WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:19:21" NOTE recognizability:0.886

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.572$ Hello everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:03.572 \dashrightarrow 00:00:06.360$ My name is Sonia and I'm a P GY3

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.280$ Internal Medicine resident here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.624$ This is the Yale 20 video on acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

00:00:10.624 --> 00:00:12.119 coronary syndrome and chest pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00{:}00{:}12.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.542$ I developed this video with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

00:00:13.542 --> 00:00:14.800 help of Doctor Lawrence Young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.638$ who's a professor here in Cardiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.960$ Here's a quick outline on

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.280$ what we're going to cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.128$ First, we can talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00{:}00{:}22.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.052$ anatomy and pathophysiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:23.052 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.719$ of acute coronary syndromes.

00:00:24.720 --> 00:00:27.079 Go through a 5 minute bedside assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00{:}00{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.598$ touch on the diagnosis and work

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:28.598 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.240$ up as well as treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.288$ Learn how to write up the assessment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:32.288 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.398$ plan in your note and then summarize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840786202222222

 $00:00:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.279$ Take home points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:00:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.956$ Let's briefly review our coronary anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:00:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.860$ The left and right coronary

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:00:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.280$ arteries come off of the aorta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:00:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.757$ On the left side we have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9432909333333333

00:00:45.757 --> 00:00:46.760 left main coronary artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:00:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.968$ which then branches into the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00{:}00{:}48.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.511$ anterior descending or the LED and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:00:51.511 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.515$ left circumflex artery or the Cirque.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:00:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.734$ The LED comes down the intraventricular

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:00:55.734 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.305$ septum and gives off multiple diagonal

00:00:58.305 --> 00:01:00.357 and septal perforating branches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00{:}01{:}00.360 \to 00{:}01{:}02.760$ which together supply the left atrium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.680$ left ventricle and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

00:01:04.680 --> 00:01:05.640 intraventricular septum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.105$ The circumflex wraps posterior laterally

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:08.105 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.570$ and gives off marginal branches

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:10.642 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.036$ which supply the apex of the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.016$ On the right side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:14.016 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.480$ we have the right coronary artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.748$ which gives off many important branches

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

00:01:17.748 --> 00:01:20.805 including the SA nodal and the AB nodal

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:20.805 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.031$ branches which supply the conduction system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.748$ It also provides atrial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00{:}01{:}24.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.456$ ventricular and marginal branches

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:26.456 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.319$ to supply the right side.

 $00:01:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.436 90\%$ of the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00{:}01{:}29.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.110$ the right coronary artery will end

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:31.165 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.080$ as the posterior descending artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.600$ which is what determines dominance

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.820 \ 10\%$ of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.040$ The posterior descending artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.920$ comes off of the left circumflex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.960$ which is a left dominant system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00{:}01{:}41.960 --> 00{:}01{:}42.960$ As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

00:01:42.960 --> 00:01:44.980 the arteries supply multiple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.490$ territories and can lead to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.202$ variety of clinical presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:49.202 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.914$ of acute coronary syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

00:01:50.920 --> 00:01:53.356 Now let's delve into some pathophysiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.380$ Acute coronary syndrome is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.996$ spectrum of cardiac dysfunction.

 $00:01:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.011$ On the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:01:58.011 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.033$ we have a healthy coronary artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333 00:02:00.040 --> 00:02:00.840 Over time, NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

00:02:00.840 --> 00:02:02.840 atherosclerotic plaques can build up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.336$ which creates supply demand mismatch and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:05.336 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.120$ reduced oxygen delivery to cardiac myocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333 00:02:08.120 --> 00:02:09.000 In patients, NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.080$ this manifests as chest pain or angina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

00:02:12.080 --> 00:02:14.100 Patients who have angina with

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

00:02:14.100 --> 00:02:15.716 exertion have stable angina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.976$ which is not on the spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:17.976 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.480$ of acute coronary syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00{:}02{:}19.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.550$ Patients who have chest pain or

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00{:}02{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.080$ angina at rest have unstable angina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.305$ These patients are essentially having

 $00:02:26.305 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.530$ is chemia without infarction and thus

