WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:09:48.1000000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:00:03.900 --> 00:00:07.548 OK, I think we're going to get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:00:07.550 --> 00:00:09.265 Good evening, my name is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:00:09.265 --> 00:00:10.637 Mark Mercury on director,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}10.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.255$  the program for Biomedical Ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}12.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.008$  and I want to we lcome you tonight to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:00:15.008 \rightarrow 00:00:16.803$  our Evening Ethics seminar series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:00:16.810 --> 00:00:19.281 In looking forward to this talk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}19{.}281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22{.}359$  quite some time and I want to get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:00:22.359 \rightarrow 00:00:24.700$  introducing our speaker in just a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.185$  Wanna welcome have myself on the associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}27.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.159$  director Jack Hughes and Serra Hall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}29.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.896$  as well as our program manager Karen Cole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}31{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34{.}676$  Thanks so much for joining us to let

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:00:34.676 - 00:00:37.836 you know how this works is we'll have a.

 $00:00:37.840 \rightarrow 00:00:39.429$  Lecture someday the way it works is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}39{.}429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41{.}089$  that we're on a big room together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:00:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.798$  eating good food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:00:41.798 \rightarrow 00:00:43.718$  but for a little while longer we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:00:43.718 --> 00:00:45.422 it like this and we'll have a lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}45{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47{.}099$  that will last around an hour and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:00:47.099 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.652$  then we'll have about 30 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}48.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.759$  or so for a question and answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}50{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52{.}517$  And and right now you're all muted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:00:52.520 --> 00:00:54.728 but when the time comes for Q&A will

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:00:54.728 --> 00:00:56.466 I'll explain to you how we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}56.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.622$  to do that so you get a chance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}00{:}58.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.576$  ask your questions as we go sabi

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:01:00.576 \dashrightarrow 00:01:04.048$  calling on you to ask the questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:01:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.114$  We will stop at.

 $00:01:05.114 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.386$  We have a hard stop at at 5:30

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}07{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}896$  as those you excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:08.900 --> 00:01:09.452 At 6:30,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11{.}660$  those of you who've done this with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:11.724 --> 00:01:14.028 before and no so I apologize in advance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}14.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.102$  Whoever has the best possible question at NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:16.102 --> 00:01:18.588 629 'cause you probably won't get to ask it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:01:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.391$  but come back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:19.391 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:01:21.591 come back in a couple weeks and ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:01:21.591 \rightarrow 00:01:23.460$  a question or the next session you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:01:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.905$  can see in front of you there the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:25.905 - 00:01:27.425 calendar for upcoming talks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:01:27.425 \dashrightarrow 00:01:29.420$  we're very excited about all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}29{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31{.}976$  But then I want to talk about my friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:31.980 --> 00:01:33.465 They only laventhol doctor Naomi

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}33.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.950$  Laventhol is a clinical associate

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674
- $00:01:34.995 \rightarrow 00:01:36.693$  professor of Pediatrics in the division

 $00:01:36.693 \rightarrow 00:01:38.280$  of the United Perinatal Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:01:38.280 \dashrightarrow 00:01:40.584$  As well as in the Center for Bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}40{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43{.}190$  and Social Sciences and Medicine at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:43.190 --> 00:01:45.160 University of Michigan Medical School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:01:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.568$  they only attended college.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:46.568 --> 00:01:48.940 Indiana University and Medical School at LSU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:48.940 --> 00:01:50.690 She did her pediatric residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}50.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.090$  and neonatology Fellowship of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:52.090 --> 00:01:53.760 Medical Ethics Fellowship an MPH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:53.760 --> 00:01:55.818 all at the University of Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}55{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56{.}711$  and since then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:01:56.711 --> 00:01:58.790 she's been up in Ann Arbor at

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}01{:}58.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.637$  the University of Michigan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}02{:}00{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{.}644$  where she's one of the leaders

 $00:02:02.644 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.420$  in the ethics endeavors there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:02:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.170$  as well as in neonatology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:02:07.170 --> 00:02:09.221 I am I've been working with Naomi

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.735$  off and on for years and I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:02:11.735 --> 00:02:14.050 wanting to get her here and was

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}02{:}14.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.090$  delighted this year when she agreed NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:02:16.090 \rightarrow 00:02:19.010$  to come such as it is to visit us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:02:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.610$  In this way they only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:02:20.610 --> 00:02:22.766 as part of the next generation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:02:22.766 \dashrightarrow 00:02:24.130$  leadership in pediatric ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}02{:}24.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.770$  I will tell you that when the COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:02:26.770 --> 00:02:28.928 pandemic was upon us in the spring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}02{:}28{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31{.}051$  and several of us in pediatric ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

00:02:31.051 --> 00:02:32.656 associated with the American Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}02{:}32.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.570$  of Pediatrics decided that we needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00:02:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.941$  to get together to try and write

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674
- $00:02:36.941 \rightarrow 00:02:38.616$  something that provide some guidance.

00:02:38.620 --> 00:02:39.868 With regard to triage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92574674

 $00{:}02{:}39{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41{.}740$ it was Naomi who led the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00:02:41.813 \rightarrow 00:02:44.733$  effort and led the charge in coordinated US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00{:}02{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.980$  and that was a work that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00:02:46.980 \rightarrow 00:02:48.820$  published with Naomi's first author.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

00:02:48.820 --> 00:02:50.520 She's smart, she's hard working,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00{:}02{:}50{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}560$  and she gives a great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00{:}02{:}52{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54{.}940$  So we're in for a treat to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

00:02:54.940 --> 00:02:56.962 Tonight we're going to hear about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00:02:56.962 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.310$  contemporary pediatric research ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00:02:58.363 \dashrightarrow 00:03:00.379$  lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00:03:00.380 \dashrightarrow 00:03:02.080$ Naomi I'm delighted you're here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8180022

 $00{:}03{:}02{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}140$  Thank you so much for joining us take over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00:03:06.300 \rightarrow 00:03:08.134$  Well, that was a lovely introduction mark.

 $00:03:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.100$  Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}09{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.891$  I'm going to go ahead and share

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}10.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.346$  my slides and get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00:03:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.107$  It is a pleasure to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}14.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.240$  and you know when.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}15{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17{.}344$  When I was first invited to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}17.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.282$  I think we really were hopeful that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}19.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.583$  that we would be able to do with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

00:03:21.583 --> 00:03:23.658 in person and that wasn't meant to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}23.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.724$  but it's great to be here and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}536$  guys have a good setup. I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

00:03:27.536 --> 00:03:29.360 Not able to see you guys right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}436$  so if something funny is happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

 $00:03:31.436 \dashrightarrow 00:03:33.130$  someone beginning let me know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85238516

00:03:33.130 --> 00:03:34.660 Can everybody see my slides OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:03:37.310 --> 00:03:40.020 OK, I see you mark, thank you.

 $00:03:40.020 \rightarrow 00:03:41.665$  Alright, so as I was saying before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:03:41.665 - 00:03:42.760 before the recording started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}03{:}42.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.640$  this is a new talk for me and it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}03{:}44{.}693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}590$  talk that I've really wanted to write

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:03:46.590 \dashrightarrow 00:03:48.565$  for quite some time because it comes NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}03{:}48.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.478$  out of some ideas and questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}718$  I've had throughout this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53{.}584$  And I'll tell you that in other research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:03:53.584 --> 00:03:55.907 I did to prepare this I thought I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}03{:}55{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}949$  find more answers than I ended up finding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59{.}957$  and so I really hope that I leave plenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:03:59.957 --> 00:04:01.830 of time in the discussion for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}918$  try to come to those answers together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}03{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05{.}414$  I have nothing to disclose except

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06{.}410$  as I mentioned earlier.

 $00:04:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.250$  I do have five and eight year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}08{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10{.}158$  boys in the house right now, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:10.158 \dashrightarrow 00:04:12.434$  If you hear a loud crash, that's them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:04:12.434 --> 00:04:14.416 Um and I, did you know I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:14.416 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.536$  overtime is that given talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:15.540 \rightarrow 00:04:16.890$  I've tried to view this objectives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:04:16.890 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:04:19.023 Idea is really not just like this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:19.023 \rightarrow 00:04:20.936$  thing I have to turn in for the CME,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}20{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22{.}896$  but you really think about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}22.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.608$  do I want to do with this time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}25.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.990$  And so today I'm really hoping

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:26.990 \rightarrow 00:04:28.581$  that we explore key principles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}28.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.435$  research ethics that have emerged as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}30{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32{.}070$  important the mes during this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:32.070 \dashrightarrow 00:04:33.702$  And we're going to talk specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:33.702 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.134$  about children and their unique

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776
- $00:04:35.134 \rightarrow 00:04:36.898$  aspects as a special population of

 $00{:}04{:}36{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38{.}324$  research participants in general and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}38{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}794$  in the context of this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}584$  And we're going to reflect a bit on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}41{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43{.}233$  the tensions related to racism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}43.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.961$  socioe conomic inequity as they relate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}45.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46.965$  the historical context of research ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.246$  Clearly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:47.246 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.626$  that's several hours worth of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:04:48.626 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.454$  talks unto itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51{.}385$  It's not my specific area of expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:04:51.390 --> 00:04:53.370 but I do think this is important as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}53{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55{.}483$  think about the research ethics of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}460$  pandemic and about children in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}236$  even though the attention I will

 $00:04:59.236 \rightarrow 00:05:00.763$  tell you hasn't really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}198$  on children with regard to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.250$  Uh, racial tensions and vaccine research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}06{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07{.}962$  So here are the things we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10{.}149$  do when I'm not necessarily going to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:10.149 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.770$  through through these things in order,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.240$  but these are the ways we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.530$  going to talk about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}14.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.785$  We're going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:15.785 \dashrightarrow 00:05:16.789$  principles that were reinforced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:16.790 \rightarrow 00:05:18.428$  We're going to talk about new complexities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}18{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20{.}059$  that arose in public health ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}20{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21{.}810$  We will talk a bit about race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.563$  racism and inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}22.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.818$  and will talk about children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:23.820 \rightarrow 00:05:25.458$  I'm going to talk both about clinical

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776
- $00:05:25.458 \rightarrow 00:05:27.078$  trials and about pre approval access,

 $00:05:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.335$  which is something I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:28.335 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.590$  to learn a lot about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.096$  as as this pandemic going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:05:31.100 --> 00:05:31.940 And if there's time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:05:31.940 --> 00:05:33.200 I'll talk a bit about research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:33.247 \rightarrow 00:05:34.607$  integrity and publication ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.402$  because there are some things that came

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:36.402 \dashrightarrow 00:05:38.058$  out during this pandemic that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:05:38.060 - 00:05:38.992 I think are important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}38{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40{.}945$  but if I have not gotten my timings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}40{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42{.}929$  right and it looks like that would cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:42.988 \dashrightarrow 00:05:44.724$  us too much of the discussion time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}44{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48{.}030$  I'll skip that for another day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

00:05:48.030 --> 00:05:48.251 Alright,

 $00{:}05{:}48.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.798$  so I start here with this picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}49{.}798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50{.}650$  of New Orleans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00:05:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.072$  This is my hometown and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720776

 $00{:}05{:}52{.}072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}020$  I'll tell you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}05{:}53{.}073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55{.}107$  that for the first time in about 20 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:05:55.107 --> 00:05:57.550 I was in this scrum with my family in 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:05:57.550 --> 00:05:59.216 and while we were in the scrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:05:59.220 \rightarrow 00:06:01.124$  at a certain point I looked around and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}01{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02{.}790$  I thought, gosh, it's a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}02.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.738$  All these worries about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:06:03.738 --> 00:06:04.449 coronavirus are overblown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:06:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.438$  or we're all really in trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:06:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.456$  And it turned out that we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:06:09.456 --> 00:06:11.388 were in trouble and I just have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:06:11.388 \rightarrow 00:06:13.512$  this year to sort of think about my

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:06:13.512 \rightarrow 00:06:15.444$  own life is a private citizen and

 $00:06:15.444 \rightarrow 00:06:17.100$  how this pandemic started for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}17.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.756$  And, you know, we were lucky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:06:18.760 --> 00:06:20.398 We didn't get covid during this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:06:20.398 --> 00:06:22.069 during Mardi Gras at last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}22.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.070$  but a lot of people did because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.155$  wasn't a lot of warning about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}26.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.257$  seriousness of this pandemic as it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}28.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.084$  spreading out of Asia and there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}30{.}084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}894$  there some public health opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}31.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.170$  that were missed in the lead up to Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}796$  But so to frame research ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}36{.}796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38{.}819$  in the context of clinical ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:06:38.820 \rightarrow 00:06:40.446$  my general way of thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:06:40.446 --> 00:06:42.917 this and this has really been my big

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}42{.}917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44{.}572$  approach to thinking about research

 $00:06:44.572 \rightarrow 00:06:47.029$  ethics during this pandemic is that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}47{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48{.}550$  as as Mark was saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:06:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.503$  as we were writing these papers about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51{.}729$  resource allocation and workforce

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:06:51.729 --> 00:06:53.409 redeployment and shortages of PPE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}53.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.930$  those were things that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:06:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.450$  never had to think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}970$  or at least not so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:06:57.970 --> 00:06:58.312 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:06:58.312 \dashrightarrow 00:07:01.048$  they were hypotheticals an we had to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}01.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.213$  back an do this normative re analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}03.213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.728$  of things that we thought we knew and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}728$  -->  $00{:}07{:}07{.}636$  rethink them in this modern world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:07:07.640 --> 00:07:09.744 And we this was really new and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}09{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12{.}200$  for a lot of us and we had to pivot

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:12.200 \rightarrow 00:07:13.758$  to thinking more deliberately about

 $00:07:13.758 \rightarrow 00:07:16.159$  public health ethics that many of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}16.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.840$  have in our lifetime and children

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:07:17.840 --> 00:07:19.644 really occupied a unique and complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:19.644 \rightarrow 00:07:21.528$  and often polarizing role in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}21.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.949$  considerations and the most polarizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}22.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.964$  role of children I can think of in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:24.964 \dashrightarrow 00:07:26.668$  this pandemic has been school closure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:26.670 \dashrightarrow 00:07:28.332$  school reopening and what are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:28.332 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.778$  really talking about when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:29.778 \dashrightarrow 00:07:31.500$  talking about kids in this pandemic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}31.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.027$  And I do think that that recapitulates

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}34.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.630$  into the research ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37{.}184$  So where is the clinical ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:07:37.184 --> 00:07:39.530 in covid I think have let us into

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.782$  this really unchartered territory

 $00:07:40.782 \rightarrow 00:07:42.826$  to mean research ethics has largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}42.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.866$  reinforced what we already knew and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}44.870$  -->  $00{:}07{:}46.808$  shown these principles that we already NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:46.808 \rightarrow 00:07:48.430$  understood playing out logically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}48{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50{.}104$  this modern time with modern technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

00:07:50.110 --> 00:07:52.294 And I will say access versus protection

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}52.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.208$  several times in this talk because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:54.208 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.044$  it keeps coming back to access

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}56.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.810$  versus protection an in my view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00:07:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.350$  when you talk about yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85294926

 $00{:}07{:}59{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59{.}650$ So

NOTE Confidence: 0.83980346

 $00{:}07{:}59.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.053$  sorry to do this, but I just got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83980346

 $00{:}08{:}02{.}053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}645$  text from a friend of mine in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83980346

 $00:08:04.645 \dashrightarrow 00:08:07.048$  audience that they can't see the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83980346

 $00{:}08{:}07{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{.}230$  So I'm asking Kyle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83980346

 $00:08:08.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.691$  Give us and give us just a few

00:08:10.691 --> 00:08:12.854 seconds here in Kyle if you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.83980346

 $00{:}08{:}12.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.905$  figure out why that is the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83980346

00:08:14.910 --> 00:08:16.608 I can see the slides just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738857

00:08:16.610 --> 00:08:18.030 fine. Yeah, I can tell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80427164

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23{.}060$  OK so my buddy who knows who he is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80427164

 $00{:}08{:}23.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.889$  something with your connection I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80427164

 $00{:}08{:}25{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28{.}270$  Thank you and I'm sorry to interrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.80427164

00:08:28.270 --> 00:08:32.090 Ono trouble. Call you OK. Art over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

00:08:32.090 --> 00:08:34.598 your doing. I won't so access

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

00:08:34.598 --> 00:08:36.790 versus protection again and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}08{:}36{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}250$  and the unique vulnerabilities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:08:39.250 \rightarrow 00:08:41.710$  children imparted moral and regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}08{:}41.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.906$  challenges to research ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}08{:}43{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46{.}026$  research uncovered for children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}08{:}46{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47{.}715$  And our history and current

