WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:11:21.7920000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.91492754

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.290$ Maybe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75053555

 $00:00:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.365$ Very welcome to visiting lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.75053555

 $00:00:09.365 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.820$ series Grand Round series today

NOTE Confidence: 0.75053555

 $00:00:11.904 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.244$ we're thrilled to have Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.75053555

 $00:00:14.244 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.360$ Cason from Stanford with US, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75053555

 $00{:}00{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.288$ Doctor teams will introduce her in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75053555

 $00{:}00{:}21.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.268$ just a minute. I just wanted to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:00:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.615$ Thank everybody for thoughtful feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:00:30.615 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.280$ about our prior grand rounds presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:00:34.280 --> 00:00:38.832 An and kind of a lively and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:00:38.832 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.209$ important discussion about about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}00{:}42.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.049$ presentation from Doctor Sattel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:00:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.056$ And with feedback that we've received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:00:48.056 --> 00:00:50.920 where taking a number of steps,

 $00:00:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.244$ including looking at the composition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}00{:}54.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.542$ the visiting Lecture Series Committee and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:00:57.542 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.014$ some of the practices of that committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.666$ I think these changes will be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}01{:}03.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.900$ helpful for our Department. In the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:01:07.900 --> 00:01:11.368 Hoping our grand rounds venue be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:11.368 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.002$ even more reflective of the efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}01{:}15.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.027$ of our Department to develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:01:18.030 --> 00:01:22.600 Community. But that is both diverse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.016$ equitable and inclusive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}01{:}24.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.847$ so I just want to thank every body for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:27.847 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.499$ the feedback that we received and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:01:30.500 --> 00:01:36.593 And with that Jack, once you take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.780$ Thanks John.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.718$ I'm very pleased today to welcome

00:01:40.718 --> 00:01:43.036 Doctor Deborah Case and to give the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}01{:}43.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.974$ annual lecture for the Division of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}01{:}44.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.520$ Prevention and Community Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:01:46.520 --> 00:01:48.465 in the Department of Psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.280$ Doctor Cason is professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}01{:}50.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.728$ psychiatry and behavioral Sciences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:01:51.728 --> 00:01:53.872 in the section on bubbled mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:53.872 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.088$ health and population Sciences at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}01{:}56.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.800$ Stanford University Medical Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:01:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.780$ She's leading researcher on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}01{:}59.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.364$ Inter relationship of trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:01.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.766$ PTSD and alcohol use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}06$ --> $00{:}02{:}04{.}511$ Doctor Kayson received her PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}02{:}04.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.627$ in clinical psychology from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:06.627 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.752$ University of Missouri and completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}02{:}08.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.049$ a clinical internship and postdoc

 $00:02:11.049 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.304$ at the University of Washington.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:02:13.310 --> 00:02:15.266 She then joined the Washington faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:02:15.266 --> 00:02:17.376 in the Department of Psychiatry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:17.376 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.231$ Behavioral Sciences and founded a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:19.231 \dashrightarrow 00:02:21.628$ program to develop and test more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:21.628 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.855$ iaccessible interventions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:22.855 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.491$ individuals with mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:02:24.500 --> 00:02:26.370 symptoms following trauma in 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:02:26.370 --> 00:02:29.778 Doctor Cason joined the Stanford faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}02{:}29.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.441$ Doctor Caseness conducted critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:02:31.441 --> 00:02:34.360 studies on the treatment of PTSD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:34.432 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.826$ or substance use across a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:36.826 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.624$ of populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:02:37.630 --> 00:02:39.690 Sexual minority women, Native Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.932$ sexual assault survivors,

00:02:40.932 --> 00:02:42.996 torture survivors, active duty military,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:42.996 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.468$ and in a variety of settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.540$ such as in primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.504$ rural communities, an internationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}02{:}49.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.450$ her research on PTSD and substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:02:52.521 --> 00:02:54.903 use is also focused on understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:54.903 \dashrightarrow 00:02:57.368$ how PTSD and substance use may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:57.368 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.580$ influence one another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:02:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.065$ Doctor Kacian is the author of over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:01.065 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.281$ 130 publications and her research has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:03.281 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.447$ been funded by the National Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:03:05.447 --> 00:03:07.719 of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:03:07.720 --> 00:03:09.630 National Institute on Drug Abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}03{:}09.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.154$ National Institute on Minority

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

00:03:11.154 --> 00:03:12.678 Health and Health Disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.960$ the Department of Defense, Aquarian USAID.

 $00:03:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.102$ She is also the immediate past

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00{:}03{:}17.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.530$ president of the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:18.596 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.236$ Society for Traumatic Stress Studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:20.236 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.914$ and a Fellow of the Association for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:22.914 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.866$ Behavioral in Cognitive Therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.348$ The title of her talk today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:27.348 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.587$ is comfortably numb.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.810$ Research on the etiology and treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.989$ comorbid PTSD and alcohol use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366192

 $00:03:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.780$ Doctor kayson

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00{:}03{:}36.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.512$ thank you so much for that levely

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:03:39.512 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.527$ introduction and thank you all for

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00{:}03{:}41.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.943$ inviting me and for giving me a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:03:43.943 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.575$ bit of your time for this conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:03:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.806$ So just to start us off,

 $00:03:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.435$ I want to contextualize PTSD an why

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:03:51.435 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.424$ my career is focused on this around 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:03:54.424 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.628$ to 90% of Americans have experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:03:56.628 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.860$ dramatic stress things like car accidents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:03:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.720$ as sault, child abuse and combat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

00:04:00.720 --> 00:04:02.946 At some point during their lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.110$ about 20% have experienced a

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.710$ traumatic event within the past year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.924$ And about 25 million Americans have

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:09.924 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.380$ PTSD and that was pre pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00{:}04{:}12.380 --> 00{:}04{:}13.378 \ {\rm Left \ untreated},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:13.378 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.370$ about half of those with PTSD don't recover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

00:04:17.370 --> 00:04:19.785 In 2000, PTSD was estimated to cost

00:04:19.785 --> 00:04:22.678 the US economy about \$40 billion per

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

00:04:22.678 --> 00:04:24.903 year and increased healthcare costs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.101$ decreased worker productivity

 $00:04:26.101 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.689$ and prescription drug costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.264$ So this is a very expensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:30.264 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.039$ illness for us as a society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

00:04:33.040 --> 00:04:35.476 When we look beyond the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.275$ traumatic events and associated mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:37.275 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.452$ health consequences are a major contributor

NOTE Confidence: 0.914051059999999

 $00:04:39.452 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.390$ to the global Burden of Disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:04:43.700 --> 00:04:46.227 So, just briefly, in terms of disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00{:}04{:}46.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.390$ I do have a commercial disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00{:}04{:}48.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.483$ I have consulted as a Advisory Board

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00:04:50.483 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.360$ member for Jazz Pharmaceuticals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00{:}04{:}52.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.712$ I also have a book published on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:04:54.712 --> 00:04:56.125 Cognitive Processing Therapy from

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00{:}04{:}56.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.177$ Elsevier that in theory someday I

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00:04:58.177 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.830$ will receive royalties from and I do

 $00:05:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.398$ occasionally receive honorarium for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00{:}05{:}02.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.273$ conducting workshops or trainings on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00:05:04.273 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.717$ fighting their processing therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:05:05.720 --> 00:05:08.444 When I remember to build people

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00:05:08.444 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.160$ for having done the training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:05:11.160 --> 00:05:13.574 Mom, I also want to thank all

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00:05:13.574 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.606$ of my collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:05:14.610 --> 00:05:17.130 This body of work that I'm going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:05:17.130 --> 00:05:19.555 talking about today really has taken a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:05:19.555 --> 00:05:21.860 village of wonderful smart collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:05:21.860 --> 00:05:24.956 many of whom are far smarter than I am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00:05:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.375$ and I want to thank my Thunder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00{:}05{:}27.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.468$ Is that the National Institutes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

 $00:05:29.468 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.580$ Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86412

00:05:31.580 --> 00:05:33.235 National Institutes of Drug Abuse?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:05:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.430$ But when we talk about PTSD,

 $00:05:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.342$ PTSD is far more likely to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00{:}05{:}41.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.409$ with comorbidity than by itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:05:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.912$ so respondents with PTSD or substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

00:05:45.912 --> 00:05:48.393 more likely to develop their anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:05:48.393 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.050 \mod$, and substance disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:05:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.711$ than those without PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:05:51.711 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.766$ And what's interesting is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:05:53.766 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.280$ is not a factor of trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00{:}05{:}56.280 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}05{:}57.940$ Exposure really seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:05:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.430$ be a factor of active PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:06:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.195$ which is where you see the elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00{:}06{:}03.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.210$ risk of secondary disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00{:}06{:}05.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.394$ Now I'm going to be focusing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:06:07.394 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.215$ bit on relationships between PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:06:09.215 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.265$ and substance use because they're

 $00:06:11.265 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.159$ commonly comorbid as we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00{:}06{:}13.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.259$ to discuss in just a second and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00{:}06{:}15.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.285$ their associated with a much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315282

 $00:06:17.285 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.010$ severe course for both disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:06:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.596$ So if we look at people with PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

00:06:24.596 --> 00:06:26.800 out of every hundred, about 52,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00{:}06{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.247$ so a little more than half of an alcohol use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:06:30.247 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.479$ disorder and 35 have a drug use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00{:}06{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.200$ When we look at some more recent analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:06:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.535$ this is from recent epidemiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:06:39.535 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.192$ data from the new Spark data set that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

00:06:43.192 --> 00:06:45.664 half of people with lifetime PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00{:}06{:}45.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.652$ also have lifetime alcohol use to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:06:48.652 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.147$ disorder when look among treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:06:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.480$ seekers with substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00{:}06{:}53.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.800$ about 60% have comorbid PTSD.

 $00:06:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.664$ When we look within past year PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:06:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.020$ What we find is about 1/3 of people have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:07:03.104 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.086$ type of comorbid substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:07:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.090$ either an alcohol use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:07:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.694$ a substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086159

 $00:07:09.694 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.100$ severe drug use disorder, or both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00:07:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.474$ Now, one of the challenges with this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00:07:19.474 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.469$ that when these two disorders Co occur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00{:}07{:}22.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.046$ patients struggle much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00{:}07{:}24.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.914$ So PTSD and substance use is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00:07:26.914 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.917$ with an earlier onset of the substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00{:}07{:}29.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.367$ use disorder and more years of use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00{:}07{:}32.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.345$ They also associated with more

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

00:07:34.345 --> 00:07:35.932 severe symptoms, fire, suicidality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

00:07:35.932 --> 00:07:37.120 more, psychiatric comorbidity,

00:07:37.120 --> 00:07:38.890 and worse treatment opens on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00:07:38.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.589$ that is both in terms of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00{:}07{:}41.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.139$ reductions in substance use and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.84543985

 $00:07:44.139 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.629$ likelihood to drop out of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:07:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.996$ So why do these two disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:07:50.996 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.280$ tend to go together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:07:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.820$ Well, there are several different theories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:07:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.748$ The one theory is a high risk model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00{:}07{:}58.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.790$ Which is that if you are using substances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

00:08:01.790 --> 00:08:04.070 it increases your risk of experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.162$ a traumatic event,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:05.162 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.982$ and that in turn influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:06.982 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.008$ your risk of developing PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00{:}08{:}09.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.050$ And there is data that supports this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

00:08:12.050 --> 00:08:14.418 So for example, if you look at research

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:14.418 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.055$ around the relationship between

 $00:08:16.055 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.510$ alcohol use and sexual victimization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00{:}08{:}18.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.768$ be if you look at some data that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00{:}08{:}21.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.251$ collected at a daily level on days

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

00:08:24.251 --> 00:08:26.869 of why drinking so binge drinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.150$ what we find is for women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.550$ their risk of being sexually assaulted

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.760$ on that day increases about 9.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

00:08:33.760 --> 00:08:36.344 If all this compared to non drinking days

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

00:08:36.344 --> 00:08:39.296 when we look at these of lighter drinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00{:}08{:}39.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.060$ what we find is there's a fourfold increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.692$ So the idea with this models

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:43.692 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.652$ you might be in situations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00{:}08{:}45.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.064$ settings where you're more at risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:48.064 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.679$ for experiencing trauma that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.756$ Does it mean that the substance

 $00:08:51.756 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.140$ used caused the trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

00:08:53.140 --> 00:08:55.210 but it may have left you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88738626

 $00:08:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.245$ slightly more vulnerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:08:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.016$ Now the model that has the most empirical

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:01.016 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.218$ support and also has been investigated the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:03.218 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.799$ most often is the self medication model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.320$ which is that people use alcohol to cope

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

00:09:08.320 --> 00:09:11.291 with PTSD and this one is is a model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:11.291 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.687$ is frequently endorsed in popular culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

00:09:13.690 --> 00:09:15.340 Many people have this belief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00{:}09{:}15.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.727$ I had a flight that was cancelled

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:17.727 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.618$ once an everybody in the line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

00:09:19.620 --> 00:09:21.588 for example, was saying, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

00:09:21.590 --> 00:09:23.569 let's go get a drink, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:23.569 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.214$ That's an example of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00{:}09{:}25.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.530$ concept of self medication.

