WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:10:08.1920000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.340 Doctor Crystal couldn't be here today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.590$ so he's asked me to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.090$ our guest speaker today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.975$ Doctor Michael Higley Doctor Higley

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}07.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.860$ is currently an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:09.914 --> 00:00:11.719 in the Department of Neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:11.720 --> 00:00:14.296 He got his MD and his PhD at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:14.296 --> 00:00:16.220 the University of Pennsylvania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}16.220 --> 00{:}00{:}18.604$ and then he went on to do his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:18.604 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.336$ postdoctoral work at Harvard with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}20.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.466$ Bernardo Sabatini where he worked on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}22.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.722$ the basal ganglia and particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:24.722 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.464$ synaptic mechanisms in the basal ganglia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}27.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.016$ He's gone on in his work here at Yale.

 $00:00:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.652$ To continue his efforts to do very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}33.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.585$ basic neuroscience at the synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}35.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.799$ and cellular level and to extend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:37.799 --> 00:00:39.993 that work to understanding circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:39.993 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.765$ that are relevant for brain disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.795$ whether it be psychiatric illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:44.795 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.010$ or neurological illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:46.010 --> 00:00:48.778 And he's going to talk to us today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:48.778 --> 00:00:51.678 about his work on multiscale imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:51.680 --> 00:00:54.508 and in this case it's not fMRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.510$ its cellular and circuit level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}56.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.724$ image Ng and he's going to lead us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:59.724 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.992$ through what some of the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:01:01.992 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.929$ cutting edge techniques are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:01:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.555$ In preclinical science to reveal

00:01:06.555 --> 00:01:08.655 links between neuronal networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:01:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.010$ behavior and disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:01:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.810$ Mike, go for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.484$ Marina, thank you and thanks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:15.484 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.589$ all of you for coming today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:17.590 --> 00:01:19.522 It's it's an incredible privilege and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:19.522 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.494$ a pleasure to be here, especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:21.494 --> 00:01:23.438 giving talks to two local communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}01{:}23.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.360$ One of the things that I would I would more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:26.433 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.289$ than than welcome is both during the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}01{:}29.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.925$ If people have questions just

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:30.925 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.190$ just jump right in and ask them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.110$ And certainly afterwards if anybody wants

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:35.110 --> 00:01:37.419 to follow up with anything you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.348$ an enormous part of these kinds of talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:39.348 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.263$ is to potentially ferment collaborations

 $00:01:41.263 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.558$ and an future interactions between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:43.560 --> 00:01:44.820 All of us here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.200$ at both the preclinical and clinical levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:47.200 --> 00:01:49.848 So happy to keep talking afterwards as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.500$ So today as Marina said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.966$ what I'm going to try to give you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:53.966 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.511$ sense of is some of the methodological

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:56.511 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.790$ approaches that my lab and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.016$ both here at Yale and another locations

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:02:01.016 --> 00:02:03.419 have been using to study brain function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.406$ its relationship in Part 2 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}05.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.399$ North psychiatric disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}06.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.388$ So I'm not going to give you like an

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:09.388 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.700$ incredible deep dive in any one story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.818$ and in fact I'm going to.

 $00:02:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.654$ Tell you a few stories or parts

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}15.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.030$ of a few stories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.776$ especially in service to that introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.537$ Most of what I'm going to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:02:20.537 --> 00:02:22.000 you is actually unpublished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.838$ and in fact a little bit of it at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:24.838 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.246$ is going to be even quite preliminary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:02:27.250 --> 00:02:28.124 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}28.124 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.309$ hopefully it'll be an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:02:30.309 --> 00:02:32.306 and exciting opportunity to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:32.306 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.160$ of learn what we've been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559267500:02:34.160 --> 00:02:34.437 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:34.437 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.653$ so this is a bit of an outline

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:36.653 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.599$ about what I'd like to cover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.913$ so first I'm going to tell you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:40.913 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.629$ bit about what behavioral state means to us.

 $00:02:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.618$ Then I'm going to go in for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:02:45.618 --> 00:02:47.478 awhile about some of the methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.388$ especially at the imaging the fluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:49.388 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.029$ based imaging methods that we use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.510$ and finally towards the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:52.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.914$ I'll tell you what we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:53.914 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.080$ working on in the vein of neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}56.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.260$ psychiatric disorders or models

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}57.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.019$ of neuro psychiatric disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.618$ OK, so first you know this is sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}03{:}03.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.777$ of like a really basic question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.724$ I mean, what is a behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:07.724 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.100$ state or what do we mean by it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.020$ And at the end I'm not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.000$ give you any great conceptual answer

 $00:03:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.160$ to that question because I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.140$ totally sure there is one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}03{:}18.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.570$ at least in the field and what I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.320$ stick to is largely a set of operational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.239$ definitions and I'll go into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.720$ But in a sort of vague ish way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.904$ What we in the field, both both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:29.904 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.514$ preclinically and clinically often mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}03{:}31.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.315$ By behavioral state could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:03:33.315 --> 00:03:35.110 levels of arousal or alertness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.758$ You know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:03:35.758 --> 00:03:36.082 Obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:36.082 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.350$ this sort of the mice and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}03{:}38.418 {\:\raisebox{--}{--}}{\:\raisebox{--}{--}}{\:\raisebox{--}{--}} 00{:}03{:}40.777$ this image here you know sleep wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:40.777 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.091$ transitions are a very obvious example

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:03:43.091 --> 00:03:45.156 of changes in behavioral state,

00:03:45.160 --> 00:03:47.200 but even just relative attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}03{:}47.200 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.413$ what's going on in your environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:03:49.413 --> 00:03:51.759 versus sort of just passively hanging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:03:51.759 --> 00:03:54.497 out and being in a very relaxed state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.523$ So attention concentration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:55.523 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.228$ something that comes up a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:03:57.228 --> 00:03:59.158 lot in functional MRI field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.040$ sort of resting state versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}01.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.200$ maybe a task engaged state so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.296$ So these are just kind of words that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:06.296 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.670$ often used to describe behavioral state

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.460$ at a neural or at a nervous system level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}12.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.548$ Other words or phrases that often come up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:15.550 --> 00:04:16.322 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:16.322 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.480$ synchrony or correlational

 $00:04:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.024$ structure of brain activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:19.030 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.595$ Default Mode network is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}20.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.215$ that we hear a lot about specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:23.215 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.191$ modulatory systems like acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:25.191 --> 00:04:27.907 or norepinephrine are thought to play

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:27.907 --> 00:04:29.592 important roles in behavioral state

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:29.592 --> 00:04:32.540 and and also sort of notions of top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.530$ down or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.995$ Higher order regulation of brain function or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686 00:04:37.000 --> 00:04:37.510 say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}37.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.550$ perceptual ability versus bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.813$ up or or sometimes a sending

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:42.813 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.277$ or sensory driven activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:45.280 --> 00:04:46.489 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:46.489 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.310$ all of these things are are profoundly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:49.392 --> 00:04:52.584 linked to disruption in a number of nurse,

00:04:52.590 --> 00:04:53.400 psychiatric disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:53.400 --> 00:04:54.210 Depression, anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:54.210 --> 00:04:55.832 attention deficit, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:55.832 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.238$ schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:56.238 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.268$ autism so clear mechanistic links

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:58.268 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.298$ between these categories is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.622$ still a big topic of investigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}02.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.026$ in a number of labs and just sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:06.026 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.229$ broadly in the field of neuroscience and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.678$ And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:10.678 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.850$ I'm not going to provide any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:12.934 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.459$ any strict links between them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.132$ Only to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:16.132 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.580$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}16.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.628$ sort of provide a sense of how we

 $00:05:18.628 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.461$ think about some of these things and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:20.461 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.029$ and where we are as a field at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686 00:05:23.030 --> 00:05:23.424 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:23.424 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.788$ So how do we measure behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:25.788 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.970$ state in rodents?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:05:26.970 --> 00:05:29.154 So my lab works entirely in rodents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.009$ really in mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:30.009 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.665$ and this is an example of a head fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:32.665 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.089$ mouse of the sort that we might use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:35.156 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.328$ in any number of our experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.147$ and in fact many that I'll tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:05:40.150 --> 00:05:42.348 And if you just watch this video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.920$ you'll see that the mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.490$ is doing a few things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.380$ and I'll point out a couple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.760$ So one perhaps most obviously the mouse

00:05:49.760 --> 00:05:52.289 is running and I think he'll stop for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}52.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.369$ a second and then start up again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.978$ But tracking that locomotion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:05:55.978 --> 00:05:58.390 at head fixed but locomoting on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:58.459 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.427$ this freely moving wheel is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:06:00.427 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.739$ very easy marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.950$ something we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.188$ We can sort of distinguish right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.678$ Not running, running or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}06.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.794$ questions and locomotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.678$ You can also notice his whiskers

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}09.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.899$ and some of his facial musculature

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}11.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.139$ is sort of constantly moving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:06:14.140 --> 00:06:16.378 It stops sometimes, then moves again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.240$ so these fine motor movements,

 $00:06:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.359$ especially in rodents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:19.359 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.597$ Whisking is a very obvious one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.498$ is another thing we can use to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:06:24.498 --> 00:06:25.740 categorise behavioral states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:06:25.740 --> 00:06:26.502 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:26.502 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.638$ if you if you sort of zoom in on his eye,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.902$ here is a little bit subtle

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:32.902 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.410$ in this particular movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}34.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.666$ There's not a huge dynamic range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.424$ but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.932$ his pupil diameter also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:06:38.932 --> 00:06:40.440 fluctuates throughout the movie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.090$ and that is another variable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.143$ that we can use to sort of divide

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:45.143 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.781$ the animals behavioral, state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:46.781 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.684$ And so again,

 $00:06:47.684 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.045$ I'll be explicit that these are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}50.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.505$ operational definitions of behavioral state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}52.510 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.149$ and there are a number of ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.772$ some better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}55.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.638$ Tested and others about neural mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:57.638 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.190$ underlying these different variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.822$ but for the moment will really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:00.822 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.987$ just stick to them as a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:02.987 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.343$ of operational definitions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}04.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.718$ behavioral States and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:05.718 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.524$ a long list of different labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.156$ including ours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:08.156 --> 00:07:10.034 Also just Gardens Lab here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:10.034 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.857$ Yale that have worked on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.666$ and we know that these behavioral

00:07:13.666 --> 00:07:15.554 states have a pretty big impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:15.554 --> 00:07:17.109 on behavior even in rodents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.077$ and so this is just an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:19.077 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.993$ of some data from our lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:20.993 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.668$ that we published last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:22.670 --> 00:07:24.220 The details aren't terribly important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:24.220 \dashrightarrow 00:07:26.780$ but on the X axis here I'm plotting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.500$ The intensity of a visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}28.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.645$ stimulus that the animal is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:30.645 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.049$ presented with visual contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:32.049 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.849$ and on the Y axis is the animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.465$ Correct performance on this visually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:36.465 --> 00:07:38.506 guided task and what you'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:38.506 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.371$ is a very characteristic sigmoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:40.371 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.863$ relationship between those variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.327$ So as the visual contrast goes up,

 $00:07:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.090$ the animals performance gets better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.166$ And if we divide the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:48.166 --> 00:07:49.950 behavior into times when it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:49.950 --> 00:07:51.705 say running versus not running

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:51.705 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.460$ or in the bottom plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.180$ you know when the pupil is large in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.129$ diameter versus small in diameter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.846$ You'll see that those higher arousal states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:00.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.800$ either from locomotion or pupil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}02.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.966$ correspond with a left shift in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}04.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.327$ that perceptual curve and also an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}07.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.019$ increase in overall performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}09.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.798$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:09.798 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.743$ the mechanisms of this are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:08:11.743 --> 00:08:12.910 not fully understood,

00:08:12.910 --> 00:08:15.829 but simply to point out that changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:08:15.829 --> 00:08:17.630 in behavioral state directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.486$ correspond to changes in at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:08:20.486 --> 00:08:22.590 perceptual ability in rodents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.582$ And so we get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:23.582 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.466$ Please jump in with any with any

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:25.466 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.146$ questions if anything comes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.458$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:27.458 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.306$ so how do we measure neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:29.306 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.560$ activity in our lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}30.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.116$ So this is really going to be the meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:33.116 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.824$ of the meat of my lab does primarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.654$ but also of the talk and so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:37.654 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.430$ is all going to be fluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.761$ imaging and we're going to in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:41.761 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.257$ studies use genetically encoded

 $00:08:43.257 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.428$ indicators and I'll talk a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:45.428 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.514$ more about how we get those into

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:47.514 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.776$ the into the brain or into cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.020$ but they can either,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.640$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}51.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53.320$ be transgenic mice where the mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.360$ express the number of these indicators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:08:55.360 --> 00:08:56.910 sort of in their genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:08:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.128$ or else we can use, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}08{:}59.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.798$ viral vectors to drive expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.264$ I'll come to that just a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.682$ but probably the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:04.682 --> 00:09:06.447 common indicator in our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}06.450 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}08.215$ and honestly in the preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:08.215 --> 00:09:09.980 field of neuroscience in general,

 $00:09:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.372$ are indicators that report

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:11.372 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.764$ calcium free concentrations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:12.764 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.209$ cytosolic calcium within neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.611$ And G camp is probably the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:16.611 --> 00:09:18.450 ubiquitous and most well known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.778$ This is a green flora for it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}20.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.079$ molecularly a molecule of GFP green

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:23.079 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.154$ fluorescent protein fused account module,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.666$ in which calcium binding protein and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:27.666 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.810$ can sort of see the structure of it here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}30.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.048$ and so you've got this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.538$ GFP molecule circularly permuted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}34.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.140$ variant of GFP bound to calmodulin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}37.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.994$ so when calcium binds this protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.400$ changes its confirmation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:40.400 \dashrightarrow 00:09:41.800$ changes the fluorescent properties.

