WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:44:07"

NOTE recognizability:0.962

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.966$ So today we will finish our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872303716666667

 $00:00:07.970 \rightarrow 00:00:11.696$ Session on single cell data analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872303716666667

 $00{:}00{:}11.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.633$ So far we arrived at a this step in

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:15.633 \dashrightarrow 00:00:19.855$ the analysis, so after all the quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872303716666667

 $00:00:19.855 \rightarrow 00:00:21.987$ controller and the normalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:21.990 \rightarrow 00:00:25.266$ a necessary step to reduce the complexity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872303716666667

 $00{:}00{:}25.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.054$ of the data set is the reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

00:00:29.054 --> 00:00:31.350 of dimension of dimensionality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872303716666667

 $00:00:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.670$ So reduction of features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:32.670 \dashrightarrow 00:00:34.650$ So there are two possible ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:34.650 \rightarrow 00:00:36.862$ Feature selection extract only

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00{:}00{:}36.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.070$ relevant genes and also methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872303716666667

 $00:00:40.070 \dashrightarrow 00:00:42.170$ for dimensionality reduction.

 $00:00:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.800$ So last time we saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00{:}00{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.032$ Uh, the principal component analysis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:46.032 \rightarrow 00:00:48.867$ other tools that are used for single cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:48.870 \rightarrow 00:00:51.528$ especially for the visualization that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:51.528 \rightarrow 00:00:54.860$ they Disney method and the UMAP methods?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:00:54.860 \rightarrow 00:00:58.920$ They're both nonlinear and the graph based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872303716666667

 $00:00:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.332$ So today we will see briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:01:01.332 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.538$ the remaining steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:01:02.540 \rightarrow 00:01:05.160$ downstream steps of the analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987230371666667

 $00:01:05.160 \rightarrow 00:01:08.688$ And we will start from the clustering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:01:12.710 --> 00:01:14.358 Of single cell data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:14.358 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.363$ So we have our cells are there

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:17.363 \rightarrow 00:01:20.389$ mapped in our low dimensional space

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:20.389 \rightarrow 00:01:23.827$ and we want to identify clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:23.830 \dashrightarrow 00:01:26.410$ meaning cells that have a similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:26.410 \rightarrow 00:01:28.565$ expression signature so that they

- NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135
- $00{:}01{:}28.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.707$ are very similar to each other.

00:01:30.710 --> 00:01:33.200 So since this problem of clustering

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.979$ is quite general and it was also

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:35.979 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.067$ covered by June in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:01:38.067 --> 00:01:40.417 lesson of these didactic section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.530$ many of the methods that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:42.530 \dashrightarrow 00:01:45.466$ Can be used for single cell data are

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:45.466 \dashrightarrow 00:01:48.407$ the same that he covered last time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.526$ So here is what you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.330$ Here is an example of a data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:01:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.914$ Uh of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:01:53.914 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:01:57.610 and I think they are divided because

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}01{:}57{.}721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{.}120$ of a different developmental stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}02{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04.832$ And so these clusters that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}04.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.342$ see here are a derived are known

 $00:02:08.342 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.572$ because of the experiments was

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}10.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.703$ that these cells were isolated on

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:02:13.703 --> 00:02:15.416 different different differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:15.416 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.154$ States and there are already

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}18.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.266$ mapped in a low dimensional space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}20.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.479$ So what you see here is that they are NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:23.479 \rightarrow 00:02:25.524$ the first two principal components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:25.530 \rightarrow 00:02:28.035$ So usually clustering always per

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:02:28.035 --> 00:02:30.039 calculated on principal components

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:30.039 \dashrightarrow 00:02:32.838$ or on a reduced dimensional space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34{.}345$ This doesn't mean that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:34.345 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.850$ only take the first two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37{.}740$ You have to select the

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.252$ first step 1020 thirty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}39{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43{.}610$ There are methods to select for

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}02{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.916$ a certain number of dimensions

 $00:02:45.916 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.752$ so that you keep what could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:48.752 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.712$ worthy of information and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:50.712 \rightarrow 00:02:53.414$ remove the lower dimensions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:53.414 \dashrightarrow 00:02:56.294$ are associated with less violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.050$ And the assumption is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:02:59.050 \rightarrow 00:03:01.250$ they mostly capture noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.874$ But here the example in the examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.340$ Here is a simplified example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:05.340 \rightarrow 00:03:08.364$ so you see only PC1 and PC2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:03:08.370 -> 00:03:10.800 So in order to class so we you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.369$ a the two approaches that June

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}13.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.594$ covered in the clustering lesson

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:15.670 \rightarrow 00:03:17.858$ was a hierarchical clustering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}17.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.200$ So these methods try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:03:20.200 --> 00:03:21.136 connect progressively.

 $00:03:21.140 \dashrightarrow 00:03:25.568$ A cells that are similar to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}25.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.166$ So also in this case if you remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:28.166 \dashrightarrow 00:03:30.398$ there is the concept of distance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}30{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}095$ So all clustering methods are

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:32.095 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.402$ based on the fact that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}34{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}454$ to calculate a sort of distance

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:36.454 \rightarrow 00:03:38.020$ or similarity measure between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.019$ Pairs of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:39.019 \rightarrow 00:03:40.018$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:40.020 \rightarrow 00:03:42.678$ here you can measure the distance

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}42.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.796$ as the Euclidean distance in

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:44.796 \rightarrow 00:03:46.308$ this principle component space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:03:46.308 --> 00:03:48.388 Otherwise you can use other

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:03:48.388 --> 00:03:49.860 measures of distance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}49{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}948$ for example correlation and so on and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:03:52.948 \rightarrow 00:03:56.288$ The choice of the distance,

 $00:03:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.528$ the choice of the distance will

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}03{:}58{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00{.}020$ change the clustering results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}04{:}00{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}640$ So these old stands true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:04:01.640 --> 00:04:03.992 So hierarchical clustering try

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:03.992 \rightarrow 00:04:06.344$ to connect progressively similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}04{:}06{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10{.}588$ entities from app until arriving

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:10.588 \rightarrow 00:04:12.444$ to unifying everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}04{:}12.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.276$ And then the problem of hierarchical

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}04{:}15.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.360$ clustering is to decide when to cut the tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:18.360 \rightarrow 00:04:20.296$ So depending on where you cut the tree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:04:20.300 --> 00:04:22.064 you can separate like if you cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:22.064 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.792$ this tree here, you separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:04:23.792 --> 00:04:25.250 Two clusters here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}04{:}25{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27{.}080$ You separate three and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.388$ In this example,

 $00:04:28.388 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.098$ you know that there are 12345

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

00:04:31.098 --> 00:04:32.682 clusters main clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:32.682 \rightarrow 00:04:36.759$ but this information is not always a obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:36.760 \rightarrow 00:04:39.064$ So always in clustering the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}040$ of cluster the optimal number

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.890$ of clusters that represent your

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.910$ data is always a tricky choice

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.090$ and ultimately subjective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50{.}945$ The second approach that Jonah

NOTE Confidence: 0.934274135

 $00:04:50.945 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.800$ explained last in the lesson

NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333

00:04:52.869 --> 00:04:55.365 about clustering, is K means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}690$ Clustering is based on the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333

 $00{:}04{:}58.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.354$ you select a priority before beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333

 $00:05:01.354 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.435$ a number of cluster that is key in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333

 $00:05:04.435 \rightarrow 00:05:06.752$ which you want to divide your data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333

 $00:05:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.058$ and then you apply a sort of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00:05:11.058 \rightarrow 00:05:13.762$ iterative procedures that is based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00{:}05{:}13.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.348$ on the definition of a centroid.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00{:}05{:}16.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.688$ Centroid is the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00:05:17.688 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.918$ Average point of a cluster
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00:05:19.918 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.220$ so it's not real point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00:05:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.940$ but it's a appointed represents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00:05:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.091$ the average of all the points
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00{:}05{:}26.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.896$ that belong to the cluster,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00{:}05{:}27{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}351$ and so the procedure is to iteratively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00:05:31.351 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.178$ assign each cell to the nearest
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- $00:05:34.180 \dashrightarrow 00:05:37.720$ centroid until you reach convergence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887313348833333
- 00:05:37.720 --> 00:05:38.869 So until you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00{:}05{:}40{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42{.}440$ In consecutive iterations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:05:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.024$ for example, you don't have any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:05:45.024 \rightarrow 00:05:47.430$ change of lab labels between sets.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}05{:}47{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50{.}124$ A family of methods of clustering

