WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:01:49" NOTE recognizability:0.806

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.848444378

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.320$  Hey everybody, welcome to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7647516275

00:00:04.910 --> 00:00:06.718 2023 John P McGovern

NOTE Confidence: 0.806884338

 $00:00:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.842$  Award lecture ship supported

NOTE Confidence: 0.806884338

 $00:00:08.842 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.400$  by the John P McGovern Fund

NOTE Confidence: 0.857767205294118

 $00:00:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.976$  for the Humanities in medicine within

NOTE Confidence: 0.857767205294118

 $00{:}00{:}11.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.718$  the Yale School of Medicine program

NOTE Confidence: 0.857767205294118

00:00:13.718 --> 00:00:15.348 for the Humanities and Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857767205294118

 $00{:}00{:}15.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.001$  My name is Anna Reisman and I'm the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857767205294118

 $00:00:17.001 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.500$  director and glad to have a live

NOTE Confidence: 0.857767205294118

 $00{:}00{:}20.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.558$  audience as well as a zoom audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6987164575

00:00:22.850 --> 00:00:24.618 So John P McGovern

NOTE Confidence: 0.811145694285714

 $00:00:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.003$  is a Texas based medical humanist as

NOTE Confidence: 0.811145694285714

 $00:00:27.003 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.269$  well as an allergist and invent investor.

 $00:00:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.095$  Gonna say inventor and philanthropist

NOTE Confidence: 0.811145694285714

 $00:00:32.095 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.555$  who established several lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.811145694285714

 $00{:}00{:}33.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.239$  bearing his name at medical schools

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580066666667

 $00:00:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.940$  throughout the country. This award

NOTE Confidence: 0.796370888571429

 $00:00:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.298$  lectureship is given annually

NOTE Confidence: 0.796370888571429

 $00:00:39.298 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.925$  to a physician who is both

NOTE Confidence: 0.796370888571429

 $00:00:40.925 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.160$  a scientist and a humanist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796370888571429

 $00{:}00{:}42.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.210$  And quote demonstrates the true

NOTE Confidence: 0.796370888571429

00:00:44.210 --> 00:00:46.450 healing art who is a knowledgeable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796370888571429

 $00:00:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.810$  humane and caring physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796370888571429

 $00{:}00{:}47.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.210$  And I'm happy to say that doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.796370888571429

 $00:00:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.080$  Michael Raz is all of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872710402

 $00:00:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.300$  So the title of Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.913688354

 $00:00:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.296$  Ross's talk this afternoon is lessons from

NOTE Confidence: 0.913688354

00:00:56.296 --> 00:00:58.988 history for the future of child welfare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913688354

 $00:00:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.915$  and she will be in conversation with

 $00:01:00.915 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.060$  another wonderful scientist and humanist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913688354

 $00{:}01{:}04.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.368$  Doctor Andrea Asmus and I will introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.913688354

 $00{:}01{:}06.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.440$  them both and turn things over to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.625937248571429

 $00:01:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.430$  So Michael Raz is the Charles E

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00:01:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.856$  and Dale L Phelps professor in public policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

00:01:13.856 --> 00:01:16.217 and health at the University of Rochester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00:01:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.748$  where she also works as an internal medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00:01:18.748 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.658$  physician at strong Memorial Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00:01:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.008$  She completed her medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

00:01:22.008 --> 00:01:23.678 training at Tel Aviv University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00{:}01{:}23.680 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}01{:}25.240$  where she also received a PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00:01:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.715$  in the history of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00:01:26.720 \dashrightarrow 00:01:29.512$  She completed a postdoc at Yale in 2012,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00{:}01{:}29.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.182$  a residency in internal medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

00:01:31.182 --> 00:01:33.587 at Yale New Haven Hospital in 2015,

 $00:01:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.335$  followed by Robert Wood Johnson

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00{:}01{:}35.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.731$  Clinical Scholars Fellowship at

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

00:01:36.731 --> 00:01:38.540 the University of Pennsylvania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00:01:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.032$  focusing on health policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

 $00:01:40.032 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.524$  Research and joined the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772352023333333

00:01:41.524 --> 00:01:43.380 University of Rochester in 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241965

 $00:01:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.410$  She is the author of several

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241965

 $00:01:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.320$  books, the lobotomy letters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00{:}01{:}47.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.480$  the Making of American psychosurgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00:01:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.651$  What's wrong with the poor race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

00:01:52.651 --> 00:01:54.770 psychiatry and the war on poverty and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

00:01:54.770 --> 00:01:56.670 most recently, abusive policies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

00:01:56.670 --> 00:01:58.570 Have the American child

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

00:01:58.570 --> 00:02:00.460 welfare system lost its way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00:02:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.210$  She has published extensively on

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00{:}02{:}02.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.960$  the imperative to protect children

 $00{:}02{:}03.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.790$  and families from coercive child

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00:02:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.625$  welfare intervention and the harms

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

00:02:07.625 --> 00:02:09.590 of conflating poverty with neglect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00:02:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.512$  Ross has lectured widely on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00:02:11.512 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.076$  unintended consequences of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

00:02:13.076 --> 00:02:14.426 child protective interventions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00:02:14.426 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.672$  has been invited to testify between

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00:02:16.672 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.564$  before different legislative bodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792351758

 $00:02:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.635$  primarily on the topic of mandated reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52861774

00:02:21.490 --> 00:02:22.579 So welcome, Michael

NOTE Confidence: 0.625179623333333

 $00:02:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.820$  Andrea Asmus and Andrea Asmus is

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

 $00{:}02{:}27.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.189$  a professor of Pediatrics and a board

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

 $00{:}02{:}30.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.950$  certified child abuse pediatrician

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

 $00{:}02{:}31.950 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.871$  who directs the Yale Programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

00:02:33.871 --> 00:02:35.806 for safety advocacy and healing.

00:02:35.810 --> 00:02:37.444 She's also the graduate medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

 $00{:}02{:}37.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.179$  education director of resident and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

00:02:39.179 --> 00:02:41.168 fellow well-being and associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

 $00:02:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.811$  designated institutional official

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

00:02:42.811 --> 00:02:45.546 for Yale New Haven Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

00:02:45.550 --> 00:02:47.582 Doctor Asmus received her bachelor's

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

00:02:47.582 --> 00:02:48.770 degree from Yale College,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925001879090909

00:02:48.770 --> 00:02:51.020 her MSW from NYU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69231079

00:02:51.230 --> 00:02:53.036 MD from Mount Sinai, where she

NOTE Confidence: 0.824482988333333

 $00:02:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.032$  also did her internship and residency in

NOTE Confidence: 0.824482988333333

 $00{:}02{:}56.032 \to 00{:}02{:}58.369$  psychiatry in psychiatry and Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824482988333333

 $00:02:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.731$  And she was also a fellow at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824482988333333

 $00{:}03{:}00.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.041$ Robert Wood Johnson Clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.824482988333333

00:03:02.041 --> 00:03:03.676 Scholars Program at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.853043021428571

00:03:03.690 --> 00:03:06.574 of Michigan. And for our zoom audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8214919175

 $00:03:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.332$  we have ASL and see close

00:03:09.332 --> 00:03:10.246 captioning available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8214919175

 $00:03:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.388$  We will begin with a brief presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8214919175

 $00:03:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.390$  by Doctor Raz and then Doctor Asnis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8214919175

 $00:03:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.070$  We'll join her up here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8214919175

 $00:03:17.134 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.679$  lead her in a conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8214919175

00:03:18.680 --> 00:03:20.990 And then we'll have plenty of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8214919175

 $00:03:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.300$  I hope, for questions from both

NOTE Confidence: 0.933774986666667

 $00:03:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.270$  audiences. So thank you all for being here

NOTE Confidence: 0.933774986666667

 $00{:}03{:}27.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.710$  and welcome Doctor Ross. Congratulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:03:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.888$  And then just. Back and forth with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:03:41.890 --> 00:03:44.308 OK. I can do that. Great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:03:44.308 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.316$  Awe some. Alright, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:03:46.316 --> 00:03:47.611 Thank you for this very

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:03:47.611 --> 00:03:48.590 generous introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:03:48.590 --> 00:03:50.102 Thank you so much for inviting

 $00:03:50.102 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.050$  me here and for this huge honor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}03{:}52.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.382$  I also want to thank Miss

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:03:53.382 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.884$  Kieran called for doing all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:03:54.884 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.304$  organization for making this happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:03:56.310 --> 00:03:57.530 Obviously. Doctor Anna respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:03:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.736$  my host, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:03:58.736 --> 00:03:59.630 And Doctor Andy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:03:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.388$  Andrea Asness for being in conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.524$  I'm excited to have this stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:02.524 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.020$  to to be here in conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.490$  And thank you all for attending

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.790$  in person or on zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:06.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.004$  I'm going to have some prepared

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:09.004 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.298$  remarks and then we'll open it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.043$  So I want to start a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:13.043 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.360$  bit before we're at today.

00:04:14.360 --> 00:04:18.194 And much of this is taken from my book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.168$  which I'm I'm putting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:19.168 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.620$  I don't have slides for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:20.673 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.738$  my beginning comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.986$  So there's going to be a picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:22.986 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.979$  of my book as I talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.770$  So experiencing a child abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}04{:}26.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.002$  investigation is practically a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}04{:}29.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.520$  common place occurrence for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}04{:}30.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.120$  families in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.915$  It's estimated that about one

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:33.915 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.710$  out of every three children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}04{:}35.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.658$  In the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:36.658 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.401$  will be the subject of a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:38.401 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.277$  investigation before the age of 18 if

00:04:40.277 --> 00:04:42.306 the risk is held constant until then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.686$  and amongst black children

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:43.686 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.406$  the figures are even higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:04:45.410 --> 00:04:48.354 Over half of all black children will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:48.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.398$  the subject of a child abuse investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:04:51.400 --> 00:04:52.171 About 10 depends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:52.171 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.319$  Kind of depends on what region and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:04:54.320 --> 00:04:55.646 But overall speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}04{:}55.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.298$  one in 100 children will experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:04:58.298 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.799$  involuntary termination of parental rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.487$  That means they will lose the rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:02.487 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.310$  of their parents to to raise them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.976$  they will lose the rights to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:05.976 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.391$  parents and what researchers have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:07.391 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.172$  termed state induced parental loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:09.172 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.502$  So these numbers are actually

00:05:11.502 --> 00:05:12.434 quite staggering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.552$  But we also know that there's a steady

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:14.552 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.915$  decline in child abuse over the past decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.888$  and in fact the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:18.888 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.200$  substantiated cases of child

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:20.262 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.078$  maltreatment deal with neglect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.988$  And not abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.780$  Over the past half century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.820$  the child welfare system has

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.860$  transformed into one that investigates

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:29.927 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.328$  and regulates behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}05{:}31.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.862$  and all too often punishes poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}05{:}33.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.218$  parents for their poverty while

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:36.218 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.994$  prioritizing investigations over

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:37.994 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.954$  the provision of needed services.

 $00:05:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.886$  Kind of thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:05:41.886 --> 00:05:43.338 Historically, since the 1970s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}05{:}43.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.724$  we've seen a vast shift and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:05:45.724 --> 00:05:48.096 consider to be child abuse and neglect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.644$  and a concurrent expansion into coercive

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:50.644 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.359$  interventions by the child welfare system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.674$  Often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:53.674 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.500$  and I I I also refer to it critically

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}05{:}56.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.580$  referred to as the family policing system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:05:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.205$  Parents are afforded less freedom

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}06{:}01.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.160$  to make decisions for their kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:06:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.845$  such as letting them play

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:06:04.845 --> 00:06:06.193 outside in a playground,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:06:06.200 --> 00:06:07.536 unsupervised while Mom works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:06:07.536 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.929$  or wait in the car while the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:06:09.929 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.529$  parent runs a quick errand.

