Size Exclusion Chromatography Coupled with Light Scattering: Application to Study Proteins and Protein Complexes

### Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Coupled with Light Scattering (LS)

- Derivation of Molecular Weight from LS experiment
- Experimental Set Up for SEC/LS "in-line"
- Evaluation of the SEC/LS System Results for Standard Proteins Sample Requirements
- Applications of SEC/LS to study protein complexes
- Conclusions

### Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Coupled with Light Scattering (LS)

- Derivation of Molecular Weight from LS experiment
- Experimental Set Up for SEC/LS "in-line"
- Evaluation of the SEC/LS System Results for Standard Proteins Sample Requirements
- Applications of SEC/LS to study protein complexes
- Conclusions

## Light Scattering Experiments



### Static Light Scattering Experiments

Debye-Zimm formalism for  $R(\Theta)$ , the excess intensity of scattered light at an angle  $\Theta$ 

$$\frac{K^*c}{R(\theta)} = \frac{1}{MwP(\theta)} + 2A_2c$$

- c is the sample concentration (g/ml)
  M<sub>w</sub> is the weight-average molecular weight (molar mass)
- $A_2$  is the second virial coefficient (ml-mol/g<sup>2</sup>)
- K\* is an optical parameter equal to  $4\pi^2 n^2 (dn/dc)^2 / (\lambda_0^4 N_A)$
- n is the solvent refractive index and dn/dc is the refractive index increment
- N<sub>A</sub> is Avogadro's number
- $\lambda_0$  is the wavelength of the scattered light in vacuum (cm)
- $P(\theta)$  is the form factor (describes angular dependence of scattered light)

### Static Light Scattering Experiments

at low concentrations c < 0.1 mg/mL

 $2A_2cMw << 1$ 

thus, the second virial coefficient term  $(2A_2c)$  can be neglected

$$\frac{K^*c}{R(\theta)} = \frac{1}{M_w P(\theta)} + 2A_2 c \longrightarrow \frac{K^*c}{R(\theta)} = \frac{1}{M_w P(\theta)}$$

expansion of  $P(\Theta)$  to the first order gives

 $1/P(\Theta) = 1 + (16\pi^2/3\lambda^2) < r_g^2 > \sin^2(\Theta/2) + \dots$ 

### Static Light Scattering Experiments

$$\frac{K^*c}{R(\theta)} = \frac{1}{M_w} (1 + (16\pi^2/3\lambda^2) < r_g^2 > \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}))$$

Using a multi angle instrument construct a plot of

$$\frac{K^*c}{R(\theta)} \quad \text{against} \quad \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2})$$

From intercept --- Derived MW

### Zimm Plot Ovalbumin (43 kDa)



At low concentrations



### From intercept — Derived MW

### weight-average MW

fractionate samples

### Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Coupled with Light Scattering (LS)

- Derivation of Molecular Weight from LS experiment
- Experimental Set Up for SEC/LS "in-line"
- Evaluation of the SEC/LS System Results for Standard Proteins Sample Requirements
- Applications of SEC/LS to study protein complexes
- Conclusions



### Zimm Plot Ovalbumin (43 kDa)





### Molar Mass Distribution Plot

Ovalbumin 43 kDa

Molar Mass vs. Volume



### Molar Mass Distribution Plot

BSA 66 kDa

Molar Mass vs. Volume



### Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Coupled with Light Scattering (LS)

- Derivation of Molecular Weight from LS experiment
- Experimental Set Up for SEC/LS "in-line"
- Evaluation of the SEC/LS System

Results for Standard Proteins Sample Requirements

- Applications of SEC/LS to study protein complexes
- Conclusions

#### Molecular Weights Determined from "in line" analyses; static LS with SEC in line; **16 protein standards**, MW **6.5 to 475 kDa**

Protein	Oligomeric	# Pups	Pred. MW	Average	Average error
FIOLEIII	state	Runs	(KDa)	MW ± St. Dev. (kDa)	(70)
Aprotinin	monomer	2	6.5	6.8 ± 0.5	4.6
Cytochrome C	monomer	5	12.3	12.01 ± 0.57	2.4
$\alpha$ -Lactalbumin	monomer	2	14.2	14.32 ± 0.01	0.9
Myoglobin	monomer	3	17.0	14.19 ± 0.91	16
βLactglobulin	monomer	2	18.3	20.06 ± 0.33	9.7
Tripsin inhibitor	monomer	1	20.0	20.50	2.3
Carbonic anhydrase	monomer	4	29.0	29.22 ± 0.20	0.8
Ovalbumin	monomer	10	42.8	42.52 ± 0.68	1.4
BSA (monomer)	monomer	5	66.4	66.41 ± 1.00	1.2
Transferrin	monomer	2	75.2	76.92 ± 0.98	2.3
Enolase (yeast)	dimer	3	93.3	80.74 ± 1.18	13
Enolase (rabbit)	dimer	4	93.7	86.44 ± 1.90	7.8
BSA (dimer)	dimer	5	132.9	137.10 ± 3.93	3.2
Alc. dehydrogenase	tetramer	4	147.4	144.02 ± 0.86	2.4
Aldolase (rabbit)	tetramer	2	156.8	153.7 ± 1.91	1.1
Apo-ferritin	24 <sup>×</sup> monomer	2	475.9	470.3 ± 2.62	1.2
	2.3				

Buffer: 20 mM HEPES, 150 mM KCI, 1 mM EDTA, pH=8.0; column: Superdex 200 or Superdex 75

