WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:48:45"
- NOTE recognizability:0.810
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.680 Well. Thank you so much Jerry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00{:}00{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.144$ for the kind introduction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00:00:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.290$ It's truly a pleasure and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00:00:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.430$ honor to give this lecture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00:00:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.662$ I was there last time at Yale in 2009.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00{:}00{:}12.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.406$ I I met Doctor Henninger back then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- 00:00:15.406 --> 00:00:19.010 but I know of all many of the stories.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- 00:00:19.010 --> 00:00:22.946 Wonderful teacher, mentor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- 00:00:22.946 --> 00:00:25.570 researcher, clinician.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- 00:00:25.570 --> 00:00:27.964 And we share a story where he
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00:00:27.964 \rightarrow 00:00:30.013$ sounds like his second generation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00{:}00{:}30{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.839$ psychiatrist grew up and they a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00:00:32.839 \rightarrow 00:00:35.160$ mental state hospital grounds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00{:}00{:}35.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.960$ I also second generation psychiatrist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00{:}00{:}38{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41{.}420$ and I grew up on Norristown

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00{:}00{:}41.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.000$ State Hospital grounds that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00:00:43.000 \rightarrow 00:00:44.974$ used to play there because my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00{:}00{:}44.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.639$ father was working at Norristown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00{:}00{:}46.640$ --> $00{:}00{:}49.024$ we lived on Sturgis St and I think NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

00:00:49.024 --> 00:00:50.860 George Curtis was there and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00{:}00{:}50{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53{.}288$ of the earlier trials of lithium

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00{:}00{:}53.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.384$ with Smith Beecham if I recall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00:00:56.390 \dashrightarrow 00:00:59.086$ And we used to play with our with NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00:00:59.086 \rightarrow 00:01:01.434$ with patients there who had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

00:01:01.434 --> 00:01:03.429 transistor radios and they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00:01:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.390$ provide moral therapy and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00{:}01{:}05{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}190$ educate them on how to socialize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00:01:08.190 \rightarrow 00:01:12.636$ So we have that common experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704

 $00:01:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.944$ So with that in mind, I'm I'm,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- 00:01:14.944 --> 00:01:15.448 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- 00:01:15.448 --> 00:01:17.270 truly delighted to to give this talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- 00:01:17.270 --> 00:01:18.560 I'm going to probably have way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9115704
- $00{:}01{:}18.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.205$ too many slides.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}01{:}21{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23{.}730$ And just wanted to summarize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:01:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.060$ the work until this point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:01:26.060 \rightarrow 00:01:28.930$ This is my disclosure work for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- 00:01:28.930 --> 00:01:30.689 intramural research program at NIH.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:01:30.690 \rightarrow 00:01:33.588$ So today's objectives are to understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:01:33.588 \rightarrow 00:01:37.049$ briefly the cause of TRD to become
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:01:37.049 \rightarrow 00:01:39.464$ familiar with rapid actin therapeutics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:01:39.464 \rightarrow 00:01:41.970$ for TRD to understand the neurobiology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}01{:}41{.}970$ --> $00{:}01{:}44{.}280$ of promise and the rapeutics for treatment NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:01:44.339 \rightarrow 00:01:47.234$ resistant depression and to really get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:01:47.234 \rightarrow 00:01:49.514$ at the pharmacology understanding how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:01:49.514 \rightarrow 00:01:52.539$ ketamine works to develop better treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}01{:}52{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55{.}879$ So we do know already that TRD is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:01:55.879 - 00:01:58.595 with is a major cause of morbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:01:58.600 --> 00:02:00.886 disability and mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:02:00.886 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.210$ and it's defined clinically by two failure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:02:06.210 --> 00:02:08.460 respond to two adequate antidepressant trials NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:02:08.460 \rightarrow 00:02:11.238$ in the current major depressive episode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:02:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.279$ It's made about 30 to 40% will have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:02:14.279 --> 00:02:15.944 form of treatment resistant depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}02{:}15{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18{.}032$ although the numbers are probably actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}02{:}18.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.780$ higher and depression, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:02:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.270$ is associated with significant mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:02:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.350$ Death by suicide is about 800,000 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:02:26.350 \rightarrow 00:02:28.720$ 1,000,000 per year around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:02:28.720 \rightarrow 00:02:30.148$ Depression has traditionally been

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:02:30.148 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.933$ viewed as disturbances of mood,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.138$ but we know it consists of much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:34.138 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.080$ more than that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.604$ Disturbances,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:35.604 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.652$ circadian rhythms,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:36.652 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.224$ activity levels with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- 00:02:38.224 --> 00:02:39.796 significant impairment function,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}02{:}39{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42{.}938$ and there's considerable cost with a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}02{:}42.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.734$ treatment resistant depression and the if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:45.734 \rightarrow 00:02:47.774$ you have treatment resistant depression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- 00:02:47.780 --> 00:02:49.838 you live on average 13 years less
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:49.838 \rightarrow 00:02:51.260$ than individuals without treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.240$ persist depression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.640$ so really impactful illness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}02{:}55{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}144$ The the criteria for DSM we we we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:02:58.144 \rightarrow 00:03:00.441$ have DSM criteria for depression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:00.441 \rightarrow 00:03:03.091$ and it's useful for communicating

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}03{:}03{.}091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}718$ with families and with clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.946$ But we know that it does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:07.946 \rightarrow 00:03:08.900$ map to neurobiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}120$ In fact there is conservative

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.228$ comorbidity of 30 to 40% or even higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:14.228 \rightarrow 00:03:16.913$ and so if one is trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:16.913 \rightarrow 00:03:19.057$ the ideology of depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}03{:}19{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21{.}340$ are you really looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.620$ depression or the comorbidity with

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:03:23.704 --> 00:03:26.139 anxiety disorders or some other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.775$ Medical conditions and So what

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:28.775 \rightarrow 00:03:31.566$ was proposed with our DOC research

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:03:31.566 --> 00:03:33.302 domain criteria was deconstruct

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35{.}459$ these illness illnesses into more

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:03:35.459 \rightarrow 00:03:37.484$ simpler constructs in the way,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:03:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.942$ but challenge in itself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}03{:}38{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42{.}033$ And here we have example of an adonia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- 00:03:42.033 --> 00:03:44.547 motoric or activity levels in suicide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}03{:}44{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}974$ and so then what you do is obtain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:03:46.974 \rightarrow 00:03:48.909$ information at very various levels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}03{:}48{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}010$ going from behavior going through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:03:51.010 \rightarrow 00:03:53.110$ Physiology circuits all the way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:03:53.174 \rightarrow 00:03:55.382$ down to genes and then especially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:03:55.382 \rightarrow 00:03:57.180$ and then eventually you can.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:03:57.180 \dashrightarrow 00:03:59.550$ Link and the phenotype more closely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}03{:}59{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}854$ or approximate to what's going on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:04:01.854 \rightarrow 00:04:03.828$ with the ideology of the illness
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:04:03.828 \rightarrow 00:04:06.198$ with the therapeutic in this case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}04{:}06{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}400$ For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:04:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.400$ this represents changes in anhedonia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:04:10.400 \rightarrow 00:04:13.080$ reward processing link with improvements

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}04{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.760$ and depression scores with ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}04{:}15.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.936$ and that would be one example of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:04:17.936 \rightarrow 00:04:20.320$ we can develop better therapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:04:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.609$ Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}04{:}20{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22{.}632$ the rationale is that you know depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:04:22.632 \rightarrow 00:04:24.858$ is for developing better treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}04{:}24.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.470$ As we have depressions associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}04{:}26.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.080$ with the disruption to personal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:04:28.080 --> 00:04:28.516 social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00{:}04{:}28{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30{.}696$ occupational life and there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:04:30.696 --> 00:04:32.440 considerable risk of suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:04:32.440 \rightarrow 00:04:34.380$ Although we have standard treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:04:34.380 --> 00:04:35.610 psychosocial, pharmacological,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

00:04:35.610 --> 00:04:36.225 neurostimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836

 $00:04:36.225 \rightarrow 00:04:39.915$ they all help many individuals oppression.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:04:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.140$ We use them in combination,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- 00:04:41.140 --> 00:04:44.556 but still Despite that we get very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:04:44.556 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.020$ low remission rates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00:04:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.496$ We have about 30 to 40% of treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- 00:04:49.496 --> 00:04:50.332 resistant depression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}04{:}50{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52{.}840$ And there's a considerable lag of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858486836
- $00{:}04{:}52{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54{.}870$ onset of antidepressant effects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:04:54.870 \rightarrow 00:04:57.408$ This cartoon or this figure depicts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00{:}04{:}57{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}511$ a major depressive episode which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:04:59.511 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.898$ usually lasts about 6 to 9 months.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:05:01.900 \rightarrow 00:05:04.000$ What happens when we initiate treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:05:04.000 \rightarrow 00:05:06.250$ with a monoaminergic based antidepressant?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:05:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.858$ Is we shift the curve of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00{:}05{:}07.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.930$ response towards the left,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:05:08.930 \rightarrow 00:05:12.970$ and that response is now at 10 to 14 weeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:05:12.970 --> 00:05:16.006 Now, in my mind and many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}05{:}16{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19{.}030$ we would agree that next

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:05:19.030 \rightarrow 00:05:20.238$ generation antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:05:20.240 \rightarrow 00:05:22.620$ That could produce rapid responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}05{:}22.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.000$ of both depression and suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26{.}908$ within a matter of hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}05{:}26{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29{.}214$ and we can develop better treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:05:29.214 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.846$ than ketamine based on an understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}05{:}31.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.890$ of cellular molecular targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:05:33.890 \rightarrow 00:05:38.794$ Now this is, yes, you know this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:05:38.794 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.810$ the path or the journey to novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:05:40.876 --> 00:05:42.200 the rapeutics was made much

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:05:42.200 \rightarrow 00:05:44.186$ easier by the work at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:05:44.190 \rightarrow 00:05:48.366$ and this is the Seminole paper by Rob Berman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:05:48.366 --> 00:05:50.262 John Crystal, Dennis, Charney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:05:50.262 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.122$ and others showing the rapid

