WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:26:30" NOTE recognizability:0.826

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.216$ Well, I think we're going to get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:00:03.220 --> 00:00:05.320 Welcome. My name is Mark Mercurio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}00{:}05.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06.922$ I'm the director of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:06.922 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.362$ for Biomedical Ethics and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:00:08.362 --> 00:00:09.638 Yale Pediatric Ethics program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:09.640 \dashrightarrow 00:00:12.956$ And to night's evening ethics seminar is

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:12.956 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.440$ hosted by the Yale PDF Ethics program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.104$ And I'm delighted to have three

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:00:18.104 --> 00:00:20.460 colleagues from from Canada from

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:00:20.460 --> 00:00:22.448 Montreal joining us to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:00:22.450 --> 00:00:24.274 We're going to talk about neonatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:24.274 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.925$ follow up and some of the things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:26.925 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.946$ follow closely and some of the things

00:00:28.946 --> 00:00:30.990 that we value and there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.890$ great insights coming our way to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.948$ I look forward to the presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:34.948 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.510$ and then and then the conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.918$ We will have about 50 or 60 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:00:40.918 --> 00:00:44.269 of of a presentation by our guest

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:44.269 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.834$ speakers and then followed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}00{:}45.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.648$ a question and answer session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.670$ So I asked you this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:00:48.670 --> 00:00:50.368 save your questions if you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:50.368 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.449$ and then send them through the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.170$ Function on zoom and I'll take a look

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}00{:}54.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.895$ at those and then I'll moderate a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:55.895 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.666$ session and be reading those to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:57.666 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.100$ guests to night and we'll go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:00:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.196$ that and then we'll have a hard stop at 6:30.

 $00:01:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.752$ So my apologies to whoever has a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}01{:}03.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.315$ good question at 6:29 because I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:05.315 --> 00:01:07.499 afraid we might not get to all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.649$ But let me go ahead and introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}01{:}09.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.581$ the three speakers for to night as

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:11.581 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.492$ we get into the conversation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:13.492 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.780$ in no particular order,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.280$ and this might be the order

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.280$ that they're speaking in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.354$ but there's going to be kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:18.354 --> 00:01:19.839 of A tag team approach to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.470$ So I'm going to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:21.470 --> 00:01:22.774 all three speakers now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:22.780 --> 00:01:24.250 1st is Doctor Annie John V,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.830$ Who's a neonatologist and

 $00:01:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.410$ clinical ethicist in Montreal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.909$ There she is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125 00:01:29.910 --> 00:01:30.347 Any, NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125 00:01:30.347 --> 00:01:30.784 as, NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125 00:01:30.784 --> 00:01:31.221 any,

00:01:31.221 --> 00:01:34.406 as many of you know Annie is on

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:34.406 --> 00:01:36.066 everybody's very short list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:36.066 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.741$ the of the neonatal and pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:38.741 --> 00:01:41.049 ethicist in North America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.870$ She's written a great deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:42.870 --> 00:01:44.335 She's spoken all over including

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:44.335 --> 00:01:46.749 here at Yale before as many of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:46.749 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.835$ remember and she's a very valued colleague.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}01{:}48.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.358$ And I'm grateful that Annie that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:01:50.358 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.248$ you made time to join us tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:01:52.250 --> 00:01:54.296 Any Co directs the Masters and

 $00:01:54.296 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.081$ PhD programs in clinical ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}01{:}56.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.235$ at the University Of Montreal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}01{:}58.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.000$ her main research interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.758$ In bioethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:00.758 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.032$ our decision making for fragile patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:03.032 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.659$ and family integrated care and Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:02:05.660 --> 00:02:07.532 She investigates parent patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}02{:}07.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.404$ family important outcomes after

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:09.404 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.720$ an accused stay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.532$ She has a Bachelor of Science

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:02:12.532 --> 00:02:13.438 from McGill University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.522$ a Doctor of medicine at University

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}02{:}15.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.868$ Of Montreal as well as it did

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:02:17.868 --> 00:02:19.242 her residency and fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:02:19.242 --> 00:02:20.024 Excuse Me,

 $00:02:20.024 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.979$ residency at Montreal and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}02{:}21.979 --> 00{:}02{:}23.019$ fellowship at McGill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.060$ And she also has a PhD in bioethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.945$ medical ethics on from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:26.945 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.076$ University Of Montreal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.360$ So we say thank you very much and welcome to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}02{:}31.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.170$ Next I would introduce please

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.980$ uh Dr Tweed may Lou,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}02{:}34.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.828$ who's a pediatrician and a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}02{:}36.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.837$ director of the CSU San Justin

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:38.837 \dashrightarrow 00:02:40.979$ Neonatal follow up program in Montreal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125 00:02:40.980 --> 00:02:41.406 QC. NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:41.406 \dashrightarrow 00:02:43.962$ He's also director of the Canadian

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:02:43.962 --> 00:02:45.240 Neonatal Follow-up Network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

00:02:45.240 --> 00:02:47.510 a research network consisting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00{:}02{:}47.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.780$ 26 neonatal programs across Canada.

00:02:49.780 --> 00:02:51.712 She received her MD from McGill

NOTE Confidence: 0.90342785125

 $00:02:51.712 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.000$ and her pediatrician Reef

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:02:53.058 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.638$ from University Of Montreal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:02:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.040$ She then pursued further training at

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:02:57.040 --> 00:02:59.469 Brown with our friend Betty Gore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:02:59.470 --> 00:03:01.894 He completed a Masters degree in

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:03:01.894 --> 00:03:03.947 epidemiology and Biostatistics at McGill

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:03.947 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.558$ and is currently a senior research scholar

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:06.558 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.719$ from Quebec Health research funds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.222$ Her research focuses on long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:03:11.222 --> 00:03:12.473 neurodevelopmental and physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:12.473 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.240$ health outcomes following preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}03{:}14.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.380$ birth from infancy to a dulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}03{:}16.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.462$ is looking at risk and resiliency

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:18.462 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.437$ factors along with best screening

 $00:03:20.437 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.027$ strategies to enhance clinical follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}03{:}23.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.215$ And the third speaker to night

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:25.215 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.526$ is Rebecca Pierce,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:03:26.530 --> 00:03:30.607 who who's who is the mother of Amarin Bargas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.430$ who was born at 25 weeks back in 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.830$ and Lily Barsness, Martins twin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.867$ who died one week after her birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.585$ She's also the mother of Eleanor Barses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.410$ born at term in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.042$ Rebecca has been a part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}03{:}44.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.130$ the Parents Voice project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.244$ which seeks to engage parents and Co,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.578$ creating definitions of important

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}03{:}48.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.570$ outcomes of prematurity that may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:50.628 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.362$ different than outcomes that may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:52.362 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.150$ valued by clinicians and researchers.

00:03:54.150 --> 00:03:55.082 And this, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:55.082 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.210$ is the focus of our talk this evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.373$ She's also the Co chair of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:03:59.373 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.867$ Canadian Premature Babies Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:04:00.867 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.397$ Family Advisory committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:04:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.762$ Rebecca is the vice principal at

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:04:03.762 --> 00:04:05.199 Villa Maria High School in Montreal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}04{:}05.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.784$ and she holds a Bachelors degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:04:06.784 --> 00:04:08.420 in biology from Queens University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:04:08.420 --> 00:04:10.778 a Masters in biology from McMaster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:04:10.780 --> 00:04:13.090 and B Ed from Brock University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}04{:}13.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.218$ Rebecca is a PhD candidate in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}04{:}15.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.552$ Department of Integrative Studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}04{:}16.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.397$ and Education at McGill University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:04:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.992$ where she is studying the mathematical

 $00:04:20.992 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.424$ identities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:04:21.430 --> 00:04:24.510 Of extremely preterm children welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}04{:}24.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.588$ Rebecca. Welcome to all three of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:04:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.152$ And I believe that Annie is our

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}04{:}29.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.060$ first speaker to night Doctor Jean

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00:04:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.010$ view and am I correct in that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:04:34.010 --> 00:04:36.809 Actually no it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:04:36.810 --> 00:04:39.230 It's it's going to be my OK well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

 $00{:}04{:}39.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.150$ thank you very much and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.686098891428572

00:04:41.150 --> 00:04:42.520 start take it away, Doctor Liu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869225307142857

 $00:04:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.057$ Great. Thank you for this nice introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869225307142857

 $00:04:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.755$ So I will now share the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655280643333333

00:04:58.080 --> 00:05:02.910 Need a screen? Yeah, spiritually, OK. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.489$ we have, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:04.489 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.004$ maybe we are conflicted people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.550$ but we have no conflicts to disclose.

 $00:05:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.384$ So today what we're going to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00{:}05{:}11.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.779$ is I'm first going to discuss why

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:13.779 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.327$ neonatal outcomes are measured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.122$ Rebecca is then going to tell her story

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00{:}05{:}17.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.106$ and we will then discuss results from

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:19.106 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.928$ the Parents Voice project that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:20.928 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.370$ been conducting over the past few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.470$ So as you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.179$ worldwide 10% of babies are born preterm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

00:05:28.180 --> 00:05:30.304 which means below 37 weeks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

00:05:30.304 --> 00:05:32.756 gestational age and about 1% are born

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:32.756 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.440$ extremely preterm before 28 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.203$ And if we look at survival rate based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:38.203 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.369$ the Canadian neonatal Network annual report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.794$ it's quite high at 23 to 24 weeks,

00:05:43.800 --> 00:05:47.704 survival is 63\%, at 25 to 26 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.175$ it's 87% and survival rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:50.175 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.370$ is 94% at 27 to 28 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.642$ So what's really important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

00:05:54.642 --> 00:05:56.940 Now is really to monitor how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.884$ preterm infants will grow and develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00:05:58.884 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.113$ and these are some of the lovely kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

00:06:01.113 --> 00:06:03.399 I get to follow in that my neonatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444383375

 $00{:}06{:}03.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.214$ follow-up clinic here in Montreal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.920$ So what do we do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}06{:}08.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.640$ neonatal follow-up program?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.978$ So most often there are two mandates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:11.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.110$ a clinical one and an

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.814$ academic slash research one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.932$ So as a pediatrician working in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:17.932 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.340$ the neonatal follow-up clinic,

 $00:06:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.092$ I will see my preterm babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:06:21.092 --> 00:06:22.260 to monitor their growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.156$ their health and their own development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.603$ And the goal is to identify early

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:06:26.603 --> 00:06:29.220 cues or early signs that could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.200$ The first manifestation of a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.573$ So I can refer this child for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:33.573 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.433$ a further assessment or early

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:35.433 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.749$ intervention which is proven to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}06{:}37.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.088$ over the long term functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.484$ I will also inform and help

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:42.484 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.550$ parents to their journey after

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}06{:}44.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.203$ their after neonatology and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:47.203 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.398$ guide them on what to expect in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:49.398 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.800$ the future with their child.

 $00:06:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.292$ But as a researcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:53.292 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.157$ I also collect outcome data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444 00:06:55.160 --> 00:06:55.836 For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:55.836 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.864$ outcome data is really important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:06:57.864 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.106$ benchmarking so we can identify targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:07:00.106 --> 00:07:01.614 for quality improvement endeavors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.912$ and it's also useful for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}07{:}03.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.058$ observational cohort studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:05.058 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.018$ that we do in clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}07{:}07.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.444$ But I also feed this outcome data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:09.444 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.667$ my colleagues in neonatology that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:11.667 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.995$ use this information to inform parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.128$ and maybe we'll use it to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:16.128 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.941$ life and death decisions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:17.941 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.605$ prepare for the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.830$ But what are you outcome data?

 $00:07:21.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.270$ What do we need exactly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.692$ So I'm showing here what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:24.692 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.598$ doing as part of the Canadian unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:07:26.600 --> 00:07:27.952 All follow up network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:27.952 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.980$ but this is pretty similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:30.052 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.712$ what many large networks are

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:31.712 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.391$ doing in the states in the UK or

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:07:34.391 --> 00:07:35.976 in Australia and New Zealand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:07:35.980 --> 00:07:37.564 So we have a standardized follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}07{:}37.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.518$ visit at 18 to 24 months corrected

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:07:39.518 --> 00:07:41.198 age where all infants will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:07:41.198 --> 00:07:42.597 a neurological examination to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}07{:}42.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.721$ identify signs of cerebral palsy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:44.721 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.640$ if the child has cerebral palsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.538$ we then classify their level of

 $00:07:49.538 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.050$ motor functioning using the GMFCS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}07{:}52.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.904$ We also do a developmental assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:53.904 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.779$ and many networks will be using

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:55.779 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.830$ the baby scales which will yield a

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.159$ developmental score for language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:07:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.504$ cognition and motor skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}08{:}00.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.416$ And we also look at hearing based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:03.416 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.491$ audiology report and visual function

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:05.491 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.028$ based on ophthalmology or optometry report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.949$ And then what many people will do

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}08{:}10.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.725$ is determine whether this child has

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:13.725 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.609$ neurodevelopmental impairment or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:08:15.609 --> 00:08:18.030 based on presence of cerebral palsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:08:18.030 --> 00:08:19.114 developmental delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:19.114 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.824$ hearing impairment or visual impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:21.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.246$ So the child can either have no NDI,

 $00:08:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.298$ which means obviously none of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.099$ If we then we could talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}08{:}29.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.111$ mild and moderate and I and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:08:31.111 --> 00:08:32.596 definition might change by networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}08{:}32.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.640$ but for us it's a child with several

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.491$ palsy with a gross motor function

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:08:36.491 --> 00:08:38.411 classification system of one or two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00{:}08{:}38.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.374$ which means that later on this child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.466$ Might have some limping when he or

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:43.466 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.758$ she is walking or climbing up stairs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.161$ Might need braces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:08:47.161 --> 00:08:49.826 but is usually quite functional actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:49.826 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.456$ like maybe for the GMFCS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.290$ Some people might not even notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:08:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.379$ that this child has several palsy.

 $00:08:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.304$ For developmental delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:08:56.304 --> 00:08:58.460 that is based on a Bailey scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:08:58.521 --> 00:09:00.336 score below 1 standard deviation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:09:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.265$ below the mean, which means below 85.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

00:09:02.270 --> 00:09:03.794 But some networks will be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.863813854444444

 $00:09:03.794 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.810$ severe and will use

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:04.865 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.335$ a definition of less than 70,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00{:}09{:}06.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.920$ which means below 2 standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.459$ deviation below the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.084$ And then a child with some degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:12.084 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.006$ hearing impairment or visual impairment

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:14.006 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.016$ will usually enter this category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

00:09:16.020 --> 00:09:17.994 And then we have the severe ENVI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.285$ which are children with cerebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

00:09:20.285 --> 00:09:22.113 palsy that requires some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.640$ that will require help to emulate,

 $00:09:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.335$ most likely like they will need a

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:27.335 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.387$ wheel chair and depending on networks for

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00{:}09{:}29.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.510$ us we use 345 as something as severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.323$ But I know for example in Australia

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00{:}09{:}34.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.169$ it's only children with a level four

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:36.169 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.693$ and five who are considered severe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.548$ which means that they do need

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00{:}09{:}39.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.589$ some one to help them move around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

00:09:41.590 --> 00:09:42.550 For developmental delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.470$ it's going to be 2 standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.609$ deviations below the mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:45.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.420$ although like some networks will

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00{:}09{:}47.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.230$ will use 3 standard deviations

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:49.294 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.789$ below the mean and severe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.638$ and the eye will also encompass

00:09:52.638 --> 00:09:53.562 severe hearing impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

 $00:09:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.342$ which means requiring hearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.856476743125

00:09:55.342 --> 00:09:57.114 aids and bilateral blindness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:09:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.534$ So if we look at outcomes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:01.534 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.360$ babies born below 28 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.656$ we have a survival rate overall of

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:05.660 --> 00:10:07.645 81% and majority actually don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:07.645 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.360$ have any NI with 55% with no MDI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.012$ Modern moderate is going to be about 29% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:13.012 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.405$ significant and there is going to be 17%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:15.405 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.432$ And if we look later on at 6 to 7 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:18.432 --> 00:10:20.682 that those are numbers from actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:20.682 --> 00:10:23.000 the United States by Susan Hintz,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.415$ 62% don't have any neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:25.415 --> 00:10:27.040 impairment, whereas moderate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.130$ severe ND I is found in about 15%.

00:10:32.130 --> 00:10:34.825 But what about the quality of life?

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:34.830 --> 00:10:36.966 If we look at studies from Sarah Segal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.475$ who is our, I would say our up program

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:40.475 --> 00:10:42.774 free of neonatal follow up here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00{:}10{:}42.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.310$ Canada using objective measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:44.310 --> 00:10:46.164 health related quality of life will

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:46.164 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.582$ be rated as worst in individuals born

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00{:}10{:}48.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.193$ preterm when compared to full term controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.224$ But if you actually ask preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:54.224 --> 00:10:55.736 more more subjectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:10:55.740 --> 00:10:59.980 it is similar to control born full term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:10:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.360$ And that actually leads me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00{:}11{:}01.360 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.634$ if you look at this kid, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:03.634 --> 00:11:05.119 this kid has cerebral palsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.479$ he needs a Walker to walk around.

 $00:11:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.846$ So he's actually with a gross motor

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00{:}11{:}09.846 \to 00{:}11{:}11.766$ function classification system of three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:11.766 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.376$ So in many networks that would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:15.376 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.954$ considered severe neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:16.954 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.829$ impairment and actually based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:18.830 --> 00:11:21.590 On this kid who will feed many statistics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:21.590 --> 00:11:23.270 maybe some, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.310$ maybe some kids in the NICU will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.452$ Will be faced with the decision of

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:28.452 --> 00:11:30.336 maybe withdrawing care or even not

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:30.336 --> 00:11:31.726 starting care because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:31.726 --> 00:11:33.630 maybe they're at risk of severe and die.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:33.630 --> 00:11:35.578 But I would argue that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:35.578 --> 00:11:38.052 when I look at this kid and I see

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:38.052 --> 00:11:40.818 several of them, he looks pretty happy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:40.818 --> 00:11:42.586 He's actually having fun,

00:11:42.590 --> 00:11:45.248 he's having friends and he's able,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00{:}11{:}45.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.490$ even though he has some motor limitation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.145$ he's actually able to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:50.145 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.207$ independently around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347 00:11:51.210 --> 00:11:51.534 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:51.534 --> 00:11:52.182 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:52.182 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.831$ is that really severe and should we be

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:11:54.831 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.029$ making a decision based on a child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

00:11:57.030 --> 00:12:00.410 Has some difficulty walking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:12:00.410 \dashrightarrow 00:12:02.496$ This is really like somewhere where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:12:02.496 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.448$ got a little bit uncomfortable with

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00{:}12{:}04.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.110$ this whole notion of severe and I as

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00{:}12{:}07.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.958$ a pediatrician and I will now turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.61206347

 $00:12:08.958 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.440$ to let Rebecca talk about her story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90158437

 $00:12:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.610$ Thank you so much, Mike.

