WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:57:52"
- NOTE recognizability:0.924
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:00.760 Here to be here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- $00:00:02.840 \dashrightarrow 00:00:04.838$ and I'm just letting everyone know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- 00:00:04.840 --> 00:00:07.018 I'm pipelining in from my private
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- $00:00:07.018 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.599$ practice office in New York City where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- 00:00:09.599 --> 00:00:11.717 I maintain a very small practice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- $00:00:11.720 \dashrightarrow 00:00:14.000$ And despite the the various other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- 00:00:14.000 --> 00:00:16.600 things that I spend my time doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- $00{:}00{:}16.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.640$ Because I, you know, and I say that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- 00:00:18.640 --> 00:00:20.400 because I'm a clinician obviously,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- 00:00:20.400 --> 00:00:25.340 as well as a scientist and very pleased to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- $00{:}00{:}25.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.275$ be able to talk to you today about the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- 00:00:29.275 --> 00:00:32.755 you know, sort of the state of science
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808
- 00:00:32.755 --> 00:00:35.672 in our field and you know where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:35.672 \rightarrow 00:00:38.360$ where I think the field is going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.000$ hopefully the signal will hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}41.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.800$ We're in the midst of a giant rain storm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.915$ which I think is affecting you guys as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:45.920 \rightarrow 00:00:49.018$ But we just had a flash flood warning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51{.}962$ So I'm going to share my screen now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}51.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.066$ and spend some time talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:55.066 \rightarrow 00:00:57.862$ landscape and then hopefully we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}57{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}005$ some time for some questions and discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

00:01:01.010 --> 00:01:02.450 So let's see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.087$ Are you guys seeing my presentation now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:07.090 --> 00:01:09.250 Yes, yes. OK, fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.836$ I'm just going to get you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:11.836 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.327$ out of my way a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.298$ And then will take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:16.298 --> 00:01:17.908 So I've spent the bulk

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- 00:01:17.908 --> 00:01:19.850 of my career as is mini,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- 00:01:19.850 --> 00:01:22.736 you know introduced it doing clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00:01:22.736 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.209$ multi single site and multi site trials.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00:01:26.210 \rightarrow 00:01:28.548$ The single site trials I spent most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- 00:01:28.548 --> 00:01:30.809 of my career in New York City,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- 00:01:30.810 --> 00:01:33.014 working with agencies and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00{:}01{:}33.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.769$ communities in Harlem and Fort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- 00:01:35.769 --> 00:01:38.049 Washington and Upper Manhattan,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00{:}01{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.482$ mostly minoritized populations and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00:01:40.482 \rightarrow 00:01:43.522$ testing different types of interventions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00:01:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.162$ both behavioral and also combined
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00{:}01{:}48.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.283$ pharma cotherapy interventions to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00:01:50.283 \dashrightarrow 00:01:52.548$ address trauma, post traumatic stress,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00{:}01{:}52{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53{.}906$ and substance use disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00{:}01{:}53{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56{.}786$ So the bulk of what I'm talking about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:56.786 \rightarrow 00:01:59.540$ kind of comes from my experiences here, NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:01:59.540 \dashrightarrow 00:02:02.410$ although I have moved into the sphere NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.486$ of data science and using big data, NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.221$ which in our field you know constitutes NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:09.221 \rightarrow 00:02:11.202$ pooling together clinical trials NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:11.202 \rightarrow 00:02:13.482$ that are usually somewhere between NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:13.482 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.648$ 50 and 100 participants per trial. NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:16.650 \rightarrow 00:02:19.810$ So the big data allows us to look NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.600$ at sample sizes of like 4500 and NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 00:02:22.600 --> 00:02:24.730 and going up into the future. NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.530$ So I'll be talking about that NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:26.530 \rightarrow 00:02:28.810$ as I think a promising Ave. NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:28.810 \rightarrow 00:02:34.046$ for the future in before I get fully started. NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 00:02:34.050 --> 00:02:35.094 First of all, NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:35.094 \rightarrow 00:02:37.530$ want to do a small land acknowledgement, NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234 $00:02:37.530 \rightarrow 00:02:41.116$ just that where I am in New Jersey

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- 00:02:41.116 --> 00:02:43.140 and also in New York City where we

00:02:43.205 --> 00:02:45.010 do our scholarship and research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47{.}962$ it stands on the land that is an cestral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:47.962 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.129$ territory of the Lenape peoples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.010$ And we pay respect to indigenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.930$ peoples throughout this diaspora,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:54.930 \rightarrow 00:02:57.022$ past, present and future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:57.022 \rightarrow 00:03:00.160$ honoring all those who've been historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}00{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}398$ and systematically disenfranchised

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:02.398 --> 00:03:04.452 And acknowledge that New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}04{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06{.}450$ New Jersey and the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}06{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08{.}495$ was founded upon exclusions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:08.495 \rightarrow 00:03:10.079$ erasures of indigenous peoples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}10{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}340$ And that's the disclosure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:11.340 --> 00:03:12.600 I would like to make.

 $00{:}03{:}12{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15{.}785$ I have no other disclosures other than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:15.785 --> 00:03:19.542 my my career long funding with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}19.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.597$ the National Institutes of Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}22.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.120$ So just to bring us right to the our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:25.120 --> 00:03:28.720 our who we work with and what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}28{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}030$ know what it feels like for patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}31{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34{.}230$ for individuals with lived experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}34{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37{.}374$ who have both traumatic stress related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}37{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}270$ problems and also substance use problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.910$ I know it ravages my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}42.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.110$ But when I'm high I don't have to feel it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:45.110 --> 00:03:48.568 The trauma in my body, in my soul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:48.568 --> 00:03:50.888 I can disappear a few hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:50.888 \rightarrow 00:03:53.022$ a few days, a few weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}53{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55{.}566$ a break from those relentless memories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:55.570 \rightarrow 00:03:58.104$ Well, at least I'll know exactly how

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234
- $00:03:58.104 \dashrightarrow 00:04:00.929$ I will feel when I use substances,

 $00:04:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.010$ good, bad or ugly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:04:03.010 --> 00:04:03.530 Otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.130$ I'm all over the place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08{.}328$ These are some of the sentiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}08{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}014$ of individuals who we strive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:11.014 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.724$ to help with their conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:04:13.730 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:04:18.149 And I'm very happy to say as as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:18.149 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.082$ starting point that we have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:21.082 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.810$ of answers that we didn't have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:04:22.810 --> 00:04:23.374 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}23{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26{.}082$ in over the decades of all of us who've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:26.082 \rightarrow 00:04:29.130$ been and doing research in this these areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.769$ it's really led to a groundswell of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:31.769 \rightarrow 00:04:34.648$ knowledge that I'm going to share with you.

 $00:04:34.650 \rightarrow 00:04:36.940$ You know sort of the big picture of what do NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00{:}04{:}36{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}279$ we know in terms of comorbidity and NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00{:}04{:}39{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}584$ consequences, what do we know in terms NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 00:04:41.584 --> 00:04:44.670 of the science of integrated PTSD&SUD NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:04:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.971$ care and then how do we apply it NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:04:47.971 \rightarrow 00:04:49.810$ in integrated treatment frameworks. NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:04:49.810 \rightarrow 00:04:52.099$ And really the challenge for all of NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:04:52.099 \rightarrow 00:04:54.696$ us who are are continuing to work NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:04:54.696 \rightarrow 00:04:56.716$ in this field is dissemination, NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:04:56.720 \rightarrow 00:04:58.268$ dissemination, adoption, dissemination. NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 00:04:58.268 --> 00:05:01.880 Because even though we know a lot, NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:05:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.576$ there is still a gap and a barrier NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:05:04.576 \rightarrow 00:05:07.184$ between what we do know as from our NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:05:07.184 \rightarrow 00:05:09.905$ science and from our clinical trials and NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:05:09.905 \rightarrow 00:05:12.250$ what is being practiced in the community. NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386 $00:05:12.250 \rightarrow 00:05:15.130$ Even though we do know a lot more. 8

00:05:15.130 --> 00:05:17.578 And you know from your agencies and working

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}17.578$ --> $00{:}05{:}19.488$ with agencies the concepts of trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}19{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21{.}458$ informed care and the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:21.458 \dashrightarrow 00:05:23.990$ doing work in these spaces and bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.915$ together people with substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:25.915 \dashrightarrow 00:05:28.424$ and mental health issues broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:28.424 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.020$ But we still have a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}32.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.604$ a lot of room to still do work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}36{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38{.}268$ understand more about dissemination

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:38.268 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.100$ and implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:05:39.100 --> 00:05:39.664 So first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41{.}638$ what do we know about the scope

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}41{.}638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44{.}189$ of the problem And this is a slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}44.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.340$ that every one has seen that speaks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.100$ to opioid overdose deaths,

 $00:05:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.270$ which is one way that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.028$ you know, come to understand this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}52{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55{.}010$ the significance of the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.990$ of addiction in our country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}57{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}950$ And these numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.650$ you know are now upwards of 100,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:01.650 \rightarrow 00:06:05.642$ deaths per year through due to opioids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:05.642 \rightarrow 00:06:07.472$ prescription drugs, synthetics, fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:07.472 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.478$ It's really shifted over to fentanyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}10.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.308$ and now other other substances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:13.310 --> 00:06:15.592 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}15{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18{.}648$ we and and as a nation we've come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:18.648 \rightarrow 00:06:21.354$ some understanding of the importance of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:21.360 --> 00:06:22.314 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}22.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.176$ D stigmatizing addiction as as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:25.176 \rightarrow 00:06:28.675$ rates of deaths of white young men

 $00:06:28.675 \rightarrow 00:06:31.400$ actually were rising most dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}31{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34{.}880$ And I think really tapped the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:34.880 --> 00:06:37.010 nation's understanding in a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:37.010 \rightarrow 00:06:39.666$ that the substance use, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}39{.}666$ --> $00{:}06{:}42{.}031$ criminalization of substance use and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}42.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.907$ problems that have faced our black

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:44.907 --> 00:06:46.780 and brown communities, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.250$ have been going on for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}48.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.170$ You know as since the Rockefeller

