## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:09:25.9840000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.807 A very brief a couple of very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:02.807 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.957$  brief comments to Department and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:00:04.957 --> 00:00:07.946 then and then pass it over to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.310$  Doctor Gelernter to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.010$  our grand round speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.077$  Who I'm thrilled. To that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}00{:}15.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.540$  hosting Doctor Kerriston Conan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.344$  So. We had planned to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:00:22.344 --> 00:00:25.083 departmentally town Hall next. Thursday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:25.083 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.198$  To discuss the evolving vision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}00{:}29.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.656$  mission and values statement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:32.656 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.248$  for the Department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.255$  I appreciate the comments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:37.255 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.124$  we received so far and also the

 $00:00:40.124 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.482$  feedback that it would be helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:42.482 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.202$  before we proposed a draft of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:45.202 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.054$  the Vision mission statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.560$  To have some interest groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.560$  to get peoples input.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.367$  Into the primary drafting of the document.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.430$  So we're going to cancel the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.908$  Town Hall for next Thursday and began

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:00:59.908 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.758$  to use that as a planning strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:02.758 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.320$  to develop a more inclusive approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}01{:}05.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.889$  to developing the vision mission

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}01{:}07.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.384$  values statement for the Department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.772$  And just wanted to let everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:12.772 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.187$  know that we're going to send

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:15.187 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.443$  that out in writing to everybody.

 $00:01:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.208$  With that I'm going to pass it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:20.208 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.709$  over to Doctor Galanter Joel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:01:22.710 --> 00:01:23.565 No thanks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:23.565 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.055$  I'm very happy to introduce Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:26.055 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.705$  Karsten Conan is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}01{:}28.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.477$  most influential and productive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}01{:}30.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.776$  investigators in the field of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:01:32.776 --> 00:01:34.560 PTSD and resilience research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:01:34.560 --> 00:01:35.656 especially genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:01:35.656 --> 00:01:38.944 Although that's not her only focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}01{:}38.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.850$  Doctor Konen is professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:01:40.850 --> 00:01:42.750 psychiatric Epidemiology at the Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}01{:}42.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.598$  TH Chan School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}01{:}44.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.455$  She also has appointments at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:46.455 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.310$  the Broad Institute and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:48.385 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.510$  Department of Psychiatry at MBH.

 $00:01:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.934$  She did her BA at Wellesley

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:52.934 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.898$  College Masters at Columbia, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:54.898 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.334$  It'd be you and her postdoc at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:01:57.334 --> 00:01:59.959 Columbia and psychiatric Epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:01:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.692$  She's had faculty appointments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}02{:}01.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.857$  at BU Columbia and Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:03.857 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.740$  first early in her career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.510$  and now again since 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.396$  She has numerous major research initiatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:02:11.400 --> 00:02:15.256 She's copii on the NIH funded Aurora study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:02:15.260 --> 00:02:18.146 which aims to improve the understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.900$  prevention and recovery of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}02{:}20.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.650$  who've experienced a traumatic event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:23.650 \dashrightarrow 00:02:27.178$  She Co leads the PTSD working group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:27.178 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.779$  the Psychiatric Genomics consortium.

 $00:02:29.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.952$  And she leads the Nuro psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:31.952 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.400$  genetics of African populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:33.463 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.043$  and neuro psychiatric genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:35.043 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.623$  and Mexican populations programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:02:36.623 --> 00:02:38.812 to build collaborations in nuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}02{:}38.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.147$  psychiatric genetics research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:02:40.147 --> 00:02:41.927 in non European populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.222$  which is a critical need in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:44.222 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.390$  the field of complex traits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.893$  Genetics in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}02{:}47.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.398$  not just in psychiatric genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.638$  And she also investigates how violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.854$  trauma and PTSD affect long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}02{:}54.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.740$  physical health and accelerate aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:02:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.218$  Lot of this work is done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:02:59.218 --> 00:03:00.840 the nurses Health study,

00:03:00.840 --> 00:03:03.248 which is a study of risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:03.248 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.830$  for major chronic diseases in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.798$  with about 280,000 participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:03:07.800 --> 00:03:10.736 And she plays a public role and advocacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:10.736 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.108$  for survivors of violence and trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.068$  She's received numerous honors and awards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.950$  She's past president of the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:03:18.950 --> 00:03:21.490 Society for Traumatic Stress Studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.462$  Fellow of the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:23.462 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.448$  Psychopathological Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:03:24.450 --> 00:03:26.770 Scientifiche Councilmember anxiety and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}03{:}26.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.090$  Depression Association of America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}03{:}29.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30.550$  Besides being an outstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

00:03:30.550 --> 00:03:31.645 scientist and mentor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.470$  she's a valued collaborator and

 $00:03:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.926$  among her other accomplishments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00{:}03{:}34.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.282$  This year she was on a pod cast with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:37.282 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.040$  one of the world's great songwriters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.908$  and he met.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.190$  Her talk today is trauma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469741

 $00:03:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.710$  Women's Health within and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80813056

 $00:03:46.782 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.640$  across generations. Karsten. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

00:03:49.640 --> 00:03:51.880 you Joanne. Thank you for having me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00{:}03{:}51.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.800$  Thanks for that really kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:03:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.760$  Embarrassing introduction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00{:}03{:}54.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.000$  I really wish I could be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:03:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.880$  I'll be at Yellen person to be doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:03:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.800$  An meeting with folks when we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.802$  I think when we plan this which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:03.802 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.959$  time is we were during covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.660$  But I think we thought I might be able

00:04:08.660 --> 00:04:11.551 to come in person but maybe you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00{:}04{:}11.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.412$  may be some time in a few months I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:14.412 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.908$  at least come and talk to people person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00{:}04{:}16.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.420$  So I'm going to talk today about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00{:}04{:}19.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.345$  One of my areas of research which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:21.345 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.359$  is on PTSD in Women's Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

00:04:23.360 --> 00:04:25.178 I'm actually not going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

00:04:25.178 --> 00:04:26.390 about my genetics research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.598$  which some people might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:27.598 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.806$  be more familiar with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.580$  but I'm happy to answer questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.737$  about that or about any of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:32.737 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.267$  other things that Joel mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

00:04:34.270 --> 00:04:37.900 The work we're doing in Africa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.762$  So um, get started and we should

 $00:04:39.762 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.699$  have plenty of time for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

00:04:41.700 --> 00:04:44.382 'cause I don't think I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:44.382 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.170$  take a whole hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.901$  So to start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8178171

 $00:04:47.901 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.209$  I have no disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00:04:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.979$  So I don't know if trauma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00:04:54.979 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.657$  more common now than in the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

00:04:57.660 --> 00:05:00.005 but it seems like we hear about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00{:}05{:}00.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.948$  knew traumatic events daily and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

00:05:01.948 --> 00:05:04.118 especially about mass casualty events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00:05:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.448$  whether it's before covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00:05:05.448 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.940$  What hit the news mostly was terrorist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00:05:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.574$  attacks in school shootings or disasters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00:05:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.084$  And then you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00:05:12.084 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.140$  for the last almost for last year now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00:05:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.850$  we've been dealing with the

 $00:05:16.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.560$  various aspects of cobit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8323475

 $00{:}05{:}18.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.458$  related stressors and traumas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.450$  And the central point of this talk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.471$  to illustrate how trauma adversely

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00{:}05{:}27.471 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.033$  effects Women's Health and how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:30.033 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.696$  effects extend to women to the offspring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.610$  So seven points for consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

00:05:34.610 --> 00:05:36.686 One, I'm sure this isn't news

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:36.686 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.810$  to anyone in this audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00{:}05{:}38.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.720$  but trauma exposure even before

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

00:05:40.720 --> 00:05:43.550 you know covid, it's got a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.070$  kind of press now with comments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00{:}05{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.359$  so we know this from not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00{:}05{:}48.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.371$  from an ecdotes or media reports

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

00:05:50.371 --> 00:05:52.275 right from Epidemiology so.

 $00:05:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.792$  I'm in a study in the World Mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:54.792 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.714$  Health Surveys which is led by

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

00:05:56.714 --> 00:05:58.244 Ron Kessler out of Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.852$  We surveyed a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:05:59.852 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.655$  countries an in all countries surveyed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00{:}06{:}01.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.345$  over half the population reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:03.345 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.780$  exposure to at least one traumatic events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.664$  This is these are the countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:07.664 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.920$  that were in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.782$  In this study we did at the time the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00{:}06{:}11.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.658$  grey or places that weren't surveyed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.380$  And then in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

00:06:16.380 --> 00:06:18.090 consistently this isn't old data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

00:06:18.090 --> 00:06:20.449 but over 50% of the US population

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:20.449 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.888$  export exposure to more than one trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.542$  So well.

 $00{:}06{:}23.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.824$  When I started in the field now

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:25.824 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.009$  quite awhile ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.725$  people kind of considered trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:28.725 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.097$  as a rare event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83441114

 $00:06:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.494$  Actually, exposure to trauma is quite common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:06:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.365$  Everything we know about trauma is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

00:06:37.365 --> 00:06:40.158 it occurs over the entire life course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00{:}06{:}40.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.592$  but the type of trauma exposure varies with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:06:42.592 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.730$  age and why this is important is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:06:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.919$  youth are disproportionately exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:06:47.919 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.827$  interpersonal violence and accidents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00{:}06{:}49.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.158$  so these are age cumulative curves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00{:}06{:}52.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.560$  So the way you read these is if

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:06:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.566$  you look at the yellow Vine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:06:57.566 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.891$  represents everyone in the population,

 $00:06:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.182$  and this is from the world mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00{:}07{:}02.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.300$  health surveys who is exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

00:07:04.300 --> 00:07:05.368 interpersonal violence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.324$  And then it looks of everyone exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:08.324 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.758$  interpersonal violence by what time in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00{:}07{:}10.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.948$  their life was their first exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.436$  and you can see that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:16.436 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.598$  interpersonal violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00{:}07{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.777$  People who are going to get exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:19.777 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.267 60\%$  or exposed before the age of 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.200$  and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.750$  sort of similarly for accidents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.955$  while other events happen all over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:26.955 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.235$  entire life course and you don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00:07:29.235 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.155$  half the population exposed until about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83311033

 $00{:}07{:}31.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.148$  you know until close to middle age.

 $00:07:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.142$  And this is important for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}07{:}38.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.510$  because women and girls are more highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:07:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.030$  exposed to interpersonal violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:07:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.976$  events and these events have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}07{:}44.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.430$  highest conditional risk for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}07{:}47.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.134$  So one of the things that sometimes people

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:07:50.134 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.349$  aren't aware about trauma is that we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

00:07:52.350 --> 00:07:55.293 when we look at sort of any traumatic event,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}07{:}55.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.268$  men are actually in most studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:07:57.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.886$  Men are more likely to experience any events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:07:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.874$  so we just have a list of events

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:01.874 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.117$  and say you experience any more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}08{:}04.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.793$  mental actually endorsed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.570$  But women are more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.184$  experience certain kinds events like

 $00:08:09.184 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.716$  for interpersonal violence events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}08{:}10.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.565$  Actually, an average man are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:12.565 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.041$  usually consistently more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:14.041 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.690$  to experience accidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.934$  And this is important because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:17.934 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.632$  kinds of events have a higher conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

00:08:20.632 --> 00:08:23.146 risk of PTSD, so this slide is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

00:08:23.146 --> 00:08:25.950 This was a it's a review article,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}08{:}25.950 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}28.246$  sort of, with data compiled from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

00:08:28.246 --> 00:08:30.509 whole bunch of different studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}08{:}30.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.682$  and the important part of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:32.682 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.690$  slide is that certain events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

00:08:34.690 --> 00:08:36.590 like accidents, are quite common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.870$  but the conditional risk of PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.390$  The proportion of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00{:}08{:}40.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.530$  exposed accidents develop.