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:05:50.124 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.379$ methods that are widely used

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:05:52.379 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.647$ in a single cell approaches is

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:05:54.647 \rightarrow 00:05:57.360$ they are the graph based family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}05{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59{.}292$ So this is something that John

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:05:59.292 \dashrightarrow 00:06:01.730$ didn't talk about, so the principle NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:06:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.160$ here is to build them a graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:06:05.160 \rightarrow 00:06:08.408$ Uh, on this space and they usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}560$ mean it is a so called key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

00:06:11.560 -> 00:06:12.949 Nearest neighbor graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}06{:}12.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.727$ That means that for every cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}06{:}15.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.570$ you draw a line that connected the

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}06{:}18.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.166$ seller with a top nearest cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}06{:}21.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.616$ and that's the key parameter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:06:23.620 \rightarrow 00:06:26.385$ So for example in this example here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:06:26.390 \rightarrow 00:06:30.660$ this is a 10 nearest neighbor graph,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:06:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.886$ so it means that each cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00{:}06{:}32.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.079$ here is connected to the top.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00{:}06{:}35{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}748$ 10 nearest excells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:06:36.748 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.139$ So after you do this basically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- 00:06:40.139 --> 00:06:43.793 Europe map becomes a graph and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- 00:06:43.793 --> 00:06:47.438 so a graph is a a set of nodes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:06:47.438 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.720$ that and each node here is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00{:}06{:}49{.}803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}269$ cell with a set of connections.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00{:}06{:}52{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54{.}566$ So these connections can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:06:54.566 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.436$ can also be waited so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:06:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.135$ A weight can be assigned to eat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00{:}07{:}00{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02{.}043$ connection depending on how similar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00{:}07{:}02{.}043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.648$ the two cells are and the method.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00{:}07{:}04.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07.560$ Once you build that the graph is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- 00:07:07.656 00:07:10.314 to identify inside this graph that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:10.314 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.161$ can be also seen as a network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:13.161 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.725$ Basically to identify communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

00:07:15.725 --> 00:07:19.590 so to identify inside this graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:19.590 \dashrightarrow 00:07:22.348$ Communities of nodes are so clusters of

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:22.348 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.992$ nodes that are highly interconnected

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}07{:}24.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.222$ among themselves and with low

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:29.222 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.966$ interconnections with other clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

00:07:30.970 --> 00:07:32.298 So obviously if like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}07{:}32.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.958$ such as in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}07{:}33{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36{.}972$ you obtain not a single network

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00{:}07{:}36{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40{.}414$ but a different networks that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:40.414 \rightarrow 00:07:42.588$ completely separated, it's easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:42.588 \dashrightarrow 00:07:44.658$ It's obviously easier to separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:44.658 \rightarrow 00:07:45.900$ these three clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:45.900 \rightarrow 00:07:48.147$ because the in the graph they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398

 $00:07:48.147 \rightarrow 00:07:50.844$ share any connections, but sometimes the.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:07:50.844 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.578$ Graph based approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- 00:07:52.580 --> 00:07:56.076 Tried tried also to cut to within uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990699398
- $00:07:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.350$ these networks in order to yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.976010583333333
- $00:08:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.588$ Sorry it was there a question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9561952966666667
- $00{:}08{:}06{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07{.}474$ That was veins veins.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9561952966666667
- $00:08:07.474 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.779$ Did you have a question?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- 00:08:11.200 --> 00:08:14.784 Sorry, I accidentally had my microphone on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- $00:08:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.680$ Sorry. And so these measures try to try to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- $00:08:19.680 \rightarrow 00:08:23.447$ divide a network inside the communities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- $00:08:23.450 \rightarrow 00:08:25.921$ so they try to cut the networks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- $00:08:25.921 \rightarrow 00:08:28.800$ in order to increase the density,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- 00:08:28.800 --> 00:08:32.550 increase the density of the chunks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- $00:08:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.988$ in which the network is divided.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- $00{:}08{:}35{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}727$ So here I have a slide that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429
- $00:08:38.727 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.620$ explains these a better,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

00:08:40.620 --> 00:08:42.908 but the principle is that you built at

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00{:}08{:}42.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.335$ the graph where each node is a cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00{:}08{:}45{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46{.}928$ connected to the nearest neighbor

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00:08:46.928 \rightarrow 00:08:49.266$ and then you try to identify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}611$ communities by creating the cuts

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

00:08:51.611 --> 00:08:55.510 inside the network and the cuts have NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00{:}08{:}55{.}615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59{.}595$ to isolate the parts of the network so

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

00:08:59.595 --> 00:09:02.866 that you don't remove a lot of links

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00:09:02.866 \rightarrow 00:09:06.097$ and you increase the density of the links.

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00{:}09{:}06{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}800$ Offer what remains so there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00{:}09{:}08{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11{.}581$ many approaches to to do that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

00:09:11.581 - > 00:09:13.293 the single cell pipelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00{:}09{:}13.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.648$ especially in the most popular

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00{:}09{:}15.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.876$ methods you will see always the logon

NOTE Confidence: 0.983169928571429

 $00:09:18.876 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.086$ method for the community detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875

 $00:09:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.478$ The advantage of this is that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- $00:09:26.478 \rightarrow 00:09:28.993$ many other methods for single cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- 00:09:28.993 > 00:09:31.197 analysis uses the same approach,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- $00:09:31.197 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.119$ so they first build that these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- $00:09:34.119 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.731$ graph and then they try to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- $00:09:36.731 \rightarrow 00:09:39.960$ either a identify the clusters as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- $00:09:39.960 \dashrightarrow 00:09:42.480$ communities of the interconnections.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- $00:09:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.999$ And then we will see that also.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- 00:09:44.000 --> 00:09:46.985 For example, many trajectory tools
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- $00:09:46.985 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.135$ will use this kind of graph in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983395506875
- $00:09:50.135 \rightarrow 00:09:52.090$ order to build the trajectory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:09:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.949$ So this is for the class ring.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:09:57.950 --> 00:10:00.278 Uhm? Another important step,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00{:}10{:}00{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.060$ once you identify the clusters is to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:10:04.060 --> 00:10:08.154 perform A to identify the genes that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:10:08.154 \rightarrow 00:10:12.364$ are characterizing these clusters and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:12.364 \rightarrow 00:10:15.836$ That means that you want to identify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}10{:}15.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.716$ so-called marker genes for each cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.880$ So these are ideally jeans that

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:20.880 \rightarrow 00:10:23.004$ are expressed only in the cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:23.004 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.146$ in in a single cluster and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}10{:}25.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.220$ in the in the other cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.320$ And here you see an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}10{:}29{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31{.}144$ So this is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:31.144 \rightarrow 00:10:33.424$ Representation are you map representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:33.424 \rightarrow 00:10:37.187$ of the first two dimension of a single cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:37.190 \rightarrow 00:10:40.880$ analysis of peripheral blood cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:40.880 \dashrightarrow 00:10:43.088$ So you see there are clustering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