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00{:}05{:}52{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}210$ response within a couple of hours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:05:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.814$ And it really set the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00{:}05{:}56{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}999$ groundwork for future research at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:05:58.999 \rightarrow 00:06:01.410$ the intramural program this work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.170$ This is a very old slide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.840$ From a paper where we had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- 00:06:06.840 --> 00:06:08.175 our candidate drugs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.006$ this was our understanding of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:11.006 \dashrightarrow 00:06:13.490$ architecture of the glutamate system,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:13.490 \rightarrow 00:06:14.477$ the tripartite system,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:14.477 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.780$ and we came up over the few
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- 00:06:16.849 --> 00:06:18.636 drugs and amantine, felbamate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:18.636 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.244$ riluzole early on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:20.244 \rightarrow 00:06:22.924$ and I'll very briefly summarize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556
- $00:06:22.924 \rightarrow 00:06:24.459$ the next steps,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}24.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.106$ but that we've gone through 20 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}27.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.683$ of different drugs and some of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}29.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.741$ have promised and others did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:06:31.750 \rightarrow 00:06:35.534$ But this is the the study that Jerry

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}950$ mentioned where we tested back then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}37{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41{.}270$ But we we believe was the NMDA receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:06:41.270 \rightarrow 00:06:43.130$ inhibition hypothesis of depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}43.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.586$ That is, if you're given an MD antagonist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:06:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.418$ you produce rapid responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:06:47.418 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.703$ and the answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}49{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}210$ Here we see racemic, ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:06:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.350$ the depression scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:06:53.350 --> 00:06:54.110 higher number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:06:54.110 --> 00:06:56.798 greater depression time and minutes and days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}613$ and we see an onset within a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}06{:}58.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.244$ couple of hours with racemic

 $00:07:00.244 \rightarrow 00:07:01.816$ ketamine towards the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}01.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.562$ We see the response rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}04.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.933$ monomer energic antidepressants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.298$ which is about 6 to 8 weeks for 65%

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}10.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.446$ to achieve response taken and added

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:12.446 \rightarrow 00:07:14.777$ present everyday for that period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.982$ Here you see rapid responses within

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:16.982 \rightarrow 00:07:19.749$ a couple of hours in individuals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}19.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.197$ had failed 6 to 8 antidepressants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.056$ many had failed ECT and 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:25.060 \rightarrow 00:07:29.648$ had previous suicide attempts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:07:29.650 --> 00:07:31.440 So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33{.}946$ From there we now have what could

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}33{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36{.}073$ be called four major classes

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:07:36.073 --> 00:07:38.983 of of drugs that have presumed

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:38.983 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.560$ rapid antidepressant effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.386$ We have ketamine as the prototype

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:43.386 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.799$ and the antagonist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}44.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.900$ but also has effects on new

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:07:46.900 - 00:07:48.940 opioid Kappa and other systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}48{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51{.}510$ The second group is neurosteroids

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.950$ brexanolone sage 547.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:07:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.180$ It's a gab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}54.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.580$ It's a GABA a positive electric modulator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}07{:}57{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00{.}828$ Then we have the opioids and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:00.828 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.756$ serotonergic hallucinogens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.804$ The prototype is silvbin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:03.804 \rightarrow 00:08:06.359$ Now we're really not sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:06.360 \rightarrow 00:08:08.790$ but early preclinical work suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.652$ that there's overlaps in some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:11.652 \rightarrow 00:08:14.137$ the the effects of these drugs,

 $00:08:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.240$ so they begin at the receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:16.240 \rightarrow 00:08:16.940$ signaling level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.020$ Different places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.640$ opioid and MDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

00:08:19.640 --> 00:08:20.912 glutamate or serotonergic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23{.}456$ then and then we see differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:23.456 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.704$ in the plasticity cascades and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}08{:}25.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.894$ more downstream that was believed

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00{:}08{:}27.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.316$ to happen is that they overlap at

NOTE Confidence: 0.858216380555556

 $00:08:31.316 \rightarrow 00:08:32.774$ network reconfiguration increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}08{:}32.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.884$ The Neurotrophins protein translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:08:34.884 --> 00:08:36.450 Spine turnover, neurogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:08:36.450 \rightarrow 00:08:40.020$ and these different systems seem to converge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}08{:}40{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42{.}070$ and glutamate seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:08:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.615$ an important component now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}08{:}43.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.135$ There have been studies with this earth with

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:08:46.135 \rightarrow 00:08:48.767$ the psychedelic agents and this was where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:08:48.770 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:08:51.158 by Robin Carhartt Harris just published

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:08:51.158 \rightarrow 00:08:53.900$ recently in the New England Journal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:08:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.868$ in which you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:08:55.868 \rightarrow 00:08:58.820$ here is subjects randomized to silvbin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}08{:}58{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}976$ 2 doses of cital opram and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:09:01.976 - 00:09:04.590 you see here is the conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}09{:}04.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.865$ at least on the quiz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:09:05.870 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.246$ Was that there was at by six weeks there

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}09{:}08.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.770$ was no significant difference in the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:09:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.079$ of person effects versus search ruling

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:09:13.080 \dashrightarrow 00:09:16.440$ compass just published a larger study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:09:16.440 --> 00:09:19.020 Looking at, I believe it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}09{:}19{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22{.}390$ 2510 milligrams and 1 milligram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:09:22.390 \rightarrow 00:09:25.614$ And what they found were response rates of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:09:25.614 \rightarrow 00:09:28.645$ about 36% at 25 milligrams at three weeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:09:28.650 \rightarrow 00:09:30.816$ And then there's some cases of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:09:30.820 --> 00:09:31.826 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:09:31.826 \rightarrow 00:09:34.214$ perhaps increased rates of suicidal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}09{:}34{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36{.}832$ ideation and so on and so forth
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:09:36.832 \rightarrow 00:09:39.650$ and in the higher dose group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:09:39.650 --> 00:09:41.700 But these are just preliminary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:09:41.700 --> 00:09:43.968 The results are not all published,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:09:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.064$ but leads us to believe that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:09:46.064 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.909$ this could possibly be another
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:09:47.909 --> 00:09:50.609 group of drugs to to pursue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}09{:}50{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53{.}747$ This is very high level summary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:09:53.750 --> 00:09:57.215 This is the Ron Duman hypothesis of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}09{:}57{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59{.}825$ depression, the gluta matergic burst,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}09{:}59{.}825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}638$ where we block GABA NMDA receptors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:10:03.638 \rightarrow 00:10:06.108$ and GABA ergic interneurons decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:10:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.750$ GABA release hyperpolarization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:10:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.978$ the so called glutamate, first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:10:09.978 --> 00:10:11.742 intracellular signaling cascade

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:10:11.742 \rightarrow 00:10:14.682$ changes induction of neural plasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}10{:}14.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.290$ and then spine growth in synaptogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:10:18.290 --> 00:10:20.108 Another possibility is to go more

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:10:20.108 --> 00:10:21.900 downstream to avoid NMDA receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:10:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.974$ and hopefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:10:22.974 \rightarrow 00:10:26.196$ Avoid the psychotomimetic effects would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}10{:}26.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.238$ using inhibitors that maglore to receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:10:29.240 --> 00:10:31.053 One can look at the son of

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:10:31.053 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.519$ ketamine to our six rhink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00:10:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.955$ I'll talk a little bit about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

 $00{:}10{:}33{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36{.}885$ They all produce the glutamate

NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

00:10:36.885 --> 00:10:38.640 burst AMPA activation,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}10{:}38.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.080$ seroton ergic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:10:39.080 --> 00:10:41.720 psyched elics at the five HT 2A
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:10:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.440$ receptor and also would have many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:10:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.655$ of the common downstream pathways.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}10{:}46.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.872$ We do not know about the neurosteroids
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:10:49.872 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.948$ we do know that there's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:10:52.948 --> 00:10:55.380 They're positive, illustrate modulators.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}10{:}55{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}312$ Some argue it could be negative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:10:57.312 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.956$ allosteric modulators,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:10:57.960 00:11:01.280 but they seem to tap in and similar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:11:01.280 --> 00:11:03.019 downstream pathways early work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}11{:}03.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.180$ Now, what is important in and,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:11:05.180 --> 00:11:09.080 and this is that biomarkers where this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:11:09.080 --> 00:11:11.640 inhibition disinhibition or excitation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:11.640 \dashrightarrow 00:11:17.748$ of pyramidal cells one can detect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054

- $00:11:17.750 \rightarrow 00:11:20.347$ At the preclinical and also human level,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.790$ looking at gamma power within
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:11:21.790 --> 00:11:23.230 the 30 to 50 Hertz,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.918$ and that is a potential cross
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:11:25.918 --> 00:11:28.550 species biomarker we are pursuing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}11{:}28{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31{.}589$ So this is a very simple schematic in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:31.589 \dashrightarrow 00:11:35.290$ year 2000 and this is what it looks like now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:11:35.290 --> 00:11:36.598 Very exciting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}11{:}36{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39{.}868$ many different targets being pursued,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.970$ some of them panned out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.990$ others not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}11{:}41{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45{.}050$ We talked about the Gabaergic interneurons
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}11{:}45{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47{.}720$ and blue are two three ketamine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:11:47.720 --> 00:11:50.780 Seems to have effects on many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.800$ different components.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:51.800 \rightarrow 00:11:55.634$ The Mglur 5 has also been pursued as well.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:11:55.640 \dashrightarrow 00:11:57.860$ Colocalized within MDA receptors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}11{:}57.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.292$ Some have actually pursued more activators.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:12:01.292 --> 00:12:05.204 Tax 653 is still in play.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00{:}12{:}05{.}210$ --> $00{:}12{:}09{.}416$ Also for neurocognition one can target
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:12:09.416 \rightarrow 00:12:12.220$ the extrasynaptic site receptors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:12:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.100$ to produce this a plasticity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:12:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.110$ But and then of course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- 00:12:18.110 --> 00:12:20.290 There are more direct targets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62119054
- $00:12:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.034$ such as mtor agonists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- 00:12:22.040 --> 00:12:25.554 MV5138. Now the largest group of drugs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- 00:12:25.560 --> 00:12:28.395 of course, are the NMDA receptor antagonist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00{:}12{:}28{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30{.}338$ and so there are listed here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00{:}12{:}30{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33{.}328$ One that's quite interested as dextral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00{:}12{:}33{.}328$ --> $00{:}12{:}36{.}644$ methadone rail 1017 and then one can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00{:}12{:}36{.}644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39{.}955$ target the glycine site as a way of more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:12:39.955 \rightarrow 00:12:43.179$ fine tune in the NMDA receptor complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:12:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.574$ None of them have panned out as of yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:12:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.953$ and then one can pursue at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:12:47.953 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.700$ subunit in order to be so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