 $00:08:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.810$  PTSD is on the lower side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.156$  it's usually around 10 to 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

00:08:46.160 --> 00:08:48.248 If you're looking at accidents that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

00:08:48.248 --> 00:08:51.157 lead people to go to the emergency room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.832$  some more significant accidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:52.832 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.922$  While something like rape the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87395513

 $00:08:54.922 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.870$  conditional risk of PTSD is about 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:08:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.251$  And the other thing we know is that recovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:02.251 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.676$  from PTSD actually is influenced by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

00:09:04.676 --> 00:09:07.468 type of event the person is exposed to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.094$  so these are also data from the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00{:}09{:}10.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.496$  Mental Health Survey and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00{:}09{:}12.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.880$  that PTSD related to violence is slower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00{:}09{:}14.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.728$  The recovery from PTSD related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

00:09:16.728 --> 00:09:19.118 violence is slower than that for other

00:09:19.118 --> 00:09:20.943 traumatic event Caesar recovery curves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.866$  so you can see that the proportion of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:23.866 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.338$  still in Episode 4 war related trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.908$  physical violence, interpersonal violent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

00:09:27.908 --> 00:09:29.476 In our payment partners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.968$  sexual violence is higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:30.968 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.100$  the recovery is slower than for other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.255$  like for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00{:}09{:}35.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.180$  Again, accidents recovery is quicker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00{:}09{:}37.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.145$  although I would note that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

00:09:39.145 --> 00:09:41.110 everyone's recovery when they develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

00:09:41.177 --> 00:09:42.957 PTSD is not incredibly rapid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85231626

 $00:09:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.270$  so we're looking at years here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:09:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.360$  The other thing we know from the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:09:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.545$  mental health about the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:09:52.545 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.206$  violence in terms of Women's Health and

00:09:55.206 --> 00:09:57.504 actually the population burden of PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00{:}09{:}57.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.114$  is that physical and sexual violence account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.070$  Are over half the PTSD burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.233$  in the US population and the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:04.233 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.095$  we look at this in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.564$  Mental Health surveys is we count the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:08.564 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.178$  of months of that of PTSD in the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.628$  So if I have PTSD and I've had it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:13.628 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.966$  for I have it for three months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:15.970 \dashrightarrow 00:10:18.056$  and you have PTSD for two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.872$  We count 24 months for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:19.872 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.350$  in three months for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00{:}10{:}21.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.230$  So when we look at the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00{:}10{:}24.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.010$  months of PTSD in the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.886$  The largest proportion of those months can

00:10:28.886 --> 00:10:30.969 be attributed to events related to violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.046$  and this is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:32.046 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.391$  Actually we look in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:33.391 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.649$  surveys globally as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.354$  although sometimes if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

00:10:36.354 --> 00:10:38.049 specific countries this this isn't true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8653118

 $00:10:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.024$  but it is true in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:10:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.747$  So considering this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}10{:}43.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.980$  how do trauma and PTSD alters womenshealth

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:10:46.036 --> 00:10:47.756 trajectory's over the life course?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}10{:}47.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.098$  And this is something that I got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:10:50.098 --> 00:10:52.445 interested in actually way back in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:10:52.445 --> 00:10:54.371 training during Graduate School at BU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}10{:}54.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.662$  I worked at I did an internship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:10:56.662 --> 00:10:58.678 or practicum at the Boston VA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:10:58.680 --> 00:11:01.088 which many of you may know in the

 $00:11:01.088 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.870$  Women's Health Sciences division and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.090$  there I started seeing patients as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:05.090 --> 00:11:07.686 my first patient with PTSD and I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:07.686 --> 00:11:09.918 notice that my patients with PTSD also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}09.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.880$  had all these physical health problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:11:11.939 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.629$  and we're struggling with these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:13.630 --> 00:11:15.400 Chronic diseases and it was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:11:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.226$  from those experiences early in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:17.226 --> 00:11:18.701 training that I started wondering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}18.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.890$  what's the relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}19.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}21.378$  these two and a clinical way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}21.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.326$  I could see how their mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}23.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.540$  affected their physical health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:24.540 --> 00:11:26.381 but I thought you know what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:26.381 --> 00:11:28.371 have gotten sick anyway and it sort

00:11:28.371 --> 00:11:30.081 of really stimulated a whole bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}30.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.943$  of questions that then years later

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:31.943 --> 00:11:34.461 I had the opportunity to follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:11:34.461 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.646$  In the nurses health study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:36.650 --> 00:11:37.733 So, um Dylan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:37.733 --> 00:11:39.538 mention the nurses health study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:11:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.236$  so it's a cohort of 100 and 16,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:11:42.236 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.510$  ish female nurses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}43.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.676$  They were recruited in 1989 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:45.676 --> 00:11:46.759 they've been followed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}46.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.322$  Since we're actually working on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:11:49.322 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.440$  2021 questionnaire like this week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:11:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.632$  They were there now 55 to about 72

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}11{:}54.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.520$  years old and it's pretty white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:11:57.520 --> 00:11:58.548 95% Caucasian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:11:58.548 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.118$  which represents the sort of

00:12:01.118 --> 00:12:03.789 population of nurses at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.848$  And there followed with biennial by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:05.848 --> 00:12:07.660 only questionnaires on diseases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:07.660 --> 00:12:09.176 health related lifestyle factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}12{:}09.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.274$  The study was designed to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:11.274 --> 00:12:12.670 at environmental and behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:12.733 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.197$  factors in Women's Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.900$  So the data is very good on those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.642$  and I've had the opportunity over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:19.642 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.458$  years to embed questions on mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:22.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.823$  stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:22.823 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.186$  trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:23.186 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.504$  violence and PTSD within the cohort so we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:26.504 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.280$  look at the those factors and Women's Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.149$  One of the strengths of the cohort

00:12:31.149 --> 00:12:33.550 is that the physical health outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.089$  like cardiovascular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:35.090 --> 00:12:37.010 Are based on medical record review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:37.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.790$  so LTC survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:37.790 --> 00:12:40.069 Did they say oh I was diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:40.069 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.445$  diabetes or cardiovascular disease or lupus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}12{:}42.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.220$  then the cohort requests for medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.970$  records and they have positions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:45.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.250$  Review the medical records

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.530$  to verify the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:12:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.700$  So there's a lot of benefits in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}12{:}50.700 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.671$  working in the cohort and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:52.671 --> 00:12:54.603 I don't have to go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:54.610 --> 00:12:56.850 Asking people you know, are you depressed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:56.850 --> 00:12:58.440 Have you experienced trauma or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:12:58.440 --> 00:13:00.690 do you PTSD and do you have?

00:13:00.690 --> 00:13:03.609 I don't have to rely on self

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:03.609 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.509$  report for all the health data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.754$  So these are some new data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:08.754 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.876$  these aren't published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.724$  We did a web survey as part of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:13:12.724 --> 00:13:15.490 grant on PTSD and accelerated aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.474$  and I'll talk about some of the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.160$  From that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.540$  We did a web survey that went

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.970$  out in 2018-2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.358$  These are the responses to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}13{:}25.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.891$  survey before covid and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00{:}13{:}27.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.402$  we this is based on about a sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:30.402 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.342$  about 32,000 women and these are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:33.342 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.534$  prevalence of different traumas reported.

 $00:13:35.534 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.670$  So you can see that these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:13:38.670 --> 00:13:39.082 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

 $00:13:39.082 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.142$  this is probably a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328606

00:13:41.142 --> 00:13:42.790 homogeneous group of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

00:13:42.861 --> 00:13:45.653 who've at least at least had a nursing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

 $00{:}13{:}45.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.100$  degree when they entered the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

 $00:13:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.458$  You can see in this cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

00:13:50.460 --> 00:13:52.430 even despite their relative privilege,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

 $00:13:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.994$  about 40% have experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

00:13:53.994 --> 00:13:54.776 interpersonal violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

 $00{:}13{:}54.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.138$  and you know a third report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

00:13:57.140 --> 00:13:59.498 About 20% report nursing related trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

 $00:13:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.744$  and then they also reported the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

00:14:01.744 --> 00:14:03.820 whole range of other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505819

 $00:14:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.999$  accidents or disasters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.460$  We also see that when we.

00:14:11.460 --> 00:14:16.230 Start my dogs coming in. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00{:}14{:}16.230 --> 00{:}14{:}18.300$  In the crack again, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

00:14:18.300 --> 00:14:20.829 My dogs coming from work so we we also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:20.829 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.429$  look at the age just as I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:23.429 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.715$  the beginning from the world Mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:25.715 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.810$  health surveys that there's different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.886$  points in the life where people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:29.886 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.198$  are more likely to be exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:32.198 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.168$  different kinds of traumatic events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.222$  You can see here that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:36.222 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.309$  the nurses as well the age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.753$  the mean age of exposure to interpersonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00{:}14{:}40.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.800$  sexual violence is much lower than,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.870$  for example, Sonic or unexpected death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

00:14:44.870 --> 00:14:46.394 Although again people do

 $00:14:46.394 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.537$  experience these events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.620$  All over the life course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00{:}14{:}50.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.657$  And so when we've been looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

00:14:52.657 --> 00:14:54.659 trauma and PTSD in Women's Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.420$  we've been looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

00:14:57.420 --> 00:14:57.978 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:14:57.978 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.931$  our real goal is look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

00:14:59.931 --> 00:15:01.490 health outcomes of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00{:}15{:}01.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03.555$  but we've been also looking at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

00:15:03.555 --> 00:15:05.187 number of different pathways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00{:}15{:}05.187 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}06.892$  the disease and leveraging some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:15:06.892 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.827$  of the strengths of the women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:15:08.827 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.247$  of the nurses Health study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:15:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.062$  which is to look at behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

 $00:15:12.062 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.270$  factors and some biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9115899

00:15:13.331 --> 00:15:14.946 factors as pathways to disease.

 $00:15:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.137$  So first looking at some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

00:15:21.137 --> 00:15:22.368 behavioral factors, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:22.368 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.132$  we've shown that women who develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

00:15:24.132 --> 00:15:25.949 PTSD reduced their physical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.344$  So one of the strengths of the cohort is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00{:}15{:}28.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.620$  that we nest ar measures within the collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

00:15:30.620 --> 00:15:33.339 of data on all these health behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00{:}15{:}33.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.290$  and so we can look at women before they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:36.362 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.106$  exposed to trauma or develop PTSD and C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:39.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.308$  Do we notice changes in their behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

00:15:41.308 --> 00:15:43.609 after they develop their exposed to trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.850$  develop PTSD? And you could see that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.790$  for example in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

00:15:47.790 --> 00:15:49.438 Before they develop trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:49.438 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.674$  for they are exposed to trauma, develop PTSD.

 $00:15:52.674 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.584$  There's really no difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

00:15:54.584 --> 00:15:56.849 women on their physical activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.086$  but after trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

00:15:58.086 --> 00:15:59.734 those who develop PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83306426

 $00:15:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.388$  their physical activity declines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

00:16:03.800 --> 00:16:06.140 In a somewhat more complicated picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00{:}16{:}06.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.480$  although it sort of corresponds to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00:16:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.820$  the physical activity, we can look

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00{:}16{:}10.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.770$  at things like television viewing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00:16:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.720$  So after exposure to trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00:16:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.670$  the women who develop have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

00:16:16.670 --> 00:16:18.230 the highest PTSD symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00:16:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.074$  We use us a screen in our in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00{:}16{:}21.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.909$  questionnaire questionnaire survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00:16:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.030$  so the women who have the highest symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00:16:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.233$  or her screen positive for PTSD four to

 $00:16:29.233 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.470$  five or above is screen positive are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00:16:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.654$  Tend to increase their television viewing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

00:16:34.654 --> 00:16:37.219 compared to women who experience trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84125596

 $00:16:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.808$  but don't develop PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

00:16:40.950 --> 00:16:42.302 And then not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:16:42.302 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.992$  this then is manifested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:16:43.992 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.578$  things like body mass index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:16:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.559$  So when we looked over the life of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:16:48.559 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.554$  cohort, we found that PTSD at baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00{:}16{:}51.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.245$  was associated with higher BMI and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

00:16:54.245 --> 00:16:56.753 a greater increase in BMI overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:16:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.812$  But probably like but more interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00{:}16{:}58.812 \longrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.616$  was that we saw more rapid weight gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:17:01.616 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.454$  after PTSD onset then for those who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:17:04.454 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.967$  exposed to trauma and didn't develop PTSD.

