WEBVTT NOTE duration:"00:55:59.5730000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.478 Thank you Andreas for that lovely NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662  $00:00:02.478 \rightarrow 00:00:04.560$  introduction to the introduction and NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662  $00:00:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.756$  for inviting me to introduce Michael. NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662 00:00:06.760 --> 00:00:08.545 It's actually very special 'cause NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662 00:00:08.545 --> 00:00:11.439 Michael's given me a lot of introductions, NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662  $00:00:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.328$  and so it's cool that I'm getting to NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662  $00{:}00{:}14.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.290$  return the favor for this grand rounds. NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662 00:00:17.290 --> 00:00:19.782 So I think most of you are NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662  $00:00:19.782 \rightarrow 00:00:21.580$  probably familiar with Michaels. NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662  $00:00:21.580 \rightarrow 00:00:23.746$  You know basic baseball stats here NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662  $00:00:23.746 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.655$  that he got his bachelors in biology NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662 00:00:26.655 --> 00:00:28.503 from University of Pennsylvania NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662  $00:00:28.503 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.909$  and then he came to Yale. NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662 00:00:30.910 - > 00:00:33.395 So he did his medical training here

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662
- $00{:}00{:}33.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.229$  at Yale and then decided to stick

 $00{:}00{:}36.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.767$  around to join the inaugural class

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:38.845 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.490$  of the Solemate Integrated Program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:41.490 --> 00:00:43.386 I had to include this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.280$  which is one of my favourites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}00{:}45.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.080$  I think Anna Stevens sent this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}00{:}47.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.629$  out on a chat program and I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:49.629 \rightarrow 00:00:51.936$  grabbed it right up 'cause I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}00{:}51{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54{.}440$  this is the first four years of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:54.440 --> 00:00:56.656 Soul Net program in a very faded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:56.660 - 00:00:57.707 appropriately faded photo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}00{:}57.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.452$  So you can probably recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}00{:}59{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}400$  a lot of the folks on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:01.400 --> 00:01:03.784 A lot of successful people and a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:03.784 \rightarrow 00:01:06.137$  of really kind people in this photo,

00:01:06.140 --> 00:01:09.740 and you can see a sort of self satisfied

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12{.}388$  Michael Block right in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:12.390 --> 00:01:14.700 So he finished the program in 2010

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:14.700 \dashrightarrow 00:01:17.628$  and along the way got a Masters in NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:17.628 \rightarrow 00:01:19.884$  Epidemiology which has served him very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:19.884 \dashrightarrow 00:01:22.476$  well and probably is part of the work NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:22.476 \rightarrow 00:01:25.626$  he's going to present to you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:25.630 --> 00:01:27.905 And after all this time at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}01{:}27{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30{.}736$ he thought I still have more work to do NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:30.736 --> 00:01:33.423 here and so he joined the faculty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:33.423 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.057$  has been here for the last 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:36.060 \rightarrow 00:01:38.405$  He's touched a lot of different programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:38.405  $-\!\!>$  00:01:40.629 and impacted this center in many ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}01{:}40.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.919$  but one of them is really transforming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}01{:}42.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.177$  his own little corner of the 2nd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:45.177 --> 00:01:46.989 floor in the Child Study Center

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662
- $00:01:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.100$  into the shrine to Mets baseball.

00:01:49.100 --> 00:01:49.722 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:49.722 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.588$  I hope someday that the Mets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:51.588 - 00:01:53.670 return the favor for his loyalty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:53.670 \dashrightarrow 00:01:58.770$  but I don't know if this will be the year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}01{:}58.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.245$  And this is the part where I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:01.245 --> 00:02:04.053 know we highlight some of the wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}04.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.402$  accomplishments and it's hard to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:06.402 \rightarrow 00:02:08.642$  because Michael has done so very many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}08.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.670$  things at the Child study center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}10.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.022$  So he's the Co director of the Tick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:13.022 --> 00:02:15.767 in OC D program with Tom Fernandez.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}15{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18{.}661$  He's my Co director with the pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:18.661 --> 00:02:19.900 treatment Resistant Depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:19.963 \rightarrow 00:02:22.175$  program that we started in late 2019.

 $00:02:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.980$  He's the Co director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:23.980 --> 00:02:25.740 T32 program with Mike Crowley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}25{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28{.}196$  You can see he's a Co director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}28.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.273$  many things which I think highlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:30.273 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.841$  how well he works with the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}32{.}841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34{.}477$  and the trainees here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}34{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35{.}955$  He's also the associate director

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:35.955 --> 00:02:37.920 of the Albert J Solnit program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}37{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39{.}948$  so really coming full circle from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:39.948 \dashrightarrow 00:02:42.410$  being a member of the first class NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.150$  to now shaping the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}44.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.268$  He was also the inpatient Chiefs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}46.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.680$  of the Clinical Neuroscience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:47.739 --> 00:02:49.359 Research Unit up until 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.670$  so you can see these are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:51.670 \rightarrow 00:02:53.086$  prestigious programs both within

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662
- $00:02:53.086 \rightarrow 00:02:55.246$  and outside the Child Study Center,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662
- $00{:}02{:}55{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}204$  and I think it's not a coincidence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662
- $00:02:58.204 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.470$  that Michael's fingerprints
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00{:}02{:}59{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01{.}622$  are on these programs and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00:03:01.622 \dashrightarrow 00:03:03.830$  they've been so very successful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00{:}03{:}03{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}780$  Of course, what we're talking about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- 00:03:05.780 --> 00:03:08.108 here today is some of his research,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00{:}03{:}08{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09{.}750$  and he's been very impactful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00{:}03{:}09{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.062$  with his clinical trial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- 00:03:11.070 --> 00:03:12.258 An meta analytic work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00:03:12.258 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.426$  I think he's one of the only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- 00:03:14.426 --> 00:03:16.954 speakers where I had to ask him what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00:03:16.954 \rightarrow 00:03:18.959$  exactly are you presenting today?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- 00:03:18.960 --> 00:03:21.172 I mean, most people I know what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00:03:21.172 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.910$  they're going to talk about,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.534$  but he's an expert in so many areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:25.540 \rightarrow 00:03:26.860$  Publishing really important work

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:26.860 --> 00:03:28.176 and depression, anxiety, OCD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:28.176 --> 00:03:29.160 trichotillomania tic disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:29.160 --> 00:03:32.112 80 HD and then not only in child psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.048$  but also publishing across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}03{:}35{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37{.}168$  I think it's hard to overstate

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:37.168 \rightarrow 00:03:39.250$  how important his work has been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.000$  not just to child psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:41.000 \rightarrow 00:03:42.750$  but to psychiatry at large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:42.750 --> 00:03:44.850 If you like to put numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.250$  to stuff like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.350$  he's got an h-index of 62.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.506$  This is a graph looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:50.506 --> 00:03:52.286 h-index of Nobel Prize winners

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:52.286 \rightarrow 00:03:54.644$  after they've won the Nobel Prize,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00:03:54.650 \rightarrow 00:03:56.827$  so presumably have done some very impactful

 $00:03:56.827 \rightarrow 00:03:58.849$  work that's been widely disseminated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.650$  and you can see each index of 62.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}01.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04.138$  It's pretty darn good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.950$  Very influential in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08{.}122$  He's on the editorial board of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:08.122 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.570$  all these important journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12{.}711$  so I think it's fair to say that he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:12.711 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:04:15.016 really a modern Renaissance person

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:15.016 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.824$  here at the Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.464$  And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:18.464 --> 00:04:20.366 you might worry that having you

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}20{.}366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22{.}328$  know all of these titles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:22.328 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.230$  doing all of this important work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}24{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26{.}156$  you know that that might go

 $00:04:26.156 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.390$  to his head that he would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:28.390 --> 00:04:29.004 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}29{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30{.}846$  not approachable or too busy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:30.846 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.549$  or any of those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:32.550 \rightarrow 00:04:35.110$  And I think you know my favorite thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37{.}024$  Working with Michael both as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}37{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}486$  mentee and now as a partner is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:39.486 \rightarrow 00:04:41.830$  how caring he is for his patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.390$  And for trainees that they always come first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:44.390 --> 00:04:45.380 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}45{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}690$  it's nice to publish papers and get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}47.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.993$  Prizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:47.993 --> 00:04:49.508 He's got plenty of papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:49.508 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.720$  and plenty of prizes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}50.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52.701$  but I don't think he ever loses

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:52.701 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.530$  sight of the fact that the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.878571
- $00:04:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.348$  purpose of this work is really

 $00:04:56.348 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.570$  to impact the kids and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.400$  families that we see every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:05:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.465$  So I'm really excited to hear what

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:05:02.465 --> 00:05:04.359 he's going to talk about today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}05{:}04{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06{.}831$  which is using meta analysis to guide

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:05:06.831 \rightarrow 00:05:09.099$  the assessment and treatment of ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:05:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.860$  Go Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:13.530 --> 00:05:14.638 Unmute myself, thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

 $00:05:14.638 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.746$  for the kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:15.750 --> 00:05:17.696 I'm gonna do my own introduction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:17.696 --> 00:05:19.918 myself and I may need to borrow your

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

 $00{:}05{:}19{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21{.}588$  slides for my introduction next time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:21.590 --> 00:05:23.324 'cause I think you did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

 $00:05:23.324 \rightarrow 00:05:24.929$  better job then I'll do it.

00:05:24.930 --> 00:05:30.070 I'm introducing myself. I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

 $00:05:30.070 \dashrightarrow 00:05:33.192$  First thing to say is I need to get the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:33.192 --> 00:05:36.060 Sorry, let's get it working OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85240835

00:05:39.660 --> 00:05:43.368 OK, can people see the slides?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85240835

 $00:05:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.472$  Someone says not yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85240835

 $00:05:44.472 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.131$  but while you do that, Michael,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85240835

00:05:46.131 -> 00:05:50.267 I just want to add one word to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}05{:}48{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49{.}168$  the introduction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}05{:}49{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50{.}270$  the introduction and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:05:50.270 \rightarrow 00:05:53.429$  is that your partner in crime is part of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:05:53.430 \dashrightarrow 00:05:56.265$  He's certainly worth giving a thumbs up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:05:56.270 -> 00:05:59.100 so Angie in the House so anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:05:59.100 --> 00:06:02.750 Back to you Michael, can you see the slides?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}02.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.936$  Yep OK good OK let me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:05.940 \rightarrow 00:06:09.100$  OK, so yes, the first thing we get through

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}09{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12{.}308$  his disclosures and we run a bunch of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00:06:12.308 \rightarrow 00:06:15.890$  clinical trials that are partially funded by.