 $00:09:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.954$ The molecule there are also red

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:43.954 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.650$ shifted variants of these Jr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.002$ Camp and Jay are gecko or two variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:48.002 --> 00:09:50.547 just that use two different fluorophores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.300$ an Ruby and an Apple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.050$ These are red shifted floors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.150$ but again bound it to calmodulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:56.150 --> 00:09:58.280 Most of these have been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.110$ by the groups that that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:00.110 --> 00:10:03.026 Nelia research campus outside of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592 00:10:03.030 --> 00:10:03.395 DC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:03.395 --> 00:10:05.220 The other molecule that's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}05.220 \to 00{:}10{:}07.878$ to be important for the talk today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:07.878 --> 00:10:09.748 is a sensor for acetylcholine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.710$ This something actually,

 $00:10:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.698$ the Marine's lab, is used as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:12.698 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.431$ This is a tool that was developed by you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:15.431 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.042$ Longleys lab at the University of Peking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}18.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.958$ They called it a Ch 3.0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.186$ This is their recent variant of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:22.190 --> 00:10:24.750 It's it's maybe not the most distinct name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.664$ but that's what we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:26.664 --> 00:10:28.876 call it for today, and this is GFP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}28.876 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.859$ bound to a variant of a cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:30.859 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.398$ muscarinic M2 receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}32.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.000$ But the same idea applies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.660$ Basically it's a it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:35.660 --> 00:10:37.310 Floor for bounded molecule and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.960$ so when acetylcholine binds it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:39.017 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.212$ undergoes a conformational shift that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:41.212 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.968$ changes its fluorescent properties.

 $00:10:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.590$ So why is this useful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:44.590 --> 00:10:47.030 So here I'm showing you kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:47.030 --> 00:10:48.782 cartoon example for calcium, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}48.782 --> 00{:}10{:}50.714$ So here we've got a neuron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:50.720 \dashrightarrow 00:10:52.658$ This blue ball expressing G camp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:52.660 --> 00:10:54.345 This is fluorescent Reporter and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:54.345 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.691$ when we shine blue light in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:56.691 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.467$ case 480 animator light on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}58.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.439$ normally nothing happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:59.439 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.377$ You don't get any green fluorescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.676$ it just sits there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:02.676 --> 00:11:04.610 However, when the cell is active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.230$ when it fires action potentials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}06.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.156$ for example, that depolarizes the membrane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.212$ it opens voltage gated calcium channels

 $00:11:10.212 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.688$ which allow calcium to rush into the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.046$ The calcium then binds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:14.046 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.468$ Thejy camp and now in its calcium bound form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.074$ When we shine blue light on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:20.080 --> 00:11:22.684 this molecule will now emit green light,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.951$ and so we can collect these green

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:24.951 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.170$ photons in various imaging modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.654$ But essentially this increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}28.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.880$ in green light means that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:30.948 --> 00:11:32.653 was an increase in intracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}32.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.234$ calcium which we use as a proxy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:35.234 --> 00:11:36.858 for increased neuronal activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.044$ and so that will be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}39.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.339$ important for most of this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.578$ Similarly, this cholinergic Reporter AC H.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592 00:11:43.580 --> 00:11:43.950 3.0.

 $00:11:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.430$ So in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:45.430 --> 00:11:47.386 this is a membrane bound protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.100$ and so when acetylcholine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:49.100 --> 00:11:50.810 released from wherever it happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:11:50.866 --> 00:11:53.246 to be released from, if it binds this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:11:53.246 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.570$ protein in the membrane of the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:11:55.570 --> 00:11:58.098 The same idea. Now suddenly you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:11:58.098 --> 00:11:59.820 green fluorescence from this molecule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:11:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.633$ and so in this case the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}01.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.947$ fluorescence reports the extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:12:02.947 --> 00:12:04.390 presence of acetylcholine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:04.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.750$ which is bound to these membrane receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}07.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.470$ Alright. So the modality that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:12:11.470 --> 00:12:14.632 going to talk about most today for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:12:14.632 --> 00:12:17.488 imaging is a one photon widefield.

 $00:12:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.815$ We usually call it mesoscopic imaging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}20.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.973$ what this is a method for imaging the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:12:24.973 --> 00:12:28.090 entire at least dorsal surface of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.501$ mouse cortex at one time has some advantages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:12:31.501 --> 00:12:35.109 We can do this through the intact skull,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.578$ so the surgical invasiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:37.578 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.429$ is relatively low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.524$ The the fluorescent reporters that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}41.524 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}12{:}44.518$ use are quite right and so you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:44.518 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.729$ really nice signal even through the skull.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}46.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.728$ You can see the entire cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.047$ As I said, sort of one time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:12:51.050 --> 00:12:52.710 So if you're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.038$ interactions of different regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.036$ that's fairly straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:55.036 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.360$ The perhaps disadvantage is that you cannot

 $00:12:57.405 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.020$ resolve individual neurons this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}59.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.680$ These are from aerial signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.340$ probably smeared out over at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.000$ least 100 microns or more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.289$ So in some sense it's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:06.289 --> 00:13:07.980 bit akin to do fMRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.086$ or maybe even like a continuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.482$ Local field potential or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:11.482 --> 00:13:13.222 or EG kind of signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.980$ but still with fairly high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}15.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.180$ spatial and temporal resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:18.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.028$ So this is just one quick example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:20.030 --> 00:13:21.608 I want to get everybody oriented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:21.608 --> 00:13:22.397 a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.464$ So this is kind of a cartoon of

 $00:13:24.464 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.099$ a microscope that we would use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}26.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.714$ So we've got big objective that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:27.714 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.269$ goes over the mouse is head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:29.270 --> 00:13:31.910 All of these mice are going to be head fixed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:31.910 --> 00:13:33.625 but awaken free to run and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:33.625 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.080$ forth is in that movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.979$ I showed you a moment ago and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:36.979 --> 00:13:39.099 a view sort of an unprocessed image of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}39.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.409$ what you can see through the microscope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.786$ So this is the front end of the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}43.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.722$ The mouse is head is pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:45.722 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.660$ up so side side midline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}47.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.627$ Back end and to all these dark

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:49.627 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.784$ lines that you can see that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:51.784 --> 00:13:53.656 vasculature of the brain of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:53.724 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.848$ cortex that shows up quite nicely.

 $00:13:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.740$ This is a mouse which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.000$ transgenically expressing G cap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.058$ So in this case every excitatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:01.058 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.089$ neuron in this mouse cortex is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}14{:}03.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05.168$ expressing G count an when we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:14:05.168 --> 00:14:07.574 a movie we process it a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:07.574 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.686$ just to look at changes in signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:09.686 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.209$ This is what you get and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:12.209 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.323$ set up three times a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:14.323 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.007$ faster than than real time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.170$ but hopefully you can see this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.805$ Pretty interesting and dynamic set

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}14{:}19.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21.440$ of fluctuations that are happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:14:21.493 --> 00:14:22.677 across the entire cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.256$ and this is for a mouse that's just

00:14:25.256 --> 00:14:26.722 sitting there, not doing anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:26.722 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.047$ so this is sort of a very quick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:29.047 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.782$ introduction to how dynamic the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:30.782 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.233$ cortex is even when we are supposedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:14:33.233 --> 00:14:34.903 not doing anything at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.250$ just just sitting there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.260$ And so this work was described

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:38.325 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.411$ in a couple of papers that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:40.411 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.305$ published just last

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

00:14:41.375 --> 00:14:43.606 year. If you're interested in more details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00{:}14{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.598$ but I'm going to use this method

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:45.598 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.469$ for the next several slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.160$ so just as a reminder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.896$ This is the top down view of the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.116$ Brain Front is up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:53.116 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.940$ Back is down side to side.

00:14:57.290 --> 00:14:59.537 So while that last mouse was transgenic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}14{:}59.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.556$ much of the data that I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:01.556 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.485$ show you today uses also another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:03.485 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.525$ new method that we've developed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}05.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.587$ collaboration with Mike Rares Lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.654$ Also here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:08.654 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.131$ And this is to use viral vectors to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}11.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.171$ drive the expression of transgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}13.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.575$ proteins rather than having to make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:15.575 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.894$ mouse where you say knock in a gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:17.894 --> 00:15:19.826 This is, it's not terribly complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.728$ but it's it takes a lot of work and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}22.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.050$ and so this is in some respects a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.213$ much easier way to get transgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:27.213 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.347$ expression in mice.

 $00:15:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.650$ And so in this case we take a mouse pup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}31.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.474$ This really only works well in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:33.474 --> 00:15:35.609 the first couple of neonatal days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.590$ and we take a viral vector

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.580$ adeno associated virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.570$ In this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.890$ this is pretty ubiquitous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.210$ in neuroscience these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.240$ and it turns out that the serotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.293$ 9 variant of AAV crosses the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}46.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.148$ blood brain barrier really well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.460$ especially in the early post Natal period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.100$ So we take a virus in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.090$ Two different viruses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.410$ one driving our camp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}55.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.115$ which is this redshifted calcium

 $00:15:57.115 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.479$ indicator that I mentioned?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}58.480 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}01.427$ And one driving this H 3.0 cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:01.427 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.107$ signal so we mix those viruses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:04.107 --> 00:16:07.222 together and we inject them into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}07.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.785$ transverse sinus is of this mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:09.785 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.572$ is brain into P0 to P1 period and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:12.572 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.234$ what you see here on the right is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:15.234 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.006$ some Histology demonstrating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}17.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.416$ massive and robust and wide spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:19.416 --> 00:16:22.261 expression of both the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:22.261 --> 00:16:23.968 fluorescing cholinergic indicator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.130$ the red fluorescent calcium indicator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}26.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.028$ pretty much throughout the entire brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.660$ So this neonatal virus injection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.290$ protocol is really incredibly powerful.

 $00:16:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.240$ Works for anything that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:34.240 --> 00:16:35.215 express via AV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.060$ and in fact I'll show you some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.435$ additional data at the end of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:40.440 --> 00:16:42.396 For some more nervous psychiatric models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.250$ but regardless that this isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.432$ otherwise wild type mouse that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:46.432 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.584$ just sort of picked out of the cage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:48.590 --> 00:16:51.446 And now you can get really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:51.446 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.640$ expression of these indicators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.456$ And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:54.456 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.088$ this was first described in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:56.088 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.529$ paper published last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.110$ An much more methodological description

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:59.110 --> 00:17:01.571 of this is in this paper by Hamodia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:01.571 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.209$ doll from last year as well,

 $00:17:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.359$ and it was only hamodia made by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:05.359 --> 00:17:07.500 Chris Lab that they really sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.028$ of pioneered this tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.179$ Alright, so we're going to use now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}17{:}11.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.645$ This dual expression approach of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:12.645 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.110$ the red shifted calcium indicator

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:14.162 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.742$ in the green fluorescent cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:15.742 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.006$ indicator for some studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.846$ I'm going to show you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:18.850 --> 00:17:19.172 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:19.172 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.426$ this is probably going to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:21.426 --> 00:17:23.238 busiest slide that I show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:23.238 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.066$ through the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:24.070 --> 00:17:26.065 so I'm going to walk you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:26.065 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.450$ it in some stages,

 $00:17:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.298$ but there's there are a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:29.298 --> 00:17:30.830 important things to point out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:30.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.979$ so this is work that was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:32.979 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.900$ by sweater Lohani,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.776$ who is a postdoc in the carbon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:35.776 --> 00:17:37.580 lab here and Andrew Moberly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.518$ whose adjoint postdoc between my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.747$ Not injustice Liberia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:40.747 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.997$ So first this is a similar cartoon to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:43.997 --> 00:17:46.832 what I just showed you a few minutes ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}17{:}46.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.120$ Only instead of there being one CMOS camera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:17:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.830$ we now have two CMOS cameras,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:17:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.870$ one to detect the red signal which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:17:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.060$ calcium in this case and wanted to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:17:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.099$ the green signal which is acetylcholine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:17:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.322$ and in this case, but again you've got the

 $00:18:00.322 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.294$ mouse sitting on the wheel and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}03.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.724$ So these are just a couple images similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:05.724 --> 00:18:08.487 to you know the movie I just showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.302$ Again we're looking down on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:10.302 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.447$ top of the mouse brain up, up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:12.447 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.823$ Is that the front of the brain down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:14.823 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.482$ is the back and here we've got signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:17.482 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.466$ in the top row for the H 3.0 and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:20.466 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.870$ the bottom row our camp and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.440$ divide this these cortical regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:24.505 --> 00:18:26.871 into different chunks by a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}26.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.944$ different methods and I'll talk a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}28.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.072$ more about that in a moment as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:31.072 --> 00:18:33.560 But here you can sort of make out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.566$ I've drawn on an ROI or region of interest.

