WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:01:27" NOTE recognizability:0.800

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.709$ So I have the extreme pleasure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:02.709 \dashrightarrow 00:00:05.037$ introducing our Doctor, Brian object today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:05.037 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.760$ He's currently an assistant professor at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:07.821 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.191$ University in the section of endocrinology

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:10.191 --> 00:00:12.610 who recently joined the faculty here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00{:}00{:}12.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.430$ And we are also very fortunate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.604$ have him join us in the sleep center

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:16.604 --> 00:00:18.270 where he sees patients as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.310$ He received his medical degree from

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.444$ University of Arizona and his Masters

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:22.444 --> 00:00:24.580 of Public Health from Emory University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.470$ He completed his internal medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:26.470 --> 00:00:27.982 residency at Mayo Clinic.

 $00:00:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.622$ He then completed his

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:29.622 --> 00:00:30.438 subspecialty fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.410$ And Sleep Medicine at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.643$ And then what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:33.643 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.287$ Endocrinology captured his interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:35.287 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.251$ when he studied insomnia in women and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:38.251 --> 00:00:40.336 then went into doing endocrinology

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:40.336 --> 00:00:42.874 fellowship at Yale University to combine

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:42.874 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.311$ these two different but interrelated fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:45.311 --> 00:00:47.216 He has additional training in

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:47.216 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.622$ obesity medicine and is a weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:00:49.622 --> 00:00:51.246 management specialist as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00{:}00{:}51.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.434$ His clinical and research focus is

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:53.434 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.360$ the comprehensive management of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.425$ interrelated disease process of diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:00:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.890$ obesity and sleep disorders specifically.

 $00{:}01{:}00.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.056$ In how treatment for sleep disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:01:03.060 --> 00:01:04.596 diabetes and obesity overlap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:01:04.596 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.298$ he also is interested in how new

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:01:07.298 --> 00:01:09.248 drugs might help treat sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:01:09.248 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.820$ as well as obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:01:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.235$ He has authored many publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:01:12.235 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.126$ in these topics and has lectured

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:01:14.126 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.080$ nationally and internationally as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:01:16.080 --> 00:01:18.240 Thank you for being with us, Doctor Roger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:01:18.240 --> 00:01:19.284 And without further delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:01:19.284 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.462$ I would like to hand it over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:01:21.462 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.124$ you to share your expertise on

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

00:01:23.124 --> 00:01:24.360 sleep diabetes and obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850174498

 $00:01:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.080$ Thank you. NOTE Confidence: 0.839461511666667

 $00:01:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.520$ Thank you for that rousing

 $00:01:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.058$ introduction. My name is Brian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89094346

 $00{:}01{:}30.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.196$ We're going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:01:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.254$ sleep, diabetes and obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:01:34.254 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.766$ Our goals today are really to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:01:36.766 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.910$ the prevalence and Physiology of obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

00:01:39.910 --> 00:01:42.726 We're going to talk about what an obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:01:42.726 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.854$ evaluation looks like and how weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:01:44.854 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.320$ management can affect sleep and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:01:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.407$ Seeking to understand the effects of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

00:01:50.407 --> 00:01:54.186 disorders on diabetes. So first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:01:54.186 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.538$ obesity is prevalent and the prevalence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:01:57.538 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.599$ the US has been really growing each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

00:02:00.600 --> 00:02:03.309 That and it's higher among African Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00{:}02{:}03.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.376$ Hispanics, Native Americans and according to

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:06.376 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.688$ the CDC and this is really as of 2018 data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.769$ 42.4% of adults greater than 20 years

 $00{:}02{:}12.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.909$ old would be classified as obese.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

00:02:14.910 --> 00:02:16.250 It's actually higher now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.183$ it's closer to like 46%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:18.183 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.480$ So it it is a disease process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00{:}02{:}22.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.805$ That is becoming more prevalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.240$ Now. 200.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.418$ Chronic diseases have been linked to obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.164$ and that's not limited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:35.164 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.780$ including but not limited to cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:37.853 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.457$ disease on 13 different kinds of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

00:02:40.460 --> 00:02:43.140 cerebrovascular disease, diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.490$ hypertension, asthma, psychiatric disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:46.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.560$ PCOS, Nash, and of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

00:02:49.560 --> 00:02:51.225 Obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

00:02:51.230 --> 00:02:51.674 All right.

00:02:51.674 --> 00:02:53.228 So we'll we'll be talking about all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.376$ all of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:54.376 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.541$ But the important piece of this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:02:57.541 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.383$ obesity is a disease that has many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.145$ many complications and treating that

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00{:}03{:}03.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.559$ disease has kind of far reaching effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498 00:03:07.560 --> 00:03:08.122 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:08.122 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.370$ Few caveats to first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.330$ First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.940$ let's start talking about how we should view

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00{:}03{:}13.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.404$ obesity and how we should think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.426$ We often use BMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

00:03:18.426 --> 00:03:19.938 I use BMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.096$ But BMI is really an imperfect measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:22.096 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.659$ and a better measures body composition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.892$ But often we can't.

 $00:03:25.892 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.320$ Do you know a body composition, dexa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65643498

 $00:03:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.800$ So why do I say that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905692498333333

 $00:03:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.730$ So we have this picture here, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.131$ Of a heavier gentleman of two of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:34.131 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.397$ two gentlemen that are of the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:36.397 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.658$ weight and one has a different fat

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:38.658 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.004$ distribution and one is more muscular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.284$ But they might have the same BMI, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:43.284 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.700$ That body composition matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

00:03:45.700 --> 00:03:48.916 And how might it be different among people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

00:03:48.920 --> 00:03:50.102 elderly people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:50.102 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.057$ Persons might have more sarcopenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00{:}03{:}53.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.283$ so they have a little bit more fat mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.930$ a little less muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:03:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.138$ Cardiovascular metabolism and metabolic

 $00:04:00.138 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.450$ risk actually also vary by ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00{:}04{:}03.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.132$ So in the Southeast Asian population

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:04:06.132 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.956$ because of fat distributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

00:04:07.956 --> 00:04:09.808 in in that population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:04:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.770$ we consider those people's overweight

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

 $00:04:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.976$ at a BMI of 23 actually and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

00:04:14.976 --> 00:04:17.154 so we start treating treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.810465423703703

00:04:17.154 --> 00:04:19.800 patients earlier and actually the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7063261875

 $00:04:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.775$ 27 or greater because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7063261875

00:04:21.775 --> 00:04:22.798 different Baptist tributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.510$ and why do I say that they have a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:25.577 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.927$ cardiovascular and metabolic risk at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

00:04:27.930 --> 00:04:29.631 Lower BMI, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:29.631 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.033$ And they have more visceral fat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.322$ As far as their distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:34.322 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.288$ at a lower, lower BMI?

 $00{:}04{:}36.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.144$ Higher muscle mass will

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:38.144 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.480$ obviously affect this as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00{:}04{:}40.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.440$ Waist circumference is also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.172$ better measure and and as we as

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:46.172 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.724$ waste circumference increases as

NOTE Confidence: 0.877940129333333

 $00:04:48.724 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.160$ does cardiometabolic risk. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:04:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.742$ Obesity is a disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:04:54.742 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.228$ I will convince you or I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:04:57.228 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.334$ try to convince you of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:04:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.364$ If we think of obesity as a disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:05:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.740$ it is very undertreated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:05:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.689$ this is a little bit old data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00{:}05{:}05.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.634$ Now this is 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:05:07.634 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.100$ but if we think of obesity as a disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

00:05:12.100 --> 00:05:15.424 You know, 86\% of patients with

 $00:05:15.424 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.640$ diabetes were on appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:05:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.824$ medicines for that disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:05:19.824 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.232$ Less than .5% of patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:05:23.232 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.791$ this study were on medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

00:05:25.791 --> 00:05:28.593 to treat obesity and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:05:28.593 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.319$ retrospective analysis of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647

 $00:05:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.504$ prescription audits of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88372977117647 00:05:32.504 --> 00:05:33.668 So really NOTE Confidence: 0.792041733333333

 $00{:}05{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.126$ a lot, lot of patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87606932

 $00:05:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.290$ obesity as a disease is undertreated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

00:05:41.560 --> 00:05:46.085 So. Let's talk about why this

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:05:46.085 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.650$ kind of shift has happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:05:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.807$ So fat mass is regulated during development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}05{:}51.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.822$ So as we grow up, as we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

00:05:54.822 --> 00:05:56.860 as we reach different parts of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}05{:}56.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.072$ we first in our early age we

 $00:05:59.072 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.712$ have kind of baby fat and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:01.712 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.732$ lose that fat and then as we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

00:06:04.732 --> 00:06:07.587 changes in our body composition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.540$ In puberty where we have more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}06{:}09.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.746$ more muscle mass and maybe changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

00:06:12.746 --> 00:06:15.788 fat distribution and we kind of see this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

00:06:15.790 --> 00:06:20.140 you know? Fat free mass changes here

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.108$ and over over the decades and fat mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.070$ So fat mass kind of goes up over

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.759$ time and then fat free mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.488$ it goes up and then eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:28.488 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.084$ kind of goes down as as as we age

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}06{:}31.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.464$ because we have sarcopenia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:32.528 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.328$ increased fat mass with aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.507$ And we also have some body composition

 $00:06:36.507 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.071$ changes with menopause and pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}06{:}38.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.073$ and that this is important because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}06{:}40.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.148$ kind of affects our fat mass set point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.214$ We'll be talking in detail on what that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:44.214 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.626$ means and how we should think about all this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.476$ So this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:47.476 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.586$ Kind of the first steps, the nitty gritty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:49.586 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.050$ All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}06{:}50.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.813$ So the big piece from this is fat mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

00:06:52.813 --> 00:06:55.665 is regulated throughout life, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}06{:}55.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.240$ And then satiety is regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.785$ via hormone signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:06:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.500$ And there's two major pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00{:}07{:}01.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.590$ I'm going to say them quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:07:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.574$ but the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:07:05.574 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.230$ the bigger,

 $00:07:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.854$ biggest idea that you should take from

NOTE Confidence: 0.888065

 $00:07:07.854 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.320$ this is that there is a hunger pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.805630913333333

 $00:07:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.516$ and then there is a satiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

00:07:12.530 --> 00:07:15.315 pathway. So the hunger pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

00:07:15.315 --> 00:07:18.188 is that neuropeptide will NPY.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

 $00:07:18.188 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.736$ And a goodie related peptide and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

00:07:21.736 --> 00:07:23.584 POMC cart is this utility pepper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

 $00{:}07{:}23.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.780$ most things work somewhere on

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

 $00:07:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.584$ that satiety pathway, all right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

00:07:27.584 --> 00:07:29.278 and we won't get into too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

 $00:07:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.040$ but as you can see in this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836956585714286

 $00:07:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.050$ there's a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.883392518888889

 $00{:}07{:}32.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.240$ targets that people are looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.883392518888889

 $00{:}07{:}33.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.880$ into to try and affect this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:07:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.630$ All right. So step one,

00:07:39.630 --> 00:07:44.174 let me first let's first talk about the old

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00{:}07{:}44.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.876$ idea that calorie restriction fixes every.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:07:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.736$ Calorie restriction unfortunately does

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:07:49.736 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.520$ not result in durable weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:07:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.570$ This is from the diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

00:07:54.570 --> 00:07:55.800 prevention prevention program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:07:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.404$ which was an RCT to determine whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:07:58.404 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.003$ lifestyle intervention or pharmacologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00{:}08{:}00.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.098$ therapy namely metform in would prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:02.098 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.549$ the delay or onset of diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00{:}08{:}04.550 --> 00{:}08{:}07.301$ So you know, you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00{:}08{:}07.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.298$ patients that maintained it started

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00{:}08{:}09.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.895$ on lifestyle did have an initial kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00{:}08{:}11.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.787$ of drop in weight but then it kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:14.787 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.936$ of maintained at this metformin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

00:08:16.936 --> 00:08:18.993 Meager weight loss. So.

