WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:21:09"

NOTE recognizability:0.804

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.400 All right, welcome. My name is Mark Mercurio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.332$ and this is the Program for Biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00{:}00{:}05{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07{.}159$ Ethics at Yale School of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:07.160 \dashrightarrow 00:00:10.240$ Evening Ethics Seminar series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:10.240 \rightarrow 00:00:12.676$ And I'm very pleased tonight to welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.948$ Brianna Moore from the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00{:}00{:}14.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.160$ Texas Medical Branch at Galveston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

00:00:17.160 --> 00:00:20.232 Professor Moore is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:20.232 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.240$ associate assistant professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00{:}00{:}21.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.599$ Excuse me, In the Department of Bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:23.600 \rightarrow 00:00:25.776$ What's that? You can give me a promotion up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:25.776 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.156$ We can right here. Show of hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:27.156 \rightarrow 00:00:28.600$ Wait. Wait till the end of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

- $00:00:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.959$ Wait, not, not so fast.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829
- $00:00:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.720$ The end of the talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829
- $00{:}00{:}30{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31{.}748$ We'll decide about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829
- $00{:}00{:}31.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.519$ associate professor thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}00{:}35{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41{.}000$ Professor Moore is here for a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- 00:00:41.000 --> 00:00:42.600 couple of specific reasons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:00:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.640$ but she has a pH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:00:43.640 \dashrightarrow 00:00:45.856$ D from Monash in Melbourne, Australia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}00{:}45.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.617$ She did fellowships at Children's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- 00:00:48.617 --> 00:00:51.359 Mercy as well as at Baylor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}00{:}51.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.992$ She is the chairman of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- 00:00:52.992 --> 00:00:54.080 American Society of Bioethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:00:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.960$ the pediatric ethics affinity group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}00{:}56{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58{.}535$ and she has particular expertise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- 00:00:58.535 --> 00:01:00.210 in pediatric ethics, but also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:01:00.210 \rightarrow 00:01:02.035$ particular expertise and virtue ethics.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:01:02.040 \rightarrow 00:01:02.920$ And this is something,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}01{:}02{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04{.}490$ as a couple of the medical students
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- 00:01:04.490 --> 00:01:06.577 have heard me say as we do that when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:01:06.577 \dashrightarrow 00:01:08.117$ when we teach and talk about medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- 00:01:08.117 --> 00:01:11.400 ethics in a more didactic fashion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- 00:01:11.400 --> 00:01:12.695 this is something that I don't think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:01:12.695 \rightarrow 00:01:14.158$ gets as much attention as it should.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:01:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.462$ And there's only so many hours in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}01{:}15{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16{.}791$ the curriculum, we recognize that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}01{:}16.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.010$ But these evening seminars are a chance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:01:19.072 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.880$ for us to do a little bit better,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}01{:}20{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22{.}238$ by all of us, by the students,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:01:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.452$ certainly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00:01:22.452 \rightarrow 00:01:24.360$ but by everyone else who comes to join us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835
- $00{:}01{:}24.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.145$ So for that reason and knowing that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:26.145 --> 00:01:27.880 Brianna had an expertise in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}27.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.458$ as well as knowing that she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:29.458 --> 00:01:31.159 already a member of the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}31{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33{.}380$ essentially because of her work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}33{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35{.}297$ Laurie's program this past summer

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}35{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37{.}157$ across campus and other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:37.160 --> 00:01:37.822 I'm very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:37.822 \rightarrow 00:01:39.477$ very pleased to welcome Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:39.477 --> 00:01:41.448 Brianna Moore to talk to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:41.448 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.676$ tonight about virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:42.680 \rightarrow 00:01:44.320$ This will work the way it usually works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.760$ which is to say we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.672$ a talk for about 45 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:47.672 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.560$ plus or minus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.236$ then we'll have an audience conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}51{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53{.}400$ So I'll moderate folks who are on Zoom

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}53{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55{.}584$ can send in questions via the Q& amp;A portion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:55.584 --> 00:01:57.068 the Q&A function on Zoom and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}57.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.606$ try to get to some of those questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:58.606 \dashrightarrow 00:02:00.278$ as well as the questions in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:02:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.719$ And we'll go until 6:30 and then at 6:30,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:02:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.238$ we will call it a night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:02:04.240 \rightarrow 00:02:05.199$ So thank you so much for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:02:05.200 \rightarrow 00:02:06.719$ And especially thank you for coming up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:02:06.720 --> 00:02:07.040 Brianna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6705972725

 $00:02:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.900$ no vote yet. No vote yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.6705972725

 $00:02:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.320$ about these. Thanks. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:13.320 \dashrightarrow 00:02:16.730$ And the pressure just really has risen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:16.730 \dashrightarrow 00:02:21.160$ Can every one hear me OK? Yes. Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00{:}02{:}21{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22{.}414$ Doctor Mccario, thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:22.414 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.599$ for the invitation to come up.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366
- $00{:}02{:}23.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.390$ And thank you to Karen as well for all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:25.442 \rightarrow 00:02:27.234$ your help with the with the arrangements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.728$ Yeah. These things take a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:28.728 \rightarrow 00:02:30.938$ of time and energy to arrange.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

00:02:30.938 --> 00:02:32.684 I appreciate it. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00{:}02{:}32{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34{.}672$ So quickly, this is a comic by

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:34.672 \rightarrow 00:02:37.119$ one of my favorite comic artists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.960$ If you had a little giggle at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

00:02:38.960 --> 00:02:42.640 Jake likes onions, it's fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.020$ On my question here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.400$ I said we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46{.}646$ It was really exciting to me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00{:}02{:}46.646$ --> $00{:}02{:}48.236$ come back and get a chance to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00{:}02{:}48.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.246$ about virtue ethics because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:49.291 \dashrightarrow 00:02:50.677$ don't really talk about it as much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00{:}02{:}50{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}577$ I think having grown up and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00{:}02{:}52{.}577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54{.}040$ of trained in Australia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

 $00:02:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.952$ we talk about it a little bit more there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

 $00:02:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.155$ I think culturally we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

00:02:59.155 - 00:03:00.560 about it less in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

 $00:03:00.560 \rightarrow 00:03:04.720$ which is just an interesting place to start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

 $00:03:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.628$ I'm a humanity scholar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

 $00:03:05.628 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.272$ No one wants to give us any

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

 $00:03:07.272 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.437$ money or glory or riches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

 $00:03:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.920$ So no, never. Any conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

00:03:09.920 --> 00:03:11.168 I hope to one day have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89740781111111

 $00{:}03{:}11{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12{.}000$ a conflict to disclose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814888624285714

 $00:03:14.480 \rightarrow 00:03:16.517$ These are our learning objectives for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534829866666667

 $00:03:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.920$ That third one's a little fuzzy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534829866666667

00:03:19.920 --> 00:03:21.502 I kind of had a case carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534829866666667

00:03:21.502 --> 00:03:23.319 written up and I thought we'd

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8534829866666667
- $00:03:23.319 \rightarrow 00:03:24.759$ systematically apply virtue ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8534829866666667
- $00:03:24.760 \dashrightarrow 00:03:25.960$ And then I was sitting there last night.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8534829866666667
- $00{:}03{:}25{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26{.}800$ I thought, I hate that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8534829866666667
- $00:03:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.880$ I don't want to do that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- $00:03:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.668$ And a virtual ethicist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- 00:03:31.668 00:03:32.953 wouldn't approach it that way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- 00:03:32.960 --> 00:03:33.820 So I thought, no.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- 00:03:33.820 --> 00:03:35.417 So I'm just going to give you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- $00{:}03{:}35{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}959$ some stories a little bit later.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- 00:03:36.960 --> 00:03:38.730 That's our application.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- $00:03:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.405$ So I'm going to start with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- $00{:}03{:}40{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41{.}273$ a question for you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- $00{:}03{:}41.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.516$ And when you want to think about some one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- $00:03:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.794$ it can be anyone in your life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667
- $00{:}03{:}45{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48{.}334$ It can be someone in a professional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

 $00:03:48.334 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.860$ setting who you look up to and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

00:03:50.860 --> 00:03:52.560 I if anyone's feeling brave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

 $00:03:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.556$ brave enough to share,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

00:03:53.556 - 00:03:56.277 who is it and why do you look up to them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

 $00:03:56.280 \rightarrow 00:03:58.240$ Who is someone that that you respect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

 $00:03:58.240 \rightarrow 00:04:00.600$ that you that you admire in your life?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

 $00:04:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.680$ It can be for any reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

 $00{:}04{:}01.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04.400$ What is it about them

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05{.}424$ that so impresses you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7582439466666667

 $00:04:05.424 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.680$ Diane

NOTE Confidence: 0.72514245

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}998$ Hoffman at the University of Maryland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72514245

00:04:12.000 -> 00:04:18.320 She is a genius but is always put

NOTE Confidence: 0.72514245

 $00:04:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.480$ together incredibly hospitable. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

00:04:23.760 --> 00:04:25.360 OK, so we had someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:25.360 \rightarrow 00:04:30.960$ a mentor or colleague who was both and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:30.960 \rightarrow 00:04:33.672$ yeah, kind hospitable, always put together.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- 00:04:33.672 --> 00:04:35.288 Just generous, generosity of spirit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- 00:04:35.288 --> 00:04:38.515 Kind of. Yeah. OK, good. Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00:04:38.515 \rightarrow 00:04:41.548$ Anyone else want to share? Who is it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00:04:41.548 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.200$ And what do you admire about them?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- 00:04:43.200 --> 00:04:46.480 Yeah. Marty Samuels is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- 00:04:46.480 --> 00:04:48.280 neurologist recently passed away.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- 00:04:48.280 --> 00:04:51.640 He's famous for going to major
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00:04:51.640 \rightarrow 00:04:53.500$ national lectures and giving talks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- 00:04:53.500 --> 00:04:55.733 about mistakes he made lessons you've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00{:}04{:}55{.}733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}888$ drew from the mistakes he made. Yep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00:04:57.888 \rightarrow 00:05:00.192$ So in case anyone online couldn't hear that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00:05:00.200 \rightarrow 00:05:01.726$ we had a wonderful example just then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00:05:01.726 \rightarrow 00:05:03.279$ of someone who owns their mistakes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00{:}05{:}03.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.720$ who was comfortable talking about them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284
- $00{:}05{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.140$ We might call that something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:05:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.571$ like humility and honesty. Yep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

00:05:07.571 --> 00:05:09.317 Yep. Anyone else want to share?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00{:}05{:}09{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10{.}000$ We'll do one more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

00:05:15.120 --> 00:05:16.760 I I think Jackie is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

 $00:05:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.100$ sitting right next to him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

00:05:18.100 --> 00:05:20.400 I I noticed that I was a A

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

 $00{:}05{:}20{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22{.}512$ fellow and I've always seen him

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

00:05:22.512 --> 00:05:24.118 with somebody who has devoted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417532666666667

 $00{:}05{:}24.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.664$ his life to medical education

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417532666666667

 $00{:}05{:}26.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.080$ and medical care. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.30386541

 $00:05:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.079$ this is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:05:29.720 --> 00:05:32.303 Yep. Yep. So again, a beautiful example

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}05{:}32{.}303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35{.}038$ and a nice one from in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:05:35.040 --> 00:05:36.395 Also, just feeling like there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}05{:}36{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38{.}186$ someone in your life that you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:38.186 \rightarrow 00:05:39.800$ up to who's devoted to what they do,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:05:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.880$ who shows up for people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- 00:05:40.880 00:05:43.608 who shows up every day. Yeah, began.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- 00:05:43.608 --> 00:05:45.088 I heard, like, generosity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:05:45.088 \dashrightarrow 00:05:48.927$ dedication and integrity. Yeah, good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:05:48.927 \rightarrow 00:05:50.240$ So you can all see where I'm going with this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:05:50.240 \rightarrow 00:05:51.437$ It wasn't kind of a big giveaway.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- 00:05:51.440 --> 00:05:52.640 Right? But you've naturally started
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- 00:05:52.640 --> 00:05:54.080 framing things in terms of like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:05:54.080 \dashrightarrow 00:05:56.280$ qualities that people have that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- 00:05:56.280 --> 00:05:57.274 you know, don't just make them like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:05:57.280 \rightarrow 00:05:58.720$ oh, they're an OK person to hang with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00{:}05{:}58{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}357$ but like really kind of move us to have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- 00:06:01.360 --> 00:06:03.088 like, respect and admiration for people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00{:}06{:}03.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.820$ like these exemplars in our lives who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:06:04.820 \rightarrow 00:06:06.480$ we sort of look up to and and try,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.576$ I think a lot of the time to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:06:09.576 --> 00:06:11.208 of take a little bit from and carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:11.208 \dashrightarrow 00:06:12.478$ it forward in our own practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:12.480 \rightarrow 00:06:15.455$ So there's my unsubtle Segway

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}15{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17{.}835$ into the virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}17.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.624$ Has anyone in the room ever taken a

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:19.624 \rightarrow 00:06:21.317$ philosophy class or an intro to ethics class?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:21.320 \rightarrow 00:06:22.280$ And we're getting lots of nods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}22.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.805$ I'm guessing that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}22.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.980$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}22{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23{.}680$ Most of you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:23.680 \dashrightarrow 00:06:25.520$ So you've probably heard about

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:25.520 \rightarrow 00:06:27.360$ consequentialism and the the ontology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.000$ the ontological ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}30{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}836$ the three main branches of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:31.836 \rightarrow 00:06:32.754$ of normative ethics.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:06:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.330$ The branch of ethics that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333
- $00:06:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.320$ interested in what we should do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- 00:06:39.520 --> 00:06:40.960 are typically held support
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:06:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.400$ to be like consequentialism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:06:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.296$ Ontology, and then virtue ethics is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:06:44.296 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.799$ kind of the the murky third branch.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- 00:06:46.800 --> 00:06:47.400 So as you all know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:06:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.285$ consequentialism holds that an action
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- 00:06:50.285 --> 00:06:53.600 is right if and only if it produces
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:06:53.600 \rightarrow 00:06:55.040$ the best possible consequences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:06:55.040 \dashrightarrow 00:06:57.710$ So utilitarianism is the most well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:06:57.710 \dashrightarrow 00:06:59.066$ known form of consequentialism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- 00:06:59.066 --> 00:07:00.576 So maximize the greatest good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:07:00.576 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.000$ for the greatest number.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:07:02.000 \rightarrow 00:07:03.368$ Basically, the the consequences,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:03.368 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.078$ the outcomes of our actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06{.}400$ are really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}07{:}06{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08{.}160$ perhaps the most decisive

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.944$ moral or ethical factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:09.944 --> 00:07:11.728 It's sort of contrasted

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:11.728 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.160$ with deontological ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:13.160 \dashrightarrow 00:07:16.517$ which holds that an action is right if and

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:16.517 \rightarrow 00:07:19.874$ only if it adheres with the relevant rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:19.874 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.308$ obligations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}07{:}20.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.478$ or duties that some one has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}07{:}22.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.164$ And it differs from consequentialism

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:26.164 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.521$ in that it says that sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:28.521 \dashrightarrow 00:07:29.928$ there are just going to be things

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:29.928 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.160$ that we absolutely can't do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.340$ regardless of how good the

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.520$ consequences of that thing are.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:07:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.850$ Some things are just absolutely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00{:}07{:}37{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39{.}412$ prohibited or or obligatory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:07:39.412 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.776$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- 00:07:39.776 --> 00:07:41.596 And then there's virtue ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:07:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.554$ sort of the weird cousin that people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:07:44.554 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.758$ don't really like to look at.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- 00:07:46.760 --> 00:07:49.600 And I'm looking at my my buddy James,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00{:}07{:}49{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52{.}317$ who I Yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- 00:07:52.317 --> 00:07:55.813 And because in 2015 I have this very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:07:55.813 \rightarrow 00:07:58.133$ distinctive memory of sitting in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00{:}07{:}58{.}133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00{.}512$ a lecture theater as a student in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00{:}08{:}00{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01{.}802$ Yale Summer Institute and bioethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- 00:08:01.802 --> 00:08:03.119 program and listening to Shell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:08:03.120 \dashrightarrow 00:08:04.440$ the wonderful Shelley Kagan give
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981
- $00:08:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.760$ a lecture on normative ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:05.760 --> 00:08:08.196 And he didn't once James is laughing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.084$ now mentioned character or

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:10.084 \dashrightarrow 00:08:12.439$ dispositions or kind of motives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:12.440 --> 00:08:13.320 And I remember, like me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.517$ a little grad student at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:14.520 --> 00:08:16.230 popping my hand up and saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}16{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18{.}424$ not once mentioned virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:18.424 \rightarrow 00:08:21.280$ and him saying it's not ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}21.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.384$ And then James found me afterwards

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:22.384 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.120$ and he was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}23.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.653$ shout out to virtue ethics and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}24.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.040$ we decided we'd be best friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}26.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.756$ But this was back in 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:27.760 --> 00:08:29.404 So not everyone thinks of virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}157$ ethics as a kind of normative

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:31.157 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.939$ ethics because it does have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:32.939 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.879$ little bit of a different project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:34.880 --> 00:08:38.100 It's not sort of a systematic moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:38.100 \rightarrow 00:08:40.405$ theory that's trying to explain right

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:40.405 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.080$ action in the same way that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.960$ other branches of normative ethics are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.198$ What is it trying to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.492$ So it's starting point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}517$ And what I mean by that is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}53{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55{.}539$ the the framework through which

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}55{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59{.}480$ it looks at like ethical questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}958$ and sort of just orients itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}09{:}01{.}958$ --> $00{:}09{:}04{.}440$ to ethical problems and conflicts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}09{:}04.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.816$ is to start first with character

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}09{:}06.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.400$ as central to morality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:08.400 \dashrightarrow 00:09:10.320$ It's sort of the guiding thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:10.320 --> 00:09:12.077 So instead of focusing on right action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}09{:}12.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.064$ we should think about what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:13.064 \rightarrow 00:09:13.959$ of people we want to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:13.960 \dashrightarrow 00:09:16.680$ what kind of character we want to cultivate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:16.680 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.360$ And maybe right action comes from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}09{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.824$ but it just sort of has a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:20.824 \rightarrow 00:09:23.633$ starting point than something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:23.633 \rightarrow 00:09:26.557$ consequentialism or deontological ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:26.560 --> 00:09:27.532 As I said it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:27.532 \rightarrow 00:09:28.990$ the slogan is typically that its

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:29.043 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.835$ focus is more in being than doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:30.840 --> 00:09:31.792 That's a little simplified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:31.792 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.743$ but it can be a helpful way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:33.743 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.679$ sort of remembering it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:34.680 \rightarrow 00:09:36.185$ And most people attribute virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:36.185 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.690$ ethics back to Aristotle and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337884466666667
- $00:09:37.745 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.080$ kind of the ancient Greeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337884466666667
- $00:09:39.080 \dashrightarrow 00:09:41.103$ And you can Machan ethics is sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337884466666667
- $00:09:41.103 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.028$ of typically thought of as like the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337884466666667
- $00:09:43.028 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.640$ main text on virtue ethics, but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- 00:09:46.800 --> 00:09:49.440 sort of ancient Eastern Chinese philosophy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- 00:09:49.440 --> 00:09:50.932 Also, Confucius famously did
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:09:50.932 \rightarrow 00:09:52.797$ talk about virtues as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:09:52.800 \rightarrow 00:09:54.132$ particularly familial virtues and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00{:}09{:}54{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56{.}836$ sort of what it meant to be a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- 00:09:56.836 --> 00:09:58.436 virtuous actor within your family.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:09:58.440 \rightarrow 00:10:03.180$ But Aristotle is really kind of the main
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00{:}10{:}03.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.600$ sort of white male philosopher associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00{:}10{:}06{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09{.}240$ with this particular branch of ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00{:}10{:}09{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}576$ And I'm not going to say them in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00{:}10{:}10{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}677$ Greek because I don't know how to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:11.680 \rightarrow 00:10:15.280$ But he had three guiding concepts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17{.}560$ They were sort of excellence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:17.560 \rightarrow 00:10:19.156$ human flourishing and practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:19.156 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.948$ wisdom that he used to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:10:21.948 --> 00:10:23.916 Orient his idea of the virtues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.000$ what counted as a virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:10:25.000 --> 00:10:25.760 what didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:25.760 \rightarrow 00:10:28.339$ how it was virtuous and human flourishing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}10{:}28.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.570$ Really kind of is I think the main one

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.992$ for us to potentially talk about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:33.992 \rightarrow 00:10:35.908$ idea that morally valuable traits were

