WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:07:11.7000000"

NOTE recognizability:0.802

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.198$ Welcome, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:18.198 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.718$ It's a pleasure today to

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

00:00:20.718 --> 00:00:22.710 have Alan antiseptic here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

00:00:22.710 --> 00:00:24.026 Alan, I think many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.030$ is an associate professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00{:}00{:}26.030 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}00{:}29.170$ the Department of Psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.180$ As well as many other hats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.069$ And his was a really a pioneer in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

00:00:34.069 --> 00:00:37.096 in our community and bringing high

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

00:00:37.096 --> 00:00:39.200 resolution human Connectome Project

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00{:}00{:}39.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.329$ style imaging to the community and

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:42.329 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.646$ then applying innovative computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:44.646 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.336$ strategies to analyzing these data.

00:00:48.340 --> 00:00:49.480 And then in more recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00{:}00{:}49.480 \to 00{:}00{:}52.280$ it started to do some some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.693$ exciting work in looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

00:00:54.693 --> 00:00:56.358 brain effects first of ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:00:56.358 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.504$ in his earlier work and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

00:00:58.504 --> 00:01:00.000 more recently of psilocybin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:01:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.572$ LSD and other psychedelics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:01:01.572 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.537$ which is the focus today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727 00:01:03.540 --> 00:01:03.978 So Alan, NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

00:01:03.978 --> 00:01:05.292 thank you so much for being

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00{:}01{:}05.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.659$ with us and we look forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.773990660272727

 $00:01:06.659 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.780$ to to learning from you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

00:01:09.820 --> 00:01:13.288 Thanks, Chris. Mark Gustavo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.114$ Anytime at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

00:01:15.114 --> 00:01:17.335 It's really with this pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

00:01:17.335 --> 00:01:19.680 I feel like I haven't seen you

 $00{:}01{:}19.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.930$ guys in forever and it's feeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00{:}01{:}21.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.730$ more disconnected than ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.950$ but it's it's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.765$ I'm excited to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:27.765 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.910$ what we've been up to so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.246$ The the title is so let's see mapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:35.246 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.694$ or behavioral heterogeneity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:36.694 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.180$ psychedelic neurology and humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.916$ So that's. An overly ambitious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:40.916 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.364$ probably not true title.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.955$ So we're not quite there

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:43.955 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.223$ and this is aspirational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.510$ And So what I'd like to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.630$ talk about is as as Chris noted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.640$ what are the techniques and approaches

 $00:01:51.702 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.227$ we're bringing to bear towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00{:}01{:}53.227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.382$ this goal and some of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:55.382 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.349$ that's been coming out of the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:01:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.319$ So it will be a hybrid of sort of the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:00.319 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.010$ the theme and the ethos of what we're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.006$ how some of the efforts in in mapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:08.006 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.350$ variation in clinical populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.770$ Can be related to neuroimaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00{:}02{:}13.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.706$ effects of pharmacological compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:15.706 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.009$ with the focus on psychedelics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.970$ So that's kind of the idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69283532500:02:18.970 --> 00:02:19.397 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

00:02:19.397 --> 00:02:22.813 And so I I want to just disclose

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:22.813 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.435$ that I'm a member of the TAB

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:26.435 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.600$ Technology Advisory Board for Nemora

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00{:}02{:}29.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.376$ The rapeutic Therapeutics I consult.

 $00:02:32.376 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.057$ For Gilgamesh and I just Co founded

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00{:}02{:}35.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.439$ a biotech with my colleague John

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

00:02:38.439 --> 00:02:40.771 Murray that's called Manifest

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

00:02:40.771 --> 00:02:43.471 Technologies and I I say this

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:43.471 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.506$ really proudly because without the

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00{:}02{:}45.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.838$ support of Yale the spin out what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:47.838 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.258$ would not be possible and so we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:50.258 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.028$ we're really excited about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:52.099 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.185$ work as it has this potential so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00{:}02{:}54.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.290$ Basically the way I kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.692835325

 $00:02:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.190$ think about the entire space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.905$ Neuropsychiatric mapping is

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}03{:}01.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.455$ really about the challenge and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:03:04.455 --> 00:03:06.102 opportunity in front of us, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:06.102 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.438$ So there's two ways to think about it.

00:03:08.440 --> 00:03:10.636 And why has this opportunity not

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}03{:}10.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.315$ been realized in the field of brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:13.315 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.975$ behavioral health and I'll use this term

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:16.048 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.806$ brain behavioral health because I I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:18.806 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.210$ actually think it's important that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.057$ destignatize this terminology, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:03:25.057 --> 00:03:28.819 So it's a difficulties in regulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:28.819 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.603$ brain behavioral relationships that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:31.603 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.859$ we're after and then I'll talk about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:34.944 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.802$ framework for this quantitative and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:03:37.802 --> 00:03:40.022 The neurobiological framework for mapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:40.022 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.236$ brain behavioral relationships with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:42.236 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.346$ assistance obviously of pharmacological or

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:44.346 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.968$ imaging as a key tool in order to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:03:46.970 --> 00:03:49.590 right. So what's the challenge?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.670$ And so this is one of many challenges,

00:03:51.670 --> 00:03:53.526 but in my mind a very important one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.758$ right, which is heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:03:55.758 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.429$ And by heterogeneity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:03:57.430 --> 00:04:00.238 I mean both within a human being over

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}04{:}00.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.153$ time and across people in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:03.153 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.732$ to brain behavioral variation, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:05.732 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.826$ And so this is an old problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:04:08.830 --> 00:04:10.274 We know this, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:10.274 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.392$ And so, but there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:12.392 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.640$ Questions that arise because of this problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:15.719 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.179$ and the opportunity in front of us is if we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.170$ Have a drug like any compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}04{:}23.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.720$ And psychedelics are a great example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714 00:04:25.720 --> 00:04:26.089 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:26.089 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.672$ How do we select the optimal person

00:04:28.672 --> 00:04:31.437 who will benefit from that compound?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:04:31.440 --> 00:04:33.480 We don't have a principled quantitative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:04:33.480 --> 00:04:35.600 rationally guided framework for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.120$ for anything in our field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:04:38.120 --> 00:04:39.680 And then in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714 00:04:39.680 --> 00:04:39.932 right, NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:39.932 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.696$ if we do have a molecule right

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:41.696 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.486$ before we do not have a molecule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.268$ if we don't have a compound that

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:04:45.268 --> 00:04:46.763 crosses the blood brain barrier

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:04:46.763 --> 00:04:48.119 safely or any the rapeutic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.376$ how do we develop one with

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:50.376 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.300$ individual precision as the goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.052$ not patients versus controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:04:55.052 --> 00:04:57.440 but individual people, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:04:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.000$ So that's the opportunity as I see it,

 $00:05:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.143$ right and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:01.143 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.429$ It's that how can we target

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:03.429 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.800$ specific people with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.726$ Quantitative precision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:05:05.726 --> 00:05:09.430 So this problem I see is mapping one

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:05:09.514 --> 00:05:11.833 to many levels of analysis, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:11.833 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.930$ This is really what stands in front of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}05{:}13.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.882$ so I don't have to tell you guys that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.398$ Polygenic disturbances and variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:05:22.400 --> 00:05:26.880 Variations with rare mutations, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.250$ Basically those are the two lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}05{:}29.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.230$ level possibilities that our field

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}05{:}31.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.533$ is studying and how they can affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}05{:}33.540 \to 00{:}05{:}36.000$ Molecules, synapses and cells and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:05:36.000 --> 00:05:38.069 balance between those cells, right?

 $00:05:38.069 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.214$ That's that's at the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:40.214 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.268$ baseline of the problem, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714 00:05:42.268 --> 00:05:42.944 In turn, NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:42.944 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.310$ how do we take that information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:45.383 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.837$ map it onto system level observation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.472$ Some people would say this is an ill

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}05{:}49.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.909$ posed problem because it's impossible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.440$ There's just too many mappings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:05:52.440 --> 00:05:53.313 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}05{:}53.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.059$ I'll leave that for debate later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:05:55.060 --> 00:05:55.927 And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:55.927 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.555$ how do we link this to Spectra of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:58.555 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.919$ behavioral disturbances, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:05:59.919 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.151$ And so I'd like to argue that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:06:02.151 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.909$ mapping is fundamentally unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:06:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.794$ We don't know it,

 $00:06:04.794 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.310$ and if somebody argues that we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:06:06.310 --> 00:06:08.980 I think that they're flying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:06:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.032$ Even in circuits where we understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:06:11.032 --> 00:06:13.090 our biology very well, like fear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

00:06:13.090 --> 00:06:15.215 we still can't treat PTSD, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:06:15.215 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.980$ So just this mapping is not accomplished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87968751428571400:06:17.980 --> 00:06:19.280 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00{:}06{:}19.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.074$ And I actually think that system

NOTE Confidence: 0.879687514285714

 $00:06:21.074 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.270$ level observations at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}06{:}22.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.132$ level of neural systems is where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:06:24.132 --> 00:06:25.940 right link to behavior should be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.879$ not at the level of a synapse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}06{:}27.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.320$ because the heterogeneity just explodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}06{:}30.320 \to 00{:}06{:}32.455$ the combinatorics become impossible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:32.455 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.960$ intractable to quantify or deal with.

00:06:34.960 --> 00:06:38.776 So why have we not solved this problem right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.258$ Why is this opportunity not been realized?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.780$ And so I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:06:43.780 --> 00:06:46.408 I might argue my many reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.780$ but there's some legacy barriers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:47.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.796$ right, that we're still

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:48.796 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.320$ trying to overcome as a field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.080$ And I and the legacy approach are called

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:06:54.080 --> 00:06:56.848 legacy because it's historically important

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:06:56.848 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.322$ to acknowledge that this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}07{:}00.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.004$ The framework that we have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:02.004 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.455$ operating under is has tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}07{:}03.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.129$ utility for what it was designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:07:05.129 --> 00:07:07.226 for and by that I mean DSM, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:07:07.226 --> 00:07:10.610 It does what it was built for to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}07{:}10.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.173$ which is it reliably gets me and

 $00:07:14.173 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.675$ everybody else to agree that some person

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:17.675 \dashrightarrow 00:07:21.809$ has X out of P symptoms over T time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.090$ That it does quantitatively accomplishes

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.640$ that, so we can reliably agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.340$ To categorize a human being as

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:07:29.340 --> 00:07:31.839 you showed X symptoms out of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:07:31.840 --> 00:07:34.500 you know, some rubric overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.285$ And then we give you a label.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.898$ I'd like to argue that's ill

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:37.898 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.310$ fitting for what we need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.914$ actually not wrong for what it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:41.914 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.640$ built to do, just not what we need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.561$ And then further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:07:45.561 --> 00:07:47.403 we lack data and methods to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:47.403 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.772$ quantitatively molecular benchmark

00:07:48.772 --> 00:07:50.550 brain behavior relationships, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.440$ So the legacy approach doesn't have it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.378$ And then we don't have technological

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:54.378 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.301$ solutions to actually scale this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:07:56.301 --> 00:07:58.317 the kinds of observations that are so

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:07:58.317 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.421$ important in the area of psychedelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:00.421 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.833$ medicine for precision therapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739 00:08:01.840 --> 00:08:02.348 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:08:02.348 --> 00:08:06.920 So this is a dystopian vision of the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739 00:08:06.920 --> 00:08:07.271 right, NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}08{:}07.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.728$ that I'd like to show sometimes like

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:09.728 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.165$ basically where we use computer assisted

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:12.165 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.667$ decision making or machine learning informed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.240$ Decisions with multimodal data where

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.481$ the brain is in the middle, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:18.481 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.249$ I'm not taking the person out of this.