 $00{:}08{:}18.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.645$ So calorie restriction alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00{:}08{:}20.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.110$ doesn't have a durable weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.587$ With that and we'll talk about why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

00:08:24.590 --> 00:08:24.960 All right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.440$ this goes to the stat mass set point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.532$ but we're going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:27.532 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.896$ that Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.119$ But this is data to show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

00:08:30.120 --> 00:08:30.996 And this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:30.996 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.040$ this kind of data has been reproduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

00:08:33.099 --> 00:08:34.909 with other drugs that namely

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:34.909 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.357$ like things like phentermine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.738$ topiramate where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:37.738 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.183$ Where simply doing lifestyle reproduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:41.183 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.260$ is insufficient and not a durable therapy.

00:08:45.260 --> 00:08:45.666 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

00:08:45.666 --> 00:08:48.914 And the the idea is that diets fail

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00{:}08{:}48.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.578$ because you have counter regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852

 $00:08:51.578 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.910$ that maintains that adiposity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806914852 00:08:54.910 --> 00:08:55.380 Ryan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814049033636364

 $00:08:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.150$ you just want to come a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.814049033636364

 $00:08:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.070$ closer because you're still

NOTE Confidence: 0.732800008333333

 $00:08:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.038$ coming in and out. No problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00{:}09{:}03.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.216$ So here's the other here's the next thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:06.216 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.900$ that we should kind of think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.020$ If if we could just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.820$ if there wasn't a counter regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.820$ we should be able to just remove fat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.864$ This is a study of 32 pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:17.864 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.876$ menopausal women 18 to 50 with

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:20.876 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.606$ you know initial BMI of 22 to 27.

 $00:09:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.257$ And then what we find is after

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00{:}09{:}28.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31.000$ liposuction there was a reaccumulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.330$ and a fact after 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.018$ So liposuction doesn't is

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:37.018 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.706$ not a effective treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.285$ And so this was liposuction

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:41.285 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.230$ versus no treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.792$ If if there wasn't a counter regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

00:09:44.792 --> 00:09:46.530 liposuction should be effective but

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

 $00:09:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.680$ it is not these these patients return

NOTE Confidence: 0.844266665333333

00:09:48.680 --> 00:09:50.479 to their prior fat mass set point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}09{:}53.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.170$ All right. And this is a study I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}09{:}56.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.019$ like or I think is really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:09:59.020 --> 00:10:00.940 Extreme weight loss causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.380$ significant counter recognition.

 $00:10:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.660$ This was a study of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:10:04.660 --> 00:10:05.800 biggest loser competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.904$ So which I'm really did extreme weight loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:08.904 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.107$ in people that were a class 3 obesity and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:10:12.110 --> 00:10:15.470 So these, these people were studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.240$ and they they were evaluated for

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.450$ their resting metabolic rates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}10{:}20.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.739$ what would happened afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.926$ So the idea is that everyone has a fat

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:10:25.926 --> 00:10:28.721 master point and we'll kind of talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}10{:}28.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.558$ about this balance in our next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.380$ And as we lose weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.095$ our resting metabolic or our

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:10:37.095 --> 00:10:39.810 basal metabolic rate goes down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:10:39.810 --> 00:10:41.866 Right, because you have to carry less stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}10{:}41.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.593$ it takes less energy to live and

 $00:10:44.593 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.488$ when it goes down quite quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.730$ You actually take a little bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.990$ hit and you see as these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:10:51.990 --> 00:10:53.610 did to their resting metabolic rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.505$ what's interesting about this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:10:55.505 --> 00:10:58.294 is that five years after the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:10:58.294 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.369$ loser competition where they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857 00:11:00.370 --> 00:11:01.382 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}11{:}01.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.720$ incredibly calorie deprived exercising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}11{:}05.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.318$ Exercising to to an extreme amount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:11:11.320 --> 00:11:14.153 They had a lower resting metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:14.153 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.172$ than they should have five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:11:17.172 --> 00:11:18.876 after the competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.728$ Which is not what you would expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.465$ They they should,

00:11:21.465 --> 00:11:23.180 they were worse off for the competition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.120$ which by conventional logic

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.551$ we should be better, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:27.551 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.497$ So what do we think happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:30.497 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.970$ to these patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857 00:11:31.970 --> 00:11:32.407 Umm. NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:11:32.407 --> 00:11:33.718 As I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:11:33.718 --> 00:11:37.579 we have this fat mass set point right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.530$ And I've borrowed this from

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.700$ Doctor Lee Kaplan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.196$ This is a great slide on so everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:43.196 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.899$ has a certain fat mass set point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.596$ And that you know gets dysregulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.120$ for lots of proposed reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:11:51.120 --> 00:11:52.480 We don't know why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:11:52.480 --> 00:11:53.830 I have my own postulates,

00:11:53.830 --> 00:11:55.790 but I don't think we have good

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:11:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.093$ data to to support that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:11:58.093 --> 00:12:00.958 any any particular theory yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.726$ But we know it gets disregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.050$ And when we lose weight at a fast rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.978$ our fat mass setpoint stays steady and our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.460$ And but our metabolic rate goes down and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.317$ if we lose weight too quickly or very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}12{:}18.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.560$ very quickly takes a bit of a hit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}12{:}20.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.696$ We were to maintain that weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:22.696 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.120$ to kind of equilibrates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:12:24.120 --> 00:12:25.758 But what more often happens is

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}12{:}25.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.976$ you take a hit to the metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}12{:}27.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.736$ rate and patients regain weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:29.736 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.910$ and often gain a little bit more.

00:12:31.910 --> 00:12:33.914 So that conventional thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857 00:12:33.914 --> 00:12:34.916 eat less, NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:12:34.920 --> 00:12:37.175 exercise more just doesn't work

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:37.175 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.359$ because the body defends this fat mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:40.359 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.348$ setpoint and the goal of weight management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.170$ Is to work on Physiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857 00:12:45.170 --> 00:12:46.026 all right. NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:12:46.026 --> 00:12:48.594 And we'll talk about how there

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}12{:}48.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.658$ are conservative ways and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:50.658 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.548$ aggressive ways to do them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}12{:}52.550 --> 00{:}12{:}54.170$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:12:54.170 --> 00:12:56.264 Let's put obesity in the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}12{:}56.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.114$ of classical framework we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:12:58.114 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.257$ of other diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

00:12:59.260 --> 00:13:01.300 Primary prevention is really to

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:13:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.767$ prevent obesity as a disease, right?

 $00:13:03.767 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.048$ We prevent obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:13:05.048 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.670$ We certainly will prevent its complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857 00:13:09.670 --> 00:13:10.358 Secondary, NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:13:10.358 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.798$ secondary prevention is to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}13{:}13.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.550$ the complications of obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:13:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.909$ So we treat the disease of obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00:13:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.530$ We evaluate for the complications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841243457142857

 $00{:}13{:}21.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.370$ such as obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859725574

 $00:13:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.010$ And then we we treat those

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

00:13:28.010 --> 00:13:29.478 in tertiary prevention, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

 $00{:}13{:}29.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.307$ We treat complications of obesity if we find

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

 $00:13:32.307 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.309$ them and hopefully we can prevent them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

00:13:34.310 --> 00:13:36.135 You know, someone does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

00:13:36.135 --> 00:13:37.230 have those complications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

 $00:13:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.219$ we can simply treat the primary disease

 $00:13:40.219 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.510$ and and not develop those problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

00:13:43.510 --> 00:13:45.570 Great, maybe I've convinced you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

00:13:45.570 --> 00:13:48.620 But how do we, how do we change that fatness?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

00:13:48.620 --> 00:13:51.470 Setpoint. What do we do? All right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

 $00:13:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.670$ Let's talk about conservative things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

00:13:53.670 --> 00:13:55.950 Simple, simple things, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

 $00:13:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.927$ So the what? What?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015385789474

00:13:57.927 --> 00:13:58.728 We'll kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

00:14:01.000 --> 00:14:03.712 Affect our fat mass set point are things

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:03.712 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.789$ like how how calorie dense our diets are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:06.789 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.780$ what what do I mean by that? The goal of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

00:14:11.780 --> 00:14:15.568 Diet is not to make someone hungry, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

00:14:15.568 --> 00:14:18.439 We shouldn't shake life, and all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:18.439 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.917$ that is not an effective treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

00:14:20.920 --> 00:14:24.088 Modality, as we just saw in this biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:24.088 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.000$ loser study, are the goal should be

 $00:14:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.160$ to pick foods that are healthful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.016$ that are not very calorie dense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.240$ That's a really complicated statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.600$ So what's the one thing you can tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.439$ your patients eat more vegetables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

00:14:39.440 --> 00:14:42.144 Very low calorie density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:42.144 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.040$ Right. Healthful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.217$ Not a lot of satiety with vegetables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.300$ but if you shovel enough vegetables in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.116$ you actually might have an effect on weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667 00:14:53.120 --> 00:14:54.630 Umm. NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:14:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.306$ Sleep deprivation certainly has an effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00{:}14{:}57.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.022$ Circadian disruption has an

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

00:14:59.022 --> 00:15:01.488 effect and high stress, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:15:01.488 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.732$ so and we'll talk about those

00:15:03.732 --> 00:15:05.130 findings and and why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00{:}15{:}05.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.098$ why that those things might change

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667

 $00:15:07.098 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.870$ the fat mass set point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801322871666667 00:15:08.870 --> 00:15:09.440 All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342246325

 $00:15:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.578$ So first of all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00:15:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.920$ Course it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

00:15:15.920 --> 00:15:18.377 I don't have to convince anyone here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00:15:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.768$ So this was a look at 718 sleep Diaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00{:}15{:}24.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.871$ in the Wisconsin Sleep cohort and

