WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:54:38" NOTE recognizability:0.830

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.60935728

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.070$ Again, wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874890984

00:00:05.480 --> 00:00:06.650 Thanks for doing this, Nancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805660954

 $00:00:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.570$ Thank you for the invite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696667244

 $00:00:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.860$ Yeah, it should be fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786688405

 $00:00:14.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.040$ There we go. People are joining. Excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89844575

 $00:00:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.290$ Hello hello.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883129432222222

 $00{:}00{:}33.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.130$ We'll get started in just

NOTE Confidence: 0.883129432222222

 $00:00:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.058$ a couple of minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:00:51.190 --> 00:00:52.840 All right, I think we're reaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:00:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.849$ a critical mass of 30 participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:00:54.850 --> 00:00:56.833 Excellent. Hello Mayor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:00:56.833 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.198$ thanks for the note. Hi everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:00.198 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.584$ my name is Andres in truck and I want

 $00:01:03.584 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.032$ to welcome you back to our Joint Sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}01{:}07.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.880$ Conference and seminar between Yale BIDMC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.820$ Brigham Women's BMC and Tufts Sleep Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.285$ So it really sounds like a northeastern

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:16.285 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.016$ conglomerate and a few announcements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}01{:}18.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.870$ Before we start and I introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:19.935 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.086$ today's speaker, doctor Nancy Redeker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:22.086 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.040$ First, Please ensure that you are muted

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.280$ during the talk and to receive CME credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.642$ Please see the chat room for

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:29.642 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.129$ instructions which will be posted there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.206$ A few minutes after we start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.550$ if you're not already registered

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.890$ with the CME at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:01:35.890 --> 00:01:38.050 you will need to do so first to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}01{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.312$ credit and recording of this session will

 $00:01:40.312 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.480$ be available online after two weeks at

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.590$ the link that we provided in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:01:44.590 --> 00:01:46.885 And if you do have questions during the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.340$ please make use of the chat rooms

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.110$ throughout the hour and at the end we

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:01:52.110 --> 00:01:54.484 hopefully will have a productive session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:54.484 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.969$ and so without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:01:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.763$ I wanted to introduce with great pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:01:59.763 --> 00:02:02.660 to a speaker doctor Nancy Reticker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:02.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.410$ And for a joint conference today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333 00:02:04.410 --> 00:02:05.274 And so, NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:05.274 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.866$ doctor Reticker has completed her nursing

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:07.866 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.648$ training at Seton Hall and then earned

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}02{:}10.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.250$ a PhD of Nursing Research NYU in 1990.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.587$ And then she went on to become a professor

 $00:02:15.587 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.567$ of nursing at Wreckers University

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}02{:}17.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.870$ before being recruited to Yale School

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.390$ of Medicine as the director of Yale's

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:22.390 --> 00:02:24.711 nursing PhD program and director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:24.711 --> 00:02:26.686 the by Behavioral Laboratory here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.106$ which has catalyzed really

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}02{:}28.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.470$ catalyzed the research at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.850$ So Nancy has been conducting research at the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}02{:}33.850 --> 00{:}02{:}34.615$ you know, NIH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:34.615 --> 00:02:35.635 funded and otherwise funded

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:35.635 --> 00:02:36.780 research in chronic illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.892$ sleep, and health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:37.892 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.864$ So the past 30 years and reviewing

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:39.864 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.123$ her CV was really fun and I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:42.179 --> 00:02:44.209 share a first research paper with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:44.210 --> 00:02:46.730 which was published in 1989,

 $00:02:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.861$ titled Health Beliefs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:47.861 --> 00:02:49.369 Health Locus of Control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.956$ and the frequency of practice of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:51.956 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.200$ breast self examination in women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.874$ And in the 150 papers and more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:56.880 --> 00:02:57.636 Since that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:02:57.636 --> 00:02:59.820 she's focused on a wide range of topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:02:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.566$ including sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:00.566 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.058$ health and underserved communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.424$ children and adults with severe comorbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:04.424 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.332$ conditions such as heart failure and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:07.332 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.858$ addition to being a prolific researcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}03{:}09.860 \to 00{:}03{:}12.488$ Nancy has been a committed mentor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}03{:}12.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}14.515$ helping junior investigators from many

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:03:14.515 --> 00:03:16.135 different fields including nursing,

 $00:03:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.848$ physiotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:03:16.848 --> 00:03:18.264 psychology physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:18.264 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.588$ myself included to careers in research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:20.588 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.269$ So thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.498$ Nancy for myself and Oreo mentees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.320$ And Nancy is more recent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.815$ Focus has been improving quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:27.815 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.635$ of life in individuals with sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:29.635 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.980$ disorders and heart failure which

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.118$ we all know is a highly prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00{:}03{:}33.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.458$ and highly morbid condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.012$ And So what Doctor Recker has done is

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:37.012 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.429$ focused beyond the sleep disturbance as

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:39.429 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.574$ classically attributed to sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.492$ In this vulnerable population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:42.492 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.086$ And so I'm excited to hear her

 $00:03:44.086 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.016$ talk on the topic today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.284$ and so let's please give a warm welcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

 $00:03:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.508$ digitally or otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852218058333333

00:03:49.508 --> 00:03:52.350 to Doctor Rediker and go ahead and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807542504615385

 $00:03:52.428 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.550$ Nancy thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:03:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.998$ Can you see are we good?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:03:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.000$ We got the slides up OK, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}04{:}00.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.632$ So anyway, thank you everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:02.632 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.366$ I have nothing to disclose here and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:05.366 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.634$ information is here about your CME credits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.796$ So thank you for that nice introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.636$ I think it's funny that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:04:11.636 --> 00:04:12.860 mentioned my first paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}04{:}12.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.360$ so I had to do research and I had little

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:15.422 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.898$ children in the daycare setting and I

00:04:17.898 --> 00:04:20.320 wasn't that interested in breast self exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}04{:}20.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.795$ but I knew I could get all these preschool

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:22.795 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.979$ mothers to fill out my questionnaire so

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:24.979 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.989$ it's interesting how our work evolves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:04:26.990 --> 00:04:28.754 Anyway, after that I became a corner

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:04:28.754 --> 00:04:30.600 carry unit nurse and that's how I

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.895$ got interested in heart disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:04:31.900 --> 00:04:34.978 So the title of my talk today is cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}04{:}34.978 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}04{:}37.166$ behavioral therapy has sustained effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:04:37.166 --> 00:04:39.836 among people with stable heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}04{:}39.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.157$ So as most of you probably know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:04:42.160 --> 00:04:43.870 heart failure presents a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.238$ burden in the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:04:45.240 --> 00:04:47.196 There's about 26 million people throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:47.196 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.310$ the world who have heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.950$ and of course they have

 $00:04:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.470$ lots of comorbid problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:52.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.280$ but they also have high symptom burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.396$ They have a lot of fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:04:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.123$ They have dyspnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}04{:}57.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.810$ I think I learned that in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:04:58.869 --> 00:05:00.359 second day of nursing school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.166$ but they also have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:02.166 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.640$ depression and sleep disturbance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.672$ And we'll talk a little obviously talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}05{:}05.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.625$ little bit more about that in a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:05:07.630 --> 00:05:10.753 as well as poor function and quality of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.558$ cognitive dysfunction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}05{:}11.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.351$ and it also costs the health care system

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}05{:}14.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.635$ quite a lot of money to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:05:16.635 --> 00:05:18.930 treat them as well as what we've often

 $00:05:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.587$ focused on in terms of specific sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:21.587 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.317$ disorders such as sleep disordered breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.016$ When we think about sleep disorders in heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.303$ failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:26.303 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.869$ of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:05:26.869 --> 00:05:29.633 and I'm sure many of you in the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

00:05:29.633 --> 00:05:31.438 are from a pulmonary perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.184$ are aware and have focused on sleep disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.989$ Everything and we know 50% or more have

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:36.989 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.707$ either central or obstructive sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.652$ or both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:40.652 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.536$ There's also some prevalence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.794$ increased prevalence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:43.794 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.048$ restless leg syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.666$ but there's also a lot of self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:47.666 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.503$ sleep complaints which we could call

 $00:05:49.503 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.950$ insomnia and I'll get to him in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.982$ How I defined that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:52.982 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.530$ but there's a lot of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:54.589 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.542$ in the literature you know in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}05{:}56.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.850$ our clinical practice as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265 00:05:57.850 --> 00:05:58.378 If so, NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:58.378 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.698$ is there a sleep disturbance

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:05:59.698 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.230$ only due to sleep apnea?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.426$ Is it due to nocturnal symptoms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.059$ Is it also?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}06{:}05.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.774$ Comorbid with depression and anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.572$ We also know that heart failure patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}06{:}09.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.479$ are on many different medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.200$ of which many are most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.940$ could contribute to poor sleep.

00:06:14.940 --> 00:06:15.744 But finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}06{:}15.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.558$ is it also a learned behavior associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:18.558 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.245$ with perpetuating factors as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:21.245 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.986$ hyper arousal from a physiologic as

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:23.986 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.236$ well as a psychological perspective?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.686$ And are these things that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:27.686 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.410$ be amenable to treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.542$ So when we drill down a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}06{:}33.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.737$ into specific symptoms and this comes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.120$ From some of our earlier work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00{:}06{:}37.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.325$ as many as 70% of heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:39.325 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.280$ patients both heart failure with

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.160$ preserved ejection fraction heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.737207265

 $00:06:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.040$ failure with reduced ejection

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:06:45.107 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.843$ fraction have symptoms such as difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}06{:}47.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.648$ initiating sleep, staying a sleep,

00:06:49.648 --> 00:06:51.946 waking too early, not enough sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:06:51.946 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.200$ they nap a lot during the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:06:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.470$ We have nocturia. In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:06:55.470 --> 00:06:57.025 people have said to me, well of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:06:57.025 --> 00:06:58.959 heart failure patients get up a lot at night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:06:58.960 --> 00:07:02.260 They have nocturia difficulty sleeping flat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.330$ but there's also a huge amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}07{:}04.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.020$ of hypnotic use prescribed and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.760$ Not prescribed in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}07{:}08.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.454$ So some of these might be specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:10.454 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.899$ to one sleep disorder or another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.718$ and in a minute I'll explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}07{:}13.718 --> 00{:}07{:}15.660$ how we got to insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.236$ So when we looked at these in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}07{:}18.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.419$ sample which which was collected.