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:50.170 --> 00:06:52.042 laws were in place, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:52.042 --> 00:06:52.930 prior to Nixon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:52.930 --> 00:06:53.398 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:53.398 \rightarrow 00:06:55.610$ so it's been going on for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:55.610 --> 00:06:57.444 But it I still think it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}57{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}482$ that we have more recognition about

 $00:06:59.482 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.462$ the importance of addiction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}01.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.930$ and facing addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}02{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05{.}798$ And just to show that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}886$ in the curves that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10{.}340$ there's sort of it's there's a coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.247$ down in terms of opioid overdose deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.650$ It's still a steep increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.570$ for for white populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:07:17.570 $-\!\!>$ 00:07:20.650 But what we see is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:07:20.650 --> 00:07:23.650 rise for individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:07:23.650 --> 00:07:27.080 you know from Latinx and African American

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:07:27.080 --> 00:07:29.889 backgrounds continues to steeply increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}29{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33{.}432$ And with the fentanyl and also cocaine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:33.432 \rightarrow 00:07:36.609$ combinations that have really been lethal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}36{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39{.}410$ this is not a problem that we've cracked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.192$ the code on and we still need to do

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386
- $00:07:42.192 \dashrightarrow 00:07:44.614$ much more and really be focusing in

 $00{:}07{:}44.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.568$ on our our black and brown communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.250$ So more broadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.810$ however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.930$ than mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}50{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54{.}818$ we also need to understand more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:54.818 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.850$ about the epidemiology of substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}57.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.490$ use in its relationship to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:08:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.810$ mental health conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:08:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.113$ M5 allows us to have a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:08:04.113 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.100$ of a continuum

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}05{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{.}098$ model when we think about how substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:08.098 \rightarrow 00:08:11.310$ use what role it plays in the lives of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}11{.}310$ --> $00{:}08{:}13{.}548$ individuals in our country, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}13.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.798$ And so we have a more continuous model

00:08:15.798 --> 00:08:18.250 that has helped us look at categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}18.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.660$ of impaired control, social problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}20.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.935$ risky use and physical dependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}23{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27{.}020$ And we also can think about levels of NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:27.020 \rightarrow 00:08:30.036$ severity of use from at risk all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:30.036 \dashrightarrow 00:08:32.900$ way up through severe severe addiction. NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:32.900 \dashrightarrow 00:08:35.756$ And this continuum model has helped us NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:35.756 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.298$ to re to understand how many people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:08:39.298 --> 00:08:41.728 our country are affected by substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}41.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.220$ use of all kinds and we include

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}44{.}293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46{.}579$ alcohol here and illicit drug use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}46.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.898$ cannabis use disorders down the line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}220$ But you see that we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:51.220 \rightarrow 00:08:56.764$ we're dealing with 40 million people who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.765$ you know are part of our our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.430$ our pool of individuals who are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:09:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.870$ struggling with substance use

 $00:09:04.970 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.168$ at some level of of harmfulness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.742$ And I show this even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}09{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11{.}090$ it's a little bit old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}11{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13{.}680$ older with a different definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.234$ of substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:09:15.240 --> 00:09:17.840 So it's a much lower number from 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.108$ but basically to show the disparity

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}20.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.633$ between those who are struggling with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}22.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.459$ substance use problems and those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:25.459 \dashrightarrow 00:09:27.599$ actually receiving any kind of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}27{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30{.}600$ which is a small fraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}30{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33{.}600$ And that continues to this day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}33.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.760$ including medications for opioid use

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.716$ treatment and other kinds of treatment.

 $00{:}09{:}38{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}520$ And and it still remains true

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}40{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42{.}360$ that people don't seek treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:09:42.360 --> 00:09:44.936 A lot of people don't seek treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:09:44.936 --> 00:09:47.046 because they're not ready to stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}47.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.400$ using a lot of barriers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}49{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52{.}600$ have to do with access to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:52.600 \dashrightarrow 00:09:55.720$ And then stigma is another huge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.988$ huge area that we need to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:09:57.988 --> 00:10:00.728 better to sort of help, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:00.728 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.996$ encourage people to seek treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}02{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05{.}222$ Because we do have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06{.}720$ treatments that actually work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}617$ whether they're from a medication point of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:08.617 \rightarrow 00:10:10.760$ view or from behavioral or combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:10.760 \rightarrow 00:10:12.776$ which I'm going to tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:12.780 --> 00:10:13.788 So people,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:10:13.788 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.308$ another reason that people don't

00:10:16.308 --> 00:10:19.698 seek or receive adequate care is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.620$ people who have comorbidities often

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:21.620 \rightarrow 00:10:24.259$ don't get the care that they need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:24.260 \rightarrow 00:10:26.220$ So those who live with a mental illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.036$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}27.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.300$ are at a much higher likelihood of using

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}30{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33{.}380$ drugs and alcohol than those who don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.740$ So here this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}366$ you know 50% of those with SMI and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:39.366 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.134 40\%$ of those with acute mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:43.134 --> 00:10:45.219 illness disorders have used illicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}45{.}219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47{.}979$ drugs in the past year compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:47.980 --> 00:10:50.684 only 17% of adults 18 years of age

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53{.}259$ or older with no mental illness.

- $00:10:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.312$ So this is recent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:10:55.312 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.662$ And so the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:10:56.662 \rightarrow 00:10:59.238$ the main point to get across the big
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:10:59.238 \rightarrow 00:11:01.400$ elephant in the room that unfortunately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:01.400 \rightarrow 00:11:04.339$ continues to be you know plague ourselves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- 00:11:04.340 --> 00:11:05.016 Our systems,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:05.016 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.382$ our systems of care are not set
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- 00:11:07.382 --> 00:11:09.460 up to address comorbidity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00{:}11{:}09{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}410$ but comorbidity is the norm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.856$ not the exception.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- 00:11:13.856 --> 00:11:17.230 And so we need to really get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:17.338 \rightarrow 00:11:19.554$ that through to you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:19.554 \rightarrow 00:11:21.850$ in terms of our thinking in order
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:21.929 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.927$ to really be able to address
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.070$ our nation's problem.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:25.070 \rightarrow 00:11:27.116$ So for example, even in,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- 00:11:27.116 --> 00:11:28.022 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- 00:11:28.022 --> 00:11:30.287 the big rollout of medications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- 00:11:30.287 --> 00:11:32.629 for opioid use disorders,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425
- $00:11:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.186$ most of the people that have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}11{:}35{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}590$ opioid use disorders also have severe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}11{:}37{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39{.}701$ trauma and trauma related conditions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}11{:}39{.}701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42{.}365$ and depression and other mental health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:11:42.370 \rightarrow 00:11:46.164$ conditions and as well as physical problems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}11{:}46.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.298$ But our our care systems are really not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:11:48.298 \rightarrow 00:11:50.810$ set up to deliver these kinds of things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:11:50.810 \rightarrow 00:11:52.568$ So if we can deliver medications,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:11:52.570 \rightarrow 00:11:55.582$ which is really lifesaving and very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- 00:11:55.582 --> 00:11:57.582 important, don't get me wrong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}11{:}57{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00{.}645$ But we still have to figure out how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:12:00.645 \rightarrow 00:12:02.595$ to get mental health interventions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:02.595 \rightarrow 00:12:05.385$ that work into these populations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08{.}580$ also how to work with those 50% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.610$ people who aren't ready to get help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}10.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.507$ And so I just was heard a talk from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}13.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.529$ of our postdocs at Columbia University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:15.530 \rightarrow 00:12:16.925$ Manesh Gopal Dus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:16.925 \rightarrow 00:12:20.530$ who does work on adherence and looks at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}20{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22{.}866$ looked at one of the CTN Xbox

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}22.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.495$ studies and looked at adherence to

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:26.495 \rightarrow 00:12:30.605$ opioid use treatment in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:30.610 --> 00:12:32.066 And basically, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:32.066 \rightarrow 00:12:33.886$ people were adherent early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}33.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.168$ and then over the course of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}36.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.030$ became less and less adherent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}058$ And those only those who actually got

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:42.058 \rightarrow 00:12:45.586$ stayed with some types of medications.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:12:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.590$ The the rates of other treatments
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- 00:12:48.590 --> 00:12:49.394 increased dramatically.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:12:49.394 \rightarrow 00:12:52.208$ And I suspect that those other treatments
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:12:52.208 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.712$ were some of the behavioral other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:12:54.712 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.752$ interventions for mental health conditions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}12{:}56{.}811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}107$ that may have helped them along the way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}12{:}59{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}014$ But we we we don't have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:13:01.014 \rightarrow 00:13:02.629$ magic bullet at this point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:13:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.933$ And so we need to kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:13:04.933 \rightarrow 00:13:06.670$ have all hands on deck.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:13:06.670 \rightarrow 00:13:08.868$ The other thing I will just mention
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}13{:}08{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11{.}028$ that we learn from the pandemic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:13:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.354$ all of us learned in real time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}13{:}13{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}498$ is that there was this collision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}13{:}15{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17{.}983$ And so we all know about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:18.063 \rightarrow 00:13:20.709$ inequalities and access to care for

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}13{:}20.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.680$ for COVID related health conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.956$ We also were dealing with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:28.956 --> 00:13:30.594 epidemic of opioid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:30.600 --> 00:13:33.722 of the opioid epidemic and we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}13{:}33{.}722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36{.}817$ all aware of the epidemic of racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:36.817 \rightarrow 00:13:39.571$ with George Floyd's killing and others

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:39.571 \rightarrow 00:13:42.400$ that you know brought our attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}13{:}42{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45{.}736$ So we've talked about the idea that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:45.736 \rightarrow 00:13:48.560$ were really a condition of syndemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:48.560 \rightarrow 00:13:51.927$ which is the collision of multiple epidemics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:51.930 --> 00:13:52.840 And I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:52.840 --> 00:13:53.750 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:53.750 \rightarrow 00:13:56.025$ we've argued that traumatic stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}13{:}56.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.507$ really forms one of the core elements

