WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:56:59"

NOTE recognizability:0.895

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{.}195$  To introduce Doctor Deborah Hasan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}02{.}195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05{.}023$  Dr Hasan is professor of epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}05{.}023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07{.}793$  at Columbia University in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}07{.}793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10{.}360$  Department of Psychiatry and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

00:00:10.360 --> 00:00:11.860 Mailman School of Public Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

00:00:11.860 --> 00:00:13.940 the Department of Epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

00:00:13.940 --> 00:00:16.540 Doctor Haasis research has covered

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:00:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.796$  epidemiological and clinical studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

00:00:18.796 --> 00:00:21.304 substance use and substance use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}21.310$  -->  $00{:}00{:}24.614$  particularly alcohol and cannabis and on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}24.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.210$  comorbidity associated with these conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

00:00:27.210 --> 00:00:28.980 Her studies have had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}28.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.750$  substantial impact on the DSM.

00:00:30.750 --> 00:00:33.606 Five definitions of substance use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}33{.}610$  -->  $00{:}00{:}36{.}490$  and she was recently text editor

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:00:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.269$  for the revised version of DSM 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:00:39.270 \rightarrow 00:00:41.058$  She's also leveraged epidemiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}41.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.293$  data to answer important questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:00:43.293 \rightarrow 00:00:45.650$  for clinical research and practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:00:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.553$  In this regard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}46.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.095$  I've really had the pleasure to to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51{.}333$  with her on her research validating

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}51.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.561$  non abstinent alcohol reduction as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}53.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.649$  outcome for use in treatment studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58{.}261$  and her work has been pivotal in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:00:58.261 \dashrightarrow 00:01:01.390$  helping move this agenda forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.862$  By any metric, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:02.862 \rightarrow 00:01:04.702$  Hasan has been extremely productive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:04.710 \rightarrow 00:01:06.605$  She's been a principal investigator

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- 00:01:06.605 --> 00:01:09.369 of naida and anti AAA grants since
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- 00:01:09.370 --> 00:01:12.988 1990 and published over 500 papers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:01:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.230$  24 of her first author papers have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:01:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.429$  been cited over 100 times and two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:01:17.429 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.501$  of them over 1000 times showing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:01:19.501 \dashrightarrow 00:01:22.556$  considerable impact on the field.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- 00:01:22.560 --> 00:01:24.330 She's also committed to training
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:01:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.038$  and mentorship.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00{:}01{:}25{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27{.}182$  She directs the night up funded pre
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:01:27.182 \rightarrow 00:01:29.443$  and post doctoral training program and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- 00:01:29.443 --> 00:01:31.573 substance use epidemiology at Columbia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00{:}01{:}31{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33{.}396$  and many of her mentors have gone on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00{:}01{:}33{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34{.}859$  to successful careers of their own.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00{:}01{:}34.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.806$  Receiving NIH and other funding is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:01:37.806 \rightarrow 00:01:40.880$  faculty members at numerous universities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:40.880 \rightarrow 00:01:43.040$  Now, with respect to today's presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}01{:}43.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.055$  her work and the work of her trainees has

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:46.055 \rightarrow 00:01:47.905$  contributed to a better understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:47.905 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.525$  of cannabis use and the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}01{:}50{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.859$  state cannabis laws across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:52.860 \rightarrow 00:01:53.780$  This issue, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:53.780 \rightarrow 00:01:55.240$  is very timely for Connecticut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:55.240 \rightarrow 00:01:57.080$  where possession of 1.5 ounces

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.920$  of cannabis is now legal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:01:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.232$  As of July 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:02:00.232 \rightarrow 00:02:02.809$  Today we will learn more about changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:02:02.809 \rightarrow 00:02:05.479$  trends in cannabis use nationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:02:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.628$  and what the impact of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:02:07.628 \dashrightarrow 00:02:09.060$  and recreational cannabis laws

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00:02:09.125 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.829$  are on rates of cannabis use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526

 $00{:}02{:}10.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.350$  Cannabis use disorders and the use

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:02:13.350 \rightarrow 00:02:15.730$  of highly potent cannabis products.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:02:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.310$  So with this brief introduction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:02:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.690$  let me welcome Dr.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- 00:02:18.690 --> 00:02:20.760 Hasan as today's grand round speaker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:02:20.824 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.770$  and turn the floor over to her.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842065724210526
- $00:02:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.240$  Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.930911036521739
- 00:02:26.250 --> 00:02:28.404 Well, thank you Stephanie for for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.930911036521739
- $00{:}02{:}28{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30{.}181$  that kind introduction and thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.930911036521739
- $00{:}02{:}30{.}181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31{.}867$  you all very much for inviting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.930911036521739
- 00:02:31.867 00:02:33.850 me to give this grand rounds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.930911036521739
- $00:02:33.850 \rightarrow 00:02:35.674$  So I guess the next challenge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.930911036521739
- $00:02:35.674 \rightarrow 00:02:37.340$  is correctly sharing the screen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9073832575
- $00:02:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.362$  Do people see what looks like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9073832575
- $00:02:47.362 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.504$  the first slide of a grand rounds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9073832575
- $00:02:50.504 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.470$  of a PowerPoint? Put it in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899764623333333

 $00:02:52.500 \rightarrow 00:02:55.110$  slideshow mode for yourself right there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}02{:}55{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}175$  OK great excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

00:02:57.175 --> 00:03:00.715 So so I'm going to talk about several

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}00{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03{.}465$  different things related to cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}03{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06{.}096$  use and cannabis use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

00:03:06.096 --> 00:03:09.078 and I'll talk you through some of NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:09.078 \dashrightarrow 00:03:11.606$  the thinking that I do about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}11{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13{.}806$  Just focused on cannabis and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:13.806 \dashrightarrow 00:03:16.165$  in relation to other substances like NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:16.165 \dashrightarrow 00:03:18.643$  for example alcohol and opioids that NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

00:03:18.643 --> 00:03:21.168 have had such huge ups and downs. NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}21{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.898$  Over time I'm going to talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}22{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24{.}419$  bit about cannabis use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}24{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26{.}496$  I'm going to talk about national

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:26.496 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.534$  time trends and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}27{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30{.}306$  Teens and adolescents and trends in

 $00:03:30.306 \rightarrow 00:03:33.016$  adults 'cause these are important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}144$  know in and of themselves and also to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}36{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}686$  to understand the effects or lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:39.686 \rightarrow 00:03:43.200$  effects of the changing cannabis laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.964$  So I will get started with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}44{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}314$  Let's see if I can do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

00:03:46.320 --> 00:03:47.192 Yes, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:47.192 \rightarrow 00:03:50.680$  so I'd like to start out by acknowledging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}620$  My grant support that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:52.620 \rightarrow 00:03:54.560$  contributed to this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00:03:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.174$  and the fact that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

 $00{:}03{:}56{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}727$  research funding for an unrelated

NOTE Confidence: 0.852933953

00:03:57.727 --> 00:03:59.387 project from Syneos health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932226401666667

 $00:04:01.890 \rightarrow 00:04:04.274$  OK, so cannabis is I think most people

NOTE Confidence: 0.932226401666667

 $00:04:04.274 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.688$  that are on on you know listening

00:04:06.688 --> 00:04:09.229 today would know that cannabis is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.932226401666667

 $00:04:09.229 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.401$  of the most widely used psychoactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.932226401666667

 $00{:}04{:}11{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13{.}514$  substances in the US and worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932226401666667

00:04:13.514 --> 00:04:15.590 Of course many people can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.932226401666667

 $00{:}04{:}15.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.180$  cannabis without any particular harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}04{:}20{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24{.}540$  Oops. But use is associated with the NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:04:24.540 \rightarrow 00:04:26.590$  risk for numerous health conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:04:26.590 --> 00:04:28.705 including acute, cognitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}04{:}28.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.820$  and motor impairments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:04:30.820 \rightarrow 00:04:33.292$  withdrawal, withdrawal syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:04:33.292 --> 00:04:34.940 respiratory problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:04:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.040$  vehicle crashes in people

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:04:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.140$  that start using early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:04:39.140 --> 00:04:40.620 you know, early in adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:04:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.316$  there have been a lot of long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:04:43.316 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.239$  prospective studies that have suggested

- NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086
- $00:04:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.474$  that early use does create long term

 $00{:}04{:}48{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51{.}150$  problems and functioning and achievement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:04:51.150 -> 00:04:53.530 It's a cannabis use is a necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:04:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.850$  condition for cannabis use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}655$  Disorder and cannabis use disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}04{:}56.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.460$  is also associated with substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:04:58.516 --> 00:04:59.908 comorbidity and impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:04:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.765$  As we'll see in a few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:05:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.426$  so due to all these risks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:05:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.431$  understanding the changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08{.}766$  epidemiology of cannabis is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}08{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11{.}914$  So shifts in US attitudes and rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}11{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15{.}679$  use of different substances are not new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:05:15.680 --> 00:05:18.035 A Yale historian David Musto

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}18.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.877$  was writing about this in the

 $00:05:20.877 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.858$  early 1990s as shifting attitudes

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}23.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.740$  pertained to alcohol and to opioids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}27.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.344$  And specifically to cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32{.}126$  there have been ongoing debates for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:05:32.126 \rightarrow 00:05:34.282$  long time about the extent to which

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}34.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.148$  cannabis use and cannabis policies NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}36{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38{.}594$  have harmful effects on users tended

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}38{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41{.}438$  to polarize into people who minimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:05:41.438 --> 00:05:43.759 potential harmful effects of cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}43.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.925$  and argue strongly for more

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47{.}657$  permissive or liberalized laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}47.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.520$  and those who continue to view

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:05:49.520 --> 00:05:51.120 cannabis as a harmful drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}51{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}448$  for which continued prohibition

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:05:52.448 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.776$  is the correct approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}53{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56{.}391$  I would say at this point continued

 $00:05:56.391 \rightarrow 00:05:58.042$  prohibition is not strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:05:58.042 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.866$  endorsed by most people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02{.}628$  But that does represent the sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:02.630 \rightarrow 00:06:04.665$  Alternative camp to people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:04.665 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.029$  think that the law should just

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:07.029 \rightarrow 00:06:10.630$  be changed to be liberalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}10.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.398$  And I'm going to bring up an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}12.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.127$  from the past that I'm going to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}14.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.889$  back to at the end of this talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:06:15.890 --> 00:06:18.400 So of course everyone knows

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:18.400 \dashrightarrow 00:06:20.408$  about alcohol and prohibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:06:20.410 --> 00:06:23.368 You know, alcohol wasn't accepted substance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.264$  then it wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}24.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.570$  Then it was prohibited across the whole US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}26{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28{.}946$  and then it was brought back and we

 $00:06:28.946 \rightarrow 00:06:31.896$  are living through the tag end of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:31.896 \rightarrow 00:06:33.420$  process where opioids prescription

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:06:33.490 - 00:06:35.430 opioids were seen as positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:35.430 \rightarrow 00:06:36.783$  used incredibly widely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}36{.}783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39{.}489$  and then we've seen the terrible

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:39.489 \rightarrow 00:06:41.648$  consequences of that through the open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.958$  The opioid overdose epidemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:06:44.960 --> 00:06:45.391 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47{.}936$  a little bit more recent example