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

00:15:27.871 --> 00:15:30.888 they did vein sampling of growlin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00{:}15{:}30.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.718$ leptin and you'll find that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

00:15:33.718 --> 00:15:37.774 that are had a higher BMI had higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00:15:37.774 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.745$ levels of brelan and lower levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00:15:41.745 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.648$ leptin and what that means is they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

00:15:43.650 --> 00:15:46.706 Basically had more of a feeding dry right

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00:15:46.706 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.536$ and that was driven by their sleep,

 $00:15:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.722$ sleep deprivation, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00:15:50.722 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.692$ So these patients that slept

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

00:15:52.692 --> 00:15:54.764 less had hormonal changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.706162086666667

 $00:15:54.764 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.794$ pushed their feeding drive up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937920998

 $00:16:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.580$ So this is another study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937920998

 $00:16:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.920$ I really like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937920998

00:16:02.920 --> 00:16:05.959 There's a couple of them done by Ken Wright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937920998

 $00:16:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.144$ who's a circadian scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937920998

 $00:16:08.144 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.636$ And this was a two week long study

NOTE Confidence: 0.937920998

 $00{:}16{:}12.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.244$ looking at energy expenditure and ad

NOTE Confidence: 0.937920998

 $00{:}16{:}15.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.040$ libitum food intake to assess, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937920998

 $00:16:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.225$ energy balance and he also looks at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00{:}16{:}23.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.500$ At different hormones you did vein

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:16:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.948$ sampling through this specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:16:26.948 --> 00:16:29.903 You know we won't get into the nitty

00:16:29.903 --> 00:16:31.698 gritty of the different hormones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:16:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.188$ but the bottom line is he

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:16:33.188 --> 00:16:34.180 did some vein sampling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:16:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.692$ So what what he would do is he

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:16:36.692 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.088$ had a baseline, baseline section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00{:}16{:}39.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.308$ a sleep deprivation section and

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:16:41.308 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.830$ then like a recovery section, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:16:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.070$ So the and he compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:16:48.070 --> 00:16:49.414 patients to themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:16:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.076$ And the the important piece here is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00{:}16{:}54.080 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}16{:}55.232$ Energy expenditure. So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:16:55.232 --> 00:16:57.270 What have, what does what happens?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:16:57.270 --> 00:16:58.365 With sleep deprivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00{:}16{:}58.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.146$ energy expenditure increases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:00.146 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.075$ so your metabolic rate increases, however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:17:04.075 --> 00:17:10.830 Patients had higher intake of of foods,

 $00:17:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.723$ right that outpaced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00{:}17{:}12.723 \to 00{:}17{:}16.509$ That, that energy expenditure with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:16.509 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.392$ preference towards carbs a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:19.392 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.736$ And then why did it happen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.444$ Well, we thought they found increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:17:22.444 --> 00:17:24.780 in some of the hunger hormones, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.080$ Same thing we saw before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00{:}17{:}26.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.176$ increase in growing, decrease in leptin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.560$ So this kind of same consistent signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:17:31.560 --> 00:17:34.362 All right, so sleep deprivation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00{:}17{:}34.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.860$ sleep quality matter for weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.150$ Any and and acute sleep deprivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00{:}17{:}41.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.386$ Because this was a short study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.710$ we saw this happened very quickly, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.610$ This wasn't years, this was,

00:17:46.610 --> 00:17:48.250 you know, couple weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364 00:17:48.250 --> 00:17:48.621 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

 $00:17:48.621 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.476$ so those kind of acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.26585364

00:17:50.476 --> 00:17:51.960 changes matter for day-to-day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

00:17:54.600 --> 00:17:57.832 Stress reduction matters, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00{:}17{:}57.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.336$ Simple things. So this was a study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.986$ a case control study of of the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00{:}18{:}05.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.240$ of women who had acute stresses in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00{:}18{:}08.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.620$ their lives and had a cute weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.555$ rapid weight gain around a stressful event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00{:}18{:}15.560 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}18{:}17.288$ And and looking at you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00{:}18{:}17.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18.871$ reference weight non stress related

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

00:18:18.871 --> 00:18:20.746 obesity and stress related obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

00:18:20.750 --> 00:18:23.278 this is just to show that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:23.278 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.312$ a what appears to be an etiology of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

00:18:26.312 --> 00:18:28.709 a stress related gain in weight.

 $00:18:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.270$ These patients had 24 hour urinary free,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.946$ cortisol strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:31.946 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.312$ That were elevated and it was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:34.312 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.744$ kind of shown that that obesity was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:36.744 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.912$ associated with that stress and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

00:18:38.912 --> 00:18:40.787 that stress increased their cortisol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.690$ increase their feeding behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00{:}18{:}43.690 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.622$ and what they counted as an event

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

00:18:45.622 --> 00:18:48.170 as stress is pregnancy, lactation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.768$ miscarriage, death of a family member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00{:}18{:}50.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.440$ job change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.115$ smoking cessation and the list

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

00:18:53.115 --> 00:18:54.370 kind of went on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

00:18:54.370 --> 00:18:56.890 The bottom line is that acute stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00:18:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.681$ caused these patients to gain

 $00:18:58.681 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.619$ weight and there was a physiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83158331

 $00{:}19{:}00.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.260$ change in their cortisol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.758$ So. There's all these things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:06.758 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.240$ affect the fat mass set point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.010$ There's a few strategies we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:19:11.010 --> 00:19:12.780 talked about that are conservative

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:12.842 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.180$ that might have an effect on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:19:15.180 --> 00:19:18.220 But you know, everyone kind of has heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.000$ that there's new medicines for this too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:19:21.000 --> 00:19:23.840 So why? How do these these things work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.150$ What are we doing? Well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.900$ Well, take a little bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.024$ history lesson here, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:30.024 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.270$ There's four things we could do, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.897$ We can, well, I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}19{:}35.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.360$ there's two two things we can do.

 $00:19:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.500$ We can either increase exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.138$ and thermogenesis, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:42.138 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.960$ We either increase our metabolic rate or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:19:46.052 --> 00:19:49.444 we increase satisfy or or quote UN quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.769$ decrease our appetite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.493$ Because these are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:52.493 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.836$ these are our goals, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:53.836 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.290$ These are our targets is one of these two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:19:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.818$ but we try to do this first one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}20{:}00.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.480$ increasing exercise or thermogenic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:20:02.480 --> 00:20:07.010 So first of all, increasing exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:20:07.010 --> 00:20:09.026 Really effective in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}20{:}09.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.416$ 20s as a weight loss target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:12.416 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.746$ less effective as we age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.048$ Does that make exercise less important?

00:20:19.050 --> 00:20:20.268 Not at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}20{:}268 \operatorname{{\mathsf{--}}}{>} 00{:}20{:}22.959$ but we have to counsel patients about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:22.959 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.702$ that and what we'll get into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:24.702 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.828$ detail the nitty gritty on that as we age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.890$ Exercise is good for weight maintenance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}20{:}29.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.450$ Maintaining muscle and bone strength.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.770$ And and improving metabolic health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:20:35.770 --> 00:20:38.083 but it doesn't cause a lot of weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.014$ all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:20:39.014 --> 00:20:41.786 So it's so although very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}20{:}41.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.174$ part of your plan you have to counsel

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:45.174 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.550$ patients on what that actually does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:20:47.550 --> 00:20:48.850 So what about thermogenesis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:20:48.850 --> 00:20:50.800 Why don't we just give everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:50.855 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.207$ all the thyroid medicine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}20{:}52.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53.902$ We can just load everyone up

 $00:20:53.902 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.098$ and make some thyrotoxic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.556$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:20:56.556 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.748$ we tried that in the 70s with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:20:59.748 --> 00:21:02.302 thyroid and DNP. And it harms people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:21:02.302 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.030$ So we don't do that anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:21:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.470$ There are some caveats to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:21:05.470 --> 00:21:07.606 There's some research trying to learn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}21{:}07.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.388$ looking into how to do this safely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:21:09.390 --> 00:21:13.737 Umm, I am a little bit skeptical of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

00:21:13.740 --> 00:21:15.336 but I think maybe we'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:21:15.336 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.348$ able to do that in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:21:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.248$ But that's really not medicines do anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00{:}21{:}20.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.220$ So what our medicines really do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:21:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.924$ Is the affects the tivity all

NOTE Confidence: 0.84784805

 $00:21:24.924 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.078$ right and they lower that fat mass

 $00:21:27.078 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.673$ set point by affecting satiety?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712706

 $00{:}21{:}32.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.788$ So. When we talk about obesity treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712706

 $00:21:37.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.570$ It's we finally have medicines

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712706

 $00:21:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.350$ that are effective and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712706

 $00:21:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.960$ safer than the ones that, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.941103798333333

 $00:21:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.440$ know, we've had in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:21:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.080$ But the effect of all obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:21:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.220$ medicines are really variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:21:51.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.398$ So this is the scale trial looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:21:54.398 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.879$ at liraglutide and weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:21:56.880 --> 00:22:00.180 Versus placebo and what you'll see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.322$ right, is what what is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:02.322 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.750$ called a waterfall plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.220$ Is there's a one, and these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.947$ each in each of these little lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00{:}22{:}06.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.830$ Here is an individual.

00:22:09.830 --> 00:22:13.114 And there's a wide variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:13.114 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.242$ how this a few each charm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:22:16.242 --> 00:22:18.207 Have gained weight, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:18.207 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.818$ What the heck?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:19.818 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.966$ He started these medicines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.942$ And they didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:22:22.942 --> 00:22:25.780 They might have gained a little bit on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:22:25.780 --> 00:22:27.536 And in obesity medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00{:}22{:}27.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.170$ we're unfortunately at a place where

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00{:}22{:}30.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.620$ there's we're still a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:22:32.620 --> 00:22:34.772 Um, at a trial and error we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:34.772 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.498$ say these are the averages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:22:36.500 --> 00:22:38.930 but you can't really tell how

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00{:}22{:}38.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.108$ well any individual therapy will

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:22:41.108 --> 00:22:44.325 work for an for that the patient

 $00:22:44.325 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.169$ that's sitting in front of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.580$ The goal here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.990$ The Holy Grail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.867$ Is to be able to maybe phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:22:52.867 --> 00:22:55.315 obesity and and target those

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

00:22:55.315 --> 00:22:58.130 patients are correctly all right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827556118333333

 $00:22:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.600$ but we're just not there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84059227

00:23:01.920 --> 00:23:05.960 So. You know, someone comes in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84059227

00:23:05.960 --> 00:23:09.200 they lost £10, you know, they weigh maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.86965358

 $00:23:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.985 \pm 200$ and they they're kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86965358

 $00:23:10.985 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.250$ of down on themselves and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77733649285714300:23:12.580 --> 00:23:13.368 they don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

00:23:13.368 --> 00:23:15.739 they didn't lose much weight well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

00:23:15.739 --> 00:23:17.734 Even small changes in weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:23:17.734 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.900$ are important for your health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00{:}23{:}19.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.524$ So even a 5% change in your weight

00:23:23.524 --> 00:23:27.163 will affect diabetes, cholesterol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:23:27.163 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.649$ hypertension, steatosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:23:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.050$ And no PCOS and hypogonadism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:23:35.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.264$ So these these quote UN quote small

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:23:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.098$ changes in weight really do have important

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:23:43.098 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.950$ impacts and and should be followed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

00:23:46.950 --> 00:23:49.434 So even if even if it doesn't seem like

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00{:}23{:}49.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.410$ a really big weight loss, all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:23:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.950$ For obstructive sleep apnea specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:23:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.028$ Usually in here we're seeing set 7 to 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

00:24:01.030 --> 00:24:03.730 I usually say if someone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.600$ lost 10% of their body weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00{:}24{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.547$ Maybe we can think about retesting them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.623$ OK, so. Fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:11.623 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.078$ We've been talking a lot.

