WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:11:16.6080000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.328 Because my introduction is much less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:02.328 --> 00:00:04.220 important than Doctor Calipari's talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.670$ which will be eagerly awaiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.772$ So it's it's my absolute pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}00{:}09.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.573$ to introduce Doctor Erin Calipari

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:12.573 --> 00:00:15.768 who's today's grand round speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}00{:}15.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.934$ She is someone who has really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:18.934 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.653$ a pioneer and incredibly prolific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:21.653 --> 00:00:25.439 scientists already in the area of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:25.439 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.819$ addiction and using a rodent models to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:29.819 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.231$ understand the neurobiological basis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:32.231 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.625$ of important constructs underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:34.625 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.600$ addiction that are relevant to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}00{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.705$ Human subjects she did her

00:00:39.705 --> 00:00:41.810 undergraduate degree at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:41.876 --> 00:00:43.688 of Massachusetts in Amherst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:43.690 --> 00:00:46.876 where she has got her BS in both psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:46.876 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.717$ and biology and already began working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:49.717 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.259$ with Doctor Gerald Meyer using rodent models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.824$ And then she did her graduate work at Wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:56.824 --> 00:01:00.217 Forest School of Medicine with Sarah Jones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.288$ where she began to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:03.290 --> 00:01:06.146 It really immerse herself and neurochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.496$ an other aspects of in vivo manipulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:09.496 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.880$ and measurements related to behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.384$ She then went on as a postdoctoral fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}15.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.410$ and then a instructor at the Mount Sinai's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}19.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.848$ Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.706$ where she worked with Eric Nestler.

 $00:01:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.410$ And there she began to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.429$ a number of lines of research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.224$ including examination of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:33.224 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.018$ Particularly molecular consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:35.018 --> 00:01:36.812 of drug addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}36.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.761$ and particularly intracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:38.761 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.643$ signaling as a consequence of drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:42.643 --> 00:01:46.316 addiction that may maintain long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}46.316 \rightarrow 00{:}01{:}48.664$ structural and behavioral adaptations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}48.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.935$ to drugs of abuse and also was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}51.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.214$ key in a number of important papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:55.214 --> 00:01:58.460 on sex specific effects of addictive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.260$ addictive substances like cocaine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}01.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.500$ those experiments are particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.040$ notable because they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:05.040 --> 00:02:07.440 Involved many, many levels of evaluation,

 $00:02:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.240$ not only in rodents but also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.040$ human subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.240$ She has won a number of awards already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.440$ I want to note a few notable ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.040$ In particular she is an awardee of the DP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:21.040 --> 00:02:23.040 One Avenir Award in genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.040$ and epigenetics from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:25.040 --> 00:02:26.640 Institute on Drug Abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.028$ and that's someone who the director

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:29.028 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.984$ of Naida pulls out as having research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:31.984 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.124$ that is extremely innovative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:34.124 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.939$ at the edge of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}35.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.648$ Molecular basis of addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:37.648 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.700$ She's an associate member of the AC NP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.814$ Oh I should have noted that she's

 $00:02:42.814 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.688$ also an assistant professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:44.688 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.492$ the department's particularly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:46.492 --> 00:02:48.296 the Department of Pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:48.356 --> 00:02:49.838 at Vanderbilt University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.615$ but also has appointments in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}51.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.035$ the departments of Psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:53.035 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.599$ and Behavioral Sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.634$ Of course relevant to our Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}56.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58.579$ and the Department of Molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:58.579 --> 00:03:00.157 Physiology and Biophysics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}03{:}00.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.536$ So again, even with her appointments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.753$ you can see how her work spans areas of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:05.753 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.040$ investigation from the very molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.830$ and cellular through the pharmacological too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.010$ The area of drug addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.754$ relevant to psychiatric illness.

 $00:03:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.950$ So back to her awards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.009$ Just because they're so notable she is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}03{:}20.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.680$ has been awarded a Whitehall Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:03:22.680 --> 00:03:25.306 research grant and are said Young

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}03{:}25.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.628$ Investigator Award and a K99 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:03:27.628 --> 00:03:30.059 R00 pathway to Independence Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.682$ and even back in her days

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:32.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.430$ as a graduate student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:03:34.430 --> 00:03:36.170 The Knighted Director's Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}03{:}36.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.910$ which acknowledged her innovative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:03:37.910 --> 00:03:39.670 work from the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.538$ an addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}03{:}40.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.708$ She's an editorial boards relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:03:42.708 --> 00:03:44.010 to this Department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.700$ Both the editorial board of

00:03:45.700 --> 00:03:46.376 Neuropsychopharmacology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:46.376 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.070$ the Journal of Neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.490$ and she's been incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.620$ prolific at every stage of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:03:51.686 --> 00:03:54.212 career, showing how which I love that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:03:54.212 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.929$ not only does she have great ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:03:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.354$ but she carries them through all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:03:59.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.720$ to really publishing beautiful papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}04{:}01.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.904$ and it's been exciting to watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:03.904 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.780$ the evolution of the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.370$ Do you want to say something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:08.370 --> 00:04:10.265 is not exactly science related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:10.265 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.390$ as she was recounting during?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.686$ The first discussion she does have one area

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:14.686 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.070$ that she's interested in outside of science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.813$ or that she's able to devote time

 $00:04:18.813 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.809$ to as an assistant professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}04{:}20.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.612$ and that is she is a power lifter and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:23.612 --> 00:04:27.300 I have seen a video of her doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:27.300 --> 00:04:29.456 Plus, which I'm very, very envious of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}04{:}29.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.244$ but I do want to highlight that her most

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:32.244 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.787$ recent achievement in powerlifting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:33.787 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.950$ that she has just deadlifted 300 pounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:35.950 --> 00:04:37.810 Now if that isn't an achievement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.350 \text{ I don't know what is.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:39.350 --> 00:04:41.513 So thank you very much for being

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:41.513 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.440$ with us here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.992$ Erin Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:42.992 --> 00:04:43.268 Calipari,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:43.268 --> 00:04:45.200 it is a pleasure to welcome you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:45.257 --> 00:04:47.177 to the Department and I'm looking

00:04:47.177 --> 00:04:49.161 forward to hearing we're all looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:49.161 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.776$ forward to hearing your talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.710$ Thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:04:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.260$ much. That was so nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:04:53.260 --> 00:04:55.416 And yeah, I know I tried it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:04:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.025$ You know the pandemic has

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:04:57.025 --> 00:04:59.259 made me start to try to find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:04:59.260 --> 00:05:01.311 Things to occupy my time that aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}01.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.423$ just sitting at home and working and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:03.423 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.580$ so that's that's what I've been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}05.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.296$ But I'm I'm actually really excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:07.296 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.489$ to present for a number of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.826$ One, because I get to see some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}11.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.310$ outside of my immediate family in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:14.310 --> 00:05:16.846 But to this, this work is some kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}16.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.062$ of work that's been developing in

 $00:05:19.062 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.060$ my lab of the last couple of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.268$ That focuses on kind of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:24.268 --> 00:05:25.372 dopamine is doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:25.380 --> 00:05:28.071 and so I think you know for somebody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:28.071 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.548$ studies addiction and psychiatric disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.866$ The reason this is so important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:32.866 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.164$ is because domains at the core

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:35.164 --> 00:05:37.186 of a lot of these disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.930$ and you know specifically addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.250$ where you see deficits in dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.386$ and I think it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}43.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.258$ to understand for people what

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}45.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.410$ those deficits mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:46.410 --> 00:05:48.426 You know if dopamine is encoding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:48.426 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.260$ reward and reduction in dopamine

 $00:05:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.410$ may mean something very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}52.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.174$ Then if it don't mean is encoding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:54.174 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.968$ some other aspect of learned behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:55.970 --> 00:05:59.634 which I'm probably going to show you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:59.640 --> 00:06:00.465 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:00.465 --> 00:06:03.079 I'll kind of show some stuff in the weeds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}03.080 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.264$ but also kind of tide into big

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:05.264 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.292$ picture and so please like stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:07.292 --> 00:06:09.672 me if things aren't clear or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:09.741 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.170$ have thoughts or comments as we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}12.170 --> 00{:}06{:}12.525 \ \mathrm{OK},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:12.525 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.365$ so the focus of my lab is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:15.365 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.994$ understanding if you can see my slides right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:18.000 --> 00:06:18.354 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:18.354 --> 00:06:20.124 good is understanding how neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}20.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.976$ experience or how neural circuits

 $00:06:21.976 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.464$ integrate experiences to drive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}23.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.963$ behavior and so you know in life

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:25.963 --> 00:06:27.993 or in animals you know we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:27.993 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.810$ experiences that have negative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.710$ Things that have positive outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}31.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.776$ and what happens is that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:33.776 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.765$ experiences change the way our brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}35.765 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.949$ response to stimuli in the future to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}37.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.654$ increase the probability of behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}39.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41.444$ that result in good outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}41.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.405$ And decrease the probability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:43.405 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.970$ behaviors that result in negative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}45.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.562$ And the reason I'm so interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:48.562 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.727$ in this is because this is kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.552$ you know,

 $00:06:52.552 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.018$ the fundamental core of how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:55.018 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.840$ make decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.484$ But it's also dysregulated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:57.484 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.950$ and almost every psychiatric disease state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.410$ and so you know for somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.640$ who studies addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:03.640 --> 00:07:05.700 you know drug associated stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.344$ or overvalued relative to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:07.344 --> 00:07:08.166 negative consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.810$ An alternative reinforcers depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}09.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.450$ You have reduced motivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.470$ Valuation of rewards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.170$ Reward learning or things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.052$ anxiety and stress disorders where

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:16.052 --> 00:07:17.962 these negative outcomes may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:17.962 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.168$ overgeneralized to neutral cues and contexts,

 $00:07:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.878$ which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:20.878 --> 00:07:22.648 you know things like PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.030$ and so you know this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:25.030 --> 00:07:26.897 fundamental process by which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}26.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.219$ attribute value to things that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:29.219 --> 00:07:31.344 environment is really a core of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:31.344 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.264$ how we should be thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.350$ treating people with disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.430$ where this is dysregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:37.430 --> 00:07:39.116 So you know, I'm, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.806$ going way back to the simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.784$ you know half of my lab studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}42.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.038$ You know how to drug change the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.726$ but the other half of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:46.726 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.850$ labs that he's just

00:07:47.918 --> 00:07:49.840 kind of. How do these same systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:07:49.840 --> 00:07:51.250 work in a normal situation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.498$ And so the first thing is kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.670$ you know, how do we learn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:07:55.670 --> 00:07:57.320 make these adaptive decisions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.448$ And so we use things in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:59.448 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.940$ Virat environment to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:00.940 \dashrightarrow 00:08:02.415$ So may be there's contextual cues

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:02.415 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.553$ that help you figure out when things

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:04.553 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.377$ are dangerous and when they aren't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}06.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.708$ and so if you have something like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}08.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.033$ sound of a helicopter in a hometown

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:11.033 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.577$ may be very different than the cell of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}13.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.741$ sound of a helicopter in a war zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:15.741 --> 00:08:17.547 We have things like discrete cues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.489$ which would be the sound of a

 $00:08:19.489 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.179$ helicopter itself with drug addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.818$ It's these cues that are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:22.818 --> 00:08:24.500 with the drug taking experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.607$ and so we learn to associate those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.548$ And then there's also, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.886$ What we do in response to these stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.535$ and so you know my background is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:32.535 --> 00:08:33.619 really focused on reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:33.619 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.569$ learning and how these stimuli in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}35.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.226$ the environment drive animals to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:37.226 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.806$ make decisions in different context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.854$ So what are they going to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:40.860 --> 00:08:42.606 Are they going to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.441$ are they reinforce?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:43.441 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.380$ Are they going to do something or

 $00:08:45.439 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.009$ they going to avoid something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.579$ And how we can understand the neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}49.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.056$ circuitry that underlies this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:51.056 --> 00:08:53.560 decision to kind of seek out or avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.498$ different things in the environment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.564$ And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:56.564 --> 00:08:59.270 I think this is a really important thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:59.270 --> 00:08:59.570 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}59.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.970$ Is that we learn to make predictions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.605$ so our actions have some sort of outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}09{:}04.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.794$ It changes the state of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:06.794 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.564$ environment and basically what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:08.564 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.572$ do is we learn to do something and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:10.572 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.765$ so this is kind of guiding these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:09:12.770 --> 00:09:14.340 These associations aren't just there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.490$ they're guiding how we navigate

 $00:09:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.358$ an environment. And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:18.358 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.878$ How do we do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.227$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:21.227 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.656$ we need to encode the value or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:23.656 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.153$ salience salience is kind of like how

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}09{:}26.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.888$ attention grabbing something is of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:27.955 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.843$ unexpected outcomes and so you get ice cream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.688$ That's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:31.688 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.526$ It's awesome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:32.526 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.406$ We need to know whether it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:35.406 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.962$ or bad and how good or bad it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:09:37.970 --> 00:09:40.458 How attention, yes, are you advancing slides?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.194$ Yes, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:41.194 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.763$ this has happened to me before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:43.763 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.509$ I have no idea why it does this.