00:08:20.250 --> 00:08:21.402 I'm not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:21.402 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.554$ reductionist to that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.877$ but the organ has to be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:24.877 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.619$ the middle in my mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.080$ And then we're hopefully optimizing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:08:29.080 --> 00:08:31.779 two decisions through an iterative cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85782413652173900:08:31.780 --> 00:08:32.110 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:32.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.420$ But we're not there and and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.254$ So what do we do about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.588$ So my group here and our other collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:08:39.588 --> 00:08:43.380 here at Yale are really approaching this in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.748$ in the following way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}08{:}44.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.880$ So let me walk you through the framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.864$ So we have to 1st agree that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:51.864 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.911$ neurobehavioral mapping problem is

 $00:08:53.911 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.559$ quantitatively A must, we have to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739 00:08:56.560 --> 00:08:56.827 Correctly. NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:56.827 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.290$ And I'll explain what I mean by that, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:08:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.530$ Because if if we are using an

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.845$ ill fitting framework to map

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00{:}09{:}03.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.447$ onto neurobehavioral variation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.102$ then just won't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:07.102 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.050$ We won't even translate what we want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

00:09:10.050 --> 00:09:11.994 Gene expression alterations right

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:11.994 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.424$ and disturbances in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:14.424 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.172$ that the circuits are formed are

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:17.172 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.618$ it's useful information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:18.618 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.546$ We harness that from say the island

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:21.546 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.870$ human brain Atlas to inform our our

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:23.937 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.643$ our models that then can simulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:26.643 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.890$ pharmacological fMRI effects which in

 $00:09:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.110$ turn can be fixed individual people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.168$ So this is just one way

NOTE Confidence: 0.857824136521739

 $00:09:33.168 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.540$ that we're approaching this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:09:34.617 --> 00:09:36.927 problem in the area of just mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:09:36.927 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.143$ health and and psychedelic pharmacology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:09:39.143 --> 00:09:41.208 Specifically, but there's there's many

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:09:41.208 --> 00:09:44.078 other tools that we're leaving on the table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:09:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.502$ but this is what I'm going to talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:09:45.510 --> 00:09:47.365 right. So again I'd like to argue

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:09:47.365 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.029$ that you know Chris asked me to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:09:50.029 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.473$ about neuroimaging today specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:09:51.473 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.370$ and to really have that focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}09{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.066$ So I'd like to argue that new imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:09:56.066 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.130$ is not an option anymore in our work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:09:59.130 --> 00:10:01.146 And by that I mean normalizing broadly,

00:10:01.150 --> 00:10:03.958 right? But a necessity, because here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:10:03.960 --> 00:10:05.368 here's a choice, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:10:05.368 --> 00:10:08.408 This is literally what we had on the table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.560$ right? But we need this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.565$ And so I'd like to argue that if if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:10:14.565 --> 00:10:16.362 present the problem this way, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}10{:}16.362 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.938$ like how can we not leverage brain data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:10:18.938 --> 00:10:22.014 in the service of decision making as a must,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.190$ specifically for things as complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.926$ as psychedelic neurobiology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:25.926 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.773$ the individual level, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617 00:10:27.773 --> 00:10:28.116 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:10:28.116 --> 00:10:30.860 So, OK, so how can we exploit imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:30.936 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.940$ in the service of this goal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.760$ So that's the rest of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}10{:}34.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.484$ So for those of you who haven't

 $00:10:38.484 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.080$ really thought about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:10:40.080 --> 00:10:41.220 Structural functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:10:41.220 --> 00:10:42.930 multimodal imaging recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.882$ this is a very useful reminder of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:44.882 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.530$ resolution of what imaging straddles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617 00:10:46.530 --> 00:10:46.906 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:10:46.906 --> 00:10:50.290 So on the Y axis is the size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:50.395 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.510$ the observation and time is on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:53.510 \dashrightarrow 00:10:56.300$ the X axis and and you'll notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.050$ that human imaging across modality

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:10:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.006$ straddles a good bit of the space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:00.010 --> 00:11:01.478 We're not helpless right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}11{:}01.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.680$ Like we can actually measure signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:03.745 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.286$ in various ways in relation to various

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:06.286 --> 00:11:07.790 phenomena, but we still are, you know,

 $00:11:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.446$ out of reach of certain levels of analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}11{:}10.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.154$ Like with human imaging with symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:12.154 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.899$ improving but still not quite there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.594$ And we also don't have just one

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:15.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.640$ way to measure this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.092$ right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:17.092 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.256$ We have multiple modalities that now can

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:20.256 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.045$ combine that give you different slices

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}11{:}23.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.757$ of the signal that this incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:25.833 --> 00:11:28.318 complex piece of tissue produces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}11{:}28.320 --> 00{:}11{:}28.642 \ \mathrm{right}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:28.642 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.286$ And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:29.286 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.540$ so I'm going to talk about specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:31.609 --> 00:11:34.300 throughout the rest of talk about bold F MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.900$ right, which is a measure that is is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.060$ One of the expertise areas in my group,

 $00:11:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.700$ right so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:43.700 --> 00:11:44.095 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:44.095 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.465$ the phenomena that for the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:46.465 --> 00:11:49.447 of the talk will focus on is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:49.447 --> 00:11:51.420 this idea of resting state, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.340$ And so this is now a household name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:53.340 --> 00:11:53.898 I, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:53.898 --> 00:11:55.200 I don't have to go over this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:11:55.254 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.119$ in a lot of detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:11:56.120 --> 00:11:57.723 but I'd like to kind of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}11{:}57.723 --> 00{:}11{:}58.759$ review the history of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}11{:}58.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.672$ I always like to do this because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:12:00.672 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.093$ like to remind people that in 1995,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:12:03.093 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.142$ right, broad Biswal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:12:05.142 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.874$ Actually observe this simply

 $00:12:07.874 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.090$ by taking signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}12{:}10.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.918$ Out of 1 hemisphere of the motor

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:12:12.918 --> 00:12:15.306 cortex and asking where in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:12:15.306 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.190$ brain is there time dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:12:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.470$ covariation of signal within a person

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}12{:}19.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.467$ and then average across people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:12:21.470 --> 00:12:24.278 And he saw this map right which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:12:24.278 --> 00:12:26.249 bilateral motor cortex comes out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00{:}12{:}26.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.326$ And people thought this was junk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:12:28.330 --> 00:12:29.810 This was a compound, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

 $00:12:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.194$ And they ignored it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82290617

00:12:31.194 --> 00:12:33.330 In fact, he was attacked for it quite a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883748563333333

 $00:12:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.610$ right? And then only a

NOTE Confidence: 0.883748563333333

 $00:12:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.434$ decade later with some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}12{:}39.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.060$ Advances from Michael Greicius

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:12:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.580$ and then Marcus Raichle.

 $00:12:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.500$ Did this phenomena really

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:12:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.940$ become a mainstream?

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:12:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.613$ And so now if you Fast forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:12:47.613 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.130$ what is now a decade ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:12:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.378$ which is hard to believe right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:12:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.896$ that Thomas Yao and Randy Buckner

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:12:53.896 --> 00:12:56.240 actually mapped comprehensively right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:12:56.240 --> 00:12:57.779 Large scale networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:12:57.779 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.318$ across individuals right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:12:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.500$ And this is this is now a joke

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.173$ like we we know we can do this now

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:13:04.173 --> 00:13:05.478 and every single person right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}13{:}05.480 {\: -->\:} 00{:}13{:}06.944$ But it was controversial

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:06.944 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.140$ then and so then 2016 onward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}13{:}09.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.832$ Human connectome produced sparse

00:13:10.832 --> 00:13:13.830 relation which gives you an index of

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}13{:}13.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.176$ the boundaries between the areas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:16.176 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.379$ is comprehensive but not definitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:13:18.380 --> 00:13:20.424 This will probably improve it or actively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.846$ but I'd like to tell you like we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:13:21.846 --> 00:13:23.218 made some serious progress, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:13:23.218 --> 00:13:25.990 with human or imaging and we can exploit it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625 00:13:25.990 --> 00:13:26.578 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:13:26.578 --> 00:13:27.754 And furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:27.754 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.282$ this is something I will repeat

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:13:31.282 --> 00:13:33.798 in every talk I give.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.068$ So the reason why the Human

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:36.068 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.964$ Connectome project pipelines and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}13{:}37.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.688$ entire effort towards so impactful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:39.688 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.236$ which is why I obviously drink the

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:42.236 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.410$ kool-aid because I trained there, but.

00:13:46.410 --> 00:13:48.912 The human brain and the cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:48.912 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.117$ mantle is A2 dimensional surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:13:51.117 --> 00:13:53.883 wrapped around white matter that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:53.883 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.598$ about 4 millimeters thick and it's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:56.598 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.254$ size of a pizza and that geometry matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:13:59.254 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.164$ It matters fundamentally when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:01.164 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.316$ going to do precision medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:03.316 --> 00:14:05.196 analytics with single subject

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:05.196 --> 00:14:06.824 human cortical surfaces, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625 00:14:06.824 --> 00:14:07.252 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:07.252 --> 00:14:08.750 just before this talk I got off

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:08.803 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.308$ the call with one of my students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:10.310 --> 00:14:11.050 Amber Howell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:11.050 --> 00:14:12.900 deeply debating the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.383$ importance of social curvature

 $00:14:14.383 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.243$ and depth on a single subject

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:16.243 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.400$ level in relation to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:17.400 --> 00:14:19.170 Diffusivity of white matter tracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.420$ and turns out it matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.990$ It matters a lot anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}14{:}22.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.168$ Analyzing your data on the surface,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:25.170 --> 00:14:28.878 I think is is. I must like you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:28.878 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.630$ You are blind without that to

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:30.696 --> 00:14:33.240 individual variation, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625 00:14:33.240 --> 00:14:34.450 So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.930$ When you do this right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:35.930 --> 00:14:39.142 then you can produce metrics in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:39.142 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.272$ geometry of the cortical surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}14{:}41.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.886$ that can quantify some signal in

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:43.886 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.986$ every cortical parcel or area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.042$ I'll use the term parcel because

 $00:14:48.042 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.410$ that's the formal definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}14{:}49.410 \longrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.328$ And then you can operate with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:51.328 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.053$ metrics to analyze it within a

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:53.053 --> 00:14:54.745 subject across people in various ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625 00:14:54.750 --> 00:14:55.118 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:55.118 --> 00:14:55.854 So furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:14:55.854 --> 00:14:59.389 what we've done in my group out of necessity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625 00:14:59.390 --> 00:14:59.723 right, NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:14:59.723 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.054$ we started to use the cortical parcellation

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:02.054 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.188$ from the Human Connectome Project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.566$ which is shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:05.566 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.598$ These little borders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}15{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.200$ but then we use the network partitions from

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}15{:}09.200 \to 00{:}15{:}11.538$ from other groups and they were great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.840$ they they worked really well.

 $00:15:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.220$ But then we realized subcortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.124$ coverage is not there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:15:17.130 --> 00:15:18.920 Like thalamus wasn't covered appropriately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.366$ brainstem wasn't covered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:20.366 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.268$ And you guys know that psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:15:23.268 --> 00:15:25.220 medication works on subcortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.660$ circuits and precision of isolating

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}15{:}27.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.612$ specific voxels in relation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}15{:}29.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.180$ networks and parcels is really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.112$ So what I'm showing you here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00{:}15{:}34.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.920$ work produced by my student Lisa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.655$ Who's extended the cortical partition

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:15:38.655 --> 00:15:40.843 into networks Cortically first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.855$ and then studied how subcortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:43.855 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.860$ voxels covary with those networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

00:15:46.860 --> 00:15:49.260 assigning every single voxel in

 $00:15:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.660$ subcortical brain matter to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.544249814625

 $00:15:51.744 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.576$ network until it reached split half?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:15:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.598$ Stability across the entire HCP sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:15:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.937$ And so the reason why we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:15:58.937 --> 00:16:00.777 this out of necessity is we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:00.777 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.993$ this for clinical application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.044$ We actually needed a whole brain partition

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:04.044 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.040$ to covers every piece of Gray matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.979$ We can't leave things on the table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.771$ So now this is published and this is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:09.771 \dashrightarrow 00:16:11.419$ we're going to be using for us to talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:16:11.420 --> 00:16:13.352 Now just to convince you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00{:}16{:}13.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.977$ this actually is better, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:16:14.977 --> 00:16:17.575 So you can take a dense signal, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:17.575 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.455$ In other words, at the level of vertex

00:16:19.455 --> 00:16:21.586 on a cortical surface, you can parse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00{:}16{:}21.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.398$ relate it prior to computing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:23.398 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.239$ metric or you can parse relate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.274$ Post right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:26.274 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.376$ So if the person relation is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00{:}16{:}29.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.820$ Valid and consistent across subjects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.074$ then this should be better than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:16:34.080 --> 00:16:35.348 And that's in fact true, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:35.348 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.776$ And so this is not news,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.880$ not Glasser has shown this

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.180$ in his work and so on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00{:}16{:}39.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.988$ but it's just to convince you guys that

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:16:41.988 --> 00:16:43.840 these parcellation are actually very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00{:}16{:}43.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.220$ very useful, not just quantitatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.536$ but as a feature space reduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:16:48.540 --> 00:16:50.448 because now you are no longer

00:16:50.448 --> 00:16:51.698 working with 95,000 voxels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00{:}16{:}51.698 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}16{:}53.218$ you're working with 700 areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:16:53.218 --> 00:16:55.358 and now you can do some clever

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:16:55.358 --> 00:16:57.116 feature engineering on top of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.540$ So that matters, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:16:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.862$ So how do we now link this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:17:01.862 --> 00:17:03.603 molecular mechanism, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:17:03.603 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.768$ very broadly speaking and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:17:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.244$ So, so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:17:07.244 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.140$ so the way we do this and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00{:}17{:}09.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.124$ way we've approached this across

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:17:11.124 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.982$ all compounds ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00:17:12.982 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.398$ single sideband as well as application

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

 $00{:}17{:}17.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.673$ to clinical questions is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.814083745

00:17:20.673 --> 00:17:23.077 are the principal organizational.

