WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:58:25" NOTE recognizability:0.834

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.635$ OK. So good afternoon everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:02.635 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.270$ and welcome to sleep seminar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.258$ So just as a reminder of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}00{:}07.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}08.732$ lectures are available for CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:00:08.732 --> 00:00:10.147 credit and to receive credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:00:10.150 --> 00:00:11.428 please just text the ID for

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:11.428 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.600$ the lecture to Yale Cloud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.588$ CME needs to go in by 3:15 PM today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:00:15.590 --> 00:00:17.705 and if you don't catch it on the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.630$ it will show up in the chat later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}00{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.025$ Recordings of the lecture are

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:21.025 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.420$ available within two weeks at

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:22.471 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.749$ the site noted in the chat.

00:00:23.750 --> 00:00:25.558 And if you have questions during the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.560$ you will be muted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}00{:}26.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.688$ So use the chat feature and we'll address

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:28.688 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.230$ them at the end or otherwise I'll give you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.435$ Permission to unmute yourself at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.376$ as far as upcoming events for next month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.075$ Next week, Michael Grandner will be speaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.970$ He'll be talking about behavioral measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}00{:}39.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.880$ to optimize sleep for performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.994$ You can feel free to share these

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:43.994 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.681$ lectures widely with colleagues as

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:00:45.681 --> 00:00:47.476 invitations and just e-mail Debbie

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:00:47.476 --> 00:00:49.809 Lovejoy if you have if you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:49.809 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.299$ to schedule for this semester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:00:51.300 --> 00:00:53.316 So it is my pleasure now to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}00{:}53.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.659$ today's sleep seminar speaker,

00:00:54.660 --> 00:00:55.812 Doctor Shannon Sullivan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:00:55.812 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.500$ Dr Sullivan is a clinical professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:00:58.564 --> 00:01:00.759 the Division of Pediatric pulmonary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.738$ asthma and sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:01.738 --> 00:01:03.368 Person in the Department of

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:03.368 --> 00:01:05.179 Pediatrics at Stanford University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:05.180 --> 00:01:05.519 Additionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:05.519 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.892$ she has a courtesy appointment in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:07.892 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.078$ Division of Sleep Medicine at Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:10.080 --> 00:01:11.420 Doctor Sullivan received her

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:11.420 --> 00:01:13.095 MD from University of Michigan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}13.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.116$ and while there she also did

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:15.116 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.460$ additional training in epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}16.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.094$ at the University of Michigan

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:18.094 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.354$ School of Public Health.

00:01:19.360 --> 00:01:20.920 She moved to University of California,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}20.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.632$ San Francisco for both pediatric residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}23.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.440$ and Pediatric Pulmonology Fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.070$ She then completed a fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:27.070 --> 00:01:28.700 in Sleep Medicine at Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}28.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.161$ She joined the faculty at Stanford in 2008

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:31.161 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.478$ and is now clinical professor in Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.244$ and she's also a clinical science team

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}35.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.158$ lead of the Baseline Health study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}37.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.755$ She served as medical director

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}01{:}38.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.350$ of the Eval Research Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:40.350 --> 00:01:41.346 On Palo Alto,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:41.346 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.006$ and she previously served as

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:43.006 --> 00:01:44.938 program director for the Stanford

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:44.938 --> 00:01:46.147 Sleep Medicine Fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.178$ She is an active member of

00:01:48.178 --> 00:01:48.854 multiple organizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.606$ including ETS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:49.606 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.471$ American Academy of Sleep Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:51.471 --> 00:01:53.250 and National Sleep Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:01:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.148$ and among her many service contributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:56.150 --> 00:01:58.369 She recently served as vice chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:01:58.369 --> 00:02:00.526 the ASM COVID-19 Task Force and Chair

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:02:00.526 --> 00:02:02.830 of the ASM Public Safety Committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.867$ and she has an active role on

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:04.867 \dashrightarrow 00:02:06.188$ the National Foundation Sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}02{:}06.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.728$ Health Technology Task Force.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.005$ She's been Pi on numerous clinical studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:02:10.010 --> 00:02:10.564 including.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:02:10.564 --> 00:02:11.672 Diverse studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:11.672 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.996$ novel medications for patients with insomnia,

 $00:02:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.965$ assessment of sleep education programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:16.965 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.356$ and importantly for this talk home

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:19.356 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.151$ based early detection of disrupted

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:21.151 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.457$ sleep in children with risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:23.457 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.077$ for sleep disorder breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.778$ Her work has been published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:26.778 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.910$ diverse journals including Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:02:27.961 --> 00:02:29.137 of Clinical Sleep Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}02{:}29.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.144$ Respiratory Care, Chest Neurology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

00:02:31.144 --> 00:02:32.647 Lancet respiratory Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}02{:}32.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.880$ Sleep Medicine Reviews and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.036$ So I am really pleased that doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:37.036 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.188$ Sullivan is joining us today to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00{:}02{:}39.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.340$ I think an important and timely topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.200$ The best of times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.060$ The worst of times.

 $00:02:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.300$ Advances in remote assessments in

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.196$ pediatric sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.840$ So welcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895208558

 $00:02:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.480$ doctor Sullivan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:02:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.242$ Thanks so much, Janet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:02:50.242 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.166$ And I'm, I'm so happy to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:02:52.170 --> 00:02:54.172 Thank you for inviting me and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:02:54.172 --> 00:02:56.040 look forward to having some time

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:02:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.936$ for discussion at the end because

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}02{:}57.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.662$ I think this is an area that often

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}03{:}00.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.990$ generates quite a bit of discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}03{:}02.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.363$ So without further ado here is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}03{:}05.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.340$ CME disclosure and accreditation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:03:07.340 --> 00:03:10.444 there is the number of the text number

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.256$ 34106 and I think Janet promised

00:03:12.256 --> 00:03:14.378 to or Debbie promised to post this

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}03{:}14.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.088$ number a few additional times and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:16.088 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.075$ I'll have it again at the end so

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:18.075 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.905$ that semi credit can be claimed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:03:19.910 --> 00:03:22.674 I do not have any qualifying COI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:03:22.674 --> 00:03:25.730 but I did want to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:25.814 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.929$ I do act as a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:03:26.930 --> 00:03:28.470 The consultant and work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:03:28.470 --> 00:03:29.394 fairly Life Sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.250$ as mentioned on the Project

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:03:31.250 --> 00:03:32.360 Baseline Health study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.836$ and the credit for the title of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.640$ of course, is Charles Dickens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}03{:}35.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.678$ It was the best of times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.778$ It was the worst of times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.318$ the age of wisdom and the age of foolishness.

 $00:03:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.718$ It was the epoch of belief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:03:41.720 --> 00:03:43.328 It was the epoch of incredulity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.600$ incredulity, the season of light,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.912$ the season of darkness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:46.912 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.288$ It was the spring of hope in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:49.288 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.628$ the winter of despair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.448$ We'll loop back around to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.178$ So I just to kind of set the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:03:54.178 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.930$ stage a little bit for what

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}03{:}55.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.808$ we're going to be talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}03{:}57.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.184$ I wanted to point to this article

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}04{:}01.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.254$ published last December by a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}04{:}03.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.279$ of our pediatric Sleep Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:04:05.279 --> 00:04:07.607 colleagues entitled Uncharted Territory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.110$ The Challenges and Opportunities

 $00:04:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.985$ in Pediatric Sleep Medicine during

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:10.985 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.949$ the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.995$ And table one in this article is

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:15.995 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.994$ around opportunities and considerations

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:17.994 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.438$ for pediatric sleep labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.690$ In the world after the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.607$ and among the opportunities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:24.607 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.983$ considerations are listed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:25.983 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.340$ use of auto CPAP for children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.820$ which we'll touch on briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}04{:}29.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.620$ and home sleep studies for selected

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.500$ groups of children and adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}04{:}33.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.054$ And I wanted to dive a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}04{:}35.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.020$ bit deeper into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:04:36.020 --> 00:04:37.244 As you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:37.244 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.652$ Janet,

 $00{:}04{:}37.652 --> 00{:}04{:}40.420$ I served as Vice Chair and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.364$ COVID-19 task force at the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:42.364 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.660$ Academy of Sleep Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.996$ And we and we thought a lot about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}04{:}46.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.565$ How to adapt in real time as as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:50.565 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.340$ COVID-19 pandemic presented new challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:04:53.340 --> 00:04:54.309 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:54.309 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.601$ with respect to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:04:55.601 --> 00:04:57.200 article from last December,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.244$ we have to remember that the Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:04:59.244 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.402$ has a position paper from 2017 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:01.402 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.007$ really pretty clearly states that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}05{:}03.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.491$ the use of home sleep apnea testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}05{:}05.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.669$ is not recommended for the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:07.735 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.057$ of obstructive sleep apnea in children.

00:05:10.060 --> 00:05:11.220 Now bear in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.960$ even though the door seems fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:13.023 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.958$ well closed from that perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.420$ it's left open a little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:05:16.420 --> 00:05:19.846 Track because this particular position paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.190$ like money from the Academy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:05:21.190 --> 00:05:22.765 states that the ultimate judgment

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:05:22.765 --> 00:05:24.340 regarding any specific care must

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}05{:}24.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.088$ be made by the clinician in light

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:26.088 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.241$ of the individual circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

00:05:27.241 --> 00:05:28.729 presented by the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.335$ available diagnostic tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:30.335 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.010$ accessible treatment options and resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.820$ And on top of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00:05:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.188$ you see that same sort of thinking in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897215905

 $00{:}05{:}36.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.664$ the IRS guidelines that were published

 $00:05:38.664 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.388$ the year before that Academy position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:05:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.978$ which stated that alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:05:42.978 --> 00:05:44.963 methods might be considered for

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:05:44.963 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.256$ use and when PSG is not available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:05:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.250$ And that home or respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:05:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.661$ polygraphy is feasible and has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:05:51.661 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.139$ used as an alternative to inland PSG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:05:54.140 --> 00:05:55.736 A couple of important things about

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}05{:}55.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.088$ this particular set of guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}05{:}57.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.726$ and which we which I would love

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:05:58.726 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.176$ to talk about in the discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}06{:}00.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.296$ because I don't have a lot on it

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}06{:}02.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.802$ in this talk is the referencing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}06{:}04.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.060$ clinical validated clinical tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.478$ questionnaires and that sort of thing

 $00:06:09.478 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.895$ in combination with home testing which

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:06:11.895 --> 00:06:14.485 I think is really a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:14.485 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.326$ area for study and and the IRS guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.850$ Mentioned both the PSQ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.750$ the Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire as

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.888$ well as the sleep clinical record.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.674$ Now, what are the kind of core concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:06:25.674 --> 00:06:27.768 related to home sleep testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:06:27.770 --> 00:06:29.130 Home sleep apnea testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.570$ excuse me, in children?