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:28.593 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.233$ they don't have elevated troponin or any St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.452$ elevations on their EKG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00:02:32.452 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.703$ But this does need to be further

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

 $00{:}02{:}34.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.929$ monitored and evaluated as it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.943290933333333

00:02:36.929 --> 00:02:39.277 progress to either STEMI or end STEMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:02:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.962$ Sometimes this plaque can rupture which

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:02:43.962 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.184$ leads to thrombosis where the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

00:02:46.184 --> 00:02:48.312 for blood flow is even more narrowed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:02:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.718$ worsening any existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

00:02:49.718 --> 00:02:51.116 supply demand mismatch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:02:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.080$ But as we can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:02:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.360$ the vessel is not totally occluded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:02:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.664$ therefore blood supply is limited furthest

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:02:56.664 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.718$ from the vessel or in the sub endocardium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:02:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.300$ These patients will have elevated troponins

 $00:03:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.964$ due to myocardial cell death and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:04.964 \dashrightarrow 00:03:07.236$ their EKG they will not have typical St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00{:}03{:}07.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.080$ elevations but may have other

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.920$ EKG changes such as St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.398$ depressions or T wave inversions which

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:13.398 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.480$ we will discuss later in the video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.776$ On the far right we have a totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00{:}03{:}19.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.046$ occluded coronary artery which

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:21.046 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.966$ results in cell death the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:22.966 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.107$ thickness of the myocardium and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:25.107 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.912$ is what produces characteristic St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00:03:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.460$ elevations on EKG along with

NOTE Confidence: 0.944429937368421

 $00{:}03{:}29.460 --> 00{:}03{:}30.476$ elevated troponin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:03:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.554$ Now let's take it to the bedside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:03:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.530$ One of the four nurses lets you know that a

 $00:03:36.577 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.638$ patient is having eight out of 10 chest pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:03:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.020$ This is definitely something I would go

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:03:41.020 --> 00:03:42.757 evaluate in person. First things first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:03:42.757 --> 00:03:44.687 you want to make sure your patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:03:44.687 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.157$ stable by grabbing a set of vitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:03:46.160 --> 00:03:48.152 Then, while I talk to the patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:03:48.152 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.275$ assess them and get their chest pain story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00{:}03{:}50.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.827$ I would ask the nurse to grab an EKG

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:03:52.827 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.320$ and be prepared to drop troponins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:03:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.184$ Characteristic symptoms of acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00{:}03{:}57.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.048$ coronary syndromes include chest

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:03:59.048 --> 00:04:01.200 pain that's central substernal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:01.200 --> 00:04:03.344 described as heaviness, crushing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:03.344 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.680$ gripping, or pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:04.680 --> 00:04:07.400 It's typically worse with exertion and gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00{:}04{:}07.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.556$ better with rest or sublingual nitrogly cerin.

 $00:04:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.079$ There is kind of new terminology in

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00{:}04{:}12.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.760$ the way we're describing chest pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00{:}04{:}13.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.704$ Previously we used to use words

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:15.704 --> 00:04:17.000 like typical and atypical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.415$ but now the guidelines recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:18.415 --> 00:04:20.240 describing chest pain as cardiac,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:20.240 --> 00:04:22.560 possibly cardiac and non cardiac,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.038$ and we can see here different descriptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:25.038 --> 00:04:27.228 and the probability of ischemia based

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:27.228 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.358$ on what symptoms patients are having.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:29.360 --> 00:04:32.176 Other symptoms include diaphoresis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:32.176 --> 00:04:34.800 nausea, and often abdominal discomfort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00{:}04{:}34.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.720$ which can mimic in digestion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.136$ These non characteristic symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:38.136 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.906$ of acute coronary syndromes are

00:04:39.906 --> 00:04:41.449 thought to occur more frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:41.449 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.874$ in women and older patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.920$ and so your degree of suspicion

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.620$ for acute coronary syndromes needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.318$ to be higher in these groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:48.320 --> 00:04:50.448 On exam, you're looking for signs or