 $00:09:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.550$ This is distressing to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.478$ And so I will call for alcohol use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

00:09:31.480 --> 00:09:34.440 The Despond slept with this model is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.068$ alcohol use is negatively reinforcing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00{:}09{:}37.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.342$ What that means is so let's say this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

00:09:40.342 --> 00:09:43.950 person has a memory of a tremendous event,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87947947

 $00:09:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.100$ right for distress goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895467

 $00{:}09{:}48.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50.180$ She then thinks about drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895467

00:09:50.180 --> 00:09:53.880 Perhaps she has a drink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895467

 $00:09:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.950$ And their anxiety immediately decreases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895467

 $00:09:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.606$ so the negative reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895467

 $00{:}09{:}57.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.676$ leads to reductions in anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895467

 $00:09:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.582$ Now, unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895467

 $00:10:00.582 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.739$ what that does is it increases your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8895467

00:10:03.739 --> 00:10:05.684 likelihood of returning to drinking

 $00:10:05.684 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.370$ in the future with the same queues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00{:}10{:}11.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.555$ So you can see then there's this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:14.555 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.390$ reduction in drinking urges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.898$ Now there's another theory that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:10:18.898 --> 00:10:20.570 slightly less empirical support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.078$ It's also been looked at less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:23.078 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.750$ frequently than self medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.174$ which is the mutual maintenance model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:27.174 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.563$ and the idea with this is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:30.563 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.683$ two disorders maintain one another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00{:}10{:}32.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.754$ So with PTSD that leads to both coping

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:35.754 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.547$ beliefs and also acute cravings, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:38.547 \dashrightarrow 00:10:41.049$ increases the likelihood to use substance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.738$ Symptoms of substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:10:42.738 --> 00:10:44.004 Withdrawal can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:44.004 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.650$ resemble symptoms of PTSD.

00:10:45.650 --> 00:10:47.860 You could have increased anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00{:}10{:}47.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.918$ You can have an increased sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:10:49.918 --> 00:10:51.697 disturbance and that can increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:10:51.697 --> 00:10:54.105 PTSD symptoms or can look like PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:10:54.110 --> 00:10:55.494 You also get avoidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:55.494 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.878$ of trauma related cues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:10:56.880 --> 00:10:59.309 There is some data supporting this theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:10:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.339$ but somewhat less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:00.339 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.147$ and the relationship seems to be less strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:03.147 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.555$ than what we see with self medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.906$ Now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:05.906 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.636$ Why are these theories important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:11:07.640 --> 00:11:07.987 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:11:07.987 --> 00:11:10.069 they may drive approaches for treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.220$ and that's what we're going to be

 $00:11:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.100$ talking about in most of the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:11:16.100 --> 00:11:18.350 Now I do want to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:11:18.350 --> 00:11:19.850 that it's also possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.370$ and in fact likely that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.294$ third variables that increase risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:11:24.294 --> 00:11:26.598 for both substance use and PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.480$ so that might be helping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

00:11:28.480 --> 00:11:30.350 Skills deficits are coping skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.683$ strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:30.683 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.014$ It might be a genetic or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00{:}11{:}33.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.480$ committed biological vulnerability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.355$ and that might affect risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323241

 $00:11:36.355 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.480$ for both disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:11:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.340$ So let's move into talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:11:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.453$ about treatment and what do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:11:43.453 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.228$ know right now about treatment?

 $00:11:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.846$ So there are several ways that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

00:11:47.846 --> 00:11:50.386 go forward with treating Co occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:11:50.386 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.656$ PTSD and substance use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:11:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.764$ The one option is to do an integrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:11:55.764 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.994$ treatment where you treat the substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:11:57.994 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.869$ used in the PTSD at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.358$ These might be treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

00:12:02.358 --> 00:12:03.474 life seeking safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

00:12:03.480 --> 00:12:06.696 which I'm going to talk about in just

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00{:}12{:}06.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09.686$ a second or two months like hope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.290$ Where the therapies are integrated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.890$ there are some advantages to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

00:12:12.890 --> 00:12:14.170 these types of treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.410$ There is some data that patients prefer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.865$ The concept of integrated treatment

 $00:12:18.865 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.760$ where they're getting skills for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00{:}12{:}21.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.320$ I think there are a couple of challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.220$ with these approaches as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.935$ though often they are longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:27.935 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.650$ than the sole target treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.086$ They may be a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:32.086 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.770$ complicated for providers to learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.470$ They have to learn multiple behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00{:}12{:}36.470 --> 00{:}12{:}40.616$ Farm and drop out his eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.846$ So and what we find is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

00:12:42.850 --> 00:12:45.810 at least in some of the research studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.242$ the integrated treatments do

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:47.242 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.390$ not always work better than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00{:}12{:}49.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.008$ single treatments in PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

00:12:51.010 --> 00:12:53.607 So this is a relatively recent paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.621$ not super recent.

00:12:54.621 --> 00:12:56.643 This was looking at seeking safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00{:}12{:}56.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.034$ as compared to treatment as usual

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:12:59.034 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.024$ as compared to relapse prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:13:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.620$ which is a substance use oriented treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:13:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.098$ And what we think of is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

00:13:06.098 --> 00:13:07.620 relapse prevention work pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:13:07.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.188$ well in addressing PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87675273

 $00:13:09.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.040$ slightly better than seeking safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.960$ It did not significantly better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.736$ We also did not find that seeking safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00{:}13{:}19.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.039$ worked better than relapse prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:13:22.040 --> 00:13:24.376 In addressing the substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00{:}13{:}24.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.296$ use symptoms in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.602$ So it may not be always the best option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.740$ Now another option is to do

 $00:13:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.805$ two different treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.880$ so it used to be that back in the old

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:13:37.965 --> 00:13:40.870 days when I was in Graduate School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.658$ we were taught that what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:13:42.658 --> 00:13:45.574 need to do is have the person go

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:13:45.574 --> 00:13:47.629 have their substance use treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:47.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.115$ stabilize them and then treat the PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.256$ So the idea of sequential care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00{:}13{:}52.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.010$ often the rapists are quite concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:13:54.010 --> 00:13:56.176 that patients are going to relapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:56.176 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.956$ or going to have difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00{:}13{:}57.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.380$ tolerating a PTSD treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:13:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.190$ and so that's where some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:01.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.000$ of this idea comes from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.538$ Now the challenge with this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.520$ Is that the data around it?

00:14:07.520 --> 00:14:10.866 This is what's often seen in practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:14:10.870 --> 00:14:13.970 Is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:14:13.970 --> 00:14:15.200 For some patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.250$ with their saying is look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:14:17.250 --> 00:14:19.300 it's my PTSD that's triggering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:14:19.300 --> 00:14:20.940 my desire to use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:14:20.940 --> 00:14:24.220 and so you're asking me to not use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00{:}14{:}24.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.680$ but you're not giving me coping

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.958$ skills and so that can be a challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:14:29.960 --> 00:14:32.010 But data on whether substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.650$ use also reduces reduces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.072$ PTSD is a bit mixed at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

00:14:36.072 --> 00:14:38.160 point in the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.729$ and there is some data that unremitted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667833

 $00:14:40.729 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.980$ PTSD is a predictor of later relapse.

00:14:43.980 --> 00:14:45.688 Following substance use treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00{:}14{:}49.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.694$ Yeah, So what we know is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

00:14:51.694 --> 00:14:53.903 people with PTSD do appear to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:14:53.903 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.798$ have some out worse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

00:14:55.800 --> 00:14:57.580 Generally in substance use treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

00:14:57.580 --> 00:14:59.956 although I do want to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00{:}14{:}59.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.481$ substance use treatments defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:01.481 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.646$ fairly broadly in these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

00:15:03.650 --> 00:15:05.078 so it's not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

00:15:05.078 --> 00:15:06.149 always manualized treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.397$ but we do see that people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:08.397 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.217$ PTSD and substance use treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:10.217 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.575$ have higher rates of re admission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

00:15:12.580 --> 00:15:14.484 Lower adherence, mayor dropout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

00:15:14.484 --> 00:15:16.864 They have shorter periods of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00{:}15{:}16.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.219$ abstinence and higher use of services.

00:15:19.220 --> 00:15:20.966 For compassion, for patience as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00{:}15{:}20.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.686$ with this approach is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

00:15:22.686 --> 00:15:24.180 can get bounced around right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.516$ So they try to get treatment for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.382$ They are told they need to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:28.382 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.020$ the substance use treated first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.520$ but the substance use provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.918$ may not know what to do with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8452929

 $00:15:33.918 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.857$ trauma and that can be a challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88496494

 $00:15:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.420$ Now another option is to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.88496494

 $00:15:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.395$ two different treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88496494

 $00:15:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.444$ so to treat the PTSD and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.88496494

 $00:15:43.444 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.320$ perhaps be the substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88496494

 $00:15:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.595$ If it's still an area of concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:15:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.316$ So there is data that treating

00:15:52.316 --> 00:15:54.913 the PTSD directly does appear to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:15:54.913 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.857$ reduce substance use symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:15:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.142$ And there hasn't been data supporting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:15:59.142 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.590$ idea that people have yatra genic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}16{:}01.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.957$ Now I do want to put in a caveat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:03.957 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.949$ that these studies also still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:05.949 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.009$ have high rates of dropout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.714$ which is problem one of the PTSD substance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:16:10.720 --> 00:16:13.396 Use treatment literature as you're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:13.396 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.418$ to see as I show you some later data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.702$ But what I want to do now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:19.702 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.250$ is actually 50 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.050$ just a little bit and talk about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:24.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.467$ research that crazy Simpson and I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:16:26.470 --> 00:16:28.899 Basically was the Pi for this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.054$ and this was actually not designed

 $00:16:31.054 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.080$ to be clinical trial per say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.192$ This was actually an experimental study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}16{:}35.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.333$ to try to understand mechanisms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:16:37.333 --> 00:16:39.671 change in PTSD alcohol treatments to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:16:39.671 --> 00:16:42.125 to look at a very condensed treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.850$ and whether that could affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.570$ day-to-day symptoms as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}16{:}45.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.697$ whether skill use made a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.624$ Now the concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:48.624 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.780$ Find this study is when we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}16{:}50.853 \rightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.589$ about mechanisms of change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.599$ Often we will say here's the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:16:54.599 --> 00:16:56.932 and we may have theories about what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:56.932 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.690$ effective or not effective in the treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:16:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.723$ and then we do as we study the outcomes.

 $00:17:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.258$ But we don't tend to study the magic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}17{:}05.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.795$ in the middle to really evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:07.795 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.531$ whether that is what is contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:17:10.613 --> 00:17:12.968 to making the behavioral change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:17:12.970 --> 00:17:13.957 For this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:17:13.957 --> 00:17:16.260 we recruited 78 people who had comorbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:16.324 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.179$ PTSD and alcohol use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:17:18.180 --> 00:17:20.948 This was a fairly chronic and severe sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}17{:}20.950 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}17{:}23.026$ Most people had tried other treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}17{:}23.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.966$ We also had a fair amount who tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}17{:}25.966 \to 00{:}17{:}27.538$ residential treatment in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:17:27.540 --> 00:17:30.648 It was a mix of community members

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:30.648 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.536$ and veterans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}17{:}31.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.048$ 30 two people got cognitive restructuring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.884$ 26 data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:17:34.884 --> 00:17:35.718 Very brief,

 $00:17:35.718 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.969$ experiential acceptance intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.286$ and 20 had a nutrition control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:39.286 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.372$ who's teaching people about bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:41.372 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.240$ image portion portion sizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.030$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.190$ I'm going to mostly focus on the cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:17:47.268 --> 00:17:49.926 restructuring data for this talk now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.654$ The cognitive restructuring intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:51.654 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.378$ Actually all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:53.378 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.950$ interventions were quite brief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}17{:}54.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.102$ It was a 90 minute scripted intervention fit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:17:58.102 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.546$ off the basic skills of cognitive therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:18:01.550 --> 00:18:04.750 So how do you notice when something happens?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.855$ What you tell yourself and how you feel and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:07.855 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.148$ then some basic challenging of those beliefs.