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.090$ Well, there's a number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.726$ This again is from the 2017 Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:34.726 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.816$ publication that lists out ideal

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:36.816 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.419$ home sleep apnea testing parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.640$ Those include things like the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.490$ to estimate total sleep time,

00:06:43.490 --> 00:06:45.342 arousal identification, I e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}06{:}45.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.128$ as well as a number of other typical sensors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.739$ and as you know, a lot of Level 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.198$ Um home sleep apnea testing equipment

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:06:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.590$ types really don't have these the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.930$ ability to measure these ideal parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:07:03.930 --> 00:07:05.950 So I think lack of EEG is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:07:06.015 --> 00:07:08.100 more important things that's missing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.249$ This is needed to score arousals and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:10.249 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.910$ arousals of course are important

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.950$ in Pediatrics for our definition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.979$ hypopnea as well as central apneas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.792$ Very importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:16.792 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.228$ total recording time is not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:19.228 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.567$ same thing as total sleep time and

 $00:07:21.567 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.770$ on on many types of equipment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:07:23.770 --> 00:07:26.283 Used for Level 3 home sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:26.283 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.690$ test is just isn't available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:07:28.690 --> 00:07:30.500 You really can't readily identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.310$ hypoventilation which is another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:32.363 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.053$ characteristic for many children who

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:34.053 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.743$ may have sleep disorder breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:07:35.750 --> 00:07:37.358 And finally and importantly and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}07{:}37.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.453$ I will spend a little bit of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:39.453 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.240$ is that we really don't know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}07{:}41.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.550$ the correct cutoff should be on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.410$ these different types of equipment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.060$ And it might vary between brands

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.144$ and it might vary between the type

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:07:49.144 --> 00:07:50.992 of patient that that you're testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:50.992 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.046$ and we really don't have a sense

 $00:07:53.046 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.606$ of algorithms for use. When?

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:54.606 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.914$ What's the what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:55.914 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.090$ What's the right scenario to use it in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:07:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.502$ I think additional concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:00.502 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.208$ include feasibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}08{:}01.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.070$ especially run adequate signal acquisition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.260$ We'll talk a little bit about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:08:04.260 --> 00:08:05.928 And then also for younger children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:08:05.930 --> 00:08:07.674 whether or not the equipment is is safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}08{:}07.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.936$ there's a lot of wires and one could

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:09.936 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.621$ become wrapped around those wires

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}08{:}11.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.391$ could become wrapped around an

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}08{:}13.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.210$ individual and if if not attended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.910$ And then finally really incomplete

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.070$ to absent performance testing.

 $00:08:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.110$ I prefer the term terminology

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00{:}08{:}22.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.150$ performance testing to validation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:24.219 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.324$ that's especially true for younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:26.324 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.429$ children and it's especially true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.098$ For those who have comorbidities that said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:08:32.100 --> 00:08:32.848 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:32.848 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.840$ by 2017 by the time of that publication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.740$ authors did acknowledge that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

00:08:37.740 --> 00:08:39.950 was some available data and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.984$ according to what they had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803315155

 $00:08:42.984 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.200$ home sleep apnea testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:08:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.765$ These Level 3 tested tend to perform a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:08:46.765 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.146$ bit better and more severe sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:08:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.890$ So given all of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:08:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.570$ that laundry list of concerns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:08:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.943$ why would one ever want to consider

 $00:08:54.943 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.529$ home sleep apnea testing and in a child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:08:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.130$ While a number of reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:08:59.130 --> 00:09:02.049 I think one we already mentioned briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.228$ that was the sort of existential

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:04.228 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.770$ circumstances brought on by the the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.968$ but also in lab polysomnography is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:09:09.968 --> 00:09:13.389 expensive and itself it is imperfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}09{:}13.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.820$ it's a limited resource and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.440$ think substantial disparities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:17.508 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.758$ access exist and we really haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:09:19.760 --> 00:09:21.470 Um, probably been diligent enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.068$ as a field to understand just how

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}09{:}24.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.720$ wide spread these disparities are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.240$ I mean, it's shocking there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.074$ I have one study quoted here that

 $00:09:29.074 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.357$ up to 3/4 of children on mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}09{:}31.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.152$ public insurance who are referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:33.152 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.160$ for PSG are lost to follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:09:35.160 --> 00:09:37.896 And if the PSG is completed and positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.580$ it can take twice as long to

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.300$ obtain treatment afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.609$ I think we have to be aware that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:43.609 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.730$ a test that just isn't easily available

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:09:45.793 --> 00:09:47.713 for everyone and think about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:47.713 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.470$ that means for our work as clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.388$ I also think that first night effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:53.388 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.674$ of night to night variability exist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:55.674 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.786$ in lab hymnography they also exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}09{:}57.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.806$ You know no matter what your

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:09:59.806 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.909$ venue that that can exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}10{:}01.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.451$ I think one advantage of home based

 $00:10:04.451 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.405$ testing is that you may have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}10{:}07.405 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}10{:}09.645$ opportunity to perform testing across

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:10:09.645 --> 00:10:11.879 multiple nights and then finally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:10:11.880 --> 00:10:12.900 You know, there are issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.190$ especially in adolescents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.340$ around testing at suboptimal times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:10:16.340 --> 00:10:16.982 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}10{:}16.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.908$ sleep Labs are expensive to operate

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:18.908 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.990$ and they're staffed by shift workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.474$ And so sometimes early morning study

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:23.474 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.846$ termination before that last episode of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}10{:}25.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.121$ RAM or in teenagers last two episodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}10{:}28.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.734$ of RAM may result in key loss of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}10{:}30.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.650$ So it's important to consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.855$ And then we like we talked about I think

 $00{:}10{:}34.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.113$ we are still dealing at least here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}10{:}37.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.056$ the West Coast with pandemic driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:39.056 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.144$ alterations and access to to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.278$ You know labs are open but we continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:43.278 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.753$ to have quite a lot of staff shortages

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:10:45.753 --> 00:10:47.861 and thinking about what that means for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:47.861 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.038$ how many beds we can operate is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:50.038 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.194$ We also you know in the especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:10:52.194 --> 00:10:54.465 miss the pandemic and still have

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:54.465 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.460$ to think about infection mitigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}10{:}56.460 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}10{:}58.698$ procedures and and these things can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:10:58.698 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.160$ increase the burden of disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:11:02.160 --> 00:11:03.720 So, Umm, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}11{:}03.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.560$ with that kind of context in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.324$ the other point I want to make is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:11:08.324 --> 00:11:10.270 it's it is important to acknowledge

00:11:10.270 --> 00:11:11.293 heterogeneity and Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.538$ It's a lifetime within a lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:13.538 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.731$ and the appropriateness of using home

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}11{:}15.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.633$ sleep apnea testing may vary with

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:17.633 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.138$ age or presentation or circumstance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

00:11:20.140 --> 00:11:23.380 So I product put up a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.026$ scenarios where I might take a moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}11{:}26.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.936$ and consider home based testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.500$ These might be different than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:29.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.040$ ones that you would consider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.250$ but I think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.566$ 13 year old child with enormous

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:34.566 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.338$ touching tonsils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00:11:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.100$ Nighttime and daytime symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.845254715625

 $00{:}11{:}37.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.740$ consistent with sleep apnea and a

 $00:11:39.809 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.489$ surgeon who despite the otolaryngology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:11:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.800$ Clinical practice guideline desires a

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:11:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.855$ positive sleep test and if the in lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:11:47.855 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.050$ testing queue were six or nine months and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:11:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.942$ that child were was clearly symptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:11:53.950 --> 00:11:55.686 You know maybe that would be a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:11:55.686 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.577$ situation in which a home sleep apnea test

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:11:57.577 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.468$ could be considered or a different surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:11:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.426$ What about the 17 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:01.426 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.404$ with daytime sleepiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.571$ snoring and delayed sleep wake disorder?

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:05.571 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.376$ What about the seven-year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:07.376 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.131$ child with developmental delays who

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}12{:}09.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.909$ whose failed in lab testing and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:10.909 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.338$ would just like to get some?

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.918$ Idea of what might be going

 $00:12:13.918 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.590$ on for them and in sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.530$ So with that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.616$ I wanted to review some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:19.616 --> 00:12:21.621 recent data which might support or

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:21.621 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.709$ not support home sleep apnea testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:23.709 --> 00:12:26.108 or sleep polygraphy and Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:26.110 --> 00:12:28.427 Overall when you look through these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:28.430 --> 00:12:30.214 I'm not going to go out study by

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}12{:}30.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.319$ study by study that the the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:32.319 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.279$ are generally small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:33.280 --> 00:12:35.392 they're generally non randomized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:35.392 --> 00:12:37.794 they're generally you know open label,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}12{:}37.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.370$ there's no game changers in these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.218$ but overall the volume is increasing and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:43.218 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.048$ think these studies do provide a rationale.

00:12:46.050 --> 00:12:47.892 Continue to consider each SAT and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}12{:}47.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.906$ select cases and overall I look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:49.906 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.607$ this as kind of building a framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:51.668 --> 00:12:53.098 for incremental evidence and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:53.098 --> 00:12:55.184 you can see this just by going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:55.184 --> 00:12:56.710 pub Med and putting in the search

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:12:56.764 --> 00:12:58.269 term pediatric home sleep test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:12:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.496$ There certainly is an increase in studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:00.496 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.889$ that are available to to consider for review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.596$ The same with pediatric sleep polygraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}13{:}05.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.688$ So let's tackle a couple of those issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:08.688 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.317$ I mentioned earlier on like some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:11.317 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.440$ of like the really big areas of concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.060$ I'm going to start with feasibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.831$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:13:16.831 --> 00:13:17.859 is it reasonably possible?

00:13:17.860 --> 00:13:18.014 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:13:18.014 --> 00:13:19.400 when you look through a lot of these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.730$ I have some of the references here

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.972$ at the bottom of the slide and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:21.972 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.360$ many more at the end of this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:13:23.360 --> 00:13:25.664 You know in most cases recordings

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:25.664 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.520$ were valid and interpretable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}13{:}27.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.677$ So 70% or more in general across

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}13{:}30.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.032$ these small studies and rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}13{:}33.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.203$ amazingly I'm starting at age 1 and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:13:36.210 --> 00:13:38.070 Generally speaking, these are an unhealthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.238$ These are unhealthy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}13{:}40.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.864$ uncomplicated pediatric patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.019$ By and large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:43.019 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.317$ failure of nasal cannula and failure

00:13:45.317 --> 00:13:48.192 of the SP O2 signal are the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}13{:}48.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.170$ common reasons for failed study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.186$ I think for sure the narrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:52.186 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.289$ in the literature is that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:54.289 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.690$ quite helpful to have some sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.947$ support for placement of the device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:13:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.967$ I'm rather amazed at this Canadian study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.723$ 562 one year olds of that group 91%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:14:05.723 --> 00:14:07.855 Technically acceptable data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:07.855 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.454$ home sleep testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333 00:14:09.460 --> 00:14:09.743 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:09.743 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.007$ that is a study where the tech went

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:12.007 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.158$ to the home to set up the device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.538$ which almost certainly makes a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.252$ I would say we have to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00{:}14{:}19.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.508$ cautious about thinking about

 $00:14:20.508 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.060$ children with certain comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.990$ as in particular neuromuscular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.198$ and in one very small pilot with six

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:26.198 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.950$ adolescents with neuromuscular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.918$ there was a 50% failure rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

 $00:14:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.256$ And in hospitalized children

NOTE Confidence: 0.833877261333333

00:14:31.256 --> 00:14:32.258 with certain comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:14:32.260 --> 00:14:33.700 there's very, very limited data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}14{:}33.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.718$ but but pretty similar success rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:14:36.720 --> 00:14:38.860 Here's a feasibility study

