WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:46:40" NOTE recognizability:0.867

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.841$ Marina, thank you so much for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:05.841 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.648$ really great introduction and I'm let

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:07.648 \dashrightarrow 00:00:09.610$ me share my screen and I do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:00:09.610 --> 00:00:13.508 You know, it's it's quite an honor to

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:13.508 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.834$ be given this opportunity to be the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}00{:}17.840 \to 00{:}00{:}20.759$ Ribicoff speaker for for this year and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}00{:}20.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.790$ especially that great list of of previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.636$ speakers and just for me, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:00:26.636 --> 00:00:27.620 And obviously everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}00{:}27.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.385$ I think Yale has a great reputation

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}00{:}30.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.464$ of understanding the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:32.464 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.918$ evidence based medicine and the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:34.918 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.578$ way for us to really get there and

 $00:00:37.578 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.414$ move the field forward is having

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:39.414 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.388$ this close interaction between basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:41.388 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.398$ scientists and clinical and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:43.398 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.540$ and the clinical and clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:00:45.540 --> 00:00:48.666 So again, thanks for this opportunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:00:48.670 --> 00:00:52.598 So I'm going to move all the lovely

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:52.598 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.775$ pictures of everyone and the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}00{:}54.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.639$ thing I will say is that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}00{:}57.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.841$ I don't have anything to dispose

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:00:59.841 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.646$ in terms of the the work that I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:02.646 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.881$ be talking to you about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:01:04.881 --> 00:01:07.323 And basically you know for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}01{:}07.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.460$ the Addiction Institute of Mount

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.858$ Sinai that I direct is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:01:12.860 --> 00:01:15.422 It brought home the critical nature of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:15.422 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.176$ of of research and where we are today,

 $00:01:18.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.595$ and the reason is in large part

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:20.595 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.188$ when we think about a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:01:23.188 --> 00:01:24.820 substance use disorders at Mount Sinai,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.560$ we treat over 6000 people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.720$ an opiate use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.953$ So you can imagine the the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:29.953 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.035$ there and it really reflects the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}01{:}32.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.492$ opioid crisis that we are still in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:01:34.500 --> 00:01:35.748 And as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}01{:}35.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.925$ you know the economic burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:37.925 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.845$ of the opioid crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:01:39.845 --> 00:01:41.825 The healthcare system under

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:01:41.825 --> 00:01:43.310 siege because it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.510$ It does cost a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:44.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.827$ is it's costing nearly three times more

00:01:46.827 --> 00:01:48.970 than other medical disorders to trade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}01{:}48.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.752$ and the treatments that are that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:50.752 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.469$ are available are often not used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:01:52.470 --> 00:01:55.868 and some of them not suitable and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:55.868 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.622$ still have so many overdose deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:01:58.622 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.901$ today and that has been the crisis

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:01.901 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.486$ have been exacerbated by covid's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:02:04.490 --> 00:02:06.530 COVID has exacerbated so many things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.594$ but the stress and social isolation

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:08.594 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.970$ has increased drug use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}02{:}09.970 --> 00{:}02{:}10.660$ And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}02{:}10.660 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.270$ you see that a lot with opioids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.160$ and especially unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.420$ With fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.624$ that 4th wave of opioids that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:18.624 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.300$ contribute significantly to to dread

 $00:02:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.900$ to drug overdose and so that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.618$ During COVID you've seen so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:02:26.618 --> 00:02:29.240 more people dying of opioid overdose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381 00:02:29.240 --> 00:02:30.500 so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}02{:}30.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.020$ So for me, the question has always been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.180$ you know, how can we improve?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.007$ What's what we're doing and a part

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}02{:}37.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.878$ of that comes back to treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}02{:}38.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.668$ And when you look at treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:40.668 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.860$ for substance use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}02{:}41.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.348$ you know there is actually a long history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.008$ And it's interesting that there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:47.008 \dashrightarrow 00:02:49.275$ morphine maintenance clinics already in 1919,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:49.275 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.020$ and as you look across the years of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:52.096 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.606$ medications that have been developed.

 $00:02:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.702$ There've been a number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00{:}02{:}55.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.722$ I'm going to focus on the opioids

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:02:57.722 --> 00:02:59.630 because most of the treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:02:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.608$ that have been developed and hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:03:01.608 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.530$ from 1964 with methodone to today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

 $00:03:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.946$ They are all focused on the opioid system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:03:07.950 --> 00:03:11.286 So opioid agonist in large part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:03:11.290 --> 00:03:12.978 not only methadone, buprenorphine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847070010952381

00:03:12.978 --> 00:03:14.244 and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.410$ we have naltrexone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.998$ especially for trying to reduce overdose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:19.998 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.342$ And there are, of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:22.342 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.806$ behavioral therapies as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.680$ but as I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.432$ the problem that we have with a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:03:27.432 --> 00:03:29.361 of the treatments is that only about

 $00:03:29.361 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.540 \ 20\%$ of the people who need opioid

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:03:31.540 --> 00:03:33.020 use treatment actually receive it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.420$ and the the reasons are numerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:03:35.420 --> 00:03:37.899 things start with even the stigma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.686$ because unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:03:38.686 --> 00:03:41.044 even though these medications do work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.160$ they've saved millions of lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.640$ The stigma associated with with opioid

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.214$ agonist and many programs not wanting

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:49.214 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.123$ to quote UN quote their their their

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:52.123 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.384$ clients to be on any medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:54.384 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.808$ That might be have an addictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:03:56.808 --> 00:03:57.616 potential itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:03:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.538$ so there are these challenges as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:03:59.540 --> 00:04:00.820 And ironically,

 $00:04:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.740$ the governmental regulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.300$ of using these opioid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:04:05.300 --> 00:04:07.540 Treatments make it very challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.225$ clinically and for patients to

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:09.225 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.573$ even access these treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.548$ sometimes in places in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:12.548 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.674$ where people have to drive or find

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:14.674 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.880$ a way to get to them for hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}04{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.273$ And as I said, from 19 six to four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.856$ we really have had this one size fit

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:22.856 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.678$ all approach to treating opiate use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.731$ So for me, when you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:27.731 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.300$ the neurobiology of addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.004$ you know the question is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:31.004 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.856$ have we learned?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}04{:}31.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.100$ We have learned a lot really a lot.

 $00:04:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.570$ We have learned a lot about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.778$ Different brain regions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:37.778 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.986$ neural circuits relevant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.002$ phenotypes important for addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:42.002 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.008$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.117$ the ventral steroidal area that which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:04:45.117 --> 00:04:47.128 a nucleus accumbens important for reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:04:47.130 --> 00:04:49.426 expectation, goal directed behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:49.426 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.148$ The dorsal striatum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:04:51.150 --> 00:04:54.130 Important for habit, habitual formation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:04:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.180$ the prefrontal cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}04{:}55.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.923$ and the number of the it's sub regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}04{:}57.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.426$ such as the orbital frontal region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:00.426 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.000$ Cognitive control goal, directed behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.810$ Cognitive flexibility.

 $00:05:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.130$ Emotional regulation and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.934$ And we do know that for the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}05{:}10.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.090$ drugs of abuse that the use a cute

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:13.158 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.097$ use of the drug does lead to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.998$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:05:15.998 --> 00:05:17.794 this increasing dopamine that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}05{:}17.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.210$ associated with business phoria and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.066$ so many research has gone into this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}05{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.830$ This acute changes that occurs with drug use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.619$ So for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:05:28.619 --> 00:05:30.460 a quick question that I asked many

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}05{:}30.519 \to 00{:}05{:}32.682$ many years ago that then became the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:32.682 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.657$ foundation for my research was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:34.657 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.322$ what have we learned about the human brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:37.322 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.810$ especially the molecular level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}05{:}38.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.675$ Because it was so challenging

 $00:05:40.675 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.310$ to get that kind of insights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:05:43.310 --> 00:05:44.750 And so I thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144 00:05:44.750 --> 00:05:45.110 OK, NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.678$ let's start studying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}05{:}46.678 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}05{:}47.854$ postmortem human brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.227$ And I mean clearly it's very complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:05:51.230 --> 00:05:52.688 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:05:52.688 --> 00:05:55.167 we know that you know studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:55.167 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.862$ gene expression looking at aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:05:56.862 --> 00:05:58.389 of genetics of individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:05:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.688$ and I'll come back to epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}06{:}00.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.656$ mechanisms that Marina mentioned that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}06{:}02.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.511$ altogether this leads to changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:06:04.511 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.680$ proteins in the function and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:06:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.860$ phenotype of events of the disease so.

