WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:13:11"

NOTE recognizability:0.790

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.438 For those of you who don't know me, I'm Mike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:02.438 --> 00:00:04.986 Norco in the law and psychiatry division,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:04.990 --> 00:00:07.118 and it is my great pleasure today

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:07.118 --> 00:00:09.829 to be able to introduce our speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:09.830 --> 00:00:11.735 Doctor Richard Rogers is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:11.735 --> 00:00:13.259 Regents professor of psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:13.259 --> 00:00:15.587 at the University of North Texas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:15.590 --> 00:00:18.238 He is with us this semester as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:18.238 --> 00:00:19.842 visiting professor at the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:19.842 --> 00:00:21.594 School of Medicine in the law

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:21.594 --> 00:00:23.768 and Psychiatry division at CMHC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:23.770 --> 00:00:25.598 His past academic appointments

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:25.598 --> 00:00:27.883 include the section on psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

1

00:00:27.883 --> 00:00:30.913 and law at Rush University and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:30.913 --> 00:00:32.581 Division of Forensic Psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:32.649 --> 00:00:34.599 at the University of Toronto.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:34.600 --> 00:00:36.622 Doctor Rogers earned a Bachelor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:36.622 --> 00:00:38.485 Science in Education and English

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:38.485 --> 00:00:40.770 Literature from Worcester State College,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:40.770 --> 00:00:42.945 a Masters in counseling psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:42.945 --> 00:00:45.378 from Assumption College, and his pH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:45.378 --> 00:00:47.258 D from Utah State University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:47.260 --> 00:00:49.591 He is a diplomat of the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:49.591 --> 00:00:51.669 Board of Forensic Psychology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:51.669 --> 00:00:53.693 is an internationally renowned

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:53.693 --> 00:00:55.717 expert in forensic psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:55.720 --> 00:00:58.163 He is one of the most influential

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:58.163 --> 00:00:59.940 scholars in forensic psychology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:00:59.940 --> 00:01:02.970 psychiatry with an H index of 81 and I-10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:02.970 --> 00:01:05.019 Index of 254.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:05.019 --> 00:01:08.561 Doctor Rogers has 229 peer reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:08.561 --> 00:01:11.699 publications going back to 1975 and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:11.699 --> 00:01:15.620 the author of 65 books and book chapters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:15.620 --> 00:01:17.900 He has won numerous prestigious awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:17.900 --> 00:01:20.861 including the Amicus Award from the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:20.861 --> 00:01:23.348 Academy of Psychiatry and the Law Apple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:23.350 --> 00:01:25.240 for distinguished contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:25.240 --> 00:01:27.130 to forensic psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:27.130 --> 00:01:29.176 The Manfred Gumaca reward of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:29.176 --> 00:01:31.106 Apea and Apple for outstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:31.106 --> 00:01:33.551 contribution to the forensic literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:33.551 --> 00:01:36.114 for his book clinical assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:36.114 --> 00:01:38.258 of malingering and deception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:38.260 --> 00:01:40.050 And the Applied Research Award

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:40.050 --> 00:01:42.977 and the Public Policy award of the
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:42.977 --> 00:01:44.588 American Psychology Association
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:44.588 --> 00:01:46.736 for his distinguished professional
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:46.736 --> 00:01:48.138 contributions to the field.
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:48.140 --> 00:01:50.570 Only the third psychologist ever
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:50.570 --> 00:01:52.514 to win both awards,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:52.520 --> 00:01:54.795 Doctor Rogers is a pioneer in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:54.795 --> 00:01:56.458 rigorous validation of forensic
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:56.458 --> 00:01:57.698 assessment instruments.
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:57.700 --> 00:01:59.800 He is best known for his validation
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:01:59.800 --> 00:02:01.640 of the structured interview of
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:01.640 --> 00:02:03.650 reported symptoms, the service,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:03.650 --> 00:02:05.910 and the Service 2.
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:05.910 --> 00:02:08.538 He has recently completed a decade
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:08.538 --> 00:02:11.419 of NSF funded research on Miranda
NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:11.419 --> 00:02:12.988 warnings and reasoning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:12.990 --> 00:02:13.269 Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:13.269 --> 00:02:14.943 Rogers has presented all over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:14.943 --> 00:02:17.265 world on a variety of topics related

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:17.265 --> 00:02:19.395 to forensic psychology and the law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:19.400 --> 00:02:21.232 especially malingering and fainting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:21.232 --> 00:02:24.626 and his recent work on jury deception

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:24.626 --> 00:02:27.486 and understanding of Miranda warnings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:27.490 --> 00:02:29.632 **** has been with us in Lawrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:29.632 --> 00:02:31.212 Psychiatry since early September and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:31.212 --> 00:02:33.333 we have all profited from his talks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:33.340 --> 00:02:34.924 insights and suggestions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:34.924 --> 00:02:37.564 especially to our research groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:37.564 --> 00:02:38.620 and trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:38.620 --> 00:02:40.576 We are looking forward to his

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:40.576 --> 00:02:42.323 presentation today on clinical advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.93092537375

00:02:42.323 --> 00:02:44.228 in the assessment of malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926862247777778

00:02:49.630 --> 00:02:53.046 Thank you so much for such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.926862247777778

00:02:53.046 --> 00:02:55.520 wonderful introduction. It's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.926862247777778

00:02:55.520 --> 00:02:56.976 really a great pleasure to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.920973508

00:02:56.990 --> 00:02:58.360 for the last several months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64273572

00:03:00.810 --> 00:03:01.590 I have no trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.806451948

00:03:01.600 --> 00:03:03.690 to move my slide forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878957832

00:03:07.850 --> 00:03:08.720 I'll do it this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8181291125

00:03:11.010 --> 00:03:13.732 This is actually the Today is the day we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8181291125

00:03:13.732 --> 00:03:16.476 are completing review all my in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.8181291125

00:03:16.476 --> 00:03:19.297 residents as we might describe it in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8181291125

00:03:19.297 --> 00:03:22.339 These are days of the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8181291125

00:03:22.340 --> 00:03:25.196 I will still be with the division through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8181291125

00:03:25.200 --> 00:03:27.289 January and possibly a bit beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77815713

00:03:32.090 --> 00:03:35.946 Three decades of work has gone into clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.77815713

00:03:35.946 --> 00:03:38.326 assessment of malingering and deception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77815713

00:03:38.330 --> 00:03:41.111 I think if you would kindly look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77815713

00:03:41.111 --> 00:03:43.606 first edition there on the left hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.77815713

00:03:43.606 --> 00:03:45.825 panel and I hope that all of you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.77815713

00:03:45.825 --> 00:03:48.230 affirm that was not a facsimile of me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77815713

00:03:48.230 --> 00:03:50.295 although in the earlier years

NOTE Confidence: 0.77815713

00:03:50.295 --> 00:03:53.220 that was the TV pointed statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.603763003333333

00:03:56.280 --> 00:03:57.780 When Anshel disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.603763003333333

00:03:57.780 --> 00:03:59.379 I think is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.603763003333333

00:03:59.380 --> 00:04:01.369 He saw what she did have the calling card,

NOTE Confidence: 0.603763003333333

00:04:01.370 --> 00:04:05.459 Testament book and the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.603763003333333

00:04:05.460 --> 00:04:08.078 off author of the service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.603763003333333

00:04:08.080 --> 00:04:09.768 Two in the service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.603763003333333

00:04:09.770 --> 00:04:12.660 I will provide a few examples from those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.603763003333333

00:04:12.660 --> 00:04:14.865 There is a one or two mentions

NOTE Confidence: 0.807102381666667

00:04:14.880 --> 00:04:16.955 of the extra in the summer, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.807102381666667

7

00:04:16.955 --> 00:04:19.385 without any details provided at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67280164

00:04:22.170 --> 00:04:23.452 The objectives for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67280164

00:04:23.452 --> 00:04:25.258 I think whenever we start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.67280164

00:04:25.258 --> 00:04:26.690 think let's look forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89314074

00:04:26.690 --> 00:04:29.246 let's see where things are advancing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89314074

00:04:29.250 --> 00:04:31.210 It's oftentimes helpful to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.89314074

00:04:31.210 --> 00:04:33.270 a very brief look historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.89314074

00:04:33.270 --> 00:04:34.810 of where we've come from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89314074

00:04:34.810 --> 00:04:36.320 So nice to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89314074

00:04:36.320 --> 00:04:38.761 I also want to talk about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89314074

00:04:38.761 --> 00:04:41.016 the fundamental weaknesses, the DSM

NOTE Confidence: 0.818318025

00:04:41.030 --> 00:04:44.138 5 and the second assessment model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818318025

00:04:44.140 --> 00:04:46.310 which I think have real implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.818318025

00:04:46.310 --> 00:04:47.500 in terms of our day-to-day

NOTE Confidence: 0.866753278571428

00:04:47.510 --> 00:04:49.930 practice, both in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.866753278571428

00:04:49.930 --> 00:04:51.745 and forensic evaluations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866753278571428

00:04:51.750 --> 00:04:53.028 Moving on to what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.885715364

00:04:53.040 --> 00:04:55.180 are perhaps more positive topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885715364

00:04:55.180 --> 00:04:57.130 we'll talk a bit about some effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.885715364

00:04:57.130 --> 00:04:59.640 scales and detection strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885715364

00:04:59.640 --> 00:05:03.560 These are continuing to be refined. As

NOTE Confidence: 0.65612333875

00:05:03.590 --> 00:05:07.088 uh as time continues to go by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65612333875

00:05:07.090 --> 00:05:08.882 we'll also talk about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.65612333875

00:05:08.882 --> 00:05:10.539 frontiers and current advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.968462948

00:05:10.840 --> 00:05:12.440 in terms of the assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.38264865

00:05:14.920 --> 00:05:18.500 Critical lens from the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:18.500 --> 00:05:20.132 I don't think they chose or Mason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:20.132 --> 00:05:23.676 Cox was a person who was quiet

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:23.676 --> 00:05:25.820 and expressing his viewpoints.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:25.820 --> 00:05:28.082 He suggested in 1811 that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:28.082 --> 00:05:30.343 could invest his get to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

9

00:05:30.343 --> 00:05:32.876 bottom of the issue sometimes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:32.876 --> 00:05:35.268 posing plaint painful operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:35.270 --> 00:05:38.462 Nauseating remedies, active medicines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:38.462 --> 00:05:40.631 blisters, clashes have induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:40.631 --> 00:05:43.830 the pseudo manic to throw off his

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:43.918 --> 00:05:46.252 imposture and windows don't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:46.252 --> 00:05:48.217 He suggested things that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:48.217 --> 00:05:49.579 become more decisive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:49.580 --> 00:05:51.589 Such as a capacity of existing heat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:51.590 --> 00:05:53.750 cold, hunger, and thirst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:53.750 --> 00:05:55.282 Certainly a different moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:55.282 --> 00:05:56.594 and ethical values then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888954618

00:05:56.594 --> 00:05:58.680 Hope we would see expressed today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905187

00:06:02.130 --> 00:06:05.035 But not to allow the extreme too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905187

00:06:05.035 --> 00:06:08.400 In fact, is a cloud, our judgment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.690573968333333

00:06:08.410 --> 00:06:10.630 or our view of what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83520935

00:06:11.130 --> 00:06:14.637 considered to be signs of fainting lunacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83520935

00:06:14.640 --> 00:06:16.002 You'll notice that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83520935

00:06:16.002 --> 00:06:17.539 number of things here which I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.898809065

00:06:17.550 --> 00:06:22.080 are actually quite good. The idea of acting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775018525

00:06:22.090 --> 00:06:23.898 winden factors on stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88539046

00:06:24.290 --> 00:06:26.430 both increasing the symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.854890035

00:06:26.820 --> 00:06:29.324 the sun onset, of course makes some sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854890035

00:06:29.330 --> 00:06:31.640 The idea of never concealing. The symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.813620294

00:06:31.650 --> 00:06:34.010 is also there. The expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.813620294

00:06:34.010 --> 00:06:36.530 of course of absurd thoughts is

NOTE Confidence: 0.813620294

00:06:36.530 --> 00:06:38.770 something we might bear in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813620294

00:06:38.770 --> 00:06:41.354 One area which I think we've lost

NOTE Confidence: 0.813620294

00:06:41.354 --> 00:06:45.330 track of a bit over the last 150

NOTE Confidence: 0.763979607142857

00:06:45.750 --> 00:06:48.636 to 200 years, has been what

NOTE Confidence: 0.763979607142857

00:06:48.636 --> 00:06:50.456 will it was many malinger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763979607142857

00:06:50.456 --> 00:06:52.440 It's what are some of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.84641516

00:06:52.450 --> 00:06:54.700 that are intact which are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.84641516

00:06:54.700 --> 00:06:56.799 hard for the person to fake

NOTE Confidence: 0.845135376666667

00:06:57.080 --> 00:06:59.618 an eye contact, I think is really in central

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:06:59.950 --> 00:07:02.488 one where. And perhaps the person

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:07:02.488 --> 00:07:04.620 who's a schizophrenic disorder has

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:07:04.620 --> 00:07:07.049 difficulty making eye contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:07:07.049 --> 00:07:10.560 A malingerer who is pretending,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:07:10.560 --> 00:07:13.890 or faking a psychotic disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:07:13.890 --> 00:07:15.258 must have no difficulty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:07:15.260 --> 00:07:17.208 Is that all? Likewise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:07:17.208 --> 00:07:19.880 in terms of somehow illuminating

NOTE Confidence: 0.763078765

00:07:19.880 --> 00:07:23.170 their failing students, friends and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90358363

00:07:23.240 --> 00:07:26.610 family is oftentimes intact with malingerers

NOTE Confidence: 0.856222876666667

00:07:29.840 --> 00:07:33.026 They also suggested some assessment methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856222876666667

00:07:33.030 --> 00:07:35.226 so also some active interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856222876666667

00:07:35.226 --> 00:07:37.638 The idea of suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.6358233925

00:07:37.650 --> 00:07:40.038 and filler ethnic boomed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776323932

00:07:40.070 --> 00:07:43.121 Many of you know, is really one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.776323932

00:07:43.121 --> 00:07:45.406 the Giants in the area of assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776323932

00:07:45.406 --> 00:07:48.176 malingering, because proposes that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.776323932

