WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:43:23.7970000"

NOTE recognizability:0.951

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.979803799999999

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:02.304$ Because now the the main focus of today

NOTE Confidence: 0.979803799999999

 $00:00:02.304 \rightarrow 00:00:04.661$ will be the analysis and interpretation

NOTE Confidence: 0.979803799999999

 $00:00:04.661 \dashrightarrow 00:00:06.806$ of single cell sequencing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.979803799999999

 $00:00:06.810 \rightarrow 00:00:08.838$ So we won't cover everything today

NOTE Confidence: 0.979803799999999

 $00:00:08.838 \dashrightarrow 00:00:11.777$ and so it will take at least another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:14.070 \rightarrow 00:00:16.100$ Another meeting for covering everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.120$ But today we covered the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.736$ introduction on the methodologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:19.740 \rightarrow 00:00:21.770$ some technical and experimental issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}00{:}21.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.158$ and some issues also with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:24.158 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.629$ with the analysis of this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}00{:}26.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.072$ So single cell analysis as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:29.072 \rightarrow 00:00:31.489$ definition is the study of omics.

 $00:00:31.490 \rightarrow 00:00:34.318$ At least that's what we're speaking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.372$ Today is the study of omics so

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

00:00:37.372 --> 00:00:38.680 genomics transcriptomics proteomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:38.748 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.398$ at the single cell level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.388$ So the advantage is that these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}00{:}43.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.282$ Family of methods allowed to capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}00{:}46.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.282$ a cellular diversity of tissues with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:49.282 \rightarrow 00:00:52.234$ the with the single cell resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}00{:}52.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.345$ Uh, so they feel there is a bursting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:55.345 \rightarrow 00:00:58.844$ like exploding with a lot with a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:00:58.844 \rightarrow 00:01:01.969$ of novel experimental techniques every year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.080$ But there are also many

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

00:01:04.080 --> 00:01:04.924 computational challenges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.121$ so these methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}01{:}06{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}503$ the single cell methods require the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:08.503 \rightarrow 00:01:10.430$ development of appropriate analysis.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234
- $00:01:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.180$ And so we will see that.

00:01:14.180 --> 00:01:16.490 Common workflows are an employee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

00:01:16.490 --> 00:01:17.460 some generic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:17.460 \rightarrow 00:01:19.885$ For example clustering analysis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

00:01:19.885 --> 00:01:22.938 June spoke about in our first meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:22.940 \dashrightarrow 00:01:26.167$ Some of the methods for the normalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.208$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:27.208 \rightarrow 00:01:30.841$ or for the calculation of differential gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}01{:}30{.}841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33{.}997$ expression are taken from the bulk RNA seek,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:34.000 \rightarrow 00:01:37.688$ but it is not always the best choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:37.690 \dashrightarrow 00:01:40.917$ And since the field is rapidly moving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}01{:}40{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44{.}728$ there is no gold standard I would say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}01{:}44.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.010$ In any step of the analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}01{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.050$ so you will find a lot of methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.634$ a lot of applications.

 $00{:}01{:}51{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54{.}010$ You can find the literature compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}01{:}54.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.127$ for each step of the analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

00:01:56.130 --> 00:01:56.958 alternative approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}01{:}56{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59{.}856$ but there is no like gold reference

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:01:59.856 \longrightarrow 00:02:02:02.206$ that you that that you can choose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:02:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.984$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:02:02.984 \dashrightarrow 00:02:06.080$ there is a sort of called pipelines in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00:02:06.162 \dashrightarrow 00:02:09.050$ the bulk RNA seek and the single cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96613234

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.570$ It's not so established.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.624$ This is a comparison of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:14.624 \dashrightarrow 00:02:16.290$ method single cell versus bulk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

00:02:16.290 --> 00:02:18.918 so in the back analysis you take a tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

00:02:18.918 --> 00:02:21.436 or population of cells and you extract

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}02{:}21.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.896$ DNA from the whole population so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:23.896 \rightarrow 00:02:28.680$ you mix up the RNA content in the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:28.680 \rightarrow 00:02:31.414$ Yeah, in the same container, let's say,

 $00:02:31.414 \rightarrow 00:02:34.053$ and then when you prepare the library

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}02{:}34.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.100$ and you sequence DNA from the whole from

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:37.100 \rightarrow 00:02:39.739$ from the whole population of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:39.740 \rightarrow 00:02:42.971$ This means that you get for each library from

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}02{:}42.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.055$ each collection of cells from each tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.440$ Only one measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:47.440 \rightarrow 00:02:50.200$ And this measurement of genes represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.786$ the average expression of these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:52.786 \dashrightarrow 00:02:55.749$ across all the details of your tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

00:02:55.750 --> 00:02:58.431 So obviously you cannot use the back

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:02:58.431 \dashrightarrow 00:03:01.080$ command code if you want, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}01{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04{.}120$ to see this cellular it originality in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:04.191 \rightarrow 00:03:07.175$ tissue with a single cell analysis you yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}07{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09{.}861$ you first perform a a step that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:09.861 \rightarrow 00:03:12.130$ is the isolation of the cells.

 $00:03:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.416$ So this is kind of tricky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:14.420 \rightarrow 00:03:16.784$ especially in solid tissues because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:16.784 \rightarrow 00:03:18.990$ need to mechanically separated each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.657$ It's easier with the liquid that issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.352$ It's easiest, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}23.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.890$ when you consider the analysis of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}912$ Democratic cells and so inside each

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:27.912 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.267$ single cell you you perform the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}30{.}267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}147$ quantification of gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

00:03:32.150 --> 00:03:35.382 Because you have a way to create a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}35{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37{.}729$ library where you can keep track

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:37.729 \rightarrow 00:03:40.749$ of the cell of origin of each RNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.230$ and so that's why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}42{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44{.}941$ then you can quantify for each gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}44{.}941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47{.}783$ at the expression in each single cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.527$ so that each cell has a distinct

- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- 00:03:50.527 -> 00:03:51.309 expression profiles.

 $00:03:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.090$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:52.090 \rightarrow 00:03:54.430$ this cell expresses only one gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.514$ These other cells express.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:03:56.514 \dashrightarrow 00:03:59.119$ Different multiple genes and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}03{:}59{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}442$ different amounts and so that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:01.442 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.474$ can use that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.955$ This difference in the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}430$ between different cells in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:07.505 \dashrightarrow 00:04:09.969$ to see how much cells are similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:09.969 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.079$ to each other or different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

00:04:12.080 --> 00:04:13.277 So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

00:04:13.277 --> 00:04:15.671 you can perform clustering analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:15.671 \rightarrow 00:04:18.470$ cells based on their expression profiles NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:18.470 \rightarrow 00:04:20.800$ and also other downstream analysis.

 $00:04:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.650$ So obviously you have a richer data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.166$ That you can see and UM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.668$ And you have multiple more options

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

00:04:31.668 - 00:04:35.870 in India in the final analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.478$ So yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}04{:}36{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38{.}606$ when it was launched is like there

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}04{:}38.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.832$ was this kind of comparison between

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:40.832 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.220$ bike and array seek that Vulcan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:43.220 \dashrightarrow 00:04:45.670$ Alesis is like the analysis of through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:04:45.670 \rightarrow 00:04:48.120$ It seems Moody and the single cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}04{:}48{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50{.}591$ It's like the analysis of a fruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

00:04:50.591 --> 00:04:53.051 salad where you can distinguish the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}04{:}53.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.691$ contribution of each fluid for each

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}807$ fruit is a different cell type or subtype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}04{:}58{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}282$ Now the main application for single

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:05:01.282 \rightarrow 00:05:04.148$ cell RNA sequencing when we're speaking

- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- 00:05:04.148 --> 00:05:06.998 about discrimination among different cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00{:}05{:}07{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}316$ There are multiple. I divided the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00:05:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.250$ These are in two branches,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00:05:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.560$ so why is it so cold?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- 00:05:13.560 00:05:14.718 The discrete analysis?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00:05:14.718 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.648$ So you you have a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00:05:16.650 \rightarrow 00:05:18.386$ you collect the expression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00:05:18.386 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.556$ abundance of transcripts of genes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00{:}05{:}20.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.896$ inside each cell and you want to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- 00:05:22.896 --> 00:05:25.033 cluster cells in order to identify
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00:05:25.033 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.296$ different cell types.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00{:}05{:}26{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}012$ For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00:05:27.012 \rightarrow 00:05:29.148$ this cell types that compose the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00:05:29.148 \dashrightarrow 00:05:30.929$ tissue that you're studying.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636
- $00{:}05{:}30{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33{.}576$ So this is a discrete analysis because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00{:}05{:}33.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.325$ you are assuming that your tissue is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95873636

 $00:05:36.325 \rightarrow 00:05:38.635$ composed by different types of cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

 $00:05:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.880$ that are clearly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

 $00:05:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.700$ Distinguishable from each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

 $00:05:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.975$ and so these analysis has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

00:05:43.980 --> 00:05:46.255 for example, something to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

 $00{:}05{:}46.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.530$ with the class with clustering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

 $00:05:48.530 \dashrightarrow 00:05:50.845$ because ultimately you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}697$ identify separate clusters of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

 $00{:}05{:}52.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.984$ cells based on their expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781691

 $00{:}05{:}54{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57{.}169$ profile on the right question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

00:05:57.170 --> 00:05:59.445 Every question this is super

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

00:05:59.445 --> 00:06:00.810 relevant to hematopoiesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00:06:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.534$ so there's even controversy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

00:06:02.534 --> 00:06:05.120 I don't know that it should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00:06:05.202 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.587$ be a controversy about whether

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172
- $00{:}06{:}07.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.972$ there are discrete cell states.

