WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:23:44.5970000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.121$ Thanks, Diane, I'm so sorry that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:00:02.121 --> 00:00:04.459 don't get to meet you in person's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.788$ of course, but it's always fun to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}06.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.170$ see you and talk science with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:00:09.170 --> 00:00:10.934 So yeah, today I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:10.934 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.837$ talk about 2 two main aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}12.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.126$ of what we studying in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.111$ The first one is really going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:17.111 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.701$ be from my first love and what I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:00:19.701 --> 00:00:22.040 been studying for the past 12 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.610$ which is a ritual poiesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.032$ And in the second part we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:00:26.032 --> 00:00:28.056 to move towards something that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}28.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.540$ I was totally a Neo fit for the.

00:00:30.540 --> 00:00:33.676 And I would say, five years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.210$ four years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.760$ which is really looking into

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.292$ skeletal defects in this disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:40.292 \dashrightarrow 00:00:43.136$ called Diamond Black fan anemia so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:00:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.198$ Here is my computer of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:00:45.200 --> 00:00:47.174 OK, here is an overview of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}47.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.544$ talk in the first spot I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}49.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.722$ to give a general introduction on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}51.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.636$ Diamond Black fan anemia because

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}53.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.832$ I'm not sure about the audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}55.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.884$ but everyone knows about the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}00{:}57.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.074$ Then I'm going to get right into

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:00.074 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.409$ the subject and talk to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:02.409 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.401$ this study that we published last

 $00:01:04.468 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.694$ year on the mechanism of action

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:06.694 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.524$ of steroids during normal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:08.524 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.244$ disordered humanary choices with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:01:10.244 --> 00:01:12.299 focus on Diamond black fan anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.904$ and I'll try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:13.904 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.909$ To insist on understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}01{:}15.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.048$ developmental differences and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:17.048 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.400$ the role of this protein P 57.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}01{:}19.400 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.950$ Keep two in the mechanism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.150$ action of steroids and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:01:24.150 --> 00:01:27.078 I will go to modeling the skeletal defects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}01{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.312$ observing DBA and I'll get back

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:29.312 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.469$ to Erato places at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.270$ But really the main take home

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.933$ message here is to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:34.933 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.933$ that skeletal defects in Diamond

00:01:36.933 --> 00:01:39.160 Black fan anemia are mediated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}01{:}39.160 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.445$ In part, I would say by failure of Leo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:01:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.926$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:01:42.926 --> 00:01:47.210 have you moved past the first slide or no?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}01{:}47.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.318$ Yeah, it's not advancing on the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00{:}01{:}50.320 \to 00{:}01{:}54.904$ I don't know why I think we should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:01:54.910 --> 00:01:57.964 I shouldn't be the cohost Genie

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:01:57.964 --> 00:02:00.370 because it keeps popping in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:02:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.446$ You want to be the host?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:02:02.450 --> 00:02:02.764 No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:02:02.764 --> 00:02:05.590 I don't want to be the host because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

00:02:05.668 --> 00:02:08.349 see all the people popping in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:02:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.090$ So let me do something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:02:10.090 \dashrightarrow 00:02:12.211$ What I was saying is really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:02:12.211 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.009$ take home that the skeletal

00:02:14.009 --> 00:02:15.637 defects in IVR mediated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:02:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.090$ in part by the failure of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:02:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.316$ mesenchymal lineages and how DBA can

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:02:20.316 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.161$ be a cancer predisposition syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.81004965

 $00:02:22.161 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.659$ and touch a little bit on that idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

00:02:27.040 --> 00:02:29.941 Other side moving now. Yes, perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00{:}02{:}29.941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.589$ So as I mentioned just a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:02:32.589 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.855$ of introduction on the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:02:34.855 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.645$ features of Diamond Black fan anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:02:37.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.924$ it Sahara trade hyperplasia an you

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00{:}02{:}39.924 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.878$ can see on this bone marrow smear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

00:02:42.880 --> 00:02:45.764 Although in the US physician don't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:02:45.764 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.762$ a smear anymore on this patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:02:48.762 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.718$ the bone marrow is pretty normal except

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:02:51.718 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.931$ for a Pau city of era trade pictures is

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:02:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.950$ the patients have congenital anomalies.