 $00:12:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.098$ So on Monday, September

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.416$ 21st, 2009, my children Mary and Irene

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00{:}12{:}21.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.157$ and Lily Ruth were born at 25 weeks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:24.157 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.927$ five days gestational age, weighing

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:25.927 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.389$ 760 grams and 840 grams respectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:12:29.390 --> 00:12:30.710 It was my first pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:30.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.310$ and they were born after a week spent

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:12:32.310 --> 00:12:34.027 at Saint Justine Hospital in Montreal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.631$ where doctors had tried to stop my labor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:36.631 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.230$ had unexpectedly started three days prior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.022$ My husband Jason and I at that time

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:41.022 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.007$ had no idea what it meant to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:43.007 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.010$ birth to babies who were so premature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:12:45.010 --> 00:12:46.677 And the next months would prove to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:46.677 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.966$ most traumatic and difficult of our lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:12:48.970 --> 00:12:51.350 The following Sunday week after their birth,

 $00:12:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.686$ will we developed an infection that led to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00{:}12{:}53.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.447$ intestinal damage and a brain hemorrhage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.800$ And she died that afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:12:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.564$ And in fact, yesterday was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:12:58.564 --> 00:13:00.130 13th anniversary of her death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.048$ It turned out that Marin had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:02.048 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.696$ same bacterial infection and she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:03.696 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.250$ started on a course of antibiotics

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.428$ several weeks after birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.670$ She required a PDA allegation that was risky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:13:08.670 --> 00:13:10.050 Because of her instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.775$ She caught a fungal infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:11.775 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.130$ that needed treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:13:13.130 --> 00:13:14.530 She developed severe BPD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.271$ And spent lots of time on 100% oxygen

00:13:17.271 --> 00:13:19.308 or in a high frequency ventilator and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00{:}13{:}19.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.639$ had a number of respiratory crises.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.576$ She was on a ventilator for a total

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:13:23.576 --> 00:13:25.261 of seven weeks and she required

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:25.261 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.977$ steroids to wean her from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:13:26.980 --> 00:13:29.420 Finally, about two months into her NICU stay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.124$ things started to stabilize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:13:31.124 --> 00:13:33.680 Maren kept slowly growing and progressing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.591$ and after about three months she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:35.591 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.393$ finally moved to the intermediate facility

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00{:}13{:}37.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.870$ where we could prepare to take her home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.223$ A few weeks before we thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:13:41.223 --> 00:13:42.888 Marion might finally be discharged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.576$ we were approached by a doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:44.576 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.330$ about performing a head MRI on her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.242$ At the time, back in 2009,

 $00:13:48.242 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.356$ this was standard for babies born below

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:50.356 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.866$ 26 weeks gestational age at Saint Justine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.355$ and we agreed without really

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:54.355 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.246$ thinking about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:13:55.250 --> 00:13:55.616 Shockingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:55.616 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.446$ the MRI results indicated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:57.446 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.684$ there was moderate damage to marine

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:13:59.684 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.484$ cerebellum caused by a previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:01.484 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.564$ undetected brain bleed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.150$ This news was completely unexpected

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.880$ to us and nobody could really tell us

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.690$ what this devastating diagnosis meant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00{:}14{:}08.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10.050$ So with this knowledge, Marin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00{:}14{:}10.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.600$ Discharge from the hospital at

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.150$ the end of January 2010,

 $00:14:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.830$ four months and one week after her birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00{:}14{:}15.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.374$ Most people like me have no idea about

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:18.374 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.296$ the impacts of preterm birth on long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:21.296 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.609$ outcomes and the development of children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:14:23.610 --> 00:14:25.304 I did know my way around things

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:14:25.304 --> 00:14:26.650 like Google Scholar and PUB Med,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.421$ so I turned to the medical research

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:14:28.421 --> 00:14:29.949 literature to try to get an idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.454$ like a crystal ball,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:31.454 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.334$ of what marini's medical history

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00{:}14{:}33.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.748$ might mean for her future and for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:14:35.750 --> 00:14:38.060 Now remember that Marian was born at

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:14:38.060 --> 00:14:41.058 under 26 weeks gestational age weighing 760.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:14:41.058 --> 00:14:42.998 Grams she had PDA surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.160$ a number of infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.900$ was on a ventilator for seven

 $00:14:45.957 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.377$ weeks and needed steroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.020$ She came home on oxygen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.604$ She couldn't be held for weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

00:14:50.604 --> 00:14:51.396 after her birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.340$ and she had cerebellar damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.302$ So what do you think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821311906

 $00:14:55.302 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.610$ research told me about

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:14:56.688 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.054$ the outcomes of a child like this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:14:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.234$ So as my discussed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:00.234 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.019$ there's a substantial focus in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:02.019 --> 00:15:04.270 pre term birth outcome research

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}15{:}04.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.502$ with neurocognitive outcomes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}15{:}06.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.176$ MDI neurodevelopmental impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.988$ for example blindness, deafness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:09.988 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.360$ cerebral palsy and IQ of less than 85,

 $00:15:13.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.190$ but also things like learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:15.190 --> 00:15:16.654 disability and vision problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:16.660 --> 00:15:18.348 The problem with these measures that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:18.348 --> 00:15:20.238 they are reported as dichotomous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.060$ so either a child has them or

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:22.060 --> 00:15:23.254 they don't without acknowledging

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}15{:}23.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.372$ that most of these outcomes are

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:25.372 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.298$ continuous and fall within a range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}15{:}27.300 --> 00{:}15{:}27.724 \ {\rm For \ example},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:27.724 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.590$ we know that children can have a variety of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:29.590 --> 00:15:32.116 Vision problems or a diversity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:32.116 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.800$ learning differences or disabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.588$ There's also very little research that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:35.588 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.140$ looks at what these neurocognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:37.140 --> 00:15:39.296 outcomes mean for the quality of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:39.296 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.472$ and for the day-to-day functioning of

 $00:15:41.472 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.352$ preterm children and their families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.061$ Darren had lots of visits to seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:45.061 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.634$ Justine to the follow-up clinic where

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:46.634 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.475$ she was given tests such as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:48.526 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.276$ Bayley scales of infant development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.038$ And we know that welby's tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:52.038 --> 00:15:53.565 can identify children that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}15{:}53.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.757$ need more follow-up care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.896$ They actually have limited predictive value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:15:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.675$ so they might actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:15:58.675 --> 00:16:00.450 unhelpful or relevant for parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.878$ Most of the outcome measures that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}16{:}01.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.531$ have been chosen for research have

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:16:03.531 --> 00:16:04.827 been chosen by neonatologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:16:04.830 --> 00:16:05.804 developmental psychologists,

 $00:16:05.804 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.752$ or clinicians without considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:07.752 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.116$ what parents or families feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:10.116 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.548$ are important or impactful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:11.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.495$ As an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:12.495 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.700$ Marin had to be hospitalized with pneumonia

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:16:14.760 --> 00:16:16.986 numerous times before her third birthday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.350$ which was very difficult for all of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.710$ There's very little, if any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}16{:}20.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.790$ research that considers rehospitalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:22.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.870$ as an impactful outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}16{:}24.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.370$ I really wanted to know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:26.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.770$ families cope with the stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:27.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.330$ the uncertainty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.290$ and the potential difficulties of having a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.950$ Preterm child but information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.440$ this is very hard to find as well.

 $00:16:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.722$ What was very easy to find was

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}16{:}36.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.133$ medicalized deficit based research

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:38.133 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.335$ that focused on all the problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:40.335 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.356$ that preterm children as a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:16:42.356 --> 00:16:44.066 have without any sort of discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:16:44.066 --> 00:16:45.844 or examination of their positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:45.844 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.560$ attributes and what they can do and be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}16{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.639$ I was recently reading a study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:16:50.639 --> 00:16:52.237 asked about parents perceptions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:52.237 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.181$ the health related quality of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:16:54.181 --> 00:16:56.240 of preterm children with severe BPD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:16:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.456$ The authors of the paper noted that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}16{:}58.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.298$ normal emotional health of these children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00{:}17{:}00.300 \to 00{:}17{:}02.260$ Maybe an area of resilience

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.044$ that's unrecognized.

00:17:03.050 --> 00:17:04.863 And I thought that was really funny

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:04.863 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.015$ because parents clearly recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:06.015 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.630$ that their children are resilient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.877$ but it's the research community that doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:09.877 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.087$ really value this as an outcome to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:17:12.090 --> 00:17:13.938 I find it very strange that as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:13.938 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.907$ society we spend huge amounts of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:15.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.780$ money and effort to medically

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:17:17.780 --> 00:17:19.650 intervene to save preterm children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:17:19.650 --> 00:17:21.546 only to turn around and spend more time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.890$ money and brainpower to conduct research

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.426$ that suggests how atypical they are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:26.426 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.547$ how in most measures they are inferior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.832$ As you will see from some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

00:17:29.832 --> 00:17:31.666 data that we'll present in this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.335$ parents are pretty realistic about

 $00:17:33.335 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.000$ the concerns for their children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.560$ which do include developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.510$ delays and difficulties in school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.490$ However, for many parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903663835294118

 $00:17:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.960$ this is just part of who

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154 00:17:41.009 --> 00:17:41.729 their child is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:17:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.650$ and it doesn't necessarily have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:17:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.356$ massive impact on their day-to-day

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}17{:}45.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.570$ quality of life or their happiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}17{:}47.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.705$ The voices of parents and children are

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:17:49.705 --> 00:17:51.610 largely absent in outcome research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:17:51.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.885$ although this is slowly starting to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}17{:}53.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.465$ These voices are really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:17:55.465 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.040$ because they provide a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:17:57.094 --> 00:17:58.550 counterpoint to deficit based.

 $00:17:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.765$ Research. For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:17:59.765 --> 00:18:01.385 as my just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.680$ studies that examine the quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.294$ of life and function of extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:04.294 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.950$ preterm children as they get older

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}18{:}05.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.420$ have shown that these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:07.420 --> 00:18:08.895 generally rate their quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:08.895 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.854$ life and their happiness as equal

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:10.854 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.360$ to that of their term born peers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:13.360 --> 00:18:14.955 The majority of extremely preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}18{:}14.955 \to 00{:}18{:}17.480$ children end up as adults who are content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:17.480 --> 00:18:18.893 employed, living independently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:18.893 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.719$ and are contributing members of society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:21.720 --> 00:18:23.385 But this pretty important information

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:23.385 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.748$ gets drowned out by research that focuses

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:25.748 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.680$ on what's wrong with preterm children,

 $00:18:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.790$ one of the reasons why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.255$ This parent centered research is

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:30.255 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.338$ of great interest to me is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:32.338 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.284$ I was curious to learn about other

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:34.284 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.832$ parents responses to their preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:35.832 --> 00:18:37.656 birth and how they regarded the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:37.660 --> 00:18:39.820 impact of prematurity on their lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.920$ This is information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:40.920 --> 00:18:42.548 information that's really missing

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}18{:}42.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.990$ from research and that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:45.061 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.876$ of great benefit to everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:46.880 --> 00:18:48.320 So today, Marin is a happy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.464$ healthy 13 year old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:49.464 --> 00:18:51.180 She started Grade 8 this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:51.180 --> 00:18:53.196 her second year of high school in Quebec,

 $00:18:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.496$ and despite being one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}18{:}54.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.650$ youngest children in her class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.390$ because the grade cutoff is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:18:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.287$ end of September here and being

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:18:59.287 --> 00:19:00.639 born four months premature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.980$ things are going pretty well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.480$ She takes the metro to school

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.480$ by herself each morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.704$ She's made good friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:05.704 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.316$ She's bilingual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.200$ she loves to read and ride her bike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.760$ She plays the piano and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.540$ learning the flute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.076$ She swims and figure skates and does karate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.898$ She also has a slower processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}19{:}14.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.100$ speed and a weak working memory.

 $00:19:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.710$ And was diagnosed with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.676$ math learning disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.085$ These are all hallmarks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:21.085 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.310$ extreme prematurity that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.860$ read about in the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.125$ and they would certainly make

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:25.125 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.671$ her less than perfect in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:19:26.671 --> 00:19:28.039 eyes of a follow up physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:19:28.040 --> 00:19:30.020 But they don't define humaran is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.706$ and they really matter less in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:19:31.706 --> 00:19:33.500 day-to-day life than one might think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.714$ Marin also, as I said, has friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:35.714 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.299$ She's kind, she's exceptionally hardworking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.688$ happy and again resilient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:19:39.688 --> 00:19:41.770 which are traits that many other

 $00:19:41.828 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.084$ preterm children possess but that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:44.084 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.180$ been neglected in outcome research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.121$ So are we asking the right questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:48.121 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.023$ The outcome research what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:19:50.023 --> 00:19:51.726 purpose to some of the research

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:51.726 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.790$ that can is conducted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:19:52.790 --> 00:19:54.190 How is it actually contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.032$ to improving the lives of preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:56.032 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.568$ individuals and their families?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.369$ Remember that a lot of outcome research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:19:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.108$ or at least some of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:20:00.110 --> 00:20:02.566 is picked up and reported by popular media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00{:}20{:}02.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.190$ and this unfortunately shapes

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:20:04.190 --> 00:20:06.236 people's perception of preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:20:06.236 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.088$ individuals as somehow damaged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:20:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.410$ Outcome research is very important

 $00:20:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.730$ for a number of reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:20:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.410$ but it needs to be balanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:20:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.650$ We all know that's extreme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

00:20:13.650 --> 00:20:14.733 Prematurity is devastating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858086593846154

 $00:20:14.733 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.260$ There's nothing good about being born four

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:20:17.321 --> 00:20:18.594 months premature. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:20:18.594 --> 00:20:20.958 most preterm children are regular kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:20:20.960 --> 00:20:22.720 and they're prematurity doesn't negatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.840$ impact them on a day-to-day basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}20{:}24.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.410$ Most preterm children do OK

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.860$ in school and have friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:20:27.860 --> 00:20:30.020 Most preterm children are hay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}20{:}30.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.910$ Most preterm children do not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}20{:}31.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.720$ serious long term health problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.805$ Most preterm children are independent

 $00:20:35.805 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.795$ and functional members of society's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:20:37.795 --> 00:20:38.740 Most preterm children

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.000$ are strong and resilient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.902$ and the parents of these children

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:41.902 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.092$ love and value them no matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:44.092 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.808$ what their neurocognitive status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:20:45.810 --> 00:20:47.854 So again, I'm only one person and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:20:47.854 --> 00:20:49.569 I've clearly explained my perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}20{:}49.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.586$ But the bigger question is how can

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:51.586 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.895$ we integrate parental perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}20{:}52.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.080$ into the larger research picture O.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.265$ This includes using different

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:20:56.265 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.005$ methodologies to rigorously investigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:20:58.005 --> 00:21:00.619 what matters to families and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:00.619 --> 00:21:02.869 parents consider to be important outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}21{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.790$ with the aim of improving research

00:21:04.790 --> 00:21:06.070 into what's meaningful to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.678$ again, parents and families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:08.680 --> 00:21:10.658 So in 2018 Megaville,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:10.658 --> 00:21:12.728 Jaworski and Montreal and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:12.728 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.517$ co-authors published a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:14.517 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.960$ entitled Parental Perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.365$ regarding outcomes of very preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:18.365 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.617$ infants towards a balanced approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:20.620 --> 00:21:22.246 190 parents of infants born at

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}21{:}22.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.191$ less than 29 weeks gestational age

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:24.191 --> 00:21:26.186 were asked to open-ended questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:26.186 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.390$ that their children's 18 to 22

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}21{:}28.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.654$ month neonatal follow-up visit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.205$ These questions were what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:31.205 --> 00:21:32.750 your concerns or what concerns

 $00:21:32.801 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.136$ you most about your child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.525$ And please describe the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:35.525 --> 00:21:37.255 thing about your child or what

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:37.255 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.710$ is positive about your child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:38.710 --> 00:21:40.534 Data were analyzed thematically

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:40.534 --> 00:21:43.270 and there were 180 again parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:43.343 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.091$ responses plus the corresponding

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:46.091 --> 00:21:47.465 neurodevelopmental data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.158$ So the results show that 73% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:21:50.158 --> 00:21:52.228 parents had both positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}21{:}52.228 \to 00{:}21{:}54.190$ negative comments and concerns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.398$ and 27% of parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:56.398 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.950$ interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.720$ had only positive comments to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:21:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.490$ say about their preterm children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.040$ There was also a minimal association

 $00:22:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.553$ between parental answers and the presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:05.553 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.150$ or level of disability of their child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:08.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.243$ There were many positive themes that emerged

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:22:10.243 --> 00:22:12.230 from the answers to the two questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.960$ themes that are not currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.344$ measured in outcome research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.888$ These themes related to the personality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667 00:22:17.890 --> 00:22:18.442 Happiness, NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:22:18.442 --> 00:22:18.994 health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}22{:}18.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.650$ and developmental outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}22{:}20.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.306$ that exceeded expectations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}22{:}22.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.980$ and all parents had positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}22{:}23.980 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.086$ outcomes to say or positive comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:26.086 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.926$ to say about their children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.755$ There were also parental concerns

 $00:22:29.755 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.850$ or negative themes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}22{:}30.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.275$ including developmental concerns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:32.275 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.650$ physical health concerns and parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:22:34.650 --> 00:22:36.650 concerns about children's future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.522$ again many of which are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}22{:}38.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.770$ measured in outcome research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.185$ And some parents also explicitly said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:22:42.185 --> 00:22:45.009 they had no concerns about their child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:22:45.010 --> 00:22:45.226 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:45.226 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.306$ as Annie said to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.425$ this paper was really a kick in the ****.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.334$ That led to the idea of asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:50.334 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.540$ more parents similar questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.185$ in a more rigorous fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:22:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.212$ There was also an editorial published

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:22:55.212 --> 00:22:57.200 in 2018 called What Parents Want

