WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:52:41" NOTE recognizability:0.877

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.70440453

00:00:10.510 --> 00:00:12.498 Alright, so good afternoon everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70440453

 $00{:}00{:}12.498 --> 00{:}00{:}14.370$ I'm going to start with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:14.434 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.030$ few quick announcements before

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}00{:}16.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.025$ I introduce our speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.304$ First, the Sleep seminar lectures are

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:20.304 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.530$ available for CME credit when viewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:00:22.530 --> 00:00:24.770 in real time and to receive credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}00{:}24.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.146$ just text the ID for the lecture to Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:27.146 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.596$ Cloud CME by 3:15 PM today and there'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:29.596 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.597$ be more information on how to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}00{:}31.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.763$ Showing up in the chat and recordings

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:00:33.763 --> 00:00:35.443 of these lectures are available

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:35.443 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.183$ approximately 2 weeks after other

00:00:37.183 --> 00:00:39.577 lecture at the site noted in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}00{:}39.580 --> 00{:}00{:}41.460$ On these viewings are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.588$ available for credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:00:42.590 --> 00:00:44.200 If you have questions during the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.929$ please just use the chat and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:45.929 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.280$ will address them at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.020$ and please keep your

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:00:49.020 --> 00:00:50.325 microphone muted otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:00:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.703$ so now it is my pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:00:52.703 --> 00:00:54.300 introduce today's seminar speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}00{:}54.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.215$ Dr Miranda Tam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:00:55.215 --> 00:00:57.045 Doctor Tan is the director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}00{:}57.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.064$ Sleep Medicine at Memorial Sloan

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:00:59.064 --> 00:01:00.716 Kettering Medical Center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:00.716 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.320$ an instructor of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:02.320 \dashrightarrow 00:01:04.590$ At Weill Cornell Medical College?

 $00:01:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.084$ She received her medical degree from

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}01{:}06.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.401$ New York College of Osteopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:07.401 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.051$ Medicine and then moved to New

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:01:09.051 --> 00:01:10.330 Jersey for internal medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:01:10.330 --> 00:01:13.130 internship and residency at Rutgers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90729476736842100:01:13.130 --> 00:01:13.694 After that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:13.694 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.386$ she served as chief resident for

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:15.386 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.087$ quality and Patient safety and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:17.087 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.527$ internal medicine at Dartmouth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.828$ And she completed her pulmonary and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:01:20.828 --> 00:01:22.360 critical Care Medicine Fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:22.425 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.913$ at Thomas Jefferson University

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}01{:}23.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.773$ and her Sleep Medicine Fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}01{:}25.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.708$ at University of Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:01:27.710 --> 00:01:29.192 From there she moved to Memorial

00:01:29.192 --> 00:01:29.686 Sloan Kettering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}01{:}29.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.808$ which she founded the Sleep Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:01:31.808 --> 00:01:33.710 program within the pulmonary service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.586$ and her work has led to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:35.586 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.523$ revision of the Presurgical sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:37.523 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.647$ apnea screening guidelines there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421 00:01:39.650 --> 00:01:40.057 Plus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}01{:}40.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.092$ she has established several clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:42.092 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.310$ patient pathways with other programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.850$ including with the male

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}01{:}45.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.005$ sexual reproductive program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:01:47.010 --> 00:01:48.742 the Integrative Medicine program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}01{:}48.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.907$ and the bone marrow Transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:01:50.907 --> 00:01:51.790 Survivorship clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:01:51.790 --> 00:01:53.746 among other awards that she's received,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}01{:}53.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.916$ she received a junior Faculty Development

00:01:55.916 --> 00:01:57.980 award at Memorial Sloan Kettering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:01:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.044$ She's an active member of the ATS and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:00.044 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.707$ is currently serving on a working

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:02:01.707 --> 00:02:03.345 group to develop an official ATS

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:03.406 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.966$ research statement regarding cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:04.966 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.916$ related fatigue and lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.664$ She is also a member of the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:08.664 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.411$ Academy of Sleep Medicine and a Fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:10.411 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.510$ of the American College of Chest Physicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:02:12.510 --> 00:02:14.150 Her research focuses on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:02:14.150 --> 00:02:15.462 predictors of obstructive sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:02:15.462 --> 00:02:16.849 apnea and cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}02{:}16.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.462$ specifically using machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:18.462 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.332$ and on the prevalence and severity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:21.332 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.648$ obstructive sleep apnea in men with

 $00:02:23.648 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.330$ polycythemia on testosterone therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}02{:}25.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.850$ She presented 4 abstracts at

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.066$ the most recent ATS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.270$ including one entitled Machine Learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.470$ validated screening tool to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.060$ obstructive sleep apnea in cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.034$ So we are so fortunate to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

00:02:37.034 --> 00:02:39.038 Doctor Tan joins us today to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00{:}02{:}39.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.868$ discuss machine learning and OSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.907294767368421

 $00:02:40.868 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.800$ dreaming towards the future welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}02{:}45.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.701$ Thank you for the introduction. Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:02:47.701 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.106$ Hilbert and good afternoon everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:02:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.234$ Thanks for tuning in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:02:51.234 --> 00:02:52.920 I'm delighted to present my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:02:52.920 --> 00:02:55.232 machine learning and OSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:02:55.232 --> 00:02:57.544 dreaming towards the future.

 $00:02:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.750$ So as the title suggests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}02{:}58.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.086$ the purpose of this talk is to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:01.086 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.057$ an overview of machine learning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:03.057 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.456$ discuss how we can integrate Emil to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}03{:}05.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.624$ improve our understanding of OSA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:07.624 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.408$ hopefully move the needle closer towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:10.408 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.730$ personalized medicine for our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:03:12.730 --> 00:03:14.645 specifically the objectives of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:03:14.645 --> 00:03:17.070 discussion will be to review basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:03:17.070 --> 00:03:18.866 approaches of machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:03:18.870 --> 00:03:20.534 described potential data sources

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:20.534 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.030$ for mill in obstructive sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.560$ and identify opportunities for mill in OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:03:28.560 --> 00:03:30.548 As for obligatory disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:03:30.548 --> 00:03:33.465 I have none, but I can offer you

00:03:33.465 --> 00:03:35.440 the code to obtain CME credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.800$ That's 28436.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.170$ That's again, that's 28436.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.415$ As for disclaimers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:03:42.415 --> 00:03:44.905 I am not a data scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}03{:}44.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.430$ I did some programming in college

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}03{:}47.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.078$ where being referred to as novice

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}03{:}50.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.850$ would be an overstatement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}03{:}51.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.395$ The information I am presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:53.395 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.173$ to you today is to the lens of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}03{:}56.173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.118$ a clinician with a background

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:58.118 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.873$ through collaboration with data

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:03:59.873 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.685$ scientists and computer engineers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:04:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.165$ And my second disclaimer for

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:04:03.165 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.755$ you is that this is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00{:}04{:}05.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.159$ a Prezi presentation format,

 $00{:}04{:}07.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.770$ so in contrast to traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:04:09.770 --> 00:04:10.814 Microsoft PowerPoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:04:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.605$ this has an interactive zoom

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

 $00:04:12.605 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.033$ which may induce nausha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:04:14.040 --> 00:04:15.756 So sorry in advance if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91242012

00:04:15.756 --> 00:04:16.614 develop motion sickness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.333$ So the applications of AI and milk

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00{:}04{:}22.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.090$ are ubiquitous throughout society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:04:24.090 --> 00:04:27.290 Then say I, Netflix knows which shows to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.740$ recommend to us it can redirect my

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.399$ car when it's drifting out of lane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.860$ and Alexa can understand my mumblings

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00{:}04{:}34.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.638$ after I've trained it to understand me

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:37.638 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.635$ over the past two years. But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:40.635 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.032$ before discussing the prospects for OSA,

 $00:04:43.032 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.008$ we should start with some basics to ensure

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:04:46.008 --> 00:04:48.846 everyone in our audience is on the same page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:04:48.850 --> 00:04:52.574 So AI and Emily are often used

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:52.574 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.773$ interchangeably similar to COPD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:54.773 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.788$ chronic bronchitis, asthma, COPD etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:04:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.615$ Yeah, we all know there

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:04:59.615 --> 00:05:00.710 are subtle differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.610$ Artificial intelligence is defined as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.359$ simulation of human intelligence by machines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.416$ Picture of this AI is is like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:05:11.416 --> 00:05:13.430 large umbrella term within AI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.890$ There is a machine learning machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.393$ learning or the algorithms that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:18.393 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.590$ recognize trends and patterns from data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.710$ And then there's deep learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.710$ So deep learning is a subspecialized

 $00:05:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.710$ form of machine learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.175$ And what it does is it is it's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00{:}05{:}33.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.063$ artificial neural network with

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:05:35.063 --> 00:05:38.367 many layers to adapt and it learns

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.066$ through complex patterns of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:41.066 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.584$ from this high volume of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:05:42.590 --> 00:05:44.078 And when I say high volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.678$ I mean like 5:50, that's a lot of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00{:}05{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.578$ So here is a rudimentary example

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:52.578 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.027$ of machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.622$ so we can tell we can tell the machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:05:56.622 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.987$ that we want to know what a dog is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00{:}05{:}58.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.758$ and then we can train it by saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:06:00.758 --> 00:06:02.737 this is what a dog should look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:06:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.164$ And then what we do is we can

 $00:06:05.164 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.630$ present a new image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

00:06:06.630 --> 00:06:08.470 And then the machine can learn and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:06:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.558$ well, I think this is also a dog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:06:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.488$ Then we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:06:13.488 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.761$ Next is give this a this picture of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:06:15.761 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.079$ cat and then the machine will tell us no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:06:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.980$ This is not a dog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:06:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.338$ It can't tell if it's a cat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722204439090909