 $00:18:11.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.866$ People also got for follow up 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}18{:}13.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.917$ minute booster sessions to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}18{:}15.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.947$ help them with skill maintenance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.750$ Light, brief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.950$ As an aside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.550$ we consulted a doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.950$ Reset was the developer of CPT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

00:18:23.950 --> 00:18:26.624 was a consultant on this study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:26.624 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.411$ she had quite a bit of skepticism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:29.411 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.751$ that we would see any changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:31.831 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.056$ in behavior with this brief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00{:}18{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.998$ In light attached with this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703456

 $00:18:35.998 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.967$ of clinical population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:18:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.708$ So here is what we found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

00:18:43.710 --> 00:18:47.130 so this was a daily diary study so people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:18:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.526$ used IVR to look at relationship between

00:18:50.526 --> 00:18:54.546 drinking PTSD and still use for about 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00{:}18{:}54.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57.026$ plus days following the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:18:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.024$ We also collected baseline data which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:00.024 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.500$ is controlled for in these analysis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.748$ get a sense of what they're drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:06.841 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.001$ was like and what their level of PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:10.001 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.144$ was like before the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:12.144 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.832$ So what you can see here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.400$ Yes that we had some some various effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.404$ We've done that alcohol consumption just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

00:19:19.404 --> 00:19:21.858 in terms of covariates was greater for men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.618$ which we would expect greater for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00{:}19{:}23.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.896$ those who are older and higher on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:25.896 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.288$ weekends compared to weekdays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.656$ None of that. Is a particular surprise.

00:19:29.660 --> 00:19:32.006 We found that heavier basement drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00{:}19{:}32.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.583$ was associated with more drinking on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:34.583 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.802$ given day during the follow up period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.150$ And having completed more coaching calls

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.706$ was associated with less drinking on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

00:19:41.706 --> 00:19:43.890 given day during the follow up period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.586$ we had a main effect for the cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:46.586 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.054$ restructuring condition compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:48.054 \dashrightarrow 00:19:50.256$ control and had a significant interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

00:19:50.260 --> 00:19:53.412 So what we found was for the cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:53.412 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.051$ restructuring group they had about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

00:19:55.051 --> 00:19:57.340 a 2\% decrease in drinking per day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:19:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.674$ as compared to control. That's 2%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

00:19:59.674 --> 00:20:01.959 Doesn't sound like very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

00:20:01.960 --> 00:20:04.319 Although again with a very light touch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:20:04.319 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.780$ intervention, it is quite pleasing.

 $00:20:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.867$ But what this means is that an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00{:}20{:}07.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.582$ individual who consumed 10 drinks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:20:09.582 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.775$ the day after receiving the cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:20:11.775 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.287$ restructuring intervention would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:20:13.287 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.152$ been down to 5 drinks during the final

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

00:20:16.152 --> 00:20:18.180 day of the follow up period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8385067

 $00:20:18.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.884$ and that is a notable decrease in drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:20:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.686$ What we thought was even more impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:20:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.642$ An interesting in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:20:28.642 --> 00:20:30.594 of informing our thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:20:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.995$ Was that we could see a clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00{:}20{:}33.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.450$ relationship between skill

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:20:35.542 --> 00:20:37.967 use and changes in drinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00{:}20{:}37.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.360$ so we were really interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:20:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.272$ in the Association requirement

00:20:42.272 --> 00:20:44.348 around mechanisms of change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00{:}20{:}44.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.598$ What we found was that using more

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:20:47.598 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.990$ cognitive restructuring coping

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:20:49.062 --> 00:20:51.452 skills was associated with even

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:20:51.452 --> 00:20:53.364 greater reductions in drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:20:53.364 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.804$ for the cognitive restructuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:20:55.804 --> 00:20:57.688 condition in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:20:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.585$ So these are some relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00{:}20{:}59.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02.170$ between skill use in our controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:21:02.170 --> 00:21:04.200 which is not entirely surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.195$ but really an Association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:06.195 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.668$ use of skills for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:21:08.668 --> 00:21:10.708 are actually taught the skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.850$ Now this is incredibly important

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.990$ because it identifies an active

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:21:15.062 --> 00:21:16.846 ingredient in treatments for

 $00:21:16.846 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.076$ PTSD and alcohol use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:21:19.080 --> 00:21:21.354 And demonstrates that you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:21.354 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.964$ an Association and the use of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:23.964 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.998$ skill and changes in alcohol use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.890$ This has led directly to a body

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:21:27.890 --> 00:21:29.819 of research trying to look at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:21:29.819 --> 00:21:31.144 higher dose of cognitive therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:31.144 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.083$ for the treatment of PTSD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

 $00:21:33.083 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.055$ alcohol use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91151

00:21:34.060 --> 00:21:36.364 which is what I'm going to focus on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:21:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.095$ So for those of you who are not familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

00:21:42.095 --> 00:21:44.140 with cognitive processing therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:21:44.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.868$ CPT is an evidence based therapy for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:21:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.621$ It's been evaluated more than 20 randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:21:49.621 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.597$ clinical trials and multiple other

00:21:51.597 --> 00:21:54.166 types of less rigorous trials as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:21:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.576$ It is a 12 session therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:21:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.292$ It can be delivered in groups or individually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:21:59.292 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.809$ and it is predominantly cognitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:22:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.006$ It's focused on trauma processing mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:22:04.006 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.421$ through the use of Socratic dialogue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00{:}22{:}06.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08.636$ or asking really good questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:22:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.152$ And I'm done helping the person evaluate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00{:}22{:}12.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.378$ shift beliefs about why the trauma happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:22:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.823$ As well as overgeneralized beliefs from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:22:17.823 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.288$ traumatic event to their present or future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:22:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.130$ We were particularly interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00{:}22{:}22.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.346$ looking at this therapy because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568764

 $00:22:24.346 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.254$ does not contain an exposure element.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852333399999999

 $00:22:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.448$ So the first today I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852333399999999

 $00:22:30.448 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.872$ talk about is in the talk about

 $00:22:32.872 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.444$ our longstanding collaboration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852333399999999

 $00{:}22{:}34.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.724$ research trial with a rural Native

NOTE Confidence: 0.852333399999999

 $00:22:36.724 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.514$ American tribe in Washington state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:22:40.890 --> 00:22:43.008 So, just to contextualize this research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:22:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.140$ study as we move into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:22:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.632$ I want to talk this briefly about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:22:47.632 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.969$ the concept of syndemic theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:22:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.124$ So within the context of historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:22:52.124 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.087$ trauma from high levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:22:54.087 --> 00:22:55.458 poverty and discrimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:22:55.460 --> 00:22:58.563 what you can see **** endemics of trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:22:58.563 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.849$ exposure, substance use in HIV risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:00.849 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.136$ behavior so it's endemic is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:03.136 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.981$ aggregation of concurrent or sequential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:23:05.043 --> 00:23:06.827 epidemics or disease clusters,

 $00:23:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.394$ which exacerbate the prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:08.394 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.958$ and burden of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.725$ So when we talk about comorbidity research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:12.725 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.122$ like the research I've been talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:23:15.122 --> 00:23:17.402 about with PTSD and substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}23{:}17.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.224$ we tend to focus on the boundaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:20.224 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.340$ and overlap of diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:23:22.340 --> 00:23:24.340 Syndemic theory focuses on communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}23{:}24.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.340$ that are experiencing Co occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:23:26.399 --> 00:23:28.403 epidemics that additively increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}23{:}28.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29.906$ negative health consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:23:29.910 --> 00:23:31.570 So for this community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}23{:}31.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.585$ what we see is PTSD concurrent with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:23:34.585 --> 00:23:37.707 HIV that leads to more rapid HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:23:37.707 --> 00:23:39.700 disease progression for survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.890$ greater costs and services and

 $00:23:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.442$ lower adherence to medical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}23{:}45.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.640$ Very few HIV interventions address some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}23{:}47.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.100$ of the risk factors such as trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.980$ exposure, mental health and substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.125$ An other researchers found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:55.125 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.047$ interventions that failed to do so have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:23:58.047 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.879$ poor rates of condom use in health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}24{:}00.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03.645$ Outcomes are worse for those with PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}24{:}03.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.610$ This image is an odds the boarding schools

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00{:}24{:}06.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.570$ which did affect this community as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:24:09.570 --> 00:24:11.150 were Native American children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

00:24:11.150 --> 00:24:13.125 were removed from their phones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:24:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.070$ isolated from their communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:24:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.368$ And were rates of child abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411079

 $00:24:18.368 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.900$ for actually extremely high.

 $00:24:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.472$ So this particular project was was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:24:24.472 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.900$ a community based participatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:24:25.957 --> 00:24:27.310 research project address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:24:27.310 --> 00:24:28.297 comma related symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:24:28.297 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.271$ and improve the health and well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:24:30.271 --> 00:24:32.143 being of Native American women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00{:}24{:}32.143 \to 00{:}24{:}33.631$ whose community initiative initiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:24:33.631 --> 00:24:35.303 so they approached University

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00{:}24{:}35.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.483$ of Washington at the Indigenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00{:}24{:}37.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.313$ Wellness Research Institute and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00{:}24{:}39.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.878$ asked for help with addressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:24:41.878 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.930$ trauma within their community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:24:43.930 --> 00:24:44.814 In partnership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:24:44.814 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.466$ we went through and talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:24:47.466 --> 00:24:49.033 various treatment options and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00{:}24{:}49.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.189$ they felt that CPT would be a

 $00:24:51.189 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.369$ good option for them based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00{:}24{:}53.369 \rightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.635$ international work that I had done

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:24:55.635 --> 00:24:57.910 with evaluating adapted CPT in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:24:57.910 --> 00:25:00.650 Northern and Southern Rock and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:25:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.750$ the Democratic Republic of Congo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:25:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.676$ So the idea behind this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:25:04.676 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.660$ is if we treat the PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:25:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.616$ do we see reductions in alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00:25:08.616 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.594$ and substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

 $00{:}25{:}09.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.328$ and can we also see reductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527862

00:25:11.328 --> 00:25:13.510 then in high risk sexual behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.390$ So for this particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00{:}25{:}18.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.742$ in part because it was the first clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:21.742 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.100$ trial to evaluate treatments for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

00:25:25.100 --> 00:25:26.840 Within the Native American community,

 $00:25:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.983$ to think about that for a minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00{:}25{:}29.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.559$ with this community is the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:32.559 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.219$ novel file in a very high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.251$ Collation we had 70 three women from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:38.251 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.480$ Pacific Northwest Travel Reservation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

00:25:40.480 --> 00:25:43.072 About 71% had a high school degree about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

00:25:43.072 --> 00:25:45.349 Path had a primary partner baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:45.349 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.124$ with high rates of palm exposure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:48.124 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.944$ substance use disorders which I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:49.944 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.852$ going to show you in just a sack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00{:}25{:}52.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.072$ About 35% of the individuals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

00:25:55.072 --> 00:25:56.937 this particular County or below

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

00:25:56.937 --> 00:25:59.069 the poverty line as compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:25:59.069 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.160$ 14% in the state of Washington.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:26:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.323$ So in terms of comics Ledger we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00{:}26{:}05.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.047$ had was about three and four had

00:26:08.047 --> 00:26:09.767 experienced child sexual abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:26:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.164$ about three and four reported lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

00:26:12.164 --> 00:26:14.794 physical assault and two out of every

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:26:14.794 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.732$ three met full criteria for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

00:26:16.740 --> 00:26:19.515 We did take subthreshold PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:26:19.515 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.735$ in this particular trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

00:26:21.740 --> 00:26:24.526 70% my criteria for substance abuse or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00{:}26{:}24.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.145$ dependence and 60 four reported binge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00{:}26{:}27.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.845$ drinking in terms of substance abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:26:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.412$ At 60% my criteria for dependence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:26:32.412 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.062$ and 10% for abused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00:26:34.062 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.042$ Predominantly alcohol with a smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.8649958

 $00{:}26{:}36.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.389$ subset that were misusing cannabis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

 $00:26:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.240$ So couple things to point out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

 $00:26:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.220$ In this we had about a 43% dropout from CPT.