00:12:49.700 --> 00:12:51.800 These are just high level examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:12:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.280$ of some of the drugs in play.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:12:54.280 \rightarrow 00:12:56.520$ Now to summary the brief summary to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:12:56.520 \rightarrow 00:12:58.971$ point is TRD is to field and at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}12{:}58{.}971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}080$ present trials it's a clinical definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:13:01.080 \rightarrow 00:13:04.800$ but we have to move away to that in consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}13{:}04.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.308$ biological means of defining TRD and MDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}13{:}08{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}432$ Receptor inhibition may not be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}13{:}10{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11{.}899$ primary mechanism of ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}13{:}11{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}005$ I know that's not all schools agree on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}13{:}15{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17{.}075$ but we have some evidence of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

00:13:17.080 --> 00:13:19.730 Psychedelic drugs are being explored

- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.840$ in large studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.690$ We'll know soon in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- 00:13:22.690 --> 00:13:23.780 glutamatergic modulates with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:23.780 \rightarrow 00:13:25.680$ Diverging modulates as well seems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.250$ to be a promising group of drugs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- 00:13:28.250 --> 00:13:31.430 Now in terms of mechanism action,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:31.430 \rightarrow 00:13:34.215$ this study raised whether opioid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:34.215 \rightarrow 00:13:36.443$ receptors might be implicated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.175$ This is studied by Williams
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- 00:13:38.175 --> 00:13:39.822 and Alan Schatzberg's group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:39.822 \rightarrow 00:13:43.137$ which you see here is that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00{:}13{:}43{.}137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44{.}965$ the ketamine subjects treated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:44.965 \rightarrow 00:13:47.250$ with ketamine were pretreated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- 00:13:47.319 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:49.467 either placebo or say a placebo,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714
- $00:13:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.943$ saline or naltrexone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:13:50.943 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.416$ What you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:13:52.420 \rightarrow 00:13:54.400$ which is a new opioid antagonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00:13:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.339$ What you see is a diminishing or

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}13{:}56{.}339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58{.}479$ attenuation of the antidepressant effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}780$ but the dissociate side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

 $00{:}14{:}00.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.659$ do not diminish with the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852174034285714

00:14:03.660 --> 00:14:07.290 naltrexone work by Matt Klein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12{.}918$ They argue that it's not an effect as

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:14:12.918 --> 00:14:15.790 an opiate, but more that it affects

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}15{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}970$ both NMDA and opioid signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}17{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}690$ and so that's something to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:14:19.690 --> 00:14:21.066 consider in future development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}21.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.722$ So we were quite intrigued with

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}23.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.377$ with these findings and decide to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}26{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29{.}160$ pursue this a little bit more. First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:14:29.160 --> 00:14:31.750 a very brief summary of racemic Academy.

 $00:14:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.794$ When administered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:14:32.794 --> 00:14:36.282 you have within a few minutes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:14:36.282 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.812$ mice or in humans, 24 metabolites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:14:38.812 --> 00:14:41.617 Over 24 potentially different drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:14:41.620 \rightarrow 00:14:44.273$ Towards the right you see here the

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}44{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}187$ pathway of our ketamine can be metabolized

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:14:47.187 --> 00:14:51.722 to to R6RH K or esketamine to 26S H&K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:14:51.722 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.327$ They do not enter convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:14:53.327 \rightarrow 00:14:55.319$ and so these two compounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:14:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.664$ the two or six are in the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}57.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.440$ success do have antidepressant

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}14{:}59{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}215$ like properties in animal models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}15{:}02.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.390$ So this work was done and collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:15:04.390 --> 00:15:06.847 is was done in a Mike Michaelides

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:15:06.847 --> 00:15:08.307 lab by Jordy Buenaventura,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- 00:15:08.310 --> 00:15:10.902 and we were interested in better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}15{:}10.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.630$ characterizing the the pharmacology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- 00:15:12.698 --> 00:15:14.670 of Esketamine versus archenemy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:15:14.670 \rightarrow 00:15:17.574$ Here we see a screening receptor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:15:17.574 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.510$ enzyme profile in here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:15:19.510 \rightarrow 00:15:20.566$ It's very tiny,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:15:20.566 \rightarrow 00:15:22.678$ all the different receptors and enzymes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}15{:}22.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.986$ and what you find is, not surprisingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:15:24.986 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.724$ both S cademy and our ketamine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- 00:15:28.730 --> 00:15:29.716 Bind PCP,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:15:29.716 \rightarrow 00:15:33.167$ But what was interesting here is we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}15{:}33{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}661$ found that as ketamine at 10 micro
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:15:36.661 \rightarrow 00:15:40.970$ molars was binding to muoio receptors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}15{:}40{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43{.}310$ When you look at competitive radiolig and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:15:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.220$ binding essays towards the left,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:15:45.220 \rightarrow 00:15:47.148$ you have MK to one for an MDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}15{:}47{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}990$ Receptors in orange is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:15:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.910$ That's ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51{.}746$ You see that the displacement is

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}15{:}51.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.810$ greater for S compared to R ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:15:53.810 \rightarrow 00:15:56.114$ We know that that S is already four

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:15:56.114 \rightarrow 00:15:58.552$ times more potent than archenemy, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:15:58.552 \rightarrow 00:16:00.448$ Where are opioid receptors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}16{:}00{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02{.}410$ They're they're summarized down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:16:02.410 \rightarrow 00:16:04.909$ New opioid receptor is greater for S,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}16{:}04{.}910$ --> $00{:}16{:}07{.}185$ the S and antiwar compared to R,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:16:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.507$ and also for the capital opioid receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}16{:}09{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10{.}626$ For the Sigma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}16{:}10.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.214$ it's the flip side R in anterior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:16:13.214 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.386$ as greater effects on Sigma receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17{.}872$ and Sigma have been implicated in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:16:17.872 \rightarrow 00:16:19.987$ antidepressant like properties as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}19{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22{.}926$ The study went on to look at FDG
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- 00:16:22.926 --> 00:16:25.169 PET imaging in awake rodents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}25{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27{.}578$ looking at the US versus the ordinance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}27.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.147$ timers and what you see with the S
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}30{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32{.}168$ is that there's increase in activity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}32.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.108$ and medial prefrontal cortex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:16:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.058$ Where the opioid receptors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:16:36.058 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.006$ reside largely in part,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}38.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.298$ and then you have the Aryan nation where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}40.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.348$ what you find is a decrease activity and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}43{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44{.}960$ paravent ricular in habenular regions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}44{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48{.}467$ Keep in mind some studies suggest that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}48.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.942$ there's hyperactivity of the habenula
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00{:}16{:}50{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54{.}036$ and involved in the NT reward system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:16:54.040 \rightarrow 00:16:56.085$ When you look at autoradiography

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}16{:}56.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.956$ studies you see on the top is

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}16{:}58{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01{.}150$ middle is esketamine you see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:17:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.800$ effects of mule period receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}17{:}02.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.814$ It's reversed with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:17:03.814 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.741$ Now trick with that, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:17:05.741 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.883$ not naloxone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}17{:}06.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.167$ whereas there's no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}17{:}09{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11{.}465$ changes with our ketamine here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:17:11.465 \rightarrow 00:17:14.160$ You can see the right basil increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}17{:}14.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.398$ with morphine increases with

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}17{:}16.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.566$ Esketamine reverse with naloxone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:17:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.878$ No significant changes with

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00{:}17{:}20.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.186$ our the our enantiomer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:17:23.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.447$ The study next went on to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:17:27.447 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.062$ behavioral effects of these enantiomers

- NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938
- $00:17:31.062 \rightarrow 00:17:34.198$ using classical behavioral procedures.