00:06:09.980 --> 00:06:11.976 Versus everything being continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00{:}06{:}11.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.970$ and logic tells me that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00:06:15.046 \rightarrow 00:06:17.584$ going to be a continuous change

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

00:06:17.584 --> 00:06:19.810 in a bazillion different genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00:06:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.145$ because every cell is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00:06:22.145 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.013$ to be slightly different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00:06:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.820$ So do you have to actually ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00:06:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.990$ the algorithm to analyze the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00{:}06{:}28{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}642$ data to find discrete sets versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856172

 $00:06:31.642 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.320$ find a continuous analysis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816257

 $00{:}06{:}36{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41{.}270$ So I personally don't know if there is a way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816257

 $00{:}06{:}41.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.900$ If there is a tool so I never use that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816257

 $00{:}06{:}43.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.866$ tool that tells you if the best analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816257

00:06:46.866 --> 00:06:49.710 is discrete or continuous. OK, I think

 $00{:}06{:}49{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}027$ that probably if we looked at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}06{:}52.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.211$ papers where they claim it's discrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}06{:}54{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}719$ versus claiming it's continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}020$ that we would find differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:06:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.820$ how they analyzed it, yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02{.}459$ so the the priority knowledge of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:07:02.459 \rightarrow 00:07:04.878$ sample is something that you can user,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:07:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.323$ and for example if you take for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.850$ example a peripheral blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}07{:}08.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.153$ if you take single cell data sets

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

00:07:11.153 --> 00:07:12.851 of peripheral blood are where

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:07:12.851 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.789$ most of these cells are mature

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:07:14.789 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.430$ and already differentiated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}07{:}16.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.118$ then you see clearly that you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:07:19.120 \dashrightarrow 00:07:20.904$ Very separated discrete clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:07:20.904 \dashrightarrow 00:07:24.556$ and so it makes more sense to perform

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- 00:07:24.556 --> 00:07:26.786 a discrete analysis or clustering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- 00:07:26.786 --> 00:07:29.816 analysis if you take them on marrow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:29.816 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.228$ or a population that isn't reached
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:32.230 \rightarrow 00:07:34.420$ for stem cells or progenitors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.508$ then you expect to have a more continuous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00{:}07{:}38.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.660$ representation of your sample.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:40.660 \dashrightarrow 00:07:43.324$ And so this is important because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:43.324 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.510$ whatever tool that you use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- 00:07:45.510 --> 00:07:47.138 any clustering analysis will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- 00:07:47.138 --> 00:07:49.580 give you clustering and any like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:49.647 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.022$ continuous analysis such as like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00{:}07{:}52.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.447$ inference of trajectory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.198$ We'll find the trajectory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:55.198 \rightarrow 00:07:59.179$ So if you submit your sample to any analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106
- $00:07:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.944$ you will obtain result,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:08:00.944 \rightarrow 00:08:03.590$ but the result can be meaningless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}08{:}03{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}030$ For example a continuous analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00{:}08{:}06{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{.}470$ can be minutes meaningless if

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:08:08.557 \dashrightarrow 00:08:11.037$ your sample is biologically not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

00:08:11.040 --> 00:08:11.541 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:08:11.541 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.543$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:08:12.543 \rightarrow 00:08:14.547$ something that is differentiating

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393106

 $00:08:14.547 \rightarrow 00:08:15.549$ or developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925

 $00{:}08{:}18.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.520$ So yeah, yeah, so yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925

 $00:08:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.090$ And that's that's the parallel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925

 $00:08:24.090 \rightarrow 00:08:28.689$ So whenever you see they they like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925

00:08:28.690 --> 00:08:30.730 Courses or tutorials on continuous analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925

 $00:08:30.730 \dashrightarrow 00:08:33.110$ That's something you need to be careful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925

00:08:33.110 --> 00:08:35.150 You will always get a graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925

00:08:35.150 --> 00:08:37.572 You will always get like a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925

 $00:08:37.572 \rightarrow 00:08:39.230$ of differentiation trees the tree,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9756925
- $00:08:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.568$ but you have to be careful because

 $00{:}08{:}41.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.310$ sometimes it doesn't make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00:08:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.690$ To make the analysis at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00:08:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.330$ Because it's one of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00:08:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.220$ one of the assumption of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00:08:52.220 \dashrightarrow 00:08:53.878$ continuous analysis is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00:08:53.878 \dashrightarrow 00:08:55.876$ have a sampling of the continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00:08:55.876 \rightarrow 00:08:57.868$ process that you're trying to model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00:08:57.870 \rightarrow 00:08:59.510$ For example, development or differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00:08:59.510 \rightarrow 00:09:01.150$ If this is not true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

00:09:01.150 --> 00:09:02.790 you don't have an assumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.98708284

 $00{:}09{:}02{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04{.}760$ to do the analysis at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00:09:06.800 \rightarrow 00:09:09.726$ So tomorrow you so so I think this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00:09:09.726 \dashrightarrow 00:09:11.964$ is a very important question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00{:}09{:}11{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14{.}614$ I raised because you know in real

 $00:09:14.614 \rightarrow 00:09:16.856$ life situations we will get samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00{:}09{:}16.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.560$ sequenced and how do we tell if

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

00:09:19.560 --> 00:09:21.720 this is reasonable or not reasonable

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00:09:21.720 \rightarrow 00:09:24.681$ so so just wonder if anyone has done

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

00:09:24.681 --> 00:09:27.180 a very careful analysis to sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00{:}09{:}27.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.728$ you know something ground truth for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

00:09:29.730 --> 00:09:32.187 you have two discrete cell states you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00:09:32.187 \rightarrow 00:09:34.445$ already isolated or somehow maintained and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00{:}09{:}34.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.112$ put them into a single cell sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00{:}09{:}37{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39{.}647$ And then you force it to assume

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41{.}408$ trajectory based methodology and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00{:}09{:}41.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.256$ cause many major artifacts or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00:09:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.647$ I think that's one of the ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.97320217

 $00:09:45.647 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.670$ to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:09:48.000 \dashrightarrow 00:09:51.112$ Yeah, so, uh, so I'm not aware meaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:09:51.112 \dashrightarrow 00:09:54.828$ that I never use the like tools that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00:09:54.828 \rightarrow 00:09:57.749$ explicitly tell you which one which

 $00:09:57.749 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.533$ branch of the analysis is better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.332$ So by exploratory analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:02.332 \rightarrow 00:10:05.520$ for example, we will see why when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}974$ you do the preprocessing and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}08{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}639$ dimensionality reduction and you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:11.639 \rightarrow 00:10:15.860$ a lot like a on a hyperplane of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.086$ That you can like a guess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:18.090 \rightarrow 00:10:20.680$ depending on the structure of your sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.725$ whether it's more reasonable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}22.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.154$ proceed with the discrete cluster or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27{.}359$ to perform like a trajectory or boss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

00:10:27.360 --> 00:10:30.328 So sometimes, for example, if you it would,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}30{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33{.}482$ it could make sense to start with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:33.482 \rightarrow 00:10:35.520$ exploratory analysis on all the cells.

