying the healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:25:44.523 --> 00:25:46.958 workforce would make a difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:25:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.918$  Well, this authors looked at patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}25{:}49.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.830$  physician, racial concordance and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:25:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.440$  perceived quality and use of healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:25:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.400$  So it's qualitative but

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:25:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.360$  by no means unimportant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}25{:}58.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.158$  And they served different racial groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.905$  They found out black respondents

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:26:03.905 --> 00:26:05.650 with black physicians were likely

 $00:26:05.706 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.236$  that were likelier than those

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}26{:}07.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.198$  with non black physicians to rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}26{:}09.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.678$  their physicians as excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:26:10.680 --> 00:26:12.208 Black respondents reported receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:26:12.208 --> 00:26:14.819 preventive and all the care that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:14.819 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.859$  needed in the prior year to the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:16.859 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.700$  and Hispanics with Hispanic physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.992$  were likelier than none those with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}26{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.824$  non Hispanic physicians to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:22.824 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.040$  satisfied with their healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.815$  So again qualitative but by

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:26.815 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.480$  no means unimportant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.076$  Moving a few years further along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.306$  this authors looked at patient centered

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:26:32.306 --> 00:26:34.199 communication ratings of care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}26{:}34.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.317$  concordance of patient and physician race.

00:26:36.320 --> 00:26:38.721 And again they found that race concordant

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}26{:}38.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.386$  visits were more extended and and had

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:41.386 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.356$  higher patient positive affect ratings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:26:43.360 --> 00:26:45.286 And patients in race concordant visits

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:45.286 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.403$  were more satisfied and rated their

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:26:47.403 --> 00:26:48.955 physicians as more participatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.476$  qualitative but by no means unimportant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.904$  So then we start to shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:26:54.904 --> 00:26:56.116 into quantitative data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:26:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.380$  So this is sometimes referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}26{:}58.380 {\: --> \:} 00{:}27{:}00.640$  to as the Oakland experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:27:00.640 --> 00:27:02.914 About 650 black men were recruited

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}27{:}02.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.854$  mostly from Barber shops and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:27:04.854 --> 00:27:07.008 the subjects were given a coupon

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:07.008 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.800$  for free healthcare screening.

 $00:27:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.655$  A clinic was set up with black

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:10.655 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.000$  and non black doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.728$  All the doctors knew whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:27:13.728 --> 00:27:15.991 were to do their best to encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:27:15.991 --> 00:27:18.097 the participants to engage in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}27{:}18.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.720$  screening and get the flu shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.320$  Visit was in two stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.200$  pre consultation digital tablet and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}27{:}24.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.478$  post consultation with the doctor in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:27:27.480 --> 00:27:29.500 The following health metrics were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:29.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.520$  offered including a flu shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.075$  What they found was that subjects who

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}27{:}34.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.539$  saw black doctors were 18 absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}27{:}36.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.699$  percentage points more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}27{:}38.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.958$  accept invasive tests and flu shots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.600$  And based on the analysis,

 $00:27:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.946$  they found that black doctors could

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:44.946 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.907$  reduce the black white male gap in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:47.907 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.145$  annual cardiovascular mortality by 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:27:50.145 --> 00:27:53.400 Now we're starting to shift to quantitative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.128$  albeit experimental and now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:57.128 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.280$  So this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:27:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.471$  few months ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:27:59.471 --> 00:28:01.456 these authors looked at black

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}28{:}01.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.236$  representation in the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:03.236 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.536$  care physician workforce and its

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}28{:}05.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.916$  association with population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.800$  life expectancy and mortality rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.080$  They look they identified 1600

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.897$  counties with at least one black

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:14.897 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.639$  physician as a matter of interest.

00:28:17.640 --> 00:28:20.040 There are 33 counties in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}28{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.948$  which means that 60% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:28:21.948 --> 00:28:23.926 counties in the United States do

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:23.926 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.989$  not have one single black PCP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:25.989 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.803$  They found that greater black workforce

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:28.803 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.663$  representation was associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:30.663 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.568$  higher life expectancy and was

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:28:32.568 --> 00:28:35.043 inversely related to all cost black

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}28{:}35.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.819$  mortality and mortality disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:36.819 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.105$  between black and white individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:28:39.105 --> 00:28:42.135 A 10% increase in black PCP

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:42.135 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.460$  representation was associated with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:28:44.460 --> 00:28:46.674 higher life expectancy of a month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.362$  So now we start to see an impact on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:28:49.362 --> 00:28:52.319 a population basis quantitatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.280$  Now interestingly,

 $00:28:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.463$  a few months later I find this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}28{:}56.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.147$  quite interesting because this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

00:28:58.147 --> 00:28:59.958 almost combines qualitative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:28:59.958 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.914$  quantitative analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:29:00.914 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.284$  These authors looked at social

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00{:}29{:}03.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.180$  demographic disparities in queue

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:29:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.720$  jumping for emergency department care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87548834

 $00:29:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.120$  So it is stated that they this they looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}29{:}11.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13.510$  Ed patient arrivals at two large

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}29{:}13.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.307$  Eds within a large northeast health

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:16.307 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.706$  system between 2017 and 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:19.706 --> 00:29:21.932 Large northeast health