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:04:50.448 --> 00:04:52.399 symptoms of poor cardiac function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.340$ including jugular, venous distension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.280$ leg edema or crackles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.218$ or hypotension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:04:57.218 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.563$ You may also see hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00{:}04{:}59.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.122$ given high sympathetic drive in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:05:02.122 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.854$ acute setting and then bradycardia

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

00:05:03.854 --> 00:05:05.882 may be suggestive of RV ischemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.938185707142857

 $00:05:05.882 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.919$ or right ventricular embarked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97771066

 $00:05:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.420$ The differential for acute

00:05:11.420 --> 00:05:13.120 chest pain is pretty broad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00{:}05{:}13.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.950$ and there's several other can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.400$ misdiagnosis that can masquerade

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.160$ as acute coronary syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

00:05:18.160 --> 00:05:20.920 such as a ortic aneurysm or dissection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.520$ pneumothorax, pulmonary embolisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.310$ or any type of gastric or

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00{:}05{:}26.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.240$ esophageal perforation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.298$ Some other key fiscal exam pearls are

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:29.298 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.593$ that if you have chest pain that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00{:}05{:}31.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.280$ reproducible on exam or tender to palpation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00{:}05{:}34.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.680$ should think of MSK etiologies such

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00{:}05{:}36.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.720$ as costochondritis or rib fractures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00{:}05{:}38.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.880$ If you have pain that's positional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.605$ such as pain that improves

 $00:05:42.605 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.640$ with leaning forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

00:05:43.640 --> 00:05:46.136 that's thought to be related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:46.136 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.800$ pericarditis or pericardial inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

 $00:05:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.543$ And if you have chest pain that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

00:05:49.543 --> 00:05:50.920 worsened with deep inspiration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708025408333333

00:05:50.920 --> 00:05:52.640 it's characteristic of pleurisy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:05:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.648$ You've thought through your

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:05:55.648 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.958$ differential and assess your patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7146068411111111

 $00:05:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.920$ and the nurse hands you the EKG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:05:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.756$ How do you make a diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.434$ STEMI is diagnosed based on STEMI criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71460684111111100:06:03.440 --> 00:06:04.862 which is St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:04.862 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.706$ elevations over 1mm and two contiguous

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:07.706 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.515$ leads except for leads V2 and V3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.877$ which differ by age and gender as seen below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.071$ The key is looking for changes in

 $00:06:16.071 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.718$ contiguous leads as well as St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.260$ depressions in electrically opposite leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.800$ in areas that correlate anatomically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.960$ As we can see here, 2-3 and ADF are inferior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.360$ Leads correlating with RCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

00:06:28.360 --> 00:06:30.550 territory V1 is interceptal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.912 \text{ V2}$ to V4 are anterior leads correlating

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:33.912 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.352$ with left anterior descending territory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.340 \text{ V5}$ to V6 are interolateral and

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00{:}06{:}38.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.719$ one ADL are high lateral leads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7146068411111111

 $00:06:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.096$ These all correlate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7146068411111111

 $00:06:43.096 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.878$ left circumflex territory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00{:}06{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.224$ In addition to St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111 00:06:46.224 --> 00:06:46.560 elevations, NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

00:06:46.560 --> 00:06:48.588 you should also look for STEMI

 $00:06:48.588 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.940$ equivalents which can represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00{:}06{:}50.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.029$ ongoing ischemia such as T wave

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:52.029 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.879$ abnormalities like hyper acute T waves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.902$ This can happen within minutes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:55.902 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.640$ ischemia and often precedes to St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111 00:06:57.640 --> 00:06:58.160 changes. NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:06:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.320$ So here's an example where we see hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

00:07:02.320 --> 00:07:05.636 acute T waves and most prominently

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.560 \text{ V2V3V4}$ and they're often bulky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.090$ wide at the base and localized

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00{:}07{:}10.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.800$ to the anatomic area of infarct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.520$ So this here would be the LED territory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00{:}07{:}15.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.040$ You can also have biphasic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7146068411111111

 $00{:}07{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.560$ or deeply inverted T waves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.360$ which are known as Wellens pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00{:}07{:}20.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.775$ So here's an example of Wellens type

 $00:07:22.775 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.998$ A which are the biphasic T waves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.132$ We can see their most prominent