 $00:09:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.950$ Let me try this again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:48.950 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.987$ It's OK if you guys followed them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:09:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.609$ Yeah, I thought the intro was all very clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:09:53.610 \dashrightarrow 00:09:55.644$ but I thought maybe you were dancing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:09:55.644 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.390$ We didn't know. Yeah, this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:09:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.502$ this is happened to me before. I have no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:00.502 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.680$ Idea when or why this does this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.074$ Let me try this one more time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}06.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.824$ So where I made it 'cause it's on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.828$ zoom on the shorter side because

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}10.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.923$ I don't think people love watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:12.923 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.074$ zoom for two hours. So OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:15.074 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.019$ So now if I move the slides they move OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:19.020 --> 00:10:20.778 Well, there were pictures you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:20.778 --> 00:10:22.700 have experience with all of this stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.588$ so that's fine.

 $00:10:23.588 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.364$ So now we're into the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:25.364 --> 00:10:27.512 bit so it's good you saw this. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:27.512 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.768$ so nothing like this is like pandemic level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:29.770 --> 00:10:30.336 Like everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}30.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.317$ something has to go wrong every time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:32.320 --> 00:10:34.018 Otherwise, like you know, it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.430$ It's not real, so OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}35.430 \to 00{:}10{:}37.418$ so you have to encode some information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:37.420 --> 00:10:39.352 We need to know whether it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:39.352 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.377$ or bad and how intense it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}41.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.790$ Is this something we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.918$ really pay attention to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.184$ Or is this something that's not as important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:46.190 --> 00:10:47.665 We need to make predictions

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:47.665 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.530$ about when that's going to occur.

 $00:10:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.330$ And so you know you have an ice cream truck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}52.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.730$ You predict whether the ice

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.410$ cream will be there or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.930$ But not only do we need to make predictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.750$ we need to be able to update

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.010$ these when they change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:01.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.970$ So when something no longer is associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.930$ we need to be able to adapt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}04.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.027$ If the update this so that we can change

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:07.027 --> 00:11:08.670 our behavior when the environment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.089$ not the same as we learned previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.510$ and so this is a really, really critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.360$ aspect of learning and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.236$ So I'm going to kind of go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.376$ There's going to be some computation in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}19.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}21.060$ but what I'll tell you is most of

 $00{:}11{:}21.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.125$ it is is more of a framework for

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:23.125 --> 00:11:24.921 how people think about how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:24.921 --> 00:11:26.616 these computations are being done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:26.620 --> 00:11:28.756 and if you don't care about the computation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.636$ which I've met, many people who say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993 00:11:30.640 --> 00:11:30.907 oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:30.907 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.242$ whatever we've used these to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:32.242 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.776$ design experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.322$ and so it's not like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:34.322 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.730$ need to know the math.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:35.730 --> 00:11:37.585 It's more of a kind of framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:37.585 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.740$ for how we designed experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.540$ So this kind of prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}41.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.980$ based learning was formalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:42.980 --> 00:11:43.700 You know,

00:11:43.700 --> 00:11:45.860 originally by Rescorla Wagner in 1972,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.380$ and there's been a bunch of kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}48.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.588$ of adaptations of this and allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:50.588 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.700$ the model to do other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:52.700 --> 00:11:53.406 But really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:53.406 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.877$ what this is it's a mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:55.877 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.100$ model that allows us to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:58.100 --> 00:11:59.900 of formalize how animals learn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}59.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.965$ and So what happens in this model

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:12:01.965 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.252$ is that if you have something

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}12{:}04.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.020$ like an unexpected outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:12:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.180$ that is an error in prediction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:12:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.436$ you predicted nothing, something was there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:12:10.440 --> 00:12:12.827 You made an error and what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:12:12.827 --> 00:12:14.770 overtime is your prediction gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:12:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.326$ better and then there's less error.

 $00:12:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.742$ So essentially what happens is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:12:19.742 --> 00:12:21.796 associative strength or how well

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:12:21.796 --> 00:12:23.676 you how well something predicts

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:12:23.676 --> 00:12:26.321 something goes up and the error in

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}12{:}26.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.463$ that prediction goes down and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:12:28.463 --> 00:12:30.818 basically the way the model works is

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:12:30.818 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.519$ that as you learn the prediction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}12{:}33.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.093$ that Q and the outcome increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:12:36.100 --> 00:12:38.865 But any errors you make go down

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:12:38.865 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.050$ and so essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:12:40.132 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.138$ you get this. Increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}12{:}42.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.094$ predictive response and a decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:12:44.094 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.309$ the error or the mistakes from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:12:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.208$ and so this is kind of how animals learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:12:49.210 --> 00:12:50.956 It can map learning rates in

 $00:12:50.956 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.750$ a lot of different contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:12:52.750 --> 00:12:54.038 You know learning about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:12:54.038 --> 00:12:55.004 Accuen award extinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:12:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.090$ All of these and so people have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:12:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.529$ been searching for what is a circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:00.529 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.929$ in the brain that does this math.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.538$ And that's been a kind of really big

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:05.538 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.578$ focus of specifically the dopamine field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.536$ And other fields too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:09.536 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.328$ I think a lot of people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}11.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.414$ starting to see that these kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:13:13.414 --> 00:13:15.178 computations are done in a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}15.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.568$ of circuits across the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}16.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.826$ So the dopamine system is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:18.826 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.260$ for any a lot of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.108$ These neurons you know that we focus on

 $00:13:24.108 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.738$ originate in the ventral tegmental area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}26.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.068$ so we're focusing on more reward

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:29.068 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.068$ associated circuits rather than things

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:13:31.068 --> 00:13:32.988 that are associated with motor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.336$ So we're looking in for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:13:35.336 --> 00:13:36.118 particular project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.293$ The nucleus accumbens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:37.293 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.466$ the core region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.672$ and So what these dopamine neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:40.672 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.770$ are really important for survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:13:42.770 --> 00:13:44.334 Lesioning them present prevents

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:44.334 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.289$ this kind of associative learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}46.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.990$ An also reinforcement learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.566$ And the thing people been kind of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:13:51.566 --> 00:13:54.019 focus on is that this domain neurons

 $00:13:54.019 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.619$ respond in a fashion that mimics this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.049$ This mathematical model I just showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.048$ and so essentially this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:01.048 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.516$ originated and within a lots of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:03.516 --> 00:14:05.296 people have shown these patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.388$ So this originative with Wolfram Schultz

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:07.388 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.808$ and I'm just showing the the original.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}09.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.520$ But people within the domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.643$ field have done this with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:13.643 --> 00:14:15.707 kinds of other approaches as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}15.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.710$ But essentially what they see

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.200$ is this kind of same math.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.578$ We went hoping that this didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:22.580 --> 00:14:24.160 just move because OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:24.160 --> 00:14:26.511 the slides are still advancing, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:26.511 --> 00:14:27.624 Yeah, OK, OK.

 $00{:}14{:}27.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.479$ So essentially what happens is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:29.479 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.679$ you have an unexpected reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.596$ Dopamine firing goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:33.596 --> 00:14:36.470 You predict that reward dopamine firing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}36.546 \rightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.687$ now goes up to the queue that predicts it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.066$ but not the reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:41.066 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.786$ because the prediction of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}42.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.468$ reward is basically perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:44.470 --> 00:14:47.046 And now if the reward is omitted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}47.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48.935$ what happens is the dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:48.935 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.100$ response to the queue goes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.884$ but there is now a decrease in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}53.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.878$ domain response when it's omitted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.720$ signaling the negative error that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.400$ uh, from that prediction.

 $00:14:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.500$ And so this is they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.836$ You know, originally this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:02.836 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.838$ this first paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.845$ They said, wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:04.845 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.190$ that looks a lot like reward prediction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:15:07.190 --> 00:15:07.820 error learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.340$ and so this is kind of formed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:10.408 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.994$ basis of the domain field dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}15{:}12.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.718$ does reward prediction learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:15:14.720 --> 00:15:18.770 So here's the kind of maybe issue with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:15:18.770 --> 00:15:21.020 If you do stress work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.492$ anything else you know the domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:23.492 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.240$ does not only respond to rewards

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.770$ and reward predictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:15:27.770 --> 00:15:30.470 it's involved in things like punishment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}15{:}30.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.170$ which is an aversive learning parameter.

00:15:33.170 --> 00:15:35.454 Motivation, fear, safety transitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:35.454 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.596$ aversive learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.250$ All kinds of there's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.075$ lot of Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.184$ aversive learning and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:40.184 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.564$ fields have been kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.674$ It was a separate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:42.674 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.330$ but there's kind of the reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:15:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.705$ Prediction people and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:15:45.705 --> 00:15:46.805 people who studied anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}15{:}46.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.910$ depression looking at Microdialysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:15:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.010$ showing that dopamine does

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}15{:}49.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.400$ go up to a versive stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:15:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.488$ and so these kind of have been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:15:52.488 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.539$ little bit at odds with each other.

00:15:54.540 --> 00:15:55.920 But they kind of are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}304$ you know, different fields,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:15:57.304 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.034$ so people haven't really looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:15:59.034 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.837$ at them in the same context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:00.840 --> 00:16:01.728 And so essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:01.728 --> 00:16:03.800 I think some of the disconnect also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:03.857 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.759$ comes from this kind of fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:05.759 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.520$ process of about domain neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}07.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.200$ That's actually my favorite

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.880$ part of GOP neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:10.880 --> 00:16:12.352 Many studies have looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:12.352 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.192$ at VTA cell body firing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.337$ They use electrophysiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:15.337 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.260$ They say we don't need it goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.265$ It goes down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:19.265 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.940$ And there's this inference that

 $00:16:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.690$ that means dopamine release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.424$ That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:23.424 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.993$ projection targets is going to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:25.993 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.585$ same as what the firing looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.912$ But dopamine terminals are so cool

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:30.912 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.850$ because they're regulated at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.490$ terminal level by **** synaptic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.010$ So things that are regulated by Domi

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:37.010 --> 00:16:38.928 itself but also header, synaptic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:38.928 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.400$ regulators things like glutamate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:40.400 --> 00:16:40.766 GABA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:40.766 --> 00:16:42.596 A favorite of this Department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}42.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.960$ acetylcholine and so these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.660$ These things actually can elicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.389$ dopamine release from the terminals,

00:16:47.390 --> 00:16:48.506 independent of cymatic firing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:48.506 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.642$ And so if you want to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:50.642 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.262$ what dopamine release another

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:52.262 --> 00:16:53.882 projection target is doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.600$ you need to actually record

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}55.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.310$ dopamine and the ultimate wrists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:57.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.038$ You have a few of those as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:00.040 --> 00:17:03.118 have been doing this for a really long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.752$ but there's a lot of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:05.752 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.552$ limitations to voltammetry and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}17{:}07.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.960$ kind of talk about those as we go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.498$ but our goal was really too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.152$ Record dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:13.152 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.782$ but be able to dissociate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:14.782 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.230$ these kind of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:16.230 --> 00:17:18.234 People have seen in the aversive

 $00:17:18.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.972$ field with the things people

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:19.972 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.988$ have seen in the reward fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:21.990 --> 00:17:24.433 Why is dopamine look like it's doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:24.433 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.737$ both of these at the same time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.505$ So my background isn't reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:28.505 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.207$ learning and what we did is we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:31.207 --> 00:17:32.947 like to develop behavioral tasks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}17{:}32.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.206$ parse the things that we're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.910$ So we developed this task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.434$ which is not really the task

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:38.434 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.997$ itself is an innovative behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:39.997 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.989$ pharmacology and reinforcement learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:41.990 --> 00:17:44.769 People have been doing this for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}17{:}44.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.234$ Essentially what we do is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:46.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.739$ have a queue that comes on.

00:17:47.740 --> 00:17:48.649 In one phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:48.649 --> 00:17:50.467 that tells animals if they know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:50.467 --> 00:17:52.284 spoke during this Q and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:52.284 --> 00:17:53.739 this example is white noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.240$ But we counterbalance and change

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.740$ that they will get sucrose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.540$ This is like normal positive reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:58.540 --> 00:18:00.640 You know you treat your teacher dog,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}00.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.310$ that's it. They get a reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.936$ What we taught the animals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:04.936 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.911$ the other face is that a separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:06.911 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.573$ queue comes on and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}08.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.350$ the same behavioral response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:18:10.350 --> 00:18:11.166 They know spoke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:11.166 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.070$ but they know spoke to prevent a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:13.128 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.240$ series of shocks from being delivered,

 $00:18:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.920$ so it's called negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}17.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.240$ The reason that using these is so cool

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}20.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.779$ is because they have the exact same action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.305$ So if dopamine just encodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:24.305 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.220$ the motivated response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.600$ these will look the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.538$ They have the same outcome value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.836$ The outcome is positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}30.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.456$ Avoiding something negative is positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.080$ Getting something positive is positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}34.080 \to 00{:}18{:}35.860$ but there's different stimuli maintaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}35.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37.640$ these behavioral events and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}18{:}37.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.558$ essentially what we've associated here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:39.558 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.731$ the kind of motivated action from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:18:41.731 --> 00:18:43.477 stimulus value in the outcome value,

 $00:18:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.888$ and the question is in this sounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:45.888 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.360$ more complicated than it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.560$ and I'm going to tell you the story

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.407$ is that we're going to be able to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:52.407 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.542$ if dopamine responds to just rewards

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}18{:}54.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.756$ if it's just involved in motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.756$ or if it's doing something maybe slightly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:18:59.760 --> 00:19:01.464 It's a more complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}01.464 --> 00{:}19{:}03.168$ but it's actually simpler.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.508$ We need a way to record dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}05.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.510$ during this task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.676$ Aversive foot shocks are electrical signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.762$ All of the previous domain recording

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:10.762 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.588$ techniques on fast time scales

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:12.588 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.468$ were used on electrical systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.934$ and so the problem with this is all

00:19:16.934 --> 00:19:18.407 the voltammetry techniques people

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}18.407 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}19{:}20.397$ use before you could
n't record

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:20.397 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.080$ responses to foot shocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.502$ and So what we've been using is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:24.502 --> 00:19:26.060 a fluorescent dopamine sensor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.870$ This one is called delight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:27.870 --> 00:19:30.362 It was developed at UC Davis by

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}30.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.983$ Lindsay Angela and what this is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:32.983 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.203$ it's a modified D1 dopamine receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:35.278 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.700$ that when it binds to dopamine it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.378$ Laura says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:38.378 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.412$ And so this fluorescent sensor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}40.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.205$ really great because we can inject

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:42.205 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.429$ it in with a virus into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:44.430 --> 00:19:45.960 We build fiber, photometry, systems.