00:17:26.120 --> 00:17:28.010 Features, if you will, of the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:17:28.010 --> 00:17:30.399 brain or the mammalian brain in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.520$ and I'd like to argue one that we know about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.266$ is functional specialization

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:34.266 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.594$ across the cortical axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.864$ So we know that lower order and higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:38.864 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.333$ order areas have very distinct patterns of

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:17:41.333 --> 00:17:43.500 feed forward and back connections, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.100$ So that's an organizational principle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.340$ This is a classic picture from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.961$ Fellman and Vanessa and publication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:48.961 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.908$ which also highlights that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:51.908 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.669$ hierarchy and information processing from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:17:54.670 --> 00:17:56.880 Answer to association agents, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:17:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.648$ We also know from work such as this

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}18{:}00.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.159$ paper from John Murray while he was

 $00:18:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.428$ starting here at Yale that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:18:06.428 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.130$ is a difference in the spontaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:18:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.354$ autocorrelated activity across areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:18:11.354 --> 00:18:15.103 from non human primate data and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:18:15.103 --> 00:18:17.031 intrinsic activity scales suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:18:17.031 --> 00:18:19.458 a hierarchy of functional hierarchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:18:19.458 --> 00:18:19.947 Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:18:19.947 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.881$ we know that during cognitive operations

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:18:22.881 --> 00:18:24.950 such as working memory primary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}18{:}24.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.710$ Visual areas such as Mt do not sustain

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:18:28.710 --> 00:18:31.527 signal during the mnemonic phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}18{:}31.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.352$ whereas areas such as LP FC sustain

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}18{:}35.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37.890$ a recurrent reverberatory activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}18{:}37.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.902$ right again highlighting distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:18:39.902 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.914$ functional specialization in this

 $00:18:41.914 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.240$ very coarse way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}18{:}43.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.244$ Furthermore, we know that we can leverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:18:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.044$ Microstructure values from the T1 and T2

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:18:51.044 --> 00:18:55.626 maps to derive a proxy of myelin cortically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:18:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.818$ which shows a hierarchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:18:57.818 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.006$ It smoothly varies from

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:00.006 \dashrightarrow 00:19:02.409$ association to sensory areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:19:02.410 --> 00:19:05.050 And this is also true in the macaque,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428 00:19:05.050 --> 00:19:05.305 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:05.305 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.345$ So now we have some clues that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}19{:}07.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.458$ brain varies hierarchically and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:19:09.458 --> 00:19:11.352 shouldn't be controversial, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}19{:}11.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.604$ Can we leverage this information to

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:14.604 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.502$ understand how the effects of pharmacology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:17.502 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.220$ Which we'll get to and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.642$ The the motivation for this work was

00:19:22.642 --> 00:19:25.710 driven by a grad student in John's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:19:25.710 --> 00:19:28.002 Josh Burt, who did some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:28.002 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.530$ elegant gene expression mapping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:19:29.530 --> 00:19:31.066 And I'll walk you through why this matters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428 00:19:31.070 --> 00:19:31.362 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}19{:}31.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33.774$ So we have this human myelin map, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:33.774 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.958$ We've established that it has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}19{:}35.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.988$ hierarchical organization, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}19{:}36.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.074$ And what he's just shown that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}19{:}39.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.189$ you use Lisas network partition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.367$ but in fact there is a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:43.367 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.989$ across networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428 00:19:43.990 --> 00:19:44.350 right, NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.510$ that the association networks have less

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.789$ myelin than sensory somatomotor networks.

 $00:19:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.720$ OK, just the validity check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}19{:}50.720 --> 00{:}19{:}51.213 \ \mathrm{Furthermore},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:19:51.213 --> 00:19:53.678 he's taken mcac myelin values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.080$ and he's taken tracer data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.795$ defining the hierarchy as feed

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}19{:}57.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.510$ forward and feedback connections in

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:19:59.566 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.696$ collaboration with David Vanessa's group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.035$ And he's correlated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}20{:}03.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.815$ This again establishing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:04.815 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.610$ there is hierarchy right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428 00:20:06.610 --> 00:20:07.382 So far, NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}20{:}07.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.154$ so good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}20{:}08.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.192$ Then he went into the alien human

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:20:11.192 --> 00:20:14.337 Brain Atlas gene expression database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:20:14.340 --> 00:20:17.538 And he's taken from every cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:20:17.538 --> 00:20:20.437 microarray a probe across something

 $00:20:20.437 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.137$ like 20,000 different genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.420$ And because the amount of human

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:20:25.420 --> 00:20:26.940 brain Atlas has serendipitously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.854$ thank goodness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:27.854 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.139$ scanned every single person of

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:30.139 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.940$ the six people that they studied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.454$ Postmortem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}20{:}33.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.538$ We could then reconstruct the cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}20{:}36.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.176$ surface right anatomy postmortem and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}20{:}39.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.012$ map it onto the human Connectome Atlas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}20{:}43.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.015$ Particulate it right using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:45.015 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.010$ parcellation that I just introduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00{:}20{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.677$ Do this for every person from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:49.677 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.039$ island human brain Atlas and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:20:52.039 --> 00:20:54.325 average it to get the aggregate

00:20:54.325 --> 00:20:56.537 group map across every gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

00:20:56.537 --> 00:20:58.293 for every cortical parcel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875419238571428

 $00:20:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.996$ Now you can imagine why this is powerful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.149$ Now we have an actual gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:02.149 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.613$ topography for every gene across

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:03.613 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.314$ all the areas that we have our

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:05.314 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.800$ new imaging maps for, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.760$ So what can we do with this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.000$ So the first question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.080$ what is the principal gradient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00{:}21{:}12.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.630$ the first principal component of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

00:21:14.630 --> 00:21:16.446 gene expression topography, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:16.446 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.876$ And this is the picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

00:21:17.880 --> 00:21:19.453 This is how it varies, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

00:21:19.453 --> 00:21:21.518 And it varies this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859097170434783

 $00:21:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.650$ so much so that it

 $00:21:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.663$ did you say that this this expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.783418904545454

 $00:21:25.663 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.910$ is from 6 brains, six people. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783418904545454

00:21:28.910 --> 00:21:30.910 So I'm wondering how that limits the power

NOTE Confidence: 0.783418904545454

 $00:21:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.949$ of this kind of correlation analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783418904545454

00:21:32.950 --> 00:21:34.777 If you only have you have a huge number

NOTE Confidence: 0.783418904545454

00:21:34.777 --> 00:21:37.580 of genes but you only have 6 replicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.783418904545454

00:21:37.580 --> 00:21:40.188 at each for each gene in each parcel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:21:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.404$ that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:21:41.404 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.534$ So let me go back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:21:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.372$ So, So what Chris is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:21:45.372 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.590$ highlighting is something that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:21:47.590 --> 00:21:51.550 Rental, which is you when you're running any

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}21{:}51.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.378$ analysis on the matrix of genes by parcels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:21:55.380 --> 00:21:57.866 notice that their group averaged, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:21:57.866 --> 00:22:01.918 So what we do is we first ask, what is the?

00:22:01.918 --> 00:22:04.048 Coverage of that microarray probe

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}04.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.630$ in that cortical location, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.730$ Is there a good signal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.250$ And then we evaluate the differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.776$ stability across individuals, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}11.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.904$ So we want to be confident that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:22:13.904 --> 00:22:15.409 consistent across these six people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:22:15.410 --> 00:22:17.450 right, and that there's good coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:22:17.450 --> 00:22:19.610 Then we produce a single value single number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.350$ which is the average right

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.742$ across these six people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}22.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.190$ All the analysis are done on the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:22:25.190 --> 00:22:27.000 object that's you're seeing here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.247$ which is at the group parcel level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.300$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}30.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.400$ the principal component does not consider.

00:22:32.400 --> 00:22:33.798 Variation across people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:33.798 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.128$ it considers variation across areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333 00:22:36.130 --> 00:22:36.529 right, NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:22:36.529 --> 00:22:38.524 with the assumption obviously that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:38.524 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.120$ people are consistently expressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:40.177 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.067$ these genes in these areas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:42.067 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.327$ that's an empirical question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333 00:22:43.330 --> 00:22:43.594 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:43.594 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.442$ One that we keep talking to NIH

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}45.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.437$ and the on human brain that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:22:47.437 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.310$ folks that they need more brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}49.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.830$ They need to produce this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}51.830 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.262$ mapping a sample of sufficient size

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}54.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.387$ that we can interrogate whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:22:56.387 --> 00:22:58.968 there is true human variability.

00:22:58.970 --> 00:22:59.722 And furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}22{:}59.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01.978$ you could imagine this matters for

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:23:01.978 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.906$ psychedelic cardiology which is if your 5 HD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333 $00:23:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.133$ Receptors, NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:23:04.133 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.694$ which I'll talk about in a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:23:05.700 --> 00:23:06.880 very differentiated across people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:23:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.008$ One could argue that some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:23:09.008 --> 00:23:10.820 conclusions that will draw are incorrect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:23:10.820 --> 00:23:12.372 but I think you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

00:23:12.372 --> 00:23:14.700 you're you're as always always go

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}23{:}14.776 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.116$ to the key intuition right away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:23:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.376$ which is that these maps are

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00{:}23{:}19.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.535$ average across people and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:23:21.535 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.284$ limits generalizability across

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:23:23.284 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.905$ the the entire population, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829708503333333

 $00:23:25.905 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.760$ We don't know

 $00:23:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.746$ and also implies that your principal

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763251

 $00{:}23{:}28.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.709$ components are dependent on multiple genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763251

 $00:23:30.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.826$ They're looking for patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763251

00:23:31.826 --> 00:23:33.221 of multiple genes across areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763251

00:23:33.221 --> 00:23:34.898 they're not going to give. Exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763251

 $00{:}23{:}34.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.294$ Whereas if you had a much larger data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763251

 $00:23:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.956$ you could look for components that

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763251

 $00:23:38.956 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.749$ are for grades within single genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763251

 $00{:}23{:}40.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.800$ but you can't do that in this data set, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:23:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.014$ Exactly, exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00{:}23{:}45.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.160$ So you could ask the question of is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00{:}23{:}48.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.040$ there a gradient of a single gene across

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:23:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.767$ people in an area or across areas, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:23:53.767 --> 00:23:55.452 That's another level of variance

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:23:55.452 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.480$ that is left on the table.

 $00:23:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.748$ But the first question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:23:58.748 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.396$ the only question really that we could ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:01.396 --> 00:24:03.419 was what's the spatial gradient, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:03.419 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.971$ What is the spatial topography of the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:05.971 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.300$ these genes vary across cortical areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:08.300 --> 00:24:11.380 right. And this is how they vary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.908$ And so it turns out that that explains

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:13.908 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.700$ almost 30% of all the variants, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:15.700 --> 00:24:18.131 Not, not everything, but a lot, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:18.131 --> 00:24:19.757 And so now you could say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:19.760 --> 00:24:21.098 oh, what does this look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:21.100 --> 00:24:22.643 What kind of looks like Milan, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:22.643 --> 00:24:24.418 So you could quantify that, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897958445555556

 $00:24:24.418 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.220$ And it turns out it looks a lot like my own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.531$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:28.531 --> 00:24:31.086 it varies along a hierarchy, right?

 $00:24:31.086 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.648$ Almost 1/3 of all the variants in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00{:}24{:}33.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.088$ human gene expression in the adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:36.088 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.542$ brains with this these six people

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:38.614 --> 00:24:40.909 varies along the principal axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.320$ where it's high in sensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.366$ somatomotor or low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:46.370 --> 00:24:50.304 And low or high in association cortices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897958445555556 00:24:50.310 --> 00:24:50.641 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:50.641 --> 00:24:53.289 This is this gradient can go both ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897958445555556 00:24:53.290 --> 00:24:53.628 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:53.628 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.980$ And this is cool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

00:24:54.980 --> 00:24:56.702 because now you can imagine this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00{:}24{:}56.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58.649$ the key way that the brain breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:24:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.384$ which is across this cortical hierarchy

NOTE Confidence: 0.89795844555556

 $00:25:00.384 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.390$ and its pattern of gene expression.