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:28.132 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.680$ here in B2 and B3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.200$ And here's an example of Wellens type B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.545$ which is deep symmetric inverted

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:34.545 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.832$ T waves as we can see here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:36.832 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.720$ the precordial leads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714606841111111

 $00:07:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.560$ most notably in

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:07:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.207$ B2B3B4B5 and B6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:07:42.207 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.210$ And this is highly specific for critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:07:45.292 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.625$ LED stenosis as with Welland's type A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

00:07:47.625 --> 00:07:50.080 So to make a diagnosis of Welland's syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}07{:}50.080 --> 00{:}07{:}53.600$ you'll see deep inverted or biphasic T waves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:07:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.780$ most commonly in V2 to V3 can also be seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:07:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.120$ in V1 to V6 as seen in this EKG minimal St.

 $00:08:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.004$ elevations less than 1mm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}08{:}02.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.359$ There's no precordial Q waves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.360$ there's preserved R wave progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

00:08:06.360 --> 00:08:08.271 There's a history of recent angina and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:08.271 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.693$ the EKG that you get with the biphasic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

00:08:10.693 --> 00:08:13.226 inverted T waves is in a pain Free State

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:13.226 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.975$ because often when these patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

00:08:14.975 --> 00:08:17.040 chest pain the T waves become upright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}08{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.440$ which is known as pseudo normalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.020$ The management implication is because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}08{:}22.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.480$ patients typically have critical LED disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.260$ they do need to be taken for

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

00:08:28.260 --> 00:08:29.880 urgent coronary angiography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.152$ St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:30.152 \dashrightarrow 00:08:32.600$ depressions in V1 to V3 with the Pulmona R

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:32.663 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.160$ wave is also a semi equivalent because this

 $00:08:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.632$ represents A posterior myocardial infarction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:37.632 \dashrightarrow 00:08:40.038$ We can go through an example here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.677$ So on this EKG we most notably see St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.912$ elevations in leads 2-3

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:45.912 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.586$ AVF as well as V5 and V6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:48.586 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.704$ So this localizes to the inferior

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:50.704 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.280$ and lateral territories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.880$ Whenever you have inferior St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}08{:}53.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.637$ elevations, you always have to check to

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:55.637 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.998$ see if the infarct has spread posteriorly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.575$ which you can see reflected

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:08:59.575 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.520$ reciprocally as St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.560$ depressions and V1 to V3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.160$ As we can see here, we do have St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.520$ depressions in these leads.

 $00:09:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.344$ If we were to turn these leads upside down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:09.344 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.856$ we'd see St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286 00:09:10.856 --> 00:09:11.360 elevations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.808$ This is consistent overall with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:13.808 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.440$ inferior lateral posterior STEMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286 00:09:15.440 --> 00:09:16.080 And again, NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.360$ this is because anatomically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.996$ the right coronary artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}09{:}18.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.041$ usually ends and terminates as

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

00:09:21.041 --> 00:09:23.438 the posterior descending artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}09{:}23.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.435$ If we wanted to confirm this diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.660$ we could place posterior leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

00:09:27.660 --> 00:09:31.740 which are V7 to V9 and see the St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.600$ elevations with these leads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.492$ Important to note is that to diagnose

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

00:09:35.492 --> 00:09:37.560 a posterior STEMI you only need St.

 $00:09:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.389$ elevations that are .5mm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}09{:}39.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.963$ and this is associated with worst

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00{:}09{:}41.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.371$ outcomes as it represents a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286

 $00:09:44.371 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.439$ larger area of infarction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939747545714286 00:09:46.440 --> 00:09:46.680 A new NOTE Confidence: 0.919146962222222

 $00:09:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.904$ left bundle branch block

NOTE Confidence: 0.919146962222222

 $00:09:47.904 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.434$ is also a STEMI equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919146962222222

 $00:09:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.223$ In these situations we use Scarbosa

NOTE Confidence: 0.919146962222222

 $00:09:51.223 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.789$ criteria, which we will not go

NOTE Confidence: 0.919146962222222

00:09:52.789 --> 00:09:54.238 into too much detail about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919146962222222

