WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:30:06" NOTE recognizability:0.841

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.030$ Well, welcome to the kickoff

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.180$ session for this academic year of

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}00{:}06.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.730$ the Evening Ethics Seminar series

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.693$ for the program for about medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:09.693 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.685$ ethics at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:00:11.690 --> 00:00:12.910 My name is Mark Mercurio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.158$ I'm the director of the program and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:00:15.158 --> 00:00:17.359 behalf of Sarah Hall and Jack Hughes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:00:17.360 --> 00:00:19.088 our associate directors, and Karen Colby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:00:19.090 --> 00:00:20.910 our manager, I welcome you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.448$ I'm delighted that you're here tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.130$ The program for Biomedical Ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.090$ as many of you know,

 $00:00:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.110$ but I know many of you are also knew this

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}00{:}28.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.089$ twice a month during the academic year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.118$ and we have evening ethics seminars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:00:32.120 --> 00:00:34.136 We share this space, if you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}00{:}34.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.438$ with the with the Yale Pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:00:36.438 --> 00:00:38.494 ethics program and various one group

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:38.494 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.420$ or the other sponsors these seminars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:00:40.420 --> 00:00:41.878 It doesn't much matter to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.814$ You can find the the schedule on

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.412$ biomedicalethics@yale.edu and of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:47.412 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.830$ we have a wide mailing list and we send

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.077$ out notifications of these things as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.048$ And you're cordially invited to attend as

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:56.048 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.758$ many as your schedule and interest dictate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:00:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.250$ There has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}01{:}02.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.630$ It's been an amazing summer.

 $00:01:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.814$ Much has happened in the few months

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:05.814 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.210$ that we were off offline with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.670$ program for biomedical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.670$ And as I think everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.670$ on this call is aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.408$ of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:12.408 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.991$ the the jobs decision by the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}01{:}14.991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.803$ Supreme Court has had tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}01{:}16.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.458$ impact and implication and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:01:18.458 --> 00:01:20.489 led to widespread discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.106$ including a series of seminars and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:23.106 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.790$ we binars here at Yale University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}01{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.870$ My friend Katie Cashell over

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.534$ at the law school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:01:29.540 --> 00:01:31.772 Has been one of the major players in

00:01:31.772 --> 00:01:33.849 putting all of this together as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.006$ and she's one of our speakers tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.022$ There have been sessions that focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:01:39.022 --> 00:01:42.057 on how this decision is going to what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:42.057 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.206$ what the legal nuances of this are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.488$ what the influence this is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:45.488 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.290$ to be on the medical profession,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.144$ what this is going to do for public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.480$ There's all sorts of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.210$ discussions that have been had tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:01:52.210 --> 00:01:52.585 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}01{:}52.585 --> 00{:}01{:}54.835$ what we're going to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:54.835 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.869$ focus on are the ethical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.822$ ethical issues at work as well as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:01:59.822 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.589$ ethical implications of this and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}02{:}01.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04.608$ To night's session on the ethics of

00:02:04.608 --> 00:02:07.549 abortion and abortion law is really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.475$ Focused as to some extent on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:09.475 --> 00:02:11.119 Dobbs decision and on Roe V Wade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:11.120 --> 00:02:12.590 which is of course been overturned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:12.590 --> 00:02:15.145 but also on the question of abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}02{:}15.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.185$ which has plagued ethicists for

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:17.185 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.897$ as long as ethicists have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}02{:}19.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.306$ having conversations over many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:22.306 --> 00:02:24.130 many, many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.620$ I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.110$ I'm very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.933$ very pleased with the Group of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:26.933 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.685$ we were able to assemble to night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00{:}02{:}28.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.812$ and I'm going to introduce them

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:29.812 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.464$ to you one at a time to let you

 $00:02:31.464 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.570$ know how the night will work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:32.570 --> 00:02:34.850 We have 4 speakers to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.874$ Each will speak for roughly 10 to 15 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:37.880 --> 00:02:41.984 And then at about 6:00 o'clock or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.682$ the speakers will finish and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:43.682 --> 00:02:45.435 I will moderate a Q&A session

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:45.435 --> 00:02:47.409 and I invite you to send your

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:47.409 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.931$ questions or comments in through

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

00:02:48.931 --> 00:02:50.406 the Q&A function on zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886840163

 $00:02:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.410$ I'll then read the questions to the panelists

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00{:}02{:}53.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.846$ at 6:30. I will wrap it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:02:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.522$ So if you're wondering

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:02:56.522 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.530$ how long does this go on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:02:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.750$ it goes on until 6:30 and then we will stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.619$ So I apologize, I know in advance

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:02.619 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.532$ because I know many of you won't

 $00{:}03{:}04.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.330$ be able to get your questions in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.968$ I expect it's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:07.968 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.787$ a great presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.262$ Word rate presentations followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:10.262 --> 00:03:12.102 by a very interesting discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.287$ so let me get right to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:14.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.000$ please, by starting out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00{:}03{:}16.000 \to 00{:}03{:}17.710$ introduce my friend and colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:17.710 --> 00:03:18.715 attorney Katie Kraschel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00{:}03{:}18.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.725$ who is the Executive director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:20.725 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.540$ the Salomon Center for Health Law

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:22.540 --> 00:03:24.410 and Policy at Yale Law School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00{:}03{:}24.410 --> 00{:}03{:}26.930$ where she also Co teaches the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00{:}03{:}26.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.610$ reproductive rights and Justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:28.686 --> 00:03:29.720 Project Clinic.

 $00:03:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.605$ Katie Scholarship focuses on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00{:}03{:}31.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.736$ intersection of reproduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.720$ gender, bioethics, and health policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:35.720 --> 00:03:38.024 She holds a bachelor's degree in

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:38.024 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.560$ biochemistry from Mount Holyoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.576$ College and a law degree from

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:41.576 --> 00:03:42.554 Harvard Law School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00{:}03{:}42.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.725$ She also completed Harvard Law

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:44.725 --> 00:03:46.457 School's Fellowship in bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.091$ And Katie has been a long standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:48.091 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.298$ friend of the program for biomedical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.330$ And we're delighted you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:51.330 --> 00:03:52.395 join us again tonight, Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:52.395 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.100$ And with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

 $00:03:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.510$ I will turn this over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885772257142857

00:03:54.563 --> 00:03:55.790 attorney Katie Kraschel.

00:03:57.730 --> 00:03:58.894 Thanks mark. It's always a pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.856159828571428

 $00:03:58.894 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.649$ to get to be part of the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856159828571428

 $00:04:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.670$ I'm going to go ahead

NOTE Confidence: 0.856159828571428

 $00:04:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.450$ and share my screen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856159828571428

 $00:04:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.170$ Hopefully this will run smoothly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856159828571428

 $00:04:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.215$ Can you all see my the screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856159828571428

00:04:12.220 --> 00:04:13.170 Mark, can you see it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912019453333333

 $00:04:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.916$ Yes, ma'am, we can see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

00:04:17.030 --> 00:04:18.287 OK, great, excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:18.287 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.469$ So I will try to be brief this evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

00:04:22.470 --> 00:04:24.423 Many of you who have been part of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:24.423 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.093$ series have heard from me previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00{:}04{:}26.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.091$ and I'm really excited about the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:28.091 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.002$ speakers that you'll get to hear tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.354$ Just my sort of boring disclaimers that I

00:04:32.354 --> 00:04:34.690 provide at the beginning of the of talks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00{:}04{:}34.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.266$ especially on this topic that I wear a

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

00:04:37.266 --> 00:04:40.350 lot of different hats at the law school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.430$ at the within the university and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

00:04:43.430 --> 00:04:45.809 community and just want to clarify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00{:}04{:}45.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.792$ That the views that I share in

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:48.792 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.759$ the presentation is my own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.638$ OK, so today I I'm my first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.638$ My charge today is sort of to set up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.476$ set the stage for the discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:04:58.476 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.185$ and to provide a brief overview of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:01.185 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.077$ the bioethical under underpinnings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667 00:05:03.080 --> 00:05:03.562 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:03.562 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.936$ I'll focus on the two major precedential

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:06.936 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.839$ cases that were overturned in Dobbs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.980$ Roe V Wade and Casey.

00:05:11.980 --> 00:05:14.584 And I'll describe what many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:14.584 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.054$ thought the court might do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:17.054 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.357$ jobs that would respond to many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:19.357 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.860$ the criticisms of the reasoning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.135$ Or the ethical reasoning that underlie

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:25.135 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.895$ a lot of the reasoning in those cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

00:05:27.900 --> 00:05:30.126 And then I'll touch on what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00{:}05{:}30.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.900$ court ultimately said in Dobbs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.540$ and what it tells us about the legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.513$ terrain and the ongoing ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00:05:36.513 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.578$ debates that we're in today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00{:}05{:}38.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.638$ and how we consider the earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

 $00{:}05{:}40.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.957$ stages of life and the bodily

NOTE Confidence: 0.788533929166667

00:05:42.957 --> 00:05:44.705 autonomy of pregnant people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4838505222

00:05:47.490 --> 00:05:49.690 And and so at first,

 $00:05:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.287$ I start here with the Dobbs decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4838505222

 $00{:}05{:}52.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.970$ This is what, as Mark mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4838505222

 $00:05:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.590$ what brings us here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4838505222

 $00:05:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.460$ And as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4838505222

 $00:05:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.350$ the court held that the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.4838505222

 $00:05:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.610$ Constitution does not confer

NOTE Confidence: 0.4838505222

 $00:06:00.673 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.275$ a right to abortion and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.4838505222

 $00:06:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.120$ it overruled Roe and Casey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9263482475

 $00{:}06{:}05.630 --> 00{:}06{:}06.538$ So first, let's go

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.123$ back to 1973, when the court held

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}10.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.481$ that the US constitutions right to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}12.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.526$ privacy found in the 9th and 14th

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:15.526 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.322$ amendments encompassed a women's

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}17.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.663$ right decision to end pregnancy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:19.663 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.860$ how that the ban on abortion at stake

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.685$ in that case was unconstitutional.

 $00{:}06{:}25.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.531$ In Roe. The court was very careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}27.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.527$ to note that the right to abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}29.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.659$ was not absolute and that the state's

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

00:06:31.659 --> 00:06:33.454 interest and potential life must

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}33.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.368$ be balanced against the pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:35.368 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.280$ persons interest in autonomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

00:06:37.280 --> 00:06:40.448 Equality and bodily integrity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.550$ In its most simple framing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.310$ the bioethical debate about abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.666$ in the United States and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}46.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.528$ Supreme Court has long been a debate

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}48.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.560$ of balancing these two interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}06{:}50.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.268$ the individual persons interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:52.268 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.794$ and deciding their fate of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:06:54.794 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.290$ body and deciding whether or not

 $00:06:57.376 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.112$ to remain pregnant against the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:07:00.112 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.983$ stated interest of the state in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00{:}07{:}02.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.383$ protecting life wherever the state

NOTE Confidence: 0.91353904

 $00:07:05.383 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.898$ would argue that interest would begin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.554$ So subsequently, in 1992,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

00:07:13.554 --> 00:07:15.758 the Supreme Court decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:15.758 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.350$ another abortion case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

00:07:17.350 --> 00:07:18.714 Planned Parenthood V Casey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00{:}07{:}18.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.419$ often just referred to in

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:20.419 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.750$ shorthand as Casey, that held up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00{:}07{:}22.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.494$ It upheld rose right to abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:25.494 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.062$ as found specifically in the 14th

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

00:07:28.062 --> 00:07:30.149 amendment of the US Constitution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.646$ due process clause, and a doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

00:07:32.650 --> 00:07:35.940 And it adopted a standard relying on

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00{:}07{:}35.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.014$ viability that pregnancy that states

 $00:07:38.014 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.420$ can't impose an undue burden on

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:40.496 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.920$ the right to terminate a pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.865$ Such that complete bans on

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:44.865 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.810$ abortion before viability were an

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:46.883 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.219$ unconstitutional infringement on rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:49.219 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.555$ articulated in the Constitution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.916$ As the court said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:52.916 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.611$ viability marks the earliest point

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00:07:54.611 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.126$ at which the state's interest and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

00:07:57.126 --> 00:07:58.818 fetal life is constitutionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

00:07:58.818 --> 00:08:00.869 adequate to justify a legislative

NOTE Confidence: 0.855560740909091

 $00{:}08{:}00.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.819$ ban on non the rapeutic abortions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00{:}08{:}05.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.009$ So to bring it back to where we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

00:08:08.009 --> 00:08:10.486 in that in Casey, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00:08:10.486 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.950$ the court found that the 14th amendment

 $00:08:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.940$ of the Constitution is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00:08:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.249$ where this right to abortion lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00:08:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.506$ specifically in the substantive

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

00:08:18.506 --> 00:08:19.448 due process clause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00:08:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.546$ which I have highlighted here on my slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00{:}08{:}22.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.580$ So this is where there's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00:08:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.930$ lot of debate about whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00:08:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.054$ the right to abortion really lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00:08:29.123 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.858$ in the US Constitution and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00{:}08{:}30.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.543$ or not the federal government

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00{:}08{:}32.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.357$ should provide this sort of floor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

00:08:34.360 --> 00:08:37.816 Against states who have enacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.849874054615385

 $00{:}08{:}37.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.150$ restrictive abortion laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:08:44.100 --> 00:08:50.036 So along came came Dobbs. So the law is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:08:50.040 --> 00:08:52.644 And what makes Dobbs so important is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:08:52.644 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.834$ that the case was squarely challenging

 $00:08:54.834 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.340$ what was held in Roe and Casey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:08:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.868$ The law at issue in Dobbs was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:08:59.868 --> 00:09:01.842 Mississippi is Mississippi law that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:01.842 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.392$ bans abortion at 15 weeks viability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.476$ The gestational age at which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:06.476 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.498$ fetus can survive outside a person's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:08.498 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.493$ uterus is around 23 or 24 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:09:10.500 --> 00:09:11.968 So the Mississippi law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:09:11.968 --> 00:09:14.170 And it sort of unambiguously flew

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:14.238 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.398$ in the face of the standard set by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}09{:}16.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.581$ row and interpreted by Casey since

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:09:18.581 --> 00:09:20.939 15 weeks as well before viability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}09{:}20.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.055$ So the issue of viability is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.548$ the in the Dobbs case was not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:09:26.548 --> 00:09:28.977 first time that people have many

00:09:28.977 --> 00:09:31.137 have critiqued the standard set

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}09{:}31.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.935$ forward in row and as interpreted by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:33.935 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.618$ Casey anti abortion since the 1980s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:09:36.618 --> 00:09:38.340 Ethicists, lawyers, physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.786$ academics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:38.786 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.016$ and advocates alike to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:41.016 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.604$ criticized it as being insufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:09:43.604 --> 00:09:46.820 or ethically incoherent demarcation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:46.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.878$ after which the state interest in fetal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:48.878 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.739$ life is sufficiently significant to tip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}09{:}50.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.498$ Balance in favor of the state against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}09{:}53.498 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}55.213$ the pregnant person's interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:09:55.213 --> 00:09:57.498 in bodily autonomy and equality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:09:57.500 --> 00:09:58.940 The Supreme Court has repeatedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:09:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.856$ opted not to list a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}10{:}00.856 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.540$ time and fetal development,

 $00:10:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.700$ and instead stuck with viability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.996$ as a concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157 00:10:06.000 --> 00:10:06.474 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:06.474 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.896$ among other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:07.896 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.740$ viability and the time and development

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:10.824 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.640$ at which a fetus is able to survive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.358$ outside of a person's uterus has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:10:15.360 --> 00:10:17.880 changed even since Roe passed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:10:17.880 --> 00:10:20.880 Others have noted that the idea of viability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.998$ Not tethered to any part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:22.998 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.410$ the Constitution and States

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:24.475 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.080$ and especially in the 19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.010$ have passed laws on claims.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}10{:}31.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.170$ Or have passed laws to define

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.610$ viability in different ways.

00:10:34.610 --> 00:10:37.550 So many have argued for a long time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.045$ that the viability line demarcation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:40.045 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.476$ at which the state can no longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}10{:}43.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.430$ put in place total bans on abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.919$ was an unworkable standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.328$ So when we think about what an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:51.328 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.918$ alternative to that may be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}10{:}52.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.020$ some states have passed laws

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}10{:}55.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.766$ based upon the claims that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:10:56.766 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.659$ is a moral duty to prevent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}10{:}58.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.640$ for example fetal pain and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.036$ the fetus on claims that the fetus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:03.036 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.052$ can feel pain at pre viability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:05.052 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.753$ stages of development such that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:06.753 --> 00:11:09.081 they would argue a ban on abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:09.081 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.986$ is appropriate prior to viability

 $00:11:10.986 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.242$ based upon the moral duty to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}11{:}13.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.240$ prevent the fetus from feeling pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:15.240 --> 00:11:17.155 Now peer review studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:17.155 --> 00:11:18.304 refuted claims that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}11{:}18.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.370$ Fetal pain is really experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.606$ at these stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.508$ but I provide this example as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00{:}11{:}24.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.228$ moral justification that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:26.228 --> 00:11:28.622 have provided in support of for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:28.622 --> 00:11:30.936 for arguing for abortion bans

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:30.936 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.490$ earlier in gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.890$ as many of you have probably heard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:33.890 --> 00:11:35.006 Also we've had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:35.006 --> 00:11:36.494 we've heard heartbeat bands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:36.500 --> 00:11:38.330 which are generally abortion bands,

00:11:38.330 --> 00:11:41.348 at approximately 6 weeks Jason gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.720$ which notably is about two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

00:11:42.720 --> 00:11:44.439 weeks after a person might know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058157

 $00:11:44.439 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.599$ that they are pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

00:11:48.570 --> 00:11:51.714 So with the viability being sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

00:11:51.714 --> 00:11:54.177 high viability being this hot button,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:11:54.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.160$ very heavily criticized and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

00:11:59.160 --> 00:12:02.513 Watts written about viability as a morally

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00{:}12{:}02.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.329$ justifiable and legally coherent standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.605$ For years. There were a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00{:}12{:}07.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09.382$ outcomes that many scholars thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

00:12:09.382 --> 00:12:11.584 might happen in the DOPS case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.408$ so one which I intentionally crossed

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

00:12:13.408 --> 00:12:15.668 out because it was not what happened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

00:12:15.670 --> 00:12:18.030 Would it be to uphold Roe and get

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.389$ rid of the viability standard?