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:35.908 \rightarrow 00:10:38.438$ the ones that helped you live a good life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.772$ that helped you live a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:39.772 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.438$ human flourishing life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}10{:}40{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41{.}370$ There are all kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}10{:}41{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42{.}114$ problems associated with that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.440$ We can dig into them a little bit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.595$ and the idea is that the virtue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.052$ sort of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:46.052 \rightarrow 00:10:46.956$ for Aristotle at least,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.520$ work together in a big web.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- 00:10:48.520 --> 00:10:50.235 So you can't just kind of think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:50.235 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.719$ about 1 virtue in isolation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.712$ It's all sort of cultivating a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- 00:10:54.712 --> 00:10:56.872 range of virtues and sensitivity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:56.872 \rightarrow 00:10:59.062$ to the different situations you're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:10:59.062 \rightarrow 00:11:01.750$ in and sort of how to exercise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00{:}11{:}01.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.238$ different virtues and balance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:11:03.238 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.513$ them in this nice kind of web.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00{:}11{:}05{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06{.}624$ And that was what he thought
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333
- $00:11:06.624 \rightarrow 00:11:07.360$ of as practical wisdom,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:11:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.753$ this idea that you would sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:08.753 --> 00:11:10.256 know how to balance and weigh

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:10.256 --> 00:11:11.681 and act virtuously in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:11:11.681 \rightarrow 00:11:12.925$ situations by practicing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:12.925 --> 00:11:14.480 getting it wrong sometimes right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:11:14.480 \rightarrow 00:11:15.713$ And the idea was to live a good life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:11:15.720 \rightarrow 00:11:17.896$ you have to really kind of strive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:17.896 --> 00:11:19.400 cultivate habitually virtuous qualities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00{:}11{:}27{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28{.}740$ There's a nice little quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

00:11:28.740 --> 00:11:29.960 here from Rosalind Hurst House.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00{:}11{:}29{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34{.}878$ She says excellences of character,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00:11:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.320$ qualities that help us to notice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

00:11:36.320 --> 00:11:38.368 expect, value, feel, desire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00:11:38.368 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.280$ choose, act and react in

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

00:11:41.280 --> 00:11:43.080 certain characteristic ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00:11:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.992$ and that phonesis.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967
- $00:11:43.992 \rightarrow 00:11:46.280$ In short, practical wisdom is needed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967
- $00{:}11{:}46.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.364$ to apply duty's rules or principles
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967
- $00:11:48.364 \rightarrow 00:11:50.520$ in in in a morally sensitive way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967
- $00:11:50.520 \rightarrow 00:11:54.168$ There are also non Aristotelian branches
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967
- $00{:}11{:}54{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}839$ of virtue ethics famously attributed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.426301813333333
- 00:12:00.080 --> 00:12:01.919 Soccer Christine Swanton.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091
- 00:12:03.960 --> 00:12:05.592 They're not committed to any kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091
- $00:12:05.592 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.434$ of idea of human flourishing in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091
- $00{:}12{:}07{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09{.}522$ the same way that Zionists or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091
- $00{:}12{:}09{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11{.}040$ Aristotelian virtue ethicists are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091
- $00:12:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.480$ They talk much more just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091
- $00:12:13.480 \rightarrow 00:12:15.432$ generally about moral exemplars,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091
- $00{:}12{:}15{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17{.}120$ target based virtues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- 00:12:20.360 --> 00:12:21.970 They just kind of have a bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:12:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.558$ of a broader lens on things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:23.560 \rightarrow 00:12:25.810$ They're not trying to explain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}12{:}25.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.656$ the value of of virtues in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:28.656 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.971$ to some other like thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:31.971 \rightarrow 00:12:33.653$ it's value that virtues, values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:33.653 \rightarrow 00:12:35.318$ derivative of like human flourishing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}12{:}35{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}425$ It's just sort of how are they valuable in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41{.}357$ other situations or roles that you're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.088$ And they just talk much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:12:43.088 --> 00:12:44.240 broadly non Aristotelian virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:44.288 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.680$ ethicists about dispositions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}12{:}45.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.865$ motives and motions and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:47.865 \rightarrow 00:12:50.840$ of how they shape the virtues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:12:50.840 --> 00:12:52.796 There's also kind of virtue theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}12{:}52{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}624$ So there's a lot of kind of overlap

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:54.624 \rightarrow 00:12:56.370$ now and people are talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58{.}218$ Kantian virtue ethics and sort of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:12:58.273 \rightarrow 00:12:59.920$ consequentialist virtue ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- 00:12:59.920 --> 00:13:01.775 And Sidwick like they're kind of like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00{:}13{:}01{.}775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}652$ all these lines between these different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:03.652 \rightarrow 00:13:05.698$ branches of normative ethics are very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00{:}13{:}05{.}698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}396$ blurry to begin with but are kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:07.396 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.764$ of getting blurrier and people are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:08.764 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.428$ sort of just want to just like what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:10.475 \rightarrow 00:13:12.239$ people call virtue theory going on now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00{:}13{:}12{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13{.}840$ So sort of everything else that's out there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.320$ Again, not interested necessarily in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.732$ how the virtues relate to a good life or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:18.732 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.797$ developing kind of a robust account of that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.966$ but just thinking more generally in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- 00:13:22.966 --> 00:13:25.040 psychological terms about virtues and biases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769
- $00:13:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.136$ social theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:26.136 --> 00:13:27.232 moral psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:27.232 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.876$ stuff like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}13{:}28{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30{.}784$ This is a very quick and shallow overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:30.784 \rightarrow 00:13:33.238$ of a lot of complex stuff that's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.256$ but it's all to kind of help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:35.256 --> 00:13:36.435 understand where did this come

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}085$ from and how do we kind of get

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:38.085 --> 00:13:39.880 to thinking about virtues in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:39.880 \rightarrow 00:13:41.192$ healthcare and medical practice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75125091875

00:13:43.520 --> 00:13:45.200 If you've ever taken any classes on

NOTE Confidence: 0.75125091875

 $00:13:45.200 \rightarrow 00:13:46.520$ virtue, I think you've probably seen NOTE Confidence: 0.75125091875

 $00:13:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.840$ something like this kind of list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:13:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.132$ It's just kind of a way of for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:13:52.132 \rightarrow 00:13:53.478$ to kind of map out the virtues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:13:53.480 \rightarrow 00:13:54.590$ Aristotle in particular was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00{:}13{:}54{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}117$ interested in this idea of the golden

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:13:56.117 \rightarrow 00:13:57.239$ me that you couldn't just say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}13{:}57{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}296$ be honest, you had to really kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:00.296 \rightarrow 00:14:01.855$ balance or think about honesty through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:01.855 \rightarrow 00:14:03.399$ like the situation that you were in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}03{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}480$ And like, man, I could,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:04.480 --> 00:14:06.055 I could really kind of be almost
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:06.055 --> 00:14:07.630 too honest here and and be kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:07.630 --> 00:14:08.865 of rude and callous, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:08.865 --> 00:14:11.175 Or I could not have enough
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:11.175 --> 00:14:12.944 honesty and just be like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:12.944 --> 00:14:13.982 definitely withholding of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}13{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14{.}841$ relevant information. Right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}14{.}841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16{.}129$ So it was all about kind of balancing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}16{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}439$ and hitting the target of the virtue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.436$ showing the right amount of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

- $00:14:18.436 \rightarrow 00:14:19.619$ right thing to the right person in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:19.619 \rightarrow 00:14:20.753$ the right way in the right place,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.440$ in the right time. Right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}22{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24{.}756$ That was practically listen for him.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.162$ So yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:25.162 --> 00:14:26.368 you'll often see a list like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:26.368 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.240$ this where it's like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}27{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29{.}158$ here's the target of the virtue and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:29.158 --> 00:14:31.240 then kind of thinking about excess
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}31{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}812$ or deficiency of that quality and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:32.812 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.440$ where that would kind of lead us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:34.440 --> 00:14:36.096 I don't know that all virtues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:36.096 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.520$ fit into something like this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.092$ So we might justice as one, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:40.092 --> 00:14:41.688 being a just person we typically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:41.688 \rightarrow 00:14:43.352$ think of as being a being a virtue.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:43.352 --> 00:14:44.640 You can't really have too much justice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:44.640 --> 00:14:44.813 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:44.813 \rightarrow 00:14:45.678$ This doesn't kind of fit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:45.680 \rightarrow 00:14:47.190$ I think necessarily into its
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- 00:14:47.190 --> 00:14:48.398 deficiency or excess model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}48{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50{.}398$ but it can be a helpful way of kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:50.398 \rightarrow 00:14:52.563$ of thinking about different virtues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:52.563 \rightarrow 00:14:54.439$ and their corresponding biases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}54{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}280$ So we don't talk about virtue a lot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.672$ We don't talk about bias very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00:14:57.672 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.403$ much as well in in healthcare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127
- $00{:}14{:}59{.}403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}519$ and healthcare ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917846083333333
- $00:15:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.839$ Why virtue ethics?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667
- 00:15:07.080 --> 00:15:09.166 These are some reasons why I find
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667
- $00{:}15{:}09{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}584$ it attractive as a way of kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

 $00:15:11.584 \rightarrow 00:15:13.044$ framing and thinking about moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

00:15:13.109 - 00:15:14.837 problems or ethical problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

 $00{:}15{:}14.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.646$ I think this idea of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

 $00:15:16.646 \rightarrow 00:15:18.120$ just focusing on developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:20.200 --> 00:15:22.750 I just think like sometimes, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:22.750 --> 00:15:24.355 I work as a clinical ethicist, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:24.355 \rightarrow 00:15:25.720$ There's so many things in the hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.472$ in our healthcare system

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:26.472 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.600$ that are out of our control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:27.600 --> 00:15:28.839 Often I'm like, what do I control?

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:28.840 --> 00:15:30.392 I control me, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00{:}15{:}30{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}318$ And kind of really focusing on who do I

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00{:}15{:}33{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35{.}996$ want to be when I walk into the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:36.000 --> 00:15:37.148 How do I want to carry myself

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00{:}15{:}37{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38{.}238$ what I want to care about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:38.240 --> 00:15:40.656 So for me, I think just focusing on

- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- 00:15:40.656 --> 00:15:42.199 cultivating certain kinds of habits,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00{:}15{:}42.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.904$ good habits and sensitivity to a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00:15:43.904 \rightarrow 00:15:45.562$ range of of situations, that feels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00:15:45.562 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.128$ I think sometimes a little more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00:15:47.128 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.239$ achievable for me at least.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- 00:15:48.240 --> 00:15:51.960 So This is why I like it as a theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00:15:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.120$ role ethics and role modelling.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00{:}15{:}53{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53{.}768$ As I say here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00:15:53.768 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.740$ it's just a really common way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00:15:54.782 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.000$ for us to think about doing good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- 00:15:56.000 --> 00:15:56.300 So again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00{:}15{:}56{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}760$ we kind of look at people in our lives.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00:15:57.760 \rightarrow 00:15:58.480$ How are they doing it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00{:}15{:}58{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}476$ What do I like about that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848
- $00{:}15{:}59{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}442$ What do I not what seems like a good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:16:01.442 \rightarrow 00:16:03.640$ kind of admirable way of doing something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:16:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.991$ It's just a common way for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00{:}16{:}04{.}991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05{.}840$ to think about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.355$ I think this is just a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:09.355 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.720$ broad whether we like it or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:10.720 --> 00:16:11.494 Virtue, ethics, virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:11.494 --> 00:16:12.784 language is just part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:12.784 --> 00:16:14.034 the moral landscape, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:14.034 --> 00:16:15.438 It's part of our you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16{.}928$ assumptions when we interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00{:}16{:}16{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19{.}160$ with other people in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:19.160 --> 00:16:21.960 And this is all to say, not to say at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00{:}16{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.755$ that consequences and and duties

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:23.755 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.120$ or or rules do not matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.958$ So, and very much a pluralist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:28.960 --> 00:16:30.270 I'm not arguing that virtue

- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- $00:16:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.178$ ethics is the one right way of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- $00:16:32.178 \rightarrow 00:16:33.310$ thinking about ethical conflicts,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- $00:16:33.310 \rightarrow 00:16:35.080$ particularly in patient care at all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- $00:16:35.080 \rightarrow 00:16:37.000$ but just encourage you to think it is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- $00:16:37.000 \rightarrow 00:16:38.678$ another tool to have in your toolbox,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- $00:16:38.680 \rightarrow 00:16:38.940$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- 00:16:38.940 --> 00:16:41.280 To kind of whip out and think things through,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- 00:16:41.280 --> 00:16:42.708 Which of course *******
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- 00:16:42.708 --> 00:16:44.136 philosophers won't like very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- 00:16:44.136 --> 00:16:45.878 much because they're much more,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857
- 00:16:45.880 --> 00:16:46.720 I think, kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375
- $00{:}16{:}49{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51{.}503$ sensitive to some of the the theoretical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375
- $00{:}16{:}51{.}503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53{.}188$ conflicts and the meta ethical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375
- $00{:}16{:}53.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.716$ conflicts between these theories.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375
- $00:16:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.917$ I think when we work in healthcare,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00:16:55.920 \rightarrow 00:16:57.342$ we get comfortable with that messiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00{:}16{:}57{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}535$ and we're quite comfortable kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

00:16:58.535 --> 00:16:59.560 of just drawing upon different

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00:16:59.560 \rightarrow 00:17:00.920$ things that feel good in the moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00{:}17{:}00{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}600$ Right. Big fan of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}17{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.553$ OK, so these are these are the I I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:07.553 \rightarrow 00:17:08.557$ think they're devastating critiques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:08.560 \rightarrow 00:17:10.024$ but these are the critiques of virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}17{:}10.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.438$ that are commonly levelled against it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.440$ There's probably some more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}17{:}14.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.622$ Most people, particularly those of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:15.622 \rightarrow 00:17:16.927$ who work in very practical settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}17{:}16{.}927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18{.}349$ and have sort of practical ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:18.349 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.600$ issues that we have to navigate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:17:19.600 --> 00:17:21.460 think, you can't just say, be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.960$ that's not action guiding at all.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00:17:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.400$ Be a good person.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00:17:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.258$ Like, I want you to tell me what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- 00:17:26.258 --> 00:17:28.192 to do in concrete terms, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00:17:28.192 \rightarrow 00:17:30.688$ So this is kind of one big critique
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00{:}17{:}30.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.037$ that's levelled against virtue ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00:17:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.176$ Virtue ethicists have tried
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00:17:34.176 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.880$ to respond to this by saying,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00:17:35.880 \rightarrow 00:17:42.040$ well something a maxim like do the most good,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00{:}17{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.716$ that's like create the best consequence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00:17:43.716 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.237$ that's also you've got to get much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- 00:17:45.237 --> 00:17:46.412 more concrete and specific, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00:17:46.412 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.428$ So that's just to say that other nominee
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00{:}17{:}48{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49{.}769$ theories also struggle with being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00{:}17{:}49.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.578$ action guiding when it gets messy and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714
- $00{:}17{:}51{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}162$ kind of you have to really dig into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:53.162 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.949$ into the into the specifics of this the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:54.949 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.920$ case or the situation that you're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:17:56.920 --> 00:17:59.080 And virtue of the system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:59.080 \rightarrow 00:18:01.632$ well actually like it is quite action guiding

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:01.632 \rightarrow 00:18:04.528$ to think about something like tell the truth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:04.528 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.864$ right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:04.864 --> 00:18:05.200 Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}05{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07{.}762$ like a lot of virtues do translate

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:07.762 --> 00:18:09.999 pretty directly into action guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:10.000 \rightarrow 00:18:10.728$ you know, be brave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}10.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.062$ Obviously we have to think through what

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:12.062 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.274$ that means in a particular situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.732$ But virtue ethics has kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:14.732 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.985$ said they're actually not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:15.985 \rightarrow 00:18:17.407$ much less action guiding than any

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:17.407 \rightarrow 00:18:18.919$ other branch of normative ethics.

00:18:18.920 --> 00:18:22.196 Roslyn Hursthouse talks about the rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:22.200 --> 00:18:22.824 virtue rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}22{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24{.}696$ and how they can produce a

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:24.696 \rightarrow 00:18:26.200$ theory of right action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:26.200 --> 00:18:26.395 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:26.395 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.565$ it's tricky because I think virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:27.565 --> 00:18:28.991 ethics is just sort of thinking if

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:28.991 --> 00:18:29.996 you're focusing on right action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}30{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31{.}362$ you're may be not looking at things

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:31.362 \rightarrow 00:18:32.998$ in the way that we want you to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}33{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}560$ but they sort of tried to respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.240$ that by creating kind of the rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:38.240 --> 00:18:40.105 mapping out virtues and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:40.105 --> 00:18:42.100 of reframing them in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}42.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43.396$ more concrete action guidance.

00:18:45.520 --> 00:18:48.280 The next critique is just saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

 $00{:}18{:}48{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49{.}680$ like the right thing to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

 $00:18:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.000$ what a virtuous agent would do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

00:18:51.000 --> 00:18:52.160 That's just kind of circular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

00:18:52.160 --> 00:18:52.958 right? Like, haha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

 $00{:}18{:}52{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54{.}554$ we've got you now virtual emphasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

00:18:54.560 --> 00:18:55.760 It's too circular, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:18:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.008$ I think it's kind of a problem that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}00{.}008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}171$ virtue ethicists created for themselves by

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:01.171 -> 00:19:02.868 trying to come up with a theory of right NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}02.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.332$ action and then linking it back to virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:04.332 $-\!\!>$ 00:19:05.880 And they did kind of get into this loop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}05{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}016$ So there's some sort of attempts to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}08.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.477$ out of that in the theoretical debates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:10.480 --> 00:19:12.216 Again, I think virtue ethicists kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:12.216 \rightarrow 00:19:13.930$ say like it's not necessarily and less

- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.612$ circular than saying the white thing to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:15.612 \rightarrow 00:19:17.117$ do is to maximize the best consequence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- 00:19:17.120 --> 00:19:19.118 Like, there's sort of a circularity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:19.120 \dashrightarrow 00:19:20.600$ I think, in a lot about ethical theories,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:20.600 \rightarrow 00:19:23.728$ big meta ethical debate behind that going on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00{:}19{:}23.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.240$ that I don't feel qualified to weigh in on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00{:}19{:}26{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27{.}170$ And I think that's kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.040$ not our focus for today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00{:}19{:}28.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.595$ But this is another sort of charge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:30.595 \rightarrow 00:19:32.640$ that's levelled against virtue ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:32.640 \rightarrow 00:19:34.016$ What about conflicting virtues?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:34.016 \rightarrow 00:19:37.786$ What do we do when kind of being honest and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- 00:19:37.786 --> 00:19:39.836 being compassionate come into conflict,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:19:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.059$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00{:}19{:}40.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.030$ What are we going to do if we kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:42.088 \rightarrow 00:19:43.798$ of have to balance these things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.697$ The same goes for kind of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:45.697 --> 00:19:46.239 different outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:46.240 \rightarrow 00:19:48.039$ different consequences that we have to weigh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:48.040 \rightarrow 00:19:48.535$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:48.535 --> 00:19:50.515 Or different duties sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:50.515 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.000$ come into conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:52.000 --> 00:19:53.164 So I think virtue ethicists would

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}53.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.348$ bite that bullet and say, Yep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:54.348 \rightarrow 00:19:55.716$ sometimes you have to make trade-offs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:55.720 --> 00:19:55.972 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}55{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57{.}736$ You have to do that complex ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:57.736 --> 00:19:59.488 work of weighing and balancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:59.488 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.080$ different relevant virtues or

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:20:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.274$ or ethical considerations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:20:02.280 --> 00:20:02.708 Again,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:20:02.708 \rightarrow 00:20:05.276$ that's not a problem that's unique
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00{:}20{:}05{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}772$ to virtue ethics. Pretenders.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:20:06.772 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.256$ What are we going to do with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:20:08.256 \rightarrow 00:20:08.680$ moral pretenders?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- 00:20:08.680 --> 00:20:09.584 These kind of fakes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00{:}20{:}09{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}940$ these phonies out there who sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- 00:20:10.991 --> 00:20:12.479 of look like they're being virtuous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:20:12.479 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.772$ but actually are quite terrible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:20:13.772 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.796$ people on the inside,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:20:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.552$ how do we know anyone's ever
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- 00:20:16.552 --> 00:20:17.720 actually really acting virtuously,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333
- $00:20:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.120$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00{:}20{:}20{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21{.}038$ That's true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- 00:20:21.038 --> 00:20:22.431 We never really know kind of what's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00{:}20{:}22{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23{.}880$ going on inside some one's head, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.000$ Or what's motivating them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00{:}20{:}25{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26{.}956$ I think that's certainly a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:26.960 --> 00:20:28.190 We could have someone who's doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.612$ great people, good in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:29.612 --> 00:20:30.333 But ******** utilitarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:30.333 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.037$ he was just a bit of a rotten