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:21.932 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.324$  system that may be Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:24.324 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.600$  So they had 300,000 visits to the Ed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.560 40\%$  were white,

 $00:29:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.046$  30% were black and 20% were Hispanic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:31.046 --> 00:29:33.261 The third of all patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:33.261 --> 00:29:35.119 experienced an unexplained Q jump,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:35.120 --> 00:29:37.843 defined as a patient being placed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:37.843 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.470$  a treatment space ahead of a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:40.470 --> 00:29:43.135 of higher or equal acuity who actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:43.135 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.715$  arrived earlier than that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.142$  And what they found was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:47.142 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.566$  the Q jump was statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:48.566 --> 00:29:50.636 more significant for non black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}29{:}50.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.168$  Hispanic, Hispanic or Latino.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:53.168 --> 00:29:54.466 Sorry, non Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:54.466 --> 00:29:55.798 black Hispanic or Latino,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:29:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.372$  Spanish speaking Medicaid patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:57.372 --> 00:29:59.337 And patients who were jumped

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:29:59.337 --> 00:30:01.303 over had higher odds of being

 $00:30:01.303 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.306$  in the hallway bed placement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:03.306 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.038$  actually leaving before treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.404$  So you can imagine what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}30{:}07.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09.720$  in terms of healthcare outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.399$  So we have looked at defined the problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:13.399 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.397$  the scope of the problem nationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.040$  scope of the problem locally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}30{:}18.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.320$  challenges faced by URM faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.638$  bottlenecks faced by URM medical students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.215$  impact of diversity on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:26.215 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.075$  healthcare workforce and outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}30{:}28.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.020$  qualitative and quantitative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:30:29.020 --> 00:30:31.425 So I think it's fair to say it

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:31.425 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.799$  is time for a paradigm shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:30:32.800 --> 00:30:34.912 It is time because numerous studies

00:30:34.912 --> 00:30:36.780 have shown that physicians and

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}30{:}36.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.372$  healthcare provider diversity enables

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:38.372 \dashrightarrow 00:30:41.252$  better access and quality of care to

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:41.252 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.920$  diverse and underserved communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.712$  Greater Black health workforce

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:30:44.712 --> 00:30:46.952 representation may actually lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:30:46.952 --> 00:30:49.142 higher life expectancy for Black

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:49.142 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.310$  patients and we have an obligation

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.710$  to provide representation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:30:52.710 --> 00:30:54.380 providers and staff to reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}30{:}54.380 \to 00{:}30{:}55.640$  the communities we serve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.888$  We are obliged to care for our community

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:57.888 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.725$  health and develop professionals from

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:30:59.725 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.755$  within the communities we serve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:31:01.760 --> 00:31:05.772 And so this brings us to Operation EB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:05.772 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.276$  Equity begins with everyone.

00:31:08.280 --> 00:31:12.853 Equality tries to measure or looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}31{:}12.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.718$  for equal opportunities and equity

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.012$  addresses outcomes and tries

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:20.012 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.877$  to look for equal outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.720$  Most of the DEI work is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.438$  realm of the domain of equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:31:28.440 --> 00:31:31.062 And the blueprint basically is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}31{:}31.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.488$  if we have increased representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:33.488 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.040$  and outreach of minorities in faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:31:37.040 --> 00:31:38.960 medical students, nurses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:31:38.960 --> 00:31:40.540 physician assistants, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.640$  we will have be able to recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}31{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.830$  more and retain more and we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.915$  the circle of equity for healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:46.915 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.400$  and medical education.

 $00:31:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.080$  It will have an impact on medical education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:31:51.080 --> 00:31:53.480 It will have an impact on patient outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.036$  which is ultimately what we're all about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:31:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.636$  Now how do we get there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:31:58.640 --> 00:32:02.546 So operation EB The strategy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:02.546 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.200$  the verbs verbs so RRAR verbs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:32:07.200 --> 00:32:11.440 R for retain for recruit, R for retain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.519$  A for advance and R for relay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.195$  And this is recommended for all departments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.787$  all sections and all divisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:20.787 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.001$  So let's start with the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:23.001 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.116$  action verb which is to recruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:25.120 \dashrightarrow 00:32:28.624$  Now the GME office in collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:28.624 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.874$  with the medical school and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:31.874 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.245$  departments actually coordinates

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}32{:}33.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.073$  outreach to medical student

 $00:32:35.073 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.081$  organizations like the Latin Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00{:}32{:}37.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.946$  Student Association and the Student

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:38.946 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.743$  National Medical Association at

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:40.743 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.559$  regional and national conferences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:42.560 \dashrightarrow 00:32:44.954$  In September we went to the LMSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

 $00:32:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.444$  and this at this conferences we

NOTE Confidence: 0.685945591666667

00:32:47.444 --> 00:32:49.100 exhibit training opportunities at

NOTE Confidence: 0.43624875

 $00{:}32{:}49.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.040$  the medical school level because

NOTE Confidence: 0.43624875

 $00{:}32{:}51.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.361$  the medical school sends a sends

NOTE Confidence: 0.43624875

 $00{:}32{:}53.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.599$  representation and at the GME level

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:32:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.280$  Yale has held its this year in September

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00{:}33{:}01.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.911$  its second annual virtual recruitment