 $00:07:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.900$ Oh, I can't believe it's almost 20 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}07{:}22.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.720$ ago we started this work when I was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.018$ New Jersey and Robert Wood Johnson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.898$ Hospital I'm looking at sleep symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:29.898 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.620$ and people with heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.110$ We recruited 59 patients with heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.188$ failure and healthy controls which

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:07:36.188 --> 00:07:38.300 were pretty well matched on age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.358$ gender and comorbidity, and we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:07:40.360 --> 00:07:41.890 well, do heart failure patients really

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.519$ have poor sleep than other people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.128$ And we weren't looking at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:45.128 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.200$ sort of breathing here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}07{:}46.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.838$ This is a pretty low budget study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.000$ We were looking at self report

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.848$ and actigraphy, but so on.

00:07:51.848 --> 00:07:53.882 Almost every measure, falling asleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:07:53.882 --> 00:07:56.137 staying asleep, waking too early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:07:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.800$ not enough sleep, more naps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:07:58.800 --> 00:08:01.698 Over 50% of heart failure patients took

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:01.698 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.982$ naps during the day pauses during this sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:08:04.982 --> 00:08:06.856 Interestingly enough, leg twitching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:06.856 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.868$ They had nocturnal dyspnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}08{:}08.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.036$ but notably the control group had

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:08:11.036 --> 00:08:13.709 just had as much as more snoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}08{:}13.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.188$ but also had as much more nocturia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.884$ So these are sort of common things

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:17.884 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.870$ that we think are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:08:18.870 --> 00:08:19.749 are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}08{:}19.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.507$ related to their their staying awake

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:08:21.507 --> 00:08:23.268 with the heart failure patients?

 $00:08:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.866$ At least in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}08{:}24.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.260$ And this was self report data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:27.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.815$ We're not higher on those other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.980$ So we also collected actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.576$ This is data from a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:33.576 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.260$ more recent study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.003$ but the data from the early study

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}08{:}36.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.333$ looked the same and most of you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}08{:}38.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.553$ probably familiar with actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.723$ Each one of these lines is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:08:41.723 --> 00:08:44.117 12 hour day from noon to noon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.196$ and the little black marks here

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:46.196 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.234$ are their activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.992$ The red marks is where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:48.992 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.160$ device says they're awake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:08:50.160 --> 00:08:51.738 The line along the bottom and

 $00:08:51.738 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.035$ then here in the blue is where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:54.035 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.817$ indicates this is their sleep time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}08{:}55.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.312$ What you notice here is there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:08:58.312 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.259$ lot of irregularity and bedtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.339$ There's also a lot of little tiny,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.350$ whether their arousals or just movements

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:09:04.350 --> 00:09:06.780 we don't know because this is actigraphy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:06.780 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.964$ but there's a lot of disturbed sleep and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:08.964 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.556$ just to be said in a very unscientific way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.800$ and so this is really been a focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.209$ of our work as to to consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:16.209 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.633$ addressing some of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00{:}09{:}17.640 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.453$ So when we looked at the actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:19.453 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.238$ again in this very small sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.416$ what we saw, this is the heart failure.

00:09:23.420 --> 00:09:24.948 Patients again compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

00:09:24.948 --> 00:09:26.094 the comparison group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.470$ they had pretty close to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.655$ same sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899674967857143

 $00:09:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.816$ They had more wake after sleep onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:09:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.278$ This is in the bar graph at the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:09:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.722$ with the statistics on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}09{:}35.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.356$ and they awaked awake and much

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:09:38.356 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.339$ more frequently during the night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:09:40.339 --> 00:09:41.751 But interestingly enough they

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:09:41.751 --> 00:09:43.539 also had longer time in bed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:09:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.076$ which probably explains

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:09:45.076 --> 00:09:47.124 their poor sleep efficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00:09:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.806$ notably the heart failure patients also had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:09:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.860$ I'm not sure what happened to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:09:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.800$ the alignment in this slide,

 $00:09:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.870$ but had longer sleep latency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:09:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.625$ Almost half of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:09:57.625 --> 00:09:59.380 had prolonged sleep latency and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:09:59.445 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.896$ this was the median level then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:01.896 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.286$ then the comparison group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.187$ So this LED us to think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.270$ So this lead us to a larger study

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:10:09.270 --> 00:10:11.372 which we got funded from an order

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}10{:}11.372 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.812$ one and the question was how is sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:13.812 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.492$ disturbance as you see in wait in the middle?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00:10:16.492 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.879$ How does sleep disturbance and we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:18.879 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.758$ mean this specifically as a specific sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

00:10:21.758 --> 00:10:23.612 Diagnosis but sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}10{:}23.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.466$ disturbance more generically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.228$ how did that explain the outcome here?

 $00:10:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.636$ Was functional performance and how did

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}10{:}30.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.030$ it explain day time symptoms and in turn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.245$ did these symptoms mediate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:35.245 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.460$ relationship between complaints of poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:37.527 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.867$ sleep and the functional outcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.840$ And you look we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.810$ We did do we did home PSG on all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}10{:}42.868 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.192$ these patients full PSG and we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00{:}10{:}45.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.810$ measure sleep disordered breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.331$ We measured PLM's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00{:}10{:}48.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.866$ We measured and et cetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:10:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.509$ and so we were able to recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:10:53.509 --> 00:10:55.334 about 175 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00:10:55.334 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.626$ It's 175 and with a mean age of 60.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:00.630 --> 00:11:01.362 Ejection fraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:01.362 --> 00:11:02.094 interestingly enough,

 $00:11:02.094 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.924$ when we started this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.303$ we were only looking at patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:06.303 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.428$ reduced ejection fraction and then our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:08.428 --> 00:11:10.178 cardiology colleagues got really smart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:10.180 --> 00:11:11.664 much smarter about sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:11.664 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.406$ disordered breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.101$ And so we we originally set out to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:15.101 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.217$ people that were completely naive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:17.220 --> 00:11:18.725 Any sleep treatment and take

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:18.725 --> 00:11:19.929 a broad cross section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:19.930 --> 00:11:21.546 but that got harder and harder to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.794$ so we had both patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00{:}11{:}23.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.052$ reduced and preserved ejection

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00{:}11{:}25.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.816$ fraction in this study with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:26.816 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.613$ mean New York art class of 2.5,

 $00:11:28.613 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.434$ the majority of the patients were obese.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:31.440 --> 00:11:33.120 So just to give you a flavor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.379$ this and I am going to get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:35.379 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.854$ the intervention in a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.869$ but I wanted to explain how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:38.869 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.144$ got to the intervention when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:41.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.832$ compared the patients on the apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:43.832 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.958$ hypopnea index across quartiles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00{:}11{:}45.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.724$ What you see here in on based

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:47.724 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.240$ on their symptoms and function

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00:11:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.636$ 'cause we were interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.962$ This was the primary outcomes was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:52.962 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.379$ function and symptoms as you see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00:11:55.379 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.620$ on the left and when we compare it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:11:57.620 --> 00:11:59.817 across the hi quartile with the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:11:59.817 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.547$ column being the overall number.

 $00:12:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.749$ And the the 3rd, 3rd, 2nd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:12:03.749 --> 00:12:04.028 3rd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:12:04.028 --> 00:12:06.260 4th and 5th column is being the HI

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:12:06.329 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.863$ court that there was no difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:12:08.863 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.028$ self reported sleep with the piskey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:12:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.098$ No difference in depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:12:13.098 --> 00:12:15.683 no difference in global fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}12{:}15.690 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}12{:}18.982$ no difference in the Epworth and no

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:12:18.982 --> 00:12:20.788 difference in the six minute walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}12{:}20.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.090$ across apnea. Hypotony index cord piles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834422832222222

 $00:12:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.170$ The only difference was a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

00:12:26.170 --> 00:12:28.888 less physical activity on the actigraph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}12{:}28.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.778$ which you see only in the 4th quartile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00{:}12{:}31.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.556$ These were people with an HI

NOTE Confidence: 0.83442283222222

 $00:12:33.556 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.740$ and you see our

 $00:12:34.817 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.367$ court tiles here pretty much corresponded

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:12:37.367 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.602$ to clinical levels of the HI and so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:12:40.602 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.331$ was only at that level and so well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:12:43.331 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.059$ So what is it about sleep if it's not the hi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:12:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.508$ that's sort of contributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:12:47.508 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.660$ So what we found out was that overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:12:50.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.366$ about half of the patients reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:12:53.366 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.719$ difficulty initiating sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:12:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.198$ maintaining sleep or waking too early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

00:12:57.200 --> 00:12:59.150 Half of them had difficulty maintaining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00{:}12{:}59.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.654$ OK, that's pretty nonspecific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:13:01.654 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.064$ 42% had difficulty falling asleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:13:04.064 \dashrightarrow 00:13:07.086$ And 24% woke up too early in the morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00{:}13{:}07.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.452$ Insomnia was a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00:13:08.452 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.969$ prevalent in the women than the men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

00:13:09.970 --> 00:13:12.234 although this wasn't significantly

00:13:12.234 --> 00:13:14.498 significantly different and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

 $00{:}13{:}14.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.447$ in somnia is not associated with age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841736040454545

00:13:17.450 --> 00:13:21.200 The HI or left ventricular LV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.040$ So this is just some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.679$ some of the data comparing people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:27.679 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.888$ and without insomnia with insomnia in

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:29.888 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.254$ blue without insomnia is in the red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:13:32.260 --> 00:13:35.145 Comparing those folks on levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:13:35.145 --> 00:13:36.868 of sleepiness, depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:36.868 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.140$ fatigue, and physical function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:13:39.140 --> 00:13:41.480 The six minute walk to fit on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.916$ you have to multiply this by 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}13{:}43.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.182$ So there was about 100 foot

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:46.182 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.678$ difference in six minute walk distance

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:48.678 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.963$ between the patients with insomnia

 $00:13:50.963 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.639$ and the people without insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}13{:}53.640 --> 00{:}13{:}55.184$ And so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:55.184 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.114$ So it was sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:13:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.720$ One would expect that we might see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:13:59.720 --> 00:14:01.716 effectivity going on here that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:14:01.716 --> 00:14:03.636 with more insomnia might report more

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:03.695 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.810$ daytime symptoms and poorer function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.790$ But this is an objective measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}14{:}07.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10.059$ commonly used in cardio pulmonary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:10.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.287$ And there was 100 foot and statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}14{:}13.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.059$ significant clinically significant as

