WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:44" NOTE recognizability:0.792

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.913976084

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.660$ Alright, I think we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885737194

00:00:04.450 --> 00:00:06.160 Being recorded, folks are joining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993997

00:00:08.510 --> 00:00:11.989 As in good spirits of prior to

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993997

 $00:00:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.700$ zoom conferences, I see people

NOTE Confidence: 0.66993997

 $00:00:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.140$ having lunch, which is wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47395997

 $00:00:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.270$ That's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

00:00:21.230 --> 00:00:22.950 Let's see, I am on my phone, Eric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:00:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.470$ so I can't tell how many people have joined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:00:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.322$ We're up to 40.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

00:00:27.322 --> 00:00:30.878 Looks like alright, perfect, great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00{:}00{:}30.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.710$ and so good afternoon everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00{:}00{:}33.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.655$ My name is Andres in truck and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:00:36.655 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.785$ just wanted to welcome everyone back

 $00:00:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.698$ to another edition of the joint

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00{:}00{:}43.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.783$ Northeastern I should call it sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:00:46.783 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.648$ conferences now that includes several

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:00:50.648 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.111$ different academic programs and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

00:00:54.111 --> 00:00:56.337 really excited to have you back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:00:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.741$ And joining us today and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:00:58.741 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.244$ a very special speaker with us

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00{:}01{:}01.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.454$ today that Eric will introduce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:01:03.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.626$ And just to remind you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

00:01:05.626 --> 00:01:06.348 during conference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:01:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.084$ please keep your mikes muted and post your

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:01:11.084 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.848$ questions into the chat and we will have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00{:}01{:}15.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.940$ Our panel answer questions and Eric

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:01:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.998$ will guide that at the end of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:01:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.944$ If you wanted to receive CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

00:01:24.944 --> 00:01:26.516 for today's activity, you can.

00:01:26.516 --> 00:01:28.900 You just have to be registered with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903083468571429

 $00:01:28.969 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.208$ LC ME and the code to put into the CME.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3521384

 $00:01:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.714$ App is going to be posted in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3521384

00:01:40.714 --> 00:01:42.950 chat as well by Debbie Lovejoy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3521384

 $00:01:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.182$ and so thank you all again for joining us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3521384

 $00:01:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.762$ I'm going to hand it over

NOTE Confidence: 0.3521384

 $00:01:46.762 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.100$ to Eric to introduce him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:01:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.202$ Wonderful good afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:01:51.202 --> 00:01:53.386 Everyone had the pleasure of introducing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:01:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.170$ you know dialogic today who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:01:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.222$ It did her medical training at

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:01:59.222 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.980$ Georg August University in Germany

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}02{:}00.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.000$ and something I did not realize

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}02{:}03.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.193$ is that she actually did pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}02{:}05.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.803$ training first at Carl Gustav Carus

00:02:07.803 --> 00:02:09.976 University in Dresden before coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:09.976 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.358$ to the US and pursuing neurology

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:12.358 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.420$ training being both a resident chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:02:14.420 --> 00:02:16.080 resident at Dartmouth Hitchcock and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:02:16.135 --> 00:02:17.767 then undergoing Sleep Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:02:17.770 --> 00:02:20.770 clinical training at Brigham and Women's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:02:20.770 --> 00:02:22.054 And since then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:22.054 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.622$ she's maintained an active clinical practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:02:24.630 --> 00:02:26.568 first at Brigham and Women's Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.370$ and more recently at Beth

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}02{:}28.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.810$ Israel Deaconess Medical Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.423$ where she's risen to the to be assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:33.423 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.278$ professor in neurology at Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:35.278 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.952$ Medical School and has had active

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:02:37.952 --> 00:02:39.884 involvement Precepting Fleet Fellows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}02{:}39.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.922$ which is greatly appreciated

 $00:02:41.922 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.446$ for both fellowships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:02:43.450 --> 00:02:44.970 She's also had leadership roles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:02:44.970 --> 00:02:46.985 including being a medical director

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:46.985 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.417$ during her time at the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:49.417 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.475$ at the at at Brigham Women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.323$ And she is a ad hoc reviewer for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:55.323 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.280$ different journals and has also had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:02:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.019$ series of funded research projects early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}03{:}03.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.616$ Having a relationship with

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}03{:}05.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.510$ Roberts trickled in his lab and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:03:09.510 --> 00:03:11.360 And having many projects in

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:03:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.210$ the areas of sleep learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}03{:}13.210 --> 00{:}03{:}14.346 \ \mathrm{memory \ consolidation},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:03:14.346 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.186$ EG analysis and cognitive decline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:03:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.890$ including its relationships with

 $00:03:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.590$ Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00{:}03{:}20.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.470$ and that's generated over 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:03:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.350$ publications and makes her very

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:03:24.414 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.822$ well qualified to speak on the topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

 $00:03:26.822 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.988$ that she's joining us for today for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832215262222222

00:03:28.990 --> 00:03:31.114 So thank you very much for taking the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

 $00:03:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.650$ Thanks, Eric. Thanks for having me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

 $00:03:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.830$ So I'm going to dive right in second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

 $00:03:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.048$ OK. So I. I like to start with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

00:03:46.048 --> 00:03:48.750 little bit of a historic background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6921437333333333

 $00{:}03{:}48.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.630$ There was a book that actually put together

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

00:03:53.630 --> 00:03:57.711 many famous people and how much they

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

 $00:03:57.711 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.630$ presumably step because there is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.6921437333333333

 $00{:}04{:}00.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.660$ this notion that if you're a genius,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

00:04:02.660 --> 00:04:05.920 whether you're a writer, painter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

 $00{:}04{:}05.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.720$ composer, you can live on very little

 $00:04:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.700$ sleep and that really disproved that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

 $00:04:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.820$ So it shows that most famous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

00:04:14.820 --> 00:04:16.695 And productive and prolific people

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

 $00:04:16.695 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.186$ in the past have actually slept more

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

00:04:19.186 --> 00:04:21.755 than we thought they did or got a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.692143733333333

 $00:04:21.755 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.890$ eight hours of sleep or even more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:04:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.700$ This one. So the first publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:04:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.564$ on sleep and Memory was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:04:37.564 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.106$ from Jenkins and Dallenbach in 1924.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:04:41.106 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.464$ There was a person that had previously looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:04:46.464 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.054$ at nonsense syllables and they had taken it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:04:50.054 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.830$ They were taking it a little bit further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:04:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.698$ What is interesting is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:04:55.700 --> 00:04:58.199 That this was done 100 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:04:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.035$ and at that time it was enough to use

 $00:05:01.035 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.464$ just two students in each group, and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:04.464 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.476$ they had them learn nonsense syllables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:05:07.480 --> 00:05:12.998 And they had two students who were sleeping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}05{:}12.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.392$ and who students who stayed awake

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:15.392 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.918$ over this interval of eight hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:05:17.920 --> 00:05:22.670 And as you can see in the graph here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.969$ the students who slept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:24.969 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.832$ they were able to retain these syllables

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}05{:}27.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.386$ a lot better than those who stayed awake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:05:32.386 --> 00:05:38.460 And uhm. Then not much happened, Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.430$ Not meant that there wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.400$ much research for many decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.008$ and I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}05{:}46.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.946$ There were a couple of obstacles that

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:48.946 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.826$ prevented people from really believing

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:05:51.826 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.549$ that sleep was meaningful in in cognition,

 $00:05:55.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.534$ and one reason is listed on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:05:59.540 --> 00:06:02.500 If you look at how many hours of

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:06:02.500 --> 00:06:04.560 sleep different species require,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.568$ you can see humans down here at #3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.866$ Only below are the cow in the wars

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:10.866 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.446$ here and many other animals are above,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.040$ and so there's no clear correlation between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}06{:}16.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.664$ Among animals or mammals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}06{:}17.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.100$ how much sleep they are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:20.176 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.228$ and their cognitive ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.600$ Similarly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.240$ This is a publication from Jerry

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}06{:}28.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.560$ Siegel assigns publication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.112$ who showed the amount of REM sleep and

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:34.112 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.481$ relation to humans and on the left side you

 $00:06:37.481 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.775$ can see animals that have a lot of REM sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.320$ which includes the platypus not considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.480$ the smartest animal on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.120$ you see a dolphins having

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:06:49.120 --> 00:06:51.232 very little REM sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.235$ and so there was this notion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}06{:}53.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.759$ it doesn't really make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.848$ There's no correlation about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}06{:}55.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.819$ You know if if REM sleep or

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:57.819 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.947$ sleep was that important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:06:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.128$ it would really correlate with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:07:01.128 --> 00:07:03.189 know humans should have the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:03.189 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.072$ and so that really took a break

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:05.072 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.644$ on a lot of research and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:07.644 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.620$ eventually we started to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}07{:}09.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.602$ a lot more about the way memories

 $00{:}07{:}13.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.624$ function and I'm just going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:15.624 \dashrightarrow 00:07:17.870$ I should have said that at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.336$ I'm assuming that we're all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:20.336 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.438$ the audience has very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:22.438 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.668$ backgrounds in terms of neurology

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:24.668 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.260$ and condition and memories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.089$ So I'm I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

00:07:29.090 --> 00:07:32.402 Trying to be very very basic and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00:07:32.402 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.876$ trying to give an overview so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.365068225

 $00{:}07{:}35.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.998$ hopefully every body can understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:07:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.462$ 2nd So this is. Altered a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:07:46.462 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.111$ but this is from a publication from 1968

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:07:50.111 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.679$ and that's still more or less how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:07:52.679 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.449$ look at other different memory systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:07:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.586$ So you start at the left.