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00{:}33{:}03.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.873$  event fair for all HBCU medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.000$  We had over 100 students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.665$  Yale holds in person events

00:33:11.665 --> 00:33:12.997 at Howard and Meharry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00{:}33{:}13.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.252$  So all departments at all sections

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

00:33:16.252 --> 00:33:18.176 are encouraged to engage with

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:18.176 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.240$  the DME office as GME office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.720$  As we coordinate all this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.000$  we offer second look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.280$  virtual and in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.880$  for URM candidates and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.960$  collaboration with the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

00:33:29.960 --> 00:33:32.100 pipeline Programs and College summer

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00{:}33{:}32.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.240$  programs for science and STEM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.604$  In terms of faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00{:}33{:}35.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.309$  we recommend that all sections

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00{:}33{:}37.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.182$  and departments include DEI

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:39.182 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.138$  representatives on search committees

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:41.138 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.954$  and panels for certain positions,

 $00:33:42.954 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.066$  like the instructor rank

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00{:}33{:}44.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.760$  that has no search committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

00:33:45.760 --> 00:33:47.900 We recommend advertising on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.040$  career centers digitally of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

00:33:50.112 --> 00:33:51.940 National Medical Medical Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

00:33:51.940 --> 00:33:54.160 which is a predominant African black

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

00:33:54.160 --> 00:33:56.320 or African American physician group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00{:}33{:}56.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.944$  and the National Hispanic

NOTE Confidence: 0.62233835

 $00:33:57.944 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.756$  Medical Association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

00:34:01.520 --> 00:34:05.430 Now, having affinity groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:05.430 \dashrightarrow 00:34:07.880$  supported by an institution actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}34{:}07.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.596$  fosters recruitment and retention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:09.596 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.960$  The GME office and the Yale School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}34{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.324$  Medicine has the supports the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

00:34:15.324 --> 00:34:17.036 Minority House Staff Organization,

00:34:17.040 --> 00:34:19.038 the Yale Women's House Staff Organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}34{:}19.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.015$  and just in last month was launched

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}34{:}22.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.915$  the Yale First Generation Low Income

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:24.915 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.400$  Longitudinal Mentorship Program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.720$  Shout out to Doctor Jamie Cavallo from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:29.720 \dashrightarrow 00:34:32.478$  Department of Urology for leading this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.165$  Something else that that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:34.165 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.513$  are trying to establish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.319$  I'm trying to establish with my team

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

00:34:37.319 --> 00:34:39.393 in the Department of Medicine is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:39.393 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.953$  Minorities in Medicine mentorship program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.998$  Other departments and sections can do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

00:34:44.000 --> 00:34:46.226 Our program will pair one URM faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:46.226 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.472$  member with one to two URM trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:48.472 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.692$  or students and the mentor will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:50.692 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.594$  part of each trainees mentor team.

 $00:34:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.804$  I use the word trainee for students

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:55.804 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.314$  and for GME Graduate trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:34:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.196$  and the mentor's role is to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:00.196 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.679$  the trainee with the perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:01.679 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.919$  of a URM physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.136$  It's a hybrid of a mentor and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

00:35:05.136 --> 00:35:07.126 coach guide through the training

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}35{:}07.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.954$  challenges and career challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:08.954 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.360$  faced uniquely by URM physicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.760$  And our hope is that this program will

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}35{:}13.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.081$  be longitudinal and will create a support

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:16.081 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.360$  structure that will foster trainee retention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}35{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.255$  As faculty members themselves with us now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:22.255 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.860$  after you recruit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.000$  you have to retain.

 $00:35:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.130$  It's recommended that all departments

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.260$  and sections create a structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}35{:}30.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.452$  for gender and ethnic concordant

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

00:35:32.452 --> 00:35:34.152 mentoring for junior faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.480$  Yale School of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.800$  has the Moore program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.575$  which is the minority organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:38.575 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.995$  for retention and expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:39.995 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.720$  at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.720$  I would encourage section on

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}35{:}43.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.800$  department leaders to have their

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}35{:}46.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.080$  minority faculty engage with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}35{:}50.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51.660$  Every section department should

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

00:35:51.660 --> 00:35:54.120 have a visible commitment to DEI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:35:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.784$  Every section should have ADEI leader

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}35{:}56.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59.016$  and create a specific DI curriculum

00:35:59.016 --> 00:36:01.159 which could include DI workshops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00{:}36{:}01.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.552$  retreats, invited speakers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:36:02.552 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.872$  integration of diversity topics into

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:36:04.872 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.517$  all aspects of the clinical curriculum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75686526

 $00:36:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.918$  ground rounds, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

00:36:11.800 --> 00:36:13.775 Departments and sections should provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

00:36:13.775 --> 00:36:15.355 support for clinician educators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.080$  A big chunk of faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.505$  URM and non URM faculty come to you because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:20.505 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.200$  they want to thrive as clinician educators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.139$  We're all here now this session because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}36{:}25.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.058$  of the center at the collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}36{:}27.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.116$  with the Center for Medical Education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.952$  And so it is very important that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:31.952 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.692$  departments and sections provide

 $00:36:33.692 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.752$  support for clinical educators to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}36{:}35.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.400$  advance their educational skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.028$  The Center for Medical Education offers

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:40.028 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.492$  a master's degree and a host of much