 $00:17:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.076$  So similar to the figures I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

00:17:09.076 --> 00:17:10.840 showed a couple slides ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:17:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.472$  We can look at the slopes and weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

00:17:13.472 --> 00:17:16.120 gain before women are exposed to trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:17:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.912$  and then once they're exposed we can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:17:18.912 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.399$  that through the slope changes we made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00{:}17{:}21.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.160$  Who developed PTSD versus those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:17:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.568$  with Trump who don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

 $00:17:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.554$  And it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

00:17:25.554 --> 00:17:27.522 Women who develop PTSD tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197422

00:17:27.522 --> 00:17:29.497 have more rapid weight gain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:17:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.253$  So 1 pathway by which trauma and PTSD affects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:17:37.253 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.479$  health is through these behavioral factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:17:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.602$  On the other one that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:17:43.602 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.268$  looking at most present one set of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}17{:}47.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.347$  Here is pathways such as HPA access in Flint,

 $00:17:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.330$  inflammation, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:17:53.330 --> 00:17:55.860 So so for example, Jennifer Sumner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:17:55.860 --> 00:17:59.000 who was a postdoc with us in, is now at UCLA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:17:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.388$  She did work a lot of work on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:18:01.388 --> 00:18:02.606 PTSD and inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.140$  and looking in the cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.282$  so one of the other things that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:06.282 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.393$  embedded in the cohort is they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:18:08.393 --> 00:18:10.268 have done blood samples overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}18{:}10.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.250$  And she showed that women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}18{:}12.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.790$  chronic PTSD tend to have these higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.318$  levels of inflammation measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}18{:}16.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.030$  by CRP or the TNF Alpha receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:19.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.585$  and that these tended to persist overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.410$  And these are in women,

 $00:18:23.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.235$  a disease free women were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}18{:}25.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.060$  selected for disease free women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.144$  so inflammation could be another pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:30.144 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.860$  by which traumatised influence disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.916$  And then finally to look at disease outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.682$  So we've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:18:36.682 --> 00:18:39.349 we've looked at our original work with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:18:39.350 --> 00:18:42.764 aiming to look at PTSD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:18:42.764 --> 00:18:45.040 risk of cardiovascular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.623$  And so we found that women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}18{:}47.623 \to 00{:}18{:}50.059$  trauma an forkless symptoms of PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.550$  which is the screen positive on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}18{:}52.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.364$ our PTSD screen had increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}18{:}55.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58.039$  of MI and stroke prospectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:18:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.903$  But also have risk of a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:00.903 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.130$  of other outcomes.

 $00:19:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.477$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:03.477 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.620$  women with the highest PTSD symptoms had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:06.702 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.828$  increased incidence of type 2 diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.356$  Over the life of the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:19:12.360 --> 00:19:15.744 As well as kind of more surprising to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.318$  and I'm still quite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:19:18.320 --> 00:19:19.922 Like sceptical of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:19.922 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.126$  This of this this these findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.980$  although they are very robust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.200$  everything we looked at more recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:19:27.200 --> 00:19:29.276 We've been looking at trying PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}19{:}29.276 \rightarrow 00{:}19{:}31.518$  and cancer and found that PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:19:31.518 --> 00:19:33.488 increases risk of ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.476$  and particularly the effect is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:35.476 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.929$  seen in the pre menopausal women.

 $00:19:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.342$  As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:39.342 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.460$  the ends are very small incidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.850$  with varying cancer is is small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.447$  so you need a very large cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:46.447 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.920$  to look at it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:19:47.920 --> 00:19:50.976 but we've kind of been digging into this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:50.980 \dashrightarrow 00:19:55.005$  Actually found in PTSD and ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:19:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.440$  And then other outcomes such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}19{:}57.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58.655$  hyperthyroid hyperthyroidism is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:19:58.655 --> 00:20:00.438 associated with PTSD perspectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.026$  so really we've found that trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:03.026 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.594$  PTSD are associated with a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:05.594 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.130$  wide host of diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.638$  Other papers have looked at lupus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.730$  so it's not specific to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:20:11.730 --> 00:20:12.566 cardiometabolic disease,

 $00:20:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.660$  which was the error that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.750 \text{ I was most interested in}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:758$  but it really seems to have this wide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:20:20.760 --> 00:20:23.900 Association of My training Association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:20:23.900 --> 00:20:25.100 And not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:20:25.100 --> 00:20:27.500 given this effect on chronic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:20:27.500 --> 00:20:30.300 we also find now that PTSD, trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:20:30.300 --> 00:20:31.900 and PTSD, especially competing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.500$  come depression when combined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.100$  So when it's comorbid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.300$  increased risk of early death in the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.362$  So in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:39.362 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.840$  in this analysis we found that women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:41.918 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.750$  with high PTSD symptoms and probable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:20:44.750 --> 00:20:47.898 depression had almost there was a 3.8 volts,

00:20:47.900 --> 00:20:50.066 almost a four fold greater risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}20{:}50.066 \to 00{:}20{:}52.700$  of death in women without trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:20:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.836$  exposure or depression, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775 00:20:54.836 --> 00:20:55.370 I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00{:}20{:}55.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.247$  This was this was somewhat explained by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:20:58.247 --> 00:21:00.369 differences in behavioral risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:21:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.534$  although not completely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

00:21:01.534 --> 00:21:01.922 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380775

 $00:21:01.922 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.250$  our cause of death data is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

00:21:04.313 --> 00:21:06.624 incomplete, so this didn't seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00:21:06.624 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.704$  to be totally explained by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00:21:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.218$  for example, sudden death or suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00:21:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.300$  But you know, are there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00{:}21{:}13.300 \to 00{:}21{:}14.964$  records or someone incomplete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00:21:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.132$  so we're hoping in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00:21:18.132 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.079$  we can look more cause of death.

 $00:21:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.894$  So the effects that we've seen entron

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00:21:24.894 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.239$  PTSD on all these different diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

00:21:28.240 --> 00:21:31.880 How to start thinking about whether Truman

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

00:21:31.880 --> 00:21:34.880 PTSD accelerates aging in a broader way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00:21:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.925$  Then we could capture by just looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00:21:37.925 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.343$  at specific health conditions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

00:21:40.343 --> 00:21:42.395 also particularly in cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387924

 $00{:}21{:}42.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.310$  aging as these women get older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:21:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.997$  And part of this interest came from a pilot

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:21:50.997 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.392$  study we did in in a small sample from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:21:54.392 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.306$  cohort there were 116 women who had data

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:21:57.306 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.952$  on trauma and PTSD and telomere length,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}21{:}59.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.677$  and we found that PTSD diagnosis was a show

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:03.677 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.837$  associated with shorter telomere length.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.374$  Which is considered a marker of cellular

 $00:22:08.374 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.938$  aging, and so we decided to extend the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}22{:}10.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.958$  work to see if PTSD was associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:13.958 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.699$  a wider range of biomarkers of aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.241$  So right now one of our studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:19.241 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.404$  is to look at, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:22:21.404 --> 00:22:23.938 PTSD and trauma and the epigenetic Clock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.348$  We have some broader analysis on telomere

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:22:26.348 --> 00:22:29.009 length and some other biomarkers of aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}22{:}29.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.980$  A lot of that work actually has been held

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:22:31.980 --> 00:22:35.157 up due to Cobit and Doodle apps closing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:22:35.160 --> 00:22:36.126 but our cognitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}22{:}36.126 \to 00{:}22{:}37.736$  Data collection is still going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.574$  so we hopefully and maybe a six

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}22{:}39.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.257$  months or a year will have the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}22{:}42.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.087$  cellular data cellular marker data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:22:44.090 --> 00:22:45.810 Um, from our initial analysis,

 $00:22:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.218$  this is also not published at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}22{:}48.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.964$  I think it just got this last week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:22:50.970 --> 00:22:53.161 We looked at a much bigger sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:22:53.161 --> 00:22:55.438 in the nurses health study about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.393$  I think this is 1800 women and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:22:57.393 --> 00:22:59.569 looked at the relationship trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.957$  PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:22:59.957 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.892$  depression and telomere length and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:23:01.892 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.774$  what we found when we dug into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}23{:}04.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.050$  data that it's really the effect we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

00:23:07.050 --> 00:23:08.940 see on telomere length is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:23:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.262$  the women in the women with high

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00{:}23{:}11.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.634$  PTSD symptoms and depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:23:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.800$  We see the shorter telomere length.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83601785

 $00:23:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.546$  And not in the other groups

 $00:23:16.546 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.688$  which you can see in this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.944$  So to talk about our cognitive data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.970$  so we've been collecting cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.920$  data in the cohort for a while now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:23:27.920 --> 00:23:29.190 Using the Cogstate brief battery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00{:}23{:}29.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.648$  which I'm which I'll present a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:23:30.648 --> 00:23:32.239 bit and happy to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.517$  There's a lot of pros and cons to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.590$  but one of the pros is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.270$  that you can administer it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:23:38.270 --> 00:23:40.586 You know online which has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:23:40.586 --> 00:23:42.680 a huge advantage in Covid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.930$  an also a big advantage when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.330$  you have a national cohort where

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:23:47.330 --> 00:23:49.772 doing you know in person testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:23:49.772 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.310$  is not going to be possible.

00:23:52.310 --> 00:23:55.910 So our Cox 8 brief battery has four tasks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00{:}23{:}55.910 --> 00{:}23{:}58.717$  two tasks to put that focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:23:58.717 --> 00:24:00.321 psycho motor speed, inattention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:24:00.321 --> 00:24:03.128 and two on learning and working memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:24:03.130 --> 00:24:05.524 And these tasks were were selected

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:05.524 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.506$  to be sensitive to changes overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:24:08.506 --> 00:24:11.118 and particularly cognitive decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.031$  And there's a lot of work out

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:13.031 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.029$  there with the Cox State battery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.150$  It's been around awhile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:24:16.150 --> 00:24:18.530 I think it was one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.444$  batteries that was done on line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.960$  so now there are many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00{:}24{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.465$  many different batteries and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00{:}24{:}23.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.970$  have advantages over Cox State.

 $00:24:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.629$  But what they found is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:26.629 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.580$  was a robust relationship contagion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.628$  Cognitive functioning on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:24:29.628 --> 00:24:31.200 battery for each year of increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:31.241 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.645$  age was associated significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

00:24:32.645 --> 00:24:33.698 worse cognitive performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00:24:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.576$  So then these are the effects for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00{:}24{:}35.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.114$  Psycho motor speed and attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.85849625

 $00{:}24{:}37.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38.809$  and learning and working memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

00:24:41.670 --> 00:24:45.086 So to start with the Psycho motor speed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00{:}24{:}45.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.820$  speed and attention. Battery tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:24:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.188$  So the this is the detection task and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:24:53.188 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.089$  it measures processing speed using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00{:}24{:}55.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.164$  a simple reaction time paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:24:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.543$  It on the instructions are have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:24:59.543 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.101$  card has the car turned over and

00:25:02.101 --> 00:25:04.303 a playing card is presented face

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:25:04.378 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.709$  down in the center of the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:25:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.083$  the card flips over so it's face

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:25:09.083 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.999$  up as soon as the card flips over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:25:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.770$  the participant must present yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890142

 $00:25:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.178$  So is there such

NOTE Confidence: 0.82689816

 $00:25:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.298$  a thing as a retirement fund?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82689816

 $00:25:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.350$  Tord health expenses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.74456984

00:25:24.060 --> 00:25:25.230 Only some employees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81360257

 $00:25:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.100$  Someone might not be muted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81360257

00:25:29.100 --> 00:25:30.820 Sorry, I was confused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81360257

 $00:25:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.260$  I thought so was asking me a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81360257

 $00:25:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.990$  Yeah no, just a reminder to mute

NOTE Confidence: 0.81360257

00:25:36.990 --> 00:25:39.850 your mute your computers if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81360257

 $00:25:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.570$  particular having other conversations.