 $00:22:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.850$ to know after preterm birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}22{:}58.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.735$ That included some very relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:23:00.735 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.866$ questions and conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:23:01.870 --> 00:23:02.582 Most notably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:23:02.582 --> 00:23:03.650 why has neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:23:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.715$ impairment been chosen as a measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:23:05.715 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.430$ of an accused success?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:23:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.439$ Why has it become central to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:23:09.439 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.179$ way that doctors counsel patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:23:11.179 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.229$ and discuss prognosis with them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:23:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.150$ And to doctor's answers all address

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

00:23:15.150 --> 00:23:16.750 the real concerns and needs of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00{:}23{:}16.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.388$ parents of infants form pre term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861284454166667

 $00:23:18.388 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.530$ And the authors also noted that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7985370305

 $00:23:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.894$ imperative to redefine the way that

 $00:23:22.894 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.690$ outcomes are described after preterm birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7985370305

 $00{:}23{:}25.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27.769$ So thank you for listening and I will now

NOTE Confidence: 0.7985370305

00:23:27.769 --> 00:23:29.704 turn turn things over to my pardon me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7985370305

 $00:23:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.257$ who will start to discuss the parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7985370305

 $00:23:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.210$ Voice project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84382847

00:23:37.180 --> 00:23:38.960 So following this first work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84382847

 $00:23:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.050$ we launched the Parents Voice

NOTE Confidence: 0.84382847

 $00:23:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.555$ project with the support from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84382847

 $00:23:43.555 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.595$ Child Bride network and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.84382847

 $00:23:45.595 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.277$ this is the work from an amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84382847

00:23:48.277 --> 00:23:50.659 group of ladies from across Canada.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84382847

 $00{:}23{:}50.660 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}23{:}52.570$ Happens that there's no man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84382847

 $00:23:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.118$ but this is how it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:23:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.039$ So the goal of the parents Voice

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:23:58.039 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.682$ project was really to engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:23:59.682 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.040$ parents to Co create definitions of

 $00:24:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.179$ neurodevelopmental impairment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:03.179 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.967$ also to determine what were outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:04.967 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.662$ that they valued as important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:06.662 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.642$ So there were several projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:08.642 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.089$ that will be presenting today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.162$ The first project was to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:14.162 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.186$ neurodevelopmental impairment

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:24:15.186 --> 00:24:17.046 classification as per parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:17.046 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.966$ view compared to the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}24{:}18.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.209$ definition that is used by CNN Fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.325$ So what we did was over a year period

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:23.325 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.396$ all parents are very preterm infants

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}24{:}25.396 \to 00{:}24{:}27.518$ who were attending a neonatal follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}24{:}27.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.766$ clinic through in the as part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:24:29.766 --> 00:24:32.570 CN Fund 18 to 21 month visit were

 $00:24:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.924$ eligible to participate and they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:34.924 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.210$ asked this specific question that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.250$ done prior to the standardized health

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.530$ and neurodevelopmental assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.630$ So we asked them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:24:41.630 --> 00:24:43.280 Please tell us how you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:43.344 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.140$ rate your child's development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:24:45.140 --> 00:24:46.088 Parents could choose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:46.088 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.860$ I think that my child is developing normally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.890$ I think that my child has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}24{:}50.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.760 \ \mathrm{mild} \ \mathrm{developmental} \ \mathrm{impairment},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:24:51.760 --> 00:24:54.076 a moderate impairment or a severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:24:54.076 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.318$ impairment and what would what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:24:56.318 --> 00:24:58.202 did was to compare their response

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:24:58.202 --> 00:25:00.339 to CNN fund classification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}25{:}00.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.740$ neurodevelopmental impairment.

 $00:25:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.900$ So overall we had over 1000 responses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.396$ you can see that agreement of parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:10.396 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.016$ classification versus the CNT fund

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:13.104 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.008$ classification is not so great with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.042$ A cup of 0.29 so if we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:25:19.042 --> 00:25:22.187 at CNN classification of 54%

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:22.187 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.449$ of children had no ND I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:25:24.450 --> 00:25:26.832 but when we look at parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:26.832 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.201$ classification that was higher at 68%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:29.201 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.772$ Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:29.772 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.627$ when we compare CNN fan

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:25:32.627 --> 00:25:34.340 and parents Classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}25{:}34.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.766$ 80 to 83% agree regarding children

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:37.766 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.050$ with no ND I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334 00:25:40.050 --> 00:25:40.372 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334 $00:25:40.372 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.948$ if a child was classified as having mild

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:42.948 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.749$ to moderate neurodevelopmental impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.400$ only 40% of parents agreed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.340$ And if we look at significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.320$ neurodevelopmental impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:25:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.660$ only 12% of parents agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:25:55.660 --> 00:25:58.054 And as you can see most of the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:25:58.054 --> 00:26:00.186 they would classify their child or

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}26{:}00.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.641$ rate them as less severe as what

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:02.641 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.640$ CNN found with with determined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.262$ And when we looked more granularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334 00:26:07.262 --> 00:26:08.573 at the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}26{:}08.580 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.350$ things like mild or moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.120$ language delay was not something

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:26:12.180 --> 00:26:13.890 very significant for parents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.970$ also hearing like having a hearing

 $00:26:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.812$ aid but being able to function

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:17.812 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.513$ was not really considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:26:19.513 --> 00:26:21.810 Significantly as a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.920$ role developmental impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.147$ So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:26.147 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.192$ results only showed only fair

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00:26:28.192 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.915$ agreement between parents perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:26:29.915 --> 00:26:32.411 and healthcare provider as per the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:26:32.411 --> 00:26:35.092 in terms of NOI and children born

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}26{:}35.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.166$ very preterm and parents were more

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

00:26:37.166 --> 00:26:38.596 likely to describe their child

NOTE Confidence: 0.847075254333334

 $00{:}26{:}38.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.500$ development as not or less impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85519625

 $00:26:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.370$ So the second project

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00{:}26{:}49.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.350$ in the Parents Voice project was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00:26:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.002$ use vignettes to evaluate parental

 $00:26:55.002 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.094$ perceptions of the severity of visibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00:26:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.460$ So we created vignettes based on CNN fund

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00{:}27{:}02.460 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.666$ definitions of a severe that we now use

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00:27:05.666 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.542$ significant disability because parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00:27:07.542 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.138$ don't want us to use severe disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

00:27:11.140 --> 00:27:14.224 And after creating all these vignettes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00:27:14.224 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.600$ the survey was distributed to parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

00:27:16.661 --> 00:27:18.932 of very preterm children and parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00{:}27{:}18.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.062$ foundation that made this survey

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00:27:21.062 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.550$ available to parents and stakeholders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00{:}27{:}23.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.090$ So this was really Internet

NOTE Confidence: 0.67223157

 $00:27:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.122$ based and widely distributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

00:27:30.160 --> 00:27:33.590 So I'll give you an example of one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00{:}27{:}33.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.558$ vignette of Jamie, who's 18 months old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

00:27:36.558 --> 00:27:39.239 who can sit with support and roll in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00:27:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.232$ creep on his stomach.

 $00:27:40.232 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.150$ He has stiff legs and will very likely use

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00{:}27{:}43.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.720$ a weak wheel chair for longer distances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00:27:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.290$ Then we describe that all the rest is normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

00:27:49.290 --> 00:27:53.875 So Jamie is a child with significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

00:27:53.880 --> 00:27:57.380 ND I and who has cerebral palsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

00:27:57.380 --> 00:27:58.676 isolated cerebral palsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00:27:58.676 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.836$ So we ask Questions 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00{:}28{:}00.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.232$ Questions after each vignette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

00:28:03.232 --> 00:28:05.701 If zero is the worst possible health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00:28:05.701 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.047$ intent is the best possible health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00:28:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.276$ Where do you think Jamie is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00:28:10.276 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.730$ on this scale from zero to 10?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00{:}28{:}12.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.230$ And does Jamie's case describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00:28:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.864$ a severe health condition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865084516153846

 $00:28:16.864 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.190$ And they could say yes, no, or they did.

 $00:28:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.120$ They didn't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.660$ So we had 800 participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

00:28:26.660 --> 00:28:29.306 827 participants from all over the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

00:28:29.310 --> 00:28:31.896 mainly parents of extreme preterm infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.847$ but some preterm infants who were older

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

00:28:34.847 --> 00:28:37.260 and some clinicians in neonatology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.220$ And you can see that the child with

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

00:28:40.220 --> 00:28:42.440 no impairment received immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.940$ evaluation of 10 and that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.825$ the child getting the worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:48.825 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.198$ evaluation or the vignette with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:51.198 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.278$ worst evaluation were the children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.690$ With visual impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

00:28:54.690 --> 00:28:57.040 language and cognitive delays or

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

00:28:57.040 --> 00:28:59.308 cerebral palsy and language delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:28:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.364$ but they were not at 2110,

 $00:29:01.364 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.262$ they were on the median of six

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:29:04.262 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.500$ on 10 with all the other severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:29:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.601$ disabilities that we call severe rated

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:29:10.601 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.358$ at 7 on 10 or higher by parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:29:14.358 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.930$ mainly by parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:29:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.884$ So you see that not all severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.782384898

 $00:29:18.884 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.890$ disabilities are evaluated the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00{:}29{:}23.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.756$ When we asked participant

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00:29:24.756 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.086$ is this vignette does it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00:29:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.550$ is it a severe health condition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234265333333333

 $00:29:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.954$ You can see that only cerebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00:29:32.954 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.458$ palsy and language delay only there

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00{:}29{:}36.458 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}29{:}39.238$ did participant 55% of them judge

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00{:}29{:}39.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.650$ it was a severe health condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00:29:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.290$ All the other severe conditions that

00:29:44.290 --> 00:29:46.624 we call severe as neonatologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00{:}29{:}46.624 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}29{:}49.894$ and clinicians and researchers were

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00:29:49.894 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.434$ considered severe by less than half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923426533333333

 $00:29:52.434 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.338$ Of participant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:29:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.352$ So the summary of the vignette study

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:29:59.352 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.959$ shows that clinical vignettes are

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:30:01.959 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.399$ ranked differently by individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:30:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.030$ that are not researchers or

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00{:}30{:}07.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08.608$ clinicians and neonatology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:30:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.754$ They're not ranked the way disabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00{:}30{:}11.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.850$ are classified in neonatology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:30:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.558$ The percentage of respondents

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

00:30:15.558 --> 00:30:18.120 who perceive the vignette to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00{:}30{:}18.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.228$ severe differs significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:30:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.766$ Even within participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

00:30:21.766 --> 00:30:24.326 The combination of cerebral palsy

 $00:30:24.326 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.581$ and language delay was perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:30:26.581 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.269$ to be the most severe vignette and

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00{:}30{:}29.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31.908$ cognitive delay as the least severe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:30:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.085$ and many delays described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00{:}30{:}34.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36.890$ severe are not perceived as severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.895339574375

 $00:30:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.066$ by parents and stakeholders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878780485

00:30:44.980 --> 00:30:46.168 So I'll be presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:30:46.180 --> 00:30:48.340 the third part or the third study here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}30{:}48.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.854$ which was looking at parental perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}30{:}50.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.680$ in relation to four different areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:30:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.040$ So the health of parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:30:55.040 --> 00:30:56.875 premature baby, the impact of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}30{:}56.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.300$ prematurity on the lives of parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}30{:}59.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.295$ parents need for information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:31:01.295 --> 00:31:03.290 prematurity and any decision or

 $00:31:03.359 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.537$ regrets that parents might have had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.732$ So between 2018, July 2018 and July 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:10.732 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.228$ All of the parents of children who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}31{:}13.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.743$ seen at the Saint Justine unital follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:31:15.743 --> 00:31:18.667 clinic for their 18 month to 7 year visits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.651$ who were born at less than 29

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:20.651 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.224$ weeks gestational age were invited

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:22.224 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.889$ to participate in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:31:23.890 --> 00:31:25.135 Parental perspectives were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:31:25.135 --> 00:31:26.795 measured through a questionnaire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.520$ and this question naire was administered

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.854$ in a variety of different ways in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:30.854 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.534$ order to get as many participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.367$ as possible so it was given online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.646$ Parents could answer verbally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:35.646 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.329$ over the phone or in person by interview,

00:31:38.330 --> 00:31:41.378 or they could write their answers on paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.205$ The data were analyzed the matically

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:43.205 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.030$ by a multidisciplinary research team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:31:45.030 --> 00:31:46.764 including a neonatologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:31:46.764 --> 00:31:47.920 developmental pediatrician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.564$ sociologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:31:48.564 --> 00:31:51.140 parents and medical students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.428$ and coding of the data was done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:53.428 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.800$ teams of two independent reviewers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.062$ So this just shows the participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:31:58.062 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.193$ in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}31{:}59.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02.651$ So 83% of parents who are eligible

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}32{:}02.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.439$ for follow-up were contacted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.760$ 98% of parents who came to follow up

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.100$ actually participated in this study.

 $00:32:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.324$ So for a total of 248 parents of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:12.324 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.200$ 213 children, so in a very, very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.780$ very high participation rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.772$ These are the characteristics of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:18.772 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.959$ children who are part of this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.158$ So the mean gestational age was 26,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.516$ just under 27 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:24.516 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.620$ And you can see here that the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}32{:}27.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.596$ statistics are fairly characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:29.596 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.910$ of children who were born at 26 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}32{:}32.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.382$ So the first question that parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:34.382 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.356$ were asked was to rate your child's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:36.356 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.049$ health from the point of view or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}32{:}38.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39.739$ your point of view on a scale of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:39.739 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.042$ 0 very poor to 10, excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:41.042 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.018$ So the median rating for all parents was

 $00:32:44.018 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.401$ a nine with a range of ratings from 3 to 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}32{:}47.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49.170$ There was a relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:32:49.170 --> 00:32:51.355 diagnosed and DI and parents rating

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:51.355 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.503$ of their child's health and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:53.503 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.345$ parents whose children have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:55.345 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.457$ diagnosed with significant ND I rated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:57.457 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.163$ their child's health is significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:32:59.163 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.480$ worse than parents with mild or whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:01.538 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.435$ children had mild to moderate ND I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.337$ Or no NDI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:04.337 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.636$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:04.636 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.916$ you can still see that even the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}33{:}06.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.016$ parents of children diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:33:09.016 --> 00:33:11.270 significant NDI rated their children

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:11.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.446$ with the median rating of just over 7,

 $00:33:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.825$ which is we could argue is is fairly high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}33{:}16.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.084$ Parents were then asked if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:18.084 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.780$ could improve up to two things about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00{:}33{:}19.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.140$ your child's health or development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.924$ What would they be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:33:22.924 --> 00:33:24.775 So 55% of parents identify development

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:24.775 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.482$ is something that they would like

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:26.482 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.727$ to improve about their child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:33:27.730 --> 00:33:29.401 including language, communication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:33:29.401 --> 00:33:31.629 behavior and emotional health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:33:31.630 --> 00:33:32.390 motor development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.290$ and cognitive and learning skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.061$ This is followed by 1/4 of parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:36.061 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.567$ who responded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.462$ respiratory health and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:33:38.462 --> 00:33:39.408 respiratory fragility.

00:33:39.410 --> 00:33:40.324 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

00:33:40.324 --> 00:33:42.609 14\% of parents identified nutrition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.134$ feeding and growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87322448125

 $00:33:44.134 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.150$ And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:33:45.150 --> 00:33:46.910 19% of parents explicitly said

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:33:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.318$ that they wouldn't improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:33:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.328$ Anything about their child's

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:33:50.328 --> 00:33:51.834 health or development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:33:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.658$ Parents were asked to choose the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:33:53.658 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.739$ statement that best fit their situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:33:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.980 3/4$ almost 3/4 of the parents said

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:33:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.099$ that their child's prematurity had or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00{:}34{:}00.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.277$ has both positive and negative impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

00:34:02.277 --> 00:34:04.456 on my life and my family's life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:34:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.782$ And again, only 7% of parents

00:34:06.782 --> 00:34:08.330 that their child's prematurity

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:34:08.394 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.368$ had only negative impacts on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:34:10.368 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.780$ life or the life of their family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.788$ Parents were then asked to tell or to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:34:14.788 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.496$ explain one or two positive impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:34:16.496 --> 00:34:18.218 of their child's birth that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:18.275 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.249$ consider to be the most important or

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:20.249 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.276$ impactful for them or their family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:22.276 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.236$ 48% of parents identified that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:34:24.236 --> 00:34:26.089 their child's birth had given

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}34{:}26.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.093$ them a different outlook on life

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:28.093 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.360$ and a greater gratitude for life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.472$ 33% identified with their child's birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:32.472 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.979$ had resulted in stronger family connections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.360$ and 28% of parents view

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.740$ their child as a gift.

 $00:34:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.204$ These are some of the quotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:41.204 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.540$ of parents from the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.624$ So one parent said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:43.624 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.979$ my baby has brought together

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:44.979 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.298$ our family and friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:34:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.536$ We see life differently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:47.536 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.081$ including the importance of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}34{:}49.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.498$ present moment and letting go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.140$ My child is a miracle in our lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:34:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.628$ and his strength and resilience

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}34{:}53.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.338$ give me strength and resilience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.786$ and my daughter's birth has helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00{:}34{:}56.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.499$ me learn to focus on the present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:34:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.700$ Along with learning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:34:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.600$ nothing is impossible.

 $00:35:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.626$ Seeing her walk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:35:01.626 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.678$ talk and doing something as simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:35:03.678 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.930$ as breathing is just so amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:35:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.009$ Parents were then asked to explain or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:35:08.009 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.311$ to discuss one or two negative impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:35:10.311 --> 00:35:12.333 of their child's preterm birth that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:12.396 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.566$ they consider to be important to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.754$ 42% of parents said that parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:16.754 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.058$ stress and anxiety resulting from their

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:35:19.058 --> 00:35:21.028 child's birth were very significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}35{:}21.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.487$ 36% identified that they had a loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:35:23.487 --> 00:35:25.669 of family balance and equilibrium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:25.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.550$ 21% identified their child's development

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:35:27.550 --> 00:35:30.268 as having a negative impact on their life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.910$ and 19% of parents still view

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:35:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.670$ their children as vulnerable.

 $00:35:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.756$ So one parent or one mother identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}35{:}36.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.802$ the fact that she had constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}35{:}38.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.627$ fears during her second pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:35:40.630 --> 00:35:42.022 My career dreams extinguished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:42.022 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.110$ this was a mother as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:35:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.911$ another parent said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:35:44.911 --> 00:35:46.513 Although he is doing amazingly well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.116$ there's still a lot of anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:48.116 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.749$ about what the future will hold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:35:49.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.158$ feelings of experiencing motherhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:52.158 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.964$ abnormally and loneliness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.727$ And another set of parents or mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00{:}35{:}55.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.590$ said the fear of losing our babies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.030$ the hypervigilance that's persisted

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:35:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.830$ since we left the hospital.