- $00{:}08{:}47.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.400$  struggles with racism and inequity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:08:49.459 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.307$  wear sailing as they've ever been.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:08:51.310 \rightarrow 00:08:53.587$  But so so when I started writing this talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:08:53.590 \rightarrow 00:08:55.354$  I really thought there's nothing new here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00{:}08{:}55{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}836$  But then I thought more about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00{:}08{:}56.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.140$  this public health ethics issue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:08:58.140 \dashrightarrow 00:08:59.904$  and I think even in research ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:08:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.766$  there is a lot that's new.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00{:}09{:}02{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05{.}087$  So after I've done all this myself,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:09:05.090 \dashrightarrow 00:09:07.738$  I found out that someone had published it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00{:}09{:}07{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09{.}720$  and after I've done my own
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- 00:09:09.720 --> 00:09:10.710 diving into clinicaltrials.gov,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:09:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.325$  I found exactly the figure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- 00:09:12.325 --> 00:09:14.360 that I was hoping to make,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- 00:09:14.360 00:09:16.448 which is this dismal and hopefully
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:09:16.448 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.924$  drawing realization about how few of the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00{:}09{:}18.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.634$  interventional studies in for COVID-19

 $00:09:20.634 \rightarrow 00:09:22.960$  have included children under the age of 17.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.529$  I'll tell you almost none of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:25.529 \rightarrow 00:09:27.598$  included children under the age of 12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}09{:}27{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29{.}917$  but this to me as a pediatrician

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:29.917 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.910$  is really depressing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.452$  We just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:31.452 \dashrightarrow 00:09:33.078$  Didn't include children in these trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}09{:}33.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.185$  and I think there were a few reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

00:09:35.185 --> 00:09:37.071 about for that that will get to, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:37.071 \dashrightarrow 00:09:39.499$  I want this is the framing of my talk is why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}576$  Why is this true?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}09{:}40.576$  -->  $00{:}09{:}43.150$  Was it the right thing was at the wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:43.150 \dashrightarrow 00:09:45.243$  thing and could we have done better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.906$  And so I'll say the thing I'll say

 $00{:}09{:}46{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48{.}917$  again and again in this talk is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:48.917 \rightarrow 00:09:50.569$  children should be protected by research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}09{:}50{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51{.}296$  not from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:51.296 \dashrightarrow 00:09:52.990$  And I could kind of stop here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}09{:}52{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54{.}466$  and we could probably spend the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:54.466 \dashrightarrow 00:09:56.329$  next hour and a half talking about NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:56.329 \dashrightarrow 00:09:58.240$  whether or not we agree with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:09:58.293 \rightarrow 00:10:00.129$  and how to make it so if it's true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

00:10:00.130 --> 00:10:01.446 One thing I want to be clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02{.}889$  about is I read this phrase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}10{:}02{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04{.}102$  sort of in relation to pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

00:10:04.102 --> 00:10:05.173 women saying that pregnant women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06{.}278$  should be protected by research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:10:06.280 \rightarrow 00:10:07.968$  not from it, and so it's very clever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

00:10:07.970 --> 00:10:09.454 and I wish I'd said it first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616

 $00:10:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.874$  but I want to be a friend

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8002616
- $00:10:10.874 \rightarrow 00:10:12.210$  that I didn't say it first.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:10:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.734$  So this is a slide that I actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:10:17.734 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.778$  use quite a bit when I do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- 00:10:19.780  $-\!>$  00:10:21.688 My introductory talks for students and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- 00:10:21.688 --> 00:10:23.320 learners about pediatric research ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00{:}10{:}23.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.218$  and I've beautified it a little bit for you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:10:26.220 \rightarrow 00:10:28.532$  but I'll start by saying this in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:10:28.532 \rightarrow 00:10:29.760$  contemporary research environment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00{:}10{:}29.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.048$  Enrollment in special populations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:10:31.048 \rightarrow 00:10:32.983$  is usually required, by which I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00{:}10{:}32.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.588$  mean it's a regulatory requirement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- 00:10:34.590 --> 00:10:35.505 It's necessary morally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:10:35.505 \rightarrow 00:10:38.130$  and that are the integrity of our science.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00{:}10{:}38{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40{.}860$  Depends on it and that sometimes it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:10:40.860 \rightarrow 00:10:43.950$  really can be procedurally difficult.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:10:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.786$  The big picture principle here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}10{:}45.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.710$  that research should be done with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:10:47.710 --> 00:10:49.320 participants who stand to benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:10:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.688$  or who represent populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:10:50.688 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.758$  that could be nefit in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:10:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.202$  Now ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:10:53.202 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.749$  we say good ethics rely on good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}10{:}54.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.666$  facts and I think it's also true that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}10{:}56.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.050$  good medicine relies on good facts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:10:58.050 - 00:10:59.568 And generally I think it's true

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:10:59.568  $-\!>$  00:11:01.132 that patients are better off when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:11:01.132 --> 00:11:02.626 their medical care is informed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:02.626 \dashrightarrow 00:11:04.079$  high quality scientific evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.900$  We shouldn't be excluding unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}05{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}356$  populations with unique complexities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}07{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09{.}016$  because it's difficult we shouldn't

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:11:09.016 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.949$  be excluding them.

00:11:09.950 --> 00:11:10.650 For convenience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.750$  we should be getting over that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:12.750 \rightarrow 00:11:14.430$  inconvenience and bringing them in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:14.430 \rightarrow 00:11:16.635$  There are some specific regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:16.635 \rightarrow 00:11:17.958$  and institutional considerations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:17.958 \dashrightarrow 00:11:20.426$  that we have to take into account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:11:20.430 --> 00:11:20.978 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}20{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22{.}348$  access versus protection when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:22.348 \rightarrow 00:11:23.720$  think about vulnerable populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}23.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.524$  It's and research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:11:24.524 --> 00:11:26.400 The question is always going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}26.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.945$  is research this fundamentally good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:27.945 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.000$  thing that makes us all healthier?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:30.000 \dashrightarrow 00:11:32.682$  That's that we should want to be involved in.

 $00:11:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.685$  Or is it at its core dangerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:11:34.685 --> 00:11:36.279 and a little bit scary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.068$  and we should all be wary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:38.070 \rightarrow 00:11:39.870$  And the answer clearly is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}360$  but you know to both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}41{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43{.}334$  But I think this is the tension

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}43{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45{.}248$  that comes up again and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}45{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46{.}858$  And as we've thought about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:11:46.858 --> 00:11:49.168 in the context of Covid an in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:49.168 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.643$  context of children that access

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}50.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.040$  versus protection balance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:11:52.040 --> 00:11:56.690 Stasin but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}56.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.414$  But um.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59{.}224$  There's also this other tension

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:11:59.224 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.870$  which suddenly I've lost the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:00.870 \rightarrow 00:12:04.100$  ability to show you hang on.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00{:}12{:}04{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05{.}300$  Between individual child health

 $00:12:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.100$  and an public health more broadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}07{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08{.}870$  and I mean public health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:08.870 \rightarrow 00:12:10.400$  the really big picture here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}10.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12{.}108$  And thinking about what is the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}12{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14{.}190$  of children in this pandemic and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:14.190 \rightarrow 00:12:15.805$  moral obligation to children's have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:15.805 \rightarrow 00:12:17.600$  towards population health in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.473$  In most situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:12:18.473 --> 00:12:19.928 We don't think of children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:12:19.928 --> 00:12:21.199 as owing adults much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}21{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22{.}504$  but in this pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:22.504 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.460$  in some sense kids were asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}24{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25{.}998$  to do a lot for adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}26.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.912$  and I think that's one of the most

 $00:12:28.912 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.381$  interesting ethical issues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}740$  come out of this pandemic for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}308$  So I won't talk about all these populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:12:35.310 --> 00:12:36.910 but just to remind you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}36{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}986$  there are some very specifically bend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40{.}698$  categories of special populations when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:12:40.698 --> 00:12:42.366 it comes to research that children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.012$  pregnant women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:43.012 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.654$  incarcerated individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:43.654 \rightarrow 00:12:44.617$  individuals with cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}44.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.580$  and motor disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.992$  and we're increasingly aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:46.992 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.404$  of economically and socially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}48{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49{.}676$  disadvantaged persons as also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}49.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.716$  being a special population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00:12:50.720 \rightarrow 00:12:52.640$  And when we say special population,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763
- $00:12:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.590$  I think it's important to be

 $00:12:54.590 \rightarrow 00:12:56.489$  cognizant of what do we mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57{.}774$  We're generally talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8308763

00:12:57.774 --> 00:12:59.058 medically medical complexity or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:12:59.060 - 00:13:01.545 for example, in the for the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}01{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}748$  that mark and I take care of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:13:03.750 --> 00:13:04.546 Physiologic vulnerability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:04.546 \rightarrow 00:13:07.332$  one thing I say about premature infants

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:07.332 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.934$  is that all of their organ systems are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}09{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12{.}179$  immature and that makes them vulnerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:12.180 \rightarrow 00:13:14.056$  Now vulnerability in a more legal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:13:14.056 --> 00:13:16.156 social sense has to do with the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:13:16.156 --> 00:13:17.850 risk of being exploited or coerced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:13:17.850 --> 00:13:19.290 and this violates the fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.730$  principle that I'm sure we

00:13:20.778 --> 00:13:22.170 all in this group know about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}22{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24{.}060$  which is that research should be voluntary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}24.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.916$  We also want to be clear that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}25{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}762$  people who are taking on the burdens

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:27.762 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.744$  of research are the same people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}29{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31{.}550$  benefit and that we're not burdening

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:31.550 \rightarrow 00:13:32.979$  one group while benefiting another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:32.979 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.617$  And this really I don't talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:13:34.617 --> 00:13:35.670 about can't very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.182$  but this is where in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}37{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}640$  hearts we talk about cotton.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}38.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.692$  We think about how people shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}40.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.278$  be used as a means to an end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}13{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.855$  The problem with this is that once

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:44.855 \rightarrow 00:13:46.169$  you get designated as vulnerable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:13:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.514$  that makes it harder for you

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:13:47.514 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.820$  to be in a study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- 00:13:48.820 --> 00:13:50.030 That means you're not recruited,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}13{:}50{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51{.}422$  and that means the care that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:13:51.422 \rightarrow 00:13:52.893$  you get isn't informed by data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- 00:13:52.893 --> 00:13:54.128 that involved people like you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}13{:}54{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}720$  and that makes her care less good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:13:56.720 \rightarrow 00:13:58.856$  The other thing is that we have to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:13:58.856 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.261$  be really careful not to conflate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- 00:14:01.261 --> 00:14:02.614 vulnerability and stupidity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}14{:}02.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.796$  and I think one of the things that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- 00:14:04.796 --> 00:14:06.891 his anger pregnant women over the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}14{:}06{.}891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}117$  centuries is that pregnancy and it's NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- 00:14:09.184 --> 00:14:11.289 sort of designation is vulnerable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}14{:}11{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13{.}798$  Got misclassified as a state of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- 00:14:13.798 --> 00:14:15.470 cognitive impairment as opposed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:15.543 \rightarrow 00:14:17.469$  to physiologic vulnerability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.815$  So I'll talk very briefly about oversight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.092$  This is pretty dry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:21.092 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.432$  but I do think you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}14{:}23{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25{.}526$  think about this a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:25.530 \rightarrow 00:14:27.889$  especially as you think about vaccine shop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:14:27.890 --> 00:14:29.078 And generally speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}14{:}29.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.662$  HHS empowers individual institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}14{:}30.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.131$  review boards to approve three categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:33.131 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.759$  of research involving children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}930$  This should be familiar to most people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.480$  but as we think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.363$  we think about this in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}14{:}40{.}363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42{.}200$  balancing risk and potential benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.750$  An as a general rule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:14:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.920$  we don't get to do research that's

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:14:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.820$  risky for children and less they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:14:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.948$  stand to benefit an in all situations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:14:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.308$  Research needs to be accompanied by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}14{:}52{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}672$  formal informed consent by parents and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}14{:}54{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}856$  a sense of children when possible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}14{:}56{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}785$  Now there are lots of situations in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:14:58.785 \rightarrow 00:15:01.607$  which we do allow for more risk than minimal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:15:01.610 \rightarrow 00:15:03.507$  but here we have this pretty stringent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:15:03.507 \rightarrow 00:15:04.698$  requirement that there's anticipated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:15:04.698 \rightarrow 00:15:06.654$  benefit that's proportional to that risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}15{:}06{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}865$  This is in my gut where I think most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00{:}15{:}08.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.118$  of the vaccine trials have fallen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- 00:15:11.120 --> 00:15:12.814 although I will tell you I had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:15:12.814 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.032$  a heck of a hard time finding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833
- $00:15:15.032 \rightarrow 00:15:16.456$  that written down anywhere.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:15:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.945$  There are other types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:15:17.945 --> 00:15:19.133 vaccine research which have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:15:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.724$  which has specifically not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00:15:20.724 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.308$  falling into this category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:15:22.310 --> 00:15:22.531 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}15{:}22{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23{.}857$  there is this funny sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}15{:}23.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.388$  case in which there's a minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:15:25.388 --> 00:15:26.476 increase over minimal risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}15{:}26{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28{.}251$  and books and articles and seminars have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

00:15:28.251 --> 00:15:29.930 been given over how do you quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31{.}869$  what exactly is a minor risk over minimal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}455$  and what does that look

NOTE Confidence: 0.84826833

 $00{:}15{:}33{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35{.}040$  like and what kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:15:35.116 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.876$  kid are we talking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}15{:}36{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38{.}966$  But we do allow for this little

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:15:38.966 --> 00:15:40.640 caveat of ultraism by children,

 $00{:}15{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.504$  and say that children can take on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}15{:}43{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46{.}173$  little bit of risk to benefit children

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:15:46.173 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.979$  who are like them in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}15{:}48{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49{.}996$  This is, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51{.}826$  a really interesting idea and we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}15{:}51.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.350$  know from some studies that young

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}15{:}53{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55{.}404$  kids are able to articulate a notion

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}954$  of altruism and understand this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:15:56.960 \rightarrow 00:15:58.878$  So I think this is fundamentally good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:15:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.310$  but it's also quite nuanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:16:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.742$  So I'm gonna pause here for a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}02.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.345$  bit of humor and tell you a story

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:16:04.345 --> 00:16:05.728 that just happened to me last week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}354$  and I thought this is great and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}07{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}918$  I got permission from my friend

 $00:16:08.918 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.544$  to use these screenshots of text

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:16:10.544 \rightarrow 00:16:12.025$  messages to send me in this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:16:12.030 \rightarrow 00:16:13.710$  So this is my good friend who had NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}13{.}710$  -->  $00{:}16{:}15{.}250$  her second vaccine a couple of last NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:16:15.250 --> 00:16:16.950 week and she said it was no joke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}16{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}240$  I had chills and muscle aches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:16:18.240 --> 00:16:18.882 I felt terrible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:16:18.882 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.380$  and it felt like a head covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}20{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21{.}658$  And before you get all mad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:16:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.158$  So I got mad all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}23{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24{.}623$  When people say that having the flu NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}24.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.148$  shot is worse than having the flu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:16:26.150 --> 00:16:27.590 And I will tell you that this friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:16:27.590 --> 00:16:29.128 is my friend from Mardi Gras who

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:16:29.128 --> 00:16:30.767 actually did have covid so she didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:16:30.767 \rightarrow 00:16:32.147$  know what she was talking about,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:16:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.562$  but you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:16:32.562 \rightarrow 00:16:34.709$  So she tells me this and then she tells me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:16:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.349$  now blurted out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:16:35.349 \rightarrow 00:16:37.280$  I've blocked out the name of her son here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- 00:16:37.280 --> 00:16:38.624 but she says I'm trying to convince
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:16:38.624 \rightarrow 00:16:40.285$  my son that he wants to do good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- 00:16:40.285 --> 00:16:41.117 for humanity and participate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:16:41.117 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.518$  in the pediatric vaccine trial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- 00:16:42.520 --> 00:16:43.920 But after seeing me yesterday,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- 00:16:43.920 --> 00:16:45.690 he's unwilling.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00{:}16{:}45{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47{.}433$  This friend is not a pediatrician and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- 00:16:47.433 --> 00:16:49.212 I'm really proud of her because she
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:16:49.212 \rightarrow 00:16:50.682$  really understood in her core that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00{:}16{:}50.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.346$  this thing called child dissent is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:16:52.346 \rightarrow 00:16:54.755$  thing and her child isn't more than 10 or 11.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}16{:}54.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.160$  But he had this sense that this was a choice

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:16:57.222 \rightarrow 00:16:59.409$  that he was allowed to make and he said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:16:59.410 --> 00:16:59.635 Nah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:16:59.635 --> 00:17:01.660 I don't want to do it and she said

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:17:01.725 --> 00:17:03.750 I can't make you and I was proud of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}03.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.944$  her and I was really pleased to have NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}05{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08{.}032$  this very real life example of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}08.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.622$  kind of intuitive ascent discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:17:09.622 --> 00:17:11.813 between a child and his mother about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:11.813 \rightarrow 00:17:13.025$  participating in research study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.773$  And so I once gave a 90

