WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:14:25.5360000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489899

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.070$ Just want to say how pleased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489899

 $00:00:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.738$ we are to have you here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489899

 $00:00:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.379$ And it's my honor to introduce Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489899

00:00:07.379 --> 00:00:10.520 Wendy Silverman who is a who is the Alfred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489899

 $00{:}00{:}10.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.956$ a MSR professor of child psychiatry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489899

 $00:00:12.956 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.535$ director of the Anxiety and Mood Disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489899

 $00{:}00{:}15.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.720$ Program at the Yale Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00{:}00{:}19.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.488$ Doctor Silverman received her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

00:00:21.490 --> 00:00:23.700 PhD in clinical psychology from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

00:00:23.700 --> 00:00:25.468 Case Western Reserve University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:25.470 \dashrightarrow 00:00:29.006$ and she's been at the Yale University for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:29.006 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.190$ quite some time now following her training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.520$ Over the course of her career, she is really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.960$ She's published numerous scientific

 $00:00:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.556$ papers and chapters in the area of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

00:00:40.556 --> 00:00:42.436 child and adolescent anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.290$ including five books of note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

00:00:44.290 --> 00:00:46.140 She developed the Anxiety Disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

00:00:46.140 --> 00:00:47.620 interview scheduled for Children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.045$ which is widely used in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:50.045 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.500$ research and practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.848$ In addition to her scholarly papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.580$ she's been the principal investigator or Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00{:}00{:}56.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.096$ Investigator on National Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:58.096 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.991$ of Mental Health Research Grants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:00:59.991 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.019$ to develop and evaluate treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

00:01:02.019 --> 00:01:04.004 for anxiety disorders in children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:01:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.424$ adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:01:04.424 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.322$ She served as the chairperson of the

 $00:01:07.322 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.617$ NIH Intervention Grant Review Panel and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00{:}01{:}09.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.183$ as an associate editor and editor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:01:12.183 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.553$ many of the major preeminent journals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:01:14.553 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.916$ in clinical psychology and as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:01:16.916 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.706$ reflection of her stature in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00:01:19.706 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.796$ She is a past president.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

 $00{:}01{:}21.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.215$ The Society of Clinical Child

NOTE Confidence: 0.8523846

00:01:24.215 --> 00:01:26.630 and Adolescent Psychology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888463725

 $00{:}01{:}26.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.098$ American Psychological Association. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

00:01:29.100 --> 00:01:30.336 perhaps most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00:01:30.336 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.396$ in some respects she's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

00:01:32.396 --> 00:01:34.519 working with anxious youth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

00:01:34.519 --> 00:01:36.167 their families and supervising

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

00:01:36.167 --> 00:01:38.340 trainees for over three decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00:01:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.084$ So you know she's provided both clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00{:}01{:}41.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.826$ service but also has seated the next

 $00{:}01{:}43.826 \to 00{:}01{:}46.178$ generation of researchers in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00{:}01{:}46.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.244$ So today I'm really I want to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00:01:49.244 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.060$ how fortunate we are to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

00:01:52.060 --> 00:01:55.800 opportunity to learn from you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00:01:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.756$ From your experience as a clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00:01:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.846$ an innovative researcher in the field of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00:01:59.846 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.670$ child and adolescent anxiety disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722872

 $00:02:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.300$ So thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.497$ OK, well thank you very much Stephanie

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}02{:}06.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.551$ for that very nice introduction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:08.551 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.663$ also thank you for inviting me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}02{:}10.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.838$ It's an honor to be able to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}02{:}13.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.148$ today at Grand rounds in psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}02{:}17.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.192$ I'm I didn't realize when I was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:20.192 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.959$ begin preparing for this presentation.

00:02:22.960 --> 00:02:25.888 What actually would have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:02:25.890 --> 00:02:26.786 Wonderful opportunity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:26.786 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.474$ it actually provided me to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:29.474 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.879$ stop and sit and reflect on what it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.592$ that I've been doing for more than half

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}02{:}35.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.558$ of my life which is trying to help and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:39.558 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.920$ understand anxiety disorders in children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:02:41.920 --> 00:02:44.993 And so I think you'll hear throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}02{:}44.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.858$ my presentation of reflection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:46.858 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.208$ of some of my reflection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.706$ And this also will include a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:02:51.706 --> 00:02:52.954 some historical perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}02{:}52.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.345$ because I think that historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:55.345 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.240$ perspective also helps put the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:02:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.264$ that will be presenting in some context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:03:01.270 --> 00:03:04.198 So this is the title of my presentation,

 $00:03:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.396$ which I hope will become clearer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:06.396 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.860$ what it all means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:03:07.860 --> 00:03:10.415 As I get through it the type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.884$ the term experimental psychotherapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:11.884 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.080$ I actually really love that term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.812$ It's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:14.812 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.008$ It's a term from the NIH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.792$ and I actually love it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:18.792 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.026$ I think it does highlight that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:21.026 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.496$ we're doing experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.960$ We're doing extremely well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:03:23.960 --> 00:03:24.690 controlled experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.010$ but it's kind of a handful to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.450$ saying this throughout my presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:29.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.748$ so I will be referring to

 $00:03:31.748 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.514$ experimental psychotherapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}03{:}32.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.650$ As clinical trials this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:03:36.650 --> 00:03:37.940 And this, uh?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}03{:}37.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.090$ Stephanie mentioned the interview schedule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.670$ which I will be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:42.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.390$ in a few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.400$ and I do receive royalties from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.060$ so my objectives for today is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}03{:}50.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.724$ summarize knowledge and identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:51.724 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.994$ knowledge gaps in clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}03{:}53.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.430$ of of cognitive behavior therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.010$ which CBT in my slides of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:03:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.300$ pediatric anxiety disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.880$ And then this is a journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.070$ So I will be highlighting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.260$ my journey to enhance CBT.

 $00{:}04{:}07.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.798$ Outcomes and the way I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}04{:}09.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.122$ trying to do this is by augmenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:13.122 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.170$ and dismantling clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}04{:}15.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.954$ and a lot of this work has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:18.954 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.581$ with parents and within the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:04:21.581 --> 00:04:24.347 eight to 10 years with attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.140$ retraining methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.905$ And then because I am at heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:27.905 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.720$ wanting to really help children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00{:}04{:}30.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.600$ trained clinicians and supervise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:04:32.600 --> 00:04:34.979 trainees throughout my presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.470$ I will be weaving through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.085$ The things that we've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:39.085 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.054$ that discoveries clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

 $00:04:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.999$ and the implications,

 $00:04:40.999 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.877$ and then I'll conclude with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854506

00:04:42.877 --> 00:04:44.269 ongoing and future research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00:04:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.018$ Since there is a lot I'm trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00:04:48.018 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.360$ to pack in, it's like you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00:04:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.810$ This is the structure of my presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00:04:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.610$ As you'll see some background about the DSM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

00:04:56.610 --> 00:04:58.360 some background and CBT sampling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00:04:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.110$ then the work with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00:05:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.860$ parents and then and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00:05:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.924$ which is much of the emphasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00{:}05{:}03.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.071$ and then the attention can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649582

 $00:05:06.071 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.159$ training and some of the takeaways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:05:11.220 --> 00:05:15.370 So I do need to begin with at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:05:15.370 --> 00:05:18.160 actually, because some people in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:05:18.160 --> 00:05:20.814 audience might not even have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:20.814 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.662$ born in when the DSM three came out,

 $00:05:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.966$ which was in 1980 because it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:25.966 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.743$ only in 1980 when there was even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:28.743 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.893$ some things called anxiety disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:30.893 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.218$ in children and adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:05:33.220 --> 00:05:35.295 DSM two just had something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:35.295 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.540$ called overanxious reaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.418$ so all of a sudden, dear, some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:39.418 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.630$ Free in 1980,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:05:40.630 --> 00:05:43.422 there was a whole new set of problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:43.422 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.200$ that came into existence and I don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.050$ and that's a literal statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:05:48.050 --> 00:05:50.252 An an I actually began my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:50.252 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.130$ career in the early 80s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:05:52.130 --> 00:05:54.356 and so this was a ripe opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:05:54.356 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.465$ for me to get into something

00:05:56.465 --> 00:05:58.992 that was brand new and that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00{:}05{:}59.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.001$ exciting and I had done some related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:06:02.001 --> 00:06:03.653 fear studies Graduate School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:06:03.653 --> 00:06:06.797 but it was really a brand new opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:06.797 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.565$ and and we didn't know at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.988$ but we now know how prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00{:}06{:}11.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.529$ these problems are and how the on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.260$ Set of these problems begin so early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00{:}06{:}17.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.348$ Median age of onset is 11 and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:06:20.348 --> 00:06:21.964 lifetime prevalence of anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:21.964 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.889$ disorders is close to 30%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.422$ And anybody who knows people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:26.422 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.980$ anxiety can know how crippling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:06:28.980 --> 00:06:31.122 And the amount of suffering and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:31.122 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.409$ burden that they cause on families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.618$ An intern on society. This list.

 $00:06:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.469$ There are the slower the anxiety disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00{:}06{:}38.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.788$ that currently exist in the DSM five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.366$ I actually put them in developmental order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.948$ So like the ones in the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.924$ begin more early childhood and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:06:47.924 --> 00:06:50.539 you get more into the middle childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:50.539 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.594$ and then later into adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:06:52.600 --> 00:06:54.440 It's not carved in stone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.280$ but there is this general

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00{:}06{:}56.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.120$ developmental pattern and the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:06:58.185 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.465$ the three disorders with the astrex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:00.470 --> 00:07:00.811 Separation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:00.811 --> 00:07:01.834 social and geazy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:01.834 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.438$ Those are the most common in children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:04.438 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.420$ and adolescents,

00:07:05.420 --> 00:07:07.325 and our clinical trials primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:07.325 --> 00:07:08.849 focus on these disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.811$ and so that's these are the disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:11.811 --> 00:07:14.890 that you'll be hearing most about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.970$ that we that we're trying to help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:17.970 --> 00:07:20.805 Now when I began my career as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.882$ there was not much out there and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:22.882 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.104$ knew I wanted to help children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:25.104 --> 00:07:27.161 I began my career career actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:27.161 --> 00:07:28.896 at Suni Albany State University

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00{:}07{:}28.896 --> 00{:}07{:}30.628$ of New York at Albany.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:30.628 --> 00:07:31.304 David Barlow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:31.304 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.399$ who I do view as a mentor and is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.974$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:34.974 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.409$ very important person in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:36.409 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.189$ the field of adults anxiety.

00:07:38.190 --> 00:07:40.105 He developed the Adult Anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:40.105 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.637$ Disorders interview schedule and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:41.637 --> 00:07:43.877 when I spoke with Dave and I said no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.408$ I want to do this for the kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:07:46.410 --> 00:07:48.080 He says, well, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.228$ We need an interview for Children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.718$ which I did because at that time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:52.718 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.121$ still to this day you need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:55.121 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.720$ able to show that you can carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:07:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.040$ phenotype the participants and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:08:00.040 \dashrightarrow 00:08:02.760$ clinically to know who you're working with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:08:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.082$ Com ability is rampant and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00:08:05.082 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.693$ a lot of overlapping symptoms an an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

 $00{:}08{:}07.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.003$ it is a challenge so I developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:08:10.083 --> 00:08:11.347 the DSM 3 version,

00:08:11.350 --> 00:08:13.674 the DSM 3R version and then Anne

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:08:13.674 --> 00:08:15.866 Marie Albano who's sitting there in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475516

00:08:15.866 --> 00:08:18.505 the corner is my friend and collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:08:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.115$ Columbia. And she's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}08{:}20.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.484$ coauthor of the date of the DSM

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:08:22.484 --> 00:08:24.224 four and season five versions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:08:24.230 --> 00:08:25.486 'cause it's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:08:25.486 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.750$ it's actually quite a bit of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}08{:}27.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.375$ These anxiety categories are changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:08:29.375 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.270$ with each version of the DSM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}08{:}31.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.691$ and I put the slide up also to let

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:08:33.691 --> 00:08:36.375 people know for those of you who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:08:36.375 --> 00:08:38.629 doing clinical trials research like and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:08:38.630 --> 00:08:41.190 I thought this was actually kind of cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:08:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.458$ Frankly, that since the 80s has become

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}08{:}43.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.667$ the main measure used in most trials,

00:08:45.670 --> 00:08:47.590 not just in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:08:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.190$ but in most in internationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}08{:}49.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.794$ and it's been translated in many countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:08:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.275$ I'm a bunch of us got together and develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}08{:}54.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.608$ this international consensus statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:08:56.610 --> 00:08:59.004 How do you deal with the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:08:59.004 --> 00:09:01.050 children and different payment reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.530$ the com ability, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.380$ And then Joan Luby Rd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}09{:}04.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.956$ I thought a nice editorial kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:06.956 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.219$ highlighting that this is a nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:09:09.219 --> 00:09:11.034 template for other clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}09{:}11.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.413$ so I I think that's one important

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:09:13.413 --> 00:09:15.479 point about the importance of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.020$ especially when doing clinical

 $00:09:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.330$ trials research to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:09:19.395 --> 00:09:21.846 trying to get people, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:21.846 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.997$ World on the same page on phenotyping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.830$ In terms of dimensional measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.655$ which is another important part

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:29.655 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.115$ of our assessment procedure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.316$ There's a lot of different measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.538$ and Becca Atkins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:34.538 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.380$ a postdoc in our anxiety program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:37.456 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.344$ and in the past year we put together

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}09{:}40.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.716$ this review one there was not too

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:09:42.716 --> 00:09:44.960 much to put into two separate papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.270$ so we did the child and parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.330$ measure separately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.520$ and the main takeaway here is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.696$ first of all, there are many more studies

 $00:09:53.696 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.706$ looking at the use of the Child report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588 $00:09:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.724$ But but still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:57.724 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.752$ the pan reportedly in his ID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:09:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.460$ is also an important indicator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.241$ and I mainly just want to put out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:04.241 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.878$ that when you look at these measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.575$ the crate they've been looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:10:08.575 --> 00:10:10.270 at pretty much more thoroughly

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:10:10.330 --> 00:10:11.970 than one might suspect norms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:10:11.970 --> 00:10:12.628 internal consistency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:12.628 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.260$ and you can see the list down there

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:15.326 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.638$ and we we came away with the conclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}10{:}17.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.468$ that these measures actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:10:19.468 --> 00:10:21.453 good to excellent cycle metrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.344$ and we particularly came away with

 $00:10:23.344 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.148$ the idea for the most current for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}10{:}26.148 {\:\hbox{--}}{\:\raisebox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}10{:}27.998$ current measures that the scared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.465$ The one that you see the seconds of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}10{:}31.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.605$ end actually has the strongest cycle metrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.431$ So we have phenotyping with interviews Anne

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:38.431 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.630$ with rating scales and another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.880$ part of what we do at the anxiety program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.384$ Because we do a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588 00:10:45.384 --> 00:10:46.136 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.504$ we definitely consider all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}10{:}48.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.382$ units of analysis as per our doc and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:51.382 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.030$ so we have some novel ways illegally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.870$ Poets developed a really cool

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.710$ kinetic motion detecting test task

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:10:57.771 --> 00:10:59.666 to assess approach and avoidance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:10:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.309$ And we published some papers on that.