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:32.037 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.211$ human on the inside, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:33.211 -> 00:20:34.084 That's true again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:34.084 \rightarrow 00:20:34.957$ just true generally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:34.960 --> 00:20:36.840 I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.250$ I think there's a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:38.250 \rightarrow 00:20:39.453$ psychological point in there about

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:39.453 \rightarrow 00:20:40.755$ if you're going through the motions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00{:}20{:}40.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.328$ do you start to identify with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:42.328 \rightarrow 00:20:44.382$ and sort of feel different ways about

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:44.382 \rightarrow 00:20:46.037$ different things that you're doing?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00{:}20{:}46.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.776$ I think it's a bullet that Birch
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- 00:20:47.776 --> 00:20:49.719 worth is just kind of kind of bite.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00:20:49.720 \rightarrow 00:20:50.815$ And there's some really interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- 00:20:50.815 --> 00:20:52.342 work in kind of social and moral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- 00:20:52.342 --> 00:20:53.307 psychology coming out about kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00:20:53.307 \rightarrow 00:20:54.760$ of do we actually have virtues?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00:20:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.440$ What do they look like?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00:20:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.732$ How do they manifest in different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00:20:57.732 \rightarrow 00:20:59.800$ people in different situations as well?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- 00:20:59.800 --> 00:21:01.240 Again, I'm going to go too far into that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- 00:21:01.240 --> 00:21:02.072 But I don't think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- 00:21:02.072 --> 00:21:02.280 again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- $00{:}21{:}02{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03{.}705$ this is a unique problem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714
- 00:21:03.705 --> 00:21:04.560 for virtue ethicists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008
- 00:21:08.280 --> 00:21:09.064 Yeah, I don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

 $00:21:09.064 \rightarrow 00:21:10.240$ We never really know what's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:10.278 --> 00:21:11.640 on in someone else's head, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:11.640 --> 00:21:13.772 Just like this idea, that virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:13.772 --> 00:21:14.836 it's just so demanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

 $00:21:14.840 \rightarrow 00:21:16.716$ trying to be good all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:16.720 --> 00:21:18.478 Like, who has time for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:18.480 --> 00:21:21.240 It's training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

 $00:21:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.600$ Well, maybe it should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:23.600 --> 00:21:24.880 And I think virtual

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

 $00:21:24.880 \rightarrow 00:21:25.840$ ethicists are certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00{:}21{:}28{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}544$ aware of this critique and very quick to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:33.544 \rightarrow 00:21:35.308$ acknowledge that yes, it takes practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:35.308 --> 00:21:37.240 You're going to get it wrong sometimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00{:}21{:}37{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39{.}560$ and there's a lot of people do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:39.560 --> 00:21:41.876 So I'm thinking about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:41.880 --> 00:21:43.600 Jack, Jack, I'm sure you've

00:21:43.600 --> 00:21:45.320 done things where you're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:45.320 --> 00:21:46.398 we would not say you weren't virtuous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:46.400 --> 00:21:47.740 but you're like, I made some mistakes, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:47.740 --> 00:21:49.280 That doesn't not make you a virtuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:49.280 --> 00:21:53.264 No mistakes, OK, you know what I mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:53.264 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.232$ They would say like this times 2 where

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:56.232 \rightarrow 00:21:59.324$ it's like we're not going to call someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:59.324 \rightarrow 00:22:01.521$ a vicious person overall because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00{:}22{:}01{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}474$ perhaps made a mistake or didn't get it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:22:03.480 --> 00:22:04.320 you know, didn't get it right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:22:04.320 --> 00:22:05.508 In that particular situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00{:}22{:}05{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06{.}399$ it's about practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00{:}22{:}06{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07{.}576$ It's about cultivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00{:}22{:}07{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}144$ It's about this accumulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00{:}22{:}09{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11{.}160$ of habits and dispositions.

00:22:15.000 --> 00:22:15.764 See, I think virtuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}15.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.528$ There's another bullet that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:16.528 \rightarrow 00:22:17.553$ they would bite and say, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:17.553 \rightarrow 00:22:18.518$ it is demanding, It's hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.040$ It may not be perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:20.040 --> 00:22:21.570 Aristol had sort of a framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}21{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}223$ where he talked about things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:23.223 --> 00:22:24.957 sort of almost like impotent virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}24{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26{.}150$ where it's like you kind of know

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.237$ what the right thing to do is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}27{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28{.}360$ But you have this weakness of will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.634$ You can't always kind of get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:29.640 --> 00:22:31.897 And again, kind of just practicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}31{.}897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33{.}906$ allowing yourself to get it wrong sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:33.906 \rightarrow 00:22:35.714$ and sort of working on building that up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:35.720 \rightarrow 00:22:37.736$ building habits that help you to get

- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:22:37.736 \rightarrow 00:22:40.400$ there if it comes up again in the future.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:22:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.560$ And then the situation isn't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}22{:}41.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.576$ which is a little bit more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:22:43.576 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.920$ of a contemporary critique.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}22{:}44{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46{.}954$ The Situationists are folks who ran
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:22:46.954 \rightarrow 00:22:48.742$ some experiments in social psychology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:22:48.742 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.230$ that they thought demonstrated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:22:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.430$ that there's no such thing as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}22{:}52{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54{.}116$ sort of a stable character trait.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:22:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.115$ They sort of came up with some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:22:56.115 \rightarrow 00:22:58.168$ experiments that they felt showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}22{:}58{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}378$ people don't have virtues right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}23{:}00{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02{.}800$ by manipulating situations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}23{:}02{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05{.}236$ That goes out the window real quick.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}23{:}05{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06{.}785$ Situations have a tremendous amount
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:06.785 --> 00:23:08.760 of influence on how we behave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:08.760 --> 00:23:10.320 And someone who you know beforehand was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:10.320 \rightarrow 00:23:11.400$ I'm a really honest person would

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:11.400 --> 00:23:12.695 straight up lie if we just fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}23{:}12.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.757$ the situation in a certain way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}23{:}13.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.704$ And they thought that when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:15.704 \rightarrow 00:23:17.959$ no such thing as virtues right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:17.960 \rightarrow 00:23:19.934$ The methods and the design of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}23{:}19{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21{.}800$ studies have been heavily critiqued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:21.800 --> 00:23:23.600 And virtue ethicists also, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.718$ took very admirably a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.781$ a lot of what they did find on board

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}23{:}27{.}781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30{.}351$ and have been doing a better job of

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:30.351 \rightarrow 00:23:32.080$ partnering with empirical researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}23{:}32.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.415$ with social scientists and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:33.415 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.779$ sort of come up with a lot of their

- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:23:35.779 \rightarrow 00:23:37.231$ own experiments as a response to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}23{:}37{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38{.}846$ the situation of studies that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- 00:23:38.846 --> 00:23:39.824 Sure, actually, yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:23:39.824 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.454$ by nudging situations we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:23:41.454 \rightarrow 00:23:43.168$ cultivate virtue or make people more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- 00:23:43.168 --> 00:23:44.800 inclined to move in certain ways.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- 00:23:44.800 --> 00:23:45.600 And actually that's kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- 00:23:45.600 --> 00:23:46.425 grist to our mill, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00{:}23{:}46{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47{.}720$ Like we have to think about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- 00:23:47.720 --> 00:23:48.496 virtues in an environmental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077
- $00:23:48.496 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.600$ way and how we sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608
- $00{:}23{:}52{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}328$ how we kind of the architects of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608
- $00{:}23{:}54{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}392$ spaces that we're in and how to kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608
- $00{:}23{:}56{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}050$ of promote and encourage those kinds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608
- $00{:}23{:}58.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.675$ of qualities and their development.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:23:59.680 --> 00:24:01.108 So they've just, again, kind of taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}01{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}560$ that on board and responded to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.840$ moving through these really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.800$ really quickly again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}04{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06{.}072$ But these are sort of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}06.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.920$ of the main critiques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}06{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}837$ I think there's teeth to a lot of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}184$ I think virtue ethicists have done a

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}10.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.840$ good job of trying to respond to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:11.840 --> 00:24:13.094 And again, if you're sitting here

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:13.094 --> 00:24:14.539 listening to this and you're like virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:14.539 \rightarrow 00:24:16.520$ ethics is just not for me, that's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}16{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19{.}040$ Take take it or leave it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:19.040 --> 00:24:20.180 There's some really cool work

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:20.180 --> 00:24:21.320 just really quickly going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:21.320 \rightarrow 00:24:23.054$ some sort of overlap between virtue

- NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608
- $00:24:23.054 \rightarrow 00:24:24.919$ ethics and all of these areas,

00:24:24.920 --> 00:24:27.340 Care ethics, feminist ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}27{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30{.}053$ African and indigenous bioethics who

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}104$ talk a lot about relationships to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}32{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}279$ of land and sort of practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.684$ And this is not to say any of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:35.684 \rightarrow 00:24:37.117$ things are derivative of virtual ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:37.120 \rightarrow 00:24:39.337$ They're not their ethical theories

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:39.337 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.422$ and really important areas of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:40.422 \rightarrow 00:24:41.560$ study in their own right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.312$ but just that there's some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}43.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.760$ cool and interesting synergies here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.612$ Philosophy of emotions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}45.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.316$ lots of really cool overlap there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:47.320 --> 00:24:48.370 As I said,

 $00:24:48.370 \rightarrow 00:24:50.120$ moral and social social psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}50{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}154$ Lots of cool collaboration and partnership

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:52.154 \rightarrow 00:24:54.080$ going on between virtue ethicists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.940$ virtue theorists and folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57{.}800$ working in those areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}57{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}260$ And then professional and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.355$ institutional ethics too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:25:00.360 \rightarrow 00:25:02.136$ There's sort of some debates going

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}02{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}374$ on about at the moment around whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}04{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06{.}428$ or not organizations have sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}510$ a collective psyche and whether it

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:25:08.572 --> 00:25:10.720 makes sense to talk about things

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}10.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.560$ like institutional virtues and vices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}12{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14{.}513$ We're not going to wade into that that area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:25:14.520 \rightarrow 00:25:17.680$ but it's up there in case you're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:25:17.680 --> 00:25:18.072 OK,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608
- $00{:}25{:}18.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.640$ virtual ethics and healthcare.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76537199111111
- 00:25:23.400 --> 00:25:24.942 Has anyone in here ever read
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76537199111111
- $00:25:24.942 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.713$ their professional code?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76537199111111
- $00:25:25.720 \rightarrow 00:25:28.480$ We've got it. OK good couple.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76537199111111
- 00:25:28.480 --> 00:25:30.360 Couple. James has gone like, yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- $00:25:33.240 \rightarrow 00:25:35.232$ Has anyone here, did anyone here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- 00:25:35.232 --> 00:25:37.600 take the Hippocratic Oath? Yes. OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- 00:25:37.600 00:25:40.583 So we just asked that references
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- $00:25:40.583 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.881$ to certain kinds of virtues is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- $00:25:42.881 \rightarrow 00:25:45.359$ really common in professional oaths,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- $00:25:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.692$ professional codes of ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- 00:25:46.692 --> 00:25:47.358 Throughout history,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- $00:25:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.000$ it's always sort of been embedded in there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- 00:25:49.000 --> 00:25:51.050 this sort of virtue language
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782
- $00{:}25{:}51{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}280$ and virtue framing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.240$ Also being a good doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}200$ or being a good nurse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.300$ being a good ethicist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:55.300 \rightarrow 00:25:56.675$ being a good speech pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00{:}25{:}56{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}758$ These are all sort of I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}748$ common ways that we think about how NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:59.748 \rightarrow 00:26:01.426$ we're approaching our roles and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:26:01.426 \rightarrow 00:26:03.239$ we're doing the work that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:26:03.240 --> 00:26:05.538 Frequently cited virtue sort of cutting NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:26:05.538 --> 00:26:07.840 across all fields of healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00{:}26{:}07{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10{.}360$ all disciplines, all kind of trainings

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:26:10.360 \rightarrow 00:26:12.344$ include things like compassion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:26:12.344 --> 00:26:14.888 empathy, benevolence, competence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:26:14.888 --> 00:26:17.960 trustworthiness, humility, patience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:26:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.000$ all of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:22.280 \rightarrow 00:26:24.008$ Sorry, this is probably not super

- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00:26:24.008 \rightarrow 00:26:25.940$ easy to read, but this is a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00{:}26{:}25{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}000$ bit from the American Medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00{:}26{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.040$ Association's Code of Ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00{:}26{:}29{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30{.}880$ So I did bold them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00:26:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.678$ but you can't really see it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- 00:26:31.680 --> 00:26:34.080 But talks about physicians being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00:26:34.080 \rightarrow 00:26:36.480$ dedicated to providing competent medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00:26:36.549 \rightarrow 00:26:38.719$ care with compassion and respect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- 00:26:38.720 --> 00:26:43.436 being honest, respect for the law,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00:26:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.126$ avoiding things that are contrary to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00:26:45.126 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.879$ the best interests of the patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00:26:46.880 \rightarrow 00:26:48.976$ It's as a physician to support shall support
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00{:}26{:}48.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.917$ access to medical care for all people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00{:}26{:}50{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}880$ We might frame that as something like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333
- $00:26:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.435$ you know, them being committed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:26:54.435 - 00:26:56.440 to justice or fairness or equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:26:56.440 --> 00:26:58.904 So again, you can kind of see there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}26{:}58{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}039$ some virtue terms embedded in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.850$ The nursing association

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.200$ code of ethics is wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:27:03.200 --> 00:27:04.016 So again, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}27{:}04.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.920$ it's a little bit hard to read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.531$ But again, compassion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}27{:}07{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}679$ respect the inherent dignity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}27{:}09.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.836$ work and unique attributes of every person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:27:11.840 --> 00:27:13.740 So being very person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}27{:}13.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.640$ patient oriented and sensitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.680$ being accountable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.720$ being responsible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:19.920 \rightarrow 00:27:22.740$ being collaborative, there's all kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.080$ of virtue terms embedded in here,

 $00:27:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.360$ conferences certainly in there as

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:27:29.360 --> 00:27:31.440 well-being advocate, working to

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.456$ promote health and safety all in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:34.456 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.032$ And these are just examples of sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:37.032 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.307$ how it crops up in our professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}39{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41{.}357$ language and framing of our roles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}41.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.736$ So I was talking to Doctor Mercurio before

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}43.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.801$ we started and I asked what would you

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:45.801 \rightarrow 00:27:48.317$ want me to talk about virtual ethics today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:27:48.320 --> 00:27:49.352 And you said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}49{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51{.}520$ we talk a lot about principles and rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}51{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53{.}352$ These are sort of a lot of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}53.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.749$ concepts and sort of frameworks

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}54.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.513$ that we use in healthcare ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:56.520 \rightarrow 00:27:59.140$ You know, we talk about respect for autonomy,

 $00:27:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.240$ we talk about an efficence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.356$ normal epicence justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:02.356 \rightarrow 00:28:04.960$ we talk about right to bodily integrity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:04.960 \rightarrow 00:28:06.280$ right to access to healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.880$ things like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:06.880 \rightarrow 00:28:08.354$ These are all really, really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:28:08.354 --> 00:28:10.039 But as I said earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.480$ we're often pluralists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:28:11.480 --> 00:28:12.440 It's messy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.917$ We draw on lots of different things

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:28:13.917 -> 00:28:15.559 to help us think through really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:15.560 \rightarrow 00:28:16.332$ really concrete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}28{:}16{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17{.}876$ really real practical problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.720$ in healthcare. We often disagree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.550$ I think that's probably true

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.640$ of a lot of other areas.

 $00{:}28{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.380$ Our disagreements probably have a certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}561$ kind of flavour to them and certain sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:28.561 --> 00:28:30.400 of sort of effect on certain groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:30.400 \rightarrow 00:28:32.116$ There's often uncertainty in our practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}32{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}768$ I think that's becoming more and more true

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}35{.}768$ --> $00{:}28{:}38{.}260$ with technological advancements and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}38{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40{.}360$ of the political climate that we're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:40.360 --> 00:28:42.262 As I said, Healthcare is usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}42.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.966$ pluralistic and we often adopt

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:43.966 --> 00:28:45.478 A process oriented approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:45.480 \rightarrow 00:28:47.478$ We don't have to agree on values or outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}47{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}397$ but we can agree on sort of how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}49{.}397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51{.}488$ get there and what sorts of processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}320$ we employ to resolve conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56{.}448$ So that's just to say we reason a lot on a

 $00{:}28{:}56{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59{.}680$ case by case basis and we principles, rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:59.680 --> 00:29:04.053 rights and virtues I think are all very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:29:04.053 --> 00:29:06.439 very important and it's messy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.496$ applications. So I was sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}13.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.656$ sitting back thinking like what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:14.656 --> 00:29:16.357 what do I want you to to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}16.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.840$ take away from today lots of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}17.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.688$ But I think virtual ethics really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:19.688 --> 00:29:21.238 interested in not just in in what

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}21{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23{.}097$ we do or what we end up doing or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}23.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.597$ producing or the effect of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:24.600 - 00:29:27.040 but how we do it, how do we get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:27.040 --> 00:29:27.600 As I said, for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:27.600 -> 00:29:30.078 I always think about how how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:30.080 \rightarrow 00:29:31.640$ what am I carrying into the room today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:31.640 --> 00:29:35.040 How do I want to approach a relationship

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982
- $00:29:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.920$ or a conflict or a conversation?

00:29:37.920 --> 00:29:40.040 How, how am I going to do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:40.040 --> 00:29:42.008 That's just very, very important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:42.008 \rightarrow 00:29:44.480$ us to think about ethically as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}44{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45{.}048$ So as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}45.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.758$ I was going to do a case and then I go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:46.760 --> 00:29:47.435 no, just kidding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:47.435 \rightarrow 00:29:49.400$ I'm going to give you some stories instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:49.400 --> 00:29:49.560 Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842971379090909

 $00{:}29{:}52.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55.243$ I'm going to put you on the spot for

NOTE Confidence: 0.842971379090909

 $00{:}29{:}55{.}243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57{.}205$ a second. What's sort of a common

NOTE Confidence: 0.842971379090909

 $00{:}29{:}57{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58{.}799$ conflict that comes up in the NICU?