00:07:48.176 --> 00:07:50.658 fact is I suggesting a symptom, and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249655

00:07:50.670 --> 00:07:53.980 seeing that symptom appears. Obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.900651315

00:07:53.990 --> 00:07:55.370 you'd have to be careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.900651315

00:07:55.370 --> 00:07:56.198 about individuals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.759377326666667

00:07:56.210 --> 00:07:58.840 have a high level suggest ability, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.702727245

00:07:58.870 --> 00:08:02.947 a. Idea which I have not seen in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.702727245

00:08:02.947 --> 00:08:06.096 twenty 20th century and 21st century is

NOTE Confidence: 0.702727245

00:08:06.096 --> 00:08:08.970 one of writing samples and this strikes

NOTE Confidence: 0.702727245

00:08:08.970 --> 00:08:11.735 me as being a really bright idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702727245

00:08:11.740 --> 00:08:14.270 A person who in fact who speaks, see

NOTE Confidence: 0.69555945

00:08:14.280 --> 00:08:16.360 she's very, very disorganized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69555945

00:08:16.360 --> 00:08:18.876 We've asked to write about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.69555945

00:08:18.876 --> 00:08:20.530 particular topic and it becomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.870312108

00:08:20.540 --> 00:08:23.060 a very much more rational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870312108

00:08:23.060 --> 00:08:24.962 This will be a good indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.870312108

00:08:24.962 --> 00:08:27.532 that the VERBALIZATIONS may

NOTE Confidence: 0.870312108

00:08:27.532 --> 00:08:29.610 not be entirely accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870312108

00:08:29.610 --> 00:08:32.314 They also had the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870312108

00:08:32.314 --> 00:08:34.030 active interventions, unpleasant

NOTE Confidence: 0.679217835

00:08:34.040 --> 00:08:36.956 drugs, emetics, purgatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.679217835

00:08:36.956 --> 00:08:39.600 believing of course that the insane

NOTE Confidence: 0.679217835

00:08:39.600 --> 00:08:41.988 walked complaint and then there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.679217835

00:08:41.988 --> 00:08:44.510 the threats of physical intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.679217835

00:08:44.510 --> 00:08:47.941 And then I guess the final step was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.679217835

00:08:47.941 --> 00:08:50.926 idea of physical interventions themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.679217835

00:08:50.930 --> 00:08:54.060 such as whipping, intoxication and

NOTE Confidence: 0.679217835

00:08:54.060 --> 00:08:56.470 the horrorland chair. I suspect

NOTE Confidence: 0.794259683636364

00:08:56.480 --> 00:08:58.382 there's a few of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.794259683636364

00:08:58.382 --> 00:09:00.000 are wondering what is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794259683636364

00:09:00.000 --> 00:09:02.170 A whirling shell look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7939314875

00:09:03.730 --> 00:09:06.238 So here is a a a illustration

NOTE Confidence: 0.7939314875

00:09:06.240 --> 00:09:07.528 of the whipping chair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7939314875

00:09:07.530 --> 00:09:09.135 I am kind of surprised that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7939314875

00:09:09.135 --> 00:09:10.708 the if you all the gear ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.7939314875

00:09:10.708 --> 00:09:12.676 appears to be really quite low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901390888

00:09:12.710 --> 00:09:14.498 which probably means this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.901390888

00:09:14.498 --> 00:09:17.079 going to be keep having the person

NOTE Confidence: 0.901390888

00:09:17.080 --> 00:09:19.870 spin in any dramatic fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514746

00:09:22.630 --> 00:09:24.825 Really excellent book for those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514746

00:09:24.825 --> 00:09:27.909 you by John Coley for those of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.746903254615384

00:09:28.220 --> 00:09:31.111 who love history, I've II must say

NOTE Confidence: 0.746903254615384

00:09:31.111 --> 00:09:33.870 I've only read chapters of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746903254615384

00:09:33.870 --> 00:09:36.452 but it really expressed, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746903254615384

00:09:36.452 --> 00:09:40.790 high level OM. This was at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.812214284

00:09:41.490 --> 00:09:44.220 into the early 20th century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812214284

00:09:44.220 --> 00:09:46.450 the end of 19th century, in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.812214284

00:09:46.450 --> 00:09:48.380 of lingering in feigned illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727058122

00:09:58.520 --> 00:10:01.140 And I found this really, very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727058122

00:10:01.140 --> 00:10:06.610 The argument is making is that there is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727058122

00:10:06.610 --> 00:10:09.506 Almost anyone who wants a disability will get

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:09.520 --> 00:10:13.104 a disability. So in the year this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:13.104 --> 00:10:17.634 the year 1911 forty or 23,000 cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:17.634 --> 00:10:22.268 life in charity of those were settled

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:22.270 --> 00:10:25.230 out of court of those decided in court.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:25.230 --> 00:10:27.001 I hope you can see the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:27.001 --> 00:10:28.734 one with that slide of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:28.734 --> 00:10:29.975 The designing court.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:29.975 --> 00:10:33.008 80% of them went for the applicant in

NOTE Confidence: 0.736453799

00:10:33.008 --> 00:10:36.620 only 20% went for the respondents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9139436

00:10:41.840 --> 00:10:43.810 Building on this, this was after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9139436

00:10:43.810 --> 00:10:46.012 World War One. Seltzer took, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9139436

00:10:46.012 --> 00:10:47.608 kind of a very interesting approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.641473822

00:10:47.960 --> 00:10:53.208 and what he did is, he said, let's look at VA

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:10:53.220 --> 00:10:56.230 records compared to military records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:10:56.230 --> 00:10:58.438 And of course all of us in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:10:58.438 --> 00:11:00.383 audience can can see immediately

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:11:00.383 --> 00:11:02.644 that there would be some cases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:11:02.644 --> 00:11:04.739 would come up post military service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:11:04.740 --> 00:11:07.400 And if I have someone found the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:11:07.400 --> 00:11:09.935 numbers but roughly what he's saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:11:09.935 --> 00:11:12.569 is is three times more disabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:11:12.570 --> 00:11:16.074 Then in fact, it's what there was injuries

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

17

00:11:16.074 --> 00:11:19.580 during during the actual combat itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:11:19.580 --> 00:11:23.024 ending up with the conclusion it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.872728738

00:11:23.024 --> 00:11:25.600 ridiculously easy to prove a disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:29.390 --> 00:11:31.268 No, I'm going to turn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:31.268 --> 00:11:33.729 kind of a time of transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:33.730 --> 00:11:36.450 I think both in terms of both in

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:36.450 --> 00:11:39.209 terms of hospitals and facilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:39.210 --> 00:11:41.850 as well as in in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:41.850 --> 00:11:43.460 practice of forensic practice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:43.529 --> 00:11:45.389 the assessment of malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:45.390 --> 00:11:48.007 So this is just a brief historical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:48.007 --> 00:11:51.615 This file was in the public domain is

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:51.615 --> 00:11:54.405 a picture of Illinois State hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:54.410 --> 00:11:58.460 I actually joined. This facility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:11:58.460 --> 00:12:01.855 six months after they moved to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:01.855 --> 00:12:04.530 new facility that you might think,

18

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:04.530 --> 00:12:06.570 would be a time of excitement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:06.570 --> 00:12:07.833 It truly was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:07.833 --> 00:12:10.710 It's also a time of very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:10.710 --> 00:12:12.635 change because they took people

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:12.635 --> 00:12:14.790 who were called guards back in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:14.790 --> 00:12:15.840 If you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:15.840 --> 00:12:17.750 the prison days they trained

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:17.750 --> 00:12:20.330 them for several weeks hold them

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:20.330 --> 00:12:22.370 security therapy aids in thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703713261666667

00:12:22.370 --> 00:12:24.477 Of course they can make that transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631385714286

00:12:29.840 --> 00:12:31.828 So one of the things are probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631385714286

00:12:31.828 --> 00:12:33.859 speaking a little bit to my longevity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631385714286

00:12:33.860 --> 00:12:37.406 I'll talk about a couple reviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631385714286

00:12:37.406 --> 00:12:39.210 which happened pretty pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631385714286

00:12:39.210 --> 00:12:41.070 much at the time of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631385714286

00:12:41.070 --> 00:12:44.110 and so this was the first which appeared

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631385714286

00:12:44.110 --> 00:12:46.429 in behavioral sciences and the law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:12:49.010 --> 00:12:50.086 And what struck me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:12:50.086 --> 00:12:52.084 I don't know if anyone looked the

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:12:52.084 --> 00:12:54.058 literature mill in the recent decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:12:54.060 --> 00:12:56.325 But there are thousands of

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:12:56.325 --> 00:12:58.760 studies back in 1984, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:12:58.760 --> 00:13:01.435 the officials didn't highly different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:01.440 --> 00:13:03.939 At that time there were 53 studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:03.939 --> 00:13:06.758 I could find and to be quite frank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:06.760 --> 00:13:09.052 we cast with the ten other

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:09.052 --> 00:13:10.990 studies a very broad net.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:10.990 --> 00:13:14.030 So we were trying to be as inclusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:14.030 --> 00:13:16.297 as possible in this the case studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:16.297 --> 00:13:18.800 I'll talk about those in just a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:18.800 --> 00:13:20.710 but you'll see the MPI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:20.710 --> 00:13:22.595 Is probably the only measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:22.595 --> 00:13:24.987 which stands out with any real

NOTE Confidence: 0.798542361764706

00:13:24.987 --> 00:13:26.719 body of literature behind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:30.220 --> 00:13:34.401 One of the tables from this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:34.401 --> 00:13:36.234 now ancient review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:36.234 --> 00:13:41.708 You'll know CSS CSS for case studies the PT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:41.710 --> 00:13:44.094 As far as psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:44.094 --> 00:13:46.193 testing you'll see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:46.193 --> 00:13:49.199 that number of these are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:49.199 --> 00:13:51.573 feeling similar to that slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:51.573 --> 00:13:53.988 I showed you a faint

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280094575

00:13:53.988 --> 00:13:56.620 lunacy back in the 1800s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:13:58.640 --> 00:14:02.062 I think the one just get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:02.062 --> 00:14:04.378 to that in just a sack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:04.380 --> 00:14:08.316 So the case study is a very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:08.316 --> 00:14:11.735 because to really gain much knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:11.735 --> 00:14:14.075 from their wonderfully descriptive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:14.080 --> 00:14:16.290 But there are frankly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:16.290 --> 00:14:17.616 exercise and circularity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:17.620 --> 00:14:20.930 'cause this is a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:20.930 --> 00:14:23.578 where we're taking individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:23.580 --> 00:14:26.800 And and label them as a malingerer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:26.800 --> 00:14:27.790 Then in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:27.790 --> 00:14:29.440 it's describing some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:29.440 --> 00:14:30.846 characteristics and then flipping

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:30.846 --> 00:14:32.576 around and saying in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:32.576 --> 00:14:34.283 are the characteristics of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:34.283 --> 00:14:35.507 president who is malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:35.510 --> 00:14:37.790 So it's really difficult to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:37.790 --> 00:14:38.930 away from that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:38.930 --> 00:14:40.838 I think when you look at the case studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:40.840 --> 00:14:43.264 the only thing which is different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:43.264 --> 00:14:46.158 from what we found back in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:46.158 --> 00:14:48.540 19th century was a sequence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:48.540 --> 00:14:50.852 symptoms and that not distilling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:50.852 --> 00:14:53.606 on Thunder has been something which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:53.610 --> 00:14:55.890 researches on believing in response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:55.890 --> 00:14:58.638 Styles have not worked out a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:14:58.638 --> 00:15:00.666 way to systematically evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8988503825

00:15:00.666 --> 00:15:02.878 the sequence of symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:13.540 --> 00:15:16.150 I have a overactive mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:16.150 --> 00:15:20.552 So that's the but really which we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:20.552 --> 00:15:25.476 in 84 was if you will, beyond the MPI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:25.480 --> 00:15:28.060 What I've described as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:28.060 --> 00:15:30.124 hopeless mishmash of methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:30.130 --> 00:15:33.440 Not a very good site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:33.440 --> 00:15:34.481 Did another review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:34.481 --> 00:15:37.327 This is close to 10 years later and

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:37.327 --> 00:15:39.756 this was one of individuals in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.739028822

00:15:39.756 --> 00:15:43.080 of feigned cognitive impairment, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7921186375

00:15:45.220 --> 00:15:47.830 The findings there were the most recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7921186375

00:15:47.830 --> 00:15:49.504 the most prominently

NOTE Confidence: 0.7921186375

00:15:49.504 --> 00:15:52.294 studied was raised 15 item.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7921186375

00:15:52.300 --> 00:15:55.822 Andre Ray developed this idea in the 1940s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7921186375

00:15:55.822 --> 00:15:58.636 The idea was to present people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7921186375

00:15:58.636 --> 00:16:00.223 15 different types of information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7921186375

00:16:00.223 --> 00:16:02.600 which of course would be a very difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7921186375

00:16:02.600 --> 00:16:06.840 so perhaps to remember. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766590305

00:16:07.380 --> 00:16:09.996 the to make the test similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:10.150 --> 00:16:12.830 there are sequences such as 321,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:12.830 --> 00:16:14.554 so it's only actually five concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:14.554 --> 00:16:16.264 that need to be remembered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:16.270 --> 00:16:19.518 It represented the state of the art in 1993,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:19.518 --> 00:16:21.598 and we've come really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:21.598 --> 00:16:23.968 long ways from that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:23.970 --> 00:16:27.018 A total of five studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:27.018 --> 00:16:28.542 two simulation studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:28.550 --> 00:16:31.490 I guess if we want to say go good news first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:31.490 --> 00:16:33.794 you know about 80% of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:33.794 --> 00:16:35.330 individuals who are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:35.330 --> 00:16:37.985 Faking, in fact is were

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:37.985 --> 00:16:40.109 successful at the task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:40.110 --> 00:16:42.330 However, from lingers for

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:42.330 --> 00:16:43.995 those people simulating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:44.000 --> 00:16:45.402 they didn't think I don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:45.402 --> 00:16:47.530 to look at that hat off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:47.530 --> 00:16:50.298 and so you'll see the numbers there were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:50.300 --> 00:16:52.388 say 15 to 23%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803426662

00:16:52.388 --> 00:16:54.998 so it was largely unsuccessful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:16:58.250 --> 00:17:00.764 Did that article did basically summarize

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:00.764 --> 00:17:04.048 all this in the literature and we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:04.048 --> 00:17:07.247 that there were a number of areas where

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:07.247 --> 00:17:10.159 a number of strategies that were used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:10.160 --> 00:17:12.722 The floor effect is where you ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:12.722 --> 00:17:14.734 people totally simple questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:14.734 --> 00:17:17.278 almost anyone who could get correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:17.280 --> 00:17:20.490 So for example who is older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:20.490 --> 00:17:22.750 you or your mother?