00:07:57.590 --> 00:08:00.029 You have a sensory input and that can be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00{:}08{:}00.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.710$ for example learning a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

00:08:02.710 --> 00:08:05.390 skill or reading something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

00:08:05.390 --> 00:08:07.148 and if you're not paying attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:08:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.410$ that information is immediately lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

00:08:10.410 --> 00:08:11.642 If you pay attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

00:08:11.642 --> 00:08:13.490 and if it's important to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:08:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.720$ it gets initially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

00:08:14.720 --> 00:08:17.845 Put into short term memory storage and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:08:17.845 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.040$ again if it's not rehearsed or it it gets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:08:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.440$ Also it's in a label state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:08:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.436$ It has to be encoded and consolidated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00{:}08{:}28.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.521$ to then move into the long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:08:31.521 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.610$ memory storage which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:08:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.110$ Can also be lost overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762909125

 $00:08:36.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.715$ but it's much more stable

 $00:08:37.715 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.999$ and much more permanent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:08:43.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.741$ When we look at the different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

00:08:46.741 --> 00:08:49.318 of memories that we can study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:08:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.432$ we typically divide the long term memory in

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:08:53.432 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.587$ non declarative and declarative memories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:08:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.572$ So non declarative memories are the memories

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

00:08:59.572 --> 00:09:01.810 of procedural memories riding a bike,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00{:}09{:}01.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.750$ motor skills and motional memories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.190$ Declarative memories are subdivided into

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.896$ episodic and semantic memories, so those

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:09.896 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.507$ are the memories for facts and events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.876$ So what did I have for dinner last night?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.340$ What is the capital of Paris?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

00:09:18.340 --> 00:09:21.040 Those are all declarative memories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.110$ so we subgroup them. And.

 $00:09:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.870$ When we think about what is happening across

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.570$ with sleep dependent memory consolidation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.996$ we. Have initially he is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:37.996 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.340$ example of the memory game on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.252$ Yeah, I think you call it concentration

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:44.252 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.232$ so the person is encoding and learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:47.232 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.578$ where the cards are and during

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:49.578 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.325$ that time the memory is temporarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:52.325 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.184$ stored in the hippocampus and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:09:55.184 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.619$ there is a consolidation process

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00{:}09{:}57.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.680$ during which the memory is changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:10:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.822$ There is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:10:03.822 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.910$ synaptic downscaling there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:10:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.878$ Is the memory is moved into more

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00{:}10{:}08.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.903$ the cortex in different areas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:10:11.903 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.730$ it becomes a more permanent trace

 $00:10:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.310$ and then there is the retrieval

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:10:17.392 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.780$ process which is our ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928974675384615

 $00:10:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.569$ have access to the stored memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945879971

 $00:10:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.425$ And we think that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.945879971

 $00{:}10{:}27.425 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.333$ consolidation process can take

NOTE Confidence: 0.945879971

 $00:10:29.333 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.904$ place during wakefulness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945879971

 $00:10:30.904 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.092$ But sleep is especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.945879971

 $00:10:33.092 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.810$ important for this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00:10:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.940$ And so a lot of the stuff these that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00:10:40.012 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.650$ going to start talking about are using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

00:10:44.650 --> 00:10:46.888 Two time points to test people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00:10:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.751$ That's very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00{:}10{:}47.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.760$ We usually have a session either in

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00{:}10{:}49.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.378$ the evening and then in the morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

00:10:51.378 --> 00:10:53.287 or in the morning and the evening.

 $00:10:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.354$ But the key is really to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00:10:57.354 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.445$ two sessions where one is usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00:10:59.445 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.250$ learning and the other one is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00:11:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.940$ Recall to see what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.876995131875

 $00:11:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.630$ in in between those times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

00:11:07.260 --> 00:11:10.188 So I'm gonna talk 1st about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

00:11:10.188 --> 00:11:11.652 non declarative memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

 $00:11:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.721$ So again learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.866013397777778

 $00{:}11{:}13.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.469$ instrument tying your shoes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

00:11:16.470 --> 00:11:19.080 For that type of learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866013397777778

 $00:11:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.344$ one test that has become very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

00:11:22.344 --> 00:11:24.994 established is the motor Skill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866013397777778

 $00:11:24.994 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.150$ learning task or finger tapping tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

 $00:11:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.671$ With this test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866013397777778

 $00:11:29.671 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.713$ people have to type a sequence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866013397777778

 $00{:}11{:}32.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.740$ usually 5 digit number with

 $00:11:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.760$ their left non dominant hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

 $00:11:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.836$ The sequence is displayed on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

 $00:11:38.836 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.438$ computer at all times you do the typing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

 $00{:}11{:}41.440 --> 00{:}11{:}43.576$ In 32nd trials you rest and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866013397777778

 $00:11:43.576 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.600$ you do this 12 times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86601339777778

 $00:11:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.960$ So you have 12 trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:11:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.189$ And. Up 20 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:11:55.190 --> 00:11:57.450 Matt Walker, Bob Stickgold published

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:11:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.193$ this paper in Neuron where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:12:00.193 --> 00:12:03.007 proof that this type of memory really

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:03.007 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.108$ improves with a night of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.740$ So on the left. A person trained

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00{:}12{:}08.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.140$ first on the finger tapping test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.912$ In the morning they come back 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:13.912 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.730$ hours later in the evening and you

 $00:12:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.242$ can see here a little bit improvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:19.242 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.256$ but not significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.113$ Then they go to sleep and in the morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:23.113 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.827$ they show the significant improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.576$ There could have been a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:12:27.576 --> 00:12:29.180 about the sequence of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.896$ so they also had a group that trained

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:32.896 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.560$ in the evening and then you see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00{:}12{:}35.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.267$ significant improvement in the morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.110$ and then they do the test again that

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.991$ after 12 hours and not much changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:43.991 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.276$ So it's really sleep that

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:46.276 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.930$ provides the benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.258$ They also found a correlation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:50.258 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.429$ overnight improvement and stage two sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:12:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.607$ and now we know in follow-up studies

 $00:12:54.607 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.339$ that it's actually the spindles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00{:}12{:}56.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.259$ Trying to take the stage to sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:12:59.259 --> 00:13:01.044 that are particularly important

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:01.044 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.700$ in in improving this memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.864$ So, uhm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:04.864 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.390$ What I did then is I wanted to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:10.555 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.230$ if N2 seat is that important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.591$ What happens when we look at patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:16.591 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.190$ with obstructive sleep apnea?

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00{:}13{:}19.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.204$ And so we trained healthy controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00{:}13{:}23.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.740$ and OSA people on the MSD on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.200$ motorcycle test in the evening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00{:}13{:}30.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.068$ then tested them again in the morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.374$ And you can see very nicely here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:13:35.374 --> 00:13:38.683 the OSA patients really improved by

 $00:13:38.683 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.050$ half of what the healthy controls improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.496$ And then we looked further into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.420$ Correlations these were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:13:49.420 --> 00:13:51.244 fairly young participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:51.244 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.156$ so they were on average in their 40s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:13:54.160 --> 00:13:56.776 They had fairly mild sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:13:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.244$ and what we found was a correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:13:59.244 --> 00:14:01.439 between the apnea hypoxemia index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:14:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.380$ the oxygen, and the arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:14:04.380 --> 00:14:06.716 and if you put everything in a model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:14:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.496$ it's really the arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:14:08.496 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.160$ so the the sleep fragmentation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00{:}14{:}11.241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.726$ seems to be the most detrimental to

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00{:}14{:}13.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.429$ this type of memory consolidation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:14:16.430 --> 00:14:19.418 Because our participants were very young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:14:19.420 --> 00:14:21.828 the next question was what happens if

00:14:21.828 --> 00:14:24.726 we look at older people or at aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:14:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.360$ does this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

00:14:27.360 --> 00:14:29.915 Have any impact also on on memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.439832

 $00:14:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.110$ consolidation and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00:14:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.920$ We took a group with a wide age range and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

00:14:40.920 --> 00:14:44.056 The top you see patients who don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00:14:44.056 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.569$ OSA in blue at the bottom are patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

00:14:47.569 --> 00:14:49.858 with I'm sorry participants with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00:14:49.858 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.630$ healthy participants at the bottom are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00:14:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.830$ patients with OSA and what we showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00:14:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.327$ is that if you don't have OSA and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00{:}14{:}59.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.923$ can get old and still preserve your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00{:}15{:}02.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.953$ ability to consolidate moder memories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00{:}15{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.163$ if you have our say first of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

00:15:10.163 --> 00:15:12.870 you perform at. Much lower level,

00:15:12.870 --> 00:15:15.750 you have far less overnight improvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00:15:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.590$ but on top of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00:15:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.854$ As you get older, your skills also decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

 $00:15:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.482$ So sleep apnea offers a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

00:15:25.482 --> 00:15:28.902 stronger age effect on on this type

NOTE Confidence: 0.7559209994

00:15:28.902 --> 00:15:30.486 of memory consolidation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:15:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.110$ The next question if was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}15{:}39.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.398$ If non REM sleep is really that important

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}15{:}42.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.689$ for this type of memory consolidation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:15:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.574$ what happens if we look at patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:15:48.574 --> 00:15:52.086 with who only have OSA during REM sleep?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:15:52.090 --> 00:15:54.700 Because REM sleep doesn't seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:15:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.730$ be important and so here we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}15{:}59.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.294$ participants with healthy controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:02.294 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.529$ that have displayed in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.216$ We had REM only OSA patients, so these are.