00:10:43.090 --> 00:10:45.146 Louvain clustering has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:45.146 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.688$ applied and identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:46.690 \dashrightarrow 00:10:50.092$ eight cluster a cluster of cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:10:50.092 \rightarrow 00:10:55.119$ you see here from zero to 7 and the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00{:}10{:}55{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58{.}402$ identification of the marker Gina is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:10:58.402 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.629$ identification of genes that will be useful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00{:}11{:}01.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.467$ In order to annotate the cluster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:11:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.758$ Uh, because for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:11:05.758 --> 00:11:07.046 as you see here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:11:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.835$ if you look at the at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:11:08.835 00:11:10.230 expression level of this gene,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:11:10.230 \rightarrow 00:11:13.710$ you you only see this kind of representation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00{:}11{:}13.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.342$ Also in like paper or analysis of single
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:11:16.342 00:11:18.877 cell you can represent the same map,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:11:18.880 --> 00:11:21.484 but instead of coloring the cells according
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:11:21.484 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.300$ to the cluster you call on the cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:11:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.449$ according to the expression of 1 gene.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:11:26.450 --> 00:11:30.178 So here are cells are Gray if the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00{:}11{:}30{.}178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32{.}500$ gene MS4A1 is not expressed and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.870$ they are violent if the genie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:34.870 \rightarrow 00:11:37.182$ Is expressed a lot and you see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:37.182 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.584$ this is a good marker for cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:39.584 \rightarrow 00:11:42.145$ three because you see that the marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:42.145 \rightarrow 00:11:44.365$ is highly expressed only in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}11{:}44{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46{.}865$ cluster and most of the other cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:46.865 \rightarrow 00:11:49.256$ do not express these genes at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}140$ So the task here is to is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.528$ identify genes that are like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:54.530 \rightarrow 00:11:56.686$ so genes that are highly expressed only

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}11{:}56.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.866$ in one cluster and not in the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:11:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.047$ So basically this task is very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}163$ to a task of differential expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:12:03.163 \rightarrow 00:12:06.599$ because what you want to do is to identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:12:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.304$ And for each cluster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:12:08.304 \rightarrow 00:12:10.434$ genes that are differentially expressed,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00{:}12{:}10{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11{.}194$ in particular,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:11.194 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.079$ more expressed in the cluster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.940$ Then in all the other cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:12:14.940 --> 00:12:19.600 So you divide your cell in two sets.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.130$ It's belonging to the cluster
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.660$ and all the other cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:22.660 \rightarrow 00:12:24.690$ and then you try to identify genes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.120$ that are differentially expressed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.630$ differentially expressed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.051$ So the aim of this task is to identify
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:31.051 \rightarrow 00:12:32.980$ genes with different expression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- 00:12:32.980 --> 00:12:35.360 usually among clusters of cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00{:}12{:}35{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37{.}270$ and because these are important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00{:}12{:}37{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39{.}180$ because they are cluster markers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571
- $00:12:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.484$ Now this is something that also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:12:41.484 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.072$ we covered that in the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

00:12:44.072 --> 00:12:46.016 lesson Everett spoke about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}12{:}46.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.140$ These are a task differential expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:12:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.459$ analysis will buy with bulk RNA seek.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}12{:}52{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}112$ So the approaches them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:12:54.112 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.570$ That are used in single cell are different NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}12{:}57{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}746$ and this is a an area where there is no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:13:01.750 \rightarrow 00:13:03.520$ Everything makes my favorite methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}13{:}03{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05{.}536$ so there are two papers that try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}13{:}05{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}255$ do a benchmark and compare different

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00:13:08.255 \rightarrow 00:13:10.880$ methodologies to do differential expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}13{:}10.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.372$ but the result is that no method

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}13{:}13{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}050$ is better than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987324051428571

 $00{:}13{:}15{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19{.}050$ And the problem is that when you consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:13:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.658$ the expression, the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

00:13:20.658 --> 00:13:23.070 of expression level of genes of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:23.139 \rightarrow 00:13:25.337$ single genes in a single cell data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.280$ they're very thorough genius.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.190$ So here you see three examples
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}13{:}30{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}284$ of the expression densities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:32.284 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.799$ across cells of three genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}13{:}34{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37{.}930$ You see that these ambience
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.434$ 17 has these density,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.834$ so that could be like a approximated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}13{:}42.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.498$ with a like a normal distribution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}13{:}45{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50{.}930$ Or less these A is a has a 2 pics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:51.095 \rightarrow 00:13:54.872$ one pick a one pica of cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:54.872 \dashrightarrow 00:13:58.408$ where the gene is not expressed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:13:58.410 \rightarrow 00:14:00.769$ This is quite common in single cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}14{:}00{.}769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}870$ because of the dropout events.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:14:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.640$ Sorry if you remember we.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.446$ That's one of the main problem of

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:06.446 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.566$ single cell in a lot in a lot of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.730$ At the absence of a gene is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.209$ biological but it's technical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.490$ so the genie is simplest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}14{:}13{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16{.}024$ was not captured in a library preparation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.412$ So that's why for many genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:18.412 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.000$ you have this situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}14{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.520$ You in the same cluster of cells you

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:22.520 \rightarrow 00:14:24.933$ have some cells that express the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28{.}160$ and stem cells that do not express the gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:28.160 \rightarrow 00:14:30.407$ And then in other in other cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.342$ for example, you have most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:32.342 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.149$ cells with the zero expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:14:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.796$ So this means that there is no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

00:14:36.800 - 00:14:38.684 Unique distribution that allow

- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:14:38.684 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.568$ you to a model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:14:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.098$ The expression of genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:14:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.220$ So that's why.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- 00:14:46.220 --> 00:14:48.215 Popular way of approaches for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:14:48.215 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.210$ a do for doing differential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:14:50.280 \rightarrow 00:14:52.450$ expression with single cell data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:14:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.620$ is to use nonparametric test.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}14{:}54{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57{.}062$ So nonparametric tests do not make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}14{:}57.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.382$ any assumption on the underlying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}14{:}59{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}826$ distribution of the expression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:15:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.574$ For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}15{:}02{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05{.}550$ probably the most used is the Wilcoxon rank.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}15{:}05{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}777$ Sum tests. A lot of these tests.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}15{:}08{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}084$ Do not use that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:15:10.084 \rightarrow 00:15:12.040$ Do not compare the real values,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:15:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.596$ but they compare ranks so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}15{:}14.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.300$ transform numbers into ranks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

00:15:16.300 --> 00:15:19.300 Once you order numbers from

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:15:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.300$ the highest to the lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

00:15:22.300 --> 00:15:22.587 Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}15{:}22.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.170$ so they can be used with single cell also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

00:15:25.170 --> 00:15:25.774 because uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:15:25.774 \rightarrow 00:15:28.190$ when you have a lot of cells you

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}15{:}28{.}265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30{.}203$ have a lot of measurements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}15{:}30{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}590$ so these kind of tests work well

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}15{:}32{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}970$ when you have a lot of replicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}15{:}34{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}047$ because you can consider each cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:15:37.047 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.322$ as a replicate when you do the UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:15:40.322 \rightarrow 00:15:43.244$ try to establish the difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:15:43.244 \rightarrow 00:15:45.844$ they are problematic since they

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:15:45.844 \rightarrow 00:15:46.960$ work on ranks,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- 00:15:46.960 -> 00:15:48.568 their problematic when you have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:15:48.568 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.370$ lot of values that are the same.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}15{:}50{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}386$ So these are tide values and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:15:52.386 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.730$ that's exactly what happens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:15:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.615$ With the zeros,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:15:54.615 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.680$ so this could be a problem of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:15:56.749 \rightarrow 00:15:58.217$ applying nonparametric test when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:15:58.217 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.810$ you have a lot of cells where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}16{:}00{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03{.}050$ the gene is not expressed at all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}16{:}03.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.892$ then the other methods are the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- 00:16:05.892 --> 00:16:09.138 as the one used in bulk RNA seek,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00:16:09.140 \rightarrow 00:16:14.242$ so these were the one covered by Everett H.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- 00:16:14.242 --> 00:16:17.737 R&D C2 and they are based on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}16{:}17.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.882$ modeling the gene expression with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118
- $00{:}16{:}19.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.480$ a negative binomial distribution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:16:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.397$ And then you have a lot of methods that

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

00:16:24.397 - 00:16:26.119 were developed for the single cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:16:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.448$ and so instead of the negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:16:28.448 \rightarrow 00:16:30.501$ binomial they used other distributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

00:16:30.501 --> 00:16:32.651 that dealer with the accessor

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:16:32.651 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.432$ of zeros that you have in single