 $00:25:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.860$  Thank you, thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

 $00{:}25{:}44.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.086$  OK man sorry and then they there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

 $00:25:47.086 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.878$  identification task which measures attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

 $00:25:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.716$  I'm using a choice reaction time

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

00:25:50.716 --> 00:25:53.139 paradigm in is it the question is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

 $00{:}25{:}53.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.522$  the card read and a playing card is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

 $00:25:55.522 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.713$  presented in the center of the screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

 $00:25:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.785$  The card flips over so it's face

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

00:25:59.785 --> 00:26:02.300 up and as soon as it flips over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

 $00:26:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.516$  the participant must decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

00:26:03.516 --> 00:26:05.036 if it's red or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82357734

 $00:26:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.870$  So it's a very simple task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.773$  And we found that elevated PTSD symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:11.773 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.740$  were associated with significantly worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:26:13.740 --> 00:26:16.624 performance in terms of psycho motor speed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.734$  an attention, and if you look over here,

 $00:26:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.292$  these are the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00{:}26{:}21.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.620$  After adjusting for both health behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:26:23.620 --> 00:26:25.168 health conditions, health behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:26:25.168 --> 00:26:26.716 health conditions and depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.970$  and you see this effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00{:}26{:}29.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.651$  And then on the learning and working

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:32.651 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.608$  memory task we again there's 22 tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.849$  These are the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:36.849 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.914$  This is the first task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.440$  Is this one card learning task which

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:26:41.440 --> 00:26:43.807 measures visual memory and the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.594$  have you seen this card before this test?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00{:}26{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.502$  A playing card is presented based

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:48.502 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.330$  up in the center of the screen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:26:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.826$  the participants decide whether

 $00:26:52.826 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.970$  they have seen the card before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00{:}26{:}54.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.111$  In the past they might have seen it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:26:58.111 --> 00:27:00.500 the other tasks that I just presented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.507$  and then there is a one back task which

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:03.507 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.139$  the instructions are as a previous card,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:27:06.140 --> 00:27:08.270 the same and a playing card

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.335$  is presented face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.330$  Up in the center of the screen and the person

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:11.375 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.097$  participants decide if they've seen it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.580$  If it's the same as the previous card.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.664$  And they said yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:27:17.664 --> 00:27:20.498 If it's the same and now it's not so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:20.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.840$  it's it very simple task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:27:22.840 --> 00:27:25.367 And what we've found is elevated PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:25.367 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.399$  symptoms were associated with significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

00:27:27.399 --> 00:27:29.604 worse learning and working memory.

 $00:27:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.202$  You see here and if we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:32.202 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.525$  what the mean difference to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:34.525 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.420$  see get a sense of affect site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.934$  The mean difference for four to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00{:}27{:}39.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.316$ seven PTSD symptoms versus no PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522184

 $00:27:42.316 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.852$  was for that of four years of aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:27:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.635$  And so, um. Moving on these so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:27:53.635 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.450$  see these effects of trumpetist EON.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:27:56.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.502$  Women's Health behavior is health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:27:58.502 --> 00:28:00.930 then also on this cognitive aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:28:00.930 --> 00:28:03.366 and we're collecting more data and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:28:03.366 --> 00:28:05.399 longitudinal data on cognitive aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.432$  so I will have better data on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:08.432 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.619$  and hopefully in the next year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.609$  But we've also seen the adverse effects

 $00:28:13.609 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.910$  of trauma and PTSD across generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:28:16.910 --> 00:28:19.438 Um, so our first study on this week

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}28{:}19.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.971$  I got interested in this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:28:21.971 --> 00:28:24.701 having been worked in working in

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:24.782 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.020$  the trauma field for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.743$  there were a lot of studies which

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:28:29.743 --> 00:28:32.050 had looked at, for example, Rachel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:28:32.050 --> 00:28:34.330 who does work on Holocaust survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}28{:}34.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.360$  or there's work on refugees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:28:36.360 --> 00:28:38.688 So work on moms and children

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:38.688 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.852$  were on families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.700$  An really severely traumatized

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.540$  populations which showed relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.539$  between parental and offspring PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:45.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.773$  Um and we wanted to see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:47.773 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.240$  the nurses Health study,

 $00:28:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.214$  which is sort of a more community

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:51.214 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.269$  sort of typical civilian population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.713$  Whether we saw some of the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:55.713 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.300$  relationships and we did so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.980$  we basically took it with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:28:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.388$  This was an opportunistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:00.388 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.500$  We were going along imbedding trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:29:02.563 --> 00:29:04.684 and PTSD measures in the nurses study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.092$  Meanwhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:05.092 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.102$  there's another study called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:29:07.102 --> 00:29:09.103 growing Up Today study which

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:09.103 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.728$  is children of the nurses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.457$  That was set up and folks who led that

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:13.457 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.649$  study put some of the same measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:15.649 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.378$  that we did in the moms in the kids,

 $00:29:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.198$  and so the kids were separately

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}29{:}20.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.750$  assessed for trauma and PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.928$  as well as all the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:23.928 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.380$  things are assessed for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.306$  In sort of laid out lessons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.236$  early adulthood for the first time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.206$  So Andrea Roberts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:30.206 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.109$  who works with me on a lot of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}29{:}33.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.366$  had the idea of looking at PTSD in

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:35.366 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.641$  the moms and see how that related

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:29:37.641 --> 00:29:40.035 to trauma in the children and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:40.035 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.081$  we found was that children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:42.081 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.733$  mothers with PTSD were exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:43.733 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.017$  to more traumatic events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.889$  and that this was true even when

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:46.889 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.234$  we took out any events that could

 $00:29:49.234 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.454$  possibly have been shared between them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:29:51.460 --> 00:29:52.292 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:52.292 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.620$  such as like a house fire or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:29:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.396$  And then, not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}29{:}57.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.060$  children of mothers with PTSD were

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:00.134 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.606$  more likely to have also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:02.606 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.430$  PTSD themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.638$  and this didn't seem to be totally explained

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:07.638 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.750$  by differences in exposure to trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.575$  When this became an so

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:30:12.575 --> 00:30:14.400 I mean I study genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:30:14.400 --> 00:30:14.778 PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:30:14.778 --> 00:30:16.668 so this isn't really wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:16.668 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.939$  really surprising to me when this

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:30:18.939 --> 00:30:20.664 became sort of more interesting

00:30:20.664 --> 00:30:22.840 is when we extended the timeline

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}30{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.346$  and we decided to look at trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}30{:}25.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.542$  that occur due to mothers before

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:27.542 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.730$  their child would have been born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.090$  So we looked at maternal childhood trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.130$  An outcomes in the kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.345$  and particularly outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:36.345 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.965$  beyond mental health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}30{:}37.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41.226$  So I'll just present a little of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.436$  So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

00:30:42.436 --> 00:30:44.446 we looked at maternal experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:44.446 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.931$  of childhood abuse, which was this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:46.931 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.780$  This variable is a combination of sexual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.468$  physical and emotional abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:51.468 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.495$  So we looked at children of mothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00:30:54.495 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.400$  who were abused and we found that

00:30:57.400 --> 00:30:59.669 maternal abuse was for example

NOTE Confidence: 0.739706

 $00{:}30{:}59.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.939$  related to smoking and offspring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.452$  And it was sort of remarkable about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:04.452 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.955$  was this is actually also the case in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:06.955 \dashrightarrow 00:31:09.318$  children of mom to wear non smokers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

00:31:09.320 --> 00:31:12.038 So it wasn't. Entirely of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.936$  mothers smoking played a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:13.936 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.780$  part in this the level of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00{:}31{:}16.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.614$  Smoking in this court is actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

00:31:18.614 --> 00:31:20.489 little lower than the population level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.730$  and even if we look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.230$  month we didn't smoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.678$  Kids up of moms who had severe who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:26.678 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.434$  in the severe abuse category were more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:29.434 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.965$  likely to initiate smoking early and

 $00:31:31.965 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.095$  maintain high levels of consumption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.996$  And then also they were they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:35.996 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.816$  were more likely to, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:37.816 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.484$  to have higher BMI's and higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:39.484 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.740$  risk weight trajectory's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.630$  So the children and moms who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.210$  are abused had higher BMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

00:31:44.210 --> 00:31:45.788 Sort of pretty consistently overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00{:}31{:}45.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.318$  And if you look at this more severe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.038$  moderate abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00{:}31{:}49.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51.192$  Fine, but then what was striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:51.192 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.329$  is when these kids got older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.160$  So and actually at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00{:}31{:}55.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.740$  they'd mostly be leaving home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.945$  They also had these higher risk weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:31:58.945 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.520$  trajectories where they were gaining

 $00:32:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.230$  weight more rapidly and this again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:32:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.179$  was also not explained by maternal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:32:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.134$  Be in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:32:05.134 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.042$  which is something we actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652089

 $00:32:07.042 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.135$  very good data for in the nurses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.276$  So that got so that is doesn't work there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.394$  And then we've been doing a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:17.394 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.229$  of other stuff in that area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.398$  I can talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

00:32:20.398 --> 00:32:21.566 Andrea Roberts has pursued

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:21.566 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.178$  is really leading that work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:23.180 \dashrightarrow 00:32:25.835$  But before I move to move on to like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:25.835 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.350$  the sort of 2nd part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.478$  which I'll talk a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.594$  So what do we do about this?

 $00:32:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.658$  And we see all these negative effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

00:32:34.658 --> 00:32:36.860 of PTSD etc over the life course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}32{:}36.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39.371$  I did want to mention I did want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:39.371 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.802$  mention a little bit of work that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:41.802 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.259$  doing that was motivated by this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.240$  On the effects of maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}32{:}46.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}32{:}48.707$  experience of stress and trauma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:48.707 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.757$  the health effects of offspring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}32{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.860$  So I had the opportunity during

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

00:32:52.860 --> 00:32:55.793 Covid to join a group out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}32{:}55.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.245$  something called registry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.602$  which is a sort of social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:32:59.602 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.649$  platform for to connect pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}33{:}01.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.049$  and postpartum women with health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}33{:}04.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.719$  information to do a mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:06.719 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.035$  and stress survey of women pregnant

 $00:33:09.035 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.426$  or recently postpartum during covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:11.426 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.859$  So this was the survey it was launched in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.588$  What was that May in June of 2020?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.467$  Um, we controlled about 7500 women globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

00:33:22.470 --> 00:33:24.520 There were 68 countries represented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

00:33:24.520 --> 00:33:26.164 about 30 two countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

00:33:26.164 --> 00:33:27.808 with over 100 participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.454$  and this is again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:29.454 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.920$  this is a social media platform,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.386$  so it's not a representative survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}33{:}34.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.435$  so there's sort of disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:36.435 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.480$  more highly educated group more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

00:33:38.543 --> 00:33:39.728 likely with partner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.013$  and we had about 25% who identified it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:43.013 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.657$  Healthcare or essential workers,

 $00:33:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.304$  and they're not young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}33{:}46.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.537$  particularly young moms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.243$  It could be 18 or above to join, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:51.243 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.308$  The mean age was 31.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.658$  So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

00:33:53.658 --> 00:33:54.006 um.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:54.006 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.094$  It's interesting the result when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:33:56.094 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.334$  take into account that on average

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}33{:}58.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.963$  these are probably sort of better off

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:34:00.963 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.448$  women than is typical in the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}34{:}03.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.322$  so I'll just present a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:34:05.322 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.949$  of this experience during kovit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:34:06.949 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.845$  since we're still living with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00{:}34{:}08.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.746$  so this is represents this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:34:10.746 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.350$  where the participants were from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:34:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.254$  so you can get a sense of what

 $00:34:15.254 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.030$  countries were represented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8567368

 $00:34:17.030 --> 00:34:17.580~\mathrm{Um}?$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:34:21.230 --> 00:34:25.924 And. OK, some things going on in my porch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.775$  but so as part of the survey we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:27.775 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.818$  a bunch of question naires on stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:29.818 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.934$  and depression and anxiety and PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:34:31.934 --> 00:34:33.880 and I'll present some of that data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.152$  but we also had comment boxes where women

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:36.152 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.262$  could write in and say you know anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:38.262 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.700$  they want to tell us about their experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.548$  So this is just some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:42.548 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.820$  things that women shared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}34{:}43.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.753$  I had preeclampsia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:34:44.753 --> 00:34:46.930 I think it would have been detected

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:46.995 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.934$  sooner if I wouldn't have had to

 $00:34:48.934 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.510$  cancel a doctors appointment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}34{:}50.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51.674$  I'm feeling depressed because

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:51.674 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.256$  of unemployment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}34{:}52.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53.710$  Financially, my family is struggling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:34:53.710 --> 00:34:55.530 It seems like Corona is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.199$  make our lives more difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:34:57.200 --> 00:34:58.946 I'm worried about my upcoming baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:34:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.860$  I feel like this is the end of the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}35{:}01.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.580$  the whole birth experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.870$  was mentally devastating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.460$  And I'm scared for my children

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.220$  ability to care for my children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.057$  I can't breastfeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:35:09.057 --> 00:35:11.010 I'm worried about my husband gets it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:35:11.010 --> 00:35:12.684 I'm worried that I'll get sick

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:35:12.684 --> 00:35:14.677 and leave my kids alone and you

 $00:35:14.677 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.588$  can see some of the other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}35{:}16.590 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}35{:}17.985$  Other things I thought went

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:35:17.985 --> 00:35:19.380 for an important and I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.046$  you know I'm scared and up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:21.046 \dashrightarrow 00:35:22.783$  praying at night so women really

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:22.783 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.398$  feeling isolated and lonely really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.346$  Women really shared a lot in these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.750$  I'm not a qualitative researcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.244$  but in these comment boxes they

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:29.244 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.919$  really showed a lot of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:30.919 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.489$  experiences and was really striking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.714$  Is that you know through this platform again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}35{:}34.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.088$  these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:35.088 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.928$  Women who connected with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:36.928 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.032$  on social media.