 $00:10:35.520 \rightarrow 00:10:38.047$ I think this as an example because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}38.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.600$ it's on this life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}39{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43{.}247$ so this seems to be like separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:43.247 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.810$ cluster of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.206$ It could be reasonable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:46.206 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.951$ then to select only this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:47.951 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.619$ cluster within this cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.470$ We don't see clear subclasses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}51{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53{.}690$ so within this cluster it may.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}10{:}53.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.922$ It could make sense to perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

00:10:55.922 --> 00:10:58.192 a trajectory analysis to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:58.192 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.977$ there is a continuous process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:10:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.830$ but not at the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:11:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.570$ taking consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00{:}11{:}02.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.820$ Also, these two clusters here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493

 $00:11:04.820 \rightarrow 00:11:06.620$ because they're clearly separated.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00{:}11{:}06.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.116$ So sometimes I think the that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00:11:09.116 \dashrightarrow 00:11:11.810$ the workflow can be also mixed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- 00:11:11.810 --> 00:11:14.316 So you start with all these cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00:11:14.320 \rightarrow 00:11:16.456$ so you remove clear outlier clusters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00:11:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.250$ Maybe you annotate the cluster
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00:11:18.250 \rightarrow 00:11:19.682$ so that you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00:11:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.402$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00{:}11{:}20{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22{.}894$ that inside your population you have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- 00:11:22.894 --> 00:11:25.060 mixture of progenitors or stem cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00:11:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.960$ and inside that cluster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9500493
- $00{:}11{:}27.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.708$ Perform the trajectory analysis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98311144
- $00{:}11{:}32.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.674$ I see so that would be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98311144
- $00:11:34.674 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.030$ my yes tentative answer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98311144
- 00:11:36.030 --> 00:11:38.064 Now I don't know if anyone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98311144
- $00:11:38.064 \rightarrow 00:11:39.420$ else has other suggestions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9858118

 $00:11:46.290 \rightarrow 00:11:47.690$ That maybe we can leave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858118

 $00{:}11{:}47.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.412$ I can find those some material

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858118

00:11:49.412 --> 00:11:51.639 for next time to see if I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858118

 $00:11:51.639 \rightarrow 00:11:52.710$ answer more, like extensively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98225963

00:11:54.940 --> 00:11:56.200 I think it's a tough question

NOTE Confidence: 0.98225963

00:11:56.200 --> 00:11:57.332 because I don't think there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98225963

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}567$ a consensus necessarily in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98225963

 $00:11:58.567 \rightarrow 00:11:59.889$ field and people just to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98225963

00:11:59.890 --> 00:12:01.666 OK, if it makes sense or it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.98225963

 $00{:}12{:}01.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.040$ make sense to their own eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97921542

00:12:04.670 --> 00:12:06.980 Yep. Yeah, again I I don't know NOTE Confidence: 0.97921542

00:12:06.980 --> 00:12:09.490 if someone is trying to to build

NOTE Confidence: 0.97921542

 $00:12:09.490 \rightarrow 00:12:11.650$ some tools that yeah you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97921542

 $00{:}12{:}11.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.806$ yeah that like kind of quantify the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98804873

00:12:16.350 --> 00:12:17.970 Reasonableness of each

NOTE Confidence: 0.98804873

 $00:12:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.950$ of the approaches. Yeah.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193
- 00:12:23.460 --> 00:12:25.908 But yes, it's an important distinction.

00:12:25.910 --> 00:12:30.470 Also, uh, yes. Also also later, 'cause it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:12:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.562$ Uhm, some history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:12:31.562 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.110$ So this is the first publication on

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:12:34.186 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.356$ single cell sequencing, so it's a 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

00:12:37.356 --> 00:12:39.376 No sorry 12 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:12:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.215$ so it was a nice seat cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:12:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.512$ The whole transcriptome of a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:12:44.512 \rightarrow 00:12:47.737$ cell so it was really one single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

00:12:47.737 --> 00:12:50.656 because it was a mouse blaster that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}12{:}50.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.540$ was isolated with a microscope so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}12{:}53.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.854$ was manually picked under my screw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:12:55.854 \rightarrow 00:12:58.080$ A microscope then lies and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}12{:}58.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}910$ sequenced and together with the blaster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

00:13:00.910 --> 00:13:03.268 Also, 50 sites were also analyzed

00:13:03.268 --> 00:13:06.116 and so so basically the trick here

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}13{:}06{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}516$ to reach the single cell resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}13{:}08{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11{.}071$ was the isolation of these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}13{:}11{.}071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13{.}566$ and then that the procedure was

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}13{:}13{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}646$ standard Lisa and then library

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:15.646 \rightarrow 00:13:18.858$ preparation as in a as in balcony seek.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.466$ But from the starting from 1 cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.816$ So from that to the fielder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.296$ as I told you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}13{:}27{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30{.}510$ an exploded and so in this plot here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.029$ So this is from a review that was 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.767$ so it was ten years after these

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:36.767 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.549$ first publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.000$ And what you can see is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

00:13:40.000 --> 00:13:41.568 release of multiple approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:41.568 \rightarrow 00:13:44.190$ for single cell at any seeker.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193
- $00:13:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.075$ UM, that increase the number

00:13:46.075 --> 00:13:48.490 of cells that you can study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.445$ So obviously that one alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:50.445 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.400$ was a proof of concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}516$ but the real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:53.516 \rightarrow 00:13:55.004$ Single cell explosion happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:55.004 \rightarrow 00:13:57.618$ when you put when you will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:13:57.618 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.398$ able to parallelize the process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}388$ So where you were able to capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:14:01.388 \rightarrow 00:14:03.424$ a single cell expression level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}14{:}03{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}294$ first hundreds and then thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}14{:}05{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}198$ and then millions of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}14{:}07{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}896$ So here you see the publication data of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11{.}949$ the techniques and the single cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:14:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.640$ The number of single cells

 $00:14:13.640 \rightarrow 00:14:14.654$ that were analyzed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:14:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.020$ So this is our first with only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}14{:}17{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}768$ cells and then you see that the trend

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:14:19.768 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.024$ is to release techniques that allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:14:22.024 \rightarrow 00:14:24.388$ you to increase the high throughput.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26{.}748$ In terms of the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:14:26.748 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.220$ cells that you can quantify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88182193

 $00:14:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.848$ you can consider in each experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

00:14:33.300 --> 00:14:34.680 Question, yeah, I don't know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00:14:34.680 \rightarrow 00:14:36.009$ you're going to get to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00{:}14{:}36.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.674$ So if you are just saying never mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00:14:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.983$ As the number of cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

00:14:41.983 --> 00:14:43.680 being sequenced has increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00:14:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.025$ the number of reads per cell that

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00{:}14{:}46.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48.779$ people get and report on has decreased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00:14:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.760$ and I'd like to understand is

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988861
- $00:14:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.502$ that just because that's what's

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}302$ convenient in terms of putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

00:14:54.302 --> 00:14:56.420 it onto an illuminous sequencer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00{:}14{:}56{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59{.}157$ or is there something about the various

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00:14:59.157 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.403$ techniques where you reach the limit

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

 $00{:}15{:}01{.}403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}734$ of your detection after X number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.988861

00:15:03.800 --> 00:15:08.180 reads and it's not worth getting more?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.704$ Yes, so there is a tradeoff

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}09{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}440$ in in these two parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}11{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14{.}365$ One is the number of cells that you consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}14.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.986$ and the other is the number of reads

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}16.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.568$ there that you obtained for each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}19{.}570$ --> $00{:}15{:}23{.}112$ The trend for the techniques has been NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:23.112 \rightarrow 00:15:26.530$ mainly to increase the number of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}26{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}010$ and obviously these was against.

 $00:15:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.495$ This is against the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:31.495 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.980$ of reads for each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}33{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}580$ So for example and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.680$ So let's say that the fielder and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:39.680 \rightarrow 00:15:41.889$ the the most popular techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.650$ for example Tenax, have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:43.650 \rightarrow 00:15:45.840$ increasing more the number of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:45.840 \rightarrow 00:15:49.864$ then the number of reads for each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}210$ Uh, these are depends on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}420$ application of the method I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}628$ So obviously if you're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:56.628 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.349$ the cell as your unit of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:15:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.240$ so if you're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

00:16:01.240 --> 00:16:02.752 like more cellular biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}16{:}02.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.650$ you're interested more in capturing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:16:04.650 \rightarrow 00:16:06.162$ cells and separating cells,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402
- $00:16:06.170 \rightarrow 00:16:08.065$ you're not so interesting looking

 $00{:}16{:}08.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.405$ with in great detail on thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:16:10.405 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.679$ of genes that are expressed within

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}16{:}12.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.879$ each cell on the other side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

00:16:14.880 --> 00:16:16.424 if you're more interested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:16:16.424 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.354$ for example in the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:16:18.354 \rightarrow 00:16:19.540$ biology rather than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:16:19.540 \rightarrow 00:16:22.432$ Just separating cells so it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}16{:}22{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25{.}408$ be more interesting to increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:16:25.408 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.754$ depth of the sequencing in each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}16{:}28.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.060$ There are techniques where these is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}16{:}32{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35{.}173$ maximized and obviously the trade off

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}16{:}35{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}453$ is that you cannot get so many cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00{:}16{:}38{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41{.}760$ As for the other method.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9299402

 $00:16:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.470$ Uhm?