 $00:25:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.124$ Can you then look at what genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00{:}25{:}07.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.016$ are contributing substantially to

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

00:25:09.016 --> 00:25:10.956 that first principal component?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

00:25:10.960 --> 00:25:13.090 Because you would predict that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:13.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.534$ for example, oligodendrocyte genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:15.534 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.367$ might contribute significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.220$ So if oligodendrocyte genes covary

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.429$ with the myelin density map, that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

00:25:21.429 --> 00:25:23.224 A different way of measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:23.224 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.660$ exactly the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.011$ It's not telling you something new as

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

00:25:26.011 --> 00:25:27.501 opposed to if there are other neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:27.501 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.797$ genes that might be less intuitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:28.841 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.999$ that they should vary and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:29.999 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.266$ might be telling you something new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:31.266 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.967$ So can you dig into the contributors

00:25:32.967 --> 00:25:34.522 to this component and start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.865513212666667

 $00:25:34.522 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.782$ make that kind of inference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:25:36.020 --> 00:25:37.952 Yeah of course you can check what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:25:37.952 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.016$ the loading of each gene onto this

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:25:40.016 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.000$ component and so on so definitely so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:25:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.536$ So I don't know if Josh has that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:25:43.536 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.988$ in one of the tables are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:25:44.988 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.549$ in the paper but we can check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}25{:}46.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.926$ It's a really good question right and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}25{:}48.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.049$ allows for another access to exploration

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:25:51.049 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.245$ but the point that you're already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:25:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.371$ You know, your your questions and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}25{:}55.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.670$ suggestions are already highlighting

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}25{:}56.670 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}58.698$ this which is the cortical gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:25:58.698 --> 00:26:00.260 expression variation is dominated,

 $00:26:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.390$ right, by a single principle axis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}26{:}02.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04.661$ which is highly correlated with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:04.661 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.013$ expressions of hierarchy and that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:07.020 --> 00:26:08.980 OK, that's an observation, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.062$ And these gradients of micro scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:11.062 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.995$ properties then can contribute perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:12.995 --> 00:26:14.959 to sensory association specialization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:14.960 --> 00:26:16.516 but furthermore may contribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:16.516 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.461$ to the effects of pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:18.461 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.525$ across these cortical areas, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:20.525 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.360$ And so this is this is work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:23.360 --> 00:26:25.106 That we've published on and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}26{:}25.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.997$ I'm I'm also really proud of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:26.997 --> 00:26:28.874 John and I Co wrote a patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:28.874 --> 00:26:30.404 our colleague Bill Martin who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:30.404 --> 00:26:33.066 now head of Global Neuro at J&J

 $00:26:33.066 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.860$ and this was just recently awarded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.124$ So now we have a patent on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.374$ not with that useful for anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:38.374 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.640$ but was it was something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.555$ wanted to develop and really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:42.555 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.210$ of drive forward anyway so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.476$ Sharp left turn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}26{:}47.476 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}26{:}50.008$ How does this relate to pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}26{:}50.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.310$ effects of any kind and psychedelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:52.310 --> 00:26:53.930 psychedelics in particular?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:53.930 --> 00:26:55.266 You guys are probably thinking when is he

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:26:55.266 --> 00:26:56.847 going to get to anything psychedelic related?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}26{:}56.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.194$ Like why am I listening to this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:26:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.990$ So, so we're we're getting there, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.732$ OK, this map and I had some

 $00:27:06.732 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.824$ set up slides, but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:07.824 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.390$ but I actually want to go a little faster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476 00:27:09.390 --> 00:27:09.736 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:09.736 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.158$ So this is a paper published by

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:27:12.158 --> 00:27:14.688 Katrine in elife a couple years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476 00:27:14.690 --> 00:27:15.070 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:15.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.350$ And So what she's done is

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:27:17.350 --> 00:27:21.498 basically giving people a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}27{:}21.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.343$ A pill of LSD which targets the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:25.343 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.860$ serotonin receptor versus placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.114$ And what we've done is we've computed

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:30.114 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.973$ a map of unresting state of the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}27{:}32.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.980$ of LSD on every single person and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.577$ is the average across all the people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476 00:27:38.580 --> 00:27:40.710 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.464$ In the effects of LSD on a metric that

 $00:27:43.464 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.167$ we call global brain connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.818$ So let me unpack this a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:47.818 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.698$ so you so you develop an intuition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.395$ Every warm area that you see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:27:52.395 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.667$ is an area that has an elevation

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:27:55.667 --> 00:27:58.197 in its brain wide covariation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:27:58.200 --> 00:28:00.318 When people are given LSD relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:00.318 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.512$ to cell cycle, so in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:28:02.512 --> 00:28:04.210 you could you could say it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:28:04.274 --> 00:28:05.738 a hyperconnectivity, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:28:05.738 --> 00:28:07.802 But I don't like to use that term

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:28:07.802 --> 00:28:09.517 before impacting it first, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}28{:}09.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.558$ So for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:10.558 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.090$ the visual cortex here would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.500$ This the interpretation is that

 $00:28:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.823$ LSD elevates the connectivity with

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:18.823 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.980$ the rest of the brain for visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:22.068 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.908$ cortex bilaterally and for sensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.618$ somatomotor cortex and MTV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:28:27.618 --> 00:28:30.976 But it reduces connectivity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:30.976 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.560$ these association areas, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.820$ So this is important, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.975$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:28:35.975 --> 00:28:37.900 there is a bidirectional effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

00:28:37.900 --> 00:28:40.874 of LSD versus placebo on sensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00{:}28{:}40.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.871$ versus association regions, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829545776190476

 $00:28:42.871 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.737$ At least it appears that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:28:44.740 --> 00:28:48.753 right? And so we can now isolate the Type

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}28{:}48.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.560$ l error protected effect right by doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:28:51.633 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.566$ TFCC protection at the whole brain level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:28:54.570 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.845$ And now you can see this values,

 $00:28:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.132$ this is what's pulling out the values

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:28:59.132 --> 00:29:02.198 out of this area now what Katrina has

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:29:02.198 --> 00:29:04.990 also done which is. Pretty clever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:29:04.990 --> 00:29:08.246 She's given people ketanserin prior to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:08.246 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.598$ administration of LSD right and contains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.040$ Azrin is thought to be a very selective

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.927$ antagonist of the five HT 2A receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}29{:}16.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.994$ And you'll notice that when people

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:18.994 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.370$ are pretreated with ketanserin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.458$ they look just like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.012$ Placebo right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:24.012 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.840$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}29{:}24.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.694$ there is no effect of LSD in those areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:26.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.884$ And this is true for the areas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:28.884 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.631$ show a reduction in connectivity

00:29:30.631 --> 00:29:33.270 by LSD and the regions that show

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:29:33.341 --> 00:29:35.615 an increase in connectivity by LSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.374$ So there is a full blockade of the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:29:38.374 --> 00:29:41.444 of LSD by Captain Strand on average, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:29:41.444 --> 00:29:43.434 So that's pretty cool, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:43.434 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.620$ And and I remember showing this to to John

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:46.694 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.638$ Crystal years ago when we first saw this

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:29:49.638 --> 00:29:52.618 effect and saying like look the two maps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}29{:}52.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.690$ the LSD versus place bo and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:29:54.690 --> 00:29:56.544 LSD plus captain string versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:56.544 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.180$ LSD are super correlated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.860$ Look, they're almost the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:29:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.939$ And and I'll show you what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:01.939 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.808$ look like side by side, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:03.808 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.506$ This is same people, two different days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:06.506 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.416$ One day they're given LSD

00:30:08.416 --> 00:30:09.780 alone versus placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.240$ the other day they're given

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.408$ contains trend prior to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.057$ Was the and then contrasted to placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.398$ And I'm like, this is incredible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.020$ They look the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.950$ And he just laughed at me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.758$ He was like, ohh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:30:19.758 --> 00:30:20.566 of course it's pharmacology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.694$ It has to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:21.694 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.256$ Was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525 00:30:22.260 --> 00:30:22.500 well, NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:30:22.500 --> 00:30:24.420 I'm so glad it's so obvious to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.700$ that that you're going to get such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:26.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.331$ correspondence in the within subject

 $00:30:28.331 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.146$ effect of pharmacological effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}30{:}30.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.143$ brain imaging at the surface level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:32.143 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.949$ Like I wouldn't have guessed that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:30:33.950 --> 00:30:36.110 right, but this is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525 00:30:36.110 --> 00:30:36.489 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:36.489 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.763$ because it highlights that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:38.763 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.300$ leverage surface based topography

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.617$ as an index of the effect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:42.617 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.696$ pharmacology on the human brain, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:44.696 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.784$ And so now, now we get this map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:30:47.790 --> 00:30:50.390 this delta map, delta GBC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:50.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.050$ right and now we ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:30:52.050 --> 00:30:54.120 OK, what about gene expression patterns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:30:54.120 --> 00:30:54.408 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:54.408 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.424$ If this is truly related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:30:56.424 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.360$ serotonin 5H2 receptor which we

00:30:58.360 --> 00:31:00.410 believe contains from this blocking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}31{:}00.410 \to 00{:}31{:}02.816$ Then presumably the 5H2 receptor map

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:31:02.816 --> 00:31:05.420 ought to look like this map, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.770$ So that's what we tried.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.330$ We took the gene expression map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525 00:31:08.330 --> 00:31:08.730 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.730$ and we computed the correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}31{:}10.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.707$ right across these two, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:12.707 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.723$ And we also took some other target genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525 00:31:15.730 --> 00:31:16.246 right, NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}31{:}16.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19.342$ that are thought in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:19.342 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.629$ to be targeted by LSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}31{:}21.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.206$ And then we computed a similarity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:31:24.206 --> 00:31:26.257 correlation between this and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:31:26.257 --> 00:31:28.033 serotonin is right up here, right.

 $00:31:28.033 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.876$ So it's the of these, it's the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00{:}31{:}30.876 --> 00{:}31{:}31.760 \ {\rm Positively \ correlated}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.147$ And then we repeated this for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:31:34.147 --> 00:31:35.891 entire distribution of all genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:35.891 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.853$ from the alien human brain Atlas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:31:37.860 --> 00:31:38.732 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:38.732 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.350$ serotonin comes out here in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

00:31:41.350 --> 00:31:43.704 95th at almost 96 percentile, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:43.704 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.748$ So there are some things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918407525

 $00:31:44.748 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.270$ by chance could

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

00:31:45.305 --> 00:31:46.796 be higher, but this is pretty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

00:31:46.796 --> 00:31:48.530 Encouraging as a initial proof of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:31:48.587 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.519$ principle that we can actually map

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

00:31:50.519 --> 00:31:52.193 human gene expression in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:31:52.193 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.853$ to the pharmacological effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:31:53.853 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.982$ the human brain in vivo of a given

 $00:31:56.982 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.670$ pharmacological agent that was blocked by

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:31:59.757 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.132$ the hypothesized receptor antagonist, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:32:03.132 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.904$ That's in my mind pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

00:32:05.910 --> 00:32:08.790 And so, so you also see the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:32:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.966$ which is HR7, which in my mind has

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

00:32:10.966 --> 00:32:13.130 some very interesting pharmacology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

00:32:13.130 --> 00:32:14.813 but we won't get into that today, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:32:14.813 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.794$ It's just you also see the opposite

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:32:16.794 \dashrightarrow 00:32:19.306$ effects in this, this kind of analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833545896666667

 $00:32:19.306 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.968$ OK. So. How do we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

00:32:22.980 --> 00:32:24.120 this quick question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:24.120 \dashrightarrow 00:32:26.696$ If you go back, I'm thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

00:32:26.696 --> 00:32:28.808 the 1A receptor which is usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00{:}32{:}28.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.957$ pre synaptic on Axon terminals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.534$ which means that the receptor is

 $00:32:33.534 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.036$ not in the same place as the M RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.484$ That's what you're doing here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:39.484 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.393$ When you're when you're looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:41.393 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.883$ distribution of gene expression across

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

00:32:42.883 --> 00:32:45.320 the brain, you're looking at M RNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.736$ which is basically where the cell bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.075$ Right and. That should be pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:52.075 \dashrightarrow 00:32:53.220$ because it's amended and Reddick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

00:32:53.220 --> 00:32:54.648 But for the 1A receptor there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:32:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.750$ there's going to be a substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00{:}32{:}56.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58.451$ dissociation between where the M

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00{:}32{:}58.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00.124$ RNA is and where the receptor is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00{:}33{:}00.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.124$ which I don't think you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:33:01.124 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.930$ it at this technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860314308571429

 $00:33:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.098$ So just for some receptors that may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00{:}33{:}04.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.188$ applicant, you're absolutely right.

 $00{:}33{:}06.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.058$ So you're absolutely right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

00:33:08.058 --> 00:33:10.690 So what you're highlighting is the nuance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00:33:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.560$ the Super important nuance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00:33:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.634$ the ligand and the receptor, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00{:}33{:}14.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.266$ And basically the fact that the M RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00{:}33{:}16.266 \to 00{:}33{:}17.907$ may be coding for the ligand or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

00:33:17.907 --> 00:33:19.512 receptor and in the cases where it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00:33:19.512 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.560$ coding for the receptor, this analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

00:33:21.560 --> 00:33:23.986 Approach may be very informative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00:33:23.986 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.462$ but in the cases where it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00:33:25.462 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.560$ coding for the ligand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5617697675

 $00:33:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.750$ it may or may not be informative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.097$ It's not about whether it's the ligand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.484$ it's where the receptor is on the neuron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.170$ So for pre, for pre synaptic receptors,

 $00:33:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.214$ for receptors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

00:33:37.214 --> 00:33:38.795 targeted to Axon terminals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:38.795 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.784$ we see this in the animal literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:40.784 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.996$ all the time where the receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:41.996 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.305$ in the M RNA are in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:43.305 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.367$ places because the receptor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

00:33:44.367 --> 00:33:45.609 way out on the Axon terminals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00{:}33{:}45.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.563$ which is in a different part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:47.563 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.310$ the brain from the cell body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.330$ It's not about the ligand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.825$ it's about where the receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.780933518571429

 $00:33:51.825 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.320$ is localized in the neuron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:33:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.770$ So you're highlighting something

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:33:54.770 --> 00:33:56.374 even different, right, which is which

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:33:56.374 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.790$ is now beginning to appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:33:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.748$ So you're saying that the postsynaptic

 $00:34:00.748 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.410$ receptor expression of the five HD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}34{:}03.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.566$ Way. Is potentially captured very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:06.566 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.745$ by the M RNA and the the probes