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:14.773 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.110$  minute talk on a sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}16.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.294$  so I've gone way down this rabbit hole

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}18.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.739$  before and I've only got 1 slide on it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.385$  But this is just to remind you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:22.385 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.036$  that ascent in Pediatrics in 2021

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686
- $00:17:24.036 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.818$  is much more nuanced than just

 $00{:}17{:}25.818$  -->  $00{:}17{:}27.167$  getting a nod from the kid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}27{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28{.}920$  or determine at what age you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:28.920 \rightarrow 00:17:30.508$  this and what age you don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.374$  What age you don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}31{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33{.}624$  and if you're going to be in the business

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

00:17:33.624 --> 00:17:35.124 of enrolling children in research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}130$  you really do have to think about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.728$  you're going to engage that child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.320$  how you're going to determine their

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.560$  assessment of what's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.024$  and how you're going to allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.83357686

 $00:17:43.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.000$  them to be active

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}17{:}44.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.618$  participants in this decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:17:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.060$  And just to be very clear,

 $00{:}17{:}47.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.822$  ascent forms are not the way to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:17:50.830 \rightarrow 00:17:52.699$  But getting back to the regulatory bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:17:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.170$  there is this other category and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:17:54.170 \rightarrow 00:17:55.777$  this comes up with some versions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:17:55.777 --> 00:17:57.505 of some sorts of vaccine research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}17{:}57{.}510$  -->  $00{:}17{:}59{.}904$  which is why I wanted to bring it up, NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:17:59.910 --> 00:18:01.723 which is there are situations in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}01{.}723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03{.}901$  an IRB can look at the protocol for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}03{.}901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}775$  a child research study and say, wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}05{.}775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07{.}560$  this doesn't fit neatly into any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:07.560 \dashrightarrow 00:18:09.249$  those three categories and say HHS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.030$  you have to help me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:12.030 \rightarrow 00:18:14.305$  There are two mechanisms by which HHS

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:18:14.305 --> 00:18:16.429 can then approve a study like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:16.430 \rightarrow 00:18:18.308$  One is by saying, actually no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:18:18.310 --> 00:18:19.195 On further review,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00:18:19.195 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.260$  this does mean one of the previous

 $00:18:21.323 \rightarrow 00:18:23.129$  categories and the other is by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:23.129 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.733$  saying the potential to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}24.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.785$  the health and welfare of children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:18:26.790 --> 00:18:28.656 In this scientifically sound study is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:18:28.656 - 00:18:31.179 so great that we will allow some risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}31{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}392$  even though this doesn't meet some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:33.392 \rightarrow 00:18:35.270$  the other categories we talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}979$  So I think that this is a really again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39{.}882$  interesting nod towards this sense that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}39{.}882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42{.}389$  there are some cases in which children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:18:42.390 --> 00:18:44.046 Can do things for the good of community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}44.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.300$  even if there's a net risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}46{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48{.}150$  to them in doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.029$  So to recap,

 $00:18:49.029 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.494$  all of this background stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52{.}010$  in pediatric research ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:52.010 \rightarrow 00:18:53.948$  children should be protected by research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:18:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.946$  not from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}606$  Healthy children may not participate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}18{:}56{.}606$  -->  $00{:}18{:}58{.}550$  in research protocols and telling more NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:18:58.550 --> 00:19:00.710 than minimal risk most of the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}00{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}950$  but sick children may be permitted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}02{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04{.}289$  participate in protocols involving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:19:04.289 \dashrightarrow 00:19:06.564$  slightly more risk if they may receive NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}06{.}564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}507$  direct benefit or a vital information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}08{.}507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10{.}361$  about their disorder can be gathered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:19:10.370 --> 00:19:11.333 Pediatric research requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:19:11.333 --> 00:19:12.296 stringent oversight is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}12{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14{.}732$  I've just reviewed an this nice quote quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:19:14.732 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.219$  from her friend Renee Boss in Baltimore.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- 00:19:17.220 --> 00:19:17.542 Pediatricians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00:19:17.542 \rightarrow 00:19:17.864$  researchers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00:19:17.864 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.474$  Arby's and parents must work
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00:19:19.474 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.258$  together to refine and apply the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- 00:19:21.258 --> 00:19:22.543 principles of respect for persons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00:19:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.030$  justice and medicines to children.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00:19:24.030 \rightarrow 00:19:26.095$  I really couldn't say that better myself,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- 00:19:26.100 --> 00:19:29.000 so I didn't try.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00{:}19{:}29{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31{.}420$  So I want to move now out of this classic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- 00:19:31.487 --> 00:19:33.497 example of clinical trials though,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00{:}19{:}33{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34{.}700$  and talk about investigational
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- 00:19:34.700 --> 00:19:36.200 the<br/>rapies in pre approval access,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00{:}19{:}36{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}251$  because I think probably many of you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- 00:19:38.251 --> 00:19:40.850 like me got a crash course in pre
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00{:}19{:}40.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.093$  approval access as this pandemic is gone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:19:43.093 --> 00:19:45.718 and for give me if this is too basic for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48{.}217$  I found that I really had to go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}48{.}217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50{.}389$  and understand this and there's some NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}50{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52{.}870$  great folks at Penn who work on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}52.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.097$  a lot and this does apply to children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}19{:}55{.}097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56{.}592$  There are emergency use authorizations NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:19:56.592 \rightarrow 00:19:58.398$  that have been granted for children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:19:58.400 \rightarrow 00:19:59.288$  usually older kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:19:59.288 --> 00:20:00.176 But I won.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:20:00.180 --> 00:20:01.456 I distinguish expanded access

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}20{:}01{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}051$  and early use authorization as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:20:03.051 \rightarrow 00:20:05.060$  two mechanisms by which the FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}20{:}05{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}368$  authorizes pre approval access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}20{:}06{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08{.}326$  There are other ways that pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:20:08.326 --> 00:20:09.630 approval access can happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00{:}20{:}09{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11{.}622$  but the other thing that's important

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263
- $00:20:11.622 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.957$  here is this is not FDA approval

 $00{:}20{:}13.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.148$  and I encourage you to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:20:16.214 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.128$  careful in which words you use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

 $00:20:18.128 \rightarrow 00:20:20.266$  when you talk about this because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8427263

00:20:20.266 --> 00:20:21.978 An early use authorization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:20:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.070$  for example, is not an approval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}20{:}25{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27{.}260$  So expanded access usually allows a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:20:27.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.961$  drug or device that is currently under

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:20:29.961 \rightarrow 00:20:31.916$  some kind of systematic evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}20{:}31{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}526$  to be made available to someone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}20{:}34.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.038$  who suffer who for some reason is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}20{:}37.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.656$  not eligible to enroll in the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:20:39.660 \rightarrow 00:20:42.644$  We often refer to this as compassionate use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}20{:}42.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.698$  U sually the way this works is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}20{:}45.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.477$  drug company directly supplies the the.

 $00{:}20{:}48.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.960$  The drug of the device to the prescriber,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}20{:}50{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}820$  without a middle man like the federal

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:20:52.820 --> 00:20:54.370 government, through some sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:20:54.370 --> 00:20:55.610 boarding and four agreements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:20:55.610 --> 00:20:57.780 and even though this is technically speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}20{:}57.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.640$  not research and does not require

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:20:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.570$  an informed consent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.768$  there is usually you do need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}02{.}768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05{.}219$  get your IRB to sign off on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.610$  and there's some reporting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}07.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.522$  the IRB that's required.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.282$  And what I just learned is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:21:11.282 --> 00:21:12.405 manufacturer can actually quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:12.405 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.365$  require additional information

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}13.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.965$  that the FDA isn't interested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.146$  but it's still not considered to be research.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00{:}21{:}17.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.752$  This is also not the same

 $00:21:18.752 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.140$  thing as right to try,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}20.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.596$  which I'll come back to in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.234$  So early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:21:24.234 --> 00:21:25.185 this authorization is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:21:25.185 --> 00:21:26.136 actually pretty different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}26.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.219$  It's a different form of pre approval

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}28{.}219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30{.}382$  access in which that the FDA concludes

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}30{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32{.}599$  that a product may be effective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}32{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34{.}651$  that it's known and potential benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}34.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.270$  outweigh the risks with a pretty low bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}37{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39{.}214$  And one thing that's really clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}39{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41{.}488$  about this is that for a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:41.488 \rightarrow 00:21:43.312$  to get something under an EUA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.910$  this is a clinical decision.

00:21:44.910 --> 00:21:46.806 This is not a research decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:21:46.810 --> 00:21:47.384 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:21:47.384 --> 00:21:49.106 as we're all getting our vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:49.106 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.948$  that are released under anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.174$  We're not signing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:21:52.174 --> 00:21:53.806 Research consents were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:21:53.806 --> 00:21:56.050 participating in a research study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:56.050 \rightarrow 00:21:57.856$  And in the case of vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}21{:}57.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59.360$  Pfizer and Moderna for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:21:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.481$  are supplying millions of doses to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:22:01.481 --> 00:22:03.322 federal government were supplying it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}03{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05{.}373$  states who are supplying it to institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:22:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.229$  So the supply chain is also really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:22:08.229 \rightarrow 00:22:10.719$  different than under expanded access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:22:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.766$  So in the time of kovid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}12.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.320$  institutions about to decide whether

 $00:22:14.320 \rightarrow 00:22:16.962$  or not they want how they are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:22:16.962 --> 00:22:19.020 to make EUA approval UA authorized

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:22:19.020 \rightarrow 00:22:20.947$  interventions available to their patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}20{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}374$  and they can choose to say we're only

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:22:23.374 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.948$  going to our patients to get this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}25{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28{.}064$  the context of which about clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}28.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.787$  trial and then this nice paper that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}30.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.082$  accorded here at the bottom the authors

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}33.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.269$  talk about about appropriate limitations too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:22:35.270 --> 00:22:36.806 Too easy way use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}36{.}806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38{.}726$  and when it's justifiable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:22:38.726 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.116$  you to limit this to a trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00:22:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.024$  And usually this has to do with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

00:22:43.030 --> 00:22:43.576 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836

 $00{:}22{:}43.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.214$  my favorite word and research ethics.

- 00:22:45.220 --> 00:22:45.566 Equipois.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- 00:22:45.566 --> 00:22:47.296 We're really not sure whether
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- 00:22:47.296 --> 00:22:49.767 or not there's a net benefit to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00:22:49.767 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.467$  be derived from getting this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00{:}22{:}51{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}326$  This can also be a way to make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00:22:53.326 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.020$  sure that these trials happen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00{:}22{:}55{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56{.}394$  because one thing we always have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00:22:56.394 \rightarrow 00:22:57.896$  to worry about is once everyone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- 00:22:57.896 --> 00:22:59.246 can get something before the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00{:}22{:}59{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}110$  trials have been done to finish
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00:23:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.115$  scientifically validating it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00:23:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.010$  It's really hard to go back and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00:23:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.120$  randomize people after that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- 00:23:05.120 --> 00:23:07.010 and so if you think it's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00:23:07.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.416$  important that at some point
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87106836
- $00:23:08.416 \rightarrow 00:23:10.030$  this trial needs to be done,

 $00:23:10.030 \rightarrow 00:23:14.260$  the way might be your only chance to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:23:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.220$  The problem with this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:23:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.041$  on the one hand, you potentially answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:23:19.041 \rightarrow 00:23:21.057$  the unanswered questions by bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

00:23:21.057 --> 00:23:23.277 a higher level of scientific rigor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:23:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.444$  but there's concerns about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}608$  voluntariness and fairness here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:23:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.055$  And so, particularly when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30{.}839$  thinking about using any UA in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:23:30.839 \dashrightarrow 00:23:32.269$  the context of limited supplies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}32{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35{.}000$  So the only way you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38{.}328$  this is if you're in the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

00:23:38.330 --> 00:23:40.370 The plus side is that it solves your

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}40{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42{.}578$  problem that FDA does not tell you NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:23:42.578 \rightarrow 00:23:43.894$  anything about resource allocation.

 $00:23:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.658$  You know when when there isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

00:23:45.660 --> 00:23:47.120 Any way for convalescent plasma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}47{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49{.}496$  and you know we had six doses for NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

00:23:49.496 --> 00:23:51.516 300 people who needed it or my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53{.}266$  I don't want to send it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}53{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55{.}028$  but might have benefited from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}55{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57{.}557$  FDA didn't tell us how to allocate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:23:57.557 \rightarrow 00:23:58.640$  our six doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}23{:}58.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.400$  Using a trial can be one way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}24{:}00{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}215$  bring some structure and fairness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}24{:}02{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04{.}195$  criteria to how to distribute those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:24:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.685$  but it does raise access to raise

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:24:06.685 \rightarrow 00:24:08.478$  questions about whether there really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}788$  is fair access to that trial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00{:}24{:}10.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.866$  whether or not people are going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

00:24:12.870 --> 00:24:13.940 Inadvertently be coerced into participating

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:24:13.940 \rightarrow 00:24:15.220$  because they really want the thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}15{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18{.}667$  And that's the only way they can get it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}18.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}20.674$  So do you want to distinguish
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:24:20.674 \rightarrow 00:24:22.360$  this from right to try?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}22{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24{.}712$  Because I think right to try is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}24{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.655$  when people talk about only plus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- 00:24:26.655 --> 00:24:29.117 lay press and I had to go back
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:24:29.117 \rightarrow 00:24:31.397$  and remind myself how this works.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- 00:24:31.400 --> 00:24:33.536 So right to try is even less regulated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}33{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35{.}594$  than an expanded access in which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:24:35.594 \rightarrow 00:24:37.790$  terminally ill patients can get things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}37{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}368$  after a phase one trial without any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}40{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}122$  further communication from the FDA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- 00:24:42.122 --> 00:24:43.130 So there's no,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}43.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.800$  there's no communication information back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

- $00:24:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.805$  This isn't I.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- 00:24:45.805 --> 00:24:47.815 There's no IRB checkpoint on this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}47.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.668$  and I think this was viewed as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- 00:24:50.668 --> 00:24:53.118 strong thing for patient advocacy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:24:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.928$  My take on this is that we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}54{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57{.}246$  to be really careful and I liked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:24:57.246 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.686$  this description about it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:24:58.690 \rightarrow 00:24:59.268$  but fundamentally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}24{:}59{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}940$  right to try had a lot to do with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:01.940 \dashrightarrow 00:25:04.541$  politics and not a lot to do with science.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}25{:}04{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06{.}308$  And if you think critically about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:06.308 \rightarrow 00:25:07.480$  the benefits from this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:07.480 \rightarrow 00:25:09.496$  it's not really clear what right to try
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:09.496 \rightarrow 00:25:11.869$  ads in the setting of compassionate use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- 00:25:11.870 --> 00:25:12.872 An easy way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:12.872 \rightarrow 00:25:14.876$  and there's been some really interesting

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:14.876 \rightarrow 00:25:17.209$  and compelling writing I think about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}25{:}17{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18{.}506$  About the unintended consequences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}25{:}18.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.478$  of Rachel tries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.112$  So I really like this piece
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:21.112 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.855$  talking about how right to try
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}25{:}22.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.051$  can inadvertently exclude people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}25{:}24.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.079$  from the kind of comprehensive and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:26.079 \rightarrow 00:25:28.024$  really high quality palliative care
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}25{:}28.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.352$  that's available in the 21st century
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:30.352 \rightarrow 00:25:33.040$  and just a lot of writing about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00{:}25{:}33.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.671$  When we start to make these drugs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:34.671 \rightarrow 00:25:36.467$  available like this in this unregulated way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- 00:25:36.470 00:25:37.640 are we really undermining what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- $00:25:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.361$  we owe to patients in terms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505
- 00:25:39.361 --> 00:25:40.879 science and regulation of new drugs?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:25:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.595$  So this is just food for thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

00:25:42.600 --> 00:25:44.560 I haven't heard a lot of talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:25:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.805$  right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:25:44.805 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.275$  right to try in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:25:46.275 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.010$  of covered specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:25:47.010 \rightarrow 00:25:49.882$  but I thought this was a good opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.86173505

 $00:25:49.882 \rightarrow 00:25:52.079$  to review pre approval access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:25:52.080 \rightarrow 00:25:54.928$  And the question that has been bugging me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}25{:}54{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}674$  for the last six months that I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}578$  I was going to have answers for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:26:00.580 --> 00:26:03.868 For as I came to this talk was how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}03.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.948$  feel about kids and expanded access anyways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}06{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08{.}930$  in particular for for COVID-19 infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}08{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11{.}942$  and what do we think about kids getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:26:11.942 --> 00:26:13.982 recipe or convalescent plasma or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:26:13.982 \rightarrow 00:26:16.010$  monoclonal antibody as under anyway and

 $00:26:16.010 \rightarrow 00:26:18.764$  I expected to find this deep and rich

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}18.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.984$  seam of writing by all the ethicists I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}21.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.744$  know who are so much smarter than me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}24.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26{.}919$  To explain this to me and I found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:26:26.919 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.002$  this really just hasn't been front and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}29{.}002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30{.}979$  center in the minds of bioethic ists

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:26:30.979 \rightarrow 00:26:33.426$  right now, and there's a lot of potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}33{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36{.}059$  reasons for that is one is no mark described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:26:36.060 \rightarrow 00:26:38.090$  We've been really busy doing other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:26:38.090 --> 00:26:38.586 You know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:26:38.586 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.895$  The other is that a lot of these trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:26:40.895 --> 00:26:43.235 do include kids as young as 12 in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}43.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.392$  ways usually don't grant permission to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}26{:}45{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47{.}950$  use the drug outside of those and ranges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:26:47.950 \rightarrow 00:26:49.400$  so the other is just.