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:22.750 --> 00:17:26.140 Probably most people can get that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:26.140 --> 00:17:27.884 Makes you have error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:27.884 --> 00:17:30.500 Sometimes these were very strange answers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:30.500 --> 00:17:32.084 so it wasn't how right did they get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:32.090 --> 00:17:34.274 It was how wrong did they get it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:34.280 --> 00:17:36.518 And then although this has not

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:36.518 --> 00:17:38.750 been found much more recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:38.750 --> 00:17:40.906 Losing some work on games like symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:40.910 --> 00:17:42.434 which is approximately answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:42.434 --> 00:17:45.366 asked you know what is 11 * 11?

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:45.366 --> 00:17:47.854 Perhaps response would be 120.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:47.854 --> 00:17:50.638 You look up the next two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:50.640 --> 00:17:53.475 I will show a bit more sophistication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:53.480 --> 00:17:54.780 so in terms of violation

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:54.780 --> 00:17:55.820 for learning for them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:55.820 --> 00:17:59.276 so will you give up a list of words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:17:59.280 --> 00:18:00.900 see how many they can recall?

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:00.900 --> 00:18:04.200 See how making recognize in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:04.200 --> 00:18:05.740 spring forth with good effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:05.740 --> 00:18:07.704 most of the time the recognitions

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:07.704 --> 00:18:10.189 can be way higher than the recall

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:10.190 --> 00:18:11.690 and then another approach which

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:11.690 --> 00:18:13.760 I think is kind of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:13.760 --> 00:18:15.780 is substantially below chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:15.780 --> 00:18:16.478 For farmers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:16.478 --> 00:18:19.270 There was one case study that was imported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793877491724138

00:18:19.270 --> 00:18:21.916 Of an adolescent female she was given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815209445454546

00:18:24.360 --> 00:18:26.604 She was given 400 trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815209445454546

00:18:26.604 --> 00:18:28.810 she finally got one correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815209445454546

00:18:28.810 --> 00:18:30.490 My guess is and there's no way

NOTE Confidence: 0.815209445454546

00:18:30.490 --> 00:18:32.069 that I'm engaging in mind reading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815209445454546

00:18:32.070 --> 00:18:33.596 but she might have said to herself

NOTE Confidence: 0.815209445454546

00:18:33.600 --> 00:18:35.524 I'll be here forever if I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.815209445454546

00:18:35.524 --> 00:18:37.900 get at least one of these, correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941515397777778

00:18:41.840 --> 00:18:43.775 So this this, I think is kind of fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941515397777778

00:18:43.780 --> 00:18:46.440 This is again warning his thermal side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941515397777778

00:18:46.440 --> 00:18:48.296 But this is what we see in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.941515397777778

00:18:48.296 --> 00:18:49.629 of periods of exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941515397777778

00:18:49.630 --> 00:18:52.374 Some of the efforts put forth I

NOTE Confidence: 0.941515397777778

00:18:52.374 --> 00:18:54.517 mention applicable my own in here

NOTE Confidence: 0.941515397777778

00:18:54.517 --> 00:18:57.015 so that we would have a like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.941515397777778

00:18:57.015 --> 00:18:59.109 good notion of where these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6336011475

00:19:02.930 --> 00:19:04.750 So willing exclusive frania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797144668333333

00:19:05.560 --> 00:19:07.348 I have to admit that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:07.360 --> 00:19:11.340 some of these items seem a bit much to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:11.340 --> 00:19:13.755 particularly only give people two

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:13.755 --> 00:19:15.404 choices about hearing voices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:15.404 --> 00:19:17.732 This is something that has never

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:17.732 --> 00:19:20.092 happened to me, or every day at noon

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:20.092 --> 00:19:22.988 the devil tells me to kill someone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:22.990 --> 00:19:26.750 Uh, I think there must be a third choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:26.750 --> 00:19:28.948 And then regarding the torture of animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:28.950 --> 00:19:30.750 I realize that many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:30.750 --> 00:19:32.598 are sensitive to cats etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:32.598 --> 00:19:35.046 But a I have the uncontrollable

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:35.046 --> 00:19:37.749 urges to kill my neighbors pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:37.749 --> 00:19:40.527 knows we have no apostrophe there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:40.530 --> 00:19:43.162 Oh, I do not enjoy this or

NOTE Confidence: 0.850644863

00:19:43.162 --> 00:19:45.390 proof of it in others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85014325

00:19:50.460 --> 00:19:52.850 Some others in terms of screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85014325

00:19:52.850 --> 00:19:55.769 Whenever I see people that are not real,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85014325

00:19:55.770 --> 00:19:57.898 they always appear in black and white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:02.150 --> 00:20:03.296 Most of the time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:03.296 --> 00:20:04.878 people are talking to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:04.878 --> 00:20:08.266 I say their words spelled out nowadays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:08.270 --> 00:20:10.606 of course, so that would not maybe not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:10.606 --> 00:20:13.236 be a psychotic or or fake symptom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:13.240 --> 00:20:14.604 since they have banners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:14.604 --> 00:20:16.991 Whenever you watch any kind of news

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:16.991 --> 00:20:20.130 show and so that becomes interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:20.130 --> 00:20:22.846 this other one, I think send #11

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:22.846 --> 00:20:24.510 seems rather troubling to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:24.510 --> 00:20:26.946 Whenever I'm sitting in a chair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:26.950 --> 00:20:28.058 I have to take,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:28.058 --> 00:20:30.108 I have to breathe a deep breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560679

00:20:30.108 --> 00:20:32.004 in order to not get sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:40.340 --> 00:20:42.896 It is. This is my handwriting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:42.900 --> 00:20:45.224 so take it for what it's worth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:45.230 --> 00:20:47.288 So these are a few items that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:47.288 --> 00:20:49.448 have not made it into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:49.448 --> 00:20:51.458 particular tester or anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:51.460 --> 00:20:53.224 Who's out there might be just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:53.224 --> 00:20:55.066 little over the top lamp shades

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:55.066 --> 00:20:56.798 were invented for punishment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:56.800 --> 00:20:58.480 not even sure what that means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:20:58.480 --> 00:21:01.435 Automobiles have their own religion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818615545

00:21:01.435 --> 00:21:05.005 vacuum cleaners will purify your mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:11.730 --> 00:21:15.360 And. Here are some physical symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:15.360 --> 00:21:17.796 so these sound very distressing to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:17.800 --> 00:21:20.376 The buzzing in my ears keep switching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:20.380 --> 00:21:23.070 switching from left to right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:23.070 --> 00:21:24.799 You'd think it would have come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:24.799 --> 00:21:26.759 right to left my stomach at times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:26.760 --> 00:21:27.681 or also loudly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:27.681 --> 00:21:29.830 That can be heard from by people

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:29.901 --> 00:21:31.755 outside of the room at times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:31.760 --> 00:21:34.196 My fingernails, which I've been told,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865758961666667

00:21:34.200 --> 00:21:36.307 sometimes I sleep with my eyes open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769519206363636

00:21:38.540 --> 00:21:40.150 OK, well I think hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.769519206363636

00:21:40.150 --> 00:21:42.820 that was a I bet entertaining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769519206363636

00:21:42.820 --> 00:21:45.620 Let's move on to me dearest topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769519206363636

00:21:45.620 --> 00:21:47.525 Which some issues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.769519206363636

00:21:47.525 --> 00:21:50.065 Some really profound issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.769519206363636

00:21:50.065 --> 00:21:53.886 with the DSM as well as the MD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769519206363636

00:21:53.886 --> 00:21:56.064 which I'll explain in a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.769519206363636

00:21:56.064 --> 00:21:56.790 minutes classifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:01.940 --> 00:22:07.510 Sell DSM five. This is defined,

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:07.510 --> 00:22:09.110 so I think that there's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:09.110 --> 00:22:10.886 I think the definition is good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:10.890 --> 00:22:14.942 Intentional production of faults or grossly

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:14.942 --> 00:22:17.494 exaggerated physical or psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:17.494 --> 00:22:20.759 symptoms motivated by external incentives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:20.760 --> 00:22:23.385 Two things should really pay attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:23.390 --> 00:22:26.590 The fact is that it's not completely made up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:26.590 --> 00:22:28.394 is a gross exaggeration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:28.394 --> 00:22:31.100 I would humbly submit the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:31.177 --> 00:22:33.097 between a suicide gesture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:33.100 --> 00:22:35.465 In an attempt would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:35.465 --> 00:22:37.357 be a gross exaggeration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:37.360 --> 00:22:38.620 But in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:38.620 --> 00:22:40.720 having occasional thoughts about death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:40.720 --> 00:22:42.388 To saying I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:42.388 --> 00:22:46.158 I had a serious attempt on my own life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:46.160 --> 00:22:50.600 would represent a gross exaggeration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:50.600 --> 00:22:54.240 and I think a critical point here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:54.240 --> 00:22:56.590 motivation cannot just be assumed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:56.590 --> 00:22:58.790 it has to be something that is closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:22:58.790 --> 00:23:00.545 evaluating and I'll give you a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.516743203333333

00:23:00.545 --> 00:23:02.210 more evidence of that in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:06.280 --> 00:23:08.605 The difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:08.605 --> 00:23:10.458 motivation in our inference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:10.458 --> 00:23:13.146 I said extrapolation of our inference

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:13.146 --> 00:23:15.800 of what might be the incentive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:15.800 --> 00:23:18.280 I think it's absolutely critical that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:18.280 --> 00:23:21.040 see the difference between those two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:21.040 --> 00:23:23.896 So many help meant many health

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:23.896 --> 00:23:26.128 care providers, including myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:26.128 --> 00:23:28.684 completely have multiple opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:28.684 --> 00:23:32.170 to pad our compensation in terms of on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:32.170 --> 00:23:34.330 time we put into a forensic consultation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:34.330 --> 00:23:36.836 for example, but I suspect I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:36.836 --> 00:23:39.250 ask for a raise of hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:39.250 --> 00:23:41.875 I suspect that very few of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:41.875 --> 00:23:44.180 actually engage in that behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:44.180 --> 00:23:48.082 So if I were to say most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:48.082 --> 00:23:51.226 my colleagues are fraud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:51.230 --> 00:23:53.190 People who engage in fraud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:53.190 --> 00:23:57.638 You can see how I'm taking a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:23:57.638 --> 00:24:01.588 incentive and making in calling it a that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908744815

00:24:01.588 --> 00:24:03.856 In fact, it's your all motivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843486968333333

00:24:06.060 --> 00:24:09.168 Let's go for extreme example here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843486968333333

00:24:09.170 --> 00:24:11.949 You would think in terms of competency

NOTE Confidence: 0.843486968333333

00:24:11.949 --> 00:24:14.936 to be executed that this would be set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843486968333333

00:24:14.940 --> 00:24:16.712 The motivation would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.843486968333333

00:24:16.712 --> 00:24:18.927 staying alive at all costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851241548571428

00:24:21.360 --> 00:24:23.439 I don't have good data on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851241548571428

00:24:23.440 --> 00:24:25.900 uh, but the anecdotal data

NOTE Confidence: 0.851241548571428

00:24:25.900 --> 00:24:29.964 that I have is on on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851241548571428

00:24:29.964 --> 00:24:34.140 maybe about 10% of cases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851241548571428

00:24:34.140 --> 00:24:37.020 terms of post conviction.

00:24:37.020 --> 00:24:39.940 This clear evidence of malingering.

00:24:39.940 --> 00:24:42.154 I've dealt with about a dozen

00:24:42.154 --> 00:24:43.630 or so cases myself.

00:24:43.630 --> 00:24:46.012 Meantime, some called in because his

00:24:46.012 --> 00:24:48.016 concerns that this might possibly

00:24:48.016 --> 00:24:51.175 something to at least consider A to rule out,

00:24:51.180 --> 00:24:54.330 so using that as the top end,

00:24:54.330 --> 00:24:57.640 that would be roughly 30%.

00:24:57.640 --> 00:25:00.936 I I still don't see even in these

00:25:00.936 --> 00:25:03.010 extreme circumstances that there

00:25:03.010 --> 00:25:06.632 is that people are trying to

00:25:06.632 --> 00:25:09.998 linger in order to stay alive.

00:25:10.000 --> 00:25:12.898 Who are also suggests about 10%,

00:25:12.898 --> 00:25:15.586 probably more in fact is on

00:25:15.586 --> 00:25:17.730 denying any mental desires.

00:25:17.730 --> 00:25:19.885 Apparently the stigma of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.851241548571428

00:25:19.885 --> 00:25:21.178 mentally disordered outweighs

NOTE Confidence: 0.851241548571428

00:25:21.178 --> 00:25:22.970 any other consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88825558

00:25:26.700 --> 00:25:28.230 Sorry, I mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:25:42.470 --> 00:25:45.956 So from DSM three to DSM five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:25:45.960 --> 00:25:49.284 I think important distinction must be

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:25:49.284 --> 00:25:52.885 made that a diagnosis have explicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:25:52.885 --> 00:25:56.755 inclusion and exclusion criteria V codes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:25:56.760 --> 00:25:59.316 and as many of you are very well aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:25:59.320 --> 00:26:01.875 there are dozens and dozens of V

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:26:01.875 --> 00:26:04.804 codes are simply problems that require

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:26:04.804 --> 00:26:07.148 or potentially require clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.597507222857143

00:26:07.148 --> 00:26:10.748 attention and DSM. Five lists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77643519

00:26:13.100 --> 00:26:15.900 SEV code in. Under that code they

NOTE Confidence: 0.77643519

00:26:15.900 --> 00:26:19.312 have a couple in there for indices of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77643519

00:26:19.312 --> 00:26:22.380 when it should be strongly suspected.

00:26:22.380 --> 00:26:24.966 I have seen very seasoned practitioners.