 $00:12:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.350$ That is, that the court could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00{:}12{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.600$ held that the Constitution in the 14th

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

00:12:24.600 --> 00:12:26.628 amendment per Roe and Casey might

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.790$ still protect the right to abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429 00:12:28.790 --> 00:12:29.300 But this? NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.340$ Specific 15 week ban that was at issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:31.395 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.586$ in the case doesn't impinge on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:33.586 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.462$ right because viability is no longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:35.462 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.214$ the standard that the state interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:37.214 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.814$ might best earlier in development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:38.814 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.371$ but that there was still a constitutionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:41.371 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.507$ protected right to abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00{:}12{:}43.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46.366$ We saw that Justices Gorsuch and Roberts

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:46.366 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.550$ were very asked questions suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.420$ they were looking for an alternative

00:12:51.420 --> 00:12:55.050 point in development in oral arguments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.486$ and you can see here with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:57.486 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.310$ quote that I've included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:12:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.740$ That Justice Gorsuch seemed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:13:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.884$ be sort of asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:13:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.745$ trying to elicit from the people arguing

NOTE Confidence: 0.784460273571429

 $00:13:03.745 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.669$ the case in alternative standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.250$ And as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

00:13:09.250 --> 00:13:11.428 even in his concurrence, Justice Roberts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.062$ one of the more moderate of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00{:}13{:}14.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.489$ conservative members of the Supreme Court,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00{:}13{:}16.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.626$ said that there is a clear path to

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:18.626 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.752$ deciding this case correctly without over

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00{:}13{:}20.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.298$ ruling Roe all the way down to the studs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.544$ recognize that viability line must be

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:25.544 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.879$ discarded as the majority rightly does,

 $00:13:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.834$ and leave for another day whether to

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:30.834 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.936$ reject any right to an abortion at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

00:13:33.940 --> 00:13:35.796 Again, that's not how the case went down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.752$ but to specifically focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:38.752 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.284$ bioethical arguments underpinning a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:41.284 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.699$ of US jurisprudence around abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.040$ For the past 50 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.250$ there was a lot of discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.320$ about viability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.500$ So as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00{:}13{:}50.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.975$ this is the hypothetical outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

00:13:51.980 --> 00:13:54.535 #1 didn't happen as scholars were talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:13:54.535 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.718$ about different things that could happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00{:}13{:}56.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.670$ Hypothetical outcome #2 was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

00:13:58.670 --> 00:14:01.144 overturn overturn rate Roe on the

 $00:14:01.144 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.968$ basis that there was no abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00{:}14{:}02.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.959$ right found in the Constitution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:14:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.970$ And that is in fact what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

00:14:07.970 --> 00:14:08.232 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:14:08.232 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.328$ it that the court held that the Constitution

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

00:14:10.328 --> 00:14:12.085 does not confer a right to abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:14:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.590$ and that Roe and Casey were or overruled

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

00:14:14.590 --> 00:14:16.480 and the authority to regulate abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:14:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.583$ should be returned to the States

NOTE Confidence: 0.875253894

 $00:14:18.583 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.159$ and their elected representatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:14:23.080 --> 00:14:25.726 So today you can find any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:14:25.726 --> 00:14:28.319 number of maps similar to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.804$ This happened to just be the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:29.804 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.539$ I took a screenshot of today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.844$ tracking the status of various abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:33.844 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.820$ restrictions across the United States.

 $00:14:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.288$ As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00{:}14{:}37.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.490$ there's a pretty dark red region

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.978$ of the country in the South where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:14:41.978 --> 00:14:44.771 it would be very difficult to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:14:44.771 --> 00:14:47.291 to get access to legal abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:14:47.300 --> 00:14:50.370 And as you can see on the map on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.395$ there are there's ongoing litigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:52.395 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.459$ that is a very dynamic situation changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:55.459 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.826$ everyday about which laws have been enjoyed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:14:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.372$ meaning they cannot be enforced while

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00{:}15{:}00.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03.175$ the court, while the case is pending

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:03.175 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.060$ and where lawsuits have been filed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.800$ Some of the bands go as far as to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00{:}15{:}08.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.398$ that life begins at fertilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.520$ and so that's another issue that we can

00:15:14.520 --> 00:15:18.439 I'd be happy to discuss discuss in the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:15:18.440 --> 00:15:20.505 I know that Professor Cohen is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00{:}15{:}20.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.735$ planning to talk a bit about the moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:22.735 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.298$ status of an embryo and what what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00{:}15{:}25.298 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}15{:}27.793$ Dobbs decision means for continuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:27.793 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.710$ bioethical discussions about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.110$ Again, another map of abortion restrictions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:32.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.147$ This one I believe is from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:15:34.147 --> 00:15:35.659 Center for Reproductive Rights and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:15:35.659 --> 00:15:37.405 I highlight here that it another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00{:}15{:}37.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.523$ topic that I'm happy to cover if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:39.523 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.003$ people have questions about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00{:}15{:}41.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.042$ In Connecticut we have passed a abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:45.042 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.761$ provider protective Shield law that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:47.761 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.218$ helps keep to the extent other states

 $00:15:50.218 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.654$ are trying to enforce their abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00{:}15{:}52.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.739$ restrictive laws outside of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:54.739 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.973$ own borders for against physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:56.973 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.074$ or clinicians who are providing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:15:59.074 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.020$ Abortion care that's legal in their state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:16:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.470$ Some states have enacted these laws in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:16:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.468$ order to sort of keep the states judicial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:16:07.468 --> 00:16:11.476 infrastructure from being used to enforce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

00:16:11.480 --> 00:16:14.085 Civil claims or criminal actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:16:14.085 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.690$ against residents of their state

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:16:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.170$ when they might usually facilitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746124675

 $00:16:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.650$ that between two different states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.008$ So we talked a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:25.008 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.572$ I talked a lot about sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:26.572 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.988$ of the viability line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

00:16:27.990 --> 00:16:30.780 And, you know, with the decision

 $00:16:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.739$ really being about the claim that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00{:}16{:}33.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.274$ there's no substantive due process

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:36.274 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.934$ right in the US Constitution that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:38.934 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.989$ protects the right to abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00{:}16{:}40.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.350$ brings us into the reality of the moral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.830$ the bioethical problems with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.470$ that being the law of the land,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.720$ so in denying abortion care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.574$ It is denying the bodily autonomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00{:}16{:}54.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.186$ of people who become pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:56.186 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.094$ and don't want to be pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:16:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.578$ It is an unequal situation for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00{:}17{:}00.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.480$ who are able to get pregnant because

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:17:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.120$ there's nothing and among other things

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:17:06.192 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.957$ and have sort of formal equality sense.

 $00:17:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.720$ There's no similar restriction on people

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00{:}17{:}11.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.318$ who are unable to become pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:17:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.636$ And there are also health consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

00:17:16.640 --> 00:17:18.700 of course, for denying abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

00:17:18.700 --> 00:17:20.096 financial consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:17:20.096 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.492$ consequences and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

00:17:21.492 --> 00:17:22.888 Isn't also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00:17:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.680$ of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00{:}17{:}23.680 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}00{:}17{:}25.655$ important to note that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00{:}17{:}25.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.301$ is a disproportionate burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

 $00{:}17{:}27.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.246$ and racial justice issues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

00:17:29.246 --> 00:17:31.297 are very important and salient

NOTE Confidence: 0.89637342

00:17:31.297 --> 00:17:33.009 in denying abortion care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:17:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.486$ So on the last, my last second here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:17:38.490 --> 00:17:40.506 because I know I'm tied on time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00{:}17{:}40.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.638$ I I'll just say that I would

 $00:17:42.638 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.780$ be happy also to discuss that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00{:}17{:}44.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.644$ The response from more abortion friendly

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:17:48.644 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.370$ States and from the federal government,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:17:53.370 --> 00:17:55.730 that being the SHIELD bus that I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:17:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.962$ as well as recent action from

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:17:57.962 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.971$ the federal government under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:17:59.971 --> 00:18:01.767 Emergency Emergency medical treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00{:}18{:}01.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.698$ and Labor Act that requires. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:04.698 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.410$ Healthcare facilities with emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.800$ departments that participate in federal

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:18:10.800 --> 00:18:12.380 health programs such as Medicare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:18:12.380 --> 00:18:14.344 So most emergency departments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:14.344 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.000$ if not all that they must provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.624$ care in emergency situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:19.624 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.060$ So for the especially in states

 $00:18:22.128 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.206$ where there is no emergency life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00{:}18{:}24.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.044$ life exception when the life of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:27.044 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.498$ the pregnant person is at risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00{:}18{:}29.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.180$ the federal government is is doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.944$ its best at this point under the Biden

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:18:33.944 --> 00:18:35.388 administration to provide a safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:35.388 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.960$ Charge so that at a minimum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00{:}18{:}36.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.472$ when some one's life is in danger or

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:38.472 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.398$ they're in an emergency medical situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.570$ they could access abortion care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:18:43.570 --> 00:18:44.368 So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:18:44.368 --> 00:18:46.682 I will turn it back over to Mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00{:}18{:}46.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.577$ to introduce our next speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.404$ I will stop my share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

 $00:18:50.404 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.914$ And welcome all sorts of any questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.6827351075

00:18:53.914 --> 00:18:57.320 that and I'm looking forward to the Q&A.

 $00:18:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.040$ Thank you so much, Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00{:}18{:}59.040 \to 00{:}19{:}01.254$ That was a a beautiful job of setting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:01.254 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.438$ table for us for the ongoing conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.800$ Our next speaker is going to be I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.160$ Glenn Cohen, and Glenn is the James A

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.943$ Atwood and Leslie Williams professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:19:09.943 --> 00:19:12.199 of law at Harvard Law School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.344$ as well as the faculty director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:19:14.344 --> 00:19:16.398 Peachtree Flom Center for Health Law Policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:16.400 \dashrightarrow 00:19:18.275$ Biotechnology and Bioethics Professor Cohen

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00{:}19{:}18.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.442$ is one of the world's leading experts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:21.442 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.976$ the intersection of bioethics and the law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00{:}19{:}23.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.760$ as well as health law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.670$ He has had a storied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.570$ Rear He's very involved

00:19:29.570 --> 00:19:31.470 in government work with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00{:}19{:}31.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33.445$ he's advised US Vice president

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:33.445 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.630$ on reproductive rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.754$ He's reached out widely to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:19:36.754 --> 00:19:38.844 media and everything from PBS to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:38.844 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.650$ New York Times to Mother Jones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.802$ I just learned this week that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:41.802 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.090$ Mother Jones was a real person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.450$ I confess, I never knew that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:19:46.450 --> 00:19:48.110 Glenn was the youngest professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:19:48.110 --> 00:19:50.125 on the faculty at Harvard Law

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00{:}19{:}50.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.877$ School when he was first brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:51.877 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.787$ to the faculty a few years back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00{:}19{:}53.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.654$ and prior to being on the faculty there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:19:55.660 --> 00:19:59.125 he was clerking for the US Court of Appeals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:19:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.780$ and it was involved in cases

 $00:20:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.148$ that went as far as the Court of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00{:}20{:}03.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.948$ Appeals and the US Supreme Court.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:20:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.470$ Professor Cohen has a BA in Bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:20:07.470 --> 00:20:09.296 and psychology from the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:20:09.296 --> 00:20:11.655 of Toronto and a JD from Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:20:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.968$ and we are honored and grateful

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

00:20:12.968 --> 00:20:14.220 that you've joined us tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93025608

 $00:20:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.388$ Glenn, take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.310$ The honor is all mine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:17.310 --> 00:20:19.235 Thank you so much for having me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.696$ My disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:19.696 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.292$ So in the ones that are relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}20{:}21.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.526$ I currently sit on the ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}20{:}23.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.739$ advisory boards for Lumina and Bayer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.276$ I've also served in the past

00:20:27.276 --> 00:20:28.910 on the ACOG Ethics Committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:28.910 --> 00:20:30.534 although I don't sit on it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.036$ So the day after the Dobbs opinion leaked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.500$ many wondered what Wright was

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.960$ next on the chopping block.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:35.960 --> 00:20:37.313 Justice Alito's opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:37.313 --> 00:20:39.117 but not Justice Thomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:39.120 --> 00:20:42.144 explicitly said that they're not revisiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:42.144 --> 00:20:44.160 today cases protecting contraception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:44.160 --> 00:20:45.582 same-sex sexual intimacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:45.582 --> 00:20:48.426 Same sex marriage and says quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.926$ what sharply distinguishes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:49.926 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.796$ abortion right from the rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:20:51.796 --> 00:20:53.519 recognized the cases on which Roe

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:53.519 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.270$ and Casey rely is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}20{:}55.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.850$ both those decisions acknowledge.

 $00:20:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.820$ Abortion to strides with destroys

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:20:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.396$ with those decisions called

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:00.396 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.418$ potential life with the law at issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:02.418 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.315$ in this case regards the life of

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}21{:}04.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.905$ an unborn human being UN quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:21:05.910 --> 00:21:07.246 And then said quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:07.246 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.688$ none of the other decisions cited by

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:09.688 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.543$ Rowan Casey involved the critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:11.543 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.208$ moral question posed by abortion UN quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.692$ So those of us in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:21:15.692 --> 00:21:16.433 bioethics community thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:21:16.440 --> 00:21:17.234 Almost immediately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}21{:}17.234 --> 00{:}21{:}18.028 \text{ well, yes,}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:21:18.028 --> 00:21:20.410 that might distinguish same sex marriage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.330$ but clearly not embryo destruction,

00:21:22.330 --> 00:21:24.157 a key part of stem cell research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.194$ and how in vitro fertilization that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:26.194 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.269$ IVF is practiced at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:21:28.270 --> 00:21:29.970 It also raises questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.670$ what whether some forms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:21:31.737 --> 00:21:33.649 contraception would be regarded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.784$ by the court at least, as involving

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:35.784 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.346$ the destruction of an unborn life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}21{:}38.350 --> 00{:}21{:}40.070$ When it comes to IVF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.350$ embryo destruction is extremely common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}21{:}42.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44.751$ Data collected by the CDC reveals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:21:44.751 --> 00:21:47.207 virtually every IVF clinic in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:21:47.210 --> 00:21:48.986 Provides embryo cryopreservation services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:48.986 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.206$ which is necessary when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:51.206 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.141$ more than one or two embryos

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:53.141 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.571$ produced in a single cycle.

 $00:21:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.344$ While most IVF cycles do produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}21{:}56.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58.619$ more than two eggs for fertilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:21:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.615$ the current standard of care is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:22:00.615 --> 00:22:02.822 implant no more than two to avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:02.822 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.437$ the risk of multiple pregnancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:22:04.440 --> 00:22:06.330 Those remaining embryos are often

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.220$ destroyed when the parties die,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.138$ when they divorce,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:09.138 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.974$ when they're going to decide they

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:10.974 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.889$ just don't want to have any more

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:22:12.889 --> 00:22:15.105 children and want to stop paying prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:15.105 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.620$ preservation fees about half of all IVF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}22{:}17.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.706$ Cycles in the US involve pre implantation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.570$ genetic testing and which sells our

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.474$ biopsy from a developing embryo to

00:22:23.474 --> 00:22:24.999 determine the health of resulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:24.999 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.190$ child and those test results when

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.822$ they reveal genetic abnormalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:28.822 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.454$ potentially associated with negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:30.454 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.474$ health outcomes are often destroyed

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:32.474 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.920$ or discarded to use the preferred

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.436$ term in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:22:36.440 --> 00:22:38.584 So what would happen if a state tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00{:}22{:}38.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.608$ to restrict, directly or indirectly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684 00:22:40.608 --> 00:22:41.104 IVF?

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:41.104 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.096$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:22:42.100 --> 00:22:45.180 Louisiana already has a statute doing so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:45.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.200$ dating all the way back to 1986,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.340$ and it says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.720$ quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.495$ a viable in vitro fertilized

00:22:50.495 --> 00:22:52.800 human ovum is a juridical person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.375$ which shall not be intentionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:22:54.375 --> 00:22:56.312 destroyed by any natural or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:22:56.312 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.837$ juridical person or through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:22:57.837 --> 00:22:59.739 actions of any other such person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:22:59.740 --> 00:23:00.390 UN quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:23:00.390 --> 00:23:02.340 Kentucky also has a similar law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:23:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.217$ but it only applies to public institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

 $00:23:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.180$ There are vanishingly few cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.702815684

00:23:07.180 --> 00:23:08.748 that have ever discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.660$ Whether there are any constitutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.428$ rights to use IVF and in what way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}23{:}13.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.332$ Outside the US, we see models

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:15.332 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.042$ of restrictions that some states

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:17.042 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.130$ might be interested in adopting.

 $00:23:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.944$ In Italy, there's a 2004 law that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}23{:}21.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.500$ prohibited creating more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:23.565 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.603$ 3 embryos in an IVF cycle and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:23:26.603 --> 00:23:27.905 prohibited Carisoprodol preservation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.954$ the idea that all would be implanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:29.954 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.870$ and none would be cryopreserved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:23:31.870 --> 00:23:34.517 That law was ultimately struck down in 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:23:34.517 --> 00:23:36.779 but in Germany there's a prohibition

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:36.779 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.980$ on the creation of more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.645$ three embryos per IVF cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.600$ One could imagine a pro-life

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.550$ state passing a similar law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.016$ In the US, or less likely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.322$ but also possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:48.322 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.926$ requiring any unused embryos to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}23{:}50.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.426$ available for quote UN quote embryo

 $00:23:53.426 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.421$ adoption by other individuals as

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:23:55.497 --> 00:23:57.837 a constitutional matter post dogs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:23:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.728$ those laws seem to me to be constitutional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:00.730 --> 00:24:02.750 That is Justice Alito's opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.214$ for the majority of the court

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:04.214 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.135$ seems to suggest that there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:06.135 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.630$ constitutional problem with that role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.525$ Even pre Dobbs there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:09.525 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.041$ vanishingly few decisions suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:11.041 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.788$ such a constitutional right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.370$ The biggest constraint on

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.950$ this happening is politics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}24{:}15.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.225$ When we look at the polling done

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:18.225 --> 00:24:19.909 about abortion and about IVF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.870$ we find that many people who are anti

 $00:24:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.170$ abortion are nonetheless pro IVF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:25.170 --> 00:24:25.451 Nonetheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:25.451 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.418$ there is a risk that some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:27.418 --> 00:24:29.515 these laws might come into being

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:29.515 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.625$ through more inadvertence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:30.630 --> 00:24:32.318 Adoption of personhood language

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:32.318 --> 00:24:34.428 aimed at abortion might sideswipe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.734$ in some instances, IVF and other processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:37.740 --> 00:24:39.798 Because this is a bioethic colloquium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:39.800 --> 00:24:41.361 I'm going to spend the remainder of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}24{:}41.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43.078$ the time on a normative question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.234$ Should those who seek to prohibit

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:45.234 --> 00:24:47.163 abortion also seek to prohibit

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:47.163 --> 00:24:50.089 embryo destruction as part of IVF or

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:50.089 --> 00:24:51.980 other reproductive technology use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.780$ My answer is going to be a maybe.