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:15.059 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.320$ well difference in the six minute walk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.850$ So we published both of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}14{:}18.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.220$ papers in the journal SLEEP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:14:20.220 --> 00:14:24.430 And again, I can't believe it's 2010 already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.110$ And and so this was sort

 $00:14:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.670$ of the take home message.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}14{:}27.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.600$ Insomnia seems to be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.530$ to function in these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.162$ so the next question was, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:33.162 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.254$ what are we going to do about this, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:35.254 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.830$ So here's our model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.230$ Well, we could give kpap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:14:38.230 --> 00:14:40.852 but we were interested in daytime

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:40.852 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.697$ function and fatigue and mood and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}14{:}43.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46.070$ we didn't see a difference in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:46.149 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.130$ hi on those variables we could give

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}14{:}48.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.053$ Hypnotics and I'll explain a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:50.053 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.089$ more why we didn't do that in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:14:52.090 --> 00:14:52.840 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:14:52.840 --> 00:14:54.715 everybody could use better heart

 $00{:}14{:}54.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.840$ failure disease management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.156$ We could do more physical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:58.156 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.314$ to improve function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:14:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.520$ We obviously could improve stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.305$ A lot of these patients were depressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.000$ We could do any depressants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}15{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.205$ but we just said let's let's look

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:07.205 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.982$ at CBT eye and see if we can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:09.982 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.174$ a better outcome on the function

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:12.174 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.524$ and symptoms with this treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858 00:15:14.530 --> 00:15:16.770 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.886$ Just as a review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:17.886 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.890$ I'm sure you're all familiar with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:15:19.890 --> 00:15:24.478 The this is the ICD 3 definition of insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:24.478 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.802$ which pretty much is corresponds to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:27.802 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.990$ we we were observing in our patients.

 $00:15:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.998$ So we said, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:15:31.998 --> 00:15:33.950 I'm you know I'm I'm sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:15:33.950 --> 00:15:35.430 a very quantitative person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.900$ but I thought it was very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.084$ If we were going to develop an intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}15{:}39.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.634$ to go back and see what the patients say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.610$ So we after we did this study and this we

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}15{:}43.665 \to 00{:}15{:}45.690$ did after I came to Yale with a colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:15:45.690 --> 00:15:47.290 Doctor Laura Andrew Scheer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:15:47.290 --> 00:15:49.290 in our critical care program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}15{:}49.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52.895$ who is an expert in qualitative research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}15{:}52.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.433$ We went back to the heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00{:}15{:}54.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.810$ patients and said if we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.935$ going to build an intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:15:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.410$ what would you be interested in?

 $00:15:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.056$ How important is your sleep and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:16:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.620$ If we were to build a

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:16:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.140$ behavioral intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:16:03.140 --> 00:16:04.982 would you come?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:16:04.982 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.856$ And So what they told us is insomnia was

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:16:08.856 --> 00:16:10.973 very important to them in that quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:16:10.973 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.890$ and that this is the title of the paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

00:16:12.890 --> 00:16:13.946 I need a bucket of nails.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:16:13.950 \dashrightarrow 00:16:16.710$ If I thought it would help me sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943323858

 $00:16:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.090 I$ go to bed knowing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.010$ so we asked them well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

00:16:19.010 --> 00:16:19.938 what happens at night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

00:16:19.938 --> 00:16:21.833 I go to bed knowing that I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:21.833 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.408$ going to sleep and it bothers me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:23.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.300$ OK, so this kind of catastrophe

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.320$ station is really part of insomnia.

 $00:16:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.567$ Dear God, please let me sleep tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00{:}16{:}29.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.894$ They talked about all some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:31.894 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.744$ typical cognitions we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:33.744 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.724$ in behavioral aspects of insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.557$ Notably, very few people told us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:37.557 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.352$ it was their heart failure symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:39.352 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.266$ that we were keeping them awake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

00:16:41.270 --> 00:16:43.394 Very few people told us it

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:43.394 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.810$ was nocturia or disneya.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00{:}16{:}44.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.858$ Most of them had these sort of obsessive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00{:}16{:}46.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.110$ Thoughts most of the patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

00:16:49.110 --> 00:16:51.818 in some kind of in in hypnotic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00{:}16{:}51.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.200$ either prescribed or not prescribed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.192$ but they were all whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:16:56.192 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.318$ were on a addicting Med or not.

00:16:58.320 --> 00:17:01.026 We're all afraid of becoming addicted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:17:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.514$ addicted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804845616

 $00:17:01.514 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.450$ They had some very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.926$ Very strange behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:06.926 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.823$ The one lady that we were two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:09.823 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.719$ drugs that that she could have been on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.784$ One might have been a 5 milligram dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:17:14.790 --> 00:17:16.386 One would have been a 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:17:16.386 --> 00:17:17.730 milligram dose and she'd say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.953$ well I want to take the 5 milligram because

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}17{:}19.953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.909$ it's less because it's less powerful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}17{:}21.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.878$ I'm not going to get addicted somebody else

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}17{:}23.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.765$ said I'll just nibble off a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}17{:}25.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.010$ It's not like taking the whole thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.110$ but mostly what they said is doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}17{:}30.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.869$ don't ask and patients don't tell

 $00:17:31.869 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.114$ we go to in most of them obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:34.114 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.424$ we're not going to a sleep doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80475680684210500:17:36.430 --> 00:17:37.177 That we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:37.177 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.371$ We go to the point to the my

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}17{:}39.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.633$ cardiologist or my primary care doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:41.633 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.952$ or my advanced practice provider and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:43.952 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.549$ they don't ask me about my sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}17{:}46.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.929$ And I know they don't have time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}17{:}47.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.358$ They want to take my blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.984$ They want to give me a pill and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:50.984 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.977$ so I leave without telling them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105 00:17:52.980 --> 00:17:53.643 So for us. NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:53.643 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.580$ And then we did ask them more about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.184$ Well, if we built an insomnia intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:17:58.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.930$ you know a behavioral intervention.

 $00:17:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.160$ Would you be interested in it

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}18{:}01.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.443$ given all the other things you

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:18:02.443 --> 00:18:03.906 need to do to manage their health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.580$ And they unanimously said yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.440$ So we proceeded to conduct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.930$ This was another study funded

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.936$ within or 21 from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:18:11.936 --> 00:18:13.760 Institute of Nursing Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:18:13.760 --> 00:18:15.825 A feasibility and efficacy study

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:15.825 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.409$ of CBT for insomnia and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}18{:}18.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.061$ was published in the Journal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:21.061 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.060$ Clinical Sleep Medicine in 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.314$ So basically what this was and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:18:25.314 --> 00:18:27.308 wanted to very carefully control

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:27.308 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.700$ for time and attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:18:28.700 --> 00:18:30.975 so we randomize people with heart failure,

 $00:18:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.250$ patients with an insomnia severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}18{:}33.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.934$ index of greater than seven into

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:35.934 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.956$ groups 7 is considered the cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:37.956 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.580$ off for no versus some insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.278$ The cutoff for on the ISI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.936$ For those of you know it is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:18:43.936 --> 00:18:45.459 little higher for clinical insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.128$ but we didn't know what levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:47.128 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.693$ of insomnia would merit treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:48.693 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.297$ in these particular patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.964$ So we took anybody that had at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}18{:}52.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.569$ mild in somnia. And we randomize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}18{:}54.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.134$ This is a group interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.668$ So we randomized them in groups to four

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:18:58.668 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.426$ BI weekly sessions of pretty standard CBT.

00:19:01.430 --> 00:19:03.986 I sleep hygiene and cognitive therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}19{:}03.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.942 \ \mathrm{sleep} \ \mathrm{restriction},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:04.942 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.894$ stimulus control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:05.894 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.274$ We also added progressive muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}19{:}08.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.327$ relaxation and the reason we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:10.327 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.570$ that was because there's such a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.225$ arousal level in these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:19:14.230 --> 00:19:16.546 the control group was heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}19{:}16.550 --> 00{:}19{:}17.350 \text{ self management},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:19:17.350 --> 00:19:19.350 which is pretty much consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}19{:}19{:}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.222$ what heart failure patients should

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:21.222 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.064$ know when to call the doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:23.064 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.127$ with how to take your medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:19:25.130 --> 00:19:28.554 What symptoms weren't follow up low fat?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:19:28.554 --> 00:19:30.270 Low sodium, diet, exercise, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.790$ We did include some fleet very simple

 $00:19:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.763$ basic sleep hygiene in there because

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:34.763 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.099$ we felt that it was a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00{:}19{:}37.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.899$ of equipoise we couldn't recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:19:38.899 --> 00:19:41.222 people into an insomnia trial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:19:41.222 --> 00:19:43.706 not do anything at all about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80475680684210500:19:43.710 --> 00:19:44.080 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

00:19:44.080 --> 00:19:45.900 to keep A to keep them in the trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.470$ but be nothing at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.265$ and so the literature suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.804756806842105

 $00:19:49.265 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.060$ that sleep hygiene alone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:19:51.128 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.048$ not an active component of CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:19:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.780$ I so that was in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:19:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.330$ And and arguably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:19:58.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.455$ improving your heart failure might

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:00.455 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.015$ actually also with with self management

 $00:20:03.015 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.040$ might also improve your sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00{:}20{:}05.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.320$ We had this pretty much the same outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.408$ that we had in the earlier study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.580$ so I'm going to skip over this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.544$ but we had 59.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:13.544 --> 00:20:16.762 We had 29 people in the CBT I which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:16.762 --> 00:20:18.938 called healthy sleep and 19 people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:18.938 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.280$ the healthy hearts which was the control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00{:}20{:}21.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.636$ It was a pretty diverse group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.692$ The only difference was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:24.692 --> 00:20:25.718 interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.064$ the CBT I group had a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:29.070 --> 00:20:30.860 A little bit more comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.148$ which would have argued

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:32.148 --> 00:20:33.436 against the treatment effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875 00:20:33.440 --> 00:20:33.800 However,

