WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:48:34" NOTE recognizability:0.916

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:00.440$ Wait,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.080$ okay, do I need to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.240$ Yes, I need to click that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.240$ But can you help because

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.640$ the mouse is over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.664$ So I will first thank Alicia and thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:14.664 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.102$ Marina for that wonderful introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:16.102 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.235$ and to all of you for being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:18.235 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.116$ And it's really an honor to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}20.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.616$ for the special lecture and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}21.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.418$ a pleasure to be here in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:23.418 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.188$ because so many of my colleagues and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:25.188 --> 00:00:25.992 Friends are here,

 $00:00:25.992 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.937$ and I've learned a ton already about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:27.937 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.629$ all the research that's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.630$ Like just makes me want to spend another

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.810$ week or more hanging out more because

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.430$ this clearly wasn't enough time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.123$ But I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}36.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.509$ I'm really excited to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:37.509 --> 00:00:38.948 about some of our work today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.236$ And as you just heard a little bit from

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:41.236 --> 00:00:43.387 the background in the introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:43.390 --> 00:00:44.106 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}44.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.254$ I think is increasing evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:46.254 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.814$ really suggests that brain development

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:47.814 --> 00:00:49.990 is impaired in a lot of mental illnesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.850$ including schizophrenia and bipolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.245$ But you know,

 $00:00:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.056$ we know this is potentially happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:55.056 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.929$ long before the onset of symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.090$ but there are many questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.286$ and challenges for the field in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}01.286 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.210$ particular when do things begin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.820$ This is a major question as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.784$ developmental neurobiologist and glial

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:05.784 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.408$ biologist that I've been long interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:07.410 --> 00:01:09.186 It's just going back in development

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:09.186 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.370$ and trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.450$ when and also which circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.178$ And ultimately the biggest question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}14.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.778$ course is what are the mechanisms and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:16.778 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.530$ emerging genetics of the last decade or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.364$ that's really.

 $00:01:20.364 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.283$ Exploded in the field and it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}23.283 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.127$ illuminating a lot of potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:25.127 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.167$ pathways that we never had before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:27.170 --> 00:01:29.294 It turns out genetics are pointing to a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:29.294 --> 00:01:31.684 of a variance in genes that are implicating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:31.690 --> 00:01:32.428 not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:32.428 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.011$ the synops right the point of communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:35.011 --> 00:01:36.990 between neurons where of course if

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:36.990 --> 00:01:38.170 synopses aren't working properly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:38.170 --> 00:01:40.411 that's going to have all kinds of impact on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}40.411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.650$ brain development and circuits and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.162$ But the other thing I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:44.162 --> 00:01:45.170 to highlight today is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:45.170 --> 00:01:46.130 you know with all of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804 $00:01:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.460$ the, NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:46.460 --> 00:01:48.770 the define mapping and GWA studies in,

 $00{:}01{:}48.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.225$ in the context of schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:50.225 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.807$ in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:50.810 --> 00:01:53.127 where I'll focus a bit more today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}53.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.338$ there's both common variants and rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}55.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.605$ variants that are implicating not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:57.605 --> 00:01:59.450 generally synapses but specific genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.700$ not just variants but specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.610$ genes and which also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:02:03.610 --> 00:02:04.730 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.145$ implicate another pathway or part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:07.145 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.330$ the body which is the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.610$ which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}09.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.570$ At the beginning was a bit mysterious

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.809$ why these immune molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:02:12.809 --> 00:02:14.675 keep coming up in the genetics,

00:02:14.680 --> 00:02:16.556 but I hope to convince you today

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}16.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.368$ that some same genes that relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:18.368 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.636$ to the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}19.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.810$ like complement C4 and another

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.320$ gene called CSM D1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:02:23.320 --> 00:02:23.960 Ironically enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.880$ my lab had been studying these

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:02:25.943 --> 00:02:27.628 genes independent of knowing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}27.628 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}02{:}29.625$ genetics was going to point to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:29.625 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.119$ them in the context of risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.576$ and so it's been a really great example

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:33.576 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.679$ where genetics and biology can converge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.794$ But even still to try to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:37.794 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.402$ the mechanism requires a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:39.402 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.900$ work to try to develop new tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}02{:}40.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.920$ and importantly new model systems because

 $00:02:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.400$ even with the genetic leads and even with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:02:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.440$ some biological insight as Marina just said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:02:47.440 --> 00:02:50.240 How do we translate that into new biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:02:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.155$ new mechanistic biomarkers that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:02:52.155 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.637$ enable us to stratify patients and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:02:54.637 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.653$ be able to identify those that are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:02:56.710 --> 00:02:58.996 more risk really in development ideally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:02:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.530$ but also new targets of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.754$ intervention like that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:01.760 --> 00:03:04.478 is you know I think it's a challenge but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:04.480 --> 00:03:06.587 I hope to convince you today through

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:06.587 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.652$ collaborative efforts by many of me and my

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}03{:}08.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.479$ colleagues at the broad and the Stanley

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:10.479 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.477$ Center and and many collaborators outside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.352$ We are trying to work together to try

 $00:03:14.352 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.513$ to to develop a pipeline to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:16.513 --> 00:03:18.644 to take gene variant all the way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:18.644 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.056$ pathway to function so that we can try

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:21.056 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.396$ to develop new mechanistic understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.870$ So as a developmental neurobiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.412$ and I think back to when I was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:27.412 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.279$ graduate student start first became

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}03{:}29.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30.638$ interested in neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.716$ It's this type of question that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:32.720 --> 00:03:34.068 Brought me to neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}03{:}34.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.680$ which this idea of how it is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:37.680 --> 00:03:39.680 environment can sculpt developing circuits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666 00:03:39.680 --> 00:03:39.988 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:39.988 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.144$ So we know early in development with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:42.144 --> 00:03:44.160 during this process of brain wiring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.278$ we start off with, you know,

 $00:03:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.165$ kind of a sparse immature

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:48.165 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.919$ synaptic connections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.648$ And then over a course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:50.648 --> 00:03:51.512 different critical periods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.000$ we start to develop this process by

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.293$ which some of those connections form

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:56.293 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.308$ and get strengthened and maintained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.660$ And other of these connections

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}03{:}59.660 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}04{:}00.470$ get permanently removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}00.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.678$ This is a process called synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:04:02.678 --> 00:04:04.150 refinement or synaptic pruning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.126$ and we know what happens all across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:06.126 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.270$ brain in different critical periods now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.866$ It's been best studied in sensory

 $00:04:09.866 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.813$ systems like the visual system and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}11.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.469$ that matter many other sensory systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:13.469 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.122$ where these critical periods are

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:04:15.122 --> 00:04:16.782 often happening early in development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.062$ but other parts of your brain like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:19.062 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.799$ prefrontal cortex and cortical circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.984$ These are the sort of last areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:22.984 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.386$ to refine and mature and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:04:25.386 --> 00:04:26.372 incredibly important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666 00:04:26.372 --> 00:04:27.358 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}27.360 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.394$ there's much less known about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:29.394 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.520$ mechanisms and and timelines that regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.160$ those processes of synaptic refinement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.022$ So what we are interested in is

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:36.022 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.882$ trying to better define not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:04:37.882 --> 00:04:39.547 the timing of different regions

00:04:39.547 --> 00:04:41.440 and circuits and their maturation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.610$ but we want to try to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.374$ understand how perturbations of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:04:45.374 --> 00:04:46.926 environment and particular genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:04:46.926 --> 00:04:48.775 pathways influence and change

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:48.775 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.715$ circuits and ultimately behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:04:50.720 --> 00:04:51.784 Now it's long known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}52.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.000$ I should just mention another thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.320$ that plasticity is usually thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.640$ to be a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.544$ It's why my daughter can learn French

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}58.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.519$ seamlessly and I am terrible at French,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666 00:05:00.520 --> 00:05:00.842 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:00.842 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.096$ My critical period for learning French is

00:05:03.096 --> 00:05:05.354 long closed and no matter how much I try,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:05:05.360 --> 00:05:06.336 it is very challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:06.336 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.131$ But my both my daughters are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:08.131 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.536$ a French immersion program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:05:09.536 --> 00:05:11.044 started learning that when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:11.044 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.356$ were kindergarten first grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:05:12.360 --> 00:05:14.040 And it's amazing, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.024$ So that's just one of one of many

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}05{:}16.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.438$ examples of why sort of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:17.438 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.950$ idea of lose it or use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666 00:05:18.950 --> 00:05:19.380 Lose it, NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.100$ use it or lose it and the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:21.161 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.816$ that you know plasticity while

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:22.816 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.471$ enabling learning and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:24.532 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.188$ adaptation to the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.828$ it can also lead to vulnerability.

 $00:05:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.357$ So plasticity opens the brain up for

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}30.357 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}05{:}32.116$ potential vulnerability because it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:32.116 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.272$ dynamic and plastic and I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:34.272 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.596$ understanding these critical periods

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:35.596 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.829$ are going to be important to thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.212$ about why there is particular windows

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:05:40.212 --> 00:05:42.313 of vulnerability and diseases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:42.313 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.538$ disorders like schizophrenia for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:05:44.540 --> 00:05:46.252 Now schizophrenia, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:46.252 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.216$ we know is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:05:48.216 --> 00:05:49.906 there's evidence from both imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:49.906 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.340$ studies and some anatomical studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}52.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.220$ there is a loss of great Gray matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.912$ thinning of Gray matter and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:56.912 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.710$ even some evidence of loss of

 $00:05:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.558$ spines and synopses that have come

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}00.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.660$ from postmortem studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.683$ And, and I think more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:03.683 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.632$ evidence is suggesting that at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:05.632 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.337$ in some individuals of schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}07.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.060$ there's evidence of synaptic defects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.660$ And this is not true just of schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.765$ This is true of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:12.765 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.449$ neurodevelopmental disorders as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.298$ But I think the problem with

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:16.298 --> 00:06:17.530 these types of examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.397$ even the imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:18.397 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.786$ it doesn't give you the resolution to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}20.786 \to 00{:}06{:}22.616$ at synapses and the postmortem analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:22.616 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.169$ where you can quantify synapses in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:25.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.980$ By the time you get those brains, you know