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:34:11.745 --> 00:34:15.285 whereas the presynaptic 1A may may be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:15.285 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.040$ very different phenomenon because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:17.111 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.386$ on the presynaptic terminals right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.308$ And therefore you're not binding to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.415$ And then furthermore furthermore it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}34{:}23.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.330$ this is where my thinking was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}34{:}26.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.927$ which is the ligand versus the receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:34:28.930 --> 00:34:30.953 right because now you have that third

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:30.953 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.454$ axis of variation and so and and to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}34{:}33.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.980$ point this gets complicated because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:34:34.980 --> 00:34:37.636 When you give a substance like a psychedelic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.446$ you have post,

 $00:34:39.446 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.898$ you have polysynaptic distal effects, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:34:42.898 --> 00:34:46.402 Which is it's going to travel right through

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:46.402 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.009$ the Axon and potentially shuttle onto the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.990$ Receptors and activate those terminals

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.971$ on distal neurons that are not in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:34:54.971 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.148$ local tissue bed of the high expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:34:57.150 --> 00:34:59.460 which is why and this is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:34:59.460 --> 00:35:01.396 gets really nuanced why looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}35{:}01.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.883$ the dense GBC at the voxel level and

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:03.883 --> 00:35:05.753 partially the GBC doesn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:05.753 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.970$ fully map onto one another because that

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:08.970 --> 00:35:11.226 level of granularity begins to matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.496$ right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:11.496 --> 00:35:13.092 And you can now appreciate right

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:13.092 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.823$ that if you are averaging signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:14.823 --> 00:35:16.867 within an area or if you're looking

00:35:16.925 --> 00:35:18.437 at boxing level pharmacology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.408$ right and furthermore if you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.810$ Some even deeper into

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:21.810 --> 00:35:22.860 columnar level pharmacology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:22.860 --> 00:35:25.342 but they're an important ones here, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:25.342 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.994$ But at the very coarse level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.740$ you can at least begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:29.740 --> 00:35:30.900 identify these first principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}35{:}30.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.760$ which is pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.240$ neuroimaging topographies with GBC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.019$ which is this random freaking measure, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}35{:}37.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.164$ That that seemingly has these

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:39.164 --> 00:35:41.904 properties that we really like maps

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:41.904 --> 00:35:44.045 onto gene expression gradients, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:44.045 --> 00:35:45.515 Like who would have thunk it?

 $00:35:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.357$ And it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:46.357 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.310$ And and the important thing about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:48.368 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.511$ is that if you use some graph, theoretical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:50.511 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.042$ Distraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:51.042 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.166$ Without a surface map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:53.170 --> 00:35:54.742 there's no freaking way you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:54.742 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.528$ get this right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:35:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.546$ And that's actually kind of the take

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:35:57.546 --> 00:36:00.061 away that I was trying to get at right

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:00.061 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.264$ is that that this is obscured if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:02.264 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.244$ do not have a surface map, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:36:04.244 --> 00:36:06.927 You just can never see it, Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:06.927 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.712$ because that's what drives the

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:09.712 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.070$ correspondence, right, and the location.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:36:12.070 --> 00:36:13.430 So anyway, so, so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.809$ so now I I want to be sensitive to time,

 $00:36:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.834$ so I may have to kind of speed

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:16.834 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.807$ up to some of this stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.010$ So, so basically the point of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:36:20.010 --> 00:36:22.690 how do we map this onto neurobehavioral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:36:22.690 --> 00:36:25.575 geometric models in in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

00:36:25.575 --> 00:36:27.306 population level analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385 00:36:27.310 --> 00:36:27.616 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:27.616 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.452$ And so this cartoon is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}36{:}29.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.743$ highlighting that there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}36{:}30.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32.348$ brain to behavioral relationship and

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:32.348 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.349$ that it's probably or some oblique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.378$ maybe even not linear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}36{:}35.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.140$ And we don't know what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.048$ And so this is work really purely done

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}36{:}41.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.452$ by my former student now research

 $00:36:43.452 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.017$ scientist here in our department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}36{:}45.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47.805$ Lisa and and she's published

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00{:}36{:}47.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.210$ this in life earlier last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.370$ After a whole saga.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385 00:36:53.370 --> 00:36:53.910 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.714856385

 $00:36:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.820$ so I I I want to this gets a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:36:55.882 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.278$ technical, so I'll try to kind of keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:36:58.278 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.925$ it clear and then get to the key points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}37{:}00.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.354$ So if we're going to leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:03.354 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.970$ pharmacological new imaging that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:05.041 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.237$ even benchmark with gene expression or

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:07.237 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.880$ what have you right to achieve brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.220$ behavioral models that can actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.388$ deployed for any therapeutic purpose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}37{:}14.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.398$ There are some criteria that I'd like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:16.398 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.744$ argue we need to really hold in mind, right.

 $00:37:18.744 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.496$ And these criteria are are not exhaustive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:21.496 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.006$ things that I've learned the hard way that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:37:24.010 --> 00:37:26.040 you know, if you don't do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.060$ things are just brittle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.590$ So the first one is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:28.649 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.107$ kind of for the whole field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.270$ which is that anything that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}37{:}32.270 \longrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.482$ produce as a field has to scale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:37:35.482 --> 00:37:37.602 interoperate in an informatics way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.537$ So for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:37:38.537 --> 00:37:40.700 what Pronet is doing and what Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:40.764 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.913$ Woods is doing in our department with

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:42.913 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.520$ this massive worldwide consortium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636 00:37:44.520 --> 00:37:45.015 Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:37:45.015 --> 00:37:48.670 So, so the days of me working on my PC

00:37:48.670 --> 00:37:50.603 and producing imaging are gone, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}37{:}50.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.418$ Like it's no longer that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.470$ So then the measure selection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:55.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.626$ and I mean the behavioral measure selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:57.626 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.478$ matters here and it matters a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:37:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.588$ And I'll show you why. Then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:01.588 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.456$ How do we cross validate those

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:04.456 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.412$ behavioral models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76734772636363600:38:05.420 --> 00:38:05.708 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:38:05.708 --> 00:38:08.300 And this is stuff that has to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}38{:}08.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.251$ trustworthiness of the reproducibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:10.251 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.540$ of those models at the behavioral level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.605$ No imaging yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:14.605 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.090$ This is this is something that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:38:17.168 --> 00:38:19.674 we also found out is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.304$ Then Criterion 4 is how do we then

 $00:38:22.304 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.109$ produce a robust and interpretable

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}38{:}25.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.548$ neuro imaging maps that are linkable

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:27.548 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.296$ to that behavioral variation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.155$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:30.155 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.865$ how do we cross validate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:31.865 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.800$ brain behavioral?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:33.046 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.260$ So this is a lot of stuff to cover

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.460$ in like 15 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.660$ So some of the hit on some of the highlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.510$ and then we'll pause your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636 00:38:40.510 --> 00:38:41.866 So this is. NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636 00:38:41.866 --> 00:38:42.770 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:38:42.770 --> 00:38:44.074 a trivial point, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:44.074 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.704$ it's just hard to do,

 $00:38:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.290$ which is we need informatics

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.238$ solutions that scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.288$ And Yale is at the forefront of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.138$ I think that what we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:52.138 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.062$ in our department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.456$ I'm tremendously proud of and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:54.456 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.133$ think some of the work of faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}38{:}56.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.778$ on this call and others like we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:57.832 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.530$ really pushing the boundary of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:38:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.196$ This is the point is really things

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}39{:}01.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03.115$ have to scale and drop rate if

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:39:03.115 --> 00:39:04.555 we're going to develop precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:39:04.555 --> 00:39:05.702 medicine solutions, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}39{:}05.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07.574$ So I'll just forward and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:07.574 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.182$ say a key thing inside this

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:10.182 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.314$ architecture is analytic discovering.

 $00:39:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.629$ Years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:12.629 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.792$ And so this is the workflow from

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:14.792 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.410$ Lisa's paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.330$ just as a shameless plug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.120$ But the point is that analytics have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.428$ organically built into this for the Discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:22.428 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.868$ science engine to work where it cannot be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.410$ Data collection devoid of analytics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:39:27.410 --> 00:39:28.550 It's it's it's all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.700$ it's all combined, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.020$ So talk through how we do this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.119$ a particular analytic framework using

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00{:}39{:}34.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.130$ a data set that's called Beast Snip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.994$ Our colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:37.994 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.586$ Godfrey Pearlson was one of the

 $00:39:40.586 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.508$ principal investigators on the original.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

00:39:42.510 --> 00:39:44.350 Snip that made it into the public domain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767347726363636

 $00:39:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.206$ and now they're on to be snipped too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:39:46.210 --> 00:39:47.310 I don't even know three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:39:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.080$ but this is a public domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:39:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.809$ datasets that made it into NH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:39:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.120$ We downloaded it out of the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:39:53.120 --> 00:39:56.068 Debt archive and processed it using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:39:56.068 --> 00:39:57.964 human connectome processing pipelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:39:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.602$ Like that's as much as I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:39:59.602 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.125$ say to speed ahead, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:01.125 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.735$ So I've shown this several times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.636$ Maybe some of you have seen these data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.488$ but now it's published and I can kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:07.488 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.395$ of show you the full gamut of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.767$ So the first question that we asked

 $00:40:12.767 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.041$ ourselves was what is the covariance

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00{:}40{:}16.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.515$ structure across people in the symptom

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:40:19.515 --> 00:40:22.480 geometry of the psychosis spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:40:22.480 --> 00:40:24.890 population of these 436 people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.615$ And you're looking at pans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.480$ these are pans items, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.036$ The backs is on top backs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.444$ which is the brief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:40:32.444 --> 00:40:33.848 Assessment of cognition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:33.848 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.299$ then pans items here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:35.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.922$ So this is the covariance matrix

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00{:}40{:}37.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.212$ and there's some correlation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00{:}40{:}40.212 --> 00{:}40{:}41.960$ these right across 436 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.660$ So in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.030$ they're structure between these symptoms,

 $00:40:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.101$ which is expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00{:}40{:}46.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.270$ This is not new, this is, this makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.745$ But when you plotted across

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:50.745 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.981$ the DSM categories, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:40:51.981 --> 00:40:54.087 where bipolar is shown in yellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.563$ schizoaffective in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:40:55.563 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.527$ kind of orangish color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:40:57.530 --> 00:41:00.026 dark red is schizophrenia and all pro bands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:00.030 --> 00:41:01.848 all patients are shown in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:01.850 --> 00:41:05.710 you know, I like to argue that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.868$ There's a lot of variation in each one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:08.868 --> 00:41:11.900 these sub scores on the pans and cognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:11.900 --> 00:41:13.468 but not really clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:13.468 --> 00:41:15.036 very clear distinctions between

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:15.036 --> 00:41:16.202 diagnostic categories, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:16.202 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.494$ And so this is not news.

00:41:18.500 --> 00:41:20.420 Psychosis spectrum disorder is heterogeneous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.599$ exhibits covariation symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:21.599 --> 00:41:23.608 across clinical scales. OK, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:23.608 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.678$ You know, cool story Allen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:25.680 --> 00:41:26.418 So now what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:26.418 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.140$ So the question is what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:28.204 --> 00:41:30.040 dimensionality of this solution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568 00:41:30.040 --> 00:41:30.305 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:30.305 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.160$ Is there a low dimensional solution that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.420$ we can reduce the map to the brain right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.220$ Can we do that and simply right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.006$ You could ask is there say principal

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00{:}41{:}40.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41.620$ component analytic solution that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.098$ explains these data or a factor analytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:44.098 --> 00:41:46.617 solution or K means clustering solution,

00:41:46.620 --> 00:41:48.960 just something that is looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.935$ the covariance structure of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00{:}41{:}50.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53.017$ data in a lower dimensional space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:53.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.556$ And so it turns out yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568 00:41:54.560 --> 00:41:54.815 Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:54.815 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.855$ So these are the components that come out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:41:56.860 --> 00:41:59.216 which brings me to criterion too, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:41:59.216 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.872$ Can we select the right measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00{:}42{:}00.872 --> 00{:}42{:}02.706$ to map onto the brain? Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:42:02.706 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.142$ And can we obtain an interpretable

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:42:05.142 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.954$ solution here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568 00:42:05.960 --> 00:42:06.181 Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:42:06.181 --> 00:42:07.949 So, I mean, I'm not going to walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00{:}42{:}07.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09.459$ through these principal components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

00:42:09.460 --> 00:42:09.976 More importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:42:09.976 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.341$ when I want to highlight is what the geometry

 $00:42:12.341 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.618$ looks like just so you can get an intuition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:42:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.120$ Every dot is a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:42:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.480$ They're color-coded as noted here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568 00:42:18.480 --> 00:42:19.040 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:42:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.400$ These arrows in this space are

NOTE Confidence: 0.827432568

 $00:42:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.810$ vectors of the pans and backs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:42:28.300 --> 00:42:31.877 Average scores. The green arrow is backs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.348$ which is almost perfectly

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:33.348 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.140$ collinear with the cognition axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.060$ That's one of the principal components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.713$ the black dots that you may see

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:42:39.713 --> 00:42:41.360 here healthy controls right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.853$ And then the two the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:44.853 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.026$ arrows that are coming up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:48.026 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.673$ the blue and the purple are the.