 $00:24:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.587$ I'm a lawyer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:54.587 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.840$ so it's very common to answer without maybe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:24:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.189$ and it may depend a lot on your theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:24:59.189 --> 00:25:01.439 of embryonic or fetal personhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.184$ At the start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:02.184 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.920$ let me just say I'm focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:03.976 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.172$ reasons to prohibit IVF that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}25{:}06.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.636$ connected to embryo destruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.901$ There are other reasons I think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}25{:}09.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.240$ Leon Cassas the wisdom of repugnance

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}25{:}12.240 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}25{:}14.070$ issues about gender roles that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.900$ some conservatives might want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:25:15.964 --> 00:25:17.359 ban IVF or restrict IVF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.976$ but I'm focusing on embryo destruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.860$ As I've put it elsewhere,

 $00:25:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.380$ most individuals who want to prohibit

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}25{:}24.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.060$ abortion subscribe to something

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:26.122 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.067$ like the two following premises,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615 00:25:28.070 --> 00:25:29.990 premise 1. NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.784$ Fetuses are persons and or get

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:31.784 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.100$ some of the rights of persons from

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.855$ early on in their development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:25:35.860 --> 00:25:37.750 particularly a right of inviability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}25{:}37.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39.350$ that is the right not to be destroyed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.690$ That overwhelmingly good reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}25{:}41.690 --> 00{:}25{:}43.290 \ 2nd \ premise,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.080$ whatever interest the mother has

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:25:45.080 --> 00:25:46.870 in protecting her bodily integrity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00{:}25{:}46.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.094$ protecting her reproductive autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81183353538461500:25:49.094 --> 00:25:49.650 etcetera, NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:25:49.650 --> 00:25:51.705 that doesn't outweigh her fetus

 $00:25:51.705 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.349$ is right of inviolability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.230$ So I want to suggest those are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.488$ two main premises behind attempts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:25:57.488 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.648$ criminalize abortion and prohibit abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:25:59.650 --> 00:26:00.994 Now, what happens though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:26:00.994 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.010$ when replaces the word fetuses in

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:26:03.074 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.468$ those premise with the word early embryos?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:26:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.930$ Or if you preferred fertilized eggs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81183353538461500:26:07.930 --> 00:26:08.354 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

 $00:26:08.354 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.898$ the exact same argument would seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.811833535384615

00:26:10.898 --> 00:26:12.170 to justify prohibiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.906$ Embryo destruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:12.906 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.114$ just as it does in abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.930$ That's just that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:26:15.930 --> 00:26:17.010 If you're against abortion,

00:26:17.010 --> 00:26:19.482 you have a very strong reason to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:19.482 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.399$ against embryo destruction as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:26:21.400 --> 00:26:23.264 But I want to push further on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:23.264 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.134$ and look at those two premises and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:26:25.134 --> 00:26:26.979 discuss why I think it's a maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:26:26.980 --> 00:26:28.876 Let's start with the second promise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.654$ the premise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:29.654 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.976$ That's the idea of bodily integrity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.316$ So many people are surprised when I tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:34.316 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.784$ them that the arguments are prohibiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}26{:}36.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.600$ embryo destruction are stronger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:26:38.600 --> 00:26:40.036 or, if you prefer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:40.036 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.472$ easier than the arguments

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:41.472 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.790$ for prohibiting abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.126$ Why you I say this because the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:45.126 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.750$ premise about women's autonomy,

00:26:46.750 --> 00:26:48.169 about bodily integrity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:26:48.169 --> 00:26:49.588 about reproductive autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.535$ is less relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:50.535 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.795$ or in some accounts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.126$ irrelevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:52.126 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.082$ in the case of early embryos

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:54.082 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.268$ that have not yet been implanted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:26:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.150$ While prohibiting abortion requires favoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}26{:}58.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.542$ the right of a fetus over the right not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:01.542 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.750$ gestate of the woman seeking an abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:03.750 --> 00:27:05.670 that's a bodily autonomy right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.178$ There's no controversy countervailing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}27{:}07.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.830$ right to control one's body at issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.460$ and we're talking about prohibiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.764$ embryos that have been.

00:27:12.770 --> 00:27:13.928 Produced extra corporally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125 00:27:13.928 --> 00:27:14.700 that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.740$ that our sitting outside the body

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.228$ that is prohibiting abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:18.228 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.716$ directly restricts the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:19.716 --> 00:27:21.919 not to be a gestational parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.489$ whereas prohibiting embryo

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:23.489 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.058$ destruction does not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}27{:}25.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.085$ One more way of putting it is to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:27.085 --> 00:27:29.323 the second premise is a very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}27{:}29.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.080$ reason to support abortion rights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.608$ but it is weaker when it comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:33.608 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.408$ opposing embryo destruction because

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:35.408 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.604$ prohibitions on embryo destruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:37.604 --> 00:27:39.800 don't involve force gestation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:39.800 --> 00:27:41.352 The most famous philosophical

 $00:27:41.352 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.516$ defense of abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.180$ based on the second premise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.030$ comes from Judith Jarvis Thompson's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:46.030 --> 00:27:48.271 famous article a defense of abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}27{:}48.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.916$ and her thought experiment about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:49.916 --> 00:27:52.466 waking up as a human dialysis machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:27:52.466 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.812$ to the world's most famous violinist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:54.820 --> 00:27:57.396 Her argument is aimed at showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:27:57.396 --> 00:28:00.689 even if you believe a fetus is a person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.030$ The abortion rights should persist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.550$ That is the exact argument that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.230$ unavailable for embryo destruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:28:07.230 --> 00:28:09.960 which doesn't involve forced gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}28{:}09.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.528$ a kind of slavery in an indirect way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:28:12.530 --> 00:28:14.450 And that's not to say that a party

 $00:28:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.289$ that is aggrieved has no potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:16.289 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.774$ rights claim to make against a policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:18.774 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.650$ that prohibits embryo destruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.729$ It's just to say that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:28:22.729 --> 00:28:24.170 harder to characterize argument,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.408$ and maybe less persuasive if individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:26.408 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.852$ were required to make their excess

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:28.852 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.620$ embryos available for adoption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.933$ Agreed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:30.933 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.811$ individuals might argue for a violation

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}28{:}32.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.008$ or right not to be a genetic parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:35.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.602$ That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:35.602 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.970$ the state is forcing them to allow other

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:38.038 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.336$ people to rear their genetic children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125 00:28:40.340 --> 00:28:40.683 Here, NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:40.683 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.741$ the state could respond that the

00:28:42.741 --> 00:28:44.164 individuals could have avoided

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:44.164 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.824$ this dilemma by only fertilizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}28{:}45.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.999$ the embryos one or two at a time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.660$ The individual might say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.916$ yeah, that sounds great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:50.916 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.800$ but it would not be economically

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:52.858 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.740$ feasible for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.035$ But when we get to that point

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:55.035 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.590$ in the argument,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

00:28:55.590 --> 00:28:57.000 you might say we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.766$ arguing about now is more in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:28:58.766 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.256$ the style of positive liberty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00{:}29{:}00.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.248$ a right to become a genetic parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:29:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.050$ That is stymied by the background

NOTE Confidence: 0.87249140125

 $00:29:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.250$ rule on the fate

 $00:29:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.334$ of cryopreserved embryos rather than a

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:08.334 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.350$ straightforward negative liberty restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.338$ If a state tried to prohibit the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:12.338 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.520$ creation of more than three embryos

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.625$ per cycle and forbade cryopreservation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.038$ that's the German approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}29{:}18.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.610$ In that case too, it seems as though

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.350$ the aggrieved party is really arguing

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}29{:}22.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.315$ about a right to be a genetic parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:29:24.320 --> 00:29:26.084 Their argument might either be of

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}29{:}26.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.870$ this approach is too expensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:29:27.870 --> 00:29:30.022 or that it will stop them from succeeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:30.022 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.248$ in IVF before they run out of money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:29:32.250 --> 00:29:34.466 That will prevent them from having a child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.790$ or the number of children they want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:29:36.790 --> 00:29:39.470 have via IVF before they run out of money,

 $00:29:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.758$ or in the extreme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:29:40.758 --> 00:29:42.690 Space before they age out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:42.766 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.068$ having viable eggs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.635$ It's not that these claims

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:45.635 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.887$ are not worth anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:29:46.890 --> 00:29:48.528 I'm saying that they're worthy claims,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.720$ just that they're much less

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.910$ clean and in my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:29:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.998$ less forceful arguments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:29:52.998 --> 00:29:54.564 countervailing rights claims,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}29{:}54.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.550$ the classic one regarding forced

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}29{:}56.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.530$ gestation in the abortion context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}29{:}58.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.762$ So that's why I think on the second premise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.378$ embryo destruction is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:02.378 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.388$ What about the first premise?

00:30:04.390 --> 00:30:05.986 So once again, that first premise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:30:05.990 --> 00:30:06.480 I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.705$ but a little quickly here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.614$ is that fetuses are persons and or

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:30:09.614 --> 00:30:11.789 get some of the rights of persons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:30:11.790 --> 00:30:13.278 From early on in their development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.600$ particularly a right of inviability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.655$ One could argue for prohibiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:30:17.655 --> 00:30:19.710 abortion but not prohibiting embryo

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:30:19.773 --> 00:30:21.888 destruction if one believes there

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:21.888 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.580$ are morally relevant differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.879$ as to personhood claims for early

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:25.879 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.689$ embryos as opposed to fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.077$ So here the argument for a restriction

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:30.077 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.183$ on embryo destruction is weaker than

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:32.183 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.888$ the one for restricting abortion.

 $00:30:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.368$ See how the two premises move together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}30{:}36.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.566$ One premise is stronger on abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:38.570 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.430$ the other premise is stronger

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.546$ on embryo destruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.478$ which is quite interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:30:43.480 --> 00:30:44.360 All of this, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.012$ is going to depend when it comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:46.012 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.417$ to this premise of personhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.298$ on the criteria you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:49.298 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.237$ adopt for personhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.635$ So I'm going to say a few things now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.160$ but we can talk more about it during the

Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.218$ What are the different views about when

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:56.218 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.137$ personhood begins in less than 5 minutes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867 00:30:58.140 --> 00:30:58.533 OK,

 $00{:}30{:}58.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.712$ here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:30:59.712 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.677$ First one is a view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:31:01.680 --> 00:31:03.437 and it's associated with the Catholic Church,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.324$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:04.324 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.976$ that personhood begins and indeed is

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}31{:}06.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.858$ completed at the point of fertilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.110$ There's lots of critiques we

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:31:11.110 --> 00:31:12.360 can discuss about this view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.872$ but here all I want to say is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.969$ That's your view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:14.969 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.784$ There should be no difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:16.784 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.255$ between destruction of embryos

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:18.255 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.627$ and destruction of fetuses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:31:19.630 --> 00:31:20.434 That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:20.434 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.042$ embryo destruction and abortion

 $00:31:22.042 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.846$ are equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:31:22.850 --> 00:31:24.700 Arrival view is sometimes called

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.870$ the 14 days of development fee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.150$ as Cynthia Cohen puts it quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

00:31:29.150 --> 00:31:30.770 Several developments at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}31{:}30.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.390$ point are especially significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.438$ The first of the cells of the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:34.438 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.812$ embryo begin to function either

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}31{:}35.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.108$ as part of the embryo proper or as

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:38.108 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.584$ extra embryonic supporting materials

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:39.584 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.429$ that will be discarded later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.152$ The second is that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00{:}31{:}43.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.640$ irrevocably settled by this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.964$ An embryo proper that is distinct from

NOTE Confidence: 0.873018867

 $00:31:46.964 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.299$ the mandarins that nourish it is present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953536325

 $00:31:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.116 1/3$ is that twinning can no longer occur,

00:31:52.120 --> 00:31:54.188 UN quote, since embryos

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:31:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.498$ used for IVF are never allowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:31:56.498 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.659$ to develop anywhere near 14 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:31:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.454$ If this is the marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:00.454 \dashrightarrow 00:32:02.060$ personhood you develop and adopt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.160$ you justifiably can draw a distinction

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.260$ between abortion and embryo destruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.181$ For research, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:07.181 --> 00:32:08.716 there's been much more pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:08.716 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.578$ to go beyond the 14 day mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.530$ and this might problematize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.378$ kind of research, to be sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00{:}32{:}14.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.230$ even those who are in favor of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:16.230 --> 00:32:18.960 14 day approach still believe in early

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:18.960 --> 00:32:20.700 embryo deserves special respect,

 $00:32:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.779$ but I think most of them would say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00{:}32{:}22.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24.320$ special respect is satisfied in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.396$ case when the embryo is made a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:26.396 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.188$ faith effort to use it for reproduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.884$ But we can talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:29.884 --> 00:32:31.490 more about that during the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.255$ Next, there are what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:33.255 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.667$ called capacity X fees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00{:}32{:}34.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36.800$ These split between views that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.300$ require that an embryo attain an

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:39.300 --> 00:32:42.090 actual capacity X versus having

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.710$ the potentiality for capacity XI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:44.710 --> 00:32:46.526 Keep saying word X because

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:46.526 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.470$ there are different candidates

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.650$ for what you might adopt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:48.650 --> 00:32:49.432 Philosophically speaking,

 $00:32:49.432 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.387$ it could be their capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.427 \text{ X}$ is the ability to feel pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:53.430 --> 00:32:54.202 embodied consciousness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:54.202 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.290$ to have awareness over the self of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:32:57.290 --> 00:32:59.810 to communicate, to experience emotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:32:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.796$ to solve new and complex problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.696$ Some combination of these and a lot will

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00{:}33{:}04.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.036$ depend on what version of that X you fill in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.470$ On some views of actual attainment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.940$ does the embryo actually have it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00{:}33{:}11.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.871$ On some views of capacity X, there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:14.871 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.456$ difference between fetuses and embryos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.128$ On the flip side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:33:18.128 --> 00:33:20.213 on potentiality views the contrast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.785$ and by contrast the pictures

 $00:33:21.785 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.037$ a lot less clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00{:}33{:}23.040 --> 00{:}33{:}24.044$ As Cohen puts it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:24.044 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.900$ an early embryo is on this view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.180$ a potential human being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.100$ And will the normal course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:29.162 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.998$ events grow into an actional 1?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.709$ And that's why we give it a rights claim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.976$ That puts a lot of pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:34.976 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.510$ on what we mean by the quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:33:36.510 --> 00:33:39.108 UN quote normal course of events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:33:39.110 --> 00:33:41.330 It also puts pressure on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.325$ question of whether the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:33:43.325 --> 00:33:45.530 embryo becomes the person it

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00{:}33{:}45.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.010$ ultimately develops into or produces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667 00:33:48.010 --> 00:33:48.646 That is, NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:48.646 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.236$ the potential to become versus

 $00:33:50.236 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.710$ the potential to produce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.006$ Is it more like hydrogen and oxygen that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:33:55.006 --> 00:33:57.669 produce water but don't become water?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:33:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.650$ Or is it more like me yesterday and me today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.548$ The me yesterday became the me today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:03.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.690$ Notice this depends on both

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.546$ metaphysical premises,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.970$ but also factual questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.745$ like the polarity of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.207$ Embryo and directions in which it develops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:34:12.210 --> 00:34:13.794 There's a ton more to say about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

00:34:13.800 --> 00:34:14.862 but I want to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:14.862 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.820$ to be respectful at time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.170$ so I'm going to stop here

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.640$ just with the idea as to why,

 $00:34:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.060$ as a normative matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.835$ questions about embryo destruction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:21.835 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.255$ their relation to abortion are very complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883939099166667

 $00:34:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.028$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.790$ Thank you so much, Glenn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

00:34:28.790 --> 00:34:30.524 And and I apologize to everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:30.524 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.432$ involved in this talk that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:32.432 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.172$ brought together these four wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

00:34:34.172 --> 00:34:36.168 scholars and then limited them so

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:36.168 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.261$ significantly in the time that they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.948$ But I do appreciate the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:40.948 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.676$ efforts to stick with the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:42.676 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.070$ and the conversation thus far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.984$ Now the third speaker this evening

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:45.984 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.610$ will be Doctor Sarah Hull.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.680$ Sarah is a board certified cardiologist

 $00:34:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.367$ and echocardiographer here at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00{:}34{:}51.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.909$ and a well known educator here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

00:34:52.910 --> 00:34:54.890 Yeah, she's also an associate director

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:34:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.910$ of our program for biomedical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

00:34:56.910 --> 00:34:59.997 Ethics Sarah, guys on AB from Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

00:34:59.997 --> 00:35:02.020 University and Biochemical Sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:35:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.018$ a masters degree in bioethics from

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

00:35:04.018 --> 00:35:05.350 the University of Pennsylvania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00{:}35{:}05.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.555$ where she also received her MD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:35:07.555 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.400$ did her internship and residency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00{:}35{:}09.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.404$ and Sarah did her fellowship in

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:35:11.404 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.480$ Cardiology here at Yale and Seller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

00:35:13.480 --> 00:35:15.818 Sarah is a highly respected and beloved

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

00:35:15.818 --> 00:35:18.019 educator here at the medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920810398

 $00:35:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.478$ and we're very pleased to hear

00:35:19.478 --> 00:35:21.110 your take on this doctor hall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.400$ Thank you so much, Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.208$ and thank you as well to Katie and Glenn

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:27.208 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.859$ for your really insightful comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.282$ I'm the the last comments about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:32.282 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.615$ the potential personhood claims

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:33.615 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.503$ of the fetus are are going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:35:35.503 --> 00:35:37.689 a really nice launching point I

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}35{:}37.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.321$ think as as I shift gears to talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:40.321 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.766$ more about medical ethics and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:42.766 \dashrightarrow 00:35:46.283$ delve into a little bit of of moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:46.283 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.155$ philosophy and anthropology with

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}35{:}48.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51.388$ respect to moral claims that that on

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:51.388 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.130$ personhood that the fetus may have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:35:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.478$ I don't have any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:35:57.480 --> 00:35:59.240 Conflicts of interest to disclose.

00:35:59.240 --> 00:36:02.131 But I will start by outlining pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}36{:}02.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.004$ clearly what I I intend to argue

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:05.004 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.332$ with my portion of this panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.026$ Which is to say that political or

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:10.026 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.941$ governmental restriction of access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:11.941 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.680$ evidence based reproductive healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.708$ including abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:36:14.708 --> 00:36:17.278 is unethical for several reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}36{:}17.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.090$ Because it violates the autonomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.176$ of pregnant persons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.220$ because it exposes women to harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.764$ and because it exacerbates

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}36{:}24.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.308$ systemic inequity and injustice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.446$ So, but before I go there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}36{:}29.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.927$ I I do think it's really important

 $00:36:30.927 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.697$ for us to discuss the moral status

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}36{:}32.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.795$ of the fetus because that that is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:34.795 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.930$ many a salient considerations in this debate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:36:36.930 --> 00:36:39.666 although I will argue that it actually is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.246$ it should not be the central consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.242$ But I think we we need to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:44.242 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.904$ it because it is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:45.904 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.022$ with respect to how we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:36:48.022 --> 00:36:49.210 ethical health policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592 00:36:49.210 --> 00:36:49.841 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}36{:}49.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.258$ While it is of course the easiest

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:56.771 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.856$ at a clearly demarcated line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:36:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.440$ such as conception or fertilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.116$ or at the moment of birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.710$ I think this really oversimplifies

 $00:37:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.969$ what for many people is a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:07.969 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.890$ more complex reality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.158$ which is to say that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:37:11.158 --> 00:37:13.570 lot of Gray zone and continuum

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.835$ in between those two points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:37:15.840 --> 00:37:18.330 and and many people don't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.429$ ascribe to one of those two moments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}37{:}21.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.294$ As a moment where there's a clear line

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:24.294 \dashrightarrow 00:37:26.419$ between complete absence of personhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}37{:}26.419 \to 00{:}37{:}28.654$ and full conferral of personhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.526$ and I think if we interrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:37:30.526 --> 00:37:31.459 our moral intuition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00{:}37{:}31.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.863$ we can really help to to put put words

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:33.863 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.939$ and and a more concrete understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:35.939 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.199$ of of what I'm trying to say.