00:06:26.980 --> 00:06:28.210 there's many things that have happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}28.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.914$ So you don't know whether that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:29.914 --> 00:06:30.990 cause or consequence, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.310$ There are many things that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}32.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.450$ have led to the loss of synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.730$ So a lot of this idea of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:36.730 --> 00:06:37.890 synaptic pruning defects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.927$ those are all been, it's a hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.150$ We don't know for sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.050$ synapse pruning or synapse loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.896$ Is contributing to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075 00:06:44.896 --> 00:06:45.178 to, NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}45.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.244$ to to some of these disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:47.244 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.814$ I think that's real obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:48.814 --> 00:06:50.290 very challenging to study in,

 $00:06:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.288$ in people and for that matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:52.288 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.287$ even in animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.794$ And so we also know that synapse loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:55.794 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.804$ and dysfunction is a is a hallmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:57.804 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.490$ of many other disorders as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:59.490 --> 00:07:01.605 And my lab over the last many years has

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:01.605 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.651$ been studying normally what regulates

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:03.651 --> 00:07:05.846 synaptic pruning and synapse elimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.475$ hoping that by understanding basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:07.475 --> 00:07:09.450 mechanisms in the normal healthy brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}09.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.285$ in animal models and ideally

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:11.285 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.386$ in patient samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.040$ That that can then based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.865$ that mechanistic understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.935$ we could then apply some of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}16.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.680$ to understand whether those same

00:07:18.680 --> 00:07:20.276 mechanisms become aberrantly activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:20.276 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.239$ to lead to pathological synapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:22.239 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.069$ loss in the context of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.939$ And we've also been studying this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:25.939 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.122$ other diseases including Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:27.122 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.121$ and age-related neurodegenerative

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:28.121 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.430$ diseases and in fact in normal aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.397$ And even though all of these disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}32.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.868$ are remarkably different in many ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:33.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.938$ as you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:34.938 --> 00:07:36.540 I believe there's still evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:36.596 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.496$ a convergence that I'm particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}38.496 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{--}}} 00{:}07{:}40.016$ interested in because different

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}40.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.738$ things can initiate the process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.219$ Genetics and environment,

00:07:43.219 --> 00:07:44.698 different different pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.724$ maybe even different circuits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:46.724 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.985$ but ultimately at least the data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:48.985 --> 00:07:50.230 we have suggests the possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:50.284 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.108$ that there could be some converging

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:52.108 --> 00:07:53.324 mechanisms that then ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:53.373 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.873$ leads to the synaptic vulnerability

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:54.873 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.773$ and synapse loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:55.780 --> 00:07:57.201 And that's what I want to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:57.201 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.140$ to you about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}58.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.412$ So why has progress been so

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:59.412 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.260$ slow on the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:00.308 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.880$ side even though the genetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.380$ the genomic studies have exploded?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.728$ And that's wonderful because

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}08{:}04.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.968$ unbiased data is giving us, I know,

 $00:08:06.968 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.612$ new leads, new candidates and new

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:08.612 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.618$ ways of thinking about mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:10.620 --> 00:08:12.500 But obviously this complex circuitry

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:12.500 --> 00:08:14.380 inaccessibility of the human brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.151$ as I mentioned and as I'll highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:16.151 --> 00:08:18.178 and I think many of you appreciate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:18.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.710$ there's been a lack of credible

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:19.710 --> 00:08:20.220 disease models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}08{:}20.220 \to 00{:}08{:}23.100$ I mean, I don't think anyone model a mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.580$ or monkey or other animal models can really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:25.580 --> 00:08:27.132 truly recapitulate the complexity

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:27.132 --> 00:08:29.460 of the human brain and cognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}08{:}29.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.469$ but with the thoughtful way of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:31.469 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.699$ about trying to model disease mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.000$ not model the disease.

 $00:08:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.300$ That's giving us new,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}08{:}36.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.155$ a new foothold into trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:38.155 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.300$ the types of questions I raised before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.058$ And also we don't have biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94552490476190500:08:42.060 --> 00:08:42.431 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:42.431 --> 00:08:45.028 We don't have really good mechanistic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:45.028 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.939$ predictive biomarkers given the complexity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:46.940 --> 00:08:48.440 heterogeneity and polygenicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.940$ of these disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.070$ how do you even know who to track and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}08{:}52.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.098$ follow and stratify and we don't have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:54.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.700$ we don't have that for for these disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.142$ So what I want to do today

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:58.142 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.769$ is kind of take you through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.324$ The thinking and the way we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:01.324 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.616$ been thinking about how to tackle

 $00:09:02.616 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.967$ this and we meaning there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:04.016 --> 00:09:05.004 of collaborators and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:05.004 --> 00:09:06.803 at the Stanley Center at the broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:06.803 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.368$ and and within my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.735$ But just the idea that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:09.735 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.208$ that there are many open questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:11.210 --> 00:09:12.939 We still don't know even with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:12.939 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.830$ of the genetics that are pointing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.468$ variance that we don't many cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:16.519 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.430$ know what the genes are right they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.135$ they're close to in a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}20.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.905$ chromosome and a particular loci but

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}21.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.601$ which genes in some cases we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}23.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.567$ the genes and in many cases we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.355$ We don't know the mechanism by which

 $00:09:27.355 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.250$ these genes actually alter cellular function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}29.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31.248$ We don't know which pathways are

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:31.248 --> 00:09:32.994 relevant because these genes don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:32.994 --> 00:09:34.769 necessarily tell us what pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:34.770 --> 00:09:36.989 We don't know in many cases which

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:36.989 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.087$ cell types are are most affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:39.087 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.749$ There are loss of heterogeneity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:40.749 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.809$ cells in the brain, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}42.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.567$ even with an excitatory neuron populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:44.570 --> 00:09:46.265 inhibitory neuron populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}46.265 \to 00{:}09{:}49.090$ and glial cells remarkable heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:49.090 --> 00:09:50.890 So even if you found a gene in a pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.026$ which cell type do you want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:53.026 --> 00:09:54.436 studying right and what's which

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:54.436 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.520$ ones to focus on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.040$ And of course which synapse,

00:09:57.040 --> 00:09:58.280 right, it's not all synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.468$ maybe the vulnerable synapse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:59.468 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.953$ which circuit in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:10:00.960 --> 00:10:02.200 I mean obviously this is going to sound

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.357$ like the most daunting thing ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.240$ how we ever going to figure this out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.508$ but ultimately all of these questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}10{:}07.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.490$ are are open in many ways and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.155$ What we want to try to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:12.155 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.347$ do is think about ways to to step

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:14.347 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.810$ back and systematically go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:15.810 \dashrightarrow 00:10:17.910$ And in some cases where the leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:10:17.910 --> 00:10:18.810 are more reasonable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.328$ we know something about the biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:20.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.890$ We're going deeper to try to

 $00:10:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.410$ understand mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.855$ And in other cases we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}10{:}23.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.300$ letting the unbiased data come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:10:25.358 --> 00:10:27.284 in to nominate pathways and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:27.284 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.568$ we're developing new models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.990$ We wish to test that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.878$ So I'm going to give you an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:10:31.878 --> 00:10:33.854 in the first part of my talk that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}10{:}33.854 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.702$ going to focus on one gene and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:35.702 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.433$ pathway and mechanism that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:37.433 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.149$ worked on for the last decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.383$ And it involves the complement cascade as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:41.383 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.268$ you heard a little bit in the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:10:44.270 --> 00:10:45.881 But I just want to tell you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:45.881 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.266$ bit about how this all started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}10{:}47.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.166$ because we knew from the genetics.

00:10:49.170 --> 00:10:51.466 That by far the largest and most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:51.466 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.362$ mysterious genetic result has always been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:10:53.362 --> 00:10:55.570 this huge man in the Manhattan plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:10:55.570 --> 00:10:58.286 There's clear evidence of the MHC locust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535 00:10:58.290 --> 00:10:58.542 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:58.542 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.306$ This is the tallest peak in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:00.306 --> 00:11:01.386 Manhattan plot, but yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:01.386 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.826$ and there's hundreds of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:02.826 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.690$ within that locust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.920$ But it's been a mystery in terms of what gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:05.974 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.008$ or genes underlie this incredible signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:08.010 --> 00:11:08.670 And even now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}11{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.210$ with more and more genetics coming in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.289$ that peak is still the highest peak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:12.290 --> 00:11:14.170 And, and at the time,

00:11:14.170 --> 00:11:15.605 I know geneticists were working on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:15.610 --> 00:11:17.224 And of course, more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:17.224 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.090$ data means more and more insight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:19.090 --> 00:11:22.186 But it turns out that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:22.186 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.870$ one of my very outstanding geneticists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:25.870 --> 00:11:28.090 neurobiologists colleague Steve Mccarroll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:28.090 --> 00:11:30.090 his lab was studying this locust more deeply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.764$ was fine mapping and starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:31.764 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.570$ look into the locust to see what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.345$ what's what could explain this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:35.345 --> 00:11:36.410 huge genetic signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.058$ And for many reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:37.058 --> 00:11:38.410 and of course this work is published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:38.410 --> 00:11:40.280 but I just want to take you through the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:40.331 --> 00:11:42.203 findings that sets up the rest of the stock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.184$ what he found and what Ashwin

 $00:11:44.184 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.830$ Sekhart found in his lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.230$ Was that in within that locus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.229$ are is a gene complement C4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.038$ Now C4 in humans there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:54.038 --> 00:11:56.154 two isoforms of C422 genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:56.154 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.910$ C4 big A and C4 big B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:58.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.801$ And it turns out when you looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:01.801 --> 00:12:04.747 haplotype in terms of different individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:04.750 --> 00:12:06.162 you can have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:06.162 --> 00:12:07.767 combinations of C4A versus C4B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:07.767 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.040$ So you can have multiple copies of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:10.040 \dashrightarrow 00:12:12.640$ Multiple copies of B both and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}12{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.481$ And what Steve and Ashwin managed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:14.481 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.486$ do and they discovered that it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:16.486 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.141$ so much whether you have the gene or

00:12:18.141 --> 00:12:19.520 not have the gene or whether there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.040$ a loss of function of that gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.452$ How many copies of the particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:23.452 --> 00:12:25.719 structural form of C4 is what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.320$ what, what linked to risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.480$ And there's a really all of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.158$ was published number of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:31.160 --> 00:12:32.546 but I just want to highlight the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:32.546 --> 00:12:34.119 take home of this important study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.402$ One is that they went to correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}12{:}36.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.304$ and they've mapped us back on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:38.304 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.729$ to the genetics and found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:39.730 --> 00:12:41.476 Indeed it was the copy number