00:19:45.960 --> 00:19:48.714 I know a lot of people are using these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.890$ but what it allows us to do is in a wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:50.953 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.878$ and behaving animals during these

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:52.878 --> 00:19:55.140 discrete aspects of this behavioral task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.868$ is record fluctuations in joking that

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:56.868 --> 00:19:58.769 happened through this kind of fluorescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:58.769 --> 00:20:00.177 response that isn't interfering?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.715$ Electrical signals and also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}01.715 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.250$ great thing about these optical

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.848$ sensors is they have really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}04.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.904$ signal to noise and so you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:06.904 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.119$ single trial responses which with

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:08.119 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.008$ a lot of voltammetry in the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:20:10.010 --> 00:20:11.914 which is my backgrounds you didn't get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.600$ you had to average responses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.848$ what I'll show you is a lot of what

00:20:15.848 --> 00:20:17.603 we see is these really rapid changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}17.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.481$ in dopamine that are happening on

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:19.481 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.290$ the trial by trial basis that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.470$ think are really critical for

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:22.522 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.659$ this behavioral response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.225$ So we started with kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:27.225 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.600$ of what everyone is done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:20:28.600 --> 00:20:30.497 Before this you know it's always good

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}30.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.534$ when you start with like new tools to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.649$ make sure you see what everybody else's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.882$ And So what we did is we recorded domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:36.882 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.778$ responses during the pre training session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.614$ The first time the animals had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:40.614 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.322$ in these operating chambers and post

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:42.322 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.068$ training after the animals had learned

 $00:20:44.068 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.981$ and so not surprising animals learn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}45.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.094$ know spoke during a queue for sucrose we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:48.094 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.303$ can change the length of the queue to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:50.303 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.242$ make the task more or less difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.572$ We kind of did this so we had some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:54.572 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.749$ dynamic range of whether they did the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:20:56.750 --> 00:20:57.642 Miss trials or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:57.642 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.470$ and so we did some machine learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.700$ I won't show you then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.935$ This was actually a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:01.935 --> 00:21:02.923 great tool for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.270$ but what we do is we see kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:05.335 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.477$ same thing everyone else is seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:07.480 --> 00:21:08.120 Early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.680$ when the animals go into the sucrose port,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.787$ so red in here this is more

00:21:12.787 --> 00:21:14.200 domain response over trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:14.200 --> 00:21:16.440 When they go into the Super sport,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.475$ you get this robust domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:18.475 --> 00:21:20.103 response to the sucrose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.034$ Overtraining this signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:21.034 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.574$ moves back to the cube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.492$ That's very predictive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}21{:}23.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.620$ So now you get this really robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:25.673 --> 00:21:27.218 domain response to the queue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.782$ but not as much of a domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:29.782 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.650$ response to the sucrose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.918$ Great, it looks just like that equation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:33.920 --> 00:21:34.886 I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:34.886 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.388$ Dopamine goes up to the Q goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:37.388 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.590$ down to the error signal.

 $00:21:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.558$ All is well in the reward domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:42.558 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.189$ does reward based learning field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.262$ But then we moved on to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:47.262 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.150$ other behavioral task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.778$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:48.778 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.348$ the animals can know spoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:50.348 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.609$ during this Q and they do it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:52.609 --> 00:21:54.370 avoid a series of foot shocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:54.370 --> 00:21:55.984 So if they don't press during

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:55.984 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.620$ the Q they get shocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:57.620 --> 00:21:59.330 There's a series of shocks they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.830$ can press anytime during this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}22{:}00.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.355$ series to terminate the shocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.362$ and so we did the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:04.362 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.909$ we recorded early in Leanne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}22{:}05.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.058$ Learning animals actually learn.

 $00:22:07.058 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.760$ When I started my lab a bunch of behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.235$ People told me that animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:11.235 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.415$ will never do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.900$ Mice do this really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.084$ They'll learn really rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:15.084 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.564$ to press on the nose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.130$ poke that active know spoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.378$ to avoid the shocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.904$ And they actually at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:20.904 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.559$ of these trials are doing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.680$ that they are not getting shots at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}22{:}24.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.535$ and so they learn this very fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}22{:}26.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.868$ And it's actually really robust task

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:28.868 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.420$ for generating motivated behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176 00:22:30.420 --> 00:22:30.762 Um?

00:22:30.762 --> 00:22:31.104 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:31.104 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.840$ so the first thing we saw which goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:33.927 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.357$ in the face of dopamine encoding

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:22:36.357 --> 00:22:39.390 rewards is that you get this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.498$ robust positive response to a foot shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.270$ So dopamine goes up when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.486$ aversive stimuli are encountered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176 00:22:47.490 --> 00:22:47.786 Um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:47.786 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.266$ other people have seen this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:22:49.270 --> 00:22:51.004 but what's really interesting is I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:51.004 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.408$ remind you of what that model looked like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:22:53.410 --> 00:22:56.189 If dopamine is doing reward based learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:22:56.190 --> 00:22:58.170 We didn't get this robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.876$ response to the Q like we did with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:23:01.876 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.966$ sucrose overlearning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:23:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.466$ We did get a decrease in the response.

 $00:23:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.680$ We have the safety queue

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:23:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.890$ that came on when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}07.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.167$ animals avoided the negative consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.040$ So at the end of the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:13.040 --> 00:23:14.909 It did go down over learning like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}14.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.640$ you'd expect of an error signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.792$ but here's the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:17.792 --> 00:23:19.232 The safety queue domain response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:19.232 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.888$ was the biggest on the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}20.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.208$ trial they ever encountered it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:22.256 --> 00:23:23.840 before they could know its value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}23.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.928$ and so we were kind of a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:25.928 --> 00:23:27.170 bit hesitant about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.940$ But we said OK, but maybe this looks kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.903$ Maybe it fits.

 $00:23:30.903 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.610$ But then what we found was that Adobe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}33.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.914$ response to the foot shot during

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:35.914 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.398$ these trials was not only positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.720$ it actually increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.110$ Animals got better at the task and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.650$ We've looked and we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:44.650 --> 00:23:46.190 OK, this doesn't really fit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:46.190 --> 00:23:48.255 People had seen this safety Q response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}48.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.142$ before and said look doping doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:50.142 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.032$ work of RP in aversive contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.623$ But we looked at the other parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:54.623 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.010$ that this doesn't really make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}57.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.740$ So now we have this situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.266$ where dopamine responses in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:24:02.266 --> 00:24:04.750 nucleus accumbens track these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.234$ prediction error based computations.

 $00:24:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.662$ But only in contexts that are reward

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:24:09.662 --> 00:24:12.501 based and so everyone has really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}12.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.087$ of design these experiments to parse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:24:15.163 --> 00:24:17.634 weather domain does RP not does all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:17.634 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.000$ of what doping does fit these computations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.875$ So we had a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:24:23.880 --> 00:24:25.930 This reward based Association model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}25.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28.399$ was just too simplistic to account

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:28.399 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.199$ for what domain was doing in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}31.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33.210$ animals in his behavioral tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.022$ And what we started looking through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:35.022 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.058$ the literature is a lot of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}37.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.337$ What they did is once we have this RP

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:39.337 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.910$ hypothesis reward prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:24:40.910 --> 00:24:42.390 Apophysis people started saying OK,

00:24:42.390 --> 00:24:44.160 well reward fish based dictionary does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}44.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.936$ This. Does dopamine look like this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.788$ And the issue with that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:47.788 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.934$ dopamine does a lot of stuff that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:24:49.934 --> 00:24:51.554 reward prediction error cannot do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.562$ and so we ended up doing all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}53.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.820$ this broad prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:54.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.300$ Modeling those that math cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}56.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.188$ do negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.054$ So we ended up at this problem where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:59.054 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.334$ if we wanted to understand what domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}01.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.480$ was doing from a computational model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}03.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.545$ These models didn't even make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:05.545 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.197$ the computations we needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799 00:25:07.200 --> 00:25:07.658 So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:07.658 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.406$ We decided to I have a

00:25:10.406 --> 00:25:11.780 postdoc who's fantastic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}11.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.108$ who's a computational psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:25:13.108 --> 00:25:15.100 I will not take credit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:15.155 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.280$ developing the model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:25:16.280 --> 00:25:18.010 This is not my backgrounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.086$ but we've had a really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:20.086 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.778$ synergistic relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.498$ And So what we did is we created a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:23.498 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.970$ complex model of learning and memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.950$ You have the theoretical components of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}27.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.468$ this model that are developed from site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.196$ Many years of psychology research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:32.196 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.186$ and then what we can do is we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:35.186 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.231$ record domain responses and many many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:37.231 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.290$ Contacts and we can map the domain responses.

 $00:25:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.439$ On to the parameters of this model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:42.439 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.997$ that we know is capable of modeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:44.997 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.957$ the behavioral outputs we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.962$ So I'm not going to go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:48.962 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.390$ details like super details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:25:50.390 --> 00:25:52.441 but I'm really happy for people if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:25:52.441 --> 00:25:54.188 they have questions to talk more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:54.188 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.814$ essentially with the model does is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}55.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.879$ it models the behavioral responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.504$ the outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:58.504 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.688$ the predictions like people have done before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:26:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.245$ We actually have those prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:26:02.245 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.178$ based learning algorithms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:26:03.180 --> 00:26:05.259 But one thing it has that's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:05.259 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.547$ was been has been found over years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.734$ So you really critical component of learning.

 $00:26:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.300$ Is this perceived salience term?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.559$ And so this is kind of you know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:13.559 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.229$ attention grabbing something is and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:26:15.229 --> 00:26:17.269 so it's really highly influenced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:17.332 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.510$ things in the environment like novelty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.554$ The first time you experience an unexpected

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:26:21.554 --> 00:26:23.090 aversive foot shock or something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:26:23.090 --> 00:26:24.620 it's it's more attention grabbing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:26:24.620 --> 00:26:26.360 the 10th time you present it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}26.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.344$ and so this that with this term does

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:28.344 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.591$ is it influences how we learn based

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:30.591 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.636$ on these other factors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:32.636 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.412$ not included in these other models

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:34.412 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.230$ and what it does is it's able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:26:37.230 --> 00:26:39.160 make really accurate predictions of

 $00:26:39.234 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.649$ what animals will do in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}41.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43.594$ Again, we use this model to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:43.594 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.086$ what experiments would dissociate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:26:45.086 --> 00:26:46.686 different factors from one another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.370$ So after I show you this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.966$ you can ignore the model stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:49.966 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.244$ if you want and just look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:52.244 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.689$ experiments we run to parse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}53.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.210$ Kind of what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.226$ The really important thing about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:58.226 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.440$ model is the simulations from the model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.412$ The behavioral output

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:01.412 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.708$ simulations are in grey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.235$ and the behavioral data itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:04.235 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.002$ is in blue and you can see it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:07.002 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.760$ start to model these things that

00:27:08.825 --> 00:27:10.509 couldn't be modeled before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}10.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.652$ so things like the animals to train

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}12.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.961$ to know spoke for sucrose and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:14.961 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.947$ we have we introduce an aversive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:17.014 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.639$ foot shock all the sudden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:18.640 --> 00:27:20.260 The animals responding goes down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.890$ That's what the model suggests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}21.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.221$ We can model how animals will learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}24.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.618$ and negative reinforcement Contacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}25.618 \to 00{:}27{:}27.688$ the removal of an aversive stimulus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.682$ And so we're now able to model the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:30.682 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.646$ behavioral outcomes of these more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}32.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.932$ complex behaviors but use these same

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:34.932 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.018$ kind of computations to not punch to me so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.004$ What I'm going to show you is that