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:58.507 \rightarrow 00:14:00.883$ that we hear a lot of people now

- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}14{:}00{.}883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}945$ talking about social determinants of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}14{:}02{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}342$ health influencing the likelihood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:14:04.342 \rightarrow 00:14:06.286$ that people are going to get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:14:06.286 \rightarrow 00:14:08.206$ the kind of care that they need.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:14:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.866$ And certainly when we think of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- 00:14:09.866 --> 00:14:10.970 social determinants of stress,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}14{:}10{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13{.}959$ we also have to recognize that trauma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:14:13.959 \rightarrow 00:14:17.138$ goes part and parcel with with those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- 00:14:17.138 --> 00:14:19.358 social determinants like lack of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- 00:14:19.360 --> 00:14:21.280 you know, access to healthcare,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- 00:14:21.280 --> 00:14:21.851 housing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:14:21.851 \rightarrow 00:14:22.422$ poverty,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}14{:}22{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25{.}629$ nutrition and all those things that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00{:}14{:}25.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.421$ people who are of color and also come
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118
- $00:14:28.421 \rightarrow 00:14:31.680$ from lower socioeconomic circumstances are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.920$ are dealing with and facing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}33{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}370$ So turning our attention to one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}178$ the bigger trauma related conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:38.178 - 00:14:41.400 that many of you here I know are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}420$ interested in and it contributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.210$ greatly to the science of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}46{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48{.}550$ one of the problem Post traumatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:48.550 --> 00:14:50.785 stress affects 8 million people

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}50.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.545$ in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:52.550 --> 00:14:54.188 I'm not going to go into all

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:54.188 --> 00:14:55.629 of the criterion risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.024$ but we know that you know social

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}59{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}090$ determinants are are some of them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:02.179 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.105$ also you know the predisposition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}602$ I'll talk a little bit about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:07.602 \rightarrow 00:15:09.432$ the pathways to addiction that overlap

 $00:15:09.432 \rightarrow 00:15:11.926$ for those with PTSD and substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}11{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15{.}676$ But we also know that more women than men

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}15{.}676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19{.}309$ develop PTSD when exposed to a trauma Puma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:15:19.310 --> 00:15:21.050 But still it cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:21.050 \rightarrow 00:15:26.630$ It exacts a huge toll on our economy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}26.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.067$ the kinds of symptoms we're talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:30.070 \rightarrow 00:15:32.366$ You know, when we think about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:32.366 \rightarrow 00:15:34.306$ certainly the hallmark symptoms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}34{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}775$ PTSD are being haunted by memories of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:37.775 \rightarrow 00:15:41.229$ and triggers of the traumatic event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}41{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44{.}668$ We also see intrusion symptoms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.960$ particularly avoidance symptoms that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:15:47.960 --> 00:15:52.285 include and often do include the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}52.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.280$ substances as well as the HPA access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.455$ arousal and guilt feelings and

 $00:15:58.455 \rightarrow 00:16:00.128$ cognitive and dissociative symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}00{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02{.}204$ So it's a it's a pick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}02.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.732$ It's a real mix of of diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:05.732 \rightarrow 00:16:08.399$ and what we are are symptoms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:08.399 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.299$ people are struggling with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}10{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12{.}631$ But often I think it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}12.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.071$ mention people who have PTSD or trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:15.071 -> 00:16:17.333 don't necessarily think that they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}17{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19{.}620$ So part of our interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:19.620 \rightarrow 00:16:21.845$ many of our interventions focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}21.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.180$ on providing identification and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:24.180 \rightarrow 00:16:27.060$ psychoeducation around the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}27.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.358$ And the fact that these disparate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:29.358 \rightarrow 00:16:31.405$ symptoms that are very dysregulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:31.405 \rightarrow 00:16:33.816$ for a person actually constitutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:33.816 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.645$ something that is a a common

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:16:36.645 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.670$ reaction to an uncommon event.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:16:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.925$ So even though many people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- 00:16:41.925 --> 00:16:43.750 could develop PTSD,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:16:43.750 \rightarrow 00:16:47.390$ most people are quite resilient and don't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:16:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.926$ But for those who do, we we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:16:49.926 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.866$ we know that there are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- 00:16:51.870 --> 00:16:52.736 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:16:52.736 \rightarrow 00:16:55.334$ the the likelihood of them developing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:16:55.334 \rightarrow 00:16:58.068$ more than one condition is actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:16:58.068 \rightarrow 00:17:00.303$ again more common than not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:17:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.208$ And so some of the numbers that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00{:}17{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.534$ you know well are and it doesn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:17:05.534 \rightarrow 00:17:07.688$ matter what kind of study you do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- 00:17:07.690 --> 00:17:09.915 whether it's an epidemiologic study
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:17:09.915 \rightarrow 00:17:12.331$ like the epidemiologic catchment area
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:12.331 \rightarrow 00:17:16.320$ or knees arc or that you guys you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.388$ know obviously know very well or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}19.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.558$ is clinical trials in communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}21.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.100$ or its community assessments in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}24.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.424$ addiction related facilities or

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}26.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.680$ assessments in mental health facilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:29.680 \rightarrow 00:17:31.640$ No matter which way you scratch it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:17:31.640 --> 00:17:32.560 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}32.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.360$ basically we know that the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.240$ conditions Co occur and are ubiquitous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40{.}688$ And so here are some of the numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:40.690 \rightarrow 00:17:43.021$ And we know that six people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:17:43.021 --> 00:17:45.797 have PTSD are 6 times more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:45.797 \rightarrow 00:17:47.927$ to develop alcohol use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.030 \ 2 \ 1/2$ times more likely to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.530$ a substance use disorder.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- 00:17:51.530 --> 00:17:53.810 And here you see for men,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:17:53.810 \rightarrow 00:17:58.240 60\%$ are likely to have at least more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:17:58.240 \rightarrow 00:18:00.440$ than three cooccurring diagnoses
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:18:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.470$ and similarly not not quite as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:18:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.910$ a high rates for women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00{:}18{:}04{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}270$ But.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:18:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.070$ So when we're working with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- 00:18:07.070 00:18:08.762 someone with either trauma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- 00:18:08.762 --> 00:18:10.706 PTSD or substance use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:18:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.746$ we can know that they're likely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:18:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.445$ more likely than not may have the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00:18:16.445 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.081$ cooccurring condition and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- 00:18:18.081 --> 00:18:20.547 they may also struck be struggling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00{:}18{:}20{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22{.}255$ with another depression mood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306
- $00{:}18{:}22.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.270$ disorder or an anxiety disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}18{:}24{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}576$ So how we understand the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:18:27.576 --> 00:18:30.210 between PTSD and substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:30.210 \dashrightarrow 00:18:33.162$ We've made a lot of gains in that regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:33.170 \rightarrow 00:18:35.634$ And the two most common ways we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}351$ think about the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:18:37.351 --> 00:18:39.687 are self medication model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}18{:}39{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41{.}586$ which is the idea that substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}18{:}41{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42{.}850$ use is used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}18{:}42{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44{.}609$ manage the PTSD symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:18:44.610 - 00:18:46.250 So like the avoidance symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:18:46.250 --> 00:18:48.930 the hyper arousal, the night mares,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}18{:}48{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51{.}150$ people may use particular substances NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:18:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.110$ to help tamp down those symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:18:54.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.942$ The high risk model is the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}18{:}56{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}622$ susceptibility that you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:18:58.622 \rightarrow 00:19:00.729$ you're a substance user you may be

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757
- $00{:}19{:}00{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}832$ for whatever various of reasons

 $00:19:02.832 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.862$ more likely to be traumatized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:04.870 --> 00:19:07.110 Let's say you you're are doing high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}07{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}050$ things and you're in the company

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:09.050 \rightarrow 00:19:11.030$ of others who are doing harvesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}11{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14{.}778$ So you then may be victimized either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:14.778 --> 00:19:18.722 related to drug related crime or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}18.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.545$ know as saults and things like that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}21.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.361$ that would then lead you to develop PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}24.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.767$ The studies that have really attempted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}26.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.352$ to look at comparisons between the self

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}30{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32{.}656$ medication model and susceptibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:32.656 --> 00:19:34.938 models largely continue to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:34.938 --> 00:19:38.660 back to self medication as the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:38.660 - 00:19:41.680 likely the most common pathway.

 $00:19:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.528$ But what we do know is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}44.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.980$ is a convergent, rich,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.590$ multidisciplinary literature that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}49{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51{.}996$ describes cross sensitization of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}51{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54{.}556$ stress and reward neural pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}54{.}556$ --> $00{:}19{:}57{.}508$ that promote and then maintain the NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}57{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59{.}818$ relationship between PTSD and Sud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:59.820 \rightarrow 00:20:02.826$ The role that early life adversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:02.826 --> 00:20:06.296 and the dys
regulation of HBA access

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}06{.}296$ --> $00{:}20{:}09{.}048$ and dopaminergic systems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}09{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11{.}800$ predisposes individuals to internalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}11.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.722$ and externalizing disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:14.722 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.138$ behavioral styles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}16.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.220$ And personalities that are shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:18.220 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:20:20.990 between the two disorders and not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}20{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.870$ mention G wash studies that indicate

 $00:20:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.118$ that polymorphisms are kindling for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:28.118 --> 00:20:30.267 the relationship between PTSD&SUD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:30.267 -> 00:20:32.556 And there have been a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:32.556 \rightarrow 00:20:35.024$ great review papers that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:35.024 --> 00:20:38.818 kind of cover the sort of pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:38.820 \rightarrow 00:20:40.260$ pathways that we can understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.654$ But the bottom line is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:42.654 \rightarrow 00:20:44.340$ these are complicated problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}44{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46{.}853$ they require all hands on deck and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:46.853 \rightarrow 00:20:49.059$ there's room for everyone doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:49.060 --> 00:20:49.580 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51{.}660$ you can find some piece of yourself in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:51.660 \rightarrow 00:20:54.060$ in many of these explanatory pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:54.060 - 00:20:56.260 And this is true for other you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:56.260 \rightarrow 00:20:56.620$ conditions,