 $00:37:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.664$ So let's say that that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:39.664 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.980$ are on a sinking ship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:37:40.980 --> 00:37:44.220 it's sinking very fast and it's a long ship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.965$ and at one end you have a frozen test

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:46.965 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.895$ tube with five fertilized embryos in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.636$ and at the other end you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:51.636 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.690$ a one month old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.013$ Baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:37:53.013 --> 00:37:55.597 I think I would be hard pressed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:37:55.597 --> 00:37:57.740 believe that anybody on this call

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:37:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.387$ would he sitate to save the baby if if

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:00.387 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.752$ you only have time to save one of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:02.752 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.264$ you don't have time to save both

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:04.264 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.912$ and get on that on a lifeboat

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:05.912 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.832$ before the ship sinks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

00:38:06.840 --> 00:38:07.280 I think,

 $00:38:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.600$ I think everybody would save the

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.159$ one month old baby before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:10.159 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.235$ saved the fertilized embryos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.976$ Because we intuitively we know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:13.976 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.643$ that that baby has more of a claim

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:17.643 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.277$ to personhood than those embryos do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.520$ Although at the same time

NOTE Confidence: 0.962392592

 $00:38:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.760$ I think that if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:22.822 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.486$ were no baby. There and there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:24.486 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.440$ just a test tube full of embryos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:26.440 \dashrightarrow 00:38:29.416$ I don't think many people would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.618$ Oh well that's just, that's just debris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}38{:}31.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.690$ Just like you know this this flag on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.619$ ship and you can just let it go down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.930$ I I think that that many people

 $00:38:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.382$ would make a good faith effort to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:40.382 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.014$ to to take that test tube if it

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:43.014 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.953$ were possible to do so and not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.745$ not compromise the survival of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:47.745 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.530$ for example that that hypothetical

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:50.617 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.979$ one month old baby and so again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}38{:}52.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.890$ I I think that if we interrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:54.959 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.150$ our moral intuition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:38:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.112$ we can understand that there there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:38:58.112 --> 00:39:00.238 some sort of middle ground between

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}39{:}00.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.133$ absence of personhood and full

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:02.133 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.316$ personhood for many that that an embryo

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:04.316 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.830$ or a fetus can can lay claim to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:39:06.830 --> 00:39:09.950 And I actually find the concept

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.885783286153846 \\ & 00:39:09.950 --> 00:39:10.990 \text{ of liminality,} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.660$ as described by the anthropologist

00:39:12.660 --> 00:39:13.328 Victor Turner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.976$ is really helpful to sort of understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39{:}15.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.929$ how how we might think about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:39:18.930 --> 00:39:20.526 this sort of Gray zone or this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:20.526 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.390$ in between state, or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:39:21.390 --> 00:39:22.290 as Victor Turner would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.960$ a liminal state or transitional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}39{:}23.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.990$ State between absence of personhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:25.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.208$ and full personhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.645$ the concept of liminality is

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:28.645 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.608$ often applied to rights of passage

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:30.608 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.548$ during adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.158$ So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:33.158 \dashrightarrow 00:39:35.838$ an adolescent is really neither

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:35.838 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.248$ a child nor an adult,

 $00:39:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.650$ and while we legally decide that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.437$ at age 18 we confer a legal status

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:44.437 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.529$ of adulthood onto people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.210$ we know that it's that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:39:48.210 --> 00:39:49.300 Actually, it's not a neat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.316$ discrete line before which someone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:51.316 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.970$ not an adult and after which someone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.438$ Someone is an adult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:55.438 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.690$ But in fact that the the status of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:39:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.572$ adulthood is is really something

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}40{:}01.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03.137$ that's achieved gradually over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.874$ And there's no one discrete time

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:04.874 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.804$ point at which you can say someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:06.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.238$ is or is not an adult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:40:08.240 --> 00:40:11.282 And I think the same is true for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}40{:}11.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}13.139$ individuals when they think about

 $00:40:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.380$ how normatively they would approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.520$ the question of of fetal personhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:40:18.520 --> 00:40:19.690 I think, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.917$ we can look at our moral intuition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:40:22.917 --> 00:40:25.787 to sort of confirm this by considering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846 00:40:25.790 --> 00:40:26.332 How?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846 00:40:26.332 --> 00:40:26.874 How?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:40:26.874 --> 00:40:30.126 The loss of an intended pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}40{:}30.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.506$ would affect people at different stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.851$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:33.851 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.565$ the loss of a the miscarriage of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:40:37.565 --> 00:40:40.988 seven week embryo is certainly very sad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}40{:}40{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42{.}904$ Whereas the miscarriage of a 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:42.904 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.890$ month old fetus for whom many

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.804$ people may have chosen a name,

00:40:46.810 --> 00:40:49.510 started decorating of a babies room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.966$ that's really a tragedy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:50.966 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.150$ And then if you compare that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:53.218 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.210$ to the death of a 2 year old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:40:55.210 --> 00:40:57.000 Child who has a name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.436$ who has relationships with other people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:40:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.996$ That's a devastating life changing event,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:41:02.000 --> 00:41:03.938 and I think it's really disingenuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:41:03.938 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.301$ to pretend that all of those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:41:06.301 --> 00:41:07.641 are morally equivalent because

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}41{:}07.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.153$ all of them have the same claim to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:41:10.153 --> 00:41:12.033 personhood I I think that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00:41:12.033 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.631$ actually what what most most or

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:41:14.631 --> 00:41:17.080 certainly many people would believe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

00:41:17.080 --> 00:41:19.334 I would also note that we typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}41{:}19.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.386$ place very high symbolic value on

 $00:41:21.386 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.432$ a person's date of birth rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.885783286153846

 $00{:}41{:}23.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.726$ than a person's date of conception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:41:25.730 --> 00:41:27.060 And that's really a defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.124$ aspect of our personhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:41:28.130 --> 00:41:29.402 You know, if you look someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:29.402 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.630$ up in their Wikipedia article,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.046$ it says when they were born,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.388$ the date of birth is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:33.388 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.890$ we use on legal documents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.702$ We celebrate each other's

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:41:36.702 --> 00:41:37.608 birthdays culturally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.594$ And so it it at least again seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}41{:}40.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43.698$ reasonable that in in many ways we sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:41:43.698 --> 00:41:46.281 of tacitly understand that that full

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:46.281 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.726$ personhood is conferred upon birth.

 $00:41:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.458$ But that's not to negate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:41:50.458 --> 00:41:52.410 fact that there may be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:41:52.410 --> 00:41:53.890 partial or incomplete claims,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.315$ or or liminal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:41:55.315 --> 00:41:58.640 Names, as it were to to personhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:41:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.960$ after conception but before birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:42:00.960 --> 00:42:03.680 I I think more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.860$ as has been stated earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}42{:}05.860 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}42{:}08.140$ this is really a metaphysical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.986$ question that that is predicated on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}42{:}10.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13.436$ individual values and belief systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.545$ and science alone cannot actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:42:15.545 --> 00:42:17.229 decide what the personhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:42:17.229 --> 00:42:19.318 of an embryo or a fetus is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:42:19.320 --> 00:42:20.058 And furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:20.058 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.272$ it's unlikely that we will ever

 $00:42:22.272 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.174$ really achieve a robust consensus

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}42{:}24.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.004$ in our pluralistic society and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.450$ If we really need to draw a line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.669$ then we should leave that to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}42{:}30.669 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}42{:}31.963$ individual pregnant person who

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:31.963 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.667$ is carrying that embryo or fetus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.134$ and leave it to that person to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:36.134 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.778$ decide when when full personhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:38.778 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.638$ is conferred upon that fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:42:41.640 --> 00:42:44.610 I will also just note from a just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}42{:}44.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.623$ provide some data that 93% of abortions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:48.623 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.577$ according to the CDC this is from 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:42:51.577 --> 00:42:53.012 93% of abortions occur at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:53.012 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.800$ less than 13 weeks gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:42:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.148$ and only and under 1% occur after 20 weeks.

 $00:42:58.148 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.620$ So for for people who do

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:43:00.710 --> 00:43:02.678 ascribe or agree with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.298$ ascribe to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}43{:}03.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.770$ or agree with this concept of sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:05.837 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.762$ a gradual accumulation of personhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:07.762 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.699$ claims as the embryo and fetus develops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.396$ it's worth noting that the vast majority of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:43:13.400 --> 00:43:15.945 Of elective terminations of pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}43{:}15.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19.592$ or or abortions occur in the embryonic

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:19.592 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.162$ or very early fetal stages of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}43{:}23.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.494$ So if I'm arguing that we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:25.494 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.830$ shouldn't use the moral claims of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.221$ fet us to personhood as a basis for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:43:30.221 --> 00:43:32.669 public policy in a pluralistic society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.130$ because it's a metaphysical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.590$ and very personal question,

 $00:43:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.855$ what should we base ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:37.855 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.667$ public health policy on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.590$ And the first concept is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:43:41.590 --> 00:43:43.144 is of course the concept of autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.775$ which has been mentioned by by my

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:45.775 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.884$ colleagues or alternate or as I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:47.884 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.201$ define that and as many would define

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:43:50.201 --> 00:43:52.385 it as an individual moral agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:43:52.390 --> 00:43:52.935 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:52.935 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.660$ To self-determination or self governance

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:43:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.460$ and as autonomous moral agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:43:58.460 --> 00:44:00.290 women should maintain the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.120$ to determine how their bodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.560$ may or may not be used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.700$ Full stop.

 $00:44:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.905$ Similarly if if again we take an

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}44{:}07.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.358$ example to to help illustrate this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}44{:}10.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.544$ if if I am a bone marrow match

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:12.544 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.569$ for my sister who has a terrible

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

00:44:15.569 --> 00:44:17.009 hematologic malignancy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:17.009 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.356$ is severely limiting her life

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:19.356 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.536$ expectancy and she's not expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:21.536 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.590$ to live more than a few months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00{:}44{:}23.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.536$ Unless I donate my bone marrow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:25.536 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.850$ there are no other matches out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.406$ it's me or it's no one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.534$ I don't think anybody would argue

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.049$ that I should be compelled by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81536276

 $00:44:35.049 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.429$ law to donate my bone marrow to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:44:37.506 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.966$ her. Now, many people would argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:44:38.966 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.488$ that would be the right thing to do,

 $00:44:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.485$ that the most ethical thing to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:44:42.485 --> 00:44:44.990 would be to to donate my bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:44:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.015$ and that it would be to use to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:44:47.015 --> 00:44:48.968 some moral philosophy terminology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:44:48.970 --> 00:44:49.994 It would be supererogatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:44:49.994 --> 00:44:52.210 or it would be a good thing to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:44:52.210 --> 00:44:53.908 but it would not be obligatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:44:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.196$ Or my legal or ethical duty

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:44:56.196 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.940$ to do that no matter what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:44:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.512$ And certainly, even if you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:45:00.512 --> 00:45:02.120 that ethically it should be my duty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:45:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.926$ most people would not argue that legally

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:45:03.926 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.641$ we should compel people to donate their

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:45:05.641 --> 00:45:07.340 bone marrow or to donate a kidney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:45:07.340 --> 00:45:08.236 for example,

00:45:08.236 --> 00:45:11.372 to individuals who who may need them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:45:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.134$ even if there's no other no other

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:45:14.134 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.396$ available donor that can be identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

00:45:16.400 --> 00:45:16.968 And similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:45:16.968 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.648$ we can no more compel women to carry an

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734832

 $00:45:19.648 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.748$ unwanted pregnancy by the same reasoning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.228$ Another important ethical consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}45{:}25.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.959$ upon which I think we should base sound

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:27.959 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.753$ and ethical public health policy is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.525$ of course the concept of of beneficence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}45{:}32.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.484$ and the and the aid the similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:35.484 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.594$ concept of harm reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:45:37.600 --> 00:45:39.931 And again, it's it's worth noting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:45:39.931 --> 00:45:42.669 abortion is an essential component of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:42.669 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.897$ evidence based reproductive healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:44.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.776$ And in fact I I really take

 $00:45:46.776 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.540$ issue with the term pro-life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.781$ as it's often used as a euphemism

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:51.781 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.850$ for pro forced birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:45:53.850 --> 00:45:55.850 Because if your policy actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.850$ is forcing gestation and birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.300$ that's actually an often an

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:45:59.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.750$ anti life policy with respect

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:00.809 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.389$ to the pregnant person herself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}46{:}02.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.645$ Pregnancy carries several non negligible

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}46{:}04.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.900$ risks of morbidity and mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:46:06.970 --> 00:46:08.950 even in otherwise healthy women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.990$ and these include massive hemorrhage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:46:10.990 --> 00:46:11.804 gestational diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556 00:46:11.804 --> 00:46:12.211 eclampsia, NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:12.211 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.653$ which is a condition characterized by

00:46:14.653 --> 00:46:16.759 dangerously high blood pressure and seizures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:46:16.760 --> 00:46:18.825 stroke, sepsis and pulmonary embolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:18.825 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.869$ which is a blood clot in the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.250$ the risk of domestic violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}46{:}24.250 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}46{:}25.402$ Also escalates during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:25.402 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.520$ so it can be a safety concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.205$ even beyond a medical concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:46:29.210 --> 00:46:31.570 And according to the CDC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}46{:}31.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.168$ in 2020 the maternal mortality rate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:46:35.170 --> 00:46:39.188 24 deaths per per 100,000 live births,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.390$ compared with only .4 deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.624$ per 100,000 abortions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:42.624 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.800$ So that's a 60 fold difference in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:46:45.872 --> 00:46:48.506 mortality risk between an abortion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:46:48.506 --> 00:46:51.645 carrying a pregnancy to term and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:51.645 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.546$ delivering that that pregnancy and so.

00:46:54.546 --> 00:46:55.124 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:46:55.124 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.170$ forcing women to stay pregnant and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:46:59.290 --> 00:47:02.540 deliver a child is is most certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.339$ putting her at the risk of additional harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}47{:}06.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.082$ In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}47{:}07.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.566$ there are certain pre-existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:08.566 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.050$ conditions that increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:10.108 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.760$ risk of pregnancy substantially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:47:11.760 --> 00:47:13.316 in particular cardiac disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:13.316 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.261$ The American College of Cardiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:15.261 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.222$ states that cardiovascular disease is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:17.222 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.490$ the leading cause of maternal mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}47{:}19.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}21.820$ and there are certain conditions such

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.683$ as severe pulmonary hypertension that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:23.683 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.741$ can carry a combined maternal and

 $00:47:25.741 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.759$ fetal mortality rate approaching 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}47{:}27.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.848$ And actually it's recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:29.848 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.546$ as part of the standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:33.546 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.376$ to Council consideration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:35.376 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.168$ pregnancy termination for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.592$ With these conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:38.592 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.488$ who who become pregnant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.929$ Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:40.929 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.124$ the development of certain conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:43.124 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.929$ can pit the survival of the fetus

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}47{:}45.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.903$ against the survival of the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.277 \text{ So}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:48.277 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.011$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:49.011 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.213$ let's take a young woman who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:51.213 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.735$ recently discovers that she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:52.735 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.255$ pregnant and also is at the same

 $00:47:55.332 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.337$ time diagnosed with an aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}47{:}57.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59.830$ form of breast cancer for which

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:47:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.750$ chemotherapy is recommended without

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:48:01.750 --> 00:48:04.760 undue delay in order to maximize

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}48{:}04.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.410$ their chances of survival without.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.528$ Which she would likely have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:09.528 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.640$ much higher chance of of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:48:11.640 --> 00:48:13.196 Most chemotherapy is absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}48{:}13.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.141$ in compatible with the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:48:15.141 --> 00:48:17.479 a fetus because it's very cytotoxic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:48:17.480 --> 00:48:19.580 particularly deadly to dividing cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.338$ which is of course exactly what

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}48{:}21.338 \to 00{:}48{:}22.740$ an embryo and fet us do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.776$ And so by by restricting access

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:48:25.776 --> 00:48:27.800 to pregnancy termination you're

 $00:48:27.887 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.299$ basically telling this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.286$ that she cannot undergo the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:32.286 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.050$ evidence based treatment she needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

00:48:34.050 --> 00:48:35.935 for her life threatening condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.576$ but instead she must.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:37.576 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.576$ Serve as a vessel for for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:40.576 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.826$ fetus that she is carrying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00:48:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.038$ even though it may very well be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85593524555556

 $00{:}48{:}45.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47.406$ that child will grow up without a mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:48:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.165$ and not to mention other

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00{:}48{:}49.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.569$ children and other people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00{:}48{:}50.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54.000$ other dependents that this person might have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:48:54.000 --> 00:48:56.715 And accordingly, many medical societies

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:48:56.715 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.430$ have made statements either expressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:48:59.502 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.326$ concern about the Dobbs decision or very

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:02.326 --> 00:49:04.256 explicit support of abortion rights,

00:49:04.260 --> 00:49:05.700 underscoring the primacy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.500$ the health of pregnant persons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.160$ And these include the

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:09.160 --> 00:49:10.405 American Medical Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:10.410 --> 00:49:12.180 the American College of Physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.788$ the American College of

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:13.788 --> 00:49:14.994 Obstetricians and Gynecologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:15.000 --> 00:49:17.118 the American Academy of Family Physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00{:}49{:}17.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.140$ the American Academy of Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00{:}49{:}19.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.692$ the American Psychiatric Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:20.692 --> 00:49:22.632 the American College of Cardiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:22.640 --> 00:49:23.968 and the American Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:23.968 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.964$ of Clinical Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.010$ So while the moral status of a fetus

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.154$ may be a metaphysical question upon

00:49:29.154 --> 00:49:31.506 which we may never achieve consensus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00{:}49{:}31.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33.320$ there's a very clear consensus

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.130$ in the medical profession that

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:35.191 --> 00:49:37.236 pregnancy is a potentially high

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:37.236 --> 00:49:38.872 risk condition and restricting

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

00:49:38.872 --> 00:49:41.068 access to evidence based healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.846$ including abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:41.846 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.174$ is unethical and because it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.764211382222222

 $00:49:44.174 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.400$ harmful to women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:49:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.048$ I'm going to quote from the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}49{:}51.048 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}49{:}52.689$ Medical Association statement because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:49:52.689 --> 00:49:54.785 provides a very nice segue to the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:49:54.847 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.935$ consideration that I'd like to discuss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:49:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.327$ which is the consideration of of justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:49:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.507$ So I quote from the AMA statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.340$ From June 24th, access to legal

 $00:50:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.332$ reproductive care will be limited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:05.332 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.127$ those with the sufficient resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.049$ circumstances, and financial means to do so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:50:10.050 --> 00:50:11.860 exacerbating health inequities by placing

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:50:11.860 --> 00:50:14.270 the heaviest burden on patients from Black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:50:14.270 --> 00:50:17.480 Latinx, indigenous, low income, rural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.200$ And other historically disadvantaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}50{:}19.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20.920$ communities who already face

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.615$ numerous structural and systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:22.615 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.167$ barriers to accessing healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.010$ So again, to be clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}50{:}26.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27.928$ women we know that women of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}50{:}27.928 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}50{:}29.619$ and low socioeconomic status are

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:29.619 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.846$ disproportionately affected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:30.846 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.482$ restrictions on abortion access,

 $00:50:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.350$ and they also already face higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.023$ risks of pregnancy related morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:36.023 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.261$ and mortality due to structural racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:38.261 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.950$ and social determinants of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.508$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:50:40.508 --> 00:50:42.182 the risk of pregnancy related death

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:50:42.182 --> 00:50:44.281 is 3 to four times higher for black

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:44.281 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.144$ women than it is for white women

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}50{:}46.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.511$ in the United States and in 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}50{:}48.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}50.646$ the CDC estimated the maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}50{:}50.646 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}50{:}52.578$ mortality rate for black women

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:52.578 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.902$ at 55 deaths per 100,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}50{:}55.902 --> 00{:}50{:}57.398$ Live births.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.022$ Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:50:58.022 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.888$ this is because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}50{:}59.888 --> 00{:}51{:}00.510 \text{ again},$

 $00:51:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.785$ I think in recent years we've begun

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:02.785 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.273$ to understand that differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:51:04.273 --> 00:51:06.503 in socioeconomic status do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:51:06.503 --> 00:51:08.287 explain away racial inequities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:51:08.290 --> 00:51:10.655 and that structural racism persists

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:10.655 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.547$ regardless of socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.122$ Even when that's corrected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:14.122 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.969$ And many may remember when Serena Williams

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:51:16.969 --> 00:51:19.507 was pregnant and delivered her child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}51{:}19.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.030$ she had a pulmonary embolism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}51{:}22.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.250$ she knew something was wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.630$ She urged her healthcare providers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00{:}51{:}27.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}30.326$ To look into this further because she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:30.326 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.343$ concerned she had a pulmonary embolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:32.343 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.179$ and she was dismissed as a quote crazy.