### Correlation between the amount of protein analyzed and the accuracy of MW determination

Protein	Amount loaded ( µg)	# Runs	Pred. MW (kDa)	Avrg. MW (kDa)	SD (kDa)	Avrg. error (%)	Range of accuracy (%)
Ovalbumin	150	4	42.8	42.4	0.3	0.9	0.2 to 1.6
	100	7	42.8	42.3	0.8	1.2	0.2 to 2.4
	45-50	4	42.8	41.6	1	2.8	0.5 to 5.8
	6-10	5	42.8	42.9	2	0.2	1.4 to 4.5
Transferrin	100	3	75.2	76.5	1	1.7	0.7 to 3.2
	8	5	75.2	76.3	2	1.5	0.3 to 5.2

column: TSK GEL G3000<sub>SWXL</sub> [TosoHaas], buffer: 20 mM phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH=7.5

### **Sample Requirements for Proteins**

Column	Optimal amount of protein µg [10 <sup>-6</sup> g]						
	MM >200 kDa	MM 40-200 kDa	MM 10-40 kDa	MM <10 kDa			
Superose 6 HR 10/30	50	50-100	Not suitable	Not suitable			
Superdex 200 HR 10/30	50	50-100	100-200	Not suitable			
Superdex 75 HR 10/30	Not suitable	50-100	100-200	Not suitable			
Superdex peptide HR 10/30	Not suitable	Not suitable	Not suitable	400-800			

### Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Coupled with Light Scattering (LS)

- Derivation of Molecular Weight from LS experiment
- Experimental Set Up for SEC/LS "in-line"
- Evaluation of the SEC/LS System Results for Standard Proteins Sample Requirements
- Applications of SEC/LS to study protein complexes
- Conclusions

## Applications of SEC/LS to study protein complexes

- Determination of the oligomeric state of mutant vs. wild type protein
- *Mixtures of non-interacting proteins*
- Mixtures of interacting protein- detection of ligand driven protein complexes
- Determination of oligomeric state of membrane proteins solubilized in detergents

Determination of the oligomeric state of mutant vs. wild type protein

#### Example:

protein 12 kDa (WT protein exists as a trimer)

Three mutants and WT protein were analyzed.



# Mixtures of non-interacting proteins

Example:

BSA monomer -

Yeast Enolase -

66 kDa protein

93 kDa dimer (2x46kDa)

### Analysis of co-eluting protein mixture



Mixtures of interacting proteindetection of ligand driven protein oligomerization

Example:

protein 27 kDa (protein exists as a mixture of monomer and dimer)

ligand 7 kDa

Ligand binding shifts the protein into dimeric form

### Analysis of interacting proteins









### **Complex:** (2\*27)+7=61 kDa measured MW=59 kDa



Determination of the oligomeric state of modified protein

Data Analysis:

Use "three detector method"

Use ASTRA

(knowing the amount of non-polypeptide moiety bound) use weight-average dn/dc value

## **Three Detector Method**

Yutaro Hayashi, Hideo Matsui and Toshio Takagi

Methods Enzymol 1989;172:514-28

$$M_p = \frac{k^* (LS)(UV)}{\varepsilon (RI)^2}$$

Jie Wen, Tsutomu Arakawa and John S. Philo Anal Biochem 1996 Sep 5;240(2):155-66

### $MWp = 91.39 x [(LS)*(UV)/(A*(RI^2))]$

Ova

Ald



Determination of the oligomeric state of detergent solubilized membrane protein

Data Analysis:

Use "three detector method"

Use ASTRA

use "corrected" dn/dc value as described by Habayashi (scaled RI signal such that it represents contribution only from polypeptide) Determination of the oligomeric state of detergent solubilized protein

Example:

protein

47 kDa well characterized porin

detergent

dodecyl maltoside (C12M) MW = 511 g/mol 0.5g/L i.e. 0.05% CMC = 0.008% micelle size 50-70 kDa





## porin monomer = 47 kDa $MW = 149 \pm 3$ kDa trimer



Determination of the oligomeric state of detergent solubilized protein

Example:

protein

33 kDa

Detergent

dodecyl maltoside (C12M) MW = 511 g/mol

*n*-Dodecyl- $\beta$ -D-Maltoside

0.5g/L i.e. 0.05%

CMC = 0.008% micelle size 50-70 kDa







### **Three Detector Method**

Yutaro Hayashi, Hideo Matsui and Toshio Takagi

Methods Enzymol 1989;172:514-28

allows determination of mass of detergent/lipids bound to a polypeptide

$$\left(\frac{dn}{dc}\right)_{app} = k_2 A \frac{(RI)}{(UV)}$$

$$\left(\frac{dn}{dc}\right)_{app} = \left(\frac{dn}{dc}\right)_{pp} + \delta \left(\frac{dn}{dc}\right)_{d+l} = K \frac{(RI)}{\varepsilon(UV)}$$

 $\delta$  is mass of detergent and/or lipids per 1 gram of polypeptide Assumption : detergent does not produce any signal in UV

 $\delta$  is mass of detergent and/or lipids per 1 gram of polypeptide





## **Conclusions**

### SEC coupled with Static LS/RI/UV

- fast and accurate determination of molecular weight (MW) of macromolecules in solution
- single SEC/LS measurement should be sufficient to estimate a MW with a precession of ± 5%
- SEC/LS suitable for detection and characterization of non-interacting and interacting systems
- SEC/LS/UV/RI analysis can determine oligomeric state of modified proteins including detergent solubilized membrane proteins

## Ken Williams

Director of HHMI Biopolymer & W.M. Keck Biotechnology Resource Laboratory

## NIH

## Users of SEC/LS Service