00:42:51.680 --> 00:42:53.952 Negative and positive symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:42:53.952 --> 00:42:55.090 respectively, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.940$ Notice that they project onto

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:42:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.920$ this access under an angle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:43:00.920 --> 00:43:03.400 It's not. They're not collinear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:43:03.400 --> 00:43:06.390 and they're obliquely rotated, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}43{:}06.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08.514$ And then there's a global dysfunction

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}43{:}08.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.153$ which is all the patients are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:11.153 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.968$ functioning as well as controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}43{:}12.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.070$ So it's this PC three that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}43{:}15.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.792$ going to talk about, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:43:16.792 --> 00:43:20.050 So this is a static picture of that solution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428 00:43:20.050 --> 00:43:20.513 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:20.513 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.217$ And if if you can see after correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:43:24.217 --> 00:43:25.880 schizoaffective is misspelled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.721$ but if you can see the positive

 $00:43:27.721 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.010$ and the negative vectors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:43:29.010 --> 00:43:32.270 they form a 45 degree angle onto PC-3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.550$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.510$ So now what is this action you

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:43:34.510 --> 00:43:36.289 look like numerically, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:36.289 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.321$ Zoom in and these are the linear combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:39.321 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.140$ and this solution right in this sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}43{:}42.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.177$ So if I plot the DSM categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.620$ you'd say there's an effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.500$ Right. They don't differ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.850$ but that's actually the point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.853$ The point is that when you cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}43{:}53.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.969$ through this geometry with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.616$ Data-driven solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:43:56.616 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.200$ You ought not to see differences in DSM

 $00:43:59.255 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.541$ categories because they don't seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:01.541 --> 00:44:03.318 actually follow natural variation, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:03.318 --> 00:44:05.967 And so how can I convince you of that, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:05.967 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.384$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:06.384 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.303$ So let's take a look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:09.303 --> 00:44:11.508 configuration of the PC-3 items, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:11.508 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.944$ So typical person would be somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:13.950 --> 00:44:15.660 delusional, conceptual, disorganized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:15.660 --> 00:44:17.370 They are hall ucinating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.882$ they have some excitement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:18.882 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.711$ grandiosity, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:19.711 --> 00:44:21.966 But they're not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.848$ purely collinear with the negative symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:23.850 --> 00:44:25.548 They have something, some they don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:25.550 --> 00:44:27.158 They're a little bit cognitively impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428 00:44:27.160 --> 00:44:27.633 right?

00:44:27.633 --> 00:44:30.668 But again not a clean, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}44{:}30.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.340$ one to one mapping between these these axes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.700$ right, between the subscores of the pans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:35.700 --> 00:44:36.540 So again, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.800$ let's put this to the test

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:37.847 --> 00:44:39.077 I I'm a competitive person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:39.080 --> 00:44:40.448 I like competition, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:40.448 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.060$ And and I like to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.960$ create competitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.155$ incentive questions, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:45.155 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.515$ So let's see is the SM going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:47.515 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.643$ outperform a data-driven solution, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:49.643 --> 00:44:52.324 Because we need it to map it

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:44:52.324 --> 00:44:53.417 onto pharmacology, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:53.417 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.790$ Like we need something that is robust, so.

 $00:44:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.534$ Criterion 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:44:58.534 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.766$ Before we even get there right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.642$ we have to check that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:02.642 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.609$ solution of this model is stable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.202$ So this is a summary of the leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:45:07.202 --> 00:45:09.508 each site out cross validation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.160$ a summary of the predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.150$ versus observed scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.550$ a summary of the predicted versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:45:14.550 --> 00:45:16.150 observed single subject scores

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}45{:}16.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.849$ from K fold bootstrapping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}45{:}17.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.908$ and similarity of the actual loadings

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}45{:}19.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.927$ on the PCA for leave site out five

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:45:22.927 --> 00:45:24.902 fold bootstrapping and split half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:45:24.910 --> 00:45:26.512 And hopefully this shows you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00{:}45{:}26.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.970$ the solution is really stable.

 $00:45:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.770$ Which means that the basement consortium

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:45:29.770 --> 00:45:31.699 did a really good job actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428 00:45:31.700 --> 00:45:32.088 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:32.088 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.416$ They collected and and asset clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:34.416 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.854$ the data in a very consistent way

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

 $00:45:36.854 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.212$ and we're able to get a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.801581658571428

00:45:39.212 --> 00:45:41.140 good stable behavioral model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:45:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.654$ right. So the PCA variance is generalizes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}45{:}44.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.496$ the score is generalized and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}45{:}46.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.683$ PC weights generalized, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:45:47.683 --> 00:45:49.524 Otherwise why are we mapping it onto

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:45:49.524 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.596$ the brain if it doesn't, right? Cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}45{:}51.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.382$ So now let's actually go even further

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:45:54.382 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.470$ from DSM and take pans positive symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}45{:}57.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.304$ Let's give pans a fair shot because

 $00:45:59.304 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.464$ this is what the industry uses, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:01.464 --> 00:46:03.468 If you're going to test if

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:03.468 --> 00:46:04.470 a antipsychotic works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.227$ you're going to use pans positive symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.208$ That's your benchmark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:07.208 --> 00:46:09.490 That's the gold standard for the industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.754$ right. And and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:12.754 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.930$ psychosis configuration PCA effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:14.930 --> 00:46:18.002 which required only only one level

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:18.002 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.550$ of supervision, which is to pick PCA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.750$ That's it. We just said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.102$ Let's run a PCA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:23.102 --> 00:46:24.800 So now, which one will give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:24.800 --> 00:46:25.933 a better brain map, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:25.933 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.498$ That's what we care about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.559$ We care which Brain Mac is better.

 $00:46:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.355$ And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:31.355 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.210$ we're going to use GBC as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:33.271 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.521$ brain measure and we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}46{:}35.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.400$ calculate the variation from each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.647$ Parcel to every other parcel using this

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:39.647 --> 00:46:41.240 quantitative technique that I explained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71513370142857100:46:41.240 --> 00:46:41.597 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:41.597 --> 00:46:44.453 And so the intuition again is that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}46{:}44.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47.246$ going to get this value for every parcel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.395$ We're going to then correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:49.395 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.080$ the area level signal with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:46:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.920$ symptom for every patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}46{:}53.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.192$ And then we're going to get in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}46{:}56.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58.079$ cross subject map that tells us

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:46:58.079 --> 00:46:59.963 how people vary across the sample

00:47:00.034 --> 00:47:01.962 with respect to their GBC, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:01.962 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.894$ So it's an individual difference analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.020$ And so this is the map you get with pans

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:07.107 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.659$ with 436 people and this is the map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.001$ You get when you use the PC-3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:47:12.001 --> 00:47:12.402 Now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:12.402 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.209$ I want to just pause here because

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:47:15.209 --> 00:47:16.965 hopefully it's self-evident to

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}47{:}16.965 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}47{:}19.085$ every body which one is better

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:19.085 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.200$ and if somebody says a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}47{:}21.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.510$ I I hope they're joking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.971$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:47:23.971 --> 00:47:27.198 This is not nothing done except simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}47{:}27.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.507$ taking a data-driven behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:29.507 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.737$ analysis of pens and backs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}47{:}32.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.950$ a data-driven neural measure with

00:47:34.950 --> 00:47:37.660 the only piece of supervision being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.307$ Reduction of the FC matrix using GBC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:47:41.310 --> 00:47:43.417 And then you get this slice through

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:43.417 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.064$ the geometry that hopefully one

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:45.064 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.110$ could argue is is better and

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:47:47.110 --> 00:47:48.792 quantitatively it is better, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:47:48.792 --> 00:47:51.024 You can actually check that statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:51.024 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.965$ that the variance covered is higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}47{:}52.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.760$ and that the range of the Z values is better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.064$ You can do all sorts of other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:47:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.150$ but it's just better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:47:59.150 --> 00:48:03.042 So, OK, now we have something right

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:03.042 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.290$ now criterion 5 is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.014$ is this thing generalizable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:07.014 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.009$ And what I mean by that is if I were to.

00:48:11.010 --> 00:48:12.650 Say Mark or Chris,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.110$ can you guys use the weights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.528$ the actual thing that I found

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.418$ here and reproduce the exact map?

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.844$ Using a split half cross validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:23.844 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.056$ of the model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:48:25.060 --> 00:48:27.937 can you get the same picture again?

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.398$ That's that's what we care about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:48:29.400 --> 00:48:31.016 Not just that you can point to five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

00:48:31.020 --> 00:48:32.940 reject the null and publish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00{:}48{:}32.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.964$ but that the picture of the neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:35.964 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.260$ topography is reproducible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.258$ And this is what we get in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571 00:48:39.260 --> 00:48:39.683 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:39.683 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.652$ So and we did this 10,000 times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.715133701428571

 $00:48:42.652 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.060$ but half and various ways and tried to

 $00:48:46.143 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.448$ break it. You know, pretty robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:48:48.448 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.588$ Both dense level and the parcel level, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:48:51.588 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.901$ And this is only 219 people, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:48:53.901 --> 00:48:56.223 We're not talking gargantuan samples here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:48:56.230 --> 00:48:59.472 right? It's just that you have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:48:59.472 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.220$ right slice through the geometry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:49:01.220 --> 00:49:03.236 then all of a sudden you're getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}49{:}03.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.326$ maps that reproduce even in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.130$ So now this is the engine that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.941$ submit this to in order to select

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:10.941 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.823$ the most stable features, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:49:12.823 --> 00:49:14.594 And I'm not going to walk through

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}49{:}14.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.150$ this because it's certainly dense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:49:16.150 --> 00:49:18.706 but it's, it's simply, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.170$ under the hood it's some basic

 $00{:}49{:}21.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23.292$ linear algebra of optimizing each

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}49{:}23.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.342$ feature in relation to stability

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}49{:}25.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.749$ criteria from the out of sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.114$ Generalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:49:28.114 --> 00:49:31.795 And so then you can ask the question of which

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:49:31.795 --> 00:49:34.774 parcels of the map should we trust the most?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.220$ And those are the parcels that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.326$ then we can use as a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}49{:}38.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.978$ for further feature engineering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.546$ Interestingly though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:40.546 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.810$ what you can also do is then once

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}49{:}42.871 --> 00{:}49{:}44.755$ you've done this and you find

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:49:44.755 --> 00:49:46.011 your trustworthy parcels right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.220$ the ones that truly generalize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.938$ you can then ask how do they covary in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:49:50.938 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.626$ relation to the behavioral feature selection?

00:49:53.630 --> 00:49:55.610 Turns out there's a nonlinear relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667 00:49:55.610 --> 00:49:55.933 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}49{:}55.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}58.194$ Which means that the more extreme the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:49:58.194 --> 00:50:00.579 person is on their behavioral loading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.247$ the more you trust their neural net.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.022$ That makes sense, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:05.022 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.908$ That's intuitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.952$ And so when you then do this and purely

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:50:08.952 --> 00:50:11.210 filter people based on behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.938$ just take the 10th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:50:12.938 --> 00:50:15.530 And the 90th percentiles of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:15.616 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.916$ behavioral scores and segment that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}50{:}18.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.280$ Then you can begin to segment

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.520$ based on neurobehavioral similarity

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:24.606 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.760$ of the map until you get.

00:50:26.760 --> 00:50:28.874 To the very peak of this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:28.874 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.958$ selection and then you ask how accurate

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:30.958 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.600$ is this model and then you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:50:33.600 --> 00:50:35.714 it's pretty damn accurate at a sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667 00:50:35.720 --> 00:50:36.007 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:36.007 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.303$ So in other words it's classifying people as

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:38.303 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.539$ plus or minus and in terms of their range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.990$ And then you can repeat this on

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.428$ a completely independent sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:44.428 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.354$ and again show that it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:50:46.360 --> 00:50:48.278 In terms of segmentation by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667 00:50:48.280 --> 00:50:48.848 Chris, NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}50{:}48.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}53.392$ this is the OCD and and skids data

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:53.392 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.485$ set which is cross diagnostic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:50:55.485 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.234$ we tried this with, right so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}50{:}57.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.544$ This isn't even patients schizophrenia

 $00:50:58.544 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.068$ anymore right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}50{:}59.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.338$ It's just saying does your brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}51{:}01.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.266$ map look like some norm that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:04.266 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.046$ can behaviorally incur right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:51:06.050 --> 00:51:08.135 So it's really about symptom

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:08.135 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.969$ configurations right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:51:08.970 --> 00:51:11.226 No longer about our you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.982$ do you have a diagnostic category

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:12.982 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.904$ in it anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:13.904 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.908$ how can we leverage gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:51:15.908 --> 00:51:17.610 out to molecularly benchmark this

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.548$ and link it back to pharmacology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00{:}51{:}19.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.374$ So again I'm going to just remind you

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:21.374 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.304$ of this framework Gemini dot, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:23.304 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.136$ So now we can take this PC three

 $00:51:26.136 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.220$ map that is trustworthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667 00:51:28.220 --> 00:51:28.920 And again, NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.670$ correlated with gene expression patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:51:30.670 --> 00:51:33.399 in the same way that we've done with MSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:51:33.400 --> 00:51:35.017 And this is just proof of principle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667 00:51:35.020 --> 00:51:35.342 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:51:35.342 --> 00:51:37.274 Again, we can show some relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:51:37.280 --> 00:51:39.179 I'm not going to get into this too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

00:51:39.180 --> 00:51:40.329 but for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854820509166667

 $00:51:40.329 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.010$ you can show that the interneuron markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

 $00{:}51{:}43.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}45.411$ or GABA subunits or seroton in

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

 $00:51:45.411 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.812$ receptor subunits have

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

 $00:51:46.812 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.400$ correspondence with this map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

 $00{:}51{:}48.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.515$ It's I'm not claiming mechanism or anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

00:51:51.520 --> 00:51:52.780 I'm just saying you could do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

 $00:51:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.920$ right. This is doable.