 $00:02:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.100$ They have skeletal and growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:02:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.820$ defects which will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:03:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.925$ Focus of the talk today in the second part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:03:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.006$ Here you can observe, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

00:03:06.010 --> 00:03:08.086 try financial firm in this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:03:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.322$ This was documented by Adriana Blouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:03:10.322 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.498$ and Jeff Lipton several times in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

00:03:12.498 --> 00:03:14.364 the literature and they also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00{:}03{:}14.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.415$ a cancer predisposition and I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00{:}03{:}16.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.821$ insist on that because I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:03:18.821 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.927$ one of the most fascinating questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.842228368

 $00:03:20.927 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.620$ that remained in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}03{:}25.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.660$ So just a little bit of history here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:03:28.660 --> 00:03:30.690 The Diamond Black fan anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:03:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.890$ the classic diagnostic criteria was

 $00:03:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.878$ described by Joseph in 1936 and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:03:35.878 --> 00:03:38.377 rebuilt by Diamond Black Fan in 1938.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}03{:}38.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.810$ First as pure red cell aplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:03:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.602$ That led to the classic definition of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:03:43.602 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.079$ moderate to severe microcytic anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:03:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.590$ And I'll get back to that at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}03{:}49.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.631$ because that's what I've been a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:03:52.631 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.490$ problem in the field is to model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}03{:}55.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.731$ Diamond Black fan anemia in the classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:03:58.731 --> 00:04:01.399 mouse model systems that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:04:01.399 --> 00:04:04.199 because it's very difficult to model A

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:04.279 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.339$ macrocytic anemia with an increase MCV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.236$ That is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:04:08.236 --> 00:04:10.476 I complained by reticular cytopenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}04{:}10.480 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.280$ a decrease in reticular site due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.382$ stress erythropoiesis that happens in the

00:04:15.382 --> 00:04:18.040 spring in the mouse and as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:04:18.040 --> 00:04:19.990 bone marrow is normal cylinder with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.000$ opacity of red cell precursors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.608$ It's diagnosed at an age less than a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}04{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.004$ But now we have expanded the definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:04:29.004 --> 00:04:31.662 of DBA with criteria that come with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:04:31.662 --> 00:04:34.569 from a more robust Epidemiology as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:34.569 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.557$ result of international registries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}04{:}36.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.360$ and I will point out the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}04{:}39.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.789$ of this clinical registries such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:41.789 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.521$ the one we have, a defined Steam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:04:44.521 --> 00:04:46.506 the diamond black fan anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:04:46.510 --> 00:04:48.238 registry of North America,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:04:48.238 --> 00:04:51.679 but also the ones from Europe in the UK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.874$ Germany, Italy, Sweden.

00:04:52.874 --> 00:04:55.262 Of course France and many others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:04:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.126$ Gene discovery was very important in helping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}04{:}58.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.260$ Redefined the disease diamond black

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.388$ fan and 23 genes now like categorized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:03.388 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.759$ as DBA jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.382$ 11 of them discovered through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}05{:}07.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.664$ Diamond Black fan anemia registry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:09.664 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.877$ and this led to a modern diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:12.877 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.118$ criteria because at first Diamond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:15.118 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.016$ Black fan was discovered as a mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}05{:}18.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.666$ in a gene encoding ribosomal protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.322$ Whether in the small subunit or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:23.322 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.090$ in the large subunit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

00:05:25.090 --> 00:05:27.736 But now we've studies from Vigesaa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.961$ Karen and Overs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:28.961 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.403$ Getting one mutations and over new

 $00:05:31.403 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.712$ mutations found and we're rather call

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00{:}05{:}33.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.062$ it Diamond black fan anemia syndromes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252814

 $00:05:36.062 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.750$ rather than Diamond black fan anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:05:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.014$ OK, so from there where are we going?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:05:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.780$ The damn little bit of wording of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

