WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:17"

NOTE recognizability:0.856

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:02.170$ And so it is a particular pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.956$ for me today to introduce our Joint

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:04.956 --> 00:00:06.740 Sleep Conference speaker today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:06.740 --> 00:00:08.000 Doctor Brian Miner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:08.000 --> 00:00:10.520 who is my Yale colleague and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:10.520 \rightarrow 00:00:13.196$ happens to be a talented researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:13.200 --> 00:00:16.539 So Doctor Miner hails from McGill University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.720$ Where she received her

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:17.720 --> 00:00:18.900 undergraduate degree in Biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:18.900 --> 00:00:21.819 followed by medical school at SUNY Downstate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:21.820 --> 00:00:25.180 and then she did her residency chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}00{:}25.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.100$ residency, her multiple fellowships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:27.100 --> 00:00:28.540 including geriatrics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.460$ Sleep Medicine at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}00{:}30{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33{.}835$ And she's been on faculty at Yale ever since.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}00{:}33.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.184$ And so Brianna's work has been at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:37.184 \rightarrow 00:00:39.678$ intersection of aging and sleep and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}00{:}39.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.844$ Given the state of the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:40.844 --> 00:00:41.860 population in respect to both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.326$ I think we're all likely to be in her

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:44.326 \rightarrow 00:00:46.728$ office at some point in time or another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}00{:}46.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.812$ And her research has focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:48.812 \rightarrow 00:00:50.200$ redefining sleep disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:50.258 \rightarrow 00:00:52.048$ sleep deficiency in the elderly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:52.050 \rightarrow 00:00:54.456$ taking to the account the complexity

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:54.456 \rightarrow 00:00:56.060$ of comorbidities from ecological,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:56.060 \rightarrow 00:00:58.080$ functional and psychosocial factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:58.080 \rightarrow 00:01:01.009$ impact quality of life and sleep quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:01:01.010 -> 00:01:03.488 And so she is funded by multiple

- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00{:}01{:}03.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.557$ awards from the National Institute
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00{:}01{:}05{.}557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}329$ of Aging and the Pepper Center,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00:01:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.286$ as well as a prestigious beats
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- 00:01:10.286 --> 00:01:11.590 and Career Development Award,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00:01:11.590 \rightarrow 00:01:12.730$ which is geared towards growing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00:01:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.370$ leaders in the field of geriatrics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00:01:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.058$ So Congrats to Brianne.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00:01:16.058 \rightarrow 00:01:18.590$ She's been honored in multiple awards,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- 00:01:18.590 --> 00:01:20.442 including Best New Investigator
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00{:}01{:}20{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22{.}757$ Award from the American Geriatric
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- 00:01:22.757 --> 00:01:24.858 Society and the Young Investigators
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00{:}01{:}24.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.216$ Research Forum Award from the ASM.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00{:}01{:}27{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29{.}920$ And on a personal note,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00{:}01{:}29{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31{.}534$ Brian is a caring and thoughtful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889
- $00{:}01{:}31{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32{.}610$ physician and an outstanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:32.657 \rightarrow 00:01:33.758$ collaborator and teacher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}01{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.839$ And so I'm really excited to hear her talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:35.839 \rightarrow 00:01:37.858$ today and sleep deficiency in the elderly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:37.860 \rightarrow 00:01:39.565$ so please give a warm

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}01{:}39{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40{.}929$ we lcome to doctor minor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

00:01:43.310 --> 00:01:44.934 Thank you, Andre. Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:44.934 \rightarrow 00:01:47.903$ that was a very gracious and warm

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:47.903 \rightarrow 00:01:50.621$ introduction which can only be given

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:50.621 \rightarrow 00:01:54.059$ by somebody who has done just as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:54.059 \rightarrow 00:01:56.401$ post residency training as I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:56.401 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.507$ And we also did our sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:58.507 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.349$ fellowship at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.350$ So Andre and I go back a ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.688$ So I'm going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:05.688 \rightarrow 00:02:08.012$ evaluation of sleep deficiency in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

00:02:08.012 --> 00:02:12.077 adults and so I can advance my slide.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.108$ There we go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:14.110 \dashrightarrow 00:02:16.348$ I'm going to start with acknowledgements.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:16.350 \rightarrow 00:02:17.860$ While I have everybody's attention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.042$ I'm going to get my thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:19.042 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.070$ yous out of the way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.558$ So as Andre mentioned,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00{:}02{:}21.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.452$ I sort of started this career through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:24.452 \rightarrow 00:02:26.800$ internal medicine and geriatrics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00{:}02{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.243$ And so I'm very thankful to have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:29.243 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.938$ had the opportunity to benefit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:30.938 \rightarrow 00:02:32.814$ from a T32IN geriatrics and from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00:02:32.814 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.770$ our pepper center here at Yale.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- 00:02:34.770 --> 00:02:36.810 That provided some of that early,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- 00:02:36.810 --> 00:02:37.980 very crucial funding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667
- $00{:}02{:}37{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41{.}256$ And I stand on the shoulders of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:41.256 \rightarrow 00:02:43.586$ giants and geriatrics and aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732054356666667

00:02:43.590 --> 00:02:46.430 Research Mary Tinetti up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:02:48.760 --> 00:02:52.090 Was she's our outgoing section chief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:02:52.090 \rightarrow 00:02:53.790$ and she, frankly, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:02:53.790 \rightarrow 00:02:55.650$ supported me when nobody else would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}02{:}55{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}942$ And then Terry freed our incoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:02:57.942 \rightarrow 00:03:00.286$ section chief, who provided some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:00.286 \rightarrow 00:03:02.650$ crucial and important advice for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}02{.}717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04{.}949$ at several junctures in my career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:04.950 \rightarrow 00:03:07.070$ including when I was writing my K award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:07.070 --> 00:03:08.854 And then Tom Gill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:08.854 \rightarrow 00:03:11.084$ who's my aging mentor now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.878$ and Tom is really a thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:12.878 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.070$ leader in aging research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}14.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.560$ and he's an incredible mentor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:16.560 \rightarrow 00:03:18.929$ he's been very supportive and so I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.700$ Also want to highlight here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:20.700 \dashrightarrow 00:03:23.424$ the American Academy of Sleep Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:23.424 \rightarrow 00:03:26.615$ Foundation was sort of my first external

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:26.615 --> 00:03:28.696 funding and was really a lifeline

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:28.696 \rightarrow 00:03:30.900$ for me at a very important time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:30.900 \rightarrow 00:03:32.514$ Maybe things could have gone differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:32.514 \rightarrow 00:03:34.458$ if I didn't get that first award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.020$ So I'm very thankful for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.838$ And then as, as Andre mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.745$ I'm funded by the NIH through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}40.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.319$ GEMSTORE and the Bison programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}43{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}964$ So during my postdoctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:46.964 \dashrightarrow 00:03:49.316$ fellowship training and research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}49{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}080$ I was also working at our Adler Clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:52.080 \rightarrow 00:03:54.558$ which is our geriatric assessment clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.122$ This picture you see is a statue

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59{.}318$ that sits outside of the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}59{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}060$ And in this clinic we really take care of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:04.060 \rightarrow 00:04:07.140$ patient population with a lot of complexity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.448$ lot of medical problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:08.448 \rightarrow 00:04:11.440$ That or as we would say in geriatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:11.440 --> 00:04:11.797 multimorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:11.797 --> 00:04:13.939 That's a term you'll hear me

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}04{:}13.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.108$ throw around a little bit today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:16.110 --> 00:04:18.195 Polypharmacy, many medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}04{:}18{.}195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22{.}365$ They have cognitive and physical impairments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}04{:}22.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.978$ And our mission is to maintain the health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:26.980 \rightarrow 00:04:30.760$ Quality and independence of this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}04{:}30{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32{.}944$ And really if at the other clinic we

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:32.944 --> 00:04:35.538 focus a lot on maintaining independence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.078$ It's the goal of our patients,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:04:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.796$ it's the goal of the families
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:04:39.796 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.940$ that care for them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- 00:04:40.940 --> 00:04:42.080 You know, we're really trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:04:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.740$ to keep them in the community.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:04:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.002$ And this is really where my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00{:}04{:}46.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.980$ interest in sleep was born.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- 00:04:47.980 --> 00:04:48.458 And so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- 00:04:48.458 --> 00:04:48.936 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:04:48.936 \rightarrow 00:04:51.057$ I'm going to tell you guys some things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:04:51.057 \dashrightarrow 00:04:53.255$ that are probably not surprising to you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:04:53.260 \rightarrow 00:04:55.456$ but sleep problems in this community,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:04:55.460 \rightarrow 00:04:57.260$ very common and very detrimental.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00{:}04{:}57{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}476$ That's what I'm showing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00{:}04{:}58{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}300$ So as the number of chronic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- 00:05:00.361 > 00:05:01.589 conditions increases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.675$ the prevalence of reporting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:05:03.675 --> 00:05:05.343 sleep problem also increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.048$ So when people have more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:07.048 \rightarrow 00:05:07.897$ three chronic conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.910$ we can see nearly 70% are

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.230$ reporting a sleep problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:12.230 \rightarrow 00:05:13.970$ And these sleep problems really

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.200$ run counter to the mission of

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:16.200 \dashrightarrow 00:05:17.884$ geriatrics of maintaining that

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:17.884 \rightarrow 00:05:19.989$ quality and health and independence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}19{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22{.}446$ And I'm not going to talk so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:22.446 \rightarrow 00:05:24.366$ today about cardiovascular and

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:05:24.366 --> 00:05:26.826 metabolic and immunologic outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:05:26.830 --> 00:05:28.432 I'm really going to focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:28.432 \dashrightarrow 00:05:30.160$ those things that we care about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:30.160 \rightarrow 00:05:33.230$ especially in an aging population.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:33.230 \rightarrow 00:05:36.100$ And so we know that sleep problems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00{:}05{:}36{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}213$ lead to falls and functional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:39.213 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.007$ impairment hospitalization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.724$ Depression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:41.724 \rightarrow 00:05:44.580$ cognitive impairment and dementia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.008$ and institutionalization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:46.010 \dashrightarrow 00:05:48.782$ And it's probably that last point
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:48.782 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.495$ that really got me to be very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00{:}05{:}51.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.741$ interested in sleep to see this is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:54.741 \rightarrow 00:05:57.026$ problem that really was modifiable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00{:}05{:}57{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}738$ But the issue was that I didn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:05:58.738 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.150$ really have the right tools.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- 00:06:00.150 --> 00:06:01.119 And, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- 00:06:01.119 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:06:03.650 I knew the things that we couldn't do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333
- $00:06:03.650 \rightarrow 00:06:05.710$ And so many of you may be familiar with this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}468$ This is the beers criteria that's published

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:08.468 --> 00:06:10.929 by the American Geriatric Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:10.930 \rightarrow 00:06:14.017$ And so these. The beers criteria really

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:14.017 \rightarrow 00:06:15.340$ highlights potentially inappropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:15.407 -> 00:06:17.207 medication use in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.042$ And So what you see here is all

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:19.042 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.609$ of the medications we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:20.609 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.344$ use to help people sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00{:}06{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.080$ So we have your benzos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00{:}06{:}24.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.600$ your Z drugs, you know any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:26.600 \dashrightarrow 00:06:28.708$ medications we might use for sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.293$ And the the strength of the recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:31.293 \rightarrow 00:06:33.894$ is strong that we don't use these

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:33.894 --> 00:06:36.030 medications and it tells you exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:36.100 \rightarrow 00:06:38.250$ why here with the rationale increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:38.250 --> 00:06:40.250 in risk of cognitive impairment,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- 00:06:40.250 --> 00:06:42.113 delirium falls, fractures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:06:42.113 \rightarrow 00:06:44.597$ So in some ways,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:06:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.748$ it almost seems like the cure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:06:46.748 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.870$ is worse than the disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:06:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.585$ And so this is what really led
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- 00:06:50.585 --> 00:06:52.429 me to the Sleep Fellowship,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- 00:06:52.430 --> 00:06:55.391 because I felt like I needed better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:06:55.391 \dashrightarrow 00:06:58.688$ tools to serve this population and to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:06:58.690 \rightarrow 00:07:00.706$ To really to treat these sleep problems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:07:00.710 \dashrightarrow 00:07:04.150$ And so that's where I got to meet
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:07:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.264$ Andre and a lot of other people who are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:07:07.264 \dashrightarrow 00:07:09.590$ listening to this presentation today,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- $00:07:09.590 \rightarrow 00:07:11.726$ this really great group of people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- 00:07:11.730 --> 00:07:13.478 a lot of fun,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333
- 00:07:13.478 --> 00:07:15.226 but also incredible clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:15.226 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.380$ and research mentors for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00{:}07{:}17.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.644$ And so in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:18.644 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.540$ I started to work with Clara