00:26:24.970 --> 00:26:27.882 I'm thinking now of a board certified

00:26:27.882 --> 00:26:30.087 forensic psychologist who said look this

00:26:30.087 --> 00:26:32.630 guy meets three of the four of these.

00:26:32.630 --> 00:26:34.130 Therefore he is malingering.

00:26:39.460 --> 00:26:41.128 Imagine if that happened by way.

00:26:41.130 --> 00:26:43.130 Any case of serial killer.

00:26:43.130 --> 00:26:44.370 Sometimes to be honest,

00:26:44.370 --> 00:26:46.809 I get involved in these cases and I'm

00:26:46.809 --> 00:26:48.608 really not quite sure why we need

00:26:48.608 --> 00:26:50.748 to go through this whole exercise.

00:26:50.750 --> 00:26:53.712 This person had already been given had

00:26:53.712 --> 00:26:56.124 already been sentenced to death twice,

00:26:56.130 --> 00:26:58.678 so this was a third time around

00:26:58.680 --> 00:27:02.160 and he he did kill folks.

00:27:02.160 --> 00:27:04.025 I think suggesting he is

NOTE Confidence: 0.676649685

00:27:04.025 --> 00:27:05.517 probably not all intact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.676649685

00:27:05.520 --> 00:27:07.606 He tended to kill persons at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.676649685

00:27:07.606 --> 00:27:09.540 time was called a halfway house.

NOTE Confidence: 0.676649685

00:27:09.540 --> 00:27:12.219 For their money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.676649685

00:27:12.220 --> 00:27:14.060 I do think, however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.676649685

00:27:14.060 --> 00:27:16.360 Looking at the DSM 5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.676649685

00:27:16.360 --> 00:27:19.024 is this as a malingering bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.676649685

00:27:19.024 --> 00:27:20.800 and it's fatally flawed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:24.050 --> 00:27:26.290 There's a very little threshold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:26.290 --> 00:27:28.378 so in terms of winning by it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:28.378 --> 00:27:30.535 a very little threshold for saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:30.535 --> 00:27:32.887 when should we strongly suspect it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:32.890 --> 00:27:35.296 So it says medical legal context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:35.300 --> 00:27:37.400 which means in every single

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:37.400 --> 00:27:39.504 case that comes before us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:39.504 --> 00:27:42.878 In fact, it should be considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:42.880 --> 00:27:45.076 Is really the lack of cooperation

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:45.076 --> 00:27:46.540 in assessment and treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:46.540 --> 00:27:48.302 This is a really, you know, traps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:48.302 --> 00:27:50.012 I don't need the emphasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:50.012 --> 00:27:51.038 with explanation point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:51.040 --> 00:27:53.386 but this is really indiscriminant assertion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:53.390 --> 00:27:56.336 Look, we have many people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:56.336 --> 00:27:58.496 lack cooperation, drug denials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:27:58.496 --> 00:28:00.260 positive pressure management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:00.260 --> 00:28:02.540 and perhaps the most obvious

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:02.540 --> 00:28:04.820 is in terms of involuntary

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:04.908 --> 00:28:07.563 hospitalizations we we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:07.563 --> 00:28:10.218 force this person into treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:10.220 --> 00:28:12.680 An antisocial personality sets the bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:12.680 --> 00:28:16.419 very low for the majority of criminal cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:16.420 --> 00:28:17.824 and the one here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:17.824 --> 00:28:20.430 which seems to have some effect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.608859336

00:28:20.430 --> 00:28:22.575 in terms of market discrepancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:25.850 --> 00:28:30.764 It is really. I'm choosing these words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:30.770 --> 00:28:32.726 'cause I wanted to basically responsible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:32.730 --> 00:28:35.740 but I say it's doomed to failure and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:35.814 --> 00:28:38.330 that is the case if you think about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:38.330 --> 00:28:41.498 I've had the pleasure and challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:41.498 --> 00:28:44.721 of of actually evaluating hundreds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:44.721 --> 00:28:48.298 persons of issues of malingering in every

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:48.298 --> 00:28:51.538 single case they had opposable thumbs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:51.540 --> 00:28:56.447 If we used opposable thumbs as inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:28:56.447 --> 00:29:00.198 criteria or sensitivity would be 100%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:00.200 --> 00:29:02.610 All home to our specificity

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:02.610 --> 00:29:04.388 would be virtually 0%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:04.388 --> 00:29:07.012 so it's very important that we do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:07.012 --> 00:29:09.901 use common characteristics and we try

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:09.901 --> 00:29:12.381 to make it accurate determination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:12.390 --> 00:29:14.738 Study that was done in 1990.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:14.738 --> 00:29:19.282 Again, GSM three DSM 5 have been identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:19.290 --> 00:29:20.139 The advantage shifts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:20.139 --> 00:29:21.837 This is this was done prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:21.837 --> 00:29:23.050 to the publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:23.050 --> 00:29:25.348 The data was collected prior to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:25.348 --> 00:29:27.380 the publication of DSM three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:27.380 --> 00:29:31.830 You'll see in fact is the rates that we had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:31.830 --> 00:29:34.336 We looked at two or more indices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:34.340 --> 00:29:36.980 20% sure positive and roughly

NOTE Confidence: 0.832934267142857

00:29:36.980 --> 00:29:39.280 an 80% false positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40726233

00:29:44.310 --> 00:29:46.220 As an analogy, if you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.40726233

00:29:46.220 --> 00:29:48.060 just think of army or dentist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404721

00:29:48.060 --> 00:29:49.444 I think that should be a frightening

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404721

00:29:49.444 --> 00:29:51.744 thought right there, and the procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404721

00:29:51.744 --> 00:29:54.450 was one of a tooth extraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404721

00:29:54.450 --> 00:29:56.820 I wouldn't of course get chewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404721

00:29:56.820 --> 00:29:59.300 out the damaged tooth eventually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404721

00:29:59.300 --> 00:30:01.988 but I don't think we want to experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404721

00:30:01.988 --> 00:30:04.378 that or have forensic examining

NOTE Confidence: 0.826059645

00:30:04.500 --> 00:30:06.606 goes through that level of error

NOTE Confidence: 0.701931428

00:30:07.400 --> 00:30:10.670 in part of the graciousness of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.701931428

00:30:10.670 --> 00:30:14.004 criteria is uncooperativeness was found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.701931428

00:30:14.004 --> 00:30:17.106 Roughly twice more likely to happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.701931428

00:30:17.106 --> 00:30:19.700 in genuinely psychotic patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.701931428

00:30:19.700 --> 00:30:21.575 who are uncooperative because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.701931428

00:30:21.575 --> 00:30:23.350 their illness than in Malingerers

NOTE Confidence: 0.660429186666667

00:30:24.120 --> 00:30:25.440 back to as many willing risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660429186666667

00:30:25.440 --> 00:30:28.877 Remember the 19th century in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.660429186666667

00:30:28.877 --> 00:30:32.088 concealing their symptoms, in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660429186666667

00:30:32.088 --> 00:30:34.628 is never concealed their symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:37.080 --> 00:30:40.592 So it's a full screen to use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:40.592 --> 00:30:43.372 DSM five has disastrous results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:43.372 --> 00:30:46.644 For example, in that case of

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:46.644 --> 00:30:48.648 the California serial murder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:48.650 --> 00:30:50.658 the person testified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:50.658 --> 00:30:52.770 Yep, medical, legal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:52.770 --> 00:30:54.802 avd and cooperative actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:54.802 --> 00:30:57.858 once put on the proper psychotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.707382656

00:30:57.858 --> 00:30:59.890 medication became very cooperative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:03.370 --> 00:31:05.988 Uh, this I'll go through very quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:05.988 --> 00:31:08.426 because it is something probably of

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:08.426 --> 00:31:11.303 interest to only a small number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:11.383 --> 00:31:15.135 individuals who have the MD or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:15.135 --> 00:31:16.743 Malingered neurocognitive dysfunction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:16.750 --> 00:31:19.075 also called the slick criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:19.075 --> 00:31:21.161 developed by three psychologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:21.161 --> 00:31:25.307 I think in the Vancouver area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:25.310 --> 00:31:28.016 They suggest this as a comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:28.016 --> 00:31:30.832 model eventually became approved by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713546534333333

00:31:30.832 --> 00:31:32.708 National Academy of Neuropsychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:35.630 --> 00:31:37.810 Again, we have that problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:37.810 --> 00:31:39.660 which is a common theme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:39.660 --> 00:31:41.990 Is that to extrapolate incentive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:41.990 --> 00:31:44.916 they say if you're in this disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:44.916 --> 00:31:47.598 then you motivate a malingerer by

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:47.598 --> 00:31:50.286 the factor in a disability situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:50.290 --> 00:31:54.896 They also try to be incredibly inclusive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:54.900 --> 00:31:58.561 so that if the performance is consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:31:58.561 --> 00:32:00.996 with fainting across the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:00.996 --> 00:32:04.926 range of areas or for the report is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:04.930 --> 00:32:07.492 Discrepant across history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:07.492 --> 00:32:10.054 brain functioning observations

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:10.054 --> 00:32:12.300 environments I know for those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:12.300 --> 00:32:14.424 us who do forensic in otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:14.424 --> 00:32:16.410 complex clinical evaluations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:16.410 --> 00:32:17.986 there are always inconsistencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:17.986 --> 00:32:19.168 in their record.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:19.170 --> 00:32:22.465 In many times there's inconsistencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:22.465 --> 00:32:25.760 with the examinee because that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:25.866 --> 00:32:30.020 person is clearly a poor historian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:30.020 --> 00:32:32.678 So this was testing the IMD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:32.680 --> 00:32:34.616 If you claim that you can read that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:34.620 --> 00:32:36.760 then that would be positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:36.760 --> 00:32:37.616 impression management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:37.620 --> 00:32:39.475 because I don't think that you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:39.480 --> 00:32:41.312 The goal here is to look at how

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:41.312 --> 00:32:42.758 many white spaces there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:42.760 --> 00:32:44.932 which are areas of this model

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248566

00:32:44.932 --> 00:32:46.990 that have not been tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:32:51.620 --> 00:32:54.536 Malingering bias we see them looming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:32:54.540 --> 00:32:58.019 buyers come up yet again in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:32:58.019 --> 00:33:01.680 circumstances here because they're using

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:01.680 --> 00:33:04.728 minimal level of certainty for trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:04.730 --> 00:33:06.998 The criteria, if it just suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:06.998 --> 00:33:09.448 something as opposed to that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:09.448 --> 00:33:12.040 clear about this meeting their criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:12.040 --> 00:33:14.469 That's enough to call you a malingerer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:14.470 --> 00:33:16.690 if in fact it's all you need is two out

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:16.754 --> 00:33:18.868 of 10 criteria and there are hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:18.868 --> 00:33:21.149 of examples for each of those criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:21.150 --> 00:33:22.580 How it can be met?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:22.580 --> 00:33:23.663 The possible incentive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:23.663 --> 00:33:25.107 We've already talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:25.110 --> 00:33:28.098 If you deny some past history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:28.100 --> 00:33:30.362 then that proves that you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:30.362 --> 00:33:32.480 faking and if you're faking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:32.480 --> 00:33:35.200 perhaps or the evidence you

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:35.200 --> 00:33:37.376 faking in say depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:37.380 --> 00:33:40.470 then that would be can be used as a proxy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:40.470 --> 00:33:42.759 Vote for the fact you must be

NOTE Confidence: 0.5275373

00:33:42.759 --> 00:33:44.860 faking card in memory issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861347304545455

00:33:49.160 --> 00:33:51.866 However. To show how much we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861347304545455

00:33:51.866 --> 00:33:54.320 should think of this thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861347304545455

00:33:54.320 --> 00:33:57.631 As a seasoned, how high the standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.861347304545455

00:33:57.631 --> 00:34:01.335 are from malingering 2 ideas one is you

00:34:01.335 --> 00:34:04.639 have to feel fully account for other

00:34:04.640 --> 00:34:08.948 other explanations 100% of the time.

00:34:08.950 --> 00:34:11.200 And indeed, when we come

00:34:11.200 --> 00:34:12.550 to clinical realities,

00:34:12.550 --> 00:34:16.309 that is almost never an achievable standard,

00:34:16.310 --> 00:34:18.980 then the criteria for ruling out

00:34:18.980 --> 00:34:22.865 this mainly odd to some of you.

00:34:22.870 --> 00:34:25.505 This is actually pretty much

00:34:25.505 --> 00:34:27.086 taken almost verbatim.

00:34:27.090 --> 00:34:28.870 Will numeric and Lawrence

00:34:28.870 --> 00:34:30.650 shoot standard for insanity.

00:34:30.650 --> 00:34:33.040 So extremely high standard there.