00:24:15.080 --> 00:24:18.088 Weight loss and on the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:18.088 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.898$ understanding it as a disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

00:24:19.900 --> 00:24:23.158 how does that affect, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.020$ sleep as a field? Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.780$ weight loss has a significant effect on OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.700$ This is one of many studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.708$ This was an RCT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.060$ Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.236$ that was 89 patients that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:36.236 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.450$ randomized to CPAC or CPAP plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00{:}24{:}38.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.651$ of weight loss intervention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:40.651 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.776$ that was defined as nutrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:42.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.904$ plus an alcohol intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00{:}24{:}44.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.028$ plus tobacco plus exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00:24:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.960$ This was 100% Hispanic population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00{:}24{:}49.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.660$ middle-aged men that you know

 $00:24:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.734$ they were recruiting 18 to 65

NOTE Confidence: 0.777336492857143

 $00{:}24{:}53.734 \rightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.558$ is mostly patients in their 50s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00:24:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.870$ There there are some caveats

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00:24:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.050$ to this study in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00{:}25{:}02.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.098$ Specifically, the alcohol cessation

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00:25:04.098 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.170$ has effects on apnea as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00:25:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.570$ and they didn't really talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

00:25:08.570 --> 00:25:09.410 about obesogenic drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00:25:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.298$ but without getting too far into the weeds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00:25:13.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.280$ They found that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

00:25:14.280 --> 00:25:17.285 weight loss had a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

00:25:17.285 --> 00:25:20.290 effect on on sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00:25:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.886$ And and so when we and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882544383

 $00:25:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.559$ Sorry for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67988159

 $00:25:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.200$ Picture is more significant decreases in age,

00:25:29.200 --> 00:25:33.265 I mean patients lost like 7 kilos so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67988159

00:25:33.265 --> 00:25:36.380 so pretty substantial weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67988159

 $00:25:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.948$ so £1415 each and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67988159

 $00:25:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.322$ But they had significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.67988159

 $00:25:43.322 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.694$ improvements in their oxygenation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760633948

00:25:45.770 --> 00:25:49.380 You know, mean SP O2, total sleep time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95691641

00:25:49.390 --> 00:25:53.758 sleep efficiency, sleep latency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95691641

00:25:53.760 --> 00:25:55.578 Wake after sleep onset there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95691641

00:25:55.578 --> 00:25:58.314 a decrease in N1N2 and an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.95691641

 $00:25:58.314 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.280$ in N3 and an improvement in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.704355505714286

00:26:01.290 --> 00:26:03.467 eight type of RAM and non R.E.M

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00{:}26{:}03.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07.866$ and and really what was most striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00:26:07.866 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.800$ is of of these you know kind of treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00{:}26{:}12.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.556$ population that when they looked at them

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00:26:15.556 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.135$ again after the weight loss 10 out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00{:}26{:}18.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.070$ this on 10 out of the He I think it was

 $00:26:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.820$ 34 that made it to the end of the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00:26:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.403$ We're in complete remission meaning an age

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00:26:26.403 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.517$ high less than five which was quite exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00:26:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.418$ So perhaps with weight loss in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00{:}26{:}32.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.310$ right population this would be a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

00:26:35.310 --> 00:26:37.270 reasonable adjunct therapy and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00:26:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.691$ might there might be a reason to

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

00:26:39.691 --> 00:26:44.390 repeat a study in these patients. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

00:26:44.390 --> 00:26:46.374 Just kind of harping on the same idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78479923

 $00:26:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.510$ this specification of many studies looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.864042152

 $00:26:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.992$ at the effect of OSA, I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864042152

 $00:26:50.992 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.597$ effective weight loss on OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928567168

00:26:53.010 --> 00:26:56.474 we can kind of predict a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928567168

 $00:26:56.474 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.050$ reduction with weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928567168

 $00:26:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.770$ but I think this works better

 $00:27:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.290$ in populations rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.928567168

 $00{:}27{:}05.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.318$ individuals on security summit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928567168

 $00:27:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.700$ Questions. Oops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928567168

 $00:27:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.810$ Lost my mouse. Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913173594

 $00:27:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.850$ Sorry, I lost my mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913173594

 $00:27:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.210$ 2 seconds technical difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913173594

00:27:28.210 --> 00:27:30.400 Can I? Can I have the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.913173594

 $00:27:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.855$ right out to me? Because I can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913173594

00:27:31.855 --> 00:27:33.360 I can't seem to get to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75634601

00:27:36.210 --> 00:27:37.600 There's no question yet, Brian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59781726

00:27:37.610 --> 00:27:42.799 OK. This is just OK. Sorry, no worries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603605

00:27:57.540 --> 00:28:00.810 OK. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:28:05.380 --> 00:28:09.058 Alright, so sleep disorders and diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:28:09.060 --> 00:28:11.636 this was, you know, I used this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.840$ this was this picture is from a review I use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.478$ I'm going to use it kind of

 $00:28:15.478 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.859$ as a framework to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.268$ Diabetes and sleep on the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:21.268 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.574$ picture is that sleep fragmentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:23.574 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.856$ and disruption by really any

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}28{:}25.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.657$ mechanism raises sympathetic Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:27.657 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.010$ and it's thought to worsen glycemic control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:28:31.010 --> 00:28:32.138 And sleep deprivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:28:32.138 --> 00:28:34.770 you know result in a pro inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}28{:}34.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.097$ stage and and could result in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:37.097 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.860$ hyperglycemia for that reason, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:28:40.860 --> 00:28:44.654 This, this kind of review looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:44.654 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.343$ different both circadian disruption

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}28{:}46.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.629$ and in somnia and they they kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:28:48.629 --> 00:28:50.599 of poorly defined in somnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:28:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.008$ So it really was insomnia for any reason.

00:28:55.010 --> 00:28:56.735 But, but the consistent findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}28{:}56.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.875$ are really that you're the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}28{:}58.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.011$ who were had reported in somnia or

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:29:01.011 --> 00:29:03.145 were shift workers or had some

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:29:03.145 --> 00:29:04.810 sort of circadian disruption had

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:29:04.810 --> 00:29:08.030 worsening in their A1C's?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:29:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.865$ I don't really like their

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:29:09.865 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.966$ description of insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}29{:}10.970 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.832$ so I I would mostly say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:29:12.832 --> 00:29:15.136 sure if you have sleep disruption

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:29:15.136 --> 00:29:17.531 you'll have likely have worsening

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:29:17.531 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.490$ of complications from diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}29{:}19.490 --> 00{:}29{:}22.318$ but I I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}29{:}22.320 \to 00{:}29{:}25.456$ If you have if it's just primary insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:29:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.220$ maybe less so than if it were because of OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:29:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.688$ etcetera.

 $00:29:28.688 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.028$ There has been an association

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}29{:}31.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.481$ with you know worsening mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:29:33.481 --> 00:29:35.509 status and perhaps neuropathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:29:35.509 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.148$ with with circadian disruption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

00:29:37.148 --> 00:29:40.507 I don't think we have a good answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:29:40.507 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.457$ on macrovascular complications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00:29:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.924$ but but certainly we know that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90508681

 $00{:}29{:}44.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.899$ metabolic effect of circadian disruption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:29:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.410$ And then? In terms of OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00{:}29{:}53.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55.420$ there have been several studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:29:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.466$ that looked at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00{:}29{:}57.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.392$ on diabetes and OSA and whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:00.392 \dashrightarrow 00:30:03.377$ because it's through you know directed

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:03.377 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.637$ directly affecting glycemia or if it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

00:30:06.637 --> 00:30:09.429 a risk factor for other issues that

 $00:30:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.105$ can can that are associated with diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.408$ I think it is is debated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.104$ But there have been associations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

00:30:18.104 --> 00:30:21.239 an increase in your A1C retinopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.668$ There's been some increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

00:30:22.668 --> 00:30:24.096 with chronic kidney disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.668$ neuropathy and macrovascular complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

00:30:28.670 --> 00:30:29.790 And that recurrent, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00{:}30{:}29.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.280$ airway obstruction is thought to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.915$ It is thought to cause the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

00:30:40.920 --> 00:30:43.938 It's thought to cause intermittent hypoxia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.062$ and that hypoxia can drive things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:47.062 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.799$ increasing both increased insulin resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.632$ increased beta cell apoptosis and animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:52.632 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.520$ models increased hepatic glycogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:30:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.858$ There's a few, basically a few mechanisms.