 $00:06:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.896$  All cases that have happened and had

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00{:}06{:}13.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.486$  kind of pretty, pretty profound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:06:16.486 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.850$  pretty profound outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:06:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.302$  And all this in the backdrop

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:06:20.302 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.935$  that we know that our society

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:06:21.935 --> 00:06:23.460 generally has become safer with

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:06:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.169$  lower rates of violent crime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

00:06:25.170 --> 00:06:27.150 So parenting has been transformed

NOTE Confidence: 0.88836606

 $00:06:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.130$  into a constant role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:29.202 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.008$  vigilance and supervision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.090$  divorced from the actual risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.920$  And this, of course further

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}06{:}34.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.384$  disadvantaged low income parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}06{:}36.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.494$  particularly mothers who

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:37.494 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.966$  need to balance work,

 $00:06:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.015$  childcare and these expectations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}06{:}41.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.651$  heightened constant supervision and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:42.651 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.066$  a society that fails to offer basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:45.066 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.870$  standards of support for mothers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:06:46.870 --> 00:06:48.900 And what would this be like, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:06:48.900 --> 00:06:50.260 Like guaranteed maternity leave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.370$  sick leave or subsidized high

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.230$  quality childcare, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.862$  How did we create this system

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:56.862 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.295$  of family policing that sets

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}06{:}58.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.750$  up poor families for failure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:06:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.586$  How can we have a system that one in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:01.586 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.384$  every third child will be the subject

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:03.384 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.150$  of a child protection investigation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.970$  This has a history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.438$  and I'm going to talk about that

 $00:07:07.438 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.782$  a little bit in the comments so

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:08.782 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.260$  that we know where we're coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}07{:}10.260 \to 00{:}07{:}11.919$  And I'm going to argue that two

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:07:11.919 --> 00:07:13.258 main policy decisions have shaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:07:13.258 --> 00:07:14.698 our current child welfare policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461 00:07:14.700 --> 00:07:15.422 But first, NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:15.422 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.588$  the decision to focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}07{:}17.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.472$  psychological makeup of parents rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:19.472 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.674$  than societal risk factors for harms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}07{:}21.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.936$  So you separate the discussion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:23.936 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.968$  risk for maltreatment from discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:25.968 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.268$  of economic and racial inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461 00:07:28.270 --> 00:07:29.080 And second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.510$  the decision to focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.617$  reporting of suspected child abuse

 $00:07:33.617 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.452$  as a primary and often the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}07{:}36.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.829$  method to ensure child safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.456$  And I'm going to argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:07:40.456 --> 00:07:41.924 these two policy decisions were

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:41.924 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.248$  not inevitable or necessary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.224$  and were not based on available evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461 00:07:45.230 --> 00:07:45.940 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.070$  they were the result of political

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}07{:}48.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.176$  expedience rather than what would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}07{:}50.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.168$  most beneficial to kids and families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:07:52.170 --> 00:07:54.586 This is important to think about our history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:07:54.590 --> 00:07:55.454 not just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:55.454 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.534$  because I'm a history professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:56.534 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.809$  and I like to profess history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:07:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.110$  but also to help us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461 00:07:59.110 --> 00:07:59.570 you know,

 $00:07:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.410$  think about how did we end up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:01.469 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.303$  the policies we have and help free

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:03.303 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.930$  us from certain preconceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:08:04.930 --> 00:08:06.080 And think about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.670$  what could a different system that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:08:07.670 --> 00:08:08.814 was shaped differently, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:08:08.814 --> 00:08:09.846 how could we think about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}08{:}09.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.675$  Could we envision a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}08{:}11.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.500$  system that supports rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:13.561 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.261$  reports or investigates families

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:08:15.261 --> 00:08:17.386 and ensures children can thrive?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.924$  So to begin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}08{:}17.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.699$  I'm going to touch a bit on the history

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}08{:}19.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.365$  of child abuse as a medical concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.610$  Children have been hurt.

00:08:22.610 --> 00:08:22.920 Physically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.308$  emotionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:23.308 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.636$  sexually in their homes throughout history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.296$  But child abuse is a relatively new term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.380$  The 1960 saw the rise of a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:08:30.380 --> 00:08:31.685 category that battered child

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}08{:}31.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.500$  based on a seminal publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.920$  by pediatrician Henry Kemp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:08:34.920 --> 00:08:37.480 who you see in this cute little picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.080$  in his 1962 article on the New England.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00{:}08{:}40.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.762$  And he had this article where

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:42.762 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.420$  she described this new syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.101$  And even in this first article that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:08:48.101 --> 00:08:50.380 kind of created this category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.295$  the author suggested that abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:52.295 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.444$  was related to.

 $00:08:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.520$  Defect of character that allows parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.230$  to act on their aggressive impulses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:08:58.230 --> 00:08:59.670 So from the very beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:08:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.066$  the focus was on what's psychologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:09:03.066 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.330$  wrong with abusive parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:09:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.690$  Kemp's research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:09:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.950$  which I think many of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

 $00:09:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.102$  know a little bit about history

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:09:08.102 --> 00:09:09.245 of child abuse heard about him

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:09:09.245 --> 00:09:10.095 and heard about his work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862855621538461

00:09:10.100 --> 00:09:12.354 So it's it was very well received,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.650$  it was published with much fanfare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.860$  it was in the news,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.358$  had a lot of interest in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.900$  and it's commonly credited with

00:09:17.900 --> 00:09:19.440 the rediscovery of child abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.533$  although of course it's never one man

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:21.533 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.349$  doing something and creating something and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:23.349 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.740$  in fact have a category of child abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.694$  There's a whole bunch of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:26.694 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.580$  knowledge that has to happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:09:27.580 --> 00:09:28.980 including the rise of radiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667 00:09:28.980 --> 00:09:29.516 for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:29.516 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.070$  to be able to to point out broken bones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.970$  But this is kind of a moment in time in

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}09{:}34.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.834$  which we think about the category of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:09:35.840 --> 00:09:37.916 Child abuse and after this publication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667 00:09:37.920 --> 00:09:38.688 I think 62, NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:38.688 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.224$  there was an explosion of child

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:40.224 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.889$  abuse literature and physicians view

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:41.889 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.923$  child abuse as in medical problem,

 $00:09:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.545$  and they readily adopted medicalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}09{:}45.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}47.869$  theories to make sense of what seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}09{:}47.869 \to 00{:}09{:}49.504$  to be an inexplicable phenomenon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.410$  the deliberate injury of children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.670$  How can even understand that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.326$  In the mid to late 1960s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:09:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.306$  numerous academic articles suggested

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}09{:}57.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.282$  psychological mechanisms to explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:09:59.282 --> 00:10:01.940 why adults hurt kids and what forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}10{:}01.940 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03.910$  of the rapy could help prevent that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:03.910 --> 00:10:06.058 Whoops, wrong way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.560$  Also wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.310$  Wait, so this is a review of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.818$  the child abusing parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:09.820 --> 00:10:10.591 And of course,

00:10:10.591 --> 00:10:12.133 the popular press also took a

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:12.133 --> 00:10:14.235 role in this debate and embracing

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:14.235 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.306$  different descriptions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:15.306 --> 00:10:16.919 child abusers as mentally ill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.056$  sensationalizing a topic of great interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:19.060 --> 00:10:20.992 And so here you see the child

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:20.992 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.340$  abuse is a retroactive abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:23.340 --> 00:10:25.355 So now thinking about abusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}10{:}25.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.370$  parents as sick helps deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:27.445 --> 00:10:29.749 politicize a political category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667 00:10:29.750 --> 00:10:30.582 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:30.582 --> 00:10:33.910 how do we treat poor and struggling families?

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.424$  It also helps doctors maintain jurisdiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:36.424 --> 00:10:38.971 over a very complex social problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:38.971 --> 00:10:41.365 by medicalizing what seems to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667 00:10:41.370 --> 00:10:42.300 you know,

 $00:10:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.625$  a category that's much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:44.625 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.020$  complex and political.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.960$  But this decision to medicalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}10{:}47.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.900$  child abuse and medicalize or

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:49.964 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.189$  psychologize the the problems of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:52.189 --> 00:10:53.969 poor parents didn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:53.969 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.879$  match the existing evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667 00:10:55.880 --> 00:10:56.576 And 1870,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:10:56.576 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.316$  Brandi sociologist David Gill published

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:10:58.316 --> 00:11:00.858 a book called Violence Against Children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.336$  a monograph on child abuse in

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:02.336 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.074$  the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}11{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.459$  It was funded by the Children's Bureau.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}11{:}04.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.750$  And again it did a nationwide

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.395$  survey where you got a lot of data

 $00:11:07.395 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.505$  and looked at all the reports

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:08.548 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.937$  of child abuse in 1967 and 68,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:11:09.937 --> 00:11:11.251 trying to figure out what were

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:11.251 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.730$  the scope and risk factors for

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.320$  child abuse in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:11:14.320 --> 00:11:16.834 And he discovered that were significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:16.834 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.510$  racial and socioeconomic differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:18.573 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.337$  in the incidence of child abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}11{:}20.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.538$  He argued that there was an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:11:22.538 --> 00:11:24.162 incidence of physical abuse and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}11{:}24.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.040$  serious injuries to children in poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.860$  Families and in minority communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00{:}11{:}27.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.581$  and Gill suggested that I quote life

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:30.581 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.249$  and poverty generates stressful

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:32.249 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.774$  experiences which are likely to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:34.774 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.749$  precipitating factors of child abuse.

00:11:36.750 --> 00:11:39.990 Poverty, according to gill, rates stressors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.216$  That predispose people to to abusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:11:42.216 --> 00:11:44.349 behavior and because there are quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.430$  fewer alternatives than escapes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.510$  deal with these aggressive impulses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:11:48.510 --> 00:11:50.052 There are many links between poverty

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:50.052 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.530$  and physical abuse of children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78735324166666700:11:51.530 --> 00:11:51.978 he argues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

00:11:51.978 --> 00:11:53.546 And he said that looking at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.932$  looking at this data should convince

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:55.932 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.355$  observers that we have to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.787353241666667

 $00:11:58.355 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.022$  poverty in order to prevent child abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

00:12:01.030 --> 00:12:02.630 Gillen General was interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

00:12:02.630 --> 00:12:04.630 in how society fails children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

00:12:04.630 --> 00:12:06.367 In fact, he argued, if you want to look

00:12:06.367 --> 00:12:08.089 at who's abusing children the most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.510$  it's the US government,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.285$  by creating all these situations

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:11.285 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.285$  in which children suffer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:13.285 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.260$  cannot meet their full potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

00:12:15.260 --> 00:12:16.604 He also in his first pages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:16.604 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.959$  the book I showed earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.816$  he asked, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00{:}12{:}18.816 \to 00{:}12{:}20.750$  why do we care so much about physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

00:12:20.750 --> 00:12:22.822 abuse of children when we're not asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00{:}12{:}22.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.760$  why is the government failing kids?

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

00:12:24.760 --> 00:12:28.800 And our focus on the this physical illnesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.804$  the physical abuse of kids is

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:30.804 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.048$  a way to divert attention from

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:33.048 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.118$  the real issues at hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.072$  And he says it,

 $00:12:36.072 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.500$  it's a relief for the consciousness

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:37.547 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.831$  of of the conscious of society

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:38.831 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.640$  and a whole and for the conscious

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

00:12:40.640 --> 00:12:41.840 of many individual parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.376$  And you know, we're good parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.230$  other people are bad parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.630$  And there's no there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00:12:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.030$  role to think about society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

00:12:48.030 --> 00:12:49.878 and we should be focusing on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.754906042222222

 $00{:}12{:}49.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.390$  American Society is failing kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:12:53.600 --> 00:12:55.600 Interesting, and I think worthwhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:12:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.092$  worthwhile thinking about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}12{:}57.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.664$  Like Gil, many researchers in the 70s believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:12:59.664 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.870$  that poverty and racism were risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:01.870 --> 00:13:04.102 not just for overreporting or over

00:13:04.102 --> 00:13:05.992 surveillance, but for abuse itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}13{:}05.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.504$  Parents who struggle with

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:07.504 --> 00:13:08.916 structural inequities, joblessness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:08.916 --> 00:13:11.540 stress, hunger, social isolation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:11.540 --> 00:13:12.954 even not having a phone at home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:12.960 --> 00:13:14.376 which was a risk factor at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.740$  are more likely to lash

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.100$  out and hurt their kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:17.100 --> 00:13:19.480 And, and we know parenting is hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:19.480 --> 00:13:21.616 and without sufficient support or respite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}13{:}21.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.450$  parents are more likely to snap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.256$  Poverty and racism could lead to child

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}13{:}25.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.780$  abuse and needed to be addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}13{:}26.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.184$  as a way to protect children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.846$  That's what Gil was saying at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.128$  But it wasn't his, just him.

 $00:13:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.138$  It wasn't a radical view at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}13{:}33.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.428$  And today even socioeconomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:34.428 --> 00:13:36.038 hardship is still considered a

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:36.038 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.568$  risk factor for child abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.176$  For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:13:38.176 --> 00:13:39.691 our studies that have compellingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:39.691 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.242$  showed that their association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:41.242 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.006$  rates of abusive head trauma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:43.006 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.956$  infants and showing up to the emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}13{:}44.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.560$  room and the economic effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.300$  the 2008 recession and many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}13{:}48.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.414$  studies that I'm sure and a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:50.414 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.190$  abuse doctors here are familiar with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}13{:}52.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.035$  But while many researchers argued

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:54.035 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.880$  for the importance of addressing

 $00:13:55.942 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.702$  poverty and social inequities as

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:57.702 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.462$  causal factors in child abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:13:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.355$  their voices were unheeded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:01.355 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.486$  politicians and policymakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.093$  So two main approaches emerge in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:04.093 --> 00:14:05.987 first decade of thinking about child abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:05.990 --> 00:14:06.888 One medicalized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:06.888 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.133$  focusing on the perceived psychopathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}14{:}09.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.808$  of these individual parents or caregivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.564$  what is wrong with them? And the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:13.564 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.646$  other focusing on societal risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.630$  What makes children less safe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:17.630 --> 00:14:18.372 But obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}14{:}18.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.227$  policy makers have a vested interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:20.227 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.190$  in adopting the narrower view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.746$  Of child maltreatment rather

 $00:14:23.746 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.080$  than the one that require them

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:26.147 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.059$  to address social inequities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:28.060 --> 00:14:30.195 Activists also weighed in on the debate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.524$  and one group I examined at length

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:32.524 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.450$  in my slide is parents anonymous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.620$  and this is her founder Jolly K,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:36.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.688$  depicted on this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:37.688 --> 00:14:39.626 And this group was primarily a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:39.626 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.288$  of white educated women who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:41.288 --> 00:14:42.799 worried that they might physically

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:42.799 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.354$  or verbally abuse her kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.825$  And the parents anonymous group

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:45.825 --> 00:14:46.997 organized in similar ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.940$  like support groups like Narcotics