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00{:}30{:}00{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}02{.}878$ A common conflict that comes up

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00:30:02.878 \dashrightarrow 00:30:07.040$ with the Nick Do is a child, Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

00:30:07.040 --> 00:30:10.176 A child who is terribly sick and

00:30:10.176 --> 00:30:11.929 ventilator dependent and has reached

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

00:30:11.929 --> 00:30:13.910 the point where many members of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00:30:13.972 \dashrightarrow 00:30:15.802$ staff are concerned that the prognosis NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00:30:15.802 \rightarrow 00:30:17.920$ is very poor and ongoing care is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5160938825

 $00{:}30{:}18{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21{.}200$ more cool than kind. And the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5160938825

 $00:30:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.720$ conflict between the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.809846616666667

 $00{:}30{:}22.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.358$ between the staff and the parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809846616666667

 $00{:}30{:}24{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25{.}908$ where the parents want to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.809846616666667

 $00{:}30{:}25{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27{.}519$ all efforts and the staff feels

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.880$ what's going on with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.876$ So just for the folks online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:30:30.880 --> 00:30:33.064 in case they couldn't hear that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}33{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34{.}870$ classic kind of conflict between

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}34{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37{.}045$ clinicians and parents about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:37.045 \rightarrow 00:30:38.755$ appropriateness of continuing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:38.755 \rightarrow 00:30:40.490$ sort of invasive life prolonging

 $00:30:40.490 \rightarrow 00:30:42.142$ interventions for a very, very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:42.142 \rightarrow 00:30:43.940$ very sick baby in the neck. You.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.260$ Yes, that's sort of exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}45{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47{.}024$ the kind of long case that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:30:47.024 --> 00:30:48.504 written up that I thought we'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:48.504 \dashrightarrow 00:30:49.638$ work through systematically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}49.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51.400$ But I thought this is sort of typical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:30:51.400 --> 00:30:54.234 I think of a lot of disagreements

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}54{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56{.}358$ and cases where there's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:56.358 \dashrightarrow 00:30:58.239$ bit of uncertainty in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:30:58.240 --> 00:30:59.520 And I was thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}59{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01{.}868$ my colleague Ros and I ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}31{:}01{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04{.}034$ writing a paper about virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07{.}100$ as it relates to the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}31{:}07{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}245$ role in decisions for children,

 $00:31:09.245 \rightarrow 00:31:11.495$ published that last year precisely because

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}31{:}11{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13{.}716$ of these kinds of classic conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:31:13.720 \rightarrow 00:31:18.557$ Right. And we talked a lot about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:31:18.560 \rightarrow 00:31:19.760$ sorry, this is my first story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}31{:}23.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.684$ We talked a lot about walking as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}31{:}26.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.980$ the ethicists getting consulted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}31{:}27{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30{.}000$ in these kinds of cases after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

00:31:30.000 --> 00:31:32.282 you know, weeks to months of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}31{:}32{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34{.}039$ clinicians meeting with the parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.325$ sort of talking to them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:35.325 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.353$ about the child's prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:36.360 \rightarrow 00:31:38.331$ making recommendations about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:38.331 \rightarrow 00:31:40.959$ discontinuing some things potentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}31{:}40{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42{.}624$ And as the ethicist, this is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}31{:}42.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.079$ funny thing that happens sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:44.080 \rightarrow 00:31:46.368$ You know, you'll get consulted on the case

 $00:31:46.368 \rightarrow 00:31:48.676$ and you'll talk to say that the attending,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}31{:}48.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.318$ the neonatologist who consulted you or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}31{:}50{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}039$ the nurse practitioner that consulted you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.300$ And they'll say this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:53.300 \rightarrow 00:31:54.875$ this family loves their kid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:54.880 \rightarrow 00:31:58.200$ but they're just not getting where we're at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:58.200 \dashrightarrow 00:31:59.928$ And we need your help kind of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:59.928 \rightarrow 00:32:01.800$ them to understand that there's this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.600$ this isn't going anywhere, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

00:32:04.600 --> 00:32:06.280 It's just hurting the kid at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.480$ the baby at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

00:32:08.480 --> 00:32:10.454 And you're going kind of sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}32{:}10{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12{.}484$ I'll feel kind of nervous just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}32{:}12{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15{.}068$ because of some of the things the team

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}32{:}15{.}133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16{.}572$ has said about the parents being,

00:32:16.572 --> 00:32:18.768 you know, kind of kind of hard headed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}32{:}18.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.226$ and not really in denial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:20.226 \rightarrow 00:32:21.398$ not really receiving information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}32{:}21{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22{.}665$ And I'll leave a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:22.665 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.677$ with the parents thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.182$ wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:24.182 \rightarrow 00:32:26.692$ those what amazing parents they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:26.692 \rightarrow 00:32:28.454$ are just an amazing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}32{:}28.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.544$ overwhelming sense of just love

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}32{:}30{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32{.}320$ and dedication to their kid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00{:}32{:}32{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.648$ And so sort of coming out of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:34.648 \rightarrow 00:32:37.004$ kinds of consults got me thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:37.004 \rightarrow 00:32:39.087$ virtue ethics a lot because they think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:39.087 \rightarrow 00:32:40.767$ we don't necessarily need to agree

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:40.767 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.797$ on what the right thing to do is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:42.800 \rightarrow 00:32:45.880$ and perhaps we will never agree on that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667
- 00:32:45.880 00:32:47.836 We don't necessarily have to know

 $00:32:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.584$ what the babies, what survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:32:52.584 \rightarrow 00:32:54.540$ would look like, what their quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:32:54.540 \rightarrow 00:32:56.920$ of life is going to look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:32:56.920 \dashrightarrow 00:32:58.537$ what their odds of kind of making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:32:58.537 \rightarrow 00:33:00.198$ it out of the NICU will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}00{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02{.}132$ We don't necessarily have to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:33:02.132 \rightarrow 00:33:04.219$ that there's always going to be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}04{.}219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05{.}941$ element of uncertainty in a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}05{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07{.}796$ the the situations that we're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

00:33:07.800 - 00:33:08.720 But what we can do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

00:33:08.720 --> 00:33:12.048 I think is sort of take a breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}12{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15{.}200$ and and and recognize and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}15{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17{.}209$ of validate the really admirable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}17{.}209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18{.}907$ qualities that both sort of the

 $00:33:18.907 \rightarrow 00:33:20.379$ clinical team and parents bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}20{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21{.}799$ to these kinds of disagreements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}21.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.876$ And so for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}22.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.221$ kind of thinking about parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}24{.}221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25{.}403$ virtues and sometimes working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}25{.}403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26{.}833$ with the team to reframe

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}29{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30{.}984$ these kinds of conflicts to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:30.984 \dashrightarrow 00:33:32.576$ say to my, to my clinical colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}32{.}576$ --> $00{:}33{:}34{.}039$ like, hey, I did talk with the family NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}34{.}039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}515$ and what I heard was that they're NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:33:35.515 --> 00:33:37.153 just trying to be good parents and NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}37{.}153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38{.}398$ that's really driving their decisions NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}38{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40{.}885$ and why they feel like they cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}40.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.360$ discontinue things at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:33:43.360 --> 00:33:44.424 Have you talked to them about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:44.424 \rightarrow 00:33:45.477$ it means to be a good parent?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00:33:45.480 \rightarrow 00:33:47.629$ Sort of framing things around the parental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00{:}33{:}47.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.478$ role and thinking that through has,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00:33:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.796$ at least for me and my practice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00:33:50.800 \rightarrow 00:33:53.460$ been a helpful way of sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00:33:53.460 \rightarrow 00:33:54.600$ approaching these disagreements.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00{:}33{:}54.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.048$ And you don't have to kind of move one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- 00:33:57.048 --> 00:33:58.280 party to the other's point of view,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00{:}33{:}58{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}568$ but we can get a little bit closer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00{:}33{:}59{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00{.}840$ to a shared middle I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00:34:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.748$ So that's one way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- 00:34:01.748 --> 00:34:03.450 And again not I don't walk into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- 00:34:03.450 --> 00:34:05.172 the room thinking what would virtue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00:34:05.172 \dashrightarrow 00:34:06.999$ ethics say about this disagreement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- 00:34:07.000 --> 00:34:09.520 But kind of thinking often post talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921
- $00{:}34{:}09{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}390$ about like what were the things I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.087$ heard and how are they reflective of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}13.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.047$ what people think it means to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}15{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16{.}673$ good parent or a good neonatologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:16.673 \rightarrow 00:34:18.512$ or a good nurse in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}18{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20{.}360$ And how can we recognize and validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:20.416 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.874$ those things so that we build

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:21.874 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.320$ a little bit more rapport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:23.320 \rightarrow 00:34:25.264$ So that is certainly one kind of case

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}25{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27{.}712$ where I think that this thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:27.712 \rightarrow 00:34:30.160$ virtues and particularly role based virtues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}30{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32{.}383$ what it means as I said to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:32.383 \dashrightarrow 00:34:34.560$ good parent and good Commission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36{.}108$ good social work and good healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:34:36.108 --> 00:34:37.454 administrator or whoever is involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}37{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39{.}050$ in the console thinking that through

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.356$ those different role based virtue

 $00:34:40.356 \rightarrow 00:34:41.959$ lenses has been really helpful for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.576$ Anyone in the room ever had

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00{:}34{:}47{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50{.}060$ sort of a conflict with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:50.060 \rightarrow 00:34:51.440$ colleague about patient care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.640$ Yeah, we've got some nods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.864$ Yeah, not surprised. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00{:}34{:}54{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56{.}600$ So sometimes I think about this too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

00:34:56.600 --> 00:34:58.751 So you know we'll get again a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00{:}34{:}58{.}751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}480$ consult that we'll get sometimes is

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}03.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.686$ maybe a consult from a nurse or from

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:06.686 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.688$ a resident or sort of a specialist

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:35:08.688 --> 00:35:10.353 consultant who's like I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:35:10.353 - 00:35:11.913 what we're doing to this patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.840$ like everyone's pulling their

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:13.840 \rightarrow 00:35:15.760$ care in different directions.

 $00:35:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.576$ We need you to come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:16.576 \rightarrow 00:35:17.440$ and sort of help us sort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.400$ sort it through and get on

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:18.400 \rightarrow 00:35:19.320$ the same page about things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}19{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21{.}280$ So this is sort of another role

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}21.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.530$ based case where I really think

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:24.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.810$ that again we may not ever think

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:35:26.810 - 00:35:28.186 or agree upon what the absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:28.186 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.074$ best thing to do is for a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:30.080 \rightarrow 00:35:31.958$ There may be uncertainty about outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.472$ We may not know what's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:33.472 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.360$ happen if we do X versus one we do Y.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37{.}544$ So again there might be sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:37.544 \rightarrow 00:35:38.906$ disagreement or uncertainty at

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:38.906 \dashrightarrow 00:35:41.462$ the epistemic level about sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:41.462 \rightarrow 00:35:43.040$ predicted outcomes about what's

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:35:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.480$ important for this patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:35:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.352$ But what we can do is sort of again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:35:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.595$ adopt that role based lens and recognize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:35:50.600 \rightarrow 00:35:52.119$ why there's a little bit of tension,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- 00:35:52.120 --> 00:35:52.372 right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:35:52.372 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.632$ why we're kind of pulling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:35:53.632 \rightarrow 00:35:54.640$ things in different directions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- 00:35:54.640 --> 00:35:55.050 So again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00{:}35{:}55{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}485$ sort of just piercing things out and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:35:56.485 \rightarrow 00:35:57.915$ everyone sort of wearing a different hat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:35:57.920 \rightarrow 00:36:00.080$ And there's some different commitments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:36:00.080 \dashrightarrow 00:36:00.413$ different,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00{:}36{:}00{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01{.}745$ different virtues that people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:36:01.745 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.745$ are sort of carrying in based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714
- $00:36:03.745 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.437$ on their role to that situation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.576$ I think it has helped me in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}36{:}07{.}576$ --> $00{:}36{:}10{.}316$ kinds of cases as well to sort of find

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:10.316 \rightarrow 00:36:12.260$ some shared ground and just try and NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:12.260 \rightarrow 00:36:14.319$ like take a breath and just be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.559$ you don't have to like this person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.820$ But we can kind of respect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:16.820 --> 00:36:17.896 They're trying to be a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:17.896 \rightarrow 00:36:18.880$ nurse or they're trying to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:18.880 --> 00:36:19.920 you know, a good clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:19.920 --> 00:36:21.240 a good, a good cardiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:21.240 --> 00:36:21.686 a good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:21.686 --> 00:36:22.132 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}36{:}22.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.470$ whoever's in the room involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.876$ in the conflict in the consult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}36{:}28{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29{.}572$ One more story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:36:29.572 --> 00:36:33.160 How am I going for time? OK, perfect.

00:36:33.160 --> 00:36:37.780 So I was in Melbourne in September

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.608$ for a conference on pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}36{:}39{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41{.}360$ bioethics with Lynn and the team

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:36:41.417 --> 00:36:42.877 at Royal Children's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}36{:}42.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.330$ And the theme of the conference was

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.462$ innovation. So we spent 2 1/2 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}36{:}47{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50{.}004$ talking a lot about these amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:50.004 \rightarrow 00:36:52.336$ technological advances in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:36:52.336 --> 00:36:55.504 You know, cut like cutting edge

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:55.504 \rightarrow 00:36:56.845$ surgical techniques, devices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:56.845 \rightarrow 00:37:01.040$ We talked a lot about AI, machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:37:01.040 --> 00:37:02.195 just kind of the brave new world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.785$ just kind of everything that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:37:03.785 --> 00:37:05.053 pulling healthcare in certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}37{:}05{.}053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06{.}039$ directions at the moment,

 $00:37:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.048$ where funding is going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}37{:}07{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08{.}560$ what we're what we're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:08.560 \rightarrow 00:37:11.520$ what we seem to value overall within

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:37:11.520 --> 00:37:13.680 clinical practice and research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:37:13.680 --> 00:37:14.748 And it's really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37{:}14.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.839$ Things started to happen on the second day

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:19.000 \dashrightarrow 00:37:20.998$ during the Q& amp;A for the sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:21.000 \dashrightarrow 00:37:25.316$ People, much to my delight and surprise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}37{:}25{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26{.}775$ really started drawing upon virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}37{:}26.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.684$ terms to talk about their roles

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:28.684 \rightarrow 00:37:30.276$ and their professional obligations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}37{:}30{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32{.}156$ And it was just sort of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:32.156 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.291$ really interesting natural thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}37{:}33{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}34{.}929$ that sort of happened with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:34.929 \dashrightarrow 00:37:36.440$ particular group that we had there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}37{:}36{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}651$ And I was talking to my colleague

- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- 00:37:37.651 00:37:38.940 John Massey, and we all come.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- 00:37:38.940 --> 00:37:40.244 This is so interesting, like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- 00:37:40.244 > 00:37:42.792 why are we drawing upon virtue ethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00:37:42.792 \rightarrow 00:37:45.506$ so much in the context of this really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- 00:37:45.506 --> 00:37:46.728 broad philosophical and practical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00{:}37{:}46.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48.800$ discussion about what is innovation and like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00:37:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.480$ is it good, is it bad?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00:37:50.480 \rightarrow 00:37:52.238$ People were really latching onto well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00:37:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.160$ well my role is this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- 00:37:54.160 --> 00:37:57.290 I feel like I have to do this and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00:37:57.381 \rightarrow 00:37:59.160$ know people I think were looking for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00{:}37{:}59{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00{.}621$ something to grasp onto that felt
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00{:}38{:}00{.}621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}983$ a little more tangible just given
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00{:}38{:}01{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03{.}599$ how much uncertainty and how much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333
- $00:38:03.599 \dashrightarrow 00:38:04.994$ we're kind of pushing boundaries
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:38:04.994 \rightarrow 00:38:08.160$ around what's possible in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:38:08.160 \rightarrow 00:38:10.435$ And we just found that really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}38{:}10{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11{.}917$ And So what I've been thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:15.120 \rightarrow 00:38:16.320$ is why are we so drawn to it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.400$ When are we drawn to it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

00:38:17.400 --> 00:38:19.152 Who is drawn to this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

00:38:19.152 --> 00:38:20.320 of virtue based framing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

00:38:20.320 --> 00:38:23.274 And and I think as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00{:}38{:}23{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24{.}900$ where it sort of feels like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.545$ more solid ground and where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00{:}38{:}26{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28{.}063$ feels like it has more value

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:28.063 \rightarrow 00:38:29.641$ is often when we're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:29.641 \rightarrow 00:38:30.985$ about roles and relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:30.985 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.484$ that kind of interpersonal bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:32.484 \rightarrow 00:38:34.178$ What are the qualities I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:34.178 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.319$ approach that interaction with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:38:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.745$ and particularly when those roles
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:38:40.745 \rightarrow 00:38:42.720$ and relationships potentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- 00:38:42.720 --> 00:38:45.000 create different epistemic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:38:45.000 \rightarrow 00:38:48.040$ normative or practical commitments?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- 00:38:48.040 --> 00:38:49.258 So again, recognizing we may not be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:38:49.258 \dashrightarrow 00:38:50.598$ on the same page about something,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00{:}38{:}50{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52{.}616$ but what I can control is sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:38:52.616 \rightarrow 00:38:54.880$ how I approach this interaction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:38:54.880 \rightarrow 00:38:56.236$ As I said, when there's disagreement,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:38:56.240 \rightarrow 00:38:58.360$ when there's uncertainty about things,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:38:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.320$ I think that is a time when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- 00:39:00.320 --> 00:39:01.160 virtue ethics really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:39:01.160 \dashrightarrow 00:39:02.360$ I think it always adds something.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00{:}39{:}02{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04{.}719$ But the the less certain things are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:39:04.720 \dashrightarrow 00:39:06.078$ the more prone we are to disagreement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:06.080 - 00:39:07.816 I think that's really a time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:07.816 --> 00:39:09.560 it can help us because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:09.560 --> 00:39:10.858 you have control over you, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:10.858 \rightarrow 00:39:12.664$ That's kind of the thing that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:12.664 \rightarrow 00:39:14.404$ can control when you approach and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:14.404 --> 00:39:16.348 by cultivating those in a personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:16.348 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.920$ sort of skills and virtues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.440$ I think I've seen it be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.432$ powerful in different settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.730$ And it was really interesting at

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.802$ this conference how much people

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:24.802 \dashrightarrow 00:39:26.130$ were drawn to it when we were sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:26.130 \rightarrow 00:39:27.835$ of struggling with like what are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:27.835 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.831$ doing as healthcare practitioners

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:28.840 \rightarrow 00:39:31.104$ and researchers at the moment doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:31.104 --> 00:39:32.892 all this cool stuff. But like, why?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:39:32.892 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.356$ What is it we care about?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- 00:39:34.360 --> 00:39:36.747 How are we kind of thinking about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00{:}39{:}36{.}747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38{.}520$ the the professional roles that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:39:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.560$ we have and who they're helping?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286
- $00:39:40.560 \rightarrow 00:39:42.360$ I'm going to whiz through this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- 00:39:45.000 --> 00:39:46.351 The other thing I just whizzed through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00:39:46.351 \rightarrow 00:39:47.591$ was just some research that we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00{:}39{:}47{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49{.}026$ doing at the moment on responses to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00{:}39{:}49{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50{.}246$ suffering and sort of carrying the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00:39:50.246 \rightarrow 00:39:51.664$ work and moral and social psychology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00{:}39{:}51{.}664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}53{.}536$ What actually happens in our brains
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00{:}39{:}53{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55{.}361$ when we when we witness someone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00:39:55.361 \rightarrow 00:39:57.479$ suffering with some of the virtue ethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00{:}39{:}57{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}59{.}199$ theory on virtues like compassion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539
- $00:39:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.880$ mercy, pity, things like that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:40:00.880 \rightarrow 00:40:02.000$ So putting them in conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:40:02.000 \rightarrow 00:40:02.840$ it's going to be really cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00:40:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.928$ We're at 5:45. I'm going to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00:40:07.928 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.705$ wrap it up there with just these

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00{:}40{:}09{.}705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11{.}521$ are sort of questions that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00{:}40{:}11.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.955$ for you all coming into tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00{:}40{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.759$ I was really sort of thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00{:}40{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.798$ as I said, where we're at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:17.800 \rightarrow 00:40:19.438$ I know we've got some trainees in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:19.440 --> 00:40:20.875 How do you think about your role?