 $00:36:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.486$ And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}36{:}01.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.798$ those parents were parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:02.798 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.630$ of twins and their children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.880$ Are not 18 months old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

00:36:05.880 --> 00:36:08.240 I think they were five years old at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:36:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.864$ or a lot older than babies still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}36{:}09.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11.795$ and they're still worried about

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:11.795 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.565$ their children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.224$ Parents were asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:36:13.224 --> 00:36:14.314 knowing what you know now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00{:}36{:}14.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.144$ what you wish doctors would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:16.144 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.722$ told you about prematurity before

NOTE Confidence: 0.88603016555555

 $00:36:17.722 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.227$ or after your child's birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.407$ So the good news is that 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.780$ of the parents were explicitly

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.150$ satisfied with the information that

 $00:36:24.199 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.489$ they received from the doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

00:36:25.490 --> 00:36:27.848 At Saint Justine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.440$ 21% of parents would have liked

NOTE Confidence: 0.886030165555555

 $00:36:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.500$ more information for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:30.549 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.829$ return home with their baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.175$ So this included things about

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:33.175 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.957$ what to expect for the baby's

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:36:34.957 --> 00:36:36.489 future health and development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.804$ the types of follow up that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:36:37.804 --> 00:36:39.110 they would need to undergo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:36:39.110 --> 00:36:40.825 and the you know the frequency of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00{:}36{:}40.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.590$ this follow up and also practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00{:}36{:}42.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.679$ Considerations like daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:36:43.679 --> 00:36:45.857 life with a a preterm baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.036$ how to care for their baby,

00:36:47.040 --> 00:36:49.130 and what supports were out

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:36:49.130 --> 00:36:51.220 there for them as parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:51.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.380$ Then parents were asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:36:52.380 --> 00:36:53.830 knowing what you know now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.510$ which you wish that doctors would have told

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.129$ you about prematurity before and after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.278$ Sorry, this is the same question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:36:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.422$ 16% of parents wanted more practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:37:01.422 --> 00:37:03.200 information on their NICU stay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.915$ so they wanted to know what role

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00{:}37{:}04.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.317$ they would have undertaken as

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:06.317 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.847$ parents earlier and get involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:07.847 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.538$ with the care of their baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.661$ They would have liked to know more

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:11.661 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.172$ information about the trajectory of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:13.172 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.792$ their baby in the NICU and also ways to

00:37:15.792 --> 00:37:17.777 prevent the complications of prematurity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:37:17.780 --> 00:37:18.410 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:37:18.410 --> 00:37:20.300 maybe most importantly to me anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.182$ as a parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:21.182 \dashrightarrow 00:37:23.834$ 14% of parents wanted a better style of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:37:23.834 --> 00:37:25.950 communication with medical professionals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.488$ so they wanted more optimism from

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:28.488 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.180$ doctors specifically and better

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:30.252 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.237$ parent medical team interactions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00{:}37{:}32.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.009$ order to engage them more as parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:35.010 \dashrightarrow 00:37:37.224$ So these are some of the quotes from parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.406$ so speak to us about positive stories too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.540$ Not only the negative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.670$ the bad that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.974$ It's impossible to live

 $00:37:42.974 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.604$ in fear after the birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.770$ We want to have ideas of what we can expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.395$ And even if each child's

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:37:48.395 --> 00:37:49.370 journey is different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.882$ I would have liked to have been better

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:50.882 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.489$ equipped for going out with all the bacteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:37:52.490 --> 00:37:55.150 How to manage this, what to do and not to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:37:55.150 --> 00:37:57.222 How to protect him the most without

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:57.222 \dashrightarrow 00:37:58.970$ having him hospitalized every two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:37:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.362$ In any case, give parents the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:00.362 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.870$ tools so this does not happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.280$ And you can tell this parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.985$ wrote this themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00{:}38{:}03.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.390$ All the exclamation marks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.790$ Written by the parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:38:06.790 --> 00:38:07.410 And finally,

00:38:07.410 --> 00:38:09.270 don't just speak about cerebral palsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.635$ but what we can do in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:10.635 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.689$ practical sense is a family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.665$ How many appointments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:12.665 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.640$ Where? For what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.708$ What does it mean for others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.930$ The good and the bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:38:15.930 --> 00:38:17.720 A diagnosis doesn't help much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:17.720 \dashrightarrow 00:38:20.808$ What we can do about it actually helps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:38:20.810 --> 00:38:22.620 And finally, parents were asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:38:22.620 --> 00:38:23.920 knowing what you know now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.818$ what would you have done differently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:26.820 \dashrightarrow 00:38:28.900$ 54% of parents explicitly again so that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.629$ wouldn't have done anything differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.846$ They felt that they did what they could

 $00:38:32.846 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.259$ and what they were told to do in the NICU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:35.259 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.404$ 22% of parents would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:36.404 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.590$ made changes in the NICU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.958$ including self-care and better

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:39.958 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.326$ communication with medical professionals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.185 16\%$ of parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:43.185 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.895$ which again I think is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:44.895 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.728$ is a significant statistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00{:}38{:}46.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.225$ Mostly mothers still feel guilt

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:38:48.225 --> 00:38:49.720 about preterm birth prevention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00{:}38{:}49.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.319$ they really feel like there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:38:51.319 --> 00:38:52.630 something that they could have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:38:52.630 --> 00:38:54.262 For sure should have done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:54.262 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.759$ order to prevent the premature

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

 $00:38:55.759 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.139$ birth of their child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:38:57.140 --> 00:38:57.984 And finally,

 $00:38:57.984 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.094$ 9% of parent have regrets

NOTE Confidence: 0.851081014

00:39:00.094 --> 00:39:01.360 considering parental roles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

00:39:01.360 --> 00:39:02.364 their parental role, me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

00:39:02.364 --> 00:39:04.167 NICU and felt that they should have

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

00:39:04.167 --> 00:39:05.619 spent more time in the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

00:39:05.620 --> 00:39:06.458 And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:06.458 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.553$ no parents reported regretting life

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:08.553 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.779$ and death decisions for their child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.663$ So some of the things that parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

00:39:12.663 --> 00:39:14.420 said in response to this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.995$ I would have listened to the nurses

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:15.995 \dashrightarrow 00:39:17.769$ and gone to sleep because we spent 18

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:17.769 \dashrightarrow 00:39:19.878$ hours a day in the NICU near our babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.410$ I would have consulted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

00:39:21.410 --> 00:39:23.011 psychologist of the unit. Immediately,

00:39:23.011 --> 00:39:25.608 instead of consulting five years after birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.418$ I would have liked to know what parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:27.418 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.107$ can do earlier to get involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647 00:39:29.110 --> 00:39:29.582 And finally, NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:29.582 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.234$ I would have pushed for better care

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:31.234 \dashrightarrow 00:39:32.790$ and monitoring during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

00:39:32.790 --> 00:39:34.666 And when I thought something was wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

00:39:34.670 --> 00:39:35.930 I felt as though I wasn't listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00{:}39{:}35.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.433$ to you and I thought I was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.743169274117647

 $00:39:37.433 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.950$ labor when sure enough, I was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83800679

00:39:43.910 --> 00:39:44.318 So I have NOTE Confidence: 0.775915662857143

00:39:44.330 --> 00:39:47.795 the closing slides, the voice of parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.785908795

 $00:39:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.018$ project and many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.785908795

 $00{:}39{:}50.030 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}39{:}52.890$ parent perspective projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:39:52.890 --> 00:39:56.378 demonstrate humility and curiosity

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00{:}39{:}56.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}59.690$ and that we should have a lot more in

00:39:59.690 --> 00:40:02.064 neonatology to do research with families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:02.064 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.543$ not just research about families or children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.811$ And we have to remember that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:07.811 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.170$ the experts of patients healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.680$ But parents and families are the expert of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:40:12.680 --> 00:40:16.218 their children and their couple, their life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.610$ their family and their loved ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.552$ So measuring the all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:40:22.552 --> 00:40:24.268 patient important outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:40:24.270 --> 00:40:27.458 it's getting done more and more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.890$ this is a our vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00{:}40{:}32.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.302$ So it's not a new universal vision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:35.302 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.039$ but the mistake number one that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:38.039 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.762$ done quite a bit in patient oriented

 $00:40:40.762 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.959$ research is to validate medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00{:}40{:}42.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.427$ important outcomes with stakeholders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.896$ So for example to take all

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:47.896 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.540$ the three letter words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.150$ Acronyms that we don't in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:40:51.150 --> 00:40:52.760 unitology for a bad outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.960$ for example BPD and US stakeholders parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:40:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.792$ is BPD important to you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00{:}40{:}58.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.796$ Is a Grade 3 bleed important

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:41:00.800 --> 00:41:03.511 to you without actually asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:03.511 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.253$ parents what is important to them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00{:}41{:}06.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.864$ So trying to again put the parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:08.864 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.758$ in the mold and say look at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:11.758 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.609$ mold and look at what is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00{:}41{:}14.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18.130$ The other mistake is to seek a consensus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:41:18.130 --> 00:41:20.332 Parents are all different and this

00:41:20.332 --> 00:41:22.797 is very important even for prenatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00{:}41{:}22.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.408$ counseling or speaking, speaking to families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.883$ And you can see that even when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:27.883 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.038$ presented what we call doctors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.542$ severe disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:31.542 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.546$ parents didn't all agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.238$ Most often it was 50% or less

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

 $00:41:37.238 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.270$ that agreed together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:41:38.270 --> 00:41:39.632 And for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76733915

00:41:39.632 --> 00:41:41.448 parents who are musicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.806518746

 $00:41:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.680$ being deaf may be way more significant. Then

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00{:}41{:}45.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.560$ for parents who are deaf themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:41:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.912$ And the third mistake is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

00:41:51.912 --> 00:41:53.480 do research about parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:41:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.392$ I'm not with parents and not engage

00:41:56.392 --> 00:41:58.827 parents in Co construction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:41:58.827 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.133$ protocols or of even analyzing text.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

00:42:02.140 --> 00:42:04.988 So it was very interesting when we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:04.988 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.740$ reading the stories of the parents to

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

00:42:07.740 --> 00:42:10.084 see different views for for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

00:42:10.084 --> 00:42:12.698 a child who was he runs everywhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.518$ he's so happy, he laughs all

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:14.518 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.640$ the time and sings all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

00:42:16.640 --> 00:42:19.623 But Rebecca saw a happy child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

00:42:19.623 --> 00:42:21.578 I saw a happy child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.830$ but those in rehab and follow up were like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.150$ Oh no, hyperactive child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.363$ So you can see that even subjectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:29.363 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.522$ interpreting what parents were saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:31.522 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.322$ had to be done by groups to decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.897192982

 $00:42:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.320$ the bias of how we read parents stories.

 $00:42:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.140$ So there's two slides with recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:42:43.140 --> 00:42:45.696 In our opinion, it's essential to

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:42:45.696 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.400$ redefine outcomes and classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:42:47.462 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.407$ of outcomes after preterm birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:42:49.410 --> 00:42:52.730 This is how we describe how preterms do

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:42:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.497$ and this is not how parents describe them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:42:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.348$ Function should be measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:42:58.348 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.196$ as opposed to diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.098$ We know that too from the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:03.098 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.098$ of children who live with trisomy 21.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}43{:}06.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.580$ Resilience and adaptation

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.840$ should be mentioned to families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}43{:}09.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.340$ This is what families tell us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.708$ It's really difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:43:12.708 --> 00:43:15.900 but the majority of them of them

 $00:43:15.984 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.966$ told us that and give them examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.590$ We have to avoid conflating

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:43:21.590 --> 00:43:24.210 outcomes into a severe category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.206$ And even worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:25.206 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.530$ we often we didn't speak about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:27.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.506$ say death or BPD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}43{:}29.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.470$ We should say which kids survived

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:32.574 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.286$ and then which kid have BPD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}43{:}35.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.188$ And we shouldn't consider severe categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.670$ we should describe them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:43:39.670 --> 00:43:42.831 And there is no other place in medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:42.831 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.001$ where outcomes are conflated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:43:45.001 --> 00:43:47.912 way of outcomes that don't mean the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}43{:}47.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.216$ same thing into a severe category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.230$ So we don't have death or colostomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:43:53.230 --> 00:43:57.096 described to a patient who has colon cancer,

 $00:43:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.700$ nor a severe outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:43:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.700$ They will describe each outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.557$ individually in a functional manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.630$ They won't see a colostomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.726$ they'll say a stool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}44{:}04.726 \operatorname{{--}{>}} 00{:}44{:}06.924$ a bag that collects tool and speak about

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:06.924 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.740$ if it's reversible or not, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.660$ So the leader function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.492$ To families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}44{:}13.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.156$ we should describe functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:44:15.156 --> 00:44:17.400 outcomes in a balanced fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.512$ not severe disability which

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:44:19.512 --> 00:44:21.096 is unfortunately done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}44{:}21.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.474$ For example after a great for bleed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.400$ Acceptable would be to say

00:44:26.400 --> 00:44:28.320 your child may be deaf,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:44:28.320 --> 00:44:31.224 but preferable and optimal would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:44:31.224 --> 00:44:34.664 your child may need to use hearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:34.664 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.490$ aids or cochlear implants to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.709$ To tell parents their kid has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:39.709 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.368$ risk of BPD is should not be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.786$ We can see the risk of going home

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:44.786 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.580$ on oxygen and what that means or

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.190$ rehospitalization or what it actually means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.426$ That pulmonary important outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}44{:}51.426 --> 00{:}44{:}53.280$ And we're working on this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:53.338 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.663$ actually speak to parents what

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00:44:54.663 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.290$ it means in their daily life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

 $00{:}44{:}56.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58.108$ It's not the three letter word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902478725

00:44:58.110 --> 00:44:59.890 it's where they're more stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.882168414

 $00:44:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.030$ that it means for them.

 $00:45:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.260$ So the key messages are that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00{:}45{:}05.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.246$ parents generally have a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:45:07.246 --> 00:45:09.146 perception of their child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.640$ and this confirms prior research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00{:}45{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.495$ Developmental issues are still a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:45:14.495 --> 00:45:17.525 main concern, but we even signed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:17.525 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.810$ developmental issues that behavior and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:19.886 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.952$ emotional outcomes were more important

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:21.952 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.290$ to parents than they were to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.874$ and other outcomes that were important to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.480$ such as their cerebral palsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.410$ were considered less severe, significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00{:}45{:}33.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.178$ Some parental concerns are

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:45:35.178 --> 00:45:37.388 forgotten in our outcome measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.614$ such as respiratory health,

00:45:39.614 --> 00:45:40.982 rehospitalization, fragility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:40.982 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.230$ eating, nutrition and sleeping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.222$ For some parents, when they considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:45:46.222 --> 00:45:48.330 their child to be significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.870$ to have a significant impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.048$ it was often in those spheres

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:45:53.048 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.050$ when we considered them normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:45:55.050 --> 00:45:57.480 quote UN quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:45:57.480 --> 00:46:00.410 Parents describe both positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:46:00.410 --> 00:46:02.754 negative impacts of prematurity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.592$ although scientific literature tends

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:46:04.592 --> 00:46:07.340 to emphasize or not even emphasize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.320$ only look at the negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.644$ The themes of resilience and positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:12.644 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.380$ transformations are clear in our research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:46:15.380 --> 00:46:18.820 but they are not in usual outcome research,

 $00:46:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.930$ and parents would really benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.547$ from hearing that it is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:23.547 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.121$ always like this and that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:46:26.121 --> 00:46:28.170 parents find something positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.155$ Parents who don't want more

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:46:30.155 --> 00:46:31.386 practical guidance, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:31.386 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.566$ a function, not a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.700$ What that means for the family?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:46:35.700 --> 00:46:37.805 More optimism and more fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00{:}46{:}37.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.489$ communication with the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00{:}46{:}39.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.458$ team of how they can team up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:42.458 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.938$ clinicians to help their baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.726$ So finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:45.726 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.084$ the classification we use in unitology

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:48.084 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.123$ is suboptimal and doesn't correspond

00:46:50.123 --> 00:46:52.499 to the lived experience of parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00{:}46{:}52.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.440$ It's more optimistic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:46:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.380$ generally misses the point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:46:58.380 --> 00:47:01.070 So I'll actually stop sharing

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:47:01.070 --> 00:47:03.430 our presentation or slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00:47:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.826$ so you can ask US questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

 $00{:}47{:}06.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.070$ And we will all gather together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966

00:47:11.070 --> 00:47:14.570 I thought we will pass through top plus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884109966 00:47:14.570 --> 00:47:14.910 This NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.810$ was an absolutely marvelous presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00{:}47{:}17.810 --> 00{:}47{:}19.310$ Thank you. Thank you both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.836$ Thank you all three of you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:20.836 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.250$ much for this terrific talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00{:}47{:}22.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.965$ There's there's a lot to lot to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:23.965 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.530$ unpack here and this is where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00{:}47{:}27.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.770$ neonatology fellows are on the line.