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00:16:35.432 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.692$ cell data set again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

 $00{:}16{:}36{.}692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}052$ So these are the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.982103065294118

00:16:38.052 --> 00:16:39.140 main families that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857805625

 $00:16:39.196 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.212$ will find. There is no clear winner or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857805625

 $00{:}16{:}42.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.844$ approach that is more used than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333

 $00{:}16{:}47.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.518$ Uhm, so finding the marker genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333

 $00:16:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.528$ we said it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333

 $00:16:51.528 \rightarrow 00:16:52.788$ It's very important because uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333

00:16:52.790 --> 00:16:56.286 it's necessary to understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333

 $00:16:56.286 \rightarrow 00:16:58.666$ uh, understand that, uh,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00:16:58.666 \rightarrow 00:17:02.066$ the identity of each uh, set cluster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00:17:02.066 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.724$ And it's a important to label
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00{:}17{:}04.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.280$ cell clusters with the cell types.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00{:}17{:}07{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10{.}409$ So this is a problem that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00{:}17{:}10{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12{.}510$ called the cell type annotation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00{:}17{:}12{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15{.}147$ So the aim is that you want to annotate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00:17:15.147 \rightarrow 00:17:16.998$ cluster with a known cell types.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00:17:17.000 \rightarrow 00:17:18.824$ Depending on the system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00:17:18.824 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.648$ That you are studying.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00:17:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.578$ So you want to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- 00:17:21.578 --> 00:17:22.738 If you're speaking about that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00{:}17{:}22.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.654$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00{:}17{:}23.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.939$ peripheral blood you want to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00{:}17{:}25{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28{.}687$ associate a these clusters with a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333
- $00:17:28.687 \rightarrow 00:17:31.285$ known population of blood cells that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333

 $00:17:31.285 \rightarrow 00:17:34.047$ you find that you expect to find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945627303333333

 $00{:}17{:}34.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.114$ So T cell B cell and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41{.}174$ There are the main approaches are

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:17:41.174 \rightarrow 00:17:43.702$ obviously the manual approach you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}17{:}43.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.326$ at the marker gene and you know which

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:17:46.399 \rightarrow 00:17:49.045$ are the genes that should be highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:17:49.045 \rightarrow 00:17:50.666$ expressed in each cell population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:17:50.666 \rightarrow 00:17:53.060$ so you know which are the B cell markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}17{:}53.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.252$ the T cell markers and you use your

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}17{:}56{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58{.}909$ personal knowledge to annotate the cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}17{:}58{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01{.}862$ This is probably has this has been in

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:01.862 \rightarrow 00:18:04.698$ the past analysis of single cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.228$ The most used method.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:06.228 \rightarrow 00:18:08.983$ And that's why since it's manual is

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}18{:}08{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11{.}342$ based on personal knowledge is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:11.342 \rightarrow 00:18:13.814$ very time consuming because you need

 $00:18:13.814 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.875$ to review all the clusters and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:16.875 \rightarrow 00:18:19.742$ assign annotate each cluster manually

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:19.742 \rightarrow 00:18:22.681$ based on your subjective knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:22.681 \rightarrow 00:18:25.381$ There is a big development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:25.381 \rightarrow 00:18:28.136$ a huge development of automatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:28.136 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.630$ tools to perform this step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:30.630 \rightarrow 00:18:33.540$ So to perform cell type annotation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}18{:}33{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36{.}762$ And these automatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:36.762 \rightarrow 00:18:42.060$ uh procedures AR of can be divided into two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.811$ So there are some procedures that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:44.811 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.188$ based on databases of marker genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.134$ So what they do is that what this

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:18:50.134 \rightarrow 00:18:52.384$ procedure do is that they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

00:18:52.384 --> 00:18:55.228 compare the list of marker genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}18{:}55{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}570$ of each cluster with a database

 $00:18:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.238$ of marker genes that were found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:19:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.731$ Experimentally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

00:19:03.731 --> 00:19:06.677 in a known population of sensor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:19:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.640$ so the comparison is between

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:19:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.600$ different lists of marker gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}19{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.090$ The advantages that you don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:19:13.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.197$ you don't necessarily need another

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}19{:}15{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17{.}815$ single cell data set as a reference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

00:19:17.820 --> 00:19:20.662 You just need a list of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}19{:}20.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.006$ and we will see there are there

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:19:24.006 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.536$ are databases that try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}19{:}26{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28{.}640$ Cover all the marker genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

00:19:28.640 --> 00:19:29.900 for cell populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:19:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.768$ at least in human and mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}19{:}32{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35{.}644$ Another family of approaches require not

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:19:35.644 \rightarrow 00:19:38.798$ only the unknown list of marker gene,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- 00:19:38.800 --> 00:19:44.708 but require a unannotated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- 00:19:44.710 --> 00:19:47.608 Did expect single cell RNA seek experiments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00:19:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.930$ so they they.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00:19:48.930 \rightarrow 00:19:52.010$ They strategy is what is represented here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00:19:52.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.258$ You have a query data set that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- 00:19:54.258 --> 00:19:56.525 your it's your data set where you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00:19:56.525 \rightarrow 00:19:58.555$ have classes but you don't have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00{:}19{:}58.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.844$ labels and then you have a reference
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- 00:20:00.844 --> 00:20:03.344 data set so someone else already
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00:20:03.344 \rightarrow 00:20:06.110$ did perform their analysis of single
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00:20:06.193 \rightarrow 00:20:09.035$ cell and label the clusters of cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00:20:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.337$ So the strategy is to try to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- 00:20:12.337 > 00:20:15.189 identify which of the clusters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00{:}20{:}15.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.782$ Of the query data set are more similar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333
- $00{:}20{:}17.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20.875$ to the reference and and this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:20:20.875 \rightarrow 00:20:22.600$ a problem of classification basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25{.}343$ so they try to classify a data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}20{:}25{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27{.}520$ with unknown labels using a data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:20:27.591 \rightarrow 00:20:30.048$ set of single cell with known labels

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:20:30.048 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.427$ and those Indies are in this family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

00:20:32.430 --> 00:20:35.750 Obviously you have many possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

00:20:35.750 --> 00:20:37.950 math methods to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:20:37.950 \rightarrow 00:20:41.212$ some some of the methods are based

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:20:41.212 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.080$ on correlation. Try to calculate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

00:20:45.080 --> 00:20:47.430 The similarity through correlation measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:20:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.552$ Other approaches try to use a supervised

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}20{:}50{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}729$ classification and methods that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:20:52.729 \rightarrow 00:20:55.027$ commonly used in in machine learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:20:55.030 \rightarrow 00:20:57.950$ So this is one of the field where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}20{:}57{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}774$ like speaking about 2021 there are there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:21:02.780 \rightarrow 00:21:05.482$ Very huge developments and a lot of

 $00{:}21{:}05{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08{.}571$ tools that are published or in either

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

00:21:08.571 --> 00:21:12.808 Inbox Ivorian on journals right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:21:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.242$ And there is this metaphor that once we

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00:21:15.242 \rightarrow 00:21:19.530$ have a lot of once, we have a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938228128833333

 $00{:}21{:}19.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.430$ Uh, datasets that are annotated uh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

 $00:21:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.265$ These Tasker will become a

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

 $00:21:25.265 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.470$ such as that will become like

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

00:21:27.470 --> 00:21:31.450 mapping reads to unknown genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

 $00:21:31.450 \rightarrow 00:21:33.598$ So performing a single cell analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

 $00{:}21{:}33{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36{.}089$ within a new data set will become

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

 $00:21:36.089 \rightarrow 00:21:38.406$ as simple as that because you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

 $00{:}21{:}38{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40{.}386$ a lot of reference populations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

 $00{:}21{:}40{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42{.}839$ so it will be easier to annotate

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

00:21:42.839 --> 00:21:45.590 your cell once you have a collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.979088534545455

 $00:21:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.890$ of references that is reliable.

