WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:48:05"
- NOTE recognizability:0.872
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.484 Thank you. Very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00:00:01.484 \dashrightarrow 00:00:04.750$ Thanks so much for that Nice introduction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00{:}00{:}04.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06.164$ It's really an honor to be here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00{:}00{:}06{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07{.}904$ And I was just talking to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00:00:07.904 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.430$ some folks before the talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00:00:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.755$ I've known folks from Yale
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- 00:00:10.755 --> 00:00:12.570 since I was a graduate student,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00:00:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.790$ and I've always admired them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00:00:13.790 \rightarrow 00:00:16.550$ So it's nice to be here giving my own talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- 00:00:16.550 --> 00:00:20.878 So I'm going to cover a lot today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- 00:00:20.880 --> 00:00:22.175 I just to give you an outline
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00:00:22.175 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.640$ of what I'd like to talk about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818
- $00{:}00{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.069$ I'd like to talk about some background
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:00:26.069 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.542$ about cannabis and the brain and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}00{:}28.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.588$ the landscape of cannabis and cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

00:00:30.655 --> 00:00:33.399 itself is changing and just rapidly changing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:00:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.920$ The product types, the the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:00:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.040$ the characteristics of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}00{:}37.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.160$ using it are are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

00:00:38.160 -> 00:00:39.114 are dramatically changing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}00{:}39{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41{.}735$ Then I'd like to talk about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

00:00:41.735 --> 00:00:44.108 my work on cannabis and cognition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}00{:}44.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.682$ adolescent adolescents and young adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}00{:}45.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.128$ and talk about a super cool clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}00{:}48.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.540$ trial that we did with a dolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:00:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.106$ Then I'd like to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

00:00:52.110 --> 00:00:52.740 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:00:52.740 \rightarrow 00:00:55.890$ The medicinal aspects of cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:00:55.890 \rightarrow 00:00:58.509$ which a lot of my work focuses on now

00:00:58.509 --> 00:01:01.140 because it's just such a hot topic right

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:01.140 \rightarrow 00:01:03.667$ now about whether cannabis is a medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:03.670 \rightarrow 00:01:05.846$ how it can be conceptualized as a medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.638$ does it work as a medicine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.858$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:08.858 \rightarrow 00:01:12.451$ I want to talk about some of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:12.451 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.067$ acute THC administration paradigms

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:15.070 \rightarrow 00:01:17.056$ where we're looking at the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}01{:}17.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.775$ of cannabis impaired driving and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}01{:}18.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.480$ whether we can detect cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

00:01:20.480 --> 00:01:21.844 impairment from brain imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}01{:}21.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.800$ So it's sort of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.660$ A lot to cover, so I'll get right into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.772$ So what is cannabis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

00:01:28.772 --> 00:01:32.276 So, so cannabis is simply a plant.

 $00:01:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.720$ It is a dry shredded mix of leaves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}01{:}34.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.910$ flower stems and seeds from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:36.910 \dashrightarrow 00:01:38.820$ cannabis sativa or indica plant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:38.820 \rightarrow 00:01:40.458$ These are common subspecies of the hemp

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:40.458 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.418$ plant which is common throughout the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}01{:}42{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44{.}384$ And cannabis contains over

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:44.384 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.857 400$ chemical compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:45.860 \rightarrow 00:01:48.121$ So when we talk about cannabis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}01{:}48{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49{.}793$ cannabis derived products and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

00:01:49.793 --> 00:01:51.085 landscape of cannabis products

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}01{:}51.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.377$ in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}01{:}52{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54{.}508$ I think it's important to keep in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:54.510 \dashrightarrow 00:01:57.886$ Sort of that that this is all basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:01:57.886 \rightarrow 00:02:00.018$ originating from this hemp plant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:00.020 \rightarrow 00:02:03.555$ Cannabis is the most commonly used quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

00:02:03.555 --> 00:02:06.788 illicit drug in the United States.

 $00{:}02{:}06{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}272$ You have to put quotes around

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:08.272 \rightarrow 00:02:09.800$ it because as we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.870$ the legality of cannabis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.698$ constantly changing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}02{:}12.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.164$ I think in the election this week

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:15.164 \dashrightarrow 00:02:17.660$ two more states legalized cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.620$ so I have this map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:19.620 \rightarrow 00:02:21.588$ I have to update it about every 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}02{:}21.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.435$ minutes about sort of the legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:23.435 \rightarrow 00:02:25.060$ landscape throughout the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.656$ But the point of this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:26.656 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.856$ cannabis use is, is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:27.856 \rightarrow 00:02:30.436$ is incredibly common over 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}02{:}30{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33{.}740$ Million Americans have tried cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.342$ And millions more people use it

 $00:02:35.342 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.059$ for the first time every year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}02{:}37{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39{.}657$ And this is this is increasing dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}02{:}39.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.692$ And a lot of the reasons for its

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:41.692 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.849$ increase has to do with sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00{:}02{:}43.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.434$ societal tolerance of cannabis that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.901512288181818

 $00:02:45.492 \rightarrow 00:02:47.526$ really increased in the past decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:02:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.458$ OK. So how does cannabis affect the brain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:02:55.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.560$ So when cannabis is smoked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}02{:}57{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59{.}696$ THC, which is the the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:02:59.696 \rightarrow 00:03:01.120$ psychoactive compound in cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:01.120 \dashrightarrow 00:03:03.899$ passes from the lungs into the blood stream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:03.900 \dashrightarrow 00:03:05.850$ Bloodstream carries the chemical to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:05.850 \rightarrow 00:03:08.259$ brain and other organs throughout the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:08.260 \rightarrow 00:03:11.220$ When cannabis is orally ingested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:11.220 \rightarrow 00:03:12.196$ it's absorbed more slowly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:12.196 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.478$ so it takes more time to reach the brain.

 $00:03:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.344$ But basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}15{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18{.}368$ THC acts on molecular targets and brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:18.368 \rightarrow 00:03:20.960$ cells called cannabinoid receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}20{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24{.}530$ These are these are endogenous receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}24{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}942$ that are ordinarily activated by natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}27{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}246$ chemicals that are very similar to THC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}31{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}162$ And the one I have here is called

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:33.162 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.806$ an and amide that are part of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}34.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.196$ neural communication network that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:03:36.196 \dashrightarrow 00:03:37.850$ called the endocannabinoid system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}37.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.788$ And this is an incredibly important

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}39.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.557$ system in and plays a role in normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}42.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.969$ brain development and function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}43{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}299$ So what happens is that THC's

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}46{.}299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48{.}144$ chemical structure is quite similar

 $00{:}03{:}48{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50{.}217$ to an andamide and that is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

00:03:50.217 --> 00:03:51.819 it is recognized by the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}51.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.956$ And it can alter normal brain communication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}54{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}090$ So the endocanna binoid system is

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59{.}867$ often thought of as the neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

00:03:59.867 -> 00:04:01.577 volume control system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.230$ It dials down activity when

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

00:04:03.230 --> 00:04:04.880 neuronal activity is too strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06{.}795$ and it regulates neurotransmitters that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:06.795 \rightarrow 00:04:09.105$ affect things like pleasure and mood

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:09.105 \dashrightarrow 00:04:11.139$ and appetite and motivation and memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.564$ So a wide variety of of functions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:14.564 \rightarrow 00:04:17.878$ affected by the endocannabinoid system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}04{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.950$ The endocannabinoid system is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.020$ incredibly important in brain development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:22.020 \rightarrow 00:04:24.738$ It guides neurons to grow in the right place.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- 00:04:24.740 --> 00:04:26.236 It controls neuronal activity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:26.236 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.480$ We say neurons that fire
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:28.545 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.979$ together wire together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.540$ So it it plays a role in making
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00{:}04{:}31{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33{.}257$ sure these neurons reach the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:33.257 \rightarrow 00:04:34.533$ correct targets and destinations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.878$ And it supports myelin growth on neurons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- 00:04:36.880 --> 00:04:37.764 So again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:37.764 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.532$ it's an incredibly important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:39.532 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.300$ system in the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- 00:04:41.300 --> 00:04:43.478 So thinking about THC versus anandamide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.256$ they have a very similar chemical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00{:}04{:}45{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46{.}846$ structure and both dial down
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:46.846 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.258$ neuronal activity and change.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455
- $00:04:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.318$ Neurotransmitter release.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53{.}021$ But THC has this much stronger and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:53.021 \dashrightarrow 00:04:55.250$ longer effect compared to an end of mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}147$ And what it does is it interferes

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:04:57.147 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.989$ with an and a mide functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}04{:}58{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}937$ So you have this distribution of THC

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:01.937 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.970$ binding sites throughout the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.070$ Whoops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

00:05:05.070 --> 00:05:06.170 Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}05{:}06{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08{.}670$ And throughout the prefrontal cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:08.670 \rightarrow 00:05:10.570$ throughout somatosensory cortex, cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}05{:}10.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.872$ the cerebellum, thalamus, hippocampus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:12.872 \rightarrow 00:05:15.576$ basically throughout the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:15.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.158$ And because the binding sites are

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:20.160 \rightarrow 00:05:23.020$ very dispersed throughout the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}05{:}23.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.980$ the functions that it affects

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:24.980 \rightarrow 00:05:26.156$ our quite dispersed.

 $00:05:26.160 \rightarrow 00:05:30.168$ So THC will affect everything from

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}05{:}30{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33{.}362$ motor control to memory to anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:33.362 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.726$ emotion, fear and it has some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:35.730 \rightarrow 00:05:38.310$ Really important implications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.535$ pain processing and other functions

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:41.540 \dashrightarrow 00:05:44.900$ underlying these brain regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:44.900 \rightarrow 00:05:47.758$ So let's talk about cannabis, the product.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

00:05:47.758 --> 00:05:49.953 So cannabis from basically the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

00:05:49.953 --> 00:05:52.530 beginning of time, this is you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:52.530 \rightarrow 00:05:54.420$ pictures from the 1960 to 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.940$ can vas was this plant that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57{.}156$ I talked about before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:05:57.160 \dashrightarrow 00:05:58.728$ People would smoke it in a joint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

00:05:58.730 --> 00:06:00.960 people would sometimes vape it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}06{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03{.}354$ but it's THC content was quite low.