00:16:09.216 --> 00:16:11.426 Participants who had normal hi

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:16:11.426 --> 00:16:14.257 during non REM sleep so it was below

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:14.257 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.394$ 5 and then we had a group that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:16:17.394 --> 00:16:20.058 OSA during then REM sleep and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:20.058 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.930$ REM sleep and on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.330$ This is a different display

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.870$ of the motorcycle test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:16:24.870 --> 00:16:26.892 You can see the individual training

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}16{:}26.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.960$ sessions here at this place so

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.605$ there are 12 training sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}16{:}30.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.046$ in the evening participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}16{:}32.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.671$ There's a little bit of a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}16{:}34.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.666$ but it's actually not significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:36.666 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.200$ so more or less they perform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.928$ When they learn the tests in

 $00:16:40.928 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.308$ the same way and then the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:16:43.308 --> 00:16:45.193 morning when they get retested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.150$ you can see very nicely that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.060$ the people who have non REM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:16:49.060 --> 00:16:51.920 R.E.M., OSA don't show any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:16:51.920 --> 00:16:54.885 Don't show much improvement versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:16:54.885 --> 00:16:59.000 the REM OSA patients and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:16:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.816$ controls that perform absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}17{:}01.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.295$ identical so fragmented REM sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:04.295 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.205$ doesn't seem to affect this type

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}17{:}07.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.948$ of RAM memory consolidation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.950$ There was another so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.603$ using REM related apnea is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:17:15.603 --> 00:17:18.330 elegant way to fragment REM sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.530$ You can also use pharmacotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.760$ and young born from Germany.

00:17:25.760 --> 00:17:28.763 Has published a paper a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}17{:}28.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.302$ ago where they gave participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:31.302 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.207$ REM suppressing medication so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:17:34.207 --> 00:17:38.317 gave them an SSRI and SNRI that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}17{:}38.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.593$ those fluvoxamine and reboxetine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.944$ and at the top you can see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.620$ the participant had far less REM

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.400$ sleep with these medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.740$ And interestingly when they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.080$ them perform the same test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.885$ The motor skill learning tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}17{:}55.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.070$ and also in mirror drawing test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}17{:}58.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.980$ Which is another procedural test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:17:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.805$ and they found that participant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:18:02.805 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.316$ actually performed better than those

 $00:18:05.316 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.356$ that didn't receive the medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}18{:}07.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.328$ And even though this was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:18:09.328 --> 00:18:10.312 in Nature Neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:18:10.320 --> 00:18:14.674 they don't provide a lot of explanation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:18:14.680 --> 00:18:17.070 All they say is, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

00:18:17.070 --> 00:18:18.820 REM sleep can't be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:18:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.130$ then for this type of memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}18{:}21.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.156$ But this really also emphasizes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00{:}18{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.040$ the different sleep stages are truly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85101572

 $00:18:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.320$ Important for different types of memories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:18:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.373$ I'd like to move to a emotional memories now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:18:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.138$ which, UM, as as we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:18:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.520$ hold it dear spot in all our memories on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:18:43.580 --> 00:18:46.020 left I usually show because I'm from Germany,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:18:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.676$ the fall of the wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00{:}18{:}47.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.682$ which is about 33 years ago and I have

 $00:18:51.682 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.670$ to say that at that time things looked a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:18:54.749 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.350$ lot more bright than they do now, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:18:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.960$ For to test emotional memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.195$ We use something called an

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:19:05.195 --> 00:19:06.536 emotional tradeoff test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:19:06.540 --> 00:19:08.958 which was developed by Elizabeth Kensinger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.830$ who's now at Boston College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00{:}19{:}12.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.857$ And in this task you have objects

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00{:}19{:}16.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.426$ and backgrounds you have on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.610$ You have an example of neutral backgrounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:19:24.610 --> 00:19:26.414 And a neutral object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:26.414 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.209$ And here is a neutral background and emotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00{:}19{:}30.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33.046$ Negative emotional background object

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:33.046 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.055$ and the task pass the neutral objects

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:38.055 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.250$ with with the neutral backgrounds with

00:19:41.250 --> 00:19:43.800 either neutral objects or emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:43.883 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.627$ objects and initially participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:45.627 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.036$ just have to take a look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:19:49.036 --> 00:19:51.521 pictures and and try and study them

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:51.521 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.770$ and then when they get retested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:19:53.770 --> 00:19:57.482 They only see the object or the background

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:19:57.482 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.108$ in isolation and they are asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.686$ but they are also at the recall test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.070$ They're being shown similar items

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:20:06.070 --> 00:20:07.930 and completely new items,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00{:}20{:}07.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.980$ and they are asked if specifically is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.500$ this the same that you saw before?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:20:13.500 --> 00:20:18.680 Is it similar or is it a new item and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:20:18.680 --> 00:20:20.830 Jessica Payne,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:20:20.830 --> 00:20:23.740 who worked in bucked Stickels group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:20:23.740 --> 00:20:26.836 looked at this task with healthy

 $00:20:26.836 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.868$ college students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.910$ And we can first look on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.480$ side on the neutral scenes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.588$ People were tested repeatedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:35.588 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.750$ and over the course of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:20:38.750 --> 00:20:41.390 The combination of neutral objects

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:20:41.390 --> 00:20:43.502 and neutral backgrounds doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:20:43.502 --> 00:20:45.339 really change that much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00{:}20{:}45.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.538$ so there's no big disk discrepancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.556$ when you look on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.565$ the first thing you can notice is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:20:54.565 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.157$ negative scenes are remembered a lot better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00{:}20{:}58.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.348$ and what happens though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:21:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.565$ When people sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:21:02.565 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.995$ is that they appear to remember

00:21:04.995 --> 00:21:06.707 after a night of sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:21:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.678$ they appear to remember the negative objects

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:21:09.678 --> 00:21:12.828 lot better at the cost of the objects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:21:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.685$ so they really filter out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

00:21:15.685 --> 00:21:19.615 negative memory at the expense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:21:19.615 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.366$ the of the object or the background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785240875555556 00:21:23.370 --> 00:21:25.287 And so, NOTE Confidence: 0.785240875555556

 $00:21:25.287 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.972$ that's that's what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:21:26.972 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.983$ the emotional tradeoff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00:21:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.446$ which is facilitated by sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78524087555556

 $00{:}21{:}31.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.839$ and I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:21:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.230$ So we felt that it was important to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00{:}21{:}40.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.994$ also at OSA patients because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:21:42.994 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.960$ a lot of depression, anxiety and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:21:47.960 --> 00:21:50.520 Psychopathology in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:21:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.268$ And we used the Group of healthy

 $00:21:54.268 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.558$ controls and patients with OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00{:}21{:}57.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.605$ And we gave them the emotional tradeoff

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:22:00.605 --> 00:22:03.650 test and the question was, are they

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.239$ showing the same emotional trade off?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.300$ And how are they remembering

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.536$ these these objects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.665$ And what we found was that across

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:12.665 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.115$ the board OSA patients remember less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00{:}22{:}16.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18.256$ They remember less objects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:18.256 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.858$ they remember less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:22:19.860 --> 00:22:22.620 Background, it doesn't matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:22:22.620 --> 00:22:23.570 Neutral objects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:22:23.570 --> 00:22:24.520 emotional objects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.901$ the the memory is just not as

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:22:27.901 --> 00:22:30.136 good as in healthy participants.