00:11:02.310 --> 00:11:05.047 You know, we're also doing eye tracking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:11:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.145$ We do parent child interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00{:}11{:}07.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.662$ and we measure oxytocin at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:11:09.662 --> 00:11:11.708 same time we do speech tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:11:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.750$ So we do a comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:11:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.382$ behavioral assessment when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:11:15.382 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.199$ children come through with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:11:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.160$ Using these types of methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

 $00:11:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.120$ We also have been collecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.820691676470588

00:11:21.120 --> 00:11:22.688 biological and neural targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}11{:}22.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.532$ and so I mentioned the oxytocin that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}11{:}25.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.788$ the Tiffany necklace at the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}11{:}27.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.555$ That's not a Tiffany necklace that's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:11:30.555 --> 00:11:33.268 oxytocin and and with Jim Lechman we've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:11:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.400$ you know, been doing stuff.

00:11:35.400 --> 00:11:36.903 Really interesting patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:11:36.903 --> 00:11:38.907 of oxytocin relations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:11:38.907 --> 00:11:41.450 children and their mothers flora.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:11:41.450 --> 00:11:42.959 Vaccarino, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:11:42.959 --> 00:11:45.474 we've been looking into fibro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:11:45.480 --> 00:11:48.108 glow, fibroblast growth factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}11{:}48.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.050$ FGF two and looking into bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:11:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.229$ Help with Hillary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:11:53.229 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.980$ We've been doing and LNG and psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:11:55.980 --> 00:11:58.152 We've been doing brain imaging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:11:58.152 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.524$ collecting data on the children when

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:00.524 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.659$ they're going through our trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:02.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.018$ The rainbow figure is genetic work

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:05.018 --> 00:12:07.238 with Tom Fernandez, an Emily Olson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:07.238 --> 00:12:09.660 and then we also do collect AEG

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:09.736 --> 00:12:11.831 and this particular paper actually

 $00:12:11.831 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.450$ was the data for pilot stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}12{:}14.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.648$ The data that served for our current

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:16.648 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.170 \text{ R } 01 \text{ on attention processing.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.386$ So we you know, so you know so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.546$ The soda is really important to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:24.546 --> 00:12:27.019 this type of multimethod assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:27.020 --> 00:12:29.336 and I'm I'm I'm really pleased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.132$ frankly, and I I came in 2013 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:32.132 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.739$ I'm actually really pleased with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.373$ Know how well we've been reaching and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}12{:}38.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.228$ collaborating with experts in these areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.790$ So that's the measurement part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:42.790 --> 00:12:45.350 Now I want to get into the most of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:45.416 --> 00:12:47.780 my present my presentation is about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:47.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.028$ which is about treatment.

00:12:49.028 --> 00:12:49.964 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.366$ assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:50.366 --> 00:12:52.742 You can have good treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:52.742 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.771$ evidence based treatments with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:54.771 --> 00:12:56.339 that evidence based assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:12:56.340 --> 00:12:59.049 So that's why I I emphasize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:12:59.049 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.679$ evidence based based assessment and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.452$ This is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:13:02.452 --> 00:13:04.768 So once we had the anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:13:04.768 --> 00:13:06.250 disorders interview schedule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.402$ we were able to show that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:08.402 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.639$ able to phenotype the children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:10.639 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.081$ Phil Kendall actually was the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:13.152 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.644$ person to do the first individual CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.738$ We did the second group CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}13{:}17.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20.716$ I'm sorry we did the first we did.

00:13:20.720 --> 00:13:22.585 First trials showing that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:22.585 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.620$ can do CBT in a group format,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.772$ and this is a data from that trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:28.772 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.942$ where we've showed 64% of kids in Group

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:13:31.942 --> 00:13:33.732 improve 5013 don't the significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:33.732 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.819$ time by treatment interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.725$ but what's interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:37.725 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.558$ this this is 1999 in the latest

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:40.558 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.688$ Cochran review where they looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}13{:}42.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.609$ at across the papers of 41 studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

00:13:45.610 --> 00:13:49.075 It was remarkable to me how the rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}13{:}49.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.676$ remission with same basically 59% mission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00{:}13{:}51.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.456$ Versus 15 in the weightless

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:53.456 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.880$ medium to launch effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.818$ Although the durability is an issue

00:13:56.818 --> 00:13:59.310 and that is varies across the studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:13:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.760$ and that's an issue that we're very

NOTE Confidence: 0.84085673

 $00:14:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.090$ interested in in trying to work on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.214$ And with the cams, is that the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:09.214 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.950$ multi site multi method paper study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:14:11.950 --> 00:14:15.035 came out a while ago and they released

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:15.035 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.354$ their data and so this this is going to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:18.354 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.993$ I'm telling you why this is relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:20.993 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.670$ in a moment. So with Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.090$ Jerome Taylor was a solid fellow and he an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}14{:}28.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.497$ myself and Michael Block and Ellie Leibowitz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.293$ We Annaly analyze some of the cameras

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}14{:}34.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.268$ data and we looked at the predictors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:37.268 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.782$ of poor outcome and what we found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:40.782 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.883$ terms of CBT predictors of poor outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:14:43.890 --> 00:14:46.020 We found that severe anxiety,

00:14:46.020 --> 00:14:47.322 especially social anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:14:47.322 --> 00:14:51.436 anxiety and low SES and OC D were main

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:51.436 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.916$ effect predictors of poor outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.545$ And the cams people looked at their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:14:56.545 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.154$ long term naturalistic follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:14:58.154 --> 00:15:00.650 and they also found severe anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:15:00.650 --> 00:15:02.234 especially social anxiety worth

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:02.234 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.818$ predictors of poor outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.196$ I'm going to be coming well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.014$ I share this now because this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:09.014 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.439$ actually the problem as you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:11.439 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.713$ when we do the attention training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}15{:}13.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.366$ This is a large reason why our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:16.366 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.985$ attention retraining work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:17.985 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.657$ focusing on this population.

 $00:15:19.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.004$ Severe adolescent social anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:22.004 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.934$ So that's the reason for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:24.934 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.660$ bringing this up now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:15:26.660 --> 00:15:30.236 So I will be focusing primarily on efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.840$ but I also want to lay for people out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:33.925 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.387$ who are interested in effectiveness, work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:37.387 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.069$ and the generalizability of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.101$ I had the honor of being involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:43.101 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.990$ in a effectiveness trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:15:44.990 --> 00:15:46.794 This is Pia Jeppesen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}15{:}46.794 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}50.018$ who is a psychiatrist in India in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:50.018 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.568$ Copenhagen and this she put together

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}15{:}52.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.280$ a team to see how we can disseminate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:15:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.065$ CBT the intervention was called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:15:58.065 --> 00:16:01.165 mind my mind I was involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}16{:}01.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.649$ design and also in developed in

 $00:16:03.649 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.923$ consulting on the anxiety modules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}16{:}05.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.472$ you could see here what it's about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:08.472 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.758$ The main thing is with anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.412$ depression and behavioral disturbances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:16:12.412 --> 00:16:14.890 But using a module eyes approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:14.955 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.983$ with children in from the schools

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:16:16.983 --> 00:16:17.997 from the Community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.436$ mental health settings and I underline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:20.436 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.825$ that all the parents were engaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:22.825 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.233$ but only as Co agents of change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}16{:}25.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.664$ So panels were not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:16:26.664 --> 00:16:28.800 A important part of this story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}16{:}28.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.481$ and in case people are wondering about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:31.481 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.806$ that since I am so interested in parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.540$ And so,

 $00:16:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.270$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.730$ this was a prototype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.800$ so we developed different flowcharts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.870$ that different children and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:41.931 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.940$ know this might be for one child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.112$ but for some children it might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:46.112 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.348$ be a different module of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:16:48.348 --> 00:16:50.138 might be needed for anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.695$ and it was actually very very cool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:16:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.276$ and I think that's a large reason for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}16{:}55.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.438$ the success of this effectiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}16{:}57.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.134$ Trial was because of the systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:17:00.134 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.180$ way that we identified and stratified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:17:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.030$ Youth and. It identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:17:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.166$ I'm using a two faced ratification

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:17:11.166 --> 00:17:13.050 process an it's it's again.

 $00:17:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.162$ This is more than I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:17:15.162 --> 00:17:17.429 people to get to get into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:17:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.512$ but I do think an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00{:}17{:}19.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.317$ part of an effectiveness trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:17:21.317 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.399$ is being able to identify and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:17:23.399 --> 00:17:25.295 make sure you've stratifying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:17:25.295 --> 00:17:27.647 youth so you know which module,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

00:17:27.650 --> 00:17:30.198 which dose which sequence to be giving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:17:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.534$ That,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8252491

 $00:17:30.534 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.540$ I believe was a big reason for the success

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

00:17:33.617 --> 00:17:36.298 of this because the data were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00{:}17{:}36.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.040$ really very very good, but Mau was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

00:17:39.040 --> 00:17:40.600 With management as usual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00:17:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.128$ MMM is mind my mind and this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00:17:43.128 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.560$ strength and difficulty question here.

 $00:17:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.728$ This is the main go to measure in child

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00{:}17{:}48.728 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}17{:}50.910$ psychology and psychiatry in Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00:17:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.348$ We don't use it as much in the states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00:17:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.024$ but it is the go to measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00:17:57.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.982$ in Europe and so sure enough we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

00:17:59.982 --> 00:18:03.237 found that the the people got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00{:}18{:}03.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.197$ getting the evidence based modules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

00:18:06.200 --> 00:18:07.733 Significantly improved overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

00:18:07.733 --> 00:18:10.799 including over the 26 follow up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388504

 $00:18:10.799 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.128$ compared to the control condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85320807

00:18:15.200 --> 00:18:16.700 And this Additionally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:18:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.728$ The teachers confirm this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00{:}18{:}20.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.960$ The children confirmed this with the

 $00:18:23.027 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.299$ with their versions of the SDQ and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:18:25.299 --> 00:18:27.534 were no adverse effects and currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:18:27.534 --> 00:18:29.946 we're doing a cost effectiveness study

 $00:18:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.066$ Anwyl doing long term follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:18:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.070$ So let me pause here for now with

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:18:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.946$ the clinical takeaways that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:18:37.946 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.706$ is international consensus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:18:39.706 --> 00:18:41.630 phenotyping pediatric anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:18:41.630 --> 00:18:44.288 child and parent rating scales are

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:18:44.288 --> 00:18:46.507 generally good and excellent across

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00{:}18{:}46.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.739$ a wide range of cycle metrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:18:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.911$ CBT is efficacious about 60% limit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:18:50.911 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.077$ but we do need to enhance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:18:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.614$ and that's what we'll be talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:18:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.147$ They were predictors of poor CBT outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:18:58.150 --> 00:18:59.594 which is severe anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:18:59.594 --> 00:19:00.316 especially adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:00.320 --> 00:19:01.096 Social anxiety,

 $00:19:01.096 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.036$ an we can successfully disseminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00{:}19{:}03.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.669$ CBT for anxiety in and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.475$ and this has been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:06.475 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.558$ through effectiveness trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.094$ So this so far I've been giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:10.094 --> 00:19:11.866 some good news, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:11.866 --> 00:19:14.610 But now I think I need to pause

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:14.703 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.247$ for some bad news,

 $00:19:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.290$ and this is the bad news in 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.240$ Three Amando Pena was a graduate

 $00:19:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.540$ student at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.165$ He's now an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:24.165 --> 00:19:25.140 at Arizona State,

 $00:19:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.275$ and this is a paper where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:27.275 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.622$ did this paper and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:29.622 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.317$ this table from this paper,

 $00:19:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.539$ and this was looking at the proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00{:}19{:}33.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35.538$ of Hispanic Latinos in anxiety trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:35.540 --> 00:19:38.465 And if you look at this list in 2003,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:38.470 --> 00:19:39.762 you see a Nah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:39.762 --> 00:19:42.097 Nah Nah Nah tool is percent tooth