 $00:26:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.920$ That's fairly comparable with other PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

 $00{:}26{:}50.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.074$ substance use trials and we had about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

 $00{:}26{:}53.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.120$ a 33% dropout for delayed treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

 $00:26:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.370$ We had much greater problems in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

 $00:26:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.644$ this study with loss to follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

00:26:59.644 --> 00:27:01.120 up around assessment measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

 $00:27:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.738$ even over a very brief follow-up period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

00:27:03.740 --> 00:27:06.064 I think in part because that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85942477

 $00{:}27{:}06.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.620$ piece of it was less compelling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.326$ So the dig into some of the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.507$ So this is the data on CPT

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:17.507 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.580$ as compared to the wait list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.708$ What we found out was that among the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00{:}27{:}21.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.391$ participants in the immediate intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:23.391 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.211$ condition as compared to participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

00:27:25.211 --> 00:27:27.429 in the wait list control condition,

 $00:27:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.750$ we had an 8 point greater post baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00{:}27{:}29.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.678$ reduction in PTSD symptoms severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00{:}27{:}31.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.885$ This is miss somewhat lower drop in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:33.885 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.579$ PTSD and then we see another CPT trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.404$ I think reflecting the level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

00:27:38.404 --> 00:27:40.510 severity of distress in the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.918$ we also found a dose effect where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

00:27:42.920 --> 00:27:45.988 Each additional CPT session

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:45.988 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.823$ attended was associated with 1.3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.002$ Greater reduction in PTSD symptoms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

00:27:52.002 --> 00:27:54.488 just to clarify everyone in the wait list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00:27:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.790$ Then received the PT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195491

 $00{:}27{:}55.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.160$ We didn't leave people dressed in white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:28:00.460 --> 00:28:02.452 In terms of our hypotheses around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:02.452 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.695$ substance use, what we saw was a

 $00:28:04.695 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.689$ significant decrease in alcohol use for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:06.689 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.412$ the people received, CPT announced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00{:}28{:}08.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.368$ There was a 1.4 greater reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:10.368 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.439$ in the frequency of alcohol use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:12.439 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.846$ and a .8 point greater reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00{:}28{:}14.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.730$ the frequency of illicit drug use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.804$ A smaller effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:28:17.804 --> 00:28:19.952 But we also have fewer people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:28:19.952 --> 00:28:21.329 using illicit drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.796$ We felt we had no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00{:}28{:}23.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.440$ differences in alcohol problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.785$ total sexual risk behaviors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:27.785 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.578$ rates of non condom protected sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:30.578 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.750$ and we saw fewer effects than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00{:}28{:}32.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.297$ we would have liked on HIV risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:35.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.284$ We did see again a dose effect for

 $00:28:38.284 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.514$ each additional CPT session attended

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00{:}28{:}40.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.334$ was associated with a .4 greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:43.334 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.369$ reduction in alcohol problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.403$ Now just to follow up on this where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:28:48.403 --> 00:28:51.317 are now with this body of research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:28:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.805$ we found that on average the women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:28:53.805 --> 00:28:56.332 attended about 6 missions and so based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:28:56.332 --> 00:28:58.892 on this right now what we're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:28:58.892 --> 00:29:02.108 at is the use of narrative exposure therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.594$ which does not involve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:03.594 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.707$ practice between sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.570$ It has no writing component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00{:}29{:}06.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.060$ It's been used extensively with refugees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.096$ and was developed predominantly for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:29:11.096 --> 00:29:13.266 use in low and middle income countries,

 $00:29:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.628$ and we're comparing that to motivational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:15.628 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.200$ interviewing versus skills training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:29:17.200 --> 00:29:20.590 To try to dig in a little bit more about how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.137$ how can we help get some at HIV risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:23.137 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.520$ behaviors down as well with this community?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.800$ And that trial is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.400$ I think about five artist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:29:28.400 --> 00:29:28.670 Spence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

00:29:28.670 --> 00:29:29.750 short of being completed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.682$ so I have answers for you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165198

 $00:29:31.682 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.510$ how that worked in a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:29:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.012$ So I'm going to shift gears just yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00{:}29{:}39.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.878$ again and talk about another child where

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:29:41.878 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.579$ we really did try to dig in on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

00:29:45.579 --> 00:29:48.334 question of which roots might help the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:29:48.334 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.092$ most based on the body of literature

 $00{:}29{:}51.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.477$ around treatment of PTSD and substance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:29:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.044$ Use the based on this prior body of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:29:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.998$ It's worth evaluating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:29:57.998 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.210$ At least we felt it was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:00.281 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.153$ alternative approach of predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:02.153 \dashrightarrow 00:30:04.961$ targeting one or the other disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.550$ The field of PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00{:}30{:}06.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.745$ substance use, comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:07.745 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.175$ move very quickly to integrated treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:10.180 \dashrightarrow 00:30:12.330$ Without many studies focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.480$ PTSD treatments as a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:14.552 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.938$ pathway to recovery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00{:}30{:}15.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.621$ So what we were interested in is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

00:30:18.621 --> 00:30:21.826 if you use a high quality PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

00:30:21.826 --> 00:30:24.766 therapy as compared to high quality

 $00:30:24.862 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.450$ alcohol use disorder therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.294$ What happens with both the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:30.294 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.862$ target disorder and the secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

00:30:32.862 --> 00:30:36.366 disorder during the course of treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.400$ And our idea with this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.529$ this may help improve treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00{:}30{:}40.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41.882$ An informed standard practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:41.882 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.327$ So we recruited from the VA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

00:30:44.327 --> 00:30:46.427 Community and randomize people to CPT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.170$ relapse prevention or daily assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84488165

 $00:30:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.900$ Let me show you that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:30:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.980$ So individuals randomized now

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:30:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.420$ for this particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:30:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.490$ and there are some results that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:30:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.686$ I'm not going to delve into

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:30:59.686 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.900$ just in the interests of time.

 $00:31:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.099$ During this phase of the trial here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00{:}31{:}05.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.780$ People were conducting IVR assessments

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

00:31:07.780 --> 00:31:11.425 daily both through two week baseline and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:11.425 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.519$ then over the 12 weeks of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.370$ Six weeks for assessment only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.116$ So that we could actually study

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:20.116 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.810$ over the course of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.861$ Some of those questions that we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:23.861 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.235$ at in that smaller trial of are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00{:}31{:}26.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.423$ seeing skills use make a difference and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:28.423 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.845$ how can we understand the process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

00:31:30.845 --> 00:31:32.770 change during the course of therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.587$ I'm not going to go into those results today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

00:31:35.590 --> 00:31:38.662 but I do want you to be aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:38.662 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.519$ of that part of this study.

 $00:31:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.296$ So in terms of this child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00{:}31{:}43.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.872$ what I'm going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:44.872 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.550$ about is this initial comparison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.214$ and then also the results for the long term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.824$ Follow up for the group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:51.824 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.126$ were collapsed in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

00:31:53.130 --> 00:31:57.108 Randomized so with this particular project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:31:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.270$ randomization was stratified by gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00{:}31{:}59.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.856$ PTSD severity and alcohol use severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.964$ People had to meet full criteria for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.777$ On an for an alcohol use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.300$ And they needed to be willing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.470$ think about changing their drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.490$ The exclusion criteria were uncontrolled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

00:32:15.490 --> 00:32:16.696 psychotic manic symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

00:32:16.696 --> 00:32:18.304 recent suicide outer homicide,

 $00:32:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.320$ ality if they were currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

00:32:20.320 --> 00:32:21.928 in a violent relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.940$ or if they were experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

00:32:23.940 --> 00:32:24.744 withdrawal symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:24.750 \dashrightarrow 00:32:27.578$ and if their medications have been changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.610$ If they had taken an abuse in the past month,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.554$ or if they were already in an evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056444

 $00:32:34.554 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.250$ based PTSD or alcohol use treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:32:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.690$ With this therapy for this trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00{:}32{:}42.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.732$ What we did is we ask that each treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:32:45.732 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.530$ be delivered in a non adapted way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:32:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.798$ So what that means for CPT is

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00{:}32{:}50.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.550$ the drinking was addressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:32:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.614$ It was addressed when it functioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:32:54.614 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.930$ as avoidance and it was addressed.

00:32:56.930 --> 00:32:59.110 If there were particular cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00{:}32{:}59.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.290$ distortions about drinking that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:33:01.359 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.809$ getting in the way of recovery from

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:33:03.809 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.517$ PTSD and participants were encouraged

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00{:}33{:}05.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.380$ to use the CPT skills to work on alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:33:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.380$ related thoughts when they came up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

00:33:11.380 --> 00:33:13.180 But it wasn't a major focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:33:13.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.380$ in the therapy sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:33:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.168$ except in these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

00:33:16.168 --> 00:33:17.509 In relapse prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00{:}33{:}17.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.126$ PTSD was addressed as a trigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:33:20.126 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.870$ for craving or drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:33:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.348$ And was addressed when excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

00:33:24.350 --> 00:33:26.840 but in explicit discussion of trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69702333

 $00:33:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.079$ memories was prohibited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:33:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.019$ So in terms of what we controlled for and

00:33:35.019 --> 00:33:38.309 just the demographics about the sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00{:}33{:}38.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.958$ the sample on average was about 42.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:33:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.172$ 101 participants most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:33:45.172 --> 00:33:47.384 our participants were white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00{:}33{:}47.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.698$ followed by African Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:33:49.698 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.583$ Individuals who were multiracial in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:33:52.583 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.844$ a smaller subset were Asian Native

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:33:55.844 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.460$ American and about 21% were Hispanic or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.584$ Most the single and never married

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:34:03.584 --> 00:34:05.710 33% were college graduates and 38%

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:34:05.710 --> 00:34:07.828 were working full or part time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.508$ so it's a fairly impoverished sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.240$ I did find that associate different

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.060$ demographic variables were nonsignificant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:34:16.060 --> 00:34:18.736 but a higher proportion of individuals

 $00:34:18.736 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.050$ in the relapse prevention condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00{:}34{:}21.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.178$ were employed about 58% and had

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:24.178 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.398$ annual household incomes above 40%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.379$ All of our models that I'm going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:30.379 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.193$ discussing controlled for differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00{:}34{:}32.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.553$ in PTSD and drinking outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:34:34.560 --> 00:34:35.766 Or excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:35.766 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.178$ All of the models controlled for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00{:}34{:}38.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39.739$ sociodemographic variables of sex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:34:39.740 --> 00:34:41.332 age, race, and ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:41.332 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.100$ employment status, and treatment site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:34:45.100 --> 00:34:48.472 Treatment was conducted at a community

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00{:}34{:}48.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.480$ trauma clinics as well as the Seattle VA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.960$ So let's look at how each active condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:34:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.477$ did as compared to daily monitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:34:57.480 --> 00:34:58.908 With weekly Checkins or

 $00:34:58.908 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.979$ the control condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00{:}34{:}59.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.479$ Now these are intent to treat analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:35:02.480 --> 00:35:05.684 The first set that I'm going to show you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:35:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.687$ it looks at the effects of CPT and relapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:35:08.687 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.039$ prevention as compared to assessment only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

00:35:11.040 --> 00:35:12.645 These are mixed effects models

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:35:12.645 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.250$ that include fixed effects for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00:35:14.308 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.888$ covariate condition and condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.88707733

 $00{:}35{:}15.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.468$ by time interaction terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00{:}35{:}19.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.002$ So what we saw in this initial this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

00:35:22.002 --> 00:35:24.599 is just baseline to post treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00{:}35{:}24.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.164$ Was that there was a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00{:}35{:}28.164 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}35{:}31.660$ improvement overtime across all conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

00:35:31.660 --> 00:35:33.706 We also did find an interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

00:35:33.706 --> 00:35:35.533 with time by condition effects

 $00:35:35.533 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.523$ that were significant for CPT

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00{:}35{:}37.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.106$ versus assessment only in the model

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00:35:40.106 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.958$ predicting PTSD symptoms severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

00:35:41.960 --> 00:35:43.572 Now it wasn't significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00:35:43.572 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.990$ but relapse prevention also was associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00:35:46.056 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.986$ with decreases in PTSD symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00:35:47.986 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.270$ severity as compared to assessment only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

00:35:50.270 --> 00:35:52.720 But as I say, it didn't actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.77729976

 $00:35:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.020$ hit the mark for statistics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773

 $00:35:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.826$ We did find that both active treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773

 $00{:}36{:}01.826 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}36{:}03.655$ and dish conditions were associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773

 $00:36:03.655 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.525$ with reductions in drinking and

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773

 $00:36:05.525 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.639$ as compared with assessment only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773

 $00:36:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.788$ But this interaction boots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773

 $00:36:09.788 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.473$ Did not reach statistical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.228$ In terms of reductions

 $00:36:17.228 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.976$ in heavy drinking days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.860$ what we found was a statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.780$ significant difference from baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:23.854 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.990$ to post treatment for both their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:25.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.590$ face as compared to assessment only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.748$ What we found was that those in CPT had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:32.748 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.590$ about a 50% greater decrease in heavy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.640$ drinking days as compared to assessment only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00{:}36{:}38.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.256$ Relapse prevention participants had a 66%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8286228

 $00:36:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.450$ greater difference or greater decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00{:}36{:}45.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.266$ So let's talk about change over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00:36:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.054$ One of our primary questions was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00:36:51.054 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.930$ would these changes be maintained?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00:36:53.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.093$ And so for this second set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00:36:56.093 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.880$ analysis with this includes the

00:36:57.880 --> 00:36:59.860 people who were re randomized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00{:}36{:}59.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02.170$ what initially got an assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00{:}37{:}02.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.480$ only were re randomized after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00:37:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.348$ their initial follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00:37:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.174$ And so for those originally in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00:37:08.174 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.050$ the assessment on my condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00{:}37{:}10.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11.725$ the first follow-up was treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568843

 $00:37:11.725 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.065$ as their pretreatment timepoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.490$ The treatment and assessment completion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.120$ rates were definitely not optimal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.852$ so about 52% as compared to 58% of CPT

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00{:}37{:}25.852 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}37{:}29.008$ versus relapse spread to people attended

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:29.008 \dashrightarrow 00:37:33.590$ 75% or more of treatment sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.152$ On what you can see is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:36.152 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.250$ a substantive decrease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.535$ and people are making it to that one year.