00:05:47.780 --> 00:05:49.900 Diamond Black fan anemia registry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

00:05:49.900 --> 00:05:53.266 As I told you DBA is a rare disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:05:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.098$ It's about the incidence is about 7

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:05:56.098 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.402$ chameleon birth and that computes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00{:}05{:}58.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.578$ about 25 to 30 new patients a year in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:01.578 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.780$ the United States here at Feinstein

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.420$ in the registry we have about 800

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00{:}06{:}06.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.650$ patients that are enrolled from Dad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:08.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.947$ About 788 are coming from North America

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:11.947 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.000$ and the male to female ratio is 1 to one.

 $00:06:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.276$ In the demographics we have 670 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:19.276 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.130$ that are alive which allows us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

00:06:22.130 --> 00:06:24.706 Kind of a decent access to samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.558$ and that's that's pretty good when

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:26.558 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.776$ you want to do translational research

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:28.776 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.700$ element back to that, 118 are dead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

00:06:31.700 --> 00:06:32.060 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.680$ the median age is 20 three years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.888$ So what did they die from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

00:06:36.890 --> 00:06:39.008 They died from stem cell transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:39.008 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.950$ related complications from iron overload,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00{:}06{:}40.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.366$ which is a big issue and I'll get

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:43.366 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.750$ to that because these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00{:}06{:}45.750 \to 00{:}06{:}47.958$ when they are not steroid responsive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.815$ OK they are transfusion dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:49.815 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.020$ and when they get transfusions over,

 $00:06:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.181$ transfusions over transfusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:53.181 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.729$ Of course they accumulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.754$ Iran and Iran is a big issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.930$ They also die from infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:06:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.614$ sepsis, often colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067997

 $00:07:01.614 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.690$ and obviously tumors or from other cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:07:07.870 --> 00:07:10.582 OK, the current therapies I touched on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:10.582 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.820$ peripheral red blood cells transfusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.316$ but the mainstay of the treatment when a

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:15.316 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.807$ patient is diagnosed with diamond black

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:17.807 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.052$ fan anemia is really corticosteroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.349$ OK, the only cure for the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:22.349 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.629$ is a stem cell transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.780$ However Anile insist on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}07{:}26.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.930$ the stem cell transplant doesn't

00:07:29.003 --> 00:07:31.328 protect them from getting cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}07{:}31.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.912$ So this is a family that agreed of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:34.912 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.385$ to provide this picture and the dad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.060$ Is actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.934$ Responsive to steroids and the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:43.934 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.390$ daughters have a different phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:07:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.150$ and so that the first daughter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}07{:}49.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.146$ OK here is also having a nemia that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}07{:}52.146 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}07{:}54.526$ is responsive to steroid while

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:07:54.526 --> 00:07:57.046 the second one is transfusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:07:57.046 --> 00:08:00.076 dependent and we really don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:00.076 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.944$ why steroids within the same family

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:02.944 \dashrightarrow 00:08:07.216$ can for example lead to a response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}08{:}07.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.495$ Or a resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:08:08.495 --> 00:08:12.339 and so that's a problem that one of my MD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:08:12.340 --> 00:08:13.808 PhD student, Ryan Ashley,

 $00{:}08{:}13.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.516$ decided to back off for his PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:16.516 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.132$ is to understand the mechanism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:08:19.132 --> 00:08:21.204 action of glucocorticoids to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:21.204 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.588$ the red cell mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:08:22.590 --> 00:08:24.162 Because, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:24.162 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.734$ it was really unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.076$ It was really unclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:27.076 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.072$ I should say not unknown but unclear at

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:30.072 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.826$ which stage during era trade differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.724$ the glucocorticoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:33.724 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.853$ And here I'm going to just mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:36.853 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.789$ dexamethas one in culture was acting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}08{:}38.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.815$ There was some studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:40.815 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.435$ were saying that yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.655$ glucocorticoid acts early