 $00:06:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.100$ That's where I'm going to start and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00{:}06{:}11.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.294$ and spend like the first few part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:06:13.294 --> 00:06:14.986 The first half I've been going

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:06:14.986 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.200$ north of my talk in terms of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:06:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.927$ have we learned about the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:06:18.927 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.452$ brain that guides our animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:06:20.452 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.205$ models to then see if we can start

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:06:23.205 --> 00:06:24.306 developing new treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

 $00:06:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.325$ So when we looked for

NOTE Confidence: 0.883464144

00:06:26.325 --> 00:06:28.340 example here in the striatum

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:06:28.425 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.197$ of human heroin users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:06:30.200 --> 00:06:34.830 Using approaches that were agnostic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:06:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.100$ Welsh and unbiased in a way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:06:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.520$ looking at thousands of genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:06:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.085$ whether it initially was microarray

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}06{:}42.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.650$ strategies or more recently RNA

00:06:44.729 --> 00:06:47.177 sequencing of the transcriptome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:06:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.491$ we could see clearly that the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:06:50.491 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.462$ expression signature inhering users

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:06:52.462 --> 00:06:54.966 differed from normal controls and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:06:54.966 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.576$ where it differed was interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:06:57.576 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.100$ because we saw much greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.570$ This regulation of glutamatergic genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}07{:}02.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.583$ and perhaps not really surprising of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:05.583 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.928$ the synaptic plasticity related genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.390$ This the striatum receives really

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.850$ strong innovations from the prefrontal

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}07{:}12.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.664$ cortex and we know from a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:15.664 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.719$ animal studies that that is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.604$ critical for especially aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:19.604 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.430$ of even of drug seeking behavior.

 $00:07:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.358$ But we were surprised when we saw these

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:25.358 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.699$ really profound changes of epigenetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:07:27.700 --> 00:07:29.228 And, importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:29.228 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.048$ these epigenetic marks were were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.066$ Opened up a new line of research for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.350$ also in some aspects of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}07{:}37.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.490$ developmental cannabis studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.292$ Because we focused a lot initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:07:41.292 --> 00:07:43.926 in trying to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:43.926 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.978$ individual vulnerability that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.440$ Why do some people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.760$ Many people may take a drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.495$ but only a certain percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:51.495 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.230$ may develop that disorder and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:07:53.294 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.119$ we focus initially on genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}07{:}55.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.096$ But the environment of this complex

 $00:07:58.096 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.680$ disorder addiction plays a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}08{:}00.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.440$ role and it plays a critical role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}08{:}03.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.108$ being able to change gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.354$ And and in fact sometimes can

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:08.354 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.850$ override these genetic blueprints.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.691$ So genes that should be closed are

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:08:11.691 --> 00:08:13.821 now turned on and genes that are that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:13.821 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.990$ should be turned on and now turned off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}08{:}15.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.570$ And there are numerous epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.434$ Marks and epigenetic mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714 00:08:21.434 --> 00:08:22.366 Trust me, NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.280$ we've only touching the surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.808$ of it right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.042$ but we had a fundamental understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:28.042 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.432$ of what some of these epigenetic

00:08:30.432 --> 00:08:33.330 marks may mean on a functional level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.249$ So, for example, if you have methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.046$ DNA often that was a repressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:08:39.046 --> 00:08:41.802 mark and would reduce transcription,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:41.802 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.830$ while for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.006$ assimilation of the histones

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:46.006 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.270$ around that wrap around the DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:08:49.270 --> 00:08:52.066 the DNA wrapped around these histone

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}08{:}52.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53.930$ proteins that regulate transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:53.994 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.809$ and assimilation would open up

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:55.809 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.068$ those the those regions of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:58.068 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.928$ gene and turn on transcription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:08:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.295$ And depending on where methylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:01.295 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.114$ of histones occur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.395$ you could also have transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714 00:09:05.395 --> 00:09:06.050 repression.

 $00:09:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.498$ And what we saw in the brains of heroin

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:08.498 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.017$ users in Australia and predicted in

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:09:11.017 --> 00:09:13.197 particular of these epigenetic remodelers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.285$ it was predictive of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:15.285 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.536$ enhanced transcriptional state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.430$ But it was specific to certain gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:09:21.430 --> 00:09:23.945 Gene regulators and often came

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}09{:}23.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.460$ back to the synaptic plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.100$ so these epigenetic marks

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.740$ would correlate very strongly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:09:29.740 --> 00:09:32.132 Inherent users with glutamatergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:32.132 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.122$ genes or synaptic plasticity genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}09{:}35.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.038$ and it was very specific where these

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}09{:}39.038 \to 00{:}09{:}41.729$ epigenetic tags were predicted to occur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:41.729 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.130$ and a lot of the the genetic

00:09:44.202 --> 00:09:46.846 changes related to, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}09{:}46.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.418$ a civilation and assimilation

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:49.418 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.990$ of the the lysine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714 00:09:51.990 --> 00:09:52.695 In a region, NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:52.695 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.737$ and I'm not going to get too detail

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}09{:}54.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.455$ on the molecular related to enhancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:09:57.455 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.905$ regulation of gene transcription and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:10:00.905 \dashrightarrow 00:10:04.060$ related to their years of heroin use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00:10:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.904$ We were able to look at this in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

00:10:06.904 --> 00:10:09.020 different using many different techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822189974285714

 $00{:}10{:}09.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.270$ and we saw consistently this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:11.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.438$ This opening of the transcriptome in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:15.438 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.426$ relation to the years of heroin news

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}10{:}17.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.840$ and especially cassette around these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.908$ synaptic plasticity related genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:10:21.910 --> 00:10:23.611 But because humans have such a very

00:10:23.611 --> 00:10:25.765 life and we don't know that much about

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}10{:}25.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.479$ all their lives just about their

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:10:27.479 --> 00:10:29.207 toxicology and often like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.841$ some of the people we knew their

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:10:30.841 --> 00:10:32.259 history of of hearing news,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.724$ we use our animal models where animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:34.724 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.882$ will self administer heroin and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:36.882 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.958$ could actually replicate where in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}10{:}38.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.216$ genome or in the in the transcription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.159$ Say that we saw this gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:10:43.159 --> 00:10:45.205 changes and when we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:45.205 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.655$ epigenetic tags in these regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}10{:}46.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.300$ they correlated exactly to what we saw in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:10:49.300 --> 00:10:50.246 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:10:50.246 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.679$ their civilation of these these

 $00:10:52.679 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.374$ synaptic plasticity related genes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:10:55.374 --> 00:10:58.559 this acetylation of lysine 27 and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:10:58.559 --> 00:11:01.365 I'm not getting as as detail I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:01.365 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.467$ because even more broad clinical audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:11:04.470 --> 00:11:06.057 But what is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:06.057 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.760$ What does that epigenetic change really mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.640$ So for for the histones in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.561$ of we have tags that are put on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:16.561 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.960$ histones and they're they're called writers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.922$ These enzymes and we have others

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:11:21.922 --> 00:11:23.860 that take the these tags off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.844$ and we then have a readers that must

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:26.844 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.382$ give information to the system as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:11:29.382 --> 00:11:31.324 what these signals mean, and and they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:31.324 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.195$ As I said, they're called readers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}11{:}33.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.370$ And for assimilation the bromodomain

 $00:11:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.820$ are the readers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.448$ They bind these assimilated lysine residues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.635$ and there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:11:40.635 --> 00:11:43.005 About four families of these BT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:11:43.010 --> 00:11:45.162 These bromodomain proteins 32,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:45.162 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.776$ three and four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.425$ And there's also one in the testes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.725$ but we only have 3 at least so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:11:50.725 --> 00:11:52.889 that was identified in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.860$ and these are expressed in numerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.850$ brain regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.754$ BRD 2-3 and four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:11:57.754 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.190$ But when we look in the brains both in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}12{:}00.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.549$ human hair and users and and animal models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:02.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.007$ we didn't see changes in BRD two or three.