 $00:20:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.420$ mental health conditions that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:58.420 \rightarrow 00:21:01.099$ trying to study and understand more about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.010$ But it's certainly true for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.980$ this comorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}04{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07{.}744$ And so regardless of the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}07{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10{.}254$ you know, the explanatory pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.535$ what we do know is that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}12.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.240$ that have both have more severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.340$ psychiatric problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:16.340 \rightarrow 00:21:19.373$ They are of course less likely to seek help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.700$ They have higher dropout rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.700$ When they do seek help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.460$ they probably have less access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.461$ care because of the barriers between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:30.461 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.192$ our separated funding structures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:32.192 \rightarrow 00:21:35.120$ and and the way that our our

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757
- 00:21:35.195 00:21:38.135 programs are set up and thus are

 $00{:}21{:}38{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.760$ at increased rates of relapse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.232$ And finally and to close off the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:44.232 \rightarrow 00:21:47.790$ epidemiology kind of section of this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.163$ you know it's often the case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:21:50.163 --> 00:21:52.002 people with substance use present

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}52.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.270$ with the most complex trauma profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}54{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58{.}942$ So the I CD10 and 11 have have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}58{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}350$ complex trauma in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:00.350 --> 00:22:03.500 Our system DSM55 do does not but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:22:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.053$ regardless because of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}22{:}06.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08.833$ dys regulation and other symptoms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:08.833 --> 00:22:13.442 I've described our our clients also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}22{:}13.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.594$ have complicated lives with ongoing trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}22{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.656$ There's interpersonal trauma IPVI.

00:22:19.656 --> 00:22:21.908 Know that that at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}22{:}21{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}678$ you have many folks here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:23.680 --> 00:22:24.666 Kate Walsh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}22{:}24.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.131$ Tammy Sullivan and their their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}22{:}27{.}131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30{.}129$ teams that have been working for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:22:30.129 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.519$ decades on trying to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:22:32.520 --> 00:22:35.360 IPV, specifically in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:35.360 \rightarrow 00:22:38.041$ to substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}22{:}38.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.012$ difficulties in relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}22{:}40.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.340$ and trust impairments and emotion

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}22{:}43{.}340$ --> $00{:}22{:}45{.}768$ regulation and dissociative disorders NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}22{:}45.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.321$ that kind of complicate the picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}22{:}49{.}321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52{.}303$ and make it a challenge to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.385$ Nonetheless, I'm here to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:54.385 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.593$ you the good news that all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}22{:}57{.}593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}050$ efforts have not been in vain and

 $00:23:00.132 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.330$ over decades we actually have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}03{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07{.}054$ robust science in of of integrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:07.054 --> 00:23:11.385 PTSD Sud care that I'm going to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:11.385 --> 00:23:14.250 my best to summarize you know for

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}14.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.290$ us in the next section of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:17.290 \rightarrow 00:23:19.490$ I will say that we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}19{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22{.}878$ we are all familiar with the concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:22.878 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.656$ of trauma informed care which sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}25.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.600$ of speaks to making agencies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}28.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.880$ providers and institutions recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:30.880 --> 00:23:35.031 that many of the clients who come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}907$ us for whatever different reasons in NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:37.907 --> 00:23:40.097 in through through many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}40.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.696$ doors come to us with trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:42.696 --> 00:23:45.657 And that the way that we organize

00:23:45.657 --> 00:23:47.740 our program, our programming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.410$ the way that we present ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.330$ to our clients as as caregivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:53.330 \rightarrow 00:23:56.410$ you know should have recognition of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}966$ Whether it's how your waiting room

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:58.966 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.450$ looks or how the the consulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:01.450 --> 00:24:03.850 room looks or how you approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:03.850 --> 00:24:06.209 you know how you train your staff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}06{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}215$ Because also you know particularly NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}961$ now with a peer driven system that NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:10.961 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.278$ we have for delivering a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:13.278 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.872$ the first line care for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}15.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.624$ with substance use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.770$ We're talking about people

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.910$ who have lived experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.742$ who also have their own trauma

 $00:24:22.742 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.630$ histories and backgrounds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}718$ --> $00{:}24{:}27{.}637$ so being mindful of how they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}27.637$ --> $00{:}24{:}30.024$ potentially being re traumatized and NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:30.024 \rightarrow 00:24:33.070$ also need support is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}33.070$ --> $00{:}24{:}35.352$ What I'm going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}35{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}341$ is not that but actually the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}38{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}273$ based and treatment development

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:40.273 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.616$ that we've done over over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:42.616 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.948$ So when, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}43{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}282$ I first started in the field in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50{.}591$ the late 90s and early 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:50.591 --> 00:24:53.726 the concept of phase based

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:53.726 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.280$ approaches for PTSD was key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}56{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00{.}878$ For those of you who may not have read,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}00{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03{.}160$ you know, Judith Herman's book,

 $00{:}25{:}03.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.864$ I I still recommend it as a kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:06.864 \rightarrow 00:25:09.048$ of encyclopedia of of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12{.}731$ you know trauma and it and and the NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:12.731 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.477$ way that we can think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.851$ conceptualizing the care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}15{.}851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18{.}593$ And so she emphasized a stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}18.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.380$ model that first you would work

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}21{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23{.}102$ on stabilization and these kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:25:23.102 --> 00:25:24.198 of skills would do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:24.200 \rightarrow 00:25:26.320$ would be involved in psychoeducation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:25:26.320 --> 00:25:29.638 As I spoke about skills training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:29.640 \rightarrow 00:25:33.120$ affect regulation, distress tolerance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.685$ the processoriented second phase is

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39{.}490$ really the delving into the trauma more

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:39.490 \rightarrow 00:25:42.380$ specifically and that involves the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.688$ trauma focused treatment approaches

 $00{:}25{:}44.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.104$ such as prolonged exposure in which you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}48.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.655$ are working in a manualized fashion

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:51.655 \rightarrow 00:25:54.099$ very systematically to desensitize

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}54{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}076$ the individual through you know sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:57.076 \rightarrow 00:26:00.138$ of exposure to the traumatic memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}00{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03{.}575$ and then working on a bottom up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:26:03.580 \rightarrow 00:26:06.247$ you know allowing the person to experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:26:06.247 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.779$ the fear and to live through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}08.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.945$ And I didn't realize in a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:26:12.945 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.766$ fashion that their their symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}15.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.476$ can reduce through fear habituation

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}18.476$ --> $00{:}26{:}21.634$ and that exposure based approach or NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}21{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23{.}669$ cognitive treatments that are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}23.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.526$ top down that focus on addressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}618$ like cognitive processing the rapy.

 $00:26:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.535$ That this meanie Petrakis has

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}30{.}535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33{.}581$ employed a great deal and to great

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}33{.}581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36{.}226$ success in her interventions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:36.226 \rightarrow 00:26:37.813$ are pharmacotherapy combinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}37{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41{.}385$ But where you're addressing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}41{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44{.}020$ cognitive distortions that come

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}44.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.676$ from PTSD and helping the person to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}47.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.846$ reexamine their assumptions and work

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}49{.}846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}256$ towards managing the trauma that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:52.260 \rightarrow 00:26:54.654$ But it's a very active and trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:54.660 \rightarrow 00:26:57.642$ you know in both cases people are NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}57.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.421$ identifying their specific traumas

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}59{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}977$ and delving into them very deeply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}01{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04{.}860$ And then we also have somatos ensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.605$ approaches where people are doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:27:07.605 --> 00:27:11.744 EMDR and other kinds of, you know,

 $00{:}27{:}11.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.654$ somatos ensory approaches that help to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:27:14.660 - 00:27:17.336 address trauma on a physical level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:27:17.340 --> 00:27:19.923 And so these these process the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}19{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23{.}323$ had been early on that we would do

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}23{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25{.}538$ stabilization first and processing next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}25.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.660$ but that the assumption was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}28.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.580$ with PTSD and substance use that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}31{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33{.}980$ sequential care was necessary and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:34.062 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.342$ that you couldn't start working

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}36{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}220$ on trauma until the person was

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}39{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41{.}775$ abstinent from their substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}41.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.646$ And so that's how our systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}43.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.890$ have been set up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:44.890 \rightarrow 00:27:47.650$ And even though there have been some changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}47.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.162$ we're still sort of tackling the fact that

 $00:27:51.162 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.490$ there are structural barriers to doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:27:54.490 --> 00:27:56.128 what I'm going to talk about in a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.566$ which is the idea of integrated care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.054$ And more and more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:00.054 \rightarrow 00:28:02.755$ our science has really showed us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}02.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.125$ the siloed care is quite harmful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.010$ So sending a patient over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:07.010 --> 00:28:08.002 you know, like OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:08.002 --> 00:28:08.250 sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}08{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10{.}847$ you can't get any treatment for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}10.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.174$ PTSD until you go to this substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:13.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.774$ use treatment facility that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:14.774 --> 00:28:16.388 an absence based model and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}16{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18{.}536$ have to get clean first before you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}18.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.642$ can start addressing any of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:20.642 \rightarrow 00:28:22.850$ other mental health conditions.