 $00{:}09{:}54.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.370$ but the principle is you're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.919146962222222

 $00:09:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.000$ for inappropriate concordance with St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919146962222222

 $00{:}09{:}58.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.160$ segments or excessive discordance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938342625

 $00:10:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.280$ And then other patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:10:02.280 --> 00:10:04.177 of ischemia that may be suggestive of

 $00:10:04.177 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.246$ end STEMI or unstable angina include St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}10{:}07.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.361$ depressions that localize to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:10:09.361 --> 00:10:11.998 specific area or T wave inversions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.560$ You could also see Q waves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.436$ which are evidence of a completed infarct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.390$ as these usually occur 12 hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.080$ after the initial ischemic insult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.760$ Now that we've learned how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:10:21.760 --> 00:10:22.880 diagnose acute coronary syndromes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.956$ we can focus on the management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}10{:}24.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.184$ I'd say one of the most important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:27.184 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.185$ time sensitive decisions that needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:29.185 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.501$ made in the management of acute coronary

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:10:31.501 --> 00:10:33.676 syndromes is regarding re vascularization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.958$ If you think you see St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.560$ elevations or any STEMI equivalents,

 $00:10:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.975$ I would call cardiology right away to

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}10{:}39.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.760$ confirm these findings and if true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}10{:}41.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.376$ activate the Cath lab Guidelines suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:44.376 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.084$ that these patients should be emergently

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}10{:}47.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.314$ re vascularized within 90 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.865$ Sometimes in situations where patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:10:50.865 --> 00:10:53.000 aren't close to Cath lab facilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.408$ TPA may be used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:54.408 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.520$ but this is very infrequent in

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}10{:}56.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.917$ large academic centers like PO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:10:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.120$ If you don't see any St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091 00:11:00.120 --> 00:11:00.540 elevations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}11{:}00.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.060$ but the patient has EKG changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:03.060 --> 00:11:04.742 or troponins consistent with

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:04.742 --> 00:11:06.517 end STEMI or unstable angina,

 $00:11:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.028$ they may meet criteria for immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}11{:}09.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.700$ invasive angiography within two

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:10.762 --> 00:11:12.855 hours if they have any of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:12.855 --> 00:11:14.272 following hemodynamic instability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:11:14.272 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.496$ new left ventricular dysfunction

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:16.496 --> 00:11:18.720 or dropped ejection fraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:11:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.160$ persistent chest pain at rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:21.160 --> 00:11:23.112 despite optimal medical management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:23.120 --> 00:11:26.319 up trending troponins or dynamic EKG changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:26.320 --> 00:11:27.754 sustained ventricular arrhythmias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:11:27.754 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.100$ or evidence of late presenting MI like

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:11:31.171 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.397$ a new VSD or mitral regurgitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:33.400 --> 00:11:35.240 If you don't see any of these findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:11:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.856$ you have some time to get serial Ekgs

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:37.856 --> 00:11:40.327 and trend troponins and can further

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}11{:}40.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.484$ risk stratify with risk stratification

 $00:11:42.484 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.594$ tools like Grace and Timmy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:11:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.896$ These will help determine if a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:46.896 --> 00:11:48.750 may benefit from early invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:48.750 --> 00:11:51.180 angiography which is within 24 hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:11:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.580$ delayed invasive angiography which

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:11:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.088$ is in 48 to 72 hours or an ischemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:11:55.088 --> 00:11:57.128 guided approach which is based

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}11{:}57.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.569$ on further stress testing and or

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}11{:}59.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.419$ anatomic imaging which is usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}12{:}01.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.480$ reserved for lower risk patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}12{:}03.480 --> 00{:}12{:}05.472$ There are two key ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}12{:}05.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.800$ patients can be revascularized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}12{:}06.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.770$ The first is via percutaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.558$ coronary intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.275$ which is done in the Cath lab.