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00{:}40{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.037$ What kinds of qualities do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.750$ healthcare practitioners need to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.786$ this particular moment in history with

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:27.786 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.436$ sort of everything that's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.496$ all of these conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:31.496 \rightarrow 00:40:33.038$ questioning medical expertise,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00:40:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.920$ authority of clinicians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00:40:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.198$ what sorts of traits feel really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00:40:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.520$ really valuable to you?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00:40:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.920$ So it's even something you think about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- 00:40:40.920 --> 00:40:41.612 How do you see,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00{:}40{:}41.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.202$ how do you all see virtue ethics applying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00:40:43.202 \rightarrow 00:40:44.467$ to your personal or professional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- 00:40:44.467 --> 00:40:46.080 life if you think that they do?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- 00:40:46.080 --> 00:40:49.604 And what value do you think it has, if any?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- 00:40:49.604 --> 00:40:51.114 What bugs you about it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00{:}40{:}51.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.794$ These are sort of some questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- 00:40:52.794 --> 00:40:54.830 that I had coming into it that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00:40:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.475$ would love to hear your thoughts on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333
- $00{:}40{:}56{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58{.}400$ I can leave that up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857
- $00:41:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.883$ Here's my info. Please feel free to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

 $00:41:01.883 \rightarrow 00:41:03.397$ reach out if you have any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

00:41:03.400 --> 00:41:05.480 I work mostly in pediatric Biomedics as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

 $00:41:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.848$ So if you have questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

00:41:06.848 --> 00:41:07.760 decision making for kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

 $00{:}41{:}07.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09.520$ super happy to chat about that, about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

 $00{:}41{:}09{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10{.}920$ about pretty much anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

00:41:10.920 --> 00:41:12.920 So thank you all so much. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.38384374

 $00:41:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.559$ leave that out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.080$ That's great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

00:41:20.080 --> 00:41:21.320 Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

00:41:21.320 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:41:23.396 An announcement I need to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00{:}41{:}23.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.499$ that Karen reminds me before we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

00:41:25.499 --> 00:41:29.400 get any further for CMA, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.270$ And it is this for the folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00{:}41{:}32{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}320$ on Zoom should have this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.624$ But for the folks in the room to get

 $00:41:35.624 \rightarrow 00:41:37.663$ your continuing education credit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:37.663 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.680$ this talk you it's the number is

NOTE Confidence: 0.790740403

00:41:44.360 --> 00:41:45.676 203-442-9435. A lot of folks that yell

NOTE Confidence: 0.790740403

 $00{:}41{:}45.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.920$ know this number. It's in your phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.949194054285714

 $00{:}41{:}49{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52{.}239$ 203-442-9435. And the code you text is NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:41:56.720 --> 00:41:58.320 40965 40965. And if you didn't get that

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}41{:}58{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}258$ if some way at the end of the talk you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01{.}995$ come see me and I'll send you that again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}02{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04{.}118$ Or you can ask Karen. She has that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:04.118 \rightarrow 00:42:08.160$ This was wonderful. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.476$ I'm going to take the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}10{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11{.}860$ the moderator's prerogative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}11.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.760$ I've got 1 mic here. I'm going to ask you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.240$ You've got one as well. Terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.476$ OK. So when we go around your talk,

00:42:19.480 --> 00:42:22.000 if you'd be so kind as to raise your hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:22.000 --> 00:42:23.760 and I'll indicate who's going to speak next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:23.760 --> 00:42:24.800 and Karen will bring him, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.040$ wait till the mic gets to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:27.040 \rightarrow 00:42:28.720$ so that everybody can hear you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}28.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30.569$ including the folks who are online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:30.569 \rightarrow 00:42:32.032$ I want to start by answering what

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}32{.}032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34{.}139$ do I think one of the most valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:34.139 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.958$ traits or dispositions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}34{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36{.}466$ Because I was thinking of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:36.466 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.802$ and it might not be one of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:38.802 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.340$ particularly for my student pals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.931$ were in the room because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}41{.}931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43{.}216$ every body's going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:43.216 \rightarrow 00:42:44.876$ kindness and honesty and all that stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.220$ Yeah. Yeah.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- 00:42:46.220 --> 00:42:46.890 Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:42:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.635$ So And I think those things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:42:48.635 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.280$ are horribly important,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:42:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.348$ but I have to say that as someone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00{:}42{:}52{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53{.}918$ who works with physicians and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:42:53.918 \rightarrow 00:42:55.480$ for years supervised physicians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00{:}42{:}55{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}496$ what I found to be the most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00{:}42{:}57{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59{.}340$ valuable trait or the trait that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:42:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.230$ was most dangerous when it was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- 00:43:01.300 --> 00:43:03.400 missing was reliability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:43:03.400 \rightarrow 00:43:04.860$ And you would touch on trustworthiness
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00{:}43{:}04{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06{.}920$ and we'll call it the same thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:43:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.462$ When I say to someone did
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00{:}43{:}08{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10{.}008$ you do X and they say yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- 00:43:10.008 --> 00:43:11.880 I did X and then I find out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.200$ afterwards that they didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.640$ That's a huge problem in

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}43{:}14.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.792$ the practice of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:15.800 \rightarrow 00:43:18.456$ The reliability and trustworthiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:18.456 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.630$ is is that's a what's the word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}43{:}21.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.380$ I'm thinking of a deal breaker when

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:23.429 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.919$ that's not really really solid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.285$ So that's just my throw in for

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}43{:}26.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27.670$ the for the students and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.920$ younger folks in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.694$ But past that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:29.694 \rightarrow 00:43:31.500$ I actually want to turn it over

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:31.557 \rightarrow 00:43:33.486$ to others who may have answers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:43:33.486 --> 00:43:34.470 Professor Moore's questions or

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:34.470 \rightarrow 00:43:36.080$ other things they want to ask her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:43:36.080 --> 00:43:37.310 But let's start before we start

- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:43:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.759$ asking her the really hard questions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:43:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.080$ let's try and answer some of her questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:43:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.240$ Can you bring the mic?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:43:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.240$ Do you have the mic?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:43:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.794$ So can you bring it up to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429
- $00:43:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.720$ Mark to start us off?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70839965
- 00:43:52.360 00:43:52.866 Are you comfortable?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70839965
- 00:43:52.866 --> 00:43:54.384 Do you want me to grab your chair?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70839965
- 00:43:54.384 --> 00:43:55.638 Are you good? You're welcome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70839965
- $00:43:55.638 \rightarrow 00:43:57.000$ I'm just sitting at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857
- $00:43:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.091$ table. I'm good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857
- $00{:}43{:}59{.}091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00{.}786$ Thank you for an excellent talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857
- 00:44:00.786 --> 00:44:02.640 So I'll, I'll start with the middle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857
- $00{:}44{:}02{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04{.}480$ the middle question. So.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857
- $00{:}44{:}04{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07{.}560$ So I was a Co chair of the adult bioethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

00:44:07.560 - 00:44:09.896 committee for several years

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

 $00{:}44{:}09{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12{.}190$ and one thing that struck me

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

 $00{:}44{:}12.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.745$ is that I was used to wonder

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

 $00:44:13.745 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.680$ why did this case come to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

 $00{:}44{:}16.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.890$ And and I I regret looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

00:44:18.890 --> 00:44:20.919 back that you know I I took

NOTE Confidence: 0.78332127625

 $00{:}44{:}20{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22{.}545$ sort of a principalist approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.78332127625

 $00:44:22.545 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.720$ to most of the challenges that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78332127625

 $00:44:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.360$ were brought to our our table. But NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.608$ I think that what I'm seeing now NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00{:}44{:}30{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32{.}680$ particularly this evening is where NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

00:44:32.680 --> 00:44:36.380 the the absence of virtue actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.146$ might have contributed to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00{:}44{:}38.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}39.720$ problem coming up in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.430$ So not that virtue was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.898$ to offer easy solutions,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352
- $00:44:43.898 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.959$ but that the lack of honesty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352
- $00:44:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.160$ or the lack of integrity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966061758
- $00{:}44{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50{.}880$ or the lack of kindness
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8379881166666667
- $00:44:51.360 \rightarrow 00:44:53.556$ is actually what caused a dissolution
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8379881166666667
- 00:44:53.560 00:44:55.880 of the relationship between the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8379881166666667
- $00{:}44{:}55{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58{.}279$ patients and the and the physicians
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8379881166666667
- $00{:}44{:}58{.}279$ --> $00{:}45{:}00{.}520$ and the and the healthcare team. And.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8379881166666667
- $00:45:00.520 \rightarrow 00:45:02.320$ And so the lesson was, if you almost think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872517555714286
- 00:45:02.320 --> 00:45:04.679 about it in like a preventive way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872517555714286
- 00:45:04.680 --> 00:45:08.533 right, if if we acted virtuously, maybe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872517555714286
- $00:45:08.533 \rightarrow 00:45:11.160$ maybe the dilemmas that come to us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.967603405
- $00{:}45{:}11{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}13{.}120$ would never have happened in the first place.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315
- $00:45:14.920 \rightarrow 00:45:16.448$ Yeah, I've got like a million things going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315
- $00{:}45{:}16{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17{.}960$ through my head with a comment like that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315
- $00{:}45{:}17.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.720$ I also think it's an interesting question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:45:19.720 --> 00:45:22.024 Why? Why this case like something I'm like

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}22.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.716$ that you could have got that case weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}23.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.320$ ago and it wouldn't have come like it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:25.320 \rightarrow 00:45:27.102$ Interesting trends and questions I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}27.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.425$ in there about when that particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}29{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32{.}275$ mechanism of the committee gets triggered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:32.280 \dashrightarrow 00:45:35.456$ I feel sometimes a little bit wary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:35.456 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.502$ A lot wary about talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}38.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40.539$ vices or kind of thinking about my

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:40.539 \rightarrow 00:45:42.120$ colleagues or myself being like man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:45:42.120 --> 00:45:44.800 I just I was unkind or like how do how do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}44{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47{.}161$ What I'm asking is kind of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:47.161 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.607$ do we balance not being overly

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:48.607 \rightarrow 00:45:49.880$ judgmental with people or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:45:49.880 --> 00:45:51.357 I would never say in a meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:51.360 \rightarrow 00:45:55.222$ man that person was like I might

00:45:55.222 --> 00:45:57.720 think it but like how do we kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:45:57.720 --> 00:45:58.190 I wouldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}58{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00{.}070$ I would hate for us to have sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}00{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02{.}096$ of a virtue ethics lens on a virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:02.096 --> 00:46:04.246 ethics hat on and kind of come out

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}04{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05{.}544$ of something thinking like well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07{.}112$ it's just about kind of pointing at

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:07.112 \rightarrow 00:46:08.448$ people who whack really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}08{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}848$ qualities And like again that

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}09{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11{.}318$ doesn't kind of resolve anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}11.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.056$ But what I think your comment gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:13.056 --> 00:46:15.648 us to is the importance of creating

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}15{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17{.}436$ environments and workplace cultures NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.400$ that help us to be kind or help us to NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:19.460 \rightarrow 00:46:21.320$ have humility or earn our mistakes.

 $00:46:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.316$ Or if we didn't do something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:22.320 --> 00:46:24.120 just be like, I did not do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:24.120 --> 00:46:25.398 I will do it next time or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.937$ how do we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}25{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27{.}011$ I think there's a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:27.011 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.720$ point in there about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00:46:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.818$ why someone wasn't able to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}31.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.108$ possess or kind of demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}33.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.800$ those things in their interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}34{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36{.}075$ And I think that's particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}36{.}075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37{.}688$ important for us to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}37{.}688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39{.}434$ what kind of ethical climate of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

00:46:39.434 --> 00:46:40.614 institutions and healthcare practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}40{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42{.}354$ and training at the moment as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00:46:42.354 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.439$ I don't get any sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

00:46:43.440 --> 00:46:44.476 Sorry, I feel like I'm battling yell.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572
- 00:46:44.480 --> 00:46:46.320 But yeah, that's yeah, it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8112675016666667
- $00:46:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.400$ a lot of sense. You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667
- 00:46:47.400 --> 00:46:48.198 you know I think you're right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667
- $00{:}46{:}48{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50{.}012$ It's not. It's not that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8112675016666667
- $00:46:50.012 \rightarrow 00:46:51.220$ would condemn somebody, but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667
- $00{:}46{:}51{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53{.}480$ But I think that a lot of patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8112675016666667
- $00:46:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.366$ feel really lost in a big
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8112675016666667
- $00{:}46{:}55{.}366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57{.}198$ healthcare institution like ours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8112675016666667
- $00:46:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.984$ And the teams change and you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667
- $00:47:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.184$ mistakes happen and they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8112675016666667
- $00:47:03.184 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.400$ wonder who's in charge.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667
- $00{:}47{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06{.}786$ And and so there are all sorts of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8112675016666667
- $00{:}47{:}06.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.284$ of problems that lead to loss of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667
- 00:47:09.284 --> 00:47:12.095 trust that I I think that somehow if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667
- $00{:}47{:}12.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.780$ we inculcated this notion like what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.292$ what does the patient really need

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:15.292 \rightarrow 00:47:17.434$ from us before a problem arises might

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:17.434 \rightarrow 00:47:19.119$ actually keep that from happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.432$ Yeah. These little missed

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00{:}47{:}20{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22{.}040$ opportunities to show a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00{:}47{:}22.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.483$ of kindness or spend some extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:23.483 \rightarrow 00:47:24.740$ time or double check something

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.120$ to be really meticulous or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.874$ Yeah, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

00:47:26.874 --> 00:47:28.880 Thank you for that comment. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:29.600 --> 00:47:31.497 let's hear from James. Oh, Jack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:31.497 --> 00:47:33.233 Looks like Jack's geared up to say something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00{:}47{:}33{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36{.}440$ I've. I've got the mic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00{:}47{:}36{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38{.}548$ You have the talking stick, Dr. Hughes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:38.548 --> 00:47:40.886 Ordinarily I'd say never mind but since

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:40.886 - 00:47:44.080 you were named as as one of the most.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00:47:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.795$ And by the way, by the way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}47{:}45.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.816$ I'll go ahead and say this because because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}47{:}47.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.695$ God would strike me down if it wasn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}47{:}49.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.960$ true that that's what I was going to say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}47{:}52{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55{.}372$ So Mark and I are of like mind on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}47{:}55{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56{.}664$ this though I can't for the life of me
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00:47:56.664 \rightarrow 00:47:57.560$ remember why I was going to say it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}47{:}57{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59{.}136$ But but that's what I was going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}47{:}59{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}756$ say that not I'm talking about Jack
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00:48:00.756 \rightarrow 00:48:02.400$ being the person that you would admire.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}48{:}02{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02{.}798$ All right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}48{:}02{.}798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04{.}191$ So because of that talk to Hughes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- 00:48:04.191 --> 00:48:05.675 land the next question and then we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00{:}48{:}05.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.280$ going to hear from James in the back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306
- $00:48:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.800$ Go ahead, please.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:48:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.096$ With regard to the to the virtues

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:48:12.096 --> 00:48:13.440 what I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:48:13.440 \rightarrow 00:48:16.800$ and this is building off what Mark said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:48:16.800 --> 00:48:18.480 I I think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8970808175

00:48:19.560 --> 00:48:20.560 I don't know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.510$ to define whether to define this

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.199$ as a virtue or as a skill or both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:25.200 \rightarrow 00:48:27.517$ And that is the ability to communicate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00{:}48{:}27.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.202$ Because I think so often that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:30.202 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.266$ the the problem that leads to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:33.266 \rightarrow 00:48:35.636$ mistrust and the and the sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00{:}48{:}35{.}636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38{.}400$ of of loss and be wilderment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00{:}48{:}38{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41{.}240$ results in the ethics consultation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

00:48:41.240 --> 00:48:44.600 So but I can. I can see it as a skill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

00:48:44.600 - 00:48:47.953 but they it does require a virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:47.953 \rightarrow 00:48:51.828$ of patience and humility and and a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806
- $00:48:51.828 \rightarrow 00:48:53.676$ willingness to listen in order to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806
- 00:48:53.676 --> 00:48:56.039 get to the place where you learn
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806
- $00:48:56.039 \rightarrow 00:48:58.560$ that skill and can apply that skill.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806
- $00:48:58.560 \rightarrow 00:49:00.760$ So that's simply an addendum.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865
- 00:49:08.160 --> 00:49:09.318 Hear from James then. I'm hoping.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865
- $00:49:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.320$ Oh, thank you very much,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865
- $00{:}49{:}10{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11{.}804$ because I I wanted to hear from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865
- $00{:}49{:}11.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.920$ some medical students after that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865
- 00:49:12.920 --> 00:49:15.545 But we're working our way down
- NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865
- $00{:}49{:}15{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16{.}360$ to the medical students. We'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:49:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.278$ talk to a house officer now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:49:17.280 \rightarrow 00:49:19.200$ and then eventually we'll get to you guys.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00{:}49{:}19{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20{.}112$ Yeah, attendings and residents.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00{:}49{:}20{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21{.}480$ And you guys will be next.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- 00:49:21.480 --> 00:49:25.285 Yeah, so Bry already outed me as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:25.285 \rightarrow 00:49:27.520$ somebody sympathetic to her 'cause here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:27.520 \rightarrow 00:49:29.736$ So hopefully the room will understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

00:49:29.736 --> 00:49:32.080 I am teeing her up for a knockout punch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

00:49:32.080 --> 00:49:34.480 Not being critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00{:}49{:}34{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37{.}476$ a common critique I've often heard of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00{:}49{:}37{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39{.}781$ Specifically, the Aristotelian

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:39.781 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.383$ framing of virtue ethics is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:44.383 \rightarrow 00:49:47.915$ it is a self defeating framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:47.915 \rightarrow 00:49:51.395$ Because if we think of virtue as this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00{:}49{:}51{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}260$ you know, classic undergraduate golden

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:54.260 \rightarrow 00:49:57.120$ mean between excess and deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00{:}49{:}57{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59{.}655$ then shouldn't virtue itself also

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

00:49:59.655 --> 00:50:02.190 be something that it's possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:02.277 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.837$ to have too much of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.248$ And in my mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:05.248 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.360$ I don't think that's the case.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:50:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.845$ I don't think that it is possible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:50:09.845 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.640$ to be too good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:50:12.640 \rightarrow 00:50:14.327$ What would you say to people who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:50:14.327 \rightarrow 00:50:16.159$ would kind of levy that criticism?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- 00:50:16.160 --> 00:50:16.800 Would you just say, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:50:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.670$ that's just Aristotle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:50:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.120$ he's an he's an idiot,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:50:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.920$ he's been dead for 2000 years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667
- $00:50:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.680$ What would you say?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191
- 00:50:23.160 --> 00:50:25.347 Yeah, I would. I would say I was thinking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191
- $00{:}50{:}25{.}347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27{.}160$ about this earlier like it's kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191
- $00{:}50{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.350$ like it's the self effacing in many ways.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191
- $00:50:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.700$ Like do you want people to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191
- $00:50:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.480$ be doing the right thing?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191
- $00{:}50{:}32{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34{.}280$ Because it's the right thing to do right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}50{:}34{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36{.}320$ Like we want a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:50:36.320 --> 00:50:38.920 more like if is it Susan Wolf,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.600$ someone has a paper on moral Saints

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:40.600 \rightarrow 00:50:42.370$ and how they just seem like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.870$ most like cringe people that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.700$ couldn't stand to be around. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:45.700 \rightarrow 00:50:48.360$ This idea of just like too good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:48.360 \rightarrow 00:50:51.624$ like take it down a notch kind of exemplar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:51.624 \rightarrow 00:50:53.544$ right? Like there's it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:53.544 \rightarrow 00:50:55.302$ to be bad sometimes, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57{.}078$ Or kind of to have him put imperfections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.040$ don't quote me on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:59.040 \rightarrow 00:51:01.077$ But like to have imperfections or flaws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:01.080 --> 00:51:01.322 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}01{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03{.}835$ Like this is part of of who we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:03.835 \rightarrow 00:51:06.035$ and how to kind of like balance that.

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07{.}048$ I think it's valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}07{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09{.}631$ I think if we were all kind of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:09.631 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.635$ virtuous robots that would be awful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.026$ I don't know where that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:14.026 \rightarrow 00:51:14.704$ balance is exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}14.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.392$ I think it would be different for

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:16.392 \dashrightarrow 00:51:17.532$ different people and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:17.532 \rightarrow 00:51:18.957$ roles depending what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:18.960 --> 00:51:19.904 But yeah, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:19.904 \rightarrow 00:51:21.320$ I think that's an important one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}21{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25{.}500$ And I am not an Aristotelian by any means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}25{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27{.}800$ And I also, I'm a philosopher by training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:27.800 --> 00:51:29.270 But it's been a long time since

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:29.270 --> 00:51:30.800 I've dug into kind of where the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31{.}060$ like,

 $00{:}51{:}31{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}880$ the theoretical debates around this are at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:32.880 --> 00:51:34.218 So I don't actually know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:34.218 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.400$ there's any kind of good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}35{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37{.}958$ I'm sure there are responses to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}37{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39{.}626$ kind of worry from more contemporary

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:39.626 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.279$ virtue ethicists or virtue theorists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:41.280 --> 00:51:43.674 But yeah, I think it's an important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:43.680 \rightarrow 00:51:44.446$ important one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:44.446 --> 00:51:44.829 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}44{.}829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46{.}361$ Like imperfections and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}46{.}361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48{.}604$ getting it wrong and just having some

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}48.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50.718$ flaws is really important to the paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:50.718 \dashrightarrow 00:51:52.955$ on moral Saints is really a fun one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:52.960 \rightarrow 00:51:53.233$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}53{.}233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54{.}598$ If you would recommend it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}54.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54.800$ if

00:51:54.800 --> 00:51:56.951 you like. I won't name you when I quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:51:56.951 \rightarrow 00:51:58.611$ this but I'm definitely storing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:51:58.611 \rightarrow 00:52:00.960$ in a way it's good to be bad sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.884$ I mean I'll just say a noted bioethicist

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:02.884 \rightarrow 00:52:04.936$ and we can leave it at that if you'd rather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}04{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06{.}480$ If you want credit, I'll give it to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}06{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08{.}118$ and by the way you say you haven't been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.744$ you've been in the in the clinical arena

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}09{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11{.}708$ for a while and and we've talked about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}11.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.696$ and we were talking about this in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}13.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.432$ of our interests here etcetera that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}15{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17{.}159$ actually run a clinical ethics fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:17.160 --> 00:52:19.380 And also for the sake of I'm some of you NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}19{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21{.}615$ folks know there's no doubt but for those NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}21.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24.140$ who are less involved in pediatric ethics.

