WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:01:12" NOTE recognizability:0.840

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8781881

 $00:00:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.679$ So good afternoon everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8781881

 $00{:}00{:}10.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.194$ So welcome to sleep seminar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}00{:}13.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.472$ So it's my first. I'd like to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:00:15.472 --> 00:00:18.182 with a couple of reminders that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:18.182 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.137$ these seminar sessions are available

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}00{:}20.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.885$ for CME credit and that in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}00{:}22.885 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.032$ receive credit you do need to text

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}00{:}25.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.027$ the unique ID to the Yale cloud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.900$ See any account that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.210$ will show up in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.340$ If you do have questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:00:32.340 --> 00:00:33.429 During the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:33.429 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.250$ please use the chat feature so that way

 $00:00:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.500$ we can get to those questions at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}00{:}38.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.714$ Otherwise at the end we can ask you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:00:40.714 --> 00:00:42.786 unmute yourselves if you prefer to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:00:42.786 --> 00:00:44.898 your own questions and then finally do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:00:44.900 --> 00:00:46.464 please keep your microphone

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:46.464 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.028$ muted throughout the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.726$ So now it is my great pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:50.726 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.599$ introduce today's speaker Dr Siren,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.532$ Pastor Athy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:00:53.532 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.998 Dr.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}00{:}53.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.862$ Parthasarathy is professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:00:55.862 --> 00:00:58.069 medicine at University of Arizona,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:00:58.070 --> 00:01:00.170 chief of the Division of Pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:00.170 --> 00:01:02.510 Allergy Critical Care and Sleep Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:02.510 --> 00:01:04.325 Director of the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}01{:}04.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.777$ Arizona Health Sciences Center

 $00:01:05.777 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.847$ for Sleep and Circadian Sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}01{:}07.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.980$ Medical director for the Center of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.400$ Sleep Disorders Banner University

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:11.456 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.554$ Medical Center in Tucson and program

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:13.554 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.086$ director for the Sleep Fellowship program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.016$ He received his medical degree from

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:18.016 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.782$ Madras Medical College in India

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}01{:}19.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.470$ and following an esthesia training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:21.470 --> 00:01:23.374 Did his postdoctoral training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:23.374 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.754$ including a pulmonary critical care

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:25.754 --> 00:01:27.588 fellowship in Chicago at Loyola.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}01{:}27.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.515$ He was director of the Sleep Disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}01{:}29.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.392$ Center at Loyola before moving to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}01{:}31.392 \to 00{:}01{:}33.302$ University of Arizona, where he spent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:33.302 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.518$ Much of his career.

 $00:01:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.500$ He has received numerous awards

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}01{:}36.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.480$ and served on multiple editorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:38.545 --> 00:01:40.650 boards including the Blue Journal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:40.650 --> 00:01:42.186 the Journal of Clinical Seat Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615 00:01:42.190 --> 00:01:42.816 and Sleep. NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:42.816 --> 00:01:45.007 His work is really far ranging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:45.007 --> 00:01:47.686 his CV includes over 100 come somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:47.686 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.801$ diverse and peer reviewed publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}01{:}49.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.356$ with many additional book chapters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.404$ abstracts and invited presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:01:54.404 --> 00:01:57.935 He has been a strong force in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:01:57.935 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.227$ education in both ATS and ASM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}02{:}00.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02.210$ His current research interests include

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:02:02.210 --> 00:02:04.620 sleep intervention during acute lung injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:02:04.620 --> 00:02:06.360 positive airway pressure therapy for sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:02:06.360 --> 00:02:07.096 disordered breathing,

 $00:02:07.096 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.200$ and heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:02:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.915$ Adherence to CPAP therapy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:02:09.915 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.944$ patients with sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:02:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.201$ disordered breathing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:02:12.201 --> 00:02:13.869 sleep deprivation in urine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:02:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.495$ Models we are so pleased to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:02:16.495 --> 00:02:18.563 doctor Pothys Rocky joined us

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00{:}02{:}18.563 \rightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.728$ today to discuss CPAP adherence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:02:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.520$ treatment, treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

 $00:02:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.890$ adherence in patients with sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615

00:02:23.890 --> 00:02:24.991 welcome doctor practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912842125384615 00:02:24.991 --> 00:02:25.358 wealthy. NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:02:26.310 --> 00:02:27.942 Thank you, thanks Janet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:27.942 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.752$ Thanks for the kind of invitation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:30.752 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.344$ thanks to all I see a lot of familiar

 $00:02:33.421 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.061$ faces and hello to everyone at Yale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}02{:}36.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.420$ it's an honor to be amongst you and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.410$ I will be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.202$ see Pepper Durance today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.715$ I understand you have a hard stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}02{:}43.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.160$ So uh, I will try to stay within

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.900$ my time limit so that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.410$ time for some questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.340$ So I do have a disclosure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.707$ I am a consultant for Jazz Pharmaceuticals

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:02:58.707 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.437$ and I believe this is information for

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:02.437 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.770$ your folks who are interested in CME.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:03:06.770 --> 00:03:09.745 Uhm, I you know these are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:09.745 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.410$ of my funding sources, UM?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.530$ I wanted to start off with

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.090$ this philosophical slide.

00:03:17.090 --> 00:03:20.086 Uhm, uhm, and this is Everett Koop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.729$ who as you know the surgeon General

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:22.729 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.190$ who wrote his article and smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.112$ which was revealing as to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:29.112 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.849$ smoking can actually cause harm so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:03:31.850 --> 00:03:34.146 Uh, my mentor actually worked with him

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:34.146 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.460$ on that particular project of the report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.588$ The Surgeon General's report on how smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:03:38.588 --> 00:03:40.708 can be harmful because prior to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333 00:03:40.710 --> 00:03:41.572 it wasn't. NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:41.572 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.633$ So he's as a high stature in

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}03{:}44.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.471$ medicine and public health in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}03{:}47.471 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.060$ United States and he famously said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333 00:03:50.060 --> 00:03:50.880 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:03:50.880 --> 00:03:53.052 drugs don't work in patients who

 $00:03:53.052 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.138$ don't take them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}03{:}54.140 --> 00{:}03{:}55.700$ So I guess you can say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.990$ supplant that with C PAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:03:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.930$ And so that's essentially my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.354$ One and only slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:03.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.923$ so I will just finish the talk very quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}04{:}06.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.080$ so that I can entertain some questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:04:10.080 --> 00:04:11.536 Now it's just kidding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:04:11.536 --> 00:04:14.120 so I think it's very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:04:14.120 --> 00:04:18.620 to recognize that CPAP adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.945$ not only informs how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:04:24.945 --> 00:04:27.590 help patients symptomatology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.360$ prevent downstream consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333 00:04:29.360 --> 00:04:32.070 but also. NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:04:32.070 --> 00:04:34.932 CPAP here and seems to be playing a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}04{:}34.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.136$ role in how we creating scientific evidence,

 $00:04:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.618$ so that's kind of unique to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:40.618 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.170$ particular area of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.570$ It's just not downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.770$ implementation signs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.954$ but it seems to also be affecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:47.954 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.620$ the scientific body of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.630$ that we are developing that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:51.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.491$ actually show that treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}04{:}53.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.366$ sleep apnea can improve outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.855$ So the objectives for a talk today

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:04:57.855 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.524$ are two sort of from a health

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:00.524 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.898$ services research standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.876$ Talk about some of the health care policies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.008$ UM, in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:05:05.008 --> 00:05:07.240 which I can tie into see Pepper,

 $00:05:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.850$ durin's talk about the determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.894$ of adherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.550$ Do a technology assessment and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.301$ we should be very familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:14.301 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.394$ technology and how that plays a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:05:16.400 --> 00:05:18.716 And again, talk about healthcare delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.870$ how the implementation and delivery

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00{:}05{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.041$ of healthcare can actually affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:05:23.041 --> 00:05:25.226 outcomes which are relevant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:25.226 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.464$ see Pepper Durance and downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:27.464 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.044$ consequences as well as talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:30.044 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.410$ little bit about future research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.662$ So you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:33.662 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.540$ What is the state of affairs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81186008133333300:05:35.540 --> 00:05:36.112 I mean, NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:36.112 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.114$ it's cheaper and it's really an issue,

 $00:05:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.347$ so this slide sort of gives you

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:41.347 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.730$ a geographic representation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.394$ This is essentially Geo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:44.394 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.246$ Linked data of over 170,000 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:47.246 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.123$ were part of a vendors database where

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:51.123 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.627$ you know the the C PAP Adrian stated

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:53.627 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.005$ goes and then we look at that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

00:05:56.010 --> 00:05:57.545 The red dots are people

NOTE Confidence: 0.811860081333333

 $00:05:57.545 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.080$ are non adhering by CMS

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:05:59.148 \dashrightarrow 00:06:02.082$ criteria and the blue dots are those who are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:06:02.090 --> 00:06:04.250 Adherent by Seamus Katrina, and as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.214$ this seems pretty resigned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:06:05.214 --> 00:06:06.660 A little bar or lower bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:06.715 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.810$ than what we would want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:06:07.810 --> 00:06:08.802 Which is, you know,

 $00:06:08.802 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.576$ greater than four hours of use and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}06{:}10.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.207$ five nights a week rather than all

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:06:12.207 --> 00:06:13.916 nights a week or greater number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:13.916 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.910$ duration of views on a nightly basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:06:15.910 --> 00:06:17.910 And in this particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.262$ we found that 53% of people in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:20.262 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.144$ real world by informatics study

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:22.144 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.586$ were non adherence to CPAP therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.495$ There are other data in this area

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.135$ to actually which are looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}06{:}29.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.440$ at a large big data research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.730$ It showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.740$ Adherence is probably closer up to 70%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.796$ One of the concerns we have with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:38.796 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.876$ methodology is is that it removed

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}06{:}40.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.900$ patients from the denominator.

 $00:06:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.780$ If they had zero adherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.638$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:06:46.638 --> 00:06:48.640 if they see PAP machine registered zero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.460$ they did not count them in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.575$ denominator and therefore they

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:51.575 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.273$ didn't get counted in the denominator

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:53.273 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.122$ nor the numerator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}06{:}54.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.885$ and that may artificially increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}06{:}55.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.210$ the proportion of people or ignorant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.867$ I still think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:06:58.867 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.400$ and I've been asked a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:07:00.453 --> 00:07:01.877 questions about this number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}07{:}01.880 --> 00{:}07{:}03.368$ but I still think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:03.368 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.090$ As long as you are methodologically sound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.407$ if you take all comers and then

00:07:08.407 --> 00:07:10.489 from an intention to treat aspect

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}07{:}10.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.062$ we have patients in clinic who

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:07:12.062 --> 00:07:13.420 have never used their CPAP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.464$ where they register zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:14.464 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.030$ So those people were given a

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:07:16.087 --> 00:07:17.587 machine and they didn't use it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.711$ so they are non adherence regard and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:07:19.711 --> 00:07:21.678 not you can't just take the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:21.678 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.246$ who use it for a few minutes for them

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:24.246 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.268$ to be counted in the denominator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:26.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.506$ And so when you look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:07:27.510 --> 00:07:29.274 you know a healthcare policy, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:29.274 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.162$ And there's the national

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:30.162 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.606$ carrier determination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.900$ local carrier determination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.910$ And these are the number of beneficiaries.