 $00:06:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.728$ So 1 to 3% was was fairly common

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}098$ for the amount of THC in cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.856852455

 $00:06:08.098 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.400$ that was used on the street.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:12.430 \rightarrow 00:06:14.649$ What's happened lately and this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00{:}06{:}14.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.066$ been in the past decade is that THC

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:17.066 \rightarrow 00:06:19.310$ potency has has gone up dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.086$ This has happened in plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:21.086 \rightarrow 00:06:22.914$ THC and they're they've done some

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:22.914 \rightarrow 00:06:24.369$ studies looking at police seizures

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:24.369 \rightarrow 00:06:26.866$ of THC and they've of cannabis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00{:}06{:}26.866$ --> $00{:}06{:}28.430$ they've measured THC concentration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00{:}06{:}28{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30{.}691$ So even the the plant based cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:30.691 \rightarrow 00:06:33.047$ growers have worked to make it more potent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00{:}06{:}33{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35{.}367$ But now even in addition to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

00:06:35.367 --> 00:06:37.870 we have all these new products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:37.870 \rightarrow 00:06:39.635$ these derivatives which contain up

00:06:39.635 --> 00:06:42.167 to 98% THC. So you have hash oil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.784$ Shatter, butter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:43.784 \rightarrow 00:06:49.433$ wax and these are incredibly potent products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

00:06:49.440 --> 00:06:51.624 You have novel forms of THC delivery

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

00:06:51.624 --> 00:06:53.890 such as you can put THC oil in

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.200$ a jewel pod and this is use is

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00:06:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.500$ very difficult to detect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00{:}06{:}57{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}509$ So something that we have seen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.874935572380952

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}839$ our adolescent studies is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:02.910 --> 00:07:03.900 People's kids will use this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.890$ in school all day long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}04.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.770$ They'll put this, this jewel pod under their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:06.770 - 00:07:08.546 shirt and they can just use it all day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:08.550 --> 00:07:09.696 So it wasn't like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}09{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.828$ when I was in high school,

 $00:07:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.230$ of course kids used pot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}12.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.268$ but you had to sneak out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}13.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.790$ You had to sneak out to the corner

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.249$ or the bathroom or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:16.250 --> 00:07:17.430 Now they can basically do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:17.430 --> 00:07:18.610 this in class all day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}18.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.087$ And you have kids who are high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.926$ There's no odor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:21.926 --> 00:07:23.150 You can't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.990$ there's no smoke that's emitted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}25{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27{.}500$ And often times the kids don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.708$ get the bloodshot eyes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.049$ so you would just have no idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:32.050 -> 00:07:34.586 This is to say nothing of the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:34.586 \dashrightarrow 00:07:37.506$ market of edibles that is now prevalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.938$ There are edibles,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806
- $00:07:38.938 \rightarrow 00:07:41.794$ particularly in states with legal cannabis.

00:07:41.800 -> 00:07:42.984 These shops are everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:42.984 --> 00:07:45.618 I was just in Portland last week and I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:45.618 --> 00:07:47.789 trying to get a cup of coffee and all I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.260$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:48.260 --> 00:07:49.670 I couldn't even find a coffee

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}49.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51.509$ shop shop because there were all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:51.509 \rightarrow 00:07:52.896$ these recreational pot shops and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:52.896 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.348$ this was just in the windows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:07:54.350 --> 00:07:56.670 Just every, every edible you could think of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:56.670 \dashrightarrow 00:07:57.998$ These products simply didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}07{:}57{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59{.}326$ exist 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00:07:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.955$ This is a product of of commercial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

00:08:01.960 --> 00:08:04.018 Location of cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05{.}665$ Now you know manufacturers are

00:08:05.665 - 00:08:08.140 working to to sort of create this NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00:08:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.660$ emerging commercial market of NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00{:}08{:}09{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12{.}292$ products and a lot of them are NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 00:08:12.292 --> 00:08:13.824 appealing to children because you NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00:08:13.824 \rightarrow 00:08:15.760$ know a lot of adults don't eat pop NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00:08:15.815 \rightarrow 00:08:18.079$ tarts and fruit loops and things like that, NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00:08:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.724$ but kids do and then instead NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 00:08:19.724 --> 00:08:21.783 of your pop tarts you have pop NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00{:}08{:}21.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.273$ tarts and things like that. NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00:08:23.280 \rightarrow 00:08:26.072$ So this we've seen increases in ER visits NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00:08:26.072 \rightarrow 00:08:29.198$ of kids getting a hold of these products. NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00:08:29.200 \rightarrow 00:08:31.570$ This is not uncommon and these NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 00:08:31.570 - 00:08:33.530 products again are are are. NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 $00:08:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.588$ Super potent, a lot of them. NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 00:08:35.590 --> 00:08:37.276 You know, a cookie will be, NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806 00:08:37.280 --> 00:08:39.536 you know, 10 servings of THC.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806
- $00:08:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.856$ But who eats 1/10 of a cookie?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806
- $00:08:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.300$ Nobody eats 1/10 of a cookie.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806
- $00:08:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.502$ So oftentimes people do have negative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806
- $00:08:44.502 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.369$ side effects from these edibles
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8026975806
- $00:08:46.369 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.686$ because they're just not used to it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}08{:}51{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55{.}478$ So we know that. That the brain is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}08{:}55{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}549$ developing throughout adolescence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:08:56.550 \rightarrow 00:08:59.124$ This is very well known and this is research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:08:59.124 \rightarrow 00:09:01.410$ that that's now you know 30 years old.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}09{:}01{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03{.}740$ We know that the brain has developmental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:09:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.945$ changes into the third decade of life
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:09:05.945 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:09:07.894 and we know that these changes take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:09:07.894 --> 00:09:10.142 place you know in multi domain changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}09{:}10.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.047$ in connectivity and brain chemistry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:09:12.050 \dashrightarrow 00:09:15.256$ in in brain morphology and the prefrontal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:15.256 \rightarrow 00:09:18.436$ cortex which is up here is the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}09{:}18.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.930$ to develop and that's part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:20.930 \dashrightarrow 00:09:22.370$ theories of a dolescent development

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.477$ is that this prefrontal cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.436$ Develops later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

00:09:25.436 - 00:09:28.700 and that's why teenagers are often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

00:09:28.700 --> 00:09:31.140 often make risky decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.090$ more so than than adults do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}09{:}33{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34{.}776$ But the other thing about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:34.776 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.417$ prefrontal cortex is it's densely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}09{:}36{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38{.}257$ populated with cannabinoid receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:38.260 \dashrightarrow 00:09:40.068$ So these prefrontal regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

00:09:40.068 --> 00:09:41.876 critically underlie higher order

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

00:09:41.876 --> 00:09:43.240 cognitive decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:43.240 \rightarrow 00:09:45.630$ And they're also densely populated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:09:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.064$ with cannabinoid receptors.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}09{:}47{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50{.}622$ And that means that a dolescent cannabis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:09:50.622 \rightarrow 00:09:52.990$ use is particularly detrimental.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:09:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.646$ So I'm going to there's been,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:09:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.214$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:09:55.214 \dashrightarrow 00:09:57.188$ tons and tons of of studies showing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}09{:}57{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59{.}195$ that animal studies and to human
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:09:59.195 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.527$ studies showing that effects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:10:00.527 --> 00:10:02.412 of cannabis are just worse in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}10{:}02{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04{.}245$ teenagers than they are in adults.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:10:04.245 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.255$ And there's a lot of controversy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:10:06.255 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.680$ in the cannabis field.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}10{:}07.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.912$ But I would say that that's one of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:10:09.912 --> 00:10:12.249 least controversial statements I can make,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}10{:}12{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14{.}680$ that that cannabis is worse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:10:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.624$ for kids than adults.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:10:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.638$ And this is just a study that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

00:10:18.638 --> 00:10:20.903 did a few years ago now where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:10:20.903 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.940$ we brought people into the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:10:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.116$ For all cannabis users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}10{:}24.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.935$ And we gave them a memory task and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}10{:}26.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.245$ was called the CVLT California verbal test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}10{:}29{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31{.}600$ And we let we read them a list of words

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:10:31.660 \rightarrow 00:10:34.009$ and they had to recall them back to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}10{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.672$ And this is just the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36{.}503$ of words recalled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}10{:}36{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38{.}134$ And then you read them the list again

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

00:10:38.134 --> 00:10:39.855 and they read it back to you again and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00{:}10{:}39.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.550$ then you do it again for five trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:10:41.550 \rightarrow 00:10:43.098$ So what we did was everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:10:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.340$ these were college students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

 $00:10:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.841$ so they were all ages 21 to 25

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:10:46.841 --> 00:10:48.850 and some of them had started using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:10:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.429$ cannabis before the age of 16.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:10:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.128$ Some of them had been using,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:10:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.003$ started using cannabis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:10:53.003 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.458$ After the age of 18,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}10{:}54{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}141$ so we had these early onset users
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}10{:}57{.}141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59{.}513$ and these later onset users and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}10{:}59{.}513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01{.}418$ what we found was that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:11:01.420 --> 00:11:03.740 Learning improved in both groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:11:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.836$ all three groups actually.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}04.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.480$ We had a control group also.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:11:06.480 --> 00:11:08.440 So learning improved over time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:11:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.988$ which you would expect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}09{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11{.}923$ The slopes were absolutely identical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}11{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14{.}270$ The rate of learning was identical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825

- $00:11:14.270 \rightarrow 00:11:16.565$ but these early onset users which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}16.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.210$ are shown in the red.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:11:18.210 --> 00:11:19.614 Had trouble with encoding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:11:19.614 \rightarrow 00:11:21.720$ They had trouble with initial learning,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}21.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.600$ they just didn't learn the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}23.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.728$ information as efficiently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:11:24.730 --> 00:11:26.490 So these weren't even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:11:26.490 \rightarrow 00:11:27.810$ really memory differences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:11:27.810 --> 00:11:29.350 So this is trial 5,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:11:29.350 \dashrightarrow 00:11:31.186$ and then there's a delay and they call they.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- 00:11:31.190 --> 00:11:32.330 They basically you do something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}32{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33{.}917$ else with them and then you ask
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}33{.}917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35{.}129$ them to recall the words again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:11:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.363$ And what we found is that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00:11:37.363 \rightarrow 00:11:38.658$ remembered everything they learned
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}38.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.338$ the same as the controls of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9409106825
- $00{:}11{:}40{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}178$ the late onset
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:41.234 \rightarrow 00:11:42.346$ users, but they just never
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:42.346 \rightarrow 00:11:43.510$ learned it in the 1st place.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:43.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.382$ And that was really the first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- 00:11:45.382 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:11:47.018 demonstration that I know of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:47.018 \dashrightarrow 00:11:48.628$ of really parsing the learning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00{:}11{:}48.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.965$ Process and understanding that it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:50.965 \rightarrow 00:11:53.749$ was the initial encoding that was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:53.749 \rightarrow 00:11:55.428$ really affected by the cannabis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:55.428 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.736$ and this was cross-sectional.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.625$ So there's caveats to this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:58.625 \rightarrow 00:11:59.756$ study showing that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:11:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.524$ You know, you can say that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00{:}12{:}01{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}132$ may be these people had poorer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143
- $00:12:03.132 \rightarrow 00:12:04.620$ memory being begin with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143

 $00:12:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.287$ but I thought this was a powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143

 $00:12:07.287 \rightarrow 00:12:09.859$ illustration that the the age of onset is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143

 $00:12:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.278$ is quite important and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845291932857143

 $00:12:12.278 \rightarrow 00:12:13.890$ specific to encoding strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}12{:}16{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18{.}422$ We find that this is even worse in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}12{:}18{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20{.}115$ people with psychiatric diagnosis and NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:20.115 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.925$ this has also been shown a few times