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:07:20.612 --> 00:07:22.260 Yaggi and Melissa Kanawat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00{:}07{:}22.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.025$ their mentors on my K award and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:07:25.025 -> 00:07:27.528 really helping me to bring that

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:27.528 \dashrightarrow 00:07:29.994$ sleep expertise to the study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:29.994 \dashrightarrow 00:07:32.667$ older adults with sleep problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38{.}424$ So with that sort of short introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:38.424 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.378$ of how I got to where I am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}07{:}40{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41{.}790$ I'm now going to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.280$ about the rest of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}07{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.196$ So I'm going to talk about a case and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}07{:}46.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.145$ then may be sort of quickly go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:49.145 \rightarrow 00:07:52.221$ some unique aspects of sleep in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:52.221 \rightarrow 00:07:55.245$ adults because you know this is probably.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:07:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.587$ Not due to a lot of you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00{:}07{:}56{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}270$ This is this is probably stuff you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:07:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.846$ but it it felt like we should at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:07:59.846 \rightarrow 00:08:01.245$ least touch upon it and highlight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00{:}08{:}01{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02{.}673$ those things to make sure we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:08:02.721 \rightarrow 00:08:04.065$ all on the same playing field.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:08:04.070 \rightarrow 00:08:06.128$ And then I'll talk about sleep deficiency,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:08:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.799$ what it is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- 00:08:06.799 --> 00:08:08.360 some of my earlier work looking at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:08:08.414 \rightarrow 00:08:09.844$ self reported sleep deficiency and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:08:09.844 \rightarrow 00:08:11.961$ some of the newer things I've been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:08:11.961 \rightarrow 00:08:13.536$ looking at that incorporate objective
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00:08:13.536 \dashrightarrow 00:08:15.522$ measures of sleep deficiency and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075
- $00{:}08{:}15.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.586$ then finally future directions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00{:}08{:}19.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.980$ OK, so I realized I forgot to point this out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.786$ but this thing on the left here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:24.790 --> 00:08:26.230 So this is sort of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:26.230 \rightarrow 00:08:27.990$ This is why sleep is so great because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:27.990 --> 00:08:29.890 you know it's everywhere, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}850$ So this picture was when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.549$ in Disney World with my kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:33.550 --> 00:08:34.756 I think we were waiting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:34.756 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.870$ line to meet Mickey Mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}174$ And you know, I snapped this picture of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:38.174 \rightarrow 00:08:40.116$ this poster and an informative lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:40.116 \rightarrow 00:08:42.650$ how to sleep presented by noted lecturer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.742$ educator, and somnambulist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:43.742 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.290$ Goofy. So I don't have to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:46.357 --> 00:08:48.427 you guys what somnambulist means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}08{:}48{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49{.}274$ but it says guaranteed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:49.274 \rightarrow 00:08:50.790$ By the time this lecture is over,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00{:}08{:}50.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.918$ you'll be fast a sleep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:08:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.306$ So hopefully not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:08:54.306 --> 00:08:56.616 hopefully I will engage you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:08:56.620 \rightarrow 00:08:58.830$ We don't necessarily have this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:08:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.900$ conference at the, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:09:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.380$ the best circadian time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:09:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.588$ but I I will try to keep you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00{:}09{:}05{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07{.}885$ entertained as best I can. OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:09:07.885 --> 00:09:10.510 So that starts with the next story,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:09:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.678$ which is the case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:09:11.678 --> 00:09:11.970 OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:09:11.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.861$ So we're going to tell this story
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00{:}09{:}14.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.447$ of MC who's a real patient that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:09:18.447 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.160$ saw in my geriatrics clinic and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:09:21.160 --> 00:09:22.280 I would say, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.160$ she didn't come to see me about sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:09:25.160 --> 00:09:27.664 but her case is the sort of case

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:27.664 \rightarrow 00:09:29.979$ that I experience commonly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:29.980 \dashrightarrow 00:09:31.639$ So let me take you through this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.728$ So she's a 79 year old woman who

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}33.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.393$ presents for a medication review

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}35{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36{.}833$ after relocating from Florida

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:36.833 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.104$ to live with her daughter in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}612$ Connecticut and she's accompanied

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:40.612 \rightarrow 00:09:42.653$ by her daughter at the visit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}42.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.459$ And that's the nice thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}44.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.632$ seeing patients at our clinic is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}46.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.680$ we almost always have family there

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:48.680 \rightarrow 00:09:50.625$ to provide some collateral report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:50.630 \rightarrow 00:09:53.059$ So she was widowed three years prior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:53.060 \dashrightarrow 00:09:56.228$ and since that time has had some decline.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:09:56.230 --> 00:09:59.230 So recent episodes of confusion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00{:}09{:}59{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01{.}150$ poor appetite, delusional thoughts,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:01.150 \dashrightarrow 00:10:02.950$ and functional decline, decline.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:02.950 \rightarrow 00:10:04.750$ She's getting lost while driving.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.126$ She's falling victim to financial scams.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.680$ She's supposed to be responsible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:09.680 \rightarrow 00:10:11.594$ for giving herself her medications,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:11.594 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.349$ but she's not refilling them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.690$ so probably not doing that appropriately.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:10:15.690 --> 00:10:17.375 And she's depressed and socially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:10:17.375 00:10:18.723 isolated down in Florida,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.426$ which is a big part of why she's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00{:}10{:}21.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.180$ moved to Connecticut.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00{:}10{:}23.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.598$ Other past medical history includes diabetes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:10:25.600 \rightarrow 00:10:26.302$ hypertension,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:26.302 \rightarrow 00:10:29.110$ hypothyroidism and mild dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.850$ And this is her medication list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:30.850 - 00:10:32.770 And as I told you, this is a real case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:32.770 --> 00:10:34.070 I'm not making this up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.667$ So you can see she's on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:36.667 --> 00:10:37.409 cardiovascular medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.049$ but she's on a lot of psychoactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:40.049 --> 00:10:42.230 medications, aripiprazole and antipsychotic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}10{:}42.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.030$ bu propion and Buspirone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:44.030 --> 00:10:46.110 Donepezil, which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}10{:}46{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48{.}714$ meant to increase the level of acetylcholine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:48.720 --> 00:10:52.288 But she's also on Solifenacin or VESA care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:52.290 \rightarrow 00:10:54.380$ which blocks the sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:54.380 --> 00:10:55.002 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:55.002 \rightarrow 00:10:57.490$ has has the opposite effect of the Donepezil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:57.490 \dashrightarrow 00:11:00.550$ And she's on duloxetine and sertral ine.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:11:00.550 --> 00:11:01.202 And also,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- 00:11:01.202 --> 00:11:03.158 we don't know how she's taking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949
- $00:11:03.158 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.810$ these medications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- 00:11:06.520 --> 00:11:08.584 So I asked her about sleep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- 00:11:08.584 --> 00:11:10.920 because I always ask about sleep,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00{:}11{:}10{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}416$ especially since doing my sleep fellowship,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00:11:13.420 \rightarrow 00:11:15.317$ and she says she sleeps pretty well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00:11:15.320 \rightarrow 00:11:17.378$ She feels refreshed during the day.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00{:}11{:}17{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19{.}494$ She wants to increase her solifen acin her
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- 00:11:19.494 --> 00:11:22.157 VESA care due to frequent urination at night,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00:11:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.056$ and she sleeps in a recliner.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00{:}11{:}24.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.860$ Her daughter tells us that she snores.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00:11:26.860 \rightarrow 00:11:30.433$ Her sleep schedule is 10:30 PM to 8:00 AM.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00{:}11{:}30{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33{.}016$ Her latency is quote not long awakenings
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- 00:11:33.016 --> 00:11:35.668 3 to 4 * a night for nocturia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:35.668 --> 00:11:38.205 But she goes back to sleep easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}38{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40{.}837$ and she says she naps probably about

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:40.837 --> 00:11:43.257 two days a week for 30 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:43.260 --> 00:11:45.717 So again, because I'm a sleep doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:45.720 --> 00:11:47.940 I also collected this information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:47.940 --> 00:11:50.380 which is not common in her geriatrics clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}50{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}972$ which is something that I do when I when

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}52{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55{.}557$ I'm concerned about a sleep problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}55{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}480$ So I got the insomnia severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.760$ index and the Epworth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.978$ We're going to come back to these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.562$ but suffice it to say, for now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:12:01.562 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.739$ her scores for both of these were

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:12:03.739 \rightarrow 00:12:05.777$ very much in the normal range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07{.}724$ So then this is where I get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:12:07.724 \rightarrow 00:12:09.080$ little crowd participation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00:12:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.362$ If possible I want to ask maybe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- 00:12:11.362 --> 00:12:14.143 if you all want to just like put a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- 00:12:14.143 --> 00:12:16.737 put a hand up in the on your video,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325
- $00:12:16.737 \rightarrow 00:12:19.739$ would you get a sleep study for this patient?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8798594575
- $00{:}12{:}25{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28{.}250$ I see, yes. I see a head shake.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8798594575
- 00:12:28.250 --> 00:12:31.170 Doctor Hilbert saying yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8798594575
- 00:12:31.170 --> 00:12:33.840 Doctor Thomas saying yes, OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333
- 00:12:37.430 --> 00:12:41.490 All right, so. I did get a sleep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333
- $00{:}12{:}41{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43{.}394$ study and I think, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333
- 00:12:43.394 --> 00:12:46.046 when I'm presenting this sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333
- 00:12:46.046 --> 00:12:49.138 case to a primary care audience,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333
- $00:12:49.140 \rightarrow 00:12:51.709$ they there's there's more sort of hesitance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333
- $00{:}12{:}51{.}709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}977$ about whether to get a sleep study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333
- $00{:}12{:}53{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55{.}980$ But I did and I'm going to tell you why.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139
- $00:12:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.558$ So. First of all.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00:13:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.945$ The Solifenacin and also all

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06{.}376$ the psychoactive medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

00:13:06.380 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:08.990 you know would make me concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00:13:08.990 \rightarrow 00:13:11.162$ potentially about some sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00:13:11.162 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.633$ blunting of her awareness of her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00{:}13{:}13{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16{.}136$ whether she might be sleepy and or you NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

00:13:16.136 --> 00:13:18.700 know how how she's actually sleeping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:13:22.060 \rightarrow 00:13:23.980$ So the daughter reported the snoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}13{:}23.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.933$ but the daughter also told us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}13{:}25{.}933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}380$ probably she was sleeping more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:13:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.298$ during the day than she let on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:13:29.300 \rightarrow 00:13:31.230$ Again, it's where that collateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}13{:}31{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}774$ history becomes so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}13{:}32{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35{.}022$ And I think that's not news to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:13:35.022 \rightarrow 00:13:36.978$ to sleep audience like you guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:13:36.980 --> 00:13:38.996 Before COVID, at least we would have,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:13:39.000 \rightarrow 00:13:40.932$ you know, the spouses coming in with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}13{:}40{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}754$ the patients to tell us, Oh yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:13:42.754 \rightarrow 00:13:44.296$ you know, he snores or whatever.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:13:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.799$ So we're very used to getting collateral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:13:46.799 \rightarrow 00:13:49.630$ history and we do the same in geriatrics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- 00:13:49.630 --> 00:13:51.148 Bedtime is long,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:13:51.148 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.184$ so she's sleeping 9 1/2 hours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- 00:13:54.190 00:13:55.698 The Nocturia is probably,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}13{:}55{.}698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}194$ to a lot of you, a signal that she
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:13:59.194 \dashrightarrow 00:14:01.199$ might have untreated sleep apnea.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}14{:}01{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}615$ And so here's her home sleep study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}14{:}03.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05.028$ And lo and behold,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}14{:}05{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}140$ she does have severe sleep apnea.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}14{:}07{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}348$ So you can see a lot of apneas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:09.350 \rightarrow 00:14:10.659$ You can see a lot of depth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:10.660 \rightarrow 00:14:13.936$ two sort of dense periods of desaturation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}14{:}13{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15{.}320$ Here's the close-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:14:15.320 --> 00:14:16.240 So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:14:16.240 --> 00:14:18.412 this is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:18.412 \rightarrow 00:14:21.127$ pretty classic severe sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.594$ So if we have these patients who come