 $00:07:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.218$ And the problem is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:36.218 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.622$ a lot of these policies are odds

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:38.622 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.720$ with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.160$ But the majority of the courage

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.470$ of determinate at local levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:07:42.470 --> 00:07:44.248 So what is true in Arizona may

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:44.248 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.524$ not be true in your neck of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:46.524 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.708$ woods and vice versa because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:07:48.708 --> 00:07:50.040 operationalized differently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.732$ which creates geographic variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:07:51.732 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.424$ in health care delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}07{:}53.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.859$ which in of itself is a problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:07:55.859 --> 00:07:56.900 because it creates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}07{:}56.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.190$ Health disparities and as you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.278$ there is this 90 day rule or 12

 $00:08:02.278 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.138$ week rule or three month rule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:08:04.140 --> 00:08:05.710 How you wanna call it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.838$ But I guess 90 day rule is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:08.838 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.692$ common terminology and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:08:10.692 --> 00:08:13.324 and the problem with this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.808$ even though at that time when this

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:08:15.808 --> 00:08:18.016 then CD came out they expanded

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:18.016 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.106$ coverage to include home sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:20.106 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.075$ studies and remove their two hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:23.075 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.620$ rule for the diagnostic aspect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00{:}08{:}25.620 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.500$ Still stood by the fact that you cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.049$ complete diagnose someone with sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:08:29.050 --> 00:08:30.275 You do need to do this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.200$ which we agree with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263 00:08:32.200 --> 00:08:32.680 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.598$ the 90 day coverage is is that

 $00:08:34.598 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.760$ as you are now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

 $00:08:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.104$ people don't are not here in my semen

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:08:38.104 --> 00:08:39.659 standards the machine benefits or

NOTE Confidence: 0.788979722105263

00:08:39.659 --> 00:08:41.787 loss of the CPI benefits loss and

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00:08:41.849 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.397$ people start getting bills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00:08:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.780$ even if they're part of a Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00:08:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.701$ program which causes health

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00{:}08{:}47.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.809$ disparities or accentuates health

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00:08:49.809 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.260$ disparities in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00{:}08{:}52.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.934$ And of course they did say courage is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00:08:55.934 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.358$ is allowed if the centers accredited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00:08:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.904$ And the physician and or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00:09:00.904 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.176$ physician are certified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00{:}09{:}02.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.344$ and so I've been alluding to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

 $00:09:05.344 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.326$ health disparity aspect and then

 $00:09:07.326 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.204$ why it's important to sleep field

NOTE Confidence: 0.794866023333333

00:09:09.204 --> 00:09:11.188 is we've been facing a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:09:13.640 --> 00:09:16.325 Headband lately with the Phillips

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:09:16.325 --> 00:09:19.074 device recall and previously, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:19.074 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.450$ meta analysis in JAMA as well as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}09{:}21.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.877$ couple of large multicenter trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.556$ multi continent trials that were negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.737$ and we will be talking about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:09:28.740 --> 00:09:31.658 But one of the movements is, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:09:31.658 --> 00:09:33.614 in health care policy making is

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}09{:}33.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.867$ good with value based purchasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.568$ but one of the problems with

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:09:37.568 --> 00:09:38.417 value based purchasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:09:38.420 --> 00:09:39.716 So what is value based purchasing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.808$ So let's say if you're in the ICU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}09{:}42.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.245$ If you have a higher clabsi rate,

00:09:44.250 --> 00:09:45.123 you'll get dinged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:45.123 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.160$ You know if you have higher hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.785$ read re admissions of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:09:48.785 --> 00:09:50.350 with COPD and heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.190$ you get dinged and you end up in a penalty

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:53.266 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.870$ box where they deduct you know .5% or 1%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:09:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.498$ or 1.5% if you are in the lowest quartile

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}09{:}59.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.989$ of that performance characteristic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.995$ But we know there's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:03.995 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.198$ called addition next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.360$ which is the disproportionate share

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.088$ of health disparity populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}10{:}09.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.658$ So if your hospitals in New Haven is

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:11.658 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.002$ taking care of people more people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:10:14.002 --> 00:10:16.780 are in the lower social economic strata,

00:10:16.780 --> 00:10:19.048 you're going to have worse outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}10{:}19.048 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}22.117$ because there may be access to care issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.168$ There may be ability to inability to pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:10:24.168 --> 00:10:26.697 out of pocket expenses and get medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:26.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.157$ or there may be health literacy issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.146$ And what will happen is these

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:31.146 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.470$ hospitals and these providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:10:32.531 --> 00:10:34.156 will get penalized even more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}10{:}34.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.095$ which means that those providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:10:36.095 --> 00:10:38.030 may migrate to more affluent

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}10{:}38.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.996$ territory areas and zip codes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:10:40.000 --> 00:10:42.080 in which case the health disparity in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}10{:}42.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.960$ lower social economic stratum ZIP codes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.786$ Will be actually worsened and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:10:48.786 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.628$ so this was actually a very telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}10{:}51.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.131$ article that talked about how you

 $00:10:54.131 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.980$ cannot tie metrics to UM reimbursement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:10:57.980 --> 00:11:01.780 Because if you did that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.420$ you can actually worsen health disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.496$ It may be an unintended consequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:06.496 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.880$ and that actually changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222 00:11:07.880 --> 00:11:08.660 For once, NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.610$ Medicare health policy and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.430$ not using CPAP audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.160$ for example as a valley based metric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:11:15.160 --> 00:11:16.990 Uhm for Valley based purchasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:11:16.990 --> 00:11:18.490 so that's actually a good thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:11:18.490 --> 00:11:21.034 so anyone who advocates for CPAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:11:21.034 --> 00:11:23.130 durans for value based care you

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.242$ have to be careful because you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:11:25.242 --> 00:11:27.486 going down a slippery slope fast,

00:11:27.490 --> 00:11:29.314 slippery, slope of accentuating

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}11{:}29.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.594$ health disparities for the poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.944$ So we we had done a survey before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222 00:11:33.950 --> 00:11:34.838 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:11:34.838 --> 00:11:37.579 looking at some of those provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}11{:}37.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.174$ based variables which turned 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}11{:}40.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.516$ patients where we took hard outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:11:43.516 --> 00:11:45.876 of discontinuation of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222 00:11:45.880 --> 00:11:46.274 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:46.274 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.850$ we can always quibble about what is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}11{:}47.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.450$ meaningful difference in C PAP urine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.942$ Is it 30 minutes which is derived

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:51.942 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.233$ through consensus and propagated by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:11:54.233 --> 00:11:55.798 American Academy of Sleep Medicine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.200$ Or is it something bigger?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:11:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.020$ So in this particular study,

 $00:11:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.445$ we just went with discontinuation

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:12:00.445 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.245$ of the rapy because that's a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:12:02.245 --> 00:12:03.244 hard endpoint, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:12:03.244 --> 00:12:05.996 I mean, if someone stops using pet therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:12:06.000 --> 00:12:07.776 you can say, oh, that's a meaningful change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00{:}12{:}07.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09.716$ It's either using it or not using it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

00:12:09.720 --> 00:12:12.048 It's not about 20 minutes or 30 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:12:12.048 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.330$ or extra hour or so on and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:12:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.738$ and we use validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822508932222222

 $00:12:15.738 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.850$ Question naires to look at these variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019535333333333

00:12:17.910 --> 00:12:19.980 of patient education risk perception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}12{:}19.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.650$ and that also confounders as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019535333333333

 $00{:}12{:}22.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.370$ as patient satisfaction because

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}12{:}24.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.270$ that ties into health care delivery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:12:26.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.678$ And we found that centers that were

 $00:12:28.678 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.918$ accredited or physicians that were certified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:12:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.167$ If both of them are the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:12:33.170 --> 00:12:36.096 there was actually a lower proportion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:12:36.096 --> 00:12:38.767 people had stopped using CPAP like 5%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:12:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.270$ If one of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:12:41.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.826$ Where certified or accredited it's 7%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:12:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.089$ but if neither of them were certified in

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:12:47.089 --> 00:12:49.704 ways of accreditation or certification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019535333333333

00:12:49.710 --> 00:12:51.878 you can see that the proportion of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:12:51.878 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.968$ who stopped using it is to actually 21%.

 $00{:}12{:}53.968 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}12{:}55.816$ So it's almost like a dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:12:55.816 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.140$ effect that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:12:57.140 --> 00:12:59.618 If you have care delivery given by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019535333333333

00:12:59.618 --> 00:13:01.740 people or certified and accredited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:13:01.740 --> 00:13:05.555 your risk of CPAP discontinuation is lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.684$ so there's a nice dose effect

00:13:07.684 --> 00:13:09.100 between both being satisfied,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.780$ being having the least amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.788$ of discontinuation rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:13:11.790 --> 00:13:15.003 Uh, with none having the highest amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:15.003 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.618$ of discontinuation rate as a proportion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.776$ And one of the important you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:19.776 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.871$ aspects here is when we dive deeper

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}13{:}21.871 \longrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.555$ and I'll go into sort of the multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}13{:}24.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.313$ logistic regression model is is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}13{:}27.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.518$ you know the accreditation status

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019535333333333

00:13:29.518 --> 00:13:31.800 was associated with the grade or

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.135$ odds or odds ratio of over 1.86

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019535333333333

00:13:34.135 --> 00:13:35.200 of people discontinuing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:13:35.200 --> 00:13:38.197 And I just want to show you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:38.197 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.177$ step two there's nasal congestion

00:13:40.177 --> 00:13:42.439 and medication for this condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.984$ which correspondingly either worsened

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:44.984 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.528$ the discontinuation of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.970$ Are introduced the discontinuation

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:56.265 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.240$ suggesting that the nasal congestion

 $00:13:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.265$ as evidenced by odds ratio of 1.57 and .28,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:13:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.527$ factors or treatment for that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:14:00.530 --> 00:14:01.026 uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:14:01.026 --> 00:14:03.010 you know important determinant

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}14{:}03.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05.150$ of Adrian's to see PAP therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.550$ So I'm going to skip this next slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.948$ to share that you know there's this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019535333333333

00:14:11.948 --> 00:14:13.516 outcome about satisfaction with

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:13.516 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.168$ physicians satisfaction with the center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.850$ It seems like a soft non biomedical outcome,

 $00:14:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.386$ but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333 00:14:19.386 --> 00:14:19.922 Actually, NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:19.922 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.674$ value based care tomorrow is not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:14:23.674 --> 00:14:26.173 to go towards hopefully Tootsie Pop

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:14:26.173 --> 00:14:28.879 at your end because there would be a problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.320$ but patient satisfaction is going to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:14:32.320 --> 00:14:35.486 major driver in how valid is care morphs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.393$ In the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:14:36.393 --> 00:14:37.597 It's not the volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.336$ but it's the quality of care and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:39.336 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.400$ of the quality indicators you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333 00:14:41.400 --> 00:14:41.730 Besides, NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}14{:}41.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.380$ some of these other endpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.670$ that you can suggest are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}14{:}45.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.234$ ultimate client satisfaction rate.

00:14:47.240 --> 00:14:49.430 And just like if you were to go buy a car,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333 00:14:49.430 --> 00:14:50.230 you know. NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.230$ The customer satisfaction is key,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.655$ and similarly your patient satisfaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:53.655 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.035$ is going to be key and it's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:56.035 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.130$ become a major driver in all of healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:14:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.314$ That's why I wanted to point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:00.314 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.431$ out and few variables here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:02.431 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.007$ came out was that the time delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.558$ the greater the time delay that lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}15{:}07.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.180$ the satisfaction and so access to care

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.929$ is an issue and discontinuation of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.486$ So this is outcomes based satisfaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019535333333333

00:15:15.490 --> 00:15:17.156 sometimes you may do the best job

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:15:17.156 --> 00:15:18.748 and taking care of your patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.710$ but at the end result.