 $00:06:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.437$ but it knows that this is not a dog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.616$ So even though our talk is not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00{:}06{:}28.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.757$ focus on deep learning, I really do

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00{:}06{:}31.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.570$ think I should digress for a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.424$ To show you this image of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:35.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.660$ deep learning and action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.940$ This is a really hot topic in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.364$ tech world and I I do think that

00:06:41.364 --> 00:06:43.021 this can penetrate Sleep Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:43.021 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.016$ sometime in the near future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.666$ So there are two distinct differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:47.666 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.439$ between machine learning and deep learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.940$ Although traditional machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

00:06:51.940 --> 00:06:54.440 learning does not require hardcoding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.037$ it does require defined features of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:06:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.570$ such as age, race, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

00:07:00.570 --> 00:07:01.953 Deep learning, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00{:}07{:}01.953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.258$ leverages these neural networks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:04.258 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.290$ learn the relevant features or patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.810$ So in deep learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.504$ the first layer not shown here may

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:11.504 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.152$ just be a series of circle and dots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

00:07:14.160 --> 00:07:15.888 OK, then what deep learning will

 $00:07:15.888 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.180$ do is then look at the next layer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00{:}07{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.567$ So for example here on this first

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:20.567 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.340$ column we have that top row that what

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.986$ deep learning will do is look at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:25.986 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.309$ top row and say that's a face and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:28.309 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.912$ then in the second column it'll say

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:29.912 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.928$ well this could be a car and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:31.928 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.750$ third column could say this is an elephant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.790$ And then we'll do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.090$ Apply another layer after that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00{:}07{:}37.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.775$ and then it'll start understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:38.775 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.830$ that while this is a face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.998$ this is a car.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:42.998 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.166$ This is a chair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.520$ This is my deep learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.184$ requires 5:50 amount of

 $00:07:48.184 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.848$ data to make inferences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.910$ whereas in machine learning this

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.740$ can be done and performed on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.465$ smaller pool of patients i.e.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882432125

 $00:07:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.214$ You know 200 to 300 patients for data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.446$ OK, so we Speaking of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:08:05.450 --> 00:08:07.648 you know what is big data right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.638$ We frequently hear the term in

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:08:10.638 --> 00:08:13.788 the context of AI MLDL big data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:13.788 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.416$ it described by the 5DS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:08:16.416 --> 00:08:19.344 That's volume velocity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:19.344 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.158$ veracity, variety and value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00{:}08{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.790$ So volume speaks for itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00{:}08{:}24.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.764$ You need large volumes in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:26.764 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.960$ for it to qualify as big data.

 $00:08:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.430$ Velocity speaks to the speed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.210$ I wish the data is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.766$ Acquired so if it takes you two years to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:35.766 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.004$ extract the data then it's not big data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.900$ It should be instantaneous turnover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:08:40.900 --> 00:08:44.280 Veracity describes the quality right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.450$ So we really need good quality data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.642$ so if any if I learn only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00{:}08{:}48.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.374$ thing from the data scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:50.374 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.594$ and engineers that we work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:52.594 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.958$ is that garbage in garbage out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.456$ If we feed the machine with bad data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:08:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.230$ we are most likely going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00{:}09{:}01.230 --> 00{:}09{:}02.410 \ {\rm receive} \ {\rm a} \ {\rm poor} \ {\rm algorithm},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.386$ so we really must enforce a quality data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.295$ Next just as important we have variety

 $00:09:09.295 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.920$ in data in order for the machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.710$ to determine the best algorithm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:09:13.710 --> 00:09:16.926 It requires an ecosystem of multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:16.926 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.534$ different interactions simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:09:18.540 --> 00:09:20.772 This kind of what this kind of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:20.772 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.103$ diverges from what we are familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:23.103 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.330$ in traditional medicine where it's like OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.376$ Let's focus and hyper focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:27.376 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.719$ this one facet whereas this one

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:09:29.719 --> 00:09:32.004 heavily relies on variety and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00{:}09{:}32.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.375$ understanding multiple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00{:}09{:}33.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.659$ interactions to understand what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:35.659 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.879$ prominent and what is significant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:09:37.880 --> 00:09:40.554 So now I feel like I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:40.554 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.125$ belaboring the point of quality and

 $00:09:43.125 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.365$ unfortunately loss in the term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00{:}09{:}45.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.877$ Big data is the importance of quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00{:}09{:}48.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.528$ data and the volume of data often

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

00:09:51.528 --> 00:09:53.619 overshadows the quality of the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.828$ which is the perfect for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:55.828 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.300$ for the poor algorithm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.740$ So I really wanted to drive

NOTE Confidence: 0.931478005

 $00:09:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.430$ home this point of quality data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.416$ And so you'll see my akawa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.280$ He is the editor in chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.520$ of the Molecular Brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.048$ When my account did is that he reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.906$ 181 AI manuscripts this past year in 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.670 40$ of them were deemed too good to be true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.138$ and he questioned their authenticity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00{:}10{:}20.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.515$ what he did is he requested the raw data of

 $00:10:23.515 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.665$ a of the 40 studies to assess its integrity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

00:10:26.670 --> 00:10:28.510 Half were withdrawn because

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.810$ the data couldn't be provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.666$ Of the other twenty remaining 19

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:33.666 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.123$ were rejected either because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:36.123 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.838$ insufficient raw data or the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

00:10:38.838 --> 00:10:41.018 mismatched with the prediction results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00{:}10{:}41.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.624$ or the output could not be reproduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:43.630 \dashrightarrow 00:10:46.294$ When we tested with the validation test sets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.948$ so ultimately only one of the 40 were

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

00:10:48.948 --> 00:10:50.850 accepted. So don't waste your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00:10:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.866$ Just really make sure that the quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.653115926666667

 $00{:}10{:}52.866 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.239$ the data is is the best that you can provide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:10:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.620$ OK, so now we're armed with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:11:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.932$ definitions of AI, ML and DL.

 $00:11:03.932 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.832$ We know what big data is and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:11:06.832 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.358$ stress the need for quality data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

00:11:09.360 --> 00:11:11.306 Now, how does this all work together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:11:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.812$ So here's a bird's eye view

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:11:13.812 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.480$ of the process itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

00:11:15.480 --> 00:11:17.864 So first we start with the big data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:11:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.542$ either obtained via HR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

00:11:19.542 --> 00:11:21.632 This could be imaging could

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

00:11:21.632 --> 00:11:23.599 be genomic sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

00:11:23.600 --> 00:11:28.055 whatever have you and we input it into AI

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:11:28.055 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.118$ UMSL and then finally we get our outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:11:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.460$ We get the diagnostic accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

00:11:33.460 --> 00:11:35.304 We get prediction models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

00:11:35.304 --> 00:11:37.609 We get workflow efficiency and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

00:11:37.609 --> 00:11:39.748 precision medicine simple enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905044663333333

 $00:11:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.580$ OK, maybe not that simple,

 $00:11:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.348$ so let's get a little bit more granular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:11:45.500 --> 00:11:48.878 So how does machine learning work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:11:48.880 --> 00:11:52.860 So Machine learns through training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:11:52.860 --> 00:11:55.610 Training is an iterative learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:11:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.040$ until the best model is found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:11:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.360$ So let's say we want to predict OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.944$ OK first, what we need to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00{:}12{:}05.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.718$ to classify OSA via features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.070$ In order to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.170$ we will tell the machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:12:12.170 --> 00:12:15.656 That OSA isn't hi greater than five

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:15.656 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.909$ and non OSA isn't ahi less than five?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00{:}12{:}19.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.070$ And that's our label of interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.160$ with the definition of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.140$ The next thing we will do is we will feed it.

 $00:12:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.180$ We will feed our machine with a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00{:}12{:}30.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.148$ of patient characteristics such as age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:12:33.150 --> 00:12:37.140 BMI, gender, next size, anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.763$ From there on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:38.763 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.320$ these features will be analyzed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:12:43.320 --> 00:12:45.708 I use that term features interchangeably

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:45.708 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.300$ with patient characteristics because

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:47.354 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.622$ features is a term that's used in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:12:49.630 --> 00:12:50.400 So anyways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.940$ these patient characteristics or

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00{:}12{:}51.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.582$ features will then be extracted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:12:54.582 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.397$ One example of feature extraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:12:57.397 --> 00:13:00.778 is principal component analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00{:}13{:}00.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.598$ principal component analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:02.598 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.234$ What this does is it determines

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.000$ what is the best fit of this feature

 $00:13:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.459$ to match our label of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.408$ So for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:13:12.408 --> 00:13:15.273 If we were to use PCA to extract

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:15.273 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.108$ the features and create components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.950$ What we will find is that maybe age

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.070$ greater than 50 will match closely with OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:13:24.070 --> 00:13:26.842 Maybe BMI greater than 35 will

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:26.842 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.690$ match closely with OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:13:28.690 --> 00:13:30.690 After employing this feature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.690$ extraction of the most salient components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00{:}13{:}33.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.830$ then vectors are formed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.590$ These vectors, what they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.870$ is really an interaction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.694$ all the key components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.586$ So another example is in my

 $00:13:45.586 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.326$ identify an old obese man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:13:48.326 --> 00:13:52.958 Or am I identify a post menopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:52.958 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.696$ woman with history of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:55.696 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.150$ to the head in the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:13:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.538$ So basically the more vectors we

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:00.538 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.279$ can create based on the more data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.170$ the better our algorithm will be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.494$ because these vectors will then be fed

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:07.494 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.710$ into the machine learning algorithm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.738$ I'm at the machine learning algorithm

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00{:}14{:}11.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.783$ will do is it will process these vectors

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:14:14.783 --> 00:14:17.213 together form clusters and then it

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.866$ will develop the best classifier model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:14:19.870 --> 00:14:22.790 So with this best classifier model will do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:22.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.010$ Is that it'll say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:14:25.010 --> 00:14:28.690 we can most likely predict OSA to this

 $00:14:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.330$ maximum degree 98% using this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.919$ But we need to know that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:14:33.919 --> 00:14:35.110 model is indeed accurate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667 00:14:35.110 --> 00:14:35.447 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:35.447 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.143$ So then what we will need to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:38.143 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.928$ is to see if we can apply this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:14:40.928 --> 00:14:43.135 best model to actually predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00{:}14{:}43.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.900$ our desired outcome being OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.890$ So then what we do is we give it like

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:47.952 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.680$ what we call the validation set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.864$ otherwise known as a test data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.630$ What this is something unseen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:14:54.630 --> 00:14:55.478 completely naive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:14:55.478 --> 00:14:58.446 We feed it again to the machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:14:58.446 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.840$ via data input.