 $00:18:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.656$ It's sort of a little circle ish

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}38.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.570$ thing around a frontal region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.922$ This sort of motor cortex and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:42.922 --> 00:18:44.869 another here around the back region

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:44.869 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.913$ or visual areas and so in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.507$ areas we can now plot the fluorescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:49.507 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.538$ signal that's coming from those two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}51.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.555$ areas as a function of time so we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}54.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.745$ see how these signals vary over time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:56.745 --> 00:18:59.098 for these two different regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}59.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.100$ And so first I just show you the our camp

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:02.177 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.397$ data and so now you can see in purple above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}05.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.822$ This is the variation in that red

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:07.822 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.225$ fluorescence corresponding to cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:09.225 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.374$ neuron activity via calcium in V1 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:11.374 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.587$ purple and then just below that in red.

 $00:19:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.450$ Is this this motor region and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.688$ below all of that at the bottom?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.260$ I've also drawn traces that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.516$ correspond face map here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.100$ This is the facial musculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:19:22.100 --> 00:19:23.201 It's mostly whisking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:23.201 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.190$ so this shows you sort of when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}26.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28.612$ animal is whisking and then when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}28.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.995$ stops and when it was a bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:19:31.000 --> 00:19:31.369 Similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}31.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33.583$ we have the pupil diameter plotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:33.583 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.780$ as a continuous function of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.988$ and then we also have running

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}37.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.460$ speed on the wheel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.036$ You can see that these variables are

00:19:42.036 --> 00:19:43.879 roughly correlated with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.570$ and that's something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}45.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.260$ see typically that there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:19:47.316 --> 00:19:49.396 underlying state variable of arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.105$ whatever that means that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:51.105 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.810$ strongly correlated with a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:52.871 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.547$ of these behavioral variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.224$ and so you'll note that the fluorescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:57.224 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.970$ signals the purple and red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.332$ actually agree roughly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:00.332 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.602$ With the fluctuations in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:02.602 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.730$ behavioral state variables as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.054$ And so this is the calcium signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:20:07.060 --> 00:20:09.058 Again, correspond to local cortical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.013$ We can do the same thing looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:11.013 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.720$ at the green fluorescence,

 $00:20:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.390$ which is the acetylcholine readout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:20:14.390 --> 00:20:14.996 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:14.996 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.511$ you see fluctuations in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:20:16.511 --> 00:20:17.853 acetylcholine that also track

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:17.853 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.377$ these behavioral state variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.704$ and we can get that for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.658$ In this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:22.658 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.380$ this motor region is also the visual cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.316$ so there's two main points then that I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:28.316 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.449$ like you to take from this whole figure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.110$ The first is that as you note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.770$ these signals are very dynamic in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.050$ so they they fluctuate at both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.570$ fast and slow timescales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:20:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.470$ The slower timescales correspond roughly

 $00:20:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.370$ to these behavioral state transitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}20{:}44.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.842$ probably locomotion and pupil are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:20:46.842 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.070$ easiest to see as they go up and down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:20:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.751$ You see you sort of corresponding variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:20:52.751 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.896$ in the in both the cholinergic in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:20:55.896 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.073$ in the calcium signal so there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:20:59.073 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.437$ of temporal dynamics and the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.090$ Point is that there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:03.090 --> 00:21:03.915 of spatial heterogeneity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.349$ and that perhaps you can appreciate most

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}06.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.982$ just looking at the images at the top so

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:08.982 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.738$ you can also see that in the traces as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:11.740 --> 00:21:14.164 And for calcium, I mean maybe that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}14.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.208$ surprising in the sense that different areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:16.208 --> 00:21:18.769 of the brain or or at least the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.938$ are doing different things

 $00:21:19.938 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.814$ at different times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:20.820 --> 00:21:22.962 OK, it was perhaps a little surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:22.962 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.340$ for the acetylcholine in that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.167$ at least in the early days of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:26.167 --> 00:21:27.860 the field and early meaning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.820$ say, 50 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.916$ there was a sense that some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:30.916 --> 00:21:32.426 brainstem modulators might really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:32.426 --> 00:21:34.590 just sort of global arousal signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.648$ and so you might have expected that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.402$ OK, you know when arousal goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:38.402 --> 00:21:39.278 up acetylcholine everywhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.159$ it goes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:40.159 --> 00:21:41.917 and then when arousal goes downhill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:41.920 --> 00:21:44.020 going everywhere goes down every sort of.

00:21:44.020 --> 00:21:45.855 A simple readout of behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:45.855 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.690$ state and what we find,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.525$ and this isn't this isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:49.525 --> 00:21:50.259 necessarily surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.692$ especially given recent anatomical

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}51.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.840$ findings about the diversity of cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:53.886 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.398$ projections throughout the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:55.400 --> 00:21:55.960 But nevertheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.920$ what you can see here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.760$ even the acetylcholine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}59.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.266$ incredibly spatially heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.145$ And so acetylcholine release in

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}03.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.828$ different parts of the cortex can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:05.828 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.673$ remarkably UN coupled from release

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:07.673 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.709$ in other locations in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.727$ So so, really.

00:22:10.727 --> 00:22:12.422 Acetylcholine is not just sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:12.422 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.190$ of a generic signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:22:14.190 --> 00:22:19.380 Of overall state, but in fact as a as a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:22:19.380 --> 00:22:20.750 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:22:20.750 --> 00:22:23.490 primary component of dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.860$ cortical variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.690$ And just to finally make that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.690$ that last point a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.658$ these black traces that you see here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}30.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.414$ here are the instantaneous correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:32.414 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.424$ between the two cortical regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.974$ and so you can just see there that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.334$ So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:37.334 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.042$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:38.042 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.812$ the correlation of acetylcholine release

00:22:39.812 --> 00:22:41.768 between M2 and V1 starts out high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}41.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.996$ then it actually drops a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.600$ then it goes up again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.622$ So just the correlational structure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:47.622 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.059$ these signals varies as a function of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.998$ so this is really about religious

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}51.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.672$ descriptive view of the kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:53.672 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.277$ of studies that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}55.280 \to 00{:}22{:}57.380$ And so now let me go into a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:22:57.438 --> 00:22:59.426 more detail of some of our analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}01.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.088$ So the first thing that we do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:04.088 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.855$ spend a good amount of time trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}06.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.567$ to understand what behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:23:08.567 --> 00:23:11.837 state means or what some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:11.837 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.220$ transitions mean quantitatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.739$ An for the next little part of the talk.

 $00:23:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.692$ I'm really going to focus on the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}19.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.207$ major motor signals that we study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.170$ locomotion and facial movement here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.778$ It's called face map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:25.778 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.132$ This is named after the software that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:29.132 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.142$ use to extract these these movement signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:23:32.150 --> 00:23:33.654 Primarily corresponds to whisking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:33.654 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.910$ in detail if anyone is interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:23:35.910 --> 00:23:37.530 It's essentially a principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.150$ component based decomposition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.167$ the video ography of the face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.050$ so you basically take a movie of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.101$ animals entire face and you decompose it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:47.101 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.639$ via principal component analysis to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:49.639 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.075$ a bunch of features that describe that.

00:23:52.080 --> 00:23:53.960 But nevertheless most of it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}53.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55.840$ really just agrees with whisking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.090$ That's that's the most dominant component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.970$ So looking at these traces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.286$ you'll know a few things so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:02.290 --> 00:24:03.830 Locomotion is perhaps easiest, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.684$ So the animals just sitting there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:05.684 --> 00:24:07.530 quiet for most of the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}07.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.476$ and this sort of pinkish box sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:09.476 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.079$ of illustrates this sustained low

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:11.079 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.150$ level of locomotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:12.150 --> 00:24:13.690 Really, no locomotion at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.918$ and then later on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:14.918 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.146$ the animal starts running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:16.150 --> 00:24:18.494 It has these two little bursts of running

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:18.494 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.075$ and then a slightly longer period as well.

 $00:24:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.928$ And note the time scale here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.079$ This is 25 seconds for the bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.572$ so so this this whole trace is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:27.572 --> 00:24:30.207 actually a fairly long period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:30.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.200$ And then if you look at the at the facial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:33.281 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.836$ movement in the in the below trace,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.820$ you see that it fluctuates much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:37.820 --> 00:24:38.813 much more rapidly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:38.813 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.137$ but there's some agreement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:40.140 --> 00:24:42.788 especially if you look over the right side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.366$ you get these sort of sustained changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:46.366 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.577$ correspond to to the balance of locomotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.212$ So we just think about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:51.212 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.300$ a little bit conceptually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.812$ What this tells us is that

 $00:24:53.812 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.560$ when the animal is not running,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.464$ sort this big pink bar of quiescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.198$ You actually have some periods where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:59.198 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.148$ where the animals whiskey or work where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:25:01.148 --> 00:25:02.636 this facial motion energy is high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.272$ and then you also have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:04.272 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.360$ periods where it's slow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:25:05.360 --> 00:25:07.775 so you can get very big fluctuations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:25:07.775 --> 00:25:09.524 in facial movement even when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:09.524 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.139$ the animal is not running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.651$ You then look there with the far right for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:13.651 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.178$ the periods where the animal is running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.378$ Every time that the animal is running

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}18.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.280$ for a sustained period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.536$ the facial motion energy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:21.536 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.106$ the facial movement is high,

 $00:25:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.175$ and so this suggests sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:25:25.175 --> 00:25:26.580 serial progression of arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.561$ which is that first you have like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:28.561 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.359$ quiescence and no facial movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:25:30.360 --> 00:25:31.935 Then the animal starts having

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:31.935 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.880$ some facial movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.770$ They can go back and forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.648$ and then at some point the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}36.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38.230$ animal might start to run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.175$ Whenever it runs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}39.175 --> 00{:}25{:}40.120$ it's always whisking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.488$ so you've got this sort of three stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:25:42.488 --> 00:25:44.470 process of like total quiescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.440$ Facial movement without running and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.759$ then facial movement with running and

 $00:25:48.759 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.761$ we think that that represents a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:50.761 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.220$ of serial progression of level of arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:25:55.800 --> 00:25:58.390 So what I'm showing you here then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}25{:}58.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.568$ is sort of the average fluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:00.568 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.137$ signals in the in the two indicators

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}03.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.231$ that I told you about divided

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:05.231 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.638$ or actually really in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.926$ subtracting the period of sustained high

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}09.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.079$ versus period of sustained load data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.616$ and so we just take the top row

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:14.616 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.430$ first here and So what we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.110$ for this cholinergic indicator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:19.110 --> 00:26:21.630 the AC H 3.0 when when you subtract

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.058$ high facial movement versus low facial

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:24.058 --> 00:26:26.218 movement you get really bright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}26.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.876$ Red signal across pretty much the

 $00:26:27.876 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.666$ whole cortex telling us that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}29.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.241$ a lot more acetylcholine released

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:31.241 --> 00:26:32.902 during high facial movements than

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:32.902 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.226$ than low facial movements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.379$ So so there's a big difference there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:36.380 --> 00:26:38.536 It goes up when you look though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.080$ between transitions between no locomotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.620$ locomotion, it's still all red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:41.620 --> 00:26:42.544 You know it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:42.544 --> 00:26:44.700 but it's a very it's much smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.368$ so there's a big increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:46.368 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.887$ acetylcholine release when the animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}47.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.319$ starts having facial movements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.756$ And then there's a very small additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:51.756 --> 00:26:54.190 increase when the animal starts running.