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:14:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.000$ from the COVID-19 pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:14:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.320$ This is out of the UK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}14{:}42.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.230$ The study is a retrospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:14:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.140$ analysis of real world data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:14:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.660$ Kind of necessity is the

 $00:14:47.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.180$ mother of invention type data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:14:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.738$ From 2020 it was 137 children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:14:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.490$ What I like about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:14:54.490 --> 00:14:56.120 report is that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:14:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.040$ this was a real world problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}14{:}58.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.394$ that this the sleep lab needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:00.394 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.369$ encountered and needed to solve

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:02.369 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.274$ for and so they overnight

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}15{:}04.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.390$ transition to home based testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:15:06.390 --> 00:15:08.160 Respiratory polygraphy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:15:08.160 --> 00:15:09.930 their patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.586$ they just didn't have another choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.782$ And so they had children with a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:13.782 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.846$ of different comorbidities and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}15{:}15.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.994$ also measured children who are on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:17.994 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.594$ positive airway pressure therapy

00:15:19.594 --> 00:15:22.042 or ventilator therapy in whom they

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}15{:}22.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.784$ were measuring effectiveness of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:23.784 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.381$ therapy using either oximetry or CO2

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:26.381 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.967$ monitoring and very real world results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.413$ About half the time they consider the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:31.413 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.840$ home sleep apnea test to be successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.245$ when it was a diagnostic test autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}15{:}36.245 \to 00{:}15{:}38.370$ Predicted a lower success rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.498$ so only 29% as did age under 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.300$ And if you look at the table here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.060$ you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:15:43.060 --> 00:15:45.092 So if you look at ASD, ADHD children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}15{:}45.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.507$ you get the median age of seven with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:48.507 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.803$ an interquartile range of of 4 to 16.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.136$ But they have a failure rate

 $00:15:52.136 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.640$ of 71% if you read it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.552$ That's all the way on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:54.552 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.160$ left in that column.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.879$ If you read all the way over on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:15:56.879 --> 00:15:58.700 right hand side of possible sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:15:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.820$ failure rate is 25% with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.690$ average aid immediate, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.550$ median age of about five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}16{:}05.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.220$ and what's really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.264$ So failure rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:08.264 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.352$ Power and in these less complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:10.352 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.279$ children who are getting evaluated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:12.279 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.795$ for obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}16{:}13.800 \to 00{:}16{:}15.564$ But what was really interesting to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:16:15.564 --> 00:16:17.637 is that from a parental point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:16:17.640 --> 00:16:20.100 it's the parents who have

 $00:16:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.576$ children with developmental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:16:21.580 --> 00:16:21.945 neuro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:21.945 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.405$ behavioral or developmental disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:23.405 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.583$ who had a great preference for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:25.583 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.341$ the home based study compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:27.341 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.617$ parents of typically developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:16:28.617 --> 00:16:30.645 children who were referred for OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}16{:}30.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.010$ They had a greater preference

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.098$ for the INLAB study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.176$ So it's kind of a inverse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.493$ inverse relationship with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:37.493 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.806$ success rates actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.282$ And here's another study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:41.282 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.800$ you know looking at feasibility and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.270$ this one this is 40 children aged 2 to 10.

00:16:46.270 --> 00:16:48.188 I want to say the average age

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}16{:}48.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.353$ was five and these are children

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:50.353 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.049$ referred from general practitioners

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:16:52.049 --> 00:16:54.309 or ENT was suspected OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:16:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.145$ And what I love about this study is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}16{:}57.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59.610$ authors presented their data in phases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

00:16:59.610 --> 00:17:01.746 kind of six months chunks of time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:01.746 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.327$ what happened is very real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}17{:}03.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.854$ What happened is they weren't getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:04.854 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.350$ very good results from their home

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}17{:}06.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.646$ based test and so they started

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:07.646 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.787$ to deploy additional information

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:08.787 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.780$ out to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.537$ And families on what they could do

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:11.537 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.577$ to help make the studies of success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00{:}17{:}13.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.910$ And I have some images there on

 $00:17:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.257$ the right hand side of the screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:16.257 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.172$ to kind of show that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:17.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.040$ And in fact it helped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87782276

 $00:17:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.270$ So that by the end of the study with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:21.329 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.789$ pragmatic instructions on how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:22.789 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.843$ tape things and how to put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:24.843 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.439$ how to secure the nasal cannula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.855$ they were getting about a 2/3 success

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:28.855 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.419$ rate with with their home based test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:17:31.420 --> 00:17:34.402 They did survey parents and 94% felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:34.402 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.859$ that the home sleep apnea test was

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:36.859 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.186$ either easy or medium hard to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00{:}17{:}39.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.417$ But once again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00{:}17{:}40.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.280$ Air flow and asymmetry were the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:43.364 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.826$ obstacles to obtaining a quality recordings.

 $00:17:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.310$ So what about if, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.710$ just sending out a slip of paper or

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.249$ some instructions is not adequate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:17:52.249 --> 00:17:53.814 What about a hybrid model?

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.118$ There's one study that was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:17:56.118 --> 00:17:58.810 published this summer in July on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:17:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.645$ exact sort of a model from Australia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:18:01.650 --> 00:18:04.800 This was again retrospective analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.865$ 230 children the age was 5 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:06.865 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.908$ 18 with the mean age of 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.286$ And this is interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:10.286 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.006$ about 1/4 of those children

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:18:12.006 --> 00:18:13.590 did have comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:18:13.590 --> 00:18:14.630 although importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:18:14.630 --> 00:18:16.190 neuromuscular disease again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.404$ Was excluded from this from this

 $00:18:18.404 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.487$ group and these children had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:20.487 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.384$ level two study and they had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:22.384 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.444$ nurse perform setup either at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:24.444 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.442$ clinic and then the child would

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:18:26.442 --> 00:18:28.134 drive home with their family or

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:28.134 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.218$ they had a mobile van come out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:30.218 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.278$ the home and do a setup at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714 00:18:32.280 --> 00:18:32.878 Either way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:32.878 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.373$ there was a telehealth consultation

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:34.373 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.327$ with the sleep nurse just prior to

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:36.327 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.833$ bedtime so that the parent could

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:37.882 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.702$ go through a checklist of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:39.702 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.573$ technical aspects of the portable PSG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:41.573 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.739$ Parents were encouraged to spend the

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:18:43.739 --> 00:18:46.207 night in the same room with their child.

00:18:46.210 --> 00:18:49.493 And and what these authors found was

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:49.493 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.190$ technically successful studies 90%

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.458$ of the time and six or more hours of

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:53.458 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.050$ sleep about 90% of the time as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:18:55.050 --> 00:18:57.378 And I have here a total sleep time

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:18:57.378 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.939$ from that report you can see on the Y

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}216$ axis and mean sleep time was almost 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:02.216 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.080$ hours and the median was over 8 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.733$ So that that's that's quite a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:19:06.733 --> 00:19:08.846 of opportunity to collect quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00{:}19{:}08.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.522$ data and I think overall this

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:11.522 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.755$ indicates that with ingenuity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:13.755 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.240$ with some hands on support perhaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:19:16.240 --> 00:19:17.800 Unbiased testing is possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.080$ and parental reports also sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00{:}19{:}20.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.855$ of corroborated that this was an

 $00{:}19{:}22.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.695$ acceptable and even convenient

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:24.695 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.205$ way to to get testing done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.878$ So I'm going to leave feasibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:19:29.878 --> 00:19:31.897 aside and talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:31.897 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.196$ accuracy and whether or not we can how

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:34.196 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.236$ do we even think about the results of

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:36.236 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.420$ home based testing and in children?

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00{:}19{:}39.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.165$ So this is a study that looked at like

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:19:42.165 --> 00:19:44.725 like if we had to back calculate what

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:19:44.725 --> 00:19:47.115 a home test result or respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:19:47.115 --> 00:19:49.420 polygraphy test would be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.380$ what would that look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:19:50.380 --> 00:19:50.728 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:50.728 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.816$ so in this study the researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:52.816 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.280$ took PSG based data,

 $00:19:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.182$ they removed all the data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:56.182 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.674$ wouldn't be available on respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:19:57.674 --> 00:19:59.276 polygraphy and then they took a

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:19:59.276 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.302$ look at how would that change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:20:01.302 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.714$ results of the of the analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:20:03.720 --> 00:20:07.208 Children who are aged 2 to 16 clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00{:}20{:}07.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.650$ referred for OSA were included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:20:09.650 --> 00:20:11.855 And oops sorry about that and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

 $00:20:11.855 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.791$ and what they found is that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.880836994285714

00:20:14.791 --> 00:20:16.706 a rest respiratory polygraphy had

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:16.773 --> 00:20:19.448 a sensitivity of of 82.5% and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:19.448 --> 00:20:22.700 specificity of 90% if you used a cutoff

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}20{:}22.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.410$ of a PSG drive HI of one or greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:20:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.612$ Now this is important because what

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:28.612 --> 00:20:31.462 I want to what I want to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:20:31.462 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.358$ review based on the reports that

 $00:20:33.358 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.478$ we have in the literature is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:20:35.478 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.259$ the HI cut off to use on the home

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}20{:}38.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.197$ based device is not always clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:20:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.038$ This was a study published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:43.040 --> 00:20:45.410 2017 comparing home sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:45.410 --> 00:20:47.306 testing versus laboratory based

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:47.306 --> 00:20:49.360 polysomnography for the diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}20{:}49.360 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.260$ obstructive sleep apnea in children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:51.260 --> 00:20:53.164 And one of the things I like about

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:53.164 --> 00:20:55.098 this test is that they are they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:20:55.100 --> 00:20:57.252 This study is that they included

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:20:57.252 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.268$ children all the way down to age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:20:59.268 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.156$ two and then all the way up to 17.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}21{:}01.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03.560$ Very typical for studies of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:21:03.560 --> 00:21:06.200 nature is a very small #33 children

00:21:06.200 --> 00:21:08.360 are reported upon and they did a three

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:08.413 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.525$ night pilot and the type of testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334 00:21:10.530 --> 00:21:10.831 Equipment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:10.831 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.637$ They used risen blood of gold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.448$ So the first night that got in lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:21:15.448 --> 00:21:17.196 polysomnography and then they did

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:21:17.196 --> 00:21:19.146 two nights of home based testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:19.146 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.297$ and what they found number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.476$ So a couple of interesting findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.346$ Number one that the home sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:24.346 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.531$ apnea test were 2/3 of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:21:26.531 --> 00:21:27.839 were successful recordings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.780$ And the second thing is that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.379$ this particular study and for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:33.379 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.479$ particular equipment you needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:21:35.479 --> 00:21:38.437 use an HMI cutoff on the home base

 $00:21:38.437 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.788$ test of 0.75 to be able to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:40.788 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.759$ the diagnosis of sleep apnea made by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.802$ CSG and that improved a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:45.802 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.830$ bit in older children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:21:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.942$ So it was a the performance of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:21:49.942 --> 00:21:51.717 particular of that cut off did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:21:51.717 --> 00:21:53.450 work as well in younger children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:21:53.450 --> 00:21:55.564 And so up here these Roc curves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}21{:}55.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59.242$ it's the one on the right that is the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}21{:}59.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.490$ that describes children aged 6 or older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.859$ And you can see there that if you use

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:22:05.859 --> 00:22:09.494 a home based AH set HDI of 0.75 you

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}22{:}09.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.920$ get you have a very sensitive test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.720$ And your and your Type 1 error is is .4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.330$ Well what's really interesting is

 $00:22:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.860$ that if you look at other studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}22{:}21.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.004$ they do not suggest that you use a

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:24.004 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.879$ lower threshold on your home based test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.600$ They you might need to use a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.977$ threshold on your home base test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.060$ So this was a study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:22:32.060 --> 00:22:35.469 Of 35 children again typical small study

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:22:35.469 --> 00:22:38.648 using apnea link mean age was older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.841$ it was 11 and sleep to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:40.841 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.570$ of breathing was diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.908$ And just about half of of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:44.908 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.072$ children they did do manually manual

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00{:}22{:}47.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.244$ scoring of the apnea link data

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:22:49.244 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.232$ and determined that actually an

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:22:51.232 --> 00:22:53.921 apnea link HI of greater than five

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:22:53.921 --> 00:22:56.524 events had a sensitivity in 94% of

 $00:22:56.524 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.431$ specificity of 61% to detect any

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:22:59.431 --> 00:23:02.166 sleep disorder breathing on PSG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:23:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.142$ So another words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:23:03.142 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.410$ the home based test generated a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:23:05.468 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.547$ HDI than the in lab test and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:23:07.547 --> 00:23:10.108 see this here on the bland Altman that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

00:23:10.108 --> 00:23:14.468 there's there's quite a lot of biasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804464615833334

 $00:23:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.140$ Above to have a higher a higher HIV test at

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:23:17.209 --> 00:23:19.020 home. And and how much higher?