00:17:34.200 --> 00:17:35.700 That characterize opioid

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:17:35.700 --> 00:17:37.200 in psychostimulant drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

 $00:17:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.355$ And here you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911613938

00:17:38.355 --> 00:17:39.510 see an orange is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}17{:}39{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41{.}444$ esketamine is the one that's elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:17:41.444 \rightarrow 00:17:43.320$ in all these behavioral procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:17:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.554$ Acute locomotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}17{:}44.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.639$ locomotor sensitization compared to R,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:17:47.640 \rightarrow 00:17:49.590$ cross sensitization, and when you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:17:49.590 \rightarrow 00:17:51.540$ at the condition place preference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}17{:}51{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52{.}950$ you see it's greater with

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}17{:}52{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}360$ the S and then timer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}17{:}54{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56{.}900$ No significant changes with R.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}17{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}396$ What about drug sale fund ministration?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:17:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.236$ You also seen the dose response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:18:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.705$ Greater increases with as compared to R.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:18:04.710 --> 00:18:06.910 But in the extinction phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:18:06.910 \rightarrow 00:18:09.568$ what was distinct from opium psychostimulant

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}18{:}09{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12{.}702$ drugs is that there was extinction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}18{:}12.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.282$ suggests that it's not habit forming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:18:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.706$ so they are quite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:18:16.706 \rightarrow 00:18:18.476$ These enantiomers are quite distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:18:18.476 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.917$ in the opioids and psychostimulants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:18:20.920 --> 00:18:21.904 To summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:18:21.904 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.856$ intervene at this to this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:18:24.860 --> 00:18:29.018 Self administration was for the Esplanade ER.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}18{:}29{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32{.}206$ We see that the classical behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}18{:}32{.}206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34{.}370$ procedures separated the S from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}18{:}34{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}483$ R and in summary we see that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:18:37.483 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.285$ is a divergent in the behavioral

- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00:18:40.285 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.122$ pharmacological effects of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}18{:}42.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43.938$ different enantiomers and it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}18{:}43{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46{.}078$ suggests that the abuse liability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}18{:}46.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.120$ is more on the US side versus the R.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00:18:49.120 \rightarrow 00:18:50.536$ Keep in mind what we're saying.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}18{:}50{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51{.}404$ What I'm saying here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- 00:18:51.404 --> 00:18:51.620 Also,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}18{:}51{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55{.}616$ is that the race mic the S and the are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}18{:}55{.}616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}607$ enantiomers do share common properties,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00:18:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.316$ but they also may be different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00:19:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.230$ types of drugs and may have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}19{:}04{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05{.}685$ different the rapeutic applications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}19{:}05{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}323$ So we wanna study wanted to identify
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}19{:}09{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13{.}330$ more the the mechanism of ketamine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00:19:13.330 \rightarrow 00:19:16.718$ And we designed this study a few years back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}16.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.502$ It's called the ketamine's mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}18{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21{.}554$ of action study the kit MOA where

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}21{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24{.}004$ we where we obtain information

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:19:24.004 \rightarrow 00:19:26.424$ at various levels of biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:19:26.430 --> 00:19:28.334 I'm using multiscale systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}28{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30{.}238$ biology approach and integrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:19:30.238 --> 00:19:32.669 a wide range of behavioral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:19:32.670 \rightarrow 00:19:35.736$ clinical and other technologies shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}35{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}284$ And these where we where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}38{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41{.}750$ where we obtain repeat measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:19:41.750 --> 00:19:45.033 Now I want to summarize briefly as

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}854$ introducing the kid MOA study is I

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:19:47.854 \rightarrow 00:19:49.871$ already mentioned that ketamine binds

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}49{.}871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52{.}670$ to the NMD? The NMDA receptor Gabbert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}19{:}52.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.034$ You can turn neurons and there's this in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:19:56.034 --> 00:19:57.968 Inhibition. Excitation occurs.

00:19:57.968 --> 00:20:01.738 Glutamate release occurs with ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:20:01.740 --> 00:20:04.232 The other drug Canada drug we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:20:04.232 --> 00:20:06.978 looking at is Manglore 2 antagonist

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}06{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}958$ and we are also looking at the son of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:10.958 \rightarrow 00:20:13.940$ it ketamine to our six origin key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}13.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.598$ Also as a property of enhancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:20:16.598 --> 00:20:18.780 glutamate release without blocking NMDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:20:18.780 --> 00:20:19.158 Thus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:19.158 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.426$ in theory you would not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:21.426 \rightarrow 00:20:22.560$ the psychotomimetic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27{.}082$ This study was done in Hussain Imanx's

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:27.082 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.560$ lab and what was found here is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.250$ of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}30{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32{.}320$ ketamine decreases the force swim test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.096$ the immobilien,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:33.096 \rightarrow 00:20:35.036$ the force swim test significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}35{.}036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36{.}680$ signifying and depression effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.056$ but based on follow up work

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:39.056 \rightarrow 00:20:41.160$ by beta Maugham at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}41.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.277$ What was done here is we used NBQ

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}44.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.790$ mix and an AMP antagonist and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}46.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.183$ behavioral effects of ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}49.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.495$ were attenuated or blocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}51{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}672$ So just in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}52.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.430$ AMPA throughput is important to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}54{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56{.}469$ antidepressant effects of ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:20:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.110$ and so these different drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00{:}20{:}58{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}105$ they mentioned as Canada drugs do

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:21:00.105 \rightarrow 00:21:01.585$ have that property in common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

00:21:01.590 --> 00:21:02.640 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191

 $00:21:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.265$ they also in preclinical studies,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- 00:21:05.270 --> 00:21:06.770 increase gamma power,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00:21:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.270$ which represents this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00:21:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.270$ neuronal synchronization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}21{:}09{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}629$ So could this be a cross species
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00{:}21{:}11.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.767$ biomarker that we could use to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- 00:21:13.767 --> 00:21:16.820 develop drugs in here we are using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825059270476191
- $00:21:16.820 \rightarrow 00:21:19.170$ several tools to examine plasticity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- $00:21:19.170 \rightarrow 00:21:21.876$ potentiation humans and they are gamma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- 00:21:21.880 --> 00:21:25.030 power, slow, wave. Activity and TMS.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- $00:21:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.870$ In the interest of time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- $00:21:25.870 \rightarrow 00:21:27.826$ I might have only ability to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- 00:21:27.826 --> 00:21:30.128 talk about one or two of these,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- $00:21:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.978$ but this is a new study that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- $00{:}21{:}31{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}446$ still underway, should be completed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- $00:21:33.446 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.006$ hopefully in the near future,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}21{:}35{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36{.}534$ and it's called the new barrack

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:21:36.534 --> 00:21:37.550 study and I'll summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}21{:}37{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39{.}850$ which is basically examining

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}21{:}39{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42{.}150$ the effects of ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:21:42.150 --> 00:21:43.410 In the scanner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}21{:}43{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46{.}871$ subjects receiving fMRI and EEG and the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:21:46.871 \rightarrow 00:21:49.944$ subject receiving a later time point EMG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}21{:}49{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}464$ and then repeat administration and higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}21{:}52{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55{.}770$ low dose of ketamine with repeat biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}21{:}55{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58{.}045$ This is the the earlier study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}21{:}58.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}238$ kit MOA study that I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}670$ Single infusion of ketamine or placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}462$ unmedicated depressed subjects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}04.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.150$ after two weeks crossover pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.908$ the design of previous ketamine studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:09.908 \rightarrow 00:22:12.330$ but with longitudinal biomarkers subjects.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- $00{:}22{:}12{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15{.}294$ Here now 35 have treatment resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}15{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17{.}798$ depression or medication free and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:17.798 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.043$ then control subjects also received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}20.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.792$ ketamine at the same time points

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}22{.}792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24{.}540$ in the same biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:22:24.540 --> 00:22:27.660 Subjects here were moderately depressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}27.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.030$ They 40% had previous suicide attempts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.439$ and it's a very rich data set that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:22:33.439 --> 00:22:36.658 I'm going to summarize a few of the studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.522$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:22:37.522 --> 00:22:41.420 one each subject may have had five F MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.804$ 7 T,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}42.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.880$ 3T and polysomnography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.980$ And these are some of the publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.748$ have come out of that study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.525$ Just to show you consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}50{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}590$ with prior studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}51{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}112$ rapid onset within minutes lasting 11

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}22{:}55{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59{.}230$ days here increases in CADS as expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:22:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.925$ But what you see is different than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}23{:}01{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04{.}069$ standard convention and at present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}23{:}04.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.901$ it's broad the rapeutic effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:05.901 \rightarrow 00:23:08.540$ improvements in the anxiety and edonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:23:08.540 --> 00:23:11.530 anticipatory consummatory and PTSD symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.650$ as well as functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:23:13.650 --> 00:23:14.180 Unexpectedly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:23:14.180 --> 00:23:17.344 what we found was the that healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}23{:}17{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20{.}182$ volunteers developed a increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:20.182 \rightarrow 00:23:22.276$ depressive symptoms temporarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.744$ which did not correlate with the changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:24.744 \rightarrow 00:23:27.419$ of of the dissociative symptoms.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- 00:23:27.420 --> 00:23:29.012 Subjects reported in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}400$ attention lasted to an ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:23:31.468 --> 00:23:33.058 feel emotional blunting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:33.060 \rightarrow 00:23:35.960$ which was not a prolonged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:23:35.960 --> 00:23:37.262 To summarize here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:37.262 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.998$ information at the circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:23:38.998 --> 00:23:40.863 level here subjects received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:40.863 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.839$ a single infusion crossover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}23{:}42{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45{.}235$ unmedicated baseline 3T MRI in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:45.235 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.215$ a two and a 10 days to capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}224$ the on off effects of ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:52.224 \rightarrow 00:23:52.768$ Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:23:52.768 --> 00:23:56.576 looking at the default mode network settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59{.}340$ network and central executive network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:23:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.200$ Consistent with has been reported,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:24:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.980$ we find decreases in depressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}02{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}381$ symptoms by two days and the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:24:05.381 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.020$ start to wear off by 10 days in green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:24:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.930$ No significant changes in the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:24:10.930 --> 00:24:13.548 sailing condition when you link this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}13{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15{.}744$ with neuroimaging at precisely the NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}15{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18{.}922$ same time points which you find here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}18{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21{.}005$ at baseline is increased difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:24:21.005 --> 00:24:22.930 between patients and healthy controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}22{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24{.}880$ and insula the salience network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:24:24.880 --> 00:24:27.197 Not functioning well at the peak of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}27.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.300$ improvement of the present symptoms. NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:24:29.300 --> 00:24:31.340 No longer significant increases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}31{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}380$ hyperactivity of the insula and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:24:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.571$ then by day 10 when the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:24:35.571 --> 00:24:37.288 of ketamine start to wear off.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954
- $00{:}24{:}37{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}746$ You see a return of the activation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:24:40.746 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.156$ insulin, so this nice on off effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00:24:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.651$ Subsequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}44.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.088$ and a hit was interested in antidote

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:24:48.088 --> 00:24:49.627 and corticostriatal circuitry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

 $00{:}24{:}49.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.531$ into the question was that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8080954