 $00:08:43.655 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.680$ at the BFUE stage OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:08:45.680 --> 00:08:47.336 especially in the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:47.336 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.268$ While over more recent studies by mayor

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:50.268 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.865$ of Sokolowski had shown it was acting

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:08:52.865 --> 00:08:55.397 later on on the later progenitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.238$ the CFV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:56.238 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.171$ while in the human aritro places early

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:08:59.171 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.313$ studies had showed demonstrated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}09{:}01.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.700$ it was acting on the late progenitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:09:04.700 --> 00:09:05.181 Indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:09:05.181 --> 00:09:08.067 we're not reinventing the wheel right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.198$ In 1976 already studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:10.198 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.390$ Beautiful studies had been done showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:09:13.479 --> 00:09:16.371 that demonstrating that the CFU in

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:16.371 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.853$ number was increased as we increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:19.853 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.049$ the dexamethasone concentration.

 $00:09:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.890$ So if we go into human arixtra places

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.685$ now everything is happening in the bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}09{:}27.685 \to 00{:}09{:}30.110$ marrow from the hematopoietic stem cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:09:30.110 --> 00:09:32.030 hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.110$ and here Diane will have to excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}09{:}35.110 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.006$ But I'm going to by pass all the stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:38.006 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.246$ and not argue about which one is which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:09:41.250 --> 00:09:43.254 I'm just going to go directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:43.254 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.975$ to the BFG and to the BFUECFUE

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:45.975 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.155$ to the area trade progenitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.152$ which is what is really of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:09:50.152 --> 00:09:52.094 our interest today because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}09{:}52.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.738$ terminal differentiation wants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.260$ And progenitor is entering the terminal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:09:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.940$ Differentiation there is not

 $00:09:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.068$ so much that happens, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}10{:}00.068 --> 00{:}10{:}00.516 \ Committed,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:00.516 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.204$ it's differentiated and you just have

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:03.204 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.486$ four to five cell divisions over 5 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.762$ That leads to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:10:08.762 --> 00:10:09.898 orthochromatic erythrocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:09.900 \dashrightarrow 00:10:12.378$ And the processes of a new creation

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:12.378 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.178$ that we still don't fully understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}10{:}15.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.616$ Leading to the reticular site that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:10:17.616 --> 00:10:19.240 remodels its plasma membrane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}10{:}19.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.256$ degrades all the internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:21.256 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.776$ compartments and become the red

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:23.776 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.760$ blood cell that leaves for 120 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.910$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.501$ So we started this study by an

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:32.501 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.310$ observation very crude observation.

 $00:10:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.830$ We took CD 34 positive cells the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.839$ so called hematopoietic stem and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00{:}10{:}39.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.994$ progenitor cells that were derived

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

00:10:41.994 --> 00:10:43.878 weather from peripheral blood PB

NOTE Confidence: 0.837899

 $00:10:43.878 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.982$ for the rest of the talk or from

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:10:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.188$ cold blood CB and what we observe

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:10:48.188 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.476$ this that when we treated these

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00{:}10{:}50.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.601$ cells with dexame thasone we observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00{:}10{:}52.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.781$ an increase in the cell expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:10:54.781 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.054$ for the cells that will be derived,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:10:57.054 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.358$ derived from an adult source compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00{:}10{:}59.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.988$ to the ones that were derived from

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:01.988 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.094$ the cold blood and actually we

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00{:}11{:}04.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.285$ saw a decrease in the expansion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.747$ And this to us was really intriguing.

 $00:11:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.118$ What was happening here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

00:11:10.118 --> 00:11:12.610 This was going against not the dogma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.088$ but against all the protocols that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:15.088 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.170$ been using dexamethasone in their culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.082$ Here we were using a culture systems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

00:11:20.082 --> 00:11:23.197 was not using any steroids at baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.329$ So we decided to go deeper into

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:26.329 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.223$ the mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.966$ And what we found thanks to the method

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:29.966 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.477$ and that Manada had developed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00{:}11{:}32.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.099$ study the surface markers for Louise

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:35.175 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.580$ and then we validated everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

00:11:37.580 --> 00:11:40.058 Of course, with colony forming assays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.279$ we observed that it's actually the CFU

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

00:11:42.279 --> 00:11:44.884 E from the peripheral blood treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:44.884 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.519$ with dexamethasone that we're expanding.