 $00:51:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.866$ But finally to conclude, you can then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

00:51:58.866 --> 00:52:01.274 Benchmark this against our

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

 $00:52:01.274 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.478$ pharmacological targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

00:52:02.480 --> 00:52:05.630 So this is actually an in vivo ketamine map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

00:52:05.630 --> 00:52:07.262 same GBC measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

00:52:07.262 --> 00:52:09.438 healthies versus healthy people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768201470772727

 $00:52:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.530$ placebo versus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.048$ Infusion, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:14.048 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.400$ And then we can select people along the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:17.482 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.080$ axis that presumably varies in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.560$ to that work without ever optimizing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:52:22.560 --> 00:52:24.035 We're not optimizing it yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}52{:}24.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.456$ And then you take two people

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:25.456 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.670$ on the extreme ends, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.560$ and these are their actual brain maps.

00:52:28.560 --> 00:52:29.985 These are two people diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:52:29.985 --> 00:52:30.831 with schizophrenia, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:30.831 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.457$ They both have the same diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.652$ Yet I'd like to argue that their symptom

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:34.652 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.844$ configurations are completely different

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:35.844 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.937$ and their brains don't look the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.550$ Right. Yet we're treating them the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:52:39.550 --> 00:52:42.030 We're giving D2 blockers to both of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.430$ people as the initial line of defense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:44.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.870$ when in fact, who knows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}52{:}45.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46.845$ maybe one person would respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:46.845 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.070$ way better to close the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.799$ And we have no idea that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:49.799 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.766$ is true or not true, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:52:51.766 --> 00:52:56.008 But you can then quantify that using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:56.010 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.377$ This.

 $00:52:56.377 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.579$ Framework that Lisa has advanced in

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:52:58.579 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.383$ relation to a given target and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:01.383 --> 00:53:03.991 say which person is more similar, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:03.991 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.182$ So this person looks like PC-3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:06.182 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.510$ which looks like ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:07.510 --> 00:53:09.076 So presumably this person will get

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:09.076 --> 00:53:11.338 worse if you give them ketamine and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:11.338 --> 00:53:13.398 person would maybe even get better, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:13.398 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.322 \text{ I don't know}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:14.322 --> 00:53:16.952 But you could do the same thing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:16.952 --> 00:53:19.437 LSD now and repeat this for another

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:19.437 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.008$ access and recapitulate this principle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:22.010 \dashrightarrow 00:53:26.574$ Now these maps can be iteratively optimized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316 00:53:26.580 --> 00:53:27.879 This is a. NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:27.879 --> 00:53:29.178 Feature selection problem.

 $00:53:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.136$ Now we can use the LSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}53{:}31.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32.636$ psychedelic or ketamine target

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:32.636 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.880$ maps to find people who may

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:34.952 --> 00:53:37.020 benefit the most quantitatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316 00:53:37.020 --> 00:53:37.517 rationally, NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:37.517 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.996$ iteratively in a fast fail algorithm that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:40.996 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.555$ says these two patient populations ought

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:43.555 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.520$ to show the opposite effects of this drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.155$ And that's a strong inference

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:48.155 --> 00:53:49.335 rational framework, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:53:49.335 --> 00:53:51.960 And so just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.958$ like I do think we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}53{:}53.958 \rightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.957$ informatics and scalability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.678$ I do think we need to first and foremost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:53:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.492$ Their behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316 00:53:58.492 --> 00:53:58.898 right?

 $00:53:58.898 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.740$ Select the right combination we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}54{:}01.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03.908$ achieve criteria for trustworthiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:54:03.908 --> 00:54:06.642 of those behavioral models, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:06.642 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.170$ That's that's a must.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.990$ Then and only then do we go to brain imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}54{:}11.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.288$ and then we need an interpretable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:14.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.595$ Robust and generalizable solution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:16.595 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.391$ the brain back which in turn then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}54{:}20.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}22.736$ can cross validate with pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:22.736 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.907$ and gene expression and other metrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.350$ that that the field is bringing to bear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:54:28.350 --> 00:54:29.150 So, so in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}54{:}29.150 --> 00{:}54{:}31.047$ I I do think that we have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:31.047 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.921$ opportunity here, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:54:31.921 --> 00:54:33.769 I think that what we're doing in our

 $00{:}54{:}33.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}35.069$ department is truly transformative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:54:35.070 --> 00:54:37.310 I'm just one out of many people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:54:37.310 --> 00:54:39.881 are doing this work and we have I

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:39.881 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.217$ think an iterative framework right for

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:42.217 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.169$ really dissecting heterogeneity with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.250$ Imaging and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.410$ And this can be optimized actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.456$ again for patient selection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:54:49.456 --> 00:54:51.486 precise delivery of of psychedelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:51.486 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.179$ compounds to the right patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00{:}54{:}53.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.638$ So I'll stop there and think

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

 $00:54:54.638 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.610$ I'm actually in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822075590526316

00:54:55.610 --> 00:54:56.120 Remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51398486

00:54:58.180 --> 00:55:01.669 Impressive. Thank you, Alan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51398486

 $00:55:01.669 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.727$ That was a remarkably lucid presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.51398486

 $00{:}55{:}03.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.900$ of some very complicated material.

 $00:55:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.720$ Questions. Comments. We have just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76870465

 $00:55:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.810$ couple minutes before official end time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:55:25.040 --> 00:55:27.248 Ellen, I wonder if you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:27.248 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.720$ swing back to speculate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:55:28.720 --> 00:55:31.553 Since sort of the motivating you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00{:}55{:}31.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32.764$ you've spent a lot of time talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:32.764 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.170$ about the framework, the technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.515$ the analytics and the potential and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:36.515 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.925$ just a couple slides on the psychedelics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.028$ In the middle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:55:40.028 --> 00:55:43.058 which I think is fine because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:43.058 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.956$ it's important for us to recognize this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00{:}55{:}45.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.118$ What what what you're working on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.960$ but I wonder if you could project OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.011$ This group is motivated primarily by an

00:55:52.011 --> 00:55:54.279 interest in how do the psychedelics work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.416$ Who can they help? You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.420$ how would you imagine over the coming years?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:55:58.420 --> 00:55:59.764 And I know you've thought about

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:55:59.764 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.155$ this a lot because you're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:56:01.155 --> 00:56:02.560 it and planning on doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:56:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.966$ So how, how would you envision

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:56:04.966 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.139$ applying this framework to a deep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:56:07.140 --> 00:56:09.304 to developing a deeper

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:56:09.304 --> 00:56:11.468 understanding of how psychedelics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:56:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.042$ Affect the brain both in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:56:13.042 --> 00:56:14.766 of their acute, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:56:14.766 --> 00:56:15.702 psychotomimetic, dissociative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

00:56:15.702 --> 00:56:18.510 whatever effects and in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:56:18.574 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.009$ their longer term therapeutic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865789598

 $00:56:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.260$ Yeah, so.

 $00:56:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.770$ So there NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:56:24.780 --> 00:56:25.875 there's two pieces of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:56:25.875 --> 00:56:27.497 that I didn't have the time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}56{:}27.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28.937$ highlight and I was wrestling with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:56:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.452$ Do I want to go into them or not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:56:30.460 --> 00:56:33.274 And so, so one paper that Katrin

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:56:33.274 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.000$ published is looking at time

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}56{:}35.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.980$ dependent effects on the brain of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}56{:}37.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}39.400$ silybin and the same imaging session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:56:39.400 \dashrightarrow 00:56:41.792$ So one thing that she's done that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:56:41.792 --> 00:56:44.175 think is really impressive as shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}56{:}44.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.315$ the evolving neural neural effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}56{:}46.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.724$ of these compounds in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:56:48.724 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.175$ person and showing how these maps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:56:51.175 --> 00:56:53.900 these topographies evolve as we.

 $00:56:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.235$ Selecting data overtime and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:56:56.235 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.020$ gives us confidence of the, the, the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.256$ Basically neural targeting engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:57:02.256 --> 00:57:04.455 So that's one thing that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:04.455 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.520$ we really need more of these neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.166$ targeting management and so then then

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:57:08.166 --> 00:57:09.678 what Josh has actually done cleverly

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:57:09.680 --> 00:57:12.480 in in a in a sister paper to Lisa's paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.884$ which is a whole nother beast

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:13.884 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.459$ that I didn't want to get into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:57:15.460 --> 00:57:16.900 John senior author on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.168$ he's actually taken the the observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}57{:}19.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21.177$ from Katrina was the observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:21.177 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.180$ and then fit gene expression to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.297$ computational models out of John's labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222 00:57:26.300 --> 00:57:26.734 And I,

00:57:26.734 --> 00:57:28.844 I I really didn't want to get into that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:28.844 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.070$ because the the technical detail behind it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.206$ This is maybe beyond our time scope today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:57:34.210 --> 00:57:35.400 but you guys should invite

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.590$ him to talk about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.078$ And So what he's done is put in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:57:40.078 --> 00:57:42.254 gradients of 5H2A pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:57:42.254 --> 00:57:44.430 into the biophysical models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86147540722222200:57:44.430 --> 00:57:44.846 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:44.846 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.926$ simulated surrogate models and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:46.926 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.407$ fit them to individual people given

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}57{:}49.407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51.639$ LSD and found that actually explains

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}57{:}51.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54.177$ the data way better in relation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:54.177 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.290$ their symptoms that they get acutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:57:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.190$ So that's that's another paper.

00:57:58.190 --> 00:57:59.870 So these two pieces of work are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}57{:}59.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01.130$ about neural target engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222 00:58:01.130 --> 00:58:01.395 Confidence. NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:58:01.395 --> 00:58:02.190 And then Chris,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.380$ your question is how do we apply this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.716$ What do we do with this in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:06.716 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.870$ to helping people who may benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.745$ from the the administration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}58{:}10.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.687$ these psychedelics and that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:58:12.687 --> 00:58:14.937 to do with finding individuals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58{:}14.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.960$ the general population whose?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:58:17.960 --> 00:58:21.136 Purported or potential neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:21.136 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.518$ system disturbance alteration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}58{:}23.520 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}58{:}25.278$ whatever term you want to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:25.278 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.040$ in relation to their behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.862$ In this case mood maps onto that

 $00:58:30.862 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.940$ neural target engagement profile right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}58{:}33.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36.472$ And so the question becomes there

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:58:36.472 --> 00:58:39.412 are two questions right that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}58{:}39.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}42.224$ after is the effect of LSD and or

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:42.224 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.119$ silicide been uniform across people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.115$ In other words if you give it

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:46.115 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.290$ to me and you and mark and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.412$ Anita across.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222 00:58:49.412 --> 00:58:49.973 Doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:49.973 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.480$ Is our brain topography gonna look the same?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:58:54.480 --> 00:58:55.884 Turns out not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:58:55.884 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.692$ that's not true and that matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}58{:}58.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00.548$ So for patient precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:59:00.548 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.364$ delivery that matters, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:59:02.364 --> 00:59:04.908 because if say you are particularly

00:59:04.908 --> 00:59:07.419 amenable to respond to that compound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:59:07.420 --> 00:59:08.248 but I'm not right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:59:08.248 --> 00:59:09.670 then you wouldn't give it to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:59:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.393$ And that has nothing to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:59:12.393 --> 00:59:14.119 my behavioral alteration per se,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:59:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.500$ but it may have a lot to do with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:59:16.575 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.559$ receptor occupancy and the the nature of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:59:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.625$ you know, individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222 00:59:20.625 --> 00:59:20.980 Creation. NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

00:59:20.980 --> 00:59:23.110 Turns out this is unpublished work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00{:}59{:}23.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25.406$ but ketamine is even more high than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222 00:59:25.410 --> 00:59:25.692 Functional, NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222 00:59:25.692 --> 00:59:25.974 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861475407222222

 $00:59:25.974 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.230$ Turns out that there is no one axis

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

 $00:59:28.287 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.423$ of the average effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

00:59:29.423 --> 00:59:30.843 Academy on the human brain.