00:06:15.890 --> 00:06:18.718 Industry, none of these really involve ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:18.720 --> 00:06:20.735 I haven't really done any

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:20.735 --> 00:06:22.347 clinical trials in ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.402$  so I don't think any of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:25.402 \rightarrow 00:06:27.520$  particular disclosures are relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:27.520 --> 00:06:29.879 I think Jenny gave a really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}29{.}879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}777$  kind of introduction on what I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.764$  I think the first thing to say is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:33.764 --> 00:06:35.719 what I do with the Child study

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38{.}294$  Center is that I have a fairly busy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}38{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40{.}154$  outpatient practice and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:40.154 --> 00:06:42.440 disorders that you talked about earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.370$  in the in the Child study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.750$  I involved in the only training

 $00{:}06{:}47.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.333$  program in the T 32 and then just run

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}987$  a lab involved in clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}52{.}987$  -->  $00{:}06{:}55{.}778$  and meta analysis research and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}346$  these things really intersect in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}58.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.737$  the research and care of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:07:00.737 --> 00:07:03.772 and I guess the real thing I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}03.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.355$  people to get out of this lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}06.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.907$  more so than any particulars about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:07:08.907 --> 00:07:11.903 80 HD pharmacology or 80 HD treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}11{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14{.}261$  is just that the experiences with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}14.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.360$  the patients and the trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:16.360 \dashrightarrow 00:07:18.360$  Really affects the research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.781$  then the research also affects the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:20.781 \dashrightarrow 00:07:22.911$  care of the patients and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}22{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24{.}985$  the education of the trainees and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:24.985 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.875$  that it's sort of a circle.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00{:}07{:}26.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.499$  I guess I would also say that I'm a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- 00:07:29.499 --> 00:07:32.189 fa<br/>ther of three kids and I picked up  $% 10^{-1}$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00:07:32.189 \rightarrow 00:07:35.195$  two dogs in the family during the pandemic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00:07:35.200 \rightarrow 00:07:37.592$  so I apologize if they make any noise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00{:}07{:}37{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40{.}635$  and I I guess I also should think Angie,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00{:}07{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.088$  for if it's quiet you should think energy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00:07:43.088 \rightarrow 00:07:45.119$  could she'll be responsible for that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00:07:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.380$  will hope it continues along.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556
- $00{:}07{:}47.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.740$  And
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00:07:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.585$  then just again, the main
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00:07:49.585 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.660$  purpose of this talk is to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- 00:07:51.660 --> 00:07:53.742 discuss that utility of clinical research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00:07:53.742 \dashrightarrow 00:07:55.767$  and meta analysis and improving the NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}07{:}55{.}767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}063$  care of patients and then also to just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- 00:07:58.119 --> 00:08:00.244 demonstrate how clinical exposure and NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:00.244 \rightarrow 00:08:02.369$  teaching actually informs the research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.765$  And I'll be talking through that today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.078$  Really, where we're going?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}07{.}078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{.}372$  I guess there are three main points

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}09{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.829$  and I'm going to kind of have 3A2 cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}11.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.065$  that involve really three aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}14.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.309$  research that we've done in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}16{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18{.}630$  The block lab over the last few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}18.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.720$  really to demonstrate three things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}20.720$  -->  $00{:}08{:}22.715$  The first one I want to demonstrate NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:22.715 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.271$  to people is that your risk of being NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:08:25.271 --> 00:08:27.335 diagnosed and treated with 80HD is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:27.335 \rightarrow 00:08:29.170$  related to your astrological form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.120$  That's the first thing I intend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}31{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32{.}420$  to prove to people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:32.420 \rightarrow 00:08:35.498$  The second one is just to talk about common.

 $00:08:35.500 \rightarrow 00:08:37.415$  Understanding of the treatments of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}37{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}724$  the efficacy of common treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:08:39.724 --> 00:08:42.048 ADHD and also examine the effects of NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:08:42.048 --> 00:08:43.486 particularly doses of psychostimulants NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:43.486 \rightarrow 00:08:45.616$  on the efficacy of medications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:08:45.616 --> 00:08:48.130 ADHD and the last thing I really want NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.821$  to talk about is just the important of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}843$  race and racism and racial bias in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}53{.}843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}327$  treatment of ADHD and other psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}56{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58{.}712$  conditions that we've also been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}58.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.040$  Research in the training program in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:09:01.113 --> 00:09:03.521 the lab on this and I think doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}09{:}03.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.669$  an evidence based presentation on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}09{:}05{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}088$ 80 HD ADHD pharmacology and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:09:08.088 \dashrightarrow 00:09:10.360$  trying to psychiatry in general.

 $00:09:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.485$  It's also important to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}09{:}12.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.335$  these findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8807501

 $00{:}09{:}14.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.444$  So the first part of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8807501

 $00:09:16.444 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.900$  talk will just be about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}19{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22{.}868$  The risk of ADHD and its Association with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}22.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.996$  birth date and this is research

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:25.996 \rightarrow 00:09:29.289$  that's done primarily by a couple of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:09:29.290 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:09:31.850 Trainees in lab. Jose Flores, who's now

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}31.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.405$  in his addiction fellowship here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}34{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36{.}606$  soon hopefully to be involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:36.606 \dashrightarrow 00:09:38.070$  a child Psychiatry fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:38.070 \rightarrow 00:09:39.840$  And Victor, who's visiting scholar

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.100$  here in the Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:09:42.100 --> 00:09:44.718 Ann, if you're looking at 80 HD

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:44.718 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.860$  as hopefully all of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:46.860 \rightarrow 00:09:49.415$  being involved in the Child study center,

 $00:09:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.210$  ADHD is really associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}51{.}210$  -->  $00{:}09{:}53{.}000$  three core symptoms in extension

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:53.066 \rightarrow 00:09:54.534$  and then hyperactivity impulsive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:54.534 \rightarrow 00:09:56.369$  ITI to get the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:56.370 \dashrightarrow 00:10:00.110$  You have to have an age of onset prior to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02{.}444$  Well and you have to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:02.444 \rightarrow 00:10:04.000$  symptoms in multiple settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:04.074 \dashrightarrow 00:10:06.408$  and it needs to cause impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09{.}538$  Other things that you may or may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:09.538 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.616$  know about 80 HD is that it's if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:12.616 --> 00:10:15.479 look at twin and molecular studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17{.}445$  it's as heritable or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:17.445 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.017$  heritable than any psyche.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}19{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20{.}596$  And then other psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:20.596 \rightarrow 00:10:22.566$  conditions that are currently around.

 $00:10:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.540$  It's has a similar heritability

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}24.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.510$  in twin studies to autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:26.510 --> 00:10:26.903 schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:26.903 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.689$  bipolar disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}27.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.462$  and in both twin and molecular studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:30.462 \rightarrow 00:10:32.934$  It has a much greater heritability

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:32.934 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.560$  estimate than things like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:34.560 \rightarrow 00:10:36.644$  Depression and anxiety disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}36{.}644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}770$  It also really has a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:39.857 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.479$  clear neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:41.480 --> 00:10:44.370 Neural biological mark marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.324$  8080 where it's really delayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:47.324 \rightarrow 00:10:50.384$  development of the prefrontal cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53{.}072$  that's important in modulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:53.072 \rightarrow 00:10:56.108$  cognitive control processes like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:56.110 \rightarrow 00:10:57.886$  Attention and motor planning.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773
- $00{:}10{:}57{.}886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01{.}182$  So it's a disorder that has clear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773
- $00:11:01.182 \dashrightarrow 00:11:04.458$  heritability and also has a clear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773
- $00:11:04.458 \rightarrow 00:11:06.800$  neurological signal associated with it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- 00:11:09.460 --> 00:11:11.620 I'm now going to convince you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00:11:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.060$  that it's associated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- 00:11:13.060 --> 00:11:14.864 astrological sign and birth date,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- 00:11:14.864 --> 00:11:17.438 so I'm generally using my kids as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00:11:17.438 \rightarrow 00:11:19.569$  examples of these things rather than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00{:}11{:}19.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}21.514$  the patients I'm singing clinic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00:11:21.514 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.701$  just 'cause it's easier for me
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00:11:23.701 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.654$  to keep track of their names and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00{:}11{:}25.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.817$  not commit any HIPAA violations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00:11:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.785$  So this patient I'm actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00{:}11{:}29.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.429$  going to talk about would fit well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00:11:32.429 \rightarrow 00:11:34.468$  with one of my sons, Paul,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:34.468 \rightarrow 00:11:38.164$  but also is actually very germane to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:11:38.170 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:11:40.624 Patient Amalia was seeing a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}11{:}40.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.266$  recently in the clinic that I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}11{:}43.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.429$  took over when she left for Brown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}11{:}45{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48{.}326$  So Paul is in now eight years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.722$  He's in second grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}11{:}49.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.626$  His plans are to have his own YouTube

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:52.626 \rightarrow 00:11:55.586$  channel where he's going to be a star.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:11:55.590 --> 00:11:57.582 Hasn't quite figured out what he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:57.582 \dashrightarrow 00:12:00.309$ gonna do on his YouTube channel yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}12{:}00{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}285$  He likes legos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:01.285 --> 00:12:02.910 He likes racing Matchbox cars

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}12{:}02{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05{.}029$  watching and playing Minecraft videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:05.030 \rightarrow 00:12:07.862$  He likes cooking that can be really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:07.862 \rightarrow 00:12:10.080$  of disastrous thing if unsupervised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:10.080 --> 00:12:11.680 And he likes unboxing present,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748
- $00:12:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.240$  so I think if he had his say,

 $00:12:14.240 \rightarrow 00:12:17.032$  and what is YouTube channel would be he

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:17.032 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.990$  would unbox presents that someone gave them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:19.990 \rightarrow 00:12:23.483$  Another thing to say about Sam and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:23.483 \rightarrow 00:12:27.009$  Paul is that they're Twins and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:27.010 --> 00:12:33.460 And. And they were actually born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:33.460 --> 00:12:34.687 December 13th, 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:34.687 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.550$  And this is actually a picture of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}12{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.360$  when the boys were in Phyllis Bodel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}12{:}40{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}719$  so when they were in kindergarten here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:42.719 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.640$  and had a wonderful experience here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:45.640 --> 00:12:47.715 But Paul's experience in kindergarten

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:47.715 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.246$  at Bodel was at least initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:50.246 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.538$  quite rocky for him when he,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:52.540 \rightarrow 00:12:54.976$  when he started out kindergarten here,

 $00:12:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.292$  he kind of not really stay on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:58.292 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.979$  rug in class and he and he not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:13:01.979 - 00:13:05.856 happy to go in every day and he said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.121$  You know the this is much harder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}13{:}08{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}268$  for me than the other kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}13{:}10.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.974$  The other kids are are smarter than me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}13{:}12{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}172$  They are able to do things I can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:15.172 \rightarrow 00:13:18.319$  and he said this when he was starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:13:18.319 --> 00:13:18.740 kindergarten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:13:18.740 --> 00:13:21.120 Ann and I think this was probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.673$  an accurate perception of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:13:22.673 --> 00:13:25.160 So, uh, this initial kindergarten experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}13{:}25{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28{.}310$  If Odell, that he was behind the other kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}056$  So one important thing to know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:33.056 \rightarrow 00:13:35.280$  kindergarten in school in Connecticut is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.038$  that it has a January 1st cut off date.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402
- $00:13:39.038 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.730$  So all the kids that are born.