 $00:11:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.872$ You can observe here that none

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:49.872 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.070$ of the BFU is order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

00:11:52.070 --> 00:11:54.140 CFU is affected except for

NOTE Confidence: 0.837423

 $00:11:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.796$ the peripheral blood treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.142$ When we when we then sorted

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:02.142 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.026$ to cells OK on based on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:05.026 --> 00:12:07.416 surface marker expression by fax.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.269$ By flow cytometry we started the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:10.270 --> 00:12:13.105 We observed that again it was DCF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00{:}12{:}13.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.518$ UE so-called CFU Ian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00{:}12{:}14.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.477$ Here on code on code because they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:17.477 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.799$ not derived from colony forming assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00{:}12{:}19.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.478$ but by surface marker expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.880$ We observed that these were the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.950$ ones derived from peripheral blood.

 $00:12:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.080$ When we then validated the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.358$ findings by Colony.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:30.360 --> 00:12:31.198 Coming essays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:31.198 --> 00:12:33.712 we observed that indeed the surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:33.712 --> 00:12:36.514 area the colony area for the CFO

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:36.514 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.898$ is formed by peripheral blood were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:38.898 --> 00:12:41.454 indeed the ones that were responding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.393$ and I would not hear that very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00{:}12{:}44.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46.514$ puzzling and interesting here was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:46.514 --> 00:12:48.860 that the cold blood at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:48.860 --> 00:12:49.646 without dexame thasone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:49.646 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.397$ we're having kind of the same size

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:52.397 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.554$ surface area as the peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

00:12:54.554 --> 00:12:56.250 blood treated with dexamethasone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.305$ meaning that maybe and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:12:58.305 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.538$ is just speculation,

 $00:12:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.083$ because I have no proof of that, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00{:}13{:}03.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.398$ Maybe this cells were already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7586687

 $00:13:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.168$ Maximum in their response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.816$ The best proof to us would be to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:13:13.816 --> 00:13:16.239 go back to the patient, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:13:16.239 --> 00:13:18.951 Because if we are writing what we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:13:18.951 --> 00:13:21.386 asserting that it's a late progenitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}13{:}21.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.006$ that respond to dexame thasone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.750$ we have to prove that in a patient with DB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.620$ So we took three patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.460$ OK, that are known to respond to steroids

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}13{:}31.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.671$ and what we did is that we measure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:34.671 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.004$ response to steroid by measuring simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:37.004 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.832$ the reticle sight count in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.472$ OK, in this patients.

 $00:13:41.472 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.512$ After treatment with steroids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}13{:}43.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.465$ so my good friend I knew Nola in her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}13{:}46.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.652$ clinic had three patients that she

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:48.652 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.634$ was following and what we did is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:13:51.634 --> 00:13:53.866 she treated them with Prednisone in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:53.866 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.730$ that case because it was in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:13:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.015$ not in vitro and then measure the blood cast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.745$ What she observed is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}14{:}01.745 --> 00{:}14{:}04.399$ within 7 to 10 days a response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.220$ Heretical site response was observed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}14{:}06.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.226$ This if we go back to the basics of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:09.226 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.904$ very true Poesis tells us that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:11.904 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.998$ has to come from a late progenitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}14{:}15.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.401$ It cannot come for a very early

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:14:17.401 --> 00:14:18.820 progenitor very early BFUE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.518$ because as I showed you before

 $00:14:20.518 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.637$ in the diagram in the schematics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:14:22.637 --> 00:14:24.020 of human erythropoiesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.701$ it will take way much longer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}14{:}26.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.419$ come from an early progenitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.620$ So having said that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.370$ having showed that probably it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.470$ Kind of late for genital that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.520$ respond to steroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.270$ We decided to go further