 $00:52:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.520$ So places like Melbourne this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:26.520 -> 00:52:29.240 this is one of the meccas for PDI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.927$ this the land of Lynn Gilliam and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}30{.}927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}519$ Trish Prentiss and so many others

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:32.519 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.157$ that's the place for pediatric ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.600$ And in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:35.600 --> 00:52:37.424 places like Children's Mercy and Baylor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:37.424 --> 00:52:38.359 I mean this, this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:38.359 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.513$ these are so you've not been

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:40.513 \rightarrow 00:52:42.712$ involved in those heavy, deep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}42.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45.240$ pure philosophical conversations lately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}45{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46{.}656$ You have in fact been steeped

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}46.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48.328$ in other areas that I think can

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:48.328 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.678$ be very helpful to this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:49.680 --> 00:52:51.120 Now I've got something online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:51.120 \rightarrow 00:52:51.918$ but before we get to that,

 $00:52:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.718$ I want to hear from Caitlin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:52.720 \rightarrow 00:52:55.954$ perhaps one or two other medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:55.960 -> 00:52:56.822 OK, cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:56.822 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.977$ So I actually wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}58{.}977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01{.}120$ address the third question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.040$ So in terms of value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:03.040 \rightarrow 00:53:05.154$ when you were talking about virtue ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:53:05.160 --> 00:53:07.300 I actually found myself thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}07{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10{.}344$ a lot of my class mates and myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:10.344 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.848$ just all the mistakes we make

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:13.848 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.600$ as we're learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:15.600 \rightarrow 00:53:19.100$ And I I find ourselves looking at each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:53:19.100 --> 00:53:19.835 judging each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:53:19.840 --> 00:53:22.870 even not by like what actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}22.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25.455$ happened but the type of people we

 $00:53:25.455 \rightarrow 00:53:27.371$ are and being like he really wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:27.371 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.673$ to be honest with the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.038$ but maybe he said too much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:53:31.040 --> 00:53:33.216 So I feel like it's a really easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}33{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35{.}777$ way to approach ethics that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:35.777 \rightarrow 00:53:37.235$ not necessarily taught.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}37{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39{.}656$ One thing I see that can potentially I

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:39.656 \rightarrow 00:53:42.089$ think this tough is I find that as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}42.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.516$ spend more and more time in the hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}44{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46{.}760$ the consequences of our accidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}46.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.914$ matter a lot more than our than what

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:49.914 \rightarrow 00:53:52.290$ we are trying to do or like who

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:52.362 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.674$ we are trying to be as a person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}54{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58{.}480$ So I feel like it can get conflated

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.226$ like what the right virtues are

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:54:00.226 \rightarrow 00:54:01.959$ and what you should be doing.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- 00:54:04.200 --> 00:54:06.195 And as to answer the second part,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.520$ the question what bothers me about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:10.520 \rightarrow 00:54:13.670$ I think that when you were talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.358$ about the situationalist perspective,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.195$ I thought that was really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00{:}54{:}17.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.030$ interesting because I thought to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:19.102 \rightarrow 00:54:21.120$ myself that for a situationalist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.240$ if you nudge a situation, any direction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00{:}54{:}24{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26{.}320$ that changes the situation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.400$ So my question became,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:28.400 \rightarrow 00:54:30.662$ is someone still virtuous as long
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00{:}54{:}30{.}662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33{.}137$ as they have the same reaction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- 00:54:33.137 > 00:54:35.795 to the same situation every time?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:35.800 \rightarrow 00:54:38.680$ Do they possess the same virtues every time?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571
- $00:54:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.080$ And my one worry with that in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:41.080 \rightarrow 00:54:43.061$ hospital is I have encountered so

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00{:}54{:}43.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.560$ little that it feels like I have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:45.560 \rightarrow 00:54:47.588$ figure out what my reaction would

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:47.588 \rightarrow 00:54:49.745$ be first to everything before I

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00{:}54{:}49{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51{.}600$ can become someone who's virtuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931832645833333

 $00:54:53.640 \dashrightarrow 00:54:54.456$ Excellent points and comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931832645833333

00:54:54.456 --> 00:54:56.039 Do you want me to say a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.57059665

 $00:54:56.080 \rightarrow 00:54:56.839$ bit? Absolutely. Whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.57059665

00:54:56.839 --> 00:54:59.240 you're feeling saying, yeah, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.839512443571429

 $00{:}55{:}04{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05{.}327$ yeah, I'm thinking about your comment about

NOTE Confidence: 0.839512443571429

 $00:55:05.327 \rightarrow 00:55:06.560$ kind of your colleagues and just this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839512443571429

00:55:06.560 --> 00:55:08.318 again, this judginess worry, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

00:55:11.120 --> 00:55:11.904 And I mean, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

 $00:55:11.904 \dashrightarrow 00:55:13.415$ it does like a big question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

 $00:55:13.415 \rightarrow 00:55:14.736$ teachability in here, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

 $00{:}55{:}14.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}17.460$ Like, can we, like, teach people

- NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571
- $00:55:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.160$ to be empathetic or or honest?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571
- 00:55:20.160 --> 00:55:21.679 And like, what does that look like?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571
- $00:55:21.680 \rightarrow 00:55:23.000$ You're not going to take an honesty class?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571
- $00:55:23.000 \rightarrow 00:55:23.840$ Like that's kind of weird.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- 00:55:25.880 --> 00:55:27.196 But yeah, again, I think role modeling.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00:55:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.120$ So for me that was a big one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00:55:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.560$ particularly as a clinical ethics fellow.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- 00:55:30.560 --> 00:55:32.240 Watching how my mentors did it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00{:}55{:}32.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34.655$ watching how attending other kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00{:}55{:}34.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.813$ of clinicians in the space had had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00:55:37.813 \rightarrow 00:55:39.878$ conversations or practice these things,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00:55:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.918$ really, really watching
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00:55:40.918 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.994$ them and seeing it get done,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00{:}55{:}43.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}44.920$ being done badly a lot of the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143
- $00{:}55{:}44{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46{.}927$ You know, I think we often learn a lot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:46.927 \dashrightarrow 00:55:48.420$ more from that and then sometimes we

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:48.420 \rightarrow 00:55:49.964$ do when we see it done well, we just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:49.964 \rightarrow 00:55:50.958$ oh man, that was that was good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

00:55:50.960 - 00:55:51.944 was a good conversation kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:51.944 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.039$ mop on to the next thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00{:}55{:}53{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53{.}640$ So I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:55:56.120 \rightarrow 00:55:57.724$ not that you asked me for advice, but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:55:57.724 \rightarrow 00:55:59.820$ you're doing that important work of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:55:59.877 \rightarrow 00:56:01.737$ watching really closely and now thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:01.737 \rightarrow 00:56:04.280$ about like what was it that happened there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.236$ what kind of was done well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:06.240 \rightarrow 00:56:09.678$ how could I've done things differently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:09.680 \rightarrow 00:56:11.952$ And I think to your point, like getting it

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:11.952 \rightarrow 00:56:14.160$ wrong a lot that's going to happen, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:14.160 \rightarrow 00:56:17.040$ And that is not necessarily a bad thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:17.040 --> 00:56:17.836 It's kind of, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00{:}56{:}17.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19.412$ how we approach getting it wrong and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00{:}56{:}19{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20{.}920$ we kind of talk with each other about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00{:}56{:}20{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23{.}034$ I'll be honest about what went wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.826$ Do we have enough respect for

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00{:}56{:}23.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}24.679$ each other to kind of be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.440$ yeah, that was not great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00{:}56{:}26{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}27{.}565$ And I can say that to you because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:27.565 \rightarrow 00:56:29.088$ in this together kind of thing. So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:29.088 \rightarrow 00:56:31.200$ I think this is all sounding very weird.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:31.200 --> 00:56:32.364 But yes, I think you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:32.364 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.440$ about all the right things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}35{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36{.}999$ And then what bothers you about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}37{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38{.}120$ Yeah. So this idea that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:56:38.120 --> 00:56:39.560 like virtues, character traits

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:39.560 \rightarrow 00:56:41.188$ should be fairly fixed, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:56:41.188 --> 00:56:42.316 Like if you're an honest person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:42.320 \rightarrow 00:56:44.750$ you should show that pretty consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}44.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.742$ across different situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}45.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}47.488$ But there's also this claim within

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}47{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49{.}167$ burst reference that you have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}49{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50{.}217$ very sensitive to the particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:50.263 \rightarrow 00:56:51.595$ situation that's in front of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:51.600 \rightarrow 00:56:52.880$ So how you're honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}52{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55{.}604$ like how much of it you sort of demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}55{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57{.}520$ how what what particular language you use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.912$ it depends on sort of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:58.912 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.840$ role in that particularity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}59{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01{.}640$ It's going to come with experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}01{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04{.}780$ I think I'll tell you too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}04.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05.520$ as a clinical ethicist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:05.520 --> 00:57:06.684 I walk out of conversation sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}06{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08{.}340$ and I'm like I did not handle that well

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:08.340 \rightarrow 00:57:09.861$ or like I there was a really different

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:09.861 \dashrightarrow 00:57:11.352$ way I could have asked that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:11.360 \rightarrow 00:57:13.416$ And sometimes I'll go back and say, hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:13.416 \rightarrow 00:57:15.680$ if I have a few more minutes of your time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}15.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.082$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}16.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.288$ you just realize like there's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:17.288 --> 00:57:18.518 really important I wanted to ask you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.930$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:18.930 --> 00:57:20.160 just like it's just it's practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:20.160 --> 00:57:21.390 I think, and giving yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}21.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.079$ permission to kind of get it wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:23.080 --> 00:57:26.456 Sometimes I think you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}26{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27{.}476$ about all the right things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}27{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28{.}400$ And I appreciate your comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.719$ If I could just add 1 little

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00{:}57{:}30{.}719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31{.}960$ thing to to to add to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:31.960 -> 00:57:34.690 which is to say it's not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:34.690 \rightarrow 00:57:36.648$ virtuous or a goal to look back when

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:36.648 --> 00:57:38.160 you're 60 years old and saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.468$ man, I'm doing this exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:39.468 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.198$ same way I did it when I was 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:41.200 - 00:57:42.744 You know, it's all right that you're a

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:42.744 \rightarrow 00:57:44.519$ work in progress throughout your career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00{:}57{:}44{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45{.}846$ It's not your mission to always

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:45.846 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.960$ do things the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00{:}57{:}46.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48.836$ It's your mission to do your best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.598$ And that may be different in

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.142$ 2024 than it was in 2023.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:52.142 \rightarrow 00:57:53.048$ That's all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:53.048 \rightarrow 00:57:53.954$ That's all right.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286
- $00:57:53.960 \rightarrow 00:57:55.010$ This gentleman and this gentleman
- NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286
- $00:57:55.010 \rightarrow 00:57:56.060$ I've got a couple questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286
- $00{:}57{:}56{.}094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56{.}916$ online I want to get to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00:57:57.800 \dashrightarrow 00:58:00.000$ Hi, thank you for talking to us today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00{:}58{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02{.}422$ I'm curious how you respond to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00{:}58{:}02{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04{.}860$ critique that virtual ethics is just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00:58:04.860 \rightarrow 00:58:07.035$ a way of applying consequentialism
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00:58:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.273$ and that like the reason we call
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- 00:58:09.273 --> 00:58:11.048 some qualities virtues is because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00:58:11.048 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.556$ they have good consequences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00{:}58{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}14.840$ And you talked about like the golden mean.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00{:}58{:}14.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.000$ And if not like consequences,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00:58:17.000 \rightarrow 00:58:17.804$ how should we like?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00{:}58{:}17.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.010$ What are we drawing on to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875
- $00:58:19.063 \rightarrow 00:58:20.160$ strike that balance? Yep,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

 $00:58:20.600 \rightarrow 00:58:21.640$ that's such an important question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

 $00{:}58{:}21.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.608$ Hang on to the mic for a SEC

NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

00:58:22.608 --> 00:58:23.400 because I want to ask you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

 $00:58:23.400 \rightarrow 00:58:24.835$ why does that feel important to you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

 $00:58:24.840 \rightarrow 00:58:26.394$ Why you? Why you kind of asking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71682966

 $00{:}58{:}28{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31{.}360$ I guess I'm worried about people

NOTE Confidence: 0.834424625

 $00:58:31.360 \rightarrow 00:58:34.438$ clinging to virtues when the consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834424625

 $00:58:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.036$ if they think further about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834424625

 $00:58:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.180$ would actually be better if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.834424625

 $00:58:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.240$ didn't cling to the virtue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}58{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40{.}960$ Yeah. Yeah. Super important question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

00:58:40.960 --> 00:58:42.634 And I think, I think a very valid one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

00:58:42.640 --> 00:58:44.600 And as I said, I'm not here to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}58{:}44.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46.604$ virtue ethics is it ethically it's the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}58{:}46{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}49{.}159$ all and be all like end of it kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}58{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50{.}726$ And I think I hope no one took me

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:58:50.726 \rightarrow 00:58:52.556$ as saying consequences don't matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:58:52.556 \rightarrow 00:58:54.401$ because they matter tremendously, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}58{:}54{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}57{.}277$ And I was I think about this a lot in the NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

00:58:57.277 --> 00:58:59.215 context of pediatric bioethics where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}58{:}59{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}01{.}542$ we often experience disagreements between

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}59{:}01{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}03{.}634$ parents and clinicians and we always

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:03.634 \dashrightarrow 00:59:05.120$ come back to what's the effect on the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.416$ And I think that really is sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:07.416 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.595$ sort of an ethically decisive

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:09.595 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.780$ factor and it should be how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:12.780 \dashrightarrow 00:59:14.760$ think of them as working together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}59{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}16.680$ So I think we have to think about both. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.886$ and I'm trying to kind of think

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00{:}59{:}25{.}886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}27{.}316$ about a situation in which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00{:}59{:}27{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}28{.}955$ you know, feeling virtuous would

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:28.955 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.914$ come into conflict with what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:30.914 \rightarrow 00:59:32.480$ felt was doing the right thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00{:}59{:}32{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}33{.}455$ Those are the kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:33.455 \rightarrow 00:59:34.235$ situations you're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00{:}59{:}34{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}35{.}689$ it sounds like like kind of when

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:35.689 \rightarrow 00:59:36.960$ they would come into conflict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00{:}59{:}36{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}38{.}744$ we're like clinging on to the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:38.744 \rightarrow 00:59:40.039$ being honest or compassionate would

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00{:}59{:}40{.}039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}41{.}908$ actually have nothing to do with kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:41.962 \rightarrow 00:59:43.480$ of a good consequence for someone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

00:59:43.480 --> 00:59:43.720 Yeah. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.777441023333333

00:59:43.720 --> 00:59:45.520 mean, I, I, I guess the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777441023333333

 $00:59:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.624$ like you talked about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777441023333333

 $00:59:46.624 \rightarrow 00:59:48.004$ like trying to balance these

NOTE Confidence: 0.777441023333333

 $00:59:48.004 \rightarrow 00:59:50.360$ virtues, right? Like being too

NOTE Confidence: 0.88194132

00:59:48.880 --> 00:59:50.360 honest or not honest enough, like

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8765618275
- $00:59:50.360 \rightarrow 00:59:52.360$ if if not just looking to the consequences,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8765618275
- $00{:}59{:}52{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53{.}920$ what what else should we be drawing upon?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79251241
- 00:59:54.200 --> 00:59:55.320 Right. OK. Sorry. That's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79251241
- $00:59:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.400$ Yeah. Got your question now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01:00:02.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.920$ Yeah, that's a good question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01:00:03.920 \rightarrow 01:00:05.236$ My answer is like, I don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- 01:00:05.240 --> 01:00:06.766 I think, you know, when I'm kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- 01:00:06.766 --> 01:00:08.199 of weighing and balancing again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01{:}00{:}08{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}09{.}936$ it's rare for me to have a situation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- 01:00:09.936 --> 01:00:11.820 where I'm directly like being honest and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01:00:11.820 \rightarrow 01:00:13.120$ being compassionate come into conflict.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- 01:00:13.120 --> 01:00:15.570 Like how will I choose between them. Like NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01{:}00{:}15{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17{.}320$ that's kind of not typically what happens. NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- 01:00:17.320 --> 01:00:20.984 But certainly thinking about consequences NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01{:}00{:}20{.}984 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23{.}120$ is something that weighing and balancing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01{:}00{:}23.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}25.157$ I don't know that. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:25.160 --> 01:00:27.040 I was kind of going back and kind of reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:27.086 \rightarrow 01:00:28.838$ a little bit of the virtue ethics theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:28.840 --> 01:00:30.359 I think it's fair that to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01{:}00{:}30{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}32{.}172$ like, sometimes it does sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01{:}00{:}32.172 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}33.078$ collapse into consequentialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:33.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.520$ I think that's fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:34.520 --> 01:00:36.102 Again, I'm not kind of a ******* moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:36.102 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.194$ theorist that's going to be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:37.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.040$ oh, that's a catastrophe. Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:40.040 \rightarrow 01:00:42.000$ I think they probably feed into each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:42.000 --> 01:00:42.760 Again, that's the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:42.760 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.699$ I think if we were overly focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:44.699 \rightarrow 01:00:46.119$ on consequences in them only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:46.120 \rightarrow 01:00:47.911$ that could lead us to kind of a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:47.911 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.960$ bad place too. So it's all in there.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01:00:49.960 \rightarrow 01:00:51.280$ That was a very unsatisfactory answer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01{:}00{:}51{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52{.}360$ but it's a very good question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- $01:00:52.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.760$ Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718
- 01:00:52.760 --> 01:00:53.560 Thank you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- 01:00:59.080 --> 01:01:00.760 thing. I kind of struggle to grasp
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- $01:01:00.760 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.044$ a little closer, if you would.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- 01:01:02.044 --> 01:01:03.172 The thing I kind of struggle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- $01:01:03.172 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.159$ to grasp with virtue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- $01{:}01{:}04{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06{.}800$ Essex is who decides what a virtue is?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- $01:01:06.800 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.432$ It's like, not like there's this omnival
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- 01:01:08.432 --> 01:01:10.298 of being who like writes on every
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- $01{:}01{:}10.298 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}11.664$ single virtue and it's set in stone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- 01:01:11.664 --> 01:01:12.760 It seems like it's more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- $01{:}01{:}12.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}14.340$ like culturally defined.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104
- $01{:}01{:}14.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}17.260$ And I'm sure there's a bunch of overlap
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01{:}01{:}17{.}260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}18{.}711$ throughout time and through different

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01{:}01{:}18.711 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}20.559$ cultures between what is a virtue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01:01:20.560 \rightarrow 01:01:22.873$ But in those times where there is no overlap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927093078571429

 $01:01:23.000 \rightarrow 01:01:25.520$ I could see that becoming an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927093078571429

01:01:25.520 --> 01:01:27.880 So I guess my question is, how

NOTE Confidence: 0.952405066

01:01:27.880 --> 01:01:31.312 do you reconcile the variances in cultures

NOTE Confidence: 0.952405066

 $01{:}01{:}31{.}312 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}34{.}040$ and like, who defines what virtue is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:34.120 --> 01:01:35.926 Yeah, yeah, this kind of worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01{:}01{:}35{.}926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}37{.}706$ cultural or moral relativism, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:37.706 \rightarrow 01:01:39.836$ Something that might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01{:}01{:}39{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41{.}716$ I'm thinking too even about different roles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:41.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.960$ So like the criminal good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:42.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.382$ the virtuous criminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:44.382 --> 01:01:46.278 defense lawyer might indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:46.280 --> 01:01:47.432 perhaps shall we say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:47.432 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.872$ lie for their clients sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:48.880 \rightarrow 01:01:50.560$ And that is a virtue professionally for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:50.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.040$ So even between roles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:52.040 \rightarrow 01:01:53.890$ I think there's sometimes conflicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:53.890 \rightarrow 01:01:55.438$ ideas of what a virtue is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:55.440 \rightarrow 01:01:57.435$ and then across cultures potentially as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:57.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.760$ This is a really good and important question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:59.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.048$ but I certainly again also don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:03.048 \rightarrow 01:02:05.310$ satisfactory answer to I think unlike any

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01{:}02{:}05{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07{.}320$ kind of concern about moral relativism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:02:07.320 --> 01:02:08.720 I think we always, like you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:02:08.720 --> 01:02:10.448 look for common ground.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:10.448 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.272$ We look for how kind of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:12.272 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.200$ have evolved over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01{:}02{:}13.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}14.560$ sort of trends historically as

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01{:}02{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}15.920$ we've moved away from certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:15.969 \rightarrow 01:02:17.237$ things towards other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860175554545455

01:02:20.760 --> 01:02:22.086 I'm trying to decide like how

NOTE Confidence: 0.860175554545455

 $01:02:22.086 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.240$ worried I feel about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967527514

 $01:02:26.800 \rightarrow 01:02:28.240$ I think it would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01{:}02{:}30{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}32{.}352$ like you said, very problematic for

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01{:}02{:}32{.}352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}34{.}280$ someone to be like this is the definitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:34.280 --> 01:02:35.732 list of virtues, and everyone now

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:35.732 \rightarrow 01:02:37.196$ needs to work towards having them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:37.200 --> 01:02:39.648 It would be so weird and terrible, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:39.648 \dashrightarrow 01:02:41.312$ And also, who would that person be like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:41.320 --> 01:02:43.278 There's a huge issue there about, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:43.278 \rightarrow 01:02:45.224$ you know, what if they themselves were