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:42.492 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.178$  more other things that are offered that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:45.178 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.880$  can advance the clinician education career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.280$  This is where section leaders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.852$  department leaders should endeavour

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}36{:}50.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53.670$  to protect some time so that junior

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.040$  faculty can advance their own careers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.960$  They will stay on and and this will

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:36:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.277$  lead to an increased retention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:01.277 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.496$  part where they should be created and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:03.496 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.194$  defined to leadership opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:05.194 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.694$  for academic clinicians and clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:07.694 \dashrightarrow 00:37:10.370$  educators which are a very big chunk

 $00:37:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.416$  of faculty as opposed to traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}37{:}12.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.040$  investigators or clinician scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.019$  So we need to have a big tent approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

00:37:18.019 --> 00:37:22.119 to advance all tracks and faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.076$  as part of retain retention efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.264$  Every section should have a formal

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:26.264 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.720$  mentoring structure that assigns

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

00:37:27.784 --> 00:37:29.200 mentors to junior faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}37{:}29.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.955$  A promotion advisory committee that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:31.955 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.710$  reviews faculty readiness for promotion

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:34.786 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.558$  annually for URM and for non URM and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:37.558 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.956$  F tax should inform and guide this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}37{:}40.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.330$  It's important that we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.290$  forget that section leaders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

00:37:45.290 --> 00:37:47.815 senior faculty on promotion committee

00:37:47.815 --> 00:37:51.038 may also benefit from ongoing workshops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}37{:}51.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53.160$  mentorship and sponsorship workshop so

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.184$  that we are constantly embracing a culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:37:56.184 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.274$  of academic sponsorship and mentorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00{:}37{:}58.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00.773$  And I I refer to Matt sponsorship and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:38:00.773 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.591$  sponsor as a person in an organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:38:02.591 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.721$  who is in a position of influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:38:04.721 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.875$  and power who actively supports the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5008798

 $00:38:06.875 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.760$  the career of a of prodigy protege.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.796$  We recruit, we retain now we have to advance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00{:}38{:}15.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17.640$  We've talked about the minority

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.112$  tax and section leaders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00{:}38{:}19.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21.264$  Department leaders should facilitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

00:38:21.264 --> 00:38:23.408 URM faculty academic advancement

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

00:38:23.408 --> 00:38:25.414 by incorporating DDI efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00{:}38{:}25.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.158$  and activities and leadership,

00:38:27.160 --> 00:38:28.624 DDI activities, leadership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

00:38:28.624 --> 00:38:29.600 clinical service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

00:38:29.600 --> 00:38:31.550 scholarly creative activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.800$  community engagement as a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.199$  supporting criterion for promotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.328$  Faculty development programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:38.328 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.208$  to advance scholarly skills for

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00{:}38{:}40.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41.844$  junior faculty like the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

00:38:41.844 --> 00:38:43.638 of Medicine's Academic Clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00{:}38{:}43.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.156$  Educator Scholars Program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

00:38:45.160 --> 00:38:47.210 the Centre for Medical Education

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.880$  does offers a ton of faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00{:}38{:}49.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.758$  development training programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:51.758 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.000$  hands on bedside, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:38:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.160$  how to be a better teacher.

 $00:38:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.542$  So section leaders and Division and

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00{:}38{:}58.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00.130$  Department Chiefs should encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

00:39:00.193 --> 00:39:01.837 engagement by junior faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:39:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.079$  URM or otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:39:03.079 \dashrightarrow 00:39:05.557$  with the Centre for Medical Education

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:39:05.557 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.760$  and the OAPD office that offers

NOTE Confidence: 0.580429764444444

 $00:39:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.360$  a lot of faculty development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.520$  I just want to talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00{:}39{:}12.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.152$  So we talked about the the minority

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

00:39:15.152 --> 00:39:17.519 tax and how it's difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00{:}39{:}17.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19.997$  capture and measure DEI efforts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:19.997 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.558$  have that contribute to promotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.080$  We, my team and I are piloting a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00{:}39{:}25.080 \to 00{:}39{:}27.917$  tool that captures and actually weights

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:27.917 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.397$  DEI activities into impact scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.080$  We're calling it the Yield

00:39:32.080 --> 00:39:33.277 DEI Productivity Calculator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

00:39:33.277 --> 00:39:36.070 It's an online tool that you answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:36.133 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.093$  some questions and it actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

00:39:38.093 --> 00:39:40.053 generates and computes and spits

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:40.124 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.931$  out the DEI activity impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:41.931 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.759$  score to inform promotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.517$  We're hoping to pilot this in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.340$  We are going to pilot this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

00:39:47.340 --> 00:39:48.890 medicine and actually currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.149$  we are now doing this as part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:52.149 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.220$  a a nationwide study to calibrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00:39:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.395$  activity impact scores and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.59090054

 $00{:}39{:}56.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.600$  give it meaning and relevance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}40{:}00.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.840$  Now we're here to the final final hour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.472$  which is the final verb, which is to relay.