- 00:16:44.710 --> 00:16:46.639 I. Think I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98394567
- $00{:}16{:}46.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.500$ just asking also and maybe June
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98394567
- 00:16:48.500 --> 00:16:50.490 knows is there a maximum number
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98394567
- $00:16:50.490 \rightarrow 00:16:52.524$ of reads you want per cell?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98394567
- $00{:}16{:}52{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54{.}165$ Because after that you don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98394567
- $00{:}16{:}54.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.290$ get any additional information.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- $00{:}16{:}57{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}322$ So we will see we can measure when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- $00{:}17{:}00{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03{.}374$ you reach the like the plateau
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- 00:17:03.374 --> 00:17:06.563 when you reach the plateau of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- $00{:}17{:}06{.}563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}713$ sequencing using tricks such as the UMI.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- $00:17:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.832$ So if you append to each
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- $00:17:11.832 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.980$ read like a random barcode,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- 00:17:13.980 --> 00:17:16.716 you can see when a it doesn't make sense
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- 00:17:16.716 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:17:19.692 to sequence more depth because all the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- $00:17:19.692 \rightarrow 00:17:22.489$ additional reads that you are detecting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- $00:17:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.990$ Other PCR duplicates of what

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92274123
- 00:17:24.990 --> 00:17:26.790 you already sequenced. Right,

 $00:17:26.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.010$ got it? Yeah I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00:17:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.134$ agree with the Tommaso.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00{:}17{:}29{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31{.}522$ So I think there are some studies was

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00:17:31.522 \rightarrow 00:17:33.454$ down those kind of things before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00:17:33.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.075$ but they were using different

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00:17:35.075 \rightarrow 00:17:36.690$ technologies compared to what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

00:17:36.742 --> 00:17:38.308 going to use probably right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00:17:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.375$ so I'm not sure whether for every

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00:17:40.375 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.640$ single technology out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00{:}17{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.754$ there has already been a paper published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

00:17:43.760 --> 00:17:45.428 Maybe for 10X there's already paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00{:}17{:}45{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47{.}090$ published on the standard procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

00:17:47.090 --> 00:17:49.330 but in your own data you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00{:}17{:}49{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50{.}925$ analyze yourself to see whether

00:17:50.925 --> 00:17:52.550 it's approaching saturation or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00{:}17{:}52{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}727$ and you can re sequence more from

NOTE Confidence: 0.97971755

 $00:17:54.727 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.489$ same library if you want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933664227272727

00:17:57.190 --> 00:17:59.992 Yeah. Yes, yes using Umm eyes

NOTE Confidence: 0.933664227272727

 $00{:}17{:}59{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02{.}440$ and you can measure that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933664227272727

00:18:02.440 --> 00:18:05.052 OK, I understand, thank you then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933664227272727

00:18:05.052 --> 00:18:06.378 The same techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933664227272727

 $00:18:06.378 \rightarrow 00:18:08.588$ for example 10X at every

NOTE Confidence: 0.933664227272727

 $00:18:08.588 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.690$ release like increase or the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:18:13.170 \rightarrow 00:18:15.030$ Increase the detection of multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00{:}18{:}15{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17{.}258$ molecules inside each cell so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:18:17.258 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.372$ the saturation limit is higher and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

00:18:19.372 --> 00:18:21.583 it really depends also on the depends NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00{:}18{:}21{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24{.}441$ on the technique and then and on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00{:}18{:}24{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}500$ version of the of the technique itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

00:18:27.500 --> 00:18:29.908 But in general, I would say that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:18:29.908 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.574$ the number of cells that you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}18{:}32{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34{.}908$ measure has increased the more in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:18:34.985 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.230$ the average of the techniques.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00:18:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.558$ Then the depth that then the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00{:}18{:}39{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42{.}480$ then the within cell depth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:18:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.485$ With an exception that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- 00:18:44.485 --> 00:18:47.050 show you in your Indies slide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:18:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.125$ that is the smart speaker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:18:49.125 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.370$ family of technical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:18:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.884$ So this family of techniques is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00{:}18{:}52{.}884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55{.}848$ the ideal family when you are not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00{:}18{:}55{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}669$ interested in capturing a lot of cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}18{:}58{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}764$ but you want to maximize the analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- 00:19:01.764 --> 00:19:05.076 within each cell and the advantage of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00{:}19{:}05{.}076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07{.}926$ dictating of these techniques is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:19:08.009 \rightarrow 00:19:11.449$ you can have 1,000,000 read for each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:19:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.339$ So it has a high coverage and also and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:19:14.339 \rightarrow 00:19:17.501$ it's one of the techniques that allow you

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:19:17.501 \rightarrow 00:19:20.708$ to capture reads from the whole transcript.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:19:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.412$ So we will see that the majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:19:23.412 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.570$ of commercial techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00{:}19{:}24{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27{.}910$ such as the 10X A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:19:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.680$ Do not allow you to cover

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:19:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.565$ the full transcript,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:19:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.346$ but they are like three prime

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00{:}19{:}32{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33{.}530$ end or five prime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00{:}19{:}33{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34{.}508$ End the libraries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00{:}19{:}34{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36{.}790$ So that means that you can capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:19:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.172$ only the fragment that is near to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:19:39.172 \rightarrow 00:19:41.374$ palie for the three prime end or near

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:19:41.374 \rightarrow 00:19:43.446$ to the cap for the five prime end.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}19{:}43.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.616$ This is one of the few methods where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:19:45.616 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.237$ you can add in bulk and in most by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}19{:}48.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.271$ Karen Acq can capture reads from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- 00:19:50.271 -> 00:19:52.406 the full transcript and this is an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- 00:19:52.406 --> 00:19:53.801 advantage because for example if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- 00:19:53.801 --> 00:19:55.730 you want to do splicing analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:19:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.836$ that's the only way you can.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:19:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.717$ You know that that that's the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00{:}20{:}00{.}717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}927$ only method you can use to have a like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:20:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.982$ To perform splicing and a full
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- 00:20:05.982 --> 00:20:07.013 splicing analysis, otherwise,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00{:}20{:}07{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08{.}728$ you can prefer splicing analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:20:08.728 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.430$ only on the initial exon,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}20{:}10.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.796$ five prime end or on the terminal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:20:12.796 \dashrightarrow 00:20:15.218$ axons and also for a Allen Alesys.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

00:20:15.220 --> 00:20:17.422 So analysis of variations analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

00:20:17.422 --> 00:20:19.887 Snips from Renee see from RNA seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00{:}20{:}19.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.057$ A if you're a mutation of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:20:22.060 \rightarrow 00:20:24.610$ If your variation of interest is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:20:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.761$ inside the body of the gene and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00{:}20{:}27{.}761 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}899$ at the five prime or the three prime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00{:}20{:}30{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}295$ So this has all the advantages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:20:34.295 \rightarrow 00:20:36.720$ allowing analysis within each cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:20:36.720 \rightarrow 00:20:39.354$ that is comparable to the biker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:20:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.880$ any SQL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00{:}20{:}41.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.491$ It has a limitation that is shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:20:44.491 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.610$ with other techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001

 $00:20:45.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.235$ Is that most of the single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:20:48.235 \rightarrow 00:20:50.796$ techniques right now allow you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:20:50.796 \rightarrow 00:20:53.066$ detect the only polyadenylated RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001

 $00:20:53.066 \rightarrow 00:20:56.000$ because they're based on quality selection.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}20{:}56.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.484$ And you have a low number of cells that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}20{:}58{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}880$ you can sequence in each experiment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}21{:}00{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}164$ so less than 1000.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- 00:21:02.164 --> 00:21:04.779 Then the smart Seeker has already 3 version,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00:21:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.048$ so it was released first in 2012.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}21{:}07{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}466$ Then here is a smart seek to release
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:21:09.466 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.686$ the one year after and then the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00:21:11.686 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.034$ latest is March 6th 3 that was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00{:}21{:}14.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.498$ released the last year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- $00{:}21{:}15{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19{.}210$ So each of these kind of increase.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.974990600000001
- $00:21:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.610$ Then then the number of usable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97499060000001
- 00:21:21.610 --> 00:21:23.210 reads with smart smart
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231
- $00{:}21{:}23{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25{.}786$ seek two didn't allow to use the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231
- $00{:}21{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.660$ Umm, I but Smartsilk 3 allows to to use also.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231
- $00:21:29.660 \rightarrow 00:21:32.117$ Umm, I and this is a comparison
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

00:21:32.117 -> 00:21:33.920 between the two versions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