 $00:26:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.358$ Then look at the bottom row for our campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.120$ The calcium indicators for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.528$ readout of cortical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:27:00.530 --> 00:27:02.290 It's a bit the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.050$ so when the animal whisks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.810$ there's a modest increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.570$ the amount of neural activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.382$ but there's a much bigger increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}09.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.477$ in the amount of cortical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:11.477 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.547$ when the animal starts running,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}13.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.412$ and so this is already starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:15.412 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.575$ give us a sense that acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:17.575 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.750$ and cortical activity are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:27:19.750 --> 00:27:21.649 perfectly coupled with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.338$ and might be signaling different aspects of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.910$ Behavior.

 $00:27:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.734$ And actually so all of this data just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:28.734 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.518$ to point out is is described in A

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}30.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.312$ in a manuscript by sweat and Andrew

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:32.312 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.797$ that's currently on by archive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.515$ If people are interested in more details.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:27:37.780 --> 00:27:40.588 The one other little thing I'll put in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:27:40.590 --> 00:27:42.767 I'm just going to mention this briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:27:42.770 --> 00:27:44.636 I'm not going too much detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:27:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.542$ but at the same time we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:27:46.542 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.080$ doing all this image in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:27:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.257$ We have an electrode placed in cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}50.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.198$ which essentially gives us an Electro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}52.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.628$ cortical gram or or E kog written here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:27:54.630 --> 00:27:56.814 This is again the sort of local

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:27:56.814 --> 00:27:57.750 field potential dynamics,

 $00:27:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.683$ the electrical signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:27:58.683 --> 00:28:00.238 going on in the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.116$ and what we see when you also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:28:02.116 --> 00:28:04.298 look at low versus high arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.865$ whether it's facial movement or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}05.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.430$ locomotion is something that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.070$ described for decades and decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}09.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11.518$ Which is that the high frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}11.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.150$ activity high frequency electrical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:28:13.218 --> 00:28:15.521 activity goes up when the animal is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}15.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.267$ higher aroused and the amplitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:17.267 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.349$ goes down and this has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}19.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.510$ interpreted for ages ascential E as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.335$ local decorrelation of the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.734$ So it basically means that neurons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:25.734 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.801$ are near each other in a local network

 $00:28:28.801 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.771$ or actually becoming less correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}30.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.225$ with each other when arousal goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.785$ So just bear that fact in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:28:35.790 --> 00:28:36.921 It's not critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:28:36.921 --> 00:28:40.179 but I mention it 'cause 'cause we've done it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.195$ So these data are really about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:44.195 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.169$ amplitudes of these fluorescent signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.818$ You know how does the average signal change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.428$ So acetylcholine goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:50.428 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.438$ Calcium goes up when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}28{:}52.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.438$ animals arousal level goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

00:28:54.440 --> 00:28:57.218 But really, the major advantage of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}28{:}57.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.505$ this imaging approach that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:59.505 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.997$ got where we can see the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:01.997 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.614$ cortex at one time is that we

 $00:29:04.614 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.793$ can look at the coordination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}29{:}06.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.264$ different areas so we can look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:09.264 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.840$ relative changes in these signals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.640$ different cortical areas overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.560$ And you might imagine that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.276$ Most of us think that behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}29{:}18.276 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.870$ happens because of the coordinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.805$ activity of the nervous system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.450$ and so if it's coordinated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.018$ nervous system activity that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}29{:}25.018 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}29{:}26.978$ driving behavior really coming up

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:26.978 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.416$ with methods that allow us to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:29.416 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.036$ that coordination rather than just

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

00:29:31.036 --> 00:29:32.956 saying OK area a goes up or down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.268$ It's really the interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:34.268 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.576$ that matter the most,

 $00:29:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.127$ and so the power in part of this mysa

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}29{:}38.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.735$ scopic imaging is that we can look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}29{:}40.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.799$ the coordination of different areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.666$ so we're going to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

00:29:44.666 --> 00:29:46.570 now for these two signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:46.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.928$ Relative to changes in behavioral state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:29:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.862$ So the first thing that I'll mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:29:53.862 --> 00:29:56.528 is I alluded to it before when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}29{:}56.528 \operatorname{\dashrightarrow} > 00{:}29{:}58.556$ said we could draw areas around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}29{:}58.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.974$ safe motor or visual or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.878$ How do we do that in a quantitative way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.690$ And how do we do it in a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}30{:}06.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09.663$ you know allows us to sort of say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.878$ OK, this area is the same area in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:30:11.878 --> 00:30:14.177 mouse a mouse beam else whatever?

 $00:30:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.488$ It's not so different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}30{:}15.488 \to 00{:}30{:}17.450$ for example then then work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}30{:}17.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}19.330$ in the fMRI community as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.278$ We use an Atlas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:20.278 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.584$ and in this case we use an Atlas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:22.584 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.220$ called the common coordinate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.447$ framework version three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.382$ which was developed by the Allen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:30:27.382 --> 00:30:28.670 Brain Institute in Seattle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.966$ and what they did was used a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}30{:}30.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33.508$ lot of an atomical labels and some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.382$ Fiber tracing labels to come up with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:36.382 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.539$ average parcellation of the mouse neocortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.972$ and that's illustrated here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:39.972 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.046$ And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.272$ you know front is up back is is down.

00:30:44.280 --> 00:30:46.080 Since you got motor areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}30{:}46.080 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}30{:}48.872$ you've got some at a sensory areas in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:48.872 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.457$ the middle visual areas in the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:30:51.460 --> 00:30:53.966 Auditory is quite lateral and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.357$ and so the utility of such an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:30:56.357 --> 00:30:58.568 Atlas is maybe a bit obvious

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:30:58.568 --> 00:31:00.788 given the points I just made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}00.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.950$ it allows a certain regularity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.814$ across animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:03.820 \dashrightarrow 00:31:08.230$ Up its validity remains a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:08.230 --> 00:31:08.512 Unclear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}08.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.486$ and I'm not going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:10.486 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.307$ this in any detail other than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:12.307 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.101$ just to mention it right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.078$ There are certainly other ways of

 $00:31:16.078 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.951$ parcel eighting the cortex one could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:17.951 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.694$ do it in an activity based way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.443$ You could in fact look at say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:21.443 --> 00:31:23.597 OK Pixels in our movie that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}23.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.277$ most correlated with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:25.280 --> 00:31:25.556 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:25.556 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.212$ they belong to the same area

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:27.212 --> 00:31:29.639 and you could do that in a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}29.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.867$ of different quantitative ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.823$ but you could come up with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}32.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.399$ parcellation based on the activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:34.400 --> 00:31:35.279 It turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:35.279 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.037$ for reasons that aren't totally clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.515$ those kinds of functional parcellation's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:38.515 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.360$ don't map onto these anatomical atlases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.015$ All that well and the reasons for that are,

 $00:31:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.857$ as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}43.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.810$ are unclear and is actually something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:45.868 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.024$ we're quite interested in pursuing a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:48.030 --> 00:31:50.095 But for the moment for convenience sake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.570$ and certainly for these data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:51.570 --> 00:31:53.348 we're just going to stick to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:53.348 --> 00:31:54.937 Allen Brain Atlas and we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:54.937 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.491$ to say that area is circumscribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:56.491 --> 00:31:57.973 by these borders correspond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}57.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.537$ to functionally related areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.121$ So now we can make plots like this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:04.121 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.440$ you may recall a couple slides ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.896$ I sort of showed you that running correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:08.896 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.286$ between say motor and vision and so all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:11.286 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.240$ these sort of complicated shapes are is.

 $00:32:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.598$ So if we just look at the one on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:32:15.598 --> 00:32:18.006 the far left for the moment, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:18.006 \dashrightarrow 00:32:20.288$ We've got all of these cortical regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:20.288 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.314$ defined by the Allen Atlas on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:22.314 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.490$ bottom axis and also on the left axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}24.490 --> 00{:}32{:}26.562$ And so we're going to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:32:26.562 --> 00:32:27.154 pairwise correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:32:27.160 --> 00:32:29.491 So every pair of areas we're just going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:29.491 \dashrightarrow 00:32:31.687$ take the average correlation in signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:32:31.690 --> 00:32:32.740 Between, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}32.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.190$ say motor and vision or motor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}35.266 \to 00{:}32{:}37.286$ auditory or motor and sensory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.579$ So every little box in this matrix

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:39.579 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.485$ is the average correlation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:41.485 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.630$ a pair of cortical areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.241$ and so you can see the entire

 $00:32:46.241 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.360$ pairwise matrix here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.592$ and we've done this now for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:49.592 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.708$ the cholinergic signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.320$ On the left is a stage 3.0 and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.008$ camp on the right the calcium signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}56.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58.947$ and rather than show you the absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:32:58.947 --> 00:33:02.020 correlations what I'm showing you is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.319$ Difference in correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:33:03.319 --> 00:33:04.618 across behavioral state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}04.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.100$ So in this case this is high facial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.914$ movement minus low facial movement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:10.914 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.540$ the fact that pretty much all of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}14.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.604$ is red in both graphs tells you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.770$ the correlations the pairwise correlations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.368$ the sameness or the similarity in

 $00:33:22.368 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.594$ activity between two areas goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:24.594 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.699$ up for pretty much everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}26.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.250$ So both acetylcholine and calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.225$ signaling are becoming more correlated for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:32.225 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.993$ every most pairs of areas in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.260$ When the animal starts whisking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862 00:33:37.260 --> 00:33:37.630 so. NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:33:37.630 --> 00:33:38.000 Firstly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}38.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.850$ that's a little bit interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:33:39.850 --> 00:33:43.184 because I just told you a moment ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}43.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.912$ that from these electrophysiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:44.912 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.228$ recordings that we make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.246$ that provides evidence that local circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:33:48.246 --> 00:33:50.180 are actually becoming less correlated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.975$ and that's really sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:51.975 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.770$ party line right for ages,

 $00:33:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.834$ everybody knows that arousal goes with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:55.834 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.720$ reduced correlations of local circuits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:33:57.720 --> 00:33:59.939 and what I'm actually showing you here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:59.939 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.062$ from these large scale imaging studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:02.062 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.276$ is that being circuits big networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:04.276 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.645$ across the whole cortex or actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:06.645 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.580$ becoming more correlated with arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}08.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.380$ And that we see that for both cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.468$ signals and for for for calcium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:34:13.470 --> 00:34:15.564 So that's kind of cool and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}15.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.960$ a little bit unexpected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.580$ But something that emerges from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}18.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.800$ this from this image in modality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.888$ So this is for facial movements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.320$ Now we're going to do the same

 $00:34:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.790$ thing for locomotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.680$ It looks different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.102$ So the calcium signal in our camp

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:32.102 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.140$ looks similar ish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.216$ I mean most things are red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.950$ It's a little less red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.868$ Sorry that the Gray boxes are those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:38.868 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.100$ that were not significantly different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:34:41.100 --> 00:34:43.868 so if it has a color in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:34:43.870 --> 00:34:45.600 it's significantly bigger or smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:34:45.600 --> 00:34:46.980 If it's just grey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.705$ it was non significantly different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.815$ So the calcium signal goes a little bit less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.764$ but someone but the acetylcholine goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:53.764 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.630$ robustly in the opposite direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.790$ So what this is telling us is when

 $00:34:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.743$ the animal starts whisking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:59.743 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.543$ correlations in acetylcholine release

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}35{:}01.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.739$ across the cortex are going up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.120$ But suddenly when the animal starts running,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.160$ the release of acetylcholine in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.520$ cortical areas completely decorrelate's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.774$ I will just say right now we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:11.774 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.939$ really don't know what that needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.640$ It is a purely observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

00:35:15.640 --> 00:35:18.090 Ull point from these data were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.199$ interested in what it means and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:20.199 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.179$ many follow up studies are being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}22.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.138$ being carried out in our labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.196$ And it's also in others to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:26.196 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.219$ think about mechanistic relationships,

 $00:35:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.124$ but at the moment I mean this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}30.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.320$ is this is quite striking and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.068$ and again emphasizes that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}34.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36.050$ Acetylcholine is not sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.890$ really simplistic signal of arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.630$ as really interesting dynamics that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.758$ seem to be differentially coupled to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:41.758 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.438$ different kinds of behavioral states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.534$ It also tells us that calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:45.534 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.581$ signaling acetylcholine signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

00:35:46.581 --> 00:35:47.950 don't always go together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}47.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.930$ and so the relationship there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.110$ something that we're very interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:35:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.660$ Alright, so. This is a summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:35:56.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.720$ figure than of what we've sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:35:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.300$ extracted from all of that data.