00:21:57.530 --> 00:22:00.659 These are two resources to databases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}104$ collect cell type annotation markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00:22:03.104 \rightarrow 00:22:07.724$ and so this can be used to compare the NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

00:22:07.724 --> 00:22:10.299 markers identifying your cluster with

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}10{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13{.}927$ a known collection of markers that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}13{.}927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16{.}842$ identified based on a single cell data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00:22:16.842 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.806$ There is this sort of so if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00:22:19.806 \rightarrow 00:22:22.208$ look at flow cytometry, the. The.

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}22{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25{.}480$ The best markers are considered to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}25{.}561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29{.}005$ proteins that are expressed on the surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}29{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32{.}160$ The problem is that the transcripts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}32{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35{.}064$ these proteins of surface markers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00:22:35.064 \rightarrow 00:22:38.494$ not always among the top expressed genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}38{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}054$ and so they may be subjected to dropout

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00{:}22{:}42.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.980$ events and so the best collection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00:22:45.055 \rightarrow 00:22:47.989$ cell markers for a transcriptomic study.

 $00:22:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.461$ So based on gene expression is different

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

 $00:22:50.461 \rightarrow 00:22:54.218$ from the best collection of markers based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.979912503333333

00:22:54.218 --> 00:22:56.090 Some surface proteins?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

 $00:22:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.045$ And, uh, these two databases

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

 $00{:}23{:}01{.}045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02{.}969$ collected single cell signatures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

 $00:23:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.650$ single cell markers in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

00:23:05.650 --> 00:23:07.794 samples and tissues mainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

 $00:23:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.340$ or human and mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

 $00{:}23{:}11{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13{.}937$ So also looking at at comparing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

 $00{:}23{:}13{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17{.}025$ two species is important to know which

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

 $00:23:17.025 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.400$ markers are conserved across species

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627771111111

 $00{:}23{:}19{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22{.}249$ and which one are species specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}112$ Then the last step for two days

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}23{:}31{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33{.}808$ is the trajectory analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}931$ So why is clustering tries to divide

 $00:23:37.931 \rightarrow 00:23:41.430$ the cells into discrete clusters?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:23:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.495$ The idea of trajectory analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

00:23:43.495 - 00:23:46.540 is that you are not monitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:23:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.884$ You are not capturing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:23:48.884 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.228$ sales in discrete states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:23:51.230 \rightarrow 00:23:54.107$ but you're capturing a sort of continuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

00:23:54.110 --> 00:23:57.146 Processor for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

00:23:57.146 --> 00:24:00.294 differentiation, uh for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

00:24:00.294 --> 00:24:01.418 yes differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}24{:}01{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}141$ So these kind of methods try to place

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}24{:}05{.}141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}508$ sells a longer a continuous path that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}24{:}08.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.457$ represents the evolution of a process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:24:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.540$ This could be differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}24{:}12{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15{.}830$ but for example, if you imagine a another

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:24:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.000$ simple example is the cell cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

00:24:19.000 --> 00:24:20.935 And so instead of dividing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- 00:24:20.935 --> 00:24:22.483 cells into separate cluster,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00{:}24{:}22{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}170$ you try to construct a sort of trajectory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00:24:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.527$ that models this progression through a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00:24:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.780$ for example, differentiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- 00:24:31.780 --> 00:24:35.260 And, uh, uh, this sort of, UM,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- 00:24:35.260 --> 00:24:36.784 tools, trajectory, inference,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00{:}24{:}36{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}340$ analysis are also named sometimes like a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00:24:40.412 \rightarrow 00:24:43.166$ term desktop sealed the time analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00{:}24{:}43.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.579$ because still the timer is a basically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00{:}24{:}46{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49{.}800$ a measure is an abstract measure of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00:24:49.800 \rightarrow 00:24:52.199$ the progression through the process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00{:}24{:}52{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}769$ So from when the program when the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00{:}24{:}54{.}769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}098$ process starts to where it ends.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00{:}24{:}58{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00{.}115$ So the important assumption is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667
- $00:25:00.115 \rightarrow 00:25:02.652$ that in order to perform trajectory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:25:02.652 \rightarrow 00:25:05.292$ analysis is that we are capturing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}25{:}05{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}940$ with our single cell experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10{.}478$ All the snapshot of the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:25:10.478 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.487$ that we want to model and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}25{:}13.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.121$ means that we are capturing also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}25{:}16{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19{.}227$ the intermediates because all the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:25:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.946$ The analysis it spins on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00:25:20.946 \rightarrow 00:25:22.809$ assumption that we have a continuum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}25{:}22{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23{.}452$ not discreet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}25{:}23.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.020$ and so we need to have some to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}25{:}26.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.706$ capture some cells that represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

00:25:28.706 - 00:25:30.269 the transition between,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786136166666667

 $00{:}25{:}30{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}998$ for example two differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}25{:}34{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}142$ Haynes so the assumption is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:25:38.142 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.957$ that we're capturing all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:25:39.957 \rightarrow 00:25:41.870$ snapshots and we don't have holes,

 $00{:}25{:}41.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43.798$ and so we have a lot of intermediates

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}25{:}43.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.568$ and the warning is that, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:25:45.568 \rightarrow 00:25:48.144$ any data set, so these tools will

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:25:48.144 \rightarrow 00:25:50.565$ will will capture a trajectory for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

00:25:50.565 - 00:25:53.750 each data set that you use as input.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}417$ But this doesn't mean that the trajectory

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}25{:}56{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}498$ that you find has any biological meaning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:25:59.500 \rightarrow 00:26:01.880$ So the common approach to do these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}26{:}01{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04{.}538$ are there are a lot of methods also

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}26{:}04.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.170$ for these common and simply to explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}26{:}08{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11{.}570$ approach is are represented here so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}26{:}11.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.666$ These are is a PCA plot and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

00:26:14.666 --> 00:26:17.330 instead of only two dimensions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:26:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.350$ you see the three dimensions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:26:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.694$ so PC1PC20 PC three.

- $00:26:21.694 \rightarrow 00:26:24.624$ Each dot is a cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00:26:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.360$ What you do is you first perform
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00{:}26{:}27.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.936$ a clustering of cells with K
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00:26:29.936 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.226$ means with graph based approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00{:}26{:}32{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35{.}263$ So this depends on the on the tool and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00{:}26{:}35{.}263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38{.}406$ so identify these clusters of cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- 00:26:38.410 --> 00:26:41.798 Inside your population now if you remember,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00{:}26{:}41.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.272$ each cluster can be associated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- 00:26:44.272 --> 00:26:46.825 a centroid where the centroid is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00:26:46.825 \rightarrow 00:26:49.309$ the central point of the cluster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00:26:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.462$ So it it is in the position in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00{:}26{:}51{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53{.}645$ the mean position with respect to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00{:}26{:}53.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.003$ the elements of all the cluster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00{:}26{:}56{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}734$ And these dots here represent the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00:26:58.734 \rightarrow 00:27:02.199$ centroids of the cluster that you identified.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00:27:02.200 \rightarrow 00:27:05.300$ Now what you do is you try to build a tree.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00{:}27{:}05{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07{.}365$ That connects these centroid and

 $00:27:07.365 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.430$ those who build these three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.130$ There are many strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.255$ One of the most simple is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:14.255 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.705$ build the minimum spanning trees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.132$ So you're trying to connect all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:19.132 \rightarrow 00:27:22.356$ points in a way that minimizes the length.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.736$ The total length of the branch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.366$ The branches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

00:27:25.366 --> 00:27:27.870 so if you have a set of points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.075$ you can find a solution with a tree

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}27{:}32.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.630$ that minimizes the length of the sum

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:34.715 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.228$ of all the branches of your tree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}670$ and this is called the minimum spanning tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}27{:}39.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.491$ So the assumption is that the minimum

00:27:42.491 --> 00:27:45.554 spanning tree is the correct tree that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:45.554 \rightarrow 00:27:49.058$ models the trajectory in this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:49.060 \rightarrow 00:27:51.069$ and this is not always the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}27{:}51.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.318$ so a warning is that it's not always