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:47.936 \rightarrow 00:06:50.638$  that I think it's useful in thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}946$  about the cannabis laws is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}06{:}52{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}136$  debate and policy shift around a

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:55.208 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.140$  minimum legal drinking age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:06:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.957$  So in the late 60s in the early 70s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:06:59.960 --> 00:07:02.620 this was a state experiment, if you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:02.620 \rightarrow 00:07:04.320$  in states lowering their ages,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086
- $00:07:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.455$  most of them to around age 18

 $00:07:06.455 \rightarrow 00:07:08.280$  on the grounds of fairness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.764$  the thinking was well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:09.764 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.300$  if people can vote at age 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.346$  it's only fair to let them

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:07:14.346 --> 00:07:15.369 purchase alcohol legally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:07:15.370 --> 00:07:15.787 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:15.787 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.706$  but then what happened is many studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:18.706 \dashrightarrow 00:07:21.485$  were conducted that showed that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:07:21.485 --> 00:07:23.820 lower minimum legal drinking ages

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:07:23.820 --> 00:07:26.199 predicted many adverse consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.121$  So in 1984,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:27.121 \dashrightarrow 00:07:30.054$  by the time a lot of this evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}07{:}30.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.440$  had accumulated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}07{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33{.}869$  a federal act was passed that required

00:07:33.869 --> 00:07:36.178 a uniform age across all states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}07{:}36.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.604$  which was age 21,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00:07:37.604 \rightarrow 00:07:40.246$  and that was put into place by 1986.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

00:07:40.246 --> 00:07:42.108 So I'll come back to this one

NOTE Confidence: 0.71947086

 $00{:}07{:}42.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.718$  at the end of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}07{:}43.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.528$  when we look at what's going on with

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:07:46.528 \dashrightarrow 00:07:49.226$  cannabis state cannabis laws at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:07:49.230 \rightarrow 00:07:51.882$  So, uh, specifically with respect to

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:07:51.882 --> 00:07:53.785 cannabis, I think most people know

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:07:53.785 \rightarrow 00:07:55.587$  there's been there have been huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}07{:}55{.}587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57{.}327$  changes in how people viewed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:07:57.330 - 00:07:59.836 So in the 1800s marijuana was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:07:59.836 \dashrightarrow 00:08:02.149$  used for many medical purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}08{:}02.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.310$  but it's used for those reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}323$  became less important with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:08:06.323 \rightarrow 00:08:08.359$  development of synthetic painkillers.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00:08:08.360 \dashrightarrow 00:08:09.512$  There were there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00:08:09.512 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.432$  the public view was actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00{:}08{:}11.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.104$  transformed in the 1930s after Mexican
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- 00:08:14.104 --> 00:08:15.748 immigrants introduced recreational
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00{:}08{:}15.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.899$  marijuana and anti marijuana and anti
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00:08:18.899 \dashrightarrow 00:08:21.114$  immigrant views sometimes got blended.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00{:}08{:}21{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24{.}620$  There was a 1936 article in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- 00:08:24.620 --> 00:08:27.010 American Journal of Nursing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- 00:08:27.010 --> 00:08:29.785 Voice to very extreme view
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- 00:08:29.785 --> 00:08:31.450 of marijuana users.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- 00:08:31.450 --> 00:08:35.412 Probably many people have seen on YouTube
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00:08:35.412 \rightarrow 00:08:39.478$  the the old movie clip video,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00:08:39.478 \rightarrow 00:08:42.024$  Reefer madness and public opinion
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00:08:42.024 \rightarrow 00:08:43.696$  has really fluctuated markedly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00{:}08{:}43.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.719$  overtime with respect to cannabis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:08:45.720 --> 00:08:47.715 I think it's clear that we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}08{:}47.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.679$  through an era where the attitudes

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:08:49.679 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.424$  are changing to the positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:08:51.430 \rightarrow 00:08:53.134$  If you look across the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:08:53.134 \rightarrow 00:08:54.690$  and end of this graph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}08{:}54.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.650$  you'll see that Americans have increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:08:58.650 \rightarrow 00:09:00.666$  supported legalization of cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:09:00.666 --> 00:09:03.470 And this is only to 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}09{:}03.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.870$  I think if we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.026$  if if the study were redone now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.312$  it would probably be an even higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:09.312 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.984$  percent and I'll come back to this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:11.984 \rightarrow 00:09:16.250$  map a little bit later in the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.750$  But as we see at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:09:19.750 --> 00:09:22.284 Medical cannabis is legal in 37 states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.138$  so states are in the minority at

- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00:09:24.138 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.659$  this point that haven't legalized

00:09:25.659 --> 00:09:27.344 any form of cannabis use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.182$  and recreational cannabis is legal for

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:09:30.182 --> 00:09:33.618 adults in 18 States and Washington DC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:09:33.620 --> 00:09:33.885 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:33.885 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.005$  so I'm going to talk just a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:09:36.005 --> 00:09:37.936 about cannabis use disorder criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:37.936 \rightarrow 00:09:40.336$  because they come into play and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}09{:}40{.}409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42{.}395$  thinking about some of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:42.395 \dashrightarrow 00:09:44.161$  know about cannabis use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:09:44.161 -> 00:09:47.500 So here you see the DSM 4 criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:47.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.660$  Those were changed in 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:09:49.660 \rightarrow 00:09:51.516$  which is actually getting to be awhile ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}09{:}51{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53{.}739$  It's eight years ago at this point

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}09{:}53.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.116$  and here are the criteria for DSM 5

 $00:09:58.120 \rightarrow 00:10:00.944$  and you can see that specifically with

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}803$  respect to cannabis, there were two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}03.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.689$  New criteria that were added with drawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}690$  -->  $00{:}10{:}09{.}612$  There is a specific cannabis with drawal

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}09{.}612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}804$  syndrome and craving for cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}11.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.059$  Craving was added to all the substance NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}149$  use disorders including for cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:10:18.150 --> 00:10:20.320 So cannabis use disorder in

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:10:20.320 --> 00:10:22.490 cannabis users is a necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}22.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.960$  but not sufficient cause of CUD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}24{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26{.}940$  There is a common assumption or

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:10:26.940 --> 00:10:29.483 at this point you might even say a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:10:29.483 --> 00:10:31.960 myth that very few users of cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}31{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33{.}808$  develop cannabis use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:10:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.672$  and that's based on a paper from

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:10:35.672 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.266$  the early 1990s that suggested that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188
- $00{:}10{:}38{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40{.}836$  cannabis use disorder was rare.

00:10:40.840 --> 00:10:41.623 But think back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:10:41.623 \rightarrow 00:10:43.450$  this is during a time when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}43.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.256$  potency of cannabis that was used

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00{:}10{:}45.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.331$  was very mild and the diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:10:47.331 --> 00:10:49.039 criteria were quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:10:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.404$  At that point also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:10:50.404 \rightarrow 00:10:52.450$  so with changing norms and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:10:52.525 --> 00:10:54.197 potent forms of cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:10:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.380$  this this concept is outdated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:10:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.418$  so there was a meta analysis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:11:00.418 --> 00:11:02.874 was published pretty recently that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

 $00:11:02.874 \rightarrow 00:11:05.562$  showed across different studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944427188

00:11:05.562 --> 00:11:08.790 the estimates of rates of cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

00:11:08.881 --> 00:11:12.006 use disorder amongst users is in

 $00:11:12.006 \rightarrow 00:11:14.990$  the range of 2020 or so percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

00:11:14.990 --> 00:11:17.360 These numbers get higher if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:17.438 \rightarrow 00:11:19.838$  look among more frequent users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:19.840 \rightarrow 00:11:22.603$  Then I checked them out in some more recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00{:}11{:}22.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.089$  data than what we're available to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00{:}11{:}25{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27{.}190$  The people that conducted this meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:27.190 \rightarrow 00:11:29.100$  analysis and these numbers hold up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:29.100 \rightarrow 00:11:32.150$  so it's not necessarily the case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.900$  it's fine for everybody to use cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:33.900 \rightarrow 00:11:36.065$  because nobody is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

00:11:36.065 --> 00:11:37.797 get cannabis use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00{:}11{:}37{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40{.}516$  So just to look at some descriptive

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:40.516 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.258$  epidemiology of the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

00:11:42.258 --> 00:11:44.290 of cannabis use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.666$  this is these these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:11:45.666 \rightarrow 00:11:47.386$  This information is from the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- 00:11:47.386 --> 00:11:49.200 National Epidemiologic Survey on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00{:}11{:}49{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51{.}068$  alcohol and related conditions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00{:}11{:}51{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53{.}722$  This was a national survey that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00{:}11{:}53.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.658$  was conducted in 2012 and 2013.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- 00:11:56.658 --> 00:11:59.338 It was a probability sample
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00:11:59.338 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.730$  with over 36,000 Americans.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00:12:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.690$  The measures in this study were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00:12:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.838$  tested extensively and it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00:12:06.838 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.560$  still a good source of data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00{:}12{:}08{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11{.}446$  On a lot of conditions that aren't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00:12:11.446 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.158$  available in other surveys,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00:12:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.351$  So what you see here is at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- $00:12:15.351 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.940$  that time in adults,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- 00:12:16.940 --> 00:12:19.450 the overall prevalence of cannabis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143
- 00:12:19.450 --> 00:12:22.214 use disorder was about 2.5.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

00:12:22.214 --> 00:12:25.230 And disaggregated by mild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:12:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.882$  moderate and severe levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

00:12:26.882 --> 00:12:28.534 according to the DSM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:12:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.012$  five definitions about half

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}220$  the cases were at the mild

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}585$  level and a quarter each at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921333847857143

 $00:12:34.585 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.530$  moderate and the severe level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}280$  This shows the risk for cannabis use

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00:12:42.280 \rightarrow 00:12:45.380$  disorder by demographic characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00{:}12{:}45{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47{.}246$  We see here that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00{:}12{:}47{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48{.}990$  a greater risk in males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00{:}12{:}48{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52{.}158$  There's a greater risk in African

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

00:12:52.158 --> 00:12:54.259 Americans and Native Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00:12:54.259 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.874$  especially at the severe end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00:12:56.880 \rightarrow 00:12:58.890$  and if you look at the bottom of this table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00:12:58.890 \rightarrow 00:13:01.350$  you see that younger people were

 $00:13:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.796$  more likely to have cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00:13:03.796 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.836$  use disorder than older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00:13:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.510$  The study also shows very clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00:13:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.854$  that cannabis use disorder is

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00{:}13{:}11.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14.299$  highly and highly associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

00:13:14.299 --> 00:13:16.255 other substance use disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.923074648181818

 $00:13:16.260 \rightarrow 00:13:18.360$  and with psychiatric disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}13{:}20{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22{.}988$  And this shows that impairment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:13:22.988 \rightarrow 00:13:26.012$  functioning which is at least half a

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:13:26.012 \rightarrow 00:13:28.237$  standard deviation between the below

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:13:28.237 \rightarrow 00:13:30.786$  the population norm on a standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:13:30.786 --> 00:13:33.726 scale was common among people that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:13:33.726 --> 00:13:36.870 cannabis use disorder and increased as

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39{.}750$  we went across the the levels from mild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:13:39.750 \rightarrow 00:13:40.977$  moderate to severe.