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:41.476 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.382$ of C4A the conferred risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:43.382 --> 00:12:46.495 So the more copies of you of C4A1

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:46.495 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.275$ individual had it significantly

 $00:12:48.275 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.610$ increased schizophrenia risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.080$ But they also measured the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.970$ expression of C4 on the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:52.970 --> 00:12:54.890 This was the other really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.062$ finding C4 in complement is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:56.062 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.127$ expressed in the whole body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.546$ But it was the brain expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:58.546 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.490$ that correlated with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:12:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.630$ this and when they measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.690$ the RNA in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.990$ It was the higher expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.055$ of C4 was also related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}13{:}07.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.660$ more copies of C4A you had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:08.660 --> 00:13:10.751 So you're making more of the C4A

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:10.751 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.977$ gene now around the time they

00:13:12.980 --> 00:13:14.804 Steve and Ashwin and his lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:14.804 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.529$ started to uncover this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:16.529 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.309$ exciting and important finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}13{:}18.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.950$ Steve and I actually didn't realize that we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.070$ our labs are right across

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.190$ the street from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.278$ And and so we started having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:24.278 --> 00:13:26.182 coffee and I started Ed Skulnik

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:26.182 --> 00:13:27.360 and Steve **** started inviting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.590$ me over to the Stanley Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:28.590 --> 00:13:30.190 I'm like, why they want to hang out with me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.877$ Well, it turns out they wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:31.877 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.803$ hang out with me because we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:33.803 --> 00:13:35.525 studying this very same pathway since

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:35.581 --> 00:13:37.429 I was a postdoc in Ben Barris's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:37.430 --> 00:13:38.610 not thinking anything about

 $00:13:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.790$ the genetics of schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.596$ But we were really going deep into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:41.596 --> 00:13:43.124 the biology of this complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:43.124 --> 00:13:45.212 pathway in the context of synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:45.212 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.070$ elimination and synaptic development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.230$ So it's a great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:48.230 --> 00:13:49.970 Adipitous example of how a biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:50.027 --> 00:13:51.847 and genetics can come together

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:51.847 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.667$ and lead to collaborative science

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}13{:}53.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.947$ that can then enable one to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:55.947 --> 00:13:57.282 understand the biology underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:57.282 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.826$ those emerging genetic findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:58.830 --> 00:14:00.060 And I'm just going to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:00.060 --> 00:14:01.561 some ongoing work and in no way have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:01.561 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.710$ we figured this out by the way,

 $00:14:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.780$ but I'm going to tell you what we've learned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.476$ I just want to tell you if those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:06.476 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.099$ that are already thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}14{:}08.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.290$ when they learn about compliment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.164$ back in the day in Med school or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:11.164 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.634$ in grad school and your head is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:12.688 --> 00:14:14.416 starting to spin thinking about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:14.420 --> 00:14:14.996 don't worry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:14.996 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.300$ I'm not going to make you relearn compliment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.076$ The take home here is it's very complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}14{:}19.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.869$ and even the immunologist yesterday I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:20.869 --> 00:14:22.813 was over in the Immunobiology department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.368$ they said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:23.368 --> 00:14:23.916 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:23.916 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.954$ nobody really wants to talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:25.954 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.000$ compliment cascade because it's so complex.

 $00:14:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.960$ And and in fact even like these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.759$ incredible immunologists try to avoid it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.352$ So I I didn't feel so bad when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:34.352 --> 00:14:36.264 I when I didn't know much about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}14{:}36.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.719$ adaptive immunity or B cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:37.720 --> 00:14:39.580 But I do know something about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.571$ complement and that is these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:41.571 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.276$ a group of complement secreted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}14{:}43.280 \to 00{:}14{:}45.195$ proteins that exist throughout your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:45.195 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.110$ body and basically what complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:47.170 --> 00:14:48.969 does is it helps it's our first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:48.969 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.840$ line of defense against a pathogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:50.840 --> 00:14:52.718 So think about a pathogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.893$ a bacterial infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:53.893 --> 00:14:55.457 Before your slower adaptive

00:14:55.457 --> 00:14:56.860 immune system kicks in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.152$ the complement system kicks in really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:59.152 --> 00:15:01.940 fast and these start secreted and they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.772$ if they're all there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:02.772 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.980$ present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.096$ whether that be in the periphery

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:15:04.096 --> 00:15:05.380 and the blood or in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.192$ as I'll tell you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:06.192 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.705$ That can then lead to a kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:07.705 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.657$ of a domino effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.355$ a cascade that ultimately leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}15{:}10.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.769$ to the tagging of some of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:12.769 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.399$ complement components like C4 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:14.399 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.017$ C3 on the surface of that pathogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:15:17.020 --> 00:15:19.102 And that brings in macrophages the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:15:19.102 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.380$ Pacman to then recognize and remove it.

 $00:15:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.785$ And then that's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:15:22.785 --> 00:15:24.190 helpful process to get rid

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.840$ of infection or dead cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.112$ or debris very rapidly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.037$ Well, it turns out when I was a postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:29.037 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.169$ in Ben Barris's lab through an unbiased

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:15:31.169 --> 00:15:33.277 gene chip experiment way back in the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.085$ we also uncovered an unexpected

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}15{:}35.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37.726$ role for C1Q during this process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}15{:}37.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.656$ development and synapse pruning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:39.656 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.239$ we also were very surprised about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.240$ And what it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}15{:}43.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.100$ I'm going to tell you about is the work we

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}15{:}45.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.915$ uncovered when I was still in Ben's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.456$ And this is a figure from

 $00:15:48.456 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.480$ that very first paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.004$ What we realized is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:15:51.004 --> 00:15:51.766 And hypothesize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.205$ and this is really Ben and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:53.205 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.075$ brainstorming about what complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:54.075 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.365$ might be doing in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:15:55.370 --> 00:15:56.850 the healthy brain, no infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:15:56.850 --> 00:15:57.970 no disease, no challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:15:57.970 --> 00:15:59.090 But it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.650$ just like the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:00.650 --> 00:16:01.730 glial cells, neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.530$ they all express these complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.769$ components all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}04.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.408$ And they're present in the brain now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.370$ They're not always there at the same levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.790$ In fact, during development,

00:16:09.790 --> 00:16:11.565 during critical periods of development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.728$ there's a lot more of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:12.730 --> 00:16:14.848 not just present, but tagging synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:14.850 --> 00:16:17.328 So instead of tagging a bacterial cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.364$ what we discovered was that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:19.364 \dashrightarrow 00:16:20.970$ complement components can similarly tag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:20.970 --> 00:16:23.088 Leg of Synapse and that microglia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.952$ not macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:23.952 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.676$ have expressed the receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:25.676 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.969$ that recognize complement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:26.970 --> 00:16:28.095 And through that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:28.095 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.970$ that's one way that synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}29.970 --> 00{:}16{:}30.704 \ {\rm exuberant \ connections},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}30.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.906$ axons and synapses then can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:32.906 --> 00:16:34.928 removed and engulfed by microglia.

 $00:16:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.490$ Now that was a hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.890$ Then over the last decade or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.605$ my lab has gone on to test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.050$ but I I want to tell you 2 important points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.726$ One is that complement is there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:44.730 --> 00:16:46.566 you know, naturally in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:46.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.018$ right under normal conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:48.018 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.190$ It tags subsets of immature synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:50.246 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.786$ during critical periods and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:51.786 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.760$ studied this in the visual system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}53.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.615$ We also went on to show if

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:55.615 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.640$ you genetically knock out

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:16:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.490$ C1QC3CR3 on the microglia through

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.885$ a number of studies over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:01.885 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.959$ years by my lab and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}02.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.124$ that does lead to defects in synapse

00:17:06.124 --> 00:17:07.780 number and synaptic connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.580$ We really focused on the visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.565$ system and we've gone deep into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:11.565 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.107$ visual system because it's such an

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:13.156 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.997$ elegant model to study the process of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.245$ Synaptic elimination and I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:17:16.245 --> 00:17:17.959 to take you through some of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}17.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.436$ mechanisms now and I'm going to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}19.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.079$ back out again and tell you how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}21.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.068$ might then lead to us thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:23.068 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.150$ synapse and vulnerability in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}25.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.198$ of of disorders like schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}27.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.504$ So the question we had in early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:17:29.504 --> 00:17:31.321 was could microglia be similarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:17:31.321 --> 00:17:33.673 playing this role in the brain?

 $00:17:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.000$ And as you just heard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}35.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.600$ you know, back then again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.760$ while a long time ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.711$ 15 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:38.711 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.930$ microglia were really studied in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}40.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.115$ of injury and disease or infection and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.478$ you know, other challenges in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.440$ So we knew microglia are really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}46.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.265$ But this observation made initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:48.265 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.500$ by my colleague at Stanford and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}50.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.240$ others using two photon imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.417$ this is a movie by my own

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:54.417 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.920$ graduate student Janelle Wallace,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.820$ just shows this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.020$ Really important observation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}58.020 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}17{:}59.866$ if you were to put a microscope

 $00:17:59.866 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.500$ in your head right now and watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:01.500 --> 00:18:03.236 microglia as you listen to my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.277$ they might be doing something like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:05.280 --> 00:18:06.920 They're always moving their processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:06.920 --> 00:18:07.742 they're moving around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:07.742 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.112$ they're touching synopses and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:09.112 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.839$ lot of other cells in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}10.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.268$ This is just a sparse example

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:12.268 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.092$ where you can see the interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}14.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.716$ between synopses and microglia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.720$ But this led to all kinds of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.299$ this observation alone led me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}19.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.579$ wonder all kinds of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.645$ What are they sensing when

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:21.645 --> 00:18:22.497 they're touching a synapse?