 $00:40:05.472 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.674$  And the DE, the underrepresented

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:07.674 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.559$  problem is not just institutional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.557$  it's regional, it's national, and so every.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.280$  Institution or system that comes up with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.124$  semi solution that can advance representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:21.124 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.559$  should share this with the larger community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.992$  And so the last verb is of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:26.992 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.600$  Aurora verbs is the relay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}40{:}28.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.622$  which is to share our strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:30.622 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.633$  regionally and nationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:40:31.640 --> 00:40:32.772 Conference workshops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:40:32.772 --> 00:40:35.036 invited lectures, grand rounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.110$  media platforms, commentaries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:40:37.110 --> 00:40:40.560 articles in peer reviewed journals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.940$  We should join professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}40{:}41.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.320$  organizations that advocate for

 $00:40:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.079$  diverse and inclusive healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.080$  So we can advocate locally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.380$  but we can also do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.480$  simultaneously regionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:40:51.480 --> 00:40:52.920 SGIMACP, surgical groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:40:52.920 --> 00:40:54.840 gynecology groups as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.796$  And we can do it nationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.425$  We can advocate for policy and legislative

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:40:59.425 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.494$  changes and we can participate in pipeline

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:02.494 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.834$  programs into the Community Schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.420$  An example of successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.000$  relaying is for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}41{:}08.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.165$  the section of infectious diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}41{:}10.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.330$  within the Department of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:12.399 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.646$  during the pandemic created DEI

 $00:41:14.646 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.276$  inclusive and anti racism curriculum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}41{:}17.280 --> 00{:}41{:}18.524$  It was very successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:18.524 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.390$  We're trying to model other sections

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:20.447 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.679$  around it and they actually wrote about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:41:22.680 --> 00:41:24.920 So this is not just advocating locally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.352$  but relaying it nationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907 00:41:27.352 --> 00:41:27.960 Dr. NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:41:27.960 --> 00:41:31.070 Sharon Austerfield Jones is a internist

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}41{:}31.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.890$  and a paediatrician in the section

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.760$  of in General medicine hospitalist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}41{:}36.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.160$  She worked towards incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:41:39.160 --> 00:41:40.930 DEI topics into existing curriculum

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.700$  into the pediatric ground grounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.200$  and then she wrote about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:41:44.200 --> 00:41:46.636 So not just making an impact locally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.260$  but relaying it nationally.

00:41:48.260 --> 00:41:52.040 And then our, our colleagues in surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:41:52.040 --> 00:41:53.960 Doctor Paris Butler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.315$  worked on programs and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:55.315 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.399$  they share this nationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:41:56.400 --> 00:41:57.552 So in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:41:57.552 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.240$  the four verbs we have to retain

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

00:42:00.325 --> 00:42:02.479 underrepresented minorities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:42:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.076$  we have, we have to recruit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:42:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.412$  outreach and recruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:42:05.412 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.056$  We have to retain and support mentorship

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}42{:}09.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.960$  programs to support productivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:42:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.845$  We have to advance academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00{:}42{:}14.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.353$  careers and leadership positions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:42:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.260$  And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:42:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.360$  we have to relay our best practices.

00:42:19.360 --> 00:42:20.011 And with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:42:20.011 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.320$  I thank you for your time and your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44293907

 $00:42:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.160$  Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.496$  Thanks so much. Ben.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:27.496 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.435$  You can take down your slides and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:29.435 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.875$  have a few minutes for discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:42:30.880 --> 00:42:32.800 And I I feel so grateful

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.439$  to have serve it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}42{:}34.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36.286$  And we've got, you know, Sharon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:36.286 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.260$  we have so many people here doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.480$  really excellent research in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:42:40.480 --> 00:42:41.170 My first question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.626$  I would love to hear, Ben,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}42{:}42.626 --> 00{:}42{:}43.850$  when you're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.132$  the DEI impact score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:45.132 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.076$  tell me a little bit more

 $00:42:47.076 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.162$  about that and would it be

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:49.162 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.514$  for every faculty member?

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:42:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.798$  Yes, thank you for the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}42{:}52.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.960$  So this is, this has bothered

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}42{:}55.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57.112$  everyone at a cademic centres.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:42:57.120 --> 00:42:58.722 How do you actually capture DEI

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:42:58.722 --> 00:43:00.918 efforts and how do you make them count?

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.318$  And how do you, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.780$  people, If I say the h-index

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:43:04.780 --> 00:43:05.874 for publication, everyone's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:43:05.874 --> 00:43:07.518 everyone knows it and everyone's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:43:07.520 --> 00:43:09.680 Oh my God, h-index, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.144$  So the idea, Janet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:11.144 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.080$  here is that it's for all faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.100$  yes, all specialities,

 $00:43:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.800$  all faculty can use this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.620$  And the idea is that that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.650$  will have we have an online tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.518$  that captures all activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.104$  So let's say I'm a faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:43:26.104 --> 00:43:27.160 going up for promotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.204$  I go on this online tool and

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:29.204 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.800$  I answer several questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}43{:}30.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.830$  And as I'm asking questions like for

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:43:32.830 --> 00:43:35.520 instance, it covers curriculum building,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}43{:}35.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.320$  research community work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.244$  working with incarcerated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:38.244 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.476$  working with the homeless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:43:39.480 --> 00:43:41.344 mentoring students, recruiting students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:41.344 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.276$  recruiting trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}43{:}42.280 \to 00{:}43{:}43.960$  recruiting faculty, recruit,

 $00:43:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.640$  diversifying research teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}43{:}45.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.848$  And there is a weighted scoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:47.848 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.320$  system to every answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.880$  And so automatically it comes out with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.957$  diversity Diverse DEI Activity impact score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:43:53.960 --> 00:43:55.080 And now to your question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.405$  Janet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:55.405 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.680$  is that what does that score mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:43:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.304$  So This is why we're now nationally trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:00.304 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.756$  to calibrate it at this point as we speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.036$  we have sent out with the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:44:06.036 --> 00:44:07.440 IRB exemption approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.960$  we have sent out this survey to

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}44{:}09.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.344$  all the DEI champions across all

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:12.344 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.840$  academic centers in the United States.