00:24:52.531 --> 00:24:53.983 ketamine affect cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:24:54.056 --> 00:24:55.390 striatal circuitry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:24:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.940$ and the answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:24:56.940 --> 00:25:01.520 Here 33 unmedicated patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:25:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.314$ 25 healthy controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}03{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06{.}304$ arresting state of eight minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}870$ And these are the seed regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}07.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.870$ dorsal kodia, ventral stratium, ventral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}10.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.018$ rostral putamen and dorsal caudal putamen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:25:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.136$ And what you see here are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:25:20.136 --> 00:25:21.880 global differences in racemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}21.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.645$ ketamine, and whole brain functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:25:24.645 \rightarrow 00:25:27.395$ connectivity across the forest seeds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}27{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30{.}070$ Ventral freedom with dorsal lateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}30.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.596$ dorsal cardia, ventrolateral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:25:31.596 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.876$ conflict zone, and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:25:33.880 \rightarrow 00:25:36.880$ But to summarize here you see in green,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:25:36.880 --> 00:25:38.955 placebo, orange, ketamine you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}38{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40{.}615$ throughout the different seeds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:25:40.620 --> 00:25:42.990 If you're a healthy, controlled subject,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}42{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45{.}858$ you have decreased in the hole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:25:45.860 --> 00:25:47.303 Brain functional connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:25:47.303 \rightarrow 00:25:50.189$ If you have treatment resistant depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}25{:}50{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53{.}228$ you have an increase in this connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:25:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.326$ So opposite directions in at the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:25:56.326 \rightarrow 00:25:59.480$ same time points towards the bottom.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}25{:}59{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02{.}492$ You see the correlation of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:26:02.492 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.500$ seed with the connectivity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:26:04.596 \rightarrow 00:26:07.328$ changes with depression scores.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:26:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.528$ There's a very nice correlation of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}26{:}10.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.013$ amongst the different seeds in a trend
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:26:14.013 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.533$ for the anhedonia with the chaps.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:26:16.540 \rightarrow 00:26:19.420$ Information at the six to to 8 hour,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:26:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.264$ 6 to 9 hours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- 00:26:21.264 --> 00:26:22.186 After ketamine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}26{:}22.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.654$ we obtained a Meg and also at baseline
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}26{:}25{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29{.}036$ and this is to get at this this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:26:29.040 \rightarrow 00:26:32.300$ interplay between excitation and ambition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}26{:}32{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34{.}316$ What we use here is gamma power,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:26:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.805$ neuronal stellations in the 30
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:26:36.805 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.044$ to 50 Hertz range so we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}26{:}40.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.599$ this a cross species biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:26:41.600 \rightarrow 00:26:45.480$ So here this has been very well studied,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}26{:}45{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46{.}276$ you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:26:46.276 --> 00:26:49.062 Up to 30 to 50 Hertz range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:26:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.485$ a change and early visual cortex and

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:26:51.485 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.970$ that has been previously reported,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}26{:}53{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55{.}092$ but Gamma also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}26{:}55{.}092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56{.}962$ Lations can be described in

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:26:56.962 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.290$ many different regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:26:58.290 --> 00:26:59.156 Visual, sensory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:26:59.156 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.022 \text{ motor cortex},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:27:00.022 \rightarrow 00:27:03.128$ auditory cortex and in some ways might

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}27{:}03{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05{.}293$ represent plasticity phenomena and thus

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:27:05.293 \rightarrow 00:27:08.270$ might be a useful putative biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}27{:}08{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.610$ to understand better understand.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:10.610 \rightarrow 00:27:13.586$ Ketamine gamma rhythms correlate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}27{:}13.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.400$ with neuron action potentials.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.160$ They are invading sensory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- 00:27:19.160 00:27:21.580 perception information code in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.440$ especially in hippocampus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.590$ and during cognitive tasks Now what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- 00:27:26.590 --> 00:27:29.270 generates gamma part we don't know for sure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:29.270 \rightarrow 00:27:33.358$ but some work suggests it's at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:33.358 \rightarrow 00:27:35.523$ level of parvalbumin inhibitory's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:35.523 \rightarrow 00:27:37.588$ with the pyramidal cells excitation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}27{:}37{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}860$ and what you see here are these micro
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}27{:}40.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.800$ circuits that have been described
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00{:}27{:}42.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.160$ called the Interneuron network gamma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.678$ or the ping, when the pyramidal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545
- $00:27:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.406$ Those involved.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:27:47.406 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.858$ Why is this important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}27{:}48.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.375$ Well, with electrophysiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}27{:}50{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52{.}395$ measures and five Tomic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:27:52.400 \rightarrow 00:27:53.766$ Providing example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}27{:}53.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.864$ you can generate estimates of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}27{:}57{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}098$ excitation inhibition into formulas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:01.098 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.846$ then calculate the regional dynamics

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:03.846 \rightarrow 00:28:07.500$ that are going on at this level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:28:07.500 --> 00:28:07.886 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:07.886 \rightarrow 00:28:09.816$ what about at resting state?

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.932$ We obtained a Meg at 6 to 9

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:28:11.932 --> 00:28:14.153 hours after ketamine at the peak

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}28{:}14.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.777$ when antidepressant fix happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:15.777 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.879$ in the dissociative side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}28{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.996$ Effects of have diminished towards the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:22.000 \rightarrow 00:28:24.054$ You see the press subjects, the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:24.054 \rightarrow 00:28:26.316$ You see healthy controls and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00{:}28{:}26.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.740$ see increases in gamma power in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:28.740 \rightarrow 00:28:31.379$ default mode network in the triple network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:31.380 \rightarrow 00:28:33.468$ specifically towards the right you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.286$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:34.286 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.102$ in green,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:35.102 \rightarrow 00:28:37.550$ the right insula increases with ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.920$ That approaches the healthy control

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

00:28:39.920 --> 00:28:40.868 subjects baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:40.870 \rightarrow 00:28:44.388$ so it suggests normalization here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:44.388 \rightarrow 00:28:46.656$ also within the central executive network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.731726679954545

 $00:28:46.660 \rightarrow 00:28:48.644$ so ketamine is doing a lot of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:28:48.650 \rightarrow 00:28:52.682$ But here our interest is in a triple network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}28{:}52.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.925$ And, importantly, that the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}28{:}54{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}959$ gamma power seems to moderate the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}125$ of the prison effects of ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}29{:}00{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02{.}598$ The lower the gamma power, the better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}29{:}02{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.068$ The antidepressant effects of ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555

00:29:04.070 - 00:29:07.410 The higher suggest no response

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:29:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.710$ or even worsening subjects with

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}29{:}09{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12{.}349$ who are treated with Academy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}29{:}12{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15{.}857$ Now we now move into a stimulus

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

00:29:15.857 - 00:29:18.250 induced gamma power changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

00:29:18.250 --> 00:29:20.462 As I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555

00:29:20.462 --> 00:29:24.608 this was earlier work where one does a

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}29{:}24.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.834$ sensory task which you use in no madic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555

00:29:26.834 --> 00:29:29.072 device to stimulate the sensory cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}29{:}29{.}072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.791$ you could see here the plastic changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}29{:}31.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.248$ that occur and this is referred to

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}29{:}34{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35{.}931$ as stimulus induced gamma power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:29:35.931 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.566$ So you select within the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:29:37.566 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.250$ 30 to 50 Hertz range.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00:29:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.490$ In an earlier study we looked at 21.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00:29:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.101$ Dedicated subjects and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- 00:29:44.101 -> 00:29:47.323 What you find here at baseline,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:29:47.330 \rightarrow 00:29:49.965$ no difference between responders and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00{:}29{:}49{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52{.}349$ non responders. But post ketamine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00{:}29{:}52{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55{.}007$ You see that stimulus induced gamma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00:29:55.007 \rightarrow 00:29:58.128$ power significantly increases compared to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:29:58.128 \rightarrow 00:30:00.046$ baseline suggestion plasticity phenomena.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00:30:00.046 \rightarrow 00:30:03.399$ Now we went on to replicate this in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:30:03.399 \rightarrow 00:30:05.385$ the control study I mentioned earlier
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:30:05.385 \rightarrow 00:30:08.167$ and on the bottom what you see here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:30:08.167 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.726$ are the responders using the same task
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00:30:10.726 \rightarrow 00:30:13.234$ increase in stimulus induced gamma power.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- 00:30:13.240 --> 00:30:15.420 Non responders, no changes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.055$ healthy control changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}30{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.740$ no changes in gamma power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

00:30:18.740 --> 00:30:21.310 suggesting specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:21.310 \rightarrow 00:30:23.165$ Towards the right you see the peak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

00:30:23.170 --> 00:30:24.154 gamma, ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:24.154 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.122$ place bo differences at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:26.122 \dashrightarrow 00:30:28.090$ peak of the antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

00:30:28.090 --> 00:30:29.014 Effects of ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:29.014 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.728$ which is at 24 hours in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

00:30:31.728 --> 00:30:32.710 nice correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}30{:}32{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}710$ So here we have some evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.629$ of a replication at our lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}30{:}38{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40{.}485$ Now we're interested in more

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:40.485 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.969$ in the dynamic measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.610$ and so, in this experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:30:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.386$ 18 unmedicated subjects were

- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:30:45.386 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.606$ treated with ketamine or saline.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- 00:30:47.610 --> 00:30:49.638 The usual crossover design.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00:30:49.638 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.173$ We use the same task.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:30:52.180 \dashrightarrow 00:30:55.180$ Source localized gamma power using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00{:}30{:}55{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58{.}126$ the pneumatic device you can see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:30:58.126 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.036$ the changes in sensory cortex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- 00:31:00.040 00:31:02.212 Towards the bottom you can see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:31:02.212 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.975$ Erps on the top control with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- 00:31:04.975 --> 00:31:06.820 baseline ketamine placebo.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:31:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.900$ These are for one individual.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:31:08.900 \rightarrow 00:31:11.658$ In the bottom is for a patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- 00:31:11.660 --> 00:31:15.076 So what we used here is dynamic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:31:15.076 \rightarrow 00:31:18.252$ causal modeling to get at this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00:31:18.252 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.616$ excitation inhibition model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:31:19.616 \dashrightarrow 00:31:22.507$ and it's a way of estimating and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:22.507 \rightarrow 00:31:24.766$ making inferences about coupling