 $00:51:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.972$ And so even for someone as as famous

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:37.972 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.998$ and well respected as Serena Williams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.638$ this is still a problem if black

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:51:42.638 --> 00:51:44.517 women are are not believed and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

00:51:44.517 --> 00:51:46.197 are taken less seriously when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.877227573846154

 $00:51:46.254 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.089$ express concerns about their health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:51:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.360$ Furthermore, restrictions on abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:51:52.360 --> 00:51:55.160 access again don't eliminate abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:51:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.935$ they just eliminate safe abortions

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:51:57.935 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.155$ for already vulnerable populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.698$ Again, according to the American College

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:52:02.698 --> 00:52:04.899 of Obstetricians and Gynecologists I quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:52:04.900 --> 00:52:06.304 approximately 21 million women

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}52{:}06.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.059$ around the world obtain unsafe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.652$ illegal abortions each year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}52{:}09.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.642$ and complications from these unsafe

 $00:52:11.642 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.254$ procedures account for approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:13.254 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.132 \ 13\%$ of all maternal deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:52:15.132 --> 00:52:17.410 nearly 50,000 annually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.354$ Now, I will note that there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:20.354 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.710$ concerns from disability advocates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:52:22.710 --> 00:52:24.406 I apologize for the scratching of my dog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00.52:24.410 \longrightarrow 00.52:25.607$ In the background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}52{:}25.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}27.602$ there are some legitimate concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:27.602 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.595$ from disability advocates that overly

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}52{:}29.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.475$ permissive abortion laws promote a

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:52:31.475 --> 00:52:34.723 form may promote a form of eugenics by

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:34.723 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.938$ selectively terminating pregnancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}52{:}35.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37.469$ with significant fetal abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:37.469 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.120$ But I should note that this criticism

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.050$ actually ignores the fact that

 $00:52:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.530$ some people with disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}52{:}43.530 \to 00{:}52{:}45.910$ when they are a dults themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.597$ may be at increased risk of pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:52:47.600 --> 00:52:49.305 Related morbidity or mortality if

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:49.305 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.670$ they were to be forced to carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00.52.51.670 \longrightarrow 00.52.52.850$ a pregnancy to turn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.750$ and limiting abortion access may

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.650$ therefore actually harm these disabled

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:52:56.706 \dashrightarrow 00:52:59.610$ people disproportionately as a dults as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333 00:52:59.610 --> 00:53:00.254 And so, NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}53{:}00.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.864$ while I understand that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:53:01.864 --> 00:53:04.616 there is a legitimate concern from

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:53:04.616 --> 00:53:06.329 these disability advocates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.890$ I would argue that the answer is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.788$ to force women to carry to term and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}53{:}09.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.312$ deliver pregnancies when they do not

 $00:53:11.312 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.824$ believe that they and their families

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:12.824 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.678$ can adequately care for the baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:53:14.678 --> 00:53:16.363 but rather to reshape policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:16.363 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.679$ such that families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:53:17.680 --> 00:53:19.888 Feel that they can better care for children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.032$ and some of this might look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:53:22.032 --> 00:53:23.889 universal maternity and paternity leave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}53{:}23.890 \to 00{:}53{:}25.470$ universally available childcare that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.070$ commensurate with the needs of children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.339$ particularly when these

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:29.339 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.608$ children have disabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.350$ Better investment in infrastructure such

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}53{:}33.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36.730$ as public schools and public safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:53:36.730 --> 00:53:37.942 And so, in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:37.942 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.760$ the moral status of the fetus

 $00:53:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.690$ cannot be resolved by science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:53:41.690 --> 00:53:43.706 and it may not be reasonable to expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:43.706 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.527$ a consensus in a pluralistic society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.636$ So we should allow individuals to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:47.636 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.874$ to decide for themselves rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:49.874 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.190$ force our personal beliefs onto others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.940$ Women are autonomous moral agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.580$ and decisions about healthcare and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.643$ their bodies should be between them

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:53:57.643 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.099$ and their healthcare providers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}53{:}59.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00.422$ Pregnancy and child birth may

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:54:00.422 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.730$ expose women to harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:54:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.650$ The can sometimes only be mitigated

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:54:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.728$ by the termination of pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:54:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.410$ restrictions to access to evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333 00:54:08.410 --> 00:54:09.180 Excuse me?

00:54:09.180 --> 00:54:11.105 Restrictions on access to evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:54:11.105 --> 00:54:12.260 based reproductive healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:54:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.524$ including abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:54:13.524 --> 00:54:16.684 exacerbate already unjust health disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333 00:54:16.690 --> 00:54:17.356 And finally, NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:54:17.356 --> 00:54:19.021 ethical health policy should have

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:54:19.021 --> 00:54:21.163 the dual aims of ensuring patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:54:21.163 --> 00:54:22.968 autonomy and access to evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:54:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.542$ These standards of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

00:54:24.542 --> 00:54:25.328 including abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:54:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.646$ and also to improving health systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00:54:27.646 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.190$ to reduce unintended pregnancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}54{:}29.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.331$ and to reduce barriers to providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333

 $00{:}54{:}31.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.719$ adequate care to children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854970093333333 $00:54:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.260$ Thank you. NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333 00:54:36.030 --> 00:54:38.730 Thank you very much, Doctor Hall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

00:54:38.730 --> 00:54:40.840 And to remind you folks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:54:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.242$ we will have some time at the end to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:54:43.242 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.286$ if you'd like to add some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:54:45.286 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.328$ through the Q&A function on on zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:54:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.306$ But we have one more speaker this evening

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:54:49.306 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.188$ who is a Professor Jennifer Hurt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

00:54:51.190 --> 00:54:53.110 Jennifer Hurd is the Gilbert L

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

00:54:53.110 --> 00:54:54.765 Stark professor of Christian ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:54:54.765 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.345$ at the Yale Divinity School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:54:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.946$ She's also a former president of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

00:54:57.946 --> 00:54:59.302 the Society of Christian Ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00{:}54{:}59.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.754$ and she serves on the editorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

00:55:00.754 --> 00:55:02.469 boards of the Journal of Religion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:55:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.296$ Journal of Religious Ethics and Studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:55:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.166$ In Christian ethics,

00:55:07.166 --> 00:55:09.654 professor Hurt received her

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00{:}55{:}09.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.428$ bachelor's degree from Oberlin

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

00:55:11.428 --> 00:55:13.638 College in Religion and Biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:55:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.956$ a master's degree from Princeton University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00{:}55{:}15.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}19.032$ and a PhD in religion from

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

00:55:19.032 --> 00:55:20.056 Princeton University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00:55:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.813$ Welcome, doctor herdon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896064628333333

 $00{:}55{:}20.813 \to 00{:}55{:}22.570$ Thank you so much for joining us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.400$ Thank you very much, Mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.685$ And it's really a pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:25.685 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.713$ to join this panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}55{:}26.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28.624$ But I'd like to add this afternoon

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}55{:}28.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.488$ is a religious ethical lens on

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:30.488 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.128$ this conversation with the view

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:32.128 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.044$ of shedding light on how Christian

 $00:55:34.044 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.844$ stances and to a lesser extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.058$ Jewish stances on abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:55:38.058 --> 00:55:40.266 have become so polarized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.226$ Why pay attention to this history?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00.55.42.230 \longrightarrow 00.55.43.582$ Well, for one thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:43.582 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.272$ because Jewish and Christian perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:45.272 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.207$ have been central to the formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:55:47.207 --> 00:55:48.747 of the Western legal tradition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}55{:}48.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.958$ and also because self identified Christians

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:55:50.958 --> 00:55:54.510 still make up 63% of the US population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.958$ Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:54.958 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.198$ the decades long activism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:55:57.198 --> 00:55:58.542 certain Christian groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:55:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.945$ notably Roman Catholics in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:00.945 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.861$ coalition with evangelicals powered

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:02.861 --> 00:56:05.246 the overturning of Roe V Wade,

 $00:56:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.440$ and often this anti abortion stance

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}56{:}07.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09.990$ is regarded as the Christian view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.192$ But in fact Christians and official

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:12.192 --> 00:56:14.449 church bodies today have widely varying

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:14.449 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.609$ views on the ethics of abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:16.610 --> 00:56:17.990 ranging from the United Church

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:17.990 --> 00:56:20.293 of Christ on the on one end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}56{:}20.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22.015$ the spectrum with a full throated

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}56{:}22.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.997$ support for abortion rights through

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:23.997 --> 00:56:25.629 total condemnation of abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:25.630 --> 00:56:27.966 And among Jews as well, views are divided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}56{:}27.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.430$ There are some Orthodox Jews today who

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}56{:}30.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33.146$ do regard abortion as tantamount to murder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:33.150 --> 00:56:35.154 But many Orthodox Jews

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:35.154 --> 00:56:36.657 support abortion rights,

 $00:56:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.622$ and overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}56{:}37.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.027$ 88% of American Jews support

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:40.027 --> 00:56:41.470 strong abortion rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.834$ And in fact have brought recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:43.834 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.089$ and groups have brought religious

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:56:46.089 --> 00:56:48.364 liberty lawsuits claiming that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:48.364 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.512$ right to abortion is necessary to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:50.512 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.819$ protect their religious free exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.610$ But overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.980$ there's a greater polarization of views,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}56{:}55{.}980 \to 00{:}56{:}57{.}960$ and particularly here among Christians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:56:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.402$ than it perhaps at any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:00.402 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.030$ time in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.488$ From early on in their history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:57:03.490 --> 00:57:05.070 Judaism and Christianity have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.650$ had a pronatalist stance,

 $00:57:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.090$ and is rooted in Genesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:09.090 \dashrightarrow 00:57:12.066$ in the command to be fruitful and multiply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:57:12.070 --> 00:57:14.470 So large families were associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:57:14.470 --> 00:57:16.390 with prosperity and blessing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.470$ and this was joined together with a strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.308$ concern for the lives of the vulnerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.006$ So the Hebrew prophets proclaiming the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}57{:}26.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.610$ the call made on persons by widows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:57:30.610 --> 00:57:31.012 orphans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:31.012 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.816$ the poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:57:31.816 --> 00:57:34.228 And we see that being extended

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:34.228 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.410$ to other vulnerable life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}57{:}36.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38.624$ for example in early Christian efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:38.624 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.890$ to save inference from infanticide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.966$ which was legal under Roman law,

00:57:42.970 --> 00:57:45.994 and Christian efforts to set up

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:45.994 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.010$ or phanages for abandoned children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.356$ So how did these views as concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:51.356 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.542$ for and vulnerable life play out

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:54.542 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.750$ in relationship to abortion?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:57:56.750 --> 00:57:58.370 Well, in the Hebrew Bible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:57:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.163$ the penalty for injuring a pregnant woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00.58:01.163 --> 00.58:04.030 and causing a stillbirth was a fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.690$ But if the woman died,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.890$ the penalty was a life for a life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}58{:}07.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09.123$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:09.123 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.767$ abortion was an offense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.038$ but it wasn't an offense that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:13.038 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.822$ seen as on the order of murder and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:15.822 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.655$ only ever seen as acquiring the full

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:18.655 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.879$ moral standing of a born human being.

 $00:58:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.664$ It was common among Jewish thinkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00{:}58{:}24.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.936$ to distinguish different stages based

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:26.936 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.358$ on how fully formed the fetus was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.860$ with moral standing increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.735$ as pregnancy progressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:58:33.740 --> 00:58:35.645 Abortion was not considered more

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

00:58:35.645 --> 00:58:38.000 ethically insignificant at any stage though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.888$ and rabbinic Judaism prohibited

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:39.888 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.720$ most abortions except to save the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80276308

 $00:58:42.799 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.977$ life and health of the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

00:58:44.980 --> 00:58:46.556 Within pre modern Christianity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:58:46.556 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.920$ fetal life was similarly it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00{:}58{:}48.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}51.084$ widely taken to have moral standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00{:}58{:}51.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53.299$ comparable to a born human being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:58:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.520$ but only after a particular stage,

 $00:58:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.710$ and typically this was associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

00:58:57.710 --> 00:58:59.462 with quickening quickening usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:58:59.462 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.906$ in the 4th or 5th month when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:01.906 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.918$ woman can first feel the movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636 00:59:03.920 --> 00:59:05.237 Of the fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:05.237 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.432$ In medieval theology this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:07.432 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.210$ associated with ensoulment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.807$ That meant that it was associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00{:}59{:}11.807 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}13.512$ the dualistic metaphysics in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

00:59:13.512 --> 00:59:15.640 the soul was implanted in the body at

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00{:}59{:}15.706 \to 00{:}59{:}17.906$ that particular moment of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

00:59:17.910 --> 00:59:18.970 And prior to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.449$ the fetus was seen as part of the mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.928$ not seen as a separate human being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.472$ So penalties for abortions that that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:27.472 \dashrightarrow 00:59:30.350$ procured after quickening were more severe,

 $00:59:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.510$ and we can see very similar things in most

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.738$ of them thinkers with different stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.110$ That had to do with perception

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.550$ of how developed the fetus was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.846$ Abortion to save the life of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

00:59:41.846 --> 00:59:44.028 mother was accepted at whatever stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.415$ and there were both pharmaceutical

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00{:}59{:}46.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}48.800$ and surgical procedures that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:48.877 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.052$ discussed in medical and theological

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:51.052 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.916$ texts as necessary and as justified

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:53.916 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.758$ under such circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.860$ But that raises a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636 00:59:56.860 --> 00:59:57.308 of course. NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $00:59:57.308 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.613$ If a P, if if a fetus post quickening has

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:00:00.613 --> 01:00:03.277 the moral standing of a born human being,

01:00:03.280 --> 01:00:06.076 how could its killing be justified?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:00:06.080 --> 01:00:09.410 Well, killing in war was justified

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:09.410 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.075$ within the tradition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:11.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.565$ so the prohibition on killing was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:13.565 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.739$ prohibition of killing of innocent life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636 01:00:15.740 --> 01:00:16.348 Of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:00:16.348 --> 01:00:18.780 a fetus would seem to be innocent life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:18.780 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.503$ but the argument is that a fetus

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:21.503 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.317$ whose existence threatens the life

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:23.317 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.621$ of the mother is akin to an insane

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01{:}00{:}25.696 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}28.180$ person charging with a lethal weapon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636 01:00:28.180 --> 01:00:28.972 That is, NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:28.972 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.348$ the fetus or the insane person

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:00:31.348 --> 01:00:33.900 attacking is subjectively innocent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:33.900 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.190$ but is objectively an aggressor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:36.190 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.052$ And the aggressor may be killed if

 $01:00:38.052 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.016$ that's the only way to protect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:40.016 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.678$ life of the one being threatened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:00:41.680 --> 01:00:43.180 And that is the traditional ground

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:43.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.640$ for the argument for abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:44.640 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.151$ to save the life of the mother and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:47.151 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.897$ found across multiple religious traditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:49.900 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.725$ So there was concern for

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:51.725 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.820$ vulnerable fetal life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:00:52.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.908$ particularly after quickening or

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01{:}00{:}54.908 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}57.518$ after some stage of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:00:57.520 --> 01:01:00.400 But concern for fetal life was never only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82824156136363601:01:00.400 --> 01:01:00.832 never. NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:00.832 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.128$ The whole picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:02.128 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.288$ just as significant in shaping

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:04.288 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.723$ attitudes to abortion, was a concern.

 $01:01:06.723 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.390$ The control over women's sexuality and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:09.464 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.098$ concern for assurance of male lineage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:12.100 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.820$ Women were thought to seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:13.820 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.048$ abortions in order to conceal non

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:01:16.048 --> 01:01:17.816 or extramarital sexual activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:01:17.820 --> 01:01:19.542 And of course that was true given

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:19.542 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.293$ to the harsh treatment of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:01:21.293 --> 01:01:22.893 who engaged in such activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:22.900 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.400$ whether or not it was by choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:26.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.995$ So condemnation of abortion by

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01{:}01{:}28.995 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}31.071$ these religious traditions was

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:01:31.071 --> 01:01:34.030 part of a patriarchal system of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:34.030 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.390$ male control over women's bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636 01:01:36.390 --> 01:01:38.530 Speciality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:01:38.530 --> 01:01:38.825 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:38.825 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.005$ there are several important

 $01:01:40.005 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.480$ shifts in attitudes to abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:41.527 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.747$ and illegal status of abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:42.747 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.339$ or the over the course of U.S.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636 01:01:44.340 --> 01:01:44.678 history. NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:01:44.678 --> 01:01:47.720 And I have to skip over all of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:47.799 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.442$ except to note that as fetal under

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:01:50.442 --> 01:01:52.346 fetal development was understood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

01:01:52.350 --> 01:01:53.518 more and more quickening

NOTE Confidence: 0.828241561363636

 $01:01:53.518 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.270$ was no longer seen as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:01:55.333 --> 01:01:57.160 particularly relevant ethical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:01:57.160 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.109$ ethical dividing line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:02:00.110 --> 01:02:04.200 So if we go to the mid 1960s and we see that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:04.200 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.072$ well, in the early 1960s abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:06.072 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.922$ was prohibited in those states except

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:07.922 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.833$ to save the life of the mother.

 $01:02:09.840 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.279$ But in the mid 1960s this starts to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:12.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.863$ In tandem with the women's movement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:14.863 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.040$ changing attitudes towards towards sexuality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:02:17.040 --> 01:02:19.125 Women were of course seeking

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:02:19.125 --> 01:02:20.793 social and legal equality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:02:20.800 --> 01:02:22.588 seeking autonomy, making decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:22.588 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.790$ And what decisions were more intimate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:25.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.040$ weighty than those concerning pregnancy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:28.040 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.945$ Who was better situated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:29.945 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.469$ determine whether a pregnancy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:31.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.494$ Posed a threat to a woman's life and health

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:34.494 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.140$ that the pregnant persons themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:37.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.606$ and this allowed for the consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:39.606 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.999$ of all of the circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:41.999 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.299$ involved as casuistry in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:02:45.299 --> 01:02:47.279 religious traditions required.