 $00:35:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.230$  How how similar their experiences were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}35{:}40.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.095$  whether they were writing from

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:42.095 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.250$  Mexico or China or the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.765$  which were the three countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:46.765 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.810$  we had the highest numbers from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.554$  So just to give a flavor of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:35:52.554 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.270$  women reported experiencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:35:54.270 --> 00:35:55.955 So these women who participate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}35{:}55.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58.231$  in our survey had really reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:35:58.231 --> 00:36:00.339 really significantly high anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}36{:}00.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.555$  depression, and PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:01.555 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.394$  You can see that over 40% met

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:04.394 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.626$  we use the impact of N scale 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.689$  which is a brief measure of PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:10.689 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.710$  that has been used in a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.140$  but we adapted it for covid.

 $00:36:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.570$  So this is covid related PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.070$  We adapted it from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.070$  It's been used in a lot of other epidemics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.902$  epidemics globally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:24.902 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.814$  and we compare that to meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:27.814 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.845$  of PERI and postpartum women pre covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.160$  So this meta analysis results that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:36:33.160 --> 00:36:36.194 were out in the literature and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}36{:}36.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.020$  at the time the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.788$  And data that was come out in Covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}36{:}41.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.860$  So again, it's not representative sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}36{:}43.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.936$  but at least the woman responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00{:}36{:}45.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.016$  The Surveyor really reporting high levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:36:48.020 --> 00:36:49.400 anxiety, depression and PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.125$  so we'll be following this,

 $00:36:51.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.235$  but did want to share something of our code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.920$  Would work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:36:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.488$  So I realize I painted a pretty dismal

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:36:59.488 --> 00:37:01.090 experience, a dismal picture here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:37:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.659$  with the high levels of exposure to trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

00:37:03.660 --> 00:37:03.981 PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:37:03.981 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.549$  and then the effects over the life course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:37:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.115$  So I do did want to in the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:37:09.115 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.572$  part of this talk talk about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:37:11.572 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.290$  we can do about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:37:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.838$  and one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89876926

 $00:37:14.838 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.870$  we're learning is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:15.926 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.741$  effectively treating PTSD may attenuate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:17.741 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.967$  the adverse effects of trauma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:37:19.967 --> 00:37:23.450 physical health, so that is just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.032$  You know those of us in mental health know

 $00:37:27.032 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.728$  that we can effectively treat PTSD in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:30.728 \dashrightarrow 00:37:33.970$  cases and reduce people suffering and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.215$  What's becoming interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:36.215 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.460$  that those those treatments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:38.528 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.408$  may that affect people's mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:37:40.408 --> 00:37:42.832 health may also have some long-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:42.832 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.197$  benefit on their physical health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.648$  So we got interested in this and have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00{:}37{:}47.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.819$  trying to model this in our observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.554$  ULL data which is all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:51.554 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.710$  limitations of observational data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:37:52.710 --> 00:37:54.155 But because we have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:54.155 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.600$  long little to no cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:37:55.600 --> 00:37:58.596 we can look at questions such as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:37:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.040$  You know it doesn't matter.

 $00:38:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.460$  The data presented earlier with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:38:01.460 --> 00:38:03.190 the people at women had PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:38:03.190 --> 00:38:04.590 They already creased risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.340$  for example for cardiovascular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.260$  But then we can look more carefully in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:38:09.260 --> 00:38:12.128 the Cohen say doesn't matter if their

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:12.128 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.099$  PTSD is severe and ongoing or if it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:38:15.100 --> 00:38:17.298 Ramets at some point and as remission

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:17.298 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.014$  of PTSD also result in attenuation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:20.014 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.144$  the risk for cardiovascular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.118$  And we can see that it does so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.241$  In this slide they just show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

00:38:27.241 --> 00:38:29.093 severe ongoing PTSD symptoms is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:29.093 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.849$  associated with increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:30.849 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.166$  of cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.837$  which is similar to what I showed

 $00:38:34.837 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.179$  in the earlier earlier slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.490$  But the difference here is we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.796$  out people with the women whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:41.796 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.876$  whose moderate severe PTSD symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:43.876 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.500$  remitted an their risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85280365

 $00:38:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.008$  For CVD West attenuated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:38:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.530$  And there's a number of studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:38:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.635$  The other work that I'm aware of in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:38:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.330$  That's this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00{:}38{:}55.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.400$  The observational work is inviere data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:38:57.400 \dashrightarrow 00:39:00.232$  So for example, there's a study by Berg

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:00.232 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.920$  which looked at treatment and PTSD in VA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.841$  electronic health records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:03.841 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.990$  and what they found was PTSD was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:06.051 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.587$  associated with increased for

 $00:39:07.587 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.123$  hypertension and the records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:09.130 \dashrightarrow 00:39:11.020$  But that treatment did attenuate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:39:11.020 --> 00:39:13.609 effect of PTSD and risk for hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.375$  In this case they were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:16.375 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.343$  actually define treatment in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:18.343 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.108$  way I think that's reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.265$  Treatment included either 8 individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:22.265 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.420$  psychotherapy sessions are 50 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00{:}39{:}24.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.481$  or longer during a consecutive six

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:39:26.481 --> 00:39:28.816 months or a prescription for SSR eyes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00{:}39{:}28.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.688$  which is or medications that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:30.688 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.820$  indicated for the treatment of PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.468$  So they you know they had limitations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:35.468 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.258$  how they could define treatment as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.899$  but with their sort of treatment defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00{:}39{:}40.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43.340$  better than we can in our cohort.

 $00:39:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.206$  They did find this attenuation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:45.206 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.018$  risk of on the relation between PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:39:48.018 --> 00:39:50.018 and hypertension with treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.764$  So I think there's lots of opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:52.764 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.060$  for here for going forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.320$  particularly potentially with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.000$  treatment studies of PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:39:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.360$  Whether they could look at down the line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.370$  whether this treatment in attenuates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00{:}40{:}04.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07.380$  the health implications of PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00{:}40{:}07.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.844$  But one of the challenges is that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:09.844 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.109$  people with PTSD don't receive any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00{:}40{:}12.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.479$  health treat any mental health treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.965$  So these are data from the World

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:16.965 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.030$  Mental health surveys,

 $00:40:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.870$  and so this is data from people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.357$  met criteria for PTSD in the survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.873$  so they had diagnosed PTSD and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:40:25.873 --> 00:40:28.076 among people diagnosed PTSD in high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:28.076 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.194$  income countries only about a third

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:30.194 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.720$  reported specialty mental health treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.670$  And that doesn't even differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.398$  whether this was any kind of good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:40:37.398 --> 00:40:39.178 mental health treatment or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:40:39.180 --> 00:40:41.840 And in the nurses health study cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.740$  we've asked now about treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.727$  and it's about half of the women who have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.014$  Diagnosed PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:49.014 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.866$  Meet all the criteria for diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.030$  And we would consider it chronic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:40:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.117$  Only about half of them have received

 $00:40:58.117 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.819$  any specialty mental health treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.109$  And what's sad about this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:03.109 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.300$  there are effective for PTSD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.820$  effective treatments for PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.585$  The 3rd edition of effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:41:08.585 --> 00:41:09.644 treatments for PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.318$  I think just came out in 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.050$  And so in these treatments have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:41:16.050 --> 00:41:17.870 been pretty widely disseminated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:41:17.951 --> 00:41:19.756 in getting the VA system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.701$  but I think less so in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:41:23.701 --> 00:41:24.827 general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:41:24.830 --> 00:41:27.694 And so to close I'm just going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:27.694 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.187$  talk about one of the treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:30.187 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.725$  that I've been involved in as.

 $00:41:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.322$  Is that and how that works and its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:35.322 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.698$  implications in terms of thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:37.698 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.188$  Women's Health and development and PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.678$  So one of the treatments that is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:42.678 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.437$  the effective treatments PTSD book

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:44.437 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.929$  is that maybe less widely known and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:46.993 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.745$  prolonged exposure or cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:41:48.745 --> 00:41:51.373 processing therapy is sterope E which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00{:}41{:}51.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53.690$  is a treatment that was developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

00:41:53.690 --> 00:41:56.001 originally by Marilyn Cloitre and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:56.001 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.771$  treat adult survivors of childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:57.771 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.833$  abuse and sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:41:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.710$  Then in the second edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:42:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.850$  which is here which came out just last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:42:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.070$  Has been extended to people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8043219

 $00:42:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.550$  any kind of interpersonal

 $00:42:08.613 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.860$  trauma, but she's as well as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.766$  done it with other populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:42:12.766 --> 00:42:15.370 being including, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.695$  first responders, things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.750$  And this is based on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:42:20.750 --> 00:42:23.042 I mean trauma treatment that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:23.042 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.649$  the ones that are widely known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}42{:}25.650 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.722$  The one that I was training which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:28.722 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.269$  prolonged exposure are based on cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:31.269 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.825$  behavioral models of trauma of trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.952$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:34.952 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.822$  exposure the rapies based primarily on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}42{:}36.822 \to 00{:}42{:}39.202$  PTSD as a conditioned fear response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:39.202 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.554$  resolved by repeated imagine or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:42:41.621 --> 00:42:44.303 vivo exposure and or cognitive therapy

00:42:44.303 --> 00:42:46.091 like cognitive processing therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.315$  where which is focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:48.315 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.644$  trauma related cognitions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.230$  Which are maladaptive and recovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.294$  involves reappraisal adjustment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:42:54.294 --> 00:42:56.878 these beliefs and sterope stair include

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:42:56.878 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.909$  some of these aspects of both of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:42:59.910 --> 00:43:02.038 But it's it's based more on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:02.038 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.058$  resource last model of trauma which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:43:04.058 --> 00:43:06.140 comes from its origins in treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}43{:}06.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08.358$  adult survivors of childhood abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.824$  and that is trauma is an experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:10.824 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.880$  of resource loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.962$  where trauma results in the loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:13.962 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.152$  of social resources such as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:43:16.152 --> 00:43:18.348 sense of connection to others and

 $00:43:18.348 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.131$  emotional resources is the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}43{:}20.131 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}43{:}22.105$  to identify and manage emotions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:43:22.105 --> 00:43:24.546 the loss of identity lost of mastery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.633$  competency and goodness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:25.633 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.160$  And you can see that this extends

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:28.228 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.430$  to all kinds of trauma beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.280$  childhood abuse and something that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}43{:}32.280 \rightarrow 00{:}43{:}34.917$  Maybe many of us can relate to our people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:34.917 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.019$  or relate to in the lives of people we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.990$  Given all the losses people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:43:40.990 --> 00:43:42.970 experienced through computer Kobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.700$  Stair narrative therapy is 18 sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.065$  The first part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:47.065 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.885$  start focuses on skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.706$  training and emotional regulation

 $00:43:50.706 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.068$  and interpersonal skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}43{:}52.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.122$  and the second part is more traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:43:55.122 --> 00:43:57.080 exposure therapy with account,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:43:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.021$  which is basically a modified form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:44:01.021 --> 00:44:04.219 prolonged exposure and meaning making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.816$  And there's lots of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:05.816 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.010$  There's been RCT's with stair this is leader,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:44:09.010 --> 00:44:11.536 some list some below and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}44{:}11.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.601$  happy to share my slides and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:14.601 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.611$  well as the effect size is forced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}44{:}17.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20.449$  air versus treatment as usual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:44:20.450 --> 00:44:22.380 But it's it's evidence based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.690$  and I think this in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}44{:}24.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.230$  comparison to prolonged exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.090$  It sort of was equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:44:30.090 --> 00:44:30.748 And so,

 $00:44:30.748 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.380$  just to give a little more detail on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:33.462 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.157$  scare before I close the first part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862726900:44:36.160 --> 00:44:36.516 this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:36.516 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.364$  These are the components of the first part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:44:39.370 --> 00:44:42.106 You can see with a big chunk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:42.106 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.300$  the first part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:44:43.300 --> 00:44:44.728 focusing on emotional awareness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:44.728 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.799$  and naming feelings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:44:45.800 --> 00:44:47.936 understanding how feelings affect your body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:44:47.940 --> 00:44:50.866 your mind in behavior and then learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}44{:}50.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53.051$  emotional regulation skills in the service

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:53.051 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.440$  of goals that you value in your life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.984$  And then the second part is around connecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:57.984 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.800$  so understanding relationship patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:44:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.415$  And how those have been

 $00:45:01.415 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.707$  influenced by your trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:45:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.860$  history and then how you can develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:45:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.210$  flexibility in relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:45:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.373$  And then once did after those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:45:09.373 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.602$  the sort of idea of stair is that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:45:12.602 --> 00:45:14.850 certain populations of trauma survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:45:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.854$  so skills need to be enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:45:16.854 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.453$  before you can go on to focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}45{:}19.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.037$  on their trauma experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00:45:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.128$  So in narrative therapy it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}45{:}23.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.960$  combination of narrating the traumatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

00:45:24.960 --> 00:45:27.585 experience and making meaning out of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8627269

 $00{:}45{:}27.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.866$  And it does work like sort of a tradition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411416

 $00:45:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.705$  More traditional exposure therapy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411416

00:45:32.705 --> 00:45:34.870 many people might be familiar with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411416

 $00:45:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.800$  I think the one difference.