 $00:18:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.660$ What are the molecular cues

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.820$ that might be recruiting them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.590$ Find me signals to bring them

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.220$ in when they get there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.557$ some of them land and hang out

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:29.557 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.620$ with synapses longer than others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.848$ and others pull back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:31.848 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.690$ What are those molecules and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:33.747 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.123$ are the the sort of bidirectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:36.123 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.707$ signaling mechanisms between microgly

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:37.768 --> 00:18:39.710 and neurons that could be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:39.710 --> 00:18:41.180 leading to this sort of interaction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.224$ But most importantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:42.224 --> 00:18:43.860 You know, what's the functional consequence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:43.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.035$ What might they be doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:45.040 --> 00:18:46.600 And as a resident phagocyte,

 $00:18:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.656$ and based on the mechanisms I

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:47.656 --> 00:18:48.800 told you about with complement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:48.800 --> 00:18:51.374 we hypothesize that maybe subsets of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:51.374 --> 00:18:53.090 those synapses during development

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:53.157 --> 00:18:55.253 might actually be engulfed or phagocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:55.253 --> 00:18:57.359 toast or pruned away by microglia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.160$ The weaker synapse is what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}59.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.735$ hypothesize and indeed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:00.735 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.315$ visual system and the retina

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}19{:}02.315 \to 00{:}19{:}03.970$ geniculate system that we study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.698$ We have a way thanks to the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}19{:}05.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.304$ of Carla Schatz and Shinfei Chen

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}19{:}07.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.324$ and and many others that have used

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:09.324 \dashrightarrow 00:19:11.319$ so Huebel and we asel the system to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:19:11.319 --> 00:19:12.678 study activity dependent refinement.

00:19:12.678 --> 00:19:15.830 You can basically in a mouse or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}19{:}15.903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.106$ cat or a monkey, but we do mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:19:18.106 --> 00:19:20.889 You can put tracers in the eyes of both mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.650$ both eyes of of a mouse in red and and blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.302$ and then that it leads to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:25.302 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.010$ tracing of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:26.072 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.007$ projection into the visual thalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.809$ And a cartoon of the relay neuron

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}19{:}29.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31.737$ on the thalamus might look something

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:31.737 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.205$ like this early development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}19{:}33.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35.238$ There's a neurons innervated by both

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:19:35.238 --> 00:19:37.329 eyes and they're pretty weak inputs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:19:37.330 --> 00:19:38.640 But during the critical period

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:19:38.640 --> 00:19:39.688 in Post Natal development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.762$ some of those inputs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:40.762 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.161$ the weak ones get pruned away and weakened

 $00:19:43.161 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.369$ and others get strengthened and maintained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.882$ This is the idea of use it or lose

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:46.882 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.369$ it and it's activity dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.528$ So based on all that work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.356$ we wondered a micro engulfing

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:19:52.356 --> 00:19:54.849 or pruning synapses and if so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.370$ are they doing it in a selective way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.794$ is it activity dependent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:57.794 \dashrightarrow 00:19:59.574$ The alternative less interesting hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:59.574 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.769$ is they're just cleaning up the debris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:01.770 --> 00:20:03.490 And a lot of data supports that's that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.862$ It's the first that they're not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}20{:}04.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.329$ cleaning up and picking up the debris,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.746$ but they're having a more active

NOTE Confidence: 0.93355139333333

 $00:20:07.746 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.690$ role in this process.

 $00:20:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.412$ Because work by Dorothy Schaefer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:10.412 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.622$ my lab showed that when you manipulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:12.622 --> 00:20:14.967 activity in the two eyes drive competition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.185$ there was a selective elimination

NOTE Confidence: 0.93355139333333

 $00:20:17.185 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.400$ or removal or phagocytosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:19.471 --> 00:20:21.367 those presynaptic axons in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.987$ in a way that they were selectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:22.987 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.290$ engulfing the less active input.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.458$ So this is an important sort of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:26.458 --> 00:20:27.817 finding because it did tell us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:27.820 --> 00:20:29.084 That it's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}20{:}29.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.664$ instructive cues must somehow be

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:30.664 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.397$ regulating this process so that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:32.397 --> 00:20:34.085 less active inputs perhaps may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:34.085 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.470$ molecular cues that are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.399$ than the than the red inputs and

 $00:20:37.399 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.310$ then that might lead to microglia to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:39.369 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.295$ then come and engulf those synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.596$ And we have a quite a bit of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:42.596 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.740$ to support that hypothesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.616$ at least in the mouse visual system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.764$ So how does this relate to the complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:47.764 --> 00:20:49.586 C4 story I told you in genetics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93355139333333

 $00:20:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.865$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:49.865 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.240$ we started collaborating with Steve

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.340$ Mccarroll and we collaborated

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:52.386 --> 00:20:53.586 with also with an immunologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:53.590 --> 00:20:56.110 a wonderful immunologist at Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:56.110 --> 00:20:56.884 Michael Carroll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:56.884 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.206$ who actually cloned C4 and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:59.206 --> 00:21:01.426 been studying C4 in the context of

 $00:21:01.426 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.185$ lupus and in many other contexts

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:03.185 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.105$ in the immune system and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:05.105 --> 00:21:07.230 a combination of of mouse models

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:07.230 --> 00:21:08.670 that Mike's lab generated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:08.670 --> 00:21:10.536 C4 knockouts and other model I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:10.536 --> 00:21:13.043 tell you about in a moment and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:13.043 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.837$ human IPS neurons and human tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:14.902 --> 00:21:16.778 we showed that much like C1Q and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:16.778 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.794$ C3 we could also see C4 decorating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:19.794 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.549$ Operating synopses in in neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.545$ And we also using the same kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:24.545 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.614$ of model system that I told you

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:26.614 --> 00:21:28.330 about earlier when we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:28.391 --> 00:21:29.789 pruning and refinement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:29.790 --> 00:21:33.306 C4 knockout mice Pheno copy the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.488$ C1QC3CR3 mice again suggesting that the

 $00:21:35.488 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.297$ C4 similarly at least in a mouse was

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:38.297 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.229$ was was mediating the synaptic pruning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.714$ That's a loss of function but that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:41.714 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.390$ not what the genetics is telling us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:43.390 --> 00:21:45.460 Remember it's telling us too

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.530$ much C4 too much complement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.154$ And so too much C4 could mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:49.154 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.370$ more tagging of synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.098$ but it could also mean more

NOTE Confidence: 0.93355139333333

 $00{:}21{:}52.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.587$ activation of the classical comp

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:53.587 --> 00:21:55.279 and cascade because C4 is necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:55.279 --> 00:21:57.129 for the whole pathway to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.162$ So the question was?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:59.162 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.686$ Increase C4 levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.290$ it lead to excessive burning.

 $00:22:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.646$ So this is more recent work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.402$ And so this necessitated the need to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:06.402 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.655$ new models and in particular humanized

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:08.655 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.410$ mouse models that we didn't have before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}11.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.650$ And so Mike Carroll's lab developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:13.650 --> 00:22:16.541 C4A humanized mouse model when he could

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:16.541 --> 00:22:18.698 introduce human C4A alleles into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:18.698 --> 00:22:20.690 mouse genome using back DNA transgenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}20.690 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.055$ So you can basically introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:23.055 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.646$ human C4A or B in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.246$ I'm just telling you about a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}26.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.346$ Based on the you put it in AC4 knock out

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}29.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.322$ background and based on the the crosses

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}31.322 \to 00{:}22{:}33.569$ that you do hets versus homozygous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.250$ you can also control copy number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.414$ So it's pretty cool.

 $00:22:36.414 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.373$ So as a proof of concept we wanted to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}39.373 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.370$ by making a mouse that has a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:42.370 --> 00:22:44.694 genetic risk variant C4A over express.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:44.694 --> 00:22:45.630 Versus C4B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:45.630 --> 00:22:46.910 which I'm not showing you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.171$ Does that in fact lead to using

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:49.171 --> 00:22:51.201 similar assays over pruning more

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:51.201 --> 00:22:53.185 microgly engulfment less synapses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.496$ And in the first study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:55.496 --> 00:22:57.014 led by Mike Carroll's lab in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:57.014 --> 00:22:58.486 collaboration with all of us showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:58.486 --> 00:22:59.866 using many of the same mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}59.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.030$ I just told you in the assays that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.171$ indeed in the visual system and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:23:04.171 --> 00:23:06.993 the in the frontal cortex and in other

 $00:23:06.993 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.028$ regions they showed enhanced engulfment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}09.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.704$ And also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:09.704 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.870$ they also went on to show a spine loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326 00:23:12.870 --> 00:23:13.108 which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:23:13.108 --> 00:23:13.584 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:13.584 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.774$ this was really interesting because

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:14.774 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.376$ a lot of the work we had done

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:16.376 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.746$ in the visual system was a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}17.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.746$ more on the presynaptic side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.230$ So this is now looking in the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:23:20.230 --> 00:23:21.258 getting closer to where

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:21.258 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.543$ I was wanting to head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:23:22.550 --> 00:23:23.850 but we weren't quite brave

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}23.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.150$ enough to go there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.865$ So what is all this telling us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.148$ And there's a lot more I'm not telling you.