 $00:47:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.180$ This is, this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.108$ serious and important stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:47:34.110 --> 00:47:35.982 And let me first just say let you

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:35.982 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.840$ folks know that that's the way the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.806$ questions will be asked is if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:47:39.806 --> 00:47:41.534 would please send your questions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:47:41.534 --> 00:47:43.570 through the Q& A function on zoom and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:43.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.621$ then I'll read them to the speakers

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:45.621 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.150$ and we can and we can go from there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.214$ But let me take the privilege

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:50.214 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.590$ of of doing the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00{:}47{:}51.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.564$ the the first question and it's I

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00{:}47{:}53.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.027$ mean I I was jotting down stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.783$ This is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:47:56.783 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.540$ this is really important and it it

 $00:47:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.216$ strikes me essentially which I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:00.216 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.404$ is your point and it's so beautifully

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:02.404 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.808$ presented in this particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:03.810 --> 00:48:04.980 Presentation the way you did it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.220$ but also in these data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:06.220 --> 00:48:06.985 which I'm very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00{:}48{:}06.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.770$ very anxious to see published and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:08.826 --> 00:48:10.874 really look forward to having it so that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:10.880 --> 00:48:11.639 you know, we'll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:11.639 --> 00:48:14.040 we'll be able to share this talk with folks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00{:}48{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.920$ but also to share here's the paper here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.002$ Here are the data which suggests in

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:20.002 --> 00:48:22.654 essence that we're doing it wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.660$ that we're doing it wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.012$ And so a question I have for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:27.012 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.484$ any and all three of you is why

 $00:48:29.484 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.798$ do you suppose we do this wrong?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:31.800 --> 00:48:34.056 Why do you suppose we do this differently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.538$ Than the way we do for adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:36.538 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.590$ with colon cancer for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:38.590 --> 00:48:41.110 I'm convinced and and and I've had

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:41.110 --> 00:48:42.608 these conversations over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.385$ I'm convinced that we've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:44.385 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.805$ the wrong framework here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00{:}48{:}45.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47.818$ But I'm interested to know what what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:47.818 --> 00:48:50.108 3 think why why are we doing it this way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.406$ Why are we getting it wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:51.410 --> 00:48:52.520 I think I'm convinced about

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.733$ how we're getting it wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

00:48:53.733 --> 00:48:54.920 I'm curious to know what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.923888952

 $00:48:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.325$ think about why

 $00:48:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.700$ we're getting it wrong. I think we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.859996433333333

 $00:48:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.838$ different versions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.5975400175

 $00:48:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.818$ why. But I'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.742052568571429

 $00:48:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.615$ to follow up visit eager follow up

NOTE Confidence: 0.5757310175

 $00:49:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.958$ physician speak. So are

NOTE Confidence: 0.63347455

 $00:49:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.200$ the parents. I thought. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.86664198

 $00{:}49{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06.898$ thought about this a lot and I think not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:49:06.940 --> 00:49:08.772 stoke everybody's ego necessarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:08.772 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.514$ Fair. But I feel like the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:10.514 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.074$ that are doing the follow-up or

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}49{:}12.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13.704$ that are deciding what's important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.050$ you're not, you're looking at outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.589$ through your own lens of experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:49:18.590 --> 00:49:21.902 So if you're a PhD or you're a doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.526$ you, no offense, but you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}49{:}24.526 \to 00{:}49{:}26.870$ really represent the general public.

00:49:26.870 --> 00:49:28.050 So you're more, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}49{:}28.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.458$ you're intelligent, you're educated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:29.458 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.570$ you make a lot of money,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.549$ you know you're you have a lot of resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.095$ You've potentially different

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:36.095 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.185$ expectations I would say then perhaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:49:39.190 --> 00:49:42.244 Everybody else does and I want to. So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:42.244 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.810$ like the idea of having a child that has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:49:45.810 --> 00:49:47.735 You know, a low IQ or something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:49:47.740 --> 00:49:48.619 I'm guessing again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:49:48.619 --> 00:49:50.084 Or those types of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.550$ Or that is less mobile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}49{:}51.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.049$ I don't know, I feel like because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}49{:}54.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55.814$ I I sort of feel it's a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:55.814 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.732$ bad that the people that are making

 $00:49:57.732 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.945$ these decisions might not have the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:49:59.945 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.326$ desires or or or wants for kids or

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:02.326 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.255$ more might be more critical potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:04.255 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.170$ about what they want those outcomes to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.116$ And I think that that's part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:09.116 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.780$ it because again when you talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.565$ to sort of a range of parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:50:12.570 --> 00:50:15.405 Things like again and DI are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.790$ you know, they're not that important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:50:16.790 --> 00:50:19.340 Things like they are important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.545$ but they're not as important

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:20.545 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.509$ as one might think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.967$ So again, I find it really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:23.967 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.970$ that there's this real focus on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.710$ again, development IQ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.870$ And again,

00:50:28.870 --> 00:50:30.634 from my just from the reading that I've done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}50{:}30.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33.986$ there's a huge amount of research into

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:50:33.986 --> 00:50:36.138 school outcomes, neuro, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:50:36.138 --> 00:50:38.710 like I said, how kids do in school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.114$ how kids do in math, things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:41.114 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.602$ And we know that that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:50:42.610 --> 00:50:43.334 But I think again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:43.334 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.058$ if you ask parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}50{:}44.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45.296$ it's probably at the bottom of

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}50{:}45.296 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}46.681$ their list of what they consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:46.681 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.717$ to be really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.916$ They want to know that their kids are happy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}50{:}49.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.467$ They want their kids again to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}50{:}51.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.500$ functional members of society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:50:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.957$ They want them to just go to regular school.

 $00:50:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.480$ They want them to be able to have a job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}50{:}56.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.080$ It doesn't necessarily matter to

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:50:58.080 --> 00:51:00.176 a lot of parents if you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:00.176 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.808$ their kids end up being doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.298$ Does that kind of make sense?

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:51:03.300 --> 00:51:04.399 So I think that's part of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}51{:}04.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.155$ I think it's just like I said that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:06.155 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.707$ people that are choosing these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}51{:}07.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.254$ are choosing these outcomes sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:51:09.254 --> 00:51:10.838 based on their own lens and not again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00{:}51{:}10.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13.270$ what most, you know, if you took 100 parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.674$ what they would necessarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:14.674 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.130$ Considered to be as important to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176 00:51:17.130 --> 00:51:17.394 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:51:17.394 --> 00:51:19.242 And I also feel like there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:51:19.242 --> 00:51:20.506 difference because children are

00:51:20.506 --> 00:51:22.247 sort of unfinished canvases, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:51:22.247 --> 00:51:24.509 Like with adults and colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:51:24.510 --> 00:51:24.924 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:24.924 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.580$ we know that person has had a chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:26.634 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.170$ to grow and develop a personality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:51:28.170 --> 00:51:30.246 And with kids, there's more pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.778$ I guess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

00:51:30.778 --> 00:51:32.626 again on what these kids are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:32.626 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.928$ to be and to become.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.858$ And so I feel like there's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601214176

 $00:51:35.858 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.496$ adults or adults and kids or kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79343801

 $00:51:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.692$ So there's there's more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79343801

00:51:38.692 --> 00:51:39.914 Yeah. It's just a different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79343801

 $00:51:39.914 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.498$ a different outlook. I think so, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

00:51:43.750 --> 00:51:46.391 And your second point, Rebecca,

 $00:51:46.391 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.639$ I think was was really important the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:51:48.639 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.964$ that the newborns in particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:51:50.964 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.057$ are the unfinished cannabis as you put it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

00:51:53.060 --> 00:51:54.593 compared to adults and even to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

00:51:54.593 --> 00:51:55.909 extent compared to older children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:51:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.722$ because this is not an Annie

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:51:57.722 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.789$ and I have worked on together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:51:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.379$ Far exceeded my own in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00{:}52{:}01.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03.732$ But but the whole our attitudes toward

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:03.732 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.821$ premature babies in particular and full

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:05.821 \dashrightarrow 00:52:07.867$ term babies also is different even

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:07.867 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.359$ than our attitudes toward other children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.691$ And so the idea that that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:12.691 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.762$ physician has a different perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:14.762 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.756$ than the parents or the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.000$ I think that makes sense.

 $00:52:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.908$ Which is why it's so valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:20.908 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.904$ to hear from you, Miss Pierce,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

00:52:22.904 --> 00:52:25.438 and to hear from you Doctor Javier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

00:52:25.440 --> 00:52:27.807 who I know that that it's not a secret

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:27.807 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.207$ that Annie has been in both situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:30.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.770$ And you, you showed very briefly your book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

00:52:33.770 --> 00:52:34.661 Breathe, baby, breathe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

00:52:34.661 --> 00:52:36.656 which is a wonderful look from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00{:}52{:}36.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38.042$ inside by a physician who is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:38.042 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.576$ the mother of a very preterm baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57859536

 $00:52:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.080$ And so we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592251

 $00:52:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.680$ moving furniture around to be able to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.764077366666667

 $00{:}52{:}44.680 \to 00{:}52{:}48.170$ the question. I think there's also that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.840178346666667

00:52:48.180 --> 00:52:49.726 discussed this and wrote about

NOTE Confidence: 0.840178346666667

 $00:52:49.726 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.108$ it like saving versus creating.

00:52:52.108 --> 00:52:54.116 I think as neonatologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840178346666667

 $00:52:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.320$ we feel we create disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840178346666667

 $00:52:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.160$ whereas others feel they save patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.840178346666667

00:52:59.160 --> 00:53:02.512 and many of these very fragile babies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840178346666667

 $00:53:02.512 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.384$ we can take life and death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840178346666667

 $00:53:04.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.810$ Decisions and stop the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818813081428571

 $00:53:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.165$ respirator, for example after a great 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.555$ hemorrhage. So we feel we

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

00:53:10.555 --> 00:53:12.455 need to prepare parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.300$ For the future, in a grim way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.692$ we don't do elsewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:15.692 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.430$ So if you speak to any critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.718$ care physician who describes

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.212$ 2 parents for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

00:53:22.212 --> 00:53:24.636 if something horrendous a burnt victim,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.203$ 70% burns, what?

 $00:53:26.203 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.808$ What the future will look

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

00:53:28.808 --> 00:53:30.619 like or the PICC state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:30.619 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.113$ they really don't do what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:32.113 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.968$ try to do with decision aids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

00:53:33.970 --> 00:53:36.328 showing how much pain they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:36.328 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.432$ and then how much withdrawal

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:38.432 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.350$ and then how much depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.210$ And they they don't do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.118$ They they try to be encouraging

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

00:53:45.118 --> 00:53:47.405 the same thing in cancer and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:47.405 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.420$ arrive with our list of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.452$ bad things that can happen to kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00{:}53{:}51.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.642$ in a very structured manner as

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:53.642 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.928$ recommended by the AAP to approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:53:55.928 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.988$ parent with the disaster tree.

 $00:53:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.643$ So it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

00:53:58.643 --> 00:54:02.790 I think there's a lot about newborns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:54:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.628$ optional newborns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

 $00:54:03.628 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.723$ replaceable newborns for some that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

00:54:05.723 --> 00:54:08.129 you can just make another one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333

00:54:08.130 --> 00:54:13.170 I'm I'm being challenging on purpose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941132793333333 00:54:13.170 --> 00:54:13.960 And this

NOTE Confidence: 0.897115195

 $00:54:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.156$ window of opportunity, as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00{:}54{:}17.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.600$ the feeling that we create

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00:54:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.279$ disabled kids and we don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00{:}54{:}20.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}22.223$ parents to come back and say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00:54:22.223 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.254$ I regret that you saved my child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

00:54:25.260 --> 00:54:27.836 And I don't know if my has

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00:54:27.836 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.940$ some epidemiology comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

00:54:28.940 --> 00:54:31.495 I think it's very practical to lump

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00{:}54{:}31.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33.680$ things together to find a P value.

 $00:54:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.976$ So if you lump death or whatever and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00:54:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.451$ then a whole lot of adverse outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00:54:38.451 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.520$ then you may find a P value somewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

00:54:42.520 --> 00:54:44.326 But that's not the way they

NOTE Confidence: 0.876812802941176

 $00:54:44.326 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.170$ do it in cancer outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841740347

 $00:54:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.250$ And I also think like one of the problem is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:54:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.518$ Well, I'm a pediatrician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:54:54.518 --> 00:54:56.030 I don't work in the neonatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:54:56.081 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.109$ in the neonatal units.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00{:}54{:}57.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58.958$ I guess like I don't have to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:54:58.958 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.468$ those life and death decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.396$ And I think it's probably important

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00{:}55{:}02.396 \to 00{:}55{:}04.737$ to say well you know you're the

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00{:}55{:}04.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07.086$ child may have Sir Bob Palsy but

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:07.086 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.821$ then for parents serval palsy

 $00:55:08.821 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.075$ is a very vague term and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:11.075 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.433$ we'll think about the child who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:13.433 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.483$ wheelchair bound and cannot talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:15.490 --> 00:55:16.998 It cannot do anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:16.998 --> 00:55:18.782 Whereas actually I, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:18.782 --> 00:55:20.738 like majority of kids will end

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:20.738 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.610$ up walking and they can have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.276$ A normal life despite their disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.079$ So for example let's say a child has cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:29.080 --> 00:55:31.176 You know I I see the hematologist he

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:31.176 --> 00:55:33.294 will say well you know the child may

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:33.294 --> 00:55:35.658 have you know is at higher risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:35.658 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.854$ infection but we have antibiotics you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:37.854 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.498$ to get to treat your child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:39.500 --> 00:55:41.264 So you know like and you're intelligent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:41.270 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.493$ You say well your child is at risk of

 $00:55:43.493 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.321$ several palsy but you know this sounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:45.321 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.599$ like the end of the world but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:47.599 --> 00:55:49.411 know the talking have cerebral palsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.121$ But we will make sure that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:51.121 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.797$ identify this early so we can provide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.620$ Early intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:55:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.670$ So despite the cerebral palsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00{:}55{:}55.670 \longrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57.973$ your child can be functional as other

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:55:57.973 --> 00:56:00.809 kids or we'll do our best to make your

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:00.809 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.028$ child function and be happy and play.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.377 \text{ So}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00{:}56{:}03.377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.459$ and maybe this is also because

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:05.459 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.989$ there's a lack of knowledge of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:56:07.990 --> 00:56:09.926 neurodevelopmental impairment actually means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:09.926 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.830$ even like from the people who

 $00:56:12.897 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.767$ are doing the the counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00{:}56{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.146$ So I guess I, I think it's still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:56:17.150 --> 00:56:18.648 you know, don't don't get us wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.205$ I mean development was still

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:20.205 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.760$ something that parents felt was

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:21.818 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.480$ important but what they said is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

00:56:23.480 --> 00:56:24.668 you know, you should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:24.668 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.856$ Also emphasize what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:25.856 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.247$ child will be able to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681016143142857

 $00:56:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.350$ not just the negative aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

00:56:31.490 --> 00:56:32.690 Thank you. Thank you, Maya.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.454$ I'm going to get to some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:34.454 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.770$ the questions and comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.086$ First things first we heard from

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.390$ Doctor Thomas Duffy, who is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.662$ Of one of the.

 $00:56:42.662 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.017$ One of the looming very large figures

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

00:56:45.017 --> 00:56:47.642 in medicine here at Yale and far

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

00:56:47.642 --> 00:56:49.978 beyond Yale as well, Doctor Duffy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:49.978 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.074$ is the the professor's professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

00:56:52.074 --> 00:56:54.186 and he says an excellent session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.770$ remarkably well articulated and structured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.990$ A pleasure to hear and learn from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:56:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.714$ Next, was there any analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:57:02.714 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.530$ themes across different socioeconomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.829723406

 $00:57:04.597 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.895$ strata and or racial ethnic groups?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897691948

00:57:08.510 --> 00:57:11.546 Yes, we actually did some, uh, analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897691948

00:57:11.546 --> 00:57:15.136 Um. There were some differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897691948

 $00{:}57{:}15.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.810$ with actually parents of lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.897691948

 $00:57:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.480$ socioeconomic status being less concerned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897691948

 $00:57:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.100$ They were more optimistic in

00:57:27.100 --> 00:57:29.720 how they perceive their child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897691948

 $00:57:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.130$ and they had less regrets to less regrets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79498336

00:57:33.460 --> 00:57:34.668 But nothing for racial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671920883333333

 $00:57:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.359$ nothing for racial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:57:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.168$ Thank you. The next from one of our NICU

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00{:}57{:}43.168 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}57{:}44.809$ nurses, an outstanding presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00{:}57{:}44.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}47.735$ Your marine is just stunning and I and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:57:47.735 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.767$ I second that what a beautiful kid I

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00{:}57{:}49.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52.359$ am a NICU nurse for 34 years and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:57:52.359 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.380$ have recently begun to spend a portion

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00{:}57{:}54.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56.618$ of my discharge prep to be blatantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:57:56.618 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.152$ describing the impact of all the post

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

00:57:59.152 --> 00:58:01.152 discharge appointments, Brad Clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

00:58:01.152 --> 00:58:03.107 pulmonary cardiology, birth to three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

00:58:03.110 --> 00:58:04.430 healthy beauty appointments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:58:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.510$ sick appointments, but also how the

 $00:58:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.690$ parents can plan and make those days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

00:58:08.690 --> 00:58:10.294 Easier on their family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:58:10.294 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.299$ like planning takeout dinners or

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

00:58:12.299 --> 00:58:14.240 having leftovers those nights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

00:58:14.240 --> 00:58:16.024 packing a lunch, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:58:16.024 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.700$ Packing a lunch for the trip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:58:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.704$ So some some practical advice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

00:58:20.704 --> 00:58:22.680 suggestions. It comes with time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784722828

 $00:58:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.500$ And experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404760725

 $00:58:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.808$ And this is from a colleague out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.749$ one of the when we asked parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.470$ we didn't put all of the quotes on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00{:}58{:}32.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34.334$ they actually gave recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:34.334 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.976$ to new families such as that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.140$ To take, you know,

 $00:58:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.682$ some very very practical recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:41.682 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.818$ So this will be in the articles too

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:44.818 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.030$ like what can you actually tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

00:58:47.030 --> 00:58:49.503 families in the NICU and and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:49.503 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.087$ our data to speak to families for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.346$ nurses out there is very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:58:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.143$ Such as I now use instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

00:58:57.143 --> 00:58:59.129 saying you should go rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

00:58:59.130 --> 00:59:02.106 I tell parents we've asked parents like you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.405$ all the parents that came back during a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00{:}59{:}04.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.552$ what they regret the most and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:06.552 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.399$ not taking care of themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00{:}59{:}08.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}10.276$ And they told us if we'd known

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:10.276 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.879$ we would have rested more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

00:59:11.880 --> 00:59:13.616 we would have taken time for a couple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.202$ we would have done this, this and that.

00:59:16.202 --> 00:59:17.984 So parents don't feel, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

00:59:17.984 --> 00:59:19.120 they're kicking me out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.996$ I'm bothering them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59{:}19.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}22.040$ They don't think I'm a good parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.360$ They actually say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.340$ this is advice from other parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.174$ All the other parents who came through

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00{:}59{:}27.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}29.424$ the same unit say this is their tough

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00{:}59{:}29.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30.834$ regret that they were exhausted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:30.840 \dashrightarrow 00:59:33.642$ So even speaking to families now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:33.642 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.246$ I use that like many parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:36.246 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.587$ tell us that many parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00{:}59{:}38.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}40.424$ It has a lot more impact than

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:40.424 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.496$ Annie tells you to go rest at

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:42.496 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.720$ home because it's important.