 $00:45:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.418$  From the way I was trained originally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411416

 $00:45:39.418 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.570$  was that it's also sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411416

 $00:45:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.097$  It really looks at the trauma story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411416

 $00:45:44.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.314$  and in lysis schemas and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411416

 $00:45:46.314 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.421$  those influence people's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8411416

 $00:45:47.421 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.170$  behavior in their current life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:45:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.476$  So I mentioned some of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:45:54.480 --> 00:45:57.136 but I'm happy to answer questions about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:45:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.282$  so I think steer is different than

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:45:59.282 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.790$  other empirically based therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.044$  in that it does have the skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:03.044 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.010$  training component before

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}04.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.767$  doing the trauma focused work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.242$  and so it does have this very present

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:46:08.242 --> 00:46:10.264 day component of of building skills

 $00:46:10.264 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.268$  that help people in their current

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}12.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.369$  lives and connecting the trauma to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}14.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.394$  their B2 behaviors in current life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:16.394 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.386$  And it's different for then DBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.496$  For example an that it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:20.496 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.900$  created for trauma patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.525$  And it's it's a shorter duration individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:46:24.530 --> 00:46:26.410 There's also a group format,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}26.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.666$  and it's focused on improving functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.370$  It's not as focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}31.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.990$  life threatening behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}32.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.188$  Um and I would say there's there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}35.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.710$  There's real differences in sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.930$  patients they are oriented towards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:46:39.930 --> 00:46:42.012 DBT usually focuses on patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:46:42.012 --> 00:46:44.241 are really high risk for injuries

 $00:46:44.241 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.186$  and self in suicidal behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}46.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.392$  Although Stair has a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:46:48.392 --> 00:46:49.493 components of DBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:46:49.500 --> 00:46:52.566 it is a shorter and sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}52.566 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}46{:}54.980$  less wrap around treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:46:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.476$  And during Covid I wasn't developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:46:57.476 --> 00:46:59.140 problem development of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:46:59.140 --> 00:46:59.884 but Marilyn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}46{:}59.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.488$ her team did develop a web version

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00{:}47{:}02.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.743$  for Telemental Health web version

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:04.743 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.455$  of Stair which is available now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:47:07.460 --> 00:47:10.012 I know it's being used in the VA

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:10.012 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.996$  and they have a project to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:12.996 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.256$  with specially rural Villiers using

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:15.338 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.098$  Webster and there also exist aircoach

 $00:47:18.098 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.419$  that people can check out if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:21.419 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.377$  interested people in clinical work or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:47:24.380 --> 00:47:25.664 Just interested, it's available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:47:25.664 --> 00:47:29.178 you know, by your App Store.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.202$  And so if folks are interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

00:47:31.202 --> 00:47:33.000 in learning more about Stair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.108$  here's the information that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:35.108 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.216$  available through the NC&C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84679

 $00:47:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.790$  PTSD website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00:47:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.150$  So I will end there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00{:}47{:}43.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}45.316$  I'm happy to answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00:47:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.630$  Just want to acknowledge all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

00:47:47.630 --> 00:47:49.562 different people who worked on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00:47:49.562 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.488$  many of the studies I presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

00:47:51.490 --> 00:47:52.663 Especially highlight Andrea

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

00:47:52.663 --> 00:47:54.618 Roberts who has been working

 $00:47:54.618 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.940$  with me on this since like 2008,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00:47:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.481$  as well as all my students who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

00:47:59.481 --> 00:48:02.338 who have many have gone out but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00:48:02.338 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.443$  who contributed to the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00:48:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.844$  The work presented as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

00:48:06.844 --> 00:48:09.370 acknowledge all my funders cluding NIH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00:48:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.668$  and also the funders for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

00:48:11.668 --> 00:48:14.161 Nurses health study and the WHL

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560182

 $00:48:14.161 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.929$  World Mental Health Surveys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.470$  And finally, I always like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:20.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.410$  this is where I usually finish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

00:48:22.410 --> 00:48:24.228 My life was like to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:24.228 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.130$  all of the people out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

00:48:26.130 --> 00:48:27.370 The advocacy organizations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.230$  those who are who you know,

 $00:48:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.780$  really spend their lives focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00{:}48{:}30.780 \to 00{:}48{:}32.330$  on preventing trauma and violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.810$  which would really be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.954$  In the first place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:35.954 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.504$  to put our efforts in order to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:38.504 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.424$  all these negative mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

00:48:40.424 --> 00:48:42.430 and physical health consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.250$  both to women and people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00{:}48{:}44.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46.178$  Generally an across generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:46.178 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.624$  And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00{:}48{:}47.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.718$  because I can't do a talk on trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:50.718 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.915$  and stress without acknowledging that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

00:48:52.915 --> 00:48:56.790 we are in covid and I want to just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:48:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.764$  Thank you everyone for taking time today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

00:48:58.770 --> 00:49:00.564 I'm sure people's lives are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00{:}49{:}00.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02.283$  crazy and also acknowledge all the

 $00:49:02.283 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.928$  over 2 million people have died of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:49:03.928 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.737$  covid and their friends and family

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

00:49:05.737 --> 00:49:07.257 members who are grieving actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:49:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.346$  used the slide not that long ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:49:09.346 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.135$  and I had to increase the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:49:12.135 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.589$  people who died double it so it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:49:14.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.125$  Heard that we're still living

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588316

 $00:49:16.125 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.046$  through this and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

00:49:19.440 --> 00:49:22.518 That's my contact information and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

 $00:49:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.090$  happy to share my slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

 $00:49:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.170$  answer any questions and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

 $00:49:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.734$  Thank you very much and I will stop sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

00:49:31.740 --> 00:49:33.990 Thank you. Now I see their

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

 $00:49:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.688$  stuff in the chat and I didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

 $00:49:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.170$  OK, good thank you.

 $00:49:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.598$  So yeah, happy to answer questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

 $00{:}49{:}40.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42.280$  I see there's some questions I didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

00:49:42.280 --> 00:49:44.670 I didn't look at the chat like I was talking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068744

 $00:49:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.812$  so let me see if there's anything to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:49:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.242$  So I see one question in the weather

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:49:54.242 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.017$  101 question in the chat which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:49:58.017 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.379$  related to whether I see the effects in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

00:50:02.380 --> 00:50:06.280 Attacks I'm observing in people who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.360$  Don't remember who had amnesia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.608$  after childhood trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:09.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.746$  Then recall more as adults in my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.280$  That is a good question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.720$  so we only have women in our cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

00:50:17.720 --> 00:50:19.544 We are assessing we are following

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:19.544 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.680$  women in adulthood, so we rely on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.205$  their reports of childhood abuse.

 $00:50:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.166$  So I actually don't really in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:25.166 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.869$  the types of studies I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.456$  we don't really have people who've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

00:50:29.460 --> 00:50:31.188 We don't have people with documented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:31.188 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.015$  abuse histories who then forgot, or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

00:50:33.015 --> 00:50:34.785 you know, don't don't remember it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.850$  so I can't really answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.258$  That question I think the best work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

00:50:39.258 --> 00:50:41.868 on that is Kathy Williams work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00{:}50{:}41.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.096$  where she followed a cohort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:44.096 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.069$  kids who had documented abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:46.069 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.547$  histories and then actually ask them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:48.547 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.749$  about their abuse and you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00{:}50{:}50{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.675$  She actually does analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

00:50:52.675 --> 00:50:56.098 women have people, men, women who.

00:50:56.100 --> 00:50:57.465 Forgot their abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:50:57.465 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.740$  which are very interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00{:}50{:}59.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.560$  actually quite complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

 $00:51:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.630$  So I would encourage you to look at her work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8539901

00:51:05.630 --> 00:51:07.330 OK, more questions, can you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

00:51:10.140 --> 00:51:12.918 Yes, so good question from Frank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.190$  So yeah, comment on the generalizability

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.720$  of the nurses registry so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00{:}51{:}18.720 --> 00{:}51{:}19.526 \ {\rm Yeah}, \ {\rm Interestingly},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:19.526 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.750$  I think so in a couple of ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.168$  One is they are they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

00:51:25.170 --> 00:51:28.458 you know, sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00{:}51{:}28.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}30.926$  You know more educated abit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:30.926 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.538$  if you look at the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:33.538 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.597$  they look at women from the ages

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

00:51:36.597 --> 00:51:39.722 in the US from 55 to 70 mid 70s

 $00:51:39.722 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.355$  they are going to be somewhat more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:42.355 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.340$  educated so somewhat higher SES.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

00:51:44.340 --> 00:51:47.119 So there's quite a bit of diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.769$  Again, 95% white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.160$  And so they're sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.240$  A bit more bad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.959$  a bit better off in a bit healthier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:51:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.545$  They probably have lower smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00{:}51{:}57.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59.130$  and although they look pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

00:51:59.185 --> 00:52:00.720 normal with exercise in obese,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.988$  like the general population

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

00:52:01.988 --> 00:52:03.256 with many health respecters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00{:}52{:}03.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04.845$  they are probably a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:04.845 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.430$  bit on the healthier side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.637$  Maybe sort of like UK biobank is.

 $00:52:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.200$  At the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.540$  they report a lot of trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

00:52:14.540 --> 00:52:17.270 so in particularly in the latest survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.850$  the Ocelot about occupational trauma like

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.339$  things they had witnessed as a nurse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00{:}52{:}22.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24.476$  and they reported really high levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:24.476 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.800$  and a lot of distress related to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.270$  So I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.740$  In some ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.056$  they're an interesting counterpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:32.056 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.030$  to the to the veteran population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

00:52:34.030 --> 00:52:35.670 which is, you know, different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.315$  so there are different in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00.52:37.315 \longrightarrow 00.52:38.960$  their sort of better off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.610$  but there's ways in which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00:52:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.250$  from their lease their self

 $00:52:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.659$  reports of trauma, they look more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83026344

 $00{:}52{:}44.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48.700$  Stressed an impact it then you might expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:52:50.730 --> 00:52:54.800 OK. Symptom clusters, oh, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:52:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.259$  Or a lot of Frank OK exposed to yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:52:59.260 --> 00:53:01.270 Yes I would say yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.198$  they're they're exposed to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:53:03.198 --> 00:53:04.644 Pretty chronically exposed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00{:}53{:}04.650 \to 00{:}53{:}07.758$  They also they report pretty high levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:07.758 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.310$  of having experienced child abuse too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.416$  So I think that is true that they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.585$  They don't just have one

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:53:13.585 --> 00:53:14.924 or two in defects, traumas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00{:}53{:}14.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.348$  In terms of differences in PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:17.348 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.800$  prevalence or outcome by race ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.588$  which is another question.

