WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:57:40.6500000"

NOTE recognizability:0.793

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.108 So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:00:02.108 \rightarrow 00:00:04.696$ I want to introduce today's speaker

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:00:04.696 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.645$ and it's a really pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:00:06.645 --> 00:00:08.909 introduce Doctor Cyrus Caldani,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}00{:}08{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}927$ who's a former Yale Pomarico care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:00:11.927 --> 00:00:14.737 and and Sleep Medicine Fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}00{:}14.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.100$ He is also an alma mater from Ohh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:00:18.100 --> 00:00:20.214 He's also a faculty at my former

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}00{:}20{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22{.}010$ alma mater Beth Israel Deaconess

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}00{:}22.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.454$ Medical Center at Harvard now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}00{:}24.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.072$ just give you a few Nuggets about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}00{:}27.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.059$ Cyrus who is an incredible physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:00:30.060 \rightarrow 00:00:30.588$ And educator.

00:00:30.588 --> 00:00:33.070 And so he was born in New York City, NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00:00:33.070 \dashrightarrow 00:00:35.025$ and then immediately after that NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 00:00:35.025 --> 00:00:36.980 he earned his Physiology degree NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 00:00:37.043 - > 00:00:39.630 at Georgetown before he got his NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 00:00:39.630 --> 00:00:41.855 training medical training at Drexel. NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00:00:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.145$ He then moved to beautiful NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00{:}00{:}44.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.973$ Charlottesville for his residency NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00:00:45.973 \rightarrow 00:00:47.947$ and training in internal medicine, NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00:00:47.947 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.681$ and then moved on to Yale NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 00:00:49.681 --> 00:00:51.398 for pulmonary critical care, NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00{:}00{:}51{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54{.}928$ where he did research with doctor NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 00:00:54.928 --> 00:00:57.594 with our own doctor Mossanen, NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00:00:57.594 \rightarrow 00:01:00.276$ and had done some significant work NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00:01:00.276 \rightarrow 00:01:02.225$ on relationships in hypertension NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00:01:02.225 \rightarrow 00:01:04.197$ and sleep disorder breathing. NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575 $00:01:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.528$ And so he then moved on to do

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00{:}01{:}06.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.270$ his pulmonary vascular disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- 00:01:08.270 --> 00:01:09.896 fellowship at Stanford,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00:01:09.900 \rightarrow 00:01:12.636$ where he then joined the faculty.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00:01:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.728$ And then in 2018,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00:01:14.728 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.022$ he moved to the Beth Israel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00:01:18.022 \rightarrow 00:01:20.426$ Deaconess and now Leahy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- 00:01:20.430 --> 00:01:21.678 Medical Center associate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00:01:21.678 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.926$ affiliated with Harvard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00:01:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.900$ where he directs the Pulmonary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- 00:01:24.900 --> 00:01:25.688 Hypertension Center,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00:01:25.690 \rightarrow 00:01:27.832$ and he's highly involved in teaching
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00{:}01{:}27.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.260$ residents and fellows there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- 00:01:29.260 --> 00:01:30.433 And so Cyrus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- 00:01:30.433 --> 00:01:33.170 like me as a lover of Physiology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575
- $00{:}01{:}33{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34{.}945$ as evidenced by his publication
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:01:34.945 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.236$ record and his published work on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:01:37.236 --> 00:01:39.064 the intersection of pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:01:39.064 --> 00:01:39.978 arterial hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:01:39.980 --> 00:01:41.273 pulmonary hypertension and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:01:41.273 --> 00:01:42.566 sleep disorder breathing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}01{:}42.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.554$ addressing some mechanistic links

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}01{:}44.554$ --> $00{:}01{:}46.538$ and also clinical implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:01:46.540 --> 00:01:48.244 And his recent work has actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:01:48.244 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.849$ focused on some different implication

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

00:01:49.849 --> 00:01:51.497 too for pulmonary circulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}01{:}51{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52{.}586$ including vascular pruning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:01:52.586 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.396$ It's a paper those recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}01{:}54.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.500$ published in chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00{:}01{:}55{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00{.}414$ And so I am very excited to hear Cyrus's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:02:00.414 \dashrightarrow 00:02:02.178$ talk today on the intersection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:02:02.178 \dashrightarrow 00:02:04.539$ the PD and sleep disorder breathing.

00:02:04.540 --> 00:02:04.956 So Cyrus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:02:04.956 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.580$ take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763470575

 $00:02:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.030$ Welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

00:02:06.280 --> 00:02:08.140 Thank you so much, Andre.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:02:08.140 \dashrightarrow 00:02:10.624$ Glad to see your face, hear your voice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

00:02:10.624 --> 00:02:12.575 And then before this started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}12.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.985$ I was sort of running through

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}13.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.702$ a list of names with Debbie to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}15{.}702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17{.}390$ find out who's still at Yale and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}17{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20{.}594$ Would love to be back in grab a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:02:20.594 \dashrightarrow 00:02:23.949$ Kati roll if those are still around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:02:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.776$ Uh, this is the same disclosure

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:02:26.776 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.189$ and accreditation slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}28.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.780$ the outline of today's talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:02:29.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.410$ We're gonna,

 $00:02:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.615$ I'll start with sort of recent changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}32.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.117$ in the diagnosis and definition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}117$ --> $00{:}02{:}37{.}134$ pulmonary vascular disease and then NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:02:37.134 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.738$ we'll jump right to sort of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:02:39.738 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.226$ how to use existing phenotypes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}42.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.786$ try and describe a relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

00:02:44.786 --> 00:02:47.150 between pH and sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:02:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.542$ Will highlight the unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}532$ relationship between pH and obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}52{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54{.}502$ hyperventilation syndrome over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}54{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56{.}854$ course of the talk will be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}56.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.091$ about the implications of CPAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}02{:}59{.}091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00{.}826$ and noninvasive positive pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}03{:}00{.}826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}866$ ventilation on those two conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:02.866 \dashrightarrow 00:03:04.590$ and probably vascular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:04.590 \rightarrow 00:03:05.958$ And then if there is time,

 $00{:}03{:}05{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07{.}794$ I I do have an interesting case

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:07.794 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.166$ to finish up with that I I hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:10.166 \rightarrow 00:03:11.686$ you guys would find interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

00:03:11.690 --> 00:03:14.546 So just as a you know background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:14.550 \rightarrow 00:03:17.510$ there was a seminal paper published in 2016.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

00:03:17.510 --> 00:03:19.750 Using the VA card database,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}03{:}19.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.286$ which is sort of a linking of all VM

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}03{:}24.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.574$ catheterization laboratories in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}03{:}26{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29{.}364$ VA system that participated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:29.364 \rightarrow 00:03:32.300$ generation of a database and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.190$ an evaluation of almost 22,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.502$ very well characterized individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00:03:37.502 \rightarrow 00:03:41.800$ that underwent catheterizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}03{:}41.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.488$ Were able to demonstration very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.912377366

 $00{:}03{:}44{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47{.}287$ findings that changed our concept of

 $00{:}03{:}47{.}287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49{.}627$ what pulmonary hypertension should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}03{:}53{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55{.}910$ And importantly, what we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:03:55.910 --> 00:03:58.861 to establish is that the previous cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:03:58.861 \rightarrow 00:04:02.045$ off of the main peer pressure of 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}04{:}02{.}045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}565$ and above missed a significant amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06{.}160$ of clinically significant disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}04{:}06{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09{.}287$ And it was based on this paper that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}285$ we changed the criteria to mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}04{:}11{.}285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13{.}168$ peer pressure of 20 and above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:04:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.310$ And you can see here that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:04:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.150$ have 3 categories here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:04:18.150 --> 00:04:20.710 You know, your reference is sort of your,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:04:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.113$ your, your previous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:04:23.113 -> 00:04:27.424 Um, criteria of 25 and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:04:27.424 \rightarrow 00:04:28.640$ middle line here is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}04{:}28.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.226$ I mean you have person 1924 and then

- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- 00:04:31.226 --> 00:04:33.518 lastly is a normal OK pressures
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00{:}04{:}33{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}360$ and you can see that even having a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:04:36.360 \rightarrow 00:04:38.460$ slightly elevated PA pressure results
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- 00:04:38.460 --> 00:04:40.916 in a significant increase in risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:04:40.916 \rightarrow 00:04:43.004$ and a reduction in the probability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00{:}04{:}43.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.542$ of survival and then also increases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:04:45.542 \rightarrow 00:04:47.314$ your chance of hospitalization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- 00:04:47.320 --> 00:04:47.614 Importantly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:04:47.614 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.966$ it's sort of hard to see on here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:04:49.966 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.999$ on this graph,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:04:51.000 \rightarrow 00:04:54.864$ but the hazard ratio that increased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:04:54.864 \rightarrow 00:04:58.151$ that happens between 20 and 2121
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:04:58.151 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.319$ and 22 all the way up to 25,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00{:}05{:}00{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}732$ each of those one millimeter mercury
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:05:02.732 \rightarrow 00:05:05.232$ increments is of greater clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07{.}847$ significance than any one millimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}05{:}07.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.478$ mercury increment that follows it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:05:10.480 --> 00:05:12.657 This study was also able to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:05:12.657 \dashrightarrow 00:05:14.968$ that the risk that comes along with

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}05{:}14.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.942$ having this mean pay pressure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:05:17.008 \dashrightarrow 00:05:18.408$ 20 and above occurs irrespective

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:05:18.408 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.078$ of what the PR is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:05:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.090$ So whether you're a low PVR

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}05{:}22.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.400$ patient or a high PVR patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:05:24.400 \rightarrow 00:05:26.906$ having a mean pay pressure that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}05{:}26{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29{.}488$ 20 or above increases your risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}750$ mortality and your risk of hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:05:31.750 --> 00:05:34.070 Fast forward a couple years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}05{:}34.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.408$ there was a paper using similar data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:05:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.204$ same database,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:05:37.204 \dashrightarrow 00:05:39.586$ that was able to establish that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:05:39.586 \dashrightarrow 00:05:41.940$ the previous PVR definition for pH,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00{:}05{:}41{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44{.}348$ which was three wood units and above,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:05:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.280$ similarly was missing a lot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:05:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.624$ of morbidity and mortality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00{:}05{:}49{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}353$ The red lines here sort of reflect
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00{:}05{:}52{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}462$ the density of patients that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:05:54.462 \rightarrow 00:05:57.024$ exist at any given PVR value,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:05:57.030 \rightarrow 00:05:59.856$ and the blue line here represents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:05:59.856 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.740$ the increase in mortality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:06:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.520$ And you can see that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:06:03.520 \rightarrow 00:06:06.526$ In individuals that have many pressures
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:06:06.526 \rightarrow 00:06:11.126$ of 19 or above having a PVR that is above.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:06:11.130 \rightarrow 00:06:13.678$ Two, although this one really is 2.2,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- 00:06:13.678 --> 00:06:15.382 does confer significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857
- $00:06:15.382 \rightarrow 00:06:17.654$ increase in mortality and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:06:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.400$ As we mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:06:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.140$ this increase in mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:06:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.470$ occurs both with subjects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:06:23.470 --> 00:06:25.314 have classic pH Physiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}06{:}25{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27{.}480$ which is to say I mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:06:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.678$ pressure that's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}06{:}28.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.590$ 19 or above in the setting of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:06:30.656 --> 00:06:32.956 low wedge pressure or pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:06:32.956 --> 00:06:34.336 venous hypertension Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00{:}06{:}34{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}392$ So if you're PR is over 2 and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:06:36.392 \rightarrow 00:06:38.480$ have pulmonary venous hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:06:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.032$ same thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

00:06:39.032 --> 00:06:40.412 increased risk of mortality and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834479969642857

 $00:06:40.412 \rightarrow 00:06:42.010$ increased risk of hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}06{:}44.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.388$ The sum total of all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}06{:}47.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.012$ recommendations sort of came

 $00:06:49.012 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.486$ to a head in the fall of 2022,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00:06:51.486 \rightarrow 00:06:53.616$ where the European Respiratory Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

00:06:53.616 --> 00:06:55.819 and European Society of Cardiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00:06:55.819 \rightarrow 00:06:58.399$ released their new set of guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}06{:}58{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}992$ And define pulmonary hypertension NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