 $00:15:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.168$ If the outcome is not good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00{:}15{:}22.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.874$ it's an undesirable outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:23.874 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.430$ The patients are going to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:26.506 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.490$ that they are dissatisfied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

 $00:15:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.178$ So I talked about the nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.801953533333333

00:15:31.178 --> 00:15:32.522 congestion factor already

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:15:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.176$ and I think we tried to subscribe

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:15:35.176 --> 00:15:37.449 for towards this in our center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:15:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.162$ It just sort of came out of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:15:40.162 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.165$ health professions education for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:15:42.165 --> 00:15:44.427 national academies back in 2003,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:15:44.427 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.949$ which is delivering patient centered care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:15:47.950 --> 00:15:49.708 And I just put you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:15:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.527$ two domain areas such as how do you surveil

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:15:52.527 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.110$ for the surveillance for sleep apnea?

00:15:55.110 --> 00:15:57.470 And how do you promote CPAP adherence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:15:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.510$ But you need 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:15:59.510 --> 00:16:01.393 Areas where a center needs and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:01.393 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.452$ know the staff need to have core

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:03.452 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.234$ competencies and which is being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:05.293 --> 00:16:07.108 to provide patient centered care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.158$ have an interdisciplinary team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:09.158 --> 00:16:11.790 Practice evidence based medicine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:11.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.452$ focus on quality improvements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:14.452 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.504$ have a huge amount of informatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00{:}16{:}16.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.686$ And of course during the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.170$ Times were big on informatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:19.170 --> 00:16:20.774 A lot of telemedicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:20.774 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.684$ telehealth happening in from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:22.684 --> 00:16:23.788 quality improvement standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.382$ We actually see metrics of what our CPD runs.

 $00:16:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.630$ Dashboard looks like on a on

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00{:}16{:}29.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.678$ a quarterly basis period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:31.678 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.390$ For example, a variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231 00:16:33.390 --> 00:16:33.994 I mean, NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:33.994 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.108$ I'm a measure that we have for

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:36.108 --> 00:16:37.858 our center as a metric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.086$ and of course we try to practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:40.086 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.639$ as best as we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:41.640 --> 00:16:42.624 Evidence based medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:42.624 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.592$ although sometimes the evidence is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:44.592 --> 00:16:46.932 not available and we truly function

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:46.932 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.081$ doesn't transcend interdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00{:}16{:}48.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.468$ team and I'll and I'll talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:50.468 --> 00:16:51.948 what I mean by transdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:16:51.948 --> 00:16:53.868 as opposed to interdisciplinary.

 $00:16:53.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.014$ In just a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:55.014 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.586$ And but the key thing in all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:57.586 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.526$ this is patient centered care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:16:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.446$ And I know that one of the big gorillas in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:03.446 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.092$ the room is this Phillips device recall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.670$ And this is where we truly are

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.730$ practicing patient centered care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:17:11.730 --> 00:17:13.530 And I'm going to speak about that and just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:17:13.530 --> 00:17:14.284 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:17:14.284 --> 00:17:15.038 just here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:17:15.038 --> 00:17:17.300 verbally and I don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:17.387 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.020$ slide because I I know there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.630$ lot of nebulous details there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:17:21.630 --> 00:17:23.088 but we take a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.480$ such variables in their camp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.096$ you know, as the patient using C PAP.

00:17:27.100 --> 00:17:29.564 Or they have a history of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00{:}17{:}29.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32.943$ do a derived symptomatic benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:32.943 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.966$ from the device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.248$ and if they had stopped therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.150$ did they become symptomatic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.525$ How bad are there other

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:17:39.525 --> 00:17:41.737 comorbidities outside of cancer risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.432$ As well as whether they see

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:17:43.432 --> 00:17:43.996 particulate material,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.424$ whether they are using ozone cleaner or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:48.424 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.184$ and we actually do combined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.834$ Patient centered medical decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00{:}17{:}53.834 \to 00{:}17{:}56.300$ making with the patient or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:56.365 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.654$ caregiver and then we make a decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:17:58.654 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.066$ as to whether they choose with us

 $00:18:01.066 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.335$ with our advise whether they want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00{:}18{:}03.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.910$ continue to use the therapy or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.830$ Because we really don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.231$ signs and evidence to guide some

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:09.231 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.286$ of our recommendations to them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:18:11.290 --> 00:18:14.018 so I know that question is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:14.018 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.148$ come up so we do couch it in that manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:18:17.150 --> 00:18:18.878 We do say that it sort of happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:18:18.878 --> 00:18:19.790 and so caution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.406$ and there's human cancer risk is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00{:}18{:}22.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.694$ Improving and the particle

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:18:24.694 --> 00:18:26.978 a material causing problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.796$ or at least as being reported in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:28.796 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.327$ of particles that can be visualized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

00:18:30.330 --> 00:18:32.280 It's about points or eight person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.168$ and so we have a discussion and we

 $00:18:35.168 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.392$ find that 70% of our patients choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.912986640769231

 $00:18:37.392 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.857$ to continue the therapy device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:18:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.451$ And of course even in those people we try

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:18:42.451 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.018$ to get them in alternative new device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:18:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.916$ If it's more than five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:18:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.847$ But even if less than five years as

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:18:50.847 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.506$ Janet was mentioning, we have had some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:18:52.510 --> 00:18:54.764 Luck getting some device for some people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:18:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.210$ and some people buy devices out of pocket.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:18:57.210 --> 00:18:59.250 And so again, patient centered

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:18:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.290$ care in that manner combined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.076$ Medical decision making should be are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}19{:}04.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.187$ store and that is what we are practicing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.668$ So there is this topic about specialists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.272$ You know there are 47 million

 $00:19:11.272 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.340$ people with sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.426$ Can specialist really take care of them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}19{:}14.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.554$ And that's a source of conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:16.554 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.394$ as to how that can actually influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:19:19.394 --> 00:19:22.088 care in patients with sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}19{:}22.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.670$ and especially see pepper durance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:19:24.670 --> 00:19:27.197 But this is study one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:27.197 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.069$ fellows did along time ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}19{:}29.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31.560$ I'm showing that non specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.050$ were more likely to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}19{:}34.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.938$ sedative nautic agent to as yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.800$ Undiagnosed patient with obstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.966$ sleep apnea that actually was

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:40.966 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.026$ associated with increased risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:43.026 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.410$ motor vehicle accidents or near misses

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}19{:}45.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.654$ in those patients who received such

 $00:19:47.723 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.250$ non sitting sitting hypnotics where

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}19{:}50.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.175$ their LabCorp sleep was misconstrued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.511$ It's insomnia and instead of looking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:55.511 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.125$ sleep apnea, they're prescribed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:19:57.125 --> 00:19:59.492 Now this is back in 2005 things probably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:19:59.492 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.860$ I mean, are much better now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:20:01.860 --> 00:20:02.320 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.259$ this whole question about who should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:20:04.259 --> 00:20:06.639 taking care of patients with sleep apnea is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.860$ you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.690$ Relevant and dumb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.769$ and it wasn't any of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:11.769 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.224$ characteristics that made a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:13.224 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.076$ in terms of years since graduation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:20:15.076 --> 00:20:16.524 Their perception about sedative,

00:20:16.530 --> 00:20:19.500 nautics and risks associated with them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}20{:}19.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.240$ or whether it's sleep was included

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.887$ in their medical school curriculum

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:22.887 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.072$ and whether they are knowledgeable

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:25.072 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.946$ about contraindications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.740$ None of them were significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.360$ between the groups of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.560$ are either prescribed sedative

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:20:30.560 --> 00:20:31.910 or not prescribed sedative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.143$ And remember these are all people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}20{:}34.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.430$ undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.236$ We're getting this additive, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:20:37.240 --> 00:20:40.740 Hypnotic and so why is that relevant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:20:40.740 --> 00:20:43.086 Because there's this talk, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.710$ and there's this really nice paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.559$ that was published out from Australia.

00:20:46.560 --> 00:20:49.460 Buy chocolates are and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.620$ Nick Antiage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532 00:20:50.620 --> 00:20:50.999 Uhm, NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:20:50.999 --> 00:20:51.757 you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:51.757 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.410$ A finding that in a randomized control

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:54.483 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.593$ trial and primary care physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:56.593 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.420$ were taking care of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:20:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.919$ I was a specialist that they see

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:21:01.919 --> 00:21:06.180 PAP at Urance was non inferior

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:21:06.180 --> 00:21:08.822 in patients randomized to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:21:08.822 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.527$ Primary care in our end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532 00:21:11.530 --> 00:21:11.755 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:21:11.755 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.330$ trained in the area of Sleep Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:21:13.330 --> 00:21:16.618 but one of the concerns I had with

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:21:16.618 --> 00:21:19.430 this is that these RNS had about an

 $00:21:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.388$ average of 10 years of experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:21:21.388 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.125$ working in the field of sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:21:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.250$ So if you have a RN that works

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:21:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.726$ for 10 years and sleep apnea feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:21:28.730 --> 00:21:30.655 you know you can argue that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}21{:}30.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.240$ person is actually a specialist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:21:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.969$ you know is on the job training

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}21{:}33.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.002$ as opposed to someone who did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:21:36.002 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.602$ fellowship and calls themselves that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00:21:37.602 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.580$ And so when we did a meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

 $00{:}21{:}40.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.658$ Come come on, this particular issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:21:43.660 --> 00:21:48.116 We found that by doing a you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.894653532

00:21:48.116 --> 00:21:50.770 systematic review that the CPAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:21:50.770 --> 00:21:52.882 adherence was indeed better in people

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:21:52.882 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.666$ in the published literature and

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}21{:}54.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56.236$ sleep specialists were taking care

 $00:21:56.236 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.260$ of them rather than primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:21:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.074$ So I totally subscribe to a combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:01.074 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.390$ model of specialist working hand in

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.300$ hand with primary care physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.028$ and there would be test shifting

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:08.028 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.357$ and collaborative interdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:09.357 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.687$ care being provided totally for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}11.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.085$ But with the complexity that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}13.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.822$ evolving in the area of sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:14.822 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.550$ apnea such as the Philips device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}16.550 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}22{:}18.478$ recall these risks associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}18.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.846$ associated with that as well as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}20.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.936$ work being done at Yale in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}22.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.880$ looking at loop gain and arousal

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.444$ threshold and different approaches

 $00:22:26.444 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.579$ to managing patients with sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:28.579 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.954$ apnea ranging from hypoglossal nerve

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:31.954 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.898$ stimulation to medications targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.732$ the controller respiratory control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:22:38.732 --> 00:22:41.040 Are you really getting into it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}41.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.336$ Pretty complex area where there needs to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.134$ Perhaps the easier cases handled our

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:45.134 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.015$ primary care and the more complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:47.015 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.334$ cases handled by a specialist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:49.334 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.512$ so it's going to be something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}52.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.864$ more research needs to be done on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:22:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.762$ So as segue to determinants of adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}22{:}58.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.881$ here and and this is sort of the slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:02.881 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.927$ with that where you have domains

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:23:04.927 --> 00:23:07.179 of therapy based patient based and

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:07.179 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.810$ health care delivery based variables and.

 $00:23:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.880$ You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.555$ under patient there's the psychological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.066$ There's a physical and the social and

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:23:16.066 --> 00:23:18.319 actually hadn't updated my slide in awhile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:23:18.320 --> 00:23:21.266 But after Andres paper get published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.538$ I added the lower arousal threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:23.538 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.618$ as a physical factor that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}23{:}25.618 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}23{:}27.622$ contributing to see patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:27.622 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.658$ And some of these are reversible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.529$ some of them are obviously or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.399$ and some of them can be targeted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.151$ and so the areas that are highlighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:23:37.151 --> 00:23:39.340 in red become our targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:39.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.540$ If you want to really improve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.659$ see Pepper Durance.