00:12:05.010 --> 00:12:08.597 What we saw were changes in beer D4 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:08.597 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.519$ in different cohorts that we study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624 00:12:11.520 --> 00:12:11.958 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:11.958 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.586$ The thing that was also fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:14.586 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.308$ for us was that the again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.593$ the changes in in BRD 4 correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:20.593 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.383$ very strongly with synaptic markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:12:23.383 --> 00:12:26.608 of markers of synaptic plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:12:26.610 --> 00:12:27.994 such as DLG 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:27.994 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.070$ which is the gene that encodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}12{:}30.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.780$ PSD 95 this postsynaptic density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.150$ Proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:12:34.150 --> 00:12:34.890 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.480$ every single thing told us that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.020$ was something really interesting about

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.184$ the BRD 4, the Bromodomain readers.

00:12:43.184 --> 00:12:45.848 So the thing that's very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}12{:}45.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.927$ about epigenetics and and at

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:47.927 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.272$ least at the time when we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:50.272 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.629$ studying this was although now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}12{:}52.629 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}12{:}55.184$ neuroscience more and more focus is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}12{:}55.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.600$ looking at epigenetic mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:12:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.682$ The greatest information that we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00{:}12{:}59.682 \rightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.900$ really comes from the cancer field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

00:13:01.900 --> 00:13:05.180 And there they've been able to identify many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89750624

 $00:13:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.030$ many different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00{:}13{:}09.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.110$ Chemicals that can inhibit specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.698$ epigenetic mechanisms or promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00{:}13{:}15.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.639$ certain epigenetic mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00{:}13{:}17.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20.058$ Because the cancer is definitely a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

00:13:20.058 --> 00:13:22.070 disorder of epigenetic gone awry,

 $00:13:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.670$ so we were able to leverage what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00{:}13{:}24.670 \longrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.639$ being developed at that time in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:26.639 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.854$ of some of the the chemicals to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:28.854 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.392$ whether or not if we could inhibit BRD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:31.392 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.989$ we had hoped to inhibit bird for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.850$ specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.834$ Could that itself decrease or heroin self?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

00:13:39.834 --> 00:13:41.544 Administration and at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.554$ the prototypical BRD inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:43.554 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.560$ they would promote it as BRD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.376$ 4 inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:47.376 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.824$ It really was not selected because

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:49.824 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.196$ it also could bind to the R.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

00:13:52.200 --> 00:13:53.541 D2 and D3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:53.541 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.570$ so we nevertheless looked at the JQ

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:13:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.545$ one was approachable the inhibitor

 $00:13:58.545 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.440$ at a time in our animal models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.422$ and interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:02.422 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.859$ when we gave it into the striatum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.602$ we could reduce heroin self administration

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:08.602 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.430$ and heroin seeking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.654$ But the goal long term goal is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:12.654 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.849$ be able to develop medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.698$ So we we know we're not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

00:14:16.698 --> 00:14:18.766 infuse it into the brain of of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.230$ and so even giving it systemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.760$ we could significantly reduce heroin

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.930$ self administration behavior in the animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266 00:14:26.930 --> 00:14:29.190 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.370$ The the leveraging you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.120$ looking at the postmortems brains

 $00:14:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.657$ of heroin users you were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00{:}14{:}36.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.467$ see that these epigenetic changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00{:}14{:}38.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40.620$ especially those that related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

00:14:40.620 --> 00:14:43.660 genes as part of synaptic plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.570$ especially these little mergent genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

00:14:45.570 --> 00:14:46.743 they correlated significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:46.743 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.480$ with the years of heroin use and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:49.546 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.846$ inhibiting it we could inhibit

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00{:}14{:}51.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.226$ harrowing self administration

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

 $00:14:53.226 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.289$ behavior and more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878953266

00:14:55.290 --> 00:14:56.628 heroin seeking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:14:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.378$ Unfortunately we have tried for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:01.378 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.069$ years to try to develop a or to obtain

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00{:}15{:}05.069 \mathrel{\text{--}}{>} 00{:}15{:}07.847$ even a specific beauty for inhibitor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:07.847 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.220$ we still have not been able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

00:15:11.220 --> 00:15:14.640 So you know, I I feel every time I

 $00:15:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.260$ give these this talk of what our our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00{:}15{:}18.260 \to 00{:}15{:}21.900$ you know these BT family of inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

00:15:21.900 --> 00:15:23.028 how they're being developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:23.028 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.156$ in the cancer field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.936$ But we still have not been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:25.936 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.296$ find some that actually penetrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:27.296 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.012$ the brain on these the BRD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00{:}15{:}29.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.506$ What specific purity for inhibitors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.030$ passes the blood brain barrier effectively?

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.640$ And so we're still trying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

00:15:37.640 --> 00:15:39.566 but it's important to also emphasize

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:39.566 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.794$ that it's not only our group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00{:}15{:}41.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.838$ has seen these changes in beard for

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:43.903 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.008$ relevant to substance use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.970$ other groups have seen that.

 $00:15:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.072$ In fact you cocaine self administration will

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:15:52.072 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.608$ also increase variety for and inhibiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00{:}15{:}55.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.955$ Giving the JQ one inhibitor the B

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

00:15:57.955 --> 00:16:00.109 inhibitor also will decrease cocaine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.615$ place preference and cocaine self

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

00:16:01.615 --> 00:16:03.469 administration and I think that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:03.469 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.974$ really important because when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00{:}16{:}04.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.448$ think about substance use disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00{:}16{:}06.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.224$ often we think OK we must get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:08.224 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.652$ most selective thing or I think in

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00{:}16{:}10.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.437$ psychiatry in general I think you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:13.437 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.927$ the the strongest antagonists of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

00:16:15.927 --> 00:16:18.428 dopamine receptor and and selectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.922$ but for substance use disorders we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:20.922 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.588$ that many people are polysubstance users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.150$ So for me the fact that

 $00:16:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.190$ we see some commonality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.376$ Between the different substances of abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

 $00:16:29.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.774$ I think it's important so you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.869300692166667

00:16:31.774 --> 00:16:33.588 we're still optimistic about BRD 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:16:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.758$ I'm still saying on this this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:16:38.760 --> 00:16:40.340 you know, in developing medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:16:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.920$ based on what we've seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:16:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.390$ the human brain and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:16:43.390 --> 00:16:44.860 animal models can help us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:16:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.308$ I'm still going to focus on aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}16{:}47.308 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}16{:}49.120$ epigenetics and synaptic plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:16:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.156$ and the reason is once again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}16{:}52.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.282$ When we look at the the brains

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:16:55.282 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.478$ of heroin users, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:16:57.478 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.969$ you know when we we looked at the

 $00:16:59.969 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.629$ gene expression that transcriptome

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}17{:}01.629 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}17{:}04.919$ we were able to see these epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.830$ genes related to epigenetic mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.358$ and the synaptic plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.672$ But you can also look at the epigenome

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:10.672 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.460$ itself in an agnostic manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}17{:}12.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.782$ You can actually sequence the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:14.782 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.402$ epigenome and you can do that with

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}17{:}17.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.719$ a technique called a taxi which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:19.793 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.117$ assay for transposase accessible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.074$ Something basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:17:23.074 --> 00:17:25.936 you're looking at chromatin state looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:25.936 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.850$ at where in the where in the epigenome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.070$ maybe in the chromatin is open,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.122$ and therefore would be associated with gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:34.122 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.567$ transactivation and where it may be closed.