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:42.097 --> 00:19:45.012 done and then you see a 37% and 46%

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:45.012 --> 00:19:48.284 in the rest of none and those 237 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:48.284 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.168$ 46 were actually two of our trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.366$ Those in 2003 and 2021 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:53.366 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.220$ this review that came out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:19:55.220 --> 00:19:57.789 which I'll actually be talking about again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:19:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.734$ Three out of 11 CBT plus pound studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.948$ So this was no longer CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.206$ This CBT plus parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:04.206 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.047$ but still three out of 11 did not

 $00:20:07.047 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.827$ report ethno racial composition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00{:}20{:}08.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.852$ One study did not report specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:10.852 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.476$ so this is that this is all

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:13.476 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.084$ groups and actress Hispanics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.018$ Most studies reported less than 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.150$ With the exception of one study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.442$ and in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:20:21.442 --> 00:20:24.060 since this was a CBT plus payment,

 $00{:}20{:}24.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.715$ they sight out of 2009 and I I guess

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:20:26.715 --> 00:20:30.011 I need to say I didn't tell you this

 $00{:}20{:}30.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.219$ after Albany everyone to Miami.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.705$ So this is these with Miami samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.090$ And so this explains the very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:20:37.090 --> 00:20:38.969 representation we had of Hispanics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8015180999999999 00:20:38.970 --> 00:20:40.059 but it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:40.059 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.553$ It's like not OK frankly that in 2021 our

 $00:20:43.553 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.612$ participation rates of other groups is is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00{:}20{:}46.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.049$ You know abysmal and it's not acceptable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.262$ and I'm hoping it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:50.262 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.080$ While I'm here and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:20:52.155 --> 00:20:54.635 actually a large reason why I I do

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:20:54.635 --> 00:20:56.340 continue my collaborations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:20:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.440$ this the attention training study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:20:58.440 --> 00:21:01.250 I'll talk about is a two side study

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:21:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.272$ that it still includes my affiliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:21:03.280 --> 00:21:05.709 When I was in Miami because it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999 00:21:05.710 --> 00:21:06.338 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

00:21:06.338 --> 00:21:08.536 it's it's an important part of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:21:08.536 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.155$ work to try to undo to get these

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00{:}21{:}11.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.009$ samples and understand how our

NOTE Confidence: 0.801518099999999

 $00:21:13.009 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.069$ treatments work with diverse samples.

00:21:18.320 --> 00:21:21.064 OK, so now parents, how do we involve

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:21.064 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.330$ parents to improve CBT outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.250$ Look 12 to 14 sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.946$ Two is to have almost 60% improving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:21:27.946 --> 00:21:29.490 That's really, really good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.570$ But you know we need to do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}21{:}32.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.880$ The durability needs to be better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.140$ Wingan parents certainly make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}21{:}37.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.595$ great deal of sense to how to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:40.595 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.171$ to think about their role can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:21:43.180 --> 00:21:43.904 Now, Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:43.904 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.438$ I told you 94 Kendall did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:46.438 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.389$ first individual study in 99.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.628$ We did the first group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:50.628 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.849$ the group CBT study in 1996.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:21:52.850 --> 00:21:55.826 In the bottom you see the Barrett study,

 $00:21:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.735$ the first parent plus CBT versus CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:21:58.735 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.014$ study was done only in night was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:02.014 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.518$ around the same time that we did hours 1996.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:05.520 --> 00:22:07.599 But I I share that with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:07.599 --> 00:22:09.459 because in 1996 Bill Katinas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.115$ my friend and collaborator for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:11.115 --> 00:22:13.720 my 22 years when I was in Miami,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.376$ we wrote this book for clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:15.376 --> 00:22:17.719 and it was called anxiety and phobic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:17.719 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.295$ disorders of pragmatic approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.580$ And we and what we did there in that book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.217$ We laid out what and we will kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}22{:}25.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.633$ making this up because the you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:27.633 --> 00:22:29.740 nobody had been doing anything with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.747$ parents yet at the time and we came up

 $00:22:32.747 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.036$ with this idea of the protection trap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.492$ We have a few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:36.492 --> 00:22:37.218 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.026$ like maybe half a chapter on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:39.026 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.905$ the protection trap and we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:40.905 --> 00:22:42.747 about how children of vote with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:42.747 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.520$ anxiety avoid they show distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.780$ The parents then behave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:45.780 --> 00:22:46.725 By maintaining this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:46.730 --> 00:22:48.632 They might say you don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:48.632 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.900$ to go to school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:49.900 --> 00:22:52.119 You don't need to go to school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.316$ you can stay home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:22:53.316 --> 00:22:54.811 This brings about relief and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:54.811 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.560$ it's a child feels protected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:22:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.594$ The parents felt protects good that

00:22:58.594 --> 00:23:00.370 they're protecting their child and it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}23{:}00.370 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.898$ And then it's continued to be a cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.164$ It's a protection trap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:23:04.164 --> 00:23:05.744 It's a negative reinforcement trap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.785$ and that's I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:06.785 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.855$ Is a model that continues to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:23:08.860 --> 00:23:09.412 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:09.412 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.344$ be used a lot and it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}23{:}11.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.040$ holds clinically a great deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}23{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.068$ and and we actually then talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}23{:}15.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.159$ about the treatment implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}23{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.272$ We talked about it in that book and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:19.272 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.399$ we also talked about it in chapters

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:21.399 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.998$ and we also wrote this article theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:23.998 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.498$ and trial psychosocial treatment research.

 $00:23:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.943$ Have it or had a pragmatic alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:28.943 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.066$ and we called it a pragmatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:31.066 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.411$ because we would take away is look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.790$ There are many ways you probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.370$ can work with children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.282$ And we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:38.282 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.500$ And we called it a transfer of control model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:23:41.500 --> 00:23:43.564 I actually prefer to call it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:23:43.564 --> 00:23:44.940 more generalization model now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.084$ but but the idea was that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}23{:}47.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.410$ are an evidence based clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:23:49.410 --> 00:23:51.468 you know what treatments you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.722$ what the you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:52.722 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.600$ What are the procedures and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:23:54.662 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.412$ methods that have the strongest

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00{:}23{:}56.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.949$ evidence and your job as a clinician

 $00:23:58.949 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.103$ is to generalize this to children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:24:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.136$ generalize this information

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:24:02.136 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.504$ and knowledge to parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:24:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.325$ and then there are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:24:05.325 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.920$ ways you can do it and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:24:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.750$ Clinical trial in 1999 was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

00:24:09.750 --> 00:24:11.430 dismantling trial because we basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7876285

 $00:24:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.440$ dismantled the transfer of control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}24{:}13.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.200$ If you see the full transfer of control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:24:16.200 --> 00:24:18.102 that's working, therapist to parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:18.102 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.526$ the children and the therapist is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}24{:}20.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.803$ still with the child so you do CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}24{:}22.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.170$ and you do some work with parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:25.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.930$ And that's what most of what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.345$ That's the full transfer of control in

 $00:24:30.345 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.733$ this particular trial, though we actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:32.733 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.890$ Dismantled and we did mainly Trump exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}24{:}35.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38.230$ is exposure is the main procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.010$ for producing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:24:39.010 --> 00:24:41.338 But this is a phobia study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:24:41.340 --> 00:24:42.720 particularly with phobias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.480$ And then we also trained parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.226$ In the other arm pound reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:24:47.226 --> 00:24:48.870 training in in the article,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:24:48.870 --> 00:24:50.410 we called the Contingency Management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}24{:}50.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.608$ but we compared these two models that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:24:52.608 --> 00:24:55.097 the results are not as important as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:24:55.097 --> 00:24:57.180 model because I'm going to put this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.133$ But this is the way we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:24:59.133 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.570$ about working with children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.491$ children and parents.

 $00:25:01.491 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.333$ Just how you work with parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.108$ But in terms of the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.126$ We actually found that with phobias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.805$ This is a behavior observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:10.805 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.201$ that all the children in all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:25:13.201 --> 00:25:15.163 in both of arms showed improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.850$ There were no significant differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:16.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.530$ which is kind of not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.218$ which is sort of what we kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.255$ Frankly, we're really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:22.255 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.325$ We're really more interested in seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:24.325 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.259$ clinically and theoretically of this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.284$ Hope you can work, and we found that yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.635$ you could work with both of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.824$ You can do these.

 $00:25:32.824 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.600$ This type of approach and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:25:34.670 --> 00:25:36.338 I found really interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.596$ actually, is that was in 1999.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.640$ In 2014 and I did contribute data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.548$ this data after this meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:25:44.550 --> 00:25:46.335 disciplinary men analysis as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:46.335 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.735$ see it is a long list of anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}25{:}49.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.779$ researchers who contributed data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}25{:}51.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.180$ But what's really interesting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:54.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.070$ this meta analysis was that they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.779$ They said that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:25:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.960$ The conclusion is they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.197$ like they looked at all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}26{:}03.197 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}26{:}05.222$ ways parents researchers are using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:26:05.222 --> 00:26:07.889 parents and they actually came up with hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.912$ the way that most people are

00:26:09.912 --> 00:26:10.923 working with parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:26:10.930 --> 00:26:11.944 They're actually doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:26:11.944 --> 00:26:12.620 contingency management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:26:12.620 --> 00:26:14.648 so let's call it reinforcement training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}26{:}14.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.694$ Although they also called it when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:16.694 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.765$ called in my article continues to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:26:18.765 --> 00:26:21.404 management or a full transfer of control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.438$ and they found that CBT for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:26:23.438 --> 00:26:24.790 entries children is efficacious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.480$ with or without and without

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.156$ pound involvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.283$ But if you want to look up a durability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.360$ Some form of parent involvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}26{:}33.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.150$ Probably with some kind of reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.528$ training and some type of strong parent

00:26:39.528 --> 00:26:41.928 transfer is the strongest evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.738$ so I I found that kind of reaffirming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.820$ frankly to see that however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.516$ It still doesn't tell us what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:51.516 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.987$ specific so specific is so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:26:53.990 --> 00:26:56.198 It's in red on my slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}26{:}56.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.125$ What are the specific payment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:26:58.125 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.549$ mechanisms that ought to be contained

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}27{:}00.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.099$ in a transfer of control approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:27:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.164$ Which is they figure that you see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00{:}27{:}05.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.184$ the rapist to pound the child and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:27:07.184 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.000$ therapist child two enhanced CBT alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:27:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.668$ What is what should be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

00:27:10.668 --> 00:27:12.090 and you might say why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:27:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.266$ What's hard about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372995

 $00:27:13.266 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.029$ Of course it's going to be X so it's close.

 $00:27:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.430$ It's going to be why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:27:17.430 --> 00:27:19.646 but actually this table I I made up

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:19.646 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.664$ this table but it comes I didn't make

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:27:21.664 --> 00:27:24.264 it up but it comes from looking at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:24.264 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.256$ the literatures or the meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:26.256 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.632$ These are all the different mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:28.632 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.871$ that people have looked at and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:27:30.871 --> 00:27:32.516 have targeted in their treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00{:}27{:}32.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.638$ and you could look at the list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00{:}27{:}34.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.290$ An I'm calling it mechanisms

 $00:27:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.280$ in my presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00{:}27{:}37.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.260$ An eye image calls it targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.580$ If you're a statistician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.900$ you call it mediators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.880$ and I actually I often call

 $00:27:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.200$ it mediators as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.190$ If your clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:27:46.190 --> 00:27:47.840 you might call a component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.786$ but the bottom line is in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:49.786 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.495$ course these studies that mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:27:51.495 --> 00:27:53.119 they're vaguely defined often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:27:53.120 --> 00:27:54.440 they're often compounded together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.090$ so somebody might say, oh,

 $00{:}27{:}56.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.410$ I'm, I'm doing acceptance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:27:57.410 --> 00:27:58.400 In fact, actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:27:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.710$ let me give the example I've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999 00:28:00.710 --> 00:28:01.272 I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:01.272 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.958$ oh I'm going to improve the

 $00:28:02.958 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.340$ parent child relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.916$ But then what I actually did was I

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00{:}28{:}06.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.739$ had a couple of things in there.