 $00:14:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.328$ Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

00:15:00.328 --> 00:15:02.773 the features are extracted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:15:02.773 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.238$ then feature vectors are formed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:15:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.459$ Then finally we want to note if

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:15:07.459 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.220$ the predicted label is achieved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:15:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.824$ If the predicted label in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:15:11.824 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.560$ case OSA is achieved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667

 $00:15:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.846$ then we know that this is a very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912025521666667 00:15:15.850 --> 00:15:16.380 Algorithm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

00:15:20.840 --> 00:15:24.638 So to summarize, we start with data we

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00{:}15{:}24.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.564$ start first with a data training set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.448$ It gets fed into the algorithm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:29.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.641$ There's an evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:30.641 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.626$ and a model is formed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.950$ Then we repeat with a test data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.155$ otherwise known as a validation data set,

 $00:15:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.035$ and essentially it's just naive

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00{:}15{:}39.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.234$ information fed into the machine to

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:41.234 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.338$ see if the model that we built was

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:43.338 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.538$ correct in achieving our prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.130$ So how do we know that this is not by chance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.235$ How do we know that this algorithm is indeed

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:53.235 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.858$ reliable outside of this one data test set?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

00:15:55.860 --> 00:15:59.298 Well, let me just repeat just we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:15:59.298 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.134$ to make sure we have reproducibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:01.134 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.365$ and we want to make sure that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

00:16:03.365 --> 00:16:05.319 don't have what's called overfitting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.839$ Overfitting is essentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.108$ Us saying that yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:10.108 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.693$ this is a perfect study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.639$ but only for our small cold water.

 $00:16:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.397$ So the more data that we feed

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:15.397 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.148$ them or test datasets to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:17.148 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.014$ that if the prediction can again

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:19.014 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.243$ be achieved and achieved then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.915240158571429

 $00:16:21.243 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.098$ know that we're not overfitting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.190$ So based on the shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:16:28.190 --> 00:16:29.438 process of machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.606$ all of us can appreciate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:31.606 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.624$ machine learning is vastly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:33.624 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.636$ from evidence based medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.896$ One is really not better than the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:16:37.900 --> 00:16:39.548 It's almost like comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:39.548 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.181$ apples and oranges, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:41.181 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.527$ But acknowledgement of the differences will

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:43.527 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.209$ allow us to embrace machine learning as

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:46.209 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.379$ one of our future options and Sleep Medicine.

00:16:49.380 --> 00:16:50.553 So, specifically, PBM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:50.553 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.035$ as we know, is hypothesis driven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:16:53.035 --> 00:16:54.340 versus machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.016$ is data driven right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:16:56.016 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.670$ EBM we have to account for confounders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:16:59.670 --> 00:17:00.860 we have to eliminate confounders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.072$ So then there's tends to be less

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00{:}17{:}03.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.150$ variables and a lower diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:17:05.150 --> 00:17:07.146 whereas machine learning heavily

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:07.146 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.140$ predicated itself on a high diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.455$ so we need more variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:12.455 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.770$ to sort out the noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00{:}17{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.208$ EBM what it does is it compares groups to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:19.208 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.603$ infer causation whereas machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:22.603 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.559$ relies on clustering to infer causation.

 $00:17:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.108$ Next, a medium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00{:}17{:}28.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.688$ its success susceptible to bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:17:30.688 --> 00:17:33.499 because of evidence hierarchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:17:33.500 --> 00:17:35.048 Whereas in machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:35.048 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.596$ there is no hierarchy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667 00:17:36.600 --> 00:17:37.098 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:17:37.098 --> 00:17:40.086 So no hierarchy and we're possibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:40.086 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.108$ eliminating the risk of bias outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00{:}17{:}43.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.000$ of the data collection process itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.770$ And then finally in EBM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00{:}17{:}47.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.266$ We have confidence in the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.725$ with more studies and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:17:53.725 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.914$ replication of the study outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:17:56.914 --> 00:18:00.256 Similarly to some degree in machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:18:00.256 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.548$ learning we have confidence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

00:18:02.548 --> 00:18:04.276 repetition by increasing the

00:18:04.276 --> 00:18:06.162 training datasets and I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00{:}18{:}06.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.752$ mentioned and then also feeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:18:07.752 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.678$ it with multiple validation sets

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:18:09.678 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.132$ to ensure that we didn't overfit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:18:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.988$ So Apple and orange they're different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924105116666667

 $00:18:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.340$ but they're both good for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972724328

 $00:18:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.000$ OK, so that was fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972724328

 $00:18:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.968$ Now let's transition into

NOTE Confidence: 0.972724328

00:18:21.968 --> 00:18:23.936 machine learning in OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972724328

 $00{:}18{:}23.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.740$ Here we have an English bulldog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972724328

 $00:18:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.408$ Can anyone unmute and tell me

NOTE Confidence: 0.972724328

00:18:27.408 --> 00:18:29.252 why we have the English bulldog

NOTE Confidence: 0.972724328

00:18:29.252 --> 00:18:31.244 as my mascot for Melon OSA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95395195

00:18:33.850 --> 00:18:34.360 Anyone?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

00:18:38.670 --> 00:18:43.174 OK, well I'll tell you so the bulldog

 $00:18:43.174 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.420$ snores probably has sleep apnea, maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

00:18:46.420 --> 00:18:48.420 Well, my internal machine thinks

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:18:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.027$ I've always say every time I see

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:18:51.027 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.905$ a bulldog because of this story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:18:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.178$ And also I think of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:18:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.707$ So the the field of OSA is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:18:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.160$ It's truly right for machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.120$ learning algorithms and recognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.256$ It is prime for mill and possibly a

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:04.256 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.805$ shoulder ahead of other subspecialties

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00{:}19{:}05.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.045$ due to its abundance of data sources

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:08.105 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.897$ both in the medical level for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:09.897 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.430$ patient in the form of EHR data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.647$ diagnostic sleep study path data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:14.647 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.307$ at the digital health product level for

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:17.307 \dashrightarrow 00:19:19.966$ the patients as a consumer in the form

 $00:19:19.966 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.694$ of Fitbit and sleep apps and bed sensors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00{:}19{:}22.694 \rightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.820$ So the options for data or boundless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

00:19:25.820 --> 00:19:28.436 the hint of its success relies on quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:28.436 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.640$ data collection that I've been mentioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

00:19:30.640 --> 00:19:32.412 Integration, transformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:32.412 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.184$ and interpretation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

00:19:34.184 --> 00:19:36.782 Biostar clinicians only clean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

00:19:36.782 --> 00:19:38.426 usable and meaningful data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.315$ Can create value for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:40.315 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.823$ patients in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.225$ So what types of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.947652332

 $00:19:43.225 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.870$ data do we have access to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:19:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.048$ So here's the first class of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00{:}19{:}49.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.802$ We have state technology, so to the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:19:51.802 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.131$ sleep physicians in the audience we have

 $00:19:54.131 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.537$ a polysomnogram on the left and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:19:56.537 --> 00:19:59.810 a home sleep apnea test on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:19:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.175$ So the polysomnograms are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:02.175 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.644$ rich in raw data, so here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:04.644 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.940$ We are able to note their their neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:20:07.011 --> 00:20:09.398 status were able to see limb movements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:20:09.400 --> 00:20:12.048 were able to see heart rate and oxygenation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:20:12.050 --> 00:20:13.322 but you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:13.322 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.669$ We use less than half of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:15.669 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.459$ to determine what is OS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.477$ What is OSA we use the hi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.406$ How much of the inside is gathered

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:23.406 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.750$ from this sleep study right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:20:25.750 --> 00:20:28.578 So the PSG itself lends itself to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:20:28.580 --> 00:20:31.232 you know, dynamic phenotyping like why

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:31.232 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.527$ can't we use symptoms in addition to the

 $00:20:34.527 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.659$ raw data to help us understand more of OSA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.935$ How do we subtype patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:39.935 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.755$ based on this data?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:20:41.760 --> 00:20:43.696 I mean, if we truly think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714 00:20:43.700 --> 00:20:45.056 the the ISR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:45.056 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.864$ like the interscore reliability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.350$ it just shows us that we cannot best

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:49.350 \dashrightarrow 00:20:51.370$ determine what N one and two is like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.546$ We need more people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:52.546 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.069$ so why can't we just push this a

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:20:55.069 --> 00:20:56.794 step forward into machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:20:56.794 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.763$ and have the machine tell us

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:20:58.763 --> 00:21:00.779 this is truly an one based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:21:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.670$ all of these previous findings?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:21:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.672$ And even on the right we had

 $00:21:05.672 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.340$ the home sleep apnea test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:21:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.716$ so age sites have been accelerated into use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

00:21:10.720 --> 00:21:12.538 I'd say definitely during the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:21:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.532$ and even with the age that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:21:14.532 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.944$ a reasonable amount of continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.914475584285714

 $00:21:15.944 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.946$ data for just one night of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:21:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.826$ I'm in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

00:21:22.826 --> 00:21:25.970 You know objective long term monitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00{:}21{:}25.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.259$ I think we're really in a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

00:21:28.259 --> 00:21:30.071 position compared to other types

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00{:}21{:}30.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.345$ of chronic diseases because we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

00:21:32.345 --> 00:21:34.839 the ability to watch patients every

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:21:34.839 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.924$ night and gather objective data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:21:36.924 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.200$ regarding their PAP compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

00:21:40.200 --> 00:21:41.828 Also, throughout the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:21:41.828 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.456$ of their chronic disease.