00:17:36.570 --> 00:17:38.642 And importantly, it's agnostic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:38.642 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.750$ because normally when you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}17{:}41.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.514$ the epigenetic tags you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:17:44.514 --> 00:17:47.569 choose a specific epigenetic mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.632$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:48.632 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.048$ we might have chosen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.506$ like acetylation of lysine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:51.506 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.124$ 27 that we showed that was changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:17:54.124 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.320$ in the brains of heroin users,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}17{:}56.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.608$ but we know it's the combination of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}17{:}58.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.948$ number of epigenetic marks that will lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:00.948 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.164$ to these changes in gene transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}18{:}03.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.964$ and therefore obviously downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}18{:}04.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.682$ changes in in protein and function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:07.682 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.916$ So if we look agnostically using this,

00:18:10.920 --> 00:18:13.993 a taxi that has actually been extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:13.993 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.435$ informative and finding out where which

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:16.435 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.427$ loci where in the in the epigenome is

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:18:19.427 --> 00:18:22.295 most significantly changed with hearing news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:18:22.300 --> 00:18:22.936 And importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:18:22.936 --> 00:18:24.526 this technique allows a something

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:24.526 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.177$ for me that's important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:26.177 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.469$ studying the human brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.870$ It uses very little tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.723$ and this way we can look at different cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}18{:}33.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.710$ Cell types in the human brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.432$ Here in this particular study we

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:37.432 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.109$ look mainly at neurons and glia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:18:39.110 --> 00:18:41.258 not looking at specific neurons and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:18:41.258 --> 00:18:43.890 specific non glia non neuronal subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.590$ But even in doing that it was able to help

 $00:18:46.658 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.489$ us a lot and it was able to help us in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.310$ A previous student who's now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:18:52.310 --> 00:18:55.820 Going for his first independent position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:18:55.820 --> 00:18:59.951 America Avari in in using the taxi we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:18:59.951 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.318$ see that indeed we were able to dissociate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:19:04.320 --> 00:19:06.812 Which epigenetic signatures that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:19:06.812 --> 00:19:09.304 dissociated neurons from glia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.886$ But we could also see those that dissociated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.767$ Up here with disorders heroin use this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:16.770 \dashrightarrow 00:19:18.744$ The dissociated heroin use in neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:18.744 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.334$ and what we saw specifically was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:21.334 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.752$ the gene ontology of where these

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00{:}19{:}23.752 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}19{:}25.913$ epigenetic changes were occurring again

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:25.913 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.445$ shows really strong dysregulation on an

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:19:28.450 --> 00:19:31.610 epigenetic level of synaptic plasticity.

00:19:31.610 --> 00:19:32.301 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:32.301 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.065$ these little meteorologic postsynaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:19:35.065 --> 00:19:37.426 density dendritic changes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:37.426 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.866$ what were the genes changed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.080$ The top gene was Finn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:19:42.080 --> 00:19:44.540 And that was fascinating for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.500$ because we had never studied fan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.049$ obviously it yellow come to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:19:48.050 --> 00:19:49.688 You guys have been studying it for

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:49.688 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.065$ a while and the thing also was

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:53.065 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.389$ that the this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

 $00:19:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.190$ The epigenetic changes that we saw for Finn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841385738333333

00:19:58.190 --> 00:20:01.109 It actually explained Signal 6 to 8%

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.785$ of the variance for identifying heroin users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.220$ We were able to show that my student Tony

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:07.283 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.715$ Roman was able to show that it's functional.

 $00:20:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.198$ The change this this enhancer region that

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:12.198 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.992$ we saw had the greatest chromatin change

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:20:14.992 --> 00:20:18.243 in Harry Newsers in the stratum and we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:20:18.243 --> 00:20:20.949 able to see also that it was self specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:20:950 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.254$ at least that we didn't see these changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:23.254 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.626$ in glia, but it was mainly in neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:20:26.630 --> 00:20:28.370 And So what is Finn?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.490$ As I said, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00{:}20{:}29.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34.200$ For Yale, I know many of you Vandyke and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.275$ and me had been studying fit in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:38.275 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.765$ to Alzheimer's and alcohol use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.906$ For me, even though we had been getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00{:}20{:}42.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.595$ more and more interested in the postsynaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:45.595 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.685$ density in the glutamatergic signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:20:47.690 --> 00:20:50.290 Based on our postmortem results,

 $00:20:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.264$ it was interesting because we've never looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:20:52.264 --> 00:20:54.726 at fit and finish a stark tyrosine kinase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.650$ and it is a member of this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:20:56.650 --> 00:20:59.575 Detergent, postsynaptic density and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:20:59.575 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.915$ regulates the cytoarchitecture dynamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.080$ And we've found this in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.800$ nucleus accumbens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:04.800 --> 00:21:06.960 but my student run the Ellis also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:06.960 --> 00:21:08.640 using machine learning strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.232$ and I'll come to that a little later

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:10.232 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.738$ in the orbital frontal cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:11.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.362$ Another brain region critical in substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:14.362 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.196$ use disorders also identified as being

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00{:}21{:}17.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.359$ part of a network predictive of heroin users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:21.360 --> 00:21:23.260 So in looking at Finn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.196$ we not only saw fin changes on the

 $00:21:26.196 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.930$ epigenetic level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.122$ We also saw it on the gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:29.122 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.689$ level in in the striatum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.056$ We saw it also change in animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:33.056 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.774$ that self administered heroin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:34.774 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.886$ we also even saw it in animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:36.886 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.410$ self administered heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.160$ It correlated with their harrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:40.160 --> 00:21:42.286 intake because here and at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:42.286 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.491$ the animals we know exactly how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:44.491 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.447$ hearing they take over their lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:21:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.817$ We also saw it in cell cultures

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:49.817 --> 00:21:51.260 in with morphine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:51.260 --> 00:21:53.090 So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:53.090 --> 00:21:54.990 Thin as a kinase,

 $00:21:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.890$ it's activity in regulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:56.890 --> 00:21:58.883 downstream signaling relates to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:21:58.883 --> 00:22:01.293 it being an phosphorylated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:01.293 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.180$ its phosphorylated active form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:22:03.180 --> 00:22:06.284 Inherent users was significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:06.284 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.352$ increased as compared to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:08.352 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.967$ inactive form of first decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.990$ And indeed spin correlated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00{:}22{:}11.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.819$ the years of heroin use in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.934$ So altogether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:15.934 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.610$ Finn was became very interesting for us and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:22:21.610 --> 00:22:25.290 As I mentioned, many people as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:22:25.290 --> 00:22:28.146 including you know a lot of the colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.580$ your colleagues you know had shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.352$ Finn related to aspects of Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:33.352 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.042$ because Finn phosphorylates the important

00:22:36.042 --> 00:22:38.568 downstream target of Finn is Tau,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00{:}22{:}38.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.000$ and hyperphosphorylated Tau is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:22:41.000 --> 00:22:42.944 pathological feature of Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:22:42.944 --> 00:22:45.644 and another among other tauopathies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:45.644 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.206$ these neurodegenerative disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:48.206 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.920$ And years before we had seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.036$ these epigenetic changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.926$ we had actually seen increase phosphorylate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:22:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.340$ Towel in the brains of heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00{:}22{:}56.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.360$ Users in streaming, especially in Cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:23:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.300$ So the question is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:23:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.226$ can you see the same things in animal models?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:23:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.166$ So because humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00{:}23{:}06.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.743$ and especially I'd said at the time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:23:08.743 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.717$ we had found the increased phosphorylated

 $00:23:10.717 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.363$ Tau in the brains of heroin users

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:23:13.363 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.353$ before we started studying epigenetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

 $00:23:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.205$ I thought that they had just hit their hats

NOTE Confidence: 0.937294331428571

00:23:17.205 --> 00:23:18.737 because you know when they're toxicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00:23:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.284$ maybe they had fallen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00:23:20.284 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.120$ But we when animals self administered heroin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00:23:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.240$ in addition to seeing increased fan it also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

00:23:26.240 --> 00:23:27.960 They also had hyperphosphorylated

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00{:}23{:}27.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.540$ Tau that we could also replicate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00:23:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.347$ You are in our cell culture model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

00:23:33.350 --> 00:23:33.970 Importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