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}14{:}23.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.131$ in and tell us their sleep is fine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:26.131 \rightarrow 00:14:28.697$ and then we find the sort of rippling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:14:28.697 --> 00:14:31.166 sleep apnea on on a home sleep test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:14:31.166 --> 00:14:32.606 it really does sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:32.606 \rightarrow 00:14:34.430$ lead us to this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}635$ how are we actually supposed to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:36.635 \rightarrow 00:14:38.669$ and screen people for sleep problems?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.420$ So what is the best way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.009$ assess sleep in older adults?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:14:42.010 \rightarrow 00:14:44.348$ And so that's really been the focus

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:44.348 \rightarrow 00:14:46.759$ of the research that I've been doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:46.759 \rightarrow 00:14:49.230$ so far is thinking about those tools.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.840$ And so before I get to that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:50.840 \rightarrow 00:14:53.224$ I'm going to just run through and again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.766$ we won't spend a lot of time on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:54.766 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.831$ this because I think this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:55.831 \rightarrow 00:14:57.000$ not news to a lot of you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- 00:14:57.000 00:14:59.364 but let's talk about what's unique
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:14:59.364 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.520$ about sleep in older people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:15:01.520 \rightarrow 00:15:03.902$ So these are the National Sleep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:15:03.902 \rightarrow 00:15:04.696$ Foundation recommendations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}15{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.900$ And so you can see that people 65 and older,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}15{:}08{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}510$ the sort of sweet spot is 7 to 8 hours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}15{:}11{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14{.}150$ Recognizing that five hours on one end of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:15:14.150 \rightarrow 00:15:17.039$ nine hours on the other might be appropriate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}15{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.912$ The seven to 8 hour recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:15:18.912 --> 00:15:20.839 really comes from the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:20.839 \rightarrow 00:15:22.681$ people who sleep that duration tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:22.681 \rightarrow 00:15:24.639$ to report better physical health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.068$ better mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:26.068 \rightarrow 00:15:28.448$ and better quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:28.450 \rightarrow 00:15:30.590$ I used to use this as a way to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.472$ to tell people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:15:31.472 --> 00:15:31.766 alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:31.766 \rightarrow 00:15:33.802$ you shouldn't come in and say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00{:}15{:}33.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.250$ I'm older so I don't need as much sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:36.250 \rightarrow 00:15:39.130$ And so I think it's that helpful in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:39.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.130$ But I do think it is a problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.849$ to say anywhere between 5:00

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

 $00:15:42.849 \rightarrow 00:15:45.147$ and 9:00 hours may be normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:15:45.150 --> 00:15:46.450 And you know, I have,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- 00:15:46.450 --> 00:15:48.556 I had a patient recently who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- 00:15:48.556 --> 00:15:50.510 said I sleep six hours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}15{:}50{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}976$ I feel OK during the day.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:15:52.980 \rightarrow 00:15:55.136$ How do I know if that's enough?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}15{:}55{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55{.}890$ And I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}15{:}55{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}140$ well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:15:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.640$ well isn't that the \$1,000,000 question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- 00:15:57.640 --> 00:15:59.458 but I am getting a bit ahead of myself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:15:59.460 \rightarrow 00:16:02.884$ So for for all intents and purposes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00{:}16{:}02.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.696$ this is sort of the recommendation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667
- $00:16:04.696 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.900$ of a normal duration
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910506496923077
- $00:16:05.962 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.838$ in older people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- $00{:}16{:}09{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12{.}552$ You all are also aware that sleep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- $00{:}16{:}12.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.170$ architecture changes as we age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- $00{:}16{:}14.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.892$ And so whereas someone my daughter's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:15.892 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.844$ age will spend a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

00:16:17.844 --> 00:16:19.326 in R.E.M and slow wave sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.160$ older adults will spend less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:21.160 \rightarrow 00:16:23.490$ time in those stages of sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}23.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.108$ more time in lighter stages of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:26.110 \rightarrow 00:16:27.808$ And as a result, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.616$ a younger adult might have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}29.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.177$ sleep histogram that shows this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

00:16:31.177 --> 00:16:32.657 nice sort of cycling through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}32.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.190$ lighter and then deeper stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}34{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35{.}930$ of sleep and these R.E.M cycles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}35{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37{.}410$ whereas an older adult will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}37{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}594$ look more like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

00:16:38.600 --> 00:16:41.762 A shift towards lighter stages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:41.762 \dashrightarrow 00:16:44.979$ sleep and more arousals from sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.840$ Some other things that change.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- $00:16:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.056$ So circadian rhythm changes with age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- 00:16:50.060 --> 00:16:52.418 Phase advance becomes much more common,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- $00:16:52.420 \rightarrow 00:16:54.205$ meaning that people may go to bed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- $00:16:54.205 \rightarrow 00:16:55.719$ earlier and therefore get up earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- $00{:}16{:}55{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}316$ and that's really a result of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725
- $00{:}16{:}57{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}990$ an earlier peak in melaton in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00{:}17{:}02.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.922$ There's a decreased amplitude
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00:17:03.922 \rightarrow 00:17:05.587$ of the sleep wake rhythm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00:17:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.535$ of body temperature,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00:17:06.535 \rightarrow 00:17:08.110$ and of many different hormones.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00:17:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.096$ So it's a decrease in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00{:}17{:}10.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.821$ difference between the peak and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00:17:11.821 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.486$ the through of those things,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00{:}17{:}13.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.848$ and also a loss of ability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83626612111111
- $00:17:15.848 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.140$ to phase shift as we age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:20.390 --> 00:17:22.712 And so, you know, obstructive sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:22.712 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.370$ apnea isn't the only sleep disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.970$ but a very common one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:26.970 --> 00:17:28.165 And I think it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:28.165 - 00:17:29.646 just to highlight a couple ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}17{:}29.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.846$ in which this is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.150$ In older people, it presents differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.622$ So first of all, the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}17{:}36.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.009$ of sleep apnea increases with age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:39.010 --> 00:17:40.738 and it's frequently undiagnosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}17{:}40.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.898$ and that's because of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:42.898 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.210$ different presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}17{:}44.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.930$ So as opposed to a younger age group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}17{:}46{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49{.}107$ there's an equal ratio of males to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}17{:}49{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51{.}044$ females when you start to diagnose

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:51.044 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.916$ sleep apnea in an older age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:52.920 \rightarrow 00:17:54.924$ Obesity is a less important predictor

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:54.924 \rightarrow 00:17:57.382$ of sleep apnea in this group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:57.382 \rightarrow 00:17:59.142$ there's less reporting of snoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:59.142 \rightarrow 00:18:01.426$ or pauses and breathing and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:18:01.426 \rightarrow 00:18:03.316$ sort of sleep related complaints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:18:03.320 --> 00:18:03.655 insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}18{:}03.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.665$ day time sleepiness and then that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}18{:}05{.}665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08{.}348$ one that I alluded to before that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}18{:}08{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10{.}549$ think is so important urination at night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.874$ And so this is another way that sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:15.874 \rightarrow 00:18:18.347$ is really different in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00{:}18{:}18{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}269$ It's because there are all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:21.269 \rightarrow 00:18:23.250$ things impacting their sleeping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:18:23.250 --> 00:18:25.410 And so, as I like to say in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}803$ geriatric audience, sleep problems in

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:27.803 \rightarrow 00:18:29.847$ this population are multifactorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00{:}18{:}29{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31{.}954$ I've already mentioned the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:31.954 \rightarrow 00:18:34.058$ changes in sleep architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:34.060 \rightarrow 00:18:35.836$ The other thing is that as we age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}886$ we collect conditions and those can

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:37.886 \rightarrow 00:18:40.278$ affect sleep in a number of ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:40.280 \rightarrow 00:18:42.954$ They can be associated with sleep disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:42.960 \rightarrow 00:18:44.952$ They could be associated with low

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00{:}18{:}44.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.280$ levels of chronic inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.776$ So, so they could have direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:48.776 \rightarrow 00:18:51.000$ or indirect impacts on sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:18:51.000 --> 00:18:52.552 And then of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:52.552 \rightarrow 00:18:54.880$ with those conditions come the polypharmacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.288$ And there are a lot of ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00{:}18{:}57{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}770$ medications can affect sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:58.770 \rightarrow 00:19:00.813$ And I can tell you that as a geriatrician,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00:19:00.820 \rightarrow 00:19:02.953$ when I have a patient with a sleep problem,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00:19:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.220$ one of the first things I'm doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00:19:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.826$ is going to the medication. Yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00{:}19{:}05{.}826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07{.}906$ But there are also psychosocial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00:19:07.906 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.738$ behavioral factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- 00:19:08.740 --> 00:19:10.604 You know, maybe caregiving,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00{:}19{:}10.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.148$ substance use, be reavement that can,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00:19:13.148 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.756$ or social isolation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00:19:14.760 \rightarrow 00:19:16.920$ loneliness that could affect sleep,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00:19:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.660$ and then finally sleep disorders.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- 00:19:18.660 00:19:20.568 Because nearly every one of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00{:}19{:}20.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.626$ that we treat every day becomes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286
- $00:19:22.626 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.066$ more prevalent with age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00{:}19{:}26{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28{.}810$ So now that I've told you a little bit here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00:19:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.540$ just sort of reminded you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.924$ about these unique aspects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:19:31.930 --> 00:19:34.506 I want to talk about sleep deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}34{.}510$ --> $00{:}19{:}37{.}070$ what it is, and some of the work that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39{.}696$ been doing to define this in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}39{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42{.}633$ So this is a definition from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}42.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.510$ National Institutes of Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}44{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46{.}838$ Sleep deficiency is a condition that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:46.838 \rightarrow 00:19:49.450$ occurs due to poor sleep quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:19:49.450 --> 00:19:52.732 For example, a sleep disorder like

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}52.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.920$ sleep apnea insufficient sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:19:54.920 --> 00:19:56.184 Or inappropriate sleep timing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:19:56.184 --> 00:19:58.956 which is to say sleep that is out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:58.956 \rightarrow 00:20:00.860$ sync with the body is circadian rhythm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}352$ and that an impairment in one or

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}03{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}472$ more of these domains leads to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:06.472 \rightarrow 00:20:08.444$ impairment in daytime function.

 $00:20:08.450 \rightarrow 00:20:10.786$ So this I think is a really appealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:10.786 --> 00:20:13.365 way to study sleep and older people

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:13.365 - 00:20:15.560 because it really is pointing to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.340$ These different domains in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.120$ the apparent impairments may arise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}19{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21{.}374$ and it's looking at sleep from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:21.374 \rightarrow 00:20:22.717$ more global perspective instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:22.717 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.297$ of focusing on one thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:24.300 --> 00:20:26.284 it's really more comprehensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}26{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28{.}764$ which is important because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:28.764 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.186$ people tend to have multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:31.186 --> 00:20:33.038 things going on simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}33.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.134$ And so I'll talk now a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:36.134 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.838$ bit of about some of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.470$ The work using self reported

 $00:20:41.470 \rightarrow 00:20:44.100$ measures and specifically looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}44.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.852$ insomnia and hypersomnia or day time

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}46.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.937$ sleepiness and in older adults and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:49.937 --> 00:20:52.593 so this is work that I did using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54{.}312$ Previously collected data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:54.312 \rightarrow 00:20:56.024$ the precipitating events project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:56.030 \rightarrow 00:20:58.645$ This is a community dwelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}58.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.737$ cohort in New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:00.740 --> 00:21:02.903 People were at least 70 at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}02{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05{.}138$ time that they entered the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.338$ And every 18 months they have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:07.338 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.040$ very detailed home assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}09{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}938$ We get things like medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:11.940 --> 00:21:13.388 medication use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}13.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.560$ cognitive function, depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:15.560 - 00:21:18.060 so very detailed measures

- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00{:}21{:}18.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.560$ in these older people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00{:}21{:}20.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.232$ And about six or seven years into the study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00:21:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.520$ they started to collect
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00:21:25.520 \rightarrow 00:21:26.480$ different sleep measures,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00:21:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.508$ including the Epworth and the ISIL.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00:21:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.659$ And so that's some of the data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00:21:30.660 \rightarrow 00:21:33.145$ What I'm going to present to you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00{:}21{:}33{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35{.}590$ And so our hypothesis was that when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00{:}21{:}35{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38{.}237$ looked at these measures and we looked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00:21:38.237 \rightarrow 00:21:40.207$ at these symptoms of hypersonnia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00:21:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.858$ insomnia that they would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00{:}21{:}41.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.506$ be prevalent and severe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- $00{:}21{:}43{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45{.}198$ And the reason is because of all of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- 00:21:45.198 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:21:46.909 the things that I previously told you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137
- 00:21:46.910 --> 00:21:47.255 right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:47.255 --> 00:21:49.670 They have they have more medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}49.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.148$ more medications and and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:52.148 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.929$ of these things sort of convening