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}27{:}53.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.188$ the minimum. Spanning tree is not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57{.}751$ Always the best solution and, uh, uhm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

00:27:57.751 --> 00:27:59.419 Once you do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:27:59.420 \rightarrow 00:28:02.759$ you have your trip and some tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}02{.}759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06{.}212$ like try to assign a route to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}06{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}378$ this tree or or they may give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11{.}633$ also the possibility to select the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}11.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.449$ root so the user can say that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}14.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.409$ is the root of the tree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}16{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18{.}582$ because you know that these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}18{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20{.}823$ for example are most similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:28:20.823 \rightarrow 00:28:22.983$ what you expect from stem cells,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673
- $00:28:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.020$ and so once you uh,

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}556$ once you define the root of the tree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}27{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}637$ then you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:28:28.637 \rightarrow 00:28:31.150$ Define and smooth your tree and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}31{.}231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33{.}849$ can calculate the time for each cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00:28:33.849 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.884$ where the time of the cell will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}088$ the distance from the position of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42{.}032$ the cell to the root of your tree

NOTE Confidence: 0.97169673

 $00{:}28{:}42.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44.487$ following the topology of the tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946273925714286

 $00:28:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.845$ Is this a more or less clear?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

00:28:54.190 --> 00:28:57.241 Yes to me. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:28:57.241 \rightarrow 00:29:00.427$ so many methods used this approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.430$ so first step dimensional reduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

00:29:02.430 --> 00:29:04.955 then clustering, then the construction

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00{:}29{:}04{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08{.}763$ of a of a tree that at the beginning

 $00:29:08.763 \rightarrow 00:29:12.317$ the tree was built on the single cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00{:}29{:}12{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13{.}868$ but that's unstable and so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00{:}29{:}13.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.734$ there was a switch from the single

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00{:}29{:}15.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.282$ cell to the to the centroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.008$ Because the center is more stable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.014$ uh, and so if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:22.014 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.350$ deviations of the position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:23.350 \rightarrow 00:29:25.884$ the overall tree will remain the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00{:}29{:}25{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26{.}954$ so it's more that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

00:29:26.954 --> 00:29:28.738 That's why it's more stable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

00:29:28.738 --> 00:29:31.874 then depending on the choice of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00{:}29{:}31{.}874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33{.}180$ dimensionality reduction approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.852$ and on the tree that you build it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:35.860 \rightarrow 00:29:38.310$ you have different outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.721$ different trajectories and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079

 $00:29:40.721 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.266$ from a paper from from a paper that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98396079
- $00{:}29{:}44.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.640$ two years ago was trying to compare a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00:29:49.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.380$ 12345678 different methods to perform basa.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00{:}29{:}53{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57{.}730$ So dimensional reduction and also.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667
- $00:29:57.730 \rightarrow 00:30:00.654$ Trajectory inference so you see, for example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667
- $00:30:00.654 \rightarrow 00:30:04.100$ So each row here is a different data set,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00:30:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.068$ so you have five data set from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667
- $00:30:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.690$ The simplest are because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00:30:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.882$ it has the smallest size,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00:30:10.882 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.284$ so this is the number of cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667
- $00:30:14.290 \rightarrow 00:30:17.346$ And here you have an example where you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667
- $00:30:17.346 \rightarrow 00:30:20.479$ have almost a quarter of million of cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00{:}30{:}20{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21{.}890$ And with the same data set,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00{:}30{:}21.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.122$ you try to capture the data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00{:}30{:}24.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.610$ set with different approaches.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667
- $00{:}30{:}25.610$ --> $00{:}30{:}27.806$ So this is principal component analysis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

00:30:27.810 --> 00:30:29.286 With this disease Disney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:30:29.286 \rightarrow 00:30:31.908$ this is the human approach and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

 $00:30:31.908 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.302$ others as methods like mono that tries NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:30:34.302 \rightarrow 00:30:36.970$ also to perform trajectory inference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:30:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.466$ And you see how much the same data

NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

 $00:30:40.466 \rightarrow 00:30:43.440$ set is represented in different ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:30:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.720$ depending on the method that you use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:30:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.533$ And what you see here is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

 $00{:}30{:}48{.}533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50{.}518$ that when the data set is bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:30:50.520 \dashrightarrow 00:30:53.962$ some of the methods do not even finish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:30:53.962 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.076$ So because the time is too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

00:30:56.080 - 00:30:59.206 and so you're not sure that some, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00{:}30{:}59{.}206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02{.}122$ iterative methods that require a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

00:31:02.122 --> 00:31:05.260 of steps converge because you finish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

 $00:31:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.914$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:31:05.914 \rightarrow 00:31:08.203$ the memory or the available time before

 $00:31:08.203 \rightarrow 00:31:10.840$ they complete the necessary number of steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

 $00:31:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.130$ And that's what you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

 $00:31:12.130 \rightarrow 00:31:14.878$ But the point here is that, as usual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:31:14.878 \rightarrow 00:31:17.248$ uh, depending on the choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00{:}31{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.330$ Of the tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956023311666667

 $00{:}31{:}18{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20{.}130$ You have a different representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560233116666667

 $00:31:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.580$ of the same data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914453670833333

 $00{:}31{:}23.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.724$ And there is no clear way a automatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.914453670833333

 $00:31:26.724 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.630$ way to understand which is better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}31{:}32{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34{.}405$ These also are some guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:31:34.405 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.075$ for selecting a like your best

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}31{:}37.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.484$ trajectory analysis tools based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}31{:}39{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42{.}733$ Also on the fact that some tools, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}31{:}42.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.598$ make assumptions on the trajectory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}31{:}45{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49{.}362$ So some tools for example are only trying to

 $00:31:49.370 \rightarrow 00:31:52.660$ model linear trajectories without branches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}31{:}52.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.308$ Some tools allow branches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:31:55.308 --> 00:31:57.294 but only bifurcation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:31:57.300 - 00:31:59.400 so you can only have two choices

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01{.}089$ when you have a decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}32{:}01{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02{.}730$ Some tools allow also the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:32:02.730 --> 00:32:06.430 Multiplication so from one, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:32:06.430 \rightarrow 00:32:08.936$ let's say from one crossroads that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:32:08.936 --> 00:32:10.682 have multiple roads, possible roads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}32{:}10.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.257$ and some of the tools also allow you to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}32{:}14.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.723$ cycles inside the inside your trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:32:16.730 - 00:32:19.980 So depending on your assumptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:32:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.950$ uh, these are different families

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:32:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.450$ of methods that you can use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:32:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.806$ And that available online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:32:27.806 \rightarrow 00:32:31.133$ So this is all based on again a review

- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- 00:32:31.133 --> 00:32:33.168 of a trajectory analysis choices.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:32:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.112$ It's quite recent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:32:34.112 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.368$ So two years ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:32:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.034$ so it it it captured for sure it contains
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:32:38.034 \rightarrow 00:32:40.550$ for sure the most popular methods.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}32{:}40{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44{.}350$ That are also used now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:32:44.350 \rightarrow 00:32:47.563$ As so, uh, one exception that is not here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:32:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.898$ and it's quite a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}32{:}48.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.820$ It's a method that had a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:32:51.820 \rightarrow 00:32:54.370$ popularity and it's has some unique.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- 00:32:54.370 --> 00:32:57.250 It offers some unique insight,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}32{:}57{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02{.}104$ is called the air nevelocity and this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:33:02.104 \dashrightarrow 00:33:04.910$ the last thing I will speak about today,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}33{:}04{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08{.}830$ so the paper was published three years ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}33{:}08{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12{.}514$ and so it's a method that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:12.514 \rightarrow 00:33:14.970$ analyzes a single seller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}33{:}14{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18{.}970$ Using a biological insight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.090$ uh, that concerns splicing so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:33:23.090 --> 00:33:23.498 Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}33{:}23{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26{.}354$ You know that in human and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}33{:}26{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28{.}589$ Elkhart excels there is the uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:28.590 \rightarrow 00:33:30.250$ when the RNA is transcribed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.520$ it has to be processed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:31.520 \rightarrow 00:33:33.728$ One of the main steps of the processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:33.728 \rightarrow 00:33:36.496$ is is a splicing that removes the intern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:33:36.500 --> 00:33:38.680 The entrance from your gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.105$ and once the genie splice