00:23:33.970 --> 00:23:36.450 thin phosphorylated Tau phosphorylates

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

00:23:36.450 --> 00:23:39.950 toward a specific sites on Tau,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00:23:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.821$ and when you look at other sites that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

00:23:42.821 --> 00:23:44.628 phosphorylated by other kinases and Tau,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00:23:44.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.190$ we did not see those changes,

 $00:23:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.760$ so there was for Tau.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00:23:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.392$ There were five specific changes in regard

NOTE Confidence: 0.727810328181818

 $00:23:51.392 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.340$ to its phosphorylation and function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:23:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.616$ So. When we see these changes and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:23:59.620 --> 00:24:02.644 you know, many, many years ago we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:02.644 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.312$ see these hyperphosphorylated towel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:24:04.312 --> 00:24:06.924 and like I said, I brushed it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:06.924 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.030$ We see these changes in regard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:24:09.106 --> 00:24:11.041 now to these epigenetic changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:11.041 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.839$ that really is not due to hitting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}24{:}13.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.258$ You know people hitting their heads

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:16.258 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.817$ or you know taking other substances

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:24:18.817 --> 00:24:21.146 but might be very, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:21.146 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.197$ newer toxic because we could also see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:23.197 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.294$ that as I said in our animal models,

 $00:24:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.820$ so is opioid use predictive?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.672$ Often your Commissioner type

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:29.672 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.987$ and so my student Brandy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:31.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.244$ We've been looking at this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:33.244 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.765$ a number of ways and I'm only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:34.765 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.037$ going to show like one thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.764$ So if we look at electronic health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:39.764 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.109$ records and track opioid exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}24{:}43.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.379$ And your cognitive diagnosis later in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.994$ Indeed, we actually see that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}24{:}47.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.098$ we look at people and look at we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:50.098 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.550$ and if anybody wants to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:24:51.550 --> 00:24:54.091 we can talk about it and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:24:54.091 --> 00:24:55.686 Q eight time period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:24:55.686 --> 00:24:57.851 But in looking at retrospectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:24:57.851 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.002$ and looking at 5 and 10 year

 $00{:}25{:}01.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.778$ follow-up following opioid exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.405$ we could see that indeed those individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:25:05.405 --> 00:25:07.399 that had substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.600$ especially opiate use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}25{:}09.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.800$ It increased their diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.698$ for neurocognitive their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:25:13.698 --> 00:25:15.138 neurocognitive diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.380$ Later on in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.516$ So, but back to our final story so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.998$ In animals, such self administered heroin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.540$ just like our heroin users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.359$ Like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:25:26.359 --> 00:25:28.270 we saw this increase in Finn and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:28.339 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.383$ it made us interested to think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:30.383 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.657$ whether or not Finn may be important,

 $00:25:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.970$ for indeed directly causally regulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}25{:}34.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.818$ heroin self administration behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.388$ So we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:37.388 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.092$ we changed the expression of Finn

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:39.092 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.230$ by using viral media to minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}25{:}41.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.738$ manipulations and the animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.096$ and we could see that indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:25:44.100 --> 00:25:45.540 if you're not down Finn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}25{:}45.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.320$ you could actually reduce heroin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.100$ seeking behavior in these animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}25{:}51.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.352$ But since our long term goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:54.352 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.978$ is medication development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}25{:}55{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.234$ we wanted to see whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:25:58.234 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.200$ a pharmacological inhibition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:25:59.262 --> 00:26:00.497 of fan could also work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.683$ and there now this time we are lucky

 $00:26:04.683 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.969$ because then there was a inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:06.969 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.620$ offence or ketamine that was being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:26:09.620 --> 00:26:11.376 tested in Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.468$ and so we could use it in our animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:26:13.468 --> 00:26:15.322 models and we really made sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:15.322 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.887$ that animals were quite motivated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.906$ We increased increasing the work effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:18.906 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.390$ for them to self administer heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}26{:}21.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.930$ And then gave them sar casm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.340$ And during those days that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}26{:}24.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.468$ they have received sarcastic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}26{:}25.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.506$ it had reduced their currency and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:26:28.506 --> 00:26:30.024 self administration behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.322$ In your when you're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:32.322 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.850$ develop medications for substance

 $00:26:33.916 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.916$ use disorders is really critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}26{:}35.920 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}26{:}38.662$ Not to impact all aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:26:38.662 --> 00:26:40.666 their reward because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:40.666 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.200$ we need to still have a regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:43.274 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.360$ hypnotic state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.425$ And when we gave a sarcastic name

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:26:46.425 --> 00:26:48.500 while their self administering food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:26:48.500 --> 00:26:51.909 for example, it didn't change that behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.286$ So when we look at the the human brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:26:54.286 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.628$ and our translational animal models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}26{:}56.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.096$ we see that there are specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

00:26:59.096 --> 00:27:00.740 epidemic and synaptic dysregulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}27{:}00.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02.970$ and a lot of that synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00{:}27{:}02.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.890$ Goodman Turkic pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:27:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.530$ Really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:27:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.819$ the some of the targets that we

00:27:07.819 --> 00:27:09.875 have identified may be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638507

 $00:27:09.875 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.378$ for treatment development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.440$ But just as the epigenetic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.221$ the BRD the Bromo domain family that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:16.221 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.057$ think is important for developing medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:19.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.596$ others have and we saw and they saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:21.596 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.209$ other substances being impacted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}27{:}23.210 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.201$ It's like the the intake of other drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}27{:}26.201 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.729$ Other groups have been looking at then here

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:29.729 \dashrightarrow 00:27:33.021$ dorid Bronze group at UCSF was able to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:33.021 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.480$ that in animals that are consumed alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}27{:}36.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.304$ it also increased fat in the striatum and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}27{:}39.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.758$ if they use their cabinet it could decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:42.758 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.270$ the animals alcohol intake behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:27:45.270 --> 00:27:49.230 But at Yale, you guys have?

00:27:49.230 --> 00:27:50.838 They've been clinical studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:50.838 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.446$ carried out with individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.692$ alcohol use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:27:53.692 --> 00:27:56.176 and have not seen any significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:56.176 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.308$ changes with alcohol consumption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:27:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.109$ But it was really nice because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:00.109 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.636$ was a translational study and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:01.636 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.267$ also had a mouse model where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.498$ could see that perhaps perhaps the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:28:05.498 --> 00:28:08.268 habitual responded for for ethanol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:28:08.270 --> 00:28:10.700 maybe what may be affected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.208$ our cabinet and there's and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:13.208 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.228$ of course may be important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.266$ So here we did not see, at least for alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:18.266 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.630$ Just sort of carry that by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:28:20.630 --> 00:28:22.202 Work, you guys,

 $00{:}28{:}22.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.298$ that circadian pattern effect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.351$ But I think that there's still a

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:28:26.351 --> 00:28:28.391 long way because we still think then

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:28.391 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.701$ see on so many levels that thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}28{:}30.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.520$ really important for a number of at

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.238$ least opiate use changes that we saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.704$ So I'm gonna go back to the orbital

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:38.704 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.596$ frontal cortex where if you remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:40.596 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.660$ we had seen this changes in fan

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}28{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.980$ and the overall frontal cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:28:43.980 --> 00:28:45.244 As I said earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:45.244 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.140$ is is a critical brain region

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}28{:}47.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.320$ also for substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.905$ especially in terms of guiding

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:28:51.905 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.939$ decision making.

 $00:28:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.628$ The values of reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}28{:}54.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.738$ goal directed behavior and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:28:56.738 --> 00:28:59.430 in reversal learning aspects so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:28:59.430 --> 00:29:01.154 Although we saw Finn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:01.154 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.309$ Finn was not the primary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:29:03.310 --> 00:29:06.130 Significantly changed gene hub

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.950$ using machine learning approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.720$ It was shesa 7. And she's just seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.750$ We solved that all models that Randy

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.655$ used and our colleagues in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:29:18.655 --> 00:29:21.078 machine learning models predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.278$ so predicted that she's a seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:29:24.278 --> 00:29:27.940 was really key in dissociating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.772$ Differentiating the gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:30.772 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.340$ pattern of parent users from control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.158$ So basically that's what you're asking.