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:54.929 \rightarrow 00:21:57.544$ to potentially impact their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}760$ So here is the cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.566$ just to get give you a sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:01.566 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.160$ of what they looked like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.980$ So you can see on average the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}22{:}04{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07{.}155$ age was 84 at the time that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:07.155 \rightarrow 00:22:08.815$ we were studying their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}22{:}08{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.780$ They have a lot of medical problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.328$ they have obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}22{:}12{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14{.}168$ cardiovascular disease, lung disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:14.168 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.976$ They have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:14.976 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.498$ They use a lot of medications, on average 9.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:18.498 \rightarrow 00:22:20.694$ And there's a lot of depression,

00:22:20.700 --> 00:22:22.440 cognitive impairment and low

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}22{:}22{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24{.}180$ physical activity in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}22{:}24{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25{.}128$ in this cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:22:27.700 --> 00:22:28.820 So here's the Epworth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}22{:}28.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.500$ which probably doesn't need a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:22:30.550 --> 00:22:32.000 of description in this group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:32.000 \rightarrow 00:22:34.097$ but I just want to remind you all this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:34.100 \rightarrow 00:22:36.436$ the range is zero to four and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:36.436 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.896$ we think clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}22{:}37.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.717$ hypersomnia is 10 and above and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:40.717 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.194$ 10 to 15 is sort of more moderate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}22{:}43{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46{.}098$ whereas 16 and above is severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}22{:}46{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}822$ And so here's what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}22{:}47.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.970$ in the pep cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}22{:}48{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50{.}980$ So the median Epworth score

 $00:22:50.980 \rightarrow 00:22:52.990$ in this cohort was six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}22{:}52{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}854$ so very much in the normal range and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:55.854 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.438$ those people that cut off of 10 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:58.438 \rightarrow 00:23:00.988$ above it was about 23% of the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}00{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03{.}948$ So you know very common, but also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:03.948 --> 00:23:05.850 Pretty mild overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:05.850 \rightarrow 00:23:08.670$ most of those people are falling

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.550$ into that mild category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}10.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.966$ And when we looked at insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:11.970 \rightarrow 00:23:15.486$ so just remind you that I, I how it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}15{.}486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18{.}307$ So we have the insomnia symptoms and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:18.307 \rightarrow 00:23:20.904$ the sort of DSM criteria about whether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:20.910 -> 00:23:22.495 you know people are worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:22.495 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.446$ about their sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.060$ about whether they think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.026$ interferes with activities.

 $00:23:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.067$ So this range is 0 to 28.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}28{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}030$ The threshold is really 8 and above and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:31.030 --> 00:23:34.045 then mild is 8 to 14 moderate insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.830$ 15 to 21 in severe is 22 to 28.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}394$ So here's what we saw in this cohort with

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}41{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44{.}378$ respect to the Insomnia Severity index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}44{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46{.}620$ So if we use that sort of cut off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:46.685 \rightarrow 00:23:49.720$ eight and above to to establish insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.720$ that was in 43% of the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:52.720 \rightarrow 00:23:54.850$ But again they they the severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}54{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}038$ was pretty mild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}250$ So among those people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.018$ an abnormal ISIS score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.760$ the mean ISIS score was 12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.710$ so in that mild range.

 $00:24:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.228$ And we also looked at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:06.228 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.849$ happened to the ISI over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}24{:}07{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}985$ And so here I'm comparing the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:09.985 --> 00:24:11.890 different age groups we have in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:11.890 --> 00:24:12.877 our youngest olds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}24{:}12.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.180$ in red the oldest old or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:15.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.700$ middle old and blue the oldest old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:17.700 --> 00:24:19.278 And you can see these ISIL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}24{:}19{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21{.}800$ the mean ISIS scores over time

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}24{:}21.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.099$ are really overlapping and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:24.099 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.279$ falling below that threshold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.124$ And so I would say that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}159$ this was a surprising result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:32.160 --> 00:24:33.768 You know, we have this cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}24{:}33.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.297$ older people, a lot of medical problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:36.300 \rightarrow 00:24:38.508$ a lot of medications, they're depressed,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- 00:24:38.510 -> 00:24:39.809 they're cognitively impaired.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:39.809 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.407$ And yet we have this kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:42.407 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.420$ of discordance between.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- 00:24:44.420 --> 00:24:44.922 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:44.922 \rightarrow 00:24:46.679$ we do see these symptoms are common,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.608$ but they're not severe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:48.608 \rightarrow 00:24:53.240$ And so it really did cause us to think well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.982$ Is there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:53.982 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.466$ is there discordance between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:55.466 \rightarrow 00:24:57.637$ how they perceive their sleep or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:57.637 \rightarrow 00:24:59.503$ how they report their sleep and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:24:59.503 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.269$ how they're actually sleeping?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00:25:01.270 \dashrightarrow 00:25:04.007$ And and to think maybe the existing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00{:}25{:}04.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.003$ self reported sleep measures are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333
- $00{:}25{:}06{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}259$ not appropriate in this age group
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:08.259 \rightarrow 00:25:11.144$ and so if if not, why might that be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}11{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12{.}824$ What are some potential mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}12.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.385$ here that might sort of explain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:15.385 \rightarrow 00:25:16.825$ discordance between what people

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}16.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.127$ are reporting and what we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:19.127 \rightarrow 00:25:20.249$ on objective measures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:25:20.250 --> 00:25:20.900 So first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}20{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23{.}175$ it could be that symptoms are better

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:25:23.175 -> 00:25:24.603 tolerated in this group, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}24.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.768$ They've been living with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:25.768 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.950$ symptoms for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:26.950 \rightarrow 00:25:29.476$ so maybe because of that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:29.476 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.160$ less likely to report

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:31.240 \rightarrow 00:25:32.838$ them. Could be lifestyle mediated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:32.838 \rightarrow 00:25:35.447$ They might not have the same caregiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}274$ responsibilities, they may not have

- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:37.274 \rightarrow 00:25:38.358$ the same work responsibilities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.384$ and so they can sort of adjust their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:41.384 \rightarrow 00:25:43.690$ lifestyle to deal with those sleep problems,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.574$ whether it's trouble sleeping
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:45.574 \rightarrow 00:25:47.929$ at night or daytime sleepiness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.680$ There is this phenomenon which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.430$ is the paradox of well-being.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.488$ And really what that is is that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00{:}25{:}53{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}394$ you know, older people may be less likely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:56.394 \rightarrow 00:25:58.758$ to report dispatch dissatisfaction or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:25:58.758 \rightarrow 00:26:01.358$ distress because their actual state
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:01.358 \rightarrow 00:26:04.399$ of health exceeds what they expected.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00{:}26{:}04.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.050$ And I do hear this in clinic all the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.790$ It's sort of like well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:06.790 \rightarrow 00:26:07.802$ what do you expect?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:07.802 --> 00:26:10.445 I'm 85, you know, so I think that they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.048$ it's possible that there are you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:14.050 --> 00:26:15.875 Perhaps they they're doing better

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:15.875 -> 00:26:18.422 than they thought, and so they might

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:18.422 \rightarrow 00:26:20.600$ not report symptoms because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:20.600 --> 00:26:21.840 And then, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.080$ there's this question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.700$ validity of existing measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.608$ I'm really studying a population in

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29{.}127$ their in their 80s and these were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}29{.}127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31{.}489$ people who were studied in some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.966$ Of these sort of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.656$ original validation studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:34.656 --> 00:26:36.176 And so, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}36{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37{.}760$ if I were to point out just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}37.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.735$ couple things like let's look at a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:39.735 \rightarrow 00:26:41.328$ couple of questions from the ISIS.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.260$ How worried or distressed are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:43.260 \rightarrow 00:26:45.190$ you about your sleep problem?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.452$ How much does it interfere with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:47.452 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.583$ your daily function?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- 00:26:48.590 --> 00:26:50.878 Perhaps that's not particularly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:50.878 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.166$ meaningful to this population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- 00:26:53.170 00:26:54.770 And then if we look at the Epworth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.708$ the, you know, and are they,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- 00:26:56.710 --> 00:26:58.845 many of my patients have low vision,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:26:58.850 \rightarrow 00:27:01.382$ so they might not be reading, they might.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- 00:27:01.382 --> 00:27:02.918 Not be watching TV and they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- 00:27:02.918 --> 00:27:04.500 may no longer be driving,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00{:}27{:}04.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.908$ and so it's possible that certain questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364
- $00:27:06.908 \rightarrow 00:27:09.108$ in the airports don't apply either.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:11.170 \rightarrow 00:27:13.704$ And so then the the other potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}13.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.036$ mechanism is could there be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:16.036 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.604$ blunted awareness of symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}17.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.794$ So we have seen this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:19.794 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.250$ other domains of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:21.250 \rightarrow 00:27:24.034$ So for example, older adults have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

00:27:24.034 --> 00:27:25.890 milder respiratory symptoms in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:25.968 \rightarrow 00:27:28.290$ response to bronchoconstriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}28{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30{.}390$ They have less severe symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}070$ in response to hypoglycemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}32.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.799$ and they have higher rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}34.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.143$ silent myocardial ischemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

00:27:36.150 --> 00:27:37.818 And then actually specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}818$ --> $00{:}27{:}39{.}903$ in the world of sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}39{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42{.}592$ one of my prior mentors published

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:42.592 \rightarrow 00:27:45.589$ this work where he was comparing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411
- $00:27:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.854$ middle-aged and older adults.

 $00:27:46.854 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.110$ And what he found in this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}49{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50{.}868$ is that the older adults had

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

00:27:50.868 - 00:27:52.390 more severe sleep disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:52.390 \rightarrow 00:27:54.750$ but were reporting milder insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}54.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.930$ mild hypersomnia and less fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.110$ So why does it matter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.330$ This is the shrug emoji.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.292$ This is my daughter's doing their

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}369$ best impression of the shrug emoji.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.684$ This is something that I really had

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}12.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.186$ to bring to the geriatrician audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:15.186 \rightarrow 00:28:17.658$ because they said if it ain't broke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}17.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.724$ don't fix it, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}18.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.810$ If they're not reporting the sleep problems,

 $00:28:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.088$ then what are you doing here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:28:22.090 --> 00:28:23.178 They, they they didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:23.178 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.810$ really love this idea of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.588$ the road that I was going down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:26.590 \rightarrow 00:28:30.073$ And so, you know what I say to them is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:30.073 \rightarrow 00:28:33.295$ is potentially a missed opportunity, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}33{.}295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}170$ If we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:28:35.170 - 00:28:36.862 Hearing the symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}36.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40.810$ if we're not detecting these sleep problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.826$ then we're not intervening upon them

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:42.826 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.877$ and we're not preventing the adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}44{.}877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46{.}502$ outcomes that could come about

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}46{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48{.}359$ because of these sleep problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.048$ And I would also say we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:28:51.048 --> 00:28:53.180 appropriately evaluating our interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.224$ And so the example like I'd like

 $00:28:55.224 \rightarrow 00:28:57.536$ to give is the LIFE study which

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59{.}820$ was this large national multi site

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.376$ study of older adults to look at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:03.376 \rightarrow 00:29:05.480$ physical activity and intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.644$ to prevent disability and as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:08.644 \rightarrow 00:29:11.350$ secondary outcome they looked at the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:29:11.350 --> 00:29:13.595 Effect of this physical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:29:13.595 --> 00:29:15.840 intervention on the Epworth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}29{:}15{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18{.}230$ the ISIL and there was no change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:29:18.230 --> 00:29:20.618 And so is that because physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:20.618 \rightarrow 00:29:22.576$ activity doesn't do anything to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:29:22.576 --> 00:29:24.912 help sleep or is it because we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}29{:}24{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27{.}344$ have the right tools to evaluate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}29{:}27{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29{.}720$ change in sleep that might happen from

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:29.720 \rightarrow 00:29:31.040$ a physical activity intervention?