 $00:21:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.740$ So for those that are unfamiliar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:21:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.510$ here is an example of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:21:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.395$ PAP compliance report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

00:21:48.400 --> 00:21:51.120 Were able to determine their dates of usage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

00:21:51.120 --> 00:21:52.780 their hours of usage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:21:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.855$ their average pressure per night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:21:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.359$ even if they had a leak there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

00:21:57.360 --> 00:22:01.180 Hi, this is we have access to all of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00{:}22{:}01.180 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.567$ we just need to analyze it together

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340829

 $00:22:03.567 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.239$ and figure out what's most meaningful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.450$ Another huge data source for

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.918$ Sleep Medicine, particularly OSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00{:}22{:}15.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18.838$ as a consumer sleep technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.835$ We are swimming in data

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:20.835 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.830$ and the patients love this.

00:22:22.830 --> 00:22:24.790 I can't say that all clinicians office,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00{:}22{:}24.790 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.538$ but definitely the patients love this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.025$ The most common consumer sleep tech that

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:30.025 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.936$ we are probably familiar with is the Fitbit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.126$ So the Fitbit on utilizes these

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00{:}22{:}36.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.250$ Tri axial accelerometer sensors

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:38.342 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.787$ to determine like heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:22:40.790 --> 00:22:42.418 It's basically like actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:42.418 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.453$ where we uses motion sensor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.884$ so it's determining like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:45.884 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.585$ well this is activity so the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:48.585 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.770$ likely not sleeping at this time

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.839$ and there are other flavors of

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:52.839 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.699$ this two in terms of wearables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.960$ There's also the oral ring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.270$ which is the upper right.

 $00:22:57.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.020$ Diagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:22:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.856$ And then now recently there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:00.856 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.080$ a bin new rebels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.877$ So what new rebels do is they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:04.877 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.979$ this lower energy radar that detects

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00{:}23{:}07.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.131$ movement and breathing the diagram

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:10.131 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.757$ to the bottom left hand corner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:11.760 --> 00:23:14.150 That's the Google Nest hub.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:14.150 --> 00:23:15.686 So the Google Nest Hub recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00{:}23{:}15.686 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.569$ launched as a sleep sensing feature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:17.570 --> 00:23:20.167 which estimates when you went to bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:20.167 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.285$ when you woke up and how long you slept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00{:}23{:}23.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.498$ It can also detect sounds like

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:25.498 --> 00:23:27.474 snoring and coughing as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:27.474 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.218$ as environmental features such

00:23:29.218 --> 00:23:31.398 as wide in room temperature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00{:}23{:}31.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.098$ What these sensors are designed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:33.098 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.422$ do is to help assess sleep quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:35.422 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.307$ and help identify potential causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:37.307 --> 00:23:39.658 of sleep disruption in the morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:39.660 --> 00:23:42.194 The Nest Hub will show your sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:42.194 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.470$ summary and then the sleep data

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:44.470 --> 00:23:46.325 syncs with Google Fit app.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:46.330 --> 00:23:48.385 So after learning your sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:48.385 --> 00:23:50.440 habits and patterns through AI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00{:}23{:}50.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.420$ the sleep sensing will then give

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.080$ personalized recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.548$ as a matter of fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:23:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.337$ Google partnered with the ASM to deliver

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:23:58.337 --> 00:24:01.200 these recommendations tailored based on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.980$ the prior night's sleep.

00:24:02.980 --> 00:24:03.301 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143 00:24:03.301 --> 00:24:03.943 for example, NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:24:03.943 --> 00:24:06.190 it might say you are not sleeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:06.264 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.904$ this much considered going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:07.904 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.320$ bed at a regular time each night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84808815285714300:24:10.320 --> 00:24:12.780 Pretty crazy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.095$ Then finally the third class

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:24:15.095 --> 00:24:18.196 of data for sleep that is near

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:18.196 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.956$ and dear to us all is the EHR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:24:20.960 --> 00:24:24.248 From HR we can gather a

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:24:24.248 --> 00:24:25.892 demographics socioeconomic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.324$ even comorbidities, lab data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

00:24:29.324 --> 00:24:30.698 imaging, hospitalizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:30.698 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.252$ health care utilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:32.252 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.806$ self reported questionnaires,

 $00:24:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.114$ and then we can multiply the data by

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:36.114 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.378$ combining it with the sleep technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:38.378 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.998$ that we previously mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848088152857143

 $00:24:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.950$ as well as consumer sleep technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:24:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.978$ So we have all this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

00:24:45.980 --> 00:24:47.600 so here's a comprehensive summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:24:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.060$ slide of all our big data sources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:24:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.100$ challenges and opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00{:}24{:}52.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.500$ I borrowed this from Peppin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

00:24:55.500 --> 00:24:58.332 So to recap, we talked about the Patella

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:24:58.332 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.559$ monitoring as well as a sleep study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.044$ but also social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00{:}25{:}02.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.758$ I know social media can also affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00{:}25{:}04.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.022$ sleep if you are tweeting as our former

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:08.022 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.325$ president at 2:00 o'clock in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

00:25:10.325 --> 00:25:12.418 morning 3:00 o'clock in the morning,

00:25:12.418 --> 00:25:13.758 4:00 o'clock in the morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00{:}25{:}13.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.116$ Then most likely you are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:15.116 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.660$ to have a sleep disturbance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

00:25:16.660 --> 00:25:17.902 Now, how will this affect your

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00{:}25{:}17.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.959$ PAP usage if you're supposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:18.959 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.415$ to be on C PAP for tweeting at

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

00:25:20.415 --> 00:25:21.597 3:00 o'clock in the morning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.604$ This is all valuable data for

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00{:}25{:}23.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.630$ us to help identify patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.520$ Even before they start therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.544$ Other things to consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00{:}25{:}29.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.725$ The omics and I think we may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:31.725 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.185$ mentioned and lifestyle activities so

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:33.185 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.581$ we know in the sleep world that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

 $00:25:35.581 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.480$ that are more active during the day,

 $00:25:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.555$ they're they're more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896466715

00:25:38.555 --> 00:25:39.829 get a better night's sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:25:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.452$ And Geo localization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:25:44.452 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.628$ We mentioned socioeconomic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:25:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.140$ how that can affect OSA, but then

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:25:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.118$ also access to care or pollen counts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:25:52.118 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.816$ Imagine that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

00:25:52.816 --> 00:25:55.704 So now on your phone you can detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:25:55.704 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.876$ what is the pollen count outside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

00:25:57.880 --> 00:26:00.296 Should I bring my Flonase in the morning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00{:}26{:}00.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.556$ How will this affect OSA patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

00:26:02.560 --> 00:26:06.263 Well patients with OSA and allergic rhinitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:26:06.263 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.116$ may have decreased pain here and right there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:26:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.846$ congested at night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:26:10.846 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.540$ They don't want to use their nasal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:26:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.960$ Ask they're congested at night.

00:26:13.960 --> 00:26:15.298 They can't breathe through their mask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:26:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.628$ We can identify this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:26:16.628 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.263$ and we can refine our therapy based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:26:19.263 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.551$ what we know from all of this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763384447142857

 $00:26:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.250$ and consolidating every piece together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

00:26:27.740 --> 00:26:30.260 But life isn't easy, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:26:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.156$ This data is heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

00:26:32.156 --> 00:26:34.526 How do we ensure interoperability

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:26:34.526 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.120$ of all this data?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:26:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.660$ So we have one Amar?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

00:26:37.660 --> 00:26:40.066 Why can't Sloan talk to Yale?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

00:26:40.070 --> 00:26:41.645 Combine our data and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00{:}26{:}41.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43.220$ figure out the best solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:26:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.955$ Once the interoperability like unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:26:44.955 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.529$ we have Allscripts I'm not sure about Yale.