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}12{:}22{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25{.}463$ and this was a study where we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}12{:}25{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28{.}530$ people with depression and cannabis use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.441$ So again this is the same test

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}930$ and this is total number of words

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.526$ recalled across all trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.510$ So you see the control participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}12{:}36{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39{.}544$ did the best and then the cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}12{:}39{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}099$ users had a significant decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:42.100 \rightarrow 00:12:44.245$ The the participants with depression

- NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705
- $00{:}12{:}44{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47{.}509$ had even more of a decrease and

 $00:12:47.509 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.589$ they participants with both

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:49.590 \rightarrow 00:12:52.500$ depression and cannabis use showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:52.500 \rightarrow 00:12:55.410$ the worst decrements in learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:55.410 \rightarrow 00:12:58.330$ And if you look at the memory component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:58.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.530$ so this is the learning component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:12:59.530 \rightarrow 00:13:01.330$ this is the memory component component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}13{:}01{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}517$ the free recall after delay and you can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:13:03.517 \rightarrow 00:13:05.625$ this with a short delay or a long delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:13:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.970$ then you really see this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}13{:}06{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}210$ You really see this additive effect of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}13{:}10{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12{.}909$ depression and cannabis use we also saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}13{:}12{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14{.}542$ To break brain imaging on all

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}13{:}14{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16{.}666$ these people and we also saw not

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00{:}13{:}16.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.296$ only did their performance suffer,

 $00:13:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.016$ but they had reduced cortical thickness

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:13:20.016 \rightarrow 00:13:21.930$ in the middle middle temporal gyrus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

00:13:21.930 - 00:13:23.538 which is also important in memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.884290705

 $00:13:23.538 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.342$ in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00{:}13{:}27.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.454$ So something that comes up quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00{:}13{:}29{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31{.}578$ often is the question of whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00{:}13{:}31{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}270$ cognitive deficits are reversible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00:13:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.470$ So we we've shown that that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00:13:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.455$ when when people are acutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00:13:38.455 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.646$ intoxicated from cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00:13:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.182$ they have memory weaknesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00:13:41.182 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.097$ And we've shown that chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

00:13:43.097 - > 00:13:44.950 users have memory weaknesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00:13:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.318$ But these are all people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00:13:46.318 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.230$ are still using cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903780225

 $00:13:47.230 \rightarrow 00:13:49.379$ What happens if people stop using cannabis?

 $00:13:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.550$ So we did a trial, and this was led

NOTE Confidence: 0.882383856

 $00{:}13{:}53{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54{.}825$ by my colleague Randy Schuster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:13:57.320 \rightarrow 00:13:59.840$ Where we use something called contingency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:13:59.840 --> 00:14:02.639 management to pay kids to stop using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:02.639 \rightarrow 00:14:05.075$ cannabis for a specific period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:14:05.080 --> 00:14:07.130 Contingency management is is widely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}07{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}660$ used in the substance use field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11{.}984$ And basically it's it's what it's it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}11{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14{.}588$ sounds like you pay people for negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}14{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16{.}892$ drug screens and you have escalating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:14:16.956 --> 00:14:19.164 payments over time so that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:14:19.164 --> 00:14:21.960 invested in in stopping use, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}21{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23{.}598$ for longer periods of time because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}23.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.479$ they keep making more and more money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}25{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}432$ The reason why we we we chose to

 $00:14:27.432 \rightarrow 00:14:28.784$ do this contingency management

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:14:28.784 --> 00:14:31.166 study is that you cannot randomize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:31.166 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.496$ kids to use or not use cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:33.500 \rightarrow 00:14:34.252$ That's unethical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}508$ but it was not considered unethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:36.508 \rightarrow 00:14:38.767$ to pay some kids for stopping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.098$ So what we did was we did this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.464$ this randomized trial where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:41.464 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.510$ we paid some kids to stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}43.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.158$ Other kids, we did not pay to stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}45{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46{.}930$ They were called a monitoring condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:14:46.930 --> 00:14:48.218 We said you can stop if you'd like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}14{:}48{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}880$ but we're not going to pay you to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:14:49.880 --> 00:14:52.330 And you can imagine how many stopped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.882$ And we looked at changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:14:55.882 \rightarrow 00:14:57.658$ cognition with abstinence.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:14:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.135$ So these were high school
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:14:59.135 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.020$ and college students.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:15:00.020 \rightarrow 00:15:01.778$ They used cannabis weekly or more.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:15:01.780 \rightarrow 00:15:03.480$ They were not seeking treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}15{:}03{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}030$ And as I mentioned after baseline,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- 00:15:07.030 --> 00:15:09.165 the kids who are abstained to cannabis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}15{:}09{.}165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}070$ were asked to stop using cannabis for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- 00:15:11.070 --> 00:15:12.995 a month and there was urine samples
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}15{:}12.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.948$ to verify this and those assigned to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- 00:15:14.950 --> 00:15:17.668 monitoring were not asked to change
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}15{:}17.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.850$ their patterns of cannabis use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}15{:}19.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.776$ And we we assess cognition with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:15:21.776 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.801$ the cantab battery and and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}15{:}23.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.835$ the two domains we were interested
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:15:25.835 \rightarrow 00:15:27.229$ were attention and memory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}27{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30{.}174$ So we had a series of of cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:15:30.174 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.887$ tasks in each of these domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}32.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.315$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:15:33.315 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.740$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}33.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.715$ The first thing we found was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:15:36.715 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.369$ kids will abstain for money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}39{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41{.}370$ So this study worked be autifully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:15:41.370 \rightarrow 00:15:44.010$ So this is the group that was randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}44.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.410$ to CM and this is their carboxy THC levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}47{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49{.}978$ And you could see they just plummeted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}147$ stayed really low throughout the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53{.}572$ I think we had something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:15:53.572 --> 00:15:54.594 a 90% abstinence rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}498$ And then this was the group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}955$ continued to use and of course there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}625$ was a lot more variation in their

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:15:59.625 \rightarrow 00:16:01.714$ carboxyl levels because we had, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:01.714 \rightarrow 00:16:03.324$ kids with different use patterns,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.936$ but the randomization worked quite well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.560$ And this is what we found.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.375$ So for attention, they improved over time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.380$ They're given the same tests
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.076$ throughout four weeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:15.076 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.236$ So as you can imagine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.720$ there's learning that occurs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- 00:16:18.720 --> 00:16:19.599 So attention,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}16{:}19{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21{.}412$ you saw no difference between the kids
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}16{:}21.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.118$ who stopped and the kids who continued.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00:16:23.120 \rightarrow 00:16:24.616$ But something really interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}16{:}24.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.738$ happened with memory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635
- $00{:}16{:}25.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.060$ So the kids, this is a monitoring group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}16{:}28.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.740$ This is the kids who didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}16{:}29{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30{.}860$ change their cannabis use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:16:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.472$ They just didn't improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:16:32.472 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.890$ from week zero to week four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:16:34.890 \rightarrow 00:16:36.466$ We're giving them the same test four times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}16{:}36{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}110$ They showed no improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:16:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.570$ The kids who were abstinent showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

00:16:40.644 --> 00:16:42.829 dramatic improvement in week one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}16{:}42.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.350$ and then they sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00:16:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.571$ Stayed the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8720725635

 $00{:}16{:}46.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.420$ So a week of abstinence was enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00{:}16{:}49{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51{.}775$ to really improve the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00:16:51.775 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.050$ that they that they learned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00:16:54.050 \rightarrow 00:16:55.360$ which was quite interesting because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

00:16:55.360 --> 00:16:57.096 you think about kids who, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00:16:57.096 \rightarrow 00:16:58.587$ smoke pot on the weekends and then

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00:16:58.587 \rightarrow 00:17:00.045$ they go to school on the weekdays
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00:17:00.045 \rightarrow 00:17:01.628$ and there are not learning as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00{:}17{:}01.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.970$ is what this study indicates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00{:}17{:}03{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06{.}105$ So what we found in this study
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00{:}17{:}06{.}105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08{.}202$ is that memory improved among
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00{:}17{:}08.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.870$ adolescents who abstained,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00:17:09.870 \rightarrow 00:17:12.070$ but not among those who continue to use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00:17:12.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.450$ We didn't see this for attention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00:17:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.965$ So this really seems specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00:17:14.965 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.177$ to learning and memory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00:17:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.760$ Um, this finding is consistent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00{:}17{:}17{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}835$ with other studies that show that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- 00:17:19.835 --> 00:17:21.155 neurocognitive dysfunction persists
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- 00:17:21.155 --> 00:17:23.355 after several days of abstinence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655
- $00{:}17{:}23.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.565$ And the improvement occurred within
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

00:17:25.565 --> 00:17:27.770 one week of continuous abstinence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00{:}17{:}27.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.882$ which was super interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00{:}17{:}28.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.979$ So we thought that this study provides

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00{:}17{:}30{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32{.}874$ evidence that adolescents and young

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00{:}17{:}32{.}874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}716$ adults may experience improvements in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00{:}17{:}34{.}716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36{.}106$ their ability to learn information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00:17:36.106 \rightarrow 00:17:37.650$ when they stop using cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00:17:37.650 \rightarrow 00:17:40.660$ which has a lot of implications for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00{:}17{:}40.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.442$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00:17:41.442 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.397$ for for adolescent cannabis use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00:17:43.400 \rightarrow 00:17:45.440$ which we know is increasing steadily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

 $00:17:45.440 \rightarrow 00:17:46.392$ particularly among 12th graders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623915655

00:17:46.392 --> 00:17:48.657 And I think I'm going to show you that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:17:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.546$ So now I'd like to change gears

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}17{:}53{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55{.}788$ and talk a little bit about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}17{:}55{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57{.}842$ Cannabis is a medicine.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- 00:17:57.842 --> 00:18:00.920 So is cannabis and medicine right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:18:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.540$ Is there anything to this?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:18:02.540 \rightarrow 00:18:06.113$ So my answer to this is it's not simple.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00{:}18{:}06{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09{.}906$ So cannabis is a medicine for some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00{:}18{:}09{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12{.}144$ and there have been components of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00{:}18{:}12{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14{.}831$ cannabis that have been FDA approved
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:18:14.831 \rightarrow 00:18:16.699$ for different medicinal purposes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- 00:18:16.700 --> 00:18:17.786 So Epidiolex is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- 00:18:17.786 --> 00:18:20.320 is a CBD medication that's FDA approved
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:18:20.391 \rightarrow 00:18:22.761$ for children and adults with epilepsy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- 00:18:22.761 -> 00:18:25.442 and we're studying that in one of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:18:25.442 \rightarrow 00:18:28.380$ trials now journal is a synthetic THC.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:18:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.628$ That's approved for AIDS
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00{:}18{:}29.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.188$ patients with severe weight loss.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:18:31.190 \rightarrow 00:18:33.890$ But the thing to keep in mind is for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:18:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.161$ all other indications other than