 $00:30:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.290$ I'll I'll give a shout out

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

00:30:59.290 --> 00:31:01.536 to to Doctor Andre Zinchuk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:31:01.536 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.832$ who's done some work on this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:31:04.832 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.982$ me and found that there was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:31:07.982 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.264$ association with Hypopnea and hypoxia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:31:10.264 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.554$ Um, that was associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:31:12.554 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.470$ incident diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:31:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.092$ We also found in another review

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00:31:16.092 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.475$ of the dream cohort that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.831348325

 $00{:}31{:}18.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.605$ this was also with Doctor Yagi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852129503

00:31:22.960 --> 00:31:27.316 That you know more that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.852129503

 $00{:}31{:}27.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.220$ hypoxia was more associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852129503

 $00{:}31{:}30.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.596$ With instant diabetes and pre diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852129503

 $00:31:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.029$ so, so maybe there is more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852129503

 $00:31:35.029 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.179$ an effect from that hypoxia,

 $00:31:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.546$ but I think that's still hotly debated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878905582

00:31:41.640 --> 00:31:44.878 This was a study by this was our

NOTE Confidence: 0.878905582

00:31:44.878 --> 00:31:46.912 review of the, you know, sleep heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878905582

 $00:31:46.912 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.030$ Sleep Heart Health study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.878905582

 $00:31:49.095 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.517$ looking at fasting blood sugars and Homa

NOTE Confidence: 0.878905582

 $00{:}31{:}51.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.050$ IR in patients with higher RDIS and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878905582

 $00{:}31{:}54.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.605$ the Homa IR was basically elevated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878905582

 $00:31:56.605 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.460$ so and for those who are unfamiliar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878905582

 $00:31:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.998$ it's the Homa IR is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.380$ Is a measure of insulin resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00{:}32{:}06.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08.275$ which is the fasting insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:08.275 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.170$ times the fasting glucose over

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:10.234 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.546$ 405 and you get a score and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:12.546 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.409$ can determine insulin resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

00:32:14.410 --> 00:32:15.630 And this was, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.778$ one of the earlier studies that

 $00:32:17.778 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.996$ have shown there is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:19.996 \dashrightarrow 00:32:22.354$ some some increase in insulin resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:22.354 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.746$ and I think there's a lot of data on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.984$ Off hand I'll say that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

00:32:28.984 --> 00:32:30.916 data that I know patients might

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:30.916 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.316$ have an increase of .5 in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

00:32:34.316 --> 00:32:38.906 A1C but but I think still not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898231

 $00:32:38.906 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.770$ not a massive increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:32:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.380$ And then diabetes and restless

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:32:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.257$ legs that the biggest thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

00:32:48.257 --> 00:32:50.642 kind of concomitant things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:32:50.642 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.020$ might happen with restless legs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:32:53.020 \dashrightarrow 00:32:55.876$ The things I think of aren't usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00{:}32{:}55.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.100$ the macrovascular complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:32:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.590$ There has been an increased

 $00:32:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.080$ association of neuropathy and RLS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00{:}33{:}02.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.754$ So so in in the literature depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:33:04.754 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.374$ on where you read it can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:33:07.374 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.526$ anywhere from 55 to 5050% increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:33:09.526 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.824$ in in a in these diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

00:33:11.830 --> 00:33:14.134 I'm not sure if people are looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:33:14.134 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.715$ the same time but it has been associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.830184125

 $00:33:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.224$ and the important piece of this too is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88510891

 $00:33:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.848$ that patients with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7468716

00:33:23.720 --> 00:33:25.080 You know neuropathy and unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.7468716

 $00{:}33{:}25.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.768$ you might have an overlap syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.7468716

 $00:33:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.600$ and you they might be hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84803968

00:33:28.610 --> 00:33:30.934 distinguish which which they

NOTE Confidence: 0.84803968

 $00:33:30.934 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.790$ are unique diseases, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.938486296

 $00:33:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.246$ it might be hard to distinguish

NOTE Confidence: 0.938486296

 $00:33:34.246 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.550$ which one is causing the problems.

 $00:33:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.770$ Can cause sleep disruption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00{:}33{:}40.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.476$ Alright, so how do we approach these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00:33:45.476 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.077$ patients and and what how should we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00:33:49.077 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.586$ treat obesity well weight loss goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00{:}33{:}51.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.711$ and I this is a you can talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

00:33:54.711 --> 00:33:57.285 a weight loss goal with patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00:33:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.118$ With the idea that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00:34:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.596$ You might need to tailor therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00:34:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.936$ Certain certain medicines will not achieve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00:34:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.980$ you know, a weight loss goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00{:}34{:}06.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.868$ Or patients might say I want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

00:34:08.868 --> 00:34:11.037 half my weight and you might say boy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00:34:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.065$ medicine is just not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00{:}34{:}12.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.680$ to accomplish that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616472675

 $00:34:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.150$ You might need to go to surgery.

00:34:16.440 --> 00:34:17.958 Uh, what their peak weight was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.123$ Because that might tell you where really

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

00:34:20.123 --> 00:34:22.299 that that's part of the weight history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.330$ And the reason the weight history is

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.094$ important is that tells you where

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:26.094 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.047$ the set point has become has changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:28.107 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.717$ over the years and how it has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.180$ how it has gone, triggers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

00:34:32.180 --> 00:34:33.176 And really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:33.176 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.093$ kind of that goes hand in hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00{:}34{:}35.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36.537$ with the psychiatric history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.500$ looking for history of anorexia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.760$ binge eating, things like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.148$ because those things need

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:42.148 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.536$ to be treated differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.700$ Obviously a sleep history for

 $00:34:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.860$ the reasons I just described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

00:34:47.860 --> 00:34:49.300 A history of alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769501365

 $00:34:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.690$ drug use, family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7200767575

 $00:34:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.948$ so for for cardiometabolic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808560716666667

 $00:34:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.330$ Issues and then evaluation of medicines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808560716666667

00:34:58.330 --> 00:35:00.192 Well, we'll take a quick look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.808560716666667

 $00:35:00.192 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.320$ what obesogenic medicines might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7741144725

 $00:35:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.338$ An evaluation of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.564$ syndromes, namely I always look at cushings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}35{:}07.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.578$ Thyroid disease and monogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:09.578 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.088$ obesity is a rare finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.638$ But we should always, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:13.640 \dashrightarrow 00:35:15.691$ if some one has started gaining weight before

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}35{:}15.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.318$ age 5 and they have some unusual findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

00:35:18.320 --> 00:35:21.038 and they're quite heavy at a very young age,

 $00:35:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.648$ we we should think about that as as

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}35{:}23.648 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}35{:}27.242$ a as something to chase. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:27.242 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.090$ So what causes weight gain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.954$ Lots of stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:30.954 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.214$ And I won't go through all of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:33.214 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.726$ I'll highlight a few of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.399$ This is recorded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:35.399 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.247$ So if someone wants to kind of go

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

00:35:37.247 --> 00:35:39.143 through each of these, that's fine, died.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:39.143 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.129$ The ones I always think of in diabetes is

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}35{:}42.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.219$ insulin and sulfonylure as TZD's do too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.315$ But patients are often on these medicines

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.266$ and and you know switching them over

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

00:35:52.266 --> 00:35:54.139 to more weight neutral or weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:35:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.648$ weight negative agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}35{:}56.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59.328$ Is you know kind of what I would

 $00:35:59.328 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.710$ say standard of care nowadays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.795$ Simple things that others everyone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:02.795 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.629$ on metoprolol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

00:36:03.630 --> 00:36:05.610 How many patients are on metoprolol?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.291$ It's weight promoting and if if that

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

00:36:08.291 --> 00:36:12.232 patient can be changed to his CB rate right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:12.232 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.800$ that might be more of a weight neutral agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}36{:}15.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.120$ The same goes with antidepressants

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.136$ and the best one that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}36{:}20.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.432$ bupropion is the best choice from

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

00:36:22.432 --> 00:36:24.755 my standpoint just because it has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:24.755 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.300$ little bit of a weight negative effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}36{:}26.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.844$ whereas sertraline and fluoxetine

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}36{:}27.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.720$ are just a little bit weight neutral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.862$ But there's a lot of different things

00:36:32.862 --> 00:36:35.147 and obviously what comes first is you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00{:}36{:}35.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.569$ the patient needs to have good

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.545$ control of their blood pressure or

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:39.545 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.555$ their mental health and all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:41.555 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.080$ other things work around this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.532$ But these are simple things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:44.532 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.298$ we might be able to change that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767420122857143

 $00:36:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.689$ Might affect wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955408966666667

 $00:36:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.330$ All right. So let's talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.955408966666667

 $00:36:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.845$ the medicines that are most

NOTE Confidence: 0.955408966666667

 $00{:}36{:}56.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59.840$ commonly used and are kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.79469126

 $00:36:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.450$ of the exciting medicines which

NOTE Confidence: 0.79469126

 $00{:}37{:}01.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.050$ are GLP one receptor agonists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79469126

 $00:37:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.223$ The most common one used nowadays

NOTE Confidence: 0.79469126

 $00:37:05.223 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.947$ is something called semaglutide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79469126

 $00:37:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.438$ It's also known as ozempic or we govy.

 $00:37:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.230$ This is also in the class of the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

00:37:13.230 --> 00:37:15.282 drug called terza Appetite, but we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:15.282 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.529$ we'll have a separate slide on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.266$ The big picture is, is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00{:}37{:}20.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.902$ GLP one receptor agonists

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:21.902 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.942$ work in the nucleus accumbens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.830$ decreasing the reward pathway in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:26.830 \dashrightarrow 00:37:29.310$ hypothalamus and that improves your satiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.172$ It also slows down gastric emptying and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:31.172 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.310$ gives you some increased stomach stretch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

00:37:33.310 --> 00:37:34.830 But that's the mechanism, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.530$ So it it it affects growing

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.330$ at the stomach and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.670$ Also has a direct effect on the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

00:37:42.670 --> 00:37:45.726 So how do we start these meds again,

00:37:45.730 --> 00:37:47.782 not going to go into the nitty gritty here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00{:}37{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.199$ Usually you start at a low dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.736$ I I titrate slowly increasing only at 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:55.736 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.280$ weeks and then common common issues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:37:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.130$ everyone should be counseled on nausea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:38:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.482$ If someone is vomiting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:38:03.482 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.510$ the dose needs to be decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

00:38:05.510 --> 00:38:07.688 Some patients do have some Constipation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00{:}38{:}07.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.888$ they can have an increased heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:38:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.030$ In study it's only like 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

00:38:11.030 --> 00:38:12.170 to 3 beats per minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132337

 $00:38:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.920$ but that does happen sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853846721666667

 $00:38:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.745$ Usually not an issue, usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.853846721666667

 $00:38:17.745 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.955$ not a concern for someone with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853846721666667

 $00:38:19.955 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.428$ arrhythmia. That's more of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.679327823333333

 $00:38:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.990$ a issue with phentermine than these.

00:38:24.080 --> 00:38:27.782 And then the scary things are pancreatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7886201

00:38:27.782 --> 00:38:30.908 acute gallbladder disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7886201

 $00{:}38{:}30.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.258$ gastropares is and then if someone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7886201

 $00:38:33.258 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.220$ pregnant they can't be on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7886201

 $00:38:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.758$ And then there has been mixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7886201

 $00:38:37.758 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.040$ studies on medullary thyroid cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7886201

 $00:38:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.410$ These were this was really from

NOTE Confidence: 0.7886201

 $00:38:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.220$ animal studies with Victoza. That

NOTE Confidence: 0.847180717142857

 $00:38:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.127$ there was an increased risk in rats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847180717142857

 $00{:}38{:}46.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.440$ There's a recent French study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.847180717142857

 $00:38:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.746$ poorly done that said that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.847180717142857

 $00:38:50.746 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.924$ an increase of all thyroid cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847180717142857

 $00{:}38{:}52.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.900$ including medullary thyroid cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00{:}38{:}55.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.265$ I won't go into the the details.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:38:57.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.454$ I'm a little bit skeptical of that study.