00:34:37.300 --> 00:34:40.400 That kind of moves on, I think,

00:34:40.400 --> 00:34:44.165 to looking at probably more positive

00:34:44.165 --> 00:34:47.365 things in terms of kind of the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.812622522

00:34:47.365 --> 00:34:49.349 error and detection strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:34:54.170 --> 00:34:55.770 Here, and this could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:34:55.770 --> 00:34:57.980 done with a lot of detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:34:57.980 --> 00:35:01.716 but I'll move it with it rather quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:01.720 --> 00:35:05.230 We have either unlikely strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:05.230 --> 00:35:06.577 unlikely testing strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:06.577 --> 00:35:09.720 you know it's the presence of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:09.793 --> 00:35:12.236 would give us some very much concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:12.236 --> 00:35:14.756 in and onto amplified, which is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:14.756 --> 00:35:16.814 This could be a legitimate symptom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:16.820 --> 00:35:20.180 It's just got too much of it or

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:20.180 --> 00:35:23.327 too severe when it comes to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:23.330 --> 00:35:26.442 So we are symptoms are those we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:26.442 --> 00:35:29.241 really occur in heterogeneous diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:29.241 --> 00:35:34.685 sample and the most stringent would be 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:34.690 --> 00:35:37.002 There are a couple LAX ones which go

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:37.002 --> 00:35:39.368 to 20% and I think that's a mistake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:39.370 --> 00:35:42.800 Examples of this would be psychotic symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:42.800 --> 00:35:45.510 say neologisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:45.510 --> 00:35:49.806 Or very rare, even among individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:49.806 --> 00:35:52.670 hospitalized for schizophrenic disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:52.670 --> 00:35:54.782 Very infrequent that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:54.782 --> 00:35:56.366 actually expressed geologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:56.370 --> 00:35:57.434 seems, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:35:57.434 --> 00:36:00.094 auditory hallucinations and other language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:36:00.100 --> 00:36:02.824 I know awkward moment with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:36:02.824 --> 00:36:05.627 patient who said he was visited

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:36:05.627 --> 00:36:08.854 by this lovely woman who spoke to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:36:08.854 --> 00:36:11.910 him in Italian and then I asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:36:11.910 --> 00:36:14.400 him to say something in Italian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:36:14.400 --> 00:36:14.697 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843896527272727

00:36:14.697 --> 00:36:15.588 it wasn't pretty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:20.610 --> 00:36:23.522 So for rare symptoms this is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:23.522 --> 00:36:26.360 from the service to when we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:26.360 --> 00:36:28.628 across a broad population of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:28.707 --> 00:36:31.279 in correctional clinical forensic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:31.280 --> 00:36:33.272 you'll see roughly between

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:33.272 --> 00:36:36.742 12 and 14% on these reported,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:36.742 --> 00:36:40.450 and we have pretty much tripled

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:40.566 --> 00:36:43.998 those numbers when it comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:44.000 --> 00:36:48.460 training simulators as those who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:48.460 --> 00:36:50.926 Gauged in a simulation study woolever

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:50.926 --> 00:36:53.550 as adults that we feel strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:53.550 --> 00:36:56.244 that we've confirmed that they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79013616125

00:36:56.244 --> 00:36:58.790 willing are in clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:02.980 --> 00:37:05.870 Let's move on unlikely ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:05.870 --> 00:37:08.185 In some of you might say, and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:08.185 --> 00:37:09.535 would be able to generate question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:09.540 --> 00:37:11.402 Do we need to go that far

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:11.402 --> 00:37:13.179 because this is you might say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:13.180 --> 00:37:14.377 testing the limits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:14.377 --> 00:37:16.771 How far is this person willing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:16.771 --> 00:37:18.449 to report symptoms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:18.450 --> 00:37:20.184 These examples I came up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:20.184 --> 00:37:22.915 may seem a bit too much on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:22.915 --> 00:37:25.165 physiological side or involved in health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:25.170 --> 00:37:26.740 Do you spell cremating bodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:26.740 --> 00:37:28.310 whenever you take a shower?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:28.310 --> 00:37:31.478 Do hair dryers cause your brain to wrinkle?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83253392

00:37:31.480 --> 00:37:32.928 Probably not pleasant thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794618085714285

00:37:36.680 --> 00:37:40.509 As you can see, your genuine samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794618085714285

00:37:40.510 --> 00:37:42.660 the numbers go down even

54

NOTE Confidence: 0.794618085714285

00:37:42.660 --> 00:37:44.810 further for this info failures

NOTE Confidence: 0.794618085714285

00:37:44.893 --> 00:37:47.317 because it is really out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794618085714285

00:37:47.320 --> 00:37:50.206 Their percentage is about three to

NOTE Confidence: 0.794618085714285

00:37:50.206 --> 00:37:52.844 four times more, but still lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.794618085714285

00:37:52.844 --> 00:37:54.834 than under the rare symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:37:57.320 --> 00:37:58.544 And my favorite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:37:58.544 --> 00:38:00.992 which probably says something about me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:01.000 --> 00:38:03.728 But it is in terms of Paris at

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:03.728 --> 00:38:05.742 symptoms and Paris has symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:05.742 --> 00:38:07.832 that assumes the relatively common

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:07.832 --> 00:38:10.204 but really occur together to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:10.204 --> 00:38:12.500 intense fears at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:12.500 --> 00:38:14.550 your appetite for food increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:14.550 --> 00:38:16.236 It was in the other direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:16.240 --> 00:38:17.710 It would certainly make sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:17.710 --> 00:38:19.984 but usually someone who is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:19.984 --> 00:38:22.579 fear of the life doesn't say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846942944444444

00:38:22.580 --> 00:38:24.190 Let me sit down and shout out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:28.610 --> 00:38:30.425 So here's some examples from

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:30.425 --> 00:38:32.240 The Sims from The Sims.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:32.240 --> 00:38:34.410 You look at the clinical forensic sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:34.410 --> 00:38:39.464 at 18% and over half of these are reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:39.464 --> 00:38:43.399 by individuals in the simulation study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:43.400 --> 00:38:45.026 Just this was kind of clever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:45.030 --> 00:38:47.448 Stay visit done with quantitative analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:47.450 --> 00:38:49.470 We looked at across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:49.470 --> 00:38:51.490 certified items we looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:51.490 --> 00:38:53.910 but correlations do not occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:53.910 --> 00:38:56.740 So those are fee coefficients

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:56.740 --> 00:38:59.100 minus .5 - .05 excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:38:59.100 --> 00:39:02.807 But in simulator you'll see it is .46,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:39:02.807 --> 00:39:05.516 so it becomes a very interesting strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.817570888

00:39:05.516 --> 00:39:07.848 for detecting people for malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7529006575

00:39:12.370 --> 00:39:14.742 Amplified these the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.7529006575

00:39:14.742 --> 00:39:17.114 which are too extreme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7529006575

00:39:17.120 --> 00:39:19.066 The cut need to cover a wide

NOTE Confidence: 0.7529006575

00:39:19.066 --> 00:39:20.569 range of symptoms with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7529006575

00:39:20.570 --> 00:39:23.102 but where the person is reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7529006575

00:39:23.102 --> 00:39:24.790 things which are either

NOTE Confidence: 0.7529006575

00:39:24.871 --> 00:39:27.166 extremely too painful to stand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:39:41.580 --> 00:39:43.955 I'm sorry I'm having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:39:43.955 --> 00:39:45.380 little difficulty here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:39:45.380 --> 00:39:48.536 You'll see with these they reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:39:48.540 --> 00:39:51.858 that in indeed these are avoided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:39:51.860 --> 00:39:53.384 In average populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:39:53.384 --> 00:39:56.432 You can see that's very lively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:39:56.440 --> 00:39:58.915 different between average

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:39:58.915 --> 00:40:01.390 and fainting groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:01.390 --> 00:40:03.418 Selectivity of symptoms and this side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:03.420 --> 00:40:06.460 I'm just trying here from the PA I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:06.460 --> 00:40:08.910 In terms of this is just like

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:08.910 --> 00:40:11.136 the Will Rogers of symptoms is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:11.136 --> 00:40:13.691 not a symptom that is not liked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:13.700 --> 00:40:15.995 Usually something adaptable

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:15.995 --> 00:40:19.055 to multi scale inventories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:19.060 --> 00:40:21.604 Research came out in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:21.604 --> 00:40:24.570 how high are your elevations on

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:24.570 --> 00:40:26.395 these clinical scales and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:26.395 --> 00:40:29.223 can easily be used as a way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:29.223 --> 00:40:31.386 saying this is unlikely to be true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:31.390 --> 00:40:34.050 There's simply the magnitude of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88273339875

00:40:34.050 --> 00:40:36.710 symptoms being reported is unrealistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93833498125

00:40:40.260 --> 00:40:43.908 So let's look at some of the challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93833498125

00:40:43.910 --> 00:40:46.208 Select opinion, let's look at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93833498125

00:40:46.208 --> 00:40:48.620 of the challenges with malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:40:51.270 --> 00:40:53.965 I think a couple of enduring challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:40:53.965 --> 00:40:57.646 have to do with how we assess the accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:40:57.646 --> 00:41:00.904 of our assessment and reporting in then

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:00.904 --> 00:41:03.466 in terms of refining things further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:03.470 --> 00:41:06.038 looking at detection strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:06.038 --> 00:41:09.248 They will tell us specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:09.248 --> 00:41:11.408 what is being faked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:11.410 --> 00:41:14.399 Alright, so numbers we all believe in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:14.399 --> 00:41:17.056 numbers II rather like this thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:17.056 --> 00:41:19.369 Hines and 57 varieties of ketchup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:19.370 --> 00:41:21.990 He asserted this for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:21.990 --> 00:41:23.952 It was apparently a very effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:23.952 --> 00:41:26.197 marketing tool, but utterly false.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:26.197 --> 00:41:29.371 Actually, numbers five and seven represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:29.371 --> 00:41:32.197 Hines and his wife's lucky numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:32.200 --> 00:41:34.096 We also have problems with numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:34.100 --> 00:41:36.578 which I think I've become fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:36.578 --> 00:41:39.507 clear in terms of the replication

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:39.507 --> 00:41:41.879 crisis and social sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:41.880 --> 00:41:45.538 Described as a crisis of credibility in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:45.538 --> 00:41:47.533 we've been very comfortable reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:47.533 --> 00:41:49.295 things which are statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:49.295 --> 00:41:51.775 significant but lack of clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:51.780 --> 00:41:53.012 For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:53.012 --> 00:41:55.476 clinical forensics relevance example

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:55.476 --> 00:41:59.191 would be correlations of .2 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:41:59.191 --> 00:42:01.527 call that empirical scientifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:42:01.527 --> 00:42:03.279 based correlates well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:42:03.280 --> 00:42:05.746 If you think of that in terms of music,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:42:05.750 --> 00:42:08.654 help me turn on your favorite music station.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:42:08.660 --> 00:42:12.810 You'll be listening to 96% static in 4%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81810286047619

00:42:12.810 --> 00:42:13.460 Music.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923072006666667

00:42:16.950 --> 00:42:19.386 I think one of our efforts here

NOTE Confidence: 0.923072006666667

00:42:19.386 --> 00:42:22.229 has been particularly in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.923072006666667

00:42:22.229 --> 00:42:25.741 psychology is to over report things

NOTE Confidence: 0.923072006666667

00:42:25.741 --> 00:42:28.940 beyond the position which they are do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:33.040 --> 00:42:35.752 If people are in on psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:35.752 --> 00:42:36.954 measures, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:36.954 --> 00:42:39.558 taking a one point difference and saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:39.560 --> 00:42:42.424 and that's what we need to work on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:42.430 --> 00:42:43.934 During annoying they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:43.934 --> 00:42:46.190 ignoring the fact that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:46.262 --> 00:42:48.308 significant measurement errors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:48.310 --> 00:42:50.918 The answers of that is well defined scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:50.920 --> 00:42:53.426 We just eliminate just a narrow band

NOTE Confidence: 0.609041871428571

00:42:53.426 --> 00:42:55.999 where you're going to be making mistakes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:01.110 --> 00:43:03.190 Looking at this, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:03.190 --> 00:43:05.270 myself and Scott Bender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:05.270 --> 00:43:06.570 one of my former students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:06.570 --> 00:43:09.290 referred to this as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:09.290 --> 00:43:11.466 myth of lane accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:11.470 --> 00:43:13.761 If you just remove for, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:13.761 --> 00:43:16.918 the MPI to really narrow band of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:16.918 --> 00:43:20.077 five key points on either side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:20.080 --> 00:43:21.900 you'd improved your life

NOTE Confidence: 0.7006298735

00:43:21.900 --> 00:43:23.720 in your accuracy hugely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:26.320 --> 00:43:28.795 So here we go. If we look at if

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:28.795 --> 00:43:31.876 you remove that, it's a bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:31.876 --> 00:43:34.096 research that we have conducted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:34.100 --> 00:43:37.068 Basically your coral classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:37.068 --> 00:43:40.763 errors are at 50% and we felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:40.763 --> 00:43:42.450 that many of you would want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:42.514 --> 00:43:44.402 go into court and say, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:44.402 --> 00:43:45.957 really, our accuracy here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.833716363333333

00:43:45.957 --> 00:43:47.930 pretty much the flip of the coin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:43:51.200 --> 00:43:53.732 If you think of why, if we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:43:53.732 --> 00:43:55.944 if we think about dunking a basketball,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:43:55.950 --> 00:43:57.504 you know it's not equally probable

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:43:57.504 --> 00:43:59.240 of who's going to build a dunk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:43:59.240 --> 00:44:01.310 It persons who is not assuming

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:01.310 --> 00:44:03.200 both are in great shape.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:03.200 --> 00:44:05.447 I would say that someone at 65

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:05.447 --> 00:44:08.213 is going to be a lot more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:08.213 --> 00:44:10.614 than someone at 5.5 feet 5 inches

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:10.614 --> 00:44:12.660 not being able to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:12.660 --> 00:44:14.796 And I do think that perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:14.796 --> 00:44:16.681 that's something we should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:16.681 --> 00:44:18.656 expressing directly to the Court.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:18.660 --> 00:44:20.910 In secretly quotes the USF Training

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:20.910 --> 00:44:23.528 Court has held in Hall V Florida

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:23.528 --> 00:44:25.957 that we cannot use a single point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:25.960 --> 00:44:27.857 Well, this was a death penalty case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:27.860 --> 00:44:30.776 and it was about IQ scores were they said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:30.780 --> 00:44:33.524 is you have to reflect the reality

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:33.524 --> 00:44:36.429 of the standard error of measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:36.430 --> 00:44:38.260 I'm sure those aren't their words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848458012

00:44:38.260 --> 00:44:40.227 but we used from the amicus brief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713492120769231

00:44:43.550 --> 00:44:46.326 They assume on my way they they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.713492120769231

00:44:46.326 --> 00:44:48.466 commented that this was a 95% confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.713492120769231

00:44:48.466 --> 00:44:50.554 interval was what was legally required.