00:21:33.920 --> 00:21:36.302 Smartsilk 2 smartest see where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

 $00{:}21{:}36{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39{.}616$ see the box block with the number of NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

00:21:39.616 --> 00:21:42.088 genes that are detected within each

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

 $00{:}21{:}42.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44.857$ cell and as you see with the mastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

00:21:44.857 --> 00:21:47.134 tree you can for each seller cover

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

 $00:21:47.134 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.540$ detector from 10,000 to 12,000 jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

 $00{:}21{:}49{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51{.}962$ And also this number it is comparable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

00:21:51.962 --> 00:21:54.886 to buy her any seek if you compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

 $00{:}21{:}54{.}886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57{.}171$ this number with the other method

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}156$ cells such as SYNNEX, internex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

 $00:21:59.156 \dashrightarrow 00:22:02.899$ I think the average is 3 to 5000 genes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9669231

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05{.}819$ each cell when when this value is high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}10.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.032$ OK, so this is an example of high coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:22:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.348$ but low throughput are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:22:15.348 \rightarrow 00:22:18.112$ On the other hand there you have methods

 $00:22:18.112 \rightarrow 00:22:20.308$ where you have low coverage inside

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}20{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22{.}806$ each cell and but high throughput and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:22:22.806 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.206$ a family of these methods they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:22:25.206 \rightarrow 00:22:26.946$ the so-called droplet based methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}26{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31{.}081$ These was one of the first set that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}31.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34.836$ really that was released and it is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:22:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.336$ I had the drop seeker analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}37{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}806$ so the principle is to isolate cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:22:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.720$ Single cells in single droplet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}43.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.789$ Will you have your cell and you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}46.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.430$ barcode that beats are barcoded beads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}49{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52{.}776$ Allow you to attach a cellular barcode

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}22{:}52{.}776$ --> $00{:}22{:}55{.}965$ that is unique for each beat down

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:22:55.965 \rightarrow 00:22:59.000$ and so it's unique for each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:22:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.975$ And so that's the trick that is

 $00:23:01.975 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.025$ used in order to, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}23{:}04.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.000$ associated the content of each

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:06.000 \rightarrow 00:23:08.260$ cell with a single barcode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.750$ That is the cell barcode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:11.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.506$ Uhm it, so it allows to map 1000 or 10s of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}23{:}15{.}506$ --> $00{:}23{:}18{.}810$ thousands of cells in the same experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.498$ Uhm, it's so the drops eater is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:21.498 \rightarrow 00:23:23.512$ only three prime end sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}23{:}23{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26{.}249$ and it allows you the use of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}23{:}26{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}986$ Umm unique molecular identifiers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}23{:}27{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}336$ So I will have some slides later to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:31.336 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.695$ show what is the meaning of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.564$ This is the pipeline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:35.564 \rightarrow 00:23:37.428$ Are the experimental pipeline

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:37.428 \rightarrow 00:23:40.199$ of a drop seek experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:23:40.200 \rightarrow 00:23:42.588$ So the the principle is twice.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00:23:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.990$ Let some point in a droplet,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00{:}23{:}44{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46{.}980$ one cell with one microparticle.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00:23:46.980 \rightarrow 00:23:50.388$ Inside this droplet you have the capture of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00:23:50.388 \rightarrow 00:23:52.968$ the polyadenylated RNA with a polety probe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00:23:52.970 \rightarrow 00:23:55.756$ and then you have the little transcript,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00{:}23{:}55{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57{.}980$ the reverse transcription and the generation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00{:}23{:}57{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}350$ of the C DNA and the library preparation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00:24:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.368$ This is kind of similar to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00{:}24{:}04{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06{.}380$ also buy currency approaches.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- 00:24:06.380 --> 00:24:08.345 Very similar to drop seek
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00{:}24{:}08{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}310$ is also the 10X approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00{:}24{:}10{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}882$ That is the commercial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00:24:11.882 \rightarrow 00:24:13.847$ development of the drug seeker,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00{:}24{:}13.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.810$ so send it to next.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907
- $00:24:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.234$ You have the same strategy of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:24:18.234 \rightarrow 00:24:19.850$ dividing dividing cells so

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:24:19.931 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.097$ that you have droplets in oil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}24{:}22.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.052$ in this case with a single cell and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}24{:}25.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.996$ single barcode with the cellular barcode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}24{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.744$ And as you can see the barcode attached

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}744$ --> $00{:}24{:}33{.}477$ to each bid have standard adapter that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}24{:}33.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.330$ you can use in Illumina sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00:24:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.950$ You have the cellular barcode,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

00:24:37.950 --> 00:24:39.560 you have the Umm I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}24{:}39{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41{.}835$ and then you have a positive probe

NOTE Confidence: 0.95787907

 $00{:}24{:}41.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.406$ that is used to capture Poly a RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48{.}745$ Uhm, this is to remind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00:24:48.745 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.726$ that different platforms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00:24:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.355$ according to different strategies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53{.}320$ different gene coverage is so smart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00:24:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.282$ Seek two that we saw before

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:24:55.282 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.590$ has a full coverage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:24:56.590 \rightarrow 00:24:59.533$ So if you consider these are like meta gene,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:24:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.496$ we have the five prime UTR,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.130$ the body of the gene,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:03.130 \rightarrow 00:25:05.530$ the coding sequence and the three prime UTR
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:05.530 \rightarrow 00:25:08.037$ you have coverage of the full transcript,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.140$ while with 10X or free payment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.901$ method you haven't richemond only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00{:}25{:}11{.}901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13{.}980$ at the three prime end of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.937$ transcript with the five prime method.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- 00:25:15.940 --> 00:25:17.144 You haven't richemond all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:17.144 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.649$ yet to five prime end,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.687$ so you need to be careful on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- 00:25:20.687 --> 00:25:22.259 which library you are using,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849
- $00:25:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.140$ because if it is for just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00:25:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.580$ for gene quantification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

00:25:26.580 - 00:25:28.060 Methods can be comparable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

00:25:28.060 --> 00:25:29.910 but if you are interested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00:25:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.130$ for example in a ice form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00:25:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.870$ expressions, pricing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00{:}25{:}32{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34{.}720$ analysis and so on only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00{:}25{:}34{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36{.}570$ These methods allow you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

00:25:36.570 --> 00:25:38.050 perform a complete analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411849

 $00{:}25{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39.160$ not these ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00:25:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.150$ And this is another plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00{:}25{:}43.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.780$ comparing the aging coverage are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00:25:44.838 \rightarrow 00:25:46.438$ when you have full coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00:25:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.228$ So this plot here is similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00{:}25{:}48.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.212$ to plots that you could obtain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00{:}25{:}50{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}017$ from back button a seeker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00:25:52.020 \rightarrow 00:25:53.976$ This method is free prime end.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364
- $00:25:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.540$ There is a free payment

 $00:25:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.590$ method and so you see there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58{.}902$ Richmond at the free

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613364

 $00:25:58.902 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.214$ prime of the transcript.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:26:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.767$ Now this was for many for the technical part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:26:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.704$ Now the outlook on the computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:26:10.704 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.206$ analysis of single seller is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:26:13.206 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.038$ resumed by these workflow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}15{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17{.}693$ So most of their most popular methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:26:17.693 \rightarrow 00:26:20.073$ that allow you to generate libraries

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}20.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.782$ and the result will be read the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}22.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.157$ sequence with a standard platform

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}25{.}157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}838$ such as illuminum some single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

00:26:27.838 --> 00:26:29.728 methods have been published also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}29.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.010$ that user full length sequencing.

 $00:26:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.040$ They think they're so probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:26:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.520$ in the future they would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}38{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39{.}576$ Use that more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}39{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41{.}688$ but right now the standard is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}41.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.068$ to use short read sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}44.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.464$ Couple to see what self analysis so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}46{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49{.}198$ we will see how RO data are obtained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}49{.}198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}240$ and how the raw data reads can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}012$ transformed into count matrices

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}54.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.478$ that are similar to the count

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}56{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59{.}110$ matrixes of the bike and a secret.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}26{:}59{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}672$ But you have instead of having samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:27:01.672 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.254$ and jeans you have single cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

00:27:04.254 --> 00:27:06.836 genes in your matrix and the numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}06.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.200$ correspond to the number of reads

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:27:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.930$ mapping to the gene in the cell.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097
- $00:27:11.930 \rightarrow 00:27:14.240$ Then there are quality control methodologies.