 $00:20:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.680$ we did show that we and we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:36.680 --> 00:20:39.140 primarily focused here on effect size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:39.140 --> 00:20:40.460 not statistical significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:40.460 --> 00:20:42.872 because this was a preliminary study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:42.872 --> 00:20:45.956 but we did see a very large improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:45.956 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.141$ in the CBT group versus the attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:49.141 --> 00:20:51.499 control on insomnia severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.236$ You see the in the far right hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:54.236 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.700$ corner side. Here is the effect size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:20:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.010$ We also saw a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:20:59.010 --> 00:21:01.866 Improvement in the PISKI as well as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:21:01.870 --> 00:21:02.944 interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:21:02.944 --> 00:21:05.629 a large effect on fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.997$ Now that you know a lot of people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:21:07.997 --> 00:21:09.948 cardiology aren't that focused on sleep,

00:21:09.950 --> 00:21:11.216 but most of us know that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.140$ think that fatigue is pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.908$ important outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.484$ And so, so this was a pretty large effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00{:}21{:}16.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.055$ We also saw some improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00{:}21{:}18.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.307$ in Actigraph measured sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:21:19.310 --> 00:21:21.670 latency, duration and efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:21:21.670 --> 00:21:24.620 Although everybody had poor sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:21:24.620 --> 00:21:25.960 efficiency pretty much throughout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.046$ and this has been in all of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:28.046 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.436$ studies in sleep efficiency of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.320$ High 70 to 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.216$ So this is the manova where

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00{:}21{:}35.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.480$ we combine the outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.018$ Not only were these large effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.836$ but they were statistically

 $00:21:40.836 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.652$ significant when we considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:21:42.652 --> 00:21:44.719 insomnia and fatigue together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.590$ so the overall effect on both insomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.424$ and fatigue was I was at a .02 level

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:50.424 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.098$ and it was considered a large effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:21:53.100 --> 00:21:55.248 So this was our preliminary work

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:55.248 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.844$ when I'm going to move to is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:57.844 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.838$ talk about this is a pre load

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:21:59.838 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.126$ to how did we get to the trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:02.126 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.548$ that that we're just reporting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:22:04.548 --> 00:22:08.196 Today So what I'm reporting today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00{:}22{:}08.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.440$ and I'm excited to announce it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00{:}22{:}10.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.378$ just been published in Sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.972$ is the results of our five year clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:22:14.972 --> 00:22:17.716 trial that built on all of that other work.

 $00:22:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.598$ Again, I can't believe how time flies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.085$ And so we'll, that's that's the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.418$ I'll segue to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:25.418 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.958$ So this was a model that builds

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:27.958 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.288$ directly on that earlier work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.530$ and on the right hand side you

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.000$ see the intervention is CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.476$ And as we know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00{:}22{:}35.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.328$ CPT is designed to work on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:22:38.328 --> 00:22:40.832 thoughts and our behaviors, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:40.832 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.086$ And so the focus was CBT I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.418$ And how can we use that to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:45.418 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.770$ that and in turn improve insomnia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.775$ But we were also interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:49.775 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.379$ in these other outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:22:51.380 --> 00:22:54.596 Again, very important in heart failure.

00:22:54.600 --> 00:22:56.640 The primary outcome in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:22:56.640 --> 00:22:58.620 again based on our preliminary work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

 $00:22:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.070$ was fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893411546875

00:23:01.070 --> 00:23:03.590 In terms of the symptom outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.470$ and then the other primary outcome was six

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:23:06.470 --> 00:23:09.680 minute walk and self report using the SF 36,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.930$ we also measured psycho motor vigilance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:23:11.930 --> 00:23:13.822 We haven't, we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:13.822 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.714$ finished analyzing that yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}23{:}15.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.974$ Secondary aim was also to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:17.974 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.811$ health care resource utilization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}23{:}19.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.229$ my colleague Doctor Chris holiday get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}23{:}22.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.750$ The Penn State is a economist with

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:23:24.750 --> 00:23:27.358 expertise in this area and he is working

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:27.358 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.343$ on those data as we speak and then uh.

 $00:23:30.343 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.940$ Another sort of tertiary aim was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}23{:}33.020 \to 00{:}23{:}35.570$ consider event free survival given

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:23:35.570 --> 00:23:38.120 some of the increasing evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:38.202 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.598$ that insomnia predicts outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.776$ Because this is such a dirty business in

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:42.776 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.377$ terms of looking at the inputs into insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.914$ Of course we have multiple other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.648$ We have sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:49.648 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.740$ We have adherence to their self care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667 00:23:52.740 --> 00:23:53.404 We need, NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:23:53.404 --> 00:23:55.396 we have comorbidity and other factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.160$ and they were all considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:23:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.290$ We did, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.888$ so so the study design included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.718$ It was a randomized controlled trial with

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}24{:}05.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.238$ a modified intent to treat approach.

 $00:24:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.064$ What I mean by that is everyone that

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}24{:}11.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.901$ randomized and everyone but included

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:12.901 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.777$ to the extent we could in the analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:15.777 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.101$ and what we realized at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:18.101 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.726$ is that we lot we did not include.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.110$ There were some people at baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:24:22.110 --> 00:24:23.030 that had missing data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}24{:}23.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.998$ so that's why it's a modified intent

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:25.998 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.890$ to treat. We did screen people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.670$ We used the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:24:28.670 --> 00:24:31.520 Darius device to screen for sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.343$ disordered breathing and to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:33.343 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.753$ in the trial you had to either be

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:24:35.753 --> 00:24:38.081 adherent on PAP or you had to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:38.081 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.568$ an age that I have less than 15.

 $00:24:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.145$ And as you can imagine that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}24{:}42.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.022$ was a huge challenge and I'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:44.022 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.452$ about that in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667 00:24:45.460 --> 00:24:46.316 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:46.316 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.028$ we we're running this

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:48.028 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.370$ intervention as a group format.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.238$ We randomizing groups after the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:24:53.238 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.557$ the participants were blinded until

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}24{:}55.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.371$ they showed up for the group the the CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:24:59.371 --> 00:25:03.431 Why was run by a psychiatric nurse

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}25{:}03.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.897$ practitioner who had trained in CBT?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.168$ I and the control condition was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}25{:}09.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.304$ healthy Hearts group was run by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:12.304 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.274$ nurse practitioner with expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:14.274 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.250$ in heart failure care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.944$ And one of our long term goals.

 $00:25:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.344$ Given that we know there's such

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:25:20.344 --> 00:25:22.370 a shortage of behavioral folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}25{:}22.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.782$ available to treat in somnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:23.782 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.056$ one of our goals was to make this as

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}25{:}27.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.856$ simple and codified and procedural

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:28.856 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.670$ as we possibly could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:25:30.670 --> 00:25:33.094 so that long term we could get people

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}25{:}33.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.582$ that were not sleep specialists with

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:35.582 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.168$ short training, such as calling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}25{:}37.168 --> 00{:}25{:}39.097~\mathrm{SB}$ is done in the UK to train

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:39.097 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.113$ nurses and other people to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:41.113 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.390$ to deliver this with the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00{:}25{:}43.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.030$ ultimately being able to disseminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.760$ it into the heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.278$ Practice so we collected baseline data.

 $00:25:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.954$ Our measures were at one month post

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:53.954 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.497$ treatment and at 6-9 and 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

00:25:56.500 --> 00:25:57.874 The primary outcomes I do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:57.874 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.639$ include that we have a large number

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:25:59.639 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.969$ of variables with the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:26:00.969 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.099$ variables are listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:26:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.984$ The ISI sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:26:03.984 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.240$ Interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:26:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.360$ in one of the revisions of this grant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:26:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.450$ the NINR decided that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.908318394666667

 $00:26:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.540$ wanted everybody to use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:26:11.621 --> 00:26:14.760 promise measures instead of the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:26:14.760 --> 00:26:16.398 other sorts of measures because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:16.398 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.240$ wanted people to begin to standardize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:26:18.240 --> 00:26:19.974 These measures, but all my effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:19.974 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.219$ sizes were based on the other measures,

 $00:26:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.100$ so we have some double measures in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.140$ but that that that 'll be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.500$ interesting analysis as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.960$ So we did measure sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.168$ We measured 6 minute walk in SF 36,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.680$ so again, it's the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.420$ exactly the same intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.500$ that we had in our earlier work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.240$ So we we screened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.790$ We invited 14161 participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:26:44.790 --> 00:26:47.100 We screened many more than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:47.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.100$ We assessed 304 for eligibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}26{:}50.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.032$ We screened out a large number

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:26:52.032 --> 00:26:53.320 of participants for sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:26:53.320 --> 00:26:55.310 disordered breathing and many, many, many.

 $00:26:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.810$ Said I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}26{:}55.810 --> 00{:}26{:}57.560$ I don't want to be in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:26:57.624 --> 00:26:59.499 trial because I have insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:26:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.430$ I have a poor sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.814$ I don't know if that it was insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.828$ I have poor sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:02.828 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.880$ but I don't want to use my CPAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:27:04.880 --> 00:27:07.155 So we ruled out a whole lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:07.155 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.780$ of people because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333 00:27:08.780 --> 00:27:09.930 But anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}27{:}09.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.669$ we randomized in clusters 189

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:27:12.669 --> 00:27:14.864 participants and 100 were allocated

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}27{:}14.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.331$ to the CBT I and 89 to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:27:18.331 --> 00:27:20.761 attention and control we ended up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.071$ And this is where the we analyzed 91

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:24.071 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.360$ in the CPT I and 84 in the control.

 $00:27:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.480$ So this very busy slide shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.040$ the the comparison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.140$ The group was comparable on most measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.348$ The average age was around 63,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}27{:}37.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.660$ sample was 57 or 58% male.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.820$ It was about 1516 for 16 percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:27:44.820 --> 00:27:47.275 17% African American overall and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}27{:}47.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.960$ look very similar to our early work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.793$ The average BMI was in the the obese range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.800$ New York art class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.644$ They were not as as given that

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:57.644 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.079$ we were recruiting out of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333 00:27:59.080 --> 00:27:59.361 yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:27:59.361 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.328$ which is an advanced heart failure program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.834$ A lot of them had fairly low levels

 $00:28:03.834 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.251$ of New York heart class at one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}28{:}07.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.260$ two heart failure class about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:28:10.260 --> 00:28:14.138 third of the patients had low EFC and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:14.138 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.930$ there was significant comorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.870$ We did not say that you had to be off

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:28:17.926 --> 00:28:20.286 your hypnotic medications to be in the trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.990$ but we did offer tapering

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.610$ in the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:28:24.610 --> 00:28:25.966 So All in all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:28:25.966 --> 00:28:28.560 half of this sample had mild sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}28{:}28.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.680$ mild in somnia and and a little more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.859$ half had clinical levels and insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.420$ So what did we learn?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.506$ So this is the 1st post

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:38.506 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.101$ treatment which it which was

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}28{:}40.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.320$ within two weeks of the follow up.