- $00:26:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.850$  It's not really an issue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00{:}26{:}50{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}880$  but you do wonder about whether we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00{:}26{:}52.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54.740$  should be imposing. I think different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00:26:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.050$  Thresholds or what's the role of assent?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00:26:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.652$  For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00:26:57.652 \rightarrow 00:26:59.458$  for a teenager to get experimental,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- 00:26:59.460 --> 00:27:00.104 convalescent plasma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00{:}27{:}00{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02{.}358$  and so I'm hoping that you guys
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00{:}27{:}02.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.266$  will engage with me on this one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- 00:27:04.270 --> 00:27:06.214 We're able to talk at the end of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00{:}27{:}06{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07{.}935$  the session because I have been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00{:}27{:}07{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}108$  really trying to think about what is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- 00:27:10.108 --> 00:27:12.232 a framework to think about guiding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- 00:27:12.232 --> 00:27:14.096 pediatric clinicians about use of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00{:}27{:}14.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.688$  of pre approval access.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- 00:27:15.690 --> 00:27:17.208 Outside of the confines of cancer,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- $00:27:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.250$  which is when we usually talk about it,

00:27:19.250 --> 00:27:21.070 but unfortunately I don't have 10 nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:27:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.797$  editorials to share with you about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:27:22.800 --> 00:27:25.115 'cause it doesn't seem like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:27:25.115 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.967$  people are writing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:27:26.970 --> 00:27:28.302 So now I wanna really shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}28.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.799$  gears and talk about the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}29{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31{.}582$  'cause if you want to think about where is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:27:31.582 \rightarrow 00:27:33.339$  the money in pediatric research ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:27:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.356$  it is in vaccines which are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:27:35.356 - 00:27:37.570 the heart of every pediatrician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:27:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.400$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}39{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41{.}650$  I will admit that I have bristled at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}41.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.018$  term operation warp speed this whole time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}44.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.760$  There's something that about it that

 $00:27:45.760 \rightarrow 00:27:48.988$  I don't like, a feel like it kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}48{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51{.}643$  Is antithetical to what you want and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}51{.}643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53{.}734$  methodical and careful research and NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:27:53.734 \rightarrow 00:27:55.949$  that nothing involving human subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58{.}698$  research should be happen at warp speed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}27{:}58{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}820$  But now with two doses of vaccine in NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}28{:}00{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03{.}079$  my arm and looking at this figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}28{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.278$  I'm starting to wonder if maybe my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}28{:}05{.}278$  -->  $00{:}28{:}07{.}472$  approach to this is a little antiquated NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10{.}067$  and I should view this as a good thing NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}28{:}10.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.424$  and a bad thing and I'll get back to NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:28:12.424 --> 00:28:14.176 operation warp speed in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}28{:}14.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.210$  But this is just a comparison of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00:28:16.210 \rightarrow 00:28:17.430$  previous historical viral epidemics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}28{:}17{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19{.}230$  and the time from first observation NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:28:19.230 --> 00:28:21.180 of the disease to having a vaccine.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457
- 00:28:21.180 --> 00:28:23.300 Ann and I just it really did happen

 $00{:}28{:}23{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}559$  at warp speed for stars coming too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:28:25.560 --> 00:28:28.188 and I think this figure shows it really well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

00:28:28.190 --> 00:28:28.762 I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263457

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31{.}050$  If the person who can't see it still

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:28:31.117 \rightarrow 00:28:33.250$  can't see it, but the idea here is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}28{:}33{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}394$  you know, we've known about Merge for six

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}28{:}35{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}555$  years and we still don't have a vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}28{:}37{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}168$  It was 15 years from it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

00:28:39.170 --> 00:28:41.582 took 15 years to get A Bola vaccine approved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}28{:}41.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.599$  and it wasn't like the laughable outbreak

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:28:43.599 \rightarrow 00:28:45.340$  was the first of all app, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:28:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.590$  We knew about it for a long time after

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

00:28:47.646 - 00:28:49.935 that before that, and so this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}28{:}49{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51{.}280$  happening in an unprecedented way.

 $00:28:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.422$  And of course the research ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56{.}308$  was going to be a little different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:28:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.920$  So this is a refresher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:28:57.920 \rightarrow 00:29:00.181$  an vaccine development and testing isn't a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:29:00.181 \rightarrow 00:29:02.409$  ton difference than other new drug testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:29:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.657$  and then it goes through many phases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:29:04.660 \rightarrow 00:29:06.260$  including laboratory and animal studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:29:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.304$  in which you do in vitro and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

00:29:08.304 --> 00:29:10.110 then in vivo and animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:29:10.110 \rightarrow 00:29:12.036$  and you apply for your Ind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}29{:}12.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.608$  An vaccine studies have the same Phase 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}29{:}14.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.906$  two and three that other drugs do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}29{:}16.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.098$  same process for approval and licensure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}29{:}19{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20{.}690$  but there are some additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}29{:}20.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.150$  steps here and a lot of these come

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:29:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.573$  out come from in the time of post

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00{:}29{:}25{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27{.}509$  licensure monitoring in vaccines.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00{:}29{:}27{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29{.}586$  So we think about the classic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00:29:29.586 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.624$  phase four trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00:29:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.052$  in which we look at how these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00{:}29{:}33.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.800$  vaccines are functioning in public.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00{:}29{:}34{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37{.}248$  But we also have systems in place for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00:29:37.248 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.310$  adverse event reporting for vaccines.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- 00:29:39.310 > 00:29:41.040 There's a vaccine safety data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00:29:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.078$  safety data link,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00:29:42.080 \rightarrow 00:29:44.168$  which is like spotty and told,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00:29:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.252$  but allows for this real coordination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00{:}29{:}46{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47{.}640$  of vaccine adverse events.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00:29:47.640 \rightarrow 00:29:49.704$  And there's also this really interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00:29:49.704 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.450$  history about the vaccine court,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692
- $00{:}29{:}51{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54{.}586$  but so thinking about this you sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:29:54.586 \rightarrow 00:29:58.006$  wonder how warp speed can this possibly be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}29{:}58.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.257$  Some of the reason this happened quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:30:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.248$  Apparently in the time of Comet isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:30:02.248 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.446$  just because we were so so so motivated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}30{:}04{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06{.}396$  but also because of the nature of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:30:06.396 \dashrightarrow 00:30:08.378$  the RNA vaccine and just ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:30:08.378 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.300$  speed this up in a different way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:30:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.664$  But it really is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:30:11.664 \rightarrow 00:30:13.369$  Amazing and astonishing to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

00:30:13.369 --> 00:30:16.050 of how fast we went from first

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}30{:}16.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17.935$  observation of COVID-19 disease to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00{:}30{:}17{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20{.}007$  a vaccine in the arms of millions

NOTE Confidence: 0.858805792307692

 $00:30:20.007 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.578$  of people around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:30:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.592$  So this is where it starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:30:25.592 \rightarrow 00:30:26.820$  to get really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}26.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.039$  I think for children in vaccines and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00:30:29.039 \rightarrow 00:30:30.913$  immediately when we think of vaccines

 $00{:}30{:}30{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33{.}007$  are supposed to be for kids, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:30:33.007 \dashrightarrow 00:30:34.792$  That's this intuitive sense that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}34{.}792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36{.}992$  vaccines are supposed to be for kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:30:36.992 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.762$  and our kids are home, darn it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}38{.}762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40{.}508$  And. And they can't go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:30:40.508 \dashrightarrow 00:30:42.169$  school because of this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}42.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.910$  And couldn't we get them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}43.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.190$  vaccinated and send them to school?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}46.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.214$  And so this was so apparent so early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}48.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.998$  And it was so painfully out clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51{.}988$  so early that we were going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}51{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53{.}835$  have a vaccine for a dults months

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}30{:}53.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.689$  before we had it for kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:30:55.690 --> 00:30:57.225 Amen, I learned a really

- $00:30:57.225 \rightarrow 00:30:58.146$  interesting thing here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00{:}30{:}58.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.690$  I learned this term called
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00{:}30{:}59{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00{.}922$  the age D escalation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- 00:31:00.930 --> 00:31:02.995 which wasn't a term that I knew
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00{:}31{:}02{.}995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03{.}880$  specifically which describes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- 00:31:03.936 --> 00:31:05.511 this intuitive walking down the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- 00:31:05.511 --> 00:31:07.086 age spectrum of vaccine testing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- 00:31:07.090 --> 00:31:09.853 and I want to work through this with you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- 00:31:09.860 --> 00:31:11.702 'cause I think it's kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00:31:11.702 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.623$  tricky and important,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- 00:31:12.630 --> 00:31:13.599 but generally speaking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00{:}31{:}13.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.860$  it's the case for vaccines that Phase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00:31:15.914 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.498$  1 two trials are conducted first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00:31:17.498 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.409$  in adults than in older children,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00:31:19.410 \rightarrow 00:31:20.950$  and finally in progressively younger
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00{:}31{:}20{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22{.}490$  children as it becomes relevant.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00{:}31{:}22.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23.465$  So for example,

 $00:31:23.465 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.740$  there's not a lot of reason to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}25.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.981$  Test HPV vaccine in the youngest kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:31:28.981 --> 00:31:31.002 right now. Epidemiology of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:31:31.002 \dashrightarrow 00:31:32.640$  The risk benefits of the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}32.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.005$  There's need to be calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:31:34.005 \rightarrow 00:31:35.097$  for each age group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:31:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.498$  The safety profile of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}36{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}166$  factors need to be taken into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:31:38.166 --> 00:31:39.186 account in deescalation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}39{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}230$  and this is due to this classic thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}41{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43{.}559$  that we say in Pediatrics all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}43.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.760$  which is the kids aren't just little adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:31:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.055$  Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}47.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49.120$  there are situations in which it really

 $00{:}31{:}49{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51{.}247$  makes sense to vaccinate young children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}51{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}805$  because young children those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}52.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.299$  the ones who get this and so there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:31:55.299 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.057$  you can raise a moral argument

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:31:57.057 --> 00:31:58.828 against testing a vaccine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}31{:}58.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00{.}240$  an older patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:00.240 \dashrightarrow 00:32:01.845$  If that population really won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:01.845 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.450$  derive any benefit from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}03{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04{.}738$  There are some situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:32:04.738 --> 00:32:05.060 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:32:05.060 --> 00:32:07.307 in which adults can do this for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:32:07.307 --> 00:32:08.270 an altruistic reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.494$  and a group of adults can say we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:10.494 \rightarrow 00:32:12.518$  think it's worth having some safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}12.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.010$  data in a dults before we test this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:32:15.010 --> 00:32:15.678 In kids,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073
- $00:32:15.678 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.014$  understanding that we will

 $00:32:17.014 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.350$  never benefit from this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:32:18.350 --> 00:32:20.663 I don't have a good modern example of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

00:32:20.670 --> 00:32:23.442 but I think this is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:23.442 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.828$  interesting moral idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}24.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26.776$  And there are some situations in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:26.776 \dashrightarrow 00:32:28.669$  there just isn't a lot of scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}28.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.181$  or moral validity in testing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}30{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32{.}058$  vaccine in a dults or older children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.728$  Some of this has to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.308$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}34{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35{.}753$  if a dults are previously vaccinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00:32:35.753 \rightarrow 00:32:37.340$  or previously immune to it exposures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}37{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38{.}640$  there might be situations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}38.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.292$  which you can make a scientific

 $00:32:40.292 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.044$  case that you really have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836073

 $00{:}32{:}42.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.180$  test younger children first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}32{:}45{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47{.}918$  And just drive home this point about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}32{:}47{.}918$  -->  $00{:}32{:}49{.}890$  children not being little adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52{.}104$  There's some data that they express

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}32{:}52{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53{.}910$  different antibodies in different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:32:53.910 \rightarrow 00:32:56.100$  and this is important in thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:32:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.560$  about things like vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}32{:}57{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59{.}495$  It's also thinking important thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}32{:}59{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01{.}794$  about the clinical trials for testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}33{:}01{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04{.}210$  and I will say that I didn't find

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}33{:}04{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06{.}936$  anything really in the in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}33{:}06{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08{.}508$  talking about child participation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:33:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.990$  in vaxin in the test in the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:33:10.990 \rightarrow 00:33:12.889$  trials of covenanting tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:33:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.040$  I just didn't see that anywhere.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236
- $00{:}33{:}16{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18{.}416$  And there have been a lot of studies.

00:33:18.420 --> 00:33:20.196 If you look at what's currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

00:33:20.196 --> 00:33:20.788 in clinical trials.gov,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}33{:}20.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.126$  there are a lot of studies of particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

00:33:23.126 --> 00:33:24.949 rapid antibody type tests of children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:33:24.950 \rightarrow 00:33:26.500$  and this difference an antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:33:26.500 \rightarrow 00:33:28.386$  expression might be a really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:33:28.386 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.374$  reason that you would want to include

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}33{:}30{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32{.}079$  children and say an antibody test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00:33:32.080 \rightarrow 00:33:34.159$  Generally, the testing is pretty low risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82600236

 $00{:}33{:}34{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36{.}528$  so it doesn't generate a lot of controversy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}33{:}39{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41{.}780$  But this is where to me this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:33:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.420$  gets so so interesting so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}33{:}44{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46{.}852$  We are now desperate to get children tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}33{:}46.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.519$  and were desperate for a couple of reasons.

 $00:33:49.520 \dashrightarrow 00:33:51.640$  One is that I think as people think

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}33{:}51{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53{.}353$  about kids with unique vulnerabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}33{:}53{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}585$  and even if the overall never.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

00:33:55.590 --> 00:33:57.180 I haven't said this explicitly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}33{:}57{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}735$  but even if the overall burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}33{:}59{.}735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}040$  this epidemic seems to be low on NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}02{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04{.}389$  kids and the kids don't seem to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}04{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06{.}426$  sick and die as much as adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}06{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}782$  parents of kids with a lot of magic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10{.}702$  medical frailty are terrified of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:10.702 \rightarrow 00:34:13.041$  and really worry about about what if NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:13.041 \rightarrow 00:34:15.267$  my child with chronic respiratory failure. NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}15{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17{.}195$  Gets a terrible case of COVID-19 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:17.195 \dashrightarrow 00:34:19.176$  becomes really sick and you can imagine NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

00:34:19.176 --> 00:34:20.826 how sailing at this was particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:20.883 \rightarrow 00:34:22.808$  the beginning of pandemic when it also

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:34:22.808 \rightarrow 00:34:25.375$  wasn't clear that we were going to have

 $00:34:25.375 \rightarrow 00:34:27.110$  ventilators for all those children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.856$  And so we do see a lot of push

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:28.856 \rightarrow 00:34:30.719$  from parents who want this vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:30.719 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.680$  for direct benefit for their kid

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:32.680 \dashrightarrow 00:34:34.150$  because they're so desperate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.916$  the child not to get covered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}35{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}967$  And in fact there are some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}37{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39{.}700$  interesting claims coming out of China

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.720$  that one of their vaccines is testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

00:34:41.720 --> 00:34:42.473 Really young kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

00:34:42.473 --> 00:34:44.526 and I read this and my first response

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}44{.}526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46{.}422$  to this was why can they do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}46{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48{.}362$  And we can't and why clearly other

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:34:48.362 \dashrightarrow 00:34:50.335$  people have got this right and have NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

72

 $00{:}34{:}50{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52{.}275$  figured out that we should be trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}52.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.339$  to find a way to test younger kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}54{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56{.}111$  This is where I think this tension

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}56{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57{.}666$  of individual and public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}57.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.776$  gets really interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}34{:}58{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}844$  and the quote on the right of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}00{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02{.}722$  screen comes from that article about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}02.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.672$  the Chinese vaccine and the trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:35:04.737 \rightarrow 00:35:06.781$  of early kids and what they're really NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}06{.}781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08{.}840$  thinking of is how can we control

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:35:08.840 \rightarrow 00:35:10.315$  this epidemic in our population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}10{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12{.}516$  And is one way to do that to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