00:37:40.540 --> 00:37:43.468 Follow up. Wait for that final follow up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:43.470 \dashrightarrow 00:37:45.355$ but this is unfortunately pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:45.355 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.985$ consistent with what we see in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:47.985 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.690$ PTSD stuff into the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.435$ Which is, it's really hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:51.435 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.720$ to hang on to these folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.142$ Treatment completion rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

00:37:55.142 --> 00:37:57.512 Actually, I think it's interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:37:57.512 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.244$ that they are quite similar for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00{:}38{:}00.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01.956$ CPT versus relapse prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

00:38:01.960 --> 00:38:02.598 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00{:}38{:}02.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.831$ I think it argues that people can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00{:}38{:}04.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.720$ tolerate trauma focused the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

NOTE Confidence: 0.8555591

 $00:38:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.378$ Then another effective treatment.

 $00:38:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.084$ So what we found is that both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

 $00{:}38{:}18.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.057$ treatments were associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

00:38:20.057 --> 00:38:22.189 reductions in PTSD overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

 $00:38:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.918$ These were substantial reductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

00:38:23.918 --> 00:38:25.646 in PTSD symptoms severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

00:38:25.650 --> 00:38:27.602 prevalence of meeting criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

 $00{:}38{:}27.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.042$ for PTSD remission ranged from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

 $00:38:30.042 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.222$ about 23 to 41% across the follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

 $00{:}38{:}33.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37.146$ up time to meet some conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

 $00:38:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.352$ We also found that both treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

 $00{:}38{:}39.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40.453$ were associated reductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778383

 $00:38:40.453 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.367$ in days of drinking overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00{:}38{:}45.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.465$ And that both treatments were

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00:38:47.465 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.157$ associated with substantial reductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00:38:49.157 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.547$ in days of heavy drinking overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00:38:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.574$ We did see that those in relapse

 $00:38:54.574 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.870$ prevention showed statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00{:}38{:}55.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.702$ significant greater reductions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

00:38:57.702 --> 00:39:01.099 heavy days of drinking from pre to post

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00:39:01.099 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.514$ treatment as compared to those in CPT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00:39:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.430$ So it's about a 45% greater reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00:39:06.430 \dashrightarrow 00:39:09.370$ in heavy drinking days and the ranges

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00:39:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.521$ of those meeting criteria for low risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680575

 $00:39:12.521 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.088$ drinking range from about 42 to 52%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:39:18.140 --> 00:39:19.790 Now, with this particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.430$ what I would say in terms of take,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.034$ some take home messages is that both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}39{:}25.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.608$ treatments had significant significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:26.608 \dashrightarrow 00:39:29.394$ effects on both primary and secondary tar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:29.400 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.906$ So more people assigned to CPT than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:31.906 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.980$ relapse prevention experienced

 $00:39:33.043 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.618$ in early remission from PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}39{:}34.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.116$ which may be helpful for some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:37.116 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.220$ patients in reducing relapse risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.250$ Don't treatments were associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.280$ reductions in drinking relative to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:39:43.339 --> 00:39:45.916 assessment, only at post treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:39:45.916 --> 00:39:48.361 and those early reductions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:48.361 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.988$ drinking or the sustained overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}39{:}50.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.821$ As you noted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:51.821 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.483$ I'm sure that there were challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}39{:}53.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.358$ both with recruitment and drop out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.142$ We were able to recruit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:57.142 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.224$ half of the sample that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:39:59.224 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.874$ hoped to get for this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.536$ so we were underpowered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:02.536 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.778$ to detect differences.

 $00:40:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.520$ We had stricter entry criteria than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:40:05.520 --> 00:40:07.320 many such studies which may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:40:07.320 --> 00:40:09.090 affected our ability to recruit people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.450$ Had to have an active alcohol use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.382$ They had to have recent drinking and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:13.382 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.879$ they had to have full criteria for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.244$ We should not take some threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:18.244 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.426$ for this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.142$ Then people had to be willing to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}40{:}22.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.947$ either disorder or wait for care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}40{:}23.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.602$ We also found that some people had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:25.602 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.239$ already reduced their drinking by baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.758$ They were primed and ready to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:28.758 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.517$ make those changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.506$ and those were folks that we

 $00:40:31.506 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.649$ had to take from the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}40{:}33.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.894$ And some people an ecdotally needed seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:35.894 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.248$ to need one treatment or the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.005$ It was very interesting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:41.005 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.658$ our consultation calls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.848$ Their therapist would sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:40:43.848 --> 00:40:46.028 say I wish this person have gotten

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:40:46.028 --> 00:40:47.748 randomized to the other condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}40{:}47.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.696$ and I do think that that begs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:49.696 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.560$ the question for future research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}40{:}51.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.638$ To really look that treatment matching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.489$ Ann about anecdotally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:55.489 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.187$ about a third of those assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}40{:}57.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.469$ to relapse prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:40:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.895$ or disappointed because they wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:00.895 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.080$ to work on the trunick of that.

 $00:41:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.635$ The bigger picture take home

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}41{:}05.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07.460$ messages on PTSD and substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.788$ Go hand in hand so we gotta figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:09.788 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.758$ out how best to treat this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.568$ And there is a high burden of disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}41{:}14.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.399$ associated with these disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:41:16.400 --> 00:41:19.358 Patients often do have a preference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}41{:}19.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.070$ for one treatment versus another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}41{:}22.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.985$ And cognitive trauma focused the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:41:23.985 --> 00:41:26.403 may have some promise for addressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}41{:}26.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.598$ PTSD and substance use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.258$ so they're worth pursuing as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}41{:}31.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.503$ additional option for treatment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:33.503 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.909$ increase our our range of interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:41:35.909 --> 00:41:39.007 over and above some of the non trauma

00:41:39.007 --> 00:41:41.272 focused therapies and some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}41{:}41.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43.432$ more exposure focused the rapies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:43.432 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.957$ overall drop that is a problem in this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.834$ So we've gotta find some interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:49.834 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.870$ that are a little more sticky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:41:52.870 --> 00:41:55.630 Or some strategies to help people stay in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:41:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.610$ especially given some of the findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:41:57.610 --> 00:41:59.770 that we had about dose effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:41:59.770 --> 00:42:01.342 I would say overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:42:01.342 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.914$ there's increasing evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}42{:}02.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.540$ there is no wrong door for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:42:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.340$ An providers might be able to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:42:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.459$ good treatment outcomes on both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00{:}42{:}10.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.159$ disorders using high quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:42:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.816$ evidence based interventions targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

 $00:42:13.816 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.190$ either PTSD or substance use, or both.

 $00:42:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.050$ And with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654976

00:42:20.050 --> 00:42:21.000 Any questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.099$ Thank you, Deborah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.464$ Very, very interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

00:42:31.464 --> 00:42:33.828 important conclusions where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

00:42:33.828 --> 00:42:37.305 you for your talk and so want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:37.305 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.388$ open this up for questions to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:40.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.845$ Maybe I can begin with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:42.845 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.809$ with just the observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.044$ So it suggests that you that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:48.044 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.570$ individuals be given a choice of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.135$ based treatments when they enter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.577$ When they have Co occurring PTSD in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:42:57.577 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.888$ a substance use disorder and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

00:43:00.890 --> 00:43:01.318 Clinicians,

 $00:43:01.318 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.030$ are you recommending Christians

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00{:}43{:}03.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.959$ follow that choice as as long as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7999375

 $00:43:05.959 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.759$ the treatment is evidence based?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00{:}43{:}08.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.886$ I mean, I think that's an empirical question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.257$ Right, but I think it's one that we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:14.257 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.797$ to ask Lori Zoellner and Orfini have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:16.797 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.643$ done some really wonderful research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:18.643 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.827$ looking at the impact of choice on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00{:}43{:}20.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.374$ when we give people with PTSD a choice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:23.374 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.650$ between medication versus psychotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00{:}43{:}24.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.158$ How many choose medication?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

00:43:26.158 --> 00:43:28.043 How many choose psychotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:28.043 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.103$ then what's the implication if people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

00:43:30.103 --> 00:43:32.420 don't get what they what they chose?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.492$ That kind of research has not happened to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00{:}43{:}34.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.969$ date as far as I know in the PTSD substance.

 $00:43:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.374$ Do you feel that patients often

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:38.374 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.260$ have an idea or have a preference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.438$ and I think that is the next natural step

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:42.438 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.554$ in the field is what would you pick?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.078$ What do you want to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.236$ And then does that make a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

00:43:48.236 --> 00:43:50.769 in how likely you are to stick with it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

00:43:50.770 --> 00:43:53.186 Right and I can see arguments either way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

00:43:53.190 --> 00:43:55.241 It may be that the PTSD avoidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

00:43:55.241 --> 00:43:57.063 is compelling and people say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:57.063 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.834$ I don't want to talk about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:43:58.834 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.799$ and maybe those the people actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00{:}44{:}00.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02.549$ need a trauma focused the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:44:02.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.839$ Or it may be that people actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:44:04.839 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.344$ have a good idea about what they're

 $00:44:07.344 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.432$ able to do or what pathway to recovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:44:10.432 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.934$ may be most approachable for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238

 $00:44:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.100$ Identification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910238 00:44:15.100 --> 00:44:15.370 Hi NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.674$ my name is Sophia and I'm a fourth

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

00:44:17.674 --> 00:44:19.448 year psychiatry resident who's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00{:}44{:}19.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.716$ really interested in trauma and PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.556$ And I also listen to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

00:44:23.556 --> 00:44:24.780 this merican life quadcast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.915$ the one with twelve sessions on CPT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.838$ which was really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:27.838 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.755$ really insightful. Honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

00:44:28.755 --> 00:44:30.585 to hear from a patient's perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00{:}44{:}30.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32.120$ just going along with choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.000$ I also just wanted to ask you about like

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00{:}44{:}35.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37.630$ group CPT versus 1 to one CPT as well,

00:44:37.630 --> 00:44:39.160 because you know in groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.690$ there's a group stare right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

00:44:40.690 --> 00:44:43.138 And a lot of group modalities for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.286$ and I guess I'm in my research I I guess I

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00{:}44{:}47.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.048$ don't have a great sense of like does group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

00:44:51.050 --> 00:44:52.658 If someone also prefers group like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.228$ is it as helpful as one to one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.721$ I've also heard that you know a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:57.721 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.234$ lot of trouble like healing from

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:44:59.234 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.599$ trauma happens in groups too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:45:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.210$ When you feel really seen and heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:45:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.519$ and validated by other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82369524

 $00:45:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.950$ So I just want to ask your opinion on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.336$ Yeah, I love it and I'm happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00{:}45{:}09.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.858$ take questions about anything I I am

 $00:45:11.858 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.112$ absolutely fearful to talk about any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:45:14.112 --> 00:45:16.660 kinds of topics that you're curious about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:45:16.660 --> 00:45:18.878 in terms of group versus individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:45:18.878 --> 00:45:21.110 I have an empirical answer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:21.178 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.038$ then I have a broader answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.158$ so there just was a trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.897$ I think they just presented the outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:45:27.897 --> 00:45:29.750 data relatively recently at IST SS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00{:}45{:}29.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.510$ where they looked at individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.566$ versus group CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00{:}45{:}32.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.688$ It's actually the first trial that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:34.688 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.220$ done that head to head comparison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.327$ To answer exactly the kind of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:39.327 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.920$ Sofia that you're bringing on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.084$ And what they found was that individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:44.084 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.320$ was slightly more effective.