00:27:40.004 --> 00:27:41.606 dopamine does not track reward

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:41.606 --> 00:27:42.308 prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:42.310 --> 00:27:43.570 It tracks perceived salience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:43.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.865$ which is just kind of like how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:45.865 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.600$ attention grabbing a stimulus is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.510$ Perceived salience is the perception

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.250$ of housing question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}52.250 --> 00{:}27{:}52.830 \ \mathrm{Yes},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.77778655

 $00:27:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.030$ just a quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77778655

00:27:55.030 --> 00:27:58.330 How different is this model from

NOTE Confidence: 0.77778655

00:27:58.436 --> 00:28:01.536 Pierce Hall Macintosh model of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:02.200 --> 00:28:03.690 It's it's actually very similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.734$ so it includes the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:04.734 --> 00:28:06.630 So the thing about the Pearsall Macintosh

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.838$ models for the people who don't know is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:08.838 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.807$ they include these attentional terms,

 $00:28:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.295$ which are really actually important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}12.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.780$ for things like Leighton ambition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.859$ things that these other models can't do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.642$ It includes the same kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:17.642 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.182$ computational terms, and this perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:19.182 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.752$ salience term is essentially kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:20.752 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.689$ of what was added to that model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.769$ It's based on a neural net model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:24.770 --> 00:28:27.443 so it's a little bit different in the math,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.515$ but it's the same kind of idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.040$ and that's it that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.256$ attentional value of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}32.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.988$ Are going to influence the associative

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:33.988 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.460$ strength and how animals learn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:35.460 --> 00:28:37.532 and so that's that's what we're adding

 $00:28:37.532 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.538$ that allows us to do these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}39.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.144$ And then on top of that, we've added these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:42.144 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.584$ They operate probabilistic responses because

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:43.584 --> 00:28:45.357 pavlovi and learning is not probabilistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.390$ and so we've added that to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.850$ To that kind of framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.880$ So it's very, very similar to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.340$ Thank you for that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}52.340 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.476$ I don't go into too much detail 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:54.476 --> 00:28:56.697 people don't always know about these models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.482$ but that's actually kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:58.482 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.199$ framework by which we're using it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:00.200 --> 00:29:01.940 More appears Hall that the Macintosh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.200$ though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:04.200 --> 00:29:06.999 OK, so we can model the behavior we need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.840$ so so again,

 $00:29:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.520$ this perceived salience is kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}09.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.174$ like a driving animals attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:11.174 --> 00:29:13.148 towards States and so it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:13.202 --> 00:29:15.074 highly affected by the in physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}15.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.042$ intensity of a stimulus and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:17.042 --> 00:29:18.466 novelty and environment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:18.466 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.739$ changes how you attend to stimulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.676$ And So what we did is we started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.784$ We said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:24.784 --> 00:29:26.992 if it's tracking just the saliency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.835$ it should increase with physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:28.835 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.303$ intensity of a stimulus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}30.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.150$ Whether it's positive or negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.412$ And so this is a increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:34.412 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.929$ series of foot shocks on the left.

 $00:29:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.678$ That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}37.678 \rightarrow 00{:}29{:}39.548$ the simulations from that perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:39.548 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.350$ salience term of our model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.996$ and on the right is the actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:43.996 --> 00:29:45.566 dopamine recorded responses to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}45.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.421$ increasing intensities of foot shocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:47.421 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.269$ and what you see is that dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:50.269 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.424$ increases with foot shock intensity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.850$ It also increases when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.270$ increase the volume of sucrose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:57.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.258$ So a better than expected appetitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:30:00.260 --> 00:30:02.430 Reward or the volume of quiet Night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.290$ which is a bitter taste scent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.840$ and so everyone always asks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.390$ And it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.940$ Foot shocks are kind of

00:30:08.940 --> 00:30:09.870 weird aversive stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.022$ so for many of these tasks we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:30:12.022 --> 00:30:14.518 worked in other things that are aversive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:30:14.520 --> 00:30:15.760 But not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:30:15.760 --> 00:30:16.070 painful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.093$ We can argue all day about whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:30:18.093 --> 00:30:20.408 foot shock is pain or something else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}30{:}20.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.181$ but we see the same pattern with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:22.181 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.130$ other types of aversive stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.300$ and so this is ruling out simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:26.300 \dashrightarrow 00:30:27.612$ rewarding coding by dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:27.612 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.252$ because dopamine in the incumbents

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}30{:}29.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31.136$ is going up to both appetitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:31.136 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.036$ and aversive stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.188$ So it cannot be just rewards.

 $00:30:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.766$ So the other thing that influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:30:38.766 --> 00:30:40.330 perceived salience is novelty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.894$ So how much experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:41.894 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.458$ you have with something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.164$ So what we did is we took foot

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:46.164 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.539$ shocks of the same intensity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.172$ Intensity is not changed and we repeated

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:51.172 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.408$ them in a series on a fixed interval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.320$ so every every 60 seconds

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.710$ the animals got a foot shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.502$ What we found is that doping goes down

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:01.502 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.368$ to the foot shots even though the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:04.368 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.010$ intensity of the foot shock stays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:07.010 --> 00:31:09.980 Constant, so it's also not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:09.980 --> 00:31:11.960 encoding only the intensity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}11.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13.940$ it's encoding other aspects

 $00:31:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.920$ like novelty as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:15.920 \dashrightarrow 00:31:19.310$ and so you get decreased domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.570$ responses to repeated exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}21.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.090$ of stimulate both a versive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:24.090 --> 00:31:25.245 And neutral simulate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:25.245 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.940$ and so this is an auditory tone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.250$ so we've done this with tones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.750$ lights, and white noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:31.750 --> 00:31:34.490 This is an example from white noise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.626$ but what you see which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}36.626 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}31{:}38.546$ important is the first exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:38.546 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.030$ of a stimulus that is neutral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}41.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.515$ elicits dopamine and repeated exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:43.515 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.780$ of that stimulus go down overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.840$ So you're getting decreased dopamine

 $00:31:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.900$ when animals are exposed repeatedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}50.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.677$ to stimuli in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}52.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.640$ regardless of whether they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:54.640 --> 00:31:56.584 positive value, negative value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:56.584 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.200$ or are what we think of as neutral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776 00:32:01.200 --> 00:32:01.727 I'm. NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:01.727 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.889$ So another aspect that I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.816$ and this is really important experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}06.816 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}32{:}08.851$ because it really rules out this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}08.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.596$ reward prediction error based learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.120$ So what we did is we trained animals to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.636$ know spoke during a discriminative cue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.320$ So auditory cue comes on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.848$ If they respond they get sucrose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:32:20.850 --> 00:32:21.924 Without any signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:21.924 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.479$ we now switch it to the same auditory cue.

 $00:32:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.638$ If they press,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:32:26.638 --> 00:32:27.796 they get shocked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.404$ so we're switching that the Q is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:30.404 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.103$ the same and it now represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:33.103 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.588$ a worse than expected outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.270$ And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.310$ What should happen in a task

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.767$ like this is that the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:40.767 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.467$ in this grezar simulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:32:42.470 --> 00:32:44.434 the animal should reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}44.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.907$ their behavioral responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.994$ They do not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:46.994 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.349$ this is a traditional kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:48.349 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.469$ of punishment task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:32:49.470 --> 00:32:51.830 Animals will reduce their behavior.

00:32:51.830 --> 00:32:53.750 But what our model predicts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.402$ this perceived salience model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}55.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.467$ Is that because there's unexpected

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:32:57.467 --> 00:32:58.739 information and it's novel?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.588$ There should be increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:00.588 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.898$ in dopamine to this Q.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.680$ Prediction error responding with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.350$ say it should be decreased because

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}07.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.750$ it's a worse than expected outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.006$ A reward prediction error, excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}12.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.990$ So what we're going to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.550$ at here is this Q,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.126$ which is the last Q that predicted sucrose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.062$ so this is before the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:20.062 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.028$ got gotten shocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:21.030 \dashrightarrow 00:33:23.598$ So this Q still has that predict sucrose,

 $00:33:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.822$ and then we're going to look at the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}26.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.086$ Q right after the first foot shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.688$ And what we find is first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.850$ this foot shock causes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:33:34.850 --> 00:33:36.146 positive domain response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:33:36.150 --> 00:33:39.614 So it doesn't matter what kind of task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:33:39.620 --> 00:33:42.104 but shocks are being presented and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:42.104 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.760$ they're always words resulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}43.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.678$ in positive domain responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.374$ What the dopamine response to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}48.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.440$ discriminative cue actually goes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}50.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.134$ even though it's it represents a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}53.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.458$ worse than expected outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:55.458 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.703$ so dopamine is increasing anytime

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:33:57.703 --> 00:33:59.984 information is novel or salient

 $00:33:59.984 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.199$ to the animal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:34:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.940$ And it's increasing even if

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:34:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.680$ the outcome is worse than

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.920$ expected or better than expected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.840$ and one of the key aspects of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.247$ experiment is dopamine is going up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.774$ even though the animal's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:13.774 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.298$ behavior is going down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:34:15.300 --> 00:34:17.322 so increases in dopamine don't just

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:17.322 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.118$ mean motivated behavior or approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:34:19.118 --> 00:34:21.073 because we're getting increases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:21.073 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.532$ dopamine here that correlate with animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:23.532 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.200$ inhibiting a behavioral response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}34{:}25.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.486$ and so this kind of saliency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:34:27.490 --> 00:34:30.698 What it'll do, is it helps animals make

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:30.698 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.839$ adaptive updating of responses were.

 $00:34:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.568$ List of what the context of those responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}34{:}35.568 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}$ $00{:}34{:}38.640$ are or the behavioral response necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.292$ So I'm gonna show I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:41.292 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.060$ I've one more experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.824$ so this experiment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:34:44.824 --> 00:34:46.147 actually really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:34:46.150 --> 00:34:49.406 because it's kind of shows how much these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:49.406 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.930$ kind of novel salients events that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}34{:}52.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56.300$ necessarily acquire value R to animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}34{:}56.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.288$ So what we did is we did an experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:59.288 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.416$ where we associated ECU with a foot shock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.968$ so just fear conditioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:03.968 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.180$ But what we did is on some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.001$ the trials we just put a random

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:35:10.001 --> 00:35:11.699 irrelevant house light on.

 $00:35:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.076$ And what the model predicts is that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:14.076 \dashrightarrow 00:35:16.278$ there is novelty in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.422$ there will be an increase in dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:18.422 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.489$ response on these trials where novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:20.489 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.661$ information is added even though previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:22.661 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.949$ work has shown that novel irrelevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:24.949 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.814$ information will not acquire value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.444$ So the Q that we're adding this random

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}29.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.437$ irrelevant light won't acquire value

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:31.437 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.557$ because the animals already associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}33.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.716$ the previous Q with the foot shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.312$ And So what we find is on trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:38.312 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.700$ where we add this novel light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.836$ There is a very large increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:42.836 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.260$ in the domain response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.040$ even though that novel light

00:35:46.040 --> 00:35:47.464 won't acquire value itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.798$ And So what this does is it rules

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:35:49.798 --> 00:35:51.966 out the simple Attribution of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:51.966 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.704$ sort of balance to a queue or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}54.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.719$ associative strength of that Q.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.570$ It means that you're getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:35:58.570 --> 00:36:00.050 increases in dopamine responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:36:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.490$ They don't necessarily correspond with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:36:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.930$ the animal making Association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:36:04.996 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.628$ that queue and the outcome in some cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.274$ The last thing, which again for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:12.274 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.059$ people who are are really deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}14.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.674$ in the prediction based field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.155$ One thing that people can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:17.155 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.901$ say at this point is, well,

 $00:36:18.901 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.527$ maybe doping is doing prediction error

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}20.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.170$ but not reward prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:36:22.170 --> 00:36:23.760 So it's going up every time

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.710$ there is an error in prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.992$ This is actually really good good thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}27.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.429$ and we thought this too and we said OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.670$ Well let's let's see if that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.148$ case and we were kind of Gnostic here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}35.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{:}36.302$ We were saying, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:36.302 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.390$ let's just figure out what it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}38.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.534$ We're not trying to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}39.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.128$ Pusha theory we're saying, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:41.128 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.816$ how does the data fit together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:36:43.820 --> 00:36:45.810 So saliency or perceived salience?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.372$ What it would suggest is that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:36:48.372 --> 00:36:51.759 you have a stimulus like a foot shock,

 $00:36:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.539$ you should have the biggest opening response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}54.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.375$ because when the stimulus is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:56.375 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.725$ present and there it's the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:36:58.725 --> 00:37:00.489 salient 'cause most intense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:37:00.490 --> 00:37:03.178 But if you have a prediction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:03.178 \dashrightarrow 00:37:05.260$ that during extinction or omission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.636$ there should still be a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:07.636 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.428$ doping response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.174$ but it should be lower than when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:11.174 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.078$ stimulus is physically there a prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.760$ Error hypothesis would be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.440$ when you have an omission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.095$ this response should be higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:19.095 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.145$ than when the stimulus is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:21.145 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.820$ because it signals an error.