 $00:23:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.982$ This paper was just published in 2020 if

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}30.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.510$ you want to learn more about this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.710$ But the working model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.558$ and this is building on a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:37.558 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.059$ of ongoing work in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:23:39.060 --> 00:23:40.660 We're really interested in understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.260$ the specificity of this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:23:42.260 --> 00:23:44.168 So I remember giving this talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}44.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.364$ early on compliment work both to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}46.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.096$ neuroscientists and to immunologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}48.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.374$ And the question I always got was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.572$ well, these are secreted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}50.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.360$ How do you get any specificity

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}23{:}52.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.312$ in this process if they just are

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:23:54.312 --> 00:23:55.776 around and binding things in

 $00:23:55.776 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.256$ this sort of nonspecific way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.049$ I said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:58.049 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.101$ I think they're probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:23:59.101 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.219$ not binding things in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:24:00.220 --> 00:24:01.860 They can be binding in a nonspecific way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.940$ but I think there could

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.020$ be a specificity in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.140$ in this process and indeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:24:06.140 --> 00:24:07.896 The working mechanistic model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:24:07.896 --> 00:24:09.652 especially bringing an activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}24{:}09.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.004$ is perhaps it's it's the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:12.004 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.416$ where there's some receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}24{:}13.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.542$ some molecular difference and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}24{:}16.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.147$ the surface of synapses that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:18.147 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.879$ recruiting or or enabling complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:19.879 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.654$ to bind to certain synapses,

 $00:24:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.340$ let's say the blue ones but not the red ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.868$ And there may also be molecules that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:26.868 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.058$ protecting the synapses you want to keep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:24:29.060 --> 00:24:31.175 Now this was a hypothesis that came out of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:24:31.180 --> 00:24:31.714 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:24:31.714 --> 00:24:32.782 actually I remember giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:24:32.782 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.850$ this talk to immunologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:33.894 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.960$ at Harvard. Early, early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.340$ where they said, well, could there be

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}36.340 \longrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.800$ don't eat me signals in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.319$ And I didn't even know what those

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:24:39.319 --> 00:24:40.860 were because I was, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}40.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.840$ neuroscientist trying to be an immunologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.640$ But it turned out I had a fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.060$ graduate student who wanted to learn

 $00:24:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.440$ about these don't eat me signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}47.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.416$ And it turns out there's a whole bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:49.416 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.076$ of inhibitors and don't eat me signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:51.076 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.720$ that when we looked in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:24:52.720 --> 00:24:53.908 immunologists didn't pay attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:53.908 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.799$ to the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.528$ But we had antibodies and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:56.528 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.680$ looked by expression profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.111$ Well, there's a whole slew of them on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:24:59.111 --> 00:25:00.358 brain and we better have those there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.656$ Otherwise the compliment system is going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:02.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.276$ Kind of will be on OverDrive and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:04.276 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.178$ needs to be this tightly regulated system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.755$ So just as important as

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:07.755 --> 00:25:08.700 having compliment there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.098$ there needs to be the regulators,

 $00:25:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.536$ the brakes that keep it from activating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}12.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.988$ And so we started looking and I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:13.988 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.499$ going to tell you about all of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:15.500 --> 00:25:18.068 but intriguingly in addition to a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:18.068 --> 00:25:20.819 don't eat me signals that we've identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:20.820 --> 00:25:23.165 I want to show you the working

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:23.165 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.050$ model we identified for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.115$ CD47 which is also there's been some

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}27.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.230$ genetics that are not as powerful but

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.224$ there's some some hints that CD47 serve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}31.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.093$ Alpha could be playing a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}33.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.074$ some of these in some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}35.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.604$ GY studies still early days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.578$ But regardless of that we showed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:39.578 --> 00:25:42.095 the brain that CD47 is a classic don't

00:25:42.095 --> 00:25:44.471 eat me signal in the immune system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:44.471 --> 00:25:46.613 it actually prevents a macrophage from

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:46.613 --> 00:25:48.583 eating a self cell or healthy cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:48.583 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.449$ In fact healthy cells and self

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:50.449 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.505$ cells have a whole shield of don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:52.505 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.930$ eat me signals that say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:53.930 --> 00:25:55.694 You know what do not even come

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:55.694 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.327$ near me because I need to stay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.143$ And the idea is when they're there

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:59.143 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.429$ there's apartosis or when it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:00.429 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.803$ some kind of a damage signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:01.810 --> 00:26:03.886 those get down regulated and relocalized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.594$ and it exposes parts of cells that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:05.594 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.450$ then lead to the removal by a macrophage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:07.450 --> 00:26:09.154 And what my graduate student went

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:09.154 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.730$ on to show is CD47 is one of those

00:26:11.730 --> 00:26:13.170 signals highly expressed in neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:13.170 --> 00:26:14.454 Microglia have SERP Alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:14.454 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.714$ which is the receptor that recognizes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:16.714 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.646$ and essentially that says don't eat me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.470$ And one model is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:20.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.843$ The stronger synapses have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:22.843 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.848$ those protective molecules so that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:24.848 --> 00:26:26.708 if microglia are tagged by complement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}26.710 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.510$ synapses have complement on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.550$ They won't get removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}29.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.110$ And that's again a working model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:31.110 --> 00:26:32.629 But we do have data to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:32.629 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.974$ that idea because when we knock

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:33.974 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.270$ out the don't eat me signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.734$ there's not only do we lose that

00:26:37.734 --> 00:26:38.790 activity dependent specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.110$ but you get an over pruning as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.954$ So that's one example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:41.954 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.478$ We have others that I'm not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:43.478 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.768$ to tell you about today because

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}44.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.428$ that's what I talked about yesterday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:46.430 --> 00:26:47.907 But I want to tell you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:47.907 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.830$ another one and some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:48.830 --> 00:26:50.050 if you guys are paying

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.270$ attention to my second slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}26{:}51.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.618$ you might have remembered

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:26:52.618 --> 00:26:54.222 another molecule called CSM D1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:26:54.222 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.865$ which is a gene that came up really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:26:56.865 --> 00:26:58.269 early in GWAS schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:26:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.002$ That's been largely nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:00.002 --> 00:27:02.360 known about CSM D1 in the brain.

 $00:27:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.340$ And so there was a I went to a meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:04.392 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.309$ in this is like a small world that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:06.309 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.080$ went to a compliment meeting in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:08.080 --> 00:27:10.280 Greece would not a bad place for a meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.904$ And I met this woman who scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:11.904 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.046$ who came up to me and said I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:14.046 --> 00:27:15.605 really wanting to meet you because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:15.605 --> 00:27:17.945 we've been studying the CSM D1 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:17.945 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.482$ we have evidence that inhibits C4 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}20.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.215$ not in the brain but in a in a test

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:22.215 --> 00:27:23.983 tube in the in the laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:23.983 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.793$ and and it's a putative compliment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}25.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.714$ inhibitor I'm like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.884$ Well, this is amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:27.884 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.339$ So we started talking more

00:27:29.339 --> 00:27:30.838 and and for many reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}30.840 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.160$ we started thinking that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.480$ would be an interesting molecule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.518$ not just because of the genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.256$ but if it is involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:36.256 --> 00:27:37.000 classical complement cascade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.078$ we want to know more about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:38.078 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.800$ it might be doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}38.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.840$ And this is ongoing work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.920$ The paper's on bio archive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}40.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.156$ but we're just revising it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.960$ So there's more to come,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.380$ but just want to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:44.380 --> 00:27:46.156 this work and again how, how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:46.156 --> 00:27:46.512 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:46.512 --> 00:27:48.292 two now common variants are

 $00:27:48.292 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.171$ coming together that suggests some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}50.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.036$ role in the complement system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.734$ So CSM D1 is a well localized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:53.734 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.645$ US signal as I mentioned with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}55.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.520$ schizophrenia risk on chromosome 8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:57.520 --> 00:27:59.277 And although it's enriched in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.122$ in fact if you look expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:01.122 --> 00:28:03.159 by protein and gene and and RNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.985$ there's unlike C4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:03.985 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.910$ it is in nowhere else except the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:05.971 --> 00:28:08.075 testes like it is blazing in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.887$ So therefore, wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}08.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11.080$ how can't we know what this thing does?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.490$ Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.360$ And so we don't know about lost,

 $00:28:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.238$ we don't know directionality or anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.422$ There's a lot more genetics to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:17.422 --> 00:28:18.878 be done in terms of the mapping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.064$ but we wanted to look more closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:21.064 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.280$ at this and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:22.280 --> 00:28:23.220 A postdoc, Matt Johnson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.932$ now a group leader at the Stanley

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:24.932 --> 00:28:26.426 Center who's been Co leading this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:26.426 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.203$ with me and collaboration with Steve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:28.203 --> 00:28:29.828 Mccarroll and our graduate student

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:29.828 --> 00:28:32.556 Matt Baum is an MDPHD student who finished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.970$ I'll tell you about what he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.675$ what he uncovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:34.680 --> 00:28:35.880 So it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.080$ see as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.138$ it's very highly expressed both at the

 $00:28:39.138 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.119$ RNA and protein level in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:41.120 --> 00:28:42.280 So that was, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:42.280 --> 00:28:44.380 obviously something worth looking at no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:44.380 --> 00:28:47.080 matter what but when we started looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.880$ Thanks to an amazing antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.680$ that we had access to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:50.680 --> 00:28:53.040 we started staining and obtained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:53.040 --> 00:28:53.964 ACSM D1 knockout mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:53.964 --> 00:28:56.358 Just to ask just like we did with complement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:56.360 --> 00:28:57.221 you know what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:57.221 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.656$ what's the phenotypes and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:58.656 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.720$ is the protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}59.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.211$ So that was also a great tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:29:01.211 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.521$ for our antibody because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:29:02.521 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.757$ antibody is really specific,

00:29:03.760 --> 00:29:05.279 not only does it light up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}29{:}05.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.508$ brain in interesting regions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:29:06.508 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.833$ the brain especially you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:29:07.833 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.120$ see hippocampus and certain areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:29:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.235$ of the brain at lomag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:10.240 --> 00:29:12.404 But if you really is a man, I hard to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:12.404 --> 00:29:14.336 We saw a lot of punctate staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}14.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.446$ and it colocalizes with subsets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:16.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.890$ Of inhibitory and excitatory synopses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:18.890 --> 00:29:21.090 So it's at synopsis not only at synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.637$ but we have some data that's enriched

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:22.637 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.804$ in synopsis because we've done

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:23.804 --> 00:29:24.964 some synapticome preps as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}24.970 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.930$ which I'm not going to tell you about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.906$ but it's in the paper actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:27.906 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.610$ This might be it.