00:07:00.992 - 00:07:05.309 and it's a subtypes as follows PH-20

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00:07:05.309 \dashrightarrow 00:07:08.312$ and above precapillary pH is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

00:07:08.312 --> 00:07:10.599 your your classic low wedge pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}07{:}10.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.880$ but the PR cut off here is now too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00:07:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.625$ And then we've isolated post

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

00:07:14.625 --> 00:07:16.370 capillary combined pre and post

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}07{:}16.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.349$ capillary and then exercise page also

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}07{:}18.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.559$ made it back into the definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

00:07:20.560 --> 00:07:22.048 So now we're going to step back five

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}07{:}22.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.629$ years to the previous set of guidelines

 $00:07:23.629 \dashrightarrow 00:07:25.281$ and instead of looking at the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00:07:25.281 \rightarrow 00:07:26.619$ dynamics as we know that changed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00:07:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.318$ I want to just look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

00:07:28.320 --> 00:07:30.108 Our Group 3 pH paradigm which

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}07{:}30{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32{.}908$ was um pH in the setting of lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00:07:32.908 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.436$ disease and or hypoxia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}07{:}34.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.240$ and then importantly both sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}07{:}37{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39{.}480$ disorder breathing and alveolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

00:07:39.480 --> 00:07:41.139 hypoventilation disorders were listed

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00{:}07{:}41.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.810$ as causes of pH or Group 3 pH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888029104

 $00:07:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.660$ specifically and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:07:49.570 --> 00:07:51.460 Group 2 PAH, which is P secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}07{:}51.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.150$ left side of heart disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}07{:}53.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.470$ They did recommend evaluating for

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}07{:}55{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57{.}790$ sleep apnea syndrome and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:07:57.870 - 00:07:59.806 commodities as well before any

- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:07:59.806 \rightarrow 00:08:01.196$ consideration of treatment of pH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:08:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.195$ So there's a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:08:02.195 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.190$ of a mixed picture here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00{:}08{:}03{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}270$ but it's clear that sleep apnea appears
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:08:06.270 \dashrightarrow 00:08:08.909$ in the guidelines Fast forward to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00{:}08{:}08{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.054$ The new aesthetic guidelines
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00{:}08{:}11.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.910$ 2022 and I quote the authors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:08:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.085$ instead of the general term
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- 00:08:14.085 --> 00:08:14.790 sleep disorder breathing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:08:14.790 \rightarrow 00:08:16.310$ the term hypoventilation syndrome should
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:08:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.474$ be used within Group 3 to describe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00{:}08{:}18.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.250$ conditions with increased risk of pH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00{:}08{:}20.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.754$ Sole nocturnal obstructive sleep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00{:}08{:}21.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.430$ apnea is generally not a cause of pH,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00{:}08{:}24{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26{.}500$ but pH is frequent in patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:08:26.500 \rightarrow 00:08:27.535$ with hypoventilation syndromes

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:08:27.535 --> 00:08:28.930 causing daytime hypercapnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:08:28.930 --> 00:08:30.970 OK, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}08{:}30{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32{.}610$ Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}08{:}32.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.584$ Now the problem here is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}08{:}34{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36{.}345$ really isn't much else on sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:08:36.345 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.049$ apnea in these guidelines at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:08:38.050 --> 00:08:40.726 so it's sort of just subtracted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}08{:}40{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44{.}366$ But we know based on our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:08:44.370 --> 00:08:46.248 You know, reality in our clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}08{:}46{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47{.}830$ experience that there's something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:08:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.128$ So let's go back in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:08:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.066$ This is before I was born,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:08:51.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.552$ but there was a study in 1976 that looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}08{:}53.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.310$ at 12 subjects with severe sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:08:56.310 \rightarrow 00:08:57.624$ There's no way you get IRB

- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- 00:08:57.624 --> 00:08:58.810 approval for this again today,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:08:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.606$ but these patients were all catheterized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:01.606 \rightarrow 00:09:03.957$ with radial and pulmonary arterial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:03.957 \rightarrow 00:09:06.007$ catheters and they were just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00{:}09{:}06{.}007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}909$ allowed to sleep and have as many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:08.909 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.894$ appeic episodes as they desired.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:10.900 \rightarrow 00:09:14.540$ You can see that during waking hours,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.385$ there was probably a couple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:16.385 \rightarrow 00:09:18.480$ subjects that had mean pressures of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- 00:09:18.480 --> 00:09:19.272 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:19.272 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.460$ 20 or above.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:20.460 \rightarrow 00:09:23.490$ But importantly with sleep there was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- 00:09:23.490 --> 00:09:25.005 significant pulmonary hypertension
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- 00:09:25.005 --> 00:09:27.232 that developed oftentimes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333
- $00:09:27.232 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.690$ the setting of systolic and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:09:29.690 --> 00:09:31.090 systemic hypertension as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:09:31.090 --> 00:09:31.640 But nonetheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:09:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.565$ this is a fact of sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:09:33.570 \rightarrow 00:09:36.858$ We know this is happening overnight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}09{:}36{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38{.}935$ The exact prevalence is sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}09{:}38{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}595$ of difficult to ascertain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}09{:}40.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.576$ The studies that look for pH and OSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:09:44.580 --> 00:09:49.298 have non uniform diagnostic criteria for pH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:09:49.300 --> 00:09:51.050 PA pressures of mean pressures

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:09:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.080$ of 20 mean papers of 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:09:53.080 \dashrightarrow 00:09:55.282$ The modalities used to diagnose the

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:09:55.282 --> 00:09:57.184 pH echocardiogram or relocation buried

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:09:57.184 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.452$ in the patient populations were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:09:59.452 --> 00:10:00.860 particularly phenotyped at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:00.860 \rightarrow 00:10:03.768$ so there was a lot of variants and subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:10:03.768 --> 00:10:07.330 Some studies had a high fraction

 $00:10:07.330 \rightarrow 00:10:09.555$ of subjects with significant COPD

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}10{:}09{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}260$ as others had not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.498$ but within all these limitations there

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:13.498 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.581$ is a prevalence range of between

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:15.581 \rightarrow 00:10:19.626 12$ and 34% across a variety of studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:10:19.630 --> 00:10:20.654 More recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:20.654 \rightarrow 00:10:24.313$ the group out of Cleveland Clinic looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:10:24.313 --> 00:10:28.394 at a cohort of almost 500 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:28.400 \rightarrow 00:10:30.572$ That had right heart catheter relations

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:30.572 \rightarrow 00:10:34.488$ done within two years of polysomnography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:10:34.490 --> 00:10:37.220 And they find some, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.602$ interesting findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:38.602 \rightarrow 00:10:43.439$ notably that the HIV doesn't seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:10:43.439 --> 00:10:46.446 discriminate between developing pH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:46.446 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.776$ which is these three cohorts,

00:10:48.780 --> 00:10:52.777 or not having pH but having OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00{:}10{:}52.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.160$ What did correlate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.620$ however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.596$ was your T-90 and then also your

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:10:58.596 \rightarrow 00:11:00.354$ nature auction saturations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:11:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.424$ which they don't have listed on

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:11:02.424 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.494$ this table here as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:11:05.494 \rightarrow 00:11:09.022$ But the findings on the polysomnography

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:11:09.022 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:11:10.887 that were predictive of pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:11:10.887 --> 00:11:12.150 vascular disease didn't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:11:12.150 --> 00:11:13.830 do a good job of predicting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:11:13.830 --> 00:11:14.566 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

 $00:11:14.566 \rightarrow 00:11:16.038$ whether you pulmonary venous

NOTE Confidence: 0.678171770833333

00:11:16.038 --> 00:11:17.510 hypertension or pulmonary arterial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:11:17.563 \rightarrow 00:11:19.528$ hypertension or something that's mixed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:11:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.864$ We just know that these desaturations

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:11:21.864 --> 00:11:23.923 were happening and they're associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:11:23.923 --> 00:11:26.563 with pulmonary vascular disease of some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:11:26.563 \rightarrow 00:11:29.754$ variety and that's sort of like you know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752070949666667
- $00{:}11{:}29{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32{.}374$ clarified further here where it's the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00{:}11{:}32{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34{.}649$ the T-90 that really differentiates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:11:34.650 --> 00:11:37.856 Between having pH and not having pH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:11:37.860 --> 00:11:39.724 But it doesn't discriminate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:11:39.724 \rightarrow 00:11:41.710$ between the types of pH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:11:41.710 --> 00:11:43.460 AI, like I mentioned earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:11:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.654$ does not predict whether you have pH or not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:11:47.660 --> 00:11:50.528 OK. So, so now what it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:11:50.528 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.160$ out of the guidelines.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:11:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.356$ We know it exists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:11:53.356 --> 00:11:55.559 We know it's probably have some clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00{:}11{:}55{.}559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}641$ significance just because we know that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:11:57.641 --> 00:12:00.238 mild pH is of clinical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:12:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.220$ So what do we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752070949666667

 $00{:}12{:}02{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06{.}116$ And so in a lot of ways this talk is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}12{:}06{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08{.}974$ about my approach and a lot of credit

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:12:08.974 --> 00:12:11.408 should go to Doctor Mosimane because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:12:11.408 --> 00:12:15.016 when I was a pulmonary fellow and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}12{:}15.016$ --> $00{:}12{:}18.005$ were working with that set of guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:12:18.010 \rightarrow 00:12:21.205$ I assume that OSA belonged in Group 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}12{:}21{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25{.}719$ pH and he he was immediately doubtful of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}12{:}25{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29{.}269$ And thought it was much more related

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:12:29.269 \rightarrow 00:12:32.010$ to the consequent diabetology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}400$ So there is a role I think for sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:12:34.468 \rightarrow 00:12:36.472$ trying to phenotype these patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:12:36.472 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.440$ to that end in the Blue Journal 2014

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:12:39.440 \rightarrow 00:12:43.360$ there was a consensus statement made by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}12{:}43.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.560$ A group of pH specialists who suggested.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00{:}12{:}49{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}176$ That within pH there should be an effort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00{:}12{:}53{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55{.}724$ made to more adequately phenotype these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:12:55.724 \rightarrow 00:12:58.190$ patients just because there's a lot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752070949666667
- $00{:}12{:}58{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}671$ of diversity within that bucket of pH
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:13:00.671 --> 00:13:02.528 between congenital heart disease and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00{:}13{:}02{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}413$ HIV and portal pulmonary hypertension,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- 00:13:04.420 --> 00:13:05.890 a drug and toxin related pH,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752070949666667
- $00:13:05.890 \rightarrow 00:13:10.769$ there are the underlying causes are myriad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:13:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.378$ To that end,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:13:12.378 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.884$ the 2022 consent guidelines did actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:13:14.884 \rightarrow 00:13:17.730$ sort of move the needle on this a little bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00{:}13{:}17.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.732$ And that was based on a cluster
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00{:}13{:}19.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.818$ analysis that was done using one of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667
- $00:13:21.818 \rightarrow 00:13:23.570$ the big pH registries in Europe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752070949666667
- 00:13:23.570 00:13:25.950 which is the compare registry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:13:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.247$ And it was an analysis of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:13:29.247 --> 00:13:33.352 compare registry that generated 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.752070949666667

 $00{:}13{:}33{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37{.}568$ sort of main clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:13:37.570 \rightarrow 00:13:39.880$ The classic idiopathic PAH cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:13:39.880 --> 00:13:41.630 So that's your, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:13:41.630 --> 00:13:45.630 30-40 year old woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:13:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.676$ As opposed to a left heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:13:47.676 --> 00:13:49.794 phenotype or a cardio pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:13:49.794 --> 00:13:52.302 phenotype which are often men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:13:52.302 \rightarrow 00:13:53.218$ former smokers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:13:53.220 --> 00:13:56.310 low DL Co not particularly significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:13:56.310 --> 00:13:59.164 findings on CT scanning with risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}041$ factors left side of heart disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}478$ these patients have well we the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:14:05.478 \rightarrow 00:14:08.299$ classic idiopathic phenotype and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:14:08.299 \rightarrow 00:14:11.219$ two phenotypes have fairly different