64

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:44:53.230 --> 00:44:55.900 Now you might say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:44:55.900 --> 00:44:57.550 this sounds like to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:44:57.550 --> 00:45:00.526 Well, probably it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:00.526 --> 00:45:03.335 This is as signatories of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:03.335 --> 00:45:04.574 American Psychological Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:04.574 --> 00:45:06.569 work inside Cashew Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:06.570 --> 00:45:08.102 the American Academy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:08.102 --> 00:45:09.634 Psychiatry and the law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:09.640 --> 00:45:11.805 and saying national the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:11.805 --> 00:45:13.537 Association of Social Workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:13.540 --> 00:45:15.215 So everyone was on board

NOTE Confidence: 0.76106705

00:45:15.215 --> 00:45:16.890 in a signatory of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:19.220 --> 00:45:22.934 The amicus brief, in fact, is said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:22.934 --> 00:45:26.693 you know, it would be not without

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:26.693 --> 00:45:30.488 stands or practice if we did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:30.488 --> 00:45:33.705 report these SCMS and I know that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:33.705 --> 00:45:35.871 were perhaps united for a political

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:35.871 --> 00:45:38.565 reason or a social justice reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:38.570 --> 00:45:40.062 namely the death penalty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:40.062 --> 00:45:43.240 But they did not make that distinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:43.240 --> 00:45:45.795 So there's a question that many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:45.795 --> 00:45:47.844 us perhaps showing the discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:47.844 --> 00:45:50.054 might see this from different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.601472764

00:45:50.060 --> 00:45:50.870 Perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:45:54.660 --> 00:45:57.486 alright, so the last bit here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:45:57.490 --> 00:46:00.458 which will be probably four or five minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:00.460 --> 00:46:04.880 is kind of emerging frontiers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:04.880 --> 00:46:07.216 So can we tell you we can tell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:07.220 --> 00:46:09.056 say the person is faking it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:09.060 --> 00:46:11.055 but can we tell what they're faking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:11.060 --> 00:46:13.334 So the first efforts in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:13.334 --> 00:46:15.532 direction was to develop a scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:15.532 --> 00:46:17.646 that had PTS type items on it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:17.650 --> 00:46:20.026 and then those who scored high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:20.030 --> 00:46:22.076 The researchers concluded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:22.076 --> 00:46:24.804 must be feigning PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:24.810 --> 00:46:27.645 What they were missing is whether the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:27.645 --> 00:46:30.810 scale was high would also be elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:30.810 --> 00:46:33.612 in genuine patients with severe PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:33.620 --> 00:46:35.684 Whether this scale would be elevating

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:35.684 --> 00:46:38.080 other people with other severe disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:38.080 --> 00:46:39.355 Schizoaffective disorder in

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:39.355 --> 00:46:41.480 whether this scale would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:41.480 --> 00:46:43.339 elevated for other failures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:43.340 --> 00:46:45.348 So is it really faint PDST or could

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239305

00:46:45.348 --> 00:46:47.289 be any other kind of painting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:46:50.670 --> 00:46:53.286 Second effort in this in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:46:53.286 --> 00:46:55.960 three examples where they come from

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:46:55.960 --> 00:46:58.928 different various versions of the MP Q.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:46:58.930 --> 00:47:03.276 But Umm, PI2RF, is this a Mac scale for

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:03.276 --> 00:47:06.674 looking at people faking for people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:06.674 --> 00:47:09.736 faking medical complaints shaft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:09.736 --> 00:47:14.290 One of my former students looked at this in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:14.290 --> 00:47:15.590 It doesn't look good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:15.590 --> 00:47:18.710 The trouble with the the F scale use

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:18.794 --> 00:47:21.574 that genuine patients with somatoform

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:21.574 --> 00:47:25.020 disorders have high very high scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:25.020 --> 00:47:27.348 In fact, it's on the scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:27.350 --> 00:47:29.450 It also has four accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:29.450 --> 00:47:31.018 It's a relatively ineffective

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:31.018 --> 00:47:32.978 for picking up those people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:32.980 --> 00:47:34.558 fainting medical problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:34.558 --> 00:47:37.188 and finally it doesn't distinguish

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:37.188 --> 00:47:38.970 from other painters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:38.970 --> 00:47:41.030 So those who feigning depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:41.030 --> 00:47:44.010 or TBI will also have elevations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:44.010 --> 00:47:45.515 so really not answering the

NOTE Confidence: 0.790358392105263

00:47:45.515 --> 00:47:47.399 question that is being asked of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:47:49.570 --> 00:47:51.040 Saint Louis success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:47:51.040 --> 00:47:54.890 Emily Robinson worked with the lead on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:47:54.890 --> 00:47:58.016 of can we differentiate between people

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:47:58.016 --> 00:48:01.788 faking ADHD in other types of failures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:01.790 --> 00:48:04.054 For those of you who might not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:04.054 --> 00:48:06.308 aware of this particular problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:06.310 --> 00:48:09.526 certainly in terms of college settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:09.530 --> 00:48:13.210 you can either get illicit use of stimulants

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:13.210 --> 00:48:16.162 or all of your worst nightmare of a class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:16.170 --> 00:48:19.274 So there are reasons that looking at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:19.280 --> 00:48:22.144 What we did is we find a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:22.144 --> 00:48:25.126 type of detection strategy stereotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:25.126 --> 00:48:28.356 that people have about ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:28.360 --> 00:48:31.762 Excuse me that do not appear to be true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:31.770 --> 00:48:34.906 So which of these are very strong results?

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:34.910 --> 00:48:37.418 Obviously need some replication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:37.418 --> 00:48:40.553 but it does clearly differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:40.553 --> 00:48:43.218 between faint and genuine ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:43.220 --> 00:48:45.610 One standard used for CONSTA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:45.610 --> 00:48:48.210 If it's .8 or above,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:48.210 --> 00:48:49.686 I think that's in it still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:49.690 --> 00:48:52.610 Also, 2.65 is huge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:52.610 --> 00:48:54.860 but it differentiate between people

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:54.860 --> 00:48:57.898 faking ADHD and taking other conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:48:57.900 --> 00:49:00.154 Again, it is a very large difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:49:00.160 --> 00:49:01.468 Use it markedly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:49:01.468 --> 00:49:03.212 Elevated in individuals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:49:03.212 --> 00:49:05.380 are faking other conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:49:05.380 --> 00:49:08.278 Actually it goes in the opposite direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641187292727273

00:49:08.280 --> 00:49:10.146 So it works out very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:13.530 --> 00:49:16.284 Three other issues that we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:16.284 --> 00:49:19.902 consider in it is in the research on

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:19.902 --> 00:49:23.450 if we make the content more ambiguous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:23.450 --> 00:49:24.646 it's harder to feign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:24.646 --> 00:49:26.969 We're not quite sure what the goal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:26.970 --> 00:49:30.490 The question is so some work done on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:30.490 --> 00:49:33.538 not by myself, but on the extra suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:33.538 --> 00:49:35.966 it should probably can reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:35.966 --> 00:49:39.189 ability of people to fake by about 50%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:39.190 --> 00:49:41.178 if in fact it's the questions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:41.178 --> 00:49:43.799 worded in a way that does not imply it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:43.800 --> 00:49:48.081 The answer. Second, we looked at can

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:48.081 --> 00:49:50.398 we look at the type of fainting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:50.400 --> 00:49:52.890 For example, in a legal case

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:52.890 --> 00:49:55.150 such as a competency cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:55.150 --> 00:49:57.950 here the content was specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:57.950 --> 00:49:59.375 So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:49:59.375 --> 00:50:01.750 asking bogus questions about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:01.750 --> 00:50:04.324 defense lawyer in the follow up

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:04.324 --> 00:50:06.354 questions were something about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:06.354 --> 00:50:08.380 affecting the person's ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:08.380 --> 00:50:12.380 appear in court and put on a defense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:12.380 --> 00:50:13.596 In the third one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:13.596 --> 00:50:15.420 which I I find perhaps the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:15.494 --> 00:50:17.499 most interesting of these is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:17.500 --> 00:50:19.310 can we actually tell people

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:19.310 --> 00:50:20.758 what the strategies are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:20.760 --> 00:50:22.268 and will this actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:22.268 --> 00:50:23.776 make it more difficult?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:23.780 --> 00:50:24.911 I said paradoxically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:24.911 --> 00:50:27.173 but more difficult for them fake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:27.180 --> 00:50:29.056 so we might say we like you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:29.060 --> 00:50:30.232 sit down and take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:30.232 --> 00:50:32.810 This test will be looking at consistency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:32.810 --> 00:50:35.030 will be questions of similar difficulty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:35.030 --> 00:50:36.458 Look at how consistent you run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:36.460 --> 00:50:39.188 Those will look at how well you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:39.188 --> 00:50:42.128 as the item difficulty increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:42.130 --> 00:50:44.360 We're going to measure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:44.360 --> 00:50:46.144 magnitude of your responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:46.144 --> 00:50:48.610 against with the correct responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:48.610 --> 00:50:49.718 and by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:49.718 --> 00:50:51.710 we're keeping track of the response time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:51.710 --> 00:50:53.628 So with all those things in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:53.630 --> 00:50:56.108 please give us your very best effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:56.110 --> 00:50:58.186 The initial research that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:50:58.186 --> 00:51:00.328 suggests in some cases the person

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:51:00.328 --> 00:51:02.404 does the worst because they assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:51:02.404 --> 00:51:04.456 to think like I get this done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:51:04.460 --> 00:51:05.890 I don't have any time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:51:05.890 --> 00:51:07.150 Is this one I should get right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:51:07.150 --> 00:51:09.414 Because it's on the easy side of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:51:09.420 --> 00:51:12.136 and so I think that represents maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:51:12.140 --> 00:51:13.748 A great place that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.800090517619048

00:51:13.748 --> 00:51:15.390 look at for further research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928812885714286

00:51:17.800 --> 00:51:19.620 Thank you so much for your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928812885714286

00:51:19.620 --> 00:51:22.460 I'm really open to and will enjoy hearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.928812885714286

00:51:22.460 --> 00:51:24.789 the questions and ideas that you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7412327

00:51:36.110 --> 00:51:37.810 OK, well thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:51:37.810 --> 00:51:41.850 **** was very enjoyable presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:51:41.850 --> 00:51:44.790 Certainly anyone is free to either put

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:51:44.790 --> 00:51:47.684 something in the chat or raise a hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:51:47.684 --> 00:51:50.050 or if we don't see your hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:51:50.050 --> 00:51:53.678 just unmute and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:51:53.680 --> 00:51:56.875 Let us know what your comment or question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:51:56.880 --> 00:52:00.588 I was interested in in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:00.588 --> 00:52:03.060 improbable sort of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:03.060 --> 00:52:06.201 It reminded me of something that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:06.201 --> 00:52:08.910 we used to talk about sort of tongue in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:08.988 --> 00:52:11.868 cheek during my training in the early 80s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:11.870 --> 00:52:14.516 You know, when when a patient would

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:14.516 --> 00:52:17.746 give you what we referred to as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:17.746 --> 00:52:19.960 positive review of symptoms and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:19.960 --> 00:52:21.460 the suggestion from other residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:21.460 --> 00:52:23.510 were to ask questions like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:23.510 --> 00:52:24.839 Your teeth itch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:24.839 --> 00:52:27.054 And does your hair hurt?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:27.060 --> 00:52:29.589 Is reminded me a lot of of the questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:29.590 --> 00:52:30.910 including your handwritten ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.76447313

00:52:30.910 --> 00:52:32.560 that you showed us earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:35.290 --> 00:52:37.210 One in generating questions you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:37.210 --> 00:52:39.899 to be a bit careful about these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:39.900 --> 00:52:43.694 I was kidding around a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:43.694 --> 00:52:47.137 colleagues that in fact is as I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:47.137 --> 00:52:49.051 writing some of the original questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:49.051 --> 00:52:51.218 that didn't appear on the service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:51.220 --> 00:52:54.688 I found myself sitting alone during

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:54.688 --> 00:52:58.354 rush hour on the L in either psychotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:52:58.354 --> 00:53:00.736 or under the influence of drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:53:00.740 --> 00:53:01.898 You know, as you get your

NOTE Confidence: 0.770979305384615

00:53:01.898 --> 00:53:03.030 own seat during my shower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73795116

00:53:15.960 --> 00:53:18.145 There could you talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.73795116

00:53:18.145 --> 00:53:23.460 bit about people's reactions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7509526575

00:53:23.460 --> 00:53:27.145 request that they be assessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7509526575

00:53:27.145 --> 00:53:30.830 for the malingering of symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7509526575

00:53:30.953 --> 00:53:34.042 and what that tells you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7509526575

00:53:34.042 --> 00:53:37.900 In and of itself, uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7509526575

00:53:37.900 --> 00:53:40.582 and do you have a script

NOTE Confidence: 0.7509526575

00:53:40.582 --> 00:53:43.130 that you routinely use to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7509526575

00:53:43.130 --> 00:53:45.380 explain to people the process?

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:53:46.220 --> 00:53:48.548 I'm thankful, actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:53:48.550 --> 00:53:49.875 I think there are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:53:49.875 --> 00:53:50.935 ways of approaching it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:53:50.940 --> 00:53:52.650 One of the things for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:53:52.650 --> 00:53:57.286 I take a disability case is that I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:53:57.286 --> 00:53:59.890 say in terms of the disability case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:53:59.890 --> 00:54:02.298 I will put things out there in

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:02.298 --> 00:54:04.830 terms of saying this is evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:04.830 --> 00:54:06.534 We want to make sure we

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:06.534 --> 00:54:07.386 get accurate information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:07.390 --> 00:54:09.651 There are going to be measured starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:09.651 --> 00:54:12.560 to be given that will help us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:12.560 --> 00:54:14.430 understand whether you're being really

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:14.498 --> 00:54:17.096 forthright about this or whether perhaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:17.100 --> 00:54:18.632 You're putting things on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:18.632 --> 00:54:20.547 you know you're making things

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:20.547 --> 00:54:22.488 separate worse than what they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:22.490 --> 00:54:24.821 and I'm here really to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:24.821 --> 00:54:27.481 find out what is the most accurate

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:27.481 --> 00:54:30.230 account of what's going on with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:30.230 --> 00:54:33.740 And I think there are problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:33.740 --> 00:54:34.310 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:34.310 --> 00:54:36.225 if you say to people, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:36.225 --> 00:54:38.650 I don't really believe you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:38.650 --> 00:54:40.463 and so now I'm going to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:40.463 --> 00:54:42.380 you a test for malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:42.380 --> 00:54:43.920 I think that's problematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:43.920 --> 00:54:45.075 The thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:45.080 --> 00:54:47.880 is that most instances this is a routine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:47.880 --> 00:54:50.554 Part of my assessment is I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:50.554 --> 00:54:52.280 always consider those issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:52.280 --> 00:54:54.625 Might just a library on that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:54.625 --> 00:54:57.331 just a moment in the recent why

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:57.331 --> 00:54:59.356 is announcing of criminal cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:54:59.360 --> 00:55:00.868 I've had criminal cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:00.868 --> 00:55:03.130 I'm thinking of the capital case

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:03.205 --> 00:55:05.140 in Atlanta where it's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:05.140 --> 00:55:07.190 late in the assessment process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:07.190 --> 00:55:09.661 A person came in and in more