00:29:34.180 --> 00:29:37.330 So this really sort of took me to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:37.330 --> 00:29:40.929 next part of my research was to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}29{:}40{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43{.}000$ start incorporating some objective NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:43.000 --> 00:29:48.160 measures of sleep deficiency and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}29{:}48.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.728$ I'm going to tell you a bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:49.728 --> 00:29:51.266 this project that I did where I was NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:51.266 --> 00:29:52.730 looking at really if you think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:52.730 --> 00:29:54.092 it in terms of sleep deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}29{:}54{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55{.}609$ insufficient sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:29:55.609 \rightarrow 00:29:57.621$ so comparing self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}29{:}57.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.600$ and objective short sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:59.600 --> 00:30:01.744 And so, you know, I wanted to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}01{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04{.}059$ at the prevalence of short sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:04.060 --> 00:30:06.678 but again because I was thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}06.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08.193$ this potential discordance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:08.193 \rightarrow 00:30:10.161$ what older adults were reporting and

 $00:30:10.161 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.418$ how they may actually be sleeping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:12.420 \rightarrow 00:30:15.068$ I wanted to look at the diagnostic accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:15.068 \rightarrow 00:30:18.078$ of self report versus an objective measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:18.080 --> 00:30:19.388 And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:19.388 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.350$ we define short sleep is less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:21.421 \rightarrow 00:30:23.335$ than or equal to six hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:23.340 --> 00:30:25.236 I'm showing you this U-shaped curve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:25.240 \rightarrow 00:30:26.936$ which probably many of you have seen before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.886$ but we know that sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:29.890 \dashrightarrow 00:30:32.032$ Has this huge shaped curve whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:32.032 \rightarrow 00:30:34.275$ we're talking about mortality and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}34.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.791$ other cardiovascular and metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:35.791 --> 00:30:37.870 outcomes and it's really you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}37{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39{.}910$ so, so long sleep is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}39{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40{.}930$ with worsening outcomes,

 $00:30:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.464$ but then short sleep really at 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}43.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.152$ hours and below is also associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}46.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.180$ with these adverse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.728$ So that's how we define short sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54{.}566$ and I use data from the study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:54.566 \dashrightarrow 00:30:56.698$ osteoporotic fractures and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:56.698 \rightarrow 00:30:59.180$ osteoporotic fractures in men study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:59.180 \rightarrow 00:31:02.855$ the ancillary study that's based on sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:02.860 \dashrightarrow 00:31:04.372$ A lot of you are probably familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05{.}770$ with this and if you're interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.180$ in aging at all and sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.155$ you know this is this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:31:10.155 --> 00:31:12.280 a really wonderful resource.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}31{:}12{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14{.}160$ And so I'm going to spend a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.408$ time talking about it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:15.408 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.088$ a couple of the projects that I

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- 00:31:17.145 --> 00:31:18.645 did have been using data from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00{:}31{:}18.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.380$ These two cohorts so soft is women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00{:}31{:}21{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.629$ and at the time I'm studying them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:31:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.000$ the mean age was 84.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:31:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.285$ You can see over 3000 women and then men.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:31:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.450$ Mr Oss about 3000 men with a mean age of 76.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00{:}31{:}34{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36{.}892$ And so these studies were designed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:31:36.892 \rightarrow 00:31:38.520$ to evaluate many determinants
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- 00:31:38.587 --> 00:31:39.859 of successful aging.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:31:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.340$ And so because of that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:31:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.156$ they incorporated comprehensive sleep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00{:}31{:}43{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46{.}400$ visits at a couple different time points.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:31:46.400 \rightarrow 00:31:50.838$ And as part of that comprehensive visit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00{:}31{:}50{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}022$ we had actigraphy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:31:52.022 \dashrightarrow 00:31:53.992$ So that's the objective measure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:53.992 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.372$ that I use for the study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:31:56.372 --> 00:31:58.380 I'm going to tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:31:58.380 --> 00:32:00.116 And then I just want to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:00.116 \rightarrow 00:32:01.648$ a couple things because I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}32{:}01{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03{.}349$ to refer to them here and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}32{:}03{.}407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04{.}877$ in some of the other studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.824$ But this is a big reason why this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:06.824 \rightarrow 00:32:08.881$ is such a wonderful cohort to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}32{:}08{.}881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11{.}343$ because we get to study all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:11.343 \rightarrow 00:32:13.804$ things that are so important in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:32:13.804 --> 00:32:14.188 Multimorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}32{:}14.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.492$ which I'm going to be defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}32{:}16{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18{.}896$ as having at least chronic 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:18.896 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.078$ chronic medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:20.080 \rightarrow 00:32:23.769$ they have measures of depression and anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:23.770 \rightarrow 00:32:26.190$ They have great information about

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:32:26.190 \rightarrow 00:32:28.248$ medication use, so antidepressants.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00{:}32{:}28{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30{.}968$ Activating medications which is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:32:30.968 \dashrightarrow 00:32:34.014$ stimulant or an oral steroid and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00:32:34.014 \rightarrow 00:32:36.172$ then CNS active medications, so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- 00:32:36.172 --> 00:32:36.794 Benzodiazepines,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- 00:32:36.794 --> 00:32:37.416 anticonvulsants,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- 00:32:37.416 --> 00:32:39.282 narcotics and antipsychotics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016
- $00{:}32{:}39{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}41{.}770$ and then they have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333
- $00:32:41.843 \rightarrow 00:32:44.219$ information on these geriatric
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333
- $00:32:44.219 \rightarrow 00:32:46.595$ conditions or geriatric syndromes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333
- 00:32:46.600 --> 00:32:48.118 So cognitive impairment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333
- $00:32:48.118 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.648$ physical impairment which is really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333
- $00:32:50.648 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.125$ a gate speed is a well validated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333
- $00{:}32{:}54{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56{.}037$ measure of physical impairment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333
- $00:32:56.040 \rightarrow 00:32:58.434$ They have frailty and a previously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

 $00:32:58.434 \rightarrow 00:33:00.030$ validated soft frailty index

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

 $00{:}33{:}00{.}098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01{.}998$ and then information on falls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

00:33:02.000 --> 00:33:04.070 another really important geriatric syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:06.360 - 00:33:08.416 OK. So again, because I'm going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:08.416 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.098$ talking about this cohort for a bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:10.100 --> 00:33:11.576 I figured I should just tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:11.576 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.220$ you a little bit about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.782$ So you can see men were a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:15.782 --> 00:33:17.879 little bit younger than women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.136$ average age was 76 versus 84.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:20.140 \dashrightarrow 00:33:23.059$ And that's just because the men's cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00{:}33{:}23.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.048$ that study started later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:24.048 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.530$ So by the time the sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:25.584 \rightarrow 00:33:26.970$ visit was done in the women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:26.970 \dashrightarrow 00:33:30.930$ they were actually significantly older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.310$ You can see the minority race.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- $00:33:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.788$ Ethnicity is about 10% of these cohorts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- $00{:}33{:}34{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36{.}914$ And you can also see the women probably as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- $00:33:36.914 \rightarrow 00:33:39.346$ a function of the fact that they're older,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- $00:33:39.350 \rightarrow 00:33:40.301$ they're less educated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- 00:33:40.301 -> 00:33:41.886 they're more likely to live
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- 00:33:41.886 00:33:43.170 alone or be widowed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- $00:33:43.170 \rightarrow 00:33:44.970$ and they have more medical conditions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- 00:33:44.970 --> 00:33:46.036 more multimorbidity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- $00:33:46.036 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.102$ more depression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446
- $00:33:47.102 \rightarrow 00:33:49.767$ anxiety and more physical impairment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:33:52.500 \dashrightarrow 00:33:54.867$ And so here is the study where we really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- 00:33:54.867 --> 00:33:56.964 looked at the agreement between a self
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:33:56.964 \dashrightarrow 00:33:59.220$ report of short sleep and the objective,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00{:}33{:}59{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}237$ in this case actor graphic short sleep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00{:}34{:}02{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05{.}072$ And so this is here's some very fancy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:05.072 \rightarrow 00:34:07.681$ statistics where I'm doing a two by

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:07.681 \rightarrow 00:34:09.516$ two table and calculating sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}34{:}09{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}807$ specificity using actigraphy as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

00:34:11.807 - 00:34:14.032 sort of reference standard here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}34{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.210$ And so I want to just focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.980$ on these blue quadrants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.338$ which is where things don't match.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

00:34:20.340 --> 00:34:22.596 OK, so you have someone who's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:22.600 \rightarrow 00:34:24.544$ Saying they don't have short sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:24.544 \rightarrow 00:34:26.060$ but Actigraphy is showing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}34{:}26.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.538$ Or they say they do and actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:28.538 \rightarrow 00:34:30.949$ is showing they have normal sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.219$ So you can see this about 30% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}34{:}33{.}219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36{.}012$ men in the women also very common

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}34{:}36{.}012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}723$ to have these discordant numbers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:38.723 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.630$ so if you look at the sensitivity.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:34:42.630 \rightarrow 00:34:43.755$ It's pretty poor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:34:43.755 \rightarrow 00:34:46.380$ So there's a high false negative rate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:34:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.876$ Specificity is a little bit better,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:34:48.880 \rightarrow 00:34:51.346$ but also still pretty poor with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:34:51.346 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.460$ a high false positive rate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00{:}34{:}53{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}886$ So what this means is that, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:34:55.886 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.398$ we have missed opportunities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:34:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.176$ We have, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:34:59.176 \rightarrow 00:35:01.396$ short sleep that we're potentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:35:01.396 \rightarrow 00:35:03.720$ missing and we're not intervening upon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:35:03.720 \rightarrow 00:35:06.880$ But we also have the potential for overtree,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- 00:35:06.880 --> 00:35:07.303 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00:35:07.303 \rightarrow 00:35:09.418$ Perhaps we're identifying people with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00{:}35{:}09{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12{.}648$ short sleep and may be using a benzodia zepine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653
- $00{:}35{:}12.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14.515$ And that's inappropriate and setting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:35:14.515 \rightarrow 00:35:17.589$ them up for risk of adverse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874634087272727

00:35:19.990 --> 00:35:22.646 So you know, this is I think really

NOTE Confidence: 0.874634087272727

00:35:22.646 --> 00:35:25.627 pointing to the need to go beyond just

NOTE Confidence: 0.874634087272727

 $00:35:25.627 \rightarrow 00:35:28.119$ self reported measures and older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:35:30.130 --> 00:35:32.643 But I also want to show you that this is not NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:35:32.643 --> 00:35:34.925 just when we're talking about sleep duration. NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:34.930 \dashrightarrow 00:35:38.098$ And so this next project that I'm going to NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}35{:}38.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41.034$ present with the Mr Ross and soft cohorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}35{:}41.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.449$ looks at positive sleep discrepancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:43.450 \dashrightarrow 00:35:45.142$ So first let me tell you what that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:35:45.150 --> 00:35:47.292 I didn't make it up to sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:35:47.292 --> 00:35:49.168 known entity in Sleep Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}35{:}49{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51{.}144$ This is when self reported sleep is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:51.144 \rightarrow 00:35:53.351$ in the direction of less impairment

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:53.351 \rightarrow 00:35:55.087$ and corresponding objective measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:55.090 \rightarrow 00:35:57.778$ And so it really is now we're

 $00:35:57.778 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.190$ focusing on that sort of false.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:00.190 --> 00:36:03.760 Negative quadrant, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:03.760 --> 00:36:04.304 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}04{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06{.}208$ I think it's important because this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}06{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07{.}820$ a missed opportunity and potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}07{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10{.}323$ this is a common thing to find an

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}10{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12{.}150$ older people for all of those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:12.150 \rightarrow 00:36:14.175$ that I mentioned before because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}14.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.333$ because we're not asking the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:16.333 \rightarrow 00:36:18.367$ questions or maybe they're you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}18{.}367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20{.}779$ have a blunted awareness of symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:20.780 --> 00:36:23.282 And so we wanted to look at the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}23.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.947$ of positive sleep discrepancy and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}25{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28{.}267$ what are the characteristics associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}28{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30{.}310$ with positive sleep discrepancy.

 $00{:}36{:}30{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32{.}686$ So again we're looking in the Mr Ross

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}32.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.352$ and soft cohort and so when I now I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:35.352 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.670$ to tell you this is how we sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:37.670 --> 00:36:38.304 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}38{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40{.}523$ started to look at this analytical sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}40{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42{.}994$ So we started with people who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:42.994 \rightarrow 00:36:45.330$ no self reported sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.100$ And here I'm defining that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}47.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.232$ people with a normal score on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}49{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51{.}522$ Epworth and a normal score on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}51{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52{.}850$ Pittsburgh Sleep Quality index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:52.850 --> 00:36:53.168 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:53.168 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.758$ so these are people really

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:54.758 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.486$ if we had administered these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:56.486 \rightarrow 00:36:58.436$ questionnaires when they said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:58.440 \rightarrow 00:36:59.658$ these people don't have a problem.