 $00{:}27{:}14.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.210$ Is well is ation methodology's class ring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:27:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.458$ uh identification of trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}19{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22{.}830$ So like analysis that assume your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}22{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25{.}604$ sample your population of cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}25.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.289$ user continues and methods assume

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:27:28.380 \rightarrow 00:27:31.110$ that your population is discrete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}31{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}946$ So let's start from the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}32.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.198$ So usually in most of the methods row

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:27:35.198 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.859$ in the row reads that you receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}395$ There are three important parts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}39{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}316$ that you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}40{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43{.}416$ and there are three parts of this sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}43{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45{.}448$ And so the first important part

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:27:45.448 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.800$ is the cell barcode.

 $00{:}27{:}46.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.560$ So this is a an oligonucleotides there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}49.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.876$ can be like 8 to 12 or more nucleotide long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}52.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.085$ This depends on the on the technique

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57{.}571$ and the so it's these sequence the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}27{:}57{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}659$ cell barcode is unique for each of the bids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.750$ For example that you used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.320$ That when your cell was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.890$ in the in the droplet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}06{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}716$ so it's what you use to identify the cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.638$ meaning that one of the first step

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:11.638 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.071$ is to look at this region of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}14.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.053$ reader that correspond to the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:16.053 \rightarrow 00:28:17.878$ barcode and the group together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.120$ All the reads that have the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}20{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22{.}277$ barcode and that's what you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}22{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24{.}752$ So all the reads with the same barcode

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097
- 00:28:24.752 --> 00:28:26.987 here in red belong to sell one,

 $00:28:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.970$ because this is the cell barcode

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30{.}749$ of these cells and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.778$ So that all reads are grouped

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:32.778 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.130$ according to the value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}34{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}606$ Off the barcode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}35{.}606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38{.}558$ So obviously here there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:38.558 \rightarrow 00:28:41.356$ methodology to account for possible errors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:41.356 \rightarrow 00:28:44.948$ in the sequencing of the barcode so that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.000$ Barcodes are realized in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.050$ way that they have multiple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}49.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.280$ multiple different nucleotides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00:28:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.560$ so that if you make one error only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

00:28:53.560 -> 00:28:54.380 you don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097

 $00{:}28{:}54{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}660$ You don't switch from one cell to another,

- $00:28:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.710$ but you need at least,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097
- 00:28:59.710 --> 00:29:00.530 for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097
- $00:29:00.530 \rightarrow 00:29:02.580$ three errors in this sequencing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097
- $00:29:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.331$ In the bar code to identify at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9614097
- $00:29:05.331 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.910$ the wrong self for the reader.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:29:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.960$ The second part that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:29:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.810$ important is the so called.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:29:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.770$ Umm I so this is not a while.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00{:}29{:}16.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.730$ The cell barcode is unique for each cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:29:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.658$ The UMI is unique for each of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- 00:29:22.658 --> 00:29:24.540 original molecule in your sample,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:29:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.760$ and that's because there in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00{:}29{:}26.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.870$ library preparation strategies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- 00:29:27.870 --> 00:29:31.222 This is A is a non legal nucleotide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:29:31.222 \rightarrow 00:29:34.896$ that is included is appended to the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- 00:29:34.900 --> 00:29:39.040 Library during the cDNA.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- 00:29:39.040 --> 00:29:40.100 Transgeneration before

 $00{:}29{:}40.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.690$ the amplification steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:29:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.122$ So before the PCR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}29{:}44.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.162$ So that this means that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}29{:}47.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.678$ These can be used this stretch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}29{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52.648$ This random bar code can be used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}29{:}52.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.410$ discriminate between PCR duplicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:29:54.410 \dashrightarrow 00:29:56.780$ and the real biological duplicates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}29{:}56{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59{.}966$ So in early seat you can expect to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}29{:}59{.}966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03{.}139$ two reads that are the same because

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:30:03.139 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.980$ they were derived from two copies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:30:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.231$ two different transcripts

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:30:07.231 \dashrightarrow 00:30:09.316$ transcribed from the same gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10{.}502$ So some genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}30{:}10.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.472$ such as ribosomal the transcript

- 00:30:12.472 --> 00:30:13.920 of ribosomal proteins,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:30:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.500$ are expected to be in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:30:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.630$ range of 1000 to 10.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- 00:30:18.630 --> 00:30:21.216 1000 copies in a single cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00{:}30{:}21{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.076$ so you can expect to have more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00{:}30{:}24.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26.410$ molecules captured in your library,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00{:}30{:}26{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28{.}948$ but these are true biological sequences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:30:28.948 \rightarrow 00:30:32.010$ because at the origin you have two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00{:}30{:}32.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.175$ discrete are different RNA molecules.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00{:}30{:}34{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37{.}018$ This is different from a PCR
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00{:}30{:}37{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39{.}632$ duplicates because these are created
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:30:39.632 \rightarrow 00:30:42.156$ during the amplification step.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:30:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.648$ So that's why the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- 00:30:43.648 --> 00:30:44.020 UM,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:30:44.020 \rightarrow 00:30:46.174$ I are important because this discrimination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:30:46.174 \rightarrow 00:30:47.610$ between biological duplicates and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:30:47.664 \rightarrow 00:30:49.579$ technical duplicates is really important.

00:30:49.580 --> 00:30:51.064 When you perform many

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

00:30:51.064 - 00:30:52.177 rounds of amplification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:30:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.035$ and this happens when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

00:30:54.035 --> 00:30:55.890 have a low input material,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:30:55.890 \rightarrow 00:30:58.110$ such as in some tricky libraries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:30:58.110 \rightarrow 00:31:00.822$ When your sample you have a low amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}00{.}822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03{.}872$ of sample and this is the case in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}03.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.900$ single cell approaches because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}05{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08{.}679$ you're starting from the amount of RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}08.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11.358$ that is extracted from one single set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}11{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13{.}940$ So you can imagine to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

00:31:13.940 --> 00:31:15.660 a lot of amplification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:15.660 \dashrightarrow 00:31:19.454$ Occurring in order to detect the gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:19.460 \rightarrow 00:31:21.580$ So this is the definition of the UMI

 $00:31:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.180$ is a randomized nucleotide sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.628$ Again depending on the library preparation

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:26.628 \dashrightarrow 00:31:29.262$ and on the technique that you use

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:29.262 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.439$ that it can be 8 nucleotide longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.974$ 12 Nook tight long of the longest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}33{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34{.}636$ the better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}34{.}636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37{.}260$ It's incorporated into the C DNA and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:37.327 \rightarrow 00:31:39.787$ initial steps of their native protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

00:31:39.790 --> 00:31:41.600 So before the amplification step,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:41.600 \rightarrow 00:31:44.088$ so the goal of the UMI is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}44.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.634$ distinguish between amplified copies

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:45.634 \rightarrow 00:31:47.764$ of the same earning molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:47.770 \rightarrow 00:31:50.738$ Because these have the same C DNA sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}50{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53{.}076$ But it is and they have the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

00:31:53.080 --> 00:31:53.457 Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:53.457 \rightarrow 00:31:55.342$ so they are technical duplicates

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923
- $00:31:55.342 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.850$ and they are removed.

00:31:56.850 --> 00:31:57.119 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:31:57.119 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.002$ what you want to keep his reads

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00{.}310$ from separator marine molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}32{:}00{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02{.}085$ transcribed from the same gene?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}32{:}02.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.824$ Because these will have the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

00:32:03.824 --> 00:32:06.088 C DNA but will have a different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

00:32:06.090 --> 00:32:06.413 Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:32:06.413 \dashrightarrow 00:32:08.351$ I so these are biological duplicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:32:08.351 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.398$ and they are kept so they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:32:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.538$ My is a method to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}32{:}13{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15{.}107$ the amplification noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:32:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.710$ This is a graphical example

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}32{:}16.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.670$ of the importance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:32:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.416$ so this is an example where

00:32:19.416 - 00:32:21.190 you have a reference sequence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}32{:}21{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23{.}750$ so this is a region of a gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

00:32:23.750 --> 00:32:25.670 for example, and in your experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00:32:25.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.272$ for example in the same cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.90901923

 $00{:}32{:}27{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28{.}340$ you get 10 reads

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00{:}32{:}28.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.829$ with identical sequence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:32:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.710$ and so that they align to the same region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:32:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.270$ So if we assume that they are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

00:32:35.270 --> 00:32:37.170 PCR duplicates, we have to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:32:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.430$ all of them and keep only one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

00:32:39.430 --> 00:32:41.698 And that means that when we calculate

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

00:32:41.698 --> 00:32:44.389 the abundance of the gene, if we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00{:}32{:}44{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47{.}142$ Remove the duplicate. We will say this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:32:47.142 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.590$ Gina is his account of 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52{.}271$ After the duplication we would say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:32:52.271 \rightarrow 00:32:55.378$ the gene has account of one because by

- NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813
- $00:32:55.378 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.253$ by using this approach we assume that