 $00:26:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.860$ If you guys have Epicor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00{:}26{:}48.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.142$ All scripts but that's always comes into

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:26:52.142 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.013$ question interoperability also data privacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

00:26:55.013 --> 00:26:57.377 So recently Google health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:26:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.256$ I think they tried to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:26:59.256 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.245$ EMR and there was a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:27:01.245 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.925$ pushback in terms of data privacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:27:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.560$ So their project has stalled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

00:27:06.560 --> 00:27:08.036 Next, we want to think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:27:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.477$ you know the the natural inherent flaws

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00{:}27{:}11.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.180$ of the observational studies right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:27:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.173$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00:27:15.173 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.828$ if we have the proper

NOTE Confidence: 0.927295688

 $00{:}27{:}16.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.790$ infrastructures for data sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

00:27:21.700 --> 00:27:23.836 But you know, we should definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

 $00{:}27{:}23.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.053$ commit to moving big data and

00:27:26.053 --> 00:27:27.858 machine learning and OSA four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

 $00{:}27{:}27.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.948$ This can really help us reshape our OSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

 $00:27:30.948 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.303$ through integrated care and could help

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

 $00:27:33.303 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.577$ us a partner with other institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

 $00:27:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.715$ And then also maybe even use this

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

 $00:27:37.715 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.069$ information that we learn through big data

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

 $00:27:40.069 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.139$ and machine learning to create proper

NOTE Confidence: 0.871588334117647

 $00:27:42.202 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.387$ risks for a therapeutic interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896628105294118

 $00:27:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.412$ And that all of this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896628105294118

 $00:27:48.412 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.714$ patients so patients at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896628105294118

 $00:27:49.714 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.059$ center so patient centered care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:27:53.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.836$ OK, so now that we have the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}27{:}55.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.544$ how can we make meaningful use of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}27{:}58.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01.950$ data so one common tool is automation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.822$ Automation is basically the

 $00:28:03.822 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.162$ extrication of humans and piles

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:28:06.162 --> 00:28:08.667 of paper as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.066$ So we can achieve this through continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:28:12.066 --> 00:28:14.896 remote telemonitoring and feedback messaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.410$ so that's one form of automation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.468$ And this is an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:20.468 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.830$ it via the telly OSA trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.390$ This is crying and Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.140$ He sought to examine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:28:26.140 --> 00:28:27.190 effects of telemedicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}28{:}27.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.050$ delivered OSA education and CPAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.766$ telemonitoring with automated

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:31.766 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.459$ patient feedback messaging on CPAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:34.459 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.284$ adherence is a four armed a randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:28:37.284 --> 00:28:39.248 factorial design clinical trial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:39.248 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.720$ he enrolled about 14150 patients.

00:28:42.720 --> 00:28:44.540 So for all intensive purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}28{:}44.540 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}28{:}46.466$ this figure it collapses the four

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:46.466 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.511$ treatment arms into three to directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:28:48.511 --> 00:28:50.256 compare the automated feedback messaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:50.256 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.447$ and what he found was that here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.214$ like you can't see that arrow here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:28:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.800$ OK, so here what he saw is that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:28:56.875 --> 00:28:58.800 patients who received messaging from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:28:58.800 --> 00:29:01.599 the onset of CPAP and throughout were

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}29{:}01.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.427$ more likely to be compliant compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:04.427 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.790$ those without messaging after one year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.865$ This was proven to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}29{:}09.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10.695$ statistically significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.940$ so we learned that there's a positive impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.309$ on those who receive continuous messaging.

00:29:15.310 --> 00:29:16.121 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:16.121 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.176$ those who initially received messaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625 00:29:20.180 --> 00:29:20.671 Right, NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:20.671 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.617$ but they stopped after 90 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.100$ Had similar outcomes compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}29{:}26.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.229$ those who never even received a text.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}29{:}29.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.664$ If I were to extrapolate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:30.664 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.620$ to my real life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.084$ then I should nag my husband to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:34.084 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.484$ the dishes every day if I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:36.484 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.380$ him to wash the dishes right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625 00:29:38.380 --> 00:29:40.320 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:29:40.320 --> 00:29:43.638 Next up, instead of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.256$ maybe continuous remote telemonitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:45.256 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.680$ What if we use self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:47.744 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.734$ questionnaires and link them to

00:29:49.734 --> 00:29:51.724 sleep studies or appointment types?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.938$ How can we repair this automatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:53.938 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.114$ to remove the paper waste and to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:29:57.114 --> 00:29:59.159 understand our parents our patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:29:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.618$ And then finally we can consider alerts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:02.618 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.048$ based on consumer technology data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:05.048 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.470$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:06.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.096$ during the pandemic what we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:08.096 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.991$ is we gave these little pulse

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:09.991 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.495$ oximeters for our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.276$ sent them home and we would call them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.494$ We had like an army of nurses called patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:15.494 \dashrightarrow 00:30:18.200$ every day, checking in on their symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.781$ You know now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:19.781 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.889$ Shouldn't we think about?

 $00:30:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.658$ Advancing shouldn't we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:30:23.658 --> 00:30:25.426 about Bluetooth technology right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.174$ What about home oximeters?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:30:27.174 --> 00:30:29.790 An alarm system can be prepared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.550$ and what we can do is we can be alarmed

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:32.622 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.573$ every time their auction dips below 85%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:35.573 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.519$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:36.519 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.357$ this already exists for blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.426$ This already exists for glucose monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.686$ would it benefit the sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:30:44.686 --> 00:30:47.520 patient to watch all of these metrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.028$ while they're being treated at home?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:30:50.030 --> 00:30:52.190 Hopefully you know if we start

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}30{:}52.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.630$ using Bluetooth technology more

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:30:53.694 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.016$ transporting the information to a cloud,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00{:}30{:}56.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}57.855$ automating alerts we can interact

 $00:30:57.855 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.323$ with our patients better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

00:30:59.330 --> 00:31:00.746 provide better feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:31:00.746 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.690$ better engagement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93892009625

 $00:31:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.938$ and hopefully better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83052855

 $00:31:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.728$ OK, let's see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.130$ OK, so here is another example

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.700$ of an integration tool HL seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00{:}31{:}16.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.160$ OK so HL 7 otherwise known as health level 7,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.136$ but this is a conduit between

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00{:}31{:}24.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.410$ platform A and platform B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.880$ In terms of Sleep Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00{:}31{:}27.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.390$ it can create a bidirectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00{:}31{:}30.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.900$ passage of information of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:32.992 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.624$ data and HR data and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.710$ So when I was a fellow at Penn,

 $00{:}31{:}37.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.077$ we utilized the HL 7 framework to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00{:}31{:}41.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.163$ identify patients with insufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

00:31:43.163 --> 00:31:46.475 PAP usage in high risk patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.707$ commercial transport operators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

00:31:47.707 --> 00:31:51.015 and also we use this data to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

00:31:51.015 --> 00:31:53.640 who had high HI despite path usage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.854$ What we did is this is the prequel era.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.465$ By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:31:57.465 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.140$ we generated letters not trusting

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.360$ regenerated letters to send them to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.592$ Then what we did is we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:04.592 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.087$ see if the patients actually called,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.460$ we measure call volumes to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

00:32:08.460 --> 00:32:10.501 if patients actually came back

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:10.501 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.829$ to clinic and to understand if

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:12.829 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.931$ this affected their a clinical

 $00:32:14.931 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.096$ outcomes such as blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.584$ And lo and behold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:18.584 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.279$ we found that patients with higher PAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

00:32:21.279 --> 00:32:24.037 usage was linked to lower blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.590$ dia stolic blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.291$ So this is just one example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:28.291 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.446$ what we did a couple of years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:30.446 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.220$ and other things to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00{:}32{:}32.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.795$ Easy integration tool is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:34.795 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.710$ population management database query.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

00:32:36.710 --> 00:32:39.790 You can guery info and combine it

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.429$ with general sleep diagnostics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

00:32:42.430 --> 00:32:43.660 consumer health technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

 $00:32:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.300$ and development algorithms to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871639605

00:32:45.300 --> 00:32:47.230 predict outcomes and performance.

 $00:32:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.774$ So currently at MSK we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:32:54.774 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.409$ completing a study of ML and OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:32:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.272$ So we had about 300 or 400 patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:00.272 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.058$ sleep clinic that referred for home sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:03.058 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.030$ study and we wanted to determine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.634$ predictors of OSA in cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.872$ The first step was do we really need

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}33{:}11.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.094$ machine learning data scientists are

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:14.094 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.212$ not cheap and can we simply use our

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:17.212 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.300$ beloved logistic regression to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}33{:}19.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.955$ predictors of obstructive sleep apnea?

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.417$ So we answered our first question by

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}33{:}24.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.058$ testing to see if there is a linear

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:28.058 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.567$ relationship between characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:29.567 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.018$ otherwise known as features and OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}33{:}32.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.172$ So the first three subplots we have here

 $00:33:35.172 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.150$ depict the features scattered in 3D space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}33{:}38.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.542$ What this shows us is that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}33{:}41.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.833$ nonlinear relationship between each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:43.833 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.563$ the features and obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

00:33:46.570 --> 00:33:48.754 So we've tried using chronic kidney

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:48.754 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.650$ disease with stop bang score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.075$ diabetes, all the comorbidities you

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}33{:}53.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.810$ could think of COPD metastasis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:33:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.610$ we found no linear relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.440$ We this was an iterative process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.906$ We kept feeding it more data and more data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.310$ Still no relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}34{:}07.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.725$ So I suppose we can still continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:09.725 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.760$ with logistic regression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.448$ but that would be obviously flawed

 $00:34:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.024$ since we confirmed the nonlinearity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}34{:}16.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18.939$ features we sought to use unsupervised

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:18.939 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.749$ machine learning and employed advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

00:34:21.750 --> 00:34:25.096 techniques such as PCA combined with RF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.024$ so its principal component

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:27.024 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.948$ analysis and random forests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.970$ So the PCA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}34{:}29.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.350$ what it did is it performed feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:32.425 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.320$ extractions to determine the relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.388$ components and then these components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:37.388 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.053$ Were processed to yield clusters or feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:40.053 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.664$ vectors that are most relevant to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:42.664 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.224$ population and there we have the 4th subplot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923 00:34:45.230 --> 00:34:45.834 the 4th. NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:45.834 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.948$ So plot is a projection of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