 $00:53:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.033$  We can't really look at that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:53:25.033 --> 00:53:27.413 the nurses because of our small

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:53:27.413 --> 00:53:29.089 proportion of nonwhite nurses

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:29.089 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.258$  and other studies I have done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.510$  In general population surveys we

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.760$  have found differences in exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:35.832 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.317$  to trauma and PTSD by race ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.026$  Um, the sort of summary of that is certain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00{:}53{:}44.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47.159$  Minority populations black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:53:47.160 --> 00:53:51.648 And Native American and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00{:}53{:}51.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.615$  Tend to have report higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00{:}53{:}53.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.580$  incidence of exposure to violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:53:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.101$  particular interpersonal violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:53:57.101 --> 00:53:59.129 And because of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:53:59.130 --> 00:54:01.990 higher prevalence of PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:54:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.425$  Um, with lower prevalence is

00:54:04.425 --> 00:54:06.860 reported in certain Asian groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00{:}54{:}06.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.577$  and but that somewhat depends on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:54:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.260$  The origin of the different groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:54:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.220$  so we still are some differences there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00{:}54{:}13.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.585$  for example between Mexican Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00{:}54{:}14.585 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}54{:}16.580$  in Puerto Rican's or an Asian groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

00:54:16.580 --> 00:54:17.980 whether they originate from serve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:54:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.285$  for example like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:54:19.285 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.025$  Vietnam or from China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:54:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.920$  So there are some differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:54:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.194$  but in the nurses cohort we

NOTE Confidence: 0.862257

 $00:54:25.194 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.710$  can't look at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45472524

00:54:29.340 --> 00:54:32.960 Jefferson City um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.35083115

00:54:36.190 --> 00:54:39.288 K. Um can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.591055

 $00:54:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.718$  you with the question.

 $00:54:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.084$  I'm having trouble going through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930784

00:54:45.084 --> 00:54:47.290 Yeah, they're starting to pile up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930784

 $00:54:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.584$  so I'll try and read them as you answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930784

00:54:50.590 --> 00:54:52.420 Now. Have you compared your

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930784

00:54:52.420 --> 00:54:53.884 data on ethnic minorities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930784

 $00:54:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.098$  non dominant groups versus ethnic majorities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930784

00:54:56.100 --> 00:54:57.930 Dominant groups in different countries?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84930784

00:54:57.930 --> 00:55:00.126 Is that data available to you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.58503497

 $00{:}55{:}01.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.320$  That's a good question, um.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

00:55:05.910 --> 00:55:07.704 You know I mentioned the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00{:}55{:}07.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10.359$  study we did in the US in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

00:55:10.359 --> 00:55:12.094 world Mental health surveys I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

00:55:12.100 --> 00:55:13.730 I'm thinking I I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.338$  I have not been involved in a study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.240$  Have done that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.930$  There's a lot of work in those surveys,

 $00:55:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.302$  and I don't recall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:21.302 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.840$  and I don't know how available it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.311$  One of the challenges in the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

00:55:26.311 --> 00:55:28.595 Mental Health surveys is that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:28.595 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.525$  general population surveys so thus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00.55:30.530 \longrightarrow 00.55:32.126$  At best you get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:32.126 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.121$  you get the representation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

00:55:34.121 --> 00:55:36.155 different groups at whatever they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

00:55:36.155 --> 00:55:38.501 are in the population so often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.450$  That makes it difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

00:55:40.450 --> 00:55:41.905 unless you oversample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.647$  And minority group and Ethnic minority group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

00:55:44.650 --> 00:55:46.906 You don't end up getting enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:46.906 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.900$  people in the different groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.402$  who participate and then also the

00:55:51.402 --> 00:55:53.803 other challenge with the kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00{:}55{:}53.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55.598$  population based work is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.948$  especially if there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:55:57.948 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.296$  ethnic tension or other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.640$  Issues within a country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.021$  The ethnic minority group is probably even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:04.021 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.198$  less likely to participate in the survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.824$  So so basically the answer is is no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00{:}56{:}08.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11.446$  Not in my work and other people's work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.054$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:12.054 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.470$  an in some of the studies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:14.541 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.109$  been done on PTSD and some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:17.109 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.513$  different African countries I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:18.513 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.935$  worked in there have been there has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:20.935 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.240$  been work on higher levels of trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8661202

 $00:56:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.920$  experience in certain ethnic minority

 $00:56:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.870$  groups and higher levels of PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927288

 $00:56:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.668$  But there's limited data on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768808

 $00:56:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.676$  OK, we find that peripheral inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768808

 $00:56:34.676 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.962$  markers are associated with evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768808

 $00:56:36.962 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.166$  of suppression of neuroinflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768808

00:56:39.170 --> 00:56:43.426 And in both PET scans in postmortem tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768808

00:56:43.430 --> 00:56:47.735 are you aware of any clinical signatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768808

 $00:56:47.735 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.580$  of immunosuppression infections

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768808

 $00:56:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.320$  or tumors associated with PTSD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8768808

 $00:56:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.658$  That's from Doctor Crystal course. Thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.72545964

 $00:56:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.319$  John. Extending my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9233739 00:56:59.640 --> 00:57:00.800 I. NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00{:}57{:}03.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07.000$  So I actually I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:07.000 --> 00:57:10.800 Someone one of the people I I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:10.800 --> 00:57:13.866 Andrew is doing an analysis in a

 $00:57:13.866 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.782$  subsample of the nurses related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:16.782 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.296$  to an extension of this cancer work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00{:}57{:}20.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.206$  I presented and he is looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.409$  Um? Tumors in specific type of tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:27.410 --> 00:57:29.272 So I may have something on you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:29.272 --> 00:57:31.469 I can get back to you on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.638$  so not off the top of my head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:33.640 --> 00:57:35.104 but I believe they're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:35.104 --> 00:57:36.708 it and I can't remain remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:36.708 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.424$  exactly if they are far enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:38.424 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.140$  along to know what they found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:40.140 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.480$  but it would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.889$  I think it would be possible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:43.889 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.164$  look at that in the nurses data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.060$  If they haven't because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:48.060 --> 00:57:49.861 amount of information they do have

 $00:57:49.861 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.562$  an an those factors the focus of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:51.562 --> 00:57:53.517 the nurses has been their husband.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.560$  A lot on cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.750$  An I mean it's oppression and infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:57:56.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.472$  Things like that that hasn't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

00:57:58.472 --> 00:58:00.579 linked to the mental health stuff yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257707

 $00:58:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.338$  so that would be really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}58{:}04.050 \longrightarrow 00{:}58{:}06.780$  Doctor Jordan, do you want to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:58:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.089$  your question before I'll be quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}58{:}09.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}11.810$  Thank you so much for your talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:58:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.140$  I really enjoyed it. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:58:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.835$  Are there and I appreciate you bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}58{:}16.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.242$  awareness to the trauma of experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}58{:}19.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}21.986$  so many deaths with COVID-19 and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:58:22.061 --> 00:58:24.287 think I just respectfully take a

00:58:24.287 --> 00:58:27.260 step further and just say how it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}58{:}27.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}28.370$  impacted minoritized communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}58{:}28.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}30.356$  even more because of structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:58:30.356 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.376$  racism and a disproportionate rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:58:32.376 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.090$  up death and morbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:58:34.090 --> 00:58:37.380 That's kind of where my question lies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}58{:}37.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40.684$  because I've been thinking about as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:58:40.684 --> 00:58:44.181 minoritized person more and more kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:58:44.181 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.676$  the ongoing vicarious trauma experienced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:58:46.680 --> 00:58:50.070 Through just kind of being in this country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}58{:}50.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}52.884$  but definitely what we've been seeing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:58:52.884 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.918$  terms of social media platforms in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:58:55.918 --> 00:58:58.546 sharing more more of police brutality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:58:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.100$  violence, killing, you know thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:01.100 --> 00:59:02.366 Obviously, Brianna Taylor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:02.366 --> 00:59:04.914 George Floyd on my Arbury very

 $00:59:04.914 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.610$  present in our consciousness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}59{:}06.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}10.130$  And so my question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.914$  How do we think about that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:12.914 --> 00:59:15.890 context of PTSD, how do we study that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:15.890 --> 00:59:18.050 There was a wonderful scholar, Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.570$  Lawanda Hill that talked about really there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:20.570 --> 00:59:23.349 Being no post period for Minoritized books

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:23.349 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.829$  because you're just constantly in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:25.830 --> 00:59:28.790 Prime State waiting for the next trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:28.790 --> 00:59:29.213 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:29.213 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.174$  And so there's some work I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.256$  Really wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:33.256 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.408$  burgeoning young neuroscientist Aza

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:35.408 --> 00:59:38.778 from our group that's looking at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.880$  On a molecular level,

 $00:59:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.530$  but I'm trying to understand like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:41.587 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.985$  how do you think about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.300$  How do you approach it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.044$  I don't think that we can use our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:47.050 --> 00:59:48.975 Regular kind of paradigm in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:48.975 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.900$  terms of studying the post,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}59{:}50.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53.264$  because there's really no posts an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:53.264 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.910$  if you're able to kind of lead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

00:59:55.910 --> 00:59:57.486 give me some thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:57.486 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.889$  Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00{:}59{:}57.889 --> 00{:}59{:}58.292 \ \mathrm{sure},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $00:59:58.292 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.307$  it also provides some folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092649

 $01:00:00.307 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.447$  who are doing this work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:02.450 --> 01:00:04.903 would be really helpful. Sure, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:04.903 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.030$  So I think you hit on the big challenge is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:10.030 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.014$  Is that that the whole concept and not

 $01:00:13.020 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.780$  let it historically that kind of how PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:15.780 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.347$  has been defined is related to trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:18.347 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.497$  XDA Post traumatic stress disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:20.500 --> 01:00:23.484 So traumas happen and even if they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:23.490 --> 01:00:25.740 chronic trauma such as having combat

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:25.740 --> 01:00:27.982 in Vietnam, let's say things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:27.982 --> 01:00:30.114 happened overtime, the person was safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:30.114 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.840$  And then we're still having these symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:32.840 --> 01:00:36.206 and I think that's exactly what you hit on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:36.210 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.360$  And it's actually a challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:38.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.080$  for the entire field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:40.080 --> 01:00:42.928 And how we as you even even define

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:42.928 --> 01:00:45.336 the language about what is and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:45.336 --> 01:00:47.356 who decides what is traumatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:47.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.034$  for whom, and how we define trauma.

 $01:00:50.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.714$  And then this whole issue of post.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:52.720 --> 01:00:55.789 So that's just to say I think you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:00:55.789 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.626$  framed the issue that is challenging the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:00:58.626 --> 01:01:01.907 entire field in the field has not really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:01:01.910 --> 01:01:03.059 greatly, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:03.059 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.208$  grappled with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01{:}01{:}04.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07.460$  And I will say that in our I didn't present

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:01:07.540 --> 01:01:10.336 these in our Global Pregnancy survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01{:}01{:}10.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}12.490$  we did ask questions about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:01:12.490 --> 01:01:14.810 Experiences of racism and discrimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:14.810 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.504$  which were sort of like really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:17.504 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.300$  astronomically associated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:19.374 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.206$  mental health outcomes that we presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01{:}01{:}22.210 \longrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.521$  And it's a little challenging to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:01:25.521 --> 01:01:28.924 these data because this is global, so how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:28.924 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.659$  How discrimination and race is

01:01:30.659 --> 01:01:32.250 defined differs by country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01{:}01{:}32.250 --> 01{:}01{:}34.410$  so it gets kind of complex to present it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:01:34.410 --> 01:01:36.210 So that's just to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:36.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.730$  Technology in and I think is there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:40.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.663$  A number of different people in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:43.663 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.599$  field that are doing work on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:01:46.600 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.208$  I think we did a population health session

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:01:50.208 --> 01:01:53.556 on which I can share in the chat on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:01:53.556 --> 01:01:57.489 racism as a as a traumatic event at Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01{:}01{:}57.490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}01.252$  which had a number of speakers so I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:02:01.260 --> 01:02:04.500 I'm happy if you want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01{:}02{:}04.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07.794$  The email me I can connect you with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01{:}02{:}07.794 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}10.912$  so you could look at their different

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:10.912 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.970$  work and see which would be best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:02:13.970 --> 01:02:14.858 Like you know,

 $01:02:14.858 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.310$  most may be relevant to the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:02:17.310 --> 01:02:20.118 You are doing, but I think that I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:02:20.120 --> 01:02:22.928 I wish I had an easy answer for it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:22.930 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.707$  but I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:23.707 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.916$  I think it's a challenge for the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:25.916 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.586$  field and the traditional measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:27.586 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.789$  we use on trauma don't include.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:29.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.414 \text{ I mean}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:30.414 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.974$  they actually don't even include

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:31.974 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.598$  sexual harassment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:32.600 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.155$  let alone anything on racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:02:34.155 --> 01:02:35.088 or structural racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:35.090 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.018$  and that those assessments have developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:02:38.020 --> 01:02:39.560 They shouldn't just almost separately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:39.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.656$  and I do think that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

 $01:02:41.656 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.560$  bring the two things together.