 $00:28:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.107$ It was a mish mosh so these are lessons

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00{:}28{:}12.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.166$ learned but people continue to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:28:14.170 --> 00:28:16.042 It's a big conundrum for researcher

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:16.042 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.330$ 'cause you don't know well as I show it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:28:18.330 --> 00:28:19.434 What do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:28:19.434 --> 00:28:20.538 What do you study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:28:20.540 --> 00:28:21.930 How do you operationalize it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.482$ And clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:22.482 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.586$ clinicians really don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.806$ like, what do I do with the pants?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

00:28:25.810 --> 00:28:27.466 It still is a big conundrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.576$ but I also want to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00{:}28{:}29.576 \to 00{:}28{:}31.860$ it's not just the research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.815$ It is difficult and challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.843111929999999

 $00:28:33.815 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.199$ in specially in 12 to 14

 $00:28:36.199 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.287$ sessions to think that we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:28:40.700 --> 00:28:42.620 You know, adequately, fully durably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:28:42.620 --> 00:28:44.936 change payment behavior in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:28:44.936 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.480$ complicated dynamic between an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:28:46.547 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.357$ anxious child and the parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:28:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.280$ This is very, very challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:28:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.190$ It goes beyond the research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:28:52.190 --> 00:28:54.110 It goes beyond, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:28:54.110 --> 00:28:57.428 the challenges of the of the dynamics

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:28:57.428 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.460$ that have existing in these families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}29{:}00.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.840$ To make this more concrete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.758$ let me just stop for a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.140$ and for those of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.240$ this is the dilemma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.340$ Is like 1/2 budget.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:07.340 --> 00:29:08.822 It's like this when you want

 $00:29:08.822 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.640$ to make a hot fudge sundae.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}29{:}10.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.558$ You know you need the ice cream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:12.560 --> 00:29:15.035 so if you want to do CBT plus parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:15.040 --> 00:29:17.266 you know you need the CBT which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:17.266 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.750$ is the ice cream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:18.750 --> 00:29:21.102 But the problem is with CBT plus pounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:21.102 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.410$ we don't know what the hot fudge is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:23.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.114$ You know, and some people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:25.114 --> 00:29:26.849 identified some of the hot fudge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.656$ but some people think it's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}29{:}28.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.788$ cherry in that Remy is actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:30.788 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.811$ very small part of the variance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:32.871 --> 00:29:34.935 a hot fudge sundae and a whip cream

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:34.935 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.648$ is more important than the cherry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:36.648 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.753$ but it's still not as much of

 $00:29:38.753 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.337$ the variance is the hot fudge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}29{:}40.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.349$ And then you even have some possibilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}29{:}42.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.622$ which I think is the case that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:44.622 --> 00:29:46.319 certainly don't want to put salami

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:46.319 --> 00:29:48.380 or Pickles in your hot fudge sundae.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.529$ And some of those payment components in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:50.529 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.677$ some ways could be an analog to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.120$ so the dilemma were faces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:29:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.528$ What is going to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:55.528 --> 00:29:57.640 Account for some as much variance

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:29:57.709 --> 00:30:00.469 can contribute as much as we can to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:30:00.469 --> 00:30:04.530 enhancing CBT. What is the hot fudge?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.250$ Now I came in 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.330$ but my when I was interviewed for my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:30:10.330 --> 00:30:10.708 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:10.708 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.354$ this was slide was from my 2011

 $00:30:13.354 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.110$ Yelp presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:30:14.110 --> 00:30:16.372 Although I didn't say for my

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:16.372 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.503$ Yelp presentation 2011,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.778$ but the rest of it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.426$ This is what I said in 2011.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.370$ No supportive evidence for enhanced effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.710$ Is an the studies measure anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:30:27.710 --> 00:30:30.961 outcome only and it's rare to even even

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33{:}385$ though people are interested in panels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.796$ people don't even include payment measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.188$ That's for 2011.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.494$ 2021 that's a man made and Elton and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}30{:}40.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.160$ study I gave you when I look when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.359$ came across this paper and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:45.359 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.551$ either aging myself or show up well.

00:30:47.551 --> 00:30:49.056 I'm sure it's aging myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:30:49.060 --> 00:30:51.174 but it's also when I saw it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:30:51.180 --> 00:30:53.224 I just said man 10 years after

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:53.224 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.399$ this is deja vu all over again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.910$ So I'm a rock person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.650$ So this is the album continues

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:30:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.240$ after and this is Cosby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.345$ Stills Nash and young deja

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:31:02.345 --> 00:31:04.029 Vu because in 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.508$ The words correspond with the figures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.570$ This is a direct quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}31{:}08.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11.048$ CBT and parents did not confer

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}31{:}11.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.700$ advantage over individual CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

00:31:12.700 --> 00:31:13.939 Only six of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:13.939 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.830$ so that's what the first figures are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.900$ The figures at the bottom,

 $00:31:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.970$ the standard elite defense means

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00{:}31{:}20.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.626$ that's the second correspond

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:22.626 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.269$ to the second bullet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.382$ Only 6 of 11 trials even included a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:27.382 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.875$ parent and family measure at pre and post,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.678$ not even a follow up post and when measured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.312$ No significant differences found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83525604

 $00:31:36.312 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.760$ So even when you try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00:31:38.833 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.049$ target something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00:31:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.730$ it didn't make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8697178333333333

 $00:31:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.740$ The parent measures didn't even change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00:31:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.410$ and nobody even looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00:31:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.090$ Whether not it is so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00:31:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.094$ It matters if they if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00:31:49.094 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.096$ change those outcomes.

 $00:31:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.431$ But they even serve any type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00{:}31{:}52.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53.790$ mechanism or mediational role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00:31:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.390$ And the only study of the six at

NOTE Confidence: 0.869717833333333

 $00:31:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.478$ the bottom was hours in 2009.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.306$ So if we could just do

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:09.306 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.090$ our science with cartoons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

00:32:11.090 --> 00:32:13.394 Things would be so easy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:13.394 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.479$ these two cartoons are basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

00:32:15.479 --> 00:32:17.359 going to summarize now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.412$ What three clinical trials?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:19.412 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.519$ So over 15 years of clinical trials research

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:23.519 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.255$ has taught me and I hope the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:27.260 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.788$ And the first one is they act like

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:29.788 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.301$ they own me and this is a depiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

00:32:32.301 --> 00:32:34.902 of what I will show is what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:34.902 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.532$ beginning to think is one of the most

00:32:37.532 --> 00:32:38.816 important payment mechanism which

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00{:}32{:}38.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.100$ is payment psychological control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.724$ The one at the bottom is that negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:42.724 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.587$ reinforcement trap that I talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00{:}32{:}44.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}46.837$ It's the protection trap. This is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.240$ I'll have to wake up crying in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.340$ middle of the night more often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:51.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.268$ OK, so these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86100125

 $00:32:53.268 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.714$ That was almost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

00:32:56.730 --> 00:32:59.578 So I'm going to show you now quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:32:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.360$ quickly, but you know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.428$ is relatively quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00{:}33{:}02.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.978$ I'm going to go through three trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:04.978 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.115$ that we did and one to the numbers in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.900$ The parentheses showed the trials

 $00:33:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.680$ and they showed the hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.460$ So there are three trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.596$ So the uh, so for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.795$ the first one is in the first two trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.292$ If we try to target and improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

00:33:21.292 --> 00:33:22.360 parent child relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.610$ that will be associated with pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.410$ anxiety with suction and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.440$ 3rd, the second one is site control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.243$ and we targeted that in three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00{:}33{:}30.243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.983$ trials with the hypothesis that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:31.983 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.660$ we reduce psychological control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.865$ it with the associated pediatric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.886$ and this was a full transfer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:37.886 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.930$ of control model in our work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.680$ the therapist worked with the

00:33:41.680 --> 00:33:43.990 parents and the children we did CBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.310$ but we also did some type of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566963

 $00:33:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.719$ types of approaches with the pants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:33:51.040 --> 00:33:52.640 Clinically step back clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:33:52.640 --> 00:33:55.898 because and I'm sorry I want to go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:33:55.898 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.276$ so you see the astrex by this site

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:33:58.276 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.626$ control in the negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.480$ So across these three trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.952$ this is the cartoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:03.952 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.200$ Again, these are the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.550$ and the mediators that I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.868$ You know, feeling more and more comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00{:}34{:}11.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.048$ is where we need to put our resources into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:16.050 \dashrightarrow 00:34:19.116$ So clinically. Of course, the free trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.310$ How did we do that?

 $00:34:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.913$ Well, we work with the kids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:34:21.913 --> 00:34:23.385 the parents and we would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00{:}34{:}23.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.849$ them make a list with together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.244$ How does how does the pan and get too

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:27.244 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.177$ much involved with my child and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:34:29.177 --> 00:34:31.896 do I let my child do it on their own?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.290$ And you can see there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.180$ Some examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.804$ Like the third one is a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:34:38.804 --> 00:34:40.049 field example show my diploma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.075$ but you know a lot of the parents would

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:42.075 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.349$ say that the kids stop acting like a baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:34:44.350 --> 00:34:46.262 Or why can't you be more like your

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:46.262 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.740$ little brother?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.940$ So we would, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.892$ talk to them about.

 $00{:}34{:}48.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.866$ Well, I can tell my child I'm confident

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:50.866 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.480$ they can handle it in their own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:34:52.480 --> 00:34:54.848 so this would be ways we would target

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:54.848 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.408$ this reduction of site control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.860$ In terms of negative reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.012$ which we which remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:34:59.012 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.452$ I did this in 1999,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:35:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.308$ but we did it again in our third

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:35:02.308 --> 00:35:03.640 trial more systematically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:35:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.944$ and this is how we would do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00{:}35{:}05.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.938$ There we give you know how does

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:35:07.938 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.699$ my child try to stay away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:35:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.150$ Trial tries is the cartoon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

00:35:11.150 --> 00:35:12.824 my child twice about his parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:35:12.824 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.910$ room at night to sleep with them?

 $00:35:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.638$ But what can the mom do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00{:}35{:}16.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.642$ Do not allow the child to sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.80593187

 $00:35:18.642 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.110$ with the pounds at night?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652439

 $00:35:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.950$ And you can look there first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652439

 $00:35:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.688$ Some other examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

00:35:31.270 --> 00:35:34.286 So sweet dismantling files an in 5 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

00:35:34.290 --> 00:35:36.492 but this is basically this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:35:36.492 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.829$ what they all had in common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}35{:}38.830 \to 00{:}35{:}42.580$ They were clinic referred participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

00:35:42.580 --> 00:35:43.948 Randomize the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}35{:}43.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.316$ the participants on mothers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:35:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.758$ as mothers are the ones who are more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:35:48.758 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.709$ to bring their children in for the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:35:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.235$ In the children and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:35:54.235 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.455$ parents were seen together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:35:55.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.520$ As I said, it was a full transfer of control.

 $00:35:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.356$ We did CBT with the children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.628$ but we also worked on these parenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:02.628 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.030$ components which you'll see in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}36{:}04.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.478$ I'll be clear in the next few slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}36{:}06.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.489$ So in trial one we compared CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:08.489 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.372$ and parents with CBT and because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:10.372 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.286$ things weren't the way we hoped,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:12.290 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.442$ we said you know in the second trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:14.442 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.919$ we said you know let's not have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:16.919 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.029$ parents involved in the second trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:19.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.165$ Let's just do group content behavior therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.700$ I also really love coupons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.618$ if behavior therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.510$ as it was one of my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

00:36:25.510 --> 00:36:26.978 Earlier trials an and

 $00:36:26.978 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.180$ let's just do that and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}36{:}29.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.623$ It's also the first time CBT plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:31.623 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.317$ pounds has even been compared to GCBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.080$ so let's compare that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.280$ And then the third trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.478$ is what I call a deer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}36{:}40.480 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}36{:}42.490$ dismantling trials where we compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}36{:}42.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.194$ to CBT's and parents versus CBT and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}36{:}45.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47.078$ what's important in all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:47.078 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.328$ trials is we measured the hypothesize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00{:}36{:}49.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.662$ payment mechanism in all the arms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.908$ So even in CPT we measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:53.908 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.400$ the parent variables with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.300$ Remember that 2021 I said

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.510$ six trials only has done it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:36:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.370$ So we made a point.

 $00:37:01.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.116$ I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:37:02.116 \dashrightarrow 00:37:04.727$ if you're going to study payment mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:37:04.730 \dashrightarrow 00:37:07.076$ you need to measure payment valuables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:37:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.700$ And as part of your assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:37:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.780$ So we did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8019522

 $00:37:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.400$ And this was the assessment schedule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:37:13.540 --> 00:37:14.950 At least for today's presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.075$ we actually have more data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:17.075 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.775$ than that we collected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}37{:}18.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.620$ Now these analysis and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}37{:}20.620 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}37{:}22.092$ models get really complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.097$ and so this is a depiction of one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}37{:}25.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.009$ the models in one of our articles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.537$ And clearly I'm not going to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:30.537 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.168$ the results today with these SCM models.

 $00:37:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.015$ So for today's presentations I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}37{:}35.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.860$ going to present simple means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:37:36.860 --> 00:37:39.636 but I do want to just let a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:39.636 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.028$ shout out to call it Mount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.720$ It was my who is here and yell with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.764$ But was we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:46.764 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.950$ She trained with me at FIU and Jasmine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:37:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.996$ Also, at a trainee of mine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}37{:}51.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.010$ at FIU who sold now it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:37:54.010 --> 00:37:56.296 if I you running the attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}37{:}56.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.527$ training study there with a colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:37:58.527 --> 00:38:00.760 and Jim jacket is my friend and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:00.760 --> 00:38:02.950 who I've known since my old many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.498$ days and he was with me in Albany.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:05.498 --> 00:38:06.509 And if I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.156$ you and now at NYU and I share this

00:38:09.156 --> 00:38:11.953 with you because like I I actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:11.953 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.504$ love SCM modeling because it makes us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:14.504 --> 00:38:16.988 as a team said, think about the park,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:16.988 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.999$ the proximal mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}38{:}18.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19.690$ the distal, the moderate Ersan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.773$ Really try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:20.773 --> 00:38:23.650 Think about them in a very thoughtful way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}38{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.708$ and then the SCM modeling just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:25.708 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.496$ becomes a template from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:27.496 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.614$ conceptual model that we worked on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.727$ So I really love this and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}38{:}31.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.896$ reason I also want to emphasize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}38{:}33.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36.278$ the last point is also important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:36.280 --> 00:38:37.246 Most treatment studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:37.246 --> 00:38:40.150 if they even have a mediator or mechanism,

 $00:38:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.418$ they have one media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00{:}38{:}41.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43.320$ one mechanism you will see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:43.382 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.058$ we have multiple mediators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:45.060 --> 00:38:47.166 which makes things even more complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:47.170 --> 00:38:49.826 which I can't even go there about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:49.826 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.409$ the media does affect the mediators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

00:38:52.410 --> 00:38:56.397 But I think the point is that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.888$ we really try to have a a picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:38:58.888 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.330$ that fits clinically and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:39:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.650$ has practical implications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568322