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.349$ About about four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:20.349 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.007$ So an HI of about four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.200$ So what gets even more difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.002$ about this area is it seems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:28.002 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.107$ performance of home based testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.420$ relative to a set threshold could vary

 $00:23:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.742$ depending on the age of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:34.742 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.650$ and also depending on the severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:23:36.710 --> 00:23:38.630 of the sleep disorder breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.863$ So here's the study also looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:23:40.863 --> 00:23:42.617 apnea link compared to polysomnography

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:42.617 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.447$ in both children and adolescents

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:44.447 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.056$ and you can see there's so little

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:47.056 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.508$ bit bigger study population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.580$ 60 children and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.960$ here some of their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}23{:}51.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.350$ Subject Characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.825$ these children tended to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:23:56.830 --> 00:23:58.540 But actually they broke it down

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:23:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.443$ into younger children up to 10 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:00.443 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.081$ of age and then pre adolescent and

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.856$ adolescent children and they they also

 $00:24:03.856 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.448$ had a few greater than 18 year olds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:06.448 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.035$ 20% of these kids were obese

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:08.035 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.260$ and they have pretty substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:09.312 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.389$ sleep disorder breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}24{:}10.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.613$ So the mean HI and PSG was 11 but

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:12.613 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.656$ with the with very big confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:14.656 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.064$ interval and on the home sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:17.064 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.524$ testing it was it was 10.3 and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:19.524 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.680$ they found in this study is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:24:21.753 --> 00:24:24.337 they needed to use an apnea link HI

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:24.337 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.959$ threshold if they wanted to diagnose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.724$ Any sleep apnea is defined by

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}24{:}28.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.992$ one an HIV one or higher on PSG

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:30.992 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.570$ of 3.5 on the apnea link.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.474$ But if they wanted to go for a

 $00:24:35.474 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.916$ moderate to severe pediatric sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}24{:}36.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38.858$ apnea if if we although we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:38.858 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.088$ have perfect consensus about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.202$ if we use a cutoff of greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:42.202 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.100$ five events per hour on the 8 the

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:24:44.100 --> 00:24:45.915 PSG HI that then they could use

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:45.915 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.825$ an apnea link threshold of 5.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:47.825 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.000$ And what's interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}24{:}50.000 \longrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.476$ the authors pointed out that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:52.476 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.793$ you just look at the children who

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:24:54.793 --> 00:24:56.677 are aged under 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:24:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.100$ the performance in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:24:58.100 --> 00:25:00.055 Such as sensitivity and specificity

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:00.055 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.010$ of using up those thresholds

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:02.079 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.669$ is a little bit different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}25{:}03.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.990$ and arguably not quite as good.

00:25:07.990 --> 00:25:09.830 Especially in terms of specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.405$ So this is the sort of thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:11.405 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.710$ where like you may decide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.376$ well you know this test might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:14.376 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.034$ able to rule in somewhere that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:25:16.034 --> 00:25:17.810 I have prior suspicion of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:25:17.810 --> 00:25:19.170 sleep disorder breathing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}25{:}19.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.501$ but it might not be so successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:21.501 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.490$ it it it ruling them out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}25{:}24.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.576$ Now as I think about it from

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:25:26.576 --> 00:25:27.329 a clinical perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.325$ sort of the group of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}25{:}29.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.676$ I might like to approach 1st and

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:31.676 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.466$ thinking about home based testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:33.466 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.606$ might be #1 post pubertal adolescent

00:25:35.606 --> 00:25:38.290 and #2A child who's overweight or obese.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}25{:}38.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.383$ So I wanted to point out this

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:40.383 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.469$ particular study also from last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:42.469 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.344$ looking at portable sleep monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:44.344 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.568$ to diagnosis obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:46.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.030$ This study did use apnea link.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:25:49.030 --> 00:25:51.361 They did the apnea link one night

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:51.361 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.643$ alongside probably sonography in

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:52.643 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.197$ the lab and then a second night

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:25:54.197 --> 00:25:55.969 at home which was the HST night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

00:25:55.970 --> 00:25:57.790 And what you find is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.809$ know kind of like what I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:25:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.255$ arguing based on the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:26:01.255 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.700$ is that there's over diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00:26:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.380$ there's overestimate and underestimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.740502265454545

 $00{:}26{:}04.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.900$ of home based testing compared to

 $00:26:06.966 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.910$ PSG, which makes this a really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.142$ Really a little bit more nuanced to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:26:11.142 --> 00:26:13.517 able to adopt into clinical thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.424$ But what these authors pointed out is

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:15.424 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.204$ that overall in this particular group

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:17.204 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.325$ there was a high degree of diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:19.379 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.475$ agreement so as as if what you wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}26{:}21.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.520$ to understand was whether or not your

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}26{:}23.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.941$ child you know yes no binary just

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}26{:}25.941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.419$ does the does your adolescent have

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:28.419 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.565$ sleep disorder breathing home based

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:30.565 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.185$ testing might be might be appropriately

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}26{:}33.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.915$ appropriately used to answer that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.376$ But overall again that portable monitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.310$ They tend to underestimate PSG

 $00:26:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.011$ in terms of HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:26:43.011 --> 00:26:46.798 Well, what about watch Pat I again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.024$ I'm I'm not sure what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:48.024 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.840$ guys are using there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.622$ but in our neck of the woods we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:26:50.622 --> 00:26:52.115 just a huge increase in watchpad

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:26:52.115 --> 00:26:53.778 over the course of the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:53.778 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.638$ because of the disposability option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}26{:}55.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.920$ And so I wanted to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:26:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.024$ there are two studies in Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}27{:}00.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02.214$ looking at this and one from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.918$ 2018, actually both of them are from 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:04.918 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.708$ And then very interestingly just

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:27:07.708 --> 00:27:11.080 last month there's a a review of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.740$ peripheral arterial tonometry devices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.230$ a systematic review across the boards

 $00:27:15.294 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.989$ for both Pediatrics and adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.468$ There are only 74 pediatric patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:19.468 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.201$ that that the systemic review

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:27:22.201 --> 00:27:25.219 reported upon they call the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}27{:}25.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.440$ excellent based on these two studies again

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.678$ mainly adolescents and I would point out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:31.678 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.521$ That these issues of what's the correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:35.521 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.106$ threshold to use are not fully resolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:27:38.106 --> 00:27:41.314 So you know I think that's like a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:41.314 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.277$ bit of a positive perspective to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:44.277 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.965$ that results for watchpad are excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}27{:}46.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.238$ But I do think that there could be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}27{:}49.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.593$ role and some utility depending on as

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:27:51.593 --> 00:27:54.118 long as you're framing the the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:54.118 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.634$ question correctly and you know the I

 $00:27:56.634 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.290$ think the the one of the two studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:27:59.369 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.588$ from from 2018 pointed out that an HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273 00:28:02.590 --> 00:28:03.502 On the PAT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:28:03.502 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.582$ if you use a cutoff of 3.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00:28:05.582 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.346$ events an hour on the PAT device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

00:28:07.350 --> 00:28:10.582 that can provide a about 77\% sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.805218232727273

 $00{:}28{:}10.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.558$ and 78% specificity compared to PSG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:16.720 --> 00:28:18.544 Leaving that topic behind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:28:18.544 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.280$ just a few words on reproducibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:21.280 --> 00:28:24.336 So this was a study that evaluated night

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:24.336 --> 00:28:26.498 tonight variability of the Type 3 device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:26.500 --> 00:28:28.978 That's the Knox T3 HI again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:28.980 --> 00:28:31.116 small study, 30 children in adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:28:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.228$ median age is a little bit older, 14.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:33.228 --> 00:28:39.532 And you know, so a couple of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:39.532 --> 00:28:41.795 things #137% of participants had an

00:28:41.795 --> 00:28:43.570 HIV difference of greater than two

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00{:}28{:}43.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.320$ events per greater than or equal to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:28:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.476$ Two events per hour between the nights

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:28:47.476 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.953$ it what 1 interesting finding is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:49.953 --> 00:28:52.617 if you were going after trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:28:52.617 --> 00:28:54.807 identify cases of moderate to severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:28:54.807 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.038$ sleep apnea these were rarely missed but

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:28:58.038 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.465$ 20% of patients did change diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:00.465 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.075$ category between the nights and 50% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:03.075 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.450$ patients change severity care category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.368$ So diagnostic category would be like yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.554$ no and then you know half the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00{:}29{:}09.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.549$ are shifting between severity category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:11.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.846$ So again it speaks to sort of limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:13.846 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.117$ of home based testing to be able to.

 $00:29:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.184$ To get to that level of details to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:18.184 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.642$ be able to say I'm confident that

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00{:}29{:}20.642 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.517$ you have moderate obstructive sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:29:22.585 --> 00:29:24.945 apnea again in children if if we say

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:29:24.945 --> 00:29:27.196 we're going to define that as an HI

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:27.196 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.090$ between 5:00 and 10:00 for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00{:}29{:}29.090 --> 00{:}29{:}29.400 \ \mathrm{Well},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:29:29.400 --> 00:29:32.190 let's take a step back and ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00{:}29{:}32.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.670$ are there any event are are there

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:29:35.670 --> 00:29:37.763 a sort of types of testing equipment

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:37.763 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.439$ that are approved for children?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.130$ There are some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:29:40.130 --> 00:29:42.870 This is not meant to be a comprehensive list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

00:29:42.870 --> 00:29:45.486 but I will point out that Knox T3

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.059$ does have approval from 2009 and ages

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:48.059 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.159$ 2 plus the watch PAT got approval on

 $00:29:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.888$ 2016 for age 12 plus they also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:53.888 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.960$ a weight limitation of a 29.5 kilos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.260$ So no or greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:29:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.144$ And then some to screen plus does

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:30:02.144 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.370$ have did in 2020 have an expansion to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:30:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.799$ ages 2 plus and they have a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:30:08.799 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.477$ of different kind of configurations

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:30:10.477 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.008$ and one of those is a home suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.838437093

 $00:30:13.008 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.669$ configuration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:15.730 \dashrightarrow 00:30:18.768$ So lots of unknowns in this space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.706$ We we don't and I think these unknowns

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:30:21.706 --> 00:30:23.870 limit sort of widespread use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.210$ at least in my mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:30:25.210 --> 00:30:27.426 One is that we really don't know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:27.426 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.369$ minimum age for utility and safety.