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:09.661 --> 00:55:11.779 or less the smell test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:11.780 --> 00:55:13.916 which is this person looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:13.916 --> 00:55:16.395 smells like in in feels like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:16.395 --> 00:55:18.684 malingerer so many times I do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:18.758 --> 00:55:20.618 prophylactically to avoid those

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:20.618 --> 00:55:23.795 last minute experts coming in on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:23.795 --> 00:55:26.875 But I do try to describe it more

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:26.875 --> 00:55:29.268 generally relative to interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:29.270 --> 00:55:31.335 The response style in some cases I

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:31.335 --> 00:55:33.806 want you to be honest and forthcoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:33.806 --> 00:55:36.080 so it's on both positive vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655436503333333

00:55:36.080 --> 00:55:38.460 Negative presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:55:41.550 --> 00:55:42.902 Stick in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:55:42.902 --> 00:55:45.386 We have a couple of questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:55:45.386 --> 00:55:47.492 are very similar about looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:55:47.492 --> 00:55:50.984 for your thoughts about a common

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:55:50.984 --> 00:55:53.480 clinical situation where people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:55:53.480 --> 00:55:55.730 are homeless come to the emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:55:55.730 --> 00:55:58.145 department for Shelter and Food but

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:55:58.145 --> 00:56:01.250 make a chief complaint of suicidality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:56:01.250 --> 00:56:03.863 What are your thoughts about dealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.875546878

00:56:03.863 --> 00:56:05.978 with malingering in that situation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:07.830 --> 00:56:11.268 And this is a place where they will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:11.268 --> 00:56:16.200 water on some values that people have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:16.200 --> 00:56:19.260 If I could just kind of put that aside

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:19.260 --> 00:56:22.211 for just a second one of the things I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:22.211 --> 00:56:25.189 did a tiny bit of consultation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:25.189 --> 00:56:28.076 the Secret Service and the issue is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:28.076 --> 00:56:30.232 is how many people have found that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:30.240 --> 00:56:31.720 If they come in and say I feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:31.720 --> 00:56:33.187 like I'm going to kill someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:33.190 --> 00:56:35.750 they may not receive services if they come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:35.750 --> 00:56:38.815 in and say I'm going to kill the president,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:38.820 --> 00:56:40.388 they immediately received services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:40.388 --> 00:56:43.174 So I think there's an article that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:43.174 --> 00:56:45.394 described this going up into hundreds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:45.400 --> 00:56:47.832 So I I. I think you have people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:47.832 --> 00:56:50.324 who have kind of learned how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:50.324 --> 00:56:52.406 game the system are the lingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:52.406 --> 00:56:55.226 Yes, this is a much of a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:55.226 --> 00:56:57.286 much of a sustained effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:57.290 --> 00:56:59.290 It seems helpful to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:56:59.290 --> 00:57:00.618 The trouble with suicide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:00.618 --> 00:57:01.890 Yeah, I'm sure there's different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:01.890 --> 00:57:03.065 points of view on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:03.070 --> 00:57:07.494 The difficulty with that is we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:07.500 --> 00:57:10.132 We virtually do not want to challenge people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:10.132 --> 00:57:13.100 in terms of saying if you don't cut yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:13.100 --> 00:57:14.964 I won't believe you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:14.964 --> 00:57:18.780 So this creates a problem and this is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:18.780 --> 00:57:21.460 of those problems that doesn't go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:21.460 --> 00:57:23.296 As they would in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:23.300 --> 00:57:26.708 I think taking them more at face value

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:26.708 --> 00:57:30.864 than not seems to be an approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:30.864 --> 00:57:32.736 It would be very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:32.736 --> 00:57:33.710 turn someone away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:33.710 --> 00:57:35.615 or perhaps if they've done

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:35.615 --> 00:57:37.139 this dozens of times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:37.140 --> 00:57:39.204 you know we could look at that history

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:39.204 --> 00:57:41.281 and we could say, you know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:41.281 --> 00:57:43.846 I'm so sorry that you're out in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:43.846 --> 00:57:45.904 cold and it's miserable etc etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:45.910 --> 00:57:47.260 This releasing, going to work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:47.260 --> 00:57:49.612 fight and then maybe coming up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:49.612 --> 00:57:52.237 an idea that we don't have to push.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:52.240 --> 00:57:53.470 The suicide button.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:53.470 --> 00:57:55.712 Well, this happens, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:55.712 --> 00:57:59.870 in correctional facilities many, many times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:57:59.870 --> 00:58:03.662 And sometimes in one place where I did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:03.662 --> 00:58:06.798 some research they were I was asking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:06.800 --> 00:58:08.840 you know about how who gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:08.840 --> 00:58:10.910 to see mental health services?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:10.910 --> 00:58:12.278 They said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:12.278 --> 00:58:15.950 we have we have emergencies such as suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:15.950 --> 00:58:17.924 We have highly urging cases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:17.924 --> 00:58:20.125 then they were trying to think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:20.125 --> 00:58:22.036 the name of the other third one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:22.040 --> 00:58:24.952 But there was no name for it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:24.952 --> 00:58:27.473 because everyone knew if not an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:27.473 --> 00:58:29.499 emergency and possibly urgent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:29.499 --> 00:58:32.842 I'm just not getting services and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:32.842 --> 00:58:35.330 know that is really a part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:35.406 --> 00:58:37.906 conundrum is that oftentimes these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:37.906 --> 00:58:41.399 services or physical well being is at stake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:41.400 --> 00:58:41.670 Neutral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:41.670 --> 00:58:42.750 that was very helpful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:42.750 --> 00:58:45.022 but my notion would be I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:45.022 --> 00:58:46.960 you could turn the person away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:46.960 --> 00:58:49.426 I think you could evaluate them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:49.430 --> 00:58:51.306 and no one has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:51.306 --> 00:58:55.705 No one has worked break yet on bogus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:55.705 --> 00:58:58.124 suicide complaints and so that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:58:58.124 --> 00:59:00.160 be an area that could be looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:59:00.160 --> 00:59:02.908 It's just the professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:59:02.908 --> 00:59:06.815 responsibility as well as perhaps the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:59:06.815 --> 00:59:09.140 legal responsibility said she would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:59:09.140 --> 00:59:12.579 have that if you turn someone away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:59:12.580 --> 00:59:15.500 Even it was there half a dozen times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:59:15.500 --> 00:59:17.220 they come in with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:59:17.220 --> 00:59:18.746 I would seem very hard to turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227295

00:59:18.746 --> 00:59:20.010 them away at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95338696

00:59:23.320 --> 00:59:25.226 There's another question here asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.95338696

00:59:25.226 --> 00:59:27.650 if you could comment on racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.943372517333333

00:59:27.726 --> 00:59:29.782 and socioeconomic disparities among

NOTE Confidence: 0.943372517333333

00:59:29.782 --> 00:59:32.352 diagnosis of malingering and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.943372517333333

00:59:32.352 --> 00:59:35.323 or not there's a difference in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943372517333333

00:59:35.323 --> 00:59:37.331 likelihood that persons of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.943372517333333

00:59:37.331 --> 00:59:39.386 would be diagnosed as malingerers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958714

00:59:42.100 --> 00:59:44.380 Most of that there is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.958714

00:59:44.380 --> 00:59:46.280 is very little data on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958714

00:59:46.280 --> 00:59:48.480 There are some methods out

NOTE Confidence: 0.958714

00:59:48.480 --> 00:59:50.240 where individuals have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.958714

00:59:50.240 --> 00:59:52.601 divided or even looked at in

NOTE Confidence: 0.958714

00:59:52.601 --> 00:59:54.446 terms of their self identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

00:59:56.590 --> 00:59:59.348 Race or ethnic background and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

00:59:59.350 --> 01:00:01.336 Some message, such as a service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:01.340 --> 01:00:05.426 We have similar data on those regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:05.426 --> 01:00:10.266 regarding their their performances is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:10.266 --> 01:00:14.330 area that is oftentimes not looked at well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:14.330 --> 01:00:16.830 So this was a very bright question, so I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:16.830 --> 01:00:19.170 going to kind of pass out one more piece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:19.170 --> 01:00:21.666 I think one of the places where they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:21.666 --> 01:00:25.003 been huge problems has been in the Spanish

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:25.003 --> 01:00:26.763 translation of malingering measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:26.770 --> 01:00:29.950 which have been not been rigorously

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:29.950 --> 01:00:32.232 evaluated, and I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:32.232 --> 01:00:34.422 creates just a dramatic problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:34.430 --> 01:00:36.369 The private part of that question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:36.369 --> 01:00:41.154 the implications, I think, is due.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:41.154 --> 01:00:43.926 Practitioners have in this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:43.926 --> 01:00:46.500 one has not been tackled directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:46.500 --> 01:00:48.930 What do practitioners have a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:48.930 --> 01:00:51.920 mindset when they are seeing a person

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:51.920 --> 01:00:54.512 like a European American versus African

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:00:54.512 --> 01:00:57.532 American versus a person from a very

01:00:57.532 --> 01:00:59.661 different cultural background that may

01:00:59.661 --> 01:01:02.818 be very recently here in the states?

01:01:02.820 --> 01:01:04.955 And I'd really be interested if anyone

01:01:04.955 --> 01:01:07.168 else has seen any research on that,

01:01:07.170 --> 01:01:10.203 but I think that would be the area I

01:01:10.203 --> 01:01:13.500 can think of how states could be done,

01:01:13.500 --> 01:01:14.668 but they would probably.

01:01:14.668 --> 01:01:16.420 The ones I'm thinking of would

01:01:16.478 --> 01:01:17.858 not be very sophisticated.

01:01:17.860 --> 01:01:19.744 We provide some of this scenario

01:01:19.744 --> 01:01:22.022 and you embed that in it because

01:01:22.022 --> 01:01:23.942 I think it's probably the other

01:01:23.942 --> 01:01:25.678 person to person interaction,

01:01:25.680 --> 01:01:28.776 which is where you would see the types of

01:01:28.776 --> 01:01:31.220 questions being asked in the inferences

01:01:31.220 --> 01:01:33.841 of those questions relative to how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784655101428571

01:01:33.841 --> 01:01:36.176 Individuals are seen as malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790991786

01:01:38.410 --> 01:01:39.640 I also see a handup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789630123333333

01:01:39.770 --> 01:01:42.038 Yes, Joseph, go ahead, done yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86820795

01:01:46.120 --> 01:01:48.850 I think thanks for the presentation so and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873939971666667

01:01:48.860 --> 01:01:50.450 I apologize, I had to miss

NOTE Confidence: 0.865740985

01:01:50.540 --> 01:01:52.262 part of the beginning, so this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.865740985

01:01:52.262 --> 01:01:54.368 explained. Then just tell me so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8384805

01:01:54.380 --> 01:01:56.144 and I'm happy to be quiet again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8384805

01:01:56.150 --> 01:01:58.978 but so in terms of disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.80183292

01:01:58.990 --> 01:02:01.440 evaluations and also evaluations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80183292

01:02:01.440 --> 01:02:02.908 civil litigation, the problem is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.911955806666667

01:02:02.920 --> 01:02:04.750 typically the threshold of malingering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906644994347826

01:02:05.090 --> 01:02:06.914 it's a substantiation of the deficits

NOTE Confidence: 0.906644994347826

01:02:06.914 --> 01:02:09.255 that you see in front of you in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.906644994347826

01:02:09.255 --> 01:02:11.298 lot of cases that I see are actually

90

NOTE Confidence: 0.906644994347826

01:02:11.300 --> 01:02:14.070 more mixed more along the lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.906644994347826

01:02:14.070 --> 01:02:16.430 of they have some difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906644994347826

01:02:16.430 --> 01:02:17.685 So they don't quite reach

NOTE Confidence: 0.906644994347826

01:02:17.685 --> 01:02:18.590 the threshold, and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.92342424

01:02:18.600 --> 01:02:20.040 such some of the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9192456

01:02:20.710 --> 01:02:23.098 indeterminant thresholds that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91627248

01:02:23.110 --> 01:02:25.150 talked about actually end up being

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:25.160 --> 01:02:26.896 pretty relevant to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:26.896 --> 01:02:29.500 whether or not you can determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:29.500 --> 01:02:31.482 the degree of disability or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:31.482 --> 01:02:32.546 symptoms that they're presenting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:32.550 --> 01:02:33.670 Can you just comment on

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:33.670 --> 01:02:35.076 that in terms of popular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:35.076 --> 01:02:37.190 you use that in cases like that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:37.190 --> 01:02:38.584 Or do you always have

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:38.584 --> 01:02:39.766 a hard criteria to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91715285

01:02:39.766 --> 01:02:40.676 well, you got to reach

NOTE Confidence: 0.828938215

01:02:40.690 --> 01:02:42.790 this level, or will

NOTE Confidence: 0.817932677777778

01:02:42.800 --> 01:02:43.755 just take it face value

NOTE Confidence: 0.817932677777778

01:02:43.755 --> 01:02:44.519 of what you're saying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:02:46.040 --> 01:02:47.468 This whole piece of that question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:02:47.470 --> 01:02:49.430 and I think both of them are excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:02:49.430 --> 01:02:51.879 to 19 touch up on either than Joseph so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:02:51.880 --> 01:02:55.320 so I think that's that's excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:02:55.320 --> 01:02:57.929 So let me point out, first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:02:57.929 --> 01:03:01.622 in terms of research where I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:03:01.622 --> 01:03:04.770 have failed a bit and I think this touches

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:03:04.770 --> 01:03:06.956 upon your point slightly obliquely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:03:06.956 --> 01:03:09.348 but hits it mostly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:03:09.350 --> 01:03:11.594 We asked people relative to about

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:03:11.594 --> 01:03:14.130 the symptoms and and sometimes those

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:03:14.130 --> 01:03:16.521 symptoms are being faster, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:03:16.521 --> 01:03:19.227 The era that we've done very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744483226666667