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:48.940 --> 00:14:50.492 Anonymous or Alcoholics Anonymous,

 $00:14:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.068$  and they worked to kind of give

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:52.068 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.740$  support for parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.735$  The group also worked to expand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:54.735 --> 00:14:56.413 definitions of child abuse include

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:14:56.413 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.976$  emotional abuse, emotional neglect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:57.976 --> 00:14:58.772 Verbal abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:14:58.772 --> 00:15:00.762 but I'm really expanding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:15:00.762 --> 00:15:01.910 diluting the concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.076$  but also working to actively discount

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:04.076 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.790$  the role of poverty and discrimination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:06.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.063$  Instead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:15:07.063 --> 00:15:08.428 they wanted to enshrine views

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:08.428 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.626$  of child abuse as an issue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}15{:}10.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.328$  personal responsibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.810$  deriving from deep psychological roots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.370$  This script was widely influential,

 $00:15:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.266$  well known to all the elected

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:15:17.266 --> 00:15:18.214 officials and policymakers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.704$  and helps solidify this focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:15:20.704 --> 00:15:21.946 individual psychopathology rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:21.946 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.709$  than societal risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.114$  And there's a couple more pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:25.114 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.023$  of how that this is a piece about

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:15:27.023 --> 00:15:28.700 them in the newspaper and Woman's Day

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

00:15:28.700 --> 00:15:30.194 that attracted a lot of attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.866$  and this is one of their handbooks

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00{:}15{:}31.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.645$  that you can see kind of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.772130038

 $00:15:33.645 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.657$  their groups look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}15{:}34.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.840$  So I'm going to put them pin in this moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:15:36.899 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.971$  to remind us that the choice to ignore

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:15:38.971 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.916$  risk factors such as poverty and racism,

 $00:15:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.250$  and to prevent child abuses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}15{:}42.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.162$  that universal equal opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:15:44.162 --> 00:15:46.074 and interest psychic problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:15:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.720$  is exactly that, a choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:15:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.120$  A political choice influenced by activists

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:15:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.158$  who had their own agenda and politicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:15:53.158 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.336$  who wanted to avoid making certain decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:15:56.340 --> 00:15:58.797 In the 1970s, most child welfare experts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:15:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.560$  regardless of their political leanings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.915$  agreed that poverty was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}16{:}01.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.593$  important risk factor at the heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:03.593 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.948$  of child abuse and neglect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.106$  and they offer different ideas about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:07.106 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.059$  social risk factors could be mitigated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.209$  But the idea to focus on poverty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}16{:}11.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.040$  was never accepted as mainstream,

 $00{:}16{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.602$  and one reason is that it could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:15.602 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.700$  potentially pathologize poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:16:16.768 --> 00:16:18.538 parents and their home lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.850$  By linking poverty as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.898$  risk factor for abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}16{:}20.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.556$  you could argue that poor parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:16:22.556 --> 00:16:23.660 are actually worse parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.525$  rather than that their social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:25.525 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.644$  situation was detrimental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:16:26.650 --> 00:16:28.498 To child rearing also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:16:28.498 --> 00:16:30.808 anti poverty measures were distinctly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}16{:}30.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.836$  unpopular in the mid 1970s even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:33.836 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.030$  child welfare advocates repeatedly argued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.575$  That addressing poverty was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:37.575 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.120$  crucial step in protecting children.

00:16:39.120 --> 00:16:40.215 Finally, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}16{:}40.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.040$  reporting parents for abuse at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.739$  least appears to cost nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.058$  Supporting poor parents could cost money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.190$  So what could an intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:16:48.190 --> 00:16:49.094 like this look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:49.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.180$  So one program I look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:16:50.180 --> 00:16:52.105 my book was developed by a pediatrician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:16:52.110 --> 00:16:52.730 Vincent Fontana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:16:52.730 --> 00:16:54.900 at the New York City Foundling Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}16{:}54.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.382$ Fontana was a well known physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:56.382 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.677$  but cure for President Eisenhower

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:57.677 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.237$  and earned some fame from that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:16:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.548$  And he said with the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:17:00.548 --> 00:17:01.840 director of the founding hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.550$  he had long argued that physicians

 $00:17:03.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.405$  should pay attention to the social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:05.405 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.055$  risk factors for child maltreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.490$  And so we developed this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:17:08.490 --> 00:17:09.920 demonstration program where they took,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:17:09.920 --> 00:17:10.568 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:17:10.568 --> 00:17:12.188 Mother infant dyads and provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:12.188 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.832$  them with residential care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:13.832 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.995$  essentially gave them a ton of intense

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:15.995 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.420$  psychotherapy as well as different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:17:17.420 --> 00:17:18.014 Courts such as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:17:18.014 --> 00:17:18.410 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}17{:}18.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.056$  home Eck classes and all kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}17{:}21.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.640$  different educational supports but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.590$  also housing and material supports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.710$  And on the follow up,

 $00:17:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.135$  Fontana's team found that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}17{:}28.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.892$  actually did really well that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:29.892 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.548$  mom infant dads could avoid separation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:17:31.548 --> 00:17:33.474 and were able to kind of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:33.474 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.308$  find a job and and be successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.081$  But they also did a host or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:37.081 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.144$  battery of psychological testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:38.144 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.915$  and they were kind of surprised to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}17{:}39.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.957$  find that there was no change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:41.957 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.145$  the parent psychological makeup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}17{:}43.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.350$  So fixing their psyche didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.550$  actually work but offering them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:17:47.550 --> 00:17:49.250 Real supports like housing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.950$  respite care gave these women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.914$  space to get back on their feet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}17{:}52.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.639$  and find housing and employment.

 $00:17:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.728$  This model that Fontana kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:17:56.728 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.786$  discovered by mistake would serve as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}17{:}58.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.893$  a model for the crisis nursery that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:18:00.893 --> 00:18:03.440 offers respite care for struggling families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:18:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.440$  which is still open today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:18:05.440 --> 00:18:07.246 But Fantanas approach of the respite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:18:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.528$  care and support is most used

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:18:08.528 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.859$  story of what could have been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:18:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.652$  Fontana was a vocal advocate and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00{:}18{:}11.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.079$  well respected child abuse expert,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

00:18:13.080 --> 00:18:14.802 and New York City was kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8833559168

 $00:18:14.802 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.540$  a semi celebrity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.420$  You see some pictures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}18{:}16.420 --> 00{:}18{:}17.300$  him at the White House,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.155$  you see him sitting here with Sophia

00:18:19.155 --> 00:18:22.286 Loren and a couple of other, well no people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:22.286 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.520$  But this model was never widely adopted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.275$  while attempts to support families

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:27.275 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.030$  and address poverty were underfunded

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:29.089 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.079$  and quietly ignored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:18:30.080 --> 00:18:32.808 And 1970 saw a growing emphasis on one

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:32.808 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.389$  singular aspect of child maltreatment policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.970$  Reporting, reporting suspicions of abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.084$  And this began the 60s and hasten

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:40.084 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.651$  considerably in the early 70s

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:18:41.651 --> 00:18:43.463 with the passage of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:18:43.463 --> 00:18:45.320 federal child Abuse Prevention Act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}18{:}45.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.455$  Promoted by Minnesota Democratic Senator

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:18:47.455 --> 00:18:50.054 Walter Mondale and with parents anonymous

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:18:50.054 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.854$  serving as a very important witness witness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:18:52.860 --> 00:18:54.715 the child abuse prevention and Treatment Act,

00:18:54.720 --> 00:18:56.109 also capped up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:18:56.109 --> 00:18:59.056 was signed in 1974 by President Nixon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:18:59.056 --> 00:19:01.001 Nixon was a president notorious

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:01.001 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.759$  for vetoing legislation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}19{:}02.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.728$  and he was convinced to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:04.728 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.712$  this law because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:05.720 --> 00:19:07.570 or partially in part because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:07.570 --> 00:19:09.718 it depicted child abuse is completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:09.718 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.150$  unrelated to risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:11.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.822$  Any social risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:12.822 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.076$  Capital emphasized mandated

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:14.076 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.330$  reporting of abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}19{:}15.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.018$  Getting federal standards for

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:17.018 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.706$  the reporting requirements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:18.706 --> 00:19:20.399 states started open hotlines,

 $00:19:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.950$  and with significant public awareness

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.220$  campaigns, the reports started pouring in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.875$  Their reports were primarily about

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:25.875 --> 00:19:27.512 struggling families, poverty, hunger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:27.512 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.456$  addiction, and adequate supervision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.338$  Yet there were in many states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.276$  most states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:32.276 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.616$  no further appropriation of funds

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:34.616 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.020$  to provide services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.099$  So we have a whole system of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}19{:}38.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.019$  reporting that bring more reports in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:40.020 --> 00:19:42.740 but no plan on what do we do with this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:42.740 --> 00:19:44.128 And at each juncture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:44.128 --> 00:19:45.516 policymakers work to further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:45.520 --> 00:19:46.981 Expand reporting requirements

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:46.981 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.929$  and increased penalties for

 $00:19:48.929 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.850$  non reporting the harms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.720$  primarily the poor families and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.210$  families of color were easily foreseen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:19:55.210 --> 00:19:56.745 These downstream harms were even

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}19{:}56.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58.280$  visible to politicians such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:58.332 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.935$  Walter Mondale right here on the on

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:19:59.935 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.649$  the slide a champion of capital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.306$  I'm going to tell you one story about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}20{:}03.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.620$  In 1976 a government agency worked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.976$  further expand mandatory reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:06.976 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.104$  and to set new guidance that requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:09.104 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.240$  even more reporting and especially to

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}20{:}11.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.448$  report require more reporting of neglect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:20:13.450 --> 00:20:15.186 And Mondale did not like that at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:15.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.722$  he wrote.

 $00:20:15.722 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.318$  Be very sharp letter to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:20:17.318 --> 00:20:18.478 government agency and he said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:20:18.480 --> 00:20:19.214 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:19.214 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.049$  the government has a responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:21.049 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.817$  to safeguard families and coding

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}20{:}22.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.959$  primarily those who are poor and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:24.959 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.531$  minority groups from being enveloped

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:26.531 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.730$  in a system which may label them

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:20:28.730 --> 00:20:30.680 permanently as criminal or deviants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}20{:}30.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.960$  but which also lack the resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}20{:}32.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34.480$  to provide necessary services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.172$  Model added that if the government

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:36.172 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.722$  agency the HW goes ahead with the model

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:38.722 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.086$  legislation model would personally

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:40.086 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.970$  write to all our state legislators

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.061$  and recommend that it not be adopted.

00:20:44.061 --> 00:20:44.783 So yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873 00:20:44.783 --> 00:20:45.144 Yeah. NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:45.144 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.949$  So ultimately this model legislation

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:46.949 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.120$  was quietly dropped thanks to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.870$  objection of Mondale and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:20:50.870 --> 00:20:52.430 you know, different interest groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.808$  particularly for poor families and families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.646$  Minority backgrounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:20:56.646 --> 00:20:58.736 But in the following decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:20:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.312$  the requirements for reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:21:00.312 --> 00:21:01.884 have consistently been broadened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}21{:}01.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.260$  leading to the same clearly foreseeable

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00{:}21{:}04.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.569$  outcomes that Mondale had mapped out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

 $00:21:06.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.370$  So this is a story kind of not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.876492873

00:21:08.370 --> 00:21:10.270 that Mondale wasn't mentioned and had,

00:21:10.270 --> 00:21:11.846 you know, they could see what was coming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}21{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.834$  but also to make us think about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:13.834 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.670$  are we talking about when we talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.799$  unintended consequences and would it be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:18.799 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.967$  better replaced by a term that is clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.130$  foreseeable and ignored consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:21:24.130 --> 00:21:26.757 In fact, in many states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:26.757 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.851$  reports of child abuse and maltreatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:28.851 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.870$  increased at precisely the same time

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:21:30.870 --> 00:21:32.480 that these states were decreasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}21{:}32.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.526$  funding for state and local services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.714$  Parents often derive no material benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:36.714 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.629$  from a report about hunger or homelessness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.710$  And the same thing today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:21:40.710 --> 00:21:43.116 most reports majority of reports and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}21{:}43.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.650$  without the provision of new services.

00:21:45.650 --> 00:21:47.746 And this is not just me kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}21{:}47.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.788$  judging it and the words of the 1990

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}21{:}49.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.189$  report of United States Advisory

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:51.189 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.829$  Board on child abuse neglect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.356$  I'm going to quote it is far

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:54.356 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.718$  easier to pick up the phone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.450$  The report one's neighbor then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:21:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.322$  was to pick up the phone and reach

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:00.322 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.800$  out to offer help and and support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.128$  And this would focus on reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:05.128 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.299$  has a real and devastating impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.698$  As more children are being reported,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}22{:}09.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.068$  child welfare agencies increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:11.068 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.524$  the number of kids are being removed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:13.524 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.559$  from their homes.