 $00:28:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.960$ So it was about three months after baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:28:44.960 --> 00:28:47.729 This is using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:28:47.730 --> 00:28:50.000 GLMM analysis and what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.260$ see here is that at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:28:53.260 --> 00:28:55.948 most people had clinical level of insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.830$ which is about 15 in the healthy sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:28:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.537$ they dropped by by more than six points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:29:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.004$ They did also improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:29:03.004 --> 00:29:03.736 Interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:29:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.691$ in healthy hearts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:29:04.691 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.276$ but not nearly as much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:29:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.094$ so 6 to 7 is considered a

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}29{:}09.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10.300$ clinically significant improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

00:29:10.371 --> 00:29:12.446 in the insomnia severity Index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:29:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.180$ so we did pretty well at the first follow up.

 $00:29:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.484$ They also improved on sleep quality based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00{:}29{:}17.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.644$ Both of those self report measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.877797950833333

 $00:29:19.644 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.080$ they improve somewhat on

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:29:21.148 --> 00:29:24.560 their sleep efficiency, but only by

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00{:}29{:}24.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.480$ about 5% and their sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:29:27.480 --> 00:29:28.980 Interestingly enough, improved a

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:29:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.465$ little bit in the Healthy Sleep group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:29:31.470 --> 00:29:34.434 What's interesting about that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:29:34.434 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.960$ sleep restriction was a part of the CBT I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:29:37.960 --> 00:29:39.970 We also showed us didn't hide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00{:}29{:}39.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.866$ realized I didn't highlight it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:29:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.855$ We also showed some trends

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:29:44.855 --> 00:29:46.049 suggesting improvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:29:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.530$ but it wasn't statistically insignificant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:29:48.530 --> 00:29:53.114 And fatigue and in excessive daytime

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:29:53.114 --> 00:29:55.000 sleepiness. Again, it wasn't in.

 $00:29:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.500$ And So what you see here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:29:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.586$ this was the far right corner

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:29:59.586 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.590$ is the group by time right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.646$ The first column we saw in within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:03.646 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.330$ healthy sleep we saw an improvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.612$ but there wasn't a group by time

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:07.612 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.490$ difference at the at this early follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.970$ So I'm sorry this is out of order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00{:}30{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15.433$ I no matter how many times you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:15.433 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.460$ look at your slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00{:}30{:}16.460 \to 00{:}30{:}18.050$ they're still out of order anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.354$ so we looked at the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:20.354 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.890$ effects at 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.594$ So looking at change over time there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:24.594 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.047$ an early and sustained improvement in

 $00:30:27.047 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.030$ these variables and I'll show you this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}30{:}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33{:}040$ The graph in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:30:33.040 --> 00:30:36.088 But at 12 months we saw a nice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.835$ robust improvement and sustained improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:30:38.835 --> 00:30:42.389 in insomnia severity such that the group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.075$ by time interaction was significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:45.075 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.686$ at 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:30:46.690 --> 00:30:48.298 I didn't show you all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:30:48.298 --> 00:30:49.370 intervening data in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.786$ just for time constraints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00{:}30{:}51.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.224$ but and So what we we also controlled

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:54.224 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.857$ using the false discovery rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:30:55.857 --> 00:30:57.429 for the multiple comparisons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:30:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.730$ and you see that statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:30:59.730 --> 00:31:01.110 significant insomnia severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.904$ sleep quality, sleep.

 $00:31:02.904 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.296$ Latency as well as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:31:05.300 --> 00:31:06.272 interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462 00:31:06.272 --> 00:31:06.758 fatigue, NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:06.758 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.702$ excessive daytime sleepiness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:08.702 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.257$ six minute walk again in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:31:11.257 --> 00:31:12.629 heart healthy Sleep group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.252$ The first column here we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:31:15.252 --> 00:31:17.706 over 100% improvement in the six

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:31:17.706 --> 00:31:19.676 minute walk with some improvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.264$ but not nearly as much in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:23.264 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.288$ control condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.888$ So this is a graphic display

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:26.888 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.240$ of on the top left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00{:}31{:}29.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.300$ the mean and the insomnia severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.912$ on the left is the the actual and

 $00:31:35.912 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.250$ on the the right is the predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:31:38.320 --> 00:31:40.240 based on the GLMM approach and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.092$ what you see is that we saw the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:43.092 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.967$ most dramatic improvement in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:44.967 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.435$ first follow up with the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:31:47.435 --> 00:31:50.230 continued level up to 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.276$ So we taught these participants something

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:52.276 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.028$ and it looks like they're still using it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:31:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.522$ Over the 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

00:31:56.522 --> 00:31:59.137 Earlier work was only a shorter term

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00{:}31{:}59.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.837$ follow up so we were not able to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:32:01.912 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.070$ that this other slide shows the mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.867606841538462

 $00:32:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.120$ sleep quality and it looks very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.466$ So when we thought about these in a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:32:11.466 --> 00:32:14.288 dichotomized way, this slide shows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}32{:}14.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.973$ observed and estimated proportions of

00:32:16.973 --> 00:32:19.329 participants with clinical insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}32{:}19.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23.270$ day time sleepiness using the typical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:32:23.270 --> 00:32:25.880 The X Epworth cut off and a six minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:32:25.880 --> 00:32:28.608 walk distance of greater than 1000 feet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.050$ which is often used as a clinical metric

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:32:32.050 --> 00:32:35.810 and in any case, So what you see here again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.386$ the healthy sleep in in the top left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.290$ This is clinical insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.190$ You see the dark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:32:42.190 --> 00:32:45.633 the dark filled in black bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:45.633 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.448$ Here is the healthy sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.466$ A healthy heart is in Gray.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}32{:}50.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.795$ The solid line is the predicted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:53.795 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.788$ then the healthy hearts is the predicted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.310$ So the healthy sleep is predicted etc.

 $00:32:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.620$ And so you're seeing very

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:32:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.107$ much the same thing, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:01.107 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.378$ So that 60% of the people at baseline in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:05.378 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.066$ in the healthy sleep had clinical insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.926$ but it was only about 10 or 12%

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.324$ at the follow at this 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}33{:}13.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.222$ Similarly for the PISKI and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:16.222 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.668$ excessive daytime sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}33{:}17.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.405$ Again, you see that dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:33:19.405 --> 00:33:21.140 decrease you see some decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}33{:}21.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.070$ History's in the control group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.590$ but not nearly as much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.318$ and it's not sustained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:27.318 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.000 \text{ So}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.870$ so that is in a nutshell is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.670$ our is our results.

 $00:33:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.428$ We also showed though this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:34.428 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.010$ a sort of interesting graphic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:33:36.010 --> 00:33:37.390 that our statistician prepared

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.853$ and what you see here is looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:39.853 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.538$ at sleep characters over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.232$ Looking at them all together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:43.232 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.198$ we also I failed to mention again

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}33{:}45.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.360$ for time sake did not put in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}33{:}47.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.574$ We have the dysfunctional beliefs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:49.574 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.920$ attitudes about sleep and the sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}33{:}51.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.338$ Disturbance Questionnaire which is a measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}33{:}54.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.722$ These are measures as you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}33{:}56.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58.310$ about perceptions about sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.892$ And what you see here on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:33:59.892 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.770$ left is the healthy sleep group.

 $00:34:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.290$ On the right is the healthy heart group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.565$ And what this is showing the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:06.565 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.792$ outside of this is showing baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:08.792 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.543$ and then this looking at the shrinkage

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:34:11.615 --> 00:34:13.769 essentially is how it is changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:13.769 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.287$ overtime and you see there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:16.287 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.441$ more sleep disturbance in the healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:18.441 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.330$ heart here on the right hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}34{:}20.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.535$ This is trying to let us look at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:22.535 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.868$ of these variables taking together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.080$ So, uhm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.094$ This is conclusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}34{:}29.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.884$ but I want to leave time for some

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:31.884 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.996$ discussion because I think there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:33.996 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.468$ lot a lot of things here issues to bring up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}34{:}37.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39.174$ We concluded that cognitive

 $00:34:39.174 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.878$ behavioral therapy has sustained

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:34:40.878 --> 00:34:42.870 effects on insomnia severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:34:42.870 --> 00:34:45.710 sleep quality, latency, and efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:34:45.710 --> 00:34:47.958 It also improved fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}34{:}47.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49.644$ excessive day time sleepiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.226$ and six minute walk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:51.226 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.590$ and these were not only clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:34:53.668 --> 00:34:55.819 but statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:34:55.820 --> 00:34:59.376 And again, you know, in heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:34:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.132$ You may say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

00:35:00.132 --> 00:35:01.680 who cares if we improve the insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:35:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.969$ but we didn't do anything to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}35{:}03.969 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}35{:}06.009$ their standard heart failure treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}35{:}06.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.047$ and we were able to improve their

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:35:08.047 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.919$ fatigue in their six minute walk.