 $00:29:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.198$ Yes, it is. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:29.198 --> 00:29:30.443 So there is a little bit of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:30.443 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.409$ there to show that it's an,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.210$ it's an enriched in the synapticomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.350$ So again at the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.490$ time and the right place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:35.490 --> 00:29:37.050 nothing known really about its biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.185$ but that one interaction at the compliment

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:39.185 --> 00:29:41.303 meeting in Greece made me start to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:41.303 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.517$ about could the two be related in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:43.520 --> 00:29:45.320 And so the idea would be, okay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.200$ we already know that C4 is high and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}48.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.200$ leads to activation of the cobman cascade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:51.200 --> 00:29:53.657 At least in animal models that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:53.657 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.008$ lead to over pruning could C4C,

 $00:29:56.008 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.584 \text{ SM D1}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:56.584 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.312$ Which the other reason why this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:58.312 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.517$ is really exciting is it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:00.517 --> 00:30:01.993 a huge extracellular domain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.138$ it's giant and it has a the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:05.138 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.604$ why it's called CSM D1 is it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:07.604 \dashrightarrow 00:30:10.010$ it's got cub and sushi domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.170$ And these are the domains

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.330$ that are expressed in many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.116$ many complement inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}13.116 --> 00{:}30{:}14.164$ in the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:14.170 --> 00:30:16.498 And that's why my my colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:16.498 --> 00:30:17.838 was interested in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:17.838 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.050$ And so that led us to wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.906$ could could it be that what csmd one

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}22.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.128$ is normally doing is putting the

00:30:25.128 --> 00:30:28.020 brakes on C4 and keeping it in check?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429 00:30:28.020 --> 00:30:28.526 Therefore, NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:28.526 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.146$ if there was a genetic mutation or loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:32.146 --> 00:30:35.100 of function or an ability to disrupt csmd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.640$ one's function could then that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.180$ therefore that would lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:38.238 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.858$ again an over activation of C4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:40.113 --> 00:30:41.884 this is all hypothesis and I'll just

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:41.884 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.713$ tell you the way we've been testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:43.713 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.280$ it and it's still ongoing work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.760$ One way we can also look at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}46.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.402$ to see whether there'd be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}48.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.922$ complement activation or more tagging

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:49.922 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.759$ of complement was this experiment.

00:30:51.760 --> 00:30:54.037 We not only do we have the knockout mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.881$ so we can look at whether there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:55.881 --> 00:30:57.045 too much complement tagging

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:57.045 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.317$ and removal of synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:58.320 --> 00:31:00.258 We also took advantage of IPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}31{:}00.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.242$ stem cell models where we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:02.242 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.360$ use isogenic controls in a CSMD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}31{:}04.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.040$ knockout neuronal differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}31{:}06.040 --> 00{:}31{:}08.840$ these N G2 neuron protocols.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.820$ And basically did a very classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.526$ immunology experiment where we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:12.526 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.476$ sensitize with an anti surface antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:14.476 \dashrightarrow 00:31:16.558$ which would then bind to the surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.468$ And then we wanted to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:18.468 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.359$ if we then add tag C3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.803$ do we get more tagging or the

 $00:31:22.803 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.488$ localization of synopses with C3

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:31:24.488 --> 00:31:26.304 in mice that don't have CSM D1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:31:26.304 --> 00:31:26.880 not sorry mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.680$ but yes mice but in this case cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:31:28.680 --> 00:31:28.972 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:28.972 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.016$ So this is just an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:31.016 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.068$ the type of assay and indeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}31{:}33.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.510$ Much more data on this than I'm showing you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:31:34.510 --> 00:31:36.406 but just to illustrate what what

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:36.406 \dashrightarrow 00:31:38.569$ Matt and others found was there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:38.569 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.529$ a sort of a selective and enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:31:40.596 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.963$ tagging of C3 in the neurites even in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}31{:}42.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.266$ mixed culture that didn't have CSCSM D1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:31:46.270 --> 00:31:47.788 So that was proof, not proof,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:31:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.795$ but certainly evidence that suggests

00:31:49.795 --> 00:31:51.800 that it's somehow regulating complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:31:51.856 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.040$ deposition and we think activation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:31:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.710$ other experiments we're working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:31:55.710 --> 00:31:58.190 And then in the in the mouse model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:31:58.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.718$ we I'm not going to show all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:31:59.718 --> 00:32:01.147 data in the interest of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.974$ But we went on and did the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:02.974 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.050$ kind of experiments by looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.733$ complement and localization at synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:06.733 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.199$ And we wanted to know do we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}09.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.704$ more complement tagging of synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:10.704 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.990$ in the in the CSM D1 knockout mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}12.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.111$ and in the visual system at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:15.111 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.867$ has increased complement tagging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:32:16.870 --> 00:32:18.870 We see an enhanced refinement by a retina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:18.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.802$ geniculate experiments,

 $00{:}32{:}19.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.132$ decrease in synapses and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:22.132 \dashrightarrow 00:32:24.269$ electrophysiology work that's in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.170$ So together suggesting that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.844$ interesting phenotypes and that may be 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:28.844 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.639$ mechanisms to the complement inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.989$ And so that and we also have some in

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:32:32.989 --> 00:32:35.668 vitro and in vivo work ongoing that also

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}35.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.722$ show that microglia can overprune or

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:32:37.722 --> 00:32:40.256 engulf much like the C4A mice we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:40.256 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.705$ So that's sort of the working model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:42.705 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.945$ in no way does this explain that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:32:45.011 --> 00:32:47.398 is the mechanism that that the genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:47.400 \dashrightarrow 00:32:49.353$ this is the only way this might be working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}49.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.376$ But I think it is together suggest a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92822994333333

 $00:32:52.376 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.640$ mechanistic model that we're going to

 $00:32:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.736$ continue to test in in various ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:56.736 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.989$ So this is a lot of the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92822994333333

00:32:58.989 --> 00:33:01.313 science that I wanted to start with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92822994333333

00:33:01.320 --> 00:33:02.625 but now I want to zoom out and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:02.625 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.065$ want to talk more about the timing

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:04.065 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.483$ part because that's the part that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:33:05.483 --> 00:33:06.559 I'm particularly interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.176$ is understanding why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}33{:}09.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.920$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.143$ adolescence is a is a time where we know is

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}33{:}15.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.230$ an onset for a lot of these mental illnesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333 00:33:18.230 --> 00:33:19.376 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:19.376 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.949$ schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:19.949 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.016$ even even though potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:22.016 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.746$ there could be issues earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.080$ but the emergence tends to

00:33:25.080 --> 00:33:26.144 happen in laid adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.255$ early adulthood and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:27.255 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.630$ true of other things as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.373$ So that raised all kind of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:30.373 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.029$ as a developmental neurobiologist was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.806$ could there have always been issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:33.806 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.371$ but then something kind of opens

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}33{:}35.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.918$ up and and it emerges at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}33{:}36.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.982$ time in a dolescence or is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.92822994333333

 $00:33:38.982 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.546$ actually something happening during

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:40.546 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.108$ adolescence that leads to that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.104$ And so this is now in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:33:43.104 --> 00:33:43.870 last part of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}33{:}43.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.350$ I want to switch down to this part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.610$ the harder part,

 $00:33:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.710$ understanding which circuits are relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.350$ which brain regions and the timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.177$ And this is really trying to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.92822994333333

00:33:53.177 --> 00:33:55.416 us to now get into the identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:55.416 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.146$ the circus in the timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.886$ So then we can start doing our

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:58.886 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.210$ perturbations of our mechanisms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.314$ then start to know what see what things

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:02.364 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.065$ to read out in terms of phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.030$ So it's around that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}34{:}06.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07.386$ When we realized we can't just

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:34:07.386 --> 00:34:08.670 study the visual system forever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.270$ even though I love it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.926$ I started collaborating with

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:11.926 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.996$ auto sabertini's lab and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:13.996 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.463$ recruited a terrific pH,

 $00:34:15.463 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.169$ now postdoc,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:34:16.169 --> 00:34:18.214 who was a postdoc called Kevin

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:34:18.214 --> 00:34:20.510 Mastro to join our labs to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.160$ together on this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.488$ And in particular we wanted to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:24.488 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.550$ better understand and define the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.325$ developmental refinement and and changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}34{:}28.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.327$ that are going on during a dolescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:30.327 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.345$ in particular in the frontal cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:32.345 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.470$ the prefrontal cortex advice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.214$ ultimately in human and and non human

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:37.214 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.960$ primates which which is not an easy thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.689$ And and I talked to Amy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:41.689 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.760$ many others about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:34:42.760 --> 00:34:44.440 but I'm going to tell you about some

 $00:34:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.297$ of the the ways we're going about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:46.297 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.210$ today and this is all unpublished and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:34:48.210 --> 00:34:50.376 ongoing work and I'm happy to to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:50.376 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.098$ feedback from this group but I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:34:52.100 --> 00:34:53.652 You know, we've done a lot of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:53.652 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.230$ work over here in early development

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.940$ and of course that's super important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:34:56.940 --> 00:34:58.697 But we wanted to better understand sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}34{:}58.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.898$ of this next stage and we wanted to again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.420$ after the sensory systems develop and refine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.220$ now we want to get to the prefrontal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.788$ which began as the last area of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:06.788 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.609$ brain to myelinate and mature and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:08.609 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.310$ those connections are still being built.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.950$ So we wanted to zone in on adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.598$ but to do that we need to define

 $00:35:13.598 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.324$ what do we mean by adolescence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:15.324 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.427$ start to do what we've done in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}17.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.999$ visual system in the prefrontal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:18.999 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.990$ and so then we can start to ask, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.270$ how does environmental stressors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.138$ how do genetic stressors at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:25.138 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.050$ times impact circuit maturation

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:27.125 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.790$ and ultimately behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.414$ So this is before we could do any

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}30.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.274$ of that we needed to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:32.274 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.678$ the neurotypical development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:33.678 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.175$ understand some of those milestones

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}35.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37.286$ and we're doing it not only in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}37.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.790$ But through collaborations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:35:38.790 --> 00:35:40.670 work at the Stanley Center,

 $00:35:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.122$ we have a marmoset colony and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:35:42.122 --> 00:35:43.390 we've been trying to have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.334$ we have a smaller colony devoted

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:45.334 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.630$ to these developmental studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.151$ Go Ping Feng and many others at the road

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:48.151 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.829$ are leading the charge with the marmosets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.237$ But we've been very fortunate to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:51.237 \dashrightarrow 00:35:52.868$ able to start to do some of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.158$ And this is all work led by Kevin

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:54.158 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.309$ Mastro that I'm telling you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}55.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.766$ And there's Kevin who's a mazing and he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:35:56.766 --> 00:35:58.546 on the job market and you guys should

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:35:58.546 --> 00:36:00.409 recruit him and Bernardo Sabatini,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}00.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.828$ who's a mazing collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.154$ electrophysiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:02.154 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.070$ And So what Kevin really wanted to know is,

 $00:36:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.110$ you know, let's try to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}07.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.470$ understand again the match.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.190$ But also we want to link this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:36:11.190 --> 00:36:13.189 behavioral readouts of decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:36:13.190 --> 00:36:13.860 cognitive flexibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714 00:36:13.860 --> 00:36:14.530 risk taking, NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.540$ things that we know are relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:16.589 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.869$ to this adolescent window.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}17.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.952$ And so that's going to require