 $01:02:47.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.698$ Well, what really sparked the Roman

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:49.698 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.901$ Catholic movement against these liberalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:51.901 \dashrightarrow 01:02:54.017$ abortion laws was contraception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:02:54.020 --> 01:02:56.132 The birth control pill was introduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:02:56.132 --> 01:02:58.252 in 1960, it was extremely popular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:02:58.252 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.370$ and it generated fears among Catholic

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:00.430 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.450$ authorities that it would encourage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:02.450 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.890$ Sexual activity outside of wedlock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:03:04.890 --> 01:03:05.490 Mainline Protestants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:05.490 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.690$ in the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:03:06.690 --> 01:03:09.510 embraced it as enabling family planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:09.510 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.545$ In this social context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01{:}03{:}11.545 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}12.766$ liberalizing attitudes towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:03:12.766 --> 01:03:14.489 sexual activity and expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:14.490 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.215$ Catholic activists had much greater

 $01:03:17.215 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.940$ success mobilizing the faithful against

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:20.014 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.630$ abortion than against contraception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:22.630 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.550$ Sensitized concern for vulnerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:24.550 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.470$ and dependent fetal life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:26.470 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.088$ deeply embedded in the tradition proved to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:29.088 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.283$ be a strong force for mobilization mainline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:03:32.283 --> 01:03:32.756 Partisans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:32.756 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.702$ in contrast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:33.702 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.540$ deeply committed to women's equality and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:03:36.611 --> 01:03:38.979 autonomy and embracing contraception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:38.980 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.140$ focus their attention on these goods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:03:41.140 --> 01:03:43.294 And we're increasingly inclined to downplay

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:03:43.294 --> 01:03:45.359 the moral significance of fetal life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:45.360 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.048$ regardless of its point of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:03:48.050 --> 01:03:49.790 Catholic leaders focus very heavily

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:49.790 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.269$ on the rural claim of fetal life,

 $01:03:52.270 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.846$ and we're increasingly inclined to down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:55.850 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.602$ Play the anguish and justice is

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:57.602 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.452$ produced by a system in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:03:59.452 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.997$ abortions were safe and available

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:00.997 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.170$ only for the wealthy and educated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:03.170 --> 01:04:04.032 Evangelical Protestants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231 01:04:04.032 --> 01:04:04.463 meanwhile, NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:04.463 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.187$ were initially immobilized by

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:06.187 --> 01:04:07.830 a completely different issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:07.830 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.301$ the issue of the freedom of Christian

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:10.301 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.150$ schools from governmental interference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:12.150 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.474$ which we are now recognizing to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01{:}04{:}14.474 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}16.708$ been linked with a racist desire

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:16.708 --> 01:04:18.663 to preserve whites only education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:18.670 --> 01:04:20.776 But evangelicals, too,

01:04:20.776 --> 01:04:24.988 became eager to defend fetal life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:24.990 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.433$ and this was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:26.433 --> 01:04:28.838 Tightly yoked to their anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:28.838 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.800$ over sexuality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:29.800 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.864$ So on both sides I think we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:31.864 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.039$ see that there was a failure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:34.039 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.085$ address in a concerted way the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:36.085 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.055$ primary reasons that women seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:38.055 --> 01:04:41.900 and have throughout human history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:41.900 --> 01:04:44.128 Lack of better affordable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01{:}04{:}44.128 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}46.356$ readily available means of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:46.356 --> 01:04:48.874 avoiding pregnancy and lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:48.874 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.079$ resources and social support for

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01{:}04{:}51.079 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}53.590$ giving birth and raising a child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:53.590 --> 01:04:55.198 Talk of choice hides the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:04:55.198 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.670$ that for many poor women,

01:04:56.670 --> 01:04:58.260 choices are extraordinarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:58.260 --> 01:04:59.850 burdened and constrained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:04:59.850 --> 01:05:02.034 Given the lack of support for bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:05:02.034 \longrightarrow 01:05:04.510$ a pregnancy to term and raising a child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:05:04.510 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.610$ This is true around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:05:06.610 --> 01:05:08.370 According to the Guttmacher Institute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:05:08.370 --> 01:05:10.375 abortion rates are actually highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:05:10.375 --> 01:05:12.380 in countries where access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:05:12.447 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.890$ abortion is restricted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:05:13.890 --> 01:05:15.970 In countries that restrict abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

01:05:15.970 --> 01:05:17.486 the percentage of unintended

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:05:17.486 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.760$ pregnancies and ending an abortion has

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01{:}05{:}19.826 \to 01{:}05{:}21.806$ increased during the past 30 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903594350769231

 $01:05:21.810 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.450$ from 36% in 1990 to 94 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:25.450 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.550 50\%$ in 2015 to 2019.

01:05:28.550 --> 01:05:30.902 Here in the US, the states in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01{:}05{:}30.902 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}33.550$ abortion is now illegal are the states in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:33.550 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.070$ which care for maternal health is weakest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:36.070 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.110$ and this should be a scandal for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:39.110 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.859$ promoting a consistent ethic of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

01:05:41.860 --> 01:05:43.560 As Catholic ethicist Christina

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

01:05:43.560 --> 01:05:45.260 Traina has recently written,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:45.260 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.635$ neither making abortion legal nor

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01{:}05{:}47.635 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}50.010$ making it illegal addresses the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:50.083 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.163$ conditions that create the desire

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:52.163 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.760$ for abortion in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:54.760 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.130$ Bans will worsen those conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:05:58.130 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.517$ What of the rights that never materialized

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

01:06:00.517 --> 01:06:02.210 for robust maternal healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:06:02.210 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.450$ indeed for universal and comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:06:04.450 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.690$ healthcare and adequate social support,

01:06:06.690 --> 01:06:09.150 including decent wages, childcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:06:09.150 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.610$ housing and job training?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

01:06:11.610 --> 01:06:13.490 Talk of choice is cheap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:06:13.490 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.500$ and the kind of support that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01{:}06{:}15.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}16.840$ would truly support substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

01:06:16.898 --> 01:06:18.348 freedom of choice for all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:06:18.350 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.635$ regardless of income level and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:06:20.635 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.463$ racialization may be costly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01{:}06{:}22.470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}26.126$ but it enables a society worth living in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

01:06:26.130 --> 01:06:27.430 And this is, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:06:27.430 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.602$ what those loyal to biblical traditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01{:}06{:}29.602 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31.816$ of concern for the most vulnerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01{:}06{:}31.816 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}34.245$ ought to be focusing on right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94862737

 $01:06:34.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.800$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:06:39.450 \longrightarrow 01:06:41.130$ Thank you so much, Professor Hurton.

 $01:06:41.130 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.490$ Thanks to all our speakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:06:42.490 --> 01:06:44.938 So I'm going to open it up now

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:06:44.938 --> 01:06:46.769 to questions through the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:06:46.770 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.842$ Please type them in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:06:47.842 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.124$ I'm going to take the prerogative of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:06:50.124 \longrightarrow 01:06:51.664$ the moderators prerogative asking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:06:51.664 --> 01:06:53.690 first question and I'll open this up to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:06:53.690 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.110$ to any of our speakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:06:55.110 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.270$ So these were wonderful presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:06:57.270 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.430$ and and I'm truly grateful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:06:59.430 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.544$ One of the things about the presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:01.544 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.286$ that interested me was the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:03.286 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.852$ of whether one should seek to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:04.852 \longrightarrow 01:07:06.546$ draw the line and how that line

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:06.546 \longrightarrow 01:07:08.328$ should be drawn in the whole first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}07{:}08.328 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}09.758$ It starts right with Katie's.

01:07:09.760 --> 01:07:11.584 The very first thing about Justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:07:11.584 --> 01:07:13.406 Roberts question about do we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:13.406 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.338$ something other than viability to go for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:15.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.900$ So an interesting detail which

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:16.900 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.573$ which I think a lot of folks on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:07:19.573 --> 01:07:21.460 call may know but many may not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:21.460 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.616$ is that the age of viability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333 01:07:24.620 --> 01:07:25.583 if you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:07:25.583 --> 01:07:28.758 has been often referred to it 20 as 24 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:28.760 \longrightarrow 01:07:31.140$ even now as as recently as a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:31.140 \longrightarrow 01:07:31.900$ two or three years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}07{:}31.900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}34.164$ I taught a class and a colleague that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}07{:}34.164 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}36.240$ told the students that viability is

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:07:36.240 --> 01:07:38.458 24 weeks to which I had to attend say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:38.460 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.426$ well, the legislature.

01:07:39.426 --> 01:07:41.680 Just to decide when abortion is legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}07{:}41.737 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}43.507$ or when abortion becomes illegal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:43.510 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.416$ but they don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:44.416 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.624$ The legislation doesn't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:45.624 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.890$ decide when viability occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:46.890 \longrightarrow 01:07:48.731$ And the reality is that that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:48.731 \longrightarrow 01:07:50.382$ there are places that are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:50.382 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.990$ aggressive at trying to save a

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:51.990 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.707$ child at 22 weeks or a fetus at

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:53.707 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.702$ 22 weeks or newborn at 22 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}07{:}55.702 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}57.790$ I should stay and and somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:07:57.858 \longrightarrow 01:08:00.294$ between 1/3 to 1/2 of those newborns

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:00.294 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.608$ that weren't at 22 completed weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:02.610 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.050$ survive and and certain centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:06.050 \longrightarrow 01:08:07.315$ And there are certainly many

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:07.315 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.832$ survivors at 22 weeks here and

 $01:08:08.832 \longrightarrow 01:08:10.014$ and at least half or more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:10.020 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.983$ And half of those born at 23

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}08{:}11.983 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13.755$ weeks if active resuscitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:13.755 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.527$ is attempted can survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:08:15.530 --> 01:08:17.294 So viability and Katie you pointed

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:08:17.294 --> 01:08:19.479 this out early on is that that of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:19.479 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.417$ course you know Roe V Wade was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:21.417 \longrightarrow 01:08:23.225$ long time ago and and so that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:23.230 \longrightarrow 01:08:24.625$ the technology has changed but

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:24.625 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.802$ where to draw the line and how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:26.802 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.254$ draw the line is an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:28.254 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.529$ one and if we if we look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}08{:}30.529 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}31.850$ gradualist approach to this in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:31.850 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.479$ of a moral status and if moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:33.479 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.184$ status increases over the course

 $01:08:35.184 \longrightarrow 01:08:36.802$ of gestation nevertheless and Glenn

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:08:36.802 --> 01:08:38.602 I think it was you for give me if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:38.610 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.528$ if it was someone else who raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:40.530 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.214$ Judith Jarvis Thompson's argument

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:42.214 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.740$ about the violinist on her scenario

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:44.808 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.029$ about the violinist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:46.030 \longrightarrow 01:08:47.906$ And the point being that even the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}08{:}47.906 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}49.642$ violinist who we would all agree

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:49.642 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.806$ has full moral status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:08:50.810 --> 01:08:51.200 Nevertheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}08{:}51.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}53.540$ it seems wrong to require that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:53.540 \longrightarrow 01:08:55.465$ person who finds himself tethered

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:08:55.465 --> 01:08:57.880 to the violinist to remain in bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:08:57.880 \longrightarrow 01:09:00.422$ for however long it needs to keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:09:00.422 \longrightarrow 01:09:01.487$ the violinist alive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

01:09:01.490 --> 01:09:03.020 And it's an interesting thought experiment,

 $01:09:03.020 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.090$ and one that's obviously caught the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01{:}09{:}05.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}06.920$ imagination of people who study

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:09:06.920 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.170$ these things for decades now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824173318333333

 $01:09:09.170 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.026$ So I guess my question to the group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

 $01:09:11.030 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.041$ What I'm leading up to is at what point

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

01:09:15.041 --> 01:09:19.220 do you feel abortion should be permitted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

 $01:09:19.220 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.188$ And this is one of the questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

 $01:09:22.188 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.390$ actually came came through to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

 $01:09:24.390 \longrightarrow 01:09:27.810$ From a colleague from my cell phone is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

 $01:09:27.810 \longrightarrow 01:09:29.430$ Is it? Should abortion be

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

01:09:29.430 --> 01:09:31.681 permitted at 38 weeks? At 22 weeks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

 $01:09:31.681 \longrightarrow 01:09:34.748$ Is there is can any of you see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

 $01:09:34.748 \longrightarrow 01:09:37.422$ line where it should in fact be

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

01:09:37.422 --> 01:09:39.840 permitted legally or or ethically?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842170535

 $01:09:39.840 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.095$ Or should it be permitted

01:09:41.095 --> 01:09:41.597 throughout gestation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884503704

01:09:44.700 --> 01:09:46.890 I'll open up to anybody who wants to jump in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884503704

 $01:09:46.890 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.724$ Does someone want to say where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884503704

 $01:09:48.724 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.633$ line we used to say the lines at

NOTE Confidence: 0.884503704

 $01:09:50.633 \longrightarrow 01:09:52.626$ 24 weeks and and I think that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.884503704

 $01:09:52.626 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.372$ still the case in Connecticut and

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:09:55.210 \longrightarrow 01:09:55.879$ maybe I'll start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:09:55.879 --> 01:09:57.440 So I'll start by saying that you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01{:}09{:}57.488 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}58.976$ find very few bioethics and lawyers

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:09:58.976 \longrightarrow 01:10:00.230$ that like the viability line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:00.230 \longrightarrow 01:10:01.958$ They they liked it since that all their

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:01.958 --> 01:10:03.604 lines might have been worse in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:03.604 \longrightarrow 01:10:05.187$ what was likely to be replaced by it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01{:}10{:}05.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}06.930$ And I think Justice Alito's opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:06.930 --> 01:10:08.166 you know, has some choice words

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:08.166 --> 01:10:09.865 for it and there's lots of parts of

 $01:10:09.865 \longrightarrow 01:10:11.101$ his opinion that I disagree with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:11.110 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.030$ But this is one place where I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:13.030 --> 01:10:14.669 he's being somewhat fair myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:14.670 --> 01:10:15.266 Like viability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:15.266 --> 01:10:17.650 I think one of the questions is whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:17.708 \longrightarrow 01:10:20.038$ the line ought to be one about time at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476 01:10:20.040 --> 01:10:20.282 Right. NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:20.282 \longrightarrow 01:10:21.492$ There are multiple lines you

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:21.492 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.052$ could think about when we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:23.052 \longrightarrow 01:10:24.507$ comparatively across the world, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:24.507 --> 01:10:27.076 There are places where instead of shoot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:27.080 --> 01:10:29.054 you know, it's a combination of timing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:29.060 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.726$ but it's also about reasons, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01{:}10{:}31.726 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}33.756$ That and review and procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:33.760 \longrightarrow 01:10:36.640$ This idea that if we had a very

 $01:10:36.640 \longrightarrow 01:10:38.988$ expansive conception of the health of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:38.988 \longrightarrow 01:10:41.316$ the mother that included mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:41.320 --> 01:10:43.084 one might be willing to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:43.084 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.809$ consider a line that's different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:44.810 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.851$ The absolutist position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:45.851 --> 01:10:47.933 which I do associate with Judith

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:47.933 --> 01:10:49.616 Jarvis Thompson, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:49.616 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.581$ essentially the idea that while

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:10:51.581 --> 01:10:53.519 you have a right to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:53.520 \longrightarrow 01:10:54.920$ an absolute right to unplug

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01{:}10{:}54.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}56.320$ at any point of development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:56.320 \longrightarrow 01:10:58.030$ because the development is irrelevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:10:58.030 \longrightarrow 01:11:00.120$ in terms of the personhood of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:11:00.120 \longrightarrow 01:11:01.725$ the individual or conceding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:11:01.725 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.009$ person or the individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:11:03.010 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.470$ and we're talking about unplugging.

01:11:05.470 --> 01:11:07.710 I tend to think of that particular view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:11:07.710 --> 01:11:09.490 while kind of very principled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:11:09.490 --> 01:11:12.090 is not one that you find of very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:11:12.090 --> 01:11:14.330 very many people pushing forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:11:14.330 --> 01:11:15.760 whether that's a political judgment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:11:15.760 --> 01:11:16.704 Ethical judgment, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

01:11:16.704 --> 01:11:17.648 is an open question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772901813190476

 $01:11:17.650 \longrightarrow 01:11:18.780$ but I'm curious other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765589

 $01{:}11{:}20.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}24.022$ Thank you. Let me share some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765589

 $01:11:24.022 \longrightarrow 01:11:25.107$ questions that have come through here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765589

01:11:25.107 --> 01:11:26.960 and some of these are, oh, please,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:11:27.190 --> 01:11:29.306 I just want to piggyback on that, if I may.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:11:29.306 --> 01:11:31.285 You know, I think I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:11:31.285 \longrightarrow 01:11:33.905$ a an issue that's raised a lot to

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:11:33.905 \longrightarrow 01:11:36.141$ sort of make abortion perhaps much

 $01:11:36.141 \longrightarrow 01:11:38.637$ murkier than than it usually is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:11:38.640 --> 01:11:40.803 And that's why I actually cited the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:11:40.803 \longrightarrow 01:11:43.703$ statistics the the fact that under 1% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:11:43.703 \longrightarrow 01:11:47.930$ abortions happen beyond 20 weeks gestation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:11:47.930 --> 01:11:49.842 And so, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:11:49.842 --> 01:11:51.836 we talking about viability, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:11:51.836 \longrightarrow 01:11:54.420$ the the vast majority are going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:11:54.420 \longrightarrow 01:11:57.370$ Almost certainly pre viable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:11:57.370 --> 01:11:59.280 it's it's my understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:11:59.280 --> 01:12:00.462 Although you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:00.462 \longrightarrow 01:12:03.984$ I would love input from our our

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:03.984 \longrightarrow 01:12:06.696$ gynecology and obstetrician colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:06.700 \longrightarrow 01:12:09.418$ My understanding is that most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:09.418 \longrightarrow 01:12:12.067$ the abortions that happen after that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:12:12.067 --> 01:12:14.160 point are not just oopsie Daisy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:14.160 \longrightarrow 01:12:15.320$ I changed my mind.

 $01:12:15.320 \longrightarrow 01:12:17.160$ I don't want to have a baby anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:17.160 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.180$ These these are these happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:12:19.180 --> 01:12:21.030 because of really devastating fetal

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:21.030 \longrightarrow 01:12:22.945$ abnormalities that are discovered that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:22.945 \longrightarrow 01:12:24.810$ are either incompatible with life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:24.810 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.612$ Or incompatible with any life other

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:27.612 \longrightarrow 01:12:31.162$ than a short life of of certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01{:}12{:}31.162 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}33.807$ suffering and not not extended

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:12:33.807 --> 01:12:36.894 viability after birth or again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:36.894 \longrightarrow 01:12:38.264$ like a significant threat to

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:38.264 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.780$ the health of the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:12:39.780 --> 01:12:40.578 But of note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01{:}12{:}40.578 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}42.846$ if if a pregnancy is desired and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:42.846 \longrightarrow 01:12:44.874$ health of the mother is compromised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:44.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:46.525$ And again, I I want to be clear.