00:22:14.560 --> 00:22:15.826 In 19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:15.826 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.710$  sixty 272,000 kids were in foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.295$  care in the United States at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:19.295 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.819$  rate of 3.9 per thousand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:20.819 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.058$  A decade later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.880$  the number was almost 320,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.792$  at a rate of 4.4 per thousand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:26.792 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.760 \text{ In } 1977,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.523$  over half a million kids are in foster care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.740$  These kids are disproportionately from

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.599$  low income homes and children of color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.100$  With these high rates of child

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:22:37.100 --> 00:22:38.640 placement and out of home care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.859$  it's all but inevitable that these kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:40.859 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.058$  are being placed in unsafe situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.974$  Concerned about kids being abused in

 $00:22:44.974 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.050$  foster care began garnering more attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.972$  Lawsuits, too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:47.972 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.433$  Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:48.433 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.738$  foster care was expensive throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:50.738 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.660$  the 1970s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.598$  The cost of foster care rose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.052$  and the cost is increasingly borne

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}22{:}55.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.600$  by state and federal governments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:22:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.336$  Between fiscal years 1971 and 79,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:22:59.340 --> 00:23:01.325 the total state and federal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:23:01.325 --> 00:23:03.310 expenditures on AFDC foster care

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:03.379 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.272$  went from \$70 million to 400.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:06.272 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.774$ \$1,000,000 suddenly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:07.774 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.778$  policymakers were paying attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.495$  We can see this in the hearings

 $00:23:12.495 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.936$  that preceded the 1980 adoption

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:13.936 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.576$  assistant and Shall Welfare Act,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:23:15.580 --> 00:23:16.696 which I'm going to call Aqua.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.244$  Moving forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:17.244 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.420$  There was a lot of hearings and testimony,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.140$  and witnesses deplored what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}23{:}21.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.516$  termed the unnecessary removal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:22.516 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.057$  of kids from their homes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}23{:}24.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.845$  which could have been prevented

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:25.845 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.916$  within home services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.270$  The testimony highlighted the connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}23{:}29.270 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.396$  between more reporting, more removal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:31.396 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.828$  And I get 80.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}23{:}32.830 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.326$  President Carter Science Aqua

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:34.326 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.570$  outlining 2 main methods to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:36.638 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.626$  the number of kids in foster care.

 $00:23:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.284$  The first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}23{:}39.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.592$  providing funding for services

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:40.592 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.602$  to prevent the need to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:23:42.602 --> 00:23:43.890 children from their homes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.790$  so services or preservation services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.112$  The second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:47.112 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.756$  creating a federal adoption

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:23:49.756 --> 00:23:51.078 assistance program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.084$  For the back end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:23:53.084 --> 00:23:54.587 Aqua champion enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:23:54.587 --> 00:23:56.090 family preservation services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}23{:}56.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.547$  But it could have not have passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:23:58.547 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.453$  at a less opportune time 1980.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00{:}24{:}01.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.568$  And the Reagan administration in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

00:24:04.568 --> 00:24:06.813 early January 1981 already made

 $00:24:06.813 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.468$  it abundantly clear that its

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:24:08.468 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.429$  plans were to reduce funding for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85636325375

 $00:24:10.429 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.277$  child welfare in general and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.956$  a on appropriations for service specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:14.960 --> 00:24:16.544 There was also some talk of block grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.747$  but it was clear that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.549$  this is not going to be funded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:19.550 --> 00:24:21.638 But I can't say that a lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}24{:}21.638 \to 00{:}24{:}23.289$  funding was the only problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:23.290 --> 00:24:25.614 In fact, there were many problems with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}24{:}25.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.130$  these family preservation services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:27.130 --> 00:24:28.835 There was no concrete guidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:28.835 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.540$  about how states would implement

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:30.598 --> 00:24:32.170 family preservation programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.226$  And what were these programs like at all?

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.850$  Well, for the most part,

 $00:24:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.518$  these interventions focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:37.518 --> 00:24:39.742 primarily on offering intensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:39.742 --> 00:24:42.030 short-term casework and therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.374$  usually about 90 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:43.374 --> 00:24:44.718 It's like intense therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:44.718 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.990$  and parenting education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:45.990 --> 00:24:48.078 And this is a review of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:24:48.078 --> 00:24:49.820 how intensive casework fails to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.200$  reduce foster care placements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.567$  They looked at 36 programs like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769 00:24:54.570 --> 00:24:55.689 Why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:55.689 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.062$  Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:56.062 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.554$  the interventions were essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}24{:}57.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.520$  designed to fix parents rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:24:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.445$  than address poverty and the

 $00:25:01.445 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.079$  material challenges parents faced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769 00:25:03.080 --> 00:25:03.880 As such, NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.280$  these interventions were destined to fail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.374$  setting the stage to argue that family

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:09.374 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.039$  preservation was useless or even dangerous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.498$  This would have far reaching implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:25:16.500 --> 00:25:17.049 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:17.049 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.343$  these underfunded and ineffective and often

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:25:20.343 --> 00:25:23.440 misguided attempts at family preservation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:25:23.440 --> 00:25:25.690 focusing primarily on fixing parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}25{:}25.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.035$  emerged as the main legacy of Aqua.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.410$  Child welfare experts and policymakers

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.780$  use these deficiencies for family

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.990$  preservation to argue that family

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:25:34.990 --> 00:25:37.660 preservation had been tried and failed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.668$  setting the stage for the 1997 passage

 $00:25:40.668 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.916$  of the adoption and Safe Families Act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.602$  Adoption and Safe Families Act reduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}25{:}46.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.390$  requirements for family preservation

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:48.459 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.189$  attempts and shifted the focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:50.189 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.520$  towards freeing kids up for adoption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}25{:}52.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.446$  As for emphasize the termination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:25:54.446 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.439$  parental rights and new category that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}25{:}56.439 \rightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.069$  really wasn't utilized much before

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:25:58.069 --> 00:26:00.713 that and it was based on an arbitrary

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}26{:}00.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.318$  timeline which was a negotiations

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.018$  between the Senate and the House.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:04.020 --> 00:26:05.836 But ended up being if you had spent

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}26{:}05.836 \to 00{:}26{:}07.938$  15 out of the past 22 months in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}26{:}07.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.640$  substitute care then the state had

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.684$  to go ahead and move forward the

00:26:11.684 --> 00:26:14.080 termination of parental rights or just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.208$  That's why they were not doing so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.830$  And this is not just in the cases of abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.510$  This is in all cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.525$  so there's only an arbitrary

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:21.525 --> 00:26:22.956 timeline of how long the kid had

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:22.956 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.886$  been outside of the house.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.038$  So regardless of whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:25.038 --> 00:26:26.186 abuse had ever happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:26.190 --> 00:26:29.166 So if fixing parents was futile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}26{:}29.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.210$  the next logical step was simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:31.210 --> 00:26:32.966 moving forward to provide kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}26{:}32.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.626$  with no parents via adoption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.919$  This was the culmination of an approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:36.919 --> 00:26:39.401 that located A cause of abuse or

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:39.401 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.549$  neglect with an individual parent psyche,

 $00:26:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.405$  and not a reflection of the societal

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:43.405 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.530$  challenges of family might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.456$  Space and I I would love to talk more

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:46.456 --> 00:26:48.435 about Astra because that's my new book

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:48.435 --> 00:26:50.274 project and it's I can talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:50.274 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.579$  how it was championed by adoption advocates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.225$  how it was viewed as an anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:54.225 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.695$  abortion measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}26{:}54.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.572$  I have a lot of things to say about

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}26{:}56.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.736$  as phalt and about the timelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:26:57.736 --> 00:26:59.920 Ask me later how I feel about asphalt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00:26:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.864$  but I'm going to move forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}27{:}01.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.110$  and and leave time for a debate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

 $00{:}27{:}04.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.085$  So fixing parents by offering

NOTE Confidence: 0.853574169230769

00:27:06.085 --> 00:27:07.270 them parenting classes,

 $00:27:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.326$  anger management classes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00{:}27{:}08.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.438$  intensive the rapy and so forth has

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:10.438 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.229$  actually not been proven beneficial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.228$  And it's based on faulty assumptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:14.228 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.998$  that something is wrong with

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:15.998 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.510$  struggling and poor families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.490$  Parents are often coerced to participate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.058$  complete trainings and certifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:21.058 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.018$  and then their certification expires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.604$  so they have to go get another one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.966$  And if they don't get it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.282$  then you say if you don't complete these

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:27.282 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.665$  trains on time or if you don't get a new,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:27:28.670 --> 00:27:29.576 you know, certificate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:29.576 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.105$  then we will remove your kids or your

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:32.105 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.265$  parental rights might be revoked forever.

 $00:27:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.118$  But what if we offered services

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:36.118 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.350$  that were actually valuable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.372$  the parents that parents clamored to

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:27:39.372 --> 00:27:41.981 participate that they would want to be using

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:27:41.981 --> 00:27:43.781 rather than being forced to participate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.590$  What if we offered high

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:27:45.590 --> 00:27:47.030 quality childcare for kids?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.950$  What if we offered affordable housing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00{:}27{:}48.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.068$  Rather than trying to fix parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:27:51.070 --> 00:27:53.289 we should focus on fixing poverty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:53.289 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.981$  fixing the additional societal risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:54.981 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.015$  factors we've identified since the 1970s

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00{:}27{:}57.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59.527$  as being harmful to children and families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:27:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.342$  and ensuring that we can lift

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:01.342 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.558$  up families to thrive and grow

 $00:28:03.558 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.980$  without coercive interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00{:}28{:}04.980 \to 00{:}28{:}06.978$  Rather than paying money to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:06.978 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.024$  children outside of their communities by

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:09.024 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.313$  means of money that's going to foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:28:11.313 --> 00:28:13.538 care payments and adoption subsidies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00{:}28{:}13.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.765$  we could reinvest these funds

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:15.765 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.655$  into communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:28:16.660 --> 00:28:18.487 And I'm going to end my brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00{:}28{:}18.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.241$  presentation with this quote and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:28:20.241 --> 00:28:21.776 heartbreaking case of Makia Bryant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.460$  who was shot by the police outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.480$  of her foster home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:28:24.480 --> 00:28:25.880 Mackey is a morning grandmother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.714$  Miss Junior Hammond told the New York

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:27.714 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.393$  Times that her housing and security

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:29.393 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.709$  was the reason she was not allowed to

 $00:28:31.709 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.309$  care for her beloved granddaughter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429 00:28:33.310 --> 00:28:33.954 I quote, NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:33.954 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.886$  they could have just given me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.576$  What they give one pot foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00{:}28{:}37.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.717$  parent and then I could have gotten

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:39.717 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.619$  housing taking care of the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:41.619 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.496$  and done what I needed to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

00:28:43.500 --> 00:28:45.183 So I'm going to pause here and I look

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00{:}28{:}45.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46.579$  forward for actually our discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872013653571429

 $00:28:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.420$  Thank you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698737

 $00{:}28{:}49.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.720$  I stopped. I stopped sharing right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698737

00:28:51.720 --> 00:28:52.735 I should stop sharing my

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698737

 $00{:}28{:}52.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.750$  slide and stop sharing slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7694291025

 $00:29:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.870$  And use this now OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74849745

 $00:29:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.570$  I pushed back. And when

 $00:29:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.470$  can I take my mask off?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00{:}29{:}25.470 --> 00{:}29{:}26.260$  I won't cough on you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.550$  I'm. I'm well. I promise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

00:29:28.550 --> 00:29:29.818 Everyone here. OK. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:29.818 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.086$  I think it's projecting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.760$  Yeah. Thank you for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.152$  Brilliant walk through history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:36.152 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.740$  There's so much to talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.010$  and I think you poignantly described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.820$  A flawed system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.170$  And there's there's nothing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00{:}29{:}45.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.847$  me as a child abuse pediatrician

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:46.847 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.467$  that wants to argue about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:29:48.524 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.826$  flaws in the system at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

00:29:49.830 --> 00:29:53.370 I think you've summarized it beautifully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00{:}29{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.394$  What I would like to do is frame our

 $00:29:56.394 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.088$  discussion around the reality of today and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00{:}29{:}59.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.070$  The problems that I confront

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:30:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.050$  frequently as a child abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

00:30:03.122 --> 00:30:05.810 pediatrician that you know well about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00{:}30{:}05.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09.050$  and I'd like to kind of start by

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:30:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.660$  operationalizing some of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

00:30:10.660 --> 00:30:12.280 challenges you pose to the system

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

00:30:12.280 --> 00:30:14.228 that I again believe are rightly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742049368

 $00:30:14.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.040$  rightly posed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

 $00:30:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.680$  But let's talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

 $00:30:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.912$  problem of child safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

 $00:30:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.624$  because it's real, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

 $00:30:22.624 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.328$  So nothing about your

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

 $00{:}30{:}24.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.920$  remarks suggests otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

 $00:30:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.100$  Not saying that children are harmed,

 $00:30:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.115$  children are killed at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

00:30:31.115 --> 00:30:33.282 hands of caregivers. It's real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

00:30:33.282 --> 00:30:36.614 And I don't think anyone doubts that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94393232777778

 $00:30:36.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.620$  Where does responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.94393232777778

 $00:30:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.972$  for keeping children safe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

 $00{:}30{:}39.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.633$  Why in the system and and I I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

 $00:30:42.633 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.337$  like to frame it around even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94393232777778

 $00:30:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.663$  just like today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943932327777778

00:30:45.663 --> 00:30:47.427 like someone's in trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828425001428571

 $00:30:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.570$  How do we face, how do we address

NOTE Confidence: 0.828425001428571

 $00{:}30{:}51.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.208$  safety and your thoughts on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530046

 $00:30:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.965$  Thank you. Wow, that's a that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530046

 $00:30:56.965 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.057$  a really tough question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746103498

 $00{:}30{:}58.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00.130$  right, but there a couple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746103498

 $00:31:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.162$  I'm going to answer it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.746103498

00:31:01.162 --> 00:31:02.080 a couple of different ways.