 $00:14:11.219 \rightarrow 00:14:14.035$ responses to pH therapies both when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:14:14.035 \rightarrow 00:14:16.120$ comes to improvement in functional status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:14:16.120 \rightarrow 00:14:18.955$ Uh and then also sort of physiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:14:18.955 --> 00:14:21.280 improvement on subsequent catheterization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}14{:}21{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22{.}292$ Nonetheless in the guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:14:22.292 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.129$ they make a point to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25{.}755$ there are currently are still no

NOTE Confidence: 0.752070949666667

 $00{:}14{:}25.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.484$ evidence based rules for how best

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}14{:}27{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28{.}919$ to determine the patients phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:14:28.920 \rightarrow 00:14:31.916$ We just know that there's something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:14:31.920 --> 00:14:35.880 So what would an OSA pH phenotype look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}14{:}35{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}636$ We know that there's some relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00:14:39.640 \rightarrow 00:14:41.680$ but how would we go about trying to do so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}14{:}41.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.938$ And so this is my approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

 $00{:}14{:}44{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}761$ The guidelines do try and describe a

 $00:14:47.761 \rightarrow 00:14:49.514$ phenotype for pulmonary hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.7520709496666667

00:14:49.514 --> 00:14:52.334 secondary left side of heart disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:14:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.605$ and I'll draw your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:14:53.605 \rightarrow 00:14:55.340$ to a couple of these factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}280$ And so patients that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:14:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.632$ a high likelihood of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}173$ PH second to left side of heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}540$ disease have a constellation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:15:03.540 \rightarrow 00:15:05.175$ sort of the metabolic syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:15:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.148$ obesity, hypertension and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09{.}116$ dyslipidemia glucose intolerance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:15:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.136$ They have no less side of heart disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}15{:}11{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13{.}340$ or the risk factors for hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:15:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.340$ diastolic dysfunction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:15:14.340 \rightarrow 00:15:15.840$ coronary artery disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:15:15.840 \rightarrow 00:15:18.080$ clinical diagnosis of heart failure,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- 00:15:18.080 --> 00:15:20.180 much higher prevalence of atrial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.860$ fibrillation and then echocardiographic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:21.860 \rightarrow 00:15:23.515$ findings that are suggested of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:23.515 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.990$ a significant burning of left
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:24.990 \rightarrow 00:15:26.926$ sided heart disease primarily with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:26.926 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.191$ the left atrial dilatation and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:29.191 \rightarrow 00:15:30.800$ then echocardiographic signs of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- 00:15:30.800 --> 00:15:32.265 Mythology I I should point
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00{:}15{:}32{.}265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}910$ out here that there is a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.490$ there is good data on insulin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.418$ resistance in pH but not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00:15:39.418 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.230$ necessarily frank diabetes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00{:}15{:}41{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43{.}673$ And so I sleep audience would look
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00{:}15{:}43.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.670$ at this chart and say that's kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455
- $00{:}15{:}46{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}960$ familiar and we know that patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:15:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.259$ with OSA have a variety of associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}121$ commodities that are are shared with

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

00:15:56.121 --> 00:15:58.250 the pH left hand side of heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:15:58.250 \rightarrow 00:16:00.162$ phenotype, obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:00.162 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.074$ metabolic syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}16{:}02{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04{.}011$ Discology and a trial fibrillation

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}16{:}04.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.982$ to start the lease and so I I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:06.982 \rightarrow 00:16:08.830$ a way to start this conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.050$ is sort of just go through these

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.658$ to to to try and prove this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

00:16:14.660 --> 00:16:16.428 I I will say there is you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:16.428 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.002$ maybe some data that would suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}16{:}18{.}002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20{.}030$ that there is a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:20.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.089$ bidirectionality between some

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:21.089 \rightarrow 00:16:22.854$ of these findings and OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

00:16:22.860 --> 00:16:25.229 You know so for example patients

 $00:16:25.229 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.974$ with really bad heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

00:16:26.974 --> 00:16:28.370 might retain fluid overnight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}16{:}28{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30{.}566$ Those fluid chest might sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:30.566 \rightarrow 00:16:32.030$ predisposed to worse obstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

00:16:32.087 --> 00:16:34.082 symptoms since but you know by and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:34.082 \rightarrow 00:16:35.665$ large these are the communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00{:}16{:}35{.}665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37{.}651$ we associate with OSA are driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.823288484545455

 $00:16:37.651 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.088$ that that lead to SA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

 $00:16:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.750$ So obesity alone can result

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

00:16:43.750 --> 00:16:45.238 in pulmonary vascular disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

 $00:16:45.238 \rightarrow 00:16:47.050$ through a variety of mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

00:16:47.050 --> 00:16:48.634 prior use of anorexia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

 $00:16:48.634 \rightarrow 00:16:50.454$ eens, frank cardiomyopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

 $00{:}16{:}50{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53{.}302$ predisposition to throm boembolism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

 $00{:}16{:}53.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.830$ to fill this function and hyperurice mia.

 $00:16:57.830 \rightarrow 00:17:01.774$ There is an interesting study that was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

 $00:17:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.588$ Uh, in 2008, that looked at 76 consecutive

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

00:17:06.588 --> 00:17:09.721 autopsies of subjects with obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825119618571429

 $00:17:09.721 \rightarrow 00:17:11.726$ These are fairly high BMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:17:13.900 --> 00:17:15.970 45 and above I believe and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:17:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.860$ based on this autopsy study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:17:17.860 \rightarrow 00:17:21.108$ there was a very high prevalence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:17:21.108 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.066$ pulmonary vascular changes that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:17:24.066 --> 00:17:27.198 implications for pulmonary hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:17:27.200 --> 00:17:29.171 primarily pulmonary venous

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}17{:}29{.}171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31{.}799$ hypertensive changes but but

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:17:31.799 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.580$ also arterial changes as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38{.}821$ And then an increased fractures of Frank

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41{.}801$ Hemosiderosis and findings that that look

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:17:41.801 --> 00:17:43.817 like pulmonary capillary Hemangioma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:17:43.820 \rightarrow 00:17:48.002$ Business as well on biopsy here on

- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}17{:}48.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.785$ autopsy you know here we've got sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}17{:}51.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.610$ interstitial changes and then a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- 00:17:54.610 --> 00:17:57.190 medial thickening and and pulmonary veins,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- 00:17:57.190 --> 00:17:59.569 tortuosity pulmonary veins,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:17:59.569 \rightarrow 00:18:03.534$ so in a non phenotyped.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- 00:18:03.540 --> 00:18:05.228 Cohort of OB subjects,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:18:05.228 \rightarrow 00:18:07.760$ we know that there are significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:18:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.556$ vascular changes happening in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}18{:}09{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12{.}130$ the lung and we know nothing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}18{:}12{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14{.}659$ about these patients besides that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:18:14.660 \rightarrow 00:18:17.670$ this is just sort of all comers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:18:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.446$ The other way to look at this is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:18:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.578$ Using cardiac Mr.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}18{:}22{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25{.}210$ Data and some of you might be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:18:25.296 \rightarrow 00:18:27.616$ familiar with the Mesa study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:18:27.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.374$ so the multi ethnic study for

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:18:30.374 \rightarrow 00:18:32.730$ atherosclerosis trying to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:18:32.730 \rightarrow 00:18:35.346$ subclinical heart disease in a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:18:35.346 \rightarrow 00:18:38.499$ of subjects and communities in America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}18{:}38{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41{.}055$ There was an ancillary study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}18{:}41.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.512$ performed and 4127 subjects were obtained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:18:45.512 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.119$ Of those around 2/3 were overweight or

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:18:49.119 \rightarrow 00:18:51.795$ obese compared to a lean population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:18:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.280$ And there was an obvious and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}18{:}55{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}928$ linear trend with BMI and increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:18:58.928 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.920$ right ventricular mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:00.920 \rightarrow 00:19:02.488$ increased right ventricular diastolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:02.488 \rightarrow 00:19:05.420$ volume and a decrease in the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.448$ ventricular ejection fraction even

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:07.448 \rightarrow 00:19:10.724$ after adjustment for a variety at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:10.724 \rightarrow 00:19:12.700$ democratic demographic factors and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}19{:}12{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15{.}784$ then also left ventricular function as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}19{:}15{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18{.}893$ And so we know at a vascular level and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}19{:}18{.}893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21{.}845$ then now we know at a functional level.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:19:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.445$ That obesity is associated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:19:24.445 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.521$ significant right ventricular changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}19{:}26{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29{.}028$ and pulmonary vascular changes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:19:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.812$ Now going through the rest of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}19{:}30{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31{.}703$ those risk factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}19{:}31.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.266$ The metabolic syndrome, like I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:19:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.118$ is importantly it's a driver of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- 00:19:39.120 --> 00:19:40.790 Both the development of pulmonary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- 00:19:40.790 --> 00:19:42.460 hypertension due to left side
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00:19:42.518 \rightarrow 00:19:43.418$ of heart disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}19{:}43.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.844$ but there's some nascent data that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909
- $00{:}19{:}45{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48{.}621$ would suggest that it also exacerbates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:48.621 \rightarrow 00:19:50.785$ and worsens that Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.920$ We know that OS is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.985$ with metabolic syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:19:53.990 --> 00:19:56.334 And now I'm going to sort of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:19:56.334 \rightarrow 00:19:58.161$ toggle between both the risk factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}19{:}58{.}161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}251$ and then sort of the effect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}355$ CPAP on the risk factor and in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:20:02.355 --> 00:20:05.020 case the treat OSA Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:20:05.020 --> 00:20:05.620 study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:05.620 \rightarrow 00:20:06.540$ This is pretty recent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.582$ I think came out at the end of 2022,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}20{:}08.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.394$ looked at the effect of CPAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:10.394 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.900$ on the metabolic syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:20:11.900 --> 00:20:13.284 And these aren't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:20:13.284 --> 00:20:13.976 dramatic changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.877$ but you can see that CPAP does

00:20:15.877 --> 00:20:17.888 seem to have a significant effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:20:17.888 --> 00:20:19.778 on a variety of parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.752$ In the metabolic syndrome where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}20{:}23.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.570$ had frank reversal of of some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.404$ findings and very little development

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:30.404 \rightarrow 00:20:33.090$ of of new findings over the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:33.090 \rightarrow 00:20:35.120$ of six months of CPAP therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.478$ This is 100 subjects with moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:38.478 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.792$ to severe OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:39.792 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.170$ So HI's are all 15 and above and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

00:20:45.170 --> 00:20:46.439 It was, um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:46.439 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.862$ placebo-controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}20{:}46.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.802$ So there's both CPAP and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00:20:49.802 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.794$ think they used the nasal dilator

NOTE Confidence: 0.777333919090909

 $00{:}20{:}51.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53.700$ strip as the other group.