 $00:36:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.259$ So if I was to give you any sort of take

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:05.259 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.291$ home that was divorced from the from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:36:08.291 --> 00:36:11.395 details that it might be something like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.416$ so we envision, right that arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.434$ whatever that means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:36:14.434 --> 00:36:15.446 operationally defined, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:15.446 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.790$ not not really conceptually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.486$ goes up in some kind of monotonic fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.392$ an it operationally goes from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:21.392 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.074$ animal really just sitting there

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}23.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.879$ completely passively to the animal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:36:24.880 --> 00:36:27.239 beginning to whisk to the animal whisking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.359$ and also running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684 00:36:28.359 --> 00:36:29.478 On a wheel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}29.480 \to 00{:}36{:}31.832$ and that that transition seems to go

 $00:36:31.832 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.537$ with an overall increase in the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}34.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.165$ of a cetylcholine that's released

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:36.165 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.204$ and the overall activity of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:39.204 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.928$ the cortical networks themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.402$ If we then though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:42.402 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.242$ look at the correlations between

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:44.242 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.838$ areas within the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.102$ we see that local cortical circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}48.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.000$ correlations tend to go down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:36:50.000 --> 00:36:51.890 That's from the electrophysiological data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684 00:36:51.890 --> 00:36:52.646 and many, NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:52.646 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.780$ many other labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.042$ but the large scale cortical network

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:36:56.042 --> 00:36:57.173 correlations go up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:36:57.180 --> 00:36:59.070 That's that's the Arkham correlations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.950$ but the acetylcholine follows this very

 $00:37:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.870$ interesting non monotonic relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:03.936 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.218$ where correlations first go up and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:06.218 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.931$ go down again as the animal progresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:08.931 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.016$ through this this arousal continuum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.774$ So this is sort of the take home now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684 00:37:13.780 --> 00:37:14.396 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:14.396 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.320$ obviously this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.568$ This is a little bit unsatisfying in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:37:17.568 --> 00:37:19.619 sense that we don't necessarily know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:19.620 \dashrightarrow 00:37:21.769$ at a mechanistic level what this means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684 00:37:21.770 --> 00:37:22.354 But really, NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684 00:37:22.354 --> 00:37:22.938 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}22.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.690$ I would emphasize that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:24.756 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.580$ kind of the cutting edge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.210$ preclinical work at this point,

 $00{:}37{:}28.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.126$ and so This Is Us starting to lay the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:31.126 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.923$ groundwork for understanding more

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:32.923 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.719$ about about how these signals interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684 00:37:35.720 --> 00:37:36.117 Alright, NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:37:36.117 --> 00:37:38.896 so now I'm going to switch briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:38.896 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.512$ to one more methodological riff

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:41.512 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.828$ on this widefield imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.091$ And I've alluded to local circuits and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}46.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.868$ individual neurons within a small region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.676$ and that they might be doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}49.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.197$ interesting things and then obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:37:51.197 --> 00:37:53.261 I've shown you a lot of data for

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:53.261 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.028$ large scale circuit organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.289$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:37:55.289 --> 00:37:57.102 what if you really wanted to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:57.102 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.516$ a nice readout of both of those

 $00:37:59.516 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.617$ two things simultaneously?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.797$ So what are single cells doing water,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.755$ large networks doing and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:04.755 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.928$ do they interact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:05.930 --> 00:38:08.498 So Dan Barson is an MD PhD student

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:08.498 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.946$ who is in my lab and also Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.924$ Advised by Mike Rare and Dan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}38{:}12.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.011$ Alijah Modi and in my prayers lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}38{:}15.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17.191$ came up with this approach where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:17.191 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.956$ combine this widefield mysa scopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:18.956 --> 00:38:21.028 imaging that I've been showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}38{:}21.028 \rightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.331$ with what's a little bit my labs

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}38{:}23.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.750$ with bread and butter which is 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}38{:}25.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.952$ photon imaging and I'm not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:27.952 --> 00:38:30.732 go into a lot of detail of what that means.

00:38:30.732 --> 00:38:32.616 If you're not familiar with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}38{:}32.620 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}38{:}34.190$ but essentially two photon imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.446$ is cellularly resolved imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.100$ although over a much smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.870$ Field of view and you'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.610$ that in just a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.549$ So in some sense this is mashing

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:42.549 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.120$ up two different microscopes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:44.120 --> 00:38:46.150 Amy's a scope and a two photon

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.120$ microscope that we sort of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:48.120 --> 00:38:49.855 slammed together on optical table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:38:49.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.393$ made some tweaks to it, and allows us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:38:52.393 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.960$ to use both modalities at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:38:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.200$ And it basically looks something like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:38:57.200 --> 00:38:59.873 So on the left these are kinds of images

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:38:59.873 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.413$ that I've been showing you now for a

 $00:39:02.413 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.113$ few minutes and on the right these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}39{:}05.113 \to 00{:}39{:}07.650$ two photon images, so this Gray box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.930$ This sort of field of view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.666$ Is the entire T of a tiny little box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.488$ shown here in the dotted lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.100$ so this whole big box here is really just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:17.100 --> 00:39:19.957 a tiny little bit of all of the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.455$ and these faint white circles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:21.455 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.310$ or blobs that you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.669$ Each one of those is a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:25.669 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.310$ neuron in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.248$ So maybe I'll play the movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.039$ And So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:30.039 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.880$ You can appreciate now is these data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.768$ are being acquired simultaneously,

 $00:39:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.390$ so we are now watching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.364$ So every time one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:37.364 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.940$ little blobs here lights up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.974$ that's that neuron firing action potentials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:40.974 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.779$ and every time an area over here lights up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:43.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.718$ that's that cortical region being active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:45.720 --> 00:39:48.627 And so this approach now allows us to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:48.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.206$ well, OK, we in this neuron is active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}39{:}51.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52.830$ What cortical regions are active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.762$ and vice versa when a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}39{:}54.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.728$ region is active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.450$ even if it's far away?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:57.450 --> 00:39:58.722 From where we're imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:58.722 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.312$ these cells doesn't have any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.072$ Impact on the output of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:02.072 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.720$ single neuron and so really,

00:40:03.720 --> 00:40:04.214 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:04.214 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.190$ we feel like this is a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:06.253 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.359$ of a groundbreaking approach to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:08.359 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.455$ to relate multiple scales of neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:10.455 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.351$ activity really from the single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:12.351 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.290$ level up to large scale networks an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:40:15.290 --> 00:40:17.236 As one slide to sort of illustrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:17.236 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.920$ what we can do with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.866$ I'll just walk you through this example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.270$ So this blue trace here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.938$ This is just the time series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.608$ the activity of a single neuron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.278$ So we just take the fluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:27.278 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.112$ from one neuron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.360$ We plotted overtime and you can see it.

 $00:40:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.046$ It goes up in these little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:32.046 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.699$ spiky things and then is flat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.548$ and so everyone of these little transients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}40{:}35.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37.887$ is when that cell fires an action potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.260$ Or maybe a few action potentials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.108$ We can then take that Nisa

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:40:42.108 --> 00:40:43.032 Scopic movie right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.276$ which is a movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:44.276 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.130$ It's a whole bunch of frames,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.014$ and functionally you can take every

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}40{:}48.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.885$ frame from that movie that corresponds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:49.885 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.481$ in time to one where one of these spikes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:52.481 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.467$ are and you average those together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.591$ You only average the ones that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:40:56.591 --> 00:40:58.488 present when this cell is spiking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}40{:}58.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.019$ and that gives you this image here and we

 $00:41:01.019 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.438$ call this a an event triggered average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.533$ We call it a cell centered network

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:05.533 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.415$ and what it is is the areas across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:08.415 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.538$ cortex again front and front is up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.413$ Back is down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:11.413 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.450$ These are the areas of the cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:13.512 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.427$ that are strongly coactive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:41:15.427 --> 00:41:17.342 correlated with this one neuron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}17.350 \to 00{:}41{:}19.331$ and so you can imagine that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}19.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.802$ could do it for every neuron in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:21.802 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.585$ field of view and what it turns out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:24.585 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.919$ is that individual neurons have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:26.919 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.035$ different SCMS telling us that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:41:29.035 --> 00:41:30.760 long range connectivity of single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:30.827 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.228$ cells varies a lot, even for two cells

00:41:33.228 --> 00:41:35.610 which are right next to each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}35.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37.440$ and so we're using this approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.660$ to learn something about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:41:38.716 --> 00:41:40.586 connectivity between big and small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.935$ and just to bring in some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:42.935 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.360$ the behavioral state data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.736$ It also turns out that those networks are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:46.736 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.109$ dynamic as a functional behavioral state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.476$ and here these are just two examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.384$ Top is 1 example of autumn is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:53.384 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.031$ another where we calculated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:55.031 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.335$ sort of average network for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:41:57.335 --> 00:41:58.940 different neurons divided into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:41:58.940 --> 00:42:00.344 say, whisking in quiescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:00.344 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.148$ And what you'll see is the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:03.148 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.652$ the left image and the right image look

 $00:42:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.754$ different tells us that the coupling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:07.754 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.598$ of each of these two neurons to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:10.598 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.458$ large scale cortical network differs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:42:12.458 --> 00:42:14.666 whether the animal is whisking or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:42:14.670 --> 00:42:16.250 And here on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.532$ these are just the correlations of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:18.532 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.989$ two Maps for a whole bunch of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.446$ So if the left and the right were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:23.446 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.494$ exactly the same, you get a one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:25.494 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.030$ and if they were sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:27.103 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.208$ totally unrelated to each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.290$ you get a zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.626$ And So what you see is that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:32.626 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.546$ all the neurons in cortex it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:34.546 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.469$ kind of spans that range,

 $00:42:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.366$ and so is the animal transitions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:38.366 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.314$ across behavioral states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.180$ Some cells really don't care their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:42:41.180 --> 00:42:42.790 large scale connectivity doesn't change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.425$ and other neurons completely reorganized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}44.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45.733$ their large scale connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.038$ As a function of the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:42:48.038 --> 00:42:48.804 behavioral state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.632$ so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:49.632 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.098$ really providing this this cool evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}52.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}54.189$ that connectivity is not only anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.758$ Connectivity is very much a functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:42:56.758 --> 00:42:59.199 property of what the brain happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:59.199 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.250$ to be doing it at any moment to time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.330$ And the last thing I will say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.280$ about all of this.

 $00:43:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.180$ Is that because these indicators

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.320$ are genetically encoded?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.763$ We can express them in pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:12.763 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.260$ whatever cell type you're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.920$ so if you want to say OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.200$ these are all for excitatory neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:20.200 --> 00:43:22.979 These are kind of the connectivity Maps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:22.979 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.139$ for excitatory neurons in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:25.140 --> 00:43:25.520 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.800$ what if you're interested in interneurons?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30.292$ We can just as easily express these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:30.292 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.359$ indicators selectively in Gabaergic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.260$ in subtypes of Gabaergic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.624$ and derive these kinds of metrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:36.624 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.806$ for all different.

00:43:37.810 --> 00:43:39.808 Cortical neurons to learn about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}39.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.760$ different cell types are connected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.624$ We can almost go one better than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:44.630 --> 00:43:47.094 What if it turns out that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:47.094 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.896$ a mosaic animal in which some of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:49.896 --> 00:43:52.170 neurons are mutant or transgenic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.960$ Especially for maybe some interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.676$ disease gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}54.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.011$ We can then compare mutant cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:57.011 --> 00:43:59.434 say wild type control cells from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}59.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.941$ same animal and ask how does the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:44:01.941 --> 00:44:04.734 cell autonomous deletion of that gene alter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.590$ Or perhaps deletion of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:44:06.590 --> 00:44:08.070 gene cell autonomously disrupt?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.645$ The large scale connectivity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:09.645 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.275$ those neurons.

 $00:44:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.698$ So essentially this gives us a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:12.698 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.852$ very powerful readout into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:14.852 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.720$ connectivity of single cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:44:16.720 --> 00:44:17.318 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:17.318 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.112$ this is this has been described

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:19.112 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.117$ in a paper from last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.449$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:21.449 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.081$ so in the last part of the talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:44:24.081 --> 00:44:26.644 I'm going to shift to something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:26.644 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.794$ that is perhaps maybe a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.650$ little bit more interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:31.650 --> 00:44:34.484 this Community, which is which is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:34.484 --> 00:44:37.070 Our work is very preclinical work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:37.070 --> 00:44:39.160 Nevertheless into models of neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.250$ psychiatric disorders and my lab

 $00:44:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.315$ in close collaboration with just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:43.315 --> 00:44:45.310 Cardens lab primarily works on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:45.380 --> 00:44:47.408 autism spectrum disorder models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:47.410 --> 00:44:49.780 I'll just say from my perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.988$ I this the notion of what these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}44{:}52.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54.536$ genetic models represents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.608$ Is not clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:55.608 --> 00:44:57.744 I generally don't think that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:57.744 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.796$ mouse can be autistic in in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:59.796 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.083$ way that is is meaningful, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:02.083 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.798$ These are animals in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:03.798 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.827$ we've deleted genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:04.830 --> 00:45:06.545 Those jeans have been linked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:06.545 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.917$ to autism spectrum disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.936$ and so we hope that these model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}09.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.102$ systems give us insight into how

00:45:12.102 --> 00:45:13.750 genes regulate brain activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.170$ how that ultimately translates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.590$ into the clinical phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.988$ associated with this disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:17.988 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.578$ with these disorders is actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:19.580 --> 00:45:21.638 I think, a much harder question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.400$ and something that is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.770$ difficult to do in a rodent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}25.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.056$ So I just want to put that out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}28.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.449$ 'cause I feel sort of strongly about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.984$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:30.984 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.853$ I think it puts the preclinical study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}32.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.784$ in actually in a better position to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:34.784 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.026$ not try to claim any sort of face

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:37.026 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.694$ validity and simply say these are.