00:12:11.280 --> 00:12:11.902 In this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:11.902 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.768$ the operator gains access via the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:12:13.768 --> 00:12:15.624 femoral or radial arteries and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:15.624 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.069$ able to snake a catheter up to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:18.069 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.624$ coronary arteries where they shoot

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:12:19.624 --> 00:12:21.598 dye to help identify any blockages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:12:21.598 --> 00:12:24.020 They can then open up the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}12{:}24.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.748$ vessels via angioplasty and place

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}12{:}25.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.359$ a stent to keep the artery patent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:12:28.360 --> 00:12:30.880 Historically bare metal stents were placed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.980$ but nowadays you'll see drug eluting

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.164$ stents being placed due to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}12{:}35.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.959$ reduced rates of instant restenosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.256$ If you have a patient that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:38.256 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.120$ had a stent placed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00{:}12{:}39.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.563$ they need to be on dual antiplatelet

 $00:12:41.563 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.118$ therapy for at least one year after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

00:12:44.120 --> 00:12:46.238 The 2nd way that patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.840835780909091

 $00:12:46.238 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.480$ revascularized is via coronary artery bypass

NOTE Confidence: 0.7788384325

 $00:12:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.880$ surgery. In this, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:12:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.580$ surgeon takes vessels from elsewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:12:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.734$ like the saphenous vein or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

00:12:54.734 --> 00:12:56.302 internal thoracic mammary artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00{:}12{:}56.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.800$ and actually by passes the blockage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:12:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.456$ There are three key indications that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:00.456 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.595$ we need to know for which patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:02.595 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.320$ should be considered for cabbage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.320$ The 1st is left mean coronary artery disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00{:}13{:}07.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.594$ second is 2 vessel disease with

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:09.594 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.110$ left ventricular dysfunction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:11.169 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.918$ the third is 3 vessel disease,

00:13:12.920 --> 00:13:15.560 especially if the patient has diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

00:13:15.560 --> 00:13:17.240 Now more and more we're also seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.305$ the evolution of complex PCI which can

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:19.305 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.529$ be used when patients have complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:21.529 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.079$ coronary anatomy like left mean disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

00:13:24.080 --> 00:13:25.349 chronic total occlusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

00:13:25.349 --> 00:13:27.041 calcific lesions or bifurcation

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:27.041 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.392$ lesions and can sometimes also be

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00{:}13{:}29.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.438$ used as an alternative for surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00:13:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.840$ especially if the patient isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.836562203333333

 $00{:}13{:}32.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.960$ a good surgical candidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:13:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.695$ Patients with acute coronary syndromes

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00{:}13{:}39.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.960$ are also started on medical therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:13:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.788$ Regardless of whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:13:43.788 --> 00:13:45.159 are revascularized initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:13:45.160 --> 00:13:47.224 they should still be started on

 $00:13:47.224 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.600$ medications from various classes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:13:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.330$ The 1st is antiplatelet agents which

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:13:51.330 --> 00:13:53.150 prevent platelet activation are

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:13:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.340$ thereby anti thrombotic patients will

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:13:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.080$ usually be started on two agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:13:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.728$ aspirin which is a Cox 1 inhibitor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:13:59.728 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.929$ then one other agent which is either

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:02.929 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.394$ Ticagralor Brilinta or Plavix Clopidogrel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.948$ Both of these work on P2Y12 and ADP which

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:08.948 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.033$ are involved in platelet aggregation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.855$ The difference between the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00{:}14{:}12.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.040$ is that Ticagralor is the active

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00{:}14{:}15.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.472$ drug and Plavix is a pro drug that

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:17.472 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.793$ needs to be metabolized and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:14:19.793 --> 00:14:21.514 metabolism depends on CYP 2C19.

 $00{:}14{:}21.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.176$ And so some patients are non

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00{:}14{:}23.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.767$ responders to Plavix due to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:24.767 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.237$ loss of function in that gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.536$ And so the effect of Plavix is less

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:28.536 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.040$ predictable in the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:14:30.040 --> 00:14:31.624 But the benefit of using Plavix

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:31.624 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.680$ is that it's cheaper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:14:32.680 --> 00:14:34.888 So you can kind of just weigh the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00{:}14{:}34.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.880$ benefits and risks of each of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.259$ And then the other note I'll make

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00{:}14{:}38.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.780$ is that if you are suspicious