 $00:31:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.970$  So the first is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746103498

00:31:03.970 --> 00:31:05.670 you know I really appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.746103498

 $00:31:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.570$  the job that. Not visible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73764739777778

 $00{:}31{:}10.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11.150$  We should gather around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737647397777778

 $00:31:11.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.745$  camera so that you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.73764739777778

 $00:31:12.745 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.999$  you cannot be seen in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737647397777778

 $00:31:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.920$  So. Yeah, come over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73764739777778

 $00{:}31{:}16.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.460$  I don't know how to adjust it, I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73764739777778

00:31:20.460 --> 00:31:24.170 Sorry folks, can you hear me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73764739777778

 $00{:}31{:}24.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.620$  There's a there's a touch

NOTE Confidence: 0.948113654444444

 $00{:}31{:}25.630 \to 00{:}31{:}27.317$  screen down in the bottom right hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.948113654444444

 $00:31:27.317 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.028$  corner of the lectern top there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948113654444444

 $00:31:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.290$  If you tap that screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948113654444444

 $00:31:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.942$  it will light up and there should

NOTE Confidence: 0.948113654444444

 $00:31:31.942 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.297$  be some camera presets there.

 $00:31:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.878$  Oh. Oh, that's smart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818940141111111

00:31:47.480 --> 00:31:48.864 We could just sit here on a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.818940141111111

 $00:31:48.864 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.560$  chair. Thank you. Works too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818940141111111

 $00:31:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.718$  Elegant, simple solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77669268

 $00:32:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.160$  You start talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.682563708

 $00:32:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.480$  Where you are with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77170747

 $00:32:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.947$  That's going to be extra time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77170747

00:32:12.947 --> 00:32:14.100 think about a tough question, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898248957058824

 $00:32:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.542$  So I think I have a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.898248957058824

 $00:32:20.542 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.544$  different answers for how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.898248957058824

 $00:32:22.544 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.070$  keep kids safe? Does this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.59453696

 $00:32:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.220$  Good. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.468$  So. So I guess my my big answer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:36.468 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.251$  is when we think about safety is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:38.251 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.142$  what keeps us safe and the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:40.142 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.997$  is we keep safe and child offer.

 $00:32:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.578$  I don't think that the child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}32{:}43.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.268$  the child protection system

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:45.268 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.534$  keeps children safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.466$  And the way why I say that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:48.466 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.779$  there's so many cases in which there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:50.779 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.143$  already child protection involvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.036$  and cases of severe abuse already

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:32:54.036 --> 00:32:55.653 happen and they're already involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}32{:}55.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.225$  These are system involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:32:57.225 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.797$  children and abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:32:58.800 --> 00:33:00.277 You know this child is returned home

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:00.277 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.778$  only to have a terrible outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.236$  A child is placed in foster care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:03.236 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.789$  only to have a terrible outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.350$  And also there was the issue of what

 $00:33:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.416$  happens to foster care children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:33:07.416 --> 00:33:08.893 as they age out of the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}33{:}08.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.377$  And we know that there's a host

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:10.377 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.010$  of other problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.466$  So I'm not sure that the system is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:13.466 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.908$  actually doing what we would like it to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:33:15.910 --> 00:33:18.961 The other answer is that you see at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:33:18.961 --> 00:33:21.830 child's pediatrician the very worst cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}33{:}21.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.405$  which certainly need medical attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:23.405 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.430$  and probably needs some kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}33{:}25.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.630$  external coercive intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.510$  But most cases are in fact most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}33{:}28.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.269$  the cases of most of the reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.090$  So one and three children are system

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.412$  involved, have an investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}33{:}33.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.536$  Most of them are not substantiated.

 $00:33:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.400$  Of those that are substantiated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.577$  about 90% have to do with neglect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:39.577 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.199$  or supervision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.752$  and only a very small minority have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:42.752 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.680$  do with physical abuse and sexual abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.666$  So there's already all this space

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:47.666 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.164$  to be working on to reduce course

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:50.164 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.937$  of intervention on the many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:51.937 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.719$  many hundreds of thousands of children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}33{:}53.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.833$  who are involved that are are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:55.833 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.293$  being physically and sexually abused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.660$  But then how do we keep children safe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:33:59.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.638$  So aspirationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:00.638 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.572$  we build systems that help support

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:34:03.572 --> 00:34:05.819 families and communities and.

00:34:05.820 --> 00:34:06.162 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:06.162 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.359$  if somebody would ask me what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:07.359 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.679$  a policy that's actually helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:08.679 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.787$  and keeping children safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.946$  I would say the child tax credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.078$  So we know that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}34{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.130$  physical abuse to children has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.180$  is reduced when families have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:17.254 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.168$  enough food to eat and live.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.218$  So interventions like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:34:21.218 --> 00:34:23.266 help keep families safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.817$  But if you were asking me today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.172$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}34{:}25.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.404$  I'm sitting in front of a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:26.404 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.688$  who is being physically abused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:34:27.690 --> 00:34:28.770 What do I do right now?

 $00:34:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.212$  And that's a question I get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}34{:}30.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.149$  lot from pediatricians because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:31.149 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.257$  it's a reasonable question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.950$  This is what you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.928$  This is your job and you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.316$  you have a commitment to care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:35.316 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.950$  for the child in front of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.414$  And and so of course my answer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:38.414 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.958$  you have to follow by the laws of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:39.958 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.370$  your state and the policy of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00{:}34{:}41.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.073$  hospital and if the laws of your state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:43.073 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.914$  the policy of your hospital is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

00:34:44.914 --> 00:34:46.102 involve Child Protective Services

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:46.102 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.223$  and that's what you should be doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.036$  But most of the cases are are

 $00:34:50.036 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.716$  not that clear cut and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:51.716 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.683$  this belief that you know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.406$  even think about abuse you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903166

 $00:34:55.406 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.510$  to call CPS and

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:34:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.330$  they'll work it out which is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00{:}34{:}58.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.276$  not true that's not what the statute

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:00.276 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.671$  requires but also there's there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00{:}35{:}01.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.202$  an article called about mutual

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00{:}35{:}03.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.256$  deference that that you think the CPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:05.256 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.536$  will started out because there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

00:35:06.540 --> 00:35:08.620 Yes, experts, CPS thinks ohh, the doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.020$  called us and the doctors and experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.502$  So and so everybody thinks somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

00:35:11.502 --> 00:35:12.889 else actually knows what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

00:35:12.890 --> 00:35:14.966 And I think for physicians, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00{:}35{:}14.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.531$  you are substituting your clinical

 $00:35:16.531 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.394$  judgment and your relationship with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00{:}35{:}18.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.720$  family for a caseworker who has so many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

00:35:20.720 --> 00:35:22.760 You know, it depends on the state but

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.497$  might have an undergraduate degree and

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:24.497 \dashrightarrow 00:35:26.583$  maybe making 950 an hour in Louisiana

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

00:35:26.583 --> 00:35:28.759 depends on you know and and you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.168$  they don't necessarily know better than you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.079$  So there's this you know, if you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00{:}35{:}33.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.250$  about the abuse of course you should call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.738$  But if you don't think there is abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00{:}35{:}37.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.492$  That is not the statute does not require

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:39.492 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.428$  you to call which is something we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00{:}35{:}41.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.669$  I didn't think abuse was going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

00:35:42.670 --> 00:35:44.791 but I called anyway because I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:44.791 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.760$  was worried about a host of other,

00:35:46.760 --> 00:35:47.284 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

 $00:35:47.284 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.118$  implications if I don't do so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.751431273666667

00:35:49.118 --> 00:35:50.809 hope that's answered the part

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:35:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.560$  we're going to have questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:35:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.152$  Also I think you might be interested to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:35:55.152 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.521$  that one of the initiatives in our work

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:35:57.521 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.038$  as child abuse pediatricians here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.026$  And this is recognized and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00{:}36{:}02.026 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}36{:}03.354$  financially supported by our

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:36:03.354 --> 00:36:04.715 state's Child Protective Agency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00{:}36{:}04.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.540$  the Department of Children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.980$  Families is that we attempt to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00{:}36{:}09.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.062$  Provide our consultation in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:36:12.062 --> 00:36:13.450 prevent those unnecessary reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.038$  because we strongly agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:15.038 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.048$  That a report is not a benign thing to do.

 $00{:}36{:}19.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.070$  It can feel that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.510$  Let someone else figure it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.990$  I'll play it safe and call it in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.610$  We would strongly disagree with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.446$  I would strongly disagree with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:28.446 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.701$  and leave my team and agreeing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:36:30.701 --> 00:36:32.639 me and and our feel particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:32.639 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.702$  victory when we can prevent an

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:36:34.702 --> 00:36:36.377 unnecessary report from being made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.475$  which is actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:36:37.475 --> 00:36:39.665 Been a source of enhanced support

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.936$  of our work by our state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.480$  So I think that's a hopeful sign

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.698$  that we're moving in the direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.064$  I think I'm going to push

 $00:36:46.064 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.966$  back a little bit on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00{:}36{:}46.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.004$  I agree with you that that that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:49.004 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.672$  intervention is not always safe for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:50.672 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.697$  children at harm comes from foster care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.400$  We've already talked about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.384$  but there are kids I think whose lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:36:56.384 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.596$  are saved by being separated from parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:36:58.600 --> 00:37:00.560 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00{:}37{:}00.560 --> 00{:}37{:}01.911$  I don't know that they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:37:01.911 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.769$  better life because of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:37:02.770 --> 00:37:04.611 So I would like to get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:37:04.611 --> 00:37:06.182 granular about how we protect

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:37:06.182 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.764$  those children but as safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

00:37:07.764 --> 00:37:11.858 Do you think safety is the is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:37:11.858 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.795$  important factor to bring to bear

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:37:14.795 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.966$  in in in society's view of children?

00:37:16.966 --> 00:37:19.399 I mean it's but one of some other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00{:}37{:}19.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.098$  Things we could talk about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6589022

 $00:37:21.098 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.664$  benefit children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.354$  Well, first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:24.354 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.484$  I'm really excited to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:37:25.484 --> 00:37:26.754 about this program that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:26.754 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.994$  doing and reducing reports and

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}37{:}27.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29.599$ I have anyone also Pennsylvania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}37{:}29.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30.974$  they're trying to have a separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:30.974 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.489$  pathway as well in New Jersey that

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:32.489 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.048$  if you used to be that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}37{:}34.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.368$  to call CPS for everything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}37{:}35.368 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}37{:}36.999$  that's the way to get services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:36.999 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.260$  And that's what child abuse pediatrician and

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:39.315 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.535$  longtime advocate Eli Newberger is called,

 $00:37:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.008$  the abuse ification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:43.008 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.109$  child welfare services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.526$  You don't want child abuse investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:37:45.530 --> 00:37:46.748 You want services for your kid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:37:46.750 --> 00:37:48.745 But how do you get services without

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:48.745 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.945$  involving the child protection

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:49.945 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.940$  system and and there should be and

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.866$  there and there are in some states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:53.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.569$  Alternative pathways also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.322$  Especially in hospitals that are well

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:37:59.322 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.827$  funded and have a child that used team it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.414$  it seems to me that the policy that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:03.414 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.888$  make more sense is called the experts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.540$  called the child abuse experts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.728$  have them weigh in before you call CPS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.088$  And I think that would be a

 $00:38:10.088 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.290$  very easy policy to institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}38{:}11.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.264$  But there's often a reluctance to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:13.264 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.107$  any policy in place that involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:38:15.107 --> 00:38:17.091 please call us because there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:38:17.091 --> 00:38:18.826 thought about liability to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.076$  And I've also worked with a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:20.076 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.633$  abuse team at CHOP and they said the

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:38:21.633 --> 00:38:22.770 most frustrating thing for us is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667 00:38:22.770 --> 00:38:23.172 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:23.172 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.378$  we don't think it's child abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:24.378 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.489$  where the child abuse team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}38{:}25.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26.825$  but somebody has already called

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}38{:}26.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.400$  CPS and once that's called you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.452$  Can't unring that bell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:29.452 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.030$  And then there's a host of

 $00:38:31.086 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.350$  downstream consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.450$  So I am certainly on the same page with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.214$  I guess I'm going to push back

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:36.214 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.207$  on the use of the term safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:38.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.666$  which to me it seems like a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}38{:}40.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.126$  political categories like we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:42.126 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.238$  about you know violent crime or we

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:38:44.238 --> 00:38:45.836 talk about anything, there's election,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:45.836 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.326$  there's all these concerns about

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:47.326 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.410$  crime and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.020$  Yes, there are children who are unsafe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.332$  it's a small minority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:38:51.332 --> 00:38:53.830 But child safety is not not by you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.728$  but used by politicians as a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:56.728 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.968$  to to justify a host of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:38:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.710$  Coercive interventions that devalue families,

 $00:39:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.454$  and I don't think it should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:05.460 \dashrightarrow 00:39:07.422$  I I don't think they should be in opposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:39:07.430 --> 00:39:08.907 As I say, we keep us safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.450$  communities keep us safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:39:10.450 --> 00:39:11.990 families keep children safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.132$  So I would like to reclaim what

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:14.132 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.789$  does it means to be safe and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}39{:}16.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.454$  adoption and Safe Families Act

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.366$  is one of perhaps the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:39:20.370 --> 00:39:23.219 Most outrageous use of the word safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.168$  because this is nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:39:24.168 --> 00:39:24.879 nothing about safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.470$  It's a grab a 15 out of 22 months and

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.497$  you have to move forward with termination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.532$  And of course the word safe is in

 $00:39:32.532 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.689$  the title and that's really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39{:}34.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.273$  discourse was about completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:39:36.273 --> 00:39:37.900 about thinking about safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.769$  But children are not safer when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:39.769 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.480$  removed from their parents and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:39:41.480 --> 00:39:42.910 parental rights are terminated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

00:39:42.910 --> 00:39:44.774 then create a new category of legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:44.774 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.287$  orphans because the rights are terminated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00{:}39{:}46.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.622$  but doesn't mean that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.759683917916667

 $00:39:47.622 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.690$  adoptive family wanting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:39:48.734 \dashrightarrow 00:39:50.448$  adopt these children. So we asked for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:39:50.448 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.108$  Pictured in like high numbers of children

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:39:52.108 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.770$  who were separated from their families

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:39:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.507$  of origin but have nowhere to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:39:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.526$  We also know that once an

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:39:57.526 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.534$  adoption is finalized.