 $01:12:46.525 \longrightarrow 01:12:48.475$ I'm not an obstetrician or gynecologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:48.480 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.022$ so I I would love clarification

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:12:50.022 --> 01:12:50.793 from my colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:50.800 \longrightarrow 01:12:52.488$ but my understanding is that if if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:52.488 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.319$ fetus is clearly beyond the point of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:12:54.319 --> 01:12:55.992 viability and the pregnancy is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:12:55.992 \longrightarrow 01:12:57.840$ threatening to the health of the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:12:57.840 --> 01:12:59.898 Then the then the choice doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01{:}12{:}59.898 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}01.957$ become either abortion or carry the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:01.957 --> 01:13:03.835 pregnancy the term that the choices

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:03.835 \longrightarrow 01:13:05.875$ well do do we undergo expectant

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:05.875 --> 01:13:07.897 management or do we deliver early

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:07.900 \longrightarrow 01:13:10.749$ and manage because you know the fetus

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:10.749 \longrightarrow 01:13:13.780$ is is viable and even though we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:13.780 \longrightarrow 01:13:16.324$ we would love to keep in in utero

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:16.324 \longrightarrow 01:13:17.779$ for longer to ensure development

01:13:17.779 --> 01:13:19.865 we're going to give steroids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:19.865 \longrightarrow 01:13:21.253$ surfactant for lung development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:21.260 --> 01:13:23.304 And again Mark you probably can speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:23.304 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.898$ more intelligently about this than I

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:24.898 --> 01:13:26.445 can as a neonatologist but you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:26.501 \longrightarrow 01:13:28.382$ we're we're going to do the best we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:28.390 \longrightarrow 01:13:30.525$ And so it's so just this idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:30.525 --> 01:13:32.590 there again we we love to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:32.590 \longrightarrow 01:13:34.788$ about this from abortion opponents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:34.790 --> 01:13:36.590 all these stories of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:36.590 \longrightarrow 01:13:37.618$ again, partial birth abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:37.618 \longrightarrow 01:13:39.160$ which is not a medical term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01{:}13{:}39.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}41.064$ that's not clear what that even means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:41.070 --> 01:13:43.998 But the fact is that the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:43.998 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.495$ of abortions happen well before that

 $01:13:46.495 \longrightarrow 01:13:49.033$ that that blur of viability because

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:49.111 --> 01:13:51.672 it isn't a line, as you pointed out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:51.672 --> 01:13:54.247 And the ones that do happen late

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:54.247 \longrightarrow 01:13:56.503$ usually are because that that fetus

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:13:56.503 \longrightarrow 01:13:58.760$ is is not going to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:13:58.760 --> 01:14:01.035 A very good chance at at anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:14:01.035 \longrightarrow 01:14:03.122$ resembling what most people would would

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:14:03.122 --> 01:14:05.565 call a a life without abject suffering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571 01:14:05.570 --> 01:14:06.164 Or again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:14:06.164 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.649$ the pregnancy is terminated early

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01{:}14{:}07.649 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>01{:}14{:}09.619$ because of severe threat to the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01{:}14{:}09.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}11.447$ But usually in those cases they try

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:14:11.447 \longrightarrow 01:14:13.680$ to save the fetus if if possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571 01:14:13.680 --> 01:14:14.551 So I, I, I, NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:14:14.551 --> 01:14:16.410 I welcome input from neonatology

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

01:14:16.410 --> 01:14:17.640 and guinot colleagues,

 $01:14:17.640 \longrightarrow 01:14:18.888$ but I just think that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.788554751428571

 $01:14:18.888 \longrightarrow 01:14:19.512$ really important distinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789070296

01:14:19.520 --> 01:14:20.740 It's not that people are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789070296

01:14:20.740 --> 01:14:21.802 you know, at 8 months pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.789070296

 $01:14:21.802 \longrightarrow 01:14:23.026$ all the time just deciding, oops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789070296

 $01:14:23.026 \longrightarrow 01:14:24.048$ I yeah. I don't want to kid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789070296

01:14:24.050 --> 01:14:24.614 I'm just going to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789070296

 $01:14:24.614 \longrightarrow 01:14:25.780$ I'm just going to have an abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789070296

01:14:25.780 --> 01:14:26.985 That's I don't think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.789070296

 $01:14:26.985 \longrightarrow 01:14:27.708$ really reflects reality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

01:14:29.640 --> 01:14:30.352 Thank you. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

 $01{:}14{:}30.352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}32.196$ Let me see if I can get you a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

 $01{:}14{:}32.196 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}33.600$ of questions in here if I could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

 $01:14:33.600 \longrightarrow 01:14:35.980$ And some of them are specifically legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

 $01{:}14{:}35.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}38.550$ questions and I because I wanted us to

 $01:14:38.550 \longrightarrow 01:14:40.839$ focus more on the ethical issues here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

 $01:14:40.840 \longrightarrow 01:14:42.358$ But there is one legal question

NOTE Confidence: 0.922889886666666

01:14:42.358 --> 01:14:44.316 that I will ask here because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

 $01:14:44.316 \longrightarrow 01:14:45.796$ think it's worth asking here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

01:14:45.800 --> 01:14:50.258 What's directed for to attorney Kraschel?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

01:14:50.260 --> 01:14:52.540 Does Connecticut's law protecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

01:14:52.540 --> 01:14:55.082 physicians also explicitly protect APRN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92288988666666

01:14:55.082 --> 01:14:55.868 PA's and midwives?

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:14:57.790 \longrightarrow 01:15:00.106$ Yes, it protects the licensed medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:00.110 \longrightarrow 01:15:02.274$ professionals providing healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:02.274 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.850$ that's legal in Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

01:15:04.850 --> 01:15:06.830 I will just say, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:06.830 \longrightarrow 01:15:10.930$ that it is the shield laws that are in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:10.930 \longrightarrow 01:15:12.925$ There's a lot to play out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:12.925 \longrightarrow 01:15:14.739$ the legal landscape to see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:14.739 \longrightarrow 01:15:16.224$ strong those Shields will be.

 $01:15:16.230 \longrightarrow 01:15:17.410$ I would not advise that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:17.410 \longrightarrow 01:15:19.946$ I think that the shield laws are bulletproof.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:19.950 \longrightarrow 01:15:22.162$ They are states doing the best to

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

01:15:22.162 --> 01:15:24.193 protect the providers and the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01{:}15{:}24.193 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}26.227$ seeking abortion care in those states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:26.230 \longrightarrow 01:15:27.614$ I think they're important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

01:15:27.614 --> 01:15:30.548 Laws that have been passed and put in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

01:15:30.550 --> 01:15:32.762 And I'm proud of the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:32.762 \longrightarrow 01:15:34.728$ Connecticut was the first to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:34.730 \longrightarrow 01:15:38.010$ But I would just caution that there is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01{:}15{:}38.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}40.378$ There are a lot of unknown legal questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:40.378 \longrightarrow 01:15:43.129$ that are probably going to arise when states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:43.130 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.232$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:44.232 \longrightarrow 01:15:47.329$ start to refuse to honor us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

01:15:47.329 --> 01:15:48.007 You know,

 $01:15:48.007 \longrightarrow 01:15:50.380$ a request from another state to issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

01:15:50.452 --> 01:15:52.738 a subpoena through their usual legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.716361875

 $01:15:52.738 \longrightarrow 01:15:54.178$ infrastructure in a given state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

01:15:55.360 --> 01:15:56.764 Thank you. Thanks, Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:15:56.764 \longrightarrow 01:15:58.280$ Here's the question, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

01:15:58.280 --> 01:16:00.120 Thinking about forced gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

01:16:00.120 --> 01:16:01.080 embryo destruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

01:16:01.080 --> 01:16:03.480 abortion from a rights perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:03.480 \longrightarrow 01:16:05.600$ while some views more strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:05.600 \longrightarrow 01:16:08.300$ favored some and more weakly others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:08.300 \longrightarrow 01:16:10.380$ can't we argue that all of these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:10.380 \longrightarrow 01:16:12.378$ rooted in the right to decide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

01:16:12.378 --> 01:16:14.420 number and spacing of your offspring?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:14.420 \longrightarrow 01:16:16.370$ Admittedly, this neglects the argument

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:16.370 \longrightarrow 01:16:19.149$ of bioethical issue of life of the fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:19.150 \longrightarrow 01:16:20.922$ But we can currently.

 $01{:}16{:}20.922 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}23.137$ We can currently take Thompson's

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:23.137 \longrightarrow 01:16:25.004$ argument that the life of

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:25.004 \longrightarrow 01:16:26.684$ the fetus does not matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

01:16:26.690 --> 01:16:27.149 Additionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:27.149 \longrightarrow 01:16:29.444$ this right is still separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

 $01:16:29.444 \longrightarrow 01:16:31.280$ from the legal right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798306171428571

01:16:31.280 --> 01:16:31.770 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:16:33.670 --> 01:16:35.278 Maybe I'll say a word or two about

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:16:35.278 --> 01:16:36.716 about that right, which this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:16:36.716 \longrightarrow 01:16:38.066$ little bit where I said about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:16:38.066 --> 01:16:39.778 second premise of the argument, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:16:39.778 --> 01:16:42.975 that the idea of abortion is gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01{:}16{:}42.975 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}45.320$ the right not to ge state is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:16:45.393 \longrightarrow 01:16:47.325$ very strong right we all have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:16:47.330 --> 01:16:48.758 And Professor Hall,

01:16:48.758 --> 01:16:51.346 Dr Hall mentioned this with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:16:51.346 \longrightarrow 01:16:53.391$ example of force bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:16:53.391 \longrightarrow 01:16:55.228$ transplant or blood transfusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:16:55.230 \longrightarrow 01:16:57.566$ We tend to think we have very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:16:57.566 \longrightarrow 01:17:00.085$ rights over our body and in some ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:00.085 --> 01:17:02.042 the rights being asserted by people

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:02.042 \longrightarrow 01:17:04.317$ who are who want to restrict abortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:04.320 \longrightarrow 01:17:06.084$ Is kind of on the same terrain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:06.090 --> 01:17:07.158 And one can say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:07.158 \longrightarrow 01:17:09.431$ even if I believe that fetuses have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:09.431 \longrightarrow 01:17:11.552$ very strong rights claim to be protected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:11.560 \longrightarrow 01:17:13.108$ here's this other rights claim that

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:13.108 --> 01:17:14.600 also sounds in bodily autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:14.600 \longrightarrow 01:17:16.070$ and thus the conflict is kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:16.070 \longrightarrow 01:17:17.719$ of you have to choose one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:17.720 \longrightarrow 01:17:18.230$ By contrast,

 $01:17:18.230 \longrightarrow 01:17:19.760$ when you talk about something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:19.760 --> 01:17:21.756 a right to control the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:21.756 \longrightarrow 01:17:23.191$ offspring and spacing ones offspring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76679525818181801:17:23.200 --> 01:17:23.884 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:23.884 \longrightarrow 01:17:25.936$ these are also real rights claim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:25.940 \longrightarrow 01:17:27.800$ It just seems to me they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:27.800 \longrightarrow 01:17:28.420$ defeasibility conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:28.420 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.820$ so when they get trumped and when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:30.820 \longrightarrow 01:17:32.705$ get overwhelmed comes much sooner than

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:32.705 \longrightarrow 01:17:35.210$ when we're talking about a right to control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818 01:17:35.210 --> 01:17:35.694 One's body, NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:35.694 --> 01:17:37.388 and I think this is most apparent

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:37.388 --> 01:17:38.898 from people who've analogized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:38.900 --> 01:17:41.498 writes about abortion to 13th Amendment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:41.500 --> 01:17:43.318 anti slavery, rights of to say,

 $01:17:43.320 \longrightarrow 01:17:46.209$ to describe what it is to be a gestating

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:46.209 --> 01:17:49.199 person against one's will as akin to slavery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:49.200 --> 01:17:51.315 It's just a much stronger, in my view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:51.315 \longrightarrow 01:17:53.460$ rights claim to say that I have a right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:53.460 --> 01:17:54.940 If I have any rights in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:54.940 \longrightarrow 01:17:56.350$ it is the right not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:17:56.350 \longrightarrow 01:17:57.680$ have that happen to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:57.680 --> 01:17:59.760 Whereas a right to space out your children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:17:59.760 --> 01:18:01.496 There's all sorts of ways in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:18:01.496 \longrightarrow 01:18:03.533$ the law does restrict that or at

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01{:}18{:}03.533 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}05.083$ least doesn't support that right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:18:05.090 \longrightarrow 01:18:06.638$ Whether it's the weak coverage we

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:18:06.638 --> 01:18:08.430 have for in vitro fertilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01{:}18{:}08.430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}10.710$ whether it's social support for parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:18:10.710 --> 01:18:11.820 those are all positive liberty

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:18:11.820 \longrightarrow 01:18:12.708$ versions of the right.

01:18:12.710 --> 01:18:13.702 But just to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:18:13.702 --> 01:18:15.190 even if that's a real right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:18:15.190 \longrightarrow 01:18:16.660$ it's not clear that's a right

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:18:16.660 --> 01:18:18.130 that's good enough to overcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

01:18:18.130 --> 01:18:19.954 a right to protect the fetus for somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.766795258181818

 $01:18:19.954 \longrightarrow 01:18:21.389$ who believes the fetus is a person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8884478325

01:18:22.230 --> 01:18:23.118 Thank you. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:23.130 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.858$ And if I, if I might also just add

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:24.858 \longrightarrow 01:18:26.892$ on top of that too is that to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:26.892 \longrightarrow 01:18:28.475$ extent there is a constitutionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:28.475 \longrightarrow 01:18:30.270$ protected right to parenting decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

01:18:30.270 --> 01:18:32.250 found in the US Constitution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:32.250 \longrightarrow 01:18:33.786$ it's also, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:33.786 \longrightarrow 01:18:36.222$ I think most scholars would agree and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:36.230 \longrightarrow 01:18:37.838$ Their Supreme Court is that it's

 $01:18:37.838 \longrightarrow 01:18:39.258$ in the substantive due process

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:39.258 \longrightarrow 01:18:40.430$ of the 14th amendment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:40.430 \longrightarrow 01:18:43.638$ So that's in that sort of bundle of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

01:18:43.638 --> 01:18:46.412 rights that I would say, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

01:18:46.412 --> 01:18:48.998 it becomes sort of disfavored rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:48.998 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.998$ perhaps as in the wake of the decision in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:52.998 \longrightarrow 01:18:56.187$ which the court took apart one of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

01:18:56.190 --> 01:18:58.550 And as Professor Cohen mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:18:58.550 \longrightarrow 01:19:00.455$ Justice Thomas would suggest we

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:19:00.455 \longrightarrow 01:19:02.822$ should apply that same reasoning to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01{:}19{:}02.822 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}04.807$ other substantive due process rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:19:04.810 \longrightarrow 01:19:06.640$ So even if we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:19:06.640 \longrightarrow 01:19:08.940$ That that right existed under

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:19:08.940 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.320$ the US Constitution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667 01:19:10.320 --> 01:19:10.775 Today, NOTE Confidence: 0.849078313666667

 $01:19:10.775 \longrightarrow 01:19:14.415$ I would query whether or not it would

 $01:19:14.415 \longrightarrow 01:19:17.735$ withstand a similar analysis by this court.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:18.920 --> 01:19:20.439 Thank you. Here's a note from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:20.439 \longrightarrow 01:19:22.273$ of our our maternal fetal medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:22.273 \longrightarrow 01:19:24.153$ colleagues which is worth mentioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:24.160 \longrightarrow 01:19:27.310$ Please just want to clarify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:27.310 --> 01:19:29.488 chemotherapy point we give chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:29.488 --> 01:19:31.368 and pregnancy and many cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01{:}19{:}31.368 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}33.079$ chemotherapies are not considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:33.079 \longrightarrow 01:19:35.334$ incompatible with supporting the pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:35.340 --> 01:19:36.782 We need to make sure we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:36.782 \longrightarrow 01:19:37.400$ that point correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:37.400 --> 01:19:39.392 So Sarah, I I think the point you

NOTE Confidence: 0.765570287555556

 $01{:}19{:}39.392 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}41.061$ were making stands but but this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:41.061 \longrightarrow 01:19:43.061$ nevertheless is we we don't we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:43.061 \longrightarrow 01:19:45.000$ want to make sure that people for

01:19:45.000 --> 01:19:46.984 example might be pregnant and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:46.984 \longrightarrow 01:19:48.764$ have cancer understand that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:48.764 \longrightarrow 01:19:50.260$ chemotherapy is not incompatible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:50.260 --> 01:19:51.910 Necessarily with pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:19:51.910 \longrightarrow 01:19:54.660$ with supporting a pregnancy and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:54.660 --> 01:19:57.279 For that clarification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:57.280 --> 01:19:59.566 This question is directed to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:19:59.570 --> 01:20:01.174 Professor Cohen's parent's parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:20:01.174 --> 01:20:02.778 have a legal responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01:20:02.778 \longrightarrow 01:20:04.730$ to care for their children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

 $01{:}20{:}04.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}06.282$ Does that responsibly extend

NOTE Confidence: 0.76557028755556

01:20:06.282 --> 01:20:09.309 to a fetus and why or why not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:10.240 \longrightarrow 01:20:11.722$ Yeah, so let me say two

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:11.722 --> 01:20:13.180 things that one is to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:13.180 \longrightarrow 01:20:14.884$ and this is now an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:14.884 \longrightarrow 01:20:16.660$ discourse within people who who do this,

01:20:16.660 --> 01:20:18.084 who are philosophically interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:18.084 \longrightarrow 01:20:20.619$ One of the big critiques of Judith

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:20.619 --> 01:20:22.653 Jarvis Thompson is that it posits

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:22.653 \longrightarrow 01:20:24.765$ the relationship of a stranger to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:24.765 \longrightarrow 01:20:26.835$ another stranger as opposed to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:26.835 --> 01:20:28.632 relationship of a person to a life

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:28.632 \longrightarrow 01:20:30.310$ that they have fostered and created.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:30.310 \longrightarrow 01:20:32.308$ So there's definitely a strand of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:32.308 \longrightarrow 01:20:34.322$ critique of the Thompson style argument

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:34.322 \longrightarrow 01:20:36.492$ that says it's not just a random

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:36.492 --> 01:20:38.197 person who's your human dialysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:38.197 --> 01:20:40.195 machine or a long lost relative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:40.200 \longrightarrow 01:20:41.930$ Who needs a blood transfusion?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:41.930 \longrightarrow 01:20:44.360$ It is a life that you brought into being

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:44.360 --> 01:20:46.846 and put in this potential to be harmed,

 $01:20:46.850 \longrightarrow 01:20:48.610$ and maybe that increases it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:48.610 --> 01:20:49.660 As a second thing I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:49.660 \longrightarrow 01:20:50.808$ say is that the legal matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:50.810 \longrightarrow 01:20:52.100$ one of things very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:52.100 \longrightarrow 01:20:53.753$ is that states that have adopted

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:53.753 --> 01:20:55.323 personhood language are now facing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:20:55.323 \longrightarrow 01:20:57.100$ all sorts of interesting questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:20:57.100 --> 01:20:58.504 So Georgia, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01{:}20{:}58.504 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}01.150$ is giving a tax credit for dependencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:01.150 \longrightarrow 01:21:03.928$ for state law purposes to fetuses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:03.930 --> 01:21:04.689 And in Texas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:04.689 \longrightarrow 01:21:05.954$ I believe there was somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:05.954 --> 01:21:07.258 that sounds silly to say it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:07.260 \longrightarrow 01:21:08.586$ but there was somebody who basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:08.586 --> 01:21:10.307 claimed that they had a right to be in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:10.310 \longrightarrow 01:21:12.890$ High occupancy vehicle lane because