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.956$ about multi vessel disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:14:40.960 --> 00:14:42.874 often patients will just be loaded

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:14:42.874 --> 00:14:44.810 with aspirin and they'll hold off

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.315$ on the 2nd antiplatelet agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.144$ And that's because if they are going to

 $00:14:48.144 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.275$ go to surgery and they get that agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.612$ they have to wait five days for

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

 $00:14:51.612 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.325$ that to wash out to reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951362600909091

00:14:53.325 --> 00:14:54.800 risk of bleeding during surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:14:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.805$ The other major medication that

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:14:58.805 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.313$ we use is anticoagulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

00:15:00.320 --> 00:15:01.616 which usually consists of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}15{:}01.616 --> 00{:}15{:}03.236$ a heparin drip or Lovenox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}15{:}03.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.454$ This is empirically continued for 48

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:05.454 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.760$ hours to prevent clot propagation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.084$ And then there's a couple of medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:10.084 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.272$ patients are started on long term to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}15{:}12.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.970$ reduce their risk of adverse cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.718$ events and negative remodeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.680$ The 1st is beta blockers.

00:15:17.680 --> 00:15:19.350 These work by reducing oxygen

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.459$ demand and also reducing the heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:21.459 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.979$ rate which thereby increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}15{:}22.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.879$ dia stolic filling time which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:24.939 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.839$ when the coronaries are perfused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}15{:}26.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.703$ We also start Aces and Arbs which

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:29.703 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.187$ prevent negative remodeling over time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:32.187 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.557$ then moderate or high intensity statin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

00:15:34.560 --> 00:15:37.199 Then finally for symptoms we use anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:37.199 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.678$ anginals which mostly consist of nitrates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}15{:}39.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{--}}} 00{:}15{:}42.900$ These reduce oxygen demand in our veno

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.124$ dilators and acute anginal attacks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}15{:}45.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.770$ We use sublingual and IV nitrogly cerin

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:47.844 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.516$ because they avoid the GI system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:50.516 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.387$ nitrates are metabolized via first

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:52.387 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.725$ class metabolism and so an oral nitrate

 $00:15:54.725 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.040$ is not going to be as effective acutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:15:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.024$ And then in terms of further work up

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

00:16:01.024 --> 00:16:02.638 and management on all these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:16:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.632$ we like to kind of further risk stratify

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:16:04.632 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.479$ them by checking a hemoglobin A1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

00:16:06.480 --> 00:16:07.602 CA lipid panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:16:07.602 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.472$ getting an echocardiogram the subsequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

00:16:09.472 --> 00:16:11.916 day to evaluate if there's any

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}16{:}11.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.961$ regional wall motion abnormalities or

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00:16:13.961 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.037$ changes to their ejection fraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882283032222222

 $00{:}16{:}16.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.480$ Then also focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.954549694

 $00:16:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.040$ modifiable lifestyle factors like encouraging

NOTE Confidence: 0.937177382

00:16:20.040 --> 00:16:21.144 smoking cessation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937177382

 $00:16:21.144 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.920$ weight loss and exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:16:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.494$ Now we know how to diagnose and manage acute

 $00:16:27.494 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.289$ coronary syndromes and we can summarize

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:16:29.289 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.719$ it in an assessment and plan in the note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:16:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.277$ Things I like to focus on are the type

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:16:33.277 --> 00:16:35.130 of acute coronary syndrome, the location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:16:35.130 --> 00:16:37.265 any findings of the ischemic work up

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:16:37.265 --> 00:16:39.240 like if the patient underwent EKG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:16:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.768$ left heart Cath or any other type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:16:41.768 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.479$ ischemic evaluation and the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:16:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.775$ So here's an example of how I

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:16:44.775 --> 00:16:45.800 would write up a patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}16{:}45.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.800$ Miss S She's a 59 year old with a past

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:16:47.861 --> 00:16:50.236 medical history significant for hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:16:50.240 --> 00:16:51.386 hyperlipidemia, tobacco use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}16{:}51.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.296$ who initially presented with eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:16:53.296 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.229$ out of 10 substernal chest pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}16{:}55.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.120$ at rest and her EKG showed St.