00:38:59.460 --> 00:39:01.819 I don't think that Hillary thyroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00{:}39{:}01.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03.952$ cancer as a cancer is exquisitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:03.952 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.402$ rare and I don't know that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:06.476 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.660$ is is really significant risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.964$ but patients should be made aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:10.964 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.054$ of the data. Umm. Alright, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

00:39:15.054 --> 00:39:17.386 Tricep atide, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:17.386 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.394$ So we, we we looked at these numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:20.394 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.766$ briefly 15 to 17% average weight loss and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:23.766 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.623$ we remember average weight loss, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:26.623 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.188$ So we have this long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.945$ We have this waterfall plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:30.945 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.349$ that's associated with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.391$ but average is 15 to 17% at Max

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:35.391 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.960$ dose after really 18 months or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.928$ And tricep petite at Max dose,

 $00:39:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.243$ which is our new agent, it's 22.5%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:43.243 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.722$ which is massive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:44.722 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.680$ That's crazy because when we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:47.768 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.148$ at a gastric sleeve surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836115335714286

 $00:39:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.520$ it's closer to 25 to 30%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:39:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.490$ So we're approaching those levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:39:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.739$ So you had patients that had us in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:39:59.739 --> 00:40:02.845 study that had 37% of their total body

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:02.845 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.950$ weight off on Max dose tres appetite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.842$ So what's the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:40:08.842 --> 00:40:13.440 between perception Jaro versus?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.600$ Versus semaglutide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00{:}40{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.572$ Well, it's a dual agent 2 hormones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:40:17.572 --> 00:40:20.137 it's GLP one. And G&GIP, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:20.137 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.437$ So this is what the new new age

 $00:40:22.437 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.432$ is and that's what people are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:40:24.432 --> 00:40:26.831 doing is they're going to be start

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:26.831 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.390$ combining hormones in these new agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:30.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.736$ So let's apply that how does

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:32.736 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.959$ weight loss look in a case?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.680$ So this is a patient actually saw a

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.381$ while ago so the the drugs are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00{:}40{:}40{:}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.818$ are so it's before summer was out

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.571$ or was just coming out as patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:45.571 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.917$ was a 53 year old class three class

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00{:}40{:}48.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51.990$ I'm sorry Class 3 obesity this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:40:52.079 --> 00:40:55.551 that's that's this type BMI 48 Type

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:40:55.551 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.036$ 2 diabetes thyroid nodules anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00{:}40{:}58.036 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}41{:}01.072$ unless Lexapro who presents for weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:41:01.072 --> 00:41:04.006 management was overweight as a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:04.091 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.862$ after age 5. Peak weight was 283.

 $00:41:06.862 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.102$ Her goal weight is 200.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.530$ What can be done? Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:41:11.530 --> 00:41:14.354 this is her weight graph over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:41:14.360 --> 00:41:17.096 So she was initially on metformin and lira

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.860$ and that was titrated up and she was at 2 at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:41:19.860 --> 00:41:21.799 you know, in the two 80s and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:21.799 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.260$ then didn't tolerate metformin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.608$ So she was decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00{:}41{:}24.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.293$ She stopped off Metformin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:26.293 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.540$ Lyra was increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.988$ So she went down all the way to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.318$ you know, 245.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00{:}41{:}32.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34.574$ And then she started herself on metformin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.060$ and we they we increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.920$ her on lira some more.

00:41:39.920 --> 00:41:42.112 And she was down to two, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:41:42.112 --> 00:41:46.051 235 stabilized there, she started on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00{:}41{:}46.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.159$ Naltrexone be propriano Contrave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.518$ Which was increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

00:41:51.520 --> 00:41:53.816 But she didn't tolerate it very well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.235$ so she was only so she stopped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.838$ She had headaches, flushing abdominal pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:41:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.094$ That was stopped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00{:}42{:}00.094 --> 00{:}42{:}01.766$ She started on Topiramate

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:42:01.766 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.020$ responded pretty well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:42:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.316$ And they get and then started on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:42:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.875$ you know, a little bit of phentermine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:42:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.496$ And she's down to £200 or abouts there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:42:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.316$ little bit above right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925078708

 $00:42:13.316 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.320$ And this might be more of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.132$ And I think with some of the new

 $00{:}42{:}20.132 --> 00{:}42{:}21.408$ drugs you'll you'll see some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.165$ More impressive effects for any

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:42:23.165 --> 00:42:25.238 specific drug, although she did

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:25.238 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.518$ respond really well to lira.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.122$ But this is kind of what you can expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:29.122 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.695$ is this is going to be a push pull.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:42:30.700 --> 00:42:32.716 Some drugs won't work for the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.880$ there will be side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:42:33.880 --> 00:42:36.183 So I I've kept this slide intact

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:36.183 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.382$ to say that it's not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:38.382 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.999$ none of these are a magic pill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.960$ but you have to kind of feel it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00{:}42{:}41.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.982$ out and see which which medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:43.982 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.172$ works and what doesn't and what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.580$ side effects are tolerable or

 $00:42:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.980$ inappropriate for any given case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.620$ All right. So what's next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.968$ What's on the horizon?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:53.968 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.653$ A whole bunch of agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:42:55.660 --> 00:42:58.140 amylin, GLP, Glucagon, GLP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:42:58.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.530$ So Glucagon has a little bit of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:43:02.530 --> 00:43:04.002 Energy effects, I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:43:04.002 --> 00:43:05.159 uh, energy expenditure effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00{:}43{:}05.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.370$ So we'll see how that works in people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:43:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.800$ That's in mouse studies right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:43:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.495$ And then there is a trial of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:43:12.495 --> 00:43:14.749 Tracepath tide on sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:43:14.750 --> 00:43:17.116 which is kind of exciting because they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00{:}43{:}17.120 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}43{:}18.770$ you know, if it comes positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

00:43:18.770 --> 00:43:20.570 which I suspect it will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00:43:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.550$ maybe there will be an indication

 $00:43:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.689$ for sleep apnea for these drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00{:}43{:}24.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.699$ which would change how we start managing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83772181

 $00{:}43{:}26.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.680$ how we manage some of these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

00:43:33.270 --> 00:43:36.966 To conclude, obesity is a complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.410$ multifactorial disease process

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.810$ that is prevalent and undertreated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.358$ Obesity, sleep and diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:43.358 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.269$ are profoundly interrelated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00{:}43{:}45.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.226$ A treatment of obesity should focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:47.226 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.289$ on the adjustment of that weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.260$ the weight set point right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.096$ And that can be done through

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00{:}43{:}53.096 --> 00{:}43{:}53.708 \ \mathrm{multiple \ mechanisms},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.266$ both conservative and medical.

 $00:43:55.266 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.211$ And treating obesity should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

00:43:57.211 --> 00:43:59.249 done with a team based approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:43:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.930$ So nutritionists, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

00:44:02.930 --> 00:44:05.518 Psychiatry, sleep, medicine, GI, surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:44:05.518 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.020$ everyone is involved in these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

00:44:08.020 --> 00:44:10.024 So it's not one one person

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:44:10.024 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.026$ that's managing everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

00:44:11.030 --> 00:44:13.823 We really get everyone on board and

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:44:13.823 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.266$ and then all of this, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

00:44:16.266 --> 00:44:18.002 we haven't gone through all the medicines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00{:}44{:}18.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19.714$ but a lot of this is figuring out

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00{:}44{:}19.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.646$ what is the right fit for a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940851583333333

 $00:44:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.576$ So medicines should be medicines and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.856723565454545

00:44:24.590 --> 00:44:26.222 therapies need to be approached through

NOTE Confidence: 0.856723565454545

00:44:26.222 --> 00:44:27.700 a shared decision making process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

00:44:28.660 --> 00:44:31.042 And treatments of obesity for obesity

 $00:44:31.042 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.495$ should be evaluated as a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00{:}44{:}33.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36.271$ option for patients with OSA because it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00:44:36.271 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.226$ you know, we we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

00:44:38.230 --> 00:44:42.278 We have studies showing that weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00:44:42.278 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.963$ significantly affects OSA and sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

00:44:44.963 --> 00:44:48.433 quality and now we have medicines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00:44:48.433 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.225$ significantly affect weight that maybe we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

00:44:51.225 --> 00:44:54.046 should be using some of these therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00:44:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.618$ in concert with CPAP and then seeing if

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00:44:57.618 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.910$ patients potentially can get off PAP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844510797777778

 $00:44:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.158$ you know, in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

00:45:01.158 --> 00:45:03.896 But I would say that's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00{:}45{:}03.896 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}45{:}06.284$ probably a smaller percentage of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00:45:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.200$ We're always hopeful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84451079777778

 $00:45:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.620$ but we we should always be keeping that.

 $00:45:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.488$ All right, questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844510797777778 00:45:13.490 --> 00:45:14.230 Great. NOTE Confidence: 0.922883971666667

00:45:14.320 --> 00:45:15.880 Thank you so much, Doctor Roger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922883971666667

 $00:45:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.660$ for this extremely educational talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922883971666667

 $00:45:18.660 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.760$ excellent talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922883971666667

 $00:45:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.560$ So if you have any questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922883971666667

 $00:45:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.919$ feel free to unmute yourself and ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922883971666667

 $00:45:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.246$ And I do have one question

NOTE Confidence: 0.922883971666667

 $00:45:27.246 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.040$ in the chat room for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.464507802

00:45:29.080 --> 00:45:32.440 What is monogenic versus polygenic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.464507802

 $00:45:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.688$ Sure, great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:45:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.540$ Specific gene associated with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00{:}45{:}39.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.340$ So the examples I think of are specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

00:45:43.340 --> 00:45:46.710 mutations on MC4R mutation, TOMCC muta-

tion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:45:46.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.700$ The reason it's important to make that

 $00:45:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.705$ distinction so polygenic is probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:45:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.742$ Most patients have right or or plain old

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:45:57.742 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.824$ obesity that is we we don't have a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:01.824 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.307$ gene to monogenic obesity usually has

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:04.307 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.989$ and other syndrome associated with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

00:46:06.990 --> 00:46:09.600 So for instance a pomsky mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00{:}46{:}09.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.066$ will often present with adrenal

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:12.066 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.642$ insufficiency right because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00{:}46{:}14.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.050$ there's another gene issue with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:17.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.114$ The other way you know common ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:19.114 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.354$ that you might think of are leptin

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00{:}46{:}21.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.320$ deficient leptin receptor deficiencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.890$ It does have a have a certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

00:46:26.890 --> 00:46:29.005 presentation many monogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:29.005 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.825$ obesity presentations will have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.155$ So they're syndromic is is

 $00:46:33.155 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.740$ what I'm really trying to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.508$ And the reason it's there are two

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:36.508 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.017$ reasons it's important to find them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

00:46:38.020 --> 00:46:39.816 Sometimes because there's syndromic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60861311

 $00:46:39.816 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.510$ they have another endocrine issue associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.77078281

 $00:46:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.094$ that you need to treat and find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:46:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.884$ And then the other piece is they