 $00:13:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.752$  Set the cutoff for going into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:13:44.752 --> 00:13:47.059 the next rate is January 1st,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:47.060 \rightarrow 00:13:49.590$  so we actually did a meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}13{:}49{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}319$  Looking at whether this sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.704$  Being behind in kindergarten,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:13:54.704 --> 00:13:56.780 I was very interested in how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:56.841 \rightarrow 00:13:58.749$  this affected kids academically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}233$  'cause I was very interested for my own kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}350$  but also that just the effect was so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:05.350 --> 00:14:07.835 obviously large in in the Twins lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}07{.}835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11{.}168$  and so we actually did a meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:11.170 --> 00:14:14.660 Jose, Victor and I and Adam and a bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.432$  of other people looking at 14 studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:17.432 --> 00:14:19.970 that looked at the Association between

00:14:19.970 - 00:14:23.450 birth date and and diagnosis of 80 HD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:23.450 --> 00:14:25.796 The studies involved over 3,000,000 children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:25.796 --> 00:14:27.360 involving nine different countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}27{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30{.}624$  and we stratified the studies based on when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34{.}004$  the cut off for school was in the area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.232$  So this is a graph looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:37.232 --> 00:14:39.776 odds of being diagnosed or treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}39{.}776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}100$  for 80 HD as a function of when your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}43.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.753$  birth month was and this was for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:45.753 --> 00:14:48.454 studies that had a January 1st cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:48.454 \rightarrow 00:14:51.237$  off like Connecticut and you can see NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:51.237 \rightarrow 00:14:53.942$  that the lowest odds ratio occurs in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}53{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}493$  For the kids born in January and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}56{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}104$  there's a fairly steady increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:58.104 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.267$  up until the end of the year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}370$  And with the largest odds ratio being

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402
- 00:15:02.370 --> 00:15:04.479 in October, November and December.

 $00{:}15{:}04.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.497$  If you look separately at schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}344$  that locations which had a September

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}15{:}10.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.297$  1st cut off for an end of August cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:15:14.297 \rightarrow 00:15:17.540$  off for for going into kindergarten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}15{:}17{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20{.}406$  You saw a a different relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:15:20.406 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.320$  with birthday that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00:15:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.660$  highest the highest rate of diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}010$  diagnosis and treatment for ADHD was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00:15:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.400$  July and August and lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00:15:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.268$  was right after the school cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00{:}15{:}34{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}900$  off in September and October. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}37{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41{.}340$  if you overlay the two time periods and put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}41{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45{.}158$  the cut off in a common place, you get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47{.}085$  fairly similar trends where kids

 $00{:}15{:}47.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.742$  are at much lower risk when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:15:49.742 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.950$  are relatively old for their grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:15:51.950 --> 00:15:54.670 and are at a much higher risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}385$  getting diagnosed for ADHD if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}673$  young for their their school age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}59{.}673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02{.}978$  And this is in another way of looking NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:02.978 \rightarrow 00:16:06.250$  at a comparing the odds of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.725$  diagnosed or treated for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}16{:}08{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11{.}418$  In the 120 days before the school

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:16:11.418 --> 00:16:14.125 cut off versus 120 days after the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:16:14.125 --> 00:16:16.832 school cut off and at least your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:16:16.832 --> 00:16:19.328 odds of being diagnosed or treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:16:19.328 --> 00:16:21.708 for ADHD was about 40% higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}16{:}21.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.930$  If you were born right before the school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}16{:}24{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28{.}346$  cut off as opposed to afterwards an you NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:28.346 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.386$  can actually take this data and look

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:16:31.386 \rightarrow 00:16:34.211$  at changing when the actual cut off
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- 00:16:34.211 -> 00:16:37.206 time is and you see that if you only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:16:37.206 \rightarrow 00:16:40.614$  look at the 30 days before and after.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:16:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.830$  So the school cut off.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- 00:16:42.830 --> 00:16:46.437 The kids are at about a 50% increased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}16{:}46{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50{.}056$ risk of being diagnosed and or treated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:16:50.056 \rightarrow 00:16:54.166$  for ADHD if they are born in the month
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:16:54.166 \rightarrow 00:16:57.389$  before the school cut off as opposed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}16{:}57{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}161$  to the month after this welcome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:17:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.914$  So it has a pretty profound effect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:17:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.688$  Anne Anne this really?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}17{:}05.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.545$  Has a really profound implications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:17:07.545 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.400$  for a number of things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}17{:}09{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11{.}619$  So the first thing is the bottom
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:17:11.619 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.586$  line is that the month of birth is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:14.586 \rightarrow 00:17:17.000$  strongly associated with the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}17{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}418$  being diagnosed and treated for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}19{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21{.}520$  It's related to the school entrance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.869$  cut off date for the location.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}23.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.994$  It seems like the effect decreases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}25{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28{.}257$  with increasing age and the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:28.257 --> 00:17:29.430 is quite substantial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.831$  Really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:29.831 --> 00:17:32.237 A 50% increased risk of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:32.237 --> 00:17:34.283 born in December in Connecticut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:34.283 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.569$  as of four supposed to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}36{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39{.}447$  Being born in January and this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}39{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42{.}050$  probably has pretty important impacts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}42.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.780$  especially for studies in early childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:44.780 --> 00:17:48.226 that look at ADHD risk that it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:48.226 --> 00:17:51.726 only your risk of ADHD compared to your

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- 00:17:51.726 --> 00:17:53.938 actual Chronicle chronological age,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:17:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.790$  but it's probably equally or more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- 00:17:56.790 --> 00:17:59.633 important the your risk of ADHD
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}17{:}59{.}633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02{.}615$  compared to what your expected age is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:18:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.148$  what your grade in school is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}18{:}06{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08{.}106$  It also has implications for both
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}18{:}08{.}106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10{.}060$  public policy in early education.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- 00:18:10.060 -> 00:18:11.476 I mean with Paul,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- 00:18:11.476 --> 00:18:12.538 he's doing great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- 00:18:12.540 --> 00:18:15.735 He's now eight years old in the second grade,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}18{:}15{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17{.}510$  which probably gave away what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:18:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.926$  we did with Paul,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}18{:}18{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}754$  which is we had him repeat kid in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00{:}18{:}21.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.259$  kindergarten when he went into spring Glen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382
- $00:18:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.390$  but but this has a significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:18:26.390 --> 00:18:27.100 financial implications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}27{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29{.}128$  and we're we're we're quite privileged

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}29{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31{.}284$  to have the economic ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:31.284 \rightarrow 00:18:33.129$  have our kids repeat kindergarten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:18:33.130 --> 00:18:35.776 My estimate when we were doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:18:35.776  $-\!>$  00:18:37.657 finances for making this decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40{.}345$  was it was going to cost us about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.695$  \$32,000 for the year to hold the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}42.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.380$  Twins back a year in school for the NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

NOTE Confidence. 0.8045582

 $00{:}18{:}45{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}889$  both of them so that most families

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}47{.}889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50{.}787$  don't have \$32,000 to spend on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}50.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52.812$  And I think that really made

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}52{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55{.}110$  me think a lot about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.695$  Moving on to the assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}02.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.795$  and treatment of ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:04.800 \dashrightarrow 00:19:07.688$  We're treating kids with ADHD in the clinic.

 $00:19:07.690 \rightarrow 00:19:10.218$  I think the one thing that I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:19:10.218 --> 00:19:12.698 want people to take home is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}12.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.962$  importance of using rating scales that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:14.962 \rightarrow 00:19:17.440$  rating scales given to the caregivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}17{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19{.}690$  and the teachers are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}19{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21{.}599$  sensitive to change than just

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:19:21.599 --> 00:19:23.930 sort of asking how kids are doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}23{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26{.}711$  And I think people a lot of times in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:19:26.711 --> 00:19:29.589 judging improvement in 80 HD don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:29.589 \rightarrow 00:19:32.239$  recognize how much better kids can get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}32.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.767$  And it's not just having them be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}34.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.320$  significantly improved, its to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.980$  The goal should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}464$  Permission and the nice thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}40.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.974$  these rating scales for ADHD is

 $00:19:42.974 \rightarrow 00:19:44.929$  that they are freely available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}44{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48{.}010$  so I'm I'm a big fan of the ADHD rating

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:48.096 \rightarrow 00:19:50.610$  scale for which is publicly available

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:50.610 \rightarrow 00:19:53.817$  on lines and 18 question survey given

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:53.817 \rightarrow 00:19:57.191$  to parents or teachers that scores ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:57.200 \rightarrow 00:20:00.196$  symptoms from never happening to very off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:20:00.200 --> 00:20:00.623 Thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:00.623 \rightarrow 00:20:02.738$  and it's freely available online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}02{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05{.}290$  Here's a web link to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}05{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}100$  Ascentia Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}06{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}379$  The kids that come in for ADHD in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.492$  This is what they give them or similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}13.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.564$  things like this snap or the Vanderbilt

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:16.564 \rightarrow 00:20:19.285$  in terms of treating families with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}19.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.817$  children with ADHD in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:21.820 \rightarrow 00:20:22.271$  Really,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345
- $00:20:22.271 \rightarrow 00:20:24.526$  Psychoeducation is the first things

 $00{:}20{:}24.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.840$  involved in treating these kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.030$  racking just helping them recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.782$  the important symptoms and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:30.790 \rightarrow 00:20:32.149$  Cognitive common impairments

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:32.149 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.508$  associated with ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:33.510 \rightarrow 00:20:36.240$  Obviously the typical stuff like inattention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:20:36.240 --> 00:20:36.693 hyperactivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:36.693 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.988$  and impulsive ITI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:37.988 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.704$  but the other things that are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}40.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.150$  important to talk about with families is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}44.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.226$  just the organizational difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}46{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49{.}734$  Many of these kids have also the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}578$  comorbidities that are associated with ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54{.}396$  You could call them

00:20:54.396 --> 00:20:55.758 oppositional defiant disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56{.}816$  conduct disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}56.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.040$  but I would say that there it's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}01{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03{.}217$  Kind of the main problems are aggression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.150$  irritability and emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}04{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06{.}140$  abilities is sort of, if we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:06.140 --> 00:21:07.890 If we're going to get into common

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:07.946 --> 00:21:09.434 language and just understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}09{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}294$  these things and treating them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.166$  the other thing really to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:13.166 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.630$  about with families, just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:14.630 \rightarrow 00:21:18.340$  Will talk about the people very often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}18{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21{.}772$  Focus on the risks of what the medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:21.772 --> 00:21:24.818 are on the treatments for ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}24.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.580$  but I think it's also important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.379$  to recognize what the risks are.