00:23:41.659 --> 00:23:43.524 In patients with sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.618$ these are the determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:45.618 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.228$ that we should be targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.638$ And so just to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.280$ Give a refresher and all many of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:23:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.134$ know all this data by the back of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:23:57.140 --> 00:24:00.815 palm and you know auto PAP therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.116$ at least the last cocaine review which

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}24{:}03.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05.634$ is again dated suggested that it may

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:05.634 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.830$ actually help a subgroup of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:24:07.830 --> 00:24:08.620 Although bilevel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:24:08.620 --> 00:24:10.595 PAP and patient titrated CPAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:24:10.595 --> 00:24:12.420 or humidification had no effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}24{:}12.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.178$ but CBT emerged and educational support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:24:17.180 --> 00:24:19.244 behavioral support psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:19.244 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.996$ support has been shown.

00:24:22.000 --> 00:24:22.414 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:24:22.414 --> 00:24:25.287 to have a pretty high signal but

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:24:25.287 --> 00:24:27.609 there were concerns that the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:27.609 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.073$ of data there was smaller and so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}24{:}31.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.857$ confidence intervals were large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

00:24:32.860 --> 00:24:34.482 And of course it's a date, admit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:34.482 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.094$ analysis and and and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.977$ A segue into some other physician variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273 00:24:40.980 --> 00:24:41.243 again, NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:41.243 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.084$ that can affect the puppet you're insane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.322$ This is a study that we did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:45.322 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.672$ gosh back in 2005 where we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00{:}24{:}47.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.926$ out that time out of C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:49.926 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.642$ Pap's were given only four percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:51.642 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.376$ of the times. 96% of the time.

00:24:53.376 --> 00:24:54.980 So whether CPAP Bipap and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.462$ you can argue that it's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:56.462 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.019$ to be pretty topsy turvy now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:24:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.228$ so a lot has changed and at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:25:00.228 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.366$ time 30% of physicians said

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:25:01.366 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.476$ that they never prosper auto,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:25:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.125$ CPAP devices and many were

NOTE Confidence: 0.874232267727273

 $00:25:04.125 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.770$ not aware of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:05.846 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.090$ contraindications for auto CPAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.970$ Dumb and dumb. In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}25{:}10.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.968$ their knowledge base and dumb and

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:12.968 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.674$ patient physicians with who actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:14.674 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.886$ had a greater volume of patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}25{:}16.886 \rightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.038$ sleep apnea practice were more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:25:19.038 --> 00:25:20.858 to prescribe odyssey type devices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:25:20.860 --> 00:25:24.016 And so, and at that time back in 2005,

00:25:24.016 --> 00:25:26.508 half them, half the physicians did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:26.508 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.298$ request or insist on adherence monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.500$ because at that time you need to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.190$ ask for CPAP unions device to be attached

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.870$ to a machine like a modem or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.070$ Which was attached to

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.570$ the back of the machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}25{:}41.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43.978$ So if you probably I'm not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:43.978 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.909$ seeing what it looks like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:25:45.910 --> 00:25:48.702 but you can just see that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:48.702 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.662$ in this span of about 16 years the

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:51.662 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.846$ field of Sleep Medicine has rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}25{:}53.846 \to 00{:}25{:}56.287$ changed where it's universal adherence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:25:56.290 --> 00:25:59.320 monitoring and and so it's quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:25:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.409$ different as to how we manage.

 $00:26:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.266$ You know, patients with sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.825$ So this is again a cornerstone in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:08.830 --> 00:26:10.360 Self supported, reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:10.360 --> 00:26:12.740 See patterns or Smiths significantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:12.740 --> 00:26:15.120 and the C PAP usage people always

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:15.120 --> 00:26:17.168 or estimate how much they're using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}26{:}17.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.375$ And so therefore self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:19.375 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.257$ stupider insufficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.759$ and that's why we need to monitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:22.759 --> 00:26:25.954 people or 100% for their C Pap when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}26{:}25.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.974$ have resident physicians and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}26{:}27.974 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.990$ students rotate with us and sleep clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:30.990 --> 00:26:33.458 I do end up by asking them, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:33.458 --> 00:26:36.090 with what clinic did where you at before

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:36.160 --> 00:26:38.400 you're rotating in Sleep Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.678$ And I'll say, oh, you know,

00:26:39.680 --> 00:26:40.930 I wasn't in Thomaston clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.876$ or I was in the primary clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.240$ And I asked them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:45.240 --> 00:26:48.050 did you find out whether this person

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:48.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.220$ who was on a cholesterol lowering

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.136$ medication or and hypertensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:26:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.842$ or who's taking insulin for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:26:55.842 --> 00:27:00.280 diabetes and inhalers for their COPD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:27:00.280 --> 00:27:04.000 Did you monitor their adherence and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.407$ would say 99% of the time the answer is no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:27:07.410 --> 00:27:09.314 And then the question is do you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.137$ Did you withhold therapy in any one of them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429 00:27:12.140 --> 00:27:13.092 A diabetic. NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:13.092 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.424$ Is not taking insulin to withhold their

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:27:16.424 --> 00:27:19.407 insulin because they're non adherence?

00:27:19.410 --> 00:27:21.586 And again, universally, dancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:21.586 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.010$ Wow. No, we would never do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:27:25.010 --> 00:27:28.817 So when I submit to you is is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.622$ Sleep Medicine is the only field

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:27:31.622 --> 00:27:34.340 where we withdraw CPAP benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.782$ UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:34.782 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.434$ if patients are nonadherent and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:27:37.434 --> 00:27:40.880 just as you know, not just for CMS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}27{:}40.880 --> 00{:}27{:}41.280 \ \mathrm{Medicare},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.600$ Medicaid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.160$ but it also is taken up by other

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.620$ third party insurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:27:45.620 --> 00:27:48.336 So I know the American Thoracic society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:27:48.340 --> 00:27:48.826 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:48.826 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.630$ part of a some of you are part of that task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:51.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.750$ Force policy document is being written

00:27:54.750 --> 00:27:56.780 that hopefully will go and change this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}27{:}56.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.922$ but I was on a call with seems innovation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:27:58.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.762$ Center as a KOL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:00.762 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.078$ I'm talking to them about what ails

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:04.078 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.050$ the sleeper in area and come along

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.280$ with people from AHRQ and Corey and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.645$ QMP Corey were agast that

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:16.645 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.537$ such a policy existed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.030$ It's only see Ms Innovation

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:28:20.030 --> 00:28:21.930 Center and also the CAG Group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.540$ which is the advisory group

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.150$ that determines what is covered

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00{:}28{:}25.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.580$ by Medicare and Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

00:28:26.580 --> 00:28:27.651 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789540393571429

 $00:28:27.651 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.436$ all insurances follow Medicare Medicaid

 $00:28:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.860$ as to what is coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.424$ so the coverage determinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:28:32.424 --> 00:28:35.240 being made is done by device cagri,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}28{:}35.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.094$ and so they were on the call as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.054$ And they seem to know the policy, they said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:39.054 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.853$ It's not even set by the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:40.853 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.540$ of Health and Human Services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.450$ It's actually a congressional law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.898$ That was passed in 2001 that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:28:46.898 --> 00:28:48.787 not implemented for the longest time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}28{:}48.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.950$ but in the year 2016 or so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.736$ became implemented, and so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}28{:}53.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.596$ there are lots of laws that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}28{:}55.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.106$ not implemented as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.434$ but they dusted it out from 2001

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:28:59.434 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.458$ and decided to implement it.

 $00:29:01.458 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.351$ So this 90 day rule actually has

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:04.351 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.558$ its theology to this archaic

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:29:07.558 --> 00:29:09.754 lauders possible Congress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.070$ So the only way to go and change it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:12.138 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.754$ actually go to Congress and change the law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:29:14.760 --> 00:29:16.100 It's not American Medicaid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.868$ so they said the more data that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:18.868 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.950$ folks can generate that shows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}29{:}20.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.140$ puppeteer and is harming these people

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.116$ and making them not use the device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.150$ sends that data and but also lobby

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.345$ so that you can actually go and

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:31.345 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.235$ change the policy in the land.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.424$ Then there's no other chronic medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:35.424 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.018$ condition in the United States of America.

 $00:29:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.460$ Where treatment is withheld

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:29:39.460 --> 00:29:41.260 of people are non Indian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:29:41.260 --> 00:29:44.050 The only only condition is obstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:29:44.050 --> 00:29:47.246 sleep apnea and it's based on CPAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:47.246 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.754$ nonadherence and so that's why you

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:29:49.754 --> 00:29:52.698 know we're talking about it here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}29{:}52.698 \rightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.606$ so let's telemonitoring you know we

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:54.606 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.151$ just did a sea change in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}29{:}57.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.000$ we practice medicine and I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:29:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.280$ at one point in time you all were

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.437$ practicing our person telemedicine VR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:30:03.437 --> 00:30:08.004 Right now I would say about 85, fifteen 85%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:08.004 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.774$ In person and 15% Tele medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:11.774 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.909$ Although that number keeps fluctuating

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:30:13.909 --> 00:30:14.763 of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.770$ as we continue to go up on the

 $00:30:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.512$ foot surge and and this was done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}30{:}20.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.528$ Carl Stepnowski at UCSD and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:22.528 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.968$ that at that time that it was only

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:25.038 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.908$ as effective as usual care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:26.910 \dashrightarrow 00:30:28.772$ But there's a question as to whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:28.772 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.270$ there's a underpowered study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.190$ which is 45 participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}30{:}32.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.622$ and so one of the questions that keeps

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:34.622 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.748$ getting asked is where is the evidence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.139$ Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:30:37.139 --> 00:30:37.528 Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:37.528 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.640$ Why should we treat our circle sleep apnea?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.232$ You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:41.232 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.421$ it's just before I started making tacos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:30:43.421 --> 00:30:45.476 Just talking with Janet about

 $00:30:45.476 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.824$ you know we're having some basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}30{:}47.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.200$ discussions with patients when

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.920$ we're doing the Phillips recall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.279$ Like why are we treating you again?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:30:53.280 --> 00:30:54.140 Oh yeah, I know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}30{:}54.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.000$ we're treating you because

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:30:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.080$ if you stop using it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:30:56.080 --> 00:30:58.468 you're sleepy or you have comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}30{:}58.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.020$ We're having that discussion now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:31:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.012$ but when you go to somehow in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}31{:}04.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.600$ HRQ released, you know, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:31:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.994$ a document which said that why should

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:31:07.994 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.189$ we treat people with sleep apnea?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:31:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.405$ Because there's no evidence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:31:11.405 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.890$ the field of sports that well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:31:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.646$ here's data.

00:31:13.646 --> 00:31:16.670 Uhm, you know this is the HYPOCRIT trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00{:}31{:}16.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.932$ This is the Spanish multi collaborative

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:31:18.932 --> 00:31:21.202 group which actually showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:31:21.202 --> 00:31:24.040 you have reductions in 24 hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

00:31:24.127 --> 00:31:26.166 blood pressure with the mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781818216

 $00:31:26.166 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.210$ and that's solid blood pressure and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:31:28.268 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.312$ know when you compare that with blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}31{:}30.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.240$ pressure of meta analysis that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:31:32.240 --> 00:31:34.282 been done using inhabitants of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:31:34.282 --> 00:31:37.523 you know data very, very, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:31:37.523 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.949$ compareable and so patient level strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}31{:}40.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.987$ Have resulted in a drop in blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}31{:}42.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.722$ pressure of 3.9 millimeters mercury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:31:44.722 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.026$ and that's very comparable to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:31:47.026 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.367$ was seen in this multicenter trial.