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:40.105 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.770$ them is exported and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:33:41.770 --> 00:33:43.816 So the basic principle of RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:33:43.816 \rightarrow 00:33:46.126$ velocity is that when you perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

00:33:46.126 --> 00:33:47.866 single cell araneae seeker,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}33{:}47.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.390$ you have some reeds that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}33{:}50{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52{.}548$ captured from unspliced RNA and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:33:52.548 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.548$ some reads the tag captured.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:33:54.550 \rightarrow 00:33:55.672$ From splicer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}33{:}55{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}599$ RNA and the you can distinguish between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}33{:}59{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}388$ unspliced reads and spliced reads.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:34:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.148$ When you align the reader to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:34:05.148 \rightarrow 00:34:07.455$ to your reference genome because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- 00:34:07.455 --> 00:34:10.050 spliced reads will not contain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- 00:34:10.050 --> 00:34:11.886 will not contain introns.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:34:11.886 \rightarrow 00:34:13.722$ Basically while unspliced reads
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00:34:13.722 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.765$ that will contain partially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- 00:34:15.765 00:34:17.865 or totally intron sequences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}34{:}17{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20{.}299$ So you can divide the reeds into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857
- $00{:}34{:}20{.}299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22{.}600$ splice and and splice them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:34:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.880$ So that's the basic assumption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}34{:}24{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29{.}347$ And the second assumption is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}34{:}29{.}347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31{.}426$ can calculate the ratio for each gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:34:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.670$ The ratio between unspliced

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}34{:}33{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35{.}350$ and spliced reads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}34{:}35{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}886$ And the assumption is that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:34:38.886 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.239$ have a lot of unspliced reads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:34:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.432$ that means that the gene has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:34:44.432 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.860$ high transcription at the moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}34{:}46.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49.996$ and so it means that in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:34:49.996 \dashrightarrow 00:34:53.069$ probably that gene will be more abundant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}34{:}53.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.670$ In the splice the state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:34:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.310$ because if you are capturing a cell at

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:34:57.310 \rightarrow 00:34:59.755$ time zero in the and you're capturing

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00{:}34{:}59{.}755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02{.}510$ a lot of unspliced RNA in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:35:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.373$ that RNA will be spliced and so

 $00:35:05.373 \dashrightarrow 00:35:07.890$ they spliced RNA will increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:35:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.988$ On the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917559690142857

 $00:35:08.988 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.550$ if you have a lot of spliced

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:11.634 \rightarrow 00:35:14.968$ RNA, you can predict that and not an slicer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.721$ Any you can predict the depth transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:17.721 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.791$ at the moment is not shut off and

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:20.791 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.570$ displays there in a in the future will

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:24.570 \rightarrow 00:35:27.576$ will be reduced because of degradation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}35{:}27{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29{.}974$ So based on the ratio between unspliced

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:29.974 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.156$ and spliced that if you have a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.030$ proportion of a slice of unspliced St,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36{.}596$ you predict that in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:36.596 \dashrightarrow 00:35:38.540$ the gene will be more expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}35{:}38{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}048$ If you have no unspliced reads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.864$ you predict that adage in will

 $00:35:43.864 \rightarrow 00:35:46.849$ be less expressed in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

00:35:46.850 --> 00:35:49.109 And so if you're measuring, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

00:35:49.109 - 00:35:52.742 the present state of the cell using

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:52.742 \rightarrow 00:35:55.176$ this concept, you can predict where

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:35:55.176 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.900$ the cell will be in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}35{:}57{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}413$ and so you can infer the position

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:00.413 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.688$ of the cell in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}02.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.246$ and you can connect the observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:05.246 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.410$ cell with the predicted cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}07{.}410$ --> $00{:}36{:}09{.}384$ and that's the principle of RNA velocity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}09{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12{.}102$ So the plots that you see with RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:12.102 \rightarrow 00:36:15.258$ velocity are these, where each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

00:36:15.258 --> 00:36:16.935 He's a, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}16{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19{.}855$ connect is linked to an arrow and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:19.855 \rightarrow 00:36:22.164$ arrow basically connects the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:22.164 \rightarrow 00:36:25.376$ with the prediction of where the cell

 $00:36:25.376 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.064$ will be in the future based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:28.070 \dashrightarrow 00:36:30.650$ rates of unspliced versus spliced

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

00:36:30.650 - 00:36:34.200 genes that you capture in this cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:34.200 \rightarrow 00:36:36.573$ The advantage of these so these can

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}36{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39{.}447$ be seen and also as a trajectory

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:39.447 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.337$ prediction tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.852$ because once you see the map of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}42.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.254$ the errors you can visually see where

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}45{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47{.}792$ the cells are going and you can and

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:47.792 \rightarrow 00:36:49.766$ you can sort of infer traject trajectory

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:49.766 \rightarrow 00:36:52.176$ and the advantage of this method is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54{.}160$ for example you don't have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}54{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56{.}440$ select that that you know from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00{:}36{:}56{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58{.}410$ arrows where there is the root.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:36:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.332$ So these arrows have a directionality

 $00:37:01.332 \rightarrow 00:37:04.210$ so differently from these approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

00:37:04.210 --> 00:37:05.518 Yeah, you can link.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

00:37:05.518 --> 00:37:08.352 You can not only have a trajectory but

NOTE Confidence: 0.973415957272727

 $00:37:08.352 \rightarrow 00:37:11.808$ also have a trajectory with a direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00{:}37{:}13.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.634$ The problems are that arises obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00{:}37{:}16{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20{.}384$ that all of this is based on the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00:37:20.384 \rightarrow 00:37:23.720$ that you capture a lot of unspliced RNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00:37:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.430$ and this could be problematic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00{:}37{:}25{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27{.}194$ especially with certain libraries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00{:}37{:}27{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30{.}018$ For example, we have seen that three prime

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00{:}37{:}30.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.725$ and enrich or 5:00 PM and then reached

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00:37:32.725 \dashrightarrow 00:37:35.220$ libraries do not cover all the genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00{:}37{:}35{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}257$ the gene, and so you can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00:37:37.257 \dashrightarrow 00:37:39.458$ some biases in the original paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00:37:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.345$ They show that this method

NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537

 $00{:}37{:}41{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43{.}696$ works also with the 10X data.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537
- $00:37:43.696 \rightarrow 00:37:45.786$ That are free prime based,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537
- $00:37:45.790 \dashrightarrow 00:37:49.750$ but at least for the data set that they show.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537
- $00:37:49.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.114$ And this is part of their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537
- $00:37:52.114 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.296$ daily original paper.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537
- $00{:}37{:}53{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55{.}515$ And always say this cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92163537
- $00:37:55.515 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.730$ are visualized in a hour.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- $00:37:59.870 \rightarrow 00:38:01.582$ Multi dimensional reduction space.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- $00:38:01.582 \rightarrow 00:38:05.010$ For example, here you see again principal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- $00:38:05.010 \dashrightarrow 00:38:07.820$ component want and principal component 2.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- 00:38:07.820 --> 00:38:10.670 Come I have two questions, yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- 00:38:10.670 --> 00:38:14.905 Uhm, how would a for example splicing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- 00:38:14.905 --> 00:38:17.503 gene mutation would affect this?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- $00:38:17.503 \dashrightarrow 00:38:20.910$ Hey you guys, your lab does do that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- $00{:}38{:}20{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22{.}830$ Yeah, this is a interesting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333
- 00:38:22.830 --> 00:38:27.116 I don't recall that anybody, uh?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:38:27.116 --> 00:38:29.380 This is interesting, obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:38:29.380 --> 00:38:33.628 because you expect a mutation in a splice

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:38:33.628 \rightarrow 00:38:37.798$ factor to deviator like the trajectory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:38:37.798 --> 00:38:41.312 I don't know if anybody did this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:38:41.312 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.119$ Uh, I have to say it didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}38{:}44{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47{.}168$ run like an exhaustive search,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:38:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.090$ so it may be that in by oxide there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}38{:}49{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51{.}070$ something about this but not not them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:38:51.070 --> 00:38:53.538 I I'm aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:38:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.284$ OK, so the other question is how many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:38:57.290 --> 00:38:58.538 roughly in proportion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:38:58.538 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.842$ how many genes can be seen or can

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:01.842 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.649$ be used to to project these maps?