 $00{:}13{:}40{.}977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44{.}680$  So cannabis use disorder is a real condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:13:44.680 --> 00:13:45.988 It does really exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}13{:}45{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47{.}950$  it uses the same criteria as

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}13{:}48.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.977$  other substance use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:13:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.350$  And it's associated with comorbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54{.}860$  and impairment and then in a paper that

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:13:54.860 \rightarrow 00:13:57.072$  came out just recently done by one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:13:57.072 \rightarrow 00:13:58.734$  the fellows in my training program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}720$  We looked at the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.700$  between having cannabis use disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}812$  and not having any substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}14{:}04{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}251$  disorder where the whole set of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:07.251 \rightarrow 00:14:08.936$  problems that people could have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:08.940 \rightarrow 00:14:11.180$  And this shows the relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.252$  So across a whole raft are of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:14:13.252 --> 00:14:14.760 different types of problems,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00:14:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.942$  interpersonal problems,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- 00:14:15.942 --> 00:14:18.306 financial and legal problems,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00{:}14{:}18{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20{.}074$  and health related conditions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00:14:20.074 \rightarrow 00:14:22.279$  Those with cannabis use disorder
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00:14:22.279 \rightarrow 00:14:24.604$  were significantly more likely and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00:14:24.604 \rightarrow 00:14:27.328$  substantially more likely in many cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00:14:27.330 \rightarrow 00:14:30.025$  To have these problems compared to people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00{:}14{:}30{.}025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}507$  without a substance use disorder at all.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00{:}14{:}32{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35{.}102$  So cannabis use disorder is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00:14:35.102 \rightarrow 00:14:36.830$  condition to take seriously.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- 00:14:36.830 --> 00:14:38.734 So I'm going to shift now to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00:14:38.734 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.050$  looking at some trends,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00:14:40.050 \rightarrow 00:14:41.800$  overtime in cannabis, and I'm going to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- 00:14:41.800 --> 00:14:44.332 I will talk first about adolescents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334
- $00{:}14{:}44{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46{.}020$  because adolescents and adults
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:14:46.088 --> 00:14:48.104 do actually tend to go their own

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}14{:}48.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.118$  way in terms of substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.543$  epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:50.543 \rightarrow 00:14:53.504$  So I'll start out by showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:53.504 \rightarrow 00:14:55.438$  some information from monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:55.438 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.968$  the future monitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:14:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.448$  The future is a yearly survey

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}14{:}59{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}557$  that's been conducted every year

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}01{.}557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}921$  and 12th graders and since 1991

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:03.921 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.770$  and 8th and 10th graders and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}06{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09{.}547$  amazing thing that's very huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:09.550 \rightarrow 00:15:11.608$  Advantage of this study is that they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:11.608 \rightarrow 00:15:13.430$  kept their questions constant overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}13{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15{.}205$  The basic questions on perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:15:15.205 - 00:15:17.810 risk and use of the substances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:17.810 \rightarrow 00:15:20.234$  so it's possible to get very long term

00:15:20.234 --> 00:15:22.358 perspectives from monitoring the future data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.480$  On what's going on with trends

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:15:25.480 --> 00:15:28.871 in in students in 8th, 10th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:28.871 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.624$  and 12th grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}044$  So what we see here is there's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:32.044 \rightarrow 00:15:32.900$  some fluctuation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:15:32.900 --> 00:15:37.248 Overtime in perception of great

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:37.248 \rightarrow 00:15:40.033$  risk associated with using cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.770$  but it's got it's pretty much gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}42.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.187$  down so consistent with what we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47{.}917$  discuss a little bit later in adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

00:15:47.920 --> 00:15:48.290 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}48.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.510$  the perception that it's harmful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}50{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}489$  use this substance has declined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}602$  U sually that's associated with

 $00:15:54.602 \rightarrow 00:15:57.242$  increased use of a substance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:57.250 \rightarrow 00:15:59.728$  although it hasn't been so closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:15:59.728 \rightarrow 00:16:03.148$  entwined to that in teens in recent years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:16:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.775$  So here's some information on

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:16:05.775 \rightarrow 00:16:09.146$  long term time trends in any use

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:16:09.146 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.910$  of cannabis over the past year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:16:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.930$  and as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:16:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.090$  in 12th graders it's been pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17{.}030$  flat for the last several years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}16{:}17.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.110$  There's been some increase in the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00{:}16{:}20{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23{.}560$  couple of years for Ace and 10th graders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:16:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.176$  But they are not as marked as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:16:26.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.495$  as increases that we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180765333334

 $00:16:28.495 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.950$  in in the beginning of the 1990s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315905375

 $00{:}16{:}32{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33{.}250$  And here we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:16:33.260 \rightarrow 00:16:36.956$  daily use and we see pretty similar patterns.

 $00{:}16{:}36{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40{.}047$  Not too much evidence of increases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:16:40.047 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.900$  the 12th graders, but some increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:16:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.470$  in the 8th and the 10th graders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}16{:}46{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48{.}924$  And some worrisome trends in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}16{:}48{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51{.}380$  paper that was published last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:16:51.380 --> 00:16:53.870 Frequent use among lifetime users,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:16:53.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.838$  so this show this figure shows over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:16:58.838 --> 00:17:02.642 years male female differences in monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}02.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.927$  the future participants in frequent use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:17:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.460$  You can see that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:17:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.990$  gone up in recent years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}11{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14{.}408$  And this is in white participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}14.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.437$  and in black participants who

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:17:16.437 --> 00:17:18.525 actually have gone up more sharply

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}18.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.310$  and ended up at a somewhat higher

 $00:17:21.310 \rightarrow 00:17:23.395$  place than the white participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:17:23.400 --> 00:17:25.654 So we don't see huge change overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:17:25.654 --> 00:17:28.200 in in any use of cannabis weed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}28{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30{.}896$  but we do see some trends in heavier

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}30.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.928$  use of cannabis amongst adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:17:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.298$  Well, what about adults?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}37{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41{.}827$  So taking a look at two surveys that Nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:17:41.827 \rightarrow 00:17:44.780$  arc survey that was like a predecessor

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:17:44.780 --> 00:17:47.928 of the Nice arc three that I showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:17:47.928 \rightarrow 00:17:50.145$  earlier that was conducted in 2001,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}50{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52{.}815$  2002 and about 10 years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}52.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.913$  the Nice arc three was conducted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:17:54.913 \rightarrow 00:17:57.320$  pretty much the same questions and sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}17{:}57{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}492$  design were utilized in both surveys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:17:59.500 --> 00:18:01.400 enabling people to look across

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:18:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.866$  the the surveys to see about

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- 00:18:03.866 --> 00:18:05.818 increases in rates overtime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}18{:}05{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08{.}858$  So this is past year cannabis use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- 00:18:08.860 --> 00:18:12.060 In adults at least 1818 years and older,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:18:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.424$  and you can see that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:18:14.424 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.000$  rates more than doubled.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:18:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.130$  And this is cannabis use disorder
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:18:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.938$  where we also see an increase in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}18{:}20{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22{.}690$  rates nearly doubling across.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- 00:18:22.690 --> 00:18:25.636 You know, across the two surveys.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- 00:18:25.640 --> 00:18:26.048 And,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:18:26.048 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.088$  as shown in the paper,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- 00:18:28.090 --> 00:18:30.274 if you're interested in taking a look,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- 00:18:30.280 --> 00:18:32.530 any use in cannabis use disorder
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}18{:}32{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34{.}030$  did increase significantly within
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}18{:}34.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.678$  major demographic subgroups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:18:35.680 \rightarrow 00:18:38.760$  including men and women within age groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}18{:}38{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43{.}630$  income and race ethnicity groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}18{:}43.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.645$  But there were there were data that came out

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:18:46.645 \rightarrow 00:18:49.260$  of a different series of national surveys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:18:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.119$  The National Survey on Drug Use and Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:18:52.120 --> 00:18:53.335 I'm sorry about the typo

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:18:53.335 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.940$  in the in the title there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:18:54.940 --> 00:18:56.095 So Wilson Compton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:18:56.095 --> 00:18:58.790 who is now Deputy director of Naida,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}18{:}58{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}499$  published a paper about five years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}19{:}01{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04{.}700$  showing a lot of changes overtime in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}19{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.155$  cannabis use attitudes towards cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}19{:}07{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}809$  and then cannabis use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:19:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.896$  So the thing that was kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:19:11.896 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.220$  striking about this paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:19:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.376$  Was that although a lot of other

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:19:15.376 \rightarrow 00:19:17.058$  things were changing in the news?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}19{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.974$  Do data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:19:17.974 \rightarrow 00:19:19.802$  These are nationally representative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:19:19.802 \rightarrow 00:19:22.340$  surveys that are conducted each year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}19{:}22{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25{.}056$  Is that the rates of cannabis use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}19{:}25.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.920$  disorder didn't change overtime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:19:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.906$  They were about 1.5%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}19{:}28{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31{.}608$  And that was true across the years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}19{:}31.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.329$  of this survey and an additional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}19{:}34{.}329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}028$  analysis of these data were conducted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:19:37.028 \rightarrow 00:19:38.868$  and published that showed pretty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:19:38.868 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.340$  much the same thing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00:19:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.226$  so it wasn't so clear why.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- 00:19:43.230 --> 00:19:46.110 Denise Arc was showing increases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875
- $00{:}19{:}46{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48{.}259$  but the news do was showing that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:19:48.259 \rightarrow 00:19:50.076$  although use was increasing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:19:50.076 --> 00:19:52.566 frequent use was increasing in adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}19{:}52{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54{.}394$  the rates of cannabis use disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:19:54.394 \rightarrow 00:19:55.610$  were staying the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:19:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.010$  So this was an epidemiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:19:58.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.930$  debate for a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00{:}19{:}59{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}01{.}645$  And then there was a new paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

00:20:01.645 - > 00:20:03.629 that came out where the team pulled

NOTE Confidence: 0.90455522875

 $00:20:03.629 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.405$  in a couple of additional years

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}05{.}463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08{.}430$  of data, and although the DSM five

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:08.430 \rightarrow 00:20:11.540$  criteria weren't utilized in those years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.430$  in the Miss do surveys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}13.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.200$  they created a proxy of the the DSM 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}18{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}518$  diagnosis and that when they use that

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:20.518 \rightarrow 00:20:22.591$  one they did show increases overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:22.591 \rightarrow 00:20:25.015$  and the increases were largely in

 $00:20:25.015 \rightarrow 00:20:27.198$  the mild category and they considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}27.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.904$  the DSM five to be a more sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:29.904 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.166$  Indicator, but for this paper a

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}32.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34.705$  caveat was that DSM 5 craving and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:34.705 \rightarrow 00:20:36.820$  with drawal were missing in the news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}36.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.430$  Do so it was still unclear how the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.907$  would have looked if these were included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.928$  Well, very recently than is do

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:44.928 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.490$  has published 2020 data where

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:20:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.668$  they did shift to DSM 5 criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}50.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}908$  So I pulled these numbers together

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54{.}027$  for this presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}54.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.566$  So we see putting 2019 and 2020 data

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}20{:}57.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.847$  together just first looking at cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}21{:}00{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03{.}118$  Use any cannabis use in the

 $00:21:03.118 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.579$  past year across the age groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

00:21:05.580 --> 00:21:07.578 We don't see any any evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:07.578 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.430$  of a huge shift there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.410$  It doesn't look like the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.160$  prevalence of use went up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.554$  In the young people or the mid

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:15.554 \rightarrow 00:21:18.094$  you know the the young adults or

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:18.094 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.800$  or the adults aged 26 and older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}21{:}20{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24{.}390$  However when we look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

00:21:24.390 --> 00:21:26.980 shift from DSM 4 to DSM five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}21{:}26{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29{.}056$  we do see quite a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

00:21:29.060 --> 00:21:30.810 We don't see that much of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.677$  difference in the 12 to 17 year olds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.836$  but in the young adults we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

00:21:34.836 --> 00:21:37.238 quite a large difference from DSM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:37.240 \rightarrow 00:21:40.100$  Four to DSM five there were a lot of things.