00:35:12.516 --> 00:35:14.470 younger kids vaccinated with quick?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}14.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15.654$  And this, I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}15.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.430$  talk about ripped from the headlines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:35:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.036$  this article.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:18.036 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.854$  On the left I found yesterday
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- 00:35:19.854 --> 00:35:21.637 talking about when we can expect
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:21.637 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.753$  results of the vaccine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- 00:35:22.760 --> 00:35:24.806 but I just want to call
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:24.806 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.170$  your attention to this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.546$  For that, I've highlighted,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:27.546 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.578$  which is vaccinating.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.638$  Kids could be key to halting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00{:}35{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}408$  spread and herd immunity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- 00:35:32.408 --> 00:35:34.176 And this to me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.344$  that might be true,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:35.344 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.457$  but I think that we really need
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:37.457 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.245$  to stop and reflect on this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:35:39.250 \rightarrow 00:35:41.038$  because then we're not really talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- 00:35:41.038 --> 00:35:42.230 about kids getting vaccinated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:35:42.230 \rightarrow 00:35:44.316$  so they don't get covid and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

00:35:44.316 --> 00:35:45.210 don't get sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:35:45.210 \dashrightarrow 00:35:46.998$  We're talking about kids getting vaccinated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}47.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48.866$  so their teachers and their parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}48.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.570$  and their grand parents in the bus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}50{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52{.}376$  Drivers don't get sick and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:35:52.376 \dashrightarrow 00:35:53.917$  can certainly make arguments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

00:35:53.917 -> 00:35:55.597 why children are better off when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}55{.}597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57{.}398$  their parents are healthy and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}57{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59{.}204$  better off on their parents have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00{:}35{:}59{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}404$  financial stability because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:36:00.404 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.596$  not losing their jobs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:36:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.736$  So there are certainly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:36:02.736 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.782$  Lots of lines to direct benefit to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:36:04.782 \dashrightarrow 00:36:06.468$  kids for having this pandemic ends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797

 $00:36:06.470 \dashrightarrow 00:36:08.234$  but it's a little more direct and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86498797
- $00:36:08.234 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.989$  I really hope we can talk about

 $00{:}36{:}09{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11{.}405$  this because in the in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00{:}36{:}11.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.636$  discussion 'cause I find this to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:13.636 \rightarrow 00:36:16.440$  incredibly complex and thought provoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

00:36:16.440 --> 00:36:18.552 So again, you know I threatened

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:18.552 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.953$  to talk about this a lot and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:20.953 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.783$  I'm talking about this a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:22.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.435$  but there's a great article

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00{:}36{:}24{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}26{.}812$  that's still in the all you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00{:}36{:}26{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28{.}798$  get is the Word document version,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:28.800 \dashrightarrow 00:36:30.360$  but it's free access in Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

00:36:30.360 --> 00:36:32.145 from some of our lawyer friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

00:36:32.145 --> 00:36:33.529 talking about different ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:33.529 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.432$  that our regulatory body could

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00{:}36{:}35{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}809$  approach vaccinating children,

00:36:36.810 --> 00:36:38.910 and at what stage of safety and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

00:36:38.910 --> 00:36:40.636 efficacy should we be including

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:40.636 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.156$  children in these trials?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.652$  And I think they find this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00{:}36{:}44.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.300$  nice and tabular way of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

00:36:46.300 --> 00:36:48.520 Weighing out the pros and cons

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00{:}36{:}48{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50{.}925$  of a very conservative versus a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00{:}36{:}50{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53{.}055$  very effective approach and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

00:36:53.055 --> 00:36:55.183 tradeoffs of slowing down vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.870443399999999

 $00:36:55.183 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.530$  into the arms of children, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}36{:}59{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01{.}240$  That on the one hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:37:01.240 --> 00:37:02.860 but potentially having more risks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}02.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.308$  on the other hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}05{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07{.}494$  And I thought this was a great read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:37:07.500 \rightarrow 00:37:09.330$  I thought this was really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}09{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.827$  and particularly this point about

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849388
- $00:37:10.827 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.423$  the risk to lots of public trust.

 $00{:}37{:}12.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.800$  If you get this wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:37:13.800 \rightarrow 00:37:15.501$  and so there's really not one answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:37:15.501 \dashrightarrow 00:37:17.178$  to what should the regulatory approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}17.178$  -->  $00{:}37{:}19.278$  be to enrolling kids in vaccine trials?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:37:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.746$  Or when is the right time to do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}21.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.752$  But I think that some really smart

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}23.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.178$  and interesting people are starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}25.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.226$  to tease this out in a systematic way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}27{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31{.}326$  That's going to help us think about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}31{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33{.}589$  So now I have to talk about the part

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:37:33.589 - 00:37:35.908 of this that's the hardest for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}35{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}620$  and it's the hardest for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}37{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39{.}489$  I think both because it's not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:37:39.489 - > 00:37:41.339 strong area of expertise for me,

 $00:37:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.524$  but also just because this has been so

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}43.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.626$  painful in America over the last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:37:45.630 --> 00:37:47.340 and not only because we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:37:47.340 \rightarrow 00:37:48.195$  these disparities now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}48.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.400$  but was we've been forced to contend with

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:37:50.400 \rightarrow 00:37:52.778$  how long they've been there and how long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}52.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53.129$  Race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:37:53.129 \rightarrow 00:37:55.223$  racism and inequity have played a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}55{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57{.}329$  role in American research ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:37:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.577$  So this started coming out really early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}37{:}59{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}073$  This was a slide I made for my very

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:38:02.073 --> 00:38:04.319 early talks on Covid in the spring

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}04{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06{.}734$  and summer when we were really talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:38:06.734 \rightarrow 00:38:09.240$  about how are we going to grapple

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}09{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11{.}346$  with the historical unease that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:38:11.346 \rightarrow 00:38:13.080$  black community has with research

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849388
- $00{:}38{:}13{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15{.}232$  in America and how are we going to

 $00:38:15.232 \rightarrow 00:38:16.895$  be trustworthy and be transparent

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}16{.}895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19{.}734$  and make sure that we come up with NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:38:19.734 --> 00:38:22.653 a vaccine that people want to take?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:38:22.660 --> 00:38:24.688 Informed by by studies that reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}24.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26.810$  who we are as a country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}26.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.057$  and this has been such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}29{.}057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30{.}620$  deeply held fearing concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}30{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32{.}930$  I think even though most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}32{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35{.}120$  writing about this isn't about kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}35{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38{.}410$  but we really can't skip this part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:38:38.410 --> 00:38:40.552 And no where more than I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}40{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42{.}869$  in this issue of recent research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}38{:}42.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.928$  is this issue of access versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:38:44.928 \rightarrow 00:38:45.957$  protection more salient?

 $00{:}38{:}48{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51{.}198$  my skin a little bit is when I see NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:38:51.198 \dashrightarrow 00:38:53.010$  these headlines that really boil NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:38:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.440$  this down to something like black NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:38:55.440 \rightarrow 00:38:57.618$  community won't be in research trial, NOTE Confidence: 0.849388 00:38:57.618 --> 00:38:58.986 because Tuskegee and distrust. NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:38:58.990 \dashrightarrow 00:39:01.482$  And that's not to downplay that deep NOTE Confidence: 0.849388 00:39:01.482 --> 00:39:03.450 and painful history of test Kyan, NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:39:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.508$  that mistrust that's been so so NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:39:05.508 \rightarrow 00:39:06.537$  hard to recover. NOTE Confidence: 0.849388 00:39:06.540 --> 00:39:08.658 But I really think it's important NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:39:08.658 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.717$  to think about. NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:39:09.720 \rightarrow 00:39:11.918$  Other ways that we have created and NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:39:11.918 \rightarrow 00:39:13.646$  allowed for barriers of historically NOTE Confidence: 0.849388  $00:39:13.646 \rightarrow 00:39:16.180$  disadvantaged groups to be part of trials. NOTE Confidence: 0.849388 00:39:16.180 --> 00:39:18.469 And if you think about hidden costs 81

00:38:45.960 --> 00:38:48.344 And one thing that kind of gets under

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849388
- $00:39:18.469 \rightarrow 00:39:20.475$  of participating in research such as

00:39:20.475 --> 00:39:22.722 coming back to the hospital for extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:39:22.784 --> 00:39:24.636 research, paying for extra visits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:39:24.636 \rightarrow 00:39:26.610$  paying for parking is a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}39{:}26.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.419$  issue at my own institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}39{:}28{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30{.}800$  Buying lunch when you're at the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:39:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.840$  If you have to travel far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}39{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35{.}059$  Concerns about access to to poor health

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:39:35.059 --> 00:39:36.920 literacy or lack of information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:39:36.920 \dashrightarrow 00:39:38.660$  and various ways that implicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:39:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.052$  biases play in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00{:}39{:}40.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.944$  It is certainly about mistrust and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

00:39:41.944 --> 00:39:43.690 certainly about a nasty history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849388

 $00:39:43.690 \dashrightarrow 00:39:46.660$  but it's also got a lot of other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:39:46.660 \rightarrow 00:39:48.310$  And the other thing is,

 $00:39:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.960$  it's about the funding pipeline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00{:}39{:}49{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52{.}151$  and it's about who gets funded an

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

00:39:52.151 - 00:39:54.908 WHO is in a position to be funded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:39:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.110$  an how lack of diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:39:57.110 \dashrightarrow 00:39:58.430$  among principle investigators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:39:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.326$  Is part of the problem that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:40:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.750$  Impacts what gets funded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:40:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.815$  particularly the NIH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00{:}40{:}02.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.046$  And so the issue of bringing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00{:}40{:}05{.}046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07{.}240$  diversity to our trials and having

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:40:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.800$  our trial populations represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:40:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.010$  who we are in the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00{:}40{:}11.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.790$  It's really thorny and complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:40:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.002$  and all of the things that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:40:15.002 \rightarrow 00:40:17.132$  been talking about in this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86043406

 $00:40:17.132 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.620$  complicated time in American

 $00:40:18.620 \rightarrow 00:40:20.980$  history play out in research ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}40{:}23.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.946$  And one more thing to kind of bring this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:25.946 \rightarrow 00:40:28.528$  point home is just thinking you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.594$  So black people make up about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:30.594 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.010 \ 13\%$  of the population in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.074$  but have accounted for 21% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:35.074 \rightarrow 00:40:37.136$  the deaths of covid. And sadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}40{:}37.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.537$  only 3% of enrollees in vaccine trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}40{:}39{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41{.}260$  This threatens the validity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.160$  generalizability of the trial, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}40{:}44{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46{.}384$  And you know, I won't belabor this any

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}40{:}46{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48{.}616$  further, but this is not a small issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}40{:}48.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50.300$  and so I really like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}268$ You know, I harped earlier about my dislike NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:52.268 \rightarrow 00:40:54.198$  of the phrase operation warp speed,

 $00:40:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.880$  but I really liked this phrase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.714$  Trust could be earned more quickly by

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:40:57.714 \rightarrow 00:40:58.950$  a collaboratively designed operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}40{:}58{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00{.}600$  Build trust with worthiness that matches

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:00.600 \rightarrow 00:41:02.289$  the seriousness and scope of operation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.822$  Warp speed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:41:02.822 --> 00:41:03.354 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:41:03.354 --> 00:41:05.360 I couldn't have said it better myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:41:05.360 --> 00:41:07.788 so I didn't try.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:07.790 \rightarrow 00:41:10.724$  But the sad so sorry before my sad thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.725$  One of the things that's been really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:41:12.725 --> 00:41:14.356 interesting is I think different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}14.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.876$  approaches that that leaders in the black

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}16.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18.906$  community have taken to addressing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}18{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20{.}947$  and one strategy has been to people

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:20.947 \rightarrow 00:41:23.256$  in positions of leadership and sort of

 $00{:}41{:}23.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.708$  figures of respect and authority have said

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}25.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.060$  we're going to volunteer for these trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:28.060 \rightarrow 00:41:30.492$  and we think we owe it to ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:30.492 \rightarrow 00:41:33.300$  and one another to be in these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:33.300 \dashrightarrow 00:41:36.234$  And there's a big case that cost my eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:41:36.240 --> 00:41:37.628 'cause I'm from Louisiana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:37.628 \rightarrow 00:41:39.710$  But the presidents of two historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:41:39.763 --> 00:41:41.521 black universities in New Orleans said

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}41{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43{.}980$  we were going to enroll in these trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}43.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45.834$  and we recommend that our student

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:45.834 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.070$  bodies do this too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:47.070 \rightarrow 00:41:49.226$  And this was not met without controversy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}49{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51{.}006$  and there was some significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:51.006 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.569$  bash backlash from parents of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}52{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53{.}869$  students at these institutions.

 $00:41:53.870 \rightarrow 00:41:55.340$  So this wasn't universally welcomed

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:41:55.340 \rightarrow 00:41:58.190$  as a sa way to address this issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}41{:}58{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01{.}172$  but I find this to be interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:01.172 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.450$  and thought provoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}02{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03{.}814$  But for all this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:42:03.814 --> 00:42:05.860 unfortunately the news isn't very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}05{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08{.}074$  and this was a figure I found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:08.074 \rightarrow 00:42:10.567$  thought I thought laid out really nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:42:10.570 --> 00:42:12.466 The proportion of people in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:42:12.466 --> 00:42:14.095 states who have been vaccinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:42:14.095 --> 00:42:16.265 who are black set up against the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}16.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.965$  proportion of residents of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:42:17.965 --> 00:42:19.665 state for black and importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.656$  of the proportion of health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}21.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.900$  workers in that state who are black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:23.900 \rightarrow 00:42:26.154$  And that's important when the people who

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334
- $00:42:26.154 \rightarrow 00:42:28.448$  have been vaccinated for health care workers.

 $00{:}42{:}28{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30{.}400$  And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.458$  we're not doing great here and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.735$  There are some states where

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:33.735 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.010$  we're doing better than others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}35{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36{.}962$  but even in states where a huge proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:36.962 \rightarrow 00:42:38.580$  of health care workers are black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}38{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39{.}948$  there are a very small fraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}39{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41{.}380$  of people who've been vaccinated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.376$  and so to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}42{.}376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44{.}185$  this says that our fears were warranted

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}44.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.480$  and we've got a lot of work to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

00:42:46.480 --> 00:42:46.990 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.030$  we didn't solve this on the 1st pass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.505$  and we have a lot more work to do

 $00:42:51.505 \rightarrow 00:42:54.390$  to figure out how to do better here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00:42:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.806$  So if your question is what on Earth

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}56.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.646$  does this have to do with pediatric ethics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}42{:}59{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01{.}570$  That's fair and I didn't find

NOTE Confidence: 0.82339334

 $00{:}43{:}01{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02{.}850$  anything in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}02{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05{.}556$  specifically in or in the Lane press really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}05{.}556$  -->  $00{:}43{:}07{.}880$  about children of color and vaccine trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:43:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.472$  but I think there's no reason to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}10.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12.809$  that they would be immune to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}12.810$  -->  $00{:}43{:}15.155$  and that the forces that impact their NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:43:15.155 --> 00:43:17.089 parents aren't going to infect them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:43:17.090 --> 00:43:19.334 And in the really compelling letter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}19{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22{.}378$  from the AP to the to the Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}22.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.580$  and Human services and the FDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}24{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26{.}536$  This difference in mortality among black

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:43:26.536 --> 00:43:28.700 Matlack Latin X children was highlighted,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062
- 00:43:28.700 --> 00:43:30.746 and I thought said really nicely.

 $00{:}43{:}30{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32{.}934$  The population studied must reflect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:43:32.934 \rightarrow 00:43:35.361$  racial and ethnic diversity of the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}35{.}361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37{.}185$  population and not exclude populations at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:43:37.185 \rightarrow 00:43:39.667$  risk that may greatly benefit from Maxine's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:43:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.860$  including children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:43:41.860 \rightarrow 00:43:45.208$  So we know this is a vulnerability for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}45{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47{.}070$  We know that health disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}47.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48.930$  impact children in devastating ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:43:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.527$  and we seem to not have quite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}51{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54{.}840$  not quite not at all figured out what to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}54{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58{.}223$  to realize the dream of the vaccine trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}43{:}58{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01{.}500$  Reflecting the diversity of this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}01{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03{.}795$  So I wish I had happier news on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}03{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05{.}550$  This is a little bit of a

00:44:05.550 --> 00:44:07.388 dark place to wrap up my part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}07{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09{.}161$  My talking about vaccines but but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:09.161 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.133$  think this is this is part of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:11.133 \rightarrow 00:44:13.019$  we've got to do together as ethicists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.538$  So finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}13.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.840$  because I think I've got time to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:44:15.840 --> 00:44:17.883 I want to talk a little bit about research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:17.883 \rightarrow 00:44:19.418$  integrity in public publication ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:44:19.420 --> 00:44:21.598 I spent a lot of time on this for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}21{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23{.}519$  those folks who are who do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:44:23.520 --> 00:44:25.038 I don't know if your program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}25{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26{.}589$  is is where people get this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.446$  but if you have a K award you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:28.446 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.342$  required to get 10 hours of in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:30.342 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.442$  person training on research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:31.450 \rightarrow 00:44:32.488$  ethics and integrity.