 $00:32:58.253 \rightarrow 00:33:01.013$ all the duplicates are PCR duplicates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

00:33:01.020 - > 00:33:03.468 If we include the UM eyes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

00:33:03.470 - 00:33:05.098 we can separate technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

00:33:05.098 --> 00:33:06.319 from biological duplicates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00{:}33{:}06{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09{.}983$ So we can use the Umm I hear different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:33:09.990 \rightarrow 00:33:12.252$ Umm eyes are different colors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:33:12.252 \rightarrow 00:33:14.560$ order to group technical duplicates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:33:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.368$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:33:15.368 \rightarrow 00:33:18.600$ these four at these three and these two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00{:}33{:}18.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.018$ but we keep the biological duplicates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:33:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.640$ So in the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00:33:22.640 \rightarrow 00:33:24.260$ instead of collapsing everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00{:}33{:}24.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.666$ we can keep four reads because

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

00:33:26.666 --> 00:33:28.700 they having four different mice,

 $00:33:28.700 \rightarrow 00:33:31.082$ they probably correspond to four different

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816813

 $00{:}33{:}31.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33.140$ original molecules in our sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97642732

00:33:38.540 --> 00:33:43.246 Is everything clear? Do you? Hear me

NOTE Confidence: 0.97642732

 $00:33:43.246 \rightarrow 00:33:45.150$ I don't have interaction. Yes, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99074006

 $00:33:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.900$ Yes, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:33:50.020 \rightarrow 00:33:52.540$ OK, so that's why you use the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:33:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.268$ barcode to identify the cell you use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}33{:}55{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57{.}388$ Umm, I to remove technical duplicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}33{:}57{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}800$ and that's why instead of counter matrix

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

00:33:59.800 --> 00:34:01.984 you can find also instead of number

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}34{:}02{.}046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04{.}494$ of reads you can find in single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

00:34:04.494 - > 00:34:06.354 experiments the number of UM eyes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:06.354 \rightarrow 00:34:07.909$ because basically what you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:07.909 \rightarrow 00:34:09.699$ doing you are collapsing reads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.350$ the transcribed so mapping to

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:11.350 \rightarrow 00:34:13.640$ the same gene and with the same.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:34:13.640 \rightarrow 00:34:17.880$ Umm I. And after you do all these

 $00{:}34{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.611$ steps that you can, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

00:34:19.611 --> 00:34:21.597 you can arrive to your account

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

00:34:21.597 - 00:34:23.479 metrics in the single cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

00:34:23.480 --> 00:34:25.230 It's called digital expression matrix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:25.230 \rightarrow 00:34:28.520$ because it represents the number of reads

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:28.520 \rightarrow 00:34:32.378$ mapping to 1 gene in each of your cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}34{:}32{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34{.}288$ And the other all sequence data

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:34.288 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.403$ are always end in the balcony

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:36.403 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.348$ seeking the fast queue format.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}34{:}38{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40{.}800$ So that's how you receive your sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}34{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.204$ One of these steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:34:42.204 \rightarrow 00:34:44.310$ in order to quantify the expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}34{:}44{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47{.}190$ is that you align not the UMI and the bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}34{:}47{.}261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49{.}925$ code because those are only technical,

- $00:34:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.632$ but you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:34:50.632 \rightarrow 00:34:52.387$ You align the read corresponding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- 00:34:52.387 --> 00:34:53.790 to your C DNA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:34:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.058$ The alignment tool for single cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}34{:}56.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.890$ RNA seek are on most all are the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}34{:}58{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01{.}509$ same as the one used for bulk RNA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}35{:}01{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02{.}330$ Seek a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.560$ So here again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}35{:}03.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.522$ you see that there are multiple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}35{:}05{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07{.}377$ options and multiple align alignment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:07.377 \dashrightarrow 00:35:09.317$ tools for different applications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.472$ So for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:10.472 \dashrightarrow 00:35:13.160$ here you see the years of publication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.580$ It's not really updated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.174$ The methods that you see in red
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:17.174 \rightarrow 00:35:19.508$ are the ones that were developed

- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}35{:}19{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21{.}230$ specifically for any seeker,

 $00{:}35{:}21{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24{.}278$ and the star that you see here was

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:24.278 \dashrightarrow 00:35:26.987$ developed like it was released in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:26.990 \rightarrow 00:35:30.131$ So almost ten years ago is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:30.131 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.457$ standard alignment tools for back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:32.460 \dashrightarrow 00:35:35.309$ Kearney seek, we saw this with Everett.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.340$ I think two weeks ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:37.340 \dashrightarrow 00:35:39.788$ It's also the most common tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:39.790 \dashrightarrow 00:35:42.226$ The default tools in many single

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

00:35:42.226 --> 00:35:43.038 cell pipelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.735$ So almost all of them will use

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00{:}35{:}45{.}735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48{.}329$ star or high SAT or another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

00:35:48.330 --> 00:35:49.506 Ernie Caecum, splines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:49.506 \dashrightarrow 00:35:51.466$ aware aligner tool in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:35:51.466 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.219$ to perform the alignment,

- $00:35:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.662$ so this is not so different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:55.662 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.290$ from the balcony sick.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- 00:35:57.290 --> 00:35:57.700 Also,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}35{:}57{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59{.}750$ the alignment output that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:35:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.526$ receive will be a burn file, so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}36{:}02{.}526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05{.}018$ This is a file where each original
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}36{:}05{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06{.}528$ reader containers the information
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}36{:}06{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09{.}097$ on the alignment so it contains the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}36{:}09{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10{.}900$ This file contains the coordinate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- 00:36:10.900 --> 00:36:13.054 so the chromosome and the genomic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:36:13.054 \rightarrow 00:36:14.490$ coordinator of the alignment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:36:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.583$ and you need to use this file
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}36{:}16{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18{.}672$ in order to calculate the number
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:36:18.672 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.276$ of reads that map to each gene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:36:21.353 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.739$ or each transcript.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00{:}36{:}22.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.882$ So also this is not different

- NOTE Confidence: 0.963889
- $00:36:24.882 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.049$ from the bunker and a secret.

 $00:36:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.980$ The only difference is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:36:28.980 \rightarrow 00:36:31.450$ example you can have a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:36:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.745$ band files for each cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963889

 $00:36:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.660$ Instead of only one bonfire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}36{:}38{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40{.}680$ OK, so we what we covered so far is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}36{:}40{.}751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43{.}330$ first data preprocessing, so again we

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:36:43.330 \dashrightarrow 00:36:45.940$ have cell barcode you MI and the RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}36{:}45{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48{.}292$ You cluster cell according to your cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:36:48.292 \rightarrow 00:36:50.799$ reads according to the cell you simplify you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}36{:}50{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52{.}558$ you remove technical duplicates and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54{.}679$ arrive to your gene expression matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:36:54.680 \dashrightarrow 00:36:56.228$ Your digital expression matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:36:56.228 \rightarrow 00:36:58.550$ Now a big difference between account

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}936$ data that you can obtain it back versus

 $00:37:00.936 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.777$ single cell is what you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}37{:}02.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.700$ So this is a typical account matrix from

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:05.700 \dashrightarrow 00:37:08.835$ a balcony sick and you can see the number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:08.840 \rightarrow 00:37:12.818$ Are very high and rarely you see zero values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.806$ The single cell RNA seek are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.798$ These is what you obtain most of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.456$ I would say this is a very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.676$ It is very high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}37{:}21.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.769$ Is an example with a low amount of zeros.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}37{:}24.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.460$ So what you can see is that the numbers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}37{:}28.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.894$ lower and most of the values are zeros.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:31.900 \rightarrow 00:37:34.820$ So the fact that you have lower counts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.298$ it means that in all your analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:37.298 \rightarrow 00:37:39.627$ you will have a higher contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00{:}37{:}39{.}627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42{.}413$ of noise and this will bring you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823