 $00:22:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.100$ What they still preserved was the ability to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:22:35.100 --> 00:22:36.760 Half the emotional trade off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.476$ so that doesn't seem to be affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.973$ and that just shows that even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:22:42.973 --> 00:22:45.919 these people have less REM sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:45.919 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.758$ which is often correlates with poorer

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

00:22:48.758 --> 00:22:50.848 memory consolidation on this task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886263129473684

 $00:22:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.860$ they still preserve this ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:22:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.980$ So next I want to move to the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.460$ of treatment on memory recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.722$ So there is. There's short term

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:08.722 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.830$ CPAP effect and the reason I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:11.912 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.926$ to look at that is because a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00{:}23{:}14.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.992$ of patients say the first night of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

00:23:17.992 --> 00:23:21.830 CPAP is can be very life altering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00{:}23{:}21.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.718$ can have a big impact and I wanted

 $00:23:24.718 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.635$ to see if it would also resolve

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:27.635 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.060$ some of these memory deficits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.379$ And so here we had three groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.542$ one group that was a healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

00:23:38.542 --> 00:23:41.260 control Group One group with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00{:}23{:}41.260 --> 00{:}23{:}43.294$ Sleep apnea, OSA and one group

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

00:23:43.294 --> 00:23:45.247 that received the first night of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:45.247 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.359$ C Pap in their life so they work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

00:23:47.360 --> 00:23:48.190 Pap. Naive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00:23:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.981$ They were just diagnosed with OSA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00{:}23{:}50.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.389$ you can see that the see PAP group

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00{:}23{:}53.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56.070$ and Control group have very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885351915

 $00{:}23{:}56.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.470$ Hi, they were not significantly different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

00:24:00.710 --> 00:24:03.350 Also, sleep stages didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.330$ show significant differences,

 $00:24:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.640$ so overall they sleep looked very

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

00:24:07.640 --> 00:24:10.228 similar as opposed to the OSA group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

00:24:10.230 --> 00:24:15.940 which as expected had a hi hi, lower nedeia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.868$ And here you can see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

00:24:18.868 --> 00:24:20.820 these groups performed during

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

00:24:20.913 --> 00:24:23.983 evening training on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

00:24:23.983 --> 00:24:27.770 In blue you have the group before

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

00:24:27.889 --> 00:24:30.120 they get CPAP, so they actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.880$ performed a little bit worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.228$ but it wasn't significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00{:}24{:}33.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.722$ Here's the group, the OSA Group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:35.722 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.698$ which remains without treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.650$ And then you have controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.417$ The next morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:42.417 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.184$ the controls outperform

NOTE Confidence: 0.75611949

 $00:24:44.184 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.540$ everybody and then the.

00:24:49.120 --> 00:24:53.117 At morning retest the see PAP group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:24:53.117 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.679$ supposedly slept better is actually down

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

00:24:55.679 --> 00:24:59.026 here and the OSA group is in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:24:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.801$ We then also just to see if it has to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:02.801 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.270$ with. Sometimes recall has to do with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.820$ Sleep inertia, sleepiness, or attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.976$ We often have them learn a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}25{:}12.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.414$ sequence to see how they perform in

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}25{:}15.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.428$ general in the morning, and here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

00:25:17.428 --> 00:25:19.416 how they all learn and look sequence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.390$ so that's very similar. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}25{:}24.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.332$ Overall this no improvement after a

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}25{:}28.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.500$ first night of using CPAP despite

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}25{:}32.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.420$ changes in sleep architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.070$ What did change was the we also

 $00:25:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.570$ have them do the PDT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}25{:}40.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43.920$ The second motor vigilance test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.576$ This is the change in reaction time from

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:46.576 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.288$ the evening session to the morning session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.146$ So the see PAP group here in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

00:25:51.150 --> 00:25:53.404 They were much faster in the morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.786$ so much more attention vigilance always.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

00:25:55.790 --> 00:25:58.730 A group was slower and control

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:25:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.360$ so more or less the same. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

00:26:03.360 --> 00:26:05.312 What was striking, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}26{:}05.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.044$ was also that the group that received

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

00:26:09.044 --> 00:26:11.874 CPAP felt subjectively much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:26:11.874 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.220$ rested compared to the OSA group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}26{:}14.220 \to 00{:}26{:}17.979$ So in summary, they feel more rested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:26:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.716$ they have better attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00{:}26{:}19.716 \to 00{:}26{:}22.320$ but the sleep dependent memory consolidation

00:26:22.387 --> 00:26:24.497 really hasn't changed that quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:26:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.630$ And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633165467142857

 $00:26:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.858$ As a follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:26:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.057$ I wanted to see what happens when

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:26:36.057 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.799$ participants of inpatients you

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:26:37.799 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.019$ cpap for longer periods of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

00:26:40.020 --> 00:26:42.808 Is there recovery possible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:26:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.006$ So for this I actually used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:26:45.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.922$ I have to introduce a new test so

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00{:}26{:}46.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.748$ I'm not using the MST anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00{:}26{:}48.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.998$ I moved on to the declarative memory test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:26:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.790$ Which is a word pair task in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00{:}26{:}57.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00.499$ participants have to learn 40 related

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00{:}27{:}00.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.271$ word pairs that are presented on

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:03.271 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.390$ a computer so they look at them.

 $00:27:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.603$ Then they have a recall test where

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:08.603 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.724$ they see the first word and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

00:27:10.724 --> 00:27:13.295 have to put in the second one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:13.295 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.435$ that list is presented repeatedly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:15.435 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.853$ a different order until they recall

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.446$ at least 24 word pairs correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.470$ and that's called the 60% criterion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.310$ The reason we do that is so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00{:}27{:}26.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.057$ every body achieves the same level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:29.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.690$ Uh-huh learns this the test to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00{:}27{:}32.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.050$ same level and then in the morning we

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:35.126 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.598$ give them a piece of paper with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

00:27:37.598 --> 00:27:40.033 first word and they have to put in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:40.033 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.304$ the second word and that determines

NOTE Confidence: 0.854455316470588

 $00:27:43.304 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.240$ their recall across the night of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

 $00:27:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.900$ So for this experiment,

 $00:27:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.120$ we enrolled our essay patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

 $00{:}27{:}56.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59.400$ and healthy controls initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

 $00:27:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.112$ and part one was just to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

 $00:28:02.112 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.525$ sense of how different are they

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

 $00:28:05.525 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.173$ consolidating this declarative memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

00:28:08.180 --> 00:28:11.393 So that was part one of the overnight visit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

 $00:28:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.160$ Uhm? Then the also patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

 $00{:}28{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.332$ were randomized into a C PAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.919023065

 $00:28:19.332 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.669$ group and an oak PAP group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

 $00{:}28{:}23.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.760$ The see PAP Group received a device

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

 $00{:}28{:}27.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.228$ and auto titrating device both groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

00:28:31.228 --> 00:28:34.498 watched a presentation on healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

 $00{:}28{:}34.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.440$ lifestyle changes and exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

 $00:28:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.032$ We had long debated whether we

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

 $00:28:40.032 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.969$ should use spam pub or sham CPAP.

 $00:28:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.410$ Or you know something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

 $00:28:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.066$ But we decided that a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

00:28:49.070 --> 00:28:50.966 change their behavior when they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

00:28:50.966 --> 00:28:53.492 a diagnosis of OSA and that's why we

NOTE Confidence: 0.671015682

 $00:28:53.492 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.580$ why we focus on lifestyle changes and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00{:}28{:}58.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.969$ Patients were asked to use the see

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00{:}28{:}59.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.214$ PAP for three months and during that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:02.214 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.944$ time we checked their compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.382$ We worked with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:06.382 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.300$ We also checked on their diet and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.391$ exercise and both groups and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

00:29:11.391 --> 00:29:13.312 they came back after three months

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:13.312 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.356$ and they again went were tested with

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:15.356 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.284$ a new version of the declarative

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:17.284 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.802$ test of the learned new set of word

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:19.802 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.636$ pairs in the evening and then in

 $00:29:21.636 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.455$ the morning they have the recall

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:24.455 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.900$ test and we just published this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870313526052632

 $00:29:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.048$ In the Blue journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:29:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.102$ It showed that overall there

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:29:35.102 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.329$ was a nice recovery of the sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

00:29:38.329 --> 00:29:40.004 dependent declarative memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:29:40.004 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.400$ deficits after three months of CPAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:29:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.456$ So I'll walk you through these through

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:29:46.456 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.130$ these bars so and blue you see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:29:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.710$ baseline performance and you have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

00:29:52.710 --> 00:29:56.193 group here to the left that did not receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:29:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.512$ That has OSA, but did not receive C PAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:29:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.450$ You have the pop group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.334$ That's that baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:03.334 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.777$ and this is after three months of

 $00:30:06.777 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.795$ using C PAP and then on the right in

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

00:30:09.795 --> 00:30:12.117 you have the healthy control group

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.056$ and what is really uplifting to see is

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:16.056 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.365$ that the C Pap group really reached the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:20.365 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.510$ same level as the healthy controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

00:30:24.510 --> 00:30:25.606 I will say though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

00:30:25.606 --> 00:30:27.670 that because it was a small study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.113$ they received a lot of attention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:30.113 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.649$ they had really excellent compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.323$ So they were all between 5:00 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837513853333333

 $00:30:34.323 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.980$ six hours of using their CPAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:30:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.166$ And, uh. When we looked closer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:30:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.700$ what is determining the improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00{:}30{:}46.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.480$ it was actually the end 3 sleep the

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:30:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.530$ amount of N3 sleep that they were in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:30:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.450$ so that has been shown before

 $00:30:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.729$ but that was at the baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00{:}30{:}57.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00.410$ Comparing controls and OSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:31:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.546$ patients and then we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:31:03.546 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.082$ show that in the C PAP group the

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:31:07.082 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.114$ amount of ends we increase really

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:31:10.114 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.300$ determined also how much they were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698258932

 $00:31:13.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.709$ Better able to remember the word pairs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.970$ And so I just want to stop for

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.649$ a moment and talk about the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

00:31:26.650 --> 00:31:30.376 The street light effect which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

00:31:30.380 --> 00:31:33.170 I think part of the problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.018$ why some of the larger studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}31{:}37.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.321$ have looked at the effect of skypad

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

00:31:39.321 --> 00:31:41.447 like the Apple study for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.410$ have had trouble seeing an effect.