- 00:20:56.350 --> 00:20:56.990 Diastolic dysfunction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:20:56.990 --> 00:20:58.270 like I said earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:20:58.270 --> 00:21:00.806 is probably a big driver of pH Physiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00:21:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.855$ We know that you can develop diastolic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00{:}21{:}03.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07.100$ dys function in OSA even in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00{:}21{:}07{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}348$ absence of diurnal hypertension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00{:}21{:}09{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}974$ This is a I'm showing you data here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:11.974 --> 00:21:14.248 looking at 61 subjects with OSA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:14.248 --> 00:21:16.558 who are being evaluated for ethyl
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00:21:16.638 \rightarrow 00:21:18.673$ pletal fragile plasty and there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00:21:18.673 \rightarrow 00:21:21.734$ were compared to an equal number of NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:21.734 --> 00:21:24.755 normal tensive controls and based on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:24.755 --> 00:21:26.735 echocardiographic findings of diastolic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:26.740 --> 00:21:30.300 Dysfunction um, even those with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:30.300 --> 00:21:33.860 OSA with normal blood pressure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00:21:33.860 \rightarrow 00:21:35.328$ they had significant increases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00:21:35.328 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.163$ in left ventricular mass index
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00{:}21{:}37.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.200$ to suggest The Isaacs function.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:39.200 --> 00:21:40.820 We know that CPAP therapy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00:21:40.820 \rightarrow 00:21:43.605$ particularly in subjects that have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:43.605 --> 00:21:45.833 clinically diagnosed heart failure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00{:}21{:}45.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.768$ reduces LV after load and has a positive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:48.768 --> 00:21:52.000 effect on parameters of diastolic function,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00:21:52.000 \rightarrow 00:21:53.953$ both using echocardiographic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- $00{:}21{:}53{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56{.}557$ data and then separately.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.667146455
- 00:21:56.560 --> 00:21:58.456 Based on Cmdr Data as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- 00:22:00.480 --> 00:22:03.816 The Discology is sort of linked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00{:}22{:}03.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.040$ to another pertinent finding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- 00:22:06.040 --> 00:22:08.648 If you guys will call from that first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00{:}22{:}08.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.510$ table that deals with left a trial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00{:}22{:}11.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.540$ structure and then in a sense function
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031

- $00:22:15.540 \rightarrow 00:22:17.960$ with progressive diastolic dysfunction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- 00:22:17.960 --> 00:22:20.985 left atrial size will increase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- 00:22:20.985 --> 00:22:23.890 and that will predispose you to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00:22:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.230$ atrial fibrillation as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00:22:26.230 \rightarrow 00:22:30.460$ Cpap therapy does definitely have an impact.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00:22:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.984$ On left atrial functions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- 00:22:31.984 --> 00:22:34.270 so sort of left atrial contraction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- 00:22:34.270 --> 00:22:36.304 um, it's less likely to actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00{:}22{:}36{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38{.}884$ cause a reduction in LA volume based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00:22:38.884 \rightarrow 00:22:40.606$ on echocardiographic parameters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- 00:22:40.606 --> 00:22:45.448 And I'm not sure if there's any data on Mr.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00{:}22{:}45{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48{.}036$ The link here is towards a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.724166031
- $00:22:48.036 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.898$ atrial fibrillation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444
- $00:22:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.902$ And we know that OSA is a predictor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444
- $00:22:56.902 \rightarrow 00:23:00.030$ of incident prevalent and worsening
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444
- 00:23:00.030 00:23:01.890 severity mitral fibrillation.

 $00:23:01.890 \rightarrow 00:23:04.368$ We know that untreated sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:04.368 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.659$ reduces the efficacy of rhythm

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:06.659 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.907$ control interventions for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:08.907 \rightarrow 00:23:11.155$ management of atrial fibrillation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754333314444444

00:23:11.160 - 00:23:14.388 More recently, the sleep AF trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00{:}23{:}14.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.238$ that was published also I think at

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:16.238 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.850$ end of 2022 did demonstrate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:18.850 \rightarrow 00:23:21.865$ CPAP therapy is associated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:21.865 \rightarrow 00:23:24.355$ reversal of HR modeling that happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00{:}23{:}24.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.743$ along with the atrial fibrillation

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:26.743 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.388$ based on atrial mapping data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}470$ I've read this paper three times and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.287$ still really struggle with the methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}270$ primarily because of sort of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:37.270 \dashrightarrow 00:23:38.570$ the technique of a trial mapping.

 $00:23:38.570 \rightarrow 00:23:41.492$ There's a lot of vocabulary that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:41.492 \rightarrow 00:23:44.999$ Is not familiar to a non electrophysiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00{:}23{:}45{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48{.}856$ But the data looked to be fairly convincing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00:23:48.860 \rightarrow 00:23:52.416$ It would also appear that CPAP therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}345$ allows for improved efficacy over

NOTE Confidence: 0.75433331444444

 $00{:}23{:}54{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}270$ the control interventions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00:23:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.034$ So what's the impact of OSA on pH?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00:24:06.034 \rightarrow 00:24:10.410$ Well, the. Same things that impacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

00:24:10.410 --> 00:24:13.620 our ability to define the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

00:24:13.708 --> 00:24:16.201 of pH and OSA sort of impact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}24{:}16{.}201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18{.}966$ our ability to define how much of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

00:24:18.966 --> 00:24:21.042 impact CPAP is happening on, on pH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}24{:}21.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.199$ And so the modalities that were used NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

00:24:24.199 --> 00:24:26.970 to determine how the pH was being

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

00:24:26.970 --> 00:24:28.310 surveilled was a catheter based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}24{:}28{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30{.}085$ was a catheter based both

- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:24:30.085 \rightarrow 00:24:31.505$ pre and post intervention,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}24{:}31{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}298$ was it echocardiogram based or was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:24:33.298 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.511$ it based on different cut offs of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- 00:24:35.511 --> 00:24:37.111 pH systolic pressures or inferred
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}24{:}37{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}816$ mean pressures so on and so forth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:24:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.131$ Within those limitations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:24:40.131 \rightarrow 00:24:42.753$ it would appear that CPAP therapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:24:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.315$ looking at completely on phenotype
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}24{:}45{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48{.}824$ cohorts can have some effect on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}24{:}48.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.440$ calculator reduction in the mean PAP
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:24:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.768$ from nothing to a fairly mild to modest
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}24{:}54.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.438$ reduction of 6 millimeters mercury.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:24:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.212$ Based on what we know about the risk that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}25{:}00{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02{.}378$ conferred by elevations and key pressure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:25:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.482$ that's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}03.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.210$ Probably not insignificant, I I will say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

00:25:09.210 --> 00:25:10.925 Probably a liberty to speak about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}10{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12{.}429$ because hopefully will be published soon, NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

00:25:12.430 --> 00:25:16.110 but but you know we will be showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00:25:16.110 \rightarrow 00:25:19.780$ momentarily that changes in the tricuspid

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}19.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.841$ regurgitation velocity jet which is used NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}22{.}841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25{.}480$ to calculate the estimated PA pressure on

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

00:25:25.550 --> 00:25:28.136 echocardiogram are clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}28{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}216$ So people that whose charge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}31{.}216$ --> $00{:}25{:}34{.}387$ velocity goes up even my small amounts

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}34{.}387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}289$ are at increased risk risk for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}37{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}777$ Death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00:25:37.777 \rightarrow 00:25:41.673$ And we know that those whose TCRF velocity

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}41.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.718$ decreases over time for whatever reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00{:}25{:}44{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46{.}040$ Do have a reduction in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

 $00:25:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.892$ in, in,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}25{:}46.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.000$ in their mortality risk again
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:25:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.000$ in an all Comer population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}25{:}51{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}073$ And so I think that the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- 00:25:54.073 > 00:25:56.760 general take away from this is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}25{:}56{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}640$ The most legible way to try and define a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}25{:}59{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02{.}694$ OSA pH subject is using the paradigm that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}02{.}694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04{.}827$ we're used for pulmonary hypertension
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:26:04.827 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.054$ due to left side of heart disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}08.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.286$ And my approach in clinic and where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:26:10.286 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.278$ you know occurs with the group to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- 00:26:12.278 --> 00:26:14.452 do is to sort of look through all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}14.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.396$ of those risk factors that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:26:16.396 \rightarrow 00:26:18.430$ shared in common between pH,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- 00:26:18.430 --> 00:26:21.650 LHD and OSA and sort of just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:26:21.748 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.400$ have endpoints for all of them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275

- $00:26:25.400 \rightarrow 00:26:28.776$ Cpap and weight loss are the big inventions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- 00:26:28.780 --> 00:26:29.189 obviously,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:26:29.189 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.234$ because they'll have an outsize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:26:31.234 \rightarrow 00:26:33.860$ effect on all those subcategories,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:26:33.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.848$ be it metabolic syndrome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- 00:26:36.850 --> 00:26:37.613 Umm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}37{.}613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41{.}428$ And it's a associated manifestations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}41{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45{.}294$ but also with a noticeable impact on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}45{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48{.}351$ the frequency of the fibrillation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- 00:26:48.351 --> 00:26:53.130 which you know we seem to think in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}53.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.392$ our practice has one of the higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}56{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}257$ correlations with the developing with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00{:}26{:}58{.}257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}099$ having pH left side heart disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:27:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.548$ So now I'm going to segue to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- 00:27:02.548 --> 00:27:03.509 obesity Hyperventilation syndrome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861929275
- $00:27:03.509 \rightarrow 00:27:05.255$ because this is a little bit.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.798$ Some of the same,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00{:}27{:}08.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.508$ but some things are different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.626$ This is not the audience to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:11.626 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.730$ sort of redefine the disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.027$ but for those that are not providers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- 00:27:16.030 --> 00:27:18.298 you know, obesity is defined as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:18.298 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.061$ BMI of greater than or 30 or more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:21.061 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.146$ kilogram meter squared with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- 00:27:23.146 --> 00:27:24.943 presence of daytime hypercapnia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- 00:27:24.943 --> 00:27:28.463 defined as a PCO two of greater than,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- 00:27:28.470 --> 00:27:31.530 equal to 49 millimeters mercury,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.086$ without other causes of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:33.086 \rightarrow 00:27:35.031$ hypoventilation and with the known
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- 00:27:35.031 --> 00:27:36.509 diagnosis of sleep disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778
- $00:27:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.640$ Anything.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778

 $00:27:38.640 \rightarrow 00:27:40.528$ It's oftentimes diagnosed during

NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778

00:27:40.528 --> 00:27:42.888 an acute on chronic episode

NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778

00:27:42.888 --> 00:27:45.458 of Hypercaphic grocery failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778

 $00:27:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.124$ and with the presence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778

 $00:27:48.124 \rightarrow 00:27:49.900$ classic constellation of symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778

 $00{:}27{:}49{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53{.}216$ and findings of sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826194647777778

 $00{:}27{:}53.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.800$ And then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

 $00:27:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.879$ In the case, I'll show this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

 $00{:}28{:}00{.}879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03{.}360$ an inpatient diagnosis as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

00:28:03.360 - 00:28:05.280 Ohh, just diagnosis are costly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

 $00:28:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.410$ They're delayed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

 $00:28:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.800$ they're oftentimes made fairly late and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

00:28:09.800 --> 00:28:12.240 Probably in the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

 $00:28:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.208$ in the same age structure as OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

 $00{:}28{:}15{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17{.}436$ but during that period of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345

 $00:28:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.737$ patients with OHS will use a lot

- NOTE Confidence: 0.770920345
- $00:28:20.737 \rightarrow 00:28:23.159$ of healthcare resources compared to

 $00{:}28{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.730$ comparably obese you catnic subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769427687272727

00:28:27.960 --> 00:28:30.576 The Physiology would be city sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.769427687272727

 $00:28:30.576 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.780$ of like well delineated here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769427687272727

 $00:28:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.120$ The impacts on you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769427687272727

00:28:34.120 --> 00:28:37.416 pulmonary mechanics on airway

NOTE Confidence: 0.769427687272727

00:28:37.416 --> 00:28:39.720 diameter are are fairly obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:28:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.684$ The big difference is that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}28{:}44{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47{.}162$ addition to all the sort of burns

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:28:47.162 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.559$ that we talked about before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

00:28:49.560 -> 00:28:51.594 the metabolic syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54{.}006$ diastolic dysfunction, a trial fibrillation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

00:28:54.006 --> 00:28:55.062 echocardiographic findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59{.}130$ there is the added burden of hypercapnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}670$ We often times talk about the

00:29:00.670 --> 00:29:01.902 impact of hypercapnia on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:29:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.790$ on on the pulmonary vasculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:29:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.760$ The the data on it is is good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

00:29:06.760 - 00:29:08.260 but there's less human based data

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:29:08.260 \rightarrow 00:29:10.145$ that's high quality than you would like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}29{:}10.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.905$ So a lot of it comes from animal based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

00:29:11.910 --> 00:29:13.392 Um studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:29:13.392 \rightarrow 00:29:17.838$ but in the setting of hypercapnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}29{:}17.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.578$ often times with concurrent hypoxia as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:29:21.580 \rightarrow 00:29:24.046$ the impact of hypercapnia on right

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}29{:}24.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.199$ ventricular size relative to left

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}29{:}26.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.821$ ventricular size and then also right

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:29:28.821 \rightarrow 00:29:31.282$ ventricular size relative to the body

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:29:31.282 \rightarrow 00:29:35.860$ weight in general is significant and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00{:}29{:}35{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39{.}094$ We know is a driver of increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538