 $00:30:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.270$ We don't have, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.384$ clear protocols and what do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.805$ We mentioned that oximetry and air flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:36.805 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.809$ are the two most common missing signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.288$ Do you repeat, do you advance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:30:42.290 --> 00:30:44.124 What are the best pathways for parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:44.124 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.359$ training and involvement in sensor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.140$ Basement, what are the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:30:47.140 --> 00:30:49.691 pathways in terms of in person versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:49.691 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.791$ remote support leading up to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}30{:}51.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.148$ test and and even during the test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.187$ what are the best indications for testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:30:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.972$ What's the base subgroup of pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:30:57.972 --> 00:31:00.368 patients for whom you might think about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.882$ What are the optimal cut offs for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:02.882 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.967$ home based HIV and very importantly

 $00:31:04.967 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.626$ what are the device brand or equipment

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:07.626 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.666$ differences that might exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.950$ This area is really just kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.134$ of like don't ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:13.134 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.406$ don't tell right now in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:31:14.406 --> 00:31:15.629 literature I think it's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.062$ Important to understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:17.062 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.494$ and understand the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:31:18.494 --> 00:31:19.968 characteristics of of your device

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:19.968 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.606$ and then finally how do you handle

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:21.658 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.378$ false positives and false negatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.468$ So you know it's clear that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}31{:}26.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.750$ both and so really thinking through

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}31{:}28.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.640$ an overall framework and algorithm

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:30.705 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.753$ in order to be able to navigate a

00:31:32.753 --> 00:31:34.782 variety of scenarios is is quite an

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:31:34.782 --> 00:31:36.654 important thing and I I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:36.654 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.103$ it's a field we haven't done that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:38.103 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.560$ it certainly at the center level

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.564$ some of that work can be engaged in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:41.564 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.836$ and then I wanted to point out this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:43.836 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.019$ study this was published in 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:31:46.019 --> 00:31:48.364 Some methods paper about pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:48.364 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.240$ home respiratory polygraphy study

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:50.304 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.109$ for the diagnosis of obstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}31{:}52.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.988$ sleep apnea and the main goal of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:54.988 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.004$ study is to establish the diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:57.004 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.699$ and the rapeutic decision validity

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:31:58.699 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.511$ of simplified home respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:32:00.511 --> 00:32:02.408 polygraphy approach compared to PSG

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:02.408 \dashrightarrow 00:32:03.860$ among children at risk for OSA.

 $00:32:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.905$ This is exactly the question at hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:32:06.910 --> 00:32:08.772 And then secondary outcome is to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:08.772 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.881$ at the cost effectiveness of home

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:32:10.881 --> 00:32:12.971 respiratory polygraphy versus in lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:12.971 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.057$ cost effectiveness for outcome like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}32{:}15.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.271$ One of the things that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:16.271 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.790$ is really special.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.738$ About this particular methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}32{:}18.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.686$ methodological approach is focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:20.686 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.824$ on the outcome for the patient rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:23.824 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.354$ than looking at HIV equivalents which

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:26.354 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.895$ at best might be a leading indicator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}32{:}28.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.678$ really looking at what did it mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:30.678 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.808$ in terms of clinical outcome for

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:32:32.808 --> 00:32:34.548 that particular pediatric patient.

 $00:32:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.104$ And so I think that I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}32{:}38.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.312$ is the appropriate way to approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:40.312 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.070$ these sorts of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.853$ And the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:42.853 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.419$ the other things I like about

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:32:44.419 --> 00:32:45.640 this particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.056$ number one is the age range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.164$ 2 to 14 and then finally the sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}32{:}50.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.236$ size estimates are are quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}32{:}52.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}54.476$ ambitious at 320 children and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:54.476 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.698$ think I think that would be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.940$ I wanted to point out that while

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00:32:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.183$ we struggle with home sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}33{:}01.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.208$ testing and Pediatrics you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

00:33:03.208 --> 00:33:04.946 technology is zipping ahead and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864747365714286

 $00{:}33{:}04.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.955$ I so I wanted to point out

 $00:33:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.544$ a couple of of papers in this space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

00:33:09.550 --> 00:33:12.035 This is a paper looking at cloud

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:12.035 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.604$ algorithm driven oximetry based

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:13.604 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.799$ diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.900$ This is using a smartphone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

00:33:17.900 --> 00:33:20.032 A Bluetooth smart tone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:20.032 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.697$ a smartphone oximeter in habitually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}33{:}22.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.538$ snoring children again ages 2 to 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}33{:}25.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.588$ but the mean was six years of age

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}33{:}27.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.874$ and it's a big sample of 432 children

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:29.874 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.256$ and what they found using this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:32.256 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.439$ this Bluetooth based oximeters and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}33{:}34.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.064$ accuracy at all estimated HIS of 79%

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:37.064 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.080$ and a false negative rate for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:39.148 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.558$ diagnosis of sleep apnea 4.7% which.

00:33:41.558 --> 00:33:44.072 You know, gives one pause considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}33{:}44.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.840$ the types of performance data that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.899$ saw for home sleep apnea testing overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

00:33:49.900 --> 00:33:52.282 And then there's this study looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:52.282 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.934$ at a software generated HI derived

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:54.934 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.260$ from Photoplethysmography signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.414$ So this this utilizes cardio pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:33:58.414 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.519$ coupling analysis from the PPG signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.394$ And and these researchers use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:03.394 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.706$ chat database which includes 1244

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:05.706 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.478$ habitually snoring children aged 5 to 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.184$ And they were able to calculate HI with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

00:34:11.184 --> 00:34:13.824 91 and 98% agreement of HI classes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}34{:}13.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.320$ So they class of less on one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.127$ one to five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:17.127 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.010$ five to 10 and greater than and

 $00:34:19.072 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.050$ greater than 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}34{:}20.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.724$ So again I think being open minded

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:22.724 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.130$ to advancement of technologies is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.635$ is something we have to keep our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:26.635 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.290$ finger on the pulse of this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.250$ that's no pun intended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.519$ This next study is around Pulse transit

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

00:34:32.519 --> 00:34:36.088 time which again if you add pulse

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:36.088 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.683$ transit time to respiratory polygraphy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}34{:}38.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40.765$ can that add anything overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:40.765 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.425$ to the device performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.614$ the home based test device performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}34{:}44.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.678$ and for the diagnosis of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00{:}34{:}46.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.568$ apnea and you can see here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.316$ that generally speaking.

 $00:34:50.316 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.226$ The respiratory polygraphy and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

00:34:53.226 --> 00:34:55.471 polysomnography results are fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:55.471 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.976$ similar with no significant difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85843010375

 $00:34:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.950$ although close with the total hypopneas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.174$ So leaving diagnostic testing I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:35:06.174 --> 00:35:09.088 to very briefly touch on empiric APAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:09.088 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.426$ This talk is not really devoted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00{:}35{:}11.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14.039$ that but it I think it's I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:35:14.039 --> 00:35:16.549 relevant it was brought up again and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:16.549 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.439$ and the considerations article from

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:35:18.440 --> 00:35:20.296 last December and I so I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:20.296 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.079$ point out a couple of papers here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.739$ This is a paper looking at auto

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:23.739 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.445$ titrating CPAP for the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:25.445 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.015$ obstructive sleep apnea in children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.598$ What's interesting about this I use

00:35:28.598 --> 00:35:30.236 this sometime in my own practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:30.236 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.850$ I typically use it in typically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:35:31.850 --> 00:35:32.530 Developing children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.570$ But what was interesting about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.539$ report is that these children did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.179$ they did have a pretty broad spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:35:39.179 --> 00:35:40.828 of pediatric patients including

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:40.828 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.620$ some with cerebral palsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:35:42.620 --> 00:35:43.732 musculoskeletal problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:35:43.732 --> 00:35:45.400 other neurological problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.216$ chromosomal abnormalities and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00{:}35{:}47.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.940$ children were a little bit older

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00{:}35{:}50.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.384$ at 13 years and but what they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:52.384 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.699$ is that the compared to titration

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:35:54.699 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.764$ based pressures that using the

 $00:35:56.764 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.492$ P90 these were Phillips devices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00{:}35{:}58.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.809$ You can see over there on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:00.809 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.929$ left using the P90.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.793$ It was a pre performed pretty well

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:04.793 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.716$ in this group of older children

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:07.716 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.135$ or adolescent age children in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:10.135 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.960$ terms of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.518$ And then I wanted to point out this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.130$ This was published by my

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.096$ colleagues at Stanford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00{:}36{:}16.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.200$ Carolina Corey and and Marian Tablazo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.588$ It's a smaller study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:19.588 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.226$ They looked at 19 children and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:22.226 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.661$ these these children had fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:24.661 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.609$ pronounced sleep disorder breathing

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:36:26.679 --> 00:36:29.640 with a PSGOHI of 12.3 per hour.

 $00:36:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.064$ But what you could see is the titrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00{:}36{:}33.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.590$ Pap pressure was fairly similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00{:}36{:}35.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}38.662$ to the pressures reported on an

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

00:36:38.662 --> 00:36:40.890 auto titrating CPAP with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00{:}36{:}40.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.570$ with with some differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864163130909091

 $00:36:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.430$ relatively small amount of differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:36:47.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.959$ I'm going to take a breath there

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:36:48.959 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.388$ and I'm going to switch again

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}36{:}50.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.355$ and I want to talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:36:52.355 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.799$ about actigraphy and wearables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:36:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.529$ Again, this kind of falls into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:36:55.529 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.200$ space of home based assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:36:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.026$ Well, why would I even need to go there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:00.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.150$ One reason is that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.670$ Actigraphy is recommended in the

 $00:37:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.190$ evaluation of certain sleep disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}37{:}07.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.130$ It's suggested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:37:09.130 --> 00:37:12.625 So it actually the Academy and its

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:12.625 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.869$ practice guide clinical practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:13.869 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.538$ guidelines suggest the use of actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:15.538 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.290$ for the assessment of pediatric insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.320$ Disorder and circadian rhythm disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:37:20.320 --> 00:37:23.632 And in this I actually particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}37{:}23.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.654$ like this paper because it explicitly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:37:26.654 --> 00:37:29.096 calls out that actigraphy has pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}37{:}29.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.474$ wide mean differences with sleep logs

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:37:31.474 --> 00:37:34.599 and with PSG for wake after sleep onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.800$ for total sleep time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.000$ for sleep onset latency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.458$ and there's very few studies in Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:37:40.460 --> 00:37:41.012 Nonetheless,

 $00:37:41.012 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.772$ the paper does promulgate clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}37{:}43.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.980$ significance thresholds for maximum

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:46.047 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.035$ allowable differences in the 95th percentile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.956$ Confidence intervals between Echography