 $00:39:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.040$  The case is closed so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00{:}40{:}00.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01.360$  don't know what happens next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.376$  So this is terrible case about two

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

00:40:03.376 --> 00:40:05.008 white women who adopted multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

00:40:05.008 --> 00:40:06.768 black siblings from the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:06.768 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.816$  family and they drove them off

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:08.816 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.682$  a Cliff and mother wasn't even

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

00:40:10.682 --> 00:40:12.420 informed because there is no data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.373$  Once a child is adopted and the rights are

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00{:}40{:}14.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.738$  terminated she's no longer the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00{:}40{:}15.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.064$  So she has three in the new spaper

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:18.064 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.419$  about about the death of her child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.964$  So this is going to very long answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

00:40:21.964 --> 00:40:23.564 to say can we reclaim safety and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:23.564 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.033$  think about safety as what happens

 $00:40:25.033 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.539$  in our communities and what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:26.539 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.070$  in our homes and how do we support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.425$  Safe communities in which children

NOTE Confidence: 0.73589395

 $00:40:30.425 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.509$  and families can thrive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72596951

 $00:40:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.569$  Let's reclaim it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8821533176

00:40:34.640 --> 00:40:36.831 I'm. I'm for it. Although I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8821533176

 $00:40:36.831 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.788$  the the necessity of providing safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8821533176

 $00:40:38.788 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.702$  haven for kids in danger remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.8821533176

00:40:40.702 --> 00:40:42.679 a problem for the small few,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8821533176

 $00:40:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.960$  we're not necessarily going

NOTE Confidence: 0.74492177777778

 $00:40:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.220$  to solve this. I am on the same page,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74492177777778

 $00:40:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.412$  there are a small group of children who

NOTE Confidence: 0.74492177777778

 $00{:}40{:}48.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.840$  need intervention. I agree with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

 $00:40:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.517$  If you could remake the system now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

00:40:54.517 --> 00:40:56.246 what and and I've asked you these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

 $00{:}40{:}56.246 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}40{:}57.719$  questions to think about it ahead

00:40:57.719 --> 00:40:59.682 of time but you know would you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

 $00:40:59.682 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.236$  away with the child welfare system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

00:41:01.240 --> 00:41:03.767 Would you do away with mandated reporting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

 $00:41:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.266$  I think it's time to to think a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

 $00{:}41{:}06.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.918$  little bit about what could be and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

 $00:41:08.918 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.558$  start to envision the possibilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

00:41:10.558 --> 00:41:13.314 and then I know I know other people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732853525

 $00:41:13.314 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.039$  have probably questions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:41:17.500 --> 00:41:21.856 And so. I'll, I'll leave that on too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}41{:}21.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.708$  So how would I rethink about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:41:23.708 --> 00:41:24.940 the child welfare system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.718$  So I would like a system that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}41{:}26.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.920$  actually supports child welfare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.992$  So in the 1960s and 70s we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:41:29.992 --> 00:41:31.779 created a system of policing,

 $00:41:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.252$  of investigating and reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}41{:}33.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.132$  And before that, it's not that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}41{:}35.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.097$  child welfare system was perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.336$  In fact it was very racist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:41:37.340 --> 00:41:38.132 it was very painful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}41{:}38.132 \rightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.759$  but there other things were going on with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.225$  But it was focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:41.225 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.104$  providing welfare services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.460$  And what did that look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:41:43.460 --> 00:41:46.348 Well, I looked at 272 case

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}41{:}46.348 {\: -->\:} 00{:}41{:}48.418$  files in Philadelphia and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:48.418 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.550$  towel for records from 1960s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.390$  And very few had to do with safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:41:52.390 --> 00:41:54.199 They had to do with mom is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:41:54.199 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.799$  hospital for her gallbladder surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}41{:}55.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57.064$  She's going to be there for two weeks.

 $00:41:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.194$  We'll send a homemaker to take care of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:41:59.194 --> 00:42:01.287 kids because God forbid that has to cook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.570$  it has to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.356$  No, it had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:03.356 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.754$  So we had very concrete supports

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:04.754 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.368$  about what are the child welfare

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:06.368 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.464$  services that child needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.450$  And I don't want to be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.885$  oh, you know, this is so great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.694$  At the time there were a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:10.694 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.410$  lot of problems with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.084$  But you can still learn that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:13.084 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.554$  there are welfare services that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}42{:}14.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.324$  can be provided without the need

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:16.324 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.770$  for investigation or reporting.

 $00:42:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.360$  You don't need the policing system

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}42{:}19.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.780$  to provide the supporting system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:42:20.780 --> 00:42:23.684 And so I would want to divorce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:23.684 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.360$  investigations from the services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}42{:}25.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.914$  And I think that's the main problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:26.914 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.254$  is from for many investigations

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:28.254 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.809$  are a gateway to services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.338$  And we see that for mothers who use

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.492$  substances while they're pregnant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:33.492 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.932$  it's very hard to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}42{:}34.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.720$  In many states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.280$  it's very hard to get services

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}42{:}37.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39.028$  for addiction treatment if not

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}42{:}39.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40.460$  through the judicial system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.945$  In other cases, we, we see that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:42:42.950 --> 00:42:47.000 you know, a child in an urgent care case,

 $00:42:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.272$  pediatrician calls or maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:48.272 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.226$  not a pediatrician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.670$  It's it's whoever's on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.050$  Maybe pediatrician would have done that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.304$  But they call CPS because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:53.304 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.784$  child has bad teeth and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:54.784 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.980$  worried about medical neglect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:42:55.980 --> 00:42:56.241 No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:56.241 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.546$  they don't want the family

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:42:57.546 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.590$  punished for bad teeth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}42{:}58.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.526$  They want the kid to get dental care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.222$  But that report is not going to get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}43{:}02.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.918$  kid a dentist because that's not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:03.918 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.688$  pathway in which dental care is provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.902$  So can we create the pathways in

 $00:43:07.902 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.600$  which these services are provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}43{:}09.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.670$  without having to do this abusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.679$  fication of child welfare system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.328$  Amended reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:43:14.328 --> 00:43:16.920 I I think I'm actually pretty kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.420$  I'm pretty clear on that one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.952$  Stop it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:43:18.952 --> 00:43:21.080 I I don't think we need mandated reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.655$  You don't have to be a mandated

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:22.655 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.840$  reporter in order to report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}43{:}23.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.300$  I think people should continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.760$  reporting what they're worried about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.507$  But I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:27.507 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.001$  I don't think that there's data

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:43:29.001 --> 00:43:30.894 to show that mandated reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:30.894 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.478$  has improved the identification

00:43:32.478 --> 00:43:34.366 and outcomes for children who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00{:}43{:}34.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.154$  who are at risk of serious harms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:43:36.154 --> 00:43:37.946 And now we don't have a good study

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

00:43:37.946 --> 00:43:39.760 of no mandated reporting because

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.292$  all states started adopting

NOTE Confidence: 0.6083263

 $00:43:41.292 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.058$  mandate reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:43:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.522$  in 1960s. But we have pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}43{:}43.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.712$  robust studies that look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:43:44.712 --> 00:43:46.128 states with universal reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:43:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.021$  Versus those without.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:43:47.021 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.506$  And those show that states

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:43:48.506 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.620$  with universal reporting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}43{:}49.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.150$  which means that every single adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}43{:}51.150 \longrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.767$  is identified as a mandated reporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:43:52.767 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.685$  in a state that has a universal

 $00:43:54.685 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.015$  mandated reporting versus state

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:43:56.015 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.695$  that only have certain categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:43:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.776$  So states that have more in

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:43:59.776 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.160$  which everybody's a reporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.008$  they have more reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:03.008 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.394$  which seems obvious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.458$  but that doesn't translate to safer kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}44{:}06.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08.189$  And then we have other studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:08.189 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.600$  look at different and difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.448$  in state that change the reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:11.448 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.360$  requirements and these show that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:13.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.915$  you change your reporting requirements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:44:14.920 --> 00:44:16.408 you get more reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:44:16.408 --> 00:44:17.850 Uh, but again does it translate

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.710$  to more safety to join and I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:44:19.710 --> 00:44:20.950 that from my experience working

00:44:20.950 --> 00:44:22.500 in Pennsylvania and I have I work

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.853$  with the data in Pennsylvania and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:23.853 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.911$  after the Sandusky case they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:25.911 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.536$  passed a bunch of laws that explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:44:28.536 --> 00:44:30.446 with the categories for reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:30.446 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.430$  the penalties for non reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.140$  created a registry and in fact kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}44{:}35.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37.190$  were no safer in Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.961$  And in fact the rates of fatalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}44{:}38.961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40.230$  and near fatalities increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.538$  And the aftermath of these changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:41.538 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.136$  which I'm not going to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}44{:}43.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.266$  make a causal argument because

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:44.266 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.399$  somebody could clearly say something

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:45.399 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.665$  else that has to do with.

 $00:44:46.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.804$  How we report them or has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:47.804 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.910$  do with the opioid crisis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:48.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.105$  There many other reasons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:50.105 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.300$  you know their fatalities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:51.344 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.440$  near fatalities are rising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.296$  But I think it would be fair to

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:54.296 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.145$  say that increased reporting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}44{:}56.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57.909$  Pennsylvania was not associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:57.909 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.974$  with better detection or better

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:44:59.974 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.330$  outcomes for children so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.890$  I I am in that throw it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}45{:}03.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.826$  I I don't think you need mandated reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}45{:}05.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.874$  I think the reason we have mandated

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}45{:}07.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.756$  reporting and the reason we experimented

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:09.756 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.248$  a reporting is to be able to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:12.248 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.090$  punitive at people who didn't report.

 $00:45:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.776$  Because we always hear these terrible

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:15.776 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.590$  stories about people who didn't report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.278$  And then we're like how can we punish

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:45:19.278 --> 00:45:20.959 the people who didn't report at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}45{:}20.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.500$  time and that specific person who

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:45:22.500 --> 00:45:24.198 didn't report wasn't a mandated reporter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.656$  And then like well we'll make that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}45{:}25.656 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}$  guy a mandated reporter and next time

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}45{:}27.183 \to 00{:}45{:}29.229$  we'll get that's a very bad way to do policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:45:29.230 --> 00:45:32.156 But also I mean it's it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.272$  It's if the person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:33.272 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.662$  like in this industry case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:45:34.670 --> 00:45:35.678 they were children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:45:35.678 --> 00:45:37.943 were being raped by a coach and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:37.943 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.672$  this wasn't reported.