 $00:39:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.810$ clinical translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.690$ So the first two trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:39:10.690 --> 00:39:12.909 I also I also say when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:12.909 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.640$ have those multiple mediators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:39:14.640 --> 00:39:16.734 our requires large sample sizes so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:16.734 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.254$ you will see our across all three

 $00:39:19.254 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.137$ trials for a single site study out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:22.137 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.678$ sample sizes are quite nice and give

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:39:24.678 --> 00:39:27.200 us sufficient power to look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.632$ mediation and moderating variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.240$ So in the first trial we did when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:39:31.240 --> 00:39:33.967 I told you CBT plus payments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:39:33.967 --> 00:39:36.480 then the second one was compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.650$ to the group the group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.455$ Don't pay attention, even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:40.455 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.829$ it's so interesting and I love it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:39:42.830 --> 00:39:46.007 but I don't have time to talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:39:46.007 --> 00:39:48.394 mechanisms of group CBT, but we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:48.394 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.786$ I think it's fascinating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.318$ so that's for another time, another place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:39:52.318 --> 00:39:54.682 Because today I'm focusing on the

 $00:39:54.682 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.095$ CBT plus parents and what I mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:57.095 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.695$ want to show you is that in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:39:59.695 \dashrightarrow 00:40:01.970$ of these trials we found that site

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.070$ control in both of these trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.588$ was associated with a change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:40:06.588 --> 00:40:09.038 anxiety outcome and an and it actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:40:09.040 --> 00:40:10.846 You know hasn't been shown before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:40:10.850 --> 00:40:13.250 Obviously you saw from my set up here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.538$ so this was nice to see this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:40:16.538 --> 00:40:18.100 two different trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.509$ But what we also found an not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:21.509 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.948$ surprising what I showed you before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

00:40:24.950 --> 00:40:25.952 Both interventions were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:25.952 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.620$ similarly efficacious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.280$ There were no significant differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.278$ It's great that you can improve,

 $00:40:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.278$ but we were hoping to enhance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:32.278 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.610$ and we didn't enhance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.422$ And this is this came through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:35.422 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.599$ not just with the rating scales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.900$ but with the other measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.895$ So this was a pretty robust pattern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783049

 $00:40:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.888$ but it fits what I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00{:}40{:}46.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48.630$ So what we learned except

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:40:48.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.310$ bullet one we didn't learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:40:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.350$ We already knew this anxiety significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:40:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.678$ reduce in CBT and CBT and parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00{:}40{:}54.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.690$ But there are no significant differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:40:56.690 --> 00:40:58.034 Anxiety is suddenly reduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:40:58.034 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.714$ and this was a first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:40:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.928$ This was a first 'cause nobody

 $00:41:01.928 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.400$ would ever compared parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:03.463 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.430$ with CPT and pans in the group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.598$ It was nice to know that both are reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:08.598 --> 00:41:11.139 anxiety and you could do both of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.390$ they're interchangeable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.883$ And but we also found and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:15.883 --> 00:41:17.633 important thing here that changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:17.633 --> 00:41:19.398 in pain control were associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00{:}41{:}19.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.527$ with changes in pediatric anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.896$ It does suggest that maybe of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:23.896 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.429$ those mechanisms, Pam inside control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00{:}41{:}25.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.838$ But this is this idea that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:27.838 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.022$ are reducing site controlled of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:30.022 --> 00:41:31.700 parents enhancing child autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.758$ it will encourage the trial to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:33.758 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.184$ be more likely to do the things

 $00:41:36.184 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.140$ they need to do in anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.835$ That's the clinical way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:39.835 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.530$ think about why side control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:41.530 --> 00:41:44.980 If you are a parent telling you kid you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:44.980 --> 00:41:47.465 This, you know, don't be a baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:47.470 --> 00:41:49.210 That's not going to give the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.337$ child a feeling of autonomy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:51.337 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.145$ granting autonomy so clinically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:53.150 --> 00:41:55.262 It certainly makes a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:55.262 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.050$ sense and fits with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

00:41:57.050 --> 00:41:57.396 Know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:57.396 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.126$ many theories of side control

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775

 $00:41:59.126 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.960$ dating back to the 1970s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80662775 00:42:00.960 --> 00:42:01.310 so. NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:42:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.504$ In the third trial.

00:42:08.504 --> 00:42:12.109 Though you know I wanted to go deeper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:42:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.274$ and so we dismantled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:42:14.274 --> 00:42:17.520 We dismantled CBT plus parents which

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:42:17.615 --> 00:42:21.119 had never been done because what do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}42{:}21.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.768$ need to do in CBT plus parents an I

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:42:24.768 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.204$ because of my 1999 trial reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:42:27.204 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.344$ the important of the protection

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}42{:}29.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32.150$ trap we dismantled CBT plus parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}42{:}32.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.294$ But we also dismantled CBT plus Pam and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:42:35.294 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.655$ Reinforcement where we trained parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}42{:}37.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40.155$ to increase their positive reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:42:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.968$ decrease negative reinforcement the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:42:41.968 --> 00:42:44.680 comparator the CBT and here we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}42{:}44.680 \to 00{:}42{:}46.878$ I wasn't giving up on the relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:42:46.880 --> 00:42:49.296 but I told you I thought we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:42:49.296 --> 00:42:51.267 doing too much junk in that.

00:42:51.270 --> 00:42:53.160 I mean, I should say junk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}42{:}53.160 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.358$ but I thought why isn't this working?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:42:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.904$ How could this not so this time we

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:42:57.904 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.339$ dismantled it more carefully and we made

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:43:00.339 --> 00:43:02.582 it more careful of really distilled

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:02.582 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.718$ site control an we improved acceptance like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.915$ I'm not going to have a slide on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:43:08.920 --> 00:43:11.050 but since it hasn't been working,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.684$ I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:11.684 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.220$ I don't have the slide on that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:14.287 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.927$ what we found here as a meat as

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:16.927 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.210$ mechanism doesn't associated with change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}43{:}19.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.180$ The decrease in negative reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.595$ was associated with anxiety in production

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:23.595 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.923$ and again side control decrease was

 $00:43:25.923 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.290$ associated with child anxiety improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.755$ And what if I was there in the room?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:43:31.760 --> 00:43:34.046 You'd see me like jumping and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:43:34.046 --> 00:43:36.010 being happy because I'm very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.940$ very happy about these findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:43:37.940 --> 00:43:40.250 It's actually it will be published

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.405$ any day now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.726$ And kind of this also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}43{:}43.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.884$ clinical psychological science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.032$ the name of the articles is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:47.032 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.460$ training parents and reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:43:48.525 --> 00:43:49.908 skills or relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}43{:}49.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.840$ Trip skills enhance individual use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:43:51.840 --> 00:43:53.380 CBT for anxiety outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.535$ specificity and mediation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.689$ And you don't.

00:43:55.689 --> 00:43:57.987 I don't know anybody who puts

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:43:57.987 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.049$ the title of an article.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.240$ If the answer is no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.952$ so the an we were this we've showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:04.952 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.549$ here that both of these very

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:44:07.549 --> 00:44:09.849 distilled concrete CPT plus parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:09.849 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.393$ across the measures were showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}44{:}12.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.903$ to be significantly enhancing CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.526$ This is also true in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:17.526 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.834$ diagnostic recovery rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.584$ So this is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:20.584 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.764$ you know something very exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:44:22.770 --> 00:44:23.264 Frankly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:23.264 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.216$ at least for people who are trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:27.216 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.418$ figure out what to do with parents.

 $00:44:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.260$ Even more exciting is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.036$ The first time we showed that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:35.036 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.779$ do this in a really concrete way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:44:37.780 --> 00:44:41.083 you can actually show that what you talk it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.222$ it changed an and that it so when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}44{:}44.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47.649$ worked with reducing negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.690$ Our parents told us that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.730$ it was reduced in individual CPT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00{:}44{:}51.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54.110$ We didn't train it, but past studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:44:54.110 --> 00:44:54.806 Usually anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

00:44:54.806 --> 00:44:55.502 Nothing changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:55.502 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.955$ So and this was true also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:57.955 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.867$ the control scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76207312

 $00:44:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.250$ So we were happy about this too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8842127

 $00:45:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.606$ So right now what we've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8842127

 $00{:}45{:}06.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.094$ so far from these three trials

 $00:45:09.094 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.900$ is if we do two carefully, very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:45:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.348$ Very qualitative methods with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

00:45:42.348 --> 00:45:43.836 families and fair therapists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:45:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.346$ and we're going to try to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:45:46.346 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.689$ something so it's always with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:45:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.217$ A way of getting parents to always

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:45:51.217 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.169$ use these kinds of methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

00:45:53.170 --> 00:45:55.571 And what do you do when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:45:55.571 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.269$ stuck with the idea of we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:45:58.269 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.259$ maybe get more stronger effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:46:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.498$ More durable effects if we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:46:02.498 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.734$ really make this more, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

00:46:04.734 --> 00:46:06.594 part of people's everyday lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

00:46:06.600 --> 00:46:08.460 And so with you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:46:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.698$ these are the steps that involved

 $00:46:10.698 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.817$ the participatory methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:46:11.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.208$ The proof of concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

00:46:13.208 --> 00:46:15.290 Then get some plima Neri effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:46:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.370$ and then of course the next step is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:46:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.712$ a step which is the big challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:46:19.712 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.860$ to augmented and dismantle it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805098

 $00:46:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.768$ see you know it really improves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:46:26.390 --> 00:46:29.126 Really quickly, because some of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}46{:}29.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.100$ may be familiar with Eli Lebowitz,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:46:32.100 --> 00:46:35.075 my my collaborator and at the Anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}46{:}35.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38.528$ program and he has developed a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:46:38.528 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.143$ innovative intervention and it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:46:41.143 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.290$ transfer of control therapist dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:46:44.290 --> 00:46:46.824 No child, no children at all involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:46:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.296$ and I'm just taking this little

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:46:49.296 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.969$ detour to mention it because I'm.

 $00:46:51.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.066$ You know he we showed that it has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:46:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.140$ We compare this to CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:46:57.140 --> 00:47:00.290 His program is called Space Supportive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:00.290 --> 00:47:02.855 Parenting for anxious childhood emotions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}47{:}02.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.543$ and what we found was that both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:05.550 --> 00:47:07.908 Interventions using a non inferiority trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:07.910 --> 00:47:09.880 both produced in equivalent affect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}47{:}09.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.040$ so this was very this is Eli scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}47{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.160$ What I I should mention when I came

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.496$ I know he put together this K award

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:19.496 --> 00:47:23.045 now and this is we now have a nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:23.045 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.804$ 61 or 33 and Hillary is Hillary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}47{:}25.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.164$ Bloomberg is a call investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:28.164 --> 00:47:31.460 on this along with me and Ellen G

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:31.460 --> 00:47:34.006 at over in psychology is the copii

 $00:47:34.006 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.678$ with Eli on this and we are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.008$ studying CPT versus parenting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:38.008 --> 00:47:40.000 We're looking at if these findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:40.056 --> 00:47:41.741 replicate and we're also looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:47:41.741 --> 00:47:43.426 at the brain mechanism underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:43.484 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.940$ these two interventions because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.396$ it's kind of interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.556$ This one is just with child when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}47{:}48.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.653$ it's just the parents and looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:50.653 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.229$ at how the change the child's brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:53.301 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.499$ may be impacted by this and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:55.499 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.455$ the next thing after we hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:57.455 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.460$ replicate these findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:47:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.805$ that space is as efficacious as CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:48:00.810 --> 00:48:02.480 The next thing, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:48:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.832$ will be to try to think about

00:48:04.832 --> 00:48:06.170 augmenting space with CBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}48{:}06.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.180$ or or maybe even dismantling it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167 00:48:08.180 --> 00:48:08.433 but. NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:48:08.433 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.204$ This is the first stage an I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

00:48:10.204 --> 00:48:11.895 think it's really exciting work

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00{:}48{:}11.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.765$ because it's only with parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85029167

 $00:48:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.270$ No child work at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.098$ OK, so that's the work I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.844$ Want to spend a little time also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:24.844 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.961$ talking about the other area

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:26.961 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.547$ that we're trying to augment CBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.206$ and that's with attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}48{:}31.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.690$ Retraining if you're prone to anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.416$ If you look at that stimulus on your screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.072$ your attention will go

 $00:48:39.072 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.724$ talk that threatening face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}48{:}40.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43.628$ This is what that first bullet says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

00:48:43.630 --> 00:48:44.974 Anxious children, adolescents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:44.974 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.214$ and adults so significantly greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:47.214 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.398$ attention capture to threatening stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.776$ And this has been associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:51.776 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.360$ friends to amygdala dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:48:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.340$ The translation clinically is trained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}48{:}55.340 \to 00{:}48{:}56.792$ The brain, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

00:48:56.792 --> 00:48:58.607 use it implicit learning procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}48{:}58.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.877$ to modify that attention capture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.396$ That's why it's been called attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:04.396 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.154$ bias modification training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.806$ And there's evidence for that an I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}49{:}08.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10.805$ encourage Lazzaro for Yair behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:10.805 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.193$ did excellent with you in the

00:49:13.193 --> 00:49:15.005 recent biological psychiatry that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}49{:}15.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.365$ was devoted to pediatric anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.440$ All the articles were fabulous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

00:49:19.440 --> 00:49:20.276 I thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:20.276 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.784$ and your ears meta analysis showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

00:49:22.784 --> 00:49:25.247 medium effect sizes in child trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.918$ and he also talks about the biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:28.918 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.290$ underpinnings for attention training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.403$ So more specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:32.403 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.258$ and here are my colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

00:49:34.260 --> 00:49:34.624 Actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:34.624 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.808$ your ear is a collaborator and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}49{:}36.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.447$ Annie Pine at NIH is a collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}49{:}39.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42.047$ and Jeremy Pettit at FIU is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:42.047 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.418$ collaborator.