00:29:35.160 --> 00:29:37.836 You know, these machine learning algorithms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:29:37.840 --> 00:29:41.326 how? How well do these these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.666$ Transcriptional signatures tell you who's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.162$ Maybe a heroin user and who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:47.162 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.230$ a control subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:29:48.230 --> 00:29:49.230 And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.150$ she's a 17 up all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.690$ so once she's a 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:29:53.690 --> 00:29:56.810 It's usually 7 is an auxiliary

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:29:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.890$ subunit and the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}29{:}58.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.708$ Unfortunate thing is that actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:00.708 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.989$ good thing and an unfortunate thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00{:}30{:}02.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.231$ The good thing is that for us is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:30:05.231 --> 00:30:07.270 it's something novel because very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.287$ very few people have studied Chester 7.

00:30:10.290 --> 00:30:12.650 It's considered an auxiliary subunit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:30:12.650 --> 00:30:14.690 not only at the Ampang

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:30:14.690 --> 00:30:15.506 glutamatergic receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

00:30:15.510 --> 00:30:18.422 but even at the GABA a receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:18.422 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.670$ and different researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:19.745 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.790$ have evidence on both sides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:21.790 \dashrightarrow 00:30:24.758$ so that's why we are working with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:24.758 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.222$ Yale your proteomics core and being

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:27.222 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.560$ able to see where is Jesus 7 binding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.210$ at least in our models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.905$ and so hopefully we'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.924738736

 $00:30:33.905 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.600$ able to get some insights

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:35.671 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.194$ for that, but in the meantime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:37.194 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.857$ what we've done is to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:38.857 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.547$ see is sheets of seven, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:40.547 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.338$ Critical for parents,

 $00:30:42.338 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.323$ self administration and parents seeking

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:30:45.323 --> 00:30:47.468 behavior and here Randy and Jackie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:30:47.468 --> 00:30:49.470 Jackie Fuller and postdoc in a group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.648$ You could see that indeed it

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:51.648 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.808$ replicated that her she's a 70

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:53.808 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.704$ and the cohorts of heroin users

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:55.704 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.690$ is reduced in rats is reduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.694$ But actually it correlates

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:30:59.694 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.199$ significantly with the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:02.199 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.719$ rest heroin seeking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.136$ So we could we wanted to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:08.136 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.876$ overexpressing she's a seven could change

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}31{:}10.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13.582$ was relevant to Q induced behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.376$ so we had animals self administer

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.686$ heroin and also saline as comparison

00:31:19.686 --> 00:31:21.408 groups and then we overexpressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}31{:}21.408 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}31{:}23.713$ She's a seven in the animals and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:23.713 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.456$ could see that in those animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:25.456 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.619$ had heroin and she's the seven we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:31:27.619 --> 00:31:29.416 push that heroin seeking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:29.416 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.404$ So she's a 7 augments human

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:32.404 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.880$ induced parents seeking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:31:34.880 --> 00:31:35.984 As I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:35.984 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.824$ the orbital frontal cortex is

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:37.824 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.809$ important for reversal learning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}31{:}39.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.179$ indeed overexpressing she's a 7 in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.432$ Also change sucrose reversal

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}31{:}44.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.247$ learning so animals that first

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:47.247 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.780$ learn to self administer heroin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.380$ we would switch and give

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.660$ them a different reward.

 $00:31:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.352$ They're the conditions under which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}31{:}54.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.408$ levers and we could see that it was a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}31{:}56.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58.720$ She's a 7 over expression could

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:31:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.568$ promote reversal learning more

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:00.568 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.400$ versus the heroin animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.976$ So the question is what is happening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.976$ In the brain, on a transcriptional level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.456$ With she's a 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:09.456 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.805$ And so here what we did was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}32{:}12.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.405$ look at what gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:15.405 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.918$ patterns is similar in heroin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:17.918 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.814$ self administration and under

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:32:19.814 --> 00:32:21.710 conditions of no heroin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.552$ self administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:22.552 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.078$ Just she's a 7 overexpression of

00:32:25.078 --> 00:32:27.039 the orbital frontal cortex and

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}32{:}27.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.489$ this is a strategy using rank rank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.770$ It's called hypergeometric overlap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:32:31.770 --> 00:32:35.190 In comparing 2 gene expression sets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.614$ it's a little convoluted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333 00:32:36.614 --> 00:32:37.326 It's not, NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.283$ I mean that it's just the opposite

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333 00:32:39.283 --> 00:32:40.120 in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}32{:}40.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.275$ Where we see significant overlaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:43.275 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.430$ in genes that are downregulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:32:46.529 --> 00:32:48.857 in one comparison group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.786$ And over here these are genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:50.786 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.749$ that are upregulated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.264$ but there was a complete concordance

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:54.264 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.902$ between whether or not complete a

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:32:56.902 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.107$ very strong concordance between the

00:32:59.107 --> 00:33:01.904 gene genes that are upregulated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:01.904 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.908$ hiring users and those that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:03.908 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.241$ are also upregulated by she's a 7

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:06.241 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.826$ overexpression and the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.930$ Those that are downregulated so there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.154$ This there is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:11.154 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.990$ She's a 7 overexpression mimics a

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}33{:}13.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.319$ lot of the patterns in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:15.319 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.421$ orbital frontal cortex that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:17.421 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.893$ with parents often ministration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.330$ So and not in the discordant genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.360$ This is just the the odds ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}33{:}24.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.140$ and this this significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}33{:}26.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.206$ So what are the biological features

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:33:29.206 --> 00:33:32.829 that are that are changed in relation

 $00:33:32.829 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.140$ to the genes that are that she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.400$ a 7 regulates?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.806$ Once again not surprising we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:33:39.806 --> 00:33:41.980 changes related to postsynaptic density,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:33:41.980 --> 00:33:43.993 the synaptic plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:43.993 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.006$ the cytoskeletal organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.699$ Also we see a number of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:49.699 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.730$ of disorders related to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:33:51.730 --> 00:33:54.302 for example, Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

00:33:54.302 --> 00:33:55.588 Huntington's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00{:}33{:}55.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.468$ these neurodegenerative disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:33:57.468 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.598$ come into the gene transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.906192348333333

 $00:34:00.598 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.940$ profile that she's a seven is inducing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00{:}34{:}03.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.300$ And even going back to the machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.353$ I'm not going to show a lot of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.064$ We can see that other genes that have

 $00:34:09.064 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.298$ been identified even though they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:10.298 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.740$ not as strong as sheets of seven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

00:34:11.740 --> 00:34:13.854 for example, here this product could here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.737$ is it also in she's a 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.828$ And when you change she's a 7 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.979$ It also changes a number of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:20.979 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.000$ genes that the machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.766$ strategies had shown to relate to heroin

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00{:}34{:}26.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.730$ seeking behavior in in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00{:}34{:}29.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.760$ So using these unbiased computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.330$ strategies, we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.550$ identify molecular alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00{:}34{:}38.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40.500$ again emphasizing synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00{:}34{:}40.500 \to 00{:}34{:}43.750$ dys regulation and also this aspect

NOTE Confidence: 0.91129993625

 $00:34:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.869$ of heightened neurocognitive risk.