 $00:45:38.672 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.817$  So the threat of not being a mandate

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:40.817 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.417$  report wouldn't have changed outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.198$  I think it's hard to believe that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:44.198 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.029$  somebody who was covering up child rape

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:45:46.029 --> 00:45:48.008 would be swayed by the fact that, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:45:48.008 --> 00:45:49.044 my goodness, now I'm at a reporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.340$  now I have to report it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.952$  But I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00{:}45{:}50.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.380$ you said like somebody else could make

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:52.425 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.014$  an argument that it would have changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.540$  But my, my sense is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.540$  and I've been called to testify in before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

 $00:45:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.128$  you know, different legislators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:45:59.128 --> 00:46:01.115 including in the aftermath of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:46:01.115 --> 00:46:02.440 Larry Nassar case in Michigan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775351857727273

00:46:02.440 --> 00:46:04.408 And and they wanted to make,

00:46:04.410 --> 00:46:07.120 you know, expand mandatory reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.535$  because they wanted to be able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.458$  Get all those people who knew or

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

00:46:11.458 --> 00:46:13.213 thought they knew what Larry Nassar

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

00:46:13.213 --> 00:46:14.959 was doing and they didn't report

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

00:46:14.959 --> 00:46:17.204 it and and then the only kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

00:46:17.204 --> 00:46:19.020 expanded it to physical therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.884$  So that was the only change that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:20.884 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.495$  did partially because myself and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:22.495 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.139$  like minded people testify from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

00:46:24.191 --> 00:46:26.249 experience of Pennsylvania and said you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

00:46:26.250 --> 00:46:28.140 if you want to expand many reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00{:}46{:}28.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.029$  you're going to have to put all

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

00:46:30.029 --> 00:46:31.559 this money in the system because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.142$  I mean, even just picking up the

00:46:33.142 --> 00:46:34.220 phone and answering reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.128$  it takes a lot of money and and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00{:}46{:}36.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38.311$  the end that they decided to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

00:46:38.311 --> 00:46:40.479 narrow down what they were trying to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.502$  But I am unconvinced that mandated

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:42.502 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.310$  reporting is an effective policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69662512

 $00:46:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.166$  But I am willing to hear another think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

00:46:47.240 --> 00:46:48.976 I have my skepticism about it too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00{:}46{:}48.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50.612$  I had the unfortunate experience of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:46:50.612 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.564$  looking back at cases where things were

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00{:}46{:}52.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.196$  missed and abuse was documented

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00{:}46{:}54.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.825$  and considered and a report was

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00{:}46{:}55.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.690$  made and I've been involved in cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:46:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.340$  where the outcome was fatality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:46:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.936$  So it's difficult not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00{:}47{:}00.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03.754$  It's difficult to ignore that and to

 $00:47:03.754 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.183$  say you know that this shouldn't be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00{:}47{:}06.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.734$  To agree fully that there should be about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.076$  I don't know that I know the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:09.076 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.704$  and I think that the the thing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:10.704 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.265$  pendulum has swung too far and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00{:}47{:}12.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.405$  there probably is more reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:13.405 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.324$  than there should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:14.324 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.686$  I would totally agree with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.741$  But the reality of the cases is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:17.741 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.840$  hard to get past and and to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.875$  back to blame because I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:21.875 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.726$  outrage is a big driver of a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00{:}47{:}24.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.516$  of the policies you've discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

00:47:26.520 --> 00:47:28.480 In my experience, at least in our state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.915$  child welfare services here are

 $00:47:30.915 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.376$  very service focused,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.966$  not always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:32.966 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.431$  and there are certainly punitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:34.431 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.636$  and they're certainly not

NOTE Confidence: 0.78166174

 $00:47:35.636 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.467$  a pleasant experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9185508375

 $00:47:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.428$  to go through. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:47:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.732$  it is a pathway to services and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:47:40.732 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.568$  I and the workers that I actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8797222333333333

 $00{:}47{:}42.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.348$  spend time with every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:47:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.128$  Do not want to get more punitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8797222333333333

 $00:47:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.151$  They're in fact quite protective of not all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00{:}47{:}48.151 --> 00{:}47{:}49.573$  I'm going to say that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:47:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.210$  but many are very protective

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:47:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.160$  of their families that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

00:47:53.160 --> 00:47:55.770 working with and and really try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00{:}47{:}55.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.490$  be advocates for those families.

00:47:57.490 --> 00:48:00.332 As soon as about outcome occurs with

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:48:00.332 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.520$  a less restrictive safety plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:48:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.730$  The newspapers are filled with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:48:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.020$  outrage of the devastating outcome and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

 $00:48:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.178$  There's an office for the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

00:48:10.180 --> 00:48:11.521 Advocate that immediately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879722233333333

00:48:11.521 --> 00:48:14.650 Enumerates all of the failures of child

NOTE Confidence: 0.842517074

00:48:14.660 --> 00:48:16.770 welfare to protect that child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00{:}48{:}16.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.948$  and then the pendulum swings inevitably

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00{:}48{:}19.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.020$  back towards more restrictive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00{:}48{:}22.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.320$  Quote UN quote we agree

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.360$  that safety is difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.660$  Word safer plans and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.839$  and I don't know how to stop that cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.499$  And that speaks to my question about

 $00:48:30.499 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.759$  blame because whose fault is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.808$  If it's a case that's already involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

00:48:33.808 --> 00:48:35.577 child welfare and something bad happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

00:48:35.580 --> 00:48:37.070 it's obviously child welfare's fault.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:37.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.294$  At least that's how people think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:39.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.420$  But when any child dies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

00:48:40.420 --> 00:48:41.932 I feel like there's blame laid

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:41.932 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.429$  and that blame dictates a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.861528838

 $00:48:43.429 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.529$  of the policy that follows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860754244

00:48:46.140 --> 00:48:47.913 I just want to touch on what you said

NOTE Confidence: 0.860754244

 $00:48:47.913 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.379$  earlier about cases that are missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860754244

 $00:48:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.646$  But these were all mandated reporters

NOTE Confidence: 0.860754244

00:48:50.646 --> 00:48:52.270 who missed it, right? You look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860754244

00:48:52.270 --> 00:48:54.850 you look at abuse was missed but but

NOTE Confidence: 0.840456487272727

 $00:48:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.046$  not missed in the sense they

NOTE Confidence: 0.840456487272727

00:48:56.046 --> 00:48:57.090 thought about it but didn't

00:48:57.100 --> 00:48:59.960 report it. But that's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:48:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.340$  But not understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:01.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.332$  But these were mandated

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:02.332 --> 00:49:03.307 reporters who didn't, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:03.307 --> 00:49:04.669 So that's one of my things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.539$  that reporting as a policy doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.486$  I think that would speak to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:08.486 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.339$  because these are the men reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:10.339 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.939$  didn't make them report this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.350$  And I think if you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}49{:}13.350 --> 00{:}49{:}14.700$  about why people don't report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.428$  it's usually not because we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}49{:}16.430 {\: -->\:} 00{:}49{:}18.080$  Native reporters or it's because

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:18.080 --> 00:49:20.070 they don't believe CPS will help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.365$  or they don't want to hurt the

00:49:21.365 --> 00:49:22.210 relationship with the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.662$  or they don't think or they

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:23.662 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.630$  miss abuse is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.860$  There's a lot of reasons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.975$  people don't report and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:26.975 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.967$  some studies about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.442$  but not a single one of them found

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:29.442 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.594$  that people don't report because

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}49{:}30.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.064$  of penalties were not high enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:32.070 --> 00:49:33.762 And yet every time you go and make laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}49{:}33.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35.709$  it's let's put the head count is higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.068$  So I think there's a big gulf

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:37.068 --> 00:49:38.369 between what you see in practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}49{:}38.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.750$  which is people are not reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.090$  things that should be reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:41.090 --> 00:49:42.763 I'm putting and reporting a ton of

00:49:42.763 --> 00:49:43.987 things that shouldn't be reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}49{:}43.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.367$  and showing that the policy itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:45.367 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.819$  is not working the way it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:46.820 --> 00:49:47.500 So you think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:47.500 --> 00:49:48.795 we'll do a whole bunch of colonoscopies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.290$  you'll find more colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:50.290 --> 00:49:51.766 But here you're doing a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:51.766 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.504$  bunch of reporting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}49{:}52.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.118$  but you're not finding more child

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:54.118 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.870$  abuse because it's not the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.398$  It's not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:49:56.398 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.246$  you're not casting the same net and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:49:58.246 --> 00:49:59.829 you're not getting these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}49{:}59.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.700$  And then. Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.440$  Then the other question was about

 $00:50:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.405$  services and the pendulum swinging so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.600$  Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.550$  And that's that's really tough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.706 I \text{ mean}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:06.706 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.018$  who is to blame?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.686$  I think that speaks to the bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:10.686 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.051$  problem that child welfare services

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}50{:}12.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.839$  doesn't keep us safe because their

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}50{:}13.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.015$  children were system involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:15.015 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.599$  and the system is not working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}50{:}16.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.268$  In general and so you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:18.268 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.237$  children who are unsafe or involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:50:20.237 --> 00:50:21.437 children are undetected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.285$  And so I think the answer shouldn't be we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

00:50:23.285 --> 00:50:24.998 should be doing more of what we're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.256$  but can we revision a different system and,

 $00{:}50{:}27.260 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.220$  and I don't I think that's kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}50{:}29.220 \to 00{:}50{:}30.520$  of unsatisfying response perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.305$  but I think it speaks again to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:32.305 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.856$  the bigger problem that to my

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00{:}50{:}33.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.320$  mind the child welfare system is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6593303

 $00:50:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.770$  not keeping children safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795377208

 $00.50.39.660 \longrightarrow 00.50.41.108$  Since we started late,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795377208

00:50:41.108 --> 00:50:42.900 I can go for about 10 more minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795377208

 $00:50:42.900 \dashrightarrow 00:50:46.480$  but I want to just leave sometime. Guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747071286

 $00{:}50{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.060$  And one more selfish question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747071286

 $00:50:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.738$  Was there something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857659113333333

 $00:50:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.689$  I think. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00{:}51{:}01.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.603$  So this was a fabulous talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:04.603 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.900$  conversation from 2 brilliant women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.276$  I've learned so much from both of you.

00:51:09.280 --> 00:51:11.240 And so my question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

00:51:11.240 --> 00:51:14.257 I understand your point about not blaming

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

00:51:14.257 --> 00:51:16.888 the victim or focusing on the individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:16.888 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.808$  whether she's blamed or not, the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.596$  But I know there are some programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

00:51:22.600 --> 00:51:25.162 small ones, that bring in what

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:25.162 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.418$  they consider mothers at risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.940$  and usually they're not mandatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.322$  I think some of these women want to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

00:51:31.322 --> 00:51:33.630 in and either they go into the hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00{:}51{:}33.630 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}51{:}36.115$  there's some setting to teach them things

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:36.115 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.970$  about childcare, anger management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

00:51:37.970 --> 00:51:40.118 From your perspective of we

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

00:51:40.118 --> 00:51:41.803 need to hit on poverty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

00:51:41.810 --> 00:51:43.530 which I think you're right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.130$  and fix the system.

00:51:45.130 --> 00:51:47.870 What's your thoughts of those programs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00{:}51{:}47.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50.129$  Are they a stop gap before we do other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.516$  or are they blaming the mother?

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.195$  Does this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.779025586363636

 $00:51:52.195 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.095$  Does this microphone work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:51:55.750 --> 00:51:57.518 I think it really depends on the program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:51:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.501$  but I think if mothers want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:51:59.501 --> 00:52:00.930 participate then that's wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.718$  The problem is that many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:52:02.718 --> 00:52:03.910 these programs are mandatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.475$  They are require a mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:05.475 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.040$  to lose days of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.570$  They require three different buses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.426$  The buses don't go at the right time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.078$  We have a piece that's coming out now

 $00:52:12.078 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.800$  with the Russell Sage Foundation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}52{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.370$  looks at administrative burdens and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.219$  looks at all the barriers to accessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:17.219 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.890$  these services so that for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.930$  mothers these are not services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.692$  these are burdens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:52:21.692 --> 00:52:23.470 So I think it really depends on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}52{:}23.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.209$  and some of us we can learn from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.238$  Field work with mothers who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:52:27.238 --> 00:52:28.943 participating and I've had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}52{:}28.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30.701$  good for tune with to partner with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:30.701 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.352$  the anthropologists who do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}52{:}32.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}34.795$  kind of work and hear from

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:34.795 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.545$  the people who are involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:52:36.550 --> 00:52:38.917 But I I do think more supports are needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:52:38.920 --> 00:52:40.656 And I think part of the problem in

00:52:40.656 --> 00:52:42.131 the United States is that parenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:42.131 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.100$  is seen as such a solitary endeavor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:52:44.100 --> 00:52:44.976 And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:44.976 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.436$  when you engage more people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.519$  sometimes it's seen as if you're failing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:52:48.520 --> 00:52:51.736 So if if you leave your kid with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:52:51.740 --> 00:52:53.539 with family members and that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}52{:}53.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.578$  judged by CPS as an unsafe situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}52{:}55.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57.542$  especially if you know your aunt

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}52{:}57.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59.423$  has a former conviction or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:52:59.423 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.953$  drug charge from 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.880$  So instead of using your web,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}53{:}02.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.848$ you're being your <br/>your network you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:04.848 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.959$  being charged for or you're being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.955$  Blamed for not being able to manage

00:53:08.955 --> 00:53:10.829 by yourself and I have four

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}53{:}10.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.660$ very small children I I can attest

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:53:12.660 --> 00:53:14.640 parenting is very hard and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.638$  shouldn't be doing it by themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:16.638 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.318$  They've created this culture where

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:18.318 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.075$  parenting is simply in the interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:20.075 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.990$  of the one person and their child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}53{:}21.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.838$  But it's in fact the communal endeavor

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:23.838 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.178$  and and we are we all are invested

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

00:53:26.178 --> 00:53:27.663 in having thriving families and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00{:}53{:}27.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29.405$  and healthy children and we lose

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:29.405 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.526$  sight of that often and in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:31.526 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.186$  in these interventions I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85971228625

 $00:53:33.186 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.057$  that answer is in part or your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

00:53:36.670 --> 00:53:39.038 I would, I would only add that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:53:39.038 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.762$  there's there are hopeful programs

 $00:53:40.762 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.898$  in the works that are universal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:53:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.004$  You know for like for every mom to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:53:46.004 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.675$  a home visitor and for every birth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:53:48.675 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.117$  in certain communities that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

00:53:50.117 --> 00:53:51.749 the data will be coming out from about

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:53:51.749 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.517$  the effects of those kinds of programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:53:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.722$  But I think programs that destigmatize

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00{:}53{:}55.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.111$  certain parents to your point about

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

00:53:58.111 --> 00:53:59.819 voluntary versus involuntary that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00{:}53{:}59.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02.196$  are provide that recognize and you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

00:54:02.196 --> 00:54:04.020 made this point very early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.195$  the parenting is really challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00{:}54{:}05.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.831$  and some people have a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00{:}54{:}06.831 \longrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08.079$  support in doing it than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

00:54:08.080 --> 00:54:10.656 So amplifying the support across the board,

 $00:54:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.208$  I'm very hopeful that some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:12.208 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.679$  these programs are going to show.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631 00:54:13.680 --> 00:54:14.474 Good data.