 $00:45:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.812$ These are mechanistic studies to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}40.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.060$ links between genes and brain activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697 00:45:43.060 --> 00:45:43.424 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}43.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.336$ so I'm going to tell you about our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:46.336 --> 00:45:49.158 work in a model of Rett syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:49.160 --> 00:45:49.890 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.445$ with the caveat that I just said

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:52.445 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.544$ attached and specifically these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}54.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57.540$ going to be mice with mutations in me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.891 \text{ CP2},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:57.891 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.699$ methyl CPG binding protein 2 which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:00.699 --> 00:46:03.250 causal gene disrupted in Rett syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.917$ and so as many you probably know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.936$ Rett syndrome in human patients goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:07.936 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.816$ with with cognitive impairment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}09.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.247$ intellectual disability seizures.

 $00:46:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.220$ This sort of very characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.796$ loss of learned skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:14.800 --> 00:46:16.060 Early in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:16.060 --> 00:46:18.580 language deficits and then some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.114$ interesting stereotype and movements

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:20.114 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.139$ that also go with with a taxi as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:23.140 --> 00:46:24.112 For you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}24.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.859$ just just for saying that me CP2 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:26.859 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.307$ a is a transcriptional regulator and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:29.307 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.781$ so it's disruption causes an enormous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:31.781 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.127$ host of changes at the genetic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}34.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.698$ molecular and cellular level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:35.698 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.050$ So really we're looking at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:38.115 --> 00:46:39.655 sort of functional consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:39.655 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.719$ of disruption of a gene that has a

 $00:46:42.719 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.113$ number of pathways that can interact with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:46:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.915$ So the really cool thing that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:46:49.915 --> 00:46:51.348 discovered works, maybe surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:46:51.348 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.442$ and so this is work that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:46:53.442 --> 00:46:55.390 been done by Antara Majumdar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:46:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.460$ who is a post grad in the card lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:46:58.541 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.445$ repent who is a technician in the card

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}01.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.518$ lab is to take these viral vectors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}04.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.160$ And I previously showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.253$ that they work really well for

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:47:08.253 --> 00:47:10.198 expressing G cap or cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}10.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.180$ indicators everywhere in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.095$ They also work really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:14.095 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.010$ for driving crisper cast 9.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:47:16.010 --> 00:47:18.838 Related proteins and so for these mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.521$ these are transgenic mice in which CAS

 $00:47:21.521 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.488$ 9 is expressed in all excitatory cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}24.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.920$ so these mice are otherwise fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.088$ It just turns out at the moment it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:47:30.088 --> 00:47:31.760 methodologically easier to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:47:31.760 --> 00:47:33.785 with the transgenic kastein mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}33.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.142$ so these might express cast 9

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:36.142 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.230$ in all their excitatory cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.058$ We then inject two different viral vectors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:47:41.060 --> 00:47:42.218 These AAV 9,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:47:42.218 --> 00:47:44.534 one is driving a GFP tagged

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:44.534 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.778$ guide RNA targeting me CP2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}46.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.558$ And for some experiments I'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}48.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.799$ in a second another is just driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:50.799 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.579$ this red fluorescent calcium indicator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.660$ Our camp one be so first here in

 $00:47:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.872$ the center is just a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:56.872 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.502$ of confirmation that this crisper

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:58.569 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.897$ cast 9 strategy works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.340$ So what you see on the left panel?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.228$ That's the guide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}03.228 \to 00{:}48{:}05.300$ RNA and GFP expression that that again

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:05.353 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.516$ you see pretty much throughout the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:07.520 --> 00:48:09.676 And here on the right the red

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:09.676 --> 00:48:11.185 is staining for me, CP2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:11.185 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.710$ the green is the GFP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:12.710 --> 00:48:14.796 The guide RNA expressing cells and you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:14.796 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.977$ see that there's really no overlap there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.284$ So basically every cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:18.284 --> 00:48:19.588 It has guide RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:19.590 --> 00:48:21.600 We have successfully deleted me CP2

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}21.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.260$ expression and that's shown here.

00:48:23.260 --> 00:48:24.920 You know for the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.252$ so control mice have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:26.252 --> 00:48:28.580 You know, roughly 90% expression of me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.928$ CP2 in all cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:29.928 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.250$ And that's not down to about 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.574$ expression in the in the crisper model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.908$ So it's not perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:35.908 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.568$ but I'll also point out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.568$ right that cast 9 is only

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:39.568 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.900$ in the excitatory cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}40.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.903$ which is only about 80% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:42.903 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.902$ all cortical neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:43.902 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.900$ So since this is about 20%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.609$ it's actually suggestive that were in fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:48.610 --> 00:48:50.570 Probably deleting me CP2 from

 $00:48:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.530$ almost all the excitatory cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}52.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54.399$ that are expressing kastein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}54.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.444$ You could do this in a transgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:56.444 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.196$ mouse in which kastein was

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:58.196 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.820$ even more broadly expressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.520$ and we would presumably have

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:01.520 --> 00:49:02.880 even even larger effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}02.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.512$ and then this is just a Western blot

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}05.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.853$ data showing as a function of me

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:07.853 --> 00:49:09.896 CP2 protein and controls the crisper

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:09.896 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.704$ mutants show about a 75% reduction in MCP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:12.704 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.380$ two protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.802$ and this is in comparison to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:15.802 --> 00:49:17.431 standard knockout for me, CP2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:17.431 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.036$ which shows an almost complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:19.036 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.180$ loss of of me CP2 protein.

00:49:21.180 --> 00:49:22.125 So somewhat remarkably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}22.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.970$ you can use viral vectors in an otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:24.970 --> 00:49:26.878 Wild type mouse and get robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:26.878 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.520$ loss of me CP two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.900$ So does that mean anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.804$ functionally for these animals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.964$ So now this is work analytical

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:34.964 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.159$ work done by Hospice Tia postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:37.159 --> 00:49:39.217 in my lab and lavonna Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}39.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}41.578$ who is a Yale Singapore undergraduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:41.578 --> 00:49:44.123 who's been Co advised by Jess and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}44.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.223$ I an lavonna was just admitted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}49{:}46.292 --> 00{:}49{:}47.760$ the Yellae NP program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:49:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.910$ It's we're aggressively trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:49:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.679$ convince her that she wants to to

00:49:52.679 --> 00:49:54.871 come to Yale for her PhD as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}49{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57.706$ So what had Austin lab did was the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:49:57.706 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.399$ kinds of correlational analysis that I?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.472$ And you about so these are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:02.472 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.324$ are just matrices showing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:50:04.324 --> 00:50:06.124 pairwise correlations of activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}06.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.924$ in different cortical regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:50:07.930 --> 00:50:10.012 And they're going to use graph

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}10.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.686$ theory based analysis, and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:50:11.686 --> 00:50:14.190 I'm going to kind of just just blow

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}14.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.605$ through any of the details here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:16.605 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.207$ tell you that the variable I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:19.207 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.499$ to show you is called centrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}21.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23.185$ And it's really a mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:23.185 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.330$ representation of how a given parcel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.766$ In this case they have blue dots,

 $00:50:27.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.546$ is connected with the rest of the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.394$ so a a blue dot that is directly or

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:33.394 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.964$ indirectly coupled to a small number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:35.964 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.659$ other regions would have a low centrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}38.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.683$ Whereas a region of blue dot that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:40.683 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.085$ really connected to a whole lot of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:50:43.085 --> 00:50:44.560 regions would have high centrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.465$ So it's it's just a measure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:50:47.465 --> 00:50:49.149 how functionally connected one

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:49.149 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.969$ area is with with another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}50{:}50{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52{.}740$ So the first thing I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:50:52.740 --> 00:50:54.340 to show you is this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.560$ which is probably very

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.085$ difficult to make sense of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.980$ but I throw up here just really

 $00:50:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.424$ quickly and what we've plotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:00.424 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.146$ here now is the centrality for

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}02.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.128$ all of these different regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:04.130 --> 00:51:04.434 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:04.434 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.954$ all the different cortical regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:05.954 --> 00:51:07.490 comparing control mice in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.639$ the black bars and the ME CP2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:09.640 --> 00:51:10.684 CRISPR mutants in Gray.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:10.684 --> 00:51:12.250 And then we divide that up

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:12.302 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.918$ into quiescence and locomotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}13.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.062$ And so you can sort of squinted

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:16.062 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.980$ this from bed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.750$ and you'll see that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.323$ some differences in the bars

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:20.323 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.659$ in different regions and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.520$ If you want us to let your eyes go to that,

00:51:25.520 --> 00:51:26.152 that's fine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:26.152 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.138$ but this is a little bit of an easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:29.138 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.833$ way to appreciate what we see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.595$ and it's similar for quiescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:33.595 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.297$ in locomotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.400$ So I'll just describe quiescence here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.155$ What I'm plotting here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:38.155 --> 00:51:39.559 the difference in centrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.873$ Basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:39.873 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.377$ the black bars minus the Gray bars up

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:42.377 --> 00:51:44.828 here for each different cortical region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.936$ so it's the it's the controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:46.936 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.989$ minus the mutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.740$ so everywhere that it's red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.670$ it means the controls are.

00:51:51.670 --> 00:51:54.400 More connected and everywhere that it's blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.018$ it means that the mutants show higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:57.018 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.809$ connectivity and so you can see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:59.809 --> 00:52:01.413 is very spatially heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:52:01.420 --> 00:52:03.100 There's some really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.200$ networks whereby in some cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}05.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.742$ mutants show higher connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:06.742 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.220$ and in others the controls do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.068$ and that's roughly similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:52:11.068 --> 00:52:12.916 although not perfectly similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:12.916 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.678$ as a function of brain state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.208$ So this is really where I said at

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:18.208 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.395$ the very beginning that some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:52:20.395 --> 00:52:23.118 the data we're going to be quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:23.118 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.149$ preliminary and this is these are these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.898$ Are they?

00:52:26.898 --> 00:52:29.890 So I don't fully know what this means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}29.890 \to 00{:}52{:}32.172$ but what it's telling us is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}32.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}34.033$ these this mutation strategy allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:34.033 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.481$ us to see very different patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:52:36.481 --> 00:52:38.488 of connectivity across the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}38.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40.435$ suggesting that these might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:40.435 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.979$ classical disruptions seen in some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:52:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.995$ Some of these models of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:52:44.995 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.204$ autism spectrum disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:52:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.408$ I'm not going to see any data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:52:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.802$ but I will say that we have worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:52:50.802 --> 00:52:52.798 on another gene called REI One,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}52{:}52.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.588$ which is retinoic acid induced gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:52:54.588 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.220$ one which is the causal gene and Smith

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:52:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.284$ Magenis syndrome and it actually looks

 $00:52:59.348 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.644$ quite similar and an REI wanted me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:53:01.644 --> 00:53:03.790 have nothing to do with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.300$ so it's really quite intriguing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.148$ and what we've sort of started

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:53:07.148 --> 00:53:09.340 this project to look at is to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:53:09.340 --> 00:53:11.443 whether or not different models of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:11.443 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.855$ autism spectrum disorders which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:53:12.855 --> 00:53:14.152 made genetically or molecularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}14.152 \to 00{:}53{:}16.350$ have nothing to do with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.378$ converge on similar network level phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}18.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.076$ And so this is sort of an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:20.076 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.103$ of the kinds of network phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:53:22.103 --> 00:53:23.643 that we're actively exploring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.116$ and in some of these models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:53:26.120 --> 00:53:28.184 And you might imagine could easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:28.184 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.368$ be applied to whatever your favorite

 $00:53:30.368 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.238$ model of of neuro psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}32.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.603$ disorders might be to the last slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:34.603 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.373$ that I'll show you is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.604$ that's perhaps equally cool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:37.604 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.989$ which is that we can do sort of some standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}40.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43.215$ bench top behavioral assays in these mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.930$ and so in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.317$ this is the three Chamber sociability assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}47.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.330$ You're simply asking whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.421$ a control or mutant mouse prefers

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:51.421 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.479$ to hang out with a conspecific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.080$ or prefers to hang out by itself in an empty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.015$ Cage and you'll see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:59.015 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.563$ control data shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.901$ This is sort of typical and I'll

 $00:54:02.901 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.596$ sort of be transparent and say this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:05.596 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.508$ is the black bars are males and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:08.508 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.974$ females lumped together that we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:10.974 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.371$ see much of a difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:13.371 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.693$ males and females of control mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:54:15.700 --> 00:54:16.054 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}16.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.886$ when we then look at the mutants and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:54:18.886 --> 00:54:21.908 we divide them into males and females,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}21.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.238$ we get very interesting and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:24.238 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.794$ phenotypes, whereas the males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:25.794 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.133$ the male mutants, much prefer to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:28.133 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.306$ To be alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.350$ the female mutants show and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00.54:31.350 \longrightarrow 00.54:32.710$ heightened preference for being

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:32.764 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.720$ with conspecifics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.916$ and so this is also preliminary.