 $00:34:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.896$ So the last part of you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

 $00{:}34{:}55.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.050$ strategies that we've been using to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

 $00:34:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.280$ to develop new treatments or potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

 $00:35:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.498$ new treatments for opiate use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

00:35:05.498 --> 00:35:08.127 The first strategies, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

 $00:35:08.127 \dashrightarrow 00:35:10.281$ started with our human subjects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

 $00:35:10.281 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.570$ looking at postmortem tissue and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

 $00:35:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.840$ going to our preclinical animal models

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

 $00:35:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.922$ and trying to manipulate them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87155301625

 $00:35:16.922 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.870$ moving them into the clinical studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}35{:}21.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.790$ What we are. Also did was using our

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}35{:}25.790 \to 00{:}35{:}28.817$ animal models in general to see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:28.817 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.313$ they may help to identify and here this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006337666666667

 $00:35:32.313 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.768$ was an unusual start because we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:34.768 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.448$ been looking at the developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}35{:}36.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.438$ effects of cannabis for many years,

 $00:35:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.344$ both from the prenatal and analysing

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:41.344 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.019$ exposure and we had looked in in humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:35:45.020 --> 00:35:47.365 in terms of fetal samples for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.002$ but even our animal models because

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:49.002 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.645$ our animal models we could allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:50.645 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.409$ them to grow into adults and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:52.409 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.050$ see doesn't impact on behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.760$ And one behavior that we we spend a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.550$ of time looking at because initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.490$ especially with the adolescent exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:35:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.610$ was this gateway hypothesis of cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.866$ exposure increasing addiction risk even

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}36{:}04.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.470$ to other substances later in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.956$ And here we looked at opioids and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}36{:}10.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.760$ And then we can go into QA as to

 $00:36:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.189$ why we looked at opioids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}36{:}14.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.030$ but there is a they share a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.825$ of signaling mechanisms with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:19.825 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.829$ endogenous cannabinoid receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:21.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.322$ And we could see in animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:36:24.322 --> 00:36:26.770 had been exposed to THC prenatally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.035$ that they would self administer

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:29.035 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.847$ heroin more than controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.130$ and even on their conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.640$ when they we had them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.728$ they would take the same amount of heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:36:35.730 --> 00:36:38.258 If you just looked at even the first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.913$ they're running to the first letter lever

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006337666666667

 $00:36:40.913 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.988$ to get that first hit of of heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.666$ In those adult animals, with penalty, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:46.666 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.850$ It was much faster,

00:36:47.850 --> 00:36:50.370 and they had a greater drug seeking behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.320$ especially under stressful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.620$ Conditions similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.410$ when we gave adult animals have

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.360$ had adolescent exposure to to TFC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:36:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.980$ They also self administered this

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.540$ black line here that's missing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}37{:}03.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.648$ They also self administered more heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.080$ But when we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.796$ When we have our human studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006337666666667

00:37:10.800 --> 00:37:12.675 we're talking about cannabis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:12.675 \dashrightarrow 00:37:15.045$ when we have our animal studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:37:15.045 --> 00:37:16.757 we're talking about THC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.392$ And we know that the cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:18.392 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.480$ plant is very complex,

 $00:37:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.628$ containing over 500 chemicals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:37:21.628 --> 00:37:25.244 many of them over 140, are cannabinoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:25.244 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.660$ So THC yes is the primary psychoactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:37:28.660 --> 00:37:30.556 cannabinoid in cannabis plant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:30.556 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.400$ but other cannabinoids such as CBD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.726$ cannabidiol is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006337666666667

00:37:34.726 --> 00:37:36.052 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:37:36.052 --> 00:37:39.282 also have from ecological and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006337666666667

 $00{:}37{:}39.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41.818$ and and psychoactive properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.572$ so one of the things we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}37{:}43.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.584$ look at was that we said let's look

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:45.584 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.290$ at at least another cannabinoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.150$ CBD, it's used to be well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.054$ It still technically is the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:52.054 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.958$ highest cannabinoid in the cannabis plant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.067$ The you know the normal cannabis plant.

00:37:57.070 --> 00:37:58.834 Today it's decreased dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:37:58.834 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.039$ as compared to the concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:01.039 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.317$ of THC that have gotten higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.770$ And when we looked in our animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.400$ models that had been given CBD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.672$ we saw a different pattern to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:09.672 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.760$ what we saw with THC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.048$ As I said earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}38{:}12.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.658$ with THC animals would invariably

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}38{:}13.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.238$ self administer more heroin is

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:15.238 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.651$ given to you to earlier and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00{:}38{:}17.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19.590$ saw with CBD was that it decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:38:19.590 --> 00:38:20.772 heroin seeking behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:20.772 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.478$ and it was very specific it

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:23.478 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.358$ was decreasing Q and juice.

 $00:38:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.075$ Currently seeking behavior so animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

00:38:27.075 --> 00:38:28.790 when they self administer heroin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.875$ just like humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:30.875 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.350$ the environmental context becomes important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.862$ And for example if you showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.900633766666667

 $00:38:35.862 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.870$ them a cue or

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:38:36.931 --> 00:38:39.043 an odor when they get the drug then

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:38:39.043 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.390$ they will start to associate those cues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}38{:}41.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.692$ And if you only show them those

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:38:42.692 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.948$ cues they will press the lever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}38{:}43.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.786$ A lot of that's what we we call it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:38:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.175$ Seeking behavior and CBD was

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:38:48.175 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.620$ able to reduce that. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:38:51.620 --> 00:38:54.040 Is CBD you know relevant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:38:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.596$ Could CBD be an A potential treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}38{:}57.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.424$ So as I emphasized when we look in

 $00:38:59.424 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.108$ the brains of human hearing users,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:01.110 \dashrightarrow 00:39:03.366$ we see all these changes in regard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:03.366 \dashrightarrow 00:39:05.118$ synaptic plasticity in glutamatergic genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.520$ We also see those in animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.473$ that self administer heroin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:09.473 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.944$ when we gave those animals CBD to

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:11.944 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.639$ actually reverse those changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.920$ we could also see changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.660$ for example with with heroin supply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:16.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.840$ administration of the cannabinoid receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.740$ and the cannabinoid receptor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.260$ very critical for regulating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.860$ About the transmission and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}39{:}24.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.460$ CBD normalized those changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.504$ So the question was,

 $00:39:29.504 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.373$ could it be effective in clinically?

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:32.373 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.877$ And so we ran a number of pilots

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:34.877 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.019$ here as a double.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.856$ All of them were double blinded

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:37.856 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.080$ and randomized placebo controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.774$ and those are things I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:40.774 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.268$ are really critical when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:39:42.268 --> 00:39:43.339 talking about cannabinoids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.195$ and especially today where everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:39:45.195 --> 00:39:47.050 thinks that cannabis in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:39:47.111 --> 00:39:49.139 may be treatment for everything but

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:39:49.139 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.955$ those cannabis studies are difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:39:50.955 --> 00:39:52.955 because especially if they're THC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:39:52.960 --> 00:39:55.120 people know when they're getting THC

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:39:55.120 --> 00:39:57.208 with CBD, it doesn't have intoxicating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:39:57.208 --> 00:40:00.128 Properties so at least we can have you know,

 $00:40:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.942$ good placebo control studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}01.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.134$ So here we looked at individuals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}05.134 \to 00{:}40{:}07.899$ had a heroin use disorder and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:07.899 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.311$ the individuals had been shown in

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:40:10.311 --> 00:40:12.633 heroin Q and then received placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.316$ they crave and CBD reduced that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.315$ So replicating what we saw in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:40:17.315 --> 00:40:19.598 animals in the in terms of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:40:19.598 --> 00:40:20.618 drug seeking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}20.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.528$ One of the things that animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:22.528 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.897$ study had also showed us was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}24.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.955$ even weeks after the last CBD

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}26.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.279$ administration to the animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.915$ it was still reducing their

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:29.915 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.896$ heroin seeking behavior.