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:18:36.161 - 00:18:38.999 the epilepsy for Epidiolex and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:18:39.076 --> 00:18:41.626 severe weight loss for dronabinol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:18:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.480$ there are too few studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:18:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.619$ poor data quality to really know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}18{:}47.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.170$ And I think effective regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}18{:}49{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50{.}720$ using a public health framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:18:50.771 \rightarrow 00:18:52.367$ is really key to mitigating risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:18:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.880$ And people ask me sometimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:18:53.880 \rightarrow 00:18:55.889$ well are you for or against legalization?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:18:55.890 \dashrightarrow 00:18:57.986$ And I say, well it's not that simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:18:57.990 --> 00:18:58.524 It's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:18:58.524 --> 00:19:00.393 You know, it's it's how are you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:00.400 \rightarrow 00:19:02.572$ How are you legalizing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:02.572 --> 00:19:04.140 I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:04.140 --> 00:19:05.580 at the Center for Addiction Medicine,

 $00:19:05.580 \rightarrow 00:19:07.414$ we we believe in treatment, not punishment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:07.414 --> 00:19:09.256 Nobody needs to go to jail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:09.260 \rightarrow 00:19:12.540$ but there is a way to regulate safely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.804$ and I'm going to talk about that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}19{:}14.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.948$ little bit in the next few minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}19{:}16{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19{.}410$ So medical cannabis products from

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:19.410 --> 00:19:21.870 dispensaries are not FDA approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:21.870 --> 00:19:23.690 And FDA approval is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}19{:}23.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.844$ It assures that medicines are effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:25.844 \rightarrow 00:19:27.810$ and safe and properly labeled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.502$ The FDA can't really evaluate medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:29.502 --> 00:19:31.650 cannabis as a drug since it's a plant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}19{:}31.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33.520$ it's not a standardized medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}19{:}33{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35{.}390$ formulation and it's quite different

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:35.445 --> 00:19:36.930 depending on how it's bred,

 $00:19:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.750$ the conditions that it's grown

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:38.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.570$ and a million other variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:40.570 \rightarrow 00:19:42.370$ So when we say medical cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.428$ we're not talking about one drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:44.430 \rightarrow 00:19:46.976$ we're talking about you know, this, this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:46.976 \rightarrow 00:19:51.074$ Wide variety of of dispensary products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:51.080 --> 00:19:51.422 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:51.422 \rightarrow 00:19:53.132$ Commercial cannabis that you buy

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}19{:}53{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55{.}282$ at dispensaries just has not been

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:19:55.282 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.718$ tested to medicinal standards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:56.720 --> 00:19:57.910 I don't think that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

00:19:57.910 --> 00:19:58.386 controversial statement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00{:}19{:}58{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}749$ I think every one would agree with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:20:00.750 \rightarrow 00:20:03.466$ The gold standard in medicine are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:20:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.926$ double-blind placebo-controlled trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462

 $00:20:04.926 \rightarrow 00:20:07.110$ few exist for these cannabis products.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- 00:20:07.110 --> 00:20:09.590 And clinical trials unfortunately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:20:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.070$ have been quite mixed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:20:12.070 \rightarrow 00:20:14.085$ particularly for chronic pain which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- 00:20:14.085 00:20:16.590 is the indication that people use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:20:16.590 \rightarrow 00:20:18.710$ medical cannabis for most frequently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00{:}20{:}18.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}894$ There have been a lot of systematic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:20:20.894 \rightarrow 00:20:22.430$ reviews and meta analysis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:20:22.430 \rightarrow 00:20:25.678$ And and the the evidence just isn't strong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- 00:20:25.680 --> 00:20:26.840 Some find small effects,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:20:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.000$ some find no effect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938180981538462
- $00:20:28.000 \rightarrow 00:20:29.225$ so it's really up in the air.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644
- $00:20:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.548$ So I'd like to talk to you about a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644
- $00{:}20{:}33{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35{.}159$ clinical trial that we conducted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644
- $00{:}20{:}35{.}159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37{.}097$ in my lab of medical marijuana.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644
- $00:20:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.140$ And this was, this was my first R1.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}20{:}40{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41{.}826$ It was an RCT of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

00:20:41.826 --> 00:20:42.669 marijuana card holders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}20{:}42.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.550$ And what we did was we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:20:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.042$ test the products that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:20:46.042 \rightarrow 00:20:47.956$ were using in the real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}20{:}47.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.837$ So we randomized people to either an

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}20{:}50{.}837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53{.}568$ active study group and and we told them

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:20:53.568 \rightarrow 00:20:56.857$ to go out and get a medical cannabis card.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}20{:}56.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.436$ We didn't provide the cards for them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:20:59.440 \rightarrow 00:21:01.060$ but we said go out and get a card.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

00:21:01.060 --> 00:21:03.055 Here are some resources and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:21:03.055 \rightarrow 00:21:04.971$ group agreed to be in a weightless

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}04{.}971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06{.}866$ control and we said will you wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}06.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.798$ three months before getting a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}08{.}798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}410$ cannabis card and they had to agree to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}11{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13{.}432$ wait before randomization and then we

 $00:21:13.432 \rightarrow 00:21:15.623$ would randomize them to the two groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:21:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.398$ So we had a baseline of two week

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}17{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19{.}513$ or one month and a three month

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}19{.}513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21{.}128$ visit during the randomized phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}21{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23{.}024$ and then after the three months

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}23.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.814$ they could do whatever they want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

00:21:24.814 --> 00:21:26.506 Because I didn't think about 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}26.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.128$ month wave was controls feasible

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}28{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30{.}120$ even though we were interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:21:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.076$ you know the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}32.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.521$ The development of of cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}34{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}288$ use over a year and every body was

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:21:37.288 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.976$ followed up at 6 and 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}39{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41{.}600$ So the patients were between

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:21:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.220$ 18 and 55 years old.

 $00:21:43.220 \rightarrow 00:21:44.180$ They were seeking medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

00:21:44.180 --> 00:21:45.140 marijuana cards for pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

00:21:45.140 --> 00:21:47.918 insomnia or or depression and anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}47{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49{.}523$ The reason we chose those conditions was

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00{:}21{:}49{.}523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51{.}114$ those are the most common conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:21:51.114 \rightarrow 00:21:52.836$ that people seek medical cannabis for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:21:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.680$ and they're often comorbid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

00:21:55.680 --> 00:21:57.012 And we also did brain imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.914982644

 $00:21:57.012 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.409$ at baseline and at 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00{:}22{:}01{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}624$ So the interesting thing about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00{:}22{:}03.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.346$ trial is our exclusion criteria was

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

00:22:05.346 --> 00:22:07.074 daily cannabis use because we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00{:}22{:}07{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}790$ want people who were using already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00{:}22{:}08.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.260$ We wanted people were interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00{:}22{:}10.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.095$ in using and cannabis use disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00:22:12.095 \rightarrow 00:22:13.655$ or at screening or baseline.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525
- 00:22:13.660 --> 00:22:15.570 Also things like current psychosis

 $00:22:15.570 \rightarrow 00:22:17.480$ and other substance use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00:22:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.120$ The patients were responsible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

00:22:19.120 --> 00:22:21.756 arranging for and paying for the cost of

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00:22:21.756 \rightarrow 00:22:23.628$ obtaining their cards and their products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00:22:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.720$ We didn't provide the products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00:22:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.956$ we didn't pay for the products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00:22:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.480$ but we paid them for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00:22:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.740$ for their participation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823801525

 $00:22:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.829$ Our outcome measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791848332666667

 $00{:}22{:}32{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34{.}520$ Where cannabis use disorder and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7918483326666667

00:22:34.520 --> 00:22:36.570 the changes in the symptoms that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7918483326666667

 $00{:}22{:}36{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38{.}520$ were seeking the medical marijuana for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791848332666667

 $00{:}22{:}38.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.490$ So depression, anxiety, pain and sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}22{:}42.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.540$ This is our concert diagram.

 $00:22:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.961$ So the the reason I'm showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}22{:}45{.}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}618$ this the most interesting thing here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}22{:}47.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.468$ we randomized 2 to one to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}22{:}49{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}359$ cannabis card or the control group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:22:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.740$ because this is the group

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}22{:}53.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.120$ we really cared about more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}22{:}56{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58{.}248$ And about 50 of them didn't even end

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:22:58.248 \rightarrow 00:23:00.258$ up getting a medical marijuana card.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}23{:}00{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}436$ So they were interested in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.072$ They passed the screen, they got

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}23{:}03.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.760$ randomized and they changed their minds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.452$ And a lot of that had to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}23{:}06{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08{.}220$ the process for getting the card.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

00:23:08.220 --> 00:23:10.548 A lot of the cannabis doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:10.548 \rightarrow 00:23:11.654$ were really sketchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:11.654 \rightarrow 00:23:14.510$ We can talk about that in the Q& A.

00:23:14.510 --> 00:23:16.360 I can, I can write a book on some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

00:23:16.419 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:23:18.462 the stories I heard and a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}23{:}18.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.337$ were quite turned off to the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.496$ But in the end we had 100,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}23{:}22{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25{.}500$ we had about 100 in the medical cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.450$ group and about 75 in the wait list

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.990$ control group that we could compare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.048$ We also did an analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

00:23:33.048 --> 00:23:34.501 their urine because, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:34.501 \rightarrow 00:23:36.606$ we're not giving the cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.708$ We don't know what's in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}933$ So we sent off their Urnes to a lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}23{:}39{.}933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42{.}039$ in Colorado and we got measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00{:}23{:}42.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.602$ of THC and the metabolites of THC

NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

 $00:23:44.602 \rightarrow 00:23:46.367$ and CBD and other cannabinoids,

- $00:23:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.230$ which was kind of Nice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:23:49.230 \rightarrow 00:23:49.592$ OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}23{:}49{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}126$ So the first thing we found was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:23:52.126 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.660$ that the randomization worked.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}23{:}54.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.165$ The group that got the card increased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}23{:}58{.}165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}475$ their use basically right away to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}00{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}958$ about three to four days per week.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.680$ We had some daily users,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}04.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.157$ we had some people who used less,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}06{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}260$ and the delayed acquisition group was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}08{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}570$ basically from less than once a week to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:10.570 --> 00:24:11.220 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}11{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13{.}495$ less than once or twice a month.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.284$ We did not tell them they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:16.284 --> 00:24:17.676 couldn't use cannabis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:17.680 \rightarrow 00:24:20.263$ but we said please don't get a card because.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:20.270 --> 00:24:22.882 A lot of these people were were very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:22.882 \rightarrow 00:24:24.574$ light cannabis users in the beginning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}24{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27{.}134$ of the study and then we didn't want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}27.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28.458$ to introduce another intervention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}28{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28{.}836$ We thought,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}28.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.868$ oh should we do CM and pay them not to use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:30.870 --> 00:24:32.160 But it got too complicated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.960$ But as you can see there is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:34.960 \rightarrow 00:24:37.058$ nice separation and use patterns.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:37.060 --> 00:24:37.431 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:37.431 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.657$ so the biggest finding from this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}39{.}657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41{.}800$ trial was that people in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:41.800 --> 00:24:43.980 medical marijuana card group
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}43{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46{.}160$ developed cannabis use disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:46.160 --> 00:24:47.204 So again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566