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:46:46.884 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.026$ might be a candidate for drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00{:}46{:}49.026 \to 00{:}46{:}51.688$ that are more effective, all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:46:51.688 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.356$ So if someone has a leptin receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

00:46:55.356 --> 00:46:57.708 deficiency, they their candidate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00{:}46{:}57.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01.576$ be treated with MC4R or set millana

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00{:}47{:}01.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.120$ tide and those patients usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:47:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.520$ are heavier and they'll have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:47:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.080$ you know I have a patient that I've

 $00:47:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.007$ had patients that are quite heavy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

00:47:13.010 --> 00:47:16.398 And they they can be treated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00{:}47{:}16.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.130$ That sent Melanated an average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

00:47:18.130 --> 00:47:20.685 You know we're talking 22.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:47:20.685 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.729$ at with tricep petite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00{:}47{:}22.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.890$ Set melanotic can get like a 30% response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:47:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.650$ So, so much more impressive for

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:47:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.820$ the for that specific population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319478

 $00:47:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.020$ Is is that helpful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:47:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.626$ OK, I have. Uh-huh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:47:40.626 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.582$ I have a question about non

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}47{:}44.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.470$ pharmacologic approaches that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:47:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.908$ there appears to be 2 popular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:47:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.956$ Opposing views.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:47:51.956 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.815$ One is the benefit of frequent small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:47:57.815 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.870$ Meals, particularly with

 $00:47:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.295$ a lot of protein snacking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}48{:}03.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.324$ And the other is the approach of of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:08.324 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.546$ long periods of fasting between eating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:11.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.650$ Do you have any strong feeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.390$ based on your experience?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.190$ So there's data on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.410$ yes so as far so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}48{:}24.410 \to 00{:}48{:}26.510$ The the biggest picture is I'm still

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.470$ going to stick with the idea of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}48{:}28.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.322$ Reducing reducing calories but

NOTE Confidence: 0.7883079666666667

 $00{:}48{:}31.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.887$ maintaining satiety and so however

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:34.887 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.570$ someone accomplishes that great and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}48{:}38.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.792$ you are obviously tailor diets to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:42.792 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.298$ someones comorbidities Mediterranean

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:48:44.298 --> 00:48:46.306 diet more for cardiovascular,

 $00:48:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.495$ dash or hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:48:47.495 --> 00:48:49.865 But that's not your question right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.895$ Your question is does does

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:51.895 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.920$ intermittent fasting work and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:53.991 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.399$ answer is there was a recent study

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:48:56.399 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.449$ that mostly said no not really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:48:58.450 --> 00:49:00.172 Uh, but I think that doesn't mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:49:00.172 --> 00:49:02.137 that I haven't had patients that said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}49{:}02.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.030$ boy, I've done this intermittent

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.920$ fasting thing and it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.246$ What about protein?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:49:07.246 --> 00:49:09.014 What about composition protein?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.936$ So what makes you feel full?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.406$ Things that make you feel full

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:14.406 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.128$ are one protein, followed by fat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:17.128 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.037$ followed by carbs, right?

 $00:49:19.037 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.956$ So those things make you feel full

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:21.956 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.376$ and have a pretty good calorie

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:49:24.376 --> 00:49:27.446 density if if their health, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:27.446 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.404$ healthy if you have a good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}49{:}30.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.692$ If you have like steak that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:49:32.692 --> 00:49:34.350 particularly like a lean meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.135$ it will make you feel full at

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:36.135 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.410$ a lower calorie density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:49:37.410 --> 00:49:39.050 So I don't have anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:49:39.050 --> 00:49:42.200 I don't have any problems with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7883079666666667

 $00{:}49{:}42.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}44.300$ people choosing a protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:49:44.300 --> 00:49:47.884 heavy or not heavy, but a protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}49{:}47.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.474$ vegetable, vegetable based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:49.474 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.260$ And I'm more of a diabetologist, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.130$ So if you're not eating carbs I'm

00:49:55.130 --> 00:49:57.780 happy but but I don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:49:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.549$ problem with that diet per se.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667 00:49:59.550 --> 00:50:00.220 The, the. NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:50:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.565$ What I find is that there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:50:02.565 --> 00:50:04.959 things that people cannot adhere to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:50:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.123$ and I think the biggest thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

00:50:07.123 --> 00:50:09.232 is finding the right thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:50:09.232 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.030$ someone can adhere to with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7883079666666667

00:50:12.030 --> 00:50:15.055 that thoughtful idea of choosing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:50:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.545$ choosing specific things that make

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00{:}50{:}16.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.320$ you feel full at a lower calorie density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:50:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.259$ So I have no problem with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788307966666667

 $00:50:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.860$ So short answer is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:50:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.416$ From what I've seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:50:27.416 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.690$ the data does not really support

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:50:29.769 \dashrightarrow 00:50:31.989$ intermittent fasting very well.

 $00:50:31.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.660$ I've had anecdotally a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:50:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.590$ patients that do well with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:50:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.250$ Great. As far as composition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

00:50:41.250 --> 00:50:46.150 having a higher protein with with a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:50:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.218$ bit of with with veg based diet is fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

00:50:49.218 --> 00:50:52.372 It will make you feel full at probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

00:50:52.372 --> 00:50:55.732 a lower calorie density and at but won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:50:55.819 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.645$ fix the fix the underlying problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:50:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.110$ Is that helpful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:51:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.450$ And one other question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:51:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.100$ is it is it a serious problem of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

00:51:07.100 --> 00:51:12.920 eating at night in combination with with the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00{:}51{:}12.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.020$ With, well, just the evening snacking

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

00:51:18.020 --> 00:51:23.660 and and the problems with melatonin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:51:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.235$ I haven't seen a lot of that.

00:51:25.240 --> 00:51:28.688 I have, I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00{:}51{:}28.690 --> 00{:}51{:}30.310$ I have had patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:51:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.606$ do the evening snacking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:51:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.990$ but that's usually because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:51:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.147$ busy during the day and then they eat

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00{:}51{:}35.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36.841$ nothing and then they binge at night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:51:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.160$ But I haven't seen that specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

00:51:39.160 --> 00:51:40.315 association with melatonin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911528880714286

 $00:51:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.590$ or at least not consistently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788405291666667

00:51:45.480 --> 00:51:47.412 Thanks, Brian. Another question I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.788405291666667

 $00{:}51{:}47.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.633$ from Doctor Ruth and a comment, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788405291666667

 $00:51:49.633 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.594$ Doctor Brian, would you prescribe trouser

NOTE Confidence: 0.788405291666667

 $00:51:51.594 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.652$ petite for a limited period of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.788405291666667

 $00{:}51{:}53.652 \to 00{:}51{:}55.964$ only in patients who have multinodular

NOTE Confidence: 0.788405291666667

 $00:51:55.964 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.044$ goiter or avoid these medications?

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:51:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.168$ Question. Um, so my thyroid colleagues

 $00:52:02.168 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.330$ send those patients straight to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.536$ They've had cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:05.536 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.948$ So as long as they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

00:52:07.948 --> 00:52:10.245 medullary thyroid cancer and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:10.245 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.520$ don't have that specific cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.240$ We still prescribe it. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

00:52:15.240 --> 00:52:20.064 So yeah, that's if and in fact obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.250$ It's been shown to reduce incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.950$ of papillary thyroid cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00{:}52{:}24.950 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26.970$ which is far more common, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.686$ So it's one of the 13 cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:29.686 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.850$ that's been associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667 00:52:30.850 --> 00:52:31.816 So, so sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:31.816 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.070$ obesity has been shown to increase that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.366$ I think I said that right.

 $00:52:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.118$ So treating obesity should actually improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00{:}52{:}38.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.130$ thyroid cancer or most thyroid cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

00:52:41.130 --> 00:52:42.530 but it will not it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

00:52:42.530 --> 00:52:46.958 but treating with a GLP or GLP one Giphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:46.958 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.624$ agent may would be contraindicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:49.624 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.559$ Than someone with a history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.685$ family history or personal history

NOTE Confidence: 0.688857426666667

 $00:52:53.685 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.385$ of medullary thyroid cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766309472727273

00:52:57.620 --> 00:52:59.084 Thank you. Another question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766309472727273

 $00.52.59.084 \longrightarrow 00.53.00.914$ are there any R cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.766309472727273

 $00{:}53{:}00.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02.640$ about combined treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.766309472727273

 $00:53:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.326$ medication with psychotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766309472727273

 $00:53:04.326 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.138$ Is the combination better

NOTE Confidence: 0.766309472727273

 $00:53:06.138 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.950$ than just one approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

00:53:08.390 --> 00:53:12.989 So almost all, almost all studies consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00{:}53{:}12.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}15.920$ conservative management as baseline.

 $00:53:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.004$ So what conservative management

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

00:53:18.004 --> 00:53:21.130 to me is nutrition often like

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00:53:21.217 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.779$ consistent nutritional visits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00:53:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.036$ you know some some sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

00:53:26.036 --> 00:53:27.540 psych something you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

00:53:27.540 --> 00:53:29.684 It's usually more of a nutrition based thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00:53:29.690 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.566$ Generally speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00{:}53{:}30.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.194$ the medicines are more effective for

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00{:}53{:}33.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35.847$ any for an individual on average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00:53:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.010$ But I think there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00:53:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.306$ several important caveats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

00:53:39.310 --> 00:53:40.750 Binge eating disorder is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00:53:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.371$ of the biggest ones, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00:53:42.371 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.676$ So people who have binge

NOTE Confidence: 0.816155290909091

 $00:53:44.676 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.650$ eating disorder need to be.