 $00:49:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.765$ And basically here's this child

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:44.765 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.020$ sits in front of the computer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:48.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.442$ And she's shown this Lee stimuli and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:50.442 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.717$ basically the plus sign is always

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:52.717 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.667$ placed in the experimental condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

00:49:54.667 --> 00:49:57.634 the what's called the attention bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:57.634 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.224$ condition modification condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:49:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.714$ It's always plus by the neutral

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:01.714 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.620$ 100% of the trial and it there

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.865$ really quick milliseconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}50{:}05.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.690$ So basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.560$ And she's told whenever you see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.216$ plus sign plus press your mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:12.216 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.381$ so that implicitly training the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:14.457 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.068$ brain to look at that neutral face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.114$ the control condition.

00:50:18.114 --> 00:50:20.550 Is it appears randomly it's not anywhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.699$ but there's still attention and it's funny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.385$ It's called an attention control

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:24.385 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.070$ condition because it's as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:26.124 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.319$ will hear in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}50{:}27.320 \to 00{:}50{:}29.784$ But I'm just going to say it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.075$ Attention control condition is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}50{:}32.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.360$ probably in attention control condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.538$ Because you are controlling your attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.718$ so you'll see in a moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:38.718 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.807$ why that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.976$ And the nice thing about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00{:}50{:}41.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.800$ it's really short and sweet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.610$ 8 sessions over 4 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:45.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.144$ 20 minutes and 160 trials each session.

 $00:50:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.050$ I mean super fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.619$ So if requires little effort and motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:53.620 \dashrightarrow 00:50:56.556$ little need for a therapist to be involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

00:50:56.560 --> 00:50:58.690 It's computer base and kids you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:50:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.928$ know into computers and it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725524

 $00:51:00.928 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.160$ accessible in CBT and on medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:05.230 --> 00:51:07.168 So given what I just said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:07.170 --> 00:51:09.825 we actually did an open trial on this just

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00{:}51{:}09.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.936$ to see if how it would work in a stepped care

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:13.005 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.889$ approach and a cost effectiveness trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.466$ So here we gave all kids coming to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:18.466 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.410$ the clinic and this is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:20.410 --> 00:51:22.874 Is the clinic actually in Miami and 124

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:22.874 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.578$ kids came through and they got four weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:25.580 --> 00:51:27.834 Just what I told you of attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.730$ retraining and after the full weeks

 $00:51:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.039$ we said to them into open trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00{:}51{:}32.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.804$ We said do you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00{:}51{:}33.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.680$ continue and not to continue?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:35.680 --> 00:51:36.812 60% said I'm good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00{:}51{:}36.812 \rightarrow 00{:}51{:}39.320$ I don't need anymore and we assess them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.730$ assess them thoroughly with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.949$ methods I gave you in the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.806$ 79% were improved and they were done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:45.810 --> 00:51:47.334 Both, but 45 kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:47.334 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.369$ Of these 120 of the initial said no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:51:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.030$ Actually I want CBT so they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:53.030 --> 00:51:55.758 to be 91% much improved or very

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:55.758 --> 00:51:58.140 much improved after Step 2 where

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:51:58.215 --> 00:52:00.597 we also had a health economist

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:00.597 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.253$ working on these papers with us and

 $00:52:03.253 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.570$ you could see there that if these

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.850$ kids had just gotten full CBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.130$ they didn't get the step care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.420$ They took out full CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.642$ It would have been 13 hours when they did

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:16.642 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.860$ it in the way we did it in the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:52:19.860 --> 00:52:21.212 it took 6.7 hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:21.212 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.644$ so it's almost a 50% reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00{:}52{:}23.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.846$ time and then also computed was

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:52:25.846 --> 00:52:28.597 if they got the full CPT it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00{:}52{:}28.597 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}52{:}30.592$ have been almost 800 bucks here

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:30.592 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.072$ with the step care it cost 433 so

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.460$ it was a 50% overall cost savings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:35.460 \dashrightarrow 00:52:38.498$ This is important information because I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.572$ In another thing I hope to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:40.572 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.544$ after we do the next trial with

 $00:52:42.544 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.680$ their crony doing I I really do

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:52:44.680 --> 00:52:46.726 want to do an effectiveness trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:52:46.726 --> 00:52:48.605 similar to what we did in Denmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:52:48.605 --> 00:52:50.599 using the same type of approach in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:50.599 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.459$ identifying and stratifying the kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00:52:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.070$ So this is really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

00:52:54.070 --> 00:52:55.680 information whenever you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00{:}52{:}55.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57.415$ do an effectiveness trial to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.844019

 $00{:}52{:}57.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59.290$ that you got these kind of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.310$ The other thing that we did that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00{:}53{:}06.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.638$ very interesting is I told you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:08.638 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.360$ about 60% of kids will improve with CBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.496$ but you got about, you know 40% that might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

00:53:14.496 --> 00:53:17.604 So you saw the large ends that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:17.604 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.278$ those launch ends, and so we actually

00:53:20.278 --> 00:53:23.429 wrote a grant and all 34 and an an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.758$ We said, you know we're going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:26.758 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.088$ we're running these launch trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:29.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.914$ with looking at CBT and parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.244$ We're going to have a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:34.244 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.789$ kids who are going to need help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

00:53:36.790 --> 00:53:38.904 How quit letting us see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00.53:38.904 \longrightarrow 00.53:40.620$ can do this attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.708$ retraining and see if this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:42.710 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.833$ And sure enough, we did it and we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

00:53:45.840 --> 00:53:48.283 So these are kids at post and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:48.283 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.038$ follow up who still met diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.740$ After they got a full course of CBT an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.608$ we then did the attention retraining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.186$ you know those four weeks and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:53:59.186 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.169$ found that all these kids and it's 64.

 $00{:}54{:}02.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04.655$ But keep in mind these we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00{:}54{:}04.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.937$ want a million kids 'cause these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

00:54:06.937 --> 00:54:09.583 are kids who were in our trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:54:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.949$ so we don't want to have too

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00{:}54{:}11.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.252$ many failed kids but these failed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

00:54:14.252 --> 00:54:16.267 CBT kids or CBT resistant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:54:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.496$ 50% recovered at the post and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

00:54:18.496 --> 00:54:21.870 58% of follow up and there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041

 $00:54:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.870$ significant differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7902041 00:54:22.870 --> 00:54:23.550 In

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}54{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.690$ anxiety. But there were no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.900$ difference. But this is the rub.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.780$ The rub is whether they were in the attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.418$ bias condition or the attention control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.888$ The control control kids

 $00:54:39.888 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.356$ improved across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:54:41.360 --> 00:54:42.756 We didn't expect this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:42.756 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.684$ but this is becoming more of a finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:45.684 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.344$ now and I don't have time unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.558$ But down below you see another

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:54:50.558 --> 00:54:52.769 little eyes and say a little,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:52.770 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.610$ but we did another trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.506$ This is actually Marielen

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:54:56.506 --> 00:54:58.876 net ski over Tel Aviv.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:54:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.600$ Supervised by your ear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}55{:}00.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02.750$ and this was also publishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}55{:}02.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.884$ clinical psych science webdrive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:55:04.884 --> 00:55:07.060 symptom reduction in attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:55:07.060 --> 00:55:08.500 bias, modification, treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.940$ vandalized, controlled experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.524$ And this study is supporting the suggestion

 $00:55:13.524 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.309$ that both the kids are getting better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.550$ In both of these arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}55{:}19.550 \to 00{:}55{:}22.280$ and some suggestion that it may

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.909$ not be the training an bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.248$ the modification bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:26.248 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.924$ but the training and attention control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.718$ helping kids to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:30.718 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.059$ modulate their attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.189$ we now have with FIU and ongoing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}55{:}35.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38.376$ Now I don't even I don't even call it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}55{:}38.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.450$ attention bias modification training anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.018$ Now we'll just call it attention be training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}55{:}45.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.530$ It's an efficacy confirmatory trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.730$ We have an alternative competitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:55:49.730 --> 00:55:52.460 we have because it's with Miami.

 $00:55:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.248$ We've launched diverse samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:55:54.248 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.483$ and we're in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}55{:}56.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58.725$ We are collecting the stressful

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:55:58.725 --> 00:56:00.513 speech task we're collecting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.690$ e.g an we're collecting eye

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.450$ tracking an we Viper for color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.369$ Would we got a supplement to obtain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:08.369 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.578$ data on healthy controls who will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:11.578 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.380$ receive the treatment but they will

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:14.462 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.327$ participate in all the assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}56{:}17.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19.866$ Just so we could see what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:19.866 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.838$ natural cost of these measures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.953$ Without any treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:22.953 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.430$ so we also have that type of competitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.349$ so this is ongoing and we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:29.349 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.600$ actively recruiting participants.

00:56:30.600 --> 00:56:33.928 And remember, I told you at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.348$ adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:34.348 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.484$ social anxiety they spawned poorest, the CPT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:37.484 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.616$ That's why we're focusing on adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}56{:}40.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}42.044$ Young adolescents with social

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:42.044 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.756$ anxiety disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.700$ We are actively recruiting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.640$ this trial and actually also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:56:46.706 --> 00:56:48.806 for the space and CBT trial fee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.602$ so please you know please now that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}56{:}51.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54.860$ know what where we are and what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:56:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.488$ If you have possible referrals we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}56{:}57.488 \to 00{:}57{:}00.199$ would appreciate it a great deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.282$ So attention retraining is efficient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:02.282 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.828$ cost effective in a step care approach.

 $00:57:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.290$ That's important dissemination data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.115$ Attentionally training is a viable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:08.115 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.807$ argument for CBT resistant pediatric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.267$ and what awaits discovery is what's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:57:12.267 --> 00:57:14.079 mechanism underlying attention with training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:57:14.080 --> 00:57:15.504 Because it's I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:15.504 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.284$ I think most of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:57:17.290 --> 00:57:20.138 I mean, it's kind of hard to believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}57{:}20.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.534$ but I mean people go through it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:22.534 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.758$ and the people say hey thanks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.064$ this was really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:26.064 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.520$ So it's not just the data that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.458$ showing this, but the clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:57:30.458 --> 00:57:31.170 Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

00:57:31.170 --> 00:57:33.564 so I'm really intrigued by this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:33.564 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.743$ I really am so excited to figure out

 $00:57:36.743 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.260$ what is the mechanism underlying this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00{:}57{:}39.260 \to 00{:}57{:}41.796$ So the takeaways for today as I wrap

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:41.796 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.477$ up now is anxiety assessment methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:44.477 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.937$ are good to excellent samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.055$ Inadequately diverse CPT is efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:49.055 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.581$ and it can be disseminated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:51.581 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.589$ an effective way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.760$ But we need improvement in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7775065

 $00:57:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.930$ terms of how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00{:}57{:}57.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59.770$ enhance. After these trials that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

00:57:59.770 --> 00:58:02.385 showed you, I'm feeling more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00:58:02.385 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.714$ comfortable and I think the theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00{:}58{:}04.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07.354$ that research is supporting this idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00:58:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.976$ that of all those ways that people work

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00:58:09.976 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.618$ with parents with duesing parents side

00:58:12.618 --> 00:58:14.538 control and negative reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00:58:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.326$ might be a way to enhance it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00:58:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.162$ And if we can do it using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

00:58:20.162 --> 00:58:23.503 type of more potent method like

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00:58:23.503 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.039$ to sell digital intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

00:58:26.040 --> 00:58:27.084 Trying I'm hopeful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00{:}58{:}27.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29.172$ Also we can may be enhance CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

00.58.29.172 -> 00.58.31.198 with via attention retraining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

00:58:31.200 --> 00:58:33.190 either with step Care, CPT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00:58:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.170$ business sense and that efficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

00:58:35.170 --> 00:58:37.155 Trial that I talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82669795

 $00{:}58{:}37.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}39.140$ is getting at the mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

00:58:41.690 --> 00:58:43.390 Now I didn't, not Stephanie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

00:58:43.390 --> 00:58:45.430 10 years from now, maybe not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

00:58:45.430 --> 00:58:48.150 I'm joking, but you know not next year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:58:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.870$ But I do have when putting this together.