 $00:59:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.270 \text{ So}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.496$ and I'm sure the nurse who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:46.496 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.907$ there for 34 years knows a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:48.907 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.884$ more about what is useful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:50.884 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.679$ parents in the long run in the NICU

NOTE Confidence: 0.775794538571429

 $00:59:53.679 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.664$ then than us as neonatologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $00:59:57.100 \dashrightarrow 00:59:59.796$ But I think it's helpful for us because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

00:59:59.796 --> 01:00:01.819 did mention in the talk a bit about guilt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01{:}00{:}01.820 \longrightarrow 01{:}00{:}04.060$ And so I think that what might come

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:04.060 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.302$ along with that sense of that misplaced

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01\text{:}00\text{:}06.302 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}00\text{:}08.470$ sense of guilt that some parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:08.470 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.379$ particularly some mothers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:09.379 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.500$ might feel through all this stuff is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:11.557 --> 01:00:13.562 therefore a sense of a need to continually

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:13.562 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.019$ self sacrifice and when in the long

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:16.019 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.586$ run that really doesn't help anybody.

 $01:00:18.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.615$ And I I think that that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:20.615 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.539$ well to remember to tell them that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:22.539 --> 01:00:24.439 I I've often told parents that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:24.440 --> 01:00:25.616 you know, next to her own health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:25.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.708$ your child's greatest asset in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01{:}00{:}27.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.104$ Is your health and your marriage so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:30.110 --> 01:00:32.054 so make sure you're paying attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:32.054 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.634$ to those things because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01{:}00{:}33.634 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}35.458$ going to mean a lot to your kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:35.460 --> 01:00:37.224 But so I think that so many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:37.230 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.126$ so many parents feel that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:39.126 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.390$ this point there's almost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:40.390 --> 01:00:43.390 it's almost sacramental that I I don't sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:43.390 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.410$ and that I don't do anything for myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:46.410 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.290$ And of course we can't.

01:00:47.290 --> 01:00:48.830 We would never possibly consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:48.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.370$ going out for dinner while

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:50.425 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.937$ our child in the newborn ICU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:51.940 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.576$ Well, I get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:52.576 --> 01:00:54.626 If you had a kid in the ICU for three days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:54.630 --> 01:00:56.690 you know, if your kid was in a car accident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:00:56.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.662$ that would be a little surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:57.662 --> 01:00:58.899 if you wanted to go out to dinner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724 01:00:58.900 --> 01:00:59.166 But. NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:00:59.166 --> 01:01:00.496 You're talking about months and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01{:}01{:}00.496 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}02.366$ months of a long distance run here

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:02.366 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.123$ so you know taking some time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:04.176 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.108$ yourselves and and giving the and Annie

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:06.108 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.724$ that's a really that's a really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:07.724 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.135$ insight that for us to tell parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:09.135 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.639$ by the way it's not my opinion this

 $01{:}01{:}10.639 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}12.073$ is what we hear from parents that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:01:12.073 --> 01:01:13.930 they wish they had done that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:13.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.680$ would value that's very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:15.680 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.288$ This from a colleague in the Midwest and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:01:18.288 --> 01:01:20.104 says comparatively few neonatologists do

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:20.104 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.312$ long term follow-up of NICU graduates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724 01:01:22.320 --> 01:01:22.600 True,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:01:22.600 --> 01:01:24.840 most of us aren't directly involved in NICU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:01:24.840 --> 01:01:25.960 Excuse me and Nick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:25.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.800$ you follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:26.800 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.300$ If this is playing a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

01:01:28.300 --> 01:01:30.239 role in the way they view things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01{:}01{:}30.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}32.368$ why is this so undervalued by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:32.368 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.674$ specialty and what does this mean for

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:34.674 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.339$ the way neonatologists are trained

 $01:01:36.339 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.636$ and what might be required changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.907231724

 $01:01:38.636 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.536$ for those choosing this specialty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:01:42.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.188$ Well, we all agree. So I think like

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01{:}01{:}47.188 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}48.998$ when you were a neonatologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:01:49.000 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.940$ I guess you feed with adrenaline and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:01:51.940 --> 01:01:54.970 acute stuff that goes very quickly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:01:54.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.420$ you know in a neonatal follow clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:01:57.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.219$ we see one patient per 45 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:00.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.600$ It's very slow pace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:01.600 --> 01:02:04.220 It's you know there's not much action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:04.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.040$ It's a lot of well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:06.040 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.900$ it's important when we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:07.900 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.404$ but maybe this is what why people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:10.404 --> 01:02:12.946 not so interested because it's it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:12.946 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.080$ slow pace and I think people feel like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:16.080 --> 01:02:18.348 Ohh, I'm going to give a diagnosis of autism.

 $01:02:18.350 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.589$ This is the end of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01{:}02{:}19.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21.360$ But actually there is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:21.360 \longrightarrow 01:02:24.121$ that can be done and maybe this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:24.121 --> 01:02:26.263 a part of training is you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01{:}02{:}26.270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}28.975$ Maybe like developmental problems are

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:28.975 --> 01:02:32.260 seen like something which is awful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:32.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.548$ But actually it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:33.548 --> 01:02:34.836 It's just being different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:34.840 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.346$ And I guess like once you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:36.346 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.350$ trained to see that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:37.350 --> 01:02:38.086 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:38.086 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.294$ difference doesn't mean a bad thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:40.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.976$ And this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:40.976 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.342$ the way we accompany families and children

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:43.342 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.199$ is really meaningful and makes you know it.

 $01:02:46.200 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.880$ It just makes you more humble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:47.880 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.077$ But there is something to be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:49.080 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.829$ There are interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:50.829 --> 01:02:53.620 but maybe I just don't know about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

 $01:02:53.620 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.248$ So they feel a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:56.248 --> 01:02:58.000 uncomfortable announcing a diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:58.000 --> 01:02:59.836 thinking that this is the end of the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:02:59.840 --> 01:03:01.248 but it's actually not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465

01:03:01.248 --> 01:03:03.008 It's part of the journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899498465 01:03:03.010 --> 01:03:03.240 And

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:03.250 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.390$ I think to be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:04.390 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.952$ there should be a a proportion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:06.952 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.190$ neonatologists doing the follow-up clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:09.190 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.310$ In fact, I was never encouraged to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:11.310 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.680$ the follow up clinic and I thought as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:13.680 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.610$ resident I didn't even have rotations.

 $01:03:15.610 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.630$ It's changed now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

01:03:16.630 --> 01:03:19.010 I didn't have rotations and I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

01:03:19.073 --> 01:03:21.229 even know I could do follow up

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:21.229 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.937$ as a neonatologist because those

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:22.937 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.085$ who were supervising me didn't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:25.085 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.795$ follow up and I didn't have access

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:27.795 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.383$ to the follow-up clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:29.390 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.412$ But perhaps the health unit is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:31.412 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.420$ 1 where a third or half?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:33.420 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.804$ And we need to know of neonatologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

01:03:35.804 --> 01:03:38.540 actually do the follow-up clinic to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01{:}03{:}38.621 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}41.412$ feedback and and you know integrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:41.412 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.480$ how outcomes are viewed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89847421

 $01:03:43.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.160$ And I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:03:44.170 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.800$ important as well for students,

 $01:03:46.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.991$ as Annie said, to have to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:03:48.991 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.513$ It's sort of links them looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:03:50.513 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.617$ the the one of the last questions or

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:03:52.617 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.499$ the next questions, if it's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:03:54.499 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.990$ I think for doctors who see their

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:03:56.038 --> 01:03:57.568 babies when they're at their sickest

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:03:57.568 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.220$ and their smallest, I mean you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:03:59.220 --> 01:04:01.316 you see our kids when they're at their worst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:01.320 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.640$ basically in the NICU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:02.640 --> 01:04:03.960 It's important I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:03.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.496$ to see them years later and to recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:06.496 --> 01:04:08.470 that even children who aren't perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:08.470 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.683$ you might have you know, developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:10.683 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.498$ disabilities or whatever that they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:13.500 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.955$ Their family's lives aren't tragic

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:14.955 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.810$ like their lives are not tragic.

 $01:04:16.810 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.878$ Their families lives aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:17.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.780$ tragic and people adjust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:19.780 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.900$ And again, it's not saying that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:21.953 --> 01:04:23.849 necessarily outcomes that we strive for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:23.850 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.470$ obviously not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:25.470 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.080$ But even again an outcome that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:27.080 --> 01:04:28.714 would be considered, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:28.714 --> 01:04:31.246 very negative outcome in outcome research

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:31.250 --> 01:04:33.614 is not viewed the necessarily that way

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:33.614 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.510$ by families or by the kids themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:36.510 --> 01:04:37.640 So I think it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:37.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.110$ to see that that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01{:}04{:}39.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}41.021$ even a kid again is my said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:41.021 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.571$ has diagnosed with severe cerebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:42.571 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.130$ palsy many of these kids.

 $01:04:44.130 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.510$ Live relatively normal lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:46.510 --> 01:04:49.530 You know, kids that might have blindness or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:49.530 --> 01:04:50.982 you know, developmental delays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:50.982 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.434$ they live happy lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:52.440 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.420$ Their parents are happy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:53.420 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.527$ This isn't something that becomes a tragedy

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:55.527 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.409$ for those children and their families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:57.410 --> 01:04:58.775 And I think that that's something very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:04:58.780 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.416$ very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

01:04:59.416 --> 01:05:00.370 They're living normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:05:00.370 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.642$ lives like everybody else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:05:01.650 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.138$ It's just these are their kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:05:03.140 \longrightarrow 01:05:04.846$ And I think it's really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:05:04.846 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.612$ see that for neonatologists and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.911474244

 $01:05:07.612 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.180$ training to be neonatologists as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:11.340 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.745$ And we see that but seeing is believing

 $01:05:14.745 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.286$ and and perhaps nurses too should or we

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01{:}05{:}18.286 \to 01{:}05{:}21.180$ tell parents now at follow-up to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:21.180 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.788$ like they tell go upstairs to say hi

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:23.788 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.565$ and when you actually see the kids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:26.565 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.423$ I think it's like the 22 weekers when

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:29.423 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.248$ you see a 22 weaker surviving a baby

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

01:05:32.248 --> 01:05:34.312 born at 22 weeks gestation surviving

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:34.312 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.903$ you believe they can survive as a nurse

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

01:05:36.903 --> 01:05:39.063 but you don't believe it if you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:39.063 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.365$ seen 3 deaths so there there's if you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:41.365 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.930$ You see the smiles and you see the happiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:43.930 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.749$ You believe it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

01:05:44.749 --> 01:05:46.387 It's very hard to just read

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:46.387 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.608$ it and believe it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.665734306

 $01:05:47.610 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.360$ I think there's an emotional

 $01:05:49.360 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.800$ component that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:05:51.790 --> 01:05:52.810 Yeah, that makes sense. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:05:52.810 --> 01:05:54.628 And I wonder if, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:05:54.630 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.870$ I mean I recently got a text

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:05:56.870 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.650$ from a nursing colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:05:58.650 --> 01:06:00.302 a picture of a kid running in

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:00.302 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.749$ his first cross country race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:01.750 --> 01:06:02.746 And it started with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}06{:}02.746 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}04.240$ you might remember there was this

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:04.290 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.242$ child born at 25 weeks who had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:06.242 --> 01:06:07.569 pulmonary hemorrhage and and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:07.570 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.594$ and it was a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:08.594 --> 01:06:10.850 it was a lovely Texan picture to receive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:10.850 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.890$ but I otherwise would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:11.890 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.722$ have encountered that kid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:12.730 \longrightarrow 01:06:13.558$ I think the pediatricians,

01:06:13.558 --> 01:06:14.593 I noticed on the call,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:14.600 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.350$ we have some of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:15.350 \longrightarrow 01:06:17.470$ some of some wonderful general

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:17.470 --> 01:06:19.590 pediatricians on the call tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:19.590 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.730$ Owen and others who who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:22.730 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.100$ Who saw these kids longitudinally

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:24.100 \longrightarrow 01:06:25.196$ and they would often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:25.200 --> 01:06:26.792 you know when when I was spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:26.792 --> 01:06:28.577 time in a smaller Community Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}06{:}28.580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}29.966$ I would see the general pediatricians

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}06{:}29.966 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31.387$ more often I would say you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}06{:}31.387 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}32.712$ I saw this kid or I saw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}06{:}32.712 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}33.917$ kidder here's what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}06{:}33.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}35.888$ We missed that perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:35.888 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.126$ But I wonder if there isn't some

01:06:38.126 --> 01:06:39.656 some happy compromise which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}06{:}39.656 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}41.216$ if every name theologist can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:41.216 --> 01:06:42.793 spend a substantial amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:42.793 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.178$ time in the follow-up clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:44.180 --> 01:06:44.928 And by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:44.928 \longrightarrow 01:06:46.300$ I know this is our speakers know

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:46.300 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.500$ this but for the audience that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:47.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.829$ all of our units ologists who

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:48.829 --> 01:06:50.411 are training now do in fact spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:50.420 \longrightarrow 01:06:51.790$ time in the follow-up clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:51.790 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.572$ But I didn't and I guess Andy didn't either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:53.580 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.630$ And so, so there was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:54.630 --> 01:06:55.364 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:06:55.364 \longrightarrow 01:06:56.832$ until relatively recently that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:56.832 --> 01:06:58.300 wasn't a standard consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:06:58.359 --> 01:07:00.009 part of the anthology training,

 $01:07:00.010 \longrightarrow 01:07:02.422$ but it is now thanks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:02.422 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.628$ our educational leaders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:07:03.630 --> 01:07:06.514 But I wonder if there isn't something

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:07:06.514 --> 01:07:08.274 for those of us who aren't doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:08.280 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.128$ who aren't working a lot in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:07:10.128 --> 01:07:11.259 clinic to nevertheless periodically

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:11.259 \longrightarrow 01:07:12.987$ get some exposure to this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:12.987 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.700$ take advantage of what and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}07{:}14.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}16.284$ you described as the seeing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:07:16.284 --> 01:07:17.489 believing phenomena to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:17.489 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.930$ see some of these kids we used to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:19.930 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.890$ we have it in some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:20.890 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.540$ We have both in New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:22.540 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.472$ And in London had the wonderful uh

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:07:24.472 --> 01:07:25.930 reunions where these kids would

 $01:07:25.930 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.646$ come back with their families would

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}07{:}27.646 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}29.356$ be outside with a big fair with

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:07:29.356 --> 01:07:30.848 games and and food and such and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:30.848 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.276$ and and the staff would come and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:32.276 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.684$ the families and kids would come

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:33.684 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.390$ and you see these kids afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:35.390 \longrightarrow 01:07:37.148$ And well yeah these are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:37.148 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.027$ are little kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:07:38.030 --> 01:07:40.376 you know not just tiny babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:40.380 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.046$ And then as time goes on of

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}07{:}42.046 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}43.581$ course those little kids are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:43.581 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.105$ bigger kids than adults so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:45.105 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.679$ we're missing that perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}07{:}46.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}49.155$ You're quite right this from

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:49.155 \longrightarrow 01:07:50.640$ an obstetrics colleague.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:50.640 \longrightarrow 01:07:52.122$ I think that as clinicians we

01:07:52.122 --> 01:07:53.110 worry about giving patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}07{:}53.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}55.000$ False and unrealistic hopes and try

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:55.000 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.344$ to be as objective as possible in

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}07{:}57.344 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}59.054$ presenting the data to families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:07:59.060 \longrightarrow 01:08:01.223$ We worry about doing too much in

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:08:01.223 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.512$ situations where the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:08:02.512 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.017$ are likely to be poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}08{:}04.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}05.189$ But let me just add something to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01{:}08{:}05.189 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06.311$ that to that important question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

01:08:06.311 --> 01:08:08.039 see what you guys have to say about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832859472

 $01:08:08.040 \longrightarrow 01:08:10.400$ But and you made the point at the very end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:10.400 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.975$ Danny, and and it's clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01{:}08{:}11.975 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13.550$ from your information that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

01:08:13.612 --> 01:08:15.157 our effort to be objective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:15.160 \longrightarrow 01:08:17.260$ we're kind of measuring the wrong stuff.

01:08:17.260 --> 01:08:19.060 And in fact we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:19.060 \longrightarrow 01:08:20.596$ I think it's reasonable to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

01:08:20.600 --> 01:08:24.046 overly pessimistic. For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:24.046 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.482$ we often quote the follow-up data at

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

01:08:26.482 --> 01:08:29.048 18 to 26 months or 18 to 22 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

01:08:29.050 --> 01:08:31.227 when repeatedly it's been shown that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:31.227 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.169$ data themselves are overly pessimistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:33.170 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.409$ When you look at those same kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01{:}08{:}34.409 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}35.670$ when they're six or eight years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:35.670 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.115$ they're actually many of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

01:08:37.115 --> 01:08:38.560 doing better than would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:38.613 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.008$ been predicted at 20 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

01:08:40.010 --> 01:08:41.408 So we're trying to be objective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

01:08:41.410 --> 01:08:42.050 Francis, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:42.050 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.970$ And that might be the explanation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:43.970 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.545$ But in fact, it seems to me,

01:08:45.550 --> 01:08:47.713 from what you've said that your work

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

 $01:08:47.713 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.050$ confirms that we are overly pessimistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862978628666667

01:08:50.050 --> 01:08:51.594 Is that a fair assessment of your work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.69588838

 $01:08:53.240 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.978$ Ohh yeah. No, but we were shown to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:08:56.990 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.592$ be overly pessimistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:08:58.592 --> 01:09:00.728 But for the obstetrician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:00.730 \longrightarrow 01:09:02.984$ they were shown to be even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:02.984 \longrightarrow 01:09:04.949$ pessimistic than bad in ICU doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:04.950 \longrightarrow 01:09:07.499$ So that it's I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:07.500 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.450$ To be honest, they also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:09.450 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.759$ obstetrician has to care for the mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:11.760 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.800$ for the sick mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:12.800 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.140$ for the mom who has severe preeclampsia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:15.140 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.770$ her health is at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:09:16.770 --> 01:09:20.410 How much can you prolong pregnancy,

01:09:20.410 --> 01:09:22.130 cesarean section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:22.130 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.710$ decisions and thinking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:09:24.710 --> 01:09:26.838 There's also we didn't speak about mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:09:26.840 --> 01:09:29.240 but Caesarean section decisions when,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:09:29.240 --> 01:09:29.910 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:29.910 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.072$ have the kids die afterwards and how do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:09:33.072 --> 01:09:35.556 feel about doing interventions like this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:35.560 \longrightarrow 01:09:37.436$ So it gets, I think, even more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01{:}09{:}37.436 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}39.584$ Complicated where in ethics we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:39.584 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.988$ it the fetal maternal conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:09:41.990 --> 01:09:43.376 I don't think it's a conflict

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:43.376 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.069$ because one does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:09:44.070 --> 01:09:46.188 You know the fetus can't be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:46.188 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.430$ there if their moms not there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:48.430 \longrightarrow 01:09:52.520$ But I I think obstetrician has have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:52.520 \longrightarrow 01:09:55.850$ burden in the decisions they have to take.