01:03:19.230 --> 01:03:22.158 very little research in is those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:24.320 --> 01:03:27.848 Is those where they're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:27.848 --> 01:03:30.266 reporting symptoms accurately?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:30.270 --> 01:03:32.964 What they're in claiming much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:32.964 --> 01:03:35.869 in capacity because of the symptoms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:35.870 --> 01:03:37.970 So here's a silly example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:37.970 --> 01:03:39.486 but inaccurate, but one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:39.486 --> 01:03:42.322 I had a person who came to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:42.322 --> 01:03:44.888 me as a part of a disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:44.888 --> 01:03:47.200 evaluation who was a dentist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:47.200 --> 01:03:49.485 And he basically said I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:49.485 --> 01:03:51.313 become anxious at times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:51.320 --> 01:03:53.632 sometimes during procedures in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:53.632 --> 01:03:57.100 adult minor tremors in my hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:57.100 --> 01:03:58.396 When I'm doing, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:03:58.396 --> 01:04:01.900 is a dental procedure in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:01.900 --> 01:04:04.616 concerned about that was a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:04.616 --> 01:04:06.272 where I'm absolutely convinced

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:06.272 --> 01:04:08.751 that because of some of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:08.751 --> 01:04:10.336 things that were reported in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:10.402 --> 01:04:12.775 some of the records that this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:12.775 --> 01:04:14.200 probably a place where you may.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:14.200 --> 01:04:16.720 I mean, I've had some a bit of anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:16.720 --> 01:04:18.075 but not to the threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:18.075 --> 01:04:19.159 that you needed treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:19.160 --> 01:04:22.620 ETC, but he was using it as a way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:22.723 --> 01:04:26.510 saying and thus I'm impaired and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:26.510 --> 01:04:29.780 think they continue to get benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:29.780 --> 01:04:32.356 So we've been sent very little time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:32.360 --> 01:04:35.330 I started a project I have not finished yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:35.330 --> 01:04:37.388 I'll be quite honest about looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:37.388 --> 01:04:39.640 at how people report the symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:39.640 --> 01:04:42.178 but not to exaggerate the symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:42.180 --> 01:04:44.160 but to exaggerate its effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:44.160 --> 01:04:47.480 to say on their work life or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:47.480 --> 01:04:50.208 other realms of their existence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:50.210 --> 01:04:52.474 I do think though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:52.474 --> 01:04:55.870 on from a dimensional perspective that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:55.969 --> 01:04:58.778 we can say in this is my take on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:58.780 --> 01:04:59.810 I would like us to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:04:59.810 --> 01:05:01.826 Be very clear this person is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:01.826 --> 01:05:03.699 not malingering or meets the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:03.699 --> 01:05:05.079 criteria for malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:05.080 --> 01:05:07.000 If that is indeed the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

95

01:05:07.000 --> 01:05:11.060 but in fact is that with regards to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:11.060 --> 01:05:13.962 reporting of the symptoms that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:13.962 --> 01:05:17.268 appears to be maybe some elaboration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:17.270 --> 01:05:20.728 some accentuation on some of these symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:20.730 --> 01:05:23.166 and then in terms of what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:23.166 --> 01:05:25.270 needed in terms of typically are

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:25.270 --> 01:05:27.320 asked for which remediation plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:27.320 --> 01:05:29.917 or your ideas of what that might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:29.920 --> 01:05:32.464 Looks like then I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:32.464 --> 01:05:35.950 could be incorporated into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:35.950 --> 01:05:40.252 I find sometimes you know the use of words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:40.260 --> 01:05:41.605 I think it's very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:41.605 --> 01:05:42.950 to find what they're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:42.950 --> 01:05:46.478 So because I have found in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:46.478 --> 01:05:48.635 world of disability evaluations

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:48.635 --> 01:05:52.971 that is very easy for people to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:52.971 --> 01:05:55.676 you for proxies for malingering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:55.680 --> 01:05:57.904 You know in terms of and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:05:57.904 --> 01:06:00.487 it's so to me it's important that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:00.487 --> 01:06:02.437 we delineate that one measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:02.440 --> 01:06:05.690 of which I rather like when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:05.690 --> 01:06:07.530 comes to disability cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:07.530 --> 01:06:08.838 Is the ages 5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:08.838 --> 01:06:11.245 which is really much more of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:11.245 --> 01:06:13.800 things that you run into in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:13.800 --> 01:06:16.207 of anxiety is on mood disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:16.210 --> 01:06:18.268 so comes a good Cam it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:18.270 --> 01:06:20.706 but only a screen for psychotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:20.706 --> 01:06:22.683 symptoms that infectious gets to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:22.683 --> 01:06:24.971 a point where you can look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:24.971 --> 01:06:26.922 levels of very they're reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:26.922 --> 01:06:29.316 based upon what they're saying you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:29.320 --> 01:06:31.330 know based upon collateral sources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:31.330 --> 01:06:34.430 hopefully inform at once.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:34.430 --> 01:06:35.578 Recent case in Houston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:35.578 --> 01:06:38.510 This can be a pain in the *** to administer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:38.510 --> 01:06:40.235 Let's be honest about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:40.240 --> 01:06:43.509 I had a case in Houston where

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:43.509 --> 01:06:45.065 I thought this could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:45.065 --> 01:06:46.774 I had like a lot in an hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.6448826

01:06:46.774 --> 01:06:48.279 and a half or so far this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:06:48.280 --> 01:06:50.836 As it turns out we finished.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:06:50.840 --> 01:06:53.961 I think in 20 to 30 minutes 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:06:53.961 --> 01:06:57.447 every symptom he he endorsed every

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:06:57.447 --> 01:07:00.287 single symptom and he endorsed

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:00.287 --> 01:07:03.263 it to the most extreme level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:03.270 --> 01:07:05.783 So it didn't take us long to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:05.783 --> 01:07:08.030 go through and to find that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:08.030 --> 01:07:10.414 but when it's wants us to Mac like

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:10.414 --> 01:07:12.858 that and I can then compare what

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:12.858 --> 01:07:15.466 this person is reporting in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:15.466 --> 01:07:17.758 maybe trauma or PTSD type symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:17.760 --> 01:07:20.008 I can compare systematically

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:20.008 --> 01:07:22.818 across different sources of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95602966

01:07:22.820 --> 01:07:25.548 Excellent question. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842485

01:07:33.530 --> 01:07:35.448 We've had some very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.842485

01:07:35.460 --> 01:07:39.880 cases where, for example, surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:07:39.880 --> 01:07:42.802 who have had these great insurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:07:42.802 --> 01:07:46.120 policies that say if you can't function

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:07:46.120 --> 01:07:48.900 in the job that you were trained to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:07:48.900 --> 01:07:53.230 then you will get a very high return on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:07:53.230 --> 01:07:55.738 And when you have people who've

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:07:55.738 --> 01:07:59.245 gotten sued and then say I'm too

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:07:59.245 --> 01:08:01.910 anxious to do surgery anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:08:01.910 --> 01:08:05.162 Uh, I've found that very hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:08:05.162 --> 01:08:07.710 evaluate in terms of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:08:07.710 --> 01:08:09.480 you don't want to send somebody in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:08:09.480 --> 01:08:12.196 who's really anxious to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:08:12.196 --> 01:08:14.736 At the same time I I've I've had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:08:14.736 --> 01:08:16.452 a very hard time figuring out

NOTE Confidence: 0.7818042

01:08:16.452 --> 01:08:18.140 how much is exaggerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:20.020 --> 01:08:21.730 I I would agree with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:21.730 --> 01:08:24.700 Howard completely that some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:24.700 --> 01:08:27.159 occupation specific ones are by

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:27.159 --> 01:08:29.124 far the most challenging because

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:29.124 --> 01:08:31.958 there is a lot of times they

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:31.958 --> 01:08:34.316 have very specific set of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:34.320 --> 01:08:38.028 I assumed that these surgeries were

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:38.028 --> 01:08:40.866 not being recorded and if they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:40.866 --> 01:08:42.907 I'm not quite sure how I would do

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:42.907 --> 01:08:44.699 with that data because I'm not in

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:44.699 --> 01:08:46.796 a position that I could comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:46.796 --> 01:08:47.864 on that whatsoever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:47.870 --> 01:08:48.971 Obviously that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:48.971 --> 01:08:50.905 that we look for is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:50.905 --> 01:08:53.230 Are there recordings of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:53.230 --> 01:08:56.160 person that would allow you to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:56.160 --> 01:08:57.865 but I can't imagine anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:57.865 --> 01:08:59.229 that we replicate surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:08:59.230 --> 01:09:01.960 but in some instances we see with

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:01.960 --> 01:09:04.972 the person has claimed those case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:04.972 --> 01:09:08.518 Canadian case we're was claiming all

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:08.518 --> 01:09:12.418 these issues and being able to move etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:12.420 --> 01:09:14.975 That was not an occupational specific case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:14.980 --> 01:09:16.550 but there you know watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:16.550 --> 01:09:18.430 him gamble for hours on end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:18.430 --> 01:09:19.234 By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:19.234 --> 01:09:20.574 when the most warring things

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:20.574 --> 01:09:22.048 that you can possibly do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:22.050 --> 01:09:23.828 but you were able to at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:23.828 --> 01:09:25.310 get some clarity information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:25.310 --> 01:09:28.486 So to me the part of that task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:28.490 --> 01:09:32.170 if this is kind of a basic surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:32.170 --> 01:09:35.030 team is would be to see if I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:35.030 --> 01:09:37.707 have the opportunity to have extended

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:37.707 --> 01:09:40.695 interviews and with members of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:40.778 --> 01:09:43.767 staff of the surgery staff and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:43.767 --> 01:09:46.511 the question would be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:46.511 --> 01:09:48.946 the closing ranks and protecting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:48.950 --> 01:09:52.250 Person dies that would be inevitable

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:52.250 --> 01:09:54.870 that I would consider my abs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:54.870 --> 01:09:55.710 I agree with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.660105845

01:09:55.710 --> 01:09:58.560 those can be extremely trying cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:11.510 --> 01:10:14.129 So I don't see anything else in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:14.129 --> 01:10:17.130 I I was going to ask you that about the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:17.130 --> 01:10:19.280 One of the slides you started out

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:19.280 --> 01:10:21.154 with the the 1936 article from

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:21.154 --> 01:10:23.182 Seltzer about the difference in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:23.182 --> 01:10:25.292 rate of disability of people in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:25.292 --> 01:10:27.490 VA versus from the military records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:27.490 --> 01:10:30.030 Because I've seen some recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:30.030 --> 01:10:31.608 literature that describes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:31.608 --> 01:10:34.100 process and there are sort of legit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:34.100 --> 01:10:36.752 There are some legitimate reasons why

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:36.752 --> 01:10:39.457 people would minimize symptoms that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:39.457 --> 01:10:41.637 have while they're currently serving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:41.640 --> 01:10:43.985 but then bring them up more after

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:43.985 --> 01:10:45.760 they're discharged from the service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:45.760 --> 01:10:46.928 including things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:46.928 --> 01:10:49.848 Like the stigma of reporting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:49.850 --> 01:10:51.562 particularly mental health symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:51.562 --> 01:10:54.750 when one is actively in the service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:54.750 --> 01:10:56.106 particularly during wartime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:56.106 --> 01:10:59.270 Uh, ANOTHER is that it might reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:10:59.340 --> 01:11:02.466 negatively on someone's chances of promotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:11:02.470 --> 01:11:05.278 And once someone's left the service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:11:05.280 --> 01:11:07.920 those things are no longer a

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:11:07.920 --> 01:11:10.197 consideration and people may feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:11:10.197 --> 01:11:13.256 freer to to disclose the symptoms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938920022105263

01:11:13.256 --> 01:11:14.879 they're that they're experiencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:16.620 --> 01:11:19.630 Yeah, I, I think I think all that is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:19.630 --> 01:11:22.102 I also think that and I I did

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:22.102 --> 01:11:24.070 not serve in the military.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:24.070 --> 01:11:26.254 But I also think under the stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:26.254 --> 01:11:28.503 of combat where all of you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:28.503 --> 01:11:30.751 experiencing you from my stress is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:30.751 --> 01:11:32.623 very different world that when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:32.623 --> 01:11:35.280 come back to civilian life and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:35.280 --> 01:11:37.430 entire different set of stresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:37.430 --> 01:11:41.390 there that you're experiencing. But.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:41.390 --> 01:11:45.054 Many times he seems I think then become

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:45.060 --> 01:11:48.420 much more of an issue at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:48.420 --> 01:11:50.780 And you wonder whether or not we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:50.780 --> 01:11:53.542 do might do a better job in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:53.542 --> 01:11:56.226 bringing people back and socializing, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:11:56.226 --> 01:12:00.944 A colleague of mine in the past, in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:00.944 --> 01:12:03.654 is was doing a lot of work with DoD

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:03.654 --> 01:12:06.334 and they were trying to use a virtual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:06.340 --> 01:12:08.185 They were using virtual realities

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:08.185 --> 01:12:10.843 as a way of training people how

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:10.843 --> 01:12:12.698 to be effective at killing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:12.700 --> 01:12:14.751 And one question he asked wouldn't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:14.751 --> 01:12:17.010 get a great response on what are you

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:17.010 --> 01:12:19.289 going to do to help deprogram them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:19.290 --> 01:12:20.427 Once they're done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:20.427 --> 01:12:23.564 and I think that that becomes a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:23.564 --> 01:12:26.780 issue of we teach you how to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:26.780 --> 01:12:29.142 desensitized in that practically makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:29.142 --> 01:12:31.962 sense in terms of combat situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:31.970 --> 01:12:34.196 but we doing enough at the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:34.196 --> 01:12:35.929 end and then of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:35.930 --> 01:12:38.158 then there's obviously PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:38.158 --> 01:12:41.790 which is many times we see a delayed onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906472529

01:12:41.790 --> 01:12:42.180 was there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996818

01:12:45.730 --> 01:12:47.840 OK, well take thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996818

01:12:47.840 --> 01:12:49.050 much for your presentation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:12:49.094 --> 01:12:50.588 for your discussion with us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:12:50.590 --> 01:12:51.894 On behalf of everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:12:51.894 --> 01:12:53.850 I just want to express our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:12:53.919 --> 01:12:56.235 gratitude for your being here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:12:56.235 --> 01:12:58.545 person even though in person meant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:12:58.545 --> 01:13:01.308 so much of a virtual presence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:13:01.310 --> 01:13:02.792 But it was great having you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:13:02.792 --> 01:13:04.652 with us and I'm so glad that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:13:04.652 --> 01:13:06.272 we were able to conclude with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062029775

01:13:06.272 --> 01:13:08.098 this grand rounds presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938376208888889

01:13:09.160 --> 01:13:10.996 Well, thank you so much again for having me.