 $00:37:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.610$ We did this experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00{:}37{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29.065$ What we found was that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:29.065 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.141$ positive domain response at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

00:37:31.141 --> 00:37:33.346 of the predicted foot shock when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:33.346 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.348$ it's omitted, so it's not there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:35.348 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.711$ but the response of the foot shock

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:37.711 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.427$ itself is higher than when it's omitted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.548$ And so this also rules out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

00:37:42.548 --> 00:37:43.607 other competing theories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.370$ which is that domain does

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00{:}37{:}45.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.426$ prediction error learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.200$ but it's not reward based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:37:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.340$ So. And I showed you a lot of stuff and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:37:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.364$ well, I kind of rule kind of come

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

00:37:56.364 --> 00:37:58.678 back and say like why should you care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:37:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.512$ So essentially what we did is we did

 $00:38:00.512 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.500$ a number of experiments to rule out

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00{:}38{:}02.500 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}38{:}04.586$ these kind of competing factors of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:38:04.586 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.525$ dopamine is doing in learning and memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

00:38:06.530 --> 00:38:07.890 Don't mean release is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

00:38:07.890 --> 00:38:09.516 I'm not saying the VTA cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:38:09.516 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.600$ bodies don't do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:38:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.449$ Maybe they do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:38:11.449 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.864$ but there's integration of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:38:12.864 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.828$ at the level of the terminal that

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:38:14.828 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.173$ dictates how doing is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

00:38:16.225 --> 00:38:17.475 releasing these brain regions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:38:17.475 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.272$ what I am saying is that dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00{:}38{:}19.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.627$ release in the nucleus accumbens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00{:}38{:}20.630 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}38{:}22.050$ core Maps on true perceived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:38:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.600$ Salience not prediction error or value.

00:38:26.760 --> 00:38:28.250 Our models are modeled behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}28.250 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}38{:}30.112$ we just use it to generate experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}30.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.972$ we should run to parse different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:31.972 --> 00:38:33.316 aspects of domain encoding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.152$ and So what you can do is use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}35.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37.114$ these kind of predictions to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:37.114 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.280$ experiments with other circuits as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.136$ which I think is kind of an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:42.136 --> 00:38:44.638 way to approach the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:44.640 --> 00:38:46.698 But what I'm showing you is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:46.698 --> 00:38:48.080 even in reinforcement context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.019$ pavlovi in context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:49.019 --> 00:38:51.210 this isn't a value based prediction signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.525$ and these same signals are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:52.525 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.840$ there in punishment tasks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:53.891 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.280$ negative reinforcement tasks,

 $00:38:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.845$ and so it's actually really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:56.845 --> 00:38:58.097 interesting that you're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:58.097 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.657$ this kind of dopamine signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:59.660 --> 00:39:01.538 It's very critical in driving behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.494$ just not in the way that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:04.494 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.520$ think we predicted before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.350$ So why should we care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.522$ I think understanding what domain is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:10.522 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.729$ doing is really important for disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.442$ and so if you want to understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}15.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.032$ dopamine is doing and what deficits in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:39:18.032 --> 00:39:21.120 dopamine in a patient mean for that patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.310$ it really requires a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.040$ holistic understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.284$ What domains doing across contexts and

00:39:26.284 --> 00:39:28.420 internal States and things like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.520$ and so you know when you have a model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:39:31.601 --> 00:39:34.625 you say doesken dopamine fit this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.540$ the answer might be yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.483$ But it kind of leaves out that aspect of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}39.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.702$ But what is domain doing in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:39:41.702 --> 00:39:44.266 contexts of the model can't fit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:44.266 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.201$ so understanding the components that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:46.201 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.806$ dry of these behaviors is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:48.806 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.526$ critical to understanding this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}50.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52.672$ But I think that maybe more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:39:52.672 --> 00:39:54.810 important thing for for kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:39:54.810 --> 00:39:57.046 human health is is from, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:57.046 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.636$ my primary field which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:58.636 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.320$ addiction an it's understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}00.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01.960$ The difference between a dopamine

 $00{:}40{:}01.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03.600$ signal that signals were worn

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.328$ and what a salience signal does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.510$ So a reward signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:40:06.510 --> 00:40:09.400 If you have a deficits in a reward signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.206$ you may say you know we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:11.206 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.138$ want to increase those and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:13.138 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.963$ suffering from substance use disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}14.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.269$ because if we do that may increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:40:17.269 --> 00:40:18.784 the rewarding value of stimuli

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}18.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.150$ in the environment like drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}21.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.446$ But the issue is with the salience signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.882$ If you have deficits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:25.882 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.073$ it's going to slow the rate of learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:40:29.073 --> 00:40:31.478 for everything in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.766$ so it could explain why people

00:40:33.766 --> 00:40:35.826 are compulsive because they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}35.826 \rightarrow 00{:}40{:}37.670$ respond to negative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.554$ It would explain why they have trouble

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}40{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42{.}209$ learning. The adaptive alternatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:42.209 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.448$ Are there an?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:40:43.450 --> 00:40:45.515 It would explain why extinguishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:40:45.515 --> 00:40:47.580 drug associations is much slower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.884$ and so in if it's a saliency signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.142$ we may want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:52.142 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.020$ Increased opening so that people can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:54.081 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.608$ learn adaptively in all of these contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:40:56.610 --> 00:40:57.522 And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:40:57.522 --> 00:40:59.650 I'm not saying that like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.891$ this is the end all be all dopamine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:41:01.891 --> 00:41:04.135 in lots of projection targets and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:04.135 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.640$ does lots of things in different areas.

 $00:41:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.470$ And we're in one single area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.254$ but I think kind of taking a step

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:10.254 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.137$ back and thinking about what these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}41{:}12.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13.517$ domains signatures really mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}41{:}13.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.284$ and what those deficits would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:41:15.284 --> 00:41:16.979 mean to a behaving individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.290$ as I think it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:41:19.290 --> 00:41:20.445 component of conceptualizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:20.445 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.246$ what these you know psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:41:22.246 --> 00:41:24.274 deficits mean to people and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.448$ to best treat them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.010$ Anyway, so with that I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:27.010 --> 00:41:28.570 end with thanking my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}28.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30.130$ so Ganesh clue his background

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.378$ is in computational psychology,

 $00:41:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.578$ so he's like the modeler and he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}33.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.430$ really like driven this you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.990$ Together he's a Pavlovian guy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.550$ I was a reinforcement person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.419$ I think this was like one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:40.419 --> 00:41:42.243 those projects that was this great

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:42.243 --> 00:41:43.853 synergism between two people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:43.853 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.728$ just we're really excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}45.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47.907$ Kind of figuring out what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:47.910 --> 00:41:49.860 Jennifer, Zachary and Patrick and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51.810$ Stephanie were are grad students

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:51.874 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.542$ that were working on this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:53.542 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.657$ and put a lot of time into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}55.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57.886$ Cody Siciliano and Lindsay Ann Lynn

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:57.886 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.945$ was was really nice and was helping us

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:42:00.945 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.207$ get the delight these optical sensors

 $00:42:03.282 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.543$ up and running in the lab fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:42:05.543 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.459$ early in Cody's at optical engineer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:42:07.459 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.164$ So he helps a lot at Vanderbilt with

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:42:10.164 --> 00:42:11.948 getting these working correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}42{:}11.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.316$ validating them an my funding and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:42:14.316 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.020$ can take any questions you may have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:42:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.830$ So thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.130$ Thank you so much Aaron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.020$ If anybody doesn't want to pipe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:25.086 --> 00:42:27.228 up with the just asking questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:27.230 --> 00:42:30.093 please put them in the chat and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:30.093 --> 00:42:33.267 I can read them out for Aaron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.116$ Like can I start with one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:35.116 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.356$ Go for it. So in terms of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:38.356 --> 00:42:39.800 projections you were discussing

00:42:39.869 --> 00:42:41.867 and you're looking in the core,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.152$ do you think that just with predictions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:44.152 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.045$ of what the core versus shell of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:47.045 --> 00:42:49.323 incumbents does in activations of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:49.323 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.459$ core versus Shell does to behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.798$ Do you think that one might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}53.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}56.002$ more important than the other in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:56.002 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.136$ the prediction error?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:57.140 --> 00:42:58.556 This is actually really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:58.556 --> 00:43:00.326 great question an I should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

00:43:00.330 --> 00:43:01.395 have pasted this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:01.395 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.525$ Actually I can do it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.106$ I we have shell data so so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00{:}43{:}06.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.094$ The answer is probably yes in some contexts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.184$ although when we we started to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

00:43:12.184 --> 00:43:14.830 look through the shell data.

 $00:43:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.245$ So I just wanted to side when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00{:}43{:}17.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19.541$ we started to look through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:19.541 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.893$ new data or the shell data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00{:}43{:}21.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.132$ It did not look like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:24.132 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.620$ I would expect either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.640$ Basically. It still doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.380$ look like prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.026$ So we still get a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}43{:}36.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.420$ domain response to the shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.800$ We get some scaling with stimulus intensity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.848$ Not quite as much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.208$ People have shown decreases in dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}43{:}46.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48.712$ and to a versive stimuli and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:43:48.712 --> 00:43:51.101 been working out why that would be when

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:43:51.101 --> 00:43:53.285 we aren't seeing them and we think.

 $00:43:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.162$ And so basically we did show

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:55.162 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.329$ decreases in domain in some contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.520$ Dopamine goes down when animals don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.390$ have to do anything or they have to wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.560$ So what we did is we design

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.299$ this other experiment that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:06.299 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.775$ like really excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.615$ What we did is we trained animals to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:10.615 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.240$ smoker sucrose and then we switched the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.588$ contingency so that they had to with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.886$ Hold a response and wait to get sucrose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:17.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.203$ So this is kind of like the same reciprocal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:20.203 --> 00:44:21.929 thing to fear conditioning right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.986$ You have a queue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:22.986 --> 00:44:25.090 The animal just waits to get shocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.242$ There's nothing they can

00:44:26.242 --> 00:44:27.394 do during that period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.052$ They wait,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:28.052 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.008$ we see decreases in dopamine to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:30.008 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.430$ fear conditioning Q and two AQ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.726$ where the animal gets sucrose at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.794$ but they have to wait to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:36.800 --> 00:44:39.401 And So what we think is happening is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}39.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}41.915$ lot of these decreases in domain people

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}41.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.010$ have seen or not necessarily just value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:45.010 --> 00:44:47.110 They have to do with what animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:47.110 --> 00:44:49.091 are doing and what novelty in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}49.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.781$ salience do in an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}50.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52.870$ is they increase exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.229$ So if you need to decrease exploration

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:55.229 --> 00:44:57.857 and just wait for something to happen,

 $00:44:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.934$ domain goes down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:58.934 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.440$ So we get doping reductions even when

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}01.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.056$ the outcome is positive when the task

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:04.056 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.437$ design mimics that of the aversive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:06.437 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.867$ tasks where people have seen reductions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:45:08.870 --> 00:45:11.174 And a lot of the like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.175$ there's a lot of great work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:13.175 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.948$ like Mitchell White Men looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}14.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.664$ aver sive like wine in the mouth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.248$ It's unavoidable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:17.248 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.560$ The animals are just waiting there as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.288$ And so I think there's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:21.288 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.152$ differences in relative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}22.160 --> 00{:}45{:}22.742 \ \mathrm{you} \ \mathrm{know},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:22.742 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.779$ in his designs he has positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}24.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.497$ negative stimuli in the same task,

 $00:45:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.132$ which are a little bit different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}28.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.680$ than having an animal behaves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.216$ So obviously there's there is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:31.216 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.860$ sort of value based computation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.588$ but we think they're done and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:34.588 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.452$ really specific context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:45:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.790$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:45:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.770$ I think the other question go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:45:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.374$ But they are zoom etiquette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

00:45:46.374 --> 00:45:48.560 Go ahead, I'll jump in after you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.120$ OK, thanks for bringing talker

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}50.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.996$ and that was really really cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:45:51.996 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.536$ So one thing that you can do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:45:54.536 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.986$ these models is sort of see if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}56.986 \rightarrow 00{:}45{:}58.230$ can predict particular phenomena

 $00:45:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.730$ and one of the ideas I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:46:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.298$ becoming more and more prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}46{:}02.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.194$ about a sort of teleological idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:46:04.194 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.726$ about what the dopamine system

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:46:05.726 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.598$ might be for is not rewards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

00:46:07.598 --> 00:46:09.153 not punishments as you've argued,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:46:09.153 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.404$ but actually the causal

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:46:10.404 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.652$ structure of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}46{:}11.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.521$ Yeah, and so does your model

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:46:13.521 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.765$ predict things like sensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

00:46:14.765 --> 00:46:15.500 preconditioning where?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:46:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.340$ There was

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.628$ no value at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:17.628 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.238$ Initially you use that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.124$ later to imbue or impede value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:21.124 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.203$ Yes, yes, so actually this is one

 $00:46:23.203 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.349$ of the other powers of this model is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}46{:}25.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.610$ it can do sensory preconditioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:46:27.610 --> 00:46:28.194 Layton addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:28.194 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.530$ so these are two things that that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:30.589 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.767$ the temporal difference models cannot do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.787$ and the problem is dopamine does them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.495$ So if dopamine does these

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:46:37.495 --> 00:46:38.859 in a computational model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.565$ can't that cannot be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:46:40.565 --> 00:46:41.929 computation domain is doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.634$ and so we we have our next at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:44.634 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.040$ once we get this out the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.744$ I'm trying to find my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:46:48.744 --> 00:46:50.450 We haven't started doing sensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.938$ preconditioning yet because of the fact that

00:46:52.938 --> 00:46:56.250 it's a little bit more of a pain in mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:46:56.250 --> 00:46:58.230 and I think we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.000$ have to switch to rats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.094$ Mice aren't like the best set

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:02.094 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.750$ like attending to things so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.576$ so lame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:04.576 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.228$ Inhibition is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:06.228 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.880$ our model does do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}07.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.560$ So late inhibition is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.904$ this really interesting novelty

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}10.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.506$ based learning constructs were

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:47:12.506 --> 00:47:14.118 essentially pre exposed stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.950$ acquire values slower than simulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.218$ Have not been pre exposed so familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:47:18.218 --> 00:47:20.494 stimuli take longer to because you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:20.494 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.559$ basically unlearning the no Association.