 $00:29:39.094 \rightarrow 00:29:41.117$ pulmonary vascular tone and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- $00{:}29{:}41.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.297$ right for circular afterload.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- 00:29:43.300 --> 00:29:45.100 Based on cartographic data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- $00:29:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.350$ we think the prevalence of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- $00:29:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.617$ pH and OHS is almost 70%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- $00:29:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.602$ At the higher end and on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- $00:29:52.602 \rightarrow 00:29:54.093$ prospective observational data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- $00:29:54.100 \rightarrow 00:29:59.180$ we know that patients with OHS are highly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- 00:29:59.180 --> 00:30:01.180 at risk for diastolic dysfunction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- $00{:}30{:}01{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03{.}280$ even the absence of their OSA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948041538
- 00:30:03.280 --> 00:30:04.330 being particularly severe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00{:}30{:}08{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10{.}858$ This is a study based on 18 subjects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- 00:30:10.858 --> 00:30:13.856 that had OHSU without any risk factors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- 00:30:13.856 --> 00:30:15.740 for precapillary pulmonary arteriopathy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00{:}30{:}15{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18{.}000$ that we would associate with pH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- 00:30:18.000 --> 00:30:21.126 So no HIV, no portal pulmonary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00:30:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.926$ no portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

00:30:23.926 --> 00:30:27.470 Um, no anorexigenic use and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00{:}30{:}27{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31{.}763$ And and you can see that there's a decent

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00{:}30{:}31{.}763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}114$ correlation between BMI and me and keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00:30:35.114 \rightarrow 00:30:39.380$ pressure on right heart catheterization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00{:}30{:}39{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43{.}548$ And then also on the degree of hypercapnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00{:}30{:}43.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.440$ in this case nocturnal hypercapnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

00:30:46.440 - > 00:30:50.219 and the mean PA pressure as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52{.}890$ In these subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00:30:52.890 \rightarrow 00:30:56.754$ They underwent 3 months of titrated Bipap

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00{:}30{:}56{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59{.}840$ the rapy with a follow-up right heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00:30:59.840 \rightarrow 00:31:02.735$ catheterization and they were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00:31:02.735 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.120$ demonstrate a significant reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

00:31:05.207 --> 00:31:08.790 the mean PA pressure from a mean of 49 to 31,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00:31:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.854$ which is fairly dramatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412

 $00:31:10.854 \rightarrow 00:31:13.434$ a significant reduction in the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00:31:13.434 \rightarrow 00:31:15.766$ PVR from 491 dines to 292.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00{:}31{:}15.766$ --> $00{:}31{:}19.330$ And so this is roughly, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00:31:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.010$ Between 5:00 and six wood units,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00:31:21.010 \rightarrow 00:31:22.348$ all the way down to between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00:31:22.348 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.580$ three and four good units,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00:31:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.808$ so fairly significant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00:31:26.810 \rightarrow 00:31:29.894$ And not necessarily a particularly huge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7711788412
- $00:31:29.894 \dashrightarrow 00:31:32.336$ difference in in the cardiac index.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889
- 00:31:36.600 --> 00:31:38.650 But that's still a significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889
- 00:31:38.650 --> 00:31:41.260 reduction in the PR. Um, these?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889
- $00:31:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.200$ This intervention was also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889
- $00{:}31{:}43.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.655$ associated with significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889
- $00{:}31{:}44.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.509$ improvements in functional status,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889
- $00{:}31{:}46{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49{.}780$ with improvement 6 minute walk distance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889
- $00:31:49.780 \dashrightarrow 00:31:51.485$ And functionality based on CPET
- NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889

 $00:31:51.485 \rightarrow 00:31:54.346$ study and then lastly on a you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889

 $00:31:54.346 \rightarrow 00:31:56.171$ commonly obtained parameter for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889

 $00:31:56.171 \rightarrow 00:31:57.895$ management of heart failure which

NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889

00:31:57.895 - 00:32:00.065 is your anti probie NP as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889

 $00{:}32{:}00{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04{.}284$ So dramatic reduction from 2500 down to

NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889

 $00:32:04.290 \rightarrow 00:32:07.058$ 377 in this case is there was probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.718568588888889

 $00:32:07.058 \rightarrow 00:32:09.280$ divresis that was happening as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:32:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.768$ Which is a bit of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

00:32:13.770 --> 00:32:18.898 Confounder, but I think the sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}32{:}18{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22{.}050$ Very strong data for what Bipap can

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:32:22.050 \dashrightarrow 00:32:25.900$ can do in this patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}32{:}25{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28{.}504$ More recently, I'm sure you guys are

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:32:28.504 \rightarrow 00:32:30.859$ familiar with the Pickwick project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}32{:}30{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32{.}869$ So the Pickwick study was a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:32:32.869 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.080$ center randomized control trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:32:34.080 \rightarrow 00:32:37.699$ They looked at just over 220 subjects.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- 00:32:37.700 00:32:39.506 It was done in the late aughts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00{:}32{:}39{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}41{.}628$ to the mid 2000 tens and to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- 00:32:41.628 --> 00:32:43.233 compare the efficacy of CPAP,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00:32:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.556$ non invasive ventilation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00{:}32{:}45{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}48{.}548$ lifestyle modifications on symptoms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00:32:48.548 \rightarrow 00:32:50.720$ and polysomnographic parameters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00:32:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.976$ The lifestyle modification was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00:32:52.976 \rightarrow 00:32:56.360$ primarily entailed a low calorie diet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00{:}32{:}56{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}535$ With a good sleep hygiene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00:32:58.535 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.100$ and then appropriately used
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- 00:33:01.100 --> 00:33:04.480 supplemental oxygen as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00{:}33{:}04{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06{.}867$ The study was designed to sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00:33:06.867 \rightarrow 00:33:08.326$ determine the comparative efficacy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00{:}33{:}08{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10{.}186$ of non invasive ventilation and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333
- $00:33:10.186 \rightarrow 00:33:13.389$ cpap and lifestyle modification.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

00:33:13.390 --> 00:33:14.014 And uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}33{:}14.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.574$ there was an initial two-month

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:33:15.574 \dashrightarrow 00:33:19.940$ follow up with the baseline and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:33:19.940 \rightarrow 00:33:21.888$ Subsequent echocardiograms and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}33{:}21.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.810$ there was a subsequent long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:33:24.882 \dashrightarrow 00:33:27.456$ study which I'll get to momentarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}33{:}27.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.690$ Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}33{:}29.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32.615$ There was a significant improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}33{:}32.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.482$ in Echocardiographic systolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:33:34.482 \dashrightarrow 00:33:37.942$ PA pressure estimates and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:33:37.942 \dashrightarrow 00:33:40.710$ also significant improvement in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

00:33:40.710 --> 00:33:43.290 6 minute walk distance using

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

00:33:43.290 - 00:33:45.354 non invasive positive pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:33:45.354 \rightarrow 00:33:47.016$ ventilation in these subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}33{:}47.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.108$ So the main results we can summarize is

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:33:50.108 \rightarrow 00:33:52.828$ more than half the patients that had OHS.

 $00:33:52.830 \rightarrow 00:33:54.840$ First off they had echocardiographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

00:33:54.840 --> 00:33:56.448 evidence of of pH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:33:56.450 \rightarrow 00:33:58.482$ they had echocardiographic evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}33{:}58{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01{.}117$ of diastolic dysfunction and the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}34{:}01{.}117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}962$ invasive ventilation was more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

00:34:02.962 --> 00:34:04.999 in improving the LV hypertrophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:34:04.999 \rightarrow 00:34:06.647$ parameters compared to control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:34:06.650 \rightarrow 00:34:11.200$ Maybe CPAP was was decent at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:34:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.405$ That but it was only the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}34{:}13{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15{.}054$ invasive ventilation that led to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:34:15.054 \rightarrow 00:34:16.980$ a significant reduction in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}34{:}16{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18{.}910$ peer pressure estimate and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}34{:}18{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20{.}430$ significant improvement in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}34{:}20{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22{.}499$ six minute walk distance as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

00:34:22.500 --> 00:34:22.924 So,

00:34:22.924 --> 00:34:26.316 so big impacts of of non invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

00:34:26.316 --> 00:34:28.155 positive pressure ventilation

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00{:}34{:}28.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.152$ on structural parameters but

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:34:30.152 \rightarrow 00:34:32.372$ also on estimated peer pressures

NOTE Confidence: 0.870205343333333

 $00:34:32.372 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.270$ and and functionality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}34{:}36{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39{.}240$ The Pickwick Project was continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}34{:}39{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42{.}390$ over three years with the evaluations

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:34:42.390 \rightarrow 00:34:45.269$ done during that period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}34{:}45{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49{.}220$ And the results were sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:34:49.220 \rightarrow 00:34:52.380$ of like further compelling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}34{:}52{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}103$ Here we see both CPAP and noninvasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:34:55.103 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.208$ ventilation having a significant impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}34{:}57{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}232$ on the estimated PA pressure over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.240$ You got the, it seemed like there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:02.240 \rightarrow 00:35:04.360$ still big returns happening at one year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.384$ but beyond one year,

 $00{:}35{:}05{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06{.}920$ there wasn't really much in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

00:35:06.979 --> 00:35:08.559 way of significant reductions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}08{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10{.}710$ for the reductions in pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}10.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.670$ And so in all likelihood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}11.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.140$ the study by hell that looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.331$ those initial 18 subjects phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:14.331 \rightarrow 00:35:15.616$ the cutoff of three months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:15.620 \rightarrow 00:35:19.337$ there's probably more benefit to be had

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:19.337 \dashrightarrow 00:35:24.400$ with additional time on the rapy and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}24{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26{.}850$ Parameters of reticular function also

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:26.850 \dashrightarrow 00:35:29.300$ were significantly improved as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}29{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31{.}756$ with both noninvasive ventilation

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}31.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33.598$ and CPAP therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}33{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35{.}256$ Again, if there was improvement to be had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38{.}795$ it was usually happening by one year.

 $00{:}35{:}38{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}375$ And then these are parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:41.375 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.920$ of diastolic function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}42{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43{.}754$ And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:35:43.754 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.588$ same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}44{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46{.}673$ Whatever improvement was to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}46.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.215$ had seemed to happen in one year

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}49{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51{.}975$ on both of these parameters and

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}51{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54{.}460$ there was less of an impact on

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}54{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}176$ actual left atrial morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}35{:}56{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}280$ but but maybe a little bit of a signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}36{:}00{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03{.}338$ here as well at one year's time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

00:36:03.340 --> 00:36:06.217 And so to sort of illustrate this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:06.220 \dashrightarrow 00:36:09.028$ I'm going to go through a case that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

00:36:09.030 --> 00:36:11.590 I came across just a couple years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}36{:}11.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.270$ He was a 67 year old female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.550$ She had a medical history

- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- $00:36:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.100$ for OSA that was not treated.

 $00:36:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.660$ Her chart set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:19.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.220$ She had COPD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:21.220 \rightarrow 00:36:23.540$ She had his own hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.298$ diabetes mellitus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:24.298 \dashrightarrow 00:36:27.800$ and then a history of a Tia as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.885$ She presented to the hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:29.885 \rightarrow 00:36:31.136$ with progressive waking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

00:36:31.140 --> 00:36:32.360 hypoxemic failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}36{:}32{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}020$ and concern for right ventricular failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.720$ At the time of presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

00:36:37.720 --> 00:36:40.102 vital signs were not typically worrisome

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:40.102 \rightarrow 00:36:42.876$ except for the degree of hypoxemia that

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00{:}36{:}42.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.480$ she had reporting 15 liters of oxidizer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076

 $00:36:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.472$ no Earth or cytosis.