00:34:47.948 --> 00:34:49.562 features as principal components

 $00{:}34{:}49.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51.637$ in three dimensions that contribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}34{:}51.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.050$ to the maximum variance of OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.440$ We mentioned that the algorithm

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.870$ will do is it will try to help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

00:34:57.870 --> 00:34:59.650 predict OSH the best of their ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:34:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.674$ based on the data that we fed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:35:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.336$ So within 93% we were able to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00{:}35{:}04.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.240$ this within this Max variance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:35:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.660$ we will have OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:35:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.306$ And then finally the best classifier

NOTE Confidence: 0.762594573076923

 $00:35:11.306 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.740$ was determined for subsequent datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:16.950 \dashrightarrow 00:35:19.169$ So I will share our preliminary findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}35{:}19.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.218$ with you because you sacrificed your

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

00:35:21.218 --> 00:35:23.633 Wednesday afternoon to join me here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.230$ So through mill we learned that the

 $00:35:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.866$ strongest predictors of obstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}35{:}27.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}30.614$ sleep apnea and cancer patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:30.614 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.682$ stopping score radiation therapy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:32.682 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.880$ the head and neck and cancer type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.906$ Meaning. Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:35.906 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.010$ it was long head and neck and prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.248$ So here is a diagram that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}35{:}42.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.740$ illustrates the airflow limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

00:35:43.807 --> 00:35:45.459 in obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.204$ So in figure a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}35{:}47.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.082$ this is the normal sleep we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}35{:}50.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.158$ air that enters through the nose

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}35{:}52.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.474$ and then down the posterior or

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}35{:}54.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.802$ fairings and trade into the lungs

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:35:56.877 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.413$ and figure B is that of sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}35{:}59.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.355$ So in figure B we can see the error

 $00:36:01.355 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.056$ is trying to enter through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:03.056 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.946$ nose and then there is relaxation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}36{:}04.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.424$ instruction and the posterior or Franks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.634$ thus causing the chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

00:36:09.634 --> 00:36:10.736 intermittent hypoxia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.604$ In obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

00:36:12.604 --> 00:36:13.536 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:13.540 \dashrightarrow 00:36:17.740$ in see this is a diagram of a patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:17.842 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.937$ received radiation to the head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

00:36:21.940 --> 00:36:22.328 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}36{:}22.328 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}36{:}24.268$ so similarly air will enter

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:24.268 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.550$ through the nose and then it

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

00:36:26.550 --> 00:36:28.030 it will counter obstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.620$ This obstruction can be from

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.892$ the posterior or pharynx,

 $00:36:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.052$ or it can be in the form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00{:}36{:}33.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.119$ fibrosis or scarring or stenosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.160$ Even after radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.200$ So this radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

 $00:36:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.192$ can increase risk for OSA or

NOTE Confidence: 0.89583829

00:36:42.192 --> 00:36:43.608 exacerbate pre-existing OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00:36:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.340$ Alright, so this just goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00:36:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.940$ to show you our results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00{:}36{:}50{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54{.}030$ We have 100% sensitivity and 90%

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

00:36:54.030 --> 00:36:56.760 specificity on the PCA plus RF was

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00:36:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.243$ able to determine the Max variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

00:36:59.243 --> 00:37:01.303 of OSA through clustering and

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00:37:01.303 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.948$ this was indeed superior to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00:37:03.948 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.558$ traditional techniques of LR or RF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00:37:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.845$ Individually we've said it multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00:37:08.845 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.130$ tests thereafter and were able

 $00:37:11.207 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.182$ to reproduce the same result

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00{:}37{:}13.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.157$ of OSA accurately and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894589283

 $00:37:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.588$ This was unsupervised learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.407$ So an ML is applicable from diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:23.407 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.150$ to intervention ING to you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

00:37:26.150 --> 00:37:27.933 long term monitoring, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:27.933 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.244$ So we tend to fixate on diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.925$ but let's shift towards this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

00:37:32.925 --> 00:37:33.938 chronic management, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00{:}37{:}33.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.966$ Like how do we improve compliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

00:37:35.966 --> 00:37:37.700 for our patients using milk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

00:37:37.700 --> 00:37:39.566 How much compliance is even necessary?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:39.570 \dashrightarrow 00:37:42.054$ So we have this large advantage of the path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:42.060 \dashrightarrow 00:37:44.404$ And I think we should try to leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:44.404 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.041$ this in the future for studies to

 $00:37:47.041 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.026$ improve management of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00{:}37{:}49.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.645$ Next thing to appreciate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:50.645 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.630$ you know the purpose of mill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.770$ It's a compliment that physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.482$ not replace a physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.705$ IBM Watson failed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:37:57.705 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.540$ This is daily and everyones memory right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

00:38:00.540 --> 00:38:02.070 But you know we just have to work with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025 00:38:02.070 --> 00:38:02.358 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:38:02.358 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.374$ We have to be able to translate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:38:04.374 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.142$ the structured data instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00{:}38{:}06.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.646$ something valuable and actionable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

00:38:07.646 --> 00:38:09.320 information for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00{:}38{:}09.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.580$ And then finally, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:38:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.100$ we should always strive for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

00:38:13.100 --> 00:38:15.436 aiming for clinical value,

 $00:38:15.436 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.188$ establishing these maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

00:38:17.188 --> 00:38:18.940 decision support systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8132068025

 $00:38:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.110$ For future discovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.934$ So some general opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:24.934 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.038$ we can redefine OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.116$ This is clearly low hanging fruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.812$ The HI consists of Hypopneas and apneas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:32.812 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.840$ Well, what about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.244$ What about restless legs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:36.244 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.297$ What about arousals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.890$ What is it about the brain waves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.136$ that can affect hi and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:38:42.136 --> 00:38:43.328 machine learning will help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:43.328 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.520$ us understand this better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.404$ What about the ODI O2 nedir time

 $00:38:47.404 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.379$ auction saturation less than 88%?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.176$ How does this factor into OSA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.276$ And then can we combine this with clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:55.276 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.202$ symptoms and atrophy to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:38:57.202 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.000$ what OSA is better so that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:39:00.000 --> 00:39:02.180 personalize therapy for these patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:39:02.180 --> 00:39:04.105 There's a very large knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:04.105 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.645$ gap in Sleep Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.415$ But we have to figure out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:08.415 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.480$ to move forward using ML.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00{:}39{:}10.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.400$ And other opportunities with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.320$ proper definition of OSA treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:39:14.320 --> 00:39:15.660 As I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.496$ Pop success is the 70%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:39:17.496 --> 00:39:19.480 compliance is very archaic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.560$ We have to kind of reevaluate that

 $00:39:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.552$ morbidity and mortality outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:23.552 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.040$ I think there was a jerk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:25.088 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.028$ you earlier this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:39:26.030 --> 00:39:27.440 That said, maybe you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:39:27.440 --> 00:39:28.945 treatment for OSA won't help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:39:28.945 --> 00:39:29.848 our cardiovascular patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:29.848 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.400$ when we know in our hearts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:31.400 \dashrightarrow 00:39:33.518$ It's definitely can help some patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.529$ And how do we combine this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:35.529 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.710$ subjective measures as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

00:39:36.710 --> 00:39:38.098 And then the personalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:38.098 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.833$ medicine that we talked about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00{:}39{:}39.840 --> 00{:}39{:}40.824$ the different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:40.824 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.284$ Algorithms based on phenotype cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.8047944125

 $00:39:43.284 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.009$ analysis and targeting clinical outcomes.

00:39:49.460 --> 00:39:52.540 And then also you know health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:39{:}52.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54.730$ disparities is always important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:39:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.515$ How do we address all the races?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:39:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.401$ So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:39:58.401 --> 00:40:01.536 Asian Americans and African Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.040$ they are known to develop OSA at

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.913$ earlier ages and at lower BMI's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:07.913 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.272$ but they're frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:09.272 --> 00:40:11.537 being missed by their GP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.268$ So how do we better address these groups?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:13.270 --> 00:40:14.986 And of course, women post menopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:14.986 --> 00:40:16.630 women who can develop sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.406$ at the same rate at a higher age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.769$ These again are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:19.769 --> 00:40:22.030 Frequently going missed, and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.130$ how do we improve access to care?

00:40:24.130 --> 00:40:26.824 So one of our long term goals, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00{:}40{:}26.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.110$ ML and OSA study is to develop a website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:29.110 --> 00:40:31.790 This way we can post this algorithm so

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:31.790 --> 00:40:34.061 you know clinicians and oncologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:34.061 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.596$ in rural communities can adequately

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:36.596 --> 00:40:39.330 define R OSA like sleep studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:39.330 --> 00:40:40.670 Excuse me, sleep physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.680$ there's a dearth of us like

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:42.743 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.179$ we need more clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.340$ but that's pretty much impossible

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.268$ in the near future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.168$ So how do we improve access to care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:49.168 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.210$ help our colleagues that are defined OSA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:40:52.210 --> 00:40:54.680 And then finally, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.660$ we have to validate consumer technology,

00:40:56.660 --> 00:40:56.854 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:40:56.854 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.876$ So we had to find a way to meet in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00{:}40{:}58.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.680$ the middle to engage our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:41:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.130$ And also we have to recognize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00{:}41{:}03.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.164$ OSA does not occur in isolation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

00:41:05.170 --> 00:41:07.468 So we have to consider insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:41:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.837$ Their CBT apps like how do we work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.913766945454546

 $00:41:09.837 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.139$ that to improve sleep apnea treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.014$ So AI is a comment. It can be applied

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:20.014 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.158$ throughout the essay journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.204$ It can start with cleaning by screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.352$ How do we improve screening based

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

00:41:25.352 --> 00:41:26.780 on patient related features?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

00:41:26.780 --> 00:41:28.747 How do we improve our diagnostic testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.136$ Should we create an algorithm and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

00:41:30.136 --> 00:41:31.749 have it available to our colleagues?