- $00:24:47.204 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.292$ cannabis use disorder was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:49.292 \rightarrow 00:24:50.858$ exclusionary at baseline.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:24:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.480$ And what we saw was that in the 12 weeks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:54.480 --> 00:24:56.945 there was almost 20% of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:24:56.945 --> 00:24:58.917 people who developed CVD,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}24{:}58{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00{.}392$ particularly in the depression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:25:00.392 --> 00:25:01.496 and Anxiety group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:01.500 \rightarrow 00:25:02.796$ in the pain and insomnia group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:25:02.800 --> 00:25:03.958 very little CD,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:03.958 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.888$ not any different than the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:25:05.888 --> 00:25:07.110 weightless control group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.150$ Um, but the pain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:08.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.190$ the Depression anxiety group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}25{:}09{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}230$ We saw this increase in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:11.230 \rightarrow 00:25:12.862$ cannabis use disorder symptoms.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- 00:25:12.870 --> 00:25:14.769 Now I will say most of it was mild.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}25{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.190$ Most of it was was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}25{:}16.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.440$ I mean you need two or more symptoms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.909$ of cannabis use disorder for diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.330$ And people have asked me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.644$ well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:22.644 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.272$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:23.272 \rightarrow 00:25:25.470$ are these appropriate this is UD scale
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:25.536 \rightarrow 00:25:27.806$ appropriate for medical cannabis users.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00{:}25{:}27.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.150$ And I think there's there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824673566
- $00:25:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.490$ there are things that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556
- $00:25:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.260$ could do better in assessing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556
- 00:25:32.260 --> 00:25:33.286 cannabis use disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556
- $00:25:33.290 \rightarrow 00:25:36.756$ But people were developing tolerance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556
- $00{:}25{:}36{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39{.}192$ They were using despite negative consequences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556
- $00{:}25{:}39{.}192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41{.}599$ they were using in risky situations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00{:}25{:}41.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.675$ which I'll talk about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:25:42.675 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.200$ little bit in a few minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:25:44.200 \rightarrow 00:25:48.099$ So we definitely did see people were

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:25:48.099 \rightarrow 00:25:50.210$ developing some problematic use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:25:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.449$ What about symptoms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}823$ So we found no effect of medical cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:25:54.823 \rightarrow 00:25:58.468$ compared to the way it was control on pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:25:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.650$ on depression or anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:26:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.830$ There was just nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:26:02.830 \rightarrow 00:26:05.090$ We found worsening OCD symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06{.}902$ which I just told you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:26:06.902 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.110$ the medical cannabis group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

00:26:08.110 --> 00:26:10.686 and we saw improvement in insomnia symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00{:}26{:}10.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.545$ So it helped people sleep better and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:26:12.545 \rightarrow 00:26:14.650$ that was a pretty robust finding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:26:14.650 \rightarrow 00:26:15.846$ a large effect size,

 $00:26:15.846 \rightarrow 00:26:18.195$ but no effect on pain or depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

 $00:26:18.195 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.972$ or anxiety, which was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813783775555556

00:26:19.972 --> 00:26:21.977 Um, surprising to some people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:26:24.000 \rightarrow 00:26:25.659$ We also saw no effect on cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:26:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.194$ So we did this nice cognitive battery

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:26:28.194 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.356$ throughout the trial and we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:26:30.356 \rightarrow 00:26:32.378$ that cognition didn't change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:26:32.378 \rightarrow 00:26:34.304$ medical marijuana group group versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}26{:}34{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36{.}590$ the way it was controlled group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:26:36.590 \rightarrow 00:26:38.950$ And we also saw no significant brain changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:26:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.490$ So this was an end back task that we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:26:41.490 --> 00:26:43.514 This was a 2 back versus 0 back

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}26{:}43.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.427$ condition at baseline and this is at

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}26{:}45{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47{.}699$ one year in the Medical cannabis group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}26{:}47.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.188$ And there were no significant differences,

 $00:26:49.190 \rightarrow 00:26:51.080$ which is really good news and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:26:51.080 --> 00:26:52.754 surprising because a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}374$ brain changes that we've seen with

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}26{:}54{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55{.}950$ cannabis have been in adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}26{:}55{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}890$ So these were generally older adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}26{:}58{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02{.}730$ and these were generally people who NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:02.730 \rightarrow 00:27:04.682$ weren't using heavily somewhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:04.682 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.270$ but we didn't, we didn't see changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}07{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08{.}670$ in cognition or the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:08.670 \rightarrow 00:27:12.639$ After one year of use in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.850$ We also looked at patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.618$ of use post randomization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.454$ so this is month 4 to 12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}18.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.546$ And we did a trajectory analysis where

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}20.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.796$ we looked at sort of their naturalistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:22.796 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.141$ patterns of use and we found that

 $00:27:25.141 \rightarrow 00:27:26.896$ most people were pretty stable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:27:26.900 --> 00:27:31.296 So this is our low use category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:31.300 \rightarrow 00:27:33.166$ this is our moderate use category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:33.170 \rightarrow 00:27:34.520$ this is our high use category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:27:34.520 --> 00:27:35.925 And most of the participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:35.925 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.740$ were in one of these three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}052$ And then we had two smaller groups that

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:40.052 \rightarrow 00:27:42.509$ either went from low to high or high to low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}42.510$ --> $00{:}27{:}44.970$ But most people were pretty stable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.640$ Which is important to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:27:47.640 --> 00:27:51.130 But what we found was that, and I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:27:51.130 \rightarrow 00:27:53.556$ these categories are not very descriptive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}53{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56{.}940$ but this is our low stable use category and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}57.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59.832$ this is CD diagnosis and it was quite low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}27{:}59{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02{.}000$ And as the patterns emerge

00:28:02.000 --> 00:28:04.160 emerged for more cannabis use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:28:04.160 --> 00:28:07.220 you had greater CD likelihood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:28:07.220 --> 00:28:08.340 which is not surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:28:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.740$ but it was an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:28:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.320$ proof of concept that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:28:11.320 --> 00:28:14.064 medical cannabis users can

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:28:14.064 --> 00:28:16.196 develop CD people thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:28:16.196 --> 00:28:17.330 you know, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}28{:}17{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18{.}716$ they're not using to get high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:28:18.720 --> 00:28:20.120 They're using because you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00:28:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.666$ they have pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

 $00{:}28{:}20.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.940$ They're not going to develop CD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875729874285714

00:28:21.977 - 00:28:23.117 we've shown that some people do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

00:28:25.200 --> 00:28:26.604 Also, I'm just going to read

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}168$ this to you because this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:28:28.168 \rightarrow 00:28:29.598$ something that really struck me.

 $00:28:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.850$ This is what a patient said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}28{:}31.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.760$ I was taking what I thought was CBD oil and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:28:33.812 \rightarrow 00:28:35.724$ apparently it wasn't what I thought it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:28:35.730 \rightarrow 00:28:37.452$ I started feeling the effects when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:28:37.452 \rightarrow 00:28:39.046$ was driving, which was really scary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:28:39.046 \rightarrow 00:28:41.210$ I got home as quickly as I could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

00:28:41.210 --> 00:28:43.253 I felt so high I didn't know where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:28:43.253 \rightarrow 00:28:45.338$ was and could have focused and the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47{.}818$ way I got home was the noises from GPS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}28{:}47{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}152$ I was paranoid that I might

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

00:28:49.152 --> 00:28:50.570 have hit someone or something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}28{:}50{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}150$ but I checked my car and there was no damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}28{:}53.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.094$ So I call this the downside

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56{.}562$ of poor regulation. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}28{:}56{.}562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}006$ These products are not very well regulated.

 $00:29:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.116$ So this person was not an

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:02.116 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.254$ experienced cannabis user.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:03.254 \rightarrow 00:29:05.606$ They thought they were taking CBD

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:05.606 \rightarrow 00:29:07.530$ and obviously it had THC in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.732$ They took it before going somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}29{:}09{.}732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12{.}049$ and it they they could have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.725$ they could have really injured

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:13.725 \rightarrow 00:29:15.065$ themselves or somebody else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

00:29:15.070 --> 00:29:16.274 So I think it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

00:29:16.274 --> 00:29:18.080 it's just going forward and thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00{:}29{:}18.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.088$ about how we deal with this new

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:20.088 \rightarrow 00:29:22.010$ system of medical cannabis products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.243$ I think you know education and proper

NOTE Confidence: 0.923444754117647

 $00:29:24.243 \rightarrow 00:29:26.499$ labeling is just critically important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:29:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.872$ So from this trial, what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:29:30.872 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.712$ was that medical marijuana cards were

- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00:29:32.712 \rightarrow 00:29:34.402$ associated with developing CD symptoms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00{:}29{:}34{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36{.}777$ and no significant improvement in pain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00:29:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.926$ anxiety or depression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- 00:29:37.926 --> 00:29:40.218 So you think about risk reward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- 00:29:40.220 --> 00:29:43.323 And people talk to me a lot about, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00:29:43.323 \rightarrow 00:29:44.838$ isn't cannabis better than opioids?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- 00:29:44.840 --> 00:29:46.890 Well, yes, of course nobody's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00:29:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.120$ dying from cannabis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- 00:29:48.120 --> 00:29:50.040 People are dying from from opioids,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00:29:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.852$ from overdoses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00:29:50.852 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.199$ But even though it has to have a benefit too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00{:}29{:}55{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57{.}324$ So what we found here is that it really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00:29:57.324 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.106$ didn't have any benefit on pain and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00{:}29{:}59{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00{.}881$ And and you know the effect of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667
- $00:30:00.881 \dashrightarrow 00:30:02.427$ cannabis on opioid reduction is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:02.427 \rightarrow 00:30:04.128$ really hot topic right now and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00{:}30{:}04.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.887$ have another grant to assess that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

00:30:05.887 --> 00:30:08.809 and it really remains to be seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.434$ We did see that the medical marijuana

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:10.434 \rightarrow 00:30:11.730$ cards were associated with improved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00{:}30{:}11.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15.069$ improved sleep quality in the short term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:15.070 \rightarrow 00:30:16.694$ And the nice thing about this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:16.700 \dashrightarrow 00:30:18.434$ the reassuring thing was that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00{:}30{:}18{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20{.}017$ were no adverse events related

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

00:30:20.017 -> 00:30:21.139 to psychotic symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

00:30:21.140 --> 00:30:22.036 Mania, hypomania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

00:30:22.036 --> 00:30:23.828 suicidal ideation didn't differ

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:23.828 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.172$ between the groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.560$ So that was all reassuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00{:}30{:}27{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30{.}344$ And I think the results warrant

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:30.344 \rightarrow 00:30:32.200$ further investigation of benefits

 $00{:}30{:}32{.}281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.855$ of cannabis for insomnia and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.841291174166667

 $00:30:34.855 \rightarrow 00:30:36.930$ understanding the risk for CVD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:30:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.325$ OK. So in the last 10 or 15 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:30:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.192$ I want to talk to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}30{:}46.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.810$ something a little bit separate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

 $00{:}30{:}47.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.412$ but I think we'll be interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:30:49.412 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.153$ to some people here who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:30:51.153 --> 00:30:52.385 working on similar projects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:30:52.390 --> 00:30:55.075 looking at THC impairment using

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:30:55.075 --> 00:30:56.686 functional brain imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:30:56.690 \rightarrow 00:30:58.580$ I know there's some people here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

 $00{:}30{:}58{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00{.}911$ Godfrey Pearlson and and Cyril Disa who

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:31:00.911 - 00:31:03.270 are doing work very similar to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}31{:}03{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05{.}912$ so I wanted to include this so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:05.912 \rightarrow 00:31:09.044$ Driving while high is not good.