 $00:21:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.985$  Of course that happened in

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:41.985 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.116$  2020 including the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:43.120 \rightarrow 00:21:45.360$  The pandemic and a lot of other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.920$  But if we just think about the shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}21{:}47{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49{.}744$  from a set of criteria that was used

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}21{:}49{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}208$  for a long time and then is due to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}21{:}52{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54{.}035$  new criteria that were expected from

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}21{:}54.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.955$  the beginning to be more sensitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}21{:}55{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58{.}291$  we see a big increase that looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:21:58.291 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.453$  like it could be artifactual in

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:00.453 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.987$  the young adults and also in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:03.063 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.310$  in the adults age 26 and older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}22{:}05{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07{.}956$  So as an epidemiologist this just means

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}22{:}07{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10{.}705$  that it's hard to use new data over

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}22{:}10.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.369$  long periods of time to look at trends.

 $00{:}22{:}13{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16{.}097$  And if we want to have a deeper understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}22{:}16.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18.745$  of what trends are going on nationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}22{:}18.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.946$  we need to look for other sources of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:20.950 \rightarrow 00:22:23.064$  And that's important not only to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:23.064 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.272$  what's going on in this country with

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:25.272 \rightarrow 00:22:27.144$  cannabis use and cannabis use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.738$  but also to understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:28.738 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.723$  the effects of the laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

00:22:30.730 --> 00:22:33.226 So one other source of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.645$  includes cannabis use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

00:22:35.645 --> 00:22:38.060 disorder diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

00:22:38.060 --> 00:22:39.670 inpatient hospitalizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}386$  and we can see that in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:42.386 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.508$  paper between 2002 and 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00{:}22{:}44{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}184$ 11 There was an increase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091

 $00:22:47.184 \rightarrow 00:22:49.280$  about over doubling of the rates.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00:22:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.629$  Now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00{:}22{:}49.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.072$  that doesn't mean that the people were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- 00:22:52.072 --> 00:22:54.118 hospitalized for cannabis use disorder,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00{:}22{:}54{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54{.}664$  but they.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00{:}22{:}54.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.296$  These were one of the diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00:22:56.296 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.160$  that were made.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00:22:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.864$  If they were in in inpatient hospitalization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00:23:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.705$  And in a paper that updated the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00{:}23{:}04.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.409$  findings to somewhat more recent years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00:23:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.738$  these this paper looked over a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- 00:23:09.738 --> 00:23:12.316 longer span of time and showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00{:}23{:}12.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.681$  quite a substantial increase using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947498745909091
- $00:23:14.681 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.100$  pretty much the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182
- $00{:}23{:}16.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.314$  same variable. Now the authors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182
- $00:23:18.314 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.402$  of this paper said that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182

00:23:20.402 --> 00:23:22.390 didn't shift to looking at ICD

NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182

 $00{:}23{:}22{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25{.}921$  10CM that occurred in 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182

 $00:23:25.921 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.676$  2016 because that presented too

NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182

 $00:23:28.676 \rightarrow 00:23:30.329$  many methodological challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182

 $00{:}23{:}30{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}634$  But I will talk about those in some data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182

 $00:23:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.972$  That we have to address that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912236221818182

 $00:23:33.972 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.740$  in a in a minute or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

 $00:23:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.585$  One of another fellow from my training

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

00:23:40.585 --> 00:23:42.253 program, a postdoctoral fellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

 $00{:}23{:}42{.}253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45{.}200$  looked at the prevalence of past non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

00:23:45.274 --> 00:23:47.968 cannabis use in pregnant and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

 $00:23:47.968 \rightarrow 00:23:50.130$  pregnant women of reproductive age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

 $00{:}23{:}50{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}038$  And the overall finding was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53{.}992$  the rates of cannabis use were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

 $00:23:53.992 \rightarrow 00:23:55.547$  lower in the pregnant women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

 $00:23:55.550 \rightarrow 00:23:58.142$  but the rates have changed over the period

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00{:}23{:}58.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.670$  of time addressed with Miss do data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- 00:24:00.670 00:24:03.660 we're pretty much the same with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00{:}24{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.160$  increases in pregnant women as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00:24:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.790$  well as non pregnant women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00{:}24{:}08{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12{.}822$  And this is another take on a similar issue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00{:}24{:}12.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.637$  This paper just came out very recently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00:24:15.640 \rightarrow 00:24:17.410$  We weren't involved in this paper,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00:24:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.882$  but you can see that in in women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00:24:20.882 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.235$  people that were hospitalized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- 00:24:23.235 --> 00:24:26.690 for pregnancy or pre pregnancy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00:24:26.690 \rightarrow 00:24:29.626$  the rates of cannabis use disorder went up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00:24:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.614$  including importantly cannabis use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00:24:31.614 \rightarrow 00:24:34.094$  disorder being the only substance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00{:}24{:}34.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.405$  use disorder diagnosis which is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675
- $00:24:36.405 \rightarrow 00:24:38.585$  indicated here by the little.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471604675

00:24:38.590 --> 00:24:40.579 Gold colored circles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}24{:}44{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46{.}828$  So this was a study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:24:46.828 \rightarrow 00:24:48.238$  published actually actually at

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:24:48.238 \rightarrow 00:24:50.226$  this point a number of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}24{:}50{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51{.}922$  and this study actually was something

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}252$  that led us to getting a grant from

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56{.}828$  Niger that I'll be talking about in a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:24:56.828 \rightarrow 00:24:58.683$  minutes to look more closely at cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}24{:}58.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01.945$  use disorders across time in the VA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:25:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.041$  But this paper, which went from 2002 to 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}324$  showed an increase of 59% across

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:25:09.324 \rightarrow 00:25:13.446$  this time in cannabis use diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}25{:}13.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.327$  And working with the VA data across

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:25:16.327 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.798$  a longer period of time with

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:25:18.798 \rightarrow 00:25:21.108$  the grant funding that we got,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:25:21.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.614$  we looked at this including the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:25:24.614 \rightarrow 00:25:28.268$  transition period from ICD 9 to ICD 10.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:25:28.270 \rightarrow 00:25:31.646$  So the first several years in this show,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}25{:}31{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34{.}754$  the changes overtime in ICD 9 CM and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}25{:}34{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}162$  what we see here is a clear increase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:25:38.162 \rightarrow 00:25:41.684$  overtime in all the groups rates were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}25{:}41.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.152$  highest in the youngest veteran patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- 00:25:45.160 --> 00:25:48.176 This was the point in time when the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}25{:}48.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.319$  criteria were changed in the VA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}25{:}50{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}637$  and we see that there's a decrease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:25:52.637 \rightarrow 00:25:54.160$  right after that change.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:25:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.094$  That was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}25{:}55{.}094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}896$  do we think to some administrative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- 00:25:57.896 --> 00:26:00.876 issues in recording old diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- 00:26:00.876 --> 00:26:02.640 versus new diagnosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}26{:}02{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05{.}009$  but we see that once that period
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:05.009 \rightarrow 00:26:07.172$  was passed that we once again see

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}26{:}07{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09{.}148$  a pretty steady increase overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11{.}722$  in the prevalence of cannabis use

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}26{:}11.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.265$  disorders across the five and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}26{:}14.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.949$  half million or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}26{:}15{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17{.}990$  Patients that were treated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.622$  the VA each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.990$  This is how the similar findings looked when

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.426$  we broke them apart by males and females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:24.430 \rightarrow 00:26:27.446$  This is the period with ICD 9 CM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}26{:}27{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30{.}472$  This is the transition point and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:30.472 \rightarrow 00:26:33.058$  is the post transition point findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:33.058 \rightarrow 00:26:36.397$  where you see the rates were going up

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:36.397 \rightarrow 00:26:39.000$  pretty steadily in males and females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:39.000 \rightarrow 00:26:41.032$  And this is what we get what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:26:41.032 \rightarrow 00:26:43.257$  got when we looked at this by race.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}26{:}43.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.195$  Ethnic groups once again with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:26:46.195 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.956$  the two periods.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:26:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.055$  This paper is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- 00:26:49.055 --> 00:26:51.610 we've got a paper on this that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}26{:}51{.}698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}380$  we're just about ready to submit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:26:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.800$  That reports on these findings,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:26:56.800 \rightarrow 00:27:00.097$  so it seems pretty clear looking across
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:27:00.097 \rightarrow 00:27:05.990$  survey data and across information from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}27{:}05{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08{.}000$  Large electronic medical record data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}27{:}08{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}440$  that cannabis use disorder has been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:27:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.290$  increasing in the United States.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}27{:}12.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.946$  Use has increased in cannabis use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00:27:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.110$  Disorder has increased as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}27{:}16.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.174$  so then it makes some sense to think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222
- $00{:}27{:}19{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21{.}268$  about what are potential factors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00:27:21.268 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.173$  that are going on in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825988917222222

 $00{:}27{:}24.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.490$ So

NOTE Confidence: 0.937228132

 $00{:}27{:}24.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.730$  I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

00:27:26.770 --> 00:27:29.160 increases in in cannabis potency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}27{:}29.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.421$  I'm going to talk about increases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}27{:}31{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34{.}321$  pain and then I'm going to spend a fair

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:27:34.321 \rightarrow 00:27:36.711$  amount of time talking about increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:27:36.711 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.867$  permissive state cannabis laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}27{:}38{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42{.}218$  So this this slide shows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:27:42.218 \rightarrow 00:27:45.204$  changing potency of illicit cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}27{:}45{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49{.}250$  samples that were seized by the Drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}27{:}49{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51{.}350$  Enforcement Administration across time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}27{:}51{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52{.}890$  And as we can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:27:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.529$  there's been a quite a substantial increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58{.}100$  in the potency of the seized samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:27:58.100 \rightarrow 00:28:04.698$  going up to two 2014 in this figure.