 $00:16:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.360$ elevations into three ABF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667 00:16:59.360 --> 00:16:59.920 Ultimately, NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:16:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.920$ she was found to have a 90% occlusion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:17:01.920 --> 00:17:04.640 the RCA status post one drug eluting stent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}04.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.350$ So her presentation is consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.718$ with an inferior STEMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667 00:17:07.720 --> 00:17:08.440 For this, NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.240$ we'll continue her dual antiplatelet

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}10.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.080$ therapy with a spirin and Brilinta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:17:12.080 --> 00:17:14.240 We'll start her on a high intensity statin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.324$ she'll get an echocardiogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:15.324 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.379$ and if that is normal then we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}17.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.879$ start her on metoprolatar traate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}18.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.848$ We'll switch out her am lodipine to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:20.848 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.577$ lisinopril which has cardio protective

 $00:17:22.577 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.377$ benefit and acute coronary syndromes

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}24.377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.196$ and we'll also refer her to a smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}27.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.520$ cessation program upon discharge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.599$ We can wrap up with some take home points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.425$ First is understanding the spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:17:32.425 --> 00:17:34.250 of acute coronary syndromes which

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.055$ includes unstable angina where patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:36.055 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.527$ present with chest pain at rest but

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}38.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.192$ don't necessarily have troponin or

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:40.192 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.022$ EKG changes because they're having

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:42.022 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.077$ is chemia Without evidence of infarction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:17:44.080 --> 00:17:45.945 Patients can have end STEMI

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:45.945 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.437$ characterized by sub endocardial

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:17:47.437 --> 00:17:49.277 ischemia which does not produce St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.688$ elevations on EKG but they can still

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:51.688 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.080$ have AC depressions or T wave inversions.

 $00:17:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.312$ They will have an elevated troponin

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}56.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.268$ and STEMI characterized by transviral

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}17{:}58.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.900$ in farction which produces the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:17:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.390$ street elevations on EKG as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.560$ as elevated troponin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.408$ You can also localize the lesion based

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:05.408 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.397$ on the pattern and distribution of St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.840$ Changes 2-3 ADF are inferior

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}18{:}09.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.280$ leads correlating with the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.439$ coronary artery territory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.932$ 1A D LV5V6 are lateral leads which

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:16.932 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.841$ correlate with the circumflex territory

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:18:18.841 --> 00:18:21.905 and V1 to V4 are your anterior leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:21.979 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.199$ corresponding with LED territory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:18:24.200 --> 00:18:25.540 It's also very important to triage

00:18:25.540 --> 00:18:27.770 who goes to the Cath lab emergently

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}18{:}27.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29.460$ versus urgently versus on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:18:29.526 --> 00:18:31.278 selective angiography basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.910$ After further ischemic testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.800$ monitoring and then recognizing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.160$ cornerstones and medical management

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.227$ of acute coronary syndromes which

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:18:39.227 --> 00:18:40.559 includes antiplatelet agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}18{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41.054 \ {\rm anticoagulation},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:18:41.054 --> 00:18:44.018 high intensity statin and things to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:44.018 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.166$ prevent further adverse cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:18:46.166 --> 00:18:48.558 events like smoking cessations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.895$ beta blockers, aces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:49.895 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.675$ herbs and weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.311$ here are some of the sources used

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:53.311 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.359$ in this video and some more information.

 $00{:}18{:}55.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57.215$ The Life in the Fast Line website

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:18:57.215 --> 00:18:58.915 is really great for practicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:18:58.915 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.040$ identifying STEMI and STEMI equivalents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:19:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.804$ A lot of the Ek GS in this video

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:19:02.804 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.039$ are from this website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:19:04.040 --> 00:19:06.077 I also cited the most recent guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:19:06.080 --> 00:19:08.750 the 2021 AHAACC guidelines and up

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:19:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.713$ to date which has some really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

00:19:10.713 --> 00:19:12.318 algorithms on managing and STEMI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00{:}19{:}12.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.616$ STEMI and unstable angina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928537127916667

 $00:19:13.616 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.236$ Thank you guys so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881847381666667

 $00:19:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.560$ This is the Yale 20 video.