 $00:31:09.050 \rightarrow 00:31:11.288$ Despite what anyone will tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:11.290 \rightarrow 00:31:13.626$ cannabis does not make you a better driver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.730$ I have heard this in talks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:15.730 \rightarrow 00:31:16.950$ it is just not true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:31:16.950 --> 00:31:19.330 But the true rate crash risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:31:19.330 --> 00:31:21.730 THC is actually quite challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}31{:}21{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24{.}155$ We know that cannabis impairs

NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

00:31:24.155 --> 00:31:25.866 psychomotor skills, divided attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

 $00:31:25.866 \rightarrow 00:31:27.706$ lane tracking, things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}31{:}27.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29.550$ But the epidemiological literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:31:29.550 --> 00:31:31.850 is really quite divided and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:31:31.850 --> 00:31:33.869 knits this crash risk study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:33.870 \rightarrow 00:31:35.405$ They found the unadjusted odds

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:35.405 \rightarrow 00:31:36.633$ ratio for THC in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:31:36.640 --> 00:31:38.232 In crashes was 1.25,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:38.232 \rightarrow 00:31:40.254$ which is a 25% increase.

 $00:31:40.254 \rightarrow 00:31:42.314$ But when they adjusted this

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:42.314 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.550$ for other demographics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}31{:}43.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.930$ they did not find an effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:31:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.822$ And a lot of the epidemiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}31{:}47.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49.750$ research is similarly mixed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}31{:}49{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53{.}394$ I would like to argue that part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}31{:}53{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55{.}106$ reason why the the literature is mixed is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

 $00:31:55.106 \rightarrow 00:31:56.802$ we're not getting people at the right time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:31:56.810 --> 00:31:59.230 we're we're measuring carboxy THC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:31:59.230 --> 00:32:00.643 which I'm going to talk about in a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:32:00.650 \rightarrow 00:32:02.810$ sticks around for quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:32:02.810 --> 00:32:05.666 So if you measure some body in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:32:05.666 \rightarrow 00:32:08.638$ accident and you look at carboxy THC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:32:08.640 --> 00:32:09.362 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

 $00:32:09.362 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.723$ they're,

 $00:32:09.723 \rightarrow 00:32:11.889$ they're that doesn't mean they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:32:11.889 - 00:32:14.030 high when they when they crashed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:32:14.030 --> 00:32:18.326 So US state THC driving impairment laws are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

 $00:32:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.864$ excuse the pun all over the map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:32:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.916$ So some states have 0 tolerance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}32{:}22{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25{.}391$ so if you have any THC you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:32:25.391 \rightarrow 00:32:27.529$ considered to be THC impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:32:27.530 -> 00:32:29.945 Some states have THC per se laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

 $00:32:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.426$ which it just means a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:32:31.426 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.023$ amount and it's usually two to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:32:33.023 \rightarrow 00:32:34.147$ five nanograms per milliliter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00:32:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.774$ which I don't think makes much sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

 $00{:}32{:}35{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}586$ and I'll talk about that in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:32:37.590 --> 00:32:39.690 And most states don't have anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:32:39.690 - 00:32:40.335 although Gray states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111

00:32:40.335 - 00:32:42.130 they don't have any laws on the books.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:32:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.850$ It's sort of up to the the cop.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:32:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.710$ To determine whether they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:32:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.105$ impaired from THC.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:32:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.729$ And this is a really challenging problem.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:32:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.788$ The model for alcohol is breath
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00{:}32{:}52{.}788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53{.}817$ alcohol concentration that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- 00:32:53.817 --> 00:32:55.608 you blow into a breathalyzer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111
- $00:32:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.608$ We've decided as a society that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111
- $00:32:57.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.470$.08 BAC is the legal limit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111
- $00:32:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.920$ We decided that based on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- 00:33:02.920 --> 00:33:03.608 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111
- $00{:}33{:}03{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04{.}984$ an exponentially rising curve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00{:}33{:}04{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06{.}730$ of BAC and crash risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00{:}33{:}06{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08{.}686$ This does not exist for cannabis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:33:08.690 \rightarrow 00:33:10.268$ We don't have this nice curve,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111

- $00{:}33{:}10{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11{.}908$ and a lot of it is because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- 00:33:11.908 --> 00:33:13.602 cannabis is unique in its
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- 00:33:13.602 --> 00:33:15.006 pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- 00:33:15.010 00:33:17.938 It's not as easy as alcohol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:33:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.964$ THC peaks in about 10 minutes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:33:20.964 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.980$ post smoking or vaping,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:33:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.600$ as you can see here it in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00{:}33{:}25.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.760$ breath and in blood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- 00:33:26.760 --> 00:33:28.410 Your THC levels are quite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:33:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.060$ high right after you use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:33:30.060 \dashrightarrow 00:33:33.966$ and they return to baseline quite quickly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94216857111111
- 00:33:33.970 --> 00:33:35.080 And carboxy THC,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00{:}33{:}35{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}670$ which is what THC is metabolized into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:33:37.744 \rightarrow 00:33:39.790$ and this is probably what if you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942168571111111
- $00:33:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.110$ if you're given a drug test or a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154
- 00:33:41.155 --> 00:33:41.938 clinical drug test,

 $00:33:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.892$ that this is what these tests detect sticks

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:33:44.892 \rightarrow 00:33:47.545$ around for a very long period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:33:47.550 \dashrightarrow 00:33:50.118$ So this is detection window of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:33:50.118 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.470$ marijuana drug tests in breath,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}33{:}52{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56{.}216$ in saliva, this is urine. It can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}33{:}56{.}216$ --> $00{:}33{:}58{.}617$ You can test positive for a month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

00:33:58.620 --> 00:34:00.606 If you're a heavy cannabis user

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

00:34:00.606 --> 00:34:03.451 and you stop so so carboxy THC is

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

00:34:03.451 -> 00:34:05.156 not water soluble, lipid soluble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

00:34:05.156 --> 00:34:06.696 It's stored in fat cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}34{:}06{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}860$ it stays in the system for a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}255$ time and therefore it's not a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:11.255 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.364$ good test of impairment. And then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}34{:}13{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15{.}723$ So we have THC is too quick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}34{:}15.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.450$ carboxy THC is too long.

 $00:34:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.050$ Well, how long are people

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34{:}19.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.010$ actually reporting impairment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:20.010 \dashrightarrow 00:34:22.550$ And this also varies dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:22.550 \rightarrow 00:34:25.118$ So this was a smoking study and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:25.118 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.857$ can see in after an hour about 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:27.860 \dashrightarrow 00:34:32.528$ We're still impaired after three hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.290 \ 10\%$ were still impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.246$ So there's just and it also

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

00:34:37.246 --> 00:34:38.900 depends how much you use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:38.900 \rightarrow 00:34:41.700$ So impairment can last for four hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

00:34:41.700 --> 00:34:42.828 particularly for oral THC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:42.828 \dashrightarrow 00:34:45.279$ which I'm going to talk about in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}34{:}45{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47{.}212$ impairment lasts for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:47.212 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.627$ very long period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.230$ The other thing we know is that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:51.230 \rightarrow 00:34:53.016$ have poor insight to their own impairment.

00:34:53.020 - 00:34:55.684 This was a super cool paper that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:34:55.684 \rightarrow 00:34:58.825$ came out where they did this driving task

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

00:34:58.825 --> 00:35:01.508 and people pre smoking did very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:01.510 \rightarrow 00:35:02.353$ After they smoked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:02.353 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.039$ 30 minutes later they did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:04.039 \rightarrow 00:35:06.129$ lot worse and they knew this

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:06.129 \rightarrow 00:35:07.529$ was their perceived impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:07.530 \rightarrow 00:35:09.007$ They knew they weren't doing very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.486$ This is how impaired are you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.818$ but that in an hour and 30 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:12.818 \rightarrow 00:35:13.930$ something interesting happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}13{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16{.}050$ So there's still quite impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}16.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.220$ not as impaired at 30 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}17.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.670$ but they're still quite impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}18.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.606$ But now sorry, I'm I did that backwards.

 $00:35:21.610 \rightarrow 00:35:23.710$ This is their composite Dr score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}23.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.027$ So there's still quite impaired from driving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:26.030 \rightarrow 00:35:27.730$ but they're perceived impairment

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.005$ is quite low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.450$ So you have this gap where

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:30.450 \rightarrow 00:35:31.410$ people are still impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.508$ but they don't think they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.390$ So that's not good for when

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37{.}960$ you're deciding to drive a car.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}37{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39{.}964$ Dozens of studies have now shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}39{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}773$ that there's no association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00{:}35{:}41.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.818$ THC biomarkers and impairment there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.277$ It's just really hard to detect THC

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:46.277 \rightarrow 00:35:48.958$ impairment using a blood or a breath level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

00:35:48.960 - 00:35:49.948 These are three papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838675413846154

 $00:35:49.948 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.936$ There are dozens more.