 $00:31:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.034$ Yes, it is true that this is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}31{:}51.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.307$ people with resistant hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:31:52.307 --> 00:31:53.915 and obstructive sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:31:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.590$ so it's not all comers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:31:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.929$ but you can see that you know that C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:31:58.930 --> 00:32:00.800 Pap is beneficial in improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.534$ blood pressure, and as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:02.534 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.010$ with every millimeters of mercury I

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}32{:}04.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.784$ don't need to tell this group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:05.784 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.908$ you reduce their mean blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}32{:}07.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09.835$ You introduce a lifetime risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:09.835 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.990$ for cardiovascular events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:32:10.990 --> 00:32:11.743 Including you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}32{:}11.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.456$ heart attacks and strokes, so, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:13.456 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.927$ this these are real numbers and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:15.927 \dashrightarrow 00:32:18.690$ compara bulto and hypertensive medications.

 $00:32:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.748$ And so when we hear about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.030$ you know where's the data?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.848$ You know at least we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:23.848 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.757$ data in hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.850$ Now Pastor air pressure therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:32:26.850 --> 00:32:29.698 You know in the form of anonymous passers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.170$ therapy or bilevel PAP therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.648$ In the home there's strong data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.100$ again, not from the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:32:36.100 --> 00:32:39.950 from Europe and the UK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}32{:}39.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42.320$ In a multicenter study by clean

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.800$ and all and Murphy and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:32:44.800 --> 00:32:47.670 showing that when you apply an IPV

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:32:47.670 --> 00:32:50.460 or an Ivy in patients with COPD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:32:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.715$ you can reduce mortality.

 $00{:}32{:}53.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56.625$ You can improve survival and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}32{:}56.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.319$ so data showing that posterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:32:59.319 --> 00:33:02.189 pressure therapy in these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:33:02.190 --> 00:33:03.890 That Pastor impression therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.590$ can make it then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714 00:33:05.590 --> 00:33:06.314 On survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:33:06.314 --> 00:33:08.848 but somehow in the US we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:08.848 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.020$ done a large multicenter trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.756$ in patients with CMPD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.992$ and so that's really a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:33:14.992 --> 00:33:17.370 as to what the strategic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.806$ Our plan is and what does the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:19.806 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.705$ strategic plan support so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:21.705 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.269$ investigators in this area?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:23.270 \dashrightarrow 00:33:26.105$ You know, Jerry Kraner and Nick L.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}33{:}26.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.245$ You know, I, you know I myself.

 $00:33:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.834$ We made a lot of attempts at doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714 00:33:30.840 --> 00:33:31.148 Gosh, NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:33:31.148 --> 00:33:32.996 twenty \$30 million grants to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:32.996 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.875$ address probably one of the top five

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:34.875 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.231$ reasons for death in the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:36.283 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.077$ States of America, which is COPD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:38.077 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.636$ And we saw can't get that trial done

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:40.636 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.204$ in EU S because why is that important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.919$ It's important because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:33:45.920 --> 00:33:46.600 Research, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.640$ that level of evidence is done

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.779$ outside of the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}33{:}49.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.068$ Don't change healthcare policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:51.068 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.034$ This is should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.304$ Making the US you need a study done

 $00:33:54.304 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.459$ in the US to change healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:56.459 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.886$ US health care policy and it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:33:58.886 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.000$ We are remiss and not doing multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:34:01.073 --> 00:34:03.690 center trials and not just COPDN IV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:34:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.180$ But not doing a large multicenter

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:34:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.570$ trial on sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714 00:34:07.570 --> 00:34:07.878 Happy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:34:07.878 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.418$ And how we can improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:34:09.418 --> 00:34:10.498 outcomes because you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:34:10.498 --> 00:34:12.677 you know this is a meta analysis showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}34{:}12.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.008$ that you know with the published literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:34:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.590$ not just those two studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:34:16.590 --> 00:34:18.322 you can reduce mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00{:}34{:}18.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.920$ The hazard ratio mortality is lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:34:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.486$ but why are we set back?

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:34:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.205$ Why can't we do this multicenter trial?

00:34:24.210 --> 00:34:24.452 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:34:24.452 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.388$ we actually have the odds piled up against.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

00:34:26.390 --> 00:34:27.059 As you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788131069285714

 $00:34:27.059 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.620$ this is one of the hits that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:28.675 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.530$ we took. You know, one of gosh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.375$ four heads that we've taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:32.375 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.220$ in the past five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:34:34.220 --> 00:34:35.858 You know, starting with the SAFE study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.680$ the Soviet chef study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:34:37.680 --> 00:34:39.955 and other JAMA Meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}34{:}39.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.280$ and now the AHRQ report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.520$ And then the Phillips recall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.410$ and so in this particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.380$ this study is, she know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.924$ did not show improvement

 $00:34:48.924 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.765$ and survival similar to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}34{:}50.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.540$ RIKHOTSO trial and other trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.993$ But when you do an analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:54.993 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.199$ secondary analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:34:56.199 --> 00:34:59.817 or even our priority stated analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:34:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.612$ where we look at the only people

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}35{:}01.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.176$ who are adherents and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:03.176 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.646$ see that you can actually help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.766$ Show that there is a need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.450$ a signal and the signal is

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.570$ actually stronger for strokes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.328$ and I know that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:35:10.330 --> 00:35:11.072 uh, klar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}35{:}11.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.927$ yaggi and Don Bravata have

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:12.927 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.640$ published in stroke as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.956$ showing that the signal is actually

 $00{:}35{:}16.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19.710$ stronger in as being able to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}35{:}19.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.605$ plaster pressure the rapy and sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:35:21.605 --> 00:35:24.093 apnea to make a dent there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:24.093 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.734$ reduce the risk for having a stroke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:26.734 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.975$ Our repeat stroke after Tia A but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:29.975 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.830$ but of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:35:30.830 --> 00:35:33.908 if you don't put that as a primary end point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.527$ you know all these secondary in points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.994$ It goes a wash even if you had

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:37.994 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.010$ stated that adjusted analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:35:40.010 --> 00:35:40.688 our priority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:40.688 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.383$ and of course that's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:42.383 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.810$ they put sleepiness score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}35{:}43.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44.872$ which showed significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:35:44.872 --> 00:35:46.288 improvement in these people.

 $00:35:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.170$ Unlike the study done by Kushida

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:35:49.170 --> 00:35:51.090 effective CPAP on sleepiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:35:51.090 --> 00:35:52.746 which was again a negative study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:35:52.750 --> 00:35:54.598 whereas in the same study it

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:54.598 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.196$ showed that sleepiness doesn't need

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:56.196 \dashrightarrow 00:35:58.960$ improve and but of course it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:35:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.200$ You know if you go use the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.391$ you know rubrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:36:02.391 --> 00:36:04.376 If it's not your primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:04.376 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.129$ endpoint or if it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:36:06.130 --> 00:36:07.150 If you don't hit your primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.220$ end point is a positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.684$ Every other endpoint is out the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:36:10.690 --> 00:36:11.352 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:36:11.352 --> 00:36:14.000 by evidence based medicine and by FDA rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.725$ and so this is nobody

 $00:36:15.725 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.450$ speaks about this as much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.964$ Because yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}36{:}17.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.020$ it was a native trial for criminal outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.440$ but sleepiness did improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.160$ And hospital anxiety depression scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.129$ improved as of 36 in EQ5D as quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:30.129 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.244$ of life indicators also improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.945$ But what was very concerning

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:33.945 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.615$ is that in the SAVE trial she

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:36.615 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.407$ knows multi continent study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.740$ That adherence was in about

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.351$ 2.8 hours or so for the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:44.351 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.720$ group in their treatment arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.750$ 2.8 hours. Let's go back to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.529$ know whatever it SI Group said.

 $00:36:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.090$ If you don't use drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:36:53.090 --> 00:36:54.178 I'm going to help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:36:54.178 --> 00:36:56.828 and so if you don't use a C PAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:56.828 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.408$ in intention to treat analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:36:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.970$ we're going to draw a blank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:36:59.970 --> 00:37:01.741 So C pap insurance not only is

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:37:01.741 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.229$ important for patient centered care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:37:03.230 --> 00:37:06.212 but it's also affecting our ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}37{:}06.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08.714$ to develop the scientific body

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:37:08.714 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.294$ of literature for us to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

00:37:11.300 --> 00:37:13.141 And get third party payers to pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:37:13.141 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.415$ for it or be able to give this

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:37:15.415 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.098$ device to people and so that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}37{:}17.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.754$ where they you know that's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00{:}37{:}18.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.804$ I see Papa John's assumes even

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:37:20.804 \dashrightarrow 00:37:22.760$ more important than you know we.

 $00:37:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.460$ We talked about the service

NOTE Confidence: 0.910679225

 $00:37:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.160$ of trial and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:37:26.231 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.119$ there are issues of the Soviets have trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:37:29.119 --> 00:37:32.571 which you know skip over here and to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:37:32.571 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.700$ to the meta analysis where there was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:37:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.619$ you know compare PAP therapy versus control

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:37:38.619 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.340$ or preventing cardiovascular events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:37:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.552$ Of course this came.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:37:41.552 --> 00:37:43.370 You know well before the AHRQ

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:37:43.435 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.285$ report and they essentially drew

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}37{:}45.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.380$ the conclusion that there is no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:37:47.380 --> 00:37:50.398 you know, benefit to PAP therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}37{:}50.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.712$ but in the four trials where more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:37:52.712 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.947$ four hours of adherence were achieved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:37:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.184$ there was, you know, relative risk reduction,

 $00:37:58.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.820$ 42% reduction in relative risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.176$ and so, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:38:02.176 --> 00:38:04.888 we cannot cherry pick those studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.438$ but some other problems with this meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:07.438 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.670$ analysis was a heterogeneity of intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.876$ There's a heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:38:11.876 --> 00:38:14.180 Of population, if you look at pick ATS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.990$ the event rates were low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.334$ which the authors themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:17.334 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.350$ admitted to and then there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}38{:}19.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21.460$ undue influence on one of one study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.140$ Essentially 73% of the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.990$ or 56 events were in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.932$ You know studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:28.932 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.502$ You know there were contributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:30.502 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.810$ by the same study.

00:38:31.810 --> 00:38:32.534 So, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.706$ when you do a meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:38:34.710 --> 00:38:36.390 you have to look for a new influence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.820$ and so unfortunately there was undue

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:38:38.820 --> 00:38:41.864 influence of one study in the outcome of

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:41.864 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.780$ this meta analysis and and that is an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.055$ So what is being done about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.380$ You know this is a last Cochrane review

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}38{:}50.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.092$ showing that you can do educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:53.092 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.448$ supportive behavioral interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}38{:}54.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.968$ You know all three different categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.942$ Or the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:38:56.942 --> 00:38:58.238 Could you know submit?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:38:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.362$ All of these three inside of them can

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:39:01.362 --> 00:39:03.954 indeed improve adherence in these people,

 $00:39:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.732$ and but when you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:05.732 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.914$ when you look at how many there

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:08.914 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.538$ are in clinical trials.gov that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:39:10.538 --> 00:39:12.272 focused on improving, see paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:39:12.272 --> 00:39:14.136 Durance is only 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.036$ Yes, we live in an era of medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.240$ addressing loop gain, arousal threshold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.188$ Yeah, have a glass of nerve stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:39:23.190 --> 00:39:25.227 No, I don't know uh neck collars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}39{:}25.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.726$ no sprays that are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:39:27.726 --> 00:39:29.399 be addressing sleep happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.192$ but right now when you have a bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:39:31.192 --> 00:39:32.430 patient diagnosed with sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:39:32.430 --> 00:39:34.694 your choices are three which is C pap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667 00:39:34.700 --> 00:39:36.920 you know?