00:28:22.850 --> 00:28:23.325 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}23{.}325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26{.}650$ it doesn't make sense if the mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.261$ health condition is a driver of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:29.261 --> 00:28:31.619 the substance used to expect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}31{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34{.}248$ the patient can heal themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}34{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36{.}044$ before you've actually helped to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}690$ deal with the underlying problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.032$ So we do know that the siloed

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:42.032 --> 00:28:43.915 approaches do not have not worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}43{.}915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45{.}983$ as well and patients tend to drop

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47{.}860$ out of treatment and don't, don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:47.860 --> 00:28:49.860 you know, complete their care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}49{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52{.}628$ And what we don't now know is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}52.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.058$ concurrent PTSD care is safe and effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}56{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59{.}042$ So patients can't are not as fragile

 $00:28:59.042 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.965$ as we thought them to be And in NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00:29:01.965 \rightarrow 00:29:03.724$ fact the first treatment model NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00{:}29{:}03.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.214$ that many of us started working on NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00{:}29{:}06{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08{.}238$ early on and and you know and it NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00:29:08.238 \rightarrow 00:29:10.357$ made perfect sense that we would NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00{:}29{:}10.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.880$ employ a skill based model first. NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 00:29:12.880 --> 00:29:16.464 The Seeking Safety model is a 24 session NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00:29:16.464 \rightarrow 00:29:20.136$ skills based model that integrates and NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00{:}29{:}20{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22{.}612$ talks about PTSD and substance use, NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 00:29:22.612 --> 00:29:23.238 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00:29:23.240 \rightarrow 00:29:24.442$ in sessions. NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00:29:24.442 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.846$ At the same time, NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00{:}29{:}26.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.999$ there's a lot of sessions on relapse NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00:29:28.999 \rightarrow 00:29:30.690$ prevention that are incorporated. NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00:29:30.690 \rightarrow 00:29:32.738$ There's sessions on psychoeducation NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216 $00:29:32.738 \rightarrow 00:29:35.010$ about PTSD and the symptoms.

 $00{:}29{:}35{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36{.}770$ There's sessions on safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}29{:}36{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38{.}498$ It's organized around helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:38.498 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.090$ the patient to focus on safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.002$ It it's turned out to be a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:44.002 \rightarrow 00:29:45.756$ adoptable intervention that's feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}29{:}45.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.990$ You can train people so that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}29{:}49.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.426$ even now there's an app peer peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:51.426 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.116$ individuals have been trained to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:53.116 \rightarrow 00:29:55.436$ be able to deliver seeking safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:55.436 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.506$ and it's been well tolerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:29:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.510$ So patients like it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}00{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03{.}500$ So that was very good for us to get started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:03.574 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.150$ in the field to really show that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}06{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08{.}096$ you don't have to wait to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}08.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09.988$ the trauma and here are some

 $00:30:09.988 \rightarrow 00:30:11.980$ approaches that can be used and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}11.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.827$ delivered safely and without harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.208$ What we have found over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:30:17.208 --> 00:30:20.732 last really decade is very clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:20.732 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.192$ evidence that treating trauma with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}24.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26.960$ a trauma focused intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:30:26.960 --> 00:30:29.936 So that's stage two model that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:29.936 \rightarrow 00:30:32.730$ talking about is also safe and tolerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}32{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}879$ and effective for people with substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}35{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38{.}676$ So that's a new sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:30:38.676 --> 00:30:40.074 relatively newer finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}40.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.888$ And so those those are what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}42.888 \dashrightarrow> 00{:}30{:}44.914$ trauma focused interventions and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}44{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47{.}819$ most commonly tested one has been the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:30:47.891 --> 00:30:50.633 COPE treatment which is an integrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53{.}730$ treatment that focuses on some relapse

 $00:30:53.730 \rightarrow 00:30:58.470$ prevention as well as as well as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}58{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00{.}810$ know a prolonged exposure cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:00.810 --> 00:31:02.935 processing the rapy is another trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:02.935 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.069$ focused treatment that has been used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:05.070 --> 00:31:09.686 EMDR has been used effectively and and NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:09.686 --> 00:31:12.262 basically we have one you know more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}12{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15{.}009$ recent clinical trial that was done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}15{.}009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17{.}800$ Sonia Norman and her colleagues with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:17.800 --> 00:31:21.020 veterans that was published in JAMA

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:21.020 --> 00:31:23.650 P
sychiatry right before the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}23.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.524$ really showing superiority of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:26.524 \dashrightarrow 00:31:30.440$ of in a head tohead comparison between NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:30.440 --> 00:31:34.355 cope and seeking safety treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}34{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}580$ So suggesting that that although

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}38{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}634$ you know the the more stabilization

 $00{:}31{:}41{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44{.}081$ focused treatments seem to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:44.081 \rightarrow 00:31:46.377$ no harm and may do some good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}46{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48{.}840$ We're seeing stronger outcomes and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:48.840 \dashrightarrow 00:31:51.590$ be showing you some more data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:51.590 \rightarrow 00:31:54.050$ helps helps us to pretty confidently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.614$ say that it's important to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}56{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58{.}488$ about the the COPE interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:58.488 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.564$ and then one of our trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:32:00.570 --> 00:32:01.485 So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}01{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04{.}594$ should we wait to treat PTSD for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:04.594 \dashrightarrow 00:32:08.970$ who have PTSD said absolutely not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}08{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09{.}924$ And we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:09.924 \dashrightarrow 00:32:12.150$ We we know that that these treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:12.220 \rightarrow 00:32:13.130$ are tolerable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.213$ safe and effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:14.213 \rightarrow 00:32:18.210$ And what we see is that if we treat PTSD,

 $00:32:18.210 \rightarrow 00:32:22.770$ we see greater substance use improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}22{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26{.}938$ And that is coming from now there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:26.938 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.442$ are four or five systematic reviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:30.442 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.250$ that pretty rigorously and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.294$ definitively are showing that greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}38{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}41{.}438$ PTSD reduction is also associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:41.438 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.090$ greater substance use improvements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:44.090 \rightarrow 00:32:46.886$ And the opposite is less true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:32:46.890 --> 00:32:49.704 And I'll show you one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:32:49.704 --> 00:32:52.289 trials where we compared COPE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.650$ which is a prolonged exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}53.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.635$ trauma focused treatment for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}55{.}635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57{.}223$ with substance use disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}57{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}809$ to relapse prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}58{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01{.}530$ This was done in New York City with

 $00:33:01.530 \rightarrow 00:33:04.728$ individuals who basically entered our trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}04.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.010$ We're not receiving any other care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:07.010 \dashrightarrow 00:33:10.230$ So pretty severe PTSD histories

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:10.230 \rightarrow 00:33:12.806$ and substance use problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}12.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.786$ And So what you see here just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:14.786 \dashrightarrow 00:33:16.637$ Orient you to the slide is horizontal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:33:16.637 -> 00:33:19.199 axis is the weeks of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:19.200 \rightarrow 00:33:22.518$ vertical is the PTSD symptoms severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}22{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27{.}160$ And we broke out the groups by how much use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.864$ how much they were using during

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:28.864 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.000$ the course of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:30.000 \rightarrow 00:33:32.338$ And this is just one example and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:33:32.338 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:33:34.873 I know it's media has also worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}34{.}873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}035$ on using these clinical trials to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}37{.}035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}424$ do cross lagged analyses to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:39.424 \rightarrow 00:33:41.286$ look in real time as what's going

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319
- $00:33:41.286 \rightarrow 00:33:43.851$ on with the person's substance use

 $00:33:43.851 \rightarrow 00:33:45.639$ while they're receiving treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.060$ in relation to their PTSD symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:50.060 \rightarrow 00:33:51.800$ as they are going through treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:51.800 \dashrightarrow 00:33:53.637$ and then over the course of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:53.640 \dashrightarrow 00:33:57.144$ And so here we see the end of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:57.144 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.680$ So after 12 weeks of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.840$ we see one month follow up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}00{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03{.}157$ two months and three months in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:34:03.157 --> 00:34:06.670 in this trial And the Gray box are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.086$ all those who end up through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:34:10.086 --> 00:34:13.245 course of treatment entering into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}13{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15{.}665$ clinically significant change group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:34:15.670 --> 00:34:18.040 So like significant reductions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:34:18.040 - 00:34:21.338 their PTSD to a level that we would,

 $00:34:21.338 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.934$ it's not just a change in severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}22{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24{.}588$ but it's a clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.720$ So we see everybody's getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.710$ better over the course of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}28{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31{.}536$ but those that make it into the Gray box

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:34:31.536 --> 00:34:34.753 of what we want to see by the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}094$ treatment and then over the course of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}38{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40{.}536$ the two curves to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:34:40.540 --> 00:34:43.556 So the blue are the people that received NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

1101E Conndence: 0.0001200

 $00{:}34{:}43{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}45{.}334$ relapse prevention as the comparator

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:45.334 \rightarrow 00:34:48.075$ and the orange and red are those that NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:48.075 \rightarrow 00:34:50.260$ received the trauma focus treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34{:}50.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.987$ And what we see is that for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:34:52.987 --> 00:34:55.185 received relapse prevention who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:55.185 \rightarrow 00:34:57.460$ the very frequent substance users,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:57.460 \rightarrow 00:35:00.212$ so they were using four times a week

 $00{:}35{:}00{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02{.}937$ or more during the course of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}02{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04{.}930$ trial their symptoms if they received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.128$ the not you know the comparison

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:07.128 \rightarrow 00:35:09.248$ treatment of relapse prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:09.250 \rightarrow 00:35:11.758$ they don't get into the clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:35:11.758 --> 00:35:13.430 significant change realm in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:35:13.505 - 00:35:14.930 terms of their PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:14.930 \rightarrow 00:35:17.330$ Those that were actively using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.312$ So the yellow bar that were actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:20.312 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.610$ using to a level of four times a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}23.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.478$ week or more when they received the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:35:26.478 --> 00:35:28.613 the the prolonged exposure trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:28.613 \dashrightarrow 00:35:30.940$ focused treatment you see they make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}30{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}840$ it into the clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35{.}545$ change box over the course of the

 $00:35:35.545 \rightarrow 00:35:37.400$ study and their followup period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.038$ So what does that tell us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}39{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42{.}631$ That tells us that that our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:42.631 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.130$ are not as fragile as we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}45{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47{.}443$ think that the people and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}47{.}443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50{.}351$ the people that are using the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}50{.}351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}53{.}033$ actually benefit more from the trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}53{.}033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55{.}648$ focused intervention than from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}55{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58{.}358$ psychoeducational or or control treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.950$ So then moving along to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.218$ pharmacotherapy story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.418$ which we know a lot about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}08{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12{.}053$ thanks to Doctor Petrakis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:12.053 \rightarrow 00:36:15.239$ others who have really been working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:15.239 \rightarrow 00:36:18.836$ in this space in a way, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:18.836 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.540$ consistently over decades.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285
- $00:36:20.540 \rightarrow 00:36:22.058$ There are a number of treatments,