 $00:53:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.602$ With the with with a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.793398035

00:53:51.602 --> 00:53:53.310 psych team because all

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:53:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.552$ the drugs ever do is improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

00:53:55.552 --> 00:53:58.101 is change the fat mass setpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

00:53:58.101 --> 00:54:01.017 people who binge eat past satiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.800$ So I can't fix that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.196$ That needs a different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:05.196 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.941$ that needs a different therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:06.941 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.680$ and that can be done with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.950$ So the medicine that's sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00{:}54{:}09.950 --> 00{:}54{:}11.220$ used for it is Vyvanse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

00:54:11.220 --> 00:54:14.252 I don't prescribe it because it's a psych

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:14.252 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.076$ indication or I don't usually prescribe it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.768$ But also there there needs to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

00:54:18.768 --> 00:54:20.397 good good psych support with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.048$ So I I think that the the studies

 $00:54:23.048 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.386$ have some sort of support maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00{:}54{:}25.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27.786$ less psych support in other in

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

00:54:27.866 --> 00:54:30.449 other cases and I think the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:30.449 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.805$ caveat is in patients that binge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762845866666667

 $00:54:32.805 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.710$ So most of the time the medicines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787749388888889

00:54:37.750 --> 00:54:39.110 But it's it's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78774938888889

00:54:39.110 --> 00:54:40.810 You should be treating everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787749388888889

 $00:54:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.090$ You should be treated everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89524865

 $00:54:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.698$ OK. What's wrong? We have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89524865

 $00{:}54{:}48.698 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}54{:}49.766$ question from Doctor Hoffman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94212141

 $00{:}54{:}49.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.918$ Have you had any success with evening

NOTE Confidence: 0.94212141

00:54:51.918 --> 00:54:54.676 overnight fasting of 12 hour or more?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884516138

 $00{:}54{:}57.110 --> 00{:}54{:}59.338$ I have, like I said, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884516138

 $00:54:59.338 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.730$ anecdotally I've had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884516138

 $00:55:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.486$ I have maybe one or two cases that

 $00:55:03.486 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.466$ do intermittent fasting and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884516138

00:55:05.470 --> 00:55:07.465 boy, this is really working for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.884516138

 $00:55:07.470 \dashrightarrow 00:55:09.730$ and that's great, that's fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884516138

 $00:55:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.240$ Maybe they have a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.884516138

 $00:55:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.200$ phenotype that, that, that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884516138

 $00:55:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.370$ And so, So what often happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.792379728333333

 $00:55:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.710$ with intermittent fasting is people catch

NOTE Confidence: 0.836233975714286

 $00:55:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.645$ up during the the non fast time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719211751428571

 $00:55:24.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.084$ On this far as their calorie

NOTE Confidence: 0.719211751428571

00:55:26.084 --> 00:55:28.500 intake but some people respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906158325

 $00:55:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.260$ well to the to that time restricted eating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906158325

 $00{:}55{:}31.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35.751$ So I would say yes sometimes and I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906158325

 $00:55:35.751 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.893$ necessarily see anything wrong with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906158325

 $00{:}55{:}37.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40.636$ that if that works for a specific patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

00:55:43.170 --> 00:55:45.641 It's David. And do you have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:55:45.641 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.006$ data on the wakefulness promoting

 $00:55:48.006 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.056$ benefits of the fasting state?

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

00:55:51.060 --> 00:55:52.888 Not necessarily for something

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:55:52.888 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.780$ that would be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

00:55:54.780 --> 00:55:56.860 drive you down the path of weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:55:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.345$ but maybe serve the weight promoting thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:55:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.699$ Because I do know that being

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

00:56:01.699 --> 00:56:03.512 vigilant seems to be a side effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00{:}56{:}03.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.200$ of being in the fasting state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:56:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.280$ There is some data out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00{:}56{:}06.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.666$ but I'm not too familiar with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:56:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.234 \text{ I was wondering whether}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:56:09.234 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.189$ you knew anything about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00{:}56{:}11.190 --> 00{:}56{:}15.100$ I think it's hotly debated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

00:56:15.100 --> 00:56:17.644 I, I, I, I, you know, and there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:56:17.644 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.668$ I think being in like the ketosis

00:56:20.668 --> 00:56:23.223 has been argued to maybe make

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

00:56:23.223 --> 00:56:25.780 you feel a little bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:56:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.370$ not like there's nausea associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:56:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.246$ with being ketotic, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

 $00:56:30.246 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.142$ And the thought is that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

00:56:32.142 --> 00:56:34.149 if you're a little ketotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786588403529412

00:56:34.150 --> 00:56:34.390 You

NOTE Confidence: 0.846482300909091

 $00:56:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.272$ will have a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846482300909091

00:56:36.272 --> 00:56:37.860 nausea and maybe that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

00:56:38.590 --> 00:56:40.214 and that's what you're trying to accomplish

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

00:56:40.214 --> 00:56:42.140 and to some degree through fasting, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

00:56:42.140 --> 00:56:43.610 It's not just that you didn't eat

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

00:56:43.610 --> 00:56:45.258 enough carbs, it's boiled, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:56:45.258 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.497$ it's this is another way to maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:56:47.497 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.495$ achieve a little bit of ketosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:56:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.100$ And so, so I I think.

 $00:56:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.836$ That is a hotly debated topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:56:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.320$ I haven't been convinced that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

00:56:59.320 --> 00:57:01.300 Achieving ketosis through fasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:57:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.270$ or otherwise is is very weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:57:04.349 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.977$ promoting or particularly helpful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

00:57:06.980 --> 00:57:09.258 Umm, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

00:57:09.258 --> 00:57:11.631 certainly you can lose a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:57:11.631 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.955$ weight quickly if you eat very very

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:57:13.955 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.900$ little and on an architonic, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538 00:57:16.900 --> 00:57:18.540 Long term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

00:57:18.540 --> 00:57:20.984 I suspect you will regain it based on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00:57:20.984 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.456$ you know understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831429408461538

 $00{:}57{:}22.456 --> 00{:}57{:}24.190$ the the disease process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:57:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.648$ Period. Is that help?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:57:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.506$ You're going back to that patient,

 $00:57:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.450$ you showed that loss from 280 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:57:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.310$ 200 on various medications if.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:57:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.410$ Now that the patient has reached 200,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:57:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.588$ if you stopped all those medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:57:43.590 --> 00:57:45.390 what would you predict would happen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:57:45.390 --> 00:57:48.127 And those, have you changed the Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:57:48.127 --> 00:57:50.866 of that patient in any way being at

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:57:50.866 --> 00:57:52.862 200 rather than 280, if you could?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00{:}57{:}52.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54.398$ Great, great question. Sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:57:54.398 --> 00:57:57.090 I didn't make a punctuated point about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:57:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.598$ It's very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:57:59.600 --> 00:58:02.860 Regain the weight, right? Absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.986$ So we have not cured obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:05.990 --> 00:58:10.750 Um, you have treated it and that's true of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:10.750 --> 00:58:13.350 surgery, that's true of medicine, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:13.350 --> 00:58:17.170 So if if I take a patient that is BMI 50,

 $00:58:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.286$ take them to the OR and change

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:20.286 --> 00:58:21.480 their Physiology, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:21.480 --> 00:58:22.780 because it's a metabolic surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.390$ it affects hormones that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:25.390 --> 00:58:26.956 not just malabsorptive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.940$ 10 years down the line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:27.940 --> 00:58:29.704 they might regain that weight and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:29.704 --> 00:58:31.586 seen those cases plenty of the time, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:31.586 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.926$ Is that a 10 years, hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:32.926 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.206$ this bariatric surgery didn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00{:}58{:}34.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36.190$ No, their disease has progressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:36.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.562$ right and that is a fix to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:37.562 --> 00:58:38.710 the fat mass set point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.020$ But disease progresses now with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:41.020 --> 00:58:42.774 medicines we've tried, right?

 $00:58:42.774 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.594$ So there's a great study

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:44.594 --> 00:58:45.686 with phentermine topiramate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:45.690 --> 00:58:48.330 which was a crossover and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.698$ looked and said, can we stop it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:50.698 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.132$ Because no one wants to stay on medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:53.132 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.750$ forever. And the answer was no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:58:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.870$ They they had, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:58:56.870 --> 00:58:58.402 just a lifestyle quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00{:}58{:}58.402 \to 00{:}59{:}00.700$ UN quote lifestyle intervention and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.592$ lifestyle plus phentermine topiramate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00{:}59{:}02.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04.882$ halfway through you could quit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.625$ And they rejoined their colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:06.625 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.666$ at the lifestyle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:59:07.670 --> 00:59:10.109 So it really kind of gave you that show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.684$ that boy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:10.684 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.693$ there is that fat mass set point

00:59:12.693 --> 00:59:14.390 because they went back to where

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.970$ we would expect them to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.582$ So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:59:16.582 --> 00:59:18.418 I'd expect that patient to regain

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:18.418 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.420$ all that weight, that being said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.824$ I'll give you the caveat of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:59:23.824 --> 00:59:24.811 a super responder, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:59:24.811 --> 00:59:26.778 because I gave you an average case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.252$ I had a case that was in the 400 about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:31.252 \dashrightarrow 00:59:35.652$ little over £400 when they saw me on insulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:35.652 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.276$ For diabetes on statins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.490$ Required knee surgery for osteoarthritis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.620$ Could not get it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:59:44.620 --> 00:59:45.524 of the weight requirement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.430$ Terrible OSA, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera.

00:59:48.430 --> 00:59:51.240 Started them on some meglitinide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.110$ 1 milligram, not full dose, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00{:}59{:}53.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}54.950$ So I got them to 1 milligram after

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

00:59:54.950 --> 00:59:56.755 three months they lost touch with me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.176$ but I saw them in a year lost

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $00:59:59.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.280 \pm 120.00$ off insulin, off statin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

01:00:03.280 --> 01:00:05.120 off blood pressure pills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

01:00:05.120 --> 01:00:07.520 got their surgery, can walk now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01{:}00{:}07.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10.628$ So like it, it really depends, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

01:00:10.628 --> 01:00:13.760 You can have really profound effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01{:}00{:}13.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}15.392$ and that's not an average case

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

01:00:15.392 --> 01:00:17.882 is is you can have rip but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01:00:17.882 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.552$ can have really profound effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01{:}00{:}19.552 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}21.500$ with these medicines that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01:00:21.500 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.517$ Really life changing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01:00:22.517 \dashrightarrow 01:00:24.890$ The problem is that I don't know

 $01:00:24.952 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.143$ the next guy might give the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

01:00:27.143 --> 01:00:29.158 medicine and it's you got a £5

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01:00:29.158 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.562$ weight loss and what in this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01:00:31.562 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.352$ worth it right and so and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01{:}00{:}34.352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}36.518$ it's a constant reevaluation of are

NOTE Confidence: 0.6096207125

 $01:00:36.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.110$ these medicines effective for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

 $01:00:39.110 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.868$ What other medicines can we peel

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

 $01{:}00{:}40.868 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}42.640$ off because once you start these

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

 $01:00:42.640 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.688$ medicines my next job is to say can

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

 $01:00:44.688 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.048$ we stop the blood pressure pill

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

 $01:00:46.048 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.776$ can we stop the cholesterol pill?

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

 $01:00:47.780 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.656$ What what can we start peeling off

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

 $01{:}00{:}49.656 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}51.930$ so it's a it's I follow patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

 $01:00:51.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.174$ Three to four months and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830235210555556

01:00:54.174 --> 01:00:55.296 reevaluate pretty regularly.

01:00:58.720 --> 01:01:03.530 Thanks. Yep. Thanks a lot, Brian. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

 $01:01:03.530 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.726$ have one comment from Doctor Radaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

 $01:01:05.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.585$ Nice talk, Ryan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

 $01:01:06.585 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.040$ Great to see everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

 $01:01:10.040 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.520$ Thanks if anyone has any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

 $01:01:14.520 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.040$ If not, thank you so much again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

 $01:01:17.040 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.680$ It was a terrific talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

01:01:18.680 --> 01:01:20.840 More patience coming your way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

 $01:01:20.840 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.555$ Alright, by everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778116921666667

01:01:23.555 --> 01:01:24.910 Enjoy your vacation.