 $00:39:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.960$ Hypoglossal nerve stimulation story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.000$ not in that order,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:39:39.000 --> 00:39:40.488 but dental device and I haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:40.488 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.232$ lost in isolation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.500$ So that is where we are in so right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.286$ until all of that data comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:47.286 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.796$ the forefront, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}39{:}48.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.267$ This is still something that is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:51.267 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.598$ issue that needs to be addressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.127$ and so this whole concept of adherence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.512$ you know in certain fields it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:39:58.512 \dashrightarrow 00:40:00.660$ not transposable to other fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}40{:}00.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.207$ You know this is actually a work

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:02.207 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.909$ done by Lynn Gerald in our group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.745$ Here you know she did a large

 $00:40:06.745 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.910$ trial in children in Tucson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.430$ AZ and try to see what was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.039$ relationship between asthma control on

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:13.039 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.659$ the Y axis and adherence to the X axes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.646$ and she found there's no relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:40:17.650 --> 00:40:19.274 You know, the more adherent they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

00:40:19.280 --> 00:40:21.050 Yes, well control in a questionnaire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}40{:}21.050 --> 00{:}40{:}22.334$ Symptomatology was similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667 00:40:22.334 --> 00:40:22.762 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:22.762 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.902$ that's probably because you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00{:}40{:}24.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27.068$ the more severe as thmatics are gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:27.068 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.223$ be taking more of the medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.781634751666667

 $00:40:29.223 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.477$ and vice versa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:40:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.640$ and it may even itself out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:40:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.160$ But in sleep, you know this is in a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:40:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.676$ nice paper and work done by Terry Beaver.

00:40:37.680 --> 00:40:39.984 But of course, I'm citing a review article

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:40:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.195$ showing that there is a dose effect you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:40:42.200 --> 00:40:44.856 between you know in within C PAP use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:40:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.020$ and there's a some of them flatten out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:40:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.986$ Some of them seem to be going up, and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:40:49.986 --> 00:40:52.527 And they don't show a flattening of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:40:52.527 --> 00:40:54.515 that curve, suggesting that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}40{:}54.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.705$ is indeed a continuous dose effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:40:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.610$ So more is indeed better in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:40:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.850$ the field of C PAP therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}41{:}02.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.748$ And so a pologies for that and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:05.748 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.820$ there is data showing that, UM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.146$ Cognitive behavioral therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:41:12.146 --> 00:41:13.914 has a pretty strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.560$ powerful effect as in the study,

 $00:41:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.340$ but the problem is is that you know there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:41:21.340 --> 00:41:23.460 small studies and they're not well powered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.192$ so more needs to be done along those lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:26.192 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.112$ So I'm going to flashback to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:41:28.112 --> 00:41:29.659 first slide I showed you in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:29.659 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.420$ of C pepper and some problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.400$ It's actually much higher than what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.200$ the estimated by certain studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:41:35.200 --> 00:41:37.856 and if you look at randomized control trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.900$ the proportion is actually worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.300$ In the real world studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:41:41.300 --> 00:41:41.558 actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:41.558 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.880$ if you take the acts and look at them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}41{:}43.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.470$ the audience in the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.370$ arm is actually worse than 47%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.660$ Here 53% are non Indian,

 $00:41:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.412$ 47% are different and it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:54.412 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.466$ worse in in in clinical trials if

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:41:56.466 --> 00:41:58.751 you do it as a meta analysis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:41:58.751 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.545$ that's been published by folks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}42{:}00.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.390$ EMT who want to push for why you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.620$ know people should be getting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:42:03.620 --> 00:42:06.284 you know up every surgeries or

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:06.284 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.616$ hypoglossal nerve stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}42{:}07.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.728$ But then you take the ZIP code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}42{:}10.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.613$ To which the CPAP devices are Geo

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:42:12.613 --> 00:42:14.584 link and you plug in their median

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:14.584 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.140$ income of the ZIP code you see a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}42{:}17.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.826$ health disparity and you know you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:18.826 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.838$ see that as you go up on the median

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:42:21.838 --> 00:42:23.828 income quartile from one to four.

00:42:23.830 --> 00:42:25.654 A lot of people have shown this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:42:25.660 --> 00:42:27.548 you know Jesse Baker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:27.548 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.290$ and has shown this in New Zealand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:42:31.290 --> 00:42:33.250 Pepper and company are showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.260$ that in the home PAP study UM and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.204$ and so this is not novel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:38.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.486$ What we had done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:42:39.486 --> 00:42:41.970 But it was database which was nationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}42{:}41.970 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.982$ representative and we're able to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:44.982 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.528$ see the socioeconomic data and show

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}42{:}47.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.604$ step changes in adherence across this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.044$ And this is very relevant because

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:51.044 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.993$ we live in a time where there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:52.993 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.553$ more recognition of how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:42:54.553 --> 00:42:56.169 Paris during the code pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:42:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.798$ how it disproportionately effects

 $00:42:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.656$ communities in the lower SES and therefore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:43:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.746$ You know we need to do more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:43:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.726$ and so that's the reason why we went

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:43:05.726 --> 00:43:07.493 with a patient centered approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:43:07.493 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.274$ and doing behavioral support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:43:09.274 --> 00:43:12.196 which is focused on promoting stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:43:12.200 --> 00:43:12.816 efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}43{:}12.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.896$ promoting outcome expectancies and risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:43:15.896 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.369$ perception and also activating the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:43:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.674$ Being able to actually make them

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}43{:}21.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.159$ take charge of their health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

00:43:24.160 --> 00:43:26.230 combine them with the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00{:}43{:}26.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.552$ who's naive to the PAP therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:43:29.552 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.888$ user interactive voice response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901884175

 $00:43:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.744$ Because I can tell you that

 $00:43:33.744 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.980$ the lowest paid employee

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:43:35.048 --> 00:43:37.272 in our sleep centers are always at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:43:37.272 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.526$ tip of the spear, they are incoming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:43:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.465$ All kinds of calls that are coming to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:43:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.120$ So what we have adopted

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:43:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.770$ in this is I interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:43:45.770 --> 00:43:49.340 Voice response approach that it actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:43:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.236$ distribute those calls to the respective

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:43:51.236 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.213$ person based on an algorithm through

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:43:53.213 --> 00:43:55.502 what the patient says that they want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}43{:}55.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.328$ So it'll go to resperate happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:43:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.470$ It's a question about our ASP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:43:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.350$ It'll go to a nurse if it's about nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}44{:}01.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.788$ congestion, it'll go to a support person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.062$ NYC where so and so we did this

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:06.062 --> 00:44:06.958 research differently, right?

 $00:44:06.958 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.450$ A lot of times we listen to a talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:09.450 --> 00:44:11.510 We get a spark in our brain and say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:11.510 --> 00:44:13.310 you know what I'm going to actually go study

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:13.359 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.983$ that that's not how the study was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:14.990 --> 00:44:16.921 This is peak worries methodology, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:16.921 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.076$ is essentially research done differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.550$ Where we actually said, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.278$ what is the burning issue in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:22.278 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.430$ the sleep apnea field?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.008$ Let's get all of these stakeholders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}44{:}25.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.942$ purchasers, payers principle investigators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:26.942 --> 00:44:29.357 the ASM American Sleep Apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}44{:}29.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31.607$ Association is a patient public

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:31.607 --> 00:44:32.888 advocacy organization providers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.526$ various kinds of providers.

 $00:44:34.526 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.980$ And patients and we brought them

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:37.052 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.854$ together and said, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:38.854 --> 00:44:40.576 how would you design this study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.246$ What are the outcomes that are important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.888$ How would you rank these outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:43.888 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.707$ and guess what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.950$ They ranked as number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:45.950 --> 00:44:47.545 They ranked as global patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:47.545 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.390$ satisfaction of care dollars number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.826$ And there was concordance amongst the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}44{:}51.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53.837$ various stakeholders that they agreed

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:53.837 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.112$ that this would actually be a top

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:56.112 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.508$ priority because patients are smart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:44:57.508 --> 00:44:59.623 They know when they got good care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:44:59.623 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.574$ when they didn't get good care and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:01.574 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.513$ we can come up with weird metrics.

 $00:45:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.524$ But global patient satisfaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:05.524 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.528$ Emerged as our metric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.245$ And and then we got this what

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:09.245 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.879$ we call non binding input.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:45:10.880 --> 00:45:13.040 You know if you're bidding for a home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.500$ you know you're on the hook.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:45:15.500 --> 00:45:16.760 You know if they say you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.700$ to fix that shingle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.558$ you need to fix the shingle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:45:18.560 --> 00:45:20.318 otherwise you can't sell the home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.481$ whereas that's binding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:21.481 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.190$ Whereas here this is non binding input

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}45{:}24.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.380$ so we got seems Innovation Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.178$ We got United Healthcare medical directors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.275$ you know of Aetna and everybody on

00:45:34.280 --> 00:45:37.824 as you know pairs to get their input.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:45:37.830 --> 00:45:39.202 And they could give input without having

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:39.202 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.989$ to buy the product at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.369$ they don't have to buy the product

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:42.369 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.037$ and so and again we got input from

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}45{:}44.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.550$ then and the conduct of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.720$ How we can actually do better recruitment

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.845$ as well as how we could do a better job

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:50.845 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.720$ with dissemination and implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

00:45:52.720 --> 00:45:55.488 And you know this is a study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:45:55.488 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.868$ was powered for about 260 people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}45{:}57.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00.010$ were randomized to these two arms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}46{:}00.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.913$ there was an attention control arm

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:46:01.913 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.536$ besides the PD IVR which called Pure

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:46:04.536 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.312$ driven intervention with interactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00{:}46{:}06.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08.772$ voice response as compared to.

00:46:08.772 --> 00:46:09.820 Attention control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:46:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.720$ and when we randomize them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:46:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.696$ we found that global patient satisfaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.862900151052632

 $00:46:14.696 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.689$ was better in the PDI VR arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:46:17.690 --> 00:46:19.906 You know we can have a discussion as

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:19.906 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.268$ to how significant that is or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.763$ And this is the table that goes with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:46:24.770 --> 00:46:27.850 But the C5 adherence data was actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:46:27.850 --> 00:46:28.930 you know, better. Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.188$ in terms of minutes you spend night or

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:46:31.188 --> 00:46:33.246 partial nights used greater than four hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.292$ And again, lot of you know 50 minutes mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00{:}46{:}36.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.286$ you know it's 50 minutes mean anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.688$ And so that's why we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:40.688 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.970$ at number needed to treat.