00:44:32.488 --> 00:44:34.910 Um and so at University of Michigan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}34{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36{.}905$  RC TSA takes responsibility for making sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:44:36.905 \rightarrow 00:44:39.300$  that all our categories get that training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:44:39.300 --> 00:44:41.838 and I teach it with my good friend Kate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}41{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43{.}620$  Spectre Baghdadi and we always have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}43.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.840$  a lot of fun talking about research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}45{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48{.}360$  ethics and integrity and in the covid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}48{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51{.}611$  vaccine then the covid era I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}51{.}611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54{.}830$  it wasn't fun but it was relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}44{:}54{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58{.}316$  So when I teach people about falsification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:44:58.320 --> 00:44:59.560 plagiarism, fabrication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:44:59.560 --> 00:45:04.520 I use this like you know this lingo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}04.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.530$  of too long didn't read and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:45:07.530 --> 00:45:10.170 Really. Just don't steal \*\*\*\*.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

00:45:10.170 --> 00:45:11.134 Don't make \*\*\*\* up,

 $00:45:11.134 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.580$  don't change it and I've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:12.631 \rightarrow 00:45:14.587$  permission from Doctor Mirror to swear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}14{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16{.}417$  but I think it's important for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:16.417 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.437$  to be funny because it really needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}18{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20{.}510$  to go in 'cause everyone says I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}20{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21{.}486$  would never do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.146$  This could never happen to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.976$  I know better this this won't happen and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}25{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28{.}516$  happens and it happens over and over again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:28.520 \rightarrow 00:45:30.354$  So we use the example of hydroxychloroquine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}30{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32{.}047$  so all of you guys will remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:32.047 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.674$  the great hope about this at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:33.674 \rightarrow 00:45:35.084$  the beginning of the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:35.090 \rightarrow 00:45:35.873$  and this cheap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}178$  widely available drug was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:37.178 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.036$  to be the Savior for this thing.

 $00:45:39.040 \rightarrow 00:45:41.049$  And then you look at the cumulative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00{:}45{:}41.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.177$  trials and you see a peak in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:43.177 \rightarrow 00:45:44.933$  spring of new trials and it starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435062

 $00:45:44.933 \rightarrow 00:45:46.397$  to go down and you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}45{:}46{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}224$  And then our hopes came crashing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}45{:}48{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50{.}394$  down and this just wasn't the panacea

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}45{:}50{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52{.}720$  that we thought it was going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:45:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.900$  And if you follow this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:45:53.900 --> 00:45:55.090 it was super dramatic, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:45:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.058$  It works, it doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}45{:}56.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58.170$  It works it doesn't it see if it's not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}45{:}58{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59{.}871$  you know, and it ended up being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}45{:}59{.}871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01{.}488$  this sort of 1 two punch of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}01{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02{.}906$  It's not clear that it works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.806$  and it's also not clear that it's safe,

 $00:46:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.750$  but in the middle of all this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}07.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.042$  I started paying attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:09.042 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.334$  to this delightful website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}10.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.115$  an organization called Retraction Watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}12.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.928$  that is a group that that sort of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}14.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.186$  aim is to share with the public sort NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}17.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.406$  of the process of Journal retractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.522$  How many get retracted and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:46:21.522 --> 00:46:23.304 happens when they get retracted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}23{.}304$  -->  $00{:}46{:}25{.}995$  and what I want to point out to you NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:46:26.068 --> 00:46:28.210 here is this is refreshed a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}28{.}210$  -->  $00{:}46{:}29{.}778$  of days ago Journal retractions NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:29.778 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.370$  to date an we had gotten to 62.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}32{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34{.}687$  This is a lot of retractions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:46:34.687 --> 00:46:36.423 this doesn't include some more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:36.423 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.118$  being versions of this and.

 $00:46:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.955$  People behind Retraction Watch have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:46:39.955 --> 00:46:41.790 been looking empirically to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.359$  Is there really an uptick in this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.316$  And it really seems like there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:46.316 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.325$  is an that in this race to learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:49.325 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.953$  more about this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:50.960 \rightarrow 00:46:54.326$  We've gotten a little sloppy sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}54{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56{.}280$  And if what you're thinking is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}46{:}56{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}152$  this happens to those disreputable journals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:46:58.152 \rightarrow 00:47:00.179$  that email me saying dear Leventhal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:47:00.180 --> 00:47:00.824 Naomi T.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}00{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02{.}756$  We would love to review your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:02.756 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.047$  paper and publish it in two days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}05{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05{.}724$  Think again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}05{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07{.}746$  This happened to the New England

00:47:07.746 --> 00:47:09.929 Journal in The Lancet and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}122$  not too shabby journals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}11.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.328$  The you know the source of respect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:13.328 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.128$  and dignity around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}15{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17{.}882$  And both of them got into trouble with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}17.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.810$  datasets that couldn't be verified

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.150$  and had to retract their papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.160$  And this is a big deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}24.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.836$  This is an overwhelmingly big deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}26.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27.308$  And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:27.308 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.584$  One of the other ways that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:30.584 \rightarrow 00:47:33.578$  is a big deal is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}33.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.788$  Retractions don't necessarily mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:34.788 \rightarrow 00:47:36.600$  that people stop citing the articles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:36.600 \rightarrow 00:47:39.016$  and there's a couple of reasons for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.127$  Summer has to do with the whale.

 $00:47:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.780$  It searches show you whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}42.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.450$  not something has been retracted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:47:44.450 --> 00:47:46.871 so like if you go to The Lancet it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:46.871 \rightarrow 00:47:49.280$  says in huge letters that it's red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}49{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51{.}394$  but people cut corners in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}47{:}51{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52{.}910$  A lot of the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.546$  and it's actually the case that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:47:56.550 --> 00:47:57.906 Citing a reference that you haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:47:57.906 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.372$  actually gone to and verified yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:47:59.372 --> 00:48:00.920 is on the spectrum of plagiarism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}48{:}00{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02{.}378$  but people tend not to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:48:02.378 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.082$  about it this way, and sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:48:04.082 --> 00:48:04.570 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}48{:}04{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06{.}682$  if you if you're trying to cite something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}48{:}06.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.935$  and you're in a hurry and you don't have it,

 $00:48:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.155$  'cause you know the reviewers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}48{:}10.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11.127$  wanted to decide it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:48:11.130 --> 00:48:11.871 You're like alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}48{:}11.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.118$  fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}48{:}12.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.600$  You might not follow those links

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}48{:}13.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.529$  to their logical end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:48:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.582$  and you might well be citing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:48:16.582 \rightarrow 00:48:18.327$  something that was retraction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00{:}48{:}18.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20.199$  not realize that you're doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:48:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.277$  And that's problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:48:21.277 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.790$  If there is a question about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

 $00:48:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.070$  scientific integrity of the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377

00:48:26.070 - 00:48:27.138 Of the paper that you're signing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

00:48:27.140 --> 00:48:28.316 I'm gonna pause just to say there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00:48:28.316 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.290$  somebody in the waiting room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

00:48:29.290 --> 00:48:31.690 I'm happy to let them in but I don't know

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- $00:48:31.754 \rightarrow 00:48:34.157$  if I'm supposed to be the one doing that.

00:48:34.160 --> 00:48:35.936 So to wrap up on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

00:48:35.940 --> 00:48:37.430 you know don't feel stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00:48:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.321$  don't change stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00:48:38.321 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.400$  don't mix them up the stuff up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}48{:}40{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42{.}254$  but the other thing about research

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}48{:}42.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.550$  integrity that I just want to stay here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00:48:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.326$  And this is true in so many ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}48{:}46{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48{.}135$  as you are responsible for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}48{:}48{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}085$  own stuff and what happened with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}48{:}50{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52{.}133$  these retractions in The Lancet in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}48{:}52{.}133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}044$  the New England Journal was that NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}48{:}54{.}044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55{.}504$  they contractid with private data

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}48{:}55{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57{.}325$  sources and then couldn't vouch for NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00:48:57.325 \rightarrow 00:48:59.107$  the sanctity of those data sources.

 $00:48:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.140$  But the same thing happens when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}01{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02{.}968$  cite something that you haven't read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}02{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05{.}010$  That's a failure to be responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}05{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06{.}370$  for your own check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07{.}486$  And that, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}07{.}486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}09{.}834$  is another is a is a authentic

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00:49:09.834 \rightarrow 00:49:12.238$  problem with research integrity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}12{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13{.}976$  So thanks for granting me that it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

00:49:13.976 --> 00:49:15.710 little outside of the scope of I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00:49:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.297$  probably what you expected me to talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

00:49:17.300 --> 00:49:18.875 but I do think it's part of the cadence

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}18.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.469$  of research ethics of this pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}20{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22{.}661$  and so I'm I'm glad I got

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}22.661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.538$  a chance to fit it in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00{:}49{:}24{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27{.}390$  But so I think this is where I can wrap

NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336

 $00:49:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.400$  up and we can have lots of time to talk.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- $00:49:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.276$  So to conclude,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- 00:49:31.276 --> 00:49:32.736 the COVID-19 pandemic is required.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- $00:49:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.912$  Reexamination of long established
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- $00:49:33.912 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.084$  principles of research ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- $00{:}49{:}35{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36{.}346$  largely reinforcing the fundamental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- 00:49:36.346 --> 00:49:37.602 tension between advancing population
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- $00{:}49{:}37.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.059$  health through rigorous science and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81664336
- $00:49:39.059 \rightarrow 00:49:40.643$  acknowledging the inherent risks of research.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347
- 00:49:43.280 --> 00:49:45.629 I hope that you'll take away if you hadn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347
- 00:49:45.629 --> 00:49:48.060 already that pre approval access requires NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347
- $00{:}49{:}48.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.752$  particular attention and understanding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347
- 00:49:49.760 --> 00:49:52.752 Come and join me in re examining the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347
- $00{:}49{:}52{.}752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}656$  foundational ethical principles that inform NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347
- $00{:}49{:}54{.}656$  -->  $00{:}49{:}57{.}648$  the way we do research and think about NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347
- $00:49:57.648 \rightarrow 00:50:00.406$  this harsh light on the historical record.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}00{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}660$  Version of children from research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}02.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.575$  the entrenched racism and injustice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}04{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06{.}681$  problems that have plagued our society

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00:50:06.681 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.290$  for a long time and persist in 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}09{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.866$  Um public health crisis is also demonstrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}11.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.922$  The complex role of children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}13.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.290$  infectious epidemic and raises

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00:50:15.353 \rightarrow 00:50:17.037$  questions without easy answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}17{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19{.}170$  It's really hard to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00:50:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.300$  what our children owe us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00:50:21.300 \rightarrow 00:50:23.204$  And finally, when we go too fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}23.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.499$  we make some mistakes and sometimes those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}25{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27{.}560$  mistakes are really deeply regret table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

00:50:27.560 --> 00:50:29.136 So I'm going to wrap up there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31{.}012$  leave my email address up for a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}31.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.862$  and my Twitter handle up for a second

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347
- $00{:}50{:}32.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.536$  and then I'll stop sharing so I can

 $00{:}50{:}34{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35{.}754$  see your smiling faces and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477347

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37{.}200$  we can have a great discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:50:45.390 \rightarrow 00:50:47.478$  Thank you Naomi, that was terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}50{:}47{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48{.}521$  Children should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

00:50:48.521 --> 00:50:50.256 Make sure I remember this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:50:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.216$  This quote which is memory children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:50:52.216 \rightarrow 00:50:54.090$  should be protected by research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}50{:}54{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56{.}866$  not from research that was at the exact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

00:50:56.870 - 00:50:58.266 That's that's very memorable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:50:58.266 \rightarrow 00:51:00.360$  I'll take the prerogative I get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}51{:}00{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02{.}086$  of asking the first question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:51:02.090 \rightarrow 00:51:06.059$  but then I'm going to invite others in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09{.}855$  way we're going to do this is you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:51:09.860 \rightarrow 00:51:12.910$  How you can raise your hand and then I will.

 $00:51:12.910 \rightarrow 00:51:14.584$  Then my friend Kyle will then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

00:51:14.584 --> 00:51:16.260 enable you to unmute yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

00:51:16.260 --> 00:51:17.532 Right now every<br/>body's muted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}51{:}17{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19{.}740$  but if you raise your hand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

00:51:19.740 --> 00:51:21.636 I call on you then you'll be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}51{:}21{.}636$  -->  $00{:}51{:}24{.}017$  to unmute yourself and you can ask NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

00:51:24.017 --> 00:51:25.715 Naomi your question directly or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:51:25.715 \rightarrow 00:51:27.240$  share your comments about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

00:51:27.240 --> 00:51:29.340 Lots of people on this call with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}51{:}29{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}30{.}900$  with expertise in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}425$  so we're interested in hearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:51:32.425 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.645$  from all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:51:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.972$  Then I'd love to see people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}51{:}35{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37{.}576$  formulating their questions is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}51{:}37{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40{.}270$  one of the things that struck me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:51:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.244$  During the early part of the pandemic

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00:51:42.244 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.579$  and still to this day was the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- 00:51:44.579 --> 00:51:46.364 remarkable lack of centralized guidance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00{:}51{:}46{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48{.}125$  There was no president's Commission
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00{:}51{:}48.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50.199$  on Bioethics that there had better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00{:}51{:}50{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51{.}499$  had been in the past.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00:51:51.500 \rightarrow 00:51:53.270$  There was nothing like that to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00{:}51{:}53{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55{.}887$  guide us in terms of how we write
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00{:}51{:}55{.}887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57{.}597$  our critical care protocols right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- 00:51:57.600 --> 00:51:59.940 Our triage protocols.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- 00:51:59.940 --> 00:52:01.108 Or our allocation protocols?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00:52:01.108 \dashrightarrow 00:52:03.519$  Is there an analogy to be drawn here?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00:52:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.779$  When we try to figure out how much is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00:52:05.779 \rightarrow 00:52:08.879$  a minimal increase in risk you know is there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00{:}52{:}08{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11{.}049$  is there a place that we could go in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002
- $00{:}52{:}11.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.055$  New Haven that people in Ann Arbor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00{:}52{:}13.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.680$  could also go to where someone is kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:52:15.680 \rightarrow 00:52:17.816$  of made this judgment or adjudicated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8140002

 $00:52:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.906$  Or is it every man for himself?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.392$  Well, I think the answer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:22.392 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.370$  kind of in that there is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.980$  I think, our renewed pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

00:52:25.980 --> 00:52:27.580 An interest in centralized IRB,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

00:52:27.580 --> 00:52:29.190 at least for multicenter studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}52{:}29{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31{.}633$  because one of the things that happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}52{:}31{.}633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33{.}679$  when each institution is on their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}52{:}33{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35{.}848$  Deciding. Well, I think this is a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}52{:}35{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38{.}496$  than a minor increase above minimal risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}52{:}38{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}060$  and you know, as one center will say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.790$  that another center will say

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.162$  it's not right because it is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:45.162 \rightarrow 00:52:47.157$  subjective and it is hard to know.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:52:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.407$  So at least within one multicenter trial.