00:54:35.920 --> 00:54:37.484 It's actually already significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:37.484 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.200$ but this is sort of part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:54:40.200 --> 00:54:41.416 a much larger study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.616$ but I will sort of emphasize

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:54:43.616 --> 00:54:45.829 that these are these AAV mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.624$ These were otherwise wild type C57

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:47.624 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.782$ mice that we used viral vectors to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}49.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.875$ drive loss of functioning me CP two

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}51.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.390$ that produces both substantial network

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.350$ dysregulation and standard behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.364$ deficits seen in these mutants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:58.364 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.269$ So I think it illustrates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:55:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.610$ Through the power of these viral

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:55:02.610 --> 00:55:05.027 tools for expanding the OR for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:55:05.027 --> 00:55:06.197 making more flexibel,

 $00:55:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.780$ the ability to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:55:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.360$ mutations of various genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:11.660 --> 00:55:14.024 So OK, so to summarize everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:14.024 --> 00:55:15.980 that I've I've told you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.434$ so one behavioral state is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00.55:18.434 \longrightarrow 00.55:21.490$ that we don't have a great handle on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.906$ We define it operationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:22.906 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.030$ but it clearly corresponds to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}25.090 \to 00{:}55{:}27.220$ very high dimensional combination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:27.220 --> 00:55:29.350 both motor and autonomic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.480$ Arousal seems to be associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.343$ increases in both cholinergic signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:33.343 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.278$ and just general cortical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:35.278 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.600$ decreases in local circuit correlations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:37.600 --> 00:55:39.540 But really interesting dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.965$ changes in large scale correlations.

00:55:41.970 --> 00:55:44.602 Loss of me CP2 expression via this

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}44.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.610$ viral crisper strategy drives changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.028$ in both network activity as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:49.028 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.046$ as behavioral deficits and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:51.046 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.332$ sort of bring all that together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:53.340 --> 00:55:54.124 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:54.124 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.868$ simply to say that these viral vectors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.046$ music, scopic, imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:58.046 --> 00:55:58.830 genetic editing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.600$ all these strategies in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.370$ provide incredibly powerful tools for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:02.419 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.307$ dissecting relationships between cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.453$ circuits, and behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:05.453 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.679$ and so let me just finish by acknowledging

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:08.679 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.018$ again everybody that did all the work.

00:56:12.020 --> 00:56:13.982 So Dan Barson did all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:13.982 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.000$ the dual to Pizzo imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:56:16.000 --> 00:56:17.158 Had Aspen, Insteon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:17.158 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.544$ lavonna.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:17.544 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.860$ Mark Levan is also advised by

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00.56:19.936 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.068$ the by Jess Card,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.982$ and have been doing all of the graph

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:23.982 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.139$ based analysis on this topic data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:56:26.140 --> 00:56:26.864 Andrew Moberly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:26.864 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.398$ an sweater Lohani in the card lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}56{:}29.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.801$ did all of the dual calcium an

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}56{:}31.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33.653$ acetylcholine imaging and Antara Majumdar

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:33.653 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.909$ an remote Panton justice lab did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:35.910 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.785$ The initial virus injections and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:37.785 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.428$ did all of the Histology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:56:40.428 --> 00:56:42.168 Western blotting data for the.

 $00:56:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.458$ For the Christmas stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}56{:}43.458 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}56{:}45.390$ we've been really generously funded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:56:45.445 --> 00:56:47.019 both the NIH, the Simons Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:56:47.019 --> 00:56:48.534 and the Smith McGinnis Engine

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:48.534 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.140$ Research Foundation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.650$ For some of this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.480$ as well as getting important support

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:56:52.480 --> 00:56:53.990 from the Copley Foundation here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.277$ yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:54.277 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.286$ so thank you all so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}56{:}56.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.181$ bearing with me and I'm happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:58.181 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.433$ to answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00{:}57{:}02.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.519$ Thank you Mike. Maybe you can stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

00:57:04.519 --> 00:57:06.333 sharing your screen and we can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00:57:06.333 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.573$ people chime in with questions.

 $00:57:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.632$ There is 1. Technical question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00{:}57{:}09.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12.112$ the chat from Lauren Zima Low and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00:57:12.112 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.200$ the question was whether the controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00:57:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.407$ 5050 male and female or where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

00:57:16.407 --> 00:57:18.560 skewed in one way or the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00:57:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.200$ No, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.108$ yeah, so that's really quite preliminary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

00:57:21.110 --> 00:57:23.810 The controls there I I think it's I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.124$ those controls are six animals and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:26.124 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.769$ think it's two males and and for females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00{:}57{:}28.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31.314$ So in some sense you know you should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.156$ You should take those statistics as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00{:}57{:}33.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36.055$ a with a bit of a grain of salt for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:36.055 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.020$ sort of an internal presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.006$ but I would say though that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.386$ They're really for those six animals.

 $00:57:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.760$ The four females and two males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.136$ They're pretty similar in their distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.695$ and even if you just look at them separately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.076$ it's clear that the male and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:53.076 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.660$ the female mutants really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00:57:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.635$ seemed to push well outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00:57:56.635 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.425$ those distributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00:57:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.410$ So along those same lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

00:57:59.410 --> 00:58:01.395 Huda Zoghbi's lab has extensively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00{:}58{:}01.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}03.770$ characterized the full knockouts of me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

00:58:03.770 --> 00:58:06.145 CP2 has she seen *** differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00:58:06.145 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.330$ in social interaction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}09.640 --> 00{:}58{:}10.700$ I don't believe so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}10.700 \to 00{:}58{:}13.229$ I don't want to say no at all 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:13.229 --> 00:58:15.520 I don't know if she's ever shown it,

 $00:58:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.942$ but one of the I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:16.942 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.600$ know if it's a weirdness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.329$ but it's one of the facts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:20.329 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.239$ the MCP 2 field in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.836$ Is that most of the rodent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:23.836 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.600$ work is done in the males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:25.600 --> 00:58:27.280 The males have much bigger phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.472$ so me Susan excellent gene and so most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:29.472 --> 00:58:32.040 of the work has been done in the males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.720$ though as you probably are aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}33.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}35.750$ in clinical cases it's much more often

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.604$ females that are considered now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:37.604 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.464$ maybe because even in the humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.414$ Loss of any CP2 in males is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:42.414 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.763$ devastating that in many cases it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:44.763 --> 00:58:47.061 may not be compatible with life.

 $00:58:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.065$ So that may be why the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:49.065 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.127$ studies are actually biased to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:51.127 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.655$ less severely affected subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.612$ So one of the nice parts about this also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:55.620 --> 00:58:58.102 OK, so first point as well, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:58.102 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.118$ So our viral expression method is post Natal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:59:02.120 --> 00:59:02.668 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:59:02.668 --> 00:59:04.586 if you want to study prenatal changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}59{:}04.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.228$ obviously that doesn't work for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:59:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.875$ But if you want to say spare

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:59:07.875 --> 00:59:09.281 any really early disruption and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:59:09.281 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.886$ look at gene function later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}59{:}10.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}12.808$ it has an advantage in that regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:59:12.810 --> 00:59:15.025 So let's study some of these *** differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:59:15.025 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.855$ perhaps a bit more easily than

 $00:59:16.855 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.680$ we might with the transgenics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:59:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.950$ Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.78460616

 $00:59:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.594$ you, Zoran. Do you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.78460616

 $00:59:20.594 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.690$ ask your other question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.422$ I'm not sure if I can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:26.422 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.789$ heard well and can click

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

00:59:27.790 --> 00:59:30.880 no logical challenges here so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.288$ Is it possible to Anna is really love this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00{:}59{:}34.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}36.928$ seminar and its high-end Tour de force

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:36.928 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.658$ fantastic in all these fluorescent tests?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00{:}59{:}39.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}42.050$ Sometimes in in a simpler models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.446$ It's good to put a some

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:44.446 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.436$ kind of a negative control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.852$ Would that be 2 technologically challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:48.852 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.229$ or have you thought about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

00:59:51.230 --> 00:59:54.022 Yeah, I don't like a third probe

 $00:59:54.022 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.418$ that doesn't react to anything yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:56.418 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.010$ OK, said, that's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00:59:58.010 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.026$ That's a fantastic question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:00.026 --> 01:00:03.340 And so yeah, so I didn't go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:03.340 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.220$ a lot of the details, but so one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:06.220 --> 01:00:08.380 There's a lot to unpack there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:08.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.308$ so so yes, getting just sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:11.308 --> 01:00:13.600 basil readout of how much flora for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:13.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.376$ is in in a given region is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:16.376 --> 01:00:18.812 sort of of critical and right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:18.820 --> 01:00:20.980 so you'd want some readout that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:20.980 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.060$ wasn't activity dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:22.060 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.220$ More than that changes in hemodynamics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:24.220 --> 01:00:25.300 especially right because

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:25.300 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.380$ hemoglobin absorbs photons,

01:00:26.380 --> 01:00:28.540 especially in these visible light ranges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}28.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.415$ and that that absorption varies

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:30.415 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.290$ as a function of oxygenation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:32.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.950$ So there are hemodynamic artifacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:33.950 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.569$ in in a lot of this as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:36.570 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.275$ so figuring out those controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:38.275 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.840$ is a huge part of what we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:40.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.628$ and there are two ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}42.628 --> 01{:}00{:}44.790$ we do to get around that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:44.790 --> 01:00:47.414 So firstly, well, I didn't say this before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:47.420 --> 01:00:49.352 If you illuminate G camp with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:49.352 --> 01:00:51.040 UV light instead of blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:51.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.364$ it actually fluoresces independently

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:52.364 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.019$ of its calcium concentration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:54.019 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.649$ it basically behaves like GFP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}55.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}57.462$ So you can use UV illumination

 $01{:}00{:}57.462 --> 01{:}01{:}00.496$ and the G camp as a as a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:00.496 --> 01:01:01.900 of activity independent readout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:01.900 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.628$ and so that can be quite useful as

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:04.628 --> 01:01:08.658 a normalizing. Signal in addition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}08.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}10.711$ You can use fluctuations in that signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:10.711 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.753$ as a readout of hemodynamics because

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:12.753 --> 01:01:14.967 we know it's not calcium dependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:14.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.956$ so any changes in that signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:16.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.268$ or presumably from hemodynamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}18.268 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}20.230$ We can also collect the backscattered

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}20.284 --> 01{:}01{:}20.940 \ {\rm green \ photons},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:20.940 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.005$ so we're shining green light on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}23.005 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.260$ issue for the our camp fluorescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:25.260 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.916$ so the our campus fluorescing in the red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:27.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.966$ But you can also measure the

 $01:01:29.966 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.708$ green photons that just bounce

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:31.708 --> 01:01:33.885 off the brain and come back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:33.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.550$ Those are also very sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:35.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.546$ to hemodynamic changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:36.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.692$ and so you can measure fluctuations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:38.692 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.290$ that backscattered green fluorescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:40.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.618$ Is another readout for hemodynamics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:42.618 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.545$ then regress those hemodynamic signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}44.545 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}46.722$ out of the data to essentially correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:46.722 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.789$ for those those in accuracies does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:48.790 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.300$ That does that answer the question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:52.300 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.638$ Yes, it it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:53.638 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.772$ Even a ratio might be a possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:56.772 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.932$ between those two and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:59.932 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.130$ actually standardize the output but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:04.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.425$ It must be too complicated

 $01:02:05.425 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.430$ to do in a real experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:07.430 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.509$ No, no. I mean, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:09.510 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.192$ I mean we use a regression based approach to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

01:02:12.200 --> 01:02:14.540 I mean which is essentially a ratio, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01{:}02{:}14.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}16.100$ I mean the regression just gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:16.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.984$ you the beta coefficients for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:17.984 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.384$ basically the fractional contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:19.384 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.140$ of 1 signal to the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:21.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.230$ So thank you. Al

NOTE Confidence: 0.8994969

 $01:02:29.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.278$ I can't hear you. Aw, we can't hear you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:36.270 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.564$ Maybe put it in the chat and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:39.564 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.860$ and I'll answer. I'll ask it for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:42.860 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.568$ OK, there you go OK is it working OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:46.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.809$ Sorry bout that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:47.810 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.509$ So what I was wondering is a great talk.