 $00{:}35{:}53{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55{.}331$ So our idea was, is there a test that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}35{:}55{.}331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57{.}399$ can go to the source of impairment

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:35:57.399 - 00:35:59.522 so when you break a bone you

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:35:59.522 --> 00:36:01.304 take an X-ray if you're impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.515$ the affected organ is actually the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}03{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05{.}473$ These other methods all look for body

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:05.473 \rightarrow 00:36:07.179$ fluids which replicates the alcohol model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.736$ but as I mentioned that doesn't work for THC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}09{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11{.}900$ So we wanted to use F near as

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:11.900 \rightarrow 00:36:13.820$ functional near infrared spectroscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:36:13.820 --> 00:36:16.018 Not many people haven't heard of Fnirs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}16{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18{.}036$ it's not as popular as F MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}18.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.704$ but it it's an optical imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}20.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.480$ technique that's non invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.860$ It'll it'll allows measurement of

 $00:36:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.240$ brain tissue concentration changes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}27.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.770$ HBO following neuronal activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:36:28.770 --> 00:36:31.710 It uses light to measure brain activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:36:31.710 --> 00:36:33.210 Same principles of F MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:36:33.210 --> 00:36:36.010 F MRI uses magnets, FNIRS uses light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:36.010 \dashrightarrow 00:36:37.641$ And the cool thing about F nears

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:37.641 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.870$ is it's non invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:36:38.870 --> 00:36:40.454 It's safe, it's inexpensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}40{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41{.}968$ it's portable, it's wireless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}41.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.481$ it can be used in natural environments

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}36{:}44{.}481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46{.}548$ without restraints without sedation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:36:46.550 --> 00:36:48.827 F MRI will never be useful on the roadside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.458$ obviously for obvious reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:50.458 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.493$ but you can imagine that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:52.500 \rightarrow 00:36:54.330$ If news is a good indicator

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.920$ of impairment that you know,

 $00:36:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.940$ someday it might be useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:36:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.268$ like the breathalyzer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:37:00.270 --> 00:37:02.490 And just if anyone's curious

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:37:02.490 --> 00:37:04.710 about how F nears works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:37:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.900$ basically brain activation could be inferred

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}37{:}06{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09{.}110$ by this oxygenated hemoglobin concentration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:37:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.156$ So you have your neuronal activation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00:37:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.795$ metabolic demand, increased blood flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:37:12.795 - 00:37:15.130 and then you have this increase in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:37:15.130 --> 00:37:15.533 in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:37:15.533 --> 00:37:15.936 in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}37{:}15{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18{.}354$ in oxyhemoglobin and wash out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

00:37:18.354 --> 00:37:20.306 D deoxy
hemoglobin and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.869731797241379

 $00{:}37{:}20{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21{.}734$ measure that with light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}37{:}23.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.320$ This is the first breathaly zer.

 $00:37:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.364$ It took up a whole desktop and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:37:28.364 \rightarrow 00:37:30.359$ now it's smaller than your iPhone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:37:30.360 \rightarrow 00:37:32.176$ And I think a similar thing is happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}37{:}32.176$ --> $00{:}37{:}33.815$ with Fnirs where these things are big

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}37{:}33.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.580$ and bulky and have wires sticking out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

00:37:35.580 --> 00:37:38.436 but I think they are just becoming smaller NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:37:38.436 \rightarrow 00:37:40.738$ and smaller and more user friendly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

00:37:40.740 --> 00:37:43.989 So. For our study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}37{:}43.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.834$ what we did was we had 169 participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:37:46.834 \rightarrow 00:37:48.948$ who were weekly or more cannabis users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

00:37:48.950 --> 00:37:50.868 They had two visits a week apart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:37:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.260$ They got place bo one day

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}37{:}52{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53{.}650$ and THC and other day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}37{:}53.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.883$ And we did Fnirs recording during an

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}37{:}55{.}883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57{.}987$ in back task before they received

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:37:57.987 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.493$ THC or placebo at 100 minutes when

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00{:}38{:}00{.}567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}601$ peak effects were expected and at

 $00:38:02.601 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.032$ 200 minutes and we got actual cops to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}38{:}05{.}032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07{.}520$ come in and do and do assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:38:07.520 \rightarrow 00:38:09.992$ They're called Dre drug drug recognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:38:09.992 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.650$ experts. We didn't train CRC's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:38:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.982$ To do this, we wanted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:38:12.982 \dashrightarrow 00:38:14.590$ we wanted the real cops to come in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:38:14.590 \rightarrow 00:38:15.955$ We told them don't worry your uniforms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

00:38:15.960 --> 00:38:17.358 we don't want to scare people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:38:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.408$ but they did their whole field sobriety test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}38{:}21{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23{.}174$ And we did something in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}38{:}23.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.350$ study that was controversial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:38:24.350 \rightarrow 00:38:26.936$ but we thought it was necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:38:26.936 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.030$ is we did individualize dosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}38{:}29{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31{.}105$ of THC to achieve impairment.

- 00:38:31.110 --> 00:38:33.385 So how much THC does it take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- 00:38:33.385 00:38:35.110 to get somebody impaired?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00{:}38{:}35{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36{.}262$ We have no idea.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00{:}38{:}36{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37{.}414$ We have no idea.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00:38:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.776$ So what we did was we took a a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00{:}38{:}40.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.591$ detailed medical history of their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00{:}38{:}42{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44{.}997$ cannabis use and we looked at age
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00:38:44.997 \rightarrow 00:38:46.869$ and gender and tolerance and BMI
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00{:}38{:}46{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48{.}817$ and their patterns of cannabis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00{:}38{:}48.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50.697$ use and determined the dose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00{:}38{:}50{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52{.}416$ That we thought would achieve impairment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00:38:52.416 \rightarrow 00:38:54.249$ and that was different for everybody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00:38:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.966$ So some people we gave them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- 00:38:55.966 --> 00:38:57.110 10 milligrams of THC,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- $00:38:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.658$ some people we gave up to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122
- 00:38:58.658 --> 00:38:59.690 80 milligrams of THC,

 $00:38:59.690 \rightarrow 00:39:02.000$ some people who we gave 80 milligrams

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

00:39:02.000 --> 00:39:04.230 of THC didn't even get impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:39:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.486$ Dosing is really difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:39:05.486 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.370$ We couldn't figure out a dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:39:07.433 \rightarrow 00:39:09.457$ for everybody and particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

00:39:09.457 --> 00:39:10.469 after commercialization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:39:10.470 \rightarrow 00:39:11.826$ people are using these, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:39:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.848$ high potency products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:39:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.398$ So we estimate that a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00:39:16.398 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.430$ over half got impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}39{:}17{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18{.}888$ even though we tried our darn

NOTE Confidence: 0.849457122

 $00{:}39{:}18.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.320$ hardest to get every body impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

00:39:22.720 --> 00:39:24.519 How? How do we know who's impaired?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:39:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.584$ So we're like, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:39:25.584 \rightarrow 00:39:27.702$ we'll let the cops tell us, you know,

 $00:39:27.702 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.790$ this is what they do for a living.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}39{:}29{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31{.}435$ We found that the cops weren't very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}39{:}31{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32{.}970$ good at telling us were impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}39{:}32{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34{.}962$ About 20% of those who received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

00:39:34.962 --> 00:39:36.910 placebo were judged as impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:39:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.720$ which was kind of disturbing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:39:38.720 \rightarrow 00:39:42.444$ And then the DRE's were really inconsistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}39{:}42{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43{.}685$ One of them was fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}39{:}43.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.606$ and had a 92% accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}39{:}45.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.370$ One was 5050.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:39:47.370 \dashrightarrow 00:39:49.561$ So we didn't want to use the DRES

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}39{:}49{.}561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52{.}147$ as our ground truth of impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

00:39:52.150 - 00:39:55.390 So what we did was we had this sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:39:55.390 \rightarrow 00:39:58.254$ I don't know this this cumbersome but very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}39{:}58.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00.097$ thorough assessment of impairment where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:40:00.097 \rightarrow 00:40:02.538$ we had a clinical assessment, we, we,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:40:02.538 \rightarrow 00:40:05.210$ we decided this was me and my colleague,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}40{:}05{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07{.}430$ we looked at all the indications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- 00:40:07.430 --> 00:40:08.910 during the study visit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:40:08.910 \rightarrow 00:40:11.297$ how they were acting, you know the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- 00:40:11.297 --> 00:40:13.588 blinded study nurse her her assessment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:40:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.856$ if they said they were high,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:40:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.300$ if they said they felt the drug effect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}40{:}17.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.057$ And then we had a computer based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:40:19.057 \rightarrow 00:40:20.764$ algorithm of of heart rate change
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:40:20.764 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.552$ and self reported high and both
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:40:22.552 \rightarrow 00:40:24.239$ methods needed agreement for us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}40{:}24{.}239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25{.}899$ to consider that person impaired.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}40{:}25{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27{.}482$ So the clinical consensus we have to NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}40{:}27{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28{.}770$ say yeah, I think they were impaired,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}40{:}28.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.610$ it was like a chart review and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:40:30.610 \rightarrow 00:40:32.316$ the computer algorithm had to say yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}40{:}32{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35{.}190$ this was indicative of impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:40:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.944$ And this is just an example of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:40:38.944 \rightarrow 00:40:41.583$ time course and this is a person

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}40{:}41.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44.258$ self reported high and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}40{:}44.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.890$ person's heart rate change in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}40{:}45{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48{.}002$ And you can see this person had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}40{:}48.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.308$ dramatic increase in heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}40{:}49{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}138$ This is on the THC day, on the placebo day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}40{:}52.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.950$ We didn't see anything on this person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:40:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.434$ And then this is an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}40{:}55{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56{.}990$ somebody who we just did not give

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:40:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.070$ them a high enough dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:40:58.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.606$ They had very minimal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

00:40:59.606 --> 00:40:59.990 minimal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

00:40:59.990 - 00:41:01.675 minimal heart rate change and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:41:01.675 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.722$ they reported zeros all day and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:41:03.722 \rightarrow 00:41:05.498$ they both got 30 milligrams of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- 00:41:05.500 --> 00:41:08.566 HC. So that's just an example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}41{:}08.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11.790$ And then what we found was that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:41:11.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.818$ subjective and physiological responses
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}41{:}13.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.353$ clearly distinguish the two groups.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:41:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.766$ So this is the impaired group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:41:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.948$ this is their self reported high,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}41{:}20{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22{.}498$ this is the non impaired group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:41:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.476$ So they got a little impaired but not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}41{:}24.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.209$ dramatically and this is our placebo group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- 00:41:26.210 --> 00:41:27.074 this is heart rate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00:41:27.074 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.709$ This is you know the heart
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}41{:}28.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30.395$ rate change or the impaired group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336
- $00{:}41{:}30{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}193$ the heart rate change of the unimpaired
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}41{:}33.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.966$ group and place bo and this shading is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:41:35.966 \rightarrow 00:41:39.469$ this was our pre pre dose effner scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}41{:}39{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41{.}150$ this was our post dose EFFNER

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}41{:}41{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43{.}229$ scan and that was the second one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:41:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.518$ So we did get sort of at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:41:45.518 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.849$ peak of using the ether scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:41:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.846$ So that was nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:41:48.846 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.671$ Now the important thing for me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}41{:}50{.}671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52{.}315$ point out here no dose difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:41:52.320 \rightarrow 00:41:54.406$ So the the people who got high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:41:54.410 \rightarrow 00:41:56.506$ the people who did not get clearly high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00{:}41{:}56{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58{.}780$ there was no significant dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

00:41:58.780 --> 00:42:01.480 in difference in dose in THC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:42:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.796$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

00:42:01.796 --> 00:42:03.376 So question is do impaired

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750336

 $00:42:03.376 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.640$ and non impaired groups

- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00:42:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.340$ differ on effner's measures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00{:}42{:}06{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10{.}160$ So again this is our end back task,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00:42:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.696$ this is our, our prefrontal probe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00:42:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.616$ We looked at these different ROI.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00{:}42{:}14.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.474$ And what we found was that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00{:}42{:}16{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17{.}710$ there was increased activation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00{:}42{:}17.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}19.182$ throughout the prefrontal cortex
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00:42:19.182 \rightarrow 00:42:21.300$ only in those who were impaired.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00:42:21.300 \rightarrow 00:42:26.360$ So this is the scan at baseline is in blue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00:42:26.360 \rightarrow 00:42:28.316$ the scan after the drug is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00:42:28.316 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.620$ administered is in red.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00{:}42{:}29.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.522$ And we had significant differences in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00{:}42{:}31{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34{.}258$ every ROI in the people who are impaired,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00{:}42{:}34{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35{.}420$ people who are not impaired.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857
- $00:42:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.155$ We didn't see any significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857

00:42:37.155 --> 00:42:38.890 differences and we didn't see

NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857

 $00{:}42{:}38{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40{.}550$ any differences on placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808531492142857

00:42:40.550 --> 00:42:42.000 And again, no dose difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

00:42:45.020 --> 00:42:47.561 So, you know, looking at this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}42{:}47.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.846$ difference, this is super interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}42{:}48.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.648$ You could get a good publication out of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00:42:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.980$ but it's not very useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}42{:}51{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53{.}190$ So group level impairment data

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00:42:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.980$ is not useful in the real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}42{:}54{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}236$ And psychiatry has really struggled with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}42{:}57{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59{.}903$ the ability to diagnose an individual with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}42{:}59{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02{.}458$ a condition based on functional brain data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00:43:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.070$ So could we do this with impairment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

00:43:04.070 --> 00:43:05.576 Maybe impairment is such a global

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00:43:05.576 \rightarrow 00:43:06.860$ change that it would work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00:43:06.860 \rightarrow 00:43:08.464$ So we, we basically,

 $00:43:08.464 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.870$ we broke this etnier signal into

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}43{:}10.951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.828$ features and gave them to our machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}43{:}13.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.080$ Morning colleagues and said could you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}43{:}16.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.340$ use a machine learning algorithm to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00{:}43{:}18{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20{.}404$ determine who was impaired and who

NOTE Confidence: 0.81592794625

 $00:43:20.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.786$ was not based on fnirs data alone?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:26.100 \rightarrow 00:43:29.355$ And yes, So what this graph shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:29.355 \rightarrow 00:43:32.500$ is our machine learning results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.620$ And in the blue we have the Dre,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.820$ so this is the cop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.400$ So the cop was about 72% accurate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00{:}43{:}39{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42{.}220$ in judging people who are impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00{:}43{:}42.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.370$ The positive predictive value of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44{.}940$ of those who are called impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.360$ how many were really impaired

00:43:46.360 --> 00:43:47.780 using our ground truth method

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00{:}43{:}47.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.316$ and then a false positive rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.246$ So what we found with Fnirs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:51.246 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.739$ is we were a little better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.910$ From the cop about equal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00{:}43{:}54{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56{.}428$ a little better than the cop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:43:56.430 \rightarrow 00:43:57.910$ much better in positive predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00{:}43{:}57{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59{.}728$ value and we had half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00{:}43{:}59{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00{.}868$ the false positive rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715183075

 $00:44:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.130$ So that was kind of exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:04.550 --> 00:44:07.846 Umm. Something that came up is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:07.846 --> 00:44:09.302 you're giving people an end back task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00:44:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.190$ How realistic is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}44{:}10.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.108$ And we thought, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}44{:}11{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13{.}430$ what if it was just a resting state scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00:44:13.430 \rightarrow 00:44:16.027$ So we looked at resting state connectivity,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602
- $00:44:16.030 \rightarrow 00:44:17.782$ which is a measure of how regions of

 $00{:}44{:}17.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19.398$ the brain interact with each other

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}44{:}19{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21{.}084$ without need for a cognitive task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00:44:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.778$ And basically with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}44{:}22.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.044$ with functional connectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:24.050 --> 00:44:25.850 you're looking for patterns of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00:44:25.850 \rightarrow 00:44:27.650$ temporally correlated but face but

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:27.713 --> 00:44:29.597 spatially distinct brain activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:29.600 --> 00:44:33.288 And again what we found is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:33.288 --> 00:44:36.550 people who are impaired after THC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00:44:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.125$ they had this reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:39.125 --> 00:44:40.155 functional connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:40.160 -> 00:44:41.456 The people who are not impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:41.460 --> 00:44:43.685 you see no difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}44{:}43.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.910$ pre and post Drug Administration.

 $00:44:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.938$ Another way of looking at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:47.938 --> 00:44:49.290 is placebo and THC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}44{:}49{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53{.}070$ So on place bo and THC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:53.070 --> 00:44:54.568 if you were not impaired from THC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}44{:}54{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}56{.}070$ there was no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:56.070 --> 00:44:57.570 difference in brain connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:44:57.570 --> 00:44:58.928 But for the people who are impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}44{:}58{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01{.}055$ they had this dramatic decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

00:45:01.055 --> 00:45:02.330 in brain connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00:45:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.130$ The groups are matched with placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}45{:}04{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05{.}370$ which indicates to us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}45{:}05{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06{.}610$ we're measuring the state level

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00{:}45{:}06.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.817$ and not trade level effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6250602

 $00:45:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.609$ which was exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00:45:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.314$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00:45:12.314 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.340$ as part of this, the study,

00:45:14.340 --> 00:45:15.990 we gave some people some alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

00:45:15.990 --> 00:45:17.908 a subset of participants, 20 of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00:45:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.830$ also received an alcoholic beverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00:45:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.972$ So we had this nice two by two design

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

00:45:21.972 --> 00:45:23.906 THC and placebo, alcohol, placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

00:45:23.906 --> 00:45:26.498 THC, real alcohol, THC and alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}26{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29{.}270$ and then double place bo to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00:45:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.578$ alcohol interferes with our ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}32.578$ --> $00{:}45{:}35.450$ detect THC intoxication using F nears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}200$ And we found that even with alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}37{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39{.}224$ on board we could still decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}39{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40{.}844$ detect this decreased functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}40{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43{.}020$ connectivity in people who are impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}43.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.225$ And this is different channels in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}45{.}225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47{.}715$ brain that I showed you and this is

 $00:45:47.715 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.915$ pre dose before they got anything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}49{.}915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52{.}136$ this is after both THC and alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00:45:52.136 \rightarrow 00:45:54.840$ So you have this reduction in connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}54{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56{.}065$ So I think this is real and

NOTE Confidence: 0.930154107142857

 $00{:}45{:}56.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57.079$ I think this is robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:45:59.150 \rightarrow 00:46:00.400$ So what can we say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00{:}46{:}00{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02{.}144$ So prefrontal cortical activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:02.144 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.888$ collected with portable fnirs

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00{:}46{:}03.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.417$ appears to be a biomarker of THC

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:06.417 \rightarrow 00:46:08.444$ impairment and not exposure that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:08.444 \rightarrow 00:46:10.670$ potentially be collected in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

00:46:10.670 --> 00:46:12.210 It's different only in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.750$ people who are impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.070$ It's the first biomarker that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

00:46:15.070 --> 00:46:16.630 we know of impairment from THC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00{:}46{:}16.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.254$ not just THC exposure.

 $00:46:18.254 \rightarrow 00:46:21.061$ This biomarker can be detected when people

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00{:}46{:}21.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.770$ are doing a task or at risk at rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.688$ And what we're doing now is we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

00:46:25.688 --> 00:46:27.938 writing a grant with folks from MIT

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:27.938 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.648$ to incorporate a driving simulator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.358$ So we'll have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00:46:30.358 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.774$ People do the fears getting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00{:}46{:}31.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.146$ driving simulator and see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00{:}46{:}33.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.506$ they actually drive because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

00:46:34.510 --> 00:46:35.014 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

00:46:35.014 --> 00:46:36.526 F nears task doesn't really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.896711756

 $00{:}46{:}36{.}526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38{.}298$ a lot of ecological validity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00:46:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.610$ So just to wrap up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00:46:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.242$ I hope I've convinced you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}46{:}46{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48{.}335$ this is a really active area of

 $00:46:48.335 \rightarrow 00:46:50.345$ research with so many more questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}46{:}50{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52{.}926$ so studies that we're working on now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}46{:}52{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54{.}986$ we have an RO one looking at medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00:46:54.986 \rightarrow 00:46:56.743$ marijuana pain and opioid use in

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00:46:56.743 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.228$ patients on chronic opioid therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}46{:}58{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}651$ And we want to see if medical cannabis does NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00:47:00.651 \rightarrow 00:47:03.387$ in fact help people taper their opioid dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}47{:}03{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05{.}007$ There's a lot of speculation out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}47{:}05{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07{.}166$ This hasn't really been shown very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

00:47:07.170 --> 00:47:07.896 So we're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}47{:}07{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10{.}300$ we're doing a trial to see if that's true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}47{:}10{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12{.}253$ And something else that I thought of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}47{:}12.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.133$ might might be interested interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00{:}47{:}14.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.820$ this group is we're also doing PET scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00:47:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.220$ We're we're evaluating CBD for

NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912

 $00:47:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.140$ reduction of brain neuroinflammation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912
- $00{:}47{:}21.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.072$ There's a a pet marker called PBR
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912
- $00:47:23.072 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.238$ 28 that's a marker of microglial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912
- $00{:}47{:}25{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27{.}600$ activation and we want to see if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912
- $00{:}47{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.530$ CBD reduces neural glial activation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885722912
- $00:47:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.360$ in patients with chronic pain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- $00{:}47{:}33.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.123$ So with that, I just need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- $00:47:36.123 \rightarrow 00:47:38.044$ acknowledge everyone in my group
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- $00:47:38.044 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.969$ who helped with this research.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- $00:47:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.106$ My the director of our center.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- 00:47:42.110 --> 00:47:43.076 You didn't Evans,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- 00:47:43.076 --> 00:47:44.686 our project manager Gladys Patches,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- 00:47:44.690 --> 00:47:45.294 Randy Schuster,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- $00:47:45.294 \rightarrow 00:47:47.106$ who did the the adolescent studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- 00:47:47.110 --> 00:47:48.322 Kevin, our statistician, Michael,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941
- $00{:}47{:}48.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.837$ our programmer Nissan who did
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941

 $00:47:49.837 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.550$ a lot of the Effner's analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941

 $00{:}47{:}51{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53{.}636$ and all of the CRC's who did

NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941

 $00:47:53.636 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.961$ fantastic work in collecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941

 $00:47:54.961 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.127$ all this data and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884693072352941

 $00:47:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.860$ funding sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579291866666667

00:48:00.770 --> 00:48:04.300 So thank you. Thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579291866666667

 $00:48:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.000$ Jody. That was a wonderful talk.