 $00:46:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.524$ In other words, what we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.396$ The outcome was how many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:45.396 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.267$ did you need to treat with a peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:46:48.267 --> 00:46:50.217 driven intervention to prevent one

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:46:50.217 --> 00:46:52.399 person from losing CPAP benefits?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.312$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:53.312 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.440$ but one person that did not meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:55.505 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.645$ the Medicare CMS 4 hour rule and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:57.645 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.470$ therefore would have lost their

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:46:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.040$ CPAP benefit as a result of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00{:}47{:}02.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.019$ So we found that at the NT was nine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:05.020 --> 00:47:06.090 So if you actually ran,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.564$ you know treated nine people with its PDI VR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:09.570 --> 00:47:11.514 You prevent one person from outright

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:11.514 --> 00:47:13.800 losing the C PAP therapy device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.912$ and so we thought that that

 $00:47:15.912 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.761$ was significant and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:17.761 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.743$ And also we looked at measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:20.743 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.989$ of care coordination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:22.990 --> 00:47:25.265 And health care services and delivery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:25.265 --> 00:47:27.898 found that it was superior in this group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.922$ so I'm going to just touch

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:29.922 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.933$ upon technology assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00{:}47{:}30.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.770$ UM, and I know I'm short of time, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.169$ Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:36.169 --> 00:47:36.967 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00{:}47{:}36.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.361$ know your device auto CPAP therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:39.361 --> 00:47:41.830 devices or perform very differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:41.830 --> 00:47:43.692 You know we have issues with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:43.692 --> 00:47:44.750 device recalls right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.358$ but their performance is also very

 $00:47:46.358 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.626$ differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.296$ Is a bench studies that we've done

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:48.296 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.690$ in the past during that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.616$ given the same set of conditions with

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:52.616 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.192$ a Starling resistor and resistor on

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:47:55.192 --> 00:47:57.682 a bench model with artificial lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.940$ and that these devices perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:47:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.630$ differently and that air leak

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:01.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.996$ is a big issue with how they

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:03.996 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.136$ function or not function and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00{:}48{:}06.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.261$ We showed that in both the bench

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:08.261 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.522$ model as well as when we did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:10.522 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.250$ human study and looking at idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:12.315 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.468$ in an Indian patients not with

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:14.468 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.488$ this level of sophistication that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:16.488 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.103$ you know Andre is injected with

00:48:19.103 --> 00:48:21.318 your arousal thresholds and such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:21.320 --> 00:48:23.441 And we found that you know air

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:23.441 --> 00:48:25.280 leak was higher in the group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.936$ There was non adhering to supposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:26.936 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.177$ to adhere and so you know having

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:29.177 --> 00:48:31.830 a good mask fit is important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:31.909 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.709$ addressing that is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:33.709 --> 00:48:36.409 and I know I'm preaching to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.078$ Group that knows this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:39.080 --> 00:48:39.524 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:39.524 --> 00:48:40.856 by the back of their hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.956$ so you know there are data out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:42.960 --> 00:48:44.510 even though it analysts were

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00{:}48{:}44.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46.060$ not supportive that he did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.990$ Humidifiers reduce our effects provided

00:48:47.990 --> 00:48:50.470 urine's as well as nasal pillows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.658$ But there are so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.466$ single studies that are out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:53.470 --> 00:48:56.094 Although they didn't make up up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:48:56.094 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.518$ a measurable level in meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:48:58.518 --> 00:49:01.350 and this is work done my route,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:49:01.350 --> 00:49:02.166 you know Buddy Roger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

00:49:02.166 --> 00:49:04.079 who who was you know who's in Harvard Nam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:49:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.663$ but you know was that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:49:06.663 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.568$ to sign in at the uofa before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870222237333333

 $00:49:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.656$ Where he showed that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:49:10.660 --> 00:49:12.988 early CPAP use predicted subsequent years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.330$ Why is that important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:49:14.330 --> 00:49:15.670 Because identifying those early

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:49:15.670 --> 00:49:17.936 CPAP non ideers that's when you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:17.936 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.872$ bring in your limited resources like

00:49:19.872 --> 00:49:21.882 appear to intervention into play or

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:21.882 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.886$ any other intervention that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:49:23.886 --> 00:49:25.935 into play so that you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:25.935 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.701$ change the trajectory of non adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:27.701 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.675$ as opposed to giving everybody that

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:29.675 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.710$ resource which is finite and limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.528$ You'd be able to see how they do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00{:}49{:}33.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35.907$ the first seven days of adherence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00{:}49{:}35.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.652$ bring that particular intervention into

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:37.652 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.868$ play and that's why that predictor of CPAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.254$ Non adherence or adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:42.254 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.638$ becomes relevant and important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00{:}49{:}44.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.986$ I'm going to skip some slides here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:49:47.990 --> 00:49:49.859 Uh. And sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:49:49.859 --> 00:49:54.220 I just wanna make sure I come,

00:49:54.220 --> 00:49:56.418 you know, give some time but end

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:56.418 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.060$ with these couple of slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.842$ What is was disappointing when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:49:59.842 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.142$ looked at ZIP code based median income

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:02.142 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.236$ quartile based non adherence is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:04.236 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.382$ when we looked at you know and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:06.382 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.220$ is about 170,000 people going back

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:50:08.220 --> 00:50:10.890 about 17 years and when we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.6639603666666667

 $00:50:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.258$ them we found that when you put them

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.039$ in quartiles the people are the lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:16.039 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.304$ income quartile which is the blue symbol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666666700:50:19.304 --> 00:50:19.830 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.396$ continue to lag behind the others

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:21.396 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.355$ and you can see that between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:23.355 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.077$ 3rd and the 4th income quartile

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:25.077 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.489$ there is a steep drop off.

 $00:50:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.430$ It's almost like a hemoglobin

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:50:28.430 --> 00:50:29.982 dissociation curve or something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.458$ and the shoulder and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:50:31.458 --> 00:50:34.075 and I think that's about the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:34.075 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.441$ that out of pocket pay and expenses

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:36.441 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.556$ to be able to buy a mask that works

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:39.556 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.466$ for them becomes a factor for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:41.466 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.810$ And people are picking and choosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:50:42.859 --> 00:50:44.155 us whether they're going to pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:50:44.155 --> 00:50:45.440 copay on their blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:50:45.440 --> 00:50:46.994 medications, heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:46.994 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.370$ medications, and diabetes medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:50:49.370 --> 00:50:52.050 Our father CPAP device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.600$ which has been withheld from them

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.938$ for nonadherence and So what is

00:50:56.938 --> 00:50:58.798 was doubly disappointing that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:50:58.798 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.592$ Affordable Care Act and Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:00.592 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.648$ expansion in the year 2014 had made

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:03.648 --> 00:51:06.049 no dense in health disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:06.049 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.484$ So this is still an area that requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:09.484 --> 00:51:12.166 study and interventions to address that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.346$ and when we you know in this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:14.346 --> 00:51:16.809 graph and you zoom in when you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:16.809 --> 00:51:18.800 these quartiles and you do a Kaplan

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.498$ Meier for when they start becoming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00{:}51{:}20.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.453$ At your end or non idea and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:22.453 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.042$ see that they curve start display

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:24.042 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.525$ it on 90 days and then they start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:26.525 --> 00:51:28.440 display even more 120 days in focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.260$ groups with RDM we find that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00{:}51{:}30.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.626$ give almost a 30 day grace period even

 $00:51:32.626 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.423$ though that there's the 90 day rule

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:34.423 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.936$ they give a call at the 90th day or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:36.936 --> 00:51:39.652 Did they get to it and then they give

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:39.652 --> 00:51:41.440 multiple calls saying hey we're gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:41.493 --> 00:51:43.227 report you to collection agency or

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:43.227 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.615$ they send them a bill in the mail

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:45.615 --> 00:51:48.010 or they you know I'm keep badgering

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.186$ them and so you can see that's when.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:51.190 --> 00:51:52.890 It actually plays even more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

 $00:51:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.612$ and so when we look at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:54.612 --> 00:51:55.860 difference in difference analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667

00:51:55.860 --> 00:51:58.163 we find that those two time points

NOTE Confidence: 0.663960366666667 00:51:58.163 --> 00:51:59.150 90 and 120

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:51:59.228 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.363$ days is where that health

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

00:52:01.363 --> 00:52:03.116 disparity becomes more apparent,

00:52:03.116 --> 00:52:06.210 and so I can't think of something

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}52{:}06.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.181$ more telling we came upon across

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:09.181 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.240$ this innocent is manner that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.375$ is ties to a man made rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.690$ so it's hard enough to use an

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.324$ external device that requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}52{:}17.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.606$ behavioral change and use it nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:19.606 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.310$ play as opposed to an implanted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.410$ Device that needs to be turned on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

00:52:23.410 --> 00:52:26.650 UM, that requires behavioral change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}52{:}26.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29.002$ Uhm, on top of that we have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:29.002 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.285$ man made rules that exist only

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}52{:}31.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33.310$ for the sleep apnea condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}52{:}33.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35.980$ So I think we need to do a lot in

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

00:52:36.062 --> 00:52:38.826 this area to actually address there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

00:52:38.826 --> 00:52:41.880 some issue which is affecting the

 $00:52:41.972 \dashrightarrow 00:52:44.170$ signs and affecting patient care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}52{:}44.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46.840$ and we repeatedly keep doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:46.919 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.038$ same studies without actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

00:52:49.038 --> 00:52:52.108 having strong robust CPAP adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}52{:}52.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.950$ and proven CPAP adherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.878$ Promotion interventions in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:56.878 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.288$ intention to treat or intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:52:59.288 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.436$ arm as long as we keep doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}53{:}01.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03.624$ we're not going to generate the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:53:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.381$ Uh, to show you know what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:53:06.381 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.410$ would like to show up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00{:}53{:}08.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.218$ so I'll stop there and I I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

00:53:11.220 --> 00:53:12.510 I'm sorry I didn't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

00:53:12.510 --> 00:53:13.542 much time for questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891821100625

 $00:53:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.310$ but I appreciate your attention,

00:53:17.310 --> 00:53:19.277 so Janet handing it back to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00{:}53{:}20.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22.402$ Thank you so much and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:22.402 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.233$ was absolutely outstanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.626$ I mean, you really need just

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:24.626 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.448$ such a case for why it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:26.448 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.858$ important to treat sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.109$ Why it really needs to be approached from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

00:53:31.109 --> 00:53:33.889 patient centered and team based approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.116$ and I think that's really key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.500$ You know, with a new man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

00:53:37.500 --> 00:53:38.949 you made a very good case for what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:38.949 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.257$ know with health disparities and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

00:53:40.257 --> 00:53:41.929 I think we're seeing that more and more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

00:53:41.930 --> 00:53:44.738 you know, at one point prior to the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00{:}53{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47.665$ I think some of our DNS as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

00:53:47.670 --> 00:53:49.574 we at Yale had we're trying to think

 $00:53:49.574 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.249$ about and start a compassionate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00{:}53{:}51.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.530$ CPAP program to just try to get these

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

00:53:53.530 --> 00:53:55.306 patients you know at that 90 day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

00:53:55.310 --> 00:53:57.086 you know because there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00{:}53{:}57.086 \rightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.622$ people who really obviously can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:53:58.622 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.087$ afford you know those copays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:54:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.274$ and with the pandemic it seems that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:54:02.274 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.628$ lot of those programs have shut down

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:54:04.628 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.929$ and have it really started back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00:54:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.390$ What's been your experience with

NOTE Confidence: 0.960123892222222

 $00{:}54{:}08.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.558$ those kinds of programs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.370$ Our experience has been the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00{:}54{:}12.370 --> 00{:}54{:}13.925$ There's a lot of concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:13.925 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.858$ about infection control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.652$ It existed even before.

 $00:54:16.652 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.996$ Must be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.338$ we use a lot of refurbished CPAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.200$ There are local support groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

00:54:22.200 --> 00:54:25.386 non profit centers that was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:25.386 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.330$ refurbishing CPAP in issuing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.922$ They actually couple of them shut

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:31.922 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.300$ down under threat of a law suit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}213$ spread of infection and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

00:54:36.213 --> 00:54:37.908 even before the COVID pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

00:54:37.910 --> 00:54:39.340 And of course the physicality

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00{:}54{:}39.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41.416$ of being able to meet people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00{:}54{:}41.416 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}54{:}43.467$ support them as being a major issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.734$ As you know and so we've had that

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:45.734 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.661$ same sort of sobering effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00:54:47.661 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.806$ and dampening effect on such

NOTE Confidence: 0.880309491666667

 $00{:}54{:}49.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.720$ separate programs and mechanisms.