 $00{:}28{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.084$  And then continuing the findings further

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

00:28:08.084 --> 00:28:12.120 into a few additional years up to 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:28:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.780$  So there's quite an increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:28:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.314$  potency of the product that's being

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:28:17.314 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.596$  used and potency is thought to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

00:28:20.596 --> 00:28:23.094 related to addiction liability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}28{:}23.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.649$  different substances that are used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}28{:}25.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.478$  But now, in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:28:27.478 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.220$  we not only have the illicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32{.}545$  cannabis products that people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:28:32.545 \rightarrow 00:28:34.430$  been able to get for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}28{:}34{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}223$  but we have legal cannabis markets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}28{:}37{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40{.}866$  a lot more products that people can use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}28{:}40.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.294$  So we have plant or flower products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47{.}813$  and at this point the average potency

- $00:28:47.813 \rightarrow 00:28:50.130$  in those products is from 15 to 20%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00{:}28{:}50{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52{.}620$  That's including legal ones as well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00:28:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.150$  as illegal ones. We also have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- 00:28:56.150 --> 00:28:59.324 Changing products in terms of concentrates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00{:}28{:}59{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}920$  concentrates that can be used.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- 00:29:01.920 --> 00:29:04.790 It can be vaped or or dabed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00:29:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.625$  and those are really quite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00:29:07.625 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.326$  concentrated and potent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00{:}29{:}09{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11{.}240$  And.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00:29:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.456$  And are increasingly preferred
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00:29:14.456 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.476$  by cannabis users overtime and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- 00:29:18.480 --> 00:29:20.544 It it appears from some different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00{:}29{:}20{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23{.}165$  surveys that people are seeking out the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00:29:23.165 \rightarrow 00:29:25.130$  more concentrated forms because they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00:29:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.336$  they like various aspects of the effects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432
- $00:29:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.719$  So I'm going to let that sit

 $00:29:29.719 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.699$  for a minute or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00{:}29{:}30{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32{.}170$  I'll come back to potent

NOTE Confidence: 0.841094432

 $00:29:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.346$  forms of cannabis later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:29:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.637$  What about pain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:29:37.637 \rightarrow 00:29:40.920$  So pain could be considered an emerging

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}29{:}41.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.186$ risk factor for cannabis use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}29{:}44.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.338$  There's somewhat mixed evidence on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:29:46.338 \rightarrow 00:29:48.930$  efficacy of cannabis to treat chronic pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:29:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.088$  as shown in two large reviews.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:29:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.791$  And it would be better to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

00:29:54.791 --> 00:29:55.949 more rigorous studies of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

00:29:55.950 --> 00:29:58.355 of course, but Americans anyway

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:29:58.355 \rightarrow 00:30:00.760$  are increasingly seeing cannabis as

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:30:00.832 \dashrightarrow 00:30:03.127$  an effective treatment for pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:30:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.242$  A colleague of mine at the

00:30:05.242 --> 00:30:06.650 VA in San Francisco,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

00:30:06.650 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:30:09.706 Salma Kahani has a paper showing this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

00:30:09.706 --> 00:30:12.628 with changing US attitudes towards cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

00:30:12.630 --> 00:30:15.395 is pain and emerging risk factor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}15{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18{.}300$  frequent use and cannabis use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}18{.}300$  -->  $00{:}30{:}21{.}786$  Well, we know that the prevalence of NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}21.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.454$  pain is increasing in US adults from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:30:25.454 \rightarrow 00:30:28.436$  paper that was published awhile ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}28{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31{.}611$  So we undertook to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}31{.}611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34{.}860$  relationship of pain to frequent use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:30:34.860 \dashrightarrow 00:30:37.200$  cannabis and cannabis use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:30:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.816$  In these two surveys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}38{.}816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41{.}240$  the Nice arc that was conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}41{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43{.}850$  at the beginning of the Odds 2001,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}43.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.580$  2002 and the Nice arc three that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:30:46.580 \rightarrow 00:30:49.018$  was conducted about 10 years later.

 $00:30:49.020 \rightarrow 00:30:52.200$  So here the green lines represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}52{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55{.}330$  the participants who did have pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}55{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58{.}479$  Is measured with a widely used pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}30{:}58{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01{.}832$  question and the blue ones are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}01{.}832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04{.}308$  participants that didn't have pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07{.}145$  So if we look at the earlier one first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}07{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}712$  we see that there's not much difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:31:09.712 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.881$  in the prevalence of frequent non

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}11{.}881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13{.}885$  medical cannabis use in the Nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:31:13.885 \rightarrow 00:31:16.011$  arc by whether the participants had

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:31:16.011 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.430$  pain or not and if we look in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

00:31:18.430 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:31:20.301 nice arc three we see that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}20{.}301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22{.}813$  is a difference and that difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}22.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.436$  was actually significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}24{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27{.}056$  And when we looked at whether the overall

 $00:31:27.056 \rightarrow 00:31:29.119$  results differed between the two surveys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}29{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31{.}740$  we found that they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:31:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.230$  So that's frequent use that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:31:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.720$  daily or near daily use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:31:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.596$  And then we did the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}38{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39{.}980$  for cannabis use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}39{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42{.}660$  And here we saw that there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00:31:42.660 \rightarrow 00:31:44.397$  significant difference between those with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93770962875

 $00{:}31{:}44{.}397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47{.}170$  pain and without pain in the earlier study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826

 $00:31:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.580$  There was a greater difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826

 $00:31:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.860$  in the more recent survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826

 $00{:}31{:}55{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58{.}156$  And once again, we found that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826

 $00:31:58.156 \rightarrow 00:32:00.129$  was a significant difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826

 $00{:}32{:}00{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02{.}434$  the surveys with stronger relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826

 $00:32:02.434 \rightarrow 00:32:05.676$  shown in in the more recent study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826

 $00:32:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.815$  which I think a lot more research

- NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826
- $00:32:07.815 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.678$  needs to be done on this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826
- $00{:}32{:}09{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11{.}892$  But it does suggest that having pain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826
- $00:32:11.892 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.614$  is a risk factor for non medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883605826
- $00:32:14.614 \rightarrow 00:32:17.170$  cannabis use and cannabis use disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9313318825
- 00:32:19.250 --> 00:32:21.716 OK, so now I'm going to shift to looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9313318825
- $00{:}32{:}21.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23.967$  at various aspects of changing state
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9313318825
- $00{:}32{:}23.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26.793$  cannabis laws and how they may have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9313318825
- $00:32:26.793 \rightarrow 00:32:29.325$  affected cannabis use cannabis use disorder,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9313318825
- $00:32:29.330 \dashrightarrow 00:32:31.340$  and maybe some other consequences also.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086
- $00:32:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.294$  So we've addressed this in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086
- $00:32:36.294 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.319$  grants that we've had, which we had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086
- $00{:}32{:}39{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}41.617$  one to study this in adolescence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086
- $00{:}32{:}41.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.153$  and we have now the one to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086
- $00{:}32{:}43{.}153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44{.}860$  study it in a dults in the VA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086
- 00:32:44.860 --> 00:32:46.840 We've used a multi level framework,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00:32:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.675$  so we look at the national picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51{.}920$  Then we look at state level factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00{:}32{:}51{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}54{.}320$  and then we're also looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00:32:54.320 \rightarrow 00:32:56.340$  individual level factors as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00{:}32{:}56{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}095$  So the national picture hasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00:32:58.095 \rightarrow 00:33:00.244$  really changed in terms of legality

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00:33:00.244 \rightarrow 00:33:02.585$  for a really long time since 1970,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00:33:02.585 \rightarrow 00:33:05.665$  and marijuana is still right up there with

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00{:}33{:}05.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.496$  heroin as being a schedule one substance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

00:33:08.500 - 00:33:10.474 Which may not make sense to people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00{:}33{:}10{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12{.}560$  but that's the way it's been for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00{:}33{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.856$  and changing these things is in at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00:33:15.856 \rightarrow 00:33:18.907$  federal level is really a huge challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00{:}33{:}18{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21{.}283$  But we do know that other national

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00{:}33{:}21.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.286$  aspects of cannabis use from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925806086

 $00:33:23.286 \dashrightarrow 00:33:25.282$  slides that I've heard you show

 $00:33:25.282 \rightarrow 00:33:28.054$  increasing rates across time of use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}33{:}30.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32.570$  But one factor that has also been changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}33{:}32{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}328$  that can be addressed are state level laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}33{:}35{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}970$  and that's what I'll be talking about more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

00:33:37.970 --> 00:33:41.957 So first we can think about how could more NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:33:41.957 \rightarrow 00:33:45.509$  relaxed cannabis laws affect cannabis use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}33{:}45{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47{.}892$  The medical laws there's there's two

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:33:47.892 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.295$  factors that are commonly assumed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:33:50.295 \rightarrow 00:33:52.155$  the theoretical literature to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:33:52.155 \rightarrow 00:33:54.809$  use of a psychoactive substance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:33:54.810 \rightarrow 00:33:57.568$  One is the desirability of the substance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}33{:}57{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}950$  and one is its availability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

00:33:59.950 --> 00:34:02.755 So medical cannabis laws could

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:02.755 \rightarrow 00:34:04.999$  increase desirability by signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:04.999 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.329$  that cannabis use is safe.

 $00:34:07.330 \rightarrow 00:34:10.200$  And also could increase availability

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}10.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.070$  by dispensaries or home cultivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

00:34:13.070 --> 00:34:15.545 Recreational cannabis laws could also

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:15.545 \rightarrow 00:34:18.990$  convey the idea that cannabis use is safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.710$  It's also no longer illegal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}20{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22{.}467$  so if there's some people that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}22.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.790$  refraining from using cannabis because

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:23.790 \rightarrow 00:34:25.603$  of the fact that it's been illegal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

00:34:25.610 -> 00:34:28.011 if the state law changes they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:28.011 \rightarrow 00:34:30.189$  may change their mind about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}30{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31{.}684$  Certainly commercialization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}31{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34{.}672$  advertising would be permitted if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:34.672 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.435$  state law that was passed allowed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}37{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}560$  There's there's some variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.960$  in what could be allowed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:39.960 \rightarrow 00:34:42.168$  but generally they do permit advertising

 $00:34:42.168 \rightarrow 00:34:45.315$  and all of these things could reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}45{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47{.}455$  disapproval and perceived harmfulness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:47.460 \rightarrow 00:34:50.390$  and obviously increased availability would

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:34:50.390 \rightarrow 00:34:53.776$  be achieved via retail outlets outlets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}53.776$  -->  $00{:}34{:}56.968$  The fact that no medical authorization is

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}34{:}56{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}280$  needed and people can do home cultivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:35:00.280 \dashrightarrow 00:35:02.692$  So I'd like you to look at this map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

00:35:02.700 --> 00:35:04.670 Once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}35{:}04.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.440$  this shows how wides pread the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:35:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.656$  the laws have become.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}35{:}09{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12{.}789$  Cannabis was the very California was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}35{:}12.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15.424$  very first state to legalize medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}35{:}15{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19{.}740$  use back in 1996 and the West Coast

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:35:19.740 \dashrightarrow 00:35:22.840$  states all followed pretty quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}35{:}22{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25{.}560$  The first recreational cannabis

 $00:35:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.685$  law was passed in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}35{:}28.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29.900$  Those two states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:35:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.925$  the two states that passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:35:31.925 \rightarrow 00:35:33.844$  were Colorado and Washington.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}35{:}33{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36{.}798$  There are no states that have leaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00{:}35{:}36{.}798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38{.}720$  right to recreational cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:35:38.720 \rightarrow 00:35:41.558$  use without having a period with

NOTE Confidence: 0.916600198125

 $00:35:41.558 \rightarrow 00:35:43.789$  medical cannabis laws in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:35:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.440$  So I'm going to talk just a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:35:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.242$  bit about some complications to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}35{:}50{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}53{.}060$  studying state medical cannabis laws

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:35:53.060 \dashrightarrow 00:35:55.460$  and recreational cannabis laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:35:55.460 \rightarrow 00:35:58.134$  so of course they're not randomly assigned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:35:58.140 \rightarrow 00:36:01.045$  There are people that talk about studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:01.045 \rightarrow 00:36:05.000$  of these state laws as natural experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:05.000 \rightarrow 00:36:06.520$  but they're really not because