00:37:01.700 --> 00:37:06.317 So in men we had about 3000 with Actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:37:06.320 --> 00:37:08.254 And on the next slide, I'll be clear why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:37:08.254 --> 00:37:09.700 I was sort of focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:37:09.758 --> 00:37:11.018 people with actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}37{:}11{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}14{.}566$ And so it was about 1500 men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

00:37:14.566 --> 00:37:17.824 Of that group who had normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.080$ Epworth and PSQI scores so in women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:37:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.230$ We were looking at visit 9 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:37:26.230 --> 00:37:29.986 830 of them had actigraphy data

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:29.986 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.578$ and of those 333 women had normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:33.578 \rightarrow 00:37:36.660$ scores on the Epworth and the PSQI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:36.660 \dashrightarrow 00:37:39.360$ And so now I'm going to tell you how

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:39.360 \dashrightarrow 00:37:42.507$ I defined objective sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}37{:}42.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.352$ That was having a deficit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:44.352 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.609$ at least one of these domains.

 $00:37:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.998$ So duration or saying now a

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}37{:}48{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51{.}579$ deficit and duration which was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:37:51.579 - 00:37:52.950 active average activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:52.950 \rightarrow 00:37:56.430$ raphy duration less than 320 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:37:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.230$ or it's it's a little over 5 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:00.230 \dashrightarrow 00:38:02.618$ Quality was also from Actigraphy wake NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}38{:}02.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.657$ after sleep onset of at least 88 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.805$ Regularity was the standard deviation

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:38:07.805 \rightarrow 00:38:09.950$ of the actigraphy derived sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:38:10.019 \rightarrow 00:38:12.594$ midpoint being greater than 65 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}38{:}12{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15{.}276$ And I base these on previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:38:15.276 --> 00:38:17.952 published work from Mr Oss looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}38{:}17{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20{.}072$ at these different domains and

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:20.072 \rightarrow 00:38:22.538$ how they predicted mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:38:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.478$ So that's sort of where these

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:24.478 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.160$ cut offs are derived from.

 $00{:}38{:}26{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28{.}610$ And then I looked at day time alertness

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:28.610 \rightarrow 00:38:30.600$ using the psychomotor vigilance task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:38:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.018$ And so basically we defined an

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:38:33.018 \rightarrow 00:38:35.063$ impairment in daytime alertness based

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:35.063 \rightarrow 00:38:37.235$ on falling in the worst quartile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:38:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.518$ For the cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:38.518 \rightarrow 00:38:40.648$ for the psychomotor vigilance task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:38:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.450$ And why use the Pvt?

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}38{:}43{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45{.}403$ It's because we think that that sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00{:}38{:}45{.}403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47{.}537$ of quality of sustained attention or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79918010111111

 $00:38:47.537 \rightarrow 00:38:49.597$ daytime alertness that you measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:49.600 \rightarrow 00:38:53.925$ the Pvt is particularly sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}38{:}53{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56{.}520$ to deficits in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:38:56.520 --> 00:38:58.648 Sleep in homeostatic sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:58.648 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.776$ or in circadian sleep.

00:39:03.510 --> 00:39:08.075 OK. So again. We're defining positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

00:39:08.075 --> 00:39:10.150 sleep discrepancy as having normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.237$ self reported scores but having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:12.237 \rightarrow 00:39:14.031$ deficit in one of those domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.424$ And So what we did is we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39{:}16.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}17.950$ logistic regression basically to

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00{:}39{:}17{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20{.}120$ find the characteristics that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.358$ associated with falling in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:22.360 \rightarrow 00:39:24.694$ And so we're really not considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:24.694 \rightarrow 00:39:26.919$ these groups here where you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00{:}39{:}26{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29{.}452$ yes, they have both self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:29.452 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.140$ and objective sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00{:}39{:}31{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33{.}150$ We're not considering people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.160$ have self reported sleep deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:35.160 \dashrightarrow 00:39:38.250$ but you know, normal. Objective measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:38.250 \rightarrow 00:39:39.450$ We're really comparing them

- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:39:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.950$ to the reference group here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- 00:39:40.950 -> 00:39:43.994 which is people who are, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:39:43.994 \rightarrow 00:39:46.904$ who really have normal sleep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:39:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.980$ And so first of all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:39:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.130$ I'll show you the prevalence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:39:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.860$ So here we'll start with the men
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:39:51.860 \dashrightarrow 00:39:54.786$ and you can see you know what what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:39:54.786 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.880$ were the the prevalence of having
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- 00:39:56.953 --> 00:39:58.968 an abnormality in each domain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:39:58.970 \rightarrow 00:40:00.152$ And so overall,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00{:}40{:}00{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}910$ of all those men who had normal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00{:}40{:}03.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.019$ Epworth and PSQI scores,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00{:}40{:}05{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08{.}964$ about almost 50% of them had a deficit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00{:}40{:}08{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}13{.}100$ in an objective sleep deficiency.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333
- $00:40:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.852$ In women, fairly similar.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:40:15.852 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.875$ So 46% of those women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00{:}40{:}18.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.210$ normal Epworth and PSQI scores

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:40:21.298 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.238$ actually had an objective deficit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:40:24.240 \rightarrow 00:40:25.888$ Or objective sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:28.220 \rightarrow 00:40:31.372$ So we then looked at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:31.372 \rightarrow 00:40:34.500$ by comparing them to that normal sleep group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00{:}40{:}34{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36{.}895$ we found those clinical characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:36.895 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.290$ that were significantly associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00{:}40{:}39{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41{.}420$ having positive sleep discrepancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

00:40:41.420 --> 00:40:44.161 So you can see age, obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:44.161 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.166$ napping, you can see those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.550$ Those geriatric impairments that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53{.}480$ think are so important in aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.695$ And in women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00{:}40{:}54.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.125$ we found very fairly similar results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.896$ So older age was associated with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324
- 00:40:59.896 --> 00:41:01.740 having positive sleep discrepancy,

00:41:01.740 --> 00:41:04.440 obesity and napping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:41:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.710$ Multimorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:41:06.710 \rightarrow 00:41:08.798$ And then having those geriatric syndromes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00{:}41{:}08.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11.210$ and that was similar in men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:14.100 --> 00:41:17.140 So positive sleep discrepancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:17.140 --> 00:41:18.660 Very common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:18.660 \rightarrow 00:41:21.355$ We see it again with increasing age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:21.360 \rightarrow 00:41:23.500$ with obesity, with medical comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.466$ with geriatric syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:24.466 --> 00:41:26.720 And I I think this is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:26.777 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:41:28.912 because I think this is a missed

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:28.912 \rightarrow 00:41:30.327$ opportunity and we're potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}41{:}30{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32{.}537$ not detecting these sleep problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.311$ And so you know that's why the

00:41:34.311 --> 00:41:36.044 focus of my work going forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:36.044 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.158$ is to really say we need better

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}41{:}38{.}220$ --> $00{:}41{:}40{.}395$ instruments to improve detection of NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:40.395 \rightarrow 00:41:42.570$ sleep deficiency in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:42.570 --> 00:41:45.013 And so I'm just gonna finish with

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}41{:}45{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47{.}672$ one more project that I'll tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}41{:}47.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.976$ about and that's looking at insomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:50.053 \rightarrow 00:41:52.568$ with objective short sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.610$ which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.390$ sort of interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.646$ I don't think I imagined it this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}41{:}57{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01{.}115$ but it really is measuring sleep in a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:42:01.115 --> 00:42:03.404 comprehensive way because it's looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}03{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05{.}694$ across domains of sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.758$ And so probably many of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}07.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09.774$ this is being recognized more and

00:42:09.774 --> 00:42:11.904 more as a high risk phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:42:11.910 --> 00:42:14.689 And so I'm pointing to really I

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:14.689 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.610$ think sort of seminal papers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:16.610 \rightarrow 00:42:18.680$ have looked at this in younger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}18.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.248$ more middle age groups and shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:21.248 \rightarrow 00:42:24.040$ that this phenotype is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.400$ with worsening with mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:42:26.400 --> 00:42:27.604 cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}27.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30.012$ diabetes and even cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:30.012 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.216$ performance impairments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:31.220 \rightarrow 00:42:33.116$ There's some this is somewhat controversial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}33.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.652$ but there's some evidence to suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:35.652 \rightarrow 00:42:38.798$ that this group is actually that CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}38.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.968$ I may be less effective in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.126$ And so we wanted to study this.

 $00:42:44.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.285$ It had previously been studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}45{.}285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46{.}209$ in middle age groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:46.210 \rightarrow 00:42:48.674$ We wanted to study this in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.110$ And so here's how we did it in Mr

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:42:51.110 --> 00:42:54.040 Ross and soft. And it was really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}54{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}619$ Sort of replicating the way that in somnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:57.619 \rightarrow 00:43:02.276$ was identified in previous work in sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.876$ heart health in middle-aged

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:03.876 \rightarrow 00:43:06.270$ people to look at this phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}06{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08{.}826$ And so insomnia was based on having any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}08.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.530$ the following at least three times a week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:11.530 \rightarrow 00:43:13.826$ trouble getting to sleep within 30 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.856$ waking up in the middle of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}14.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.890$ the night or early morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}15.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.674$ or taking a medication to help with sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.311$ And then a sort of departure from

- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- 00:43:20.311 -> 00:43:21.859 what had been done previously,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- 00:43:21.860 --> 00:43:23.543 if you know this work from the Penn State
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- 00:43:23.543 --> 00:43:24.859 cohort is sleep Heart health study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- $00:43:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.550$ then you probably know they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- 00:43:26.550 --> 00:43:27.226 use polysomnography,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- $00:43:27.230 \rightarrow 00:43:29.870$ polysomnography to define a sleep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- $00:43:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.140$ duration of less than six hours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- $00:43:33.140 \rightarrow 00:43:35.740$ We used actigraphy for a number of reasons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- $00{:}43{:}35{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38{.}520$ but I would say if we really want to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- $00:43:38.593 \rightarrow 00:43:41.659$ able to study this phenotype going forward,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- $00:43:41.660 \rightarrow 00:43:44.145$ then doing it with polysomnography
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- 00:43:44.145 --> 00:43:45.636 just isn't feasible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- 00:43:45.640 --> 00:43:49.160 So we are using actigraphy and I think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- 00:43:49.160 --> 00:43:49.532 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945
- $00{:}43{:}49{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51{.}573$ we don't have a whole lot of time to talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:51.573 \rightarrow 00:43:53.097$ about the benefits and the limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:53.100 --> 00:43:55.068 But let's just say the benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:55.068 \rightarrow 00:43:57.804$ is that any of you who use it

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:57.804 \rightarrow 00:43:59.820$ know man actigraphy is so easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:59.820 --> 00:44:03.140 especially compared to polysomnography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.154$ OK, so here's what we found in the men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.168$ So if we are starting with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.872$ That sort of the 3000 people from

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:13.872 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.349$ that first sleep visit of Mr OSS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:16.350 \rightarrow 00:44:19.420$ we then take out these other phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:44:19.420 --> 00:44:22.510 OK, so your long sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:22.510 \rightarrow 00:44:24.945$ asymptomatic short sleep and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:24.945 \rightarrow 00:44:27.380$ insomnia with normal sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

00:44:27.380 --> 00:44:29.015 OK. So I'm showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:44:29.015 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.323$ the prevalence of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:44:30.330 \rightarrow 00:44:32.328$ But really what we're focusing down

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:44:32.328 \rightarrow 00:44:35.220$ here on is those people who had insomnia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:44:35.220 \rightarrow 00:44:37.452$ with short sleeve and those people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:44:37.519 \rightarrow 00:44:39.823$ who had normal sleep and so of that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- 00:44:39.830 --> 00:44:43.550 you know, 3000. Plus. Population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- 00:44:43.550 --> 00:44:45.762 About 20% of the men had insomnia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:44:45.762 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.350$ with short sleep duration,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- 00:44:47.350 --> 00:44:48.898 so you can see fairly common,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:44:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.330$ you know, a fifth of the cohort.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:44:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.886$ So then in women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00{:}44{:}53.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57.390$ when we sort of separated out those groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:44:57.390 \rightarrow 00:45:00.036$ we can see that insomnia with short
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00{:}45{:}00{.}036$ --> $00{:}45{:}04{.}719$ sleep duration was about 13% of women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- 00:45:04.720 --> 00:45:07.204 And so now I'm going to show you what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00{:}45{:}07{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09{.}764$ happens when we compare insomnia with short NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:09.764 \rightarrow 00:45:12.410$ sleep duration to people with normal sleep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.288$ And this is a busy slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