 $00:41:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.158$ How do we redefine the definition of OSA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

00:41:35.160 --> 00:41:37.115 How do we improve the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

00:41:37.115 --> 00:41:38.288 like tailoring therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.114$ Who would best be suited for

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

00:41:40.114 --> 00:41:41.330 the oral mandibular device?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.610$ You know now there's an L

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.750$ for mandibular movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.308$ At night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:45.308 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.261$ so this way these patients may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:47.261 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.432$ best fitted for an oral appliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:49.432 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.262$ rather than going through straight

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:41:51.328 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.100$ to see PAP and also for follow up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00{:}41{:}53.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.816$ can we predict those who will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00{:}41{:}55.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58.679$ adhere to CPAP initially if we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

00:41:58.679 --> 00:42:00.518 a patient in the middle sleeping

 $00:42:00.518 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.060$ in the middle of Central Park,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:42:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.901$ are they less likely to be accurate

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:42:03.901 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.776$ with PAT because they have chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:42:05.776 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.896$ allergies and then finally with

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:42:07.896 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.116$ the longitudinal of follow up?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

00:42:10.120 --> 00:42:13.192 Are there ways that we can predict risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:42:13.192 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.328$ of hospitalizations in our OSA patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73121339

 $00:42:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.956$ Can we create an electric system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:42:19.080 --> 00:42:20.488 And as mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:42:20.488 --> 00:42:22.958 you know it's important to note that

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:42:22.958 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.023$ there there should be a way where

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:42:25.023 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.180$ this ML should not replace RTGS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:42:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.008$ And could this mill help us better

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:42:31.008 --> 00:42:34.046 target what RCTs are needed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:42:34.046 --> 00:42:37.660 future for Sleep Medicine? It's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:42:37.660 --> 00:42:40.306 But you know, we shouldn't overshoot

 $00:42:40.306 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.608$ our expectations and remember the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00{:}42{:}42.608 \to 00{:}42{:}45.011$ challenges of machine learning, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:42:45.011 --> 00:42:46.073 Realistically speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:42:46.073 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.259$ can we have a data scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:42:49.259 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.420$ in every institution?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:42:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.940$ Or maybe even better yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:42:52.940 --> 00:42:57.268 on every medical team, maybe in the ICU?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:42:57.270 --> 00:42:59.615 Second thing is you know the academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:42:59.615 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.947$ partnership with industry, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:43:00.947 --> 00:43:03.563 How do we you know industry is way

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:03.563 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.816$ ahead of us in terms of data analytics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:05.816 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.480$ Is there a simple way to do identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:08.547 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.544$ and hash the data so that we can start

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:11.544 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.268$ collaborating with external companies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:43:14.270 --> 00:43:16.398 Third, democratization of data

 $00:43:16.398 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.526$ from all healthcare ecosystems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.726$ like we have the consumer technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.186$ How do we get access to that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.350$ We have the HR data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.382$ How do we get access that

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:24.382 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.499$ we have all the app data?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.187$ How do we get access to that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

00:43:27.190 --> 00:43:28.770 And then finally, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00{:}43{:}28.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.880$ designing prospective studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.730$ How do we improve sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00{:}43{:}31.730 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}43{:}34.580$ specificity and accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.916864511052632

 $00:43:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.479$ with leveraging mill?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851549034

 $00:43:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.110$ So sorry you know here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851549034

 $00:43:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.387$ I just want to close this out with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851549034

 $00:43:44.387 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.139$ artificial intelligence Sleep Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851549034

 $00:43:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.678$ So GSM has noticed that you

 $00:43:48.678 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.560$ know we have to address AI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851549034

00:43:51.560 --> 00:43:53.569 but it makes a point to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.851549034

 $00:43:53.569 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.535$ that the goal of AI integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.851549034

 $00:43:55.535 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.623$ should be to augment not replace

NOTE Confidence: 0.851549034

 $00{:}43{:}57.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59.557$ expert evaluation of sleep data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00:44:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.120$ So it's OK to be different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

00:44:06.120 --> 00:44:08.436 Machine learning will not replace EBM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00:44:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.160$ We should use it in conjunction with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00:44:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.540$ There are multiple data

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00:44:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.265$ sources for Mill and OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

00:44:14.270 --> 00:44:17.720 Quality data will require integration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00{:}44{:}17.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20.380$ transformation and clinical interpretation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00{:}44{:}20.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.090$ create a compelling value prop for AI and ML.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

00:44:24.090 --> 00:44:25.107 And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00:44:25.107 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.050$ you know an animal can't solve every problem.

 $00:44:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.804$ But we can start in a field such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00{:}44{:}30.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.468$ OSA that has sufficient problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00:44:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.948$ To begin with and for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00:44:35.948 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.110$ to tackle one by one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

00:44:38.110 --> 00:44:39.102 And finally, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

00:44:39.102 --> 00:44:41.054 I hope we can empower our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

 $00:44:41.054 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.666$ with this more personalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.934971465

00:44:42.666 --> 00:44:44.278 approach through continuous care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87725463875

00:44:46.410 --> 00:44:48.586 And I like to close off with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87725463875

00:44:48.590 --> 00:44:50.305 I know we're all excited for ML

NOTE Confidence: 0.87725463875

 $00{:}44{:}50.305 \to 00{:}44{:}52.049$ and ready to take it by storm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87725463875

 $00:44:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.409$ so please do read this primer article.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87725463875

 $00:44:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.187$ It's by JAMA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87725463875

 $00:44:55.187 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.000$ It's how to read articles that use

NOTE Confidence: 0.87725463875

00:44:57.057 --> 00:44:58.803 machine learning so we can identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.87725463875

 $00:44:58.803 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.938$ that this would be good and this

 $00:45:00.938 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.453$ is considered bad garbage data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860433001

 $00:45:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.770$ And I I definitely want

NOTE Confidence: 0.860433001

 $00:45:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.970$ to thank my dream team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860433001

 $00:45:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.210$ It's these are wonderful software

NOTE Confidence: 0.860433001

 $00:45:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.450$ engineers and physicians that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.860433001

 $00:45:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.257$ well versed in data analytics and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.860433001

00:45:16.257 --> 00:45:18.190 really guided me through this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930623201428571

 $00{:}45{:}20.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.257$ So thank you all for your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930623201428571

00:45:22.260 --> 00:45:24.430 I know we have a hard stop at 3:00 PM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930623201428571

 $00{:}45{:}24.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.730$ I have my contact information

NOTE Confidence: 0.930623201428571

 $00:45:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.314$ there on the screen tube you'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.930623201428571

 $00:45:27.314 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.917$ like to email me and in private.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8857627

 $00{:}45{:}40.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.840$ Thank you so much Doctor Tan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:45:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.132$ that was wonderful really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:45:43.132 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.064$ A great, great overview of machine

 $00:45:45.064 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.400$ learning and how it applies to sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:45:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.560$ And I agree with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:45:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.096$ I think that sleep is really an excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

00:45:51.100 --> 00:45:52.384 You know it's the perfect field

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:45:52.384 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.041$ 'cause we have so much data and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:45:54.041 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.610$ is so much that we have to learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:45:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.157$ I'm going to moderate the chat so I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

00:45:58.157 --> 00:46:01.002 to see first if there's any questions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00{:}46{:}01.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.846$ the chat already that I will ask you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.728$ I have a thank you already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00{:}46{:}05.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.618$ If people want to unmute, that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:07.618 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.034$ certainly fine to ask your own question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

00:46:11.040 --> 00:46:12.660 I mean, I think you brought up a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.207$ of the great questions actually in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

00:46:14.207 --> 00:46:16.039 one of your final summary slides is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00{:}46{:}16.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.720$ you know there's so much opportunity.

 $00:46:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.176$ But how do we get around some

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:19.176 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.800$ of these issues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

00:46:19.800 --> 00:46:21.520 You know the privacy issues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

00:46:21.520 --> 00:46:22.688 How did different institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:22.688 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.756$ like ours don't talk to each other

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:24.756 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.358$ and the platforms that the PAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:26.358 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.019$ devices use don't talk to each other

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:28.019 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.632$ nor do they talk to us easily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

00:46:29.632 --> 00:46:30.808 What's your thought?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00{:}46{:}30.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.768$ Should we be collaborating and

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:32.768 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.667$ doing this together as a team?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00{:}46{:}34.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.010$ Should each institution try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00{:}46{:}36.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.350$ do it its own way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827946781666667

 $00:46:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.988$ what how should we move forward?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

00:46:39.790 --> 00:46:40.740 Oh, that's a great question,

00:46:40.740 --> 00:46:43.064 so you know why reinvent the wheel

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00{:}46{:}43.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.399$ if you're going to start the wheel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

00:46:45.400 --> 00:46:47.246 I'll continue it with you, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:46:47.246 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.648$ So, for example, what we did is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:46:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.490$ We just leverage Redcap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:46:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.720$ so we're able to leverage all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00{:}46{:}55.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.580~\mathrm{HL}$ seven information and then deposit

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:46:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.850$ it into Redcap just by hashing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00{:}46{:}59.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03.170$ So there are ways to create a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:47:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.080$ interface to consolidate the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00{:}47{:}05.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.600$ so I'd say like the hard question

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:47:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.112$ of Epic not talking to Allscripts