 $00{:}52{:}49{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52{.}090$  If you can have an expert IRB that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.800$  Is going to think about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.789$  one way for the whole trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58{.}184$  I think that can at least deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}52{:}58{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59{.}784$  with that Inter institution

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:52:59.784 \rightarrow 00:53:02.778$  variability in the way that people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:53:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.102$  And the way that people make

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}53{:}05{.}102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}263$  these determinations about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:53:06.263 \rightarrow 00:53:08.490$  what's going to be approved, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:53:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.330$  But to your point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

00:53:10.330 --> 00:53:10.742 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}53{:}10.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.135$  I think what we had before for the this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}53{:}13.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.855$  the President's Commission on Bioethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:53:14.860 \rightarrow 00:53:15.920$  and that you know,

- $00:53:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.450$  the last,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00{:}53{:}16{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17{.}518$  the administration that ended
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00{:}53{:}17{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19{.}120$  this morning was the first one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00{:}53{:}19{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21{.}174$  in a long time that didn't have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:53:21.174 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.299$  president's Commission on Bioethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00{:}53{:}22{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24{.}640$  And I do think that one thing that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00{:}53{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27.089$  could do is if it rose to the interesting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:53:27.090 \rightarrow 00:53:29.218$  they serve at the pleasure of the president.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00{:}53{:}29{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30{.}600$  But things could make it to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:53:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.150$  the desk of that Commission,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:53:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.851$  and they could do a deep dive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:53:33.851 \rightarrow 00:53:35.070$  and give a report.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:53:35.070 \rightarrow 00:53:36.918$  And so they did that, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:53:36.918 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.472$  when there was talk of testing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- 00:53:38.472 --> 00:53:40.000 a smallpox vaccine in children.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00:53:40.000 \rightarrow 00:53:42.401$  And they wrote 150 page report on

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444
- $00{:}53{:}42{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44{.}332$  the ethical considerations of the

 $00:53:44.332 \rightarrow 00:53:46.640$  vaccine of a smallpox vaccine trial for kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

00:53:46.640 --> 00:53:47.435 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}53{:}47{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49{.}736$  I think that this is an area where

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:53:49.736 \rightarrow 00:53:52.010$  organizations like the AP can help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:53:52.010 \rightarrow 00:53:54.538$  I haven't seen us too much of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

00:53:54.540 --> 00:53:55.488 but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:53:55.488 \rightarrow 00:53:57.700$  maybe mark you and I already stated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

00:53:57.700 --> 00:53:59.868 Now you know, I think some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}53{:}59{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01{.}490$  child advocacy organizations can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}54{:}01{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}392$  and there are some organizations within

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00:54:03.392 \rightarrow 00:54:05.910$  NIH that really focus on the research ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}54{:}05{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07{.}320$  but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

 $00{:}54{:}07{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10{.}544$  The every man or woman for them self.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

00:54:10.550 --> 00:54:12.570 IRB approach to this I

 $00:54:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.590$  think is a huge problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526444

00:54:14.590 --> 00:54:15.799 An contemporary research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

00:54:16.440 --> 00:54:17.824 So we, Marcella Nunez

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00{:}54{:}17.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.554$  Smith is on our faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00{:}54{:}19{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21{.}636$  And who is President Biden's Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00:54:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.144$  Chair for his COVID-19?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00{:}54{:}23.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.648$  Will then transition communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00:54:24.648 \dashrightarrow 00:54:26.851$  for the leadership for the COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

00:54:26.851 --> 00:54:28.933 effort we we've made this point

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00{:}54{:}28{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30{.}822$  with her an hopefully it eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00:54:30.822 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.350$  finds its way to the ears of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00{:}54{:}33{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}35{.}300$  people who can respond and give

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00{:}54{:}35{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}610$  us some of that central guidance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00:54:37.610 \dashrightarrow 00:54:40.378$  I want to invite Doctor Tom Murray too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00{:}54{:}40{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42{.}894$  Hung up on mutual here or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168

 $00:54:42.894 \rightarrow 00:54:44.570$  askyouthere.com if you can.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7532168
- 00:54:44.570 --> 00:54:46.370 If you can unmute yourself,

00:54:46.370 - 00:54:47.818 please ask your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

00:54:49.880 --> 00:54:52.620 Hi I'm I was trying to show my face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:54:52.620 \rightarrow 00:54:54.734$  I apologize I I can't do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}54{:}54{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}917$  My name is I'm on my infectious

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

00:54:56.917 --> 00:54:58.194 disease physician who's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:54:58.194 \rightarrow 00:54:59.609$  working a lot with covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:54:59.610 \rightarrow 00:55:02.034$  Thank you for such a thought provoking talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:55:02.040 \dashrightarrow 00:55:04.168$  Two different areas that really struck me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:55:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.090$  The first is I think one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07{.}709$  issues around vaccination and kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}07{.}709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09{.}519$  for this particular infection really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}09{.}519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11{.}793$  relates to the quote you showed about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}11.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}13.594$  who's getting the sickest and who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}13.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}15.418$  is bearing the burden of disease.

 $00:55:15.420 \rightarrow 00:55:17.534$  And so I actually have been one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:55:17.534 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.438$  of the pediatricians in favor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}19{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21{.}003$  starting with the adults because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:55:21.003 \rightarrow 00:55:23.114$  they bear the burden of disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:55:23.114 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.863$  kind of working it back because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}24.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27.447$  I don't think children are where the money

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}27{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29{.}928$  is in terms of stopping this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}29{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}946$  I think there are other reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}31{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33{.}959$  around school and things like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}33.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.312$  and I'm all in favor of vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}36{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38{.}558$  of children. But I do think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

00:55:38.558 --> 00:55:41.572 The Epidemiology of this disease is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}41{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}44{.}457$  different than something like influenza.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}44{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47{.}190$  Where we know kids are the cesspool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}55{:}47{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48{.}225$  The second thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:55:48.225 \rightarrow 00:55:50.640$  So this is going to be totally

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00:55:50.718 \rightarrow 00:55:51.870$  unrelated thing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00{:}55{:}51{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54{.}600$  but with respect to the EUA data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- 00:55:54.600 --> 00:55:57.408 I just pulled it up while you were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00{:}55{:}57{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59{.}731$  talking about the distribution of who NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- 00:55:59.731 --> 00:56:02.964 were in those trials and they did do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- 00:56:02.964 --> 00:56:05.460 a reasonable job of representing the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00{:}56{:}05{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07{.}860$  US population in the Moderna trial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00{:}56{:}07{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10{.}474$  it was 10% black and 20% Hispanic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00{:}56{:}10.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.640$  The challenge I think has been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00{:}56{:}12.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.650$  translating that and helping.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00{:}56{:}14.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}16.990$  Get people to trust that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00{:}56{:}16{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18{.}550$  can provide this vaccine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00:56:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.077$  So even if you're doing a better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- 00:56:21.077 --> 00:56:23.075 job of enrolling the right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356
- $00:56:23.075 \rightarrow 00:56:25.180$  people in the clinical trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:56:25.180 \rightarrow 00:56:27.868$  it's not translating to getting those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:56:27.868 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.940$  populations that need the vaccine the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:56:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.172$  To have the trust to get it so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}56{:}33.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}34.745$  I'm wondering what your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}56{:}34.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.964$  are around even when you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00:56:37.030 \rightarrow 00:56:39.438$  reasonable distribution of population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

 $00{:}56{:}39{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}205$  how to translate that into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625356

00:56:41.205 - 00:56:42.264 better vaccine uptake?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}56{:}43.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.156$  Thanks for both of those comments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:56:45.160 \rightarrow 00:56:47.520$  which are both really good and helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:56:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.520$  And yeah, I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:56:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.160$  It does seems you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:56:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.140$  the more we know about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}56{:}54{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56{.}149$  the more it seems like the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}56{:}56{.}149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58{.}562$  you know lives are saved by keeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:56:58.562 \rightarrow 00:57:00.054$  adults from getting COVID-19.

00:57:00.060 --> 00:57:02.524 And I I didn't exactly mean to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}57{:}02{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05{.}091$  the impression that I think it was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:57:05.091 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.970$  miscalculation not to include children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}57{:}06{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08{.}630$  I actually think the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:57:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.590$  interesting thing is that even now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}57{:}10.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12.312$  as we're including children that still

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}57{:}12.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.269$  with an eye on general infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}57{:}14.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.507$  control more than saving child lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}57{:}16{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19{.}570$  But so now your point about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:57:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.610$  that as well taken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:57:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.150$  And you know that thanks for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:57:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.798$  clarity on the way for the moderna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}57{:}24.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.700$  I think that that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}57{:}26.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.148$  That to me I think is such a recapitulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:57:29.148 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.199$  of what a major problem we have.

- $00:57:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.880$  In that quote I shared about,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- 00:57:32.880 --> 00:57:33.442 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00:57:33.442 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.566$  sort of that operation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00:57:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.167$  Build trust that should have gone along
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00{:}57{:}37{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39{.}499$  with operation work speed so you know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00{:}57{:}39{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41{.}988$  my thoughts about this is is a bit that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00{:}57{:}41{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43{.}866$  We still have so far to go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00:57:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.725$  I do think some of these you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- 00:57:45.725 --> 00:57:47.374 know these public campaigns I I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00{:}57{:}47{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49{.}229$  didn't have time to fit this in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00{:}57{:}49{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50{.}903$  but there's a big push among black
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- 00:57:50.903 -> 00:57:52.220 physicians to tweet out their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00:57:52.220 \rightarrow 00:57:53.332$  pictures of themselves getting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00{:}57{:}53{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54{.}850$  their vaccines and key leaders.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- 00:57:54.850 --> 00:57:55.350 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00:57:55.350 \rightarrow 00:57:57.350$  I think that was really what drove those

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807
- $00:57:57.406 \rightarrow 00:57:59.408$  presidents of Xavier and Dillard to say.

 $00{:}57{:}59{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01{.}286$  We're going to be in these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:58:01.290 \rightarrow 00:58:03.426$  And this is this is good for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

00:58:03.430 --> 00:58:04.770 So I guess you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}58{:}04.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}06.770$  if you look at that figure I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}58{:}06{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08{.}250$  about just the uptake rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}58{:}08{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10{.}394$  I guess it hasn't been as fruitful as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}58{:}10{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12{.}954$  we were hoping it was going to be, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}58{:}12{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15{.}852$  I think that needs to come from

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:58:15.852 \rightarrow 00:58:18.410$  every angle we can think of to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:58:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.100$  really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

00:58:20.100 --> 00:58:22.404 Think about how do we move on from

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00{:}58{:}22{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24{.}555$  this really painful history and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:58:24.555 \rightarrow 00:58:26.435$  overcome the barriers to getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948807

 $00:58:26.435 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.575$  our whole country to believe that

 $00:58:28.575 \rightarrow 00:58:30.610$  this vaccine is safe for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:58:32.090 \rightarrow 00:58:33.680$  You know Stephen Thomas at University

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:58:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.349$  of Maryland was a speaker in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:58:35.349 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.729$  this forum earlier this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}58{:}36{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}39{.}019$  It's a name that either or both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:58:39.019 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.321$  you might want to consider in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:58:41.321 \rightarrow 00:58:42.985$  terms of rebuilding trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}58{:}42{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46{.}670$  And he is. Interested in an,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

00:58:46.670 --> 00:58:48.220 he's actually piloted a program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}58{:}48{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}49{.}950$  going into particularly of Barber

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:58:49.950 \rightarrow 00:58:52.056$  shops that are primarily for African NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:58:52.056 \rightarrow 00:58:53.992$  American men and also salons for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}58{:}53{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}55{.}517$  there primarily for American women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}57{.}776$  And he thinks that this is where so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}58{:}57{.}776$  -->  $00{:}59{:}00{.}024$  much of the culture happens where so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}59{:}00{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}02{.}689$  much of the trust is actually built,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944
- $00:59:02.690 \rightarrow 00:59:04.320$  and so he's actually piloted.

 $00{:}59{:}04{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06{.}276$  This program. It's, and it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:59:06.280 \rightarrow 00:59:08.338$  It was about trying to get people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:59:08.338 \rightarrow 00:59:09.972$  to participate in clinical research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

00:59:09.972 --> 00:59:11.702 projects about trying to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

00:59:11.702 --> 00:59:13.780 people to get tested for kovid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:59:13.780 \rightarrow 00:59:16.380$  and so he gave a great presentation here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:59:16.380 \dashrightarrow 00:59:19.444$  which I think is available on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00:59:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.610$  But but stay tuned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}59{:}20.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}22.721$  you're going to hear from more from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}59{:}22.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}24.870$  from Stephen Thomas on this in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413944

 $00{:}59{:}24.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}26.970$  work he's doing to rebuild that trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}26{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}28{.}480$  And there are some interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

00:59:28.480 --> 00:59:30.419 you know when you think about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}30{.}419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}32{.}592$  disease where the hallmark of of of

 $00:59:32.592 \rightarrow 00:59:34.192$  saving lives is not congregating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}34{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}36{.}608$  how do you get that sort of community

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}36{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}38{.}205$  participation when people aren't going NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}38{.}205$  -->  $00{:}59{:}40{.}389$  to church and they're not there aren't NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00:59:40.446 \rightarrow 00:59:42.329$  as many of them in that barbershop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}42{.}330$  -->  $00{:}59{:}44{.}378$  and you know, not being able to get NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00:59:44.378 \rightarrow 00:59:46.342$  groups of people together to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00:59:46.342 \rightarrow 00:59:48.342$  of rally that enthusiasm? That's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}48{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50{.}780$  That's another barrier to doing that, but I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00:59:50.780 \dashrightarrow 00:59:53.300$  My intuition is that he's on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

00:59:53.300 --> 00:59:54.884 You know him clearly knowing much

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}54{.}884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56{.}762$  more about this than me that getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}56{.}762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}58{.}547$  to people where they are in settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $00{:}59{:}58{.}596 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00{.}458$  that they feel comfortable is how you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150915

 $01{:}00{:}00{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}01{.}680$  Start to build that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80013156

 $01:00:01.680 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.592$  trust again. Yeah,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80013156
- $01{:}00{:}02.592 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}04.416$  that seems to show promise now.

 $01{:}00{:}04{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}06{.}324$  Charles Hughes has a question for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.80013156

01:00:06.324 --> 01:00:08.390 here so I'm gonna unmute Charles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381716

 $01:00:12.010 \rightarrow 01:00:13.492$  Charles, you should be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8381716

 $01:00:13.492 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.760$  unmute yourself now and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

 $01:00:18.190 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.896$  I'm trying to hear me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

01:00:19.896 - 01:00:22.280 Yeah, OK we can. My question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

 $01:00:22.280 \rightarrow 01:00:25.010$  even if you get kids to consent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

 $01:00:25.010 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.059$  being tested to be affecting trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

 $01:00:27.060 \rightarrow 01:00:28.760$  what about the power relation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

01:00:28.760 --> 01:00:31.120 I mean you got a kid being confronted

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

01:00:31.120 --> 01:00:33.108 by parents and doctors saying you

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

 $01:00:33.108 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.100$  believe we really need you this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

 $01{:}00{:}35{.}169 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}37{.}461$  the population here with do they

NOTE Confidence: 0.79132205

 $01:00:37.461 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.989$  really have conformed consent

 $01:00:38.990 \rightarrow 01:00:41.036$  or or do they feel pressure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8723283

 $01{:}00{:}42.580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}44.526$  Yeah, I mean that I think is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8723283

 $01{:}00{:}44.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}46.406$  you know we got lots and lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.8723283

 $01:00:46.406 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.880$  of ethics concepts about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8723283

 $01{:}00{:}47.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}50.680$  I think that. This.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01{:}00{:}52.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.970$  This is where ascent has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:00:54.970 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.370$  be a meaningful process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:00:56.370 --> 01:00:57.770 Just like informed consent

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01{:}00{:}57.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}59.170$  isn't signing a form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:00:59.170 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.920$  it's a conversation you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:01:00.920 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:01:04.070 Really, I think taking the time if you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:01:04.070 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.370$  if you can achieve it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01{:}01{:}07{.}370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}09{.}505$  Getting that child sort of alone in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:01:09.505 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.883$  the room like we do in our lesson

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:01:11.883 --> 01:01:14.075 visits and sort of asking them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:01:14.075 \rightarrow 01:01:15.895$  giving them that opportunity to.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- 01:01:15.900 --> 01:01:16.959 To the eye,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- 01:01:16.959 --> 01:01:18.371 the contemporary thinking about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}01{:}18.371 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}20.489$  as cent really has to do with this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:01:20.489 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.361$  read back and that it's not that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- 01:01:22.361 --> 01:01:24.269 the kid nodding is insufficient and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}01{:}24.269 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}26.785$  that they need to sort of tell you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:01:26.785 \rightarrow 01:01:28.340$  these affirmative things you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:01:28.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.749$  and I think that is always.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:01:31.750 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.940$  That is always an issue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}01{:}32{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}34{.}536$  whether the parent really does want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:01:34.536 \rightarrow 01:01:36.527$  the child to enroll or not is sort of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- 01:01:36.530 --> 01:01:37.958 Can that child really say no,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- 01:01:37.960 --> 01:01:39.656 but that I think the onus of that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- 01:01:39.656 --> 01:01:41.156 is mostly on the research team
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:01:41.156 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.692$  to be as careful and thoughtful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:01:42.748 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.176$  about about that as they can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:01:44.180 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.112$  and also weighing risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:01:45.112 --> 01:01:46.797 So when someone pointed out to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:01:46.797 --> 01:01:47.997 about my friends example about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:01:48.000 --> 01:01:49.926 you know my son doesn't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:01:49.926 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.470$  to be in the vaccine trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01{:}01{:}52.470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}55.669$  'cause he saw me being so miserable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01{:}01{:}55.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}58.015$  She probably you know he's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:01:58.015 --> 01:01:59.306 get vaccinated eventually, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01{:}01{:}59{.}306 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}00{.}164$  And so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01{:}02{:}00{.}164 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}02{.}290$  Some level like the I worry a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01{:}02{:}02{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}04{.}348$  little bit less about coercion for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:02:04.348 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.719$  something that he will get eventually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:02:06.720 --> 01:02:07.344 But yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

01:02:07.344 --> 01:02:09.216 I think that is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

 $01:02:09.216 \rightarrow 01:02:10.410$  incompletely answered question,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:02:10.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.610$  but the age of modern
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}02{:}12.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}14.810$  understanding of assent and really.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- 01:02:14.810 --> 01:02:16.520 Sufficient by and I mean I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:02:16.520 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.010$  still see things about like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:02:18.010 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.470$  This is our sent former.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:02:19.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.222$  This is where they sign or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:02:21.222 \rightarrow 01:02:22.670$  they have to be 14.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}02{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24.590$  So I think as we lean into this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}02{:}24.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}26.433$  more nuanced and sort of laborious
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- 01:02:26.433 --> 01:02:28.068 way of determining a child's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}02{:}28.068 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}29.630$  emotional readiness to give assent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:02:29.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.394$  will get better at better and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}02{:}31{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}33{.}266$  screening for that sense of this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01{:}02{:}33.266 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}35.178$  kid doesn't really want to do this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:02:35.180 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.020$  but it's a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936

- $01:02:36.020 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.700$  It's a good question that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84204936
- $01:02:37.700 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.540$  don't have a short answer for.