00:45:14.290 --> 00:45:16.153 but I'm going to sort of break it down

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:16.153 \rightarrow 00:45:17.947$ for you to make it more digestible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.950$ We have our men here, our normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:19.950 \rightarrow 00:45:22.050$ sleepers versus insomnia with short sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00{:}45{:}22.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.975$ and our women here are normal sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00{:}45{:}23.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.449$ versus insomnia with short sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:25.450 \rightarrow 00:45:27.866$ And what you'll see is across the board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00{:}45{:}27.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.080$ people with insomnia and short

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:30.080 \rightarrow 00:45:32.290$ sleeve have more health conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

00:45:32.290 --> 00:45:34.669 more depression, anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:34.669 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.048$ more sleep disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

00:45:37.050 - 00:45:38.690 They have more medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.740$ so they're more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.400$ use antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:45:42.400 \rightarrow 00:45:44.260$ Activating medications, CNS,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.120$ CNS, active medications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.455$ They're more likely to have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:48.455 \rightarrow 00:45:50.323$ those psychosocial and behavioral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:50.323 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.348$ factors that can impact sleep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:52.350 \rightarrow 00:45:54.600$ Like living alone and napping
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.647$ and they're more likely to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:56.647 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.078$ have geriatric conditions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.716$ And again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:45:58.716 \rightarrow 00:46:01.260$ here's another slide with a lot of numbers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00:46:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.022$ but the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- 00:46:02.022 --> 00:46:05.070 what I'm really just trying to show you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- 00:46:05.157 -> 00:46:08.013 here is that when we adjust for age,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- 00:46:08.020 --> 00:46:10.540 race, ethnicity, education,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00{:}46{:}10.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.060$ obesity and multimorbidity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667
- $00{:}46{:}13.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.268$ those relationships are maintained.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:15.268 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.416$ So they are robust to adjusting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00{:}46{:}18.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.756$ for all of those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.325$ So we see in both men and women that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00{:}46{:}23.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.475$ those with insomnia and short sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

00:46:25.475 --> 00:46:28.229 are much more likely to have obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

00:46:28.230 --> 00:46:29.288 Multimorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

00:46:29.288 --> 00:46:31.404 Cognitive problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:31.404 \rightarrow 00:46:35.413$ depressive issues and geriatric impairments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:35.413 \rightarrow 00:46:39.199$ and so I think you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:39.200 \rightarrow 00:46:40.156$ This really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00{:}46{:}40.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.980$ This is a group with high medical burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:43.980 \rightarrow 00:46:46.598$ I'm probably at risk for adverse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:46.600 \rightarrow 00:46:48.536$ That's some work that needs to be done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00{:}46{:}48{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50{.}843$ but I think it also shows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:50.843 \rightarrow 00:46:53.080$ benefit of taking the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198212166666667

 $00:46:53.080 \rightarrow 00:46:54.648$ multifaceted approach of defining

 $00:46:54.648 \rightarrow 00:46:57.509$ sleep in a more comprehensive way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01{.}886$ And so I'll I'll end them

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:01.886 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.059$ with future directions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:03.060 \rightarrow 00:47:06.434$ which is the focus of my K award in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:06.434 \rightarrow 00:47:09.278$ trying to define sleep deficiency by

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}278$ --> $00{:}47{:}12{.}789$ measuring each of these different domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

00:47:12.790 --> 00:47:17.278 And so I am doing home based polysomnography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.728$ That's the gold standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:18.728 \rightarrow 00:47:22.293$ but I'm also trying to see whether an EEG

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:22.293 \rightarrow 00:47:24.927$ measuring headband might be sufficient to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:24.927 \rightarrow 00:47:27.739$ define sleep quality in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}27{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31{.}277$ I'm using Actigraphy to try to get at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}31{.}277$ --> $00{:}47{:}34{.}160$ domain of inappropriate sleep timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}34.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.346$ And so right now I'm really in the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:37.346 \rightarrow 00:47:40.680$ first couple phases of the work which are,

00:47:40.680 --> 00:47:42.056 you know, quantitative phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:42.056 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.776$ where I'm really comparing self

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

00:47:43.776 --> 00:47:45.367 reported and objective measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}45{.}367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47{.}161$ sleep deficiency in this age group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}47{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49{.}660$ And then I'm also starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

00:47:49.660 --> 00:47:51.320 do qualitative interviews where

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:47:51.397 \rightarrow 00:47:53.507$ I'm exploring how these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}53{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55{.}185$ Describe their sleep and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}55{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57{.}524$ impairments during the day to to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}57{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59{.}024$ and determine whether there might

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}47{:}59{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03{.}128$ be better ways to assess that with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}48{:}03{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}550$ With with self reported measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:48:05.550 \rightarrow 00:48:07.970$ and hopefully ultimately to define

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:48:08.046 \rightarrow 00:48:10.602$ or develop a new sleep deficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}48{:}10.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.307$ instrument to detect this and older

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:48:13.307 \rightarrow 00:48:16.079$ people that's really sort of specifically

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:16.079 \rightarrow 00:48:18.670$ designed for use in older people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.530$ So that's the focus of my OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:21.530 \rightarrow 00:48:24.032$ that's what I'm in the thick of right now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:24.040 \rightarrow 00:48:27.703$ and so I'll just end with some key points.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- 00:48:27.710 --> 00:48:29.830 Sleep deficiency is a global
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.102$ construct capturing deficits
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- 00:48:31.102 --> 00:48:33.369 arising due to poor sleep quality,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:33.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.590$ insufficient duration and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.810$ or inappropriate timing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.320$ This sleep deficiency in older
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.328$ adults is multi factorial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.950$ Self reporting instruments alone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:43.950 \rightarrow 00:48:46.380$ may not be sufficient to describe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00{:}48{:}46{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48{.}157$ this entity in older adults.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444
- $00:48:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.695$ Future work should incorporate measures
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:48:50.695 \rightarrow 00:48:53.230$ to that evaluate sleep comprehensively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:48:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.786$ So that is all I have and then I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}48{:}55{.}786$ --> $00{:}48{:}58{.}503$ end with the thank yous again and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

00:48:58.503 - 00:49:00.984 of point to all of these wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00{:}49{:}00{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03{.}854$ people that I get to work with and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88954378444444

 $00:49:03.854 \rightarrow 00:49:06.146$ sleep in geriatrics here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72020066

 $00:49:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.513$ Great. Uh, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72020066

00:49:15.513 - 00:49:18.580 Brianne was a great talk, a

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

00:49:18.580 --> 00:49:19.832 lot of interesting information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00:49:19.832 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.397$ a lot of broke information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00{:}49{:}21{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24{.}208$ So I think we have a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00:49:24.208 \rightarrow 00:49:26.894$ comments and questions in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00{:}49{:}26{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29{.}738$ and we'll start with John Winkleman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00{:}49{:}29{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32{.}169$ And so it John mentions that you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00:49:32.169 \rightarrow 00:49:34.020$ shown us cross-sectional associations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00:49:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.280$ Mr Oz has longitudinal data.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222
- 00:49:36.280 --> 00:49:38.032 Have you examined your group of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222
- 00:49:38.032 --> 00:49:39.750 interest for long term outcomes?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85093077125
- 00:49:39.880 --> 00:49:42.144 Yeah, so that's what we're working on now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153
- 00:49:43.940 --> 00:49:48.302 Great, great. And then Robert Thomas
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153
- $00{:}49{:}48{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51{.}350$ has a question and a statement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153
- $00{:}49{:}51{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}140$ Intervention plea is exclamation point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153
- $00:49:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.260$ So the description is good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153
- $00:49:56.260 \rightarrow 00:49:58.186$ But there's no mystery that elderly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153
- $00{:}49{:}58.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59.470$ have multifaceted sleep loss.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153
- $00:49:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.269$ And So what is the pragmatic approach
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153
- $00:50:01.269 \rightarrow 00:50:03.147$ to change the sleep in the elderly?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- 00:50:04.440 --> 00:50:07.640 So yeah, I mean. First,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00:50:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.090$ there's no easy answer here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}50{:}09{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.808$ and I'm probably.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}50{:}11.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.730$ Not telling you something you don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:13.730 \rightarrow 00:50:17.290$ know already, but I think what I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}17.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.665$ what I commonly experience is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:19.665 - 00:50:22.629 that when these people come to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}22.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24.597$ you know, they've just been on benzos

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}24.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.592$ or see drugs for ever and they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}26{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28{.}417$ never had their sleep evaluated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:28.420 \rightarrow 00:50:31.024$ And so I think definitely having

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:31.024 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.130$ a very good history and work up

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.270$ is important in these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:36.270 --> 00:50:38.804 And I would say I think there's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:38.810 \rightarrow 00:50:40.630$ you know, because there are so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:40.630 \rightarrow 00:50:42.507$ different things that can affect their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}42{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44{.}330$ But there's a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.066$ Different things so we can intervene on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:46.070 --> 00:50:48.021 You know, I mentioned medications, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:48.021 \rightarrow 00:50:49.876$ So that's definitely something we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00:50:49.876 \rightarrow 00:50:52.249$ can change to make sleep better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}50{:}52{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}54{.}418$ I think we could probably do a better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}50{:}54{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56{.}349$ job of identifying and treating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00:50:56.349 \dashrightarrow 00:50:58.549$ sleep disorders in these people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- 00:50:58.550 --> 00:50:59.213 So, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00:50:59.213 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.150$ I I think we have to start there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}51{:}01{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04{.}786$ I mean I'm very the reason.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00:51:04.790 \rightarrow 00:51:06.764$ Would I have wanted jump to interventions?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00:51:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.021$ Yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}51{:}07{.}021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09{.}280$ But I I do think that we need better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}51{:}09{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11{.}676$ tools before we jump to interventions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}51{:}11{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12{.}820$ And So what I hope is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00:51:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.642$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}51{:}13.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.108$ maybe the future is like a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616
- $00{:}51{:}16.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.604$ deprescribing intervention to see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:17.604 \rightarrow 00:51:19.704$ how that helps sleep or to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:19.704 --> 00:51:21.919 think about what are we doing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:21.919 - 00:51:23.946 these people when in their sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:23.946 \rightarrow 00:51:26.334$ when we give them things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}51{:}26{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27{.}724$ antipsychotics or, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:27.724 \rightarrow 00:51:29.209$ because I think that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:29.209 --> 00:51:29.803 in geriatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.110$ they are used very frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}51{:}32.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.078$ off labeled to help with sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:34.078 \rightarrow 00:51:35.650$ But what are we actually doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:35.709 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.549$ to these people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}51{:}36{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38{.}125$ And so I think we need better

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:38.125 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.310$ tools to figure that out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175

00:51:42.790 --> 00:51:44.790 Great. Thank you, Brianne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175

00:51:44.790 --> 00:51:47.118 So I I'll ask a oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175

 $00:51:47.120 \rightarrow 00:51:49.590$ here's another question from John.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175
- 00:51:49.590 --> 00:51:51.282 How did your patient do when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175
- $00:51:51.282 \rightarrow 00:51:52.790$ treated for their sleep apnea?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175
- $00{:}51{:}52{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53{.}330$ Great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00:51:53.340 \rightarrow 00:51:54.964$ Actually did great. She was one of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00{:}51{:}54{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56{.}439$ those people who just took to it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00:51:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.268$ There's no problem. So, I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00:52:01.268 \rightarrow 00:52:03.060$ maybe you could maybe you sort of look
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00:52:03.110 \rightarrow 00:52:04.925$ at her home sleep test and say, oh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00{:}52{:}04{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06{.}990$ she's just got apneas and, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00:52:06.990 \rightarrow 00:52:09.640$ maybe she just needs to open up her airway.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- 00:52:09.640 --> 00:52:13.296 I don't know. She she did very well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- 00:52:13.300 --> 00:52:15.148 But I don't think that I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00:52:15.148 \rightarrow 00:52:16.695$ particularly good at figuring out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00{:}52{:}16.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.473$ who those people are going to be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286
- $00{:}52{:}18{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21{.}728$ I I just think that in my population
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:21.728 \rightarrow 00:52:24.392$ they all deserve a chance because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00{:}52{:}24{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26{.}576$ you know, for a lot of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:52:26.580 --> 00:52:28.800 cognition is a priority. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00{:}52{:}28.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30.318$ And there's not a lot else

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:30.318 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.380$ we can do to help cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00{:}52{:}32{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35{.}076$ So if we can find a sleep disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:52:35.076 - 00:52:37.258 like sleep apnea and treat it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00{:}52{:}37{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38{.}898$ even though we know the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:38.898 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.717$ can be hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:39.720 \rightarrow 00:52:42.096$ I think a lot of them are willing to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:52:42.100 --> 00:52:42.734 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00{:}52{:}42{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46{.}103$ to do the work because that is a that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:46.103 \rightarrow 00:52:48.198$ an important patient centered outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

00:52:49.840 --> 00:52:52.270 So Brian, I wanted to ask you a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00{:}52{:}52{.}270$ --> $00{:}52{:}54{.}547$ about defining adequate sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:52:54.550 \rightarrow 00:52:58.046$ I think one of the papers you had.