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:50.956 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.830$ versus PSG for total sleep time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.424$ sleep onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:54.424 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.503$ latency and and wake after sleep onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:37:56.503 \dashrightarrow 00:37:58.536$ and you can see there's fairly big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:37:58.540 --> 00:38:00.360 You know, the windows are fairly wide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.544$ It's 50 minutes or 40 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:02.544 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.000$ depending on the metric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856 00:38:04.000 --> 00:38:04.284 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}38{:}04.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.556$ there are a number of papers that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:06.556 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.130$ come out in recent years looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:09.130 \dashrightarrow 00:38:10.811$ consumer consumer sleep wearables you

00:38:10.811 --> 00:38:11.957 know which I think is fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}38{:}11.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.496$ I get questions all the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:13.496 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.249$ on this from from my patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:38:15.250 --> 00:38:17.236 Maybe that's because I'm Silicon Valley,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:38:17.240 --> 00:38:19.256 I'm not sure you guys can tell me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.036$ And so just a couple words of caution

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:22.036 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.219$ risk Warren consumer devices are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}38{:}24.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26.428$ you know largely not validated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}38{:}26.428 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}38{:}27.900$ patient or clinical populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:27.962 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.104$ are generally validated at all or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:30.104 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.100$ performance tested in healthy sleepers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.684$ The training data sets for sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:33.684 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.740$ disorders typically do not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:38:34.740 --> 00:38:35.284 Include children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:35.284 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.188$ these are not cleared by the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}38{:}37.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38.715$ these devices and they can't

 $00:38:38.715 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.630$ be manually scored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.798$ They use the black box although

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:38:42.798 --> 00:38:44.910 almost algorithm almost universally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:38:44.910 --> 00:38:46.980 And I will point out that a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.620$ of data that we have does definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.188$ demonstrate that proportional biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:51.253 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.325$ exist and these can vary by disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.443$ So I'll show you a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:54.443 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.150$ of data about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:38:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.522$ Nonetheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:38:55.522 --> 00:38:57.754 they are very widespread among my

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:38:57.754 --> 00:38:59.820 patients and in the market generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00{:}38{:}59.820 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}39{:}02.268$ and they do have a couple of advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:02.268 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.184$ like a tiger fee they can they can measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.080$ Possible nights over different circumstances.

 $00:39:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.826$ And they may have certain advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:39:08.826 --> 00:39:10.530 over sea flags in children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.615$ especially in adolescents who may

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:39:12.615 --> 00:39:14.980 have recall bias or missing us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:39:14.980 --> 00:39:15.534 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:15.534 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.380$ as a parent of two teens and a preteen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.534$ trying to encourage them to fill

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:20.534 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.019$ out a sleep log every morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.360$ could be a little challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:39:24.360 --> 00:39:25.524 So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:25.524 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.852$ different different elements of of value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.630$ And I constructed this earlier in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.559$ the year based on studies looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:31.559 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.269$ at these consumer wearables that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:33.269 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.338$ did have a ground source of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:39:35.340 --> 00:39:36.584 Truth that included hymnography

 $00:39:36.584 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.722$ and that's not the only way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:39:38.722 --> 00:39:40.410 do it but
 but I think that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

00:39:40.410 --> 00:39:41.947 pretty important truth measure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83028299856

 $00:39:41.947 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.849$ so looking at these studies they

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:39:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.815$ all have certain trends in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:39:45.815 --> 00:39:47.880 common so and the devices that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:39:47.880 --> 00:39:49.550 were studied were Fitbit charge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:39:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.030$ the Ulta, the Ora Ring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:39:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.824$ the polar restore and wearable device

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:39:52.824 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.236$ and and most and then all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:39:55.236 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.964$ there was also actigraph or active

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:39:57.027 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.791$ watch some some measure of actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:39:58.791 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.146$ is a real world alternative measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:01.146 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.086$ And all of these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.748$ the wearable devices tend to underestimate

 $00:40:06.748 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.545$ turtle sleep time and overestimate wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:09.545 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.407$ after sleep onset where measure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:12.407 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.027$ sensitivity for sleep tends to be high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.074$ Again, these are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:16.074 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.118$ to be typical sleepers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.944$ so that's not surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:40:18.944 --> 00:40:21.224 And the specificity for sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.273$ in other words wake detection is is quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:23.273 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.349$ bit lower and you can see that over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.650$ So sensitivities for sleep tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.762$ to be right around 90% plus minus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:28.762 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.792$ sometimes a little bit lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:30.792 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.010$ for actigraphy like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:40:32.010 --> 00:40:34.845 And the peasant personen study and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:40:34.845 --> 00:40:36.448 specificity meaning wake detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:36.448 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.695$ tends to be quite a bit lower.

 $00:40:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.584$ So in the polar device from 31 to 98%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:42.584 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.132$ that's a really big range up to 88 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:40:46.132 --> 00:40:48.780 90% in in one of the Fitbit studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.508$ And all of those fall within the range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.918$ By the way if you look at the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.720$ let me go back, if you look at sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.756$ The underestimate overestimate bounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:40:57.756 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.301$ They're falling within the range

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:41:00.301 --> 00:41:02.489 that that generally speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.765$ that's that's been established by

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:04.765 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.585$ the academies clinical practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:06.585 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.020$ guideline for actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}41{:}08.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.532$ So my kind of my own conclusion from

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:10.532 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.201$ this is that consumer sleep trackers

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:41:13.201 --> 00:41:16.153 can perform pretty imperfectly for sure,

00:41:16.160 --> 00:41:17.904 but as about as well as actigraphy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}41{:}17.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.639$ sleep wake in children and adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.240$ This is not to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:41:21.240 --> 00:41:23.640 Please don't confuse that with staging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.656$ which I think is a different kettle of fish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.568$ I do think it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:41:27.568 --> 00:41:29.210 be aware of proportional bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.898$ which means that depending on like

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}41{:}31.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34.997$ if your measure is total sleep time

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:41:34.997 --> 00:41:37.435 or like actually in this study you

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}41{:}37.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.868$ can see this is true for staging

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:39.868 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.070$ if you're measure is light sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.710$ that the more the more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:41:45.710 --> 00:41:46.080 Sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.300$ you have measured in that category

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.775$ on your ground truth measure that

00:41:50.775 --> 00:41:52.925 the that your wearable detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}41{:}52.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.471$ changes based on how much is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:55.471 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.763$ present on that recording.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.242$ And so this is a big deal because

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:41:59.242 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.695$ it really limits our ability to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:01.695 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.249$ able to use wearables in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}42{:}04.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.964$ By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:04.964 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.392$ a trigger fee is not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:06.392 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.938$ better or worse than the consumer

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}42{:}07.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09.541$ we arables in this space and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}42{:}09.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11.016$ shown in this particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.615$ which again demonstrates this one

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}42{:}12.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13.891$ demonstrates proportional bias even

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:13.891 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.428$ with total sleep time and sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333 00:42:15.430 --> 00:42:15.817 Efficiency. 00:42:15.817 --> 00:42:16.978 Not just staging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:42:16.978 --> 00:42:20.066 but the the column on the left is

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:20.066 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.096$ that evaluation of where consumer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333 00:42:22.100 --> 00:42:22.384 wearable, NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:22.384 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.372$ Fitbit and the consumer and the column

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00{:}42{:}24.372 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.745$ on the right is looking at active graphs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.389$ You can see the biases are relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:29.389 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.978$ similar between the two types of devices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.035$ And this is just to remind us

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:35.035 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.100$ all that we have to be really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:42:37.100 --> 00:42:39.656 really cautious and how we approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

00:42:39.656 --> 00:42:41.360 consumer wearables because their

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:41.423 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.208$ training data sets or validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.864786568333333

 $00:42:43.208 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.993$ data sets do not include

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:42:45.057 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.059$ sleep disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:42:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.820$ This paper is an exception.

00:42:47.820 --> 00:42:50.268 This paper actually went after sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}42{:}50.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.519$ disorders in their validation data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:42:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.990$ Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:42:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.280$ most of the sleepers were adult sleepers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:42:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.440$ but they did include some children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:42:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.968$ The other thing to point out about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:42:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.674$ which I think is something to look for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}43{:}01.680 \rightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.510$ If you're interested in reviewing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:43:03.510 --> 00:43:05.233 literature is that Cohen's Kappa that

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:05.233 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.033$ defines and you can see that up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.931$ This Kappa value here which you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:09.931 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.370$ see it looks different than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}43{:}12.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.810$ accuracy measure and the Cohens Kappa

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}43{:}14.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.502$ describes a level of agreement for

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:43:16.502 --> 00:43:18.126 categorical data between 2 scores and

 $00:43:18.126 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.902$ so typically one would be like one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:19.951 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.871$ the wearable and the other is a ground

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}43{:}21.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.200$ truth measure like like like PSG but

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.602$ but the kappas generally more robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:43:26.602 --> 00:43:29.530 than accuracy because it includes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.780$ possibility of agreement by chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.330$ Which which which is important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.983$ generally speaking capus between .6 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}43{:}36.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.759$.8 indicates substantial agreement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:43:38.760 --> 00:43:40.180 Moderate agreement would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}43{:}40.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.700$.4 to .6 and .8 to one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.980$ It would be near perfect agreement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.690$ Then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:43:45.690 --> 00:43:48.175 I wanted to point out this paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.100$ This is just from this summer in July.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87464487318181800:43:51.100 --> 00:43:52.555 It is a.

 $00:43:52.555 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.495$ Review of sleep wearables

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:54.495 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.950$ and disease outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.775$ Now this is really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:43:57.775 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.600$ I mentioned before is wearables

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:43:59.663 --> 00:44:01.343 are they're everywhere and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:01.343 --> 00:44:03.482 course it doesn't take very long

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:03.482 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.882$ before they turn up and chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:05.882 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.082$ disease management evaluations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}44{:}07.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.372$ And so in the peer reviewed literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}44{:}09.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.990$ we see sort of consumer wearables as

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.650$ predictors of clinical disease outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.126$ And and much like when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}44{:}16.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.423$ talking about home sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:17.423 --> 00:44:19.007 testing where maybe it's not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:19.007 --> 00:44:20.549 about comparing 1 to one the HIV,

 $00:44:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.382$ maybe we need what we need to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:22.382 --> 00:44:23.768 is incorporate into our thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:23.770 --> 00:44:27.898 How how use of these devices impacts outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.587$ that's a little bit what this paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:29.587 --> 00:44:31.468 is about and what's really amazing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:31.470 --> 00:44:33.845 whether it's whether it's asthma

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:33.845 --> 00:44:36.220 or whether it's seizure disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:36.299 --> 00:44:38.639 or whether it's quality of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.746$ these wearables are being worn and

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:40.746 --> 00:44:42.780 in a third, if you can believe it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818 00:44:42.780 --> 00:44:43.456 this isn't. NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:43.456 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.146$ This is specifically for Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:45.146 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.850$ for children and adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.670$ A third of these reports include

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:49.670 --> 00:44:51.080 sleep based metrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:51.080 --> 00:44:52.350 which is pretty surprising based

 $00:44:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.870$ on that based on on the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}44{:}53.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}56.012$ Yeah, it's it's a fairly imperfect

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:44:56.012 --> 00:44:57.880 and exact assessment of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.470$ So the conclusion of these authors

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:44:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.232$ is that while Fitbit devices may