 $00:36:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.736$  they're not randomly assigned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.260$  so that has to get taken into account,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.660$  and also states changed their

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

00:36:11.660 - 00:36:12.780 laws in different years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.556$  so it wasn't like one year we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}36{:}14.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.326$  states with no laws in the next year

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36{:}16.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.969$  we had states with different laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.214$  So the rates have been changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:20.214 \rightarrow 00:36:22.228$  overtime states have been changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:22.228 \rightarrow 00:36:24.928$  their laws in different years overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}36{:}24{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28{.}106$  and it's also the case that when states

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}36{:}28.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.210$  pass the medical or recreational laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

00:36:30.210 --> 00:36:32.094 they may already have higher rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}36{:}32.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.721$  of cannabis use due to other factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}36{:}34{.}721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}465$  like socio demographic characteristics

 $00:36:36.465 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.632$  of their population or attitudes

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}36{:}38{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39{.}820$  and their population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.890$  So we need study designs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.270$  will address these issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.940$  If we can do any better than just

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.720$  looking at association of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}36{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.128$  State law with the risk of use which

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:52.128 \rightarrow 00:36:54.856$  associations are not necessarily don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:36:54.856 \rightarrow 00:36:58.444$  necessarily tell us anything about causation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}094$  So a common analytic strategy that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01{.}917$  been used in most of the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}37{:}01{.}917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}923$  I'm going to show you is it's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:37:03.923 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.467$  difference in difference tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}37{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07{.}470$  and So what these tests look at is

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}37{:}07{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09{.}329$  does the average change in states

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:37:09.329 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.279$  before and after that change in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00:37:11.344 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.504$  a law differ from the average
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00:37:13.504 \rightarrow 00:37:14.944$  contemporaneous change in states
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00:37:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.110$  that don't change their laws?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00{:}37{:}18{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21{.}550$  And so we can see based on the fact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00:37:21.550 \rightarrow 00:37:23.430$  that use has been changing over time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00{:}37{:}23.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.542$  In adults it if we look at states
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00:37:25.542 \rightarrow 00:37:27.354$  that don't change their laws and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00:37:27.354 \rightarrow 00:37:29.610$  consider them a can a control group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00{:}37{:}29.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.458$  you would see a trend overtime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00{:}37{:}31{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33{.}916$  But there's no law that changed in those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- 00:37:33.916 --> 00:37:37.026 states. So it's just a solid line.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00{:}37{:}37{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39{.}398$  And on top we have the states that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00:37:39.398 \dashrightarrow 00:37:41.379$  did change their laws overtime.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00{:}37{:}41{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43{.}606$  So if the laws had no effect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143
- $00{:}37{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.650$  what you would see is a parallel trend
- NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:37:46.650 \rightarrow 00:37:49.418$  from the left part to the right part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:37:49.420 \rightarrow 00:37:52.678$  As shown by the extension in the dashed line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:37:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.633$  But if there is an effect of the laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:37:54.640 \rightarrow 00:37:57.208$  you would see that by having a break

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}37{:}57{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00{.}002$  in that trend on average with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}708$  a greater change overtime in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:02.708 \dashrightarrow 00:38:05.280$  states that changed their laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:05.280 \rightarrow 00:38:07.974$  So adolescents are a particular concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}07{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11{.}198$  because we know that cannabis use

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

00:38:11.198 --> 00:38:13.894 may permanently impair neurocognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}13{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14{.}568$  functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}14{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17{.}012$  Questions about that are being addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

00:38:17.012 --> 00:38:19.788 at this point in the ABCD study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.560$  but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:21.560 \rightarrow 00:38:23.280$  With Hnyda funded several studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.601$  a few years back to specifically

 $00:38:25.601 \rightarrow 00:38:28.236$  investigate the relationship of changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}28{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30{.}670$  cannabis laws to adolescent use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}30{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33{.}698$  So we published an early paper Melanie

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

00:38:33.698 --> 00:38:35.486 Wallace, a statistician in our group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}35{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38{.}558$  and she took the lead on this paper where

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}38{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41{.}764$  we looked at the relationship of cannabis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.290$  the differences in prevalence of youth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.278$  use of cannabis in states with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}47{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49{.}580$  Medical cannabis laws and states

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.065$  without them and here are the rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00{:}38{:}52.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.814$  in the non non medical cannabis law

NOTE Confidence: 0.937942647857143

 $00:38:54.814 \dashrightarrow 00:38:57.520$  states across several years of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938539275666666

 $00{:}38{:}57{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}249$  And here are the rates in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938539275666666

 $00{:}38{:}59{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01{.}020$  states that did have them so you

NOTE Confidence: 0.938539275666666

 $00{:}39{:}01{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02{.}803$  can see that on average the rates

00:39:02.803 - > 00:39:04.728 are higher in the states that did

NOTE Confidence: 0.938539275666666

 $00{:}39{:}04.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07.148$  have these laws and the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.938539275666666

 $00:39:07.148 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.016$  are all statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938539275666666

 $00:39:09.020 \rightarrow 00:39:11.064$  But as Melanie wrote in the paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938539275666666

 $00{:}39{:}11.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.878$  the cause was unclear because association

NOTE Confidence: 0.938539275666666

 $00:39:13.878 \rightarrow 00:39:15.750$  doesn't necessarily show causality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00:39:18.020 \rightarrow 00:39:19.819$  And then we were funded by NAIDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00:39:19.819 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.605$  to use monitoring the future data

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00:39:21.605 \dashrightarrow 00:39:23.200$  to examine this using difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00:39:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.460$  in difference approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00{:}39{:}24.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.532$  So we started here by just looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00:39:27.532 \rightarrow 00:39:30.310$  the simple associations once again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00{:}39{:}30{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32{.}648$  We see here that this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00:39:32.648 \rightarrow 00:39:34.350$  difference between the ever States

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00:39:34.350 \rightarrow 00:39:36.548$  and the NEVER States and we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571

 $00:39:36.548 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.092$  that the rates are lower in the net

- NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571
- $00{:}39{:}39{.}092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40{.}488$  than ever states significantly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899525911428571
- $00:39:40.488 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.360$  So in most cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:39:44.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.682$  But when we did, the difference
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:39:46.682 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.420$  in difference look across time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:39:48.420 \rightarrow 00:39:51.852$  We didn't show that rates were higher after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:39:51.852 \rightarrow 00:39:54.227$  passage of the medical cannabis laws.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:39:54.227 \rightarrow 00:39:57.490$  So you can see here that there's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:39:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.626$  no evidence of increases in any of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}40{:}00{.}626$  -->  $00{:}40{:}03{.}198$  groups combined or separated by age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:40:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.256$  In fact, there was a decrease in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}40{:}05{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06{.}929$  eighth graders that we didn't expect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}40{:}06{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08{.}985$  but we interrogated that result
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:40:08.985 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.629$  quite a bit statistically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:40:10.630 \rightarrow 00:40:11.904$  and we couldn't make it go away.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:40:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.004$  So it seemed to be pretty robust.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:40:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.383$  And this is this is the result

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}17.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.696$  that we got in this very large data

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}20.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.911$  set from monitoring the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}22{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25{.}928$  So we did this study and then many

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

00:40:25.928 --> 00:40:29.054 other people did studies of the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

00:40:29.054 --> 00:40:31.820 issue using monitoring the future data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}31.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.008$  And other datasets too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:40:33.008 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.493$  As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}34{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37{.}220$  than is due and and others as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}37{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39{.}537$  So errands are vet who was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:40:39.537 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.320$  our group at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:40:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.990$  did a meta analysis of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}43.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.770$  different studies and showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}45{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47{.}680$  aggregating across all the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:40:47.680 \rightarrow 00:40:49.900$  There was really no post passage

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:40:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.040$  increase in cannabis use in teens.

 $00:40:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.516$  Instead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}52.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.848$  in studies of them that used appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}40{:}55.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.169$  difference in difference tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

00:40:58.170 --> 00:41:00.306 And a paper was recently published

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}41{:}00{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03{.}042$  that extended this by a couple of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}41{:}03.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.415$  years and also looked at whether the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}41{:}05{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07{.}590$  states permitted dispensaries or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}41{:}07{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09{.}802$  and when and whether that made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:41:09.802 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.548$  difference and what we see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:41:11.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.990$  These odds reissues are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:41:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.142$  very close to one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

00:41:14.150 --> 00:41:16.628 and none of them are greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}41{:}16.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18.867$  one that indicates once again that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}41{:}18.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.075$  the laws didn't were not associated NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:41:21.075 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.683$  or not predictive of increases in

- $00:41:23.683 \rightarrow 00:41:26.342$  marijuana use across the youth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:41:26.342 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.370$  In this study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- 00:41:28.370 --> 00:41:29.519 So in summary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:41:29.519 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.200$  it doesn't look like the laws have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}41{:}32.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34.389$  encouraged greater use of cannabis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:41:34.389 \rightarrow 00:41:37.829$  in in people in the adolescent ages.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:41:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.480$  But what about in adults?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}41{:}39{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41{.}895$  So let's take a look at what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}41{:}41{.}895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43{.}370$  that picture looks like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:41:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.604$  So this is a study cross sectional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}41{:}47{.}604$  -->  $00{:}41{:}50{.}126$  association study that we did when we NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:41:50.126 \rightarrow 00:41:52.250$  first started examining the picture, NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90075294
- $00{:}41{:}52{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55{.}306$  and we see that in non MCL States
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- 00:41:55.306 --> 00:41:58.398 and MCL states the rates were higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}41{:}58{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01{.}267$  in the MCL States and they were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00:42:01.267 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.262$  significantly so controlling for a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294
- $00{:}42{:}03.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.530$  whole bunch of different factors.