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:39:04.650 --> 00:39:07.074 'cause I'm imagining only the highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:07.074 \rightarrow 00:39:09.136$ highly expressed genes that normally

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:39:09.136 - > 00:39:11.410 contain introns can be used here,

 $00:39:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.966$ which shouldn't be that many right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.470$ Yeah, yes, so that's true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:15.470 \rightarrow 00:39:17.526$ So here again in the paper they compare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.690$ uh, so this is mark two that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}39{:}19.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.837$ that this is the technology that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:21.837 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.258$ idea because you have a lot of reads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.476$ For each cell and you have the full

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:26.476 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.300$ coverage and they capture 22% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:29.300 \dashrightarrow 00:39:32.820$ a set of reeds that are unspliced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:32.820 \dashrightarrow 00:39:36.960$ This is with the chromium, so this is 10XL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}39{:}36{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37{.}780$ So yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}39{:}37{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40{.}300$ So it seems that here the ratio is the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:40.300 \dashrightarrow 00:39:42.892$ but obviously they will be mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}39{:}42.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.934$ the last team Trump and so yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}39{:}45{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49{.}000$ so the fact that you capture more,

 $00:39:49.000 \rightarrow 00:39:51.502$ uh, a selection of genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}39{:}51{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52{.}753$ are highly expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.610$ That's the underlying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.140$ Bias of all the analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.670$ in at the single cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:39:58.670 \dashrightarrow 00:40:02.079$ and I assume that this is amplified

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:40:02.079 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.860$ in this sort of analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:40:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.700$ So, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:40:05.700 --> 00:40:09.060 but I I cannot give you a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:40:09.060 --> 00:40:12.828 of jeans because I I never used it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

00:40:12.830 --> 00:40:15.610 And so I don't have a in

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00:40:15.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.410$ hand experience with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986790723333333

 $00{:}40{:}18.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.700$ K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00{:}40{:}21.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.188$ But yes, for sure limitation is on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00:40:23.188 \rightarrow 00:40:26.790$ number of genes and on the number of, uh?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00:40:26.790 \rightarrow 00:40:30.380$ Yeah, and the hot on the also on the length

 $00:40:30.467 \rightarrow 00:40:33.851$ of the re read and how much coverage you

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00:40:33.851 \rightarrow 00:40:37.070$ have from the Poly A tail for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

00:40:37.070 --> 00:40:38.500 I think that shorter jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00:40:38.500 \rightarrow 00:40:40.540$ for example, jeans with few axons

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00:40:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.730$ and few interns that are shorter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00:40:42.730 \rightarrow 00:40:46.874$ will be also like a. More captured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00:40:46.874 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.968$ more more present in this analysis than

NOTE Confidence: 0.984464456875

 $00:40:49.968 \rightarrow 00:40:52.900$ than long jeans with long introns. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

00:40:54.910 --> 00:40:57.955 Uhm, OK, my last slide is about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:40:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.965$ uh, this collection of resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

00:40:59.965 --> 00:41:02.550 about single cell C can alesys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00{:}41{:}02.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.726$ so the website is called the single seller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

00:41:04.730 --> 00:41:09.414 Any tools? And, uh, uh, so this is, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00{:}41{:}09{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11{.}693$ uh, like the trend of the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00{:}41{:}11.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13.989$ tools that you can find that in these,

- 00:41:13.990 --> 00:41:14.800 uh, collection?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.040$ So right now they are over 1000 of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:18.129 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.764$ computational tools for the analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:20.764 \rightarrow 00:41:23.949$ of single cell, an Ernie silica.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:23.949 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.620$ And here you see the stats on the platform.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}41{:}28.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31.245$ So on the languages that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:31.245 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.120$ mainly used by these tools,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.115$ so most of them right now are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- 00:41:35.115 --> 00:41:36.760 in our but obviously.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- 00:41:36.760 --> 00:41:39.100 Almost every every tool is either
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.660$ in R or Python.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:40.660 \rightarrow 00:41:43.000$ Uhm, then you have C++.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:43.000 \rightarrow 00:41:45.260$ Probably these are covers some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.520$ tools that are at being.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.830$ That that needs to be performed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:41:49.830 \rightarrow 00:41:52.200$ A complicated with efficiency from

- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- 00:41:52.200 --> 00:41:54.570 the computational point of view,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}41{:}54{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57{.}559$ and then you have some tools with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}41{:}57{.}559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}906$ Mark Lab and others and hear what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:42:00.906 \rightarrow 00:42:04.603$ you see is a divide these resources
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:42:04.603 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.308$ in categories depending on so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:42:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.592$ Some tools cover the full pipeline
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:42:10.592 \rightarrow 00:42:13.791$ from at least from the digital gene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:42:13.791 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.813$ expression from once you have the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:42:15.813 \rightarrow 00:42:18.047$ gene expression to all these steps.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:42:18.050 \rightarrow 00:42:20.048$ Jenna Alesys so they mention reduction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:42:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.538$ clustering and so on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}42{:}21.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.770$ and some tools are more specific.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}42{:}23.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.194$ So if you look at the frequency you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}42{:}26.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}29.166$ have most of the tools there are about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}42{:}29{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31{.}110$ visualization of single cell data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:42:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.162 40\%$ are about visualization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:42:33.162 \rightarrow 00:42:36.240$ Then second position you have clustering

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:42:36.314 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.468$ and dimensionality reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

00:42:38.470 --> 00:42:39.830 I didn't speak about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00{:}42{:}39{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42{.}742$ but it's also very important if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00{:}42{:}42{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44{.}760$ integration of different data sets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:42:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.755$ So this means the integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

00:42:46.755 --> 00:42:48.750 of different single cell RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

00:42:48.750 --> 00:42:49.183 Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

00:42:49.183 --> 00:42:51.348 very much and also integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00{:}42{:}51{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}647$ of multiple modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:42:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.479$ So for example one yeah there

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:42:55.479 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.342$ are a lot of techniques now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:42:58.342 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.584$ enables to capture for example

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00{:}43{:}00{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03{.}254$ the RNA levels and also the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429

 $00:43:03.260 \rightarrow 00:43:05.615$ Some chromatin, uh,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- 00:43:05.615 --> 00:43:09.156 open versus closed state uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:43:09.156 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.740$ in the same cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:43:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.506$ and so there are about how to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:43:14.506 \rightarrow 00:43:17.390$ integrate these multiple sources of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}43{:}17{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19{.}930$ information and multiple datasets.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00{:}43{:}19{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21{.}650$ And then you have over there engine actors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- 00:43:21.650 00:43:22.410 differential expressions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:43:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.450$ so a lot of topics that we that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966466048571429
- $00:43:25.519 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.517$ we covered them in these last.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091
- 00:43:30.240 --> 00:43:33.950 Session so if you go and if you look at uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091
- $00:43:33.950 \rightarrow 00:43:35.100$ you, you find the tool.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091
- $00{:}43{:}35{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38{.}780$ Set the platform a platform,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091
- $00:43:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.260$ then the number of citations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091
- 00:43:40.260 --> 00:43:41.760 For example, you can see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091
- $00:43:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.260$ which tools are more popular.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45{.}396$ We we we respect to others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091

00:43:45.400 --> 00:43:47.670 If I have to make a choice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091

 $00{:}43{:}47.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.020$ the advantage that is quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.907150720909091

 $00{:}43{:}51{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53{.}610$ comprehensive and updated weekly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908934

 $00{:}44{:}00{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01{.}330$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95894715

 $00:44:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.000$ These eyes.