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}31{:}24.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.126$ within different brain regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}31{:}27{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29{.}608$ But the difference here is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:29.608 \rightarrow 00:31:32.272$ make a change in the experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:32.272 \rightarrow 00:31:34.300$ context and the perturbation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:34.300 \rightarrow 00:31:36.658$ and then you measure that change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

00:31:36.660 --> 00:31:39.096 So here you can see control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555

00:31:39.100 - 00:31:41.648 you do a perturbation in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:41.650 \rightarrow 00:31:44.562$ Sensory task I mentioned and you measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555

 $00:31:44.562 \rightarrow 00:31:47.809$ that change and you obtain what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00{:}31{:}47.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.204$ call changes in effective connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.541$ And keep in mind this was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:52.541 \rightarrow 00:31:55.819$ at 6 to 9 hours of post ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:55.820 \rightarrow 00:31:58.914$ Going back at the micro circuit level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555

 $00:31:58.920 \dashrightarrow 00:32:01.836$ what's interesting is you can then

NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555

 $00:32:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.950$ generate based on the the,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:32:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.485$ the physiochemical properties of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00{:}32{:}09{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13{.}020$ channel biophysical models of AMPA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00{:}32{:}13.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.296$ NMDA and GABA function.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- $00:32:14.296 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.840$ I'm not going to talk about that now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- 00:32:16.840 --> 00:32:18.945 but you can generate estimates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- 00:32:18.945 --> 00:32:21.500 of how much excitation you have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- 00:32:21.500 --> 00:32:23.360 how much inhibition you have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:32:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.572$ and this is what we refer to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:32:25.572 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.520$ as regional dynamics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78657254555555
- 00:32:26.520 --> 00:32:28.760 And also you can measure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786572545555555
- $00:32:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.000$ these dynamic changes in what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}32{:}31{.}089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33{.}960$ we call a A a geometric plane called
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}32{:}33{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36{.}660$ the trace determinant plane to to get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}32{:}36{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38{.}920$ a sense of where do subjects move in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:32:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.200$ terms of their inhibition and excitation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:32:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.097$ and how is that related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:32:43.097 \dashrightarrow 00:32:44.744$ antidepressant? Effects of ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:32:44.744 \rightarrow 00:32:49.289$ And so this is work by Eric Fagerholm at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:32:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.565$ And UK and what you see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:32:51.565 \rightarrow 00:32:53.948$ towards the top left are patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:32:53.950 \rightarrow 00:32:56.834$ Bottom you see controls and you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}32{:}56{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}816$ this southwest orientation of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:32:58.816 \rightarrow 00:33:00.850$ plane where you have the changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}00{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03{.}218$ in modulus scores and the changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:33:03.218 \dashrightarrow 00:33:05.248$ in this trace determinant plane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}05{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07{.}370$ This is associated with response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}07{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09{.}350$ If you plug in the numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

00:33:09.350 --> 00:33:11.210 Unfortunately this is at work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}11{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13{.}710$ but you have excitation excitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}13.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.710$ and ambition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:33:14.710 \rightarrow 00:33:17.132$ You come up with this these estimates

- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:33:17.132 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.349$ and what you would find here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:33:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.410$ This movie doesn't work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}33{:}20{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22{.}754$ Is that as you go through the different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:33:22.754 \dashrightarrow 00:33:24.494$ subjects at the different times,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}33{:}24.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.612$ you find a change in excitation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}33{:}26.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.041$ ambition and subjects move to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}33{:}29{.}041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30{.}957$ southwest direction that's associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:33:30.957 \rightarrow 00:33:32.873$ more with antidepressant response.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}33{:}32.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.712$ This now is available online if you want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:33:34.712 \rightarrow 00:33:36.940$ to plug in your numbers and see if it works.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:33:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.880$ We're looking for replication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- 00:33:39.880 --> 00:33:41.340 Right, let's see, oh, sorry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}33{:}41{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44{.}875$ now the next is I talked to you about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}33{:}44.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.740$ the six to 9 hours of gamma power, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:33:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.420$ That probably represents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

00:33:49.420 --> 00:33:51.684 non NMDA AMPA throughput,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}51{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52{.}828$ cortical excitability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}52{.}828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}330$ So, how early do the change

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:33:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.874$ of gamma power happen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}56{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58{.}136$ We know glutamate changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}58{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}706$ may happen in 15 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}33{:}59{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01{.}132$ and so this is a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:34:01.132 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.080$ we've been looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}34{:}02{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04{.}408$ The new Barrett and the New Barrett study

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:34:04.408 \rightarrow 00:34:06.618$ where we are doing measures of fMRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}34{:}06{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}172$ EEG, and the scanner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:34:08.172 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.336$ and also MG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:34:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.386$ And looking at these different tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:34:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.329$ So here using the same task as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}34{:}13{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16{.}090$ this is a different sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:34{:}16.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.766$ Some matters sensory cortex.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- 00:34:17.766 --> 00:34:20.280 We see changes in gamma power
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:34:20.357 \rightarrow 00:34:22.545$ almost immediately with ketamine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:34:22.545 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.350$ during the infusion, 6 to 9 hours.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}34{:}25{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26{.}510$ It seems to increase.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:34:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.010$ Again, this is very preliminary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}34{:}28.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.389$ We have to look at the data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}34{:}29{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31{.}475$ No significant changes in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}34{:}31{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33{.}143$ place bo or saline condition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}34{:}33.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.058$ So so just that there's something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:34:35.058 \rightarrow 00:34:36.570$ going on very early on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:34:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.408$ maybe consistent with the glutamate burst.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- 00:34:39.410 --> 00:34:42.763 Now earlier work to get it plasticity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:34:42.763 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.070$ potentiation because if we believe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}34{:}45{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48{.}094$ that there's a glutamate burst and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:34:48.094 \rightarrow 00:34:50.518$ activation suggest plasticity is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}34{:}50{.}518$ --> $00{:}34{:}53{.}126$ we we did a study and published it

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

00:34:53.130 --> 00:34:56.592 probably some time back where Julia

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:34:56.592 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.468$ Tononi what he noticed was that if NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

00:34:59.468 --> 00:35:02.652 you do a new visual motor task here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:02.660 \dashrightarrow 00:35:04.028$ the person sitting on the computer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:04.030 \dashrightarrow 00:35:05.410$ something not previously learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:05.410 \dashrightarrow 00:35:07.984$ what you find at night is increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:07.984 \rightarrow 00:35:10.169$ the slow wave activity precisely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

00:35:10.170 --> 00:35:12.452 In the area of the motor changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:12.452 \rightarrow 00:35:14.494$ and suggest that they're probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:14.494 \rightarrow 00:35:16.490$ plasticity changes going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00{:}35{:}16{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18{.}429$ so in collaboration with them we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:18.430 \dashrightarrow 00:35:19.638$ We wondered whether ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:19.638 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.148$ might be involved in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:21.150 \dashrightarrow 00:35:23.946$ Could this be a putative marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625

 $00:35:23.946 \rightarrow 00:35:25.344$ of synaptic potentiation?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:35:25.350 \dashrightarrow 00:35:29.180$ The simple cartoon here is that in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}35{:}29{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}033$ responders you would have in AMP
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}35{:}32{.}033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34{.}589$ insertions and haptic potentiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:35:34.590 \rightarrow 00:35:37.264$ In Nonresponders there would be no insertion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- 00:35:37.270 --> 00:35:38.614 no seductive potentiation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}35{:}38.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.062$ so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00:35:39.062 \dashrightarrow 00:35:41.750$ Early work in rodents suggests that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}35{:}41{.}821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44{.}196$ when you give ketamine injections
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838011250625
- $00{:}35{:}44.196$ --> $00{:}35{:}46.096$ and medial prefrontal cortex,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}35{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.310$ you find increases in synaptic strength.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:35:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.830$ And you also find increase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}35{:}50{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52{.}046$ in slow wave sleep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:35:52.050 \dashrightarrow 00:35:56.180$ That's delta between 0 and 40 Hertz.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}35{:}56{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57{.}672$ So we wondered whether
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}35{:}57.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59.537$ this also occurs in humans,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

00:35:59.540 - > 00:36:02.858 and so in a previous publication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}36{:}02.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.492$ this looks at changes in slow wave

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:36:05.492 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.716$ sleep in the first cycle you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:36:08.716 \rightarrow 00:36:11.236$ significant increases with ketamine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}36{:}11.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.538$ red compared to the baseline and blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:36:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.041$ And so we have in the earlier work NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}36{:}18.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.808$ what we found as a relationship that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}36{:}21.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.830$ responders to ketamine seem to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869901126666667

00:36:24.830 --> 00:36:26.750 Increases in slow activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:36:26.750 \rightarrow 00:36:28.670$ compared to non responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}36{:}28.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.742$ It's taken us a while but we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}36{:}30{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33{.}191$ able to to look at and subsequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

00:36:33.191 - > 00:36:34.683 replicate this just recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:36:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.878$ So Torres are right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:36:35.878 \rightarrow 00:36:38.250$ If you look at healthy control subjects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:36:38.250 \rightarrow 00:36:40.788$ what you see this is SWA slow wave activity.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:36:40.790 \rightarrow 00:36:43.382$ What we know is that through the three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:36:43.382 \rightarrow 00:36:45.989$ cycles it tends to diminish over time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:36:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.977$ This is normal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:36:46.977 --> 00:36:48.622 but somehow if you look
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}36{:}48.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.570$ towards the depressed subjects,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869901126666667
- $00:36:50.570 \dashrightarrow 00:36:52.610$ what you find is that this is disrupted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:36:52.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.010$ They don't have this normal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:36:54.010 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.850$ pattern as healthy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}36{:}54.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.620$ Control subjects and in the next
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:36:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.319$ slide which you see is here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:36:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.282$ they're grouped up in green is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}37{:}00{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}319$ healthy volunteers and the red or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}37{:}02{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}984$ orange is the treatment resistant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:37:03.984 --> 00:37:05.827 depression and we see you know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:37:05.827 \rightarrow 00:37:07.393$ that what I just showed you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869901126666667