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:47:10.112 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.333$ that's very difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:47:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.818$ but an easy stepping stone be

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:47:13.818 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.262$ identifying someone else with epic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00{:}47{:}16.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.613$ creating a platform of almost like a

 $00:47:18.613 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.720$ registry of all the data to deposit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00{:}47{:}20.720 \to 00{:}47{:}22.754$ And then analyze and maybe sharing

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974

 $00:47:22.754 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.250$ the cost of a data scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915857974 00:47:25.250 --> 00:47:25.610 Great

NOTE Confidence: 0.853408683333333

 $00{:}47{:}25.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27.798$ thank you doctor clear. I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853408683333333

 $00:47:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.208$ I think I did. Was able to unmute

NOTE Confidence: 0.853408683333333

 $00:47:29.208 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.615$ you if you want to ask your oh

NOTE Confidence: 0.920424268571429

 $00{:}47{:}30.650 --> 00{:}47{:}36.380$ OK, so let me just OK so. One

NOTE Confidence: 0.967029631428571

 $00:47:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.909$ of the things that you pointed out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967029631428571

 $00:47:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.790$ and it's certainly true is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.967029631428571

 $00{:}47{:}40.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.318$ consumer wearables are like taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.884774142

 $00:47:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.018$ the world by storm. And and there are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.884774142

 $00{:}47{:}47.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.668$ sorts of devices, the aura ring and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.978292765

 $00:47:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.940$ mentioned some of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.978292765

 $00:47:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.300$ But a lot of the devices out there

 $00:47:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.530$ we have no idea about what they do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9093929625

 $00:47:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.274$ whether they are accurate and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9093929625

 $00{:}48{:}01.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.252$ And there are some devices that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9093929625

 $00:48:03.252 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.745$ come out that are and more are coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.9093929625

 $00:48:05.745 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.536$ out that are going to be able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9093929625

 $00:48:07.536 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.934$ For example, on a ring be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.9093929625

 $00:48:09.934 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.210$ to measure oxygen saturation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9093929625

00:48:12.210 --> 00:48:14.120 blood pressure, temperature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887855162857143

00:48:14.130 --> 00:48:16.727 you name it and and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.887855162857143

 $00:48:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.240$ very important for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887855162857143

 $00:48:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.894$ The validation data to be made available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887855162857143

 $00:48:22.894 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.540$ Before anybody uses it

NOTE Confidence: 0.887855162857143

 $00:48:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.750$ for anything and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

00:48:26.760 --> 00:48:29.035 this is something that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:29.035 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.310$ need to pay attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.774$ Yeah, I couldn't agree with you more.

00:48:33.780 --> 00:48:35.270 Unfortunately, there is this conflict

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.482$ of interest by these companies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:37.482 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.826$ creating these consumer technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00{:}48{:}38.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.730$ They're almost like praying on

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.630$ the patients like little pariahs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

00:48:42.630 --> 00:48:44.190 Yeah yeah, they're just like OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.444$ This will generate a lot of revenue

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:46.444 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.429$ and they almost don't care how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

00:48:48.430 --> 00:48:50.600 Like if it's proper if it's appropriate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.810$ because then if they did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.506$ they'll need FDA approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:53.506 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.050$ which creates many obstacles more time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00{:}48{:}56.050 --> 00{:}48{:}56.680$ more money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.200$ So I'm wondering if there's a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:48:59.266 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.594$ we can almost meet in the middle and.

 $00:49:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.748$ They want to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00{:}49{:}02.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.265$ Google Nest Hub did with the ASM because

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

00:49:05.265 --> 00:49:07.471 Google could have easily said, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:07.471 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.326$ you're having this sleep disturbance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

00:49:09.330 --> 00:49:11.718 Try drinking chamomile tea at night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.208$ but instead what it did is

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

00:49:13.208 --> 00:49:14.200 it tried to partner?

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00{:}49{:}14.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.808$ You know there's a valid attempt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00{:}49{:}15.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.966$ It tried to partner with the ASM

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:17.966 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.765$ to say to deliver like proper sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00{:}49{:}20.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.940$ hygiene based on external factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.895$ So something as consistent something

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

00:49:24.895 --> 00:49:26.850 as simple as consistent sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:26.913 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.833$ times which we all know will

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:28.833 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.530$ help improve insomnia and sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00{:}49{:}30.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.988$ So that's something that I think

 $00:49:31.988 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.270$ that's a good stepping stone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.270$ But I couldn't agree with you more that

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.284$ there's no way that we can control

NOTE Confidence: 0.971202662

 $00:49:37.284 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.739$ this wrath of consumer technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90678364

 $00:49:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.183$ Great thank you. Other

NOTE Confidence: 0.90678364

 $00:49:44.183 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.667$ questions from the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913585218461538

 $00:49:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.080$ If you'd like me to unmute

NOTE Confidence: 0.913585218461538

 $00:49:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.789$ just if you send me a message,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913585218461538

 $00{:}49{:}48.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.527$ I can do that for you or otherwise if

NOTE Confidence: 0.913585218461538

 $00:49:50.527 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.227$ you type your question in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913585218461538

 $00:49:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.340$ I'd be happy to ask it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75323856

 $00:50:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.530$ The question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835512658333333

 $00:50:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.038$ Our team is unusually quiet today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835512658333333

 $00{:}50{:}16.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.980$ I think you answered probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.835512658333333

 $00:50:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.468$ everyone's questions.

 $00:50:19.468 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.688$ I think it was terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948420316666667

 $00{:}50{:}23.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.441$ I'm just looking to see that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.948420316666667

 $00:50:25.441 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.247$ was a question about how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.948420316666667

 $00:50:27.247 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.682$ is different from discriminant

NOTE Confidence: 0.948420316666667

00:50:28.682 --> 00:50:30.550 analysis or factor analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948420316666667

 $00:50:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.994$ How does machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.948420316666667

00:50:31.994 --> 00:50:33.799 different from that differ from

NOTE Confidence: 0.948420316666667

 $00:50:33.799 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.510$ that that type of analysis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.948420316666667

 $00{:}50{:}35.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36.590$ Any plots there

NOTE Confidence: 0.838033048333333

00:50:36.740 --> 00:50:39.330 now? Sorry, I don't quite know. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856652173846154

 $00{:}50{:}40.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41.796$ OK, you did specifically say that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.856652173846154

00:50:41.796 --> 00:50:43.219 the beginning you give a disclaimer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856652173846154

 $00:50:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.090$ so that seems like a tough one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856652173846154

00:50:46.090 --> 00:50:47.965 So what's your next step

NOTE Confidence: 0.856652173846154

00:50:47.965 --> 00:50:49.815 in your in your project?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856652173846154

 $00:50:49.815 \dashrightarrow 00:50:52.005$ So I think that's really interesting

 $00:50:52.005 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.794$ that that radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.856652173846154

 $00{:}50{:}53.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.440$ you know is a potential risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833814761666667

00:50:58.660 --> 00:51:00.826 So you're obviously gonna publish that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833814761666667

 $00:51:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.314$ Where are you going to go from

NOTE Confidence: 0.833814761666667

00:51:02.314 --> 00:51:03.730 here with that? Would that work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00{:}51{:}04.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.770$ Thank you, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.560$ So I do want to establish a site so you

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:08.633 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.209$ know right now we use a stopping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.695$ but there are times in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:12.695 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.883$ the stopping is imperfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

00:51:13.890 --> 00:51:15.146 It's a simple measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00{:}51{:}15.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.158$ but I I think the best step is to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00{:}51{:}18.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.664$ like designate a website and then have

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:20.664 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.855$ this algorithm available for kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:22.855 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.967$ like a scoring tool that clinicians

 $00:51:25.032 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.688$ can use an input for their cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00{:}51{:}27.690 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}51{:}29.610$ And I've spoken to a lot of oncologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.383$ and just being at Sloan Kettering

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:31.383 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.243$ and they find that sleep disturbances

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:33.298 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.098$ are highly prevalent in their group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.572$ And now they're coming to realize that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:37.572 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.817$ in somnia isn't just related to their disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667 00:51:39.820 --> 00:51:40.738 It could be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00{:}51{:}40.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42.268$ but there are other disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:42.268 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.461$ that they can consider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:43.461 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.848$ but they just don't have that access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.908$ So something as basic as sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:47.908 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.559$ that they can think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00:51:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.948$ especially if their patient had radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

00:51:51.950 --> 00:51:54.086 to the head and neck or other patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667 00:51:54.090 --> 00:51:54.616 was obese.

00:51:54.616 --> 00:51:56.457 And but they're just not quite sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.913927206666667

 $00{:}51{:}56.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.327$ they can just easily use this tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00:51:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.540$ Terrific, I think that's wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

00:52:00.540 --> 00:52:03.260 Thank you. Alright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00:52:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.502$ So if we don't have other

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00{:}52{:}05.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.530$ questions from our group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00:52:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.231$ I'm just gonna thank you for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00{:}52{:}08.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.960$ really terrific presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00{:}52{:}08.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.534$ It was really wonderful and I'm sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00:52:11.534 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.769$ you'll get some other questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00:52:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.022$ As with your email,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00:52:14.022 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.274$ probably some private questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00{:}52{:}15.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17.338$ about how to set up some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00:52:17.338 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.753$ interfaces and how to actually do

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

00:52:18.753 --> 00:52:20.704 things on a on a nuts and bolts basis,

 $00{:}52{:}20.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.176$ but thank you so much for your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88027574

 $00:52:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.050$ Really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9420121875

 $00{:}52{:}24.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.288$ Take care. By e by e.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841530492

 $00:52:27.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.980$ Bye bye everybody. Thank you.