00:31:45.410 --> 00:31:48.351 So the streetlight effect is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:48.351 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.528$ a person is under a street lamp

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:50.528 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.858$ and is looking for the wallet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.816$ and the policeman comes and says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:54.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.689$ is this where you lost your wallet?

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.950$ And the person says no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.126$ I lost a bullet in the park,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:31:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.824$ but this is where the light is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.590$ and so we tend to gravitate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.870$ things that are familiar to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.397$ And so initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:07.397 \dashrightarrow 00:32:11.470$ what a lot of studies were using were

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.961$ the traditional cognitive testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:15.961 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.516$ That or neuro psych testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.170$ which is really only studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}32{:}21.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.180$ participants at one time point.

 $00:32:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.108$ And also is using things like number back

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:30.108 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.958$ or which are relying more on attention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.773$ UM, so they are really if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:35.773 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.530$ hope you can see my cursor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}32{:}37.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39.665$ they really just looking more

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

00:32:39.665 --> 00:32:41.800 into the short term memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.420$ Of these participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.660$ rather than the long term consolidation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.460$ which is where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714 00:32:48.460 --> 00:32:49.060 wherever, NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.060$ whatever test on cognitive tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}32{:}52.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}54.700$ I used always say patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}32{:}54.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56.240$ impaired and showed impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.030$ and the reason if you only test them once

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:32:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.365$ I bring in this example from the REM group,

 $00:33:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.820$ when we trained people in the evening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}33{:}04.820 --> 00{:}33{:}07.064$ we really didn't see a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

00:33:07.064 --> 00:33:08.560 difference in their performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:33:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.056$ so they were able to encode

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:33:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.284$ this new task just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714 00:33:12.284 --> 00:33:12.590 Time, NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:33:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.921$ but in the you really mainly see

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}33{:}14.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.994$ the difference in in in performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}33{:}16.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.325$ when you have them come back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00:33:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.266$ you test them a second time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910088664285714

 $00{:}33{:}21.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.978$ That's really when you see the difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829773476

 $00:33:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.480$ So what a potential mechanisms very briefly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}33{:}31.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.800$ In animal studies this is from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:33:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.088$ Doctor Wilson at MIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:33:38.088 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.020$ This is an older study now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:33:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.680$ but I think it's still very beautifully

 $00:33:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.184$ done when animals learn maze at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

00:33:48.184 --> 00:33:50.800 top here you can see firing in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:33:50.887 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.680$ temple area as they learn the maze

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:33:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.519$ to navigate the maze and when these

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:33:56.519 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.922$ animals go to sleep over striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:33:58.922 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.869$ is that you see the same firing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:01.869 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.790$ patterns in the hippocampal area

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.809$ and that was a proof that there is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.916$ Reactivation of things that we learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:08.916 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.637$ during the day at night when we sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.630$ Now this is a lot harder to do in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:14.630 \dashrightarrow 00:34:17.663$ But a study that came very close is again

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}34{:}17.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.415$ from Young Bones Group in in Germany,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.600$ where they actually used smell to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.350$ prove that memory gets reactivated.

 $00:34:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.790$ So I briefly want to go over this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.130$ because it's really a beautiful study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.994$ So in here again is the the memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:34.994 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.711$ of concentration game is used and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:37.711 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.755$ there are different experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.790$ conditions that you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.362$ So the first group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:44.362 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.720$ When they learn this memory test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}34{:}46.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.572$ they are exposed to a rose order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:50.572 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.420$ Then the order is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.681$ Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:54.681 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.508$ they exposed to the same order at

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:56.508 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.322$ the beginning of the night when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

00:34:58.322 --> 00:34:59.900 presumably have more slow wave sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:34:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.679$ which is needed for this type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}35{:}02.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.080$ memory consolidation and retrieval.

 $00:35:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.280$ They have no order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:05.280 \dashrightarrow 00:35:07.900$ There's another group that doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.418$ receive order in the learning condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:10.418 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.620$ but at night when they sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.170$ during slow wave sleep again order

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.680$ and the big when they train,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.550$ and then later during the night when

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}35{:}20.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.254$ they have more REM sleep and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.324$ They receive an order when they learn it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.130$ and then right before they go to bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.440$ because there might be also a time

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.054$ when we saw when before we go to bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}35{:}33.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.918$ that we people think may be there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:35.918 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.206$ some consolidation taking place

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:38.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.958$ and then no odor during retrieval.

 $00:35:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.558$ And the main finding is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}35{:}43.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.552$ On the left is that the people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:46.552 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.816$ receive order when they learn this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:48.816 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.537$ task and during the early time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:51.537 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.134$ sleep when they have slow wave sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.708$ When we presumably consolidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

00:35:55.708 --> 00:35:57.276 this type of memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:35:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.220$ they are performed a lot better

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}36{:}00.220 \to 00{:}36{:}04.520$ than all the other groups as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

00:36:04.520 --> 00:36:05.639 It's only indirect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00{:}36{:}05.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.742$ but it's probably as good as it gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:36:08.742 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.022$ in terms of showing that there is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.76068882

 $00:36:12.022 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.486$ reactivation taking place when we sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9160021

 $00:36:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.440$ So now towards the last part I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9160021

 $00:36:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.768$ Not one to cover the link

NOTE Confidence: 0.9160021

 $00{:}36{:}24.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}26.320$ between sleep and dementia,

 $00:36:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.506$ which has become a lot more popular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601273595714286

 $00:36:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.896$ And. Uh. It's really one of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

00:36:40.090 --> 00:36:43.432 In most interesting and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

00:36:43.432 --> 00:36:46.660 also showing studies was done from

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00:36:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.570$ Spirae where they looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00:36:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.510$ association between self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00:36:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.014$ sleep and amyloid deposition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00:36:55.014 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.226$ and this is fairly small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00{:}36{:}57.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59.669$ But on the left you have people

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00{:}36{:}59.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01.847$ who sleep more than 7 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00:37:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.800$ Then you have people who sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00:37:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.530$ between 6:00 and seven hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00{:}37{:}05.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08.477$ and then people who sleep less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

00:37:08.477 --> 00:37:11.120 six hours and more red means more

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

00:37:11.120 --> 00:37:13.631 amyloid and you can see very nicely

 $00:37:13.631 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.190$ is that people who sleep less tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

00:37:16.190 --> 00:37:18.906 to have more amyloid. And this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00:37:18.906 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.618$ But this is self reported sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892466674166667

 $00:37:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.628$ So that was one

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.608$ really hot data proof that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:28.608 \dashrightarrow 00:37:31.100$ direct correlation between the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.980$ of sleep and and amyloid deposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.380$ Then a little bit later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.444$ most of you might be familiar with her data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:40.444 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.496$ Need a God showed very nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:44.496 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.460$ that when we go to sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.570$ there is a change in how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00{:}37{:}51.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.886$ Come in the interstitial fluids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.571$ they showed in in animals that amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:37:59.571 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.749$ increase with the time of awake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.409$ and then decrease during sleep and

 $00:38:04.409 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.804$ that sleep really promotes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:06.804 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.630$ removal of amyloid from the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.862$ and the idea is that or the possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:13.862 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.291$ is that maybe neurodegenerative disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

00:38:16.291 --> 00:38:19.490 are the result of a MIS management

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:19.559 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.979$ of toxic molecules which accumulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.760$ In the brain. This is still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00{:}38{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26.636$ you know, I have a hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

00:38:26.636 --> 00:38:28.670 I think some people think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:28.737 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.195$ this is the way it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091 00:38:30.200 --> 00:38:32.519 I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00{:}38{:}32.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35.544$ The challenge is that this shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00{:}38{:}35.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37.571$ things more amyloid leakage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:37.571 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.031$ and it's hard to say whether this

NOTE Confidence: 0.824412920909091

 $00:38:41.031 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.086$ truly means that we're those.