 $00:37:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.370$ the decrease in the healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:37:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.782$ control and it's disrupted in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}37{:}11.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}14.170$ the depressed subjects towards the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:37:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.125$ right after ketamine there this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869901126666667

 $00:37:17.125 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.865$ no longer significantly different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:37:18.870 \rightarrow 00:37:20.814$ suggesting there's normalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:37:20.814 \rightarrow 00:37:25.360$ so this pattern, it seems to normalize the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

00:37:25.360 --> 00:37:27.496 And put it back into play.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}37{:}27{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30{.}108$ Now if you look at in the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}37{:}30.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.968$ slide is the responders versus non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:37:32.968 \dashrightarrow 00:37:35.618$ responders towards the top left

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:37:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.602$ which you see here is that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:37:38.602 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.175$ a general increase in the responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}37{:}41.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.538$ at 230 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}37{:}42.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.454$ This is statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:37:44.454 \rightarrow 00:37:47.141$ whereas the non responders it seems

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:37:47.141 > 00:37:49.253 to be flat or actually diminishes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:37:49.260 --> 00:37:52.090 So suggested that synaptic could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:37:52.090 \rightarrow 00:37:54.920$ potentially be a synaptic appellative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:37:54.920 \dashrightarrow 00:37:57.908$ Markets and naptip potentiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:37:57.910 --> 00:38:00.062 Now I'm going to skip this in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869901126666667
- $00:38:00.062 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.982$ interest of time to get into the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:38:01.982 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.901$ last piece of the story is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869901126666667
- $00:38:03.901 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.515$ what would we noticed early on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}38{:}05{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07{.}688$ on the research unit was in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}38{:}07{.}688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10{.}130$ This is clinical observation is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:38:10.206 --> 00:38:13.006 half Life Academy is a few hours
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:38:13.006 --> 00:38:15.090 dissociate side effects last 40
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}38{:}15{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17{.}190$ minutes after the infusion and but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869901126666667
- $00{:}38{:}17{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19{.}110$ you you have this ongoing and at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:38:19.167 --> 00:38:20.703 present response and it fades off
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:20.703 \rightarrow 00:38:22.989$ by the end of one week to two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.288$ We really talked about so early

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:26.288 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.200$ on we wondered whether.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

00:38:28.200 --> 00:38:30.790 The Academy Is actually a pro drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:30.790 \rightarrow 00:38:32.716$ and what maybe some of the mentalists

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}38{:}32{.}716$ --> $00{:}38{:}34{.}340$ might be active and at a present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.698$ and so in collaboration with many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

00:38:36.698 --> 00:38:38.960 tagula at University of Maryland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869901126666667

 $00:38:38.960 \dashrightarrow 00:38:43.321$ Craig Thomas at Neats and Pat Morris

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:43.321 \rightarrow 00:38:47.400$ and and ruined model and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00{:}38{:}47{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49{.}890$ Urban Weiner but was identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:49.890 \dashrightarrow 00:38:53.490$ is that we found these metabolites

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.106$ lasting 3 to 7 days and we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.404$ wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:56.404 \rightarrow 00:38:58.462$ that's around the same time as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667

 $00:38:58.462 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.070$ duration and personal effects,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:39:00.070 \rightarrow 00:39:01.526$ and we said, wow, this is interesting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00:39:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.427$ So we went.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- 00:39:02.427 --> 00:39:04.221 What are these H&K metabolites and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8699011266666667
- $00{:}39{:}04{.}221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04{.}520$ we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00:39:04.590 \rightarrow 00:39:06.230$ found disappointingly that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00:39:06.230 \dashrightarrow 00:39:08.690$ were inactive when we say inactive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00:39:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.706$ that was it was inactive for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00:39:10.706 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.050$ what pain and an esthesia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00:39:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.186$ but we decided to pursue that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00:39:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.738$ And it's a series of studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00{:}39{:}15{.}738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}17{.}580$ that led to a candid drug.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00{:}39{:}17{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19{.}188$ Who are sick Sarah and Kate?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00:39:19.190 \rightarrow 00:39:21.549$ The two successive is also an antidepressant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- $00{:}39{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.106$ but we pursued this for other reasons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125
- 00:39:25.110 --> 00:39:26.880 To briefly summarize,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.240$ you give racemic ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.494$ And what you find is in rodents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

00:39:31.500 --> 00:39:33.340 high levels of ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:33.340 \dashrightarrow 00:39:37.148$ and then the metabolite 2 or 6 origin K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:37.150 \rightarrow 00:39:40.012$ Through a process where you strengthen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

00:39:40.012 --> 00:39:42.342 carbon 6, you produce D2 ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

00:39:42.342 --> 00:39:44.018 You die, deteriorate, deteriorate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:44.018 \rightarrow 00:39:46.488$ ketamine when you do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}39{:}46{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}48{.}062$ Towards the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:48.062 \rightarrow 00:39:50.682$ you effectively reduce or eliminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:50.682 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.649$ the metabolism of Academy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:52.650 \rightarrow 00:39:53.634$ So in essence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

00:39:53.634 --> 00:39:55.602 now you have D2 ketamine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:55.602 \rightarrow 00:39:58.007$ if you look at the bottom left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:39:58.010 \rightarrow 00:40:01.016$ this is the competitive binding assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

00:40:01.020 --> 00:40:02.970 MK 801 for an MDA receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:40:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.304$ you see an overlap between D2

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}05{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06{.}860$ ketamine and racemic ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}06.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.620$ Would return retains its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

00:40:09.620 --> 00:40:11.000 pharmacological properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

00:40:11.000 --> 00:40:13.933 and when you test D2 ketamine it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}13.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.777$ no longer has the sustained effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:40:16.777 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.150$ of of of of acemic Academy towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00:40:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.880$ the right we take our Canada drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}22.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.826$ which is 2R6RH K and effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}25.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.315$ We see it in Nice dose relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}28.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.252$ with different doses and both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}30{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31{.}860$ acute and depressive effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}31.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.132$ and sustained antidepressant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085377125

 $00{:}40{:}33.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.828$ antidepressant effects of H&K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}40{:}37.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.462$ To to the top shows row shows that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}40{:}42{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45{.}358$ candidate drug does not displace MK to one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:40:45.360 \rightarrow 00:40:48.104$ so suggesting it would not have an MD

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:40:48.104 \rightarrow 00:40:51.037$ and then an MDA inhibitory properties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:40:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.242$ There is no changes in prepulse

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}40{:}53.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.190$ inhibition and no increases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:40:55.190 \rightarrow 00:40:57.250$ lever presses suggested there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}40{:}57.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.100$ abuse potential towards the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:40:59.100 --> 00:41:02.094 I'm not going to go in just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:41:02.094 \rightarrow 00:41:04.320$ when you give and be QX and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:41:04.393 --> 00:41:06.398 AMP and antagonist you block.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}41{:}06{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09{.}060$ Canada person effects not only have ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:41:09.060 --> 00:41:10.730 but of our Canada drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:41:10.730 --> 00:41:12.990 H&K suggests an AMP activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:41:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.346$ throughput is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:41:14.350 --> 00:41:16.580 Towards the bottom we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:41:16.580 \rightarrow 00:41:18.364$ increases in gamma power.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:41:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.718$ Towards the left you see the changes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}41{:}21.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.967$ gamma with racemic ketamine also with 2R6R18
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}41{:}24.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.096$ Care Canada drug and towards the right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:41:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.120$ We see the figure showing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}41{:}30{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32{.}680$ increases in green of gamma power,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:41:32.680 --> 00:41:36.257 but it's blocked with pretreatment with NBQX,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:41:36.257 \rightarrow 00:41:37.531$ so again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:41:37.531 \rightarrow 00:41:40.079$ suggesting a potential biomarker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:41:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.495$ To summarize this part of the story
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:41:42.495 --> 00:41:44.840 of a cartoon you give ketamine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:41:44.840 \rightarrow 00:41:47.480$ racemic, ketamine within minutes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}41{:}47{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50{.}780$ You have two dozen metabolites.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:41:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.436$ Some of them we could argue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}41{:}52{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}54{.}130$ involved in side effects addiction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}41{:}54{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56{.}230$ others in the rapid antidepressant effects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:41:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.790$ How do we separate them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}41{:}57{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}494$ We do so by process called we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02{.}870$ turate carbon 6 strength and carbon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:42:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.015$ You effectively reduce or eliminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}42{:}05{.}015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07{.}582$ or block the metabolism we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}42{:}07{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09{.}694$ our create new newly created drug NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:42:09.694 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.250$ which is the same as racemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:42:12.250 \rightarrow 00:42:14.146$ ketamine without the metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:42:14.150 --> 00:42:15.949 The two ketamine when we do so

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}42{:}15{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17{.}823$ it doesn't have the sustained and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}42{:}17.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}19.568$ the present effects of ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:42:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.986$ but still has a side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:42:20.990 --> 00:42:23.090 But side effects in addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:42:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.926$ addictive properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:42:23.926 \rightarrow 00:42:27.490$ we take our candidate drug to our six R.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:42:27.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.970$ We inject it in rodents.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}42{:}28{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30{.}710$ It's not an MD antagonist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:42:30.710 --> 00:42:32.576 At physiological concentrations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:42:32.576 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.686$ it doesn't have abuse potential,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:42:35.690 --> 00:42:38.219 it activates AMPA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:42:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.144$ And it says we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:42:39.144 \rightarrow 00:42:40.530$ in essence we separate the wheat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:42:40.578 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.298$ from the chaff.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}42{:}41{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45{.}017$ I think I have about a few minutes left.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:42:45.020 --> 00:42:48.940 We've done some deconvolution
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:42:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.900$ studies deconstructed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:42:50.900 --> 00:42:51.960 To our six Origin key,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:42:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.690$ this is unpublished work and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:42:53.690 \rightarrow 00:42:55.961$ what we can summarize here using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}42{:}55{.}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}377$ the competitive binding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:42:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.900$ Radio login assays is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}42{:}59{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02{.}420$ it doesn't inhibit an NDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:43:02.420 \rightarrow 00:43:05.143$ It doesn't have effects on new opioid