 $00:35:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.310$ Although there was a smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00{:}35{:}11.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.700$ improvements in the heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:35:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.824$ self management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885

 $00:35:13.824 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.196$ These were not mostly clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79442885 00:35:17.200 --> 00:35:17.770 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.030$ So. So in addition to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.495$ why is insomnia important to heart disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}35{:}28.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.850$ So doctor Javaherian Redline published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}35{:}31.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.845$ a very nice review and chest in 2017

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:35.845 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.282$ about the role of insomnia in predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}35{:}39.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41.337$ adverse cardiovascular events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.825$ And there's some interesting data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:43.825 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.685$ suggesting that not only does it it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:46.685 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.069$ predicted incident heart failure in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:49.069 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.329$ population based study in Scandinavia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.718$ On the other hand,

00:35:52.718 --> 00:35:54.453 it did not predict echocardiography

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:54.453 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.366$ back cardio, graphic indices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:56.366 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.624$ There's some evidence that it that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:35:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.576$ of course we know that dementia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:01.576 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.229$ alter cognition are more important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.134$ In in are very important in heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.792$ some evidence about cognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:36:08.792 --> 00:36:10.444 our data showing symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:36:10.444 --> 00:36:12.030 and functional performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}36{:}12.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.484$ and so it feels like insomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}36{:}14.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.770$ is a really important target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.458$ The treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:36:17.458 --> 00:36:20.210 though you know a lot of our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.044$ were on medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:21.044 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.990$ Heart failure patients might be on an

00:36:23.048 --> 00:36:25.246 average of eight to 10 different medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.402$ so we really want to add to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:27.402 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.618$ polypharmacy and there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:36:28.618 --> 00:36:30.466 evidence that benzos and we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:30.466 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.910$ use those a lot anymore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.395$ but they also have adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:36:34.395 --> 00:36:35.389 cardiovascular effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.085$ So in some I would conclude that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}36{:}38.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.030$ in somnia treatment is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.770$ This is and this is one example of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}36{:}43.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.500$ Kaplan Meier curve from the log log

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:36:46.500 --> 00:36:49.404 stand study in Scandinavia showing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:49.404 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.476$ number of insomnia symptoms and showing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.600$ Event free survival now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:36:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.713$ I don't believe that this study was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:36:55.713 --> 00:36:57.628 controlled for sleep disordered breathing,

 $00{:}36{:}57.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01.392$ but we see a really strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:37:01.392 --> 00:37:03.273 predictive potential here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.248$ So the other thing to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:05.248 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.760$ up as a point of discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.888$ and this is a nice slide from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:37:09.888 --> 00:37:11.399 Tarek Ahmad here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.040$ in which he reviewed the biomarkers of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:37:16.040 --> 00:37:17.740 You know heart, heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.015$ heart failure biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.838$ write novel biomarkers of heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.316$ but if we go through these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}37{:}22.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.544$ a lot of these are linked with insomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}37{:}24.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.938$ as well as some other sleep disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}37{:}26.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.820$ C reactive protein, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:37:28.820 --> 00:37:32.768 inflammatory processes, cytokines.

 $00:37:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.364$ Troponins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}37{:}33.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.740$ neurohormonal aspects and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.710$ a question might be asked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.769$ We did we improve the behavioral aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.976$ We improved insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:42.976 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.584$ but to what extent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:37:44.590 --> 00:37:46.260 Might this treatment also improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:37:46.260 --> 00:37:47.930 some of this pathophysiology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}37{:}47.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.708$ I think we're we're not there yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:37:49.710 --> 00:37:51.708 but I think it's important question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}37{:}51.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.279$ So we did actually write a supplement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:54.279 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.077$ to our study and this is the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:37:57.077 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.254$ model you saw before in which we added

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:00.254 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.230$ some biomarkers of inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.978$ neurohormonal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:38:02.978 --> 00:38:05.222 Activation heart failure,

 $00:38:05.222 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.785$ disease progression as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}38{:}07.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.205$ some sleep regulatory variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.200$ Things like BD and F41.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:38:12.200 --> 00:38:14.847 And we we haven't yet. We have the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:14.847 \dashrightarrow 00:38:16.192$ We've collected the samples but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:16.192 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.658$ we haven't yet analyzed that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.200$ so that's to be determined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.072$ But I just wanted to put that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:21.072 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.437$ there that we improved behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:22.437 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.530$ but the and and we improved functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:24.581 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.840$ outcome. But the question is what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00:38:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.192$ How did that happen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

 $00{:}38{:}28.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.739$ Was it just behavior or was there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:38:30.739 --> 00:38:32.969 some biology associated with it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8710135

00:38:32.970 --> 00:38:34.040 Soum

 $00:38:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.510$ what we can conclude here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00{:}38{:}38.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40.910$ So here's the challenge we about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:38:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.446$ We know that a large percentage of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:38:43.446 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.242$ with heart failure have also have moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:38:46.242 --> 00:38:48.342 to severe sleep disordered breathing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:38:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.870$ and we know that they probably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:38:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.815$ although there's the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:38:51.815 --> 00:38:54.020 there's a lot of null trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:38:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.890$ heart failure with PAP therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:38:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.000$ They have both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:38:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.110$ They have insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:38:58.110 --> 00:38:59.808 and they have sleep disordered breathing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:38:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.800$ and so which should we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.680$ What should we read first?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.855$ What level of sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:04.855 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.030$ do we need to treat?

 $00:39:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.700$ Should we be treating them together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.345$ Because we will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:09.345 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.850$ we screened out an awful lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:10.898 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.308$ people because they had sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:39:12.308 --> 00:39:13.436 disordered breathing and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:13.436 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.800$ we could have helped them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.738$ But the comment the current practices

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:16.738 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.914$ to treat the sleep apnea so so

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:18.914 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.564$ how should we think about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.650$ And what should a new trial look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.844$ We screened a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00{:}39{:}24.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.363$ and sent them for CPAP and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00{:}39{:}26.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.713$ after we screen them they didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:27.713 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.006$ want to use their seat path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.418$ So this adherence question

 $00:39:30.418 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.826$ is an important one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.270$ We ran our intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.430$ as a face to face group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.194$ which again I'm glad we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:39:37.194 --> 00:39:38.950 really done before the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.740$ But that was very hard to do and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.330$ not be practical in the real world situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.041$ So could we go to an app based approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00{:}39{:}48.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.027$ although we or their patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:39:50.027 --> 00:39:51.826 our study also really appreciated

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:39:51.826 --> 00:39:54.058 the group the group approach they

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:54.058 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.790$ they bonded with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:39:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.028$ There was some social support there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:39:58.030 --> 00:40:00.126 Could we be doing this as online telehealth?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:40:00.130 --> 00:40:00.772 Interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:00.772 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.698$ a lot of the older participants

 $00:40:02.698 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.188$ in this study early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.013$ we actually gave them an inexpensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:40:07.013 --> 00:40:10.602 tablet computer and ask them, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:10.602 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.254$ they told them they could log on

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:40:12.254 --> 00:40:14.554 like that if they couldn't come to the group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.515$ and most of them didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:15.515 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.470$ want to use the tablet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.336$ They gave them to their grandchildren.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.000$ So I think that's changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.660$ with Tele Health and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.150$ how could we incorporate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.044$ into heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:40:24.050 --> 00:40:24.796 disease management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:24.796 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.288$ perhaps with Tele health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.656$ perhaps?

 $00:40:26.656 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.852$ Would have been act because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:28.852 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.128$ face to face is probably not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.378$ Reasonable or feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:32.378 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.458$ and then the final question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:40:34.460 --> 00:40:36.024 which I mentioned briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

 $00:40:36.024 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.370$ is what are the biological mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.868381124

00:40:38.433 --> 00:40:40.449 by through which this might work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:40:42.500 --> 00:40:44.860 So I did want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:40:44.860 --> 00:40:46.995 This has been I've sort of was asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:40:46.995 --> 00:40:48.975 to talk about this particular trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00{:}40{:}48.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51.268$ but I did want to give you some

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:40:51.268 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.450$ context and for me this has been a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:40:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.125$ you know, a 21 year effort in

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:40:57.125 --> 00:40:58.700 three different institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:40:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.969$ and so I wanted to thank or

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:01.969 --> 00:41:04.080 collaborators and staff that that

 $00:41:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.540$ were instrumental earlier in the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00{:}41{:}06.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.416$ Some of us were having a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:08.416 --> 00:41:10.052 about how to follow up people

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:10.052 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.851$ and keep them in the study and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:11.860 --> 00:41:13.504 You know, we we often give

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:13.504 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.600$ credit to our collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:14.600 --> 00:41:17.368 but we don't often enough give credit to

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:17.368 --> 00:41:20.160 those people that may have us be successful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.336$ especially with studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:21.336 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.580$ such a sick group of of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.625$ So I wanted to thank our many

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:26.625 --> 00:41:28.640 collaborators and also to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00{:}41{:}28.640 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}41{:}30.615$ many students and trainees that

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:30.615 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.443$ worked with us along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:32.443 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.410$ And some of these early on were

00:41:34.480 --> 00:41:36.380 back Glory students and nursing

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.280$ at master students in nursing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.560$ I had PhD students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.880$ I have a few psychologists in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:41.880 --> 00:41:44.700 And so this has been really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.074$ klar likes to talk about sleeping in

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:48.074 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.520$ inherently interdisciplinary field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:49.520 --> 00:41:52.516 but I think that's certainly I'd like

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00{:}41{:}52.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.258$ to underscore that here in this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

00:41:55.260 --> 00:41:55.611 Anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:55.611 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.770$ I hope we have some time for some questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573328

 $00:41:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.410$ thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

00:42:04.170 --> 00:42:07.416 Hi Nancy, thank you very much for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:07.416 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.516$ this interesting journey into treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:09.516 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.330$ of insomnia and people with heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.927$ And we as we all know, in practice medicine.

 $00:42:14.927 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.160$ We know that patients with heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.263$ have a lot of suffering to go through and

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:19.263 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.845$ so alleviating even a little bit might be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:21.845 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.350$ very meaningful contribution to their life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.638$ And so I think I please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:26.638 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.350$ Those in the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.526$ Please post your questions to the chat or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00{:}42{:}31.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32.867$ You can simply ask to be unmuted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

00:42:32.870 --> 00:42:34.086 I'd be happy to help you with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00{:}42{:}34.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.394$ and I do have a question I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:35.394 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.635$ hoping to ask you, Nancy, and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:37.635 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.180$ as you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.602$ You know that that heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:40.602 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.871$ and heart failure, individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00{:}42{:}41.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.075$ in somnia and sleep disordered

00:42:44.075 --> 00:42:46.008 breathing coexist, and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:46.008 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.330$ we know that even in the non heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.820$ failure populations up to 1/3

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:49.879 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.924$ of individuals with sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:51.924 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.560$ present with insomnia symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00{:}42{:}53.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.322$ whether they're middle or early

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:55.322 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.650$ or late type of insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:42:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.958$ We also know that it's some recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

00:43:00.958 --> 00:43:02.778 clinical trials using CBT in

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

00:43:02.778 --> 00:43:04.556 individuals with sleep apnea Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00{:}43{:}04.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06.428$ currently or before C PAP therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

00:43:06.428 --> 00:43:08.758 improves in here ends and quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00{:}43{:}08.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12.460$ life and functional outcomes and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

00:43:12.460 --> 00:43:13.368 You know, just wondering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

00:43:13.368 --> 00:43:14.980 what are your thoughts on you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:43:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.378$ as you think to the future,

 $00:43:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.510$ what would be your thoughts on including.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00{:}43{:}20.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.630$ People with sleep disordered

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

00:43:21.630 --> 00:43:23.656 breathing in these trials and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.68664175

 $00:43:23.656 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.336$ what what you consider being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513767

 $00:43:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.720$ the intervention in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

00:43:27.950 --> 00:43:30.085 Yeah, I mean so. We've and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

00:43:30.085 --> 00:43:31.666 talked about this a lot, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00{:}43{:}31.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33.554$ So I had to screen out people because

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00{:}43{:}33.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.580$ the standard practice is to treat people

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

00:43:35.580 --> 00:43:37.530 with moderate right at least moderate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:43:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.560$ mild to moderate sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:43:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.378$ I think they should have both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00{:}43{:}41.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.997$ I can't answer the question of which

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:43:42.997 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.647$ we do first, second, and third.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:43:44.647 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.700$ I think that at least one of the trials.