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:19.952 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.340$ developing and identifying behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:21.394 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.312$ readouts that we can use in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:23.312 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.710$ different time points and and models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.048$ So Kevin wanted to know you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:28.048 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.435$ what circuit mechanisms support

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:29.435 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.696$ these changes and with a sort of

00:36:31.696 --> 00:36:33.028 focus on cognitive flexibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.540$ readouts and decision making and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.616$ The questions he's asking over what

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:38.616 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.680$ time skills do does behavior change

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.380$ and what circuits are changing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.899$ And can we link changes in circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:43.899 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.100$ to these changes in behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714 00:36:45.100 --> 00:36:45.275 Right. NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:36:45.275 --> 00:36:46.500 Many people are trying to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.467$ but we really want to do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}36{:}48.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.740$ over this developmental window.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}36{:}49.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.980$ And then if we identify circuit changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:36:51.980 --> 00:36:53.540 do they drive the behavioral changes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.124$ So then you want to go in and start

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:55.124 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.926$ to manipulate aspects of the circuit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.463$ And so we started thinking a lot

00:36:58.463 --> 00:36:59.783 about what time points are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:59.783 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.218$ do we talking about here and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:01.269 --> 00:37:02.727 of course did our due diligence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.137$ looked into the literature of course lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:04.137 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.610$ of work's been done in the prefrontal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.120$ So. So that's that's amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.970$ because we could build on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}37{:}08.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.834$ But what we were a little bit surprised

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:10.834 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.047$ to find is most times when we read these

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:13.047 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.166$ papers but the sort of end point of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:15.166 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.008$ development of the prefrontal was like

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:17.008 --> 00:37:19.283 P60 or something and we're like okay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}37{:}19.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.173$ is that really the end because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:21.173 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.094$ seems pretty early to me and Kevin

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:23.094 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.506$ and so we started thinking about.

00:37:25.510 --> 00:37:27.030 Expanding this for this window,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:27.030 --> 00:37:29.718 so not just stopping at PP60 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:29.718 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.510$ but to to broaden this out into

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:31.569 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.569$ early adulthood and to do it sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:33.569 --> 00:37:35.468 go after the second phase of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.695$ using a combination of approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:37.695 --> 00:37:38.585 from electrophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:38.590 --> 00:37:40.278 slice Physiology and vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}37{:}40.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41.544$ Physiology and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:41.550 --> 00:37:44.134 And as you'll see in a bit overlaying

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}37{:}44.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.308$ things like single cell multi omic

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:46.310 --> 00:37:48.935 sort of characterization on top of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:48.935 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.990$ of the characterization by Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.190$ We were naive enough to think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.435$ oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:52.435 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.905$ we'll just the first year we'll

 $00:37:53.905 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.877$ do neurotypical development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:54.877 --> 00:37:56.041 then we'll just start doing all

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:56.041 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.509$ the cool stuff three years later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:57.509 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.388$ Four years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.265$ we're just wrapping up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:00.265 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.390$ first neurotypical paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:01.390 --> 00:38:02.972 But it was required because if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:02.972 --> 00:38:04.383 don't have robust readouts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}38{:}04.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.747$ really understand the development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}38{:}05.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.905$ then you don't know what you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.558$ what's your readout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:07.558 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.070$ And I think that was the goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.950$ So is the brain done developing at P60?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:11.950 --> 00:38:14.390 How many people think? The answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:14.390 --> 00:38:16.900 Oh, good, cause it's not.

00:38:16.900 --> 00:38:17.068 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:17.068 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.244$ So what kinds of now this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:18.244 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.208$ all new to me because I'm not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:20.208 --> 00:38:20.734 behavioral neuroscientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.840$ So thank God for Kevin and Bernardo's

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.388$ lab because they've developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:24.388 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.453$ lot of really great tools and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:26.453 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.546$ behavioral tasks and obviously all

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:28.546 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.854$ the electrophysiological readouts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.892$ So what Kevin decided to do first is

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:31.892 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.700$ start with a simple reversal task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.478$ And so mice were placed in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:35.478 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.899$ box with three nose port,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.240$ they had to learn to initiate by poking in

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.754$ the center and then deciding right or left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.280$ And over the course of these trial errors,

 $00:38:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.744$ they would learn that one side

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}38{:}44.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.359$ is rewarded and the other's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.560$ So after 20 tile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909 00:38:47.560 --> 00:38:48.160 20 trials, NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:48.160 --> 00:38:48.964 then everything's reversed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:48.964 --> 00:38:50.572 And So what you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:50.572 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.959$ be measuring is switching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.720$ And we want to know how switching happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:53.720 \dashrightarrow 00:38:55.400$ That's plasticity and flexibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.500$ how that changes with age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:57.500 --> 00:39:00.328 And what Kevin found is first of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:39:00.328 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.236$ all just to say that the it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}39{:}03.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04.972$ really due to the number of trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:39:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.375$ So he did a lot of controls on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:39:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.558$ But what's really cool is in

 $00:39:08.558 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.010$ assessing the differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:10.078 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.658$ the start and the end of the of the plateau.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.724$ What he's finding, oops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:13.724 --> 00:39:15.416 I got, I clicked too fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:15.416 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.881$ is that the young animals were much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:17.881 --> 00:39:20.226 at adapting their behavior than when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:20.226 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.416$ rules shifted than the older animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.414$ And when I say young and old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:23.420 --> 00:39:26.336 we're talking about between this P60 up to P,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:26.340 --> 00:39:27.426 right? Like. Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:27.426 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.236$ So, so there's a big,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.184$ there's a lot happening up to P120 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:32.184 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.936$ right, and beyond just the simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:33.936 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.261$ sort of deterministic reversal

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:35.261 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.313$ task where this is now showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:37.313 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.240$ the two ages in blue and red.

00:39:39.240 --> 00:39:40.160 And that's really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}39{:}40.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.623$ the first important point I just showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:41.623 --> 00:39:43.080 you just graphed slightly differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.512$ What's more interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:44.512 --> 00:39:46.302 when he started bringing in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.660$ Probabilistic 2 armed bandit task

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:47.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.657$ which is now going to start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:49.657 --> 00:39:51.132 get at behavioral strategies the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}39{:}51.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52.710$ animals use during this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:52.710 \dashrightarrow 00:39:54.447$ So the two armed bandit task is a task

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}39{:}54.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.977$ used by many groups and Bernardo's lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:55.977 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.259$ has done a lot on this but never really

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}39{:}58.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}59.629$ looked during development and aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.345$ So that's what Kevin wanted to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.048$ And essentially it's it's a different

00:40:03.048 --> 00:40:04.840 task because you can adjust the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.670$ probability that each port is rewarded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:06.670 --> 00:40:08.598 You can kind of switch between

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:08.598 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.070$ 90 and 10 probabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.262$ high versus low reward and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:12.262 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.470$ start to introduce sort of this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:14.470 --> 00:40:14.936 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:14.936 --> 00:40:16.334 you know this sort of variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:16.334 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.981$ in the tasks and the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:17.981 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.386$ have to adjust their strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:19.390 --> 00:40:20.122 It's harder, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:20.122 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.350$ So what does the results show on the right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.614$ You can see again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}40{:}23.614 \to 00{:}40{:}25.194$ the younger animals are much

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:25.194 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.934$ better at dealing with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:26.934 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.629$ and switching versus the older.

 $00:40:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.580$ So they they switch and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}40{:}30.580 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.590$ they're much more flexible and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.540$ switch to the reward report faster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.932$ So then the question is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:35.932 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.818$ going on in the brain during this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.480$ And so Kevin started to record the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:40.480 --> 00:40:42.685 activity using fiber photometry using

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:42.685 --> 00:40:45.125 the calcium indicator G Camp 6 and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}40{:}45.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.580$ can record activity during the task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.602$ And what's really cool about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:49.602 --> 00:40:52.311 experiments is what he found is that nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:52.311 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.620$ essentially during the two on bandit task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.367$ it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:55.367 --> 00:40:57.939 as you can see here that you can actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.956$ you know you can align to the

 $00:40:59.956 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.779$ initiation choice and out the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}41{:}01.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03.313$ You can see there's a huge difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:03.313 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.842$ in the calcium activity during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:04.842 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.498$ rewarded but not the unrewarded trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.000$ And interestingly there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}41{:}08.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09.500$ major age-related differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.740$ It's actually showing that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:41:11.740 --> 00:41:12.864 essentially a decrease, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:12.864 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.550$ You can see there's a decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:41:14.604 --> 00:41:15.874 in activity during the switching

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:41:15.874 --> 00:41:17.700 which was a little bit unexpected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.254$ but actually makes sense with other

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:19.254 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.898$ data that you'll see in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.900$ So there's age-related differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:22.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.400$ in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.458$ Of of how the activity patterns

 $00:41:26.458 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.419$ are aligning with these behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:41:28.419 --> 00:41:29.437 switching behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568 00:41:29.440 --> 00:41:29.656 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:29.656 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.952$ So now the question is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:30.952 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.392$ happening at the circuit level and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:32.392 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.874$ this is where slice Physiology comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:33.874 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.638$ in and he's been doing a lot on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.918$ I'm only going to highlight the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:36.918 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.557$ key results here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:41:37.560 --> 00:41:39.317 He's been looking at the input changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:41:39.320 --> 00:41:41.510 sort of looking at refinement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:41:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.348$ connectivity, also local local circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}41{:}43.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45.998$ changes in the in the different ages

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:41:45.998 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.658$ and what he found by doing slice

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:41:48.658 --> 00:41:50.930 electrophysiology in the prefrontal cortex.