 $00:38:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.220$ Animals, and now we also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

 $00:38:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.129$ experiments with MRI by Laura Lewis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8793469544444445

00:38:52.130 --> 00:38:56.085 In humans, whether it really means that

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

 $00:38:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.658$ it leads to a long term accumulation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

 $00:38:59.658 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.855$ amyloid and truly a higher risk of dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

00:39:02.855 --> 00:39:06.006 So I think all of this is is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

 $00:39:06.006 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.900$ and very interesting, but it's still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

 $00:39:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.580$ I think the link from this to long

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

 $00:39:12.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.760$ term accumulation of amyloid and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

00:39:15.760 --> 00:39:18.170 development of dementia is still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879346954444445

 $00:39:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.794$ you know, it's a it's a hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823335696

 $00:39:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.960$ The other important study is

NOTE Confidence: 0.823335696

 $00:39:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.228$ looking at this is from at walkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823335696

 $00:39:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.285$ Lab is showing that amilo

NOTE Confidence: 0.823335696

 $00:39:33.285 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.307$ amyloid accumulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823335696

 $00:39:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.540$ Is directly affecting memory consolidation,

 $00:39:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.480$ so here they had elderly people

NOTE Confidence: 0.823335696

 $00:39:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.153$ and they looked they localized

NOTE Confidence: 0.823335696

 $00{:}39{:}46.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.794$ amyloid which is very unusual in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823335696

 $00:39:49.794 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.516$ temporal area and they showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:39:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.600$ This correlated with declarative

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:39:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.910$ memory consolidation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:39:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.386$ So when you had more amyloid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:39:59.390 \dashrightarrow 00:40:02.966$ you didn't consolidate memories as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.234$ They also went further and not only looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:06.234 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.086$ at sleep stages and slow wave in itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.978$ but also at how slow wave

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00{:}40{:}12.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.570$ sleep and spindles interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00{:}40{:}15.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.007$ As we look deeper into microstates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:19.007 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.039$ of sleep and beyond just sleep stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.567$ we found that.

00:40:24.567 --> 00:40:28.130 In healthy people there is this nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

00:40:28.130 --> 00:40:29.312 Synchrony between slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

00:40:29.312 --> 00:40:30.888 wave sleep and spindles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.426$ and if they are perfectly aligned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.640$ that seems to offer the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.960$ benefit for affective memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

00:40:37.960 --> 00:40:41.085 consolidation as people get older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

00:40:41.090 --> 00:40:44.090 that relationship shifts a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

00:40:44.090 --> 00:40:46.050 just buy regular aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.691$ and then presumably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

00:40:47.691 --> 00:40:50.996 if you have a neurocognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

 $00:40:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.672$ disorder such as dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90441489

00:40:52.672 --> 00:40:54.344 there's even further shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952630443333333

 $00:40:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.654$ So those are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.952630443333333

 $00:40:58.654 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.309$ mechanisms that seem to affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952630443333333

 $00{:}41{:}01.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.058$ Sleep and memory consolidation.

 $00:41:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.065$ So earlier studies that I've showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:41:14.065 --> 00:41:16.030 have mainly focused on amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:16.096 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.519$ because that was available to us and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.768$ A lot of people believe more that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:23.768 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.990$ amyloid is really the most important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.306$ A protein that was the driver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.306$ I think there's a big shift that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}41{:}32.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.930$ now think that Tao and Larry tangles

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.620$ have received a lot more attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.525$ What I want to make clear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}41{:}42.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44.755$ every body understands is that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:44.755 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.020$ we talk about clinical dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}41{:}47.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.386$ that's the time point that is fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:41:49.386 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.740$ at the end of this trajectory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:41:51.740 --> 00:41:55.540 The process itself often starts

 $00:41:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.660$ decades before the clinical symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}41{:}59.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.362$ and so there is a gradual increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:02.362 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.181$ in amyloid, but also Tau.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:04.181 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.981$ We now think that Tau might actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:06.981 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.946$ driving a lot more of the changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:42:09.946 --> 00:42:13.640 Tao is also much easier to localize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:42:13.640 --> 00:42:15.980 and there are different Brooks stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.730$ and it has different dynamics, that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}42{:}21.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}25.258$ Uhm? Myself and Jasmine are at chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.710$ Well, we have a grant together

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}42{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.500$ looking at Tao up in PET scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}42{:}33.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.445$ which is something that became

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:35.445 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.870$ available over the last few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.888$ And we were interested in looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:42:41.888 --> 00:42:45.628 early Tau changes in older patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.325$ and to correlate that with their

 $00:42:49.325 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.730$ sleep to see if there's any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:42:51.730 --> 00:42:53.586 If there's anything there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:42:53.586 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.966$ And So what we found is this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:42:57.966 --> 00:43:01.830 fairly fresh data, unpublished.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:43:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.194$ This is from participants of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:43:04.194 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.648$ habit aging brain study which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:43:06.648 --> 00:43:08.658 a longitudinal study looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}43{:}08.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.580$ healthy aging and its control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}43{:}11.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.532$ People have regular repeated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:43:14.532 --> 00:43:16.539 scans and cognitive testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00{:}43{:}16.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.452$ and we added a home sleep studies for PSG's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:43:20.452 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.308$ And what we've found is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:43:23.308 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.147$ in areas that are early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:43:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.359$ affected in dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:43:27.359 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.374$ which is the enter rhinal

00:43:29.374 --> 00:43:31.119 and inferior temporal Tau,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:43:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.303$ there is a correlation between increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

 $00:43:34.303 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.567$ Tau and the amount of slow wave sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85633916

00:43:38.570 --> 00:43:39.260 And this. NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

 $00:43:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.090$ Is also 8. Remains even

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

 $00:43:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.015$ when you control for age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

 $00:43:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.440$ so that's very solid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

00:43:50.440 --> 00:43:54.164 It doesn't depend on the amyloid burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

 $00:43:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.300$ so this is completely independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

00:43:57.300 --> 00:44:01.799 of how much amyloid a person has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

 $00{:}44{:}01.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.678$ so it's not an additional effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

 $00:44:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.719$ So Tau seems to have its own

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

00:44:07.719 --> 00:44:10.739 mechanism on and effect on

NOTE Confidence: 0.805119166666667

 $00:44:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.410$ slow wave slow wave modulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

00:44:18.680 --> 00:44:22.360 And finally, I also want to point out

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00{:}44{:}22.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.188$ that we saw that less REM sleep was also

 $00:44:26.188 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.970$ associated with Greater Talbert and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00:44:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.490$ of the points that I would like to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00:44:31.559 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.023$ is that people often hyperfocus on slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00:44:34.023 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.450$ wave sleep and and delta waves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00:44:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.394$ and if we can only restore delta waves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

00:44:39.400 --> 00:44:41.500 people will be cognitively intact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

00:44:41.500 --> 00:44:43.018 I don't think it's that simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00:44:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.172$ I think there's many studies that have now

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00{:}44{:}46.172 \longrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.828$ shown not just our results, but others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00{:}44{:}48.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.834$ That REM sleep also seems to play an

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00:44:51.834 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.804$ important role and seems to decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94504224222222

 $00:44:54.804 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.839$ the setting of cognitive impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00{:}45{:}00.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.564$ So in the end, it's really becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00{:}45{:}05.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.986$ a mix of factors that weight into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.760$ Sleep and cognition.

 $00:45:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.383$ And if we stop with sleep fragmentation from

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00{:}45{:}18.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.100$ our say we saw that it changes cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:45:23.100 --> 00:45:25.130 Doesn't change, doesn't make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.160$ person more susceptible to dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.120$ That's very difficult to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.137$ There are some studies that suggest that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.884$ but I think there are also a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:35.884 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.400$ of people with severe OSA who

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.136$ not everybody becomes demented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:40.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.020$ so they're obviously other factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.324$ that play a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.850$ The accumulation of amyloid and Tau in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.830$ itself can cause further sleep fragmentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00{:}45{:}50.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.676$ and so it becomes suspicious cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.690$ 1. Last aspect that I want to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:45:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.919$ about is as the AP Epsilon E4,

 $00:46:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.978$ which is a risk factor for as

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:46:02.978 --> 00:46:04.850 many of you know, for dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.530$ So if you have one allele,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.150$ you have a higher risk if you have two

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:08.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.320$ alleles, you have even higher risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:46:11.320 --> 00:46:14.840 Many studies have shown that if you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:46:14.840 --> 00:46:16.796 If you're at a point you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:46:16.796 --> 00:46:18.100 carrier and for carrier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.228$ and you have OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:19.228 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.920$ you have much higher risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:46:20.980 --> 00:46:23.570 having cognitive problems or have