00:43:47.700 --> 00:43:48.556 I forget which one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:43:48.556 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.436$ but at least one of the other trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:43:50.436 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.074$ treated one and then the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

00:43:52.080 --> 00:43:54.136 I mean, maybe maybe if you treat insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:43:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.507$ you're going to get better CPAP adherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

00:43:57.510 --> 00:43:58.822 Although you know I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:43:58.822 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.380$ I think that's that remains to be seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:44:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.556 \text{ I don't know which comes first,}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.870859318333333

 $00:44:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.675$ but I definitely think we need to treat both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92529035

00:44:06.450 --> 00:44:07.590 Yeah, I know it's it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92950963

 $00:44:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.370$ It's a good point I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92950963

 $00:44:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.394$ I think in in the in the general

NOTE Confidence: 0.92950963

 $00:44:10.394 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.942$ literature it's if you treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.92950963

 $00{:}44{:}11.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.250$ them simultaneously or they

NOTE Confidence: 0.92950963

00:44:13.250 --> 00:44:14.834 treat insomnia before or let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92950963

 $00{:}44{:}14.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16.460$ say the outcomes are better than

 $00:44:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.095$ yeah. I mean that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:18.095 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.347$ be my suggestion again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

00:44:19.350 --> 00:44:21.258 I mean again I you know I had to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

00:44:21.258 --> 00:44:22.798 convince NIH reviewers and clinicians of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00{:}44{:}22.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.322$ this and it was a while back where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:25.322 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.122$ were just treating everybody you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

00:44:27.130 --> 00:44:30.066 And so there's a you know question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:30.066 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.608$ what's good medical practice right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

00:44:32.610 --> 00:44:34.948 And I guess if somebody is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:34.948 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.998$ severe with their apnea, you got it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:36.998 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.978$ You better treat them 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:37.978 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.225$ it's the safety question, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:39.225 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.100$ It's bad for their heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.020$ if you don't treat them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.120$ So I think that where the fuzzy

 $00:44:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.702$ line is and even in our study we

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00{:}44{:}45.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47.690$ originally set out with a lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.146$ ahi of around 10 and we ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:50.146 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.020$ going up to 15 because we couldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

00:44:52.020 --> 00:44:54.153 We didn't have anybody to be in the trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.686$ and so where's the line between

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

00:44:56.686 --> 00:44:57.949 being not safe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:44:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.942$ Although a lot of these people are work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388613022222222

 $00{:}44{:}59.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.353$ walking around with sleep apnea for

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

 $00:45:01.353 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.119$ a long time before we even see them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388613022222222

 $00{:}45{:}03.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.172$ So I guess to me it's like the safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.838861302222222

00:45:05.172 --> 00:45:06.970 issue of which do you treat first?

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

 $00:45:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.234$ Yeah or simultaneous right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

 $00:45:09.234 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.954$ And so yeah, a message from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

 $00:45:11.954 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.034$ comment from Doctor Gary is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

 $00:45:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.366$ Thank you for the great talk.

 $00:45:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.648$ I like the idea of concurrently

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

 $00:45:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.023$ treating insomnia and OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

 $00:45:18.023 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.835$ particularly if the sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

00:45:19.835 --> 00:45:21.647 psychologists conducting the CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

00:45:21.650 --> 00:45:23.435 I can help with habituation

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

 $00:45:23.435 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.863$ and coaching around Pampus

NOTE Confidence: 0.723950887142857

 $00:45:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.020$ even group coaching for I

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.846$ think that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:27.846 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.750$ I think the other point is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00{:}45{:}29.811 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.715$ you know we had a control group

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:31.715 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.020$ that included heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.474$ disease management and we showed some

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00{:}45{:}35.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.770$ improvement in that group and it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00{:}45{:}37.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39.895$ Possible that for people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:39.895 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.907$ low levels of poor sleep or or

00:45:42.907 --> 00:45:45.325 insomnia that that might be enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00{:}45{:}45.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.346$ you know, sort of in a stepped

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

00:45:47.346 --> 00:45:48.830 care approach to insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.912$ but the other point that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:50.912 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.043$ interesting and this is not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:53.043 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.047$ be negative about any any system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:45:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.198$ but all the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

00:45:56.198 --> 00:45:57.920 Most of the patients we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00{:}45{:}57.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.930$ recruited were coming from really

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00{:}45{:}59.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.610$ well established heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00{:}46{:}01.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.290$ disease management programs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.258$ we were very surprised at how

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:05.258 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.241$ much they felt like they benefited

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:07.241 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.969$ from our control condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.476$ So I would argue that not

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:10.476 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.830$ only do they need this,

 $00:46:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.525$ not only the disease management

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

00:46:13.525 --> 00:46:15.590 in terms of the medical part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.774$ but the self care part that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:17.774 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.468$ patients need to do that also

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:19.468 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.142$ needs to be combined with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:21.142 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.805$ insomnia and the PAP treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77101090238095200:46:22.805 --> 00:46:23.480 Right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.496$ because we saw some improvements there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952 00:46:25.500 --> 00:46:25.812 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:25.812 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.372$ If you're fluid overloaded because

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

00:46:27.372 --> 00:46:28.978 you're not taking your diuretics

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

00:46:28.978 --> 00:46:30.778 or you're eating too much sodium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.880$ you're going to have both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

00:46:31.880 --> 00:46:33.218 You know you're going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:33.218 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.638$ more sleep to sort of reading

 $00:46:34.638 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.598$ as well as insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771010902380952

 $00:46:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.100$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833147

00:46:37.460 --> 00:46:40.270 You know, thank you. Let's see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833147

 $00:46:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.180$ There's a question from Doctor Yagi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871910871818182

 $00:46:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.506$ First of all, great talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871910871818182

00:46:44.506 --> 00:46:46.366 can you speak to any evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871910871818182

 $00:46:46.366 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.602$ circadian rhythms being impaired

NOTE Confidence: 0.871910871818182

 $00:46:47.602 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.270$ in people with heart failure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:46:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.672$ So we did, not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

00:46:50.672 --> 00:46:52.800 That was not a primary part of this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00{:}46{:}52.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.927$ However, we have many, many, many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00{:}46{:}54.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.916$ many actigraph files in these patients now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:46:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.188$ Actigraphy is not a clean measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:00.188 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.098$ of circadian rhythm because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

00:47:02.098 --> 00:47:03.855 have external you know external,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:03.855 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.045$ but we will be analyzing that.

 $00:47:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.568$ And we do have a paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.738$ Yeah, which published from our earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

00:47:10.738 --> 00:47:13.330 cross sectional data showing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.864$ Disrupted rhythms based on the actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

00:47:16.864 --> 00:47:20.396 data rest activity rhythms were closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:20.396 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.620$ aligned with depression and fatigue right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.295$ Whether this is cross sectional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.433$ So in this new study and we also have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00{:}47{:}28.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}30.505$ we did get another supplement for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00{:}47{:}30.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.303$ Looking at the extent to which those

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00{:}47{:}33.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.433$ rest activity rhythms predict outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

00:47:35.433 --> 00:47:38.417 So we we haven't finished analyzing that yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00{:}47{:}38.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.900$ but and that's not a pure circadian measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.988$ We also know melatonin is often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00:47:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.195$ Abnormal and and low melatonin is

00:47:45.195 --> 00:47:47.690 as a negative effect in heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

 $00{:}47{:}47.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.890$ so I think that's another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077 $00:47:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.490$ way to go. NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077 $00:47:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.856$ But Claire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915821436923077

00:47:49.856 --> 00:47:51.320 I don't have the answer on that yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.623635

 $00:47:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.110$ great thank you. Another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.623635

00:47:54.110 --> 00:47:55.650 Slash comment in great work

NOTE Confidence: 0.623635

 $00:47:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.107$ and is there a way to get CBT?

NOTE Confidence: 0.623635

 $00{:}47{:}58.110 --> 00{:}47{:}59.996$ I covered by insurance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.623635

 $00:47:59.996 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.578$ what are the best ways to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.623635

 $00:48:01.578 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.840$ this treatment to our patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.623635

 $00:48:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.890$ So the question from Ian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.623635

 $00:48:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.058$ we're from Norwalk. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91627155

 $00:48:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.070$ that's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91627155

 $00:48:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.050$ I thought that we it was covered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91627155

 $00:48:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.800$ I don't think it's covered very well.