- 00:36:47.472 --> 00:36:48.966 Renal function was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- 00:36:48.966 --> 00:36:50.460 probably largely preserved,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- $00:36:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.094$ but notably had a significant and chronically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- $00:36:55.094 \dashrightarrow 00:36:59.096$ elevated PCO 2 on blood gas analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- $00:36:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.148$ chest X-ray,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- $00:37:00.148 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.720$ nothing shocking here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- $00{:}37{:}01{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}622$ a little bit of pulmonary vascular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- 00:37:03.622 --> 00:37:04.573 congestion and cephalization,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677453076
- $00{:}37{:}04.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.768$ lung volumes not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.390$ Too high, not too low.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- 00:37:10.390 --> 00:37:11.318 And echocardiography,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- 00:37:11.318 --> 00:37:14.566 here's a picture of a TR jet,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00{:}37{:}14.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.950$ you know, high value.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- 00:37:15.950 --> 00:37:19.070 So estimates in the low 60s, high,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00{:}37{:}19{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21{.}130$ low 70s without the addition
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.630$ of the right atrial pressure.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.494$ And then an echocardiography,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00{:}37{:}24.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.760$ we've got our apical 4 chamber view.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- 00:37:27.760 --> 00:37:29.356 We've got a very large right atrium.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:29.360 \dashrightarrow 00:37:31.894$ We've got a very large right ventricle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00{:}37{:}31{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}34{.}558$ that exceeds the size of left ventricle.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:34.560 \rightarrow 00:37:37.234$ With signs of right for truly dysfunction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.920$ So probably a moderately enlarged
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00{:}37{:}38{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41{.}000$ right ventricle to say at least,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:41.000 \rightarrow 00:37:44.227$ maybe like 5 centimeters with I would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:44.227 \rightarrow 00:37:46.279$ say moderate dysfunction as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- 00:37:46.280 --> 00:37:49.064 Her clinical evaluation looked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00{:}37{:}49.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.544$ for secondary causes of PAH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:52.550 \dashrightarrow 00:37:55.586$ Her antibody panels were strictly negative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00{:}37{:}55{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57{.}414$ There was no evidence of portal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812
- $00:37:57.414 \rightarrow 00:37:59.210$ hypertension based on ultrasonic optic data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812

 $00:37:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.448$ She had no history of stimulant

NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812

 $00{:}38{:}01{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}940$ use and or exigen use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812

 $00:38:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.836$ And then lastly on a spirometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812

 $00:38:04.836 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.495$ didn't have any evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812

00:38:06.495 --> 00:38:07.847 actual obstruction as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812

 $00{:}38{:}07{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09{.}265$ just a suggestion of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859708812

 $00:38:09.265 \rightarrow 00:38:10.114$ restrictive ventilatory defect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667

 $00{:}38{:}12.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.218$ She had to write her catheterization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.661694761666667

 $00:38:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.760$ Notably our subject was £317.00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667

 $00:38:17.760 \rightarrow 00:38:20.376$ pretty high PSA as you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.661694761666667

 $00:38:20.380 \dashrightarrow 00:38:23.218$ This is our PA pressure tracing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667

 $00{:}38{:}23{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25{.}980$ I reported here as a papers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667

 $00:38:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.140$ 84 or 34 of the mean of 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667

 $00:38:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.845$ And then importantly, her wedge

NOTE Confidence: 0.661694761666667

 $00:38:29.845 \rightarrow 00:38:31.550$ pressure wasn't all that impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667

 $00:38:31.550 \rightarrow 00:38:35.033$ This is again after a little bit of diuresis.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- $00:38:35.040 \rightarrow 00:38:38.628$ But. If you were to sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- $00{:}38{:}38{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40{.}408$ calculate this out and if I were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- $00:38:40.408 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.541$ to sort of like hide this data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.661694761666667
- $00:38:42.541 \rightarrow 00:38:46.285$ this would look very much like a subject that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- 00:38:46.285 --> 00:38:49.205 had frank pulmonary arterial hypertension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- $00:38:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.578$ And here you can see her
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- 00:38:50.578 --> 00:38:51.262 peer pressure tracing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.661694761666667
- $00:38:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.542$ So you can probably if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- $00{:}38{:}52{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53{.}909$ can try and commit to memory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.661694761666667
- $00:38:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.860$ This is a fairly broad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.661694761666667
- $00{:}38{:}56{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58{.}870$ About pulmonary artery pulse pressure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- $00{:}38{:}58{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01{.}410$ you know, 50 points.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6616947616666667
- $00{:}39{:}01{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02{.}550$ With the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154
- $00:39:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.281$ Index of 2.51 so just at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154
- $00:39:09.281 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.380$ very lower end of a normal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:12.380 \rightarrow 00:39:14.684$ Her diagnostic polysomnogram was

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

00:39:14.684 --> 00:39:18.140 significant for a fairly high HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

00:39:18.140 --> 00:39:22.319 using the 3% criteria and then notably

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:22.319 \rightarrow 00:39:25.154$ significant amount of nocturnal

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00{:}39{:}25{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28{.}057$ hypoxemia with the nature of a 58%

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00{:}39{:}28.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.276$ and then I can't quite figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:30.276 \dashrightarrow 00:39:32.905$ how this got calculated, but I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:32.905 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.675$ I am not a sleep doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:34.680 \dashrightarrow 00:39:37.872$ I just guessed that sometimes T88 can

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:37.872 \rightarrow 00:39:42.037$ get above 100% with and then lastly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00{:}39{:}42.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.900$ I think here we have some transcutaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:44.900 \rightarrow 00:39:47.260$ carbon dioxide monitoring as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:47.260 \dashrightarrow 00:39:49.560$ So definitely has pretty significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:49.560 \rightarrow 00:39:52.505$ sleep apnea with both hypercapnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:52.505 \rightarrow 00:39:55.205$ suggested by the transcutaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:39:55.205 \rightarrow 00:39:58.488$ monitoring and hypoxemia as well.

 $00:39:58.488 \rightarrow 00:40:01.078$ So we got to Sleep Medicine consultation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:40:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.336$ she was put on Bipap therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:40:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.865$ Everybody that saw those hemodynamics

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:40:05.865 \rightarrow 00:40:08.708$ thought I was committing a mild form

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:40:08.708 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.700$ of malpractice by not treating her

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00{:}40{:}10.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.629$ pH with a basic dilator the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:40:12.630 \rightarrow 00:40:15.708$ Her outpatients Bipap was a ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00{:}40{:}15.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.860$ titrated up to 20 / 16 and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:40:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.600$ subsequently got a blood gas that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00:40:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.467$ Sort of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821993723846154

 $00{:}40{:}23.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.960$ the best one we got had a PCO two of a 46.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}40{:}29.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.129$ Her subsequent echocardiogram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}40{:}30{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32{.}247$ this is her new TR jet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:40:32.250 --> 00:40:35.050 So you can see that they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}40{:}35{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37{.}269$ divining the envelope around here,

 $00:40:37.270 \rightarrow 00:40:39.947$ honestly not the worst placement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:40:39.947 --> 00:40:42.089 So just not a very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:40:42.089 --> 00:40:44.189 quality TR jet to work with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:40:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.248$ But you can see that echocardiogram is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:40:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.975$ is, is dramatically improved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556

 $00{:}40{:}47{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50{.}158$ this was strictly with BIPAP therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}018$ alone and maintenance of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:40:52.018 \rightarrow 00:40:54.193$ diuretic therapy when she had that

NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556

 $00{:}40{:}54{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55{.}938$ catheterization that was a diuretic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:40:55.997 \rightarrow 00:40:57.999$ therapy that that she went home on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}40{:}58{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59{.}540$ And so you can see an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:40:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.480$ in right atrial size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556

 $00:41:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.615$ you can see an improvement in right

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}41{:}02.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.576$ ventricular size and then also

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:41:04.576 \rightarrow 00:41:06.646$ right ventricular function as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:41:06.650 - 00:41:08.022 We repeated the catheterization,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:41:08.022 \rightarrow 00:41:10.969$ a lot of weight reduction and happened right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:41:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.474$ She was over 300 pounds the first time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:41:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.010$ But we've seen improvement in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:41:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.034$ significant improvement or pressure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00{:}41{:}19.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20.720$ Oops.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- 00:41:20.720 --> 00:41:22.760 Umm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- 00:41:22.760 --> 00:41:25.214 Countermine value of 31 and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556
- $00{:}41{:}25{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28{.}068$ can see that that PA pulse pressure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:41:28.068 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.002$ went from 50 to 20 and she did have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- 00:41:31.002 --> 00:41:32.580 a little bit of an improvement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:41:32.643 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.269$ in her cardiac index as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556
- $00{:}41{:}34{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}202$ The clinical significance of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00{:}41{:}37{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40{.}134$ this is that your.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00{:}41{:}40{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41{.}925$ Pulmonary compliance is going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00{:}41{:}41{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44{.}174$ be determined by your PA pulse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:41:44.174 \rightarrow 00:41:46.159$ pressure and your stroke volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}41{:}46.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.112$ And so it's going to be pulse

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:41:49.112 --> 00:41:50.472 pressure divided by stroke volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:41:50.472 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.667$ is going to be the the determinant

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:41:52.667 -> 00:41:54.075 of your pulmonary compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}41{:}54.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56.150$ And so in this case we have a PA

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:41:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.888$ compliant all special that went

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:41:57.888 --> 00:42:01.300 from 50 down to 30 or 20 rather and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}42{:}01{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03{.}200$ her stroke volume increase given

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:42:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.478$ this increase in cardiac index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}42{:}05{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07{.}804$ And so this is a dramatic improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:42:07.804 \rightarrow 00:42:10.047$ in take compliance which is again best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:42:10.050 \rightarrow 00:42:13.248$ Explained by a change in vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:42:13.248 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.990$ tone and possibly a change in Frank

NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556

 $00:42:16.990 \rightarrow 00:42:20.850$ Arteriopathy and Venography as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:42:20.850 --> 00:42:21.176 Um.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:42:21.176 \rightarrow 00:42:23.458$ And so one of the better examples
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:42:23.458 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.876$ I have of just how significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:42:25.876 \rightarrow 00:42:28.408$ an impact BIPAP therapy by itself
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00{:}42{:}28{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31{.}081$ can have on patients with OHS and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:42:31.081 \rightarrow 00:42:33.392$ this really does differentiate the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:42:33.392 \rightarrow 00:42:37.720$ OHS phenotype from this? Umm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- 00:42:37.720 --> 00:42:41.920 O SAPH phenotype as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- 00:42:41.920 --> 00:42:43.540 And so summary points,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00{:}42{:}43.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.414$ you know the the current rubric we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:42:46.414 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.616$ have for pH phenotypes does lack
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:42:48.616 \rightarrow 00:42:50.699$ a clear space for for OSA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556
- $00{:}42{:}50{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53{.}670$ But based on what we know about pH
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00:42:53.670 \rightarrow 00:42:55.840$ and secondary left side of heart disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00{:}42{:}55{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}58{.}448$ I think we can use that to sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556
- $00{:}42{:}58{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00{.}850$ of create a phenotype for for for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:43:00.850 - 00:43:03.032 pH OS and to guide the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556

00:43:03.032 -> 00:43:05.080 approaches for those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:43:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.290$ And then lastly CPAP and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:43:08.290 \rightarrow 00:43:10.010$ invasive positive pressure therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:43:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.160$ can have significant if not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:43:12.160 --> 00:43:14.024 Dramatic positive impacts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}43{:}14.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.354$ pulmonary hemodynamics and I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556

 $00:43:16.354 \rightarrow 00:43:18.598$ suspect outcomes as well in subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.782192535555556

 $00{:}43{:}18{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21{.}035$ with a variety of sleep disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:43:21.035 -> 00:43:22.349 breathing conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00{:}43{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.829$ So I ran through that pretty quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:43:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.038$ But there is plenty of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

00:43:28.038 --> 00:43:29.889 for questions and I'm happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.78219253555556

 $00:43:29.889 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.260$ to chat about any of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769572228

 $00:43:35.250 \rightarrow 00:43:36.380$ Hey, Sarah, it's very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769572228

00:43:36.380 --> 00:43:38.788 Thank you so much. A great talk.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.769572228
- 00:43:38.790 --> 00:43:41.694 So everybody, please feel free to

00:43:41.694 --> 00:43:44.350 leave your questions in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.769572228

 $00:43:44.350 \rightarrow 00:43:46.746$ or if you want to be unmuted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769572228

 $00:43:46.746 \rightarrow 00:43:50.690$ raise your hand and I'll be happy to oblige.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769572228

 $00{:}43{:}50{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51{.}300$ Doctor mossanen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769572228

 $00:43:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.825$ All right, here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

00:43:56.830 --> 00:44:01.670 Hello, Cyrus. Good to see you likewise

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}44{:}01{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04{.}510$ and I was glad that you brought some

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}44{:}04{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07{.}083$ clarity to this confusing areas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

00:44:07.083 --> 00:44:09.759 I was somewhat disappointed by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

00:44:09.836 --> 00:44:13.302 latest 2022 International Conference

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

00:44:13.302 --> 00:44:17.825 on H2 Remove sleep apnea or sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}44{:}17.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20.340$ disordered breathing and it only