 $00:47:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.891$ So a lot of these different models

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:24.891 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.699$ you know we brought this up earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.269$ I was asked about Pierce Hall Macintosh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.562$ Like all of these models have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:32.562 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.821$ added these components to do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:34.821 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.110$ and our model does this and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.620$ sensory preconditioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}38.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.642$ I showed you the dobine goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:40.642 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.990$ down to repeated shocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.354 \text{ Um}$?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}42.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.902$ We can get pre exposed stimuli to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}44.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.285$ have less associative value and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}47.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.915$ what's really interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:49.915 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.751$ the dopamine response to these pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:52.751 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.713$ exposed stimuli is much lower and it

 $00:47:55.713 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.534$ also tracks over the pre exposure period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}58.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.380$ So these these kind of non value based

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.898$ learning constructs were previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:02.898 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.136$ experience is changing the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:05.136 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.360$ stimuli can drive future behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.715$ or sensory preconditioning were two

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:09.715 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.500$ irrelevant simulate form associations

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}11.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.020$ that can then be associated later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.012$ Our model does it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:15.012 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.500$ and dopamine still Maps onto that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:16.547 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.545$ perceived salience term in those contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.380$ And this is actually why we were so set on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:21.380 --> 00:48:21.934 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:21.934 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.319$ the first experiments really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:23.319 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.058$ it could be other things too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:25.060 --> 00:48:26.240 and then we started going

 $00:48:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.420$ into these latent addition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:27.471 --> 00:48:28.740 sensory preconditioning ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.714$ because those really can't be other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.286$ I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:31.286 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.550$ it could be there's other components of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.426$ but I think it is more strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:35.426 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.660$ with the other stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}36.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.916$ It does too, that that's what it's doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.830$ But it's that's a great, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}40.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.545$ Those are like the killer like knife

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:42.545 --> 00:48:44.345 in the coffin experiments, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:44.345 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.320$ Because they just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.270$ Those other models cannot do them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.510$ so yeah, that's a great great point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:48:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.238$ I think we had a question,

 $00:48:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.109$ thanks. Those are really great talk here

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:48:54.109 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.348$ and thanks for taking us through all that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:48:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.272$ And you have touched on my question

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:48:58.272 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.912$ a little bit because you started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:48:59.912 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.556$ I mean, even with the default

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:01.556 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.928$ Pomeranians when he starts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:02.928 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.298$ look at nucleus accumbens shell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:04.300 --> 00:49:06.765 But one thing I was curious about with your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:06.765 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.505$ model is how how global do you think it is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:09.505 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.695$ And you hinted as we talked about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:11.695 --> 00:49:13.620 VTA component as well. So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:13.620 --> 00:49:15.524 going back to some of the Bromberg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}15.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.902$ Martin work about different VTA

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:16.902 --> 00:49:17.724 neurons responding differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:17.724 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.820$ to balance versus silence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}18.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.782$ You think this Maps onto multiple regions

 $00:49:20.782 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.654$ using this sub regions within the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:22.660 --> 00:49:24.884 The common score is a global number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:24.884 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.797$ as a discrete to specific places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:26.797 --> 00:49:29.074 Yeah, so this is a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}29.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.944$ so I'm lucky to have married very well in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:31.944 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.058$ my life and my partner is a two photon guide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.966$ When he does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:35.966 --> 00:49:38.560 he does 2 photon imaging through grin lenses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:38.560 --> 00:49:40.444 cranial windows, you name it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:40.444 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.689$ so one thing we're working on now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:42.690 --> 00:49:45.091 The really power of of these kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:45.091 --> 00:49:46.838 of optical imaging approaches is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:46.838 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.099$ that you can record as small as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:49.099 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.274$ you want or as big as you want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.920$ and so depending on your.

 $00:49:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.100$ Microscope and resolution so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:54.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.280$ we're moving into these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.760$ Either I love slice work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.620$ This is like my background so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.390$ we're moving into these kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.352$ In vivo in slice imaging approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:02.352 --> 00:50:03.660 to understand better domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:03.715 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.689$ regulation across big and small areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}05.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.345$ Because the thing about domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:07.345 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.000$ neurons that so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.655$ like kind of weird about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:10.655 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.979$ them is their projection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:11.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.960$ Like you know, Arborization is insane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.651$ If you fill a single domain on the PTA

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:16.651 --> 00:50:19.586 and look at the field that it populates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}19.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}21.570$ it's like half the straight up.

 $00:50:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.130$ But then if you look at these specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.880$ release sites on these neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.204$ it's not releasing dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:27.204 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.528$ at everywhere every time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.840$ It's depending on all these different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.500$ So this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.480$ Release structure is so complicated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.833$ I think part of the reason people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:35.833 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.668$ been so like oh volume transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:37.668 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.404$ is our ability to really look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:40.410 \dashrightarrow 00:50:41.666$ granularities between these components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:41.666 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.550$ And so we're starting to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.806$ you know, start big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:44.806 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.748$ We're just saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.020$ OK,

 $00:50:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.450$ if we do image in a bigger field or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:48.524 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.086$ with multiple sites at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.250$ are we seeing differences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.659$ We do see differences so I think there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}54.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.801$ are differences in these VTA neurons in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:56.801 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.245$ what what they're doing in different areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}59.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.834$ So I don't think like all dopamine is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:51:01.840 --> 00:51:04.500 This I think don't mean to the core is this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.068$ but it also makes sense that domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:06.068 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.273$ in the core that's been tide more to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}08.273 --> 00{:}51{:}10.090$ instrumental responding than like the shell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.122$ That's like these acquisition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:51:11.122 --> 00:51:12.982 And like Valeant space kind of learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:51:12.982 --> 00:51:14.865 would look like a perceived salience term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:51:14.870 --> 00:51:15.109 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:15.109 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.782$ That makes way more sense for something

00:51:16.782 --> 00:51:18.330 that is involved in punishment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}18.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.862$ negative reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:51:18.862 --> 00:51:19.394 positive reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:19.394 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.990$ which are the same motivated responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.512$ independent violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:21.512 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.600$ So we're doing some more stuff in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:23.654 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.733$ shell. You know, I'm not sold that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}25.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.974$ shell doesn't do value because I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}27.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}30.299$ foot shocks are the best way to do stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}30.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.238$ I think foot shocks are weird.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.166$ Stimulus that are really powerful initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:51:34.170 --> 00:51:35.780 but we didn't really evolve

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.390$ to respond to foot shocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.412$ so we're starting to go in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:39.412 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.260$ more with things like Quy 9.

 $00:51:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.235$ We've been developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:42.235 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.800$ You can make them liquor lic ometer hot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.726$ so we've been doing like thermal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.530$ like not pain, but thermal sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.156$ curves so that you can look at thermal

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:51.156 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.524$ stimuli that reduced responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:51:52.530 --> 00:51:54.777 But without this, like foot shot component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.027$ so we're trying to parse this out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}57.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.302$ I'm not sold that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:58.302 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.210$ it's just like every dopamine responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:00.269 --> 00:52:02.189 that I think it's more complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.843$ but I think we need better resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:04.843 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.770$ techniques to really parse that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:06.770 --> 00:52:08.578 And hopefully over the next I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.745$ However long my career last

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:09.745 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.289$ will see will start to get it.

 $00:52:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.430$ Some of those questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}12.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.780$ other people are doing that too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.206$ I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:14.206 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.271$ there's some really great work

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}15.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.428$ coming out where people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}16.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17.618$ using those like single synapse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:17.620 --> 00:52:18.062 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}18.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.388$ you know Uncaging and Eli and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:19.388 --> 00:52:20.560 all kinds of crazy stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.585$ so I'm excited to see where the field goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}22.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.815 \ \mathrm{Yeah},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:22.815 --> 00:52:23.715 that's really excited how

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:23.715 --> 00:52:24.615 great you are looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

00:52:24.620 --> 00:52:25.755 at. I'm glad you're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:25.755 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.010$ into it. Sounds like

00:52:26.660 --> 00:52:29.370 you thought about it already. Definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.370$ I went a little bit. Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.987$ the question is just like do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:30.987 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.562$ have the tools and then the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:32.562 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.312$ thing is do we have the month of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

00:52:34.312 --> 00:52:35.915 money and the people that do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.720$ And so it's like you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.472$ You you see what you can do and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00{:}52{:}39.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.180$ with the resources you have so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302632

 $00:52:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.504$ There is a question in the chat by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302632

 $00{:}52{:}46.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47.892$ from Denise, Baghdad and Denise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302632

00:52:47.892 --> 00:52:50.183 Do you want to read it out or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302632

 $00:52:50.183 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.856$ would you like me to ask it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:52:53.460 --> 00:52:55.780 Good morning, great talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:52:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.840$ so I just wanted to understand something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}52{:}59.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03.320$ Maybe it's not a great question.

 $00:53:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.220$ Let me just say it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.540$ So nicotine reinforcement is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.860$ generally considered as positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}10.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.020$ reinforcement enforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:12.020 --> 00:53:14.340 However, it's also discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.660$ about like the weather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:16.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.400$ Nicotine reinforcement is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.560$ negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:19.560 --> 00:53:21.944 Because of nicotine withdrawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:21.944 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.168$ The compost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:23.168 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.840$ Open intake and taking is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:26.840 --> 00:53:29.111 contributes to nicotine reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}29.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.082$ so I am interested in whether your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:33.082 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.496$ model could dissect the positive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:36.496 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.326$ negative reinforcement for the nicotine.

 $00:53:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.730$ It's I know it's your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.867$ Shock, but this is the one molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:46.867 --> 00:53:49.429 You know, so could have both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.398$ So how we put the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:51.398 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.710$ that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:52.710 --> 00:53:54.886 So one of the things in the addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:54.886 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.409$ field is that there are all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:57.409 --> 00:53:59.268 series of negative reinforcement, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}59.268 \to 00{:}54{:}00.580$ Like opioid with drawal alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.907$ with drawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:00.907 --> 00:54:02.542 All of these are negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:02.542 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.196$ reinforcement concepts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.840$ but no one actually does

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}04.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.496$ negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.125$ We make the inference that is negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:08.125 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.344$ reinforcement from the fact that it

 $00:54:10.344 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.064$ causes withdrawn animals are taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:12.064 \dashrightarrow 00:54:14.597$ it with during the withdrawal period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:14.600 --> 00:54:15.310 It's OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:15.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.085$ so it's a hard question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:17.090 --> 00:54:18.746 I think the first step would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:18.746 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.758$ be to look at how you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:21.758 --> 00:54:23.138 negative reinforcement processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.564$ like for avoiding shocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:24.564 --> 00:54:25.988 are changed after nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:25.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.950$ So one of the things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}27.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.003$ working with with Cody Siciliano is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:30.003 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.301$ looking at how alcohol changes animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}32.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.179$ motivation for negative reinforcers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.665$ and so that's like the first step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.674$ I think this is like it's

 $00:54:38.674 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.590$ a hard thing to parse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44.640$ Nicotine is also, I know it's like I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:44.640 --> 00:54:45.588 A cholinergic regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:45.588 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.536$ of dopamine terminals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.745$ So nicotine is like in my mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.646$ but like we don't do nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:50.646 --> 00:54:51.278 reinforcement stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.950$ It's also this really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}52.950 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}54{:}54.286$ molecule because it regulates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:54.286 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.829$ like how dopamine is released

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}55.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.274$ in a really interesting way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:57.280 --> 00:54:58.860 That's not just like up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}58.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.440$ it's changing like phasic responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.020$ to stimuli in the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.600$ And so thinking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}03.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.548$ interaction between those,

 $00:55:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.596$ it's like we're doing some work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:06.596 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.345$ with sex differences in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:08.345 --> 00:55:10.241 system is like so much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:10.241 --> 00:55:12.128 complicated than I want it to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:12.130 --> 00:55:13.117 Like with cocaine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:13.117 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.420$ it's like it binds to the transporter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00.55.15.420 \longrightarrow 00.55.16.680$ Show me goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.287$ Can we reduce that nicotine is like Oh

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}19.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.541$ well in some cases domain goes down

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:21.541 --> 00:55:23.810 some cases it goes up and so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}23.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.190$ just such a complicated question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}25.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27.185$ I think the behavioral stuff we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}27.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.122$ can start to parse how processes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:29.122 --> 00:55:30.670 animals are changing by exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.602$ and I think that's the first step

00:55:32.602 --> 00:55:34.697 and then the next step is trying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}34.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.155$ We're trying to develop task

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:36.155 --> 00:55:38.150 to figure out how to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.580$ So one thing we've been thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.795$ about is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.810$ Old school drag discrimination so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.820$ animals will actually press before

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}45.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.621$ like to tell you an internal state

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:47.621 --> 00:55:50.005 is X or Y and what we want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:50.005 --> 00:55:51.568 is we've been thinking about doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:51.568 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.801$ this with optogenetics, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:52.801 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.847$ Does an optical stimulation of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:54.847 --> 00:55:56.574 circuit substitute for X drug X

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:56.574 --> 00:55:58.466 state and I think that some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:58.466 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.446$ withdrawal effects you could see if,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:56:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.338$ like nicotine withdrawal,

 $00:56:01.338 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.410$ substituted for some of these other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00{:}56{:}03.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.391$ and if that was a critical component

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:05.391 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.960$ of reinforcement isn't hard question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.736$ I think that that's it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:08.736 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.920$ start answering that question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.120$ but I've been thinking about this a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

00:56:12.120 --> 00:56:14.438 and I'm not sure how to specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.533$ Parse when an animal is doing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:16.533 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.428$ for two things at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.860$ What component is what I?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.570$ I wish I had better answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

00:56:21.570 --> 00:56:22.990 I'm excited about the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00{:}56{:}22.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.270$ but I don't have the answer for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:56:29.430 --> 00:56:31.642 Is there time for one more Marina

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:56:31.642 --> 00:56:34.317 or do we directly is that Beth?