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:45:01.232 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.867$ be beneficial for those interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:45:02.867 --> 00:45:04.240 in improving physical health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:45:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.075$ discretion is advised for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:45:06.075 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.910$ seeking to collect accurate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}45{:}07.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.780$ or medically necessitated data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00{:}45{:}09.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.649$ And I think you know it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:45:11.649 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.356$ to have these sorts of assessments

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

 $00:45:13.356 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.681$ and as as the field evolves and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818

00:45:15.681 --> 00:45:17.953 finally before we open it up to questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874644873181818 00:45:17.960 --> 00:45:18.420 I just. NOTE Confidence: 0.83672325777778 $00:45:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.260$ I wanted to to take a second and sigh and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}45{:}23.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.025$ and talk a little bit about what's missing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:45:26.030 --> 00:45:28.154 You know, it's in the title

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:28.154 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.570$ home sleep apnea test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:45:29.570 --> 00:45:32.036 It's look, it's a very directed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.652$ very focused assessment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:33.652 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.070$ can be performed in the home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:45:36.070 --> 00:45:37.942 But actually with polysomnography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:37.942 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.750$ these tests are so rich and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:40.831 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.470$ there's so much data to be gained

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}45{:}43.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.574$ beyond simply an AHI metric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:45:45.574 --> 00:45:47.984 For me, I'm really interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:47.984 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.430$ in craniofacial development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.038$ And oral breathing is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:51.038 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.678$ important part of that and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}45{:}52.678 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}45{:}54.220$ measure or al breathing in the lab

 $00:45:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.288$ we ended in addition to the nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:56.288 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.783$ pressure transducer in the nose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:45:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.282$ we use an oral scoop and directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:00.282 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.357$ measure or al breathing and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:02.357 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.229$ calculate the percent of time spent

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:04.229 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.612$ in oral breathing across the night

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:46:06.612 --> 00:46:08.617 and that's that's an important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.556$ that's a value to us and we don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.372$ we're not able to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:12.372 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.000$ simply on the home test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:46:14.000 --> 00:46:16.240 And then again, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.753$ polysomnography allows us to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:18.753 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.049$ measure things like respiratory effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:21.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.355$ both inspiratory effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:22.355 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.660$ and expiratory effort.

00:46:23.660 --> 00:46:25.580 And if you spend the time to measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.177$ this and look at the signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:46:27.180 --> 00:46:29.556 they can tell you something about

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:46:29.556 --> 00:46:31.503 that patterns of breathing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:46:31.503 --> 00:46:34.842 about and about how sleep may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:34.842 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.870$ affected by breathing abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.606$ And then finally, and these are sea lions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.590$ I took this photo last fall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}46{:}41.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.648$ These are sea lions in Santa Cruz

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:43.648 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.938$ out at one of the public docks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}46{:}45.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47.340$ And I took one look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:46:47.340 --> 00:46:48.735 guys and thought, Oh my goodness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:48.735 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.325$ this looks like a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:46:50.330 --> 00:46:51.800 my patience when I'm watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.270$ the video on play sonography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778 00:46:53.270 --> 00:46:53.765 And in fact,

00:46:53.765 --> 00:46:55.489 I did go home and do a Google search

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:55.489 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.043$ to sea lions have sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.828$ I would encourage you to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.810$ especially if you're getting bored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:46:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.030$ You could do it now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.806$ but you know a picture can

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:02.806 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.990$ be worth 1000 words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:03.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.445$ I have had children who

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:05.445 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.900$ sleep with their neck and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.130$ Extension and they're back arched

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.930$ and it does make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.647$ It makes you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}47{:}10.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.320$ it makes you question whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}47{:}12.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.146$ this child might have reflux disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.033$ whether or not they might be positioning

 $00:47:16.033 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.672$ their airway in such a position in

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}47{:}17.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.769$ order to open it up to improve breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.862$ It's important to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:20.862 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.227$ that when we have pyrography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.628$ we look at all the signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.398$ not just the HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:26.398 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.280$ So in conclusion I think you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.175$ remote sleep assessment and Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:31.175 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.730$ depending on where you fall on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.789$ spectrum it can be the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}47{:}35.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.364$ It can be a source of wisdom of light

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:38.364 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.472$ of hope for how we can democratize

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}47{:}40.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.382$ sleep evaluation for children be

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:42.382 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.880$ able to be more patient focused and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.371$ at the and and on the other hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}47{:}47.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.321$ you might you might be thinking

 $00:47:49.321 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.524$ right now this is the work I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00{:}47{:}51.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.054$ completely incredulous and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:53.054 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.207$ this is this is darkness and despair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.806$ Think of everything that I've lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:47:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.616$ And small Chris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

00:47:58.616 --> 00:48:02.228 So now you you might have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:48:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.605$ you might have guessed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.836723257777778

 $00:48:03.605 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.155$ Janice introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:48:04.160 --> 00:48:06.000 I'm not a spring chicken,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.320$ so this is a band from the 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.496$ I do have a point here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:48:09.500 --> 00:48:10.358 If you know who it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.409$ please put it in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:12.409 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.623$ I'll think of some prize to

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:48:14.623 --> 00:48:17.297 send out to Janet to give you,

00:48:17.300 --> 00:48:20.240 if you know who it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.800$ The band is Diamond Rio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.717$ And this song is me in the middle there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.012$ They're indelible 1991 classic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:26.012 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.950$ I think that's what the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}48{:}28.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}29.697$ of Sleep Medicine will be doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.900$ When it comes to remote

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.620$ assessment and Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.084$ I think we've got to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:33.084 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.060$ somewhere in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.436$ This is William Mayo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:48:35.436 --> 00:48:38.439 who's who has a quote that I just love,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.078$ that the glory of medicine is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}48{:}40.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.071$ it's constantly moving forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:41.071 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.517$ and there's always more to learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:48:42.520 --> 00:48:44.014 And this is very much the way I feel,

 $00:48:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.200$ I feel about this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.860$ I think we've all learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}48{:}47.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}49.520$ a lot from the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.256$ And importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:50.256 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.728$ that necessity really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:51.728 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.200$ the mother of invention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.138$ We clearly need larger studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:55.138 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.457$ are focused not just on HI equivalents

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:57.457 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.072$ but also on outcomes equivalents

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:48:59.072 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.447$ and I think we were resources time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:49:01.450 --> 00:49:03.140 money clinic or staff availability

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}03.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.830$ or strained and an appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:04.883 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.260$ clinical circumstances and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}06.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.637$ with appropriate patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.440$ I I do think that there one could

 $00:49:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.988$ argue that there's a role for

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}10.988 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}49{:}12.554$ home sleep apnea testing as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}12.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.469$ of a multi step pathway but it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:14.469 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.172$ really important to select both

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:16.172 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.976$ patients and devices wisely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.876$ I definitely would like to reiterate

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:49:19.876 --> 00:49:22.308 that I don't think that home sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:22.308 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.784$ apnea testing is extensively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.870$ Conclusively validated for all children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.310$ But then again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}28.310 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.422$ even the academies 2017 statement

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}30.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.438$ would would support the notion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:32.438 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.709$ it's not contraindicated altogether.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.190$ So I'm emerging technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:36.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.410$ may be able to assist us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.950$ but it's really I,

00:49:39.950 --> 00:49:41.490 in my mind anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}41.490 \to 00{:}49{:}44.213$ unlikely to replace the need for ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:49:44.213 --> 00:49:46.390 clinical judgment and perceptiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.053$ Some final thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}47.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.961$ I think we need to better define who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:49:48.961 --> 00:49:50.229 appropriate for this technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.578$ these types of technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:51.578 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.260$ and understand the role of remote assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:49:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.708$ In combination with validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:49:55.708 --> 00:49:56.794 questionnaires in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:49:56.800 --> 00:49:59.376 remember that ERS statement from 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}49{:}59.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01.752$ I really feel like a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}50{:}01.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.137$ pathway forward might be being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:50:04.137 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.387$ to combine our different sources of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:50:06.387 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.299$ truth to be able to really provide

 $00:50:09.299 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.939$ a rigorous clinical assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}50{:}10.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.068$ And I and I feel like too that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:50:14.068 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.648$ can argue that defining success

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:15.648 --> 00:50:17.361 or failure based on clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:17.361 --> 00:50:19.056 outcomes rather than simply that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:19.056 --> 00:50:21.178 score of HIV is pretty important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:21.180 --> 00:50:23.294 I it is my opinion that integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:23.294 --> 00:50:25.010 does not mean replacement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:25.010 --> 00:50:25.416 Nonetheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:25.416 --> 00:50:27.852 I think that scaling and sustainability

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}50{:}27.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.551$ models that reward excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:50:29.551 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.641$ clinical care and excellent outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:31.641 --> 00:50:33.793 rather than procedures per se is

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}50{:}33.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.481$ where we need to go as a field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:50:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.362$ And and so I I will stop there

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:50:37.362 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.801$ with those final thoughts and

 $00:50:38.801 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.625$ I have a bunch of references.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00{:}50{:}40.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.250$ I'm happy to share these slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:42.250 --> 00:50:44.167 and I'm just going to put up

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

00:50:44.167 --> 00:50:45.600 the same disclosure number again

NOTE Confidence: 0.815793046

 $00:50:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.900$ and I'll stop talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:50:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.740$ Thank you, doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:50:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.320$ Salon, that was really a wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

00:50:52.320 --> 00:50:54.344 overview really terrific and I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:50:54.344 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.529$ I totally agree with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:50:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.746$ I, you know we, we all love polysomnography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:50:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.230$ We get tons of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00{:}51{:}00.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.814$ I think is a field you know we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00{:}51{:}01.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.198$ steal everything down to the HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.192$ That's probably a mistake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:04.192 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.954$ And there's so much more we can

 $00:51:05.954 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.280$ get by integrating the video and

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.047$ some of the other signals you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.258$ But I hear you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:10.258 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.070$ You know necessity is the mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:12.141 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.960$ of invention and with the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00{:}51{:}13.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.241$ so many of us in the adult world

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:16.241 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.810$ have been using HST's and patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.810$ we never would have before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.474$ So some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

00:51:19.474 --> 00:51:21.134 Sort of complicated pulmonary sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:21.134 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.345$ overlap and using a capping those people

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

00:51:23.345 --> 00:51:25.300 with oximetry and you know what it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.830$ it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.685$ And so sometimes we actually find it

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:27.685 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.477$ works because we're forced into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

00:51:29.480 --> 00:51:31.174 And I will say with in the

00:51:31.174 --> 00:51:31.900 adult medicine world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00{:}51{:}31.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.484$ part of the part of the reason many

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:33.484 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.466$ of us were forced into HST was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:35.466 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.946$ insurance change and the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:36.946 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.570$ all of a sudden this is the test

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:38.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.878$ that was going to be required,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

00:51:39.880 --> 00:51:40.216 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:40.216 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.392$ and that's true and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:41.392 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.760$ it at least to the adult world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.656$ So my thought for you is I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:45.656 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.340$ a Sleep Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.488$ adult Sleep Medicine physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:47.488 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.210$ were much more comfortable with HT

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00{:}51{:}49.261 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}51{:}50.695$ because we've been using it more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.499$ We've been forced into it and in

 $00:51:52.499 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.529$ the in the pediatric community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.990$ are people getting more familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00:51:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.094$ with using HT or is it still