 $00{:}36{:}22.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.856$ medications that we have to target

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}24.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.485$ both alcohol or substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}28{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}820$ disorders alone with PTSD agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}31.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.400$ that target both And then agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.149$ that focus on craving aversive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:36:37.150 --> 00:36:38.082 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:38.082 \dashrightarrow 00:36:40.763$ agents like disulforam and agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}40.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.788$ that target with drawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}42.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.370$ And So what we know thus far and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}46{.}461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49{.}809$ think I I did one trial that was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:36:49.809 --> 00:36:52.348 combined medication we use sertral
ine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55{.}130$ and and and seeking safety for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}55{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57{.}305$ individuals with alcohol use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}57{.}310$ --> $00{:}37{:}00{.}544$ And I swore I'll never do another

 $00:37:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.290$ pharmacotherapy trial because of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}37{:}02.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.198$ hard it is to do, how long it takes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:05.198 \dashrightarrow 00:37:07.544$ how how hard it is to engage patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:07.544 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.029$ to keep them in treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}37{:}09{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09{.}972$ all these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}37{:}09{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11.856$ And so I think these numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:37:11.856 --> 00:37:13.488 are probably higher now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.330$ but some of the systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}37{:}15{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17{.}170$ reviews that have been done

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.530$ really show us not so much which

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:37:20.530 --> 00:37:21.970 interventions are superior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.414$ but rather the fact that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}37{:}25{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27{.}570$ see significant reductions in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:27.570 \dashrightarrow 00:37:29.610$ PTSD and alcohol use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:37:29.610 --> 00:37:33.025 There's no evidence against using

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:33.025 \rightarrow 00:37:36.440$ medications in non comorbid populations

 $00:37:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.170$ and but yet we don't fully know

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:39.170 \dashrightarrow 00:37:42.660$ what are the best practices yet for

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.600$ these kinds of medications that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}37{:}45.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.430$ been applied for both PTSD and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:49.430 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.880$ UD individually and then together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:37:52.880 --> 00:37:55.360 However, I do have more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:37:55.360 --> 00:37:56.828 So stay, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}37{:}56.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.379$ hold off the judgment because we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}37{:}59{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}836$ have more to say on that when I get

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:38:01.915 --> 00:38:04.219 to our our data science project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.472$ But largely, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:38:05.472 --> 00:38:08.042 we do know things that I can tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:08.042 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.219$ you happily that we can say okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}10{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13{.}097$ Now we we do have ideas about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:13.100 \dashrightarrow 00:38:16.298$ signals for how to treat PTST and

 $00{:}38{:}16{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19{.}052$ Sud both with medications and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:19.052 \dashrightarrow 00:38:21.140$ with behavioral interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}21{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23{.}804$ But in fact doing this work for any one

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:23.804 \rightarrow 00:38:26.898$ who spent their time doing it's really hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}26{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28{.}406$ It takes for ever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}28{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30{.}916$ Our effect sizes are small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.120$ We see most impacts directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.200$ in the PTSD domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}36{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39{.}158$ We have high rates of attrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.411$ in our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:38:40.411 --> 00:38:42.079 Like I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:42.080 \rightarrow 00:38:44.282$ we have spotty uptake of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}44{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46{.}080$ based treatments and we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}46{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47{.}718$ It's very hard to examine to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:47.718 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.644$ go beyond just like compare the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:49.644 \rightarrow 00:38:51.196$ treatment and the comparator.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:38:51.200 \rightarrow 00:38:53.080$ We don't get to say who does these,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}38{:}53{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}934$ who do these treatments work best
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:38:54.934 --> 00:38:57.040 for and also how do they work?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:38:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.320$ Like what are the mechanisms?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:38:58.320 --> 00:39:00.917 Because our ends are just too small.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:39:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.394$ So, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}39{:}03{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05{.}776$ our latest solution to this problem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:39:05.776 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.163$ has been to to use data science
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:39:08.163 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.884$ as a means to help us move the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:39:10.884 \rightarrow 00:39:12.400$ drive the field forward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:39:12.400 --> 00:39:14.220 And so I'm going to tell you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:39:14.220 --> 00:39:16.274 spend a little bit of time talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:39:16.274 --> 00:39:17.486 about Project Harmony,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}39{:}17.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19.298$ which was mentioned earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}39{:}19{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22{.}108$ which is an integrative data analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:22.108 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.219$ where we managed to pool together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}39{:}25{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28{.}720$ We acquired 42 studies agnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.820$ to treatment type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.675$ And you'll see what I mean when

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}39{:}32{.}675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34{.}890$ I show you the the variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.575$ treatments that we pooled in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}39{:}36{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39{.}758$ But we we identified using a set

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:39.758 \dashrightarrow 00:39:42.324$ of inclusion criteria any study in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:42.324 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:39:44.914 the world that we could get our

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}39{:}45.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}48.136$ hands on that attempt to a treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}39{:}48.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.681$ PTSD and substance use disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:50.681 --> 00:39:53.794 in adults that had measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:53.794 --> 00:39:55.728 both PTSD&SUD outcomes and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:55.728 \dashrightarrow 00:39:57.513$ had evidence that the treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:57.513 --> 00:39:59.498 were being delivered in fidelity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.756$ with fidelity.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:40:00.756 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.896$ And this was regardless of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:03.900 --> 00:40:08.828 treatment type and also you know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}08.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.460$ other other characteristics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:40:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.590$ And we we acquired a pool
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}12.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.676$ of over 4500 participants.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:14.676 --> 00:40:16.740 This was a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}16.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.910$ And all hands on deck and I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}18.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.219$ going to show you our team from,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:21.220 --> 00:40:23.004 you know, City College,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:23.004 --> 00:40:23.896 Columbia University,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:23.900 --> 00:40:24.928 Rutgers University,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:24.928 --> 00:40:27.498 Medical University of South Carolina,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:27.500 --> 00:40:28.900 Yale University,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}28{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31{.}700$ University of South Wales,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:31.700 --> 00:40:34.580 University of Washington,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

- $00:40:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.909$ Stanford University and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:40:36.909 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.136$ University of Toronto.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:38.136 --> 00:40:41.129 These are our team members and our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- 00:40:41.129 --> 00:40:42.969 Scientific Advisory Board members
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}42{.}969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45{.}580$ who shared their data with us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}45{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47{.}552$ as well as and Antonio Morgan.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}47{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50{.}500$ Lopez was the MPI on this project.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}50{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53{.}210$ He's at RTI International with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00{:}40{:}53.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.620$ me and did all the elegant quant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426
- $00:40:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.476$ work on the project.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- 00:40:58.480 --> 00:41:00.640 This is just, I can't spend time on this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00{:}41{:}00{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03{.}220$ but these are our international
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:41:03.220 \rightarrow 00:41:06.240$ consortium that we call our cast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:41:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.200$ and all of these individuals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:41:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.440$ shared their data sets with us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- 00:41:10.440 --> 00:41:11.875 And when I say shared their data,

 $00:41:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.480$ I mean they gave us their data sets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:41:14.480 --> 00:41:17.477 So we were able to do things with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}17{.}477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20{.}204$ data and I'll just show you about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}20{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22{.}130$ But first we brought together the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:41:22.193 --> 00:41:24.119 international group and one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}24{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26{.}393$ things we needed to do given that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}26.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.247$ we were agnostic to treatment type

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}28.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31.080$ was we had to categorize treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.636$ So we had the international group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.840$ they were involved with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.728$ We had several meetings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}36{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39{.}560$ consensus meetings and we did surveys

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}39{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43{.}970$ but we we identified and categorized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}43{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46{.}560$ treatments into trauma focused like the NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}46{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48{.}633$ ones you know the stage two treatments NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}48.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50.943$ that we were talking about and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}50{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52{.}732$ trauma focused which were treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:52.732 \rightarrow 00:41:54.874$ like a seeking safety treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:54.874 \rightarrow 00:42:00.420$ didn't target the trauma but may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.780$ been an integrated treatment for traumatics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}03.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.947$ PTSD and Sud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}04{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07{.}281$ And we also so we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:42:07.281 --> 00:42:08.940 behavioral interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}08{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11{.}100$ we had integrated behavioral where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:11.100 \rightarrow 00:42:13.884$ they tried to address both PTSD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}13.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.068$ substance use in the same treatment we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}16.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.456$ had combined so integrated plus meds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}18.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.232$ we had meds alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}20{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22{.}447$ So targeting either the alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:22.447 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.818$ or substance use outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:24.820 \rightarrow 00:42:28.660$ the PTSD outcome or both.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.557$ And then we also had a variety
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:30.557 \rightarrow 00:42:32.386$ of controls because when you're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:32.386 \rightarrow 00:42:33.820$ doing comparative effectiveness,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.109$ you need to be able to classify
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:36.109 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.812$ the treatment so that you're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:37.812 \rightarrow 00:42:40.004$ kind of reducing the number of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- 00:42:40.004 --> 00:42:40.776 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.992$ kind of degrees of freedom or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:44.992 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.257$ increasing the degrees of freedom,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.704$ but you are also comparing it to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:50.704 \rightarrow 00:42:52.180$ the same comparator.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00{:}42{:}52{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55{.}830$ So we classified the control
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00:42:55.830 \rightarrow 00:42:58.480$ groups as psychotherapy that was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00{:}42{:}58{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01{.}568$ targeting a alcohol or drug use only,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00{:}43{:}01{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}04{.}825$ psychotherapy controls that were really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}04{.}825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08{.}930$ treatment as usual and then place bo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:08.930 \rightarrow 00:43:12.655$ controls which was medication placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}12.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.450$ And so by doing that we end up with NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:15.450 \rightarrow 00:43:18.110$ about with eight classes that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}18{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20{.}893$ comparing to 1 comparator which would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}20.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.938$ either be treatment as usual or placebo. NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.490$ And so you know one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:27.490 --> 00:43:29.240 that we did with Project Harmony

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:29.240 --> 00:43:31.010 was a traditional systematic review