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:45.224 --> 01:02:46.479 not particularly virtuous, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01{:}02{:}46.479 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}47.196$ There's no idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:47.196 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.630$ This is a big meta ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01{:}02{:}48.683 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}50.118$ point about what grounds them,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177
- $01:02:50.120 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.720$ what makes them virtues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177
- 01:02:52.720 --> 01:02:53.875 I don't know how successful they've been,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177
- $01{:}02{:}53.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}55.518$ but virtue ethicists have sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177
- $01:02:55.520 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.416$ tried to identify what counts as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177
- $01{:}02{:}57{.}416 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}59{.}931$ a virtue relative to a role or a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177
- $01{:}02{:}59{.}931 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}01{.}719$ relationship or some sort of concrete,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177
- 01:03:01.720 --> 01:03:03.228 like particular the situation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177
- $01:03:03.228 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.359$ that you're in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:06.880 --> 01:03:07.465 recognizing. Again, though,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:07.465 --> 01:03:08.635 if you're in a different situation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:08.640 --> 01:03:09.296 in a different place,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01:03:09.296 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.280$ it might not be a virtue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01:03:10.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.002$ So that's sort of how they've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01:03:12.002 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.720$ tried to get out of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01{:}03{:}13.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}14.800$ James, you were nodding vigorously.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667

- 01:03:14.800 --> 01:03:17.880 Did you want to add anything to that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01{:}03{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}20.155$ No. OK Yeah, it's a good question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01:03:20.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.590$ I think it's a big meta ethical debate about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01:03:22.590 \rightarrow 01:03:25.114$ what ground something that makes it a virtue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:25.120 --> 01:03:26.260 My, as I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01:03:26.260 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.400$ was trained in philosophy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:27.400 --> 01:03:28.360 but now I'm just very practical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:28.360 --> 01:03:28.840 I'm like, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:28.840 01:03:29.960 it depends on the situation we're in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:29.960 --> 01:03:32.000 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- 01:03:32.000 --> 01:03:33.518 And part of that is recognizing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01{:}03{:}33{.}518 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}34{.}872$ the social and cultural situation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01{:}03{:}34.872 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36.930$ that we're in and kind of checking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01{:}03{:}36{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}38{.}399$ ourselves depending on that situation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667
- $01:03:38.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.120$ Really good question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857
- $01:03:39.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.235$ Let me let me ask you a couple of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857
- $01:03:41.235 \rightarrow 01:03:42.480$ questions from the folks online.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857
- 01:03:42.480 --> 01:03:44.901 If I do it, it seems that virtue ethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857
- $01:03:44.901 \rightarrow 01:03:47.560$ can really inform shared decision making,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857
- $01:03:47.560 \rightarrow 01:03:50.200$ especially when outcomes are unclear.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857
- $01:03:50.200 \rightarrow 01:03:54.080$ Can you speak to how these two are connected
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857
- $01:03:54.080 \rightarrow 01:03:56.480$ virtue ethics and shared decision making?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- 01:03:56.760 --> 01:03:58.720 Yeah. Yeah. And again this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- 01:03:58.720 --> 01:04:01.135 question I love just because of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- 01:04:01.135 --> 01:04:03.397 the uncertainty point like I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- $01:04:03.400 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.720$ consequential think about consequences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- $01:04:04.720 \rightarrow 01:04:06.370$ Outcomes are so compelling when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- $01:04:06.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.784$ we know what they are, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- 01:04:07.784 --> 01:04:08.704 That's that's when we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- 01:04:08.704 --> 01:04:10.260 kind of really like, yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059
- $01:04:10.260 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.965$ we this matters and we really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:11.965 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.503$ need to do the most good or kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:13.503 \rightarrow 01:04:14.513$ of optimize things for folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:14.520 \longrightarrow 01:04:15.955$ Right Where I think as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:15.960 \rightarrow 01:04:17.670$ virtue ethics really adds something

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01{:}04{:}17.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}20.604$ as when we don't know and when we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01{:}04{:}20.604 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}22.552$ focus on processes and relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:22.552 \rightarrow 01:04:24.160$ how and communications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:24.160 \rightarrow 01:04:27.840$ how we speak to each other, how we interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

01:04:27.840 --> 01:04:29.639 And I haven't seen a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556

 $01:04:32.080 \rightarrow 01:04:33.632$ discussion of the relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556

 $01{:}04{:}33.632 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}35.572$ perhaps none between virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556

 $01{:}04{:}35{.}572 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}37{.}629$ and shared decision making in

NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556

 $01{:}04{:}37.629 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39.237$ the literature within bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556

 $01:04:39.240 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.076$ I don't think it's something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556

 $01:04:41.076 \rightarrow 01:04:42.927$ we talk about instead of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556

 $01{:}04{:}42.927 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}44.163$ about frameworks for something

- NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556
- $01:04:44.163 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.440$ like shared decision making.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556
- $01:04:45.440 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.676$ I don't think I've seen any.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556
- $01:04:46.680 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.922$ So it's something that I would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556
- $01:04:47.922 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.620$ love to go away and think more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556
- $01{:}04{:}49{.}620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}50{.}995$ about and potentially write about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872212965555556
- $01{:}04{:}51{.}000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}51{.}160$ Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- $01{:}04{:}51{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}53{.}576$ I'll say to my friend who wrote this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- 01:04:53.576 --> 01:04:56.520 question, and you know who you are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- $01{:}04{:}56{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}59{.}008$ who's here at Yale and a very insightful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- 01:04:59.008 --> 01:05:00.879 member of the junior faculty,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- $01{:}05{:}00.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}02.760$ that there's a question that maybe we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- $01:05:02.760 \rightarrow 01:05:05.132$ explore maybe with our friend Brianna.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- 01:05:05.132 --> 01:05:07.800 Another question, please.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- $01{:}05{:}07{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}09{.}361$ This is from a colleague at NYU
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111
- $01:05:09.361 \dashrightarrow 01:05:10.957$ who says thank you for your talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111

 $01:05:10.960 \rightarrow 01:05:13.472$ How have you seen ethics and value work

NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111

01:05:13.472 --> 01:05:15.799 practiced or given attention differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.92138481111111

01:05:15.800 --> 01:05:17.795 here in the US and in Australia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

01:05:20.560 --> 01:05:21.556 Yeah. So I said something earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01{:}05{:}21{.}556 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22{.}658$ about this kind of the cultural

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01{:}05{:}22.658 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}23.876$ differences around what we talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

01:05:23.880 --> 01:05:25.610 particularly in kind of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01{:}05{:}25{.}610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}26{.}994$ education and professional ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:27.000 \rightarrow 01:05:29.678$ I've, I've been thinking about this a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01{:}05{:}29{.}680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}34{.}360$ I'm like, I I when I when I moved to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:34.360 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.475$ when I moved to the US back in 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:36.480 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.944$ no one wanted to talk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:37.944 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.080$ me about patio ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01{:}05{:}39{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}42{.}160$ I was like, this is weird, except James

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

 $01{:}05{:}45{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}46{.}680$ and there was a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

 $01{:}05{:}46.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}49.040$ I think a lot more chatter about it,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582
- $01:05:49.040 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.162$ probably because I was in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582
- $01{:}05{:}50{.}162 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51{.}520$ bit of a bubble in Australia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582
- $01:05:51.520 \rightarrow 01:05:53.038$ I was working with virtue ethicists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582
- $01:05:53.040 \rightarrow 01:05:54.174$ right? Like that was kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582
- 01:05:54.174 --> 01:05:55.399 what I was doing my PhD on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582
- $01{:}05{:}55{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}56{.}786$ So I think I was just surrounded
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582
- $01:05:56.786 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.720$ by like minded people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156
- $01:05:59.760 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.462$ I think bioethicists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156
- 01:06:00.462 --> 01:06:02.986 I think it's a bit of it is a is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156
- $01:06:02.986 \rightarrow 01:06:04.547$ kind of historical like who was working
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156
- $01:06:04.547 \rightarrow 01:06:06.325$ on what and where in a particular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156
- 01:06:06.325 --> 01:06:08.184 time and how that how philosophy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156
- $01{:}06{:}08{.}184 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}10{.}200$ departments and sort of law department
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156
- 01:06:10.257 --> 01:06:11.709 like certain departments involved
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156
- $01{:}06{:}11.709 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}13.887$ in connection with kind of health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:13.945 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.680$ and medical ethics in Australia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:15.680 \rightarrow 01:06:18.165$ I think some of those people were

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:06:18.165 --> 01:06:20.032 sort of in those debates historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:20.032 \rightarrow 01:06:21.760$ throughout time in a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:06:21.811 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:06:23.449 perhaps they weren't here in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:23.449 \rightarrow 01:06:25.279$ This is just me speculating wildly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:06:25.280 --> 01:06:26.800 So I could be completely wrong about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:06:26.800 --> 01:06:28.760 but I think it was just sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01{:}06{:}28.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}30.629$ who was where and working on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01{:}06{:}30{.}629 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31{.}760$ at a particular time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

01:06:34.840 --> 01:06:38.928 I was really, I was really shocked

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01{:}06{:}38{.}928 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}40{.}638$ at this conference in September,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:40.640 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.852$ how drawn to it at least people

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:42.852 \dashrightarrow 01:06:44.719$ working in pediatric settings were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

01:06:44.720 --> 01:06:45.917 I was surprised by, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01{:}06{:}45{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}48{.}040$ how much sort of virtue chatter there was.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333
- 01:06:48.040 --> 01:06:48.940 So I don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333
- $01:06:48.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.585$ I got me thinking about are we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333
- $01:06:50.585 \dashrightarrow 01:06:52.025$ are we kind of returning to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333
- $01{:}06{:}52.025 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}53.889$ virtue ethics at the moment is it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333
- $01:06:53.889 \rightarrow 01:06:55.515$ something that's going to come back?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333
- 01:06:55.520 --> 01:06:58.445 Sorry, I don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333
- $01:06:58.445 \rightarrow 01:07:00.960$ That was a that was a babbly answer but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333
- 01:07:00.960 --> 01:07:01.120 But
- NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666
- $01:07:01.120 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.096$ you think so. It it seems to me
- NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666
- $01:07:03.096 \rightarrow 01:07:04.931$ what you're saying is that perhaps
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8073341116666666
- $01:07:04.931 \longrightarrow 01:07:06.857$ those who pay attention to virtue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666
- $01{:}07{:}06.921 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}08.845$ ethics on a more academic or classic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666
- 01:07:08.845 --> 01:07:10.855 level are we're more involved in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666
- $01{:}07{:}10.855 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}12.588$ medical ethics in Australia than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8073341116666666
- $01:07:12.588 \dashrightarrow 01:07:14.598$ the United States as things evolve.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:14.600 \rightarrow 01:07:16.595$ So yeah, I think the bioethics community

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01{:}07{:}16.595 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}18.896$ is smaller and there are just more

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:18.896 \rightarrow 01:07:20.631$ philosophers working on virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:20.631 \rightarrow 01:07:22.438$ connected to the bioethics community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01{:}07{:}22.440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}24.012$ And that means so that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

01:07:24.012 --> 01:07:25.698 things just get brought up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:25.698 \rightarrow 01:07:26.770$ discussions there more readily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01{:}07{:}26.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}28.680$ more just more familiar with it. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.794672895714286

 $01:07:29.000 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.518$ more credit to the folks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.794672895714286

 $01{:}07{:}30{.}518 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}32{.}151$ Galveston who saw the wisdom of

NOTE Confidence: 0.794672895714286

 $01{:}07{:}32{.}151 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}34{.}292$ bringing you front and center in

NOTE Confidence: 0.794672895714286

 $01{:}07{:}34.292 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}37.440$ their conversations there. I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79928752125

 $01:07:39.600 \rightarrow 01:07:41.520$ Yes, Jen And then the gentleman back there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950426892857143

 $01:07:47.400 \rightarrow 01:07:50.557$ I'm wondering related to your second question

NOTE Confidence: 0.96288032

 $01:07:52.880 \longrightarrow 01:07:54.320$ and your prior mention of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96288032

01:07:54.320 --> 01:07:56.198 article you published last year,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.96288032
- $01:07:56.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.078$ we have a lot of clinicians
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9751951
- $01:07:58.080 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.360$ who are also parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}08{:}00.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}01.882$ and then we have a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:08:01.882 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.637$ clinicians who need to do share
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}08{:}03{.}637 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}04{.}917$ decision making with parents.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}08{:}04{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}07{.}608$ And so as someone who is neither
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- 01:08:07.608 --> 01:08:09.560 a clinician nor a parent,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:08:09.560 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.240$ I can't answer that question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}08{:}11{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13{.}958$ But I was wondering if you'd be game to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- 01:08:13.958 --> 01:08:16.906 speak a little bit more on what, if any,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- 01:08:16.906 --> 01:08:19.535 virtues you found sort of uniquely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}08{:}19.535 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}22.600$ fitting in the professional space or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:08:22.600 \rightarrow 01:08:25.790$ in the parental space that might help.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}08{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}28.335$ Those of us facilitating ethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}08{:}28{.}335 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}30{.}810$ councils related to these kinds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:08:30.810 --> 01:08:33.439 of disputes could again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}33{.}439 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}35{.}593$ look to the clinicians and appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:35.593 \rightarrow 01:08:37.669$ what they're doing there and look

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:37.669 \longrightarrow 01:08:39.234$ to the parents and appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:39.240 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.762$ what they're doing in that space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}40.762 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}42.540$ But then also for the folks who

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}42.598 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}44.584$ do have and continue or anticipate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}44{.}584{\:}-{:}>01{:}08{:}47{.}000$ we aring both hats as both clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:47.000 \rightarrow 01:08:50.200$ and parent to be able to think through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:50.200 \rightarrow 01:08:52.320$ When I take my clinician hat off

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:52.320 \rightarrow 01:08:54.160$ and put my parent hat on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:54.160 \longrightarrow 01:08:57.240$ this is part of the virtue set

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}57{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}58{.}960$ that I'm switching between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}58{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}01{.}152$ Or when I take off my parent hat

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:01.152 \rightarrow 01:09:03.755$ and step into a clinical encounter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}09{:}03.755 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}06.595$ this is what I have to leave behind.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:06.600 \rightarrow 01:09:08.964$ And or are they entirely reconcilable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:08.964 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.683$ so that you don't in fact need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:11.683 \rightarrow 01:09:14.120$ take a hat off and sort of swap
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:14.120 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.936$ between distinct virtues in one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:15.936 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.833$ space versus the other?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- 01:09:16.833 --> 01:09:18.700 Yeah, thanks for that question, Jen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:18.700 \longrightarrow 01:09:20.520$ Another great one for the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:20.520 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.480$ for the paper that we wrote,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:21.480 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.978$ we wrote,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:21.978 \rightarrow 01:09:23.721$ we really read a lot about just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:23.721 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.799$ the parental role.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}09{:}24.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}27.992$ We were really focused on that and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01:09:27.992 \dashrightarrow 01:09:30.040$ can tell you a little bit about that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}09{:}30{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}32{.}084$ We were very careful in that paper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588
- $01{:}09{:}32.084 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}34.194$ not to try and present an account
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:34.194 \rightarrow 01:09:36.617$ of like this is what a good parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:09:36.617 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:09:38.204 is and these are the virtues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:09:38.204 --> 01:09:39.440 any good parent would possess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}09{:}39{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}40{.}856$ I would a not ever want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}09{:}40.856 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}42.570$ that and BA don't think it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:42.570 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.356$ be super constructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}09{:}46.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}48.760$ what what we found and what is out

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}09{:}48.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}50.660$ there in the literature and Chris

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}09{:}50.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}52.480$ Fiedner and some some of his colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}09{:}52{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}54{.}000$ they've published some fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:54.000 \rightarrow 01:09:56.280$ studies and what they've found is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:56.280 \longrightarrow 01:09:57.336$ different parents have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:57.336 \rightarrow 01:09:58.920$ ideas about what good parenting is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:58.920 \rightarrow 01:10:02.210$ But the construct of being a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:02.210 \rightarrow 01:10:04.240$ parent and exercising whatever it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:04.240 \rightarrow 01:10:05.900$ qualities, whatever the content of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01{:}10{:}05{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}08{.}202$ that good parent belief is that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01:10:08.202 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.954$ a very fixed construct that parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01:10:09.960 \longrightarrow 01:10:14.040$ explicitly mention when you talk to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01:10:14.040 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.895$ them about their child being sick
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01:10:15.895 \rightarrow 01:10:17.390$ and and how they approach decisions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01{:}10{:}17{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}18{.}998$ and what happens in the hospital.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01:10:19.000 \longrightarrow 01:10:21.256$ So that construct of being a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- 01:10:21.256 --> 01:10:23.440 good parent is action guiding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01{:}10{:}23{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}25{.}084$ Different parents kind of plug in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01:10:25.084 \rightarrow 01:10:26.180$ different different values and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01:10:26.228 \longrightarrow 01:10:27.598$ beliefs and practices into that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01{:}10{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}29.760$ There are some pretty consistent areas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01:10:29.760 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.328$ I think this gets back to a really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- 01:10:31.328 --> 01:10:32.626 fantastic question we had earlier about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692
- $01{:}10{:}32.626 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}33.916$ kind of cultural relatives and right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:33.920 \longrightarrow 01:10:35.612$ we would never want to kind of say this

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:35.612 \rightarrow 01:10:37.596$ is the only right way to be a good parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:10:37.600 --> 01:10:38.775 It looks different in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}10{:}38.775 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}39.715$ places with different families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:39.720 \longrightarrow 01:10:43.680$ And that messiness I think is a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:43.680 \longrightarrow 01:10:43.840$ What

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:47.160 --> 01:10:48.900 generally things like being

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:48.900 --> 01:10:50.640 accepting of your child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:50.640 --> 01:10:52.800 just love, being a loving parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:10:52.800 \rightarrow 01:10:54.288$ being committed, committed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:10:54.288 \longrightarrow 01:10:55.280$ making sacrifices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:10:55.280 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.560$ Things like this are kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:10:57.560 \rightarrow 01:10:59.080$ commonly sighted parental virtues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:59.080 --> 01:11:02.200 Being future oriented so sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.795$ balancing short term and long

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:03.795 --> 01:11:05.071 term considerations about the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- 01:11:05.071 --> 01:11:06.680 child's interests and flourishing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:11:06.680 \rightarrow 01:11:09.520$ When a child gets sick,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- 01:11:09.520 --> 01:11:11.760 good parent beliefs tend to kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- 01:11:11.760 --> 01:11:14.521 shift into sort of a handful of major
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:11:14.521 \rightarrow 01:11:16.880$ themes or categories around being informed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01{:}11{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}19.040$ So when a child's sick or in the hospital,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:11:19.040 \longrightarrow 01:11:20.636$ one part of kind of good parent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:11:20.636 \rightarrow 01:11:21.561$ beliefs that's pretty consistent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:11:21.561 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.137$ is the idea like as a good parent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01{:}11{:}23{.}137 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}24{.}709$ I have to know as much as possible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:11:24.709 \rightarrow 01:11:27.268$ that was at least in the participants
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01{:}11{:}27.268 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}29.328$ in these studies pretty consistent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01{:}11{:}29{.}328 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}31{.}316$ so and that would lead to certain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- 01:11:31.316 --> 01:11:32.891 information season behaviors that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:11:32.891 \rightarrow 01:11:34.757$ think clinicians sometimes get frustrated by.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:34.760 -> 01:11:35.882 These parents have gone home and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:35.882 --> 01:11:37.268 done all their own research, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:37.268 --> 01:11:38.876 But for parents, it was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:38.880 --> 01:11:40.352 if I'm not sitting up on my computer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:40.352 \rightarrow 01:11:42.178$ at 3:00 AM doing a deep dive into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:42.178 \rightarrow 01:11:43.880$ and reading these papers on my orange line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:43.880 --> 01:11:44.405 I understand it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:44.405 \rightarrow 01:11:45.280$ I'm not a good parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01{:}11{:}45{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}46{.}755$ I'm not doing everything possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01{:}11{:}46.755 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}48.880$ to make myself informed and able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01{:}11{:}48.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}50.480$ approach decisions, feeling empowered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:50.480 \longrightarrow 01:11:51.044$ So that was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:51.044 --> 01:11:52.360 I think for us doing that reading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:52.360 \longrightarrow 01:11:54.236$ a really nice example of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:54.240 \rightarrow 01:11:55.710$ clinician sees like this parent just

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01{:}11{:}55{.}710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}57{.}573$ going off and kind of reading much