 $00:21:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.828$  Not treating ADHD properly and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:32.828 --> 00:21:35.077 ADHD is associated with significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:35.077 --> 00:21:37.787 impairment impairment in in school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:37.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.176$  poor school performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:39.176 --> 00:21:41.486 increased risk of dot dropout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.879$  and and suspension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:42.879 --> 00:21:45.194 It's associated with social impairments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:45.200 \rightarrow 00:21:46.925$  difficulties with friendships

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}46{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48{.}650$  and recreational activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}48.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.875$  It's associated with the problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}50.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.655$  went in familial relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}52.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.770$  so also associated with a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}55{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57{.}996$  safety issues that so children

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}920$  with ADHD and and going on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}950$  adulthood with 88 fear associated

 $00:22:03.030 \rightarrow 00:22:05.560$  with increased risk of accidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:22:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.092$  Whether it's physical accidents in childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:22:08.092 --> 00:22:10.460 or traffic accidents and adulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:22:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.236$  increased risk of substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:22:12.236 --> 00:22:14.456 abuse and other risky behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}22{:}14.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.130$  most of these things actually improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}22{:}17.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18.910$  significantly with successful treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}21{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}695$  Behavioral treatments are also important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}23.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.130$  that children with ADHD establishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:26.202 \rightarrow 00:22:27.636$  clearer routines encourageing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}27.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.504$  structure in their daily set schedule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:30.510 \rightarrow 00:22:31.971$  setting, clear expectations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:31.971 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.893$  possibly setting up a reward system

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:34.893 --> 00:22:37.334 for good behavior, avoiding harsh

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}37{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39{.}769$  punishment as much as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:39.770 \rightarrow 00:22:41.228$  promoting exercise, sleep,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516
- 00:22:41.228 --> 00:22:42.686 hygiene, good nutrition,

 $00{:}22{:}42.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.476$  and then promoting things to strengthen

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:46.476 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.000$  the parent child relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:49.000 \rightarrow 00:22:51.745$  There are also a lot of things you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:51.745 - 00:22:54.575 do in school to help kids with ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}54{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56{.}434$  so there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:56.434 \rightarrow 00:22:58.180$  things listed on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:58.180 \rightarrow 00:22:59.800$  but essentially having the kids sit

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}59{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02{.}148$  in a place in the classroom where

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:23:02.148 --> 00:23:03.756 they're free from distractions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:03.760 \rightarrow 00:23:05.435$  breaking up the big assignments

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}05{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07{.}481$  into smaller pieces and then also

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:07.481 \rightarrow 00:23:09.016$  writing down in organizing things

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}09{.}016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10{.}980$  for kids as much as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}10{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13{.}269$  and then probably the last thing again,

 $00:23:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.556$  is having a reward system in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}15{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18{.}000$  school with a behavioral plan that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:18.000 \rightarrow 00:23:20.105$  praises them for good behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}20{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24{.}190$  So when looking at the other six commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:24.190 \rightarrow 00:23:27.400$  used treatment for ADHD is medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.210$  so I really if you look at all the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:23:32.342 --> 00:23:36.647 NIH clinical trials in psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:23:36.650 --> 00:23:37.101 MTA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}807$  so the multimodal treatment study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}39{.}807 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43{.}046$  ADHD was the first one that was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}43.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.369$  and I think was the one that got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:46.369 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.956$  lot of the trials funded for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:48.956 \rightarrow 00:23:51.086$  disorders looking at practical clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:51.086 \rightarrow 00:23:53.679$  trials about treatment and the MTA study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:53.680 \rightarrow 00:23:55.660$  The design was quite simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:55.660 \rightarrow 00:23:58.369$  involved 580 kids 7 to 10 years

 $00:23:58.369 \rightarrow 00:24:00.410$  old with combined type ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.937$  They were randomized to 14 months so

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:02.937 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.159$  it's incredibly long randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.880$  They were either randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.170$  to medication management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}810$  Behavioral treatment in this behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:24:09.810 --> 00:24:11.450 treatment arm was really probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}11{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12{.}876$  behavioral treatment on steroids

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:24:12.876 --> 00:24:15.650 compared to what we what the best thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}15.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.589$  I can possibly offer a kid in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:24:18.590 --> 00:24:20.455 35 sessions of parent management

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}20{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22{.}719$  training an 8 week child focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:24:22.719 --> 00:24:25.050 summer camp in ADHD where the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}25.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.518$  would go if they were in the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}27{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29{.}666$  and then there was a school based

 $00:24:29.666 \rightarrow 00:24:31.326$  intervention where they work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}31{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}030$  the teachers in the profession.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:24:33.030 --> 00:24:33.394 Paraprofessional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}33{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35{.}578$  the same counselors kind of did

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:35.578 \rightarrow 00:24:37.399$  all these treatments in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}495$  You had the combination treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:39.495 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.590$  of both the medication management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.660$  And the behavioral therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}43.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.730$  then 'cause they couldn't use

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.940$  place bo controls for 14 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50{.}598$  They had a community care condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:50.598 \rightarrow 00:24:52.806$  where patients were randomized to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:52.806 \rightarrow 00:24:54.978$  treatment in the community where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:54.978 \rightarrow 00:24:58.089$  would most of the patients got medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:58.090 \rightarrow 00:25:00.970$  Actually similar medications to the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:00.970 \rightarrow 00:25:04.569$  used in the medication management condition.

 $00:25:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.750$  And the primary result of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.930$  the clinical trial was this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.310$  essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12{.}350$  what mattered in MTA over the 14 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:12.350 \rightarrow 00:25:15.710$  of treatment was whether you were in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}15.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.646$  the medication management condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}17.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.655$  So the medication management condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}19.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.660$  and the combined Freeman condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:21.724 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.320$  did statistically equivalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.540$  which was significantly better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}25{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28{.}216$  the behavioral treatment alone or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}28.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.735$  Community care for core ADHD symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}30{.}735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32{.}960$  And it's important to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}32{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34{.}740$  that the medication management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}34{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36{.}585$  That the combined treatment so

 $00:25:36.585 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.430$  that the addition of behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:38.492 \rightarrow 00:25:40.344$  therapy didn't significantly improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}40{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42{.}659$  outcome to the medications alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.026$  at least in the core ADHD symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:45.026 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.139$  it did for some of the comorbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:25:48.139 --> 00:25:50.163 behavioral disorders and anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}25{:}50{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}672$  but there was no St statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:25:52.672 \rightarrow 00:25:53.506$  significance there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}450$  So the bottom line is that medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}25{:}56{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}855$  are even over a fairly long period of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02{.}430$  time are the most effective treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:02.430 \rightarrow 00:26:05.878$  we have for the core symptoms of ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:26:05.880 --> 00:26:08.736 And we really in terms of psychopharmacology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}26{:}08.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.610$  we really have two types of medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.426$  methylphenidate derivatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:12.426 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.650$  and amphetamine derivatives,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557
- $00:26:13.650 \rightarrow 00:26:15.262$  to the psychostimulant medications.

 $00{:}26{:}15{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17{.}277$  And there is a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:26:17.277 --> 00:26:18.970 variety of medications now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.424$  but they all essentially work on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:21.424 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.060$  these two active ingredients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}26{:}23.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.682$  Just the pharmacokinetics of the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:26:25.682 --> 00:26:29.233 of times you need to take him a day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:29.233 \rightarrow 00:26:31.650$  when they're in your system differs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.690$  And then there are none.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:26:33.690 --> 00:26:34.570 Psychostimulant medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:26:34.570 --> 00:26:35.890 like atomoxetine bup ropion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:26:35.890 --> 00:26:39.100 A2 agonist like 115 in funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.935$  An if you look at the efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}26{:}41{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43{.}155$  of ADHD medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:43.155 \rightarrow 00:26:45.180$  really the message is quite

00:26:45.180 --> 00:26:48.420 simple so the so this is a network

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}26{:}48{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50{.}850$  meta analysis that looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}26{:}50{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}466$  comparative efficacy of treatments NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55{.}299$  and the bottom line was that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}26{:}55{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56{.}920$  stimulants worked much better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}26{:}56{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}162$  so this is looking at response rates that NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:27:00.162 \rightarrow 00:27:02.586$  the response rates compared to place bo NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:27:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.620$  were much higher for stimulants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}27{:}04{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05{.}757$  for methylphenidate amphetamine NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}27{:}05{.}757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08{.}031$  derivatives compared to any of the NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}27{:}08{.}031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}880$  non stimulant ADHD medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

00:27:09.880 --> 00:27:13.373 So the. So the response rate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

00:27:13.373 --> 00:27:16.536 about 40 to 50% worse for non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}27{:}16.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.200$  stimulant medications compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}27{:}18.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.864$  stimulant medications for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.246$  It's also important to say that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395
- $00:27:24.246 \rightarrow 00:27:25.900$  the stimulants work much faster,

 $00:27:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.884$  so you can see the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:27.884 \rightarrow 00:27:29.539$  of stimulants within a week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:29.540 \rightarrow 00:27:32.529$  whereas most of the non stimulant ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:32.529 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.123$  medications take a couple months before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:35.123 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.007$  you see the full efficacy of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.258$  So then the next thing we looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:41.260 \rightarrow 00:27:44.800$  and this was done with Jose and Victor again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}27{:}44.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.138$  and also a now a PhD student at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}27{:}48.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.850$  time of Louisa Medical student from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.374$  Brazil looking at does dosing affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:27:54.374 --> 00:27:57.506 efficacies of stimulants for childhood ADHD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}27{:}57{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}598$  And I'm going to talk about a girl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:01.600 --> 00:28:03.736 I will call her Rachel rub.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:03.740 \rightarrow 00:28:06.225$  This is not will use Rachel loosely.