 $00:54:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.941$ Right, it's difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00{:}54{:}53.941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.143$ I'm gonna start reading some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

00:54:56.143 --> 00:54:58.375 that are coming in from the chat one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

00:54:58.375 --> 00:55:00.440 We just move up from Clara Yagi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00:55:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.256$ a wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

00:55:01.256 --> 00:55:04.008 How about instead of a C PAP for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

00:55:04.008 --> 00:55:06.354 approach where half donate here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00{:}55{:}06.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07.789$ become disenfranchised from care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00:55:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.214$ Seems that we like to be heading to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00:55:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.795$ It seems we need to be heading

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00:55:11.795 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.710$ to more of a precision medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00:55:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.685$ approach up front with multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00{:}55{:}15.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}17.728$ modalities targeted to an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

 $00:55:17.728 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.324$ patient preference and Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913660935625

00:55:19.330 --> 00:55:20.420 Your thoughts?

 $00:55:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.336$ I'm 100% in support of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00{:}55{:}23.340 \to 00{:}55{:}25.620$ Uhm, I I just, uh, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.020$ as both the researcher and as a clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.802$ I want guidance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:29.802 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.366$ And I want also help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:33.366 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.980$ operationalizing the guidance right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

00:55:35.980 --> 00:55:37.924 I mean, we got 40 minutes for new patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.240$ 20 minutes for return. Patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

00:55:39.240 --> 00:55:40.570 Sorry I'm sort of bashing

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.634$ our bean counters here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.496$ Which hey, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

00:55:42.496 --> 00:55:43.780 hopefully they're not on the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

00:55:43.780 --> 00:55:45.370 They don't get on these talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.760$ because they're counting the beans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.230$ and so all I'm saying is just that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.365$ I am totally in agreement with that.

00:55:53.370 --> 00:55:55.345 Approach, I just need guidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

00:55:55.345 --> 00:55:57.320 that I can actually easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

00:55:57.398 --> 00:55:59.758 implement in my clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75135894

 $00:55:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.450$ That's the ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

 $00:56:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.099$ Thank you, Karen Johnson has a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

00:56:04.100 --> 00:56:05.438 You know the unmap expert panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

 $00:56:05.438 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.980$ had a lot of discussion over

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

 $00{:}56{:}06.980 \to 00{:}56{:}08.750$ what Medicare should be asked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

 $00{:}56{:}08.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10.449$ cover for not appear in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

 $00:56:10.449 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.033$ as well as weather follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

 $00{:}56{:}12.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}13.657$ Visits are needed to keep the device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

00:56:13.660 --> 00:56:15.180 If a patient is adherent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

 $00{:}56{:}15.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.130$ what specific ask would you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

 $00{:}56{:}17.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19.459$ for CMS or Congress in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901919037142857

00:56:19.459 --> 00:56:21.379 how to cover devices and supplies?

 $00:56:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.030$ I really think they need to cash,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}56{:}25.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}27.070$ so there are two separate things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:27.070 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.546$ One is device and suppliers right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.343$ And so the they need to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:31.343 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.612$ this 90 day rule go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:56:33.612 --> 00:56:35.328 I honestly that's what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:35.328 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.028$ and I think it should be based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:56:38.028 --> 00:56:40.109 whether someone has intent to use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:56:40.110 --> 00:56:41.545 If someone has an intent to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:41.545 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.007$ they should be allowed to use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.395$ And it's not like withholding

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:56:44.395 --> 00:56:46.030 insulin from a diabetic was emo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.062$ Globin A1C is 9.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:56:47.062 --> 00:56:49.116 I mean, that's ridiculous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:49.116 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.956$ and so that's one supplies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:56:52.960 --> 00:56:55.464 Uhm, you know I actually, I got called

 $00:56:55.464 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.012$ by the office of Inspector General.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}56{:}57.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.539$ You know while ago because the red

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:56:58.539 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.450$ one of our papers on resupply rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.850$ which I didn't share today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.080$ and because they wanted an opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:03.080 --> 00:57:04.100 I thought I was in trouble,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.710$ but they said no no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:06.710 --> 00:57:07.412 Like no, no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:07.412 --> 00:57:09.230 we want your opinion because we're trying to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.238$ you know, audits EMS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:10.238 \dashrightarrow 00:57:12.705$ I mean DHS as to how they are doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:12.705 --> 00:57:14.475 the supplies and I think they

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}57{:}14.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.551$ also talked to petrol and couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:16.551 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.136$ other people and so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:18.136 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.256$ as they initially of course

 $00:57:19.256 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.730$ it was a little taken aback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}57{:}20.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.650$ But you know where the supplies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:22.650 --> 00:57:24.954 I really think we need to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:24.954 --> 00:57:26.994 better job giving supplies up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:26.994 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.698$ You know what they have is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:29.698 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.868$ prescriptive oh every three months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.766$ But we all know that in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:33.766 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.689$ 90 days they probably need more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}57{:}35.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36.874$ They need exposure to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:36.874 --> 00:57:39.155 More devices and then they can paper it

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:39.155 --> 00:57:40.660 out and so when we looked at a hearing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:40.660 --> 00:57:42.208 people and an Indian people we

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}57{:}42.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43.960$ could see the refund rate threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.960$ which was something like .75

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.906$ masks and accessories in a one

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:49.906 --> 00:57:52.594 year period and that's the that's

 $00:57:52.594 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.724$ what enduring people are using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:54.730 --> 00:57:57.436 But in the first three months

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:57:57.440 --> 00:57:58.540 they want four machine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:57:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.559$ four mass so that it can find

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:58:00.559 --> 00:58:02.089 the message works for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:58:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.850$ So I think you know they're going with

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:58:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.624$ a cookie cutter approach rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:58:05.624 --> 00:58:07.283 a tailored approach, and I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:58:07.283 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.213$ We need to go with a tailored approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

00:58:09.213 --> 00:58:11.870 where they get 5 masks in the first 90 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}58{:}11.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13.613$ I don't know maximum and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}58{:}13.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15.696$ can taper down to you know one mask

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00{:}58{:}15.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.615$ every six months rather than one mask

NOTE Confidence: 0.916706795

 $00:58:17.615 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.680$ every three months during the entire life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.100$ I think that sounds fabulous.

00:58:22.100 --> 00:58:23.485 I think. I think you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:23.485 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.593$ definitely onto something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.490$ I think we have time for one more question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.588$ Andres in check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:27.588 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.784$ Also, thanks for a wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.454$ He said. What utility did you

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:32.454 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.518$ find in having summary adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:34.518 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.338$ data for your sleep center?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

 $00:58:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.146$ Did it lead to changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851937868

00:58:38.146 --> 00:58:39.350 practice or new interventions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00{:}58{:}41.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43.269$ First of all, it meets our SGM

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:58:43.269 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.933$ requirement for someone to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:58:44.933 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.297$ a quality improvement project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:58:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.580$ It's a low hanging fruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

00:58:47.580 --> 00:58:48.756 I'm just sharing that with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:58:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.279$ so they're not struggling so that then

 $00{:}58{:}50.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}52.038$ they can focus on their research project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00{:}58{:}52.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53.727$ And as you know, with these software,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:58:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.410$ you can actually do an output

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:58:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.530$ of an Excel file.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:58:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.657$ That's all I ask him to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00{:}58{:}57.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}59.244$ Hey, go click the output button

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:58:59.244 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.852$ generated and tell me how many

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00{:}59{:}00.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}02.346$ people right here in an Indian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00{:}59{:}02.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04.342$ Now you tell me you create a white

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:59:04.342 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.407$ paper on your Qi because you already

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:59:06.407 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.440$ done that in literally 5 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

00:59:08.440 --> 00:59:09.860 You've done your QR project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00{:}59{:}09.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}11.029$ I want you write a white paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00{:}59{:}11.030 --> 00{:}59{:}12.398$ And what you gonna do to

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:59:12.398 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.082$ help improve adherence?

 $00:59:13.090 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.518$ And that's where I get all my

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

00:59:14.518 --> 00:59:16.029 ideas to do sleep over there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:59:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.938$ see studies and sorry the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875

 $00:59:17.938 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.810$ part of the question was what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814169496875 00:59:19.810 --> 00:59:20.220 again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909494715

 $00:59:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.182$ whether this led to changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.909494715

00:59:23.182 --> 00:59:24.570 practice or new interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.816$ Yeah, it did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:25.816 \dashrightarrow 00:59:27.510$ And that's where we get our ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.985$ It led to changes in practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:30.985 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.695$ For example, one of them decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:32.695 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.299$ to do it selectively on people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:35.299 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.124$ recently hospital discharge and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:37.124 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.761$ and he hasn't written a paper, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

00:59:40.761 --> 00:59:42.938 You know, showing how the people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:42.938 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.049$ adherents with their device after hospital

 $00:59:45.049 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.185$ discharge you know these are people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

00:59:47.190 --> 00:59:48.354 Comma, dislike CPU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:48.354 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.906$ basically hyperinflation syndrome or

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:49.906 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.528$ failure or less likely to get re-admitted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.392$ Then we were able to actually show

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $00:59:54.392 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.401$ that to our 30 hospital healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

00:59:56.401 --> 00:59:58.311 system spanning five States and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

00:59:58.311 --> 01:00:00.391 we actually have a working group

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:00.391 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.269$ about how we can actually promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

01:00:02.270 --> 01:00:04.943 have the rapy be at an Ivy or C PAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:04.943 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.983$ for patients with comorbid CPD to

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01{:}00{:}07.983 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10.107$ help reduce re hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

01:00:10.110 --> 01:00:11.370 And of course we've tried.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:11.370 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.121$ Getting funding from NIH DoD Bakery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

01:00:14.121 --> 01:00:16.698 you know, that's not just me, you know,

 $01:00:16.700 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.238$ Jerry Trainer and they kill myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

01:00:19.240 --> 01:00:22.240 We've tried it so many times and failed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:22.240 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.896$ but now we want to try and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:23.896 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.552$ maybe something in the realm of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:25.552 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.161$ implementation science, but that's what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:27.161 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.509$ Led to that idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:28.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.510$ So these Qi projects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:29.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.010$ by being able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01{:}00{:}31.010 \longrightarrow 01{:}00{:}33.512$ Looking at the dashboard is a is a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.840101412

 $01:00:33.512 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.859$ way for us to improve our outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93616082375

 $01:00:36.720 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.928$ I mean, thank you. I think we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93616082375

 $01:00:38.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.178$ We are at time right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93616082375

 $01:00:40.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.475$ but this has really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.93616082375

01:00:41.475 --> 01:00:42.770 just such an informative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93616082375

 $01:00:42.827 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.147$ and just interesting talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93616082375

01:00:44.150 --> 01:00:45.598 So thank you so much for your time,

 $01:00:45.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.548$ really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931971415

 $01:00:46.980 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.964$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931971415

 $01:00:47.964 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.948$ Thanks for hiring me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931971415

 $01:00:48.950 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.618$ I'm good to see all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931971415

 $01:00:50.618 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.730$ those friendly faces there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931971415

 $01:00:51.730 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.740$ Yeah bye bye bye now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931971415

 $01:00:53.740 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.750$ Thank you bye.