 $00:48:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.060$ I mean for these pay I mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.91627155

00:48:12.060 --> 00:48:13.010 for anybody with insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91627155

00:48:13.010 --> 00:48:14.216 I thought we could get coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91627155

00:48:14.220 --> 00:48:15.564 I mean, I don't do the billing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91627155

00:48:15.570 --> 00:48:16.758 I just do research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

00:48:17.090 --> 00:48:18.370 right? Yeah, and so I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.986$ I think maybe I can address that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:19.986 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.528$ little bit and I think there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00{:}48{:}21.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.090$ certain insurances that do coverage and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00{:}48{:}25.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27.554$ In private and also I believe in Medicare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

00:48:27.560 --> 00:48:29.035 but I can have some body

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:29.035 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.860$ colleagues coming in this as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.946$ But yes, it's a certainly is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:32.946 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.719$ covered as well as it can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

00:48:34.720 --> 00:48:36.980 Let's see, Doctor Robert Thomas

 $00:48:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.558$ has a question in a statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00{:}48{:}38.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.144$ So C Pap alone does not cut it for

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:40.144 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.847$ the majority of these patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:41.847 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.327$ have some combination of fragment

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:43.378 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.030$ and sleep and high loop gain which

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.736$ can amplify each other and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:46.736 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.728$ sensible CBT needs to be combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:48.728 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.759$ with multimodal apnea therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.812$ And so this is going back to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:52.812 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.000$ comment that really multimodal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00{:}48{:}55.000 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}48{:}57.048$ Directly similar to the way we

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:48:57.048 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.452$ treat diabetes and and medically

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

00:48:59.452 --> 00:49:01.468 treat heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00{:}49{:}01.470 --> 00{:}49{:}02.706$ Might be helpful here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:49:02.706 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.830$ and then another comment from Doctor Dre.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00{:}49{:}04.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06.650$ Harry is do you think ISI should

 $00:49:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.340$ be administered in the cardiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

 $00:49:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.370$ clinic for all our failure patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.641589784

00:49:10.370 --> 00:49:11.820 Absolutely, NOTE Confidence: 0.831125675454545

 $00:49:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.946$ I I absolutely do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831125675454545

 $00{:}49{:}12.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.279$ And at one point one of the clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.831125675454545

00:49:15.279 --> 00:49:18.330 left but I was trying to even if not ISI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831125675454545

00:49:18.330 --> 00:49:20.328 at least some sleep quality measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831125675454545

 $00:49:20.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.668 \text{ I don't know}$. We were working

NOTE Confidence: 0.831125675454545

 $00:49:21.668 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.830$ on getting that into Epic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831125675454545

 $00:49:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.310$ I'm not sure where it stands over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831125675454545

 $00:49:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.170$ but yeah, yes, absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.839466612222222

 $00:49:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.958$ yeah, right and so then we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8394666122222222

 $00:49:27.958 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.035$ to figure out what are we going

NOTE Confidence: 0.839466612222222

 $00:49:29.035 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.001$ to do with that information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839466612222222

 $00:49:30.001 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.550$ how do we help these individuals.

 $00:49:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.570$ So that goes back to Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00{:}49{:}33.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35.458$ I think it also goes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00:49:35.458 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.397$ educating the cardial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00:49:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.122$ I mean, I we've done come along

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

00:49:38.122 --> 00:49:40.148 way right in terms of educating

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

00:49:40.148 --> 00:49:41.378 the cardiology community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00:49:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.500$ but I do have to say I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00:49:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.019$ working with some folks who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00:49:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.992$ We'll talk again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

00:49:45.992 --> 00:49:47.936 See Reticker study of sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742703205555556

00:49:47.940 --> 00:49:50.978 And it's not only Nancy Rediker study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00:49:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.272$ but but my study was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

00:49:53.272 --> 00:49:54.296 about insomnia, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742703205555556

 $00:49:54.296 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.344$ So I think there's still a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00:49:56.344 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.861$ a lot of lack of knowledge among

NOTE Confidence: 0.74270320555556

 $00:49:58.861 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.795$ the broader medical community about

 $00:50:00.795 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.830$ one sleep disorder versus another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00:50:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.825$ Let's see and and so there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00:50:07.825 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.792$ another question from Brendan Buck

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

00:50:09.792 --> 00:50:11.686 Crawford following up to the previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00{:}50{:}11.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.425$ questions can CBT I also improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00{:}50{:}14.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17.325$ HI in heart failure patients if

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00:50:17.325 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.695$ we consolidate sleep there will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00{:}50{:}19.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.040$ less unstable non REM sleep less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00:50:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.235$ App and transitional states which

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00{:}50{:}23.235 \to 00{:}50{:}24.758$ can lead to less periodic breathing

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

 $00:50:24.758 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.178$ and central events in heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.822596631428572

00:50:26.178 --> 00:50:27.030 failure patients question

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

 $00:50:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.476$ mark. So I actually think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

 $00:50:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.566$ And some of you may know David

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

00:50:31.566 --> 00:50:33.560 Rappaport and he was my mentor for

00:50:33.560 --> 00:50:35.757 my K award and this was many many

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

 $00{:}50{:}35.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38.037$ years ago and I brought that up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

 $00:50:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.516$ I thought he was going to look at me

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

 $00:50:39.516 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.000$ like I had two heads and he said yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

00:50:41.000 --> 00:50:43.744 Of course if we get people into more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

 $00:50:43.744 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.940$ stable sleep we might improve their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86281749

 $00{:}50{:}45.940 --> 00{:}50{:}49.465$ Hi I. We we we need to try it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

 $00{:}50{:}50.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.526$ right now and there is a there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

00:50:52.526 --> 00:50:54.930 little bit of data from one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

 $00:50:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.881$ CBT and sleep apnea individuals where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

 $00{:}50{:}56.881 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}50{:}59.023$ they hi declined by about seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

 $00{:}50{:}59.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.583$ and a half and in small groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

 $00:51:01.583 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.601$ So there's certainly a signal for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

 $00:51:04.601 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.960$ that in prior work as well so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

00:51:07.960 --> 00:51:09.364 And so Nancy could just speculate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

 $00{:}51{:}09.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11.192$ a little bit about the potential

 $00:51:11.192 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.830$ mechanisms by which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7550981762

 $00:51:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.318$ CBT I can improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00{:}51{:}15.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.226$ so we have some additional data

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:17.226 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.466$ which I didn't present here so one

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:19.466 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.290$ there is a behavioral mechanism right

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.591$ we we looked at these perpetuating

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:23.591 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.521$ thoughts and thoughts about sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.595$ We measured those thoughts which

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:27.595 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.247$ but but they're psychological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

00:51:29.250 --> 00:51:30.105 Their perceptions, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00{:}51{:}30.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.750$ But they also can be related to physiologic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

00:51:32.750 --> 00:51:34.886 you know psychological and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00{:}51{:}34.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.556$ physiological arousal or not separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:37.556 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.764$ necessarily phenomena. And we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:39.764 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.850$ We published a paper from our feasibility

 $00:51:41.911 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.765$ or early study which showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00{:}51{:}43.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.130$ That that the treatment effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

00:51:46.130 --> 00:51:47.726 at least on insomnia and fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.405$ was mediated by some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:49.405 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.745$ those thoughts and ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

00:51:50.750 --> 00:51:53.998 You know, this catastrophizing and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.440$ We have similar data which I do present

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.946$ here today from this and and or the paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:51:58.946 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.385$ that we just got accepted talks about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:52:01.385 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.531$ But I do think there's an error out that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:52:04.531 \dashrightarrow 00:52:06.703$ Physiology you know that it's inflammatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:52:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.006$ We've got a route.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:52:08.006 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.302$ We've got sympathetic arousal

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00.52:09.302 \longrightarrow 00.52:10.429$ and insomnia as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

00:52:10.430 --> 00:52:12.645 known to be a sympathetic process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:52:12.645 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.020$ and so I think that's.

00:52:14.020 --> 00:52:15.080 A large part of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804950383

 $00:52:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.388$ as well as inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928350676666667

 $00.52:16.860 \longrightarrow 00.52:20.162$ and so just just thinking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928350676666667

 $00:52:20.162 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.210$ intervention they have provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795060712

 $00{:}52{:}21.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.500$ Could you perhaps, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795060712

 $00:52:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.520$ summarize the resources needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795060712

00:52:24.520 --> 00:52:26.540 intervene on the individual patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795060712

00:52:26.540 --> 00:52:28.159 For example, what would it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795060712

 $00:52:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.158$ What would it cost to the practice to bear?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795060712

 $00:52:31.158 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.948$ Bring this to clinical side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:52:32.960 --> 00:52:35.088 Well, I think this would be no different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:52:35.090 --> 00:52:37.578 I mean, I mean you have we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:52:37.578 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.263$ behavioral Sleep Medicine here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:52:39.263 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.580$ Yale and other centers do as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:52:41.580 --> 00:52:44.490 It's exactly the same treatment.

 $00:52:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.010$ Ray, all we did was deliver it in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00{:}52{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.033$ specialized in a in a special setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:52:49.033 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.811$ only to heart failure patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:52:50.811 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.820$ so and we did it in a group format so

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:52:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.577$ other people have done a group format.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:52:54.580 --> 00:52:55.985 Other people have done individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:52:55.985 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.828$ face to face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:52:56.830 --> 00:52:58.230 I mean increasingly we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:52:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.350$ Tele health and apps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:52:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.416$ The question is whether these very

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00{:}53{:}02.416 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}53{:}04.470$ sick patients would be interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.170$ or able to do it that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.130$ I mean our challenge is getting them

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.267$ all out and scheduling a group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:11.267 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.710$ would be the part that's less feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.240$ And then that's a question.

 $00:53:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.680$ Is do we you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.179$ would they equally benefit from these other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:53:19.180 --> 00:53:22.116 you know, individual or app bait you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.158$ And that's a that's a scientific question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.904$ We kind of knew that what we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:26.904 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.408$ doing was a little cumbersome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.321$ but all our preliminary data was based

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:53:30.321 --> 00:53:32.398 on that and the patients liked it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.363$ So we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:53:33.363 --> 00:53:35.289 But now we're left with OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.252$ how do we actually go out and implement this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.604$ How do we make it more streamlined for

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:39.604 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.750$ the particularly the heart failure setting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.598$ We are doing some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:42.598 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.234$ by the way,

 $00:53:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.810$ we're doing some additional qualitative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.006$ Focus group work with providers in

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

00:53:48.006 --> 00:53:49.859 heart failure programs of various

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:49.859 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.797$ sorts to to ask their opinions

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:51.797 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.690$ about how they might do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67813213375

 $00:53:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.920$ To get that to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

 $00:53:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.168$ Well, wonderful wonderful body of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

00:53:59.170 --> 00:54:02.034 Well, thank you so much Nancy for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

 $00:54:02.034 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.578$ wonderful talk and the lively discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

00:54:04.580 --> 00:54:06.635 And thank you everyone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

 $00{:}54{:}06.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08.279$ participating in today's session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

 $00:54:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.653$ And this was our last session for 2021,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

00:54:11.653 --> 00:54:13.318 so thanks everyone for making

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

00:54:13.318 --> 00:54:15.476 this a success and we will be back

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

00:54:15.476 --> 00:54:17.656 in January with another session

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

 $00:54:17.656 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.835$ sponsored by Boston Medical Center

 $00:54:19.835 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.995$ and so have a great afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

 $00{:}54{:}22.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.540$ Have great holidays and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.833309856666667

 $00{:}54{:}23.540 --> 00{:}54{:}25.650$ talk next month. Thank you.