 $01{:}09{:}55.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}57.628$ We also have some burden after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:09:57.630 \longrightarrow 01:09:59.370$ We don't want parents to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:09:59.370 --> 01:10:01.204 back and have regrets of saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:01.204 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.744$ we shouldn't have done this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:02.750 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.404$ And we hear like sometimes doctors saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812 01:10:06.410 --> 01:10:06.666 oh, NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:06.666 \longrightarrow 01:10:08.714$ a parent came back and told us that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:08.720 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.244$ Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:09.244 \longrightarrow 01:10:12.636$ in a year with 98% of parents who

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:12.636 \longrightarrow 01:10:14.580$ came to follow up who answered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01{:}10{:}14.580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}16.914$ none of them had regrets about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:16.914 \longrightarrow 01:10:18.470$ life and death decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:10:18.470 --> 01:10:20.050 So I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:20.050 \longrightarrow 01:10:22.180$ I think it's really mainly describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:22.180 \longrightarrow 01:10:24.288$ function and perhaps the the best way

 $01:10:24.288 \longrightarrow 01:10:26.481$ to do a parallel in the obstetrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:26.481 \longrightarrow 01:10:29.577$ literature is speaking about Down syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:10:29.580 --> 01:10:33.138 And there's very good Kathy sheets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8845281201:10:33.140 --> 01:10:33.699 very, NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:10:33.699 --> 01:10:35.935 very good research done

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:10:35.935 --> 01:10:38.730 also by Doctor Scott Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:38.730 \longrightarrow 01:10:41.018$ How to speak and they actually 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:41.018 \longrightarrow 01:10:43.156$ years ago we're saying give balanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:43.156 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.430$ information what the child can do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:45.430 \longrightarrow 01:10:47.488$ but where the child is limited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:47.490 \longrightarrow 01:10:49.450$ give information about the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:49.450 \longrightarrow 01:10:50.346$ give information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:50.346 \longrightarrow 01:10:53.482$ And there there is this data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01{:}10{:}53.482 \rightarrow 01{:}10{:}56.079$ perhaps we'll start giving information

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

01:10:56.079 --> 01:10:59.181 about function and what the families

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01:10:59.190 \longrightarrow 01:11:01.020$ look like and the positive and

 $01:11:01.020 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.905$ negative in a balanced fashion as

NOTE Confidence: 0.88452812

 $01{:}11{:}02.905 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}04.717$ opposed to a list of diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:06.300 --> 01:11:07.906 Oh, I was just going to say that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:07.906 --> 01:11:09.689 just want to point out as well the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01:11:09.690 \longrightarrow 01:11:10.980$ the sort of the statement the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01:11:10.980 \longrightarrow 01:11:12.220$ outcomes are likely to be poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:12.220 --> 01:11:14.138 I think that this, the research we

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01:11:14.138 \longrightarrow 01:11:15.760$ presented shows that these outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01:11:15.760 \longrightarrow 01:11:18.520$ poor outcomes are subjective, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:18.520 --> 01:11:19.888 So again, what a clinician might

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:19.888 --> 01:11:21.720 consider to be a poor outcome or

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01:11:21.720 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.165$ is not necessarily what parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01{:}11{:}23.165 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 01{:}11{:}24.832$ would consider to be a poor outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01{:}11{:}24.832 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}26.468$ So I think it's important again to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:26.468 --> 01:11:27.939 remember that that that you're looking,

01:11:27.940 --> 01:11:29.000 you know, as an obstetrician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:29.000 --> 01:11:30.164 I guess you're looking at outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:30.164 --> 01:11:32.090 through your own lens or through

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01:11:32.090 \longrightarrow 01:11:33.140$ the lens of the research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:33.140 --> 01:11:35.195 But again, this doesn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01:11:35.195 \longrightarrow 01:11:36.428$ reflect what parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

01:11:36.430 --> 01:11:37.878 Fuel or poor outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863235928571429

 $01:11:37.878 \longrightarrow 01:11:39.688$ So that's an important consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

01:11:41.250 --> 01:11:43.133 Thank you Rebecca that that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

01:11:43.133 --> 01:11:44.852 a really important point and so and

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01{:}11{:}44.852 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}46.641$ I I think I've looked this from your

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:11:46.641 \longrightarrow 01:11:48.433$ talk as well that that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:11:48.440 \longrightarrow 01:11:50.168$ language that I may have used and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:11:50.168 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.099$ think we all use which to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:11:52.099 \longrightarrow 01:11:53.862$ that here's the chances of a severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:11:53.862 \longrightarrow 01:11:55.612$ disability and just that framing of it,

01:11:55.620 --> 01:11:57.937 of that phrasing of it is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

01:11:57.937 --> 01:11:59.158 particularly helpful because what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:11:59.158 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.460$ think is severe may be different than

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:12:00.498 \longrightarrow 01:12:01.680$ what the mother thinks is severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:12:01.680 \longrightarrow 01:12:03.840$ And so rather than that say here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:12:03.840 \longrightarrow 01:12:05.874$ some likely outcomes of this and here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:12:05.874 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.552$ what can be done about them rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:12:08.552 \longrightarrow 01:12:11.100$ just kind of conflating all these different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

01:12:11.100 --> 01:12:12.220 Comes under the category

NOTE Confidence: 0.758460130666667

 $01:12:12.220 \longrightarrow 01:12:13.300$ of severe disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

 $01:12:14.810 \longrightarrow 01:12:17.746$ Yeah. Just to be assured that a person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

01:12:17.750 --> 01:12:20.280 and it's been more than, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

01:12:20.280 --> 01:12:21.680 it's almost 15 years I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

 $01:12:21.680 \longrightarrow 01:12:23.300$ been doing unit of follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

01:12:23.300 --> 01:12:25.226 I often hear the new Unitologist

01:12:25.226 --> 01:12:27.690 said that my child could not do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

 $01:12:27.690 \longrightarrow 01:12:29.799$ and look at what he's able to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

01:12:29.800 --> 01:12:31.624 My, the neonatologist said that he

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

 $01:12:31.624 \longrightarrow 01:12:34.063$ was going to be like a vegetable but

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

 $01:12:34.063 \longrightarrow 01:12:36.498$ look like he can sing, he can dance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

01:12:36.498 --> 01:12:38.780 But I've never heard a neonatologist never

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

 $01:12:38.844 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.060$ told me that my child would have this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

01:12:41.060 --> 01:12:43.428 I've never heard that in 15 years, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

 $01{:}12{:}43.428 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}46.386$ It's very, you know, it's experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

01:12:46.386 --> 01:12:47.998 It's not evidence based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73245752375

 $01{:}12{:}48.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}51.350$ but it's an ecdotal, but it's an ecdotal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01:12:52.400 \longrightarrow 01:12:53.954$ And it's it's the anecdotal experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01:12:53.954 \longrightarrow 01:12:55.925$ of of a very experienced 15

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01{:}12{:}55.925 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}57.962$ years of as a problem of physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

01:12:57.970 --> 01:13:02.182 So it's not nothing my and I appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01:13:02.182 \longrightarrow 01:13:04.590$ the the observation because it is true.

 $01:13:04.590 \longrightarrow 01:13:06.939$ We live in we we on the intensive care

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01{:}13{:}06.939 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}09.180$ side live in fear that we are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01:13:09.180 \longrightarrow 01:13:11.213$ to have misled parents and send them

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

01:13:11.213 --> 01:13:13.298 home with a child who was profoundly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01{:}13{:}13.298 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}15.506$ disabled and we never warned them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

01:13:15.510 --> 01:13:17.460 But it it seems to me from not just what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

01:13:17.516 --> 01:13:19.508 I'm hearing you say but from the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01:13:19.508 \longrightarrow 01:13:21.410$ you presented that while that may be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

01:13:21.410 --> 01:13:23.141 concern in fact we're far more likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01:13:23.141 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.803$ To be overly pessimistic and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01:13:24.803 \longrightarrow 01:13:26.258$ mislead them about what capabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.7240695665

 $01:13:26.258 \longrightarrow 01:13:27.950$ our child is likely to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81308095

 $01{:}13{:}30.580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}34.090$ Next question, comment one slide showed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81308095

 $01:13:34.090 \longrightarrow 01:13:35.791$ 100 parents who rated their child as

NOTE Confidence: 0.81308095

01:13:35.791 --> 01:13:37.530 having mild to moderate disability,

 $01:13:37.530 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.210$ but testing showed no disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81308095

 $01:13:40.210 \longrightarrow 01:13:41.981$ Is there a different subset of parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.81308095

01:13:41.981 --> 01:13:43.910 who see their child as more affected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81308095

01:13:43.910 --> 01:13:45.400 perhaps in grand sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81308095

 $01:13:45.400 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.592$ vulnerability in their child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8316725

01:13:47.670 --> 01:13:50.160 And we had there's quotes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:13:50.170 \longrightarrow 01:13:54.855$ go with that and examples are my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:13:54.855 \longrightarrow 01:13:57.980$ child is hospitalized every month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:13:57.980 \longrightarrow 01:14:01.400$ It's held to feed him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:14:01.400 --> 01:14:04.067 Or suppers are no longer nice family

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:04.067 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.489$ suppers because there's no more feeding it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:06.490 \longrightarrow 01:14:08.458$ It's just trying to bring nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:08.460 \longrightarrow 01:14:10.028$ He's not gaining weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:10.028 \longrightarrow 01:14:11.988$ We're constantly at the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:14:11.990 --> 01:14:14.630 But yet because my child is not disabled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:14:14.630 --> 01:14:17.670 I don't have services I not

 $01:14:17.670 \longrightarrow 01:14:19.070$ judged as being disabled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:19.070 \longrightarrow 01:14:20.918$ So there's a lot of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:20.918 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.610$ that we see as mild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:22.610 \longrightarrow 01:14:24.585$ like behavior is probably the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:24.585 \longrightarrow 01:14:27.040$ worst that we see as mild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:14:27.040 --> 01:14:29.266 That is not mild for families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:29.270 \longrightarrow 01:14:31.460$ a child who's violent to others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:31.460 \longrightarrow 01:14:34.058$ Who goes whole to school always has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01{:}14{:}34.058 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}36.530$ problems with other it's violent to others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:14:36.530 --> 01:14:39.308 is kicked out of school repeatedly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:39.310 \longrightarrow 01:14:42.523$ is seen also be seen as the bad parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01{:}14{:}42.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}44.658$ There's a quote I remember from a dad

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01{:}14{:}44.658 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}47.273$ is that no body's nice to us because our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:14:47.273 --> 01:14:49.510 child kicks everybody and it's not nice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:49.510 \longrightarrow 01:14:53.052$ but he was actually classified as normal.

 $01:14:53.052 \longrightarrow 01:14:55.607$ But this dad was devastated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:55.610 \longrightarrow 01:14:58.034$ So I think there's a lot of spheres

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:58.034 \longrightarrow 01:14:59.988$ like this where we're really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:14:59.990 \longrightarrow 01:15:01.775$ we can't see that at the MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:01.780 \longrightarrow 01:15:03.590$ We can't see it on the ultrasound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:03.590 \longrightarrow 01:15:05.904$ We can only hear about it at follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:05.910 \longrightarrow 01:15:08.490$ We can't predict it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:08.490 \longrightarrow 01:15:10.572$ but we don't investigate this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01{:}15{:}10.572 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}12.879$ it seems these parents don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:15:12.879 --> 01:15:15.014 enough help because severe feeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:15:15.014 --> 01:15:17.370 difficulties are not put in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:17.370 \longrightarrow 01:15:19.254$ in significant disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:15:19.254 --> 01:15:21.766 So some parents also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:15:21.770 --> 01:15:22.534 you're right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

01:15:22.534 --> 01:15:23.298 a minority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:23.298 \longrightarrow 01:15:26.250$ speak about like their child has problems,

 $01:15:26.250 \longrightarrow 01:15:27.702$ but we don't see them because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:27.702 \longrightarrow 01:15:29.673$ we look at the Bailey and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:29.673 \longrightarrow 01:15:30.986$ deafness and the severe things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9087261

 $01:15:30.986 \longrightarrow 01:15:32.266$ that we think are severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970052375

01:15:35.260 --> 01:15:35.740 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:37.780 \longrightarrow 01:15:39.036$ One of my practitioner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

01:15:39.036 --> 01:15:40.920 friends of many years and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:40.974 \longrightarrow 01:15:42.619$ says that we need to realize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:42.619 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.427$ value of the child to the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:44.430 \longrightarrow 01:15:46.719$ We will care for them no matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:46.719 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.750$ what when we realize that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:48.750 \longrightarrow 01:15:50.295$ when we realize the value

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:50.295 \longrightarrow 01:15:52.390$ of the child to the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:52.390 \longrightarrow 01:15:53.461$ Another comment question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:53.461 \longrightarrow 01:15:54.889$ I applaud your work.

 $01:15:54.890 \longrightarrow 01:15:56.549$ I think a balanced and hopeful approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:15:56.549 \longrightarrow 01:15:58.061$ is missing in medicine, however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

01:15:58.061 --> 01:16:00.167 I feel that providing hope or

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

01:16:00.167 --> 01:16:02.380 presenting a balanced view can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:16:02.380 \longrightarrow 01:16:04.210$ viewed negatively by other physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:16:04.210 \longrightarrow 01:16:04.968$ nurses, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:16:04.968 \longrightarrow 01:16:07.242$ Do you think there's a connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

 $01:16:07.242 \longrightarrow 01:16:08.640$ with physician counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

01:16:08.640 --> 01:16:09.714 and physician burnout?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852377783333333

01:16:09.714 --> 01:16:11.146 Are we too jaded?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:12.860 \longrightarrow 01:16:14.951$ Many questions. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:14.951 \longrightarrow 01:16:18.760$ there's the moral distress literature that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:18.760 \longrightarrow 01:16:21.616$ shows us who is more morally distressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01{:}16{:}21.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}25.224$ So the least experience you have the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:16:25.224 --> 01:16:27.134 neonatology if you look longitudinally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:27.134 \longrightarrow 01:16:29.730$ over a moral distress and burnout.

 $01:16:29.730 \longrightarrow 01:16:32.516$ And this is my she's not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:32.516 \longrightarrow 01:16:33.710$ PhD student anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:16:33.710 --> 01:16:36.356 Trisha Apprentice, who was my PhD student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:16:36.360 --> 01:16:38.959 was a wonderful clinician in Australia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:38.960 \longrightarrow 01:16:41.403$ has looked at how people are morally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:41.403 \longrightarrow 01:16:43.039$ distressed clinician in the NICU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:16:43.040 --> 01:16:44.432 Over a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:44.432 \longrightarrow 01:16:47.680$ and they are nurses are often distressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:47.768 \longrightarrow 01:16:50.568$ when doctors are not distressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:50.570 \longrightarrow 01:16:52.782$ there is more distress when not all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01{:}16{:}52.782 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}55.149$ the team is distressed together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:55.150 \longrightarrow 01:16:57.075$ There's more distress when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:16:57.075 --> 01:16:59.793 think the child will die and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:16:59.793 \longrightarrow 01:17:02.052$ parents don't want to reorient care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:02.052 \longrightarrow 01:17:04.616$ And usually everybody agrees

 $01:17:04.616 \longrightarrow 01:17:07.180$ together in these conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:17:07.180 --> 01:17:08.325 And the least experience you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:08.325 \longrightarrow 01:17:09.680$ have the more distressed you are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:09.680 \longrightarrow 01:17:12.776$ I said that and interestingly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:12.776 \longrightarrow 01:17:14.840$ distressed actually disappears when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:14.923 \longrightarrow 01:17:17.317$ the kid is no longer ventilated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:17.320 \longrightarrow 01:17:20.236$ So why don't we can't stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:20.236 \longrightarrow 01:17:21.694$ life sustaining interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01{:}17{:}21.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}23.458$ The distress seems to go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:23.460 \longrightarrow 01:17:25.296$ So when a child has bilateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:17:25.296 --> 01:17:26.214 Grade 4 seizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:26.220 \longrightarrow 01:17:28.103$ not doing well at all and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:17:28.103 --> 01:17:30.418 neck and short gut and let's put a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:30.418 \longrightarrow 01:17:32.208$ very bad scenario and we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:17:32.208 --> 01:17:34.224 to engage with parents to see do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:34.224 \longrightarrow 01:17:35.688$ we want to reorient care,

 $01:17:35.688 \longrightarrow 01:17:37.453$ he'll stay long, a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:17:37.453 --> 01:17:39.912 May be lifelong TPN and parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:39.912 \longrightarrow 01:17:42.236$ refuse and the care continues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:17:42.236 --> 01:17:45.580 When this child is now only on low

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:17:45.580 --> 01:17:48.198 flow oxygen and TPN and goes home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:17:48.200 --> 01:17:49.400 actually very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:49.400 \longrightarrow 01:17:53.000$ very few people are morally distressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:53.000 \longrightarrow 01:17:57.184$ So I'm not sure it's how we paint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:17:57.184 \longrightarrow 01:18:00.039$ the outcomes that that would make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:00.040 \longrightarrow 01:18:01.659$ everybody morally distressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:01.659 --> 01:18:03.895 Certainly other physicians don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:03.895 --> 01:18:06.131 necessarily look at preemies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:06.131 \longrightarrow 01:18:07.939$ in a very kind way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:07.940 \longrightarrow 01:18:10.250$ So in because the same thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:10.250 --> 01:18:12.147 they don't go to neonatal follow up,

 $01:18:12.150 \longrightarrow 01:18:14.130$ they don't see our kids when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01{:}18{:}14.130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}16.130$ they're just eating lunch at school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:16.130 \longrightarrow 01:18:19.133$ When they see our kids is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:19.133 --> 01:18:20.712 they're hospitalized with RSV

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:20.712 \longrightarrow 01:18:22.980$ or they see their kids with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01{:}18{:}22.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}25.030$ quadripares is or the residents too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:25.030 \longrightarrow 01:18:27.517$ They only see the the, the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:27.517 \longrightarrow 01:18:29.680$ the kids who need to be rehospitalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01{:}18{:}29.744 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}31.725$ who at that point are not smiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:31.730 --> 01:18:33.179 So they think our kids don't smile

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:33.179 \longrightarrow 01:18:34.410$ because when they're rehospitalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:34.410 --> 01:18:36.192 But I don't smile when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:36.192 \longrightarrow 01:18:37.565$ read I'm rehospitalized, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:37.565 --> 01:18:39.706 It's also the people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:39.706 --> 01:18:42.330 and it's an NICU graduate and they're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875 01:18:42.330 --> 01:18:42.627 yeah,