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}44{:}20{.}428$ --> $00{:}44{:}23{.}668$ include the the OS and as as you know NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:44:23.668 \rightarrow 00:44:27.015$ there are several case studies that

 $00:44:27.015 \rightarrow 00:44:29.910$ showed sleep apnea without necessarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:44:29.910 \rightarrow 00:44:33.080$ Having hypercapnia during the daytime

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

00:44:33.080 - 00:44:36.260 they had the hypertension either

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

00:44:36.260 --> 00:44:39.460 a pre capillary type pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:44:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.070$ hypertension or or post or mixed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}44{:}43.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.938$ I think what they're not considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:44:45.938 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.850$ is the phenotypic expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:44:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.938$ or rather the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}44{:}50{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54{.}026$ susceptibility to sleep disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:44:54.030 \rightarrow 00:44:55.304$ breathing consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58{.}489$ hypoxia plus or minus hypercapnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}44{:}58{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01{.}628$ plus their own perhaps genetic component

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}01{.}628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05{.}670$ that will set the reactions to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:45:05.670 \rightarrow 00:45:08.770$ remodeling of the pulmonary vasculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:45:08.770 \rightarrow 00:45:12.260$ The data on hyper responsiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:45:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.462$ so high altitude hypoxia size is
$00:45:14.462 \rightarrow 00:45:16.759$ really telling that there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}16.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.039$ subset of individuals at high altitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

00:45:19.039 --> 00:45:21.136 they develop on their hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}21.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.256$ and others don't with therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}23.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.397$ they're given exposure to hypoxia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}25{.}397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27{.}971$ So if you just lump everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}27{.}971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30{.}681$ into an OHSU is going to eliminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}30.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.103$ lots of folks that they may have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}34{.}103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36{.}438$ lingering pH through sleep disorder NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}36{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39{.}710$ breathing undiagnosed or or or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}39{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42{.}194$ Consider it to be a worthwhile

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}42.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.279$ to investigate either by doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00:45:44.279 \rightarrow 00:45:46.750$ an echo or or follow up actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

 $00{:}45{:}46.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.820$ with the echocardiography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726

00:45:48.820 --> 00:45:52.285 So would you in your practice continue

 $00:45:52.285 \rightarrow 00:45:55.300$ perhaps to look more carefully into NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726 $00{:}45{:}55{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58{.}639$ the presence or absence of pH in NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726 $00:45:58.735 \rightarrow 00:46:02.035$ those individuals that they may have NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726 $00:46:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.405$ non hypercaphic during the daytime NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726 00:46:06.405 --> 00:46:08.840 hypoxia and they may have actually NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726 $00:46:08.840 \rightarrow 00:46:10.088$ hypercapnia during the night? NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726 $00:46:10.090 \rightarrow 00:46:12.764$ But not during the daytime and and NOTE Confidence: 0.6242726 00:46:12.764 --> 00:46:14.838 pursue whether they may have pH. NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127 00:46:15.690 --> 00:46:18.320 Yeah. So. So great points NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127 $00:46:18.320 \rightarrow 00:46:20.424$ and then great question. NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127 $00:46:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.638$ So just the first part you said about NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127 $00:46:23.638 \rightarrow 00:46:27.484$ the the ERS and ESC conferences in 2022, NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127 $00:46:27.484 \rightarrow 00:46:33.360$ I went to ER S and you know there really was. NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127 00:46:33.360 --> 00:46:36.352 No mention of it at all except for NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127 $00:46:36.352 \rightarrow 00:46:38.940$ one comment that Marius Hooper made NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127 $00{:}46{:}38{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42{.}066$ and one comment that that Mark

 $00{:}46{:}42.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}44.050$ Huber made during one of the sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

00:46:44.050 --> 00:46:45.497 about just it being removed because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

00:46:45.497 -> 00:46:46.853 it wasn't a factor separately on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

00:46:46.853 --> 00:46:48.599 the side of the Marius would would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}46{:}48.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50.135$ agree that there is something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

00:46:50.140 --> 00:46:52.660 It's just it's such a difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00:46:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.312$ thing to study. Definitively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}46{:}54{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57{.}448$ you know, So what we would need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}46{:}57{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}418$ really create a link in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}00{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03{.}048$ sort of phenotype these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}03.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.276$ Would be a complicated site that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}05{.}276$ --> $00{:}47{:}07{.}550$ would require a lot of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00:47:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.951$ and it would be a fairly big

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12{.}000$ diversion from routine clinical care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}12.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.304$ Now to your point about how well are we

 $00:47:16.304 \rightarrow 00:47:18.572$ surveilling these subjects that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00:47:18.572 \rightarrow 00:47:20.837$ getting during routine clinical care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

00:47:20.840 --> 00:47:24.989 one of the challenges that I have is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

00:47:24.990 --> 00:47:28.800 Monitoring for nocturnal hypercapnia is not NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}28.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.150$ particularly straightforward in our practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}32{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35{.}084$ Those sleep studies get delayed because NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00:47:35.084 \rightarrow 00:47:38.329$ there's only one site that does them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}38{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41{.}210$ And a lot of times I'm more compelled

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}41.210$ --> $00{:}47{:}44.569$ to just get a sleep study and establish NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}44{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47{.}131$ some body with a sleep doctor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

00:47:47.131 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:47:49.238 and sort of have them make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}49{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51{.}377$ that the therapy is most tailored

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}51{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53{.}202$ for them as opposed to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}53.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.900$ Getting that additional layer of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00{:}47{:}54{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56{.}590$ information that I think would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7585127

 $00:47:56.646 \rightarrow 00:47:58.608$ be really useful to know to

 $00:47:58.608 \rightarrow 00:47:59.916$ actually properly phenotype them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00:48:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.022$ You know, I have a colleague here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00:48:05.022 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.754$ that has a a lot of interest in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

00:48:07.754 --> 00:48:09.440 diastolic dysfunction, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00:48:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.520$ through the cardiology practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00{:}48{:}11.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.750$ who you know would be interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00:48:13.750 \rightarrow 00:48:15.879$ trying to tease this out a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00:48:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.888$ It would just be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00{:}48{:}17.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.189$ Difficult to do using routine clinical care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00:48:23.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.355$ Uh. And I I don't foresee any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

00:48:30.355 --> 00:48:32.830 I'm not aware of any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00{:}48{:}32{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34{.}735$ Developing or ongoing studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00{:}48{:}34{.}735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37{.}448$ are trying to tease us out at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00{:}48{:}37{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43{.}240$ but that is the goal to to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667

 $00:48:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.120$ sort of establish a clear phenotype of of.

00:48:48.120 --> 00:48:50.348 Hypoxic and hypercapnic intermittently. NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667 00:48:50.348 --> 00:48:52.576 Hypoxic intermittently hypercaphic OSA NOTE Confidence: 0.8342509166666667 $00:48:52.576 \rightarrow 00:48:55.670$ patient and seeing what the risk is for pH. NOTE Confidence: 0.86696047 00:48:58.670 --> 00:49:01.270 Great. Thank you. Thank you, Cyrus. NOTE Confidence: 0.77664826 $00:49:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.740$ Claudia, you have the next question. NOTE Confidence: 0.795783178 00:49:03.070 --> 00:49:04.038 Thank you, Andre. Cyrus, NOTE Confidence: 0.795783178 $00:49:04.038 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.490$ it's so good to see you. NOTE Confidence: 0.795783178 00:49:05.490 --> 00:49:07.782 Thank you for an excellent and NOTE Confidence: 0.795783178 $00{:}49{:}07{.}782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}928$ very thoughtful presentation. NOTE Confidence: 0.841242545 $00:49:11.510 \rightarrow 00:49:13.520$ SO22 questions, two comments, one is. NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325 00:49:15.710 - 00:49:18.230 We in the field of Sleep Medicine too, NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325 $00:49:18.230 \rightarrow 00:49:20.854$ we are starting to better phenotype NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325 $00:49:20.854 \rightarrow 00:49:23.446$ our patients both with respect to NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325 $00:49:23.446 \rightarrow 00:49:25.760$ the physiologic sequelae of sleep NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325 $00:49:25.760 \rightarrow 00:49:28.055$ appea and better understanding more NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325 00:49:28.055 - 00:49:30.278 precise measures that may impact 78

 $00:49:30.278 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.343$ adverse health outcomes and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00{:}49{:}32{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34{.}236$ the development of sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00{:}49{:}34{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35{.}758$ And so one of those measures that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00:49:35.758 \rightarrow 00:49:38.166$ has risen to the top with respect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00{:}49{:}38.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40.597$ to the physiologic sequels as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00:49:40.597 \rightarrow 00:49:42.668$ metric called the hypoxic burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00:49:42.670 \rightarrow 00:49:46.225$ So unlike the frequency or the T-90 this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00:49:46.230 \rightarrow 00:49:49.550$ A measure of hypoxia that is very specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00{:}49{:}49{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56{.}560$ to that related to a pnic events and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00:49:56.560 \rightarrow 00:49:58.632$ There have been a number of publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00:49:58.632 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.577$ now showing that this is a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00{:}50{:}00{.}577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}673$ better measure of cardiovascular risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00{:}50{:}02{.}673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05{.}040$ I was curious if one is it to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

00:50:05.115 --> 00:50:06.933 knowledge as it's been looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414785325

 $00:50:06.933 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.873$ or is this at play in the field

 $00:50:09.873 \rightarrow 00:50:11.115$ of pulmonary hypertension?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00:50:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.336$ Not to my knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

00:50:14.336 --> 00:50:16.700 So you know I I think the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00:50:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.053$ long term pick study is the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00:50:20.053 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.890$ one of late that's. You know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00{:}50{:}23.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.954$ Tried to tease this out and I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

00:50:25.954 --> 00:50:27.709 think I've come across anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00{:}50{:}27.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.504$ at least up until the end of 2022 when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00:50:30.504 \rightarrow 00:50:33.770$ was doing my last searches that looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00:50:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.308$ Predictive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00{:}50{:}34{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38{.}612$ Parameters that are predictive of pH in OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00{:}50{:}38.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.748$ apart from the study that I showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570572466666667

 $00{:}50{:}40.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.809$ looked at the Cleveland Clinic cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.693623045

 $00{:}50{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.350$ 90 and the exactly, exactly so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78468576375

 $00:50:48.350 \rightarrow 00:50:53.262$ Uh, but but a really good point now, is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.78468576375

 $00:50:53.262 \rightarrow 00:50:56.118$ a parameter that is derived or measured?