 $00:44:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.320$  So we're starting to try to to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}44{:}18.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.180$  measure what is the kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:44:21.180 --> 00:44:23.466 high point by people who their

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:23.466 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.994$  job description includes this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.079$  And then so eventually what we hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:27.079 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.456$  to have is that when someone's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:29.456 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.846$  up for promotion that you can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:31.846 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.560$  a page that shows national metrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}44{:}34.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36.528$  regional, national and institutional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:36.528 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.480$  If if if institutions are engaged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.440$  And then you can have your candidates

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:44:41.440 --> 00:44:43.079 who's coming up for promotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.240$  their own diversity impact score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.320$  And then you can make an informed judgement

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:44:48.320 --> 00:44:51.200 about how impactful their DEI work is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.752$  especially if it's done

 $00:44:52.752 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.916$  without protected time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.000$  Because then you're literally

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.080$  converting the the minority

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.620$  tax into academic credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:44:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.000$  Now one thing I want to stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:45:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.000$  absolutely importantly is that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

00:45:04.000 --> 00:45:06.612 productivity calculator does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00:45:06.612 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.399$  assign any points based on identity or race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}45{:}11.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.358$  It's only assigns points based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.47564164

 $00{:}45{:}14.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.719$  activities that promote DEI and inclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76886415

 $00{:}45{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.518$  Very interesting because on CV Part 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76886415

 $00:45:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.012$  we do have a section where we are required

NOTE Confidence: 0.76886415

 $00{:}45{:}26.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.000$  to mention what we do in the DEI space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76886415

 $00:45:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.100$  So it'll be interesting to see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.76886415

 $00:45:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.120$  this works together. Let's open it up.

 $00:45:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.240$  Do others have questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:45:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.920$  Sandy has her hand raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:45:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.520$  Janet. Oh, thanks. I thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

00:45:47.520 --> 00:45:49.408 Go ahead, Sandy. Thank you, Janet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:45:49.408 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.632$  Thank you, Dorothy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

00:45:50.632 --> 00:45:52.416 Benjamin, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:45:52.416 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.480$  This is really important work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:45:54.548 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.598$  and very insightful and helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:45:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.992$  in our work in both in the student

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:45:58.992 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.477$  realm as well as the faculty realm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00{:}46{:}01.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02.992$  I just want to, I don't have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:46:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.478$  I really just want to thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:46:05.478 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.795$  for calling out and including

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:46:07.795 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.559$  physician assistants PAS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00:46:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.115$  So this work definitely impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00{:}46{:}12.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.240$  the world of PAS and we're often

 $00:46:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.040$  not included in these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39121518

 $00{:}46{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.594$  So thank you very much for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48178852

 $00:46:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.095$  Oh, thank you. We are all one, I mean we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.48178852

00:46:22.095 --> 00:46:24.280 all one healthcare team. Appreciate it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48178852

 $00:46:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.360$  Jeanette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

00:46:29.480 --> 00:46:31.970 Yes, thank you so much for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

00:46:31.970 --> 00:46:34.560 tremendous talk. Doctor Emba,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

 $00{:}46{:}34.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.092$  I'm interested you know the inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

00:46:37.092 --> 00:46:40.478 of kind of low income first generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

 $00:46:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.580$  in the DEI kind of definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

 $00:46:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.440$  Do you see that becoming more widespread

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

 $00:46:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.016$  and national data including that measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

 $00{:}46{:}52.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.155$  I just you know I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

00:46:54.155 --> 00:46:55.190 really important and I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.30370283

00:46:55.235 --> 00:46:56.520 feel like it's always captured.

 $00:46:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.600$  Thank you for your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:46:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.192$  It is actually I see it getting more

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:01.192 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.838$  and more involved in the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:47:02.840 --> 00:47:06.278 So if you look at if you first generation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:47:06.280 --> 00:47:08.758 62% of the United States population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.000$  households, 62% of the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.280$  adults have not attended,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.380$  completed a four year degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}47{:}15.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17.480$  program or an associate's program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.112$  And so. So it is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}47{:}20.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.078$  that and if you look at medical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.512$  most medical schools now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:24.512 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.058$  if you look over the last two

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}47{:}27.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.347$  decades it was in the single digits

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:29.347 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.920$  of medical school students that

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}47{:}30.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.160$  are actually first generation.