 $00:28:06.230 \rightarrow 00:28:08.722$  So Rachel when she presented to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:08.722 --> 00:28:12.207 clinic was a 9 year old girl who was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:12.207 --> 00:28:14.769 3rd grade carrying a diagnosis of ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.255$  She was actually referred to the thread,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:17.260 --> 00:28:19.396 so seedy clinic 'cause she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:19.396 --> 00:28:20.820 some skin picking symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}20{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23{.}259$  But the big issues was she was at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}23{.}259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}647$  two grades behind for math and reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}25.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.960$  and she was getting getting frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}27.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.612$  in trouble for school for issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:30.612 \rightarrow 00:28:32.646$  with hyperactivity and impulsive ITI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:32.646 --> 00:28:35.320 And when I met her for initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:35.396 \rightarrow 00:28:36.818$  for the evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.955$  this is back in the time where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}38{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}069$  we actually saw people in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:41.070 - 00:28:42.846 She really couldn't even sit for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395
- $00:28:42.846 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.670$  half the 60 minute interview.

 $00{:}28{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.730$  I plan to do with the family and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:47.730 --> 00:28:49.568 was on 10 milligrams of Adderall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:49.570 - 00:28:51.206 and she was eventually referred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52{.}841$  Because was the Adderall making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:52.841 \rightarrow 00:28:54.149$  the skin picking worse?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.240$  That was a fairly similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.930$  People question to the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}58{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}275$  The first answer is probably their case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}29{:}01{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03{.}248$  Report level data that the stimulants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:03.248 \rightarrow 00:29:05.329$  can be associated with skin picking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}29{:}05{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}346$  but there isn't any data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}29{:}07{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09{.}710$  controlled studies, and even if it was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:29:09.710 --> 00:29:11.390 making the skin picking worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}29{:}11{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13{.}436$  the issues in fool falling behind

 $00:29:13.436 \rightarrow 00:29:15.586$  in the behavioral issues were much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:15.586 \rightarrow 00:29:17.740$  more significant and so the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:17.740 \rightarrow 00:29:19.559$  clinical question is are higher doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}29{:}19.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.816$  of stimulants more effective for ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:21.816 \rightarrow 00:29:26.500$  and would they affect the care of this child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}29{:}26.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.688$  So the thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:27.690 --> 00:29:30.078 I didn't talk about in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:30.080 --> 00:29:32.068 MTA study when it's revisited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}29{:}32.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.456$  is why was the medication management

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}29{:}34.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.050$  condition more effective than

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.642$  the Community care condition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:37.642 --> 00:29:39.459 Actually, in this graph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:39.459 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.297$  we stratify the community care conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:42.297 \rightarrow 00:29:45.793$  by whether or not they were medicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:45.793 \rightarrow 00:29:48.240$  in the medicated Community care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:48.240 --> 00:29:49.844 Kids did significantly better

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764
- $00:29:49.844 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.448$  than the unmedicated ones,

 $00:29:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.335$  but they did significantly worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}29{:}53{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55{.}937$  than the kids in the medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:55.937 \rightarrow 00:29:57.860$  management condition and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:57.860 --> 00:29:59.060 These kids were started

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.260$  on the same medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.857$  So about 86% of them were on

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:02.857 \rightarrow 00:30:04.638$  methylphenidate and almost every other

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}30{:}04.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.789$  kid was on an amphetamine derivative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:30:07.790 --> 00:30:10.898 And the big difference was probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:10.898 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.281$  one thought to be one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:30:14.281 --> 00:30:17.250 that the kids in the in medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:30:17.250 --> 00:30:19.143 management condition on average

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:19.143 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.036$  received most of methylphenidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}30{:}21.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.350$  That was about 40% higher than those

 $00:30:24.350 \rightarrow 00:30:26.710$  in the Community care condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84771985

00:30:26.710 --> 00:30:29.552 It was 37.1 milligrams per day

NOTE Confidence: 0.84771985

 $00:30:29.552 \rightarrow 00:30:31.440$  of short acting methylphenidate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84771985

 $00:30:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.548$  versus a little under 23. So we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:36.550 \rightarrow 00:30:40.510$  looked at this in a large meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.960$  so we took all randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00{:}30{:}42.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.920$  place bo controlled studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

00:30:44.920 --> 00:30:46.945 stimulants for childhood ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

00:30:46.945 --> 00:30:48.922 25 studies involving 70

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00{:}30{:}48{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51{.}890$  treatment arms over 5000 kids. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.860$  excluded trials that wouldn't really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:54.860 \rightarrow 00:30:55.850$  clinically relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00{:}30{:}55{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58{.}326$  Crossover trials trials which had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:58.326 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.310$  the participants selected for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00{:}31{:}00{.}310$ --> $00{:}31{:}02{.}780$  a particular dose of methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:31:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.570$  or doing well on stimulants.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669
- $00:31:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.920$  The median length of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669
- $00:31:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.528$  trial was four weeks and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8671204
- $00:31:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.870$  really looked at two things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00:31:11.530 \rightarrow 00:31:13.492$  Wait, what was the dose response
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- 00:31:13.492 --> 00:31:16.340 relationship in in 80 HD medications in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00{:}31{:}16{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18{.}795$  general and then versus methylphenidate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00{:}31{:}18.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.568$  amphetamine derivatives and also the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00{:}31{:}20{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22{.}534$  differences in fixed inflexible dose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00{:}31{:}22{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25{.}283$  trials and just so people get the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00:31:25.283 \rightarrow 00:31:27.248$  difference between fixed those trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00:31:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.405$  inflexible those trials.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- 00:31:28.405 --> 00:31:31.100 A fixed dose trial is a trial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00{:}31{:}31{.}181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.827$  where the patient is assigned to a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- 00:31:33.827 --> 00:31:35.850 particular dose of the medication
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692
- $00{:}31{:}35{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}649$  and they can either take that meta
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:38.650 \rightarrow 00:31:41.441$  dose of the medicine or drop out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

00:31:41.441 - 00:31:43.280 So they they have side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.990$  they still have to stay on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.382$  that dose of the medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:46.382 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.712$  whereas in a flexible dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00{:}31{:}47{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49{.}769$  trial you can adjust the dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:49.769 \dashrightarrow 00:31:51.274$  medications related to side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:31:51.280 \rightarrow 00:31:53.289$  So if you're on a particular dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:31:53.290 --> 00:31:54.720 of stimulants, inflexible dose trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}31{:}54{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56{.}715$  you could go down on the dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:31:56.720 \dashrightarrow 00:31:59.006$  whereas in if you were fixed those trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:31:59.006 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.436$  you could either continue on

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.870$  that dose or drop out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.010$  That's the big difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}03.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.540$  between the two trial designs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:05.540 --> 00:32:08.156 And if you're looking at efficacy,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.791183
- 00:32:08.160 --> 00:32:10.350 the improvement in ADHD symptoms,

00:32:10.350 --> 00:32:12.535 the first important point is

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:12.535 - 00:32:14.720 if you look at medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.905$  Overall, as you increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}16{.}905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19{.}090$  dose of of stimulant medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:19.090 \dashrightarrow 00:32:22.510$  and so these are in methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}22{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25{.}380$  equivalents and a basic ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.520$  Generally the Adderall derivatives have

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:27.520 \dashrightarrow 00:32:29.660$  twice the potency of methylphenidate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}29.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.934$  so 60 milligrams of methylphenidate people

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:31.934 \rightarrow 00:32:34.799$  to 30 milligrams of Adderall derivatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.227$  Essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}35{.}227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38{.}216$  there was a overall in the studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}38{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40{.}674$  You saw a fairly substantial benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}40.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.360$  of increasing the dose of stimulants.

 $00:32:43.360 \rightarrow 00:32:45.500$  Really throughout the dose range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:45.500 --> 00:32:48.056 but particularly up to 30 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}48.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50.628$  And when you looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:50.628 --> 00:32:52.340 flicks fixed versus flexible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.244$  those studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:53.244 \dashrightarrow 00:32:56.860$  if you looked at the fixed those studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}56{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59{.}225$  Where children had to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:59.225 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.590$  the dose they were assigned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:33:01.590 --> 00:33:04.152 It seemed like the dose response

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:04.152 \rightarrow 00:33:06.790$  relationship was was fairly substantial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}06{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10{.}718$  up to about 20 or 30 milliequivalents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:10.718 \dashrightarrow 00:33:15.418$  then really leveled off at a dose after

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}15{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17{.}830$ 3030 milligram milliliter equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.504$  So essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}18{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21{.}200$  if you were on a dose of methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:21.264 \rightarrow 00:33:22.889$  up and you were increasing,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.791183
- $00{:}33{:}22{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24{.}570$  it is generally always made.

 $00{:}33{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.586$  It sends up to 30 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.571$  If you could adjust the dose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}28{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31{.}099$  if you went higher on the dose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:31.099 \dashrightarrow 00:33:33.632$  you couldn't have just said it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}33{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}348$  a relatively neutral proposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.270$  Whereas if you look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}37{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}060$  flexible dose studies in orange,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}39{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}740$  there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:39.740 \rightarrow 00:33:42.085$  a fairly linear relationship between the dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:42.090 \dashrightarrow 00:33:44.118$  and the efficacy of the medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00{:}33{:}44{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46{.}521$  That is even going up to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:46.521 \rightarrow 00:33:48.150$  higher doses were better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

00:33:48.150 - 00:33:50.250 Uh, when you were able to adjust

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:50.250 \rightarrow 00:33:52.518$  the dose down due to tolerability?