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.670$ down into the mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.740$ but before going further down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.110$ into the mechanism we need it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.270$ To figure out this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.970$ heterogeneity of human error trade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.685$ progenitors to really try to understand

 $00:14:52.685 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.270$ better what is going on here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.348$ because this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:14:56.348 --> 00:14:59.043 Hierarchy here, and you're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:14:59.043 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.679$ going to contradict me on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:15:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.532$ Is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:02.532 --> 00:15:03.810 Yeah, it's nice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:03.810 --> 00:15:06.360 but really it's BFU E2 CFUE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:15:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.490$ but what else in between?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}15{:}08.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.898$ When you look at them under the microscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:15:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.462$ the colony forming assays and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:14.462 --> 00:15:17.010 provided by young Cheyenne Mohans lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:15:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.140$ This is the same plate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:19.140 --> 00:15:19.547 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:15:19.547 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.582$ it's kind of subjective because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:15:21.582 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.785$ all these colonies have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:23.785 --> 00:15:25.813 sizes showing probably heterogeneity

 $00:15:25.813 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.348$ of the era trade progenitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}15{:}28.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.521$ An indeed in a previous study we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:31.521 --> 00:15:34.330 published in 2018 based on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:34.330 --> 00:15:37.048 two surface markers CD34 CD 36

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:37.048 --> 00:15:39.717 that would define the BF you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:39.717 --> 00:15:42.300 here in the lower right quadrant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:15:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.350$ or the CFU is in the upper left quadrant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}15{:}46.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.870$ We observed again a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:48.870 --> 00:15:50.886 between combread and peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}15{:}50.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.844$ blood because we observed a double

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}15{:}53.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.744$ positive population that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:15:55.744 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.270$ present for a long time in culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:15:58.270 --> 00:16:01.030 In cells derived from peripheral blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.970$ but not much more transient, incorporated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.310$ And so we took the bed.

 $00:16:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.226$ Kind of crazy bet that maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.695$ This double positive population was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:12.695 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.179$ the one that was responding to steroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979 00:16:16.180 --> 00:16:16.711 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:16.711 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.897$ We went further and decided to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

00:16:19.897 --> 00:16:21.490 characterize this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}16{:}21.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.630$ We added surface markers OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.334$ we tested on SA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:25.334 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.464$ tested 10s of surface markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.368$ I think an she observed that city

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}16{:}30.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.621$ 105 and CD 71 was giving the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:34.621 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.953$ resolution to discriminate between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00{:}16{:}36.953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.831$ what we call now the immature

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:39.831 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.587$ and mature CFU E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278979

 $00:16:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.622$ And indeed, when we put them plated them in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:16:45.630 --> 00:16:48.700 People only that give rise to see a few E.

 $00:16:48.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.849$ This is the definition of a CFU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:16:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.050$ It responds to Ipoh only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:16:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.878$ Or incomplete media to generate the beer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:16:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.676$ and so we there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00{:}16{:}57.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.370$ It's indeed consistent with what she

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:17:00.457 --> 00:17:03.661 says is that it's it is this image you

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:03.661 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.824$ see FUE that has the potential that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00{:}17{:}06.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.780$ didn't get a chance to answer yet as

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:17:10.780 --> 00:17:14.190 the potential forming both of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.010$ The BSU and the CFU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.896$ OK, when it's placed under any media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:17:21.900 --> 00:17:24.508 So it's not yet a fully committed CFU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.082$ E, but it's not a BF UA also, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00{:}17{:}28.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.449$ We need single sarony seek for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.490$ To really look at them deeply

 $00:17:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.510$ and characterize them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.890$ but it's consistent with what Miraf said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:17:36.890 --> 00:17:40.090 and I'm going to go further down with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.130$ that because of the mechanism of action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:17:43.130 --> 00:17:45.405 So I'm I'm not going to spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:45.405 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.329$ a lot of time here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.130$ It's basically this image you see a few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.460$ We did respond, but importantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00{:}17{:}52.460 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}17{:}54.050$ When we treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.110$ With dexamethasone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00{:}17{:}55.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.483$ we see a reduction of the S