 $01:02:41.320 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.870$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

01:02:44.540 --> 01:02:48.200 OK, thank you. Still thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01{:}02{:}48{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}49{.}930$  Um, I'm looking at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01:02:49.930 \dashrightarrow 01:02:54.186$  I see no other questions at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01{:}02{:}54{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}58{.}280$  This is a. This is a huge problem going

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01:02:58.280 \rightarrow 01:03:01.164$  forward and what I think is an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

01:03:01.164 --> 01:03:03.818 thing which I think most people on

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01{:}03{:}03{.}818 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}06{.}226$  this call can appreciate is is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

01:03:06.226 --> 01:03:08.480 isn't this isn't just about COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01:03:08.480 \rightarrow 01:03:11.197$  but COVID-19 is afford us the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

01:03:11.197 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:03:13.951 to take a hard look at how we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01{:}03{:}14.027 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}16.126$  things and you know, we sometimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01:03:16.126 \rightarrow 01:03:18.597$  especially when we're really under the gun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

 $01:03:18.600 \rightarrow 01:03:20.945$  You know the old thing that there

- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:20.945 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.849$  are no atheists in foxholes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:22.850 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.265$  I have used the comment as we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:25.265 \rightarrow 01:03:27.229$  were rushing in the spring.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- 01:03:27.230 --> 01:03:29.253 To try and get a triage protocol
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:29.253 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.878$  prepared very quickly as you don't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01{:}03{:}30.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}32.285$  it seems that there's no
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:32.285 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.411$  contents in foxholes, either.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01{:}03{:}33{.}411 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}35{.}097$  We all became utilitarians in hurry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:35.100 \dashrightarrow 01:03:37.348$  We changed the way we looked at things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- 01:03:37.350 --> 01:03:39.030 Some of us did I think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:39.030 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.834$  to some extent,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01{:}03{:}39{.}834 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}42{.}045$  but we felt the pressure of the enormity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:42.045 \rightarrow 01:03:44.237$  of the problem as well as the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01{:}03{:}44{.}237 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}46{.}340$  speed at which we needed the answers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:46.340 \rightarrow 01:03:48.026$  So so many people in publications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335

- $01:03:48.026 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.150$  and their clinical research.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01{:}03{:}49{.}150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}50{.}274$  It's the same thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:50.274 \rightarrow 01:03:52.800$  So the lessons here, Naomi as your title is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:52.800 \rightarrow 01:03:55.048$  is that if you talk to so actors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- 01:03:55.050 --> 01:03:56.450 it's not just about COVID-19,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01{:}03{:}56{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}58{.}590$  but lessons learned from that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:03:58.590 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.050$  It will apply to other things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:04:01.050 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.690$  as we move forward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:04:02.690 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.950$  So much to be learned.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:04:03.950 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.215$  This has been a great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:04:05.215 \rightarrow 01:04:06.480$  talk and a great evening,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01{:}04{:}06{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}08{.}286$  and I want to thank Doctor Leventhal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:04:08.286 \rightarrow 01:04:09.769$  so what's supposed to happen now?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:04:09.770 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.375$  Naomi's I'm supposed to take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- 01:04:11.375 --> 01:04:14.229 out a few of us taking up for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:04:14.229 \rightarrow 01:04:16.189$  a wonderful New Haven dinner.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.812704335
- $01:04:16.190 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.854$  So now we officially owe you 1 when you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77638435
- $01:04:18.860 \rightarrow 01:04:20.939$  already you will recall owed me a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77638435
- $01:04:20.940 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.716$  What was it? A pina colada?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- 01:04:24.110 --> 01:04:26.022 So sad, because that was in the spring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- $01{:}04{:}26.022 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}28.089$  when we were scrambling all of us to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- $01:04:28.089 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.789$  get the prepared for the charges,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- $01:04:29.790 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.568$  and I said well by the fall
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- $01:04:31.568 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.139$  meetings this is fall of 2020.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- $01:04:33.140 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.946$  I said well, by the fall meetings,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- 01:04:34.950 --> 01:04:36.486 which were going to San Diego,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- $01{:}04{:}36{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}37{.}972$  the AP meetings were all going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- 01:04:37.972 --> 01:04:39.551 to relax and have Pina coladas
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- 01:04:39.551 --> 01:04:40.876 and talk about the war,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- $01:04:40.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.686$  and you know and how it went,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- $01:04:42.690 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.746$  but as it turned out in the fall,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416

- $01:04:44.750 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.274$  we were still not even all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416
- 01:04:46.274 --> 01:04:47.850 the way into the war, much

01:04:47.850 --> 01:04:49.386 less through it. Yeah, so Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416

 $01:04:49.390 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.052$  I think our talk about drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416

01:04:51.052 --> 01:04:52.673 together has prompted someone else to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8077416

 $01{:}04{:}52{.}673 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}54{.}297$  make us stop and ask another question, NOTE Confidence: 0.79961688444445

01:04:54.300 --> 01:04:56.960 good. Let me see if I can if I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79961688444445

 $01:04:57.042 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.938$  get myself to this and he would have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79961688444445

01:04:59.940 --> 01:05:03.230 It's Tom OK Tom please. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

01:05:03.230 --> 01:05:05.358 I'm sorry I I would much rather go

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:05.358 \rightarrow 01:05:07.214$  out drinking, but there was one other NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

01:05:07.214 --> 01:05:09.370 thing you brought up that I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

01:05:09.370 --> 01:05:11.590 was really interesting and I love your

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:11.590 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.750$  insight on and that is even in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:13.750 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.930$  Slide that you showed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:15.930 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.238$  the retracted papers.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.867142
- $01{:}05{:}17{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}19{.}988$  Several of them were from metrics

 $01:05:19.988 \rightarrow 01:05:23.200$  and from the non peer reviewed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:23.200 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.370$  Journal depositories that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:24.370 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.320$  you can make anything on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

01:05:26.320 --> 01:05:27.564 It's not peer reviewed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01{:}05{:}27{.}564 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}30{.}486$  and then it goes on and gets cited

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:30.486 \rightarrow 01:05:32.946$  subsequently in peer reviewed literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:32.950 \rightarrow 01:05:34.162$  I just wondered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

01:05:34.162 --> 01:05:36.990 Kind of what you think about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01:05:36.990 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.400$  and whether those are good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

01:05:38.400  $-\!\!>$  01:05:40.656 I mean, I know why they're out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867142

 $01{:}05{:}40.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}42.627$  but I also see a potential downside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

01:05:43.640 --> 01:05:46.800 Yeah, I mean it's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

01:05:46.800 --> 01:05:49.023 We are in this very strange time of I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01{:}05{:}49{.}023 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51{.}237$  think publication integrity and ethics,

 $01{:}05{:}51{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}54{.}111$  and you know, I I spend time talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01{:}05{:}54{.}111 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}56{.}939$  how we came to have peer review at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01{:}05{:}56{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}58{.}746$  which came out of when scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:05:58.746 \rightarrow 01:05:59.950$  proceedings stop being housed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

01:06:00.006 --> 01:06:01.377 in monastic libraries, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:06:01.377 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.279$  So we got a printing press.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:06:03.280 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.188$  We can circulate the mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

01:06:05.190 --> 01:06:07.092 You would enjoy this I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:06:07.092 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.360$  for your historical history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01{:}06{:}08{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}08{.}998$  medicine stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

01:06:08.998 --> 01:06:10.912 But we gotta we gotta printing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:06:10.912 \rightarrow 01:06:12.692$  press suddenly everyone can share

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

01:06:12.692 --> 01:06:14.136 their scientific findings widely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:06:14.136 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.538$  in the scientific community

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01{:}06{:}15{.}538 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}17{.}110$  and a little bit of like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:06:17.110 \longrightarrow 01:06:17.662$  Slobbering, snobbery,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}06{:}17.662 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}19.318$  and wanting to control this says,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:19.320 \longrightarrow 01:06:21.315$  no, no, we gotta make sure we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:21.315 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.629$  controlling the quality of this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:22.630 \rightarrow 01:06:24.303$  And the interesting thing is the move
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:24.303 \longrightarrow 01:06:26.219$  to an even more accessible format,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:06:26.220 --> 01:06:26.496 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:06:26.496 --> 01:06:27.600 So, like you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}06{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}29.456$  one as the print Journal has been going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}06{:}29{.}456 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31{.}645$  down an online only journals or only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:31.645 \rightarrow 01:06:33.624$  everywhere you know the democratization of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:33.624 \rightarrow 01:06:35.592$  this might end up being our undoing right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:06:35.600 --> 01:06:36.704 And you do have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:06:36.704 --> 01:06:38.088 I mean, I really do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:06:38.088 --> 01:06:40.565 I mean, I'm sure you guys get them too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}06{:}40{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}43{.}045$  I get, I think 10 to 20 invitations today,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

- $01:06:43.050 \longrightarrow 01:06:43.326$  too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:06:43.326 --> 01:06:44.430 You know, they will,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:06:44.430 --> 01:06:44.724 too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}06{:}44.724 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}46.488$  Submit my article that they seem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}06{:}46{.}488 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}48{.}902$  to think I just have ready to go
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}06{:}48{.}902 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}50{.}684$  that they will peer review and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:50.684 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.692$  get me a decision on in 48 hours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:06:52.700 --> 01:06:53.047 Right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}06{:}53.047 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}55.476$  and so whether it's peer reviewed at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:55.476 \rightarrow 01:06:58.856$  all or fake peer reviewed, I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:06:58.856 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.800$  How do you?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:00.800 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.092$  What is the history of the good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:03.092 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.790$  quality lit review with sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:04.790 \longrightarrow 01:07:06.698$  of like all these walls down?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:07:06.700 --> 01:07:10.486 But again, I mean if you're.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:07:10.490 --> 01:07:10.986 I mean,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:07:10.986 --> 01:07:12.722 when I read a paper I look
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:12.722 \rightarrow 01:07:14.578$  at where it was published,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:14.580 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.035$  write an when I'm deciding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:16.035 \rightarrow 01:07:16.908$  on citing something,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:16.910 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.398$  I look at where is published
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:07:18.398 --> 01:07:20.420 and I try to discern between,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:07:20.420 --> 01:07:21.002 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:21.002 \rightarrow 01:07:22.166$  'cause sometimes things by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:22.166 \rightarrow 01:07:23.667$  famous people on important topics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- 01:07:23.667 --> 01:07:24.815 or publishing really weird
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:24.815 \rightarrow 01:07:25.963$  journals and you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:25.970 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.716$  And sometimes I think like wow,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}07{:}27{.}720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}29{.}568$  they must have just like submitted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01{:}07{:}29.568 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}31.142$  and resubmitted until they found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029
- $01:07:31.142 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.840$  someone to take this and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:07:32.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:34.019$  happens to everybody versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

01:07:34.019 --> 01:07:35.384 what's wrong with this paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:07:35.384 \rightarrow 01:07:37.368$  that this is where it is right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:07:37.368 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.440$  And so I will say that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:07:39.518 \longrightarrow 01:07:41.366$  you write up the sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:07:41.370 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.268$  The right answer is when you write a paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9252029

 $01:07:44.270 \rightarrow 01:07:45.880$  you're responsible for your citations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01:07:47.920 \longrightarrow 01:07:50.408$  My dismay is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01{:}07{:}50{.}408 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}54{.}140$  Editors seem to have put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01:07:54.273 \rightarrow 01:07:57.715$  job of looking for this. Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01{:}07{:}57{.}715 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}59{.}570$  An entirely on the backs of peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01{:}07{:}59{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}01{.}481$  reviewers and not taking a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01:08:01.481 \rightarrow 01:08:02.805$  of responsibility for themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01:08:02.810 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.455$  And so when you look at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01{:}08{:}04{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06{.}584$  it seems like so now as a peer reviewer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

 $01:08:06.590 \longrightarrow 01:08:07.770$  I'm supposed to run every

- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:07.770 --> 01:08:08.478 single citation myself,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:08.480 --> 01:08:10.112 and you know, see if it it checks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01:08:10.112 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.540$  out as a real publication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01:08:11.540 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.094$  I mean, like I don't have time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01:08:13.094 \longrightarrow 01:08:14.847$  to do that as a peer reviewer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01{:}08{:}14.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}16.495$  I do a lot of peer review.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:16.500 --> 01:08:16.964 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:16.964 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:08:18.820 I'm I'm reading the paper to see if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01{:}08{:}18.877 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}20.565$  I think it's good and if I happen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01{:}08{:}20.565 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}22.566$  to Nova reference that I want to see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01{:}08{:}22.566 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}24.288$  or I'm curious about a reference outlook,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:24.288 --> 01:08:25.940 but I can't cross reference all that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01{:}08{:}25{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}26{.}993$  so I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01{:}08{:}26{.}993 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}29{.}099$  We're gonna have to answer the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01:08:29.099 \rightarrow 01:08:30.826$  question of whose job is it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616

- 01:08:30.830 --> 01:08:31.733 And you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:31.733 --> 01:08:34.290 my sense is we're headed for some rules,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01{:}08{:}34{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}36{.}288$  and that journals will start to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01:08:36.288 \rightarrow 01:08:38.292$  say these are our requirements
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:38.292 --> 01:08:40.080 for your bibliography.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:40.080 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:08:41.346 Um, but I hadn't thought about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01:08:41.346 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.750$  that much from that perspective,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01:08:42.750 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.970$  so thank you for that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- 01:08:43.970 --> 01:08:47.300 'cause I think that is a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01{:}08{:}47{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}49{.}796$  It's so hard to know now what's a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820834616
- $01:08:49.796 \rightarrow 01:08:51.408$  quality reference and what's not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7589752
- $01:08:53.760 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.245$  It's a little bit scary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7589752
- $01:08:56.245 \rightarrow 01:08:58.020$  asking these things. Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8428791
- $01:08:58.020 \longrightarrow 01:08:59.124$  I think so too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8428791
- 01:08:59.124 --> 01:09:00.504 Publication ethics is like super
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8428791
- $01:09:00.504 \rightarrow 01:09:01.618$  interesting stuff these days.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8428791
- $01:09:01.620 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.950$  There's like a lot of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8428791
- $01:09:03.950 \longrightarrow 01:09:06.290$  Cool things to do in that area, I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- $01{:}09{:}07{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}09{.}850$  And there's just so many ways to mess up and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- $01:09:09.850 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.106$  so many ways to mess up by accident,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- 01:09:12.110 --> 01:09:14.250 I think, is the other.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- 01:09:14.250 --> 01:09:15.510 Other big thing you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- $01:09:15.510 \longrightarrow 01:09:17.673$  I think when people cite a reference
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- $01:09:17.673 \rightarrow 01:09:19.120$  that they haven't checked.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- $01:09:19.120 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.424$  That's sloppiness, not malice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- 01:09:21.424 --> 01:09:23.694 Right, and so an it's a sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- 01:09:23.694 --> 01:09:24.420 particularly problematic kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- $01{:}09{:}24{.}472 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}25{.}816$  of Attribution that we all do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- 01:09:25.820 --> 01:09:27.243 'cause we're in a hurry, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- $01:09:27.243 \longrightarrow 01:09:28.358$  Not because we're trying to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88159215
- $01:09:28.358 \rightarrow 01:09:29.650$  pull one over on some one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88581777

- 01:09:31.640 --> 01:09:33.960 Excellent point, excellent point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88581777
- 01:09:33.960 --> 01:09:35.396 Well, I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88581777
- 01:09:35.396 --> 01:09:38.392 Are scanning quickly over my list here?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88581777
- $01{:}09{:}38{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}40{.}425$  I think folks who wanted to speak
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88581777
- 01:09:40.425 --> 01:09:42.756 at the opportunity to speak it was a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88581777
- $01{:}09{:}42.756$  -->  $01{:}09{:}44.709$  wonderful talk and they only we look NOTE Confidence: 0.88581777
- $01:09:44.709 \rightarrow 01:09:46.578$  forward to hosting you in person.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88581777
- $01:09:46.580 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.100$  New Haven after the storm.