 $00:56:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.670$ No, it's less less sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}36.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41.728$ I had one too. OK first Liz then Jane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:56:41.730 --> 00:56:43.417 So Aaron, that was such a beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:43.417 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.592$ talk and I love all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:56:45.592 --> 00:56:46.642 behavioral experiments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:46.642 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.330$ were inspired by your model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}48.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.297$ And one of the powers of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:50.297 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.838$ model is obviously you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:51.838 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.428$ take that salience term out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.158$ And guess how behavior would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:55.158 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.030$ altered by it in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.638$ So I'm curious whether you're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:58.638 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.435$ to start looking at blocking these

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:57:00.435 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.115$ signals and seeing whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:57:02.115 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.734$ match the expectations that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}57{:}03.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05.124$ model would make in particular,

 $00:57:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.985$ that when you were showing the responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:57:06.985 --> 00:57:09.030 to the light cue during conditioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:57:09.030 --> 00:57:11.178 which shouldn't be involving any learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:57:11.178 --> 00:57:13.519 like what's the point of that signal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:57:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.446$ Our behavior could come from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553 00:57:15.450 --> 00:57:15.770 I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.338$ so excited to just ask me this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.599$ OK, so there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.154$ I'm lazy and I don't want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:57:22.160 --> 00:57:23.504 Maybe I'll do this why I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}23.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.840$ should have put these in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.616$ I didn't think people were going to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:57:26.620 --> 00:57:27.958 Not that I didn't think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:57:27.958 --> 00:57:28.850 would have great questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.550$ but I didn't think you guys were to ask

 $00:57:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.994$ questions that I had like specific data for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.430$ OK, so two things. First thing first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.630$ Wow, that looks terrible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.130$ We did do experiments to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.090$ eliminate this signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:57:41.090 --> 00:57:43.835 You can see I'm like really crafty with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.890$ This is not my OK so we First things first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}46.890 \to 00{:}57{:}48.969$ Yes, I'll tell you what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}48.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51.159$ that signal is doing and then two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.770$ Well, two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.600$ I'll show you the optic Jenner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.554$ Other we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:54.554 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.144$ We're almost done with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:56.144 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.869$ Histology so take this with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.050$ This is preliminary preliminary ish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:00.050 --> 00:58:01.940 We did two experiments where we

 $00:58:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.898$ inhibited the signal using what our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:03.898 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.818$ model would predict as the condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:05.818 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.264$ response that would dissociate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:07.264 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.124$ it from these other components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:09.130 --> 00:58:10.655 Injected Halo rhodopsin in TH

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:10.655 --> 00:58:12.586 positive neurons in the VTA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:12.586 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.958$ then inhibited the terminal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:13.960 --> 00:58:15.244 So we're only inhibiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}15.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16.207$ dopamine releasing terminals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.634$ Any comments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:17.634 --> 00:58:21.906 We either inhibited during a Q

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:21.906 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.000$ predicting fear conditioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.504$ Or we inhibited or?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:26.504 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.384$ We know we stimulated during.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.280$ Sorry this is my fault.

 $00:58:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.160$ We stimulated during these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:32.160 --> 00:58:33.288 two different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.606$ We stimulated during a fear conditioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}35.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37.720$ Q or we stimulated channel rhodopsin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.744$ during an emitted but expected shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.060$ If you stimulate and this gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.820$ your questions during a Q,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00.58:44.820 \longrightarrow 00.58:46.300$ that's a fair condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}46.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}48.520$ Q You actually get less freezing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.976$ so this is the opposite of what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:50.976 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.328$ would expect from associative strength,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.180$ but it kind of person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.735$ We basically points to this question when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:57.735 --> 00:58:59.990 there's novel stimuli in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.100$ you increase exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.580$ All of our data,

 $00{:}59{:}02.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}03.948$ like the novel Q.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:03.948 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.000$ All of our data show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:06.086 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.758$ when you add novelty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.950$ you increase dopamine and the increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.400$ dopamine is associated with more exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.459$ And less freezing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:13.459 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.224$ And so these novelty terms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.855$ what they're doing is they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:16.855 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.155$ helping animals to adaptively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:18.155 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.829$ learn by increasing exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}19.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}21.600$ And like here, decreasing freezing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.370$ So in the same animal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}23.370 \to 00{:}59{:}25.946$ the queue that wasn't stimulated has just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:25.946 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.330$ as much freezing is the wifey group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.738$ and when it's stimulated they freeze less

 $00:59:30.738 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.290$ and that's what our model would predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.610$ The other thing we did is we show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.888$ that we can prevent extinction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.949$ freezing extinction by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:38.949 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.714$ stimulating dopamine to the Q,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.348$ and so we both prevent extinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.235$ We prevent extinction by basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:45.235 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.456$ like increasing the salience of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:59:47.456 --> 00:59:49.353 event so that it doesn't go away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.640$ which is not the same as you'd expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}51.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53.699$ by these prediction error terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.338$ So what we think is happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:55.338 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.889$ is that these novel stimuli

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:59:56.889 --> 00:59:58.473 are increasing dopamine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:58.473 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.453$ increase in dopamine promotes and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

01:00:00.460 --> 01:00:01.136 Exploration term,

 $01:00:01.136 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.300$ we also did some like deep lab cut based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

01:00:04.300 --> 01:00:04.998 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $01:00:04.998 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.394$ machine learning algorithms to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $01:00:06.394 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.790$ look at orienting responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $01:00:07.790 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.182$ It's not associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

01:00:09.182 --> 01:00:10.226 general motor activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

01:00:10.230 --> 01:00:11.622 it's associated with orientation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $01:00:11.622 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.014$ towards the novel stimulus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:13.020 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.162$ And so we think that these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:15.162 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.049$ this saliency term in the Commons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}17.049 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}19.149$ is changing the way the animals are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:19.218 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.926$ interacting with the environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}20.926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23.488$ rather than just the associated value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01\text{:}00\text{:}23.490 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}00\text{:}25.688$ But the problem is these are such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:25.688 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.680$ complex things to dissociate that.

01:00:27.680 --> 01:00:29.455 I understand why people solve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:29.455 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.875$ the data before instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:30.880 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.016$ Oh, it's that balance goes up to rewards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:33.020 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.882$ goes down to a fear conditioning Q

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:34.882 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.750$ that looks like violence to me too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:36.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.352$ You only start to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:38.352 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.420$ it can't be balanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:39.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.044$ When you do these kind of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:41.044 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.708$ in the weeds like someone showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

01:00:42.708 --> 01:00:44.223 this in 1950 in psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

01:00:44.230 --> 01:00:46.225 we're gonna do this again with optogenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

01:00:46.225 --> 01:00:47.968 kinds of experiments which I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:47.970 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.839$ I think those are the fun experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:49.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.440$ but does that answer your question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:51.440 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.508$ Yes, thank you, awesome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:00:52.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.310$ I think James next and then Rick.

 $01:00:55.310 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.748$ Hi that was a great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:00:58.748 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.359$ So my question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:02.360 --> 01:01:04.650 You talk about increasing dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:04.650 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.681$ and in most of your experiments where

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:07.681 --> 01:01:09.670 you're actually measuring dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:09.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.400$ you're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:12.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.595$ Using Delight an if you go back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:15.595 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.658$ not the old psychology experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:18.660 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.890$ but the 80s dopamine literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:20.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.578$ People think about tonic versus phasic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:23.580 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.457$ dopamine and a lot of your experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:26.457 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.687$ seem to be focused more on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}29.687 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}32.067$ the phasic dopamine signal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:32.070 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.748$ An I'm wondering whether you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:34.750 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.516$ some way that you can simultaneously

01:01:37.516 --> 01:01:40.301 look at dopamine tone because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:40.301 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.863$ may be that things like novelty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:42.870 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.712$ Might actually be linked to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:44.712 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.142$ of those and you get you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:47.142 --> 01:01:48.650 interactions between the two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:48.650 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.030$ and you know when you're you're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:51.030 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.730$ your increase with the delight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:52.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.430$ What baseline is that on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:54.430 --> 01:01:55.110 This is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:55.110 --> 01:01:56.470 I'm like you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:01:56.470 --> 01:01:58.170 are like making my day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:01:58.170 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.210$ I have like I'm this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:00.210 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.570$ such a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:01.570 --> 01:02:04.290 So this is like our first like thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:04.290 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.990$ We're getting out the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:05.990 --> 01:02:08.978 We have a bunch of extra data where what

 $01:02:08.978 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.766$ we've been doing and this is the thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:11.770 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.120$ OK, so voltammetry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:13.120 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.470$ Is background subtracted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:14.470 --> 01:02:16.906 So you can't really get both tonan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:16.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.998$ phasic stuff in the same experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:19.000 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.100$ So what people historically done as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:21.100 --> 01:02:22.554 they said, microanalysis histone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}22.554 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24.439$ gigha Ruth and voltammetry is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:24.439 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.678$ is phasic an my the 80s domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:26.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.666$ Literatures like where I started my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:28.666 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.218$ career so I'm very excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:31.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.772$ Do you like this kind of nice because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}33.772 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}36.031$ you have some photobleaching but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:36.031 --> 01:02:38.756 can control for that and you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:38.756 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.031$ know what the problem with it

 $01:02:41.031 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.510$ is that you don't know the number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}43.510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}45.617$ So with microdialysis you get an amount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:45.620 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.420$ With voltammetry you calibrate your probe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:47.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.710$ you have an estimated amount with delight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:50.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.642$ I haven't found a great way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:52.642 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.378$ figure out what the number is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:54.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.642$ but you can look at relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:56.642 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.150$ changes over the session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}58.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}00.526$ I don't have this data up and is easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:00.526 --> 01:03:02.451 way because we're putting it into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}02.451 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}04.889$ something so we have a manuscript that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:04.889 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.211$ we're getting together and its focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:07.211 --> 01:03:09.630 on novelty based changes and joking signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:09.630 --> 01:03:12.246 and so it's focused on Lane in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:12.250 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.158$ But what we're looking at is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}14.158 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}15.430$ the phasic response relative

 $01:03:15.493 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.168$ to longer changes in dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:17.170 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.642$ and I don't want to call it tone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:19.642 --> 01:03:21.768 because it's over like 10 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:21.770 --> 01:03:22.724 Not like ours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:22.724 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.632$ but it's definitely not what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:24.632 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.690$ would call a phasic fast response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:26.690 --> 01:03:28.790 What novelty in the environment does?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:28.790 --> 01:03:30.668 Is it increases that phasic response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:30.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.230$ But then it does it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:32.230 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.800$ The baseline is much higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}33.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36.000$ So what you have is this shift and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:36.000 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.394$ what we think is happening is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:38.394 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.825$ the novelty is changing the state of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:40.825 --> 01:03:43.185 the system so that if the next thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:43.190 --> 01:03:44.715 that's encountered in that situation

 $01:03:44.715 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.630$ the domain response will be bigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}46.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}48.793$ Now one of the things I've always

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:48.793 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.670$ been interested in over my career

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:50.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.749$ is what matters for the animal that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:52.809 --> 01:03:54.765 change from baseline or the peak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:54.770 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.266$ And so we're trying to get into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:57.270 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.734$ Now to say like, OK, that increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:59.734 --> 01:04:02.863 Slide does that just increase the peak?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:02.870 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.246$ Or does that actually still amplify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:05.246 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.733$ more this signal to noise and so these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}04{:}08.733 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}11.013$ novelty terms are definitely changing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:11.020 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.832$ What I would call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:12.832 --> 01:04:14.644 I don't want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:14.650 --> 01:04:16.340 but they are definitely changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:16.340 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.030$ these slower baseline fluctuations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:18.082 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.870$ dopamine over longer periods of time,

 $01:04:19.870 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.760$ and we think that's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:21.760 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.750$ for the effects of novelty on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:23.750 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.086$ other types of learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:25.090 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.390$ and so this dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:26.390 --> 01:04:27.040 perceived salience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:27.040 --> 01:04:28.996 perceived failings is influenced by novelty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:29.000 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.630$ so anything that changes novelty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:30.630 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.260$ will change this as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:32.260 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.432$ and so it's really important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:34.432 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.880$ these novelty based learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:35.950 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.064$ things on these both slow and fast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:38.064 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.760$ timescales in a way that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:39.760 --> 01:04:40.378 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:40.378 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.232$ consistent with what people have seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:42.232 --> 01:04:43.669 with microdialysis and voltammetry,

01:04:43.670 --> 01:04:46.250 but in a more you are able to more granularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}04{:}46.308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}48.070$ relate them with this, But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

01:04:48.070 --> 01:04:50.320 you don't have the amount, so I kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

01:04:50.320 --> 01:04:52.085 you know, it's hard because there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

01:04:52.085 --> 01:04:53.570 like calibration like you can't say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:04:53.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.820$ oh, this is the amount,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:04:54.820 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.521$ and I think that's where I hesitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:04:56.521 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.567$ a little bit to make these really

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:04:58.567 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.142$ strong conclusions about like what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:00.150 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.214$ It is, I know that it's changed from

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}05{:}02.214 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}03.890$ the minute one of this session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:03.890 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.018$ The question is like exactly what is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:06.020 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.980$ But there are those changes and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

01:05:07.980 --> 01:05:09.758 that's a great point that people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:09.760 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.118$ I think a lot of people have gone into

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:12.118 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.706$ these kind of optical measurements and they.