00:47:32.160 --> 00:47:34.448 And if you look at for instance Yale's

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:34.448 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.157$  data now and which is across national data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.860$  it correlates with national data

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.300$  is that about 14 to 15% of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.560$  school intakes actually taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.640$  in first generation families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.055$  When you look at low socio economic

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:49.055 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.556$  status when it comes to the poverty level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.144$  5 to 6% of all medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:55.144 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.304$  students come from official

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:47:57.304 --> 00:47:59.800 poverty defined family households.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:47:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.796$  So in short, yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}48{:}00.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.920$  I do see more and more involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.104$  I know that the initial definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:05.104 --> 00:48:06.560 was exclusively on race,

 $00:48:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.720$  but then there are other populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.072$  that as this this gathering momentum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:11.072 --> 00:48:11.920 For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:11.920 --> 00:48:14.836 the United States 13% of adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:14.840 --> 00:48:17.138 approximately 13% of adults are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:17.138 --> 00:48:19.400 registered as having a disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:19.400 --> 00:48:20.840 And in terms of medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.226$  you only have about maybe 4% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:23.226 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.956$  of medical students are disabled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.735$  So clearly there's an on

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:27.735 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.155$  the representation as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}48{:}29.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.712$  So so that's why it's as we push

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}48{:}31.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.456$  forward inclusion should broaden it

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:33.456 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.526$  shouldn't I don't think it should

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:35.526 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.895$  be narrowed down just to race and

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:37.895 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.793$  ethnicity but to all disadvantaged

 $00:48:39.793 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.758$  cohorts that in the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}48{:}42.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.062$  There are also other I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:44.062 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.730$  talk about it but there are even

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.236$  with even the nuances within this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:47.240 --> 00:48:47.852 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:47.852 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.994$  a lot of my slides showed for

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:49.994 --> 00:48:51.839 instance that they're the Asian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:48:51.840 --> 00:48:53.695 Asian physicians are over represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:53.695 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.437$  in faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}48{:}54.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.675$  but there's a significant challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}48{:}56.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.520$  faced by Asian American faculty members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:48:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.677$  which is that the pathway to leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00{:}49{:}02.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.296$  They for the last 20 years when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:49:05.296 --> 00:49:08.157 look at the leadership Parity Index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:49:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.674$  which is the representation of of

 $00:49:11.674 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.798$  leaders from a racial group over

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:49:13.798 --> 00:49:15.679 the total number in faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:49:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.080$  the two two groups stand out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:49:18.080 --> 00:49:20.115 women overall and Asian Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:49:20.115 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.383$  for the last 20 years have had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:49:23.383 --> 00:49:26.078 leadership parity Index of less than one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:49:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.548$  So there's so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

 $00:49:27.548 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.383$  there's so much inequity within

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:49:29.383 --> 00:49:30.799 inequity within inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53423256

00:49:30.800 --> 00:49:32.560 It's like a matrix, like, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:49:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.718$  So another question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

00:49:34.718 --> 00:49:36.560 I'd love to hear your thoughts on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:49:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.661$  It's from Darren.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:49:37.661 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.863$  Now that the Supreme Court has

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

00:49:39.863 --> 00:49:42.123 said race and ethnicity cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:49:42.123 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.393$  be used in admission discussions,

 $00:49:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.716$  do you think they'll be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

00:49:46.720 --> 00:49:50.120 examining our SES and thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:49:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.680$  about that with greater concern?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00{:}49{:}53.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55.824$  I I think that I think there will

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:49:55.824 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.588$  be more attention paid to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:49:57.588 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.629$  But I also caution that though there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:49:59.629 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.720$  an overlap, there's no it is not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00{:}50{:}01.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.175$  It's not a perfect surrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:04.175 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.200$  marker when it comes to race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.240$  So you could have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

00:50:10.240 --> 00:50:11.840 I definitely agree that both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.198$  So it's a it's not a 0 sum game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00{:}50{:}14.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.360$  I think it's the the pie

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.800$  should be larger overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.600$  What you find is that yes,

00:50:19.600 --> 00:50:22.729 people will start to look at SES

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:22.729 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.533$  parameters because unfortunately most

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:24.533 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.277$  SES lower SES families are also minority

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:27.277 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.919$  families or first generation families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.456$  So yes, that is an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:31.456 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.480$  metric to look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00{:}50{:}32.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.040$  but following the SCOTUS

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.600$  decision it is still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.506$  First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

00:50:36.506 --> 00:50:38.620 the decision does not have any impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00{:}50{:}38.686 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}50{:}40.996$  for outreach currently and recruitment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.022$  recruitment efforts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6856962

 $00:50:43.022 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.718$  additionally the SCOTUS decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

00:50:48.200 --> 00:50:51.864 it. It does not preclude obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:50:51.864 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.520$  as everyone knows integrating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:50:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.462$  what attributes in individual's

 $00{:}50{:}58.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59.755$  applicancy contributes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00{:}50{:}59.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.479$  their overall lived experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.220$  And of course it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.960$  impossible to separate race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.310$  socio, economic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.560$  zip code from your overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

00:51:11.760 --> 00:51:14.360 from you or your identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.356$  So, but yes, I think Darren,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.335$  there will be much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:16.335 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.115$  attention paid to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00{:}51{:}17.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19.066$  But I just cautioned that it shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

00:51:19.066 --> 00:51:21.000 just be a simple substitute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00{:}51{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.083$  It's very complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00{:}51{:}22.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.610$  It's very nuanced and we just have