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:57.483 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.180$ phase as may arrive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:17:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.960$ Did in the mature CFD population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.584$ And how is that working so we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:05.584 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.474$ into the cell cycle and into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:08.474 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.114$ mechanism of Regulation an yeah may

00:18:11.114 --> 00:18:13.984 have had done everything in the mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00{:}18{:}13.990 \to 00{:}18{:}16.662$ so that was pretty easy, quote, unquote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:16.662 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.364$ To answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:17.364 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.851$ we had P 57 keep to that she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:20.851 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.449$ published in cell in Science Advances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.690$ OK, that was involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.310$ regulation of steroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:18:28.310 --> 00:18:28.686 Indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:28.686 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.694$ what we observed is that in peripheral blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:18:31.700 --> 00:18:34.444 OK, there was a downregulation of P57 very

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:34.444 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.359$ early on by the seven of differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.240$ Here, we observed that P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.972$ 57 was totally down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:40.972 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.570$ while in the cold blood it

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:43.666 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.508$ was still remaining.

00:18:45.510 --> 00:18:47.037 P 27 however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00{:}18{:}47.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.073$ was gradually increasing over

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

 $00:18:49.073 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.710$ the 14 days of culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79175115

00:18:51.710 --> 00:18:54.818 and here is Alpha Globin's control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90529215 00:18:56.980 --> 00:18:57.700 Then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

00:18:59.990 --> 00:19:02.478 we looked at the purified CFU E and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

00:19:02.478 --> 00:19:04.635 here really not looking at image

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

 $00{:}19{:}04.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.825$ services mature because we did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

 $00:19:06.891 \dashrightarrow 00:19:09.278$ have enough cells to do the Western.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

 $00:19:09.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.926$ So when I say see if you hear is the mix

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

 $00{:}19{:}12.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.409$ of the two and we observe an increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

 $00:19:16.503 \dashrightarrow 00:19:19.847$ the expression levels OK of P57 Kip 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

00:19:19.850 --> 00:19:22.060 Under CFIA, derived from peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

 $00:19:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.270$ blood but not cold blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

 $00:19:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.086$ P. 27 wasn't changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8127419

 $00:19:26.086 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.999$ So how do we relate that to DBA now?

 $00:19:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.479$ Well, we went back to our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:19:35.479 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.200$ with DBA and as I told you the benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:19:38.200 --> 00:19:40.541 of being part of the Diamond Black

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:19:40.541 --> 00:19:42.809 fan registries that you have access

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:19:42.809 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.845$ to samples and patients are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:19:44.845 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.500$ eager to contribute to studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:19:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.484$ As I'm sure you know and so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00{:}19{:}48.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.711$ had a transfusion dependent or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:19:50.711 --> 00:19:52.367 the steroid responsive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:19:52.370 --> 00:19:54.426 Just a note, it's much easier to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:19:54.426 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.312$ blood from transfusion dependent than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:19:56.312 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.502$ the steroid responsive because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:19:58.502 --> 00:20:00.198 steroid responsive don't come to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.237$ Clinic they just called to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:20:02.237 --> 00:20:03.884 refill on their steroids because

 $00:20:03.884 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.996$ the treatment works so they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:05.996 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.680$ need to come to clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.200$ However, the transfusion dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.940$ come over and So what we observe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.488$ is that the expansion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.325$ Was indeed very effective in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:15.325 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.426$ the series responsive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.496$ but not in the transfusion dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00{:}20{:}19.500 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}20{:}22.139$ And here I have to give credit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:20:22.139 --> 00:20:25.443 to Ryan because he did a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00{:}20{:}25.443 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}20{:}27.115$ experiments working on about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:27.115 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.826$ 20,000 cells to get Western blots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:20:29.830 --> 00:20:33.134 Because you have a pool city of very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00{:}20{:}33.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.190$ trade progenitors as I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.668$ But what we observed is exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:20:37.668 --> 00:20:39.320 what Diane was asking.