00:59:30.850 --> 00:59:33.202 It's actually highly dimensional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

 $00:59:33.202 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.966$ which obscures paradoxically

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

00:59:34.966 --> 00:59:36.963 the average effect, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

 $00:59:36.963 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.128$ if you have multiple dimensions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

 $00:59:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.327$ And so this is what we're after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

00:59:39.330 --> 00:59:41.540 We're after mapping variation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

00:59:41.540 --> 00:59:42.866 psychopharmacology within and

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

 $00:59:42.866 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.047$ across people in order to then

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

 $00:59:45.047 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.587$ informed precision of how it

NOTE Confidence: 0.760663160526316

 $00{:}59{:}46.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}48.298$ relates to circuit disturbance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85620485375

 $00:59:52.840 \dashrightarrow 00:59:54.412$ I don't thank you very much for your call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85620485375

00:59:54.412 --> 00:59:57.480 I have a question about the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.85620485375

 $00:59:57.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.026$ that you show about the functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.85620485375

 $01:00:01.026 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.020$ connectivity after LSD and was it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85620485375

01:00:05.020 --> 01:00:07.519 acute phase or like post acute or like,

01:00:07.520 --> 01:00:10.080 I just want to know how long after

NOTE Confidence: 0.85620485375

 $01:00:10.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.130$ those of like any psychedelic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54301478

01:00:12.200 --> 01:00:15.922 we have a question. And two scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54301478

01:00:15.922 --> 01:00:18.400 one at 75 minutes, one at 300 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54301478

 $01:00:18.400 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.826$ So you could argue because the ketanserin

NOTE Confidence: 0.54301478

 $01:00:20.826 \dashrightarrow 01:00:23.689$ and the LSD half lives have slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.54301478

 $01:00:23.689 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.619$ overlapping and distinct curves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54301478

01:00:25.620 --> 01:00:27.780 So we wanted one early and one late,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54301478

 $01:00:27.780 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.089$ and it turns out that matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900398085714286

01:00:30.890 --> 01:00:32.570 So like do you know of any?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900398085714286

 $01{:}00{:}32.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}35.080$ Like is the Hyperconnectivity continues

NOTE Confidence: 0.900398085714286

 $01:00:35.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.040$ after for example after one week?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:00:39.430 --> 01:00:40.618 I don't know. We don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01{:}00{:}40.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}42.077$ That's a wide open question, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:00:42.077 --> 01:00:44.363 So. So I don't know that anybody's

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:00:44.363 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.678$ looked at these sustained effects.

 $01{:}00{:}45.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}47.857$ What we have some stuff from Arena

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:00:47.860 --> 01:00:49.212 Australia's data set, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:00:49.212 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.560$ Which again I it's it's really her

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:00:51.560 --> 01:00:53.366 story to report but but it turns

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:00:53.366 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.993$ out also when we give ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:00:54.993 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.937$ and look at people a day later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:00:56.937 --> 01:00:59.079 right, with F MRI and behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01{:}00{:}59.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}00.720$ there's this and you guys know this, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:00.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.940$ There's this crazy inverted V relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:02.940 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.691$ Some people have a sustained effect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:05.691 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.513$ the antidepressant phenomenon and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:07.513 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.825$ people go right back to where they were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:09.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.545$ And we don't know why this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:11.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.160$ This is unexplored neurobehavioral effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:14.160 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.330$ We don't know,

 $01:01:15.330 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.280$ but we know that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:17.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.058$ acutely shows some kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:01:19.060 --> 01:01:19.722 Clinical efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:19.722 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.742$ but then a day later you have this rebound

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:01:22.742 --> 01:01:25.496 and who is rebounding and who is not why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:25.500 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.762$ You know there's ideas about synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01{:}01{:}27.762 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}29.700$ plasticity and LTP like phenomena

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:01:29.700 --> 01:01:32.255 and and you know which people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:32.255 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.801$ that dendritic proliferation would

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:33.801 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.063$ then stabilizes and who is most

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:36.063 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.692$ likely to benefit from that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

01:01:38.692 --> 01:01:40.440 of and you know psychedelics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:40.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.720$ ketamine very different from ecology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935 01:01:41.720 --> 01:01:42.049 very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:42.049 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.023$ very different but maybe converging on

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:44.023 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.054$ some endpoint of exciting and driving

01:01:46.054 --> 01:01:48.357 the circuits into an LTP like phenomena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935

 $01:01:48.360 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.491$ we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817742935 01:01:49.491 --> 01:01:49.868 And

NOTE Confidence: 0.873927528888889

 $01:01:49.880 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.576$ is there any relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873927528888889

 $01:01:51.576 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.272$ any association between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873927528888889

 $01:01:53.272 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.560$ degree of this hyperconnectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.873927528888889

 $01:01:55.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.008$ and response to treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:01:57.880 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.466$ We don't know that's nobody has

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01{:}02{:}00.466 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}02{:}751$ that data set again nobody's

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:02.751 \dashrightarrow 01:02:05.577$ done MD either you know major,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01{:}02{:}05.580 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 01{:}02{:}08.044$ major depression or you know severe mood

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:08.044 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.156$ disturbance data set or experiment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:10.156 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.498$ which people were given either ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01{:}02{:}12.498 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}16.061$ indoor sily bin or randomized to one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:16.061 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.238$ these arms scanned prior at baseline.

 $01:02:19.240 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.580$ Scanned acutely scanned post

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:20.580 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.590$ and then scanned later when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:22.648 \longrightarrow 01:02:24.543$ either sustain their recovered and

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:24.543 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.438$ understood what predicts it right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:26.440 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.488$ Like wide open question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01{:}02{:}27.488 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}29.384$ And I think our department is unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:29.384 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.004$ position to go after this right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

01:02:31.010 --> 01:02:32.620 I think there's plenty of

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:32.620 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.700$ people who have the means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:34.700 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.368$ expertise and talent to go after

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01{:}02{:}36.368 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}38.177$ this and it's a fascinating question

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:38.177 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.721$ like this is what we need to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744778377058824

 $01:02:40.730 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.649$ Thank you. No, my pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

 $01{:}02{:}44.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}46.220$ Anahita stole my question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

 $01:02:46.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.459$ I I also had the question about can

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

 $01:02:48.460 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.259$ we predict who was going to respond

 $01:02:50.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.120$ if they have a certain a certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

 $01{:}02{:}52.120 \to 01{:}02{:}55.298$ pattern that that that that shows up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

 $01:02:55.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.332$ But I also wonder whether there have

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

01:02:57.332 --> 01:02:58.486 been other neuroimaging studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

01:02:58.486 --> 01:03:00.199 not with psychedelics but with

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

 $01:03:00.200 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.384$ other treatments that showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.69949868

01:03:02.384 --> 01:03:04.644 changes in the connectivity such

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:03:04.660 --> 01:03:08.544 as T or presence. Totally, totally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:08.544 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.760$ So actually I forgot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:09.760 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.160$ So charity of Donna did a nice study

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:12.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.827$ where he looked at meta analysis of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:03:14.830 --> 01:03:16.944 Uh, effects of ketamine. I don't wanna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:03:16.950 --> 01:03:18.924 I actually don't wanna forget that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:18.924 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.836$ think John's on the paper, John Christow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:20.836 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.700$ So there is some evidence of this in

01:03:22.754 --> 01:03:24.446 the literature that people have done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:24.450 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.124$ but just not the experiments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:26.124 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.870$ you guys were asking about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:27.870 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.290$ But to your point, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:29.290 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.834$ In fact, we worked with Anil Malhotra and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:31.834 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.529$ to look at the effect of clozapine, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:34.529 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.724$ We're actually writing this up

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:35.724 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.970$ for publication as we speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:36.970 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.672$ And so, yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:37.672 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.310$ in fact you can predict and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01{:}03{:}39.363 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}41.519$ turns out that these are very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:03:41.519 --> 01:03:43.104 effects actually when you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:43.104 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.872$ people who are responding, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:44.872 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.158$ The neural maps of predicting who responds

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:48.158 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.234$ are actually quite nice and clean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01{:}03{:}50.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}52.718$ It's just that these are small samples

01:03:52.718 --> 01:03:54.497 like we're talking 141520 people, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:03:54.497 --> 01:03:56.170 So it's just the first wave of

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:03:56.221 --> 01:03:57.574 work that's coming out, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:03:57.574 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.338$ Like this is the next generation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149 01:03:59.340 --> 01:03:59.763 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:03:59.763 --> 01:04:01.878 They don't like precision pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:04:01.878 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.062$ to dissect individual variation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:04:04.062 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.778$ relation to neurobehavioral effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:04:05.780 --> 01:04:07.790 Like, I I'm just super excited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

01:04:07.790 --> 01:04:08.051 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:04:08.051 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.878$ because I think this is actually happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01:04:09.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.364$ Like we actually see this now like

NOTE Confidence: 0.52348149

 $01{:}04{:}11.364 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}13.179$ the next 5 to 10 years as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8752906

 $01:04:16.220 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.890$ Great. Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781236963333333

 $01:04:20.000 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.618$ Very excited. I'm sorry, go ahead.

 $01:04:23.620 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.321$ I was just going to say we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.781236963333333

 $01:04:25.321 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.612$ overtime and I wonder if

NOTE Confidence: 0.781236963333333

 $01:04:26.612 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.106$ we should wrap up that if.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781236963333333

01:04:28.110 --> 01:04:29.846 Well, I was just going to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781236963333333

 $01:04:29.850 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.190$ I'll make it quick then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781236963333333

01:04:31.190 --> 01:04:32.219 So very exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

01:04:32.230 --> 01:04:37.230 work, great presentation and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

 $01:04:37.230 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.960$ several questions that were just

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

 $01:04:39.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.921$ asked made me think about some of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

01:04:42.921 --> 01:04:44.612 work in the psychotherapy Development

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

 $01:04:44.612 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.489$ Center and the data that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

 $01:04:47.489 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.450$ collecting over the past 15 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

 $01:04:49.450 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.440$ by integrating F MRI measures into

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

 $01:04:51.440 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.462$ randomized clinical trials and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

 $01:04:53.462 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.326$ potential for these approaches we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.789216434

 $01:04:55.326 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.600$ been using things like connectome based.

 $01:04:57.600 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.000$ Predictive modeling, but there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450367575

 $01:04:59.010 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.800$ are many different approaches to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:03.270 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.988$ better how people may respond to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:05:07.990 --> 01:05:11.665 So I think again very exciting work

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:11.670 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.478$ would be great to to speak further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:15.480 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.470$ Because I think that we are at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:05:17.470 --> 01:05:19.635 stage and as you mentioned uniquely

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:19.635 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.365$ positioned within our department to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:22.365 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.860$ make significant contributions to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:24.860 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.212$ understanding of how we might best

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:05:27.212 --> 01:05:29.056 advance psychiatric care for people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:05:29.056 --> 01:05:30.816 I couldn't agree more mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:30.820 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.948$ And I think that your point there's many

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:33.948 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.419$ different ways that we'll all be in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:05:36.419 --> 01:05:38.557 family of general linear models, right.

01:05:38.557 --> 01:05:40.459 So and and people can approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:40.459 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.880$ this from various angles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:41.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.714$ I think that you know to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:43.714 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.420$ point it's going to be the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:45.420 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.380$ That you guys have and we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:05:47.380 --> 01:05:49.298 continue to collect and it's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:49.298 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.005$ be about the right behavioral response

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:51.005 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.229$ mapping which all of you are alluding to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:05:53.230 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.119$ So I I couldn't agree more and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:05:56.119 --> 01:05:59.290 it's just it's it's just kind of you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:05:59.290 --> 01:06:00.420 Maybe it's the sunny day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:00.420 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.948$ so I feel optimistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:01.948 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.632$ but but I I I genuinely think that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:06:05.632 --> 01:06:08.466 was not possible 15 years ago, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:08.466 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.500$ Like we didn't have the tech to do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:10.559 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.674$ and we actually now not only have the tech,

 $01:06:12.680 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.420$ but the information to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:14.420 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.848$ And so I want to just leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:15.848 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.740$ people with that idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:06:16.740 --> 01:06:20.730 right, that that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:20.730 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.613$ And the fact that Yale is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:06:22.613 --> 01:06:23.793 stepping up into psychedelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

01:06:23.793 --> 01:06:25.984 medicine and and that you guys are

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01{:}06{:}25.984 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}27.938$ doing this work and I couldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:27.938 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.523$ be more supportive and whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:29.530 \longrightarrow 01:06:31.350$ whatever you need on on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950403578571429

 $01:06:31.350 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.040$ happy to help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:06:36.300 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.692$ So with that, I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01{:}06{:}37.692 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}39.190$ should close for today. Alan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:06:39.190 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.830$ Thank you again for being here with us

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:06:42.830 \longrightarrow 01:06:45.540$ today and for covering this material.

01:06:45.540 --> 01:06:48.120 I believe next month, tentatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:06:48.120 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.164$ Cyril has agreed to present either he

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:06:50.164 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.429$ or someone from his group or about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

01:06:52.429 --> 01:06:54.420 of the work that they've been doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

01:06:54.420 --> 01:06:55.398 perhaps about DMT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:06:55.398 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.040$ where they've done some of the first work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:06:58.040 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.846$ both in health and in individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:06:59.846 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.293$ with depressions. That'd be exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

01:07:01.293 --> 01:07:02.417 That's not confirmed yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:07:02.420 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.521$ but we'll send out emails once we

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01{:}07{:}05.521 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{.}968$ have a confirmation and a title

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:07:07.968 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.369$ and hope to see you all then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891830826

 $01:07:10.370 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.699$ Take care, everybody.