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:43:05.143 \rightarrow 00:43:07.519$ receptors or capital opioid receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:43:07.520 --> 00:43:11.760 When we do FDG PET imaging of rodents

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}43{:}11.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.080$ treated with saline or 2R6RH and K,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}43{:}15.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.880$ you see that it does increase insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:43:17.960 --> 00:43:19.640 activity, metabolic activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:43:19.640 \rightarrow 00:43:21.472$ and insular nucleus. Combines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}43{:}21{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23{.}432$ Towards the bottom right or

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:43:23.432 \rightarrow 00:43:25.800$ matrices you see the changes with

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}43{:}25{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28{.}355$ Esketamine to our six RHK has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}43{:}28.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30.477$ different pattern than as ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:43:30.480 \rightarrow 00:43:33.918$ suggesting that these are different drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00{:}43{:}33{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37{.}358$ To summarize what I'm going to move on to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

 $00:43:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.608$ the last couple slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625

00:43:40.610 --> 00:43:42.770 Ketamine has different potential

- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:43:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.930$ theories on its mechanism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:43:47.138 --> 00:43:49.346 synaptic NMDA receptors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:43:49.350 --> 00:43:51.482 Gabaergic and NMDA receptors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:43:51.482 --> 00:43:54.147 and Gabaergic interneurons with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:43:54.147 --> 00:43:56.777 glutamate burst or metabolism through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}43{:}56.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59.302$ liver producing hydroxy nor ketamine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00:43:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.448$ and increase in release of glutamate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:44:02.450 --> 00:44:04.590 AMPA activation downstream changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}44{:}04{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08{.}353$ I already showed you the changes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- $00{:}44{:}08{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.058$ gamma power in preclinical studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:44:11.060 --> 00:44:13.568 In a recent study we looked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763057625
- 00:44:13.568 --> 00:44:15.240 at the combined 2
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- 00:44:15.336 --> 00:44:17.658 success to our six R 18K.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- 00:44:17.660 --> 00:44:19.781 In in our subjects and we find
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:44:19.781 --> 00:44:21.130 increases in gamma power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:44:21.130 \rightarrow 00:44:24.506$ So suggesting that the changes also might

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:44:24.506 \rightarrow 00:44:27.734$ be relevant to developing the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:44:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.795$ Just recently completed is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:44:29.795 \rightarrow 00:44:32.240$ study where we looked at CSF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:44:32.240 --> 00:44:35.456 plasma and CSF for 28 hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:44:35.460 --> 00:44:37.775 paid in in healthy volunteers

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}44{:}37.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}39.164$ who received ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}44{:}39{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42{.}968$ They had the MG and what you find here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:44:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.114$ There's a bottom left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:44:44.114 \rightarrow 00:44:46.110$ You could see the changes in 2R6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:44:46.110 --> 00:44:47.606 R and two success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}44{:}47.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.392$ We see greater the area under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:44:50.392 --> 00:44:52.667 curve for CSF is significantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}44{:}52.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.001$ however, to our six R compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}387$ two as success and also in plasma.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:44:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.410$ Here are the ratios though.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:44:59.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.026$ The figure on the right shows
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:45:02.026 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.770$ you that over time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:45:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.494$ the changes in metabolite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:45:05.494 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.453$ levels we can see here the 230.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:45:08.453 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.064$ A minute time point we see that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:45:11.064 \rightarrow 00:45:13.150$ they start to diverge where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:45:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.235$ two or six are increases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:45:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.440$ 2 success decreases in both CSF and plasma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}45{:}19{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21{.}405$ whereas it remains relatively flat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:45:21.405 \rightarrow 00:45:24.264$ for it nor ketamine, and for ketamine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- 00:45:24.264 --> 00:45:25.976 It drops very dramatically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}45{:}25{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27{.}508$ suggesting well what's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}45{:}27{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29{.}418$ the the key player here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- 00:45:29.420 --> 00:45:31.028 I'm not going to show you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:45:31.028 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.002$ the Meg data very recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:45:33.002 --> 00:45:35.907 a paper published by Vasiliy

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38{.}920$ Kotula and Mitul Mehta's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:45:38.920 \rightarrow 00:45:41.470$ Looked at reward processing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}45{:}41.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.020$ remitted depressed subjects and gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:45:44.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.354$ ketamine in the point of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}45{:}46{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49{.}157$ so you can study reward processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:45:49.157 \rightarrow 00:45:51.356$ changes without being influenced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:45:51.356 \rightarrow 00:45:52.724$ improvement in depressive symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:45:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.426$ A pretty clever study and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59{.}149$ the monetary incentive delay task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}45{:}59{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59{.}836$ We have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:45:59.836 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.522$ Low winds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:46:00.522 \rightarrow 00:46:02.580$ high winds and neutral winds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:46:02.656 \rightarrow 00:46:05.296$ what you find here is the greater the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:46:05.296 \rightarrow 00:46:07.550$ activity and ventral tegmental area.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}46{:}07{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08{.}678$ The the the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}46{:}08.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.934$ With a positive relationship with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}46{:}10.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.825$ metabolites who are six RH and Kane,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:46:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.512$ their study but not with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- 00:46:15.512 --> 00:46:16.406 other metabolite,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}46{:}16{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18{.}600$ so suggesting that there's a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:46:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.790$ potential other biomarker that could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}46{:}20.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.726$ be used and suggest that perhaps
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- 00:46:22.726 --> 00:46:25.479 to our six or eight and K might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:46:25.479 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.279$ be a promising candidate drug.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}46{:}27.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.840$ And I might have time for nothing else,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}46{:}29{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31{.}292$ right? I think so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:46:31.292 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.391$ Just to show you this was this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}46{:}34{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37{.}720$ is the last data. That we have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:46:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.943$ It's under review where we look.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}46{:}39{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42{.}109$ We did a metabolomic analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}46{:}42.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}44.963$ the plasma and CSF in our healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}46{:}44{.}963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47{.}029$ volunteers who received the 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:46:47.029 --> 00:46:48.904 minute infusion in a parallel

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}46{:}48{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52{.}222$ study by tag looking at a plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:46:52.222 --> 00:46:55.404 hippocampus and and looking at NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:46:55.404 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.400$ either ketamine or to our 614K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:46:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.780$ What you find in red are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}47{:}00{.}873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03{.}694$ humans and in yellow are the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}47{:}03.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.600$ But to summarize why ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:47:05.600 \rightarrow 00:47:07.120$ has brought the rapeutic effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:47:07.120 --> 00:47:08.082 Don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:47:08.082 \rightarrow 00:47:11.449$ but you can see here by metabolomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00{:}47{:}11{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13{.}318$ changes that there are changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

00:47:13.318 --> 00:47:16.187 In many systems, nitric oxide signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125

 $00:47:16.187 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.796$ mitochondria,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:47:16.796 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.232$ oxidative capacity and tour
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- 00:47:19.232 --> 00:47:20.450 cholesterol metabolism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:47:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.530$ Bile acids,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}47{:}21{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24{.}230$ which also have effects as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}47{:}24.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.850$ neurotransmitters and inflammation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}47{:}25.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.930$ changes in kind learning pathway.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- 00:47:28.930 --> 00:47:29.456 Nam,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:47:29.456 \rightarrow 00:47:33.664$ and then ceramide pathways of will as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}47{:}33.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.370$ So these are potentially could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:47:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.656$ explain in part why it has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:47:37.656 \rightarrow 00:47:39.198$ brought the rapeutic effects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}47{:}39{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40{.}262$ And to summarize,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00:47:40.262 \rightarrow 00:47:43.660$ this is some of the ongoing work we're doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771064133125
- $00{:}47{:}43.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.116$ We're testing and do our to our antagonist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00:47:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.878$ We, with Taisho, we have finished
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00:47:48.878 \rightarrow 00:47:50.962$ phase one and the study is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00{:}47{:}50{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52{.}936$ on right now in our research unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00:47:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.300$ It's an indoor 2-3 antagonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00:47:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.000$ We have completed single ascending

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

00:47:59.000 --> 00:48:02.070 dose of of H&K and started we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00{:}48{:}02.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.060$ start multiple ascending dose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00:48:04.133 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.051$ hopefully in the first quarter of 2023.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

00:48:07.051 --> 00:48:10.306 Tested and TRD. To summarize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00{:}48{:}10{.}310$ --> $00{:}48{:}12{.}368$ We obtain information at many levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

00:48:12.370 --> 00:48:13.460 Molecular cellular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

00:48:13.460 --> 00:48:15.640 We collaborate with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

00:48:15.640 --> 00:48:16.730 extramural colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00:48:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.620$ give them information they give

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00{:}48{:}18.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20.510$ us information and which helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00:48:20.580 \rightarrow 00:48:22.230$ us carry the signs forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288

 $00:48:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.830$ We obtain information at

- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00:48:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.430$ the circuit system level,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00{:}48{:}25{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27{.}250$ obtain multimodal measures and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00:48:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.483$ the longitude of fashion to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- 00:48:29.483 --> 00:48:30.722 better understand treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00:48:30.722 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.787$ and then hopefully the goal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00:48:32.787 \rightarrow 00:48:35.351$ would be to obtain a biologically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00{:}48{:}35{.}351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37{.}682$ enriched subgroups so that we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00{:}48{:}37.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39.547$ have a better understanding of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- 00:48:39.547 --> 00:48:41.350 mechanism and pathophysiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00:48:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.212$ So I'd like to stop there and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851100288
- $00:48:43.212 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.000$ thank you for your attention.