 $00{:}33{:}55{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58{.}320$  In terms of side effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}33{:}58{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01{.}089$  dropouts, not surprisingly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.260$  There you are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.210$  Higher rates of side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.778$  dropouts with psychostimulant medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}05{.}778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}500$  As you got to a higher dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10{.}450$  the effects were great greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}10{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13{.}570$  so the dropouts due to side effects were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

00:34:13.570 --> 00:34:16.300 hiring the fix those studies is compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}16{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20{.}140$  to the flexibel those studies and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}20{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22{.}724$  And the and the risk of side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}22.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.809$  and the relationship between dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}24{.}809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27{.}994$  and dropouts to the side effects was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}28.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.878$  fairly similar between methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}30{.}878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32{.}984$  and amphetamine derivatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

00:34:32.990 --> 00:34:35.468 And if you looked at acceptability

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287
- $00:34:35.468 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.120$  across all the studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287
- $00:34:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.532$  the the likelihood of all cause
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287
- $00:34:39.532 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.560$  dropouts of people leaving the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287
- $00:34:41.560 \rightarrow 00:34:43.636$  study was actually lower the higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287
- 00:34:43.636 --> 00:34:46.209 you got on stimulant medication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287
- 00:34:46.210 --> 00:34:48.125 So subjects were less likely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287
- $00:34:48.125 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.750$  to drop out of these studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287
- $00{:}34{:}50.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51.989$  The higher dose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8634161
- $00:34:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.226$  of stimulant medications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8634161
- $00:34:53.226 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.370$  you put them on, and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886
- 00:34:56.370 --> 00:34:57.711 And not surprisingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886
- $00{:}34{:}57{.}711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}402$  this was a greater effect, inflexible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886
- $00:35:00.402 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.662$  Those studies where you could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886
- $00{:}35{:}02.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.971$  decrease the dose of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886
- $00{:}35{:}04{.}971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07{.}096$  medication due to side effects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.728$  And again, there was not much

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00{:}35{:}09{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.042$  difference between methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:11.042 \dashrightarrow 00:35:12.447$  and amphetamine derivatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:12.447 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.700$  in terms of these outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.300$  So the bottom line is, well, when

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}20{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22{.}972$  you can pause or just a dose of NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}22.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.146$  stimulants to the side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:25.146 \rightarrow 00:35:27.516$  similar to flexible dosing trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}27{.}520$  -->  $00{:}35{:}29{.}728$  and almost always makes sense to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}29{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}700$  try at least try titrating up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

00:35:32.700 --> 00:35:35.538 higher doses of stimulants that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

00:35:35.538 --> 00:35:38.020 associated with the greater treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}38.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.906$  efficacy and its associated with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

00:35:40.910 --> 00:35:41.718 Actually, greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:41.718 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.330$  better acceptability among patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.936$  and medications work better,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555
- $00:35:44.936 \rightarrow 00:35:47.354$  and this outweighs any side effects.

 $00:35:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.773$  They have an when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:49.773 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.788$  side effects in these trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.398$  either clinically or in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:53.398 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.604$  actual clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}54{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}530$  you can quickly adjust the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}56{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59{.}371$  dose down so it so it leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:59.371 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.867$  to less dropouts and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00{:}36{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.254$  Again, really backs up the findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

00:36:07.254 --> 00:36:10.584 of the original MTA study, and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00:36:10.584 \rightarrow 00:36:12.624$  think it's really important clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

00:36:12.630 --> 00:36:16.311 so I put a graph up from actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00{:}36{:}16{.}311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18{.}766$  article that was published in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00{:}36{:}18.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.806$  Orange Journal this past month,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00{:}36{:}20{.}810$  -->  $00{:}36{:}24{.}032$  and this was a study that looked at treating NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

- $00:36:24.032 \rightarrow 00:36:26.950$  kids with ADHD and comorbid aggression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655
- $00:36:26.950 \rightarrow 00:36:30.220$  and essentially kids were put in this study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655
- $00:36:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.848$  If they had both,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655
- $00:36:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.900$  significant if they had qualified
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86038384
- $00{:}36{:}33{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}200$  for diagnosis of ADHD. And
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572
- 00:36:36.200 --> 00:36:38.426 then had a significant aggressive symptoms,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572
- $00:36:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.020$  as judged by a threshold an aggression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572
- $00:36:41.020 \rightarrow 00:36:44.338$  rating skill and all the kids were.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572
- $00{:}36{:}44{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46{.}100$  Initially optimized on stimulant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572
- $00:36:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.730$  medication so they were put on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572
- $00:36:48.730 \rightarrow 00:36:51.358$  stimulant medication and then if they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742
- $00{:}36{:}51{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54{.}000$  did not respond to stimulant medication
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742
- $00:36:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.190$  then they were randomized to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742
- 00:36:56.190 --> 00:36:58.390 receive either Depa Co Risperdal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742
- $00:36:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.450$  and placebo and they had about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742
- $00:37:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.318$  150 kids that started

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026
- $00:37:04.320 \rightarrow 00:37:08.056$  this study and 63% of them when the

 $00{:}37{:}08.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.858$  dose of the stimulant was optimized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.126$  for ADHD no longer met the aggression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:14.126 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.928$  criteria of being in the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:16.928 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.263$  So essentially it seems like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:19.263 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.640$  Risperdal and Deppe coat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.090$  Seem like they were a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.466$  little better than placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:25.466 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.842$  though not statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:26.842 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.468$  'cause they lost most of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:28.468 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.573$  sample in the open phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00{:}37{:}31.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.202$  But most of the kids who were really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:35.202 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.868$  being enrolled in this trial for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00{:}37{:}37{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40{.}090$  aggression, who had comorbid ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00{:}37{:}40.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41.407$  symptoms actually optimizing

 $00:37:41.407 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.602$  the stimulant led to substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00{:}37{:}43.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.409$  improvement in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.522$  An really, at least as a clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:48.522 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.299$  makes me wonder how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.520$  many kids are created with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00{:}37{:}52{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54{.}740$  this load open the stimulant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.955$  plus Risperdal or Deppe code

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:56.955 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.176$  and and whether we should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

00:37:59.176 --> 00:38:01.400 We should really be optimizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00{:}38{:}01{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03{.}619$  the dose of stimulants first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80419576

 $00{:}38{:}05{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10{.}016$  So Rachel's story continued. So Rachel's roll

NOTE Confidence: 0.80419576

 $00:38:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.210$  call her was. Was increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8467837

 $00{:}38{:}14{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16{.}400$  to a dose of Concerta. 54

NOTE Confidence: 0.8467837

00:38:16.400 --> 00:38:19.280 milligrams in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8467837

 $00{:}38{:}19{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21{.}289$  We switched her from Adderall to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

 $00:38:21.290 \rightarrow 00:38:22.630$  Concerta, just 'cause the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194
- $00:38:22.630 \rightarrow 00:38:25.370$  pharmacokinetics made more sense.

 $00{:}38{:}25{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27{.}510$  Is now advancing school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

 $00:38:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.655$  She's on grade levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

00:38:29.655 --> 00:38:32.859 She's excelling in school made honor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

 $00:38:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.000$  roll, receiving excellent behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8067805

00:38:35.000 --> 00:38:38.215 out valuations from school or ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8067805

 $00:38:38.215 \rightarrow 00:38:43.220$  Symptoms are now minimum minimal. The mom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}38{:}43{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45{.}578$  came in to see me last

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:38:45.580 --> 00:38:48.317 week. It wasn't last week was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}38{:}48{.}317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51{.}060$  couple weeks ago in the clinic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}38{:}51{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53{.}328$  and I see her every month just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}38{:}53{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55{.}739$  to kind of manage the medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}38{:}55{.}739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58{.}896$  and the real Rachel in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}38{:}58{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00{.}860$  Mom said since rate rachels

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:00.860 --> 00:39:02.820 ADHD is improved in school,

 $00:39:02.820 \rightarrow 00:39:05.564$  no one's pushing her like they should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}39{:}05{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07{.}850$  She's not being challenged and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:07.850 --> 00:39:10.660 letting her off easy on his assignments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:10.660 - 00:39:12.392 keeping in place educational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:12.392 \rightarrow 00:39:14.557$  Supports if they probably shouldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:14.560 --> 00:39:16.936 I hate to bring up race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.915$  but is she being treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:18.915 --> 00:39:20.495 differently because she's black?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:20.500 --> 00:39:24.084 So Rachel in real clinic life is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}39{:}24.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.218$  a black patient with ADHD and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.072$  This question really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}39{:}29{.}072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31{.}850$  of stopped me in my tracks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:31.850 \dashrightarrow 00:39:35.546$  'cause I think the answer is clearly yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:35.550 --> 00:39:38.715 It's quite possible she's being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}39{:}38{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41{.}247$  treated differently with her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:41.247 --> 00:39:44.720 ADHD in school and both in the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111
- $00:39:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.529$  clinic because of her her race.

 $00{:}39{:}47{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49{.}708$  And that's the basic clinical question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}39{:}49{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52{.}979$  and if you look at the literature on 80

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

00:39:52.980 --> 00:39:55.708 E, this was a study published in Pediatrics NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00{:}39{:}55{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57{.}592$  that involved in nationally represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:39:57.592 \rightarrow 00:40:00.336$  sample of over 17,000 kids with 88.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04{.}180$  The fall to 8th grade an looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.120$  outcomes were essentially diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00{:}40{:}06{.}201$  -->  $00{:}40{:}08{.}674$  or assessment for ADHD and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00{:}40{:}08.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.452$  they were taking medications or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00{:}40{:}11.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.686$  And if you were black or Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

00:40:14.690 --> 00:40:17.000 you are much less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.325$  to be diagnosed with ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00{:}40{:}19{.}325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23{.}310$  And if you looked among.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00{:}40{:}23.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.728$  Black and Hispanic children in school.

 $00{:}40{:}25.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.357$  The kids who did have ADHD at 5th grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

00:40:29.360 --> 00:40:32.180 were much less likely to be receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732185

 $00:40:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.940$  pharmacological treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732185

 $00:40:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.759$  for ADHD. So again, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.525$  the pharmacological treatment is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}40{:}38.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.293$  again the most effective treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:40:40.293 - > 00:40:42.403 we know about for ADHD symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:42.403 \rightarrow 00:40:45.228$  and it's clear it's quite a bit less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}40{:}45{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47{.}701$  I have also done some work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}40{:}47{.}701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49{.}818$  the past, I guess looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.940$  the MTA cohort. So again, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.700$  these were the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}40{:}53.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.528$  the kids with ADHD that were in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:40:56.528 --> 00:40:58.646 big NIH DOT study comparing behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:40:58.646 --> 00:41:00.758 treatments to stimulants over 14 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:41:00.760 \rightarrow 00:41:03.233$  and we looked at they actually filed

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581
- $00:41:03.233 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.820$  these kids up to adulthood now.

 $00{:}41{:}05{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09{.}070$  But we looked at the eight year follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}41{:}09{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12{.}194$  up data and looked at really did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:41:12.194 --> 00:41:14.292 bunch of analysis looking at data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}41{:}14{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16{.}200$  driven predictors of the likelihood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}41{:}16{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17{.}722$  of receiving school discipline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:41:17.722 --> 00:41:19.627 So being suspended or expelled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}41{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.670$  from school in the Co work and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.956$  in kids with ADHD predicts who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

00:41:24.960 --> 00:41:26.484 going to get suspended

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:26.484 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.008$  or expelled from school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

00:41:28.010 --> 00:41:31.055 And if you look at this cohort and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00{:}41{:}31.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.579$  essentially our main philosophies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

00:41:32.580 --> 00:41:35.151 in these data driven approaches is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00{:}41{:}35{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}536$  throw everything at the kitchens.