00:58:50.870 --> 00:58:52.230 This presentation I had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:58:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.590$ these other dad there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:58:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.068$ but I just didn't have time because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:58:56.068 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.551$ moderators are really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:58:57.551 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.675$ part of the story which treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

00:58:59.675 --> 00:59:01.997 for home and so and we're working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:01.997 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.130$ on and trying to delve into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.150$ And that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.170$ We also have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00{:}59{:}06.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.487$ I also have shared my data with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:08.487 \dashrightarrow 00:59:10.739$ other people which which has allowed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.092$ Large studies of looking at the rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59{:}13.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.229$ change because some of these interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

00:59:15.230 --> 00:59:16.795 It's not just enough what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:16.795 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.770$ works and how does it work,

 $00:59:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.696$ but also like what's the speed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:20.696 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.980$ in which they were?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.900$ Can we have some interesting find?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

00:59:23.900 --> 00:59:26.147 You know, some interesting stuff on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.760$ I told you about group CBT which I love

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.280$ and I didn't get into shelling them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:31.280 \dashrightarrow 00:59:33.170$ But I also think that's a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

00:59:33.170 --> 00:59:34.810 important way of improving and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00{:}59{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}36.740$ working with children with anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.792$ We also have a paper under

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00{:}59{:}38.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}41.039$ review now 'cause we also had a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.665$ Project where we did attentionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:43.665 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.765$ training with subclinical subthreshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:45.765 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.914$ anxiety and we actually the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:47.914 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.316$ line is we found that it's helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:50.316 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.650$ for this population too and then

 $00:59:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.870$ the behavioral and biological neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00{:}59{:}54.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}57.750$ targets and then the work that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $00:59:57.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.487$ ongoing is the two side study the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:00:00.487 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.163$ space trial with with Allie and Dylan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:03.163 --> 01:00:05.816 and Hillary an elion with a grant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01{:}00{:}05.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}08.711$ with a postdoc with doing failure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:08.711 --> 01:00:11.378 launch where we're doing parent work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:11.380 --> 01:00:12.316 With young adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01{:}00{:}12.316 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}14.500$ so getting parents not to do what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:14.560 --> 01:00:16.420 they do with their little kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:00:16.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.204$ which is to do a lot of negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:00:19.204 \dashrightarrow 01:00:21.596$ reinforcement or when Eli refers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01{:}00{:}21.596 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}24.026$ his accommodation with doing that with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:00:24.102 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.158$ young adults and then the proof of concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:00:27.160 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.246$ So I have too many people here on this

 $01:00:31.246 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.010$ slide to thank Elianne Colorado most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01{:}00{:}35.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}39.250$ important people of the anxiety team and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01{:}00{:}39.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}42.226$ Marissa help has a astrex next to her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:42.226 --> 01:00:45.140 name because she helped me with my slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:00:45.140 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.900$ So and she's a postgraduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:46.900 --> 01:00:49.550 associate and I have too many people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:00:49.550 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.422$ But I have to give here at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:52.422 --> 01:00:55.070 psychiatry a big shout out to both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:00:55.070 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.015$ Hillary answer Vegeta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:56.015 --> 01:00:58.710 you know I love the work I'm doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:00:58.710 --> 01:01:00.805 with Vegeta on mindfulness with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:00.805 --> 01:01:02.062 a different population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:02.070 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.062$ but I'm actually really hopeful that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:04.062 --> 01:01:07.217 Vegeta and I can think about doing attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:07.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.804$ retraining and mindfulness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:08.804 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.388$ how those two attentional.

01:01:10.390 --> 01:01:11.180 Interventions work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01{:}01{:}11.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}13.945$ and with Hillary I learn all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:13.945 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.275$ time so much about the brain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:16.275 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.220$ the brain and the behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:18.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.244$ And it's just a really super super

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:21.244 --> 01:01:23.169 exciting collaboration an you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:23.169 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.458$ and I have to say. Full disclosure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:25.458 --> 01:01:25.907 Hello,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:25.907 --> 01:01:29.050 Ian and Vegeta are both really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:29.128 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.636$ become really super close friends and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:31.636 --> 01:01:34.987 I just really I'm so thankful for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:34.990 --> 01:01:36.214 Speaking of friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:36.214 --> 01:01:38.254 I know this is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:38.260 --> 01:01:41.524 very unusual to do this in ground rounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

 $01:01:41.530 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.590$ but it's also really unusual

 $01:01:43.590 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.524$ to do ground rounds on zoom and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:46.524 --> 01:01:48.489 so during this past year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090937

01:01:48.490 --> 01:01:50.938 two among my collaborators passed away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:01:50.940 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.220$ The first is the young woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:01:53.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.440$ who was a young mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:01:55.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.490$ and they have their names.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:01:57.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.530$ Bethany Sutherland, who passed away

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:01:59.530 --> 01:02:02.854 after not covid related, but I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:02.854 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.129$ I do want to memorialize this to her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:06.130 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.984$ She was a Co investigator doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01{:}02{:}07.984 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}10.850$ the EG work in the in the attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:02:10.850 --> 01:02:13.190 Training study and then Bill Katinas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:13.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.862$ who you heard me mention was my best

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:15.862 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.561$ friend and collaborated FIU and he did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:02:18.561 --> 01:02:21.660 pass away covid related and so I don't cry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01{:}02{:}21.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}23.808$ I'll just leave this line from

 $01:02:23.808 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.899$ Wicked Witches because I knew you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01{:}02{:}25.900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}28.217$ I've been changed for good but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:28.217 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.839$ also know that they wouldn't want me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:02:30.840 --> 01:02:32.958 Bill wouldn't want me to end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:32.960 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.560$ he would want me to keep doing my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:36.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.653$ And he'd be very happy that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:38.653 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.664$ Flowers are out an my second

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:02:40.664 --> 01:02:42.746 grandson was born during Covid Levi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:42.750 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.706$ and I know he'd be happy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:02:44.710 --> 01:02:45.360 And Ann,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01{:}02{:}45.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}47.635$ I know people have also adopted dogs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:02:47.640 --> 01:02:49.596 so I just want to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:49.600 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.538$ for those of you like me, have lost people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:02:52.538 --> 01:02:53.840 You know, I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

01:02:53.840 --> 01:02:55.140 I share my condolences,

01:02:55.140 --> 01:02:58.983 but our life and our work goes on and I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:02:58.983 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.399$ want to thank you very much for today's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:03:02.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.297$ Opportunity to present my work to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450556

 $01:03:04.300 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.840$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81932884

 $01:03:10.500 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.550$ They thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

 $01:03:11.550 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.815$ so much. What a spectacular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

01:03:13.815 --> 01:03:16.080 presentation of your life's work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

 $01:03:16.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.390$ and I think it embodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

 $01:03:18.390 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.700$ sort of a very systematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

01:03:20.700 --> 01:03:22.180 And thoughtful and clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

 $01{:}03{:}22.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}24.030$ as tute way to investigate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

01:03:24.030 --> 01:03:26.087 most effective ways to intervene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

 $01:03:26.090 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.986$ an important clinical population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

 $01:03:27.986 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.251$ I think we could all learn from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

 $01:03:31.251 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.987$ this in the areas that we work in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588894

 $01:03:33.990 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.636$ So thank you so much for sharing all

 $01{:}03{:}36.640 \to 01{:}03{:}38.620$ of this with us. My pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $01:03:38.620 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.606$ I hope it was helpful and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $01:03:40.606 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.600$ interesting. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

 $01:03:44.030 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.668$ We doing questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

01:03:45.668 --> 01:03:47.678 Yes, Wendy, that was fabulous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

 $01{:}03{:}47.678 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}49.518$ It was great. Thank you vegeta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

01:03:49.520 --> 01:03:50.740 Will you my friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

01:03:50.740 --> 01:03:52.884 it was great, but you know I

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

 $01:03:52.884 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.720$ have not heard you talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

 $01:03:54.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.475$ You know all of your work in this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

 $01:03:57.475 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.000$ And so it was just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

 $01:03:59.000 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.533$ It was fantastic to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

 $01:04:00.533 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.058$ see what an important body

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

01:04:02.058 --> 01:04:03.898 of work in your thinking and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869065

 $01:04:03.900 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.120$ an and growth through.

 $01:04:05.120 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.730$ It was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

01:04:05.730 --> 01:04:06.954 just fantastic. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

 $01:04:06.954 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.790$ It means a lot for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

 $01:04:08.790 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.939$ to hear that from you with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

 $01:04:10.940 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.470$ Thank you, of course. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

 $01:04:12.470 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.300$ totally mean it at one thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

 $01:04:14.300 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.444$ that kept coming up in my mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

01:04:16.444 --> 01:04:17.975 And I'm sure you've thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

 $01:04:17.975 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.312$ about this and that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572264

01:04:19.312 --> 01:04:21.844 Maybe you have data and didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651103

 $01:04:21.850 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.826$ Then is are the effects and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651103

 $01:04:24.826 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.949$ our work together on parenting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651103

 $01:04:26.950 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.926$ It was really helpful to see your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651103

 $01:04:29.926 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.768$ development on on including parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651103

 $01:04:33.770 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.616$ And So what kept coming up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651103

 $01:04:36.616 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.648$ my mind was parents often,

01:04:38.650 --> 01:04:41.098 and I'm sure you've seen this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651103

 $01:04:41.100 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.720$ and we've talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

01:04:42.720 --> 01:04:45.166 it. Parents of children with anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

 $01:04:45.166 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.198$ have anxiety themselves. A lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

 $01:04:47.200 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.642$ of times, and the question I

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

 $01:04:49.642 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.082$ had was even just being parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

01:04:52.082 --> 01:04:54.116 in your child anxiety studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

01:04:54.116 --> 01:04:55.750 Did you assess parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

 $01:04:55.750 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.378$ anxiety changes and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

 $01:04:57.378 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.226$ the there was some of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87644607

01:05:00.226 --> 01:05:02.258 transfer in reduction in anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

01:05:02.260 --> 01:05:04.480 for parents? That was occurring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

01:05:04.480 --> 01:05:06.006 'cause I would actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

 $01:05:06.006 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.230$ really cool because even

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

 $01:05:07.230 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.066$ though the target was a child,

 $01:05:09.066 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.904$ the parent is learning new ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

 $01:05:10.904 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.430$ You know, giving up control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

 $01:05:12.430 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.266$ For example when you had that

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

 $01:05:14.270 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.102$ piece in there and a piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

 $01:05:16.102 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.020$ about negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873423288

 $01:05:17.020 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.856$ And so anyway wanted to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:18.860 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.640$ Do you see my slides again? Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:05:22.640 --> 01:05:24.816 Maybe you said it and I'm not well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:05:24.820 --> 01:05:26.190 no, because it's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:05:26.190 --> 01:05:27.828 I thought a lot at you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:27.830 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.006$ I mean how I can't even keep track?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:05:30.010 --> 01:05:31.380 How could you keep track?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:31.380 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.740$ Look at this first trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:05:32.740 --> 01:05:34.240 We targeted payment anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:34.240 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.490$ Vegeta yeah OK we targeted payment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:36.554 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.270$ anxiety an we've been and so

 $01:05:38.270 \longrightarrow 01:05:40.130$ let me stop sharing the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:05:40.130 --> 01:05:42.570 And so, and this is where this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:42.570 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.544$ Before I knew as much as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:44.544 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.840$ knew now I mean to be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:46.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.432$ and this is part of this is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01{:}05{:}49.432 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}52.212$ were trying to do too much and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:05:52.212 --> 01:05:54.768 too much to do with the parents OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:05:54.770 --> 01:05:55.685 Plus the CBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:55.685 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.820$ that's one thing I need to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:05:57.820 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.260$ However, now I'm going to start my share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:06:00.260 --> 01:06:01.835 However, this is really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:01.835 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.620$ because we actually this is in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:03.620 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.450$ This is close to being published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:05.450 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.410$ We actually have looked at Pam and anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:08.410 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.395$ and what we're finding is first of all.

 $01:06:11.400 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.136$ It's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:12.136 \longrightarrow 01:06:13.976$ It's also hard to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:06:13.980 --> 01:06:16.176 That's number one, but even then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:16.180 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.388$ even in all the studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:06:18.388 --> 01:06:19.860 we've been measuring it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:19.860 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.540$ we only tried to change it in that one study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:06:23.540 --> 01:06:24.620 It didn't change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:24.620 \longrightarrow 01:06:27.534$ but in all the other studies we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01{:}06{:}27.534 \to 01{:}06{:}30.086$ measuring it what we have found is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:30.086 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.109$ has not been a direct associated mediator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01{:}06{:}33.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}34.582$ The Child Anxiety reduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:34.582 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.418$ however, what we have found

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:36.418 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.253$ is that the anxious parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:06:38.260 --> 01:06:40.100 if you reduce their site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:40.100 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.566$ the site control is really related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:42.570 \longrightarrow 01:06:45.118$ And by reducing the site control and

01:06:45.118 --> 01:06:47.917 easing up on the parents I control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01{:}06{:}47.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}50.464$ it's actually need leading to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:50.464 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.448$ change in the anxiety of the parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:53.450 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.596$ And I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:54.596 \longrightarrow 01:06:56.888$ of course you know it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:06:56.888 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.079$ complicated than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:06:58.080 --> 01:07:01.344 But my point is that I think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01{:}07{:}01.344 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}03.119$ mediational changes more from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:03.119 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.304$ side control to anxiety then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:05.310 --> 01:07:07.300 But it's an empirical question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:07.300 --> 01:07:08.425 but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:08.425 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.675$ but I actually think that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:10.675 \longrightarrow 01:07:12.849$ we have never found parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:12.850 --> 01:07:15.304 anxiety to be the direct link,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:15.304 \longrightarrow 01:07:17.464$ but only fruit control and

 $01:07:17.464 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.540$ then answer your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:19.540 --> 01:07:20.082 Yes, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:20.082 --> 01:07:22.230 And it's so interesting, but you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:22.230 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.820$ It sort of makes sense when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:23.883 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.979$ you think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:24.980 --> 01:07:26.340 The task because you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:26.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.700$ as the parents are letting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:27.700 --> 01:07:29.060 up and control the child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:29.060 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.859$ has more otonomy the parent and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:30.859 --> 01:07:32.809 seeing the kid doing more than maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01{:}07{:}32.809 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}34.495$ the parents are getting less anxious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:34.500 --> 01:07:35.076 You know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:35.076 --> 01:07:36.516 I mean, because you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:36.516 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.038$ another whole part of my work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:38.040 --> 01:07:39.400 which you know about is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:39.400 --> 01:07:40.212 you know,

 $01{:}07{:}40.212 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}42.648$ one of those articles was called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:42.648 --> 01:07:44.010 directionality of change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:44.010 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.334$ So you know the whole directionality issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