 $00:45:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.857$ And I think there are some reasons for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:45:49.860 --> 00:45:51.021 With individual therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:45:51.021 --> 00:45:52.956 you're getting more airtime for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:45:52.956 --> 00:45:55.357 working on your specific stuck points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:45:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.104$ and the therapist can help guide you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:45:58.110 --> 00:46:00.468 If you're getting a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:46:00.468 --> 00:46:01.647 more avoidant directly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:46:01.650 --> 00:46:04.786 easier to get missed in a group setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

00:46:04.790 --> 00:46:05.148 Now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:46:05.148 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.222$ That being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:46:06.222 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.304$ I think for some clients group is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8307535

 $00:46:09.304 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.464$ incredibly powerful way of recovering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

 $00:46:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.156$ Asians? Sometimes listen to each other

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

 $00:46:16.156 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.219$ much more deeply than they listen to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

 $00:46:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.062$ People have had the same to

 $00:46:21.062 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.660$ live the experiences of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

 $00:46:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.299$ and it's also great for them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

 $00:46:25.299 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.278$ get to be in the healer mode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

00:46:28.280 --> 00:46:30.490 In Congo, CPT was delivered

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

 $00:46:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.280$ entirely by group and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

 $00:46:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.745$ wonderful hearing our group members

NOTE Confidence: 0.835421045714286

 $00{:}46{:}35.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38.910$ have to meet other staff points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

00:46:41.080 --> 00:46:42.760 And that's a way of learning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

00:46:42.760 --> 00:46:44.210 we learn through teaching, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

 $00:46:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.650$ And so that's also a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

 $00{:}46{:}45.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.870$ powerful kind of learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

00:46:46.870 --> 00:46:48.704 The other thing that's great about great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

 $00:46:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.930$ this is cost effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

 $00:46:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.585$ Right, so you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

 $00:46:52.585 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.909$ you have two therapists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

 $00{:}46{:}53.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.277$ an 8 people in a group as a whole

00:46:56.277 --> 00:46:58.283 lot more people in two hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

 $00:46:58.283 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.524$ than you would do with everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8586236

 $00:47:00.524 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.850$ seeing them individually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92817694

 $00:47:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.300$ Thank you. Muscle. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.488$ wonder Doctor case and if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:47:10.490 --> 00:47:11.946 combination of both wouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:47:11.946 --> 00:47:13.770 be really effective, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.965$ I mean, for the person who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:47:15.965 --> 00:47:17.425 wants the mutual support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:47:17.425 --> 00:47:19.615 maybe you start with group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:47:19.615 --> 00:47:22.249 you don't get into the intensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:22.249 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.788$ Trauma is much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}47{:}23.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.276$ but you gain the coping skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:26.276 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.564$ You know that can help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:28.564 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.572$ address it in a deeper way,

 $00:47:30.572 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.580$ or vice versa for someone who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}47{:}32.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.256$ doesn't want to expose themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:34.256 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.935$ to a group experience because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:35.935 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.945$ of their shame and other issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:37.945 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.620$ around the trauma you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.956$ And they do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:40.956 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.830$ And then with some confidence can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.167$ go into the group and gain that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}47{:}45.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.007$ mutual support that will really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:47.007 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.036$ reinforce 'cause as we know, PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}47{:}49.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.916$ And you know the trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.796$ It takes a long time to heal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:47:52.796 --> 00:47:55.480 It's not going to be just one session of IOP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}47{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.418$ or you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:47:57.420 --> 00:47:58.617 You know that's going to help them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:47:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.388$ and that's going to be a done deal.

00:48:01.390 --> 00:48:03.806 Damn you are so wise and I absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}48{:}03.806 \to 00{:}48{:}05.668$ agree with what you're saying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.638$ And there is some great work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:07.638 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.622$ that's being done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.314$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:09.314 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.998$ it's rubbish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:09.998 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.778$ They have a two week that that like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:12.778 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.752$ so when people talk about like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:48:14.752 --> 00:48:16.857 what's an advance in the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.756$ you're super excited about this program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.650$ I am over the moon with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:48:20.650 --> 00:48:22.794 I think it's so cool and Pam it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}48{:}22.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.452$ comes in with some of the types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:25.452 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.290$ ideas that you're talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.238$ It's mask treatment,

00:48:28.238 --> 00:48:29.818 so it's intensive outpatient program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.898$ It's it is not for comorbid, it is for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.248$ People can have comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:48:36.248 --> 00:48:39.019 but it's not focused on PTSD alcohol use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.548$ but people get daily CPT for two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.638$ And they get both group an individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.020$ And the results they're getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:48:49.020 --> 00:48:52.338 It's for active duty military or veterans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.878$ The results they're getting in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:48:54.878 --> 00:48:57.212 active duty and veteran population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}48{:}57.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.468$ look like civilian samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:48:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.320$ Typically we get smaller effect sizes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}49{:}02.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.752$ smaller benefits from treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:04.752 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.792$ when we have military members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

00:49:07.800 --> 00:49:09.972 And So what they're getting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:09.972 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.970$ doing that kind of intensive

 $00:49:11.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.335$ treatment is very low dropout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.957$ less than 10%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}49{:}15.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.730$ and huge treatment gains in two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.050$ I think that's just fabulous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00{:}49{:}21.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.849$ but I also think that that combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:22.849 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.219$ of individual and group helps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.587$ I see that Deborah has a hand up number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.536$ You are much more polite than me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.880$ I'm a jumping in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8783213

 $00:49:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.283$ but I want to reinforce levely day of yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7933176

00:49:34.410 --> 00:49:35.418 Oh, you're muted though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

 $00:49:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.150$ Forgive me if you addressed this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

 $00:49:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.054$ but how do you rate EMDR with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

 $00:49:42.054 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.299$ CPT in the other interventions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

00:49:44.300 --> 00:49:46.376 'cause I know in this community

00:49:46.376 --> 00:49:48.452 I often I'm working with someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

00:49:48.452 --> 00:49:50.870 who's had trauma and I'm a dynamic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

 $00:49:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.815$ is psychiatrist, and I do can calm and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

 $00:49:53.815 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.650$ send them to someone who does EMDR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

 $00:49:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.020$ But I don't know the outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

 $00:49:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.920$ literature and comparatively with CPT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138832

 $00:50:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.690$ so that'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.854$ be great. Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00{:}50{:}02.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05.570$ Yeah, I'm happy to talk to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

00:50:05.570 --> 00:50:07.898 There are no EMDR to CPT

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

00:50:07.898 --> 00:50:09.450 head to head comparisons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.778$ so I can't directly speak to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

00:50:11.780 --> 00:50:14.884 you know, if there was a horse race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.793$ I would they do what I can say is ISTSS just

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:18.793 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.868$ did a comprehensive analysis of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

00:50:21.868 --> 00:50:24.350 It was beautifully done, project all

 $00:50:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.675$ of the questions were preregistered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.520$ We had people from all of the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.519$ therapies represented as people approached.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.674$ Looking at the literature and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00{:}50{:}33.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36.306$ three the rapies that got our sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:36.306 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.191$ strongest recommendation in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

00:50:38.191 --> 00:50:40.439 the strength of the literature work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.559$ a long exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:41.559 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.678$ CPT and EMDR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00{:}50{:}42.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45.360$ and so it is a I don't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00{:}50{:}45.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}47.510$ EMDR not trained in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.516$ but it is a therapy that I feel very

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00{:}50{:}50{:}50{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52{.}515$ comfortable referring people to because

NOTE Confidence: 0.842931

 $00:50:52.515 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.320$ it is an effective therapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00:50:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.630$ Did that answer your question, Deborah?

00:51:01.630 --> 00:51:04.054 Yes, now if we're going back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00{:}51{:}04.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.949$ issue about comorbidity though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00:51:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.428$ I don't know of any child's that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00:51:08.428 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.629$ have looked at EMDR for PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

00:51:10.630 --> 00:51:11.710 substance use comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00:51:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.230$ so I think that's an open question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

00:51:14.230 --> 00:51:16.030 We know PE works right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00:51:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.830$ and we know that cope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00:51:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.830$ which is PE overlaid with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00{:}51{:}19.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.670$ substance use treatment works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

00:51:21.670 --> 00:51:23.188 And we know that CPT works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

00:51:23.190 --> 00:51:24.954 but I don't know about EMDR because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417622

 $00:51:24.954 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.219$ the studies haven't been done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238336

 $00{:}51{:}28.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31.509$ Doctor Kayson yeah can I ask you another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238336

 $00:51:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.970$ question about like Centers of excellence? I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238336

 $00{:}51{:}33.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36.076$ am all yours, I'm all yours.

00:51:36.080 --> 00:51:39.260 Thank you. Appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00{:}51{:}39.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41.556$ So you know I've been trying to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00:51:41.556 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.711$ like centers of excellence for PTSD care

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

00:51:43.711 --> 00:51:46.159 that they are outside of the VA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00{:}51{:}46.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.168$ like it for civilians instead of vets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00:51:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.844$ 'cause you mentioned it like an

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

00:51:49.844 --> 00:51:51.440 example of our really awesome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00:51:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.582$ more intensive like IOP sort of program

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00:51:53.582 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.892$ for that are that is still for vets

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00{:}51{:}55.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.200$ like I know about like you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00{:}51{:}58.200 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>} 00{:}52{:}00.036$ Trauma Recovery Center at UCSF but

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00:52:00.036 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.174$ like are there that many programs out

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00{:}52{:}02.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03.959$ there that provide different types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00{:}52{:}03.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.772$ like choice in PTSD care and multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.82909125

 $00:52:05.772 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.480$ types of treatments for civilians.

 $00:52:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.154$ Yeah, so so here this is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

00:52:11.154 --> 00:52:13.949 in many ways mild life mission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.107$ which is that we've done an outstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

00:52:17.107 --> 00:52:18.905 job disseminating therapies for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

00:52:18.905 --> 00:52:20.755 PTSD for our service members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.267$ And there are so many people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:24.267 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.739$ experienced these types of events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}52{:}26.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30.452$ Who are not service members and for them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:30.452 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.568$ getting good care is really murdered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00.52:33.570 \longrightarrow 00.52:35.058$ What I can tell you is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}52{:}35.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36.806$ so there are definitely some places

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:36.806 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.370$ Emory's got a wonderful clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

00:52:38.370 --> 00:52:41.862 Um, so I would put Emory on that list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

00:52:41.870 --> 00:52:42.983 You're exactly right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:42.983 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.580$ UCSF does a beautiful job and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}52{:}45.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47.708$ you can find individual places,

 $00:52:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.426$ but it's there isn't like a network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.158$ So for example, and I know a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}52{:}53.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.100$ of Washington state resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.592$ 'cause that's where I was for 17 years case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:52:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.316$ ARC is the King County sexual assault,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.100$ something something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.660$ There are wonderful program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}53{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.708$ They provide either sliding fee or free QR

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:06.708 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.916$ for sexual assault survivors in King County.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.034$ Whole age range from 6 to whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}53{:}12.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.129$ and they provide various treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}53{:}14.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}15.885$ The Harborview Center for Sexual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}53{:}15.885 {\:{\circ}{-}{>}\:} 00{:}53{:}17.289$ Assault and Traumatic Stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

00:53:17.290 --> 00:53:19.050 so you'll find these places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

00:53:19.050 --> 00:53:20.625 Stanford now has started up

00:53:20.625 --> 00:53:23.063 a PTSD clinic where we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}53{:}23.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24.659$ whole variety of interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.064$ but the problem is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:26.064 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.468$ is that enough time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.960$ There isn't a great network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.072$ Around centers of excellence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:31.072 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.184$ as you're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00:53:32.190 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.968$ so I hope you maybe pick that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794769

 $00{:}53{:}33.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35.818$ up as your mission in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229045

00:53:36.720 --> 00:53:37.712 Thanks, Patrick. Listen I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229045

 $00{:}53{:}37.712 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.698$ going to send you an email if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229045

 $00:53:39.698 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.830$ don't mind about this. 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229045

 $00:53:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.502$ I got really obsessed but I don't mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229045

 $00:53:42.502 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.331$ at all and and you can feel free to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229045

 $00:53:44.331 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.953$ nag me 'cause periodically my inbox

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229045

 $00:53:45.953 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.603$ becomes something that is aversive.

00:53:47.610 --> 00:53:51.194 And so if I don't respond right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229045

00:53:51.200 --> 00:53:53.468 Von, it looks like you've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:53:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.130$ a question. I think that's great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:53:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.646$ I think understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

00:53:57.646 --> 00:53:58.780 overlap with comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:53:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.292$ especially in substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:00.292 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.804$ disorders not well understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.842$ So it's really good to see the overlap

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:04.842 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.120$ and trying to tease them apart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.010$ and that more treatment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.144$ general is effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

00:54:10.150 --> 00:54:12.424 I'm I'm curious if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:12.424 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.320$ thoughts on on two topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.165$ One, what brain functions do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:17.165 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.250$ are changing with your interventions

 $00:54:19.322 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.482$ and are they similar and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:21.482 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.500$ that are driving PTSD and Sud?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.265$ Improvement and then with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:25.265 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.858$ comorbidities of PTSD and STD and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:27.858 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.773$ use of transcranial magnetic stimulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:29.773 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.060$ to treat either of those diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

00:54:32.060 --> 00:54:33.986 What are your thoughts on maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:33.986 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.389$ adding TMS as an add event to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00{:}54{:}36.389 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} 00{:}54{:}38.129$ your ongoing interview base or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:38.129 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.239$ or group based interventions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00{:}54{:}40.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42.472$ And you think that might so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

00:54:42.472 --> 00:54:43.960 for your first question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227373

 $00:54:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.330$ what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:54:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.498$ I will say is I am far more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:54:46.498 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.169$ of a public health community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00{:}54{:}49.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.030$ Health services kind of researcher,

 $00:54:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.682$ so I leave the neurobiology to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00{:}54{:}53.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.199$ people who are smarter than me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:54:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.081$ So I have no idea I'd have to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:54:58.081 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.048$ and delve and really think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00{:}55{:}00.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}01.738$ what we think are mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

00:55:01.740 --> 00:55:03.956 I'm a mentor right now on a K,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.538$ where I'm hoping that that person

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:05.538 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.785$ will be the person to help me figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00{:}55{:}07.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.215$ that out during these treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:09.220 \dashrightarrow 00:55:10.954$ Brilliant scientist is a mechanical engineer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:10.954 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.818$ who is now interested in our science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.820$ I hope the grant funds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

00:55:14.820 --> 00:55:16.848 Um, in terms of the transcranial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00{:}55{:}16.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}17.524$ magnetic stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.570$ that is a really exciting direction.