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:11:57.573 \rightarrow 01:11:59.235$ stuff they don't know about getting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- 01:11:59.235 --> 01:12:00.851 frustrated sometimes when parents like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:12:00.851 \rightarrow 01:12:02.039$ well, I rent this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:12:02.040 \longrightarrow 01:12:02.535$ And for us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01{:}12{:}02{.}535 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}03{.}525$ kind of looking at the literature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:12:03.525 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.438$ and listening to parents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125
- $01:12:04.440 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.959$ it was like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- 01:12:07.120 --> 01:12:08.910 I failed my kid if I'm not up and doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01{:}12{:}08{.}963 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}10{.}475$ this and pushing myself to kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01:12:10.475 \rightarrow 01:12:12.278$ find as much information as possible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01:12:12.280 \rightarrow 01:12:13.549$ So it was just a nice moment of what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01{:}12{:}13.549 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}14.891$ we think of as a tension when actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01{:}12{:}14.891 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}16.408$ I think we have the same goal, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- 01:12:16.408 --> 01:12:17.560 We're just approaching you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01:12:17.560 \rightarrow 01:12:20.239$ wearing different hats,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:20.240 \rightarrow 01:12:24.664$ being informed there was some consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:24.664 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.920$ around the idea that a good parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:27.920 \rightarrow 01:12:30.600$ still participates in care activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:30.600 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.010$ There was there's discussion out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:32.010 \longrightarrow 01:12:33.973$ of a good parent being someone that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:33.973 \rightarrow 01:12:35.709$ of keeps the family unit together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:35.709 --> 01:12:37.319 functioning in some sort of normal way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:37.320 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.280$ whatever that looks like for that family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:39.280 \longrightarrow 01:12:40.540$ So this is just a few examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:40.540 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.598$ off the top of my head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:41.600 \longrightarrow 01:12:43.328$ but it was really interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01{:}12{:}43.328 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}44.936$ look at the empirical literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:44.936 \longrightarrow 01:12:46.516$ on good parent constructs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:46.520 \rightarrow 01:12:48.440$ where the points of overlap were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:48.440 --> 01:12:49.085 as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:49.085 \rightarrow 01:12:50.880$ we weren't going to kind of present like,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01:12:50.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:51.820$ this is good parenting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01:12:51.820 \longrightarrow 01:12:53.480$ I think good enough parenting is often
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- $01:12:53.480 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.880$ like kind of or just getting by,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783
- 01:12:54.880 --> 01:12:55.320 right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- $01{:}12{:}57{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}58{.}382$ That was kind of what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- $01:12:58.382 \longrightarrow 01:12:59.080$ found about the parental law.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- 01:12:59.080 --> 01:13:00.599 I don't, I don't know about clinicians.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- $01:13:00.600 \rightarrow 01:13:02.758$ It's not something I've kind of read
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- $01:13:02.758 \longrightarrow 01:13:04.426$ recently about kind of how clinicians
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- $01:13:04.426 \rightarrow 01:13:06.159$ think about virtues and balancing them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- 01:13:06.160 --> 01:13:07.882 And I think you've asked some important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- 01:13:07.882 --> 01:13:09.832 comment about what do we do when we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- 01:13:09.832 --> 01:13:11.223 wearing multiple different hats and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- 01:13:11.223 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:13:12.736 don't know that we can ever take off.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545
- $01{:}13{:}12.736 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}14.920$ I think about the clinician, ethicist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:13:14.920 --> 01:13:16.755 I'm, you know, sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:13:16.755 --> 01:13:18.345 Do you ever really stop being

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01:13:18.345 \longrightarrow 01:13:19.793$ a physician even when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01:13:19.793 \rightarrow 01:13:21.479$ kind of in your ethics role?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:22.560 --> 01:13:24.080 No, I think. I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:24.080 --> 01:13:26.480 I think if I understand where you're going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:26.480 --> 01:13:27.558 I think I'd go there with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:27.560 \longrightarrow 01:13:29.360$ which is to say that that we are who we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:29.360 --> 01:13:33.725 But I would say that I would say that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:33.725 \rightarrow 01:13:36.360$ parents there may be more of an emphasis on NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01{:}13{:}36{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}38{.}720$ virtues and as physicians there may be more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:38.720 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.827$ This is a gross generalization and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:40.827 \rightarrow 01:13:43.237$ of an emphasis on rights and obligations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:43.240 --> 01:13:44.130 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:44.130 \rightarrow 01:13:46.160$ and so I mean one of the smartest things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01{:}13{:}46.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}48.078$ maybe the smartest thing I ever heard

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01{:}13{:}48.078 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}50.440$ of an ethics council was a room full
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01{:}13{:}50{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}52{.}640$ of physicians trying to figure out, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01:13:52.640 \rightarrow 01:13:54.400$ exactly what the right thing to do was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01:13:54.400 \longrightarrow 01:13:55.500$ And we were weighing all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01:13:55.500 \longrightarrow 01:13:56.160$ these various principles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01{:}13{:}56{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}58{.}356$ And then a friend of mine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01:13:58.360 \longrightarrow 01:13:59.720$ a woman named Glennis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01{:}13{:}59{.}720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}01{.}289$ who was a chaplain, said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01:14:01.289 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.752$ why don't we just not worry about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01{:}14{:}02.752 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}04.206$ that stuff and figure out what the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01:14:04.206 \longrightarrow 01:14:05.710$ most loving thing to do is and which,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- 01:14:05.710 --> 01:14:06.880 you know, hit some of us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- 01:14:06.880 --> 01:14:07.370 including myself,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- 01:14:07.370 --> 01:14:08.595 like a ton of bricks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043
- $01{:}14{:}08.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}10.178$ That is something one would hear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:10.178 \longrightarrow 01:14:12.108$ more likely from a chaplain or from

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:12.108 \longrightarrow 01:14:13.758$ a parent than from a physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:13.760 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.240$ But it is nevertheless insightful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165234175

 $01:14:15.240 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.840$ for all of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68144192

 $01{:}14{:}17.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}18.880$ We have this gentleman then, Jen up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:19.480 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.496$ Hi, thank you for the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:22.496 \rightarrow 01:14:24.440$ Amazing, super interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:24.440 \longrightarrow 01:14:26.864$ I'm wondering how you feel about

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:26.864 --> 01:14:28.226 like this characterization that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:28.226 --> 01:14:29.798 sort of like I'm understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:29.798 --> 01:14:34.282 I wonder if we can think of like the virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:34.282 \rightarrow 01:14:36.987$ ethical approach differently from like

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01{:}14{:}36{.}987 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}40{.}359$ the ontological or utilitarian approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:40.360 --> 01:14:44.173 but more as a framework through

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:44.173 \rightarrow 01:14:46.238$ which to do moral negotiations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01{:}14{:}46{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}50{.}924$ So like I'm thinking a lot about like

- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01:14:50.924 \rightarrow 01:14:53.730$ you you might have a certain set of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- 01:14:53.730 --> 01:14:56.499 virtues in your head and you aspire
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01{:}14{:}56{.}499 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}58{.}959$ to have actions that reflect those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01:14:58.960 \longrightarrow 01:15:01.032$ And that's like where the room for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01:15:01.032 \rightarrow 01:15:03.040$ negotiation between a doctor and a parent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01:15:03.040 \longrightarrow 01:15:05.152$ Or like that's where like the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01:15:05.152 \longrightarrow 01:15:07.070$ the parent is like, Oh no,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- 01:15:07.070 --> 01:15:08.855 I have this virtue and this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01{:}15{:}08.855 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}10.799$ the action I should be taking.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01{:}15{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}14.886$ And I wonder in if there is room in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01:15:14.886 \rightarrow 01:15:18.622$ there to reason to show the like the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01{:}15{:}18.622 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}21.542$ the set of options that exist for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01{:}15{:}21{.}542 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}23{.}452$ the implementation of a certain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01:15:23.452 \longrightarrow 01:15:25.394$ virtue that taking perhaps a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324
- $01{:}15{:}25{.}394 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}27{.}119$ certain different action may not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:27.119 \longrightarrow 01:15:29.000$ be not embodying that action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:29.000 \longrightarrow 01:15:31.398$ that virtue in that moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:31.800 \longrightarrow 01:15:34.176$ Yeah, that's a wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:34.176 \longrightarrow 01:15:35.958$ wonderful question again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:35.960 \longrightarrow 01:15:37.238$ And I think you're spot on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:37.240 --> 01:15:39.562 And I think as ethicists sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:39.562 \rightarrow 01:15:42.639$ what our role to do is to normalize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:42.640 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.082$ I'm a little wary of this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:44.082 --> 01:15:45.539 I would never want to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:45.539 \longrightarrow 01:15:46.721$ think that was to convince or

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:46.773 --> 01:15:48.009 kind of shift someone to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:48.009 \rightarrow 01:15:49.371$ idea of what being a good parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:49.371 --> 01:15:51.720 But sometimes they'll say, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01{:}15{:}51{.}720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}53{.}197$ you know, talking to parents will say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:53.200 --> 01:15:55.440 you know there's no wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:55.440 \rightarrow 01:15:57.128$ there's no wrong decision.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01:15:57.128 \rightarrow 01:15:59.238$ Regardless of what we do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01:15:59.240 \rightarrow 01:16:01.106$ we recognize you were a fantastic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01:16:01.106 \rightarrow 01:16:03.238$ parent that loves your child to death.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- 01:16:03.240 --> 01:16:05.739 Like so we'll do some of that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01:16:05.739 \rightarrow 01:16:07.598$ normalizing of different options through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01{:}16{:}07{.}598 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}10{.}118$ that lens of being a good parent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- 01:16:10.120 --> 01:16:10.960 Like we'll kind of say like well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- 01:16:10.960 --> 01:16:11.368 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01{:}16{:}11{.}368 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}13{.}000$ we we had a similar case maybe and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01:16:13.052 \rightarrow 01:16:14.676$ not quite exactly the same but in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01:16:14.676 \longrightarrow 01:16:16.387$ those parents we talked to them a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01{:}16{:}16{.}387 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}17{.}592$ lot and eventually decided that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01{:}16{:}17.592 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}19.088$ for them what was right and how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- 01:16:19.088 --> 01:16:20.629 they could show the most love for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429
- $01:16:20.629 \rightarrow 01:16:21.955$ their child was to choose this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01{:}16{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}23.066$ And it might be a little different

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:23.066 \rightarrow 01:16:24.318$ from say the family in front of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:24.320 \rightarrow 01:16:24.959$ what they're considering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:24.959 \longrightarrow 01:16:25.598$ So we will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01{:}16{:}25.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}28.850$ I think often try and use that approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:28.850 \rightarrow 01:16:31.440$ of sort of thinking about that role,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:31.440 \longrightarrow 01:16:32.080$ thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:34.240 \rightarrow 01:16:35.797$ what it means for them for someone to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01{:}16{:}35{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}37{.}438$ I'm using a good parent example and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01{:}16{:}37{.}438 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}39{.}121$ then kind of trying to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01{:}16{:}39{.}121 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}40{.}661$ some different options through that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:40.661 \rightarrow 01:16:42.600$ just to say like these are all OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:42.600 \rightarrow 01:16:43.760$ regardless of what you choose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:43.760 \longrightarrow 01:16:44.960$ you will not be any less

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:44.960 \longrightarrow 01:16:46.279$ of a parent in our eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:46.280 \longrightarrow 01:16:47.930$ And I think sometimes that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241
- $01:16:47.930 \longrightarrow 01:16:49.239$ can be really effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

01:16:49.239 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:16:51.153 I'm thinking about that question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:51.153 \rightarrow 01:16:52.791$ conversation with a question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:52.791 \rightarrow 01:16:54.356$ shared decision making now too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01{:}16{:}54{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}55{.}038$ and sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

01:16:57.400 --> 01:16:59.398 like almost like epistemic virtues too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6277103816666667

 $01{:}16{:}59{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}01{.}374$ And sort of how we approach negotiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01{:}17{:}01{.}374 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}03{.}498$ or conversations like how to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6277103816666667

01:17:03.498 --> 01:17:05.038 virtuously and thoughtfully and respectfully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01{:}17{:}05{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}07{.}272$ I think that's all kind of linked as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6277103816666667

01:17:07.280 --> 01:17:09.149 How to again, not bludge on people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6277103816666667

 $01:17:09.149 \longrightarrow 01:17:12.020$ that kind of negotiation, but how to do NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01:17:12.020 \rightarrow 01:17:14.495$ it sort of carefully and with humility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6277103816666667

01:17:14.495 --> 01:17:16.360 That's a great question. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80152105

 $01{:}17{:}17{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}19{.}237$ And the final question of the evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621448566666667

01:17:19.680 --> 01:17:22.464 Oh, no pressure. Make it good

NOTE Confidence: 0.6621448566666667

 $01{:}17{:}22.464 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}23.840$ and then and then yeah, make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.691111197272727

 $01:17:24.080 \longrightarrow 01:17:25.150$ good. Respond to that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.691111197272727

 $01:17:25.150 \rightarrow 01:17:26.440$ wrap up however you see fit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:26.720 \longrightarrow 01:17:29.520$ Better sing for my supper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:29.520 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.795$ Thank you for your really

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:30.795 --> 01:17:31.560 thought provoking talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:31.560 --> 01:17:34.116 I I'm going to end with a thought provoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:34.120 \longrightarrow 01:17:35.680$ hopefully a thought provoking question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:35.680 \longrightarrow 01:17:37.360$ Do you think that love,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:37.360 --> 01:17:41.120 since Mark brought it up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:41.120 \rightarrow 01:17:44.120$ is fully compatible with virtue ethics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:44.120 \longrightarrow 01:17:45.280$ This is why I'm asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:45.280 --> 01:17:46.740 Because virtue ethics in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:46.740 --> 01:17:49.000 ways is very navel gazing, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:49.000 --> 01:17:53.573 Was I honest? Was I, you know, reliable?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- 01:17:53.573 --> 01:17:57.386 Was I? It's very me, me, me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01{:}17{:}57{.}386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}00{.}408$ Whereas I'm not sure the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01:18:00.408 \longrightarrow 01:18:03.480$ world needs more me and I.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01:18:03.480 \longrightarrow 01:18:05.860$ And so, you know, we might need love.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01:18:05.860 \rightarrow 01:18:07.240$ Is other ness right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01:18:07.240 \longrightarrow 01:18:08.400$ Other centeredness and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01:18:08.400 \rightarrow 01:18:09.360$ seeing the other person,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- 01:18:09.360 --> 01:18:11.056 recognizing the other person,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01{:}18{:}11.056 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}13.600$ uniting compassion with the other person.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01{:}18{:}13.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}16.099$ And do you see a disconnect between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01:18:16.099 \rightarrow 01:18:18.240$ virtue and the self focus with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01:18:18.240 \longrightarrow 01:18:20.472$ the need for otherness, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- 01:18:20.472 --> 01:18:22.320 And unity. Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01{:}18{:}22{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}23{.}720$ That would be wonderful question to you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764
- $01:18:23.720 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.088$ Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

- 01:18:24.088 --> 01:18:24.640 I I don't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:18:28.680 --> 01:18:30.237 I don't think I do see them as incompatible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:18:30.240 --> 01:18:32.064 But maybe I've drunk the pool
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:18:32.064 \rightarrow 01:18:34.460$ aid and I need to rethink this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:18:34.460 --> 01:18:37.400 But I think about the virtues as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:18:37.400 \rightarrow 01:18:39.600$ so socially embedded. And again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:18:39.600 --> 01:18:41.672 the reason why I think I I am always like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:18:41.672 \rightarrow 01:18:43.036$ well, what do I control? It's me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01{:}18{:}43.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}46.554$ That's kind of how I approach things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:18:46.560 \rightarrow 01:18:47.598$ But that's always through the lens,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:18:47.600 --> 01:18:49.160 like, who am I talking to?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:18:49.160 \longrightarrow 01:18:50.860$ What is our relationship?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:18:50.860 --> 01:18:52.768 How am I considering them?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:18:52.768 \longrightarrow 01:18:53.600$ What can I know?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01{:}18{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}55.600$ What can I not know about about them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:18:55.600 \rightarrow 01:18:56.878$ the other kind of bad things.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:18:56.880 \longrightarrow 01:18:58.830$ So I think it's definitely a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:18:58.830 --> 01:19:01.119 risk and one that I'm aware of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:19:01.120 --> 01:19:03.770 but I don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:19:03.770 \longrightarrow 01:19:04.520$ This may be a circular,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:19:04.520 --> 01:19:04.906 but yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01{:}19{:}04{.}906 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}06{.}450$ I think like part of being virtuous is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:19:06.492 \rightarrow 01:19:07.914$ thinking about other people and really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:19:07.914 \rightarrow 01:19:09.871$ centering that in how you think about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01{:}19{:}09{.}871 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}11{.}076$ the virtues and your interactions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:19:11.080 --> 01:19:14.040 So I don't know if that gets us out of it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:19:14.040 --> 01:19:14.736 my body.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- 01:19:14.736 --> 01:19:16.824 Tyler Tate wrote a fantastic paper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01{:}19{:}16.824 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}19.440$ about love that got published in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01{:}19{:}19{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}21{.}490$ the Hastings Center either early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111
- $01:19:21.490 \longrightarrow 01:19:23.320$ this year or late last year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:23.320 \longrightarrow 01:19:25.160$ But he talks about the ethic of love

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}25{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}26{.}537$ within medicine and kind of talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:26.537 \rightarrow 01:19:27.839$ about it through a virtue lens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:27.840 --> 01:19:29.472 So I can't answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:29.472 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:19:32.384 but maybe go read Tyler's paper and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:32.384 \rightarrow 01:19:34.240$ will have a more satisfactory answer for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}34{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}35{.}404$ But I think it's interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}35{.}404 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}36{.}999$ people kind of go a bit ick sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}37{.}000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}38{.}632$ When we talk about love in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}38.632 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}39.720$ healthcare and decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:39.720 --> 01:19:40.680 I don't know, do people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:40.680 \longrightarrow 01:19:42.395$ we don't talk about love very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:42.400 \longrightarrow 01:19:43.660$ And I think there's the sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:43.660 \longrightarrow 01:19:45.229$ that it's kind of weird like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}45{.}229 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}46{.}597$ idea of loving your patient but

NOTE Confidence: 0.59221643

 $01:19:48.920 \longrightarrow 01:19:49.160$ yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754654875714286

01:19:51.680 --> 01:19:55.120 Yeah. Yeah. Or or compassion or just. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826818206

 $01:19:55.120 \rightarrow 01:19:56.268$ We're allowed to we're allowed to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.826818206

 $01:19:56.268 \rightarrow 01:19:57.439$ compassion but we're not allowed to say yeah

NOTE Confidence: 0.460854134

01:19:57.440 --> 01:19:58.800 yeah. I think that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.460854134

01:19:58.800 --> 01:19:59.840 really interesting now about

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01:19:59.840 \rightarrow 01:20:01.610$ but but parents parents will that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01{:}20{:}01{.}610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}03{.}560$ that that to to get to Jen's question

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01:20:03.560 \longrightarrow 01:20:06.276$ I think to your point is that and my

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

01:20:06.276 --> 01:20:07.666 friend Glennis Chaplin Olshack when

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01:20:07.666 \longrightarrow 01:20:09.369$ our point was that that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01{:}20{:}09{.}369 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}11{.}280$ where we should be focused on again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01{:}20{:}11{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}13{.}130$ Seth brings in a whole, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01{:}20{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}14.720$ which we just touched on briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01:20:14.720 \longrightarrow 01:20:16.596$ the whole feminist ethics approach to things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01{:}20{:}16.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}19.570$ as well as in the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

- $01:20:19.570 \longrightarrow 01:20:20.560$ of relationships.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667
- 01:20:20.560 --> 01:20:21.918 Any final thoughts you have for us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667
- $01:20:21.920 \longrightarrow 01:20:22.480$ my friend
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588
- $01:20:22.760 \longrightarrow 01:20:23.840$ that's just got me thinking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588
- $01:20:23.840 \longrightarrow 01:20:25.152$ about the psychology of kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588
- $01{:}20{:}25{.}152 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}26{.}274$ how we approach things as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588
- $01{:}20{:}26{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}27{.}880$ I think that's all kind of in there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588
- $01{:}20{:}27{.}880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}29{.}992$ And I'm not just for an expert in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588
- 01:20:29.992 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:20:31.120 psych methods, but I think yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.24840991
- $01:20:34.640 \longrightarrow 01:20:34.960$ yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.2663603
- 01:20:41.200 --> 01:20:43.480 yeah, I love that. Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5066717466666667
- $01:20:45.520 \rightarrow 01:20:48.518$ Well, we thank you very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5066717466666667
- 01:20:48.520 --> 01:20:49.240 Yeah. Thank you all.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.4417428
- $01:20:54.120 \rightarrow 01:20:54.640$ Oh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675
- $01:20:55.760 \longrightarrow 01:20:57.626$ the coveted, the coveted White cap.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675
- 01:20:57.626 --> 01:20:59.480 These are beautiful. All right.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675
- $01:20:59.480 \rightarrow 01:21:01.540$ And some other yell swag for you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675
- 01:21:01.540 --> 01:21:02.438 Outstanding. Thank you so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675
- $01:21:02.440 \longrightarrow 01:21:03.480$ much For excellent ease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.462624168333333
- $01{:}21{:}04{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}06{.}240$ Thank you so much. This was really good.