 $00:42:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.834$  There was a study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:42:06.834 \rightarrow 00:42:09.195$  an early study that was done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}09{.}195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11{.}193$  these authors using Miss Do data

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:42:11.193 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.787$  that I think is worth pointing out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}13.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.886$  And this is use of cannabis across time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:42:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.928$  so the the vertical bar in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:42:19.928 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.709$  middle is the date when the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

00:42:22.710 --> 00:42:25.780 Law was passed in that state and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}25{.}780$  -->  $00{:}42{:}28{.}535$  the left is looking before passage and NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}28.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.370$  to the right is looking after passage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}31{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34{.}010$  So there were no significant increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.093$  in these states on average in a dult

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}37{.}093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39{.}565$  use before passage of the laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}39{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41{.}874$  But there was an increase after

 $00:42:41.874 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.694$  passage of the law and that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

00:42:44.694 --> 00:42:47.094 increase was maintained and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}47.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.101$  in use past month cannabis use and NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00:42:50.101 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.807$  then the authors also looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}52{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55{.}324$  Posed the same question for DSM

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}55{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}748$  four cannabis use disorder and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.90673294

 $00{:}42{:}57.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.107$  did this for using the same year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}43{:}00{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02{.}675$  The law was passed and then using one in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

00:43:02.675 --> 00:43:05.132 two year lagged analysis on the grounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:43:05.132 \rightarrow 00:43:08.158$  that it could take a year or two for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}43{:}08{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10{.}105$  people to develop cannabis use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:43:10.105 \rightarrow 00:43:13.185$  If if they've started using it and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:43:13.185 \rightarrow 00:43:16.090$  found that using these lagged analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

00:43:16.090 --> 00:43:18.540 that medical cannabis effects medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}43{:}18.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.088$  cannabis law effects were also found

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}43{:}21.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.420$  in a dults for cannabis use. Disorder.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}43{:}25{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28{.}870$  So working with Silvia Martins in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- 00:43:28.870 -> 00:43:32.889 our group at Columbia, we looked at.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}43{:}32{.}889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35{.}754$  We used this do data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:43:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.231$  updating it by a couple of years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}43{:}38{.}231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}554$  and also looking across age groups
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:43:40.554 \rightarrow 00:43:42.534$  because of the age groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:43:42.540 \rightarrow 00:43:44.105$  the differences that were becoming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934041450666667
- $00:43:44.105 \rightarrow 00:43:46.040$  pretty well known by that point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}43{:}46.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.030$  So what we see here is that looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:43:49.030 \rightarrow 00:43:51.900$  at the prevalence and non medical law
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:43:51.983 \rightarrow 00:43:54.725$  States and medical law states that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}43{:}54{.}725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57{.}560$  there are no significant differences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:43:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.704$  By by the status of the law in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}44{:}00{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03{.}856$  the youth or in the young adults,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:44:03.860 \rightarrow 00:44:05.876$  but with the difference in difference tests,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:05.880 \rightarrow 00:44:08.400$  we see that there were differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.050$  in the adults across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:11.050 \rightarrow 00:44:13.475$  adult ages 26 and older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:13.480 \rightarrow 00:44:16.016$  and breaking it down by specific age groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}44{:}16.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.900$  We see that this finding holds across all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:18.900 \rightarrow 00:44:22.800$  these different age groups in adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}44{:}22.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.056$  So this was using this new data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:25.056 \rightarrow 00:44:27.436$  that went back as far as 2004 to 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934041450666667

 $00{:}44{:}27{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30{.}406$  One of the things I wanted to do was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}44{:}30{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32{.}828$  be able to look across a greater span

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

00:44:32.828 --> 00:44:35.299 of time because I was interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:35.299 \rightarrow 00:44:38.320$  seeing what would happen if we looked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}44{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40{.}712$  If we had survey data that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}44{:}40{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43{.}180$  used that that existed before any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:43.180 \rightarrow 00:44:45.390$  these medical laws had been passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:44:45.390 \rightarrow 00:44:47.777$  and see what that would look like.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}44{:}47.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49.715$  So the nice arc and the nice arc three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:44:49.715 \rightarrow 00:44:51.386$  I've already talked to you about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:44:51.390 \rightarrow 00:44:53.595$  There was an earlier study about 10
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:44:53.595 \rightarrow 00:44:55.999$  years earlier that used the same substance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}44{:}56.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57.875$  use and substance use disorder
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}44{:}57{.}875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00{.}270$  measures in the same sample design.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- 00:45:00.270 --> 00:45:01.890 Pretty similar sample design,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}45{:}01{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}952$  so the end lays the National longitudinal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}45{:}04{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06{.}940$  alcohol and associated disorders.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}45{:}06{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09{.}985$  I don't even remember the acronym anywhere.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- 00:45:09.990 --> 00:45:12.700 Study was conducted in 1991, nineteen 92,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}45{:}12.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.115$  and that was a point when there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}45{:}15{.}115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17{.}290$  were no medical cannabis laws.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- 00:45:17.290 --> 00:45:18.556 As I mentioned,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}45{:}18.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.244$  cannabis California passed their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}20{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23{.}244$  first law in 1996 and then in the Nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}23{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25{.}807$  ARC at the time that that was done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}25{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27{.}808$  there were several states that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

00:45:27.808 --> 00:45:30.465 passed their laws and in the Nice arc

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}30{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32{.}660$  three there were several more states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:45:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.243$  So what we did was we looked in the NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}262$  earlier period and then we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:45:37.262 \rightarrow 00:45:39.776$  in the later period and in this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

00:45:39.776 --> 00:45:41.540 a few additional figures I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}41{.}601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43{.}809$  to show you the red lines are always

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

00:45:43.809 --> 00:45:45.766 the states that didn't change their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}45{.}766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47{.}776$  laws or pass a medical marijuana

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}47.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.086$  or medical cannabis law during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00{:}45{:}51.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.739$  period under consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:45:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.032$  And California we pulled out because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667

 $00:45:55.032 \rightarrow 00:45:56.943$  it always behaved differently from

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:45:56.943 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.900$  the other states,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:45:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.175$  so we can see thinking back to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}46{:}00{.}175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02{.}249$  this earlier period that may seem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:46:02.249 \rightarrow 00:46:03.959$  kind of foreign now because,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00{:}46{:}03.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.731$  but it was a period when cannabis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:46:05.731 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.820$  use was going down.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- 00:46:06.820 --> 00:46:09.252 Actually not going up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9340414506666667
- $00:46:09.252 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.900$  we saw parallel decreases in California
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}46{:}12.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.836$  and the states that didn't change their laws,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- 00:46:15.840 --> 00:46:18.688 but in the five states that did change
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- 00:46:18.688 --> 00:46:22.162 their laws between 1991 ninety two to 2001,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}46{:}22.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.766$  2002, there was a significant significant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}46{:}24.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.178$  Increase in use and we saw the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}46{:}28.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.218$  thing for cannabis use disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:46:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.640$  In the more recent period,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:46:32.640 \rightarrow 00:46:34.218$  there were more things going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:46:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.260$  so this is a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:46:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.280$  more complicated slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:46:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.016$  But once again, the red bar here shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:46:40.016 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.595$  you the states that didn't change

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:46:42.595 \rightarrow 00:46:45.319$  their laws during this time period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}46{:}45{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47{.}540$  The gold bar shows the states

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:46:47.540 \rightarrow 00:46:49.600$  that were late passing states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

00:46:49.600 --> 00:46:51.988 Nine states passed medical cannabis laws

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}46{:}51{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54{.}758$  between the Nice arc and the Nice arc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}46{:}54{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56{.}937$  Three this is where they started out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

00:46:56.940 --> 00:46:58.956 This is where they ended up and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}46{:}58{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}988$  can see that the rate of increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:47:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.111$  if you will was faster in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}47{:}03.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.168$  states that did pass those laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:47:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.386$  This is what happened with California.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:47:08.390 \rightarrow 00:47:10.350$  And this is what happened with Colorado,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}47{:}10.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.420$  which ended up at the top of the pack.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- 00:47:12.420 --> 00:47:12.774 Actually,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:47:12.774 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.544$  even though it started out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:47:14.544 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.960$  pretty close to the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}47{:}15{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18{.}552$  The other states at the beginning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:47:18.552 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.280$  of the time period.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:47:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.664$  And this is what we got when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}47{:}21.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.039$  look at cannabis use disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}47{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.930$  The number of participants with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- 00:47:24.930 --> 00:47:26.820 cannabis use disorder was lower,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00{:}47{:}26.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.028$  so it was harder to achieve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:47:29.028 \rightarrow 00:47:30.132$  statistically significant results.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:47:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.384$  But we see something pretty similar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263
- $00:47:32.384 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.819$  in terms of the patterns for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:47:34.819 \rightarrow 00:47:36.979$  never changing their laws during

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}47{:}36{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39{.}529$  the period to the late states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}47{:}39{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42{.}378$  The changes for California.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:47:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.370$  And then changes for Colorado.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:47:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.870$  So this suggested that something

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:47:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.370$  was going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}47{:}48.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.626$  Putting this together with the news

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:47:50.626 \rightarrow 00:47:52.915$  do findings and suggested that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

00:47:52.915 --> 00:47:54.705 medical cannabis laws were affecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00{:}47{:}54.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.222$  the risk for cannabis use and cannabis NOTE Confidence: 0.96220263

 $00:47:57.222 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.770$  use disorders in adults 18 and older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}48{:}02{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}216$  So I'm going to turn now to recreational NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:48:05.216 \rightarrow 00:48:07.500$  cannabis use and the laws permitting it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}48{:}07{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11{.}260$  so the benefits of these laws are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:48:11.260 \rightarrow 00:48:13.060$  there are people that really genuinely

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:48:13.060 \rightarrow 00:48:14.586$  believe that they're important benefits

- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- 00:48:14.586 --> 00:48:16.870 to be gained and these these are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- 00:48:16.870 --> 00:48:18.697 You can't really question these too much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- 00:48:18.700 --> 00:48:20.610 OK, to eliminate unfair racially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00:48:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.820$  based arrests, that's for sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- 00:48:22.820 --> 00:48:25.220 And also to generate jobs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00{:}48{:}25{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27{.}135$  business income tax revenues and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00:48:27.135 \rightarrow 00:48:29.859$  also to give voters what they want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00:48:29.859 \rightarrow 00:48:32.073$  when they're passed by the ballot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00{:}48{:}32.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.940$  Expectations amongst people that have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00{:}48{:}33{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}36{.}854$  been looking at this area for a long time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00:48:36.854 \rightarrow 00:48:39.228$  is that it will expand the pool of users.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00{:}48{:}39{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42{.}605$  These laws there where there's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00{:}48{:}42.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.462$  commercialization permitted that there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00{:}48{:}44{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46{.}470$  will be people in there that will be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00{:}48{:}46{.}523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48{.}365$  very interested in expanding the pool
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:48:48.365 \rightarrow 00:48:51.830$  of users and the laws if they permit

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}48{:}51{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}000$  it will increase commercialization's

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

00:48:54.000 -> 00:48:55.480 more availability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:48:55.480 \rightarrow 00:48:56.960$  more advertising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}48{:}56{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00{.}300$  potentially affecting desirability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:00.300 \rightarrow 00:49:03.380$  possible kind of sneaky efforts to attract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}03{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05{.}780$  Underage users and this could

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.790$  potentially lead to a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}08{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12{.}590$  adverse outcomes shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.250$  So studies on the effects of recreational

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:16.250 \rightarrow 00:49:18.508$  cannabis laws are really just getting going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:18.510 \rightarrow 00:49:21.948$  This is a paper that we did with magnet

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}21{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25{.}370$  Serta who now is at NYU and we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}25{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27{.}245$  We use difference in difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}27.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.055$  tests to look at the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}30.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.637$  recreational cannabis laws across

- NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159
- $00:49:31.637 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.416$  the ages and what you see here.

 $00:49:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.533$  The odds ratios,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:35.533 \rightarrow 00:49:38.560$  the adjusted odds ratios that are in bold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.348$  or the ones that are significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.946$  There's one there for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

00:49:41.946 --> 00:49:43.542 Cannabis use disorder in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:43.542 \rightarrow 00:49:45.080$  the youngest age group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}45.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.032$  but when we did sensitivity analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}48.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51.170$  it they suggested that this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

00:49:51.170 --> 00:49:53.338 due to unmeasured confounding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.860$  so we took more seriously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}49{:}54{.}860$  -->  $00{:}49{:}58{.}316$  The findings in the 26 plus age group which

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:49:58.316 \rightarrow 00:50:01.576$  were robust to this type of confounding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}50{:}01{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04{.}580$  and you see that for any cannabis use

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:50:04.580 \rightarrow 00:50:07.360$  frequent use and cannabis use disorder.