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

00:51:58.094 --> 00:52:00.138 kind of not being used and what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

00:52:00.138 --> 00:52:01.669 happening if you have any idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00{:}52{:}01.670 --> 00{:}52{:}02.610$ I know you're in California,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00{:}52{:}02.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04.660$ but what's happening with insurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.771423955

 $00.52:04.660 \longrightarrow 00.52:06.300$ for for pediatric studies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00{:}52{:}07.450 --> 00{:}52{:}08.830$ Yeah. Thank you for that, Janet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.230$ There's a lot there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.330$ I definitely agree with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.485$ I I practice with adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:12.485 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.409$ and children as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.410$ I'm because I'm interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.010$ craniofacial growth and characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

00:52:17.010 --> 00:52:18.294 I often see multiple

 $00.52:18.294 \longrightarrow 00.52:19.899$ generations in the same family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00{:}52{:}19.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21.088$ So I might be seeing grandparents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.572$ parents and children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

00:52:22.572 --> 00:52:26.549 And it definitely helps to sort of have

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:26.549 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.997$ that Scooby sense on on where HST fits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.170$ And yeah, we have our guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.380$ but the clinical Scooby sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.250$ of of what are the limitations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.524$ what do you need to worry about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.245$ what what's not being reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:37.245 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.617$ and I feel like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.339$ Um, at least in my neck of the woods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00{:}52{:}40.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42.480$ Folks on the adult side of Sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:42.480 \dashrightarrow 00:52:44.300$ Medicine seem to have a little more

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

00:52:44.300 --> 00:52:46.264 comfort with that and and know what

00:52:46.264 --> 00:52:48.219 boundaries are pushing when they're pushing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

00:52:48.220 --> 00:52:48.804 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

00:52:48.804 --> 00:52:50.556 just not knowing what you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00:52:50.556 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.398$ know is always a big danger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

00:52:52.400 --> 00:52:54.656 I do think, at least in my area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859963886

 $00.52.54.660 \longrightarrow 00.52.56.308$ there's not very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:52:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.629$ Sort of acceptance of home based testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00{:}53{:}01.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03.967$ I I I see it more and that's why I wove in

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

00:53:03.967 --> 00:53:05.934 a little bit around consumer wearables #1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.669$ My patients all come in right there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.340$ They all want me to take a look at their

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:09.387 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.019$ apps with their consumer wearable data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.750$ No, what does this mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.560$ But I also see that like as a ticker fee

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:16.637 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.535$ replacement we I think there is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:19.535 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.150$ acceptance there than with home based testing

 $00:53:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.009$ again and that that's my local feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.684$ It's interesting because I was approached

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:26.684 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.839$ a couple of years ago about doing some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.464$ Work for a different coverage determination

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00{:}53{:}32.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36.287$ area for public insurance to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:36.287 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.915$ coming up with guidelines for home

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:38.915 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.581$ home based testing in Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:41.581 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.256$ for for a government payer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

00:53:44.260 --> 00:53:46.764 And I think that probably is coming one

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:46.764 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.509$ way or another because of the expense

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:49.509 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.235$ of and and limited limitation other

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:53:52.235 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.687$ limitations related to polysomnography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

00:53:54.690 --> 00:53:58.794 So I do think it would serve the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00{:}53{:}58.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.146$ to to drive research in this space

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:01.146 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.467$ and and to drive that discussion a

 $00:54:03.467 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.534$ little bit so that it's not sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:06.534 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.610$ matter of payers making the decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.176$ This is not payers making decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:10.176 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.304$ is not really a good way to practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:12.304 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.125$ medicine like we all know and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

00:54:14.125 --> 00:54:15.487 think the more we can engage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.785$ And like here's the data and this This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:17.785 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.088$ why this particular group of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:20.088 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.760$ definitely needs and lab probably sonography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.056$ Like we need to be able to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00{:}54{:}24.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.080$ that story with the science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.480$ And so I do think engaging a

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.039$ little bit is an important thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.552$ But I'd love to hear from you

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:29.552 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.500$ guys what's happening there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00{:}54{:}30.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.651$ I can say here in the peace lab at

00:54:32.651 --> 00:54:34.303 Stanford they're they're not sending

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:34.303 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.337$ out any home sleep apnea testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.900$ So if I want to get home sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.138$ apnea testing and an adolescent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857 00:54:39.140 --> 00:54:39.377 which, NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

00:54:39.377 --> 00:54:41.036 which I don't commonly do but even

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

00:54:41.036 --> 00:54:43.079 when if I needed to consider that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831185667142857

 $00:54:43.079 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.818$ would be done through the adult lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88478355125

 $00:54:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.310$ So I have a I have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88478355125

00:54:48.310 --> 00:54:49.318 Sure. Doctor Krieger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.631887465

00:54:49.330 --> 00:54:51.910 Dr krieger. Hello, Shannon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864277928

 $00{:}54{:}51.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.650$ That was a great presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864277928

 $00{:}54{:}53.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.007$ So in in the last three or four years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864277928

 $00:54:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.410$ there's been a lot of stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.864277928

 $00:54:59.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.598$ in the adult literature about

 $00:55:01.598 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.606$ racial bias and oximeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864277928

00:55:03.610 --> 00:55:05.645 Has that been studied in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864277928

00:55:05.645 --> 00:55:06.866 the pediatric population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864277928

00:55:06.870 --> 00:55:10.104 I'm only aware of one study in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864277928

00:55:10.104 --> 00:55:11.900 premature babies and that's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00:55:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.633$ Not to my knowledge and I think it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

00:55:15.633 --> 00:55:17.758 huge deal and not just for Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

00:55:17.760 --> 00:55:19.979 but as you said across the boards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00{:}55{:}19.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.572$ I think that Fitzgerald,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00:55:21.572 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.960$ so they're so skin tone because

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00{:}55{:}24.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.772$ these because a lot of oximetry but

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

00:55:26.772 --> 00:55:28.914 also other wearable type sensors

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

00:55:28.914 --> 00:55:31.602 rely on a light signal getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00{:}55{:}31.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32.922$ transmitted through the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

00:55:32.922 --> 00:55:34.399 Depending on what your skin tone is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00:55:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.616$ you may have changes in the way the

 $00:55:36.616 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.892$ signal is reported and to my knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00{:}55{:}38.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.418$ there is no literature on that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00:55:41.418 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.818$ Pediatrics and still kind of underdeveloped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00:55:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.855$ There are still emerging literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00:55:45.855 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.890$ even in the adult world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901197454375

 $00:55:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.577$ but I think it's a huge issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854083463529412

 $00:55:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.000$ I do have one question in the chat so

NOTE Confidence: 0.854083463529412

 $00:55:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.356$ far on how do you report oral breathing

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

00:55:55.370 --> 00:55:58.270 breath. Yeah, so I'm breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00{:}55{:}58.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.970$ So there is no standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:55:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.670$ way to do it unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.170$ So we provide if if that's measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00{:}56{:}05.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.315$ we can provide that window

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

00:56:06.315 --> 00:56:07.460 just like I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

00:56:07.460 --> 00:56:11.009 That actually gives you a subjective sense,

 $00:56:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.557$ not an index, but a subjective sense of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:13.557 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.946$ common oral breathing was across the night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:15.950 \dashrightarrow 00:56:18.902$ And I have at least one colleague who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:18.902 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.900$ traditionally reported out an estimate I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.966$ I observed oral breathing for approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.28475\%$ of the study or that kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.450$ I to me and that's sort of a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.360$ the picture is worth 1000 words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00{:}56{:}29.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.432$ I think what's interesting about it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:31.432 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.779$ to know that it exists at all because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00{:}56{:}33.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.831$ you know it can be hard without an oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

00:56:36.831 --> 00:56:39.436 scoop to assess for oral breathing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.735$ especially if your video might be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00{:}56{:}40.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41.901$ little blurry or the patients turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

00:56:41.901 --> 00:56:43.245 away from you and you can't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:43.282 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.344$ see clearly in the video because

 $00:56:44.344 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.720$ of course we have nasal pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.030$ transducer and then we have a sum

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.800$ signal for for oral and nasal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.637$ so those those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:50.637 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.590$ She alone don't don't provide the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.5138897272

 $00:56:52.647 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.507$ sensitive signal for oral breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848960263846154

00:56:55.230 --> 00:56:56.310 Great, thank you. Yeah, we try

NOTE Confidence: 0.848960263846154

 $00:56:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.670$ to look at the video and it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848960263846154

 $00:56:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.320$ it's very difficult to tell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.876$ Move the sheets a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.764$ you know, yeah, I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00{:}57{:}04.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}06.608$ I mean, I will say that's a pitch

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00{:}57{:}06.608 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}57{:}08.301$ to looking at all the data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

00:57:08.301 --> 00:57:09.711 you're provided when you're when

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:09.711 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.199$ you're reading applies tomography.

 $00:57:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.419$ I do think it's on the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

00:57:13.420 --> 00:57:15.390 Overall, but especially in Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.183$ to make the case that what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

00:57:18.183 --> 00:57:20.439 measuring has value because if we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

00:57:20.440 --> 00:57:21.970 you know, dump it all down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.776$ if we like lump it all together

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:23.776 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.153$ that the only thing really

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

00:57:25.153 --> 00:57:27.043 coming out of this study is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

00:57:27.043 --> 00:57:28.974 HI or maybe the HI and the PMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.620$ We've missed an opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.298$ to tell the story of why the why

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:33.298 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.230$ these studies are so important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.360$ how they're clinically helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.369$ So I do think again I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:39.369 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.919$ it's important for the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.194$ My my opinion is that it's important

 $00:57:42.194 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.480$ for the field to be part of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798390408333333

 $00:57:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.160$ Conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185398

 $00:57:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.362$ Alright, terrific. I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185398

 $00:57:46.362 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.210$ seeing anything else in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185398

00:57:48.210 --> 00:57:49.960 Anybody need to unmute themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185398

 $00:57:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.270$ we have, we're just at time now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75740575

 $00:57:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.737$ Yeah, here I'm in a PC sandwich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75740575

 $00{:}57{:}56.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00.238$ Critical care sandwich. Be the salami

NOTE Confidence: 0.75740575

 $00:58:00.238 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.750$ in the middle of the sandwich here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688948648333333

 $00:58:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.284$ All right. Well, anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688948648333333

 $00.58:03.284 \longrightarrow 00.58:03.856$ Doctor Sullivan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688948648333333

 $00:58:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.980$ this is really fabulous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688948648333333

 $00:58:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.100$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688948648333333

 $00:58:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.060$ You may get some questions by e-mail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688948648333333

 $00:58:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.530$ I'm not sure, but really

00:58:09.530 --> 00:58:10.706 appreciate your time today

NOTE Confidence: 0.688948648333333

 $00:58:10.706 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.079$ and all your expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688948648333333

 $00:58:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.238$ And thanks everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820991294

 $00:58:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.210$ Thank you for having me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820991294

 $00{:}58{:}14.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15.414$ And I'm happy to get any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820991294

 $00:58:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.004$ And again, I'll PDF out this

NOTE Confidence: 0.820991294

 $00:58:17.004 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.292$ this deck to you, Janet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820991294

 $00:58:18.292 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.148$ so you feel free to send it around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545722968333333

 $00:58:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.930$ Thank you. All right. Great day, everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9231598175

 $00:58:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.000$ Bye, bye. Bye, bye.