01:07:46.334 --> 01:07:49.156 is part of what I'm speaking about now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:49.160 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.590$ yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:49.590 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.740$ Very interesting because so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:51.740 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.400$ sounds like it's complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:53.400 \longrightarrow 01:07:54.880$ and some components change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:54.880 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.720$ but really perhaps the parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714081

 $01:07:56.720 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.668$ has to be the target to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

 $01{:}07{:}59.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}01.890$ more maximum. I mean, that's empirical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

 $01:08:01.890 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.470$ but you know exactly you have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

01:08:04.470 --> 01:08:06.673 maximum amount of of change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

 $01:08:06.673 \longrightarrow 01:08:09.144$ and so that's an interesting and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

 $01:08:09.144 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.478$ through space exactly. Well, that's the

01:08:11.480 --> 01:08:13.790 exactly. That's probably why you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

 $01:08:13.790 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.231$ we have that new intervention with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

 $01:08:16.231 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.115$ space and we'll see how that goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

01:08:19.120 --> 01:08:20.486 Thank you, it's very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

 $01:08:20.486 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.120$ but it's a really interesting question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8646191

 $01:08:22.120 \longrightarrow 01:08:23.220$ Thank you for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

 $01:08:32.940 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.356$ Wendy, this is Chris Pittenger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

 $01:08:34.356 \longrightarrow 01:08:36.635$ Thank you for a wonderful talk and such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

 $01{:}08{:}36.635 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}40.680$ It was great to see it all put together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

01:08:40.680 --> 01:08:42.416 Message I'm sorry I can't put

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

 $01{:}08{:}42.416 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}44.150$ your name up as a collaborator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

 $01:08:44.150 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.590$ It almost happened these days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

 $01:08:45.590 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.190$ Will work on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

 $01:08:48.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.410$ It was sort of implicit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80790365

 $01:08:49.410 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.850$ It's at least it seemed implicit to me in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:08:51.850 \longrightarrow 01:08:53.314$ way you were presenting that you're

01:08:53.314 --> 01:08:54.290 thinking of anxiety appropriately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01{:}08{:}54.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}55.262$ So trans diagnostic construct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:08:55.262 --> 01:08:56.485 You know you're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:08:56.485 \longrightarrow 01:08:57.220$ these different populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:08:57.220 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.682$ but the concepts and the structures

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01{:}08{:}58.682 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}00.150$ that you're targeting are the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:09:00.150 --> 01:09:01.608 Whether it's social anxiety or phobia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:09:01.610 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.818$ whatever. At least that was my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01{:}09{:}03.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}05.576$ My impression is to the extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:09:05.576 \longrightarrow 01:09:07.648$ that that's true, I wonder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:09:07.648 --> 01:09:09.926 What you think about how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:09:09.926 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.556$ structures and targets of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01{:}09{:}11.556 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}13.211$ generalize to other disorders that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:09:13.211 --> 01:09:14.423 are characterized by prominent

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:09:14.423 --> 01:09:15.938 anxiety but also other things?

 $01:09:15.940 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.180$ And the two obvious ones that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01{:}09{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}20.690$ occurring to me or anxious OC D and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:09:20.690 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.274$ trauma associated anxiety where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:09:22.274 --> 01:09:24.496 have anxiety is a prominent source of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:09:24.496 \longrightarrow 01:09:26.397$ clinical distress in a targeted treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:09:26.397 --> 01:09:28.523 But you also have something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:09:28.523 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.966$ That that's going on, and so so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:09:30.966 --> 01:09:32.435 So what do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01{:}09{:}32.435 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}35.078$ I mean, starting with this sort of the pure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

01:09:35.080 --> 01:09:37.440 the anxiety make makes a lot of sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:09:37.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:39.492$ but I wonder about generalization of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347896

 $01:09:39.492 \longrightarrow 01:09:40.959$ structures to those adjacent conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:09:42.130 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.370$ Yeah, I mean it's a really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:09:44.370 \longrightarrow 01:09:46.964$ question and I and it hasn't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:09:46.964 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.298$ studied and it certainly is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:09:49.300 \longrightarrow 01:09:52.370$ Thing to study I I guess my own so I don't.

01:09:52.370 --> 01:09:54.316 I think it's a really great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01{:}09{:}54.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}56.488$ I I will just throw out one thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:09:56.488 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.855$ though that I have and what I've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:09:58.855 --> 01:10:01.128 frankly and actually I was in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:01.128 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.184$ to say this Alan Kasten back in 99.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:03.184 \longrightarrow 01:10:05.160$ You know mode about this but when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:05.225 --> 01:10:07.394 go back then I go well you know he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:07.394 --> 01:10:09.380 always had such foresight because he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01{:}10{:}09.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}11.338$ actually said this and I've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01{:}10{:}11.338 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}13.284$ this in the work that I presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:13.290 --> 01:10:15.162 You know that the mechanisms of an onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:15.162 --> 01:10:17.281 of a disorder is not necessarily the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:17.281 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.450$ same mechanism in a treatment reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:19.450 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.798$ Approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:19.798 \longrightarrow 01:10:22.582$ You know, and I see that now because

 $01:10:22.582 \longrightarrow 01:10:25.642$ you know Pam and anxiety clearly is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:25.642 \longrightarrow 01:10:28.030$ involved in maintaining anxiety clearly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:28.030 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.982$ but whether or not that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:30.982 --> 01:10:32.458 most proxamol mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:32.460 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.648$ That's an empirical well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:33.648 --> 01:10:34.836 I've already shown empirically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:34.840 \longrightarrow 01:10:36.616$ it's it doesn't seem to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:36.620 \longrightarrow 01:10:38.100$ It doesn't mean it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01{:}10{:}38.100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}38.988$ important for maintenance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:38.990 \longrightarrow 01:10:41.290$ but whether or not you want to make that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01{:}10{:}41.351 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}43.446$ proximal mechanism in your intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:43.450 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.610$ So I guess the back to you like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:45.610 --> 01:10:47.607 I think it could make sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:47.610 --> 01:10:49.418 but I I guess I'm just saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:49.418 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.376$ even if those mechanisms are involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:51.376 --> 01:10:53.542 in the maintenance of those problems,

 $01{:}10{:}53.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}54.734$ it's an empirical question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:54.734 \longrightarrow 01:10:55.918$ Just like an anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

01:10:55.920 --> 01:10:57.702 If they were maintained in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125561

 $01:10:57.702 \longrightarrow 01:10:59.190$ reduction in a treatment intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

 $01:11:01.330 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.670$ Thanks, thank you for the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

 $01:11:02.670 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.960$ Yeah it makes me think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

01:11:04.009 --> 01:11:05.197 should be measuring under.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

 $01:11:05.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:07.000$ We do measure depression and we do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

 $01:11:07.000 \longrightarrow 01:11:08.827$ the aidas and all the other treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

 $01:11:08.830 \longrightarrow 01:11:10.114$ So you know we certainly can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

 $01:11:10.114 \longrightarrow 01:11:11.490$ look at that more carefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

 $01:11:11.490 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.850$ But and also like yeah anyway, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

01:11:14.850 --> 01:11:16.818 Thank you, thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801454

 $01:11:16.820 \longrightarrow 01:11:18.460$ Yeah thanks. Hi Randy, Andy

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

 $01:11:18.460 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.403$ Morgans. I thought I have a question for you.

 $01:11:21.410 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.651$ I really enjoyed the presentation. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

 $01:11:23.651 \longrightarrow 01:11:26.090$ I I wanted to ask you a little more

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

01:11:26.165 --> 01:11:28.944 about the thread attention bias you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

01:11:28.950 --> 01:11:30.948 does it change from people who've

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

 $01:11:30.948 \longrightarrow 01:11:33.164$ gone through CBT because they know it

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

01:11:33.164 --> 01:11:35.089 is so quick that's the threshold of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

 $01:11:35.153 \longrightarrow 01:11:37.391$ consciousness responding and I was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

01:11:37.391 --> 01:11:39.777 wondering if if you know anything about

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

 $01{:}11{:}39.777 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}42.066$ does it shift after people have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.80035686

01:11:42.070 --> 01:11:44.366 a course of Skippy? There's the attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:11:45.180 \longrightarrow 01:11:46.956$ His attention by us, so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:11:46.960 --> 01:11:48.814 measuring of attention by so you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:11:48.814 \longrightarrow 01:11:50.951$ know the reason why we got this R

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:11:50.951 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.978$ 01 this to side R1 is because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:11:52.978 \longrightarrow 01:11:55.120$ measure of attention bias has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:11:55.120 \longrightarrow 01:11:56.900$ really crappy with this that probe

 $01:11:56.900 \longrightarrow 01:11:59.090$ and so you know it's not reliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:11:59.090 --> 01:12:00.190 It's really not good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:12:00.190 --> 01:12:02.529 and so and so now we're doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:12:02.529 --> 01:12:04.713 EG and we're doing the eye tracking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:12:04.720 \longrightarrow 01:12:06.496$ So I I honestly don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:12:06.496 \longrightarrow 01:12:07.680$ the answer with children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:12:07.680 --> 01:12:09.745 I don't know if it's been done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:12:09.750 --> 01:12:12.444 it might be done with adults, but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:12:12.444 \dashrightarrow 01:12:15.190$ I I'm sorry I don't know the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:12:15.190 \longrightarrow 01:12:17.031$ Do like a saver pal and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:12:17.031 \longrightarrow 01:12:18.606$ get back to you on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:12:18.606 --> 01:12:19.662 You know, no sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:12:19.662 --> 01:12:20.190 Yeah, I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:12:20.190 --> 01:12:22.197 I mean I I don't know off the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:12:22.197 \longrightarrow 01:12:24.467$ of my head if if attempt but I only

 $01:12:24.467 \longrightarrow 01:12:26.760$ can say that the measurement is bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

 $01:12:26.760 \longrightarrow 01:12:28.864$ You know, you know that that pro indexes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83769256

01:12:28.870 --> 01:12:29.130 yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7893529

01:12:30.680 --> 01:12:31.608 Years programming yeah yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7893529

 $01:12:31.610 \longrightarrow 01:12:33.473$ we use your ears and you know and yeah

NOTE Confidence: 0.7893529

01:12:33.473 --> 01:12:35.545 he is also like doing what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7893529

 $01:12:35.550 \longrightarrow 01:12:37.810$ You know he does AEG and he does eye tracking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7893529

01:12:37.867 --> 01:12:39.723 and with Danny of course you know he.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7893529

 $01:12:39.730 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.562$ I mean we're involved with Danny and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7893529

01:12:41.562 --> 01:12:43.439 Danny with doing it also with the imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8891731

 $01{:}12{:}44.270 \longrightarrow 01{:}12{:}46.254$ Wonderful, I'll follow up with you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8891731

01:12:46.254 --> 01:12:48.530 I will follow up with you. OK, thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8891731

 $01:12:48.530 \longrightarrow 01:12:49.950$ you. Thank you, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85924065

 $01:12:58.980 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.716$ So I am sorry I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.859112125

01:13:01.720 --> 01:13:05.650 a question. Last question, do I have time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859112125

01:13:05.650 --> 01:13:08.205 Yeah, this would be the last question,

 $01{:}13{:}08.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}12.179$ so thanks. So Doctor Silverman is there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79540217

01:13:12.180 --> 01:13:14.052 Do you see this working is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79540217

 $01:13:14.052 \longrightarrow 01:13:15.630$ in a prevention model too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:17.640 \longrightarrow 01:13:20.300$ Yes. The absolutely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:20.300 \longrightarrow 01:13:20.940$ absolutely, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

01:13:20.940 --> 01:13:22.220 Especially since I told

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:22.220 \longrightarrow 01:13:23.729$ you we did the attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:23.730 \longrightarrow 01:13:25.114$ Retraining was sub clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

01:13:25.114 --> 01:13:27.480 subclinical I mean I said like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:27.480 \longrightarrow 01:13:29.469$ know my I you know I do really want

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:29.531 \longrightarrow 01:13:31.679$ to do an effectiveness trial with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01{:}13{:}31.679 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}33.403$ attention retraining and I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01{:}13{:}33.403 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}35.384$ to set care and now I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:35.384 \longrightarrow 01:13:37.761$ to bring the jitter in to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:37.761 \longrightarrow 01:13:39.723$ mindfulness part of it and Hillary

 $01:13:39.723 \longrightarrow 01:13:41.568$ to do the brain measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

01:13:41.570 --> 01:13:42.488 But that's I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:42.488 \longrightarrow 01:13:45.010$ I definitely think it's a step care step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

01:13:45.010 --> 01:13:47.278 CPK is sort of like a prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:47.278 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.790$ approach to some extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

01:13:48.790 --> 01:13:51.376 It can be conceptualized that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

 $01:13:51.380 \longrightarrow 01:13:56.410$ Thank you. Application area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338889

01:13:56.410 --> 01:13:58.867 Great, well thank you so much and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

 $01{:}13{:}58.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}00.970$ thanks to everyone for attending and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

01:14:00.970 --> 01:14:03.076 traffic tarcan Wendy. I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

01:14:03.080 --> 01:14:05.537 send you dates for four years from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

 $01:14:05.540 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.990$ now so we should schedule it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

 $01:14:07.990 \longrightarrow 01:14:13.102$ No no, no give me more than four years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

01:14:13.110 --> 01:14:17.370 What give me more employees?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

 $01:14:17.370 \longrightarrow 01:14:19.110 \text{ I I know I was flossing}$.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

 $01:14:19.110 \longrightarrow 01:14:20.850$ Sure could call it that title,

 $01:14:20.850 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.590$ but I said what the heck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

01:14:22.590 --> 01:14:23.460 terrific well anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

01:14:23.460 --> 01:14:24.620 Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8365128

01:14:24.620 --> 01:14:25.536 Appreciate OK, thank.