 $00:50:07.360 \rightarrow 00:50:10.288$  The risk was increased after passage

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}50{:}10.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12.240$  of the recreational cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00{:}50{:}12.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.278$  Laws that were in existence at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.948873159

 $00:50:15.280 \rightarrow 00:50:17.590$  years encompassed by the survey data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}50{:}19{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23{.}192$  OK, so some of the last data that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:50:23.192 \rightarrow 00:50:26.046$  going to show you here pertains to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}50{:}26.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.950$  MCLR CL in the use of potent products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32{.}686$  So if we wait for a national survey data

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:50:32.686 \rightarrow 00:50:35.410$  to give us the information for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:50:35.410 \rightarrow 00:50:38.128$  we may have to wait really a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

00:50:38.130 --> 00:50:40.340 And sometimes there's emerging important

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}50{:}40{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44{.}127$  issues that just need more rapid data to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}50{:}44{.}127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46{.}383$  collected that can answer the questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}50{:}46{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48{.}640$  So sometimes collection of that data

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:50:48.640 \rightarrow 00:50:50.140$  is actually pretty straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:50:50.140 \rightarrow 00:50:52.918$  Although there can be caveats raged

 $00:50:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.412$  raised about generalizability and one such

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:50:56.412 \rightarrow 00:51:00.419$  method is online social media survey data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.330$  So on on in work for a project that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:04.430 \rightarrow 00:51:08.539$  doing with Alan Budney who's at Dartmouth

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}51{:}08{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12{.}116$  we're doing work to try to develop a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

00:51:12.120 --> 00:51:15.138 more accurate measure of cannabis exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}51{:}15{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17{.}254$  cannabis use and as part of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:17.254 \rightarrow 00:51:19.271$  we're analyzing a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:19.271 \rightarrow 00:51:21.721$  datasets as well as creating a measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:21.786 \rightarrow 00:51:23.900$  that we're going to be testing out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}51{:}23{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26{.}196$  So one of the datasets that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}51{:}26.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.312$  analyzed is from a social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:28.312 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.569$  survey that was conducted in 2017.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}503$  And this was reaching out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:33.503 \rightarrow 00:51:35.321$  people via Facebook advertising's.

 $00:51:35.321 \rightarrow 00:51:37.778$  It's a very inexpensive way to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:37.778 \rightarrow 00:51:40.307$  a very large sample pretty quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.166$  and so this survey included over

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

00:51:43.166 --> 00:51:46.410 4000 adults in 50 states in DC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}51{:}46{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48{.}202$  and we use the data from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:48.202 \rightarrow 00:51:50.306$  study to look at the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:50.306 \rightarrow 00:51:52.006$  of recreational cannabis laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:52.010 \rightarrow 00:51:53.243$  medical cannabis laws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}51{:}53{.}243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56{.}058$  two states without these laws in 2017

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:51:56.058 \rightarrow 00:51:58.494$  to the use of highly potent cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}51{:}58{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01{.}217$  products with concentrates that I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.926$  Earlier, so this is association not

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:03.926 \rightarrow 00:52:06.520$  difference in difference on causality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}06{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08{.}560$  But there isn't so much information

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.580$  about this topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.785$  so we wanted to add to it.

 $00:52:11.790 \rightarrow 00:52:14.268$  So, descriptively, this is what we got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}14.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.105$  We looked across the know

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

00:52:16.105 --> 00:52:17.206 cannabis law states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

00:52:17.210 --> 00:52:19.681 MCL States and RCL States and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:19.681 \rightarrow 00:52:22.590$  see a pretty regular increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}22{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25{.}360$  the proportion of participants that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:25.360 \rightarrow 00:52:28.130$  use the potent cannabis products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:28.130 \rightarrow 00:52:30.265$  And when we subjected this to analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:30.270 \rightarrow 00:52:32.466$  controlling for a lot of individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:32.466 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.640$  level and state level variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:34.640 \rightarrow 00:52:37.280$  we found that recreational laws compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}37{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}458$  to states without such laws was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}40{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42{.}246$  significant significantly increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}42.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44.815$  risk of using the concentrates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:44.815 \rightarrow 00:52:48.025$  when we did a three level analysis we

 $00{:}52{:}48.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.115$  saw that both recreational and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}51{.}115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53{.}754$  cannabis laws increase the risk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:53.754 \rightarrow 00:52:55.942$  that the the resident difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:52:55.942 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.210$  the recreational and medical cannabis law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}52{:}58{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}628$  Effects where working with data now

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:53:00.628 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.591$  to look at this in a survey that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:53:03.591 \rightarrow 00:53:06.430$  was that was just conducted in 2021,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:53:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.150$  but I don't have the data yet in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}53{:}09{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.876$  a form that can be presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:53:11.880 \rightarrow 00:53:15.940$  So to summarize, teen Cannabis users siudi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}53{:}15{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18{.}250$  We haven't seen any evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}53{:}18.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.405$  substantial national increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:53:19.405 \rightarrow 00:53:21.220$  in the last several years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00{:}53{:}21.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.280$  despite the fact that perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:53:23.280 \rightarrow 00:53:25.900$  risk has decreased in young people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286

 $00:53:25.900 \dashrightarrow 00:53:28.020$  And there's been this proliferation

- NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286
- $00:53:28.020 \rightarrow 00:53:31.122$  of states that have legalized use for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286
- $00:53:31.122 \rightarrow 00:53:32.950$  medical and recreational purposes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286
- $00:53:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.896$  We see that use is higher in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286
- $00:53:34.896 \rightarrow 00:53:36.779$  states that ever pass these laws,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286
- $00{:}53{:}36{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39{.}468$  and the lack of pre post differences in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903899240714286
- $00{:}53{:}39{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42{.}456$  when the you know in passage of the laws.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00{:}53{:}42.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.628$  Suggest that cannabis legalization
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- 00:53:44.628 --> 00:53:46.888 is not encouraging adolescent use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:53:46.888 \rightarrow 00:53:49.400$  but of course for a topic like this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:53:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.182$  Continued research and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:53:51.182 \rightarrow 00:53:53.558$  monitoring is very important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:53:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.112$  What about adults? Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00{:}53{:}55{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58{.}535$  I think we've seen that cannabis use is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:53:58.535 \rightarrow 00:54:00.579$  associated with considerable problems,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- 00:54:00.580 --> 00:54:01.801 impairment and comorbidity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:54:01.801 \rightarrow 00:54:05.122$  I'd like to emphasize again that the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}05{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07{.}528$  of cannabis use disorder amongst cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:54:07.528 \rightarrow 00:54:10.199$  users is greater than commonly assumed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:54:10.200 \rightarrow 00:54:12.000$  and there's very abundant evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

00:54:12.000 -> 00:54:13.800 that the prevalence of cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}13.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.764$  use and cannabis use disorder have

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}15.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.360$  increased in 2000 since 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

00:54:17.360 --> 00:54:20.428 In EU. S. Adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:54:20.430 \rightarrow 00:54:23.688$  In terms of the cannabis legalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}23.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.602$  I haven't talked about this at all in

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:54:25.602 \rightarrow 00:54:27.705$  this talk because it would take too long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}27{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30{.}085$  but there's been suggestions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}30.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.985$  legalizing cannabis might solve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}31{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}35{.}175$  The opioid crisis based on ecological levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}35{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}850$  Studies that are that are somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:54:37.850 \rightarrow 00:54:40.627$  flawed for addressing the question in

 $00:54:40.627 \rightarrow 00:54:43.854$  individual level studies of those with pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

00:54:43.860 --> 00:54:46.020 cannabis users are actually more

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:54:46.020 \rightarrow 00:54:48.852$  likely to use opioids, not less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:54:48.852 \rightarrow 00:54:51.056$  Non medical cannabis users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}51{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53{.}228$  But we see from the data that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}53.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.825$  available that the state medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}54{.}825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}823$  cannabis laws increase the risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}56{.}823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}702$  adult cannabis use and cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}54{:}58.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.562$  use disorders and the state

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:00.562 \rightarrow 00:55:02.200$  recreational laws do as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:02.200 \rightarrow 00:55:04.460$  We don't have as much data yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:04.460 \rightarrow 00:55:06.555$  so obviously more information is

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:06.555 \rightarrow 00:55:09.070$  needed and we are actually working

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}09{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11{.}790$  on that with the VA data right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:11.790 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.214$  So I'm going to end with

 $00:55:14.214 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.830$  this slide on implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

00:55:15.830 --> 00:55:16.392 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:16.392 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.078$  I began working in this area

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:18.078 \rightarrow 00:55:20.328$  with a public health perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}20{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23{.}263$  which is to provide information about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}23{.}263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26{.}410$  effects of these laws and what they might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:26.410 \dashrightarrow 00:55:28.307$  You know what they might be doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:28.307 \rightarrow 00:55:30.569$  in terms of public health problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}30{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33{.}386$  So we have already the the status that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}33{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35{.}962$  37 states have passed the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}35{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38{.}202$  laws 18 have recreational laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}38{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39{.}920$  Many more states are considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.630$  these laws at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}41.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.694$  and it doesn't seem that considerations

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}55{:}43.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.879$  such as cannabis use disorder are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:55:45.880 \rightarrow 00:55:46.357$  Really,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- 00:55:46.357 --> 00:55:49.219 a high priority in the debates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:55:49.219 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.510$  about Legalization II.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:55:50.510 \rightarrow 00:55:53.150$  Don't hear them being discussed much at all.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:55:53.150 \rightarrow 00:55:55.702$  But I will say coming back to where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00{:}55{:}55{.}702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58{.}360$  we started that there have been other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:55:58.360 \rightarrow 00:56:01.070$  long term shifts in substance attitudes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:56:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.108$  policies and prevalence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- 00:56:02.108 00:56:03.838 It's part of American life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00{:}56{:}03.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.874$  Thinking back to what I talked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:56:05.874 \rightarrow 00:56:08.206$  about at the beginning in terms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:56:08.206 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.012$  state level shifts from the best
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00{:}56{:}10.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.485$  of intentions and the minimum legal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:56:12.485 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.327$  drinking age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00{:}56{:}13.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.397$  But then the role of evidence in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714
- $00:56:17.397 \rightarrow 00:56:18.559$  shifting those,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:56:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.206$  the changes in the drinking age

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}21.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.929$  back to age 21 to avoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:56:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.710$  The problems that occurred by

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:56:25.710 \rightarrow 00:56:26.778$  lowering the age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}26{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29{.}093$  so I sort of see my function at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}29{.}093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31{.}355$  point with this type of research as

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}31{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33{.}149$  serving a public health function

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:56:33.149 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.753$  to continue to monitor and provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}35{.}753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37{.}441$  information to policy makers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:56:37.441 \rightarrow 00:56:39.346$  provide medical and health providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}39{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40{.}870$  and to the public,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:56:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.820$  it can ultimately inform policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}43.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.590$  and protect health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}45{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}47{.}759$  And with that I think I'm out of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00{:}56{:}47.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.048$  and I'll stop and I thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.829244584285714

 $00:56:50.048 \rightarrow 00:56:51.957$  much for inviting me to speak here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76288599 00:56:54.170 --> 00:56:55.790 Thank you so much Debbie. NOTE Confidence: 0.76288599 00:56:55.790 --> 00:56:58.998 That was terrific. There are some.