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}31.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33.498$ and we did a network meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:33.500 --> 00:43:35.180 And so that's taking, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:35.180 --> 00:43:37.448 a typical traditional meta analysis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}37{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}410$ when you go in to the published data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}40{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43{.}539$ and you look at what's out there and you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46{.}594$ you know extract effect sizes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}46{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49{.}834$ that data and then you examine

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672
- $00{:}43{:}49{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51{.}648$ the effects of the treatments

 $00{:}43{:}51{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53{.}460$ and you and you come up with a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}53{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53{.}992$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:53.992 \rightarrow 00:43:55.854$ some kind of an idea about what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:55.854 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.500$ what's going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.979$ And so we did the a traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:59.980 \rightarrow 00:44:02.780$ systematic review from the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:44:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.055$ This is not the pooled analysis yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}05{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07{.}104$ which I'll show you in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}07{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10{.}182$ But we then did a a network meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}10.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.581$ analysis to kind of characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}12.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.246$ the state of science and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}15{.}246$ --> $00{:}44{:}17{.}590$ look at PTSD and a UOD outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:44:17.590 --> 00:44:21.430 And out of you know 1000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}21{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22{.}828$ you know hits that we got,

 $00:44:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.414$ we we sifted through 700 trials that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}27{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30{.}870$ met our criteria and in the end we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:44:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.218$ identified 39 trials with two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}44{:}34{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37{.}580$ subnetworks of the this network I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}44{:}37{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40{.}400$ showing you above is the behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}44{:}40{.}400{\:}-{\:}>00{:}44{:}43{.}254$ interventions compared to a psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}44{:}43.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.830$ control like a treatment as usual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:44:46.830 - > 00:44:49.404 And then there was another step

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}44{:}49{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52{.}011$ network of the medication trials that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}44{:}52.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54.853$ were compared to place bo and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:44:54.853 --> 00:44:57.094 found in this network meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}44{:}57.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59.950$ which is about to be published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:45:00.026 --> 00:45:02.550 psychological bulletin like today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:02.550 \rightarrow 00:45:05.490$ tomorrow who knows it's it's coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.588$ out very shortly is that the for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:09.588 \rightarrow 00:45:12.873$ PTSD the integrated trauma focus.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659
- $00:45:12.880 \rightarrow 00:45:17.200$ So again those trauma focused interventions

 $00{:}45{:}17.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.480$ did significantly better compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}19{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22{.}112$ the treatment as usual controls than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:22.112 \rightarrow 00:45:24.746$ any of the other other classifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:24.746 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.758$ And for the medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.050$ we saw that alcohol targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}32.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.374$ medications with or without trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38{.}562$ focused treatment appeared to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}38.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.134$ superior to place bo interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:41.134 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.669$ in this network meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.838$ So it's giving us an indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}47.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.880$ of yes trauma focused and yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:50.880 \rightarrow 00:45:53.205$ our alcohol targeting medications and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}53.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56.627$ that's a direct effect on alcohol outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01{.}266$ So in this network meta and then to go

 $00{:}46{:}01{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03{.}744$ into the Project Harmony piece where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:03.744 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.882$ we did an integrative data analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}06{.}882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10{.}218$ with the individual patient data that NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.414$ we got from all of these trials over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}13.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.558$ the world that was recently published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:15.558 \rightarrow 00:46:18.363$ And you can get more of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}18.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.602$ fine finer details from the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:46:20.602 --> 00:46:23.026 Journal of Psychiatry and in February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:46:23.030 --> 00:46:23.490 But basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}23.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.869$ and I don't have time to go into all of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}25.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.926$ but we did a bunch of fancy analyses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:28.926 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.456$ that kind of what I like to say is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:32.456 \rightarrow 00:46:34.416$ this virtual clinical trial basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:46:34.416 --> 00:46:36.558 does like on your iPhone where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}36{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38{.}707$ have a photograph and then you go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}38{.}707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}41{.}126$ into edit on the photo and there's a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659
- $00:46:41.126 \rightarrow 00:46:43.184$ little magic wand and you press the

 $00{:}46{:}43.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.465$ wand and the photo just looks better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}45{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47{.}750$ It like makes it pop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.864$ That's what I think of as this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}49{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52{.}019$ this type of analysis where we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:52.019 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.470$ a variety of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}53.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.866$ We did propensity score weighting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}56{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59{.}971$ sort of the equivalent of covariates in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03{.}080$ a single trial to address measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.140$ inequalities and other issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.430$ with across all of these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:47:08.430 --> 00:47:09.378 Because remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}748$ we're pooling all these trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}11.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.935$ we have to harmonize the measures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}14.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17.675$ do we did modified nonlinear factor

 $00:47:17.675 \rightarrow 00:47:19.960$ analysis to create harmonization so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}20{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22{.}386$ we could look at the same outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:47:22.390 - 00:47:24.806 The outcomes were PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:24.806 \rightarrow 00:47:28.430$ alcohol use and substance drug use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}28{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}30{.}470$ So those were our outcome measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}30{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32{.}588$ We harmonize all across all studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.515$ So in one study it might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:34.515 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.322$ used timeline follow back to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}36{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37{.}550$ at substance use outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.452$ In another study they might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}39{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.665$ used the ASI or some other metric

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}41.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.138$ and we you have to kind of harmonize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:44.138 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.346$ it so you can compare across.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.570$ We categorize like I told you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}48.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.470$ before and we structured time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:50.470 \rightarrow 00:47:52.857$ So we were looking at post treatment

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659
- $00{:}47{:}52.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.192$ which is 3 months post treatment

 $00{:}47{:}55{.}192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57{.}309$ and 12 month which was estimated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:57.309 \rightarrow 00:47:59.067$ because of course some trials might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:59.067 \rightarrow 00:48:00.827$ have looked at six month outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}48{:}00{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02{.}390$ others might have looked at one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:48:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.910$ week outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:48:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.460$ others might have looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}48{:}04{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}390$ one year outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}48{:}05{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09{.}143$ And so we did analysis to structure the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:48:09.150 --> 00:48:12.396 and what we ended up finding was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:12.396 \rightarrow 00:48:15.140$ good news and the good news overall was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}15.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.866$ that all of the intervention classes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.890$ patients got better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.408$ So we like to say there are no wrong doors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.122$ You can apply these variety of

 $00:48:25.122 \rightarrow 00:48:27.530$ interventions and everyone got better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}27{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30{.}246$ but some doors are better than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.346$ So people got better with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:33.346 \rightarrow 00:48:36.610$ of the trauma focused integrated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:48:36.610 --> 00:48:39.510 I'm sorry non trauma focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.250$ integrated models whoops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}41{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47{.}070$ but but as you can see here it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:48:47.070 --> 00:48:48.480 wasn't statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}48{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}276$ So, so the seeking safety model was a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:54.280 \rightarrow 00:48:57.437$ was an integrated but non trauma focused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.360$ We don't see significant impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}59{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01{.}280$ compared to treatment as usual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.936$ whereas the big winner was drum roll

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}05{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07{.}968$ trauma focused interventions with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:07.968 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.000$ alcohol targeting medications and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:10.072 \rightarrow 00:49:12.656$ what you can see here are very large

 $00:49:12.656 \rightarrow 00:49:14.959$ effect sizes for those interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.192$ So we might not have seen that in any

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}17.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.249$ of the individual clinical trials like

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}19{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22{.}292$ all of the ones that this mini has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:49:22.292 --> 00:49:24.576 working on and working on where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}24.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.488$ might not have seen the impacts also

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:49:27.488 --> 00:49:30.882 because she was comparing to placebo Med,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:49:30.882 --> 00:49:33.887 place
bo Med also does pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:49:33.887 --> 00:49:37.448 well on PTSD for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}37{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40{.}250$ And but what we're seeing is direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}40{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43{.}072$ effects on the alcohol outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}43.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.430$ then you know you know the it's so the NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}45{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48{.}052$ winners are the trauma focused whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:48.052 \rightarrow 00:49:50.259$ they're integrated or they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}49{:}50{.}259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}52{.}449$ integrated and alcohol targeting meds.

 $00:49:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.204$ So it's sort of expands on the lit review

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:55.204 \rightarrow 00:49:57.570$ network meta analysis that I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.370$ So we're but we're taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.746$ this data and we're we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:01.746 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.326$ we're sort of able to examine it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.975$ And so this just gives you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:05.975 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.530$ taste of what we're finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}07{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10{.}932$ And then we are also doing moderator

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}10.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.250$ mediator analysis as we speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:13.250 \rightarrow 00:50:15.770$ The one thing that you see you might take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:15.829 \dashrightarrow 00:50:18.286$ note of is that in terms of drug outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.650$ we're not seeing a lot of direct impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:20.650 - 00:50:23.384 on drug from either any of the kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:23.384 \rightarrow 00:50:25.130$ of interventions on drug directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:25.130 \rightarrow 00:50:28.064$ And what we do expect to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:28.064 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.892$ to talk about more is that that

 $00:50:30.892 \rightarrow 00:50:33.649$ indirect effect of if you target the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:33.649 --> 00:50:36.272 trauma you will see impacts like

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:36.272 \dashrightarrow 00:50:39.563$ downstream on the drug effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}39{.}563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42{.}110$ And why it is that we are able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:42.183 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.325$ see the impact on alcohol more

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:44.325 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.585$ directly for the first time here

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}46.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.611$ with this analysis at Versus Drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:48.611 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.799$ I'll leave it to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:49.800 --> 00:50:51.676 you know maybe we can talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:51.676 \rightarrow 00:50:52.842$ that during the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}52{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56{.}100$ But so that that sort of gets us to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}56{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58{.}431$ I'm going to spend the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:58.431 --> 00:51:00.536 moments before we have time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}51{:}00{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02{.}612$ chat to talk about as clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:02.612 \rightarrow 00:51:04.457$ okay what's the take away.