 $00:52:58.050 \rightarrow 00:53:01.641$ Mentioned was a paper from Wallace and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

00:53:01.641 --> 00:53:04.572 Sleep noting the situation was defined based

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00{:}53{:}04{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}840$ on cardiovascular outcomes or et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

00:53:06.840 --> 00:53:09.864 Yeah. And so. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:09.864 \rightarrow 00:53:11.136$ it seems like based on your

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:11.136 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.369$ description for geriatric approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.483$ you know we got to be looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:13.483 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.529$ at things other than mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.770$ we got to be looking at function

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00{:}53{:}16.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.099$ and institutionalization and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.354$ And so do we have data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:20.354 \rightarrow 00:53:21.860$ well functioning older adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

00:53:21.860 --> 00:53:23.090 men and women and conversely

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00{:}53{:}23.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24.620$ you know those who are poor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00{:}53{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.515$ poorly functional to anchor this

 $00:53:26.515 \rightarrow 00:53:28.410$ definition of short sleep duration

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:28.473 \rightarrow 00:53:30.198$ versus long sleep duration rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:30.198 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.923$ than looking at things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:31.987 \rightarrow 00:53:33.967$ mortality or incidence of diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}53{:}33{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36{.}644$ I mean you know again it's I sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}53{:}36{.}644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39{.}307$ I'm not particularly interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:53:39.310 \rightarrow 00:53:41.242$ Those outcomes when I'm trying to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:53:41.242 \rightarrow 00:53:43.769$ about what I want to do to help my patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:53:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.303$ but I'm sort of using it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:53:45.303 \rightarrow 00:53:46.570$ a benchmark to say, alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}53{:}46{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49{.}290$ can we all agree that if sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}53{:}49{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51{.}155$ this sleep duration is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:53:51.155 - 00:53:53.020 with mortality in older people

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:53:53.085 \rightarrow 00:53:55.069$ that this is a good way to define?

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:53:55.070 - 00:53:58.760 You know, poor sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:53:58.760 --> 00:54:02.524 So. So I I see your point. I'm,

00:54:02.524 --> 00:54:05.980 I'm kind of just using it as a benchmark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:54:05.980 --> 00:54:09.550 but you know, I do think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:09.550 \rightarrow 00:54:12.270$ I mean and you probably know this just

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}54{:}12.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.456$ as well as I do that there are if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:54:15.456 --> 00:54:18.069 think about you know treatment of OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}54{:}18.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.194$ you know may be there are certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:20.194 \rightarrow 00:54:21.256$ outcomes where we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:21.260 \rightarrow 00:54:22.470$ we're not meeting the metric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}54{:}22{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24{.}300$ but there are other things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}54{:}24{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25{.}852$ are more patient centered and

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:25.852 \rightarrow 00:54:27.520$ more having to do with symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:27.520 \rightarrow 00:54:29.319$ where where CPAP does help people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798743980952381

 $00{:}54{:}29{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32{.}338$ Just wondering if there is a cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.798743980952381

 $00{:}54{:}32{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34{.}922$ of people that is like really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.798743980952381

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}088$ off and healthy aging Agers and

 $00:54:37.167 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.840$ you look at their sleep to define.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

 $00:54:39.640 \rightarrow 00:54:42.178$ There are people studying like the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

00:54:42.180 --> 00:54:44.736 you know, sent to gennario ANS

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

 $00:54:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.540$ and people who are just just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

00:54:46.540 --> 00:54:48.190 you know, genetically they come for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

 $00{:}54{:}48{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49{.}908$ this stuff from the stock where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

 $00:54:49.908 \rightarrow 00:54:51.594$ everybody lives to like past 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

 $00:54:51.600 \rightarrow 00:54:53.756$ So there are people studying those groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

 $00:54:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.812$ but I don't know that anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

 $00:54:55.812 \rightarrow 00:54:57.180$ is studying their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8039641066666667

 $00:54:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.708$ It's an interesting idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00:54:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.505$ So there's another question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00{:}55{:}01{.}505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03{.}480$ the chat and from John Cronin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00:55:03.480 \rightarrow 00:55:05.142$ Do you have any experience using

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00:55:05.142 \rightarrow 00:55:06.250$ promise fatigue or promise

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00:55:06.302 \rightarrow 00:55:07.757$ sleep disturbance in your work?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818
- $00:55:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.965$ And any thoughts on their

 $00:55:09.965 \rightarrow 00:55:12.619$ value compared to ESS or I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00:55:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.190$ for example, yeah. I have not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:19.190 \rightarrow 00:55:21.606$ I, you know, I'm familiar with those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:21.606 \dashrightarrow 00:55:26.050$ I have certainly looked at them. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00{:}55{:}26.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.186$ I don't have a sense that they're better

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:29.186 \rightarrow 00:55:31.794$ or worse than what we have already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

00:55:31.794 --> 00:55:34.718 I mean, I I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00{:}55{:}34{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37{.}088$ You, you you just have to be sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

00:55:37.088 --> 00:55:38.986 of judicious in what you're asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:38.986 \rightarrow 00:55:41.444$ people in your study to do which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:41.444 \rightarrow 00:55:43.136$ why I'm not also collecting those

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:43.136 \rightarrow 00:55:44.676$ measures currently because I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

00:55:44.676 --> 00:55:46.619 you know you probably want to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00{:}55{:}46.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49.636$ you have to do fatigue and then promise

 $00:55:49.636 \rightarrow 00:55:52.304$ has two different in addition to fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:52.304 \rightarrow 00:55:54.253$ it's the sleep disturbance and I can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00{:}55{:}54{.}253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56{.}149$ remember the other one and so it just

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:56.149 \rightarrow 00:55:57.817$ ends up being a lot of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

00:55:57.820 --> 00:55:58.816 And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:58.816 \rightarrow 00:56:00.939$ I think if you really wanted to focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:56:00.939 \rightarrow 00:56:02.920$ on promise you'd probably do those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:56:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.979$ you wouldn't collect anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:56:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.310$ Or you'd be very limited in what

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:56:06.310 \rightarrow 00:56:07.250$ else you could collect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89492963111111

 $00{:}56{:}09{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12{.}140$ Sounds good maybe one last question

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

00:56:12.140 --> 00:56:14.831 from Robert Thomas you've you've you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

00:56:14.831 --> 00:56:17.573 using things like Knox Self applied

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

 $00{:}56{:}17.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20.700$ system and the and the band and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

 $00:56:20.700 \rightarrow 00:56:22.788$ Doctor Thomas wondering what about

NOTE Confidence: 0.89492963111111

 $00:56:22.788 \rightarrow 00:56:25.271$ other wearable track to track sleep

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89492963111111
- $00:56:25.271 \rightarrow 00:56:28.440$ such as the aura ring or circle ring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89492963111111
- $00:56:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.527$ or whatever whatever other ring or or.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89492963111111
- $00:56:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.830$ Watch the people use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218
- 00:56:32.960 --> 00:56:37.058 Yeah. So, um, I think, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218
- 00:56:37.058 --> 00:56:38.374 I'm not particularly wedded
- NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218
- $00:56:38.374 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.460$ to one kind of technology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218
- 00:56:40.460 --> 00:56:42.344 I just want to use technology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218
- $00:56:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.250$ that's sort of more feasible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- $00:56:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.626$ I can't say off the top of my head,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- $00{:}56{:}48.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.646$ you know, the reason I went with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- $00:56:50.646 \rightarrow 00:56:52.826$ headband is because you can get EG with it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- $00{:}56{:}52{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54{.}720$ So I'm not as familiar with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- 00:56:54.720 --> 00:56:56.682 ring and what it does, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- $00:56:56.682 \rightarrow 00:56:57.906$ as opposed to actigraphy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- $00:56:57.906 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.670$ or as opposed to doesn't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111

- 00:56:59.670 00:57:00.694 I mean, it doesn't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- 00:57:00.694 --> 00:57:02.632 How how good is the the sleep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88661273111111
- $00:57:02.632 \rightarrow 00:57:05.026$ architecture that you get from the rain?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7120209
- $00:57:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.910$ I don't know, robertino.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- 00:57:14.490 00:57:18.430 Well, depends on what technology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00:57:18.430 \dashrightarrow 00:57:21.900$ It is clear that you don't get the EEG, but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- 00:57:21.900 --> 00:57:23.636 You can get a fair amount of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00:57:23.640 \rightarrow 00:57:25.400$ you can get activity monitoring.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- 00:57:25.400 --> 00:57:27.168 Yes, you can get kind of you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00:57:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.636$ finger activity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00:57:28.636 \rightarrow 00:57:31.568$ finger movements, hand movements.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- 00:57:31.570 --> 00:57:34.380 You can get oximetry, of course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- 00:57:34.380 --> 00:57:35.780 You can get heart rate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00{:}57{:}35{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38{.}244$ so you can get do a heart
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00{:}57{:}38{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39{.}300$ rate variability assessment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- 00:57:39.300 --> 00:57:40.911 You can measure sleep

- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- 00:57:40.911 -> 00:57:42.739 quality in different ways.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00{:}57{:}42.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45.468$ And of course you can track it infinitely.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00{:}57{:}45{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}47{.}469$ Hmm, so that's a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00:57:47.469 \rightarrow 00:57:51.020$ So you can do the more direct measurements,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- 00:57:51.020 --> 00:57:52.900 which you do infrequently,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556
- $00:57:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.250$ and fill the gaps with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- $00:57:57.850 \rightarrow 00:58:00.587$ The variables, the Fitbit is pretty good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- 00:58:00.590 --> 00:58:03.668 The new, the latest Fitbit Apple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- $00{:}58{:}03.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}06.430$ Watch Sleep assessment is decent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- $00:58:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.446$ Now, the problem is that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- $00:58:08.446 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.450$ are not tuned to elderly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- $00{:}58{:}10{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13{.}182$ So if you say if it gives you an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- $00:58:13.182 \rightarrow 00:58:14.909$ output that you have no deep sleep,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- $00{:}58{:}14{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17{.}510$ it doesn't mean that there's no good sleep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714
- $00{:}58{:}17{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19{.}327$ We see the problem in the sleep clinic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

 $00:58:19.327 \rightarrow 00:58:20.369$ already where patients come here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

00:58:20.370 --> 00:58:21.898 Oh, my ring said that I'm doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.540247802

00:58:21.910 --> 00:58:23.740 right? Yes, of course, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

00:58:25.110 --> 00:58:27.720 You know, maybe you're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

 $00:58:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.352$ OK, so doctor minor sounds like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

 $00:58:30.352 \dashrightarrow 00:58:32.809$ conflict of interest waiting to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

 $00{:}58{:}32{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34{.}350$ Some data in older folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

 $00:58:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.880$ so that the Algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.813838864

 $00{:}58{:}36{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}39{.}630$ can be better thresholded right now. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.863449492

 $00:58:39.640 \rightarrow 00:58:41.640$ you're sleeping like a rock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863449492

 $00{:}58{:}41{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}44{.}688$ you are an N3 if you move just a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863449492

 $00{:}58{:}44{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47{.}580$ You're in light sleep, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863449492

 $00:58:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.768$ The output is, not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

00:58:50.810 --> 00:58:54.350 Properly calibrated, I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

00:58:54.350 --> 00:58:56.546 OK, very good. Well, all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00:58:56.550 \rightarrow 00:58:59.518$ Well, thank you everyone for a great

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581
- $00{:}58{:}59{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}02{.}578$ questions and thanks Brian for a great talk.

 $00{:}59{:}02.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04.785$ And thank you all for attending and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00{:}59{:}04.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.571$ we're looking forward to our next

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00{:}59{:}06{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08{.}711$ session which will be in just about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00:59:08.711 \dashrightarrow 00:59:10.820$ month in December before the holidays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

00:59:10.820 --> 00:59:12.140 Take care everybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00:59:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.000$ great seeing you and. Meet soon.