 $00:40:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.556$ So when we brought people back

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}32.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.606$ into the lab about a week later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:34.606 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.497$ we could see that it was still

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:37.497 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.608$ reducing their their craving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.116$ One thing that we also saw,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:40:41.120 --> 00:40:43.983 at least the our study participant would

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}43.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.569$ clear was CBD was also impacting on anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.649$ so when they had gotten the heroin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}49.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.146$ Hugh and been given place bo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.974$ they they were anxious and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}53.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.368$ they got to CBD it reduced the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}40{:}56.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.223$ anxiety that cue induced again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:40:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.219$ Cue induced angularity and a week later

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:41:01.219 --> 00:41:03.610 it's still reduced their anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:41:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.020$ We hadn't studied anxiety in

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:41:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.866$ our animal models,

 $00:41:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.678$ but we did try to look at other

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}41{:}07.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.470$ aspects of in terms of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:41:10.470 --> 00:41:13.046 in our human studies in not just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:41:13.046 --> 00:41:15.270 self reports of craving and anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:41:15.270 --> 00:41:16.550 but also these physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:41:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.510$ measures of stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:41:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.334$ For example, cortisol levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:41:19.334 --> 00:41:22.505 So when when people had been given

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:41:22.505 --> 00:41:25.550 the drug queue and had gotten placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:41:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.550$ their cortisol levels went up

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:41:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.150$ and CBD reduced that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:41:29.150 --> 00:41:29.566 Similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00{:}41{:}29.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.894$ their heart rate went up when given the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

 $00:41:32.894 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.256$ drug queue and placebo and CBE reduce that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848867088

00:41:36.260 --> 00:41:37.148 As I said,

00:41:37.148 --> 00:41:39.220 we hadn't really looked at anxiety in

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:41:39.288 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.316$ our animal models, but based on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:41:41.316 --> 00:41:43.420 we we were we saw in our humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:41:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.620$ we've now gone back to look at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:41:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.080$ and to also try to understand what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:41:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.291$ the mechanism of action by which

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:41:50.291 --> 00:41:52.972 CD may be working here in my post

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:41:52.972 --> 00:41:55.415 that Jackie Ferlin we looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}41{:}55.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58.169$ we tried to induce anxiety in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:41:58.169 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.430$ animals plastic with in terms of shocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:00.492 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.858$ animals and when the animals are shocked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.924$ they're given a queue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:03.924 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.859$ Here we give them this lemon odor

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:05.859 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.557$ and then we assess their anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.736$ Related behavior here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}42{:}08.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11.480$ This is using the light dark spots

 $00:42:11.552 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.862$ and we could see that in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:13.862 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.074$ animals that when they've been given

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}42{:}16.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.350$ vehicle and they had were exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.825$ to the lemon queue and they showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}42{:}21.825 \to 00{:}42{:}24.485$ increased anxiety related behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:42:24.490 --> 00:42:27.850 But given CBD CBD completely reduced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.790$ that Q induced limit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:42:30.790 --> 00:42:31.730 Behavioral response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.080$ We're now, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.610$ trying to understand how CBD

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.140$ might be having its effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.896$ so that we can perhaps identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}42{:}40.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.841$ even non CBD related development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:43.841 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.487$ Non CBD related medications based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:46.487 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.238$ the the biology of what's happening.

 $00:42:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.336$ Not going to tell you some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}42{:}51.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53.938$ the specific mechanisms that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.164$ but one of the things that's clear is

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:56.164 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.239$ that there are disturbances in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:42:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.298$ circuits related to the nucleus accumbens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.930$ The basolateral amygdala and prelimbic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:43:02.930 --> 00:43:04.574 Facts, not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:04.574 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.670$ but interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:43:05.670 --> 00:43:06.680 if we just, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.672$ within the nucleus accumbens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}43{:}07.672 --> 00{:}43{:}08.912$ here is just a shell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.621$ We can see that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:10.621 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.989$ significant changes where some genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:11.989 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.459$ are downregulated in other genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.790$ upregulated when in animals when

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:15.790 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.120$ they're exposed again to this,

 $00:43:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.160$ this queuing juice stressor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.200$ they show this anxiety behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.279$ and when you look at the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:24.279 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.478$ that had gotten CBD and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:26.478 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.717$ behaviors were normalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.198$ It's just it shows that CBD

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:43:30.198 --> 00:43:32.426 reverses or even eliminates these

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}43{:}32.426 \to 00{:}43{:}34.926$ particular genes that are changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:43:34.930 --> 00:43:37.920 So. Does cannabidiol hold promise?

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}43{:}37.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39.051$ At least here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:39.051 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.325$ we've been able to do clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:41.325 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.855$ We're still working on one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:42.855 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.939$ the effective doses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.460$ and the formulations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.600$ the delivery systems,

00:43:46.600 --> 00:43:50.219 but similar to what we you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}43{:}50.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.922$ I mentioned in terms of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:52.922 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.529$ strategies that we're looking at in

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:55.529 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.343$ translating to developing potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:43:57.343 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.558$ medications for opiate use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:43:59.560 --> 00:44:03.052 It may not be specific CBD for just opioids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.284$ Other groups have shown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:04.284 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.256$ for example, with alcohol again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:06.256 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.516$ And here weeks after their

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:08.516 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.650$ last alcohol intake animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}44{:}10.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.060$ CBD still reduce their alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.024$ seeking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:44:14.030 --> 00:44:16.641 And even when they're the stress induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:44:16.641 --> 00:44:18.718 against here, it's just a shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692 00:44:18.718 --> 00:44:19.050 Again. NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.521$ You can also see that CBD still

 $00:44:21.521 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.810$ reduce their alcohol seeking behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.456$ But there may be some things that would sex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:27.456 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.362$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:44:28.362 --> 00:44:31.080 a group looking at binge drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:31.159 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.882$ in in the mouse model found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

00:44:33.882 --> 00:44:36.096 although CBD did reduce alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:36.096 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.378$ intake in the mail animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}44{:}38.378 \longrightarrow 00{:}44{:}41.420$ it did not do that in the female until

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}44{:}41.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.045$ they increased the dose of CBD significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}44{:}44.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47.205$ So there's still a lot that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:47.205 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.682$ to learn and doses are important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00:44:49.682 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.627$ but at least now we're able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.789302832307692

 $00{:}44{:}52.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54.717$ expand our our small studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:44:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.750$ And now we're also looking at in terms of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:44:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.774$ big clinical trial with CANNABIDOL to see.

 $00:45:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.060$ Indeed again, you know, placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00{:}45{:}03.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.179$ randomized place bo control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:04.179 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.790$ and to see also doing your imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:06.855 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.023$ studies to see if we can start in

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00{:}45{:}09.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.046$ humans like our animal models to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:11.046 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.821$ understand what are the neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:12.821 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.750$ systems that are changed with CBD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:45:15.750 --> 00:45:21.110 So clearly in looking at the human brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:45:21.110 --> 00:45:23.648 it has taught us a lot that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.680$ although initially we have focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00{:}45{:}25.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.566$ on dopamine and and even the opioid

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:45:28.566 --> 00:45:30.792 system in targeting for medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:30.792 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.102$ but it's about epigenetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00{:}45{:}34.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.359$ synaptic plasticity and these to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:37.359 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.217$ me and also those those neural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.016$ Networks and those systems that really

 $00:45:44.016 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.867$ relate as well to cognition in part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:46.867 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.841$ and those are things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:45:48.841 --> 00:45:50.200 definitely trying to develop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.220$ and the important thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.240$ all of these epigenetic changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:54.313 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.168$ is that they are reversible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647 00:45:56.170 --> 00:45:57.078 So yes, NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:45:57.078 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.348$ the epigenetic mechanisms maintain these

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00{:}45{:}59.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.620$ long term sensitivity of the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:46:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.314$ but it's because we really haven't found

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:46:03.314 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.759$ the right targets to reverse them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:46:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.418$ because these tags are like genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647 00:46:07.420 --> 00:46:08.056 are reversible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00{:}46{:}08.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.150$ So I had mentioned a lot of the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:46:11.150 --> 00:46:12.370 Who had you know,

 $00:46:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.200$ contributed to a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:46:14.275 --> 00:46:15.767 work that we're doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:46:15.770 --> 00:46:17.717 I didn't show like Alex **** where

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:46:17.717 --> 00:46:20.706 working on CBD and or heroin users,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:46:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.462$ and a lot of our clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:46:22.462 --> 00:46:23.630 team and Karen backing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:46:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.157$ and in large part in running the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:46:26.157 --> 00:46:28.363 operations of our clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00{:}46{:}28.363 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}46{:}31.201$ and doctor and soul systems of

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

00:46:31.201 --> 00:46:33.370 Amazing Addiction medicine physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00{:}46{:}33.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.026$ who's been great to work with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:46:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.955$ So with that I will take any

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647

 $00:46:36.955 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.489$ questions that you might have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767624137117647 00:46:38.490 --> 00:46:40.000 Thank you.