 $00:20:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.450$ OK, and what we what we published is that P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00{:}20{:}43.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.158$ 57 OK is actually up regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

00:20:47.160 --> 00:20:49.965 Industry responsive and not into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546087

 $00:20:49.965 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.648$ transfusion dependent patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:20:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.406$ And so we leave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:20:55.406 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.580$ We left it here on that paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:20:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.970$ although we undertook some proteomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.586$ and the data are available and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:21:04.586 --> 00:21:06.878 paper that was published last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.222$ if I can change the slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:10.222 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.780$ in JCI and there are over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.306$ The targets, notably one that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:21:16.306 --> 00:21:19.419 sure is of interest of several people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:21:19.419 --> 00:21:22.190 in the audience, such as NL 41,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.965$ another cell cycle regulator that

 $00:21:23.965 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.517$ has been involved in proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00{:}21{:}26.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.637$ and differentiation and cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.784$ and we are actively following up on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.170$ With Pat and Lori Steiner so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:21:37.170 --> 00:21:38.740 In conclusion, for this spot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.560$ what I can tell you now is that we start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:21:42.560 --> 00:21:43.913 Understanding not completely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:43.913 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.619$ we don't have a complete picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00{:}21{:}46.620 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}21{:}48.875$ but still with starting making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:21:48.875 --> 00:21:51.130 progress in response to steroid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00{:}21{:}51.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.490$ we think that we can draw a comparison

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:21:54.490 --> 00:21:57.439 between healthy control patients with TBI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:21:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.652$ an adult versus neonet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:21:59.652 --> 00:22:01.864 Hematopoietic stem and progenitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

00:22:01.864 --> 00:22:04.934 cells and probably P 57 Kip, too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:22:04.934 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.238$ is central to the response to

00:22:07.238 --> 00:22:09.900 steroids on this imagery population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00{:}22{:}09.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.777$ The image you see FUE population that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:22:12.777 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.525$ we still have to further characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8211199

 $00:22:15.525 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.375$ an we actively doing that an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.616$ Leading to self renewal of this population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:23.616 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.859$ an increasing the red cell mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

00:22:25.860 --> 00:22:27.820 Thinking a lot of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.557$ Of course, the members of the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

00:22:30.560 --> 00:22:32.954 Julian, who's been my partner in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:32.954 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.760$ crime for the past 10 years with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.004$ who I probably would be lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.938$ The people in the lab who do an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00{:}22{:}40.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.497$ amazing work and the past members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

00:22:43.500 --> 00:22:45.426 My MD, PhD students that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:45.426 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.326$ graduated now and I'll collaborators

 $00:22:47.326 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.590$ within the Feinstein, Jeff and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.964$ And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:52.964 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.254$ our outside collaborators my as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

00:22:55.254 --> 00:22:58.419 I call him my my scientific dad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:22:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.508$ more Han Bat, who has been following me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:23:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.778$ I would say listening to me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

00:23:05.778 --> 00:23:08.734 for the past 10 years also or 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

00:23:08.734 --> 00:23:10.644 years listening to my complaints

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:23:10.727 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.200$ and everything very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:23:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.468$ very patient with me and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00{:}23{:}14.468 --> 00{:}23{:}15.980$ this will help us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:23:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.152$ We've all done older single serving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00{:}23{:}18.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.099$ is sick that I didn't have time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00{:}23{:}21.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.714$ present today and you and all our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00{:}23{:}23.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.570$ collaborators from the USA and in France.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:23:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.838$ And of course our funding source

 $00:23:28.838 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.350$ from NIH hanovers foundations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:23:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.630$ If you have any questions feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:23:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.980$ free to send me an email.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00:23:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.080$ Thanks for your attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8265596

 $00{:}23{:}36.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.320$ will be happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79174405

 $00:23:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.597$ Thank you, Leo, for truly excellent talk.