01:21:12.890 --> 01:21:14.626 they were pregnant, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:14.626 \longrightarrow 01:21:16.114$ So there were two people in the car.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:16.120 --> 01:21:18.262 So once you start recognizing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:18.262 --> 01:21:20.915 personhood of embryos or fetuses for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:20.915 --> 01:21:23.460 purpose of trying to get out of abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:23.460 --> 01:21:24.122 restrict abortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:24.122 --> 01:21:26.770 suddenly you have a long list of laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:26.770 \longrightarrow 01:21:28.876$ You have to ask how does it apply here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:28.880 --> 01:21:30.428 And the one that I think is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:30.428 --> 01:21:31.907 kind of potent and this is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:31.907 \longrightarrow 01:21:33.572$ that show good one among others have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:33.572 \longrightarrow 01:21:34.872$ written about is child endangerment

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01{:}21{:}34.872 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}36.732$ laws and how ingesting drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:36.732 \longrightarrow 01:21:38.202$ for example, relates to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:38.202 \longrightarrow 01:21:39.366$ So that's an area that we've

 $01:21:39.366 \longrightarrow 01:21:40.317$ had a lot of conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:40.320 --> 01:21:40.603 Already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

01:21:40.603 --> 01:21:42.301 and I imagine we'll see more

NOTE Confidence: 0.81590660625

 $01:21:42.301 \longrightarrow 01:21:43.150$ conflict going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01:21:44.330 \longrightarrow 01:21:45.420$ Thank you. Thank you, Glenn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:21:45.420 --> 01:21:46.332 It's worth pointing out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:21:46.332 --> 01:21:48.146 which I think is is known to most

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:21:48.146 --> 01:21:50.026 or all people, just to remind us

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:21:50.026 --> 01:21:52.610 that that in terms of the analogy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01:21:52.610 \longrightarrow 01:21:54.800$ no doubts the special relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01:21:54.800 \longrightarrow 01:21:56.990$ carry with them special obligations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:21:56.990 --> 01:21:58.450 But but it's also known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01:21:58.450 \longrightarrow 01:22:00.232$ I think that everybody on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01:22:00.232 \longrightarrow 01:22:02.128$ call that not every pregnancy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01:22:02.128 \longrightarrow 01:22:04.048$ the result of a voluntary act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01:22:04.050 \longrightarrow 01:22:05.610$ And that's probably perhaps relevant

 $01:22:05.610 \longrightarrow 01:22:07.170$ to the conversation as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01{:}22{:}07.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}08.802$ Another one of my maternal fetal

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:22:08.802 --> 01:22:10.290 medicine colleagues wants you to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:22:10.290 --> 01:22:12.510 Sarah, that you are correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:22:12.510 --> 01:22:14.890 Most late abortions are due to fetal

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

 $01:22:14.890 \longrightarrow 01:22:17.420$ abnormality. From maternal risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736227244

01:22:17.420 --> 01:22:18.020 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819238053888889

 $01:22:21.350 \longrightarrow 01:22:23.030$ And another OB colleague wants us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819238053888889

 $01{:}22{:}23.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}24.704$ know that the majority of abortions

NOTE Confidence: 0.819238053888889

 $01:22:24.704 \longrightarrow 01:22:26.204$ are done for social reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819238053888889

 $01:22:26.210 \longrightarrow 01:22:28.690$ not due to severe fetal anomalies or threat

NOTE Confidence: 0.819238053888889

 $01:22:28.690 \longrightarrow 01:22:31.790$ to the mother, even those after 20 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819238053888889

 $01{:}22{:}31.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}35.276$ So there appears to be a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.819238053888889

 $01{:}22{:}35.276 \to 01{:}22{:}38.918$ of opinion perhaps on that. Here is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:22:41.530 \longrightarrow 01:22:43.714$ Can you discuss the ethical dilemmas

 $01:22:43.714 \longrightarrow 01:22:45.170$ of physicians in restrictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:22:45.226 \longrightarrow 01:22:46.906$ states where they cannot practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:22:46.906 \longrightarrow 01:22:49.090$ medicine the way they were trained?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:22:49.090 \longrightarrow 01:22:51.214$ Now being unable to refer or

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:22:51.214 \longrightarrow 01:22:52.630$ perform abortions in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

01:22:52.696 --> 01:22:54.366 who need one for physical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

01:22:54.370 --> 01:22:56.350 mental or psychosocial reasons?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

01:22:56.350 --> 01:22:58.825 Does anybody want to address?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01{:}22{:}58.830 --> 01{:}23{:}00.696$ Obviously this is a I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:23:00.700 \longrightarrow 01:23:01.690$ this is a huge question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:23:01.690 \longrightarrow 01:23:04.021$ but the ethical dilemmas faced by physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:23:04.021 \longrightarrow 01:23:06.130$ where there are legal restrictions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:23:06.130 \longrightarrow 01:23:07.972$ That prevent them from practicing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.877927230952381

 $01:23:07.972 \longrightarrow 01:23:10.438$ a way that they feel is appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:11.310 \longrightarrow 01:23:12.810$ So I I can take that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:12.810 \dashrightarrow 01:23:17.312$ Umm so you know, I I I think it's it's a

 $01:23:17.312 \longrightarrow 01:23:19.456$ it's a huge ethical dilemma because as

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:19.456 \longrightarrow 01:23:21.730$ as I've hopefully persuaded most people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01{:}23{:}21.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}24.610$ restricting access to evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:23:24.610 --> 01:23:26.872 based healthcare causes patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:23:26.872 --> 01:23:28.927 harm and violates patient autonomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:23:28.930 --> 01:23:32.791 And so telling physicians that or

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:32.791 \longrightarrow 01:23:34.235$ other healthcare providers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:34.235 \longrightarrow 01:23:36.040$ they cannot provide evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:23:36.093 --> 01:23:37.793 healthcare that honors their patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:37.793 \longrightarrow 01:23:39.779$ wishes with respect to what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:39.779 \longrightarrow 01:23:41.635$ will or will not do with their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:23:41.640 --> 01:23:44.264 Bodies is is really a violation of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01{:}23{:}44.264 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}47.468$ some of our core duties as physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:47.468 \longrightarrow 01:23:49.444$ and other healthcare providers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:23:49.450 --> 01:23:53.173 And there have been some calls for civil

 $01:23:53.173 \longrightarrow 01:23:55.788$ disobedience on an organized scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333 01:23:55.790 --> 01:23:56.233 Actually. NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:56.233 \longrightarrow 01:23:58.891$ That is to say that medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:23:58.891 \longrightarrow 01:24:01.145$ societies should work to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:01.145 \longrightarrow 01:24:03.671$ legal cover for physicians in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:03.671 \longrightarrow 01:24:06.726$ states to provide services that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:06.726 \longrightarrow 01:24:08.862$ medically and ethically warranted

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:08.862 \longrightarrow 01:24:12.334$ even though they are illegal and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:12.334 --> 01:24:16.012 And to provide a robust enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:16.012 \longrightarrow 01:24:19.316$ protection with the idea being that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:19.316 \longrightarrow 01:24:21.511$ there's organized civil disobedience

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:21.511 --> 01:24:24.189 amongst an entire profession that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:24.189 \longrightarrow 01:24:26.492$ it's really going to be impossible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:26.492 --> 01:24:28.378 operationalize laws because you can't put,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734887833333301:24:28.380 --> 01:24:29.050 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:29.050 --> 01:24:31.395 you can't put half of your state

01:24:31.395 --> 01:24:33.478 physicians in jail for half of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:33.478 \longrightarrow 01:24:34.970$ states OBGYN's in jail, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:34.970 \longrightarrow 01:24:36.025$ It's it's not as applicable

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:36.025 \longrightarrow 01:24:37.074$ to all physicians, obviously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:37.074 --> 01:24:39.558 You know, I as a cardiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:39.560 --> 01:24:40.918 I do not know how to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:40.918 \longrightarrow 01:24:41.790$ that kind of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:41.790 --> 01:24:42.981 Although there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:42.981 \longrightarrow 01:24:44.569$ there are many cardiologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:44.570 \longrightarrow 01:24:46.175$ particularly those who who specialize

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:46.175 \longrightarrow 01:24:48.718$ in the in the care of in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:48.718 --> 01:24:50.183 cardiac care of pregnant women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:50.190 \longrightarrow 01:24:51.774$ who who do often find themselves in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:51.774 \longrightarrow 01:24:53.397$ role of needing to counsel patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:24:53.400 --> 01:24:55.698 And so maybe it's even, you know,

 $01:24:55.698 \longrightarrow 01:24:57.702$ if it's illegal to even counsel

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:57.702 \longrightarrow 01:24:59.750$ patients about pregnancy termination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:24:59.750 \longrightarrow 01:25:01.388$ Maybe that's an act of civil

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:01.388 --> 01:25:03.069 disobedience that they have to undergo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:03.070 \longrightarrow 01:25:04.090$ that they have to undertake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333 01:25:04.090 --> 01:25:04.634 Excuse me, NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:04.634 --> 01:25:06.266 but I think it's also important

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:06.266 --> 01:25:07.510 to understand that, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:07.510 --> 01:25:08.910 these duties don't exist in a vacuum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:08.910 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.040$ and physicians are often the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:11.040 \longrightarrow 01:25:12.460$ breadwinners for their families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:12.460 --> 01:25:14.620 And, you know, it's it's easy to just say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333 01:25:14.620 --> 01:25:14.984 yeah, well, NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01{:}25{:}14.984 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}16.440$ you just have to do the right thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:16.440 --> 01:25:17.800 And and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:17.800 \longrightarrow 01:25:20.405$ if that means that you're fined or or

 $01:25:20.405 \longrightarrow 01:25:22.180$ you go to jail, well, then so be it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:22.180 \longrightarrow 01:25:23.580$ That's that's what you have to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:23.580 \longrightarrow 01:25:24.303$ Well, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:24.303 --> 01:25:25.508 if you're the primary provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:25.508 \longrightarrow 01:25:26.698$ for your family as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:26.700 --> 01:25:28.737 you also have very important obligations to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:28.740 --> 01:25:30.436 to your children and to your family and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:30.436 \longrightarrow 01:25:32.057$ to other people dependent upon you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:32.060 \longrightarrow 01:25:32.297$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:32.297 --> 01:25:34.193 So I don't think that gives us carte

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:34.193 \longrightarrow 01:25:35.685$ blanche necessarily to tell physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:35.685 --> 01:25:37.939 that they they have to disobey the law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01{:}25{:}37.940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}39.676$ But I do think there is a strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:39.676 \longrightarrow 01:25:40.997$ argument to be made for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:41.000 \longrightarrow 01:25:43.043$ I think it does have to be done systemically.

 $01:25:43.050 \longrightarrow 01:25:45.792$ An organized way rather than than

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:45.792 --> 01:25:47.620 rogue individuals necessarily making

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:47.685 \longrightarrow 01:25:49.761$ making decisions because I think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:49.761 \longrightarrow 01:25:52.681$ will be much more powerful that way there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333 01:25:52.681 --> 01:25:53.403 There's also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:53.403 \longrightarrow 01:25:55.569$ there have also been interesting calls

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01:25:55.569 \longrightarrow 01:25:58.166$ for we talk about conscientious objection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

01:25:58.170 --> 01:26:00.589 but sort of the OR basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.897348878333333

 $01{:}26{:}00.589 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}02.990$ saying I'm not going to provide this

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01:26:03.059 \longrightarrow 01:26:04.759$ service because it goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01:26:04.759 \longrightarrow 01:26:06.034$ against my conscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01:26:06.040 \longrightarrow 01:26:07.272$ The idea of conscientious

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

01:26:07.272 --> 01:26:09.340 provision or to say actually it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01{:}26{:}09.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}11.268$ it goes against my conscience not to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

01:26:11.268 --> 01:26:13.090 this care and even though it's illegal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01{:}26{:}13.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}15.288$ I want to be protected under a

01:26:15.288 --> 01:26:16.230 conscientious provision clause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

01:26:16.230 --> 01:26:17.362 much like they're conscientious

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

01:26:17.362 --> 01:26:17.928 objection clauses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01:26:17.930 \longrightarrow 01:26:19.496$ so that those are, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01:26:19.500 \longrightarrow 01:26:22.139$ some of the salient ethical dilemmas for

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01:26:22.139 \longrightarrow 01:26:24.630$ physicians and other healthcare providers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

01:26:24.630 --> 01:26:27.248 And I I'm interested to see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01:26:27.248 \longrightarrow 01:26:29.727$ that evolves as as we see these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881634177058824

 $01:26:29.730 \longrightarrow 01:26:33.550$ these laws become implemented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:26:35.170 --> 01:26:38.450 Professor hurt, one for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:26:38.450 --> 01:26:42.790 How would you respond to someone who said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:26:42.790 --> 01:26:46.210 for religious reasons, I believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:26:46.210 \longrightarrow 01:26:49.955$ that a fetus is absolutely the moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:26:49.955 --> 01:26:52.610 equivalent to whether it's in solomont,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:26:52.610 --> 01:26:56.070 whether it's a you know?

 $01:26:56.070 \longrightarrow 01:26:58.235$ Whether whether it's from scripture

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:26:58.235 \longrightarrow 01:27:01.629$ based or otherwise or or tradition based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:27:01.630 \longrightarrow 01:27:05.090$ I believe that this fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:27:05.090 \longrightarrow 01:27:08.520$ Is the same thing as a 2 month old baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:27:08.520 --> 01:27:13.356 And therefore, not only would I not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:27:13.356 \longrightarrow 01:27:15.232$ an abortion myself because it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:27:15.232 \longrightarrow 01:27:17.498$ the same as killing my 2 month old child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:27:17.500 --> 01:27:20.220 but I also think that it's my duty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:27:20.220 \longrightarrow 01:27:22.938$ my religious duty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:27:22.940 \longrightarrow 01:27:26.596$ To fight those who would make that happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:27:26.600 --> 01:27:28.020 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

 $01:27:28.020 \longrightarrow 01:27:30.015$ If if you were confronted by that

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:27:30.015 --> 01:27:30.870 question by someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72824833

01:27:30.870 --> 01:27:32.180 how would might you respond?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6075354728

01:27:35.200 --> 01:27:37.110 You're muted. You're muted, gentlemen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:27:37.120 \longrightarrow 01:27:38.430$ Thank you. It's not a

 $01:27:38.430 \longrightarrow 01:27:39.440$ theoretical question. Obviously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:27:39.440 \longrightarrow 01:27:42.800$ That's a view that's pretty widely held.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:27:42.800 --> 01:27:47.614 So I think in part I want to under

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:27:47.614 --> 01:27:50.484 score that that the traditions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:27:50.484 \longrightarrow 01:27:53.340$ they themselves are are embracing has

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:27:53.420 \longrightarrow 01:27:56.138$ always left room for regarding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:27:56.138 --> 01:27:58.720 fetus as an subjectively innocent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:27:58.720 \longrightarrow 01:28:02.040$ but objectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:02.040 \longrightarrow 01:28:04.686$ Guilty aggressor whose life can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01{:}28{:}04.686 \to 01{:}28{:}07.839$ ended just like any other aggressor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:07.840 \longrightarrow 01:28:10.078$ When when life is under threat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:10.080 \longrightarrow 01:28:11.712$ and in that sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01{:}28{:}11.712 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}13.344$ even consistent with regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:13.344 \longrightarrow 01:28:15.827$ the fetus as a full person there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:15.830 \longrightarrow 01:28:18.890$ there is room for there ought to be room

 $01:28:18.890 \longrightarrow 01:28:22.409$ for legal abortion in certain circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:22.410 \longrightarrow 01:28:24.094$ Now that, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:28:24.094 --> 01:28:26.620 would provide a very limited justification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:26.620 \longrightarrow 01:28:28.954$ but I'm also inviting people of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:28.954 \longrightarrow 01:28:31.509$ faith to delve deeper into their

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:31.509 \longrightarrow 01:28:33.814$ traditions and to recognize that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:33.820 \longrightarrow 01:28:36.035$ There's been shifts in views

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:36.035 \longrightarrow 01:28:39.297$ over time in terms of when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01{:}28{:}39.297 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}42.153$ understand what what sort of moral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:42.160 \longrightarrow 01:28:44.320$ moral stratus we give to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:44.320 \longrightarrow 01:28:45.616$ developing human life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:45.620 \longrightarrow 01:28:47.516$ And it's not that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:28:47.516 --> 01:28:49.180 a traditional view on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01{:}28{:}49.180 \to 01{:}28{:}52.036$ which is the current absolutist position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:28:52.040 --> 01:28:53.820 but it's much more complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:53.820 \longrightarrow 01:28:54.837$ And in fact,

01:28:54.837 --> 01:28:57.210 it may be much closer to something

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:28:57.283 \longrightarrow 01:28:59.600$ like some of the other views that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:28:59.600 --> 01:29:01.868 were put out today about, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:29:01.868 \longrightarrow 01:29:04.164$ something like a the the 14 day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:29:04.170 --> 01:29:04.926 View, perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:29:04.926 \longrightarrow 01:29:07.572$ of where we say that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:29:07.572 \longrightarrow 01:29:09.707$ points at which we could say

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:29:09.707 \longrightarrow 01:29:12.003$ it's not clear that what we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:29:12.003 --> 01:29:13.735 here is a human individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:29:13.735 \longrightarrow 01:29:16.500$ and that we might want to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:29:16.587 --> 01:29:18.390 that either the notion that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:29:18.390 \longrightarrow 01:29:20.505$ want is either a person or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

01:29:20.505 --> 01:29:22.493 a person is too crude a moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.87564826

 $01:29:22.493 \longrightarrow 01:29:24.267$ distinction for us to be working by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:25.640 \longrightarrow 01:29:27.865$ Thank you very much professor

01:29:27.865 --> 01:29:30.809 Hurt and Doctor Hall and Attorney

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

01:29:30.809 --> 01:29:33.317 Kraschel and Professor Cohen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

01:29:33.320 --> 01:29:34.643 I thank the four of you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:34.643 \longrightarrow 01:29:35.839$ much for your time tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:35.840 \longrightarrow 01:29:37.664$ This was I've gotten so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

01:29:37.664 --> 01:29:39.369 compliments in my through my my

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

01:29:39.369 --> 01:29:41.081 texts on my phone and on the Q&A

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:41.139 \longrightarrow 01:29:42.999$ that that this was a wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:42.999 \longrightarrow 01:29:44.634$ presentation by all four of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

01:29:44.634 --> 01:29:45.619 I thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:45.620 \longrightarrow 01:29:47.672$ I thank you all for joining us and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:47.672 \longrightarrow 01:29:49.712$ have another session coming up in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:49.712 \longrightarrow 01:29:52.270$ couple weeks with a crew from Saint

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

01:29:52.270 --> 01:29:54.240 Justine and Montreal talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:54.309 \longrightarrow 01:29:56.584$ how we follow pre term babies so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:29:56.590 \longrightarrow 01:29:57.662$ Something different to him.

 $01:29:57.662 \longrightarrow 01:30:00.088$ We'll be reaching out to you about that soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01{:}30{:}00.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}01.458$ Again, thank you to our speakers

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:30:01.458 \dashrightarrow 01:30:03.108$ and thank you all for joining us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755349009333333

 $01:30:03.110 \dashrightarrow 01:30:05.998$ And I wish you all a good night.