 $01:02:43.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.870$  Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85271317

01:02:43.870 --> 01:02:44.180 no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8864366

01:02:44.180 --> 01:02:48.250 I I appreciate that and I think it's good to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8864366

01:02:48.250 --> 01:02:50.007 That the field, at least his wrestling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8864366

 $01{:}02{:}50.007 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}52.052$  with it and realizing that that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8864366

01:02:52.052 --> 01:02:53.530 a major shortcoming, right right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8864366

01:02:53.530 --> 01:02:54.924 You can't make any meaningful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8864366

 $01:02:54.924 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.309$  conclusions if we're not even.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8864366

01:02:56.310 --> 01:02:57.700 I'm asking about it for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

01:02:57.700 --> 01:02:58.531 sure. Yeah, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:02:58.531 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.193$  And the one of the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:00.200 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.870$  One of my colleagues work

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

01:03:01.870 --> 01:03:03.970 that I really like on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

01:03:03.970 --> 01:03:05.490 Says Alex Tsai at MGH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:05.490 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.208$  He did a paper that was in The Lancet,

 $01:03:08.210 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.044$  I think. Sort of time is a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:12.050 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.717$  Big in my head this last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

01:03:14.720 --> 01:03:17.394 but on which is on, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:17.394 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.304$  police shootings and mental health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:19.310 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.548$  And what was really powerful about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:21.548 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.269$  his paper was not just focused on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:24.270 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.180$  I mean the shooting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:26.180 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.326$  the individuals involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:27.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.490$  but how it affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:30.490 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.702$  Population mental health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

01:03:31.702 --> 01:03:32.510 For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

01:03:32.510 --> 01:03:35.324 Blacks and whites in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:35.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.622$  and it's a complex analysis 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:37.622 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.051$  it looks at shootings and media

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:40.051 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.131$  reports and data and population

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:42.131 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.790$  based mental health data.

 $01{:}03{:}43.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}47.417$  But I think that has a lot to contribute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:47.420 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.982$  And then the other person who we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:49.982 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.476$  have had we've been talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:52.476 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.666$  the most is Jessica Lopresti,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:54.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.690$  who is in at Suffolk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:56.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.096$  and her work is really excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:03:59.100 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.190$  I mean, she's she's she's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

01:04:01.190 --> 01:04:03.110 She's young, she's an assistant professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:04:03.110 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.350$  but I think in terms of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:04:05.350 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.290$  Looking at framing racism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:04:07.290 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.842$  discrimination as a trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:04:08.850 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.530$  Her work is really excellent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01{:}04{:}10.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}13.210$  so those are like two of the people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:04:13.210 \longrightarrow 01:04:15.220$  but there's like there's obviously many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

 $01:04:15.220 \longrightarrow 01:04:15.890$  So yeah,

 $01:04:15.890 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.225$  thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87583226

01:04:16.225 --> 01:04:16.560 Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8468497

01:04:16.560 --> 01:04:17.499 you, you're welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8468497

 $01:04:17.499 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.064$  Yeah, you have a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8468497

 $01:04:19.064 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.240$  from Doctor Missouri.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8468497

 $01{:}04{:}20.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}21.915$  Have you examined sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8468497

 $01:04:21.915 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.255$  in PTSD trauma occurrence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8468497

01:04:23.260 --> 01:04:25.150 If so, do you find similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8468497

 $01:04:25.150 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.832$  different findings in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8468497

 $01:04:26.832 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.280$  adverse effects in children?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01{:}04{:}30.100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}32.459$  That is, yeah, that's a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:04:32.460 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.828$  so I can say so yes, I've done some work

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:04:35.828 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.700$  on sex differences, so in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:04:39.700 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.616$  The in our in our in our specific data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:04:42.620 --> 01:04:44.874 Looking at the effects of maternal trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:04:44.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.626$  an offspring we actually just say

 $01:04:46.626 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.769$  we don't find big sex differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:04:48.770 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.765$  so the whether it's a male or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:04:50.765 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.777$  female offspring of the mother who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:04:52.777 --> 01:04:54.597 experienced trauma we don't find,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:04:54.600 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.868$  I we won't find big sex references,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:04:56.870 --> 01:04:58.490 which is why I didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:04:58.490 --> 01:04:59.678 You know, present them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01{:}04{:}59.678 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}01.881$  and in fact even looking at outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:05:01.881 --> 01:05:03.676 like 80 HD Andrew Robertson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:03.680 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.300$  some work on maternal moms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:05:05.300 --> 01:05:06.800 experience of childhood abuse and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:06.800 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.860$  increased risk of ADHD in offspring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:05:08.860 --> 01:05:11.128 And there wasn't a different sex effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:11.130 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.080$  even though obviously there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:05:13.080 --> 01:05:16.940 sex difference in ADHD. So, um.

01:05:16.940 --> 01:05:19.080 In our sort of observation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:19.080 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.488$  ull work we don't. Separately there is some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:22.490 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.777$  This is debated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01{:}05{:}23.777 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}26.351$  but there are some some interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:26.351 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.984$  work from the from the genetics point

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:28.984 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.405$  of view on the that some studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:32.405 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.333$  found differences in heritability

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:34.333 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.980$  of PTSD between men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:36.980 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.500$  How much that is explained by

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:39.588 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.416$  differences in that end set the men

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01{:}05{:}42.416 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}45.562$  have PTSD tend to be for military

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:45.562 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.948$  samples versus women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:46.950 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.720$  Is Filion we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:48.720 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.876$  So in some ways I've been really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:50.876 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.610$  interested in sex differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:52.610 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.566$  Mainly because when I saw patients

 $01{:}05{:}54.566 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}56.860$  I observed big sex differences in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

01:05:56.860 --> 01:05:59.338 I felt like the expression of PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:05:59.340 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.110$  but we haven't seen again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:06:01.110 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.934$  at least in these sort of FB observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011015

 $01:06:03.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.418$  ULL studies we haven't seen big differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738254

 $01:06:10.510 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.560$  More questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86001074 01:06:15.500 --> 01:06:16.000 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183382

 $01{:}06{:}18.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}20.676$  Other questions I should jump on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755577

 $01:06:22.300 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.884$  If you have a question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755577

01:06:23.884 --> 01:06:24.676 hasn't been addressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755577

 $01{:}06{:}24.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}26.258$  you can unmute yourself and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755577

01:06:26.260 --> 01:06:28.364 jump in. If you 'cause I want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78542143

01:06:32.330 --> 01:06:36.430 Hi. I wanted to ask about

NOTE Confidence: 0.78542143

 $01:06:36.430 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.410$  the the control for the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78542143

 $01:06:39.410 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.482$  Groups where you saw the patients

 $01{:}06{:}42.482 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}44.880$  with PTSD having increased BMI

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622189

 $01:06:44.880 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.360$  and then the impact on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622189

 $01:06:47.360 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.848$  cardiovascular and inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622189

01:06:48.850 --> 01:06:51.340 markers, and I was wondering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622189

 $01:06:51.340 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.320$  is this related to the BMI

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622189

 $01:06:54.320 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.298$  or is it related to PTSD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622189

 $01:06:57.300 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.728$  It seems like the biggest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622189

01:07:00.728 --> 01:07:02.020 Different your

NOTE Confidence: 0.81231534

 $01:07:02.020 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.944$  data was showing that the PTSD is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81231534

01:07:04.944 --> 01:07:08.620 causing the the weight gain. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.8870437

 $01:07:08.620 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.700$  then the the. Impact of weight gain on the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:14.700 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.475$  Give a score and inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:16.475 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.261$  is might be the reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:07:18.261 --> 01:07:20.750 Might be the actually the the weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:20.750 \longrightarrow 01:07:25.790$  again right? Yeah so we look at that an if.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:25.790 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.470$  And when we model it,

01:07:27.470 --> 01:07:29.703 there's you know some proportion of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:07:29.703 --> 01:07:31.820 weather it's heart disease or diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:07:31.820 --> 01:07:33.842 for example, is explained by weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:33.842 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.839$  gain and other factors like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:35.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.185$  like health behaviors, but not the majority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:07:38.190 --> 01:07:40.200 An actually in the diabetes paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:40.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.210$  The most surprising finding was so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:07:42.210 --> 01:07:43.880 So I think weight gain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:07:43.880 --> 01:07:45.220 if I'm remembering correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:45.220 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.895$  the way to explain it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:46.900 \longrightarrow 01:07:48.570$  It was a good chunk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01{:}07{:}48.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}51.729$  It was like 30 to 40% of the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01{:}07{:}51.729 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}55.570$  you know is a big chunk of the effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:55.570 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.266$  But actually, antidepressants explained

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:07:57.266 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.810$  the biggest proportion of the relation

 $01:07:59.873 \longrightarrow 01:08:01.667$  between PTSD and type 2 diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:01.670 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.956$  And I remember this so clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:08:03.956 --> 01:08:05.099 because of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:05.100 \longrightarrow 01:08:07.218$  that's what the media lot jumped

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:07.218 \longrightarrow 01:08:09.669$  on after the paper was published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01{:}08{:}09.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13.142$  But yeah, I'm actually surprised at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:13.142 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.720$  health behaviors doesn't explain more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:15.720 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.967$  Honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:15.967 \dashrightarrow 01:08:17.449$  that's what I would have expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:08:17.450 --> 01:08:19.186 I thought it would all be explained

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:19.186 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.089$  by health behaviors an it's not and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:21.089 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.879$  actually have very good health behavior data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:08:22.880 --> 01:08:23.374 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:23.374 \longrightarrow 01:08:24.856$  you can always have better data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:24.860 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.696$  but that's one thing I feel like we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:26.696 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.511$  quite good data 'cause we ask people

 $01:08:28.511 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.050$  regularly about their health behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:30.050 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.668$  So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:08:30.668 --> 01:08:31.286 it's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:08:31.286 --> 01:08:34.158 And So what are the other things going on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:34.160 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.392$  And that's a good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:35.392 \longrightarrow 01:08:36.008$  That's yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

01:08:36.010 --> 01:08:37.560 that's I'm curious about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83522207

 $01:08:38.250 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.790$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82026917

01:08:44.550 --> 01:08:46.655 OK, great hearing and seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82026917

 $01{:}08{:}46.655 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}49.470$  no more questions and thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82026917

 $01:08:49.470 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.470$  very much Carsten that was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82026917

 $01:08:52.470 \longrightarrow 01:08:53.886$  Welcome, that was fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82026917

 $01:08:53.886 \longrightarrow 01:08:55.660$  Thank you all for attending.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

01:08:56.630 --> 01:08:59.773 Yes, an as I said I'll maybe I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

 $01:08:59.773 \longrightarrow 01:09:02.525$  send Trish the slides in case anyone

 $01:09:02.525 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.276$  wants the slides or just email me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

01:09:05.276 --> 01:09:07.550 I put my email, I could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

 $01:09:07.550 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.990$  put my email in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

 $01:09:09.990 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.594$  but if you have follow up questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

 $01{:}09{:}12.594 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}15.188$  or something I said like like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

01:09:15.188 --> 01:09:17.853 mention that paper by Alex I or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

 $01:09:17.853 \longrightarrow 01:09:19.817$  Jessica like Jessica Lopresti,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

 $01:09:19.820 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.305$  I am happy to just just ping

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218298

 $01:09:22.305 \longrightarrow 01:09:25.984$  me and I will direct you.