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

00:51:24.675 --> 00:51:26.789 to keep making the effort to make

 $00:51:26.789 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.772$  sure that our inclusive strategy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00{:}51{:}29.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.332$  as inclusive as possible and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:32.332 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.390$  we keep checking for the nuances

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:34.457 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.437$  of inequities within all all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5009517

 $00:51:36.437 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.480$  strata that we are working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

00:51:42.760 --> 00:51:43.928 Thank you. Other questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

00:51:43.928 --> 00:51:46.323 I think we have time for one more

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00:51:46.323 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.367$  and Please note that we have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00{:}51{:}48.367 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}51{:}50.038$  evaluation which just takes a minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00:51:50.038 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.030$  so you can even start doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00{:}51{:}52.030 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}51{:}54.040$  It's really important for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

00:51:54.040 --> 00:51:55.909 get your feedback so you can go

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

00:51:55.909 --> 00:51:58.137 into the chat and pick that up if

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

00:51:58.137 --> 00:52:00.639 there's any last couple of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00:52:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.259$  And one thing I'm hoping for is

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00{:}52{:}09.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.560$  that we get more and more data

 $00:52:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.200$  on on clinical outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00{:}52{:}14.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.525$  on population impact of diversifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00:52:16.525 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.385$  the healthcare workforce because

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00:52:18.385 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.741$  so it moves from this qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00:52:20.741 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.046$  metrics or outcomes or experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

00:52:24.046 --> 00:52:27.880 or quality and access to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00:52:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.160$  finding out that you know we

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00:52:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.400$  actually impact life expectancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54383653

 $00{:}52{:}33.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}34.918$  mortality rates etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7599996

00:52:35.760 --> 00:52:37.680 And then we have Natasha has

NOTE Confidence: 0.7599996

 $00{:}52{:}37.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}52{:}39.744$  a important question for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7599996

 $00:52:39.744 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.924$  Are there any data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7599996

00:52:41.924 --> 00:52:43.376 inter ethnic disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7599996

 $00:52:43.376 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.916$  for example the black immigrants

NOTE Confidence: 0.7599996

00:52:45.916 --> 00:52:48.920 from Africa or Caribbean versus

00:52:48.920 --> 00:52:51.800 American descendants of slaves?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00{:}52{:}53.040 --> 00{:}52{:}54.960$  OK, thank you for the question. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:52:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.032$  one thing that's unique to all the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:00.032 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.010$  presented and anywhere you get data

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

00:53:02.010 --> 00:53:05.920 on URM participants or or trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.296$  the data that I quote is restricted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:09.296 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.746$  the AAMC only reports demographic data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:13.746 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.879$  American citizens and green card holders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.465$  And as you all know the US census groups and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

00:53:22.465 --> 00:53:25.795 most places that collect demographic data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.764$  it doesn't go granular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

00:53:27.764 --> 00:53:30.680 Like for instance if you check the box Asian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00{:}53{:}30.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32.798$  there are at least 31 nationalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:32.798 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.640$  cultures that could be Asian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

00:53:34.640 --> 00:53:36.984 if you check the box black or African

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

00:53:36.984 --> 00:53:38.360 American, you could be African,

 $00:53:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.440$  you could be African,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00{:}53{:}39.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40.756$  multi generational, black American,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

00:53:40.756 --> 00:53:42.401 you could be Caribbean and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:42.401 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.600$  so on and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.359$  So I don't think the data exists

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.356$  to at such a granular level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.619$  Is there some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:53.619 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.992$  Is there some angst or disagreements or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:53:57.992 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.632$  discordance within all racial groups?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:54:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.299$  I'm sure there are about how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:54:02.299 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.036$  capture it and what to make of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85944617

 $00:54:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.960$  It's, for now, remains unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

 $00{:}54{:}09.160 --> 00{:}54{:}10.300$  I'm sure we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

 $00:54:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.440$  have people working on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

 $00:54:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.396$  Well, please fill out the eval.

00:54:13.400 --> 00:54:14.912 And I really thank you, Ben,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

 $00:54:14.912 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.672$  for this wonderful work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

 $00{:}54{:}16.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.516$  contributing to Yale and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

 $00:54:18.516 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.000$  thrilled that you're here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

 $00:54:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.275$  I'm sure we'll have many more discussions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

00:54:22.280 --> 00:54:24.198 Patrick has a big thumbs up also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538379

 $00:54:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.400$  So really appreciate everyone's time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00:54:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.220$  Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00{:}54{:}28.220 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}54{:}29.750$  And just a reminder that equity

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00:54:29.807 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.280$  begins with everyone. Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00{:}54{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}35.958$  Janet. Shelly has her hand raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00:54:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.592$  I don't know if there's time

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

00:54:37.592 --> 00:54:38.680 to address another quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

00:54:38.680 --> 00:54:40.440 Oh, wait, I just meant to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00:54:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.040$  Claps. I'm sorry, Ben.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00{:}54{:}42.040 --> 00{:}54{:}43.506$  You are fantastic. Ben.

 $00:54:43.506 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.836$  Just wanted to acknowledge that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00{:}54{:}44.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}47.120$  Sorry, I'll lower my hand. No worries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00{:}54{:}47.920 --> 00{:}54{:}50.520$  No worries. Great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00:54:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.040$  So are we staying? We're

NOTE Confidence: 0.39749688

 $00{:}54{:}54.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55.480$  staying on. Right. OK. Yeah.