 $01{:}18{:}42.627 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}44.678$ there's an NICU graduate and RPI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01{:}18{:}44.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}46.857$ They don't say there's a hemon graduate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:46.860 \longrightarrow 01:18:49.668$ like dying on the wards and the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:49.668 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.593$ of bad Rep we get in the hospital too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:52.600 \longrightarrow 01:18:54.478$ So you're right that maybe if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:54.478 \longrightarrow 01:18:56.586$ we start being more balanced and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:56.586 --> 01:18:58.938 positive that some doctors will say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:58.940 --> 01:18:59.480 Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

01:18:59.480 --> 01:18:59.840 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:18:59.840 \longrightarrow 01:19:02.180$ these neonatologists are totally delusional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:19:02.180 \longrightarrow 01:19:04.148$ But then maybe we can send us the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167539875

 $01:19:04.148 \longrightarrow 01:19:05.768$ papers and they can read them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742290114

01:19:06.640 --> 01:19:09.290 Yeah, yeah. Your. Your. Your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01{:}19{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}14.048$ Uh, there was a sailor at sea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:19:14.050 \longrightarrow 01:19:16.458$ captain from a couple 100 years ago who

 $01:19:16.458 \longrightarrow 01:19:18.709$ famously said my cannon will speak for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01{:}19{:}18.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}20.432$ So perhaps the team from Montreal

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:19:20.432 \longrightarrow 01:19:22.471$ says our data will speak for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:19:22.471 --> 01:19:24.127 because you you don't present us

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:19:24.127 --> 01:19:26.180 just with your opinions or outlooks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:19:26.180 --> 01:19:28.168 You also present us with some compelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:19:28.168 --> 01:19:30.362 data on which I think we need to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:19:30.362 --> 01:19:32.279 with our non Una Township colleague.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:19:32.280 \longrightarrow 01:19:34.218$ A comment, more of a comment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:19:34.220 \longrightarrow 01:19:37.088$ I think the real follow-up clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01{:}19{:}37.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}40.149$ Is the medical home where us general

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:19:40.149 --> 01:19:42.307 peace follow those NICU graduates

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:19:42.307 \longrightarrow 01:19:45.355$ for 20 years and see them on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01{:}19{:}45.443 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}47.828$ sometimes tumultuous journeys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:19:47.830 \longrightarrow 01:19:51.088$ That's the follow up clinic is the is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:19:51.090 \longrightarrow 01:19:52.698$ Is the general pediatric uh the

 $01:19:52.698 \longrightarrow 01:19:54.070$ general of the medical home?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01{:}19{:}54.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}56.740$ It's an interesting observation from Cliff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:19:56.740 --> 01:20:00.817 Um. And uh, this from one of the associates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:20:00.820 --> 01:20:01.944 directors of our program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:01.944 \longrightarrow 01:20:02.506$ We asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:02.510 \longrightarrow 01:20:04.502$ I wonder if some of physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:04.502 \longrightarrow 01:20:06.504$ pessimism about the outcomes of very

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01{:}20{:}06.504 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}08.358$ premature children has to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:20:08.358 --> 01:20:10.048 their concern about the burdens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:10.050 \longrightarrow 01:20:11.229$ economic and otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:20:11.229 --> 01:20:13.587 they might be imposing on society

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:13.587 \longrightarrow 01:20:15.630$ by keeping the child alive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:20:15.630 --> 01:20:18.948 creating a burden rather than saving a life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01{:}20{:}18.948 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}20.497$ I don't know if my friend Jack had

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:20:20.497 --> 01:20:21.691 actually read the paper that you

01:20:21.691 --> 01:20:23.153 and I wrote together many years

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01{:}20{:}23.153 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}24.523$ ago about saving versus creating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:24.530 \longrightarrow 01:20:27.482$ but you also alluded to it in your talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

01:20:27.490 --> 01:20:28.757 Do you think that it's possible that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:28.760 \longrightarrow 01:20:30.280$ Some of the positions pessimism

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:30.280 \longrightarrow 01:20:32.122$ is related to their concern about

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:32.122 \longrightarrow 01:20:33.730$ the the burdens that they might

NOTE Confidence: 0.73811504

 $01:20:33.730 \longrightarrow 01:20:35.000$ be imposing on society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:20:36.540 \longrightarrow 01:20:39.452$ But I don't think this is evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:20:39.452 \longrightarrow 01:20:42.585$ based because the work from from Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:20:42.585 \longrightarrow 01:20:45.279$ Spencer has shown that actually it

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:20:45.360 --> 01:20:48.768 is a very good for society to spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:20:48.768 \longrightarrow 01:20:51.516$ money in neonatal care just because

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:20:51.516 \longrightarrow 01:20:55.014$ of you know all the the long

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:20:55.014 \longrightarrow 01:20:58.346$ life that those babies can have and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:20:58.350 \longrightarrow 01:21:01.829$ Once again, like we have this impression

01:21:01.829 --> 01:21:04.417 that significant disability is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:04.417 --> 01:21:07.483 very prevalent among very premature babies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:07.490 --> 01:21:09.788 but it's actually not so high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:09.790 --> 01:21:11.206 It doesn't mean that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:11.206 \longrightarrow 01:21:12.455$ several palsy that you cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:12.455 \longrightarrow 01:21:14.205$ contribute to society and have a job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:14.210 --> 01:21:16.583 It doesn't mean because you have autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:16.583 \longrightarrow 01:21:19.019$ that you're not able to have a job so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01{:}21{:}19.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}21.988$ So actually I think it's a misconception

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:21.988 \longrightarrow 01:21:24.880$ that children or even individual with

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:24.880 \longrightarrow 01:21:27.958$ disabilities are not valuable to society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:27.960 --> 01:21:30.010 So I think that the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01{:}21{:}30.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}31.697$ the big mistake here is to invest

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:31.697 \longrightarrow 01:21:34.068$ a lot in neonatology and not in

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:34.068 \longrightarrow 01:21:36.048$ follow-up care and rehabilitation care.

01:21:36.050 --> 01:21:38.264 Because what I see a lot is that families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:38.270 --> 01:21:39.596 they want to help their children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:39.600 \longrightarrow 01:21:41.305$ but there's no speech and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:41.305 --> 01:21:41.987 language pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:41.990 \longrightarrow 01:21:43.462$ there's no physical therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:43.462 \longrightarrow 01:21:45.670$ Maybe this is a Canadian problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:45.670 \longrightarrow 01:21:48.070$ problem with our universal healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:48.070 --> 01:21:50.290 But I think that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:50.290 \longrightarrow 01:21:51.490$ following this family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:51.490 --> 01:21:53.090 helping out them through

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:53.090 \longrightarrow 01:21:54.710$ their journey being there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01{:}21{:}54.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}56.390$ this is such an important piece to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:21:56.390 \longrightarrow 01:21:58.039$ make sure that you know the kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:58.040 --> 01:21:59.936 Can do whatever they want in their life

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

01:21:59.936 --> 01:22:02.096 and they can really contribute to society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810755261904762

 $01:22:02.100 \longrightarrow 01:22:04.700$ I think it's a misconception that we think

 $01:22:04.700 \longrightarrow 01:22:06.639$ that disability equals being a burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

01:22:07.500 --> 01:22:09.276 And I'm sorry go ahead the

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

 $01:22:09.276 \longrightarrow 01:22:10.460$ and the economy there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

 $01:22:10.460 \longrightarrow 01:22:12.890$ There are there are economic analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

 $01:22:12.890 \longrightarrow 01:22:14.186$ There is zupancic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

 $01:22:14.186 \longrightarrow 01:22:17.660$ There's Bill Meadow did some and Edward Bell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

01:22:17.660 --> 01:22:20.044 And in fact it's the cheapest one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

 $01:22:20.044 \longrightarrow 01:22:21.659$ the cheapest endeavor in medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

01:22:21.659 --> 01:22:23.878 is if you look at qualities you

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

 $01:22:23.939 \longrightarrow 01:22:26.035$ look at how long then the kids can

NOTE Confidence: 0.672114431

01:22:26.035 --> 01:22:28.170 work and support us when we're old

NOTE Confidence: 0.781767395

 $01:22:28.850 \longrightarrow 01:22:31.044$ and pay the taxes for my health care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781767395

01:22:31.044 --> 01:22:32.950 So no but it's it is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:22:32.960 \longrightarrow 01:22:36.002$ real if you really do an

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:22:36.002 \longrightarrow 01:22:37.523$ economic analysis mammograms.

 $01:22:37.530 \longrightarrow 01:22:40.526$ Are really not very good compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01{:}22{:}40.526 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}42.490$ treating extremely extreme preemies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

01:22:42.490 --> 01:22:44.362 Automatic defibrillator devices

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:22:44.362 \longrightarrow 01:22:47.204$ that we have everywhere and we keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:22:47.204 \longrightarrow 01:22:49.022$ functioning are an apocalypse because

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:22:49.022 \longrightarrow 01:22:51.038$ most of them then are disabled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:22:51.040 \longrightarrow 01:22:53.784$ They send the hospital or they die and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

01:22:53.784 --> 01:22:56.052 they don't have very good outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:22:56.052 \longrightarrow 01:22:58.275$ and and then they're disabled and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

01:22:58.275 --> 01:23:00.225 do not make money because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01{:}23{:}00.225 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}02.227$ more likely to be an older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:23:02.227 \longrightarrow 01:23:05.089$ So all these economic analysis use

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:23:05.089 \longrightarrow 01:23:07.550$ real economic analysis of the worth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

01:23:07.550 --> 01:23:09.938 Of the person that's bringing back

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:23:09.938 \longrightarrow 01:23:12.049$ money later and they all point

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:23:12.049 \longrightarrow 01:23:13.605$ towards actually neonatology being

 $01:23:13.605 \longrightarrow 01:23:16.068$ a bargain in terms of economic

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:23:16.068 \longrightarrow 01:23:18.318$ analysis compared to other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

01:23:18.320 --> 01:23:21.119 Not you know not compared to you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

01:23:21.119 --> 01:23:23.857 minor minor aesthetic surgery but

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01{:}23{:}23.857 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}25.999$ I mean compared to other endeavors

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433807777778

 $01:23:25.999 \longrightarrow 01:23:28.550$ in in the Quaternary Care center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.502099941

 $01:23:28.600 \longrightarrow 01:23:29.720$ I'm just reading Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.502099941

01:23:29.720 --> 01:23:30.690 Doctor Katz. Dr Hughes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.502099941

01:23:30.690 --> 01:23:31.715 Sorry we're not we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.502099941

01:23:31.715 --> 01:23:32.900 trying to jump on you. I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.837223538

 $01:23:32.910 \longrightarrow 01:23:34.530$ he's he said I did

NOTE Confidence: 0.945740526666667

 $01:23:34.540 \longrightarrow 01:23:35.809$ read your paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85476293

01:23:35.860 --> 01:23:36.970 I'm just trying to but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8686253

 $01:23:36.980 \longrightarrow 01:23:37.990$ I think this. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:23:38.000 \longrightarrow 01:23:39.208$ that I think you make a good point

 $01:23:39.208 \longrightarrow 01:23:40.642$ and I think that this brings up

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:23:40.642 \longrightarrow 01:23:42.762$ again the fact that the difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:23:42.762 \longrightarrow 01:23:44.954$ the difference that that clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

01:23:44.954 --> 01:23:47.008 have in viewing babies versus adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:23:47.008 \longrightarrow 01:23:49.552$ like again it's very hard to imagine a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

01:23:49.552 --> 01:23:51.728 premature baby as a functioning you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:23:51.730 \longrightarrow 01:23:54.448$ teenager or a 25 year old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

01:23:54.450 --> 01:23:56.506 And I think there's a lot of statistics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

01:23:56.510 --> 01:23:57.728 I don't know exactly what they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

01:23:57.730 --> 01:23:59.057 but about how, you know the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01{:}23{:}59.057 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}01.148$ year of people's lives is the is

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:24:01.148 \longrightarrow 01:24:03.484$ that when we spend the most money

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:24:03.484 \longrightarrow 01:24:05.559$ on healthcare for those people

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:24:05.559 \longrightarrow 01:24:08.079$ even though it's kind of useless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870257386153846

 $01:24:08.080 \longrightarrow 01:24:09.208$ And so because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.845154373333333

 $01:24:09.760 \longrightarrow 01:24:11.296$ and so I find it interesting

01:24:11.310 --> 01:24:12.286 that we're, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

01:24:12.286 --> 01:24:13.018 we're questioning spirit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

01:24:13.020 --> 01:24:14.298 you know, and sorry Doctor Who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

01:24:14.300 --> 01:24:15.308 I know you're not saying this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:15.310 \longrightarrow 01:24:16.900$ but I know you know that some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

01:24:16.900 --> 01:24:17.968 might question the expenditure

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:17.968 \longrightarrow 01:24:19.552$ for these babies that as Annie

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:19.552 \longrightarrow 01:24:21.097$ said are going to become like

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:21.100 \longrightarrow 01:24:23.120$ most of the vast majority of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:23.120 \longrightarrow 01:24:24.520$ functioning members of society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

01:24:24.520 --> 01:24:25.890 But we don't necessarily question

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:25.890 \longrightarrow 01:24:27.646$ again spending you know tons of

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01{:}24{:}27.646 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}29.380$ money on medical treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:29.380 \longrightarrow 01:24:31.400$ like a 94 year old for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:31.400 \longrightarrow 01:24:32.870$ So again it's this difference I

 $01:24:32.870 \longrightarrow 01:24:34.568$ think in how we view babies versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.782315684285714

 $01:24:34.568 \longrightarrow 01:24:36.536$ how we view full grown adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699848113333333

 $01:24:36.850 \longrightarrow 01:24:38.710$ So anyway. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819584911481481

 $01:24:38.930 \longrightarrow 01:24:39.858$ yeah. No, good point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819584911481481

 $01:24:39.858 \longrightarrow 01:24:41.714$ And Jack and by the way he puts

NOTE Confidence: 0.819584911481481

01:24:41.714 --> 01:24:43.362 here and I was going to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.819584911481481

01:24:43.417 --> 01:24:45.167 Jack if you didn't that was clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819584911481481

 $01:24:45.170 \longrightarrow 01:24:46.838$ he was trying to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.819584911481481

 $01:24:46.838 \longrightarrow 01:24:47.950$ the explanation for physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.819584911481481

01:24:48.001 --> 01:24:49.570 view not to justify the belief

NOTE Confidence: 0.489692805

 $01{:}24{:}50.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}51.260$ that shooting the messenger here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:24:52.780 \longrightarrow 01:24:54.649$ He has a far more knowledgeable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:24:54.649 \longrightarrow 01:24:55.998$ sophisticated view of the economics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:24:55.998 \longrightarrow 01:24:57.474$ of medicine than most of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:24:57.480 \longrightarrow 01:24:59.056$ This is one of the things that Jack

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:24:59.056 \longrightarrow 01:25:00.940$ teaches here at Yale, and he gets it.

01:25:00.940 --> 01:25:02.620 But trying to understand why people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:02.680 --> 01:25:04.566 feel that way, whatever that way is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:04.566 \longrightarrow 01:25:05.961$ is really helpful in trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:05.961 \longrightarrow 01:25:07.480$ to change people's minds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:07.480 \longrightarrow 01:25:10.686$ trying to understand why people feel that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:10.690 --> 01:25:11.530 Good morning, ladies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:11.530 \longrightarrow 01:25:13.210$ Are more optional or that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:13.210 \longrightarrow 01:25:14.759$ there's some in some way inferior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:14.760 --> 01:25:16.378 I notice that at one point, Rebecca,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:16.378 \longrightarrow 01:25:18.602$ you used the word inferior and I jot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:18.602 \longrightarrow 01:25:20.495$ down not that this was your view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:20.500 \longrightarrow 01:25:22.921$ but that this may be a view taken by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:22.921 \longrightarrow 01:25:25.615$ some of the in the medical establishment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:25.620 --> 01:25:26.908 Anyway, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:26.908 \longrightarrow 01:25:28.518$ we're not completely done here,

 $01:25:28.520 \longrightarrow 01:25:31.344$ but we are done because the hour is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:31.344 \longrightarrow 01:25:33.860$ about the hour and a half is up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:33.860 --> 01:25:35.740 Wanna let you guys think for 10 seconds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:35.740 --> 01:25:37.885 about any final comments you'd like to make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:37.890 \longrightarrow 01:25:41.334$ While I say that this has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:41.334 --> 01:25:42.810 an outstanding presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:42.810 \longrightarrow 01:25:45.218$ this I think your work as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:45.218 --> 01:25:46.925 a presentation of this quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:46.925 --> 01:25:48.650 clearly has great potential to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:48.650 \longrightarrow 01:25:50.821$ move the needle and to change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01{:}25{:}50.821 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}52.870$ way we do things for the better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:52.870 \longrightarrow 01:25:54.445$ And I really appreciate that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:54.450 --> 01:25:56.155 I had actually expected something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:56.155 --> 01:25:57.860 really good because I've I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:25:57.922 --> 01:25:59.410 familiar with Annie's work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:25:59.410 \longrightarrow 01:26:01.186$ but this even exceeded my expectations.

 $01{:}26{:}01.190 \longrightarrow 01{:}26{:}02.611$ I thank you so much for taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:26:02.611 \longrightarrow 01:26:03.950$ the time to speak with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:26:03.950 --> 01:26:04.188 Tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

01:26:04.188 --> 01:26:06.330 and I want to just let you have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:26:06.384 \longrightarrow 01:26:08.085$ last words you might like to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8186011

 $01:26:08.085 \longrightarrow 01:26:09.898$ to this crew who's listening in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897878783333333

01:26:11.940 --> 01:26:14.058 Well, thank you for inviting us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897878783333333

 $01{:}26{:}14.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}15.320$ My kids were really impressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897878783333333

01:26:15.320 --> 01:26:16.630 I was speaking at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.636056766666667

 $01:26:16.700 \longrightarrow 01:26:17.669$ from the kitchen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685393

01:26:19.850 --> 01:26:24.200 Yeah, well. Doctor Liu and Miss

NOTE Confidence: 0.685393

01:26:24.200 --> 01:26:25.700 Pierce and Doctor Janvier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881701798

 $01{:}26{:}25.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}27.130$ this was a wonderful evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881701798

 $01:26:27.130 \longrightarrow 01:26:28.438$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881701798

 $01:26:28.438 \longrightarrow 01:26:30.000$ We wish you all well. Take care.