 $00:54:14.474 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.856$  But they're they're they're very expensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.883$  And I'm sorry that Doctor Mays left

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

00:54:18.883 --> 00:54:20.863 because she has a fantastic program

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:20.863 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.623$  in Mentalization and that focuses

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:22.623 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.928$  on mentalization skills and parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00{:}54{:}24.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28.174$  that allow it's usually mothers to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:28.174 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.776$  able to watch themselves as parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:29.776 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.766$  and gain skills and has shown some

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:31.766 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.350$  really good data about the impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.822281991052631

 $00:54:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.590$  of that program as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6063871

 $00:54:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.434$  And you're looking at there

NOTE Confidence: 0.6063871

 $00:54:39.434 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.258$  anything in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881789215

 $00:54:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.330$  I look at it. Second, some of

 $00:54:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.570$  the questions already been answered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7717428

 $00:54:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.420$  Maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57363305

 $00:54:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.598$  It Scroll down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78851970875

 $00:54:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.136$  There's a question for you miss

NOTE Confidence: 0.78851970875

00:54:54.136 --> 00:54:56.160 all about. You want to get some

NOTE Confidence: 0.78851970875

 $00{:}54{:}56.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.060$  more historical background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

00:55:00.840 --> 00:55:03.296 Yeah. So I'm surprised I didn't start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00{:}55{:}03.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.569$  ammonia and report as setting the stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00{:}55{:}05.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08.603$  And can I talk about the issue of foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

00:55:08.603 --> 00:55:11.360 drift and its impact on Aqua and ASPA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.376$  Well, I I guess there was a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:13.376 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.757$  history I had to convince because

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00{:}55{:}14.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.818$  I I I was asked to keep it short.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00{:}55{:}16.820 --> 00{:}55{:}18.178$  I can, I couldn't talk a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

00:55:18.180 --> 00:55:19.200 But I think you know,

 $00:55:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.725$  blaming families is something we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:22.725 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.265$  done historically and also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:25.265 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.765$  particular devaluing families and

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:26.765 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.800$  and certain families are worth the

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.788$  of protection and kind of putting on

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:30.847 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.779$  a pedestal of you know the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.585$  the family values and traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00{:}55{:}34.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.808$  families and other families which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

00:55:36.808 --> 00:55:38.980 generally black families and poor families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.380$  immigrant families are trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.780$  to pay the border.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.635$  Our our children are sold to slavery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.550$  We have a long history of of kind of using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.595$  policing families and and terrorizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00:55:49.595 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.635$  families as a way to maintain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.681740394666667

 $00{:}55{:}52.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55.389$  racial and societal hierarchy in order.

 $00{:}55{:}57.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.571$  I guess I'm not quite sure about

NOTE Confidence: 0.872752693333333

00:55:59.571 --> 00:56:01.649 the foster cured Rift question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.591876752

00:56:06.470 --> 00:56:09.650 Any questions from? Alright. Question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87043188

00:56:13.010 --> 00:56:15.570 So I'm just wondering with foster care is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87043188

 $00:56:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.930$  is it sort of generational?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87043188

 $00:56:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.618$  I mean do you see a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87043188

00:56:19.618 --> 00:56:21.968 repeat like if a kid has been?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87043188

 $00:56:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.914$  Remove from the family

NOTE Confidence: 0.87043188

 $00:56:22.914 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.014$  then put into a foster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87043188

 $00{:}56{:}24.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.070$  Like what happens when they if

NOTE Confidence: 0.884452964

 $00:56:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.128$  they have a family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884452964

 $00:56:27.128 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.834$  I mean is it a generational thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.884452964

 $00{:}56{:}28.834 \longrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.558$  that you can sometimes is that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884452964

 $00:56:30.558 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.954$  place where maybe starting to break

NOTE Confidence: 0.884452964

 $00:56:31.954 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.710$  that and trying to get them into

 $00:56:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.886$  A to realize that they don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.884452964

 $00:56:35.886 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.105$  to do to their own child what what

NOTE Confidence: 0.884452964

 $00:56:38.105 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.515$  they had experienced as a child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:56:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.036$  So yes, the answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:56:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.119$  So there is a lot of intergenerational

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:56:51.119 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.266$  involvement, sometimes 3 generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:56:52.266 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.623$  I have the good fortune of being involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:56:54.623 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.912$  with community groups of people who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00{:}56{:}56.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.658$  lived experience with this and I hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:56:58.658 --> 00:57:00.951 from women who are in their 50s and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00{:}57{:}00.951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03.870$  It's like third generation of of they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:03.952 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.864$  they're so of involvement but an often has

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:06.864 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.330$  to do with poverty and use of substances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.570$  But it also kind of want to remind

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.921$  people what happens when you lose your

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:57:14.921 --> 00:57:16.764 parents and you know there was just

 $00:57:16.764 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.589$  cases of termination of parental rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.096$  You lose all your connection with your

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:57:21.096 --> 00:57:23.706 family of origin, which has a lot of meaning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:57:23.710 --> 00:57:25.806 but also has financial meaning, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:25.806 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.190$  So there's cases of of a family in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:57:29.270 --> 00:57:31.610 there was parental rights and dad was right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.662$  had a terrible accident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:57:32.662 --> 00:57:35.413 Went over by out and buy a car and passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:35.413 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.407$  away and one child received nothing from

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:37.407 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.503$  the money that was given because of PR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.134$  So, so these are not families are

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:41.134 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.297$  coming from a lot of wealth but there

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00{:}57{:}43.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}44.707$  is they're losing any connection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:57:44.710 --> 00:57:46.618 any financial support from their communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.493$  from their families.

 $00:57:47.493 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.928$  We do see some children who come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00{:}57{:}49.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51.938$  to their communities and families of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:51.938 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.547$  origin once they're 18 and are able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:54.547 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.087$  restart the remake these connections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.386$  But yes I think there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:57:58.386 --> 00:57:59.451 intergenerational involvement with foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:57:59.451 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.475$  care and and a lot of poor outcomes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:58:01.475 --> 00:58:03.099 children who grew up in foster care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:58:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.039$  And our system involved and go on

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:58:05.039 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.319$  to have poor educational attainment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

00:58:07.320 --> 00:58:08.100 early pregnancies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824947426666667

 $00:58:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.830$  short of interval preferences and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.325$  I would echo that and just it

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:13.325 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.230$  makes your point all the more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.146$  I think that so many of these problems like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00{:}58{:}18.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.914$  Having kids removed and

 $00:58:19.914 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.678$  placed into foster care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:58:21.680 --> 00:58:23.528 There's good evidence that child sexual

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:23.528 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.020$  abuse is intergenerational like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.265$  There's more sexual abuse in

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:26.265 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.510$  families where there is sexual

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.000$  abuse in the mom and it it can go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.515$  you know, there's studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00{:}58{:}30.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32.030$  show down to the grandchildren.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00{:}58{:}32.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34.670$  Exposure to intimate partner violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00{:}58{:}34.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36.560$  and this is trauma on trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.318$  because these are parents who

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:38.318 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.046$  experience these terrible things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00{:}58{:}40.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}42.012$  And this is the kind of thing I hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00{:}58{:}42.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43.389$  day-to-day in my clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:58:43.390 --> 00:58:44.775 I having this conversation today

00:58:44.775 --> 00:58:46.828 about a family that had a bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:58:46.828 --> 00:58:48.056 intimate partner violence incident

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:48.056 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.889$  and the children were exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00.58.49.889 \longrightarrow 00.58.51.551$  it and the mother was heartbroken

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:51.551 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.445$  because she remembers being exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:58:53.445 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.670$  to intimate partner violence between

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:58:55.670 --> 00:58:57.868 her caregivers when she was a child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539 00:58:57.870 --> 00:58:58.954 So now. NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:58:58.954 --> 00:59:00.580 You have this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.978$  the trauma of your own childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:01.980 \dashrightarrow 00:59:03.930$  Plus now you haven't prevented your

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.118$  own child from experience what was for

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:06.118 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.057$  you a horrible experience and a trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.516$  And the same is true for foster care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.572$  So when children of foster children

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:11.572 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.300$  are placed into foster care,

00:59:13.300 --> 00:59:13.774 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:59:13.774 --> 00:59:15.433 once they're old enough to have children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.589$  I think the the guilt and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:17.589 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.463$  heartbreak over that is is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:19.463 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.528$  source of trauma and the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:59:21.593 --> 00:59:23.495 poverty fuels so much of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.990$  so many of these problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:59:25.990 --> 00:59:27.625 It's not surprising that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:59:27.625 --> 00:59:28.606 perpetuated through generations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.464$  but it's not enough to say like this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.350$  This is something that happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.450$  to me that I won't want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:32.450 \dashrightarrow 00:59:34.799$  I won't let it happen to my child because

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:34.799 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.730$  we're not elevating those families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00:59:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.950$  With enough support to get

 $00:59:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.170$  them out of this pattern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

 $00{:}59{:}39.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}41.706$  and I think the pattern is under of

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:59:41.706 --> 00:59:43.968 itself is so incredibly harmful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766749828461539

00:59:43.970 --> 00:59:45.734 and I know you agree with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775327788

 $00:59:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.220$  as I read your book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $00:59:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.896$  Just say the last word. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $00{:}59{:}53.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}55.312$  Those are the question that Chad

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

00:59:55.312 --> 00:59:58.280 today answer, answer question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $00{:}59{:}58.280 \to 00{:}59{:}59.939$  Well, there's a question that chat about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

00:59:59.939 --> 01:00:01.335 what happens for the fractured trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:01.335 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.418$  once you make a report and then how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:03.418 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.793$  maintain a clinical relationship with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:04.793 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.745$  somebody you've made a report about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:06.745 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.860$  And I don't know the answer to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01\text{:}00\text{:}09.860 \longrightarrow 01\text{:}00\text{:}11.708 \text{ I know that there's a lot of literature}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:11.708 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.677$  that shows that once the report has

01:00:13.677 --> 01:00:15.132 happened and there's an investigation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01{:}00{:}15.140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.006$  mothers are less likely to engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:17.006 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.530$  in valuable services, are less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:18.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.300$  are more likely to come late

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:20.300 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.240$  for prenatal care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:21.240 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.728$  So, so a host of bad outcomes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

01:00:23.728 --> 01:00:25.809 making these reports that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:25.809 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.124$  ruptures the trust with educational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:28.130 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.978$  Systems thing for your school makes a report?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:29.980 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.330$  Then you're less likely to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01{:}00{:}31.330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}32.749$  engaged with school for your child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:32.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.766$  And how do you repair that trust?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:34.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.710 \text{ I don't have an answer.}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01:00:35.710 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.140$  Maybe you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

01:00:38.140 --> 01:00:39.604 Well, I think if the,

01:00:39.604 --> 01:00:40.146 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87241364

 $01{:}00{:}40.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}41.610$  it comes sometimes triangulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:00:41.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.108$  with the laws and saying I have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01{:}00{:}43.108 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}44.439$  report this, it's not what I want to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:00:44.440 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.288$  I mean taking away the laws would take

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

01:00:46.288 --> 01:00:47.845 away that crutch from providers who

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:00:47.845 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.700$  who know the law even though they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

01:00:49.700 --> 01:00:51.513 you know, I think you can report

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01{:}00{:}51.513 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52.919$  and remain supportive of a family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01{:}00{:}52.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.510$  And often times it's the families who

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:00:54.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.145$  are reported who require the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:00:56.145 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.735$  support because to your point about

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

01:00:57.735 --> 01:00:59.049 Child Protective Services workers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01{:}00{:}59.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}00.946$  they have different degrees of training

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:00.946 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.928$  and different degrees of experience and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01{:}01{:}02.928 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}04.914$  primary care and other providers can

 $01{:}04.914 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06.795$  be instrumental in and advocating for

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01{:}01{:}06.795 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}08.541$  families in the child welfare system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:08.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.210$  But it's not easy to maintain trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:11.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.205$  I don't get a lot of grateful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

01:01:13.210 --> 01:01:14.594 You know, I don't get a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:14.594 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.965$  presence at at the holidays from

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

01:01:15.965 --> 01:01:17.429 grateful families the way some people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:17.430 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.043$  some physicians do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:20.043 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.093$  It is an insult to all of us who work

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:22.093 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.149$  in the system that the system is broken

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:24.149 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.289$  and the ways that you've described it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:26.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.774$  That said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:26.774 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.468$  it's a system that we live with

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01{:}01{:}28.468 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}29.988$  because we still have to protect

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:29.988 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.613$  children and there's a lot of work

 $01:01:31.613 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.299$  to be done to get to a place where

NOTE Confidence: 0.872347176

 $01:01:33.299 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.637$  we agree that we should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823013582

 $01:01:36.810 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.630$  I think that was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823013582

 $01:01:37.630 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.440$  Thank you very much and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823013582

 $01:01:38.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.250$  thank you for being in

NOTE Confidence: 0.823013582

 $01{:}01{:}39.287 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}40.377$  conversation and thank you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823013582

 $01:01:40.380 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.510$  Thank you, Doctor Grossman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823013582

01:01:42.510 --> 01:01:43.293 Thank you, everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823013582

 $01:01:43.293 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.598$  Thank you live in zoom

NOTE Confidence: 0.788174525

 $01:01:44.610 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.072$  audiences. Congratulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.788174525

01:01:46.072 --> 01:01:48.996 again, McCall and Andy.