01:02:51.510 --> 01:02:53.985 I was wondering about this discrepancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:53.985 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.630$ between decreased local correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}02{:}55.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}57.278$ and increased global correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:57.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.340$ during periods of high arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:59.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.468$ Yeah, and I was wondering if you steal

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:02.468 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.278$ that as relating to like the effects of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:06.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.467$ Is it a calling on Amex or this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:03:08.467 --> 01:03:11.160 sort of like spatial scales at which

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}11.160 \longrightarrow 01{:}03{:}12.752$ acetylcholine is being released?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:03:12.760 --> 01:03:14.698 Like meaning maybe the the during

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}14.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}16.850$ high arousal it's be the fluctuations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}16.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}18.410$ in a cetylcholine are happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:18.410 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.335$ on more defined social spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:20.335 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.417$ scale or or something like that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:03:22.420 --> 01:03:25.019 Yeah, I mean I think that's, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:25.019 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.293$ those are sort of the questions

 $01:03:27.293 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.079$ that we're asking as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}29.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}31.670$ and I don't have any great answers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:31.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.838$ so it's been it's been shown recently by by

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:03:34.838 --> 01:03:38.330 a couple of labs that if you for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:38.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.449$ I mean so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:39.449 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.822$ Firstly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:39.822 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.314$ individual basil forebrain neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:41.314 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.555$ which are the main supplier of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:43.555 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.983$ acetylcholine to the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:44.990 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.470$ have pretty divergent axons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:46.470 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.690$ So some go to some places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:48.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.970$ some go to others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}49.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}51.890$ and so the coordination of acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:51.950 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.710$ release probably substantially involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:53.710 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.350$ the coordination or lack of coordination.

 $01:03:56.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.814$ Between individual neurons within

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:57.814 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.347$ the basal forebrain and so as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:00.347 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.258$ as we try to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:02.258 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.739$ what regulates their activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:03.740 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.552$ that's probably going to give us

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:05.552 --> 01:04:07.844 some insight into you know both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:07.844 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.549$ increases and then decreases the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:09.549 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.023$ correlation of of acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:11.023 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.479$ release in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:12.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.872$ And there are also a small number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:14.872 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.665$ local interneurons within the cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}16.665 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}18.185$ that can release a cetylcholine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:18.190 --> 01:04:18.776 Quite honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:18.776 --> 01:04:20.827 I don't think we have an understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:20.827 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.558$ of the relative contributions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:22.560 --> 01:04:22.892 right?

01:04:22.892 --> 01:04:23.556 Our assumption,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}23.556 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}25.548$ although it's not based on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:25.548 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.398$ whole lot of quantitative data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:27.400 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.182$ Is that most of the acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:29.182 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.823$ that we're seeing comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:30.823 --> 01:04:32.407 from basil forebrain projections?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:32.410 --> 01:04:34.075 But but it's certainly possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:34.075 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.074$ that there's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:35.080 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.816$ There's a substantial contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:36.816 --> 01:04:39.830 from local release and that may play

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}39.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}42.566$ some role in whether you see you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:42.566 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.619$ increases or decreases correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}44.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}47.760$ Doctor data that was a great talk Mike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:47.760 --> 01:04:50.880 So just to follow up to ALS questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:50.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.160$ I was wondering if it's possible

 $01:04:53.160 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.602$ that these local circuits are being

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}55.602 \longrightarrow 01{:}04{:}57.589$ entrained at a particular say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:57.590 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.222$ oscillatory frequency that permits

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:59.222 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.854$ greater coherence across these

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:00.854 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.699$ anatomically connected large scale circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:02.700 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.840$ Is that one sort of underlying sort of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:05.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.810$ Yeah, I mean very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:07.810 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.474$ so right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}08.474 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}10.798$ I mean so gamma activity is certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:10.798 --> 01:05:13.804 a proposed mechanism for a long time

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}13.804 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}16.150$ of coordinating long distance regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:16.150 --> 01:05:19.166 One of the things that I didn't emphasize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}19.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}21.426$ mostly 'cause it's a problem that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:21.426 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.554$ we can't fix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:22.560 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.445$ is that the calcium indicators

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:24.445 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.576$ are really slow,

 $01:05:25.580 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.178$ so the timescales over which we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:28.178 --> 01:05:29.910 seeing fluctuations are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:29.980 --> 01:05:31.975 slow on the order of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:31.980 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.242$ I could be generous and say

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:34.242 --> 01:05:35.373 hundreds of milliseconds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:35.380 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.636$ but probably even seconds you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:37.640 --> 01:05:39.992 whereas you know gamma activity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:39.992 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.983$ to coordinate regions is obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:41.983 --> 01:05:44.426 much faster than 40 Hertz or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:44.430 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.385$ so it's possible that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:46.385 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.558$ oscillations could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:47.560 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.555$ The envelope of those oscillations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}49.555 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51.550$ might be what we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:05:51.623 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.689$ that you know, maybe the coordination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:05:53.689 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.370$ is is driven by by gamma say,

 $01:05:56.370 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.426$ but that's all we really have access to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01{:}05{:}59.430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}02.104$ Might be the envelope of those correlations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:02.110 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.910$ It's really challenging with calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:03.910 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.710$ imaging to get a more direct readout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

01:06:06.710 --> 01:06:08.650 We're optimistic, though we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:08.650 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.920$ really gotten it to work yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

01:06:10.920 --> 01:06:12.069 That voltage imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:12.069 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.367$ especially with the music scale approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01{:}06{:}14.370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}16.764$ may provide an ability to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

01:06:16.764 --> 01:06:18.360 fluctuations like like gamma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:18.434 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.498$ at this sort of spatial scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:20.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:21.574$ but that's that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:21.574 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.648$ The bleeding edge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:22.650 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.099$ Sort of like just just past the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

01:06:24.099 --> 01:06:25.668 bleeding edge at the moment and stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}06{:}28.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}32.027$ I just wanted to to make a note

 $01:06:32.027 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.419$ that using the same sensor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:34.420 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.066$ the Estel cooling sensor and vibra

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:06:37.066 --> 01:06:38.830 photometry of principle neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:38.903 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.908$ in the basal lateral amygdala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:40.910 \longrightarrow 01:06:43.640$ we also see fairly clear dissociation

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:43.640 \longrightarrow 01:06:46.329$ between the activity of Astle colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:06:46.329 --> 01:06:49.094 and the structure of the release of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:49.094 \longrightarrow 01:06:51.735$ Astle calling in the structure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:06:51.735 --> 01:06:54.333 coordination of firing of the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}06{:}54.333 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}56.498$ excitatory network in the structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:56.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.670$ So if it is inducing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:58.670 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.328$ let's say, local Theta, or like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:01.330 --> 01:07:03.385 Local gamma it's not sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:03.385 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.440$ to to coordinate the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:05.440 --> 01:07:07.360 The excitatory network that doesn't

 $01:07:07.360 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.794$ mean that there isn't a selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:09.794 \longrightarrow 01:07:12.019$ of neurons within that network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:12.020 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.429$ but overall the rhythms are not sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:15.429 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.758$ to coordinate the whole network locally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:18.760 --> 01:07:19.981 So Doctor Cederbaum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:19.981 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.860$ you want to ask your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:22.860 --> 01:07:26.690 Yeah, so I mean not to try to get out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:26.778 \longrightarrow 01:07:29.496$ the realm of what you've done too far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:29.500 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.144$ Risk getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:30.144 --> 01:07:32.394 I don't know for an answer here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:32.394 \longrightarrow 01:07:34.326$ but wondering if there's an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:34.330 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.577$ here. Also to study patterns of glial

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:36.577 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.512$ activity and whether there are receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:38.512 \longrightarrow 01:07:40.446$ or channels that are specific to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:40.446 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.376$ various glial populations that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:07:42.376 --> 01:07:44.626 leveraged to do that with this technique?

01:07:44.630 --> 01:07:46.884 Yeah, it's funny. You should say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}07{:}46.890 \to 01{:}07{:}49.581$ So one of the things that I dearly dearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:07:49.581 --> 01:07:52.036 love about Yale and having been here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:52.040 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.765$ is how much amazing collaborations

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:07:53.765 --> 01:07:55.730 have sprung up here and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:55.730 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.000$ So Carla Rothlin's answer of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:57.000 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.270$ doshas groups have really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:58.319 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.699$ interested in neuroinflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}07{:}59.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}00.916$ particularly as regards astrocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:00.916 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.436$ and glial cells in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:08:02.440 --> 01:08:03.064 For awhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:03.064 \longrightarrow 01:08:05.248$ and so we have a very nascent

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:08:05.248 --> 01:08:06.681 collaboration going on with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:06.681 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.850$ starting to look at at glial activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:08.850 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.226$ So as I said, I mean all of these

01:08:11.226 --> 01:08:12.810 indicators are easily expressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}12.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}14.325$ and I mean anything that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:14.325 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.170$ you've got a pre line for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:16.170 \longrightarrow 01:08:18.610$ We can express it now that is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:18.610 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.033$ little bit of a rub right because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}21.033 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}23.343$ it actually turns out and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:23.343 \longrightarrow 01:08:25.654$ this is a bit new to me as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:25.654 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.990$ Genetically targeting astrocytes is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}26.990 \to 01{:}08{:}29.906$ Is not quite as easy as one might think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:29.910 \longrightarrow 01:08:32.246$ so it's been known for a while that like

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:32.246 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.764$ GFP or at least the GFP cream mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:34.764 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.030$ is not that specific for astrocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}37.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}39.414$ You do get neurons labeled and in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:39.414 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.122$ even some of the more recent blinds that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:08:42.122 --> 01:08:44.490 have been developed like like LDH Creek,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:44.490 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.654$ may also label neurons,

01:08:45.654 --> 01:08:46.818 especially if if expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:46.818 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.050$ early in development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:48.050 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.318$ So so yeah, we can do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:08:50.320 --> 01:08:52.258 We're very interested in doing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:52.260 \longrightarrow 01:08:54.204$ especially as a part of collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:54.204 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.468$ with some of the groups at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:56.470 \longrightarrow 01:08:57.862$ There are some remaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:57.862 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.558$ methodological challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:58.560 \longrightarrow 01:09:01.620$ To making sure that the signals that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:09:01.620 --> 01:09:04.707 say just come from the cells of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:09:04.710 --> 01:09:05.436 But yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:09:05.436 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.799$ yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7894169

 $01:09:08.770 \longrightarrow 01:09:10.876$ Any other questions for Doctor Higley?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:13.820 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.572$ OK, well thank you all for

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:15.572 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.740$ joining us this morning.

01:09:16.740 --> 01:09:19.368 Thank you for taking time out of your day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:19.370 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.032$ Thank you Mike for presenting these

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:21.032 --> 01:09:22.445 interesting data and for pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:22.445 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.993$ out how the preclinical and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:23.993 \longrightarrow 01:09:25.790$ clinical can be interpreted together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:25.790 --> 01:09:28.418 I have 111 quick answer is I saw somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:28.420 --> 01:09:30.492 asked, does this map on to resting

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:30.492 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.750$ state fMRI and so let me give one

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}32.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}34.736$ other plug that was that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:34.736 --> 01:09:36.596 that's you one other plug right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}36.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}38.400$ So so in a collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:38.400 --> 01:09:40.100 Todd Constables Lab here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:40.100 \dashrightarrow 01:09:41.996$ we also just published a paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:42.000 --> 01:09:43.890 In nature, methods doing simultaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:43.890 --> 01:09:45.402 miscopy calcium imaging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:45.402 --> 01:09:47.077 fMRI and that's allowing us,

01:09:47.080 --> 01:09:48.504 at least in mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:48.504 --> 01:09:49.928 to make direct relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:49.928 --> 01:09:51.439 pretty bold and calcium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:51.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.366$ which we hope will at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:53.366 \longrightarrow 01:09:55.565$ serve as a bridge for relating

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:55.565 \longrightarrow 01:09:57.670$ the cellular level analysis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:57.670 \longrightarrow 01:09:59.788$ human bold data as well so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8478142

 $01:10:01.180 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.700$ Good way to good way to end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8478142

01:10:03.700 --> 01:10:05.818 Excellent way to end. Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8478142

 $01:10:05.818 \longrightarrow 01:10:08.191$ everybody and have a good weekend.