 $00:55:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.234$ I think in the field there is a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00{:}55{:}22.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.223$ that is going on right now that's looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

00:55:25.223 --> 00:55:28.037 at that combined with CPT just for PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.406$ so we'll find out soon whether we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:30.406 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.110$ get additive effects with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

00:55:32.110 --> 00:55:34.406 So I can say that you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:34.406 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.776$ right in the same lines as some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00.55:36.776 \longrightarrow 00.55:39.164$ of the other people in this field

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:39.164 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.593$ when we add in the substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

00:55:41.600 --> 00:55:43.966 I think that's a really interesting question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

00:55:43.970 --> 00:55:44.558 especially with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

00:55:44.558 --> 00:55:46.910 High rates of drop out of can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

00:55:46.976 --> 00:55:49.016 get people better a little faster?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.987$ Maybe that'll make it easier for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:50.987 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.047$ to stick with the therapies as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8111724

 $00:55:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.914$ Good question thanks.

00:55:53.914 --> 00:55:54.490 I look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504458

 $00:55:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.642$ forward to seeing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504458

 $00:55:55.642 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.090$ results of that. Yeah, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504458

 $00:55:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.238$ keep an eye out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:55:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.815$ Labria I gotta question in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.520$ That was sent to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.134$ Person was intrigued by the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:07.134 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.368$ of CPR in the development of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:09.368 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.193$ trial for American Indian for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:11.193 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.999$ the American Indian community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.606$ You described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:13.606 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.727$ What do you think would was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:15.727 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.601$ impact of allowing the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:17.601 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.113$ to choose the intervention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00{:}56{:}19.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.227$ And how do you think CPR can

 $00:56:21.227 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.973$ continue to inform work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366801

 $00:56:22.973 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.898$ this population and with others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.356$ Yeah, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

00:56:26.356 --> 00:56:27.528 It's a wonderful question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:27.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.924$ so let me talk a little bit about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

00:56:29.924 --> 00:56:31.650 relationship with this particular tribe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.875$ 'cause they think it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:33.875 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.219$ important to talk about. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:36.219 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.014$ The tribe had actually approached

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

00:56:39.014 --> 00:56:41.250 University of Washington long

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:41.341 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.676$ before this trial was begun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

00:56:43.680 --> 00:56:45.563 An I think it's important that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:45.563 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.699$ trial was not investigator initiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.100$ It wasn't us with our idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.430$ about how to help people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.958$ Coming to a community,

00:56:53.958 --> 00:56:56.730 but it was actually the community asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00{:}56{:}56.730 \to 00{:}56{:}59.906$ asking to partner with us and then us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:56:59.906 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.208$ together coming up with a solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.241$ But that man is we actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

00:57:05.241 --> 00:57:08.660 quite a bit of Community client.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.627$ And they felt like they had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:10.627 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.480$ voice in the whole process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:12.480 \dashrightarrow 00:57:14.699$ This the data belongs to the tribe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00{:}57{:}14.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.926$ The data for this project isn't ours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.510$ It's not the investigators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.848$ That helped quite a bit with recruitment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.515$ but it also helped with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00{:}57{:}23.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.514$ the adaptation process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.190$ so we had tribal elders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.690$ We had Native American clinicians

 $00:57:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.639$ who all helped with the development

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00{:}57{:}29.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31.199$ of the adapted materials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:31.200 \dashrightarrow 00:57:34.870$ An example I wish I had a slide to show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.030$ So for those of you who are familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.593$ with the worksheets in CBT or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:39.593 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.548$ cognitive behavioral therapy is right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

 $00:57:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.259$ So we've got this worksheet that's got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

00:57:44.259 --> 00:57:46.896 these columns on it an A lot of text,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8843985

00:57:46.900 --> 00:57:47.230 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:57:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.936$ When the community reworked the worksheet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00{:}57{:}51.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54.861$ an away where it was overlaid over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:57:54.861 --> 00:57:57.535 a picture of a mountain and rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:57:57.609 --> 00:57:59.955 than a left to right processing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:57:59.960 --> 00:58:02.300 it actually was going from bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.773$ to top 'cause you're climbing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:04.773 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.692$ mountain to get to the adapted thought.

 $00:58:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.596$ I hate that worksheet it makes my brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:10.596 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.170$ hurts however it was so fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:58:13.170 --> 00:58:15.834 presenting that worksheet to our therapist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:58:15.840 --> 00:58:18.450 some of whom were Native American

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.070$ and some of whom were not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:58:21.070 --> 00:58:22.549 And our clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:58:22.549 --> 00:58:25.507 who are Native American more like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:25.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.465$ Yeah, that finally makes sense for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00{:}58{:}29.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32.610$ And so I do think we can get things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:32.696 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.384$ resonate better for a community when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:58:35.384 --> 00:58:38.359 we listen because we are academics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.380$ We know lots of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00{:}58{:}40.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43.894$ but we don't know all the things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.588$ And so that part is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.706$ If we have time,

 $00{:}58{:}47.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50.269$ I can talk to you about a project

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:58:50.269 --> 00:58:51.897 that Lori's owners doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

00:58:51.900 --> 00:58:53.560 partnering with a samale community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.984$ which I think is one of the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:55.984 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.724$ elegant examples of a culturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00{:}58{:}57.724 \rightarrow 00{:}58{:}59.866$ adapted PTSD therapy I've ever seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:58:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.075$ and it really came out of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:59:02.075 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.347$ CPR concept and listening to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00{:}59{:}04.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.437$ Community and then bringing our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:59:06.437 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.030$ knowledge to the table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507295

 $00:59:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.246$ But welding it with what the community knows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768715

 $00:59:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.881$ Thank you Deborah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768715

00:59:13.881 --> 00:59:15.966 I just wanna follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768715

 $00:59:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.590$ There was another question

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768715

 $00:59:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.020$ that was sent to me separately

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768715

 $00:59:20.093 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.823$ which was give a sense of the

 $00:59:22.823 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.513$ differential influence of CBT

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768715

 $00:59:24.513 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.713$ CPT on PTSD symptom clusters

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768715

 $00:59:26.713 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.473$ and ultimately substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.194$ That's a fantastic question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:31.194 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.910$ We have not analyzed that data yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00.59:33.910 \longrightarrow 00.59:35.410$ but we definitely will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.538$ I am also very curious of where is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:38.538 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.944$ it that we're seeing the changes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

00:59:40.950 --> 00:59:43.687 Is it? Is it that we're pushing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:43.687 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.251$ down on avoidance, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

00:59:45.251 --> 00:59:46.424 That's a possibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:46.424 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.770$ Is it that we're shifting hyperarousal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00{:}59{:}48.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}51.661$ Are there particular clusters that are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:51.661 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.240$ predictive of changes in substance use on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.110$ There are studies that are more launch

 $00:59:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.585$ tunele studies looking at which PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $00:59:59.585 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.315$ symptom clusters seem to be most associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:02.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.280$ With substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:03.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.990$ but the data is all over the place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:05.990 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.660$ Some studies find that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:07.660 --> 01:00:08.996 driven by true symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:09.000 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.332$ Some studies sign that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:10.332 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.664$ is driven by avoidance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01{:}00{:}11.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13.340$ The findings are super inconclusive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:13.340 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.884$ and so I think looking at a cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:15.884 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.879$ intervention and what do we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:17.879 --> 01:00:19.499 changes and predicts change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:19.499 --> 01:00:21.358 substance use would be fascinating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:21.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.426$ and we have that data daily level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:24.430 --> 01:00:24.746 Right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:24.746 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.590$ so we'll actually be able to look at it.

 $01:00:27.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.728$ Overtime over the course of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:30.730 --> 01:00:31.080 Deborah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:31.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.530$ this is Tami sold and that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:33.530 --> 01:00:35.630 actually my question and I'm curious

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:35.630 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.080$ to know what your thoughts are about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01{:}00{:}38.080 \to 01{:}00{:}39.830$ symptom clusters in the relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:39.830 --> 01:00:41.930 of from PTSD to substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01{:}00{:}41.930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.330$ being different by population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:43.330 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.256$ and I referenced traumatic events so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:45.256 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.989$ those who have experienced sexual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:46.989 --> 01:00:48.577 assault versus partner violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:48.580 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.680$ And of course people who experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:50.680 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.780$ one type of trauma tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:00:52.780 --> 01:00:54.530 experience many types of trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:54.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.368$ but I wonder if you have

 $01:00:57.368 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.260$ any thoughts about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:00:59.260 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.118$ Yeah, well, we didn't see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:01:02.120 --> 01:01:02.720 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:02.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.845$ I know the daily data pretty well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:04.845 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.900$ because one of my studies was any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:01:06.900 --> 01:01:09.231 I'm a study with college women had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:09.231 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.819$ experienced sexual as sault and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:10.819 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.688$ was one of the first studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01{:}01{:}12.688 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}14.975$ actually tried to breakdown at a daily level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:14.980 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.768$ What do we see with specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:01:16.768 --> 01:01:17.662 symptoms of PTSD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:17.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.542$ So we did a factor analysis and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:20.542 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.449$ we looked at what predicted drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:23.450 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.851$ And you know what we found was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:25.851 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.810$ not entirely what we expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:27.810 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.456$ Tammy was.

 $01:01:28.456 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.040$ So what we found was that there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:31.115 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.250$ very specific symptoms of PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:33.250 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.900$ There were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:01:33.900 --> 01:01:35.850 so she would hide higher drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:35.850 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.240$ in that population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:37.240 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.960$ So we found that it was more the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:01:39.960 --> 01:01:42.654 like Hyperarousal and a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:42.654 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.870$ the intrusive symptoms that predicted it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:44.870 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.614$ But we found that other symptoms of PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:47.614 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.588$ were associated with lower drinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:49.590 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.845$ so the more dysphoric symptoms of PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:52.850 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.608$ Predicted lower drinking on a given day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:01:55.610 --> 01:01:57.818 What was also interesting in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:01:57.818 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.210$ study that I think the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:02:00.210 --> 01:02:01.906 hasn't played with enough,

 $01:02:01.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.806$ is that we didn't find it at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:02:04.806 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.028$ between persons level of analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:02:07.030 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.788$ We found it on a within person's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:02:09.788 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.970$ level of analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:02:10.970 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.310$ So what I mean by that is it wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:02:13.310 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.722$ so important whether my hyperarousal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:02:15.722 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.842$ intrusive symptoms were higher than yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:02:18.850 --> 01:02:21.202 It was if my intrusive symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01{:}02{:}21.202 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24.139$ are higher for me than my average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:02:24.140 --> 01:02:28.253 I am more likely to drink on that day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01{:}02{:}28.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}30.940$ Write an I am likely to drink more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

01:02:30.940 --> 01:02:34.556 For last, if it was a dysphoric symptom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:02:34.560 \dashrightarrow 01:02:37.164$ and so I do think that question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509823

 $01:02:37.164 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.280$ of the person's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:02:38.368 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.554$ own individual mean their own individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:02:41.554 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.080$ baseline is an interesting one.

01:02:44.080 --> 01:02:46.798 Now when we look across populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01{:}02{:}46.800 \longrightarrow 01{:}02{:}50.286$ you know so much of this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:02:50.286 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.289$ has been done in veterans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:02:53.290 --> 01:02:56.210 But I do think that we likely have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:02:56.210 --> 01:02:57.498 slightly different presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:02:57.498 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.654$ and a veteran sample, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:00.654 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.714$ than sexual assaults in full.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:03.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.036$ In terms of both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:05.036 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.010$ what PTSD symptoms may be most

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:07.079 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.629$ prevalent and which ones may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:09.629 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.329$ more associated with drinking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:11.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.556$ If I can rip off of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:13.556 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.130$ actually as well family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:15.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.698$ the other thing that the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:17.698 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.584$ doesn't talk about very much is where

 $01:03:20.584 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.132$ is the person in terms of force.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:03:23.140 --> 01:03:25.580 How long have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:25.580 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.410$ behaviors been associated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:27.410 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.560$ Right, so the college women have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:30.560 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.216$ had those associations in theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:33.216 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.006$ for a shorter period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01{:}03{:}36.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}38.810$ In that that data that I presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:38.810 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.620$ the R 21 that Tracy and I worked on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:03:42.620 --> 01:03:45.194 You know we looked at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:45.194 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.989$ motives for drinking and that was one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:47.990 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.814$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:48.814 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.874$ we saw real relationships for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:03:50.874 --> 01:03:52.128 enhancement motives, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:03:52.128 --> 01:03:54.696 I drink because I'm trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:03:54.696 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.807$ feel something which we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:03:56.807 --> 01:03:58.307 talk about very much.