 $00:50:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.434$ It is both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00:50:58.434 \rightarrow 00:51:00.474$ It requires some sophistication and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00:51:00.474 \rightarrow 00:51:03.098$ there's not ready for for prime time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00:51:03.100 \rightarrow 00:51:05.392$ It's not something we can automatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00{:}51{:}05{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07{.}190$ download on our clinical studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00{:}51{:}07{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08{.}800$ So we can get proxy of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00:51:08.800 \rightarrow 00:51:11.194$ But it's something actually the Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00{:}51{:}11{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13{.}478$ group has developed and looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

00:51:13.478 --> 00:51:15.648 it in a number of cohorts including

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00:51:15.648 \rightarrow 00:51:18.614$ the Maza cohorts and some other sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00:51:18.614 \rightarrow 00:51:20.338$ of national cardiovascular cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00{:}51{:}20{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24{.}060$ It'd be interesting to examine that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

00:51:24.060 --> 00:51:27.120 context of pH because I think you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00:51:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.802$ Measures like the AI and T-90 may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}266$ not be deriving some of the risk

 $00:51:33.266 \rightarrow 00:51:35.510$ and we obviously hypoxemia is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00{:}51{:}35{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38{.}408$ a maybe a central driver here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

00:51:38.410 --> 00:51:40.198 Yeah, yeah, absolutely have potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00:51:40.198 \rightarrow 00:51:42.382$ The other comment question is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00{:}51{:}42{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}45{.}203$ one of the things that our group has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00{:}51{:}45{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47{.}200$ been interested in more recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00{:}51{:}47{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49{.}438$ And and we've started to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00:51:49.438 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.300$ this link by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865116868666667

 $00:51:51.300 \rightarrow 00:51:53.598$ diabetology is my new favorite word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

00:51:53.600 --> 00:51:55.576 I haven't heard for your present day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651168686666667

 $00:51:55.576 \rightarrow 00:51:57.904$ is it diabetology or diastole apathy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6200703198

 $00:51:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.920$ I use diabetology just

NOTE Confidence: 0.6200703198

 $00{:}51{:}59{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02{.}440$ and I it's now a reflex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6200703198

 $00:52:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.520$ So I try not to do it when

NOTE Confidence: 0.6200703198

00:52:04.520 --> 00:52:07.100 I'm in you know informally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6200703198

00:52:07.100 --> 00:52:09.968 but it's yeah. Anyway

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:10.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.932$ love the word and but one of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00{:}52{:}12{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15{.}490$ mechanisms that we've been looking at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:15.490 \dashrightarrow 00:52:18.136$ and this link between sleep disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.021$ Breathing and die.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00{:}52{:}20{.}021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23{.}156$ Astrology or diastole apathy is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:23.156 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.281$ through coronary microvascular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:25.281 \rightarrow 00:52:28.336$ dysfunction and which is something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:28.336 \rightarrow 00:52:32.777$ we can look at now with pet imaging
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:32.777 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.627$ or at least proxies of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.000$ And so beyond just the left
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- 00:52:38.000 --> 00:52:39.580 atrial enlargement and atrial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:39.653 \rightarrow 00:52:42.218$ fibrillation that you were measuring,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.770$ this could be another plausible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00{:}52{:}44.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48.556$ mechanistic way between sleep apnea and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853887991
- $00:52:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.300$ And diastolic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:52:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.556$ Yeah, that I'm more familiar with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00{:}52{:}52{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54{.}378$ There's sort of like a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:52:54.378 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.052$ there's a just like there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:52:56.052 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.551$ fractal pattern that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

00:52:57.551 - 00:52:58.739 in the pulmonary circulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:52:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.876$ there's a fractal pattern in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00{:}53{:}00{.}876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02{.}300$ myocardial circulation that gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:53:02.364 \rightarrow 00:53:04.449$ obliterated in certain disease states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00{:}53{:}04{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}774$ And I wouldn't be surprised if that

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:53:06.774 \rightarrow 00:53:09.536$ happened in the in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:53:09.536 \rightarrow 00:53:11.830$ OSA like you're implying that it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:53:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.174$ Sounds good. Great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782576298333333

 $00:53:13.174 \rightarrow 00:53:13.846$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825643748333333

 $00{:}53{:}14.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.290$ Yeah, this is, this is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825643748333333

 $00:53:16.290 \dashrightarrow 00:53:18.486$ Thanks for the good questions Clark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825643748333333

 $00:53:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.162$ So I might I wanted to ask a

 $00{:}53{:}20{.}162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21{.}918$ question you know are are there

NOTE Confidence: 0.825643748333333

00:53:21.918 --> 00:53:23.202 physiological studies looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.825643748333333

 $00:53:23.202 \rightarrow 00:53:25.125$ people with pH and sleep apnea and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825643748333333

00:53:25.125 -> 00:53:26.850 what happens to them when they're on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825643748333333

 $00{:}53{:}26.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29.485$ when they get pap like in the lab with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.825643748333333

 $00{:}53{:}29{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31{.}480$ catheter in place that you're aware of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.32607538

 $00{:}53{:}32{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39{.}020$ Umm. And I I've looked so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32607538

 $00{:}53{:}39{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42{.}156$ And I would love to that would be great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32607538

 $00{:}53{:}42.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.787$ But the that one in the 70s

NOTE Confidence: 0.32607538

00:53:44.787 --> 00:53:46.917 I I wish they had applied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.32607538

 $00:53:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.910$ Yeah at the time like that. Well

NOTE Confidence: 0.776556739

00:53:48.950 --> 00:53:51.726 you know because we do have a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.776556739

 $00{:}53{:}51{.}726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}475$ biobehavioral lab that Clare Clare

NOTE Confidence: 0.776556739

00:53:54.475 --> 00:53:57.335 has has Co leading and so this might

NOTE Confidence: 0.776556739

 $00{:}53{:}57{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59{.}600$ be a nice nice way to actually have

00:53:59.600 --> 00:54:01.436 some some you know our pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.776556739

 $00:54:01.436 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.660$ hypertension group folks right

NOTE Confidence: 0.802660767

 $00:54:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.456$ that's low hanging fruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.802660767

 $00:54:04.456 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.710$ if you guys can do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846810849411765

 $00{:}54{:}06{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08{.}320$ Yeah. And and so it might be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.846810849411765

 $00:54:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.745$ a very interesting mechanistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.846810849411765

 $00:54:09.745 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.970$ study to look at because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846810849411765

00:54:11.970 --> 00:54:13.978 You know, there's nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846810849411765

 $00{:}54{:}13{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15{.}795$ nothing better than looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.846810849411765

00:54:15.795 -> 00:54:17.625 at what happens in real time

NOTE Confidence: 0.846810849411765

 $00{:}54{:}17.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.540$ for these physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.767272578263158

00:54:18.550 --> 00:54:20.026 studies, especially if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.767272578263158

 $00:54:20.026 \rightarrow 00:54:21.502$ getting a relatively clean

NOTE Confidence: 0.767272578263158

 $00:54:21.502 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.467$ patient that doesn't have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.767272578263158

 $00:54:23.467 \rightarrow 00:54:24.922$ of combat conditions that are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767272578263158

 $00:54:24.930 \rightarrow 00:54:28.430$ you know, have frankly developed.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.767272578263158
- $00:54:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.822$ Um, that'd be fantastic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767272578263158
- $00:54:29.822 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.210$ But it it would just be it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767272578263158
- $00{:}54{:}32{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33{.}298$ It'd be, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.877763865
- 00:54:36.240 --> 00:54:38.136 I mean, yeah, go ahead.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.877763865
- $00{:}54{:}38{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39{.}620$ I mean even even for those with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- 00:54:39.670 --> 00:54:41.380 diastolic dysfunction or, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- $00:54:41.380 \rightarrow 00:54:43.072$ have that, for example, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- $00:54:43.072 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.740$ you have an acute change in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- $00{:}54{:}44{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}47{.}332$ Absolutely. And and treatment and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- $00:54:47.332 \rightarrow 00:54:48.820$ so that that's just one thought.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- $00:54:48.820 \rightarrow 00:54:50.205$ I was wondering whether that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- $00{:}54{:}50{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}146$ happened before and so and also for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- 00:54:52.146 --> 00:54:54.470 the case that you presented kudos for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- $00{:}54{:}54{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}215$ for sticking to your guns and not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851992766923077
- $00:54:58.220 \rightarrow 00:55:00.089$ Now get back to phase dilator therapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7420131935

 $00{:}55{:}02{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03{.}552$ That it's a it's interesting I

NOTE Confidence: 0.7420131935

 $00:55:03.552 \rightarrow 00:55:05.118$ mean it's sort of hard you may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7420131935

 $00{:}55{:}05{.}118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06{.}300$ for a lot of these patients. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.911725923636364

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07{.}696$ wonder if we may not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.911725923636364

 $00:55:07.696 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.890$ able to dissect you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.95451569

 $00{:}55{:}08{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12{.}392$ how much of this is sleep apnea how

NOTE Confidence: 0.95451569

 $00{:}55{:}12.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}14.524$ much of this is you know obesity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95451569

 $00:55:14.524 \rightarrow 00:55:16.390$ diastolic and have death type situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95451569

00:55:16.390 --> 00:55:19.195 because oftentimes I mean they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.95451569

 $00{:}55{:}19{.}195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21{.}020$ just comes this together right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

 $00:55:21.030 \dashrightarrow 00:55:24.018$ Yeah you know in that case what I was NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

00:55:24.018 --> 00:55:26.246 able to do with risk calculators for pH NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

00:55:26.246 --> 00:55:28.259 and I was able to demonstrate that her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

00:55:28.260 --> 00:55:30.030 her risk wasn't dramatically high

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

 $00:55:30.030 \dashrightarrow 00:55:32.580$ and you know with her functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

 $00:55:32.580 \rightarrow 00:55:34.502$ At us being what it was. Yeah.

 $00:55:34.502 \rightarrow 00:55:35.830$ People felt comfortable discharged

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

00:55:35.830 --> 00:55:37.801 because I was a consultant, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

00:55:37.801 -> 00:55:39.978 Like they they I wasn't making the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

 $00:55:39.978 \rightarrow 00:55:42.507$ call on her leaving the medical ward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

00:55:42.510 --> 00:55:46.848 But I think people saw her walking around and NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

 $00:55:46.850 \dashrightarrow 00:55:49.050$ lots of very good and they said OK you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

 $00{:}55{:}49{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51{.}570$ as long as she's got follow up and uses the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84862763

 $00{:}55{:}51{.}635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54{.}054$ mask and it's on you then then go right

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

00:55:54.070 - 00:55:57.490 ahead. So. So all right, sounds good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

 $00{:}55{:}57{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59{.}835$ And so here's a clinical question unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

 $00:55:59.835 \rightarrow 00:56:01.651$ let's see are there any questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

00:56:01.651 --> 00:56:03.609 down in the chat? Not quite yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

 $00{:}56{:}03{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05{.}246$ And so I guess the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

 $00{:}56{:}05{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06{.}990$ question is when you see patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

 $00:56:06.990 \rightarrow 00:56:09.389$ with pH who are at risk for OSA,

 $00:56:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.196$ do you send them to sleep docs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

 $00{:}56{:}11.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.700$ hopes of improving their pH or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

 $00{:}56{:}12.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.329$ just send them to sleep docs because NOTE Confidence: 0.787100874

 $00:56:14.329 \rightarrow 00:56:15.799$ they should see a sleep period?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00{:}56{:}17.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20.176$ Mostly the latter. I mean, I just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

00:56:20.176 --> 00:56:25.420 you know, I care most about. Uh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00{:}56{:}25{.}420$ --> $00{:}56{:}27{.}394$ The data would suggest that the big NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00:56:27.394 \rightarrow 00:56:28.946$ drivers are the nocturnal hypoxemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00{:}56{:}28.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.514$ and so as long as that gets addressed, NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00:56:31.520 \rightarrow 00:56:36.984$ I feel good. But there's no way that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00{:}56{:}36{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}39{.}202$ Apric and obstructive episodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00:56:39.202 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.318$ are good, and so I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00{:}56{:}44{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}47{.}880$ I sent them for for both reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

00:56:47.880 --> 00:56:49.760 And I feel fairly comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00:56:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.640$ reading them the riot act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00:56:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.020$ And if they, you know,

 $00:56:53.020 \rightarrow 00:56:54.964$ trust you when it comes to managing their pH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00{:}56{:}54{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55{.}978$ then they'll, they'll listen to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00{:}56{:}55{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58{.}206$ When it comes to sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00:56:58.206 \rightarrow 00:56:59.460$ consequences of untreated OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00{:}56{:}59{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01{.}540$ I do have a handful of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

00:57:01.540 --> 00:57:03.288 just can't tolerate PAP therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84508714

 $00:57:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.616$ but they've all made an effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809980507

 $00{:}57{:}08.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11.850$ And I I I hold the record for a for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809980507

 $00{:}57{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13.770$ The highest fraction of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.809980507

 $00:57:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.025$ referred to the Sleep Lab with

NOTE Confidence: 0.809980507

 $00{:}57{:}16.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.960$ BMI is less than 30 because of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006

 $00{:}57{:}21.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.356$ Very good, very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006

00:57:22.356 --> 00:57:23.720 Alright, well, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006

 $00{:}57{:}23.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28.580$ Great talk, very important area and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006

 $00:57:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.270$ Good discussion.

- 00:57:29.270 --> 00:57:30.995 Good to see you everybody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006
- 00:57:31.000 -> 00:57:31.864 And we are gonna,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006
- $00:57:31.864 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.527 \text{ most of us are going to head}$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006
- $00:57:33.527 \rightarrow 00:57:35.057$ over to the pulmonary critical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006
- $00{:}57{:}35{.}057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36{.}710$ care and sleep messing around.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006
- $00{:}57{:}36{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38{.}230$ And so we'll see you next week every one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006
- $00:57:38.230 \rightarrow 00:57:39.610$ Thanks very much for participating.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935295006
- $00:57:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.650$ Take care.