 $00:37:42.489 \rightarrow 00:37:45.069$ to a higher uncertainty in results.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823
- 00:37:45.070 00:37:47.625 And if this is a big problem,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823
- $00:37:47.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.814$ it means that when you choose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823
- 00:37:49.814 --> 00:37:50.906 among different pipelines,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823
- $00:37:50.910 \rightarrow 00:37:54.036$ you will have very different results.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95616823
- $00:37:54.040 \dashrightarrow 00:37:56.176$ And but the origin of these is that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- 00:37:58.560 --> 00:37:59.910 Your original values,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00:37:59.910 \rightarrow 00:38:02.160$ your original quantification of expression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00:38:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.714$ values were generally very low and so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00{:}38{:}04{.}714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06{.}790$ the contribution of noise is higher.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00{:}38{:}06{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09{.}662$ So this problem is one of the main
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- 00:38:09.662 --> 00:38:12.301 problem in single cell RNA seek and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00{:}38{:}12{.}301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15{.}419$ at the moment is kind of unavoidable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00:38:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.138$ I would say the second probably
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00:38:18.138 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.580$ is you have several zeros.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00:38:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.408$ And some of these zeros are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:38:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.420$ Real zeros, meaning that in fact NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00{:}38{:}26{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28{.}790$ sell the gene is not expressed, NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00{:}38{:}28{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30{.}640$ so this corresponds to through NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.120$ biological zeros they represent NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.900$ the true lack of expression, NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:33.900 \rightarrow 00:38:35.904$ but many times the zeros represent NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 00:38:35.904 --> 00:38:37.920 a technical lack of detection, NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.740$ meaning that the gene was NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 00:38:39.740 --> 00:38:41.196 present in your cell, NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:41.200 \dashrightarrow 00:38:44.120$ but it was not detected because it was. NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:44.120 \rightarrow 00:38:46.675$ It was not captured by your Beda, NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.326$ and so you don't have a way to see NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 00:38:49.326 --> 00:38:52.040 your gene because you didn't detect NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.380$ it in your library preparation. NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 00:38:54.380 --> 00:38:55.072 And obviously, NOTE Confidence: 0.966423 $00:38:55.072 \rightarrow 00:38:57.494$ in methods where you have a a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.966423
- $00:38:57.494 \rightarrow 00:38:59.797$ low coverage inside each cell,

 $00:38:59.800 \rightarrow 00:39:01.730$ the probability of these technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.274$ detection lack of detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.210$ This is also called dropout

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

00:39:05.210 --> 00:39:06.754 effect is very high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:06.760 \dashrightarrow 00:39:10.243$ so I think in the 10X approaches the dropout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:10.250 \rightarrow 00:39:13.362$ If you can expect your jeans to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:13.362 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.680$ not detected with 80% of probability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.655$ Obviously this depends also on

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:17.655 \rightarrow 00:39:20.013$ whether the gene is highly expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:20.013 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.853$ or as low expression level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.076$ So if a gene has high expression level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.060$ Capability to be detected at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.860$ with one molecule is higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:28.860 \rightarrow 00:39:30.930$ but jeans with low expression levels,

 $00:39:30.930 \rightarrow 00:39:32.302$ for example transcription factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:32.302 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.674$ will rarely be detected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.080$ but most of the times it will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

00:39:36.080 --> 00:39:38.148 because of a detection problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.214$ not because they are not expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:40.214 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.246$ in the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00{:}39{:}41{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43{.}170$ And also this is inherent problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00:39:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.379$ with a single cell data analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

 $00{:}39{:}45{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47{.}810$ So it's very important to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966423

00:39:47.810 --> 00:39:48.290 Doodle

NOTE Confidence: 0.89605373

 $00{:}39{:}50{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51{.}730$ uh no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97740066

00:39:54.800 --> 00:39:57.747 No, yes, so now we wouldn't be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97740066

 $00{:}39{:}57{.}747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01{.}358$ ready at the end of the of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97740066

00:40:01.360 --> 00:40:03.850 So one thing we could do is I I could

NOTE Confidence: 0.97740066

 $00{:}40{:}03.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.217$ continue and finish the next time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750521

 $00:40:09.040 \rightarrow 00:40:10.660$ With the remaining of the analysis

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750521
- 00:40:10.660 --> 00:40:12.280 steps, sure, yeah, I think Tom,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750521
- $00:40:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.630$ it's your own judgment to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750521
- $00:40:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.980$ how you want to proceed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750521
- $00:40:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.410$ Like do you think it is a natural stuff?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750521
- $00:40:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.146$ Then you can stop if you think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750521
- 00:40:19.146 --> 00:40:21.190 you want to cover 5 more minutes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750521
- $00:40:21.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.810$ go ahead and do that so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- $00{:}40{:}24.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.937$ I can give you like a sort of anticipation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- $00:40:26.937 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.130$ on the on the following steps.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- $00:40:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.106$ So uhm, many of these steps that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- 00:40:33.110 --> 00:40:36.334 I mean, many, many of these steps II
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- $00{:}40{:}36{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}38{.}856$ took inspiration from this review that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- $00{:}40{:}38.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.930$ was recently published in a true method.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- $00{:}40{:}41{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44{.}450$ So it covers the main trials.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- $00{:}40{:}44{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47{.}084$ So the successes and also the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:40:47.084 \rightarrow 00:40:48.840$ limitations of the computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}40{:}48{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51{.}121$ methods for the single cell RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:40:51.121 \rightarrow 00:40:53.994$ seek analysis and so next time we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}40{:}53.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.204$ cover the key preprocessing steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:40:56.210 \rightarrow 00:40:59.150$ We have seen this the molecular counting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}40{:}59{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00{.}718$ but we will see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}00{.}718$ --> $00{:}41{:}03{.}930$ So how we can do quality control?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:41:03.930 \rightarrow 00:41:06.426$ Remove Excel said that are suspicious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

00:41:06.430 --> 00:41:09.146 for example because they are dying or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:41:09.146 \rightarrow 00:41:11.714$ because they represent the empty droplets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}11.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13.939$ or because they represented tablets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

00:41:13.940 --> 00:41:16.698 So doublets occur when you didn't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:41:16.698 \rightarrow 00:41:19.359$ manage to separate physically the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:41:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.808$ So there for example in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:41:21.808 \rightarrow 00:41:24.359$ same droplet you have two cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}24{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27{.}174$ or for some reason the cell barcode

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443
- $00{:}41{:}27{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29{.}778$ of two different cells was shared.

00:41:29.780 --> 00:41:31.548 For some technical problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:41:31.548 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.200$ so we'll see methods to remove.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}34{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}072$ Dying cell and those who tablets then there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}37.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.719$ are problems related to the normalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}39{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42{.}107$ So how to consider how to consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}42.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44.745$ the fact that you have a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}44.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47.049$ read different number of reads in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}47.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50.212$ different cells and this is a problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

00:41:50.212 --> 00:41:52.326 because the biologically speaking you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}52{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55{.}077$ expect the cell of different types to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}55.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57.835$ have a different amount over in Asia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}41{:}57{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}493$ so expect some cells you have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:42:00.493 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.570$ any molecules than other cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:42:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.760$ but most of the methods.

 $00:42:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.644$ Assume that you needed to have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}07.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.956$ have from each cell the same number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}10.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.160$ reads or UMI. And then we will see how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:42:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.720$ So how to remove a jeans that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:42:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.519$ not important in the analysis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}18.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.440$ This is very important because as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

00:42:20.440 --> 00:42:22.856 you can imagine in single cell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}22.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.998$ have thousands of genes and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}24{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26{.}220$ thousands of cells are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}26{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28{.}677$ So your account matrix is very highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}28.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.004$ dimensional and so all of these methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}31.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.217$ try to reduce the number of cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:42:33.217 \rightarrow 00:42:35.251$ are keeping only the high quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00{:}42{:}35{.}251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37{.}678$ sales but also the number of genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:42:37.678 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.438$ So reducing like the dimensionality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8701443

 $00:42:39.438 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.628$ of of your data.

 $00:42:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.360$ Leash.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874245

 $00{:}42{:}47{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50{.}898$ That sounds a mazing. I look forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874245

00:42:50.900 --> 00:42:53.140 next week. Yeah. And also next week

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874245

 $00{:}42{:}53{.}140$ --> $00{:}42{:}55{.}415$ when you see the downstream analysis NOTE Confidence: 0.9874245

 $00:42:55.415 \rightarrow 00:42:58.313$ that for example class ring for single

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874245

 $00:42:58.381 \rightarrow 00:43:01.051$ cell approaches and also trajectory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874245

 $00{:}43{:}01{.}051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03{.}187$ possibly also trajectory estimation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93677676

00:43:04.840 --> 00:43:07.045 Yeah, thanks so much and nothing really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93677676

 $00{:}43{:}07{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09{.}493$ Want to comment. Is your your slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.93677676

 $00:43:09.493 \rightarrow 00:43:12.073$ look very beautiful and I wish all my

NOTE Confidence: 0.93677676

 $00{:}43{:}12.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.290$ slides and possibly on people in my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93677676

 $00{:}43{:}14.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.495$ There's as well looks as nice when

NOTE Confidence: 0.93677676

 $00{:}43{:}16{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19{.}036$ I try and try to put a course

NOTE Confidence: 0.93677676

00:43:19.036 --> 00:43:21.216 on this so it's kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93677676

 $00:43:21.220 \rightarrow 00:43:23.796$ maybe we should use your slides as template.