 $00:41:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.379$  Except the kitchen sink at them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.219$  and then see what comes out

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00:41:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.052$  as being important and the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00:41:46.052 \rightarrow 00:41:48.421$  predictor of in this cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00{:}41{:}48{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51{.}253$  So kids who actually receive the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00{:}41{:}51{.}253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53{.}619$  evidence base the similar pharma cological

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00{:}41{:}53.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.512$  treatments and behavioral treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

00:41:55.512 --> 00:41:58.830 for ADHD. If you identified his black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

00:41:58.830 --> 00:42:02.609 you were 62% more likely to have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00{:}42{:}02{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04{.}970$  received school discipline. So over

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00:42:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.500$  the eight year follow up, period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00{:}42{:}08{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10{.}980$  And and this is an Ann, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}10.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13.812$  think at the time when I did this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:13.812 \rightarrow 00:42:16.640$  when we publish this about five years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.412$  this was astonishing to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:18.412 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.892$  I will say it's not astonishing to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564
- $00{:}42{:}20.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.718$  me anymore, but it was a mazing to me.

 $00{:}42{:}23.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}25.916$  That raised was a better predictor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

00:42:25.916 --> 00:42:27.380 of receiving significant different

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}27{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}29{.}027$  discipline in school than your

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:29.030 \rightarrow 00:42:30.446$  initial response to medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

00:42:30.446 --> 00:42:32.570 How bad your ADHD symptoms were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:32.570 \rightarrow 00:42:35.583$  what your gender was, when you had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:35.583 \rightarrow 00:42:38.769$  whether you had any comorbid diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.799$  At initial baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:39.799 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.828$  So basically everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}40{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43{.}245$  I felt like I was trained to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}43.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.378$  look at as a psychiatrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}46{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49{.}530$  With less important than race and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}49{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}255$  looking at really school disciplines

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}52{.}255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55{.}435$  and outcomes and and then

 $00:42:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.130$  the other issue is our racial. The.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.476$  You are racial implicit associations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}43{:}04{.}476$  -->  $00{:}43{:}07{.}732$  are are how we treat patients of different NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:07.732 --> 00:43:10.805 races important in the in the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}43{:}10.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.645$  and treatment of different conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.859$  And although this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:15.860 --> 00:43:17.684 directly related to ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:17.684 \rightarrow 00:43:20.740$  this was something that came out of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}43{:}20.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.834$  This study came out of a discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:23.834 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.495$  with Malia, who I think from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:26.495 \rightarrow 00:43:28.708$  the audience today, and Jerome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:28.708 \rightarrow 00:43:32.004$  who's now an assistant professor at Penn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}43{:}32.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.106$  Some also recently got his K award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}43{:}35{.}106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37{.}970$  and it's doing really well and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.665$  was just really came out of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:40.665 \rightarrow 00:43:42.911$  observation of when we're talking

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:43:42.911 \rightarrow 00:43:45.136$  that mostly the adult clinics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:43:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.230$  but also the child clinics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:43:47.230 \rightarrow 00:43:49.624$  If you looked at the patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:43:49.624 \rightarrow 00:43:52.240$  we treated in the OCD clinic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:43:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.748$  we rarely ever treated a black
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:43:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.368$  patient in that clinic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:43:56.368 \rightarrow 00:43:59.091$  and if you looked at the patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:43:59.091 \rightarrow 00:44:01.440$  we were treating for schizophrenia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:44:01.440 \rightarrow 00:44:03.915$  they were primarily by PAC individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- 00:44:03.915 -> 00:44:05.744 That was just something that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:44:05.744 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.429$  been striking in my training
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:44:07.429 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.030$  and my observation that yell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:44:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.302$  and I think it's true to some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:44:11.302 \rightarrow 00:44:13.346$  extent in the in the general clinics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895
- $00:44:13.346 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.300$  and the specialty clinics too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}44{:}15{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20{.}187$  But I would say little less so in children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}44{:}20.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.032$  So we wanted to know like what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:23.032 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.880$  what's driving this effect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.140$  What's causing this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}44{:}26{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29{.}080$  And the first important thing to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}44{:}29{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31{.}686$  is that there are definitely racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:31.690 \rightarrow 00:44:34.246$  diagnostic treatment disparities in track in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:34.250 \rightarrow 00:44:36.690$  psychiatry. So prior studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}36{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38{.}642$  suggested that individuals black

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}38.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40.639$  individuals are three to five times

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.190$  more likely to be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}43.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.326$  schizophrenia compared to white patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}45{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47{.}030$  despite evidence suggesting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}47.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.730$  similar prevalence across racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:44:48.730 --> 00:44:51.280 groups. So we wanted to examine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:51.280 \rightarrow 00:44:53.339$  implicit associations or attitudes.

 $00:44:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.442$  Uh, basically appraisals that are made

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}56{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59{.}071$  automatically and unconsciously and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}59{.}071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02{.}144$  contribute to health care disparity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:02.144 \rightarrow 00:45:04.734$  prior research is really conceptualized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:45:04.740 --> 00:45:07.326 Implicit bias ease as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:07.326 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.400$  form of indirect racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.510$  and really we had two study

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.139$  questions and this trial do

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}15{.}139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17{.}271$  psychiatrist and trainees have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}17{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19{.}240$  racial implicit associations were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:45:19.240 --> 00:45:21.386 related to psychiatric diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}21{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23{.}598$  treatment and compliance an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}23.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.645$  And what Democrats demographic factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}25.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.960$  predict racial implicit associations of any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:45:27.960 --> 00:45:30.564 And so I don't know how many

 $00:45:30.564 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.709$  of you have taken the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

00:45:35.390 --> 00:45:37.050 You can look on Project

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00{:}45{:}37.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38.046$  Implicit's website and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00{:}45{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.050$  take any one of a number of them. There

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00{:}45{:}41.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.928$  will also and show you another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00{:}45{:}42{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45{.}300$  study you can do at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00:45:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.050$  looking at child mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00{:}45{:}47.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.580$  but essentially these tasks. You care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00{:}45{:}52{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55{.}285$  Black and white faces with different words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00{:}45{:}55{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58{.}394$  So in the first Test you were pairing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00{:}45{:}58{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01{.}657$  them with mood disorders and psychosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

00:46:01.660 --> 00:46:03.935 The second task, compliance versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00{:}46{:}03{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06{.}206$  noncompliance, and the third test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:06.206 \rightarrow 00:46:08.476$  We look at pharmacological outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00{:}46{:}08{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}390$  antidepressants and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:09.390 \rightarrow 00:46:11.214$  anti said antipsychotic medications.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- $00:46:11.214 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.029$  This involved around 300
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- $00{:}46{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.398$  psychiatrists and medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- 00:46:14.400 --> 00:46:15.762 students. Quite diverse,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- $00:46:15.762 \rightarrow 00:46:17.578$  sample only a little.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- $00{:}46{:}17.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.545$  Over half of them were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- $00{:}46{:}20{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22{.}324$  identified as white.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- 00:46:22.330 --> 00:46:23.699 Very good stratification of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- $00:46:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.726$  different training levels.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- $00{:}46{:}24.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.312$  Lots of medical students and roses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- 00:46:27.312 --> 00:46:30.585 In the mean outcome was D scores,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274
- $00:46:30.585 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.920$  so the strength
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675
- $00{:}46{:}31{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34{.}135$  of Association between how fast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675
- $00{:}46{:}34{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37{.}245$  and how many errors you made when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675
- 00:46:37.245 --> 00:46:39.468 comparing black versus white faces
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675
- $00{:}46{:}39{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42{.}576$  and the categories of words in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00{:}46{:}42.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.425$  case can find versus non compliant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00{:}46{:}45{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47{.}950$  psychotic versus mood disorder and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00:46:47.950 \rightarrow 00:46:50.080$  antipsychotics versus antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00:46:50.080 \rightarrow 00:46:52.612$  And basically, participants who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00{:}46{:}52.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.148$  categorised white faces more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83124566

 $00{:}46{:}55{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}320$  quickly and with fewer errors

NOTE Confidence: 0.83124566

 $00{:}46{:}58{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}860$  when their parents have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7204995

00:47:02.010 --> 00:47:03.696 Greater implicit pro

NOTE Confidence: 0.7204995

 $00{:}47{:}03.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.506$  white anti black bias so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:09.350 \rightarrow 00:47:11.858$  Associating white faces with compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00{:}47{:}11.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.107$  Or the other outcomes and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:16.107 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.259$  just a way of looking at the histogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00{:}47{:}19.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.505$  of the outcome and so we went when

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:22.505 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.290$  we looked at this in the sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:25.290 \rightarrow 00:47:29.140$  I think the first thing was it was striking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00{:}47{:}29{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31{.}425$  but not particularly surprising was

- NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666
- 00:47:31.425 --> 00:47:33.253 that most psychiatric providers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666
- $00{:}47{:}33.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.589$  associated faces of black
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879
- $00:47:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.844$  individuals with psychosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879
- $00:47:35.844 \rightarrow 00:47:37.516$  noncompliance an antipsychotic words.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879
- $00{:}47{:}37{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40{.}870$  And for any of these three outcomes, about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879
- $00{:}47{:}40.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.350$  40% of the sample had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879
- $00:47:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.380$  Moderate are greater.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879
- $00{:}47{:}46{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50{.}750$  Association of Black faces with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8172017
- $00{:}47{:}50.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.158$  With psychosis or the OR the other outcomes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8172017
- $00:47:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.000$  and if you looked in the other direction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8172017
- $00{:}47{:}56.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59.248$  so the. It was about 5%, so they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213
- $00{:}47{:}59{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}750$  were, so they are eight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213
- $00:48:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.600$  times more likely to have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213
- 00:48:03.600 --> 00:48:05.850 Associations of these providers of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213
- $00:48:05.850 \rightarrow 00:48:07.650$  black individuals with psychosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:07.650 \rightarrow 00:48:09.000$  noncompliance and antipsychotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}09{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11{.}250$  Then we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

00:48:11.250 --> 00:48:13.050 characteristics of our sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:13.050 \rightarrow 00:48:15.750$  and we looked at two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}15.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.210$  Provider race and the Big Thing was that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}21{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24{.}500$  Black providers did not show this same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:24.500 \rightarrow 00:48:26.850$  implicit bias as other populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}26.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.034$  and then the other big thing was it seemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

00:48:31.034 --> 00:48:34.163 like your amount of implicit bias got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}34{.}163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37{.}655$  worse as you increased level of training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}37.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.480$  and this was true for psychosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}40{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42{.}360$  and antipsychotic medication words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.770$  but not necessarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.590$  but was not true of compliance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}46{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}326$  so it seems almost like it's possible that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:50.326 \rightarrow 00:48:53.318$  these implicit biases get trained into.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213
- $00:48:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.799$  Your potential medical