 $01:03:58.310 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.450$ We talk about coping motives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:04:01.450 --> 01:04:03.930 And I think that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:04:03.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.974$ we're going to be more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:04:06.974 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.728$ to see in a Masonic stamp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:04:09.730 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.509$ With the college women we saw lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:04:12.509 --> 01:04:14.410 of celebratory social drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:04:14.410 \longrightarrow 01:04:15.860$ As well as coping drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:04:15.860 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.505$ So for them we saw both and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01{:}04{:}17.505 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}19.228$ we've seen that pattern as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:04:19.228 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.818$ to longitudinal study of young

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:04:20.818 --> 01:04:22.743 lesbian and BI women where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:04:22.743 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.975$ see that pattern sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01{:}04{:}23.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}26.220$ I'm just drinking to have fun with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

 $01:04:26.220 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.058$ friends and then sometimes I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043

01:04:28.058 --> 01:04:30.078 drinking to manage my symptoms.

 $01:04:30.080 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.120$ That was a really long answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210043 01:04:32.120 --> 01:04:32.460 I'm NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

01:04:32.460 --> 01:04:33.820 sorry Tammy. No no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

 $01:04:33.820 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.860$ that was a great answer. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

 $01:04:35.860 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.545$ Interestingly, we actually just looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

01:04:37.545 --> 01:04:39.957 at the Association of PTSD and smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

01:04:39.957 --> 01:04:41.642 among women who are experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

 $01:04:41.642 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.680$ partner violence and found the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

01:04:43.680 --> 01:04:45.380 It was stimulation and state

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

 $01:04:45.380 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.080$ enhancement is associated with smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

01:04:47.080 --> 01:04:48.886 No, that wasn't. If you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

 $01{:}04{:}48.886 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}51.179$ you want me to send you that paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

01:04:51.179 --> 01:04:53.867 where Tracy and I looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82836646

01:04:53.867 --> 01:04:55.580 enhancement motives and drinking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:04:58.510 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.870$ Sounds like she said

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:04:59.870 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.590$ yes sorry. Sure yes, yes, yes they do.

01:05:02.590 --> 01:05:04.630 You have to say yes, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:04.630 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.990$ won't hurt my feelings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:05.990 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.010$ I'm always pleasantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:07.010 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.370$ surprised when someone read

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01\text{:}05\text{:}08.370 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}05\text{:}10.410$ something I wrote. I was on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:10.410 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.770$ mute. Yes, Please remember.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:11.770 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.810$ There's a ton of go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:13.810 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.964$ There's a general question in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:15.964 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.229$ chat that I wanted to ask you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:18.230 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.151$ someone, someone asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:19.151 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.993$ did you look at patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

01:05:20.993 --> 01:05:22.309 service connection pending?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01{:}05{:}22.310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24.010$ And whether that was correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567092

 $01:05:24.010 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.710$ to drop out or outcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:26.870 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.246$ Yeah, no, that's an incredible question.

 $01:05:29.250 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.524$ So because the study was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

01:05:31.524 --> 01:05:33.600 at VA per Southeast study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:33.600 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.036$ we did not collect data in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:36.036 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.960$ study on service connection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:37.960 \longrightarrow 01:05:40.294$ So we ask people if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:40.294 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.310$ were of actions or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:42.310 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.874$ but it wasn't sort of an official VA study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:45.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.592$ So the way the veteran piece went is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01{:}05{:}49.592 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}52.139$ patients could choose if they wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:52.139 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.067$ to be seen at the VA or at the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:05:56.070 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.220$ That was up to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

01:05:58.220 --> 01:06:00.086 If somebody identified as a veteran,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:06:00.090 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.525$ they automatically went to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

01:06:01.525 --> 01:06:03.520 VA to get their their sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

01:06:03.520 --> 01:06:05.872 but everyone else could just kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:06:05.872 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.629$ pick what was more convenient for them.

 $01{:}06{:}08.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}11.390$ Um, and so the focus of this was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01{:}06{:}11.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}13.610$ I think, less veter an oriented than

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

01:06:13.610 --> 01:06:16.569 if it had been more of a VA study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:06:16.570 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.330$ so I don't have that data for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84008193

 $01:06:19.330 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.710$ It's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818212

 $01:06:25.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:27.568$ Other questions for Deborah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

 $01:06:32.520 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.410$ Doctor case and I just wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

01:06:34.410 --> 01:06:36.570 know is it and I don't even know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

 $01:06:36.570 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.190$ if this is inappropriate to ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

 $01:06:38.190 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.540$ but is it possible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

 $01:06:39.540 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.711$ us to have a copy of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

 $01:06:42.711 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.070$ of your presentation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

 $01{:}06{:}44.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}46.464$ I just want notes fast enough an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

01:06:46.464 --> 01:06:49.630 you know, so that's my thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8769303

01:06:49.630 --> 01:06:52.260 Yeah no, no worries Pam, no worries.

 $01:06:52.260 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.689$ Usually we have the talk has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8369421

 $01{:}06{:}54.689 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}57.150$ recorded and so it'll be available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8369421

 $01:06:57.150 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.400$ Trisha and should be the following

NOTE Confidence: 0.8369421

 $01:06:59.400 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.288$ week, right? Is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8369421

 $01:07:01.290 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.222$ case? Yes, it should be available

NOTE Confidence: 0.8369421

 $01{:}07{:}03.222 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}05.464$ early next week on the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.8369421

 $01{:}07{:}05.464 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07.679$ of Psychiatry Grand Rounds website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66337898

01:07:08.670 --> 01:07:11.350 Great project. No problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9025992

 $01:07:14.140 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.008$ Other questions or comments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5706787

01:07:17.020 --> 01:07:17.370 Mary

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

 $01{:}07{:}18.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}23.068$ environment. I was quite taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

 $01:07:23.070 \longrightarrow 01:07:26.245$ by the story of the mountain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

 $01:07:26.245 \longrightarrow 01:07:29.416$ going from the bottom to the top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

01:07:29.416 --> 01:07:31.229 that East communication with

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

 $01:07:31.230 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.943$ the native Indians and it kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

 $01:07:33.943 \longrightarrow 01:07:37.600$ of brought into my memory at.

 $01:07:37.600 \longrightarrow 01:07:41.800$ An moment when I was considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

 $01{:}07{:}41.800 --> 01{:}07{:}44.428$ to go to work in Africa with

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

01:07:44.430 --> 01:07:46.003 dramatized situation estimate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

01:07:46.003 --> 01:07:47.049 traumatized population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

 $01:07:47.050 \longrightarrow 01:07:52.305$ and at that time I had a friend who was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53246456

01:07:52.305 --> 01:07:54.928 She's a black lady and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138277

 $01:07:54.930 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.555$ she was here Adele studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138277

 $01:07:57.555 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.840$ African American arts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138277

01:07:59.840 --> 01:08:04.810 And I was talking to her about art therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138277

 $01:08:04.810 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.670$ I'm quite naive in it and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138277

01:08:08.670 --> 01:08:11.430 immediate reaction was up struct

NOTE Confidence: 0.827827712

 $01:08:11.430 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.670$ art. And kind of surprised, maybe because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827827712

 $01{:}08{:}16.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}19.082$ Yeah, it just didn't expect it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827827712

01:08:19.082 --> 01:08:21.490 You know that her immediate very

NOTE Confidence: 0.827827712

 $01:08:21.490 \longrightarrow 01:08:23.908$ quick reaction was that this is

 $01:08:23.910 \longrightarrow 01:08:25.510$ much more close to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768701

 $01:08:25.510 \longrightarrow 01:08:27.930$ the heart of black people, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768701

01:08:27.930 --> 01:08:31.820 I was wondering, you know whether?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768701

 $01:08:31.820 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.605$ Different art techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768701

 $01:08:33.605 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.985$ are used in communications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768701

 $01:08:35.985 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.770$ with different populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768701

01:08:37.770 --> 01:08:40.750 Here in States and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768701

 $01:08:40.750 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.720$ do in treatment in PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:08:45.760 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.133$ Yeah, so that's out that would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

01:08:48.133 --> 01:08:50.496 outside of my area of expertise and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01{:}08{:}50.496 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}53.649$ what I can tell you is the data around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:08:53.649 \longrightarrow 01:08:55.989$ art therapy for PTSD has generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

01:08:55.989 --> 01:08:58.150 not found that it is effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

01:08:58.150 --> 01:09:00.268 at least in reducing PTSD symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:00.270 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.102$ Now that's not to say it can't work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:03.102 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.652$ for somebody, or that it might not be

 $01{:}09{:}05.652 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}08.023$ a good adjunct to treatment, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01{:}09{:}08.023 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}09.901$ So you could think about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:09.901 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.308$ as a way of engaging someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:12.310 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.398$ but then coupling it with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01{:}09{:}14.398 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}16.330$ of these therapies for people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

01:09:16.330 --> 01:09:18.150 Focus on the trauma itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:18.150 \longrightarrow 01:09:20.460$ but at least now most of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

01:09:20.460 --> 01:09:22.859 research has been done with children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:22.860 \longrightarrow 01:09:25.269$ not with adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:25.270 \longrightarrow 01:09:27.209$ But in that review of the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

01:09:27.209 --> 01:09:28.954 that IST SS, did they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:28.954 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.153$ Did not find that generally art therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01{:}09{:}32.153 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}35.798$ was effective for kids in reducing PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

 $01:09:35.800 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.929$ So I think it's an interesting question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8663264

01:09:38.930 --> 01:09:40.268 I don't know

01:09:40.270 --> 01:09:42.058 that, because yeah, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8322475

 $01:09:42.060 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.290$ probably didn't didn't even think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8322475

01:09:44.290 --> 01:09:46.530 about the treatment of PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8322475

 $01:09:46.530 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.208$ but more like easing the communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.8322475

 $01:09:49.208 \longrightarrow 01:09:52.740$ as you described, so nicely. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01:09:52.740 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.111$ absolutely. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01:09:54.111 \longrightarrow 01:09:57.310$ I think that's a great question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

01:09:57.402 --> 01:10:00.279 how do we engage people in K, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01{:}10{:}00.279 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}02.793$ How do we get people interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01:10:02.793 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.050$ in the conversation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01:10:04.050 \longrightarrow 01:10:05.730$ There is an investigator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

01:10:05.730 --> 01:10:08.433 for example, who's working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

01:10:08.433 --> 01:10:10.917 I believe Syrian refugees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01:10:10.920 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.472$ And he is in Milwaukee if I'm correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01:10:13.472 \longrightarrow 01:10:16.051$ and he's using yoga and dance as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01:10:16.051 \longrightarrow 01:10:18.172$ way of engaging the community because

01:10:18.172 --> 01:10:20.986 what he found is they weren't willing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01:10:20.986 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.140$ to come in for these psychotherapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

01:10:23.140 --> 01:10:24.885 But then once they're coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

01:10:24.885 --> 01:10:26.630 in for yoga and dance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

01:10:26.630 --> 01:10:28.022 then they're getting them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8667782

 $01{:}10{:}28.022 \rightarrow 01{:}10{:}29.762$ engaged in the trauma the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94077814

01:10:32.340 --> 01:10:34.730 Thank you. Yeah, no

NOTE Confidence: 0.831268

 $01{:}10{:}34.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}38.174$ problem we have. We have time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.831268

 $01:10:38.174 \longrightarrow 01:10:41.678$ probably one more question or comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831268

01:10:41.680 --> 01:10:43.906 Another, any other questions or comments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578414

 $01{:}10{:}52.430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}55.734$ Well, maybe that's a good place to stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578414

01:10:55.740 --> 01:10:57.810 Thank you so much Deborah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578414

 $01:10:57.810 \longrightarrow 01:11:00.024$ This has been just a terrific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578414

 $01:11:00.024 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.077$ presentation that you gave and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578414

 $01:11:02.077 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.607$ also discussion afterwards.

 $01:11:03.610 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.680$ Really appreciate you doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268853

01:11:06.520 --> 01:11:07.990 Well, I really appreciate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268853

 $01:11:07.990 \longrightarrow 01:11:09.781$ invitation and the chance to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268853

 $01:11:09.781 \longrightarrow 01:11:11.347$ a conversation with all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268853

 $01{:}11{:}11.350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}13.042$ I always leave these kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268853

 $01:11:13.042 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.759$ talks inspired and with new ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268853

 $01:11:14.760 \longrightarrow 01:11:17.024$ So for those of you in the audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268853

 $01:11:17.030 \longrightarrow 01:11:18.728$ if you do have questions or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268853

 $01:11:18.728 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.790$ want articles, let me know.