 $00:41:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.486$ Is in in the punchline of this given the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}41{:}53.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.676$ interest of time is it's a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:41:55.676 --> 00:41:57.610 shift from excitation to inhibition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:41:57.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.490$ they become more inhibition dominant during

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.530$ this window of P60P90 to 120 exactly when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}03.530 \to 00{:}42{:}05.337$ we're seeing the behavioral changes, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:05.337 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.200$ So you can see in the in on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:07.259 --> 00:42:09.170 bottom the way the data is graphed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.090$ he plots E / e E plus I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:12.090 --> 00:42:13.810 It's really enabling us to look at the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.875$ the, the inputs that are more excitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:15.875 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.210$ dominant versus inhibition dominant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.698$ Hopefully we could see as this big shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.980$ Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:19.980 --> 00:42:22.500 And so this is a lot of slice Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:22.568 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.656$ is down over many different animals

 $00:42:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.478$ and then he can go on and and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:26.478 --> 00:42:28.153 more because he can then start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:28.153 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.158$ look at the PV inner neurons and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:30.158 --> 00:42:31.828 using viral strategies where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:31.828 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.060$ can target and label the PV cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.034$ he could then also record from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:36.034 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.698$ PV neurons during the same task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:37.700 --> 00:42:39.300 And what's cool about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}39.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.055$ This is just some of the the viral tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}41.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.950$ we can use in mice and marmosets that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}42.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.984$ were developed by by Gord for Shell's

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:44.984 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.268$ group and others and Ben Deverman's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:47.268 --> 00:42:49.847 We can essentially he could find the opposite

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}49.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.600$ in terms of activity changes in the PV cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.120$ So in the excitatory neurons when he recorded

 $00:42:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.557$ from those right it's a shift from E to I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.352$ but in the PV cells they shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:59.352 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.159$ up right so is the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.576$ So it's really cool and so it now

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}02.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}04.230$ it all makes sense but at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.560$ we didn't we didn't know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.126$ So now this is really suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:07.126 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.571$ that the shift from excitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:08.571 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.326$ inhibition might be underlying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:10.326 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.268$ behavioral shift that he saw with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:12.268 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.254$ the two armed bandit and in very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:14.254 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.282$ very like very new data now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.815$ He's starting to test that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}17.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.730$ hypothesis more directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.404$ So the real killer experiment then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:20.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.188$ is to block inhibition in the older

 $00:43:22.188 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.623$ mice and see if you can shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}23.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.247$ them back to becoming a younger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:43:25.250 --> 00:43:27.730 more plastic, more flexible mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.714$ That could be interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:28.714 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.944$ So we did that experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:29.944 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.206$ and this is very new data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.088$ So basically he used the dreads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}33.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.204$ the chemogenetic way to do with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.250$ So if you inhibit the PV

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.270$ activity using dreads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.760$ The older animals P120 were

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}39.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.710$ trained on the two armed bandit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.628$ All the animals received the drug treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.124$ half had M cherry and orange

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:45.124 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.761$ and the other had the inhibitory

 $00:43:46.761 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.784$ dread and the PV neurons in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:43:48.790 --> 00:43:51.100 And he found that upon acquiring the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.409$ two armed bandit task the adult mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:43:53.410 --> 00:43:54.706 With less inhibition performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:43:54.706 --> 00:43:56.650 better than the control as shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.050$ by their increased reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.694$ So they essentially the animals are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:43:59.694 --> 00:44:01.290 better at tracking reward over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:44:01.290 --> 00:44:02.346 And then, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:44:02.346 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.200$ the same animals were then placed on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:44:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.928$ saline and SEM CNO and this is cool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:44:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.126$ the effect went away so you can reverse it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.370$ So there's a lot more to do here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}09.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.450$ But the data suggesting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:11.450 --> 00:44:13.340 inhibition of PV network improves

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.230$ performance in the older mice.

 $00:44:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.830$ Now, there's a lot more to do here

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}16.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.349$ in terms of asking how how long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.350$ how old you are to switch him back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.142$ But I think this is pretty cool because

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:22.142 --> 00:44:23.709 he's doing it in a very select way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:23.710 --> 00:44:24.510 And now of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:24.510 --> 00:44:26.252 we're broadening out even more to say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:26.252 --> 00:44:28.304 what else is happening mechanistically here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.030$ not just in mice but also in marmosets?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:31.030 --> 00:44:32.580 Because we're basically Kevin's training

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.669$ the marmosets to do the same task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.170$ So we can track marmosets

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}36.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38.110$ from six months to two years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.890$ and he's already taught them

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:38.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.150$ how to do the two armed bandit.

 $00:44:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.402$ They learn really well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:41.402 --> 00:44:43.280 And we're also starting to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:43.338 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.156$ the brains of mice and marmoset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:45.160 --> 00:44:47.232 And start to look in a more unbiased

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:47.232 --> 00:44:49.042 way using on the genetics using

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:49.042 --> 00:44:50.896 cellular and single cell and Moxy

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:50.959 --> 00:44:52.783 omics to look at which synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:52.783 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.432$ and cells are changing over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:54.432 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.160$ And again I just showed you some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:56.207 --> 00:44:57.587 the behavior and and the Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}57.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59.398$ to try to zip all this together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.728$ But the hope is at the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:00.728 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.064$ the day probably many years from

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:02.064 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.680$ now when all this data comes in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.776$ it might give us more of a mechanistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:05.776 --> 00:45:07.719 handle on which cells are changing,

00:45:07.720 --> 00:45:10.506 which circuits are changing and then how

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}10.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.760$ those mechanisms relate to the behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:12.760 --> 00:45:14.184 And just to say real quick you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:14.184 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.770$ the single cell is starting to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:15.770 --> 00:45:16.718 out super interesting already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.035$ It's starting to highlight cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:18.035 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.666$ types like astrocytes and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:19.666 --> 00:45:20.996 dendrocytes which is pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.051$ So it's pretty early days I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}23.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.294$ mentioning these marmosets if

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}24.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.142$ you've never seen a marmoset they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:26.142 --> 00:45:27.629 they're really pretty cool they

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}27.629 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.400$ can actually learn to do this task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:29.400 --> 00:45:30.895 They can touch the touch screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:30.895 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.949$ instead of licking like a mouse they

 $00:45:32.949 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.706$ can Kevin's trained them how to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:34.706 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.879$ to do this sort of sort of reversal

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:36.879 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.620$ learning and and to to on bandit task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.124$ They do quite well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:41.124 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.500$ They,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.327$ they they like to do the task and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}43.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.980$ stay tuned for some of this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:44.980 --> 00:45:47.260 But already he's starting to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.976$ in parallel some of these animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:48.976 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.033$ were starting to be able to then do

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}51.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.677$ some of the work knowing what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}52.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.490$ know in mouse and applying this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:54.543 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.195$ the to the marmosets and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}56.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57.630$ ultimately this is sort of a summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.580$ of where we're going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.332$ We can start to also look at an atomical

 $00:46:01.332 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.020$ tracing experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}46{:}02.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.150$ And we can also start to then manipulate C4C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:46:06.150 --> 00:46:06.650 SM D1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.400$ some of the schema mutants like Rin

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.484$ 2A and then we can then pair that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:10.484 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.336$ with environmental challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.314$ So first we look at genetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:13.314 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.060$ see what these genetic leads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:46:15.060 --> 00:46:15.424 knockouts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:15.424 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.608$ wild types are doing to circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:17.608 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.336$ and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.432$ And then we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}46{:}19.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21.070$ based on the adolescent period do

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:21.134 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.472$ a second hit and see how environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:23.472 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.900$ challenges like social isolation

 $00:46:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.007$ or social stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:46:26.010 --> 00:46:27.685 Pairs with and combines with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:46:27.685 --> 00:46:29.360 these genetic challenges and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:29.415 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.257$ ultimately that leads to changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:31.257 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.370$ these behaviors as a starting point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:33.370 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.323$ And so we hope ultimately that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:35.323 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.888$ the end you might learn more and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:37.888 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.770$ more systematic way as we get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.090$ on the genetics and the biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.954$ we we we can then apply what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}42.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.328$ learn to these other sort of circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:45.328 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.804$ and cognitive level readouts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.406$ And then ultimately the goal is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.024$ Marina said in her introduction is

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:50.024 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.866$ to try to translate some of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:51.866 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.539$ work to the clinic to the patients.

 $00:46:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.040$ And and one example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}55.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.819$ C4 happens to be secreted and it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.212$ come out in CSF and we can measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:59.212 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.717$ it and Steve Mccarroll has done that

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:00.717 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.300$ and some of that works published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.106$ C4 can be not only read out

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:04.106 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.739$ and relates to copy numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.735$ So that's a good proof of concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.504$ but we can read out other molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:09.504 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.194$ that we're studying in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:47:11.194 --> 00:47:12.659 of other disorders like Alzheimer's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.820$ a lot of neuroimmune molecules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}14.820 \to 00{:}47{:}17.406$ synaptic markers we can read out in CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.078$ And thanks to a really amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:19.078 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.874$ collaborative effort by many here that

 $00:47:20.874 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.770$ are also involved in the schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}22.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.970$ Spectrum biomarker consortium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.524$ The idea is what if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:25.524 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.063$ start to bank and collect CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:27.063 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.689$ not just from the later stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.342$ but from this early stage of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:30.342 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.250$ that are at risk for developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:47:32.250 --> 00:47:33.405 schizophrenia or schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.946$ bipolar and control and start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:34.946 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.537$ measure some of the leads that

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}36.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.085$ are coming out of the genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.644$ And then that would hopefully enable

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:39.644 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.649$ us to start to stratify patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:47:41.650 --> 00:47:43.006 And as we get more information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.690$ we'll have better ways of reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.873$ out these different markers

 $00:47:45.873 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.378$ in the samples of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.390$ And relate this to the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.460$ and ultimately to to cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.596$ So longterm goal that I think C4 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}53.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.857$ a good example of a genetic lead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.869$ We understand a little bit about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:47:57.869 --> 00:48:00.269 biology and we can in fact read out it

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}00.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.173$ on the genetic level and in the CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:02.173 --> 00:48:04.096 and I think this is the first start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:04.096 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.179$ but I think we have a long ways to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:06.180 --> 00:48:08.097 But it really is going to require a village,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}08.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}10.020$ a lot of collaboration and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}10.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11.706$ feedback from folks like you to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:11.706 --> 00:48:13.414 about how we could then you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:13.414 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.164$ expand some of this work into other

 $00:48:15.164 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.000$ models or into other disease areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:17.000 --> 00:48:17.892 I focused on schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}17.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.520$ but I think this is highly relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.755$ to other disorders as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.769$ So I'll end by thanking an amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}22.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.211$ group of collaborators and support

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:24.211 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.795$ the human microglia in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.171$ They're pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}27.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28.999$ I'm the nucleus there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.274$ and this is the rest of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}30.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.880$ Be being goofy at one of our retreats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.000$ So thanks very much.