 $01:05:14.710 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.901$ That was ignored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

01:05:15.901 --> 01:05:18.680 but they're not necessarily rooted in these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:18.680 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.476$ like microdialysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

01:05:19.476 --> 01:05:20.670 Will Tanistry fields,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:20.670 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.908$ and so they don't understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

01:05:22.908 --> 01:05:26.133 there has been a ton of work parsing

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:26.133 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.203$ what these tonic changes mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:28.210 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.658$ How tonic dopamine is regulated relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}05{:}30.658 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}33.370$ to like fast release like the domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01:05:33.370 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.350$ transporters? My favorite protein because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

01:05:35.350 --> 01:05:38.932 I guess the question I guess I'm asking is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01:05:38.932 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.739$ would you see some more reward evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01{:}05{:}41.739 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}44.641$ of more reward prediction error if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

01:05:44.641 --> 01:05:47.061 you somehow subtracted what the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01:05:47.070 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.950$ Basil Change is an are you missing some

01:05:49.950 --> 01:05:52.110 of that? Because your tonic salience?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

01:05:52.110 --> 01:05:55.441 I mean, you started out talking a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

01:05:55.441 --> 01:05:58.228 how dopamine could be doing this and that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01:05:58.230 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.470$ but it also could be doing all of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

01:06:01.470 --> 01:06:03.630 You know it's not mutually exclusive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

01:06:03.630 --> 01:06:04.710 It could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:04.710 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.510$ It could be let me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:06.510 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.806$ I do have a data that answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}06{:}08.806 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}10.650$ that question because actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:10.650 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.625$ really astute reviewer asked us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}06{:}12.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}16.728$ Now I need to find it. It wasn't me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:16.730 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.476$ They they they asked us saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:18.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.524$ oh, there it is, we did this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:20.530 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.276$ so this is actually a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:22.280 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.153$ really good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:23.153 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.608$ Why is this coming up?

01:06:24.610 --> 01:06:27.238 Oh I'm like not looking at the right file.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:06:27.240 --> 01:06:29.580 Maybe if I can get it in like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:06:29.580 --> 01:06:31.335 2 seconds I'm going to write,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:31.335 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.105$ But basically we did what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:33.105 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.829$ your question is a good one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}06{:}34.830 \to 01{:}06{:}37.458$ I think one of the things that they ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:37.460 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.920$ which is a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:06:38.920 --> 01:06:40.380 We'd already been thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:40.380 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.698$ this so we were like, OK, cool was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:06:42.698 --> 01:06:44.700 If these changes in baseline are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}06{:}44.766 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}46.656$ reason that we don't see changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:06:46.660 --> 01:06:50.635 In in a, let's see file new release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:50.640 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.420$ All these will be in here now. Insert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:55.420 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.448$ Side OK. OK, So what we did here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:01.450 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.598$ Is we had that repeated shock

01:07:03.598 --> 01:07:05.030 experiment and their question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:05.096 --> 01:07:06.979 was kind of what yours is like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:06.980 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.248$ Well, maybe the difference is the baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}09.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}11.434$ like the baseline is changing over time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:11.434 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.895$ and so we calculated the shocks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:13.895 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.093$ the original stuff I showed from like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:16.161 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.142$ a 2 second window before the event

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:18.142 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.252$ and then we went back and calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}20.252 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}22.523$ all the shocks from a global baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:22.523 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.847$ at the very beginning of each trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:24.850 \longrightarrow 01:07:26.800$ And what we found is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:26.800 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.100$ the data is correlated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:28.100 \longrightarrow 01:07:29.296$ like very highly correlated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:29.296 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.110$ And if we look at the baseline change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:32.110 --> 01:07:33.965 Over that trial it is not changing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:33.970 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.825$ so it can't explain all of it.

01:07:35.830 --> 01:07:36.571 Like I understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:36.571 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.922$ I do agree that there is a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:38.922 \longrightarrow 01:07:40.602$ baseline stuff that's this where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:40.602 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.029$ are like kind of taking out here that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:43.029 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.826$ think does paint a more complex picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:44.826 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.965$ but I think for a lot of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:46.965 --> 01:07:48.340 things that would say OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:48.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.930$ this is definitely not our PE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:49.930 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.562$ The baseline is not the factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:51.562 --> 01:07:52.860 that's driving all of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:52.860 --> 01:07:54.390 but I think that's something actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:54.390 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.714$ that people should be thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}55.714 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}57.268$ about in these papers and everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:57.268 --> 01:07:58.928 does imaging now and they're all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:58.928 \longrightarrow 01:08:00.040$ doing everything is baseline,

 $01:08:00.040 \longrightarrow 01:08:01.415$ But there's like slower changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:01.415 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.229$ that are totally left out of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:03.230 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.904$ They're going to change the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:04.904 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.020$ you interpret the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:06.020 \longrightarrow 01:08:07.956$ But that's I mean it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:07.960 \longrightarrow 01:08:09.430$ It will look into this more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:09.430 \longrightarrow 01:08:10.880$ 'cause I think maybe it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:10.880 \longrightarrow 01:08:12.926$ All of that is totally reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}08{:}12.930 \longrightarrow 01{:}08{:}14.722$ Explanation and it might be like nice at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:08:14.722 --> 01:08:16.376 a different synapses and the same area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}08{:}16.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}17.990$ and so like how to parse those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:17.990 \longrightarrow 01:08:18.910$ I think it's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:21.240 \longrightarrow 01:08:23.114$ Last question from Rick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:08:23.114 --> 01:08:24.660 The outstanding thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:24.660 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.585$ Very much so this is I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:08:26.585 --> 01:08:28.298 sure exactly this question,

 $01:08:28.300 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.280$ but since there's so much regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:30.280 \longrightarrow 01:08:32.576$ and release at terminals Strike and dip

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:32.576 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.412$ compared to mean cell body activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:34.420 \longrightarrow 01:08:36.346$ do you think that other neurotransmitters

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:36.346 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.636$ responsible for the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:08:37.636 --> 01:08:39.216 components of your learning model

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:39.216 \longrightarrow 01:08:41.100$ and like dopamine release the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:41.163 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.792$ result and integration of those?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:42.792 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.726$ And can you speak this one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:44.726 \longrightarrow 01:08:47.296$ I can think of right off the bat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:08:47.300 --> 01:08:49.498 So one thing we think is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:49.498 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.508$ important for this is acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}51.508 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}53.516$ regulation of dopamine release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:53.520 \longrightarrow 01:08:55.806$ We we have started to do some of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:55.806 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.145$ where we're starting on the slice level

 $01:08:58.145 \longrightarrow 01:08:59.997$ trying to workout these parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}59.997 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}02.212$ because it's like calling regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:02.212 \longrightarrow 01:09:04.318$ of domain releases actually really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:04.318 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.958$ kind of cool regulatory mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:05.958 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.792$ because it's one of the few that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:08.792 \longrightarrow 01:09:10.617$ robustly releases domain from terminals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:10.620 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.720$ totally independent of the semantic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:12.720 --> 01:09:15.037 And you can even get this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:15.037 --> 01:09:16.550 isolated terminals and slices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:16.550 --> 01:09:19.350 And so we think that there is probably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:19.350 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.090$ you know, maybe it's the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:21.090 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.534$ Maybe it's both questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:22.534 --> 01:09:23.978 Maybe Domain was released.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}09{:}23.980 --> 01{:}09{:}25.790$ In response to RP signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:25.790 \longrightarrow 01:09:27.230$ but also attentional signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:27.230 \longrightarrow 01:09:29.030$ that are coming from these,

01:09:29.030 --> 01:09:31.790 maybe like that's the first thing I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}09{:}31.790 \longrightarrow 01{:}09{:}34.090$ of a cetylcholine is like attention arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:34.090 \longrightarrow 01:09:35.534$ but here's the thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:35.534 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.978$ Those are all integrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:36.980 --> 01:09:39.140 What the domain signature finally is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:39.140 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.373$ and so I think that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:41.373 --> 01:09:43.470 probably both in some contexts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:43.470 --> 01:09:43.859 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:43.859 --> 01:09:45.415 It's probably both acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:45.415 \dashrightarrow 01:09:47.360$ regulated domain release and RPE

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}09{:}47.418 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}49.093$ regulated cymatic activity that leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:49.093 \longrightarrow 01:09:51.419$ to this kind of saliency based term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:51.420 --> 01:09:53.220 One thing I'll tell you some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:53.220 \longrightarrow 01:09:55.889$ ants about it as we see pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:55.889 --> 01:09:57.290 interesting sex differences.

 $01:09:57.290 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.385$ In terminal regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:58.385 --> 01:10:00.210 mechanisms through the A4 beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:00.210 \longrightarrow 01:10:01.670$ two containing nicotinic receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:01.670 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.495$ that are on those terminals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:03.500 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.100$ and So what we're trying to do first

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:06.100 \longrightarrow 01:10:08.627$ is outline the mechanism or how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:08.627 \longrightarrow 01:10:10.895$ is different and then take that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:10.895 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.660$ model into this and say do those

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:13.660 \longrightarrow 01:10:14.841$ differences predict differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:14.841 \longrightarrow 01:10:16.405$ in these learning parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}16.405 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}18.829$ because it's really hard to get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:10:18.830 --> 01:10:20.625 Don't mean terminal regulation by

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}20.625 \rightarrow 01{:}10{:}22.420$ acetylcholine in vivo because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}22.475 \longrightarrow 01{:}10{:}24.245$ your calling regulates other cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:24.245 \longrightarrow 01:10:26.490$ types that also regulate dopamine release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:26.490 \longrightarrow 01:10:28.400$ So it regulates GABA release.

01:10:28.400 --> 01:10:30.280 That regulates terminals and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}30.280 \to 01{:}10{:}32.835$ like without some sort of like biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:32.835 \longrightarrow 01:10:35.149$ system that you know is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:10:35.150 --> 01:10:37.340 It's really hard to isolate just

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:37.340 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.938$ the effects of cetyl choline from

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:39.938 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.593$ the effects of acetylcholine media

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:10:42.593 --> 01:10:44.186 dopamine terminal regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:44.190 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.490$ I hope tools come along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:45.490 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.790$ There's like darts and stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:46.790 \longrightarrow 01:10:47.570$ which are awe some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}47.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}49.390$ Those are coming and like we'll see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:49.390 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.397$ But like anyway I'm like this is a that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}51.397 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}53.550$ a great question I'm excited about so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

01:10:54.730 --> 01:10:56.802 Well thank you were coming up to 11:30

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

01:10:56.802 --> 01:10:58.838 and we peppered you with questions

 $01:10:58.838 \longrightarrow 01:11:01.016$ we really appreciate the great talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01{:}11{:}01.081 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}03.153$ and the time that you spent with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:03.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.281$ Thank you to everyone for your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:05.281 \longrightarrow 01:11:07.718$ and we hope to hear more about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

01:11:07.718 --> 01:11:09.480 next steps in the research. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:09.480 \longrightarrow 01:11:10.980$ thank you guys so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:10.980 \longrightarrow 01:11:12.490$ These questions were awe some man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:12.490 \longrightarrow 01:11:13.995$ I had a great time

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01{:}11{:}13.995 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}15.199$ chatting with every body so

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:15.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:16.607$ thanks. Thanks Erin.