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.750$ more pronounced cognitive problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.140$ Well, this is also unpublished data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00{:}46{:}29.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.380$ although I showed it at the last week

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:46:32.380 --> 00:46:35.129 meeting is that we look at young,

 $00:46:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.090$ healthy participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.120$ They were tested with Wechsler

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.281$ tests so they were they had no

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:44.281 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.385$ subjective and objective cognitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.430$ Problems we had a group of April E4

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.070$ carriers and a group of non carriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:46:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.198$ We have them all to do the declarative

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:46:57.198 --> 00:47:01.520 memory test and the input for carriers

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:47:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.845$ also showed far less overnight memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:47:04.845 --> 00:47:07.460 consolidation compared to the healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:47:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.443$ healthy non carious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

00:47:09.443 --> 00:47:13.265 And the April 4 carriers actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:47:13.265 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.385$ show at the same level as the OSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00{:}47{:}17.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.400$ patients and this just speaks again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91644327375

 $00:47:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.210$ unfortunately to the fact that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831894767857143

 $00:47:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.551$ Cognitive decline people

 $00:47:25.551 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.053$ usually use the analogy of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831894767857143

 $00:47:28.053 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.927$ iceberg starts very early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831894767857143

00:47:29.930 --> 00:47:32.306 It's very subtle, but that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.831894767857143

 $00:47:32.306 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.349$ actually be the very vulnerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.831894767857143

 $00:47:34.349 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.030$ time when we might be able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925046552

 $00:47:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.432$ Provide treatment for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.925046552

 $00:47:41.432 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.650$ people because a lot of times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00{:}47{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.850$ Once clinical symptoms starts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:47:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.496$ we have seen it in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00{:}47{:}51.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.784$ you cannot reverse dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00{:}47{:}52.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.290$ You can improve some of the symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

00:47:55.290 --> 00:47:58.405 Some of the attention if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00{:}47{:}58.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.167$ somebody with dementia who also has OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

00:48:01.170 --> 00:48:03.360 I might put temporarily be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.550$ a little bit more alert,

 $00:48:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.850$ but you really can't reverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.210$ the the trip trajectory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.708$ so it's probably a matter of timing and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:13.708 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.972$ the kind of treatment that we can provide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:16.972 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.744$ but there's definitely a strong correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

00:48:19.744 --> 00:48:22.989 between sleep and and cognition and dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00{:}48{:}22.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.922$ hopefully with better biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

00:48:24.922 --> 00:48:28.838 We can determine who is at higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:28.838 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.093$ and and then treatment to be determined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

00:48:32.100 --> 00:48:35.620 So ending with Christoph Niemann,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.810$ who's a did, a list of goodnight and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.000$ a list of drawings for The New Yorker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.544$ But goodnight and good luck getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00{:}48{:}46.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.798$ good night's sleep is actually a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

00:48:48.798 --> 00:48:51.138 more complicated than what we think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592446

 $00:48:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.630$ Thank you.

 $00:48:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.145$ Doctor John logic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

 $00{:}49{:}00.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03.017$ Thank you very much for reviewing

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

00:49:03.017 --> 00:49:06.158 that fascinating presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

 $00:49:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.010$ If folks can submit questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

 $00:49:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.832$ into the chat, we can help plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

 $00:49:11.832 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.646$ Moderate this Aahe and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

 $00:49:14.646 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.286$ Stuart men just posted one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

00:49:18.290 --> 00:49:20.385 Do patients with longstanding by

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

 $00:49:20.385 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.480$ history untreated OSA have higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.846374405

 $00:49:22.553 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.779$ beta amyloid levels in their brain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.366$ That's a question we don't I?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.640$ I mean I would love.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.100$ Uhm, that's that's \$1,000,000 question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00{:}49{:}33.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.480$ I think that there's no way to trace back

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.219$ when OSA started and how severe it was.

 $00:49:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.056$ It's sort of a gradual process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.928$ I think there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.868$ On on maybe some prospective studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

00:49:47.868 --> 00:49:49.849 that are starting to look at it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.340$ but I think for now we don't have an answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.940$ I'm not necessarily sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.088$ it's just a matter of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:49:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.738$ I think there are other factors that it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.188$ I think the person's ability to deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:05.188 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.380$ OSA and itself that also plays a big role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00{:}50{:}08.380 \to 00{:}50{:}10.370$ The ability to generate slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

00:50:10.370 --> 00:50:12.360 wave sleep despite having OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.870$ you see that in some participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667 00:50:15.870 --> 00:50:16.508 We also, NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

00:50:16.508 --> 00:50:19.629 you know we have people in our group of hot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.612$ The habit aging brain study who in

 $00{:}50{:}22.612 \to 00{:}50{:}25.484$ the 80s and 90s now and and they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:25.484 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.010$ their first sleep study in their life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.238$ They have no symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:28.238 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.466$ They have no sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.962$ They are fine and I think it's and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:32.962 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.470$ probably had it for for a really long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

00:50:35.470 --> 00:50:37.774 So I think it's I'm not sure if

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:37.774 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.348$ it's a it's a time effect as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00{:}50{:}40.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.273$ as it's the cognitive reserve or

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

00:50:42.273 --> 00:50:44.199 the ability the way the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00{:}50{:}44.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45.900$ deals with sleep deprivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817227956666667

 $00:50:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.970$ Skip fragmentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

 $00{:}50{:}50.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.126$ Wonderful and a couple more popping up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

00:50:52.130 --> 00:50:53.266 Doctor Gary is asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

 $00:50:53.266 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.348$ do you think the lack of improvement

 $00:50:55.348 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.820$ in memory after one night of C PAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

 $00:50:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.422$ use was related to the potential sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

00:51:00.422 --> 00:51:04.274 disruption from the first night of PAP use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

00:51:04.274 --> 00:51:07.182 Could it? That I could see Pap actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

 $00:51:07.182 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.011$ worse than some elements of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

00:51:09.011 --> 00:51:10.576 because patients were not yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.88526159375

 $00:51:10.576 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.320$ customed to sleeping with the mask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865010083333333

 $00:51:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.140$ But if it did, it wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8650100833333333

 $00:51:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.664$ We didn't measure it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865010083333333

 $00:51:16.664 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.460$ they didn't have a high arousal index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865010083333333

 $00{:}51{:}19.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.497$ It is possible that there was some

NOTE Confidence: 0.865010083333333

 $00:51:21.497 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.319$ things that we didn't measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865010083333333

 $00:51:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.630$ but I think at least from the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761463686

 $00{:}51{:}27.700 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31.258$ Looking at, I actually did look

NOTE Confidence: 0.761463686

 $00:51:31.258 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.810$ at sleep spindles to come and

NOTE Confidence: 0.761463686

 $00:51:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.455$ they didn't seem to recover,

 $00:51:37.455 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.575$ so it could be that there my my

NOTE Confidence: 0.761463686

 $00:51:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.664$ more thinking that there are deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.761463686

00:51:44.664 --> 00:51:48.202 structural changes that don't recover

NOTE Confidence: 0.761463686

 $00:51:48.202 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.005$ overnight rather than that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.761463686

 $00:51:51.005 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.510$ the CPAP or the treatment imposing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665662716

 $00:51:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.640$ Fragmentation or or some some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665662716

 $00:51:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.220$ Affecting the quality of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821072669285714

00:52:04.870 --> 00:52:06.748 Doctor Yagi asks do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.821072669285714

 $00:52:06.748 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.000$ sleep effects are potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.821072669285714

 $00:52:08.053 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.749$ limited to Alzheimer's dementia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821072669285714

 $00:52:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.422$ Or could it be playing a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.821072669285714

 $00{:}52{:}11.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.014$ other forms of dementia like Blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.821072669285714

 $00{:}52{:}13.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.414$ Lewy body or vascular dementia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.203$ I think it has the potential to play a

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

00:52:19.203 --> 00:52:21.635 role in in other dimensions as well.