 $00:51:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.434$ So I mean the take away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07{.}900$ the treat my science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.652$ you know the science of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:09.652 \rightarrow 00:51:10.820$ interventions is good news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}51{:}10.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13.664$ We have a lot of things that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:13.664 \rightarrow 00:51:17.020$ do and now we have to try to start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:51:17.020 --> 00:51:17.972 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:17.972 \rightarrow 00:51:20.352$ doing them more more systematically

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}51{:}20{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23{.}144$ and enabling places that may not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}51{:}23.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26.180$ access to care to do these interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:26.180 \dashrightarrow 00:51:28.140$ So I was in Australia giving a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}51{:}28{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28{.}980$ talk to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:51:28.980 --> 00:51:33.108 bunch of, you know, addiction medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:51:33.108 --> 00:51:35.596 professionals recently and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}51{:}35{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38{.}881$ they were like our system is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:38.881 \rightarrow 00:51:42.035$ separated that how do we bring these

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:51:42.035 \rightarrow 00:51:45.732$ interventions to to our, our population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- 00:51:45.732 --> 00:51:49.360 And so I encourage them to get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:51:49.360 \rightarrow 00:51:51.204$ creative because not, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:51:51.204 \rightarrow 00:51:53.038$ and and because we know the answer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}51{:}53{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54{.}228$ we know that these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}51{:}54{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55{.}119$ interventions actually work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:51:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.232$ So now we have to start
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:51:57.232 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.640$ really pushing them out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:51:58.640 \rightarrow 00:52:01.496$ So how do we apply an integrated framework?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:01.500 \rightarrow 00:52:03.940$ Well, these are some of the questions from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}52{:}03{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06{.}285$ a clinician perspective that we need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:06.285 \rightarrow 00:52:08.580$ start thinking about and trying to answer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.300$ What does success look like?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}52{:}10{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13{.}520$ Like, Is it really abstinence or is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:13.520 \rightarrow 00:52:15.939$ it really diminishment of symptoms?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

- $00:52:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.650$ Probably not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}52{:}16.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.780$ If you're thinking about your client,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- 00:52:18.780 --> 00:52:20.340 you want quality of life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}52{:}20{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22{.}500$ You want to think about other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}52{:}22{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23{.}580$ types of outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}52{:}23.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.974$ What if the person still using do?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- 00:52:25.980 --> 00:52:29.112 Can we call it a win if someone goes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- 00:52:29.112 --> 00:52:32.228 shifts from being a daily opioid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00{:}52{:}32{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35{.}984$ user to a nightly cannabis smoker?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:35.990 \rightarrow 00:52:38.550$ Is that a good thing?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- 00:52:38.550 --> 00:52:40.830 You know, how do we make those judgments?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.791$ How do you match for your client
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:43.791 \rightarrow 00:52:46.950$ the right kind of therapy for them?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.008$ And what do you do if your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- 00:52:49.008 --> 00:52:50.310 patient starts getting worse?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.350$ How do you handle that and how

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785
- $00:52:53.350 \rightarrow 00:52:56.350$ do we understand differences by,

00:52:56.350 --> 00:52:57.540 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}52{:}57{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59{.}920$ social determinants and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.110$ individual characteristics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.738$ How do we incorporate cultural variations

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:03.738 \rightarrow 00:53:06.669$ and how we deliver our treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:06.670 \rightarrow 00:53:08.530$ So what we basically advocate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}08{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.646$ is what I call an integrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:10.646 --> 00:53:12.651 treatment framework where we you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:12.651 --> 00:53:15.218 know it's it's good treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}15{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17{.}124$ So this is nothing new to any one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:17.124 --> 00:53:19.177 who's a provider is like we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}19{.}177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20{.}353$ a comprehensive assessment so

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}20{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22{.}337$ we know what we're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.650$ Obviously we want to use

 $00:53:25.650 \rightarrow 00:53:26.974$ those destigmatizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}26{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28{.}880$ motivational interviewing and harm

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}28{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30{.}780$ reduction techniques to understand NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.444$ that not you know what might be NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:33.444 \rightarrow 00:53:35.089$ abstinence model might be great

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}35{.}153$ --> $00{:}53{:}37{.}155$ for one patient and really and not NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:37.155 \dashrightarrow 00:53:39.484$ a good model for another patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:39.484 \rightarrow 00:53:42.086$ So really matching and having

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:42.086 --> 00:53:45.494 kindness and care and a sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:45.494 \rightarrow 00:53:48.430$ of positivity for our clients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}48{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50{.}050$ Harm reduction the rapy is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:50.050 \rightarrow 00:53:51.670$ example that we can use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.286$ but there are many other approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:54.286 --> 00:53:55.594 motivational interviewing we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:55.594 \rightarrow 00:53:58.234$ want to think about engaging our

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:58.234 \rightarrow 00:53:59.946$ clients and the rapeutic alliance.

00:53:59.950 --> 00:54:01.858 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}01{.}858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}988$ understanding that patients may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:03.988 --> 00:54:05.704 seek treatment or stay in treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}05{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07{.}904$ or use treatment in the same way and NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}07{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09{.}650$ that doesn't mean that they should

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}09{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}11{.}432$ be denied treatments of these ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}11{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14{.}250$ that like 3 strikes and you're out

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}14.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16.430$ because our clients don't attend

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}16{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18{.}610$ sessions for lots of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}18.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.110$ reasons due to those emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}21{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23{.}050$ dys regulation and other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:23.050 --> 00:54:23.827 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}23.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.381$ there's a lot of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}25{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27{.}426$ we need to do and that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:27.426 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.690$ help support our clients.

 $00{:}54{:}28.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.545$ So a lot of these treatments if

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}30{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32{.}562$ even if you don't have trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}32{.}562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}310$ focused training at your agency yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}38{.}890$ there's a lot of treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}38{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41{.}392$ dys regulation that can be used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:41.392 \rightarrow 00:54:43.804$ help address traumatic stress in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:43.804 \rightarrow 00:54:46.949$ clients and help with treatment processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:46.950 --> 00:54:48.300 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}48{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49{.}650$ treatment planning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:49.650 --> 00:54:51.000 coordinated care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:51.000 \rightarrow 00:54:53.628$ working together across disciplines

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:53.628 --> 00:54:55.599 and promoting stability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:54:55.600 \rightarrow 00:54:57.630$ these are all just like really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}54{:}57{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59{.}404$ principles of treatment planning that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:54:59.404 \rightarrow 00:55:01.399$ should apply in working with our clients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:01.400 \rightarrow 00:55:06.580$ So to sum it up, people with PTSD stutter,

 $00:55:06.580 \rightarrow 00:55:09.440$ highly comorbid and undertreated

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}11.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}15.280$ integrated care is safe and efficacious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.208$ I feel confident in saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}18{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21{.}012$ and optical optimal care should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}21.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23.744$ individualized and you know as I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}23.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.745$ said involves having a client centered

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}26.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.111$ approach and and incorporating A harm

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}30{.}111$ --> $00{:}55{:}32{.}463$ reduction model doing comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}32{.}463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35{.}395$ assessment that's collaborative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}35{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39{.}060$ then using evidence based particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}39{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42{.}596$ combined treatments will lead our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}42.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.328$ clients down a very positive path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}46{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49{.}074$ Before I end, I just want to express NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:49.074 \rightarrow 00:55:51.149$ appreciation to all of my colleagues NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:51.149 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.106$ that over 101,000 participants in

 $00:55:53.106 \rightarrow 00:55:55.698$ the clinical trials that have helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:55.698 \rightarrow 00:55:59.046$ us gain the knowledge that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:59.050 \dashrightarrow 00:56:00.714$ You know my institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:00.714 --> 00:56:02.238 Rutgers, Columbia University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:02.238 --> 00:56:05.008 City College of New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}56{:}05{.}010$ --> $00{:}56{:}09{.}154$ and you for being such good listeners and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:09.154 \rightarrow 00:56:12.357$ hopefully engaging with me in conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:12.360 \rightarrow 00:56:15.474$ And then I'm going to end with some words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}56{:}15{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19{.}040$ I like to end with the words of one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}56{:}19{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22{.}975$ our clients who was is a woman from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}56{:}22.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.999$ a disadvantaged background who was a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:26.000 --> 00:56:26.800 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:26.800 \rightarrow 00:56:29.200$ receiving treatment in one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}56{:}29{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31{.}195$ treatment programs here in New York City,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}56{:}31.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33.000$ The Women's Health Project at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:33.000 --> 00:56:34.080 Saint Luke's Roosevelt.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175
- $00:56:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.104$ Now it's Mount Sinai.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175
- 00:56:35.104 --> 00:56:35.360 I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00{:}56{:}37{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}328$ forget what the full name is but hospital
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00:56:41.328 \rightarrow 00:56:45.992$ and she was someone who had a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00{:}56{:}45{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48{.}907$ challenging background multiple you know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00{:}56{:}48{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54{.}260$ cocaine and opioid use disorder disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00:56:54.260 \rightarrow 00:56:58.430$ You know profile sexual abuse ongoing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00:56:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.300$ victimization and this was her poem.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- 00:57:02.300 --> 00:57:04.360 Multi trauma survivor heals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00:57:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.420$ to find wedded bliss.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00:57:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.538$ Healing universe applauds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00:57:08.538 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.044$ You are next. I'm a new house.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00{:}57{:}12.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13.774$ I've come out of thick,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- 00:57:13.780 --> 00:57:17.245 tough hide swearing by the rock I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405
- $00{:}57{:}17.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.378$ rubbed against to be tender again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}20{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23{.}020$ To able to receive and give love for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

00:57:23.020 -> 00:57:25.780 my most vulnerable, healed self.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}25{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27{.}580$ Appreciating freshly discovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}27{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30{.}580$ beauty from its fearless depths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}30{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31{.}540$ Of honesty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

00:57:31.540 --> 00:57:34.420 Feeling peace out of the gratitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}34{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37{.}718$ of being accepted as is by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}37{.}718$ --> $00{:}57{:}40{.}263$ universe myself and the reflection

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:40.263 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.329$ in the eyes that behold me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}43{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}46{.}010$ I believed it for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}46.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48.610$ Believe it for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}48.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49.050$ Thank you.