 $00{:}48{:}54{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57{.}746$  education was really striking, so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}48{:}57{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59{.}834$  conclusions that psychiatrist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}48{:}59{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02{.}439$  trainees have racial implicit biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:02.439 --> 00:49:04.140 related to psychiatric diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.640$  treatment, and compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}05{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}140$  Clinician race and training seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.538$  like they're predictive of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}10.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.504$  racial implicit bias ease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:12.504 --> 00:49:14.474 We have additional data

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:14.474 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.428$  that Victor is writing up at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}17{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19{.}398$  the moment, suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:19.398 --> 00:49:21.366 greater Self reported childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}21.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23.430$  exposure to black intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}23{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25{.}370$  Individuals is actually associated

 $00:49:25.370 \rightarrow 00:49:27.795$  with decreasing racial implicit bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}27.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.320$  even after controlling for race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.876$  And then I think it's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}33{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36{.}010$  I'm also emphasized that although

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.140$  we just looked it implicit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}38{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}41{.}311$  bias in these studies that there are NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}41{.}311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43{.}728$  additional factors that I wish we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:43.728 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.003$  looked at more in this study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:46.080 --> 00:49:48.984 are really important than that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}48{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51{.}345$  including in future studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}51{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53{.}470$  explicit racism import is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:53.470 --> 00:49:55.178 Also, structural, systemic, race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.728$  racism are also really important factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85598266

 $00{:}49{:}57{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}700$  And then negative mental health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.85598266

 $00:50:00.700 \rightarrow 00:50:03.899$  outcomes experienced by many black patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85598266

 $00:50:03.900 \rightarrow 00:50:06.546$  If you're looking at what the

 $00:50:06.546 \rightarrow 00:50:09.630$  application is, I think the first thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00{:}50{:}09{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12.717$  is just education education about racism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00:50:12.720 \rightarrow 00:50:15.516$  racial implicit bias is imperative to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00:50:15.516 \rightarrow 00:50:17.903$  reducing racism and psychiatric care

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00{:}50{:}17{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20{.}579$  that it seems like racial diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00{:}50{:}20{.}579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22{.}773$  and psychiatric providers may mitigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00:50:22.773 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.510$  some of these effects of implicit bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00{:}50{:}25{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29{.}086$  And then I think the thing we're working

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00{:}50{:}29.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.128$  on now is, are there similar racial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

00:50:32.128 --> 00:50:33.890 implicit, and explicit biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.874686593333334

 $00:50:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.550$  among. Child, mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.874686593333334

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37{.}625$  providers and then hopefully doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8784723

 $00{:}50{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.170$  teachers and school workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}50{:}42{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44{.}758$  And then the next step for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}50{:}44.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46.743$  research is just really developing

 $00{:}50{:}46.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.395$  interventions and curriculums that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}50{:}48{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}50{.}385$  reduce racism and implicit bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:50:50.390 --> 00:50:52.175 Then I think another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:50:52.175 --> 00:50:53.960 thing is just measuring the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}50{:}54.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55.999$  efficacy of these interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}50{:}56{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{.}010$  So I think there going to be a lot of NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:50:59.091 \rightarrow 00:51:02.016$  interventions that are coming along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.799$  but it would be really great to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:51:04.799 --> 00:51:06.829 have better measures of racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08{.}840$  explicit racism and implicit racism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.240$  Look at how well this actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.928$  improved outcomes within provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:12.928 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.318$  Within systems and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.852$  last thing is to look at kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}18.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.917$  And so here is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:21.920 \rightarrow 00:51:24.848$  Applied for the current study we're doing.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773
- $00:51:24.850 \rightarrow 00:51:26.870$  Looking at external Ising behaviors

 $00{:}51{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.727$  and and racing kids and just trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:29.727 \rightarrow 00:51:32.408$  to get a similar sample in child

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}32{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34{.}675$  psychiatric providers and other mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:51:34.675 --> 00:51:37.387 health professionals to look at whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}37{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41{.}548$  they're similar biases in that population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:51:41.550 --> 00:51:42.043 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:42.043 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.010$  take home points 80.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}44.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.469 \ {\rm HD}$  causes significant impairments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}46{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48{.}438$  kids and adults pharma cotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}48{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50{.}900$  most effective treatment for core

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}50{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53{.}360$  ADHD symptoms across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}53{.}360$  -->  $00{:}51{:}55{.}945$  Higher doses of stimulant medications NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}55{.}945$  -->  $00{:}51{:}59{.}330$  have greater efficacy and there actually NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:59.330 \rightarrow 00:52:02.210$  associated with improved acceptability.

 $00:52:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.014$  They mitigate about against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}04{.}014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06{.}269$  many poor outcomes in children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}06{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08{.}730$  and then I think it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:08.730 \rightarrow 00:52:10.952$  in any evidence based presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}10{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13{.}940$  about treatment of ADHD in kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}13{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16{.}957$  Just to mention that there is racial NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:16.957 \rightarrow 00:52:19.782$  in equities are really profound factor NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}19{.}782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23{.}149$  and in the current care and outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}23{.}237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26{.}198$  over Dalton with ADHD and then this NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:26.198 \rightarrow 00:52:28.835$  goes along side of any research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:52:28.835 --> 00:52:30.200 Optimizing stimulant medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:30.200 \dashrightarrow 00:52:32.960$  is also to improve the outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}32{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36{.}327$  Of all of our patients with ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}36{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38{.}460$  Spectar, particularly the black ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}38{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}39{.}944$  and so thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:39.944 \rightarrow 00:52:43.150$  I will leave it open for questions.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88406396
- $00:52:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.140$  There were two questions in the chat.

 $00:53:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.260$  Any of the chatters want to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89297557

00:53:05.770 --> 00:53:09.130 Ask your question, I think. Justin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7051094

00:53:10.570 --> 00:53:12.780 Jose, did you raise your hand? Go for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}15{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16{.}900$  Thank you doctor black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

00:53:16.900 --> 00:53:19.120 Great talk. I had a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00:53:19.120 \rightarrow 00:53:21.340$  specifically about the testing for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

00:53:21.340 --> 00:53:23.930 I do know that I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}23{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25{.}780$  if you're familiar with Robert

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}25{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28{.}000$  Williams and how he showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

00:53:28.000 - 00:53:30.590 some of the IQ tests were also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}30{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32{.}440$  you know, they scored differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}32{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34{.}290$  for Caucasian or white patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}34.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36.552$  versus black children in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}36{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38{.}545$  Have you seen anything like

00:53:38.545 - 00:53:40.675 that with the ADHD testing like NOTE Confidence: 0.75379405  $00:53:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.600$  the Vanderbilt or. They the the NOTE Confidence: 0.75379405 00:53:43.600 --> 00:53:46.370 ADHD four that you know that it NOTE Confidence: 0.75379405  $00:53:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.748$  also shows any racial bias. So NOTE Confidence: 0.7771537 00:53:48.750 --> 00:53:52.308 I'm I'm by no means an expert in this. NOTE Confidence: 0.7771537 00:53:52.310 --> 00:53:55.874 I sort of came about it in a data NOTE Confidence: 0.7771537  $00{:}53{:}55{.}874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59{.}418$  driven way after blocker muted. NOTE Confidence: 0.7771537 00:53:59.420 --> 00:54:01.440 You did know you're good, you're good, OK? NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677  $00{:}54{:}04{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10{.}990$  I think there's a lot of complexities too. NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677 00:54:10.990 --> 00:54:12.786 The diagnosis and treatment of NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677 00:54:12.786 --> 00:54:14.576 ADHD by race and ethnicity, NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677  $00:54:14.580 \dashrightarrow 00:54:17.444$  and I don't think it's a simple story. NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677  $00:54:17.450 \rightarrow 00:54:19.442$  I think they're probably different cut NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677  $00:54:19.442 \rightarrow 00:54:21.400$  points on assessments and informants. NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677  $00:54:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.836$  It affects the outcome. NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677  $00:54:22.836 \rightarrow 00:54:25.350$  I don't know the literature that well.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677
- $00{:}54{:}25{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28{.}221$  I would also say it's I think I've

 $00{:}54{:}28{.}221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30{.}712$  it's a great under simplification

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:30.712 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.377$  of what I've said regarding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.975$  I think it would be too much of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:54:35.975 -> 00:54:38.540 a take home message just to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:54:38.540 --> 00:54:41.006 You know Bipac children or underdiagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}54{:}41.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}43.880$  or treated for ADHD that clearly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}54{:}43.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46.712$  assessment and treatment of in all this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}54{:}46{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50{.}460$  is going to be much more complex than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.184$  I also really worried about the proper

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}54{:}54{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}750$  assessment of comorbid disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:54:56.750 --> 00:54:57.542 You know I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:57.542 \rightarrow 00:55:00.153$  I just worry that this is more of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:00.153 \dashrightarrow 00:55:02.596$  circle surrogate for less mental health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:55:02.600 --> 00:55:03.832 psychiatric care in general,

 $00:55:03.832 \rightarrow 00:55:06.300$  and that it's not only that the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:55:06.300 --> 00:55:08.144 are being left diagnosed with ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:08.144 \rightarrow 00:55:09.684$  but that we're also missing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:09.684 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.608$  other other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}55{:}10.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12.598$  And and I think that was one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:12.598 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.309$  thing that was really hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:55:14.310 --> 00:55:15.507 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:15.507 \rightarrow 00:55:17.502$  I completely ducked the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}55{:}17{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20{.}114$  of how I'm going to deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:20.114 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.300$  this in the family other than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}55{:}22{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}24{.}450$  Affirming that the Moms concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.170$  is probably well validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

00:55:28.260 --> 00:55:32.900 But I don't know. I think there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00:55:32.900 \rightarrow 00:55:35.077$  of research to be done in the area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00:55:35.080 \rightarrow 00:55:37.336$  and what I can say is it's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

00:55:37.336 --> 00:55:39.708 a fairly large effect and I I don't.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528
- $00{:}55{:}39{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41{.}958$  I don't pretend to understand how it all

 $00:55:41.958 \rightarrow 00:55:44.038$  works and how it should be measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.352$  but I think that's something that our our NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}46{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48{.}670$  field and really needs to start focusing on, NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

00:55:48.670 - 00:55:50.686 'cause at least in the data driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}50{.}686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}52{.}714$  approaches, it's as important is how well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}52{.}714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54{.}672$  you respond to stimulants, which, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}54{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57{.}078$  stimulants work better than any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}57{.}078$  -->  $00{:}55{:}59{.}569$  medication I know of for any condition.