00:52:21.640 --> 00:52:23.776 I mean, we know that many dimensions does

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:23.776 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.838$ also have from run behavior disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.060$ To sleep is definitely affected. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

00:52:32.060 --> 00:52:35.552 The question is how much of it it how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.927$ Is it? Is it parallel other because

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:38.927 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.717$ sleep and memory use the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:41.717 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.170$ networks and so could it be part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.250$ the same decline of a network that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

00:52:48.250 --> 00:52:50.497 Changes sleep and memory in parallel or

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:50.497 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.290$ is are they interfering with each other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00{:}52{:}53.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.215$ So that's I think the big question

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:55.215 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.059$ that is not really answered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

00:52:57.060 --> 00:52:58.764 We're hoping that with this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:52:58.764 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.605$ that we're doing right now where

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:53:00.605 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.585$ we actually follow participant

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00{:}53{:}02.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.565$ longitudinally with their sleep,

 $00:53:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.730$ so they have consecutive sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:53:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.458$ studies and imaging that we can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:53:09.458 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.243$ Maybe what is driving what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

00:53:11.250 --> 00:53:12.612 but I think it's difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893169010625

 $00:53:12.612 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.520$ tell at this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893776359444445

00:53:16.170 --> 00:53:18.949 And Stuart man asks, can you further

NOTE Confidence: 0.893776359444445

 $00:53:18.949 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.384$ discuss the relationship of slow wave

NOTE Confidence: 0.893776359444445

 $00:53:21.384 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.339$ sleep and spindle interact actions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:53:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.828$ Yeah, so they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00{:}53{:}26.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29.513$ Basically it's it's mostly slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:53:29.513 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.262$ oscillation that come from the cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:53:32.262 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.478$ that occur in the same. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:53:35.478 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.907$ in a certain timeliness with spindles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:53:38.907 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.100$ So there is that's this is called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:53:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.412$ Oscillation spindle coupling and they seem

00:53:46.412 --> 00:53:51.240 to if you have good memory consolidation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:53:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.270$ you usually have good coupling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00{:}53{:}54.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.380$ It's it's a, I think a a mirror of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:53:58.492 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.820$ well your brain is consolidating these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00.54:01.820 \longrightarrow 00.54:03.200$ It's functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:54:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.369$ And as people get older just by aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

00:54:08.370 --> 00:54:11.340 this coupling changes and and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00{:}54{:}11.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.670$ it becomes less connected and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:54:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.899$ we think that it it falls apart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:54:16.899 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.960$ This is 1 aspect of what might

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:54:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.739$ interfere with. Sleep and memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:54:23.739 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.678$ Like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:54:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.752$ I think if we just focus on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00{:}54{:}26.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28.598$ too much on slow it's sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00:54:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.408$ We actually lose track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889153782

 $00{:}54{:}30.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.010$ That REM sleep is also very important

 $00:54:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.400$ and often gets overlooked unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

 $00:54:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.785$ And then I will ask if N3 sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

 $00:54:43.785 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.565$ is critical for recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

00:54:45.570 --> 00:54:46.650 Although as you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

 $00:54:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.730$ not the whole story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

00:54:47.730 --> 00:54:50.026 Given that it naturally diminishes with age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

 $00:54:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.656$ do you think there's a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

 $00{:}54{:}51.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.494$ that the recovery and learning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

00:54:53.494 --> 00:54:55.600 you can generate with CPAP therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.898981014

 $00:54:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.810$ diminishes inherently with age?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76142601

 $00:54:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.416$ Your ability to recover diminishes with age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728760331428571

 $00:55:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.820$ Yeah, do you hypothesize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.728760331428571

 $00:55:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.498$ that's the that's the case?

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479199

00:55:08.670 --> 00:55:10.770 It depends, I guess on how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479199

00:55:10.770 --> 00:55:12.127 you are recoverable, right?

00:55:12.127 --> 00:55:14.869 I mean, I think that's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479199

 $00{:}55{:}14.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}17.471$ also very depends on how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479199

 $00:55:17.471 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.757$ your brain is able to recover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479199

 $00:55:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.496$ but there's definitely a

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479199

 $00:55:21.496 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.758$ correlation, so if you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00{:}55{:}24.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27.438$ Recover so we even saw that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00:55:27.438 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.712$ our little group. If they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00:55:29.712 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.682$ they were definitely some participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

00:55:31.682 --> 00:55:34.078 who didn't recover as much and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00:55:34.078 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.749$ with with CPAP and so those are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00{:}55{:}36.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.402$ ones that showed the least memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00:55:39.402 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.360$ improvement and the ones that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00:55:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.480$ more recovery had more improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

00:55:45.480 --> 00:55:48.945 I think in general the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

00:55:48.945 --> 00:55:51.687 recover might be lower as you get older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00:55:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.365$ and the question whether it

 $00{:}55{:}53.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55.040$ makes a difference or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00:55:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.320$ I'm not sure, I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911187146

 $00:55:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.690$ It's a good question mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.365$ Well, I think we have gone through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:06.365 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.878$ all the questions in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.048$ so unless there's any other burning

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

00:56:11.048 --> 00:56:14.135 questions, I think we all greatly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

00:56:14.135 --> 00:56:16.752 appreciate a fantastic talk on dry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:16.752 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.360$ Not sure if you have anything else to add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:19.360 \dashrightarrow 00:56:20.837$ This was great. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00{:}56{:}20.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.279$ I mean I I think that my my only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00{:}56{:}23.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.445$ question was you know the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:25.445 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.265$ randomized smoke with trial of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00{:}56{:}27.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29.257$ new outcomes and sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.900$ Apples showed no impact on.

00:56:31.900 --> 00:56:33.988 Neuro cognition, including memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:33.988 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.130$ verbal and learning, and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.125$ I was just wondering if maybe you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:38.125 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.189$ could speak a little bit to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

00:56:40.189 --> 00:56:41.925 reasons why you think that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:41.925 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.916$ be the case and how we might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00{:}56{:}43.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.476$ Re address that question with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134306

 $00:56:45.476 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.360$ a better trial at some point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00{:}56{:}47.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.238$ Yeah, that's I just want that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:56:50.238 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.553$ what I've met with the this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00{:}56{:}52.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54.568$ This streetlight effect I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:56:54.568 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.049$ the problem is the is twofold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:56:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.390$ One is the there's several trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:56:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.931$ but I think in the apples where

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:01.931 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.719$ participants only wore it for

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

00:57:03.719 --> 00:57:05.585 three or four hours per night.

 $00:57:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.918$ So it might be the amount of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00{:}57{:}08.918 \to 00{:}57{:}11.450$ that people you know the compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.130$ We had a small group as you saw 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.970$ 16 in each group and we had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.089$ research assistant who was available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.268$ We had somebody who was a trained

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:23.268 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.501$ respiratory therapist in the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:25.501 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.880$ who gave them really, we had mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.256$ I mean, we offered them the service that

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:30.256 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.597$ you could normally not offer in real life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.610$ So that was one part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.234$ but I think the more important one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00{:}57{:}36.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39.170$ the type of memory test that you use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.510$ So if you use the traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

00:57:41.600 --> 00:57:43.310 neurocognitive tests,

 $00:57:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.114$ that neuropsychologists are using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

00:57:45.114 --> 00:57:48.195 If you do one one time testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:48.195 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.320$ which is what Apple used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.160$ you're not gonna see a lot of effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.858$ UM, this one time testing if

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:54.858 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.769$ we do that with with Allah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

00:57:56.770 --> 00:57:57.474 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:57:57.474 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.234$ usually when patients are tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00{:}57{:}59.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01.181$ or participants are tested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:58:01.181 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.843$ evening and they learn a test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00{:}58{:}02.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.100$ you don't see a big difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

00:58:05.100 --> 00:58:06.600 during that training sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

00:58:06.600 --> 00:58:09.743 which is similar to when you give

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

 $00:58:09.743 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.658$ them the number of backwards or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68414566

00:58:12.660 --> 00:58:14.949 You know any of the other traditional?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:58:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.428$ Neurocognitive tests,

 $00:58:18.428 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.700$ but it's only when you test them a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:58:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.556$ second time over over a night of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00.58:24.560 \longrightarrow 00.58:26.622$ that you really see and. And really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

00:58:26.622 --> 00:58:28.750 I mean I stopped at one point because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00{:}58{:}28.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31.963$ you know I had a grand and I did

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

00:58:31.963 --> 00:58:33.559 emotional procedural. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:58:33.559 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.954$ we did various types of memories and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00{:}58{:}36.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}39.330$ patients were impaired on all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:58:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.176$ Whatever we tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

00:58:40.176 --> 00:58:42.570 So at some point you're just going to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00{:}58{:}42.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}45.306$ OK, I don't need to do this anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

00:58:45.310 --> 00:58:46.576 This, you know, it doesn't make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00{:}58{:}46.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}48.360$ Yeah I should more testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:58:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.050$ I think that. So using the proper test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:58:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.988$ now that it's still a question,

 $00:58:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.510$ are they also reflective?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

00:58:56.510 --> 00:58:59.270 If you perform poorly on these tests?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:58:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.946$ Does that mean that that also

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:59:01.946 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.710$ shows a risk for dementia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:59:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.747$ Or you know how does this you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

00:59:06.747 --> 00:59:08.590 know there's still a missing link?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:59:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.260$ I think with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00{:}59{:}11.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}13.766$ But I think in in the immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00{:}59{:}13.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.689$ improvement and and looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89086198777778

 $00:59:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.746$ I think people need to use different tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940429501666667

 $00{:}59{:}22.220 \to 00{:}59{:}23.978$ Alright, well thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940429501666667

 $00:59:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.150$ I appreciate it and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.940429501666667

00:59:26.150 --> 00:59:28.320 will see you next month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940429501666667

00:59:28.320 --> 00:59:29.732 Thank you everybody, that's good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940429501666667

 $00:59:29.732 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.489$ Thanks for having me by bye.